

From: Bowman, Randal
To: [Pierson, John C CIV OASN \(EI&E\), ODASN \(Environment\)](#)
Subject: Re: [Non-DoD Source] Fwd: Review of National Monuments - DoD input
Date: Monday, June 05, 2017 9:23:35 AM

OK, thanks

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 10:22 AM, Pierson, John C CIV OASN (EI&E), ODASN (Environment) <john.c.pierson@navy.mil> wrote:

Randel

Bill is going to be the DoD point of contact.

From: Bowman, Randal
Sent: Friday, June 02, 2017 5:08:38 PM
To: Pierson, John C CIV OASN (EI&E), ODASN (Environment)
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] Fwd: Review of National Monuments - DoD input

John, FYI. Suggested he get in contact with you as it is my understanding you are representing DOD on this.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Van Houten, William <william_vanhouten@ios.doi.gov>
<mailto:william_vanhouten@ios.doi.gov>>
Date: Fri, Jun 2, 2017 at 3:24 PM
Subject: Review of National Monuments - DoD input
To: randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov<mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov>

Sir:

I am on a long term detail to the ASLM Office from the Department of Defense as the DoD/DOI Liaison. In this capacity, I work issues of concern between the two Departments. I sit in BLM spaces at Main Interior so as to improve communication.

I have been working with the DoD to ensure that they consider your subject federal register notice, both from a military mission impact as well as possible environmental consequences. Any assistance you might be able to provide in terms of how we can frame responses to be most useful would be appreciated.. I would aim for the inputs to be specific as to impacts and locations within the monuments.

DoD is working on a consolidated response (versus individual comments coming in from multiple organizations, such as the Military Departments or individual installations). Consolidation will allow a review in the Office of the Secretary of Defense and a consistency in approach. Even with this approach, you may still get individual comments.