NORTH SLOPE SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING

PUBLIC MEETING

VOLUME I

North Slope Borough Assembly Chambers Utqiagvik, Alaska August 22, 2018 9:00 a.m.

COUNCIL MEMBERS:

Gordon Brower, Chairman Rosemary Ahtuangaruak Esther Hugo Wanda Kippi Fredrick Neakok Steve Oomittuk Robert Shears

Regional Council Coordinator - Eva Patton

Recorded and transcribed by:

Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC 135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2 Anchorage, AK 99501 907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

```
Page 2
                      PROCEEDINGS
 1
 2
 3
                 (Utqiagvik, Alaska - 8/22/2018)
 4
 5
                     (On record)
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: I'll call the meeting
8
     to order, I think. Is there any other Council members
     that we're expecting to be in person?
9
10
11
                     MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council.
12
     This is everyone that was able to travel to Utgiagvik
13
     today. Jerry Sikvayugak is going to join us by
     teleconference as well, but we can start the meeting
14
     and I'll text him to check in and see where he's at.
15
16
17
                     Thank you.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Before we call to
     order I'm going to ask one of us to do an invocation.
20
     I guess I'll do it.
21
2.2
23
                     MR. SHEARS: Yours are the best.
24
25
                     (Laughter)
26
27
                     (Invocation)
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: I'm going to call the
     meeting to order. It is 9:04 or 9:05 a.m. Madame
30
     Coordinator, if we can do the roll call. That would
31
     probably be the Secretary. If we can establish that, I
32
33
     think we would get underway.
34
35
                     MS. KIPPI: Good morning. Okay.
36
     Gordon Brower, Chair.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Wanda.
39
40
                     MS. KIPPI: Robert Shears.
41
42
                     MR. SHEARS: Good morning. I'm here.
43
44
                     MS. KIPPI: Wanda Kippi. Atqasuk here.
45
     Steve Oomittuk, Point Hope.
46
47
                     MR. OOMITTUK:
                                    Here.
48
49
                     MS. KIPPI: Good morning, Steve.
50
```

8/22/2018

```
Page 3
     Fredrick Neakok.
 2
 3
                     MR. NEAKOK: Good morning. Good
 4
     morning. I'm here.
 5
 6
                     MS. KIPPI: Jerry Sikvayugak, Anaktuvuk
 7
     Pass.
 8
9
                     (No response)
10
11
                     MS. KIPPI: Absent. Esther Hugo,
12
     Anaktuvuk Pass.
13
14
                     MS. HUGO: Good morning. I'm here.
15
16
                     MS. KIPPI: Lee Kayotuk, Kaktovik.
17
18
                     MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council.
19
     Lee Kayotuk is in the oil spill response training.
20
     may be able to join us at the end of the day if there's
     an opportunity for him. He's not able to call in
21
22
     today.
23
24
                     Thank you.
25
26
                     MS. KIPPI: Thank you.
27
28
                     Rosemary Ahtuangaruak, Nuigsut.
29
30
                     MS. AHTUANGARUAK: Present.
31
32
                     MS. KIPPI: Mr. Chair, we have a
33
     quorum.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Thank you, Madame
36
     Secretary. Now that we've established a quorum it's
37
     appropriate to do welcome and introductions.
     we'll start with those that are online. State your
38
39
     name and your affiliation and welcome.
40
41
                     MR. SUMMERS:
                                  Good morning. This is
42
     Clarence Summers with the National Park Service in the
43
     Anchorage office.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Good morning,
46
     Clarence.
47
48
                     MS. DAMBERG: Good morning.
49
     Carol Damberg with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in
50
```

```
Page 4
     the Anchorage office.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Carol.
 4
 5
                     MR. KUTCHIN: Good morning.
                                                  This is
 6
     Jobe Chakuchin. I'm with the National Park Service in
 7
     Anchorage at the Regional Office.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Joe.
10
11
                     MR. BURCH: Hello. This is Mark Burch
12
     with the Department of Fish and Game.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Mark.
15
16
                                  This is Vince Mathews
                     MR. MATHEWS:
     with Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.
17
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Mitch.
20
21
                     MR. MATHEWS: Good morning, Gordon.
22
23
                     MR. PERSON: Good morning. This is
24
     Brian Person with the North Slope Borough Department of
25
     Wildlife Management in the Barrow office. Morning,
26
     Gordon.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Morning, Brian.
29
     We're over here, you know.
30
31
                     (Laughter)
32
33
                     MR. PERSON: I know. I've got stuff
34
     I'm kind of working on on the side. Good morning.
35
36
                     MR. SCANLON: Brendan Scanlon,
37
     Department of Fish and Game, Fairbanks.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Was that Brendan or
40
     Brenton?
41
42
                     MR. SCANLON:
                                   Brendan Scanlon, fish
43
     biologist, Department of Fish and Game.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Good morning.
46
47
                     MR. SHARP: Good morning.
                                                This is Dan
48
     Sharp with Bureau of Land Management in Anchorage.
49
50
```

Page 5 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Dan. 1 2 3 MR. STONE: Good morning. This is Jarred Stone with the Office of Subsistence Management. 4 5 6 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning. 7 think I heard Jarred, right? 8 9 MR. STONE: Yes. 10 11 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. I think 12 that would be the folks that are online. I think Steve 13 is online and we have Rosemary online. Maybe those 14 that are present in person before we go to the Council's introductions. 15 16 17 MR. OKADA: Good morning. Marcy Okada, Subsistence Coordinator, Gates of the Arctic National 18 19 Park and Preserve. 20 21 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Morning, Marcy. 2.2 23 MR. LEAVITT: Joseph Leavitt, Native 24 Village of Barrow, Wildlife Director. 25 26 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Morning, Joe. 27 28 MR. VOSBURGH: Morning. Tim Vosburgh, Bureau of Land Management, Fairbanks office, the Arctic 29 30 District. 31 32 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Morning, Tim. 33 34 MS. HYER: Good morning. It's always 35 so good to be with the Barrow Regional Advisory 36 Council. My name is Karen Hyer and I'm the fisheries 37 biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management 38 and I'll be working with you with the FRMP today. 39 good to see you all. 40 41 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Morning, Karen. heart is with you because we love fishing around here. 42 43 44 MR. REAM: Good morning, Mr. Chair and 45 members of the Council. My name is Joshua Ream. My 46 Tlingit name is Xixch'i Toowoo. I'm your 47 anthropologist for the North Slope. I also serve the 48 Northwest Arctic and the Seward Peninsula. 49 50

```
Page 6
 1
                     Thank you.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Morning, Joshua.
 4
 5
                     MS. DAGGETT: Good morning. My name is
 6
     Carmen Daggett. I'm the area biologist for the North
 7
     Slope and my Inupiag name is Siganiug.
 8
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: You said Carmen?
9
10
11
                     MS. DAGGETT: Yes.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: And your Inupiag
14
     name?
15
16
                                   Siganiuq.
                     MS. DAGGETT:
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Siganiug, the
19
     sunshine?
20
21
                     MS. DAGGETT: Uh-huh (affirmative).
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Oh, okay.
24
25
                     (Laughter)
26
27
                     MR. PERRY: Good morning. My name is
28
     Phillip Perry.
                     I work with Fish and Game. I'm down in
29
     Bethel.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning,
     Phillip.
32
33
34
                     MS. PETRIVELLI: Good morning. I'm Pat
35
     Petrivelli with BIA from Anchorage.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Morning, Pat.
     we have one additional guest, Van Edwardson. Good
38
39
     morning.
40
41
                     MR. EDWARDSON: Good morning.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: With that I'm going
44
     to go to the Council. Maybe we'll start from our right
45
     and work towards our left.
46
47
                     MR. SHEARS: Good morning, Mr. Chair.
48
     Robert Shears representing Utqiagvik and Wainwright in
49
     the absence of having a Wainwright representative here.
50
```

I hope we can overcome that obstacle that's been in front of us for four years now, Eva.

 Yes, I understand. People are very busy in the villages. It's so difficult to put aside time to attend to functions such as these when real life, day-to-day concerns of food, shelter and transportation and energy costs confront everybody out there in the villages relating to subsistence. Having lived a subsistence lifestyle for many years in Wainwright, I understand there's probably no job more demanding on your pocketbook and your physical well-being than absolutely subsisting. There's a lot more to it than just hunting and harvesting.

Glad to be here. I just came back from a three-week vacation. My first day back in Utqiagvik, so I'm still a little rummy, but I'll try to focus on the message at hand today and try to help resolve whatever issues may confront us.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Bob.

MR. NEAKOK: Good morning. My name is Fredrick Neakok. Most everybody else knows me by Kunniaq. I'm new to the Regional Advisory Council. I'll be learning as we go. Good morning, everyone.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Inupiaq).

Introduce myself, Gordon Brower. I live in Barrow,
Utqiagvik, and I represent Barrow in my own capacity.
So with that I'll move it on to the next person.

MS. KIPPI: Good morning. My name is Wanda Kippi. I live in Atqasuk and I want to thank you all for being here today. Quyanaqpak.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Wanda.

MS. HUGO: Good morning. My name is Esther Hugo and I'm from Anaktuvuk Pass. I'm happy to be here regardless of all the travel. It's good to come back and see all the faces that I've been seeing a couple years. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Esther. So I'm going to go to the Council members online.

Page 8 1 Maybe Tikiqaq. 2 3 MR. OOMITTUK: Good morning. My name 4 is Steve Oomittuk from Point Hope. Tikigaq. Good to hear a lot of people online and a lot of different 5 agencies. Looking forward to this meeting and giving 6 7 my report for subsistence for this year. 8 9 Good morning to all. 10 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Quyanaq, Steve, and 11 12 good morning. We'll go to Nuigsut. 13 14 MS. AHTUANGARUAK: Good morning. 15 Rosemary from Nuigsut. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Somebody else just chimed in. If they could 18 Rosemary. 19 be recognized. 20 21 (No response) 22 23 CHAIRMAN BROWER: If not, I'm going to 24 go to item 5, review and adoption of the agenda. 25 What's the wish of the Council. 26 27 MR. SHEARS: Mr. Chair. Motion to 28 approve the agenda. 29 30 CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a motion on 31 the floor from Robert Shears to adopt the agenda. 32 33 MR. OOMITTUK: Second. 34 35 MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. do have.... 36 37 38 MR. OOMITTUK: Second from Point Hope. 39 40 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Seconded for 41 discussion. 42 MS. PATTON: Thank you, Steve. Mr. 43 44 Chair and Council. So we do have a couple updates on 45 the agenda. Then we would also like to talk strategy 46 in terms of getting action items done. We were just 47 recently informed of a North Slope Borough Assembly 48 meeting taking place tomorrow and we do have two 49 Council members that serve on the Assembly that are

required to be there.

I understand you and Bob may also have time that you get pulled for that meeting too, so we'd like to strategize so that we're able to accomplish all of the action items today in the event we may lose quorum tomorrow.

 Key things on the agenda is review and approve meeting minutes. We have Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program priority information needs in terms of the Council's feedback for what issues specific to subsistence fisheries research should be addressed in the region for that program and that is an action item for your recommendations.

We also have identify issues for the fiscal year 2018 annual report. So we'll have the report reply from the Board for the Council and that was just approved at the Board's work session, so you have that reply from last year's report in front of you and the Council may want time to review that tonight, but we'll identify new issues to bring before the Board.

Then identify meeting times for next year. One of the things too in terms of the agency reports is there is currently an EIS scoping period at this time for the Willow Project. If the Council wishes, the Council may make recommendations on that. It would require a formal recommendation from the Council to do so. If there was time for the BLM report if the Council wanted to provide any recommendations there, that would be an action item.

So those are the key things that we want to ensure that the Council is able to address today. Then just a couple updates on the agenda in terms of the detail of who we'll have presenting. So we do have one of the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program fisheries biologists Randy Brown, who has done a lot of the subsistence research on the North Slope. He'll be here in person tomorrow to present on final reports for some of his projects, both whitefish and Dolly Varden char.

Alaska Department of Fish and Game we have Carmen Daggett who is going to provide an update on both the Western Arctic and Teshekpuk Caribou Herds.

And we do have a report from Beth Lenart and Jason Caikoski will be on teleconference for Central Arctic and Porcupine Caribou Herd updates tomorrow.

Then we will have Kyle Joly from the National Park Service. There was a particular interest to get more information on the Park Service caribou research. He'll be on teleconference tomorrow morning. For Kyle, he had a time limit that he'd have to present by 1:00 o'clock in the afternoon.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, just jumping in with all that information. On the special Assembly meeting, I don't expect it to take more than an hour or two maybe. I'm not calling the meeting or anything, but on a special meeting I don't think it will be an all day thing. I'm eager to run and jump and hop and get right back to what I'm doing here. So I'll try to be here the remainder of the day.

 As far as the information that you provided on action items, I would like to have a suggestion in what order -- you just named off a whole bunch of stuff, but the ones that require a quorum to be present to carry out that action item, if we can propose a spot that might expedite those that need a quorum. I think doing that will give the Council members a better idea to amend the agenda.

 MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. Most of the action items actually fall in order on the agenda, so we would be taking them up first thing. It's always good to have Council member reports so the Council has that opportunity to check in with each other and the Chair's report. We would provide the 805(c) report, which is the Federal Subsistence Board's actions so the Council is aware of what new regulations are coming. Then we get into the action items in terms of the annual report topics and then the FRMP program.

So those action items all kind of follow right along with the current agenda. The only difference would be, because the Council has an opportunity to participate in a scoping comment period, if the Council wished to take action. The BLM update, if we could add that after we're through with item 10(c) on the agenda, identifying 2018 annual reports. If there's time to add that BLM agency report today, that would be the only agenda change.

```
Page 11
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: So a proposal to add
 2
     an item (e) BLM update on the Willow?
 3
 4
                     MS. PATTON: Correct. And there may be
 5
     other BLM updates too that if the Council wanted to
 6
     make formal recommendations we would need quorum for
 7
     that.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Madame
10
     Coordinator. What's the wish of the Council. There's
     a request to add an item (e) for a BLM update onto item
11
12
     10.
13
14
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Mo moved, Mr. Chair.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Motion on the floor
17
     to amend the agenda to add under 10 item (e) BLM update
     for Willow and other BLM activities.
18
19
20
                     MR. SHEARS: I second the amendment.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a second on
23
     the amendment to the agenda. Any discussion.
24
25
                     (No discussion)
26
27
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Question called for.
28
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: The question has been
29
30
     called for to amend the agenda to include item (e) for
31
     section 10 of the agenda. Signify by saying aye.
32
33
                     IN UNISON:
                                 Aye.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Back to the main
36
     motion. The amendment has passed.
37
38
                     MR. SHEARS: Call for the question.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: The question has been
41
     called for on the main motion to adopt the agenda as
42
     amended. Signify by saying aye.
43
44
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       The agenda is
47
     amended. We'll move to item 6, review and approve
48
     previous minutes.
49
50
```

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. You'll find your meeting minutes on Page 4 of your 2 meeting book and that is the February 14-15 winter 2018 3 4 Council meeting minutes. In addition, the Council did hold a follow up teleconference meeting on June 8th to 5 address further discussion and updates from BLM. 6 7 June 8th teleconference meeting minutes are also 8 inserted in your meeting book behind the winter meeting 9 minutes. 10 11 So key things are my name spellings and 12 Inupiaq place names. 13 14 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Madame 15 Coordinator. What's the wish of the Council. 16 17 MS. PATTON: And any other edits, 18 additions that the Council sees would need to be added 19 or changed. 20 21 Thank you. 2.2 23 MR. OOMITTUK: Make a motion to approve 24 the minutes. 25 26 CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a motion on 27 the floor to approve the minutes. 28 29 MR. SHEARS: Second 30 31 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Seconded for discussion. 32 33

33 34

MS. HUGO: Question.

35 36 37

CHAIRMAN BROWER: The question has been called for to approve the minutes. All those in favor signify by saying aye.

38 39 40

IN UNISON: Aye.

41 42

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed say

43 nay.

(No opposing votes)

45 46 47

CHAIRMAN BROWER: The ayes have it. The minutes are approved. Go down to item 7, Council member's report. We'll start off with those online.

49 50

We'll go to Tikigag.

MR. OOMITTUK: Good morning. Can everybody hear me okay?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Loud and clear.

 MR. OOMITTUK: All right. Good morning. It's been a good year so far. Things were kind of early. Spring came early and left early. The first time we had no ice by May. May 15 all the ice was gone, you know. We got seven whales. Not very many belugas there. They got some oogruks and seals. But the ice left so early, but the oogruks were still around even though the ice wasn't there. The north shore ice was gone. Usually our ice from the north sticks around for a long time, but it was gone before the ice on the south side.

We always celebrated the born of the ice. When we would catch a whale, we'd put the whale tail portion, the agirruk, in the ice cellars and cover it up with whale blubber. You know, the traditional ice covers that we have on the west side towards the point are eroding away. Erosion is getting pretty bad on the north side and taking away a lot of our traditional, you know, storage. Sigluaq, the ice cellars where we store the food. You know, how we age it to a certain taste that has been passed from generation to generation.

 You know, we celebrate the born of the ice, what they call qinu. When the first slush ice connects to the land, that's the born of the ice. We celebrate by pulling out the whale's tail which it's fermented, you know. If you catch over five whales, you have yours in October, but your first five whales you have to pull it out when the ice was born. It's a delicacy. Usually we have it in October and it lasts two years. This last year we had it right before Thanksgiving in November, middle part of November.

 It seems like it's getting later and later that the ice is forming. We've seen a lot of thin ice. This year we had a lot of thick ice on the south side. A lot of our winds are -- you know, the wind patterns, we noticed in the last few years we're getting a lot of east winds, southeast winds, south winds. The majority of our winds are coming from the

south or the east and not so much from the north. We finally got some north wind recently.

We got a lot of caribou coming later. You know, we can get the caribou first of July. We're seeing them in the end of July, which they're a little fatter. Some things are coming early and some things are getting late. Our caribou were pretty fat. Nice and fat caribou. A lot of bulls.

We got a lot of berries. A lot of salmonberries. A lot of fish. They've been catching a lot of salmon. Even some kings. A lot of char, trout. Fishing this year -- you know, we fish on the south side or the north side. They're coming through in June and going up north. They're not so big, but later on in July, August is usually when we get a lot of char, but we started getting them late July. Late August we usually get a lot of char coming back, but they were coming back already the first week of August. We changed the net around, you know, how we scoop them, how we fish in the ocean up on the land.

 We've seen a lot of change in patterns. When you grew up watching the weather and hunting with your parents or grandparents time after time from generation to generation and you see the changes. In the last 15, 20 years there's been a lot of changes. We've been watching, you know. Sometimes the pattern isn't always the same. You know, we've seen bigger snowflakes. I mean some of these snowflakes that we had were -- we've never seen such big snowflakes coming down sometimes.

The rain, when it pours it pours, you know. The hot and cold weather. The food source has been very good for us this year although it's a short time period. We have to get what we can when we can get it so we have our food supply for the winter.

42.

Sorry about that, my alarm went off. Is everybody still on, still on the air here? It sounds so quiet.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN BROWER: You're loud and clear} \\ \text{there, Steve.}$

MR. OOMITTUK: Okay. Yeah. Okay. Right now they're still fishing. They're still getting

chars and some of these chars are pretty good size. King salmon. They're getting kings and silvers. You name it. Our weather has been staying nice, but we're so grateful that our animals are still around. I seen a post of a sea lion near Barrow. We see killer whales around, but it seems like they're more steady. You know, they're in abundance. Gray whales going by.

There's a lot of concerns of all the activities in the ocean. The ships going by. Big ships, small ships, cruise ships and there's a lot of people concerned about the opening of the Northwest Passage and not knowing who's coming and going within our waters and what they're doing. We want to know what people are doing. You know, we have people that are adventurers that come through and stop in Point Hope. Only personal small boats or ships. A lot of people concerned with all the traffic coming through and not knowing who they are.

We feel that we need some sort of communication where we can know who's out in our ocean especially when we're subsistence hunting in the area. The ocean is our main source of food and we want to make sure ships out there are not polluting our ocean with their -- you know, we know they have to go to the bathroom somewhere and what they discard. You know, you always hear about ships, cruise ships dumping their black water or whatever they call that in the ocean.

Our ocean is so delicate. It's not very deep out there. Our ice is retreating further. But we just have a lot of concerns of all the traffic. You know, you don't see the Coast Guard out there very often. They started having helicopters out of Kotzebue. We would like to know the researches. You know, we see Fish and Game out there. They say, oh, that's a Fish and Game vessel. Maybe they're doing studies and seeing what kind of fish are in the area and maybe more up north. Like I said, the ocean is taking longer to freeze. The warmer water is coming up this way, you know.

Other than that we had a good year. We have a lot of concerns with our way of life and the changes to the climate. When you live up north all your life, you know, and when you've lived with your grandparents and hunted with them and what they talked about, you know, what they passed from generation to

135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

generation.

1 2 3

We've always lived the cycle of life where the animals that come to us, that fed us, clothed us, sheltered us. You know, they're our identity as a people and we're very concerned with our ocean, our way of life. We want to continue. We want our future generation. You know, we, as Inupiaq people of the north, have been there for thousands of years and we're not leaving anywhere, but the animals are the ones that made us survive.

 We want to make sure that they're protected and the research. We thank all the different agencies that are online and we hope that some of these concerns can be answered, especially with the vessels. We know that there's Fish and Game out there. We have no jurisdiction after three miles. You know, we're in Federal waters.

Like I've always said, the ocean is our garden, our way of life. We want to make sure animals are protected so we can continue our way of life.

Thank you.

That's my report from Point Hope.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Aarigaa. Quyanaq. Steve from Tikigaq always providing a deep insight in your area. Thank you very much.

With that I'm going to go to Nuiqsut for Nuiqsut's report. Rosemary.

MS. AHTUANARUAK: We've had a different year this year. Definitely colder summer, more normal. As we go out on the lands we definitely saw some concerns with the amount of birds that need successful nesting. There weren't as many hatching, walking about after they hatched. There weren't as many pairs with hatchlings. So we're worried about what that means into the future.

We've had to go out doing caribou hunting. We did have some come through, but it's also been very difficult with the changes around us. The animals don't stay in the areas like they used to and allow us the times of access. If you get out when

they're there, you might get a few, but if you don't get out while they're there, they move on. We're not getting them.

It was disappointing to hear during the anniversary that they had to request people to provide traditional foods. It wasn't as readily available as it has been and that's concerning when we're having events like this and people aren't willing to bring out as we need.

We've had one person that got a moose, but it wasn't the right harvest, so we've done quite a bit of vegetation in the local community to try to make sure that our hunters are hunting the right animal and making sure that we allow for the new generation.

Berries. Many hunters out, but not much success. We've got people that have done quite a bit of fishing this year. Some of the families have gone out that had various layers of success, but not as many as the others when we've had people go out on the main Coville. Not as many people got their aanaakliq. There were more salmon this year and then they pulled out before we got there when the aanaakliq coming in after the salmon. So I'm hoping that our numbers are okay, but we did not see as many fish with mold this year, so that was a good thing. We're hoping that things get good into the fall.

We haven't heard of anyone getting any oogruk this year. I'm hoping that changes. I know that we've gotten some shares from Barrow, which is helping our families for the summer that really depend on that oil for supplementing the food.

Thank you, everyone.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Aarigaa. Quyanaqpak, Rosemary. With that I'm going to go to Anaktuvuk Pass. Esther.

MS. HUGO: Good morning. It's been a wet and cold July and August. It's been peace and quiet without all the Super Cubs and sport hunters coming. That's a big relief for us. There's been a lot of bear sightings. They're pretty hungry. Just before I came there was a bear in the village again. We're very concerned because we've got children that

play out all night or most of the day. I mean you know. Those kids that just stay up and walk around the village in summertime.

There's been some caribou coming here and there finally and they're pretty healthy. Everybody was pretty happy that there was some coming a couple weeks ago from the area down south. Our young people are getting to go out there and hunt for our elders or for those that don't have ATVs to travel, which is very helpful. We just need to show them how to pilak properly and care for the meat and not waste. I don't think they waste. We just need to show them how to prepare -- I mean take the meat home.

As to the meetings, I was in the teleconference with the Board of Game and I was pretty upset when they rejected the WP18-57. I guess we should put it in wording in the future that it should just be in the Anaktuvuk Pass area, not including the Unit 24 or the 26B because we're in 26A.

I guess when I read it it included those areas and all I wanted was that area for our home. Nowhere else. Just that valley and caribou coming from the north. That's pretty hard to get it approved because all the hikers, hunters, skiers they all go through our land and it's very hard for us just to get what we want to protect our way of life and our main diet. There's something wrong with this picture definitely.

If there's hikers, sport hunters, skiers, if they can come to our land, why can't we just ask for something that we want just for a period of August and September when the caribou starts to migrate and we're waiting patiently, waiting for them.

That's my concern, yet I've been here for two years and this is my second reject on the proposal. There's got to be something else that needs to be done about this. Of course we've been waiting for 40 years to resolve this issue. Tutu is very important. As your whales and your sea mammals.

Of course we struggle. We're going to have to see what happens this fall, a couple weeks from now. We don't know if they're coming. Maybe the sport hunters are already in the Dalton Highway getting their

share and we're waiting patiently for our tutu to come so we can survive the winter. I think we need to revisit the proposal and just eliminate the 24A and the 26B and just concentrate on our unit, our village, our community.

Our berries are growing slow. Our uppiks and probably just ripening right now, but we have to travel a long ways north, which is okay. There's a lot of things going on at home. Like I mentioned about the proposal, we've been waiting for our cleanup for our EMS that burned down this new year. They said after Mother's Day and Mother's Day has come to us and it's still sitting. There's debris from the strong winds from the south, the particles. I'm going, gee, there's something really wrong with this too besides our subsistence.

We've got patience. We had patience through all our years, my grandfather's, waiting for caribou. Gosh, that's our way of life and our survival. There's something else that needs to be done otherwise -- maybe there's even where we can do some legal action finally. I mean we've been waiting so long.

Why don't we do something about it as a community. I discuss this lots with our mayor. It's a problem that was never solved for years and years and I'm getting older. How about if it's never resolved when I'm gone. What will happen to my children and my grandkids. What we asked for it was never given or done.

 I know we had a lot of support, Gordon, but that wasn't good enough. You've got to do better than that to help us out because it's going to be a long winter again. Otherwise we've been good.

So peaceful without the sport hunters or their Piper Cubs coming. That's a good feeling after so many years of that. Everything has been slow and cold and wet and we're still going to have patience for our caribou. We'll see what happens. Otherwise maybe in the future we need to do -- we just have to do it, legal action or something. We need to think of something.

Like I said, if all the hikers,

hunters, skiers, if they can walk through our lands, why aren't we being helped. I mean they have every right to come and our issues aren't even met. It's not even resolved.

That's all I got.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Esther. Thank you from Anaktuvuk Pass. Those are very important things to pass on and things to work on and recharge ourselves. Quyanaqpak.

We'll go to Atqasuk, Wanda.

MS. KIPPI: Thank you. Good morning. My name is Wanda Kippi. I represent Atqasuk. This year there was a bear in the area in June. It went off towards the west or southwest. Geese hunting was pretty good. Everybody did some good geese hunting. The caribou finally came in July. They started catching a few there end of July. There's a lot of caribou around now. They're coming. I see a couple herds. I've seen a lot of young bucks with the females. It was good to see them all over.

Our springtime was slow. It melted slowly and we still had some snow in July along the banks of the river all the way through end of July I saw the last snow on the bank of the river.

The fish in our area they're catching aanaakliq. They're catching more silvers. There's a lot of chum and seeing more of them, the chum and the silvers.

I saw something in October/November of last year, just end of October, outside my cabin. I was going to go turn my generator off in the dark. I carry a flashlight and flashing around the cabin and to my right there was eyes. Quite a ways I could see them. There were two glowing eyes. One was red and one was -- a set of red and a set of green. Like the regular green ones you see, like the fox and stuff. But they were -- it was no tutu, it was no fox. A set of red and a set of the glowing green.

That's the first I've ever seen it that

way. I went to the generator how many times to go turn it off. I keep having to go look again. I keep seeing them. It never moved. So I went back in the cabin. Turned the generator off, went back in the cabin and what the heck did I just see. They didn't move until -- they never did move. I even go look through the window. Couldn't see them. Couldn't see them it was too dark. That was interesting.

That's about all I have. Thank you from Atqasuk. Quyanaq.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Aarigaa, Wanda. Quyanaq. Interesting. Makes me think.

MS. KIPPI: Yeah, what did I see?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: People seeing things.

 MS. KIPPI: I was out there all by myself too the whole month. Practically the whole month of September -- or October. Went home November 1st from the cabin.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: That's very interesting. We'll go to Fredrick, Kunniaq, from Barrow.

MR. NEAKOK: Good morning. My name is Fredrick Neakok. I live here in the Barrow area. I've lived here most of my life.

This year, our family, we kind of had a late start this spring with our whaling season. With that we also had a late geese hunting season. We were able to stay out at our cabin a little bit longer than usual with the big snow year that we had. Along with the snow year, you know, a lot of the people who go egg hunting had to wait a little bit longer due to the snow melt.

Didn't get to do very much seal hunting. I haven't gone out. We've gotten a few caribou here. My son and his hunting buddy Bradley, they went out by boat. They were successful.

I went out another day with my dad. We got a few and on another day I went out with some of my other buddies and we got a handful of caribou just $10\,$

miles outside of Barrow.

With some of the traditional knowledge that I have, our caribou are on a 80 to 100 year cycle. Right now we have the caribou here. They're following their food source. Back in my dad's day when they traveled by dog team they'd have to go 80 to 100 miles up by dog team and be out for two weeks. So the caribou do follow their food. So we have them here now. Eventually they're going to go back down to the base of the Brooks Range to where their food has grown again.

I believe we've had a good year with people setting off their nets, getting some fish. Other people getting caribou within the area. I believe we're going to have a late fall possibly. Other than that I think the people in the Barrow area have done fairly well.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Aarigaa, Kunniaq. Quyanaq. It sounds like a pretty good report. With that I'm going to go to Bob Shears representing Ulguniq.

MR. SHEARS: Good morning. I completely agree with you, Fredrick. This has been kind of an unusual year for Utqiagvik late season. Wainwright however did not. Had a very normal season. It was right on schedule. The mosquito hatch was June 15. The ice broke out and people were able to go upriver by July 1st. They had very good seal hunting, very good waterfowl hunting season this spring.

Typically July is a pretty quiet time. Not a lot of subsistence activity. Much more than just setting some nets for salmon, which is not a big subsistence priority for the community, but they did fairly well with salmon, what netting they did do for salmon. The fishing season will really kick off here by Labor Day and continue for the next two months where they'll get cisco and grayling.

There's a lot of tutu upriver. They're moving pretty quick though for the middle of August. They usually broke it up and scattered and grazing slowly and not showing any migratory trend, but now

they're picking up speed. They're hitting the river hard to cross. They're gathering. It looks like it could be a non-typical early migration that's taking place down there with the Western Arctic Caribou Herd that they're seeing in the region.

The rainfall was typical. Nothing really unusual about the subsistence activities there this summer. What has been more effective on subsistence there is the general economy of the community.

As you're all aware, the nation is in a state of growth now. There's a lot of inflation going on. Low unemployment. Fuel prices are coming back up. In a community like Wainwright where there's not a good strong economy they're feeling that inflation. There's not a lot economic activity there. So subsistence activities have naturally become more localized and economized in action themselves.

People are struggling to maintain their equipment and make it go one more year. There's not a lot of capital procurement going on. Nobody is buying new snowmachines, new boats, new motors, new four-wheelers, new rifles. We're just trying to make what equipment we've got work and go another year and try to wait this recession out because activity will always resume again.

In that case, those people who can subsist down there are sharing heavily with others who can't. There's a lot of subsistence sharing activity going on. The community is strong, not complaining. Praise the Lord, everything is well.

That's all.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Bob. It's good to hear from all the communities and provide some of the feedback to some of the biologists online and those that need to garner the information to use in something else. It's always good to hear from our representatives.

With that I'm going to chime in as well as a resident of Barrow. You know, when I re-up my participation in the Subsistence Regional Advisory Council, there's a set of questions that we're always

asked about various different things. Where you're at, what do you do, subsistence, what level of subsistence, you know, other activities, sport hunting and other things that go on to be able to say these things and provide that feedback.

It's important for all of us and I think all of us had those same questions when we're interviewed by the Interior as a nominee to get on the Federal Subsistence Advisory Council and we have a responsibility to have a wide range of views and opinions and experiences to bring to the group.

So with that, it was important to hear what went on in July. The ICC was held in Barrow. I took particular interest to listen to some of the issues and concerns throughout the Inuit Nations from Greenland to Canada to Alaska to Russia. One of the things that I found very particularly interesting and I think we've experienced it quite a bit is food security issues.

Are the animals and the resources going to be able to provide and sustain for our communities well into the future and how can we intervene in a way that makes a difference for the rural communities that depend on food security like caribou and fish.

Some of the concerns and issues that I see that we've been trying to express for the longest time are the very issues of food security and balancing what seems to be an insurmountable task to have the Federal government and have the State government, who have constitutional requirements about management of fish and game.

 I know the State has an impasse that I think come to a head in 1990s that they wouldn't be able to have a rural subsistence priority and they manage the caribou and other resources for everybody. They manage the caribou and other resources saying if it's on State land, it belongs to all the people and we're going to manage it to its decline until nobody can have it.

That's what I see and that's what we've been trying to impress, that there needs to be a mechanism -- and there is, but the State really doesn't like to go into the other tier levels of management,

especially the concerns out of Anaktuvuk Pass where you could have time area closures from July to October. Just like the whale management system, you could have a period of closure because the caribou is anticipated and it is their vital resource, the dependence on that resource.

There's a preponderance of the evidence that the community is highly dependent on caribou. The unwillingness to recognize these things and to manage in a way that the village has to fend for themselves to me has always been alarming.

What I saw in ICC was to develop a food security system network. I don't know how it will work, but I think it was very interesting to listen to about developing mechanisms through the international arena, maybe through the U.N., about the need for the indigenous people's rights to subsist and have the food security on their lands. That, to me, was a very interesting thing to listen to those kind of things.

I think it's so important because during the Federal Board of Game meeting it almost came to a head just like how ICC is proposing to tackle food security issues because another tribal entity has made good headway to have game management at their fingertips through an MOU with the Interior Department I think through the Interior Secretary authorizing the creation of local management with a tribal entity somewhere in Alaska.

I think it was either Aetna or one of those tribal members, their tribal consortium, being able to secure the ability to manage resources for themselves outside of the State and Federal I believe so the local people have a preference and things like that. I'm not exactly sure how it works, but it was interesting to see that happen. It shed some light on probably what we need to look at in the future.

So those kinds of things I find particularly interesting and they should be expressed here because that is a serious issue. When we have biologists over the last 20 years looking at from a peak population to about less than 50 percent of the Western Arctic Herd, the Teshekpuk Herd and others. Granted there's some good information that we might be on an upward trend, but still the 50 percent mark

haven't been met. Those kind of issues that would be alarming for us to making sure the villages have a preference to these resources and recognize that. So those are some of the things that I thought was interesting.

The other thing about the guides. As a land manager myself, we are seeing the concerns from the communities and from other land managers and we've conducted enforcement actions to where now we're looking at where these people are putting their spike camps and we're finding that they're not telling the truth about where they go. In some cases, 75 miles off of their required area to be in and not disclosing where they are, including trespassing on other lands, like SRC lands and stuff like that.

 We're finding that to be the truth. So those kind of issues we're making good efforts for those guides and operators to monitor them much better. Where they're not supposed to be and where they should be. I would caution those land managers to look at this issue.

If you're strapped to be on the field, I think this enforcement is requiring those operators, when we find them to be in violation of things, oh, by the way, you've got to pay for our ticket for our inspectors to go to your base camp and then make your planes available to bring our inspectors to the spike camps where these activities are going to occur and get the true township range and sections locations so we can inspect before and after their activities. So I think those are very important things and it may be helping to decline the bothersome Piper Cubs and things like that that is routinely reported. Those are just some of the things that I know of that's going on.

Other things, and it might become an interesting thing for the Council if they want to provide any comments, because the Borough with the villages in their comprehensive planning efforts have expressed a need for some time the ability to connect to the hub, to the Haul Road, things like that, so the North Slope Borough has endeavored to look at these old studies at the request of the villages like Anaktuvuk, the city council passed a resolution about eight or ten years ago to see the feasibility of connecting Anaktuvuk to the Haul Road seasonally.

Barrow did the same thing about 10 years ago. The Native village and the city of Barrow at the time said we need to find out what's the feasibility of connecting Barrow to Prudhoe Bay to reduce the cost of living and things like that and provide a different way of accessing and connectivity for communities.

Atqasuk has expressed their wish to connect to Barrow seasonally as well. So all of these things I think are important to shed some light on because they're happening and permits are going to be requesting comments from BLM, from DNR on some of these activities because even the Borough has to go through a permitting process.

MS. AHTUANGARUAK: What part are we exactly on? I thought we were doing comments from the -- about our subsistence. Are we into a discussion about various changes to community planning? What topic are we discussing now?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: We're on Council member report. I'm not doing a Chair's. I'm being one of the guys here. So I just want to make sure you guys are well aware of these things. They're important. I thought because we had other activities at the Federal Board of Game and looking at other things that would not be reported to us. That Aetna one I thought was an important one because they got an MOU with the Interior Department to do game management independently of ADF&G and others, I think.

That's my understanding, so I think it's good information to bring forward as a Council member report. And like many others here, you know, I think hunting was good. I try to outfit my own kids and my son to do a lot of the hunting for me. Whaling to me was fantastic. There was a lot of opportunity. We didn't harvest one, but there were some times I said throw it, boy. You know, when it's right, it's right. It was interesting to have a good whaling season.

Trail cutting was pretty intense. We made a lot of trails. In fact, you could get lost on the trail system out there. There were so many different trails. Anyway, I think that was important.

My son has been going out with his

buddies, you know, and getting on other boats too. They sometimes work together and they've gotten some seals and we're blessed to have some caribou. I'm eager to do subsistence myself as well.

With that I'm going to conclude from the Council members' report with that. I apologize if I get long-winded. Sometimes I think it gets boring too, I think.

With that, Eva, Madame Coordinator, under the reports, so where are we?

 MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. Thank you. I think, Gordon, you kind of combined your Council member report and the Chair's report. Thank you for that. Actually what we have next on the agenda is the 805(c) report, which is the report from the Federal Subsistence Board to the Regional Advisory Council, so called the 805(c) report because it is in section VIII of ANILCA in terms of the Board's response to the Council. You'll find that on Page 18 of your meeting books.

That outlines the actions that the Board took, both the Board's actions that were in support of the Council's recommendations, adopting the Council's recommendations on wildlife proposals, and also where the Board different from the Council's recommendation and what was their justification in not adopting the Council's recommendation.

 As you'll recall, Gordon was there at the wildlife cycle. The Federal Subsistence Board meeting meets in the springtime, so they met in April. So this is the first opportunity the Council has met to hear a formal report of the Board's actions at that time.

I should highlight that typically the new wildlife regulations go into effect on July 1st. As we had reported to the Council, there's been a delay in the Federal Register notice. So while the Board did support some pretty key regulatory changes for the region, such as the permitting requirement for caribou, the Federal Register notice has not been published yet, so these new regulations are not yet in effect. So you'll notice you've got the old wildlife regulations in the regulations book, so those still remain in

effect until that Federal Register notice.

The Board just met recently for a work session and we did get an update from the special assistant to the Secretary. Those new regulations have to go to DC and then get approved and published there. So perhaps sometime in September. Just a notice for the Council that while the Board did pass these regulations they have not yet been published.

As Council member Esther Hugo had noted, the Federal Subsistence Board did not support the Council's proposal WP18-57. You'll find on Page 20 of your meeting book the summary, reasoning and justification for why the Federal Subsistence Board did not adopt that proposal.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. Any questions from the Council.

MS. PATTON: I'll note just one quick update. The Board listens very carefully to all the Regional Advisory Councils, so there's recommendations, for example, on the Western Arctic Caribou Herd. There's four different Regional Advisory Councils that are making recommendations on that herd. Many other recommendations were fairly unified across the range of the WACH, so there are some cases where the Board will adopt a proposal with an amendment to come to a compromise or consensus between all the Councils.

The Board typically on average approximately 90 percent of the Regional Advisory Council recommendations are adopted by the Board. In this particular wildlife regulatory cycle, 46 out of 52 proposals were adopted by the Board in concurrence with the Council recommendation.

Again, this Council did recommend supporting a wildlife registration permit for hunting caribou throughout Unit 26A and Unit 23 for the Council region here and that regulation is currently in effect under the State regulations. Again, that will change on the Federal side once the Federal Register notice hits.

So one of the things we wanted to talk with the Council about at this meeting is outreach and getting those communications out so people are aware of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1$

those regulatory changes. Again, I'll come back to the Council if there's any questions on the report from the Board.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any questions on the report and feedback from the Federal Subsistence Chair letter, Anthony Christianson.

(No comment)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I don't know the time when we were there. There was a lot of information provided to the Federal Subsistence Board. There was support, but the vote itself was off by one, I think. Just one vote and it would have overturned the issues around the closure. Maybe I agree with a lot of what Council woman from Anaktuvuk Pass Hugo said, if we approached this in a different way.

 Issues around Anaktuvuk and the availability of subsistence resources where they're normally found and not to impede those migration by other competing users in the way that it's managed because it's primarily State land north of Anaktuvuk Pass. It's just not guides in the area.

There are, according to other land managers such as Erik Kenning, SRC lands, a lot of personal aircraft that make their way up north and try to land and use these private airports that are owned by SRC and they continue to have to wave them off and fend them off from private property.

In one case there was a documentary by Savage Arms illegally being made on private lands that was recognized on TV as, hey, how did they get the wherewithal to go on Native private lands and do a hunt. It turned out it was an illegal activity going on. So there's a lot of things like that.

Anyway, I know there's a controlled use area to the north of Anaktuvuk Pass at either side. That should be looked at more. I thought we had made efforts to strengthen that to provide the same protections around the Noatak controlled use area to mirror those kind of restrictions.

It would be prudent probably to get an update on that controlled use area and what's going on

with it. I do know there's some guides to the north of that. We're right now checking into whether or not those are illegal camps or not because they might not be consistent with their permitting.

Any questions that might surface from the Council members.

MR. SHEARS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Mr. Shears.

 MR. SHEARS: In regards to the Board's report in regards to Wildlife Proposal 18-57, it was rejected considering the closure of non-Federally qualified users for caribou hunting in 26A, B and C. I'd like to draw the Advisory Council's attention to one sentence in the report that I think is very important to bear in mind when we craft regulations in the future.

On Page 20 of the Federal Subsistence Board 805(c) report, I'd like you to note the very last sentence on the page: Closing the Federal lands now will not produce a desired conservation effect and will likely increase user conflicts on the State lands that will remain open by shifting users to those lands.

Closing the Federal areas will not decrease hunting. It will just increase the hunting pressure on the State lands. This Council really has very little control over. I think that was probably the key fact why our proposal failed.

We cannot craft regulation without collaboration with the State of Alaska. A memorandum of agreement with the State of Alaska ADF&G is necessary for us to continue to be effective.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Bob. I think those are important points because there's always this roadblock that's set up it seems like when you have Federal land and State land. Our efforts in the past to align regulations so that one set of regulations do not change just because you hop over the line.

I thought we had a process to where we develop alignment and work, but sometimes I think it

has been counterproductive for us. In a way maybe more detrimental to have done that. I mean we were fearful of the State imposing much more harsher regulations that would be out of our control and we opted to try to develop more traditional regulations that we already accomplish.

Like we don't hunt the bulls during a certain period of time because they're in their rut season and develop those as conservation measures because we were already conserving those resources. We do our best and I think we put our best foot forward to try to do some of these things.

Anyway, I certainly agree with you that there needs to be a way of working better with the State, but at the same time we've got to be very careful because of the inadequacy of recognizing a rural subsistence priority exists at the State level.

MR. SHEARS: Mr. Chair. The Federal Subsistence Board has a level of membership that leans to the State's interest. I don't think the Federal Subsistence Board as a whole cumulatively represents --puts Federal lands first and foremost. Federal management first and foremost from what I've witnessed in observation of their performance. They've got an even balance of State interests along with Federal interests. Perhaps we should take a look at our representation there. We really lost strong leadership on the Federal Subsistence Board in recent years.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Bob. Any other questions from any other Council members.

MS. HUGO: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Esther from

 MS. HUGO: I just wanted to say that even though that land north of us belongs to the State of Alaska, they haven't set foot or haven't camped or hunted in those lands and they're making all these regulations. It's just in a piece of paper that they own that land. It's our land no matter what. That's where our grandparents roamed and it's just in a piece of paper that it belongs to the State.

 Anaktuvuk.

I wish -- you know. It's just sad, period. That's all. We're nuna. We roamed. They roamed around there, the whole area where the State say they owned it. That's our land. That's what I'm going to keep saying. That land belongs to us and we've got so many rules. Hey, you can't go beyond here.

I know we're in National Park Service, but that is ours. That belonged to us. Just a piece of paper it says State land. That's our home. That's where we hunted. That's where we will always be. Every time I go meeting they say State land, but to me, little ol' me, I'm going to say to all of you that that's my land, that's our land.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Esther. I think those are heart-filled comments.

MS. AHTUANGARUAK: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I just wanted to thank Esther. Nuiqsut, Rosemary.

 MS. AHTUANGARUAK: Yeah, I agree. I'm very concerned that we put our efforts forward to try to put in regulations that recognize our way of hunting and we get the support with our process and then we get the backlash from the State process. Our process is trying to protect our way of life on these lands and on these waters and trying to give us a hope that our future generations are going to continue.

We've had testimony from our members that have shared their concerns of our ability to continue to harvest with the changes in the increased conflicts that are happening on our lands and waters and we really have to push back on this. We can't just take it as an acceptance. This is an important discussion that went through multiple meetings and multiple communities, that garnished the support of trying to put additional protections into this area to give our future generations hope of harvesting.

We didn't take these discussions lightly. We knew that our families were already having hardships with harvesting with the changes in the

populations and that there were going to be families that did not have caribou on their table to eat. These are not recommendations that we put forward lightly. We worked very extensively over a long period of time to come up with these recommendations.

If this isn't an acceptable recommendation because of the conflict through the State side, then what are the recommendations that are going to be approved as being acceptable for us to have hope, to try to protect our way of life on these Federal designations, in this seat at the table where we are supposed to be recognizing and participating in this process?

Esther, I thank you very much for your efforts and concern. Bob, I appreciate you bringing up the highlight of that sentence. But it's our process that we have put forward, a long, lengthy discussion and engagement to try to give hopes of protecting our way of life.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Rosemary. I think it's important to express these concerns in these ways as stern as we can I would think because it's important that we represent a lot of people. represent the North Slope as a whole. We don't take this very lightly and we want to make sure -- you know, we're trying to do our best to make sure that the rural subsistence issues and the priorities on subsistence and harvesting, the uninterrupted harvesting, the conflicts, that we don't pass them on to future generations and develop these relationships with the managers to where it's important even for the State to make sure food security issues and subsistence are afforded the communities that depend on these resources.

I don't know what the State policies are, but North Slope Borough policies within the Borough itself says it's prohibited to impede or deflect subsistence resources from where they're normally found and expected to be harvested. Those are policies in this homeland by its own government and management schemes should reflect some of those things and digest those kind of policies.

If the Federal government has a rural subsistence priority, it should mesh very well with local policies that are here on the North Slope and the State ADF&G needs to look at these policies and develop management schemes that reflect those because State statutes says you must abide and conform to the local laws and ordinances. The zoning regulations that are in place. That's Alaska statutes.

DNR and DOT, ADF&G are all divisions of the State and we need to impress upon, work with the local governments to make sure your management scheme is not trampling over the policies that are intended to provide subsistence resources for all of our communities.

 Our policies are not just for State land, they're not just for Federal land, not just private lands, it's for all within the North Slope Borough unless the President or Interior Secretary or the Governor says don't abide by local laws and zoning ordinances, which I don't think they've said any of that. I just wanted to make sure I expressed that.

MR. NEAKOK: Mr. Chair, if I could.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Fredrick.

 MR. NEAKOK: Good morning. In my years of work in and out of Prudhoe, Deadhorse and being able to drive up and down the James Dalton Highway, I've seen many, many people with campers, trucks, trailers on every offshoot of the road. You know, they're out there camping, hunting. I know it's by bow and arrow only, but there's also those who either go to the east or to the west of the Brooks Range who fly in and out of Fairbanks, Coldfoot or wherever else. Maybe even out of Deadhorse.

 You know, they're not only just hunting the caribou. They're also hunting the Dall sheep, the bears and whatever big game that we have, you know, up and down the North Slope and in different areas along the Brooks Range as well.

 I don't know who manages these people, but as I was flying in yesterday I sat next to some very opinionated European Americans. You know, everybody has a title in this country. Native

Americans, Alaska Natives, African Americans. I tend to call these people European Americans and they were very opinionated.

I seen them with all their camp gear, but I did not see them carrying out any of their meat. They said they had a very successful hunt and all they had was their gear. They flew out on Alaska Airlines. I don't know what they do with their catch, but I've come across them over the years working in Deadhorse and driving up and down the Haul Road this time of the year.

Thank you.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Fredrick. That's part of the dilemma. We don't know to what level the enforcement that goes on on the Haul Road from those that are the authority. ADF&G and Fish and Wildlife Service, they have the primacy through Alaska Constitution to manage the wildlife and manage for the interests of the entire state. They don't manage it for the interest of rural residents.

But there is a simple case to be made. Even in times of plenty when the animals are plenty enough and they're managed liberally in an area where the resource by a preponderance of the evidence that the communities dependent on these resources that you could deplete those resources from where they're normally expected and found for subsistence activities. You can deplete them from that area by deflecting them and moving them out of the path of villages.

42.

I mean we've already been able to express that in a way to provide mitigation funds for a community such as Nuiqsut because the deflection issues and the policies that exist for the communities to be afforded these resources. Even when a liberal management scheme is in place, the ability of man themselves to deplete the resource from an area by deflection that has happened and we've acted on that locally before.

 The State needs to look at these things. Quite frankly, there should be in the State lands north of Anaktuvuk a tier hunt. What is it, a Tier 4, when it gets down to depletion status? That it should be for subsistence only in an area and do that

subsistence only from July to October until the village has been able to accomplish its subsistence activities for that resource and then it's back to open. Things like that, time area closures that need to be though about.

Any other questions on the report.

 MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. This is Point Hope here. There's a lot of good concerns. I think we need to find a better way. Like we said, you now, we need to know who's coming and going within the borough, you know, within our lands, the private lands, corporation lands, Federal lands, Alaska Fish and Game or Bureau of Land Management. What kind of regulations?

You know, these sport hunters that come in and then -- you know, like you stated earlier we've seen on TV where sport hunters are coming in and they go on private lands. How can we better regulate that? We're so spread out. You know, we're over 90,000 square miles. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, all they manage.

Do they know what kind of air traffic comes into the Borough especially during hunting season for caribou or moose or sheep? They just mentioned is there permits that these sport hunters have to get to fly into the area? Are they regulated, you know, air space? Are people monitoring the planes and everything in the area especially out of Fairbanks coming in? We know they watch the Haul Road, but I think we need to look more.

 You know, we only have, what, one office, the Fish and Game in Point Hope that regulates the whole North Slope. Who all monitors who comes and goes, you know, especially during the hunting season? You said deflecting the regular migration routes. And then that's why we closed out Unit 23 to sport hunters on Federal lands. You saw a big impact when the caribou started coming back into their normal migration routes.

For so many years these sport hunters or nonresidents would be flying in and be dropped off in the migration routes of the Western Arctic Caribou and we saw the deflection where they were routed other

ways and not going to their natural places where they originally migrate to. You know, always letting those first animals come through so that the migration can continue and the animals will keep coming.

Do we have people in the audience that is going to be answering all these concerns we have with sport hunters illegally hunting on private lands or Federal lands? You know, State agencies they've got more traffic into the State. How do they know? Can we see a report on actual numbers of nonresident people coming into State lands within the Borough?

During the hunting season whether it's moose, sheep, caribou do they have actual numbers? Do they know how many planes are coming and going if they're monitoring? The Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, do they know if anybody is going in there? How do they monitor all this? We're such a big area.

 You know, the Haul Road was supposed to be not used by -- you know, other people weren't supposed to go through there. Now you see the proposal for this Ambler Road and it's going to be only for the businesses and not personal use and that's what they said about the Haul Road and the hunting issues that came along. That road's been there 40 years now. We see so much traffic.

Is there going to be people to answer all these concerns that are being brought out that are with us today?

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, Eva.

MS. PATTON: Hi, Steve. Yes, we're going to have a number of agency reports tomorrow primarily that will be able to address some of those questions. We have updates from Gates of the Arctic National Park. Also Arctic National Wildlife Refuge and the caribou reports. So in the past we've had reports in terms of permits for caribou, you know, who's hunting where and then also permitted activities on Refuge lands and Park Service lands.

So we will have those updates tomorrow from agency reports. As you noted for Unit 23, that was $\frac{1}{2}$

the closure specific to that Squirrel River and Noatak area was passed by the Board as recommended by numerous Councils. New regulations have not gone into effect yet, but the Board just took action at their work session to ensure that there was essentially a delegation of authority letter for those land managers so they can enact those targeted closures at this time since it is the hunt season.

For this Council, as we move forward, I know the Council had expressed interest in kind of looking at that more targeted closure option, something that would be more specific to Anaktuvuk Pass and on the Federal lands. So the winter 2019 meeting will be the call for Federal subsistence wildlife proposals, so this Council can start working on those proposals.

At this time we have Josh Ream who is the anthropologist. He was the analyst on the Council's Proposal 57. Of course wildlife biologist Tom Evans and myself. So we have the opportunity in the interim between now and the next meeting to work on a more specific proposal that might target things a little more closely for Anaktuvuk Pass, similar to Noatak area and Unit 23.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you.

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. You know, on the Federal Subsistence Board is it the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Land Management, National Park Service, Bureau of Indian Affairs, these are the Federal Subsistence Board agencies. Are there names that come with those? Who all -- I know Gordon attends the Federal Subsistence Advisory Council.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Steve, when we go to the Federal Subsistence Board, I think it's the Chairs of the Regional Councils and if we got specific proposals on there, we're allowed to elaborate as much as you can and take up as much time as you can to advocate for your position. I certainly did that.

(Laughter)

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair. Thank you.....

MR. OOMITTUK: I know the proposal of Anaktuvuk Pass, you know, was missed by one vote. I just was wondering, you know, the Federal Subsistence Board, how many people does it consist of and where they're from on this Federal Subsistence and the ones that rejected 26A and 26B.

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. To respond to Steve's question about the membership of the Board. So it is all the Federal land management agencies. Essentially the director of each Federal land management agency. It is part of their duty to serve on the Federal Subsistence Board. So you're correct, it's BLM, Park Service, National Wildlife Refuge, Bureau of Indian Affairs as well. While we don't have the Forest Service up in this region, Forest Service also serves on the Council. There's some large areas of the state where that's the primary Federal land. Then we also have public members of the Council.

As we get into the Council's annual report reply, one of the questions the Council had to the Board was about the Secretarial review. That was one of the recommendations of a Secretarial review from a number of years back to have public membership serve on the Board that are rural subsistence residents themselves and can help inform the Federal Subsistence Board process through their direct knowledge.

42.

Currently the Chair of the Board is Anthony Christianson and he was a public member before. He is from Hydaburg and also a long-time tribal president and active in his community. He served as a public member and then was elected as the Chair when Tim Towarak retired. We have two other public members, Charlie Brower of Barrow, of Utqiagvik, and Rhonda Pitka, who was just nominated to serve in Tony's place when Tony took the chairmanship. So we have three rural representatives on the Board as well and they are all voting members and making recommendations on these proposals.

Thank you.

MS. AHTUANGARUAK: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Rosemary.

MS. AHTUANGARUAK: I think a big part of the discussion that's not being said here is that the priority of the decision to look at the conservation for who, when. We put this proposal in to try to protect our communities and our subsistence. That was the priority. But when you're assessing it at a State level where you're looking at multiple users, the sacrifice for our conservation is being a decision that's made. These are very concerning issues when we're trying to protect our regional subsistence harvest.

So I really have to push back on some of the discussion. I hope that we are able to come forward with something that the Board sees as being protective of conservation and it's a different point of view in decision-making criteria that is allowing the bypass of all efforts to protect our way of life.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Rosemary. I think we agree with that. Steve, I hope some of your questions were answered about the Federal Subsistence Board and I believe the agency reports that are coming up we'll be able to pummel them with some of these questions as well, I think.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yes, thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any other questions

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Having none, I would go to Item 8, public and tribal comments on non-agenda items. That's available each morning, public and/or tribal comments that are not on the agenda. You're welcome to come and make comments. I know we have some tribal representatives here. We've got Joe, Native Village of Barrow. You're welcome to address the Council on any other items you may have of concern that are non-agenda items. Joe Leavitt.

 MR. LEAVITT: Good morning to all the board members and welcome to Barrow again. The one big concern that came up recently was the sea lion coming up here. We want to make sure that it doesn't get our

 on the report.

oogruk sick or spread parasites. It's just maybe we got to have a precaution and see what the sea lions are going to do. That kind of came up as are the sea lions going to take over the North Slope.

Remember about 30 years ago the pilot whales started showing up and now they're over here. Were those the pilot whales or minke whales that we see all the time over here, pilot whales. Yeah, we're still seeing those. There's been just like a precaution, you know. Are the sea lions going to take over up here?

Everything seems to be moving further up with the ocean being so -- there's a lot of water and all the animals seem to be moving up. There was a concern about the sea lions and see what they're going to do. That's not the first time they've seen a sea lion up here.

There was a report -- somebody mentioned -- one of the elders mentioned that a sea lion was taken a long time ago and I don't even remember when that was. When people went to go get the sea lion they thought they could eat it, but it turned out it was still in the rut. When it first came out there was people concerned that animal was actually sick and they wanted to go kill it or get it out of misery, but turned out, according to Kay Sheffield, that animal had just gotten out of the rookery and that's why it was all scarred up and all beat up.

 I guess when they go into the rookery, the bulls they don't eat anything until they get out of the rookery and that's why it was in such bad shape. There was just some concerns about the seal lion that came up here. You know, something to watch. Maybe a lot more animals are going to do that.

Another big thing that kind of scares me is the big die-off of all the birds down in Bristol Bay. The big bird die-off down there. To me that's a real concern. Sooner or later maybe it's going to hit our area. Maybe we get more ice free. Even people down in Savoonga whaling they were ice free all year and I felt so sorry for those people there. They don't have any ice to do even their spring hunts.

So that's some of the changes that are

happening that we should take precaution of. That's the only two I can think of right now. Thank you.

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

9

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Joe, I had a question for you. I think those are important things to document. I saw some of the photographs. I didn't go and see the sea lion myself, but it's pretty impressive to see something like that and the change where it's trying to locate itself. It's kind of unusual for us to see something like that.

10 11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

The other thing I wanted to mention, you had made some time with me and discussed on your caribou hunt with some other guys you had seen these very abnormal tracks and I think it's important because other people have expressed the same thing now. I thought maybe you could be able to talk a little bit about that. I thought it was very interesting. I don't know what it was, but it's only up to speculation at this point. It's a hunter report though.

20 21 22

23

2425

26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

MR. LEAVITT: Okay. I'll just talk about it briefly. We were out maybe 10 days ago we were out on the rivers. When we were still on the berries, we decided to go check on some berries that were walking distance away and we actually saw a footprint that's about 16 inches long and the thing had three toes and its got a heel and I tried to make it look -- it just did two steps on the mud. I tried to look at it and see how the caribou could make that and there was no way the caribou could make that track. We don't know what it was, but something is roaming around out there. And one track I would have not thought anything about it, but there was two tracks. stride -- there was one animal and the stride for whatever it was walking around was three regular footsteps away. So you've got a left foot and a right foot and then the mud -- there's no more mud after that. We did take a picture of it. There's a picture going around Barrow. It turns out that there's a lot of stories that people never tell. You know, there are stories out there that people have seen something out there. I don't know what it was, but it's got three toes. Being a wolf hunter, you know, I used to be a wolf hunter and I used to track wolves even in the blowing snow. When I'm tracking wolves, I would know one shot that I'm getting close to the animals even on a little bit of blowing snow. I start seeing the claws on the wolf footprint and I know I'm getting closer.

So when I saw the footprint, when I could see the three toe prints in the front, I thought they were fairly fresh tracks. I don't know what it was. People want to call it whatever they want. I haven't given it a name yet, so whatever it is it's out there walking around. It turns out that people up here have seen something or seen the tracks. There's a lot of stories going around now that people have seen something out there. I don't know what it is, but it's out there roaming around.

8/22/2018

CHAIRMAN BROWER: It doesn't look like a bear track to me.

MR. LEAVITT: No, no, no. It's not a bear track. It's got no claws. It's got three toes in the front and the heel looks like a human heel. You know how your heel tapers back towards the end. Right there I could tell that's a heel and that animal looked like it was walking upright with that one stride, three human steps away. So that was something we saw this summer and it was actually photographed by -- one of the kids brought a Smartphone so they could play their game, but turns out he was smart enough to bring a camera.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Aarigaa. Quyanaq, Joe. I thought it was worth a mention amongst the other stuff like the sea lion and things like that. Aarigaa.

MR. LEAVITT: Okay. Thank you. Good morning.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any other public and tribal comments since we're on that, on non-agenda items. And it will be available each morning, tomorrow as well. So we heard from Native Village of Barrow wildlife director Joe Leavitt.

Also I'd just like to welcome Charlie Maasak Brower. He's one of the members on the Federal Subsistence Board. I'm glad he's able to join us this morning and he's welcome to provide any non-agenda comments as tribal or from the public before we move on.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any other public and

Page 45 tribal comments that are non-agenda related. 2 3 MR. NEAKOK: Mr. Chair, if I could. 4 5 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead there, 6 Kunniaq. 7 8 MR. NEAKOK: Good morning. Again, non-The last couple with all the geese that 9 agenda items. 10 have come up every fall I've noticed a golden eagle 11 following them and hunting them as well in the Barrow 12 area. I've seen it last year. I've seen it early this 13 spring and we'll probably see it again this fall, a 14 golden eagle. 15 16 Thank you. 17 18 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Fredrick. 19 I'm going to move down to item 9, old business. 20 21 MR. SHEARS: Mr. Chair. Could we have 22 a brief break? 23 24 CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a request for 25 a quick break. Do you want to take five, ten minutes? 26 Which one? Ten minutes? 27 28 MS. HUGO: Ten minutes. 29 30 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay, 10 minute 31 break. 32 33 (Off record) 34 35 (On record) 36 37 CHAIRMAN BROWER: We're back from our 38 break. On to item 9, old business. Under old business, review of FY2017 annual report reply from the 39 40 Federal Subsistence Board supplemental. Eva, if you 41 could elaborate a little bit. 42 43 MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. 44 This report reply from the Board was supplemental because they just met for their summer work session in 45 46 August and approved the reply. So this is in reply to 47 the Council's 2017 annual report. It is here on your 48 desk in front of you, this big packet, some enclosures 49 providing information that the Council had requested.

If you'll recall, in the Council's report from last year there were a number of topics of concern and interest. The reply is quite lengthy and detailed, so it would be nice to just briefly review today and if the Council has an opportunity, since you're just getting this now, it's also been emailed to the folks who have email and a hard copy in the mail.

So for the folks that are on teleconference with us you do have a hard copy coming to you in the mail. If you have email, that should be there for you as well. We can briefly review it today and if the Council has an opportunity to review it this evening, we can discuss any further feedback or questions that you have.

Again, the primary topics were Unit 23 working group, questions about that and deflection of caribou and user conflicts. Role of the Regional Advisory Council in making recommendations to the Board. Understanding customary and traditional hunting practices, community harvest and potential effects of registration permit requirement. The Anaktuvuk Pass caribou, interest in research and preventing deflection of the caribou and user conflicts. And documentation in recognition of the shifts that occur within subsistence resources. As well as concerns about the Umiat military waste site and contaminants on the Colville River. Loss of sea ice in the Beaufort and Chukchi Sea and importance to subsistence.

So some of these issues are topics that the Federal Subsistence Board can address directly and others are outside of their realm of authority, but the program and the Board works to get information to the Council and relay issues of concern to other agencies. There's a number of enclosures that provide more detailed information in terms of the Secretarial review process and also updates on the Umiat weigh site.

Again, since the Council is just getting this now, as the Council wishes, if you want to have time to review it, we can come back tomorrow and discuss any further points or questions that you have for the Board in the reply. It is quite detailed and a fair amount of information there.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. Looking at the reply it's a pretty extensive reply.

see a lot of the stuff that we had concerns on I think it might take some time to digest that. Maybe we can bring that up maybe tomorrow to comment more extensively on it if we wanted to, but I think that brief overview provides some information as to the reply.

I'm glad that the reply is there. I see some of our topics of concern. We take about six months or greater sometimes to get back and we've got to try to refresh and have pretty good recall of what we expressed that time and sometimes you've you've got to fish it out what we've actually expressed and wanted to know more about.

2.4

 Any more questions to Eva on the reply from the Federal Subsistence Board in the supplemental.

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. Just to point out too, the format is we include in the italics the Council member's report. So for each section the italic section is what you had submitted to the Board in terms of your request and then the Board's response follows. So I know these are long processes. We start on kind of a year-long cycle. So that will help refresh Council members in terms of your specifics of the request to the Board and then the Board's reply.

Again, because the reply is quite detailed and you're just getting this today since they just finalized this report, we can have further discussion tomorrow for any questions and additional input that the Council would like to provide.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. Any other discussions on old business.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none. Let's go down to Item 10, new business.

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair. This is

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Steve.

MR. OOMITTUK: I overlooked -- I know I

 Steve.

wasn't there for the last meeting. I know we discussed in the past, you know, this is old business, but formation of a North Slope Borough RAC wildlife working group. I know that the Council made a motion unanimously to form it so that we can work with particular Councils and have dialogue with the RAC regions in the range of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd so we could better understand each other's regions and discuss conservation measures across the range of the herd.

Is there any update on that? Eva, can you have the formation of the North Slope Borough RAC wildlife working group that was passed unanimously by this Council?

MS. PATTON: Yes. Thank you, Steve, and Mr. Chair and Council members. Thank you for bringing that up, Steve. Absolutely correct. This Council had voted to form a working group, which means the Council can meet informally off the record in between meetings to work on issues of interest to the Council and develop some strategies and bring that back to the Council for approval at the following meeting.

So this Council and other Councils within the range of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd were all very interested to have the opportunity to talk with each other in terms of strategizing on conservation approaches throughout the range of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd.

This winter meeting, so most Councils will be meeting February/March of 2019, so starting this fall and through the winter meeting will be the call for Federal subsistence wildlife regulatory proposals. We're on an every year cycle. We're going to be addressing fisheries at this fall meeting and then the call for wildlife proposals will be initiated again later this fall and Councils will again be making recommendations.

Most likely we are because most of the Councils are going to be meeting later this fall, we've been trying to figure out good times for all four Councils within the range of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd to meet by teleconference and discuss some of what you're seeing. Kind of ideas and recommendations for either regulatory proposal changes, strategies to work

together throughout the other regions.

So we haven't held a teleconference yet. Likely this fall would be the best opportunity. I know folks have been out busy with subsistence all summer long. What might be helpful is if you all have an idea of when might be good timing for you in your region and then we'll strategize with the other three Council working groups as well to try to come up with a date that would work for everybody for that first working group teleconference meeting.

2.4

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I think, Steve, you bring out some good points in refreshing us. I had forgotten about that myself. In developing the working group, does that mean that the entire Councils would be the working group and that working group becomes a number of probably 50 people or 40 people? If we're going to approach it that way or is it how we do the subsistence resource group where we elect members of the Councils to be on that group to discuss the issues that we're seeing before any regulatory response or proposals are proposed so that they have a better keen understanding of each other so when a proposal comes out that we're pretty much maybe on the same page or something?

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. Yeah, I think the idea that most of the Councils had was it would be an opportunity to share between regions because often the Council meetings are offset and so it's not possible for one Council to participate in another Council's meeting. We're wanting to have that opportunity to just have communications across the regions that are all working to try to address the Western Arctic Caribou Herd.

 So some Councils had specific Council members that were essentially interested and nominated to serve in that capacity, so in most cases it wasn't the entire Council, but if there's interest and the entire Council wants to participate, there's not any hard and fast rule. So it's flexible.

 I can't remember the total number of folks on the other Councils that were interested in participating. If you'd like to revisit that and see each Council member's availability and interest we could kind of just reset that at this point so that we

it's there.

Page 50

know who's interested and might be available a little later this fall to talk with the other Council groups.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva, on that. I think it might be -- you kind of caught me off guard on that one. Does anybody have an opinion on what Eva is talking about in trying to get a working group from the North Slope with other Council members within the range of the Western Arctic Herd just to talk about the issues?

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. We know

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair. Just to let you know I am on the Western Arctic Caribou Herd Working Group for Point Hope and we have a meeting coming up on December 12 and 13, I think. It meets annually, once a year, which all the regions from the whole state get together.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve. I don't know if we're talking about that working group or an alternative.

 MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. Correct. They are two different things. Steve is right. He serves on the Western Arctic Caribou Herd Working Group. What we're talking about here is just an informal working group of the Regional Advisory Councils as an opportunity to share information between the Councils.

As many of you remember, there was a lot of effort across each region and Council to come up with some regulatory recommendations that would help with the conservation efforts. So I think the idea was that if everybody is trying to work towards something similar, if there was an opportunity in advance of submitting regulatory proposals, to come up with strategies that might work across all the Council regions. So this would be specific to just the Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Council process.

Steve is correct, he serves on the Western Arctic Caribou Herd Working Group. Wanda, I believe, are you still an alternate? Uh-huh. Wanda

has been called in to participate in the working group meetings as well. Esther, are you still an alternate on the.....

MS. KIPPI: Uh-huh (affirmative).

MS. PATTON: So we have several Council members that participate in the long-standing formal caribou working group. What we're talking about is just an informal opportunity for the Councils.

 What would be helpful is if Council members here have an interest in our informal RAC working group to share information and strategies with the other RAC representatives as well if you're interested in that. It would be by teleconference.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I think just a matter of polling and setting a date. It's a lot of coordination. Is it Seward Pen area?

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. Correct. Seward Peninsula, Northwest Arctic and Western Interior Regional Advisory Council are all also within the range of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd and had participated in making regulatory recommendations for that herd.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. Yeah, I think a matter of polling and I think we could easily accomplish that by emails and things like that.

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. It would be helpful just to have -- if you want to just say, yeah, I'd be interested, that would be helpful for me to know and just a general timeframe when things are less crazy busy for folks.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I would be available and I'm interested probably around October 15 or 20. Give us time to do some of our subsistence activities.

MR. NEAKOK: Mr. Chair, if I could.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead,

MR. NEAKOK: The group that Steve and the other members are on, if I could, Mr. Oliver

 Fredrick.

Peetook is a part of that group and he represents the Barrow and the Wainwright area. Thank you.

MS. KIPPI: So do I. I'm the alternate

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. So are we on to -- ready to go onto new business?

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ AHTUANGARUAK: Eva, this is Rosemary. I'd also like to be on the working group. Thank you.

MS. PATTON: Great. Thank you,

15 Rosemary.

for him.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. All right. Are we ready for Item 10?

MR. OOMITTUK: I would too, thank you.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Steve.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. Item 10, new business. Item (a) is Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program priority information needs. That sounds like it would be Karen and Josh. You guys got the floor.

MS. HYER: Mr. Chair and Council members. I have an update on our fisheries regulatory cycle and then I have an update on our 2018 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program and then we're going to continue on with our 2020 priority information needs.

We're in the middle of our fisheries regulatory cycle and there are no proposals for the North Slope, so that's a pretty easy bullet point here. I just wanted to let you know that at the January 2019 meeting the Board will be discussing the proposals that have been submitted to OSM. That's just an update.

The next thing is in May we finalized our 2018 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Plan. We use the term plan to talk about the whole group of projects as they come together and as they're funded. There were three projects that were funded in this region. The first one that was funded that's directly in the North Slope's area is a study of arctic grayling on the Colville River as it relates to the Nuiqsut subsistence

fishery.

That was an issue that Rosemary brought up some time ago and the Council has discussed at length. That project is due to go into the water next summer, but they're still working on connecting with the villages and the local people. There was no data collection this summer. The first possible data collection will be next summer.

 The next thing that was funded was a genetic diversity of Dolly Varden on the Kobuk River and they actually did go to the Kobuk this year and collect samples. They're working on developing a baseline for the western Dolly Varden stocks and they've started the data analysis, so we'll have more to report with that later.

 Then the other one that was funded for this region was down in the Seward Peninsula. Unalakleet River chinook salmon assessment/escapement. That's been an ongoing project down there and they are continuing to collect information with the hope of developing some escapement goals for that river. That's been funded for the next four years.

The funding for these projects started in 2018 and the first possible field season for them was this summer and they will continue for four years. The Kobuk River project was only one year sample project, so that one will be wrapped up.

Before we start talking about 2020 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Plan, I just kind of want to go over the whole process because I know we have some new Council members. I just want to remind everybody that in 2020 we will request proposals for research and monitoring projects. That request will come out in January, early next year.

Those requests will be driven by what we develop today for this region, the priority information needs. Those needs inform investigators about what we consider the important projects and data gaps. So that's what we're going to work on today.

The NOFO, notice of funding opportunity, that will go out, proposals will be submitted and we have no control over the proposals

that are submitted. Those come from investigators. So we might have a really important issue, but no proposal will come in for that issue. So that's sometimes why priority information needs will stay on the list for a long period of time because we simply don't get good quality proposals.

So the proposals will come in and then they're reviewed by our Technical Review Committee and that committee reviews the proposals for their technical merit and they judge the proposals on that. They're the only body that sees the full proposal. Once they've evaluated the proposals on their technical and scientific merit, they come back out for comment by the Regional Advisory Councils and then the Interagency Staff Committee.

The Technical Review Committee, the Regional Advisory Councils and the Interagency Staff Committee is evaluated by the Board and the Board is the one that makes the final recommendation for funding. OSM is the final decision based on budgets and they're the ones that approve it because it comes out of OSM's budget.

So that's the general process and it's a very long process and it takes about two years and that's why we just finished one round and we're about ready to start another round.

So we're going to talk about the priority information needs for this region and this region has a working group that met and discussed some of the issues that they thought were relevant. In addition to that, we contacted fisheries managers and land managers in the area and asked them for their input. So we have a couple working documents and Josh and I are going to work together and work through these with you.

I just wanted to point out you have this document right here that starts out with the North Slope priority information needs and then you have another document, the draft priority information needs, and then you have a third, a map. So if you can bring those out of your pile, those are what we're going to be working with.

MS. DAMBERG: Hello, this is Carol

Damberg out in Anchorage. I was curious, are those documents available on the website?

 MS. HYER: No, Carol, they're not because they're draft documents that we're just using as the launching point from our working group discussion. So they're just for purposes of starting the discussion. They're not formal documents.

MS. DAMBERG: Okay. Thank you.

MS. HYER: So this first document here has a list of what has actually been funded in the region historically and that is actually, Carol, on our website. But those are just the projects that are funded currently or have been funded in the past. This is only the North Slope area. You'll see the last one is the 18.100. That was funded during the 2018 cycle.

This map here is two-sided. The first side is just the past projects, so it gives you a little bit of a spatial idea about where projects have been funded. So you can see where the areas of concentration were and kind of where the gap areas are. The other side is the recent projects that are ongoing.

So some projects have come to a close and then some projects were either funded in 2016 so those are ongoing. In addition, it would be the new 2018 project. This is just to kind of provide you with a spatial idea of where we've been working and where we've done our research.

If you look here at this document that says draft priority information needs, this is where we're going to start the discussion. These are what the working group has identified as kind of a starting point. I'm going to go ahead and go through this list very quickly and then we can go back and talk about them one at a time.

As I said before, this list is what will drive the investigators. One thing to consider is how much you want on the list and what is -- I know these are all important, but what is more timely. If there are things here that are important that maybe aren't very timely, you might want to remove them from the list so you produce a list that really does focus the investigators or if you consider them all of equal

importance, then you could consider leaving them all on.

8/22/2018

I just want to reiterate when we put this list out, we can't guarantee who is going to develop a project for it. So the longer the list, the investigators have more opportunity of things to choose from. So it could be there's something that's highly timely that they may not choose because the list is so long and they may choose something else.

So I'll go ahead and read through these and then we can start our discussion. The first one is changes in fish species composition, abundance and harvest patterns in Point Hope, Alaska.

The second one is document the nature and the frequency of unusual and concerning environmental phenomenon concerning fisheries such as Saprolegnia fungus on broad whitefish in the Colville River drainage.

2.4

The third one is understanding fishery accessibility in Anaktuvuk Pass. Includes distance to fisheries resources, climate consideration, regulatory changes and available knowledge and access to a variety of gear types.

The fourth one is description of temporal changes in subsistence harvest patterns and resource availability of broad whitefish in Lake -- Gordon, would you pronounce that for me.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Tusikvoak.

MS. HYER: Thank you. The next one is identify major spawning locations of broad whitefish and humpback whitefish in the central and western North Slope.

The next one is determine the age and structure and primary ages at first migration to sea of mature Dolly Varden in the eastern North Slope Rivers.

The last one is develop a long-term, cost-effective approach to evaluate sustainability of subsistence harvest of lake trout in the Lakes Schrader and Peters.

So this is a draft list to just start the discussion. I would defer to the Chairman and the Council. If you want to go one by one or you just want to open the floor or if you want to ask us questions or how you want to proceed.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. Thank you, Karen. I think some of those lists I do recall them and we talked about them one time or another. I'm going to defer to the Council. If they just want to pull something out that strikes them. It's an important thing now, right, as to prioritize in what order? I don't know if we need to put it in order what important fisheries studies that we should promote from the Council level. If I'm mistaken, let me know.

Josh.

MR. REAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, this is Joshua Ream. I just have a few points that I wanted to make sure that you keep in mind. Karen already mentioned them. One is the priority. So we're not really ranking these, but we do want to limit the list to those that are most important to make sure that we get applications that address those needs specifically.

 If you look at your maps, you'll see a small map of Alaska down in the corner. The black line in the north represents the northern region for the purposes of the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. So your priorities that you develop here will be combined with the priorities that are established for the Northwest Arctic Council as well as the Seward Peninsula Council. So there will be quite a few once they get combined with those. So that's another reason that you want to tailor exactly what you want to see done.

That doesn't mean that anything you remove from the list or come up with now isn't important. It can be addressed in the future perhaps or by another funding mechanism maybe. It could also go into your annual report as a concern that you have. So those are things to keep in mind.

When you look at these maps as well, you'll notice the blue crosshatching and that represents Federal public lands. So I would just keep

in mind that there needs to be a nexus to Federal public lands where these research projects take place.

There's also a few topics that aren't funded through the FRMP program. Those include habitat protection, mitigation, restoration and enhancement, hatchery propagation, restoration, enhancement and supplementation and contaminant assessment, evaluation and monitoring. So perhaps more than a few. We can work through those with you.

We always hope that when these topics are important that our researchers can find partners that do study those types of things and can do this type of work together so they do address issues that are outside of the purview of the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program.

2.4

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Josh, I've got a question already. Looking at the little Alaska map that goes Seward Pen, Northwest Arctic and the North Slope. So when we prioritize just the ones we feel are the most important to the Slope among the many other topics there or even new ones and we rank them, they're still going to compete with the Seward Pen's priorities, the Northwest Arctic priorities and so we should be real frugal as to which ones are very important or even combining some.

 I could imagine the Colville River fish mold Saprolegnia issues and combining the issues around the other whitefish issues, like at Tusikvouk, that fishermen have said the catch rates have drastically declined in the Tusikvouk Lake and what's wrong with that fish over there, but they're the same species. It seems to me that it might be an opportunity to put things together so that they rank higher. I'm not sure if that makes sense or anything.

Also some of the concerns about why the Ikpikpuk River fish don't have the mold and why the Colville River fish have the mold or it's subsiding. Maybe it's a habitat study. The changes in the Colville seems to me there's a real big broad whitefish spawning area in the Ikpikpuk River area that is not having the same issues maybe. Evaluating that habitat against what's going on in the Colville would become part of that study. I don't know. I'm trying to put 2 and 2 together and trying to rank some of these things.

On top of that, the recent finds in the Colville River delta in the Smith Bay area leads me to think we might develop these issues in the future if the level of oil and gas infrastructure if there's some connection to be made there, it would be the right time to do that study before that type of infrastructure is seen in a very productive whitefish area that has currently no symptoms. Just thinking out of the box.

At the same time it's 12 noon. A lot of us have like three dogs and a cat and stuff that might be hungry that we need to go feed. Anyway, what's the wish of the Council. Do you guys want to take a lunch break?

MR. SHEARS: Could we just pause this discussion on this subject and then come back to Karen when we reconvene after lunch. Let me think about this.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Karen.

MS. HYER: Can I just say something really quick. I'm not against breaking for lunch, but I want you to think about this at lunch. We can easily combine things and I think that's a good idea and we can put something general and then we can put specifics, like for example, and we can list areas you're concerned with.

One thing I do when people contact me about this list is I refer them to the transcripts. I think that is one of the best records of what this Council wants. So if there are issues, please, when we discuss them, make sure you bring them up so they're on the record. So when people call me and they ask me questions about this, I can say go back to the transcript and start there and then contact these people after that.

So when Gordon makes comments like he just did, I find that very valuable to pass on to the investigators because some of them will see it generally and they'll call me and ask me for information because Josh and I are sometimes the first point of contact. But when we can capture your words on the transcripts that's extremely valuable because then I am not paraphrasing for you.

Anyway, I would encourage you as we discuss this this afternoon if you have concerns, please put them on the record because I point people to it. That's all I had. You can think about that while you feed your three cats and a dog. I'm sorry, that was three dogs and a cat, right?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: That's correct, but our cat acts like a dog.

(Laughter)

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair. This is Point Hope. I agree with Gordon, you know, and these projects are -- you know, when we prioritize things and there's things in the same area, we could combine them at the same time. Everything is important to us. It's in a different region for me, but I would agree with whatever the people in that area decide what priorities best fit their area.

I know we've still got another half day until 5:00 and we've got tomorrow. Are we going to break for lunch or was that a suggestion? We still have a lot of topics to go through and they're very important.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve.

MR. OOMITTUK: I agree for a lunch break and come back at 1:00.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. I think we're about ready to break for lunch. Josh, did you want to address just before we go to lunch something?

MR. REAM: Yes, Mr. Chair. Just real quickly. While you're thinking about these items over lunch, think about balancing your specificity on the projects. One of the problems that we see when we get too specific is we don't end up having applicants for those topics, but you want to be specific enough that the work is being done in your region.

So if you just said life history of Grayling, they might apply to do the work in the whole northern region, it could be on the Seward Peninsula, it could be in Northwest Arctic. So if you wanted it near Point Hope or Point Lay, you might say western

portion of the North Slope. So just keep those things in mind.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Or productive issues of broad whitefish in Tusikvoak Lake or something like that. All right. We'll take a recess for lunch and reconvene at 1:10. We're in recess.

(Off record)

(On record)

2.4

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. I think we should go ahead and reconvene. It's nearly 1:20. I'm going to go ahead and call the meeting back to order. It's 1:20 in the afternoon. We're going to continue with the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program priority information needs. One of the things we have been tasked to do is to prioritize the draft priority information needs. Take a look at that. If there's anything new to add to that and we need to advise Karen and Joshua what are priorities for Fisheries Resource Monitoring should be for funding.

Is that pretty close to what we need to

do?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So what's the wish of the Council? We've got a draft priority information needs. I'm just going to go down the bullets here.

(No comments)

Changes in fish species composition, abundance and harvest patterns in Point Hope, Alaska. See how we want to rank that. Another bullet is document the nature and the frequency of unusual and concerning environmental phenomenon concerning fisheries such as Saprolegnia fungus on broad whitefish in the Colville River drainage.

Another bullet understanding fishery accessibility in Anaktuvuk Pass. Includes distance to fisheries resources, climatic considerations, regulatory changes and available knowledge and access to a variety of gear types. That's one other bullet.

Another bullet is description of temporal changes in subsistence harvest patterns and resource availability of broad whitefish in Lake Tusikvoak.

MR. SHEARS: Where is that?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Tusikvoak?

MR. SHEARS: Yeah.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's that lake right next to Sungovoak. It's on Federal land. The concern was raised over a period of several years that I've heard, including myself, the catch rates have drastically declined. The other concern that I've seen over time is some fishermen have had nets stuck in the ice that they couldn't take off and I don't know if that's a continuing problem or even killing fish if those nets were never recovered and I don't know how many of those types have happened. I know we try to take nets out when they get stuck in the ice as winter sets in. That's one of those things at Tusikvoak Lake.

 Another bullet is identify major spawning locations of broad whitefish and humpback whitefish in the central and western North Slope. I think this was based on concerns about broad whitefish is a major subsistence resource on the Slope. And to identify other areas such as Sagavanirktok. There's some indications that Sagavanirktok River is a major spawning area for broad whitefish and where the origins of those fish are, whether they're connected to other fisheries that have broad whitefish.

So being that some of the broad whitefish areas are in distress, that this would be a way to identify continued subsistence uses. There's some subsistence history about the use of Sagavanirktok before the oil industry took over that area and made it into an industrial river. I like to say that because that is one of the most industrialized rivers on the Slope is Sagavanirktok.

Another bullet is.....

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair, I have a

48 question.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, I'm just wondering, you know, I know the Borough has been doing some studies especially with the fish in different areas. Craig George with Wildlife, North Slope Borough, was just up here last month. I was just told there was like 15 different species in our lagoon. I don't know if these agencies that are doing some of these studies are working with the Borough so that they don't overlap.

The Borough is also doing studies on what kind of species are in that area. Are these agencies working -- or Fish and Game working with the Borough to see what -- you know, because you're asking us to prioritize these programs and some might already be ongoing or already being studied by the Borough. I don't know how closely they're working with the Borough.

2.4

 I know they're going to the North Slope Borough Advisory Council, but have they worked -- because I know North Slope Borough people are in the audience, Wildlife. I don't know if they can elaborate on what's going on on the Slope already with different species in the area.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve. I don't know if Craig George is on or Robert or Brian, but maybe Karen or josh you can elaborate a little bit whether or not this prioritizing for us, what are the concerns from the RAC for one thing, and if there are other ongoing studies.

 Because a study is born and the ability to create synergies with somebody that's already doing something in that neighborhood so that there is no duplication of effort and that there is -- maybe it promotes collaboration and additional information that may have been garnered by other agencies like the Borough. Maybe that is part of the concern here is the overlap and what our prioritizing would do here.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MS.\ HYER}\colon \operatorname{Mr.\ Chairman}$ and Council members. When we put out the notice of funding

opportunity, that is our call. We say we have money and we want proposals, we state very specifically in there that we don't fund proposals that overlap. So if the work is being done by somebody else, then it needs to be finished by somebody else.

We do though create an opportunity for people to partner with each other and those are some of our strongest proposals when they either comment as a partnership or they say we're doing this component and they're doing that component and this is how the information with dovetail in the end.

So we don't encourage overlap, but we do encourage partnerships and that opportunity is out there and we do have investigators who will bring money from outside resources and will make their projects much stronger. So I wanted to point that out.

The other thing I wanted to point out as you go through that is there are two types of resource projects we do fund. One is called harvest monitoring and traditional ecological knowledge and that is the one that addresses traditional ecological knowledge, subsistence fisheries including quantitative and qualitative harvests and description of assessments and use patterns and things like that.

Then in addition we have our stock status and trends, which is more enumeration type projects. So often one organization might do one part, like do the harvest monitoring, the TMK, and somebody else might do the stock status and trends and they'll dovetail those kinds of projects together too and they're often very competitive because they are able to cover a wider scope in the research.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I hope that helps, Steve. I think trying to prioritize or bring to light studies that might be important to each region is important though.

MR. OOMITTUK: You know, we're such a wide area from the east coast to the west coast and prioritizing what is more important, we feel that everything is important. We all want to prioritize what's in our area, but what is the most urgency. How should we prioritize these things when the people on the

east side of the Borough, you know, it's important for them too to find out what's going on and also on the west side.

It's hard to prioritize when -- you know, yeah, we want to see these projects filled, but I live way over on the west side and I feel everything is important here too. I know that people on the east side it's a priority. Putting this in front of us and we all feel it's all important and we want these projects to go forward and the funds are there. What is the most urgency of these projects that are coming before us?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve. I think it's important to -- let's try and do an example. Wanting to know what the migration habits are of a certain species versus a perceived threat to a major subsistence resource, a noticeable decline in harvesting a major subsistence resource, I think that would rank a little bit higher than wanting to know where they're going to spawn.

If you look at trying to rank some of these things if there are noticeable either distresses or sicknesses that are appearing in a major resource, that it might be localized but it's also consumed widely and traded widely, it might be an important thing for all of the communities because it's traded widely and shared widely.

So that's, to me, I think some little bit of insight as to my own opinion that I would try to rank what might be something that we want to compete with Seward Peninsula, Northwest Arctic and the North Slope to try to give it more weight in a myriad of other requests for Fisheries Resource Monitoring.

I hope that helps.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ OOMITTUK: Yes, thank you. I would go along with that.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. I think there was one last bullet I wanted to make sure we're looking at the same sheet of paper. Determine the age, structure and primary ages at first migration to sea of mature Dolly Varden in the eastern North Slope Rivers.

The last bullet is develop a long-term, cost-effective approach to evaluate sustainability of subsistence harvest of lake trout in the Lakes Schrader and Peters.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

2

3

Anyway, I think those are some of the things on top of -- additional ideas and concerns that may surface that we may not even know of. there's something extraordinary that some communities have expressed a big need that needs to be immediately heard for Fisheries Resource Monitoring.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

Also the ability for us to strengthen one of the proposals by maybe combining two of maybe -because it's in the same species category and it has a concern in several areas on the Slope and it ranks high because it's a widely distributed subsistence resource either through trading and harvesting.

18 19 20

21 22

23

2.4 25

So I kind of think in my opinion that we should do something about broad whitefish. There's mold issues, there's drastic declines in other lakes that are being reported by subsistence users and then the industrial development that we might see in the future in a known area of major subsistence broad whitefish spawning areas in the Ikpikpuk.

26 27 28

29

30

31

32

33

While there is no infrastructure it might be a case study in itself because that would just about set the baseline of information where there is no current known problems to exist for the spawning area and we don't see the fish mold in that area currently. It might be a great baseline information gathering to study for future impacts.

34 35 36

37

38

39

So those are the three I thought we could think about and maybe put those three together as a concern warranting -- meriting more attention and ranking with the other three areas to compete for money.

40 41 42

Anyway, I would like to see what the Council wants to do and provide feedback to the fisheries monitoring folks. Council.

44 45 46

43

MR. SHEARS: Mr. Chair.

47 48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Mr. Shears. GO

MR. SHEARS: Joshua, Karen, good afternoon. As always, one of my favorite subjects with you. It's so good to have you here before us today on this subject.

How can I explain my concern concisely. Probably not. I'm going to have to provide some depth of explanation to share my concern about the fishery of the Inaru River. The Inaru hasn't seen a lot of subsistence fishing in recent years. Wanda perhaps you could confirm with me, but back in the '50s and the '60s before people were really becoming habitated in the community in Barrow, you'll see that there were a lot of subsistence camps on the Inaru River.

 The Inaru River is a great place where you can thrive if you can go in there by dog team before breakup and raise your family there until after freeze-up. It provides waterfowl in the spring, fish in the summer and caribou in the fall and you can come back to Barrow a rich family and spend the winter here bringing your food in. But it's very difficult to access in the summer even today.

One of the key features about the Inaru is its broad whitefish population in the summertime about early August. Now it doesn't help if you can get 12 gunnysacks full of whitefish in early August if they're going to rot on you before you can get them home, so nobody fishes it.

My concern relates to my recent travels to Point Lay. I've been flying from Barrow to Point Lay and back quite often now. It's a subject of my work. That flight path takes you right over the headwater lakes of the Inaru River. Like Tuvak and Itinik are deep water lakes identified in NPR-A environmental impact assessment studies as being potential sources for ice roads. Those are real big lakes. They're huge. They have a large surface area that oxygenates that water to its deep depths, which allows an overwinter population of fish to stay there.

I've fished it in the winter, both lakes, with jig without success. People had told me that the people who fish those lakes in the winter typically do it with ice nets, but I've never had the opportunity to stay out there and reside on those nets

in the winter and monitor nets, so I don't understand their population of fish.

There was a well-studied fishery there a long time ago and I don't think any of us have really given it any recent attention. My concern now is that when I'm flying over these lakes I'm seeing what I'm seeing typical on a lot of lakes of the North Slope is that with greater permafrost thawing depths the drainages from these lakes are getting deeper and these lakes are draining. I've seen a dramatic reduction in surface area on Lake Itinik specifically.

I'm more concerned about Tuvak Lake, which is a fairly high elevation lake and a very deep lake with steep sides. It wouldn't really indicate a surface -- you know, that it was getting shallower if it was draining more until all of a sudden one day you fly over it and it's empty, but it has the potential to empty.

The fishery there, the concern there is about the whitefish population, how well is it doing, and that's more of a question mark more than it is a concern. Nobody, not even us, have paid close attention to it in recent decades and it's very close to home. It may be indicative of a broader, more systemic problem if there is a problem there. It should be pretty easy to get in there by helicopter in the summer and do a fishery population study and be able to compare that against old baseline data from the '40s and '50s when it was well studied.

So that's just an idea for a proposal. I don't know if anybody here shares it with me, but it's kind of on my mind and something that's been eating at me lately.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Bob. I think that's some of the types of concerns that we've kind of heard from local hunters about Tusikvouk Lake because it does have some outlet and very small little streams that come out of it. I can't speculate to try to say what exactly the underlying cause of some of these things are, but I do know the concerns come from the subsistence users themselves. I would share that concern about -- you know, because broad whitefish is a widely consumed fish and it's been a source of trading like Arctic cisco. Interesting.

Page 69 Any other feedback. 1 2 MR. NEAKOK: Mr. Chair. 3 4 5 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Fredrick. 6 7 MR. NEAKOK: Good afternoon. Neakok. A little bit of a history. My grandparents 8 9 once.... 10 11 MS. AHTUANGARUAK: Mr. Chair. This is 12 Rosemary. 13 14 CHAIRMAN BROWER: We have Fredrick 15 responding on something real quick, then we'll go to 16 somebody online that's requesting. 17 MR. NEAKOK: Good afternoon. 18 19 bit of a history lesson. Once the military came up 20 here they brought many track vehicles. My grandfather was fortunate enough to have a large sled. In the 21 22 spring they would take many families up with their skin boats, drop them off along the way out along the 23 Kuahroo, Kugaaruk, Topagoruk Rivers and those people 24 25 who stayed up all year round, they'd hunt, they'd fish 26 at their camps, a lot of these camps had ice cellars or 27 temporary ice cellars that they used to preserve their 28 catch throughout the summer. As they'd come back in 29 the fall for the kids to come back to school, they'd 30 come back with a boat load of goodies. 31 32 For an example we have a camp over 33 there at Sungovoak at the south end of the lake. We 34 used to be able to go by boat along the Kuahroo, the Inaru, and make it all the way up to Sungovoak through 35 the Itkillik River and that river would feed our lake 36 37 with fish. But as long as the lake was ice covered the fish would remain in the little creek until the lake 38 was free of ice. 39 40 41 Thank you. 42 43 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Was that you, 44 Rosemary? You wanted to provide comment. 45 46 MS. AHTUANGARUAK: Yes. We've had some 47 good discussions here and prioritizing is important. We've talked about the fish and it takes a number of 48 49 years to get the proposals if we ever get our questions

 Page 70

answered. Depending on others to respond to our information needs can be difficult. Others want to do many different things, but making sure that we find a way to get some of our answers is very important.

The process for our fishing and everything is continuing to be important and the changes that we've had with these questions only continue. The process of trying to understand what's happening with these changes. We've had a tremendous amount of concerns and changes.

We had a lot of discussion last year during this process about fish that were stranded with the rapid change in water levels and we had a lot of people talk about the rapid change that we had this year especially around the earthquakes and some of the tributaries that were happening. We all talked about the importance of how some of these lakes are eroding and those migration patterns and the factors that are affecting that.

We've brought in a lot of concern around our freshwater lake source and the rapid erosion that is happening on the Nigliq Channel for that source. I'm trying to get some understanding because of the reality that many of our other freshwater sources have already been tapped by industry and concerning for us to go back and consider reusing.

These issues are so very important to us, but I also brought out a lot of concern about how we are changing and some of our areas are not getting the adequacy of our subsistence needs and whether or not our people are getting the opportunity to replace their nutrition needs of our subsistence sources with other resources and whether or not any of these resources that are being put out there as far as mitigating measures or other factors within State and Federal systems to help people with nutritional needs are coming out to our communities.

Those kinds of things are really important. If people aren't eating our traditional foods, what are they eating? Are they eating? That's an important question that we really need to look at as we go through and we look at some of these important subsistence changes.

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

For me, the broad whitefish is still a very important issue, but I also understand the importance of the studies that are being proposed on the Point Hope side. And it is difficult to divide the priorities of these issues and come up with what's best. It takes decades to get this process. If we work and get what we can with the Point Hope side and hopes that we get something in the future on the west side, on the east side. Those are things that we really need to decide how we can get through this.

We've got a lot of change that's being proposed between us and Barrow and us and the other villages. We don't fully understand what we have. But also if the studies are being done just to allow projects to be developed, that's not a study that we can go forward with some of these important issues that we have because it's not looking at the importance of sustaining our lives and future. Those kind of issues are the divide and conquer process that is being put forward with multiple administrations.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Rosemary. I think that was pretty insightful. I wouldn't begin to try to minimize any other concerns from any region on the Slope.

With that, Atqasuk, Wanda.

 MS. KIPPI: Thank you. Wanda Kippi from Atqasuk. I just recently went to a meeting not too long ago in Atqasuk and they're looking for rock, gravel for our town, and they're looking at right where we camp, our hunting grounds, right where we put our net and ice fish. They're going to go look for rock around there. If it's possible for enough rock -- if they find enough rock, they're going to blast that area and take the rock and bring it to town. That's if there's enough rock there.

But it's going to change our fishing lifestyle at our camping grounds if they approve of that. That's through the North Slope Borough. The North Slope Borough had approved for them to go do some survey over there to see if there is enough rock for our town. That's right at Ekalgruak, right where we put our nets in where we ice fish.

I'm really concerned about that because that's where we always go to our camp where we hunt and fish, berry pick. It's going to be so much of a change if they dig or blast that rock. It's going to change the fish from coming and going or something. Something with the fish. It's going to change it.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Wanda. I think that's important things to note and take heed and make sure that when those things are coming around to make sure our voices and opinions are heard loud and clear.

2.4

In categorizing, I've attempted to -in between dialogue here, I've thought of putting
bullet No. 2, document the nature and the frequency of
unusual and concerning environmental phenomenon
concerning fisheries such as Saprolegnia fungus on
broad whitefish in the Colville River drainage, and
rank it with the fourth bullet down, description of
temporal changes in subsistence harvest patterns and
resource availability of broad whitefish in Lake
Tusikvoak, because they're about the same species.

I'm not too sure. Maybe we're seeing a trend occurring about the stress of broad whitefish in general in the region based on maybe some climatic issues. I don't know. I'm just speculating at this point. But I think trying to get those two together on top of what we now fear that the Ikpikpuk River Delta area at Smith Bay -- beings that they announced this six billion barrel oil find will be a source of contention for development in the same way as the Colville Delta is experiencing development.

The Ikpikpuk River Delta has not seen signs of stress yet. Because I fish there quite a bit. I fish on the Ikpikpuk River and the fish are pretty healthy in these areas and haven't seen the types of concerns raised on the Colville River yet. I don't know if there's an underlying factor. There's no development on the Ikpikpuk right now. It seems to be a good candidate for baseline information gathering.

I would like to suggest to the group that we rank this sufficiently enough to compete for funding with Seward Peninsula and Northwest Arctic's

```
Page 73
 1
     proposals.
 2
 3
                     That's my suggestion.
 4
 5
                     MR. SHEARS: So move. Yeah, Mr. Chair,
 6
     I agree with you....
 7
 8
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Is that in the form of a
9
     motion?
10
11
                     MR. SHEARS:
                                  So I support that.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Okay.
                                              I would say
14
     that in the form of a motion as Tikigaq would like it.
15
16
                     MS. HUGO: Mr. Chair.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Esther.
19
20
                     MS. HUGO: Where is Ikpikpuk?
21
2.2
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Ikpikpuk is from that
     -- there's Teshekpuk Lake. Right next to it is Smith
23
     Bay and then the next bay is Admiralty Bay. So
24
25
     Ikpikpuk drains right near Teshekpuk and then goes up
26
     that way. Also Y's to the Chipp River and the Chipp
27
     River drains to the Admiralty Bay. They're just about
28
     one and the same river. So it's a pretty wide
     distribution pattern if you look at that perspective
29
30
     because Ikpikpuk drains into Smith Bay and Admiralty
31
     Bay.
32
33
                     MR. NEAKOK: Does anyone have a laser
34
     pointer that we can use on the map?
35
36
                     MS. PATTON: We might have one back
37
     here. I'll see.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, if we had a
40
     laser pointer, I would show you all of those
41
     connections real easy. Ikpikpuk is a pretty -- you
     know, it's a good-sized river. It's about 150 miles
42
43
     total in air miles. It reaches just about on the banks
44
     of the Knifeblade Ridge, which Knifeblade Ridge is
     Colville River on the other side and Ikpikpuk on the
45
46
     other side. Then just on the other side of Colville
47
     River would be Killik River. They're all pretty much
48
     right there in that central area.
49
50
```

MS. PATTON: It needs some batteries in the laser pointer.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, that's a suggestion. Tikigaq asked if that was in the form of a motion. Go ahead.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yes, that's what I was going to -- so moved, Mr. Chair.

MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman. I have one point of clarity and then we are going to need a motion eventually for whatever the Council wants to do with the priority information needs. I heard a couple things and I just want to clarify because I heard combine 1 and 2 at one point and then I also heard bullet No. 2 and bullet No. 4 combined and I think those can be very easily combined. I think that's a great idea.

I also heard combining the bullet about the spawning within there too because it has broad whitefish. So I'm just asking for some clarity. Do you want that combined also into the overall priority information need?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I would yield to the Council. Yeah, that bullet -- I forgot there's another one. Identify major spawning locations of broad whitefish and humpback whitefish in the central and western North Slope. One of the concerns that was raised is should the fisheries collapse in areas where major subsistence activities are occurring and it's a major subsistence resource.

There's a neighboring drainage, but it's in the industrial area that is known for spawning whitefish and that's the Sagavanirktok River. We don't even know what the stress level is because Alyeska puts rock weirs everywhere to protect the pipeline. The pipeline itself is in some of that drainage. But we know it's a subsistence resource because I've gotten whitefish from there before myself when I was working in Prudhoe.

So I think doing that, combining those three, would be important and be a strong candidate, I think, to compete for funding.

```
MR. OOMITTUK: Yes, this is Point Hope.
     She mentioned that she had one more priority that she
 2
 3
     wanted to mention before we put this into a priority
 4
     vote. Did you have one more?
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Steve, I think we
 7
     added the one more. It's the fifth bullet that I
 8
     failed to mention on the priority information needs.
     Making one priority candidate out of bullet No. 2, No.
 9
10
     4 and No. 5 because they're of the same species.
11
12
                     (Pause)
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Don't speak all at
15
     once.
16
17
                     (Laughter)
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: But, you know,
20
     there's some other stuff here we want to try to
     prioritize as well.
21
2.2
23
                     MR. OOMITTUK:
                                    Thank you.
24
25
                     (Pause)
26
27
                     MR. SHEARS: Thank you, Karen, for
28
     providing this language to help us.
29
30
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Are we still online?
31
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah.
                                              T think
32
33
     there's some drafters here drafting some things here.
34
     So we're still online there, Steve.
35
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Okay. I was just
36
37
     wondering. Like I said, I would support how you
     prioritize things and putting things together. I know
38
     she reads a motion, but I thought she said she had one
39
40
     more listing that she needs before we put this in a
41
     motion. Is that what I heard a little bit ago?
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah.
                                              I'm going to
44
     refer to Karen here real quick.
45
46
                     MS. HYER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and
47
     Council members. You actually answered -- all my
48
     questions were answered, so everything I had a question
49
     about has been addressed, but thank you for checking.
50
```

MR. SHEARS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Mr. Shears,

Wainwright.

MR. SHEARS: Before I make this as a motion, allow me to share it with you again. It's kind of a rewrite. Combining items 2 and 4 in regards to the whitefish fisheries at Tusikvoak. So combining 2 and 4 we would be requesting a study of environmental phenomena concerning whitefish fisheries and temporal changes in its availability at Lake Tusikvoak.

Does that sound like what we're saying? Are we specifically limiting it to just that lake or the broader region like we were talking about to encompass the Smith Bay concern, development concern?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. Mr. Shears, I think there's already an expressed concern for multiple fishermen for Tusikvoak there's something wrong here in the fisheries.

MR. SHEARS: Keep it focused then?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: But if we combine that along with the baseline information for Smith Bay on the broad whitefish and what's going on as a baseline information and the Colville River fish mold issues that have surfaced over the past four or five years now, I think we'd capture some proposer to carry it out and say, hey, there's this other lake that have broad whitefish, we better check it at the same time we're checking the one that's been known to have received several concerns about abundance in that fish.

MR. SHEARS: Okay. Gotcha. All right. Mr. Chair, Council members. I'd like to make a motion for a priority of studying fisheries. Would the Council consider this motion as a priority? Our priority is whitefish. Requesting a study of the environmental phenomena concerning whitefish fisheries and temporal changes in its subsistence availability at Lake Tusikvoak and compare it to baseline data already known for the Colville River and Smith Bay drainage.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a motion on the floor.

MS. KIPPI: Second the motion. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, he wanted to 4 describe it first for discussion purposes, I think, 5 before he made that motion. 6 7 MR. SHEARS: No, that's a motion. 8 9 CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's a motion on the 10 floor. It's been seconded. 11 12 MS. KIPPI: Second. 13 14 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Seconded by Atgasuk, Wanda. It's been seconded and up for discussion. 15 16 17 MR. SHEARS: I proffered this motion because I strongly feel that the whitefish fishery is 18 19 poorly understood how it is adapting to recent climatic 20 changes, changes in subsistence patterns that we've seen over the past four or five decades and with 21 22 increased industrial use of its environment and to be including the off-taking of freshwater from lakes 23 intensively during the winter for ice-roading in the 2.4 25 industrial areas around Colville and will soon be 26 building in Harrison Bay. 27 28 So that area is an area that has shown 29 continuous subsistence activity uninterrupted, unlike 30 the Inaru which I described earlier, which is an 31 interrupted -- almost like a conservation district now. The area like Tusikvouk, Colville River, the Smith Bay 32 33 drainage, that is the area we've been using, we're 34 continuing to use and we need to continue using, but 35 there's a lot of stress on it. 36 37 I believe that would be the number one 38 priority of this Council for a fishery study to 39 maintain a finger on the pulse of the health of that 40 fishery. 41 42 Thank you, Bob. CHAIRMAN BROWER: 43 other dialogue while we're in discussion. 44 45 (No comments) 46 47 CHAIRMAN BROWER: I would just add that 48 I think it's an important fishery on the Slope.

49

50

widely used for subsistence trading. I mean I often

trade with folks in Nuiqsut that have Arctic cisco. I've used it for trading to get skins for my boat and also it's widely, widely shared. A lot of people use this resource on the Slope.

They fish for it, they plan to go fish for it, and it's widely distributed during subsistence events like Nalukataq and things like that, supplemental food for the day. There's just not enough I could say about the importance of these subsistence resources especially when we're starting to notice some distress and concerns from subsistence users.

MR. SHEARS: Mr. Chair. One thing that goes unstated in my motion is how I have carefully not stated what environmental phenomena consists of.

Karen, you had suggested in your draft language an example such as Saprolegnia fungus. That's important, yes, but it's not the only environmental concern. Also oxygen content, dissolved carbons, other microbial activities, microbe contents of the water, contamination from industrial fallout. For example, mercury. Those are environmental phenomena.

Then, like I mentioned earlier, another environmental phenomena is carefully monitoring the surface area of the lakes to see if there's changes in the volume of content in these environments. Caribou existed in two-dimensional planar environment on a surface, but fish exist in a volume, a three-dimensional area.

I'd really like to understand in regards to global warming and what we're seeing a lot of on the Slope now is if the volume of these fisheries is remaining the same or if it's decreasing.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Bob. Any other discussion on the motion.

 MS. AHTUANGARUAK: I'm not sure if your wording has gotten anything to help us with some of the discussion in if there is changes. I know in our community with the amount of fish mold that we saw the last couple years people were worried about harvesting and whether or not they're consuming and if they're not consuming, if they're replacing it. So I just wanted to get some clarification on that.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Rosemary. I think being recorded and I think that's an important aspect of it, is the level of consumption and perceived issues with the fish and their willingness to go continue to fish that might be a concern as well.

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. To respond to Rosemary as well. We had talked a little bit about this earlier in terms of the two tracks, both the harvest monitoring and traditional knowledge and then the biological studies also. In the Council's discussion here that changing of subsistence harvest patterns has come up over and over again.

So, Rosemary, these priorities that the Council is discussing now too can be approached by either of those research tracks. So if getting at some of the concerns of the communities can be that documentation of those changing subsistence harvest patterns and what's driving that.

Also, as Gordon has noted, the feedback from subsistence fishers that is informing these research priorities. Whatever you decide in terms of your priority to be looked at can be addressed both through the traditional knowledge and harvest monitoring and the biological studies.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. Any other discussion.

MS. AHTUANGARUAK: That's great.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Rosemary.

MS. AHTUANGARUAK: Also adding in we've had so much change that has happened. We've had some of our people that have gone through a lot of legal issues and are having troubles in those categories in reaction to some of the changes that we're having.

But if there's any effort to gather some of that information with our various systems such as mental health and police department statistics, that information also is very important to contribute to some of the social changes that we're facing in our areas with the changes to our lands and waters and the

Page 80 difficulty of subsistence and feeding our families. 2 3 Thank you. 4 5 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Rosemary. 6 Any other discussions on the motion. 7 8 (No comments) 9 10 MR. OOMITTUK: Call for the question. 11 12 CHAIRMAN BROWER: The question has been 13 called for for the motion that was created by Bob by combining several bullets here to target the concerns 14 15 about the broad whitefish. 16 17 Since the question has been called for, 18 all those that approve signify by saying aye. 19 20 IN UNISON: Aye. 21 2.2 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those that don't 23 approve signify by saying no. 24 25 (No opposing votes) 26 27 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Ayes have it. 28 have a first priority of broad whitefish in the motion that was just carried out. Any other prioritization 29 30 that we should do? Do we want to rank something number 31 two? We need some proposals. 32 33 MS. HUGO: Mr. Chair. 34 35 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Anaktuvuk, Esther. 36 37 MS. HUGO: Was there any concerns or 38 anybody about the igalukpik or the sulukpaugag? 39 40 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, Esther, there 41 is a -- the iqalukpik would be the Arctic char. haven't heard too much about concerns raised about the 42 43 char. I know it tastes really good. At one point I 44 thought there were some concerns out of Point Hope 45 about the abundance of the grayling, the sulukpaugaq. 46 47 We would have to hear from Point Hope 48 what their concerns are. There's one, the first 49 bullet, changes in fish species composition, abundance 50

and harvest patterns in Point Hope, but it doesn't say which species, but the changes in species.

MR. OOMITTUK: This is Point Hope. You know, the grayling has been in abundance the last couple years more so than the river trout. Although a study was just done by the North Slope Borough in our area inlet and they did find 15 different species of fish. Point Hope, we only subsist on the grayling, the river trout, the char that come through. Now we see more and more salmon. Those are the main fish that come from the ocean.

 We don't normally do much lake fishing. There are some lakes that are drying up, but we're between two oceans and most of our subsistence is between a river and the ocean and the land. Our fish mainly come from the ocean and the river. The concerns are good.

We love the grayling, the sulukpaugaq, but the last two years the river is overgrown with the grayling, outnumbering all the other species, especially river trout. But we get a lot of char and that's what we're catching right now. There for a while there was a lot of shortage in char, but this year and the size of them -- you know, they're pretty good size. Some are over three feet in length, about the size of your leg. But they are some big char. They need to come back.

Everything seems like a little early. We're a good 15, 20 days early on some of the species. It almost feels like we're going to have an early winter. The animal seems to know when it's time to head back south. The ducks are already flying back out. We had an early summer and it looks like we're going to have an early winter. I was taught the animals know the seasons. When it's time to go south, it's time to go south. But the food source, like I said, it's in abundance for the second time this year, not just in the ocean but the land.

 You know, our healthcare issues that were brought up, the sickness of the animals, like I said, we need to prioritize because this is what we put into our bodies and we want to ensure that what's causing this mold in the fish, you know. We rely on a lot of these fish. We don't get much whitefish here,

but, like you said, we do a lot of trading. It goes all around. We all have our own subsistence priorities in our areas of what we subsist on.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve. So I know we just made a motion on the first priority, but that actually prioritized one, two, three bullets and it substantially reduced our need to prioritize some others. So I think that was great. It was about the same species.

 $$\operatorname{MS}$. AHTUANGARUAK: Gordon, do we need a motion to get that prioritized second?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I think getting a motion for a number two priority would be -- that's what we're looking for.

MS. AHTUANGARUAK: Can you repeat the bullets that are included for this.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Rosemary, I think we did that with Bob's motion. He drafted language that kind of swallowed up bullet number 2, 4 and 5. Then with the caveat that the proposer would have access to some of the dialogue to gain more insight as to what the Council was deliberating on.

MS. AHTUANGARUAK: I just want to make sure that we get the chance to look at the discussion that Steve is putting forward. I know it's outside of the first prioritization, but I want it to stay within the second prioritization.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Rosemary. It would be appropriate if somebody, one of the regions, if there was a second priority. There's still changes in fish species composition, abundance and harvest patterns in Point Hope. That's one category. Another is understanding fishery accessibility in Anaktuvuk Pass. Includes distance to fisheries resources, climatic considerations, regulatory changes and available knowledge and access to a variety of gear types. That's another bullet that's on the list.

Then another bullet was determine the age and structure and primary ages at first migration to the sea of mature Dolly Varden in the eastern North Slope Rivers. The last bullet is develop a long-term, cost-effective approach to evaluate sustainability of subsistence harvest of lake trout in the Lakes Schrader and Peters.

I don't really recall that dialogue in the past and where that one came from, but we do opportunistically harvest from lakes when we want to. We know where the lake trouts are and we just know they exist. When we want to try that fish, we go over there and go get it. Done that more than once. Not very many people do that. Most people do from the coast and a lot from the rivers. Lake trout is almost like a top secret area sometimes.

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. If I may, just to add. This draft list that you have before you are some of the points that were brought forward by the Council members that participated in the working group discussion prior to this meeting and also ongoing concerns that were carried forward from before.

 The Council is welcome -- the Council is here today and we've heard also a couple other suggestions from Council member Shears and Wanda Kippi. So the Council is welcome to include those recommendations and the discussion in the prioritization as well.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. So we're on the discussion here of fisheries priorities. I think we accomplished one that put several of them together and that would be our way of saying let's let this one compete with Seward Peninsula and with the Northwest Arctic Borough fisheries studies and see where we get with that as our top priority from the North Slope.

How is that? Is that pretty good?

MR. SHEARS: Tavra.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Thank you, Karen. It appears that we prioritized into a -- put a multiple of them into one and connecting the dots there.

MS. HYER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Council members. That was a very helpful discussion.

I do have one other item that I want to talk about and then I'm done, but I wanted to circle back around and talk about one other program. You don't have this in your package, but there are some out on the table. It doesn't really have much information in it except it has my contact information.

We also have another program where we fund positions within rural nonprofit organizations so they can be tribes, Native nonprofit or other rural organizations. We provide funding for either a biologist or a social scientist or an educator within those organizations and the intent of the program is to build capacity within the organization so they can participate more fully in the Federal Subsistence Management Program.

So it's called the Fisheries for Resource Monitoring and we're going to have a notice of funding coming out in about another month to six weeks. We're working on the notice of funding right now. But we want you to carry that information back to your communities and we'd also be interested in talking to you about anybody or any organization you think would be a good candidate so we can make sure we get the information to them.

Competing for this is a competitive program, but it's a little bit broader than fisheries. People are allowed to work on fisheries issues, wildlife issues and other subsistence issues. It's more encompassing of the whole subsistence because subsistence just isn't fish. It's a different pot of money and we only have a call once every four years.

So I just wanted to make you aware of that. If you have any other questions, I can answer them, but I'd be happy to either discuss on the record or off the record anybody you think should be notified and then I can certainly contact those organizations directly and talk to them in more detail. But we've not ever had a partner up here in the north and it would be a great opportunity to have one.

So, anyway, that's the only other thing I had. Josh, do you have anything else to add?

MR. REAM: Only that our partners frequently do compete for the FRMP projects and they tend to be pretty successful because they are partners and so they bring in a variety of people and stakeholder groups to work on those projects and build their own capacity. So if you can think of anybody that you'd like to suggest to us today, we'd be happy to get in touch with them.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Josh and Karen. Before I let you two go, is there any questions on this notice of funding opportunity for the Partners for Fisheries Monitoring Program? I certainly think it's important and I could probably think about like maybe Native Village of Barrow with their Wildlife Department to fund a biologist for them to work with with some of the local fishermen that have concerns about that.

 How long -- you said it's once every four years. Does that mean funding -- if one of the tribes were to land the funding, it would fund a position for four years?

MS. HYER: Yes. Mr. Chair and Council members. It would fund a position for four years. Because you have to have some sort of longevity to actually recruit for that position. Many of our partners are successful at coming back and acquiring funding for more years and we have some partners that have been funded for many, many years now.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Lastly, it being competitive, are there more than one candidate that is successful or are you just looking for one candidate amongst many people that would win an award?

MS. HYER: So we have, by area, right now we have two on the Kuskokwim and we have two in Southcentral. So oftentimes there is more than one candidate in a region. It has to do with what they propose as projects and who they represent and how good of a proposal they write, but certainly the more people that apply the better the selection pool is for the committee.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. Just as an example let say the Native Village of Point Hope, the Native Village of Barrow, the Native Village of

Nuiqsut, all of them submitted proposals.

Theoretically, could all three of them land a proposal and every one of those get their biologist to work with -- fund a biologist for them?

8/22/2018

MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman and Council members. Yes, in theory. It's not restricted by region at all. It is simply competitive. So whoever has the best proposals are the ones who are funded.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Understood. Any other questions to Karen and Joshua on the Partners for Fisheries Monitoring notice of funding opportunity.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Steve.

MR. OOMITTUK: This is Point Hope. What about if ICAS was to apply? You know, because they represent all these villages. Inupiat Community of the Arctic Slope, they're a nonprofit organization. That would be for all villages on the North Slope.

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair. Steve. Yes, ICAS would be eligible. So any Native nonprofit or tribe can apply for this grant. Ilisagvik College is another example because they are a tribal college. They would also be eligible. But, yes, many of the successful applicants in other regions are multi-tribal Native nonprofits that represent the region and ICAS is considered a tribe and tribal organization.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. My concern was there are some villages that have pulled out of ICAS. I think Point Hope is one of those. Barrow is one of those. I think there is one other that may have pulled out of ICAS and opted to go independent on their tribal fundings. But ICAS would still be a good vehicle for all those others that might seek to be wanting to be represented regionally.

MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman and Council members. I also want to clarify it's rural and Native nonprofit, so ANILCA is not restricted just to Alaska Natives and neither is this call.

```
Page 87
     Fisheries Monitoring notice of funding opportunity.
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none.
                                                      Thank
 6
     you very much.
                     Josh, go ahead.
 7
 8
                     MR. REAM: I just wanted to put in a
9
     quick plug for anthropology and remind you that it's
10
     not just for biologists. It could also be an
     anthropologist.
11
12
13
                     MS. HYER: Did I not say that?
14
15
                     MR. REAM: You did, but I wanted to
16
     repeat it.
                 Thank you.
17
18
                     MR. SHEARS: I knew that we were
19
     overlooking you and you'd feel it.
20
21
                     (Laughter)
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: An anthropologist is
24
     a person that studies the origin of man or something?
25
26
                     (Laughter)
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you very much.
     We really enjoyed your presentation and giving us the
29
30
     task to prioritize. Thank you so much. You guys are
     blessed by God.
31
32
33
                     All right. Let's go down the agenda.
34
     We have Item 11, agency reports. I just want to remind
35
     everybody time limit is 15 minutes or less.
36
37
                     MS. PATTON: Before that we've got one
38
     more. Mr. Chair and Council. We do have Item (c),
39
     identify issues for your fiscal year 2018 annual
40
     report.
41
42
                                       Oh, yeah. And then
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
43
     Item (e). We added an Item (e).
44
45
                     MS. PATTON: And then Item (e) we'll
46
     have BLM up next after this.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Let's do
49
            Identify issues of FY 2018 annual report.
     that.
50
```

is doing that?

MS. PATTON: That would be me. You'll find the overview of the annual report on Page 26 of your meeting books. Again, we just briefly talked about your annual report reply. So again we're here at this time of year when the Council identified issues of interest and concern, whether you want to bring concerns to the attention of the Federal Subsistence Board, whether it's requesting information or highlight research needs in the region.

Again, this is governed under ANILCA and that's why they call it the 805(c) report and the Board's reply. So the Board is tasked by the Secretary of the Interior to respond to the Council's concerns. It's an opportunity also, in addition to the Board, to address the directors of each of the Department of Interior agencies, all the Federal agencies and land managers. The Board is required to discuss and to reply to each issue.

2.4

As we've discussed in the past, you can work outside of the purview of the Board if there are issues that are related to subsistence in the region not directly under the Board's authority. They will still attempt to respond and reply and make connections with the agencies.

But your strongest message to the Board are the topics that they are able to address. The content of the annual report is related to fish and wildlife management, but outside of the realm of the actual regulatory proposals. So addressing broader issues.

 The things that they often seek to hear from you to help inform subsistence management is identification of current and anticipated subsistence uses of fish and wildlife populations within the region. An evaluation of current and anticipated subsistence needs for fish and wildlife populations from the public lands within the region. Recommended strategy for the management of fish and wildlife populations within the region to accommodate such subsistence uses and needs related to the public lands. And recommendations concerning policies, standards, guidelines, and regulations to implement the strategy.

So those are key things that the Board does have direct jurisdiction over and the annual report is an excellent way to get those interests and concerns across.

8/22/2018

I would add too that we've discussed a number of things here the Council was interested in bringing awareness to in terms of changes and subsistence fisheries. While maybe it didn't make the list in terms of what you want to have prioritized for funding right now, the annual report is still a good way to bring awareness to those issues so it's on the radar and you're able to get the great detail that you've discussed here articulated to the management agencies.

2.4

As well as directing letters to particular agencies. For example, other agencies such as USGS that may be able to address those research concerns even if it's not the purview of this program.

I did want to note too we had some discussion at the winter meeting. Rosemary Ahtuangaruak had a request at that time in terms of bringing a new annual report topic regarding the accidental kill of several muskox on the Dalton Highway and a real interest in finding a mechanism if that were to happen again in the future how local rural communities would be able to perhaps utilize those resources.

We did do some looking into that and that would actually be under the purview of State Troopers. In that case, if the Council wants to pursue that more so than adding that to the annual report would be a letter addressed to the State agency that would be able to address that.

Again, those key things you'll see in the middle there in terms of Federal subsistence fish and wildlife on Federal lands and any policy or management issues. Those are the key things the Board can respond to directly.

MR. SHEARS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Mr. Shears.

MR. SHEARS: It occurs to me that this

is an opportunity to elevate our concern about aviation resources, use of the air spaces over the wildlife and the subsistence activities on the North Slope.

You know, the Department of the Interior has no real teeth, no real authority to regulate air traffic other than when it's being used to do research and conducting their own activities or to regulate hunting activities conducted by aircraft. We have never been able to get a voice higher than the Secretary of the Interior to voice our concern. Really, this needs to go to the Secretary of Commerce who leads the Federal Aviation Administration.

The Secretary of Commerce needs to hear from Secretary Zinke that we have this concern and that the Interior Department unanimously share the concern that aviation assets are harassing animals and subsistence activities on the North Slope. We've been voicing it for years and we cannot reach the elevation where somebody can do something about it.

2.4

The policies for aviation asset use is already adopted by BLM and North Slope Borough and others for conductive research and to regulate aviation uses for hunting activities are very good. You know, maintaining that glide pass, that aircraft maintain a minimum elevation of 1,500 feet and to within one-quarter mile of a landing space. So that sets your glide pass.

Aircraft that are up here operating outside of our regulations, and there are many of them, only have to maintain a minimum elevation of 500 feet mandated by the FAA and they don't have to throttle their noise or their prop speed. Many times we're always getting reports from subsistence users out there about low flying aircraft cruising the animals. How do we get this concern higher or beyond the Department of the Interior?

It's a policy concern. I'd make a recommendation, but I don't know how to word this, Eva. If you could somehow craft language into our annual report to again emphasize that this is not a forgotten concern and it continues to this day.

aircraft. You know, we've learned to work with the industry. About 80 percent or greater of the infraction-type concerns that we're hearing are Federal agencies conducting research, researchers and many of the concerns about what BLM is doing, what Fish and Wildlife Service is doing, what ADF&G is doing and USGS in particular will not give the weight of the local government the wherewithal.

They seem to want to operate under a supremacy clause that they feel they're exempt, granted to them either by the President or something. But our own local laws and Alaska statutes require even State comply with local zoning in the land use activities. The studies that the other activities that require airborne assets to be deployed other than general transportation in nature, those are the types that we're very alarmed at.

There needs to be development of sound policy guiding ADF&G, guiding U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and others, USGS, to work and coordinate with the local government or develop some protocols that would facilitate the recognition of subsistence activities and not to impede, harass or deter by the use of aircraft from their studies.

Sometimes I think they have an ownership mentality. Those guys are trying to kill my study or something to that effect because those are the resources that they're studying and have a protection mentality. I've seen it myself. I've taken photographs of aircraft low flying, making wide turns and coming back making other passes.

I've really observed these types of activities among many other people that have provided testimony and concerns about how research and the use by other governments that are not conforming to local laws. We have worked very effectively with industry operators. I would say that much.

MR. NEAKOK: Mr. Chair, if I could.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Fredrick.

MR. NEAKOK: Yes. You know, we're talking about the concern of flying an aircraft in the area. One thing I'd like to bring up is the

individuals who fly up and get dropped off and being able to hunt the same day, I'm pretty sure there's a regulation as to flying in and waiting a day before actually being able to go out and do your hunt. Who regulates the sportsman or the other camps that invite other people to come in to do their hunting and they're flying in and out of their camps? Is that another issue we can also bring up as well?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, Fredrick. I think there is existing law prohibiting those kind of activities. I think ADF&G and also the State Troopers that need to be made aware when somebody witnesses that or there's a way to convey that. They're always saying it's valid so they can go out there and investigate that. It's already being part of processes, but I think it's important to restate some of that stuff though. I think there's a mechanism already in place for something like that.

Thank you, Eva. Any other feedback to Eva on what we were talking about here. That was identify issues for FYI 2018 annual report.

MS. AHTUANGARUAK: I'd like to make a

 comment.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Rosemary.

MS. AHTUANGARUAK: Yeah, we discussed extensively the importance of our concerns around caribou and the impacts that are happening between the two entities of Nuiqsut and Anaktuvuk and the big concern that we have coming with the changes that are being proposed with the Willow Project and future developments will come with future leasing activities.

We've tried multiple ways to try to create ways to try to protect our lives and our subsistence, but with the regulatory process that has changed all of the rules on making recommendations on protecting our way of life being changed we haven't been able to put protections in place that give us hope that we're going to continue being able to subsist with the changes that may happen with the south side.

We've had a tremendous amount of change that has happened to the east of us. There's changes to the north of us. There's changes to the west of us.

This south side is so vital to the importance and sustainability of our community and is not well addressed in some of the communications that came out of our process.

I am begging for assistance and communications to help us come up with a plan that discusses how the development may be done in a staggered way to have hopes that our village will continue to have subsistence into the future.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Rosemary. That's another area, is developing the proper protocols and communication network that really works so that we're not blindsided all the time. I feel that way a lot of times. So thank you, Rosemary.

Any other things to bring to the attention of Madame Coordinator, Eva.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I see this letter that was drafted June 21, 2018 to myself, Gordon Brower, from Sarah LaMarr, Assistant Arctic District Manager. On the cc list it says Gordon Brower, Jr.

MR. SHEARS: Not anymore.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: My son. There is a Gordon Brower, but he doesn't like to be called junior. Actually his name is the II on his birth certificate. So there's actually no junior and there's actually no senior. I'm just Gordon Brower.

Anyway, I just thought I'd pass that along. There is another Gordon Brower in the internet somewhere I've seen.

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. It looks like Council members are thinking too. We do have an opportunity -- this is where we first bring up the annual report topics. So we do have an opportunity as the Council is going through today and tomorrow if there are additional issues that you would like to add to your annual report. We also keep track through the meeting of what some of the key issues are that are

coming up that have not yet been addressed for the Council.

 I'll just add too for Fredrick, we'll have updates from ADF&G. Gordon is correct in terms of the laws in terms of same day hunts, so they may have some recommendations to report if you see a concern there.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva.

11 Josh.

MR. REAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair. I've got a question. I've got a concern.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Let me get Josh real quick and then I'll go to you, Steve.

MR. OOMITTUK: All right.

MR. REAM: Just quickly. I wanted to mention that our office has really been striving to reach out to other entities to help respond to each of the points in your annual reports meaningfully. Especially when we don't have purview or the Federal Subsistence Board doesn't have purview such as with marine mammals or migratory birds.

I'd encourage you to maybe think about some of the topics that were brought up during the public and tribal comment period this morning, if you wanted us to reach out perhaps to Marine Mammals to see what they know about expanding range of sea lions or what that could mean for your known population of seals or disease transfer or the arrival of things like the golden eagle. So keep those things in mind when the public comes to the table too.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Joshua, for reminding us of that. So you've already been told, so make those connections.

All right. Steve, you had something to bring up.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah. You know, in the last few years we noticed more and more traffic within our waters and not knowing whose vessel or what they're doing out there. At times, there's some vessels that sit out on our south shore for days at a time and we have no contact. Not even knowing who they are, you know.

I've talked about this in the past when Shell was doing all this exploration out there they had comm centers within all our villages along the coastline and we monitored the traffic of who comes and goes, their latitude, direction, what ship. We didn't ask them what they were doing, but we just wanted to know.

 If we were out subsistence hunting, especially fall whaling, if we're out there in that area, they would stay away from that area or, you know, when they were doing their seismic testing or if there was any sort of emergency that any vessels in the neighborhood would be able to respond to an emergency out in the ocean.

I don't know if there's any funding or anything that we can do to bring back these comm centers so that we can monitor who's coming and going within our territory. I know we don't have jurisdiction other than three miles. After that it's Federal waters. Our main store is the ocean. We want to make sure it's protected. I know we don't have jurisdiction out there.

42.

We've brought that up several times. I don't know if there's any sort of funding where we can monitor who's coming and going within our waters to make sure they're not -- if there's any sort of disaster that we can respond in a timely manner because our resources that we depend on in the ocean are very delicate. One oil spill or barges come up with fuel, you know, and our storms keep getting bigger and bigger. The waves are rougher and rougher, especially that northwest wind.

These are concerns that some of the people came out with, that what's going to happen if we have a major oil spill with these barges. Our communities are getting bigger. They're bringing -- our tank farms are -- you know, they're having to make

them bigger because we can't keep up with the diesel that is needed to keep our houses warm during the wintertime or the power plants or the schools. The last few years we just about ran out of diesel. They just barely made it to Point Hope in time to have fuel for the communities, especially diesel, the kind of fuel we depend on.

I just wanted to bring out the ocean, like I've always said, it's our garden and we want to make sure it's protected with all that traffic that goes through. And responding to something in a timely manner saves things. I would like to know -- you know, we always wonder who's out there with those ships.

We've seen different ships. We're seeing white ship, we're seeing cruise ships, we're seeing -- we just want to make sure that nobody's doing anything illegal out there. It's international waters and you're always seeing different fisheries coming up further south. The Japanese -- they took a Japanese boat not too long ago with a whole bunch of fish further south coming into the waters.

I know we don't have jurisdiction out there. We're a subsistence advisory. I'm always told we have to go to another agency or another source that takes care. You know, we're considered the North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Council and the Arctic is our main food supply.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve. I think Josh had mentioned that if there's some way that they can connect the dots to pass those concerns on, they will. I think it would be prudent to direct some of that to the Arctic Marine Waterway Safety Committee that was recently formed where they track offshore vessels and create buffers within Alaska now, I think, for like the beluga whale exclusionary area or the bowhead whale deferral areas in the Waterway Safety Committee Program.

To my understanding, Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission was working with that group and had presented during the Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission mini convention last February. So there is in the works these kinds of things that you're describing to make sure there's somebody monitoring these things.

Quite frankly, it is part of us because we're Federal and Federal jurisdiction out to 200 miles or something to that effect. It's important to express those concerns and pass them on. So there are things in the works that I know of with the Arctic Marine Waterway Safety Committee that is developing some protocols.

It might be important for Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission to visit each whaling community and discuss that as well and maybe revive some of the stations in each community that Shell had. It was pretty similar to that to my understanding.

So thank you, Steve.

I don't think this is going on deaf ears and willing to convey those types of things.

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Eva.

 MS. PATTON: If I may respond to Steve as well. I apologize, Steve. The reply from the Federal Subsistence Board from the Council's annual report it just got finalized on the 17th of August, so there is a copy coming in the mail to you. That was one of the topics in the Council's annual report last year and the Board did reply in detail on a number of initiatives.

 As Gordon has mentioned, the Arctic Waterways Safety Committee and they have broad representation from subsistence groups in the region as well. They have been working on both research and monitoring.

 The Coast Guard has actually been very active in recent years both in this region and throughout the coastal waters down into the Y-K Delta region connecting with local communities and trying to address spill response in particular for increased shipping and other marine traffic.

The Alaska Native Tribal Health Consortium also has an observing network program that they have that's connected to some of these issues and concerns with the marine environment.

What we can do while the Federal subsistence program doesn't have direct jurisdiction over those marine waters, we can -- if it's of interest to the Council, invite the Coast Guard or invite the Arctic Waterways Safety Committee to discuss these issues with the Council and help get information out into the communities of these types of options. Like you say, a way to monitor what shipping is going on and what initiates are being taken to help protect subsistence resources.

If the Council wishes, we can make an invitation to folks who would be able to address that question better than our program can directly. But it is in your annual report and we can get more material to you as well, Steve.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right, Eva. It sounds like you've got a mission. This is the second time it's come up in the Regional Advisory Council and more than many times I think in many other forums. The Arctic Waterways Safety Committee was formed because of many of the concerns that are raised by Tikigaq, Steve Oomittuk. I would certainly think that it would be appropriate now to get those groups in front here and make some presentations so that there's more information about these things to the communities.

Thank you.

MR. NEAKOK: Mr. Chair, if I could.

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Fredrick.

MR. NEAKOK: Good afternoon, Steve. Fredrick Neakok here. A lot of these vessels that are traveling in our waterways they have this book called The Coast Pilot. Say if there's a storm coming and if they want to wait out the storm, they will wait out on the lee side of the storm in a protected area. This book talks about a lot of safe areas along our coastline.

Another issue you brought up is if an incident should arise, maybe we can incorporate with

the police department, fire police, search and rescue and other organizations getting all together and starting trading with the Incident Command System. Get everybody trained in the common language within the Incident Command System. You know, getting a command staff and a general staff going for whatever incidents should arise. Getting people trained up and the Incident Command System would be a good thing.

Thank you.

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you.

MR. SHEARS: Mr. Chair. A question for

Eva.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead.

MR. SHEARS: In the report -- Steve, do you have the -- oh, it just mailed out. Steve probably hasn't got this document, Eva. Okay. All right. It does mention in here that Kawerak Incorporated was awarded funds from the Oak Foundation, the Pew Charitable Trust, to address potential impacts related to increased Arctic marine shipping on subsistence resources and the environment within the region.

Kawerak's Marine Program is established to advocate for us on our concerns and it offers us an opportunity at our next Council meeting to get more information on this program. There's no information here. I'm curious. Are you guys? Because it doesn't give me much to go on here, but it says that they advocate for us. Is this a tool that could perhaps satisfy mine and Steve's concerns and the rest of us?

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Eva.

MS. PATTON: They do advocate for those marine areas. Kawerak, of course, is Northwest Arctic Region, but it's still addressing very similar common issues that this Council and the communities in this region have. I think the topics and the issues that they're bringing forward and trying to get addressed really apply to this whole region.

Again, Kawerak themselves is a

Northwest Arctic Native nonprofit, but the issues are very similar. It's arisen out of the opening of the Northwest Passage area. While they don't advocate for this Council or this region directly, they are advocating on behalf of their subsistence communities in their region and the issues overlap.

8/22/2018

6 7 8

5

2

3 4

I'd be happy to contact them and get some more information....

9 10 11

MR. SHEARS: More information, please.

12 13

MS. PATTON:for the Council as

14 well.

15 16

MR. SHEARS: Yes.

17 18

MS. PATTON: You bet. Thank you.

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. wanted to make sure that we're prepared. Once that Northwest Passage is opened up to commercial people and the industry, we're going to see a lot more traffic coming into Arctic waters because of the shortcut. want to make sure that we're prepared for things like this so we have a say-so of our way of life to make sure that the next generation continues especially with the traffic that's going to come through especially when the Northwest Passage opens up. It is a shortcut for industry and commercial. They don't have to go through the Panama Canal to get to the Pacific Ocean. They can come straight across. It's going to be a shortcut. It's going to save them money. I just want to ensure that before that time comes that we have things in place that protect us.

35 36 37

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve.

38 39 40

MR. OOMITTUK: Especially dumping black water in the ocean and anything like that.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve. I think they're very important stuff. I had a chance to take a look at the Waterway Safety Committee and the amount of traffic that comes through the northern sea route and also through the Northwest Passage. You'd be amazed. I mean it's literally hundreds already that traverse -- what you see offshore is a fraction of those that might stop. So Waterway Safety Committee is

dealing with this head on and working with the Coast Guard and making these buffers enforceable so that the Coast Guard can be more active in the area.

To say the least, the amount of traffic that goes through the Arctic already is astounding. I mean it is literally hundreds and hundreds of vessels traversing through the Northwest Passage and the northern sea route. Just from my own eyes from seeing what was a presentation at the time. Those things are already going on and I think that's what makes the United States a little more leery about the need to provide a more forward presence in the Arctic.

Thank you, Steve.

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any other discussions for Eva on identifying issues for FY2018 annual report. Again, it will be available tomorrow if there's things we haven't thought of and we suddenly had an epiphany tonight or something that you want to discuss.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: With that, hearing none, I think we'll go to Item (e) to BLM and their update on Willow and other items.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{SHEARS}\colon$\operatorname{Okay}.$ Can I have a quick break, $\operatorname{Mr}.$ Chair.

MS. KIPPI: Good idea.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's been a request for a quick break. We'll take a seven-minute break.

(Off record)

(On record)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I think everybody is here. We're going to go ahead and get started. Seven minutes have elapsed, so let's get with the show.

MR. VOSBURGH: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Council for the opportunity to present the Arctic

District Office update on 2017-2018 permitting activities.

Let's go to the next slide. The first permitting project I'll talk about is the Coastal Plain Environmental Assessment. This is an application to conduct seismic 3D dimensional winter seismic activities on the Coastal Plain of the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge for a two-year period.

The proposed seismic exploration would begin this winter 2018 and '19. If not finished the one year, the companies could reapply for a new permit the following year to complete the project. SAE as the applicant would reapply for an authorization for the second year and provide BLM with a project-specific map of their plans. An environmental assessment is currently underway for this project. It's expected to be available in September, so pretty soon.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I've got a question. I think when you're describing the seismic, I think it's important to put the name and the face in front of that instead of just saying there's going to be seismic. I think it's important. It's kind of unusual to see these kinds of names, for me anyway, on a seismic permit. I've really not seen this level of names on this kind of application, so I think it's important to note those.

MR. VOSBURGH: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So who is it?

MR. VOSBURGH: I'm not familiar with all the applicants. I do know that SAE, SA Exploration, Incorporated is the primary applicant on this project.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: It looks like SAE is the primary applicant for ASRC and Kaktovik Inupiaq Corporation. It looks like they're very interested in exploring their own lands.

Thank you.

MR. VOSBURGH: Go to the next slide, please. Another project the BLM is currently working on is undertaking a Coastal Plain oil and gas leasing

EIS to implement the leasing program pursuant to the Tax Act including the requirement to hold not fewer than two area-wide lease sales. The first lease sale will be within four years of the date the Tax Act was enacted.

A second lease sale will be within seven years after the enactment. Each sale will offer at least 400,000 acres and will include areas that have the highest potential for petroleum development. The Secretary shall authorize up to 2,000 acres of surface development within the Coastal Plain.

BLM is continuing its efforts toward development of an EIS for the Coastal Plain leasing program. Following conclusion of the scoping period, June 19th a scoping report was prepared to capture the issues to consider for analysis in the EIS identified by all the parties. Over 700,000 comments were received. The scoping report is available online at blm.gov/alaska web page.

2.4

2.6

 BLM is in the process of developing a draft EIS and is continuing government-to-government consultations with tribes, the ANCSA consultations and corporations and anticipates a draft EIS will be released this fall.

MR. SHEARS: Bob Shears. More than 700,000 comments received. When the Assistant Secretary of the Interior came to Barrow in February or March and indicated that it was going out for public comment, made a statement that the residents of the North Slope comments -- and acknowledged that in other EIS's or other assessments or NEPA comment periods relating to the ANWR were deluged with robo comments from special interest groups sponsored online. That drowned out the voice of the Arctic, the voice of the people that live in the Arctic.

Of these 700,000 comments received how many of them are relevant comments by residents of the North Slope? That would be my question. I wouldn't expect you to be able to answer it offhand, but it's something that.....

MR. VOSBURGH: Yeah, I don't know the answer.

MR. SHEARS: It's something that I -- you know, when I see a statement like that, 700,000 comments received, anything regarding the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge I have to take it with a grain of salt because most of them are fluff, robo comments sponsored by special interest groups that are proffered by their constituency by pushing button.

MR. VOSBURGH: The answer to that question perhaps is available. I'm not sure. It seems to me we'd have access to the number of comments that were, you know, just kind of duplicate type comments.

MR. SHEARS: Okay.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: This is Gordon Brower. Just along the same line. It seems to me there was some directive from -- I don't know if it's the Secretary of Interior giving weight to the residents of the Arctic that live in the region itself would be given priority and additional deference to their comments versus those that may -- because I don't think there's 700,000 people on the North Slope much less in Alaska.

To be able to have those voices breathe and come out of such a deluge of numbers of comments for those that are living in the impact zone and have a residency status, I think there was additional weight to be granted for those types of comments. I just wanted to make sure that in our commenting and responding to your PowerPoint that we express those.

MR. VOSBURGH: The GMT1 ROD was signed in 2015 and was the first major development within the NPR-A on BLM-managed lands. Construction began during winter of 2016 and '17. Seven and a half miles of gravel road, 11.8 acre gravel pad are currently completed. GMT1 will have up to 33 wells drilled on it when it's fully drilled. Construction will continue this season. Focus on installation of pipeline on pad facilities. The first production from GMT1 is anticipated this fall, fourth quarter of 2018.

 BLM is in the process of producing the final supplemental environmental impact statement for GMT2, Greater Mooses Tooth 2 development project. The project would include a drill pad on Federally managed Kuukpik Corporation selected but not yet conveyed

lands. Approximately 16 miles southwest of Nuiqsut and pipelines in a roaded alternative, the road connecting GMT2 and GMT1. GMT2 project was formerly known as CD7 and was included in the 2004 Alpine Satellite Development Plan EIS.

The GMT2 draft SEIS was published this spring in March and the public comment period closed in May. BLM is currently in the process of receiving approval to publish the final SEIS and expects that in early September sometime. The Record of Decision authorizing the development is planned for October.

The next project is one I think we might talk a little bit more about with Eva. The proposed project includes -- it's the Willow Prospect Master Development Plan. The project includes construction, operation, maintenance of a central processing facility, infrastructure pad, up to five drill pads and up to 50 wells on each pad, access, infield roads and airstrip pipelines and gravel mine.

2.4

BLM initiated a Master Development Plan EIS similar in scope to the Alpine Satellite Development Plan in 2004 to evaluate development of the Willow oil prospect. The notice of intent was published in the Federal Register on August 7th and this date is the start of a 30-day scoping period for the EIS.

42.

The BLM is holding scoping meetings in a number of communities on the Slope and also in Fairbanks and Anchorage. The meetings started this week and will continue through next week. If people want the exact dates for those, I can provide those.

The formal process for this project that started on August 7th includes scheduled public meetings to ensure maximum participation. BLM stresses the importance of early comments on this project to be most beneficial to the BLM if they're provided earlier in the process, but comments can be accepted any time during the development of the EIS.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I'm looking at the map that's provided. There's a -- I don't know if that's an island or if that's a staging area or an ice pad. These dotted lines, are those ice roads? It seems to me there's -- I could see some infield roads

and the different color type arterials that go all the way to Harrison Bay with a dot at the end of that.

MR. VOSBURGH: I apologize for this map. It's not real clear exactly what is referred to specific to this project. Some of the blue lines on there aren't part of the Willow Project. The dark dotted lines are the proposed action. One of the other lighter colored dotted lines, the one to the west, is an alternative route that's being considered in the EIS.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. I just wanted to mention that.

MS. DAGGETT: Can I elaborate a little?

MR. VOSBURGH: Yeah.

MS. DAGGETT: I'm Carmen Daggett and I attended the scoping meeting on Monday that was here. They described that dot that's out in the ocean as a gravel pad that they would have to build and that the blue dotted lines were the ice road that they were going to use to transport gravel out to do that. That was what I was told on Monday.

MR. VOSBURGH: Yeah, I apologize. My earlier comment was in error. That's correct. That line that runs north/south from the coast is part of the project and it's an ice road to move equipment to onsite.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. What was your name again, ma'am?

MS. DAGGETT: Carmen Daggett.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Carmen. It's important for somebody that's presenting on behalf of the BLM to be accurate because.....

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. VOSBURGH: Yes. I apologize for that.

CHAIRMAN BROWER:to say that's altogether a different project and saying that is two different things. I mean I would have not known that and disseminate that kind of information that was

already false would be detrimental I would think to other people's integrity.

MR. VOSBURGH: If an excuse is in order, I got it confused with another trail project that the BLM is working on. So I apologize again for that.

Eva, could you mention the comment forms associated with this project.

MS. PATTON: Yes. Through the Chair. Again, as was noted, the scoping comment period is open right now. So if the Council wanted to make any recommendations, I'm going to grab those. Essentially some of the key questions that they're soliciting for feedback. Let me get those out to the Council here. Just a minute, please.

 So these were the comment forms that are provided through BLM. Again, if the Council wanted to make any recommendations, then that would require a discussion on the record and then a formal motion to draft a letter with any points that the Council wanted to make, but there are some questions they're soliciting on this handout. There is a map on the back too. I'm sorry. I should have got these out to you sooner.

MR. VOSBURGH: Maybe we'll go on to the next slide. This is just a summary of last winter's activities on NPR-A. Primarily this shows the CPAI of wells that were drilled. Five in the Willow development area and one in Stony Hill. It also shows Uganik's PA or Wolf Creek wells that were plugged and abandoned this past winter.

In addition to what isn't shown on the map is a project that was conducted, a seismic project a little bit south and west of Nuiqsut. Only a portion of that seismic project was on BLM managed lands. Most of it was to the east of the Colville River.

This is just a map of the wells that were plugged this past winter, the five wells. Kind of south and west of Inigok in the foothills of the Brooks Range.

This project from the BLM Arctic Office

permitted the Arctic Slope community winter access trail in the fall of 2017. It also includes the BLM's Yukon Office currently evaluating a proposed community winter access snow trail route to Anaktuvuk Pass with that environmental assessment that's currently underway.

The project involves annual winter construction of improved snow trails for use by local residents along historically established rologon trails between Utqiagvik and Atqasuk, Wainwright, Nuiqsut and Drill Site 2P and the Anaktuvuk Pass and the Dalton Highway. The project focuses on maintaining existing trails and managing public safety. The term of the permit granted is 2017 for five years. So that will expire in the winter of 2022-23.

 The project is known as the North Slope Borough Community Winter Access Trails and it's expected to demonstrate the Borough's capability to provide winter overland access to North Slope Borough communities located adjacent to or within confines of the Federal NPR-A.

The Borough is coordinating establishment and development of the winter access trails. Eskimos, Incorporated is serving as the North Slope Borough prime contractor for the winter trails and responsible for subcontractors conducting work on the trails.

Okay, next one. The ASTAC fiber optic line in preparation for submitting a right-of-way application to the BLM for a broadband internet system within a fiber optic cable corridor. The ASTAC completed a winter survey of the route. The winter survey was conducted by snowmachine to investigate the 70-mile plan cable route between Utgiagvik and Atgasuk.

The proposed route follows the gas field pipeline to a southern terminus and then continues south toward Atqasuk, paralleling at commonly used winter travel corridor. The route was selected as it follows or parallels the two villages winter trail, utilizes existing infrastructure, avoids conflicts with eider nesting areas, camps, cabins, cultural resources and minimizes large stream crossings.

This preferred route was based on

ASTAC's technical parameters with input from regulatory agencies. ASTAC is setting a half-mile corridor covering approximately 33 miles to account for minor deviations. For the right-of-way there are three major river crossings that require additional analysis to determine the type of method used to cross the major rivers.

BLM will prepare an environmental assessment of the proposed cable installation and seeks preliminary input from stakeholders on river crossing methods. ASTAC proposes to suspend the cable over the rivers and BLM may consider analyzing an alternative scenario, which would include burying the cables under the rivers.

MS. KIPPI: Wanda Kippi from Atqasuk. I had gone to this meeting and after the meeting there was people talking to me about the fiber optic going through the rivers -- on the rivers. I wonder how are they going to -- they mentioned a couple things about doing it over with poles and across the river and then some mention about the fiber optic going under to the bottom.

Maybe that might be the best option because if there's one over it might -- over time that weather, that wind and blowing snow, it's going to come apart if they don't take care of that fiber optic if it's above in the air.

MR. VOSBURGH: Yeah. That's a concern.

MS. KIPPI: Because we got high winds too. We get high winds. There was some other ones. I can't remember what I recall from other concerned members of the community. That's interesting how they're going to try and do this. I heard they did it under water down further south, somewhere down that way.

MR. VOSBURGH: Wherever the river crossings will be they'll use a borer to bore under the rivers and string it through that.

MS. KIPPI: Okay. Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ VOSBURGH: Next slide. This slide is just kind of a reference slide for discussing BLM's

permitting activity spreadsheet. It can be accessed online at that website, the NPR-A SAP website.

This is my final slide just for informational purposes if people are looking for specific information on projects, activities.

MS. KIPPI: On the fiber optic from Barrow to Atqasuk, about the cable that's going to go, that's going to do that, with the cable that they're going to use, if it freezes will it break? That was one of the concerns if it got stumbled over or driven over or something. Will it break if they drive over it or something stomps on it when it's frozen?

MR. VOSBURGH: My understanding that a majority of the cable route the cable will be laid on the surface and there's no concern about the cable being broken or anything from being run over. They're pretty much indestructible.

MS. KIPPI: Even though if it's frozen, if it freezes?

MR. VOSBURGH: Yeah.

MS. KIPPI: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Just real quick. There were some concerns about snowmachine strikes. I know the thought that it's going to rest on the tundra and nestle itself. To the extent that maybe the first year while they're doing that they need to monitor it because it may not nestle right away during its installation while there's active subsistence going on.

The concern was -- like you said, it's supposed to be indestructible and if one of the skis on the snowmachine got caught on it while it hadn't nestled in yet and causing a turnover on a potential -- because that's a highway. That area is a highway a lot of young folks -- you know, they're going 30 miles an hour sometimes.

MR. SHEARS: Old folks too.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I used to go 55 miles

an hour when I was young, but now I only do about 15.

(Laughter)

MR. VOSBURGH: Okay. Well, any more questions? If not, I'll thank the Chairman and Council for this opportunity.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. If you could provide for the Council -- so the first scoping meeting for that Willow EIS was here in Utqiagvik on Monday and I know all our Utqiagvik based Council members were out of town on Monday so weren't able to make that. There are scoping meetings coming up in Atqasuk and Nuiqsut. Could you let us know what those dates are and also other opportunities. I know sometimes they're teleconferenced, but I don't know if these are.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. If we're done with the slides, I'm going to ask the Council to get back to their areas so that we can continue the meeting and see if there's any additional questions for BLM before we get him off the hook.

MR. OOMITTUK: This is Point Hope. I wish I was there to see all this. Getting cut off with static sometimes we lose contact. Do you have a presentation copy that's being sent out?

MS. PATTON: Through the Chair. Hi, Steve. So BLM was working on compiling all these updates for you. I think I'll be able to get an electronic copy that I can print and mail to you. Similar for Rosemary.

 MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. I'm over here at the North Slope Borough Coordinator's Office. I don't know if you can scan them and get them to Kristi because she said she could print them out for me if you've got them available right now or tomorrow.

MS. PATTON: At the moment I don't personally have access to email right now, but I got a nod from Tim. I think another member of our staff does have internet connection here so we could forward that on to Kristi Frankson to print out. I believe, Tim,

you're here tomorrow as well. We want to make sure we had an opportunity to take this up if the Council wanted to make any formal recommendations just in case we lose quorum tomorrow. Gordon sounds hopeful we'll still have many of the folks here. But Tim will still be here, so if there's questions that come up that you'd like to have addressed, we'll have more opportunity for discussion tomorrow as well.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. Any other further questions for BLM before we let them off. I have one quick question. Dave Yokel you said do all of this stuff. So are you Dave's replacement person?

MR. VOSBURGH: Mr. Chairman, Council. Yes, I am. I've been in the office now since March.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. I just wanted to -- you know, I worked with him a long time. I do have a question and it's not related to this, but it was some time ago that we had expressed concern with BLM to work more closely with the local government as well. One of the things that became an issue was back in the '90s and early 2000s to mid 2000s BLM was cleaning up Federal land.

I mean literally a different type of a cleanup. It's more or less picking up paleontological resources like mastodon tusks, mastodon bones, and putting them all together in a big pile and shipping them out with Hertz out of the Ikpikpuk area and those kind of resources are traditionally used by local indigenous groups for handicrafts and art.

From what I've seen in the past there were -- I was told not to go in there, but I went in the tents that were sheltering these things and they were just packed wall to wall with tusks of mastodons and things like that that BLM was taking out of here. What their disposition were I don't know. We have even local ordinances that you can't do that and the Federal government taking those kinds of resources off of the land like that. I know it's Federal government land, but there are laws in place to protect those kinds of things.

It was not something you need to answer, but I just wanted to convey that. I think Mike Kunz and those other groups that were doing these

things and that was reported to us in our inspections. Those were some of the things we found out. Those are the working relationship issues that we need to develop with the local around here on why certain things like that happen and out of the purview of the local government.

MS. PATTON: One last question. I'm sorry. Did I miss it, Tim? Were you able to find the dates for the upcoming scoping meetings? Thank you.

MR. VOSBURGH: Mr. Chair and Council. The dates -- the next planned scoping meeting is in Fairbanks on the 22nd, today, and then Anchorage on the 23rd and then on the 27th in Atqasuk and Nuiqsut on the 28th and Anaktuvuk Pass on the 29th.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you.

 ${\tt MS.}$ KIPPI: Is there a time on your

dates?

2.4

MS. DAGGETT: Do you want for Atqasuk? For the Atqasuk meeting it is open house at 6:30, presentation starts at 7:00 and public comments 7:30.

MS. KIPPI: Thank you.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. I think that concludes Item (e) on section 10. If there are no further questions for BLM, I'm going to go down the line and go to item 11, agency reports. There's a little note here keep your reports time limit to 15 seconds.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Fifteen minutes, unless approved in advance. So nobody's gotten approval in advance, so you're limited to 15 minutes. So tribal governments. Any agency reports from tribal governments.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: We have Native Village of Barrow shaking their head saying they don't have a report to provide. Native organizations.

Page 114

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: We don't have a Native organization present. I'm going to go down to Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. North Slope region projects reports. I think we did that. That was Karen Hyer and.....

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. That's actually reports from researchers that have been conducting FRMP projects in the region. Randy Brown has been conducting a couple different research projects up here both for whitefish and for Dolly Varden char. He's flying in this evening and will be available to present to the Council tomorrow.

In addition to that, our OSM anthropologist Joshua Ream had assisted Beth Mikow, who is the current Subsistence Division anthropologist for the North Slope region, and she's been working on a project on the Meade River. She wasn't able to attend in person, but I think Josh is prepared to provide some of those updates to share with the Council as well on the Meade River.

Maybe we can just check in terms of the Council strategy how you want to do that. Randy will be here tomorrow to be able to present if you want to hear the FRMP projects at the same time.

MR. SHEARS: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, it looks like there's some concurrence there. Maybe we'll do that when the person comes in and do them at the same time. That seems more efficient approaching that.

With that, let's go down to Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Steve, we're not going to go on a break, but the presenter needs about a minute to present. It looks like another PowerPoint.

MR. OOMITTUK: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I don't know if you have a copy or if one was provided to you to follow along. I'm seeing some heads nodding no. Again, it would have been beneficial if you were here. We apologize you don't have a PowerPoint to follow along

the dialogue. So we're getting ready to watch the show.

MR. OOMITTUK: All right. Thank you.

(Pause)

MS. DAGGETT: Good afternoon. My name is Carmen Daggett and I work for Alaska Department of Fish and Game and I'm your area biologist for the North Slope. It's been a pleasure to listen to all of your comments and your knowledge today. I look forward to meeting with you much more in the future.

Today I'm going to be talking about the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd and what we've been working on recently and try to put that in a little bit of context. Next slide, please.

 First I'm going to talk about the current distribution, which I'm sure you're more familiar with, but I just wanted to kind of lay it out. Then we're going to talk about the most recent population estimate. I'm going to talk a little bit about short yearling surveys that we did this spring. The parturition surveys that were worked on this summer, the caribou collaring project that is ongoing and then a little bit of information about the caribou registration permit as requested.

You can kind of see the distribution of the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd throughout the North Slope. Obviously they also kind of intermix with the Western Arctic Caribou Herd some and so you can see they kind of extend further down the Seward Peninsula and a little bit further south of that. Just for context mostly.

 Next slide. So I'm sure that most of you are very familiar with the photo census. Basically it's just a method that we evaluate the number of caribou in the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd. We start out by doing radiotelemetry on the caribou during the summer usually in July and then we wait until the insects get really intense, causing the caribou to group up, and then we photograph the caribou in those groups and use those digital photos and our GIS to make mosaics of those images and then count them on computers.

You can see on the image on the left there's kind of a picture of what we would be looking at when we're counting the caribou on the computer. One of the final products that we'd use to evaluate those numbers. If you look at the different color of dots on that image, you can see we're marking them as adults and calves in that picture. The picture on the right is actually a picture from the Western Arctic photo census that I took doing that in 2017. You can see all the caribou coming down the gorge there.

So our most recent estimate was from 2017 for Teshekpuk Caribou. We had a minimum count of 56,255 and a Rivest estimate of 55,614. I'm guessing that right now you're wondering why there's that discrepancy between the estimate and the minimum count. That is mostly due to the fact that there were a couple of groups, actually 12 groups, that didn't have collars in them, but were actually visually spotted by the plane. Those groups were also photographed and included in that minimum count, but they would not be included in the Rivest estimate. So that's why the minimum count number is larger.

Next slide, please. To put it in a little bit of context, you can kind of see previous years and the counts that were done using the old method and then the 2017 that was done that was the first year that we used the digital photography instead of the black and white photograph method.

Last year the difference in numbers of caribou seem to have increased some although it's difficult to make comparison between those two because of the different methods included. Moving forward it will be interesting to see how 2017 numbers compare to numbers in the future.

During the summer we also do parturition surveys to look at the number of calves that cows are having and also to look at indications of them being pregnant. So they're done each summer and we do radiotelemetry to find the cows that we have collared. We're specifically looking at cows that are three years and older because those are the cows that are most likely to be pregnant and we observed them over a period of a couple days looking for signs like hard antlers, distended udders and presence of calves as signs of being parturient. And during this time

period we also evaluate neonate body weights.

Next slide, please. So this map, if you look at the blue dots, those are cow caribou this year that had some signs of being parturient and the purple dots are cows that had calves at heel. The planes are where we landed while we were doing this work and the one yellow triangle in the upper left-hand side of Teshekpuk Lake was a bear that we saw. So just some interesting kind of layout of where we saw those cows.

There were 55 in total that we observed that were three years and older, 92 or 93 almost presented or showed some signs of being pregnant and 47 percent of those had a calf following them around. That's kind of the information from that survey.

Stop me if you have questions along the way. Don't feel like you have to wait until the end. I know sometimes I have questions along the way and then I go like I'm going to forget that. So feel free to interrupt me if you like. I should have said that earlier.

There's a collaring project that we've been working on to try to maintain a certain number of caribou collars in the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd. This year we collared 35 caribou, 20 female yearlings, 11 adult females that were recaptured that had been collared before and then four adult males that we collared.

During this time period we also take advantage of the fact that we have those caribou in hand and we do a variety of other things to try to make use of the fact that we have our hands on them. One of them we just started this year is a hoof exploratory study. We took scrapings from the females that were specifically adults and we were looking -- we were taking scrapings mostly to try to see if there's any ties between what we know about their pregnancies and the contents of their hooves. So that's something that we're working on right now. It's kind of in the exploratory stages.

MR. SHEARS: Is that like a nutritional study or nutritional health study?

MS. DAGGETT: I think they're looking at a combination of maybe possibly hormones that are in the hooves on top of other changes that you might see throughout the growth of that hoof. Specifically the females that we were looking at were ones that we recaptured that we have some history already, historical knowledge of how many calves those females had and when.

During that time period we were also collecting feces, hair and we were looking at body condition of those animals that we had our hands on. We were also doing nasal swabs, looking for different diseases and we're also interested in looking at Movi. Maybe you've heard about Movi in the news. It's been out for a bit here, but if you have any questions about that I can answer more about that.

We're also looking at jaw length, girth, leg bone length and weight for yearlings only. We weren't lifting those big males up trying to figure out how much they weighed.

Next slide. So during this time period both during the collaring project and also during the short yearling surveys and during parturition surveys we're looking at adult female mortality. Adult female mortality, as I'm sure most of you know, is a really important indicator to keep an eye on how the population is doing.

 Adult females are the best predictor of population trend and they're obviously key in introducing — they're the engines of the population where they can contribute calves coming in. So we keep regular track of adult female mortality and this year there was a 9 percent adult female mortality in collar year 2017. That is compared to the long-term adult female mortality of 15 percent. So this year they actually were doing a little bit better than they normally would, so that's good to know.

So you guys requested information about the RC907 caribou registration permit, so I've been working hard on this. I went to Wainwright on August 9th and I visited Wanda in Atqasuk on the 16th.

MS. KIPPI: While I was sewing.

```
MS. DAGGETT: While she was sewing.
 2
     Along with many others who were also sewing.
     plan to travel to Anaktuvuk Pass soon here, Nuigsut and
 3
 4
     Point Lay in August or September. I hope to visit all
     of the villages in the region. Obviously I'm residing
     in Utqiagvik, so I'm here for people if they want to
 6
 7
     come in and talk with me. I've been getting a lot of
 8
     phone calls actually in my office here. It's been
 9
     really good to meet people and I look forward to the
10
     rest of those visits.
11
12
                     Next slide.
                                  So this is how many
13
     permits have been or got distributed in 2017. I don't
14
     know if you guys -- it's just kind of interesting to
15
     note. I know that all of the villages had been visited
16
     in 2017 as well by Letty Hughes, myself and Brian
     Klimstra. Between all of us we made efforts to get out
17
18
     to all of them. Actually I had gone to Point Hope too
19
     in Unit 23 while I was working there as well in 2017.
20
     That's just kind of some general information for you
21
     guys.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Just a question.
24
25
                     MS. DAGGETT:
                                  Sure.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: We heard earlier that
28
     the permit registration process has not been....
29
30
                     (Reporter moves microphone)
31
32
                                       I thought I was loud
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
33
     enough that that thing was capturing me.
34
35
                     (Laughter)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: That it hasn't been
     posted on the Federal Register yet on the Federal
38
     public lands and the implementation for permit
39
40
     registration by the State. Do you think that's the
41
     disparity between what's going on? It looks like you
42
     had, what, 17 from Barrow or something like that.
43
44
                     MS. DAGGETT: Yeah.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: And you really should
47
     have 400, you know.
48
49
                     MS. DAGGETT: Yeah.
50
```

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Maybe the issue when the Federal Register is out and that Federal public lands is going to require you to have a -- be registered for the permit itself. I wonder if that's what's going to be the driver to say, hey, we really need to get on board here, you know, that kind of thing.

(Laughter)

MS. DAGGETT: Yeah, I'm sure that it would help. I think that in addition to having that alignment that it's going to take some time to let people know that this is going on and that this is something that they need to think about. We're definitely committed to working on that in the long haul here. So that's definitely something -- that outreach part of things is definitely something we're working on.

Any other questions about the Teshekpuk

Herd?

(No comments)

MS. DAGGETT: So in 2017 the reporting period would have ended July 15th and we're still collecting reports from 2017. As I'm going to visit all those villages that I was listing earlier I'm talking with the hunters who got reports from 2017 and trying to get their reports and calling them and things. So we're definitely working closely with the hunters that have gotten them already to get those reports back so we can get some information from them.

We're still working on distributing permits for 2018. If you're interested in getting a caribou permit, I have some with me.

So I need to switch slide shows here because I'm also giving the presentation for the Western Arctic Caribou Herd. So give me a second.

(Pause)

MS. DAGGETT: So this is the information about the Western Arctic Caribou Herd. As a disclaimer, this is not an area I'm particularly super familiar with although I'm probably a little bit

more familiar with this since I've come from Unit 23 up here. So I do have some knowledge of this.

 Next slide, please. So this is the distribution of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd ranges. If you're interested in the overlap between the two, I have two large maps. One that shows the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd and their ranges and then the Western Arctic Caribou Herd. You can see that the Western Arctic Caribou Herd encompasses a lot of the area at some points that the Teshekpuk Caribou Herd does and then extends as far south as Grayling all the way down the coast.

Next slide, please. So just to kind of give you some general information, the first thing I'm going to talk about is some biological data and then the 2017 census data and then the calf survival study that's been worked on. I have helped with all those things with my work from Kotzebue.

2.4

In 2016-2017, the adult female survival average was 84 percent. In 2017, the yearling recruitment was pretty high, 22 per 100 adults. October 16 through June 17 the calf survival was pretty high, about 90 percent. In 2017, calf production was also high at 83 percent. I'd like to clarify and make sure that you understand these are 2017 numbers and not 2018 numbers.

In September 2017, body condition was high. Calf weights were 92 pounds. I think the average for Teshekpuk caribou is around 90. The adult body condition was there were none that were skinny, but they only really looked at four of them. In October of 2017 herd composition was 54 bulls per 100 cows, which is good and calves were 57 per 100 cows, which is pretty high too. So those metrics from 2017 worked good.

I know that for the 2018 data that Alex Hansen mentioned to me, he's the caribou biologist out of the Kotzebue office that the adult female survival wasn't as good as it was in 2017. So that's something to keep in mind.

There were some concerns about how harvest and overharvesting cows and making sure that there were enough cows that were there to produce

calves as I mentioned before. There's been some notes about annual variation of migration patterns and that can influence how caribou are harvested. I'm sure Esther is pretty familiar with that particular topic.

We need to work on tracking harvest in order to manage effectively, which is what we're working on with this RC907 permit. Without good data managers err on the side of conservation. They tend to be more conservative about the number of caribou that can be taken versus having -- if you have a better idea of how many are being harvested, you can manage a little bit more liberally. So that's something to keep in mind.

 I've kind of already talked about this a little bit, but the image on the bottom is a good example of the difference between what we were counting before on the left-hand side with the digital film versus counting them with a computer on the right-hand side. You can see how much more clear that is and how much more accurate that might make somebody who's trying to be effective at counting.

We can also get a wider operating range with the new film system and you can photograph in better light conditions that might have prevented us from getting a good image before. So there's lot of advantages to the new counting system that we used in 2017.

42.

Next slide, please. So if you're interested in getting more information about how the old compared with the new as far as a few photo censuses that we had in the past, 2017 versus 2016, 2013 and 2011, you can take a look at those just for some data comparisons there. You can kind of see that in 2017 that we found 26 groups with collars and each group had about four collars and there were 13 groups that had one or more collars in comparison to the other years. Having them broken up into smaller groups makes a difference in your ability to be able to find all of them.

May I have the next slide, please. So in 2017 the minimum count was greater than 239,055 and they did not do a count in 2018. They tried to, but weather conditions were not optimal for doing that.

MR. SHEARS: A lot of cloud cover.

MS. DAGGETT: Yeah. I was watching the weather pretty closely all summer and there was maybe two days that might have worked this summer for that and the staff that we needed to do it was unavailable during that time period. So that's why the 2018 photo census didn't happen for the Teshekpuk Herd. The Rivest estimate was a little bit higher for the Western Arctic Herd, 259,000 plus or minus 26,79. Opposite what happened in the Teshekpuk Herd.

Next slide, please. You can kind of see that the Western Arctic Herd showed an increase in the 2017 timeframe as well in comparison to the previous years. I remember from discussions that even though there's this new method that the amount of difference between the previous year's count and that year's count was so dramatic and there were many other parameters that we were looking at too that we're all agreeing with the fact that that population had increased.

 It would have been interesting to know this year because I know some of those indicators had changed some if that had agreed with a 2018 count, but I guess we won't know until we get another count.

Next slide, please. We're looking at across the bottom years obviously and then the number of calves per hundred adults or number of short yearlings. So the blue line is calf recruitment, number of short yearlings per 100 adults and the red line is adult cow mortality or the number of cows that have died per 100 collared cows.

When we see the blue line increasing, that's going to indicate the population is going to go up. We've got more youngsters in the crowd. With adult cow mortality going down, that's obviously more of a problem. So keeping track of what those two things are doing together kind of indicates what the population trend is doing. So you can kind of see that through time.

Next slide, please. So the calf mortality study. There's 70 calves that were collared between June 3rd and June 6th and they were targeting ages 24 to 48 hours from birth and they were trying to

limit the amount of time of contact with less than 60 seconds to try to prevent calves from separating from their moms. I guess eight additional collars were redeployed after some of those calves perished in the early days of their lives. There were some predators that were taking some calves and calves were dying for various reasons during the beginning of the study, so they were re-deployed.

The average weight was 6.6 kg. I should have put that in pounds. I'll remember that for next time. High is 9.5 kg and low is 3.5. They had a total of 77, 70 that were put out initially and then 7 more were re-deployed.

Next slide, please. They kind of characterized the different timeframes that mortality was occurring. Breaking it down on calving ground versus post calving in summer, fall and winter and then spring. When this presentation was created 27 had passed away; 67 percent of them, which were 18 calves, perished on the calving grounds, 18 percent, which was 5, died after post-calving during the summer and then 15 percent perished, which was 4 animals that died during the fall or winter. Obviously more vulnerable when they're younger.

MR. SHEARS: If they can survive three months, they just might live.

MS. DAGGETT: Yeah, definitely seems like they're more susceptible to mortality before that time period for sure.

During that time period they concluded that predation has been the leading cause of mortality. Twenty of the 70 that were out there, 74 percent of them, were due to predation. Thirteen by brown bears, five by eagles, one by wolf and one by an unknown predator. That's the conclusions that they came up with from that mortality study.

 There's a little bit more information on the next slide. There were a few others that passed away. Four percent drowned, which was one animal that drowned in the Utukok. I remember Alex saying that. Two of them were abandoned by their moms and then the other ones were unknown. One was underneath the ice in a creek and three had not been visited yet. So

 Page 125

survival to date was 65 percent.

In summary, the recent decline has kind of stabilized or reversed by most recent data. There's still good signs biologically that the Western Arctic Caribou Herd is doing pretty good. The calf survival study is on track and we're working on harvest data.

If you're interested in reading more details, I brought the Western Arctic Caribou Trails with me too. If you didn't get one of those in your mailbox, everybody should have gotten one to all box holders, but I brought copies with me too so you can take one of those with you. There's actually some really great information in there this time.

With that, do you have any other questions?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I do. This is Gordon. Just a little concerned about the calves that perished with the collar. Did any of those calves die because of the collar?

MS. DAGGETT: Do you mean that it physically caused them to die? Like having it on them caused them to die or do you mean getting collared caused them to do? Do you know what I mean?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I think you're not going to kill them trying to put it on, but over the course of that little animal wearing it I've heard some concerns from other hunters where they harvested a caribou where the collar was so tight on it that it had worn away to the leather and the fur had come off.

MS. DAGGETT: Right.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: That may be -- I mean those kind of issues. I'm just concerned because you're dealing with very, very newborn almost calves. And to suddenly give them something to carry around. I don't know. It seems like there should be a less intrusive way of doing those like what we do with bowhead whales for six or eight months. I think some of those satellite things on the caribou last -- I mean on the whale last for a couple years now. They're very small.

MS. DAGGETT: Right. So the adult

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

collars versus the calf collars are actually quite significantly different. The calf collars, the receiver on them is about this big. It's pretty small. I don't think that they're programmed to stay on them for very long. As the calf grows, then they expand and there's like an accordion sort of stretch that happens on the collar as the calf grows and then eventually it breaks and falls off.

Do you know exactly how long they stay

 on, Phil?

MR. PERRY: We hope for about a year.

MS. DAGGETT: Okay. So they're supposed to stay for the first year of their life and then after that it comes off. So as far as the number of calves that are dying as a result of being collared, I believe there was two that could potentially be tied to that in the study because they were noted as abandonment. Two animals that their mom didn't stay with them. That could be part of doing that work.

I believe when they were talking about doing this study that the biologist was very honest about that being a possibility of happening. Having 70 animals collared, 77 animals collared and having two of them die from abandonment, that's only 7 percent of the total group. Not that it's insignificant, but it's a smaller proportion.

MS. PATTON: I'm just going to pipe in real quick. For folks on teleconference, unless we've got a Council member or someone trying to speak up, if you could mute your phones. You can push *6 and that works on a cell phone or regular phone. That will mute the background noise so everyone can hear. Thank you.

MR. SHEARS: It looks like a VHF frequency from that length of antenna.

MS. DAGGETT: Yes.

MR. SHEARS: It looks like it would tickle the mother during feeding. I don't know if that's a disturbance. Maybe 800 MHZ frequency would be less intrusive.

MS. DAGGETT: I think that particular

collar -- I don't remember the antennas being that long on the ones that I saw.

MR. SHEARS: Okay.

MS. DAGGETT: That one looks particularly long to me.

MR. SHEARS: My question is the Western Arctic Caribou Herd's working group meeting in December. What information is forthcoming for presentation at that meeting that we're not already getting right here from you?

MS. DAGGETT: The 2018 data for sure.

MR. SHEARS: Will you have it by then?

 MS. DAGGETT: I think that you're going to get some of it. You're going to get probably more calf survival information, calf mortality information and you're probably also going to get more adult female mortality information from 2018.

That being said, I'm not the one that's giving the Western Arctic Caribou Herd presentation, so for me to speak for Alex is a little bit presumptuous maybe.

MR. SHEARS: Is there predator studies reports going to be presented concurrent to that meeting? I know that's a big issue with the special interest groups that would like to bring predator hunting to the forefront, make it more permittable, more socialized. Make it more available to sport hunters.

There's always an agenda to increase the harvest limits of wolves and bears because it relates -- it was during the reduction of the herd when we were observing the crash of the population. There was a lot of people that were leveraging that to increase pressure on predator hunting, harvesting of predators.

MS. DAGGETT: Right.

MR. SHEARS: Very interesting that only one of those mortalities could be accounted to wolves.

MS. DAGGETT: I think the time of year that this data came out might have something to do with that because I think they were still a bit further north. I think that perhaps there might be more to attribute to that later and that might be something that you might get from the Western Arctic Caribou Herd Working Group meeting.

I think when they're younger, they're well out of the range of where most of the wolves would be when they're up on the calving grounds and as they get older and shift further south they're obviously going to come into more areas where there may be more wolves.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{SHEARS}\colon$$ In the wintertime is more of a wolf hunting time.

MS. DAGGETT: They're more susceptible, yeah. So it would be interesting to see how that compares now versus then. I think Alex will probably have more of that data for you in the future.

As far as your question about liberalization of predator hunting regulations and survey work and that sort of thing, I know that there's been some push to liberalize predator regulations and not just from guides either. I guess I have to speak from my perspective of being in Unit 23 a little bit more because I've spent more time there in recent years than I have here, so I have a lot to learn here and I'm hoping that you guys will teach me a lot in addition to trying to be observant about what's happening on my own as well. I know that there's a lot to learn and I'm very aware of that.

In Unit 23 it seemed like that local hunters were also very interested in being able to take more predators and liberalizing predator regulations and they did that in 23 actually. They increased the bear limit from 1 to 2 for residents. I know that they've also made more permits available for nonresident hunters for brown bears as well.

Wolf limits are pretty liberal to start with in both regions and I believe that you can actually take one brown bear year round here in Unit 26A, which is actually different than it is in 23. In 23 you have a season still. It's a pretty liberal hunt

Page 129

too, but if there were ever any changes you guys wanted to make to those things I would be happy to help you through any of that process if you were interested.

Any other questions.

MS. HUGO: This is Esther Hugo. Is there a possibility or a way of getting -- instead of that huge collar on the caribou? I mean, God, we've got some geniuses and we've got little chips in our cell phones, you know. It doesn't have to be this huge collar around their neck. Maybe their ear or somewhere in the caribou other than that collar.

MS. DAGGETT: Yeah, that's a really good question and I think there's always room for improvement. Currently we have lots of collars out throughout both the Western Arctic and Teshekpuk Caribou Herd. To my understanding, they must be the best that is available for what we have to work with right now for the time period that we would like to keep monitoring them.

In the future, maybe there will be better options. I'm not aware of any particular ones that would be as effective as the ones that we have now, but that doesn't mean that there won't be or that there aren't.

MS. HUGO: Do you guys ever check the collared ones and check underneath their skin if they got any, you know, meat, flesh or whatever?

MS. DAGGETT: Right. So the ones I looked at this summer when we were pulling the collars off of them all seemed like they were in good shape. I didn't really see any signs of rub or wear on the animals themselves. We really try to minimize that as much as we can. I haven't seen any personally, but I'm sure it exists to some degree. We care for the well being of the animals too and we try to do a good job with that to prevent some of that.

MS. HUGO: Gee, I hope so because it's years and years that you've been using collars.

MS. DAGGETT: For sure.

MS. HUGO: There's got to be a devise

```
Page 130
     that can be so small. Oh, well, maybe in the future.
 2
     Thank you.
 3
 4
                     MS. DAGGETT:
                                   Quyanaqpak. Any others?
 5
 6
                     (No comments)
 7
8
                     MS. DAGGETT:
                                   Thank you for your time.
9
10
                     MR. LEAVITT: Joe Leavitt, for the
11
     record, Native Village of Barrow. You mentioned that
     the numbers looked good for the caribou in both herds.
12
13
     Are they starting their upward climb again?
14
15
                     MS. DAGGETT: So it would appear that
16
     that is true for both herds, yes.
17
18
                     MR. LEAVITT: So would that keep our
19
     harvest levels at where they're at right now?
20
21
                     MS. DAGGETT: Right. So bag limits
22
     haven't changed since you switched them last.
23
24
                     MR. LEAVITT:
                                  One thing you want to get
25
     is more data on harvesting, right?
26
27
                     MS. DAGGETT:
                                   Right.
28
29
                     MR. LEAVITT:
                                   Are there more people
30
     around Barrow that are getting harvest tickets permits?
31
32
                     MS. DAGGETT: So I would say there's
33
     definitely a lot of room for improvement on that, but
34
     we have them available and you can also get them
35
     online.
36
37
                     MR. LEAVITT:
                                   When you get the harvest
38
     permits, that's just to get numbers on what is being
39
     taken, right?
40
41
                     MS. DAGGETT:
                                   Correct. And also where
42
     they're being taken from.
43
44
                     MR. LEAVITT: Are you going to work on
45
     maybe simplifying the permits, how they work? How are
46
     you going to improve the permits?
47
48
                     MS. DAGGETT: So if you have particular
49
     recommendations on how you think those permits could be
50
```

improved, I'd be interested in talking with you about that. We have a structure that's set up right now that's in the first stages of being used. We've only really had it in for one full year, just slightly into our second year. The new ones came out in July. So if you have comments on those, I have a copy of those permits with me and you can take a look at them and see what you think might be a way that you could recommend to improve it and we can talk about that.

MR. LEAVITT: I'm for the permit if it's just going to be to tell us how many are being taken, right. I'm for that. But probably got to notify the public that the permits are needed for that reason and that's for enforcement, you know. I would want to see permits handed out and more people getting them before they get penalties for that. I just don't want to see penalties put on our people.

MS. DAGGETT: Absolutely.

MR. LEAVITT: Maybe some of them are just ignoring them. Maybe there needs to be more -- maybe even a bigger talk show on the radio or something.

MS. DAGGETT: Yeah, that's a great idea, Joe. We can definitely work on doing something like that. I've definitely bee out of the office quite a bit during the summer trying to do all the caribou work, but I think that now that I've been able to be in the office a little bit more that I might be able to set something up like that. It would be great to have someone like yourself go on the radio with me.

MR. LEAVITT: Even the Borough. We've got to start working more together instead of being on our own. That's what I want to do, is I want to start working with all the other agencies. Maybe try to work as one instead of being divided all the time. That would be a real big plus for everybody if everybody wasn't divided all the time.

MS. DAGGETT: Yeah. I agree with you

MR. LEAVITT: I think that's something that needs more work and maybe I'll put a suggestion in your suggestion box or something.

```
Page 132
 1
                     MS. DAGGETT:
                                   That would be great.
 2
 3
                     MR. LEAVITT:
                                   Okay.
                                          Thank you.
 4
 5
                     MS. DAGGETT: Good comments, Joe.
 6
     Thanks.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Thank you, Carmen.
 9
10
                     MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council.
                                                          We
11
     do have -- when I was talking with Jason Caikoski I
     have his very brief summary. We weren't anticipating
12
     we'd get to that until tomorrow, so I'm not sure if
13
14
     either of you are familiar with Jason's schedule.
15
16
                     MS. DAGGETT:
                                   I'm not able to speak to
     his availability really. I thought I was going to be
17
     presenting tomorrow too. If he hasn't responded over
18
19
     the phone, I'm assuming he's not there.
20
21
                     MR. PERSON:
                                  I'm sorry, somebody was
22
     talking to me.
                     Was there a question for me?
23
24
                     MS. PATTON: Hi, Jason.
25
26
                     MR. PERSON: This is Brian Person.
27
28
                     MS. PATTON: Are you on?
29
30
                     MR. PERSON: No, this is Brian Person.
31
     Somebody was talking to me. Was somebody addressing
32
     me?
33
34
                     MS. PATTON: No, sorry. We were
35
     actually looking for Jason Caikoski. I don't think
     he's online right now. But just to let the Council
36
37
     know that we do have a Central Arctic and Porcupine
     Caribou Herd report and we were anticipating that we'd
38
39
     get to that tomorrow. I think Jason will be able to
40
     join us tomorrow for that.
41
42
                     Thank you.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, it's 5:00
45
     o'clock now and our scheduled time is until 5:00, I
46
     think. We just finished up the ADF&G. I'm going to
47
     ask what's the wish of the Council.
48
49
                     MR. SHEARS: Mr. Chair. Steve and I,
50
```

you, who else, has an obligation for a 9:00 a.m. Assembly meeting tomorrow morning. Steve, how long do you think that's going to take?

3 4 5

6

7

1 2

> MR. OOMITTUK: It would be an hour, hour and a half. If you need a quorum established, I can call in and be on to set up a quorum if needs to

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

CHAIRMAN BROWER: What's the wish of the Council? Do you guys want to stay a little bit extra? Considering Steve is going to be indisposed and I'm possibly going to be indisposed for that and Bob. Granted, it sounds like it's not going to be very long. Maybe an hour, hour and a half.

15 16 17

18 19

20

21 22

Having said that, I have an eye appointment at 9:00 on top of a meeting. So I'm going to refer to the Council. What do you guys want to do? Do you want to recess and try to reconvene? In order to move forward tomorrow do we need to first establish a quorum and then get going and then hand the Chair over to the Vice Chair and continue the meeting?

23 24 25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair. That would be helpful if you are available. You think you might et pulled for a little bit. The Council doesn't require quorum in order to hear informational updates and have a full discussion. Just formal recommendations would not be possible. As you noted, we covered the action items with the exception of agency reports. We did lose Rosemary during BLM discussion. There may be some input she may want to have on that as well in terms of any recommendations there.

34 35 36

37

38

39 40

41 42

MR. SHEARS: Mr. Chair. I really want to hear from Marcy and Joe on Gates of the Arctic and Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. I'd hate to miss those agency reports. It doesn't look like there's a lot of business left tomorrow. Could we convene at 11:00 a.m. and then finish the agenda from there by the end of the day? Would that be enough time? Would all you guys be okay with that?

43 44 45

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. So just to follow up.....

46 47 48

MR. OOMITTUK: I would be okay with

49 that.

```
MS. PATTON: So we have two Fisheries
 2
     Resource Monitoring Program project updates and Randy
 3
     Brown will be here tomorrow. We have Jason Caikoski
 4
     with the Central Arctic Herd. He was going to be
 5
     available tomorrow. We have Gates of the Arctic
     National Preserve. Both Marcy and Kyle Joly. He had
 6
 7
     limited availability until 1:00 tomorrow. So those are
 8
     the agency reports that are remaining, so I think
     there's ample time to cover tomorrow.
 9
10
11
                     MR. SHEARS: Would 11:00 a.m. be an
12
     appropriate start time to allow us to get those early
13
     ones?
14
15
                     MS. PATTON: I think we could cover the
16
     rest of those agency reports if we start at that time.
     For those that are not aware of the later start time
17
18
     I'll come in and get online so that anybody calling in
19
     is able to get that update if that's what the Council
20
     would like to do.
21
22
                     MR. SHEARS: What do you think, Steve?
23
     Would 11:00 a.m. start up time be okay in the morning
     for you?
2.4
25
26
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Yes, I would go with
27
     that 11 a.m. and go through lunch and everything.
28
29
                     MR. SHEARS: Yeah, I think we'd go
30
     through lunch.
                     Gordon is coming back now. Hold on.
31
                     MS. PATTON: We did have Vince Mathews
32
33
     online. Vince was going to be presenting for Arctic
34
     National Wildlife Refuge as well.
35
36
                     MR. MATHEWS: Right. I also have an
37
     update on the concerns on monitoring and law
38
     enforcement on the Dalton Highway.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, very important
41
     topics it seems like.
42
43
                     MR. SHEARS:
                                  11:00 a.m.?
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: I think that would be
46
     appropriate and I think we should be able to have a
47
     full quorum at that time. If that's okay and it
     doesn't hurt the public notice and stuff
48
```

like that.

49

Page 135 MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. Yeah, I think it would be very important to have a quorum if we can. The agency reports and that discussion is really important. Staff will man the teleconference for those couple hours in the morning so we can let the public know and also anybody that would come here to let them know that we'll be reconvening at 11:00. CHAIRMAN BROWER: I think that's appropriate at this time. I think some of the stuff we're wanting to hear is important and we still want to participate. I would think that recessing until 11:00 a.m. would be in order if that's okay with the Council. (Council nodding) CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. We stand at recess until 11:00 a.m. and the staff will man the teleconference and making sure that people are aware that the Council will reconvene at 11:00 a.m. 2.2 (Off record) (PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED)

```
CERTIFICATE
 1
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 4
                                      )ss.
 5
     STATE OF ALASKA
                                      )
 6
 7
             I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
 8
     state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
     Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
 9
10
11
             THAT the foregoing pages numbered 02 through
12
         contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
13
     NORTH SLOPE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME I
14
     taken electronically on the 22nd day of August 2018;
15
16
             THAT the transcript is a true and correct
17
     transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter
     transcribed by under my direction and reduced to print
18
19
     to the best of our knowledge and ability;
20
21
             THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party
22
     interested in any way in this action.
23
24
             DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 7th day of
25
     September 2018.
26
27
28
                             Salena A. Hile
29
                             Notary Public, State of Alaska
30
                             My Commission Expires:09/16/22
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```