SOUTHCENTRAL FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE

REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING

PUBLIC MEETING

GORDON WATSON CONFERENCE ROOM Anchorage, Alaska March 4, 2020 8:30 a.m.

## COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Greg Encelewski, Chairman Aaron Bloomquist Ed Holsten Andrew McLaughlin Michael Opheim Gloria Stickwan John Whissel Dennis Zadra

Regional Council Coordinator, DeAnna Perry

Recorded and transcribed by:

Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC 135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2 Anchorage, AK 99501 907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net

Phone: 907-243-0668

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Fax: 907-243-1473

```
SOUTHCENTRAL SUBSISTENCE RAC MEETING 3/4/2020
                                              SOUTHCENTRALRACMEETING
                                                            Page 2
                       PROCEEDINGS
  2
  3
                   (Anchorage, Alaska - 3/4/2020)
  4
  5
                      (On record)
  6
  7
                      CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Alrighty, good
  8
      morning folks. Welcome. Beautiful day out there.
 9
      Beautiful day to do business.
 10
 11
                      (Laughter)
 12
 13
                      CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Anyway I'm going
 14
      to go ahead and call the Southcentral Region Advisory
 15
      Council meeting to order March 4th here in the U.S.
      Fish and Wildlife Service building, and we're going to
 16
      start out with an invocation and then we'll go through
 17
 18
      the call for order.
 19
 20
                      But Gloria's going to go ahead and do
 21
      invocation for us. Thank you.
 22
 23
                      (Invocation)
 24
 2.5
                      CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you,
 26
      Gloria. Okay, we did -- we need to do the roll call.
 27
 28
                      MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. I can do the
 29
      roll call.
 30
 31
                      CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, thank you.
 32
 33
                      MS. PERRY: Edward Holsten.
 34
 35
                      MR. HOLSTEN: Here.
 36
 37
                      MS. PERRY: Greg Encelewski.
 38
 39
                      CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Here.
 40
 41
                      MS. PERRY: Diane Selanoff. I believe
 42
      she may be on the phone.
 43
 44
                      (No comments)
 45
```

MS. PERRY: Diane, do we have you on

(No comments)

46

47

48 49

50

the phone?

```
Page 3
                     MS. PERRY: Okay. Gloria Stickwan.
 1
 2
 3
                     MS. STICKWAN: Here.
4
5
                     MS. PERRY: Dennis Zadra.
 6
7
                     MR. ZADRA: Here.
8
9
                     MS. PERRY: Michael Opheim. I believe
10
    he's on the phone as well.
11
12
                     MR. OPHEIM: I'm here.
13
                     MS. PERRY: Thanks, Michael. Andrew
14
15
    McLaughlin.
16
17
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Here.
18
19
                     MS. PERRY: Aaron Bloomquist.
20
21
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Here.
22
23
                     MS. PERRY: John Whissel.
24
2.5
                     MR. WHISSEL: Here.
26
27
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. We have all of
28
    our Council members except for one participating. We
29
    do have a quorum.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, thank you,
    Deanna. Welcome and introductions. So generally we go
32
     around and we introduce everyone here on the Council
33
34
    but we're going to start with the audience I guess and
    we're going to introduce everyone and we'll get to know
35
36
     everyone. We'll see if we miss anyone, and then we'll
37
    get started. Also remind me, DeAnna, we got to make
    sure we get the phone people, too. But we could start
38
    anywhere we want and you guys could just maybe stand
39
40
    and introduce yourself.
41
42
                                  Hello. My name is Matt
                     MR. PICHE:
43
    Piche. I'm the Partners Program fish biologist for the
44
    Native Village of Eyak in Cordova, Alaska.
45
46
                     MS. PETRIVELLI: I'm Pat Petrivelli.
47
     The Bureau of Indian Affairs subsistence anthropologist
```

here in Anchorage.

48

```
Page 4
                     MR. RISDAHL: Greg Risdahl. I am the
2
    Fisheries Subsistence Division lead for OSM.
4
                    MR. SARAFIN: David Sarafin.
5
    fisheries biologist at Wrangell-St. Elias National Park.
 6
7
                     MR. HILDRETH: Hi. I'm Derek Hildreth.
8
    I'm the permit specialist at the Office of Subsistence
9
    Management.
10
11
                     MS. DAMBERG: Good morning. I'm Carol
12
    Damberg. And I'm with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
     Service as a regional subsistence coordinator.
13
14
15
                     MR. REAM: Good morning. I'm Joshua
16
     Ream. I'm the Alaska region, subsistence program
17
     manager for the National Park Service.
18
19
                    MS. CRAVER: Good morning. I'm Amy
    Craver, and I'm the subsistence coordinator for Denali
20
21
    National Park and Preserve.
22
23
                     MS. LEHMAN: Good morning. I'm Charity
24
    Lehman with the Department of Fish and Game, Board
25
    Support, I'm the Southcentral regional advisory -- or
26
    the Southcentral regional coordinator for the Advisory
27
    Committees.
28
29
                     MR. BURCHAM: Milo Burcham.
30
    subsistence coordinator for the Chugach National
31
    Forest.
32
33
                    MR. ANDERSON: Good morning, Mr. Chair
    and members of the Council. My name is Jeff Anderson
34
    and I'm with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Kenai Fish
35
36
     and Wildlife.
37
38
                     MR. PEARSON: Good morning. David
39
    Pearson, United States Forest Service, subsistence
40
    biologist.
41
42
                    MR. ADELFIO: Hello. My name is Luca
43
    Adelfio.
44
45
                     MS. LENHARTZEN: Good morning. My
    name's Valerie Lenhartzen, I'm the assistant manager
46
    for the BLM office in Glennallen.
47
48
49
                    MS. LUCERO: Good morning. I'm Jill
50
```

```
1
```

```
Page 5
     Lucero, Wildlife biologist for the Glennallen Field
2
    Office, BLM.
 3
4
                     MS. LAVINE: Good morning, Mr. Chair
 5
    and members of the Council. I'm Robbin LaVine, and I'm
 6
    the anthropologist for the Office of Subsistence
 7
    Management and Southcentral as well as Southwest and
8
     Bristol Bay and Kodiak/Aleutians.
9
10
                     MR. AYERS: Good morning, Mr. Chair and
11
    members of the Council. I'm Scott Ayers.
                                                I'm the
12
    fisheries biologist at the Office of Subsistence
13
    Management for this region.
14
15
                    MR. WHITFORD: Good morning. My name's
16
     Tom Whitford. I'm the Regional Subsistence Program
     Leader for the Alaska Region and the Forest Service.
17
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Alrighty. John,
20
    you want to start on your end there.
21
2.2
                     MR. WHISSEL: For a report?
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: No, your
2.5
    introduction.
26
27
                     MR. WHISSEL: Oh. John Whissel. I'm
28
    from Cordova representing the village of -- or, sorry,
29
    City of Cordova and Native Village of Eyak, Prince
30
    William Sound.
31
32
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Andy McLaughlin from
33
    Chenega Bay, Federally-qualified and rural subsistence
34
     user.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Greg Encelewski.
37
    Ninilchik.
38
39
                     MS. STICKWAN: Gloria Stickwan.
40
    Tazlina.
41
42
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Aaron Bloomquist.
43
    live half the year in Palmer and half the year in
44
    Copper Center and I'm -- I guess I represent a
45
     commercial seat on the RAC.
46
47
                     MR. HOLSTEN: Ed Holsten.
48
     Landing. I represent people of Cooper Landing and Hope
49
     and Moose Pass.
50
```

```
1
```

```
Page 6
                     MR. ZADRA: Dennis Zadra from Cordova.
     I guess I represent the commercial side in Cordova, as
     well as a Federally-qualified subsistence user myself.
4
5
                     MS. PERRY: Good morning everyone.
 6
     This is DeAnna Perry, Council Coordinator for the
 7
     Southcentral Regional Advisory Council.
 8
9
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, Michael, do
10
     you want to introduce yourself on the phone.
11
12
                     MR. OPHEIM: Michael Opheim.
                                                   Seldovia.
13
14
                     MS. PERRY: Diane, are you there yet?
15
16
                     (No comments)
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Is there any
19
     others on the phone out there we'd like to introduce.
20
                     MR. MILLER: Odin Miller, Partners
21
22
     anthropologist Ahtna Inter-Tribal Resource Commission.
23
24
                     CHRISTINE: Christine. I'm a UAA
25
     graduate student and I'm just a member of the public.
26
27
                     MR. ESKELIN: Todd Eskelin. Kenai
28
    National Wildlife Refuge.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Todd, you could
31
    have come.
32
33
                     (Laughter)
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Anyone else out
36
    there.
37
38
                     (No comments)
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, I think
41
    that kind of covers it here. We're going to move into
    the agenda review. And it looks like I got to do that,
42
43
     review and adopt the agenda. I got a little cheatsheet
44
     here that I got some additions so let me see what I
45
     got.
46
47
                     (Pause)
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Would you explain
50
```

Page 7

the additions that we want to put on here for the Council.

MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair.

The Office of Subsistence Management has received a special action regarding Unit -- or, I'm sorry, caribou in Unit 13 so we would like to go ahead and add that to the agenda as 11A and that's based on availability of the presenter. So it would actually go in front of 11A, right before the call for Federal fish and shellfish proposals under new business.

And then we also have an update on the deferred Wildlife Proposal 18-19, and if we could slip that in under 10B or as 10B under old business.

And those have been recent additions,

 Mr. Chair.

Thank you.

MS. STICKWAN: I have -- Wrangell-St. Elias took action on a special action request, 20-01 on caribou; was that added -- did you add that? Was that 13 you added?

 MS. PERRY: I would assume that we would also cover that when the proposal is talked about under 11, I guess you'd call it, A, that was a public comment from Wrangell-St. Elias Commission. So after we get the presentation we could add that at that time, would that be okay?

MS. STICKWAN: Okay.

MS. PERRY: All right, great.

Thank you.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Could you repeat what

 10B was.

MS. PERRY: Yes, 10B. A few years ago the Board deferred Wildlife Proposal 18-19 and so we do have a status update on that so our Division Chief for wildlife and the Office of Subsistence Management will provide that.

```
Page 8
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. I got one
2
    other that Andy would like to add under G, under 11.
4
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Federal jurisdiction
 5
    discussion.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Federal
8
    jurisdiction discussion. He wants to make sure we stay
 9
    here awhile.
10
11
                     (Laughter)
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Anyway.
14
15
                     MS. PERRY: And that's under new
16
    business, Mr. Chair.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay.
19
20
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. We added a
23
     few things here and I think I got them. We added under
     11A, and that's Unit 13. We added another one under
24
     Wildlife Proposal 18 and that's going to be under 10B.
25
26
     And then we added Federal jurisdiction, G. under 11,
27
    under new business. And we're going to discuss
28
    Gloria's thing under new business under call for
29
    Federal fish proposals.
30
31
                     So that's the additions I have. If I'm
32
    correct that's the agenda we're presenting to you guys,
33
    the Council here. So you could accept it, reject it or
34
    make a motion.
35
36
                     MS. STICKWAN: It's a wildlife
37
    proposal, not a fisheries proposal. It's for Unit 11,
38
    special action request.
39
40
                     MS. PERRY: Yes. Are you talking about
41
    the comments from the Commission, Gloria -- I believe
     we'll have that during the status update for the
42
43
    wildlife special action. So the wildlife special
44
     action 20-01, which is a special action on Unit 13
45
     caribou, it's my understanding we have received a
    formal comment from the Wrangell-St. Elias Subsistence
46
47
    Resource Commission and we'll discuss that at that
48
     time, if that's acceptable to the Council.
49
50
```

```
1
```

```
Page 9
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Bear with us,
    this is the confusing part, but we'll get through it.
     Hopefully we'll get through it.
 4
5
                     With that said, is there any additions,
 6
     any more?
 7
8
                     (No comments)
9
10
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none
11
     we'll go ahead and.....
12
13
                     MR. WHISSEL: Move to approve the
14
     agenda with the deferred Proposal 18, 19 as 10B with
15
     caribou as new 11A and Federal jurisdiction discussion
16
    as 11G.
17
18
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Second.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's been moved
21
    and seconded. Any discussion.
22
23
                     (No comments)
24
2.5
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Ouestion.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: All in favor,
28
     aye.
29
30
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
33
34
                     (No opposing votes)
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. We'll
37
     follow the revised adopted agenda.
38
39
                     Thank you.
40
41
                     Alrighty, we got the agenda all set and
42
     we got those things added in there.
43
44
                     The next order of business is the
45
     election of officers, if I'm correct, DeAnna.
46
47
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair. Would you
48
     like me to open the nominations for Chair or would you
49
     like your vice Chair to do that?
50
```

```
Page 10
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: You could do it.
    It says I could use an officer, you could do that,
     please.
4
5
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 6
     We'll now hold election of officers for the
 7
     Southcentral Subsistence Regional Advisory Council.
 8
9
                     Are there any nominations for the Chair
10
    position.
11
12
                     Mr. McLaughlin.
13
14
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I would nominate Mr.
15
    Encelewski here.
16
                     MS. PERRY: Richard Greg Encelewski has
17
18
    been nominated as Chair.
19
20
                     Any other nominations.
21
22
                     (No comments)
23
24
                     MS. PERRY: Seeing no other nominations
     I'll declare the nominations process closed. We have a
25
26
     nomination of Richard Greg Encelewski for the Chair
27
     position of the Southcentral Subsistence Regional
28
    Advisory Council.
29
30
                     If we have a motion to appoint him as
31
    Chair of the Council.
32
33
                     MR. WHISSEL: Move to appoint Mr.
34
    Encelewski as Chair to the Council.
35
36
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Whissel.
37
38
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Second.
39
40
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you for the second.
41
    All in favor please say aye.
42
43
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
44
45
                     MS. PERRY: All opposed, say nay.
46
47
                     (No opposing votes)
48
49
                     MS. PERRY: Congratulations, Mr.
50
```

```
Page 11
     Encelewski, you are our Chair once again.
 2
3
                                            Thank you.
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
4
     thought I got out of it. That's what happens, I guess.
     I know how it works.
 5
 6
 7
                     Anyway, thank you guys for your
 8
     confidence, all of you.
 9
10
                     And we'll press on, and slow me down if
11
     you need to.
12
13
                     Okay. I'm going to continue with the
14
     elections so we're going to open the floor for a
15
     nomination for vice Chair.
16
17
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I'd nominate Gloria
18
     Stickwan as vice.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Gloria's been
21
     nominated, is there additional.
22
23
                     MR. ZADRA: I'll second.
24
2.5
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's been
26
     seconded, thank you.
27
28
                     Any other nominations for vice Chair.
29
30
                     (No comments)
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none, I
33
     guess we could take a motion for Gloria.
34
35
                     MR. WHISSEL: Move to appoint Gloria
36
     Stickwan as vice Chair.
37
38
                     MR. HOLSTEN: Second.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's been moved
     and seconded to appoint Gloria for vice Chair. Any
41
42
    discussion.
43
44
                     (No comments)
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: All those in
47
    favor of Gloria, aye.
48
49
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
50
```

```
1
```

	Page 12
1	CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
2 · 3 4	(No opposing votes)
5 6 it works c	CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Gloria, see how okay.
8 9	(Laughter)
10	CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. We got one We need a nomination for secretary.
13 14 McLaughlin. 15	MR. WHISSEL: I nominate Andy
16 17 nominated, is	CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Andy's been there a second.
18	MR. HOLSTEN: Second.
20 21 22	CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by Ed.
22 23	MR. OPHEIM: Second.
	CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded in the no, that was Michael I think.
27	Okay, I'll take a motion on that.
29 30 31 McLaughlin.	MS. STICKWAN: I move to nominate Andy
33	MR. WHISSEL: Second.
37 other discuss	CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's been moved econded by John for Andy. Is there any sion.
38	(No comments)
40 41 42 in favor aye.	CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none, all
43	IN UNISON: Aye.
45	CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
47 48 49	(No opposing votes)
50	

```
Page 13
                      CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: No opposition.
 2
     Okay, that carries it.
 3
 4
                      Okay. Now, we have the officers for
 5
     the year, we're all going to go -- we're going to move
     into the minutes and we're going to review the previous
 6
     meeting minutes. That was our October 10th meeting, and the 11th, in Seward. I found them very accurate
 7
 8
 9
     and succinct and I don't know if you guys got any
     additions or corrections, but I looked through them.
10
11
12
                      MS. STICKWAN: I move to adopt the
13
     minutes.
14
15
                      CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I got a motion to
16
    adopt the minutes.
17
18
                      MR. WHISSEL: Second.
19
20
                      CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's been
21
    seconded by John. Any discussion.
22
23
                      (No comments)
24
2.5
                      CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Call the question
26
    on that.
27
28
                      MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Question.
29
30
                      CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. We got a
31
     question called to adopt the minutes as presented. All
32
     in favor, aye.
33
34
                      IN UNISON: Aye.
35
36
                      CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
37
38
                      (No opposing votes)
39
40
                      CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none the
41
     minutes that stand as approved.
42
```

Phone: 907-243-0668 Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Fax: 907-243-1473

Thank you.

phone I'm going to let you start first, okay.

Okay, now we're going to go into

MR. OPHEIM: All right. We went before

Council member reports and Michael, since you're on the

43

44 45

46

47 48 49

Page 14

the Board of Fish in Seward and got three of our subsistence fishing proposals passed. The one for the extended fishing period into June and one for distance, a shorter distance between nets. And one for more fishing area so we could try and get more people out fishing. And those were all passed with some amendments.

We've got our moist air incubator here in Seldovia. And I think we've got all our permits for the summer to try and get some coho returning to our area in greater numbers.

And the highlight of the winter so far is my permit for the Nelchina caribou hunt so I'm kind of happy about that. Otherwise the winter's been kind of slow.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good,
Michael, thank you. I don't know how you draw a permit
though, I just -- I just donate but okay. Thank you.
Very good.

John, you want to go next. Thank you.

MR. WHISSEL: Things in Cordova have been chugging along since last October. I think where we left off was our coho run not looking like it was doing so well and it didn't really seem to do very well at all, which was kind of a low point on an overall pretty decent fishing season. We had some restrictions at the sport level on coho harvest in the fall, which were not imposed on Federal subsistence users obviously so the sport fishermen weren't allowed to fish with bait and they had their limit cut.

Winter has been winter for once. We have had some snow and some colder temperatures, a couple of storms. We're sitting on a snow pack for the first time in a few years that looks like it will persist in through spring. That will be good for streams and our salmon resources.

Up in the Lower Copper, though, seems to be a little bit funny this year. The temperatures have been sort of high. We've been watching, you know, Miles Lake, sort of neighborhood, a little bit north of there, high temperatures, high snow pack, reports of the ice on the river being very thin with abundant snow

Page 15

on top of it. So not the best place to travel around these days.

I haven't gotten after it very much myself but it seems like there's a fairly good number of king salmon around this year, which is excellent.

And everybody's gearing up to go out and harvest tanner crab these days. The subsistence fisheries on and the commercial fishery is about to fire off here.

So that's Cordova.

Oh, and I think there was a fairly good deer harvest this year, from what I heard, we haven't had reports yet but it seems like there's a good number of deer around.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, John. Those are the early kings you were talking about showing up.

MR. WHISSEL: The winter kings, yes.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Winter kings, yeah. Thank you.

Andy.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, for Chenega Bay and Western Prince William Sound, I think a lot of significant climate change things still taking place. A lot of the report would be very much like what happened in October, and mentioned in Seward.

Our bear numbers seem to be on a good increase from that bad low we had a little while back. I'm not sure what caused that. I don't think we're up to an average year for, you know, my few decades there.

Our reds were late. And silvers, same as Cordova, basically next to none. Late into the fall we were hoping they were just going to be late but it just kind of never happened.

Very significant thing to the communities out there is this warmer waters, even by slight degree change has the Center for Disease Control

giving us reports of, you know, paralytic shellfish poisoning in Southeast and in places like Kodiak, which is basically our waters because it's like a big river that just kind of flows through us too from those places and to those places. So people have not been harvesting clams, which is a very significant impact to subsistence use resource out there.

7 8 9

10 11

12

13

14

15

4 5

6

Currently, probably out of my 27 years there this might be the fifth deepest snow in Western Prince William Sound that I've seen. I'm a little bit worried about deer population, they always take a hit. You know we had the snowpocalypse event several years ago and this is nothing compared to that snow, we did get a little reprieve with some rain knocking it down a little bit but that kind of made the base layer harder.

16 17 18

Anyhow some concerns about the goat population, too.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

37

38

39 40

41

42 43

Like what was mentioned last fall, our berry crops were an amazing abundance. The -typically a function of our spring pollination, the events that happen in the spring and the weather that enable the insects to do that pollinating. First time ever that I've noticed though a simultaneously berry ripening event that happened in all elevations. It wasn't -- typically it's timed and it's early, at low elevation, at sea level and slowly as time goes on the berries are ripening on the way up the mountain to the top but this time entirely across the board all at once in timing everything was ripe, which I'd never seen that before. I'm not quite sure what climate change event made that happen.

34 35 36

So, you know, seeing these climate change things happening I think it, you know, has affected the ability to go harvest goats, even the king salmon trolling, things like that that happened in the community is not happening because weather is kind of funky. And so I think that warrants extended seasons in areas that are designated as Federal versus State as to whether people can start using these resources more efficiently.

Phone: 907-243-0668

44 45 46

Thank you.

47 48

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Andy.

 Page 17

1 Gloria.

MS. STICKWAN: We had a cold winter, more than usual. It's been a -- it's supposed to be 30 below tonight from what I hear. It's continuing to stay cold, hopefully it'll warm up pretty soon.

We had a SRC meeting on February 25th and we made comments on the Unit 13 caribou which somebody will talk about later.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you,

13 Gloria.

Go ahead, Aaron.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  BLOOMQUIST: All right. I haven't seen you guys, I missed the last meeting. So probably maybe cover something somebody else covered.

But in the Copper Valley last summer we kind of had a -- well, I guess I'd call it a mediocre fish run, it wasn't terrible, it wasn't great, but kind of kept everybody busy. I think the people with the wheels got plenty and dipnetters probably did too, sportfishing was okay.

Crazy warm summer last year that allowed for a lot more swimming and playing with the kids than fishing, probably.

Fall I was in the Arctic mostly so I don't have a real good report, although I understand that the Nelchina Caribou Herd are doing pretty good still and most people are finding those that have tags. My wife actually got a tag this year so somebody else drew one.

(Laughter)

MR. BLOOMQUIST: And I drew an elk tag last year, that's why I wasn't with you guys. I had an Afognak elk tag and that was a really interesting, fun place to be, I'd never been there so it was really neat.

As Gloria said, the Copper Valley is pretty cold, I haven't spent a ton of time out there this winter, I've been mostly in the Mat-Su Valley. I

Computer Matrix, LLC Phone: 907-243-0668 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Fax: 907-243-1473

Page 18

sit on the Mat-Su AC now, too, and that committee was pretty successful with a lot of their proposals at the Board of Fish meeting. They got a little dipnet fishery on the Susitna and honestly I'm not the fish guy on that committee so if Andy Couch was here he could tell you a whole heck of a lot more. But I know the fish contingent was happy, so probably to the behest of maybe some Lower Cook Inlet commercial stuff, but.

I guess that's about it. I've got a brand new baby at home so I'm loving life and we're looking at starting a -- kind of in the infancy process of starting a summer camp for kids out in the Copper Valley to kind of teach them about what we do more and been talking with Ahtna some with that and hopefully it will happen. Our schedule is like 2022, long-term stuff.

But anyways, that's me.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thanks, Aaron,

great.

Ed.

 MR. HOLSTEN: I think -- well, as most of you know we had a tough season due to the fire in the Cooper Landing area. A lot of businesses have, and probably still are, have suffered quite a bit, financially, because of the road closure and cancellations, et cetera.

I know there's -- I've heard some concern about, as a result of the fire, some soil slippage that may occur in the Kenai River Canyon. If you floated the Canyon you could see where that fire came down and it was a very deep burn this year. So I know there's local concern for that potential.

On the up side, we had a monstrous sockeye run this year. As most of you know the sport limit went up to nine a day creating traffic jams on the Sterling Highway.

Like everybody else it's been a good winter after many winters in Cooper Landing with very little snow. We already had one snowmachine rider fatality up at Cooper Lake, so people snowmachining

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42 43

44

45 46

47

48

Page 19

take care. It's been a good year for ice fishing.

The good news is snowshoe hare populations finally are starting to come back up in the Cooper Landing area.

And everybody's looking forward to a good sockeye dipnetting season up at Russian River Falls this year.

That's it, thanks.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Ed.

MR. ZADRA: All right. Well, John did a pretty good job of summarizing the Cordova area so I won't belabor his points.

But, yeah, we are definitely having what is deemed is more of a normal winter. And it seems like I spend half of my time moving snow instead of working on my other projects but it's good after the hot summer we had last year, we definitely needed to get the mountains repopulated with snow and it looks like that's happening.

The little change to our Federal subsistence moose hunt, bull/cow ratio was not as high as people are used to and some guys were having a harder time getting their bulls and so they dropped the -- I just spoke with Milo and I hope I got my numbers right, I think we're down to 30 bulls and -- but they upped the cow harvest up to 40, I believe. So that's doing good. The numbers themselves aren't bad, it's just the bull/cow ratio.

And so -- and, again, as John said, some of the guys that troll the kings out there are saying it's the best season they've ever seen so a lot of kings. A lot of these kings are Columbia River kings and so it's not like the Copper River is going to have a huge king run but it's just good to see that there's good survivability of kings and, yeah, everything's good. Optimistic.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, that's great. Good reports. Now, I'm going to tell you a little bit about my area here.

Phone: 907-243-0668

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Page 20

We did a pretty detailed report on our fishery. I think, Ivan, from the Traditional Council of Ninilchik might be here this afternoon to kind of give you an update. He's on the agenda anyway. But I'm not going to go into the fishing year, the stuff that I talked at the Seward meeting, but I did have a couple other things I wanted to report.

Jeff and Andy came down and met with the Ninilchik Council, as we do, and we do a coordination meeting and a government to government relation and we had a good meeting. We reviewed our fishery for the past year and everything else, and we're having another one here in April. But things are moving fine there and our fishery on the Kenai was just awesome as I reported.

A couple things that I'm going to talk about that are interesting that happened in Ninilchik this winter, there's a -- you know, since we've had a good winter, we've had some predator issues and I know there's controversy of predator control but the trappers have done, at least one, very well, on some wolves that were taken out. In fact this trapper took 13 wolves, and I report this for -- just to show you what's happening. Because of the burn, some of these wolves were tagged, they were coming out of Unit 15A and they were coming all the way from up past Kenai and down in the Ninilchik area. We also were getting wolves in the Ninilchik area from down in the Lower Peninsula, which was interesting. So fire, whatever, some of them, it's moved some of those around, but we've got more than our share of wolves and we're just getting the moose back. So, anyway, for what it's worth that's what's going on there.

The snow pack is great. Good to see a regular winter. In fact this is mild compared to some of them but it's really nice, good to have snow and cold and get things back to normal.

The Council down there met and this is all State land and State issues, but the clams are a huge area of our concern because they used to be one of our biggest staples there in Ninilchik and, of course, we have to harvest them on State land, so we don't have any preference. But they've been closed in Ninilchik for four years, maybe longer now. So everyone has to go to the west side, take boats and go to the west

Phone: 907-243-0668

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Fax: 907-243-1473

side. They're getting restricted over -- they're not restricted over there but they're getting less clams over there. So we had the biologist come up from Homer, Mike Bose and he -- I mispronounce his last name, but, anyway, he's very willing to work with the tribe to maybe do some sampling on how well the clams are coming back. He thought that there might be some opportunity for some harvest there. Well, we told him we didn't want to go harvesting because we know that they're not quite ready yet, they're small. We're not going to tell you how we know but we just know. So, anyway, clams are an issue there. But maybe there's a slight thing that they're starting to come back.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

> They did a draw, and you guys talk about draw permits and we all put in for draw permits too, but they did a new thing in the Ninilchik area this year, and they had draw permits and I forget, it was 15 for any bull, and someone could correct me if I'm wrong, and I think one person from Ninilchik drew one, one of the school teachers, but, anyway, they did open it up, there's going to be some opportunity for people to come down and take any bull in our area so that might impact too.

24 25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Trappers did good. The snow's are good. The clams -- oh, Board of Fish and the fishery, that sucks, but, anyway, that's just the way it is. But, you know, we'll talk about some of those issues. There's some major changes that are going to affect the way that -- I don't know that it'll affect our subsistence because we're in the Federal up there on the Kenai, and so we're good there, but as far as State issues, kings, you know, we keep hearing -- and I found this interesting because I listened and I read the reports from last year and everyone had a pretty good king year, but the Kenai kings, especially the large kings are very concern, and so there's going to be restrictions on those kings again. There's restrictions for size, they increased the amount of the escapement from 13,000 minimum to 15,000. They've increased the escapement on the reds in the Kenai from X to 1.1 million on the lower stock. So, anyway, there's going to be some big changes for the commercial people. I think the commercial, especially setnetters, are going to be very impacted. So I'm hoping -- they do a buy back and I get a draw and they buy my permit, but, anyway, long story short.

Phone: 907-243-0668

So I'm just telling you that, there's change, there's always change, some good, some bad. Hopefully, you know, they're hoping to get some fish up more the Susitna. I wish them luck but I don't think so, those are mixed stocks and they just don't work that wav.

6 7 8

9

10 11

4

5

But, anyway, that's pretty much what I have to report that's been additions from the winter, and so that's what's going on in Ninilchik. And Ivan will probably be here if you got questions for him in the afternoon.

12 13 14

15

16

It's mentioned here that public, tribal comments on non-agenda items are available every morning just so people know if they want to talk about anything.

17 18 19

20

21

According to my agenda we're now to old business. And we got Number A under old business, we got non-rural determination and Robbin are you going to give us an update on that?

22 23 24

25

26

27

MS. LAVINE: Good morning, Mr. Chair. Members of the Council. For the record, my name is Robbin LaVine and I'm a Southcentral Regional Advisory Council area anthropologist. And there's not a lot to say in regards to the non-rural proposal 19-01.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

As you'll recall, it went through validation and then threshold and now we're in the analysis and public process stage, which is going to take place, kind of aligned with the fisheries proposals, as they come in. There will be another opportunity for public comment on this proposal at the same time that the fisheries proposals for this cycle are advertised for public comment. So, once, again, there'll be an opportunity for anybody to comment on that proposal then. And that should happen May, June, around that time, there should be about a 60 day period for public comment.

Phone: 907-243-0668

41 42 43

Thank you.

44 45

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Questions,

46 anyone.

47 48

(No comments)

49

```
1
```

Page 23 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, 2 Robbin. 4 DeAnna, am I correct, are we going to 5 do B, the added deferred Wildlife Proposal 18-19 next? 6 7 MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair. 8 9 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. And Chris 10 were you going to give us a story -- an update. 11 12 MR. MCKEE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For 13 the record my name is Chris McKee. I'm the Wildlife 14 Division supervisor here at OSM. 15 16 I'm not -- I will say that I'm going to 17 be mentioning this deferred proposal a little bit 18 later, I guess -- am I up next with the special action 19 update, is that next on the agenda after this? 20 21 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yes. 22 23 MR. MCKEE: Okay. I might just wait to 24 mention the update on that because I'm not really 25 prepared to talk about any updates on the deferred 26 proposal other than to say that it's going to be 27 brought up at the Board's meeting in April. We have a 28 few other things in the works here, but nothing that I 29 really want to go into any detail in at this point. 30 31 So not really much that I can update 32 you on at this point, except to say that it absolutely 33 will be brought up to the Board at their April meeting 34 in Gakona. 35 36 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, that's 37 cool. While you're here, did we move G up there and that was Wildlife 20-01, special action, Unit 13 38 39 caribou? 40 41 MR. MCKEE: Yes. Yes, Mr. Chair. And 42 I actually have the request that we got that I will 43 give to DeAnna to hand out here. 44 45 Okay. Well, again, for the record I'm 46 Chris McKee, Wildlife Division supervisor at OSM, and 47 I'm going to be presenting some information concerning 48 wildlife special action request on WSA20-01 and the 49 Council is going to be asked to make a recommendation 50

on this request.

WSA20-01 was submitted by William Amberg of Copper Center and requests a continuous caribou season in Unit 13 from August 1 to March 31st and that the caribou harvest limit in Unit 13 remainder be changed from two bulls to two caribou for the 2020/2021, and also the 2021/22 regulatory year, so for the next coming wildlife cycle, which is two years -- regulatory cycle.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

2

4

5

6

8

9

The proponent notes that the State has extended its fall season in recent years to September 30th, which matches the Federal season and precludes a Federal subsistence priority. Additionally it's stated that the caribou have been migrating through Federal lands in October when the season is closed, precluding any opportunity for Federally-qualified users. He also notes safety concerns due to the high number of hunters along the Richardson Highway and then increasing harvest on the Nelchina Caribou Herd could help reduce the size of this herd which is currently above objectives and at risk of over-grazing its habitat.

232425

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44 45

46

regulatory history. In 2019 the Alaska Department of Fish and Game extended the closing date of its fall caribou season by 10 days from September 20 to September 30th in order to help reduce the size of the Nelchina Caribou Herd. The Nelchina Herd has exceeded State management objectives in all years since 2010 with the exception of 2018. The State management goal for the herd is 35 to 40,000 animals, and as of 2019 the population estimate was at 53,500 animals. If the herd numbers are not reduced the range quality and long-term herd stability may be compromised. As a note, the Mentasta Herd is a small caribou herd that primarily ranges in Units 11, 12 and 20E, however, given the proximity of Unit 13 to the Mentasta Herd's range, some Mentasta caribou could be present in Unit 13 during the hunting season. Substantial conservation concerns exist for this herd due to its low population, which as of 2019 was at 479 animals, and also due to its chronic low recruitment. However, about 20 Mentasta caribou have active radio collars, which would assist agency Staff in determining whether or not Mentasta caribou are present in Unit 13.

47 48

The Nelchina Caribou Herd's population

Just as a quick background, the latest

is primarily controlled by harvest and harvest quotas are adjusted annually to achieve management objectives. 95 percent of the harvest from the Nelchina Herd occurs in Unite 13. Currently the State is managing the Nelchina Caribou hunt to reduce herd size through high harvest quotas and any caribou harvest limit and season extensions. While there is no targeted hunt for Mentasta caribou because of conservation concerns, as I mentioned before, some incidental harvest may occur when hunting for Nelchina caribou.

One alternative considered is to delegate authority to the Federal in-season manager to open and close a may be announced season between October 1 and 20 and to set restrictions -- set sex restrictions in Unit 13 remainder for the 2020/2022 regulatory cycle, which would allow flexibility in responding to changing herd and/or environmental conditions. I should note that the BLM Glennallen Field Office manager already has delegated authority to set sex restrictions in Units 13A and 13B.

Adopting this proposal would increase harvest opportunity for Federally-qualified subsistence users. The season has historically been closed in October due to the rut, which renders mature bulls unpalatable and hunting during this time could disrupt breeding. However, users could still harvest cows and young bulls during an October season and since the current management goal is herd reduction, disruption of breeding is not as much of a concern as it would be at other times. Minimal conservation concerns exist for the Nelchina Herd as its population currently exceeds objectives and overgrazing of its habitat is a concern. However, events reducing the size and recruitment of the herd are possible as evidenced by the population decline in 2018. In this case increased total harvest and cow harvest could become a conservation concern and then, again, while some incidental take of Mentasta Caribou Herd may occur, the likelihood of this is relatively small.

So with that, Mr. Chair, and members of the Council I'd be happy to field any questions.

Although I will note that yesterday at its meeting, the Eastern Interior Council considered this special action and the Council supported this special action with modification to only change the

Page 26

harvest limit in Unit 13 remainder from two bulls to two caribou. The Council agreed that with the recommendation from the Wrangell-St.Elias Subsistence Resource Commission to support the change in harvest limit but not change the season. The Council stated that changing the harvest limit would provide increased harvest opportunity for Federally-qualified subsistence users and could benefit the herd by helping to reduce its population. The Council did not support an October season as it would occur during the rut when bulls are inedible. The Council was concerned about potential wanton waste issues from users harvesting rutting bulls.

So I just wanted to give you that latest update, that it was in fact taken up by the other Council with C&T for this herd in Unit 13.

So, with that, Mr. Chair, I'd be happy to answer any questions.

I should also note as an aside that we have two other special actions that are related to caribou in Unit 13, WSA -- one of which was submitted by Ahtna which is kind of an identical proposal to their previous special action and through the deferred proposal 18-19, and we also have another proposal that's asking for Federal public lands in Unit 13 to be closed to non-Federally-qualified users in that area as well. Both of these special actions have been validated but we're not going to move forward on them until after the Board's meeting in April because it's possible that the Board could take some actions that would render both of those special actions moot. So we're not going to move forward with the analysis process until after -- if needed, until after the meeting in Gakona.

So a lot of new information there but I wanted to give you guys all the -- the full skinny on what's going on there, so if you have any questions I'd be happy to answer them.

Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thanks, Chris. It was a good overview. I'm sure we got some questions from the Council.

```
Page 27
                     Go ahead, Gloria.
 1
2
3
                     MS. STICKWAN: Did you say the
4
     population's 53,500 in 2019, is there a current number?
 5
 6
                     MR. MCKEE: That's the latest number we
7
     have, yes.
8
9
                     And also in case you're interested and
10
     maybe I can get DeAnna to hand this out, I do have
11
     copies of the Wrangell-St. Elias SRC recommendation on
12
     this, too, just for your information.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Andy.
15
16
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, thank you, Mr.
17
     Chair. What data was used for the over-grazing?
18
19
                     MR. MCKEE: It was more just a fact
20
     that the current management objective of the herd is
21
     about 15,000 or so animals lower than what the current
22
     herd is so they're kind of worried that they're going
23
     to kind of -- with that number of animals, they're
24
     worried about them kind of eating themselves out of
25
     house and home. And as I mentioned before, a lot of
     the management is geared towards controlling the herd
26
27
     through harvest. So this special action would
28
     certainly achieve that on the Federal side.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I got a question.
31
     Is the winter -- this winter affecting them at all, the
32
     snow up there, or nothing?
33
34
                     MR. MCKEE: You know, I don't know, I'm
35
     not -- I can't answer that question.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Andy.
38
39
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, Greg, maybe just
40
     anecdotal from people I know, you know, a lot of -- the
41
     Nelchina, a lot of them winter north of the range too
42
     and it's just a pretty normal winter out there, I don't
43
     know that....
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay.
46
47
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: .....I mean maybe in
48
     the Copper Basin, the ones that are left there there's
49
     quite a bit of snow but probably not north of the
50
```

```
1
     range.
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's good info.
4
     Okay, go ahead, Chris.
 5
 6
                     MR. MCKEE: I should also mention just
 7
     as a process that, because this is a temporary special
 8
     action we are going to be holding a public hearing for
     this, but, again, we're still kind of early in the
9
10
     process and the fact that this Council meeting came up
11
     during a time after we've gotten the request, that's
     why I'm presenting it here, but we still have to go
12
13
     through that process. So the Board will not be acting
     on that until we have that public hearing, we
14
15
     incorporate the comments from the public into the
16
     analysis and it won't be ready to go to the Board until
17
     after that meeting.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. It's got a
20
     process to go through but we could make a
21
    recommendation here is what you're looking for?
22
23
                     MR. MCKEE: Correct, yes.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay.
26
27
                     Gloria.
28
29
                     MS. STICKWAN: Wrangell-St. Elias was
30
     to keep it to two any caribou in Unit 13 and to keep
31
     the existing season.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, good.
34
35
                     Andy.
36
37
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, I don't know if
     I'm rushing it, Greq, but I guess I would move that we
38
     concur with the Wrangell-St. Elias recommendation.
39
40
     seems reasonable to -- it seems reasonable to make it
41
     two caribou but we generally don't harvest caribou in
42
     October and it's probably going to result in more waste
43
    than anything. So I move that we concur with the
44
     Wrangell-St. Elias Park Subsistence Resource Commission
45
     recommendation.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Is there a
48
    second.
49
50
```

```
Page 29
 1
                     MS. STICKWAN: I second.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by
4
     Gloria. So it's on the table here.
5
 6
                     Go ahead, DeAnna.
 7
8
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. This is not
9
     currently an action item for the Council. I don't know
10
     if the Council wants to take action on this prior to an
11
     analysis and hearing the Office of Subsistence
12
     Management analysis and a proper recommendation in the
13
     fall or after the public meeting.
14
15
                     MR. MCKEE: No, this is an action item.
16
     Yeah, because we don't know what the scheduling is
     going to be that's why I presented the overview. I
17
18
     mean part of the problem we have is that we're still
19
     early in the process. We don't have a formal analysis
     that I could actually hand out for you but I gave you
20
21
     the gist of the biology and the harvest history. We
22
     didn't just want to submit the request to you because
23
     in order to make a recommendation, we want you to make
24
     an informed recommendation and so I think I gave you
25
     the gist of what you would need to know to make a
26
     recommendation.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, I believe
29
     that we could make a recommendation unless someone
     wants to tell me that we can't, but I think we can make
30
     the recommendation based on Chris' analysis.
31
32
33
                     And so I got a motion -- a move to do
34
     so and a second and so we are open for discussion.
35
36
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Just a question for
37
     Chris. So if I'm understanding it right, the Board is
     likely to act on this request at the April meeting, or
38
39
     not?
40
41
                     MR. MCKEE: I would seriously doubt
42
     that.
43
44
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Okay.
45
46
                     MR. MCKEE: Yeah, because, again, we
47
     have to schedule a public hearing. That requires a
48
     couple of weeks notice in order to do that, and then
49
     we'd have to incorporate those comments, and the
50
```

Page 30

analysis has to go through another level of review with the InterAgency Staff Committee before it's ready to go to the Board, and so given the short time period and, frankly, we're gearing up for the meeting and Staffing, I just don't see the Board being able to take it up at its April meeting. It's not on the agenda for that meeting at this time.

MR. BLOOMQUIST: Which meeting would be the target then likely?

MR. MCKEE: It would most likely be -- I would -- I don't want to try to guess but best estimate would be a Board teleconference that they would act on it, but it would be open to the public to either attend or listen on the phone.

MR. BLOOMQUIST: But before our October

 meeting?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  MCKEE: Yes, the goal is to that we want the Board to act on this before the season would start, which is August 1st.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's why we're going to make our recommendation.

Okay, I got a motion and seconded. I got a valid motion on the table. We've got into discussion and we've asked questions. Any other.

Go ahead, Gloria.

MS. STICKWAN: I just want to say I support this because it'll give opportunity for the subsistence to take any caribou in Unit 13 remainder and to keep the season the same so that the bulls won't be disturbed during the rutting season. And it would -- keeping the season as it is would -- people wouldn't be allowed to take caribou during the rutting season which would be a waste of meat so that's why I support this proposal.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, Gloria, good. John.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  WHISSEL: I think the only question I would have is how the herd will adapt to the shift of pressure on cows but it's a pretty modest harvest for

```
Page 31
     the Federally-qualified users so I think it seems
2
     pretty reasonable to me to provide that opportunity.
 4
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, John.
 5
     Any other comments or questions.
 6
 7
                     (No comments)
8
9
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none, are
10
    we ready for a question.
11
12
                     MR. WHISSEL: Call the question.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Question's been
15
    called on the motion for a recommendation only, and it
16
     may be moot but we're going to do it.
17
18
                     Go ahead, Chris.
19
20
                     MR. MCKEE: Just one more thing before
21
    -- so my understanding is that you're going to support
22
     as modified by the Wrangell-St. Elias SRC; is that
23
     correct?
24
2.5
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's correct.
26
27
                     MR. MCKEE: Okay, thank you.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: All in favor.
30
31
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
34
35
                     (No opposing votes)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: So carries.
38
39
                     Thank you, Chris.
40
41
                     MR. MCKEE: Thank you.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: DeAnna, I
     appreciate you trying to keep us out of trouble but I
44
```

a different special action so I apologize to the

MS. PERRY: Yeah, I think I got lost in

hope that's okay.

Council.

45

46 47

48

49

```
Page 32
1
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's okay.
 3
                     MS. PERRY: And I thank Chris for his
4
     clarification.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I think we're
 7
     okay. Okay, thank you. Not quite time for a break yet
 8
     so hang on.
 9
10
                     (Laughter)
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, where are
13
     we at. We got -- we added that, and we moved -- are we
14
     ready for new business.
15
16
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Yes.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, yeah, we
19
     moved the one up on new business so we took that first,
     so let's go back now to 11A, call for Federal fish and
20
21
     shellfish proposals, OSM.
22
23
                     Scott, you got the floor.
24
2.5
                     MR. AYERS: I'm ready.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, you shoot.
28
29
                     MR. AYERS: Okay. Mr. Chair. Members
30
     of the Council. I'm going to be providing you on an
     update regarding three programmatic areas. The
31
32
     Fisheries Regulatory Cycle, the Fisheries Resource
     Monitoring Program, and the Partners Program.
33
34
     should be brief, which will fall in line with the rest
35
     of how this meeting seems to be going so far but please
36
     do ask questions and I'll be happy to answer them as
     best as I am able.
37
38
39
                     So we'll begin with the fisheries
40
    cycle.
41
42
                     The Federal Subsistence Board is
43
     accepting proposals this spring to change the Federal
44
     regulations for subsistence take of fish and shellfish
     on Federal public lands and waters for the 2021 to 2023
45
     regulatory cycle. You can find a flier starting on
46
47
     Page 14 of your books that describes how to submit a
48
     regulatory proposal. The Board will consider proposals
49
     to change Federal fish and shellfish seasons, harvest
50
```

Page 33

limits, methods of harvest and customary and traditional use determinations until April 20th. So we've got a bit of time still that this is open.

There's a number of ways that proposals may be submitted.

If the Council has a proposal that they'd like to submit now or any individuals, you may do so, we can discuss that. You can also submit proposals to OSM through the Council coordinator, by hand or by mail, and we can assist in drafting proposals if anyone would like. There's also an online process outlined more clearly in that flier that's in the books. These proposals will be analyzed and presented to the Councils during this fall meeting for Council recommendations. Proposals and analysis and Council recommendations will then be presented to the Federal Subsistence Board in January of 2021 at the Fisheries regulatory cycle meeting.

In addition, this cycle we'll be conducting reviews of closures in the fisheries regulations, similar to what the wildlife regulations just did. There are 32 fisheries closures statewide. There is only one in this region within the Cook Inlet Area and I just looked it up and it is a closure to the harvest of grayling and burbot for subsistence purposes and it covers the whole Cook Inlet area. And so we'll take a look at that at that point in time.

So if there's any questions or if the Council has any proposals they'd like to discuss, this is the time.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Question is does the Council have any proposals they want to propose or talk about, work on. I know we got a little bit of time. So you know all the areas to do, you can put them in yourself, you could do them on line, you could encourage your co-people to get them in on time but however you want to do it, you could wrestle with them, whatever. But now is the time, we got a little window.

MR. WHISSEL: Well, one of the issues that the tribe is likely to take up in the upcoming cycle, and there's a Board of Fish fisheries cycle as well so I'm not sure exactly how this is going to settle out.

We have -- our salmon harvest is 1 connected to the State harvest on a single limit, and that works pretty well, for the most part, you know, not having a separate limit for Federal subsistence 4 5 users, however, pink salmon and chum salmon sort of fall outside of that. So the limit is 15 fish for the 6 head of the household, 15 fish for the second member, 7 8 and then 10 for each additional member, and you can't 9 use -- whatever you harvest on the State counts against your Federal, whatever you harvest on your Federal 10 counts against the State; it's one limit between the 11 12 two subsistence fisheries. We have people that want to 13 use higher volumes of fish. So those are pretty 14 conservative limits, you know, for the size of the 15 resource we have there and the need we have. People 16 want to use more pinks and chums for, you know, 17 different sorts of things. They want to get those fish 18 in higher numbers, and currently there's some ability 19 on Federal subsistence to go ask -- you know, you can go see Milo and say, hey, there's a lot of pinks, maybe 20 21 I want to go get some extra and good chance you may get 22 a chance to do that, but we want to just sort of 23 memorialize that in regulation that those two salmon 24 resources are different and maybe deserve their own 25 discreet set of limits. And I think the best way to do that would be to have it done, just like we have the 26 27 other one, where it's both on Federal subsistence and 28 State with a single limit between the two and we have 29 an opportunity with this cycle coming up now to get 30 both of those going. 31 32 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thanks for that 33

info, so you're going to put in a proposal for that probably?

MR. WHISSEL: I think that's pretty likely for the State and likely for the Federal subsistence also.

38 39 40

41

42

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, you still have time, if you think of one let us know and we'll go back to it, or whatever, but go ahead, Scott, I'll let you move on.

43 44 45

MR. AYERS: Okay, great. And that's good to know, John, thank you.

46 47 48

All right, so into the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. We are currently in the

Page 35

final phase of the 2020 Monitoring Program Funding cycle. The Federal Subsistence Board met on January 28th and made recommendations about the final funding plan. Once the Office of Subsistence -- once we get our final budget, which we have received half of that at this point in time and we're desperately waiting on the other half to show up. Then the Assistant Regional Director for our office will approve a funding plan and all applicants will be notified of the status of their submissions. So we are right down to the wire on this and we're trying to get everything lined up so that as soon as we get the word we can get that process rolling and projects funded.

So with the 2020 cycle nearing finalization, it's time for the Council's to begin to develop the priority information needs for the 2022 cycle. So as soon as one ends we start up another one.

21 22

The priority information needs are obviously an important component of the FRMP program as they identify issues of local concerns and knowledge gaps related to subsistence fisheries and they're based on the input from the Regional Advisory Councils.

The priority information needs determine which types of projects that the Councils for each region consider important and provide a framework for evaluating and selecting project proposals. similar to the last cycle we are asking for several Council members to volunteer to meet telephonically over the summer to identify knowledge gaps and information needed for management of subsistence fisheries in the region. Volunteers will review a list of the priority information needs from the last few cycles and the list of which projects have been funded, from those PINS they will then discuss whether the projects have addressed the previous needs, the potential to reuse previous information needs and then also the development of new priority information needs to address issues that the Council has identified.

So we are trying to get folks together to kind of hash through some of this stuff before we get to the fall meeting. The results of the telephonic meeting will be presented to each respective Council during their fall meeting to help jump start the discussion. Councils will formally make a motion to adopt priority information needs at that meeting and

```
1
```

Page 36 start a whole new monitoring program funding cycle. 2 3 So at this point in time we're just 4 looking to see if there are any individuals with this 5 Council that are interested in participating over the 6 summer. 7 8 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. That's a 9 good question. Remind me who we had before, we had a 10 couple on there. 11 12 MR. AYERS: Gloria has 13 participated..... 14 15 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Gloria. 16 17 MR. AYERS: .....several times. Ricky 18 Geese also participated. 19 20 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's right. 21 22 MR. AYERS: As did Judy Caminer. 23 24 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Gloria, 25 would you like to volunteer again? 26 27 MS. STICKWAN: Yes. 28 29 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: You got one. Is 30 there anyone else that would like to work with them. 31 32 MR. WHISSEL: I'll volunteer also. 33 34 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: John, okay, 35 great. There you go. Anyone else. There you go, 36 you're good to go for awhile. 37 38 MR. AYERS: Perfect, thank you. Okay. 39 40 And the last part here is just a brief 41 update on our Partners Program. The last Partners 42 Program cycle began in January of this year and the 43 four year term extends until the end of 2023. Matt Piche with the Native Village of Eyak is here to speak 44 45 with you about that program right after I finish up. 46 47 And that effectively wraps up my 48 presentation on fisheries related items. So unless 49 anyone's got any other questions. 50

```
Page 37
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good, thank
 2
     you, Scott.
 3
4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Matt.
 7
8
                     (Pause)
9
10
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: You folks on the
11
     phone, Matt's going to do a presentation. I don't know
     if he could send it to you sometime or whatever, but
12
13
     we're going to be listening and watching something.
14
15
                     Thank you.
16
17
                     (Pause)
18
19
                     MR. PICHE: Well, I'll just start
20
     talking and we'll let that work itself out.
21
22
                     (Laughter)
23
24
                     MR. PICHE: And, DeAnna, I do have a
25
     copy here if you need the copy.
26
27
                     Okay.
                            So my name is Matt Piche, I am
28
     the Partners Program fish biologist for the Native
29
     Village of Eyak in Cordova and I'm here today to
30
     present on the Copper River Salmon Monitoring Program.
31
32
                     This is a program that was established
33
     in 2003 by the Native Village of Eyak and this is
34
     funded through the Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of
35
     Subsistence Management, Partners for Fisheries
     Monitoring Program, Fisheries Resource Monitoring
36
37
     Program, the Alaska Sustainable Salmon Fund, the Alaska
38
     Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sportfish, and
     the Copper River Marketing Association.
39
40
41
                     So just to give you a brief overview.
42
43
                     This chinook salmon monitoring program,
44
     we've also done some sockeye salmon work, but I'm just
45
     going to be focusing on chinook salmon today. It's
46
     responsible for determining the in-river abundance
```

estimate of Copper River chinook salmon on an annual

This occurs in Baird Canyon and it's the amount of

basis. And this is a lower river mixed stock analysis.

47 48

49

chinook salmon passing through Baird Canyon on an annual basis. Because there's no harvest occurring in this area where we're conducting this mark/recapture study, we're able to simply add the commercial harvest and flat subsistence harvest to get an estimate of total returning run size each year and we're also able to subtract the in-river harvest from the subsistence, personal use and sportfisheries to get a system-wide spawning escapement. That data is -- the harvest data is calculated by the National Park Service and Alaska Department of Fish and Game. And that data's used to determine if the annual sustainable escapement goal of 24,000 or more chinook salmon has been met.

13 14 15

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

And that is the wrong presentation, just so you guys know, over there.

16 17 18

(Laughter)

19 20

MR. PICHE: It'll be the -- yep, the one all the way on the bottom, thank you. Perfect.

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

So in 2019 we added a whole another component to this study. And we were taking 650 radio tags, esophageal radio tags and we're putting those in chinook salmon. Now, those are active radio transmitters so we're able to use these tags and track these fish as they migrate through the entire watershed.

29 30 31

And if you want to go back one slide, please. Thank you.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

And so by having the data to track -having the ability to track a chinook salmon as it migrates through the whole entire system gives you a whole bunch of data and all of these fish have individual unique ID, unique frequency radio tags so we're actually able to identify the individual as it swims past one of our telemetry towers or as we fly over in a plane with our telemetry aerial surveys. So what this provides is distribution data. So, you know, we're doing -- we're getting an estimate down at Baird Canyon that's a mixed stock, that's all the fish coming up, but it's important to know what percentages of the fish are returning to each drainage throughout the whole watershed. So this provides distribution data on a -- at a system-wide level and also within tributary drainages because we're doing these aerial surveys. We

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Page 39

can actually go into these tributaries and find the spawning areas of chinook salmon.

Now, with all this data we're also able to collect stock specific run timing so we can figure out when each specific stock is migrating through Baird Canyon. And we are also able to combine this with the in-river abundance data and the population estimate to derive stock specific abundance, so the actual population estimates of the individual stocks making it up to the spawning grounds.

We use two project sites. A marking site in Baird Canyon, a recapture site in Wood Canyon, and we operate our fishwheels 24/7, May through July.

So first -- oh, sorry, next slide please.

So for the 2019 population estimate of Copper River chinook salmon through Baird Canyon we had 43,714 chinook salmon. Again, this is in-river abundance through Baird Canyon on the lower river. the study we marked 4,685 chinook salmon, we examined 2,646 and of those 2,646, 297 had originally been tagged down in Baird Canyon. Standard error on this estimate is 3,143 and the lower 95 percent confidence interval and upper are stated there as well.

Now, one important thing that I'd like to point out this year and we've been very clear every time we release this data, we had to end our sampling operations at Baird Canyon early this year due to flooding and unsafe river conditions for our crews and for our gear, we actually pulled our fishwheels on July Passage of chinook salmon likely occurred after this date. Historically we've operated the fishwheels from anywhere from zero to eight more days and the range of catch that we've had after this date has been from 87.9 percent of our catch all the way up to 100 percent of our catch by July 2nd. So we think we really have a very close estimate but we certainly missed fish at the end of the season and unfortunately we have no way to estimate what that missed percentage is with the mark/recapture data. Fortunately ADF&G is conducting some sonar studies at the same time so we're hoping to use some of that sonar data to inform what we may have missed through Baird Canyon.

Phone: 907-243-0668

Page 40

So in summary, the 43,714 is likely biased low.

Next slide please.

So just a brief summary of what happened in terms of harvest.

2019 in-river harvest has not been put out yet. But 2018 was recently released. And in 2018 the -- actually kind of the big change that we saw in 2018 was a historical peak for total subsistence harvest, that's State and Federal combined on the Copper River, and it was just under 8,000 chinook salmon. And actually for the first time ever that was greater than the commercial harvest. Commercial harvest in 2018 harvested about 7,000, 7.5 thousand chinook salmon. And total in-river, which includes subsistence, sport and personal use, that number was right around 10,000 chinook salmon in 2018.

And preliminary data shows that results are anticipated to be similar in 2019 as they were in 2018 in terms of harvest but, again, I haven't seen any of those published numbers yet, it's just been communications with managers.

Next slide please.

So to get into the really cool radio telemetry study that we're doing. On the left hand side, all those little green dots are the telemetry towers, those are fixed stations that we have and any time a chinook salmon passes by there it's recorded, we have its unique ID, we know when it passed and how long it took to get by. Now, because these towers aren't —they don't detect 100 percent of the fish passing by, you're going to have fish that gets missed, we also combine this with aerial surveys over the entire drainage so that really helps increase the resolution of this study.

In 2019 approximately 59 percent of radiotagged chinook salmon made it into the spawning areas. This is spot on with previous radio telemetry studies that have been done on the Copper River. In fact, the 2004 was a really similar year on the Copper in terms of water flow and water temperatures. A lot of water coming down the river, really really high

Page 41

temperatures in the Upper Copper and 59 percent made it through that year as well.

So this is -- this shows our -- the estimates -- the amount of fish that we're able to use in the data set, like basically our sample size, and the rest is just data loss. That data loss includes in-river fish that were harvested in-river, tag failure, tags that are regurgitated, these fish don't necessarily enjoy having a tag in their throat so sometimes they just puke it up, and also in-river mortality.

Next slide please.

Okay.

And so here is the distribution of the fish that were classified as spawning and making it up into the tributaries. And what we found is that distribution in 2019 was right within the previous observed ranges. This study was conducted by ADF&G back in 1999 as well as from 2002 and 2004, and the only outlier on that is the Klutina, the Klutina actually had 14 percent of the spawning population going up into that drainage, which was higher than the previous range. Everything else fell right within the range that was previously observed. And here we can see the Chitina is the largest producer and followed by the Upper Copper, those two tributaries alone represent over half of the chinook salmon population. followed by the Gulkana, the Klutina, the Tonsina and the Tazlina drainages.

Next slide please.

So another cool thing that we can use this data for is we can look at the aerial surveys that ADF&G conducts on an annual basis. Every year for like the last 30-plus years they've conducted aerial surveys. These nine streams are ones that have been consistently flown in all study years. So it's actually a pretty decent data set. So we can look at where we detected our fish and how many of those fish in each one of these drainages were located within one of these streams that's flown by the aerial surveys. In 2019, 39 percent of the chinook salmon population that made it to the spawning grounds were located within these nine aerial index streams and the take

I

Page 42

home message here is that the majority of chinook salmon are spawning outside of these aerial index streams. The ADF&G telemetry study found similar results in 2002 to 2004.

Next slide please.

So when we take the resolution and we start looking at the individual drainages so here is the Upper Copper River drainage, this is everything above the -- up river of the Gulkana, so this includes the Gakona, the Chistochina, the Slana River, we get this -- this finer resolution and the highlight -- areas highlighted in pink are areas that are flown by the ADF&G aerial visual surveys.

 And so 24 percent of the total spawning escapement was estimated to return to the Upper Copper River, these are the furthest migrants in the water shed. These are also the earliest migrants coming through. These are the first fish to hit the river and they're traveling the farthest and coincidentally we found that they're actually traveling the fastest too. Estimated abundance is 8,000 with our confidence intervals there, and telemetry flights to detect these fish occurred on July 13th and August 1st.

And we have something like this for every single drainage on the Copper, I just don't have time to go through it all today so I just wanted to give you a little taste of what this looks like and how powerful this data can be.

Next slide please.

So we could also take things such as the Gulkana River counting tower, and look at the Gulkana River and figure out where these chinook salmon are spawning within the Gulkana and how many of them are actually passing over the Gulkana River counting tower and spawning in areas above the counting tower.

When the very first radiotelemetry study was done on the Copper River back in 2002 and 2003 and 2004, you know, they had estimates like 81 percent of all the Gulkana River chinook salmon were going above the counting tower and that really helped site in that tower and helped pick that location of where it was going to go. Since then numbers have been

Page 43

dropping and people have been wondering why and it's because the chinook salmon are simply spawning elsewhere in the Gulkana River system. And ADF&G conducted a study in 2013 through 2015 and they were finding between 45 and 54 percent of chinook in the Gulkana were going above the tower; in 2019, it looks like that increased a bit and we had 63 percent of the chinook salmon going above the Gulkana tower.

8 9

4 5

6

Next slide please.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

29

30

31

And just one last pitch, these are what the tags look like. On the outside of the tag there is an address, a name and address located on that, when you harvest a fish or if you catch a fish you're going to see a wire coming out of its mouth just like this, and up on the top left that shows how big they are in someone's hand, look at the right hand there, and if you get any of these tags in fish that you are intending to harvest, we ask that you remove the tag and get it into ADF&G. These tags are about \$200 apiece and we can only use them for one season. So if you wait until the following season to return it to us we won't be able to use it. But what happened this year is we were able to increase our sample size which increases our resolution which gives us better data. Tags that were returned to ADF&G, once we get enough of them, we send a plane on to Baird camp and we deploy these tags out if we get them before the chinook run concludes. So please spread the word, if you guys see these tags in these fish, on fish that you're harvesting, please, please turn the tags back in.

32 33 34

Thank you.

35 36

Next slide please.

37 38

And that's all I have, thank you.

39 40

Any questions.

41 42

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Andy.

43 44

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: What data is collected by the tags, is it just the distribution location?

45 46 47

48

MR. PICHE: Yep, so the data that's actually collected by the tags -- all the tag is doing is just sending out a signal. So we have to have

Page 44

receivers, those fixed station telemetry towers up and down the system to detect that tag and so it's just logging, it's just recording passage, basically, that's all the tag is actually doing, is emitting a signal that we're then picking up.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: So location?

MR. PICHE: Yep.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: At each of those towers, is there like dissolved oxygen and temperature readings and other things going on in the data collection, other factors in the environment?

 MR. PICHE: We don't have any of that set up, no. They're in pretty remote areas and it's pretty challenging just to keep the towers on line, and keep them going, so we haven't added any other data and also we're not — the towers aren't necessarily right next to the river banks, sometimes they're up on a high cliff overlooking the river because it's a radio signal. And also the water on the Copper fluctuates so much that we don't put them right near the water's edge. So it'd be pretty difficult for us to get that information from the water up to the recording site. And it's a great idea and we would like to start adding a big temperature component to this study and we're looking to do that this year.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I used to do hatchery work and there's dissolved oxygen meters that can just be submerged and it'll give you temperatures, you can come down and load that thing and it's got months and months of data. It seems like you could toss one of those out in the river at any one of those locations where you're already taking people and then like maybe four years down the road you could correlate the conditions of the river environment to the marine success survival of the ones that came back as adults later.

MR. PICHE: That's a great suggestion, thank you, we'll look into that, yeah.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Gloria.

MS. STICKWAN: Could you put the tags anywhere else on the kings?

Computer Matrix, LLC Phone: 907-243-0668 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Fax: 907-243-1473

Page 45

MR. PICHE: No. We have to -- the esophageal is -- this is pretty much the standardized method that's been used for these fish. You really don't want to inhibit their migration so attaching to the outside, you would have to like sew it on in some way and I'm not sure -- I think that would probably end up doing more harm than good at the end of the day. So, you know, the esophagus is just the standardized way that you deploy these tags.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead.

MR. BLOOMQUIST: Yeah, thanks. It's neat to -- this is the first presentation I've -- I've got a counting tower on my property on the Klutina so it's neat to see this. Just one question.

I think one of the early slides you had 2018 harvest and abundance data and there was some precedence that that year that it was the highest subsistence -- the first time the subsistence take was more than a commercial take and I guess I got distracted for a second, did you say you thought 2019 would be similar, because 2018 was a pretty darn unique year on the Copper with the Cordova commercial fleet shut down for a lot of the summer due to low sockeye abundance and we had more kings in 2018 than we've had since probably 2005?

MR. PICHE: Could we pull the presentation back up for a second.

And so to answer your question, I don't know, I haven't seen the 2019 data yet.

MR. BLOOMQUIST: Okay.

MR. PICHE: And that's going to come, Dave Sarafin might have something to present in terms of the Federal subsistence fisheries on that, and Mark Somerville out of the Glennallen office would have the State data but, again, they're working their way through that. I think -- I don't even think the mailout surveys for the sportfish are even due yet.

MR. BLOOMQUIST: Okay, yeah.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  PICHE: That stuff is like a year -- usually a year behind on the State side of things.

Page 46

Usually it's quite a bit quicker on the Federal side of things.

2 3 4

But if we can go back a couple slides. Keep going. Keep going. More. Couple more. Okay, right there.

So, yeah, if you look in 2018, there is this high harvest and, you know, these two graphs, the bottom graph is zero to 80,000 chinook salmon whereas the top graph is zero to 10. So everything on the top graph is occurring within the first little bar range of the bottom graph so there's -- that's an important thing to remember. But also, you know, in 2018 we had 52,000 chinook salmon in-river.

If you'd go back one slide please.

So the last time we had 50,000 fish in the river, you know, it's only happened once, it happened in 2006, we had over 50,000 fish in the river, we had actually closer to 70,000. And then in 2007 we had really close to 50,000. So let's say we're going to look at 2006/2007, which had similar in-river abundance years and compare that to 2018.

If you'll go forward one slide please. Nope, the other way -- there we go.

So looking back at 2006 and 2007, we had really similar abundance in those years, in-river. And we're still following the way out of, where we did, in terms of subsistence harvest in 2006 and 2007, so, you know, I don't know what exactly is going on and I don't have the participation, the harvest ticket numbers, how many people are actually utilizing the fishery. But we have had years in the past, 2006 and 2007 where we have had close to the same amount of fish in the river as we did in 2018.

So that's just something -- just something to note.

MR. BLOOMQUIST: Yeah, that all makes great sense and that big jump is personal -- or subsistence dipnetters above the bridge, I can confidentially say that probably but, you know, yeah, it's interesting. Thanks.

Page 47

MR. PICHE: Any other questions.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Andy.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Thank you. Was there a change, a more lenient State subsistence regulations that took place that can account for some of that, that you're aware of?

MR. PICHE: Not that I'm aware of. But I'm not the expert on that, someone from the State could answer that more precisely. But, no, I don't believe there's been any major changes in the regulations at least.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: John.

MR. WHISSEL: Well, one thing first in response to Andy. There was some interesting management decisions made in-river that year where users were allowed to shift from one permit to the other after having registered, and that may account for them moving out of Wood Canyon and towards places where they are targeting kings.

But that's....

MR. PICHE: That was 2017.

MR. WHISSEL: Oh, sorry, okay, oops that was the wrong year -- strike that from the record.

(Laughter)

MR. WHISSEL: What I wanted to say is that I get to work on this project with Matt, mostly with Matt, I just turn him loose which is pretty easy to do. We're super excited about this and where it's going. All I can say is that poor Matt has to cut so much great information out of this presentation. If this is something that you guys think is interesting, stay tuned, watch the reports coming out, we're going to be doing more of this work. And sort of a priority for our department, we're shifting towards watching this stock and how it migrates more so than just counting the fish. Hopefully Fish and Game will be able to do that on sonar soon but this is the kind of thing management really needs moving forward is to know how the fish move through the system and where they go

```
Page 48
     for conservation.
 3
                     So I'm really excited about it and I'm
 4
     sure Matt will talk your ear off after the meeting if
 5
     you give him a chance to.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Great. Any other
 8
     questions.
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, very
13
     good.
14
15
                     MR. PICHE: Thank you.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: You thought I was
18
     going to move on to review the annual report but I'm
19
     not, I'm going to take a break. So we're going to take
20
     a break for 10 minutes, take a stretch and then we'll
21
    come back.
22
23
                     (Off record)
24
2.5
                     (On record)
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Alrighty, thank
28
     you. And you guys on the phone if you need to speak up
29
     just let me know. We're up on the agenda now, we're
30
     moving into review of our 2019 annual report and
31
     DeAnna, you're going to go through that with us.
32
33
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
34
35
                     In your folder of supplemental
     materials, actually I just kind of passed out another
36
     version of that -- not another version, another copy of
37
     that so you'd have it right in front of you. It's the
38
39
     draft of the Council's 2019 annual report. It covers
40
     several topics that were raised at the last meeting,
41
     and if you guys need just a minute maybe to look that
42
     over real quick we can take that and then the Council
43
     can decide if there should be edits to the report and,
44
     of course, that would include any additional topics
45
     that you want to add. I can make those edits in real
46
    time on the record here on my computer and then the
47
     Council can take action. This is an action item, I
48
     want to say it's really an action item and it's the
     Council's responsibility to finalize the report by
49
50
```

Page 49

either adding, subtracting or otherwise editing the topics at this meeting.

So what would be the Council's wish.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. I think they've probably read it but I'm going to give them a few minutes and let them take a look and anyone that has any additions or corrections or anyone want a deletion we'll consider that too. But you guys look it over and Gloria, go ahead.

MS. STICKWAN: Well, probably getting ahead but I wanted to add to this report about the FACA new regulations in place to reduce the number of seats on the RAC. I have a concern about that. Because my understanding is Southeast lost their representation for Southeast. The representation throughout Southeast is not covered and that my happen to -- I mean through all the RACs there's been reduction in seats and that concerns me because we may not have representation in the future from all our Southcentral areas, you know, and lack of representation will not help with ANILCA or, you know, it'll be a concern -- ANILCA's supposed to be providing for the RACs and I see this FACA Act as a detriment to ANILCA because we won't be representative -- have representation from all areas of Southcentral and other RACs as well, if you understand what I'm trying to say here.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: You said it very well. Yeah, it's a concern so I think DeAnna's writing it down there and that's certainly something we could add if it's agreed with with the rest of the Council.

(Council nods affirmatively)

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. Just to be clear, Gloria, so we would be adding a topic to the annual report that would address the recent Executive Order regarding FACA committees and talking about the detriment to the Regional Advisory Council representation. That's in a nutshell what your point is?

 $\,$  MS. STICKWAN: Yes, it's to the Federal Board, for them to forward that concern on to the Department of Interior.

Page 50 MS. PERRY: Thank you, Gloria. 2 3 Mr. Chair. 4 5 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good. You 6 quys got anything else you'd like to report as we put 7 it together before, anything else. 8 9 Andy. 10 11 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, thank you. see number 3 there, fisheries and climate change, it 12 talks fisheries and salmon type stuff but major 13 concerns about shellfish, and not just fisheries stuff 14 15 but ocean acidification and pathogenic, you know, paralytic shellfish poisoning and things like that. 16 17 18 Another thing, I'm not sure if it's for 19 this one, but maybe the next annual report, the stuff 20 that we hopefully we discuss today about jurisdictional inadequacies in representing customary and traditional 21 22 use regions that are designated as State marine waters 23 instead of Federal, where people have lived there for 24 ions of time and not able to use that, so that's kind 25 of an important thing. 26 27 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. I got the 28 shellfish added and what you said there, and DeAnna's writing it down. And the jurisdiction issue is going 29 30 to get large and so we'll talk about that, it's on the 31 agenda. 32 33 Go ahead, John. 34 35 MR. WHISSEL: The only item I had is I'm going to repeat what Andy said, I'd like to see in 36 number 3 in fisheries and climate change and ocean 37 38 acidification, focus on that, particularly on both species that are harvested for subsistence but also in 39 40 food web impacts. I'd really like to see us sort of 41 shift our focus there.

42 43

Thanks.

44 45

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, good stuff.

46 Anyone else.

47 48

Okay, DeAnna, what do you conclude with those two extra comments.

```
1
```

```
Page 51
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. If the Council
     is okay with that, I will add verbiage based on what
     was discussed here regarding the FACA Executive Order
 4
     as well as ocean acidification on number 3, and then we
     would need, at this meeting, if the Council is okay
 5
     with me doing those two changes, have a motion to
 7
     approve and a second so that we can finalize this
 8
     report.
 9
10
                     Thank you.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you.
13
14
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I so move.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's been moved
17
     to approve as.....
18
19
                     MR. WHISSEL: Second.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                          ....as
22
    discussed. Seconded by John. Any discussion.
23
24
                     (No comments)
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none.
27
    All in favor, aye.
28
29
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
32
33
                     (No opposing votes)
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It carries.
     Michael I didn't hear anything out there but I'm
36
37
     assuming you're okay with it.
38
39
                     Thank you.
40
41
                     MR. OPHEIM: Yeah, I said aye.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. My ears
     are getting about 70 years old so they're getting bad.
44
45
46
                     MR. OPHEIM: A plane was just taking
47
     off from here so you might not have heard nothing but
48
     plane.
49
50
```

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: My ears are still good then maybe.

2 3 4

(Laughter)

5 6

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: We're ready to move on DeAnna, number -- we're under Denali National Park Subsistence Resource Commission.

8 9 10

11

12

7

MS. CRAVER: Good morning, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. For the record my name is Amy Craver and I work at Denali National Park and Preserve as their subsistence coordinator.

13 14 15

16

I'm here to update you on the status of the two Southcentral RAC appointments to the Denali Subsistence Resource Commission.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

The two Southcentral RAC appointments to the Denali SRC are Eleanor Dementi and Jeff Burney. Eleanor Dementi's term on the Denali SRC expires on November 4th, 2021 and Jeff Burney's term expires on November 4th, 2020. As you know since Eleanor has resigned from the Southcentral RAC, her Southcentral RAC appointment is now vacant on the Denali SRC. And as of February 24th, 2020 Jeff Burney resigned from the Denali SRC. So his appointment will need to be filled by the Southcentral RAC. Justin Mason has submitted an application to the Southcentral RAC for the Denali SRC. And you have his -- I gave each one of you his application. And so before I present Mr. Mason's application to the Council I'd like to present some background information to the RAC about the Denali Subsistence Resource Commission.

34 35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

The purpose of the Commission is to devise and recommend to the Governor and the Secretary of Interior a program for subsistence hunting within Denali National Park. The Commission hunting program recommendations may address major topics related to the management of subsistence such as access, customary and traditional use determinations, eligibility, season and harvest limits, methods and means, traditional use areas, trapping, customary trade, cabin use, and research. After consultation with appropriate local Advisory Committee and Regional Councils, the recommendations of the Commission are conveyed directly to the Secretary of Interior and to the Governor. Commission reports to the superintendent of Denali

National Park and Preserve. However, since the establishment of the Federal Subsistence Management Program in 1990 the SRC has been making recommendations on harvest limits and customary and traditional use proposals effecting Denali National Park directly to the Regional Advisory Councils and to the Federal Subsistence Board.

7 8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

4

5

6

The Denali Subsistence Resource Commission is comprised of nine local rural residents representing geographic, cultural and user diversity from within the region. Each member's terms on the Commission is for three years unless they resign or are removed for cause by the appointing source. Commission has three members appointed by the Department of Interior, three members appointed by the Governor of Alaska and one member appointed by both the Eastern Interior and two appointments by the Southcentral Regional Advisory Council. The SRC regularly submits and comments upon Federal subsistence regulatory proposals and provides comments to other topics addressed by the Federal Subsistence Program, such as tribal consultation and rural determinations.

23 24 2.5

26

27

28

29

The Southcentral RAC may appoint two members to Denali's SRC. Each of these members shall be a member of either the Regional Advisory Council or the local Advisory Committee within the Regional Advisory Council and also engage in subsistence resources at Denali National Park.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

Justin Mason resubmitted an application for the Southcentral RAC appointment to the Denali SRC. And just sort of an overview of his application, Justin is the owner of Denali Wilderness Winter Guides and he is qualified for this appointment because he serves as the Secretary of the Denali Fish and Game Advisory Committee. And just a brief overview. Justin grew up in a family that harvested wild game in Montana and he's hunted for many years in Alaska. He lived in Kotzebue between 2003 and 2005 where he hunted wild game which was an important food source for his family. And he moved to the Denali Borough in 2005 and initially lived in Healy and now he lives in Cantwell and he's lived in Denali Borough since 2005 to the present. And while his family moved -- when his family moved to Cantwell they started subsistence hunting for black bear, grizzlies, caribou and moose and just recently Justin's 11 year old daughter took a caribou

Page 54

in the Park. When Justin is not hunting or berrypicking he continually educates his fishing clients in the summer as well as winter clients about subsistence in the Park. Justin enjoys staying abreast of current issues such as public lands, status of wildlife populations and global warming and wildlife conservation through podcasts, press releases and research publications.

So that concludes my sort of overview of Justin's application.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, very good. So we need to appoint someone?

MS. CRAVER: Correct.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's the only application we got, correct?

MS. CRAVER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Kind of limits

the debate.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Questions for the Council, go ahead Gloria.

MS. STICKWAN: You said there's two vacant seats. I talked to Grant (indiscernible), he's in Cantwell, he's an Ahtna from Cantwell, he's prob -- he's not on the AC, he's not a RAC member so he can't apply, but he did tell me he's interested and he's going to try and get on the AC so maybe we can fill at a later time for one of the vacant seats?

 MS. CRAVER: Yes, I know that Grant is very interested and I talked with Gordon Carlson, who's the Chair of the Denali AC and they just recently had one person resign from the AC so I was planning on -- I just found out that -- so I'm planning on working with Grant to see if possibly he'd be interested in applying and working with the coordinator for the local AC. And so that would mean that your fall Southcentral RAC meeting he could put an application for Jeff Burney's resigned appointment.

```
SOUTHCENTRAL SUBSISTENCE RAC MEETING 3/4/2020
                                               SOUTHCENTRALRACMEETING
                                                            Page 55
                       CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Good, we got back
  2
      up. Any other discussion.
  3
  4
  5
                       (No comments)
  6
  7
                       CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none,
  8
      I'll entertain a motion to appoint Justin.
  9
 10
                      MR. WHISSEL: Move to appoint Justin
 11
      Mason to the SRC.
 12
 13
                       CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Is there a
 14
     second.
 15
 16
                      MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, I'll second.
 17
 18
                       CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Second by Andy.
 19
 20
                       Any discussion.
 21
 22
                       (No comments)
 23
 24
                       CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none, all
 25
      in favor aye.
 26
 27
                       IN UNISON: Aye.
 28
 29
                       CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
 30
 31
                       (No opposing votes)
 32
 33
                       CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: No opposed.
 34
      Okay, so it carries, there you go Amy.
 35
 36
                       Thank you.
 37
 38
                      MS. CRAVER: Great. Thank you, Mr.
      Chair and members of the Council.
 39
 40
 41
                       CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, thank you.
 42
      And we'll get to Grant in the fall.
 43
 44
                       Welcome Judy, I see you stepped in,
 45
      good to see you.
```

MS. PUTERA: Hi.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hi. We're going

46 47

48 49

to talk right now on the effects of warmer winters. Now, who's going to take that one on, it's awful damn cold out there.

4 5

(Laughter)

6 7

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Anyway, okay, go

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

ahead.

MR. ADELFIO: Good morning. My name is Luca Adelfio, and I'm a hydrologist and fish biologist for the Chuqach National Forest stationed in Cordova Alaska. Mostly I do salmon habitat restoration and we also do some monitoring of water levels and water temperature that I'm going to be sharing with you today. Thank you for what you guys do on the Council, we really appreciate it in Cordova. Since I've been stationed there I know how important it is to the well being of the community to have Federal subsistence so thank you for your support and the attention you guys provide to Federal subsistence issues.

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

Water temperature. Obviously this winter is more normal, but previous winters as you guys have noted in your report outs have been warm, considerably warmer than we've seen in the past. And so we've used this opportunity to investigate the impacts on salmon incubation and try to take some lessons learned about what this might mean for the future of salmon production. I did this work with some of my co-authors who work for the research arm of the Forest Service and then for National Marine Fisheries Service.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

And the first point that I'd like to make is when we think about, you know, I apologize for the size of the slides but I'll just try to relay all the main points verbally and for those on the phone as well. When we think about warmer water temperature the first thing that comes to mind is the summer maximum temperatures and fish kills and these warm summer conditions that we have. I think it's important to consider as well, though, especially here in Alaska, that changes in the winter can affect temperatures more directly during those winter months and that's when eggs are developing in the gravels throughout the winter. And so while in the lower latitudes Washington, Oregon and California, there's a lot of concern about extirpation of whole salmon runs with

Page 57

these warm summer temperatures. Here in Alaska I think part of the research and the discussion has to be, well, how about the changes in run timing or the size of our salmon runs or, you know, with the location that fish are actively spawning, are these things going to change if water temperatures warm up.

And so that's a spoiler alert. I don't have any serious answers for you, but I have some insights I hope that you'll find helpful and I have some ideas on what this might mean for management.

The Chugach National Forest commissioned a Climate Vulnerability Assessment a few years ago and it projects that we're going to have warming air temperatures and importantly on the coast of Alaska we're going to be seeing fewer months below freezing in the winter, which is going to mean less snow and more rain at low elevations in the winter months. We're looking at 20 to 40 percent reductions in snowfall at low elevations right on the coast. In picture form it means we'll have a whole lot less of what you see on the left, which is a winter like we're having this winter and a whole lot more of what you see on the right, which was 2016, where you can see that this low elevation pond was nearly entirely ice free throughout the whole course of the winter.

So to track these changes we've been monitoring water temperature. We do this at the locations where salmon spawn and we have 40 sites spread across the Chugach National Forest. And what's somewhat unique about our water temperature monitoring is that we put the temperature loggers down in the gravel, right where the eggs are developing. So you see in that photo on the right, you'll see the eggs there in the gravel. And so in the middle photo you see a gentleman holding a temperature logger, it's a little orange dot about the size of a quarter in his right hand, and that's a little logger, it can hold up to five years of data. We just deploy it down in the gravels and we go back periodically and grab it and we download the data. We're collecting data all yearround and every hour at those 40 sites. We also measure the water in the stream itself, but we're really focusing in on what's happening where these eggs are developing.

So this map shows all of our sites

across the entire Chugach National Forest. The analysis I'm going to share today is focused on the sites circled that are on the Copper River Delta. That web link on the bottom there and also in your handout is to a University of Alaska Anchorage website and at that site they have this similar -- this is where this map came from but they'll show where all the temperature loggers are throughout Southcentral so you could go there and look and see what's happening in your area through that link.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

4

5

6

7

8

9

So one thing that we've really been noticing is that the water temperature in streams varies greatly from one stream to the next, and this is somewhat intuitive, you guys have been spent time out and about and know that some streams are fed by snow and some by glaciers and some by rain. But what's been shocking is the amount of variation and over short distances. So in the photo you see here this is out of the window of an airplane, you see the stream's one circle, then orange, and one circle then blue, they're less than two miles apart and they have dramatically different water temperatures. So the one circled in blue is a groundwater fed system in a big alpine valley, so there's some hanging ice in there, a lot of snow melt, but in the valley bottom there's also a lot of sand and gravel and that holds groundwater, that stays at a very steady temperature. And so we see very low summer maximum water temperatures but also perhaps counter-intuitively, for the same reason, we see warm winter temperatures. So this system is very resistant to freezing despite the fact that there's glaciers in the headwaters and it's a high elevation catchment because that groundwater is keeping things warm throughout the winter months. So that's important for our eggs. Circled in orange you see one of these lower elevation streams coming out of a, what we call a muskeg, right, a peat bog, it's underlain by bedrock and so the water doesn't go deep in the ground and so it warms up dramatically in the summer, even warmer than the air temperature in often cases. But for the same reason it will freeze very solidly and readily in the winter months. So that's just a very variable temperature pattern. Here are those patterns on the graph that you see in the upper left hand side there. On the vertical access is water temperature and across the horizontal access we have two years of time and you see those 19 black lines on that plot, each for a different site and there's about 19 different

temperature patterns so that gives you a sense of the variability.

2 3 4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

But we can group this into kind of two, these two groups of the groundwater fed and the precipitation fed. So for the blue line that you see, see how it's warmer in the winter and then colder in the summer. Very typical groundwater pattern. And why is that? Well, again you see my little PowerPoint art in the bottom there, you have the stream is underlain by sand and gravel and these fish are likely picking spots to spawn where that groundwater is upwelling. And in that photo that you see there's a pipe that's into the stream bed and there's water right up to the top of that pipe. That pipe is only a couple of feet into the gravel and there's four inches of positive water pressure filling it up above the surface of the stream so that's indicating that there's water upwelling into the stream in that reach.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Conversely if you look on the right hand side you'll see one of those muskeg streams and they're underlain by bedrock so the water can't really spend much time in the ground, it has less residence time in the ground and so the temperature in the stream really reflects the atmosphere and it can even, like I said, it can warm up greater than the atmosphere. So it can be 20 or 30 percent -- it can change by 20 or 30 percent more than the air does over like a weekly average.

30 31 32

So what does this mean for eggs?

33 34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Well, we took five years of data where we had two cold snowy winters, the snowpocalypse year that I heard mentioned earlier and then the next year that we're, you know, it was maybe slightly colder than the long-term average temperature and where at least 60 percent of the precipitation in the winter came in the form of snow, so kind of the conditions that you see pictured on the left. And then we took three winters that were warm and rainy, where up to 90 percent of our winter precipitation fell as rain on the coast and so you see that pictured on the right. And we decided to compare egg development between these cold snowy winters and these warm rainy winters. By the way based on that Chugach vulnerability assessment, the warm rainy winters are expected to be about normal by about 2050. So to do the modeling of the egg development, we

Page 60

used this curved line you see in the middle. So it's almost like a Nike swoosh but not quite so extreme. And what we have is, we have temperature on the horizontal access and then we have the development time 5 of the eggs from when they fertilize until they swim up as fry out of the gravel and we have that on the 7 vertical access, the number of days. So we take our 8 winter temperature data and we plug it in and we get 9 out the days to development. And this was developed based on studies in the lab and at hatcheries where 10 they reared coho salmon at different temperatures and 11 12 it fits pretty well with those lab data, it's actually 13 surprisingly strong fit, temperature is a major driver 14 for these development rates. And so if you look at the 15 set of dashed and dotted lines on the left, you can 16 line up with two degrees celsius, which is about 36 17 degrees fahrenheit, and at that temperature it takes 18 250 days to develop a coho salmon from the time it was fertilized until it swims up as a fry. Contrast that, 19 20 warm it up by two degrees celsius or three degrees 21 fahrenheit, a very small amount to us since we're warm-22 blooded, but for the eggs, that cuts down the 23 development time considerably. And you see that 24 there's a 90 day, about a three month difference in 25 development with just that couple degree change.

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34

These numbers aren't arbitrary, these are similar to what we see across the landscape. Here you see our same Nike swoosh, but I've super imposed two circles, one for our precipitation fed streams plot and one for where these groundwater fed streams plot, and this is in one of these cold and snowy winters, you know, kind of the historical normal. And there's about a three month, you know, 110 day difference between the means of those two groups.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

And at the time we were doing this study, a PhD student was also looking at the development of the coho salmon, she was collecting the fish and looking at the time that they emerged from the gravel and what they were eating, a whole study on the fish themselves and so it was really enlightening, Emily Campbell, the PhD student found that the emergence of the juvenile salmon from the gravel was nearly synchronize across all these streams. And this fits nicely with what we observed, that there's a three month range in spawning. We know that some fish are spawning in September or October, and some fish are spawning in November or December, or even into early

Page 61

January in some cases. But that it seems to be paired to the different temperature patterns, to where the juveniles are emerging at a very similar time in the spring. So in other words the fish have selected a spawn timing that ensures a certain emergence timing. And that emergence timing for the fish, is, it correlates really nicely with the peak abundance of these midges, chironomidaes in the stream that the juvenile salmon are eating so they seem to have figured it out.

Well, what happens when you warm it up?

We warmed up, you know, in those warm blob years, 2016, 2015 timeline, the air temperature warmed up by about two degrees C on average during the winter and -- but the warming was not equal across the landscape. The big changes were at these precipitation fed streams that are vulnerable to the changes in atmospheric conditions. And so they basically caught up to the groundwater fed streams. They warmed up to about the same temperature so all of a sudden the water temperature's average winter water temperatures are very similar across all these sites. And so while we had only about a two week change at the groundwater fed streams, we had a one to three month change at these precipitation fed sites and you can see that the variability was greatly reduced, that they're all kind of lining up right there on 4 degrees C.

Here it is in kind of a picture form, we see the chain of incubation that links two really important life history events for these fish, the time that they spawn and the time that the juveniles emerge. And during these cold snowy winters that chain is longer at the precipitation fed streams, it takes longer for them to develop, but you see the incubation is nearly synchronize.

 If we have a warmer winter we're basically removing a link from that chain from the precipitation fed streams, the groundwater streams stay unchanged for at least the short term and we have this emergence occurring earlier.

So the question is, well, what does that mean? What does that mean for the fish?

And I'll get into this in the slide,

but if it reduces the viability of the juvenile salmon, what we would expect is to see a shift in the spawn timing of the adults, that's going to be the first mechanism that they have to adapt. And so we would expect something, or about the size of something like this, where we have earlier -- or sorry, excuse me, later spawning at these precipitation fed streams and then more synchronize spawning to adapt for that if -if viability is reduced. That's a big if at this point.

10 11 12

5

7

8

9

So here's our take away points.

13 14

15

16

No. 1. The water temperatures are variable across the landscape. Now, from one stream to the next you can have a whole different water temperature pattern.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

No. 2. Earlier emergents can have cascading effects. This gets back to that viability question. For example, if these fish that are emerging earlier in the spring, if they feed more that first year, say there's a lot of food available for them and they feed really well, studies other places indicate they may go out to sea after one summer instead of after two. In that case it sounds great, they go out to ocean sooner, but studies indicate that perhaps their viability is not as good because they're going out to ocean a little smaller and so then fewer of them will come back. And so that's one concern. Again, my work is on the temperature. So here I'm sharing some studies that other folks have done and then we can relate it all together.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

No. 3. If that viability is reduced, then that's where we might expect to see that change in spawn timing and if we see that, that has big implications for managers who are studying the timing of these fish runs. We set up fishwheels and weirs and counting towers at certain times of year and so we're always cognizant if those things are starting to change, perhaps more importantly it will affect the consumers of fish whether it be humans or bears or others that are relying on certain run timing. If those start to change that would be a good thing for managers to be able to track.

Phone: 907-243-0668

46 47 48

So I think potential things for management to keep in mind.

Page 63

First off, that there's a lot of diversity in our habitat, especially here in Alaska where we have a lot of intact habitat and the diverse habitat results in diverse fish and studies have indicated that diverse fish are a more robust fishery. So this idea of portfolio effects, financial portfolios are on folks minds with the Corona virus and all the rest but if you think about a diverse portfolio of stocks and assets it's more robust to these disturbances than if you have all your proverbial eggs in one basket. And studies indicate that the same applies for fisheries. If we maintain a diversity of habitats, different run timings, different life history traits that are of -- fish will be more robust and there could be more harvest.

Now, secondly, connectivity is important. What do I mean by that?

 Well, so the ability of these juvenile fish that might be 30, 40 millimeters long and they're just an inch or two long, to move around the landscape. Remember coho salmon are spending a year or two in freshwater after they emerge. And so if they're developing faster in one of these precipitation fed streams, those juveniles might need to be able to move to ponds or lakes or other streams or places to rear successfully. And so doing things like replacing undersized culverts with more fish friendly models or other things to improve connectivity across the landscape could be really important to enable these juveniles to use the whole landscape to pick and choose where they end up to develop better.

And then the final point is this adaptability thing, our systems as human's tend to get pretty rigid pretty fast and it can take us awhile to move things around. So anything that we can do to ensure that our management is more fluid and is on the ball's of our feet here can make a big difference, I think, as things continue to change rapidly.

This study is just a few sites in one area and just with temperature and then some modeling so it's not very powerful in the sense that we weren't measuring the fish themselves. So we're now -- what was helpful about this study is it helped us ask the right questions and now we're going back and we're looking into this more deeply. We're kind of peeling

back the layers of the onion, if you will. So here's what we're working on right now.

3 4

5

6

7

8

For starters we're doing the same modeling across all 40 sites, so across the entire Forest and we're doing it for all five species of salmon and for different run timings to see if the different spawn timings makes a difference on these development ideas.

9 10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Secondly, we're collaborating with folks at University of Alaska Anchorage who are doing a regional analysis of water temperature across all of Southcentral so they're taking our data, all the data they can find from the Copper Basin, Cook Inlet regions, Kenai Peninsula and they're using a much more powerful computer than I have to look at them all at once. So that would be really insightful and to look at the sensitivity and if these patterns of groundwater and precipitation hold across a bigger area.

20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

The third one I'm really excited about, this is fun. We're taking as a common garden experiment they call it, we're taking eggs from these groundwater systems and then rearing them in the lab at Fairbanks in conditions that mimic the precipitation fed streams and the groundwater fed streams to see, nu number 1, do these development models work well, and number 2, are there any characteristics about the egg that have developed to compensate for the temperature. So we're rearing the eggs in the lab environment where we can control things in different temperature scenarios, which will be really insightful to see what the fishes responses are.

34 35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

And then, finally, a genetics study is taking place with the Wild Salmon Center and they're sending the samples off to a lab in UC-Davis. And this lab sequences millions of genes, it looks at a whole bunch of genes in these fish trying to identify the gene's responsible for spawn timing. And so those samples will be run this summer. But they are going to be looking to see if there's a genetic link to spawn timing. Is there a genetic difference between these fish that are spawning in September and these fish that are spawning in December, and, if so, how much variability is there at that gene. So for example, one of the ideas we'd be investigating is you might assume we could stake the flag at these groundwater fed

streams because they're less variable but if those fish evolved with less variability, do they have less resilience to respond because they're not used to the inner-annual differences that the fish at the precipitation fed streams are. The fish in the precipitation fed streams, one year is really warm. the next year is really cold, they have to be able to respond to that to survive. Whereas the groundwater fed system is much more homogeneous and so we're going to be looking to see if that's coded in the genes or if a coho salmon is a coho salmon regardless of where you find it on the Copper River Delta.

12 13 14

15

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

And so thank you for your time and your attention, that's what I have and I'm happy to answer any questions.

16 17 18

19

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: A lot of information, that was great. Questions, who wants to start -- John, go ahead.

20 21 22

MR. WHISSEL: That's really cool, thanks. I've enjoyed watching this project sort of commence and go public, it's been pretty cool.

24 25 26

27 28

29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36

23

The issues you were ending with there were kind of what I was sort of thinking about during this and I'm wondering if you could talk a little bit more about those, specifically, the issue of having a stable system may create fish with, you know, less resilience. And to me, what we're talking about, you know, is plasticity in that genetic structure of the coho. So is that what the genetics and the common garden experiments are going to look at, at the laboratory level, how fish from specific streams fare in other streams and test the conditions to see if it's not plasticity but it's adaptation?

37 38 39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. ADELFIO: Exactly. Yes. exactly what we're getting it. Is how plastic are these fish, meaning how much can they adjust, how easily can they adjust their life history, their behavior to changing conditions and how much of it is codified in their genes to where it'll take a selective process for them to change. And this will have to do with their -- this will have big effects on management implications and the total impacts of climate change, and so that's the heart of it. And, unfortunately, it's -- it's in the works this year, the labs are at

Page 66

Davis waiting to get run but I don't have the answers for you quite yet.

MR. WHISSEL: Do you know, though, that the stocks you're using are adherent to one type of stream or another, do you have any genetic markers that suggest that you do have, you know, generations returning to one stream because coho get a little bit like pink salmon once they're up in the freshwater and our waterways are so connected. I'm wondering if they're not already mixing or whether you've looked at that at all.

MR. ADELFIO: Yeah, so an important part of this conversation is that Fish and Game manages all these different streams as one stock, one run, but we did send some genetic samples to the State lab that they have run that do show that there are some differences that indicate that we could be seeing some of these genetic differences in spawn timing that we're searching for. It's very possible based on the preliminary genetics work that we've seen thus far. But, yes, we'll have to see. And, you know, these are dynamic glacial systems. The Copper River Delta is a big glacial outwash and so the location of streams and water sources changes a lot and so -- so presumably the fish have some capacity to adapt, you know, that's inherent, but we also expect a lot of our fish for harvest -- a lot of harvest from different user groups and so we were curious if the number of fish returning will be able to maintain as these fish are adapting to changing climate environments, that's an important part of the question.

 MR. WHISSEL: So one follow up to that is, are you controlling for streams that are dominated by glacial outflow or are you just not looking at those streams?

MR. ADELFIO: Yeah, interestingly, in glacial systems where we see salmon spawning, it tends to be these groundwater upwellings, either underneath the glacier water or in a small groundwater channel on the side of the main glacial river. That's where we see a lot of the spawning activity happening. So in some says this groundwater term may be synonymous with glacial because that's where the fish are using whether there's glacier flow over or not. But the glacier water will really matter for the rearing, the success

in the freshwater rearing of those juveniles. Although again even on these glacial systems you have -- if the good flood plain connectivity, there's water across the landscape, there's usually clear water coming in from the sides and that's where, I think, the fish are really making their living.

6 7 8

9

10

4

5

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I knew you'd get deep into it but that's good, that's good stuff. Yeah, I know you could go all day, but that's okay. Andy, you want to go next.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, sure. So I appreciate that that was coho and I'm glad to see that, one, you're going to be doing all five species. What's kind of important to people in my region is humpies and even dogs, and they're like -- they don't require that smoltification process in the streams and what not, and I think some research may also need to be going towards the plankton bloom that peaks around the 7th of April or so because if these cumulative celsius temperature units make these one year cycle fish that don't smolt, just out-migrating fry, pop out earlier in March, they're missing that food opportunity when they get to the ocean and they out-migrate and there's a big problem about food sources and whatever, and that's also going to be shrinking this return timing that helps that species cover the bases of spawning in a drought or a flood or whatever, you know, they're travel up stream or whatever, so I think that's where that's going to be headed with the humpies and even chums on the fast out-migrating, the ones that don't live in the stream all year, it's not about chironomidaes, it's about plankton, you know.

34 35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45 46

47

48 49

50

MR. ADELFIO: Yeah, no, you're absolutely right. And same for sockeye, I think, too, where a lot of sockeye are spawning at groundwater fed systems that will be very stable over the short term, but then they're rearing in these lakes and on the Copper River Delta our lakes are very shallow and they're ground zero for warming temperatures, they warm up the most out of anything that we see. And so for both sockeye and then for pink and chum like you mentioned, we're concerned is there going to be an off set or a synchrony between the availability of the food for these small little juveniles that are freshly emerged based on when the eggs are developing and hatching. For pinks and chums an interesting thing

Page 68

that we're seeing is that the inner -- a lot of pinks and chums in Prince William Sound, especially eastern Prince William Sound are spawning in the inter-tidal zone where they're, you know, in some cases up to 70 percent of pinks and 90 percent of chum salmon are spawning where the tide affects the temperature. And the ocean has -- is kind of like -- you know, it warms up and can stay warm for longer and so that can affect the development time. So that's one thing that we're looking at in Prince William Sound, is the differences between just a freshwater stream and the inter-tidal zone and we're seeing some pretty substantial, multiple month differences in development timing there. And so that will all also affect, you know, when they're emerging for -- when they swim out of those streams, it'll be based on food availability then too. Yeah.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Was your logger in Jackpot and is that inter-tidal or is that up stream?

MR. ADELFIO: Yes, we have a logger at Jackpot up stream about the fifth lake up the system. We do have some inter-tidal loggers and freshwater stream loggers at Herb Creek which is in Ewan Bay there, and -- and then the other inter-tidal site that we have is Olsen Creek, which is in Eastern Prince William Sound.

 $\label{eq:chairman} \mbox{CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Do others have questions.}$ 

Go ahead, Gloria.

MS. STICKWAN: What are the five species you're going to study?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  ADELFIO: Chinook, chum, pink, sockeye and coho.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: What this tells me is the Creator was very technical when he made all these fish, you know, holy smokes. I know there's a lot to it but it's good stuff.

I guess my question would be, you know, it would probably take a heck of a long time, one thing that really got my attention was your groundwater coming up and you say that's a good place for the spawners. And I'm assuming that'll stay cold for a

Page 69

long time long after I'm gone but hopefully it'll stay good.

2 3 4

 MR. ADELFIO: Yeah, no, that's an interesting point. And the residence time in the ground is affected by a variety of things, including how big that underground aquifer is and how much precipitation you have following, and so in a place like Cordova where you have a lot of precipitation we could be talking about the average residence time only being a few years or less than a decade and so those groundwater temperatures will roughly equivalent with the average annual air temperature so over time they'll start to climb as well. We just don't have this innerannual variability there. So, yes, at the end of the day, you could see some fairly substantial changes in the groundwater, we're just not seeing it on the short-term timeline.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very interesting.

Other comments. Questions.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good presentation. Thank you Luca, very good.

 $$\operatorname{\text{Milo}},$$  you want to come up and tell us that the bears are going to eat all the fish that we save.

MR. BURCHAM: Hello. Milo Burcham from the Chugach National Forest. And I share an office with Luca, he's a great hand and his supervisor, Theresa Tanner, who was a co-author on that presentation, they mentioned that coho genetic study, that's partly funded by the Subsistence Program so we work together on various things like this.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Before you get started, is there a way we could get a copy of that presentation. My eyes, I can't even read this, but I mean is there a way we could get that emailed to us or something.

MR. ADELFIO: Yes, certainly.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I'll get you a

Page 70

card. But that would be real handy for discussion.

MR. BURCHAM: Yeah, he'd be happy to share that.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you.

MR. BURCHAM: Okay. This is relatively quick, not as technical, but I just wanted to keep you abreast of the black bear — the cooperative black bear project that we're doing with Alaska Department of Fish and Game. The fall meeting, you know, there wasn't enough time for it and this is just a little bit longer than I might fit in my agency report so I wanted a special time slot. It's an ongoing project. I don't have results, per se, to present to you but I just wanted to let you know where we are with it.

So the project goals -- well, I guess you'll have to advance the slide.

First of all, we want to look at habitat selection during the hunting season, in particular, and we have two study areas, one more heavily hunted than the other and we want to be able to compare the two. We want to compare habitat selection patterns for bears exposed to different levels of hunting. We want to assess the feasibility of ground based capturing for a project as opposed to aerial, you know, darting, which is more often used in remote areas. And then we're collecting a variety of other data that will inform us in lots of other things, like home ranges and then just, you know, size, age, sex of the bears. That'll help us -- that'll inform a lot of future work.

As I said, this is a partnership with Alaska Department of Fish and Game. We started this project in 2016, my cooperator on the project is Charolette Westing, she's the local area biologist out at Cordova, and a great hand and, you know, we're both really interested in and enjoying this project.

Next slide.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Milo, there's a clicker up there that'll advance it.

MR. BURCHAM: Oh, I can advance it.

I'm not sure how this is working. That's a pointer. Okay, got it. Got it.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

This is our study area in Prince William Sound. We've worked on two islands, Esther Island and Knight Island. Esther Island is the one that qualifies as that higher hunter -- the more heavily hunted island. It has a high harvest density, you know, bears killed per square acre. It's very close to Whittier, a short boat ride from Whittier and receives a lot of hunting pressure from there. Knight Island, by contrast, is quite a bit more remote and it's also a little bit bigger island. But, anyway, I just want to emphasize that this study is taking place in really remote areas. We're using boats, a Forest Service vessel to access them for all of our trapping and radio collaring, and so we just can't get there, you know, whenever we want and a lot of bear projects have taken place on road systems where you could drive trucks, that's not the case here. We got to hike to our trap sites from the shoreline and all that.

212223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

So we started this project in 2016. Here we are working a bear on the ground. It's got a blindfold on, tranquilized, and most importantly we're getting radio collars on them because movement data is the most important thing that we're after but we're also taking a lot of measurements, you know, weight, different measurements, you know, lengths -- lengths of the body, weight and things like that. And if the bear is big enough, an adult bear, we put the radio collar on it. We're finished with the capture phase of the project and that's what I reported to you last year as well. We ended up capturing 106 bears, 96 different individuals and 53 were fitted with radio collars and that shows our capture success over the life of the project. We went out twice per season, 10 day trip at a time, and we got a little bit better at it as the project went on.

39 40 41

42

43

And to the right there's a bear in a snare. Unfortunately she's the famous one, the infamous one that was poached in her den that you guys all heard about last year.

44 45 46

47

48

I'm just going to review this for you. This is what I showed you last year but I have more information to add to it now, and that's mostly what I wanted to do with this project. Is we saw some things

happening and speculated and initial results looked pretty grim but I just want to paint a fuller picture of what happened, especially after the summer of 2018. This gives a general view of bears on Esther Island and what their home range is — the female home ranges look like, they're pretty tight little clusters, and if you draw lines around them it looks something like this. The males have the bigger circles. You can see the home range size for males is quite a bit larger.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

4 5

6

8

But this is what we observed and what I reported last year after 2018. And we're basically certain this is in response to a food shortage, probably a berry crop failure. And we had females, about half our females on Esther Island, that had these nice tight little home ranges that we had observed the first two years of the project did things like this in 2018, they went to places that we had not seen them go before, including hanging out at the hatchery down here. In fact a number of bears did that. Here's another female and her home range in 2016, 2017 and '18 prior to the end of July, and then from late July through August, her home range did this. She actually left Esther Island, it's the first bear that we had seen leave the island and crossed the narrow there. And then this female, an 18 year old female, same, had a tight little home range for the first three seasons of the project, and then late in the third season did this, all the way up to Cog Hill.

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40 So, anyway, something was going on then and, you know, we were concerned about it and it correlated with observations all over Southcentral Alaska and that was bears coming into town, high incidences of DLPs, defense of life and property killings of bears. There was close to 20 that were killed in Cordova alone. And it correlated really well, at least our study painted a real accurate picture I think of what was going on. So it was really interesting to have radio collared bears during that event.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

Well, they went into hibernation that year, you know, we observed these increased ranges in the summer of 2018 and then they went into their dens and we do some den work, and I'll report what's going on with our radio collared sample here at the end, but we're basically losing collars through attrition as some fail, some bears get shot by hunters, and some

drop off and we're trying to keep as many going. that we're done trapping we're trying to keep as many collars working in the field and so we're doing some 4 den work to visit dens of bears whose collars have failed and fit them with new collars. Well, prior to 5 6 doing that, we do a flight and we try to pinpoint den 7 locations before we go in the field. And when we did 8 this flight last April, so it would have just been 9 after we met last year, we heard basically seven transmitters in mortality mode, and we thought, wow, 10 this is, you know, really interesting we might have 11 12 some dead bears out there which we hadn't observed up 13 to this point. And we reported that to people in 14 conversation basically but we, since then, have 15 investigated these mortality signals and we got out on 16 the ground last spring and did that and that's what I 17 wanted to report, you know, accurately, you know, 18 exactly what went on there. The very first one was 19 alarming, and it took a little while before we got to 20 some of the other ones. When we were doing the den 21 work in April, one of the bears we went to investigate 22 was a 18 year old female that we had caught the 23 previous year, she was 140 pounds at capture and we 24 found her carcass just dead on the ground, not in a den 25 in early April when we did this den work. When we 26 downloaded the collar data we were able to see when the 27 collar began going into mortality mode and so she had 28 just died a few -- a couple weeks before on March 21st, so her carcass was in very good shape. It was 29 30 basically refrigerated, it was still cool then, even 31 though it wasn't in snow. And when we got back to town 32 and weighed it, she weighed just 55 pounds. And here's 33 a picture of the carcass, it looked like a puppy 34 basically and this is an adult female bear that 35 actually had a cub with her the previous summer. We 36 were pretty shocked by that, this emaciated bear, that 37 for one reason or another didn't make it through the 38 winter, and we were beginning to speculate that that 39 was what we were going to find with the rest of these, 40 but I wanted to report, you know, the rest of that.

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48

We had two that went into mortality in the fall. We knew their signals were in mortality, we didn't get a chance to get to them until the snow melted in the spring. This is one of them. And we don't have a cause of death because we weren't able to recover the carcasses until many months later in May. But here's an adult female, 175 pounds, 11 years old that we found just laying on the ground, dead, you

Page 74

know, near what might have been a denning site but we're not sure if it made it to a den or not. And the same was -- this is another bear in the same condition that died in the fall and decomposed by the time we got to it.

5 6 7

4

So, anyway, three were mortalities, as I'm showing here.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2.5

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

4950

And then the next three kind of changed the story a little bit. But we basically had the collars slip off of three bears. And these are pictures of the collars where we found them inside den This is bear 21 that was caught in 2016. What's interesting is two of these bears, two of these three that slipped their collars had worn the collars for three years, or three seasons prior to this and denned twice and emerged with their collars on twice before this. This was a 16 year old adult female. This den is in a rocky cave in the back of a crevice there. Here's another one in a rock cave, rock crevice, you can see the collar laying on the ground with fur and stuff and vegetation or duff around it. This was an adult female as well. And the third one, this is the den site underneath a stump. And this is unusual, this is the first non-cave type bear den that we had around on Esther Island, and this was a younger bear, still an adult, what we call an adult, four years old, but it slipped its collar as well. And for contrast I wanted to show what -- oh, and then we had one mortality signal on Esther -- on Knight Island and it was an adult male there we had caught the year before, a 225 pound male, nine years old and he slipped his collar and his den was in a stump. By contrast -because I just showed you two stump dens, this is the only den we've seen on Knight Island so far. On Esther Island all of our dens are like this, they're in caves or under large granite boulders. You know, Esther is granitic and blocky and it's geology and there's lots of places like this. And so the bulk of our dens so far that we've, you know, the information we have on dens and it's a stark contrast to Southeast Alaska where they den almost exclusively in old growth large trees, stumps and things like that, on Esther Island we're seeing them in caves or under large rocks like this for the most part. Here's another one, it looks like it's a stump but it's not, you can see the left hand side's granite and there is a tree growing in front of it but it's also a cave.

And I think I mentioned before, one of the things we do when we're not necessarily going inside dens to change collars, when we have the opportunity we put cameras in front of dens, and it isn't to catch poachers, although that was the net result last year, the reason we're doing it is to document cub production, and here's a collared female emerging with a yearling cub, a single cub, the reason we were doing the cameras was to document this sort of thing.

We don't see our bears because our study area is remote and so we don't know whether they have cubs or not, which is important information when you want to analyze for habitat use and home ranges and things like that. So cameras and then flights are -- trying to get visuals are two efforts that we make to learn more about our bears since -- you know, after we get the collars on them.

2.5

So where we are right now is collecting data from our collared bears and trying to keep as many collars in the field but we're kind of losing them through attrition. Harvest -- hunter harvest is part of it. On Esther Island we've had four of our 10 males collared harvested. It's a lower percentage on Knight Island, where one of 11 males, we did have an ear tagged female harvested, and then we had one bear lost for unknown reasons, it just went off the air in the fall, coincidentally or not, soon after the fall hunting season opened, but anyway we don't know the status of that one. We had the same thing happen to a bear on Esther Island and for some strange reason it was harvested a few weeks later by a hunter who claimed it didn't have a collar on it. We can't explain that one but it seems to have lost its collar somehow and there's no trace of it anywhere and a hunter harvested that bear later.

I told you that we try to get presence of cubs, you know, that information that helps inform our analysis later. We do some of that with cameras but we did some aerial efforts last year, we even got a helicopter which was much more successful at getting visuals than fixed-winged flights and we observed 16 of our bears in our -- our females in 2019, 14 of them did not have cubs, one had a female with a yearling and one had a female with a cub of the year. That seems low, and we don't have much else to compare it with because

the previous years we didn't get very much information on cub production. That could be a factor of the poor berry crop the year before, we're not sure but it's interesting. We have some collars fail so we're losing our sample size through that. It's a relatively small rate, 10 of the 53 have failed since 2016 but some of them were out for quite some time before they did fail. In 2018 we replaced three in dens and then last spring we had no snow pack, quite a contrast to this winter and there was no snow up to about thousand feet or maybe even a little higher and when we approached dens to change collars in the first week of April we had several bears bail as we approached and we weren't able to work and we were able to replace the collar on one male in a den but unsuccessful in the other attempts.

And now we're getting to the planned releases of collars. The collars are programmed to release after three seasons so the first ones — the first batch of collars that we deployed on Esther Island in 2019 they all fell off last fall and we will be picking those collars up this summer or spring and so our 2017 captures, they will drop off this fall and then our final batch will drop off in 2021. So we're losing collars that way.

And this gives a rough picture, it's not quite up to date of what we have in the field still. What's missing is our 2016, you can't even see the pointer on there, the active collars for Esther Island 25 is actually lower than that because of the ones that dropped off this fall. So that's not quite up to date.

 This is the -- just reporting on what I just told you about, last spring we were only able to replace a collar in one den. 2018 we did it in three, we had the poor weather, low snow pack in 2019, but at the end of this month we have a charter planned and have plans to replace collars on up to seven bears and get them active again. So we'll have a snow pack for sure, in fact, I'm worried about how much digging we might have to do to get to dens as compared to the previous two years. But they should still be in them.

So that's most of what I wanted to report. That's where we are. We're going to keep as many collars going through 2021. We're going to keep checking our weather stations. On Esther Island we have

weather stations that Luca has actually helped me with the data loggers, where we're collecting temperature and with a camera measuring snow depth throughout the winter at four different elevations. We're going to retrieve dropped collars and even though we're getting location data through satellites, when we retrieve a collar we can get a data download that might include locations that weren't able to get to the satellite, so we should have better data set from the retrieved collars and we're beginning to play around with the analysis and write reports. We're working with a biometrician and Alaska Department of Fish and Game here in Anchorage, John Skinner, and just starting to look at some of our data sets and what we can get out of them.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

Oh, and I wanted to -- there's Charolette, my co-worker, or partner in this project on the left. Here we are with the last bear that we put a radio collar on in 2018. And then this has been all hands on deck and it's been a great collaborative effort of pulling employees to help us, because she and I can't do this alone, the field work always requires additional folks with us and I just want to just kind of show a sample of the many hands that we've had help us on the project with some of our tranquilized bears. Dave Pearson, my subsistence partner on the Kenai, has been out in the field with us and helped, and Jason Herriman you saw on that last -- actually in several of these pictures here, Jordan Rheimer, law enforcement on the Kenai has been helpful, but it's been many that's allowed this to happen.

33 34

Thanks.

35 36 37

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good. good report. I got one question, but anyone got questions for Milo.

38 39 40

Go ahead, Aaron.

41 42

43

MR. BLOOMQUIST: I see you had weights on a lot of -- did you weigh all the bears that you guys....

Phone: 907-243-0668

44 45 46

MR. BURCHAM: Yeah.

47 48

MR. BLOOMQUIST: What was the biggest one you weighed?

```
Page 78
```

MR. BURCHAM: The biggest was -- the biggest was a 315 pound male and this is in summer, probably before, you know, they've reached their max with berries. A 295 pound male had the biggest neck and we caught it in June, earlier in the season, than the 315, so by dimensions it was larger, and -- but we had one that weighed a little bit more later. So that's the upper end, like right around 300 pounds.

MR. BLOOMQUIST: Yeah, interesting.

MR. BURCHAM: The average female -- I do have a chart, I didn't happen to put it in this slide show, they probably averaged closer to 175 pounds or something like that. And actually the males don't average that much greater, at least our captured sample might average more like 195 or something but we've had a few outliers, you know, in the high 200s.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  BLOOMQUIST: Interesting. Yeah, I've weighed a ton of bears, I just was curious what size you had out there.

MR. BURCHAM: I don't think there's any 500 pound bears out there, black bears.

MR. BLOOMQUIST: Only on Facebook.

MR. BURCHAM: Right. Every bear's a

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, Andy, go

ahead.

big one.

 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, thank you. Just curious if the female harvest component has dropped down since that lack of data, but now the State's doing the skulls and teeth and all that, do you know what's going on with the sporthunters?

MR. BURCHAM: I don't have my hands on that right now. But that is something that we'll look at in the final analysis. The female harvest component isn't as high as was alarming when we were getting into this project, it looks like.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: John.

```
Page 79
                     MR. WHISSEL: So you had some
1
 2
     dispersion from your telemetry data, you saw some very
     emaciated bears and some mortality, you lost a crazy
     number of collars for what you did, I mean that's --
 4
 5
     you stated that very succinctly, but that's an
     outrageous number of collars to lose in a season, to
 6
7
     me, you know, having done this kind of work a little
8
     bit, I never had a rate of loss like that.
9
10
                     MR. BURCHAM: Yeah.
11
12
                     MR. WHISSEL: So what -- I mean
13
     obviously reading between the lines, these bears got
     skinny and their collars slipped off is what you're not
14
15
     saying, but did you -- on the bears you went back and
     were able to handle a second time after that event, did
16
     you notice a trend in shrinking neck girth and was that
17
18
     across bears or was that.....
19
20
                     MR. BURCHAM: There's only -- we're not
     putting our hands on bears very often any more and
21
22
     there's only one bear, the male that we worked in a den
23
     last year, is the only one that we put our hands on and
24
     we found -- so when we did our initial captures we
25
     weighed all these bears, we're working in really
26
     confined spaces when we work them in the den, we're not
     pulling them out and putting them back in and so we
27
28
    don't have weights on them.
29
30
                     MR. WHISSEL: But did you measure
31
     the....
32
33
                     MR. BURCHAM:
                                   No.
34
35
                     MR. WHISSEL:
                                   .....the -- you didn't
36
     get any measurements, no?
37
38
                     MR. BURCHAM:
                                   We're lucky to get the
39
     collar back on....
40
41
                     MR. WHISSEL: Oh, I gotcha.
42
43
                     MR. BURCHAM:
                                   ....a new collar back on
44
     them to be honest.
45
                     MR. WHISSEL: Okay.
46
47
48
                     MR. BURCHAM: So I can't compare that.
49
     It looked in okay condition and it survived and is
50
```

```
1
```

```
Page 80
     still healthy right now.
 3
                     MR. WHISSEL: And then recruitment is
4
     just terrible.
 5
 6
                     MR. BURCHAM: It seems so. Yeah, I
7
     don't know how statistically valid.....
8
9
                     MR. WHISSEL: Wow.
10
11
                     MR. BURCHAM: .....that is but.....
12
13
                     MR. WHISSEL: It's not.
14
15
                     MR. BURCHAM: .....we didn't see a lot.
16
17
                     MR. WHISSEL: But....
18
19
                     MR. BURCHAM: Right.
20
21
                     MR. WHISSEL: .....I can say it, you
22
     can't.
23
24
                     MR. BURCHAM: And it is interesting, I
25
     intentionally did not attribute the slipping of those
26
     collars to bears being in poor condition, but everybody
27
     that I tell this story to has the same conclusion.
28
29
                     MR. WHISSEL: You lay it out very
30
     clearly for us, Milo, but, yeah, very good not saying
31
     that.
32
33
                     (Laughter)
34
35
                     MR. WHISSEL: Another thing I can say
36
     that you can't, maybe. Thank you, that's interesting.
37
38
                     MR. BURCHAM: Thanks.
39
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I just got one
40
41
     quick question for you. That one, that hunter that you
42
     mentioned, you said that he got the bear and the collar
     had disappeared, but how did you know that he -- how
43
44
     did you connect that?
45
46
                     MR. BURCHAM: The poaching case?
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Oh, on the
49
     poaching case?
50
```

```
Page 81
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No, the harvested
 2
     animal.
 3
4
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: No, there was a
 5
    harvested animal, you said that he was harvested.
 6
 7
                     MR. BURCHAM: Well, we don't know.....
 8
9
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: He had no collar
10
    but you....
11
12
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Probably ear tag.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Did it have more
15
     tags on it?
16
17
                     MR. BURCHAM: Well, on Knight Island I
18
     reported two. One was an ear tagged female, and then a
19
     male that we don't know about. We don't know what
    happened to it. The signal just went off the bear in
20
21
     early September, coincidentally soon after the hunting
22
     season started -- oh, I'm sorry, and then -- I didn't
23
     have it on my slide, but I mentioned a collar that went
     off the air on Esther Island and, yeah, we just lost
24
25
     track of it, and then a hunter shows up in Anchorage
     sealing a bear that had an ear tag that was this bear,
26
27
     that's how we made the connection, yeah.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It had another
30
    tag on it?
31
32
                     MR. BURCHAM: Yeah.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Got to look for
35
     all the tags, okay.
36
37
                     MR. BURCHAM: Right.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Good
40
    presentation, Milo, thanks.
41
42
                     MR. BURCHAM: Yeah, thank you.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. All
45
     right, I imagine some of you want to have lunch, uh,
     that might be a good idea.
46
47
48
                     (Council nods affirmatively)
```

```
Page 82
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: DeAnna, where do
 2
   you suggest we go, we'll take a break, and what's
     everyone need, an hour.
4
5
                     (Council nods affirmatively)
 6
7
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: An hour's
8
     sufficient, we'll come back at 1:00. I'm looking at
9
    agency reports, we have some people that probably want
10
    to -- that won't be here tomorrow, so if I calculate my
     agency reports, no one came to me with an extension of
11
12
     15 minutes, we got about 2.5 hours left and so
13
     hopefully we'll finish up this afternoon. We'll see.
14
     But anyway take an hour and we'll see you back here at
15
     1:00.
16
17
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: We still have that
18
     Federal jurisdiction discussion.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, I allowed
21
    for that as well.
22
23
                     (Off record)
24
25
                     (On record)
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Michael are you
28
     on the phone?
29
30
                     MR. OPHEIM: Yes, Sir.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Diane, did you
33
    ever show up.
34
35
                     (No comments)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. We'll have
38
    to talk with you.
39
40
                     (Laughter)
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, we're going
43
     to go ahead and get back to order here. I hope you
44
     guys found a place to dine and it was good. I found a
45
     quick flop shop, but, anyway it all worked out.
```

49 add it to the agenda this morning and we're just going 50

item under new business and that was Andy asked us to

The item we're on now, we had one last

46 47

Page 83

to discuss it just for discussion purposes at this point but he wanted to talk about Federal jurisdiction, so I'm going to turn it over to him and let him talk about that a little bit.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, thank you, Greg. Yeah, just a point here.

Multiple years in a row coming to these meetings and I've done all sorts of proposals about regulations on fish and on wildlife stuff, mostly, and a point that needs to be brought forward on to the record is that a great injustice is being done to the rural residents of Prince William Sound who truly reside within Federal public waters. All of Prince William Sound is nearly entirely surrounded by Federal public lands which are designated as Chugach National Forest. We're provided a means to propose regulation changes in these books here for seasons and harvest limits of these resources, but this great disservice is happening because there's no means to propose any changes to such things as jurisdiction.

We heard earlier today how both the State and Federal regulations overlap to enable resource users in Cordova on their salmon, and even there was a time when -- maybe 20 years ago I was out there and I held a State and a Federal mountain goat tag which overlapped, okay. And so I'm wondering if OSM could help guide us on how to put a customary and traditional use determination for the marine waters of Prince William Sound. You can go to the book and on Page 70 it just lists freshwaters, freshwaters, freshwaters and that only refers to dipnetting of humpies and -- basically, for what the people in my communities I represent are doing. I remember in previous regs books the wording was slightly different and it said -- if my memory serves me correctly, it said something like marine waters exempt, but that's not seen in this book anymore and I'm wondering how to make a proposal to change that.

Also Chenega Bay is in the middle of a commercial fishing district, this is in the marine water section of Chenega Bay and resources like salmon and even commercial fishing of fish, okay, halibut, these things are things that are available to the industry for profit but not being made available to the households of the people who rurally reside there as a

Page 84

food resource. There's things like salmon, rockfish, halibut, many finfish. One thing that goes on, shrimping in the summer, this is regulated by the State. Crabbing in the winter, regulated by the State. But Federal users out there could be using shrimp in the winter and crab in the summer.

A difference in season timing is warranted for those types of resources because of the way climate change is messing with people's availability to harvest.

I mentioned the State and Federal places overlap in many regions for the way things are determined. So I'm wondering, can we request a C&T determination for the marine waters of Prince William Sound, or can we be provided a way to change regulations as written in this book that are regarding deficiencies that need modifications that aren't just about seasons and bag limits.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's an awful lot. Basically he wants Federal jurisdiction to extend to the marine waters, which I've always wanted. That would make all the Kenai fish Federal fish. But that would really get some people up in the air, wouldn't it. But, anyway, I'll just throw it out there, it's an interesting concept. I'm not sure -- I mean I definitely support what you're saying because those are customary and traditional uses in those areas, they used them for centuries, but how to go about the process. I know that we can put it in our next year -- we're going to talk about putting that in our annual report at our next meeting this fall, but about specific proposals, we need some guidance on how to press forward on this.

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. I can make a note of that and approach Office of Subsistence Management Staff.....

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay.

 ${\tt MS.}$  PERRY: .....for further

Thank you.

information.

```
1
```

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, I appreciate that DeAnna, that's good. Any other discussion on the Federal waters.

MR. WHISSEL: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, John.

MR. WHISSEL: I would just echo that. I think that there's a need to reevaluate how the waters of the -- the near shore waters are used by the residents there and I think this is a step in the right direction.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Well, I really do feel it's the right direction and I feel that there should be a Federal preference for where they've used them all their lives, it's kind of tough, but, anyway, it's a good subject to tackle. We need to tackle something new.

Thank you.

Okay, very good, we're moving on. We're going to have agency reports, and the first agency report, tribal government, Ninilchik Traditional Council. Ivan.

MR. ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Members of the RAC. For the record my name is Ivan Encelewski. I'm the Executive Director of the Ninilchik Traditional Council. And just going to give you a quick, short report based on last meeting.

We did report on the 2019 Kenai, Kasilof gillnet at the October 11th, or 10th and 11th RAC meeting in Seward, so I won't belabor you with that. But just as a quick recap on the Kasilof River we harvested around 553 sockeye. On the Kenai it was somewhere around 2,832. So very successful years, we filled almost all the permits and so it was a very -- as we all know, a very banner year there and things went smoothly.

So as a result of that, a couple quick things to report on.

 $$\operatorname{As}$  part of our memorandum of understanding with the Fish and Wildlife Service, we

met with Mr. Andy Loranger and Mr. Jeff Anderson on November 22nd, we talked about the recap of the Federal subsistence season, summaries any expectations we had, some of the changes in the large king escapement goals that were made at the Board of Fish.

5 6 7

8

4

Some concerns that NTC has and continues to have is invasive species, elodia issues, around the -- not only the Peninsula but the state.

9 10 11

12

13

14

We talked about some concerns NTC has continuing with the marine fisheries in the Cook Inlet that don't seem to be curtailed in comparison to some of the curtailment of the Kenai River chinook fisheries.

15 16 17

Talked about some other interests.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

Jeff was very good at getting information back to us on some of the -- one of the other issues that we had was the Ninilchik River, even though it's a State river, it stopped for the sportfishing and stocking that river with chinook in a mixed stock river has always been a concern of ours. So Jeff's been really helpful in getting information on some of the USFWService reports on stocking and mixed stock fisheries, which is still a huge concern for Ninilchik. So things went really well.

29 30

31

I just talked to Jeff yesterday and we are planning our spring consultation for April 9th. Everything's looking pretty good there.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

I know there were some changes at the Board of Fish. We don't expect that really to change the gillnet fisheries on the Kenai or Kasilof so things have been pretty smooth there. There are a few issues that we think we can work together on with regards to some proposals. You know, one that I broached and I had mentioned this before in our report and with Jeff, is potentially looking at moving our gillnet season. One thing that the tribe might look at is trying to move that from the 1st to the 15th, it starts on the 1st through 15th of July, and we'd rather see that probably go to the end so August 15th to 30th. So taking those two weeks out on the front end and moving them to the back end, to protect some of the early run even though we don't have any encounters with chinook, it gives us an opportunity -- it would give us an

Phone: 907-243-0668

Page 87

opportunity to, you know, have more sockeye harvest potential at that later time when the fish are in. When we originally proposed the fishery we thought there'd be more early run, blueback type fish, sockeye in the Kenai but it just hasn't come to fruition during that 1st to the 15th of July so it doesn't make a lot of sense to have that but we'll continue to work on that.

Talked to Jeff about some proposals, maybe alignment on the early/late run, removing some of the language and also changing on the rod and reel for a slot limit that coincides with the current restrictions that are being put in place for the sportfishery.

So we see ourselves probably being able to probably work together on some of those issues and maybe even putting in some proposals in those three areas.

So excited about those opportunities.

 I don't have anything else to report on the wildlife side. As you guys know you approved three areas of caribou, sheep and mountain goat and I don't have anything more to report on that other I think we'll continue to have another successful season, hopefully on our subsistence fisheries.

So if you have any questions I'd be happy to answer them.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: The only question I have for you Ivan is remember the end of April is Nascar and don't book any more meetings.

(Laughter)

MR. ENCELEWSKI: Okay.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I just had to put that on the record.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any questions for

 Ivan.

Page 88

1 (No comments)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. Thanks for coming up and taking time to update us.

Next I had Matt, who gave his report earlier, so on your agenda that's pretty well covered, Eyak.

The next one is Ahtna InterTribal Resource and Ahtna is, Karen or Susan, which one is going to talk to us. Come on up.

MS. LINNELL: Good afternoon. For the record my name is Karen Linnell, I'm the Executive Director of the Ahtna InterTribal Resource Commission. The Commission is comprised of the eight Ahtna tribes, Ahtna Incorporated and Chitina Native Corporation. We're pretty unique in having our tribes and our corporations work together on subsistence and resource concerns.

Just wanted to give you an update on things that have been happening with us. First I'd like to recognize my Chair, Shirley Smelcer's here with me.

But we have grown our Staff. We have an anthropologist on staff now to tell our story and our traditional knowledge and how that is science, the long term use of the land and stuff. So I'll talk to that a little bit more. We have a fisheries biologist on Staff and a wildlife biologist with a genetics background. And so we're pretty happy with our Staff. And I also have a project manager who's helping with link our biomass and moose browse projects to biomass.

Just to give you an update on the status of our MOA with the Department of Interior. The Eastern Interior RAC, Southcentral RAC and the Federal Subsistence Board approved a subsistence local advisory committee charter that is still sitting here in state somewhere and has not gone to the Secretary for approval. That, along with the shape filing map of the Ahtna traditional territory. There was supposed to be a proposed rulemaking that would allow for us, AITRC to issue or have a permit, or a series of permits to manage our own hunts and that hasn't happened yet. And we submitted a community harvest permit proposal a

couple years ago and the RAC here had made some modifications to it and so did the Eastern RAC, they mirrored what Southcentral RAC did. We brought that to the Federal Subsistence Board, they kept saying that they can't do it because of the Privacy Act and some other things but that's what they negotiated in the MOA and that's a legal thing, and so we're still trying to work through that. We were supposed to work with the BLM and National Park Service on what the framework would look like for that community harvest permit, we submitted something and the Staff -- InterAgency Staff Committee reviewed it and didn't like where we were going with it, I think, or didn't agree that it was possible, said it was outside of the Federal Subsistence Board's authority. So we were sent to work with BLM and the National Park Service on that and we're still waiting for that meeting to happen. I got a text yesterday so sometime between now and April 6th, I hope we have that meeting.

We have a Partners in Fisheries
Management grant and we did a survey with both tribal
and nontribal users and with the managers on user
participation in resource management, and that should
-- we should have the final report by July or so on
that -- the interviews that were conducted. There were
12 interviews when Odin Miller came on staff, and I
think he did another 13 interviews so it should be
pretty comprehensive. He went through the interviews
that were conducted by our previous anthropologist and
saw some data gaps and he went to look at that and
collect more information, so we're looking forward to
having that done.

We have worked with ADF&G on otolith sample collection for the Glennallen subdistrict and we're looking to bring on a fisheries technician to help with that project this summer.

We have a -- we participated in the Copper River Science Symposium that was hosted by Wrangell-St. Elias National Park, Copper River watershed project and Wrangell Institute for Science and Engineering and AITRC, where we had anthropologists, geologists, glaciologists, biologists, fisheries and wildlife and linguists, lots of ists were there talking about from the warming trends and the affects that it's going to have on the land and to Lake Ahtna to traditional names, that was really neat to see

the traditional names and the placements and how those places were named during Lake Ahtna's time. And that those names are still there and still have the same meaning, same -- we've been working with Ahtna Incorporated to do a carnivore study. We started in 2018, out of the 26 samples we found 30 bears, about -- most of them were all browns, I think nine black in there, most of them female and that was at 26 stations in 26 square miles. And then we did a collection again in 2019 so we're waiting for the DNA results to come back from that. Our wildlife biologist has a genetics background so that comes in real handy with this.

This year we're looking at expanding to five locations throughout the Ahtna lands from Chitina to Chistochina, five different locations, 40-something hair snare sites so we'll be looking at those and checking them weekly and hoping to get that expanded.

And then more just to let you know what we've been up to, we've submitted a special action request again regarding community harvest permit for AITRC. And I received word that the InterAgency Staff Committee, yesterday, had come up with a framework, I haven't seen it yet, so hopefully we'll get to look at that before the Federal meeting is held in Gakona. So they're coming out to our country for their very first time they're going to have a meeting in a rural setting and so we're looking forward to hosting them.

And we put in one of the FRMP proposals with the Native Village of Eyak and CommFish Division in looking at salmon escapement on the Klutina. I think you folks might have seen the proposal and stuff and so we're hoping that gets funded and we'll find out, I guess, soon, if they ever pass money down, whether that will get funded or not but we're pretty excited about it. I think it's good to know. Based on previous radio telemetry work that was done 30 to 50 percent of the sockeye return is on the Klutina for the whole river and so we want to make sure that that river — that salmon population stays solvent and a healthy population.

And I think that kind of sums it up aside from attending meetings and things, we're still trying to meet with tribes from the Lower 48 that do co-management and are actually cross-deputized with the State and with the Feds and how they run their

Page 91

programs.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good, Karen, boy you guys are busy. You got some wonderful programs that you guys are going to be able to control all your stuff here shortly, hopefully.

MS. LINNELL: Lord's willing. We'll get some legislation passed that will allow us to manage life resources on our own lands.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Question's for

13 Karen.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you very much for coming, and, Susan, for coming and updating us in your area, and the exciting things, good examples for us all.

Thank you.

MS. LINNELL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Jeff, I can't believe we got to you the first day, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

MR. ANDERSON: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. For the record my name is Jeff Anderson. I'm the field supervisor for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Kenai Fish and Wildlife Conservation Office, and in that capacity I've been delegated authority from the Federal Subsistence Board as the in-season manager for the Cook Inlet area Federal subsistence fisheries. And thank you for the opportunity to speak today. Sorry, I missed the meeting in Seward.

I've got an updated harvest summary from the 2019 season that I gave DeAnna to hopefully get out today. It's a couple weeks old. The Federal subsistence harvest reports aren't due until January 15th of the following year so we just wrapped up the final numbers for the 2019 season. Not much has really changed since the interim report that I provided back in the fall. I guess we got some final numbers for the dipnet fishery and Russian River falls, about 1,848

Page 92

sockeye. The rod and reel fishery numbers are the ones that have really changed since the fall. Those are the numbers that usually just get reported at the end of the year when the harvest reports get turned in, and, yeah, and Ivan and Darrel had presented information — or Ivan had on the community gillnet fisheries back in the fall and also briefly here. So not much new to report.

I guess we've got about a 96 percent return rate on the permits this year, which is pretty good and I think we're looking forward to an upcoming meeting here with Greg and Ivan with our preseason consultation with the Ninilchik Traditional Council and getting ready for issuing permits here again for the 2020 season, here, hopefully pretty soon.

I don't know if anyone has any

questions.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: And the question is I'll try and get my report in this year without you sending me a certified letter, but, anyway, everything else is good.

Questions for Jeff.

(No comments)

 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's good, short and sweet. But that's good and as you can see I just want to make another point here for the Ninilchik gillnet fishery, that it's been very successful and, you know, the community -- we do it for the whole community and those people are very thankful for that fish. It's worked out well.

Thanks, Jeff.

MR. ANDERSON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: U.S. Forest

Service.

MR. BURCHAM: Hello again. Milo Burcham, Chugach National Forest, subsistence coordinator. And I've got Dave Pearson, my sidekick for the Kenai here as well. And we're going to tell you what's going on with our agencies.

A couple updates in personnel and some people that had hoped to be here but recognizing this was a short meeting, could be a short meeting, and travel and stuff like that, didn't make it to this one. But we have a new District Ranger in Cordova, Steve Namants is his name and I've encouraged him to come to the fall meeting given that he couldn't make this one because we'll have more going on, more proposals probably to deal with. And then we have a new Forest Supervisor in place, Jeff Schram, and he was hoping to be here tomorrow if we are going to be here tomorrow and if he can't make this one I'm sure he'll try to make a future meeting as well.

So those are going on.

15 16 17

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

We don't have any -- we did not have any special actions in the 2019 season.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

We're nearing completion with the Forest Plan Revision. I'm sure some of you have been involved in the Chugach Forest Revision process or been aware of it. And we're getting really close, we're hoping to sign it in April, and then there's an objection period that follows that. And there was a meeting -- the Regional Forester scheduled a objection resolution meeting in January related to the plan. So, anyway, we're on the final stretch of that and that's been a long drawn out process and it'll be such a relief to have it behind us.

30 31 32

33

Somebody told me that there might be a delay but that's not fact. So that's what we're going with right now.

34 35 36

37

38

39 40

We've hinted at and I know Dennis touched on moose in Cordova. I'll switch to the Cordova district now and just talk about the species that we're dealing with. A lot of this got reported in the fall but not harvest, not harvest results, maybe survey results we touched on at the fall meeting.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

We harvested 33 of 35 cows in the Federal harvest and 36 of 45 bulls in the Federal harvest. And that -- even though it sounds pretty decent it's a lower success rate than we've seen on bulls and several different things are kind of pointing in the same direction. Even though we don't know the bull/cow ratio or know that it's low, as Dennis was

talking about, we had lower hunter success, hunters were coming to me and to the State biologist and reporting seeing very few bulls and many pilots in the 4 area were saying the same thing. And when a local 5 State Advisory Committee meeting was held we kind of 6 threw some options to them and they decided on lowering the bull quota. And so rather than 60 bull permits as 7 8 we've issued the past few years, we dropped it actually 9 to 40, we knocked 20 off of that, and 10 of those went in the State draw, or will be harvested by the State 10 and then we issued 30 for our 75 percent of the quota. 11 They also opted or recommended raising the cow harvest 12 13 to partially make up for the fewer bulls coming to town 14 and our population is good, we're at basically an all 15 time high in the 600s with our West Delta moose 16 population. We raised the cow quota from 35 to 40 and 17 those were all in the Federal draw. I just did the 18 Federal draw last week, it's a random drawing that 19 Cordova residents can put in for. It's always kind of 20 fun to get it out, you always get a little grumbling 21 right afterwards, how come so and so got it last year. 22 I do want to say that, sure, some people do draw on 23 back to back years, it's a small number, I think it was 24 three this year out of 80, but you hear about it when 25 it happens. And we have tried to put something in 26 place to not allow people who were successful one year 27 to draw the next but the Solicitor has seen that as a 28 violation of ANILCA and, anyway, we can't pass that. I'm content with that now, given that I can't do 29 30 anything about it, but it's not like we don't have 31 anything in place to kind of spread the wealth. What 32 we do have in place, and we've had this since the 33 beginning, is that no two permits go to the same 34 household. The State, on the other hand, has the no --35 if you get drawn one year you can't put in the next but 36 they don't have a restriction on the number of permits 37 per household. And, for instance, this year 15 permits 38 were drawn in the State drawing, nine went to out of 39 town residents, two of those were in the same household 40 in Chuqach or something. And I'm not complaining about 41 it but it's just -- we both have different mechanisms in place to kind of distribute this, it's not like 42 43 we're doing nothing and we hear people and -- I hear 44 people and kind of take it personally when those 45 comments come about. 46 47 With deer, we also are kind of back in 48 the good 'ol days since the crash that followed our 49 severe winter of 2011/12. The results from the

Computer Matrix, LLC Phone: 907-243-0668 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Fax: 907-243-1473

transects show back to normal deer numbers, so people are starting to wonder what will happen this year with our snow pack and it's too early to tell but we definitely have a lot of snow down to sea level in the Sound so we'll have to see how that plays out. But we have been sitting quite well and harvest has been very good in the deer field.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

4

5

6

So mountain goats, there was one -- two surveys were conducted, two units were surveyed in Prince William Sound. We didn't have any goats reported harvested as of yet in the -- well, I guess it'd be over now, it went through February, no goats reported harvested on the Federal side.

14 15 16

17 18

19

And then I talked about the salmon on the Copper River Delta last year, the stranding event. You know there was definitely effects from the low run off. I won't go back into that. We're chasing down harvest reports right now, we're at 89 percent or 79?

20 21 22

MR. PEARSON: We're at 79 percent.

23 24

25

26

27

MR. BURCHAM: 79 percent reporting rate and so we're trying down with another mailing those outstanding harvest reports for the Copper River Delta fishery.

28 29

With that I'll pass it to Dave and he'll tell you what's going on on the Kenai.

30 31 32

MR. PEARSON: I'm David Pearson in Chugach National Forest.

33 34 35

36

On the Kenai, as we spoke last fall, the moose season already concluded and three moose were harvested.

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

The caribou season ended December 31st and altogether two caribou were harvested, so after the meeting last fall no other caribou were harvested. looking into the future we plan on having the same agenda, to hand out permits. I'll still have a meeting in Cooper Landing and Hope to hand out fisheries permits and then in August, or late July I'll have another meeting, two meetings in Cooper Landing and Hope to hand out hunting permits. As Milo said, I'm working on improving our harvest reporting on the FFPW01, we're at about 80 percent, hopefully we'll get

Phone: 907-243-0668

```
Page 96
     to that 96 percent Jeff was at. I'm a little jealous
2
     of that.
3
4
                     (Laughter)
5
 6
                     MR. PEARSON: So if you haven't sent in
7
     your harvest report, a certified mailing is coming to
 8
     you soon.
9
10
                     (Laughter)
11
                     MR. BURCHAM: And I believe that is
12
13
     all.
14
15
                     MR. BURCHAM: Yeah, any questions.
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     MR. BURCHAM: Okay, thank you very
20
     much.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Questions.
23
24
                     (No comments)
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: You did a good
27
     job, no questions, that's good. Thank you. Thank you
28
     much.
29
30
                     National Park Service, Wrangell-St.
31
     Elias. Judy and Dave.
32
33
                     MS. PERRY: Their report is in ya'alls
34
    supplemental folder.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yep. Verifying
37
     our agenda but you go right ahead Dave. Go ahead.
38
39
                     MR. SARAFIN: Thank you, Chair. Good
40
     afternoon.
41
42
                     Yeah, starting out just a report for
43
    the -- this is our Resource Stewardship and Science
44
     Team from Wrangell-St. Elias has a short report in your
45
     materials to start it.
46
```

The first one we -- as Karen had

mentioned, as a collaborator on this, we -- the Park

hosted a Copper River Basin Symposium of tradition,

47

48

49

Page 97

science and stewardship. We hosted it February 18th and 19th of this year at the Park's Visitor Center. The purpose was facilitating knowledge sharing and research coordination among those with an interest in stewardship of natural and cultural resources of the Copper River Basin. We had several presenters covering topics from climate research and monitoring, glaciology, hydrology, aquatic ecology, prehistoric human occupation of the region, wildlife ecology and management, human dimensions of research stewardship, Copper River fisheries and linking of Indigenous knowledge and Western science to adapt to climate change.

We also submitted two different subsistence related proposals. One was a collaboration with Commercial Fisheries and Sportfish divisions of ADF&G looking at genetic stock identification and the harvest of Copper River salmon, of sockeye salmon. So the State already has a genetic baseline of several stocks of the Copper River area in their database and this will be getting some information on patterns of the stocks and harvest through commercial and also up river fisheries. So that'll be a new one. We're hoping, you know, it's been submitted, we're hoping for funding for that but pending a decision.

Also a proposal focusing on river ice conditions and winter subsistence access. Looking at imagery through the years and changes in ice conditions.

We've had an issue with, you know, people cross -- you know, historic pattern of crossing the Copper River in the wintertime and now with the warmer temperatures getting access into the park land for subsistence activities seems to be a changing trend that's happening where it's more limited than it was in the past.

 And also for strategic planning, Park Staff and collaborators from the region office and USGS, they've initiated a project to develop a resource stewardship strategy for the Park.

And that's the team's report.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Next}}$  would be the fisheries report unless there are questions on that first part.

Page 98

1 2

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: You're good.

MR. SARAFIN: Okay. Continuing with the fisheries. Again, we -- I've informed you of the weir history of the Park and we are currently waiting on funding, a decision from the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program for the operation of Tanada Creek weir, hopefully beginning this summer for a four year project funding period. Again, that's pending the decision.

(No comments)

We also have another FRMP funded project looking at burbot population assessments in small lakes of the Upper Yukon River drainage within the Park and we have one more lake that we'll be targeting this summer for a mark/recapture population estimate on that, the lake has not been confirmed, exactly which one we're doing yet. It could be Ptarmigan but we have some factors to consider out with that.

For the Upper Copper River Federal subsistence fisheries, 2019 season summary. We issued 343 Federal subsistence fishing permits for the Glennallen subdistrict, 181 for Chitina, one for the Batzulnetas area. Tables 4 through 6 show historical reported and expanded harvest for the Federal fisheries in each subdistrict that are in your materials.

For 2019 the Federal subsistence harvest of both sockeye and chinook in the Upper Copper River district, so both subdistricts combined, is estimated to be between the five and 10 year average. And we have seen a shift where we're getting a little more harvest and interest in the Chitina, I believe it likely displaces harvest that would have occurred in the Glennallen subdistrict, however, -- and now may be occurring a little bit more further down stream but still in the Upper Copper River district.

So by regulation, you know, both Chitina and Glennallen and Batzulnetas are scheduled to open May 15th through September 30th, and in the past we had been restricting the Chitina fishing times to align with the State's personal use fishery there, however, we have departed that strategy now. 2019 was

Page 99

actually the first year where we did not issue a special action and I reported this in the fall but just to recap this. So this is the first year that it was open continuous through the time that it was as the regulation states and we've -- as I said we've likely had a few individuals that shift to Chitina subdistrict. They may have difficulties getting scheduled on a fishwheel and prefer to harvest by dipnet and so it's allowed an extra opportunity for those individuals but I don't believe it's really equating to any additional harvest overall.

And the Park's only received positive feedback regarding this change in strategy.

And throughout 2019 the season -- well, the salmon returned tracked above management objectives through the year, and the season passage estimate of just over a million fish for 2019 and that's above the upper bound of the in-river goal for the season.

The 2020 preseason Copper River salmon forecast from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game is for 60,000 Copper River chinook salmon which is 20 percent above the recent 10 year average and it's for -- wild production sockeye is 1.4 million which is 33 percent below the recent 10 year average. For the total run they've got 1.5 million sockeye forecast.

In early -- well, yeah, for the State fisheries we anticipate it's likely that they'll be, you know, based on strength of the salmon abundances and primarily it's the sonar estimates and fishery performance so their usual management on that strategies.

 For Federal, unless there's some type of in-season run abundance in the season that prompts concerns for meeting escapement goals we anticipate all fisheries -- Federal fisheries again to be open continuously May 15th through September 30th.

So that concludes fisheries, if there's any questions I'm open.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Questions. Go

MR. BLOOMQUIST: I'm a numbers and

 ahead, Aaron.

Page 100

charts guy, I guess, and this is probably something that maybe you weren't even looking at. What do you attribute in the Glennallen subdistrict, kind of the precipitous decline of cohos and steelheads in the harvest, is that just a season timing thing?

MR. SARAFIN: You know part of that, I've gone through records, we do tend to have some misreporting and through the prior years getting the actual harvest reports to confirm it, what's matching in the database has been difficult for me. I have found -- I have made some corrections from past years where I could confirm with harvest reports where they were saying they were catching coho in Slana, which is way above the range of which coho are harvested. So there is some discrepancy there from accuracy in harvest reports that's reflected in the numbers.

As we've gotten in more recent years there's been more scrutiny put into what the species actually was caught with confirmation from the user to verify and get an understanding of that. I also believe that, you know, perhaps there's also a trend of lesser coho numbers maybe making it up too, because some -- you know some users you get familiar with, that you trust their reports, and could see some patterns that you would trust more than others. But I think there's -- some of those higher numbers, especially the beginning of it, in the hundreds, are likely an error in reporting that was not picked up on when it was entered into the database. As we've gotten, you know, beyond that the ones that are less than 100, how much that's occurring or not it's -- I've tried to verify what I could but I don't understand why it's gone to zeros lately as opposed to 50s or something.

MR. BLOOMQUIST: Gotcha. I had one other question but I'm brain farting on it.

Remind me where the -- is the line between Glennallen and Chitina the same as the State line at the ridge there?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  SARAFIN: Yes, it's the down stream edge of the bridge.

MR. BLOOMQUIST: Yeah, that's what I thought. Yeah, I answered my own question, thanks.

```
1
```

Page 101 MR. SARAFIN: Yeah, I think for the whole area, our area anyway, we go with the State's description of their districts and subdistricts. 4 5 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, any others. 6 Go ahead. 7 8 MS. STICKWAN: For the Glennallen 9 subdistrict, is there any way you could tell who's 10 fishing from a fishwheel and report that, and from a 11 dipnetter? 12 13 MR. SARAFIN: We do have -- that is a 14 field that gets reported, dipnet versus fishwheel. 15 16 MS. STICKWAN: Because I don't see it 17 on here, I just see Glennallen, I don't see dipnetting 18 anywhere unless I'm not understanding what I'm reading 19 here. I just want to be able to see the difference 20 between fishwheel and dipnets. 21 22 MR. SARAFIN: That would create a 23 bigger table, I could do that though for that but.... 24 2.5 MS. STICKWAN: I would appreciate it if 26 you could do that. 27 28 MR. SARAFIN: Okay. I could add a 29 supplementary table to this for separating that. 30 31 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Aaron. 32 33 MR. BLOOMQUIST: Yeah, I just have one 34 more kind of building on what Gloria was asking. 35 36 I don't know if there's a way to do it between you guys and Mark Somerville, or Fish and Game, 37 but it'd be interesting to see the kind of trends in 38 39 where the people are moving around out there. We know 40 there's a lot more State subsistence dipnetting above 41 the bridge but, you know, what -- where exactly, you 42 know, the Federal fishermen are fishing with changes at 43 Chitina, you know, I mean there's been a ton of changes 44 with the fishwheel area not being accessible and, you 45 know, the dipnet thing going crazy so anyways, just a 46 suggestion more than a question. 47 48 MR. SARAFIN: Yeah, that's a I guess a 49 key difference where State has to choose between the 50

two subdistricts where the Federal user could get two permits, one for each subdistrict and could, you know, dipnet above or below the bridge if they were down in that area but.

4 5 6

7

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okav. Good. Good questions. Good observation. I don't like zeros either.

8 9 10

Go ahead, Judy.

11 12

13

14

MS. PUTERA: I am Judy Putera, wildlife biologist for Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve. And I'm going to just give my wildlife report first, followed by Barbara Cellarius' report.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

First I'll report on the Chisana Caribou Herd. We completed a composition count in October 9th through 10th, 2019. We had a bull/cow ratio of 43 to 100 cows and a cow calf ratio of 17/100. There's a type there. It says 13 to 100 cows but it should be 17 calves to 100 cows. This was higher than in 2018 and these numbers are above the minimum threshold set in the Chisana Herd management plan for allowing a continued subsistence harvest. We plan to deploy up to 50 collars this spring in the Chisana Herd. Half of those will be GPS radio collars and half will be the traditional VHF collars and we'll be putting half out in the Alaska and half of them out in the Yukon.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Moving on to dall sheep I'm reporting on a special project that we've been doing in the Park. This is part of a larger effort within the National Park Service in Alaska. But we are conducting distance sampling surveys for dall sheep in count areas three and four west. From -- well, we've been doing it so far in 2016, '18 and '19 and this should continue this year in 2020 and that'll be our last year. Distance sampling provides sheep detection probabilities and estimates of precision for a statistically robust population estimate. Just presented preliminary numbers in Table 2. And there's also, in Figure 1, that shows what area we're looking at so that's up in the northern part of the Park, northwestern part of the -- eastern part of the park from the Upper Copper River over through sort of close to the Chisana River there. So Table 2 just gives some of those numbers. I said once we get the 2020 data we'll be able to, you

Phone: 907-243-0668

know, have some confidence intervals around those numbers and compare those numbers with other Parks in Alaska that may have sheep populations that are not doing as well versus those that are doing better, for comparison. Just pointing out in that table, it looks like 2018 was not a very good for lamb production but it looks like in 2019 we've rebounded quite well from those low lamb numbers.

All right, moving on to brown bear. We conducted a double observer mark/resight bear survey in May of 2019. Our -- if we want to look at Figure 2 this is our -- the technique we use to -- so this is 32 kilometer square clustered into four so those are -- each one of those large squares is -- are four 32 -- 31 kilometer square units and basically we sent a plane out to survey the block and then right after the first plane was done we sent the second plane out to resurvey that block to get an estimate of detection, probability. And this figure shows which units we double sampled, which ones we only singled sampled, which ones we threw out of the analysis because they were either in forested habitat or were up too high and ice, so, anyway.

 For our results, we detected 18 individual bear groups. Unfortunately only two of those were seen by both survey teams. So our detection probability was very low. The person who's helping us with this study, the statistician decided to go ahead and analyze the data using the detection probability from other areas of Alaska in which they've used this same technique. So essentially up in northern Alaska.

And if we skip over to Table 3, these are our results. And he used two different models, a constant model and a heterogeneity model, the constant model assumes all bears have the same detection probability and occurrence did not vary among the cells the surveys — the survey units. The heterogeneity model assumes occurrence and detection varies. And I guess I would look over at the — for comparison to other surveys that have been done in the state, look at the third column density of adults, ranges from 10 to 16 bears per 1,000 square kilometers. And — which is sort of in the range of what we've seen in other bear surveys. In particular 16.3 bears per square kilometer in adult bears per square kilometer in Game Management Unit 13.

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31 32

33

34 35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

Page 104

All right, moving along to moose.

We had scheduled our three year large GSPE moose survey for 2019 but due to a lack of adequate snow conditions we weren't able to get that done again for the second time. And I went ahead and decided to at least get a minimum count done in one of our traditional trend count areas. And this was in the Upper Copper River area. And Table 4 is our results from that trend count. And Table 5 actually is our results from our GSPEs that analyze separately that same area in the past, the Upper Copper River just for comparison. But you can see that our calf/cow ratio is very, very low this year in that trend count and our bull/cow ratio was actually less than previous surveys.

So we actually put in a proposal to try to figure out maybe different ways we can maybe get at these moose surveys, considering that we keep having really variable snow and low snow at the beginning of the -- in early winter and it's sort of precluding us from doing our moose surveys.

And that's the end of -- oh, well, that's the end of my wildlife report.

Do you want me to move on to Barbara's and then ask questions after or....

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That'd be great.

MS. PUTERA: Okay. All right, so I'm going to give Barbara's report. She usually reports on our Federal subsistence hunting permits.

We issued 194 Federal registration permits for goat, moose and sheep hunts in Unit 11 and for sheep and caribou hunts taking place primarily in Unit 12. I'll just point out for the Chisana Caribou Hunt we still have a harvest quota of seven bull caribou. We gave out four permits, two people hunted and only one caribou was harvested. For our Unit 11 winter moose hunt, south of the Chitina River, we -our quota is still seven bull moose, we gave out a total of eight permits and as of January 30th this year one individual had reported hunting and no harvest -with no harvest reported.

Phone: 907-243-0668

The next two pages just lists our

```
1
```

```
Page 105
     individual subsistence hunts with the corresponding
     data. I'm not going to go through each one of those,
     I'll let you look at them later.
4
5
                     And then on the very last page, Barbara
 6
     gives a little abstract of a number of traditional
7
     knowledge, ethnographic and subsistence access projects
8
     that she's currently working on. And I'll let you read
9
     through those at your leisure.
10
11
                     So that's it.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, that's
14
    quite a bit.
15
16
                     MS. PUTERA: Yeah.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Question's for
19
    Dave or Judy, either one.
20
21
                     Go ahead, Aaron.
22
23
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: I got a couple
24
     different unrelated ones.
25
26
                     Can you tell me again about the south
27
    Unit 11 winter moose hunt, where exactly is that being
28
     done now?
29
30
                     MS. PUTERA: It's basically south of
31
    the Chitina River so it's all Park land.
32
33
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Hard to get to stuff.
34
35
                     MS. PUTERA: Yeah, hard to get to.
36
37
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Yeah.
38
39
                     MS. PUTERA: So the thought is in the
     winter, folks could access that area through
40
41
     snowmachines crossing the Chitina River.
42
43
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Sure.
44
                     MS. PUTERA: But, yeah, I think if we
45
     -- in Barbara's report, that's been going on since 2012
46
     and, yeah, there's -- looks like about an average of
47
48
     three moose per year have been harvest -- no, I'm
49
     sorry, only one -- only one has been harvested since
50
```

```
1
```

```
Page 106
     2014, so I'm sorry, yeah, it started in 2014. And we
     actually extended the season at one point so, yeah.
 3
4
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Yeah, I was just
 5
    curious. That actually gave me exactly the information
 6
    how....
 7
8
                     MS. PUTERA: Yeah.
9
10
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: .....many have been
11
    harvested since it started, that's the middle of
    nowhere.
12
13
14
                     MS. PUTERA: Yeah.
15
16
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Okay. My other
    questions are sheep questions. I know there's been a
17
18
     little bit of disagreement as to whether or not these
19
     kind of sheep surveys are valid between State
20
     biologists and the Feds and stuff.
21
2.2
                     MS. PUTERA: Yeah.
23
24
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: In 2019, it looks like
2.5
     you've got more than 50 percent lambs per ewe-like, are
26
     you guys still defining ewe-like, basically as any ewe,
27
     any year old ram, all the -- that just seems higher
28
     than I've ever seen it anywhere on the planet?
29
30
                     MS. PUTERA: Yeah, I -- that's a good
31
    question. I think I'm going to have to -- I have not
32
    been doing these surveys, we've got a person from the
33
    Fairbanks office who's been doing them. But it has
    been the same person year after year. My understanding
34
35
    is -- is that they've been taking, I think, high
    resolution photos of each of the groups that they've
36
    seen so I don't know if they've gone through -- yeah, I
37
38
     apologize I probably should know.....
39
40
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: No, that's fine.
41
42
                     MS. PUTERA: ....a little bit better
43
    but, yeah, I will ask about that.
44
45
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: The other red
46
    flag....
47
48
                     MS. PUTERA: Yeah.
49
50
```

```
Page 107
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: .....I saw was just
2
     the full curl rams.....
3
4
                     MS. PUTERA: Yeah.
5
 6
                     MR. BLOOMOUIST: .....almost
7
     tripling.....
8
9
                     MS. PUTERA: I noticed that too, yeah.
10
11
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: .....in one year.....
12
13
                     MS. PUTERA: Okay.
14
15
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: ....yeah, just a
16
     question?
17
18
                     MS. PUTERA: Well, hopefully by next
19
     fall I can -- I'll make a note of that and we'll have,
20
     you know, this year's data from 2020 and I will ask for
21
     a better understanding of how these numbers are
22
     fluctuating and why.....
23
24
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Sure.
25
26
                     MS. PUTERA: .....you get such.....
27
28
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: I'd appreciate it.
29
30
                     MS. PUTERA: .....a large -- but, I --
31
     you know, I guess it's -- it is a good thing that we
32
     have the same person, I think, that's doing the survey
33
     every year and he's also involved in a lot of these --
34
     a lot of the other Parks that are doing the same
35
     project so at least the numbers, I think, are fairly
36
     consistent....
37
38
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Consistent to the
39
    person.
40
41
                     MS. PUTERA: .....as far as.....
42
43
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Yeah, I know.....
44
45
                     MS. PUTERA: ....to the person.
46
47
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: .....it was.....
48
```

MS. PUTERA: Yeah.

49

```
1
```

```
Page 108
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: .....they did
2
     something similar in Gates of the.....
3
4
                     MS. PUTERA: Yeah.
5
 6
                     MR. BLOOMOUIST: .....Arctic.....
7
8
                     MS. PUTERA: Uh-huh.
9
10
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: .....that was really
11
     off the wall....
12
13
                     MS. PUTERA: Yeah.
14
15
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: .....as far as numbers
16
    that the State had.
17
18
                     MS. PUTERA: Uh-huh.
19
20
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: One last quick one,
21
    where is your bear survey area?
22
23
                     MS. PUTERA: Well, if you look at that
     Figure 2 there, it was basically -- it's kind of hard
24
25
     to see from the figure but on the south end it was
26
     basically the Kennicott River....
27
28
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Okay.
29
30
                     MS. PUTERA: .....and then all the way
31
     around to about the Nabesna River to the north there
32
     so.
33
34
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Okay. Yeah, I just
35
     couldn't -- it was too small for me to.....
36
37
                     MS. PUTERA: Yeah.
38
39
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: .....see the.....
40
41
                     MS. PUTERA: Yeah, and I -- I guess I
42
     should say on that survey last spring, I don't know if
     you remember, but it was -- we had a really super early
43
     spring, like very warm, really early spring and then we
44
45
     were kind of freaking out and we were -- we were like,
     ahhh, because we want to do this before leaf out.
46
47
48
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Yeah.
49
50
```

```
Page 109
```

MS. PUTERA: So we kind of intended to go earlier, like about a week earlier than we originally intended and luckily it kind of went back to a normal spring, so it kind of cooled down and things sort of slowed down but then when everybody got there to start the survey we had like five days of, you know, horrendous winds so we had -- we had another delay. So I don't know what was going on with the bears, it's hard to say, did they come out really early, and then disperse or -- or what.

10 11 12

5

7

8

9

MR. BLOOMOUIST: There's no doubt the bears were weird last year.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MS. PUTERA: Yeah. Yeah. So unfortunately this was just one year money and we had to spend it. But we didn't -- you know, we did not have any leaf out so that -- at least that was one thing I can say, there was, you know, no significant leaf out, or leaf out at all. I think, you know, it -it was kind of a test, I guess, using this method and it might not have worked as well because we had -- you know we were just -- our habitat here compared to the north is just sort of more, maybe, heterogeneity and more rugged, so.

26 27

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Gloria's got a question for you.

28 29 30

31

MS. STICKWAN: When will you start doing the new moose research, you said there's going to be -- you're looking into new research to count.....

32 33 34

MS. PUTERA: Yeah.

35 36 37

MS. STICKWAN: .....moose, when will you start doing that?

38 39 40

41

42

MS. PUTERA: Well, we -- we need to see if we get it funded first but it will be probably at least a couple years out before it gets started so we're looking at infrared and different kind of things like that, but, yeah, so.

43 44 45

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any other questions.

Phone: 907-243-0668

46 47

(No comments)

Page 110

1 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: All right, thank

2 you.
3

MS. PUTERA: All right, you're welcome.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: BLM.

MS. LENHARTZEN: Hi. For the record my name is Valerie Lenhartzen. I'm the associate field manager for the Glennallen BLM Office. And I just wanted to say that we're happy to be here. This is my first subsistence RAC meeting and Jill's. And there have been some changes in our organization.

The first thing I wanted to say is that our State subsistence coordinator, Dan Sharp, has moved on and now we have Casey Burns in the back who's now acting as our State subsistence coordinator. And since last October, the meeting in Seward, Jesse Hankins has moved back to Montana so our wildlife biologist is now gone and we are -- we just finished with the job announcement, it closed last Friday and we hope to have a permanent position hired sometime hopefully before June but in the meantime we're doing detailers and Jill Lucero here is a detailer for us that's been on for a month now, she'll be here until the end of April and she comes from Montana and we'll have another detailer after her most likely.

So anyway thank you for this opportunity to become before you and we are going to present a little short update on our subsistence.

Thank you.

MS. LUCERO: Hello, as Valerie just said I'm Jill Lucero and I'm up here for about three months covering in Glennallen Field Office. I'm the wildlife biologist. And I -- does everybody have a copy of the harvest report -- okay. I'm just going to run through this quickly and then just take questions if you have any.

I'll start with saying we have cooperated this year, like in past years, with ADF&G to monitor subsistence populations, including both moose and caribou. So we contribute funds and manpower to be able to monitor those populations. This year we issued over 4,000 permits for moose and caribou out of the

Glennallen Field Office. If you look at the next section on your Page 1 it talks about moose, so we'll just talk about those five bullets and then that corresponds -- if you flip the page to the table and the charts on the next page, so we'll just talk through that for a minute or two and then through caribou.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

4

5

So some of these bullets are what Jesse Hankins presented already last fall and the reason I reiterated those is, I think it's important when you look at the data from the harvest reports, to have that in front of you. So if you look at the moose table on Page 2 we had 1,244 permits issued, 458 permits attempted, 70 bulls harvested and a success rate so far of 15 percent. I just caution looking too hard at these data because we only have 73 percent of the reports in, and typically at this time we have over 90 percent. we have not done the same level of effort in terms of PSAs and letters to-date, so we're going to be doing that after the end of March to try and get that reporting rate up.

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

We do have a lower than average harvest, but it is up from 2018, and fewer permits issued altogether, and that ties back to those bullets that you see on Page 1, which, when I talked to Jesse Hankins about why he thought that was the case, he said it was the warm temperatures last fall, and some of the poor conditions that we had. So that was what he speculated. And it could be that that number -- the harvest rate does go up after we get the rest of the permits in as well.

32 33 34

35

36

37

So if you move down to caribou, there's a number of bullets on the caribou and then, again, there's a table and chart that goes with that. The table and the chart does say the same thing, it's just presented two different ways.

38 39 40

41

42 43

44

45

46

Earlier you heard that the ADF&G estimates in July of 2019 for the Nelchina Caribou Herd were 53,500, I do have an update on that I got from Heidi Hatcher, the area biologist for ADF&G. Last October they flew and got an estimate of 46,528 animals, which is still over the herd objective of 40,000 but -- but that did come down from the July report.

Phone: 907-243-0668

47 48

I'd also like to mention just an

update, there is a couple of bullets there that are, again, a repeat of what Jesse told you last fall, I just -- it pertains to the actual numbers that you see that were harvested. If you move down to the fifth bullet, a portion of the herd, there is an update, that a portion of the herd this year migrated, as always, northeast to the Canadian border, but another portion is wintering south of Mt. Drum toward Chistochina (ph). And that, apparently, is a result and they have seen that in the past as a result of when you get the larger herd sizes they tend to separate in the winter.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

5

7

8

9

10

We have a very low return date, of 16 percent reported, so I'm jealous of some of the earlier people who have 40-some and 70 percent reports, but we have 16 percent reported so far, we suspect that's because people are still trying to hunt because last fall the -- the area was closed, the subsistence area was closed during the migration. So we think people are just waiting to try and hunt in March.

20 21 22

To just go through the table on the chart for a minute.

23 24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

The permits issued for caribou were 2,775, 244 permits attempted and you can see how low that is compared to the five year average of 1,490. Again, we think that's because our return rates on our reports are so low. And the harvest is only at a quarter so far reported from past years. So it's hard to predict what will come in because we're still waiting for so many of the reports.

32 33 34

So that's it for our report right now.

35 36 37

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Gloria.

38 39 40

MS. STICKWAN: Sometimes Jesse would let me know, and I contact people to tell them to report, so I'm always willing to help if you want to....

41 42 43

MS. LUCERO: Excellent, thank you.

44 45

MS. STICKWAN: .....let me know, I can call people and email them to report.

46 47 48

MS. LUCERO: Thank you.

49 50

Computer Matrix, LLC

Phone: 907-243-0668 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Fax: 907-243-1473

```
1
```

```
Page 113
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Can you do that
2
     in my area too?
 3
4
                     MS. STICKWAN: Yeah, I'll do it in your
 5
     area too.
 6
 7
                     (Laughter)
8
9
                     MS. STICKWAN: I had another question.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Gloria.
12
13
                     MS. STICKWAN: You said over 4,000
14
     permits were issued for moose?
15
16
                     MS. LUCERO: Moose and caribou
17
     combined.
18
19
                     MS. STICKWAN: Moose and caribou.
20
21
                     MS. LUCERO: Uh-huh.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Aaron.
24
25
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Yeah, just a -- is it
26
     normal to fly another caribou survey in October, that
27
     seems like that's not what they've been doing in the
28
     past?
29
30
                     MS. LUCERO: I don't know if that's
31
     based on our funding we provided or if that's normal
32
     because I've just been here a month.
33
34
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Gotcha.
35
36
                     MS. LENHARTZEN: We could probably get
37
     back to you on that.
38
39
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Yeah, I can ask Heidi
40
    too about....
41
42
                     MS. LENHARTZEN: Okav.
43
44
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: .....that -- yeah, no
45
     problem.
46
47
                     MS. LUCERO: Thank you.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I guess we're
50
```

```
1
```

```
Page 114
     good. You're going back to Montana you say?
 2
 3
                     MS. LUCERO: Well, Colorado, actually.
4
5
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Oh, okay, I was
 6
     hoping you'd run into Jesse, he owes me dinner and he
 7
     left.
8
9
                     (Laughter)
10
11
                     MS. LUCERO: I'll let him know.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: He actually told
14
     me he was leaving -- in the Seward meeting I bought him
15
     dinner, and he said I'll get you at the next one, and
     then a couple breaths later he said he was leaving.
16
17
18
                     (Laughter)
19
20
                     MS. STICKWAN: Just one more question,
21
     do you have the break down for moose and caribou
22
     permits?
23
24
                     MS. LUCERO: Yes, it's in that -- in
25
     both tables. So it's in the third column in the tables
26
     -- or no second column -- uh-huh.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Alrighty, thank
29
     you very much, both of you.
30
31
                     Okay, we're going to tackle Alaska
     Department of Fish and Game.
32
33
34
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. The Council has
35
     been provided with a subsistence report from ADF&G.
     am not sure if we have Ms. Keating on the line, I
36
37
     believe she was going to call in and be available for
     questions but there was not going to be a formal
38
39
     presentation on that.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, very good.
42
     If you guys come up with questions we'll let her know
43
     what we got.
44
45
                     I take it you're not on the line,
46
     Jackie?
47
48
                     (No comments)
49
50
```

47

48

49

50

```
Page 115
 1
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, then we'll
 2
    move on.
 3
4
                     OSM.
 5
 6
                     You look like you got your work cut out
 7
     for you.
8
9
                     MR. RISDAHL: Good afternoon, Mr.
10
    Chairman. Members of the Council. My name, for the
11
     record, is Greg Risdahl. I am the Subsistence
12
     Fisheries Division Lead and currently the Acting Deputy
13
     Assistant Regional Director for OSM.
14
15
                     I have just a half a dozen items that
16
     I'd like to update you on from OSM, specifically
     beginning with the Federal Registered notice concerning
17
18
     Council meeting dates and by the way there is a hand
19
     out over there for you for your records.
20
21
                     As most Council members are aware the
22
     Office of Subsistence Management had to reschedule the
23
     winter 2020 Council meetings until this March and early
24
     April to assure compliance with the Federal Advisory
25
     Committee Act, or FACA. Thank you all for your
26
     patience and working with us to reschedule those
27
     meetings. It was a big thing at the office, there was
28
     a lot of gnashing of teeth but we got it done, so thank
29
     you all for being patient and working with us on that.
30
31
                     The second thing I want to just touch
32
     briefly on is the Council Charters. I know there's
33
     been a lot of questions and I'm not going to go into a
34
     lot of detail. There was a lot of effort by a lot of
35
     people to see that those nominations eventually did go
36
              The updated Council charters have been
     through.
37
    included in each Council book, which includes a new
     provision that allows Councils to have alternate
38
     members. Unfortunately that didn't happen this year
39
40
     but we're pleased that it did get signed, the charters,
41
     by the Secretary of Interior and they are now valid for
42
     two years, as typical, through December 2021.
43
44
                     The new Council member appointments,
45
     similarly, last year the Federal Subsistence Board
46
     received 63 applications to fill 46 vacant or expired
```

seats. The Board recommended to the Secretaries of

those incumbents or new applicants to all 10 of the

Interior and Agriculture to appoint or reappoint 42 of

Computer Matrix, LLC Phone: 907-243-0668 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Fax: 907-243-1473

Councils. Of these, 22 appointments were approved, and arrangements were made for these individuals to participate in these reschedule 2020 meetings. So if you have questions about that we can talk later, but some of that information is provided, too, in handouts.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11 12

4

OSM has been pushing harder than ever with help from the Councils to recruit additional Council member nominations and applications for the current appointment cycle, plus the Council nomination application deadline was extended through March 2nd. So, again, we appreciate the Council member's help in getting more applications.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

You've also probably heard that we have a new Assistant Regional Director here at OSM. Backing up a little bit, Gene Peltola vacated the Assistant Regional Director position in June 2018 and over the last 21 months, Tom Doolittle, my boss, has done an outstanding job of leading OSM as the Acting ARD for OSM and we thank him very much. Things have gone very smoothly and basically he started shortly before I took the position as the Fish Division Lead, so Tom has been really great to work with.

24 25 26

27 28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Ms. Susan Detwiler was recently selected to be the new ARD for OSM, the Assistant Regional Director. She expects to be here by the end of March and Susan does have a lot of Alaska experience. Looking at her resume she has over 25 years of experience working in Alaska with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service primarily and secondarily with the U.S. Forest Service. Interestingly enough Susan first came to work for OSM and the Fish and Wildlife Service in 1989 right when the Subsistence Program was beginning so she has some early history which is kind of interesting. She should probably bring some very interesting perspective to the Program as a result of her early, early experience. Back in those days she was one of two people that worked for subsistence. After leaving OSM in 2000, Susan went to work in other areas with Fish and Wildlife, including as the Congressional and Native American Liaison and Endangered Species Coordinator in Alaska and next the Wildlife and Sportfish Restoration Division Chief in Region 8, which is California and Nevada. Susan has, in total, over 30 years of Federal experience. Her education is a Master's Degree in natural resource policy from the University of Alaska-Fairbanks, and a

B.S., Bachelor's degree in wildlife management from Humboldt State University. Most recently, Susan is the Director for the Alaska Operations Office for the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency here in Alaska. Susan has said that she enjoyed her time with the Subsistence Program and is very happy to be coming back. And, please join us in welcoming Sue when she does get here. I'm sure we'll be seeing her soon.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

5 6

7

Other details regarding Staffing. Since the fall 2019 Council meetings there have been a number of additional Staffing changes at OSM. Tom Evans, one of our long time wildlife biologists retired. While another wildlife biologist, Megan Klosterman, a young lady, she took a position down in North Dakota with the National Park Service. Our budget analyst, Duran Tyler, took a promotion with the new Joint Administrative Operations Division within Fish and Wildlife. He's still here by the way in the office and he has been helping us bring all of you members to the meetings this winter cycle. Even in his new position, as I mentioned, Duran has been helping to arrange travel logistics for these meetings. OSM's executive secretary, Pam Raygor, left for a promotion at the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement and our pathways anthropology student, you probably remember, Christine Brummer, left to finish her Master's degree. Jared Stone, a fisheries biologist with the Fish Division he has recently taken a promotion with the Alaska Fish and Wildlife Service Marine Mammals Program.

31 32 33

With these departures OSM Staffing was down about 40 percent.

34 35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

The good news is that OSM has filled four vacancies in this past month. Tina Baker, formerly from the CGS, Contracting and General Services Office, took a lateral transfer from JAO, to become the OSM's administrative specialist. Michelle St. Peters, we lost her for about a month, she went over to work for the Wildlife Sportfish Restoration Program but we brought her back, so she is upstairs currently working on cooperative agreements for the FRMP program. And we're really happy to have Michelle back, I don't know what we would be doing without her, we hope to be releasing those awards this Friday, depending on whether or not our budget allocation comes through. also have two new administrative assistants, Sabrina

```
Page 118
```

Schmidt and Catherine Avery. And Sabrina Schmidt actually worked for us a number of years ago and she's come back. Her husband was in the military and they moved back to Alaska so it's nice to have her back. Somebody that knows the program already and is ready to jump in with both feet.

With the new arrivals, OSM is still down about 35 percent, however, by the end of the calendar year we hope to fill an additional three to four vacancies. We're waiting on human resources at this point in time for one of our fisheries biologist positions to be advertised. I did work on that again last week, and it's in their ball court so we're waiting to see what happens. We are also hoping to fill both the anthropology and Council coordination supervisory positions. Those are two very important positions for us here and the one, in particular, the anthropology supervisor position has been vacant for a very long time.

Anyway, that will leave us down about 28 percent. We are working hard to try to get those positions filled.

That's the end of my report. If you have any questions, I'll be happy to answer.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Gloria.

MS. STICKWAN: I don't have a question. I just thank you for a written copy.

MR. RISDAHL: You're welcome.

MS. STICKWAN: I always like written

37 copies.

MR. RISDAHL: You're very welcome,

40 Gloria.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Anyone else.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Got off easy but that was a good report. It sounds like you're running a little light but thank you, Greg.

```
Page 119
                     MR. RISDAHL: Yeah, thank you very
 2
     much. Yeah, the Staff is working very, very well
     together with the folks that we have. Tom has done a
 4
     great job, so if you get a chance thank him.
 5
 6
                     Thank you much.
 7
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, I did talk
8
9
     to him, he told me when he gave me a little update but,
     yeah, thank him from me too.
10
11
12
                     Thank you.
13
14
                     We need to confirm our fall 2020
15
     meeting date and location. We had it scheduled for
16
     October 7th and 8th in Anchorage and we got a question
17
     mark about Seward here. So, DeAnna, I'll let you lead
18
     that discussion.
19
20
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. As
21
     Ms. Robbin LaVine mentioned to the Council earlier,
22
     there will be a significant milestone in the nonrural
23
     determination for the fall meeting. I know we've been
24
    to Seward here recently and just wanted to throw that
25
     out there if the Council felt it might be appropriate
26
     to have its fall meeting in that area.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Comments.
29
30
                     (No comments)
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Where do you guys
33
     want to meet?
34
35
                     MS. STICKWAN: Is the dates still the
36
     same?
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: The dates are
39
     still the same, correct, the October 7th and 8th?
40
41
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair, those have
42
    not changed.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Yeah, I'm
45
     open to either or, I think people like this area too so
     let's go ahead and talk a little bit.
46
47
48
                     Go ahead, John.
49
50
```

```
Page 120
                     MR. WHISSEL: I just -- we're going to
    be sort of wrapping things up, it's not sort of the
     same level of need to communicate with people in that
 4
     region, we've done the talking so I'm more inclined to
     have it in Anchorage to facilitate everyone else
 5
     getting there that needs to get to it than move it to
 7
     Seward. Unless some -- unless someone feels like we do
 8
     need to engage with the stakeholders in that area at
    this meeting, it just doesn't seem like it's the same
 9
     as the last one when we went there.
10
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: You're correct in
13
    that, that we actually reached out and had public
     meetings with them, so that's a good point.
14
15
16
                     Any other comments.
17
18
19
                     (No comments)
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: You're not going
22
     to get off with a short meeting next time so I know
23
     that.
24
25
                     (Laughter)
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: So you may as
28
     well make it where you want to be.
29
30
                     (No comments)
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: What do I hear,
33
    Anchorage?
34
35
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: I'm for keeping it in
36
    Anchorage.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, let's make
39
     a motion to keep it in Anchorage then.
40
41
                     MR. WHISSEL: Move to keep the meeting
42
    for October 7th and 8th in Anchorage.
43
44
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Second.
45
46
                     MR. BLOOMQUIST: Second.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by Aaron
49
     and seconded by Andy so any other discussion.
50
```

```
1
```

```
Page 121
1
                     (No comments)
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none, all
4
     in favor to keep the meeting in Anchorage.
 5
 6
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 7
8
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
9
10
                     (No opposing votes)
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: You're for it,
13
     right.
14
15
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: He said aye.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. DeAnna,
18
     before I get into closing comments, is there something
19
     that other -- that we need to discuss, take up, that we
20
     missed?
21
22
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair, we do need
23
     to go ahead and pick the winter 2021 Council meeting
     dates so if you'll look on Page 33 of your meeting
24
25
     book, I would like to let you know that February 17th
     and 18th have just been picked by Western Interior
26
27
     Council, that is the only Council that has picked their
28
    dates for that meeting cycle. So, again, we can have
29
    up to two RAC meetings each week, so basically it's
30
     wide open.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay.
33
34
                     MS. PERRY: So we need a date as well
35
     as a place.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. The date
38
     is the first week of March, the place is the same -- go
39
     ahead -- anyway just suggestions.
40
41
                     Go ahead.
42
43
                     MR. WHISSEL: I always have a conflict
     with the Prince William Sound Aquaculture Corporation
44
45
     Board of Director meetings in the first week of March.
     This -- we're having a short meeting today so it's
46
     going to work out but I have that meeting tomorrow as
48
     well as this one. So I know it's hard, but if it were
49
     Monday and Tuesday that might be easier than Tuesday
50
```

```
1
```

Page 122 and Wednesday because the PWSAC meetings are fairly rigid with a 45 member board. Or the second -- either week on either side of it would be fine with me as 4 well. 5 6 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okav. Comments. 7 Other comments. We got that. For me, you know, I 8 still work full-time, failed retirement, so it doesn't 9 matter I'm going to have to take off, but I do have spring break and granddaughters so that's important. 10 11 12 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Later February. 13 14 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Later February 15 I'm hearing from Andy, February -- end of February works for me, that's fine with me, so what's the other 16 17 thoughts -- end of February. 18 19 MR. BLOOMQUIST: That works. 20 21 MR. WHISSEL: Yeah, that would be 22 better, it doesn't conflict with like the start of the 23 Board of Game meeting either next year like we are 24 right now. 25 26 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: So am I hearing 27 early in the week end of February. 28 29 MR. BLOOMQUIST: Yeah, that would be 30 better. 31 32 MR. WHISSEL: That's fine, any days in 33 that last week of February would work for me. 34 35 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. 36 37 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. I'm sorry to interrupt, we do have two travelers that it's a little 38 bit difficult -- more difficult to get here at the 39 40 beginning of the week than it is mid or later week, I 41 would just ask for that consideration. 42 43 Thank you. 44 45 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: 24th and 25th. 46 47 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, we're good with the 24 and 25th. Does that work for everyone. 48 49

```
1
```

```
Page 123
                     (Council nods affirmatively)
 1
2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Let's make a
4
     motion for that then, please.
 5
 6
                     MR. WHISSEL: Move to hold the winter
7
     meeting February 24 and 25th, 2021.
8
9
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Second.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's been moved
12
     and seconded. Did we include a place -- you don't need
13
     to -- we need to -- you guys want to.....
14
15
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Cordova.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's fine.
18
19
                     MR. WHISSEL: How about Cordova.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Cordova's
22
     been suggested, that's fine with us, we'll put it on
23
     there. Okay. Is there any objection to that.
24
2.5
                     (No objection)
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Reluctant
28
     Fisherman, here we come -- okay. It's been moved and
29
     seconded to have the meeting the 24th and 25th,
30
     February, in Cordova. All in favor aye.
31
32
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Michael you're
35
     coming to Cordova, good, thank you.
36
37
                     Any opposed.
38
39
                     (No opposing votes)
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, thank you.
42
     We're going to go ahead and do our closing comments so
43
     we generally go around the Council and we let everyone
44
     express their thoughts or anything that we need to be
45
     more attentive to. I think we've done a pretty good
     job myself. I'll reserve my comments to the end here
46
    but we could start -- Michael, if you want to start
47
     again on the phone I'll let you go ahead and start with
48
49
     your comments.
50
```

```
Page 124
```

```
MR. OPHEIM: All right, thanks. That
   was a good meeting. I was taking lots of notes here,
     all the commentary, all the reports. It's always good
     to hear all that. Everybody does such a good job with
     the reports. Lots of detailed information. Appreciate
 5
 6
     all their time they put into making these reports. And
 7
     just thanks.
8
9
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, thank you.
10
     Dennis, how about we start with you.
11
12
                     MR. ZADRA: Okay. Again, nice short
13
    meeting, informative. I guess one thing that I think
14
    should get on the radar somehow is more on line
15
    reporting or timely reporting on a lot of these permits
16
     and stuff, whether it's fisheries related and all that.
17
     It seems like in this day and age, apps on your phone
18
     and everybody having a computer, it should be much
19
     easier to report on all of it instead of waiting for a
    card to be mailed in. So, I don't know, I see that in
20
    the fisheries side and all that and I don't know where
21
22
    it's going to go but I think that's an important step
23
    forward if we could.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Sounds good.
26
     Jeff, are you going to allow us to do that on the
27
     Kenai?
28
29
                     MR. ANDERSON: It's not my choice.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I knew you was
32
     going to say that -- okay.
33
34
                     (Laughter)
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Anything else you
37
     got.
38
39
                     MR. ZADRA: No, I'm good.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, thank you.
42
    Ed, how you doing down there.
43
44
                     MR. HOLSTEN: Doing good. Number 1, I
45
    appreciate Gloria and Greg and Andy for stepping up
46
    once again. And I really appreciate all the agency
47
    reports. It's one of the -- for me, the most
48
    informative parts of the meeting, finding out what the
49
     agencies are doing, they're the ones on the ground
50
```

Page 125

gathering all the data, et cetera, et cetera. So it's one of the highlights of the meetings always for me. Of course, I appreciate a short meeting. And, finally, just everybody safe travels going home, there's some slippery roads out there.

5 6 7

4

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you.

8

Aaron.

10 11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

MR. BLOOMQUIST: Loved the short meeting. There was one thing I was going to -- I was kind of looking for a place to bring up that I didn't, but the State's looking at maybe, oh, they've termed it as a lot of things, but mandatory reporting for domestic sheep and goats coming into the State, we've been working on it through the Wild Sheep Foundation for a long time, to try to mitigate or quell the spread -- well, supposedly we have Movi now in Alaska but we don't know what the strains are or whether or not they're bad. It's probably something we should help support the State on going forward. You know, I don't know how urgent it is. There's a lot of stuff going on in Juneau now and there probably won't be a lot going on until the legislative session's over, but maybe at our next meeting, throw it on our agenda and write a letter of support to do that or at least talk about it. But that's about the only extra I had.

28 29 30

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. All right.

31 32

Gloria.

33 34

MS. STICKWAN: It's a good meeting, short meeting. That's all.

35 36 37

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's great.

38 39

Andy.

40 41 42

43 44 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, thanks. First of all I'd like to thank the OSM Staff and all the agency Staff that bring their reports, and Milo, directly communicating to me often, and, Greg and Gloria for the executive stuff there.

45 46 47

48

I had the opportunity to come to town on my personal time and attend the Alaska Forum on the Environment and it seems like maybe an OSM Staff person

or somebody could go to that thing and bring a report to us about that because there's an amazing wealth of information there, actually very creepy about all the 4 stuff that's a common denominator, I hear a lot of 5 things people talk about, I can't get across the river, things like that, harvest rates are probably going down from climate change issues, migratory things, birds, 7 plant successional stages, there was just so much 8 9 stuff, exotic species, non-native 630 of them in Alaska, plant -- everything changing. Kenai eventually 10 turning to a grasslands within 50 years type thing and 11 12 a lot of alarming things from marine survival of 13 salmoninds to everything that's in these presentations, 14 really important information and we touch on it a 15 little bit here and there and it was always reminding 16 me of stuff that people were talking about, ocean 17 acidification and what not. So anyhow it would be cool 18 to have like a synopsis of that stuff brought to 19 everybody here so that these decisions that are getting 20 made in our regulatory processes could help contribute to the most recent knowledge that's being gathered in 21 22 the scientific community.

23 24

Thank you.

25 26

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Andy. Good point. John.

27 28 29

30 31

MR. WHISSEL: Sure. You know I have sort of similar concerns that Andy did, what's impressed me over the last five years or so is how much things seem to change from year to year.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

You know, to use the Copper River, for example, when I first started working on the Copper it was pretty reliable, the river would start low and it would gain water through the season and pretty high, usually about the same rates and, you know, you go back to last year and we've seen -- we saw the lowest water we've ever seen and the highest water we've ever seen all in one season and it came fast. It's not the first time we've seen things like that. I think we all need to sort of align our committees and these things sorts of things that we do to establish the rules for the use and conservation of fish and wildlife resources and be mindful of how we approach these committees as the rate and the pace of change increases. I think we do a pretty good job of being nimble and being adaptive to what's going on and I hope to see that continue and not

get stressed, you know, the Department's budgets are getting cut, we don't know if we're going to have funding and a lot of times we react to that by doing less and I don't see any of the departments doing less, I see more people -- or fewer people doing the same amount of work and I really hope that can continue --I'd love to see you guys get full funding, but from the committee all the way down to the departments, great work, you know, doing more with less, and it seems like more of that's coming and I'm ready for it and I hope everybody else is.

11 12 13

14

15

5

7

8

9

10

Thanks so much for the great reports and the brief meeting, everybody was very informative and it was really helpful for me to understand other regions.

16 17 18

Thank you.

19 20

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, John.

21 22 23

Okay, I'm going to get my comments in and here's where I get lengthy and keep you guys for awhile.

24 25 26

(Laughter)

27 28

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Actually I'll try to be kind of brief, but I want to mention a couple of things.

30 31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38

29

One, yeah, it was a brief meeting but I do want to thank everyone for all their reports and in these meetings like this that's where we have the opportunity to take that time and understand that and so it's good thing when we don't have a lot of proposals that we're able to gather the information and thoughts and come up with ideas that we need to address and so that's very important.

39 40 41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

I just want to reiterate, you know, the Council, to me, the biggest part is the public testimony of the people coming in and needing access to the Federal fish and wildlife process and to be able to have it. I will mention to you that in my other work I'm involved with eight tribes in Cook Inlet that are, for a lack of a better word, they're all mad as hell over the fishery issues and they formed kind of a fishery commission and what's happening is the State is

Page 128

retracting on all the State fisheries and because of the kings on the Kenai and so everything's getting harder and harder and they actually take less than one percent in the State and they don't have the access to the Federal fisheries like Ninilchik's been fortunate to get on the Kenai River and others up in the other areas where you guys have some Federal fisheries. But I know they're looking very hard to find a way to get — and so we've been trying to introduce them to the Federal process where there's Federal land or whatever, but if you see a big Indian uprising that's what's going on.

So, anyway, we're going to meet in Sutton the 30th of March and if you see smoke coming this way that's what's happening.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Anyway, like I said the public testimony is very important.

DeAnna, I want to thank you for your coordination and all your help, you do an awesome job. We all miss Donald but you're doing great so that's good.

And if I cut anyone short at any time, you know, let me know, I want to be -- and this is a public process and it's a public process also -- I always remind us, we are the ones with the local knowledge, we're supposed to bring the institutional knowledge of what's in our areas so we share, we hear a lot of reports and we hear a lot of surveys and I like surveys too but a lot of them I disagree with, I could tell you anecdotal information that I've run on all the Native lands and thousands of acres in Ninilchik and my information from all the people I got in the field is a lot different than the States, but anyway, as an interesting point.

So if I missed anything we'll get it in the fall. Okay, have a good spring.

Is there anything else, go ahead,

DeAnna.

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. I just wanted to know if the Council would feel it would be of

benefit to have a quick wrap up. I know previous Council member Judy Caminer was really good about doing a quick wrap up of the Council's actions at the end of the meeting. I'm prepared to do that if everybody feels that might be of benefit, but if you're ready to go I'm all right with that as well.

7 8

9

10

5

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I'm fine with a quick wrap up. There was very little action items but the things that we did act on, that's very important to reiterate, so go ahead, please do that.

11 12 13

14

15

MS. PERRY: Okay. And it also gives the Council an opportunity if I have it a little wrong they can correct me.

16 17

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yep.

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

MS. PERRY: As far as Fisheries Resource Monitoring projects, Council member Gloria Stickwan and John Whissel will talk with OSM this summer and exchange information regarding possible priority information needs on the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Projects to be presented to the Council for consideration in the future.

25 26 27

28

Denali SRC. The Council appointed Justin Lang Mason in the ViceDementi seat on the Denali Subsistence Resource Commission.

29 30 31

32

33

This Council will address the recent resignation of Jeff Burney in the fall meeting and will look forward to appointing a representative to fill Mr. Burney's seat at that time.

34 35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

Federal jurisdiction. The Council entertained an additional agenda item regarding Federal jurisdiction. This Council would like its coordinator to seek OSM's assistance and guidance to explore options available to address concerns of Federal jurisdiction inadequacies particularly if a customary and traditional use determination can be an avenue for changing the jurisdiction of marine waters in Prince William Sound and I also have of note, this will be included as an annual report item for next year's annual report.

46 47 48

49

50

Special Action WSA20-01 on Unit 13 caribou. There will be a public meeting on this

Page 130

special action after the Board meeting in April. At this meeting the Council made a formal recommendation on WSA20-01 based on the information provided to the Council by OSM at this meeting. This recommendation was to support WSA20-01 as modified and stated in the Wrangell-St. Elias Subsistence Resource Commission comment letter dated February 27th. That was to support the portion of the request that would change the harvest limit in Unit 13 remainder to two caribou, changing the limit from bulls only to any caribou, will provide additional subsistence opportunity. This recommendation opposes the elimination of the closed season from October 1 to October 20 which overlaps with the rut.

Annual report. The Council approved the drafted annual report with the addition of two items. Concerns with the Executive Order entitled evaluating and improving unity of FACA committees and also an item effects of ocean acidification to include a focus to address the impacts on both species. I'll draft language based on the Council's discussion on these two topics. I'll have the Chair review it before it's finalized and submitted to OSM.

One question I did have, Council Member Gloria Stickwan expressed an interest in also sending a letter to the Secretaries to bring this concern to their attention and provide specific concerns on the record. Would the Council like for me to draft a separate correspondence or would the Council feel that adding this request to our annual report that goes to the Board, if that is sufficient. So I would like further clarification on that intent.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Gloria.

MS. STICKWAN: I said to go to the Federal Subsistence Board and ask the Federal Board to write a letter to the Secretary of Interior.

MS. PERRY: Thank you for that

Lastly the dates of the next meeting in the fall will be October 7th and 8th in Anchorage and for the winter meeting I have February 24th through the 25th in Cordova.

 clarification.

```
Page 131
                     And that's all I have. Are there any
2
    corrections to my notes?
4
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: No, you did an
 5
     outstanding job, good, I think you captured it well.
     The only thing that I know we didn't discuss in great
 6
 7
     detail but you actually captured it, was the FACA
 8
     situation and the appointees of the Committee -- I mean
 9
     the members of the Council. I know we're vacant. So
10
     go ahead, Gloria.
11
12
                     MS. STICKWAN: By October we have to
13
     have that special license to -- do we have to have that
14
    for Cordova, to travel to Cordova, do we have to have
15
    that by October?
16
17
                     MS. PERRY: Yes.
18
19
                     MS. STICKWAN: Does everybody have
20
     that.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It looks like the
23
     Council members will be there.
24
2.5
                     (Laughter)
26
27
                     MS. PERRY: Yeah, when I.....
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: We're good.
30
31
                     MS. PERRY: .....mentioned that when we
32
     were planning this meeting we were going to allow some
33
     Council member time to try to take care of that but it
34
     seemed like most people had already taken care of that
35
     and there was no interest to take Council time to do
36
     that.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, that'll be
39
     interesting.
40
41
                     Andy wants to keep going.
42
43
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Just one more quick
44
     thing, that customary and traditional use thing about
45
     Federal jurisdiction of marine waters in Prince William
     Sound, I'm very sure that any type of .804 analysis
46
     could be run, guaranteed it's going to prove that
     marine resources are utilized by the rural subsistence
48
49
     users there.
50
```

Page 132

```
CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Pat.
 2
 3
                     MS. PETRIVELLI: I think there's two
4
     issues getting mixed up and I hate to make your meeting
 5
     long, but I'm just going to say when you -- when they
     come back in the fall, I think you might be a little
 6
     bit disappointed but if you put it in the -- and \ensuremath{\mathsf{I}}
 7
8
     don't think you put it in the annual report, but when I
9
     worked here at OSM, when someone does a customary and
10
     traditional use determination it's for the use of
11
     Federal public lands or Federal public waters. And so
12
     what's going to -- they're going to come back and tell
13
     you in the fall is, is to define what the Federal
14
     public waters are in your region and then when you have
15
     a clear idea of what those are, then you could see if
16
     you want to look at different customary and traditional
17
     use determinations. But we could ask them to come back
18
     and tell you where are the jurisdictions, because in
19
     some regions like in Kodiak/Aleutians, they're marine
20
     jurisdictions, they're leftover from Statehood, but I'm
21
     currently not aware of areas in your region but OSM can
22
     do the research and tell you in the fall. But they
23
     won't investigate C&T determinations until they figure
24
     out where they have jurisdiction. It's two separate
25
     things. You can't use the determination to establish
26
     jurisdiction. That's something beyond our program,
27
     it's established by other means.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, we kind of
30
     knew it was a complicated issue but we were trying to
31
     take a short cut, okay.
32
33
                     I'll entertain a motion to adjourn if
34
     there's nothing else.
35
36
                     MR. WHISSEL: Move to adjourn.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's moved to
39
     adjourn.
40
41
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Second.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded.
44
45
                     All in favor, we stand adjourned.
46
47
                     Thanks very much. Thanks everyone.
48
49
                       (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
50
```

```
CERTIFICATE
 1
 2
 3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 4
                                     )ss.
 5
     STATE OF ALASKA
 6
 7
             I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
 8
     state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
 9
     Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
10
11
             THAT the foregoing pages numbered 02 through
          contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
12
     SOUTHCENTRAL FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY
13
14
     COUNCIL MEETING taken electronically on the 4th day of
15
     March at Anchorage, Alaska;
16
17
                     THAT the transcript is a true and
18
     correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
19
     thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
     reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
20
21
     ability;
22
23
                     THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
24
     party interested in any way in this action.
25
26
                     DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 23rd
27
     day of March 2020.
28
29
30
31
                     Salena A. Hile
32
                     Notary Public, State of Alaska
33
                     My Commission Expires: 09/16/22
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
```