

From: Bowman, Randal
To: [Stu Shulman](#)
Cc: [Marcia Cash](#)
Subject: Re: Number of comments disparity - Regs.gov lower than Bears Ears DiscoverText
Date: Monday, June 05, 2017 9:06:44 AM

Yes, but I'm trying to protect against human error - most likely mine. I really want the coded Bears Ears comments set aside so they can't be accessed by accident, but that can wait if necessary.

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 10:03 AM, Stu Shulman <stu@texifter.com> wrote:

We can do that anytime using filters Randy. Creating a new bucket of uncoded items is something you already know how to do.

You can separate sets of comments 100 different ways. The key is to use advanced filters to get the set you want.

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 3:57 PM Bowman, Randal <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

Much of this probably comes from my unfamiliarity with the system. but what I would like - and what I presumed would have happened already - is for the coded Bears Ears comments to be segregated in some fashion from all of the other current and future comments, so neither I nor anyone else at DOI can inadvertently search or sort any of them into any of the future work on the other monuments.

If that needs to wait until after we run the Secretary's new project, that's fine. The new search is a one-time effort, to find which of the monuments under review have the fewest or no comments to date. After that, there will be a pause for several days while we finalize the Bears Ears recommendations, consider lessons learned etc etc., during which time the coded Bears Ears comments could be set aside as per above.

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:31 AM, Shulman, Stu <stu@texifter.com> wrote:

Randy,

I'm not sure what you mean by "general" pile. We have the ability to deduplicate and filter out coded items across all piles. We create a Bear's Ears project and I assumed we would have a new project for all the rest.

However, given the timeline you indicated, the shortest possible time length to get fully updated is to keep loading documents into the original project and then use the deduplication, search, and filtering features to update the Secretary.

Stu

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:21 AM, Bowman, Randal <randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

That should then be 176-177,000 not 172. However, putting that aside, why are the

Bears Ears comments still in the general pile? We don't want to count them twice, as many mention more than just Bears Ears.

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:08 AM, Shulman, Stu <stu@texifter.com> wrote:
Randy,

The 59,559 Bears Ears are pulled out of the 117,000. That means 59,559 documents are in the project twice.

Stu

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 9:01 AM, Bowman, Randal
<randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

While 117,000 and 18,000 = 135,000, the number in regs.gov, I don't see where 172,000 comes from - that is 37,000 comments above what it should be as far as I can tell

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 8:58 AM, Shulman, Stu <stu@texifter.com> wrote:
Those numbers make sense Randall. I think you have to think through the steps that got us to this point. When we loaded the comments last time, there were 117,000 and since then another 18,000 have come in.

59000 of the 117,000 had the phrase Bears Ears after deduplication.

On Mon, Jun 5, 2017 at 8:54 AM, Bowman, Randal
<randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov> wrote:

The Bears Ears folder shows 172,+++ files, but there are only 135,+++ comments displayed on the docket at regs.gov. My initial thought was that Bears Ears coded comments were being duplicated, but the different is 37,000+, which does not match any the Bears Ears coded numbers.

--

Dr. Stuart W. Shulman
Founder and CEO, Texifter
Cell: 413-992-8513
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman>

--

Dr. Stuart W. Shulman
Founder and CEO, Texifter
Cell: 413-992-8513
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman>

--

Dr. Stuart W. Shulman
Founder and CEO, Texifter
Cell: 413-992-8513
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman>

--

Dr. Stuart W. Shulman
Founder and CEO, Texifter
Cell: 413-992-8513
LinkedIn: <http://www.linkedin.com/in/stuartwshulman>