

**From:** Bowman, Randal  
**To:** [Boone, Whitney](#)  
**Cc:** [Calhoun, John](#); [Charles Cuvelier](#); [Julie Earnstein](#); [Shields, Claire](#); [Tansey, Thomas](#); [Herbert Frost](#); [Deanna Mitchell](#); [Christine Powell](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Correspondence Review team members names & email needed  
**Date:** Wednesday, May 24, 2017 11:49:02 AM

---

Thank you for pulling this together, and to the team members for helping with this.

For the initial phase, everyone will look at all monuments, and for the first week or so, everyone will look at Bears Ears comments.

What we need to do in round 1 is separate comments with opinions but no new information from those with new information, and those with new information will then be reviewed in more detail in a second round. The computerized comment review system will hopefully sort out most of the "opinion only" comments into groups so only 1 comment in each group will need to be reviewed, to confirm the group is properly categorized, while those not so sorted will need a quick scan and to have a code entered to place them in the appropriate category. As I mentioned at the last meeting, there were some unexpected contracting glitches, but it looks like we will have an OK to use the system tomorrow. If so, I will schedule a training session for Tuesday for those who are not on leave next week. The session will be filmed and so available to those who can't make it, and can be taken from each person's own computer. Presuming everything goes as planned, I will be trained on Friday morning and will spend the rest of Friday and some of the weekend working on comments so as to see how well our plans work in reality, and we can apply that to the training Tuesday.

On Wed, May 24, 2017 at 12:24 PM, Boone, Whitney <[whitney\\_boone@nps.gov](mailto:whitney_boone@nps.gov)> wrote:

Hi Randy,

Here are the NPS contacts for comment review:

Whitney Boone, [whitney\\_boone@nps.gov](mailto:whitney_boone@nps.gov)

Jay Calhoun, [john\\_calhoun@nps.gov](mailto:john_calhoun@nps.gov)

Charles Cuvelier, [charles\\_cuvelier@nps.gov](mailto:charles_cuvelier@nps.gov)

Julie Earnstein, [julie\\_ernstein@nps.gov](mailto:julie_ernstein@nps.gov)

Claire Shields, [claire\\_shields@nps.gov](mailto:claire_shields@nps.gov)

Tom Tansey, [thomas\\_tansey@nps.gov](mailto:thomas_tansey@nps.gov)

I have also copied them on this email. To clarify, will NPS folks be reviewing comments related to all of the monuments under review, or only the comments related to the NPS-administered monuments?

Thanks,  
Whitney

On Mon, May 22, 2017 at 12:17 PM, Bowman, Randal <[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

As mentioned during last Thursday's Review Team meeting, we hope to have the

contracting process for a system to analyze public comments completed by COB this Thursday the 25th.

To get started as soon as possible after that, I need the names and email addresses of the agency correspondence review team members by COB Wednesday the 24th if at all possible. The email addresses will be part of how they will access the system, as well as how we communicate with them.

For those who have not already picked their team members, we are looking for people with a general awareness of public land management issues who are also fast readers and can make quick decisions. They do not need to be subject matter experts on monuments - although that does not disqualify them from this phase of the review.

I will be likely be asking for SMEs later in the process once comments are sorted, but for this initial phase the objective is to look briefly at a comment that is presorted into a general category, determine if it has substantive information or is an expression of opinion in a more specific sub-category, use one of a short set of codes we will provide to mark it as such, and go on to the next one.

As indicated previously, I would like 6-8 people each from BLM, FWS and NPS, as the primary monument management agencies, and 1 or 2 from BOR and Insular Affairs. The people will work from their desks or telework, with a username and password to access the system. The initial focus will be on Bears Ears.

I also want to alert everyone that if the correspondence review process goes slower than anticipated, or we receive a substantially larger number of comments, we may need more people to review the comments. Hopefully not, but thought I should raise this possibility now rather than later.

--

Whitney Boone  
Park Planning and Special Studies  
National Park Service  
202-354-6970