

**From:** Bowman, Randal  
**To:** [Benjamin Simon](#); [Ann Miller](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: 2 Monument review documents for review, deadline 2 pm Thursday June 8  
**Date:** Thursday, June 08, 2017 10:38:25 AM

---

## Comments from NPS on BENM economic report

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** **Boone, Whitney** <[whitney\\_boone@nps.gov](mailto:whitney_boone@nps.gov)>  
**Date:** Thu, Jun 8, 2017 at 11:32 AM  
**Subject:** Re: 2 Monument review documents for review, deadline 2 pm Thursday June 8  
**To:** "Bowman, Randal" <[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)>  
**Cc:** Herbert Frost <[bert\\_frost@nps.gov](mailto:bert_frost@nps.gov)>, Christine Powell <[chris\\_powell@nps.gov](mailto:chris_powell@nps.gov)>, Deanna Mitchell <[deanna\\_mitchell@nps.gov](mailto:deanna_mitchell@nps.gov)>

Hi Randy,

A few comments from NPS:

- General comment: there is inconsistency in capitalization of "monument" in both reports
- BENM report:
  - p7, paragraph on timber: change "Christmas tress" to "Christmas trees"
  - p8, second paragraph under "Multiple Use, Tradeoffs among Permitted Activities, and Types of Economic Information" heading: consider adding public safety as a consideration when making tradeoffs. Could insert "public safety considerations" somewhere in these two sentences: "Factors that could inform these tradeoffs include demand for the good or activity, prices, and societal preferences. Other considerations might include the timeframe of the activity - how long the benefits and costs of a given activity would be expected to extend into the future."
  - p8, third paragraph under "Multiple Use, Tradeoffs among Permitted Activities, and Types of Economic Information" heading: consider pulling information from table 3 to describe natural and cultural resource relevance in decision making (all other activities from table 3 are described in this paragraph).
- Interim report:
  - p3, table on monuments being reviewed to determine whether adequate public comment took place: change "Katahden" to "Katahdin"

Will the Office of Policy Analysis draft a document similar to the BENM report for each of the monuments under review?

Thanks for the opportunity to review!

On Wed, Jun 7, 2017 at 5:49 PM, Bowman, Randal <[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

Attached are drafts of the interim report on the monument review process and the economic report on the Bears Ears monument. Please review and have any comments back to me by 3 pm tomorrow, June 8.

Due to late edits to the draft economic report there are some formatting issues there that will

be corrected; no need to comment on those.

I regret the short turn-around, but that is likely to be the norm for this process.

--

Whitney Boone  
Park Planning and Special Studies  
National Park Service  
202-354-6970