FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE BOARD

PUBLIC REGULATORY MEETING

VOLUME I

EGAN Convention Center ANCHORAGE, ALASKA

April 10, 2018

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Anthony Christianson, Chairman Charles Brower Rhonda Pitka Karen Mouritsen, Bureau of Land Management Greg Siekaniec, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Bert Frost, National Park Service Lynn Polacca, Bureau of Indian Affairs Beth Pendleton, U.S. Forest Service

Ken Lord, Solicitor's Office

Recorded and transcribed by: Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC 135 Christensen Drive, Second Floor Anchorage, AK 99501 907-243-0668; sahile@gci.net

Email: sahile@gci.net

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 3 (Anchorage, Alaska - 4/10/2018) 4 5 (On record) 6

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We'll call this Board meeting to order here this afternoon, the Federal Subsistence Board. Welcome everybody. I'm trying to still digest my lunch, so get my brain here together. So I definitely appreciate everybody coming here today and participating in this public process. With that I'm going to have Gene present a few clearinghouse things as we review and adopt the agenda.

14 15 16

17 18

19

20

21

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

MR. PELTOLA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the benefit of the public, my name is Gene Peltola, I'm the Assistant Regional Director for the Office of Subsistence Management. There's a few items that OSM and Staff would like to present to the Board for your consideration for potential agenda changes. go through that list here.

22 23 2.4

25

26

27 28

29

First off is that one would be a request to withdraw Wildlife Proposal 18-54. The proponent of WP18-54, the Upper Tanana Fortymile Fish and Game Advisory Committee submitted a request to withdraw this proposal from consideration. A copy of their request is located in the supplement section number 1 of your Board materials.

30 31 32

33

34

35

The proponent felt that the regulatory change they proposed will, quote, end up being too complicated and could easily cause friction with the Copper River folks that also hunt the Nelchina Herd, close quote. That is one consideration.

36 37 38

39

40

41 42

43 44

45

The second would be request to remove WP18-24 from the consensus agenda. The Bristol Bay Subsistence Regional Advisory Council requests that WP18-24 be removed from the consensus agenda and added to the non-consensus agenda for Board consideration. The Chair of the Bristol Bay Subsistence Regional Advisory Council or Donald Mike in their absence may have additional information related to this request to share with the Board. That's the second action.

46 47 48

The third request would be to remove agenda item number 13. That's the Alaska Native Science

Phone: 907-243-0668

and Engineering Program or ANSEP student presentation from the agenda. The ANSEP student we asked to make a presentation is unable, due to a prior commitment, to attend the meeting due to school scheduling conflicts.

So those are the three items administratively that Staff felt would make our process here this week a lot easier if a Board could make a motion to accept those.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right. So at this time with recommendations from the Staff I open the floor for a motion.

MR. SIEKANIEC: So moved, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We have a

motion.

MS. PITKA: Seconded.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: And seconded to accept the recommended changes to the agenda. Any opposition to the motion as presented.

(No opposing votes)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, motion carries to accept the changes and we'll reflect that on the agenda. Is there any additional recommended changes before we adopt the agenda as presented from the Board.

MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair, if I may. One thing I'd like the Board to consider is that on the agenda just after the regulatory proposal presentation and prior to the wildlife delegation of authority revision recommendations I'd like to get Board consideration to insert a section called perception of conflict interest and recusal for discussion with the Board.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Recommendation by Staff to add to the agenda after 9. Do we have a motion.

 $\,$ MS. PENDLETON: Go ahead and make a motion through the Chair that we go ahead and add that to the agenda.

```
Page 4
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: A motion has
 1
 2
     been made.
 3
4
                     MS. PITKA: I'll second it.
5
6
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: A motion has
 7
     been made and second. Any discussion.
8
9
                     (No comments)
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any opposition
12
     to the motion as presented.
13
14
                     (No opposing votes)
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing no
17
     opposition, motion carries to insert there after 9 an
18
     agenda item on conflict of interest.
19
20
                     Thank you.
2.1
22
                     MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair. Earlier on I
     had a discussion with one of the Council Coordinators
23
24
     about potentially -- since we do have some of our
25
     Regional Advisory Council Chairs still in transit and
26
     not able to make it today.
27
2.8
                     Karen, did you have any discussion of
29
     the Chairs?
30
31
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Gene.
32
     Through the Chair. Mr. Reakoff has agreed to go ahead
     and make that request for the agenda change.
33
34
35
                     Thank you.
36
37
                     MR. REAKOFF: Mr. Chair.
                                               We'd like to
38
     have all the Council members here to present their
39
     comments to the Board, so I'd request that at this
     time.
40
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, Mr.
43
     Reakoff. I'm looking at the agenda here.
                                                Would
     tomorrow morning suffice to move that?
44
45
46
                     MR. REAKOFF: I think that would
47
     suffice. I think the Council members should be here.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay. So what
50
```

we'll do I guess is go ahead and take, without any opposition from the Board here, the Regional Advisory Chairs discussion and topics of concern and move it down far enough on the agenda that we'll visit it first thing in the morning and we can just make that our agenda item as we come in and continue with our business. I think that's one of the more important aspects of the meeting is listening to our Council Chairs and concerns.

I'm not too sure where we'll get on the agenda today, but we'll place it 8:30, 9:00 in the morning.

MR. REAKOFF: Sounds good. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: That way everybody knows. Thanks. Any other additional agenda items.

 MS. LINNELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. My name is Karen Linnell with the Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission. I'd like to pull WP 17, 18 and 19 from the consent agenda for discussion.

 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Karen, just for clarification on that you would like to pull those from the consensus to the non-consensus for discussion or pull them entirely?

MS. LINNELL: No, I want to pull them for discussion. Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay. So you're requesting that we move it to the non-consensus agenda.

MS. LINNELL: So that we can discuss them, yes, sir. Again, this is a confusing process, like I discussed in our tribal consultation, so this is foreign to me. It's the first time we put a proposal before the Federal Subsistence Board, so I'd like to have the opportunity to speak to them whenever it's appropriate.

MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair, if I may.

Based on the comments we've heard from Karen Linnell, WP18-18 and 18-19 are already on the non-consensus agenda, so it will be available for Board discussion and deliberation. To effectuate exactly what is requested, it would be more accurate to describe as to pull 18-17 from the consensus and move it to the nonconsensus agenda.

7 8 9

1

3

4

5

6

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any opposition from the Board on that.

10 11 12

(No opposing votes)

13 14

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none. Any other additions or deletions, discussion So moved. on the agenda.

16 17 18

15

(No comments)

19 20

21

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing or I'll call for a motion. seeing none. Oh, an announcement first from Gene.

22 23 24

25

26 27

MR. PELTOLA: Sorry, Mr. Chair. general announcement with regard to the agenda that I'd like to bring to your attention before you take action on it is not necessarily an action item but a reminder that's in regard to the Student Art Contest.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

This announcement pertains to agenda item number 15(a). Some of the previous years the Federal Subsistence Management Program held an art contest for all students in Alaska from grades K through 12 and accepted entries focusing on either wildlife or fish related subsistence activities.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

Two winners will be selected, one in each category, fisheries and wildlife. The winners' artwork will be published on the cover of the 2018-20 wildlife regulation book or the 2019-21 fish and shellfish regulation book, both of which are distributed statewide. Student art received through the competition will also be featured inside both booklets.

44 45 46

47

48

A total of 315 entries were received from schools across the state of Alaska showcasing amazing student talent and the knowledge of subsistence ways of life. The top submissions from each category

Phone: 907-243-0668

are on the art contest table for Board members and Regional Advisory Council Chairs review and to be judged during the meeting.

Judging forms are on the inside pocket of the Board and RAC members Chairs meeting materials in your booklet. We'd please ask you to take the time to review and, one, enjoy the art and, two, to turn in your judgment forms to Caron McKee, who is our OSM outreach coordinator, by the end of the day Wednesday, which is on April 11th. The two winners will then be announced on Thursday. For any additional information or questions please contact Caron McKee.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Gene. So please vote on the art. With that I'll open the floor for a motion to accept the agenda.

MR. C. BROWER: So moved.

MS. PENDLETON: Second.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: The motion has been made and second to accept the agenda as presented with the recommended changes. Any opposition to the motion to accept the agenda as modified.

(No opposing votes)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none. Motion carries to accept the new agenda. Now that we've accepted the agenda for the meeting we open up the floor for Federal Subsistence Board information sharing. So before we move on I know that we've had some unfortunate losses this year in connection to the program, OSM and RAC members. At this time I'd like to offer Beth the floor.

MS. PENDLETON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And in respect to the Southeast RAC and Mr. Hernandez, who has taken up the leadership role there, I would just like to recognize Michael Bangs, who had served in that role for a number of years very honorably, and passed away quite suddenly the end of February. So we'd just like to recognize Mr. Michael Bangs.

And I know that there's some others

their name.

Page 8

that we've lost and if there's others maybe we could share that and then take a moment of silence. Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Beth. So if there's anybody else who would like to acknowledge someone close to them or close to the

program, at this time please step forward and share

MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. My name is Donald Mike. I'm a Council Coordinator for Bristol Bay and Southcentral. I just want to honor Mr. Pete Abraham. He recently passed away. He was one of our original charter members of the Regional Advisory Council and he contributed a lot to this program and to this community and his region.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,

Donald.

MR. STEVENSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Board members. My name is Zach Stevenson, Subsistence Council Coordinator for the Northwest Arctic and Western Interior Regions.

I want to take a moment to honor the legacy of Mr. Raymond Stoney, who was with the Northwest Arctic Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. Raymond was considered a friend and beloved by many in the Northwest Arctic.

He dedicated his life to preserving the Inupiat way of life and fighting for subsistence rights throughout his life. He served on the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Migratory Bird Co-Management Council as well as serving as a founding member of the Northwest Arctic Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. We lost him in 2017, however his memory and inspiration will continue living on in the region.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,

47 Zach.

MR. REAKOFF: I don't know if Greg

```
Roczicka has been recognized. He was on the Board of
     Game when I first met him. He was on the Yukon Delta
 2
     Regional Advisory Council. Everybody here who has been
 3
 4
     here very long has known Greg's contribution and it was
 5
     a huge loss. We worked with Greg in the Western
     Interior with Yukon Delta on the Kuskokwim issues.
 6
                                                          So
 7
     I was shocked when he passed away also.
 8
 9
                     So I would like the Board to recognize
10
     him also.
11
12
                     Thank you.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you,
15
     Jack.
16
17
                     Eva.
18
19
                     MS. PATTON: Members of the Board.
20
     was also going to recognize Greg Roczicka. Longtime
     subsistence advocate in the YK Delta region. A big
21
22
     heart and caring to everyone in the region. Alissa
23
     Rogers is the new YK Delta RAC Chair and Greg was a
2.4
     mentor to Alissa for many, many years. So this is a
25
     heartfelt time.
26
27
                     Thank you.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Eva.
30
     Karen.
31
32
                     MS. LINNELL:
                                   Thank you, Mr. Chair.
     I'd also like to recognize Roy S. Ewan. He was a
33
34
     driving force in the Ahtna Region. He negotiated
35
     ANCSA. He was negotiating at ANILCA. He talks about
     being one of the -- like the only tribal member there
36
37
     when it came to (d)(2) negotiations around ANILCA and
     the formation of the parks in our area. Also he was a
38
39
     founding member or initial member of the Southcentral
40
     RAC. I just wanted to recognize his efforts as well.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you,
43
     Karen.
44
45
                     Enoch.
46
47
                     MR. SHIEDT: Yeah, this is for Raymond
48
     Stoney of Kiana. He served well over 20 years on the
```

Federal Advisory Board and at the AC Council too for

49

Northwest Alaska. I mean Raymond might have been small, but he has large shoes to fill, I tell you that. I miss him a lot because I'm so used to calling him. Everybody know he won't answer a lot of calls. He'll That way we could talk together. He said answer mine. the reason why I like to answer your calls is we could talk in Eskimo and try to help solve our problems.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2

4

5

6

I mean he did a lot for Northwest Alaska. Not only here and with the Migratory Bird also. But he was there and pushing and he'd push to have kids from the school attend when we had meetings in Kotzebue. Made sure we'd try to invite the students to our meetings. That way we could counsel and hopefully someone younger will take over in our shoes. did a lot and I will say thank you, Raymond.

16 17 18

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,

Enoch. Ken.

19 20 21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

MR. LORD: I'd like to recognize Dick Pospahala, who was the Assistant Regional Director for Subsistence back in the 1990s. He also wore a number of other hats, including Assistant Regional Director for Migratory Birds. He passed away on March 15th and did a lot to get this program off on the right foot and his legacy lives on today in what we're doing here today.

28 29 30

31

32 33

34

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Ken. I definitely appreciate everybody taking the time to recognize those who have fallen and we've lost in our lives this last year. We'll take a moment of silence just to reflect on them and then we'll get started with our meeting.

35 36 37

(Moment of silence)

38 39 40

41 42

43 44

45

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Again, this time on our agenda is for everybody. Federal Subsistence Board information sharing. do again appreciate everybody's time on that and respecting those who have fallen. Now it's time to share information to the Board. If you have anything you'd like to present, information you'd like to share, feel free. The floor is yours.

46 47 48

MR. C. BROWER: Mr. Chair. Good afternoon, everyone. Charlie Brower, public member.

Phone: 907-243-0668

just want to welcome everyone here to the Federal Subsistence Board meeting. Also, I don't know why I'm here. I should be home whaling or fishing for big fish. I joke.

(Laughter)

MR. C. BROWER: I should be getting ready for whaling, but I'm here, so no problem. I just wanted to make you guys laugh that I was going to go get some big fish, 32-foot, 40-footers, you know. Have a good day.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Charlie. Thank you to the Staff who recognized that I haven't come down from my kung po chicken from lunch yet.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: A little extra spicy there at the food court. Very good subsistence, fifth floor.

Beth.

MS. PENDLETON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just a couple of personnel announcements for the Forest Service. I think most folks know that I'm retiring the end of the month after eight years as the Alaska Regional Forester, but I did want to note that the long-term acting coming in behind me is Mr. David Schmidt. He's currently serving in Missoula, Montana in our Northern Region and he has — about two-thirds of his career has been in Alaska on the Chugach National Forest and the Tongass National Forest and he'll be arriving next week to transition with me. He's looking forward to participating with the Federal Subsistence Board in that capacity.

And then also a couple of other personnel changes. Mr. Ben VanAlen has also retired recently and he served with the Forest Service in the Federal Subsistence Program as staff for a number of years.

We also have a new Deputy Regional

Forester, Mr. Jerry Ingersoll, who will be also arriving next week. While he will not be serving on the Board, he will have responsibilities for a number of the resources programs in Southeast and Southcentral. He too also comes to Alaska with quite a bit of past experience on the Tongass National Forest, so we'll be welcoming these two new leaders to the region in the next couple of weeks.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2

4

5

6 7

> We also have a couple of new folks that work in our law enforcement area that directly contribute to our Forest Service subsistence team. They include Jordan Rymer, who is serving in Moose Pass. He was here this morning and I'm not sure --Jordan is back over there. It's good to have him here and joining the subsistence team on the law enforcement side in Moose Pass on the Chugach. And then Mr. Joe Boggs, who I don't think is here, but he's joining the Tongass National Forest also in law enforcement.

19 20 21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

And then we do have a group of students who are observing the Federal Subsistence Board. not seeing them right now, but we'll look for an opportunity to introduce them. They are here to observe the process. So perhaps when they come in we can do an introduction and they're hosted by Ms. Heather Bauscher, who is an adjunct professor at the University of Alaska Southeast in Sitka.

28 29 30

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Beth. Alissa, did you have something to add to that?

31 32 33

MS. ROGERS: No, I don't.

34 35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay. covered it. Thanks. And congratulations on your retirement and thank you for the invitation. supposed to be able to get to go, so I was looking forward to it. Thank you for all your service on this Board, Beth. I definitely learned a lot from your leadership as you sat here. I was just a rural member a few years ago and leaned a lot towards you guys and how the process was run. So definitely appreciate the time I've sat here with you.

44 45 46

Any other announcements from the Board or Regional Chairs.

Phone: 907-243-0668

47 48 49

MR. G. BROWER: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Mr. Brower.

1 2 3

4 5

6

7

MR. G. BROWER: Gordon Brower from North Slope Council. This would be my first time as a I've been involved with the Regional Council Chair. for about 20 years. I'm kind of new to this part of the scene. I've always looked to Harry or those guys to do this part of it.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

One thing that I just wanted to share and it relates to the current job that I hold as a director of the Planning Department for the Borough in developing community comprehensive plans and those plans are mandated by the State of Alaska. required by the North Slope Borough code of ordinances in developing these plans.

16 17 18

19

20

21 22

23

2.4

There's a portion of that plan, and about maybe 90 percent of the dialogue is from all of the villages up in the Arctic North Slope, talks about subsistence, preserving traditional use, preserving the way of life and providing for a means for subsistence. There's a portion of that plan that's called a village area of influence that everybody needs to start to understand.

25 26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33 34

I would like to make time sometime to the area managers because this is an important feature of developing community plans when food security issues and the needs of the communities are needing to be met and the Federal Subsistence Board I think has a mandate to talk about the rural subsistence priority issues and providing for those kind of needs in communities that need those resources.

35 36

37

38

39

40

I'm probably going to over-explain if I try to go and dwell in it. I just want to provide that kind of information. The Arctic landscape is now dotted with community comprehensive plans adopted by the Borough assemblies, mandated by the State in developing these plans.

41 42 43

Thank you. I don't know the implications to the program, but when we're starting to look at resource needs, that's what you all do.

Phone: 907-243-0668

45 46 47

44

So thank you.

48

Thank you for CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:

that insight, Mr. Brower. Any other information sharing, questions.

3 4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1 2

(No comments)

5 6

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right. Hearing none. We'll move on the agenda. We moved the next agenda item until tomorrow morning when all the Chairs are present. With that we'll now open up the public comment period on non-agenda items. So this is the time when we open up the floor to the public to discuss any items of concern that they may have in regards to the subsistence program or resources that we have jurisdiction over.

14 15 16

17 18

19

20

So, with that, we invite the public up. We just ask that you be mindful of time. As well as online. If somebody has called in if you wanted to testify or make a comment to the Board, the floor is open at this time. We'll also make this available at the beginning of each day.

21 22 23

2.4

25

26

MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair, if I may. We had received one comment card from Regional Advisory Council Chair for the North Slope Gordon, but it appears that he addressed that during the information sharing section of the Board. Was that adequate?

27 28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

MR. G. BROWER: Mr. Chair. I didn't think it was adequate. I put my name in if I could fit under the public comment. I think it's an important topic to unfold for you what it means. I think talking about these issues from the Regional Council level and the issues that the communities have expressed and our neighboring communities, our neighboring RAC. It is about the communities.

36 37 38

39

40

41 42

43

44

I think there's a lot of uncertainty about our communities and the level of interaction that needs to be understood by the Federal Subsistence Board is important and I don't think we do it justice from the communities that express the concerns. There needs to be a way to convey that in a way that provides examples. If you express through example, you know, it's like a picture says a thousand words.

45 46 47

48

So I just wanted to -- it's in light of a part of what we're doing on the North Slope, but to recognize the concerns about the reasonable

availability of subsistence resources. There's laws that exist on the North Slope that say the reasonable availability of subsistence resources from where they're normally found. Those are features of laws that exist on the North Slope that I don't think you have any privy to.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2

4

5

They only come into play when other actions -- when we are -- we're very inclusive in the program of non-Federally-qualified users, rural residents, rural preference. We're very inclusive, but then the resources are struggling, and we've had a good 10 years of a decline in the Western Arctic Herd and we're glad to see new technology saying there's a little blip showing, there's a sign of recovery, but that's a blip, it's not a trend of any sorts what scientists would say.

17 18 19

20

21 22

23

2.4

25

So my concern and I think under public testimony is bringing to the table our communities, their needs and be very thoughtful about deliberating when proposals come in because there may be only recourse that we're limited to one way to try to address something when there might be another option, but our only way to express it solidly was this one way to try to bring it to your table.

26 27 28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

Here's an example of -- and I'm just going to just use this as a quick example and not dwell on this any further. I just want to make it an attention. That when oil and gas industry is embarking to develop around the community of Nuigsut, we recognized as a community that impacts to normal hunting practices and migratory patterns would change of animals because of infrastructure or obstacles created by the means for these animals.

36 37 38

39

40

41

We had made a determination that even though the animals are abundant you can deplete them from where they're reasonably available for harvest by the community and obstruct the community's needs and nutritional needs for that community.

42 43 44

45

46

47

I just want to -- that little statement -- and we go by a lot of times from our region we study things to heck. I think bowhead whale has been the most studied species on earth because of us on the North Slope.

Phone: 907-243-0668

We call something -- when we have a very high dependency on the nutritional needs and availability of those resources for a community, a preponderance of the evidence exists that the community needs these resources and we should not interrupt the traditional hunting practice of harvest and provide competing uses in the wake of those resources to be reasonably available to those where they're normally found. That's a law in the Borough up there.

And how we make others understand these kind of things is very complicated, I think, and I think it needs to come out somewhere. But I think it's time to look at what we're doing and it might start to impact when we start to have little portions of comprehensive plans for each communities that say this village area of influence and there's a definition for that. The traditional and contemporary environments immediately outside of the village district boundary that provides for the traditional and nutritional needs of the communities. So it's a very important statement.

Anyway, I always tend to go a long ways and overemphasize and over explain things, but these are important things to learn and be cognizant as you start to deliberate a lot of these different things no matter if it's outside of the North Slope because there's a real need to make sure and express the community needs and not develop arguments in the wake of their traditional practices.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Mr.

Brower. Okay.

The floor is still open for public

testimony.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: It looks like we have no other public testimony. Again there will be an opportunity at the beginning of each day to bring up and share information or to provide comment on nonagenda items as well as opportunity when each item is presented. With that we'll move on the agenda to the tribal/ANCSA consultation implementation guidelines

annual review.

2 3

4

5

6

MR. LIND: Quyana, Mr. Chair. Members of the Board. Orville Lind, Native Liaison for the Office of Subsistence Management. Since I've been on the job three years, three months and four days -- I'm not counting really.

7 8 9

(Laughter)

10 11

12

13

14

15

MR. LIND: The policies and guidelines we follow for tribal consultation, the whos, whats, hows and whys, we are to give an annual review every year and this review is done by the workgroup, which consists of I believe 18 members. ISC staff, InterAgency staff, our staff at our office.

16 17 18

19

20

21 22

23 2.4

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

So what I'm doing here is I'm going to give a brief summary of the consultation progress and would like to hear some comments from public, RAC members to see how we're doing. This is a living document. It can be changed and it should be. If something is not working, I definitely want to hear it because I'm here to make things work. Thank you.

25 26

So we've had some comments that I'm going to share from Chief Garrison. Things are going pretty well as you can see. Ms. Trumble, Della, has stated that as long as we keep this meaningful and productive I think we'll do okay. We've heard from the Fish and Wildlife Service staff that it seems to be going well. I've asked for input on things that we could change. I haven't had too much feedback on that, which is a good thing.

34 35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

Again, I'm here to listen to your suggestions, your comments, to see what and where we can make some changes to make this tribal consultation process more meaningful and more productive. hear from Crystal Leonetti who thinks it's going fairly well. Again, it's up to the people that we consult with to hear their voice and to see what changes need to be changed if anything.

43 44 45

46 47

48

49

50

Forest Service and so on thinks that we're doing okay. I heard from Rose Ahtuangaruak from up north. She says that it seems to be going pretty She still sees a need for improvement of engaging the tribes and that's something I'm going to

Phone: 907-243-0668

share with you after this short annual review.

1 2 3

So, with that, I'm going to go ahead and open up the floor for some comments or questions, suggestions.

5 6

4

Mr. Chair.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Orville, for that short and brief presentation there. I also wanted to say I really did appreciate this morning's tribal consultation and I'm glad that we front-ended our meetings with offering that to the tribes out there and ANCSA corporations to come in and consult prior to the meeting. I think it's been beneficial to the work that we do in looking at these proposals in the time ahead of us.

17 18 19

So definitely appreciated that.

20 21

MR. LIND: Thank you. I would love to entertain some feedback possibly from the RAC members.

22 23 2.4

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We have Greq and then Don.

25 26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This morning during some of the consultation and even during a little bit of our session that we had was we heard a fair amount of concern voiced about confusion in the process or complications in the process. wondering, Orville, are you hearing the same relative to the consultation methodology that we use? Really what I'm wondering is so what do we do as a Board to try and help add some clarity to that.

35 36 37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44

Something maybe for us to think about here as we go through this meeting and perhaps come out of the meeting with some sort of a recommendation on how we try to add some clarity to the process so the public is not struggling with being engaged with the process nor would tribal and/or ANCSA corporations be struggling with being engaged in the process somehow because it was a pretty strongly-voiced concern this morning.

45 46 47

MR. LIND: Thank you, Greg, for that. So I'm going to talk a little bit about that after this round on what we're doing to help in the direction of

Phone: 907-243-0668

more clarity, more understanding and the whole process.

1 2 3

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Don.

4 5

6

7

8

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It's a pleasure to be here. This is my first Board meeting and I'm filling in for our chair Sue Entsminger. The comments that I had with her were directly related to this.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

At our recent RAC meetings we aren't getting much tribal consultation input and I don't know the reason for that, but I think it's a very valuable asset and it's a lot like TEK and the elders speaking out about their experiences. I don't know how that can be improved, but I think that just sending an email doesn't seem to touch the people in a way it should. Maybe a lot of phone calls would be much more beneficial.

19 20 21

Thank you for your time.

22 23

2.4

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

MR. LIND: Thank you for that. We try to do the best outreach possible when it comes to whether it's just sending out the news releases or the final invite letters to RACs and regions we can. have council coordinators that also step up to do the same outreach effort. I do hear a lot of folks say that they didn't receive the information. There's not many tribes voicing their opinion on certain types of -- whether it's regulation changes or updates, that sort of thing.

32 33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42 43

Again, one of the -- well, I might as well go into it now. Last October 30th down at Southeast Regional Advisory Council session, the day before we actually had the session we did a tribal engagement session and in that tribal engagement session primarily my goal was to have a presentation that showed the whos, whats and whys of tribal consultation. We invited the chair, the vice chair of the Regional Advisory Council, we invited the directors of Forest Service to attend, which they did, we invited tribes. Even Seldovia got to call in.

44 45 46

47

48

I thought that session was the most meaningful and productive session we had had and it was the first one. Thanks to Melinda, who actually put this together. We had I believe an hour and a half of

Phone: 907-243-0668

just learning what tribal consultation is about, who to consult with and why we should consult. I think that's just going to get better.

3 4 5

6

7

8 9

1

Right now I'm working with the Bristol Bay RAC to also do the tribal engagement session with them at their next regional advisory session. been invited by Western Interior to come over and do a tribal session with them. I've also been invited by the Northwest Arctic RAC to do a tribal session with them also and just recently YK Delta.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

10

So I'm looking forward to these sessions. Again, it's going to introduce maybe some more clarity of how the process works and maybe understand why in some cases tribes don't get involved and maybe why they should get involved. I'm looking forward to those sessions.

18 19 20

Thank you.

21 22

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Judy.

23 2.4

25

26 27

Thank you, Mr. Chair. MS. CAMINER: Judy Caminer, Vice Chair of the Southcentral Regional Advisory Council. I'm sure Greg Encelewski, our Chairman, who will be here tomorrow, might have some additional comments.

28 29 30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

Orville, certainly you and your group have done a fantastic job over the last several years to really get things started, but there's so many challenges on both sides. One can imagine in some of the smaller communities you may be sending an email to someone who is no longer there or to someone who is completely overwhelmed with probably repeated requests not only by this program but other programs to try to keep up with consultation.

38 39 40

41

42

43 44

45

I like the idea of a two-way consultation with your answer being tribal engagement because I think to continually being asked for one's opinion, if you got something like this printed out, would be very, very challenging for those organizations not set up and not maybe even understanding our program as fully as some of the other organizations do.

46 47

So I guess I'd continue to encourage the education part of this as well as trying to solicit

Phone: 907-243-0668

48 49

the feedback you're asking from us here at every session you go to and trying to keep your contact list updated as best as possible.

3 4 5

2

Thank you.

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

MR. LIND: Yeah, thank you. Exactly right. A lot of times when we try to connect with tribes or their offices the names have changed and so we try our best to update that information as we go through the year. I know that I'm not the only one that updates the information. Our council coordinators make a great effort in keeping the contacts current. We share that information as much as we can.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2.4

Also, on top of that, about six months ago we had been addressing this exact problem of people saying that they're not getting the information that they would like to have as far as consultation. have now identified all the refuge information technicians in each region. It's in a map like this and it's on the website. It identified each refuge information technician who can be contacted by the council coordinators in our Office of Subsistence Management.

25 26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

For example, in Bristol Bay Region 4, we have John Dyasuk. We have John Mark and the supervisor Amanda. So those type of connections that we're relying on to further share that information when we send it out there. Once we send the information out to the tribes and corporations we have no control what happens after it gets out there. So we're relying on more local experts to assist us in doing that.

38

39

40

41

42

43

Beyond that, I would like to also say that we are on the website and I don't know of any village that does not have access -- it may not be very strong -- but access to our website. In that website we have contacts, we have names, we have phone numbers. My goal -- the first month I took this job I said I wanted to do the best job I could. So I made a huge mistake by putting my personal phone number on my business card.

44 45 46

(Laughter)

47 48

MR. LIND: I will never do that again. But I'll tell you what, I made a lot of friends who

Phone: 907-243-0668

call me after hours, but still it helped out. Again, my phone number is there. I have cards. Contact me if you have any questions. My job here is to make consultation the best it could be.

4 5 6

1

3

With that said, I'd like to entertain any more suggestions, comments or questions.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

MR. FROST: So Orville maybe you can help me out. Maybe I just have a short memory. morning during our tribal consultation we had requests for two sort of closed consultations. My recollection is, as long as I've been on the Board, this is the first time that's happened. What's the protocol? had a number of people that sort of spoke up while everybody was in the room and then a couple didn't and we had great conversations on both ends.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

I'm just trying to understand. I think it goes back to the confusion issue that Greq was talking about. Is there a process, is there a protocol, is there a -- what's right, what's wrong. don't know what the answer is, but I think it just sort of feeds into the confusion of, you know, how do we do consultation. Is it a public process, is it a private process? If it's a private process, then it should be a private process for everybody as opposed to just a few people.

28 29 30

So I don't know. That was an observation I made this morning. I don't know if anybody else made the same observations.

32 33 34

35

36 37

38

31

MR. LIND: Thank you for that. So in our policy it says it may be closed to the public. think when we're dealing with tribes and we're mandated to listen to the tribes, we basically will -- for example this morning. They requested to be closed consultation. We made that happen.

39 40 41

42

Again, if there's any things you think we may add to that to make it more meaningful, more clear, I'm here.

43 44 45

46

47

48

MR. FROST: So just as a followup, so that's news to me, so that's good that it says they may be private, but I'm not sure that everybody knew that. I didn't know that. So for other tribes that may want that opportunity I think that needs to be sort of put

Phone: 907-243-0668

out there broad and wide so people know that they have that opportunity. Just because it's in the policy doesn't mean anybody knows about it.

3 4 5

> 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

I think this goes back to Greg's issue of how do we communicate the business of the Board and do we need a one-pager on tribal consultation and a one-pager on Board procedures or something on how we -you know, what are the key points that are really, really important that people need to know in terms of how do you deal with proposals or what do you need to do for consultation and what are your options. didn't realize there was an option that it could be closed, so that's good to know.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

MR. LIND: Yeah, I tell you what, I'm all for simplicity. Short and sweet, that's me. Again, this is a living document. That's why we're here. That's why we're reviewing this. Again, I would love to entertain some suggestions on maybe how we can shorten the process, but right now I think in the three years that I've dealt with this I think it is working. I just think we just need to educate more. I think we need to engage the tribes more and I think it will work.

25 26 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

MR. LORD: Mr. Frost, thank you for bringing up that question because there is a legal consideration there, which is that if the tribe or ANCSA corporation requests a closed session without a record, then we don't have anything on our administrative record. If they're asking for the Board to make a decision on the information they've shared, we don't have anything to back that up.

So we've tried to make it clear that it's okay to request that, but if they want that information in the record either it has to be recorded at the time or they have to put it on the record later either in writing or verbally.

40 41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

38

39

MS. PITKA: Hi. Rhonda Pitka. It's think a couple of notes on tribal engagement. very difficult to engage tribes with small staff that maybe don't have those resources to go online and find information. I'm not sure if a lot of people in this room have gone to that website, but it's not the easiest to find information or even a phone number really. I couldn't remember someone's number and I had

Phone: 907-243-0668

to look on there and it was not that easy.

1 2 3

So I think maybe getting out news releases a little bit sooner to tribes. Most tribes honestly still have a fax machine because the internet is so unreliable. I've been a tribal leader for my tribe for six years now and I've been working for my tribe for 10 years and I don't believe that I've ever seen a notice for tribal consultation to my tribe.

I've also been involved with the Council of Athabaskan Tribal Governments for six years also and that's a consortium of 10 tribal governments in the Yukon Flats and I don't believe that any of those tribes has ever received a tribal consultation notice.

2.4

So I think maybe there's room for education on both sides about what tribal consultation looks like. Maybe there's also room in the Federal government to have a class or something so that everybody is on the same page about tribal consultation and what that looks like because it can be vastly different. Government to government consultation is one of those things that's really, really important to me.

We've done that in various ways within my own tribe and within the Council of Athabaskan Tribal Governments. A lot of the elders, like the real leaders in our communities, don't use or trust email or social media, so those avenues are a little bit difficult. So one of the ways that we engage them is through the radio system. Having PSAs on the radio in those hub communities is really important.

Thank you.

MR. LIND: Thank you for that, Rhonda. I guess, as I said before, a lot of it is who you know. I love getting phone calls. I'm getting more and more. People are making those connections. I would love to do more tribal engagement sessions all over if I could.

Thank you.

MR. GREEN: Mr. Chair. This is Louis

48 Green.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Online. We're going to try one more here and then we need to call for a short recess. We're having a problem with our mic system here and recording the meeting, which is pretty important as we sit here talking about consultation and process and recording and making sure that things get transferred forward.

It's really important that we can record our meeting in its entirety and right now we're having some technical difficulties. So if we can just maybe follow up right here and then we're going to take a little recess here to try to figure out the sound system.

MR. GREEN: Mr. Chairman. This is Louis Green. Do you hear me now?

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, I hear you, Louis. Go ahead. You have the floor.

MR. GREEN: All right. Thank you. This question is for Orville and maybe a comment following up. Orville, on consultation with tribes and ANCSA corporations, what is your -- how successful are you with the Bering Straits/Seward Peninsula regions.

MR. LIND: Louis, say that last part

again.

MR. GREEN: I was asking -- I'm sorry. I was asking how successful you are with ANCSA corporations and tribal consultations in the Bering Strait/Seward Peninsula RAC region.

MR. LIND: Well, I can tell you this much. In the last three years we have consulted. You know, I would certainly try to engage your region -- you know, it really depends on the issues at hand. I mean if you have a real strong subsistence issue or a regulation change or, you know, special actions come forth, that's when we really get involved and really start getting engaged. It comes from the tribe. We work for you, so the process starts with the tribes.

MR. GREEN: Thank you. So one of the things that I keep running into and I've tried to encourage ANCSA. I'm a director in two different corporations. One of them is a village corporation,

Sitnasuak, and the other one is the regional Bering Straits Regional Corporation. I'm trying to get the landowner to be taking part in these consultations.

It wasn't a trick question. I was just wanting to know if you were getting anything from regional or any village corporations. Maybe that's a big step we need to take there too because I do feel the landowner, the corporations do need to be proactive in this process.

The tribes -- I don't know who's all there and I'm waiting on this thing. I collided with another meeting here and I'm in Washington by the way. How are the tribal consultations? Are they -- is Kawerak who is the coordinator for the tribes in the region? Not knowing who's in the room there I'm asking that question maybe for myself.

2.4

But it's evident to me that tribes -the ANCSA corporations who own the lands are reluctant
to take part in that because they think they're all
just about for profit. The issues with the land issues
and the natural resources of the lands don't seem to
get caught up in conversation yet. Maybe more from
your side might help.

I'm not sure how to operate this phone system here. I'm kind of puzzled how to -- I guess I can mute my phone.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,

Louis.

MR. LIND: Thank you, Louis. Yes, I think we are making some progress with ANCSA corporations. Anything dealing -- and with tribes. Anything dealing with their resource and making decisions that are going to change or affect their way, you know, those are just strong avenues just to make the phone calls to get involved, to really work with your Regional Advisory Councils firsthand.

I think since 1993 after I worked with the Regional Advisory Council in Region 4 I've seen a tremendous effort on the resource they're dealing with that's in decline or there's some trouble up ahead.

They are really addressing those whether it's with special actions or change in regulations or developing regulations.

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

1 2

> I think there's a huge -- there's been a huge change. I think more is happening in the last three years I've been here. I don't know about you Board members, but I think we're getting a little more busier, but that's a good thing. You know, we need to go with these changes. We need to allow the tribes to voice their opinions and just to be heard.

11 12 13

14

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Orville. Any other questions from the Board for Orville on the process of tribal consultation.

15 16 17

Alissa.

18 19

20

21 22

23

2.4

MS. ROGERS: Thank you. Through the Chair. This is Alissa Rogers. This is my first year on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Regional Advisory Council. I've been participating in these meetings. This is my first meeting at the Federal Subsistence Board, but I've been participating at the RAC meetings for the past 10 years and I'm 30.

25 26 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

I do want to say that maybe, Orville, we could put together something for the youth, starting them off young like I did -- I was nine years old when I first started at those meetings -- and working our way up. Maybe having one session for one year. I know we have only two meetings per year for the RAC meetings and maybe we could get something together working with the school board systems. I tried to put something together to have students at our meetings.

35 36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43

Then also if we could incorporate maybe one representative from each region to come to this meeting if the Federal system can pay for them to come to this meeting to learn, sit and observe and take in everything because everything that we're doing here now we need someone to take after and just continue as we don't want this to die out and having to restart and remake a whole new system.

44 45 46

47

48

MR. LIND: Thank you for that, Alissa. You're on track. You're right on track. Working with Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council coordinator Donald Mike, I've been in contact with the school

Phone: 907-243-0668

principal, the district superintendent, and we are setting up a tribal engagement session with their high school, which will require Polycam working out of our office at the OSM office with the students at Bristol Bay. We're trying to set up to where we'll actually have them involved in possibly creating a regulation or maybe a special action. We just want to engage them in the process to help them understand what the Regional Advisory Councils are doing.

Thank you.

MS. TRUMBLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Through the Chair. My name is Della Trumble. I represent -- I'm the Chair on the Kodiak/Aleutian Subsistence Advisory Council. Just reiterating a little bit about what Orville said about meaningful and productive.

2.4

Our region is spread from Kodiak through the Aleutians all the way out west. Trying to work with that many tribes and people has been frustrating sometimes. We've been fortunate, at least when we have our meetings in Kodiak, that Sun'aq Tribe has been very helpful in coordinating efforts with the smaller tribes surrounding Kodiak.

For the Aleutians that's been a challenge. Orville and I and Karen have talked numerous times on how we can better improve on that process in working more closely with the non-profits that basically represent those tribes.

The piece that's frustrating even more of course is with the budget cuts and us not having our meetings -- we usually have our winter meeting in Kodiak, but our fall meeting usually goes to the Aleutian side and we've been fortunate in the past few years to be able to accomplish that in communities that we represent because the educational piece and the opportunity for people to come forward and speak and learn and the younger is right at that level.

When we have to come to our meeting --possibly next meeting again in Kodiak or possibly even Anchorage, we don't represent the people. We don't have that opportunity to do our job representing the people we serve and that is a big concern. That's the issue of if you're being productive. You're not

productive if you're not on the ground with the people that we represent.

I think the other piece of it is I've always been strong and vocal about the fact that we have a process in place. However, I sometimes felt that when you have to go through the issue of consultation, I don't feel comfortable with the idea that you're just going in there and checking off a box. It needs to be meaningful and you need to be there to do what we're outset to do.

Thank you.

And thank you, Orville. I appreciated working with Orville a lot.

MR. LIND: Quyana.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, for

21 that.

Jack.

MR. REAKOFF: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Since Orville has been working as the liaison it's been a vast improvement in consultation. I do feel that each Federal Subsistence Board member -- many of the Regional Directors have staff that are subsistence coordinators. National Park Service, BLM, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Forest Service. They have staff to help Orville out.

If Orville sends out stuff, their staff should be calling those communities that have proposals that are going to directly affect those communities. Those staff should help Orville out to contact those communities. They're there. They know those contacts whether they're in Galena or they're in Fairbanks. They have those contacts. They know who those people are.

So I think that the Regional Directors here should direct staff to help in this tribal consultation using the staff that they already have employed as subsistence coordinators to help get this tribal consultation a little more plugged in with the OSM program.

Page 30 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 4 Jack. 5 6 Orville. 7 8 MR. LIND: Thank you. Thank you for 9 that, Jack. I've got to say in the last three years --10 you know, it takes some time to develop a working 11 relationship or working routine and I think in the 12 three years I've been with OSM I really enjoy working 13 with the staff. They are really dedicated, motivated 14 workers. 15 16 When we send out the outreach effort that comes from our office, it's mind-boggling. We 17 18 have coordinators working with their regions, with 19 their staff. You know, we've got the wildlife or fish 20 people doing their -- it's just an amazing amount of work that comes out of that office. 21 22 23 I tell you right now today I'm happy to 2.4 be here and I'm really happy to be working with the 25 staff I'm working with today. That's why it's working. 26 It may not be perfect. We always have room for 27 improvement, but I think it's working pretty well. 28 29 Thank you. 30 31 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Orville. Like you said, it's an organic living 32 33 document that's always got room for improvement. 34 started with a draft and we got here today. 35 36 Any other discussion for Orville, comments from the Board, Regional Chairs. 37 38 39 (No comments) 40 41 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right. 42 Hearing none. Thank you for that presentation, Orville. Definitely appreciate the tribal 43 44 consultation. 45 46 We're going to take a five-minute 47 break. When we come back we're going to introduce the 48 students that have come in as part of the day. We'll 49 do that when we convene. 50

Page 31 1 MR. LIND: Quyana. 2 3 (Off record) 4 5 (On record) 6 7 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We'll call this 8 meeting back to order. I was just trying to make sure 9 Orville was done with his tribal engagement, 10 presentation. There was two items on the list for him 11 and I was just trying to eyeball him, but I don't see him. Oh, right here. You were all finished up, right? 12 13 Okay. Yeah, Orville was done with his presentation. 14 Thank you for that wonderful presentation, Orville. 15 16 With that, we said after we came back 17 from the break we would invite up the students we have 18 here to introduce themselves and give a little bit of 19 information on what they're up to. So we'd invite you 20 guys up to share with us. 21 22 Thank you. 23 2.4 MS. BAUSCHER: Hi, everyone. Thank you 25 so very much for this opportunity for us to be here. My name is Heather Bauscher. I'm an adjunct professor 26 27 at UAS under Jan Straley. You may remember me. 28 through here a year or two ago trying to set the 29 groundwork for this and it's really exciting to come 30 back a year or two later and actually have students in a full dual credit class with Mt. Edgecumbe and UAS 31 32 Sitka. So a big thank you to the USDA for helping make 33 that happen. 34 35 I'd like to introduce the students that 36 are here today. 37 38 MS. ITTA-TOMAS: Hi, my name is Kori 39 Itta-Tomas. I'm from the North Slope, Utgiagvik. 40 to Mt. Edgecumbe. I'm a four-year senior. I've been going since I was a freshman. In those four years I've 41 42 learned and met a lot of new people, a lot of people around Alaska. I'm interested in learning about the 43 44 policies and how it plays out. 45 46 Thank you. 47 48 MS. LEAVITT: Hi, my name is Doreen 49 Leavitt and I'm from Barrow, Alaska. I'm a three-year 50

```
senior at Mt. Edgecumbe High School.
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. MARTIN: Hi, my name is Andrew
 4
     Martin and I live in Sitka and I have been attending
 5
     Edgecumbe for two years. I am a junior and I
     appreciate the opportunity to be here.
 6
 7
 8
                     MR. RICE: Hello. My name is Austin
     Rice.
            I'm a three-year junior. I've been attending
 9
10
     Mt. Edgecumbe since I was a freshman. We're just glad
11
     to be here and thank you for letting us come.
12
13
                     MS. BAUSCHER:
                                    I also want to encourage
14
     anybody here who would like to speak more with the
15
     students on breaks please do because they do have an
     assignment to try and make as many contacts and figure
16
     out how all the pieces fit together here. So please
17
18
     help them unravel the puzzle.
19
20
                     Thank you.
21
22
                     GEORGE: Hi, I'm George. I am an
23
     AmeriCorps volunteer at UAS Sitka and I am just here as
24
     a chaperone for them.
25
26
                     MS. BAUSCHER:
                                    George is also learning
27
     too and is interested, so please talk to him as well.
28
29
                     GEORGE:
                              All right. Thank you.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you,
32
     guys.
33
34
                     Any questions from the Board to the
35
     students.
36
37
                     (No comments)
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I just wanted
40
     to know -- I know you came in here two years ago.
41
     the basis of the class ANILCA or is it a subsistence
42
     program or just policies in general in Alaska?
43
44
                     MS. BAUSCHER:
                                    The plan is to talk
45
     about ANILCA.
                    We didn't end up doing that at our first
46
     meeting because everybody was too excited about what we
47
     were going to be walking into here, but we're going to
48
     talk about ANILCA a little bit. Their assignment is to
```

pick a proposal of their choosing. Maybe something

49

reflecting where they're from or just something that's really interesting while they're here, follow that through.

As well as do a reflection and we'll be meeting up at the end of each day just trying to make sense of what occurred during the day and put the pieces together. But I think it's really exciting for them to get a chance to be here and see how all of this works and learn opportunities and ways to be engaged and use their voice in this process.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for

that.

floor.

MS. PITKA: I don't have a question, but I would like to ask if you guys have any questions of the Board. Now is a good time to ask them.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the

2.4

MR. RICE: So I'm from the Southeast Region of Alaska. I'm from Sitka. It's illegal to shoot off the boat or to harvest deer off the boat because when it's moving, but I want to know why it's illegal to harvest deer off a boat only in Sitka, but in other regions around in the Southeast it isn't illegal.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: So I understand, I think, it is legal in Sitka, right?

MR. RICE: It is not legal.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I don't believe it's legal anywhere else in Southeast to shoot from a boat. I know in our area -- huh? Under power. I think you have to turn the boat off and be coasting, is that what it is, or beach the boat. Where that comes from is each community -- they put in a proposal based on what their community customary maybe and traditionally are, even contemporarily utilize how they hunt, what process we go through.

 So in order to make those changes what you do is draft a proposal and say, okay, here in the Sitka area we would want to harvest deer from a boat because it's a traditional practice and then you would

draft that proposal that says this is what we would like to do and you would submit it to the Federal Board for us to consider as a means necessary to harvest and meet your subsistence needs.

4 5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

2 3

> So it is something that is still possible and you come to these meetings and try to change that to fit the needs of your community so that you can meet the needs of your subsistence. So if that's something you guys see in the future as a necessity, you just have to convince the management agencies, which sit here, that it's in the best interest for your community to be able to harvest deer from a boat.

14 15 16

17

18

19

22

23 2.4

25

26

27 28

29

MR. RICE: It used to be legal like earlier on, but I don't know why it was deemed illegal. It was legal at one time. So I was just wondering why it was deemed illegal.

20 21

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I don't know the specific history, but I'm pretty sure someone put in a proposal to stop hunting from a boat. If I could recollect my history of it, it was I think -- like you said, the boat moves, and being able to get an ethical shot off sometimes is up in the air depending on the perception of the person on how well you can shoot from a boat and recover the animal. You've got to be a pretty decent shot to shoot from a boat and get a deer, which most of us in Southeast are.

30 31 32

(Laughter)

33 34

35

36 37

38 39

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: And I'm with you, man. I would love to be able to harvest a deer from the boat, but again we have to follow that reg. To make the change, you put in a proposal to this Board and get the support from your community in Sitka and see if you can make that change.

40 41

MR. RICE: All right. Thank you very

42

much.

43 44

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any other questions from you guys.

Phone: 907-243-0668

45 46 47

(No comments)

48

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Don't be shy.

1 Go ahead.

MR. G. BROWER: I'm not a student, but in a way I am because it's my first time being able to attend this. I think it's important if you're interested to get your homework assignment readily done, I think you have a lot of knowledge base here with a lot of chairmans and Federal Subsistence Board members at your fingertips and I would take advantage of that.

2.4

MR. SIEKANIEC: Mr. Chairman. Thank you. That was actually a great question and I think why it's a great question because it demonstrates the complexity in regulations and in the process that we're involved with. I think the State probably has a regulation relative to hunting from a boat and what methods you can actually use, whether it's no longer under its power or it's beached as the Chairman said.

Migratory bird rules also have regulations around hunting from boats that are under power. I believe we also have a special provision in probably Unit 23 that you may harvest caribou from a boat that's under power because of its customary and traditional methodology.

So I think you asked a great question. It does demonstrate the complexity around what we deal with here, but also then the importance for knowing where you are and what rules are actually applied. I can see the boat discussion in the Southeast being everything from whether it's a Federal water or whether it's State waters, you know, it all will come into play. Again, thanks, that was pretty good.

And thanks for putting the Chair on the spot for us, we appreciate that.

(Laughter)

MR. C. BROWER: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Go ahead.

MR. C. BROWER: I have a question for all you students. Your interested in wildlife management while you're at where you're at right now so you can pursue it when you get to college or what?

What's your interest? 2 3 MR. RICE: I would like to go into fish 4 biology and, if not, I would like to work somewhere in 5 wildlife management. 6 7 MS. LEAVITT: This summer in Barrow 8 I'll be working with Lesley Pierce at the Wildlife 9 Center as a college intern and then I'll be going into 10 college studying marine biology. 11 12 MS. ITTA-TOMAS: I'm partially 13 undecided, but I kind of want to go into biology. 14 done a lot of programs through ASRC going through Young 15 Scholars Programs in Maryland and through learning methods and molecular biology, doing bio-pharmaceutical 16 production and stuff like that. So I really like 17 medicine and working in the lab, but this is kind of 18 19 just like a pique for me, just trying to see if I can 20 pique my interests and learn more about what I actually want to do. I kind of like political science too. 21 22 23 MR. C. BROWER: Thank you. I think, 2.4 you know, there's always that need for local biologists 25 in each of our respected communities. Any student take 26 that interest and take the degree and go work in your hometown it can make a lot of difference. You have the 27 28 background where you grew. You'll get to know 29 everything. So hopefully -- I'm encouraging you guys 30 to continue if you're interested in wildlife 31 management, biology or whatever, to continue. 32 33 Don't let nothing stop you. 34 35 Thank you. 36 37 MR. RICE: So how involved are tribes 38 in the RAC? 39 40 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: That's a good 41 question. Part of our process here today has been a 42 lot of talk about tribal consultation. One of our 43 mandates here from Washington is to consult with 44 tribes. Federal agencies have a mandate to consult 45 with tribal governments on issues related or that affect them. So what you see up here is six agencies 46 that all have various levels of tribal consultation as 47

well as this Board itself.

48

This morning we held a three-hour tribal consultation session to front-end the meeting so we can hear concerns or issues that might arise that either pertain to the agenda or not. But tribes are pretty involved in the process from the top down and it's one of the communities that we're mandated to consult with on a government-to-government basis as tribes are recognized as sovereign entities by the Federal government. So tribes are pretty involved.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

I know in Southeast a lot of the times the proposals that get drafted are coming from tribal governments themselves and their natural resource programs. So tribes are very involved in it. We even have here on our agenda today Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission who is applying to co-manage the resources and has been authorized through an agreement to do so and we have proposals in the book that we're looking at to help support that effort.

19 20 21

22

23 2.4

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

So tribes are very involved and now there's a new -- I call it a new era coming where comanagement in rural Alaska is becoming a reality and a lot of that is happening with tribal governments becoming more engaged in subsistence resources in their area, building their natural resource programs up, staffing them with people and starting to address their local concerns and elevating them to this Board and other agencies that can help manage those resources and tribes are at the forefront of that whether they're regional or a cooperative or they're a collective group They've become very active in managing the of them. resources in the state.

33 34

So good question.

35 36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

MR. RICE: So I have a question. mechanisms are in place and what challenges do you face in adapting the regulations to a dynamic environment? Somebody in the other room raised a great point that the moose will kind of move where they will. don't care that moose season begins on September 1st and end on September 20th or whenever. Those are just arbitrary dates. The populations themselves don't really do what we want them to.

45 46 47

The onset of things like changing weather patterns, warming oceans, all the problems that are being caused by global climate change, these things

Phone: 907-243-0668

will have a real impact on animal movement and stuff like that. So how will this Board adjust to that sort of issue?

 MR. SIEKANIEC: Another good question. So the program does have flexibility when issues arise. We have a process called a special action process. A special action process basically is a way of making a fast decision outside of the cycle that you're seeing here. It involves the submission of a proposal, but it's a proposal for a special action request, which basically is, hey, we need to do something quick here. Either we weren't able to hunt because a storm came through and the season closed or the population dropped suddenly that nobody was expecting.

 Something happened that we have to take action to either allow subsistence uses to continue or to preserve a healthy population or some reason like that. So there is that process in place and there's actually, I think, a form online for you to submit a special action request and it's something we do quickly on an expedited basis or as fast as we can anyway.

MR. RICE: Thank you. That's really interesting. I wasn't sure how something like this -- generally the stereotype of Boards is that they're sort of slow-moving and inflexible. So I guess it's very good that....

(Laughter)

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SIEKANIEC: I think he meant Board members, Mr. Chair.

(Laughter)

MR. RICE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You were talking to somebody out there.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Well, like he said, there's special actions and then there's the proposal process. Then there's another process we do here so that we're more reactive to what happens in the field and we delegate authority to regional managers.

So if there's Refuges or District Ranger Offices that have an office or a field staff out there in Alaska and there's issues or resource concerns that we delegate authority to the local managers so there's a more reactive process in place.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

3

4

When it comes to harvesting resources, we understand the window is tight, especially in fisheries. They come in for a week, two weeks, three weeks and we have to have mechanisms in place to help communities meet their needs for subsistence. So that's another one of the tools in the box is to really localize that authority through this Board and get boots on the ground, eyes and ears out there in the environment and listening to the people and trying to react accordingly because ultimately our goal here is to provide opportunity to harvest subsistence resources in Alaska in a timely and effective manner without jeopardizing the stocks.

19 20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

Also, again, that's another tool we have here besides special action is delegating that out. There's a lot of workgroups out there when there's priority resource concerns or areas that are continually coming up as resource concerns are just either politically or demographically charged. try to work on those ahead of the game and put in play -- to bring that out as close as we can to the resource and the people of concern.

29 30 31

32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39

MR. RICE: So if there's a same region -- let's say there's a region and there's a certain amount of game in that region and there's like two sets of populations that harvest from the game. There's a bigger population over here but there's less game and there's a smaller population of people harvesting from the game, but there's more game here. Who has more of a say when it comes to that region? Is it the one with the higher population of game or the higher population of people?

40 41 42

43

44

45 46

47

48

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Well, what we do here is they go through an analysis process. i.e., like the fish on say the Kuskokwim, right, there's a resource issue and the fish swim upstream. Obviously the people at the bottom of the stream have a greater access to the fish than the people at the top, so we have to try to figure out how to allocate that resource as a Board and that takes working with all the

Phone: 907-243-0668

agencies and the communities on the river.

2 3

> 4 5

6

7

8 9

The process requires what they call an 804 analysis of those communities, so they'll look at the historical use of who really utilized that resource and how much their dependency on it is as a community. So there's a lot of factors that weigh in. have a book that describes how that process unfolds when it comes to a conservation concern for resources and an abundance of resources.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

Sometimes we have to also make tough decisions and exclude certain user groups from accessing resource at some points when there's a concern, so there's multi levels to answer your question, but ultimately it comes down to analyzing that situation and then trying to first and foremost give a priority to the rural subsistence user.

18 19 20

21 22

23 2.4

25

26

27 28

29

That's what this Board's primary focus is, is to make sure that there's enough resource there for all users and then, when there isn't, conducting and analyzing the information to prioritize the communities and the people who have the greatest need for that resource and that falls down to the customary and traditional use of it. So there's a process that the Board uses or the OSM Staff uses to figure that out. When we get to that it's a tough place to be, but there is a process that we utilize to figure out who gets that access.

30 31 32

MR. RICE: Thank you.

33 34

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Jack.

35 36 37

38 39

40

41

MR. REAKOFF: I wanted the students to understand that each one of these Chairs here has 10 members or around that behind them. Regional Councils encompass a big area. We have meetings to listen to the public. A public platform to get information about uses that need to be addressed through a proposal process.

42 43 44

45

46 47

48

49

50

So the students should understand that interacting with your Regional Council that's local to your area is very important. You can listen in to our meetings, you can have input to the meetings and you can get the Councils to do things that you need to be done and that's the public process. The Councils then

either submit proposals or you submit proposals. We act on those proposals, make recommendations and then we advocate to this Board to try and get those regulatory changes made. That's the way this system works.

MR. WOODRUFF: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Donald.

MR. WOODRUFF: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. To answer your question on the end there about moose and stuff. The Eastern RAC has a Proposal 53B that kind of touches on that topic that you were speaking about. If you want to look at that, I've got a copy. You can have it.

 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Just so you know, you too as an individual can submit a proposal. So the process is open to everybody in Alaska. If they have a concern or an area, the proposal process is open to the general public. So if you want to hunt a deer in Southeast from a boat, you can draft that proposal and work with your area biologist.

Beth right over there, that's the one you would go see. Right here. She's a Board member. She could direct you to the staff and start that process. I know Sitka also has a very active local area advisory committee as well as a subsistence representative. So those options would be available to you.

 I definitely appreciate the engagement you students brought today in asking the questions and posing them to the Board in the manner you have and I look forward to seeing some proposals from you guys here in the future.

MS. LEAVITT: I have a question. What support exists for helping members of the public to participate in the writing of a proposal?

 MS. PITKA: Your Council Coordinator for your specific area could help you draft proposals. Your Regional Advisory Council members are also very knowledgeable about the proposal process. Reaching out to people in your community that know a lot is very helpful too, like Mr. Charlie Brower here.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: And you can get online and find a lot of examples. There's a nice website. Rhonda said it's kind of hard to get through. I've gone on there too just to look at my name.

4 5 6

1

2 3

(Laughter)

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I'm just kidding. But, yeah, there is definitely a lot of support in the subsistence arena if you want to draft those proposals. A lot of people would love to step up, especially if it's an area of concern or an issue that merits that attention. There is the help there necessary to draft a proposal.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

MR. DOOLITTLE: Yeah, it's great that you guys are here. My name is Tom Doolittle and I'm Deputy Assistant Director for OSM. One of the things about that is OSM does provide support to the Regional Advisory Councils and everybody that we can in development of proposals.

21 22 23

2.4

25

26 27

Also those from Mt. Edgecumbe you should probably interview your principal superintendent because she has a lot of experience in subsistence over the years and she's spent a lot of time when I was out in Bethel. She's a really good source for a lot of stuff.

28 29

> CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Ken.

30 31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41 42

MR. LORD: Don't let the proposal process intimidate you. A proposal doesn't have to be an in-depth analysis of all the biology and stuff. have biologists for that. We really just need to know what it is you're proposing. We need to be able to understand what it is you're proposing and if you want to add some explanation, great. If you have information that bears on that, even better. But, again, we're not expecting you to be experts or to write a master's thesis or anything like that. form is actually fairly short. So don't be intimidated.

43 44 45

MR. SIEKANIEC: And, by the way, it's on our seamless, easy-to-use website and you'll find it on there.

47 48

46

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Greg's in the 1

2 picture too. 3

4 5 6

7

8 9

10

11

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd also like to encourage you or people that you know to apply for a position on the Regional Advisory Councils and that would be a really good way to learn the system and we're always looking for new, young members and tribal members as well. So that's open every year.

12 13 14

Thank you.

Judy.

15 16

17 18

19

20

MS. LEAVITT: One of the questions was would you want to become a member of the Council and I guess it's coming off from what you were saying. How did one of you become like a chairman, for example? How did you get on the Board? How did you want to, like what made you?

21 22 23

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: They made me.

24

(Laughter)

25 26

MS. LEAVITT: I knew it.

27 28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Well, actually, I work for my tribal government in Hydaburg. I'm from Hydaburg, Alaska myself. My interest was piqued because they hosted a Regional Advisory Council meeting in Hydaburg in 2000, I believe it was. So they had actually went out to some rural communities and I had just got hired at the tribe as an EPA tech, so I was working in the environmental program for the tribe and I went to the subsistence meeting and they were talking about fishing and hunting, which obviously in Alaska is about all we do, right, next to TV.

39 40 41

42

43 44

45

46

47 48

49

50

So I'm there and I'm, like you, a young student basically. Fresh out of school, sitting there before a chairman like myself and we were talking about issues. We were as a community trying to get a community harvest program established for deer and one of the community members had proposed 500 deer. Regional Advisory Council at the time shot the proposal down for the community of Hydaburg with the meeting in Hydaburg because there wasn't substantial data to

substantiate our claim to 500 deer for a community harvest program.

2 3 4

5

6 7

8 9

1

So I had to ask questions, well, what is the data and they started to talk about populations and indexes and things that we go through here on a regular basis. Basically what we were missing was the information to validate the 500 deer we needed for our community harvest. So we started to educate ourselves, myself, on how to do that, like you guys are doing here.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

10

How does the process work? How do you get the proposal and what do you do? How do you get that information? And started to find out that we needed to do a lot of surveying and a lot of partnering and a lot of educating ourselves on this process and what information would help validate what needs we had for the community.

19 20 21

22

23

2.4

25

26

So our natural resource program, which I eventually am the director of now, started to do a lot of creel surveys and utilizing the State system is what we did. We partnered with the State of Alaska to gather household survey data, harvest data and all the information that we would need to substantiate our needs for the subsistence resources.

27 28 29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36 37

38 39

That just began, I guess, my trek towards being the Chairman of this Board. Then to fill those resource needs and information gaps is an FRMP process, which was the monitoring program that this office funds. So as a staff person at the tribe, I think I was 24 at the time, I submitted our first application to get funding to run a weir project for the FRMP process. We ended up getting funded, but there was somebody on the RAC at the time that didn't support the community proposal and they were from our community. So the first year our proposal got shot down.

40 41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

So I had to go door to door and get the entire community to sign on to allowing us to receive the FRMP project because the number one criteria to the project was to have the Fish and Game be our lead partner on it and that was a touch sell in our community, was to partner with the Fish and Game at that time. But we were successful in selling the concept to the community and ultimately got FRMP

funding to run Hetta Lake Project is what that was called and we ran a weir successfully now for 18 years.

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

2

Through that education I've learned along the way, I've showed up at enough meetings and voiced enough concern over resources and issues that somebody nominated me to be Chairman of this Board -well, not Chairman, a rural seat. There was a Washington review of the Subsistence Program in Alaska and one of their recommendations was to add two rural members to the seat to bring a voice from basically rural Alaska to this Board to help bring balance to some of the decision-making that was happening.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

Fortunately I got vetted through the process and was seated as a rural member where Rhonda is sitting now and I sat there for three years learning the process. And then Tim Towarak resigned as Chairman of the Board and I put my name in and ended up getting the nod to be Chairman.

20 21 22

23

2.4 25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

So it was really not something I woke up when I was in high school and said I'm going to be Chairman of the Subsistence Board. I thought I was going to be a seine boat captain. That was probably my dream at that time. But through time and experience and just the work that comes towards you when you have to engage at the local level and find a meaningful role in this process and then try to be cordial about it, it starts to bring you places when you build a network and educate yourself and connect the dots to the people who can help you elevate your concern in your community. Pretty soon you find yourself here, I guess. where I ended up.

35 36

37

38

39 40

So it's just about staying engaged and really educating yourself in your communities and elevating how important subsistence is to each and every one of our communities and the members that utilize the resource to fulfill a larger need in our lives.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

I don't think subsistence is just about nutrition. It's about a larger way of life for most Alaskans. So when we sit here, a lot of the time it can get emotional too because people are defending a lifestyle and a way of life and it's drastically changing in the landscape in Alaska. We have to help elevate and alleviate those concerns through this

Phone: 907-243-0668

1 process.

So that's the short story of how I got here and why it's important to me.

MS. PENDLETON: Through the Chair. I wanted to add just a couple things because there's several of us that are on the Board that represent Federal agency management. I'm one of those with the U.S. Forest Service for Southeast Alaska and Southcentral, the Tongass and the Chugach National Forest. Then my partners at the other side of the table work for the U.S. Department of Interior for various Federal land management agencies.

 Under statute and regulation we have a responsibility in Alaska to manage those Federal lands in part for a rural subsistence priority for the take of fish and wildlife and other cultural resources for subsistence uses. It's a real important part of our job. So as a part of my job I have that responsibility. Fortunately I have wonderful staff who also support that process.

So we have a subsistence coordinator, we have an individual, Deanna Perry, who serves to support the Regional Advisory Councils for those areas of the state and help with the proposal process. We have subsistence staff on both Forests who help with the analysis. We work closely with Fish and Game, who also sit at this big table here, who have a lot of the data and information and research and studies and we work together on providing the best science and information and bringing that forward in the proposal process.

So some of us sit on this Board as a part of our day to day jobs as Federal land managers in the state of Alaska.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Beth. Any other questions.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I'd like to thank you guys for your wonderful engagement today. I'm glad you guys took the time to ask the questions and push our buttons up here. Again, like I said, I

enjoyed this last half hour. A lot of times you grind through the day, but this was enjoyable and gives us a little insight that there's some hope for the future. I might shoot that deer from my boat yet.

4 5 6

1

(Laughter)

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

MS. BAUSCHER: I'd like to say thank you again for allowing this to happen. Thank you for support from the USDA and the Drumbeats Grant and UAS and support from Jan Straley in allowing me to help put this together, and Mt. Edgecumbe, Chohla Moll, the amazing science teacher that helped connect me to these wonderful students, George for willing to be a chaperone at the last minute, and all of these wonderful kids who got up and braved the 6:00 a.m. flight and made time to spend their week here.

17 18

Thank you.

19 20

(Applause)

21 22 23

2.4

27

28 29

30

31 32

33

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right. Next we're going to do Robert's Rules of Order.

25 26

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Members of the Board. My name is Carl Johnson. Council Coordination Division Chief for the Office of Subsistence Management. I'm here to talk to you about the exciting topic of the rules of parliamentary procedure. I joke a little bit because a lot of times when you bring up Robert's Rules you either get a combination of rolling eyes and sighs, like Rhonda just did.

34 35 36

(Laughter)

37 38

39

40

41 42

MR. JOHNSON: Robert's Rules is actually one of several different types of rules of parliamentary procedure. It's actually only used by only a minority of State legislative chambers in the Mason's Manual is the most popular type. But that's for another topic.

43 44 45

46

47

48

49

50

The reason why we do these is the one principal rule, the thread of these rules of parliamentary procedure is to ensure that there is adequate debate. That everybody has an opportunity to speak. One of the themes you'll see as I go through

some of these is that we don't want to allow one person or one faction to dominate the discussion and exclude others from participating. So that's one important reason why we do this.

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1

3

Another one of the parts of Robert's Rules that kind of hides in the background that's not part of these motions that we're going to discuss is that Robert's Rules also sets a code of conduct of how you're going to treat each other and how you're going to behave in a meeting and there are actually some rules related to that, but we're not going to cover those.

13 14 15

16

17 18

19

Most importantly for this body is that these rules will help you to establish a clear record of what was discussed and what decisions were made. So that's really the focus of my brief refresher on Robert's Rules today and that is to help you all set a clear record.

20 21 22

23

2.4

25

26

27 28

29

30

We've already seen the common thing you have to do if you ever want to talk is you've got to have the Chair recognize you. When the Chair recognizes you, then you speak. In the case of the proposals you're going to be discussing probably starting tomorrow, but the non-consensus agenda items, everything starts with a motion. I move to adopt Proposal WP18-01 as found on Page blah blah of your meeting book. What are you asking the body to do. That's what a motion is. I suggest we do this.

31 32 33

34

35 36

37

38

Now the way it works is, and a lot of people really get excited and they want to start talking about their motion, but Robert's Rules actually prohibits you from discussing the substance of your motion while you're giving the motion. That's for discussion. You don't get to have a discussion until there's a first and second.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

A lot of times folks are really eager to jump in and say second and we recognize that a second has been given, but sometimes there's crickets. The Chair may ask of the body is there a second on this motion. If there is not a second, then the motion fails. Maybe nobody really wanted to support even discussing what you had for your suggestion, so maybe you can think of an alternative. Now let's come up with another phrasing, another wording of how to

approach this issue, try it again and hopefully you'll get a second that time. The key thing is you've got to have that second before you can move on.

3 4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

1 2

> Now when stating that motion, again, it's really helpful for not only the record for this administrative record for your decisions, but for everybody here in the room and for folks listening on the phone, it's to refer to a particular page number of the meeting book that you are referring to. So if you were referring to the proposal, we encourage everybody to say the beginning page of where that proposal appears in the book.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

But if you're going to say I move to adopt WP18-99 as modified by the Southeast Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council, then you can say that modification is found on Page -- and now we're pointing people again who are listening who are here in the room and also for the record specifically the language that you are suggesting that this body adopt. That modification. That's kind of the bare bones of starting this process.

23 24 25

26

27 28

29

30 31

Now typically, you know, anything related to that subject should be handled within that motion. So after you get a second, if somebody else had a different idea on how to approach it, after the discussion has started, they can move to amend that motion. They can say, you know what, I move to amend to strike a season ending date of March 31st and insert instead a season ending date of April 30th.

32 33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41 42

43

44

Again you're starting from that main motion. You make that specific suggestion. Again, like with a motion, you have to have a second. Now you're going to discuss that issue, do we want to have a season ending date of April 30th instead of March If everybody agrees and you vote on it and 31st. there's a majority vote, you now have approved the amendment, but you still haven't passed that proposal. So now you have to go back again and you're going to now -- you're debating the original motion as amended. You still have another vote to do as long as all the discussion is done.

45 46 47

48

Now discussion is also a key part. Again, for you, there's some really specific things that are very encouraged to bring out in your

Phone: 907-243-0668

discussion. You're all going to have different rationale. The person who makes the motion gets the first crack at discussion. They're the first one to speak. Then after that everybody has a chance to speak.

Robert's Rules -- also to ensure that you don't have somebody dominating the debate, Robert's Rules also says that until -- if you've already spoken, you don't get to speak a second time until the Chair makes sure that anybody else who wants to speak who hasn't yet has a chance to speak. So you're all going to have different things you want to say, but in the end it's helpful -- when we're talking about particularly our program, it's helpful to discuss in the context of what the Regional Advisory Council recommendation was whether or not in the end you want to go with or go against what the Regional Advisory Council recommended.

2.4

In Section .805 it says the Secretary, as delegated to the Board, shall consider the recommendation of the Regional Advisory Councils and gives three specific instances where you cannot accept that recommendation. If it's not supported by substantial evidence, if it violates recognized principals of Fish and Wildlife conservation or it would be detrimental to the satisfaction of subsistence needs.

So as part of building your record it's helpful if in the discussion those things are pointed to because now if the Board adopts a position in the end that's contrary to the Regional Advisory Council's recommendations, we have a clear statement for the record because the regulations now require that you submit a written report back to the Regional Advisory Council. We call it the 805(c) report that explains to the Council why you disagreed with their recommendation and went with a different position. Again, it helps us, the Staff, and also the public understand your position.

 The other things that may come up is, you know, ending debate. Now one of the things that I've seen not only with the Board but also happens a lot with Regional Advisory Council questions is there's this kind of knee-jerk reaction when somebody says question. The Chair says the question has been called,

all those in favor say aye. Actually no.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

Under Robert's Rules calling the question is a motion to end debate, which requires a second and also requires a two-thirds majority vote of the body. So you can't just end debate like that. You actually have to have two-thirds of the body agree that we're going to end debate. Again, we don't -- Robert's Rules is designed to prohibit -- prevent one individual or one faction from controlling debate and keeping all the voices from being heard.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

10

Now the other option too is after you've been discussing for a while and nobody has actually made a motion but it's clear to the Chair perhaps that the Board as a body has had enough discussion. The Chair can suggest to the body are we ready to call the question or are we ready to end discussion and the Chair can ask that of the body. Mr. Christianson doesn't hear any objections, then he can call a vote.

21 22 23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

Still, again, it's requiring the Chair to confirm among everybody that they've had enough opportunity to discuss, they've had enough to debate and they're ready to vote. At that time take a vote and then declare for the record the vote has passed. What does that mean? Well, that means that we will now adopt Proposal 18-99 as modified by the Southeast RAC into regulations. Now everybody understands what we've all done. There was a motion, it was stated, it was seconded, it was voted on, we stated after the vote what that means and now we're ready to move on.

33 34 35

36

37

38 39

40

Except perhaps if somebody still felt like there was a key issue that was not addressed from that process and they want the body to go back to it and take a look at it again. That's where the motion for reconsideration comes in. But again, because we don't want that tool to be abused, there are some limitations on how that motion can be used.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

First of all, if you were the loser in the vote, you can't call the motion for reconsideration. It actually can only be done by one of the winners of the vote. Maybe they went along with the vote because they had some concerns or they supported it but there was something about it that wasn't quite right and after the voting was done is

when they go, oh, wait a second, yeah, no, I wanted to do this.

3 4 5

> 6 7

8

9

10

11

2

So it's appropriate for them to call a motion for reconsideration because they were part of the winning vote. Ideally it's best if you do that in the amendment process during the vote, but for some reason if it didn't turn out that you could do the amendment or you thought of it late or one of your staff members emailed you a great idea or texted you a great idea after the vote was done, you can bring it back in a motion for reconsideration.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Now that's just kind of the quick gist of what I have in this handout, which is in your supplement section 6. There's a table on the third page of that that covers a variety of different other procedures and motions that if they come up you can -there's kind of a quick handout on how to make that motion, what kind of votes are required, if it requires a second. There are some things that can be done without a second. You can do that.

22 23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31 32

But that's just kind of a quick, handy guide. One of the ones that might come up sometimes is to postpone. What we often call tabling an issue. can postpone it, but again you want to say specifically to a time certain. I want to postpone this until tomorrow. I need to hear from our staff a little bit more on this issue or we need to have a chat with our solicitor, so let's postpone this issue until tomorrow's item on the agenda. That's all kind of laid out in this table.

33 34 35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

The other thing I want to encourage everybody too is, you know, back to the principal of making a motion. Again, we want to try to make this a process that's clear for everybody in the public and clear for the record. So if you know, for example, that you want to adopt the OSM modification of a proposal, start by saying I move to adopt Proposal WP18-99 as modified by OSM. That modification can be found on Page 329 of your book. Start with that instead of saying I move to adopt Proposal 18-99, getting your second and then during discussion now I move to amend my proposal to adopt the OSM modification.

Phone: 907-243-0668

47 48

You can start with whatever motion you

want and you can craft it however you want. Easier to start with where you want to get to rather than going through this sometimes convoluted and can be confusing process if there's a lot of discussion. Just start with what you want and go from there.

5 6 7

8

3

4

So that's my quick overview of my Robert's Rule refresher. Mr. Chair, thank you, and members of the Board.

9 10 11

I'll be happy to answer any questions.

12 13

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Greq.

14 15

MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Carl, we're a Board of eight and we have a 4-4 vote.

16 17 18

19

20

21 22

23 2.4

27

28

29 30

31

MR. JOHNSON: On a 4-4 vote, that motion fails. Now the rules are kind of squishy -- a little unclear on this. Normally with a main motion if that subject has already been addressed by a previous main motion, it can't be brought up again with a separate main motion, which is just a standard motion, a motion to adopt the proposal.

25 26

But it doesn't really make a distinguishment between a substantive decision, which would be a 5-3 vote where that -- excuse me, let's go with the 3-5 vote where it fails out of the actual result of the vote as opposed to a procedural rejection of the motion, which is what you're talking about. tie vote ends in the motion failing.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38 39

But I would encourage then if it's an issue that should be addressed and better to deal with things on substance than on procedure, whoever the original movant was, I would suggest try a different motion. Try something again or somebody else might have an idea. But always better to deal with it on the merits rather than on procedure.

40 41 42

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Ken.

43 44 45

46

47

MR. LORD: You and I have talked about this a little bit, but that last point that you made in your presentation about making a motion and then embedding the amendment in the motion just because it's easier. There might be a strategy involved.

If you can live with the proposal but you really want the amendment, but you're just not sure if the amendment is going to pass, and you're afraid if you attach the amendment to your main motion the Board might vote it down, there might be a strategy in making the proposal and then if I get a second I'll make an amendment. So even if your amendment gets voted down you still have that original proposal.

8 9 10

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

Are you saying that it is a -- that the motion is out of order if you do it that way or are you just saying it's easier?

12 13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

11

MR. JOHNSON: No, it's not out of order. I know it's quite often a practice if you want a modification, just start with the proposal and then do an amendment. I think sometimes it's often done out of a belief that that's how you have to do it, that you have to start with the proposal as written and then amend it through the motions process, but I was just trying to say you don't have to do it that way.

21 22 23

24 25

26

27

But obviously there's a strategic reason to approach the issue. Go with whatever you think is going to work, but I was just pointing that out to say that you don't have to do it that way. can actually start with what you want to have as your motion.

28 29 30

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Don.

31 32

33

34

35

MR. HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Carl. On the request for reconsideration, does the person making the request -- does the vote always have to be in the affirmative or does it just have to be on the winning side of the vote, whether it's affirmative or negative?

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

MR. JOHNSON: Correct, the winning side of the vote. So if the nays prevailed and the motion failed, then the people who were in the nays can ask for a reconsideration. Also, again, that's a good reminder in a different, thank you, to always make your motions in a positive.

43 44 45

46 47

48

49

50

I know this is often sometimes confusing because if you know you want to oppose a proposal, why move to adopt it because it sends this idea that you support something. But the easy way I always explain it to the Regional Advisory Council is

that way when you're saying no to something, you're saying no to it. We move to adopt this proposal. I'm going to oppose it, so I want to say no to it. you're instead taking motions to oppose WP18-99, you would have to say yes to say no and say no to say yes. So it's always easier to just go the straight way even if you want to oppose it.

7 8 9

10

11

2 3

4

5

6

MS. PITKA: By easier you mean clearer for the administrative record. We don't mean like easier for us to say. We mean clearer for the administrative record.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

MR. JOHNSON: Oh, definitely clearer for the record but also clearer for the people voting. Quite often I've seen at meetings people are confused about what yes means and what no means when they're voting. So having that motion in the positive helps clarity in both ways.

20 21

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Tom.

22 23

MR. DOOLITTLE: Carl, the reconsideration is also within the confines of the meeting itself, correct?

25 26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

2.4

MR. JOHNSON: That is correct. You can only move to reconsider something during that meeting's agenda. So here you have a four-day meeting. Now the way the rules say is if you wanted -- something was voted on today, you should move to reconsider it tomorrow. Not on Friday if you end up going through Friday, but it should be done at the next available day of that meeting, but it has to be done during that meeting.

35 36 37

38 39

40

41

Much like the RFR process that we have. There's two steps to it. First you have to move to reconsider and you have to all vote in the affirmative that, yes, you will reconsider it and now you have to have a new motion that gets to whatever it is you want to reconsider. So it's a two-step process for that.

42 43 44

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any further questions of Carl.

Phone: 907-243-0668

45 46 47

(No comments)

48

Thank you, Mr. Chair. MR. JOHNSON:

Members of the Board. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Well, the next 4 thing on our agenda is to start all the proposals and 5 the consensus and non-consensus agenda items. What I'm going to do is say let's start that tomorrow. I'm 6 going to call for a recess to the meeting and thank 7 everybody today and remind the public that we will have 8 9 an opportunity in the morning for public testimony as 10 well as government-to-government consultation. 11 12 MS. PENDLETON: Mr. Chair. I'll second 13 that. 14 15 MR. DOOLITTLE: Before we second that just one clarification. In the morning we'll do tribal 16 government-to-government, ANCSA corporation 17 18 consultation summary, which Orville will summarize 19 first thing. So the homework, if you're really 20 interested, is on Page 1 and 2 to look at also about the -- excuse me. An announcement of the consensus 21 22 agenda also will happen tomorrow, which is on Page 1 23 and 2. Also as a reminder that the public comment 2.4 period on consensus agenda items will occur at the 25 beginning of each meeting. So there's that opportunity 26 to comment on the consensus agenda. 27 28 The very last act that we will do will 29 be the actual adoption of the consensus agenda. So 30 what will occur will be the main discussion and tomorrow will be the non-consensus agenda. 31 Please, if 32 you are looking at the consensus agenda and you want to comment at the beginning of each of our meetings, 33 34 that's the opportunity for public comment. 35 36 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: And then the 37 first thing in the morning as well as following public testimony we will take the Regional Chairs' testimony. 38 39 So first thing in the morning. 40 41 Carl came back up. 42 43 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. I'm going to guess 44 that the Regional Forester took a quick lick..... 45 46 (Laughter) 47 48 MR. JOHNSON:quick look at the 49 table of the special motions where she noticed that a 50

```
Page 57
     motion to recess actually has to be a motion that has
     to be seconded, which she did, which also then requires
 3
     a majority vote to pass.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                              Oh, hey, hey.
 6
 7
                     MR. DOOLITTLE: But I -- sorry, Beth.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We're going to
10
     take a 12-hour break.
11
12
                     (Laughter)
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We'll see you
15
     all in the morning. Thank you guys for a good day.
16
17
                      (Off record)
18
19
                  (PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED)
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

Computer Matrix, LLC Phone: 907-243-0668 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Fax: 907-243-1473

Page 58 CERTIFICATE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)ss. STATE OF ALASKA) I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the state of Alaska and reporter of Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify: THAT the foregoing, Pages 02 through contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE BOARD MEETING, VOLUME I taken electronically by our firm on the 10th day of April 2018 in Anchorage, Alaska; THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and ability; THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party interested in any way in this action. DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 20th day of April 2018. 2.8 Salena A. Hile Notary Public, State of Alaska My Commission Expires: 09/16/18