

From: Bowman, Randal
To: [Downey Magallanes](#)
Subject: public comment sorting criteria
Date: Thursday, May 25, 2017 8:48:05 AM
Attachments: [DRAFT CODES FOR SORTING PUBLIC COMMENTS.v1.docx](#)

Here is the more, through not fully, complete paper. I have not gotten to the actual codes, but that is the least important part of this; it is the word associations that will let us sort the comments.

DRAFT ACTUAL CODES:

(b) (5) DPP [Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

cultural, particularly Tribal, or historic sites not currently included in a monument or not adequately protected by Proclamation or regulations- .3

grazing – .4

energy or minerals - .5

whether monument exceeds "the smallest area compatible with the proper care and management of the objects to be protected" - .6

whether protected resources in monument are appropriately classified as "historic landmarks, historic and prehistoric structures, [or] other objects of historic or scientific interest - .7

Significant “other” comments with new information– reviewer to note comment number, subject(s) and report them at end of shift , code 6

DRAFT SORTING OF PUBLIC COMMENTS

FIRST, to the maximum extent possible within the capabilities of the system, sort out and count

(b) (5) DPP
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(b) (5) DPP

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]