

NORTH SLOPE Subsistence Regional Advisory Council



Carl Johnson

John River valley, south of Anaktuvuk Pass.

Meeting Materials

August 14, 2012

Barrow

What's Inside

Page

- 1 Agenda
- 4 Roster
- 5 Winter 2012 Meeting Minutes
- 11 Gates of the Arctic National Park Subsistence Resource Commission letter to Secretary Salazar
- 14 Summary of Winter 2011 Council Comments on the Memorandum of Understanding
- 17 Draft Memorandum of Understanding
- 25 Gates of the Arctic National Park SRC letter requesting reappointment of James Nageak
- 26 Annual Report (Reply to be handed out at the meeting)
- 28 Draft 2014 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program Priority Information Needs
- 35 Guidance on Annual Reports
- 37 Charter
- 41 OSM Briefings
- 63 Meeting Calendars

NORTH SLOPE SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL

North Slope Borough Assembly Room – Barrow, Alaska
 August 14, 2012; 8:30 a.m. – 5:00 p.m.

DRAFT AGENDA

PUBLIC COMMENTS: Public comments are welcome for each agenda item and for regional concerns not included on the agenda. The Council appreciates hearing your concerns and knowledge. Please fill out a comment form to be recognized by the Council chair. Time limits may be set to provide opportunity for all to testify and keep the meeting on schedule.

PLEASE NOTE: These are estimated times and the agenda is subject to change. Contact staff for the current schedule. Evening sessions are at the call of the chair.

*Asterisk identifies action item.

- 1. **Call to Order** (*Chair*)
- 2. **Invocation**
- 3. **Roll Call and Establish Quorum** (*Secretary*)..... 4
- 4. **Welcome and Introductions** (*Chair*)
- 5. **Review and Adopt Agenda*** (*Chair*) 1
- 6. **Review and Approve Previous Meeting Minutes*** (*Chair*)..... 5
- 7. **Reports**
 - A. Council member reports
 - 1. Gates of the Arctic — Subsistence Resource Commission
 (*update from James Nageak*) 11
 - B. Chair’s report
- 8. **Public and Tribal Comment on Non-Agenda Items**
- 9. **Regulatory Proposals**
 - A. Discuss extension of the moose season in Units 26B-remainder and 26C*
- 10. **Old Business** (*Chair*)
 - A. Review the draft Memorandum of Understanding between Federal Subsistence Board and State of Alaska and develop comments/recommendations (*Sandy Rabinowitch*)* 14
- 11. **New Business** (*Chair*)
 - A. Discussion of open Council Application/Nomination Period and outreach to increase the number of applications/nominations for Regional Advisory Council membership

B. Reappointment of Gates of the Arctic Subsistence Resource Commission member*	25
C. Review Board’s Annual Report Reply	
D. Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program Priority Information Needs (<i>Karen Hyer</i>)*	28
E. Issues the Council would like to discuss and seek information	
1. Changes to subsistence fisheries harvest — climate change/land/water change/parasites	
2. Landscape change/disturbance effects on caribou — changing subsistence harvest patterns	
3. Fragmentation of Federal management/Unification of information and Council involvement	
4. Overlapping concerns with other Councils or regions	
a. Customary trade (<i>David Jenkins</i>)	
b. Kuskokwim subsistence fishing closures (<i>OSM Don Rivard via teleconference</i>)	
F. Identify FY2012 Annual Report Topics*.....	35
G. Council Charter Review*	37
12. Agency Reports	
A. OSM	
1. Staffing Update	41
2. Budget Update	41
3. Council Membership Application/Nomination Update	42
4. Rural Determination Process and Method Review	42
5. Regulatory Cycle Review Briefing.....	43
6. Briefing on Consultation Policies.....	46
B. NPS	
C. DOT — “Foothills West Transportation Access” (road to Umiat) update	
D. NSSI — North Slope Science Initiative — info and update on multi-agency collaboration	
E. USFWS — Arctic National Wildlife Refuge and other updates	
F. BLM	
1. Subsistence Advisory Committee and other updates	
2. Draft NPRA EIS — Review and update on recent community meetings	
G. ADF&G — Caribou and muskox updates	
H. Native Organizations	
13. Future Meetings	63
A. Confirm date and location of winter 2013 meeting*	
B. Select date and location of fall 2013 meeting*	

14. Closing Comments

15. Adjourn (*Chair*)

To teleconference into the meeting, call the toll free number: 1-866-560-5984, then when prompted enter the passcode: 12960066

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife is committed to providing access to this meeting for those with a disability who wish to participate. Please direct all requests for accommodation for a disability to the Office of Subsistence Management at least five business days prior to the meeting.

If you have any questions regarding this agenda or need additional information, please contact North Slope Council Coordinator Eva Patton at 907-786-3358 or contact the Office of Subsistence Management at 1-800-478-1456 for general inquiries.

REGION 10 - NORTH SLOPE

Seat	Yr Apptd Term Expires	Member Name & Address
1	2011 2014	Gordon R. Brower , Barrow, Alaska
2	2011 2013	Robert V. Shears Wainwright, Alaska
3	2010 2013	Roy Maloney Nageak Sr. Barrow, Alaska
4	2010 2013	Lloyd K. Leavitt Barrow, Alaska
5	1993 2014	Harry K. Brower Jr. Barrow, Alaska Chair
6	2014	VACANT
7	2008 2014	James M. Nageak Anaktuvuk Pass, Alaska
8	2012	VACANT
9	2006 2012	Lee Kayotuk Kaktovik, Alaska Secretary
10	2009 2012	Rosemary Ahtuanguaruak Barrow, Alaska Vice-Chair

NORTH SLOPE SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL

Meeting Minutes

February 16, 2012

Inupiat Heritage Center

Barrow, Alaska

Call to Order

Meeting called to order by Secretary Lee Kayotuk via teleconference.

Roll Call and Establish Quorum

Council members present: Robert Shears, Roy Nageak, Lloyd Leavitt, Lee Kayotuk (via teleconference), Rosemary Ahtaungaruak. Quorum established.

Welcome and Introductions

Council Coordinator welcomed guests and facilitated introductions.

Government Agency Employees

Donald Mike	U.S. FWS OSM
Helen Armstrong	U.S. FWS OSM
Dave Yokel	BLM Fairbanks
Dan Sharp	BLM Anchorage
Ernest Nageak	U.S. FWS Barrow
Glenn Chen	BIA Anchorage
George Pappas	ADFG Anchorage

NGOs/Public

Moment of Silence/Prayer

Mr. Roy Nageak led the moment of silence and prayer.

Review and Adopt Meeting Agenda

Mr. Leavitt **moved** to adopt the meeting agenda; seconded by Mr. Shears.

Discussion: Agenda item number 7. Reports; remove committee reports and working group reports, these two items (7D & E) are not applicable to the meeting. Mr. Leavitt moved to remove agenda item 7D & E. Ms. Ahtaungaruak seconded the motion; motion carried.

Ms. Ahtaungaruak moved to add agenda item for the protection of the migratory route of caribou near Anaktuvuk Pass. Discuss with the village of Anaktuvuk Pass for a proposal to protect the migration route as new business, 11B. Work with staff to develop for the next call for proposals regulatory cycle. Seconded by Mr. Leavitt; motion carried.

Ms. Ahtaungaruak called for the question on the main motion. Motion carried, meeting agenda adopted as amended.

Election of Officers

The Council discussed the importance of having all members present to conduct election of officers. Important subsistence and resource management related issues are discussed at Council public meetings. It is important to have an elected chair to run these public meetings to adequately address the issues before the Council and public. The Council discussed moving forward with election for vice chair and secretary, with election for chair to be held at the Council's next meeting. Ms. Ahtaungaruak moved to go forward with the election for vice chair and secretary, and table the election of chair until the next scheduled meeting. Second called by Mr. Leavitt; motion carried.

Mr. Leavitt nominated Ms. Ahtaungaruak for vice chair and requested unanimous consent, second called by Mr. R. Nageak. Unanimous consent; Ms. Ahtaungaruak seated as vice chair.

Mr. R. Nageak nominated Mr. Kayotuk for the secretary seat; second called by Mr. Leavitt. Mr. R. Nageak requested unanimous consent on the nomination for secretary. Question called. Unanimous consent; Mr. Kayotuk seated as secretary.

Review and Adoption of minutes: October 18-19, 2011

Mr. R. Nageak **moved** to adopt the minutes; seconded by Mr. Leavitt. Discussion: No comments or questions on the minutes. The question was called and motion carried.

Chair's Report

The Council was briefed on the Federal Subsistence Board's 2010 annual report response.

Council Member reports:

Mr. R. Nageak reported his subsistence activities have been good. R. Nageak expressed his concern on the health of harbor seals in region afflicted with a disease or an environmental factor which causes the animals to lose their fur. Vice chair Ahtaungaruak responded by suggesting to have an agency representative report on any ongoing studies or investigations to the Council at its next meeting.

Mr. Shears brought forward some concerns from his community. The White House had proposed to move NOAA within the DOI. Community would like a status report on the proposed action and how it will affect the U.S. FWS in its role of protecting and monitoring marine mammals. The community also had inquired what authority the city of Wainwright has in establishing bounties on Arctic and red foxes within city limits. Finally, the opportunity to take moose and muskox within Unit 26A, elders, in the past, harvested these animals traditionally. Are they allowed for harvest under current regulations?

- On harvest of moose and muskox. OSM staff stated they can work with the community to put forth a proposal to allow opportunity to harvest. Current state and federal harvest regulations may allow for harvest.

- On the issue of bounty for red and Arctic foxes. The community of Wainwright is all held in private ownership or State of Alaska owned lands. State of Alaska hunting regulations apply. The community will need to consult with the Department of Fish and Game for any predator control programs on foxes.

Mr. Leavitt commented the North Slope region has been under Federal management regulations rather than State regulations. His region is surrounded by the National Petroleum Reserve-Alaska (BLM), private and State managed lands. Tribal governments should be consulted by the Federal land managing agencies on subsistence issues.

Successful subsistence harvest on mammals has been good except for sea mammals. Due to receding sea ice, walrus migrating are hauling out on beaches for lack of sea ice, and are protected when the walrus are resting on the beach. Provisions are needed to allow harvest by rural residents to take walrus for subsistence during its migration. Walrus is a traditional resource harvested annually.

Seal biology is of concern commenting on the recent Japan nuclear accident. Debris contaminates by radioactive fallout is reaching the coast of the Pacific North America. Sea mammals are affected by radioactivity and studies or investigations should be looked at affecting all sea mammals.

Mr. Kayotuk reported on subsistence and wildlife activities around Kaktovik.

Ms. Ahtaungaruak reported on activities participating in the Draft Federal Subsistence Board Tribal Consultation Policy with the working group.

The fall migratory route for the caribou is of concern between Nuiqsut and Anaktuvuk Pass with current development activities occurring in the region. North Slope communities expressed the need to protect the migratory route of the caribou herd.

Communities expressed concerns about not being able to harvest walrus; it is an important diet for the region – especially the elders – to harvest their traditional food. It is important to protect access to the traditional foods gathered by the North Slope communities.

Chair's Report

Ms. Helen Armstrong, Anthropology Division Chief with the Office of Subsistence Management, summarized the 805(c) report. The letter reports to the Councils of the action taken by the Federal Subsistence Board on wildlife proposals. For the North Slope, the Board acted on three statewide proposals. Two specific proposals for the region were adopted by the Board. The Board also supported a cross over proposal for sheep in Unit 25A affecting the residents of Kaktovik.

Tribal Consultation

Ms. Armstrong provided a briefing on the Federal Subsistence Board's Tribal consultation policy. The Board assigned a working group to develop a protocol. In June the group drafted an interim protocol and in July 2011 the Board adopted the interim protocol for input and comment from the Regional Advisory Council's at their fall meetings. The Board directed the workgroup to incorporate comments into the draft protocol and provide to the Councils for further review and comment at the Councils winter 2012 winter meeting cycle. The Board will finalize the protocol/guidelines at its May 2012 meeting.

The briefing requests further input from the Councils for the Board to consider:

- Is the policy going in the right direction, if not, why?
- Does the workgroup need to consider other concepts?
- Does the Council feel the tribes concerns from the consultation have been meaningful to the RACs consideration on each topic?

Mr. Leavitt commented that the Tribal governments notify the Board on issues that should be discussed and that the Tribes should initiate the consultation process, which could get Federal funding support for Tribal consultation. Working with Tribal governments would enable tribes a stronger voice on resource management.

The Council was notified the briefing is to gather more comments for the working group to consider prior to the Board adopting the consultation policy.

Call for Fishery Proposals

The Council was notified the Federal Subsistence Program is now accepting fishery proposal for Federally managed lands until March 30, 2012

2011 Draft Annual Report

The Council approved its topic for the 2011 Annual Report to the Federal Subsistence Board – North Slope brown bear. The current population of brown bear in the region has increased the incidents of human-bear conflicts and is also of a safety concern for the rural communities in the North Slope region. The Council requested the Board to develop a dialogue with the Alaska State Board of Game to develop new DLP regulations.

National Park Service: Environmental Assessment on Collection of Antlers

Ms. Marcie Okada, National Park Service (NPS), briefed the Council with the NPS subsistence collections of and uses of shed and discarded animal parts and plants to make handicrafts, horns and antlers Environmental Assessment (EA). The EA is currently on 60 day comment period, Feb 7 – April 7, 2012. The NPS is conducting an EA on shed antlers within NPS managed lands. Currently it is illegal to collect shed antlers from NPS managed lands due to nationwide NPS regulations; the EA is to consider options for allowing rural residents living in resident zone communities to collect shed antlers for handicrafts to proceed legally.

The Council was briefed on a list of alternatives for the collection of shed antlers. Four alternatives were presented for the Council to consider.

Mr. Shears moved to endorse Alternative D; seconded by Mr. Leavitt. Under Alternative D, resident zone communities are required to have a permit to collect shed antlers for handicrafts. This allows the agency to monitor what is being used. Discussion: After discussing the pros and cons, the Mr. Shears withdrew his motion with the concurrence of Mr. Leavitt, stating Alternative D is too restrictive. Motion to support Alternative C, seconded, question called. Alternative C has a little more restriction, limited by areas, and will require a discretionary permit from the superintendent. Motion carried.

Hunting Plan Recommendation 11-01

The Gates of the Arctic National Park Subsistence Resource Commission submitted Hunting Plan 11-01, requesting per diem increase for SRC and RAC members, acknowledging the cost of living in Alaska is higher than the rest of the nation, and is seeking comments from the Council. The Council supported the hunting plan's intent. Per diem rates are set by Washington DC for all Federal employees and all people who serve on FACA committees. Specific agencies do not have authority over per diem rates.

Other Business

Multiple land use issues and jurisdiction surrounding the community of Anaktuvuk Pass complicates the recommendations process, especially the need to protect the caribou herd migration route near the community. Along the Dalton Highway, there are multiple landowners, making it difficult to properly comment, or act, on management plan issues and other resource related activities affecting the community of Anaktuvuk Pass.

The Council recommended that staff contact Tribal and community leaders and consult with them to develop regulatory proposal, or management action plans, to protect the caribou herd's migration route near the community of Anaktuvuk Pass.

Agency Reports

Office of Subsistence Management

Ms. Armstrong informed the Council the Federal Subsistence Board has two new Board members appointed by the Secretary of Interior and Agriculture. The Board at its January 2012 meeting addressed the rural determination process and has initiated a new rural process review. OSM recently posted a job announcement to hire a Native Liaison and expects to fill that position soon.

Alaska Department of Fish & Game

Mr. Geoff Carroll provided a briefing to the Council on the status of the Central Arctic Caribou Herd biology and results of the hunter harvest reports along the Dalton Highway.

National Park Service

Ms. Marcie Okada provided a written summary for the Council. The summary included the next SRC meeting to be held in Anaktuvuk Pass. Biological information on the Western Arctic Caribou Herd and sheep in the Itkillik Preserve was provided to the Council. Outreach activities conducted by the NPS to the school in Anaktuvuk Pass and cultural resources information was also provided in the briefing document.

Bureau of Land Management

Mr. Dan Sharp provided informational material. BLM is starting the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) environmental review process to begin an EA to allow concessions, hunting guide areas, to operate on BLM managed lands. The Council will have the opportunity to provide their comments on the EA for the BLM to consider.

The Council would like to discuss the BLM initiative at its fall meeting. Additional concerns communities may have for the BLM to consider will be discussed at the fall 2012 meeting.

Mr. Dave Yokel briefed the Council on activities occurring within the National Petroleum Reserve.

Time and Location of Next meeting

The Council confirmed that the next meeting will be August 14, 2012 in Barrow. The Council also decided the dates for its winter 2013 meeting: February 26-27 in Barrow.

Meeting adjourned.

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the forgoing minutes are accurate and complete.

\s\ Donald Mike

Donald Mike, DFO
USFWS Office of Subsistence Management

Rosemary Ahtuanguak, Vice Chair
North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Council

These minutes will be formally considered by the North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Council at its next meeting, and any corrections or notations will be incorporated in the minutes of that meeting.

**GATES OF THE ARCTIC NATIONAL PARK
SUBSISTENCE RESOURCE COMMISSION
4175 Geist Road
Fairbanks, AK 99709
(907) 455-0639 Phone / (907) 455-0601 Fax**

June 19, 2012

Secretary Ken Salazar
Department of the Interior
1849 C Street North West
Washington, District of Columbia 20240

Dear Secretary Salazar,

This is intended to clarify certain points in the letter written by the Honorable Governor of Alaska Sean Parnell to you, dated February 3, 2012. We, the members of the Subsistence Resource Commission (SRC) of Gates of the Arctic National Park, ask that you consider these clarifications as you evaluate the Governor's request to reject HPR 10-01.

HPR 10-01 is not intended to violate Federal law or recognized principles of wildlife conservation, nor is it intended to circumvent the established process of the Federal subsistence management program. HPR 10-01 endeavors to reinforce the responsibility of National Park managers in Alaska to manage for "healthy population compositions" and minimum population threshold objectives for wildlife using sound, recognized scientific principles of wildlife conservation and more broadly, objective science.

HPR 10-01 does not indicate that the Gates of the Arctic National Park SRC has the desire to increase subsistence use and to restrict harvest opportunities for non-subsistence users based on fear of competition within the Preserve. Rather, HPR 10-01 aims to ensure healthy populations of fish and wildlife over time for all user groups. The co-use of resources by subsistence users and sport users can be most assured if fish and wildlife populations on National Park lands – including preserves - are healthy and resilient. If adopted, HPR 10-01 will go through a public Federal process of development, which includes the Federal Regional Advisory Councils and the Federal Subsistence Board, in which the State of Alaska will have ample opportunity for meaningful consultation and input.

The Gates of the Arctic National Park SRC welcomes the Governor's interest in HPR 10-01, and the chance to explain our Hunting Plan's purpose of maintaining healthy fish and wildlife populations in the Park and Preserves, and the SRC's authority to make such recommendations.

The Gates of the Arctic National Park SRC has the authority to devise Hunting Plan recommendations under ANILCA Title VIII, Sec. 808 (a):. *"... Such program shall be prepared using technical information and other pertinent data assembled or produced by necessary field studies or investigations conducted jointly or separately by the technical and administrative personnel of the State and the Department of Interior."*

ANILCA is clear, subsistence use is allowed within National Park units in Alaska including Preserve lands, as well as designated National Parks, of which Gates of the Arctic is one. ANILCA Title VIII, Sec. 808, enables the SRC's authority to develop Hunting Plan recommendations for National Parks and Monuments. The Gates of the Arctic National Park SRC therefore is required by ANILCA statute to recommend fish and wildlife management plans that accomplish Congress' intent in the Park, and management of wildlife on Preserve lands is indirectly influenced by our recommendations.

The Gates of the Arctic National Park SRC's HPR 10-01 is intended to develop management objectives based on recognized principles of wildlife conservation consistent with State and Federal sound scientific management practices. There will be ample opportunity for the State of Alaska to have direct input into the development of management objectives for population health during the implementation of HPR 10-01. The SRC has a transparent process, and encourages input by all adjacent or involved land managers, including the State of Alaska.

The ANILCA mandate to manage for and to maintain healthy populations of fish and wildlife is not optional. The Gates of the Arctic National Park SRC must work to devise recommendations to the Secretary of Interior and the National Park Service for Hunting Plans that maintain these resources.

HPR 10-01 does not violate ANILCA Title VIII Sec. 815 (3), which precludes elimination of non-subsistence users, unless necessary for conservation of healthy populations of fish and wildlife. In short, HPR 10-01 in no way violates any part of ANILCA and is ANILCA based.

As prescribed in ANILCA Title VIII, Sec. 808 (b), we ask that you fully consider these clarifications and promptly implement the Gates of the Arctic National Park SRC's HPR 10-01. One of the main purposes of ANILCA Title I Sec. 201 (4) (a) Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve is to protect habitat and the populations of fish and wildlife. This Plan protects and conserves the health of fish and wildlife resources by implementing recognized scientific management principles. The plan protects subsistence users, and assures their needs are met on an annual basis, by assuring healthy populations of subsistence resources.

HPR 10-01 continues to protect the interests of non-subsistence users because the resources used by subsistence users and sport hunters can be most assured if fish and wildlife populations are healthy and resilient in National Parks and Monuments, and by necessary connection through the system of National Parks, Preserve lands as well.

The Gates of the Arctic Subsistence Resource Commission deems this Hunting Plan action necessary under our ANILCA statutory authority, and the direction given in ANILCA. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

/S/ Pollock Simon, Sr.

Pollock Simon, Sr.

Chair - Gates of the Arctic Subsistence Resource Commission

/S/ Jack Reakoff

Jack Reakoff

Vice Chair - Gates of the Arctic Subsistence Resource Commission

Cc.

- Governor of Alaska
- Andrew Levi, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, ANILCA Program
- Cora Campbell, Commissioner Alaska Dept. of Fish and Game
- Pat Pourchot Special Assistant for Alaska Affairs
- Sue Masica, Regional Director, NPS Alaska
- Joel Hard, Deputy Regional Director, NPS Alaska
- Deb Cooper, Associate Regional Director, Resources and Subsistence
- Dave Mills, Subsistence Team Leader, NPS Alaska
- Chris Bockmon, SOL
- Greg Dudgeon, Gates of the Arctic NP & P Superintendent
- Frank Hayes, Kobuk Valley NP and Cape Krusenstern NM Superintendent
- NPS Alaska Region Subsistence Advisory Council
- Federal Regional Advisory Councils: Western Interior, North Slope, Northwest Arctic
- State of Alaska Fish/Game Advisory Committees – Upper Kobuk AC, Koyukuk River AC, and North Slope AC

Pollock Simon, Sr. (Chairperson), Jack Reakoff (Vice-Chairperson), Levi Cleveland, Taqulik Hepa, Tim Fickus, Rachel Riley, Louie Commack, James Nageak, and Chris Zwolinski

SUMMARY OF WINTER 2011 COUNCIL COMMENTS ON THE MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

The **Seward Peninsula Council** supported the current wording of the MOU. Consistent with the MOU, the Council voted to send a letter to ADF&G asking that a check-box be added on the State harvest tag/registration permit report forms for hunters to specify if they were hunting under Federal subsistence regulations.

The **Western Interior Council** supported the MOU in concept, and also recommended that the following language be incorporated into the preamble of an amended MOU:

ANILCA, Title VIII requires the Federal land managers to adhere fish and wildlife management consistent with sound management principles, and the conservation of healthy populations of fish and wildlife in accordance with recognized scientific principles and the purposes for each unit established. The Federal managers shall scientifically delineate and maintain healthy populations. If state management Boards actions jeopardize fish or wildlife population health, Federal managers shall preempt State regulations to assure population health in accordance with ANILCA to protect subsistence uses.

The **Eastern Interior Council** supported the MOU in concept. Several members expressed frustration regarding the lack of sharing of data between agencies. The Council asked that this concern be expressed to the Federal Board.

The **North Slope Council** was supportive of the MOU and felt that it is a valuable document. It also recommended the following changes:

Section I, paragraph 2: Change “such as” to “*especially.*”

Wording needs to be added throughout the MOU wherever it says who is involved in the MOU to include “*knowledgeable subsistence uses and/or tribal representatives.*” For example, the following edit should be made:

Section IV, number 9: To designate liaisons for policy communications and, as appropriate, to identify *tribal and/or* local agency representatives who are knowledgeable about subsistence uses....

The **Yukon Kuskokwim Delta Council** requested that the MOU be written in plain language so that people who speak English as a second language can understand it better. The specific guidance for edits was as follows:

Section III. Guiding principle, number 5: After the end of the principle, after “and,” add: “*through active management where conservation of the resource or continuation of subsistence uses is of immediate concern, reviews shall not delay timely management action.*”

Section IV, number 9, addition in italics: “To designate liaisons for policy communications and, as appropriate, to identify *tribal and/or* local agency representatives...”. The point the Council wanted to make was that tribes should be communicated with and not city offices. Several commenters said that tribal governments are more active in fish and wildlife management issues than the village corporations or city governments. Tribal governments have more influence on the Federal process than city governments. City governments know what the State wants them to do and are reluctant to be involved in Tribal affairs.

Section IV, number 10: The Council focused some discussion on this portion: “...provide advance notice to Council and/or State Advisory Committee representatives. . . before issuing special actions or emergency orders.” Council members noted that they do not hear about changes to regulations. They would like to make sure that Council members and State Advisory Committee members are told when there are special actions or emergency orders. No change in the MOU was suggested. This had to do with informing after special actions and emergency orders were implemented.

Section IV, number 12: “...reporting systems”. Council members noted there is a problem with relying on locals reporting harvests using the harvest ticket system. They always run out of harvest tickets and don’t receive enough. It was suggested that harvest tickets should be distributed through the Tribal council or city office and not the store. Chairman Lester Wilde reminded people that harvest tickets are good until June of the next year; harvest tickets are good all throughout the fall and winter seasons.

The **Bristol Bay Council** is pleased with the MOU and asked that the State and Federal governments work together whenever there are subsistence concerns. The Council supported the MOU with the following edits and additions:

III. Guiding Principles

- (1) ... other entities. *This includes keeping an open mind to the possibility of and implementation of predator control when the conservation of a particular species is in peril;*
- (2) Use best available ...and local traditional *and ecological* knowledge (*TEK*) for decisions...for subsistence use on *harvests* on Federal Public Lands.

IV. The FSB and State of Alaska Mutually agree:

- (2) To recognize that State and Federal...data and information and cultural *TEK* information are important...
- (9) To designate.to identify *Tribal and/or* local agency...

The **Southcentral Council** supported the MOU in principle, but had a number of comments. The Council agreed that the two programs (ADF&G, and FSMP) need to coordinate because both have different mandates. Additional revisions recommended by the Council included strengthening the Tribal consultation component, ensuring that the third paragraph in Section IV is clear that it only references the State Program (and not that the Federal Program is agreeing to that mandate) and suggesting that *TEK* be added as an important source of information whenever biological information is mentioned. The Council also suggested that Federal terms AND State terms be included in the MOU (i.e., harvestable surplus is a State term). The Council is interested in getting feedback once the MOU is revised.

The **Northwest Arctic Council** generally supported the concept of the MOU. Several members expressed concerns about what is actually stated in the MOU. The Council would like to see the MOU written in plain language so it can be easily understood. Some of the members expressed concerns that the MOU was not vetted through the Councils and there was no consultation with the affected users. There was only one specific comment on language found in the MOU. One member felt that the second paragraph in the Preamble was misleading:

WHEREAS, ...”subject to preferences among beneficial uses, such as providing a priority for subsistence harvest and use of fish and wildlife...”.

The Council member felt that the State manages resources providing for equal access to everyone, not any one group and especially not subsistence users.

Kodiak Aleutians Council supports the idea of the MOU, as it reduces redundancy and includes local input as possible. The MOU basically states that the State and Federal Programs will try and work things out and cause the least adverse impact possible to subsistence users, which the Council supports. One Council member stated that she wasn't sure how the MOU addresses the Unimak issue, but that overall it is a good idea to continue to work together.

The **Southeast Council** drafted a letter to the Board concerning this issue. The Council agrees that an agreement describing communication and coordination protocols between Federal and State governments and supporting agencies is required for effective management of fish and wildlife resources. The Council had the following general comments and concerns: that the MOU is unnecessarily difficult to understand and should be rewritten in plain language; that there has been testimony that the information sharing protocol has not been working as intended and that document should also be reviewed; that information vital for management of fish and wildlife is more than scientific data- the role of traditional ecological knowledge needs to be emphasized; that the wording and tone of the agreement appears to highlight the role of the State in how the Board manages subsistence and minimize the role of the Councils; that there needs to be a process to evaluate and monitor whether the "Purposes" and Guiding Principles" of cooperation are working to the advantage of subsistence users and that there needs to be a process to monitor and evaluate how the information sharing protocol is working.

The Council had the following specific recommendations:

Section IV, Paragraph 3: Delete the reference to Alaska Statute 16.05.258 in the last sentence. The Federal program is concerned with providing a priority for rural residents. That is the paramount distinction between the State and Federal management programs and should be made clear in this section. The Council rejects the reasonable opportunity standard specified in the State statute.

Section IV, Paragraph 11: delete the second sentence that begins "Consider State fish..." There is no need to incorporate State rules unnecessarily into the Federal program. If there is need to adopt a management plan or policy, it should be considered rulemaking and be subject to our regular public process. The standards for addressing subsistence needs and priority are different under State and Federal rules so it is impossible for the Board to commit to providing for subsistence priority under both Federal and State law.

MOU Draft FSB consideration 7.18.12 with rationale

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
For
Coordinated Interagency Fish and Wildlife Management for Subsistence Uses on Federal
Public Lands in Alaska

between the

Federal Subsistence Board
(U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Forest Service, National Park Service, Bureau of
Land Management, Bureau of Indian Affairs, and Secretarial Appointees)

and

State of Alaska
(Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF&G) and Alaska Board of Fisheries and
Alaska Board of Game (State Boards))

I. PREAMBLE

This Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the Federal Subsistence Board and the State of Alaska establishes guidelines to coordinate management of subsistence uses of fish and wildlife resources on Federal public lands in Alaska.

WHEREAS, the Secretaries of Agriculture and the Interior (Secretaries), by authority of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) and other laws of Congress, regulations, and policies, are responsible for ensuring that the taking on Federal public lands of fish and wildlife for nonwasteful subsistence uses, as defined in ANILCA §803, shall be accorded priority over the taking on such lands of fish and wildlife for other purposes as provided for in ANILCA §804; and that the Secretaries are responsible for protecting and providing the opportunity for rural residents of Alaska to engage in a subsistence way of life on Federal public lands in Alaska, consistent with the conservation of healthy populations of fish and wildlife and recognized scientific principles; and that these lands are defined in ANILCA §102 and Federal regulation (36 CFR Part 242 and 50 CFR Part 100); and that the Secretaries primarily implement this priority through the Federal Subsistence Board, providing for public participation through Regional Advisory Councils authorized by ANILCA §805 and Federal regulations (above); and,

WHEREAS, the State of Alaska, under its laws and regulations, is responsible for the management, protection, maintenance, enhancement, rehabilitation, and extension of the fish and wildlife resources of the State of Alaska on the sustained yield principle, subject to preferences among beneficial uses, such as providing a priority for subsistence harvest and use of fish and wildlife (where such uses are customary and traditional), and implements its program through the State Boards and the ADF&G, providing for public participation through Advisory Committees authorized in the State's laws and regulations (Alaska Statutes Title 16; Alaska Administrative Code Title 5) and through Alaska Administrative Procedure Act; and,

MOU Draft FSB consideration 7.18.12 with rationale

WHEREAS, ANILCA, Title VIII, authorizes the Secretaries to enter into cooperative agreements in order to accomplish the purposes and policies of Title VIII, and the Federal Subsistence Board and the State of Alaska believe it is in the best interests of the fish and wildlife resources and the public to enter into this Memorandum of Understanding;

THEREFORE, the signatories endorse coordination of Federal and State regulatory processes and the collection and exchange of data and information relative to fish and wildlife populations and their use necessary for subsistence management on Federal public lands. This MOU forms the basis for such cooperation and coordination among the parties with regard to subsistence management of fish and wildlife resources on Federal public lands.

II. PURPOSE

The purpose of this MOU is to provide a foundation and direction for coordinated interagency fish and wildlife management for subsistence uses on Federal public lands, consistent with specific Federal and State authorities as stated above, that will protect and promote the sustained health of fish and wildlife populations, ensure conservation of healthy populations and stability in fish and wildlife management, and include meaningful public involvement. The signatories hereby enter this MOU to accomplish this purpose and to establish guidelines for subsequent agreements and protocols to implement coordinated management of fish and wildlife resources used for subsistence purposes on Federal public lands in Alaska.

III. GUIDING PRINCIPLES

- 1) Ensure conservation of fish and wildlife resources while providing for continued uses of fish and wildlife, including a priority for subsistence uses, through interagency subsistence management and regulatory programs that promote coordination, cooperation, and exchange of information between Federal and State agencies, regulatory bodies, Regional Advisory Councils and/or State Advisory Committees, state and local organizations, tribes and/or other Alaska Native organizations, and other entities;
- 2) Recognize that “wildlife management activities on Federal public lands, other than the subsistence take and use of fish and wildlife, such as predator control and habitat management, are the responsibility of and remain within the authority of the individual land management agencies.” (See *Predator Management Policy* Federal Subsistence Board. May 20, 2004.)
- 3) Use the best available scientific and cultural information and knowledge of customary and traditional uses for decisions regarding fish and wildlife management for subsistence uses on Federal public lands;
- 4) Avoid duplication in research, monitoring, and management;

MOU Draft FSB consideration 7.18.12 with rationale

- 5) Involve subsistence and other users in the fisheries and wildlife management planning processes;
- 6) Promote stability in fish and wildlife management and minimize unnecessary disruption to subsistence and other uses of fish and wildlife resources; and
- 7) Promote clear and enforceable hunting, fishing, and trapping regulations.

IV. THE FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE BOARD AND STATE OF ALASKA MUTUALLY AGREE:

- 1) To cooperate and coordinate their respective research, monitoring, regulatory, and management actions to help ensure the conservation of fish and wildlife populations for subsistence use on Federal public lands.
- 2) To recognize that Federal and State historical and current harvest and population data and local knowledge of customary and traditional uses are important components of successful implementation of Federal responsibilities under ANILCA Title VIII.
- 3) To recognize a Federal priority for rural residents on Federal public lands for subsistence uses of fish and wildlife resources. Additionally, to allow for other uses of fish and wildlife resources when harvestable surpluses are sufficient, consistent with ANILCA and Alaska Statute 16.05.
- 4) To recognize that cooperative funding agreements implementing the provisions of this MOU may be negotiated when necessary and as authorized by ANILCA §809 and other appropriate statutory authorities. Federal funding agreements for cooperative research and monitoring studies of subsistence resources with organizations representing local subsistence users and others will be an important component of information gathering and management programs.
- 5) To recognize that Federal and State scientific standards for conservation of fish and wildlife populations are generally compatible. When differences interpreting data are identified, the involved agencies should appoint representatives to seek resolution of the differences.
- 6) To cooperatively pursue the development of information to clarify Federal and State regulations for the public.
- 7) To recognize that the signatories may establish protocols or other procedures that address data collection and information management, data analysis and review, in-season fisheries and wildlife management, and other key activities and issues jointly agreed upon that affect subsistence uses on Federal public lands. (See Appendix)
- 8) To work cooperatively between Federal and State staff and other groups, such as Regional Advisory Councils, State Advisory Committees, and tribes, as appropriate to review data analyses associated with proposal analyses and resource and harvest assessment and monitoring.

MOU Draft FSB consideration 7.18.12 with rationale

- 9) To designate liaisons for policy and program communications and coordination between the Federal and State programs.
- 10) To provide adequate opportunity for the appropriate Federal and State agencies to review analyses and justifications associated with special actions and emergency orders affecting subsistence uses on Federal public lands, prior to implementing such actions. Where possible and as required, Federal and State agencies will provide advance notice to Regional Advisory Council and/or State Advisory Committee representatives, tribes and other interested members of the public before issuing special actions or emergency orders. Where conservation of the resource or continuation of subsistence uses is of immediate concern, the review shall not delay timely management action.
- 11) To cooperatively review and endorse existing, and develop as needed, Federal subsistence management plans and State fish and wildlife management plans that affect subsistence uses on Federal public lands, providing an opportunity for Regional Advisory Council and/or State Advisory Committee representatives, tribes and other public to participate in the review. Consider Federal, State and cooperative fish and wildlife management plans as the initial basis for any management actions so long as they provide for subsistence priorities. Procedures for management plan reviews and revisions will be developed by the respective Federal and State Boards in a protocol.
- 12) To use the State's harvest reporting and assessment systems supplemented by information from other sources to monitor subsistence uses of fish and wildlife resources on Federal public lands. In some cases, Federal subsistence seasons, harvest limits, or data needs necessitate separate Federal subsistence permits and harvest reports.
- 13) To ensure that local residents, tribes and other users will have meaningful involvement in subsistence wildlife and fisheries regulatory processes that affect subsistence uses on Federal public lands.

V. GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 1) No member of, or Delegate to, Congress shall be admitted to any share or part of this document, or to any benefit that may arise from it.
- 2) This MOU is complementary to and is not intended to replace, except as specifically regards Federal responsibility for subsistence uses of fish and wildlife on Federal public lands, the Master Memoranda of Understanding between the individual Federal agencies and ADF&G. Supplemental protocols to this document may be developed to promote further interaction and coordination among the parties.
- 3) Nothing herein is intended to conflict with Federal, State, or local laws or regulations.
- 4) Policy and position statements relating specifically to this MOU may be made only by mutual consent of the parties.

MOU Draft FSB consideration 7.18.12 with rationale

- 5) Nothing in this MOU enlarges or diminishes each party's existing responsibilities and authorities.
- 6) Upon signing, the parties shall each designate an individual and an alternate to serve as the principal contact or liaison for implementation of this MOU.
- 7) This MOU becomes effective upon signing by all signatories and will remain in force until such time as the Secretary of the Interior determines that the State of Alaska has implemented a subsistence management program in compliance with Title VIII of ANILCA, or, signatories terminate their participation in this MOU by providing 60 days written notice. Termination of participation by one signatory has no impact on this MOU's effectiveness between the remaining signatories.
- 8) Regional Advisory Councils and State Advisory Committees will be asked annually to provide comments to the signatories concerning Federal/State coordination of this MOU. The signatories will meet annually or more frequently if necessary, to review coordinated programs established under this MOU, to consider Regional Advisory Council and State Advisory Committee comments, and to consider modifications to this MOU that would further improve interagency working relationships. Documentation of the review and consideration of any modifications within the scope of this understanding shall be made by mutual consent of the signatories, in writing, signed and dated by all parties. If no review is conducted, this MOU will expire 5 years after the most recent review was conducted.
- 9) Nothing in this document shall be construed as obligating the signatories to expend funds or involving the United States or the State of Alaska in any contract or other obligations for the future payment of money, except as may be negotiated in future cooperative funding agreements.
- 10) This MOU establishes guidelines and mutual management goals by which the signatories shall coordinate, but does not create legally enforceable obligations or rights.
- 11) This MOU is neither a fiscal nor a funds obligation document. Any endeavor involving reimbursement, contribution of funds, or transfer of anything of value between the parties to this MOU will be handled in accordance with applicable laws, regulations, and procedures.
- 12) This MOU does not restrict the signatories from participating in similar agreements with other public or private agencies, tribes, organizations, and individuals.

MOU Draft FSB consideration 7.18.12 with rationale

SIGNATORIES

In WITNESS THEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this MOU as of the last date written bellow.

Commissioner
Alaska Department of Fish and Game
Date:

Chair of the Federal Subsistence Board
Date:

Chair
Alaska Board of Fisheries
Date:

Regional Director
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Date:

Chair
Alaska Board of Game
Date:

Regional Forester
U.S. Forest Service
Date:

Regional Director
National Park Service
Date:

State Director
Bureau of Land Management
Date:

Regional Director
Bureau of Indian Affairs
Date:

Member of the Federal Subsistence Board
Date:

Member of the Federal Subsistence Board
Date:

MOU Draft FSB consideration 7.18.12 with rationale

APPENDIX

SCOPE FOR PROTOCOLS AND/OR PROCEDURES

- 1) Joint technical committees or workgroups may be appointed to develop protocols and/or procedures.
- 2) Individual protocols and/or procedures should:
 - a. Be developed by an interagency committee. The committee shall involve, as appropriate, Regional Advisory Council and/or State Advisory Committee representatives and other Federal/State regional or technical experts.
 - b. Identify the subject or topic of the protocol and provide justification.
 - c. Identify the parties to the protocol.
 - d. Identify the process to be used for implementing the protocol.
 - e. Provide for appropriate involvement of Regional Advisory Council and/or State Advisory Committees, tribes and/or other Alaska Native organizations, governmental organizations, and other affected members of the public when implementing protocols.
 - f. Specify technical committee or workgroup memberships.
 - g. Develop a timeline to complete tasks.
 - h. Identify funding obligations of the parties.
 - i. Define the mechanism to be used for review and evaluation.
- 3) Protocols or procedures require concurrence by the signatories of this MOU prior to implementation.

MOU Draft FSB consideration 7.18.12 with rationale

Global Comments

1. Evaluate MOU: The Southeast RAC requested a way to evaluate whether the MOU is accomplishing its goals. Language has been added providing RAC and ACs an annual opportunity to comment on how the MOU is working and for those comments to be provided to and be considered by the signatories. (Note commitment for future action)
2. Plain language: Several Councils requested that plain language be used wherever possible. A few changes were made in response as indicated in the document. We would appreciate if Councils can suggest additional such changes.
3. Reordering: The MOU is reformatted to consistently place federal language before state language as this MOU focuses on the federal subsistence program. This partially addresses multiple Councils' concerns about the tone of the MOU.
4. Glossary and definition of terms: Rather than creating a glossary or defining terms we have spelled out text fully and tried to use plain language.
5. Traditional Ecological Knowledge (TEK): Multiple Councils wanted TEK added wherever "scientific information" was used. We have responded by adopting the ANILCA terminology knowledge of "customary and traditional uses" in a number of areas because it provides clarity and is consistent with ANILCA.
6. Protocol Review: Multiple Councils asked that existing protocols be reviewed and updated. The intent is to follow up with review of these protocols after adoption of this updated MOU. (Note commitment for future action)

**GATES OF THE ARCTIC NATIONAL PARK
SUBSISTENCE RESOURCE COMMISSION
4175 Geist Road
Fairbanks, AK 99709
(907) 455-0639 or FAX (907) 455-0601**

July 9, 2012

North Slope RAC
c/o Eva Patton
Office of Subsistence Management
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1011 E. Tudor Road, Mail Stop 121
Anchorage, Alaska 99503

Dear North Slope RAC members,

At this time, we are submitting a letter from the Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve Subsistence Resource Commission (SRC) asking for the North Slope Regional Advisory Council to reappoint one of our commission members, James Nageak. At our last SRC meeting held in Anaktuvuk Pass on April 18 and 19, 2012, it was noted that James Nageak's term has expired. His original appointment source to the SRC was the North Slope RAC and he would like to continue serving on the SRC.


Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

/S/ Pollock Simon, Sr.

Pollock Simon, Sr., Gates of the Arctic SRC Chair

/S/ Jack Reakoff



Jack Reakoff, Gates of the Arctic SRC Vice-Chair

Pollock Simon, Sr. (Chairperson), Jack Reakoff (Vice-Chairperson), Levi Cleveland, Taquilik Hepa, Tim Fickus, Rachel Riley, Louie Commack, James Nageak, and Chris Zwolinski

North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Council

c/o U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
1011 East Tudor Road, MS 121
Anchorage, Alaska 99503
Phone: 907-786-3888, Fax: 907-786-3898
Toll Free: 800-478-1456

RAC NS12013.CJ

JUL 18 2012

Mr. Tim Towarak, Chair
Federal Subsistence Board
1011 East Tudor Road, MS 121
Anchorage, Alaska 99503

Dear Mr. Towarak:

The North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Council (Council) appreciates the opportunity to submit this annual report to the Federal Subsistence Board (Board) under the provisions of Section 805(a)(3)(D) and Section 805(c) of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA). At its public meeting held in Anchorage, Alaska on October 18-19, 2011, the Council brought forward the following concerns and recommendations for their 2011 report and approved the 2011 Annual Report at its February 16, 2012, meeting held in Barrow, AK.

North Slope Brown Bear

The current population of brown bear in the region has increased the incidents of human-bear conflicts, creating a safety concern for North Slope communities. The Council requests the Board to develop a dialogue with the Alaska Board of Game to develop new defense of life and property (DLP) regulations. Current laws criminalize conduct short of a defense of life and property (DLP) that would allow a rural resident to take more proactive measures to protect him or herself from an aggressive bear. The Council specifically suggests that the Board submit to the Alaska Board of Game regulatory language refining the definition of "harassment" so that individuals in rural communities are not penalized for taking necessary measures, short of DLP, to ensure their safety with regard to brown bear encounters. This new regulatory definition will enable individuals to better protect themselves from brown bears. The Council also requests that the Board work with the State to streamline reporting of taking of brown bear for DLP.

To further address this issue, the Council also requests the Board work with the State to incorporate alternatives into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), either in the current MOU regarding the Federal Subsistence Management Program or a new MOU. Either way, the MOU should specifically address the issue of enforcement of wildlife harassment laws against Federally qualified subsistence users who are protecting themselves and others from brown bears


Mr. Tim Towarak

2

while engaged in subsistence activities. The Federal and State agencies involved with subsistence and law enforcement could, in the absence of relaxed statewide regulations, agree to enforce “harassment” differently for Federally qualified subsistence users.

Thank you for the opportunity for this Council to assist the Federal Subsistence Program to meet its charge of protecting subsistence resources and uses of these resources on Federal Public lands and waters. We look forward for continuing discussions about the issues and concerns of subsistence users of the North Slope Region. If you have questions about this report, please contact me through Donald Mike, Regional Council Coordinator, with the Office of Subsistence Management at (800) 478-1456 or (907) 786-3629.

Sincerely,

 Harry Brower, Jr.


Harry K. Brower, Jr., Chair
North Slope Subsistence
Regional Advisory Council

cc: Federal Subsistence Board
North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Council

DRAFT

PRIORITY INFORMATION NEEDS

FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE FISHERIES



2014 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program

Office of Subsistence Management
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
1011 E. Tudor Road
Anchorage, Alaska 99503-6199

1-800-478-1456 or 907-786-3888 Voice
907-786-3612 Fax

The Office of Subsistence Management (OSM) invites the submission of proposals for fisheries investigation studies to be initiated under the 2014 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program (Monitoring Program). Taking into account funding commitments for ongoing projects, and contingent upon Congressional funding, we anticipate approximately \$4.8 million available in 2014 to fund new monitoring and research projects that provide information needed to manage subsistence fisheries for rural Alaskans on Federal public lands. Funding may be requested for up to four years duration.

Although all proposals addressing subsistence fisheries on Federal public lands will be considered, the 2014 Request for Proposals is focused on priority information needs. The Monitoring Program is administered by region, those being the Northern, Yukon, Kuskokwim, Southwest, Southcentral, and Southeast regions. Strategic plans developed by workgroups of Federal and State fisheries managers, researchers, Regional Advisory Council members and other stakeholders, have been completed for three of the six regions: Southeast, Southcentral (excluding Cook Inlet Area), and Southwest Alaska. These plans identify prioritized information needs for each major subsistence fishery and can be viewed on or downloaded from OSM's website: <http://alaska.fws.gov/asm/index.cfm>. Independent strategic plans were completed for the Yukon and Kuskokwim regions for salmon in 2005, and jointly for whitefish in 2012. For the Northern Region and the Cook Inlet Area, priority information needs were developed with input from Regional Advisory Councils, the Technical Review Committee, Federal and State managers and staff from OSM.

This document summarizes priority information needs for 2014 for all six regions and a multi-regional category that addresses priorities that extend over two or more regions. Investigators preparing proposals for the 2014 Monitoring Program should use this document and relevant strategic plans, and the Request for Proposals, which provides foundational information about the Monitoring Program, to guide proposal development. While Monitoring Program project selections may not be limited to priority information needs identified in this document, proposals addressing other information needs must include compelling justification with respect to strategic importance.

Monitoring Program funding is not intended to duplicate existing programs. Agencies are discouraged from shifting existing projects to the Monitoring Program. Where long-term projects can no longer be funded by agencies, and the project provides direct information for Federal subsistence fisheries management, a request to the Monitoring Program of up to 50% of the project cost may be submitted for consideration. For Monitoring Program projects for which additional years of funding is being requested, investigators should justify continuation by placing the proposed work in context with the ongoing work being accomplished.

Because cumulative effects of climate change are likely to fundamentally affect the availability of subsistence fishery resources, as well as their uses, and how they are managed, investigators are requested to consider examining or discussing climate change effects as a component of their project. Investigators conducting long-term stock status projects will be required to participate in a standardized air and water temperature monitoring program. Calibrated temperature loggers and associated equipment, analysis and reporting services, and access to a temperature database will be provided. Finally, proposals that focus on the effects of climate change on subsistence fishery resources and uses, and that describe implications for subsistence management, are specifically requested. Such proposals must include a clear description of how the project would measure or assess climate change impacts on subsistence fishery resources, uses, and management.

Projects with an interdisciplinary emphasis are encouraged. The Monitoring Program seeks to combine ethnographic, harvest monitoring, traditional ecological knowledge, and biological data to aid in

management. Investigators are encouraged to combine interdisciplinary methods to address information needs, and to consider the cultural context of these information needs.

Collaboration and cooperation with rural communities is encouraged at all stages of research planning and implementation of projects that directly affect those communities. The Request for Proposals describes the collaborative process in community-based research and in building partnerships with rural communities.

The following sections provide specific regional and multi-regional priority information needs for the 2014 Monitoring Program. They are not listed in priority order.

Northern Region Priority Information Needs

The Northern Region is divided into three areas which reflect the geographic areas of the three northern Regional Advisory Councils (Seward Peninsula, Northwest Arctic, and North Slope). Together, the three areas comprise most of northern Alaska, and contain substantial Federal public lands. Since 2001, the three northern Regional Advisory Councils have identified important fisheries issues and information needs for their respective areas. The Seward Peninsula and Northwest Arctic Councils have identified salmon and char fisheries as being the most important fisheries for their areas. The North Slope Council identified Arctic char, Dolly Varden, whitefish, lake trout, and Arctic grayling fisheries as most important for its area. In addition, these Councils have expressed concern about the effects of climate change on subsistence fishery resources. The Multi-regional priority information needs section at the end of this document includes climate change research needs.

For the Northern Region, the 2014 Request for Proposals is focused on the following priority information needs:

- Baseline harvest assessment and monitoring of subsistence fisheries in the Northwest Arctic and North Slope regions.
- Historic trends and variability in harvest locations, harvests and uses of non-salmon fish.
- Iñupiaq taxonomy of fish species, Iñupiaq natural history of fish, land use, place name mapping, species distribution, and methods for and timing of harvests. Species of interest include sheefish, northern pike, or other subsistence non-salmon fish in the Northwest Arctic region.
- Harvest and use of fish species by residents of Shishmaref.

Yukon Region Priority Information Needs

Since its inception, the Monitoring Plan for the Yukon Region has been directed at information needs identified by the three Yukon River Regional Advisory Councils (Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Western Interior, and Eastern Interior) with input from subsistence users, the public, Alaska Native organizations, Federal and State agencies, and partner agencies and organizations. The U.S./Canada Yukon River Salmon Joint Technical Committee Plan has been used to prioritize salmon monitoring projects in the Alaskan portion of the Yukon River drainage. Additionally, a research plan for whitefish has identified priority information needs for whitefish species in the Yukon and Kuskokwim river drainages.

For the Yukon Region, the 2014 Request for Proposals is focused on the following priority information needs:

- Reliable estimates of Chinook and chum salmon escapements (e.g., weir and sonar projects).
- Effects on salmon stocks (e.g., gillnet dropout mortality) and subsistence users of fishery management practices implemented to conserve Chinook salmon (e.g., gillnet mesh size, gillnet depth, and windowed openings).
- Methods for including “quality of escapement” measures (e.g., egg deposition, size composition, habitat utilization) in establishing Chinook salmon spawning goals and determining the reproductive potential of spawning escapements.
- Contemporary economic strategies and practices in the context of diminished salmon runs. Topics may include an evaluation of barter, sharing, and exchange of salmon for cash, as well as other economic strategies and practices that augment and support subsistence activities. Of particular interest are distribution networks, decision making, and the social and cultural aspects of salmon harvest and use.
- Description of changes through time in gillnet use (set versus drift, and by mesh size) for Chinook salmon subsistence harvest in the mainstem Yukon River, in context with harvest and escapement levels.
- Complete genetic baseline sampling and population marker development for sheefish spawning populations in the Yukon River drainage.
- Harvest, use, and associated contextual information for whitefish by species in lower Yukon River drainage communities.
- Retrospective analyses concerning effects of natural disasters (e.g. floods, fires) on salmon rearing and spawning habitat and subsistence activities.
- Arctic lamprey population assessment, including abundance, migration patterns, and habitat needs.

Kuskokwim Region Priority Information Needs

Since 2001, the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta and Western Interior Regional Advisory Councils, with guidance provided by the Kuskokwim Fisheries Resource Coalition, have identified a broad category of issues and information needs in the Kuskokwim Region. These include collection and analysis of traditional ecological knowledge; harvest assessment and monitoring; salmon run and escapement monitoring; non-salmon fish population monitoring; and marine/coastal salmon ecology. Additionally, a research plan for salmon and a research plan for whitefish have been used to prioritize monitoring projects for salmon and whitefish. These were reviewed to ensure that remaining priority information needs were considered.

For the Kuskokwim Region, the 2014 Request for Proposals is focused on the following priority information needs:

- Reliable estimates of Chinook, chum, sockeye, and coho salmon escapement.

- Effects on salmon stocks and users of fishery management practices implemented to conserve Chinook salmon.
- Methods for including “quality of escapement” measures (e.g., egg deposition, size composition, habitat utilization) in establishing Chinook salmon spawning goals and determining the reproductive potential of spawning escapements.
- Harvest, use, and associated contextual information for whitefish by species in upper Kuskokwim River drainage communities. Communities of interest include McGrath, Telida, Nikolai, Takotna, and Lime Village.
- Contextual information associated with whitefish harvest by species in central Kuskokwim River drainage communities to supplement information from previous research. Communities of interest include Upper Kalskag, Lower Kalskag, Aniak, Chuathbaluk, Red Devil, Sleetmute, Stony River, and Crooked Creek.
- Harvest, use, and associated contextual information for whitefish by species in lower Kuskokwim River drainage communities. Specific groups of communities of interest are Kwethluk, Akiachak, Napaskiak, and Tuluksak, or Cheforak, Kipnuk, Kongiganak, and Kwigillingok.
- Broad whitefish population assessment, including distribution and age structure.
- Location and timing of Bering cisco spawning populations in the Kuskokwim River drainage.
- Complete genetic baseline sampling and population marker development for sheefish spawning populations in the Kuskokwim River drainage.
- Estimate the number of salmon, by species, transported from the Kuskokwim River drainage each year by Federal and State subsistence users.

Southwest Region Priority Information Needs

Separate strategic plans were developed for the Bristol Bay-Chignik and Kodiak-Aleutians areas, corresponding to the geographic areas covered by the Bristol Bay and Kodiak/Aleutians Regional Advisory Councils. These strategic plans were reviewed to ensure that remaining priority information needs were considered.

For the Southwest Region, the 2014 Request for Proposals is focused on the following priority information needs:

- Obtain reliable estimates of Chinook salmon escapements.
- Environmental, demographic, regulatory, cultural, and socioeconomic factors affecting harvest levels of salmon for subsistence use in the Kodiak Area. Researchers should consider evaluating factors influencing use patterns and describing the socioeconomic impacts of other fisheries.

Southcentral Region Priority Information Needs

A strategic plan was developed for Prince William Sound-Copper River and an abbreviated strategic planning process was employed for Cook Inlet. These sources were reviewed to ensure that remaining priority information needs were considered.

For the Southcentral Region, the 2014 Request for Proposals is focused on the following priority information needs:

- Obtain reliable estimates of Chinook salmon escapement into Copper River.
- Mapping of lifetime and current subsistence use areas for harvest of salmon and non-salmon fish species by residents of Ninilchik, Hope, and Cooper Landing. Research should include intensity of use and use on Federal public lands and waters.
- Harvest, use, and associated contextual information for salmon and nonsalmon by species in communities of the Copper River Basin, updating previous research supported by the Monitoring Program.

Southeast Region Priority Information Needs

A strategic plan was developed for Southeast Region in 2006 and was reviewed to ensure that priority information needs are identified. The 2014 Request for Proposals is focused on priority information needs for sockeye salmon.

For the Southeast Region, the 2014 Request for Proposals is focused on the following priority information needs:

- Reliable estimates of sockeye salmon escapement. Stocks of interest include: Gut Bay, Red, Kah Sheets, Salmon Bay, Sarkar, Lake Leo, and Hoktaheen.
- In-season subsistence harvest of sockeye salmon. Stocks of interest include: Hatchery Creek, Gut Bay, Red, Kah Sheets, Salmon Bay, Sarkar, Kanalku, and Hoktaheen.
- Contribute to the genetic stock identification baseline of Chatham Strait sockeye salmon.

Multi-Regional Priority Information Needs

The Multi-regional category is for projects that may be applicable in more than one region. For the Multi-Regional category, the 2014 Request for Proposals is focused on the following priority information needs:

- Changes in subsistence fishery resources and uses, in the context of climate change where relevant, including but not limited to fishing seasons, species targeted, fishing locations, fish quality, harvest methods and means, and methods of preservation. Include management implications.
- Develop models based on long-term relationships between ocean conditions and production for Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska Chinook salmon stocks to better understand and respond to changes in run abundance.

- An indexing method for estimating species-specific whitefish harvests on an annual basis for the Kuskokwim and Yukon drainages. Researchers should explore and evaluate an approach where sub-regional clusters of community harvests can be evaluated for regular surveying with results being extrapolated to the rest of the cluster, contributing to drainage-wide harvest estimates.
- Evaluation of conversion factors used to estimate edible pounds from individual fish, and from unorthodox units such as tubs, sacks, or buckets.

GUIDANCE ON ANNUAL REPORTS

Background

ANILCA established the Annual Reports as the way to bring regional subsistence uses and needs to the Secretaries' attention. The Secretaries delegated this responsibility to the Board. Section 805(c) deference includes matters brought forward in the Annual Report.

The Annual Report provides the Councils an opportunity to address the directors of each of the four Department of Interior agencies and the Department of Agriculture Forest Service in their capacity as members of the Federal Subsistence Board. The Board is required to discuss and reply to each issue in every Annual Report and to take action when within the Board's authority. In many cases, if the issue is outside of the Board's authority, the Board will provide information to the Council on how to contact personnel at the correct agency. As agency directors, the Board members have authority to implement most of the actions which would effect the changes recommended by the Councils, even those not covered in Section 805(c). The Councils are strongly encouraged to take advantage of this opportunity.

Report Content

Both Title VIII Section 805 and 50 C.F.R. 100.11 (Subpart B of the regulations) describe what may be contained in an Annual Report from the councils to the Board. This description includes issues that are not generally addressed by the normal regulatory process:

- an identification of current and anticipated subsistence uses of fish and wildlife populations within the region;
- an evaluation of current and anticipated subsistence needs for fish and wildlife populations from the public lands within the region;
- a recommended strategy for the management of fish and wildlife populations within the region to accommodate such subsistence uses and needs related to the public lands; and
- recommendations concerning policies, standards, guidelines, and regulations to implement the strategy.

Please avoid filler or fluff language that does not specifically raise an issue of concern or information to the Board.

Report Clarity

In order for the Board to adequately respond to each Council's annual report, it is important for the annual report itself to state issues clearly.

- If addressing an existing Board policy, Councils should please state whether there is something unclear about the policy, if there is uncertainty about the reason for the policy, or if the Council needs information on how the policy is applied.
- Council members should discuss in detail at Council meetings the issues for the annual report and assist the Council Coordinator in understanding and stating the issues clearly.
- Council Coordinators and OSM staff should assist the Council members during the meeting in ensuring that the issue is stated clearly.

Thus, if the Councils can be clear about their issues of concern and ensure that the Council Coordinator is relaying them sufficiently, then the Board and OSM staff will endeavor to provide as concise and responsive of a reply as is possible.

Report Format

While no particular format is necessary for the Annual Reports, the report must clearly state the following for each item the Council wants the Board to address:

1. Numbering of the issues,
2. A description of each issue,
3. Whether the council seeks Board action on the matter and, if so, what action the Council recommends, and
4. As much evidence or explanation as necessary to support the Council's request or statements relating to the item of interest.

**Department of the Interior
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service**

North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Council

Charter

- 1. Committee's Official Designation.** The Council's official designation is the North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory (Council).
- 2. Authority.** The Council is reestablished by virtue of the authority set out in the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 3115 (1988)) Title VIII, and under the authority of the Secretary of the Interior, in furtherance of 16 U.S.C. 410hh-2. The Council is established in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), as amended, 5 U.S.C., Appendix 2.
- 3. Objectives and Scope of Activities.** The objective of the Council is to provide a forum for the residents of the region with personal knowledge of local conditions and resource requirements to have a meaningful role in the subsistence management of fish and wildlife on Federal lands and waters in the region.
- 4. Description of Duties.** The Council possesses the authority to perform the following duties:
 - a. Recommend the initiation of, review, and evaluate proposals for regulations, policies, management plans, and other matters relating to subsistence uses of fish and wildlife on public lands within the region.
 - b. Provide a forum for the expression of opinions and recommendations by persons interested in any matter related to the subsistence uses of fish and wildlife on public lands within the region.
 - c. Encourage local and regional participation in the decision making process affecting the taking of fish and wildlife on the public lands within the region for subsistence uses.
 - d. Prepare an annual report to the Secretary containing the following:
 - (1) An identification of current and anticipated subsistence uses of fish and wildlife populations within the region.
 - (2) An evaluation of current and anticipated subsistence needs for fish and wildlife populations within the region.

- (3) A recommended strategy for the management of fish and wildlife populations within the region to accommodate such subsistence uses and needs.
 - (4) Recommendations concerning policies, standards, guidelines and regulations to implement the strategy.
 - e. Appoint one member to the Gates of the Arctic National Park Subsistence Resource Commission in accordance with Section 808 of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA).
 - f. Make recommendations on determinations of customary and traditional use of subsistence resources.
 - g. Make recommendations on determinations of rural status.
 - h. Provide recommendations on the establishment and membership of Federal local advisory committees.
5. **Agency or Official to Whom the Council Reports.** The Council reports to the Federal Subsistence Board Chair, who is appointed by the Secretary of the Interior with the concurrence of the Secretary of Agriculture.
 6. **Support.** The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will provide administrative support for the activities of the Council through the Office of Subsistence Management.
 7. **Estimated Annual Operating Costs and Staff Years.** The annual operating costs associated with supporting the Council's functions are estimated to be \$100,000, including all direct and indirect expenses and .75 staff years.
 8. **Designated Federal Officer.** The DFO is the Subsistence Council Coordinator for the region or such other Federal employee as may be designated by the Assistant Regional Director – Subsistence, Region 7, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The DFO is a full-time Federal employee appointed in accordance with Agency procedures. The DFO will:
 - Approve or call all of the advisory committee's and subcommittees' meetings,
 - Prepare and approve all meeting agendas,
 - Attend all committee and subcommittee meetings,
 - Adjourn any meeting when the DFO determines adjournment to be in the public interest, and
 - Chair meetings when directed to do so by the official to whom the advisory committee reports.

9. **Estimated Number and Frequency of Meetings.** The Council will meet 1-2 times per year, and at such times as designated by the Federal Subsistence Board Chair or the DFO.
10. **Duration.** Continuing.
11. **Termination.** The Council will terminate 2 years from the date the Charter is filed, unless, prior to that date, it is renewed in accordance with the provisions of Section 14 of the FACA. The Council will not meet or take any action without a valid current charter.
12. **Membership and Designation.** The Council's membership is composed of representative members as follows:

Ten members who are knowledgeable and experienced in matters relating to subsistence uses of fish and wildlife and who are residents of the region represented by the Council. To ensure that each Council represents a diversity of interests, the Federal Subsistence Board in their nomination recommendations to the Secretary will strive to ensure that seven of the members (70 percent) represent subsistence interests within the region and three of the members (30 percent) represent commercial and sport interests within the region. The portion of membership representing commercial and sport interests must include, where possible, at least one representative from the sport community and one representative from the commercial community.

The Secretary of the Interior will appoint members based on the recommendations from the Federal Subsistence Board and with the concurrence of the Secretary of Agriculture.

Members will be appointed for 3-year terms. A vacancy on the Council will be filled in the same manner in which the original appointment was made. Members serve at the discretion of the Secretary.

Council members will elect a Chair, a Vice-Chair, and a Secretary for a 1-year term.

Members of the Council will serve without compensation. However, while away from their homes or regular places of business, Council and subcommittee members engaged in Council, or subcommittee business, approved by the DFO, may be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, in the same manner as persons employed intermittently in Government service under Section 5703 of Title 5 of the United States Code.

13. **Ethics Responsibilities of Members.** No Council or subcommittee member may participate in any specific party matter in which the member has a direct financial interest in a lease, license, permit, contract, claim, agreement, or related litigation with the Department.

14. **Subcommittees.** Subject to the DFO's approval, subcommittees may be formed for the purposes of compiling information or conducting research. However, such subcommittees must act only under the direction of the DFO and must report their recommendations to the full Council for consideration. Subcommittees must not provide advice or work products directly to the Agency. The Council Chair, with the approval of the DFO, will appoint subcommittee members. Subcommittees will meet as necessary to accomplish their assignments, subject to the approval of the DFO and the availability of resources.
15. **Recordkeeping.** Records of the Council, and formally and informally established subcommittees or other subgroups of the Council, must be handled in accordance with General Records Schedule 26, Item 2, or other approved Agency records disposition schedule. These records shall be available for public inspection and copying, subject to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

//Signed//

Secretary of the Interior

DEC - 2 2011

Date Signed

DEC 03 2011

Date Filed

STAFFING UPDATE

Kathy O'Reilly-Doyle was hired as the new Deputy Assistant Regional Director for the Office of Subsistence Management. Kathy previously worked for the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Branch of Habitat Restoration in Arlington Virginia, providing national oversight and implementation of the Partners for Fish and Wildlife Act.

Jack Lorrigan was hired as the new Native Liaison for the Office of Subsistence Management. Jack comes to OSM from the U.S. Forest Service where he worked in Sitka as a Subsistence Biologist. Prior to that, he was the Natural Resources Director for the Sitka Tribe.

Dr. David Jenkins was hired as the new Policy Coordinator for the Office of Subsistence Management. Dr. Jenkins was previously a staff anthropologist with OSM and had been the acting Policy Coordinator for several months. He has over a decade of teaching experience in anthropology, history, and environmental studies at MIT, Bates College in Maine, and the University of Arizona.

George Pappas was hired as the new State Subsistence Liaison for the Office of Subsistence Management. George has extensive experience working with State-Federal subsistence issues, and has worked with many of us since 2007 in his role as the Program Coordinator for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game's Federal Subsistence Liaison Team.

Melinda Hernandez was hired as one of the new Council Coordinators. Melinda comes to OSM from the U.S. Forest Service, where she has been working in the southeast on subsistence issues for the past eight years.

Eva Patton was hired as one of the new Council Coordinators. Eva has a background as a fisheries biologist and has been working in Bethel for the last seven years through the Partners for Fisheries Monitoring Program.

Trent Liebiech was hired as a fisheries biologist for the Office of Subsistence Management. Trent previously worked at the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge as an aquatic ecologist for two years. Prior to that, he was with the National Marine Fisheries Service for 6 years in the Atlantic salmon program through the Protected Resources Division.

Tom Evans has hired as a wildlife biologist for the Office of Subsistence Management. Tom previously worked for 20 years in the Marine Mammals Management office for Region 7 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, working primarily as a polar bear biologist.

Pam Raygor has hired as an Administrative Support Assistant for the Office of Subsistence Management. Pam previously worked as the Parish Administrator for the Holy Family Cathedral in Anchorage.

BUDGET UPDATE

The Office of Subsistence Management has experienced a declining budget since 2001 due to the economy and other factors beyond its control. FY2013 travel budgets may possibly be further reduced by 30% of FY2010 funding levels. These types of reductions will make it necessary for Regional Advisory Councils to continue to meet in communities that provide the greatest cost efficiencies. We will

continue to provide the Regional Advisory Councils with budget briefings to help them develop a better understanding of what cuts are being proposed and how these cuts will affect the Federal Subsistence Management Program. As a result of these continued cuts, travel outside of normal Council meetings in the future will be very limited.

COUNCIL MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION/NOMINATION UPDATE

The Office of Subsistence Management sent out over 1,500 Regional Advisory Council applications in direct mailings to individuals, villages, municipalities, Tribal organizations, ANCSA corporations, and various non-profit organizations. The application period closed on February 18, 2012. In total, OSM received 67 applications and nominations. However, OSM received low numbers of applications for the northern regions: Seward Peninsula, Western Interior, Eastern Interior, Northwest Arctic and North Slope. In two instances, there were only enough applications to submit names to fill vacancies; in another instance, the Council will still have a vacant seat under the best case scenario.

The regional nominations panels met in April and May to evaluate and rank the applicants for each region. In June, the Interagency Staff Committee met to consider the panel reports and make recommendations to the Federal Subsistence Board for appointment.

The Federal Subsistence Board, in an executive session on July 18, 2012, voted on the applicants it will forward to the Secretaries of Interior and Agriculture for appointment. The next step will be to prepare a package to forward those names for vetting and consideration. The Secretary of Interior will issue appointment letters by early December 2012. The Office of Subsistence Management will not have notice of who the appointments are until those letters are issued.

RURAL DETERMINATION PROCESS AND METHOD REVIEW

At its January 2012 public meeting, the Federal Subsistence Board passed a motion to direct staff “to initiate a review of the rural determination process and the rural determination findings through publication of a proposed rule” (FSB January 20, 2012:560).

The intention of the Board is to conduct a global review of rural determination processes, analytical methods, and findings, beginning with public input. Board member Gene Virden referred to the review as a “bottom up process,” which would include public comment, tribal consultations, and Regional Advisory Council recommendations.

Office of Subsistence Management Staff, in conjunction with the Interagency Staff Committee, met to develop a tentative outline of a global review, and to project a timeline for the review.

Staff concluded that a Public Notice published in the Federal Register is the first step. It would ask for public input on rural processes, methods, criteria, and determinations. That Public Notice is being drafted and will be published in January 2013. The winter 2013 Subsistence Regional Advisory Council meeting will provide an initial public forum for comment on the rural determination process, analytical methods, and findings.

The global review, with public, tribal, and Council input, may include the following topics:

- Rural definitions
- Population thresholds
- Rural characteristics
- Aggregation of communities
- Information sources

Other topics of concern may arise through the review process.

The final goal is to develop a rural determination process and through that process to make final determinations on rural status.

REGULATORY CYCLE REVIEW BRIEFING

Issue

During this past regulatory cycle, several Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils (Councils) have requested that the fall meeting window be moved to later in the year so meetings could occur in November after fall subsistence activities are finished. Additionally these Councils would like to see the January Federal Subsistence Board (Board) meetings moved to later in the year, possibly April or May stating that the move would: avoid overlap with other meetings such as the Board of Fish and the Board of Game; avoid the post-holiday rush; and avoid the travel of Council members that leave family to fend for themselves during one of the coldest months of the year. The Board met in May 2012 and discussed this issue and decided not to take action at that time, but to refer the issue back to the Councils for their recommendations.

Background

In 2003, a committee made up of Board staff, reviewed the regulatory cycle; the committee examined the historical timing of events in the Federal Subsistence Management Program's regulatory cycles and identified what was working well and where improvements could be made. Alternatives were developed to address issues and concerns. Each alternative was evaluated in terms of effectiveness, efficiency, cost, risks of compromising quality or customer service, ramifications for other subsistence program elements and other considerations. One of the issues addressed was the timing of Regional Advisory Council and Federal Subsistence Board meetings.

Several changes were made following this review:

1. The fall meeting window was expanded.

Historically, the meeting window was approximately 5–6 weeks and ran from early September to mid-October. The meeting window was expanded to mid-August to mid-October, adding approximately 3 weeks to the fall meeting window. Since 2003, in an effort to further accommodate the Councils, meetings have been allowed to be scheduled outside the meeting window (Table 1).

2. The effective date for subsistence fishing regulations was moved from 1 March to 1 April in 2005.
3. The Federal Subsistence Board meeting to address fisheries proposals was moved from early December to mid-January.

While subsistence fisheries occur in Alaska year-round, most subsistence fishing activities occur in spring, summer and fall. The March 1 effective date for the subsistence fisheries regulations was 4–12 weeks before most spring subsistence fisheries start across the state. Shifting the effective date for these regulations to April 1, allowed the publication of the regulations after various winter subsistence fisheries and the Southeast Alaska spring hooligan fishery.

Recommendations

Staff reviewed the current regulatory cycles (**Table 2**) and developed the following recommendations (**Table 3**):

1. Hold the Board’s meeting to review proposed changes to the wildlife hunting and trapping regulations in early April.

The Board’s wildlife meeting should be held no later than early April to ensure the regulations are published in the Federal register and the public book is published and distributed prior to the 1 July effective date. Historically, the Board meeting for wildlife occurred in early May; however, often there were problems getting the regulations published and distributed in a timely manner.

2. Extend the Regional Council meeting window into early November. This would have minimal impacts.
3. Hold the Board meeting to review proposed changes to the subsistence fisheries regulations no later than early January.

Based on the current effective date of 1 April for these regulations, it is impractical to change the Board meeting date any later than early January. Doing so would not allow staff the time to finalize the regulations and get them published in the Federal register and in the public regulations booklet. Note: In recent years, moving the regulations through the surname process in D.C. has taken considerably more time, which needs to be taken into account.

4. Maintain the current effective date for the subsistence fisheries regulations.

Historically, the Board held its meeting to review subsistence fisheries in December and the regulations became effective on 1 March. Following the 2003 regulatory cycle review, both of these dates were changed: the Board meeting was shifted into January and the effective date for the subsistence fisheries regulations was changed to 1 April. The effective date was changed to allow for the publication of the regulations after various winter subsistence fisheries and the Southeast Alaska spring hooligan fishery. In addition, regulatory years are defined in 50 CFR 100.25(a) and if these are changed it would need to go through the regulatory process, this is not a purely administrative action, it would require rule making, including a proposal to be submitted for public review. However, this is a plausible solution if the desire is to avoid all Board meetings conducted in January.

Reference tables for above narrative.

Table 1. Past FSB Meeting Dates.

Year	FSB Wildlife meeting dates (# of proposals)	FSB Fisheries meeting dates (# of proposals)
2003	May 20–22 (53)	December 9–11 (40)
2004	May 18–21 (87)	Due to a change in meeting cycles, there was no Fishery Board Meeting in 2004. The Fish Proposals submitted in 2004 were addressed in Jan. 2005.
2005	May 3–4 (20)	January 11–13 (30)
2006	May 16–18 (69)	January 10–12 (34)
2007	April 30 – May 2 (63)	January 9–11 (26)
2008	April 29 – May 1 (54)**	—
2009	—	January 13–15 (14)
2010	May 18 – 21 (105)	—
2011	—	January 18–20 (15)
2012	January 17–20 (100)	—
2013	—	January 22–24 (28)
Fisheries regulations became effective on 1 March, until 2006 when the effective date was changed to 1 April		
Wildlife regulations become effective on 1 July		
**Start of the two year cycle		

Table 2. Current Regulatory Cycle.

Fisheries		Wildlife
January – March	Proposal Period	January – March
February – March	Councils Meet to develop proposals	February – March
April – June	Comment Period	April – June
April – August	Staff Analyses Prepared	April – August
August – October	Councils meet to make Recommendations	August – October
November	Staff committee Meets	November
January	Federal Subsistence Board Meets	January
April 1	New Regulatory Year Begins	July 1

Table 3. Proposed Changes to the Regulatory Cycles

Fisheries		Wildlife
January – March	Proposal Period	January – March
February – March	Councils Meet to develop proposals	February – March
April – June	Comment Period	April – June
April – August	Staff Analyses Prepared	April – August
August – October Early November	Councils meet to make Recommendations	August – October Early November
November	Staff committee Meets	November
January Early April	Federal Subsistence Board Meets	January Early April
April July 1	New Regulatory Year Begins	July 1

BRIEFING ON CONSULTATION POLICIES

The Federal Subsistence Board (Board) adopted its Government-to-Government Tribal Consultation Policy on May 9, 2012. The Board postponed adopting the supplemental ANCSA corporation consultation policy pending the Department of Interior finalizing its own policy on consultation with ANCSA corporations.

The Board directed that the Consultation Workgroup develop implementation guidelines, which will define the responsibilities of the five Federal agencies and the Office of Subsistence Management in the implementation of the Tribal Consultation Policy and supplemental ANCSA corporation consultation policy (once adopted) within the framework of the Federal Subsistence Management Program regulatory review cycles. The goal is to have final implementation guidelines for presentation to the Board sometime in 2013; interim implementation guidelines will be used until the Board adopts the final guidelines. The workgroup will also ensure that the policies are being implemented and identify areas for improvement.

The Board recently sent a letter to Tribes and ANCSA corporations seeking nominations to the workgroup in order to broaden the spectrum of members from the current seven Federal and seven Tribal representatives. In addition, Tribes and ANCSA corporations were notified that opportunities to provide input on the proposed changes to subsistence fisheries regulations will be available at the Subsistence Regional Advisory Council meetings and time will be available for consultation with the Board at the upcoming Board meeting, January 22–24, 2013.

Government-to-Government Tribal Consultation Policy

“Tribes and Alaska Native peoples have been this lands’ first conservationists and first multiple use land managers.” - Lillian Petershoare, Workgroup Member, United States Forest Service

Federal Subsistence Board

Government-to-Government Tribal Consultation Policy

Preamble

The Federal Subsistence Board (Board) recognizes that indigenous Tribes of Alaska are spiritually, physically, culturally, and historically connected to the land, the wildlife and the waters. These strong ancestral ties to the land, wildlife and waters are intertwined with indigenous ceremonies such as songs, dances, and potlatches. The customary and traditional way of life has sustained the health, life, safety, and cultures of Alaska Native peoples since time immemorial. To effectively manage the Federal Subsistence Program, the Board will collaborate and partner with Federally recognized Tribes in Alaska to protect and provide opportunities for continued subsistence uses on public lands.

The United States has a unique legal and political relationship with Indian tribal governments, which has been established through and confirmed by the Constitution of the United States, statutes, executive orders, judicial decisions and treaties. In recognition of that special relationship, and pursuant to direction given by the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture to implement Executive Order 13175 of November 2000, “Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments,” and to meet the requirements of the Presidential Memorandum of November 5, 2009, “Tribal Consultation,” the Board is developing this Government-to-Government Tribal Consultation Policy. This Policy sets out the Board’s responsibility to engage in regular and meaningful consultation and collaboration with Federally recognized Indian Tribes in Alaska on matters that may have substantial effects on them and their members. This Policy also upholds the Congressional mandate to implement the provisions of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) of 1980, P.L. 66-487, which, with its implementing regulations, defines the roles and responsibilities of the Departments of the Interior and Agriculture in administering subsistence management of fish and wildlife on Federal public lands.

Government-to-government consultation undertaken through the Board’s process is a direct two-way communication conducted in good faith to secure meaningful participation in the decision-making process to the full extent allowed by law. The Board will consider and respond to the Tribes’ concerns brought forth through the consultation process (as defined in this policy) before making final decisions.

Two Department-level consultation policies provide the foundation for this policy. They are the Department of the Interior’s *Policy on Consultation with Indian Tribes (2011)* and the Department of Agriculture’s *2010 Action Plan for Consultation and Collaboration*. This policy is consistent with the

Government-to-Government Tribal Consultation Policy

Department-wide consultation policies, and it expands on them to apply the policies to the Federal subsistence management program.

The intent of this policy is to describe a framework under which the Board and Federally recognized Tribes in Alaska may consult on ANILCA Title VIII subsistence matters under the Board's authority.

Background

The Federal Subsistence Program, as established by ANILCA and implemented by the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture, is a multi-agency program consisting of five agencies: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Forest Service, National Park Service, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. These bureaus and rural subsistence users maintain the opportunity for a subsistence way of life by rural Alaskans on Federal public lands while managing for healthy populations of fish and wildlife. The Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils have a foundational role in the Federal Subsistence Program. By statute, the Board must defer to Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Council recommendations related to the taking of fish and wildlife on public lands unless they are: a) not supported by substantial evidence, b) violate recognized principles of fish and wildlife conservation, or c) would be detrimental to the satisfaction of subsistence needs (ANILCA § 805(c)). The Board distinguishes the deference to Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils from the Tribal government-to-government relationship enjoyed by Federally recognized Tribes, and this Policy will not diminish in any way either the consultation obligations towards Federally recognized Tribes or its deference obligations to the Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils.

The Federal Subsistence Management Program regulations are published twice in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR): 50 CFR Part 100 and 36 CFR Part 242. The regulations have four subparts. Subparts A and B are within the sole purview of the Secretaries of the Department of the Interior and the Department of Agriculture. Responsibility and decisions relating to the provisions of Subparts C and D are delegated by the Secretaries to the Federal Subsistence Board. Subpart C concerns Board Determinations, including rural and customary and traditional use determinations, while subpart D consists of the regulations for taking fish, wildlife and shellfish.

Goals

The goals of the Federal Subsistence Management Program are to:

1. Create and maintain effective relationships with Federally recognized Tribes in Alaska.
2. Establish meaningful and timely opportunities for government-to-government consultation.
3. Be responsive to requests from Federally recognized Tribes in Alaska to engage in consultation.
4. Work with Federally recognized Tribes in Alaska to improve communication, outreach and education.
5. Acknowledge, respect and use traditional ecological knowledge.
6. Recognize the importance of coordination, consultation and follow-up between the Federal Subsistence Board and Federally recognized Tribes in Alaska.

Government-to-Government Tribal Consultation Policy

7. Integrate tribal input effectively into the decision-making process for subsistence management on public lands and waters while maintaining deference to the Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils.

Consultation

1. Communication

It is the Board's intention that information sharing between Tribes and the Board/Federal staff will occur early and often. Information sharing includes, but is not limited to, sharing of traditional knowledge, research and scientific data. Communication between the Federal agencies and Tribes will occur in a timely manner to maximize opportunities to provide input to the Board's decisions. For in-season management decisions and special actions, consultation is not always possible, but to the extent practicable, two-way communication will take place before decisions are implemented. When Tribes bring up issues over which the Board does not have jurisdiction, the Board and Federal staff will provide Tribes with contact information for the state or Federal agency that can address the issue and will also provide the tribes' contact information to the relevant state or Federal agency

2. Roles and Responsibilities

Board members are responsible for implementing this policy and ensuring its effectiveness. The Native Liaison in the Office of Subsistence Management is the key contact for the Board's consultations with Tribes. The Native Liaison will also assist Federal land managers and Tribes with their consultations, as requested and as needed. Federal land managers and staff have a local relationship with Tribes and will maintain effective communications and coordination.

3. Topics for consultation are listed under the definition for "Action with Tribal Implications."

They may include, but are not limited to:

- Regulations (e.g., taking of fish, wildlife and shellfish - harvest amounts, methods and means, cultural and educational permits and funerary/mortuary ceremonies; emergency and temporary special actions; customary and traditional use determinations and customary trade)
- Policies and guidance documents [Note: this is consistent with page 3 "Definitions" of DOI Policy "Departmental Action with Tribal Implication".]
- Budget and priority planning development [Note: this is consistent with page 16 USDA Action Plan for Tribal Consultation and Collaboration (Nov 2009) and page 3 "Definitions" of DOI policy – "Departmental Action with Tribal Implication" – specifically "operational activity".]
- Agreements (e.g. Cooperative Agreements, Memorandum of Understanding, Funding Agreements)

Government-to-Government Tribal Consultation Policy

4. Timing

Timing of consultation will respect both the Federal subsistence management cycle and the Tribal timeframes for doing business. The requirement of early notification, methods of notice, availability of Federal analyses and time and place of Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Council meetings and Board meetings are described in Appendix A of the “Federal Subsistence Consultation Implementation Guidelines.” A chart showing the Federal subsistence management cycle is in Appendix B of the same document

5. Methods

No single formula exists for what constitutes appropriate consultation. The planning and implementation of consultation will consider all aspects of the topic under consideration. The Board will be flexible and sensitive to Tribal cultural matters and protocols. Familiarity with and use of Tribes’ constitutions and consultation protocols will help ensure more effective consultation. Consultation may be prompted by a Federally recognized Tribe in Alaska or by the Board. Methods for correspondence, meetings, and communication are further described in Appendix A: “Federal Subsistence Consultation Implementation Guidelines.”

Accountability and Reporting

The Board will monitor consultation effectiveness and report information to the Secretaries, pursuant to the Department of the Interior and Department of Agriculture policies. On an annual basis, the Board will evaluate whether the policy has been implemented and is effective and what progress has been made towards achieving the seven goals outlined in this policy. The Board will actively seek feedback from Federally recognized Tribes in Alaska on the effectiveness of consultation, and the Board’s evaluation will summarize and reflect this feedback. The Board will modify the consultation process to incorporate needed enhancements, as identified through the annual review. The Board will provide Tribes an oral and written summary of the evaluation and changes, if any, in Board meetings with Tribes.

Training

Training on this policy for Federal staff will conform to the requirements of the Department of the Interior and Department of Agriculture consultation policies. The Board recognizes the unique traditional values, culture and knowledge that Tribes can impart and shall incorporate Tribes into the training for the Board and staff. The Board will accompany subsistence users in the field to gain direct experience in traditional Alaska Native hunting and fishing activities. In addition, Federal Subsistence Management training will be offered to representatives of Tribal governments and Tribal members on a regular basis as funding allows. A list of possible venues for training is included in Appendix C: “Venues for Training.”

Government-to-Government Tribal Consultation Policy

Alaska Native Corporation Consultation

Refer to the supplemental policy for consultation with Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (ANCSA) corporations.

Adopted by the Board on May 9, 2012

Definitions

Action with Tribal Implications – Any Board regulations, rulemaking, policy, guidance, legislative proposal, grant funding formula changes, or operational activity that may have a substantial effect on an Indian Tribe in Alaska.

Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act of 1980 (ANILCA) – Title VIII of the Act provides for the protection and continuation of subsistence uses of fish and wildlife by rural Alaskans on Federal public lands.

ANCSA Corporations – As defined in 43 U.S.C. § 1606, those regional and village corporations formed by Congress through the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act, 43 U.S.C. § 1601 et seq., to provide for the settlement of certain land claims of Alaska Natives.

Consensus Agenda – The Federal Subsistence Board’s consensus agenda is made up of regulatory proposals for which there is agreement among the affected Regional Advisory Councils, a majority of the Interagency Staff Committee members, and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game concerning a proposed regulatory action. Anyone may request that the Board remove a proposal from the consensus agenda and place it on the non-consensus (regular) agenda. The Board votes on the consensus agenda after deliberation and action on all other proposals.

Consultation – The process of effective and meaningful government-to-government communication and coordination between the appropriate Federal agency and Tribe(s) conducted before the Federal government takes action or implements decisions that may affect Tribes.

Executive Order 13175 (Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments) – Requires regular and meaningful consultation and collaboration with Tribal officials in the development of Federal policies that have Tribal implications to strengthen the United States government-to-government relationships with Indian Tribes, and to reduce the imposition of unfunded mandates upon Indian Tribes.

Federal Subsistence Board – The Board administers the subsistence taking and uses of fish and wildlife on public lands and exercises the related promulgation and signature authority for regulations of subparts C and D. The voting members of the Board are: a Chair, appointed by the Secretary of the Interior with the concurrence of the Secretary of Agriculture; two public members appointed by the Secretary of the Interior with the concurrence of the Secretary of Agriculture who possess personal knowledge of and direct experience with subsistence uses in rural Alaska; the Alaska Regional Directors of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Park Service, and Bureau of Indian Affairs; the Alaska Regional Forester of the U.S. Forest Service; and, the Alaska State Director, Bureau of Land Management.

Federally Recognized Tribe in Alaska – Any Alaska Native Tribe, band, nation, village, or community that the Secretary of the Interior acknowledges to exist as an Indian Tribe pursuant to the Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act of 1994, 25 U.S.C. §479a.

Interagency Staff Committee (ISC) – The ISC is made up of senior staff from the National Park Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Bureau of Land Management, and USDA Forest Service. The ISC members serve as the primary advisors for their agency’s respective Board member.

Office of Subsistence Management (OSM) – The OSM provides support to the Federal Subsistence Board and the Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils. The staff includes fish and wildlife biologists, cultural anthropologists, technical and administrative staff, an Alaska Native liaison and liaisons to the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, and the Alaska Boards of Fish and Game.

Government-to-Government Tribal Consultation Policy

Regional Advisory Councils – Title VIII of ANILCA provides a foundational role for the ten Regional Advisory Councils in the development of regulations guiding the taking of fish and wildlife on Federal public lands in Alaska. Council members, a majority of whom are rural subsistence users, are appointed by the Secretary.

Special Action – An out-of-cycle change in the seasons, harvest limits or methods and means of harvest. The two types include: 1) emergency, which are effective for up to 60 days, and 2) temporary, which are effective for the remainder of the regulatory cycle.

List of Appendices

APPENDIX A: Federal Subsistence Consultation Implementation Guidelines

APPENDIX B: Federal Subsistence Management Cycle

APPENDIX C: Venues for FSMP Training

DRAFT April 27, 2012

The Board is directing the Consultation Workgroup to continue the development of the guidelines with agency field manager input. The Workgroup will present a more developed guideline at a future Board meeting.

Appendix A

Interim Implementation Guidelines

for

Fiscal Year 12-13

Federal Subsistence Management Program

Tribal and ANCSA Corporation Consultation

This document provides guidance for the Federal Subsistence Management Program's Tribal Consultation Policy and ANCSA Corporation Consultation Policy. The Office of Subsistence Management Native Liaison, working with the Federal Subsistence Board and Interagency Staff Committee, plays a central role in ensuring the implementation of the Board's consultation policies. The following guideline is intended to be flexible for implementing these policies.

CONSULTATION SCHEDULE FOR THE REGULATORY CYCLE

1. OSM Native Liaison: Notify Tribes and ANCSA Corporations and, on request made to OSM Native Liaison, facilitate consultation on regulatory proposals among the appropriate parties. Prepare written summaries of consultations, ensure appropriate coordination within the Federal Subsistence Program, and maintain records of consultation for the Program.
2. OSM Native Liaison: Coordinate consultation with Tribes and ANCSA Corporations when Team Review analyses are available. Ensure a written summary is prepared of the results of consultation and appropriate coordination within the Federal Subsistence Program.
3. OSM Native Liaison: In coordination with OSM's Subsistence Regional Advisory Council Coordinators and Council Chairs, ensure opportunity for Tribal and ANCSA Corporation input at Council meetings. Summarize pertinent input in writing and ensure appropriate coordination within the Federal Subsistence Program.
4. Opportunity is provided for consultation with Tribes and ANCSA Corporations at Federal Subsistence Board meetings.
5. Consultations may also be requested by Tribes and ANCSA Corporations at any time.



Appendix B FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM ANNUAL REGULATORY PROCESS AT A GLANCE



Step 6 (April 1 and July 1)

The Board's adopted proposals become the Final Rule which is published in the Federal Register. The Final Rule contains the regulations in effect for the next two year period. The fisheries regulations are effective April 1; the wildlife harvest regulations are effective July 1.

A public booklet of the regulations is published and distributed statewide. The booklet includes regulations and other information relevant to the Federal Subsistence Management Program.

Step 1 (January - March)

A Proposed Rule is published in the Federal Register. It consists of the existing Federal subsistence regulations for fisheries or wildlife harvest (hunting or trapping) and asks the public to propose changes (proposals) to the existing regulations. The Proposed Rule is issued in January and proposals are accepted for approximately 45 days. The fisheries Proposed Rule is published in even numbered years. The wildlife Proposed Rule is published in odd numbered years.

Step 2 (April - May)

Proposals are reviewed by staff and validated to ensure that they fall within the authority of the Federal Subsistence Board. Valid proposals are compiled in a book, which is made available to the public and the tribes for information and comment. The public comment period is usually open for 60 days.

Step 5 (January)

The Federal Subsistence Board meets to review the proposal analyses, Councils recommendations, and accept testimony. During the meeting, the Council Chairs and a State of Alaska representative serve as liaisons to the Board. The Board must defer to the Council's recommendation on a proposal unless it violates ANILCA 805(c). The Board can:

- Adopt
- Adopt with modification or
- Reject, OR
- Defer until later.

Step 4 (August - October)

The affected Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Council(s) reviews the draft proposal analyses at their annual fall meeting. The Council(s) makes recommendations based on its knowledge of the resources and subsistence practices in the area, and testimony received during the meeting. Recommendations are to:

- Support
- Support with modification
- Oppose, OR
- Defer until later

Step 3 (April - August)

Proposals are analyzed by federal staff. A draft analysis with preliminary conclusion for each proposal is written, considering received public comments and with input from:

- Federal and state biologists
- Federal and state social scientists
- The federal Interagency Staff Committee
- State of Alaska
- At times subsistence users and others are directly consulted about the implications of the proposals.

Appendix C

Venues for Training

- Bureau of Indian Affairs Tribal Service Providers Conference
- Alaska Forum on the Environment
- Alaska Tribal Conference on Environmental Management
- Alaska Federation of Natives Annual Convention
- Association of Village Council Presidents
- Tanana Chiefs Conference
- Bristol Bay Native Association
- Aleutians Pribilof Islands Association
- Cook Inlet Tribal Council
- Karawek, Inc.
- Maniilaq Association
- Sealaska Heritage Institute
- Central Council of Tlingit and Haida Tribal Assembly
- Southeast Clan Conference
- Arctic Slope Native Association
- Chugach Regional Resources Commission
- Copper River Native Association
- Kodiak Area Native Association
- First Alaskans Institute Elders & Youth Conference
- Alaska Native Professionals Association



U.S. FISH and WILDLIFE SERVICE
BUREAU of LAND MANAGEMENT
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE
BUREAU of INDIAN AFFAIRS

Federal Subsistence Board
1011 E. Tudor Rd., MS 121
Anchorage, Alaska 99503-6199



U.S. FOREST SERVICE

JUN 28 2012

FWS/OSM 12046.AM

Subjects: (1) Nominations to the Board's Consultation Workgroup
(2) Opportunities for consultation on proposed changes to subsistence fishing regulations

Dear Tribal Leader:

Thank you for your meaningful participation in the development of the Federal Subsistence Board's Tribal consultation policy and congratulations to you on this momentous occasion! The Federal Subsistence Board (Board) adopted the Government-to-Government Tribal Consultation Policy on May 9, 2012. The new policy and its three appendices are enclosed.

The Department of Interior (DOI) is working on a policy for consultation with ANCSA corporations¹. The Federal Subsistence Board has decided to wait until the DOI policy is finalized before writing and implementing its supplemental policy on ANCSA corporation consultation. Until that time, the Board is utilizing the attached interim implementation guidelines to consult with ANCSA corporations on Federal subsistence matters.

The next step, in addition to engaging in consultation with your Tribe, is to develop implementation guidelines. The implementation guidelines will define the responsibilities of the five Federal agencies and the Office of Subsistence Management in the implementation of the Tribal consultation policy and supplemental ANCSA corporation consultation policy (once adopted) within the framework of the Federal Subsistence Management Program regulatory review cycles.

The Board's Consultation Workgroup will develop the implementation guidelines. The workgroup will also ensure that we are following the consultation policies and help us evaluate how we are doing and identify areas for improvement.

¹ Consultation with Alaska Native corporations is based on Public Law 108-199, div. H, Sec. 161, Jan. 23, 2004, 118 Stat. 452, as amended by Public Law 108-447, div. H, title V, Sec. 518, Dec. 8, 2004, 118 Stat. 3267, which provides that: "The Director of the Office of Management and Budget and all Federal agencies shall hereafter consult with Alaska Native corporations on the same basis as Indian tribes under Executive Order No. 13175."

1) Nominations to the Board's Consultation Workgroup

The Board is looking to expand the membership of the workgroup from its current membership of seven Federal and seven Tribal representatives to include a broader spectrum of members. To that end, we are now soliciting nominations for Tribal and ANCSA corporation representatives to serve on the Board's Consultation Workgroup and continue its good work.

Please send workgroup nominations to the contact below, either via mail, email, or fax by July 27, 2012. Include the name of the Tribe or ANCSA corporation, the nominee's name, title, and a brief description of their experience with the Federal Subsistence Management Program and/or consultation.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Office of Subsistence Management
Attention: Andrea Medeiros
1011 East Tudor Road, MS 121
Anchorage, AK 99503

or via e-mail to
subsistence@fws.gov

or via fax at
907-786-3898

Realize that while we strive to expand membership of the workgroup, we must keep the workgroup a reasonable size and not all nominees will be selected. Also, be aware that funding is not likely to be available for participation in this workgroup and that it may be necessary to meet by teleconference. Regardless of who is ultimately appointed to the workgroup, involvement of the Tribes and ANCSA corporations in the continued efforts of the Board to build constructive consultation processes is important to us. We will continue to dialogue with Tribes and ANCSA corporations as we work to develop the implementation guidelines and ANCSA corporation consultation policy. We will also be looking to you for feedback on how we are doing.

2) Consultation on Proposed Federal Subsistence Fishing Regulations

The Federal Subsistence Board is currently engaged in the process to review proposed changes to the Federal subsistence fishing regulations. You may have received a proposal book several weeks ago. The proposal book is also posted to the Federal Subsistence Management Program's website under Public Participation (<http://alaska.fws.gov/asm/public.cfml>) or may be requested by contacting the Office of Subsistence Management by e-mail (above) or by calling 1-800-478-1456 or (907) 786-3888.

Tribal Leader


3

Federal Subsistence Management Program staff are currently working on analyzing the subsistence fisheries proposals. The Board is seeking your input on the proposals and the analyses. We are sensitive to the demands on your time and would like to avoid sending unsolicited documents. If you are interested in participating in the review of the proposals and/or the early drafts of the analyses, please contact the Office of Subsistence Management (see contact information above) and copies will be provided. If your Tribe wants to consult on any proposals, please contact us to schedule a time.

There will be several other opportunities to review and comment on the proposals and the analyses throughout the regulatory process. At each Subsistence Regional Advisory Council meeting (calendar enclosed) there will be opportunities for Tribes and ANCSA corporations to provide input on the subsistence fisheries proposals. At the Federal Subsistence Board meeting in January, there will be time set aside for Tribes and ANCSA corporations to consult with the Board on the proposals and analyses. Teleconference access to all of the meetings will be provided, so there is no need to travel; written comments are also welcome. Your participation is essential in the process to review the proposals that have been submitted to the Federal Subsistence Board. Please participate in any way you can.

We are happy to be a part of this moment in history with all Federally recognized Tribes in Alaska and we look forward to many important dialogues in the future.

Sincerely,

/s/ Tim Towarak 

Tim Towarak, Chair
Federal Subsistence Board

Enclosures (2)

cc: Regional Native Non-Profits
Ken Salazar, Secretary of the Interior
Tom Vilsack, Secretary of Agriculture
Federal Subsistence Board
Regional Advisory Council Chairs
Pete Probasco, Assistant Regional Director OSM
Kathy O'Reilly-Doyle, Deputy Regional Director OSM
Administrative Record



U.S. FISH and WILDLIFE SERVICE
BUREAU of LAND MANAGEMENT
NATIONAL PARK SERVICE
BUREAU of INDIAN AFFAIRS

Federal Subsistence Board
1011 E. Tudor Rd., MS 121
Anchorage, Alaska 99503-6199



JUN 28 2012

FWS/OSM 12047.AM

Subjects: (1) Nominations to the Board's Consultation Workgroup
(2) Opportunities for consultation on proposed changes to subsistence fishing regulations

Dear ANCSA Corporations:

The Federal Subsistence Board (Board) adopted the Government-to-Government Tribal Consultation Policy on May 9, 2012. The new policy and its three appendices are enclosed.

The Department of Interior (DOI) is working on a policy for consultation with ANCSA corporations¹. The Federal Subsistence Board has decided to wait until the DOI policy is finalized before writing and implementing its supplemental policy on ANCSA corporation consultation. Until that time, the Board is utilizing the attached interim implementation guidelines to consult with ANCSA corporations on Federal subsistence matters.

The next step, in addition to engaging in consultation with ANCSA corporations, is to develop implementation guidelines. The implementation guidelines will define the responsibilities of the five Federal agencies and the Office of Subsistence Management in the implementation of the Tribal consultation policy and supplemental ANCSA corporation consultation policy (once adopted) within the framework of the Federal Subsistence Management Program regulatory review cycles.

The Board's Consultation Workgroup will develop the implementation guidelines. The workgroup will also ensure that we are following the consultation policies and help us evaluate how we are doing and identify areas for improvement.

¹ Consultation with Alaska Native corporations is based on Public Law 108-199, div. H, Sec. 161, Jan. 23, 2004, 118 Stat. 452, as amended by Public Law 108-447, div. H, title V, Sec. 518, Dec. 8, 2004, 118 Stat. 3267, which provides that: "The Director of the Office of Management and Budget and all Federal agencies shall hereafter consult with Alaska Native corporations on the same basis as Indian tribes under Executive Order No. 13175."

1) Nominations to the Board's Consultation Workgroup

The Board is looking to expand the membership of the workgroup from its current membership of seven Federal and seven Tribal representatives to include a broader spectrum of members. To that end, we are now soliciting nominations for Tribal and ANCSA corporation representatives to serve on the Board's Consultation Workgroup and continue its good work.

Please send workgroup nominations to the contact below, either via mail, email, or fax by July 27, 2012. Include the name of the Tribe or ANCSA corporation, the nominee's name, title, and a brief description of their experience with the Federal Subsistence Management Program and/or consultation.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Office of Subsistence Management
Attention: Andrea Medeiros
1011 East Tudor Road, MS 121
Anchorage, AK 99503

or via e-mail to
subsistence@fws.gov

or via fax at
907-786-3898

Realize that while we strive to expand membership of the workgroup, we must keep the workgroup a reasonable size and not all nominees will be selected. Also, be aware that funding is not likely to be available for participation in this workgroup and that it may be necessary to meet by teleconference. Regardless of who is ultimately appointed to the workgroup, involvement of the Tribes and ANCSA corporations in the continued efforts of the Board to build constructive consultation processes is important to us. We will continue to dialogue with Tribes and ANCSA corporations as we work to develop the implementation guidelines and ANCSA corporation consultation policy. We will also be looking to you for feedback on how we are doing.

2) Consultation on Proposed Federal Subsistence Fishing Regulations

The Federal Subsistence Board is currently engaged in the process to review proposed changes to the Federal subsistence fishing regulations. You may have received a proposal book several weeks ago. The proposal book is also posted to the Federal Subsistence Management Program's website under Public Participation (<http://alaska.fws.gov/asm/public.cfml>) or may be requested by contacting the Office of Subsistence Management by e-mail (above) or by calling 1-800-478-1456 or (907) 786-3888.

ANCSA Corporation

3

Federal Subsistence Management Program staff are currently working on analyzing the subsistence fisheries proposals. The Board is seeking your input on the proposals and the analyses. We are sensitive to the demands on your time and would like to avoid sending unsolicited documents. If you are interested in participating in the review of the proposals and/or the early drafts of the analyses, please contact the Office of Subsistence Management (see contact information above) and copies will be provided. If your ANCSA corporation wants to consult on any proposals, please contact us to schedule a time.

There will be several other opportunities to review and comment on the proposals and the analyses throughout the regulatory process. At each Subsistence Regional Advisory Council meeting (calendar enclosed) there will be opportunities for Tribes and ANCSA corporations to provide input on the subsistence fisheries proposals. At the Federal Subsistence Board meeting in January, there will be time set aside for Tribes and ANCSA corporations to consult with the Board on the proposals and analyses. Teleconference access to all of the meetings will be provided, so there is no need to travel; written comments are also welcome. Your participation is essential in the process to review the proposals that have been submitted to the Federal Subsistence Board. Please participate in any way you can.

We are happy to be a part of this moment in history with Federally recognized Tribes and ANCSA corporations in Alaska and we look forward to many important dialogues in the future.

Sincerely,

/s/ Tim Towarak 

Tim Towarak, Chair
Federal Subsistence Board

Enclosures (2)

cc: Regional Native Non-Profits
Ken Salazar, Secretary of the Interior
Tom Vilsack, Secretary of Agriculture
Federal Subsistence Board
Regional Advisory Council Chairs
Pete Probasco, Assistant Regional Director OSM
Kathy O'Reilly-Doyle, Deputy Regional Director OSM
Administrative Record

Winter 2013 Regional Advisory Council Meeting Calendar

February–March 2013 current as of 03/22/12

Meeting dates and locations are subject to change.

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
<i>Feb. 10</i>	<i>Feb. 11</i> <i>Window Opens</i>	<i>Feb. 12</i>	<i>Feb. 13</i>	<i>Feb. 14</i>	<i>Feb. 15</i>	<i>Feb. 16</i>
		SP—Nome				
<i>Feb. 17</i>	<i>Feb. 18</i> HOLIDAY	<i>Feb. 19</i>	<i>Feb. 20</i>	<i>Feb. 21</i>	<i>Feb. 22</i>	<i>Feb. 23</i>
			SC—TBA			
			EI—Tok			
<i>Feb. 24</i>	<i>Feb. 25</i>	<i>Feb. 26</i>	<i>Feb. 27</i>	<i>Feb. 28</i>	<i>Mar. 1</i>	<i>Mar. 2</i>
	SE—Ketchikan					
		NS—Barrow				
		YKD—Bethel				
<i>Mar. 3</i>	<i>Mar. 4</i>	<i>Mar. 5</i>	<i>Mar. 6</i>	<i>Mar. 7</i>	<i>Mar. 8</i>	<i>Mar. 9</i>
		NWA—Kotzebue				
		WI—Galena				
<i>Mar. 10</i>	<i>Mar. 11</i>	<i>Mar. 12</i>	<i>Mar. 13</i>	<i>Mar. 14</i>	<i>Mar. 15</i>	<i>Mar. 16</i>
<i>Mar. 17</i>	<i>Mar. 18</i>	<i>Mar. 19</i>	<i>Mar. 20</i>	<i>Mar. 21</i>	<i>Mar. 22</i> <i>Window Closes</i>	<i>Mar. 23</i>

Fall 2013 Regional Advisory Council Meeting Calendar

August 19–October 11, 2013 current as of 07/18/12

Meeting dates and locations are subject to change.

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
<i>Aug. 18</i>	<i>Aug. 19</i> WINDOW OPENS	<i>Aug. 20</i>	<i>Aug. 21</i>	<i>Aug. 22</i>	<i>Aug. 23</i>	<i>Aug. 24</i>
<i>Aug. 25</i>	<i>Aug. 26</i>	<i>Aug. 27</i>	<i>Aug. 28</i>	<i>Aug. 29</i>	<i>Aug. 30</i>	<i>Aug. 31</i>
<i>Sept. 1</i>	<i>Sept. 2</i> HOLIDAY	<i>Sept. 3</i>	<i>Sept. 4</i>	<i>Sept. 5</i>	<i>Sept. 6</i>	<i>Sept. 7</i>
<i>Sept. 8</i>	<i>Sept. 9</i>	<i>Sept. 10</i>	<i>Sept. 11</i>	<i>Sept. 12</i>	<i>Sept. 13</i>	<i>Sept. 14</i>
<i>Sept. 15</i>	<i>Sept. 16</i>	<i>Sept. 17</i>	<i>Sept. 18</i>	<i>Sept. 19</i>	<i>Sept. 20</i>	<i>Sept. 21</i>
<i>Sept. 22</i>	<i>Sept. 23</i>	<i>Sept. 24</i>	<i>Sept. 25</i>	<i>Sept. 26</i>	<i>Sept. 27</i>	<i>Sept. 28</i>
<i>Sept. 29</i>	<i>Sept. 30</i> END OF FY2013	<i>Oct. 1</i>	<i>Oct. 2</i>	<i>Oct. 3</i>	<i>Oct. 4</i>	<i>Oct. 5</i>
<i>Oct. 6</i>	<i>Oct. 7</i>	<i>Oct. 8</i>	<i>Oct. 9</i>	<i>Oct. 10</i>	<i>Oct. 11</i> WINDOW CLOSES	<i>Oct. 12</i>