

**From:** Navaro, Ann  
**To:** [Bowman, Randal](#)  
**Subject:** Re: FWS Executive Summaries  
**Date:** Monday, September 18, 2017 3:40:11 PM

---

Randy - I've sent it to Earl and NOAA. I've asked for NOAA to run it by NMFS. I don't know if they will or not. However, there is not a clear discrepancy. These are the relevant passages from my draft (below). You'll notice that the NE Council figure is (b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP and the NOAA figure is (b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP. They cover different time periods. But (b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP. So, I agree it's confusing and potentially inconsistent which is why I've tried to scale back the detail and asked Earl again for review by NMFS. That part is up to him. Hopefully someone down the line (like at the WH) will insist on additional review if it's isn't cleared up. If you want to reach out to Michael Weiss, that's okay with me.

(b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP

.....

(b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP

Ann Navaro  
U.S. Department of the Interior  
1849 C Street NW  
Washington, D.C. 20240  
202-208-3125 (desk)  
202-510-4271 (cell)

On Mon, Sep 18, 2017 at 4:29 PM, Bowman, Randal <[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

I'm afraid I don't see the sameness - (b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP

I again suggest we send the section to Michael Weiss at NOAA, as per Earl's email, and let them pick which numbers to use here. We should not be deciding details resulting from NOAA programs.

On Mon, Sep 18, 2017 at 4:12 PM, Navaro, Ann <[ann.navaro@sol.doi.gov](mailto:ann.navaro@sol.doi.gov)> wrote:  
sorry. i typed too fast and this didn't make sense:

Randy - I don't think there is a discrepancy. The NOAA report says (b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP. Western Fishery says (b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP. That's roughly the same accounting for the different time periods referenced. Both NOAA and Western Fishery says (b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP

Ann Navaro  
U.S. Department of the Interior  
1849 C Street NW  
Washington, D.C. 20240  
202-208-3125 (desk)  
202-510-4271 (cell)

On Mon, Sep 18, 2017 at 4:10 PM, Navaro, Ann <[ann.navaro@sol.doi.gov](mailto:ann.navaro@sol.doi.gov)> wrote:

Randy - I don't think there is a discrepancy. The NOAA report says (b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP. Western Fishery says (b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP. That's roughly the same accounting for the different time periods referenced. Both NOAA and Western Fishery (b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP

Ann Navaro  
U.S. Department of the Interior  
1849 C Street NW  
Washington, D.C. 20240  
202-208-3125 (desk)  
202-510-4271 (cell)

On Mon, Sep 18, 2017 at 3:55 PM, Bowman, Randal <[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

There is still a different set of numbers for the first set of paragraphs I just sent you. The NOAA number is from their report on economic impacts under E.O. 13795, so there would be two conflicting sets of numbers in circulation under the 2 EOs, from their report and our memo.

Perhaps we should send this specific issue back to NOAA and let them choose which number to use in both places. My concern is not the amount, but the discrepancy.

On Mon, Sep 18, 2017 at 3:42 PM, Navaro, Ann <[ann.navaro@sol.doi.gov](mailto:ann.navaro@sol.doi.gov)> wrote:  
Thanks. I think I fixed it in the "final" draft I just sent to Dan. I compared all three sources and simplified the discussion.

Ann Navaro  
U.S. Department of the Interior  
1849 C Street NW  
Washington, D.C. 20240  
202-208-3125 (desk)  
202-510-4271 (cell)

On Mon, Sep 18, 2017 at 3:39 PM, Bowman, Randal  
<[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

I have never seen the prior Admin memo, but for simplification have created a new document that shows the apparent conflicts I am concerned about. I have the paragraph in question from our memo followed by one from the NOAA report of a few weeks ago, with the discrepancies in red. There are 2 issues, so for simplicity in reviewing have a set of paragraphs on each page.

On Mon, Sep 18, 2017 at 2:49 PM, Navaro, Ann <[ann.navaro@sol.doi.gov](mailto:ann.navaro@sol.doi.gov)> wrote:

Randy - The discussion in my draft was taken verbatim out of the Jewell/Pritzker memo. Which paragraph in my draft causes you concern? I'll compare it again to the NOAA memo (I have it). Thanks.

Ann Navaro  
U.S. Department of the Interior  
1849 C Street NW  
Washington, D.C. 20240  
202-208-3125 (desk)  
202-510-4271 (cell)

On Fri, Sep 15, 2017 at 2:34 PM, Bowman, Randal  
<[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)> wrote:

Ann, some thing was trickling along below the conscious thought level since our discussion this morning, and it finally dawned on me - there is the potential that your figures on fishery revenue are treating multi-year totals as annual revenue, See the NOAA report below, which is part of their official report under the EO. (b) (5) DPP, (b) (5) ACP  
[REDACTED]

This was the end of a long email string on other issues, which I have deleted. I appear to have given my copy of the NE Fishery Management Council comment to either Rick or you, since I can't find it on my desk, so I can't check this myself.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Michael Weiss - NOAA Federal** <[michael.weiss@noaa.gov](mailto:michael.weiss@noaa.gov)>  
Date: Tue, Jul 25, 2017 at 6:32 PM  
Subject: Re: FWS Executive Summaries  
To: "Bowman, Randal" <[randal\\_bowman@ios.doi.gov](mailto:randal_bowman@ios.doi.gov)>

Hi Randy.

Here is the information re: NE Canyons commercial fishing. Excerpted from our report.

I think that does it.

Thanks,

Michael  
|

--

Michael Weiss  
Office of the Under Secretary  
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  
202-482-5958 (w)  
[REDACTED] (b) (6) (c)