```
0001
 1
               WESTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE
 2
                REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
 7
                             VOLUME I
 8
 9
                      Pikes Waterfront Lodge
10
                         October 19, 2022
11
                        Fairbanks, Alaska
12
                            9:00 a.m.
13
14
15
16
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
17
18
     Jenny Pelkola, Acting Chairman
19
    Timothy Gervais
20
    Don Honea
    Jack Reakoff
21
    Pollock Simon
22
23
    Darrell Vent
24
    Kevin Whitworth
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
    Regional Council Coordinator, Nissa Pilcher
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
    Recorded and transcribed by:
42
43
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
44
     329 F Street, Suite 222
45
    Anchorage, AK 99501
46
    907-227-5312/sahile@gci.net
47
48
49
50
```

0002	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Fairbanks, Alaska - 10/19/2022)
4 5	(On record)
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. PILCHER: Good morning, everyone. This is the Western Interior Subsistence Regional Advisory Council meeting. If folks could take their seats, we'll be getting started in just a few minutes. For those joining us on the phone you can find the agenda and meeting materials online on the Federal Subsistence Program website. The internet address is
14 15	www.doi.gov/subsistence under the regions tab choose Western Interior and then meeting materials.
16 17	For all participants on the phone
18 19 20 21 22 23	please remember to mute your phones when you are not speaking. If you do not have a mute button on your phone you can press *6. That will mute you. If you would like to speak, unmute by again pressing *6. If we do find that a line is not muted and creating a distraction, the operator will mute that line.
24 25	All right.
26 27 28 29 30	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. At this time we're going to have the invocation by Don Honea, Jr.
31 32	(Invocation)
33 34 35 36	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Don. I'd like to call this meeting to order at 9:05. With that we'll have roll call.
37 38	MS. PILCHER: All right. So roll call Member Rebecca Wilmarth, were you able to call in?
39 40	(No response)
41 42 43	MS. PILCHER: All right. So Don Honea Jr. from Ruby.
4 4 4 5	MR. HONEA: Here.
46 47 48 49	MS. PILCHER: Pollock Simon, Sr. from Allakaket.
50	

```
0003
 1
                     MR. SIMON: Here.
 2
 3
                     MS. PILCHER: Kevin Whitworth from
 4
    McGrath.
 5
 6
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Here.
 7
 8
                     MS. PILCHER: Jack Reakoff from
 9
    Wiseman.
10
11
                     MR. REAKOFF: Here on the phone.
12
13
                     MS. PILCHER: Tim Gervais from Ruby.
14
15
                     MR. GERVAIS: Here.
16
17
                     MS. PILCHER: Darrell Vent from Huslia.
18
19
                     MR. VENT: Here.
20
21
                     MS. PILCHER: Jenny Pelkola from
22
    Galena.
23
24
                     MS. PELKOLA: Here.
25
26
                     MS. PILCHER: And then Tommy Kriska,
27
    were you able to call in?
28
29
                     (No response)
30
31
                     MS. PILCHER: He's stuck in a weather
32
    hold, but he's hopeful to be in this morning. We do
33
    have a quorum.
34
35
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. As you
36
     know, my name is Jenny Pelkola and I'm sitting in for
37
    Mr. Reakoff today, who is home, but he's on the phone.
38
     So thank God. Welcome again to everyone. If you would
39
     introduce yourself. We'll start over here in the front
40
     row.
41
42
                     MS. WILLIAMS: Good morning. I'm Liz
43
    Williams. I'm an anthropologist with Office of
44
     Subsistence Management. This is my first meeting with
45
     you guys.
46
47
                     MR. SIMON: Good morning. My name is
48
     Jim Simon. I'm a consultant with Tanana Chiefs
49
    Conference Tribal Resource Stewardship Program and the
```

```
0004
 1
    Kuskokwim River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission. Good
 2
    morning.
 3
 4
                     MR. HAVENER: Good morning, everyone.
 5
     I'm Jeremy Havener. I'm the Refuge Subsistence
 6
     Coordinator for Koyukuk/Nowitna/Innoko in Galena and
 7
     it's great to see everybody in person.
 8
 9
                     MR. GRAHAM: Good morning. I'm Cory
10
    Graham. I'm a fisheries biologist with OSM. It's nice
11
    to be here.
12
13
                     MR. UBELAKER: Good morning. Brian
14
    Ubelaker, wildlife biologist with OSM.
15
16
                     MS. JULIANUS: Good morning, everybody.
17
    Erin Julianus, wildlife biologist for BLM, Central
18
    Yukon Field Office.
19
20
                     MS. MCDAVID: Good morning. My name is
21
    Brooke McDavid. I'm Council Coordinator with OSM and I
    coordinate Eastern Interior and Y-K Delta.
22
23
24
                     MS. FARNHAM: Good morning. I'm Nicole
25
    Farnham with the Tanana Chiefs Conference and I'm one
26
    of their fisheries biologists.
27
28
                     MR. CAMERON: Good morning. My name is
29
    Matt Cameron. I'm a wildlife biologist for the Gates
30
    of the Arctic National Park and Preserve. It's great
31
    to be here in person.
32
33
                     MS. OKADA: Good morning. My name is
                   I'm the subsistence coordinator for Gates
34
    Marcy Okada.
35
    of the Arctic National Park and Preserve.
36
37
                     MR. DOWDLE: Good morning. I'm Mark
     Dowdle with National Park Service Superintendent, Gates
38
39
     of the Arctic National Park and Preserve.
40
41
                     MR. RICHARDS: Good morning, Madame
42
    Chair, members of the Council. I'm Mark Richards. I'm
43
    the executive director of Resident Hunters of Alaska
44
     and I'm here representing our organization today.
45
46
                     MS. STUBY: Good morning, everyone.
47
     I'm Lisa Stuby. I'm with the Alaska Department of Fish
48
     and Game and I'm the area management biologist for
49
     Sport Fish Division for the Yukon River excluding the
50
```

0005 1 Tanana. 2 3 MS. CARROLL: Good morning. 4 happy to be here in person. I'm Holly Carroll. 5 with Fish and Wildlife Service as the Yukon Federal 6 In-Season Manager. 7 8 MR. MASCHMANN: Good morning. I'm 9 Gerald Maschmann. I'm a fisheries biologist with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service here in Fairbanks. 10 11 12 MR. MOSES: Good morning. Aaron Moses. 13 I'm the subsistence specialist for Yukon Delta National 14 Wildlife Refuge. 15 16 MR. GUSSE: Good morning. 17 Gusse, Bureau of Land Management, Law Enforcement out 18 of Anchorage. 19 20 MR. CHEN: Aloha, Council Members. My 21 name is Glenn Chen. I'm the subsistence branch chief 22 of Bureau of Indian Affairs. Always a pleasure to be 23 here. 24 25 MS. WESSELS: Good morning, Madame 26 Chair. Members of the Council. My name is Katya 27 Wessels and I'm Council Coordination Division Supervisor with OSM. I'm very happy to see you here in 28 29 person today. Thank you. 30 31 MR. KRON: Good morning, Madame Chair 32 and Council. I'm Tom Kron here for the OSM leadership 33 team to help with this meeting and I'm super happy to 34 be here in person after two and a half years. 35 you, Madame Chair. 36 37 I wanted to say a special thanks to 38 your Chairman. Jack, I'm sorry to hear about your 39 accident. I was looking forward to seeing you, but I'm 40 looking forward to hearing you at this meeting. Thank 41 you. 42 43 MS. PILCHER: All right. This is Nissa 44 Pilcher again. So now we'll do introductions of those on the phone. So what I will do is I will run down a 45 46 list of organizations and call out if you're with that 47 organization, introduce yourself, and then we'll go to 48 members of the public.

```
0006
 1
                     So we'll start with tribal governments.
     If there's anyone online representing a tribal
 2
     government if you could please introduce yourself now.
 4
 5
                     (No comments)
 6
 7
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. How about any
 8
    Native organizations.
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. And then how
13
     about U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
14
15
                     MS. MONCRIEFF: Hi. This is Catherine
16
    Moncrieff with the Yukon River Drainage Fisheries
17
     Association.
18
19
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. If I breeze
20
     by anybody, feel free to jump in as well. How about
21
     National Park Service on the phone.
22
23
                     MS. KLEIN: Nissa, this is Jill Klein.
24
     Sorry to go back to Fish and Wildlife Service.
25
26
                     MR. NICORI: Hi, my name is Emmitt
27
    Nicori with the Yukon Delta.
28
29
                     MR. HARRIS: Good morning. Frank
30
    Harris, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
                                             I'm a
31
     fisheries biologist with the Kenai Fisheries Office.
32
33
                     MS. FOX: Good morning. Joanna Fox.
34
     I'm the Refuge Manager for Kanuti National Wildlife
35
     Refuge.
36
37
                     MS. PATTON: Good morning to everybody.
     This is Eva Patton, Subsistence Program Manager for the
38
39
    National Park Service Regional Office here in
40
     Anchorage. Good morning, everyone.
41
42
                     MS. PILCHER: Good morning, Eva.
43
44
                     MR. DEACY: Good morning. This is Will
45
     Deacy with National Park Service.
46
47
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. This is
48
    Nissa. Sorry, I'm moving fast. This is only my second
49
     meeting so I'm still a little nervous. If anyone is on
50
```

```
0007
     from BLM, if they'd introduce themselves.
 2
 3
                     MR. MCKEE: Good morning. This is
 4
     Chris McKee, Statewide Subsistence Coordinator for BLM
 5
     and Interagency Staff Committee member.
 6
 7
                     MS. PILCHER: Good morning. If there's
 8
     anyone on from Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
 9
10
                     MS. JALLEN: Hi, Nissa. Good morning.
11
    This is Deena Jallen with the Alaska Department of Fish
     and Game. I'm the Yukon River Summer Season Manager.
12
13
     I'm located here in Fairbanks. Thanks.
14
15
                    MS. GLEASON: Good morning.
16
    Christy Gleason, the Yukon River Fall Season Manager
17
    here in Fairbanks with Alaska Department of Fish and
18
    Game. Good morning.
19
20
                    MS. COLEMAN: Good morning.
                                                 This is
21
    Jesse Coleman. I'm the Subsistence Resource Specialist
22
    for the Yukon and Interior Region.
23
24
                     MS. DECKER: Good morning. This is Sam
25
     Decker with Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Thank
26
     you.
27
28
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. Then the last
29
     on the list. Is there anyone on from OSM that's not in
30
    the room?
31
32
                     MS. LAVINE: Robbin Lavine, Subsistence
33
     Policy Coordinator with OSM.
34
35
                     MR. FOLEY: Good morning, Nissa.
36
     Madame Chair and Members of the Council.
37
    Kevin Foley, fish biologist with the Office of
38
     Subsistence Management. Good morning.
39
40
                     MS. VOORHEES: Good morning. This is
41
    Hannah Voorhees, anthropologist with OSM.
42
43
                    MR. AYERS: Good morning. This is
44
     Scott Ayers. I'm the Fisheries Division Supervisor
45
     with OSM based out of Anchorage. Glad to hear
46
     everybody this morning. Thank you.
47
48
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. Any members
49
     of the public or anyone else that's on that I missed.
50
```

8000 1 MR. RISDAHL: Good morning, Madame Chair. This is Greg Risdahl. I'm the USDA Forest 2 Service Subsistence Program Leader and Inter-Agency Staff Committee member. Pleased to be here. 5 6 MS. STRAM: Good morning. This is 7 Diana Stram with the North Pacific Fishery Management 8 Council. Hopefully you guys can hear me okay. 9 10 MS. PILCHER: Yes, we sure can. Loud 11 and clear. Is there anyone else online that would like 12 to introduce themselves. 13 14 (No comments) 15 16 MS. PILCHER: All right. Just a couple 17 quick things before we get started. I just wanted to 18 let everybody know Fairbanks is currently sitting at a 19 low Covid community level as indicated on the CDC 20 website. So we can proceed with this meeting with no 21 restrictions or mask requirements. Those that would 22 like to are more than welcome to wear one and they're 23 provided on the public table for any who wish to do so. 24 25 For those attending our meeting in 26 person please make sure you do sign in at the front 27 table. There's a sign-in sheet for each day of the 28 meeting and we'd appreciate if you would sign in each 29 day you are here. It does help greatly with our 30 process. 31 32 This is a regulatory meeting and the 33 Council will be discussing and deliberating fish 34 proposals and closures. There will be an opportunity 35 for public comment during the proposal period. You can 36 see the steps for the proposal presentation procedure 37 on Page 67 of the meeting book. 38 39 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I think a couple 40 more people walked in. If you would introduce 41 yourself. 42 43 MS. GOSSELIN: My name is Monica 44 Gosselin. I currently work as (indiscernible). 45 46 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Anybody else? 47

(No comments)

48

```
0009
 1
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you.
 2
    Okay. With that we'd like to review and adopt the
     agenda. Is there any additions or changes?
 4
 5
                     MS. PILCHER: This is Nissa again for
 6
     the record. Just to let you guys know there was an
 7
     updated one at your meeting place when you guys first
     walked in. It's a little different from the one that's
 9
     in your meeting book. There's also copies over on the
10
    public table as well.
11
12
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. With that
13
    is there a motion to adopt the agenda.
14
15
                     MR. HONEA: So move.
16
17
                     MR. VENT: Second.
18
19
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Moved by Don
20
     Honea and seconded by Darrell Vent. All in favor say
21
     aye.
22
23
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
24
25
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed
26
    say....
27
28
                     MR. REAKOFF: Aye.
29
30
                    MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Sorry, Jack.
31
    Motion carries. The next one we have is review and
32
     approve the previous minutes. I'm sure you've all had
33
     a chance to read it. Is there a motion to accept the
34
    meeting minutes.
35
36
                     MR. REAKOFF: I make a motion to adopt
37
    the minutes as presented.
38
39
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: A motion by Jack
40
     and seconded by Darrell. All in favor say aye.
41
42
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
43
44
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same
45
     sign.
46
47
                     (No opposing votes)
48
49
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion carries.
```

```
0010
 1
    Now we're up to.....
 2
 3
                     MR. HONEA: Madame Chair. Can we go
 4
    back? Usually there's discussion on the -- or am I out
 5
     of order here? I thought that we put it on the floor
     and accept it, but any questions or comments about it.
 6
 7
     Is that open?
 8
 9
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yeah.
10
11
                     MR. HONEA: Okay. I specifically have
     a question on the meeting. This was a teleconference
12
13
     and there was a motion on Page 9 of this book by Arnold
14
     Demoski, seconded by Tim to select Tim and Darrell and
15
    Kevin to be the Council's delegation to the North
16
     Pacific Fishery Management Council June meeting. I was
17
     just wondering if they wanted to give a brief update on
18
    that. I mean did that happen? Would they like to
19
    discuss it? Thank you.
20
21
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tim.
22
23
                     MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair.
24
     I was going to discuss it in my opening comments.
25
26
                     MR. HONEA: Okay, okay. Great. No
27
     other questions.
                      Thank you.
28
29
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. With that
30
     I guess the motion passed to accept the minutes.
31
     Reports. Council reports. We'll start off with Kevin.
32
33
                     MR. WENTWORTH: Madame Chair. Kevin
34
     Wentworth. Could I pass and let the elders of the
35
     group go first.
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Sure. Sorry
38
     about that.
39
40
                     MR. WENTWORTH: Caught me off guard.
41
42
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Pollock.
43
     Pollock, can you do your Council report, please.
44
45
                     MR. SIMON:
                                 Thank you, Madame Chair.
46
     I'm Pollock Simon, Sr. from Allakaket, upper Koyukuk
47
    River. It's kind of different nowadays with no fish
48
     and no numbers of moose and no black bears.
49
    Occasionally you see some grizzly bears that still roam
50
```

the country.

Yeah, we're getting used to not eating king salmon. No chum salmon to eat. We rely heavily on sheefish and whitefish. The last two years I've been restricted to even setting a 6-inch mesh net. We just have a smaller net like 4-inch mesh for little whitefish and pikes. The dogs eat the pikes and the suckers and the little whitefish.

So kind of hard times in Allakaket because the virus is going around and we're losing some peoples, some elders, some young peoples. This is the first time we sit down and face each other at a meeting for maybe two years or more. It's good to see all of you. I took part in the teleconference, but sometimes I couldn't hear what the speaker was talking about. Sometimes I just hang up my phone and leave. This is good facing all of you. I'm glad I'm here.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Pollock. I look around and I guess I'm next.

(Laughter)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: It's good to be here with all of you. Like Pollock said, it's been over two years that we met. It's hard to meet on the phone because all you're looking at is paper and just yourself in the room. So it's good to be here and good to have an audience, to see all of you. I recognize some faces.

I'd just like to say that over these couple years we've had a hard time with our fishing. As you know, there's been no fishing, but our Village Council was able to get some fish from -- I can't remember where, but we cut it like we did with the king salmon and it's a different kind of fish, so we had to learn how to work a drier fish I guess you would call it. There's hardly any oil in it.

Many of us had a hard time with that because it was a new type of fish for us. But we're thankful for everything we did get. I'm sure the people along -- whoever received the fish were also thankful for it. Many councils did get fish, so we

just thank the people that donated it to us.

With that I believe many people were successful at their moose season. My husband and I were unable to go due to Covid and the aftereffects of Covid, but I'm sure everybody is enjoying their catch.

I know last winter the Louden Council had a bounty on moose -- not moose, wolf. I know some other councils that are doing that, which would keep the moose surviving in the years to come. Just last week someone told me that they took a ride about maybe five miles out of Galena and they saw 16 cows with their babies, so I think there were like 12 babies. So the moose are coming back, I think. Hopefully.

With that, again I'd like to say it's good to be here. I'm sorry that Jack couldn't be here. He's the one that knows this job in and out. I'll just do the best I can. With that I'll just close my report.

Jack, you're up next if you want to do yours on the Chair. You can do it then or you can do it now.

MR. REAKOFF: Thank you, Madame Chair. After our meeting in February I participated in writing and shepherding the Wildlife Special Action Request 22-02 for the Dall sheep closure for Unit 24A and 26B west of the Sagavanirktok through the Federal Board process.

I also participated in the wood bison planning meeting that was held last week. Wood bison are in our region and I've been filling in Robert Walker that would do that, participate for the Council, but so far nobody in our region has been able to participate in that aspect. We need a member from GASH area, Grayling, Shageluk, Holy Cross, Anvik, if we can get that.

But I will continue to participate in the wood bison plan because it's very important. This Council advocated for the release of wood bison within our region and the wood bison project is a very worthwhile for future generations of people in our region. Mainly they talked about allocation and when a hunt would occur.

 Conditions here we have two inches of snow and very few caribou have come into the Central Brooks Range and the Upper Koyukuk Drainage. Very few rabbits, hares, snowshoe hares. Really the only increase in abundance of any animal has been spruce grouse. Moose population is low and there's lots of bear predation. It was a bad blueberry year and berries in general. So we're digging roots and chasing moose around quite a bit.

That would be my report. Thank you, Madame Chair. I also wanted to inform the Council that I had a nine-foot fall on the frozen ground and damaged my heels and feet and lower legs. I have small cracks and bruising, so I can't walk at this time. I really wanted to participate in this meeting.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack. With that we'll go to Kevin.

MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Madame Chair. Thanks for passing it on to the elders of the group. Don had brought up the North Pacific Fisheries Council summary, so I had to scramble to try to find that summary and I found it. Anyway, Kevin Wentworth from McGrath. Council member from McGrath. I'll keep this brief.

So right now on the Kuskokwim River we're experiencing a multi-year multi-salmon collapse in the fishery. Ten years ago, as you all know, chinook salmon crashed, Federal management took over. We've been trying to rebuild that fishery. It's doing better. It's not good. People aren't reaching their subsistence needs for chinook salmon and they haven't in the past 10 years.

About three years ago roughly the chum salmon crashed and this year again the fishery is not good at all. The chum salmon crash is historically low for three years now. It's rebounded. I mean it's just low. It's really, really low, the chum salmon. That's a prized fish as well.

People talk about that fish being less oily so elders like to have chum salmon. They like to have chum salmon for drying. There's other means for

using chum salmon. There's still lots of dog teams on the Kuskokwim River. People use those for feeding dogs. So chum salmon is a very important resource and it's not there.

This year also we saw the first ever major closure for the coho salmon run on the Kuskokwim River. So three species now has collapsed and this is a huge hardship for the people on the river. It's a food security issue. The ecosystem is just completely upside-down and it's just hurting the people on the river. Up and down the river, from the bay to the headwaters.

The coho run it was coming in weak. It looked low. The fishery was open 24/7 until about August 16 and that's about when they start to arrive in the middle part of the river and get up into the upper headwaters. That's when the closure took effect. It was a river-wide closure for all gear types. Everything was closed.

You couldn't have a whitefish net out. Fishwheels were closed, seine nets. Everything was closed. All gear types were closed except for rod and reel. I think you could use those for catching pike in slack water areas. I think there was other areas you can fish for in slack water. You can have a fishwheel in slack water, which doesn't make sense to me.

Anyway, it was a huge hardship to have. Everybody who couldn't catch chinook salmon they'd usually wait for the chum. Then if they can't catch chinook or chum, they wait for the coho. This year the coho was completely shut down. So with these high restrictions on the Kuskokwim River to try to conserve these three species, folks aren't able to catch relatively healthy sockeye salmon.

I can't remember the numbers. Over 500,000 sockeye salmon on the Kuskokwim. They don't all go up to the upper headwaters. Most of them stay in the middle to lower river. They spawn in those areas. So with these high restrictions for chinook and chum during the sockeye run people aren't able to target those species. It's really difficult for people to supplement sockeye salmon.

Also in the fall time when the coho

salmon were completely closed folks aren't able to catch whitefish or pike or sheefish or any of the other species to try to supplement their diet. So people are really hurting. I mean this is the -- I've never seen -- I know I'm young, but I've never seen an entire system closed for that long.

So June 1st was a chinook salmon closure and it was basically -- I mean it opened a few times for chinook salmon and then the same with chum salmon and then there was a complete month-long closure for coho through September 15th. So August 16 through September 15 roughly it was completely closed for coho. We've never seen that.

So when you're out moose hunting you bring your fishnet for whitefish and you can't do that. In the fall time you're trying to put some fish in your freezer. We couldn't do that. By the time September 15th comes around, the silver salmon, they're getting more towards their spawning time, so they're not as good as the first part of the run.

I know that silver salmon fishing all my life basically. The first part of the run is when you find the best fish to put away in the freezer, jar them, whatever you want to put them away for. So by September 15 people are mostly hunting as well, so they're not focused on fishing.

It's very difficult times right now for the people. I just wanted to touch on the food security issues there, the three river three salmon collapse. I think that could be probably said on the Yukon as well from what I'm hearing from folks. Three multi-year multi-species collapse of salmon on the two rivers.

Don had brought up the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council June bycatch meeting and I was supposed to attend that and I did not attend that meeting. It's in June, which is right in the middle of — which is unfortunate, but that's right in the middle of in-season management for chinook salmon. I just could not go to that meeting. But there's people who went, people I work with, people that I collaborate with often, so I can give a summary of that meeting.

Basically North Pacific Fisheries

Management Council -- that June meeting is when salmon bycatch issues was brought up, which is unfortunate because in June is when us subsistence fishermen are busy fishing. So to have that meeting, to talk about it at a June meeting, it does not make sense to me. I had brought that up with NOAA before at other meetings. Not at this meeting. I brought that up before, but that schedule does not work for us.

The North Pacific Fisheries Management Council took no action on any concrete — to limit chinook or chum bycatch. Right now there is a cap on chinook, but they did not take any action to lower that. They took zero action on establishing a chum bycatch cap at all. There isn't anything right now. So even though chum bycatch, even if it's low right now or I don't even know the numbers, but if it is low, we don't know what next year will bring.

It could be a high bycatch here next year. There's no cap. It's basically unregulated for chum salmon. They could catch whatever they want. It's not unregulated, but you know what I mean. As far as chum bycatch goes, they could catch 500,000 without — it's not a problem for them. There needs to be a chum bycatch limit. At that meeting they took no action at all.

That's basically that meeting, Don. I hope that helps with the summary. Also I represent the Western Interior RAC on the Kuskokwim River Salmon Management Working Group. This is a State of Alaska riverwide working group. It's a lot like the YRDFA weekly call-ins. We have something similar with the Kuskokwim River Salmon Management Working Group, which I represent this body on that.

Very busy summer, like I said. All three species, lots of food security issues. There's a lot I could talk about there, but I won't talk about it now. The entire summer it was just depressing. The amount of restrictions on the people, people not reaching their harvest needs. We were doing everything we can to work with the State of Alaska through this working group to try to provide some opportunity while conserving the fishery, especially in that coho run when people were completely cut off from catching anything.

My understanding with the State of Alaska they didn't have the tools in the toolbox that they could allow for any four-inch opportunity during that coho closure or silver salmon closure. They couldn't allow for fishwheels to be run so you could release coho salmon like they've done with chinook salmon. That's just not in their toolbox. It's not in their management plan, so they just -- they can only open it or close it. So they decided it was best to close it completely.

We tried to ask the State of Alaska at this working group meeting to do window closures or do roaming closures, whatever it's called when you close the lower river first and you work your way up as the run builds, but they said no. Complete closure.

Anyway, I don't want to get into details at this working group. Please ask questions if you guys have other questions. I will just leave it at that. Thank you. I hope, Jack, you get feeling better soon. We miss you.

Thank you, Jenny.

MR. REAKOFF: Thanks, Kevin.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Kevin. With that we'll go to Don.

MR. HONEA: Good morning. Hey, I'm real thankful my cousin Jenny is able to Chair this meeting. I'm sure it's not an easy thing to do. So I'm really thankful. Thank you, Jenny, for chairing this. I'm sorry -- you know, Jack has always been at the helm of our -- you know, and he's real knowledgeable and everything and I'm thankful for that.

Like Tom mentioned from OSM there, it's really good to be online. It's really hard out in the villages or anywhere to be online. I've got to have an extra cell phone and stuff like that. So it's really great to meet like this.

 I thank Kevin for bringing up the fisheries thing. It was kind of a surprise to him to -- for me to point that out, to ask about that, but I'm sure that we're not going to find a lot of willing participants to be on that board. I'm sure it's going

to be -- you know, it's already a controversial issue. I'm hoping that we get that filled.

As for hunting, Jenny mentioned no fish and that's how it is for the last two years. No fish at all. We don't even put a net in. In fact, last week in Ruby somebody put a net in and whitefish to feed his dog team. So it's really kind of trying. It's gotten to the point where some places -- I remember 10, 15 years ago, maybe when I first got on we were talking about Area M and the effects that it might have. Yet, in the last year or something I seen kind of a bycatch of 200,000 chum or something. Maybe it was destined for the Yukon. Maybe it was destined for the Kuskokwim. I think we have to bring all these factors into place and find something.

I mentioned coming to this meeting and somebody mentioned bring up the bycatch. The bycatch issue is almost kind of a moot point when we're talking about -- you know, I remember meeting in Galena there and Ken Chase and I were on the same page saying, hey, we could always -- let's not bother the kings for a year or so or whatever. We can always depend on the fall -- summer and fall chum, 500,000, 700, you know. Now what's the number, 200 or less? 200,000 or less? I mean there's something definitely wrong.

So whether it's a global issue it seems like we're not doing anything. Right, Mr. Simon? It seems like -- I mean, you know, I think we have to bring it on a more humanitarian global issue than that.

 Other than that one other point I'd like to make is, you know, there's some areas in our -- in the Western Interior that's not represented and I don't really like that. I'm thankful for Kevin to get on here taking Ray's place. He's knowledgeable in fisheries and stuff, but what about Aniak, Stoney River, Georgetown, that area.

Years ago we had a meeting in McGrath, so I was just relating to what was going on because I only know what's going on in my particular area. So one of the Council members says, hey, Don, you've got to remember you're speaking for the whole area. Sure, that's fine and dandy, but I don't know what's going on in Koyukuk or Nulato or Kaltag. I just know what's

going on around Ruby.

With that I really think that we ought to somehow in some form get those places represented. That's a concern for me because right now -- I mean, you know, when the topic of hunting and fishing with the Federal -- with our respective refuges coming up, I'm concerned about my moose population. It's really down from 19 moose. It's always been consistent, maybe 30 or better. The wolf numbers on the Novi. So that's what I mean. I want all of our areas represented.

So with that I will -- this is my last year on here, but I'm going to re-up for maybe next year because I think we have too much at stake. It's always been my kind of take and my contention if we can't do a doggone thing about fishing, if we can't somehow bring those fish back, we've got to take care of our moose. We've got to take care of our game. So I'm really concerned about that. I'm just going to keep our AC alive and work on that matter.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Don.

Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. Jenny, I really appreciate you taking over the meeting on short notice. I hope you have a good rehab there, Jack. We all know each time we fall down every year it's harder to get up, but keep trying. We're rooting for you.

Okay. So regarding the report. We have a lot of frustrated people with the closure on the Yukon River for salmon. People didn't eat that much fish. They utilized whatever store canned fish or canned strips that they had left over from prior years. People did eat. I was not there, but I believe they got some red salmon shipped in. There was kind of some mixed reaction to it, but I mean they appreciated to be able to get fish.

Sockeye is kind of an unknown species in our region. People didn't quite know how to prepare it and their pallets weren't used to it, but they appreciated other fishermen from around the state and some of the transportation companies and TCC helping

out with distributing that. I think there was about four families that put out whitefish nets to get whitefish harvest in lieu of any king, chum or silver harvest.

The commercial harvest for chum in Area M was approximately 813,000. That's down about 25 percent from the 1.1 million last year. Area M fishermen, that's a seine drift gillnet and setnet fishery. They have quite a bit of fishing opportunity in June and then July and August the State managers had to choke down or close down quite a bit for protection of chum and protection of pink salmon.

This Council had nominated me to speak at the Sitka North Pacific Fisheries Management Council meeting in Sitka, which was June 6th to the 14th. As we got more information on the meeting, we learned that the salmon testimony was not going to be until the latter half of the meeting, like the 13th or 14th of June, and I had to depart on my boat for fishing by that time.

So I apologized to the Council for not -- for saying I would participate in a meeting. I was hoping to be able to do a testimony June 6, 7 or 8, but basically in any year until I retire I'm commercial fishing. Anything after June 10th would be unlikely for me to be -- have access to testify by telephone or by internet. So I apologized for that.

But, yeah, Kevin did make a good point that it is -- June is always problematic for subsistence or commercial salmon fishermen to be able to make testimony. These North Pacific Management Council meetings they cover a lot of topics and they're very long and I would hope maybe there is or maybe there could be a way that people could record their testimony and then the Council could play that testimony whenever it comes up.

Unless you're professional in fishery management council things it's really hard to make someone available for three days knowing -- not knowing exactly when you'll actually be able to get to testify. We can talk with Dr. Stram later on in the meeting and see what kind of options are available so that we can make our voices heard.

One other thing on North Pacific Fisheries Management Council is they are accepting nominations for -- I believe it's a newly-formed Alaska Native tribal seat on its advisory panel. The advisory panel is not the actual council itself, but it's a panel that discusses the same issues and makes advisory or preferential recommendations to the North Pacific Council. I'm glad to see that the North Pacific Management Council is recognizing -- starting to recognize that there should be some Native voice in their system. Hopefully we can get some good participation through that.

That nomination needs to be in prior to November 15th. It's on their website. I have interest in applying for the bycatch task force that North Pacific Fisheries Management Council set up, but I was involved in a deposition process for a lawsuit against me at the end of September. From that distraction I forgot to get my nomination in on time for that bycatch board so that didn't happen.

This recent typhoon that put a lot of high storm surge into western Alaska knocked out power to some of the villages. I think that situation where a lot of subsistence fishermen lost a lot of meat and fish product from losing electricity, that highlights the significance on the Yukon and I imagine the Kuskokwim also.

We've lost a lot of our fish camp culture in the last three decades due to declining salmon runs. Because of that people aren't putting up as much fish traditionally as they used to and the younger generations are ignorant as how to prepare strips or eating fish and to some extent pressure canning. With those traditional methods they wouldn't be affected by power outages or by a big storm event such as that. So that incident just kind of highlights on a food security situation the value of being able to put up fish and meat and traditional methods were not relying on modern conveniences such as electricity and freezers to hold your subsistence harvest.

We had a Priority Information Needs meeting approximately about a month ago. That went pretty good. We had good representation up and down the river. People from OSM communicated with us that the Kuskokwim PIN meeting they were able to reduce

their needs down to about five things of real priority.

The way our meeting went we barely took anything off the list because we felt, whether it was salmon or alternative species, such as whitefish or pike, that all those things are important now because we don't have a reliable salmon resource now or in the foreseeable future. We'll probably get some information later in the meeting from OSM on the status of those PIN meetings.

So one thing I would like some assistance on either from Council members in particular Jack or OSM Staff, I would like to know what this Council can do to -- I mean it's advisory capacity, but I would like to know what kind of powers are granted to the Western Interior Council because we could take some more significant action on trying to get our salmon runs resolved. It just seems to be getting worse and worse every year. I just would like this Council to be able to operate at its best level to bring a change about in supporting stronger salmon returns.

From the meeting minutes I read for the North Pacific Management Council meeting, it seems like a lot of membership there just interested in continuing on with business as usual with the Bering Sea/Aleutian Island trawl fishery and talk about having a task force or having research or whatnot, but I think what really needs to happen is they need to slow down the amount of fishing and the amount of time they have their nets in the water until we can get some kind of reversal in our salmon abundance.

We've talked about in other meetings how -- I mean we have documentation that there's Alaska Native doing net fishing for salmon on the Yukon back in the 1860s and anthropological theory would suggest that people have been eating salmon on the Kuskokwim and Yukon Rivers for approximately 12,000 years. It would seem unlikely that people could survive without a salmon resource in a pre-contact setting.

So I feel that that historical use of that resource is more important than any kind of use of that resource is more important than any kind of commercial, whether it's a State-managed fishery or a Federally-managed fishery that's taking salmon out of

0023 the ecosystem. 2 3 That's all I have for now, Madame 4 Chair. 5 6 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tim. 7 Darrell. 8 9 MR. VENT: Good morning, everyone. 10 Glad to be back here enjoying the meeting. Yeah, we're 11 going to have to start really looking at how this 12 management of our resource is being affected. Our 13 people are not able to go out to their summer camps and 14 utilize the traditional ways of harvesting their fish 15 or taking of fish for food for our families or animals. 16 17 I was raised up in an Iditarod racing 18 family. My grandpa raced in there and my father raced 19 in there, so I was busy all the time in the summer. I 20 remember about eight, ten years I was out there 21 constantly all summer long except for 4th of July, but 22 now we can't carry on those traditions. Our kids are 23 not learning these traditions. 25 26

24

27

28 29

30

31

32

Recently I heard -- last night or about 3:00 this morning I got a phone call. There was a bear trying to break into a window of a house in the village. A grizzly bear. So we're seeing all kinds of signs. Our Native traditional ways we understand what's going on out there, but we aren't taking -we're only taking that advisory. We're not taking seriously when it comes to managing our game and our fish, our gathering.

37

38

39

40

41

There's not a lot of berries out there. The water was high this fall. It was hard to catch a moose in our area. There was no fish. You know, we look 10, 15 years down the road, 10, 20 years, what are we going to live on? We're supposed to be living a subsistence lifestyle and that's not happening. Our rural people are really going to be affected because of this.

46

47

48

We can't think that the moose population is going to increase in our areas. We have no information on that, but that's our main source of food now because we don't have fish, we don't have caribou. A lot of our animals are starting to disappear. We need some kind of management that's

going to either replace what we have there or repopulate.

It's going to be hard to replace the fish that was taken from the river because of the size. When you have this big Yukon River kings that were 90 pounds, those fish were Canada-bound. We don't have that no more. You've got a lot of jacks, you've got a lot of smaller king salmon. They're not going to survive. We can see that already.

This is something that's really affecting our people. We depended on all that kind of fish. Now we can't even catch our fall chum. It's hard to think about what our future is going to be. We're not really thinking of this is a management. It's more of a money controlled environment. People are taking what they need for the money and then leaving us with nothing. That's what happened. I can see our kids are going to be growing up and it's going to be hard for them because they're going to have to depend more on stores, which is not a subsistence use.

You know, it's a reality. We all got to look at what we're doing here and think, you know, hey, how could we relieve the situation. How could we help our people. How could we help the State. How could we help the Federal government. It's been a one-sided venture for our people. We've been complaining, saying that we're not getting this, we're not getting that, but it's advisory capacity only. We're not taken seriously because they think, you know, money is more important than what you guys are living on.

 So that's the way it looks because this meeting down in Sitka they were telling me that, you know, it's just business as usual. It's not about our people. It's about everybody in the whole world, international waters. These are big companies that we're trying to go up against and we don't have the power or money to influence them from a little village. But we're hurting and we're going to complain and that's our nature. We need to figure out how to work with management. If we don't, then we're not going to — there's not going to be no management. What is there going to be to manage.

Those grizzlies are coming out of the

mountains now because they're hungry. There's no fish up in there. Our moose are getting hit hard. I mean that's our main thing that -- main food that we depend on for the whole year now because we don't have the fish, we don't have the caribou. The moose will have to provide for us and they're not in very good shape sometimes too.

I'm just concerned just from our area. Not our area alone. It's all over Kuskokwim. We're all saying the same thing. When are we going to be listened to. It's hard to address these issues when you have to sit there and listen to the same stories. I wish that we could, you know, change things when it first happened, but now it's too late. We're trying to figure out how to fix a mistake and we're pointing fingers when we should have fixed it before it happened.

That's just some of the things that I think of and I hear from my elders. We're going to get hit really hard with hard times here. Our food resource is not going to be there, so we've got to figure out ways to replace that food source in our areas as people are really relying on moose. The grizzlies are doing the same thing. They're getting hungry and they're coming into our village.

 About three, four years ago we had about four or five of them that had to be gotten rid of in the village. It's something that people don't want to talk about because they're scared. They might get in trouble with the State because they got to have a tag or something just to take that bear. All along when we used to manage our resource we didn't have to buy tags or get a license. Our people just took care of the problems. Now the restrictions make it harder and people don't want to do it. So we're having an increase in predation.

We can't talk about bounties. You know, it's incentive programs. You know, it's something different when it comes to bounties. They don't understand how it is for us to live up in this area. We have to compete for this food and that's what predation is all about. We're a major part of the problem right now because we have to learn to manage. That's our issue right now. I'm just concerned, so I just wanted to mention that.

I sure wish I could have made the meeting this spring in June, but I'm busy working. I've got to try to provide for the family. These meetings are not at the right time. I sure wish I could have went there and spoke, but it's hard when you have to make your choices.

You know, predation is going to get harder and more and more because in our area we noticed that the wolf population is picking back up again. That means that the kind of moose — there's more moose around Koyukuk and Galena area than there is around our area. We used to have way more moose in our area.

Like I said, when you take a look at your management, you're taking those big breeding bulls and you're catching them and taking the horn, but you're also taking the strain when you do that. So your moose are not able to compete against predation. They've got a higher percentage of loss then the moose are smaller or weaker when they don't breed with them big bulls.

That's the same thing happened with fish. You take the big strain out and it's hard for them to compete against going up the wrong river or predation. So we understand from a different point of view of what's going on with our fish, our moose, our caribou. We lost our caribou migration pattern. We used to have caribou coming in in the fall time. That don't happen no more.

I always spoke about that because my grandpa always told me about it one time, Pollock's uncle. He used to tell me stories about fishing stuff. It was good to listen to elders, but now we're getting at that stage where I'm starting to getting to be halfway elder now.

Thank you guys for letting me speak in the meeting.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Darrell. With that I know when we start our meeting sometimes we forget to ask the question is there anything else to add or delete from the agenda. We're thinking and then later on we think of something. I think Tim thought of something and maybe we'll just see what he has. If the Council wants to add it or not to

the agenda, we could.

MR. GERVAIS: It's not an agenda item, Madame Chair. It's just a part of my report because I forgot to put it in. That was the moose hunting around Ruby went fairly well. Quite a bit better hunting towards the second half of the season. Subsistence needs were met for the village. So that turned out to be a real big or real important issue right now that salmon is not part of our subsistence economy this year.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you. Don.

MR. HONEA: Yeah. Hey, I think that's real important that we discuss whatever we've reported on. Like we're going to get into some heavy stuff, but I just wanted to mention what Darrell had mentioned and maybe we could use that for future whatever.

It just goes back to something that happened in the village of Ruby maybe three, four years ago. There was a brown bear, grizzly, whatever, entered the village and for safety sake a villager got the bear, harvested, and yet in the next day or say, whether it was -- I don't believe it was Fish and Wildlife, but Alaska State Troopers, whatever, their Game Division, and it almost led to somewhere where you -- instead of thinking about the village's safety, they were more concerned about, hey, you shouldn't have shot that bear in the village or did you have a tag, crap like that.

You know, it was -- so things like that, I agree, we have to change those. You know, I mean for instance brown bear or grizzly tags and stuff, you're not going to see one hardly in your lifetime. A lot of time you don't. There's a few times I've been able to do it, but, you know, do you have a harvest ticket. Stuff like that.

So I'm glad he mentioned that. For safety sake maybe it was something we could use as a valid measure or something to think about in the future. I thank you for the time that we can discuss this.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

0028 1 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Kevin. 2 3 MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Madame 4 Chair. Tim had brought up the question of what we can do to get these salmon back. I was just thinking about that as well. I don't know if it's in the agenda, but 6 7 can we have a discussion about writing letters, comment letters to like the North Pacific Fisheries Management 9 Council? I don't know if it's in the agenda or not. 10 11 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I quess you can 12 add that on somewhere. We usually write letters 13 anyways. 14 15 MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah. I just didn't 16 see it on the agenda. One thing that's coming up is 17 this December North Pacific Fisheries Management 18 Council meeting is coming up and they are going to take 19 up salmon bycatch issues again at that meeting. 20 may be a good time for us to write a comment letter for 21 that meeting. There's other things I'd like to talk about too for letters. 22 23 24 Thanks. 25 26 MS. PILCHER: Diana Stram with the 27 North Pacific Fisheries Management Council will be 28 giving an update later on in the agenda and at that 29 time would be a good place to bring up if you wanted to 30 draft a letter to that Council. I guess as for the 31 other correspondence things we can figure out where 32 they can get it as they go. 33 34 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you. 35 Okay. With hearing the other Council members speak, I 36 also added a couple things on my list. Tim said he 37 couldn't attend a meeting. 38 39 MR. VENT: Are there other Council 40 members on the line too? 41 42 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Just a 43 minute. Rebecca, are you online? 44 45 (No response) 46 47 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tommy. 48

(No response)

49

 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I guess not. Maybe Tommy is flying in. I'll ask Jack after I do mine. With that we were talking about how Tim couldn't attend a meeting. Darrell couldn't attend a meeting. I know at one time -- well, we've talked about this many times in our past meetings about getting an alternate, a couple of alternates in case the main person couldn't make it. So we should think about that again.

Hearing Darrell's story about the bear is weird because I dreamt about last night in my dream was a bear was trying to break into somebody's house and woke up. Hearing him say that, oh, my gosh.

I was thinking about the bulls, about our moose. In the past I've seen -- I don't know, maybe it's just me, but it seems like the big bulls are where the moose continue to come from. They stay way back in the woods. And then come to think about it, when the hunters go out there, the planes they drop those people way out in the woods. I just started thinking that's where they're getting those big bulls. They go out for the horns. We don't eat horns. It's good to see them on the walls, I guess. I don't have a moose horn in my house.

I hope many of you in the audience will understand that -- you probably hear us gripe all the time, but it's part of our life because we grew up eating fish, moose, beaver, chickens. We call them chickens, but they're spruce grouse. A lot our -- like king salmon, when I came to one of the meetings, somebody was talking about something else and I didn't know what they were talking about. We were talking about the same fish, but different names.

So we grew up on that and, you know, it's good to have. It's good to have. Like one day I was just craving my king salmon with all that oil in it. Thankfully I have a couple cases from the last time I fished and I just went and opened that and there was all that grease staring at me. Oh, my goodness, that was -- you know.

And something like that -- and I know you probably go to the store or you're craving, oh, man, I want a chicken or I want pork, I want this, you can just run down the street and get it. It used to be

like that a long time ago for us. We used to just be able to go in the woods and get what we want. Now we can't with all the regulations.

I agree with Don about bears walking into our village. We have to defend ourselves. If somebody came into our house, broke into our house, they always say defend, but make sure you shoot them in the house or whatever. Get them in the house. So if the animal comes into our house, we have to protect ourselves and I don't see why in cases like that that it's allowed. I'll defend my grandkids if a bear comes in or try anyway. I'm sure anybody in this case would also defend their family. So we need to think about something like that.

The bears now are actually living in our village. They're right in town. Right in our backyard. So it's sort of scary. With that, Jack, do you have anything else to add?

MR. REAKOFF: Thank you, Madame Chair. I'm pretty distraught with the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council having a bycatch meeting on salmon in June when they know full well most of the people who are having a problem with bycatch are in the middle of their subsistence fisheries.

I feel strongly that the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council should redo their agenda to address salmon bycatch at appropriate timeframes in the winter, a winter meeting schedule, so that the people who are most affected by bycatch can participate.

The reason this Council did not is everybody was too busy. Everybody knows there's seasonal work in Alaska, especially rural Alaska. The North Pacific Fisheries Management Council should be strongly reprimanded for not providing a platform to address the bycatch of salmon when it's appropriate for people to participate.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack. With that -- do you have something to add?

MS. PILCHER: I was just going to add

in I got a note from a member of the Staff that the next Council meeting looks like it will be December 5th through the 13th.

MR. GERVAIS: North Pacific Council.

MS. PILCHER: Sorry. Yes, the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council. And then just to revisit what Kevin had asked. So one thing we could do is we could entertain a motion to reconsider the agenda to add stuff to it. We just have to put it back on the table. Since everybody voted in the affirmative, then it would just take anybody making a motion to put it back on the table to add stuff.

MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair. I'm not sure we will need to add anything. If we can discuss letters, you know, like under North Pacific Fisheries Management Council update. That was the only thing I was thinking about, just the discussion of potential comment letters coming from this body.

MR. HONEA: Madame Chair. I also agree with that. Jack brought up a very good point, very valid point in drafting a letter to them. Maybe we could have that under consideration when we do write letters or whatever. Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. In regards to changes of the agenda, I guess I would like feedback from Jack or OSM Staff. Is this Council already doing everything they can that's vested in their charter to address salmon bycatch or is there other functions we can do other than our advisory letter writing and testifying to other committees or councils such as North Pacific Fisheries Management Council? Is there more to our toolbox than what we're already doing? If so, I'd like to add that to the agenda.

 MS. PILCHER: Through the Chair. This is Nissa. So I'm new. That's a really good question. I'm not sure I can answer you exactly right now. I believe you are doing everything the Council possibly can do, but I can certainly take another look.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you.

Thank you, Tim. I just want to thank Nissa for helping us like we're both new up here, so we keep looking at each other. So I hope you can bear with us.

With that, Jack, are you going to give your chair report now?

MR. REAKOFF: I pretty much gave my report when I spoke earlier. I do feel that the Council has only certain abilities. We can't lobby --you know, we (indiscernible) the reauthorization for the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council. We can't lobby because of the Hatch Act. So we can write letters to the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council through the Federal Subsistence Board process to change their agenda to address bycatch at the appropriate time. The next meeting is in December, but they're probably not going to be talking about salmon bycatch. They need the participation of the people who are affected.

We also need to write a letter to the State Board of Fish because of this Area M extreme interception in the South Alaska Peninsula Fishery. The Area M Fishery is taking as many or more chum salmon than the trawl fleet. So we need to be writing to the State Board of Fish, Chairman of the Board of Fish, to recognize the extreme hardship of meeting escapement and subsistence needs on the Yukon -- AYK stocks. The Board of Game needs to direct Department of Fish and Game, managers of Area M, to consider the extreme dire situation of the chum salmon and chinook salmon and other stocks that move through the South Alaska Peninsula.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. If our Council is done with any more of their reports, if you have anything else to add, this is your last chance.

 MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair. Thanks. Last chance. On the lines of writing a letter and Darrell had brought up what can we do more than just advise as a body. That's hard to -- for me it's hard to figure out. I mean I have no idea -- like Nissa said, we're new -- what this body can do.

As far as tribal governments go,

there's -- the Biden Administration is on the table trying to do more for co-stewardship and co-management of resources that are important to tribal people. I think that's maybe something this body cannot do, but we can write a letter acknowledging this that DOI and NOAA both should build these relationships with tribes so that we are at the table more than an advisory role, but more at a co-management role. Co-stewardship. So there's this push.

Last week we had a Bethel meeting. I think there was a meeting here in Fairbanks as well, October 5 and 7, for DOI and NOAA tribal consultation. I don't know if anybody -- if you all went to it. But that meeting had a good turnout from tribal people. This topic, co-stewardship and co-management of these species came up and I think it's a really important thing especially at this time period with this administration with the people in DOI.

To make that happen at NOAA may be a little bit more challenging, but I think DOI is really ripe for co-management and co-stewardship. I know it's not idea, but it is a step in the right direction as far as getting people's voice. More than just an advisory role. So I would hope this Council could form a letter of some sort writing the DOI leadership and NOAA leadership in talking about this opportunity.

I think we should also put in that letter that there needs to be more of a gravel to gravel management for salmon species. So where the salmon are born in the gravel all the way out to their migratory paths out into the Bering Sea, whether they're going through False Pass, whether they're going out to the Bering Sea, and then their return trip back home to spawn.

Entire management needs to -- there shouldn't be jurisdictional boundaries here. There is. There's State, there's Federal, there's NOAA, there's DOI, there's other State agencies in Area M compared to in-river. There's very little collaboration between all those agencies. There needs to be more collaboration. So I think if we -- we need to point that out. There should be.

 $$\operatorname{So},$$ for instance, folks talked about by catch, talked about intercepting the Area M and

bycatch. Those bycatch numbers are not part of -they're not considered -- how do I put it. They are
bi-caught out in the Bering Sea and they're not
considering our escapement goals and the people's needs
being met, right? It should be.

So people when they come -- when those fish come into Pilot Station and are counted, the regulations are affecting the people in the river the most. The regulations out in the Bering Sea they're not accounting for us and there needs to be a connection there.

 So gravel-to-gravel management, DOI and NOAA, tribal consultation, working with the tribes, working with the people in river, more co-stewardship and co-management of the species so we have more than an advisory role.

Anyway, thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Kevin. Anyone else? Darrell.

MR. VENT: I agree that we need to really look at this because it's a big area. That bowl we're talking about, that's our food there out in the ocean, but it's not only ours. Like he's pointing out, you know, we need to be at the table sitting there discussing these issues because international waters and there's a whole bunch of different places that have hatchery fish that are being injected into these, their areas where it's becoming more of a competition for our natural stocks. So, you know, that's something that we have to consider too because they put a lot of hatchery fish out there. I hear that there's been quite a bit that's been injected into their waters over there.

The chinook salmon that -- you look at a plate of food over there in the international side and it's pretty expensive to buy our chinook salmon.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Darrell. Okay, with that we have service awards, I quess.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes. So we wanted to take a moment to recognize those for their time spent volunteering on this Council. It's time

0035 spent to represent your communities and your region, but it is time spent away from family both while preparing for the meetings as well as attending them. 4 5 So one thing to note, I was only able 6 to search a few years going back on transcripts to 7 check who had been recognized. So if I've missed anyone, please let me know and we can address that 9 during the fall meeting. I will now be turning it over 10 to Mr. Kron. 11 12 MR. KRON: Madame Chair. I would ask 13 for a round of applause for you, for Ms. Jenny Pelkola. 14 15 (Applause) 16 17 MR. KRON: Thank you, Madame Chair. 18 You've served on the Western Interior Regional Advisory 19 Council since 2006. We want to thank you very much for 20 your service. For your 15 years of service. 21 22 You've rarely missed meetings. You 23 always bring you're A game. That's what we see. You've been attentive. You add relevant information, help with questions and discussion on many subsistence 24 25 26 and non-subsistence topics. You also ensure that the 27 meetings move along by offering motions as needed. 28 29 You've been active in your community, 30 participating in subsistence activities and effectively 31 communicate trends and concerns in your community and 32 your region. 33 34 Ms. Pelkola is also quick to recognize 35 other Council members through their communities and she 36 also makes people laugh. We appreciate that. 37 Sometimes we need to laugh. 38 39 Ms. Pelkola is an important voice for 40 the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council and we 41 thank her very much for her service. 42 43 (Applause) 44

MR. KRON: We have a plaque for you to put on your refrigerator or your wall.

48 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you. 49

50

45

46

0036 1 (Applause) 2 3 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: It's like 4 getting an award for first place or something. 5 6 (Laughter) 7 8 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. With that 9 we'll -- we don't need a break, do we? Do you need a 10 break? Okay. I'm usually right on time, so if I say 11 five minutes, it's five minutes. So I'll give you a 12 10-minute break. Be back in 10 minutes. 13 14 (Off record) 15 16 (On record) 17 18 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, with that 19 we'll continue with our meeting but before we do that, 20 I think we have more people online, do you want to..... 21 22 MS. PILCHER: For the record this is 23 Nissa Pilcher with OSM. If anyone's joined us that 24 wasn't able to introduce themselves this morning at 25 9:00, if you'll please go ahead and do so right now 26 we'd appreciate it. 27 28 MR. BLIHOVDE: Hi, good morning. 29 is Boyd Blihovde with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 30 and Refuge Manager at Yukon National Wildlife Refuge. 31 Thanks. 32 33 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. At this 34 time we're going to have the public, tribal comments on 35 non-agenda items. 36 37 MS. PILCHER: For the record this is 38 Nissa Pilcher with the Office of Subsistence 39 Management. During the fall 2022 Council meeting cycle 40 the public can provide written or oral comments to the 41 Council in-person, telephonically, or by email. You 42 can submit written comments up until the start of the 43 presentation of each proposal or closure review. 44 Please indicate your name, affiliation, if that's 45 applicable, and if commenting on a proposal or closure 46 review, please review the proposal or closure review number. You can submit your comments by either 47 48 emailing your comments to subsistence@fws.gov, bringing

a hardcopy of your written comment to the Council

49

meeting and presenting it to the Council Coordinator for distribution, or asking someone to deliver it to the Council meeting on your behalf if you are unable to attend. You can also provide oral comments to the Council either in-person, or telephonically. The Chair will announce the opportunity to provide oral comments after the presentation on the analysis of the proposal or closure review. To provide in-person comments, you must fill out a comment form provided on the public table. These blue cards. And turn it in to the Council Coordinator or other OSM Team member. During the appropriate time the Chair will call your name when it is your turn. Telephonically, after the in-personal oral comments and summary of written public comments, the Chair will ask if anyone on the phone -- if there is anyone on the phone that would like to provide comments. For those on the phone who would like to speak start by saying Mr. or Madame Chair, and wait to be recognized by the Chair before speaking. Comments on non-agenda items are accepted at the start of each day of all Council meetings. The Chair will announce this opportunity daily, which that's where we're at right now in the agenda.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All right, I guess at this time we have Jim Simon on non-agenda items, public testimony. Are you online Jim.

MS. PILCHER: He's here.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, I'm sorry.

 MR. SIMON: Thank you, Madame Chair. For the record my name is Jim Simon. I'm a consultant with the Tanana Chiefs Conference and the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission but I'm just giving my personal comments here right now.

And because of the great conversations the Council has had regarding the impacts of commercial fisheries on our failure to have reasonable opportunities for subsistence and meeting escapement goals, et cetera, I wanted to bring to your attention to something that I believe is in your book, it's the 2022 Kuskokwim River Salmon Situation Report produced by the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission. And, in particular, on Page 8 there's a blue box that says: At a Glance: impacts of commercial salmon interception and bycatch. And the last bullet in that

1 blue square, both State and Federal policy declare that meeting salmon escapement goals and providing for 2 subsistence uses are to be prioritized over commercial harvest. However, in practice, the current management 5 regimes under both North Pacific Fisheries Management 6 Council and the Alaska Board of Fisheries effectively 7 prioritize commercial uses over Western Alaska escapement needs or subsistence uses. For example, in 8 9 2021 when chum salmon harvest were severely restricted 10 on the Kuskokwim and not allowed on the Yukon, over 11 740,000 Western Alaska chum were legally caught between 12 both the Area M Fishery and the Bering Sea pollock 13 fishery. And that's just one example of the.....

14 15

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted - on hold)

16 17 18

19

20

21

MR. SIMON:more extensive efforts that the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission Team did in their situation report this year where there's a lot of information about both bycatch and interception of our salmon are discussed.

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

I also wanted to mention that I believe that Council Member Whitworth briefly mentioned some of the efforts that Alaska tribes are working with and the recent joint consultation between NOAA and the Department of Interior regarding the salmon crises in the AYK region. But I also wanted to mention that Arctic, Yukon, Kuskokwim Tribal Coalition has hired a Staff member and Kevin Whitworth can give you more information about this if you'd like, to focus on bycatch and try to really bring the voice of AYK tribes, that's Kawarek, Tanana Chiefs Conference, Association of Village Council Presidents, the Yukon River InterTribal Fish Commission and the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission. You know the coalition was first established more than 20 years ago with just the three regional non-profits to partner with NOAA, Fish and Wildlife Service and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries and Division of Subsistence and Bering Sea Fishermens Association to establish the AYK Sustainable Salmon Initiative, and through the late Senator Stevens, you know, there was a lot of funding provided prior to the loss of earmarks to that effort and something like 30-some million dollars worth of salmon research has been conducted there, mostly focused on chinook or king salmon and we are beginning to see

managers actually take into account, particularly the Federal manager on the Kuskokwim in partnership with the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission to recognize the importance of the loss of large salmon on the spawning grounds, et cetera, a lot of this approach that's being applied to the co-management of the Kuskokwim River salmon stocks is being informed by this extensive research that's been conducted.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

5

6

7

You know local people tend to make these observations and it takes scientists, you know, a decade or two to sort of catch up. You know 20 years ago when I first started working at the Department of Fish and Game after leaving Tanana Chiefs Conference everyone was talking about the declining size of salmon and how mesh size was affecting perhaps the decline of salmon and the concerns that local tribal representatives had about what that means for salmon escapement and, you know, we finally are seeing the Department of Fish and Game recognize that on the Kenai River where they actually have an escapement goal for large chinook salmon reaching the spawning ground. And I really encourage the Department to avail themselves of all of this new salmon science that's coming out to really try to rebuild these runs. What we see -- I really agree with what Member Darrell Vent said we really see money driving the decisionmaking and the call for more research before taking any action and I don't think that is serving the fish very well and I think that both the Federal and State governments need to start prioritizing the fish over money.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

And with that I'd also like to point out that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service does have a role on the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council, it's only advisory I believe but there is an annual report that is submitted to the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council by the Fish and Wildlife Service and it would be really good if that report was -- a little more attention was paid in that report to -- for example, acknowledging the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission and the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge's efforts for in-season harvest monitoring rather than attributing to the Department of Fish and Game. The Department of Fish and Game has assessed inseason harvest management and has basically concluded that it can't be done, but the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge and the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission has demonstrated that it can be done

```
0040
     and it can be done well with scientific rigor.
 2
 3
                     Thank you.
 4
 5
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, very
 6
    much.
 7
 8
                     MR. GERVAIS: Can we ask him questions
 9
     Jenny.
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I guess Tim has
12
     some questions.
13
14
                     MR. GERVAIS: Thanks for your comments,
15
     Jim. From what I've seen in this situation report it
     looks like a lot of good information that everybody on
16
17
     the Council needs to go over and be familiarized with
18
     all the information brought in here.
19
20
                     So you're saying that the Fish and
21
    Wildlife Service has an advisory capacity with the
22
    North Pacific Council, do you know who prepares that
23
     report?
24
25
                     MR. SIMON: I do not have a lot of
26
     familiarity with the specific role of that Fish and
27
    Wildlife Service person advising the North Pacific
28
     Fisheries Management Council. I don't know who that
29
    person is. And it is unclear to me, specifically, who
30
    writes that report for the AYK region to give to the
31
    North Pacific. But because of the three river index,
32
     you know, that is used to determine whether or not the
33
     lower hardcap for chinook salmon is put in place for
34
    bycatch or the higher -- you know it's 60,000 if the
35
     combined three river index of the Yukon, I believe it's
36
     the middle and upper Yukon, the Kuskokwim and the
37
     Unalakleet if it's something like a quarter of a
38
    million or higher it's the king bycatch cap is 60,000
39
     and if it's below that, so depressed runs it's
40
     something like 45,000 and we've been in a number of
41
     years now where that 45,000 hardcap for bycatch of
42
     chinook salmon is in place. And that's what I believe
43
    Member -- Council Member Whitworth was talking about is
44
    there is no such provision to protect chum catch and
    why you see the -- from that Kuskokwim River
45
46
    InterTribal Fish Commission situation report that I
47
    read into the record, why there is so much chum
48
    harvested that would return to Western Coastal Alaska
49
     stocks. It's hard to genetically distinguish each
```

river from that genetic group. In part because of lack of interest in trying to find more specific genetic markers, at least, from my experience being on the steering committee of the Arctic, Yukon, Kuskokwim Sustainable Salmon Initiative for 13 or so years for the Department of Fish and Game.

6 7 8

4

5

Thank you.

9

11

12

MR. GERVAIS: Jim, do you know if the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission, do they conduct their own genetic testing in addition to the Federal and State testing?

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

MR. SIMON: I should probably let my boss speak to that but he can correct me if I'm wrong. But, no, at this point they're not conducting any specific genetic stock identification work but I think that it is definitely of interest. The most recent project that I am aware of, and, again I'm not a fisheries biologist, I'm the Anthropologist for that InterTribal Commission, it's really starting to look at smolt out-migration and following up on a previous Fish and Wildlife study that really -- that demonstrated a dramatic decline in the numbers of salmon smolt leaving the Kwethluk River drainage. This is something that I hope the Department of Fish and Game will also start paying attention to. A year ago when representatives from the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission and the Yukon River InterTribal Fish Commission had a meeting with Commissioner Doug Vincent-Lang about the salmon concerns, his comments were that it's all a marine ocean issue and so we were able to point out this decline in small out-migration through the four years of that study on the Kwethluk as an indication that it's not just -- you can't just all blame it on what's happening in the ocean, I think some of the Department's own research that Dr. Katie Howard and Sabrina Garcia are working on with looking at Yukon smolt out-migration into near shore waters and how that has become a reliable predictor of future adult returns also demonstrates that there is -- there are things happening -- and the lack of predictability to some extent for chum salmon indicate that there are things happening in both fresh water and the marine environment that need to be addressed.

46 47 48

You know bringing that up makes me think, you know, that everything we're hearing is, oh,

1 it's climate change and we can't do anything about it, well, climate change is nothing new to indigenous 2 people in Alaska. I'm sorry I cannot remember the gentleman's name but I know that there was a Point Hope 5 tribal citizen testifying before Congress about the impacts of climate change in 1975. My own neighbors, 6 7 growing up in the Ahtna region, you know, spoke about how the climate was changing with watching tundra in 9 the Ahtna region becoming shrubby, you know, and 10 forested and landscapes and how that affected caribou 11 herds. I mean none of this is really new. It's maybe 12 new to the Western Scientists but indigenous stewards, 13 you know, are aware of these connections in this 14 ecosystem approach that fortunately Western scientists 15 are beginning to embrace and look into more.

16 17

 $$\operatorname{Sorry}_{\text{\tiny{F}}}$$ that was a long-winded answer to that question.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GERVAIS: Okay. So I'm learning that you're an anthropologist, can you give this Council a story or a case where some disenfranchised people were able to overcome money and politics to do the right thing, and then how that may -- how that could play into what needs to happen to get our salmon back.

262728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. SIMON: That's a tough ask but actually I think I have a good answer. The Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission, I think is one of the best examples I can come up with. Many of the elders and the formal elder advisors to that Commission and it's in-season management team were -- were commercial fishermen. You know commercial fishing inriver disappeared long ago and many of the elders on the Kuskokwim that I have learned from speak to, in now retrospect, how the co-modification of those fish for commercial sales was really the beginning of these problems and I think that's why they are being successful in trying to -- every year that the Commission, in partnership with the Federal -- you know the Fish and Wildlife Refuge there have met the escapement goals but it's not making a difference, the runs aren't -- the escapement goals have been met for something like ever since this -- the last time the escapement goal wasn't met was when the State was still managing the chinook run and I believe in 2013, and so we should start to see the returns based on those escapements being met and we're not. And so something

1 else is going on and we need to really look at these management plans, both in the Kuskokwim and the Yukon 2 River, look at these management plans in light of 4 climate change and what we've learned since many of 5 them have been put into place, you know, a decade or so 6 ago, to see if they are still consistent with the 7 Sustainable Salmon Fisheries Management Policy adopted by the Board of Fish like 20 years ago, which calls for 8 9 precautionary management. Precautionary management to 10 this anthropologist, anyhow, does not mean we keep 11 doing everything we have been doing to co-modify and 12 sell, make money off of these resources when the whole 13 regime, the ecosystem regime is changing and when 14 escapement goals are met but the returns are not coming 15 back from those, something is wrong with the system and 16 precautionary management says be cautious, don't just 17 say, well, we're going to keep doing everything we have 18 been doing until we have new data that suggests we 19 should do something differently. That's not 20 precautionary in my understanding of that means.

21 22

Thank you.

2324

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Any more --

Darrell.

MR. VENT: Yeah, Jim, thank you for that information and input there. You know we always sit in meetings and speak about how, you know, we're trying to figure out what's going on with the fish and we have a -- you know, in our environment we have a lot of issues that could affect our fish. I know I hear stories from down in the Lower 48 where the fish were being affected by predation. They injected a lot of fish in there but it didn't come back the way it should have because predation was pretty high so they had to like put a bounty or incentive program on the pike or predation that was taking the fish. And I see something similar up in our area here. You know we have a lot of pike in our area now, it's more than usual. You know a lot of people are catching them in their nets. And back in the early '90s and 2000s there was not as much but now we look at all these fish racks and you're seeing a lot more pike. So, you know, that's one of the issues that maybe they could put in as information on how to get that fish back in our river. You know, we're being affected by many, many ways, more than just predation, it's out in the ocean, it's in our areas, it's maybe pollution, we don't have

everything on record of how our fish are being affected. So there's more information that we need to try to look at. And when the small ones are heading down the river we don't -- we don't look at predation, we talk about it but, you know, there's more information out there that we need to look into.

MR. SIMON: Yeah, thank you, Darrell. I think you're right and, again, having worked for the Department of Fish and Game, Division of Subsistence for the AYK region for 14 years, I know that the research Staff there have documented many local observations about how even some whitefish will take and eat salmon eggs. Pike, in particular, you know, as some of you may know there are programs in Southcentral Alaska to actually poison the pike, you know, because of their impacts on Alexander Creek salmon returns, et cetera. I think that in the AYK region on the Kuskokwim and Yukon, the Department, you know, relies on subsistence fishermen to take care of that. And I think some of the problems that Kevin Whitworth spoke about earlier with during the coho closure of any gillnet gear, you know, in the river, that prevented taking of non-salmon fish, that's -- we need to come up with better solutions. And it's my hope that the Department will have submitted proposals to give them the management tools they need to address coho conservation on the Kuskokwim.

 You know, again, following up on what Kevin Whitworth said about the working group meetings, I mean there were also questions made to the Department of whether or not they have considered submitting an emergency petition to give them that management flexibility and those tools so that they could provide for some non-salmon subsistence fishing opportunities in the middle and upper Kuskokwim, but they said the process would take too long, I guess. But a month closure -- an emergency petition implies, you know, that it could happen within that month timeframe but.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Darrell.

MR. VENT: Yeah, on that note there's issues that we got to look ahead on is that whitefish and sheefish are being utilized in our villages now because we can't rely on the salmon. We don't have any data on these fish but they're really starting to get hit now. I'm just hoping that we could maybe get some

more information on the other species because now that we're relying on them they're going to start declining, we don't know what kind of shape they're in or anything, at the moment. I know up around Allakaket and up in the rivers up there that's where the sheefish spawn up there and those are really important areas to us. So I just wanted you to know that.

MR. SIMON: Thank you, Darrell. I know that you didn't have a specific question for me but, you know, it is important for the Council to recognize that this is also not new. You know some of the studies done in the upper Koyukuk River by the Division of Subsistence by Dave Andersen of 20 years ago was specifically looking at non-salmon fish populations and their uses given the salmon collapse of 20 years ago and so the Councils adopted priority information needs to provide funding to study those non-salmon fish. I believe there is another project starting to do that same thing in the upper Koyukuk that's being funded by the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. I could be wrong but I think that's accurate. To look at this.

And so the question is, what are we going to do differently from 20 years ago.

You know the problem with the way our current management regimes operate is that we only study things after a crises has happened, it's like ichthyphonus. 20 years ago it was being studied but then it sort of disappeared so it wasn't being studied, and now it's being studied again so that we can evaluate what we might -- how management might be influenced by that information. And, you know, also with those non-salmon projects that the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program have funded, you know, when I became the Regional Supervisor at Subsistence Division was a major priority of mine to do non-salmon work throughout the Kuskokwim and Yukon areas and there was sort of a hold put on the FRMP funding of those until there was sort of a research and management plan developed, which then....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

REPORTER: Go ahead Jim.

MR. SIMON: Which then Caroline Brown

4

5

6

with the Division of Subsistence and Randy Brown with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service conducted and is really -- identifies the information gaps and what the information needs are that we have to make sure that that non-salmon fish species can continue to be sustainably managed as people shift their focus from non-existent salmon to those other species.

7 8

9 I can tell you that after looking at 10 some of that work 20 -- 15 to 20 years ago I could not 11 see a clear indication that people were harvesting a 12 sufficient replacement poundage of non-salmon to 13 replace the lost salmon. So it's just also like what 14 Kevin Whitworth was mentioning earlier, you know, 15 because of the conservation concerns on the Kuskokwim 16 for chinook, chum and now coho, those conservation 17 management restrictions prevent people from being able 18 to fully utilize sockeye. So even before the coho 19 collapse if you looked at whether or not people were 20 harvesting more coho in order to replace the lost kings 21 or chums, you don't really see it being a sufficient 22 replacement value. So I think that's why we're seeing 23 such hardship, is that, while the opportunities may 24 exist for these other replacement fish, for whatever 25 reason they're really not filling the gaps from the 26 loss of salmon. So we really need to rebuild the 27 salmon stocks. And if -- and on the Kuskokwim, if 28 meeting the escapement goals aren't helping, then maybe 29 the escapement goals aren't right or something. That's 30 why the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission has 31 been shooting for the upper end of the escapement goal 32 range, not the bear minimum. And -- but unfortunately, 33 you know, on the Yukon they're just not being met 34 period. Not meeting Treaty obligations. You know the 35 Fish and Wildlife Service gets a couple million dollars 36 a year to support the Yukon Panel process, funds a 37 bunch of the Department of Fish and Game and a team of 38 managers and yet the metrics are a failure, I mean 39 we're not meeting Treaty goals, we're not meeting 40 escapement so we can't, as -- and what I'm hearing from 41 the various tribal official representatives of the 37 42 member tribes of Tanana Chiefs Conference we cannot 43 keep doing the same thing over and over again and 44 expect a different result, something needs to be done 45 differently because what we have been doing is not 46 working.

47 48

Thanks.

0047 1 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jim. 2 Okay. 3 4 MR. GERVAIS: One more please. 5 6 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: One more. 7 8 MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. 9 Jim, can you estimate what percentage of ADF&G's 10 management, not just in-river, but the commercial 11 management too, how much of that comes from regulation 12 and how much comes based from the top down, either the 13 Governor or the Commissioner of Fish and Game? 14 15 MR. SIMON: Wow, that's a loaded 16 question for sure. 17 18 (Laughter) 19 20 MR. SIMON: You know I never 21 specifically studied this as a social scientist, you 22 know, your question, so all I have is sort of my 23 observation and my gut. I do know that State 24 Administrations change just as Federal Administrations 25 change you can see differences in management. I know 26 that not so much for this -- and let me also say that 27 the managers on the ground, you know, they are doing 28 the best that they can and trying, I do know that in 29 recent years I do -- I have been told by some, at least 30 one manager outside of the AYK region that those 31 decisions are coming from Juneau, you know, the actual 32 on the ground decisionmaking. I think there's various 33 levels of review and approval depending on which 34 Administration is in office and that's not really a big 35 surprise I don't think to anyone. 36 37 I guess that's all I can really say at 38 this point. 39 40 MR. GERVAIS: All right, that's good. 41 42 MR. SIMON: A lot of it, it's just the 43 uncertainty. You know it's the uncertainty. And 44 that's where the importance of precaution comes in. 45 Commercial fish managers and commercial fishermen, from 46 my experience having never been either, are very 47 optimistic people and I think that optimism could be 48 better balanced with a dose of realism and precaution. 49 Oh, it'll be better. There are many times in the past

20 years, especially when I worked for the Division of Subsistence where there was still incidental sales of king salmon allowed in the lower Yukon River and then by the time the sort of picture of what the run was doing arrived to the managers minds, and the data they would then try to shut down the Yukon Flats, 5D, and, you know, there were still times when I still worked there when I would say well how many sales of kings did you just allow to happen and it turned out to be about the same amount that the subsistence priority fishery in the Yukon Flats had and I said, so you just sold their fish and now you're going to shut them down, and at least in that particular year, in that instance, they chose not to shut them down. And so that's, you know, that was a different era perhaps for the Subsistence Division, the subsistence isn't a division anymore at the Department of Fish and Game and what I hear is they call the Staff Subsistence Resource Specialists now, not Subsistence Research Specialists, [sic] not Resource Specialists -- when I worked there they were all Subsistence Resource Specialists with jobs of helping to implement the subsistence priority law.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you very much. Okay, at this time we'll have Virgil Umphenour on Proposal 140 Area M.

MR. UMPHENOUR: Thank you, Madame Chair. My name is Virgil Umphenour, I'm representing the Fairbanks Fish and Game Advisory Committee this morning. We submitted a proposal to the Board of Fisheries to address the issue in Area M and the June Fishery. It's Proposal 140. I served three terms on the State Board of Fisheries and when I was on the Board of Fisheries we attempted various and numerous times to do something about the interception of the, primarily chum salmon, in the Area M June Fishery and the post-June Fishery as well.

But a little bit of history on the Area M Fishery. The Area M Fishery is on the south side of the Alaska Peninsula, commonly referred to as False Pass, that fishery has been going on, the commercial fishery there since the early 1900s but the one on the Yukon has as well, basically, if you count what the — if it wasn't going out of state for commercial purposes it was not called a commercial fishery although there was a giant commercial fishery and it started with when

Alaska became a state and the Army had to have dog teams and so there were people that were hired by the Army to catch fish for the Army for their dog teams and to feed the soldiers. And then Hudenstuck* when he was the Archdeacon of the Episcopal Church in Alaska he started orphanages, because we had the big flu epidemic that killed lots of people and there were lots of orphans, anyway he had one at Nenana and he operated out of Fort Yukon, but there was a big crash of salmon in the Yukon River in 1918 and 1919 right at the time when they had all that as well and he went back to Washington D.C., and spoke before what was called the White Commission, because Congressman White was in charge of the fur and fisheries division for the United States Congress and that's who controlled the fisheries and the fur trade in Alaska prior to statehood and that resulted in what was called the White Act, where they dissolved -- they did away with the commercial fishery and they did away with that to provide subsistence use for the people on the Yukon. And about the same time then that put a lot of emphasis when the White Commission -- put a lot of emphasis on management of the fisheries and on what the subsistence needs were of the people. So that stayed that way until about the early 1930s when they started a commercial fishery again.

 But the Area M Fishery, there's no spawning fish, no local fish in the June fishery and very few until after the middle of July, so that fishery is an intercept fishery. It's harvesting migrating stocks and most of those migrating stocks are headed north but not all of them. At the -- and this last April the Department put out a summary of the previous fishery, the 2021 fishery and an outlook for the 2022 fishery and in the very opening paragraph of that it states:

This is not an exact quote but to the best of my memory it's a pretty close to an exact quote.

It says: The Area M June Fishery is -- and the Southeast Alaska chinook salmon fishery is the only two fisheries in the state, commercial fisheries that are managed by what returns, by the -- the inseason management of what returns. The one in Southeast, the chinook salmon fishery, that is set by the Pacific Salmon Commission, it's a Treaty between

6 7

8

the United States and Canada. I've been on the -- I'm part of that as well but I'm on the Yukon River Panel and I've been on it since 1988, before we even had a Treaty, I was one of the people that helped negotiate the Treaty and, in fact, I've been on that -- I think I'm the senior member as far as longevity, been on the Salmon Commission longer than anyone from either country, since 1988 -- anyway, so that fishery is set by a Treaty. The one in Area M is only set by the management plan, the current management plan.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Now, like I said when I was on the Board of Fisheries we addressed this numerous times. The first time it really got addressed as far as interception goes was after 1982. Because in 1982 the fall chum run failed on the Yukon River and the Area M June Fishery caught over a million chum salmon in the June Fishery. Now, this past year -- and so what the Board did is they ended up putting a chum cap on. they caught X number of chums then the fishery would close. In 1991, this is right after YRDFA was formed and I was co-Chair of YRDFA for the upper Yukon at the time and I testified before the Board of Fish in I believe, November of 1991, I know Mr. Kron was there when that happened, and they had a big outlook for Bristol Bay sockeye, at that time the management plan said that they would get 8.3 percent of Bristol Bay sockeye, that was the allocation for the Area M June Fishery of sockeye salmon, 8.3 percent, but they know how many sockeye salmon -- or chum salmon they would catch to catch a certain amount of sockeye, it's called a sockeye to chum ratio. And so going by the historical sockeye to chum ratio they would have to catch at least 900,000 chum salmon in order to harvest the forecast for Bristol Bay, their share of the forecast for Bristol Bay. So at that time the chum cap was 600,000, they changed it to 900,000. So after that happened I wrote a petition to the Board of Fisheries and the Board of Fisheries rejected my petition so I said well to the heck with this and so I got with other people I knew in the AYK region and I sent that petition -- well, I got signatures here in Fairbanks, Will Mayo was the Chair or Executive Director or whatever you want to -- President of Tanana Chiefs Conference, he sent the petition to the Tanana Chiefs Conference villages, I sent my petition to Nome and Kawarek got it to the Nome villages because I knew a lot of people in the Norton Sound region because I had been an officer in the National Guard up there for

awhile, anyway, and we collected over 10,000 signatures. At the time Jack Coghill was Lt. Governor and I knew Jack Coghill, he's from Nenana and so I sent the petition to him and then he told the Governor, we need to make the Board of Fish readdress that chum cap so the Governor ordered the Board to do that and we had a meeting -- or they had a meeting in Juneau coming up so they put us on the agenda for that, we got it reduced down to 700,000.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

5

6

7

8

But anyway so this thing's been going on for years. And then they -- like I said the Board of Fish did all kinds of different things to the management plan but there was one thing that was always going on, they called it chum chucking, and that is the Area M fishermen, because they got a lot more money for sockeye salmon and if they caught the cap of chum salmon their fishery would close, so they would just throw them overboard but we never had any hard evidence of that. So I told the Trooper Captain that came out to all the Board of Fish meetings I said, you know, there's no reason with the new optics that are available now that you guys just can't set up an observation post up on one of those mountains in Area M and watch where they're chucking and record what's happening. So he secretly did that. And so they caught several seiners that were doing that. They had people throwing the chum salmon overboard. Well, they got a conviction on that -- or got them convicted and that happened just prior to the Board of Fisheries meeting in January of 2001 but that meeting lasted about 25 or 26 days, somewhere in there because the Board's budget had been cut by 35 percent by the Senator from Kenai who was mad at the Board over the Board restricting their fishery to get escapement in the upper reaches of Cook Inlet in the Susitna River drainage, and so he was Chairman of Senate Finances so he got the Board's budget cut by 35 percent, which not just got the Board of Fish budget cut, it got the AC's budget cut and the Board of Game's budget cut as well so we had to do Bristol Bay, AYK, Area M in one meeting and that was in January and February of 2001. Also something that kind of coincided with that was I knew that if I could get the fish tickets, copies of the fish tickets that I could really come close to proving that they were not counting all the chum salmon because the processors didn't want the fishery to get closed either. And so I had requested and it took three -- at least three years I worked on this with Dr. White from

1 Bethel trying to get printouts of the fish tickets for the Area M fishermen and so we finally got it, got 10 years worth of fish ticket printouts with a discreet permit number that belongs to that permit, we had the harvest by day and by statistical area and by species and so in that South Unimak fishery there were 6 7 fishermen that caught more chums than sockeye, that's what they sold, more chums than sockeye. There were 9 other fishermen that it was kind of half and half, and 10 some fishermen -- there was one fisherman that caught 11 over 7,000 sockeye in that June Fishery and zero chums. 12 And so Area M always took this guy -- Stanley was his 13 name, an attorney with him to the meetings, and so that 14 attorney threatened the Department and said he was 15 going to sue the Board because that violated the 16 statute for confidentiality, that the processors would 17 be able to figure out who the fisherman was that didn't 18 sell all their fish to that processor. And so -- I 19 can't believe that they did this, but they withdrew 20 that. But everyone got to see it for a couple of days 21 before that happened. So when we went into 22 deliberations I said there's only one thing that works 23 and that's to get them to be treated like everyone 24 else, instead of getting to fish seven days a week, 24 25 hours a day, because that is what they were fishing, as 26 long as they didn't get the chum cap, give them a set 27 schedule and so that's what we did, and that is what 28 Proposal 140 is about.

293031

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

But what ended up happening a few months later after Dr. White and myself and a couple other conservation minded Board members got off the Board they changed it, and they increased the fishing time by 285 percent, is what they did. And so as a result of it those guys get to fish all they can stand to fish and the seiners especially like in -- well, it's here in the proposal on the second page, it tells how much -- or the third page, it tells how much those guys caught, but they caught chum salmon in 2001 they caught 1.168 million which was a record number of chum salmon, more than what they caught in 1982 and look at what the numbers were on the Yukon River. Our summer chum run for that year was 152,000. That's all that went past the sonar. That's with zero subsistence fishing, zero of any kind of fishing, and the numbers past that sonar with the commercial fisheries south of there down river catching in the neighborhood of a half a million fish before they even get to the sonar and subsistence fishermen taking what they need, it would

5

6

7

normally be around 1.6 million, but if you didn't count that it would have been over 2 million. That's that long-term average. On the fall chum salmon they normally average 998,000 past the sonar. And, again, that's with the commercial fishery down river from there and with the subsistence fishery down river from there. Last year they got 102,000 that's all. And the king salmon, the same type of thing.

8 9

10 So speaking of king salmon I got to add 11 something else to this. They always say they don't 12 catch any -- none of the king salmon headed to the AYK 13 region or in -- they say in the Bering Sea, they don't 14 go down to the Gulf of Alaska which is south of Area M. 15 But that's bologna. We started really noticing ichthyphonus in the late '90s. And I'm a processor 16 17 here in Fairbanks and I buy fish up and down the river. 18 I've bought fish all the way from Mountain Village to 19 the Border. In fact I've even bought fish out of 20 Dawson years ago. But where I buy fish primarily from 21 is District 5 and 6 which is from Tanana up and Nenana 22 and Fairbanks. And so we noticed this -- something 23 wrong with the fish. And so one time Tanana -- or 24 Doyon was going to have some type of a function and 25 they wanted 200 pounds of king salmon fillets for their 26 function so I got out 29 king salmon out of my freezer, 27 we thawed them out and started filleting them and my 28 filleter comes to me and he says these fish all have 29 the fungus, that's what he called it, the fungus. 30 Anyway it was ichthyphonus and what it looks like when 31 you fillet the fish, you can't tell from the outside 32 that it's got it, when you open it up it's got, and 33 they're about the size of a pea, maybe a quarter inch or a little bit smaller, round spots in it that look 34 35 like they're full of puss is what it looks like, that's 36 when they really have ichthyphonus bad. Anyway, out of 37 those 29 fish I got Louie Barton, who was a research 38 scientists out of Fairbanks, Fish and Game, I called 39 him up immediately and I said Louie you need to come 40 over here and bring your camera, I want you to see 41 this. And so out of those 29 fish, 15 of them were 42 like that, and they're all the big older fish and 43 primarily the females had that. So we raised all kinds 44 of, you know, tried to really emphasize that and we 45 finally got the Department to pay some attention and we 46 got some funding. And so this guy, Dr. Kocan, who was 47 a retired professor and researcher from the University 48 of Washington and he was the guy that did all the 49 ichthyphonus research after the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill

1 in Prince William Sound, he came up, I think at least three years in a row for sure and then he did some more work after that and I actually dealt with him because what he would do is take his samples and then they had 5 to be refrigerated and then shipped to the laboratory in Seattle and the U.S. Geological Survey Laboratory is 6 7 the one that analyzed all these samples for ichthyphonus, anyway, and so one of the things that he 9 was trying to determine was how do these king salmon 10 get ichthyphonus because ichthyphonus kills them, they 11 don't make it to the spawning grounds, the majority of 12 them don't if they have ichthyphonus. And so the way 13 the fish get it -- or the salmon get it in Prince 14 William Sound and in other places is they get it from 15 infected herring that they eat and so he went and sampled a bunch of the herring in Norton Sound and the 16 17 Bristol Bay to see if they had ichthyphonus, he could 18 not find any ichthyphonus in the herring in Bristol Bay 19 or in the Bering Sea and so his assumption was that 20 they have to be going into the Gulf of Alaska south of 21 the Alaska Peninsula in order to get ichthyphonus. Now 22 in 2- and Tom Kron can correct me if I'm wrong, but I 23 think it was 2017, in the June Fishery in Area M, they 24 caught 44-thousand-something king salmon in the June 25 Fishery alone. If the trawl fishery caught 44,000 king 26 salmon in one month people would go ballistic. No one 27 did nothing about that. They're taking genetic samples 28 again of the catch of chum salmon in Area M but they're 29 not doing it on the king salmon and that really, really 30 aggravates me that they're not, but they're not.

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

But anyway, this proposal addresses all three species that are really in biological trouble here in all of AYK, not just the Yukon, but the Kuskokwim, Norton Sound as well. Norton Sound, this past year, the fishery up there, because they don't have the escapement projects or the counting projects that we do on the Yukon, and the reason why we have them on the Yukon the way we do, a big part of it is because of our Treaty with Canada, we get money to do that and we're obligated, the United States government is obligated to pass X number of fish across the border into Canada which we haven't done for awhile. But anyway, that's why we get the money. But they do know how many fish they catch. And in Norton Sound this year, they shut their commercial fishery down when they weren't catching hardly any chum salmon but they only caught five percent of the average. Five percent is all they caught.

And so this proposal really needs to pass because we need to get those nets out of the water.

And just so you know, you know, it's easy to look up on the computer how much money those guys make, the average income of those seiners in Area M this last year was over \$600,000 for a little over a month's work.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Virgil. Do we have any questions for Virgil.

Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Virgil, this is Tim Gervais. So can you just briefly go through the points on this 140 that are -- are you bringing this stuff -- is this all historical or are these dates of this.....

MR. UMPHENOUR: Now, the majority of this writing on this piece of paper is stuff that's getting taken out of the management plan. Everything that's in brackets goes out. If you start, you know, on the front page it says: South Unimak and Shumigan Island's June Fisheries harvest and then it's got both in brackets and then chinook salmon added, chinook salmon, sockeye salmon and chum salmon in a mixed stock fishery.

And then something that's real important is the definition of where the fishery takes place because when they changed it in 2004, when they changed it, they added a whole bunch more area that they couldn't fish prior to that. This puts that area back in is what it does. Because it eliminates a bunch of the area that wasn't opened up to them. And then it goes down there again, if you look it says: June 10 and then it's in bold print, through June 30, that gives the dates. But then you get to the second page and it says, right after paragraph two it's got in bold print with brackets around it, that comes out and then June 24th comes out, and then -- and then just below there where it says, B, the fishery will be closed for one period, okay, there all the way down almost to the bottom of the page, all that bold print, that would come out because that's what they used to do and that's

0056 pretty much it. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, 4 Virgil, that was very interesting. 5 6 MR. UMPHENOUR: Thank you. 7 8 MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair. 9 10 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, I'm sorry. 11 Kevin. 12 13 MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Virgil. 14 Kevin Whitworth. I've got several questions or 15 comments that you had brought up. 16 17 One thing I wanted to mention here, the 18 genetics, I know that Member Gervais has brought this 19 up, too, with the genetics. So they're starting to 20 take genetics, I guess, this year, so that data is not 21 helpful, right, I mean you don't have that data at this moment? 22 23 24 MR. UMPHENOUR: We don't have any of 25 that yet. The latest data would be from the WASSIP 26 study that was '07, '08 and '09 and there, the average 27 of three years, I think, 57 percent or 59 percent, 28 somewhere in that neighborhood where AYK bound fish or 29 north of there fish, they always try to say they're all 30 Japanese fish but that's not true, it's only 20-31 something percent fish were from Asia in the WASSIP 32 study. But in the middle -- in the '90s a bunch of 33 genetic samples were taken and what they used to do is 34 before they could start that fishery, this is one of 35 the things that the Board did when I was on the Board, 36 is they would have to do a test fishery and determine 37 the sockeye to chum ratio and so they took genetic

samples of a lot of those fish and then they also took samples and we had two different people analyzing these samples, we had the Federal guy, Dick Wilmont, who was a geneticists for the Alaska Science Center out of Auke Bay, which is next to Juneau, he did a bunch of the samples and then we had Lisa Seeb and Penelope, and I can't think of her last name, the other geneticists for

38

39

40

41

42

43

49

50

44 the State and they analyzed a lot of those samples and 45 46 some of those samples came from the trawl fishery as

47 well. So -- but when they were going those samples 48

there was a period of time, because this thing -- you

know the genetics is constantly improving. And like

right now for our salmon Treaty meetings, they can break down the Tanana River whether it's summer chum or fall chum and then for fall chum we have the Border stocks, which is Chandalar and Sheenjek River, 5 primarily and the Black River, they're separate from the main stem Yukon stocks in Canada and they're 6 7 separate from the ones that go up the Porcupine River, what they call the Fishing Branch, which is up past Old 9 Crow. All those stocks are totally separate and they 10 can tell them in fall chums. And summer chums, Tanana 11 River like I just said is separate and then in king salmon the Tanana River is separate and they've got, I 12 13 don't know, at least three stocks, I think, in Canada 14 that are separate -- that they can tell that, so why 15 they can't tell it in the WASSIP study is beyond me, 16 why they can't tell that. And also when I was on the 17 Board they would not separate -- they said they 18 couldn't separate the Western Alaska stock except for 19 fall chums from Canada but I don't know why they can't 20 tell the Tanana River stocks or the Border stocks in 21 chums, and at that time they said they couldn't really 22 tell Kotzebue chums because you have to have a 90 23 percent confidence interval before they will make a 24 management decision on it. Okay, and Kotzebue at the 25 time was only 88 percent confidence interval. And the 26 way they do the confidence intervals and there's people 27 in here that are biologists and if I'm wrong they can 28 correct me, but they run this through a computer a 29 bunch of times. And so what they do is they take 30 samples of -- that they know where that fish came from 31 and they run those genetics through the computer and it 32 has to come out mixed in with all these other ones, it 33 has to show up 90 percent of the time before they can 34 count it, basically, if you're not really educated like 35 me, to try to explain it I think. But, anyway, now 36 Kotzebue they say it's over 90 percent and so they can 37 count the Kotzebue fish.

38

40

41

42

But anyway, no, that's been done since the early 90s -- well, since the '80s, I know Dick Wilmont, I think he's one of the pioneers of this genetic stuff and he used to call it electrofrieses the way they did it.

43 44 45

46

47

48

MR. WHITWORTH: Uh-huh, thank you. And yeah that's the best available data we have is from that -- the most recent study was in 2009 through, like Virgil's mentioning there, 2009 through I think it was -- I can't remember -- 2007 through 2009 which

estimated 57 percent like you said. And so 2020 if you look at those numbers in 2002 chum bycatch or intercept, whatever you want to call it, Area M harvest, if you took 57 percent in 2020 it'd be almost 300,000 fish going to the coastal Western Alaska, middle upper Yukon, 2021 -- I'm saying this a little bit because Tim had asked the question, too, 2021 it was near 700,000 and that's the best available data that we have and it's not the first time where we're in a data limited environment on the Kusko/Yukon River. There's a lot of times we're having to make really hard decisions when we are in a data limited environment and so this fishery -- we're in a data limited environment but we do have a little bit of data from those studies that tells us that these fish are being intercepted in that fishery and coming to Western Alaska.

Appreciate the history there too.

But also those numbers, and Virgil had brought this up, those numbers of roughly 300,000 coming to Coastal Western Alaska are not accounting for those chum chuckers. I know trawl boats -- I mean -- not trawl boats, but the boats down there that are receiving the fish from the boats, the fishing boats.

MR. GERVAIS: Seines.

MR. WHITWORTH: No, the tenders. The tender boats, they see this. You know I've had people ask me just a couple of years ago how's the chum doing on the Kusko, well, there's your problem, part of it's these chum chuckers. So these numbers that were 300,000, or 700,000 is the minimum. There's a lot more that's not being accounted for, and that's coming from the fishers, it's not coming from me, it's coming from the people who know this fishery and see it day by day.

Also fishers, I know down there, the seine fishers, their boats are getting way more efficient. You know there may be limits on the size of the boat but the technology they have in those boats, and this is coming from fishers down there in that fishery who tell me this, and they have fish finders, if they limited in the number of hours that they can fish it's not helping to lower these bycatch numbers because they're so efficient. And these are people from these fisheries, from those local areas that own two boats, they know that those fisheries are -- if

they're limited to -- I mean from 10 hours to five hours it's not making a difference.

So I appreciate this proposal. There's a lot of talking points that you could bring up here with this issue.

Also Virgil had brought up mixed stock fishery, you know, in-river, these are mixed stock fisheries, when they come in the river like we were talking this morning, when these fisheries like for instance the chum salmon, the chinook salmon, they come into the Kuskokwim River and when there's restrictions on those two species and there's a 100,000 sockeye salmon in front of Bethel there, you cannot catch them. We're being restricted in-river. These fisheries down there are not restricted enough. They're dealing with the same mixed stock fisheries we are but they're not being restricted enough. We've got to get these species past that area.

Anyhow, I do appreciate this proposal, Virgil, thank you. That's all.

MR. GERVAIS: Madame Chair. Could I get some clarification while we're on the topic.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.

MR. GERVAIS: I'm trying to remember what year it was, I remember -- I think we were in Aniak talking about it but I had thought through the State chinook salmon initiative, I thought they did a bunch of genetic work on commercially caught salmon and they were supposed to -- I thought they were collecting information on genetic composition of Kodiak, Chignik and Area M and just getting baseline information on what the origin of those king salmon were from all those fisheries. Wasn't that after 2009, I'm thinking it was 2013, 2014. Does anybody remember this.

MR. UMPHENOUR: The only thing I know is the Deputy Commissioner told me when I -- and this was probably in 2018, he told me that they did sample a few king salmon, just when they caught the 40-something thousand in the Area M June Fishery, they did take a few off of the top of totes and analyzed them but that's not really an analysis of what they're catching. And then one of the other things they said about the

king salmon was that they were all small ones, they only averaged around eight pounds. Well, our king salmon on the Yukon River now only average around 12 pounds, that's all they average.

4 5 6

7

9

11

12

13

14

17

22

29

45

48

50

And one other, just final thing to say, we have a number of problems. The marine environment, the pasture and the ocean is not what it used to be, it's basically in a drought status, like you would call 10 a drought on land. Every rancher, every farmer in the world knows how many cattle or sheep or whatever it is he raises, how many of them the land will support in various weather conditions and if you don't get any rain they know that they're going to have problems. 15 They've got to get rid of some of their animals or 16 they're going to have to be buying feed from someplace. Well, that's what's happened in the ocean. And we have 18 all these hatcheries. The North Pacific Fisheries 19 Commission, which is the Treaty between United States, 20 Canada, Japan, South Korea and Russia, those scientists 21 have come out recently and said between 5 and 6 billion hatchery fish getting into the North Pacific is totally 23 overwhelmed the capacity of the ocean pasture to 24 support all these fish and Alaska is the biggest 25 producer. They just came out with the figures of how 26 much each country dumped into the ocean last year and 27 Alaska dumps more than any country. And Washington and 28 Oregon also have hatcheries that dump fish. But Alaska alone averages near 2 billion a year and they're mostly 30 pinks and chums and then there's all kinds of recent 31 studies and some of them not really that recent that 32 point out that the pink salmon are the biggest 33 competitor due to their life history cycle, just every 34 other year, they gain roughly 80 percent of their 35 weight in the last three or four months of their life, 36 they're gobbling up everything out there in the ocean 37 and they're out competing the wild fish is what they're 38 doing and not only that they're eating up out-migrating 39 smolts, and I think, and I think a lot of people think 40 that's the main reason why it's in every other year, 41 one year it's a big run, and the next year it's not, 42 and so we need to -- and this is a psychological 43 decision to have all these hatchery fish out there 44 competing with the wild fish and there's only a political answer to that to reduce the hatchery 46 production and the Board of Fish has the authority to 47 do that, by the way, they can reduce the hatchery production but it's going to take a gigantic effort on 49 the part of people that depend on the fish and want the

fish want to comply with what our Constitution says, is that we will, you know, have sustained yield on our fisheries that's -- it's going to be something that has to be done politically.

But I just wanted to throw that in. We got to do something about these hatcheries because no matter what we do we're still going to have the same problem, the fish are starving to death in the ocean. They measure these fish every year when they come back. In all these test fisheries they do what they call ASL, age, sex, length of the fish, they get smaller every year and the last two years all three of our species of our fish in the Yukon, that's chum, both summer and fall, the coho and chinook, all of them are record small fish and it's because they're starving to death.

Thank you.

 $\label{eq:madame} \mbox{MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA:} \quad \mbox{Thank you, very } \\ \mbox{much Virgil.}$

Don.

MR. HONEA: Virgil, I find this really interesting. Like I mentioned prior, or this morning or something when I first got on this Board years ago I remember speaking about it, been talking about Area M, I don't know maybe 10 years ago, Pollock you remember talking about this, and it just kind of interesting or ironic that in the last couple years or whatever that these numbers are staggering. These are really high numbers and I've always, you know, there's so many variables to consider when we're talking about why or we don't have at least the summer chum on the Yukon or the Kuskokwim or the Bering Sea community rivers.

So this actually usually, you know, when the proposal come out like this, so you're just curtailing maybe the take of it by three weeks or something like that, it usually says, you know, who is it going to benefit and, you know, who's it going to hurt or whatever but I agree that it's time that we, at least, try to knock on some of these -- some of these things. Like I said, I mean certainly North Pacific bycatch numbers are concerning, in itself, but, hey, we've already gone that route. We've tried to knock those numbers down and, you know, during that time the loss of our chums, it's discerning. So I, as an

individual, I, could at least support this idea when it comes around.

2 3 4

Thank you.

5 6

7

8

But, yeah, I just -- I just, you know, looking at it from just a layman's all you're asking for is like a three week curtailing of taking of the fish, is that it?

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. UMPHENOUR: If this proposal passes it would be great. What really needs to happen is they totally need to close that fishery, that's what really needs to happen. Because it's the only fishery in the state that gets to go fish regardless of what's coming back, you know, where the fish are headed, so they don't -- they don't have to worry about making escapement goals, nothing, just go fish, that's what they do. And what's really -- limited entry really messed us up and that's a part of that problem, is the way limited entry happened. What happened is a lot of people knew that limited entry -- well, fishermen knew limited entry was going to take place and so what they would do, is you were going to have fish tickets to prove that you would fish commercially, anyone could just go buy a commercial fishing license and so what a lot of people did is they fished different gears, a lot of those people did fish different gears anyway but instead of a 58 foot limit seiner that can fish a net that's 2,400 feet long and 118 feet deep which is what they can fish in Area M with a purse seine, a lot of them had a 20 or a 24 foot boat with a 40 or 50 board horsepower outboard on it and a hand purse seine, well they got issued a purse seine permit, and then because we had mega bucks, when we were pumping 2 million barrels of oil a day down the pipeline the State had lots of money to spend and so they gave people loans to buy these big new boats and what they did is because would fish -- some people got issued three permits in Area M, they got issued a purse seine permit when they were just using a little 20 foot boat with a 40 horsepower engine on it, a drift gillnet permit, and a set net permit. And then we had the tribes down in the Pacific Northwest, they were really upset because the commercial fishermen were catching all the salmon and not many salmon were going up the river where they lived and they were suffering from the same problem we're suffering right now, they weren't getting enough fish to eat for them and their families and so they

1 went to court. Because in the 1850s when we colonized -- when the United States colonized Washington and Oregon, the Pacific Northwest, they made a Treaty with the tribes and so there was a Federal judge named Bolt 5 and he issued the decision, that Treaty is valid, it 6 was a valid Treaty then and it's a valid Treaty now so 7 we're getting rid of half of these commercial fishermen and we're going to give those fishing permits to the tribes and that's what they did. So they put all these 9 10 guys out of business. So here we got guys in Area M 11 that got issued three permits and so those guys that 12 were really aggressive fishermen down from Washington 13 and Oregon, they wanted to keep fishing and they had 14 their boats and everything so what happened, you can 15 sell your limited entry permit so they sold their extra 16 permits to those guys, aggressive fishermen to come up 17 from Washington State. That's what happened. But the 18 same thing happened in Bristol Bay except it was two 19 permits, or a drift gillnet and a set gillnet, some of 20 that happened there, it happened in Cook Inlet as well 21 with both purse seines, in there they used hand purse 22 seines and now they can use big purse seines and with 23 setnets as well and with -- like a school teacher or 24 someone that doesn't have to work in the summer anyway, 25 what a lot of them did and they did this all over the 26 state, people that could not -- didn't necessarily have 27 to work in the summer would get these commercial 28 licenses and so then all their recreational equipment, 29 their boat everything, camping gear, it's all income 30 tax deductions and they might make a little bit of 31 money on the side anyway so a lot of them were doing 32 that, you can't blame them for doing that. And then 33 they knew that limited entry was coming so they would 34 get their kids a permit too and their kids would issue 35 -- you know and they may be fishing one set of gear, 36 well a set of gear in Cook Inlet is 11 -- I think 1,000 37 feet, or maybe it's 900 feet, 300 fathoms, that would 38 be nine -- whatever that is.

39 40

(Laughter)

41 42 43

44

45

46

MR. UMPHENOUR: Anyway that's what they did. And so those people had all those extra permits and then they ended up selling lots of them. And that's what's caused a big bunch of our problems and part of, Bristol Bay, Cook Inlet, Area M, all over the state.

47 48 49

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,

Virgil. That was very interesting and I learned a lot from you, thank you.

(Pause)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, I think we'll take one more report and then we'll go to lunch. Nissa has a report on .805.

MS. PILCHER: All right. Ms. Chair. Members of the Council. For the record my name is Nissa Pilcher, Subsistence Council Coordinator with OSM. This will be a fast one -- well, I guess depending on if you guys have questions or not. I'm going to present you with a brief summary of the .805(c) report to the Council. The material for this agenda item can be found starting on Page 14 of your meeting materials book, that's the one with the comb binding. This is not an action item.

The Board adopted actions that were in line with your Council's recommendation on one regional proposal concerning the boundary between two hunt areas in GMU22A, three statewide proposals and three proposals from other close regions and the Council -- excuse me -- from other close regions that the Council chose to weigh in on, as well as seven wildlife closure reviews, which included six reviews on moose in GMU22 and one on caribou in GMU23.

The Board's actions differed from the Council's recommendation for two proposals and a closure review. I will briefly give some information on these but for a full explanation please review that .805(c) report as noted that is in your meeting book on Page 14.

The Board adopted actions that were in line with your Council's recommendation on two wildlife closure reviews from other regions your Council chose to weigh -- wait, I think I might have -- I'm repeating myself, my apologies.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{The}}$$ Board's action differed from the Council's recommendations for two proposals.

WP22-40 requested that Federallyqualified subsistence users be allowed to use a snowmachine to position wolves and wolverines for

0065 1 harvest on Federal public lands in Units 9B, 9C, 17B and 17C provided the animals are not shot from a moving snowmachine. Your Council supported this proposal as modified by OSM but the Board action was to defer to 5 the winter 2023 Board meeting as the proponent of the 6 proposal, which was the Bristol Bay Regional Advisory 7 Council requested this deferment to allow them to formulate better language defining this practice. 8 9 10 WP22-47 requested that cat harvest be 11 permitted for caribou in Unit 22. Your Council 12 supported this proposal but the Board action was to 13 reject it. To briefly summarize their justification, 14 this herd is currently at a population level where it 15 is considered under preservative management and the 16 Western Arctic Caribou Management Plan recommends a 17 prohibition on calf harvest when this is the case and 18 since as the Board had previously reviewed and voiced 19 approval of this plan. 20 21 And then thank you, Madame Chair, that 22 concludes this report. 23 24 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Wow, that was 25 fast, thank you very much Ms. Nissa. 26 27 MS. PILCHER: Yeah, we did skip over 28 We did forget to ask if anyone on the phone one thing. 29 had any comments for any non-agenda items. 30 31 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Anyone on 32 the phone have any comments. 33 34 (No comments) 35 36 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: They probably 37 went to lunch. Okay, if not maybe we'll take a lunch. How many hours do we get for lunch. 38 39 40 (Laughter)

41 42 43

MR. HONEA: 1.5 is good.

44 45

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yeah.

46 47

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ Hour and a half. I mean I just as soon be back in an hour if I could but usually it takes an hour and a half.

49 50

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So we'll be back at 1:00 o'clock -- no, not 1:00 o'clock -- okay, be back at 1:45. Be back at 1:45.

(Off record)

(On record)

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, we'll continue our meeting. And the next report we have will be the Board FY2021 by Nissa.

MS. PILCHER: Madame Chair. Members of the Council. For the record my name is Nissa Pilcher, Subsistence Council Coordinator with OSM and I am going to present you with a very brief summary of the Federal Subsistence Board FY21 annual report apply to the Council, which in its entirety can be found on Page 19 of your meeting materials book which is the one that's comb bound. While this is going to take a little bit, it's very much reduced from what is in your book so please bear with me. This is not an action item.

The reply starts with: The Board appreciates your effort to communicate through your annual report to the Board issues outside of the regulatory process that affect subsistence users in your region. In FY21 there were five topics of concern on the Council's annual report.

Topic 1 was regarding the poor return of Yukon and Kuskokwim River chinook and chum salmon in 2021. The Board replied that it is clear that the catestrophcically low returns of salmon to Western Alaska caused extreme hardship for subsistence users in the region. The low in-river returns forced managers to make difficult decisions and required them to prioritize future runs over the 2021 subsistence harvests. It was a terrible situation for all who depend on this resource. The reply then summarizes the managers actions on both the Yukon and the Kuskokwim for the 2021 season and the very beginning of the 2022 and finished by noting that the Board wanted to acknowledge the efforts by this Council and other Councils that work on these Yukon River and Kuskokwim River issues, and noted that rebuilding these stocks is going to take engagement from all groups that interact with these fish during their life cycles and your input is helping to facilitate that process.

1 Topic 2 was regarding concerns about other species utilized by subsistence users as a result 2 of these poor salmon returns. The Board acknowledges that poor salmon returns cause extreme hardships for 5 subsistence users in the Yukon and Kuskokwim regions. 6 The Board also recognized that as a result of this 7 subsistence users shift their harvest and that monitoring is necessary to ensure healthy populations of these species as well. One way the Council can 9 10 direct research of non-salmon fish species is through 11 the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program or the FMO --12 FRMP, which more information on this program will be 13 presented later in this meeting by Cory Graham and Ms. 14 Williams with OSM as well as an update on a whitefish 15 project from Frank Harris with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The best way for the Council to 16 17 direct additional non-salmon research is through 18 priority information needs development and thank you, 19 Tim and Kevin, for volunteering and participating 20 during this last season to assist in this process. 21 Council will also identify and approve priority 22 information needs for the 2024 monitoring program cycle 23 later this meeting. This is an opportune time for your 24 Council to highlight the need to monitor non-salmon 25 fish populations in the Yukon and the Kuskokwim 26 regions. I realize I should have said Member Gervais 27 and Member Whitworth, apologies there. The reply also 28 noted Federal and State monitoring of terrestrial 29 animals and finished by noting that the Federal 30 Subsistence Program can support adaption to changing 31 conditions by using various tools available that enable 32 the program to respond to subsistence users need, such 33 as the special action process and the Board's ability 34 to delegate authority to the local land managers to 35 enable managers to respond quickly to unforeseen 36 circumstances.

Topic 3 concerned tribal representation on the FRMP Technical Review Committee or the TCR [sic]. The Board appreciates and agrees with the Council's guidance on additional tribal involvement with the FRMP funded research and is receptive to the concerns raised about tribal input into this process for selecting awards. In 2020 -- or excuse me, 2005 TRC membership was expanded briefly to include two representatives of tribal organizations, however, the TRC membership quickly reverted back because including members of the public, which includes Council members, meant that the TRC should have been chartered as an

49 50

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Advisory Committee under the Federal Advisory Committee Act or FACA, just as the Councils are chartered. the absence of a FACA charter, any funding decisions based on recommendations made by the TRC at the time would have been reversed by a court which is the standard legal remedy for a FACA violation. In other words, the FRMP was legally vulnerable and the report reply details some issues with some FACA requirements and current operations of the TRC. The report then highlights the Partners for Fisheries Monitoring Program, which the Council will also hear more about later during this Council meeting as well.

Topic 4 concerned the State violation of ANILCA Title VIII during a Federal closure on the Kuskokwim. The Board notified the Department of Justice and the Solicitor's Office regarding this issue and the Council will be getting a brief update on this topic near the end of the meeting.

Topic 5 concerned the Bureau of Land Management guide's use permitting for dall sheep. The Board appreciates the Council's comments regarding the decline in dall sheep population in the Central Brooks Range. More information can be found in the report on this topic. I've been talking for a bit so I will just summarize the reply quickly by saying that the BLM State Dire -- the BLM Director has received your letter and acknowledges the.....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MS. PILCHER:Council has regarding the special recreation permitting process.

In closing, the Board wanted to thank your Council for your continued involvement and diligence in matters regarding the Federal Subsistence Management Program and expressed their appreciation for your efforts representing the subsistence users of the Western Interior region through your work.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Any questions or comments from the Board.

```
0069
 1
                     (No comments)
 2
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: If not we're
 4
     going to move on to special action Brian Ubelaker.
 5
 6
                     MR. UBELAKER: You don't have to try to
 7
     attempt my last name.
 8
 9
                     (Laughter)
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Ubelaker.
12
13
                     MR. UBELAKER: Good afternoon, Madame
14
     Chair. Members of the Council. My name is Brian
15
     Ubelaker, for the record. I am a Wildlife Biologist
     with the Office of Subsistence Management. I will give
16
17
     you a brief rundown of the results for Temporary
18
    Wildlife Action -- Special Action WSA22-02. Which the
19
    news release can be found on Page 27 and the proponent
20
     letter is under Tab 1 in your meeting books.
21
22
                     Temporary Special Action WSA22-02
23
     submitted by this Council requested the Federal
24
     Subsistence Board close Federal public lands to the
     harvest of dall sheep in Units 24A and 26B for the
25
26
     222/23 and 23/24 seasons. Population metrics, harvest
    pressure and unpredictable weather events led to a
27
28
     conservation concern with a dismal outlook for ram
29
     abundance along the Dalton Highway Corridor. While
30
    portions of the Brooks Range sheep population appear to
31
    be doing well, the easily accessed portion along the
32
     Dalton Corridor appear to be faltering. The most
33
     recently available survey data indicate a few, if any,
     legal rams available for harvest. Therefore, the
34
35
     Federal Subsistence Board adopted this temporary
36
     special with modification to simplify regulatory
37
     language during their July 2022 meeting.
38
39
                     And as I said, brief, that is it, thank
40
     you, Madame Chair. I'd be happy to answer any
41
     questions that anyone may have.
42
43
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Do we have any
44
     questions.
45
46
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, this is
47
     Jack.
48
49
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack.
```

2

4

MR. REAKOFF: I wanted to commend the Office of Subsistence Management, Brian, in particular, the analysis was concise and very thorough and I appreciate the Federal Subsistence Board taking action on this very important issue.

5 6 7

Thank you, Madame Chair.

8

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack. If there's no questions I think thank you very much.

10 11

MR. UBELAKER: Thank you, very much.
Thank you, Jack.

14 15

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. With that we'll hear from Cory, Cory Graham.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

MR. GRAHAM: Madame Chair. Members of the Council. For the record my name is Cory Graham and I'm a Fisheries Biologist at the Office of Subsistence Management. Materials relevant to this presentation can be found on Page 28 of your Council Book. And this is not an action item.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

So I'm here today to present a brief update on four fisheries temporary special action requests. FSA22-01, 02, 03 and 04 that were submitted to the Federal Subsistence Board last spring. All four of the special action requests were identical and each requested the Federal Subsistence Board close Federal public waters of the Yukon River drainage to the harvest of chinook and summer and fall chum salmon except by Federally-qualified subsistence users and further reduce the pool of eligible harvesters based on an ANILCA Section .804 subsistence user prioritization analysis. The Board met on May 4th, 2022 and adopted Temporary Special Action FSA22-01 for conservation purposes, and, specifically, the Board closed Federal public waters of the Yukon River drainage to the harvest of chinook, summer and fall chum and coho salmon except by Federally-qualified subsistence users effective June 1, 2022 through September 30th, 2022 with harvest opportunities to be determined by the Federal fisheries manager should fisheries run strength be sufficient to allow a Federal subsistence fishery. However, in short, the returns this summer were so poor that no directed opportunities were provided. Holly Carroll, the Federal fisheries manager for the Yukon River implemented the Board's action. Holly will be

```
0071
     updating the Council on Yukon River salmon management
     and can answer any questions related to the
     implementation of this action at that time.
 4
 5
                     That concludes my update and I'll
 6
     standby to answer any questions the Council may have.
 7
 8
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Any
 9
     questions from the Council.
10
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Jack, you have
14
     anything.
15
16
                     MR. REAKOFF: No that was great, thank
17
     you.
18
19
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Thank
20
     you. Thank you, very much. Okay, at this time I guess
     we have -- this is for the Council, I guess, wood bison
21
22
    restoration, a working group update. Do we want to
23
    have a discussion to nominate an alternate.
24
25
                     (Teleconference interference -
26
    participants not muted)
27
28
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, this is
29
     Jack.
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, go ahead,
32
     Jack.
33
34
                     MR. REAKOFF: I participated in this
35
    wood bison planning process and as I stated in my
36
    report, it's an important issue, the wood bison,
37
    reconstruction of this population within our region.
    At this time we don't have anybody from the GASH on our
38
39
    Council, I will continue to participate unless any
     other Council member would like to participate in this
40
41
     and the closest Council member would be Kevin. So that
42
    would be a question for you Kevin.
43
44
                     Thank you.
45
46
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, I'd decline.
47
    I'd decline. I haven't been following that issue and I
48
    feel like it's out of my area. The GASH region, wood
49
    bison, I wouldn't want to do that.
```

0072 1 Thanks. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Nissa. 4 5 MS. PILCHER: Through the Chair. 6 just use this as a shameless plug to remind folks that 7 currently nominations are open for all Councils, including the Western Interior Council and we have 8 9 applications here, we have applications online, contact 10 me, I will certainly get you an application if you're 11 listening in from the RAC region and would like some 12 representation on this Council. I will also be 13 contacting Council offices in that area to try to get 14 some interest in that region as well. 15 16 Thanks. 17 18 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you. 19 So you decline, right. 20 21 MR. WHITWORTH: (Nods affirmatively) 22 23 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Anybody 24 interested. Darrell. 25 26 MR. VENT: I recently spoke with Walker 27 down there and he -- Robert Walker and he asked me to 28 say a few words for him so he could put his application 29 in, he used me as a reference and I said, okay, 30 whatever you got to do. I know he's been a Board member 31 for past years and he'd probably be interested in this 32 wood bison one. 33 34 MR. GERVAIS: Which one? Isn't there 35 two Robert Walkers. 36 37 MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, this is 38 Jack. 39 40 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Go ahead Jack. 41 42 MR. REAKOFF: Robert Walker was on the 43 working group this last meeting but Western Interior 44 needs representation on there. So he will submit his 45 application and would be nominated and then we could --46 but we do need to be represented on this planning group 47 and I'll volunteer until such time as we have a GASH

49 50

48

member.

```
0073
 1
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you,
 2
     Jack. So do we have a volunteer from our Council.
 3
 4
                     MR. GERVAIS: Jack.
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay.
 7
 8
                     MR. GERVAIS: Jack said he would
 9
     continue.
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh.
12
13
                     MR. REAKOFF: I'll continue to serve,
14
    Madame Chair.
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, so you'll
    continue to volunteer, Jack?
17
18
19
                     MR. REAKOFF: Yes, I do.
20
21
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, okay. Okay,
22
    I misunderstood, sorry about that.
23
24
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair.
25
26
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Kevin.
27
28
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Were we looking for an
29
     alternate as well, is that what Jack was asking and I
30
     think Tim is volunteering, maybe, as an alternate.
31
32
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Is that what you
33
    were asking, Jack, for an alternate?
34
35
                     MR. REAKOFF: No. For the record, that
36
     I've been participating in this for the Western
37
     Interior. The Western Interior's been involved with
     this for many years, in fact, we wrote in support of
38
39
     changing the Endangered Species Act for the 10(J) rule
40
     for experimental population to get them released within
41
     our region so I have quite a bit of familiarity with
42
     this issue and will continue to serve for the Council
43
    until such time as we have a member from our Council
44
    from that area that would like to participate.
45
46
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
47
48
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you,
49
     Jack. So I guess we need to get someone from the GASH
50
```

1 area to get on the Board. Okay.
2
3 MR. REAKOFF: Correct.
4
5 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So with
6 that we're going to go to new business and we're going
7 to -- oh, not yet, Joint Meeting with the North

conference in May, and this is Brian.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

8

MR. UBELAKER: Yep, me once again. Good afternoon, again, Madame Chair. Council members. For the record my name is Brian Ubelaker and I'm a Wildlife Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. This is an action item which we will get to at the end of my presentation. But I will be presenting an announcement about a caribou and ungulate conference next year that will hopefully be of interest to Council members. I'll also be seeking your input on a couple matters related to the conference. An informational flyer about the conference can be found in your meeting books on Page 29.

American Caribou and Arctic -- whatever that word is --

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

A joint meeting of the North American Caribou Workshop and Arctic Unqulate Conference will be held in Anchorage from May 8th through the 12th of 2023. The meeting will bring together an international group of managers, researchers and indigenous and local knowledge holders who will want to share their knowledge of caribou, muskox, Dall sheep, moose and reindeer. The theme for the meeting is crossing boundaries. Arctic ungulates regularly cross landscape boundaries connecting ecological processes between different systems. This necessitates collaboration across geographical boundaries and also calls for crossing boundaries between Western Science, local and The conference will include indigenous knowledge. sessions on co-management, the status of caribou globally, integrating Western science and indigenous knowledge and the effects of climate change on caribou. Field trips, workshops, research talks, symposiums and a poster session will also be part of the conference. The conference web address is included on the flier in your meeting books and I encourage you to visit that website for more detailed information.

45 46 47

 $\label{eq:Before I move on are there any questions.} \\$

0075 1 (No comments) 2 3 MR. UBELAKER: All right. Next, I'd 4 like to ask as a Council. One of the events that will 5 take place during the conference is a facilitated 6 discussion on Alaska State and Federal ungulate 7 management. This session is intended to be a neutral forum for Council members, such as yourselves, State 9 Fish and Game Advisory Committee members, Federal and 10 State agency Staff and other interested parties to 11 discuss ungulate management in Alaska specifically 12 regarding harvest regulations. 13 14 My question for the Council is, what 15 topics and issues would you like to be discussed during 16 the session. It could be anything of concern related 17 to harvest regulations and ungulate management. 18 19 Madame Chair, I will now turn the 20 discussion over to you for this topic. Your 21 suggestions will be very important in helping set the 22 discussion agenda. 23 24 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: So you want the 25 Board to discuss this right now? 26 27 MR. UBELAKER: Just looking for any suggestions, if you have any concerns. It'll be a 28 29 discussion related mostly to harvest so if you have any 30 concerns that you want discussed into -- a deeper dive 31 into, any ideas you have over concerns we'd like to 32 hear. 33 34 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Chugach National 35 Forest Any Council members -- Darrell. Jack, were you 36 going to say something. 37 38 MR. REAKOFF: Yes, Madame Chair, I'll 39 speak to this issue. There's many concerns that I have 40 especially with caribou management and dall sheep 41 management. I feel that the managers don't fully 42 understand the ecology of caribou. I don't feel that 43 they understand what caribou eat throughout their 44 annual cycle and where their protein sources are at. 45 And I do feel that there needs to be discussion about 46 the basic protein intake of ungulates at specific times 47 of the year, how summer and winter conditions both

dictate the productivity of fecundity of those

populations. I do have concerns about harvest of bull

48

49

2

4

caribou during the rut when they're completely unpalatable. A lot of sporthunting that occurs during the rutting season on caribou, that should be avoided. So there's some management issues that need to be more widely discussed in this forum.

5 6 7

 $$\operatorname{So}$$ that would be my comments so far, thank you, Madame Chair.

8

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack.

10 11 12

Darrell.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. VENT: Yeah, you know, we're talking about how this hunting pressure on these herds compared to how our people hunt them is a little different and positioning is one of them, but also the way that -- you know we talk about letting the leaders through because we always know that the rest will follow. I know I was up in Anaktuvuk a couple days ago and they were talking about, you know, their caribou -their caribou don't migrate the same pattern no more. They said it seems like they're diverted, they're not going in the same areas. And I heard from people over in Shungnak and Ambler area, they're saying that they have to go to different places now to go hunt their caribou. So, you know, the hunting pressure that really gets to them is that you -- they wait for a certain area to get them at when they're hunting, they fly in and there's a lot of hunting pressure there. you know, we'll probably be noticing this Fortymile herd pretty soon. I think it's, you know, something that we got to learn to understand how these caribou move to different areas because of hunting pressure. And that's something that maybe we got to discuss, too, because it's -- yeah, I hear people talking about the Fortymile herd and it's like going into a combat zone, you know, it's -- there's just too many people. And up in Anaktuvuk they said that it was just the hunting pressure and it diverted the whole caribou migration pattern. So I think we need to discuss that, you know, how to prevent that from happening and getting those caribous coming back into those areas. Because when we go hunting from Huslia we have to go practically past Shungnak going toward Kobuk and that's a long travel just to get a few scrawny caribou when we used to get them in the falltime and they had fat on them. And, you know, it's hard to depend on food resources when you catch those skinny caribou, it's just not worth the

0077 1 travel no more and, you know, we're really running out of food resources so, you know, this is a pretty 2 important matter to us. 4 5 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, 6 Darrell. Pollock. 7 8 MR. SIMON: Thank you, Madame Chair. I 9 just want to talk a little bit about caribou. In 1974 10 was the last time there was caribou around Allakaket, 11 they were just (indiscernible) and the shot into the 12 Ray Mountain Ray where there is good caribou habitat. 13 But they started pushing north with the oil pipeline 14 that year and they put in the Haul Road which was at 15 first for a private road but later the road was turned 16 over to the State and of course it was open to the 17 public and lots of peoples go up the road, not only to 18 look at the mountains but hunt here and there, and try 19 for fish, and up on the road there's a place that 20 caribou cross into the Koyukuk River Valley but with 21 the road going by with the hunters and more hunting 22 pressure there and the caribou moved more to the west 23 and no caribou has gone by since then in Allakaket. 24 Last year was nothing, and I don't know this year, it's 25 kind of early yet. But the road development impacted 26 the migration route for caribou. Every time I talk with 27 caribou -- the road building is not too good for 28 caribou but now they're talking about the Ambler Road 29 that will go north -- not too far from us, Allakaket, 30 that road will impact the caribou migration route also. So the peoples in the Allakaket area they're talking 31 32 about caribou, with the moose population down and no 33 black bears, we're looking for some more meat and 34 caribou could be meat for the peoples but they're not 35 coming by. 36 37 Thank you, Madame Chair. 38 39 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, 40 Pollock. 41 42 Tim. 43 44 MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. The Western Interior Council is really concerned with 45 46 like what -- we've had a lot of discussion about 47 Mulchatna Caribou Herd and we were trying to wonder --

not trying to wonder, we were wondering what level of

population would be like a critical threshold to get

49 50

below, like we're having a lot of trouble now getting that herd to rebuild and at some point it must have crossed some kind of threshold level where the herd's having a lot of trouble rebuilding and it would be good if there was some research done on what that number was that the herd got below a certain population and now it cannot seem to recover despite very limited hunting pressure on it now.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you.

Any more comments.

MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, Kevin.

MR. WHITWORTH: Thanks, Madame Chair. One concern I think should be discussed at this conference is the waste of moose meat, especially, for instance in McGrath, we have transporters that transport from McGrath, go out to Farewell, hunt moose, sheep, caribou and then they also go out to the Innoko Refuge and this year the amount of waste was just -- it was sickening. It was -- once these moose are brought to McGrath, broth to the butchers, the butchers just had to salvage what they could and it was -- it was really terrible. Probably legal but the amount of waste was just -- I mean the elders there in McGrathare already suffering. They're not catching their fish, the black bears, like Darrell said, they're skinny, and then they go to the dump and they see all of this moose waste. And it's just -- I mean it's just piles. It's amazing. It's an area like half the size of this room, it's just piled with meat and bones. And I had an elder who told me this, he said you got to go look, I didn't because I didn't want to get pissed off, but he did and he said I didn't even get out of the

And so it's harder, too, because the transporters that leave McGrath and they go to Innoko, they have to fly over the Kuskokwim range and there'll be weather days like this and they'll be stuck for several days so you'll have 40 hunters and 30 moose sitting on the ground for a long time so this is a concern that should be discussed.

Thank you.

truck, it was just disgusting.

0079 1 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, 2 Kevin. 3 4 Darrell. 5 6 MR. VENT: Yeah, I agree with -- we 7 used to see that in our area when, you know, we had a lot of hunters there, between 600, 800 hunters and then we used to hear stories about how it would be full down 10 there in their dumps and then go to Fairbanks and 11 Anchorage it would be the same thing, they'd be tired of all this smell and it was all the way down to 12 13 Seattle. So, you know, that's when they came up with 14 the wanton waste so, you know, that's got to be 15 addressed with this caribou too so, you know, it's -we don't know what kind of situation that's putting 16 17 them -- when you're talking about transporters, I 18 don't know what the regulations are around there for 19 transporters, whether it's the same that applies to 20 guided hunters. You know I haven't had any, you know, 21 information on that area there so that might be 22 something that we'd want to kind of get a little update 23 on. 24 25 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, 26 Darrell. Yeah, I know this caribou -- discussion on 27 this caribou has been going on for years and years and I've learned a lot from Mr. Reakoff on caribou and I 28 29 know he's very concerned about that because I never 30 knew the history -- or not the history but, you know, 31 how they feed and -- well, I just learned a lot from 32 Jack and it's hard to put into words, but, Jack just 33 continue to talk for caribou and I know a lot -- you 34 said a lot of hunters go out and you take your camera 35 and if they're doing something that they're not supposed to be doing you just take a picture of them 36 37 and I think that's scary but if it works, it works. 38 39 Any more questions or comments for 40 Brian. 41 42 MR. REAKOFF: I have one final comment. 43 Madame Chair. 44 45 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Go ahead, Jack. 46 47 MR. REAKOFF: Yes, I feel that this is 48

an important question that Brian brought before the

Council. I feel that the agenda should be given --

49

```
0080
 1
     climate change is highly affecting grazing animals,
     caribou, dall sheep, the bison population was highly
 2
     impacted with rain on snow events, late springs, these
 4
     are issues that need to be on that agenda.
 5
 6
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
 7
 8
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack.
 9
10
                     Tim.
11
12
                     MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair.
13
     One thing that could be added to that climate change
14
     with the caribou is the amount of increase of
15
     shrubification that's purportedly creating some of the
    migration pattern changes for the Western Arctic Herd.
16
17
     So I know in our area in the middle Yukon there's a lot
18
     of grass land and some of the tundra areas are now just
19
     shrubby and becoming forested. So say habitat issue.
20
    And then one thing that may be of interest to the
21
     conference is ADF&G was interested in doing a
22
     controlled burn in the Cochrane Hills between Ruby and
23
    Tanana to try to return some of that shrubification
24
     area back into tundra and help out a small herd, the
25
    Wolf Mountain Herd, and the people at the conference
26
    might want to look at that case study to learn some
27
     stuff on management technique.
28
29
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tim.
30
    Any more comments or questions.
31
32
                     (No comments)
33
34
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: If not, thank
35
     you, Brian.
36
37
                     MR. UBELAKER: Sorry not done just --
38
     you're not rid of me just yet.
39
40
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh.
41
42
                     (Laughter)
43
44
                     MR. UBELAKER: Number 2. A critical
     component of this conference is making sure that local
45
46
     knowledge holders are able to attend and participate.
47
     The Office of Subsistence Management is able to provide
48
     financial support to send one member of each
49
     Subsistence Regional Advisory Council to attend the
```

```
0081
 1
    conference. We are asking that, as a Council, you
    nominate a member to attend and participate. Again,
    the conference will be held May 8th through the 12th
    next year in Anchorage and OSM will cover all expenses
 5
     such as travel and conference registration. One
     expectation of the nominated Council member is that
 6
 7
     they will be an active participant in the State and
     Federal Ungulate Management Symposium for which you
 8
 9
     just provided input.
10
11
                     So now I will turn the discussion back
12
     over to you, Madame Chair, and Council, to ask that you
13
     nominate a member of your Council to attend whom you
14
     feel will represent local knowledge and.....
15
16
                     (Teleconference interference -
17
     participants not muted)
18
19
                     MR. UBELAKER: ....in your region
20
     related -- and on a side note, I know at Southcentral
21
     they nominated an alternative as well so nominate a
22
    member that would like to attend and a possible
23
     alternative.
24
25
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Anybody
26
     interested. Darrell -- Darrell is interested.
27
28
                     MR. VENT: I'll be interested if you
29
    have a -- you know it's up to you guys though.
30
31
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: How about....
32
                     MR. REAKOFF: I'd be interested, Madame
33
34
     Chair.
35
36
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, Mr.
37
     Reakoff is interested. Okay.
38
39
                     MR. JOLY: Madame Chair.
40
41
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, go ahead.
42
43
                     MR. JOLY: Hello, Madame Chair, my name
44
     is Kyle Joly, I'm with the National Park Service. I'm
     also the lead organizer for the conference. I would
45
46
     suggest having a list of folks that could come and give
47
    priority order. We're working on trying to get travel
48
     funds for additional people to come besides the one
```

person that OSM's going to fund. So if you would like

49

```
0082
     to do a priority list we will try and get as many
    people there as we can.
 2
 3
 4
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you,
 5
    Kyle. So do we have anybody else interested. Jack --
     oh, Jack said he was going to. Anybody else -- Kevin.
 6
 7
 8
                     MR. WHITWORTH: I'm not interested but
     do we have to nominate folks -- so I'd like to nominate
 9
10
     Darrell and Jack to go to this meeting.
11
12
                     MR. SIMON: Second.
13
14
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. So we
15
    have Darrell and Jack nominated to go. So who's the
16
    motion by Tina?
17
18
                     REPORTER: Kevin.
19
20
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: The motion was
21
    made by Kevin to nominate Darrell and Jack and it was
22
     seconded by Pollock. Sorry, I got all mixed up here
23
    but all in favor -- oh, Darrell.
24
25
                     MR. VENT: If either Jack or myself is
26
    not able to attend are we going to nominate alternates?
27
28
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: We could do that
29
    but let's get this one done first. All in favor for
30
     Jack and Darrell say aye.
31
32
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
33
34
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same
35
     sign.
36
37
                     (No opposing votes)
38
39
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, so Darrell
     and Jack will go. Now do we need an -- or would like to
40
41
    have an alternate go, anybody interested, Don.
42
43
                     MR. HONEA: My seat is expiring.
44
45
                     MR. GERVAIS: You said you were
46
     renewing.
47
48
49
                     MR. HONEA: I am. Would it continue
50
```

```
0083
 1
    on....
 2
 3
                     REPORTER: Don. Don, your microphone.
 4
 5
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I think it would
 6
     continue until the next....
 7
 8
                     MS. PILCHER: No.
 9
10
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: No, oh, sorry.
11
12
                     MR. HONEA: Yes, I will, Madame Chair.
13
     Thank you.
14
15
                     MS. PILCHER: This is Nissa, for the
16
     record. So unfortunately how it's going to work is
17
     Don's going to -- his term is set to expire in December
     and since we didn't get his application -- we didn't
18
19
     get an application in last year he'll have to sit out a
20
     year so he wouldn't be able to represent the Council at
21
     a May 2023 meeting because he wouldn't -- we wouldn't
22
     -- he wouldn't be able to sit again until potentially
23
     December 2023 or even January of 2024 so at least
24
     through the Western Interior he wouldn't be able to sit
25
    because we've got -- you've got to sit out for a year
26
     until we can catch up with your application.
27
28
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Sorry.
29
30
                     MR. HONEA:
                                Is that not sufficient, I
31
     mean I am going as an alternate?
32
33
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Discussion -- or
34
     any comments on that. I don't mind him sitting on it,
35
     are we breaking the law?
36
37
                     MS. PILCHER: I wouldn't know about
38
     law.
39
40
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: In essence.
41
42
                     MS. PILCHER: I'm good at breaking
43
     rules but I don't think I can break this one. So,
44
     yeah, Don won't be seated on the Western Interior
     Council in May of 2023 so he wouldn't be able to
45
46
     represent the Council during this meeting but we'll
47
     hopefully get him back on as soon as possible but,
48
     yeah, for this meeting he wouldn't be able to be a
49
     representative.
```

```
0084
 1
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Anybody
 2
    else.
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: If not I guess I
 7
     can try to take a stab at it. I've never -- but I'll
 8
     try being an alternate.
 9
10
                     MR. VENT: You'll be a good alternate.
11
12
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. With
13
    that, do we have anything else, anybody else want to be
14
     an alternate too.
15
16
                     MR. GERVAIS: I'll nominate Jenny for
17
    alternate for the caribou conference.
18
19
                     MR. VENT: Second.
20
21
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: We got a
22
    nomination by Tim to have Jenny as an alternate and
23
     second by Darrell. All in favor say aye.
24
25
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same
28
    sign.
29
30
                     (No opposing votes)
31
32
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, I guess
33
    she's the alternate.
34
35
                     (Laughter)
36
37
                     MR. UBELAKER: Okay. Then unless there
     are any more questions that concludes this agenda item.
38
39
     Thank you, Madame Chair and Council members. I'm
40
     certainly looking forward to this conference.
41
42
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,
43
     Brian. I didn't mean to kick you off before.
44
45
                     (Laughter)
46
47
                     MR. UBELAKER: That's quite all right,
48
     I'm used to it.
49
```

```
0085
 1
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I'm just trying
 2
     so hard to focus on what I'm doing up here. Okay with
     that we're going to go to our salmon reports. We're
     going to move Diane up if she's here.
 5
 6
                     REPORTER: She's online.
 7
 8
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, she's
 9
     online.
10
11
                     REPORTER: Yes.
12
13
                     MS. PILCHER: Yeah.
14
15
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, Diane are
16
     you on.
17
18
                     (No comments)
19
20
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I guess she's
21
    not on.
22
23
                     REPORTER:
                                Jenny, ask for her again.
24
25
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Diane.
26
27
                     REPORTER: Stram.
28
29
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Diane Stram are
30
    you online.
31
32
                     (No comments)
33
34
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Anybody knows
35
    where she is.
36
37
                     (No comments)
38
39
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Well, I guess
     we'll just continue. I hope she's on and one thing I
40
41
     forgot to ask who's online -- anybody online.
42
43
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Does anybody have
44
     Diane's cell phone number and we can give her a head's
45
     up.
46
47
                     (Off record comments regarding Stram)
48
49
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tina is there
50
```

```
0086
     anybody online.
 2
 3
                     REPORTER: There's plenty of people
 4
     online but it doesn't sound like Diana is.
 5
 6
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: She's on, she
 7
     can't unmute herself.
 8
 9
                     REPORTER:
                                She can't unmute herself,
10
     tell her to star, six or unmute with her mute button,
11
     or hang up and call back. It might be easier to hang
12
     up and call back.
13
14
                     (Pause)
15
                     MS. STRAM: Hi, this is Diana, are you
16
17
    guys able to hear me?
18
19
                     MS. PILCHER: Yes, we are, thank you,
20
     Diana.
21
                     MS. STRAM: Okay, thank you. I'm sorry
22
23
     about that. Okay, do you want me to get started?
24
25
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, go ahead,
26
     Diana, Diane, Diana.
27
28
                     MS. STRAM: Okay, thanks. Okay, I'm
29
     not sure -- I'll start.
30
31
                     Madame Chair. Members of the Council.
32
    My name is Diana Stram, I'm the Senior Scientist with
33
    the North Pacific Fishery Management Council. I
34
     submitted a longer version awhile back that's in your
35
    reference book. I sent Nissa a shorter version today
36
    understanding that you wouldn't have quite as much time
37
    but I'm happy to answer more questions afterwards about
38
     either the longer presentation or the additional slides
39
     that I have for you today. I'm mostly going to walk
     through kind of some background on salmon bycatch
40
41
    management and current issues, issues that were taken
42
    up at the June meeting and what we anticipate for the
43
     December meeting.
44
45
                     So moving to Slide 2. Hopefully you all
46
     can see that, it says presentation to the Council in
47
     June of 2022. So we had a number of presentations that
48
    were requested of different agency Staff to our June
49
    Council meeting and I have been listening all morning
```

so I just wanted to provide you a little bit of feedback in terms of why this was taken up in June. We do normally take up salmon bycatch in April for all the reasons that you have raised in comments, we had an extensive data list request from the Council in October but we were also, as we'll get into, responding to the high chum bycatch in the summer of 2021 and in working with the geneticists if we delayed the salmon reports to June we would then get the genetic reports back from the 2021 fishery to see if it looked different in terms of the stock of origin of the bycatch. So we can talk through that but I do understand that there's concern about this regularly occurring in June and we'll certainly bring that information back to the Council.

But going back to what we did take up in June of 2022 is a list of a number of reports. I'll only go over a couple of these but, again, I can answer questions as needed.

The Council had asked us to provide a stock, status and research update for both Western Alaska chinook and chum, an overview of ongoing AFSC, the Science Center NOAA research and mandates and the ADF&G State of Alaska ongoing research and plans and so we have some coordinated presentations. The longer presentation that I provided you in your book includes some of those research updates, I'm not going to go over those right now but we can talk about it if you wish after. I do have information on the -- both the Bering Sea -- I don't have the chum salmon genetics reports in this report, I just focused on the Bering Se for this. We -- the geneticists have been working really hard to catch up, they have been years behind in providing the genetics from the salmon bycatch. They are nearly caught up for chum and that we were able, again, by taking this up in June, we were able to get the report of the previous year's bycatch from the pollock fishery. for chinook we only received report through 2020, they are again working really hard to catch up on those reports so that we can get them only one year out from when the salmon were bycaught in the fishery. We got some updates on their work and plans, again, primarily to streamline things. We also took up an updated chinook adult equivalency and impact report and had some Staff meetings and recommendations for assessing chum impacts.

In the interest of time I moved those

to extra slides but if you wish me to go through them at the end of this presentation I'm happy to do that.

And then from the industry we also received a report on the salmon excluders, some ongoing investigations that they're doing with that. We received our reports from the pollock industry and then we received an update from SeaShare, which is a food donation program that works in distributing bycaught —both bycaught and donated fish to the Gulf of Alaska and Bering Sea.

So with that I'll move to the next slide to provide you a brief history of the actions related to chinook and chum bycatch management. This is just for context and then I'll provide more information on the genetics.

Next slide, Slide 4. Hopefully you can see this is a graph that shows you in red the chinook bycatch from 1990 to 2021 and then in blue the chum bycatch. I have this on a different slide but just to note that for 2022, you know, we completed the B season for the pollock fishery so we have the information from the whole of 2022 so for chum the numbers from the 2022 year were 242,350, for chinook they were 6,336.

The next slide then just to provide you an overview of how we've evolved in managing salmon bycatch in the Bering Sea. Between the 1990, until early 2000 we had large scale time area closures called salmon savings area in the Bering Sea and those were trigged by a limit and when that limit was reached for either chum or chinook these closures were in place for a number of months. What we found then is that -sorry, this is the next slide, Slide 6, we found that those closures then, because they're based on historical bycatch that we were starting to see indications that they're misspecified so they're not in the right place and so the bycatch of chum and chinook outside of the closures when they were triggered was higher than the ones that were inside of it. So the Council understood that they needed to develop a different measure because those time area closures were actually making things worse. And so as an interim measure they developed an exemption to those closures provided that the industry was participating in a rolling hot spot program which would put into place short-term near time closures that the pollock fishery

would adhere to in order to be exempt from those large area closures.

Next slide.

Then at that time -- sorry, I guess I should mention that this was also in conjunction with the very high amount of over 700,000 chum that was experienced in 2005. So as we were working to address chum bycatch the fleet ran into 120,000 chinook in 2007 as you're well aware and so at that point the policy shifted from addressing chum as an emergency situation to addressing chinook. And then all of the focus went from chum to chinook. We held a number of workshops to try to figure out a new management program. The Council at that time appointed a salmon bycatch committee to work in assisting with developing what's called a hardcap, a cap that will close the fishery, and up until this point any caps on the fishery had just been associated with a closure that would move them out of a certain area.

Next slide.

That's when we developed what's called Amendment 91. At that time we also did extensive outreach to Western Alaska communities. We brought Council members out to a series of every RAC meeting at that time, over 2009 and 2010. The Council took final action on Amendment 91 in 2009 and after that we were also providing outreach efforts to communities to explain the program and what the rationale was in deciding upon it.

Next slide.

Immediately upon implementation, so in 2011 of the implementation of Amendment 91 there were a number of provisions that went into place in conjunction with putting that cap system in place which I'll go over in just a minute. Part of that was systematic genetic sampling, so prior to 2009 genetic sampling had been opportunistic, whenever they could get samples, so we didn't have a systematic sampling that would be equivalent across years. From 2011 on we've had a systematic sampling. One in 10 chinook and one in 30 chum are sampled for genetics and that's why we can provide these annual genetic reports. And there's also a census on counting for salmon,

previously there were estimation procedures in place for counting salmon at sea, now it's a census so every salmon that is brought on board is counted and there are cameras in place to ensure that any salmon brought on board is not thrown overboard before it's counted and is either brought into the hold and then counted by an observer, shoreside -- if it's a catcher vessel delivering shoreside or it is counted by an observer on board on a catcher processor or a mother ship that are processing at sea. And, again, cameras are in place to ensure that there is accountability at all levels.

At that timeline the Council begin to develop chum bycatch measures understanding that they had had to switch gears to chinook in order to figure out a new program for chinook, but knew that they needed to come back to chum and so beginning right in 2010 we started to develop chum bycatch measures and so we did similar investigations as we did with the chinook. We looked at time area closures for chum, chums are only caught in the B season so in the summer season, and so we looked at different areas based on the opportunistic genetics that we had that were indicating that Western Alaska chum were more prevalent in June and July on the pollock fishing ground than they were later in the season. So we looked at some time area closures that would go into place in June and July. We also looked at a variety of cap measures. In 2012 then the Council decided to hold off on taking any of these measures for chum because the chinook program was brand new and many of the measures, in particular, the time area closures looked like they would serve to undermine the incentive to avoid chinook and would cause the fleet to move into higher chinook areas later in the season.

Next slide. Slide 10.

So in 2015 we implemented Amendment 110 that was developed in response to continued low chinook in Western Alaska and we had done an analysis that showed the need for stronger vessel level incentives under the incentive program agreement. At that time then the Council moved to, rather than manage chum separately moved to include chum as part of the rolling hot spot system that the industry was pursuing under their incentive plan agreement.

Next slide.

1 So this slide just shows you an overview on how Bering Sea, Aleutian Island pollock 2 fishery is managing -- how we're managing salmon bycatch, both chinook and chum. So particularly for 5 chinook we have extremely strong regulatory measures. 6 As you're probably aware under Amendment 91 we have a 7 higher and a lower cap level and with the incentive 8 plan agreements that the industry and us put forward in 9 order to fish under those agreements. With Amendment 10 110 then we put into place what's called the Three 11 River Index so every year the State of Alaska provides 12 us chinook salmon abundance for post-season in-river 13 chinook run size for the Kuskokwim, the Unalakleet and 14 the upper Yukon and that's provided to the Council in 15 October and if that value is below 250,000 chinook then 16 we kick over into a low cap system, in which case the 17 performance standard is dropped and the overall cap 18 level is also dropped. So the overall cap level and 19 this is across all sectors, goes from 60,000 chinook to 20 45,000 chinook with the actual cap that they're 21 managing towards dropping to 33,318 salmon. So in 22 recent years we have been under that low cap system and 23 we will be under that low cap system again in 2023 24 based on the information we got from the State of 25 Alaska last week. Some measures included in the 26 incentive plan then are part of their plans are 27 considered voluntarily but there are plans in place to 28 ensure that the individuals, individual captains are 29 avoiding chinook and chum under any condition of 30 encounters. They have both rewards and penalties 31 included in there for failure to avoid, particularly 32 chinook, at vessel levels, they have hot spot closures, 33 salmon escapement panels which I'll show a figure on, 34 called excluders, and then again a high proportion is 35 donated to food banks. The little figure to the bottom 36 right just shows you some of the hot spot closures that 37 are put into place when they see a high aggregation of 38 bycatch, there's a notice to the fleet and they are 39 required to stay out of those areas for a period of 40 three to seven days.

41 42

Next slide.

43 44

45

46

47

48

This slide just shows you just for perspective season and area of catch patterns of the Eastern Bering Sea pollock fishery. Again, chinook is caught in both the A season, which is the winter season and the B season, the summer season, where chum is only caught in the B season. So this just gives you by

year, this shows you the catch and it shows you the catch, east and west of 170, which is a line that we draw looking at that as well as what's caught in the A season. And then the panels to the right just show you those bars are the concentration of catch and it shows you for 2019 through 2021 where the fishery itself is actually operating. So they're operating much closer to shore, they're limited very much below the Pribilofs, usually for extensive ice cover and they operate the -- the shoreside catcher vessels operate fairly close to Unimak Island.

Next slide.

This slide shows you the same series of years 2019 to 2021 but gives you the distribution of the fishery during the B season. So, again, when they're not limited to ice cover, in particular, catcher processors and mother ship, they move up along that shelf contour right up really to the 200 -- we manage to three to 200 miles offshore and that boundary is where they bump up against the Russian boundary in the EEZ to the upper northwest.

Next slide.

This slide just shows you what a salmon excluder is and all vessels are now required to use them and these are designed to allow pollock to fall back into the end of the net while salmon use that -the lower curtain that's made -- put in place by that hole in the net and the panel and the salmon are able to escape. So for a number of years up until this past year the industry has been testing different designs and providing information to the Council on the percentage of escapement that they're able to achieve with different net designs. This excluder tends to work much better for chinook than it does for chum. The highest estimates I believe that they had for chinook on the more recent ones were between 25 and 30 percent escapement, those are lower for chum, they've never had quite as much success with the excluders for chum as they have for chinook so pretty good success rate for chinook.

Next slide.

 $\ensuremath{\mbox{\sc I'm}}$ going to move into just the summary of the genetics, again, including the recent genetics

that we heard at the June 2022 Council meeting.

2

Next slide.

4 5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

This first slide then just gives you kind of an overview, these are all the years for which we've done systematic sampling so, again, beginning with Amendment 91 in 2011 so these are comparable sampling years, the way that the sampling design has been done. These are the breakouts of the way that the geneticists are able to break out chinook into these categories starting from the left then: Coastal West Alaska, middle Yukon, upper Yukon, North Alaska Peninsula, the Northwest Gulf of Alaska, Coastal Southeast Alaska, British Columbia and then from there down the whole West Coast of the United States. And so we're obviously primarily interested in the Western Alaska catches, in particular the Yukon and Coastal West Alaska. So the difference between the upper graph and the lower graph, the upper graph gives you the proportion of the sample, the lower graph translates those into numbers and so you can see you had a higher going from the red bar at about 17,000 chinook that were -- that would have returned to the aggregate Coastal West Alaska grouping. And then, again, an up turn in 2020 for that group. That's the most recent data we have is from 2020 but there was an up turn in the proportion. So it's a proportion of the samples that were from there and then that translates into the higher number.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

And then the next slide just shows you that that portion that we're looking more closely at, again, the upper Yukon proportions and then the Coastal West Alaska to give you an idea, given the overall numbers of chinook that are taken in by catch, how many of those would have returned to Coastal West Alaska.

37 38 39

Next slide.

40 41

42

44

45 46

47

48

And then similar information is available for chum. This just shows you the same sort of information but on a graph instead of in bars. And, again, the stock proportion on top and the chum bycatch numbers on the bottom. And here yellow is the Coastal West Alaska, blue is the upper and middle Yukon that are combined and then for comparison, the purple is Southwest Alaska. So in general the proportion for Western Alaska and Yukon has been much lower than the

average over the two years and the -- in general even with the large bycatch the catches have been below or near average but I would note that even though the proportion is small for West Alaska because the numbers were so high in 2021 you'll see that while the bycatch is over 500,000 and of that about 50,000 would have returned to Western Alaska.

So next slide then just to finish up with what the Council action is in June and what we're looking for in December.

Next slide.

This is part -- there's several parts to what the Council has requested for December. Again, we don't normally take this up in December, we are in this cycle right now because of the requests that were made by the Council in June. So one of the things that the Council had stressed is acknowledging the Western Alaska salmon crises and the impact it's having on culture and food security throughout Western Alaska. The Council is committed to continued improvements in bycatch management for salmon and made a number of requests.

The first is the request to the pollock industry to implement additional chum salmon bycatch measures beginning immediately and for the summer of 2022 the Council will rehear back from the pollock industry in December on the outcome of those additional measures. They did receive some reports in June of additional measures that the industry was intending to put into place for the B Season and then they'll hear back on how effective those were in December.

The next thing the Council requested then was an updated discussion paper. So this is a request to Staff and so we're working currently on compiling and writing this discussion paper. And that discussion paper is intended to include information on the bycatch and genetics, on how that varies in space and time. A description of the Council's rationale for the current mechanism by which Bering Sea chum bycatch is managed. Again, coming out of the 23012 analysis that was not brought forward, they want a summary of what was considered in 2012 and why it was not brought forward, what rationale was used to move to a different program under Amendment 110 in conjunction with

1 chinook, as well as some tradeoffs in terms of the different species -- prohibitive species that the 2 pollock fishery is avoiding in their fishing operations, which includes both chum, chinook and herring and other incidental catch. And then a summary of conditions that have changed since they last looked 6 7 at chum separately in 2012, specifically in terms of Western Alaska chum stock status as well as there's a 9 lot of interest in looking at the hatchery releases, 10 not just Asian but across the Pacific Rim and to what 11 extent those have changed over time, particularly since 12 we last looked at this about a decade ago.

13 14

Next slide.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

I'm not sure how much you know about the State of Alaska's bycatch task force but there is a Gubernatorial task force that's been working over the last six months with different meetings. One is focused on crab bycatch in the Bering Sea and the Gulf of Alaska. The other is particularly focused on Western salmon subcommittee is working on recommendations for better management of salmon in Western -- bycatch of salmon specifically for Western Alaska stocks. So those subcommittees have been meeting. The overall bycatch task force itself has also had meetings, they just had a meeting yesterday, they had one a week ago. They're due to complete their meetings on November 15th and their recommendations are due to be provided to the public by November 29th. The Council then intends to consider those findings and the recommendations of that State of Alaska bycatch task force in December as it looks at how to manage -continue to make changes to salmon bycatch management in the Bering Sea.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

The Council also indicated that it wishes to form a work group, especially a salmon bycatch committee and that'll be comprised of tribal members, industry representatives and other experts. The intent of that salmon bycatch committee would be to review and provide recommendations on the chum discussion paper that we'll have listed (ph) by November 11th, as well as the findings from the State of Alaska bycatch task force overall for salmon as well as the specific work by the Western Alaska salmon subcommittee. And to include current information, including local, traditional and subsistence knowledge and research to determine what's driving Western Alaska

salmon declines.

So the nominations for that committee were made available -- were taken through -- I'm sorry -- on to just the next slide that says Part 3 and then I'll get through the timeline of what we're coming back with.

The Council also prioritized research on Bering Sea salmon and noted the support for NOAA and ADF&G and developing models for -- predictive models for where salmon stocks will be located in the Bering Sea. And they are continuing to stress the need to reduce the time for the analysis of the genetics data and then prioritizing increased survey work in near shore environments which has been proposed by the State of Alaska as a really vulnerable area for salmon in their first year at sea.

Next slide.

So then this slide just has a timeline. Again, we had a call for nominations to the salmon bycatch committee that was posted in August. That was taken up until the day before our Council meeting began two weeks ago. Those appointments have not yet been made but they are due to be made soon, either -- they were not made during the October Council meeting so we anticipate that they will be made and published to our website within the next week or so.

In November then the intention was that salmon bycatch would meet for the first time and would review -- at the very least that committee would have an introductory meeting and review the information in the Staff chum discussion paper. If those task force recommendations are available they could also be reviewed by the committee at that time. We do have the Western Alaska subcommittee recommendations so those would be made available to the committee, we just don't know whether or not we'll have the overall State of Alaska bycatch task force committee recommendations in time for a meeting in late November. And then, again, in December the Council will be reviewing that discussion paper as well as the committee recommendations on it and then if the task force recommendations are available the Council will be considering those, if they had not yet been available there is the intention that this salmon bycatch

committee would meet after those overall bycatch task force recommendations are made available.

Madame Chair, I'll pause there. I'm happy to walk through additional slides but if you'd rather pause now for questions I'm happy to do that as well.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, do we have any questions or comments from the Council.

Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. Thank you for your presentation Diana, this is Tim Gervais. During the Sitka meeting was there any discussion on limiting the amount of time the trawl fleet is engaged in fishing or using some kind of model of marine protected areas where there's areas where trawling's not allowed to as to potential conservation measures?

MS. STRAM: Thank you. Through the Chair. Tim. The Council didn't discuss that as options. What the Council put forward was what was in their motion requesting this discussion paper and to give themselves time to look at the task force recommendations from the Governor's task force and then with the intention to discuss, if possible, what, if any, additional management measures they'd consider in December. So they heard public comments on a variety of different aspects to management that they could consider modifying but they didn't make any of those changes or indicate that they were making those changes at this time, instead, they deferred that discussion largely to December when they take up this new information.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Any other questions or comments.

Darrell.

MR. VENT: Yeah, thanks. I just wanted to, you know, give a little bit of information on we were discussing in the meeting that, you know, the salmon's supposed to be going all the way to Canada and all of a sudden there was like 30,000 fish that wasn't accounted for, that was supposed to be heading up into

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

Canada. I spoke before, you know, that the breed of 1 the fish, you have a good strain in that breed and 2 they're able to swim all the way up into Canada and people were talking up around Fort Yukon area and they 5 said, yeah, we've been having a lot of fish up in our streams so I'm assuming that, you know, the fish are 6 7 not strong enough all the way up into Canada anymore. So they go into tributaries that are on the Alaska side 8 9 and it's because they don't have the strain no more. 10 They can't go as far as they used to. IT just brings 11 up, you know, that we have to take into consideration 12 that that strain is not in the fish no more so they 13 can't make that long swim and same thing with the chum 14 salmon. We're not seeing a lot of chum in our area but 15 maybe in the lower Yukon they're starting to, you know, they see chum but they're just not going as far no 16 17 more. They don't have the strength to go as far as 18 they should. So, you know, there's something going on 19 in the ocean. And like Virgil explained maybe it's 20 because there's competition out there for the food, 21 there's not enough to be eaten by the fish to make that 22 long run so they have to make shorter runs and so maybe 23 the fish are breeding in places that are unfamiliar 24 with so it's not -- you're not getting as many fish 25 coming back out anymore because it's not the right 26 place for them to, you know, go and hatch their eggs.

It's something that, you know, we haven't really talked about but I think that should be brought up in discussions that we have to really start understanding what's going on with our fish in order to try and make it to where we can start learning to repopulate our strength in them. So we have to understand from their side, not only what we, as, you know, sitting here at the table, they go full cycle, they go down in the waters in the fall time, under the ice and there's a lot of predation going on, not only pike, you know, there's whitefish, sheefish, eels all these other areas -- when we start losing the salmon then the eels start disappearing too. About the only thing I see a lot around is the pike, they haven't disappeared, they increased. Our ducks, our geese, our muskrat, there's, you know -- Pollock said he went fishing and sees some big pike now, they're huge. And, you know, I spoke about predation in their fish down in the Lower 48 so, you know, that's some of the things I just wanted to bring up that I think is interesting to understand how the fish, how the salmon, how do we, you

know, try to make them come back. We have to really,

0099 you know, get together and put our minds to it. 3 Thank you. 4 5 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, 6 Darrell, that was..... 7 8 MS. STRAM: Thank you for that. I 9 would also encourage if you have the information in 10 your packet, there is some more information under the 11 12

research updates, we had some really interesting research updates presented to the Council by both NOAA and the State of Alaska and a lot of it had to do with warming waters and how that really changed a lot of the diet that both chinook and chum are experiencing at sea and how that basically they're getting a less nutritious diet and in recent warm years the capelin, the thing that they really are thriving on is less prevalent and so that that's having a real effect on

19 20 their ability to survive basically and thrive. So 21 that's -- there's a number of factors but that's also 22

one of them that was brought up to us.

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

13

14

15

16 17

18

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Diana. Darrell, that was very good comments. I never really thought of that, gave that a thought, I just thought they had a lot of food out there but evidently not. Times are changing. I was just thinking about when I was young, I used to be able to run many miles, now I can hop, you know, one foot, not even that. But, you know, as things get older and the fish do get older and the cycle gets older, it slows down, so it's something to think about. I think we should continue this discussion and maybe even have a work shop or something on it, I don't know. We got to do something.

35 36 37

Kevin.

38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45

MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair, thank you. Thank you, Diana. You had mentioned a number of factors that may be causing the salmon collapse. Can you just take a moment to elaborate on that, what NOAA has discussed as far as the factors that may be affecting the salmon out in the ocean. You had mentioned warming waters and climate change, can you just elaborate a little bit more on that.

46 47 48

Thanks.

1 MS. STRAM: Sure, I'm happy to, just to 2 provide a brief overview of some of the information that they've provided to us. They showed some graphs 4 of, particularly Northern Bering Sea juvenile chinook 5 salmon abundance from 2003 to 2021 and they basically 6 noted that the abundance of juvenile salmon has been 7 below average since 2017 but that they're smaller than average size during the recent warm years in 8 9 particular, so 2014 through 2017, and that's when they 10 started looking at some diet data and noted that during 11 those very warm years 2014 through 2017, that the diet 12 that they have of the juvenile chinook salmon is showing a real lack of particularly capelin which is a 13 14 really high quality prey for them. And so they further 15 were discussing that the future runs, Yukon chinook at 16 least, is determined really early in their life before 17 their first winter at sea while Yukon fall chum are 18 also driven by factors early in life until 2016 and 19 that's 'when things sort of tipped over and that had to 20 do with ocean temperature and basically it seemed that 21 juvenile chinook were doing better, at first, as 22 temperatures rose but reached a tipping point whereas 23 juvenile chum, their stored energy basically it goes 24 down as temperatures warm. So the way that the scien 25 -- the researchers had likened it is that while they're 26 getting food it's basically getting less rich food so 27 instead of getting a steak you're getting celery. And 28 so they just don't have -- they're running kind of on 29 empty in terms of their ability to store some of that 30 energy and so they're less viable after that, that 31 first year, because they just aren't getting the high 32 quality prey and that one of the major factors to that 33 has to do with warming temperatures and the switching 34 of the available -- switching to other less nutritious 35 prey items where capelin is absent from their diet. 36

37

I hope that answers your question.

38 39

There's a number of factors and there's a whole lot of people that are doing research on this.

40 41 42

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Kevin.

43 44

MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah, thank....

45 46

47

48

MS. STRAM: Madame Chair, if I may. I neglected to mention one thing. This isn't about salmon bycatch but I did hear somebody mention this earlier in the meeting and I just wanted to make sure

1 that you're aware and I'll give Nissa the information. One thing that the Council took up on Tuesday was 2 appointing an AP tribal seat. So we don't have designated seats for our advisory panel, but they did 5 decide to add one seat as a designated tribal seat on the advisory panel. So the information is on our 6 7 website to apply and noting -- it gives the criteria noting that the individual does not need to be Alaska 9 Native but they must be nominated by a tribe and/or a 10 consortia so that they can speak for the tribes and 11 consortia and so that nomination period will be open, I 12 believe, until early April. But, again, I'll forward 13 Nissa all the information on that and so that's the 14 first time that we have had a designated seat and it is 15 an additional seat on our advisory panel that would be permanent. So I just wanted to make sure you're aware 16 of that but I will make sure that I give Nissa the 17 18 information to circulate on that as well.

19 20

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tim.

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. Diana has -- I don't know, since 2005, have -- or maybe even earlier, I don't know what year your rolling hot spot program came out, but since 2001 has -- or excuse me, 1991 has the implementation of salmon conservation measures ever prevented the BSAI trawl feet from reaching their tact?

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. STRAM: Thanks for the question. Just in terms of when the rolling hot spots -voluntarily the fleet started imposing the rolling hot spots back in 2000 when they were getting closed out of these chinook salmon savings areas and no, I don't believe that being moved out of those areas has ever prohibited them from reaching their tact. There have been some years where they haven't reached their tact in recent years for other reasons, or because the pollock were so dispersed they weren't able to find it. They have provisions in place now to not extend their season very much into October in order to avoid higher chinook bycatch at that time so they're balancing different aspects. But the closures, no, they did not, because they didn't have an overall limit. I would note that the fishery has not reached their overall limit since the imposition of Amendment 91. It would shut them down in a season for the remainder of the season. That limit's divided by sector and by season and so there are smaller boxes for the different

sectors and by season. But if they do reach that limit now it does shut them down. But those time area closures were never hard limits, they were triggered closures, so by design the fishery had to move out of areas that had historically had high bycatch in order to fish in what was supposed to be cleaner areas but as we're learning static time area closures don't work particularly well when conditions are shifting in the ocean.

MR. GERVAIS: Has the pollock stocks been affected by global warming?

MS. STRAM: Thanks, that's a great question. General -- not really, we've been starting to look at kind of the winners and losers of climate change as it goes with groundfish stocks and obviously I'm sure you've heard about the decline in the crab stocks which are definitely losers in climate change. Pollock so far doesn't seem to have a strong response to it, partly they're fairly short-lived. Other species such as sablefish, seem to be doing better under warming conditions. They just had a real huge recruitment event in recent years so we're still kind of looking into that but there hasn't been -- but the pollock stock was down, I think in 2008 kind of to some of its lower levels but since then it's been at a fairly stable level. We'll be getting our new stock assessments for pollock, and all of our stocks actually in just a couple of weeks so we'll have updated information that includes the information from the 2022 survey.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Don.

MR. HONEA: Thank you, Madame Chair. I think Tim brought up a pretty interesting question, I mean, you know, Diana -- oh, my name is Don Honea, I'm from Ruby. I guess the thing, I mean, you know, we can go on about there's so many doggone many facets to this whole thing here, you know, but whether it's chinook or chum or something they're feeding and I think it's kind of interesting that are what are the numbers of the pollock doing. Because, you know, I mean a few years back we could consider global warming, maybe it's getting too warm over there in the ocean and then an elder mentioned the feeding, you know, it's kind of interesting that, you know, what exactly is pollock doing, is it -- is it remaining the same, why are we --

I guess the point I'm trying to make is if I saw that graph correctly and it showed that from 2017 to 2020 or something that a lot of chum salmon was taken, much more than chinook, is it because -- I mean is there -- can we -- do you have an answer to why that's happened, what do we attribute that to, lack of chinooks now, or it seems like there's an increase and it's -- I don't know, if you could answer that or not it'd be nice.

9 10

5

6 7

Thank you.

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

MS. STRAM: Through the Chair. Thanks for the question. We don't know the actual answer to that. That's, again, why the Council's asking for additional information. Some of that is most likely higher encounters on the grounds. If you look at those graphs you can see that chum has really fluctuated. Obviously we had that high in 2005. It was gradually climbing from about -- the encounters that is, so when they catch them as bycatch, not necessarily what you're experiencing on the grounds in Western Alaska because the proportions of those fish that are from Western Alaska, the chum salmon caught in bycatch is really low, as compared to Asian fish and so that's why we're being asked to look at has there been an increase in the hatchery release of Asian fish because they're running into -- when they run into these really high numbers, that's still the greatest proportion of those is by and large Asian hatchery fish and so we're looking at is it a carrying capacity thing, are there more -- are there more chum salmon on the grounds. Certainly avoidance is undoubtedly an issue because there hasn't been -- while there's been incentives in place to avoid chum, chinook has always been the priority and so when the fleet runs into chinook they move off of it and that would be at the expense of chum if they can't get away from both and that's because the chinook has always been the priority to try to reduce any possibility of encounters with chinook bycatch. So I think we don't have that answer.

40 41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

We did a lot of work and studies initially during Amendment 91 to try to figure out is there a smoking gun for the chinook encounters. We looked at a lot of things, we looked at temperature at depth, whether or not there could be temperature directed fishing, whether or not there could be day/night differences. We don't have a good estimate of at-sea abundance of salmon unfortunately and so we

have to look at co-location of pollock and salmon and then look at runs to look at all of them and basically the short answer, for chinook, at least, is that it was some part of all of those things but it wasn't any one single thing. So the decision was made to put the cap system in place even though we didn't know if there was a more refined measure but we knew that it wasn't just temperature, it wasn't just depth, it's presumably colocation but sometimes even in low abundance years they could -- they can run into additional chinook. We've looked at time areas, the -- the geneticists have done a really fantastic job, with chum especially of trying to break out spacial and temporal -- so time and areas across the Bering Sea by season and by week where they might see more -- higher aggregations of Western Alaska chum because the point is to avoid the Western Alaska chum above all other chum.

So I don't have a good answer for you. I hope that we'll have more information to bring forward in December when we start to look at hatchery releases across the Pacific Rim to see if that's an exacerbating factor. But it's -- that's basically what we know right now. We haven't done a lot of investigation into chum encounters, we've been focused up until now on refining chinook measures to make sure that that stays as the most stringent measure.

 $\label{thm:convergence} \mbox{Sorry I can't answer your question} \\ \mbox{better than that.}$

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Any other comments or -- Kevin.

MR. WHITWORTH: Thanks, Madame Chair. Thanks Diana. I got a lot of comments but I'm going to try to keep it simple -- or short.

You know, this morning I was talking about gravel to gravel fish management and NOAA's trying to do it, they're trying to look at in-river, or escapement goals, the people not getting their harvest needs met. I think there could be more collaboration between, you know, the tribal people on the river, I think Fish and Game has some research in the reports with NOAA so I applaud them for working with Fish and Game. NOAA needs to continue to work with the tribal people, the people from the river.

Also I think they need to take a better look at the cumulative effects over the years. We have a lot of data here looking at the bycatch for the past year or two. She had talked about climate change being an impact, the heat stress on different prey for the salmon, there's human cumul -- there's cumulative effects, environmental and human effects, both of them need to be accounted for. Going back all the way to the 2007 even where 150,000 chinook were bycaught, have we recovered from, that one year? We don't know. We used to have commercial fisheries on the Kuskokwim River and the Yukon River, have we recovered from those events? We don't know. We need to look at a more cumulative effect here, not just one or two years back, not look at the impacts from last year's catch and how it's affecting our runs this year, in-river. That's not going to help us to get these runs back to where we want them. If there is, for sure, climate change, environmental impacts on these runs then fisheries management like NOAA needs to take these into account seriously and take a more precautionary approach when it come to harvesting their species like pollock.

We have -- out in the Bering Sea there's -- all the salmon are decreasing, the two crab fisheries are decreasing, West Coast Alaska they talk about bird die-offs and there's bird bycatch as well. There's endangered species being bycaught. Some fisheries, if they catch one endangered species they'll be completely closed yet pollock fishery continues. There's -- you know I'm rambling on here but -- but NOAA needs to take a more precautionary approach to get to where we want to get to and that's where -- we need to get to where subsistence people are getting their food back. And I really do believe that NOAA needs to take this more seriously.

I'll just leave it at that.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,

43 Kevin.

MS. STRAM: Thank you.

MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, I have a

48 question.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, go ahead.

2

4

5

6

7

8

MR. REAKOFF: Diana, this is Jack Reakoff. Is marine temperature correlated to increase chum salmon bycatch irrelevant to the chum salmon biomass and can be used as a predictor, counterintuitively bycatch increases with chum salmon decline but is there consideration to use this predictor as to move the fleet west of the travel routes of the chum salmon during the season when they would be encountered.

11 12 13

10

Thank you.

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. STRAM: Thanks, Jack, those are -those are great questions. I'm probably not going to be able to answer either of them. We did look at temperature and bycatch. I think we looked at both chum and chinook, it was a project that we had years back but I'd have to go back to it. At least the chinook, it was correlated, somewhat weakly but still correlated, we haven't had a lot of success in how to predict where and when we might find the bycatch. That's something that we're trying to work on, particularly working with the geneticists to see if other -- are there times of the year, particularly for chum, if we see something early on, is there a way we can predict, or at the end of one season predict what we might be seeing the next season, we're not really there yet but we're trying to work on that. And in an ideal world, having a predictive measure in place management-wise would be much better than having blunt management measures in place but right now we haven't moved towards the sophistication to have that kind of a predictive approach. I think we're trying to look into that much more -- I mean we looked into it as a blunt tool, that's with the three river index is supposed to do, is just say, okay, when things are going down you make a change. We're trying to look at much more sophisticated predictive measures to see is there something about the timing and the location and the stock of origin, or the bycatch is this year that would tell us something about next year, and I think we do need to look into all those factors. We need to look into temperature, we need to look into the spacial and temporal location of the bycatch by stocks. They're trying to isolate them by strataboxes in the Bering Sea so we can get a better idea under different conditions in the ocean are we seeing more aggregated, say Western

2

4

5

Alaska stocks in certain areas so we would know to move away from there, but we're not there yet. I think that's where we're trying to go in this next year if the Council continues to move forward with considerations for something that we might do more for chum.

6 7 8

9

I hope that helps, I'm sorry, I don't a have better answer to that but I think we are thinking of it.

10 11 12

 $$\operatorname{And}\ I$$ also hope that you feel better, I'm sorry about your accident, that's really horrible.

13 14 15

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ REAKOFF: Thank you. That answers quite a bit. Thank you, very much.

16 17 18

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack.

19 20

Kevin.

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah, thank you, Madame Chair. So I just want to keep on this idea that management's not working and Darrell's brought this up. He brought this up this morning. I really do think the current management at NOAA, there needs to be a deep review. So their current programmatic supplemental EIS that they are functioning under right now, it's a 2004 EIS, that is managed -- they use to manage the fishery, it's outdated. It doesn't reflect the issues that are going on right now well. So we've talked about climate change issues, environmental issues that Diana has brought up, we've heard, you know, with Fish and Wildlife, Fish and Game in-river say that climate change is driving some of these issues, we don't know if it is or not, but the current EIS that NOAA is managing the fisheries under does not account for climate change, it does not account for the significant shifts in the Bering Sea that Virgil has talked about, a lot of people have talked about this, and the salmon collapse, the crab collapses, the marine mammal collapses, the bird collapses, there's studies out in the Bering Sea that go back a long time about these collapses and I really do think that we should write a letter to NOAA telling them that they need to do a complete NEPA process so that the people throughout the entire Bering Sea ecosystem, including tribal people from up and down the Kuskokwim and Yukon Rivers, we are part of that environment, the fresh water environment

is part of the Bering Sea and if they do a full NEPA process to evaluate, come up with alternatives that are alternatives that come from the people, not just within NOAA -- NOAA right now will try to rewrite this plan but without tribal input, without our input, like a full NEPA process would and so I would like to -- I can help draft this letter if you guys would entertain it, to write to NOAA to implement a full NEPA and revision of their EIS. That's all, thank you. MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, go ahead. MR. REAKOFF: I make a motion to write that letter to NOAA referring to the NEPA process and Kevin writing it and with the Council making input into that. That's the motion. MR. VENT: Second. MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: A motion made by Jack to write to NOAA, seconded by Darrell, all in favor say aye. MR. GERVAIS: Can we discuss it for a minute. MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: You need to. MR. GERVAIS: Yes. MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Darrell. MR. VENT: Yeah, just you know, I remember years back they declared a fishing disaster for commercial fishing and the people in our villages

MR. VENT: Yeah, just you know, I remember years back they declared a fishing disaster for commercial fishing and the people in our villages were affected by not being able to go out and get their salmon, do their traditional, and I look back at that and I say, you know, what are our tribal people, how are they being compensated for a disaster that took their food off their table, you know, that's like to going to somebody's store and just robbing it and saying, okay, you don't have no more food there, you can't eat it. That's something that really affects our people because they depend on this fish and it's really serious that, you know, that something we got to

consider later on, how do we propose to the State, the Federal government, you know, all these areas that are depleting what our area used to have. We haven't really, you know, looked into the full depths of what we got to do yet. I mean we're still just sitting on the edge kind of right now but this is something that, you know, our people depended on this and then it was taken away from them. How are we going to go about that. You know that's one of the things that we're going to have to consider later on.

So I just -- you know, just been thinking about that, you know, our people are really hurting because we don't have that traditional use no more.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,

Darrell.

Tim.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. Kevin, let me know if I'm trying to add too much stuff into the letter or everyone on the Council.

So Kevin's going to cover information about initiating or reinitiating the NEPA process. I think it's important in the letter to mention that -it's my understanding that the transboundary escapement on the Yukon was only about a quarter of the goal. So if you look at that as a spawning escapement goal, if you're only -- you're only getting 25 percent of the fish you want on the ground that's just a tragic state of the stock. And I think NOAA and all the Council members on the North Pacific Management Council need to understand that with zero nets in the water, zero fishwheels in the water, we could only get 25 percent of our spawning escapement and that's just really poor biological performance. So I'd like information stating those numbers in the letter and I would like this Council to forward a -- or create -- or start the discussion instead of just trying to manage bycatch we need to try to get into a scheme of more precautionary management where -- so there's -- there is a lot.....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted - typing)

MR. GERVAIS:of factors that are

probably affecting the salmon populations with the bycatch and hatchery release and water temperatures and nutrition available and probably some other factors too. But since all the scientists all over the Pacific can't really figure out or quantify what percent of these effects are, how detrimental they are in the overall picture, that the precautionary management means that we need to have less salmon taken out of the ecosystem until we have a better science-based understanding of what's causing these declines.

 So I hope that we can get that message across to limit the bycatch below what's currently allowable because there's no spare salmon available right now and these fish are being eliminated in a commercial venture which when we can't meet escapement goals there should be no commercial predation on the stocks.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$ that's a point I would like included in the letter.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MADAME}}$ CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, anybody else from the Council.

MR. GERVAIS: Is it -- Kevin, are you proposing that this is a letter to NOAA or North Pacific Management Council?

MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair. I would probably title it to both -- we could send it to both, management, NMFS and North Pacific Fisheries
Management, the Chair at least, I would do that. We could work on that, figure that out, but I would at least send it to those two entities.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay.

MR. GERVAIS: And how do we get the Federal Subsistence Board involved or just CC them on it or does it have to go through them?

MS. PILCHER: Through the Chair, this is Nissa Pilcher. You could certainly CC the Board on it. It does not have to -- my understanding is it doesn't have to specifically go through them in order to get to the other entities Member Whitworth's was talking about. I could be mistaken but I don't believe it specifically has to go to the Board first but they

```
0111
    can for sure be CC'd on the letter.
 3
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Pollock.
 4
                     MR. SIMON: Yeah, thank you, Madame
 5
 6
    Chair. I think we're spending a lot of time on this
 7
     one item of business.
 9
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
10
11
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. All in
12
     favor say aye.
13
14
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: All opposed same
17
     sign.
18
19
                     (No opposing votes)
20
21
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion carries.
22
    Thank you, Jack.
23
24
                     Okay, with that we'll go to the Yukon
25
    River salmon season summary by Holly Carroll.
26
27
                     MS. CARROLL: Good afternoon, Members
28
    of the....
29
30
                     REPORTER: Holly, can you hang on a
31
     second. Let me tell these people to mute first, hold
32
     on.
33
34
                     (Pause)
35
36
                     REPORTER: Okay, go ahead Holly. Sorry
37
     about that.
38
39
                     MS. CARROLL: Madame Chair. Members of
    the Council. I'm Holly Carroll with U.S. Fish and
40
41
    Wildlife Service. I'm the Yukon River in-season
42
    Federal Manager. I will try to keep this report brief
43
    because I feel like we've touched on a lot of your
44
    concerns and questions already with previous
45
    presentations.
46
47
                     You have a full summary of the season
48
     in your packet but I would have to ask Nissa what tab
49
     it's under, it's in your supplemental materials -- Tab
50
```

2 of your supplemental materials. And I will just kind of reiterate that, you know, a lot of the season data is still finishing up. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game will produce its season summaries very soon for the summer and then typically the fall season summary is produced around December, so we'll definitely get those full summaries to you by your next RAC meeting.

So I won't belabor the details, I think you all are very familiar with how the Yukon salmon season went, it's been discussed a great deal today. We've seen some of the lowest runs on record, particularly for chinook it was the lowest run on record, for chum salmon it was the second lowest. We did fail to meet likely all goals within the drainage for both chum and chinook. So, again, this has all been discussed.

 Our management was, you know, very harsh as many of you said, it's -- we've had three years of closures for chum, two years of closures for chinook and our only ability to offer some opportunity was trying to keep pretty liberal fishing for whitefish and other non-salmon and we did that with our four inch gear this summer. On the Yukon we were able to offer that usually 24/7. We did restrict those four inch gillnets to 60 feet maximum length and that's just to help keep those on shore-based so that they're better able to target resident species.

So, you know, in a nutshell, you know, many of you discussed this, when we have runs this poor, you know, our first priority is always perpetuating the runs in to the future. We have those biological imperatives to try to meet escapement goals. And as you can tell from these abysmal run sizes there wasn't enough fish to meet escapement goals even with, as one of you said, zero nets in the water and zero harvest of salmon, we had pretty much no chance of meeting many of these goals.

So I wanted to touch on a couple things some of you guys have been talking about and, you know, it can be very frustrating when management and research doesn't keep up with the concerns that you guys have been expressing, some of you for decades. And I want to echo that that's very true. I mean I actually agree with a lot of the sentiments that have been discussed

here today and as a biologist myself, I just feel like 1 we're always chasing our tail trying to figure out one 2 problem after another. When we think we got one figured out, one species comes back, we're fishing 5 again, and another species just continues to struggle 6 no matter what we do. But one thing that I wanted to 7 highlight is that at the Service we've really tried to take a more collaborative approach, I mean we have to, 9 it's kind of all hands on deck right now, but we're 10 really trying to coordinate better with the people 11 doing ocean research, with the people at the 12 universities doing research on the smolt or the 13 juvenile life cycles, or the ecosystem type of studies 14 and one of the studies that I'm particularly involved 15 with is the ichthyphonus study. I know you also heard 16 mention of that disease and there is a flier at the 17 table over here that describes what that study is 18 doing, why we are doing it, what are goals are for the 19 next few years but, you know, this is a very acute and 20 real problem particular for the Yukon. So we've heard 21 lots of speculation is it climate, is it warming water, 22 you know, there's a lot of areas of speculation 23 affecting lots of salmon but what we do know for Yukon 24 chinook, specifically, this is one disease that likely 25 could be killing them. It is definitely a disease that 26 is in higher prevalence in the population right now. 27 We've been taking samples the last two seasons and we have seen some of the highest prevalence, which is to 28 29 say, more fish in the population appear to be carrying 30 this disease than we've seen in the past. And what 31 we're also finding is that the disease appears to be 32 more severe. And so this kind of goes along with what 33 Darrell was saying earlier, this theory that, well, 34 wait a minute, they're not making it to Canada, we're 35 seeing this big discrepancy between what's counted in-36 river at Pilot Station, for instance, and then what 37 arrives at the Border. For chinook, at least, we 38 really have concerns that if ichthyphonus is killing 39 them before they make it to their spawning grounds that 40 could be one of the main reasons we are seeing far less 41 chinook at the Border than we expect to. It doesn't 42 really answer that same question for chum but I think 43 that heat stress and other things, in fact, it was 44 Darrell that took me on the river and showed me the heat stress mass die-off of chum that occurred in 2019. 45 46 And so while we're scrambling to understand heat stress 47 effects in salmon, we're also scrambling to understand 48 what's going on with this ichthyphonus disease. 49

So right now that program does require that we sample at three sites on the river. Pilot Station is in the lower river so we like to look at how the disease is affecting the fish down there but it's really important to check the disease at Rapids area because I'm sure Jim Simon and Virgil, you may recall them mentioning previous studies were done many years ago and many of them centered around that Rapids area. So we have a good baseline of what the disease has looked like over decades and so we need to continue to look at Rapids.

But one of the theories is that people in the upper river say communities like Fort Yukon and communities like Eagle, they say they don't see this disease in the fish and that could imply that those fish are dying, the sick fish are dying before they ever get there and that's why they don't see those hearts with the spots and the spoiled meat. And so we do want to expand our sampling into Fort Yukon next summer, and we hope that the sampling will continue at Eagle.

This is controversial sampling because as you've all discussed, people can't go fishing for salmon, they can't even do their normal traditional harvests. We've got fishing very heavily restricted. But for the importance of this study we've limited the number of sample sizes needed to be statistically sound and we need to take about 200 samples from each location. To do that we have to kill the fish because we have to take that heart and study that heart. But what we do, is we distribute those fish to locals who are getting to use those king salmon for their traditional use.

So if you have more questions about that study I'll be here after, there's also the flier. But it's just really important that we study that disease now while it's prevalent because it does come and go and so we really need to understand what percentage of these fish could actually be so affected that they might not be making it to their spawning grounds.

I also just wanted to briefly touch on the Service has a real important part of its mission to consult with tribal governments and on the Yukon we've made an effort to have tribal consultations. Last year

we did some post-season teleconferences. We offered 1 them by district and then we did a preseason round of tribal consultations. And this year we'll be doing that preseason round again, especially once we have 5 forecasts and start to let people know what we're 6 expecting for run sizes. But this fall we also 7 recognized that, you know, we have a Board of Fish this year, we have a Federal Subsistence Board, there's so 8 9 many meetings. You guys have all talked about the 10 December Council meeting and it sounds like that's 11 another one to go to. So for tribal consultations we 12 would like to just have them with you whenever you want 13 or need them. And so just as a reminder that any 14 tribal government can request a tribal consultation 15 with me, the Federal Manager, and we will set up a meeting for you. So just to remind you, that if you 16 17 want something post-season we'd like to maybe hold 18 those in November or whenever would work with you but 19 just to get in touch with us directly to schedule 20 something.

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

I guess I just also heard a lot of other great conversation about -- you know, a lot of frustration about these very complicated meeting processes and what can you really do to make any change and I believe that you are right some of these changes are glacial and painful and there's some of you that have been fighting on these issues for over 20 years. But I guess I just want to remind you that, you know, your work, through attending these meetings, especially through the North Pacific Fishery Management Council, those chinook bycatch caps and amendments that are in place, those weren't there before and I believe that the work of the stakeholders to make these concerns known and to go to these meetings and to spur us biologists, us agencies to do a better job, I do believe that's working, and so I just want to remind you that the December Council will be taking up salmon bycatch.

39 40 41

 $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ wanted to also answer a couple questions that came up earlier.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service does have just a non-voting seat on the Council. The member that sits on that is our assistant Regional Director, Pete Fasbender. We have various Staff that work to provide reports to the Board and so one of those folks is Aaron Martin at the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

and then we produce reports for the Board, we call them the B report, and that might be any information that the Service wants to present at that meeting. So it could be anything from information about migratory birds or mammals or it could be about salmon and myself and the Area Manager Boyd, out of Kuskokwim, we have been pushing to produce our B reports and to focus on the -- sort of the outcomes and the effects on our fishing users for the December meeting and the reason is because that's a meeting where salmon bycatch is brought up. So we will be giving a B report where we describe our run sizes, the effects of those run sizes on the fishermen for that meeting, but that's typically when we produce that B report. So hopefully that answered some of those questions.

And I'm certainly happy to standby for any more questions you have. I am giving this report on behalf of the Fish and Game managers as well so I guess it would be good to see if they had anything that they wanted to add about management, if they're on the line.

MS. JALLEN: Hi Holly. Yeah, thank you for that excellent report and good update on -- this is Deena Jallen with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, I'm the Yukon River Summer Season Manager.

Yeah, and just a few things to add is that we'll be getting our 2022 summer season summary published here fairly soon and we're putting out additional reports as part of the Board of Fish process later on this winter. The 2021 area management report, the AMR will be published later this winter, as well as a stock status report that will include updates from chinook, summer chum, fall chum and coho salmon. ADF&G Staff comments on the Board of Fish proposals have been drafted and those will also be put on the Board of Fish website.

 And, yeah, also just to echo Holly's comments on her sentiment that we really, really appreciate all the hard work that you do on the Council and all the hard work that you do especially as good stewards of the resources that we're working to protect and, yeah, with that I'll turn it over to Christy if she has anything else to add for the fall season.

MS. GLEASON: Yeah, hi, members of the

Council, this is Christy Gleason, I'm the Fall Season Manager. I work really close with Holly and Deena.

We were listening to your guys' opening comments and your concerns for the low salmon runs. hear you. We have the same concerns. Especially with -- this is the third year in a row for low fall chum and coho runs. We did hear that you guys have concerns for Area M and I want to let you know that they are having a Board of Fish meeting this year, it's February 20th to the 25th in Anchorage and you can submit public comments by February 3rd. There are some proposals that are in right now to try and protect Yukon salmon and so you guys will probably be talking about those a little later in your agenda. Deena and I are also available if you have any questions about the Board of Fish Yukon proposals. Our Board of Fish meeting is January 14th to the 18th and you can submit public comments by December 30th.

Yeah, and I guess one other thing with the low salmon runs that we're seeing on the Yukon River, I want to let you know we are trying to do some additional research for fall season. We restarted the Sheenjek River sonar, which is a historical project that we've had on an important fall chum spawning river in the Porcupine River drainage. We also worked with U.S. Fish and Wildlife and Yukon Delta Fisheries Development to tag -- put radiotags in about 350 coho salmon this year and we're tracking them in the Yukon River drainage right now. We know very little about coho salmon and so we're trying to understand more.

Another thing that we did this year was we collected some samples to look at some stress hormone analysis on fall chum salmon and so hopefully we'll wrap up these research projects and have more to report to you guys at a later time.

So thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you very much. Any comments. Darrell.

MR. VENT: Yeah, Holly, just, you know, something that I kind of remembered and I had spoken about a few years ago on the crash on the coho, I said we really got to start worrying about our chum salmon because it might becoming the same was as the coho and

it actually has happened. So, you know, I was worried about it then and now what I'm worried about is our sheefish and whitefish. Those are going to be getting hit hard and we don't have no numbers on those and it's concerning because we're losing a lot of our fish already.

6 7 8

5

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Darrell. Any other comments. Don.

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

24 25

26

27

MR. HONEA: Thank you, Madame Chair. Thank you for your presentation, Holly. It's interesting, the fact that, you know, I guess we've heard for a couple years now that the fish that went by X arrived at Z or whatever and the numbers are way down, whatever, and so would you know about the studies on say they mentioned the Sheenjek or the Porcupine or something, and you also mentioned ichthyphonus or something, the fish at the Rapids, how come they're not getting them in Fort Yukon. So I don't know if the Porcupine is -- comes in before the -- before you get to Fort Yukon or not but I -- I was just wondering, you know, if the Sheenjek or the Porcupine or something, you know, reflects a higher number of fish that were destined for Canada in the first place. I don't know if you're -- if you have access to those numbers or who actually does.

28 29 30

Thank you.

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

MS. CARROLL: Yeah, that's an excellent question. Through the Chair. Mr. Honea. So this kind of relates to what Darrell said earlier, too. that the fish that are going to Canada, are they going into other tributaries like the Porcupine or something else. And we have -- you know we have an extensive network of agencies that are collecting data and so within Canada they have projects on the Porcupine River, they have multiple projects, long-term projects and we have not seen this phenomena of, instead of fish going to Canada they're going into other streams, we aren't seeing higher numbers in those streams, we're actually seeing record low numbers everywhere. And even like you were saying, in the lower river, you know, maybe they're just going and spawning in the lower rivers, we're seeing no evidence of that whatsoever. Every spawning area appears to be lower than historical and that's for both chums and chinook.

And so, no, we're not just seeing them just turn off 1 sooner. And so this problem with Rapids, you know, at first -- in the very first year when it happened it was mostly with chinook, we don't see the data problem with 5 chums. We don't count a certain number of chums at Pilot and then not see them at the Border at Eagle, 6 7 those match very well. So there wasn't an indication that the chums are dying but there is now, after four 9 years in a row an indication that the chinook might be dying before they get there. And there's no indication 10 11 that they're just going off into other tributaries.

12 13

 $\label{eq:madame} \mbox{MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,} \\ \mbox{Holly, that was very good. Kevin.}$

14 15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Madame Chair. Thank you, Holly, for the report. I've got a few questions. Just looking at my notes here. Thanks for touching on the ichthyphonus, that's scary stuff and one thing I would -- and, you know, you mentioned Pilot Station versus Eagle River sonar, those two and the discrepancy there, have you thought about -- I know in years past, you know, we've thought maybe it's just harvest taking place in between the two, 30,000, 40,000 chinook salmon being harvest somewhere, I know that's been discussed in the past. Maybe they're dying because of this disease. I've also heard of it could be that the sonar's not accurate. There's a lot of variability within the sonar estimate. I know the graphics, they are black bars, you know, they just have basically -- they show this number. Well, there's a lot of variability this -- this confidence interval so it may be helpful to point that out in these graphics to have -- show that there is a variability in those estimates. And as well as Eagle. You know it's a big river. And when you are trying to estimate chinook salmon runs or coho, whatever it is, past the sonar and you're using a sonar, it's very difficult to estimate each species. So just wanted to point that out.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

Also will be interested later on in the winter report when we have a post-season harvest estimates coming out of the Yukon, especially like Darrell's concern for whitefish and sheefish. If, you know, now that the salmon have been basically closed would be interesting to see if harvest for these other species have gone up, like whitefish.

47 48 49

And also since there had been a lot of

0120 1 four inch mesh net, I'm just wondering if there's been discussion about the -- this has been a big discussion on the Kuskokwim with the four inch, is the die-off, 4 and the, you know, what's that, people call it, roll 5 out.... 6 7 MS. CARROLL: Drop out. 8 9 MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah. That is a 10 concern for me. People are able to catch king salmon 11 with a four inch net and if they're out 24/7 they are catching king salmon, but there's also going to be a 12 13 lot that are not caught at all and they'll just drift 14 down stream dead and they won't be going to the 15 spawning grounds at all. 16 17 I do want to point out -- I would like 18 to ask, too, you know, the Biden Administration and 19 Holly -- no, excuse me, the Secretary of Interior, 20 there's this push for co-stewardship, co-management 21 with tribal governments, especially in DOI, I do believe there's a lot of room for this on the Yukon 22 23 River, on the Kuskokwim, we're trying to build it more 24 and more every year. I mean even just small steps, 25 like this B report from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife 26 Service going to NOAA in December, that report, there 27 could be tribal consultation and co-management or co-28 development of that report. That's just a small 29 gesture to tribes, they can get their voices heard 30 through a report that goes directly to NOAA and if you 31 were open to work with tribes, that's a big step in the 32 right direction. And that's just one example, I think there's a lot of examples for co-stewardship, co-33 34 development, co-management of these species and if you 35 work with tribes, both entities we'll win. So I really 36 encourage you to try to work with tribes as much as you 37 can in-season especially with these reports, like the B 38 report. 39 40 Thank you, that's all. 41 42 Thank you, Jenny. 43 44 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, 45

Kevin. Any more comments or questions.

47 Tim. 48

46

49 MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. 50

Yeah, I have a question for all three of you ladies, when we were having a discussion earlier with Kevin and Virgil on genetics and I was recalling some kind of genetic study done by Department of Fish and Game in the mid-teens where they were -- I thought they were sampling king salmon harvests randomly for genetics in each management area, Kodiak, Chignik and Area M, do any of the three of you recall that study and what year was it and where could we find that information?

MS. CARROLL: Through the Chair, this is Holly Carroll. You know actually I worked with Matt Keyes and he's now the Assistant Area Manager for Area M and he did mention that study that you're talking about that Fish and Game did get money when he was back there managing the fishery and I believe it was 2015 or 2016 where they got year of funding but then something happened with it so they may have only gotten one year of funding, so I think unless Deena or Christy had more information on that it probably is just worth contacting the Kodiak office because they may have information about that or letting Fish and Game follow up on that.

MR. GERVAIS: Okay. So Tina [sic] and Christy this is Tim Gervais. How -- in our discussions and in the Board of Fish proposals there's a lot of.....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. GERVAIS:concern with interception, how -- has Department of Fish and Game discussed genetic sampling on kings and chums off the commercial harvest for the different management areas so all the stakeholders could have accurate information on how much is intercept and how much is locally caught fish?

MS. JALLEN: Hi, yeah, this is Deena Jallen with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. There was genetic sampling done in Area M this year -- let me pull up the preliminary information from that -- and this is going to be a topic of a lot of discussion at the Board of Fish meeting in -- next -- later on this winter that's going to discuss Area M fishery so there'll be a lot of written comments, there'll be a lot of ACR's, there'll be a lot of supporting

documentation about, you know, Yukon fish in the Area M fishery and so those would probably be good discussions to weigh in on, support comments, submit comments (indiscernible - paper shuffling/typing - muffled) a lot more deeper than we probably want to get into it here.

MR. GERVAIS: Are the genetic testing programs very expensive to conduct? I commercially fish in Chignik and we have allocation discussions or conflicts frequently with Kodiak and Area M and I know Cook Inlet fishermen get involved too with Kodiak interception, so I'm just curious if the genetic testing is economical and it can be part of the commercial harvesting programs going forward for all the areas so we can all understand what's -- how much interception's going on....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted - typing)

MR. GERVAIS:and also would allow some fisheries to continue on harvesting local stocks.

MS. JALLEN: Yeah, that I don't know, it might be worth reaching out to either Dan -- there's a regional operational plan that's out -- I think it's available through our report that talks about the study plan for genetic stock composition and the dates on the regional operation plan are from 22 to 26 and I'm trying to scroll through it and see -- but I can email that to you, Tim, I think I have your email address, but, yeah, I don't know how much it cost to run that project and how the associated sampling and analysis cost breakdown.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ GERVAIS: All right. I can text or email you my email address.

MS. GLEASON: And this is Christy Gleason, just to add a little bit more to what Deena said about Area M, we have had a lot of public questions about Area M and Deena and I are not the managers for that fishery and so it's hard for us to answer questions but the Department did put together a summary about Area M and some of the frequently asked questions that people have and so we can distribute that to the RAC members if you'd like, like we're trying to distribute it to our AC members as well.

```
0123
 1
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, this is Tim, I
 2
    would appreciate that study.
 3
 4
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair. I would
 5
     like that document also.
 6
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes Jack.
 8
     ahead, Jack.
 9
10
                     MR. REAKOFF: I said I would like that
11
     document also about Area M, the question and answer
12
     sheet.
13
14
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Darrell.
15
16
                     MR. VENT: Yeah, just one more, you
17
     know, she spoke on the disease the fish are getting.
18
     We were discussing that in our meeting and we want to
19
    put in a sonar around the Galena area to try to see if
20
     that -- because you have a long distance from Pilot --
21
     you know, from there, the mouth all the way up to
22
     Eagle. And we wanted to see if we could get a better
23
     idea of what's really going on with the fish so we're
24
     trying to get a sonar put in around the Galena area for
25
     that. And, you know, Virgil spoke about the size of
26
     the fish, they're not the big healthy fish anymore. So
27
     that's one thing that I wanted to mention. That's why
28
     I spoke about, you know, they can't swim as far as they
29
    used to anymore. That's just some of the things I was
30
    mentioning.
31
32
                     (Teleconference interference -
33
    participants not muted - typing)
34
35
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,
36
     Darrell. Any more comments.
37
38
                     (No comments)
39
40
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: If not, then if
41
     you don't have anymore, Holly, are you done?
42
43
                     MS. CARROLL: I guess, Madame Chair, I
44
     would like the opportunity to followup to Kevin had
45
     very many comments about some information that I could
46
     actually quickly provide.
47
48
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA:
49
```

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

1 MS. CARROLL: So you've brought up a 2 couple things about the difference between the sonars. You bring up a really good point, there's large 4 confidence intervals around our sonar estimates. 5 have extensive experience operating both at Eagle and Pilot and other sonars, I know how complicated those 6 7 apportionment models are. And as a manager, the first year it happened, it's the first thing you think, is 8 9 what's going on with the sonar. When it happens four 10 years in a row and it only happens with one species and 11 it's not an undercount, it's an overcount, right, 12 essentially, you think you have more fish and then they 13 don't arrive. That raises a lot of red flags. And 14 we've had a team of experts from Fish and Game, Fish 15 and Wildlife and also members of the Joint Technical Committee in Canada review multiple datasets and 16 17 presentations, and I won't say that we've ruled out 18 that sonar is the problem but we're definitely looking 19 at other things as a problem. But we had a whole 20 subcommittee formed to look at this difference between 21 estimates. I don't bother presenting all that stuff 22 here because it is -- you know I could give a whole 23 presentation on it but suffices to say we also looked 24 at other factors, like you mentioned, could it be 25 harvest, and I mean we are talking about in high run 26 years, like 2019, we had a harvest of 50,000 chinook on 27 the river so it was actually a decent chinook harvest 28 year and we failed to meet the goal by about 500 fish 29 but we were expecting another 20 or 40,000 fish there. 30 So, again, we had a massive chinook discrepancy. It --31 our entire fleet of subsistence users could not 32 possibly have harvested 40,000 fish and have it go unreported. Our subsistence harvest survey on the 33 34 Yukon actually has confidence intervals around the 35 harvest estimate, they're actually really quite 36 accurate, we get really good reporting. People even 37 report when they take fish illegally or with gear 38 that's not legal. People generally are quite honest on 39 those surveys. And so we have this long dataset, we 40 can see outliers pretty easily and we also have a long 41 dataset of the non-salmon. 42

And so to get to your question about should we be concerned about the harvest of non-salmon, Darrell, you brought this up as well, actually we do need to keep looking at that very closely but what we found in the first year of the massive closure is that we actually saw a decrease in the harvest of whitefish that year. That could have to do a little bit about

the fact that surveyors go door to door in the community or last two years it's been by phone, but we typically go do that in the fall, well, typically most fishers are going to fish for their whitefish in the winter because they're going to do it under the ice and so we think that could have been a lower estimate. So we're going to look at those estimates of non-salmon way closer this year. But one of the things we found was that those harvests are going down. And one of the theories on that is that most people in the summer are not choosing to harvest those fish and some may not be able to afford to open their fish camp, or go for the gas to go gillnet for those whitefish because it may not be worth it to them, that is what we're hearing from some folks. So we're definitely going to present that kind of data. I'll make a note that we highlight those kind of data when we come back and do our summary in the winter.

I hundred percent love your idea about the tribal collaboration on the B reports and I'll definitely run that up the chain to the team who works on the Council.

And then I also just wanted to say that when it comes to the Eagle sonar you mentioned error, what's unique about the Eagle sonar is it's not like the Kuskokwim and it's not like the Pilot. Those species are very discreet. They don't even really see a lot of resident species. So the error around the estimate is usually less than 1,000 fish, it's almost a fish per fish count when we're talking about the chinook and the chum. So actually we don't consider the Eagle sonar as likely being any part of the problem.

So I just wanted to address all those questions with you because I think they're super valid and I certainly wouldn't want them glossed over. If you want more information about that we can certainly followup.

The final thing you mentioned was drop out, and we have been hearing a lot of concerns about that from even members here. It certainly started when we reduced mesh size to six inch so on the Yukon, we have been using six inch for almost 10 years in the subsistence fishery and so those concerns came up for large fish, particularly the large fall chum, large

```
0126
 1
     kings and then they've come even more now that we're
    requiring -- or only allowing that four inch that --
    and I, myself, have run gillnets so many times, I know
    exactly what you're talking about, a big fish it going
    to get entangled on the small mouth parts and then when
    the fisherman goes to retrieve it, it's just going to
 6
 7
    roll out and so not only is not retrieved and didn't
    make it to the spawning grounds but now they need to go
 9
    get more fish. So we are aware of that. But what
10
    we've seen on the Yukon is we're not seeing enough
11
    evidence that that's a problem to warrant taking away
12
    that one last final opportunity for people to get any
13
    kind of food. So kind of like what you were saying in
14
    your early testimony about the Kuskokwim, during coho
15
    there's no ability to offer any opportunity. On the
16
    Yukon we are down to people eating nothing, you know,
17
    and so us being able to offer that four inch
18
    opportunity, at least to me it's.....
19
20
                     (Teleconference interference -
    participants not muted - typing)
21
22
23
                     MS. CARROLL: ....really important
24
    that we continue to try to offer that whenever we can
25
     as it's one of the last methods for people to feed
26
    their families at this point.
27
28
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,
29
    Holly.
30
31
                     Kevin. Tim.
32
33
                     MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair.
34
    Holly, I just wanted to -- you said it but I'm pretty
35
    hard of hearing. So you said that Pete Fasbender, he's
36
     a non-voting member of the North Pacific Management
37
    Council?
38
39
                     MS. CARROLL: Yes. Through the Chair.
    That's correct, he's our Assistant Regional Director
40
41
    and he's the Fish and Wildlife Service non-voting
42
    member for us, yeah.
43
44
                     MR. GERVAIS: Okay.
                                          So he can
45
    participate in any Council discussion but he never gets
46
    to vote on an action item?
```

48 MS. CARROLL: Correct.

50

MR. GERVAIS: Okay. And then Erin -- you're -- you're writing the B report and giving it to Erin and Erin is presenting the B report to the Council in December.

MS. CARROLL: Aaron Martin is one of our Staff members who attends the meeting and compiles documents so, yes, and I can get all of this information and send it to Nissa to distribute. But basically he's the one that makes the call on the B report. I will say the B report turnaround is usually less than three weeks because the Council has a meeting every couple months. There's a meeting — a three meeting outlook so it's a pretty quick turnaround on those reports.

MR. GERVAIS: Okay. And then it's an annual event, every December this happens even if it's years when there's not a salmon problem?

MS. CARROLL: No -- so actually these Council meetings occur, I believe, five times a year, and they're always a week long. What I was saying is that the Fish and Wildlife Service will submit a B report for any -- for anything that we might want to tell the Council. So sometimes marine mammal issues come up, we'll submit a B report. We have chosen to start putting our salmon information more likely into those December meeting reports because that is when they take up the salmon bycatch issue but at any time the Fish and Wildlife Service has the opportunity to give a B report to the Council at any of their Council meetings.

 MR. GERVAIS: Okay. So like this December the B report might have Western Alaska salmon and also sea otters in the Aleutian or Southeast and walrus and then at the next meeting in March or April you may revisit more information that becomes available on salmon, is that how the B report process works?

MS. CARROLL: Yeah, through the Chair. The B report is just a way for the Fish and Wildlife Service to communicate any relevant information to the Council pertaining to that meeting. So at every single meeting there's a B report. What we put in that B report could vary meeting to meeting, there's a lot of flexibility there.

0128 1 MR. GERVAIS: All right, thank you. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Tim. 4 Kevin, did you have something. 5 6 MR. WHITWORTH: No. 7 8 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, Okay, 9 I'll make a comment. I thank you Carol -- Holly -- I 10 keep calling you Carol. But I remember years back when 11 they first started reducing the mesh size, I was a 12 little bit upset because we had one type of gear and 13 then they were reducing it from 8 to 7 or whatever. I 14 got up and I made a comment and I said, gee, every --15 you're going to be reducing us going down from 8 to 7 to 6 and now you're going to bring us down to 4 and now 16 17 we're down to 4 inch gear. And something that Darrell 18 said was -- about the fish that we're -- we're 19 concerned -- we're concerned about years ago and it's 20 happening now and he's bringing up whitefish and pike 21 and I'm also thinking about the other fish, we have 22 grayling, burbot, what do we call the burbot -- and 23 something else in our language. 24 25 MR. VENT: Lush. 26 27 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Lush. We call 28 them lush. But anyway -- and I know down river, the 29 lower river they get eels and I don't know what other 30 type of fish that we eat but we should also be thinking 31 about those fish because maybe that's the next thing 32 that's going to be on our tables and, you know, the 33 decline of all the fish that's going on we'll probably 34 be losing those too and I don't know maybe we'll be 35 getting a new type of fish that we don't like now, when 36 we got this fish from somebody else we didn't even know 37 how to work at it so maybe we better be prepared to be 38 getting fish from way outside or different countries. 39 40 But with that, I think if you're done 41 Holly, I think we need a break. 42 43 MR. HONEA: Can I comment. 44 45 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I think we need 46 a -- okay. 47

MR. HONEA: Can I comment?

48

0129
1 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes. And then
2 we'll go on break.

MR. HONEA: Sure. Thank you, Madame Chair. Holly I find it kind of interesting that, you know, what is your take on this, I mean -- I mean so -so we come up with, you know, this and that and everybody else, I mean do you have an opinion on this, I mean I don't want to carry this on but you know what there's actually fish out there. I have a cousin that lives up above Ruby, Big Eddy and most of the boats are out right now but it's surprising that she put a net in and caught maybe three, four whitefish but eight, nine chums. I -- you know, we just text back and forth, I don't know what condition they're in or anything like that but it's fish, it's edible maybe and so, you know, maybe I'm saying that -- maybe some of this fish just hang around, like you say and go into these tributaries and stuff but, you know, I mean not to go on and on about this but I just wanted to know your opinion about especially the chum crash or, you know, the runs. And I appreciate that, thank you.

MS. CARROLL: Thanks. Through the Chair. I just want to clarify, so you brought up two things. One is that people could go out fishing right now and they're catching some chums and we do know that chums come in way late. They'll continue to come in under the ice. People get nice bright chums under the ice and that's why, actually from a management standpoint, we still have salmon fishing for chum closed in all the major tributaries where they go, so the Koyukuk River, the Porcupine and the Treejendik River are still closed. They'll stay closed because those chum are going to be actively spawning way up in those tributaries.

But to the other point where you're saying, you know, why do you think the chums crashed, I think it's what everyone is saying here. It's all these things. You know the marine environment is producing poor food, I think -- Kevin I think you said it best, I love that phrase that we need gravel to gravel management, that has never been more true. You know we are so silhoed in what we do. I'm a manager, I manage just the fish that come back, whatever God gives to me or the ocean gives to me or however you want to look at it and this year I got, you know, we got 20,000 fall chum to the Border, you know, it should have been

more than 70,000. For the kings we were supposed to have 44,000 to the Border, we counted 40,000 at the Pilot Station sonar that should have been more like 150. So at that point there's nothing I can do, right. But we need to be thinking about what's happening with the smolt and what's happening in the gravel. You know someone brought up Sabrina Garcia's research, she presented it at the Eastern Interior RAC and I think you guys would really benefit from a presentation of that data at your next meeting because they are starting to see that what happens to those fish from gravel to three months in the ocean might be the most important. And, I, myself wonder if we are looking at those things enough, you know. We have this major spawning river, the Chena, for our Yukon kings and yet we have hundreds of miles of degradation in the city and yet young little salmon rely on good stream banks and so I know that at Fish and Wildlife Service we have Mitch Osborne doing restoration projects on the Chena with land owners, even like this place, Pike's Landing, I believe has done some great work. But that's one little program trying to fix on spawning area that's super important. So there's all these areas I think we can be working on.

So that's a super long answer to say, you know, these chums crashed 20 years ago, they're crashing again now. The difference before when they crashed was in three or four years we saw record returns. I hope that occurs now. But if it was going to occur we're seeing those returns creeping at a very small pace. So 2022 the only bright spot for me, biologically was that those chum returns were what we forecast roughly so that tells me our forecasts are working okay and they were a little better than 2021. But will they rebound like they did before and have record runs, I don't know, because we haven't seen escapements this low ever.

So short answer is I don't know what's happening to the chums but what is happening is affecting all four species of salmon now for the Yukon and for Western Alaska.

MR. HONEA: Madame Chair. Just one last, you know, maybe this is kind of a brighter spot but we had a fisheries meeting here and whether it was YRDFA or somebody this past summer and so Catherine Moncrieff of YRDFA did some research for me and found

```
0131
    out that this one -- yeah, you're exactly right, I
     don't know if it was in the late '50s or what -- what
    exact year it was but this has happened before and
 4
    maybe it's just a -- some kind of encouragement that it
 5
    will rebound.
 6
 7
                     I appreciate that, thank you for saying
 8
     that.
 9
10
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Kevin.
11
12
                     MR. WHITWORTH: I just want to make one
13
     quick comment. So in the summer, you know, we always
14
     talk about run size, you know, the forecast for the run
15
     size is going to be 100,000 let's say, and I'm glad
16
     Holly had mentioned this, you know, in-river her job is
17
     managing just in-river and so when we, as managers,
18
    think of run size, it's just in-river, so it's in-shore
19
    returns basically from the mouth of the Yukon up river,
20
    or the mouth of the Kuskokwim up river, well, really
21
    run size should include all the bycatch and all of the
22
    intercept fisheries. That's that gravel to gravel that
23
     I keep talking about. The entire run of the Kuskokwim
24
     or Yukon chinook salmon, when they go out to the ocean,
25
     that's their run size. When they return into the
26
     river, that's in-shore run size.
27
28
                     So it's a little thing but, anyway, I
29
     just wanted to make that comment.
30
31
                     Thank you.
32
33
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you.
34
     Okay, any more comments.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: If not we'll
39
     just take a bathroom break. So that's really quick.
40
41
                     (Off record)
42
43
                     (On record)
44
45
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, if we
46
     could make our way back to our seats. We have some
    more stuff to cover.
47
48
49
                     (Pause)
```

```
0132
 1
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Are you ready
 2
     Tina?
 3
 4
                     REPORTER: We're on, yes.
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, we're
 7
     ready to go. Darrell had to leave, he has another
     meeting but we still have a quorum so we'll continue
 9
     our meeting. With that we have the next report from --
10
     we'll have an update on the Kuskokwim River broadfish
11
     -- whitefish subsistence harvest and spawning abundance
12
     project by Frank Harris.
13
14
                     REPORTER: Number 2 Jenny.
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, sorry.
17
18
                     MS. PILCHER: Sorry.
19
20
                     REPORTER: No. 2.
21
22
                     MS. PILCHER: Sorry, No. 2.
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, No. 2,
25
     sorry about that. And this is by.....
26
27
                     REPORTER: By Aaron Moses first.
28
29
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: ....by Boyd --
30
     oh, Aaron.
31
32
                     MR. MOSES: Madame Chair. Boyd
33
     Blihovde, the in-season manager is online. I'm just
34
     here to make sure that you guys have the paper, it's
35
     the handout that was given out and I just wanted to
36
     make a small point.
37
38
                     We work really closely with Kevin and
39
     the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission and on
40
     Fish and Wildlife side we have a management team that
41
     consists of five of us and four of us are from Yukon
42
     Delta, grew up, born and raised on the Delta and so we
43
     work really well with the Kuskokwim River InterTribal
44
     Fish Commission and our Refuge Manager and the in-
45
     season manager. So, Boyd, are you online.
46
47
                     MR. BLIHOVDE: Hey, Aaron, thank you.
48
     Can everyone hear me okay.
49
```

0133
1 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, we could
2 hear you.
3

MR. BLIHOVDE: Okay, thank you. Hello, Western Interior RAC members. Madame Chair. Thanks for allowing the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge to give a report on the Kuskokwim River salmon situation. As Aaron mentioned my name is Boyd Blihovde, I am the Refuge Manager at Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge based here in Bethel. I wish I could have made it there to the meeting in person, especially after hearing most of your voices for the last two years. Really apologize for not being able to be there. Although I've been in this position for over two years I consider myself new here to the Yukon Delta and someone who will continue learning from the local indigenous people who care for and utilize this resource. I am proud to say that I live in the Yup'ik country and I work with Yup'ik, Chup'ik and the Athabascan cultures that are here represented in the Yukon Delta Refuge.

212223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

You heard from Aaron Moses there and he mentioned this a bit, I just want to expand on what he was saying. Aaron Moses is our Subsistence Specialist and he's there in Fairbanks with you and I'm glad that he could be there in-person. As he mentioned, Aaron and the rest of our fish management team at the Refuge are local, experienced fishers on the Kuskokwim River and I think that is very important. The team is made up of Aaron Moses, who's there with you, Spencer Riorden, our Supervisory Biologist, Christopher Tulik, who's our lead Resource -- sorry Refuge Information Technician, and then Emmitt Nicori is a new member of the team who's from Kwethluk and also another RIT, we call it, basically like a tribal liaison position. So I believe it's a very strong team that helps me and the rest of the Fish and Wildlife Service make good decisions here on the Kuskokwim. Those decisions, we try to make the best decisions for salmon conservation but also for the people, balancing conservation of salmon with a continuation of subsistence uses. So a huge part of our salmon management and conservation team is the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission. The partnership we have with the InterTribal Fish Commission is vital to conserving salmon and lately that conservation concern has meant concern not only chinook salmon but for chum, and now this past year, coho, also. The U.S. Fish and Wildlife

Service and the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge depends heavily on the traditional knowledge that the InterTribal Fish Commission brings to the table at our in-season management meetings. And I hope that will only increase and become stronger in 2023.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

So to get to the point of what happened in 2022, I'd like to say that as Aaron mentioned, he had a hand out that basically includes all the information we wanted to provide on the Kusko salmon summary for 2022 so I hope you all have that in front of you. And there's no real need for me to go through it page by page or line by line. But, once, again, salmon conservation started on June 1st this previous year with the start of conservation measures for salmon. And in your packet you can see the number of special actions that we initiated on the Kuskokwim for Federally-qualified subsistence users, those special actions were set to both conserve salmon species for current and future generations but also to allow for that traditional harvest of salmon that we're also charged with here at the Refuge.

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

The harvest estimates coming from those opportunities were well received and they were very productive this past year. For instance, if you look at Table 1 in the back of your handout, or packet, it should be the last page, you will notice that on June 22nd, Kusko fishers had one of the -- a record harvest day for chinook salmon. Since Federal protection has begun, we've had very few days that have hit this level, an estimated 14,000 chinook salmon were harvested on that single day opportunity. And the conservation significance of a harvest like that might be kind of confusing or hard to understand but it is significant that people were allowed to go out and catch chinook salmon at that level because what happened was the lower Kuskokwim felt some relief from harvest pressure and the middle and the lower Kuskokwim felt that relief and salmon managers were able to comfortably keep the lower Kuskokwim waters closed until June 29th, which you might notice was one of the next dates down for another harvest opportunity which we had a set net opportunity that was allowed for two days. So in total we had 13 days of harvest opportunities for salmon fishing in 2022 during the Federal closure period. The opportunities targeted different species as best we could but on July 21st the InterTribal Fish Commission and the Yukon Delta

1 National Wildlife Refuge team agreed that Federal restrictions could be rescinded. At this point managers felt okay about how we handled fishing on the Kusko this past year given the challenges and the low 5 number of fish overall. But 2022 was once, again, an 6 unprecedented year on the Kuskokwim. Fisheries 7 managers were taken by surprise by the coho numbers and how low they were as Kevin had mentioned earlier in his 8 9 summary, they really took many of the fisheries 10 managers by surprise. So the coho situation that we 11 held meetings and had salmon restrictions up to 12 September 15th and through September 15th of this past 13 summer and into the fall. And that was also 14 unprecedented. The State placed restrictions on the 15 main stem of the Kuskokwim specific to coho on August 16 16th, those restrictions lasted, like I mentioned, 17 until September 16th when they were lifted. The State 18 restrictions included Units -- all of the units on the 19 Kuskokwim, 1 through 5, of the river. The Yukon Delta 20 National Wildlife Refuge supported those conservation 21 measures in principle for coho but we recognized that 22 the restrictions were going to be difficult for up 23 river communities as they were. The State's 24 restrictions were directed at coho but they were harder 25 on up river communities, one, because of the timing 26 being that it was getting into the fall and they had 27 already gone through all the restrictions of summer 28 just like the lower and middle river communities. But 29 because the rules targeted all gear types it was very 30 difficult for anybody to fish for other species, like 31 whitefish and that was something that they 32 traditionally would do during that time of the year. 33 So it was a challenging part of the year and something 34 we didn't deal with in previous years, or really any 35 time since Federal closures had started to take place 36 on the Kuskokwim.

37 38

39

40

41

So coho really was a different situation this year for us just like chum had been for the previous two years, something fairly unprecedented, now we're dealing with a third species of serious concern and that's coho.

42 43 44

45 46

47

48

49

50

So in total some more news about the harvest is that the harvest data as documented in Table 1, that you can see there, is just an estimate and it's not a complete picture but it does show the estimate of species, all the species of salmon that were harvested for the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge boundary

and as you can see the numbers look better than many other rivers were able to harvest but it wasn't even close to the historical or the traditional harvest needed for subsistence by the local users. So you can see the estimate for chinook salmon was 29,300, 3,650 for chum, and 24,840 for sockeye. So those harvest estimates, again, are, you know, just estimates, but we put a lot of work into those numbers with the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission taking survey data on the ground and then our Fish and Wildlife Service Pilot and aircraft counting boats from the air. And I just want to make it very clear that although there was harvest opportunity those numbers are very poor harvest numbers for the traditional local subsistence users on the Kuskokwim.

So it was basically a real dire year again for folks trying to depend on salmon for their food.

On the positive side, it looks like the preliminary numbers for chinook escapement were right around where we are targeting. We and the InterTribal Fish Commission have come up with a 110,000 escapement goal for the Kuskokwim and we have sort of a confidence interval around that number and it looks like based on ADF&G's post-season preliminary estimate 143,622 chinook was the total for the Kuskokwim with an escapement of 105,774, those are very preliminary numbers still and they will come up with a more solid number here later on but that's the estimate so far and the State also estimates that the total harvest for the entire Kusko, this is the harvest estimate is 37,848 and that's for the whole river, even outside the Refuge boundary. So, again, not where it needs to be or where it has been over the tradition in the past but we are happy that we were able to provide for harvest opportunities working with the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission to come up with the dates and timing of those to both conserve and balance for subsistence at the same time.

So I could continue and go on and on about some details but I don't want to take too much time. I'd like to conclude, though, that just a reminder that, you know, the goal right now for the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge and working with partners is that we've got to continue to focus on people and relationship building and then, at least, my

personal belief is that conservation is all about people and people like you in the room that are putting your time into RAC meetings and giving advice and guidance to managers like myself. So we're happy to be at the meeting and to provide our summaries but we really want to hear from you and folks like Kevin who's on the InterTribal Fish Commission and other local users who really depend on the salmon. We believe that we need to continue to focus on building strong relationships on the Kuskokwim, we should expand our efforts to understand the issues that are facing the Yukon users as well, that's also in the Refuge and we also need to expand our attention to the Coast where salmon are also an issue there, along with waterfowl and other species that are also in decline.

So we need to work harder to be a good partner to groups like the InterTribal Fish Commission who are doing so much to help us. So our relationship is good with the InterTribal Fish Commission and strong and we believe that to be true and we hope that they do too. But we also want to improve on that relationship in 2023.

So there's more data and info we could provide but I think that's enough for now and I could turn it back over to Aaron if he's got anything to add that maybe I missed.

Thanks.

MR. MOSES: Thank you, Boyd. The only thing I have is for escapement goals. And we hit -- we achieved escapement goals on two of the species but we didn't on two so for chinook and sockeye for the Kuskokwim, we did make our escapement goals, but for chum salmon and coho we did not. And with coho we didn't make it by a lot, like on the Kwethluk it's supposed to be over 19,000 and by the end of the season they counted roughly 6,000. So we've been pretty low on the coho.

 $\,$ And with that, if you guys have any questions for Boyd or me.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Anybody have any questions or comments.

49 Don.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, Madame Chair. Aaron, I was just wondering, I mean you're throwing a lot of numbers out there so you have a lot of sonars or you have some way of counting -- coming up with these numbers?

MR. MOSES: Yeah, we have -- the Alaska Department of Fish and Game has a test fish and a sonar out in Bethel, and then the tribes, Fish and Wildlife Service, InterTribal Fish Commission have, what is it, about five weirs, roughly, throughout up and down, so we do have one sonar and one test fishery.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Any other comments or questions.

MR. WHITWORTH: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Kevin.

MR. WHITWORTH: Thanks, Madame Chair. Thank you, Aaron. Thank you, Boyd. Really appreciate your participation here. I just wanted -- you had mentioned you hoped that our partnership is good and I'll tell you what, our partnership is very good. Working with Boyd, his local Staff like Aaron Moses, Spencer, Chris, those guys from the Refuge but -- they work for the Refuge but they're from the Region. There couldn't be a better situation as far as working with that Refuge and the collaboration that they bring to the table and working with us and they're so open to working with the tribes. It couldn't be any better. We've had it in the past where it's been very rocky and difficult.

Even though -- I mean 2022 was difficult in different ways. I mean the salmon run was very difficult, it was hard on the people, we had pressure continuously throughout the entire summer to try to provide some opportunity but we had to do what we had to do to try to conserve and it always impresses me that the people from the river are leading the conservation effort to try to rebuild chinook, chum and now coho, people like Boyd's Staff, people from the Fish Commission, even other people within the river, that is something that Boyd told me when he first moved to Alaska that he was so surprised that the people from the river were leading the way for conservation and it's still that way today.

```
0139
 1
                     And people had to sacrifice. The
    reason why we made escapement goals on chinook salmon
    was because of the people, they forego harvest, they
    had to, they knew that. I mean it's not hard -- I mean
    it's not easy, but the people agreed with management
 5
    and there was no protest fisheries and it worked out
 6
 7
    real well. And this co-management agreement that we
    have with working with Fish and Wildlife Service is
 9
     just -- it's getting better and better and Boyd is open
10
    to doing more and more so we have -- now we have
11
    management plans and harvest strategies that we've co-
12
    developed. There's other reports that Boyd reaches
13
    out to the Fish Commission to review and collaborate
14
    on. It's a really good working relationship and I'm
15
     just proud to work with him.
16
17
                     I just want to leave it at that.
18
19
                     Thank you.
20
21
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,
22
     Kevin. Anymore.
23
24
                     MR. GERVAIS: Jenny.
25
26
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Tim.
27
28
                     MR. GERVAIS: Who's operating these
29
     weirs?
30
31
                     MR. MOSES: Yes a lot of them are
32
     partnerships like on the Kwethluk, and even Takotna,
33
    the Fish Commission is working with the tribes and Fish
34
    and Wildlife Service. The Native Village of Napaimute
35
    operates, I believe it's two weirs and that's mostly
     the middle river, the Aniak River and I think they help
36
37
     out on the George River. So a lot of these are in
38
    partnership with Alaska Department of Fish and Game and
39
    Fish and Wildlife, but it's also the Fish Commission
40
     and the tribes are the ones that are leading a few of
41
     them.
42
43
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Any other
44
     comments or questions.
45
46
                     (No comments)
47
48
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: If not then --
49
     oh, Tim.
```

0140 1 MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, I would just comment that congratulations to the parties involved 2 for getting this opportunity to the people and without too much drama. I was talking to Kevin and Aaron during the break about a lawsuit that the Fish and 5 Wildlife Service had sued the Department of Fish and 6 7 Game but it sounds like they got past that and even though it wasn't a big year for fish they were able to 9 meet two escapement objectives and not have a lot of 10 protests on the river. So that's significant to have 11 that many successes given the low abundance. 12 13 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Any other 14 comments or questions. 15 16 (No comments) 17 18 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: If not thank you 19 Aaron and Boyd, thank you very much. Okay, with that 20 we're going to -- we're going to hear from who? 21 22 MS. PILCHER: Frank. 23 24 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, we'll hear 25 from Frank, Frank? 26 27 REPORTER: He's online. 28 29 MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, Frank is on 30 the phone, okay, go ahead, Frank. 31 32 MR. HARRIS: Good afternoon, Madame 33 Chair. Members of the Council. My name's Frank 34 Harris, I'm with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 35 Fisheries Biologist with the Kenai Fisheries Office. 36 Can everybody hear me fine? 37 38 MR. GERVAIS: Yes. 39 40 MR. HARRIS: Okay. Yeah, I'm just 41 going to give you a brief update on the Kuskokwim River 42 broad whitefish subsistence harvest and spawning 43 abundance project and then kind of ask for everybody's 44 help and assistance in getting some recapture 45 information on that. 46 47 But in an effort to collect baseline

information and address subsistence fishers concern

about seeing fewer broad whitefish on the Kuskokwim

49 50

River than in the past, the -- and forgive me if I don't pronounce this quite right, the Orutsararmiut Native Council, the Native Village of Napaimute and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service have begun a four year study of broad whitefish spawning in the Kuskokwim River near McGrath. So there's multiple spawning aggregates of broad whitefish, we're just focusing on one of them and it's near the -- the population that spawns near McGrath. And this project was funded by OSM during the 2022 FRMP funding cycle. The purpose was to estimate the project -- to estimate the number of broad whitefish on the spawning grounds between McGrath and Medfra, estimate harvest rates of this spawning aggregate in the Kuskokwim River and describe population demographics.

To accomplish this the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, with the help of ONC will be using electrofishing techniques to capture, mark and recapture tagged broad whitefish in the area around McGrath. Since most broad whitefish spawn every other year it will take us several years of sampling to come up with an estimate for the total number on the spawning grounds in this area.

The tagging will occur in the fall and last six to eight weeks. During 2022 we began sampling and tagging on August 22nd, and the tagging ended October 11th, so just last week we finally finished up with tagging just before the river started running ice there in McGrath. In 2022 we had one crew tagging and we tagged 628 broad whitefish. In 2023 we anticipate having two crews to maximize the number of fish we can tag and we anticipate similar timing for the next three years. These tags on the broad whitefish, they are long, maybe up two inches long, they're grey so that predators can't easily spot them, and they're located near the dorsal fin. They have a tag number and an 800 phone number to call to the Fish and Wildlife Service to report this tag if any subsistence fishers capture one. Additionally, the tags can be reported to ONC, NVN, and the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge.

After spawning, which occurs right about now near McGrath, these broad whitefish will drop back down stream of McGrath to overwinter. Some of these fish will travel as far down stream as Tuntutuliak and we know from previous tagging studies that some of these fish will be harvested down stream

of McGrath in the subsistence fisheries. As part of our study we'll need to estimate mortality from the harvest. This is where the help of the subsistence fishers come in. When you capture a tagged broad whitefish, we would like to know the date caught, the location, tag number, your name, the village you live in, and phone number. So this is so we can enter you into a monthly drawing for a gas car. Additionally, there will be a yearly grand prize gas card for the people who have captured these fish and reported capturing them to us. The data, again, the data from the tagged recoveries will be used to estimate the harvest from this population and this informs researchers on how many tags are no longer in the population and are available for recapture in the future. So basically we take them out of the pool of what might be available to make our estimates from.

So getting all these tagged fish reported is very important for accurate estimates.

We appreciate everyone's help on this in reporting these tags.

That's all I really had on this project. We just got done doing the sampling this year. The only information we have is how many we captured this year. We haven't done any age information yet, aging of the fish. But I just wanted to update the Council on this and start spreading the word that we're looking for recapture information and that there's gas cards available for a monthly drawing for people who report catching these fish.

 $$\operatorname{So}\ I$$ thank you for your time and if anybody has any questions I'll do my bets to answer them.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Do we have any questions or comments.

Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Hi, Frank, this is Tim Gervais. Thanks for your presentation. Does this study area, does this correlate to the spawning area that we tried to set aside during the BLM area of --

what was it AC -- ACEC program, is this the same part of the river?

MR. HARRIS: You know I'm not familiar. When they were going through the ACEC stuff I was working on the Yukon primarily so I'm not familiar with what they had put in the ACEC for the broad whitefish spawning area but the area they spawn in is up stream of McGrath, primarily between McGrath and Medfra, I think most of them -- I used to help Ken Harper with a lot of the radiotelemetry on those whitefish projects. I don't recall getting too many of the radiotagged broad whitefish even as far up stream as the Big River but they certainly could because I know we captured some of our radio -- or electrofished up some fish in the mouth of the Big River earlier this fall so I know some of those fish go up that far, but primarily the spawning area is from the mouth of the Big River down stream to McGrath.

MR. GERVAIS: Do you know how long a broad whitefish will carry their eggs?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ HARRIS: I'm sorry, Tim, could you ask that question again.

MR. GERVAIS: Yeah. How -- I was wondering, in our region on the Yukon, the whitefish egg is a delicacy and I was curious how long before and after spawning the females are carrying their eggs?

MR. HARRIS: Oh, okay. So there's an index called the gonadosomatic index, or GSI and you can kind of get an idea of like if a fish is going to spawn that year, particularly with females, by looking at this GSI index, and somewhere in June you can start making this distinction in terms of whether these fish are going to spawn that year or if they're going to wait and spawn the following year. The females that are going to spawn, the current year, their eggs will begin to grow and mature beginning in about mid- to late June, and so they won't be up to full size probably until September, mid-September but, you know, to fully mature, but they do start growing in June.

Does that answer your question?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ GERVAIS: Yeah. And then once the eggs are mature they -- does a female lay them like

```
0144
 1
     salmon and then they get fertilized?
 2
                     MR. HARRIS: So whitefish are what are
 3
 4
     considered broadcast spawners, humpback whitefish do
     this too, sheefish, when the conditions are right --
 5
     and I haven't witnessed this but I've heard people who
 6
 7
    have, when the conditions are right, they all kind of
     go up to the surface in the spawning area and they
     expel their gametes, just kind of up at the surface,
10
    and everything just kind of mixes together and it all
11
    kind of falls to the bottom and the eggs are kind of an
     sticky substance and they'll kind of stick to the
12
13
    bottom and that's where they'll -- in the gravel there
14
    and that's where they'll kind of incubate until they
15
    hatch.
16
17
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, any other
18
    questions or comments.
19
20
                     (No comments)
21
22
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: If not, if you
23
    don't have any more to add, Frank, thank you very much.
24
25
                     MR. HARRIS: Okay, well, thank you very
26
    much.
27
28
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you.
29
30
                     (Pause)
31
32
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, we'll go
33
     ahead and go with -- hum.
34
35
                     (Pause)
36
37
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay.
38
39
                     MR. HONEA:
                                 Madame Chair. Are we
40
     going to continue on because if we are we should at
41
     least have a five, 10 minute break if we're going to be
42
     going another half hour or 45 minutes.
43
44
                     (Pause)
45
46
                     MS. PILCHER: Through the Chair.
47
    Madame Chair. Nissa Pilcher for the record. So it is
48
     currently 5:18 it is up -- so Cory -- the Staff's ready
49
     to present on the fisheries proposals if you'd like to
```

hear but it is up to you guys if you'd like to continue this evening or not since it is after 5:00 but like I said it's up to you.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$ CHAIR PELKOLA: What's the preference of the Board.

MR. HONEA: I myself wouldn't go over another half an hour, or 20 minutes or something because I have something going on at 7:00 so, I mean if it's -- you know, I've got to go eat and then attend something at 7:00 but, you know, 20 minutes is fine with me. I don't know about anybody else, but not more than a half an hour.

MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, that sounds good. I think he'll make it short.

(Laughter)

MR. GRAHAM: Madame Chair. Members of the Council. Again, for the record my name is Cory Graham and I'm a Fisheries Biologist with OSM. I'll now present Fisheries Proposal 23-01, which can be found on Page 68 of your Council book. This proposal was submitted by your Council and requests the Federal Subsistence Board rescind the closure to the harvest of non-salmon fish in the Jim River drainage by Federally-qualified subsistence users and modify regulations to allow rod and reel gear only and an Arctic grayling harvest and possession limit of 10 per day.

The proponent states this would continue subsistence uses by allowing harvest of nonsalmon fish by Federally-qualified subsistence users in an area that is currently closed. The Council believes there is a verifiable traditional use of non-salmon fish in this drainage and a limited harvest by rod and reel should be allowed. While the Council also believes there's a verifiable traditional use of salmon in this drainage it does not believe the salmon runs can support any harvest at this time and the closure should be rescinded only for non-salmon fish.

The Jim River drainage is closed to subsistence fishing under both Federal and State regulations, however, sportfishing is allowed under State regulations. The Federal closure was reviewed during the previous fisheries regulatory cycle and your

Council recommended eliminating the closure and modifying regulations as they are in this proposal, however the Board determined those modifications would not allow appropriate notice and opportunity for public comment, therefore, the Board voted to maintain the closure with the expectation that a proposal could be submitted to eliminate the closure and that is the proposal that is currently before you.

The Jim River drainage crosses the Dalton Highway. Approximately 3 miles of Jim River exists within the Kanuti Refuge boundary managed by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the remainder of the drainage is on general domain land managed by BLM.

Chinook, chum and coho salmon spawn and rear in the Jim River but aerial surveys indicate relatively low numbers in the drainage. The predominate non-salmon fish include Arctic grayling, burbot, humpback and round whitefish, long nose sucker and northern pike. Stock assessments of Arctic grayling indicate the Jim River is one of the more productive drainages in the area. Wiseman and Coldfoot are the communities most likely to subsistence fish in the area if the closure is rescinded due to their close proximity to the drainage.

Harvest is allowed under sportfishing regulations and Arctic grayling make up the majority of sportfish harvest along the Dalton Highway Corridor. During 2009 to 2018 an average of 122 Arctic grayling were harvested from the Jim River.

If this proposal is adopted, subsistence fishing for salmon would remain closed under Federal regulations in the drainage, non-salmon fish could be taken by rod and reel only, subsistence rod and reel harvest limits would match State sportfishing harvest and possession limits except for Arctic grayling, which would have a harvest and possession limit of 10 per day. The current sportfish harvest and possession limit is five per day.

If this proposal is not adopted subsistence fishing will remain closed and Federally-qualified subsistence users could continue to harvest fish under State sportfishing regulations.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to

```
0147
 1
     support Proposal FP23-01. The drainage is, again,
     currently closed to subsistence fishing but open to
    other uses. Allowing a limited subsistence harvest
    using rod and reel only would provide subsistence
    opportunity and protect populations from overharvest.
    Increasing harvest and possession limits of Arctic
 7
    grayling would provide a subsistence priority for
    Federally-qualified subsistence users, and maintaining
 9
    the closure to salmon will protect small populations
10
    within the drainage.
11
12
                     That concludes my presentation and I'll
13
     standby for any questions you may have regarding the
14
     analysis.
15
16
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Do we
17
    have any questions or comments for Cory.
18
19
                     (No comments)
20
21
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Hearing none I
22
    guess -- that was fast, thank you very much. I didn't
23
    mean that fast but.
24
25
                     (Laughter)
26
27
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: I didn't mean to
28
    scare you.
29
30
                     (Laughter)
31
32
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. We
33
    have....
34
35
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, this is
36
    Jack Reakoff.
37
38
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, go ahead.
39
40
                     MR. REAKOFF: The analysis and overview
     is very thorough and I appreciate that. Is that the
41
42
     end of the presentation.
43
44
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, that was
45
     fast.
46
47
                     MR. REAKOFF: Okay, I make a motion to
48
     adopt FP23-01.
49
```

```
0148
 1
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Not yet. Not
 2
    yet.
 3
 4
                     MR. REAKOFF: Okay.
 5
 6
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Hang on.
 7
 8
                     MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair. Sorry, Mr.
    Reakoff, you know, we need to go through all the
 9
10
     closure review procedure first before the Council can
11
    make a motion.
12
13
                     MR. REAKOFF: Excuse me.
14
15
                     MR. HONEA: Madame Chair. I'm kind of
     confused here. If Cory could come back to the mic and
16
     maybe discuss, are we doing the three -- I realize
17
18
     there's three of them, are they submitted by -- who is
19
     actually submitting this?
20
21
                     MR. GRAHAM: Through the Chair.
     is the proposal FP23-01 and it was submitted by the
22
23
     Western Interior Regional Advisory Council.
24
25
                     MR. HONEA: Okay.
26
27
                     MR. GRAHAM: We have closure reviews
28
    after this but we're not discussing those yet.
29
30
                     MR. HONEA: Okay.
31
32
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, this is
33
     Jack.
34
35
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, Jack, go
36
     ahead.
37
38
                     MR. REAKOFF: Yeah, there's -- I failed
39
     to -- I don't have the card in front of me, there's
40
     public comments, et cetera, et cetera, State comments,
41
    we need to go over those, I don't have that card in
42
    front of me.
43
44
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: They gave me a
45
    bigger one.
46
47
                     MR. REAKOFF: Do you want to help,
48
    Nissa.
49
```

```
0149
 1
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay,
 2
     introduction of the draft analysis. Okay. Are there
     any -- is there any tribes on the line.
 4
 5
                     (No comments)
 6
 7
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: ANCSA
 8
    Corporations.
 9
10
                     MS. WESSELS: I'm sorry, so the first
11
     thing there in the procedure, that's the result of the
     tribal consultations on this closure review with tribes
12
13
     and ANCSA Corporations and I -- Nissa, have you heard
14
    that there were any comments from the tribes or ANCSA
15
    Corporations?
16
17
                     MS. PILCHER: Through the Chair. No, I
18
    have not.
19
20
                     MS. WESSELS: Yeah, so we don't think
21
    there were any comments from the consultations from the
22
     tribes or corporations. There may be additional
23
     comments from tribes and ANCSA Corporations but they're
24
     later in the procedure.
25
26
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you.
27
    ADF&G.
28
29
                     MS. JALLEN: Hi, yeah, this is Deena
30
     Jallen with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
31
     Could you read back which waters are in Proposal 01?
32
33
                     MS. STUBY: Madame Chair, Lisa Stuby,
34
     Alaska Department of Fish and Game. The Department's
35
     -- Alaska Department of Fish and Game is neutral on
36
     Federal Proposal FP23-01. The proposed daily harvest
37
     of 10 Arctic grayling from the Jim River by Federally-
38
     qualified users from low population areas will not be a
39
     conservation concern. However, this amendment will
     result in conflicting State and Federal regulations for
40
41
     the Jim River and could complicate enforcement.
42
43
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you.
44
45
                     Federal.
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
49
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. And we
```

```
0150
     already went through tribe, right, tribal -- okay,
    Advisory Group comments, other Regional -- other RACs.
 2
 3
 4
                     MR. GRAHAM: Madame Chair. The Eastern
 5
     Interior Regional Advisory Council supported this
 6
    proposal. The Council noted there is no biological
 7
    concern related to the proposal and it will benefit
     subsistence needs of people in the area. The North
 9
    Slope Regional Advisory Council also supported this
10
    proposal. And the Western Interior -- or excuse me,
11
    Yukon Kuskokwim Delta RAC has not met yet, they'll meet
12
    next week and consider this proposal then.
13
14
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you.
15
    Fish and Game Advisory Committees.
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Subsistence
20
    Resource Commissions.
21
22
                     (No comments)
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Nissa, any
25
    written comments.
26
27
                     MS. PILCHER: (Shakes head negatively)
28
29
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: No written
30
     comments, okay. Public testimony.
31
32
                     (No comments)
33
34
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Regional
35
    Council recommendation.
36
37
                     MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair, this is
38
     Jack Reakoff.
39
40
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, go ahead.
41
42
                     MR. REAKOFF: I jumped the gun. I make
43
     a motion to adopt Proposal FP23-01 with my intention to
44
     support.
45
46
                     MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, is there a
47
     second.
48
49
                     MR. HONEA: Second.
50
```

0151			
1 2 3	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: A motion made by Jack to support FP23-01 and seconded by Don.		
4	Discussion.		
5 6	MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.		
7 8	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, go ahead.		
9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. REAKOFF: As I said previously the analysis was very thorough, the fresh water stocks of the Jim River drainage can support this harvest by subsistence Federally-qualified subsistence users. Thank you, Madame Chair.		
15			
16 17	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you.		
18 19	MR. SIMON: Question.		
20 21 22 23	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: There's been a question. All in favor say aye.		
24	IN UNISON: Aye.		
25 26	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Those opposed.		
27 28	(No opposing votes)		
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Motion carries. Whew, that was good.		
	(Laughter)		
	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, with that, I think we'll just go ahead and break until tomorrow. I think we're getting a little worn down here.		
39 40	MR. HONEA: Yeah.		
40 41 42 43 44	MADAME CHAIR PELKOLA: So at 9:00 o'clock we'll resume here in this room and I hope it's warmer tomorrow. We'll see you tomorrow, Jack.		
45 46	MR. REAKOFF: Thanks so much, have a great evening.		
47 48 49 50	(Off record)		

```
0152
 1
                  (PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED)
 3
 5
6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0153	3		
1	CERTIE	FICATE	
2			
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
4)ss.	
5	STATE OF ALASKA)	
6	T 0-1 7 11'1- 1	Tall a	
7		Notary Public in and for the	
8	state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:		
9 10	Reporters, LLC, do nereby Ce	ertity:	
11	THAT the foregoing r	pages numbered through	
12			
13	contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the WESTERN INTERIOR SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL		
14	MEETING, VOLUME I taken electronically on the 19th day		
15	of October 2022;		
16	01 0000001 2022,		
17	THAT the tra	anscript is a true and	
18	correct transcript requested		
19	thereafter transcribed by under my direction and		
20	reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and		
21	ability;	_	
22	_		
23	THAT I am no	ot an employee, attorney, or	
24	party interested in any way	in this action.	
25			
26		chorage, Alaska, this 5th	
27	day of December 2022.		
28			
29			
30 31	Colo	7 11:10	
32		ena A. Hile ary Public, State of Alaska	
33		Commission Expires: 09/16/26	
34	ну С	Commission Expires. 09/10/20	
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			
49			
50			