```
0146
 1
               WESTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE
 2
               REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
 7
                            VOLUME II
 8
 9
                            Telephonic
10
                        February 18, 2021
11
                            10:30 a.m.
12
13
14
15
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
16
17
     Jenny Pelkola, Acting Chair
18
     Don Honea
19
    Goodwin Semaken
20
    Pollock Simon
21
22
23
24
25
     Regional Council Coordinator, Karen Deatherage
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
    Recorded and transcribed by:
36
37
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
38
    135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2
39
    Anchorage, AK 99501
40
     907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0147	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Telephonic - 2/18/2021)
4 5 6	(On record)
7	MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay, Jenny, it looks
8 9 10	like we've got everybody on board to call the meeting to order and then with your permission I'd like to make a couple of announcements.
11	a couple of announcements.
12	Thank you.
13	-
14 15 16	ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. I'd like to recall this meeting back to order at 10:33. Go ahead, Karen.
17	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay, great. Welcome everybody. This is Karen Deatherage with the Office of Subsistence Management. And I appreciate folks calling in today for the remainder of this meeting. Just a reminder with respect to phone calls, if you could please mute your phone when you're not speaking, that would be super. Also, please don't put us on hold because we get this nice little beep or sometimes some pretty terrible music that we have to listen to while reports are being given. And also at the request of the Chair, we'd like you to repeat your name twice, if you would, when you are speaking so that everybody
30	knows who's talking.
31	<b>3</b>
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	We have four items on the agenda today We can start with, if Darrell Vent is on the phone, we can give him the honor of reporting on the Huslia Tribe, or on behalf of the Huslia Tribe, and then the Bureau of Land Management will give an update on the Bering Sea Western Interior Resource Management Plan, and that'll be followed, hopefully, at 11:00 by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's report on juvenile salmon and salmon bycatch, and the Eastern Bering Sea ecosystem.
43	So that's what's on the agenda today
44	and if there's any questions feel free to ask and I'll
45	turn it over to your Chair, Jenny Pelkola now.
46 47	Thank you work much overwhody
47 48	Thank you, very much, everybody.
49	So if Jenny can ask for introductions
	<u> -</u>

```
0148
 1
     to see who's on the phone. Oh, I apologize, we're also
     open for any public comments this morning on any issues
     if anybody from the public would like to speak.
 4
 5
                     Thank you.
 6
 7
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Could you
 8
     give your name, whoever's on the phone.
 9
10
                     MR. VENT: Good morning, this is
11
     Darrell Vent.
12
13
                     MR. REAKOFF: Jack Reakoff.
14
15
                     MS. YASUMIISHI: Ellen Yasumiishi.
16
17
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Who was that again,
18
     I'm sorry.
19
20
                     MS. YASUMIISHI: Good morning. Ellen
21
    Yasumiishi with NOAA Fisheries.
22
23
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Fantastic, thank you,
24
    Ellen.
25
26
                     MR. STOUT: Glenn Stout with Fish and
27
     Game.
28
29
                     MR. GRAHAM: This is Cory Graham with
30
    OSM.
31
32
                     MS. KENNER: Pippa Kenner, OSM.
33
34
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Maybe I'll ask for
35
     folks again from OSM, anybody from OSM, we've got Cory
36
     and myself.
37
38
                     MR. KRON:
                               Hey, Karen, yeah, this is
39
     Tom Kron. Good morning everybody.
40
41
                     MS. KENNER: And Pippa Kenner.
42
43
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Good morning, Pippa.
44
     Let me go through some agencies, that might help make
     this a little easier. How about Fish and Game. We
45
46
    heard Glenn Stout was on the phone, anybody else from
47
    Fish and Game.
48
49
                     MS. LONGSTON: Sarah Longston.
```

0149	
1 2	MS. GARCIA: Sabrina Garcia.
3 4	MS. DEATHERAGE: And who else?
5 6	MS. GARCIA: And Sabrina Garcia from Division of Commercial Fisheries.
7 8	MS. DEATHERAGE: Welcome Sabrina.
9	Looking forward to your report today. Anybody else
10	from Fish and Game.
11 12	MC TATIEN. Cood morning This is
13	MS. JALLEN: Good morning. This is Deena Jallen with Alaska Department of Fish and Game up
14 15	here in Fairbanks.
16	MS. DEATHERAGE: Hey there. Hope to
17	get out in that snow today. Anybody else from Fish and
18	Game on the phone with us this morning.
19	MG MODAVID. Good manning White is
20 21	MS. MCDAVID: Good morning. This is Brooke McDavid from Division of Subsistence.
22	blooke McDavia from Division of Subsistence.
23	MS. DEATHERAGE: Hi Brooke. How about
24	Fish and Wildlife Service, do we have people from Fish
25	and Wildlife Service on the phone this morning.
26	
27	MS. FOX: Joanna Fox.
28	MD MACCIMANNI, Eleia ia Canala
29 30	MR. MASCHMANN: This is Gerald Maschmann I'm up in Fairbanks.
31	maschinann i in up in railbanks.
32	MR. REBARCHIK: Bob Rebarchik, the
33	Koyukuk/Nowitna/Innoko out of Galena.
34	
35	MS. DEATHERAGE: Morning Bob. And I
36 37	think I heard Joanna Fox.
38	MS. FOX: Yes, with Kanuti Refuge.
39	Mo. 10M. 165, With Manuel Reluge.
40	MS. DEATHERAGE: Anybody else with the
41	Fish and Wildlife Service.
42	
43	MS. MORAN: This is Tina Moran with
44	Kanuti Refuge in Fairbanks.
45 46	MS. DEATHERAGE: Hi.
47	MO. DEATHERAGE. HI.
48	MR. HAVENER: Jeremy Havener
49	<b>-</b>
50	

```
0150
 1
                    MR. HARWOOD: Chris Harwood.
 2
 3
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Sounded like Chris
 4
    Harwood?
 5
 6
                     MR. HARWOOD: Yes.
 7
 8
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Super. Anybody else
     from Fish and Wildlife, Vince, you on?
 9
10
11
                     MR. HAVENER: Jeremy Havener, Koyukuk/
12
    Nowitna/Innoko.
13
14
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Morning, Jeremy.
15
16
                     MR. HAVENER: Good morning.
17
18
                     MR. BLIHOVDE: Boyd Blihovde, at Yukon
19
    Delta National Wildlife Refuge.
20
21
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Welcome Boyd.
22
23
                     MR. BLIHOVDE: Thank you.
24
25
                     MR. MATHEWS: Good morning. This is
     Vince Mathews with Kanuti, Arctic and Yukon Flats in
26
27
    Fairbanks. Thanks.
28
29
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Morning.
30
31
                     MR. BROWN: This is Randy Brown with
32
     Fisheries in Fairbanks.
33
34
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Good morning, Randy,
35
     welcome. Anybody else from Fish and Wildlife on the
36
     phone.
37
38
                     (No comments)
39
40
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: How about the Bureau
41
     of Land Management, do we have anybody from the BLM on
42
     the phone.
43
44
                     MS. MILLION: Good morning. This is
45
     Bonnie Million.
46
47
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: We've got Bonnie
48
     Million.
49
```

```
0151
 1
                     MS. MILLION: Yes, good morning, Karen.
 2
 3
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Good morning.
 4
 5
                     MR. HEINLEIN: Good morning, Karen.
 6
    This is Tom Heinlein from the Anchorage District, BLM.
 7
 8
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Oh, what's your name,
 9
     Tom?
10
11
                     MR. HEINLEIN: Yes, it's Tom Heinlein.
12
13
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: All right, welcome.
14
    Welcome to the BLM as well.
15
16
                     MS. JULIANIES: And this is Erin
17
     Julianies, Fairbanks BLM.
18
19
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Hey there neighbor.
20
     Anybody else from the BLM on the phone this morning.
21
22
                     MR. SEPPI: Bruce Seppi, Anchorage
23
    Field Office.
24
25
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Hi, Bruce. All right,
26
    how about the National Park Service.
27
28
                     MS. FLOREY: Good morning. This is
29
     Victoria Florey at the Regional Office, National Park
30
     Service.
31
32
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay.
33
                     MR. CAMERON: Good morning. This is
34
35
    Matt Cameron with the National Park Service in
36
    Fairbanks.
37
38
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Good morning, Matt and
39
    Victoria. How about the Bureau of Indian Affairs.
40
41
                     MR. CHEN: Yeah, this is Glenn Chen from
42
    BIA.
43
44
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Welcome, Glenn.
45
     then from NOAA, anybody from NOAA on the phone.
46
47
                     MS. YASUMIISHI: Yeah, Ellen Martin --
48
     or sorry, Ellen Yasumiishi from Juneau, Alaska, part of
49
     your marine team.
50
```

```
0152
 1
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Yeah, marine team,
 2
    thanks so much Ellen. Do we have anybody this morning
    from YRDFA?
 4
 5
                     MS. FITKA: This is Serena Fitka with
 6
    YRDFA.
 7
                     MS. MONCRIEFF: And, hi, this is
 8
 9
    Catherine Moncrieff with YRDFA.
10
11
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Got the YRDFA team.
12
     How about from the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish
13
     Commission.
14
15
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Good morning, this is
16
    Kevin Whitworth.
17
18
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Good morning, Kevin.
19
20
                     MS. SCHOMOGYI: And, hi, this is Terese
21
     Schomogyi.
22
23
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Hi there, Terese.
24
     Let's see I'm doing the best I can to cover everybody,
25
     how about Darrell Vent, is Darrell Vent with us this
26
     morning from the Huslia Tribe.
27
28
                     MR. VENT: Good morning. This is
29
     Darrell Vent from the Huslia Tribe.
30
31
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Super. Anybody from
32
     the Tanana Chiefs Conference.
33
34
                     MR. STEVENS: Yep, Ben Stevens here.
35
36
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Hi Ben.
37
38
                     MR. IRVINE: Bruce Irvine here.
39
40
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: I'm sorry, what was
41
    your name?
42
43
                     MR. IRVINE: Bruce Irvine.
44
45
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay, Bruce, good
46
    morning.
47
48
                     MR. IRVINE: Good morning.
49
```

MS. DEATHERAGE: Looking through I think I've got most of the agencies and our Alaska Native Organizations and nonprofits. Are there any public members or anybody else who hasn't had the opportunity to introduce themselves.

MR. GERVAIS: Tim Gervais.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Welcome, Tim. Alrighty, it sounds like we've got everybody introduced so I'll turn the meeting back over to the Chair to open it up for any public comments this morning.

Thank you, very much everybody.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, welcome everyone. It's a nice sunny day in Galena and we should have the Iron Dog coming through today so we're pretty excited to have them back on their way to Fairbanks.

Okay, at this time, do we have any public comments on nonagenda items.

## (No comments)

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, if not, I think we're going to go to Darrell Vent representing the Huslia Tribe. Go ahead, Darrell.

MR. VENT: Good morning, Madame Chair, Board members and Staff. I am here to testify on behalf of the Huslia Tribe.

The information that I'm hearing about this Public Law Order 5150 is very concerning. I am worried about what kind of affects it will have with our subsistence, rural preference for subsistence in the Koyukuk River area. As you know we are in some Federal lands and we have subsistence uses on these lands. We have for decades, thousands of years, used this land as our area to support our people with the food that it provides.

Early 1970s we had a good migration pattern with the caribou, Central Herd, we used to catch a lot of caribou in the falltime with a lot of fat on it. After we went into a bunch of process with the State on meetings discussing the proposed pipeline.

1 Later on, after the meetings were over, the caribou did not come back with the Central Herd, whether they went with the Western or Eastern, we have not -- we have no information on that. Now, we are here in the village 5 of Huslia this last summer, we have low counts of fish, 6 whether it's chinook, chum, and other species that are 7 getting deplenishing [sic], they're getting less and less and we're hearing that we are getting this Public 9 Law 5150, trying to be lifted or repealed by the State 10 to further enhance the, you know, the loss of our 11 animals, whether it's the caribou which we depend on 12 for the Western Herd, or whether it's the fish that 13 spawn up in the upper tributaries of the Koyukuk River 14 or whether it's the other species of fish that we 15 depend on that come along the river. If this road goes through we will lose a lot of subsistence hunting 16 17 because there would be more hunters coming up the 18 Dalton Highway, up the corridor, through the Ambler 19 Road and come down to the Koyukuk River and further 20 hunt our chances for subsistence use. As you know, 21 that up in the Bettles area, they are in a Tier II situation, because the hunting of the area and the 22 23 management has decreased the hunts of the moose. And 24 the people in the Allakaket/Alatna area have a hard 25 time catching moose in the area now, where back in the 26 days there was more moose up that way but now there is 27 less. And we are seeing similar population decreases 28 down here in the Huslia area as it's noted, you know, 29 from all the Fish and Game, all the biologists that 30 have been recording all our moose, there is a decrease.

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

I'm saying this because we need to address this Public Law Order 5150, make sure that it provides for subsistence, for rural preference subsistence. We need to make sure that we have food to eat for our kids, not a road that can go through and, you know, destroy our habitats up in the Upper Koyukuk River, which will further decrease our subsistence use. Hopefully this would be considered.

39 40 41

42

This is something I wanted to go on record that I have spoken about these areas that we are currently trying to protect.

43 44 45

46

47

48

This Dalton Highway corridor really devastated our caribou and now that if they put the Ambler Road in, the Western Arctic Caribou Herd may no longer come down into our area so that would take away our caribou subsistence use.

1 I was born into the caribou clan from the Treat Island area, this use of a caribou was 2 important to us. It was for our food, for our clothing, now we can hardly even get maybe three, four 5 caribou because we have to travel quite a ways from the 6 Huslia area to hunt caribou now, whereas it used to 7 come right to our backyard and they were fat. fish is getting less and less. We're starting to hear 9 that they're going to cut back more of our fishing 10 because up in the Koyukuk River tributaries they've 11 been documenting that the fish is getting less and less up there with the TCC program, and they're worried. 12 13 What are we going to live on? What is the State 14 Management Program going to provide for us? How are 15 they going to deal with this problem when they're going to create more problems if they open the Ambler Road, 16 17 with this Public Law Order 5150.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

I want other villages to know that this is a real ordeal that's going to come in and hurt our area, whereas the Allakaket area, Hughes, Huslia, and further on down the rivers, where we already are getting impacting with hunting. We need to take this into consideration and maybe be able to sit in because right as it is now, the process for having meetings is rushed. We don't have the capability for the electronic meetings or the internet to compete or speak up for our people because of this limited ability. Some villages only have phones and it cost a lot of money just to call in to speak to people. So we need to be at the level playing table if we need to, you know, raise our concerns, we have to make sure that we are in these processes for having the meetings. So I am very concerned that we need to address these issues, whether it's unlawful, or illegal to have these meetings without our input.

36 37 38

Thank you from the Huslia Tribe.

39 40 41

42

43

44

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, very much, Darrell. That was very, very good. You hit on a lot of topics, a lot of things there that I know we're all concerned about in our area and your area. So I just really thank you and do we have any comments or questions for Darrell at this time?

45 46 47

(No comments)

48 49

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Do you have

0156 1 anything Pollock.

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

MR. SIMON: Okay, this is Pollock. In 1974 they started pushing north with the oil pipeline really with (indiscernible) away from our village and as we know putting in the gravel in the Haul Road and to that 1974, we had the caribou close by all the time and then the road was put in, the pipeline went in and they told us at first we had meetings with them and they said the Haul Road will be for industrial use only but they didn't, soon after it was completed, it was turned over to the State and, of course, the State opened to the public and flux of peoples come up the Haul Road not only to look at the mountains but to hunt and fish and (indiscernible) I know the road that's close to our area and after that there was the sporthunters from the road killing the caribous, hunting caribous and then that continues and then the traffic going up and down the road pretty soon the caribou kind of steer more to the west. In 1974 was the last time that caribous was close by. It was no caribou for 10 years after. Then occasionally we get caribou about four or five times since then. One time the caribou come over from Kobuk area and go up to our area there and we had caribou, but the -- the caribou are kind of sensitive, you can't impacts their travel, that's what's -- kind of like go around our area and pretty soon they don't come back to our area anymore. And the caribou used to -- first Koyukuk River south, down to Ray Mountains where there is no (indiscernible) timbered area and they come out and a lot of fat on them but the progress has destroyed some of these areas and then caribou never come back anymore but like right now there's no caribou. Kind of tough times in upper Koyukuk River, there's a little bit number of moose, not much -- no caribou, and no chum salmon, no king salmon, there's tough times we have around here in the upper Koyukuk River. I'm glad that my nephew is there, good neighbors -- good comments on this.

39 40 41

Thank you, Madame Chair.

42 43

 $\label{eq:acting chair pelkola: Thank you, Pollock. That was very good. How about you Don.}$ 

44 45 46

47

48

MR. HONEA: Well, hey, Darrell, that was a pretty good presentation and you mentioned, is this Public Law 1050 [sic], I mean I didn't catch that. I -- is the one where Doyon is going to be doing some

```
0157
 1
     kind of a web kind of a thing here, maybe next week or
 2
     something?
 3
 4
                     MR. VENT: Hey, Don, this is -- or
 5
    Madame Chair, this is Darrell.
 6
 7
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, to ahead.
 8
 9
                     MR. VENT: Okay, Don, the one you're
10
    talking about here is the Yukon River plan with the --
11
     it's the Central Yukon Plan that's happening with BLM,
     and State and trying to find alternatives is what the
12
13
     State wants to -- the lands that they're trying to get
14
    with the Public Law Order 5150, if they could repeal it
15
     or lift the Public Law 5150. So they're currently in
     the process and I think there's other organizations
16
17
     that, hopefully, will speak more on behalf of that.
18
19
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, I was just kind of
20
     getting confused there because the corporation, we are
21
     aware of that Webcast or something with Doyon and I
22
    believe it's next week. But I appreciate your
23
    comments. I wish there was more organization out here,
24
     either ours or AC members or something that could be
25
     listening in on this. I appreciate your concerns for
26
     that it will affect all of us, and -- so thank you.
27
28
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you,
29
     Don. How about you, Goodwin.
30
31
                     (No comments)
32
33
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Goodwin, are you
34
     still there?
35
36
                     MR. SEMAKEN: Oh, morning, yeah, I was
37
     on mute.
38
39
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Oh, okay, go
40
     ahead.
41
42
                     MR. SEMAKEN: I was listening.
43
     glad that Darrell got on here, that's quite a ways up
     river and big country, you know, I just know my area.
44
     This is really concerning is all I can say and with
45
     times changing pretty fast.
46
47
48
                     That's it.
49
```

```
0158
 1
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you,
 2
    Goodwin. Thank you, the Board. And also do we have
     any other comments or questions for Darrell.
 4
 5
                     (No comments)
 6
 7
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Jack, do you
 8
     have anything?
 9
10
                     MR. REAKOFF: No, I'm good. Darrell did
11
     a good job, thanks.
12
13
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. How about
14
     you Tim.
15
16
                     MR. GERVAIS: No, Jenny, I'm good for
17
    now. Thank you.
18
19
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you
20
     much. Okay, if there's no other comments or questions,
21
     we shall go on with the next topic and that is --
22
     where's my -- Karen, is that the.....
23
24
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Good morning, Jenny,
25
     this is Karen Deatherage.
26
27
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.
28
29
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: The next update we
30
    have is from the Bering Sea Western Interior Resource
31
    Monitoring Plan by the Bureau of Land Management.
32
33
                     Thank you.
34
35
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, go ahead.
36
37
                     MR. HONEA: Madame Chair.
38
39
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.
40
41
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, this is Don. Madame
42
    Chair, I would like to know if whatever we are
43
    discussing or whatever is -- is with the attachments or
44
    whatever that Karen had sent to the -- I'm on my
    computer here and I'm just wondering, you know, when we
45
46
     are discussing any of these issues, please let's refer
47
     to either the book or the attachments on the computer
48
     or something because it's really confusing if I have it
49
     or not.
```

0159 1 Thank you. 2 3 ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you. 4 Karen, did you hear that? 5 6 MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, Madame Chair. 7 Thank you, Member Honea. Through the Chair. There are no current attachments that were sent for the next 9 presentation but there are for the following. And so 10 we'll go ahead and make note of which documents those 11 are for your reference. 12 13 MR. HONEA: Thank you. 14 MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Madame 15 16 Chair. 17 18 ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, go ahead 19 with your report Bering Straits whatever. 20 21 MS. MILLION: Good morning, Madame 22 Chair. This is Bonnie Million. That's Bonnie Million. 23 I am the field manager for the Bureau of Land 24 Management's Anchorage Field Office. We actually -- we 25 do have some materials in the meeting book. We have 26 our office update which is available on Page 47 of the 27 meeting book and that provides an update of some of the 28 different projects that the Anchorage Field Office is 29 working on. 30 31 Currently, two of the ones that I would 32 like to highlight for this particular Subsistence Regional Advisory Council are the aquatics work that my 33 34 Staff is conducting on the Big River near McGrath. 35 They've been doing quite a bit of water quality and 36 stream discharge work on that particular river and 37 tributaries for some critical sheefish spawning 38 habitat. 39 40 And then the other project I'd like to highlight is we have some permafrost and climate 41 42 monitoring stations that we were hoping to get out to 43 the field last year but obviously that didn't happen. 44 And then so we're hoping to get them out into the field 45 this year. They're going to be around the Nikolai area 46 along the Iditarod National Historic Trail. 47 48 But there's some other projects that

are listed in that update. Again, it's Page 47 and 48

49

1 in the meeting book.

So the topic at hand, which was the Bering Sea Western Interior Resource Management Plan update. On the phone we also have Thomas Heinlein. Tom Heinlein, who is the District Manager for the Anchorage District, and I will hand it over to him.

MR. HEINLEIN: All right, thank you, Bonnie. And, again, this is Tom Heinlein, the District Manager for the BLM in Anchorage as Bonnie just mentioned.

Just wanted to give a quick update on where that resource management plan is. This is, again, this is the Bering Sea Western Interior Resource Management Plan which covers about 13 million acres, a little more than that of BLM public lands, primarily in the Kuskokwim and Lower Yukon areas, and then going up the Bering Sea Coast to Norton Sound, up beyond Unalakleet, Shaktoolik, and that general area. planning process has been place for quite some period of time, over eight years in fact. And we did finally cumulate this process in the middle of January where we did get to a record of decision, a signed record of decision, for this resource management plan. It was signed by the Secretary of the Interior at the time, David Burnhardt. So that plan is done, from my perspective. We have gotten to the record of decision.

You know, Bonnie, you can help me here, I'm not sure if in the meeting materials we have a link to the plan itself, but it can be accessed through our project planning and NEPA website. Maybe I'll just ask you, Bonnie, at this point, if we have that link?

MS. MILLION: I don't think we did but I can definitely get that link to Karen for sure.

MR. HEINLEIN: Okay. Great. So that is really the update. I know there's been a lot of interest in just the plan itself. We received a lot of input from members on this call, members of other RACs, State of Alaska, et cetera, and, yeah, I mean that is just basically it. The record of decision was signed in January and we are posed to implement the plan.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{That}}$  is the end of the report that I have.

```
0161
 1
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you,
 2
    Tom. Do we have any comments or questions for Tom at
    this time.
 4
 5
                     (No comments)
 6
 7
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you
    very much. The next one will be -- I wrote them down
 8
 9
    but I don't know -- is that the bycatch update, Karen?
10
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Madame
11
12
    Chair. This is Karen Deatherage with the Office of
13
     Subsistence Management. And, yes, we're going to
    prepare to launch the marine team here for their
14
15
    presentations today. Diana Stramm, are you on the
    phone yet? I know she was running a little late so I
16
17
    want to check and see if she's on the phone.
18
19
                     (No comments)
20
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay. Diana Stramm,
21
22
    are you on the phone?
23
24
                     (No comments)
25
26
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: If not, Ellen
27
     Yasumiishi is on the phone and she is prepared to do
28
     the Eastern Bering Sea research presentation.
29
30
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, that
31
     sounds good.
32
                    MS. DEATHERAGE: And we did send that
33
34
     -- I'm sorry.
35
36
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: I said that
37
     sounds good.
38
39
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay. And we did send
40
     a supplemental document awhile ago for this
41
    presentation. So it should be part of the
42
     documentation that you have in your email, Don Honea,
43
     in particular.
44
45
                     MR. HONEA: What?
46
47
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: I'm sorry.
48
49
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, in response to what
50
```

you just said, Karen,I did receive -- I believe if we're going to hear from Ellen Yasumiishi, I believe is her name, from NOAA, is -- I just received that in the mail yesterday so if anybody got snail mail, that's what I'm looking at here. Are we going to be using this one?

MS. DEATHERAGE: Through the Chair. Yes, Member Honea, we will be using that document. Ellen will be using that and we did send hardcopies, it's hard to believe it took that long to get to you, but this was also distributed via email for any of the folks on the phone who would like to see that document via email.

So with that, and with the Chair's permission, we can turn it over to Ms. Yasumiishi for her presentation today.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Well, go right

ahead.

MS. YASUMIISHI: Good morning, Madame Chair and members of the Council. Appreciate the opportunity to be here this morning to talk a little bit about what NOAA Is working on in the marine environment.

I'll go through some of the current sea temperature and ice conditions. This is Rick Thoman in Fairbanks who does such a great job of providing this kind of information, what's going on out there when we're not out there. And then I'll talk a little bit about our NOAA surveys last year that were very limited due to Covid19, and we're working on policies to get more people out this year. And then I'll talk about some of the research highlights that we're looking at, linkages between sea ice, zooplankton, and fish and salmon growth rates and survival.

I appreciate your time. I'll try to make this pretty straightforward, so that if people don't have the handout then they won't be lost but, please feel free to ask questions.

On the first slide, summer temperatures in the Bering Sea back through 1900 and it shows that

in the last 20 years we've had the top 10 warmest years on record and seven of those are in the last 10 years. However 2020 was the third warmest, so it wasn't as warm as 2016 and 2019, so that's some good news I guess on the horizon in terms of the temperature was not maximum last year.

We have satellite data that shows daily temperatures out in the Bering Sea and it's showing that the temperatures are cooler now in January and February than they were last year at this time, but they're not -- they're still above average, the mean that goes back to 1985.

Spatially when we look at the temperatures out in the Bering Sea currently they range from, you know, minus two is the sea ice up to 6 degrees celsius down at the Aleutian Islands. On Slide 5 it shows that this is actually these temperatures on average since 1972, particularly in the Bering Bristol Bay area, however, it's a little bit warmer off shore in the surface waters.

One difference between this year, currently and last year, at this time, there was actually ice in the Bristol Bay area, whereas this year we're seeing that that's melted. And then the ice is distributed more off shore.

Up near Norton Sound around Nome off shore temperatures last year were also a little cooler than they had been since the warm blob of 2014 when we saw things heat up. Similarly in Bristol Bay they were a little cooler last year than the average of those years, since the warm blob.

Slide 9 -- my apologies, if I'm going too fast, just say slow down, I can definitely spend more time on these graphics.

We have a survey on the Continental Shelf in the Gulf of Alaska and the Bering Sea to assess the populations of groundfish and what we started in 2005 was taking temperature readings through the water column. And we saw the temperatures increase in 2014 again throughout the water column. And last year in 2020 it started to cool off, particularly in surface waters, but the deeper waters, particularly in the Bering Sea were still above average, warmer than

```
0164
 1
    average.
 2
 3
                     Slide 11 is the only long-term survey
     conducted in 2020. So we have other surveys that go on
     to the Shelf to -- bottom trawl surveys and they're
 5
     counting like pollock, cod, a bunch of different fish
 7
     on the bottom. Whereas we have a longline fishery.....
 8
 9
                     (Teleconference interference -
10
    participants not muted)
11
12
                     MS. YASUMIISHI: .....and that's on the
13
     -- yes?
14
15
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, I'm sorry, Madame
     Chair, but when you say Slide 11, I'm not connecting.
16
17
     I just got this book like so if you could tell us on
18
     the top, like I'm on -- I don't know what, it says, sea
19
     ice concentrate -- and.....
20
21
                     MS. YASUMIISHI: Okay, I'm sorry, yeah.
22
23
                     MR. HONEA:
                                .....yeah if you could.
24
25
                     MS. YASUMIISHI: So I'm on the 2020
26
    NOAA surveys.
27
28
                     MR. HONEA: Well, right, I mean I
29
    haven't -- could you say what it says on top. I mean
30
     I'm on sea ice concentration and I'm trying to follow
31
    this through.
32
33
                     MS. YASUMIISHI: Yeah. We can go back
34
     to sea ice concentration.
35
36
                     MR. HONEA: Well, no, no, we don't have
37
     to go back. I'm just wondering when you say Slide 11,
38
     could you tell us what it says on top there so I can
39
     follow, I don't know what page I'm on.
40
41
                     MS. YASUMIISHI: Okay. So the title of
42
     -- so the next slide is 2020 longline survey, and at
     the top it shows all the different fish species -- fish
43
44
     that we catch in the survey that we estimate the
45
    population numbers for, and that's the sablefish or
46
    black cod, Pacific cod.....
47
48
                     MR. HONEA: Right. Okay.
49
```

0165 1 MS. YASUMIISHI: ....turbot.... 2 3 MR. HONEA: All right, I'm there. 4 5 MS. YASUMIISHI: Yeah, and..... 6 7 MR. HONEA: Thank you. 8 9 MS. YASUMIISHI: Great. Thank you for 10 11 12

-- yeah, perfect. Thank you for letting me know. And three different rockfish species and we -- all the yellow dots are where we sample with longline out to the meters to estimate the population of these fish species. So that was very successful last year.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

13

And the next slide, Bering Sea Aleutian Island sablefish longline survey relative population numbers that we estimate from this survey show a 40 percent increase in the population of sablefish and most of this is due to fish that was born in 2014 and 2016 that are entering these areas, these deeper areas where the adults hang out, so those are four and six year olds that are feeding this population in the Bering Sea. Similar trends are being seen in the Gulf of Alaska.

25 26 27

28

Next we're looking at above average Pacific cod numbers in the survey also in 2020 with a 17 percent increase in their population.

29 30 31

32

33 34

Slide 14 is the Bering Sea Aleutian Island Greenland-turbot which were -- remained low in their numbers. So some fish are doing better than others with these warming conditions, particularly the rockfish and the black cod, sablefish.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

And next I'm going to talk about, a little bit of our Bering Sea research surveys. And these are the Continental Shelf in the south and the northern Bering Seas as indicated by the dots on the map. And what we do here is we collect fish when they're young, when they're juvenile fish, to see how fat they are and see what they're eating, see how much food is out there, and then we try to predict how well they'll survive the winter and how many fish will be available for commercial fisheries. So that's our goal. And also so we catch the juvenile pollock, a few flat fish, a lot of juvenile salmon and then a lot of the forage fish that the chinook salmon eat such as the

sandlance, herring and also quite a few jellyfish.

So we only had one survey last year, most of these were cancelled due to Covid. So it was just one little line up by St. Lawrence Island where we go when we have the opportunity.

But in 2019 we sampled north of Nunivak Island up to St. Lawrence and into the Norton Sound, and it was a warm year. And in those years we see a lot of herring but very low catches of juvenile chinook salmon. And recently since this 2014 warm blob, we've caught quite a few pink salmon and sockeye salmon, but their preferred prey like the krill and the large copepod which are like the crustacean (indiscernible - muffled) not as abundant during warm years as they are during cold years.

Some of the research that we put together for this -- these surveys are below, and this is in a manuscript that I published last year. So I can provide a link for that if people are interested in reading the full report.

But for capelin, which is a really good food for juvenile chinook salmon, on the map there where it's red, that's where we see a lot of -- a lot of capelin and the blue are fewer. So these seas, they show up mostly during cold years. We've seen recently a decline in their occurrence in the southern Bering Sea, and then in 2019 up north we didn't catch any so they disappeared that year, mostly around St. Lawrence and Nunivak Island.

For herring, we catch those mostly up north around Nunivak Island, and in Norton Sound near shore and really in warm years their populations have been relatively stable up north in the last five years since it's been warm. There's a lot of them. And we've seen increases in herring in the Bristol Bay area.

Juvenile sockeye.....

MR. HONEA: I got a question.

MS. YASUMIISHI: .....Bristol Bay sockeye, they are coming out of Bristol Bay, you can see like those red bands, and they hang out on the

middle of the Shelf feeding on pollock, the smaller aged pollock fish and krills. And we're seeing an increase in these fish since the 2014 warming.

Next slide is age zero pollock and these are fish (indiscernible - muffled) commercial fishery in the Bering Sea and the Gulf of Alaska and they're highly abundant in our surveys. They're kind of everywhere. Our survey just samples the top 20 meters, mostly where the juvenile salmon hang out but these fish are all the way through the water column and they're a good food item for other fish. And so we see a lot more of them in the warm years, clearly in that middle area.

So in summary, in the northern Bering Sea we've had a survey since 2002 and Jim Murphy will talk a lot more about that work, he's more tightly linked with the information from that survey that he's been leading. But we've seen recently in the last five years a decline in the capelin catches, declines in the pollock catches, sable herring catches, reductions in juvenile chinook salmon catches and high stable catches of juvenile chum, (indiscernible) and also pink salmon. I didn't include pink salmon on this slide. But in the south we're seeing increases in herring and also juvenile sockeye. That's all juvenile salmon except chinook salmon.

And then linking sea ice to large copepods of fish on Slide 23, focus kind of on the bottom part of this cartoon. And basically what it's saying is that in cold years when ice retreats, like melts a little later in the year, there's algae trapped in the ice so when the ice melts, like March and April, that algae is released when there's enough sun to cause a bloom of plankton and that's a large (indiscernible muffled) for these baby copepods, the smaller fatty crustaceans, zooplankton, that the smaller fish like to eat. So that's kind of the linkeage that we found. In colder years it's better for some fish that eat -reply on those juvenile -- on those little zooplankton. Salmon, the capelin that the chinook salmon are eating, the capelin are eating these large copepods, so that's the important linkage between the time of ice retreat temperatures and prey for the chinook salmon. We've also used the -- the large copepod in that -- to predict pollock survival a few years later. So these pollock are eating the large copepods when they're

available and then these are just like fatty lipid rich foods that their prey feed upon, that they feed on when they do really well and their survival is increased.

Next on Slide 25, yeah, the -- what the pollock are eating, it just shows the -- the title of the slide is age-zero pollock diets in the south and the -- the large copepods -- those are the hamburgers for these -- for these pollock. So when they have a lot of good fatty food, in my opinion, I think hamburgers, but you might think of something else, that do really well overwintering survival. They have good survival over winter.

The next slide is the sea ice linkages between the sea ice and chinook salmon (indiscernible - muffled) and this is a paper I published, it's looking at growth on scales of chinook salmon that return to the Yukon and Kuskokwim Rivers. And it shows that their summer growth is faster -- the only (indiscernible - muffled) significant linkage with the Kuskokwim River fish and not the Yukon River fish, we -- and I'm thinking it's because the Yukon fish were bigger and they just grow faster. But the Kuskokwim River fish have slower growth when it was warmer, and they had less growth -- growth when there were fewer large copepods in the water column, and that's -- that's their preferred prey that capelin are feeding on.

So our next project will be to look to see how these large copepods, if they're correlated with the survival of Yukon and Kuskokwim River chinook salmon. And the climatologists right now are estimating zooplankton and large copepods densities in the Bering Sea going back to 1969, called a hindcast and then they're predicting how many zooplankton and copepods there would be in the mid-century, 2050 so we're going to try to link survival with copepod abundance and then do some forecasting and we're -- and I'm working with Fish and Game on this project that's just started.

And then the next slide, it's the second to the last slide, is the essential fish habitat work we started. And Dr. Curry Cunningham at the University of Alaska-Fairbanks, we have a graduate student, William Hart, that's going to look at where the juvenile salmon are distributed in the Bering Sea

and if they're hanging out with their prey, and like what's their preferred habitat and are they avoiding warmer temperatures, are they avoiding predators. And that'll help us understand how our -- this changing environment is impacting the juvenile salmon on a spacial scale over time.

Finally, so 2020 was cooler than 2019, and this 2021 is starting out cooler than last year. But there is low sea ice in Bristol Bay. Last year most of our NOAA surveys were cancelled. But we're optimistic right now working on measures to get people on the boats to go out and make sure we have enough fish for the commercial fishery.

Finally, I just wanted to touch base with everyone, if you have any information that you want to see from NOAA, please let me know, and I can bring that to the table, you know, next year at our next meeting. Also feel free to email me with any questions. My email is on the last slide.

So I want to thank you all for your

time.

Thank you, Madame Chair for having me present today.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, very much Ellen. Does anyone have any questions or comments for Ellen.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, Madame Chair, I got about a hundred questions.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Go right ahead.

MR. HONEA: So I'll start.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.

MR. HONEA: Okay, Ellen. Ellen, you actually covered a whole heck of a lot of things and it's too -- it's kind of too bad that you guys don't have seminars for -- where we could spend more time going over some of that. You know, when I'm looking at the fish there that's out there congregating out there and I'm just thinking of the fish that comes up the Kuskokwim River or the Yukon that affects us, you know,

0170 1 when we're talking about bycatch, I'm just wondering are we -- you know we are more concerned about the bycatch of our salmon, whether it's chum or chinook or whatever. But is it -- right across the board, are we 5 seeing maybe -- are we seeing a bycatch, equally, like 6 the sablefish or the rockfish or blackspotted or 7 something, it's not just the salmon that we are depending on, that we are concerned about, straight 9 across the board. I mean we -- I wish we could spend 10 more time on this or something like that, maybe --11 maybe I could pull it up on my computer and try to get 12 a grip on this but there's so many variables, you know, 13 the temperature, global warming, and I don't see no 14 doggone global warming right now down in the Lower 48 15 but I just had a question about the -- about the 16 longline survey. And the..... 17 18 (Teleconference interference -19 participants not muted) 20 21 MR. HONEA: .....and the -- the fish 22 that are on there, are they being caught up as bycatch 23 also? 24 25 Thank you. 26 27 MS. YASUMIISHI: Yes, through the 28 Chair. Yes, so the -- the straight answer is yes, 29 there is increase in bycatch, especially sablefish and 30 it's becoming a huge concern that's..... 31 32 (Teleconference interference -33 participants not muted) 34 35 MS. YASUMIISHI: .....and that's a great question for Diana Stramm. She'll be presenting 36 37 next. We are working towards finding our estimates of 38 the impact of the commercial pollock fishery on salmon 39 bycatch. So I'm working with the genetics program 40 where we collect salmon that are bycatch and I'm -- I'm aging those fish and then the genetics program, 41 42 determining where those fish would have gone up to 43 spawn. So we should have a better idea of, you know, 44 what of the impact of commercial fishing on  $\ensuremath{\text{--}}$  on

 $$\operatorname{In}$$  terms of seminars, please feel free to email and I can connect you with folks. I can

salmon bycatch. I'm not the expert so Diana Stramm

would be really -- really good to discuss that.

45

46

47 48

49

0171 1 connect you with seminars for you -- for you to learn more about what we're doing. And..... 4 MR. HONEA: Well, what I -- what I'd 5 like to see is if I'm sitting on my computer and I pull 6 this up I'd like to be able to see what you guys do, 7 how long you been in existence, et cetera. I mean this -- this opens up a lot of questions that, you know, I mean -- where exactly do you sit with the -- like the 10 bycatch issue and stuff like that. I mean what -- what 11 effect does it have on -- et cetera. So if you guys have a -- have an email site that would explain your --12 13 the projects that you do, that would be great and I appreciate that. 14 15 16 Thank you. 17 18 MS. YASUMIISHI: Yeah, Madame Chair. 19 Through the Chair. I can definitely put together a 20 website -- a list of websites for people to go to if 21 that's of interest. I can work with Madame Chair on 22 that. 23 24 ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, do we have 25 any more comments of questions for Ellen. 26 27 (No comments) 28 29 ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. 30 MR. GERVAIS: Jenny, this is Tim. May 31 I make a comment or should I wait until later. 32 33 34 ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: No, you can make 35 it now. 36 37 MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. 38 This is Tim Gervais. Thank you for your presentation Ellen. It's a lot of information, almost too much 39 40 information for our level of scientific understanding but it's good we can review the parts we need. 41 42 43 First, I'd like to ask you, as a 44 researcher, are you more involved with personally is it 45 environmental change, or food chain dynamics? 46 47 MS. YASUMIISHI: Through the Chair. 48 Yes, Tim, I'm -- yeah, I've worked for NOAA for 25 49 years now so I'm interested in like how the ecosystem

changes and how that impacts fish growth and feeding and survival, so kind of evolving, you know, in that direction. What -- what our goal is to understand what's driving the survival of fish. I'm looking at their condition and their diets and their growth when they're really young.

MR. GERVAIS: Okay. So it's my understanding that from say like 1991 when the BSAI trawl fishery started and now, that the overall population of chinooks, or as we commonly refer to it in the Western Interior as king salmon, is much lower than it was in 1991. And we have spent a lot of work with this Council on looking at bycatch. Can you, as a researcher, say that based on environmental conditions, sea temperature conditions or food conditions that the decline of the chinook population can be attributed to a temperature environmental or food factor?

MS. YASUMIISHI: Yes, through the Chair, this is a great complicated question. One thing we had seen with chinook is the reduction in the size, their body size when they come back to spawn and I don't know if that's something that's been observed in the river also. And we know that smaller fish have fewer eggs, eggs are smaller, and they have pretty few — fewer fry with — smaller fry, so in terms of — that's what we're trying to understand is what's driving their changes in size in the ocean and one of those seems to be the link between their prey and like the capelin, and the prey of their prey which are those zooplankton.

So that's one part of what we're hoping to address with this climate project that's timecasting and forecasting large copepods is to see how important (indiscernible)

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted - typing)

MS. YASUMIISHI: .....but I don't think that answers your question completely but (indiscernible - muffled) that question. And if you have any others.

MR. GERVAIS: The projects that you bring up, I'm trying to find the slide for it. Okay, essential fish habitat for Alaskan salmon, does that

have a timeline, like will it be done in three or four years or is it ongoing?

MS. YASUMIISHI: Yeah, this is just -through the Chair -- Madame Chair. This project was
just funded by NOAA back at headquarters, back east,
and so our graduate student started this fall -- or
this spring, so we have a two year timeline, and I'd be
willing to present an update next year on that, the
findings.

MR. GERVAIS: All right. Well, thank you, and maybe your colleagues can work into this — these questions, chinook king salmon is supposed to be one of the main pillars of our subsistence economy in Western Alaska and right now it's not available for us in very much quantity and we're having a lot of trouble even meeting biological escapement goals on the rivers so any kind of knowledge or information that you can add to everyone's understanding of what's going on and what it will take to get the chinook stocks rebuilt and also would be monumentally appreciated by many people.

So thank you for your efforts.

MS. YASUMIISHI: Yeah, through the Chair. Thank you, Tim. Feel free to send me an email and keep in contact to discuss this more, in more detail.

 ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you, Ellen. That was a very good job and like Don said it was a little long for our -- I was trying to follow along and the presentation was good, a lot of -- a lot of things to sink in. And I just thank you again and hope to be hearing from you in the future.

MS. YASUMIISHI: Thank you, Madame Chair and the Committee.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, with that, are we ready for the research or what -- Karen?

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair, this is Karen. Can you hear me?

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes. Yes.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Madame

```
0174
 1
    Chair. This is Karen Deatherage with OSM. And next we
     have up, timely, given the bycatch questions, Diana
     Stramm with NOAA will be presenting the information she
 4
     has on salmon bycatch.
 5
 6
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
 7
 8
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, Diana.
 9
10
                     MS. STRAMM: Madame Chair, this is
11
     Diana Stramm, can you hear me okay?
12
13
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, I can
14
     hear you.
15
16
                     MS. STRAMM: Okay, great, thank you,
17
     Madame Chair. Morning. I have some slides that I
18
     believe Karen has distributed. I'll try to -- I'll
19
     walk through the information on the slides and just
20
     indicate which number slide I'm on so people -- if
21
     you're following along, if not everyone got the slides
22
     I'll try to just describe as well what's on them.
23
24
                     So I have a brief overview....
25
26
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Excuse me, Diana. I
27
     apologize for interrupting but the previous
28
     presentations, we asked that you could read the title
29
     of the slide instead of the numbers, that would be very
30
     much appreciated and allow some of our Council members
31
     and participants to follow along more closely. This is
32
     bycatch outreach presentation was emailed to everybody.
     It was recently received so we did not have time to
33
34
     send that out via mail, but it was emailed, actually
35
     last night. So if you want to check your email, that
36
     slide presentation is called Salmon Bycatch Outreach
37
     2021.
38
39
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
40
41
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you,
42
     Karen.
43
44
                     MS. STRAMM:
                                  Thank you. I'll be sure
45
    to do that.
46
47
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Go ahead, Diana.
48
49
                     MS. STRAMM: Thank you, Madame Chair.
50
```

Okay, so I have a brief overview of salmon bycatch management in North Pacific groundfish fisheries. I will provide a little bit of an overview of who the Council is and what we manage and then get into the specific issues of salmon bycatch management with a particular emphasis on the Bering Sea.

The next slide is -- the title is What's the Council. So the North Pacific Fishery Management Council manages along side the National Marine Fisheries Service, so together we manage the Federal fisheries three to 200 miles off shore. We coordinate with and sometimes jointly manage with the State of Alaska, depending on the species. The Council makes policy recommendations to the National Marine Fisheries Service. The National Marine Fisheries Service, through the Secretary of Commerce, approves those recommendations and then the National Marine Fisheries Service implements and enforces them. So they do the in-season management aspect. The Council recommends the policy direction for the management actions.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MS. STRAMM: The next slide, the title is Council management -- Council membership. And just to provide an overview, we have 11 voting members, six of whom are from Alaska, five of those are appointed by the Governor of Alaska, one is our -- I'm sorry, the -seven overall are appointed, we have agency representatives so the seat for the Commissioner of Fish and Game is currently held by Ms. Rachel Baker; Deputy Commissioner. There is a seat for the National Marine Fisheries Service that is appointed and that is the head of the NMFS regional office, National Marine Fisheries Service, Dr. Jim Balsiger, with an alternate for Mr. Glenn Merrill. The remaining seats for Alaska then are appointed by the Governor of Alaska and then there are seats -- there are three seats for the state of Washington, one for Oregon. And then we have nonvoting members. We have four non-voting members that are advisory. We have non-voting from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife, the U.S. Coast Guard, Pacific State, and then the U.S. State Department. And then also sitting at our Council table is our Executive Director. Our current Executive Director is Mr. David Witherell.

```
0176
 1
                     The next slide....
 2
 3
                     MR. HONEA: Diana.
 4
 5
                     MS. STRAMM: ....is entitled -- the
 6
     title is -- yes, go ahead.
 7
 8
                     MR. HONEA: Madame Chair. I don't know
 9
     if I could just interject here because I don't want to
10
     lose a train of thought but I just had a question on
11
     this, on the membership council -- Council membership,
12
     and I didn't want to wait until the end because I might
     forget it. But, anyway, this is Don Honea, and I had a
13
14
     question on the Council membership, where does the
15
     Alaskans, like Ben Stevens sits on one of these, is he
16
     one of the -- I don't see him on there, the membership
17
     Council, is he the non-voting member?
18
19
                     MS. STRAMM: Thank you, Madame Chair.
20
21
                     MR. HONEA: This is the North Pacific
22
     -- this is the North Pacific Management Council, is it
23
     not, I mean....
24
25
                     MS. STRAMM: Thank you.....
26
27
                     MR. HONEA: .....so where are our --
28
     where exactly is our Alaskan reps?
29
30
                     MS. STRAMM: Thank you, Madame Chair.
31
     The -- Madame Chair -- through the Chair. The current
32
     Alaska representatives -- and so Ben Stevens was on our
33
     advisory panel, I don't believe he is currently. So
34
    Mr. Andy Mezirow is on our Council for Alaska. Ms.
35
     Cora Campbell. Mr. John Jensen. Again, Rachel Baker,
36
     as the designee for the Commissioner of Fish and Game.
37
     Simon Kinneen is our Council Chairman, he's from Nome.
38
    And Nicole Kimball is also on our Council. So those
39
     are the Alaska designees as well as the Commissioner of
40
     Fish and Game and, again, also representing Alaska is
41
     the National Marine Fisheries Service.
42
43
                     MR. HONEA: Okay.
44
45
                     MS. STRAMM: So there are....
46
47
                     MR. HONEA:
                                 Thanks.
48
49
                     MS. STRAMM: ....six Alaska
50
```

0177 1 representatives. 2 3 MR. HONEA: All right, thank you. 4 5 MS. STRAMM: You're welcome. So the 6 next slide is major fish species managed by the North 7 Pacific Fishery Management Council and this just shows you a map of the major fish species that we manage. 9 Cod, pollock, flat fish species, mackerel, sablefish. 10 We also, jointly with the State of Alaska, manage the 11 king crab and snow crab species in the Bering Sea as 12 well as scallops across the Gulf of Alaska and the 13 Bering Sea. And then we have allocative measures with 14 the International Pacific Halibut Commission for the 15 management of Pacific halibut. 16 17 (Teleconference interference -18 participants not muted) 19 20 MS. STRAMM: The next slide then says 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 and then other species such as shrimp, urchins, et 28 29

-- the title is who manages what fisheries off Alaska. And, again, this just shows you what jurisdiction the Council has as opposed to those that are held by the Alaska Board of Fisheries with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and the International Pacific Halibut Commission. So for species such as herring, salmon, cetera, those are solely under the jurisdiction of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game with decisions made by the Board of Fisheries. Our only jurisdiction, as I'll go into, for salmon, is as it relates to the bycatch of salmon in groundfish fisheries. With respect to the groundfish fisheries in the Bering -- in the Federal waters of the Bering Sea and the Gulf of Alaska, the North Pacific Council has sole oversight of the allocation and the conservation, so both the assessment and the allocation of all of the groundfish species. We do work with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game on some of the State fisheries that occur in shore for groundfish. We have shared management with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game on crab species, as well as on scallop species. And, again, for halibut we have management of the allocation of who catches it, but the International Pacific Halibut Commission does all of the conservation and assessment and they decide what the actual quotas are.

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{The}}$  next slide then says Federal jurisdiction off Alaska and fishery management zones by

0178 Regional Council. So this just shows you in a map view what the exclusive economic zones by each Regional Councils, there are eight fishery management councils across the United States, we are the North Pacific. 5 And we, again, coordinate with State and Federal 6 management organization. 7 8 So that's just a brief snapshot of who 9 we are. 10 11 MS. DEATHERAGE: Hi, Diana, this is 12 Karen Deatherage, through the -- with the Chair's 13 permission, may I make a quick announcement. 14 15 MS. STRAMM: Yes. 16 17 ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes. 18 19 MS. DEATHERAGE: I'd like to ask people 20 to please remember to mute their phones. We're getting 21 an echo here and we're also getting some background 22 noise, and this is very important, yet detailed 23 information so it'd be helpful, press star six to mute 24 your phone and star six to unmute your phone, if 25 needed, and I appreciate the consideration. 26 27 MR. HONEA: Hello. Hello. 28 29 MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, we can hear you. 30 31 MR. HONEA: Oh, could you hear me now? 32 33 ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes. 34 35 MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay, thank you, 36 Diana, you can continue and thank you for your 37 patience. 38 39 MS. STRAMM: Thanks, no problem. 40 a little background noise going on here as well outside so I hope that that's not destructive, I was trying to 41 42 close myself off. 43 44 I'm now on the slide that says what is 45 bycatch. So now we'll move into the specific aspects 46 of this that have to do with bycatch in the North 47 Pacific. 48 49 So the next slide also says what is

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

bycatch. This gives you the definition under the Magnuson-Stevens Act, which is what is our defining law as well as common terms. The short answer to what's on the slide is that bycatch is discarded fish, that is not intended to be caught in a fishery. The important aspect as it relates to salmon is on the right side of the slide where it says prohibited species catch, so that's what PSC stands for. And prohibited species catch is the designation under our fishery management plan that these are fish that are caught accidentally and they must be returned to the sea with a minimum of injury and that includes Pacific halibut, Pacific herring, all salmon species as well as king crab and bairdi and snow crab. So that is what falls under for salmon as well.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

So the next slide says bycatch controls for prohibited species. So, again, salmon and halibut as well as crab and herring are bycatch species that are defined as prohibited species. So they cannot -they must be avoided, and they cannot be retained or sold, they're just counted. But some is donated to food banks. So the Council has implemented over the years additional measures to reduce bycatch in the groundfish fishery. We do this primarily in two different ways, we have bycatch caps, which are also known as PSC limits, and that stands again for prohibited species catch, and we have previously used time and area closures. We currently have time and area closures for herring. We have moved away from those as I'll get to for salmon. We used to manage salmon bycatch by time and area closures, that was problematic because fixed closures aren't responsive to changing environmental conditions and they were just not deemed as effective. The Council also encourages industry efforts to reduce bycatch through gear modifications and communications. And for example, the Council and the industry have been working on salmon and halibut excluders so these are a mechanism that's built into the trawl nets that allows salmon, in particular, to escape from the trawl nets. There's also excluders for halibut but since we're focused more on salmon here the measures for salmon, the -- as this is built into the net creates a lea in the current, so the current is moving slower as it is near that hole in the net, pollock are not as strong swimmers as chinook and chum, and particularly chinook, and so the chinook are able to find that hole in the net and escape, and they've been developing these over many years. The

2

industry's taken the lead on this. They've become extremely effective and a very good way to help the chinook escape from the pollock net and not be drawn backwards into the trawl net, and I'll get into that in a bit, that's one of the provisions we put into place.

5 6 7

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

8 9 10

MS. STRAMM: Next slide then says management measures specific to salmon PSC.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

So the next slide then is salmon bycatch programs. And it's important to understand that management in the Bering Sea is different from management in the Gulf and that is primarily due to the management structure of the groundfish fisheries themselves. So we have a very complicated management program in the Bering Sea to reduce salmon bycatch. are able to do that because of the rationalized structure of the Bering Sea pollock fishery. The Gulf of Alaska, however, the measures in place to reduce salmon bycatch are a little bit more blunt, they can't be as refined as the measures in the Bering Sea because the fisheries themselves do not have the kind of rationalized structures that the Bering Sea pollock fishery does. So the important thing about the Bering Sea is that there are PSC limits so these are hard limits, which means that when that limit is reached, whether by season or by sector, then the sector that has reached their limit must stop fishing. And I'll go into detail on the next couple slides about it, but we have also put into place a lower cap now that is a based on an estimate for western Alaska chinook stock status. And the limits in the Bering Sea are allocated by sector and season and they're are additional measures that have been put into place recently in order to allow flexibility so that the fleet can catch pollock in times where they are not running into as much chinook. In order to put into place this type of a management structure since this original program was put into place in 2011, the fleet is under 100 percent observer coverage and I'll go into the next slide.

43 44 45

46

47

48

All salmon are counted in a census. I won't too much on the Gulf of Alaska, since you're more interested in the Bering Sea. But I would just note that while the enumeration measures are the same for salmon, the overall structure is less refined and a

little bit more blunt because of the nature of the fishery.

In the Bering Sea we do have a census plan agreement and those are sector level incentive programs that are designed to reduce encounters at all level of abundance through various measures.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{The}}$$  next slide then says measures to minimize salmon PSC in the BSAI.

And while we talk about salmon overall, only chinook and chum are the salmon species that are encountered by the groundfish fishery. So the regulatory measures that are in place, and this is our chinook salmon bycatch program in the Bering Sea pollock fishery, it was put into place via two amendments to our fishery management plan, Amendment 91, and Amendment 110.

And so Amendment 91 then put into place a dual cap system where there is a overall PSC limit that is divided by sector and season and then there is a lower performance standard also divided by sector and season. And the intent is that each sector must come up with an incentive plan that is designed to ensure that their sector will not reach the lower cap in any year of abundant -- of encounter rate. If that sector reaches that lower cap in more than two out of a rolling seven years they will, forever then, be held to the lower cap level. So it is incumbent upon them to not be managing to the higher limit, they are all managing to avoid the lower limit so that they are not held to the lower limit in perpetuity. And these are done through incentive plan agreements. More recently, with Amendment 110, which was implemented in 2016 the Council incorporated a lower cap level. So a system of lower cap levels when the Western Alaska....

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Hello. Hello.

 MS. STRAMM: ....three river system index is less than 250,000 fish as estimated by the post-season in-river chinook salmon run size for the combined Kuskokwim, Unalakleet, and upper Yukon aggregate stock grouping.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Hello.

```
0182
 1
                     MS. STRAMM: So on an annual basis the
    Council is provided with a letter from the Alaska
 2
     Department of Fish and Game in October indicating
    whether or not the aggre.....
 5
 6
                     MR. HONEA: Madame Chair.
 7
 8
                     MS. STRAMM: ....aggregate post-season
 9
     run size for those three rivers is greater than....
10
11
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.
12
13
                     MS. STRAMM: ....or less than 250,000
14
     fish and that determines.....
15
16
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah.
17
18
                     MS. STRAMM: .....what level the limit
19
     is the following year.
20
21
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Hang on, Diana,
22
     comment.
23
24
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, thank you, Madame
25
    Chair. I hate to interject but I don't want to have to
26
    go back on this. But I'm looking at the regulatory
27
    measures you have Amendment 110 here, and I was going
28
    to ask at the end there, do you have two different
29
    numbers for like chinook or summer chum or something,
30
    you have a 60,000 -- I was just wondering what the
31
    number was these days and then you have a 45,000
32
    number. Are these -- is one of them for chinook and
    one for chum or something?
33
34
35
                     MS. STRAMM: Thank you for the
36
     question. Through the Chair. No, I'm sorry, and I
37
     apologize if I didn't explain this better. These are
     all chinook PSC limits, so it's only for chinook. And
38
     the difference between those numbers is that in a year
39
     where Western Alaska chinook, as estimated based on the
40
41
     combined three river system index is greater than
42
     250,000 fish then the top level number, again,
43
     aggregated -- separated out by sector and season, but
44
    those are the numbers that go into place in regulation
    that year. And so -- and then if the aggregate three
45
46
     river system index is less than 250,000 fish, which is
47
     to indicate a low chinook Western Alaska chinook
48
     abundance, then the lower cap numbers go into place for
```

that year. So this is a switch that happens every year

49

in the fall. And, currently, in 2021, we are under the lower cap. So the caps that are in place for 2021, the overall PSC limit is 45,000, the performance standard is 33,318. And, again, those numbers are just the summed over all the sectors. Each sector has its — a lower number, a portion of that that is then divided out again by season. So the numbers that they're being held to are much, much smaller than that, but we are in a low chinook abundance year and so we are under the less than 250,000 fish cap which leads to overall cap of 45,000 and a lower cap of 33,000.

MR. HONEA: Okay, I appreciate that clarification on that because when we, as -- whether it's InterTribal Fish Commission or YRDFA or whatever, you know, me, I was just wondering what our -- what our cap was and this explains it a lot. So I'm sorry for keep interjecting here but I had to know those numbers. I appreciate that, thank you.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MS. STRAMM: Thank you for the question. I don't have a problem being interrupted so feel free to jump in whenever, I'm happy to pause and answer questions as they come rather than waiting.

MR. HONEA: Great.

MS. STRAMM: While we're still on this slide then, there are voluntary measures in place as well. They're called voluntary, they're not really voluntary, it's just that they're managed under industry cooperative structure. So part of what we did under Amendment 110 was to ensure that their incentive plans must include these measures. So those measures are hot spot closures that are put into place on a real time basis during the week to move the fleet away from high areas. The excluders, again, these escape panels, there are penalties in place for vessels that have higher salmon bycatch rates than other vessels in a sector, and as well as reward systems for vessels that are performing better. And also there are restrictions in place for vessels that do not fish -- that fish into September and October. And there are now requirements -- previously the salmon excluders or those escape panels were voluntary and kind of on a trial basis, they are now mandated by each of the incentive plan agreement so that their vessels must use those escape

1 panels.

So those are all additional measures that are in place in the Bering Sea pollock fishery to reduce chinook bycatch.

MR. HONEA: Diana.

MS. STRAMM: Yes, go ahead.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, I had one other question. You got a three river system index here so what is the -- Yukon, Kusko and what -- what is the other one?

MS. STRAMM: Sure. It's the Kuskokwim, the Unalakleet and the upper Yukon. And we went through an analysis, and using those three we -- we looked at different combinations of river systems across -- again -- these -- across Western Alaska and the one that we had looked at -- we were basically trying to look at when those runs tended to be down and they tended to be down at the same time. When we included something like the Nushagak in there, it -the relationship didn't hold up because the Nushagak was fluctuating on a different timing. So that's the reason why those three rivers were chosen because there seemed to be a clear break in the data in years that -we looked at years that the run sizes were low and subsistence restrictions were in place and there seemed to be a clear break at 250,000 when you use those three river systems together as a snapshot at when Western Alaska chinook were not doing well.

MR. HONEA: Thank you.

MS. STRAMM: You're welcome. Okay, the next slide is, again, another going back to what the programs are across the Gulf and the Bering Sea and also just on the Bering Sea. IT is, obviously full retention of salmon. There are electronic monitoring provisions. I would note that these provisions for electronic monitoring are to ensure accountability. They are not to count the salmon. There is an observer on board all the time, all of the salmon are censused so every salmon that comes on board is counted. And then there's a systematic genetic sampling program. I caught the tail end of Ellen's talk, I know she mentioned that they do take those samples from the

```
0185
    bycaught fish, so when they're doing their census of
     salmon they have a protocol for, I believe, it's one in
    10 chinook that come on board, one in 30 chum that come
    on board and they take systematic genetic samples from
 5
    those fish and then on an annual basis we get an update
 6
    to the Council on the results of the genetic sampling
 7
    of chum and chinook.
 9
                     The -- we have annual reports that the
10
    industry must provide to the Council. These reports
11
     come in in April. So in a month we will be having this
12
     as an agenda item, where these reports are brought to
13
     the Council. There's three parts to the report.
14
    There's a stock report from the overall pollock fishery
15
    that provides the numbers and what monitoring
    requirement. There's the sector level report, so these
16
17
     are the IPA reports. And each sector must report to
18
    the Council in written and they provide an oral report
19
    on all the measures they put into place to reduce
20
    chinook -- all levels of abundance in the past year as
21
    well as what measures they've done to reduce chum
22
    bycatch. And then from the agency, from the Auke Bay
23
    Lab, we receive the annual genetics report of the
24
     genetic stock of origin of chinook salmon....
25
26
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I'm not trying to
27
     call now.
28
29
                     (Teleconference interference -
30
    participants not muted)
31
32
                     MS. STRAMM:
                                  .....as well as chum
33
     salmon.
34
35
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Are you going to
36
     take those....
37
38
                     MS. STRAMM: We receive similar reports
     in the Gulf of Alaska. They're slightly different
39
    because the structure of the management program is
40
41
    different but we also receive genetic reports on the
42
    fish from -- that are caught in the rockfish fishery
43
    and the ATF fishery for chinook as well as the genetics
44
    for chum.
45
46
                     (Teleconference interference -
```

48
49
MS. STRAMM: So the next slide then
50

participants not muted)

talks about trends and genetic breakouts. And there's two panels here. The lefthand panel is chinook, the righthand panel is chum. And this provides you an overall — the line then is the number of salmon caught as bycatch from 2003 through 2019, and then the red line shows you for chinook what the PSC limit is and what the performance standard is. That's not including the lower levels that we have in place in 2020 and 2021.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MS. STRAMM: So what you see from this is that we had this enormous high of salmon bycatch in 2007 at 120,000 fish caught in bycatch in the pollock fishery. This was during a time that we were managing bycatch....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MS. STRAMM: ....in time area closures that we found to be ineffective and so from 2007 on the Council began this new management program. The new management program was recommended -- the two cap program was recommended by the Council in 2009 and implemented for the first time in 2011. And you can see that we have never -- an aggregated approach the performance standard or the PSC limit since that time.

In the upper right panel of that graph you see a pie chart. That pie chart shows you the genetic stock of comp -- stock composition genetic to show you what proportion of the fish on an annual basis are estimated to be coming from individual areas. what you see is the blue shows you the coastal west Alaska and the upper Yukon combined that makes up that percentage of the fish in that -- in that estimate, with here -- 25 percent in 2017 when those genetics were put in place. On the righthand side then you have the same for the chum salmon bycatch, again, in the early, mid-2000s we had a real spike in chum bycatch, we hadn't started trying to manage two different chum bycatch levels. Currently chum is folded into the incentive plan agreements of the individual sectors and they are generally managed, chum, by these hot spot closures. Chinook is the priority. The majority of

chum genetically are coming from Asian hatcheries, which is what you see in the green pie charts, with the coastal west Alaska in that year being less than 20 percent for chum. And so when the fishery is operating, avoiding chinook is their first priority, avoiding chum is their second priority. And then other bycatch management species fall below that. So this is the way that they manage where their avoidance techniques come into play.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

5

6

7

The next slide then is the impact rate of bycatch on the combined Western Alaska rivers. So I would note that genetically and I apologize if you already went over this, but genetically the stock composition break outs for chinook, the upper Yukon can be broken out separately and so a separate estimate for the upper Yukon is provided annually. But the remainder of the Western Alaska system right now is combined into what's called the combined Western Alaska genetic breakout. So that includes, while they can break out the middle Yukon, the middle Yukon gets lumped back in because they can't break out the lower Yukon at present and, nor, can you break the Kuskokwim out separately. So everything from the Nushagak up and -- up through Norton Sound, with the exception of the upper Yukon is combined into this combined CWAK or combined Western Alaska genetic breakout. And so that's why we have to report the results that way, there is -- I'll get into this in the next slide, there is some movement towards being able to refine some of the stock composition estimates into the future. But for right now what this is showing you on this slide, the impact rate for bycatch on the combined Western Alaska system, what we do analytically, is we estimate what the adult equivalent is, so when we look at the stock composition estimate combined with the number of bycatch chinook in a certain year, we then also look at -- a portion of that was from this combined area and then we look at the ages of the fish and the bycatch. And so while they can span a number of ages, we get ages from the observers that are collecting information on length when the fish come on board, they -generally the high proportion of ages in the bycatch tend to be three to four year old fish. There are a very small amount that are five, six and seven. Almost none that are younger than the three, but on occasion. And so we use that information as well as the estimated maturity rate by river system in costal west Alaska to estimate what the impact is in any one year of the

bycatch. So some of the bycatch, the impact is lagged several years, because the fish are only three and would not have returned until they were six or seven. And so you could have high bycatch in one year but a lingering impact for years to come because of the lag to impacts there.

So this graph is just showing you for the coastal west Alaska stock, we look at what our estimated AEQ, which is the adult equivalent, so the fish that would have come back, combined -- divided by the overall run strength of all of those rivers combined.....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Hello. Hello.

MS. STRAMM: ....to look at what the percent impact in any one year the bycatch represents. So you can see that in -- and I apologize if the years don't come -- but the high point here is in 2007 and 2008, and you can see that in that point....

## UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Hello.

MS. STRAMM: ....the general estimate of the impact rate was closer to six to seven percent whereas in more recent years and since the enactment of the new bycatch management program, the overall impact has always been less than two percent. This is for coastal west Alaska but we have a similar estimate that we do for the upper Yukon, and that is also under two percent since we've put this new bycatch management program in place. So it's a very low percentage of an overall impact to the runs that would have come back to an individual river system due to bycatch.

And then my final slide then is just to talk about the upper Yukon separately. And what you see in the graph, it says upper Yukon breakout in the title and what you see in the graph to the left where it says Figure 11, these are the A season and B season. So the pollock fishery operates, it's an off shore fishery, it operates in two seasons, a winter season, that's the A season, and that's primarily targeting roe—roe fish, and then a B season, which is the summer fishery. So the A season begins January 20th and it's

usually competed by early to mid-April. They have their own quota for that period. And then the summer season begins in mid-June, there's not a lot of fishing that occurs in June, and occurs more in July and August and sometimes into September, October, although we've been trying to provide incentives to not fish in September and October.

So what you see then in this graph, the lefthand panel that says A are the stock composition estimates that the geneticists have been providing by season as well as annually and then the stock composition estimates in the B season. And so the things that would be of most note to you would be the dark purple is the contribution from the upper Yukon, the eastern Sea, if you look to the left and the graph in 2011 you can see that there is more contribution from the upper Yukon, it's still very small....

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Hello. Hello.

MS. STRAMM: .....but it's quite variable and in recent years the A season has been a lot less. And then in the B season, you don't really see much of that at all but if you look at the red, that's the contribution from coastal west Alaska, which, again, has been declining in recent years. of that is spacial. We know from looking at -- to the right then you see the different break outs in the areas and times in which those were taken. And what the information is starting to indicate is that as the fishery moves north and west in the Bering Sea they might run into more of the stock composition from the upper Yukon. There has been interest in breaking out the lower Yukon genetically so that the aggregate contribution from the overall Yukon River might be possible, to look at the Yukon separately, and this is with the single -- the SNIP, the single nucleotide polymorphism that they use right now to estimate the genetics.

They have also been examining Norton Sound as a possibility as something that could be broken out and so we have a standing genetic bycatch group that's been meeting on an annual basis and providing information to the Council and so these —this information has been to try to —to tailor the genetics reporting and the investigations that are going on in order to meet some management mandates and

some information that would be helpful to the Council so we've been looking into, not just looking at a seasonal contribution of the stock composition estimate, but looking at it by area as well as by season. And all of that is to try to provide the Council and the fishery information to indicate what the impact of moving to a certain area in a certain time are on the possible differential impact so even though the bycatch itself is low, we're also trying to avoid differential impacts to an individual stock, particularly western Alaska stock. So we've been working as a -- as a group of scientists and management to provide these kind of tailored reports and work plans back to the Council to try to best inform management moving forward.

Madame Chair, I don't have any other slides, and that's my last slide but I'm, again, happy to answer any additional questions.

## Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Diana. That was a very -- nice report. A lot of information in there and I wish we had a hardcopy to, you know, to read and see it and follow along a little better. My phone kept cutting out there so I just appreciate it. Do we have any comments or questions for Diana at this time.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, Madame Chair. If I can, this is Don. I just had a question here, it's kind of interesting that -- that they use the upper Yukon as one of the places there and I'm just wondering is it because perhaps that's -- that's where the spawning is going on or the fish that made it up there, could you -- could you answer that.

MS. STRAMM: Sure. Through the Chair. Thanks for the question. And I should have included, I apologize, I'll try to get more information to Karen and I'll make sure that you have access to where we post all this on our website.

What I should have shown you is a map of the genetic breakout. So the genetic breakouts are -- are done because that's the stock they can differentiate between genetically currently with a high degree of certainty. And so the upper Yukon is a

1 separate stock, obviously, and it genetically looks different from the middle, lower and Kuskokwim, so that's a stock that can be pulled apart genetically so that you can look at that stock separately. 5 Unfortunately a lot of the lower -- the YK Delta stock 6 kind of genetically bleed over so that you can't 7 differentiate in a salmon right now with a high degree of certainty whether or not a fish was from the lower Yukon or the lower Kuskokwim and so we currently have 10 to just run those all together into one grouping, but 11 the intent is to try to pull apart as many individual 12 stocks as possible so that you can look at whether or 13 not an individual stock is being disproportionately 14 taken in the bycatch and if it is, where in the Bering 15 Sea that tends to aggregate in the time of the fishery 16 to try to tailor management measures to avoid stocks, 17 not trying -- no one's attempting to catch any of the 18 chinook at all, so all these measures are tailored 19 around both reducing -- any incentives to catch 20 bycatch, coming up with tools so that the fleet can 21 avoid the bycatch of chinook, but then also looking at 22 what is the impact of the bycatch and is it 23 disproportionate to any one group. We know that when 24 the fleet fishes more in the Southern Bering Sea, 25 Southeastern Bering Sea they run into much more of west 26 coast and Canadian origin chinook fish.

2728

MR. HONEA: Yeah, I.....

29 30

MS. STRAMM: So we're trying.....

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

MR. HONEA: So I just had a question on that because when they say upper Yukon, I'm just wondering Ft. Yukon or Eagle, close to the border, the reason why they do that because -- because soon enough you're into Canada and I thought that was on the spawning grounds, I was just wondering -- the justification, you know, why they are -- I mean where exactly are they -- you know, the territory, or area, that's all. I appreciate that, thank you.

40 41 42

MS. STRAMM: Sure, you're welcome.

43 44 45

 $$\operatorname{ACTING}$  CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Diana. That was very good again. Do we have any more comments or questions.

46 47 48

MR. GERVAIS: Jenny, this is Tim, may I comment, please.

```
0192
```

2

3

5

6

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. This is Tim Gervais. Thank you for your presentation, Diana, really good, we always appreciate you taking time to present the work and research of the North Pacific Management Council. I had a few questions.

11

12

13

14

When you were talking about observer coverage and having one observer on a vessel, and that's deemed to be 100 percent coverage, but isn't there situations where that observer doesn't have to --does the observer have to monitor every haul, or do they -- don't have to work when the weather's too rough, or when it's between sleeping hours?

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Sure.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

MS. STRAMM: Thank you. Through the Chair, thanks for the question. And I apologize if I -- we used to put 200 percent coverage on slides and that seemed to be more confusing. The important part is that every -- every haul is observed. So there's multiple observers on some vessels so that they're taking shifts, but the important part is that in order to manage this program the way it's managed right now and in order to census all of the fish, so when a vessel delivers shore side the census of the fish occurs at the processing plant under observer coverage and by an observer. And so we call it 100 percent coverage because it's 100 percent of the hauls that are being covered, the -- the intent -- and a catcher/processor, for example, of a lot of the cameras that were put into place as a result of this action is to ensure compliance so that there's no place that if salmon comes on board that either an observer or a camera can't see it. So that it eliminates any ability to not have that salmon come on board and be counted, and so that's the intent of it. So it depends on which vessel configuration you're talking about and which sector as to the role of the observer in terms of counting that versus the role of the observer in terms of ensuring that the -- the haul goes into the hulls and the cameras are ensuring that there's no point of entry where that fish can't be funneled into the hull to ensure compliance with -- yeah, it is -- it is all covered, there is more than one observer on any of these vessels.

46 47 48

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$  GERVAIS: Okay. But could you, briefly, like, in less than a minute or two, cover how

much information and cooperation there is with Russian colleagues, maybe Chinese colleagues and how much of a factor the Russian and Asian nations, and also illegal unreported, or unregulated fishing might be affecting chinook populations?

MS. STRAMM: Oh, I can try, and I also look to my colleagues, Ellen and Jim, if they have more to provide in science centers. You know we do have bilateral agreements in place with the Russians, that's always been -- there has been, in the past, some information sharing, that information sharing to my knowledge -- there's been surveys that have occurred, our Bering Sea survey has gone over into Russian waters, some of the surveys, the acoustic surveys, mostly in chasing pollock to try to get estimates of the pollock  $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$  pollock stocks that have moved up into the Northwest. It depends on where political relations are with Russia as to whether or not they receive permission to continue those surveys, over the line, and collaborate with Russian colleagues. There are -there's a Donut Hole agreement, there's a manual/bilateral meeting that occurs where they discuss issues....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MS. STRAMM: ....of joint interest. We always provide them with an overview of chinook and chum bycatch for that meeting that occurs. We don't always get a lot of information in return on that and so that's about all I could maybe answer, in a snapshot, I would encourage either Ellen or Jim who would know a little more about....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted - static)

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  STRAMM: ....how that is from the scientific side, I'm more involved in working from the management side.

MR. GERVAIS: No, that's fine, Diana. That's a fine answer. So Amendment 91, you know, put together in 2009, put into action in 2011, the intent was to minimize the prohibitive species bycatch but in my opinion, it really -- the way it came into law, the way it was written into law it was really to minimize

0194 1 fishing restrictions on the Bering Sea trawl fleet. These rivers, western Alaska, we've had really poor 2 chinook runs since 1998 and up until and including this year with very few years of anything above mediocre and 5 a lot of years of not even meeting biologic escapement 6 goals. I appreciate your work and your colleagues work 7 and the Council's work in trying to minimize the bycatch, but, because we have, what is it now, so 9 that's 23 years of poor chinook returns, we need to --10 I would like to know what ways the North Pacific 11 Council could work with the Subsistence Councils and 12 the other rural users of the resource to get away from 13 -- right now, according to the Magnuson-Stevens 14 Fishery, Conservation and Management Act, the 15 management is supposed to follow these national 16 standards, but we have National Standard No. 1, where 17 it's supposed to be management to optimum yield for 18 each fish in the United States, well, doesn't these 19 river fisheries for chinook count as fisheries that 20 would have some protection under that national 21 standard, and then National Standard No. 8, 22 communities, where the conservation requirements take 23 into account the importance of fisheries resources to 24 the communities -- it's just -- I'm not understanding 25 how the Bering Sea trawl fleet and these other trawl 26 fleets that you mentioned that also have some bycatch 27 with them, how come they can continue to have a commercial fishery when escapement goals aren't being 28 29 met, subsistence needs aren't being met, why -- why do 30 these smaller user groups not have priority to have 31 their -- the importance of their fisheries and the 32 escapement goals for their fisheries met, why does it 33 always have to be managed -- it feels to us, us as the 34 subsistence users, that we -- it only -- the management 35 measures is only taking place if it doesn't affect the 36 trawl fleet too much, and I just don't understand how 37 the national standards can be violated and even though 38 the trawl fleet is taking measures to reduce bycatch, 39 why do they have commercial fisheries when we can't 40 even get biologic escapement goals met? What -- where 41 do we need to go next to get rid of this 23 year 42 history of -- well, not get rid of the history, but how 43 do we break this habit of under escapement and I mean 44 in some part it comes down to extinction, and eight 45 year old age class of chinook on the Yukon is extinct 46 and has been since about 2009. What can we do next to

get this fishery back on its feet?

MS. STRAMM: Thank you. Through the

49 50

47

0195 1 Chair. I can provide a little bit of input on that and then I would really encourage you, and I will be sure 2 to get the information to Karen of when salmon bycatch comes up at our April meeting, in our process, we 5 always take public input at every agenda item, there's 6 always public comment to the Council. There's no 7 specific action associated with this update to the Council in April, but we take public input on actions the Council -- the -- the stakeholders feel the Council 9 10 should take. I would note that as it relates to the 11 national standards, the Magnuson-Stevens requirement 12 are that the national standards be balanced, and so 13 that means that some are met to greater or lesser 14 degrees than others in any given situation. And so we 15 often have this issue when we're discussing Federal 16 fisheries. The important thing to remember that the 17 requirement for the Magnuson Act is for the Federal 18 fisheries. 19 20 (Teleconference interference -21 participants not muted) 22 23 MS. STRAMM: But we do not manage the 24 in-river returns, that is solely under the State of 25 Alaska.... 26 27 (Teleconference interference -28 participants not muted - coughing/throat clearing) 29 30 MS. STRAMM: .....as it relates to 31 issues like this. It's often -- while we're responsive to all 10 national standards, we're often talking about 32 33 the difficult balance between National Standard 1, 34 which as you noted, is to meet optimum yield, again, in 35 Federal fisheries, provide for (indiscernible - people 36 on line) National Standard 8, which is overall impacts 37 to communities, and National Standard 9, which is to 38 reduce bycatch to the extend practicable. And of that 39 there's a real play off between National Standard 1 and 40 National Standard 9. 41 42 Salmon stocks do not fall under our 43 Federal requirement under the Magnuson Act for National 44 Standard 1 for optimum yield, because that optimum 45 yield is..... 46

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted - coughing)

47

48

```
0196
 1
                     MS. STRAMM: .....for the stocks that
     we manage in the Bering Sea, but it is very much at
 2
    play in National Standard 9 in terms of reducing to the
 4
    extent practicable. So our Council measures.....
 5
 6
                     MR. HONEA: Madame Chair.
 7
 8
                     MS. STRAMM: ....the -- and
 9
    within....
10
11
                     MR. HONEA: Madame Chair.
12
13
                     MS. STRAMM: ....realm of what -- over
14
    which we have jurisdiction. So I hope that helps but I
15
    would....
16
17
                     MR. HONEA: Madame Chair.
18
19
                     MS. STRAMM: ....absolutely encourage
20
     you to write to or speak up to the Council,
21
    particularly at our next April meeting when they will
22
    be discussing salmon bycatch.
23
24
                     Thanks.
25
26
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Don.
27
28
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, Madame Chair.
29
     going to have to step away from the phone here for
30
     about 20 minutes, are we looking at a break here for
31
     lunch.
32
33
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Karen.
34
35
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Madame
36
     Chair. Through the Chair. Member Honea, I have
37
     recommended that we work through lunch because we only
38
    have one more presentation after this and this way we
39
     -- the Council can elect to adjourn the meeting. The
40
     other presenters are standing by for the next
41
    presentation. So it is up to the Council, of course,
42
    whether or not they want a lunch break but that would
43
    be my recommendation.
44
45
                     I also want to remind folks, once,
46
     again, if you could to please mute your phones if
47
     you're not speaking, star six will mute your phone, we
```

are getting a lot of background noise. But again.....

49 50

```
0197
 1
                     MR. HONEA: Madame Chair.
 2
 3
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: .....I will.....
 4
 5
                     MR. HONEA: Madame Chair.
 6
 7
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: ....turn it over to
 8
     the Chair to make that decision with the Council.
 9
10
                     Thank you.
11
12
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, Madame Chair, this is
13
     Don. I'm going to step away here about 15 minutes.
14
15
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay.
16
17
                     MR. HONEA: I'll call back in. Thank
18
     you.
19
20
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, Okay,
21
     thank you, Diana, that was very good. Do we have any
22
    more comments or....
23
24
                     MR. MATHEWS: Yes, Madame Chair.
25
26
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.
27
28
                     MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair, this is
29
    Vince Mathews. I would just ask the Coordinator, and I
30
    have two questions for Diana. On Page 24, the title is
31
    measures to minimize salmon.
32
33
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.
34
35
                     MR. MATHEWS: Okay. My first question
36
     is that I just need to clarify, she mentioned that
37
     salmon escape panels are mandatory, is that the correct
38
     current situation?
39
40
                     MS. STRAMM: Hi. Through the Chair.
    Hi, Vince, it's nice to hear from you. So the way that
41
42
     -- from a regulatory standpoint, we had to have the
    pollock fishery cooperative under their individual plan
43
44
     agreement make those excluders mandatory within their
45
    own structure. There's other impediments to making it
46
     a regulatory requirement, so they are a requirement of
47
    the incentive plan agreements and so those individual
48
     sectors have put into place measures to ensure that
49
     their vessels all have and use salmon -- we call them
```

salmon excluders, but that they have and use salmon excluders. You know there are some provisions for when they can't, you know, they ripped their net or something like that, but -- but the general sense of it is that each of these sector programs ensure that their vessels are using them at all times, so, yes.

6 7 8

9

5

MR. MATHEWS: Okay, then just a short followup on that is, is there any data on the effectiveness of those panels, because originally there was a lot of debate on how effective they are?

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

10

MS. STRAMM: Yeah, thanks. Through the Chair. Vince, there is a lot from the experiments that the industry has been doing over the years but they've been working on these for years and years and what they use is a -- in their experimental phase, so not when they're being used in the fishery right now, but they've gone through multiple iterations of experimental phases and they put a recoverment, so outside of the excluder panel where the chinook can get out, they put a recovery net so that they can count the fish that have gotten out in comparison to the fish that drop back into the (indiscernible) and so over the years the industry has reported back on their findings and, you know, some of the problems they ran into in the initial stages of development is that either the -the configuration of the panel wasn't ideal for the chinook getting out or they were also bleeding pollock into the recovery net. So I can look it up and get back to you but my recollection of the last time with the new excluder is that it's about 30 percent of the chinook are able to get out that way and so that's how they test it, is when they test it with a recovery net. They've gone through multiple different iterations of it and that's also some of the reason why we try to make regulations general because we don't want to restrict innovation. So we don't want to mandate what kind of excluder they use but that they do use one because the innovation on improving the ethicacy of those excluders is a constantly moving target and they're trying to get the best excluder they can possibly use and that might mean that on an annual basis they keep testing and try to come up with a better one. But everyone is using them now. Some are more effective than others depending on which version of the salmon excluder that that particular vessel has at their ability to use.

5

6

7

MR. MATHEWS: I appreciate that. And then finally, and there may be other Council members that want to bring it up, but on that page it says, donation to food banks and the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council had some pointed requests on the food banks, so I don't know if at this meeting it'd be appropriate to give an overview on that, but at some point I think there needs to be an update on the status of the salmon bycatch as it relates to food banks.

9

Thanks.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. STRAMM: Sure. Through the Chair. Thanks for that. And I wish now that I had augmented this presentation to include that. We're really fortunate, in that Jim Harmon of Sea Share provides us an update on an annual basis and, again, he will provide it in April to the Council on their efforts with the Sea Share so that -- Sea Share is the one food donation program that the -- all the chinook bycatch, everything is funneled through Sea Share because they're the one recipient of the prohibited species donation program. They're the one that's authorized to do it. So they have been working, and this is has really been an effort on a lot of these RAC meetings since the inception of Amendment 91 when all of the -there was a lot of notice that the food donations were going to the Pugent Sound area and I -- we really credit all of you guys and all the stakeholders for calling the Council's attention to that and increasing the pressure on the industry to help work with Sea Share. They have certain provisions they have to have in place, there has to be a distribution site and that site has to be refrigerated or frozen. And so -- and that the industry needs to pay to bring the fish back to Alaska if it's landed in, say, Seattle, from a catcher/processor. So over the last 10 years the industry has worked really hard with Sea Share and Sea Share has worked really hard with a whole lot of partners to get distribution centers in a lot of Interior Alaska communities or Coastal Alaska communities. They've been working with the Coast Guard, who's donated C130s to fly the fish up there, they've gone out to St. Paul. I can give you a list of the Interior Alaska communities that Sea Share has been getting fish out to. They've worked with local partners, which is Kawerak to try to get to smaller villages from a hub where they can have a distribution center. And so we have really been working -- they've

been working very hard with the industry on that. They will provide an update in April and it's not -- if you aren't seeing the results of that in your region, by all means, please do write to the Council because Sea Share is trying to work really hard to get a fish in a way that they can get it to a distribution center to then be distributed within Interior Alaska, understanding that that's absolutely no -- that's not sufficient for mitigating bycatch but when the food is already at the food donation banks, we're trying to work with them to get it back to Interior Alaska.

I hope that helps.

 MR. MATHEWS: Well, thank you. That information would be up to, if the Council would like to get that information. And I appreciate your presentation, so thanks again.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you. Thanks again Diana. Any more questions or comments.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, very much. It was a very, very good report. I'm sure you'll be at our meeting reporting back later in the future and I just thank you again.

MS. STRAMM: Thank you, Madame Chair. And I'm always happy to report back to you all. My contact info is on the first slide so if you have other questions feel free to contact me directly, too.

Thank you.

 $\label{eq:acting chair pelkola: Okay, thanks again. Okay, Karen, what's our final report?} \\$ 

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Madame Chair. We have the final report, let me get that title here if you give me a second. Let me check and see if Sabrina and Jim are on the phone right now.

MS. GARCIA: Hi, Karen. This is Sabrina, I'm on the line.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay. And you're just going to be giving this today, correct, or will Jim be

```
0201
 1
     joining you?
 2
 3
                     MS. GARCIA: Yep, Jim should be on the
 4
     line and he will be giving the first half of the
 5
     presentation and then I'll jump in and do the second
 6
    half.
 7
 8
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay, that sounds
 9
     good. And my question for the Council, Madame Chair,
10
    is whether or not we want to take, and it would be
11
     agreeable with the presenters, because I know they have
     time constraints, if we'd like to take a 10 minute
12
13
    break before the next presentation or if you'd like to
14
    go ahead and proceed with the presentation entitled
15
    Northern Bering Sea Juvenile Salmon Ecology.
16
17
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
18
19
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Anybody want a
20
    break or you're fine. Are you fine, I'm fine.
21
22
                     MR. SIMON:
                                I'm fine.
23
24
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, Pollock's
25
     fine, and Don is on break.
26
27
                     (Laughter)
28
29
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: How about you
30
    Goodwin, you're fine?
31
32
                     (No comments)
33
34
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: I guess maybe
35
    Goodwin is on mute but I think we're fine, we'll just
36
     go ahead with the report.
37
38
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Madame
39
    Chair. This is Karen Deatherage. Sabrina and Jim, you
40
     are on, thank you so much.
41
42
                     MS. GARCIA: Hi, Karen. I'm just
43
     checking in with Jim to see if he's on the line.
44
     was earlier, do you know how -- is it star six to
45
     unmute your line?
46
47
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Through the Chair.
48
     Yes, Sabrina, it is star six to unmute the line and
     while you're doing that I want to let everybody know
49
50
```

that we did email this presentation to everybody and a hardcopy was sent to the Council. It is a paper and it's entitled Murphy-Garcia Juvenile Salmon WIRAC meeting. There are slides in it so I'm going to be asking the presenters again to please cite the name of the slide versus the number because a lot of folks have printed copies and there are no -- there are no numbers on the slides.

Thank you, very much.

MS. GARCIA: Okay, well, I think -- I don't know where Jim is, hopefully he will jump on the call but until he does I will present for the both of us, if that's okay.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Go right ahead.

MS. GARCIA: Okay, thank you. Okay, good afternoon everyone. My name is Sabrina Garcia and I am a biologist with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game based in Anchorage, Alaska. And I'm going to be giving this presentation hopefully with Jim Murphy, he works with NOAA Fisheries in Juneau, and he's actually been leading these juvenile salmon surveys in the Eastern Bering Sea for a few years now. And the focus of these surveys in the northern Bering Sea have been mostly on Yukon River chinook salmon. So that's what we're mostly going to be presenting on today and we'll also talk a little bit about chum salmon. Hopefully you all have copies of the presentation and I will read the title of each slide so that, hopefully, everybody can follow along. And if, at any time, you guys have questions please feel free to interrupt me. I'd rather be interrupted and make sure that everyone can follow along and understand the material so feel free to jump on in.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  MURPHY: Hello. Hello, this is Jim, can you hear me?

MS. GARCIA: Oh, hey, Jim.

MR. MURPHY: Hi. Well, I've had all kinds of problems trying to connect. First I was not muted and then I couldn't get the mute off, so I redialed back in so hopefully you guys are ready for me. I apologize.

0203 1 MS. GARCIA: Yes. That's okay. 2 3 MR. MURPHY: I apologize to everyone. 4 5 MS. GARCIA: I just gave our 6 introduction but if you want to introduce yourself and 7 jump on it and I'll let you take over. 8 9 MR. MURPHY: Okay. So everyone's got 10 oriented to what page in the booklet that we're on. 11 12 MS. DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair. 13 14 MR. MURPHY: Okay. 15 16 MS. DEATHERAGE: This is Karen 17 Deatherage. And, Jim, welcome aboard. And everybody 18 does have this presentation, either by hardcopy or they 19 were sent it via email. And what we're asking, if you 20 could, is because the hardcopies don't have page 21 numbers or slide numbers, if you could just name the 22 title of the slide so that people can follow along. 23 24 Thank you. 25 26 MR. MURPHY: Okay, I'll do that. Yes. 27 Yes. Well, I'll just get started here. So the first 28 page is our title page and Sabrina introduced this 29 already. We'll be talking about some of the research 30 that we do in the Northern Bering Sea, which a large 31 percentage of the salmon in the North Bering Sea are 32 Yukon origin. 33 34 And just a little bit of background. I work for the Alaska Fishery Science Center, so I work 35 36 for National Marine Fishery Service here in Juneau, Alaska. And I've been working on salmon in the ocean 37 38 and Eastern Bering Sea for probably more years than I'd 39 like to admit but it's quite a few years. It's been -my primary focus has been in the Northern Bering Sea 40 41 and on the Yukon River salmon and chinook salmon, in 42 particular. Sabrina works for Fish and Game. She's 43 been a marine research biologist for the AYK region and 44 she works in both the Northern Bering and Southern 45 Bering Sea. 46 47 So we'll move on. 48

This will be, just an introductory

49

slide here, the second slide, it's just a title slide, Northern Bering Sea surveys. You can see some of the guys working the trawl gear. So we use surface trawls to capture salmon. And we conduct the research on large vessels. So we charter large commercial fishing vessels. So the vessel we use right now is about 180 foot size trawler called the Northwest Explorer.

So I'm going to go on to the third page, this has a title juvenile salmon research in the Northern Bering Sea and it just kind of highlights the topics that we tend to focus on in our research program. It can be broken up into two categories. is the juvenile salmon, which includes our work on distribution and abundance. We measure aspects of the juvenile habitat, in this case we're looking at mixed layer depths in the North Bering Sea. And then we estimate the genetic origin of juveniles. So the genetic origin of juveniles allow us to really connect to the specific systems and the rivers and the stocks that are present in the North Bering Sea. We also do a fair amount of work on juvenile ecology. The ecology work is really important because it helps us understand abundance. If you have a lot of fish but they're doing very poorly that's not as good as having, you know, an average number of fish and being in really healthy condition. So we look at size and age and growth. look at diets, feeding ecology and we look at their overall health, their condition, just their nutritional status. And so those are all important elements to the research surveys that we conduct.

I'm going to go on to the fourth page, this has a title of surface trawl. This just shows a picture of what these trawlers look like. They're towed behind — there are two warps, two main warps that come behind the vessel and they're attached to these large doors that spread the trawl open, and then we'll have chains on the bottom of the opening of the net and we put location buoys on the top. So we sample typically the upper 20 meters, so upper 60 feet of the water column so this is a fairly big trawl. And their width is 150 meters, so that'd be about 150 feet across.

So I'm going to go on to the next slide, which is the -- the title is juvenile chinook salmon distribution in the Northern Bering Sea and, of course, this figure here on the left it shows where the

7

9

10

typical distributions of juvenile chinook are. And this is during our survey which typically happens during the month of September. And the key thing is that the Northern Bering Sea is, is very shallow surface system and in fact the juvenile chinook tend to be in water depths shallower than 50 meters, but that could be like 250 kilometers from shore so it's a very shallow habitat. It works very effectively, surface trawl gear works very effectively in these shallow habitats because we're sampling most of the water column with this gear.

11 12 13

14

15

So I'm going to go on to the sixth slide, and this slide has a title of juvenile chinook salmon stock composition estimates, and this is an important slide and there's a lot of information here. 16 17 So there are four groups of -- stock groups of chinook 18 salmon that we measure. On the upper left it's the 19 lower Yukon, so these are -- those are just the lower 20 Yukon stock, Unalakleet has been a very important lower 21 Yukon stock. And then the next figure to the right is the middle Yukon, and so those stocks have been 22 23 relatively stable over time and so we see -- they don't 24 make a large percentage of the -- especially the lower 25 Yukon has a fairly low percentage but they are 26 important stocks. And the lower and the middle Yukon 27 stocks are the U.S. stocks of the Yukon River. 28 then we have another group which is the other western 29 Alaska stocks. So these would be stocks other than the 30 Yukon so that's going to include Norton Sound, but also 31 includes stocks like Kuskokwim and Nushagak and other 32 stocks within the Southern Bering Sea. And one of the 33 things that's really important we're seeing in 2019, in 34 particular, this last year, we saw a fairly large 35 increase in the non-Yukon portion. And I think that's 36 just really because we're seeing -- with these warming 37 temperatures we're seeing more fish move from the 38 Southern Bering Sea to the North Bering Sea. And so 39 some of that is why we're seeing the upper Yukon, 40 which these are stocks that are spawning in Canada, so this would be the Yukon priorities, primarily. And you 41 42 can see that the proportion of that upper Yukon stock 43 group is declining particularly in the last three 44 years. And the lowest percentage of the Canadian 45 origin stock that we've seen was in 2019. But we're 46 not clearly seeing that decline necessarily in the U.S. 47 stock in the middle Yukon.

48 49

So we'll go on to the next slide and

this slide is titled, total Yukon River chinook salmon abundance in the Northern Bering Sea. And here this is basically we take our trawl catch estimates of abundance and we multiply that against the stock proportion that are from the Yukon River to come up with this abundance estimate, so it's a stock specific abundance estimate. And the thing that's quite concerning is, is that in the last year that we ran survey, 2019, is when we saw really low abundance of juveniles since we started the survey.

And I'll go on to the next slide and this slide is titled, chinook salmon run projections. And one of the reasons why it's particularly important to pay attention to juvenile abundance is because there's a fairly good relationship between the numbers of juveniles that we see in the North Bering Sea and what the future returns will be three to four years in the future. So this is a fairly simple model that relates juvenile adult abundance that explains much of the variation that we see from year to year. And it also means that because juvenile abundance is declining in the last three years, and particularly in 2019, that our projections are for a continued decline in abundance of Yukon River chinook salmon, and some of our -- the lowest projected abundance that we've produced from these surveys is in 2022. So we're expecting runs to decline in 2021, and in 2022. And part of that is because of the juvenile abundance is declining but also because the proportion of the total -- the total Yukon proportion is declining as well and in those areas.

MR. HONEA: Madame Chair.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, Jenny, this is Don. I just rejoined here and if I could ask, Karen, are we — is he discussing one of the — the things that she sent to us yesterday, I'd like to be able to pull it up if it is.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: It's in the back of your book there, Don. It's in the book.

MR. HONEA: It's in the back of the

 book?

```
0207
 1
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.
 2
 3
                     MR. HONEA: Okay, great, if you could
 4
     give me a page number or something I'll just go ahead
 5
     and -- sorry to disrupt.
 6
 7
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair, this is
 8
    Karen. Don it's an extra document that was sent along
 9
    with your meeting book.
10
11
                     MR. HONEA:
                                Okay.
12
13
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: It's in the book
14
    Karen.
15
16
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: It is in the book?
17
18
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Mine is in the
19
    book, yeah.
20
21
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Oh, they must have
22
     added it because it wasn't -- okay, because it's not on
23
     the website. And, Don, it's entitled -- the document
24
     is -- just a minute -- I have 27 different things here
25
     -- Northern Bering Sea Juvenile Salmon Ecology and it
26
    has a NOAA and Alaska Department of Fish and Game logo
27
    on the front page.
28
29
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
30
31
                     MR. HONEA:
                                 Thank you.
32
33
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, go ahead,
34
     Jim.
35
36
                     MR. MURPHY: Okay. If -- so did Don
37
    need to find the slide before I start, shall we.....
38
39
                     MR. HONEA: No, that's okay, I will
40
     eventually find it.
41
42
                     MR. MURPHY: Okay.
43
44
                     MR. HONEA: Just go ahead and carry on.
45
46
                     MR. MURPHY: Okay. Well, so that --
47
    we're coming up with especially from the juvenile data
48
     in the -- and it actually has been one of the more
49
     accurate projected -- the most -- one of the more
```

2 3 accurate ways for us to participate.... (Teleconference interference participants not muted - paper shuffling)

4 5 6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

abundance estimates. And so just based on the information we've had in the past is we have a fairly good degree of confidence that this is the trajectory

the surveys.

that we're expecting over the next couple of years. But I mean things could change. There is some other work that we're doing on diets and condition which also are concerning and Sabrina will talk about those. So there's nothing that can really maybe balance this, if anything, it could be worse than what we're seeing based on juvenile abundance alone.

MR. MURPHY: ....in these juvenile

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

So now I'm going to switch to the next slide, the 10th slide in this presentation, the 10th page and this is titled juvenile pink salmon distribution and abundance. And the thing I want to point out is that this problem we're seeing with chinook salmon is not the same across other species of salmon, in fact, we're seeing a record abundance levels for species like pinks and as you can see here this is the abundance index for pink salmon and it's the type of high abundance that we've seen, in 2019 it's the

second highest abundance we've seen since we started

29 30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

And, again, I'll switch to the next slide which has pink salmon outlook, and it's just some text. But the main thing is the figure here that shows that there actually is a fairly good relationship between the juvenile abundance of pink salmon and the numbers of adults returning to the rivers. So that also is saying that we're -- and, you know, and we did project a fairly large run of pink salmon this year and that, in fact, was the case.

39 40 41

(Teleconference interference participants not muted - paper shuffling)

42 43 44

45

46

MR. MURPHY: So pink salmon are different. They only spend one year in the ocean and they come back whereas chinook and chum will spend two to four years in the ocean.

47 48 49

So I am going to switch things over to

Sabrina and let her talk about some of the chum salmon work and some of the ecology work that we've been doing in the North Bering Sea.

4 5 6

7

9

10 11

2

MS. GARCIA: Thanks, Jim. Okay, everybody should be on the slide titled juvenile chum salmon stock composition. And one of the things that we're starting to do -- Jim just presented some of the chinook salmon abundance work and some of that forecasting work that we're doing with chinook salmon and what I'm presenting here is the first step to doing that same work but for juvenile chum salmon.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

So what I'm showing here is, you know, one of the pieces of the puzzle. The first is figuring out what stocks of chum salmon are we catching in our Northern Bering Sea surveys. So we've been doing these surveys since 2003 up until 2019. And previously we had done genetics on the juvenile chum salmon from 2003 to 2007, and just recently we included chum salmon from 2009 to 2019. So as of right now we have genetic stock data for all years of the survey on these juvenile chum salmon. And so what I'm showing in this figure on the right is what -- what we did is we split up the Southern Bering Sea and the Northern Bering Sea into three areas. So the first area -- and those areas are shown by those black lines that run across the figure. So we looked at between 58.5 degrees north up until 60 degrees north so that's a little bit of the Southern Bering Sea, and then from 60 degrees north to about 63 degrees north and then the third group is anything north of 63 degrees north. And those pies just show the proportion of each stock group for each of those three groups. So the one that I'm going to focus on today is that yellow pie, that upper Yukon, are fall chum salmon. So you can see that the piece of the pie that's made by that yellow group, by the fall chum group, it -- the biggest proportion is in that lowest latitude group, so between 58.5 degrees north to about 60 degrees north. And then you can see that the proportion of fall chum salmon decreases as you move north. And so the reason I'm showing you this is because for us to create these juvenile abundance estimates we need to know where these chum salmon are and what rivers they came from.

45 46 47

So if you'd go to your next slide that should be called juvenile fall chum salmon index.

49 50

1 So similar to what Jim presented with 2 chinook and with pink salmon, these are very preliminary estimates of our fall chum salmon index 4 based on our catches from the Northern Bering Sea 5 survey. So we get our catches from the Northern Bering 6 Sea survey, we also have the genetic information that I 7 just showed in the previous slide, and we can calculate a juvenile chum salmon abundance. So on the bottom of 9 the figure you can see the year so it should start in 10 2003, the first year of our survey and go through 2019, 11 and you can see that 2008 doesn't have a grey bar and 12 that's because there was no survey in 2008. And that 13 black dashed line that runs across the figure, that's 14 just the average. So you can see that from 2003 to 15 2019 we had years of abundance that were below average 16 and we had years of abundance that were above average. 17 But one of the things that I wanted to point out was 18 that -- is the 2017 year. And if you can see the 2017 19 bar, so our estimate of the juvenile fall chum salmon 20 in that year was below average. So it's below that 21 black dashed line. And our chum salmon, when we catch 22 them in the survey they're age one, they're one year 23 olds, they've spent one year in the gravel and then 24 they immediately leave the rivers and go to the ocean. 25 So when we catch them in our surveys they're one year 26 olds and we expect them to come back to their rivers 27 either three years later as four year olds, or four 28 years later as five year olds. And one of the things 29 that we heard from this past summer was that chum runs 30 across Western Alaska were low, and not only were they low but they had really low age four chum salmon. And 31 32 now those 2017 chum salmon, so those below average 33 juvenile chum salmon, they would have come back to the 34 rivers as four year olds in 2020. So this tells us 35 that we might be able to use the juvenile chum salmon 36 abundance from the Northern Bering Sea to give us an 37 indication of what future chum salmon runs will be. 38 And so while 2017 was below average, you can see that 2018 and especially 2019 were above average and 2019 39 40 was much higher than average. So we're hoping that 41 those two years are telling us that we might have some 42 better runs in the future.

43 44

45

46

47

48

So now I'll turn to juvenile fall chum salmon to adult return. So what I did is I just show -- in the previous slide I just showed you the juvenile fall chum salmon abundance and that's on the bottom of this figure, and then on the left side of the figure are the adult fall chum salmon. And basically what you

are seeing is this, you know, strong positive relationship between the number of juvenile chum salmon that we see in the survey and the number of adults that return from those juvenile years. And so what this tells us is that typically when we see high numbers of juvenile chum salmon in the Northern Bering Sea survey, we expect to see larger returns of adult chum salmon from those juvenile years.

And now you should turn to the next slide, it's just called juvenile chum salmon. And these are just -- these are next steps.

So what I've showed you here today these are, you know, hot off the press model outputs so we still have a little bit of work to do. We really want to pay attention to some of the genetics and make sure that those genetic results accurately reflect what we're catching in the survey. And we also want to make sure that we're calculating the abundance in the best way possible. So we're still working on this model, so, you know, if I present it to you again next year it might look a little bit differently than what I just showed you now. And like Jim mentioned, while these abundance estimates, and these forecasting tools are really important, we also want to continue to study aspects of juvenile chum salmon, early marine ecology. So those are things like growth and diet and energetic density and specifically how do those things -- how are those things affected by increasing temperatures.

So if you turn to your next slide it should be titled early marine ecology of chinook salmon. And so this is just to look at some of the early marine ecology work that Jim mentioned and that I just mentioned so one of the things that we look at on the survey is our.....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted - paper shuffling/coughing)

MS. GARCIA: ....the diets of these juvenile chinook salmon. So that's what I'm showing here. I know it's a busy figure but I'll walk you through it so that you know what you're looking at. So this -- on the bottom is the year of the survey so right now I'm just showing data from 2004 to 2017, and within each of those bars are the different prey items that we're looking at. So starting at the top we're

1 looking at sandlance in those grey and white boxes and then walleye pollock in that grey box, capelin and 2 black, other fish in the dots, those striped patterns are decapods which are larval shrimp and crab and then 5 those light grey bars at the bottom are other 6 invertebrates, so those are things like squid and other 7 types of zooplankton. So based on the diet work that we've done on juvenile chinook salmon in the Bering 9 Sea, what we've seen is that juvenile chinook salmon 10 mostly eat fish but they also eat crab and other small 11 invertebrates. And one of the things that we've looked 12 at is we looked at how the diet changes when we've 13 looked at warm years in the Bering Sea compared to cold 14 years in the Bering Sea. So hopefully your packets are 15 in color and you can see that I've put some red boxes around the years 2004 and 2005, and then again in 2014 16 17 through 2017. So those are the years that we typically 18 consider warm years in the Bering Sea and if I had 2018 19 and 2019 data those would also be considered warm 20 years. And then those -- that -- the years 2006 to 2013, those are our cold years. And, basically what we 21 22 see is that generally chinook salmon still eat fish 23 between warm and cold years but the primary species 24 that they eat shift with temperature. So in warmer 25 years we typically see juvenile chinook salmon eating 26 higher proportions of sandlance and crab and then in 27 cold years they typically eat higher proportions of 28 capelin.

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

If you switch to the next slide, it's called juvenile chinook salmon stomach fullness 2003 to 2017. So one of the other things that we've noticed in warm years is that typically juvenile salmon tend to have less food in their stomach when the waters are warmer. So in this figure on the bottom is the average temperature in celsius, so it goes from 7.5 degrees to 10.5 so as you move from left to right it gets warmer. And then on the left is a measure of how full chinook salmon stomachs are. So from the bottom it's lower stomach fullness and as you move to the top it's higher fullness, so more food in their stomachs towards the top. So you can see that in colder temperatures, so that's 7.5 degree chinook salmon have more food in their stomachs and that line is showing a decrease in the amount of food in their stomach as waters get warmer.

46 47 48

49

50

Now, if you switch to the next slide, juvenile chinook salmon energetic 2003 to 2019. So

this is another aspect of their life history that we're 2 looking at. So I just mentioned diets, and one of the other things that we look at is energetic condition, or energy density, and energy density is just a measure of 5 how much energy is stored in the animal's body. So for salmon, specifically, higher energy especially in the 6 7 fall time, which is when we're conducting these surveys, higher energy generally means that they have a higher chance of surviving the upcoming winter. So 9 10 what I'm showing here is, again, the temperature is on 11 the bottom so we're going from 7 degrees up to 11 12 degrees and then on the left is just a measure of their 13 -- how much energy they have stored. So with lower 14 energy towards the bottom of the left axis and then as 15 we move up energy density or how much energy they have 16 stored is increasing. And you can see if we just 17 follow that black line that's through the center of the 18 figure, you can see that generally as the water 19 temperature increases, the energy density also 20 increases. But you'll notice that there's ones --21 after about 10.5 degrees that line starts to dip down. 22 And you can see that there's one point, so this is from 23 our recent year, that's 2019, that has that red arrow, 24 the energy density of our juvenile -- the average 25 energy density for the juvenile chinook salmon in that 26 year was lower. So while warm temperatures might be 27 good it means that for energy density or for storing 28 energy it seems that it's only good up to a certain 29 point and when it gets too warm they're not able to 30 store as much energy. And I just mentioned that that 31 was at 2019 year so we need more years of data to see 32 if this is something that we continued to see.

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

And now is you'll switch to the last slide called Northern Bering Sea summary. So we've presented a lot of information so we kind of tried to capture the main takeaway messages here and summarized it by species. So starting with chinook salmon, we've seen that the abundance of juvenile chinook salmon has declined in the Northern Bering Sea since 2017 and it's contributing to a declining outlook for Yukon River chinook salmon through at least 2022. And as Jim mentioned, that's because we're seeing both a decline in the abundance of juvenile chinook salmon, but we're also seeing a decline in the proportion of Yukon River stocks in the juvenile chinook that we catch. And we've also noticed that warming climate in the Northern Bering Sea is altering aspects of the early marine ecology of juvenile chinook salmon, so also as Jim

mentioned earlier, we're seeing these lower proportions of Yukon River stock in our juvenile chinook salmon catch, and higher proportion of stocks from what we expect are the Southern Bering Sea, so stocks of the Kuskokwim and Bristol Bay. And we believe that these Southern Bering stocks are moving into the Northern Bering Sea and into our survey area during these warm years in the Bering Sea. I just showed you the stomach fullness of juvenile chinook salmon, so how much food they have in their stomach when we catch them is reduced in warm years and we believe that that's probably due to the lower abundance of fish prey is what juvenile chinook salmon are typically eating. And I also showed that the energetic condition, or much energy these fish have stored in preparation for their first winter in the ocean is declined with the warm temperatures in 2019. So it increased up until about, you know, 10.5 degrees, and then with the really warm waters that we saw in 2019 we did see that energy density decline.

Moving on to chum salmon. They reached record high abundance levels in our survey in both 2018 and 2019 and this is expected to contribute to improved run sizes over the next few years. The juvenile model that I just presented are still in progress but we're feeling hopeful with some other results that we got and we're going to continue to develop these models over the next few months.

And, finally, moving on to pink salmon, Jim showed that their juvenile and adult abundance has increased with warming climate conditions and we expect that their production will continue to increase with warming temperatures.

And the last slide of our presentation just has Jim and my email address. If you think of a question that you weren't able to ask while we were on the call, please feel free to email us, and there's also a link to a FaceBook page. We do update that FaceBook page pretty regularly with some of the results from the Northern Bering Sea work that we just presented today as well as work from the Southern Bering Sea so if you're on FaceBook, feel free to follow us and hopefully you can keep track of this research.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you Jim and Sabrina. That was very, very good. Do we have any comments or questions at this time.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, Madame Chair, I have a couple of questions for Sabrina. If she could get the chart of the juvenile fall chum salmon index.

MS. GARCIA: Okay, yes, I've got it.

MR. HONEA: Okay. We're looking at 2017. So if this continued on to 2020, then you would see a crash right there, is that correct?

MS. GARCIA: That's a great question. And it's one of the things that we're working on because as you can see in 2003 to 2006, those juvenile chum abundances were also really low and actually they were lower than 2017 so we didn't see crashes of juvenile fall chum salmon in the 2007 to 2010 years. So that's one of the things that we're trying to figure out is how does the abundance in the Northern Bering Sea in the juvenile chums, how does that relate to the adult return, and, you know, 2017 that -- with the low returns that we saw in 2020 and the low age four component it makes me think that, yes, if we continue to see lower abundances in the Northern Bering Sea that would be a cause for concern. I'm really hoping that these really higher than average abundances in 2018 and 2019, I hope that those turn into better returns this year and next year. I'm curious as to what the returns will be, or what the run sizes will be this summer because if -- you know, we saw a low age four component last year, if we also see an age five component this year, so those would be fish that were caught in the 2017 survey, that might give us an indication that what we're seeing in the ocean is, in fact, affecting the run sizes a few years later.

I'm hoping that this 2018 and 2019 -the 2018 fish would come back as age four in 2021 so
we'll have to keep an eye out to see how those age four
fish come back this year, if they're a little bit
higher than we expect, a little bit lower, that'll give
us some indication as to how good what we catch in the
ocean can....

MR. HONEA: Okay.

```
0216
 1
                     MS. GARCIA: .....predict.....
 2
 3
                     MR. HONEA:
                                 Okay.
 4
 5
                     MS. GARCIA: .....what comes back to
 6
     the river.
 7
 8
                     MR. HONEA: Okay, okay, I'm -- I'm
 9
     trying to understand this. I mean I -- I mean very
10
     well, I could say 2017 outgoing was whatever and we
11
     say, well, the four year olds, but where is the five
12
     year olds, or I mean the six year olds, I mean I'm --
13
     I'm trying to understand this. But could 2009 and then
14
     three years later we come up to 2012, so if the -- the
15
     bar -- that's 2000 [sic]?
16
17
                     MS. GARCIA: Sure. So I think what you
18
     might be getting mixed up is that what you see in that
19
     fall juvenile chum salmon index, that's only measuring
20
     the juvenile chum salmon. So this is just showing us
21
     what we caught in the ocean. But if you turn to the
22
     next slide, the one that shows.....
23
24
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah.
25
26
                     MS. GARCIA: ....juvenile fall chum
27
     salmon to adult return....
28
29
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah.
30
31
                     MS. GARCIA: .....that's where you'll
32
     see the number of fall chum salmon that we catch in the
33
     ocean, the juvenile and what did they predict for the
34
     adult return. So if we look at 2007 you can see that
35
     we, you know, caught about -- it goes about 30 and that
36
     resulted in about 900,000 fall chum. So what you're
     seeing in Slide 13 is just the juveniles, it doesn't
37
38
     tell us how many adults came back from the juveniles.
39
     And the important thing to remember is that the
40
     juveniles that we catch in the ocean, they're age one,
41
     and they're going to come back either three years later
42
     as age four, or four years later as age five, or five
43
     years later as age six. So one juvenile year
44
     contributes to three different years of adult run.
45
46
                     MR. HONEA: Okay. Well, whatever, like
47
     yourself, I'm kind of excited to see what this year
48
     brings. I mean it was just eerie last year when we up
49
     in August and September and stuff, I didn't even see a
```

0217 fish jump at a -- you know, at our nice big eddy up there, there was like no fish in the river and it was 2 really eerie. So I'm hoping and going by this chart it 4 kind of gives me a little bit of hope that, hey, maybe 5 -- maybe things will turn around. 6 7 I appreciate that I got all that 8 information right in front of me. 9 10 Thank you. And, thank you, Madame 11 Chair for these questions. 12 13 ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Don. 14 Do we have any more comments or questions for Sabrina. 15 16 (No comments) 17 18 ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: I thought it was 19 a very good report. I followed along well and I added 20 some of my own notes on there so I could understand it 21 a little bit more, but you did a terrific job on that, 22 thank you very much. 23 24 MR. GERVAIS: Jenny, this is Tim, may I 25 ask a question, please. 26 27 ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yeah. 28 29 MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Madame Chair. 30 Sabrina, what is the percent of the run size for Kuskokwim and Yukon for the four year old and five year 31 32 olds, like how -- potentially this low -- low juvenile 33 event, 2017 is going to affect the five year old 34 component of our chum run, what percentage of the total 35 run is that, of the five year old age class? 36 37 MS. GARCIA: Hi, that's a great 38 question and it changes from river to river. But I 39 guess to give you a comparison from -- this is just for 40 the lower Yukon River -- or from the lower Yukon River test fishery, so from 2010 to 2019 age four chum 41 42

test fishery, so from 2010 to 2019 age four chum typically average about 52 percent of the summer chum salmon run and then in 2020 that proportion dropped down to 19 percent, and so that was for summer chum. We saw a similar trend for fall chum salmon where the last — the 10 year average of age four was about 70 percent age four fall chum salmon, but then in 2020 that dropped to about 46 percent. So when we look at that juvenile abundance in 2017, those one year old

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

juveniles would have returned to the river as four year olds in 2020 so that gives us -- that makes us think that what we're seeing in the ocean is accurately telling us what is going to come back to the river a 5 few years later. And so the juveniles that we caught 6 in 2017 that returned as four year olds in 2020, 7 they're going to come back in 2021 as five year olds. So if we see a lower age five component this summer 9 that might tell us that we might be able to use what we 10 catch in the ocean to predict what comes back. And 11 then along the same lines, those 2018 juveniles that we 12 caught, they would come back this summer as four year 13 olds, and because that 2018 juvenile abundance was 14 above average, we're hoping that that results in higher 15 age four returns this summer.

16 17

But as I said we're going to have to see what actually comes back.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

MR. GERVAIS: All right, thank you. My final question is, on your slide with early marine ecology of chinook salmon diets, '03 to '17, is there showing that shift in diet between the warm years and the cold years, is one of those diet preferential to the fish or can they have good health with either diet?

252627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

MS. GARCIA: That's a great question. So both sandlance and capelins are really good food items for juvenile chinook, but they're like a general feeder. So it's not like they're specifically looking for one fish type. They're kind of eating whatever fish type is readily available and abundant. And so what we think the reason why they're switching is because we believe that, and it's been shown based on our Northern Bering Sea surveys that capelin, what they're eating in those cold years, or what they're eating the most of in the cold years, capelin are -generally have higher abundance in the Northern Bering Sea when the water is colder. So it kind of makes sense that when the water is cold and there's more capelin around, juvenile chinook are eating higher proportions of capelin. So they're not specifically looking for either capelin or sandlance, they're just eating whatever -- you know, whatever's easy and whatever's around, but they're both fish -- fish prey, is a good food source. Just one of the things that, you know, I had mentioned with the energy density is that, you know, when it gets warmer, you know, their metabolism is going a bit faster so they generally need

to eat more to maintain that metabolism in warmer waters so they need to eat both to grow, but then they also need to eat enough to store energy for the winter so probably what's happening in these warmer years is that they're not able to eat enough to both grow and store enough energy for the winter. So that's one thing that is a little bit concerning, especially with the warmer years that we've seen in the Bering Sea and it's something that we're going to continue to monitor in the next few years.

I don't know if Jim mentioned this, but the survey was cancelled last year because of Covid but we are going back out to do the surveys both 2021 and 2022 so we'll have two more years of data and we can see what -- you know, what those trends look like for both the diet and their energy density.

MR. GERVAIS: Okay. And the latitude of the ice edge during the winter, that has a big affect on the food production and the diet of the chinook right?

MS. GARCIA: Yeah. Jim can probably speak a little bit more to the sea ice dynamics than I can and hopefully he's still on the line.

MR. MURPHY: I am. You know, I think a lot of the sea ice, we don't fully understand how the ecosystems are changing with the sea ice but it is a topic of concern. I think clearly with the loss of winter sea ice it's having a direct effect on temperatures, and so just as Sabrina is showing that diet changes with temperature. It means that diet is changing with sea ice. If that makes sense.

MR. GERVAIS: All right, thank you very much. Appreciated the presentation from both of you.

MR. MURPHY: Yes.

MR. REAKOFF: Madame Chair.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes, go ahead

45 Jack.

MR. REAKOFF: Yeah, I just want to state that I really appreciate these presentations that we had this morning. All of this information about how

0220 1 the climate change and the affects of the different species of salmon and how it affects bycatch and all of 2 that was very informative and really appreciate all the 4 presenters. 5 6 Thank you. 7 8 ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, so 9 much. 10 11 MR. HONEA: Madame Chair. 12 13 ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Don. 14 15 MR. HONEA: I just want to reiterate 16 what Jack just said. I find this stuff really helpful. 17 So there's a light at the end of the tunnel here and 18 maybe this -- kind of gives us hope for this upcoming 19 fishing season, especially fall and -- summer and fall 20 chum -- chum runs. So I really think that the time is 21 well spent in listening to these presentations and I 22 appreciate that. 23 24 25 26 and the more we hear, the more we learn and I know we 27

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yeah, thank you. Same here. I enjoyed this and it's a learning process have a lot to learn yet. And these people, looks like you're really working, I mean that's -- a lot of these things I wouldn't even know.

Thank you, again.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

28 29

30

MS. GARCIA: Madame Chair, if I could just chime in once more and just reiterate. Well, I'm sure I speak for Jim as well, that we really enjoy giving these presentations and that we know it's a lot of information to present and what never seems like enough time but we really encourage if anybody has any questions to please don't hesitate to reach out to us through email or through that FaceBook page that I mentioned and, you know, we really want to make sure that the research we're doing gets out to the people who want to know about it.

43 44 45

Thank you.

46 47

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you.

48 49

MR. HONEA: Hey, on that note -- Madame

```
0221
 1
    Chair, I just wanted to say that some of us that have
     emails can have -- like I mentioned this morning to be
     able to pass those email addresses on and I appreciate
 4
    that, thank you.
 5
 6
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Thanks, again.
 7
    Okay, if there's no more -- any more comments or
     questions.
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: If not, what's
13
    our next topic -- agenda topic or -- Karen.
14
15
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Madame Chair.
16
17
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Yes.
18
19
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Hi there, it's Karen
20
     Deatherage with OSM. And right now we're coming to the
21
    end of the meeting and at your discretion you can ask
22
    for closing comments and then a motion to adjourn the
23
    meeting unless you have any other topics that you would
24
    like addressed.
25
26
                     Thank you.
27
28
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Does the
29
    Council have any other topics to address at this time
30
    or not.
31
32
                     MR. HONEA: I don't have any.
33
34
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Pollock, do you
35
    have any.
36
37
                     MR. SIMON: No, nothing.
38
39
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay. Goodwin.
40
41
                     MR. SEMAKEN: No, nothing.
42
43
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Okay, thank you.
44
     So at this time we'll go ahead and go into our closing
45
     comments and I'd like to start with Pollock.
46
47
                     MR. SIMON: Yeah, the last three
48
    presentations they were talking about Bering Sea fish
49
     and what we need up and down the river is to try to get
```

more fish in the rivers. We want to try to get more fish to the spawning grounds, like the wild stock fish, fish that are for the peoples. Some farm fish like that, they taste different. So let the king salmon 5 being the main fish diet for peoples up and down the 6 river, we have whitefish, which comes pretty close but 7 I prefer it to be king salmon and we have sheefish. This past summer we put only two king salmon in the freezer but 10 king salmons would be good. I hope they 9 10 reduce the bycatch and keep working the best so we can 11 get more salmon in the river, up and down the river. 12 I'm on Koyukuk River, Koyukuk River tributary up the 13 Yukon, sometimes -- the last several years there's 14 hardly any king salmon and now there's not enough chum 15 salmon and sometimes the Fish and Game give us 16 restrictions to pull our net out at certain times so --17 that's my comments.

18 19

Thank you, Madame Chair.

20 21

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Pollock, that

was very good.

Don.

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

MR. HONEA: Yeah. I especially, and, thank you, Madame Chair for leading us through this. -- I really enjoyed the ones on the fishing because I'm really concerned about the fishing that we had last summer, I just could not and I still can't believe that, you know, for years and years we've always depended on -- you know, we had moratoriums where they -- you know, for fishing, to not fish for kings and such and we always, just always depended on the fall and summer chum and it was really kind of devastating to -- I never thought it would happen. So I -- the fishing parts of these, I really enjoyed the presentations today and the ones by YRDFA and by Maschmann, Gerald, and anything to do with fishing. appreciate that.

44

45

46

47

48

And I just -- I can't wait -- you know, one time in a McGrath meeting, I think I was speaking mostly on what -- about my area and someone reminded me, that, hey, you, you guys speak for all of us, and so that's why I am kind of concerned about the make up of the Board right now. I'd like to see Red Devil, Aniak, that area, I don't know their concerns and I'd like to see them, I'd like to see some from Grayling or

listening in to the Council. You did a great job

running the meeting there, Jenny. And I really feel

for the Council, the outrage of four members for a 10

47

48

49

member Council, they need to make more appointments than 3 to start catching up. We need to have -- all applicants need to be appointed. They need to be appointed sooner than later. So I think U.S. Fish and Wildlife was going to work with the new Secretary of Interior to get the appointments coming through because we can't go another cycle, we need to have appointments now within the next couple months. Continue to appoint at a higher rate to catch back up to where we used to be, we used to have 10 members.

So I do appreciate all the work this Council did and I think you had a real good meeting, and I really appreciated all the presentations from the Staff that have participated.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Jack. It's always good to hear from you and you've always been very encouraging. How about, Tim, are you still on the line?

MR. GERVAIS: Yes, I am. This is Tim Gervais. Great job running the meeting, Jenny, really enjoyed listening to you moderate. I echo Jack's comment on hopefully we can get more appointments and, especially Kuskokwim appointments put up so we can get the Council back to a reasonable size.

It sounds to me like there's not much hope for the chinook run, king run over the next few years, so hopefully the fall chum run in the summer of 2021 will materialize and be enough of an alternative fishery for people but it's interesting and I'm thankful to Jack and Karen and for the presenters for bringing in this other information on environment and food things and spacial distribution in the Bering Sea, it's helping me and everyone on the Council understand all the variety of factors affecting the survivability of the salmon.

Because of these challenges with temperature and sea ice, food change stuff, I think that makes that — the salmon that is — even though the North Pacific Council is working hard to control the bycatch, at this stage of the stock for the chinook salmon, I don't think that we can afford to have the trawl fleet catching those fish as bycatch, there's not enough spare salmon to have that percentage of the population taken out. And I would encourage the WIRAC

to communicate to the Federal Subsistence Board that something needs to be done, Amendment 91, as it sits right now, and Amendment 110 isn't enough to get the stocks rebuilt and more drastic action needs to be taken. It's not acceptable to have commercial fisheries taking these king salmon out of the environment when subsistence aren't met and escapement goals aren't being met. So I hope something can be advanced that -- it would be good to communicate some how -- I'll try to testify personally at least to North Pacific Council in April that more drastic measures need to be taken. I mean in those national standards I was reading they talk about to the extent possible, well, extent possible, if you don't want a boat to catch certain fish or certain bycatch, I mean they can just leave the gear out of the water or stay tied up at the dock, it's an economic problem for those businesses but what is that, just because they have a lot of money invested, does that mean they have a right to help destroy or have a detrimental effect on a struggling population.

All right, I'll leave it at that.

Thank you to the four members for their participation and wish everybody a safe spring.

MR. HONEA: Thank you, Tim.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you. That was very good. Do we have any -- Darrell, are you still on, do you want to make a comment.

MR. VENT: Sure, thank you. This is Darrell Vent, Huslia. I was glad I was able to speak in the meeting today and, thank you, Jenny, for hosting the WIRAC, you did a good job there. Missed (indiscernible - beeping) sometimes but I got to learn how to do that for other people too, I guess. Just a little side joke there.

Anyway, you know, it's interesting that we are moving into times where we're looking at a lot of hardships in some areas and all the facts, you know, with this global warming, I think that we're going to be going through changing times until we figure out how to get rid of this global warming or, you know, the environment's changing where our fish is being affected, our animals are being affected and we have to

keep on top of everything but, you know, it's why we're here. We're all bringing up everything in discussion, and wishing that, you know, we could do more but with what we got, we have to move -- you know, the culture and some things, without everything available.

All right, thank you guys for a good meeting and I'm glad that I was able to sit in and listen to all your comments. I'm learning, you know, I', not very young but I'm, what they call, a senior in training, so thank you for everything.

ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Thank you, Darrell. Okay, my comment, I just want to thank everyone with me, and being my first meeting, running the meeting, I was a little bit scared and nervous and since there was nobody in my room looking at me it made it easy. I want to thank you, Karen, for all your help for guiding me and just keeping me in order. And all the presenters, they did a good job, some were a little bit long, but I know it's -- we have to sit and listen and try to absorb as much as we can from them and I like it when I see the paper in front of me, I can follow it better.

I want to apologize for not giving you a break this morning but, man, we cruised right along, and we're at home, you know, I could stand and walk around a little.

 Under -- I have a question on that. I don't know -- a comment -- I just want to wish and hope that we have more representations on NOAA from our area, I looked at the pictures of the people that were on there and I don't know anyone, I -- it's just like we don't have any representation and some how, some way, we got to work at it. We got to go to our tribe or corporation or something to try to get more people on there that represent our area. Now, it seems like they're beginning to listen but we -- you know, I don't think they quite understand how, you know, we live up here and the fish we depend on and what do we depend on so I would like to see more representation.

The bycatch. I know that lady talked about -- or somebody talked about distributing the fish. I don't know what kind of fish they give out but I know we got some kind of fish in Galena from TCC and I don't know where they got it from, maybe from them,

but if they're throwing king salmon away that's what I think our area would like.

And, again, I just want to thank you all for bearing with us and I know I called on some, you know, when I wanted to because I know when I was new I used to be hated for -- you know, I didn't want anybody to call on me and I'd just get nervous but as I listened when I first started I could hear, especially, Goodwin, you were coming out very good on the end there, you started speaking a little bit more and that's what I was trying to do, I guess, trying to add a little bit more.

 $\,$  And with that I'll go ahead and quit, thanks, again.

Now, back to the meeting, is there a motion to adjourn, or Karen, do you have anything first before we adjourn.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Madame Chair. Yes, this is Karen Deatherage with OSM. And I do want to thank everybody on this call, both the Council members, the potentially pending Council member, the potential Council members in the future for the next cycle, the presenters. And for the participation, it's really tough to be on the phone for this long, as we all know, I think everybody did really well and it's appreciated. The Council was engaged and that makes me very proud because you asked good questions of the presenters and, like you, I learned a lot, not only from the presenters but from your questions about what the needs are in your region, and so I really appreciate that.

I can tell you that the Office of Subsistence Management and the Federal Subsistence Board truly recognizes the concern of the Council membership and we're working as much as we can, it's a priority issue for the organization to fill these Councils. What you all can do to help, now that we have an extension on the application deadline, March 12th, is, please, encourage folks that you know to apply, as many as you know. We'll have alternates now, opportunities for alternates in case something happens so the more applicants we have that we can get through this process the better.

```
0228
 1
                     And, again, thank you all very much,
 2
     and thank you, Jenny, for a wonderful meeting, and for
     the inclusivity that she provided for all the Council
 4
     members today and.....
 5
 6
                     MR. HONEA: Karen.
 7
 8
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: .....yesterday
 9
     and....
10
11
                     MR. HONEA: Karen.
12
13
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: .....you all take good
14
     care and stay safe. Okay, thanks.
15
16
                     MR. HONEA: Karen.
17
18
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes.
19
20
                     MR. HONEA: Checks are in the mail?
21
22
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, your's is getting
23
     (indiscernible), I decided to do that Don, uh-huh.
24
25
                     MR. HONEA: Covid -- Covid checks.
26
27
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Yeah, Covid checks,
28
     I'll call Joe.
29
30
                     MR. HONEA: You call smoking Joe, make
31
     him do something.
32
33
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Yeah, well, actually I
34
     heard the Bill's going to be in the House next week for
35
     Covid, but, yes, unfortunately we can't send you a
36
     check but we send our gratitude so thank you all very
37
     much.
38
39
                     MR. HONEA: Mean, you singled me out.
40
     Okay.
41
42
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: They could send
43
    us lunch.
44
45
                     MR. HONEA: It's the least they could
46
     do.
47
48
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Is there a --
49
     what the heck is it -- to adjourn.
50
```

```
0229
 1
                    MR. HONEA: I make a motion to adjourn.
 2
 3
                     MR. SIMON: Second by Pollock.
 4
 5
                     ACTING CHAIR PELKOLA: Seconded by
 6
    Pollock. Okay, the motion -- I mean the meeting is
 7
     adjourned at 1:50, see you at the next meeting.
 9
                     (Off record)
10
11
                       (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0230			
1	CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	UNITED STATES OF	'AMERICA	)
4			)ss.
5	STATE OF ALASKA		)
6			
7			ary Public in and for the
8			or Computer Matrix Court
9	Reporters, LLC,	do hereby certi	LÍY:
10	m		1 1 1
11			es numbered through
12 13			correct Transcript of the
14	WESTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME II taken electronically on the		
15	18th day of February;		
16	Toth day of repr	ualy,	
17		THAT the transc	cript is a true and
18	correct transcri		be transcribed and
19	thereafter transcribed by under my direction and		
20	reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and		
21	ability;		
22	<i>1</i> ,		
23		THAT I am not a	an employee, attorney, or
24	party interested		
25			
26		DATED at Anchor	cage, Alaska, this 27th
27	day of February	2021.	
28			
29			
30		<u> </u>	
31 32		Salena A. Hile	Chata of Magles
33	Notary Public, State of Alaska My Commission Expires: 09/16/22		
34		My COMMITSSION I	Expires: 09/10/22
35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40			
41			
42			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47			
48			
49			
50			