```
0001
 1
               WESTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE
 2
               REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
 7
                             VOLUME I
 8
                          TELECONFERENCE
                        February 16, 2022
 9
10
                            9:00 a.m.
11
12
13
14
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
15
16
   Jack Reakoff, Chair
    Arnold Demoski
17
18
   Timothy Gervais
19 Don Honea
20
   Pollock Simon
    Darrell Vent
21
22
    Kevin Whitworth
23
    Rebecca Wilmarth
24
25
26
27
28
29
    Regional Council Coordinator, Katerina Wessels (Acting)
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
   Recorded and transcribed by:
39
40
41
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
42
    329 F Street, Suite 222
43
    Anchorage, AK 99501
44
    907-227-5312/sahile@gci.net
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

```
0002
 1
                     PROCEEDINGS
 2
 3
                   (Teleconference - 2/16/2022)
 4
 5
                     (On record)
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I got 9:00 o'clock
 8
     now. So we're going to start bringing this meeting to
     order. Do we have our court recorder?
 9
10
11
                     REPORTER: Hi, Jack, I'm here.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, good to hear
14
    you Salena.
15
16
                     REPORTER: Good to hear you.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So it's 9:00 a.m.,
19
     February 16 and so we're going to work through the
20
     agenda that I have before me. So I'll call the meeting
21
     to order. We'll have an invocation. So which Council
    members are on here, let's find out who the Council
22
23
    members are here at this time. Any Council members on
24
     the phone.
25
26
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, this is
27
    Kevin.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Kevin. Other
30
    Council members.
31
32
                     MR. VENT: Yes, this is Darrell.
33
34
                     MR. SIMON: Good morning, Mr. Chairman.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We got Darrell, who
37
    else?
38
39
                    MR. SIMON: Pollock Simon.
40
41
                    CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, Pollock.
42
43
                    MR. VENT: Hey, Jack, this is Darrell.
44
45
                    CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, Darrell.
46
47
                    MR. VENT: Say, did Jenny or Tom Kriska
48
     call in.
49
```

```
0003
 1
                     REPORTER: Who was that talking,
 2
     Darrell, was that you?
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We need to have
 5
    people speak up and who's on this call so we can start
 6
     this meeting. So right now I got Kevin, Pollock,
 7
     Darrell and myself.
 8
 9
                     MR. VENT: Okay. Yeah, Jenny and Tom
10
     are in Koyukuk right now, there's a mishap going on
11
     down there, or not a mishap but there's a -- her
12
    brother's not doing too good so they're not able to
13
     call in right now. Tom called me this morning.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Who else is down
16
     there, Jenny and who else?
17
18
                     MR. VENT:
                               Jenny and Tom Kriska.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, Tommy, okay.
21
22
                     REPORTER:
                               So this is the court
23
     reporter, Tina, I can hear some typing in the
24
     background and just general background noises. So
25
    before we really get started, if everybody could look
26
     at their phone or whatever you're calling in on and
27
    make sure you're on mute, you can do that by pressing
28
    the little star sign and number 6, or you can press the
29
    mute button on your phone. That way we can hear
30
    everybody speaking and when you are going to talk you
31
     can unmute yourself by doing the same thing and then
32
     put yourself back on mute.
33
34
                     Okay, thank you.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Appreciate that
37
     Salena.
38
39
                     REPORTER:
                               Yep.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, I can still
42
    hear that paper shuffling so if you've got your -- if
43
     you're not going to talk mute it, star six or mute your
44
     phone.
45
                     So I'm going to call roll here because
46
47
     I need to know who's on this call.
48
49
                     Is Rebecca Wilmarth on here.
```

```
0004
 1
                     (No comments)
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don Honea.
 4
 5
                     MR. HONEA: Hey, could you hear me now?
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I could hear you
 8
     now, Don. Glad to hear your voice.
 9
10
                     MR. HONEA: Okay, thank you.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So then we have
13
     Pollock, we have Kevin, we have myself. Do we got
14
    Arnold Demoski.
15
16
                     (No comments)
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do we got Tim
19
     Gervais.
20
21
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, Jack, I'm here.
22
     got dropped off three times so far. I don't know why
23
     it keeps -- it stays on for about 30 seconds and then a
24
     busy signal comes on and the call drops.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Yeah, I put
27
     in my passcode and it just blanked out, it didn't do
28
     anything. I had to redial in. So there's something
29
     going on with the phone too.
30
31
                     So Jenny's in Koyukuk with Tommy Kriska
32
     and my roster isn't complete. Do we have anybody else
33
     on the Council that I'm unaware of there, Katya.
34
35
                     MS. WESSELS: No, Mr. Chair. We -- you
36
     know we have 10 people on the Council and it looks like
37
     six of the Council members are currently present so
38
     that would constitute a quorum.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
41
42
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Mr. Chair.
43
44
                     MS. WESSELS: Yeah, so if -- I can try
     to reach out to Arnold and Rebecca and see.....
45
46
47
                     MR. DEMOSKI: No, Mr. Chair, this is --
48
     Mr. Chair, this is Arnold. I'm just calling in.
49
```

0005 1 MS. WESSELS: Okay, great. 2 3 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Is that Arnold? 4 5 MR. DEMOSKI: Yes. 6 7 MS. WESSELS: I can still try to call 8 Rebecca here in a little bit to see if she can join us 9 so we now have seven Council members out of 10 which is 10 a quorum. 11 12 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, so 13 we're going to begin the meeting. And as Council 14 members call in they should speak up if they're at the 15 meeting. So we need an invocation, do you want to do 16 that Don. 17 18 MR. HONEA: Not really. I would do it 19 if I was present but I don't like to do this 20 telephonically. 21 22 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, let's see, Jenny 23 usually -- so I could give one real quick. 24 25 (Invocation) 26 27 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So call to order. 28 The Chair calls this meeting to order, we have seven 29 members. We got Kevin, Pollock, Darrell, Don, Tim 30 Gervais, Arnold Demoski and myself. So we'll be 31 looking for -- Jenny and Tom Kriska are in Koyukuk 32 Village for some reason, a situation down there, 33 Jenny's brother's sick or something and we're looking 34 for Rebecca. So we established a quorum at the 35 beginning of this call because we're on a conference 36 call, we can't see across the table, so we do have 37 quorum. 38 39 And welcome and introduction for 40 guests. So now we're going to go through and try and 41 find out who's on this call. You have to unmute your 42 phone, state who you are, who you're with and then mute 43 again so we don't get a lot of racket in the 44 background. So we're going to go through who's on the 45 call here. 46 47 MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, this is Katya 48 Wessels. And I am with the Office of Subsistence 49 Management. I am the Council Coordination Division

```
0006
 1
     Supervisor. And I would like to make a suggestion
 2
     regarding the introductions.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
 5
 6
                     MS. WESSELS: If we could do it by
 7
     agency and/or organization, like you call OSM, Fish and
 8
    Wildlife, and so on like BLM, and this way we might
    have a little bit more order.
 9
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So let's
12
     start with the Office of Subsistence Management. Do we
13
    have OSM people on this call.
14
15
                     MR. GRAHAM: Good morning. This is
16
    Cory Graham. I'm a Fisheries Biologist with OSM.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Cory.
19
20
                     MS. HONIG: I'm Leigh Honig with OSM,
21
    Council Coordinator, new hire, my first meeting. Thank
22
     you.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
25
26
                     MR. UBELAKER: Good morning. This is
27
    Brian Ubelaker, Wildlife Biologist with OSM.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Brian, good
30
    morning.
31
32
                     MS. GREDIAGIN: Hi, this is Lisa
33
    Grediagin, the Wildlife Division Supervisor with OSM.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Lisa.
36
37
                     MR. AYERS: Good morning, Mr. Chair,
38
     this....
39
40
                     MS. VOORHEES: Good morning. This
41
     is....
42
43
                     MR. AYERS: .....is Scott Ayers.....
44
45
                     REPORTER: Okay, say your name again,
46
    please, I think it was Scott.
47
48
                     MR. AYERS: Scott Ayers, Fisheries
49
    Division Supervisor.
```

```
0007
 1
                    REPORTER: Thanks Scott.
 2
 3
                    CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Scott Ayers,
 4
    good morning. Next one.
 5
 6
                    MS. VOORHEES: Good morning. This is
 7
    Hannah Voorhees, Anthropologist with OSM.
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hannah.
 9
10
11
                     MR. PLANK: Good morning. This is Tom
12
     Plank, Wildlife Biologist with OSM.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Tom, good morning.
    Anybody else on....
15
16
17
                     MS. LAVINE: Good morning.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.
20
21
                     MS. LAVINE: This is Robbin LaVine,
     Subsistence Policy Coordinator with the Office of
22
23
     Subsistence Management.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning,
26
     Robbin.
27
28
                     MS. WILLIAMS: Liz Williams,
29
     Anthropologist, OSM. Good morning.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Liz.
32
     Anybody else with OSM on here.
33
34
                     MS. PILCHER: Good morning. This is
35
    Nissa Pilcher, newly hired, Regional Advisory Council
36
    Coordinator.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Glad to hear your
39
    voice Nissa.
40
41
                     MS. PILCHER: Hey, Jack.
42
43
                     MR. ROBERTS: Hi, this is Jason
44
     Roberts, Anthropologist with OSM.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Jason Roberts?
47
48
                    MR. ROBERTS: Yes.
49
```

```
8000
 1
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, good morning,
 2
     Jason.
 3
 4
                     MR. ROBERTS: Good morning.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Other OSM people.
 7
 8
                     (No comments)
 9
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don't hear any.
12
     We'll go with U.S. Fish and Wildlife.
13
14
                     MR. BLIHOVDE: Hey, good morning. This
     is Boyd Blihovde, the Refuge Manager at Yukon Delta
15
16
     National Wildlife Refuge.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Boyd.
19
20
                     MR. BLIHOVDE: Good morning.
21
                     MR. MOSES: Good morning, Jack. This
22
    is Aaron Moses, Subsistence Coordinator for Yukon
23
24
     Delta.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Aaron.
27
28
                     MS. FOX: Good morning, this is Joanna
29
    Fox.
30
31
                     MR. HARWOOD: This is Chris.....
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We got two at once.
34
35
                     MS. FOX: This is Joanna Fox, Refuge
36
     Manager at Kanuti Refuge.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Joanna, good
39
     morning. Next.
40
41
                     MS. MORAN: This is Tina.....
42
43
                     MR. HARWOOD: Good morning, Chris.....
44
                     MS. MORAN: .....Moran with Kanuti
45
     Refuge.
46
47
48
                     MR. HARWOOD: .....Harwood.
49
```

```
0009
 1
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. I got Tina
    Moran and then I heard Chris Harwood.
 2
 4
                     MR. HARWOOD: Yes, that's correct,
 5
    sorry Jack.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning.
 8
 9
                     MR. HARWOOD: Good morning.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any more U.S. Fish
12
    and Wildlife.
13
14
                     MR. REBARCHIK: This is Bob.....
15
                     MR. MASCHMANN: This is Gerald
16
17
    Maschmann with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Fisheries.
18
19
                     MR. REBARCHIK: .....Rebarchik with
20
    U.S. Fish and Wildlife out of Galena.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Bob. I'm getting a
23
    big echo, somebody's not -- we're getting an echo off
24
     of phones that are not muted.
25
26
                     REPORTER: Yep, okay, hang on. So did
27
     I get Gerald and Bob, were those the last two?
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I heard Bob, I
30
    didn't get Gerald.
31
32
                     MR. MASCHMANN: Yeah, this is Gerald.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. We're still
35
    getting quite a bit of echo behind -- so if you could
36
    mute out at star, six or mute your phone. So other
37
    Fish and Wildlife.
38
39
                    MR. HAVENER: Good morning. This is
    Jeremy Havener, I'm the Refuge Subsistence Coordinator
40
41
    for Koyukuk/Nowitna/Innoko National Wildlife Refuge out
42
    of Galena.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning,
45
     Jeremy.
46
47
                     MR. TULIK: Good morning. This is
48
    Christopher Tulik. Information Technician for the
49
    Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge.
```

```
0010
 1
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning.
 2
 3
                     MS. CARROLL: Good morning. This is
 4
    Holly Carroll, Yukon River Subsistence Fishery Manager.
 5
    I'm based out of the Fairbanks Office Team, but I live
 6
    in Anchorage.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Good morning,
 9
    Holly.
10
11
                     MR. HIRSCHBOECK: Good morning. It's
12
    Rob Hirschboeck. I'm a Federal Wildlife Officer, a
13
    Patrol Captain with U.S. Fish and Wildlife out of the
14
    Fairbanks Office.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Rob.
17
18
                     MS. KLEIN: And this is Jill Klein with
19
    U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. I'm out of the
20
    Anchorage Office and I'm the Regional Subsistence
21
    Coordinator.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Jill.
24
25
                     MS. KLEIN: Good morning.
26
27
                    MR. NICORI: Good morning. This is
28
    Emmitt Nicori, I'm the Refuge Information Technician in
29
    the Yukon Delta.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning,
32
    Emmitt. Any other U.S. Fish and Wildlife people on the
33
     call.
34
35
                     (No comments)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don't hear any. So
38
    we're going to go for National Park Service.
39
40
                     (No comments)
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Have any NPS people
43
    on the call.
44
                     MS. OKADA: Hi, good morning.
45
46
47
                     MR. CAMERON: Good morning, Mr. Chair
48
     and members of the Council.
49
```

```
0011
 1
                     MS. OKADA: Go ahead, Matt.
 2
 3
                     MR. CAMERON: Sorry, go ahead Marcy.
 4
 5
                     MS. OKADA: Oh. Good morning. This is
 6
    Marcy Okada, Subsistence Coordinator for Gates of the
 7
    Arctic National Park and Preserve.
 8
 9
                     REPORTER: Hi Marcy.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Marcy.
12
    Matt, go ahead.
13
14
                     MR. CAMERON: This is Matt Cameron,
15
    Wildlife Biologist with the National Park Service,
16
    Gates of the Arctic. Nice to hear everyone's voice on
17
    the call today.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good to hear you.
20
    Any other Park Service.
21
22
                     MR. DEACY: Good morning. This is Will
23
    Deacy, Biologist with National Park Service.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Will.
26
27
                     MS. JOCHUM: Good morning. This is Kim
     Jochum, Acting Subsistence Program Manager at the
28
29
    Regional Office in Anchorage.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Kim.
32
33
                     MS. FLOREY: Good morning. This is
34
    Victoria Florey, Subsistence Program Analyst at the
35
    Regional Office.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning,
38
    Victoria. Other Park Service people.
39
40
                     (Teleconference interference -
41
    participants not muted)
42
43
                     (No comments)
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don't hear any.
    Bureau of Land Management -- oh, go ahead, did we have
46
47
     another one, Park Service.
48
49
                     (No comments)
50
```

```
0012
 1
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I heard somebody say
 2
    something. So we're looking for Park Service, people
    who are on the call.
 4
 5
                     (No comments)
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, we don't have
     any of those, I don't hear any more. So that would be
 8
 9
    Bureau of Land Management, BLM.
10
11
                     MR. LAMARR: Hello. This is Tim LaMarr
12
    with the Central Yukon Field Office.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Tim.
15
16
                     MR. SEPPI: Good morning.
17
18
                     MR. LAMARR: Good morning.
19
20
                     MR. SEPPI: This is Bruce Seppi,
21
     Wildlife Biologist with the Anchorage Field Office,
22
     BLM.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Bruce.
25
26
                     MR. MCKEE: Good morning. This is
27
    Chris McKee, Subsistence Coordinator with BLM out of
28
    the Anchorage Office.
29
30
                     (Teleconference interference -
31
    participants not muted)
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Chris.
34
35
                     MR. GUSSEY: Good morning. This is
36
     Walker Gussey, Law Enforcement out of the Anchorage
37
     Field Office.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Getting a little bit
     of background -- that last person, could you say your
40
41
     name again. Getting background noise, you have to mute
42
     out after you talk. So.
43
44
                     MR. GUSSEY: Sure. This is Walker
    Gussey out of the Anchorage Field Office.
45
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Walker.
48
49
                     MS. MCMILLAN: Jennifer McMillan,
50
```

```
0013
     Ecologist for the Central Yukon Field Office.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Good morning,
 4
     Jenn.
 5
 6
                     MS. MCMILLAN: Good morning.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any more BLM people.
 9
10
                     MS. JULIANUS: Yeah, this is Erin
11
     Julianus, Wildlife Biologist, BLM Central Yukon Field
12
     Office.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Erin.
15
    Any more BLM.
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don't hear any.
20
    Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
21
22
                     MR. STOUT: Good morning. This is
23
     Glenn Stout, Galena Area Biologist for the Alaska
24
     Department of Fish and Game.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Glenn.
27
28
                     MS. JALLEN: Yeah, good morning, Jack.
29
    This is Deena Jallen, the Yukon River Summer Season
30
    Manager for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Deena.
33
34
                     MR. BURCH: Good morning. This is Mark
35
    Burch with the Department of Fish and Game in the
36
    Palmer Office.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Mark.
39
40
                     MS. LONGSON: Good morning. This is
41
     Sara Longson with the Alaska Department of Fish and
42
     Game, I'm the Assistant Galena Area Biologist.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Sara.
45
46
                     MS. GLEASON: Good morning.
47
    Christie Gleason, Yukon River Fall Season Manager with
48
     Fish and Game in Fairbanks.
49
```

```
0014
 1
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning,
 2
    Christie.
 4
                     MR. RANSBURY: This is Shane Ransbury,
 5
    the Assistant Manager for Fall Season on the Yukon
 6
    River for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Shane.
 9
10
                     MS. STUBY: Good morning, everyone.
11
    This is Lisa Stuby with Division of Sportfish, Yukon
12
    Area Management Biologist.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Lisa.
15
    Any other Fish and Game people on the call.
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I don't hear any
20
    more. Is there any more agencies, Katya, that I
21
    missed. Do we have TCC people on the call, tribal
22
    organizations.
23
24
                     MR. ERVIN:
                                  Hi, can you hear me?
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, go ahead.
27
28
                     MR. ERVIN: Thank you, Chair. This is
29
    Bruce Ervin with Tanana Chiefs Conference, the Tribal
30
    Resource Stewardship Program. Thank you.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning.
33
                     MR. SIMON: Good morning, Jack and
34
35
    Council members. Jim Simon with the Tribal Resource
36
     Stewardship Program at TCC.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, good morning,
39
     Jim. Other tribal organizations.
40
41
                     MS. PETRIVELLI: Mr. Chair, this is Pat
42
     Petrivelli with the Bureau of Indian Affairs,
43
     Subsistence Anthropologist out of Anchorage.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I didn't see that
46
    here, go -- yes, good to hear you Pat.
47
48
                     MR. RISDAHL: Mr. Chairman, this is
49
     Greg Risdahl. The InterAgency Staff Committee Member
50
```

```
0015
 1
    for the Forest Service and Subsistence Program Lead.
 2
     Thank you.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Greg.
 5
 6
                     MS. SCHOMOGYI: Good morning. This is
 7
    Terese Schomogyi with the Kuskokwim River InterTribal
 8
    Fish Commission.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I missed your first
11
    name.
12
13
                     MS. SCHOMOGYI: My first name is
14
    Terese.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Terese. Good
17
    morning.
18
19
                     MS. MONCRIEFF: Good morning. This is
20
     Catherine Moncrieff with the Yukon River Drainage
21
    Fisheries Association and Serena Fitka will also be
    joining the call. She'll be in and out today and
22
23
    tomorrow.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Good morning,
26
    Catherine.
27
28
                     MS. MONCRIEFF: Good morning.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any other
31
    organization people on the call, individuals, any
32
    public members.
33
34
                     (No comments)
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I think that
37
     covers everybody. So we've had our introductions of
     guests. We'll do an adoption of the agenda, would you
38
39
     like to help me out on this one Katya.
40
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes, thank you, Mr.
41
42
    Chair. Before we actually proceed with the agenda I
43
    would like to make one announcement, is that okay Mr.
44
    Chair?
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, please do.
47
48
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes, I would like to let
49
    the Council members know and the meeting participants
50
```

that the Western Interior Council Coordinator, Karen Deatherage, retired as of yesterday and I also would like to introduce the new Council Coordinator for the Western Interior. She introduced herself earlier, this is Nissa Pilcher. She will be the new Coordinator for the Western Interior Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. So today Nissa will be -- I will be coordinating the meeting today. Katya Wessels will be coordinating the meeting today and Nissa just will be listening and then she will proceed with working with the Council after the meeting is over.

So now we'll move on to review and adopt the agenda. And there -- Mr. Chair, I think we need to have first the -- well, first I'll suggest to which additions we need to have to the agenda because the agenda that was distributed originally there are several other items that came up under the old business. It is proposed to add a presentation from AIDEA on Ambler Access Project update.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes.

MS. WESSELS: It is proposed to add under the new business after the call for Western Arctic Herd Working Group fish and shellfish proposals to add call for nonrural determination proposals and also fisheries closure review discussion. Those two items will be presented by OSM.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MS. WESSELS: There are several items that are proposed to be added under the agency reports. We're proposing to add bycatch updates that will be given by the North Pacific Fishery Management Council.

Also the U.S. Fish and Wildlife is going to provide Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge Kuskokwim River Salmon and Refuge report.

Also a joint letter for review of the Council and adoption on bycatch. This is a joint letter between Yukon Kuskokwim Delta, Western Interior and Eastern Interior Councils. We have a draft that was distributed to the Council.

 $\,$ Also we are proposing to add Fisheries Special Action Request 21-01. Closure to non-

```
0017
 1
     Federally-qualified users.
 2
 3
                     Then the BLM is going to provide
 4
     information on the dall sheep, also proposed under the
 5
     agency reports.
 6
 7
                     And additionally we are proposing to
 8
     add under the agency reports Special Action Request for
 9
     dall sheep in Unit 24A and 26B.
10
11
                     So these are all the additions that
12
     we're proposing to add to the agenda. I know that's a
13
     lot but that is the proposal.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Katya.
16
     Those are agenda items that came up after the original
17
     agenda was developed weeks ago. And there's some
18
     information that's come up recently during Advisory
19
    Committee meetings and so forth that we were trying to
20
     get like presentations and so forth. So those are the
21
     agenda insertions.
22
23
                     The Chair will entertain a motion to
24
     adopt this agenda as modified.
25
26
                     MR. HONEA: Mr. Chair, I make that
27
     motion with the added items on the agenda.
28
     Don.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                       Okay.
                                               Thanks Don.
31
32
                     MR. VENT: This is Darrell, I second
33
     it.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right, Don moves
36
     to adopt the agenda as modified, do we have a second.
37
38
                     MR. VENT:
                                This is Darrell, I second.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Darrell seconds.
41
    Any discussion or additions to the agenda.
42
43
                     (No comments)
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hearing no
46
     additions....
47
48
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, this is
49
    Kevin.
50
```

0018	
1	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.
2	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. WHITWORTH: I don't know if I missed it. This is Kevin, Mr. Chair, I'm not sure if I missed it but the addition of the Special Action Request for dall sheep, was that part of the amendments, I don't recall? That was something you had brought up in a conversation, dall sheep.
10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes. That was under the Bureau of Land Management on the third page, it'll be Special Action Request for dall sheep in Units Game Management Units 24A and 24B.
15 16 17	MR. WHITWORTH: Okay. Got it. Sorry about that, thanks.
18	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And during that
19	discussion we will be talking about 19
20	
21	(Teleconference interference -
22	participants not muted)
23 24 25 26 27 28 29	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:or Alaska Range sheep also so that will give a forum for that discussion also, Kevin. So those agenda additions are clear to the Council, do we have a question on the agenda.
30	(No comments)
31	
32 33	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Somebody want to call question.
34	call question.
35	MR. DEMOSKI: Question.
36	
37	MR. VENT: Call for question.
38 39	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Question's called.
40	Those in favor of the agenda as modified read into the
41	record by Katya Wessels, signify by saying aye.
42 43	IN UNISON: Aye.
44	
45	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Opposed, same sign.
46	
47	(No opposing votes)
48 49	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So agenda is
50	ominam mimorr. So agenda is

```
0019
     adopted. We're moving to election of officers, the
     Chair's up for reelection so I turn that over to Katya
     for the selection process. So go ahead, Katya.
 5
                     MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 6
     For the record my name is Katya Wessels, and I'm the
 7
     Council Coordination Division Supervisor and I serve as
     the Designated Federal Officer at this meeting.
 9
10
                     In accordance with the Council's
11
     charter, Council members elect a Chair, Vice Chair and
     a Secretary for one year term. The term usually starts
12
13
     at the beginning of the calendar year. So I would like
14
     to remind the Council that Council meetings are usually
15
     run under the Robert's Rules of Order and the Robert's
     Rules of Order instructs that when we open the
16
17
     nomination, the nominations need not to be seconded.
18
     So you can just nominate a candidate and that's it.
19
20
                     So at this point I would like to open
21
     the floor for nominations of the Council's Chair.
22
23
                     MR. VENT: This is Darrell, I nominate
24
     Jack Reakoff.
25
26
                     MS. WESSELS: Okay. There's a.....
27
28
                     MR. HONEA: This is Don, I second.
29
                     MS. WESSELS: .....nomination for Jack
30
31
     Reakoff. Any other nominations.
32
33
                     MR. HONEA: No, but this is Don and I
34
     second that nomination.
35
36
                     MS. WESSELS: Okay. So the nominations
37
     don't need to be seconded, but if there is no other
     nominations for the Council Chair, I would like to put
38
39
     this nomination to a vote. All in favor of nominating
     Jack Reakoff as the Council Chair say aye.
40
41
42
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Those opposed, same
45
     sign.
46
47
                     (No opposing votes)
48
49
                     MS. WESSELS: There were no votes in
50
```

```
0020
     opposition. Mr. Reakoff was elected as the Council's
     Chair. Now I will pass the gavel to Mr. Chair.
 2
 3
 4
                     Thank you.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Katya.
 7
     Thank you, Council. So we are moving to Vice Chair.
     So I'll open the floor for nominations for Vice Chair
 8
     to the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council. You
 9
10
     don't need to second, just make a nomination.
11
12
                     MR. HONEA: Mr. Chair, I'd like to
13
     nominate Jenny Pelkola.
14
15
                     MR. SIMON: Second.
16
17
                     REPORTER: Who was that that seconded,
18
     Pollock, was that you.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: There's no need for
21
              So Jenny Pelkola. Any other nominations.
     seconds.
22
23
                     (No comments)
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So apparently none,
26
     so we'll come to vote. Those in favor of Jenny Pelkola
27
    being our Vice Chair to the Western Interior Regional
28
     Advisory Council signify by saying aye.
29
30
                     IN UNISON:
                                Aye.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Opposed, same sign.
33
34
                     (No opposing votes)
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hearing none,
37
     unanimous Jenny as Vice Chair. Secretary. I'll open
     the floor for nominations for Secretary to the Western
38
39
     Interior Regional Advisory Council.
40
41
                     MR. GERVAIS: Mr. Chair, this is Tim.
42
    I'd like to nominate Darrell Vent.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right, Darrell.
45
46
                     MR. SIMON: Second.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do we have any other
49
    nominations.
```

0021	
1 2	(No comments)
3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hearing none, we'll go to vote on that. Those in favor of Darrell as Secretary for the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council signify by saying aye.
8	IN UNISON: Aye.
10 11	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: opposed, same sign.
12 13	(No opposing votes)
13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So that's the elections have been completed. So we have myself, Chair; Jenny as Vice Chair; and Darrell Vent as the Secretary.
19 20 21 22 23	So review and approve of the previous minutes that would be found under Tab 3. And all of the Council members, did you receive your meeting material book, I received mine awhile ago, maybe like two weeks ago, at least, plenty of time.
24 25	MR. HONEA: Same.
26 27 28 29 30	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So who didn't get their meeting packet of Council members. Did Rebecca come on this call, Wilmarth.
31 32	(No comments)
33 34 35	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Is she still not present. Have you gotten a hold of her Katya?
36 37 38	MS. WESSELS: Sorry, I had my phone on mute. I have not gotten a hold of her yet.
39 40	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
41 42 43	$\ensuremath{MS.}$ WESSELS: I am going to try again in a few minutes.
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So everybody has their packet because nobody said they didn't get their packet. So we have the minutes from our past meeting on Tab 3. These look fairly thorough. I don't see anything that I can need to address. Anybody see something to address in the minutes from the

```
0022
     teleconference on October 13 and 14.
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any insertions,
 6
     deletions to these minutes, Council members.
 7
 8
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Looks good to me, Mr.
 9
     Chair.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Other Council
12
    member comments. So I've had these -- I got mine -- I
13
     got probably the slowest mail service and so I've had
14
    my book for two weeks so the Council should have had
15
     time to read these, plenty of lead time. So the Chair
     will entertain a motion to adopt the minutes from our
16
17
     October 13, 14 meeting 2021 by teleconference.
18
19
                     MR. GERVAIS: So moved by Tim.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Tim motions to
22
     adopt.
23
24
                     MR. VENT:
                                Second by Darrell.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Seconded by Darrell.
27
    Any further discussion on the minutes.
28
29
                     (No comments)
30
31
                     MR. HONEA: Call for the question.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Question's called by
34
     Don. Those in favor of adopting the minutes from our
35
     2021 October 13 and 14 meeting by teleconference
36
     signify by saying aye.
37
38
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Opposed.
41
42
                     (No opposing votes)
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we've adopted the
45
    minutes from our last meeting. Council members.....
46
47
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, this is
48
     Kevin.
49
50
```

```
0023
 1
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, Kevin, go ahead.
 2
 3
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Before we start the
 4
     next agenda item I've got a question about our new
 5
     agenda -- sorry to go back to the new modified agenda.
 6
     But I was just wondering, there was enough
 7
    modifications to the agenda and my agenda just seems --
     I wasn't able to keep up, could somebody at OSM send us
 9
     an updated agenda with those amendments by email. It
10
     would be helpful as new business items come up.
11
12
                     (Teleconference interference -
13
    participants not muted)
14
15
                     MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, this is Katya.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, go head, Katya.
18
19
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes, I can send the
20
     updated agenda to the Council members.
21
22
                     (Teleconference interference -
23
     participants not muted)
24
25
                     MS. WESSELS: I will do it within the
26
    next five to 10 minutes.
27
28
                     REPORTER: Okay, hang on.
                                                This is
     Tina, the court reporter.
29
30
31
                     MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Tina. We have
32
     somebody who is not muted and are having a background
33
     conversation. Please, everyone on the meeting, mute
34
    your phones when you're not speaking. You need to
35
    press star, six to mute your phone, you need to press
36
     star, six to unmute yourself. Please be respectful to
37
     others that are speaking.
38
39
                     And to answer Mr. Whitworth's question,
40
     I will be able to email the agenda to the Council
41
    members the updated agenda within the next five to 10
42
    minutes.
43
44
                     Thank you.
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And you can --
46
47
     Pollock doesn't have email so you can send that to the
48
     tribal office and maybe they could run that updated
```

agenda over to him at Allakaket Tribal Office.

49

```
0024
 1
                    MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you very much.
 2
 3
                    MS. WESSELS: Okay. I will try to do
 4
    that as well.
 5
 6
                    CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Pollock, is that the
 7
    best way to get you an updated agenda.
 8
 9
                    MR. SIMON: Yeah, send it to the
10
    Council.
11
12
                    CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, send it to the
     Tribal Council, and so you have that email, Katya, for
13
14
    the Tribal Council at Allakaket?
15
16
                     MS. WESSELS: I do not. I do not.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, so it can be
19
    Googled, it's there somewheres.
20
21
                     MS. WESSELS: Okay. I will find it.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Can somebody at OSM
24
     can transfer that email address for the Allakaket
25
    Tribal Council to Katya so she can send the updated
26
    agenda to Pollock. So thank you for pointing that
27
    Kevin, I appreciate that. It is considerably modified
28
     so it'd be good to have it before all of the Council
29
    members.
30
31
                     So we're into -- any other issues you
32
    want to talk about Kevin.
33
34
                    MR. WHITWORTH: No, that's it. Thank
35
    you very much, and thanks for doing that, appreciate
36
    it.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Not a problem. No,
39
    that was great. I should have said that. So Council
40
    members reports and so we usually go down our roster
41
    here. Rebecca hasn't called in yet. And, Don Honea is
42
    -- so, Don, you want to give your Council member
43
    report.
44
45
                     (No comments)
46
47
                    CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You there, Don.
48
49
                    MR. HONEA: Hello.
```

0025 1 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So, Don, are you 2 there? 3 4 MR. HONEA: Yeah, could you hear me 5 now? 6 7 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Can hear you now so 8 go ahead and give your Council member report. 9 10 MR. HONEA: Okay. Hey, it's good to be 11 I don't like this and you know I'm just -- I 12 do not like teleconferences. But, hey, this is -- I 13 resigned for -- for this particular seat. 14 15 I'd like to thank Karen Deatherage for 16 her outstanding work and contacting us throughout the 17 year, throughout the few years she's been our Coordinator. She'd been really helpful, and helpful 18 19 especially to me in the things that I needed 20 information from. 21 22 And welcome Nissa aboard as our 23 Coordinator. You know she used to be the ADF&G working 24 there with our Advisory Councils, our local Advisory 25 Councils and I appreciated her help. 26 27 We've had a pretty good fall season 28 here. I think everybody got moose and such. And the 29 winter, I guess is no different from where most of our 30 Board members are from. We've got deep snow here. A 31 lot of -- probably a lot of moose predation. Some 32 locals have taken some moose and -- I mean taken some 33 wolves. So you try to call those numbers and I $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ I 34 just know about the population and speaking with our 35 local -- with the Refuge here, I'm keeping up on the numbers on the Novi, I -- and it's looking -- we've 36 37 always been -- I've always been very cautious about the 38 numbers but there's nothing to justi -- well, seems 39 wolf predation or something and I just hope that each 40 village has their own kind of predation there, some 41 kind of initiative to go out there and cull those wolf 42 packs. 43 44 Okay, thank you, that's about it. 45 46 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks, Don. 47 And, Pollock, would you like to give us your Council

48

49 50 member report.

```
0026
 1
                     MR. SIMON: Yeah, this is Pollock, can
 2
    you hear me?
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We can hear you
 5
     great, Pollock, go right ahead.
 6
 7
                     MR. SIMON: Okay. I'll give a report.
 8
     It's been cold, January has been cold here and a lot of
     50 below every morning, it's been 50 below this morning
 9
10
     and it's okay, we have to wrestle with the firewood a
11
     lot and getting tired of it.
12
13
                     But this summer there wasn't much -- no
14
     fish, that's a problem. No chum salmon. No king
15
     salmon. And moose is population's down a little bit
     yet. It takes the wolf (indiscernible) hunts a little
16
17
    bit. Again, this year there's no caribou so kind of
     tough time for meat. Not everybody got moose so if
18
19
     somebody got moose they passed it around and share it.
20
21
                     But otherwise it's okay here.
22
23
                     I kind of don't like this phone
24
     teleconference. Sometimes I have a hard time to call
25
     in....
26
27
                     (Teleconference interference -
28
    participants not muted)
29
30
                     MR. SIMON: Sometimes it's hard to dial
31
     star, six and I get too late -- I'll be glad when we
32
     sit down at a meeting face to face.
33
34
                     Okay, that's it, Jack, thank you.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks,
37
     Pollock. Yeah, nobody likes these conference calls,
     they're really hard to deal with but that's what we
38
39
     have to do right now. So hopefully next meeting we'll
40
     have face to face. So thanks, Pollock, appreciate
41
     that.
42
43
                     So then we got Kevin Whitworth, you
44
     want to give us your Council member report, how it's
45
     going there for you.
46
47
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Hi, Mr. Chair, this is
48
     Kevin.
49
```

1 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Kevin.
2
3 MR. WHITWORTH: Can you hear me?
4
5 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, go ahead.
6
7 MR. WHITWORTH: I'll just take a moment
8 too, I'd like to appreciate everything Karen did for

too, I'd like to appreciate everything Karen did for this Council, thank you, and good luck in you retirement. I also want to welcome Nissa, looking forward to working with her in the future.

Some of my concerns haven't changed from our last RAC but there are -- I do want to share them again.

You know the Mulchatna Caribou Herd out in the lower Kuskokwim is struggling. Pollock just mentioned the Northwest Arctic Herd is not doing well and he's not seeing caribou up in his area. That is a concern of mine also.

Also the sheep up in the Alaska range, east of McGrath here, most of that is Game Management Unit 19, it's been two years now, they've really struggled. That herd is struggling and it's just — this winter, December, during Christmas, we had — I don't even know how much rain we had but I know rain events are hard on sheep, that's what I've been told by a number of hunters and guides and stuff, people who are more familiar than I am with sheep, but it was a significant event in December. Also we just had a couple, more rain just three weeks ago or so. So after two years of struggling, those sheep are, and this winter, I believe they're going to be — it's going to be hard to grow that herd.

Also on top of all the wildlife issues there is some areas with low moose, like Pollock had mentioned, up in the Koyukuk, where he's from -- but, anyhow, I do have concerns with chinook salmon on both the Yukon and Kuskokwim River. It's been a decade now and we've got lots of restrictions up and down the Kuskokwim and the Yukon River. The Yukon River was shut down last year. The chum salmon crash, it's been two years, it's a drastic collapse basically of the chum salmon run. This is -- most people kind of turn their nose up on chum but it's a highly utilized fish, a lot of people use chum on the Yukon and Kuskokwim

River. And not only that I believe the chinook and chum salmon, I've heard a lot of people say this, those are keystone species to these ecosystems. So not getting that nutrition up into those headwater streams, these areas are struggling. So grizzly bears are now targeting moose and caribou potentially. I've heard it on the Yukon and people here in the upper Kuskokwim saying that there was a lot of grizzlies up in the -in the falltime, they were hunting moose which is not usual. Just outside of McGrath, just a few miles, there was a grizzly bear hunting moose which is -- that is very unusual for this area and it's -- I really do think it's because of the salmon crash and collapse of the ecosystem.

All these issues are food security issues for the people up and down both rivers. That's very concerning to me, and I'm just going to leave it at that.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks for that report. Yes, we heard that -- Koyukuk River Advisory met again yesterday, we hear that same thing, brown bears walking up and down the beaches way far away from salmon streams and targeting moose and other bears, you know, they were -- one of the bears was -- some hunters went to a black bear den and the bear was all tore up and chewed up by a grizzly, had been fighting with a grizzly and the meat was shot. We keep hearing this this year about the brown bear targeting other animals because of the salmon collapse.

So, Arnold.

MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, that's.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Kevin,

40 yes.

MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah, and that brings up another point, too, I forgot to mention. Normally there is a group of people here in McGrath they hunt black bears and last spring when a lot of people go out for black bears they were able to catch just one or two. I mean usually 10 to 15 black bears would be taken in the spring, but this year those numbers — that's just off of memory of what I see on Facebook and people

```
0029
     I talk to so they're not real concrete numbers, but,
     anyhow, there was very few black bears and it could be
    because of these grizzly bears having to focus on
 4
     different things.
 5
 6
                     But that's all, thank you, Mr. Chair.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes. When we had a
 9
     meeting in Galena probably five, six years ago, Sidney
10
     Huntington was 100 years old showed up at our meeting
11
     and so we had him come up to the mic and he wanted to
12
     tell us, right before he died, he says, you have to
13
     start controlling these brown bears, they're going to
14
    eat all the moose, then they're going to eat all the
15
    black bears and they're going to control your lives.
    And he said it several times. And when Sidney said
16
17
     something you sat up and listened. Sidney's words are
18
    coming true. The brown bears are going after the
19
    moose, they're killing a lot of moose calves, killing a
20
    lot of adult moose, they're digging out the black
21
    bears, they're going to control our lives. And the
22
     Department of Fish and Game, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
23
     Service, they're not going to fix this issue, people
24
    have to start taking more brown bears. We have lots of
25
     seasons and lots of bag limit for brown bears, people
26
    have to start locking into taking more brown bears as
27
     another resource.
28
29
                     So thanks for those comments, Kevin, I
30
     appreciate that. But I have to reiterate what Sidney
31
     said that are actually prophesied what we're seeing
32
     right now.
33
34
                     So Arnold Demoski, you have a Council
35
     member report.
36
37
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Mr. Chair, can you hear
38
     me?
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, speak up a
41
     little bit, move closer to your mic.
42
43
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Okay, how's that, can you
44
     hear me good?
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: A little better, go
47
     ahead.
48
49
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Okay. So everything is
```

good around here. We're just hoping for some kind of fishing this summer, some salmon or some chum salmon at least. People are grateful for the salmon they got (indiscernible - cuts out) it's not the same as going out there and fishing for it though. People don't want to lose that part of their tradition. But they are grateful for the fish that we did receive.

7 8

5

6

9 So the Middle Yukon AC did authorize a 10 winter hunt for moose hunting here in our unit, in the 11 Kaiyuh Flats area. For the second straight year our moose population is doing fairly good, not great, but 12 13 it's doing good. We're doing really good with our 14 moose population because of the wolf hunters around 15 here. We implemented a wolf bounty program last winter, the Nulato Tribal Council did, and we're 16 17 implementing that for a second straight year so our 18 wolf hunters around here are doing really good taking 19 wolves. They -- I did talk to a couple of them, they 20 did note -- they did tell me that there's brown bears 21 moving into the Kaiyuh area now, I think they said 22 there was six brown -- or six grizzlies over there. So 23 they're knocking down the wolves but the bears are 24 moving in now so that's a concern for us around here. 25 I haven't seen many moose kills compared to last winter 26 so hopefully they're doing good in that area unless I 27 just haven't seen any -- the reason I see all of them 28 is from Facebook posts so I haven't seen any so maybe 29 -- maybe -- hopefully the moose are not taking a huge 30 hit. That's the only reason I know about the moose 31 kills is through Facebook or talking to people. But I 32 haven't heard or I haven't seen much on Facebook so 33 hopefully the moose are doing really good this winter, 34 surviving, we are doing a really good job with wolves 35 in our area for predator control, just knocking them 36 down. There's a pack below Galena that is a really 37 smart black wolf I heard that nobody can get, they said 38 that leader of that pack is a black wolf and he's 39 really big. They said it was a really smart wolf. 40 They've been trying to get it for years now so 41 hopefully they can get that wolf and, yeah, it just 42 sounds like a really smart wolf from what I've heard.

43 44

45 46

47 48 But everything else is good. We're just looking forward to -- we have a potlatch -- I'm sorry our annual traditional Stick Dance, looking forward to that. A lot of people will be here so I think we're approved for two moose to feed everybody to feed our villagers, to feed our family during that

time. So, yeah, everything's good.

Nobody's really trapping any beaver around here yet that I know of. Maybe there's a couple people but I just don't know of it, but I haven't heard anything.

That's all I have, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Arnold.

So we have Tim Gervais, go ahead, Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Good morning, Jack. Good morning Council. Good morning Staff members. I'd like to start off with thanking in advance, Jack, Jenny and Darrell for their service as officers in this upcoming year. I'm appreciative that Darrell and Tommy reapplied and were reappointed to the Council. I feel their information and experience and communication abilities are really a big plus to what we discuss and what we get done as a Council. So that was a big win for everybody.

I'd like to welcome Nissa as our Council Coordinator. Known Nissa for decades now with her work with our State, ADF&G Councils, and does a really great job and she's going to carry forward good administration work for the WIRAC. So that's a big plus too.

And, Karen, I don't know what you do on your first day of retirement, probably celebrate a lot or something, but wished Karen would have stuck around one more day so we could have said goodbye to her, but appreciated all her work and I know she did a lot of extra effort on my part getting me materials in really remote places where I'd been traveling over the years and good communication and she did a great job. So I wish her good health and enjoyable retirement.

The State of Alaska set up this salmon bycatch task force, which is meeting basically monthly for another year. The make up of the task force is —it's kind of skewed, it doesn't really have very much subsistence members, just — as far as I can tell just one fellow out of Port Lions that has a subsistence background. There is one fellow from Yukon, from Emmonak with, what is that, the CDQ group for Yukon

1 Delta, so I'm not familiar with that gentleman, I don't know how much he's going to push commercial fishing 2 over subsistence or put in an equal effort for the subsistence community. But there's several -- several 5 members on the task force are hard-core lobbyist and professionals in promoting the trawl industry and a 6 7 couple people are actual framers of the Amendment 91 that the North Pacific Management Council approved in, 8 9 I believe it was 2009, spring of 2009 and what -- it 10 took me a while for me to figure it out or realize it 11 but the way these amendments, you know, it came up as a 12 conservation proposal but as it came into regulation, 13 what it really is is just a way to legalize prohibited 14 species bycatch and it was a way for the trawl industry 15 to carry on and continue business as usual and not 16 affect their bottom line significantly but have the 17 public appearance that they were actually taking 18 conservation action. And here we are with 31 years 19 into that Bering Sea trawl fishery and we all know how 20 poor the king salmon stocks are on Kuskokwim River, 21 Yukon River, Norton Sound rivers, so it's not that 22 bycatch is the only thing but the bycatch out takes or 23 catches in the -- in the '90s and 2000s were 24 significant, Amendment 91 never had any provisions to 25 make up for those horrendous levels of bycatch and now 26 -- I mean it could -- it's just keeps kicking the can 27 down the road on the conservation but the end result is 28 Mother Nature doesn't lie and now the fish are gone, 29 and our oldest year class or potentially two year 30 classes are essentially extinct on the Yukon.

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

These are really bad, just terrible, terrible things that have happened during our watch, during our time as subsistence users on the river. So I -- there is, you know, naturally there's growing opposition to the bycatch but the trawl proponents are -- they're bringing up other issues such as global warming, the IUU, illegal under reported unregulated fishing, salmon sharks, I mean they all -- all these things have some level of effects on the salmon stocks but as a subsistence community and as a state there's only so much we can do with the global warming and climate change thing, it's really a problem, it's beyond us, other than probably it does save a lot of carbon monoxide production having us do these teleconferences instead of actually flying into a place, but, you know, out of those four main items that we talk about where it might be affecting the salmon stocks, the trawl bycatch in the Bering Sea and to an

extent the Gulf of Alaska, those -- that's the only avenue that's available to take action and eliminate some of the bycatch or the salmon laws. I've been surprised that, NOAA, North Pacific Management Council, ADF&G hasn't pushed for more of closing or severely restricting the trawl fisheries until we get something figured out because this -- the current way that the management and the public discourse is going where we just talk about it, and throw around ideas and keep the trawl fleet fishing nine or 10 months a year, that has pushed our salmon stocks in the wrong direction.

So I hope that actions such as the NOAA denied -- let me look at this reference here -- December 21st of last year was Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission, AVCP, Yukon River InterTribal Fish Commission, Bering Sea Elders Group, St. Paul Island, their petition was denied by the Secretary of Commerce and that was to -- let me get the wording here -- emergency action to eliminate chinook salmon bycatch and set up a cap on chum salmon bycatch.

So what I would want to convey to the members of this Council is, even though we've all lived it and we're experiencing it and we can't believe that the state we're in with these low salmon stocks, there's other people that are -- they just regard the salmon as a nuisance species and they don't understand the significance of what subsistence is to rural Alaska. I mean we've heard it in our own WIRAC meetings where Bill Twiet, Tweet, I'm sorry, I don't know the correct pronunciation of his last name, he's telling us directly that the State of Washington, which is his -- you know, he's like the Fish and Game representative for the State of Washington, they have no -- hardly any incentive to slow down the amount of trawl fishing because they feel that the economic value to their state is so important that they don't need to reduce their fishing time to allow the salmon stocks and a subsistence harvest to recover up to historic levels.

So I'm not an expert in all the public policy stuff but this -- the WIRAC, being on the Advisory Council, we -- that's all we are and we're not going to be able to dictate what happens but I would encourage all the members to discuss these issues with entities that do have more significant political powers such as the tribes and the communities and maybe with

their -- with a more higher level of political influence that these entities have maybe can make some change but we need to deal with this, right, we need to keep dealing with it. Like Kevin made a comment at the beginning of his presentation where he was bringing up some of the same issues as the last meeting, this bycatch issue's been -- we've been bringing it up since I joined the Council in the mid-2000s, I mean it's just -- for whatever -- the way the situation's unfolding is our arguments have been clear and reasonable but apparently at this time NOAA and the Administration, such as the Executive Branch of the State of Alaska, they don't want to slow down the trawl fishery. I'm just -- it's probably for economic reasons, I mean I'm not privy to their conversations or anything like that but we need to work with the Federal Subsistence Board and try to get as much -- convey as much urgency that we get our information on record with this salmon bycatch task force and we need to be beyond on the argument that we don't have any fish, we need to break out of this situation where we're talking, and studying and researching things while the bycatch is still occurring. I think the situation's drastic enough now that the fishing needs to be curtailed and then, yeah, have the public discourse and figure out how -- it's not sustainable.

 $\,$ And I'm sorry I'm taking a long time to get this presentation across.

But for a lot of years, especially like in North Pacific Management Council meetings people will go up and make testimony and say how these fisheries management in Alaska is model to the rest of the world, I mean it's a model, but some of the stuff we're successful with but one of the models that's being conveyed is that there's certain user groups and certain biologic populations of fish are insignificant to other stocks and other fisheries that make more money and are more economically powerful, have more political power and, therefore, they get thrown under the bus, not dealt with correctly or equitably, even when it comes to violating national standards.

So I just want to salute those organizations that filed the petition with NOAA, I think it was justified and timely and I hope that the Federal Subsistence Board can have some kind of discourse with NOAA and not let the issue die there but

we need to stay on it, we need to deal with it and we need to get every -- we don't have enough spare fish right now to be giving them away as bycatch in the Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska trawl fisheries.

All right, sorry to take so much time but it's an important topic that we're having a lot of trouble getting on top of.

 Oh, and one final thing, I talked to a couple fellows that are subsistence users in Kuskokwim Bay and they were saying that they've seen some very substantial sockeye catches this summer, more than they've ever seen in their lives. So that is one bright spot in a really dismal summer with the king salmon and chum salmon, that potentially this sockeye abundance in the Kuskokwim region is going to be increasing.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Tim. So I -- you're speaking about bycatch that affects our region, under .805, the Regional Councils provide -- under 3(b) of .805 provide a forum for expression of opinions and recommendations by persons interested in any matter related to subsistence uses of fish and wildlife within the region. And you're -- we're fully within our statutory authority to discuss this issue of bycatch which people -- that's extraterritorial, it's like, no, it's not, it's affecting our region. And so you're fully within your authority to have a discourse on that.

I do have one question for you, we do have significant bycatch in Area M of chum salmon, 950,000 chum salmon were taken in the June fishery. What's your opinions about that?

MR. GERVAIS: Okay, good question. I was in Area M in August and September and part of October and I was working out of False Pass and King Cove and got to meet a lot of those fishermen, the setnetters, drift fishermen and seiners and the general cure, I heard, is if they -- well, one thing is they said, if they can -- they had a lot of kings moving through their area in June so if they keep the fishery closed through early June and most of later part of June that allows most of the kings to travel through,

```
0036
 1
    whether they're going to Bristol Bay or Kuskokwim or
    Yukon. And then the chum, it's just -- they had a big
    year, they had a huge commercial year and it factors on
    the way the wind's blowing, the timing of the opening,
 5
     it was -- they just -- it all just worked out for them
    the way -- with the weather and the opening and I don't
 6
 7
     know, basically, you know, you have to close.....
 8
 9
                     (Teleconference interference -
10
    participants not muted)
11
12
                     MR. GERVAIS: .....commercial fishing
13
     down if you were trying to eliminate some bycatch.
14
     was surprised though the.....
15
16
                     (Teleconference interference -
17
     participants not muted - expletives)
18
19
                     MR. GERVAIS: I'm just waiting for
20
     somebody -- can somebody mute their phones please.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We got people on the
23
     phone....
24
25
                     REPORTER: Excuse me. Hey, hey,
26
    whoever's having the background conversation please
27
    check and mute your phone. We'd really appreciate it
28
     so we could hear the presentation.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Is anyone listening
31
     -- hello, hello, on that call.....
32
33
                     REPORTER: Excuse me, I do not think
34
    you want people listening to your conversation, please
35
    mute your phone now.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: .....can you hear
38
    me, mute your phone and stop your background
39
     conversation over the top of this meeting.
40
41
                     Thank you.
42
43
                     REPORTER: Thank you. It's star, six
44
     or mute on your phone. I mean I can go through and
     disconnect that line, I hate to do that but let's
45
46
     please be respectful of the people who are speaking.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: It's rudimentary,
49
    we're grown ups and we should be able to push star, six
50
```

to participate in this meeting or we're going to cut your line off.

So the issue is there was 950,000 chum salmon, my opinion is the Department of Fish and Game managers have to contemplate high bycatch, they start getting high bycatch of chum salmon when they have extremely suppressed populations on the Kuskokwim and Yukon. They need to adjust their openings, or work with this issue. This is a problem, losing that much fish to bycatch.

So I was asking you about that particular issue.

So that's....

MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, I do have one thing I want to add to that Jack.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, go ahead, Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Something, as I've thought about it, what could be done to figure it out is I wish that Fish and Game or whatever, some neutral entity or whoever, whatever is the best fit, there was more effort putting into the genetics on the -- if you're -- if you have a fish opening that targets sockeye or silvers or pinks and you end up catching kings or chums, whatever species you're trying to take the pressure off of, if the fishermen are catching those in significant quantities I would like to see genetic testing on that fish and find out who it is because every port you go into and every boat you talk with, they all have their own personal opinion on, oh, that fish is so and so's, or that fish is -- that's a Russian fish or that's a Columbia River fish, or that's a local fish, I was -- I was surprised and impressed, I didn't realize that those small streams, like the Fourmile, the Twentymile Sloughs that are in that area on the Lower Peninsula, they do have a lot of local production there. I didn't realize until I was down there but to get this bycatch -- one -- I don't know, sometimes like say in a commercial salmon fishery for Area M, that was maybe determined as interception and not bycatch because it is legal to catch salmon with these permits, but they have a potential interception issue I would like to see.....

0038	
1	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, Tim.
2	
3	MR. GERVAIS:genetics done
4	on
5	
6	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Tim.
7	
8	MR. GERVAIS:on a reasonable
9	amount of this fish so that the managers and the public
10	would know who's fish it is and if it is interception
11	or if it's locally caught. I think that's a good place
12	to get some real data on where that fish belongs.
13	
14	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We've spent long
15	enough on this one, it's warranted that we make a
16	recommendation for genetic composition of that
17	interception, the harvest of chum salmon and chinook
18	salmon in Area M, the Department's gone away from that,
19	they don't want to they want to stick their head in
20	the sand but we need to have that data so we can make
21	that recommendation.
22	
23	But I appreciate your report but we got
24	a lot of agenda in front of us and thanks so much, Tim,
25	I'm glad you're back on the call here this meeting and
26	you have important information for us.
27 28	Co did vo over got Jenny en Tem Vrieke
29	So did we ever get Jenny or Tom Kriska on this call. Are you there Jenny or Tom.
30	on this tail. Are you there benny of lom.
31	(No comments)
32	(No Condition Co.)
33	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I don't hear
34	anybody else. So we we've covered the present
35	Council members, did we get Rebecca on this call?
36	
37	MS. WILMARTH: Rebecca is here.
38	
39	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Rebecca. We're
40	taking Council member comments, and so as a Council
41	member we would like to hear your comments from your
42	perspective in your area, you represent your area on
43	the Kuskokwim River. Go ahead.
44	
45	MS. WILMARTH: I don't have any
46	comments at this time. I just got on about 30 seconds
47	ago.
48	
49	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh. So we started
50	

```
0039
    at 9:00 o'clock, we have had a lot of comments from
    Council members so far, we had elections, I was
    reelected as Chair of the Western Interior Regional
    Advisory Council, Jenny Pelkola is the Vice Chair and
    Darrell is the Secretary. So you -- do you have any
    issues -- I'm asking, do you have any issues, how
 6
 7
    deep's your snow, are people -- how is it going down
    there, that's the kind of stuff we like to know about
 9
     from your particular area, your perspective. You don't
10
    have any comment?
11
12
                     MS. WILMARTH: That's actually the
13
     reason I wasn't on at 9:00. We got about 14 inches of
14
    fresh snow and we're out cleaning off the AT&T long
15
    distance dish to get the phones back on. So we've got
    over 100 inches of snow here this winter and it's just
16
17
    been one blast after another so we had a very cold 30
18
    to 40 below most of November and so the ice on the
19
    river is quite thick, four to five feet thick, I would
20
    guess the last time we checked, and we've got a lot of
21
    snow on top of it. So it's going to be a wet spring
22
    we're thinking.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So did you --
25
     are you still hearing me?
26
27
                     (No comments)
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hello.
30
31
                     REPORTER: Yes, we are.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hello.
34
35
                     MS. WILMARTH: I'm here.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. My phone made
     a ton and I'm not sure if I'm losing my battery, let me
38
39
     switch phones here. So if we're going to continue
     these teleconferences, I'm going to have to have OSM
40
41
    buy us some phones because I can't keep up with them
42
     with my battery going dead here.
43
44
                     (Pause)
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Can you hear me now?
47
48
                     REPORTER: Yes.
```

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, good. So I switched phones, I got to charge this one up. So we -- did you have any other comments, Rebecca, your moose are belly deep, are people seeing wolf kills there also?

 MS. WILMARTH: Lots and lots of moose in the immediate area. It's not uncommon to see two or three or four or five even on the -- right on the river. We did have wolves hanging near the village, coming right into the village, a couple were taken right in the village. They seem to have moved on. They killed a moose on the river and didn't take anything but one hind quarter, and they haven't returned. So it looks like the growing population of moose have also brought the wolves in closer. But lots and lots of snow making it difficult, it looks like, for animals to move around.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So I appreciate your comments. And so we're on the Chair's report. And so I participated in the Western Interior Regional -- or Western Arctic Caribou Herd meeting which was in mid-December. The numbers of the Western Arctic Herd have fallen off, it was 206, went up to 249 or something.

MR. VENT: Hey, Jack, this is Darrell.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Darrell.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ VENT: Yeah, I could give a report after, too, whenever you are done.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, how did I miss you, oh, you're -- oh, you know what I got, I got an old roster, Darrell. Okay, yeah, Karen had an old roster here that's why you're not on my list. Go ahead, Darrell, sorry about that.

MR. VENT: All right. Up in the Huslia area we been noticing quite a few cow and calf kills. The packs have been getting a lot more in size. The snow is pretty deep so they're having an easier time gathering up, you know, it takes a few wolves to get a cow and calf together so we know there's quite a bit of them that take advantage of this snow this winter. So it's hitting them hard down around -- I think it was around Edwards Slough, down below Huslia, up above

Dulbi Slough, seen some of those, and then also up on the Huslia River side. So there's -- the snow is, you know, gotten deep and then it rained a little bit and so it's kind of cutting them up a little bit so they're kind of hindered when it happens like that and the wolves take advantage of that.

And the fish were, you know, talk a lot about bycatch, you know, I got some friends on the board for some of the fisheries out there and they're noticing that, you know, big money is talking, and we're having a hard time here because money beats all others right now and we're not able to compete for that fish for our cultural and traditional use. There's no way that you can go out into these international waters and say, you know, there's hatchery fish involved -not involved. I think there are more hatchery fish and they are real competitive when it comes to feeding on the natural Bering Sea area, or competing with our natural stocks. We're fighting a war -- I mean not a war, but we're fighting a big influence because other international areas are using hatchery stock fish to supplement their diets and it's really taking a toll with the bycatch, you know, it's just a piece of the problem. I think we have bigger problems; we have to learn to work together our there and try to solve these problems there.

Up in our area we're worried because we can't catch the chum no more. Which means we're getting more dependent on less foods available and to really give you a picture of what that means in our area is that about 1970s we lost our migration patterns for the caribou herds, we don't have caribou in our area no more, if we do have caribou, we have to go quite a ways to get it and it's not as fat as the fall caribou we used to have.

 And then on another note, we had trouble with our moose back in the '80s, '70s and we had to do aerial wolf hunting to curb down the predation and try to get our moose population back up.

And now recently we used to catch kings, but now we're limited on catching kings and now our chum salmon, our main staple has been hit pretty hard. This summer there was no fishing. That means that we had to depend on other foods. So, you know, you're cutting our food population right in half when

you do that, if there's no management on it, we're having a tough issue here with this management problem. I think there's got to be an answer to it but we have to start working together to try to figure out this problem here. That means that, you know, we're relying on whitefish and sheefish and moose and we're having a tough year this year because the moose population is getting hit from two different types of predations. Up in the Huslia area the moose start coming out from the -- I mean the grizzlies start coming out from the mountains, they don't usually do that. They usually try to stay away from humans but now that they have to compete they have to come in and start looking for calves in our area and there's been some real -- I noticed, you know, that the cows, they've been coming out on the water and they've been staying there, there's a problem there. Those bears are getting those calves right away. So they're highly effective hunters. And the wolves, they've been getting the both of them.

Oh, hold on a second -- I'm in a meeting right now.

I'm sorry about that I had to answer my door here, I had -- I can't afford to use internet at home, I had to come into Fairbanks to use the internet, we're not fortunate to, you know, get all this information that we need here.

But, you know, speaking on top of those topics there, you know, I know how everybody else is being affected by this predation, money, you know, and it's really starting to show that we are having problems and have to try to correct these. So whether it's, you know, putting in emergency closures or if that's going to help or try to work at the international level.

Some of these are really important topics and we need to make sure that we discuss a lot of this and see what we can do for the village -- people in the rural areas also, you know, but I think we're really getting hit because we really rely on these foods here for our staple and economy. We don't -- a lot of people I know that live in the villages, they don't have a lot of jobs there so they rely heavily on these traditional and cultural foods.

 I just want to stress, you know, that we need to start dialogue with the State and the Federal government on trying to sit together and hash out all these conversations, whether it be consultation, or -- because, you know, I notice the Kuskokwim, they're into consultation and on the Yukon River we have a variety of State and Federal, tribal and private lands.

So I thank you, Jack, for letting me speak, you know. And also thank you for the opportunity to be on the WIRAC again, you know, I always had my heart into it to speak for our people here in the Huslia area, or the Koyukuk River area, I guess you could say, and it's -- I'm just glad to be back on.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Darrell. Yeah, we were baffled why you were taken off to start with and it was a long process trying to get you back on here again. You're a real good Council member. So appreciate you serving again.

I was in my Chair's report. I participated in the Western Arctic Caribou meeting on the phone and our representative, Pollock, wasn't there, and so then I actually participated in the meeting. That herd has gone from -- dropped to 207, went up to 249 or so and now it's back at 188,000. That's a real concern.d There's 12,000 harvest, there's lots of cow harvest. During that meeting one of the representatives, Charlie Lean, put a proposal forward for consideration to reduce the cow harvest to 25 percent of the overall harvest, the villages of the NANA region voted against that. They got to get it through their head they can't keep killing cow caribou at these rates, that herd is going to go way down if we keep doing this. So I'm concerned about the Western Arctic Herd.

The Porcupine Herd is large and the Porcupine Herd this year -- for four years it came up to the Dalton Highway and they don't like that road, they really don't like that road. You can watch them bounce back. Traffic comes, they move back. They try to sneak up, they get pushed back. There's constant traffic on this road with trucks and vehicles and so

forth. So this was the first year that the Western Arctic -- or the Porcupine Caribou Herd crossed from Coldfoot all the way to Finger Mountain, which is about an 80 mile section. And there was a few hundred, or possibly low thousands of caribou went, not too far to the west of the road and we got really deep snow here in December and those caribou went back.

We had one snow fall in mid-December that was 41.5 inches in a 30 hour period. It was up to my chest in snow in one fall. The most snow I've ever seen fall. The wind didn't blow. These mountains were covered in snow. The sheep were basically over their backs in snow. And so they -- then the wind come up and -- we didn't get the rain and snow. We didn't get the rain like happened in the Lower Koyukuk and down to the Yukon River, the Yukon Flats didn't get that rain either. Fairbanks, and everybody to the south, and it was brutal in the Kuskokwim -- Upper Kuskokwim with the flooding, literally flooded lakes and flooded rivers. That was amazing. That's super detrimental for the sheep population. Our sheep population here took two bad winters, '18/19, 19/20 rain on snow in October, rain on snow in March and April, decimated the sheep population. And I'm super concerned about the Alaska Range sheep also within our region.

So we had so far 99 inches of snow, just like Rebecca was talking about, and so -- but it settled down and the moose are -- it's drier snow, the moose are getting through the snow but there's virtually no calves here. You see very few moose calves. We have high bear predation. My wife and I killed a black bear up on the side of the mountain eating blueberries in September, but when I fleshed that bear skin there was moose calf hair coming out of the bear's fur. So those black or grizzly bears take moose calves and they're very good at catching those moose calves.

So the old-timers used to say if you catch a moose or a caribou it's your obligation to take a bear or a wolf. So people are going to have to start, like if you see a bear, I got to go over there and get this bear, people have to start taking more bears. It's incumbent upon the subsistence users to take some of this predation off of our food resources. Just like Sidney Huntington was saying.

0045 1 So I see very few yearling moose, two year olds, three year olds, basically with the moose 2 population, the bulls that we have here, which reflects the moose population, is basically four, five, six year 5 old bulls. There's no older moose, there's no younger moose, not a whole lot, there's a few. And so our 6 7 moose population is doing okay but the sheep didn't need another deep snow year here. And so we're going 9 to be talking about sheep later on. 10 11 Karen was locked in and was -- Karen 12 Deatherage was doing really well here and was working 13 with us routinely right up until yesterday when she 14 decided to retire. I'm really happy that Nissa has 15 been assigned to this Council because I worked with Nissa for years with the Koyukuk River Advisory 16 17 Committee, she's worked with a lot of the Advisory Committees, everybody knows her because she's worked 18 19 with all the Interior Advisory Committees. I'm really 20 super happy, she's a very detailed oriented person, 21 she's very on task and so I'm really happy to have 22 Nissa as our new Coordinator, so welcome to our 23 Council, Nissa. 24 25 And so we -- that would be my report. 26 And so any Council member comments on the Council 27 member's reports at this point. 28 29 MR. SIMON: This is Pollock. 30 31 MR. DEMOSKI: Mr. Chair, this is 32 Arnold. 33 34 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So Pollock. 35 36 MR. DEMOSKI: Oh, go ahead, Pollock, 37 yes. 38 39 MR. SIMON: Yes. 40 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead Pollock. 41 42 43 MR. SIMON: During the workshop meeting 44 I had trouble with my phone, I couldn't star, seven and 45 couldn't get on, I was there but you didn't hear me.

And I wanted to comment on the caribou, the State needs to do some predator control on wolf and take some bears away, that's what keeps killing this

46 47

48

49

```
0046
 1
    caribou.
 2
 3
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Pollock, yeah,
 6
    Caufield couldn't raise you at all.....
 7
 8
                     (Teleconference interference -
 9
    participants not muted)
10
11
                     MS. WESSELS: Mr....
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: .....and so I just
14
     stepped up to the plate there. So any other Council
15
    member comments on the discussions we've had with the
16
     Council member's reports this morning.
17
18
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is
19
    Arnold.
20
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Arnold, go ahead.
21
22
23
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Thank you. Yeah, no, I
24
     just wanted to say -- I wanted to thank Karen -- for
25
     the record I just wanted to thank Karen for her years
26
     as the Coordinator for the WIRAC. I didn't get to know
27
    her very much but I just want to welcome Nissa too.
     I've known Nissa for a few years so it's good to have
28
29
    her aboard. Yeah, but, I just wanted to put that on
30
    record, that I wanted to thank Karen for her years.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Thanks,
33
    Arnold. Did somebody else wanted to say something.
34
35
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes, Mr. Chair, this is
36
    Katya Wessels.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Katya.
39
40
                     MS. WESSELS: I was wondering if I can
41
    provide an update on the Council charter and the
42
    Council application and nomination open season, it'll
43
     just take a couple of minutes.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, go right
46
     ahead.
47
48
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes, so as the Council
49
    members right remember last year you discussed your
50
```

1 Council charter, you reviewed it.

And what I would like to share with you is all 10 Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils in Alaska, they were chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act. So charters are reviewed every two years. And last year the Councils, especially your Council also proposed some changes. So the Federal Subsistence Board supported most of the Council's proposed changes and forwarded its recommendations to the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture for review approval. So nine of the 10 Councils wanted to add the carryover term clause to all Council charters to avoid temporary vacancies on the Councils that occur between the expiration of the current member terms and the annual set of Secretarial appointments. The Secretaries supported this change request. Now, if you look at your charter, there is a clause on the carryover terms that was added. It can be found in Section 12, membership and designation, and the added language reads:

If appointments for a given year have not yet been announced, a member may continue to serve on the Council following the expiration of his or her term until such appointments have been made. Unless reappointed, the members service ends on the date of announcement, even if that member specific seat remains unfilled.

So what it basically means in lay language, if we don't get the appointments from the Secretaries by the time your winter meeting starts, the Council members, you know, that were serving on the Council the previous year and who's terms expired in December, they can still serve on the Council during the winter meeting until the Secretarial appointments are made.

 There was also another change to your charter in the subsection 4(h) and 4(i), of Section 4, description of duties. The Secretary removed these sections from your charter because they were inserted by the previous Administration and there were several Executive Orders that they were either irrelevant or revoked by the newly issued Executive Order. So that was another change.

And now I will move on talking about

```
0048
     2022 Council application nomination open season.
 2
 3
                     I would like to let the.....
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Can I stop you there
 6
    for a second.
 7
 8
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: When I looked under
11
     Tab 12 I don't find the charter here, there's a
     calendar. So is anybody else -- is mine just missing
12
13
     that or anybody else have the -- the charter is missing
14
     from Tab 12.
15
16
                     MS. WESSELS: I think Karen wanted to
17
     put your charters under a different tab and, you know,
18
     let me just look. Yeah, your charter should be under
19
     Tab 5. If it says on your agenda, Tab 12, that's a
20
    mistake, it should be under Tab 5.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
23
24
                     MS. WESSELS: Can you find it there.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I think you misspoke
     and said Tab 12, and so I see it now.
27
28
29
                     MS. WESSELS: Oh, did I.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
32
33
                     MS. WESSELS: No, I think what I said
     is it's Section 12 of the charter.
34
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, all right, my
37
    misunderstanding.
38
39
                     MS. WESSELS: Yeah, that's....
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right.
42
43
                     MS. WESSELS: .....where the new
44
     language was added.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I'll make a comment
47
    on that.
48
49
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes.
50
```

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: This carryover has been with the Subsistence Resource Commissions for years and I'm really super happy to see this carryover, a seated member can carryover until the Secretary gets around to making the appointments. That really messed up the Councils last year and so I'm really super happy to see that the Secretaries have adopted this new charter for us.

Thank you.

Go ahead.

MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Jack. Yes, I completely agree with your comments because last year was really difficult and we, at the Federal Subsistence Management Program do everything possible that all the Board's recommendations are submitted to the Secretary early on, but, you know, sometimes it just takes them time to make these appointments so that is a great addition to the charter.

Now, I'll talk about the 2022 Council application nomination open season.

The season is still open until February 28th. And I would like to apprise this Council on the number of applications that was received for the Western Interior region. We currently have only one application. And in December of this year we are going to have four vacant or expiring seats on the Western Interior region. So I would like to appeal to the Council members and to the members of the public present on this call to spread the word that the season is open for applications and nominations and encourage the public to apply. I also encourage, there is three Council members whose terms are expiring in December of this year, if you would like to continue to serve on the Council you need to reapply before February 28th.

Also, you know, Council members, if you know of a good potential candidate to serve on the Council you can nominate them. You can fill out the application with their agreement and just nominate them if they are having a hard time filling out the application. If anyone needs any help with filling out the application or questions, they can always call me, you know, and I will provide this help and my phone number is 1 (907) 786-3885 -- 786-3885.

```
0050
 1
                     Thank you for your attention, Council
 2
    members and, Mr. Chair, thank you.
 3
 4
                     I'm ready to answer any questions.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any Council members
 7
    have questions for Katya's discussion.
 8
 9
                     (No comments)
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hearing none, we're
12
     down on agenda Item 9, public and tribal comments on
13
     non-agenda items. Do we have anybody that's on the
14
     call from the public or tribal entities that would like
15
     to discuss items that are not on the agenda.
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We do this once a
20
     day, to provide comments for non-agenda items.
21
22
                     (No comments)
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I don't hear
25
     anybody speaking up. So we will drop down to Agenda
26
     Item 10, Old Business. There's Wildlife Special Action
27
     Request 21-01, and Hannah Voorhees is going to give us
28
     an overview of that. Are you there Hannah.
29
30
                     MS. VOORHEES: I am, thank you, Mr.
31
     Chair.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, go right
34
     ahead.
35
36
                     MS. VOORHEES: Good morning. Members
37
     of the Council, this is Hannah Voorhees, Anthropologist
38
     with the Office of Subsistence Management. And
39
     Wildlife Biologist Lisa Grediagin is also on the call
40
     today. I'll direct you to Tab 4 of your meeting
41
    materials and also note that supplemental materials No.
42
     3, 5 and 7 are relevant as well, that's the Western
43
    Arctic Caribou Herd overview, Western Arctic Caribou
44
    Herd migration fact sheet from National Park Service
    and the Northwest Alaska Caribou Update for 2022 from
45
46
    Fish and Wildlife Service. But the analysis itself is
47
    located behind Tab 4.
48
49
                     I'm here to give you an update on
```

deferred Wildlife Special Action Request WSA21-01 and seek your formal recommendation based on revisions to the analysis that were conducted at the request of the Federal Subsistence Board.

The Northwest Arctic Council submitted temporary Wildlife Special Action, WSA21-01 in February 2021. The Council requested closure of Federal public lands in Units 23 and 26A to caribou and moose hunting by non-Federally-qualified users from August 1st to September 30th. For clarity and simplicity, the analysis has now been divided into WSA21-01(a) for caribou and (b) for moose.

Because communities in your region have a customary and traditional use determination for caribou in Unit 23, but not for moose, only WSA21-01(a) is coming before this Council.

So when the Northwest Arctic Council submitted this request expressed concern about the late migration of caribou through Unit 23, and the possible effect of transporters and non-local hunters on the timing of this movement and the North Slope Council also supported this request.

Then in June 2021 the Board deferred WSA21-01 to the 2022/23 regulatory year and requested that the OSM seek additional input on concerns related to caribou from multiple entities. These included the Western Arctic Caribou Herd Working Group, Federal land managing agencies, local Fish and Game Advisory Committees, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Regional Advisory Councils such as this one, commercial guides and transporters and subsistence users in the area.

This is a shortened proposal compared to what Northwest Arctic heard, simply because I know in the past that this Council has stated that they would prefer that these issues be directed to the home region, however, given some of the new developments that have occurred with the herd we thought that it would be best to give this Council a full chance to weigh in. Please do stop me if you would like more or less information on any of these points.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{So}}$ to give you an update on the outreach process, OSM has now followed up on the

Board's direction. If you remember the topic was brought up at your fall 2021 meeting and I had posed some questions to you and that's when you decided to defer to the home region but, again, you can make a recommendation today.

So feedback from local subsistence users, as requested from the Board, came from Regional Councils, testimony received during public hearings, correspondence submitted during an earlier written comment period, and Subsistence Resource Commissions in Unit 23. The Western Arctic Caribou Herd Working Group members also gave input, and at their December meeting the group voted to oppose WSA21-01, in part, because the moose and caribou proposals were still being together at that point and as the Chair has also mentioned the Working Group expressed concerns about cow harvest and stated that those concerns were more prominent for them at this time.

So the Board meeting on deferred Wildlife Special Action WSA21-01 is scheduled for March 30th at 1:00 p.m., via teleconference. The meeting will be open for the public to listen in and a news release will announce the call-in information.

The biological background section of the analysis begins on Page 20. The Western Arctic Herd peaked at near 500,000 caribou in 2003, declining to about 200,000 in 2016. In recent years the herd seemed to be recovering with approximately 244,000 caribou in 2019 but the most recent photo census in 2021 estimated the herd population at only 188,000.

 The timing of fall migration has become more variable in recent years. Since 2017 there has been substantial delay before caribou crossed the Kobuk and Selawik River but crossing the Noatak River has remained average. The percentage of caribou crossing all three rivers has also declined in recent years. The proportion of caribou using certain migration paths also varies each year. Additionally, caribou demonstrate low fidelity to wintering groups, which have shifted over the past decade from the Seward Peninsula to the Brooks Range. Changes in wintering locations, herd size, vegetation and weather likely influenced these recent changes in fall migration.

The harvest history section of the

analysis begins on Page 37. Given the current population estimate of 188,000 caribou the harvestable surplus is currently 11,280 caribou. While there's much uncertainty in harvest estimate for this herd as we've discussed, total harvest has generally been estimated at 12,000 caribou per year. Historically, local hunters account for approximately 95 percent of the total harvest and local community harvest parallels caribou availability rather than population trends. Between 1998 and 2020, reported harvest by non-Federally-qualified users ranged from 131 to 657 caribou each year and 85 to 95 percent of non-local harvest occurs between August 25th and October 7th.

I'll briefly go over some of the actual feedback we received from local subsistence users and this begins on Page 57 of the analysis. In summary the very late migration and lack of local caribou availability has continued for all communities in Unit 23 except for Noatak and Point Hope. The situation is particularly critical in the Southwestern portion of the unit. There is agreement between traditional ecological knowledge and the scientific literature that changes in temperature, wind direction, snow depth and vegetation affects caribou migration. In addition, the Red Dog Mine Road does appear to delay or deflect migration for at least a portion of the herd.

So whether caused by one, or a combination of all these factors mentioned testimony showed that the reduced availability of caribou in Unit 23 is negatively impacting Federally-qualified subsistence users, nutritional, economic, cultural, social and spiritual well-being.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

 $\,$ MS. VOORHEES: Following deferral and the revised analysis, the OSM preliminary conclusion on WSA21-01(a) is now neutral. The analysis outlines two main actions for consideration.

No. 1. Approve WSA21-01(a) with modification to close caribou hunting to non-Federally-qualified users in Noatak National Preserve and BLM managed lands between the Noatak and Kobuk Rivers only August 1st to September 30th 2022, or

```
0054
 1
                     (Teleconference interference -
 2
     participants not muted)
 3
 4
                     MS. VOORHEES: No. 2. Reject WSA21-
 5
     01(a) resulting in maintenance of the status quo.
 6
 7
                     REPORTER: Hang on Hannah.
 8
 9
                     MS. VOORHEES: I'll go ahead and
10
     give....
11
12
                     REPORTER: Hannah.
13
14
                     MS. VOORHEES: Yes.
15
16
                     REPORTER: I'm sorry to interrupt.
17
     This is Tina, the court reporter. Somebody else is
18
     trying to make a call on their line and we can hear
19
     that, if you could please mute yourself while you're
20
     doing that so we can hear Hannah's presentation, I
21
     would appreciate that.
22
23
                     Go ahead, Hannah.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Salena.
26
27
                     MS. VOORHEES: Thanks, Tina.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Hannah.
30
31
                     MS. VOORHEES: Yes, next I'll go over
32
     some of the justification behind each of these two
33
     options to approve with modification or reject and then
34
     my presentation will be present.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, go ahead.
37
38
                     MS. VOORHEES: Thank you. So under the
39
     approve with modification option:
40
41
                     Testimony about lack of local caribou
42
     availability in the Northwest Arctic converges with
43
     recent evidence of Western Arctic Herd population
44
     decline. The most recent population estimate indicates
     that the herd has declined 24 percent from 2019 to
45
46
     2021. The population decline may be contributing to
47
     lack of caribou availability in Unit 23.
48
49
                     So, again, this is justification for
50
```

the option to approve with modification and to continue.

2 3 4

The herd is now being managed at the conservative declining level. And under the Western Arctic Caribou Herd Caribou Working Group Management Plan, recommendations at this level include closure of the non-resident season under State regulations as well as possible closure of some Federal public lands to non-Federally-qualified users. Furthermore, the best available data suggests that the harvestable surplus may be being exceeded.

Feedback from local subsistence users -- oh, excuse me, wrong spot -- here we go.

Although the population decline affects the herd throughout its range, closing Noatak National Preserve and BLM managed lands between the Noatak and Kobuk Rivers would have the most direct benefit for those communities in Unit 23 who have been unable to continue to subsistence hunting for caribou and the most direct benefit for the herd itself, most likely.

The delay in caribou migration has primarily occurred between the Noatak and Kobuk Rivers based on local testimony and GPS collar caribou data.

Closing lands north of the Noatak River within Noatak National Preserve may also be necessary for the conservation of caribou populations.

OSM does not support a full closure of Federal public lands in Unit 23 and 26A. Just briefly, some of the other Federal public lands are rarely used by non-Federally-qualified users to harvest caribou and closing Unit 26A to caribou hunting does not appear warranted currently because the analysis process show that Federally-qualified subsistence users in this unit, 26A, are meeting their subsistence needs for caribou and are not facing the same challenges as those in Unit 23.

Finally, I'll give you OSM's points to consider under the other option to reject.

Currently it cannot be demonstrated that the requested closure alone would result in the desired effect of restoring the historical timing and

extent of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd migration as other factors are likely at plan as well as I've described. If Units 23 and 26A are closed to the harvest of caribou by non-Federally-qualified subsistence users for August and September 2022, user conflicts and disruption of caribou movement may increase on State lands, particularly on those State lands surrounding Upper Kobuk River villages. Additionally, non-Federally-qualified users would still be available to access and harvest caribou on gravel bars below the mean high water mark and in navigable waters within Federal public lands as these areas fall under State jurisdiction.

While the Western Arctic Herd Working Group's Management Plan recommends possible closure of Federal public lands to non-Federally-qualified users under the current preservative declining management level, the working group members did not think immediate regulatory action was necessary, in part, due to sufficient calf recruitment.

Additionally, non-Federally-qualified user harvest accounts for only five percent of the total estimated Western Arctic Caribou Herd harvest and they could still harvest on State managed lands if Federal lands were closed.

I want to also let you know that on February 14th the Northwest Arctic Council voted to support WSA21-01(a) as originally submitted with no modification.

So now I'll turn over consideration of this request to the Council and I'm available to answer any questions.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Hannah. Any questions from the Council about Hannah's presentation.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hearing none. There's a little bit more to this issue than meets the eye. And the Western Arctic Herd has declined significantly and the smaller the herd, the less distance they go. As of December 2021 most of the herd

1 was north of the Kobuk, most of the caribou that are harvested is either on the Noatak or the Kobuk River so the caribou aren't migrating as soon as they normally do and they're coming later in the winter. And so 2021, in this -- in the handout, back in all those 5 6 various slides, it shows where the caribou were 7 wintering in 2020/2021, they were basically hardly any caribou near the Kobuk River, they were all in the 9 Gates of the Arctic Park. So the issue -- the 10 perception is, and I would have liked to have seen 11 these mappings of where the herd is wintering, and I 12 think the State has shot themselves in the foot by not 13 even telling the public where the caribou is wintering 14 and what the issue is, it's just not even migrating 15 into the areas where most of the subsistence harvest is 16 occurring.

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

Some of the -- one of the issues is that there's too much cow caribou harvest. And cows lead migration, it's common knowledge, and with subsistence users that know anything about caribou, the cows -- there's specific lead cows and that's all customary and traditional knowledge and that's not docume -- well, that's what happens and anybody who knows anything about caribou will watch those lead cows lead those migrations, they've dominant scent glands in their back hoof and other caribou just love to follow those around. Killing cow caribou is a bad thing during migration. There should be a closure for caribou when they're migrating, cow caribou, period, but that doesn't happen. Nobody wants to even restrict their caribou harvest. And most of the non-subsistence harvest is bulls. When the Western Arctic Herd Work Group reviewed this particular proposal, I voted against the proposal from the Western Arctic perspective because most of the caribou are bull and bulls tag along at the back end of those strings. In effect, the non-subsistence hunters are killing bull caribou, 2 -- 80,000 or 90,000 [sic] or whatever it was, it wasn't that many caribou, most of the harvest of cows is occurring on the front end of that as they come to the Kobuk and Noatak River.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

So the reality is that if the Subsistence Councils wanted to have any kind of an effect, they would close cow caribou harvest in the non- -- for non-subsistence users in the Kobuk Preserve -- or correction, the Noatak Preserve, and those areas where those cow caribou are migrating. There should be

no cow caribou harvest that would defect those migrations.

And that's where the State messed up is allowing non-residents and resident sporthunters to take cow caribou. So they land on a gravel bar, here comes all these cow caribou and they shoot the lead caribou for — so they got a five caribou bag limit a few years ago, and they kill these cow caribou, they just killed the leaders and they can drive those herds way in circles, away from that area. If you got a lot of hunters doing that it can actually preclude the migrations guite a bit.

So there should be a closure for cow caribou harvest period.

Bull harvest -- killing bulls off the back of those strings through the end of September is not really doing anything about those -- it's not -- that's not the deflection factor. The deflection factor has to be -- has to do with cow caribou leading migrations and allowing hunters to fly way out in front of those herds and kill cow caribou. If they wanted to have any affect on this deflection factor, those Councils should be talking about this cow caribou issue but nobody likes to talk about it.

 So there's more than meets the eye with this whole caribou thing. Personally, I say it's out of our region. The Western Herd is not even coming to our region anymore because it's too small and I would prefer to not get involved with this issue like I said last fall. But if the Council wants to take this issue up, Special Action Request, 21-01, the Chair will entertain a motion to adopt the -- or to put the special action on the table for discussion and make some kind of recommendation.

The Federal Subsistence Board wants a recommendation from this Council, the Council should make some kind of a recommendation. So the Chair will entertain a motion to put WSA21-01 on the table for discussion. Somebody want to make that motion.

MR. DEMOSKI: Mr. Chair, I make that motion, this is Arnold.

MR. VENT: This is Darrell, I second.

```
0059
 1
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Arnold and Darrell
     seconds. So discussion. Other Council members have
 2
    discussion, I laid out some of the parameters and then
 4
    Hannah gave what OSM's thing is.
 5
 6
                     (No comments)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Council member's
 9
    discussion on Western Arctic Caribou Special Action
10
    Request. Defer to region would be the options, defer
11
     to region or take a vote to adopt or -- or reject
12
    WSA21-01(a).
13
14
                     MR. VENT: Could we defer to region, I
15
     think that would work better.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We can do that.
18
    Would you like to make a motion to defer to region
19
     since we have it on the table.
20
21
                     MR. VENT: Yeah, this is Darrell. I
    would defer....
22
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Katya....
25
26
                     MR. VENT: Oh, go ahead.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Katya, is that
29
    proper etiquette to make another motion to defer.
30
31
                     MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, this is Katya.
32
     I'm sorry, your first motion was.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: To get the proposal
35
     on the table with discussion to then go where -- are we
36
     going to vote for it, up or down or are we just going
37
     to defer to region. That's where we're at right now.
38
     So Darrell's inclined to make a motion to defer, is
39
     that proper etiquette.
40
41
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes, well, if you just
42
    had the first motion to get the proposal on the -- on
43
     the record, you can vote on that motion first and then
44
     you should have a motion to defer.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So we had a
    motion to put the proposal on the table, we'll vote on
47
48
    that one. Those in favor of putting -- we have a
49
    motion before us, those in favor of putting the
```

```
0060
    proposal on the table before us signify by saying aye.
 2
 3
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Opposed, same
 6
     sign.
 7
 8
                     (No opposing votes)
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Now, Darrell, if you
11
     -- and I want Council discussion if someone wants to
     vote for this proposal, you can make a motion to adopt
12
13
     this proposal and we'd go through a vote to adopt it or
14
     to reject or as, Darrell, is making a motion, to defer.
15
     So Darrell's made a motion to defer, do we have a
16
     second for that.
17
18
                     MR. DEMOSKI: I second.
19
20
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Second.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, who was that
23
    first second, was that Don?
24
25
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Arnold.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Arnold, okay. So we
28
    have a motion to defer to region -- regions, it'd be
29
    North Slope and Northwest Arctic so we're going to
30
    bring that to a vote, do we have any discussion on
31
     that.
32
33
                     (No comments)
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do I have a question
36
    on that motion.
37
38
                     MR. VENT: Call for question.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Question's called,
41
     those in favor of deferral of WSA21-01 to region
42
     signify by saying aye.
43
44
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Opposed.
47
48
                     (Teleconference interference -
49
    participants not muted)
50
```

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Is that a vote yes or no, aye -- so opposed, same sign.

(No opposing votes)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So hearing no opposition, the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council defers Proposal WSA21-01 to regions. I do feel that our comments should be registered.

I made comments about the various issues. There should be more of a mapping of this, where the herd is going so even the other regions should — they may not participate in the Western Arctic Herd Work Group, I have screen shots on my phone right in front of me that shows where that herd was in mid-December. There are caribou by Shungnak.

MR. VENT: Jack, this is Darrell.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Darrell.

MR. VENT: Yes, I'd just like to make a few comments on that. Right now a lot of the caribou herd is around the village of Shungnak.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Right.

MR. VENT: They're hanging out in that area between there and Kobuk. A little later they're going to be coming over the hot springs area which is, what they call it, around the Selawik River. That usually happens around the end of March. And usually what we've been seeing is they've been up in the hills, up in the mountains, they go way up there because predation. So there's a lot of predators that's following that herd. And I've been seeing pictures from some of my friends over in Shungnak and Ambler and they've been catching some pretty good sized wolves. Those are what they consider Timber wolves, those are the big type wolves, and so, you know, it's been probably just as hard for them with predation as it is in our area and I just wanted to comment on that.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, there are predation factors. But in reality when you used to get caribou at Hot Springs, that was because the Western Arctic Herd was on the Seward Peninsula and cows start to move in March, mid-March, late March, early April

they start to move so you were actually seeing caribou coming up off the Seward Peninsula coming into those hills. We had a lot of snow here, got lots of snow to the west of us too and so the wind blows those ridges off and that caribou will stay up high. That's what a lot of these caribou are doing around here. So I don't think you're going to see a heck of a lot of caribou coming up to the Hot Springs because they don't go south, they go north, and what you were seeing was the Northeasterly -- north -- to go line up on the Selawik because they like to cross near Selawik Lake and go to the north.

And so most of that hear -- yeah, there's caribou at Shungnak and that's what the telemetry showed in December. And the Ambler area -- that's that bottom edge of that Western Arctic Herd right now, that herd is -- and that's why Lisa and Staff, you need to have current mapping of where that herd is at and where the predominance of where it's overwintering, because the public -- the Councils don't get that information. I get it, I stayed on that Western Herd, but that herd management group, but not a lot of people see that and that should be -- you have -- in your Western Arctic overview, you show 2020/21 but you should be showing this current data for the Board action, you should be showing the current data at least through current, where that herd is wintering.

What's the issue.

The herd is not migrating as far, it's not coming down near where it's -- it's not going to the Seward Peninsula anymore, it's not even there, there might be some in the Waring Mountains, but there's not a heck of a lot of caribou south of the Kobuk Valley right now. And they're going to keep -they're going to go north, they're not going to go south, they go north in the spring. Those cows start heading out, if they get a little bit of warm up, and the tundra starts to open up a little they'll start to move up and in mid-April they can have a melt on blown off ground and those tussocks start blossoming, and that's what they eat in the springtime, they don't eat lichen all year-round. They eat lichen up until the tussock blossom comes, then those cows switch over to eating a lot of high protein food and they kind of stay with that as they move to the north.

```
0063
 1
                     So there's some data lacks here that we
    need to have in this consideration and I'd like to see
 2
    the Federal Subsistence Board provided with current
    migrations of the last two years, several different
 5
    years, so that they understand when the timing of when
    these herds are getting to these locations or where
 6
 7
     they're not getting to these locations -- that's the
     issue, they're not getting there and the perception is,
 9
     it's preclusion, well, not necessarily, the herd is
10
     just not that big, it's just not migrating like it used
11
     to. And it's not going to. As it gets smaller it'll
12
     get more contracted. It'll stay -- it doesn't have to
13
     go that far, they stay more regional then.
14
15
                     So any other discussion by the Council
16
     on this proposal.
17
18
                     (No comments)
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So, okay.
21
     addressed that issue. So we have this AIDEA
22
    presentation which is slated for 1:30, and so.....
23
24
                     MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, this is Katya.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Katya.
27
28
                     MS. WESSELS: We actually reached to
29
    Charlene and perhaps she is already online so we.....
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh.
32
33
                     MS. WESSELS: .....need to check,
34
    Charlene Ostbloom are you online?
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     MS. WESSELS: Okay.
                                          I was getting a
39
    message that she's -- she's been messaged that she will
40
     need to call right now.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
43
44
                     MS. WESSELS: So hopefully -- maybe we
45
     can take a few minute break, Mr. Chair, and then she
46
     can....
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Sure.
49
```

```
0064
 1
                    MS. WESSELS: .....have a minute to
 2
    call in.
 4
                    CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We'll take about a
 5
    10 minute break, it's 20 after and at 11:30 we're going
 6
    to come back on session.
 7
 8
                     MS. WESSELS: Thank you.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Is that fine with
11
    the Council.
12
13
                     MR. VENT: Yes, that's fine.
14
15
                     MR. DEMOSKI: That's fine.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. 10 minute
18
    break.
19
20
                     (Off record)
21
22
                     (On record)
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So this is Jack
25
     Reakoff again. It's 11:30. Do we have Council back on
26
     the call and do we have Charlene Ostbloom with AIDEA on
27
     the call also.
28
29
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Hello, yes, this is
30
    Charlene Ostbloom on the call.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Thanks
33
    Charlene. How many Council members do we have back.
34
35
                     MR. DEMOSKI: I'm still here, Jack,
36
    this is Arnold.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Arnold.
39
40
                     MR. WHITWORTH: This is Kevin.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Kevin, good.
43
44
                     (Pause)
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we're looking for
47
    Council members back on the phone, we got Arnold and
48
     Kevin and myself.
49
```

```
0065
 1
                     MR. GERVAIS: I'm here Jack, Tim.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Tim.
 4
 5
                     MR. SIMON: Pollock Simon.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Pollock, good.
 8
 9
                     And so are you there Don Honea?
10
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You're there Katya?
14
15
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes, I'm here, Mr. Chair.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So I think I
18
     need one more, don't I. I got.....
19
20
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes, I think so.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We have Pollock,
23
     Kevin, myself, Arnold and Tim, and Darrell.
24
25
                     MS. WESSELS: Then actually you're
26
     okay, you have a quorum.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I would like
29
     Rebecca and Don to hear this, they've been on this call
30
     but they're out right now? So, okay, we'll proceed.
31
     So Charlene Ostbloom is on the phone and she's going to
32
     give us an Ambler Access update, go ahead, Charlene.
33
34
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Good morning. Thank you
35
     so much for having me.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You have the floor.
38
39
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: My name is Charlene
40
     Ostbloom -- oh, I'm sorry.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead. The
43
     Council has in their supplemental packet, the Ambler
     Access update so it's a -- they should have received
44
     these documents and it's sort of your slide
45
46
    presentation so go right ahead. I'm just trying to
47
    point out to the Council.
48
49
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Okay. All right.
50
```

0066 1 MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, I'm sorry, 2 this is Katya. 3 4 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Katya. 5 6 MS. WESSELS: Yeah, I just also wanted 7 to point out that the presentation is available on our website in the supplemental materials section of the 8 9 materials. Thank you. 10 11 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. For those who 12 didn't get the packet of materials, that are on the 13 phone, they can access those on the website, it's the 14 Ambler Access Project update. Go ahead, Charlene. 15 16 MS. OSTBLOOM: All right. Well, good 17 morning and thank you, again, for having me. My name 18 is Charlene Ostbloom. I am from Nulato. I am the 19 daughter of the late Eddie Hildebrand and Annie 20 Hildebrand and I'm a Doyon shareholder and a tribal 21 member of Nulato Tribe. I have been working on the 22 Ambler Access project since July and I'm the 23 communications manager. 24 25 I have been traveling out to several of 26 the communities in Western Alaska and trying to get as 27 much information out as possible and also working 28 really hard to hire as many people as possible from the 29 communities along the access route. 30 31 So the Ambler Access Project is a 211 32 Mile route that goes from the -- from the Dalton 33 Highway to the Ambler Mining District. And in 2021 we 34 had a field season. The 2021 field season fell within 35 the pre-construction phase of the project that allowed 36 for completing the front end engineering and design 37 phase. We conducted cultural resources, hydrology, 38 fish habitat and land surveys that were conducted at 39 over 50 component sites and mostly related to water crossings. And we completed 39 of 59 cultural resource 40 41 study areas with almost 600 -- almost 700 acres 42 surveyed. For the project we had 22 hires including 22 43 Doyon Ltd shareholders, one NANA shareholder and one 44 ASRC shareholder. The total wages paid at the end of 45 the 2021 field season were approximately \$190,000. 46 47 Moving on to the next slide. 48

The Ambler Access Project Team, they

49

have completed the draft of the annual work plan that has been submitted to the BLM and in it is data from the 2021 field season as well as the plans for the 2022 field season. And please keep in mind that the information in the presentation was sent awhile ago and some information is dated.

So to begin, AIDEA, we did establish a subsistence advisory committee as a result of the work put together by a subsistence advisory committee working group. We have representation on the committee from nine of the communities closest to -- the tribal communities closest to the access route. We have currently nine seated members. We are currently recruiting for a member from Evansville as well as recruiting for alternates for the committee in case the seated member is unable to attend. The process and selection for the committee members, we contacted each community to solicit applications for the subsistence advisory committee and the working group then selected who those committee members were based on the application received. In many cases we only received one application.

I will point out that Governor Dunleavy did appoint the two co-Chairs, which were -- are Fred Bifelt of Huslia and Harry Westlake, Sr., of Kiana, and they were appointed because of their experience and leadership.

So the membership of the committee -moving on to the next slide. The membership of the
committee consists of from Alatna Harding Sam,
Allakaket Clinton Bergman, Ambler Morgan Johnson, as I
mentioned Evansville we still don't have a committee
member, from Hughes Wilmer Beetus, Huslia Fred Bifelt,
Kiana Larry Westlake, Kobuk Henry Horner, Noorvik
Wilbur Howarth and from Shungnak Nellie Greist. We do
have a seat from the Gates of the Arctic Subsistence
Advisory Committee, and we are working to have that
individual appointed at the next Subsistence Advisory
Committee meeting in April. We also have two ex
officio members from the NANA Regional Corporation and
Doyon, Ltd., Liz Cravalho from NANA and Jamie Marunde
from Doyon.

Moving on to the next slide.

The subsistence advisory committee

provides recommendations to the Ambler Access Project regarding project development activities and the they're responsible — or the Ambler Access project is responsible for responding to the committee on all formal recommendations made by the committee. Our meetings, we did hold our first meeting on January 11th in Anchorage, our next scheduled meeting is April 6th in Kotzebue and our third and fourth quarter meetings have yet to be determined.

Moving on to the next slide.

We have also established a work force development working group. And this working group is as a result of the subsistence advisory committee working group, their recommendation is that we establish this group because in today's environment it is very difficult for people to practice subsistence without having some type of income. And it was very important to the working group to -- for the Ambler Access Project to establish measures in which jobs and training opportunities are identified and made available to the community members along the access route. The employment and work force development group, they are looking at the different project phases. They are identifying -- the will be aware of the contractor functions and job types that are available. They expect the project to deliver a prepared work force for the project and part of that is that we will continue community outreach and building awareness and working with potential partners such as school districts, technical training centers (indiscernible - muffled)

Moving on to the next slide.

Our working group members are, again, Fred Bifelt and Larry Westlake, Wilmer Beetus, Stanley Ned, Nellie Greist, Henry Horner, Liz Cravalho, Jamie Marunde, Dave Heimke, and Kevin Torpey, and this working group is not set in stone. It is expected that more members will be added as the project moves forward.

Currently, the project, we are reaching out to the communities along the access route in January and February to host job fairs because it is a priority for the project to hire as many as community members as possible. And with that we have identified

approximately 50 jobs for the summer field season and we are bringing the job fairs to the communities to make it easier for the community members to have direct access to the hiring contractors. So we are introducing them to the hiring process, providing assistance with completing applications and resumes and using this as a way to identify potential applicants for other future opportunities.

Moving on to the next slide.

This is our schedule. We have traveled to Hughes and Allakaket and Alatna and expect to travel back to those communities. Due to Covid, our visits to the NANA region have been postponed however we are working on a way to provide the job fairs virtually for those that are in the NANA region and we are working on getting information (indiscernible) about job opportunities for the field season.

So that concludes my presentation. I am open to questions. And, again, thank you so much for allowing me to provide you with information on the Ambler Access Project.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks, Charlene, appreciate the presentation. Does Council members have any questions about the Ambler Project.

MR. VENT: Jack, this is Darrell.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Darrell.

MR. VENT: Yeah, I appreciate Charlene for going to be coming over to Huslia, she gave me an email and I appreciate that they're trying to hire from our region. We just need to hopefully get into negotiation on the contracts. But for right now I'm just thankful that somebody gave me some information on that.

Thank you.

MS. OSTBLOOM: Oh, absolutely. And appreciate you replying to my email yesterday and, you know, we are very committed to hiring as many community members as we can.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks,

0070 1 Darrell. Pollock Simon lives in Allakaket, do you have 2 any comments Pollock. 3 4 MR. SIMON: Yeah, Jack, can you hear 5 me. 6 7 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We can hear you 8 great, Pollock, go ahead. 9 10 MR. SIMON: Okay. Yeah, the peoples 11 are concerned here about the road and peoples not too good experience with the road that was supposed to for 12 13 commercial use only but it was later opened to public 14 and lots of peoples come up the road and not only to 15 look at the mountains but maybe hunt. And around Upper 16 Koyukuk River around Allakaket area there's already a 17 low number of wildlife, no fish and if these people 18 come up the road and want to fish we can't compete with 19 those so the peoples are concerned around here that we 20 have to watch this (indiscernible) -- go alone -- we're 21 pretty certain that we want to live here forever and we 22 don't want too much impact on our way of life. 23 24 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 25 26 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you, 27 28 for Charlene.

Pollock. Other Council members comments or questions

29 30

MR. GERVAIS: Jack, I have a question for Charlene.

31 32 33

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.

34 35

36 37

38 39

40

MR. GERVAIS: Hi, Charlene, this is Tim Gervais. Can you explain the -- they're terming this a road, is it for a mining district, or is it for a known deposit or is it -- is it like established mines or companies that say they already need this to make the economic, what's the -- who's the end beneficiary of the road?

41 42 43

44

45 46

MS. OSTBLOOM: So the road will provide access to the Ambler Mining District and Ambler Metals is the mining company that is doing exploration in that area. And they need access -- a road to be able to transport the -- what is mined out of the district. And it is more cost effective to do so via road.

48 49

47

```
0071
 1
                     MR. GERVAIS: So they've established
    it'd only be economic if the road is built?
 2
 4
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: They are currently
 5
     working on studies now and so the work that the project
 6
     is doing is to.....
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hello.
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                     REPORTER: Her call might have dropped.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are you there Tim?
15
16
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yes, I'm here but.....
17
18
                     MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, she might have
19
     dropped off.
20
21
                     MR. GERVAIS: .....I lost the end of
22
    her answer there.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, she cut out.
25
    Katya, did you have something?
26
27
                     MS. WESSELS: I said that she might
28
    have dropped off the call, Charlene might have dropped
29
    off the call.
30
31
                     MR. VENT: Jack, this is Darrell.
32
33
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah, Mr. Chair.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Darrell. I -- I
36
    would rather have questions asked or comments when
37
     she's on the phone, she's probably dialing back in.
38
39
                     MR. VENT: Okay. I just wanted to give
40
     you a little more in for on where the mining is going
41
     on.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I would rather have
44
    Charlene listening to this Council.
45
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Hi, I am so sorry, my
46
47
    phone just dropped the call.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, when you
50
```

1 dropped off you were in the middle of your comment to
2 Tim. Continue.
3
4 MS. OSTBLOOM: Yes. So the project, we

MS. OSTBLOOM: Yes. So the project, we are in the study phase so -- which is why we're gathering as much information via the field work over the summer and trying to make the best informed decisions on how to proceed with the road. And Ambler Metals is also determining -- conducting their feasibility studies as well.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Does that answer your questions, <math display="inline">\mbox{Tim.}$

MR. GERVAIS: Partially. I'm just -- I'm kind of confused. We're going through all this elaborate road permitting process and she's saying that they don't know if it's economically feasible to move the minerals across the road so it seems like the mining company should establish the economic benefit of having the road before we all spend all this time discussing the merits of the road.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ OSTBLOOM: I don't want to speak for Ambler Metals.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. And, Darrell, you were going to make a comment and I wanted Charlene to hear your comment. Go ahead Darrell.

MR. VENT: Yes. The topic on the Ambler Metals, there's more involved. There's three different companies that have interests up in the Koyukuk River area also because they found some deposits in there that have significant value to them. That is one of the reasons why that road is being built there. It's not only for the mine over there, there's other mines that they're interested in. So they're doing studies right now that we're seeing and they've been talking about it, you know, that their interests are not only one area, it's a whole bunch of areas that they're studying. They're doing a lot of archeological and trying to hire liaisons. So there's more involved to this and hopefully next time she comes on she has more people involved in this project.

So I just wanted to let you know that.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So, Darrell, you're

referring to the South 32 Exploration and staking that's happening in the Wild River, John River country, they're paper staking. They're not drilling, they're not doing — they're just paper staking. So they're staking a lot of stuff but there's no assessment, there's no drilling. I live in Wiseman, I watch those helicopters, they're not drilling, they're not doing anything, they're just staking. So don't get the idea that they've discovered anything, they're just staking ground where there is potential to have minerals there.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ VENT: Yes, they're at the point of testing right now.

 $\mbox{ CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I would like other Council member comments on this presentation.} \\$

MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, this is

19 Kevin.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Kevin.

MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Mr. Chair and thank you, Charlene for this presentation and update on this project. I'm curious about the subsistence advisory committee, you guys had a meeting in January, the first one it looks like. Did that group bring up any issues, concerns, recommendations to the AAP, can you share those, please. Thanks.

MS. OSTBLOOM: Oh, yes, thank you for the question. Yes, they did have recommendations. They are very interested in seeing baseline studies conducted so that there is an understanding of where — what is happening with the land and water and the wildlife today and they also have made — one of the recommendations that they've made is like with the helicopters transporting crews to the field from the different camps, they are concerned about the helicopters and the noise and disruption during subsistence activities, especially in the falltime. So the project will be making adjustments to the helicopters and the timing. And to be mindful of when they are doing — when they are transporting the crews.

So -- and please keep in mind that the first committee meeting that took place in January, that was their first, it really was about getting to know one another, establishing the ground rules and

0074 going over the policies that the working group has -that the working group established. 2 3 4 So there's still a lot of work and 5 recommendations that they will be putting forward to 6 the Ambler Access Project. 7 8 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Does that conclude 9 your questions, Kevin. 10 11 MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah, that's it, 12 thanks. 13 14 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Any other 15 Council member questions. 16 17 (No comments) 18 19 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I have a couple 20 questions, Charlene. You know this Council has been concerned about this road. I live in Wiseman, it's 21 22 23 I lived here before the road. And when this road was 24 built it was closed to the public and then it was 25

right next to the -- what's now the Dalton Highway but slowly opened up and then the Board of Game opened the seasons from the road even though the public was precluded from accessing this area. But guess what, the hunters in Fairbanks figured out if they paper staked mining claims along this road way to the north, it was \$12.50 to the time, they bought their permit to go north. Has the subsistence advisory committee -council, committee discussed how the public is going to use this road. Yeah, it's a -- it's private road -- no it's not. If there's one dollar in Federal funding spent it will be opened to the public. And so I'm concerned that the committee is not actually discussing the industrial users as in sporthunters that buy their now \$38.50 mining claim on State land and they buy their permit to go on the road. They also -- it's an industrial road for mining and other industrial uses, the other, does that include hunting guides, has the subsistence advisory committee discussed hunting guides getting permits to travel the road and setting up great big tents on every drainage along the road, John River, Northfork, all the way over to the Kobuk; does that included guiding. Have they discussed those issues.

Have they discussed the issues that I'm talking about?

MS. OSTBLOOM: Thanks for the question.

49 50

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

```
0075
    No, they did not discuss that during the first meeting.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So they haven't
 4
     actually discussed any issues, it was kind of meet and
 5
     greet sort of thing?
 6
 7
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Yeah, it really was just
     about establishing the committee, getting to know one
 8
 9
     another. Because many of them have never met before
10
    and, you know, they traveled from their communities and
11
    unfortunately some weren't able to attend in person as
12
    well.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So they did
15
    telephonic?
16
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: I can tell you that for
17
18
    many of the committee members, public access to the
19
    road is a top priority for them. So I'm sure that will
20
    be discussed.
21
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, there's a
22
23
    Subsistence Resource Commission seat, I'm on the Gates
24
    of the Arctic Subsistence Resource Commission but our
25
    Chair is on vacation and she's not been in contact and
26
    so can the SRC have an interim voice telephonically at
27
    the next meeting, April, what is it, 7th, something
28
    like that -- 6th?
29
30
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: I can definitely -- I
31
     can talk to the Co-Chairs about that.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Uh-huh. The Gates
34
     of the Arctic Subsistence Resource Commission has to be
35
     involved in that because it has a seat and we're not
36
    having a meeting until -- what is it, Marcy, something
37
    like April 16 or....
38
39
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Yeah, it's after --
40
     yeah.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I would like to
43
    request....
44
45
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: So I know that....
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I'm the Vice Chair
48
    of the Subsistence Resource Commission so I would like
49
     to get a response about someone from our Subsistence
50
```

```
0076
 1
    Resource Commission participating telephonically at
 2
     least.
 3
 4
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Uh-huh, okay. So -- I'm
 5
     sorry.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.
 8
 9
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: So we did send a request
10
     to the Commission for a seat on the committee and we
11
     are going to followup and in the mean time I will talk
12
     to the Co-Chairs about participation in the next
13
     meeting.
14
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So -- and
15
     when would you get something definitive, just before
16
17
     that meeting from the Co-Chairs?
18
19
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: No, I would imagine it
20
     would be in the next few weeks.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
23
24
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Because we'd like to get
25
     as much information out to the committee a month in
26
     advance.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, okay. All
29
     right. So that sort of answers my question. Did you
30
     have any further input Charlene, and I really
31
     appreciate you coming here before the Council and
32
     discussing these various issues that have been -- and
33
     because the road starts 22 miles from my house.....
34
35
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Uh-huh.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: ....down the Dalton
38
     Highway from Wiseman and it has a large footprint in
39
     the Western Interior region, sort of a shared
     footprint, half in our region, or maybe almost more
40
41
     than half and then part over in the Kobuk side, the
42
     Kobuk drainage. So I do appreciate you coming before
43
     the Council so look forward to future dialogue with
44
     vou.
45
46
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Absolutely. And, if
47
    possible, I'd love to visit Wiseman.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, please do, I'm
50
```

```
0077
     easy to find.
 2
 3
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Okay.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: It's a small town.
 6
    It's historical site, the first cabin built here is
 7
     1905. It's older than Anchorage.
 8
 9
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Oh, okay.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So if you travel to
12
     the other road -- you actually should come up here to
13
    Wiseman -- Coldfoot is not Wiseman. Coldfoot is a
14
    truck stop with all of the left over Pipeline camp
15
     stuff moved across the road into that gravel pit,
16
    that's a truck stop. That's not a community, that's a
17
    truck stop.
18
19
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Right.
20
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: But here, this is
21
22
     actually a community with private property and there's
23
    people that live here and have for decades. I've lived
24
    here for over 50 years. And so I think it'd be
25
    beneficial for you to come to Wiseman, I invite you to
26
     come here.
27
28
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Okay, great.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So any further
31
    discussion by the Council.....
32
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Well, I....
33
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Charlene.
36
37
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: I was just going to say,
38
     you know, please feel free to contact me. I believe
39
    Nissa has my contact information that she can share
40
    with you and any questions, if I don't have the answer
41
     I can certainly find one.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Well, I
44
     appreciate your interaction with the Council. Look
45
     forward to future....
46
47
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: All right.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you.
50
```

```
0078
 1
                     MS. OSTBLOOM: Okay, thank you so much.
 2
     Have a great day.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You too. Okay, so
 5
     we're moving on to new business. Katya, do you have
 6
     anything before we move into new business?
 7
 8
                     MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 9
     No, I do not have any additional announcements before
10
     we move in to the new business.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
13
14
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, this is
15
    Kevin.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Kevin.
18
19
                     MR. WHITWORTH: I know you don't take
20
     lunch but I do, it's about 12:00 o'clock.
21
22
                     (Laughter)
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, okay. So it
     is noon, it's practically noon, it's five after noon.
25
26
     So how long would the Council like to take a break for,
27
     one hour.
28
29
                     MR. WHITWORTH: That sounds good.
30
31
                     MR. VENT: Sounds good.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. We'll come
34
     back on the call at about five after 1:00, how's that.
35
36
                     MR. VENT: Five after 1:00 sounds good,
37
     thank you.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
40
41
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. I'll be on
     the call at five after 1:00.
44
45
46
                     (Off record)
47
48
                     (On record)
49
```

```
0079
 1
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hi, Jack Reakoff
    back on the call, it's 1:05. Do we have Council
 2
    members here. Katya.
 4
 5
                     (Teleconference interference -
 6
    participants not muted)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I hear typing on a
 9
     computer, you want to mute your phone.
10
11
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, this is
12
    Kevin.
13
14
                     MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, Katya is here.
15
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Katya and
16
17
    Kevin. Other Council.....
18
19
                     MS. WESSELS: Yeah, I know that Don
20
    Honea is on as well.
21
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: ....members.
22
23
24
                    MS. WESSELS: He is just on mute.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Pollock.
27
28
                     MR. GERVAIS: Mr. Chair.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Who else was that,
31
    was that you Arnold?
32
33
                     MR. GERVAIS: It's Tim, Jack.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Tim, all
36
     right. And has anybody heard from Jenny or Tommy
37
    Kriska, they're still in Koyukuk I suppose.
38
39
                     MR. VENT: Good afternoon, this is
40
     Darrell.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hello, Darrell.
43
44
                     MR. DEMOSKI: This is Arnold.
45
46
                     MR. VENT: Yeah, the last I heard from
47
     Tommy....
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.
```

```
0800
 1
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Oh, no, this is Arnold, I
 2
     just called in. I had difficulties calling in but I
     made it on now.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. And do we
 6
    have Rebecca Wilmarth.
 7
 8
                     (No comments)
 9
10
                     MR. SIMON: This is Pollock, checking
11
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Pollock, good.
14
     you had a comment there, Darrell.
15
16
                     MR. VENT: Yeah, when I talked with
17
     Tommy this morning, I guess they were kind of waiting
18
     to see what happens and he said he might be able to
19
    make it back on tomorrow if not later today so if he
20
     calls in today so -- yeah, they're kind of waiting down
21
     there right now.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. And Jenny is
24
     probably not going to be on the call this time, right.
25
26
                     MR. VENT: Yeah, I don't expect her to
27
     be on with what's happening down there.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks for
30
     that update. S o we're back from lunch now and -- let
31
     me do something here -- okay, we're back from lunch.
32
     It's about nine after 1:00 or so and we're going to be
33
     going into new business and call for Federal fish and
34
     shellfish proposals, OSM, Cory Graham. Are you on the
35
     call Cory?
36
37
                     MR. GRAHAM: I'm here, Mr. Chair.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Cory.
40
                     MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chair. Members of the
41
42
    Council. For the record my name is Cory Graham and I'm
43
     a Fisheries Biologist for the Office of Subsistence
44
    Management in Anchorage. I'm accompanied by Liz
    Williams whose an Anthropologist for OSM. Relevant
45
46
    materials for this presentation should be located in
47
    Tab 6 of your workbook. I will start by giving an
48
     update on our call for Federal fisheries and shellfish
49
     proposals. This is an action item for your Council.
```

0081 1 The Federal Subsistence Board will be accepting proposals this winter and into spring to 2 change Federal regulation for the subsistence take of fish and shellfish on Federal public lands and waters for the time period of 2023 through 2025 regulatory 5 cycle. The Board will consider proposals to change 6 7 Federal fish and shellfish seasons, harvest limits, methods and means and customary and traditional use 9 determinations. The window of opportunity to submit 10 proposals is not yet opened. However, as a Council, 11 you may vote on fisheries proposals and submit them to 12 your Council Coordinator. Once the window officially 13 opens, Coordinators will submit the proposals on your 14 behalf. The general public will need to wait until the 15 window for fisheries proposals has opened, which should be sometime soon and will stay open for at least 60 16 17 days. There will be a public news release from our 18 office announcing the window once the dates are set. 19 Proposals from the public will need to be submitted to 20 the regulations.gov website. The proposals will be 21 analyzed and presented to the Councils during their 22 fall 2022 meeting for Council recommendations. 23 Proposal analysis and Council recommendations will be 24 presented to the Federal Subsistence Board for their 25 actions during the January 2023 fisheries regulatory 26 meeting. 27 28 This concludes my update on the call 29 for Federal fisheries and shellfish proposals 30 31 Does the Council have any questions or 32 regulatory proposals to put forward. 33 34 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So Council member 35 comments or questions or do you have fisheries issues 36 that would need a Federal subsistence proposal to the 37 Federal Subsistence Board.

38

Any Council comments.

39 40 41

MR. VENT: Jack, this is Darrell.

42 43

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Darrell.

44 45

46

47

MR. VENT: Yeah, is this proposal period, is that for like, you're talking about shellfish and stuff, is that for like around the coast or maybe down there in the south area?

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: No, it's Federal fish and shellfish so it'd be all over Alaska, any Federal waters, which you live on Federal waters in Huslia so there can be Federal fisheries proposals. that's what the call is for, any kind of fishery proposal, if you have a fisheries issue, the call is open and the Council can vote for and submit a proposal to be reviewed during the Federal Subsistence Board process, which would be next fall we would start to review those proposals that come forward.

MR. VENT: Okay. Okay, that doesn't deal with emergency closures or anything like that then, all right.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: No, these are not for emergency closure, these are for basically regulatory changes under the Federal Subsistence Board process so for Federal waters like where you're at there.

So does any Council members have any subsistence proposals -- Kevin, in the Kuskokwim drainage do you have -- you don't have a heck of a lot of Federal waters up river there, though, right?

MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, this is Kevin. No, we don't have any Federal waters above Aniak on the Kuskokwim. Below that within Yukon Delta is our main area of Federal waters. But I don't have any proposals to put forward at this point. When it does open up though maybe we'll have some at that point.

That's all though, thanks.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. And so my question is when is the anticipated call? It's not open yet, it'll be open when, Cory, again, I missed that, I might have been writing or something.

MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I don't think -- yeah, it's soon but I don't think there's a set date yet.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. All right. So the Council is aware of the proposal call. This Council discussed a subsistence bag limit increase for the Jim River drainage but first we'd have to deal with the Jim River closure. And so that's a proposal

putting the horse in front of the cart. So that's the next fisheries closure review and so you going to lead that one, Cory.

MR. GRAHAM: Yes, Mr. Chair, but I think the call for nonrural determination proposals is before that on the agenda.

MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, this is Katya.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, I skipped over one, yep, I see that one now. Yes, go ahead, Katya.

 $\,$ MS. WESSELS: Yes, I think -- I would propose if Council is okay with it, to switch those two items in order because it seems more logical.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. We will go through this fishery closure review and then come back to this nonrural determination. So you want to lead that one Cory.

MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair, yes, I will. Again, for the record my name is Cory Graham and I'm a Fisheries Biologist with OSM. During the upcoming fisheries regulatory cycle OSM Staff will review closures to Federal subsistence fisheries similar to the wildlife closure reviews during your last cycle. Because the fisheries closure review process is relatively new I wanted to briefly describe OSM's closure review policy and provide the Council with a list of the fisheries closures that will be reviewed during the upcoming cycle. This is not an action item.

So Title VIII of ANILCA establishes a priority for the taking of fish and wildlife on Federal public lands and waters for non-wasteful subsistence uses of the taking of fish and wildlife on such lands for other purposes. Under certain circumstances specified in Title VIII, the Board is authorized to restrict, close and reopen Federal public lands and waters to the taking of fish and wildlife. Like other Board established rules closures are subject to change during the regulatory cycle. It is the Board's policy that Federal public lands and waters should be reopened as soon as practicable once the conditions that originally justified the closure have changed to such an extent that the closure is no longer necessary. To

help ensure that closures do not remain in place longer than necessary, the Board has directed OSM to review existing closures.

OSM will review half of all closures during each applicable regulatory cycle. Closure reviews will include analysis of all relevant considerations and OSM will recommend an action for the Council's consideration on the closure. The types of actions that the Council can recommend include, to retain, which means the closure remains in the regulations unchanged. A second option is to modify, which means a change directly related to the actual closure, for example, adjusting the dates the closure's effective, assigning an expiration date or broadening a closure to both subsistence and non-subsistence users. A third option is to rescind, which means to remove the closure from the regulations. If rescinded, applicable regulations from the closure area would apply. last option is to defer, which means that the closure remains in place until that time the Board takes up the closure again, normally no longer than the next applicable regulatory cycle.

I want to point out that changes to harvest limits and seasons or allowable gear types cannot be made through any of these options. These changes would require a parallel proposal to be submitted with the closure review.

During the upcoming regulatory cycle we will be bringing forward fisheries closure reviews for the Council's consideration at the fall 2022 meeting. OSM will be reviewing three closures located in the Western Interior region. The three closures are Jim River, Bonanza Creek, and Kanuti River. OSM will also be reviewing two closures in the Eastern Interior region, the two closures are Nome Creek and Delta River.

 $\,$ And this concludes my presentation of the closure review update. Are there any questions from the Council.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So to stay within the call for proposal, the Council could submit a proposal for the Jim River drainage to allow an increase in bag limit from five to 10; five under State now to 10 Federal. And then also comment on rescind

the Jim River closure, which was put in place long ago. So that would be the process to get that bag limit increase for the Jim River for subsistence?

MR. GRAHAM: Yes, Mr. Chair. And under your Council's original recommendation for the closure, I believe you also recommended modifying regulations to allow rod and reel only.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes. Yes, that was our recommendation but we cross-threaded with the closure review and didn't have a real proposal. So I would like to submit a proposal for the Jim River drainage when it comes for call to allow an increase in bag limit for the Jim River drainage for subsistence users from five to 10 grayling per day with rod and reel as the means.

I also want the Council to be aware that the Koyukuk River Advisory Committee is -- has submitted a State Board of Game proposal to allow hook and line or rod and reel fishing for subsistence, which has no bag limit, and up from the area by Nulato and it extends all the way up to the closed areas near the Dalton Highway, so under State regulations. We can't have unlimited bag limits, so would still maintain those closure areas on the MiddleFork, Jim River, et cetera. But under State regulations the proposal is for hook and line fishing for subsistence in the Koyukuk drainage up to those closed areas in the Koyukuk River drainage. So I want the Council to be aware of that, that's a State proposal and that will be -- will benefit all of the villages that use, traditionally -- customarily and traditionally use hook and line to catch grayling and various fishes to -with hook and line.

So I would like to submit the proposal through this process from the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council for the Jim River drainage, to increase the bag limit from five to 10 under Federal subsistence regulations and with a provision for the use of rod and reel, which is a customary and traditional practice here. When I was a kid people went and caught — there was lots of grayling and they would catch lots of grayling with hook and line. It was the primary method of harvest in the upper drainage because the waters are clear and so it's — and grayling are a tributary fish and easy to — relatively

```
0086
     easy to harvest with hook and line.
 2
 3
                     So how would we do that if it's me
 4
    making the recommendation, Katya.
 5
 6
                     MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, you could
 7
     possibly pass the gavel to the Vice Chair and then you
 8
     can make a motion.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: My Vice Chair is not
11
    present. So we can pass the gavel to the Secretary.
12
13
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes, you may.
14
15
                     (Teleconference interference -
16
    participants not muted)
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So, Darrell, I'm
19
     going to pass the gavel over to you because I want to
20
     -- for this Federal fisheries proposal.
21
22
                     MR. VENT: Yes.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So, Mr. Chair. I
25
    would like to make a motion to submit a proposal to the
26
    Federal Subsistence Board to increase the bag limit on
27
     the Jim River drainage from five grayling to 10 with
28
     the provision for using rod and reel or hook and line
29
    for subsistence harvest.
30
31
                     Do we have a second -- or can I get a
32
     second to that one.
33
34
                     MR. DEMOSKI: I second. Jack, this is
35
    Arnold.
36
37
                     REPORTER: Was that you Arnold?
38
39
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Yeah, that's.....
40
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So you want to
41
42
     clarify who that second.....
43
44
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Yes.
45
46
                     REPORTER:
                               Thank you.
47
48
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Arnold. I second it.
49
```

0087 1 REPORTER: Thank you. 2 3 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, you got to get 4 closer to your mic, Arnold, you're barely audible and 5 you break up if you get too far away from it. 6 7 So, Darrell, you can ask for discussion 8 on that motion that's been seconded. 9 10 MR. VENT: Okay, Jack. There's a 11 motion by Jack and seconded by Arnold. Is there any --12 is there a call for the question, or discu..... 13 14 MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, this is Katya. 15 16 MR. VENT:discussion. 17 18 MS. WESSELS: After the motion was 19 called and seconded, you're supposed to call for 20 Council discussion of the motion. 21 22 MR. VENT: Yes, I -- sorry about that, 23 I was calling for discussion but I put it backwards 24 there -- sorry about that. 25 26 MS. WESSELS: No problem. Thank you. 27 28 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So through 29 discussion. I would like to have some comments on the 30 proposal. The Jim River drainage is a tributary used 31 by people of this Wiseman, Coldfoot area for decades, 32 since the construction of the road. When Councils were 33 established we only had wildlife management and when we 34 got fisheries the OSM, or some -- BLM or somebody 35 immediately closed those waters to subsistence entry 36 and so we -- you know, I've always felt that the bag 37 limit should be more. When people go there it's like 38 30, 40 miles to those drainages and so I feel that the 39 bag limit can easily be supported but the bag limit increase for the limited number of subsistence users 40 41 that would actually be taking grayling under the 42 Federal regulation. 43 44 So when we get into the fall then we'll 45 have this discussion for basically rescinding the Jim 46 River closure. I'm not requesting the Prospect Creek 47 drainage or the Kanuti River drainage which -- those 48 are smaller drainages. S o the Jim River actually has

an extensive watershed associated with the road.

49

```
0088
 1
                     So that would be my discussion points
 2
     on submitting the proposal.
 3
 4
                     MR. SIMON: This is Pollock.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Pollock, go ahead.
 7
 8
                     MR. SIMON: Yes, Jim River is about 50
 9
    miles up from Allakaket. Fishing to 10 for subsistence
10
    purpose, who's going to fish up there are subsistence
    users, if you want to go fish for grayling you fish by
11
12
    Allakaket but the only peoples that are going to fish
13
    up there is somebody that's come up by the road and the
14
     road crosses the river someplace so you're giving
15
     opportunity to somebody from outside, and can you
16
     clarify that to me?
17
18
                     Thank you.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Mr. Chair, can I
21
     clarify that for Pollock?
22
23
                     MR. VENT: Yes.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So the subsistence
26
    users would basically be the people of Wiseman and
     Coldfoot, that's basically that's closest to us. And
     so the subsistence users would probably be no more than
28
29
     seven or eight people, would be the subsistence user
30
    base. So it's -- and it's not a lot of harvest but if
31
     you go that far you want to be able to take more than
32
     the current bag limit.
33
34
                     Is that clear Pollock?
35
36
                     MR. SIMON: Yes, okay, thank you.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
39
40
                     MR. VENT: Is there any other
41
    questions.
42
43
                     (No comments)
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I call question on
46
     the motion.
47
48
                     MR. VENT: Okay, question has been
49
     called for. All in favor of the proposal signify by
50
```

```
0089
     saying aye.
 2
 3
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 4
 5
                     MR. VENT: Any opposition to the
 6
    proposal.
 7
 8
                     (No opposing votes)
 9
10
                     MR. VENT: Hearing none, unanimous for
11
     the proposal.
                    It's back to you now, Jack.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, okay, thanks so
14
    much Darrell.
15
16
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, this is
17
    Kevin.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.
20
21
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Thanks, Mr. Chair.
22
     This topic of fisheries closure review process or the
23
     discussion that Cory had brought up, I think it's still
24
     on the table. I just want to make a couple comments and
25
     ask questions here.
26
27
                     I think this -- this seems new to me,
28
     this review process, maybe it's not but it is something
29
     new to me. It's kind of brought up some questions.
30
    But I would think that if OSM is going to review these
31
     closures that it should probably go through the same
32
     regulatory process as a regular closure or a regular
33
    proposal would go through. So just wondering if,
34
    instead of it just coming to RACs, and then straight to
35
    the Federal Subsistence Board, it seems like they're
36
    missing some consultation from Native organizations
37
    like the Doyon and those sort of thing that regular
38
    proposals go through. So this is basically a proposal,
39
     if OSM finds that they want to reverse a closure then
40
     they should put in a proposal to review -- to reverse
41
     it. Anyhow, if Cory could clarify a little bit of
42
     that, some of the process. And hopefully it can go
43
     through the process.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, those are great
46
     questions, Kevin, I appreciate that. So Cory, can you
47
     answer those, how the closure review process -- it
48
     actually turns into a proposal if the Councils
```

recommend it and is there a process for other entities

49

0090 to also make recommendations, that's pretty much the 2 question. 3 4 MR. GRAHAM: Thank you for the 5 question. Through the Chair. My talking points may not have been clear and I apologize for that. But it 6 7 goes through the same process as the proposals do. So we'll still get tribal consultation and we'll get input 9 from the ISC and it's essentially the same process as 10 the proposal goes through. 11 12 MR. WHITWORTH: Okay, Mr. Chair, that 13 clarifies a lot. I mean I didn't hear that in the first 14 go-around, thank you. That's all. 15 16 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, those are very 17 valid questions and good to review that step process. 18 Any other questions from the Council on the fisheries 19 closure review discussion. And then how that -- we 20 will be, at the fall meeting, reviewing those various 21 closures. Any other questions. 22 23 (No comments) 24 25 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Comments. 26 27 (No comments) 28 29 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any proposals. 30 31 (No comments) 32 33 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So hearing none 34 we're going to move to the call for nonrural 35 determination proposals, Liz Williams. Are you there 36 Liz. 37 38 MS. WILLIAMS: I'm here, thank you, Mr. 39 Chair, and good afternoon to everybody. This is Liz 40 Williams, and I'm an Anthropologist with the Office of 41 Subsistence Management in Anchorage. I'm here to 42 provide you with a brief overview of the current call 43 for proposals for nonrural determination in Federal 44 regulations. This is an action items. These materials 45 are not in your Council book. 46 47 A nonrural determination is the process

for formally changing in Federal regulation a

community's status from nonrural to rural or from rural

49 50

to nonrural. This is the second call for proposals for nonrural determinations since 2018. Any valid nonrural determination proposals submitted this year will be acted on by the Board in 2025. The call for nonrural determination proposals occurs every four years at the same time as every other fisheries regulatory cycle. The next call for proposals for nonrural determinations will be in 2026.

As you just heard from our colleagues in the Fisheries Division, the call for proposals has not yet published due to the lengthy clearance process in Washington, D.C. When it gets published the public comment proposal to change the regulations period will be open for at least 30 days.

There's a flier on our website on how to submit proposals to change nonrural determinations. The flier includes information on where to submit a proposal and lists items a proposal must contain to be accepted for consideration by the Board. The website address is www.doi -- Department of Interior .gov/subsistence/faqs, which stands for frequently asked questions. This flier can be found near the bottom of the frequently asked questions page. Additional information may be found in the soon to be published proposed rule for the 2023 to 2025 subsistence fish and shellfish proposed rule.

Again, this is an action item.

Thanks for your time.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So, okay, thank you, Liz. Western Interior has no nonrural designations within this region and I know of no area that would be — at this time would be determined to be a nonrural determination. If Donlin Creek goes big then it may need to be determined as nonrural but we'd have to look at Federal status there. But at this time I don't know of any — any Council members have comments on this nonrural determination proposal process.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Is there a question

47 or comment.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So hearing none, thanks so much Liz. So we're going to drop down to Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program information update. Cory.

MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Again, for the record my name is Cory Graham, I'm a Fisheries Biologist with OSM.

I will now provide the Council with an update regarding the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. This is not an action item.

We are currently in the final phase of the 2022 Monitoring Program funding cycle. The Federal Subsistence Board met in early February and endorsed the final Monitoring Program funding plan. Once OSM has a final budget the Assistant Regional Director will approve the funding plan and all applicants will be notified of the status of their submissions. With this cycle coming to an end, it's time for the Councils to begin developing priority information needs for the 2024. Priority information needs, which you may hear referred to as PINS are an important component of the Monitoring Program as they identify issues of local concern and knowledge gaps related to subsistence fisheries. Further, priority information needs guide the funding process by determining the type of projects that are submitted for funding and providing a framework for evaluating and selecting project proposals.

We are asking for several volunteers from the Council to meet by telephone this summer to identify knowledge gaps and information needed for management of subsistence fisheries in their region. The draft list of priority information needs that is developed by the volunteers will be presented to the Council for final approval during their fall 2022 meetings. Again, this isn't an action item but if there's anyone on this Council that would like to volunteer to help develop priority information needs for the next cycle it would be greatly appreciated. Because this Council covers both Yukon and kuskokwim regions it would be nice if there was at least one volunteer from each region.

 $$\operatorname{And}$$ this concludes my presentation regarding the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program

```
0093
 1
    update.
 2
 3
                     Are there any questions or volunteers
 4
     to help develop priority information needs.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do Council members
 7
    have questions on prioritizing these information needs.
 8
 9
                     MR. GERVAIS: Jack, this is Tim.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.
12
13
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, I don't have any
14
     questions but I worked with that group on the last
15
     cycle and didn't do that good of a job with it because
     I didn't quite understand the process that well but I \,
16
17
     would like to go back in with it and assist with
18
     commenting on what the priority needs might be.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, so you'll be
21
    our volunteer for the Yukon.
22
23
                     MR. GERVAIS: Sure.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I'll question the
26
    Council, is the Council good with that -- I am.
27
28
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, this is
29
    Kevin.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Kevin.
32
33
                     MR. WHITWORTH: You're a little bit
34
    quiet on your mic, but sorry to bother -- or butt in
    here, but I'd volunteer for the Kuskokwim on this
36
    priority information needs team or whatever you want to
37
     call it.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
40
41
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Yes.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Thanks for
44
     pointing out that I was a little guiet on my mic there.
     I'm making myself a cup of tea and I put it in my
45
46
     pocket. And so the Council is -- any opposition to Tim
47
     representing the Yukon from the Council.
48
49
                     MR. DEMOSKI: No, I'm okay with it.
```

0094	
1	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So okay. And
2	then Kevin volunteers to represent the Kuskokwim and so
3	any opposition to that.
4	
5	MR. DEMOSKI: No.
6	
7	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So hearing none,
8	consider those volunteers as our representatives to the
9	Fisheries Monitoring Program, Cory.
10	
11	MR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
12	
13	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So then Council
14	charter update, you sort of went over that a little
15	bit, Katya, that's E, did you want to go over it a
16	little more.
17	
18	MS. WESSELS: Yes, Mr. Chair, actually
19	no, I it was my mistake, I apologize. I didn't
20	notice it was on the agenda later so I jumped in earlier. But I completed the charter update unless
21 22	Council has any additional questions.
23	council has any additional questions.
24	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, not a problem.
25	You're doing great. It got dumped in your lap.
26	Tou ie doing glede. To got damped in your lap.
27	MS. WESSELS: Thank you.
28	
29	CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we've reviewed
30	our charter and I'm happy with the way the charter has
31	moved forward. So review and approve the 2021 annual
32	report, which is under Tab 7 and that was also going to
33	be the Coordinator, are you going to review that for us
34	Katya?
35	
36	MS. WESSELS: Sure. Thank you, Mr.
37	Chair. So under like Jack said under Tab 7 or on
38	our website you can find the Council's draft fiscal
39	year 2021 annual report to the Federal Subsistence
40	Board. And the Council has the right to submit that
41	report under ANILCA and bring topics subsistence
42	topics of importance to the Board's attention. So
43	right now the Council has five topics outlined in the
44	draft annual report.
45	The first tenis is near natural of Value
46 47	The first topic is poor return of Yukon and Kuskokwim River chinook and chum salmon in 2021.
47 48	and Ruskokwim River Chimook and Chum Saimon in 2021.
49	Topic No. 2. Concerns about species
50	Topic No. 2. Concerns about species

1 utilized by subsistence users as a result of poor salmon returns. 2 3 4 Topic No. 3. Tribal representation on 5 the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program Technical 6 Review Committee. 7 8 Topic No. 4. State violation of ANILCA 9 Title VIII during a Federal closure on the Kuskokwim. 10 11 Topic No. 5. Bureau of Land Management 12 guide use permitting for dall sheep. 13 14 The draft language for these topics 15 were developed with the help of the transcripts from 16 the previous meetings and also with some consultation 17 with Council members. So at this point I'm going to 18 pause and if Council members didn't have an opportunity 19 to look through the draft yet, you have this 20 opportunity at this time. And if you would like to 21 change any language or, you know, add anything, or add 22 any additional topics that will be your opportunity. 23 So perhaps, Mr. Chair, we should take a five minute 24 break so Council members have a chance to look at the 25 annual report. 26 27 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So I got..... 28 29 MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair. 30 31 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Kevin. 32 33 MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah, I helped write 34 this, you know, with you, Jack, Karen Deatherage as 35 well working with the topics that we talked about at 36 our last meeting in October. There was some emails 37 going back and forth on drafting this. I'm comfortable 38 with the way it is written now and ready to move on to 39 the next annual report for '22. So I'm comfortable 40 with the way it is and I don't think there needs to be 41 any additions or edits or anything at this point, for 42 me. 43 44 That's all, thanks. 45 46 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. I appreciate 47 that comment, Kevin. Yeah, I'm good with this annual

report. And I think the present Council members that

are here were present during the discussion last fall

0095

48

49

```
1
    when we were outlining this annual report. And so any
     -- we'll give the Council a chance to comment if
 2
    there's something you'd like to see slightly expanded
     or slightly retracted, this is your approval time.
 5
 6
                     So does any Council member have any
 7
     discussion on this annual report topics that are
     outlined here. They're fairly clear. Go ahead.
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I thought I heard
13
     somebody trying to say something.
14
15
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Mr. Chair, this is
16
    Arnold. I read the draft report, it looks good to me,
17
     I don't have any changes.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Good, thanks
20
     for that comment, Arnold, appreciate it. So I want to
21
     give everybody a chance to weigh in. So we'd take a
22
    motion to adopt our annual report for submission to the
23
     Federal Subsistence Board Katya?
24
25
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes, please.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So the Chair will
28
     entertain a motion to adopt the annual report as found
29
    under Tab 7 in our meeting packet. It was reviewed by
30
    myself and various Council members, everybody had a
31
     chance to discuss it, so the Chair will entertain a
32
    motion to adopt that annual report to submit to the
33
    Federal Subsistence Board on the various topics of
34
    concern.
35
36
                     MR. VENT: This is Darrell, I make a
37
    motion.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Moved by Darrell.
40
41
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Second by Kevin.
42
43
                     MR. DEMOSKI: This is Arnold, I second.
44
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Kevin second. So
45
     any further discussion.
46
47
48
                     (No comments)
49
```

```
0097
 1
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do we have a call
 2
     for question.
 3
                     MR. VENT: Question.
 4
 5
 6
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Question.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Question by Darrell.
 9
     Those in favor of submitting the annual report as
10
     described and presented under Tab 7 of our meeting
11
    packet to the Federal Subsistence Board signify by
12
     saying aye.
13
14
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any opposition to
17
     that signify by saying -- same sign.
18
19
                     (No opposing votes)
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So the annual report
22
     has been approved by the Western Interior Regional
23
     Advisory Council to be transmitted to the Federal
24
     Subsistence Board.
25
26
                     (Teleconference interference -
27
    participants not muted)
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we're moving on
    to our next topic is Federal Subsistence Board annual
30
31
     report reply process review and revision, discussion,
32
     Council comments and feedback. Robbin LaVine, are you
33
     there.
34
35
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair, I'm
36
     here. So hello, Mr. Chair. Members of the Council.
37
     For the record, my name is Robbin LaVine and I'm the
38
     Subsistence Policy Coordinator for the Office of
39
     Subsistence Management. This is an action item.
40
41
                     During the Federal Subsistence Board's
42
     August 2021 work session, the InterAgency Staff
43
    Committee briefed the Board on the annual report reply
44
     process and possible revisions to improve
45
     responsiveness to Regional Advisory Council concerns.
46
    This ISC briefing is part of a larger ISC effort to
47
     improve workload efficiencies within the Federal
48
     Subsistence Management Program. The Board reviewed and
49
     discussed the report reply process and agreed to
50
```

forward this topic to the Councils for your input on the suggested revisions, including any possible ideas of your own.

As you are aware, ANILCA Section .805 authorizes the Councils to prepare an annual report, which you just passed, containing information related to important subsistence resource issues within your regions. These reports are invaluable as they provide the Board with a broad holistic picture of local resource conditions and the most up to date subsistence issues facing communities across rural Alaska. With this knowledge the Board can make informed decisions.

Historically, the Board has strived to provide responses to every topic listed in annual reports regardless of its authority to address the issues raised. However, it is unclear if Board responses on all annual report topics are helpful to the Councils while also taking considerable Staff time to complete. So the ISC has suggested process revisions.

1. To maintain annual reports as a way to inform the Board of local conditions, issues and needs, and

2. To propose that letter writing be a way to request a response from the Board on topics of concerns.

We do recognize that Councils already write letters to the Board and to other agencies outside of the annual report and reply process. Under the ISC scenario the only change is how the Board responds to issues within your annual report. This change might allow for more substantive and timely responses from the Board on topics of critical concern to the Council, for example, a separate letter requesting Board response could be written and submitted to the Board more quickly than including the issue in the annual report as the annual report requires a longer time for both preparation of the report as well as for preparation of the Board's response.

These suggested revisions are not intended to diminish the ability of the Councils to communicate with the Board. The Board relies on your

knowledge and expertise and annual reports are a way to ensure the Board remains up to date on important developments in your region.

To that end, we are asking you to think about what you want from the Board in response to your annual report. To help guide your discussion I suggest that; 1, we first review, again, the ISC's suggestions; 2, we will open the floor to questions and Council discussions, and, then; 3, we will ask you to take action developing your comments to forward to the Board.

So with those three steps before us I will, again, remind you of the ISC suggested revisions and that will be: One, annual reports remain as a means to keep the Board informed of important conditions, issues and needs, and letter writing as a way to request Board response on important topics and concerns.

And now we're at step two and I'm ready to take your questions, clarifications and discussions.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. Members of the Council.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Robbin. Does the Council members have questions for Robbin and comments on this annual report review and response to the Councils.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any Council member comments. So I got a few discussion points to make with this annual review process.

I've been on this Council since 1993 and nobody knew what the annual report was. The first Councils developed the annual review -- report process to the Federal Subsistence Board about these various topics of concern and so we've done that from 1993, or nearly 30 years ago. But it's important for the Board to look at and review these various topics, that they're aware of these various issues throughout the various regions of Alaska, the 10 different regions.

The suggestion for letter writing to

the Federal Subsistence Board with response, that's fair, we probably could go with that and -- under specific instances that need a response.

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2

But the other suggestion is to write letters to the agencies. If this is going to be reviewed before the Federal Subsistence Board, the agencies need to respond to the Councils. We wrote a letter to the Acting Regional Director for the BLM back in February 4, and as we do with many times we've written to the various agencies, we get virtually no response. We don't know what they think. We have no clue what they're going to do. It's like a shot in the dark, it never hits its mark, we don't get a response, we don't get -- they don't really want to say anything apparently. I don't expect a response on February 4, but we never get a response from the agencies. If the Federal Subsistence Board is going to not respond on every issue then maybe relegate it to the various agency that -- on the properties that it affects, that agency should be incumbent to respond as the Federal Subsistence Board should be incumbent to respond on our specific letters. We write a specific letter, we want a specific response and the agencies should be under agreement by the Federal Subsistence Board process to respond to the Councils. That's the only way this is going to go forward.

272829

So those are discussions clear, Robbin.

30 31

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Actually very informative and helpful. Thank you.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47

48

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any other Council members that want to discuss this issue. These are important processes that this is how the Councils, either through proposals or through this annual report process interaction with the various agencies, those -this is how the Councils -- and these Councils have deference and so there's deference to these Councils. If the Council writes to an agency and they don't respond, that's not deference, you're not getting a response. And we -- under ANILCA it's -- we're provided a forum for expression of opinions and recommendations of persons of interest for any matter related to subsistence uses of fish and wildlife within the region. That's .805(b) -- (3)(d). So these Councils have a deference and the agencies, if they're going to sign on with this annual report -- pushing

0101 1 that off to the various agencies, we want response from the agency. We want responsibility taken by the agency to respond and if they don't want to do that we want 4 response from the Federal Subsistence Board. That's 5 the way this needs to happen. 6 7 So I've stated that twice. 8 9 Any other comments or questions. 10 11 MR. SIMON: Mr. Chair. 12 13 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, go ahead. 14 15 MR. SIMON: Hi, I'm not sure if you're 16 taking any questions or comments from the public on 17 this topic but this is Jim Simon if you would entertain 18 a possible comment. 19 20 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, if you have a 21 comment go right ahead. 22 23 MR. SIMON: Yeah, thank you. I really 24 appreciate the comments you just made, Chair Reakoff, 25 because my understanding of ANILCA .805(3)(d) is that 26 an annual report is really to be to the Secretaries, 27 the Secretary of the Interior, in particular, and I 28 think that the annual report going to the Federal 29 Subsistence Board is a regulatory decision in order to 30 administer that part of ANILCA, Title VIII but that 31 that regulatory role for the annual reports could also 32 be changed by regulation. 33 34 I think it's important that the 35 Regional Advisory Councils bring to the Federal Subsistence Board in the current process are intended 36 37 to get to the Secretary level and I think the issue you 38 just outlined with the lack of effective response to 39 letters written by the RACs to the individual DOI 40 agencies is problematic and compromises the original 41 intent of ANILCA, Title VIII, Section .805. 42 43 Thank you very much. 44 45 And this is, for the record, Jim Simon, with the Tribal Resources Stewardship Program at Tanana 46

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I've been in this

47

48 49

50

Chiefs Conference.

game quite awhile and I've read ANILCA back and forth and I've listened to the discussion by the Solicitor, .808 of ANILCA gives Subsistence Resource Commissions a direct line to the Secretary of Interior but when the Federal Subsistence Program and the Federal Board was set up, the Federal Subsistence Board is actually an arm of the Secretary, they actually represent the Secretary. So we've been under the correspondence review process, we can't -- we're under the Hatch Act, we can't write letters to any kind of a political entity and so we actually are -- we have been precluded from speaking directly to the Secretaries for quite some time by the Solicitor's interpretation. So we're actually writing to an arm of the Secretaries by the Federal Subsistence Board but the RACs have deference.

And if our annual reports, they're not going to respond to everything, okay, we understand that you have this issue and maybe you're going to bring a proposal forward or they feel that you should write to the Forest Service, or the BLM, or the U.S. Fish and Wildlife, or whoever that land managing agency is, we want to see a response. I'm getting tired of writing letters to these agencies and they do not respond, so that's a problem.

So if we're going to go in this direction then these agencies are going to have to start to respond to the Council and then if we don't get recourse we can move forward to the Federal Subsistence Board with some proposal or some other remedy.

So that's my interpretation of what our Program entails, Jim. Response.

MR. SIMON: No, thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So that's what we've been told, over and over, that's the way this Program is run. Anybody at OSM have any different interpretation of what I just said?

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Apparently not.

48 MR. VENT: Hey, Jack, this is Darrell.

0103 1 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Darrell. 2 3 MR. VENT: Yeah, I just had a -- you 4 know, when this letter gets -- when they get on to this report and we, you know, say, for instance that, you 5 6 know, we're not really pleased with the way the 7 management is going, can they record this on there too 8 or is that just informational? 9 10 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, our annual 11 report is informational to the Federal Subsistence Board but if an issue continues to burn then there may 12 13 be a wildlife special action or, you know, a fisheries 14 special action request and then it comes up to a 15 different level so that would be our next recourse. We can write directly to the -- what OSM is saying, we 16 17 could write direct letters to the Federal Subsistence 18 Board about specific issues that might get responded to 19 sooner -- that's valid. But then we also..... 20 21 MR. VENT: Okay. Ca..... 22 23 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:the other 24 double-edge to this sword is, if the Federal 25 Subsistence Board is going to push this off to the 26 agencies and we're going to write to the agencies, we 27 have to have an assurance, there has to be an agreement among the Federal Subsistence Board, which all of those 28 29 heads of -- the Board members, most of them are the 30 agency heads and they have to agree among themselves 31 that they're going to respond to these Councils because 32 we have deference. The Secretary said that. The 33 Secretarial Review of the Regional Councils gives the 34 Council deference. And if the agencies, if they're 35 going to -- if the Federal Board, which is the agency 36 heads and some public members, they have to dedicate a 37 response to the Councils. 38 39 If a Council writes to an agency, we 40 want to see a response. 41 42 If you're not going to do it we're 43 going to keep writing to the Federal Subsistence Board 44 and we're going to do away with the agency letter 45 writing thing. 46

47 48 Does that make sense, Darrell?

49 50 MR. VENT: Yeah, just that, you know,

1 my interest right now is we have, you know, a real problem on Federal lands when it comes to predators and how do -- you know we keep asking uses on Federal lands to deal with the predation and it only goes so far. 5 But if it's documented, you know, they'd be coming back and asking us questions on why do we keep talking about 6 7 this when we know that some of the problems we're having in our villages. And, you know, we've been trying to deal with it at a local level but then we can 9 10 only go so far as on State land -- but when it comes to 11 Federal land it's a whole different type of -- and you 12 know we keep trying to address this and they keep, you 13 know, denying us to solve our problems, you know, it's 14 hard for us to manage this kind of predation so, you 15 know, I just want to make sure that, you know, we could 16 try to maybe get a program going about how it's 17 involved with our traditional and cultural use, that's 18 how we always managed it before but, you know, it makes 19 it harder when the Federal government doesn't recognize 20 that.

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Enabling legislation of the various Parks and Refuges and stuff that have been predominately used preclude predator control. The Federal Subsistence Board can allow, you know, expanded use, longer bear seasons, higher harvest limits for wolves and so forth and so on but the agencies themselves cannot fund predator control. That's been said over and over and so -- but that -- the State of Alaska, on State lands or Native Corp lands it's approved can do predator control. But the agencies, to date, I've seen no interpretation where they're inclined to fund predator control unless they have an absolute emergency where the population of whatever animal it is is going to be eaten by the last wolves and bears. So that's about the only recourse. It has to be a -- like an -- and it has to go through a huge amount of hoops to get to that point. But that's a....

39 40 41

MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair.

42 43

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So, Katya, go ahead.

44 45

46

47

48

MS. WESSELS: Yeah, I just want to address this last comment also. I just want to let you know -- the Council know, and remind you, you probably already have been told that in the past, but both the Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Federal

Subsistence Management Program and the Federal Subsistence Board Predator Management Policy note that: The Board's delegated authority under Title VIII of ANILCA only permits the Board to administer the subsistence take and uses of wildlife on Federal public lands. And predator control is not a subsistence use. The Board, therefore, is not empowered to engage in predator control and cannot direct land managers to engage in it.

Now Councils may submit a request to Federal land managers for agency sponsored predator control in their region. They also may submit a proposal to the Alaska Board of Game to modify regulations related to the intensive management of big game prey populations.

So that's what I would like to say about the predator control.

But I also wanted to address this topic, you know, what Robbin presented to you, that's a proposal that was developed by the InterAgency Staff Committee. That proposal was presented to the Federal Subsistence Board in August of 2021 and the Federal Subsistence Board wanted to hear the Council's opinion. And I think one of the reasons why the InterAgency Staff Committee had this proposal is because a lot of the times when we receive the annual reports, the Federal Subsistence Board receives the annual reports there are topics that are not within jurisdiction of the Board, not within jurisdiction of any agencies that compromise the Board so the Board is having a hard time replying to these issues. So it might be very good to have in the report for information purposes but the Board cannot reply, let's say for NOAA, or something like that or for the State really.

So I think the InterAgency Staff Committee proposal is an effort to alleviate these type of issues when we are puzzled on how the Board is going to reply to these kind of issues brought to its attention. So that's great that the Western Interior Council usually proposes some kind of action to the Board, how the Board can possibly act because you're not just presenting an issue of concern, you're also proposing solutions. So that's a great idea, you know, to help the Board in figuring out how to reply to the issues that you brought up.

6 7

8

1 You know if there is any other ways, you know, how the Council would like to the Board to 2 address the issues that you bring up, or any suggestions that you would have in regards to the 5 annual reports and how they should be formulated and what issues should go in. I mean I'm not going to read to you, you know, the .805(3)(d), -- or no, I'm sorry -- yeah, it's .805(3)(d) where it says what shall --9 the annual report shall contain, you guys can look at 10 it yourself and you probably already know, but just 11 take that into consideration while you're discussing 12 this topic.

13 14

Thank you.

15 16

17

18

19

20

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I appreciate those clarifications about the policy, the predator control policy of the Federal Subsistence Board. And so that was -- so do you understand there is this policy that they -- the Federal Subsistence Board does not do predator management, Darrell?

21 22 23

(No comments)

24 25

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are you still there,

26 Darrell.

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

MR. VENT: Yeah, I'm here, Jack. just listening in to, you know, the information. Because if we keep, you know, implying that we do have problems that eventually down the road, you know, these problems start appearing more and more. You know it's like you work with any agency and everything, they'll understand eventually how things evolve in the villages. It's something that, you know, they'll get a handle on if we keep explaining so that's what I'm trying to do is explain my thoughts on that.

37 38 39

40

41

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You may want to explore community harvest incentives like Arnold. Get a hold of Arnold, he seems to be getting a handle on that issue down there in Kaltag and Nulato area.

42 43 44

45

MR. VENT: Yeah, we do have an incentive program. I just, you know, it's some of the areas that we get involved in is a little different.

46 47 48

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Right. But, I mean so -- so that's off topic though so we're going to come

back on task here. So we need to -- we spoke quite a bit about this discussion on the annual report reply process and so we made specific comments that can be refined out of our transcripts. So the Chair will entertain a motion to transmit those discussions to the Federal Subsistence Board as comment on the annual report -- did you have a comment there, Katya.

MS. WESSELS: Yes, we're going to -yeah, once we receive the transcripts we're going to
summarize the Council discussion and we'll present it
to the Board whenever this topic is discussed by the
Board but, yeah, since it's an action item a motion
would be great.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, the Chair will entertain a motion to transmit our discussion topics to the Federal Subsistence Board, do we have.....

MR. GERVAIS: Jack, this is Tim. May I get a clarification before we do the motion.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, so go ahead.

MR. GERVAIS: So the agencies, in the discussion, you're talking about the agencies within the Department of the Interior. I was wondering how -- if this would have any affect on the way the WIRAC would communicate with NOAA or North Pacific Fisheries Management Council, which I believe are under Secretary of Commerce.

MS. LAVINE: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is Robbin.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Robbin.

 MS. LAVINE: Actually in the briefing when I -- you know when I mentioned agencies, it could be so many different governmental and non-governmental agencies that you area already writing letters to and just recognizing that letter writing is a tool in your tool kit for communicating, not only to the Federal Subsistence Board and the, you know, our agencies that are represented by the Board, but to NOAA, North Pacific Fisheries Management Commission and other

entities that you need to engage with because it's important for your subsistence way of life and your exchange of knowledge. And I think actually when we mentioned agencies in this briefing, we weren't necessarily suggesting that you, instead, write not to the Board, but to the BLM, or the Forest Service specifically on topics of concern unless doing so ensures more response and a more constructive exchange.

So I hope that clarifies.

I mean ultimately in the end, you know, this is just another way for you to communicate issues of great concern especially, first to the Board, if it's within our realm, you know, within the Board's realm of authority to take action on, but then, you know, encouraging and supporting you to write directly to agencies that are better equipped to respond to you because the Board has no authority over things like, let's say, NOAA, you know, bycatch, predator control, things like that.

And, again, also confirming that your annual reports are key to ensuring that the Board is kept up to date on what's happening in your region, as it's happening, the transcripts are important, your annual reports are important, your Council member reports that you share every time you meet are important. As a long time Staff member at OSM I go to those reports and I go to the transcripts all the time.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Robbin. I feel that there should be -- if the Federal Subsistence Board wants to push this off to the agencies, if the Council writes to an agency, does not get an adequate response and does that a couple times, at that point I feel that the Councils should then have the ability to write to the Secretary of Interior or the Secretary of Agriculture for recourse. If the Federal Subsistence Board doesn't want to deal with it, so we have to have a recourse because they're an arm of the Secretary of Agriculture and Secretary of Interior -- the Council should the ability at that point to write directly to the Secretaries themselves to get it clarified; of maybe the agency's reluctance, you know, maybe if we wrote to the Secretary of Interior or Secretary of Agriculture maybe it would have a little

```
0109
    more understanding at a higher level.
 2
 3
                     I don't feel that that -- I feel that
 4
     if the Federal Subsistence Board is going to go in a
     different direction and doesn't want to talk about some
 5
     of these things that affect specific agencies but a
 6
 7
     specific agency might not feel like or don't want to
     address the concerns that affect subsistence users, we
 9
     should have the ability after two non-responses to be
10
    able to access the Secretary of Interior or
11
    Agriculture. Put that in the comments.
12
13
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm
14
    writing quickly.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any further comments
17
     from the Council on this -- our annual report -- I need
     to have a motion to transmit the language, or -- are
18
19
     you satisfied now, can we -- and we should be able to
20
     write directly to the other Federal agencies, NOAA, et
21
     cetera -- does that satisfy your question, Tim.
22
23
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, that was great,
24
     thank you.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So any further
27
     discussion.
28
29
                     (No comments)
30
31
                     MR. VENT: Call for question.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do we want to -- who
34
    made the motion.
35
36
                     MR. VENT: Call for question -- or did
37
     we -- do we have to make a motion first.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, we have to
    have a motion. We don't have a motion on the table.
40
41
    You want to make that motion Darrell.
42
43
                     MR. VENT: Yes, go ahead, I'll make the
44
    motion.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: The motion....
47
48
                     MR. DEMOSKI: I second it, this is
49
    Arnold.
```

```
0110
 1
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: .....would be the
    discussion that -- the Western Interior Regional
 2
    Advisory Council's discussion with Robbin LaVine
    regarding the annual report policy that the Board is
 5
     requesting comments from the Councils. All of our
    discussion that we've outlined on the various aspects
 6
 7
    of this issue shall be transmitted to the Federal
    Subsistence Board for their next meeting when they
 8
 9
     review this. And who was that second?
10
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I heard a second.
14
15
                     REPORTER: Arnold seconded it.
16
17
                     MR. DEMOSKI: That was Arnold.
18
19
                     MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, this is Katya,
20
     I think you already have the motion on the floor in
21
     regards to submitting your comments to the Federal
     Subsistence Board summarizing all this discussion and I
22
23
     did not write down who made the motion and who seconded
24
     it, perhaps Tina can.....
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, Darrell....
27
28
                     MR. VENT: Yeah, I made the motion
29
    earlier....
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I don't think I had
32
     a motion....
33
34
                     MS. WESSELS: Darrell made the motion,
35
    did anyone second it.
36
37
                     REPORTER: So wait a second, on this
38
    particular topic.....
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Wait a second, go
41
     ahead Salena.
42
43
                     REPORTER: On this particular topic
44
     there was not a motion earlier, there just has been a
    motion by Darrell and a second by Arnold, that was just
45
46
    made.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, that was Arnold,
49
     I couldn't hear him. So we have a motion by Darrell,
50
```

```
0111
 1
     second by Arnold -- I was going to a motion but then
     Tim wanted to back up and have a little bit more
     discussion, which is fine, and so we have the motion on
 4
     the floor, any further discussion by the Council
 5
     transmitting the discussion.
 6
 7
                     (No comments)
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do we have a
10
     question on the motion.
11
12
                     MR. VENT: Question.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Ouestion's called.
15
     Those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
16
17
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Opposed, same sign.
20
21
                     (No opposing votes)
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Unanimous consent
24
     for that motion, we took our option. So we're at
25
     receiving public testimony protocol, guided discussion.
26
     Robbin LaVine, go right ahead.
27
28
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
29
     Members of the Council. Again, for the record this is
30
     Robbin LaVine, Subsistence Policy Coordinator for the
31
     Office of Subsistence Management. Please settle in,
32
     this is a little long but hopefully will be rewarding.
33
                     All 10 Subsistence Regional Advisory
34
35
     Councils were formed under Title VIII of the Alaska
36
     National Interests Lands Conservation Act, ANILCA, and
37
     they were chartered under the Federal Advisory
38
     Committee Act. ANILCA, as you know, gives each
39
     Regional Advisory Council the authority to provide a
40
     forum for the expression of opinions and
41
     recommendations by persons interested in any matter
42
     related to the subsistence uses of fish and wildlife
43
     within the region. And to encourage local and regional
44
     participation in the decisionmaking process affecting
45
     the take of fish and wildlife on public lands within
46
     the region for subsistence uses.
47
48
                     The Federal Advisory Committee Act
49
     stipulates that any member of the public is permitted
```

to file a written statement or speak to the Advisory Committee and may speak to the Advisory Committee if the agency guidelines allow.

During each regulatory cycle, therefore, opportunities for the public to provide their oral and written comments to the Federal Subsistence Board and to the Councils.

No. 1. The first opportunity starts after the call for proposals, and it's officially known as the proposed rule, after that is published in the Federal Register. This is an opportunity to submit proposals or any significant comments to the Board. The first comment window is open anywhere from 45 up to 90 days or longer.

Second opportunity for public comments on submitted proposals begins when the proposal submission window closes and validated proposals are published on the Federal Subsistence Management Program website.

The third opportunity for public comments on proposals is during the Council meetings.

And the fourth opportunity for public comments on proposals is during the Board regulatory meetings. These comments are used by the Board in their decisionmaking process.

So I'll explain the third opportunity for public comments on proposals during Regional Advisory Council meetings in more detail because this is the subject of our guided discussion today.

Public comments on proposals accepted during Council meetings inform the Councils in making their recommendations to the Board. The Council Chair or your Council Coordinator presents the Council recommendations and any supporting data to the Board at its regulatory meeting. This round of public comments on proposals are part of the record of the Council meeting, not the Board of meeting. Public comments on proposals are accepted after the presentation of each proposal analysis. These include oral comments provided by the public attending the meeting and written comments provided by the public during the meeting. Written comments are read to or summarized

for the Council. Again, these comments inform the Council and will not be included in the Board proposal analysis. Public comments on non-agenda items are accepted at the start of each day of a Council meeting. So in the past when Council meetings were held in person, the public brought their written public comments to the meeting to be distributed to the Council members and other meeting participants. During the fall 2021 meeting cycle since all the meetings were held via teleconference, the OSM leadership deemed it necessary to establish a temporary procedure for the submission of written public comments during the Council meetings. The public was directed to submit written comments to the centralized OSM email during the meetings as it was indicated in that years proposed rule published in the Federal Register. The OSM Staff read these comments into the record verbatim during the meeting. This was an interim procedure.

Now, prior to the Councils fall 2022 meeting, when the Councils are going to discuss fisheries proposals and closure reviews we are requesting the Councils input on how the Councils would prefer receiving written public comments submitted to them after the proposed rule deadline. These are comments the Council may want to consider in formulating their recommendations to the Board. Once OSM receives input from the Councils on permanent guidelines, we will then update the written framework accordingly. This is not an action item but Council discussion is encouraged.

For the Council's feedback we developed a number of questions that we would like the Council members to respond to. Remember, that we are talking about the written public comments submitted only to the Council. These comments are used by the Council to make their recommendations to the Board. The comments themselves will not be shared with the Board.

So now I'm going to pause for just a moment and after all that information we're going to pivot to these questions, and with everything I've shared with you in mind, I'm hoping you'll respond to these questions. We have five of them and I can read them all out to you or we can take them one at a time.

 $\label{eq:chairman} \mbox{CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I prefer to take them....}$

```
0114
 1
                     MS. LAVINE: What is wish of the
 2
    Council.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I prefer to take
 5
     them one at a time so the Council....
 6
 7
                     MS. LAVINE: Okay.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        .....can have
10
     discussion and respond. So question 1.
11
12
                     MS. LAVINE: Question 1.
13
14
                     In the Council's opinion, what are the
15
    best ways to encourage public feedback to the Councils.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Council member
18
     response to that question.
19
20
                     (No comments)
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Anybody got a
23
     response to that. The question again is, what's the
24
     best -- say it again there, Robbin, way or.....
25
26
                     MS. LAVINE: What are the best ways to
27
     encourage public feedback to the Councils. So
28
     basically public participation and public presenting
29
     their thoughts, their recommendations and, in
30
     particular, we are asking about this for the fall
31
    meetings when you will have an opportunity to solicit
32
     comments from the public on fisheries proposals and
33
     closure reviews. So if we're thinking about fisheries
34
     closures and fishery proposals to change regulations,
35
     what's the best way to encourage public feedback and
36
     comment to the Councils.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, first the
39
     public has to be informed, you know, the advertisement
     of the Council's meetings within the region should be
40
41
     published on the radio, in the news media and Facebook
42
     and various outlets so that the public knows that
43
     there's going to be a meeting and what the meeting's
44
     about. So we've had meetings, say, in Galena or
     somewheres and people are like, well, I didn't know
45
46
     there was a meeting. And so I've been in McGrath and
47
     actually gone down to the McGrath radio station during
48
     our -- during when we got there and actually was
```

talking to -- and did the same thing in Galena, so

49

there needs to be a little more lead time for the public to be prepared about what the issues are, it can't happen like the day before it should be happening quite awhile out, you know, like a couple weeks out, especially in the village -- or community that -- if we go back to face to face meetings they should be aware that there's going to be a meeting. So that's -- and then they have to know what the issues are. So they have to at least know that there's certain proposals or something that might affect their community.

So we're never going to get -- we don't get a lot of comments if people don't know that these various issues actually affect them.

So is that generally what you're

17 asking?

MS. LAVINE: Yeah, thanks. I mean, again, this isn't an action item but discussion and your thoughts, your expertise, especially as representatives of your region and people who've been on -- you, especially, Jack, who have been on this Council from the very beginning. Any comments you can share are tremendously valuable.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, any other Council comments.} \\$

MR. DEMOSKI: Yeah, Jack, this is

31 Arnold.

33 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Arnold, go

34 ahead.35

MR. DEMOSKI: Yeah, I was wondering, do you do outreach to tribes, tribal councils. I think that would be a good way of getting the information out there and maybe they can appoint somebody to attend the meetings.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: There's a Native liaison, Orville Lind, and OSM is supposed to be contacting the tribal councils about these various issues long -- with a real long lead and so there's actually call-ins to the -- tribal consultation calls, barely gets participation. I've been on the calls and not many people actually call in. But there needs to be a little better outreach. I do feel that -- and I've

said it many times, that if there's proposals or issues that affect communities in specific areas of a land manager, like Park Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife, BLM lands, those -- they have subsistence coordinators, and those subsistence coordinators should actually get a hold of those communities and tell them this is what the issues are. They could send stuff out. But a lot of this stuff, paper stuff -- there has to be key individuals -- the tribal councils need to have key individuals that they are going to be point, they are going to be the contact for the agencies about Federal subsistence proposals or actions. There needs to be somebody responsible to take this stuff. Because paper gets sent to these tribal councils and it's like what are all these envelopes, what does this all mean and it gets thrown in some filing cabinet somewheres or thrown in the garbage. So there needs to be someone in the tribal offices that are actually the point for contact to receive this kind of stuff so they can put it on the tribal agenda. That's my opinion.

MR. DEMOSKI: Yes, Mr. Chair, this is Arnold.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Arnold.

MR. DEMOSKI: I do that here. I receive all the information. If it affects our area directly then I put it on the meeting agenda and I give the council all the information and then they give me recommendations of -- I do it here and I'm pretty involved with all this stuff so I -- I receive a lot of information and I give it to the council.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I'm glad to hear that but that doesn't happen in a lot of communities. There's not a lot of communities that are actually responding.....

MR. DEMOSKI: No, no, I know that. I was just stating that that's what I do. Yeah, I understand that there's not a lot of people that do that out there though. I wish there was more people out there that do that though.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes. So I really appreciate that you're doing that Arnold.

So any other comments about how to get

0117
1 this information out so that we can get public
2 comments, that's where we're going.

3 4

MR. VENT: Yeah, Jack, this is Darrell.

5 6

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Darrell.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. VENT: Yeah, I do receive a lot of email and comments. You know it keeps us pretty busy and we have different organizations that try to deal with a lot of these topics that -- say, for instance, we have WIRAC, we have Koyukuk River Advisory, we have tribal meetings, we have also, you know, work with TCC's programs, the task force for hunting and fishing, also fish commission so, you know, we're getting more involved. It's just some of the villages have -- have limited internet availability and then also knowledge of how to attend these meetings. It may be difficult sometimes. But we are slowly getting more involved in the process and it's trying and, you know, in this Federal level we should be -- we should be able to have some kind of access to like, for instance, we have Zoom for meetings with TCC and other organizations, and at the Federal level I'm, you know, kind of surprised that we don't have any kind of capabilities that will allow us to interact together, whether there's, you know, something that needs to be shared. You know we have to do the email because we're not allowing that capability. Just my comments.

293031

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, I don't have -- I tried to get on Zoom yesterday with that Koyukuk River Advisory and it started -- the internet dropped off, somebody started streaming a movie in Coldfoot and it just crashed the system and I had to jump off that and get back on the phone. So we're not quite there. I would like, you know, if we had to do virtual meetings in the future, hopefully at some point with the Infrastructure funding, that these villages and communities will have decent internet so that we can actually use, you know, we could see the displays and see each other and stuff like that but we're not there yet. There's no way I could be at this meeting on Zoom, it just would not work here. There's a lot of places that don't even -- some places don't even have cell service or internet.

46 47 48

 $\label{eq:continuous} \text{Okay, question two, all right that was } \\ \text{question one.}$

MS. LAVINE: That was question one of five. CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. MS. LAVINE: So question two of five. Would the Council prefer to receive comments from the public orally, so like in person, from their mouths or in writing, or both. CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I would prefer to have oral comments from the public if they can but some people work and they can't be on the call. So for the first preference would be to have it orally because we could then have exchange of, if we have questions about for clarification, that helps. But written is the fall back. Other Council comments. MR. SIMON: Pollock has comments. CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Pollock. MR. SIMON: Yeah, in the 1970s my friend, the late Ron Sam, made a motion to the Legislation to start the Koyukuk River Advisory Committee and the motion went through so we've had a committee since then. And the year it passed we have meetings twice -- twice a year, it was good. We were one of the first Advisory Committee meetings and other committees look at the proposals and we are a good sounding board for other committees and it was good but now things have changed, State cuts back on funds and we haven't had a meeting since maybe for years but I wish we could come back to meeting again for very important reasons to protect our fish and wildlife for next generation. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So thank you, Pollock. Actually the Koyukuk River Advisory Committee had a meeting yesterday and the coordinator was supposed to send all the proposals and all that stuff to your Allakaket Tribal Council and so the tribe was supposed to tell people that we were having a meeting and Charles Ned was on the call, Shawn Bergman's on that committee, he wasn't there, Charles Ned was the

only one really from Allakaket, Harding he wouldn't get on there, Jared wouldn't get on there from Alatna, so somehow you got dropped out of the loop so we need to get -- maybe I'll have this Kyle send you directly the material because we had a meeting yesterday and we reviewed all the proposals that affect this area. So the problem is the tribal councils aren't getting the information out, that's kind of a major bottleneck in some communities.

But I do appreciate your comment.

MR. SIMON: Yeah, they should have passed the information out about advisory meeting because I didn't know.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Right. There's a glitch in the system here. I tried for a month and a half, almost two months to get that meeting going. We had one on February 3rd and then we had one yesterday on the 15th so the Koyukuk River Advisory went through various management stuff with Glenn Stout and the fisheries manager and we also went through all of the proposals in the second meeting, yesterday, so that did happen, but see the information isn't getting out. That's exactly what we're talking about, Robbin, exactly what we're talking about.

MS. LAVINE: Uh-huh. Yep.

MR. VENT: Yeah, Jack, this is Darrell.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Darrell.

MR. VENT: Yeah, I -- you know I know it was kind of hard to get everybody involved there, it's a trying process because before, you know, we used to have meetings in each village and, you know, the recruitment was a lot better then because the people from the village felt that they were able to represent their villages and now that, you know, some places don't have good communication or tribal organizations, it's hard to get that information to them. Like you said Harding and Jared up there in Alatna, Allakaket you had Ben Simon and Charles Ned, usually you had Huey and Janet and Huslia was Jack and I and I think Shawn -- yeah, it's hard to get people involved, you know, when we don't have -- we don't have the public participation no more. So I think Huey mentioned on

```
0120
 1
     that yesterday that, you know, we used to recruit them
     when the meetings come to our villages.
 2
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, we've also
 5
    been in this Covid thing for two years and Jackie was
    like, well, we don't have village -- we have to have
 6
 7
     face to face to meetings and I says, Jackie, we can't,
    we have to stay at the school, the schools won't let
    you in the door so that was a problem. So hopefully
10
    this next fall we'll be back to normal again at some
11
    point. It'll be nice to have WIRAC meetings in person
12
    again too.
13
14
                     So question two, I lost track of what
15
     -- what's question two there, Robbin.
16
17
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
18
     Question Two.
                    Would Council prefer to receive comments
19
     from the public orally, or in writing.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Right.
22
23
                     MS. LAVINE: And I think we all agree
24
    we'd rather receive them in person.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, definitely in
    person. But we have to be able to receive them in
27
     writing because there's people that work and cannot be
28
29
     on this call, or at the meeting or they can't travel to
30
    the meeting.
31
32
                     So any further comments on that.
33
34
                     (No comments)
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Number 3.
37
                     MS. LAVINE: No. 3 of 5. Would it be
38
39
    helpful to the Council if OSM requests the written
40
     public comments submitted to your Coordinator prior to
41
    the meeting within a certain time period and that the
42
    Coordinator, in turn, will summarize the comments and
43
    present them to the Council during the meeting.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Written comments,
46
     that's pretty much....
47
48
                     MS. LAVINE: So let's say.....
```

if they might be long.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.

MS. LAVINE: Yeah. That is something that we often do that people can -- if they can't make it to the meeting, they may email or submit written public comments to the Coordinator in advance and sometimes those comments are kind of long and involved, can they be summarized? So that's -- I think that's what we're asking for here. And, you know, should the -- because in the past, what was happening in person when we had in person meetings is people would mostly show up in person and they would either be able to get on at the public input at the beginning of each meeting, you know, on non-agenda items or they would be able to address the regulations, the regulatory proposals as they were taken up on the agenda during the meeting, but for people who can't make it, can they forward their comments in advance and can the Coordinator then summarize those comments, especially

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I would like to know the summary, you know, that entails but I would also like to see the entirety. You know a synopsis of what it is, you know, they're opposing this for these specific reasons but they might have a longer comment that there may be something that the Coordinator misses in there, specifics. But I would like the....

MS. LAVINE: And actually.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.

 MS. LAVINE: And actually I think that this kind of dovetails into Question No. 4, so we can talk about both of these: Is it better for the Councils if received public comments are read verbatim into the record or summarized. And then also can -- can the comments also be presented to you or handed to you, that's another option.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I would.....

MS. LAVINE: So they could be summarized on record.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Summarized on record. I mean we can't read the entireties of a lot of these comments because sometimes they're really,

```
0122
     really long, they got a lot of statistical information
     and stuff. But the summary of the comments, but the
    Council should also be able to -- if they're written in
     paper form, they're written comments, we should be able
 5
     to look at those also but I do feel that they should be
 6
     summarized for the Council record.
 7
 8
                     Council member's comments on that.
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hearing none, that
13
     was number 3 and 4.
14
15
                     MS. LAVINE: Yeah. No. 4 is should
16
     submitted public comments be read verbatim into the
17
     record or summarized.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I would prefer
20
     summary into the record.
21
22
                     MS. LAVINE: Uh-huh.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Council comments on
25
     No. 4.
26
27
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, this is
28
     Kevin.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Kevin.
31
32
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah, I think on that
33
     third question there was something about a deadline for
34
     written comments. My thinking is there shouldn't be a
35
     deadline, even if it's within an hour of our meeting to
36
     receive it. I mean it's challenging because
37
     everybody's not going to be able to see it but I would
38
     hate to say that there's going to be -- that we should
39
     have a deadline on written comments, I mean there's no
40
     -- when you get public comments orally, people come to
41
     the meeting and we're hearing their comments live, so
42
     it's no different if we hear written comments come to
     us the day of the meeting, we have to digest it and
44
     work with that comment on the fly. So I don't think we
45
     should have a deadline.
46
47
                     That's the only comment I have, thanks.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I appreciate that
```

comment, Kevin. I do agree with that fully. The person -- there should be an email address, you know, for our meeting, for the Council Coordinator, whoever it might be, if somebody wants to submit a comment during the deliberation and they can transmit it to the Coordinator and that could be read right into the record. So it should be at least for the specific agenda item and it should be a comment can be received electronically right up through the meeting or they can call into the meeting but if they can't and they can transmit electronically right up to the meeting. I don't want to exclude comment. There's things that have happened, things might be changing during the meeting and people want to make a comment on a proposal, new information has come out during the presentation and they might want to make a slightly different comment, they should have that ability under No. 3. I agree with Kevin on that.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I mean it is -- it should -- there's Staff -- you know the comments could go through OSM directly to the Coordinator -- you know the Coordinator's busy during the meeting but there needs to be the ability for the public to be able to comment on specific issues because we're a forum for that.

So any other comments.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: No. 5.

MS. LAVINE: No. 5. Do you have any other suggestions regarding the ways of improving written or oral public testimony procedures during the Council meeting.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I've been on the other side of the table, you know, waiting to make a comment at the Board of Game meeting or whatever it may be, I don't want to leave out the public and so nothing was more annoying to hear the Chairman of the Game Board say at the conclusion of 100 comments, it's the end of the day, we hate to listen to all these comments but that's what we do here. I'm like, what, I couldn't believe it. So I want to be an open door policy to the

1 public, I would like to get as much public comment as we can and we have to be more accommodating of whether they want to -- even if they come in late at the meeting and we've passed the proposal, if they got additional information and want to call in, I feel that we should be able to back up and listen to what they have to say. I don't want to be preclusive.

7 8 9

6

So those -- any further discussion by the Council on those five different questions Robbin is laying out for us.

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

10

MR. DEMOSKI: No, Mr. Chair, this is I just want to say I agree with you on that. Arnold. We shouldn't exclude anybody from making public testimonies or commenting on proposals. I think people should be heard and they may have important information that needs to be heard too so I don't think we should exclude anybody and, yeah, just be open to the public, completely open. I agree with that.

20 21 22

23

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Appreciate that comment, Arnold. And any further discussion on this topic item.

24 25 26

(No comments)

27 28

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So that answers most of your questions there, Robbin.

29 30 31

MS. LAVINE: Absolutely, Mr. Chair, thank you.

32 33 34

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Briefing and Council comments on proposed action to automate Federal subsistence permits. Robbin LaVine, I guess you're the lead on this one.

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

35

36

MS. LAVINE: Yes, Mr. Chair. Again, for the record, this is Robbin LaVine and I'll be presenting the Council with a briefing on Council comments on proposed actions to automate Federal subsistence permits. This is not an action item. begin with I'm going to provide you a brief overview of two governing statutes that are the impetus behind this briefing.

46 47 48

One of the many governing statutes that cover the Federal Subsistence Management Program is the

Paperwork Reduction Act, commonly referred to as the PRA. This law requires all Federal departments and agencies to gain approval through the Office of Management and Budget, OMB, prior to the collection of information from the public. In regards to our Program, this collection of information can be the information you provide on your permits, the data supplied to be nominated to serve, or to continue your service on the Regional Advisory Councils, but it also covers the signage used for fishwheels, and nets and buoy markers. Even the requirements to submit a lower moose jaw, the sealing of untanned animal skins, and other biological submissions are covered under this statute.

This law is designed to protect you, the public, from unreasonable requirements to provide data and/or personal information without justification. The process to gain approval to collect information from OMB generally takes a year, but it can be as long as 18 months. An agency must, in short, provide the reasons this information will be collected, what it will be used for, how it may be used outside of the original intent, who will have access to the information, and how it will be stored. This clearance is valid for three years and must be resubmitted prior to the expiration date.

Another governing statute that covers our Program is the E-Government Act. The purpose of this law is to improve the management and promotion of electronic government services and requires using Internet-based information technology to improve citizen access to government information and services. You see this is in our Program, in our webpage, providing news releases, meeting materials, and other information, and in the use of social media which allows for the swift notifications regarding special actions, meetings, and submissions of regulatory proposals. Our webpage and social media sites are monitored by DOI 24/7 to ensure we meet all requirements of the law and departmental policies.

After the most recent OMB clearance to collect information from the public, OMB contacted OSM and tasked us with exploring the use of automated permits within our Program. Many issues would accompany the use of automated permits and must be addressed before any attempt is made to use internet

0126 1 permits. 2 OSM is asking the Councils and the 3 public for ideas as to how the issuing of permits might look in the future. Any and all ideas are welcome. There are several overriding factors that must be 6 7 understood. 8 9 (Teleconference interference -10 participants not muted) 11 12 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we're getting 13 bleedover with somebody's open mic, you want to push 14 star, six to mute out. Go ahead, Robbin. 15 16 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So, 17 again, there are several overriding factors that must 18 be understood. 19 20 All Federal permits must be issued by a 21 Federal agency and cannot be delegated to a non-Federal 22 entity, such as a local or State entity or tribal 23 government. The cost must be considered, the Program 24 has limited budget and excessive costs cannot be 25 absorbed to the detriment of Board and Council meetings 26 or the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. And a 27 paper permit will still have to be carried into the 28 field for proof when addressing law enforcement or 29 field Staff. 30 31 So as we move forward and if you care 32 to respond, some of the issues that must be addressed 33 include: 34 35 How can we verify a person is a 36 Federally-qualified user through an automated 37 permitting system. 38 39 How do we address the lack of or substandard infrastructure in rural communities if 40 41 internet permits are used. 42 43 How will managers address quotas, or 44 limit the amount of permits issued in the case of 45 conservation concerns. 46 47 How will managers deal with the lack of 48 reporting of harvest results in the case of power 49 failures or lack of the internet. Especially when

reporting requirements are listed as 48 or 72 hours due to severe conservation concerns. How would this possible change affect subsistence users. What method of issuing permits would be preferred by the subsistence users. While this is not a formal request for comments, we ask that any comments, you can send them to subsistence at fws.gov by November 15 of this year. More information can be provided to the Councils as this process moves forward. Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Would you like comments from the Council now or do you want them all written and transmitted.

MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, this is Robbin. I think it's up to you, you're welcome to provide some comments now and if you have more detailed thoughts and guidance you can write them up and send them to us before November 15th, of 2022. For some of our Councils, in fact, I think for all of them, we will have concluded our fall meetings by that time and so you may decide you might want to consider it over the course of this summer and into the fall and to address

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

it again at your next Council meeting.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So any comments on the automated Federal subsistence permitting that Robbin laid out. Any Council comments.

MR. VENT: This is Darrell.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Darrell.

MR. VENT: Yeah, just some information that might be useful later on in the future. Some tribal organizations are talking about issuing permits on Federal lands from their tribes. I don't know if she's heard of anything like that yet but I think that might be what some areas are trying to decide right now. And I don't know if that would be helpful to her

or how to report to her, I think it would be more relying on the people in the village to do their own reporting. So, you know, it's just something I've been hearing from people talking about it.

I just wanted to mention that.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Tribes are issuing permits to Federal lands or to tribal lands? I know tribal.....

MR. VENT: I think it has to do with the way the tribes want to -- so they don't have to, you know, it takes a while for a permit to get back where they could issue there at the tribe and, you know, the permit is there already.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So I -- my comments on this, you know, proposed automated Federal system, is, the State of Alaska is issuing like fishery permits and various things electronically, licenses and stuff like that, so there's already sort of templates about that and then there's a tracking system, if you don't send your fish permit you're violating regulation. So some of this is already in place in the State system, the Federal has eligibility requirements. And many years ago I said that, like here, we have to have Federal sheep permit, Federal moose permits, I said we should have like a....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:that shows our license or whatever, some registration so that enforcement would know who is actually eligible to get these permits, actually documented living there. don't know if OSM would look into a sort of community -- you're a community and you have somebody that looks at that community, these people live in this community, this is their registration number and they can go online and get these permits and if people are new they would have to go through a different process to go through the eligibility process. But like me, I've got moose permits since they've been issuing moose permits here in 1991 so there's -- you don't have to reinvent the wheel, if the person continues to live there, maintains and signs that you -- you print this stuff out and sign it and transmit it back you actually have

0129 an intention of living there, just like you would on the real permit, so there's ways that this could be done. The State of Alaska is doing it, and part of it is in the way that the permits are actually issued 5 themselves. 6 7 So that would be my comments on this 8 but I may formulate different -- additional comments by 9 November 15th, 2022. 10 11 So any other comments from the Council. 12 13 (No comments) 14 15 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: There's not a lot of 16 places in the Western Interior where we have these Federal permits, I'm sure there are, but, you know, 17 18 this is one of those places where we do have that 19 because it's for management tracking. 20 21 Any other comments, Council members. 22 23 (No comments) 24 25 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I think we've 26 covered that, Robbin. We're moving down to your next 27 one, briefing on the Secretarial Regulations Proposing Identified Submerged lands in the Tongass Forest. Go 28 29 ahead. 30 31 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 32 This is my last, I think for a little while. 33 34 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right. 35 36 MS. LAVINE: This is an informational 37 briefing only and no action is required on the part of 38 your Council. 39 40 This is the second rulemaking on this 41 subject. The first taking place with the publication 42 of a proposed rule in June 2016 and the final rule in 43 May 2018. 44 45 To comply with a court order, 46 Peratrovich, et al., versus United State and the state 47 of Alaska. The Secretaries were directed to initiate 48 regulatory proceedings to identify those submerged 49 lands within the Tongass National Forest that did not

pass to the state of Alaska at statehood, and, therefore, remain Federal public lands subject to Federal subsistence provisions. This task was forwarded to the Federal Subsistence Board by the Secretaries. This proposed rule would add to the list of submerged parcels in the Federal subsistence regulations that have been identified through agency review. The purpose of this proposed rule is to complete regulatory proceedings addressing submerged public lands within the Tongass National Forest, as directed by the court.

The majority of these subsistence [sic] lands are low-water lines, reefs, rocks and very small islands, 100 yards to a half a mile in length.

This document is expected to be published in the Federal Register in February 2022 and will have a 60 day comment period. The exact dates will be announced news release and social media.

If you or the public desires to comment on this proposed rule you may submit comments by one of the following methods:

Electronically. Go to the Federal rulemaking portal https://www.regulations.gov. In the search box enter the docket number FWS-R7-SM-2018-0013. Then, click on the search button. On the resulting page, in the search panel on the left side of the screen, under the document type heading, check the proposed rule box to locate this document. You may submit a comment by clicking on comment.

 And you can also submit your comment by hard copy. Submitted by U.S. mail or hand delivery: Public comments processing, attention FWS-R7-SM-2018-0013; U.S. Fish and Wildlife Services, 5275 Leesburg, Pike, MS: PRB (JAO/3W), Falls Church Virginia 22041-3803.

As a Council, if you desire to comment on this proposed rule you may submit your comment to your Council Coordinator.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

(No comments)

```
0131
 1
                     MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair. Is anyone
 2
     available, did I fall off?
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, excuse me, I
 5
    muted myself.
 6
 7
                     MR. DEMOSKI: No, no, we hear you.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So any Council
10
     comments on this, Item J.
11
12
                     (No comments)
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: It's outside of our
15
     region so at this time I don't have any comments. So
16
     thanks so much, Robbin.
17
18
                     And so we're dropping down to tribal
19
     governments, Native organizations, Tanana Chiefs.
20
     Tanana Chiefs, you going to speak to the Council at
21
     this time.
22
23
                     MR. ERVIN: Hi, this is Bruce, can you
24
     hear me okay.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Bruce, go right
27
     ahead.
28
29
                     MR. ERVIN: Hi. Tanana Chiefs has been
30
     listening to the concerns of tribes throughout the
31
     region and we are determined to ensure we have
32
     representation, a strong voice and a seat at the table
33
     to protect our way of life.
34
35
                     Recently we recreated the Tribal
36
     Resource Stewardship Program, and it's combining the
37
     Hunting, Fishing Gathering Task Force and the Yukon
     InterTribal Fish Commission, and, you know, the goal
38
39
     for that is an effort to improve our advocacy,
40
     communication, outreach and responsiveness to those
41
     that we serve. And I' just like to reiterate that the
42
     Fish Commission and the Hunting Fishing Task Force,
     we're still in the process of being integrated into the
44
    TRSP, and we have a lot of planning and reorganizing
45
    that's going on right now. So currently the plan is to
46
    have Brooke Woods lead the Yukon River InterTribal Fish
47
    Commission and myself, Bruce, to lead the efforts with
48
    the Task Force. And we also team up with TCC's Deborah
```

Lind and have her help us with Alaska Migratory Bird

49

0132 1 Co-Management Council. And we offer any assistance to support their staff, their effort, there's a lot a 2 planning with that. And also..... 4 5 (Teleconference interference -6 participants not muted) 7 8 MR. ERVIN:we're currently updating our website to include information on the Task 9 10 Force and the Fish Commission, climate change and 11 Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council. We are 12 working with our TCC communications department to 13 figure out better ways to offer notifications of 14 events, training and meetings that benefit all our TCC 15 Interior Tribes. We will continue to look for ways to 16 make our website more user friendly and provide 17 important information that our tribes can access. 18 19 The TRSP Team continues to attend 20 various State Advisory Committee meetings with the 21 focus on TCC, that impact our TCC -- Interior Tribes. 22 We enjoy attending the various ACs. And we appreciate 23 the ability to participate and learn about what these 24 different AC areas say with hunting, fishing, gathering, ways of life. We will continue to reach out 25 26 to our tribes that are impacted by these ACs and offer 27 our help and support on any questions and advocacy 28 efforts that they have and need. 29 30 Also TRSP is gearing up for the 31 statewide Board of Game and attend the meeting on March 32 4th (indiscernible - cuts out) 33 34 (Teleconference interference -35 participants not muted) 36 37 MR. ERVIN: We will continue to reach 38 out to our TCC Interior Tribes to provide training, 39 meetings and help them get ready for the Board of Game. 40 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We're getting a 41 42 bunch of -- standby Bruce. We're getting a bunch of 43 background noise, somebody's washing dishes and your 44 phone is not muted, do you want to mute out, star, six. 45 46 Go ahead, Bruce.

MR. ERVIN: Okay, thank you. Our TRSP Team has been gearing up for statewide Board of Game 50

and reaching out to.....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

REPORTER: Hello. We're getting a lot of background noise, somebody is not muted. If you could check your device and press star, six, or the mute button it would really be appreciated so we could listen to Bruce's presentation.

Thank you.

MR. ERVIN: Okay, thank you. And we'll be reaching out to the tribes for the Board of Game.

Also our Team's been working on getting information from the Federal Subsistence Board and State Alaska Department of Fish and Game and making sure that information goes out to our tribes, our TCC website and our different social media platforms. Some of our announcements and outreach were listed in the news and updates and also in the events and deadline section of our TCC website.

Some information that was shared, you know, a few examples are the Yukon River Panel meeting that was in January. The application deadline for the Federal Subsistence Board RAC membership that was this month, in February. Also for the statewide Board of Game meeting that begins March 4th, that was shared as well. And also just to wrap up the Task Force part we'd like to share that our TCC Annual Convention will take place March 14th through the 17th this year at the Westmark Hotel in Fairbanks and there's more information on the website to learn more.

And for the Yukon River InterTribal Fish Commission, Brooke has been working diligently on the Fresh Eyes on Ice Project and she has a lot of meetings that she handles with them and we continue to work on their project. And with the Fresh Eyes on Ice Project is to expand the existing freshwater ice monitoring efforts using a culturally responsive citizens scientific model to increase the spacial extent infrequency of observations and expand the diversity of participants across Alaska. So basically this is a really great opportunity for, you know, all Alaskans to go out and, you know, get some really good

photos of the river and, you know, document it, go on the website. And what they're really, really shooting for is when, you know, springtime comes around, the water starts opening it up and they want to try to get as much photos of the spring breakup as they can and, you know, a good thing would be if you take a picture of one area, just go back there in the same area and try to get the same picture. You could upload it to the Fresh Eyes.org. You could download the global observer app, you could post your photo with the date and some details on their Facebook group, Fresh Eyes on Ice, or you could also email or text Brooke at TCC.

And also we received news that the 2020, 2021 fisheries disaster declaration efforts are ongoing and we're continuing to work with our partners, YRDFA and a few others.

And, lastly, for the Fish Commission, they are planning, scheduling and finishing up a few items for the 2022 Yukon Salmon Summit. It will be a hybrid virtual and in-person event that is scheduled for February 28th through March 2nd at Pikes Waterfront Lodge in Fairbanks. Just a little bit about the event, it'll be a summit led with indigenous knowledge and tribal governance to build ecosystem stewardship from the ocean to the headwaters. We seek inclusion on all voices on the Yukon, including fish families, scientists and policymakers. Day No. 1 will be, you know, it's entitled: Yesterday, will be leading with indigenous knowledge, what we know. Day No. 2., will be: Today, leading with tribal governance, what we need. Day No. 3., will be: Tomorrow, accountability to salmon and our people. For more information we advertise this on our website and Facebook and you can also reach out to Brooke Woods at her extension 3109.

 And for the fisheries program, Brian McKenna has a lot of great programs going on and doing a lot of research and, you know, some really great things. So we just wanted to mention that this summer will be a really active time for him and his program, and keep your eyes peeled for any job announcements that are going to be going out. It's a great opportunity to check out some of our weirs that he's been handling for such a long time so if you know anybody that's interested, have them reach out to Brian McKEnna.

And that's about it, I hope I didn't go over too far. Thank you for allowing TCC to give a report.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Appreciate that Bruce. Does any Council member have questions on TCC's presentation.

9 MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, this is 10 Kevin.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Kevin.

MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Bruce, for that report. Good to hear your voice on this call here. I was just curious what TCC leadership or you all are -- do you guys have priority fish or wildlife resource concerns, what are your -- just want to know a little bit more about some of your guys priority concerns as far as fish or wildlife resources goes.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Bruce.

MR. ERVIN: Yeah, you know, with these two big events coming up, I think right now it's kind of a good time that we're kind of really focusing on the State side with the Board of Game and then, you know, really trying to reach out to the tribes with the Yukon Salmon Summit to try and create some awareness, some educational information and, you know, possibilities through the Tribal Stewardship, various things. I don't want to build too much on the event, you know, because it's good to attend it and participate. And, you know, it's something that's been weighing heavily on Brooke and Ben and I and, you know, Jim, that, you know, we have a really big task ahead of us and we really feel, you know, the struggle that the tribes along the Yukon are facing and so we're doing the best we can to make sure that we're addressing some of their questions. And, you know, that's one of the goals of the Yukon Salmon Summit event is to try to address some of those concerns and, you know, try to plan for our future and, you know, try to cover the fish side.

And then also on the game side, you know, we have -- you know, we've been over the years

```
0136
 1
     posting trainings and, you know, getting advocates
    together and so we're just continually striving to
     improve the way we advocate with our tribes and, you
    know, looking for different and better ways to make
 5
     sure they have everything they need to protect their
 6
    way of life.
 7
 8
                     And so those two right now, I think,
 9
     are a couple of things that we're really focusing on
10
     hard right now. I hope I answered your question.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you.
13
     Does that answer your question, Kevin.
14
15
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Yep, good enough,
16
     thanks.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Any
19
     other....
20
21
                     MS. WOODS: Hi.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: ....tribal -- go
24
     ahead, who's there.
25
26
                     MS. WOODS: Good afternoon, this is
27
    Brooke Woods with the Yukon River InterTribal Fish
    Commission. I do apologize to Bruce and to the RAC for
28
29
    being late. I am just getting out of class. But I'm
30
    also here to answer any questions.
                                        Thank you, Bruce,
31
     for providing a brief overview and update on our
32
    programs. And for those that don't know me, my name is
33
    Brooke Woods. I am from Rampart, Alaska. And I work
34
     for TCC Yukon River InterTribal Fish Commission and a
35
     fisheries scientist student at UAF.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Well, thanks
38
     for the work you're doing there, Brooke, appreciate
39
     that. Any questions for Brooke.
40
41
                     (No comments)
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And, Jim Simon, did
44
     you have comments from TCC or anything from your side.
45
46
                     MR. SIMON:
                                 Thank you, Mr. Chair.
47
     think Bruce did a very good job of summarizing many of
48
     the programs at Tanana Chiefs Conference and
49
     specifically the changes going on with the Tribal
```

```
0137
     Resources Stewardship Program.
 2
 3
                     I think in response to Kevin
 4
    Whitworth's question about Tanana Chiefs Conferences
 5
    priorities, I think part of, you know, the benefits of
    this upcoming Yukon River Salmon Summit is to really
 6
 7
    have the opportunity to really start prioritizing the
    various concerns that have been brought to the
 9
    attention of Tanana Chiefs Conference. We do have many
10
    TCC tribal citizens, member tribal citizens who
11
    participate in the local Fish and Game Advisory
    Committees so Bruce and I and Brooke and others at TCC
12
13
    have been hearing a lot of the concerns, the
14
    observations, like you have also shared, Mr. Chair,
15
    about how the -- and Kevin have shared, about the lack
16
    of salmon and how that's affecting grizzly bears, and
17
     sort of this whole ecological, maybe, crises, is too
18
    strong of a word, but these ecological changes that are
19
    really compounding resource shortages of multiple
20
     salmon species in certain areas because of predation,
21
    et cetera, ungulate populations being low, the lack of
22
    caribou availability, et cetera. So a lot of the
23
    tribal concerns that are being brought to the attention
24
    of the Tribal Resources Stewardship Program are
25
    focusing on the food security concerns and trying to
26
    assert tribal sovereignty on food sovereignty and to be
27
    able to make sure the continuation of Federal and other
28
    subsistence uses, customary and traditional uses.
29
30
                     Thanks.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks, Jim.
33
     So any other TCC comments or presentations.
34
35
                     (No comments)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So on my list here I
38
     got Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association,
39
     Catherine, are you there.
40
41
                     MS. MONCRIEFF: Yes, I am, can you hear
42
    me?
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Can hear you fine,
45
    go right ahead.
46
47
                     MS. MONCRIEFF: Okay, great. Okay,
48
     good afternoon RAC members. Thank you for the
49
     opportunity to provide this update on our projects. My
50
```

name is Catherine Moncrieff and I am the YRDFA Staff Anthropologist. Serena Fitka, our Executive Director, apologies for not being available to give this report today but she's not been feeling well and is working minimal hours this week. You can email her at serena at yukonsalmon.org, or call her at 272-3141 if you have any questions that I'm not able to answer.

So I'd like to start with our upcoming meeting so please mark your calendars. The YRDFA annual board meeting will take place in Anchorage at the BP Energy Center on March 22nd and 23rd. Due to the lack of funding, we will not have the full Board in person. The agenda will be posted to our website and emailed to tribal offices for public posting. will be a hybrid meeting with the option of in-person, virtual and call in options. The following Board and alternate seats that are open are as follows: Y1 Seat 3, which is a two year term; Y2 Seat 3, which is a two year term; Y4 Seat 2, which is a two year term; and Y6 Seat 2, which is a two year term. These are also up for renewal with our current members and seats. have alternate seats, Coastal Alternate 1 is a three year term; Y1 Seat 2, which is a two year term; Y1 Seat 3 which is a two year term; Y2 Seat 2 which is a two year term; Y4 Seat 2 which is a two year term; and Y6 Seat 2 which is a two year term.

We are looking for a Koyukuk alternate to fill the remaining term which ends in 2023. If you're interested in serving on the Board or want to recommend somebody please contact our office at 272-3141 or email info at yukonsalmon.org.

The Yukon River salmon management preseason meeting will take place on March 24th, 2022 at the Embassy Suites in Anchorage. A letter and registration form was sent to the tribal councils last month to designate a representative from their community to attend. Our administrative assistant Sherry Alstrom will be in contact with the tribes who have not submitted their registration. Travel, lodging and per diem will be covered by YRDFA. You can find the agenda on our website at www.yukonsalmon.org. We encourage tribes to also submit their membership fees when submitting registration forms.

 $\label{eq:weak_problem} \mbox{We encourage everyone to like our} \\ \mbox{Facebook page and visit our website frequently as we} \\$

post other important meetings that take place, such as the House Fisheries Committee meeting, the Federal Subsistence Board, the North Pacific Fishery Management Council and other important meetings that discuss and affect the Yukon River fisheries.

Next, the Comprehensive Salmon Plan. One of our Board members, Stan Zuray, has graciously resumed the Chairman of the Yukon River Committee and our director has been assisting with updating committee members to call a meeting to discuss the draft plan and review public comments.

Next is the fisheries disaster update. The Department of Commerce approved the 2020 and 2021 Yukon River Fisheries Disaster Request. In October, our Director, Serena, was fortunate enough to travel to Washington D.C., to meet with the U.S. Delegation, the Senior Advisory for the U.S. Department of the Interior to discuss the hardships the Yukon River people have been facing the last two years. With the hard work of the people who continuously voice their concerns, the communities who submitted resolutions and the many organizations supporting us, we are one step closer to receiving the necessary assistance our fishers need. The next step is to keep informed of what NOAA is doing and work with the U.S. Delegation and the State of Alaska to acquire the necessary funds to assist with Yukon River fisher needs.

Next, I'd like to update you on some of our Fisheries Resource Management Program projects and then I'll follow with a couple other projects that may be of interest to you.

The In-Season Salmon Harvest Survey program is gearing up for the 2022 season by rehiring our surveyors, or in a couple of communities hiring a new surveyor and preparing their travel arrangements for the annual surveyor training event which will take place on Wednesday on March 23rd in Anchorage. The surveyors will also attend the pre-season planning meeting on Thursday, March 24th. Surveyors who prefer participating virtually will have that option as well. This year we're updating some of our survey questions to reflect the needs of the current fishery and this program is funded through the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program through March of 2024.

1 The next FRMP Project I'd like to 2 update you on is Local and Traditional Knowledge of Anadromous Fish in the Yukon Flats with an emphasis on 4 the Draanjik Drainage. This project is in its final 5 stages. We completed our biological field work with a 6 fixed-wing flight in November and a helicopter flight 7 to attempt to document coho spawning salmon area that is not yet in the Anadromous Waters Catalog. And I 8 9 should mention that this project is a partnership with 10 the Tanana Chiefs Conference. This location that they 11 were looking for has been identified by traditional 12 knowledge and with positive EDNA analysis. In December 13 the pilot spotted coho salmon but when the team landed 14 in January they were not able to find any coho. 15 this location has been added to our recommendations for future work. We had planned to travel to Venetie, Fort 16 17 Yukon and Chalkyitsik to provide a community review but 18 cancelled due to Covid issues. Instead we sent the 19 three communities a box of salmon strips and a draft of 20 the brochure summarizing the project for their review 21 and a binder of salmon-related school activities. 22 will be working with the communities to finalize the 23 brochure before we print the final version to be shared 24 with the RAC and others. The final steps in this 25 project include nominations to the Anadromous Waters 26 Catalog for the following species and location. 27 Rearing juvenile chinook salmon in the Tahadjiik (ph) 28 and Drifting Snow Creek, spawning adults and rearing 29 juvenile coho salmon at Nehdiji Ni'inlii at Teedraanjik 30 Creek drainage, presence of adult and juvenile 31 roundfish in Kevinjik Creek, Drifting Snow Creek and 32 Graylingfork Black River and presence of juvenile 33 Arctic lamprey in Kevinjik Creek, Tahadjiik Creek and 34 Graylingfork Black River, and this project will end on 35 March 31st of this year, 2022.

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

Next, I'd like to update you on two projects that may be of interest to you. The first is titled: They Told Us There'd Come a Time, Conserving Fish, Preserving Tradition on the Yukon River, a catalog of elders warnings. This project funded by the North Pacific Research Board has YRDFA, again, partnering with the Tanana Chiefs Conference with the Young Adult Emerging leaders to document the research documented, local and traditional knowledge of salmon and search for advice or warnings from the elders. We're entering year two of this project and planning our second training event which will take place in Fairbanks in early March. At this event our team will

review the archival research we've been engaged during year one and prepare for our qualitative interviews with today's elders. This event will take place on March 9th and 10th.

4 5 6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Finally, I'd like to update you on our Alaska Sustainable Salmon Fund Project titled: Integrated Local and Traditional Knowledge into the Anadromous Waters Catalog and Fish Inventories of Select Drainages of the Tanana and Yukon Rivers 2021-2023. This project is a partnership between YRDFA and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Last summer we traveled to Tanana, Manley Hot Springs and Nenana to interview knowledgeable fishers and hunters about fish locations. We've completed the transcriptions of the interviews and will be summarizing the results for our partners at the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to prepare for biological field work this coming summer which will attempt to document fish presence, rearing and spawning locations. The research team hopes to stop in the communities to share information about these projects again, this summer, as they travel along the river in early June, in late July and at the end of September.

242526

27

That concludes our report and thank you for the opportunity to provide this update and I'm happy to answer any questions if there are any.

28 29 30

31

32

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks, Catherine. Council members have questions on Catherine -- Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association presentation.

33 34 35

(No comments)

36 37

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any comments from the Council.}$ Chairman REAKOFF: Any comments from the Council.

38 39 40

MR. VENT: Yes, Jack, this is Darrell.

41 42

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, go ahead,

43 Darrell.

44 45

46

47

48

MR. VENT: Yeah, I just had one. Just wanted a little information on this project they're looking at. Is that to possibly put in a testing site to see, you know, like, say for instance, they have one down by Pilot Station and one up by Eagle, are they

trying to figure out if, you know, another avenue to have their fish acknowledged that there's actual fish going up that river. Is that one they're trying to set up in the Middle Yukon or something, I just wanted to ask that question.

MS. MONCRIEFF: Thank you for that question. Through the Chair. I think, I'm not sure but I think what you're asking about is a sonar because you mentioned Pilot and Eagle, and I would have to say that I have heard talk about that but that's not what our projects are, I don't think they're really working towards that. We have two projects that I discussed -or that I gave an update on that are looking to document presence of salmon -- of fish, all different kinds of fish and include them in the State of Alaska's Anadromous Waters Catalog which documents whitefish and salmon and fish that goes out to the ocean and then they also have a lack of fish inventory that documents presence and spawning and rearing locations of all types of fish and all of that is important information for knowing what habitat supports what kind of fish and what kind of protection we need to provide for that but it's not actually -- I don't think that we're specifically -- these projects are specifically working towards a sonar in the middle river if that's what I think you're asking about.

And I hope that answered your question.

MR. VENT: Yes, in part. And, also, you know, you're recording all these fish that are in the Yukon. My concern is that we don't have any kind of regulation on the whitefish or sheefish and we really relied on the chum but now we're relying more heavily on these other species. It's just, you know, I don't know if there's any kind of regulation or anything on these other fish that's -- you know it's just something that concerns me.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So, yes, that's -those are valid concerns, appreciate those comments,
Darrell. Any other Council comments or questions for
Catherine.

MR. GERVAIS: Jack, this is Tim.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Tim, go ahead.

```
0143
 1
                     MR. GERVAIS: Thank you for your
    presentation, Catherine. I had a question on this
 2
    petition that the InterTribal Fish Commissions and some
    other associations put forward to NOAA asking for the
    elimination of bycatch, does the bylaws or charter or
    purpose of YRDFA keep YRDFA from getting involved in
 7
     that style of petition?
 8
 9
                     MS. MONCRIEFF: Thank you for that
10
    question, Tim. Through the Chair. I think that the
11
    only -- I think we've been involved in the work around
12
    bycatch for quite many years and recently with the
13
    groups that put that petition together. I think the
14
    only reason we didn't sign on that petition is because
15
    it was tribally-based and our organization is fishermen
    based rather -- so we work with tribal people but we
16
17
    work with everyone as well. And so from my
18
    understanding that's why we weren't a signatory on that
19
    petition.
20
21
                     MR. GERVAIS: Okay. That's all I had,
22
     thank you.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks, Tim,
25
     appreciate that comment. Anybody else.
26
27
                     (No comments)
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks so much,
30
    Catherine. As usual a really great job.
31
32
                     MS. MONCRIEFF: Thank you. Thank you
33
    very much.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And I hope Serena
36
    gets better soon.
37
38
                     MS. MONCRIEFF: Thank you, me too.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So Kuskokwim River
41
     InterTribal Fish Commission. We got a handout and is
42
     somebody going to be speaking for the Fish Commission
43
     for their hand out.
44
45
                     MS. SCHOMOGYI: Mr. Chair.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, go ahead.
48
49
                     MS. SCHOMOGYI: Hi, this is Terese
```

Schomogyi with the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission. I can deliver our report to the RAC today.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go right ahead.

MS. SCHOMOGYI: Awesome, thank you. Yes, as you mentioned there were two handouts that KRITFC provided to the WIRAC.

The first is a general report of some of our activities as we're gearing up for the 2022 fishing season. The first that we discuss is Federal management. Our Fish Commission is continuing to work well with our Federal management partners at Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge. After a very successful 2021 season we are more confident and pleased with this collaborative management partnership than we have ever been. And our Staff are planning to work and utilize our joint salmon management strategy and harvest strategies for a second season. We are also looking forward to the Refuge Manager, Boyd Blihovde, using his existing delegation of authority to Federalize the Lower Kuskokwim River in the 2022 season. This is because we believe that the chinook and chum salmon are likely to not come in strong this year and we are expecting Federal management to take place this year.

We also, as an organization, remain concerned that Federal agencies continue to defer to the State of Alaska and overlook their Federal responsibilities including their obligation under ANILCA, Title VIII.

The next point we discuss in this report is the Arctic Yukon Kuskokwim Tribal Consortium, AYKTC to which we recently invited with the Yukon River InterTribal Fish Commission, AVCP, TCC and Kawarek also composed this group. And, together, our five organizations have united the 118 tribes of the AYK region to work for salmon restoration. We have sent a letter to the Secretary of the Interior and Secretary of Commerce to petition against salmon bycatch and work towards salmon restoration and research.

Next, we discuss some of our salmon projects that we will be undertaking in the 2022 season. We will be running our community based harvest monitoring program again for the sixth year. This

program employs people from villages, often youth, to survey their community members after fishing periods to gather harvest information and on our Fish Commission as well as ONC compile all this information and create harvest estimates for our in-season managers and Federal in-season managers to use during the season.

We also will be running the Takotna River weir located two miles up stream of the village of Takotna. We'll be working with Takotna Tribal Council and Department of Fish and Game to operate this project.

We also, in the Lower River, will be operating the Kwethluk River weir with the Organized Village of Kwethluk and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. This weir did not operate the past two seasons so we're looking forward to installing and operating it in the 2022 season.

And we also will be beginning a new salmon monitoring program with U.s. Fish and Wildlife Service. It's a freshwater productivity study or a juvenile smolt outmigration study. We will be using a screw trap to be able to count juvenile salmon as they are migrating out of the Kwethluk River system. We're looking for some people to install and operate this as fisheries technicians this summer between April and June. We sent out a job announcement also with the supplemental materials to this RAC, so if you know anybody who you think might make a good fish technician and be able to work on the Kwethluk River screw trap project between April and June this year you can let Kevin Whitworth know or Mary Peltola know, both of their contact information is on that job announcement.

And I believe that is it from the Kuskokwim River InterTribal Fish Commission. I will answer any questions to the best of my ability but I might direct you to our Executive Director, Mary Peltola.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks so much. Council members have questions for Kuskokwim InterTribal Fish Commission.

MR. GERVAIS: I have a question, Jack,

0146 1 this is Tim. 2 3 4 5 6 presentation. 7 bycatch of salmon that was denied in December, what's the InterTribal Fish Commission's analysis or 9 reflection of the -- of NOAA's not allowing that 10 petition? Do you have any future plans to resubmit or 11 change the language on it or what's -- what, if you 12 have a strategy that you can communicate to us going 13 forward, I'd be interested to hear it. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

MS. SCHOMOGYI: Through the Chair. Thank you, Tim, for the question. I will let Mary Peltola know to be in touch with you. But essentially the Kuskokwim InterTribal Fish Commission's reflection is that NOAA Is prioritizing commercial interests over subsistence fishermen's interests. At this point we don't have any plans to resubmit but we do have plans to continue for advocating for the elimination of salmon bycatch in the Bering Sea. So yeah that's all I

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Yes. Thank you for your

On that petition for the elimination of

25 26 27

24

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Any other questions, Tim.

will say but I will let Mary know to be in touch with

you, or I can give you her contact information as well.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

MR. GERVAIS: No. I just wanted to express that I appreciate the InterTribal Fish Commissions and the other organizations working together on submitting that and making a stand that, yeah, that the commercial exploitation of the salmon isn't what needs to be happening right now based on our population in the rivers. So thank you for making that effort and, hopefully, get some more positive results in the future.

38 39 40

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, any other questions from the Council members.

41 42

(No comments)

43 44 45

46

47

48

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hearing none, thanks so much for doing a great job in your presentation and the good work that the Kuskokwim InterTribal Fish Commission is doing for the resources and the people on the Kuskokwim River.

```
0147
 1
                     MR. BLIHOVDE: Mr. Chairman.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.
 4
 5
                     MR. BLIHOVDE: Hey, I'm not a Council
 6
     member, but this is Boyd at Yukon Delta Wildlife
 7
     Refuge, is it okay to make a statement.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right. Yes,
10
    please do.
11
12
                     MR. BLIHOVDE: Okay, great. Yeah, thank
13
     you, Terese.
                   It's so important to the Refuge that we
14
     have these strong partnerships with groups like the
15
     InterTribal Fish Commission on the Kuskokwim, and
    Terese mentioned the effort to try to hire some folks
16
17
     for field positions. And so I wanted to reiterate
18
    that, that we're trying to partner with the InterTribal
19
     Fish Commission to get some folks from the local area
20
     along the Kuskokwim but really anywhere in the local
21
     area that might be interested in a fisheries position.
22
     But also just wanted to announce while hopefully the
23
     Yukon conservation partnerships are on the line, that
24
     we're trying to hire two RIT positions which are the
25
    Refuge Information Technician positions for the Refuge
26
    that are, I think, vital positions for reaching out to
27
     the community and having a better connection with the
28
     local communities. One of those positions that will be
29
     advertised any day now is going to be on the Yukon
30
    River, we hope. And so I just wanted to include this
31
     sort of plea for the Yukon groups, the YRDFA, and the
32
     InterTribal Fish Commission for the Yukon, that if you
33
    know of anyone who might be interested in a position
34
    that is a paid position with the Refuge where you can
35
    work from your local community, my contact information
36
    is in the packet that everybody received under the
37
    Yukon Delta information. We'd love to hear from you
38
     and those positions will be coming out real soon and
39
     thanks to the InterTribal Fish Commission for helping
40
     on those as well. But just wanted to broaden the
41
     horizons and get more help if we could so that we could
42
     partner more effectively with all of you.
43
44
                     Thanks.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you.
47
     So, yes, we do appreciate it'll make the management run
48
```

a lot better and more informed. So any other

questions, comments on the InterTribal Fish Commission

```
0148
    Council members.
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we're dropping
 6
     down here to the -- on the agenda we have the North
 7
     Pacific Fisheries Management Council, bycatch update,
     Diana Stram, are you on the call Diana.
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Apparently she's
13
    not. Karen sent out last week, by email, the salmon
14
    bycatch outreach 2022 for the RACs and it's sort of a
15
     slide presentation and I was wondering if Council
16
    members actually got that in their email, or did she --
17
     I'm not exactly sure if she snail mailed to Pollock or
18
     not. So did.....
19
20
                     MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, this is Katya.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Katya, go ahead.
23
24
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes. If Council members
25
     did not get a copy of the presentation it's also
26
     available on our website. I think it is. Now, when I
27
     said it I started thinking -- let's see, I'm just
28
     looking, yeah, it is available on our website and we
29
    will reach out to Diana Stram right now to see if, you
30
    know, she's available.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Well, we --
33
     the question for the Council members, did you receive
34
     that email, did you get that bycatch slideshow if she
35
     comes up. I have it.
36
37
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Kevin.
40
41
                     MR. WHITWORTH: You're talking about
42
    the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council's
43
     slideshow with, I think it's 17 sheets.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes. Okay.
46
47
                     MR. WHITWORTH: But if I could make a
48
     comment.
49
```

0149 1 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Kevin. 2 3 MR. WHITWORTH: I'm not sure what 4 Diana, her -- what her presentation was going to be but 5 this presentation she's shared at a lot of meetings and it'd be nice if she would just share more of a bycatch 6 7 update, that's the title of her presentation. I don't know if she's going to go through this slideshow but it 9 has very little with 2021, or 2022 update as far as 10 numbers go, as far as what's going on on the Council, 11 and future stuff. So just encourage her to maybe 12 elaborate a little bit more on that instead of going 13 through the Council process and who's on the Council 14 and all that sort of stuff. Just my comment, that's 15 all. 16 17 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: The only real update 18 is in the last page on Page 17, update -- Council 19 update in 2022 -- June of 2022, update adult 20 equivalency analysis for chinook salmon there's some 21 stuff there, but, yeah, this is sort of how the Council 22 works, that's not really what we're looking for. I'm 23 not exactly sure, you know, Karen was communicating 24 with her and I don't -- I was not in that loop. 25 26 So Katya was going to..... 27 28 MS. WESSELS: Yeah, we're trying to 29 locate her phone number right now, Mr. Chair. 30 just would advise maybe Council can take a five minute 31 break while we're trying to figure it out. She might 32 have been expecting to give a presentation tomorrow 33 because the Council meeting is scheduled for two days 34 and she probably didn't expect you'd be moving through 35 the agenda so fast. My apologies. 36 37 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, we can take a 38 five or 10 minute break here. I got 4:00 o'clock, 39 we'll come back in 10 minutes, is that okay with the 40 Council. 41 42 (No comments) 43 44 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I guess we're on 45 a 10 minute break. 46 47 MR. VENT: Yes. 48

MR. DEMOSKI: Yes.

49

```
0150
 1
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okav. We'll come
 2
    back at 4:10 or so, about 10 minutes.
 3
 4
                     (Off record)
 5
 6
                     (On record)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I got 10 minutes
 9
     after 4:00 now. Did you find something out there,
10
     Katya?
11
12
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes, Mr. Chair.
13
     called her and sent her an email and there was no
14
     answer. So like I said, I think that she was thinking
15
     she was presenting tomorrow so my suggestion would be
     to rearrange this agenda item for later. You know I
16
17
     know that we're supposed to discuss the joint letter --
18
     joint Council letter on the bycatch a little bit later
19
    but perhaps we can move this item to tomorrow morning.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, yeah, we'll do
22
     that. We'll drop it down. We'll go back -- we'll go
23
     down the agenda here to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
24
    Yukon River Subsistence Salmon Report. That's U.S.
25
     Fish and Wildlife Service and ADF&G. Is that you
26
     Gerald and Holly.
27
28
                     MS. CARROLL: Hi, Mr. Chair, it's going
29
     to be Holly Carroll today.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. All right,
32
     Holly.
33
34
                     MS. CARROLL: All right, well, thanks,
35
    Mr. Chair and Council members. My name's Holly Carroll
    and I work for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service out
36
37
     of the Fairbanks office but I am based in Anchorage.
     I'm the Federal Subsistence Fishery Manager for the
38
39
    Yukon River. And to keep it brief I am going to focus
40
    more on the upcoming season. We did provide season
41
     summaries at your last RAC meeting.
42
43
                     So I want to first talk about the U.S.
44
     Fish and Wildlife Service has a core mission to consult
    with Federal tribes and our Yukon team has been working
45
46
     to expand and improve that government to government
47
     consultation process. This fall we held a series of
48
     formal tribal consultation meetings with Yukon River
49
     tribes. And these consultations were meant to seek
```

1 feedback on how tribes would like to be consulted with in the future regarding fisheries management and we 2 really appreciated the direct communication our team 4 had with the tribal members to have meaningful 5 participation in the decisionmaking process. We 6 recognize the importance of coordination, consultation, 7 and followup between the Service's subsistence management team and the Federally-recognized tribes 9 living along the Yukon River. And we're looking 10 forward to creating and maintaining effective working 11 relationships.

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Formal consultation meetings are going to be offered again this spring to discuss the upcoming season. We are tentatively trying to schedule those for April so that we can discuss the fishing next season and any topic of concern to the tribes. We'll be sending out emails in March with proposed dates and times and we encourage the tribal leaders or their designated attendees to participate in these meetings if they can. They will be teleconferences.

212223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

So, of course, the formal salmon outlooks will be published by the Yukon River Joint Technical Committee and that occurs the last week of March. That goes into the Joint Technical Committees report and that document can be found on the Yukon River Panel's website, which is just www.yukonriverpanel.com. So once the outlook is published, the Service will work cooperatively with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, fishermen and other stakeholders to finalize our pre-season management strategy. The 2022 outlook and proposed management strategy will also be discussed in-depth at some upcoming meetings. We've got the Yukon River Panel meeting and that's going to be the week of April 4th. We'll discuss it at the Yukon River InterTribal Fish Commission meeting when we find out those dates. And then the YRDFA meeting that was mentioned earlier, the pre-season meeting on March 24th. And then also all the Yukon households can expect to receive a mailed flier that will detail the salmon outlooks and the management strategy and that should arrive late April, or early May.

44 45 46

47

48

So, though, the official outlooks are not yet available, I think based on the past two seasons and indications from the Bering Sea juvenile trawl surveys managers are expecting another very poor

season for chinook salmon and the run size could be potentially smaller than what we saw in 2021 and as many of you know that run size was so small that it warranted full closures to harvest. There essentially was no harvestable surplus on that run and even with no harvest we were not able to meet escapement goals.

Now, chum salmon forecast may or may not be as accurate as the chinook forecasts have been but based on last two seasons record low abundance it's very likely that fishing for summer chum would also be closed early in the season until a harvestable surplus is projected. It may be that the runs will continue to be poor this year, the chum runs, and so fishing restrictions could be like last year with salmon fishing limited or closed even into the fall season.

While I know this news is incredibly disheartening and upsetting for many, we are trying to make sure that fishermen have this information now so that they can prepare for the upcoming season.

Conserving the fish now, while the runs may be low, will ensure that most of the fish will make it to the spawning grounds and hopefully fishing will improve in future seasons. We're hoping that fishermen can plan to harvest other species using 4 inch mesh gillnets, dipnets and possibly manned fishwheels this coming season. As always, the managers will be watching the lower Yukon test fishery, the Pilot Station sonar, and then listening to local fishermen to assess the strength of the incoming salmon runs. If the runs come in better than anticipated additional fishing opportunities will be implemented based on the amount of surplus fish available.

We really want to acknowledge the very serious hardship that the last two seasons have caused Yukon River families. We'd also like to thank Yukon River fishermen for their compliance during these difficult years and commend those tribes and communities that took steps to provide fishing gear, extra freezers, and came up with creative solutions to compensate for loss of salmon meals. Yukon fishermen have shown incredible resiliency in adapting to these changing salmon run sizes and these environmental changes we've faced.

And we may not be out of the woods yet

4

but we are doing everything we can to study what is contributing to the declines in chinook and chum salmon and we're partnering with other agencies and scientists to collaborate more than ever on marine and freshwater research.

5 6

7 The Service's Yukon team has undertaken 8 a focus on studying the disease ichthyophonus, and 9 while this disease has been around and has been studied 10 for many years, the levels of chinook are cyclical and 11 they had dropped quite low in recent decades. However, 12 fishers in the middle river reported an increase in 13 ichthyophonus in chinook salmon during the 2020 and 14 2021 seasons and suggested further studies of this 15 disease are needed. This motivated the Service and 16 Fish and Game to partner on a long-term study to look 17 at this disease in the lower river and how it compares 18 to the upper river and whether disease severity could 19 be a cause of mortality before the fish reach spawning 20 grounds. So ADF&G has plans to sample at or near their 21 test fishery project at Pilot Station sonar and Eagle 22 sonar and the Service is going to sample in the middle 23 river at Rampart Rapids and in the upper river at Fort 24 Yukon. Unfortunately sampling will require that 25 approximately 180 to 200 chinook salmon be sacrificed 26 at these four locations along the river. This is 27 necessary because there's no established methods for 28 detecting the disease or measuring the severity in live 29 fish. We have to extract the heart and do analysis on 30 the heart to determine the disease severity. While 31 some may feel that sacrificing chinook salmon for a 32 study is unacceptable when subsistence fishers are 33 being restricted, all the chinook sampled at these 34 locations will be distributed within the local 35 community. The fish organs from the same fish that 36 will be sampled for ichthyophonus will also contribute 37 to other ground breaking studies that will look at fish 38 health. One of them is going to look at egg quality, 39 it's going to look at the thiamine levels in eggs. 40 There's been indications that when they have poor 41 thiamine levels there may be poor egg survival and so 42 we get the opportunity to look at whether that's 43 occurring for our chinook. We can also look at some 44 gill tissue for heat stress proteins. This is ground breaking new research that could indicate whether fish 45 46 are under stress and may be going to die before they 47 spawn. In the future, if that technology is developed 48 it could be a non-lethal form of looking at heat 49 stress. Another thing we're going to look at is some

```
0154
 1
    of the organs, like liver or kidney to see if paralytic
     shellfish toxins are present. They could be present
     from the food they eat in the ocean.
 4
 5
                     So informational fliers on this study
 6
    have been proposed sampling communities. We're seeking
 7
    partnerships with the tribes in these villages to
    distribute the fish equitably and if you would like
 9
    more information about the project you can contact
10
    myself. I'll give you my phone number now, it's (907)
11
     351-3029. And you can also contact Fred West with Fish
12
     and Game.
13
14
                     Lastly, Covid still disrupt our field
15
     operations this summer but at this time we do plan to
16
     operate the Eastfork Andreafsky River weir, the Gisasa
17
    River weir, and the (Indiscernible) River sonar
18
    projects.
19
20
                     I'll standby for questions and comments
21
    but I do want to see if Deena Jallen has anything that
     she wants to add with the Alaska Department of Fish and
22
23
     Game.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, Deena, do you
26
    have additions to that presentation of Holly's.
27
28
                     (No comments)
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are you there,
31
     Deena.
32
33
                     (No comments)
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Apparently not --
36
     oh, was there someone, go ahead.
37
38
                     (No comments)
39
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I thought I heard
40
41
     someone there for a second. So we're going to give
42
    Deena Jallen a chance to add anything but apparently
43
    her phone's not working or you're not off mute or
44
     you're not present.
45
46
                     So Council questions for Holly on her
47
    presentation.
48
49
                     MS. GLEASON: And, Jack, this is
```

Christy Gleason, I also have a ADF&G fall season presentation -- or just a couple comments to make and that would allow Deena to hop online.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, go right ahead Christy.

MS. GLEASON: Okay. Yeah, sorry to interrupt you there. I am Christy Gleason, I'm with Alaska Department of Fish and Game and I co-manage the Yukon River fall season with Holly Carroll and Gerald. And, yeah, that was a great summary from Holly and what we have coming up for this upcoming season.

I just want to let your RAC know that we share your same concerns about the low salmon runs and we recognize it's been a difficult last two years, especially during the fall season, not being able to fish for fall chum. And we did give a fall season summary, I believe it's in your packets. It explains last season. Some new information that we have is that we finished our subsistence salmon surveys from last season and with all salmon fishing closed for subsistence we did have a harvest of about 1,000 fall chum and 300 coho salmon and these fish were harvested in non-salmon gear and distributed from our test fishery assessment projects. So this just, again, shows the tremendous hardship that subsistence fishermen had this last season.

And, like Holly said, looking forward to the 2022 season we'll be finalizing our forecast for fall chum and we'll know more about the coho but how it's looking so far is that we're going to be well below our escapement goals and we'd like fishermen to know this in advance. If you have an opportunity to fish for other salmon this spring or participate in any of those winter or spring moose hunts that we've heard talked about, encourage people in your community to do so, we want to give people fair warning. And we'll see more how the fall season will shape up. We make a revised projection in early July once we see how the summer chum run comes in.

And just a couple research projects we have coming up for the 2022 season.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} We are starting our coho salmon \\ radiotelemetry project this year to see where coho \\ \end{tabular}$

salmon go in the Yukon River drainage. We have some new funding to start our Sheenjek River sonar project in the upper Yukon area. This was an older project that we're bringing back to life and we're excited to see that because we're going to be able to monitor some fall chum salmon spawning areas.

We also have a new project that's going to be looking at fall chum and we're pursuing funding for this project, but it will be looking at year specific growth, stress and reproductive growth during the time the fall chum spend in the ocean.

And a little bit about our ADF&G salmon ocean ecology program. We have some biologists an board and international salmon cruise right now in the North Pacific Ocean so they're working alongside colleagues from Russia, Japan and others to study salmon in the North Pacific ocean.

And, yeah, I just wanted to let your RAC know that we were listening to the opening comments that you guys had and you may be interested in learning more about some of the genetic testing that's coming up for Area M and you can contact Forest Bowers with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. His phone number is (907) 465-6139.

Another thing you might be interested in is knowing that there's a Board of Fisheries hatchery committee meeting occurring March 23rd and there's a public comment deadline coming on for March 8th for that.

And one other thing that's coming up is Board of Fishery proposal submissions are due April 11th and that's for fisheries -- or fishing regulations for Bristol Bay, Arctic, Yukon, Kuskokwim, Alaska Peninsula, Aleutian Islands, Bering Sea, Chignik and statewide proposals.

So that's all I have, thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks so much Christy. And do Council members.....

MS. CARROLL: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, go ahead.

```
0157
 1
                     MS. CARROLL: Sorry, I just -- I've
    been in contact with Holly Carroll, and she can't
 2
     unmute her phone and so she just had a couple of things
 4
     she wanted me to add to my report if that's okay.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes. Okay.
 7
 8
                     MS. CARROLL: She wanted to clarify
 9
     that this fall there was no commercial whitefish
10
     fishery and they did attempt a lamprey fishery but
11
     there was only one delivery of less than 400 pounds so
12
     very little non-salmon commercial fishing that
13
     occurred.
14
15
                     She wanted to also remind people that
16
    the Yukon River Panel website has all of the
17
    presentations available online and those are a really
18
    great resource because they include the juvenile
19
     abundance forecast for chinook that I mentioned, and
20
    they also have presentations by Fish and Game on the
21
     subsistence harvest that occurred last year and the
22
    management actions and things like that. So that's a
23
     good resource if you go on the Yukon River Panel.
24
25
                     And that's all that she wanted added.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, I appreciate
28
     that. I assumed she was having a problem, I felt she
29
     was probably there. So thanks so much for that
30
     presentation.
31
32
                     MR. BLIHOVDE: Mr. Chairman.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.
35
36
                     MR. BLIHOVDE: Yes, this is Boyd at
37
     Yukon Delta.
                   If the phone number for Frank [sic]
38
     Bowers could be repeated I'd appreciate it.
39
40
                     MS. GLEASON: Hi, Mr. Chair, this is
41
    Christy again.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.
44
45
                     MS. GLEASON: I have that number for
46
     Forest Bowers at Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
47
     His phone number is (907) 465-6139.
48
49
                     MR. BLIHOVDE: Thank you.
```

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you. Other Council member questions or comments regarding the Yukon River salmon season report and projections and various projects.

MR. GERVAIS: Jack, this is Tim. I have a question for either the State or Federal managers.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go right ahead, Tim.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Okay, I had my mute on. My question is what -- as managers, what are you seeing as the -- are you seeing any keys to increasing the run strength, what are some of the strategies or potential ways that we can recover these runs?

MS. CARROLL: Yeah, thanks. Mr. Chair. Through the Chair, this is Holly Carroll.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Holly.

MS. CARROLL: I can take a stab at your question there Tim. You know unfortunately when it comes to predicting what will create much stronger runs in the future, you know, our first line of defense is meeting biological escapement goals. So that's the number 1 thing we need to try to do. And what kind of confuses the situation that we're in now is, you know, we're seeing these terribly low summer chum runs and fall chum runs and, of course, those came off of parent years where we had massive escapements. Some of these chinook runs we're seeing now are also coming off years that we exceeded the top end of the Canadian origin escapement goal, for instance. So, you know, it's kind of a tough one because we definitely want to meet escapement goal so that, you know, enough healthy returning spawners will create an offspring and come back. But when that doesn't work we start to point to things that might be going on in the ocean that are affecting their maturity before they even come back. mean when it comes to what is a strategy that we can do in-river, you know, the subsistence fishermen have everything they can do and more. You know we have foregone every type of harvest, we have foregone and

now we've foregone a fall subsistence harvest, I mean we can't really do any more in-river than we are trying to do now unfortunately.

But I do think our approach at trying to look at what might be causing the decline. So for chinook, that's why we're so focused right now on ichthyophonus, we've seen a resurgence of the disease, a prevalence level that's nearly 40 percent. We started to see indications that we could be losing fish in-river to mortality and that's not something we've studied pretty heavily in the past and it's become clear that we really need to start studying that now because if it's occurring because at the very least that would help improve our management.

So how do we rebuild these runs back bigger. When it comes to the chum, I believe that they're cyclic enough that in a couple of years we'll see what happened in the 2000s and we'll see that this crash will drive up their productivity and I think we will see million fish runs again from the chum. But the chinook problem has been an ongoing low productivity cycle and so I don't have an answer for that. Maybe there's someone on the line that has a better answer than me but that's pretty much all I got.

MR. GERVAIS: No, that was a good answer Holly. I am wondering what would be the point where how many times do either the Kuskokwim or the Yukon not meet their escapement goal before State and Federal managers would suggest to the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council that the amount of fish we're giving up in trawl bycatch on the chinook is too much and we need to have those fish not taken through those commercial ventures. Has there ever been any kind of discussion on how poor the biologic escapement performances need to be before the Amendment 91 or whatever management program that the trawl fleet's on is curtailed or changed dramatically where these inriver stocks and take some precedence over the commercial bycatch.

MS. CARROLL: Through the Chair, this is Holly Carroll again, Fish and Wildlife Service.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Holly.

MS. CARROLL: Yes, Tim, I think that's

1 an excellent question. So, you know, what you're touching on has it directly been addressed so far, it has in an in direct way, so the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council, they did -- I think you referenced 5 the Amendment but there's also what's known as the 3-6 river index and when we show that the Western Alaskan 7 stocks fall below a certain threshold then that cap is -- the overall cap is lowered for the North Pacific 9 Fisheries Management Council, but that's something 10 that's already occurred, right. So maybe what you're 11 asking for is could we take it further because we have 12 been in these low run sizes for a few years now, we're 13 going to trigger that lower cap but it doesn't 14 necessarily address failure to meet escapement goals or 15 things like that.

16 17

18

19

So have those options been explored at the Council, and I'm unaware of whether those kinds of solutions have been suggested at the Council so that might be something to think about.

202122

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. My question, Holly, is, you know, the Southeast hatcheries are dumping all these hatchery fish and there's no real tracking of biomass productivity of the North Pacific. I know a guy that dives for sea cucumbers in Southeast Alaska, last year the water was 10 degrees warmer than it is now, we had these super elevated temperatures, biomass declined, is the Department tracking the productivity of the ocean, it goes through these El Nino, La Nina events, and is the Department tracking that. Can the Department start to get into some methodology of regulating that release of hatchery fish that's -- it's my impression that a lot of the stocks for AYK, chum, go into the North Pacific and went into a desert. Is my perception true, is the Department checking -- monitoring the Pacific Ocean, especially the Gulf of Alaska and is the Department -- the ability and the Federal -- or the State Board of Fish process to modulate these releases of hatchery fish with staying in step with what the ocean productivity is. You can't keep dumping the same amounts every year, there's got to be sort of a flux to it.

43 44 45

So those would be my questions.

46 47

MS. CARROLL: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is Holly. And, again, I'm with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. And I just want to clarify that we don't

49 50

particularly monitor the marine environment, that's more of a NOAA and Fish and Game thing so I'll definitely defer to any of the Fish and Game Staff that want to speak up but certainly Sabrina Garcia and Dr. Katie Howard are working on a lot of chum research in the Bering Sea right now and so I'm sure the Department could speak to that. But as far as like monitoring hatchery or other ocean stuff, Fish and Wildlife Service isn't directly involved in that marine research unfortunately so I'll hand it off to anyone else that has more to add there.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I mean but as an inseason manager can't you advocate for the resource? Is it -- it's my impression that there's a lot of chums that come through the False Pass, the Southern Alaska Peninsula, coming into the Bering Sea, they're going somewheres, they're going into the AYK river system. Is there a percentage -- they used to take genetic sampling down there, they precluded that, they're going to restart that again now, is there -- as a manager, can you advocate with the State to try to get, you know, proper ocean management. You know the Bering Sea productivity is one thing, but the North Pacific and Gulf is another component of our runs that we have here, aren't they, chums and....

MS. CARROLL: Yeah, Mr. Chair, you're bringing up really great topics. So you're talking about the Gulf of Alaska Fishery which is managed by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and you're right they haven't had a genetic sampling program there. I think the Commissioner had committed to expanding that, I know that we, at upper levels, have suggested reinstating that genetic sampling program there. that's a different situation than the North Pacific where they are actually sampling all those chum and so every year they're putting out those estimates of what that chum bycatch is and you hit the nail on the head, a lot of it is hatchery fish, or Russian fish, or coming from other areas. But there is a belief that some of the chum that go in the Gulf of Alaska may be Western Alaska stocks and if there is going to be large interceptions of those for commercial sale, we should probably have a more recent accounting of where those fish are headed. I believe one of your Council members just made that same suggestion during this same meeting.

So I mean, am I able to advocate for that, just as much as the State managers are probably able to say, hey, you know, can we sample for that and run it up the chain. We've certainly brought it up at meetings. I know it was discussed at the recent Salmon Summit that was held by the Delegation so we have made some suggestions that starting to do that genetic sampling in the Gulf of Alaska would definitely help us get a better understanding of what those fish are and where they might have been headed for sure.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Would a better avenue be the Yukon Panel to advocate for the hatchery reduction, you know, herring and various species in Southeast Alaska and the coastal areas reflect the biomass in the ocean. I think we got to get a little more fine-tuned about what's going on in the ocean as far as warm water events, reducing phyoplankton, zooplankton, the whole food chain goes down hill, but the State is allowing just dumping all these hatchery fish out because we always have done that. Well, there has to be sort of a give and take here and so, the Yukon Panel, should it be advocating for these stocks that transition into the North Pacific and into the Bering Sea that have to compete with the hatchery fish?

MS. CARROLL: Mr. Chair, this is Holly Carroll again. You know, and that's a tough question and I don't have an answer for that. I know that the Yukon River Salmon Agreement really specifies Canadian bound stocks and so they tend to focus more on chinook and fall chum, and I know at the recent meeting there was some discussion at that Panel about the hatchery issue. It is on everyone's mind. I don't know what the Panel plans to do as far as advocacy. I know there was some discussion about what we do and don't know about whether fall chum are likely to be intercepted in that fishery. And, again, I'm not an expert on that but I do believe that they think it's less likely that fall chum are caught there but I know that we do have some genetic baseline limitations on some of the chum stocks, as in our ability to go down and sample in the Gulf of Alaska and pull out all those individual summer chum stocks.

So I -- yeah, I'm not an expert on that but maybe someone from the State of Alaska could talk more to the Yukon River Panel's role but I think you'll find they're going to be more focused on the fall chum

or the Canadian origin chinook but I will say they have brought up the topic in their meetings. I don't recall if they have any plans to take action but it is definitely a topic of concern at every meeting that I go to.

5 6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

4

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So it is coincidental that the summer and fall chum runs at AYK took a big nose dive, so coinciding with the blob event and so it's -- ocean productivity declines and so then maybe in cooler waters when we have better biomass the productivity is adequate to support additional hatchery fish but when it goes to these kind of conditions there needs to be an index that's like we got to stop dumping fish right now, we got to slow this down and the hatcheries get cut back. Everybody's got to -- it has to be a little more fine-tuned. We see what happened. If you track that temperature oscillation, the warm blob, that was a graphic as to what that did. Now the temperatures of the North Pacific and Bering -- and Gulf have cooled down and biomass is starting to rebuild again. I had a friend of mine who was fishing off the Fairweather ground says you could only see six inches into the top of the water, there was just all this krill and there was all these herring and all this biomass going on so that's really picked up. It's my impression that a lot of chinook and a lot of chums go into the North Pacific and then transition to South Alaska Peninsula. And so I feel that we need better genetic testing, we need better -- to know where these salmon are going, in which bodies of water, not just Bering Sea, which bodies of water they go to and then we need to be tracking the productivity of the Pacific Ocean and Bering Sea. I know the Bering Sea's cooling down too, slightly.

35 36 37

So that would be my comments.

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46 I do feel that there needs to be a little more fine-tuning on the bigger, broader picture with climate change, and we get these warm water events, we can't just keep dumping off all kinds of -- everybody in the whole Pacific Rim needs to get it through their heads, they're cutting their own throat. They're not going to get any returns out of that. And so we're decimating these wild stocks by putting out so much competition on the ocean.

47 48 49

Any other Council comments regarding

this Yukon River fishery issue -- I do feel that the Yukon Panel should be advocating with the State system for reduction in -- in this Board of Fish, I think it's March 8th, hatchery summit, or whatever it is, they need to be down there, on board, talking to them, we need to get this under control; so that's my opinion.

Any other Council member's questions or comments to Holly and Christy and Deena -- Deena will communicate through Holly, I guess.

MR. GERVAIS: I have a brief question, 13 Jack.

13 Jac)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, this is Tim. I would like to know how do the hatchery release numbers in Alaska compare to Russia and Japan and is there any — is there like a big ramp up in hatchery releases on the other side of the Pacific that's compounding what's happening with the amount of releases in Alaska?

 MS. CARROLL: Through the Chair, this is Holly Carroll. Tim, I don't have that answer for you at all. I think the best thing to do with that question would be maybe to submit a request to Fish and Game to present some of that data if they know it. It's certainly way outside my area of expertise, I apologize, I have no answer for you there.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MR. GERVAIS: Okay, I'm done. Thanks for allowing my questions, Jack.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I really appreciate you, you know, taking you -- giving us a lot of information and really appreciate the Department of Fish and Game, Deena Jallen and Christy Gleason, you ladies are doing a great job on the Yukon River and we're trying to give you more ammunition in your ammo belt to get these fish runs to come back. So I think that the management was -- it was a tough deal. I had a guy on the Koyukuk River Advisory Committee, yesterday, he was like the Koyukuk took all this cut and they're fishing fall chums on the Yukon and I says, no, there's no fishing, nobody caught any fish. I think communities aren't really realizing that they're

0165 not the only one targeted here, everybody took a huge cut on the Yukon and Kuskokwim drainages. But I do appreciate your dedication for conservation. I'm a conservation minded person, we save now and we will 5 have fish in the future. We kill now, we're not going to have any more fish. It'll take decades if we have 6 7 any worse returns than we're having. 9 So any other Council comments. 10 11 MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, this is 12 Kevin.

13

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Kevin.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. WHITWORTH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thanks Holly and Christy for your presentation, very informative. Very concerning. The chinook numbers 2021, I don't have to go through it again, chum numbers, coho, a lot of concerning numbers there as far as what is projected in 2022, people aren't going to be able to catch what they need and it sounds like there's not going to be any opportunities, possibly, a lot like 2021. And so some people are going to be targeting with a 4 inch net, they're going to be targeting whitefish species and sheefish, whitefish -- I mean the sheefish aren't -- you don't catch as many with a 4 inch net, you know, they catch a lot more with a 6 inch net -- that 4 inch net is very effective with broad whitefish and humpback whitefish, some of the ciscos, it's very effective. I use the 4 inch nets here on the Kuskokwim and it works very well. I think we all know that. But because people aren't catching what they need for chinook, chum and coho salmon, that's a lot of biomass that people aren't putting into their freezers and being able to eat, feed their families and so now they're relying on whitefish and we know very little about whitefish. And this isn't something new, this has been talked about for years, alternative species because of this salmon decline. So it's not something that we -- it's not new, I mean the pressure that's going to be on whitefish. I am happy to hear that there wasn't a commercial fishery in 2021 but there has been for 20 years, a commercial fishery or so -- I don't know how many years, there has been a commercial fishery on whitefish on the Yukon which is really concerning for me because if the subsistence people on the Yukon River are heavily reliant on whitefish and there's still commercial fisheries -- last year, I

don't know why, maybe you guys can help me, if there was a State or Federal management decision to close the whitefish fishery -- commercial fishery or was that just Kwik'Pak's own decision just to close it.

4 5 6

7

8

But I am concerned. Darrell brings this up, these concerns here. These aren't new concerns, it's not something new. People have been relying on whitefish for a very long time and we know very little about it, the history or harvest data and the numbers of whitefish out there.

11 12 13

14

15

10

So if you guys can just help me, just the one question I have, is why did that commercial fishery for whitefish close in 2021, was that a State or Federal management decision.

16 17 18

MS. JALLEN: Hi, through the Chair, to Kevin. This is Deena Jallen. I was able to call back in, can you hear me?

202122

19

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We can hear you fine, go ahead, Deena.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

MS. JALLEN: Okay, yeah, I had to call back in. Yeah, the commercial fishery didn't operate this season kind of for the same reason that we actually didn't see higher harvest of non-salmon fish and there wasn't a lot of effort, there wasn't a lot of activity on the river so Kwik'Pak didn't purchase -they didn't buy fish this year, there weren't people really going out and fishing for whitefish. They didn't have their plant open, so they didn't have people on the ground and keeping the plant, or the facility open so they didn't buy whitefish this year. The fishery was opened. It does have a harvest cap on that fishery that's been in place and it gets periodically reexamined and reevaluated and we haven't raised that cap. And, similarly, with the subsistence harvest, looking at the preliminary harvest estimates we might have expected to see a higher non-salmon species harvest this year but we actually saw that the harvest of species was lower in most cases. I can't pull the numbers up at the moment. But in large part that's due to people just not getting out and fishing. They weren't fishing for salmon and so they didn't have gear in the water and they may not have been fishing for anything and so harvests were actually rather low this year.

```
0167
 1
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks for that
 2
     Deena.
 3
 4
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Mr. Chair, this is
 5
    Kevin.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Kevin.
 8
 9
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Yeah, thanks for that
10
    Diana [sic], I think it was, appreciate that. So it
11
     was not a State or Federal management decision to close
12
     the commercial fishery, is that right, it was.....
13
14
                     MS. JALLEN: Yeah, that's -- the.....
15
16
                     MR. WHITWORTH: ....a Kwik'Pak
17
    decision?
18
19
                     MS. JALLEN: Yeah, we issued the permit
20
     to Kwik'Pak and then they didn't -- they didn't buy any
     fish so they didn't -- you know, they didn't encourage
21
     their fishermen to go out and buy fish, they didn't
22
23
    have their plant facilities open, it's hard to get fish
24
     shipped out of Emmonak so, yeah, they didn't purchase
25
     any fish this year.
26
27
                     MR. WHITWORTH: Okay, thank you.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you. Any
30
    other Council member questions for Yukon River
31
     subsistence salmon fishing and reports.
32
33
                     MR. SIMON: Mr. Chair, this is Pollock.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Pollock, go ahead.
36
37
                     MR. SIMON: Yeah, Jack, not much chum
     salmon and not much king salmon, but there's some
38
39
     whitefish and sheefish and we're depending on that but
40
     I'm saying we should be careful as to how much
41
    whitefish and sheefish we take because if we crash that
42
     stock too we're going to be in more trouble.
43
44
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Pollock,
46
47
     appreciate that. So I would -- personally I would
48
     appeal to the Yukon managers to restrict that
49
     whitefish, you know, if the demand is there. I still
```

Council member comments before we break for the evening.

45 46 47

44

(No comments)

48 49

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right, so we're

```
0169
    going to break for the evening now and we'll take up
     the rest of our agenda tomorrow. So have a great
     evening.
 4
 5
6
                     MR. SIMON: Thank you, bye.
 7
                     MR. DEMOSKI: Thank you.
8
9
                     (Off record)
10
11
                        (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0170	CERTIFICATE
2 3 4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA))ss.
5 6	STATE OF ALASKA)
7 8 9	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THAT the foregoing pages numbered through contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the WESTERN INTERIOR SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME I taken electronically on the 16th day February 2022;
17 18 19 20 21 22	THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and ability;
23 24	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party interested in any way in this action.
25 26 27 28 29	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 6th day of March 2022.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	Salena A. Hile Notary Public, State of Alaska My Commission Expires: 09/16/22