WESTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING

PUBLIC MEETING

VOLUME II

Telephonic October 15, 2020 9:00 a.m.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Jack Reakoff, Chairman Timothy Gervais Don Honea Tommy Kriska Jenny Pelkola Goodwin Semaken

Regional Council Coordinator, Karen Deatherage

Recorded and transcribed by:

Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC 135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2 Anchorage, AK 99501 907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

PROCEEDINGS

(Telephonic - 10/15/2020)

(On record)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We're going to reconvene the meeting, it's 10 after 9:00 on the 15th of October, and we're going to start with Proposal FP21-01. And Robbin LaVine, are you on to present.

MS. LAVINE: Yes, good morning, Mr. Chair, this is Robbin.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, go right

ahead.

 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. For the record my name is Robbin LaVine and I'm an anthropologist here at Office of Subsistence Management. And before I go into the analysis, I just wanted to express my gratitude to you all. I know these are really challenging times. We really rely on your expertise and we are so grateful you're here.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you.

 MS. LAVINE: Yes, thank you. So the analysis for Proposal FP21-01 begins on Page 46 of your meeting book. It can also be found on our website under regions, Western Interior Material Materials. And I'm going to read it to you, of course, as a summary of the analysis.

Proposal 21-01 was submitted by the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge. It requests the Federal Subsistence Board eliminate Federal regulations that describe exactly when and where the salmon subsistence fishery will close around commercial openings.

 The proponent states that current Federal subsistence regulations are different than Alaska Department of Fish and Game regulations. These requested changes would align Federal and State regulations. Closure times before, during and after commercial openers are now announced via State emergency order, making the Federal regulations

potentially more restrictive than State regulations.

In 2004, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game eliminated similar regulations that described exactly when and where the salmon subsistence fishery would close around commercial openings. However, ADF&G will announce closures to subsistence fishing around commercial openers as it has in the past.

 If Proposal FP21-01 is adopted then closures to subsistence fishing opportunity immediately before, during and immediately after commercial fishing openings will be managed by emergency order regulations issued by ADF&G.

If Proposal FP21-01 is not adopted, then when Federal regulations are more restrictive than State emergency orders, subsistence users could opt to fish under ADF&G's less restrictive emergency order regulations.

 When Federal regulations are more lenient than ADF&G emergency order regulations, Federal subsistence users could continue to fish under Federal regulations and the situation might create confusion.

 Current Federal regulations that close subsistence fishing immediately before, during and immediately after commercial fishing periods in the Kuskokwim River are redundant and confusing and should be rescinded.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to support FP21-01.

And the Yukon Kuskokwim Delta Council supported this proposal.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Thank}}$ you, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. I am ready for your discussion.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Any Council members have questions on the proposal regarding the presentation.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So don't hear any questions on the proposal. So we're going to go

Page 161 through the sheet here. 2 3 Reports on the Board's consultations 4 with tribes. Did we get tribal consultation on this, do you know, Robbin. 5 6 7 MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair. Members of the Council. Oh, gosh, just one moment, I'm sorry we 8 9 usually have.... 10 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Or is Orville Lind 11 on this call. 12 13 14 (No comments) 15 16 MS. DEATHERAGE: I'll try to get a hold of him, this is Karen Deatherage. Why don't we go 17 18 ahead and keep moving on through the process. 19 20 MR. LIND: Good morning. Good morning, 21 Mr. Chair, this is Orville. 22 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Orville. 23 24 there tribal consultation on this proposal Fisheries 25 Proposal 21-01. 26 27 MR. LIND: Yes, we did conduct consultation on that proposal and I'm not seeing any 28 comments or questions on that one. 29 30 31 Mr. Chair. 32 33 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you. 34 35 So Alaska Department of Fish and Game 36 -- or ANCSA corporation comments fall under that 37 Orville. 38 MR. LIND: Yes, Mr. Chair. This is 39 Orville, Native Liaison. That is correct. 40 41 42 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. 43 44 Alaska Department of Fish and Game 45 comments on this proposal. 46 (No comments) 47 48 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Is there anyone from 49

```
Page 162
     ADF&G for the Kuskokwim.
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Nick Smith.
 6
 7
                     (No comments)
 8
9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I'll take that as no
     comment.
10
11
12
                     Federal comments. We have the Refuge
13
     Staff on the line, do you want to comment on this.
14
15
                     MR. DECOSSAS: Hey, Jack, this is Gary
     DeCossas. Yukon Delta doesn't have any comments on
16
     this other than what is listed in the proposal.
17
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Is there any
20
     tribal organizations on this call that would like to
21
     comment on this proposal.
22
2.3
                     (No comments)
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Advisory Group
25
     comments. Regional Council, YK-Delta supported. And
26
     how did they support, did they support that as written
27
     or did they modify.
28
29
30
                     MS. LAVINE: Yes, Mr. Chair, this is
31
     Robbin.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, go ahead.
34
35
                     MS. LAVINE: I believe the Yukon
36
     Kuskokwim Delta supported as written.
37
                     Thank you.
38
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Great,
     appreciate that. And let's see WIRAC is the only other
41
42
     Council involved. Any Advisory Committees on the
     Kuskokwim River who visited this proposal, if anybody
43
     knows.
44
45
46
                     (No comments)
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Subsistence Resource
     Commission comments.
49
50
```

Page 163 MR. REAM: For the record this is Joshua Ream. Mr. Chair, there were no comments on this 2 3 proposal by the Subsistence Resource Commission. 4 5 Thank you. 6 7 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And that would be 8 Lake Clark, so, thank you. 9 Written comments, Karen. 10 11 12 MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 13 This is Karen Deatherage. There were no written comments received for FP21-01. 14 15 16 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Any public testimony on this proposal, anybody on the phone that 17 18 would like to comment on this proposal. 19 20 (No comments) 21 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We're at the 22 Regional Council recommendations. The Chair will 23 2.4 entertain a motion to adopt the proposal in the 25 affirmative. 26 27 MR. GERVAIS: Motion to adopt, this is Tim. 28 29 30 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Somebody 31 doesn't have their phone on mute and we hear a lot of paper shuffling. 32 33 So we got Tim for a motion to adopt, do 34 35 we have a second. 36 MR. SEMAKEN: Second, Goodwin. 37 38 39 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Goodwin, thank 40 you. And so discussion on the proposal. 41 42 Basically this is a housekeeping proposal. It allows alignment with current practices 43 of management on the Kuskokwim River. 44 45 46 Any discussion on the proposal from Council members. 47 48 (No comments) 49 50

Page 164 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: No. All right, I will support the proposal in conjunction with the Yukon 2 Delta Regional Advisory Council to allow current 3 4 management practices that are in place since 2003. 5 6 Any further discussion. 7 8 (No comments) 9 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do we have a 10 question. 11 12 13 Question. MR. GERVAIS: 14 15 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Question's called by 16 Tim. Those in favor of FP21-01 proposal signify by 17 saying aye. 18 19 IN UNISON: Aye. 20 21 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I have four ayes. 22 MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair, this is 2.3 24 Karen Deatherage. Might I suggest we do a roll call for all votes so it's clear. 25 26 27 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Roll call, yeah, that's fine. 28 29 30 MS. DEATHERAGE: I'd be happy to do 31 that for you or you could do it. 32 33 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go right ahead. You want to call the roll Karen. 34 35 36 MS. DEATHERAGE: For the motion to 37 adopt FP21-01, Don Honea. 38 39 MR. HONEA: Yes. 40 MS. DEATHERAGE: Jack Reakoff. 41 42 43 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes. 44 45 MS. DEATHERAGE: Tommy Kriska. 46 47 MR. KRISKA: Yes. 48 MS. DEATHERAGE: Tim Gervais. 49 50

Page 165 MR. GERVAIS: Yes. 1 2 3 MS. DEATHERAGE: Jenny Pelkola. 4 5 MRS. PELKOLA: Yes. 6 7 MS. DEATHERAGE: Goodwin Semaken. 8 9 MR. SEMAKEN: Yes. 10 MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you very much, 11 12 motion passes unanimously. 13 14 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you. I think I skipped over the public comment this morning 15 on any non-agenda items that -- public, tribal comments 16 on non-agenda items. Anybody on the phone want to 17 18 speak to any item that's not on the agenda. 19 20 (No comments) 21 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: This is the 22 timeframe when we would take public comments on non-23 24 agenda items. 25 (No comments) 26 27 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Another thing I 28 would like to have is if anybody's signed on to the 29 30 call that didn't list your name or who you were 31 associated to yesterday, would you state your name for the record. 32 33 Hello, Mr. Chair. 34 MS. TRAINOR: 35 is Alida Trainor, I'm a subsistence resource specialist for the Yukon region in the Subsistence Division at 36 Fish and Game in Fairbanks. 37 38 39 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you. 40 Good morning. 41 42 Anybody else. 43 MR. MCDEVET: Hi, Mr. Chair. 44 45 Chris McDevet, I'm with Subsistence Division Fish and Game in Fairbanks. 46 47 48 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning, Chris. 49 50

MS. FITKA: Good morning, this is
Serena Fitka with the Yukon River Drainage Fisheries
Association.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good morning,

Serena.

Anyone else that didn't register yesterday when we went around with introductions.

(No comments)

And so we're going to Proposal FP21-02.

MS. LAVINE: Hello, Mr. Chair, members of the Council, this is Robbin LaVine.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, go right ahead.

MS. LAVINE: Great. This is another housekeeping proposal. Proposal FP21-02 can be found on Page 78 of your meeting books, it's also posted on our website.

FP21-02 was submitted by the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge and it requests the Federal Subsistence Board reduce the required distance between setnets in Kuskokwim River tributaries from 150 feet to 75 feet.

The proponent states that current Federal subsistence fisheries regulations are not parallel with State regulations. This requested regulation change would align Federal and State regulations. The current Federal regulation is more restrictive than the State regulation. In addition, the proponent also states that adoption of this proposal will reduce user confusion and enforcement concerns.

Last year the Board -- the Alaska Board of Fisheries took action on a proposal submitted by the Organized Village of Kwethluk and modified the required distance between setnets in tributaries of the Kuskokwim River drainage to 75 feet. The proponent requested allowing the operation of a setnet gillnet

within 50 feet of another set gillnet. The Board of Fisheries amended the proposal to allow the operation of a set gillnet within 75 feet of another set gillnet instead. Board of Fisheries members were concerned that nets might get tangled with one another and user conflicts might ensured so they shortened the distance requirement to 75 feet rather than 50 feet as requested by the Organized Village of Kwethluk.

There are limited number of good setnet sites in tributaries of the Kuskokwim River.

 If Proposal FP21-02 is adopted, Federally-qualified subsistence users would be able to place subsistence nets 75 feet apart increasing fishing opportunities within the tributaries which already happens under State regulations. Tributaries that have spawning populations of salmon have been closed during the chinook salmon run since 2015 under Federal special actions and these conservation measures will likely continue, however, adoption of this proposal may increase harvest of anadromous and resident species, while catch rates of each setnet may decrease due to increased competition. Finally, aligning State and Federal regulations will reduce user confusion.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to support FP21-02. The Yukon Kuskokwim Delta Council did not support this proposal. Several Kuskokwim members said the first net would get all the fish and the second net would get no fish and having so many setnets in the water that close together is a boating hazard especially at night.

Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. I'm ready for your discussion.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Robbin. Any questions on the presentation from the Council members.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ GERVAIS: Jack, this is Tim, I have a question.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Robbin, this is Tim Gervais. Do you know what the final modified version vote was for Board of Fish?

Page 168 MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair. Mr. Gervais, thank you. I will have to look that up. If you give 2 me a moment, or I may ask if anybody who's on this call 3 might be aware, as I zoom through. 4 5 6 Thank you. 7 8 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you. 9 of the State Subsistence Division Staff have that answer to that question. 10 11 12 (No comments) 13 14 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Probably Board 15 Support would know that one. 16 MR. DECOSSAS: Hey, Jack, this is Gary, 17 18 I was -- from Yukon Delta. I was listening to that in 2019 and I believe it was unanimous. 19 20 21 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: It was unanimous, okay, thanks. 22 2.3 24 Other questions on this proposal and 25 analysis. 26 27 (No comments) 28 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Council members. 29 30 31 MR. HONEA: Yeah, Mr. Chair. 32 33 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, go ahead. 34 MR. HONEA: Yeah, this is Don. I'm 35 just wondering if this issue had been brought up before 36 and I can see the -- the eddies and stuff, this is not 37 only for their area but potentially maybe we may face 38 something like this in the future before I -- we've 39 been going through locally some of the things. And 40 it's kind of interesting that it's reverting back to us 41 so I would support this if -- it's kind of interesting 42 that whoever proposes this is align to justify it. 43 44 45 Thank you. 46

47

48

49 50 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Don.

Any

questions on the proposal.

10/15/2020 WESTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE RAC MEETING Page 169 1 (No comments) 2 3 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So Orville 4 Lind, did we get tribes or ANCSA consultation. 5 6 MR. LIND: Good morning, Mr. Chair. Orville Lind, Native Liaison for the Office of 7 Subsistence Management. During the consultation held 8 9 on June 10th there were no questions or comments. 10 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 12 13 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you. 14 15 Alaska Department of Fish and Game comment. Is Subsistence Division going to give those? 16 17 18 (No comments) 19 20 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are there any ADF&G 21 Staff that would like to comment on the proposal. 22 2.3 (No comments) 24 25 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don't hear any. So Federal. 26 27 MR. DECOSSAS: This is Gary from Yukon 28 Delta. The only additional comments I'd like to make 29 about this proposal is, one, that it doesn't affect the 30 31 in-season management that occurs and has been occurring regularly over the last decade. And the second comment 32 33 is, the State already has changed their regulation to 75 feet, so subsistence users can already right now 34 35 choose to fish setnets 75 feet apart even though the 36 Federal regulation is more restrictive. 37 38 That's it. 39 40 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: That's within tributary streams, how far from the mouth? 41 42 43 MR. DECOSSAS: Anywhere in the tributaries, so from the mouth on up. 44 45

46 47

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, right in the mouth. Yeah, okay. So thanks for those comments.

48 49

MR. GERVAIS: Jack, this is Tim, I have

a question for Gary.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.

4 5

MR. GERVAIS: Gary, this is Tim Gervais. So why doesn't the Federal regs still apply when -- for the areas that are Federal waters, such as the Yukon Delta Refuge?

MR. DECOSSAS: Sure, hey, Tim, how's it going. So Federal -- there needs to -- unless there's a Federal special action either issued by the Federal in-season manager or by the Federal Subsistence Board, anything that's in State regulations for State EO applies to the fishery. That's the best way to explain that.

MR. GERVAIS: Right. But so like this past summer when it was under Federalized regulation then the setnets had to be 150 feet and not 75 for the Federal waters?

MR. DECOSSAS: Correct. Yes. However, there was no setnets allowed in the tributaries during Federal management for the conservation of chinook salmon.

MR. GERVAIS: So it never came into play because of the way the management was, or it was never in play until, what, July 6th, when the Federal management went away?

 MR. DECOSSAS: Correct. So from June 1 to July 1, when the Federal management ended, there were no fishing whatsoever allowed in the salmon spawning tributaries, so like the Kisaralik, the Kwethluk, and then those regulations did apply, however, the 75 feet distance between setnets, those did apply to the non-salmon spawning tributaries above 100 yards where the -- above 100 yards -- where the confluence of a non-salmon spawning tributaries meet them, 100 yards above there, this regulation would have applied on the State side, 75 feet between setnets.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: But when you're under special action and you have pulled the gear off the main river into the non-salmon tributaries, the 150 foot would be under current Federal regulations, the 150 feet, I don't understand, you just said if there's

a special action the Federal regulations are in application, so it's still 150 feet at that time, right?

MR. DECOSSAS: The Federal in-season manager, the last couple of years has only Federalized the first 100 yards in the non-salmon spawning tributaries, so everything above 100 yards is still under the State management.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hum. But isn't that disparate to what the Yukon Delta Regional Advisory Council is concerned about, they seem to have displeasure with the 75 foot regulation that the Board of Fish has just adopted.

 MR. DECOSSAS: Yeah, I can't speak for the Council's decision but I know there was a lot of confusion with all of these proposals, over whether or not they affected the in-season management of chinook salmon, which they do not.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So it's the intention of the Refuge in-season manager to maintain the closure only 100 -- or 300 feet from the mouth of any non-salmon tributary, you're still going to maintain the State regulations above the 300 feet from the mouth, and that's from the mean high water mark?

MR. DECOSSAS: Yeah, correct, ordinary high water mark.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And that's delineated by how? By debris and brush, or what?

MR. DECOSSAS: Yeah, that's generally how they do it, they look for the water mark.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Uh-huh. Okay. Any other questions for Gary there.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ GERVAIS: Yeah, Jack, I have one more for Gary, this is $\operatorname{Tim}.$

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Tim, go ahead.

MR. GERVAIS: Gary, this is Tim Gervais. So how did the residents of the area -- this was the first -- 2020 was the first year that this 75

foot regulation came into effect, how did the residents like it or not like it, what was the feeling on the fishing grounds whether it was a good or bad regulation?

10/15/2020

MR. DECOSSAS: I don't believe we had any public comment but we do fly regular aerial surveys during the in-season portion, and, you know, where the setnets are allowed in the non-salmon spawning tributaries, there's only really one location that has setnets that close together and that's the Gweek River right above Bethel, and that place is pretty hit or miss with fishing for salmon, from what I know.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So Aaron Moses, you're there on the call?

MR. MOSES: Yes, Jack, I'm here.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So you attended the YK-Delta Regional Advisory Council meeting, what was their discussion revolving around the displeasure with the 75 feet?

MR. MOSES: From what I got was there was a bunch of people that wanted to fish their setnets but like they said in the proposal, there was a -- a lot of the eddies are between Napaskiak and Tuluksak, a lot of the eddies are closer together and this proposal's been coming back every few years I believe. Because in 2018 on the State side there was a proposal but only one Advisory Council -- or Advisory Committee from the State side passed it and then Kwethluk put it -- submitted it again and it passed this time. And so there have been people for it and against it from what I've been hearing.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MR. RISDAHL: Jack. Mr. Chairman, this is Greg Risdahl, Office of Subsistence Management, Fisheries Division Lead.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MR. RISDAHL: If you'd like I can give you a very, very brief summary of what the Council voted on last week.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, please. I would like to know their justification. 2 3 4 MR. RISDAHL: Yes, that's exactly what I'm going to give to you. It's a shortened version but 5 6 this is the summary. When setnets are 50 to 75 feet apart, the people up stream are blocked. Some families 7 use the same sites year after year and would not be 8 9 able to do so. Setnet sites so close together will reduce the number of fish caught by people -- by some 10 people. People try to set nets as close to the mouth 11 of the rivers as they can, this causes crowding and 12 13 reduced safety, plus people fishing at night run over 14 the nets. 15 16 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 17 18 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you. 19 any other discussion on the proposal from -- we were 20 going over the Federal comments. 21 Is there any tribal members on the 22 call. 2.3 24 25 (No comments) 26 27 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don't hear any. Other -- we understand what the Regional Advisory 28 Council, the YK-Delta Council action that was taken. 29 30 31 Fish and Game Advisory Committees, have they met on this Federal proposal, Gary, or Aaron, do 32 33 you know that answer. 34 35 MR. DECOSSAS: Not that I'm aware of, this is Gary. Thanks. 36 37 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Subsistence Resource 38 Commissions, most likely not. You can confirm that 39 40 Josh, one way or another. 41 42 MR. REAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Subsistence Resource Commissions had no comment on this 43 44 proposal. 45 46 Thank you. 47 48 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Summary of written comments. Did we get any written comments on this 49 50

```
proposal, Karen.
 1
 2
 3
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair, this is
 4
     Karen Deatherage. No public written comments were
 5
     received for FP21-02.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And public
 8
     testimony. Do we have any public testimony on this
 9
     proposal.
10
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So the Chair will
14
     entertain a motion to adopt Proposal FP21-02 for
     discussion. Do we have a motion.
15
16
17
                     MR. HONEA: Motion to adopt, this is
18
     Don.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, motion to
21
     adopt. Do we have a second.
22
23
                     MRS. PELKOLA: I'll second, this is
24
     Jenny.
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Jenny, thank
26
27
     you. So Council comments on this proposal.
28
29
                     MR. GERVAIS:
                                   Jack, this is Tim.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.
32
33
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, it's interesting
     change the regulation because by -- from the State
34
35
     side, by reducing the required distance by half, any
     traditional setnet location could be corked off by
36
     another net being set in between it. So it seems like
37
     there would be a fair amount of people using their
38
     traditional or historic sites that could miss a lot of
39
     fishing harvest potential by having another net put in
40
     where they were. I'd be willing to listen to any other
41
42
     Council member's discuss the benefits, that's -- 75
     feet seems pretty tight for having your gear next to
43
     another net. But I'd like to hear some other people's
44
45
     comments.
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
47
                                        Okay.
                                                It's my
48
     impression from what the YK-Delta RAC did -- but this
     is actually the first year where they had that 75 feet
49
50
```

spacing and there was a lot of displeasure about the number of nets, that's not very far apart. The Yukon River is 200 feet -- 75 feet is really close together. And so the -- I can see what -- you know, they're having second thoughts about this action. The inseason manager seems to be only closing the first 100 feet -- or correction, 300 feet, 100 yards, which doesn't seem adequate for -- with flood tide salmon will move into -- get sucked into any kind of a side stream, you know that Tim, there's going to be salmon sucked in there, I think 100 yards or 300 feet is not adequate as the closure for protection, depending on the species. Especially chum, they'll get sucked right in there, it's like a vacuum cleaner on the flood.

14 15 16

17 18

19

20

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

So I kind of am leaning towards going along with the YK-Delta, because they seem to have a better understanding of what the effects of the current — the recent regulatory change by the Board of Fish and they did not support that. Greg, what was their vote on that, Risdahl.

212223

2.4

MR. RISDAHL: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I just erased that. I believe it was three to four, so the vote failed.

25 26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. inclined to go along with the YK-Delta on that one. And I also feel that the in-season manager should reconsider the distance of closure into the mouth of those streams because if we have fewer and fewer chum salmon, there's going to be a bigger and bigger deal of interception. People are going to crowd that lower part, they should move them a quarter mile in or inside of a drainage because there's a lot of fish get sucked in there, and then they come right back out of there. I used to fish in the intertidal zone at Bristol Bay, all these little streams, all these fish get sucked in those creeks and then they come back out of there, so it's not like you're protecting anything by a 100 yard closure.

41 42 43

That would be my comment on the proposal, I intend to oppose the proposal, personally.

44 45 46

Any other Council comments.

47 48

(No comments)

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33 34

35

36

37 38

39 40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47 48

49 50 Page 176

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So no other Council 2 comments. 3 4 (No comments) 5 6 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So do we have a 7 question on this proposal, 21-02. 8 9 MR. HONEA: Yeah, Mr. Chair, is there -- is there a motion? 10 11 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: There's a motion to 12 adopt and seconded, we've had discussion, we've come to 13 the vote, I'm asking if there's -- can somebody call 14 the question. Do you want to comment Don. 15 16 17 MR. HONEA: I guess I forgot what your

thought was on this.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Did you want to make further comment on the proposal Don?

MR. HONEA: Well, I'm just -- yeah, well, I guess I initially just put it on the floor for discussion. But -- and I think their justification -again, it's kind of interesting, the maker of these, or whoever the village, the people affected are not on the phone, so that's 'why I thought -- I thought, hey, I mean if it's -- if somebody deemed that strong enough to thought it was important enough to make it then -and there's no opposition then I would support it. That's just my feeling.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, the Yukon Delta Regional Advisory Council voted in the majority to oppose.

> MR. HONEA: To oppose, okay.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Four oppose, and three did not, they were a split vote -- kind of a split vote.

But this is the first year of implementation of this and so I'm inclined to go along with the majority vote of the YK-Delta Regional Council understanding that there would be a lot of -- the pie would be sliced so thin that somebody's going to -- you know, there's going to be a lot of nets really close

```
Page 177
     together.
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. HONEA: I would agree with your
 4
     assessment and would vote likewise.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Other -- do we have
 7
     a question on the proposal to vote.
 8
 9
                     MR. HONEA: Call for the question.
10
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Question's called.
11
     Those in favor of Proposal FP21-02 signify by saying
12
13
     aye.
14
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair, I'd like to
15
     do roll call, this is Karen.
16
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, go ahead,
19
     Karen. Yes, please.
20
21
                     MS. DEATHERAGE:
                                       Thank you very much.
     On the motion to adopt FP21-02.
22
23
24
                     Don Honea.
25
                     MR. HONEA:
                                 No.
26
27
                                      Don, are you there?
                     MS. DEATHERAGE:
28
29
30
                     MR. HONEA: Yes, I said no.
31
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you.
32
33
                     Jack Reakoff.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: No.
36
37
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Tommy Kriska.
38
39
40
                     (No comments)
41
42
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Tommy Kriska.
43
44
                     (No comments)
45
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: I'll come back to
46
47
     Tommy.
48
                     Tim Gervais.
49
50
```

```
Page 178
 1
                     MR. GERVAIS:
                                   No.
 2
 3
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Jenny Pelkola.
 4
 5
                     MRS. PELKOLA: No.
 6
 7
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Goodwin Semaken.
 8
9
                     MR. SEMAKEN: No.
10
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Coming back for Member
11
     Tommy Kriska. If you're on mute Tommy, could you
12
13
     unmute.
14
                     (No comments)
15
16
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay, Mr. Chair.
17
                                                         The
18
     motion fails with a vote of six, zero, thank you.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks, Karen.
21
     Tommy's probably got phone trouble, he's having
     problems with his mute.
22
23
2.4
                     So we're going to move down the agenda
25
     to FP....
26
27
                     MR. KRISKA: Hey on that last one was
          I am having a hard time with my phone.
28
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
31
32
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
     The motion fails on six/zero.
33
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So thanks so much,
36
     Tommy. I understood you were having.....
37
                     MR. KRISKA: Yeah, you're going to have
38
     to excuse me when it's time to vote because I have to
39
     pull the phone out and -- it's okay, I'll get it, no
40
     problem.
41
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                         Okay.
44
45
                     MR. KRISKA: Okay, thank you.
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah.
                                                So yeah just
47
48
     come back on whenever you get off mute there.
49
50
```

So we're going to move on to Proposal FP21-03, clarify set gillnet orientation and so Robbin, you're going to make the presentation.

MS. LAVINE: Yes, Mr. Chair, thank you. This is Robbin LaVine. The analysis for Proposal FP21-03 begins on Page 99 of your meeting books and it also can be found on our website.

Fisheries Proposal 21-03 was submitted by the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge and it requests the Federal Subsistence Board clarify that drift gillnets are legal gear in Kuskokwim River tributaries. Drift gillnets have always been legal gear in Kuskokwim River tributaries. In June 2019 the Alaska Department of Fish and Game clarified its regulations that drift gillnets are legal gear in the Kuskokwim River tributaries.

 Adoption of FP21-03 would allow Federally-qualified subsistence users to use drift gillnets in tributaries of the Kuskokwim River drainage. Drifting nets in some tributaries is already a common practice and adoption of this proposal will make it legal for the users under Federal subsistence regulations. Adoption would also align State and Federal regulations. Adoption of this proposal may lead to higher harvest rates on some stocks of fish in some tributaries however fishery managers have the authority to close tributaries with stocks at high risk.

If Proposal FP21-03 was not adopted it would remain illegal under Federal regulations to drift a gillnet in tributaries of the Kuskokwim River. Federal regulations would remain more restrictive than State. Fishers would be able to fish under State regulations unless superseded by Federal special action.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to support FP21-03.

The Yukon Kuskokwim Delta Council met last week and supported this proposal.

Thank you, Mr. Chair, and ready for your discussion.

```
Page 180
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks,
     Robbin.
 2
 3
 4
                     Questions on the proposal from the
 5
     Council members on the presentation.
 6
 7
                     (No comments)
 8
9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don't hear any
     questions from the Council on the presentation, so
10
     tribal consultation for tribes and ANCSA corporations.
11
12
13
                     Orville.
14
15
                     MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
     Council members. Native liaison Orville Lind from the
16
     Office of Subsistence Management. During the
17
18
     consultation session in June there were no questions or
19
     comments on that proposal.
20
21
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
22
2.3
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks,
24
     Orville.
25
                     Alaska Department of Fish and Game
26
27
     comments.
28
29
                     (No comments)
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We've had nobody on
31
     the call this morning from ADF&G from the Kuskokwim
32
33
     manager.
34
35
                     Federal.
                               Gary.
36
37
                     MR. DECOSSAS: This is Gary.
     comments as the last proposal. This doesn't affect
38
     Federal in-season management. Those are issued through
39
     Federal special action by the in-season manager.
40
41
42
                     That's it.
                                 Thank you.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks, Gary.
45
46
                     Any tribal comments at this time.
47
48
                     (No comments)
49
50
```

```
WESTERN INTERIOR RAC MEETING
                                10/15/2020
                                        WESTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE RAC MEETING
                                                           Page 181
                       CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I have not heard any
  2
      on the other proposals.
  3
  4
                       Advisory Group Comments. Regional
  5
      Advisory Council supported, and what was the vote on
  6
      that, Greg, do you know, Risdahl.
  7
                       MR. RISDAHL: Yes, Mr. Chairman, one
  8
  9
      moment while I slide down here. The Yukon Delta
      Regional Advisory Council voted six to zero to support
 10
      and passed unanimously.
 11
 12
 13
                       CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you.
 14
 15
                       Fish and Game Advisory Committees have
      not seem to have met on these, is that correct.
 16
 17
 18
                       MR. DECOSSAS: Correct.
 19
 20
                       CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Subsistence Resource
 21
      Commission does not seem to have met on that,
      affirmative, Josh.
 22
 23
 24
                       MR. REAM: That is correct, Mr. Chair.
 25
                       CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Summary of written
 26
 27
      comments, Karen.
 28
 29
                       MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 30
      This is Karen Deatherage. And there were no written
 31
      public comments for FP21-03.
 32
                       Thank you.
 33
 34
 35
                       CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Karen.
 36
 37
                       Any public testimony. Do we have any
      public testimony on the phone that wants to comment on
 38
 39
      this proposal.
 40
 41
                       (No comments)
 42
                       CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I don't hear any.
 43
      The Chair will entertain a motion to adopt Proposal
 44
 45
      FP21-03. Do we have a motion to adopt.
```

Tim.

46 47

48

49 50 MR. GERVAIS: Motion to adopt, this is

Page 182 MR. HONEA: So moved..... 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I got two -- say 4 again. 5 6 MR. HONEA: Go ahead, Tim. 7 8 MR. GERVAIS: Motion to adopt, Tim. 9 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. 10 Motion by Tim, do we have a second, is that you Don. 11 12 13 MR. HONEA: Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Seconded by Don. 15 16 17 Discussion on this proposal, the drift 18 inside these tributaries. 19 20 MR. HONEA: Mr. Chair. 21 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Don. 22 23 24 MR. HONEA: Yeah, I don't know who was giving us -- what her name was, but I had a question in 25 here, I mean if they're -- if FP21-03 was adopted, are 26 we just -- I mean with the State -- I mean -- if it's 27 always been, you know, to driftnet, why is this even in 28 a proposal? Well, I guess what my question is, what 29 are we changing, what are we actually doing? 30 31 32 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Would you like to 33 explain that aspect of it Gary. 34 35 MR. DECOSSAS: Yeah, sure. So all this 36 proposal is doing is clarifying that drifting is allowed in the tributaries of the Kuskokwim River. 37 you read the regulation as it exists now in the Federal 38 subsistence regulation, it reads, you must attach to 39 the bank each subsistence gillnet operated in the 40 tributaries of the Kuskokwim River and fish it 41 42 substantially perpendicular to the bank and in a substantially straight line. What this regulation 43 change is proposing is to add the word set in front of 44 45 gillnet so it reads: you must attach to the bank each subsistence set gillnet operated in the tributaries of 46 the Kuskokwim River and fish it substantially 47 48 perpendicular to the bank and in a substantially straight line. 49

That's the clarification.

People read that reg -- there can be an interpretation of that regulation without the word set in front of it that reads as though that drifting in the tributaries is not allowed when, in fact, drifting in the tributaries has always been allowed outside of Federal special action or State emergency order that says that, you know, fishing is completely closed off in the tributaries.

That's the purpose of the proposal.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Is there a limitation on the length of the gear for drift gillnet in the tributaries?

MR. DECOSSAS: Yes, there are both in State and Federal regs, you cannot obstruct more than half the width of the stream with a net in a tributaries and that's for public safety reasons, and navigational purposes.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: But in some areas they could set a full 50 fathoms?

MR. DECOSSAS: The only place that I can think of in the lower river where that's possible is the Johnson River, at the very lowest part nearest the mouth.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Uh-huh.

MR. DECOSSAS: But all of the other tributaries are pretty narrow, that I wouldn't imagine would allow a 50 fathom net.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. That's just for my own information, thank you.

 $\hbox{ Other questions $--$ did that answer your question then, Don. }$

MR. HONEA: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Gary. It's a -- you know, might assuming whatever but it's great to have somebody on there to just explain what this is changing or what it's not changing. So I appreciate that. I would be in support of this.

```
Page 184
 1
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks, Don.
 2
 3
                     Other comments or questions,
 4
     discussion.
 5
 6
                      (No comments)
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I'm going to support
 8
 9
     the proposal as Yukon Delta Regional Advisory Council
     has, recognizing customary and traditional use of drift
10
     gillnet inside of tributary streams of the Kuskokwim
11
     River to be clarified in the Federal subsistence
12
13
     regulations.
14
15
                     Any further discussion.
16
                      (No comments)
17
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do we have a
20
     question.
21
                     MR. HONEA: Call for the question, this
22
     is Don.
2.3
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Called by Don.
25
                                                         Do
     you want to do roll call, Karen.
26
27
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, thank you, Mr.
28
     Chair. This is Karen Deatherage. On the motion to
29
30
     adopt FP21-03.
31
32
                     Don Honea.
33
                     MR. HONEA: Yes.
34
35
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Jack Reakoff.
36
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes.
39
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Tommy, we'll give you
40
41
     time to get your phone out.
42
                     Tim Gervais.
43
44
45
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yes.
46
47
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Jenny Pelkola.
48
                     MRS. PELKOLA: Yes.
49
50
```

Page 185 Goodwin Semaken. 1 MS. DEATHERAGE: 2 3 (No comments) 4 5 MS. DEATHERAGE: Is Member Semaken on 6 the phone. 7 8 MR. SEMAKEN: Yes. 9 MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay, thank you. 10 11 12 MR. KRISKA: Tom Kriska, yes. 13 MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay, Tom. Gotcha Tom, 14 thank you very much. Motion passes six to zero, thank 15 16 you. 17 18 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you. 19 I misplaced my sheet, my agenda. So that covers the 20 fisheries proposals and the fishery closure reviews. 21 We're going to move on to Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. Robbin. 22 23 24 MS. LAVINE: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. Good morning. Again, this 25 is Robbin LaVine. This presentation is posted at our 26 27 website and in your meeting materials it's called the 2022 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program and these 28 materials start on Page 118 of your Council books. But 29 I'm going to read this presentation to you as well. 30 31 This is an action item and we are seeking your comments on these materials. 32 33 So the Office of Subsistence Management 34 35 administers the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program and funds research that help manage subsistence 36 fisheries on Federal public lands in Alaska. 37 Monitoring Program also supports collaboration and 38 cooperation among Federal agencies, the State of Alaska 39 and Alaska Native and rural organizations. 40 41 42 Every two years the Office of Subsistence Management announces a funding opportunity 43 for projects that address priority information needs 44 45 identified by our Regional Advisory Councils. The Office of Subsistence Management works with the 46 Councils to identify issues of local concern and 47

48

49 50 knowledge gaps. This information is used to develop regional priorities that guide research in proposal

development. Three primary types of research are requested. Harvest monitoring, traditional ecological knowledge and stock, status and trends. Harvest monitoring and traditional ecological knowledge projects provide information directly from subsistence users, including descriptions of fishing effort and harvest and use patterns. Stock, status and trend projects address fish abundance, migration and behavior in specific fisheries. Research priorities that fall outside that scope of the Monitoring Program are not considered, and they include projects focused on habitat protection, mitigation, restoration and enhancement, hatchery propagation, restoration enhancement and supplementation, and contaminant assessment evaluation and monitoring. These kinds of projects are most appropriately addressed by the local land management or regulatory agency.

17 18 19

20

21

22

2.3

1

2

4

5 6

78

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

So since 2000 the Office of Subsistence Management has funded 113 projects in the Kuskokwim region and 126 projects in the Yukon region through the Monitoring Program. If you turn to Page 119 of your meeting materials you can see all of those projects listed there.

24 25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

So earlier this month, volunteer members from the Eastern Interior, Western Interior and Yukon Kuskokwim Delta Councils met to exchange information concerning these priority information needs for the upcoming notice of funding opportunity. from this Council, Mr. Reakoff attended the PIN discussion for the Kuskokwim region, and Mr. Gervais and Mr. Semaken attended for the Yukon region. We started by reviewing the most recent list developed by the Councils, that was from the last call for proposals for the 2020 -- I'm sorry -- yeah, the 2020 projects and we revised the list by adding and removing items. So the revised list has been faxed or emailed to all the Council members. But what we're going to do is, when you're ready, I will read first the list of priority information needs from the Kuskokwim region, and then I'll pause and take your comments.

42 43 44

45

So if you're ready I'm going to start. There are 15 items of priority information needs for the Kuskokwim region.

46 47 48

Are you ready for me to proceed?

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go right ahead.

3 4

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

First, impacts of climate change and continued harvest and use of fish and impacts of climate change on fish. For example, fish migration, spawning and life cycle.

2. Knowledge of population, reproduction and health of spawning habitat for declining humpback whitefish populations.

3. Documentation of oral histories describing salmon harvest methods in the Kuskokwim River drainage, specifically the period before the development of the modern commercial fishery.

4. Reliable quantitative and/or qualitative estimates of salmon run size, escapement and harvest in the Kuskokwim River drainage, including Kuskokwim Bay tributaries.

5. Exploring new and cost effective methods for conducting in-season salmon run and harvest assessment in the Kuskokwim River drainage with an emphasis on community based monitoring.

6. Estimates of quality of escapement measures to help inform salmon stock assessments, for example potential egg deposition, age, size and sex composition spawners advancing genetic baselines.

7. Improved Kuskokwim River drainagewide and sub-stock specific salmon run size and timing forecasts.

8. Distribution, abundance, conditions and survival of juvenile and out-migrating salmon in the Kuskokwim River drainage.

9. Traditional ecological knowledge of fishes.

 10. Information sharing between stakeholders and agencies concerning salmon conservation in the Kuskokwim River drainage, for example outreach to villages using the media and other methods.

11. The meaning and significance of sharing, barter and/or customary trade of subsistence foods in the context of the social, cultural and economic life of people in the lower Kuskokwim River drainage.

12. Effects of environmental stresses such as heat stress on salmon mortality during adult up river migration and/or pre-spawn mortality within spawning tributaries.

13. Effects of ichthyophonus infection on chinook and chum salmon mortality and spawning success.

14. Assessment of incidental chinook salmon mortality with gillnets with particular consideration for delayed mortality from entanglement or direct mortality from drop-outs, for example loss of chinook salmon from six inch mesh nets.

 And, finally, 15. Collect baseline information on the resident fish community to better understand potential impacts and to assess impacts of proposed development projects.

 So this is an action item. We're seeking your comments on this list of priority information needs. The YK-Delta met last week and the Eastern Interior is meeting concurrently on this agenda item, reviewing the same list as the one before you. And from what I understand the YK-Delta passed and approved this list of 15 priority information needs as written.

Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. I am ready to take your comments.

MS. LAVINE: They are -- yes, the Eastern Interior, yep, they're meeting on the Yukon priority information needs which we will review after the discussion on the YK-Delta.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you.

```
Page 189
     Any questions on these priority needs and comments from
     Council members.
 2
 3
 4
                     MR. GERVAIS:
                                   Jack, this is Tim.
 5
 б
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go right ahead, Tim.
 7
 8
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, I'm looking in my
9
     inbox and I'm seeing the priority information needs for
     the Yukon, does anybody know what date the revised
10
     Kuskokwim priority information needs came out, or were
11
     sent out?
12
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Karen, that was in
15
     an email.
16
17
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, Mr. Chair, it was
18
     in an email, and I'm trying to find out right now, I'll
     get back to you shortly.
19
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I downloaded this
     one, Tim, it was in an email the other day.
22
23
24
                     MR. STONE: Mr. Chair, this is Jared.
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Jared.
26
27
28
                     MR. STONE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
29
     email was sent out on October 8th.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you.
                                                           Do
     you see that one, Tim?
32
33
34
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, I've got it, thank
35
     you.
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
37
                                        Okay.
38
39
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Jared.
40
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Council comments on
41
     this draft Kuskokwim region fishery priority
42
     information needs from the Council members.
43
44
45
                     (No comments)
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All of these -- this
47
48
     whole list, some of them are critical on the Kuskokwim
     River fishery, especially these in-season harvest
49
50
```

```
monitoring water quality, escapement qualities, these stock compositions, juvenile out-migrations, these are all -- I consider these all very high priorities and this ecological knowledge of fishes by the elders about, you know, how far up the rivers does salmon get sucked when they're on the flood tide versus -- so those information that people have, managers may or may not be paying attention to those customary and traditional knowledges. So I consider all of these -- I concur with the YK-Delta, these 15 top priorities are -- many are critical as far as informational needs.
```

Other Council member comments.

Do you have the list now, Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Yes, I have the list.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MR. GERVAIS: So, Jack, you were on the call last week, or two weeks when they were discussing this -- or last week, I guess.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, I was on the call discussing various aspects of informational needs, priority information needs as you were with the Yukon and Goodwin.

MR. GERVAIS: So the status of the information needs that are crossed off, those were taken off during the phone call last week?

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Some of these cross outs, yeah, can you answer that one Robbin.

MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, this is Robbin LaVine, thank you. I would ask if the cross outs have been addressed by the list I just shared with you, and does the list I shared with you demonstrate change in the draft that you have?

And, Jared, if you know that can you also speak up. Thanks.

MR. STONE: Mr. Chair, this is Jared.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go right ahead,

49 Jared.

```
MR. STONE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
     the record this is Jared Stone, fisheries biologist
 2
     with OSM.
               And this fourth PIN that was crossed off,
 3
     the documentation of local knowledge concerning how
 4
     salmon subsistence harvest restrictions have affected
 5
 6
     people's use of fish and other resources in the
     Kuskokwim River drainage was suggested to have been met
 7
     by a priority information needs -- or I'm sorry,
 8
 9
     suggested to have been met by a project that was
     titled: Patterns and Trends of Subsistence Salmon
10
     Harvest and Use in the Kuskokwim River Drainage.
11
     it was suggested during the volunteer meeting that,
12
     that particular priority information needs be removed
13
     because it had been addressed by previous years'
14
15
     projects.
16
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
17
                                        Okay.
                                               Does that
     clarify that for you Tim? And some of these cross outs
18
     seem to be redundant with some of the other bullet
19
20
     points. Is that correct, Jared?
21
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, I understand what
22
2.3
     the cross outs are designating.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Okay.
26
27
                     Do you have any comments, Tim, on the
     list or other Regional Council member comments on the
28
     list?
29
30
31
                     MR. GERVAIS: Is 15 the number that OSM
     deemed -- is that a fixed number, static number, 15
32
33
     needs?
34
35
                                  Mr. Chair, this is Robbin
                     MS. LAVINE:
36
     LaVine. And is that Council Member Gervais?
37
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, it's Tim
38
39
     Gervais.
40
                     MS. LAVINE: So I numbered these so I
41
42
     wouldn't get lost. In general, when we develop
     priority information needs, for one, we don't want to
43
     number them because we don't want to indicate any kind
44
45
     of priority. Prioritization happens as you discuss
     these issues and you have an opportunity to elevate
46
     those issues that you think are absolutely critical.
47
```

48

49 50 Of course as you see there are a lot of priority information needs here and as Mr. Chair has shared,

they're all critical, they're all really important. And I would also share that they're not all likely to be met during this call for proposals. We won't have 15 projects for 15 priority information needs.

You have an opportunity to trim this down if you think there are some priority information needs that are of critical importance for this cycle. We can track all of these priority information needs into the future if you want to sideline some of them for now.

I know, though, this is a large region and this is a really critical region. It spans two Councils, the Yukon River Drainage and the Yukon Fishers -- or I'm sorry, the Kuskokwim River drainage and fisheries region has always had a high number of priority information needs and I defer to your expertise on how you might want to proceed. I can only share that, of course, trimming down this list may guarantee those information needs that you deem most critical for the next couple of years to -- you know, there will be better opportunity that those might get competitive proposals submitted to address them.

MRS. PELKOLA: Mr. Chair, this is

Jenny.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Jenny.

 MRS. PELKOLA: I don't have the materials in front of me but I probably deleted it from my phone, so I'm just sort of listening and, you know, it sounds good to me.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Can you resend that to her right now, Karen?

MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, Mr. Chair, I'll go ahead and email that to her right now.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks.

MS. DEATHERAGE: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So there are things that are more critical on this list than others. All are worthy projects and informational lacks.

MR. HONEA: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Don.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, I'm of the same opinion of Jenny here. Just looking at the projects and stuff and coming up with the priorities that they mention, I don't know if they're one in the same, but I would like to have that list also sent to my email.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Can you send that to Don also, Karen?

 MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm going to send it to the Council in general.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you. So some of these critical things are the quality of escapement, you know, the size, composition of the chinook salmon is critical as to their fecundity or their productivity, putting a lot of jack males on the spawning ground is counter-productive. The quality of escapement is a very important issue.

The distribution and abundance of juvenile salmon out-migrating, that's productivity, that's a pretty high priority issue.

In-season harvest assessment, I think there needs to be some work with in-season harvest. So I feel that's a pretty important thing.

Exploring new methods of conducting inseason salmon harvest. We discussed drones but this current Administration has got a ban on utilizing drones because they're made in China. But if that ability comes forward in the future, developing proactive ways of reducing cost of monitoring escapements with drones or other more modern techniques would be helpful.

 I feel that monitoring the water quality, velocity and temperature, you can -- there should be deployment working with other agencies, land managers on in-stream velocity monitoring and also temperature and ichthyophonus and fungal attacks on salmon play into a high priority as to the viability of

stocks to actually reach destination.

Those would be some of my concerns for salmon in general in the Western Interior region but also specifically in this context to the Kuskokwim River.

6 7 8

5

Other comments from the Council.

9

MR. GERVAIS: Mr. Chair, this is Tim.

10 11 12

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.

13 14

15

MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, can I bring up a point that's not on this list or is it too late in the process for that.

16 17 18

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Discuss whatever you want to, go ahead.

19 20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

MR. GERVAIS: Alrighty. This was a point I added or attempted to add on for the Yukon River and what that is is studies that advance understanding of the geographic distribution, migration patterns and feeding habits of salmon during marine residency. And the reason I think that's important is on the Yukon list it got crossed off because the Monitoring Program generally funds research in fresh waters. This is a point that has been trouble with all the fishery -- all the salmon issues that we've been dealing with between the in-river management and the North Pacific Fishery Management Council is each of the entities are saying they're not responsible for what the behavior of the salmon is in whichever water they're not. The North Pacific Council says we don't deal with fresh water stuff on salmon, like during the chinook salmon bycatch amendment, Dr. Stram testified right to the North Pacific Council, that there's no analysis on the effects of that amendment on any of the fresh water aspects of chinook salmon. And so that way they don't have to address any of the subsistence concerns or spawning concerns or ASL concerns because they said, oh, that's not our jurisdiction or that's not our responsibility.

44 45 46

47

48

But in this case where we see just -- just to put this in reference to what happened this summer where chum salmon runs failed on both the Yukon and Kuskokwim River and people are saying -- and I

 Page 195

appreciate that email that got sent out yesterday showing the lack of return on that four year age class and this year's chum salmon return, so researchers and managers are saying, oh, potentially the biggest problem is that this four year old age class of chum didn't return so we know in the Gulf of Alaska anyway, there's the starvation event in 2016. There was a lot of seabird die-off, there was a lot of cod die-off, there was a lot of -- there's low plankton production, there was bad conditions for a lot of juvenile salmon, so what I'm proposing is that -- and potentially the information's there but -- so it may not be that expensive of a project but if we had better understanding of the migration patterns and feeding habits of our salmon species, kings, chums and silvers when they're in the marine environment, that would help the Council members to understand why some of these age classes of certain species fail during certain years.

So that's one item I'd like to see added on for the Kuskokwim. I was only on the Yukon, I didn't participate in the Kuskokwim call. But I understand there is resistance to doing it because it goes outside of fresh water but I think at some point we need to expand our boundaries here when we're talking about salmon management because it seems like we have smolt out-migration for that age class and then we didn't have the spawners return.

So at this point, we can say okay we had some failures in the marine environment for that age class but right now I don't know exactly where these chum from the Kuskokwim River spend their adult lives in the marine environment, anyways.

So that's 'where I would like to see some information coming back that has the geographic distribution, migration patterns, and feeding habits of -- for the Yukon I had chinook, but at this point because of the chum failure, which up until now it's been more reliable than the king salmon, and now we're seeing our second significant salmon resource being compromised that I would change it to habits of salmon during marine residency.

So if somebody from OSM address the issue of maybe by statute or regulation they can't -- we can't fund that because it does involve the marine environment.

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473 2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24 Page 196

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, there's -some of the information you're actually talking about is actually being done by the University of Washington, and a bunch of entities working on those things but there is a Dr. Schindler, like Schindler's List, on that InterTribal Fish Commission on the pre-season planning and he had a whole bunch of data about the number of orcas have increased by three times in the last 30 years and how they selectively kill -- target large chinook salmon and it was one of the contributors to the decline of the large salmon -- chinook salmon, there's a whole bunch of stuff happening, apparently managers -- the manager's are not paying a heck of a lot of attention to what, you know, they were documenting this bird die-off and all these decline in phytoplanktons and zooplanktons, and so nobody's paying attention to where we're -- we should be expecting a decline in chum salmon, and I understand what you're talking about. There's closures in the trawl fishery because of when chum are present and when they're not present or chinook are present or not present, so there's a lot of information that is out there. don't have to reinvent the wheel. It just has to be gathered into a concise thing that actually shows what the in-system managers are going to anticipate.

25 26 27

28

29 30 So I understand what you're talking about but that's a huge ocean of money that would be needed to do those projects, but there is a lot of funds in climate change and so forth on some of those projects that you're referring to.

31 32 33

34

35 36 What needs to be done is managers need to gather that information together and start looking more concisely at what's actually going on in the marine system for anticipation about what's going to happen on a return.

37 38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47 48 So there's only a limited amount of funding for these Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program so I do feel that managers should gather that, literally, massive amounts of information, enlightening information. Dr. Schindler talked about Bristol Bay sockeye salmon studies where disentangled fish, big fish with net marks went up and went through the counting towers but didn't spawn. Five to 30 percent of the fish that went through the counting tower had net marks and they didn't actually spawn. This is an applicable thing to other species. Nobody hears that.

I just heard that on that call. There's data out there floating around that needs to be looked at. And so this drop-out — there's drop-out with six inch nets, incidental harvest mortality of chinook salmon and other salmon. When you use six inch net, 7.5 is optimum, six inch is an inch and a half too small and as they pinch on the gill and they fall out of a net — but then there's a whole bunch that get beat up and they let them go, or they fall off and they swim off, they hit the net again, they get — especially if their caudal and tail fin gets chewed up, they don't have any — their prop is chewed off, they can't swim against the current.

Disentanglement is a big deal and so that's a real -- that's one of the issues here on this list, that is a big deal.

 I talked about that at the pre-season meeting. When you have long drainages like the Yukon and the Kuskokwim River and you keep opening fisheries, there's fish that are going to get -- fall out of the net, and the managers seem to think they swim happily up the river but according to Dr. Schindler, no, there's a whole bunch of them that get to the spawning destination but they don't spawn. As far as sockeye, and that would apply to other species. So their fungal attacks increase, it wrecks their whole metabolism, and so there's -- we wonder where -- when we have long duration fisheries with too small of net, you're going to have a phenomenal amount of drop-out.

So there's issues in-system that need to be addressed and managers have to start looking -- stop talking to themselves in-systems and start looking in the marine, start researching more data. Fisheries managers need to start looking at marine data so that we can anticipate these declines.

 The model came in bad for chum and chinook on the Kuskokwim because the model was not actually looking at all of the data in the marine system, that's what the flaw in the model was.

 So there's several things on this list that are critical for the in-season managers. And they're in-system managers, they're not marine managers, and the in-system managers need to start looking a little more widely and that doesn't cost a

lot of money and that doesn't need an appropriation, that should just be part of their job at U.S. Fish and Wildlife, is to look at all of this data being produced by Washington State University, and NOAA and everybody and their brother is out in there in the marine system coming up with all kinds of data and nobody seems to listen, nobody's paying attention to it. Nobody knew -- in-system managers on the Yukon River never seen the Schindler disentanglement study, they were surprised, they didn't know about that one. I think that's kind of a glaring example of how in-system managers need to start looking at other data sources.

So I'll stop right there, thank you.

 $$\operatorname{So}\ I$$ do think that your answer can be addressed with all the other studies that are out there, $\operatorname{Tim}.$

What do you think about this list,

 though.

MR. GERVAIS: I think it's pretty good. I don't have any issues with there being frivolous stuff on it so I'm good with it. I know the Staff put a lot of effort into it and the various RAC Members who volunteered for that project, put in good input and I support it as it stands.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You don't have items that would be higher priorities, they're asking for Council comments on priority over -- higher on the list over things that should be lower on the list.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ GERVAIS: Standby let me look for the ranking stuff.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Some things fall by the wayside because they don't get anybody to submit a proposal on it.

 Like this incidental harvest mortality issue, I've been talking about that for years, nobody wants to address it. It's a glaring scientific data lack that no one has yet to answer with the Department of Fish and Game or any Federal manager. They have no clue how many fish are dropping out of nets. They have no idea in any fishery. In Bristol Bay there's hundreds of thousands of fish laying all over the

beaches down there that are incidental harvest mortality, oh, there's no way to enumerate it, well, get on the beach, that's only about half, some of them sink. They're laying on the bottom also.

So that's a -- but we can't get anybody to take up that assessment of disentanglement and mortality. Nobody wants to -- nobody seems to -- let's just stick our head in the sand about that one. Let's just assume that every fish that hits a six inch net is just going to swim happily up the river, it's like, unh-unh, Schindler's data and any fishermen will tell you that there's dead, falling out dead fish fall off the net. Any time you're fishing you're going to have fish falling out.

It's not a little issue, it's a giant issue. It's a glaring -- the longer the duration of the fishery with six inch net, the more mortality you're going to have, that's unenumerated in harvest or escapement or anything else, especially if it happens below the sonar.

So that would be my comments.

Do you have a priority, or any other Council member have a priority of these needs over one another, all 15 are great, but does any Council members have a priority of one over the other?

So we need to take action on this, it's an action item.

So we could adopt the entire list and the OSM can take the transcripts and prioritize from our discussion.

Do you have any comment, Jenny, on these, any priority over one another?

MRS. PELKOLA: No. I finally got the list and I don't have the numbers as she read it. But I'll just go with, you know, whatever you think is right.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MRS. PELKOLA: And I agree with you that we are losing a lot of fish out of nets.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, they just drop off. They're pinched on the gill and they just pop right out. And they sink to the bottom. After awhile they puff up and float on top of the water as white ghost fish and you see them floating down the river and the seagulls are eating them on the beach and stuff.

So any other -- Don, you got a priority over -- one over another?

MR. HONEA: No, I'm not even going to speak towards that. I think that's where needing reps from the Kusko, I would much rather see their input or we are surely lacking the voice from that area so I'm not even going to -- whatever they decide.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

Goodwin, do you have a priority one over another on this list?

MR. SEMAKEN: No. No, I'll just go

23 along.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MR. GERVAIS: Jack.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.

 MR. GERVAIS: As a priority I would put that -- one could combine two things as one or have them both be priorities, but towards the end of the list, assessment of incidental chinook salmon mortality with gillnets, and then the other one, effects of environmental stressors such as heat stress, salmon mortality during up migration, and you were talking about water velocity -- I guess they are different and I guess -- what I was -- is interaction with gear, fishwheels and nets, is that not an environmental stressor, I mean they're part of the environment if they're in the water.

The issue -- for a couple reasons I think those are priorities.

Is, one, we're not getting -- nobody's ever -- or I don't see where the managers are assigning a percent of the run to this mortality and in my

perspective, the most successful escapement events we've had on the Yukon is when the manager's focused on having the unmolested pulses of fish getting up the river where they're not having to deal with nets and fishwheels at the mouth or mid river or in the upper part and we had better ASL composition during the years when that was a priority and it just makes sense that the fish are not being injured or developing mental or physical stress from having interactions with the different gear types.

So I would like -- as you said we're not getting the information on what those drop-out rates are so my suggestion is that this Council moves it up as a -- ranks it high, or at the highest level of the priority information needs.

MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, this is Robbin.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Robbin.

MS. LAVINE: Yeah, hello, Mr. Chair. Members of the Council.

 I just wanted to clarify again, the only way that your Council can prioritize an information need is by selecting it or -- and deselecting others, taking -- leaving some on the list and taking others off the list. We don't rank priority information needs based on the most information needs versus the, you know, not as important priority information needs so really they're all important information needs and it's up to the Technical Review Committee and whoever is actually forwarding the proposals to come up with a really good competitive project to address that information need.

So, here, how you select these priority information needs will determine, you know, which ones are on the list and which ones are calling proposals to address these information needs.

Am I clear?

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, OSM's explained that at different times, but that's not the way I think about it. I think that there are a lot of good informational needs here but some are of more critical importance than others.

Like the complete lack of knowledge by any manager on the incidental harvest mortality with gear in the fishery and the ability of that fish to actually spawn. Those are glaring issues that managers are making giant assumptions and we do not manage with assumptions, we're supposed to manage with science. The reality is they could look at harvested fish, go down to somebody's cutting table, oh, this fish has got net marks from previous, it's got fungal attack on it, how many fish get funguses on them as you're moving up the drainage, a significant number have fungal attacks and especially if they got caudal issues like their tail is all tore up, not going to make the escapement. Then you get what is actually subjecting the run to if -- and so as Tim was saying, that's an important issue, unmolested fish as they move up the river.

16 17 18

19 20

2

4

5

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

The managers assume that the fish bounce off of nets and they're happy, it's like, unhunh, they're not happy about that, it hurts them, cuts -- knocks all their scales off.

212223

So we have more priority needs than some of these other issues.

24 25 26

27

28

29 30

31

I feel that these Councils should be able to number them -- I still feel that we should be able to number to a limited agree, prioritize these are high priority, these as medium, et cetera. So our discussion is not numbering, but we're actually having a lot of transcript here about what the problems are and what the managers are not paying attention to.

32 33 34

Is that clear, Robbin.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41 42 MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair. You did a wonderful job of arguing for how you can create an emphasize on priority through the transcripts and this discussion and I would imagine -- and I would wager that any principal investigator and agency forwarding a proposal worth their salt would be reviewing these transcripts and incorporating your comments into their investigation plans.

43 44 45

Thank you.

46 47 48

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I would appreciate it if the Council -- whatever Council it would be, discussions, regarding the priority needs is forwarded

Page 203 with the call for proposals, to the prospective people who are asking for the grant. 2 3 So any -- we got to move on now, but --4 so I feel all 15 valid -- validitity to them and we 5 6 should adopt the list with reliance on OSM to review our transcripts about the priorities that are higher 7 and lower in status on this 15 list; is that good with 8 9 the Council. 10 MR. SEMAKEN: Yes. 11 12 13 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So the Chair will entertain a motion to adopt the 2022 draft Kuskokwim 14 region priority information needs list that entails 15 15 projects with the understanding that the Council has 16 higher priorities of some of the issues, of lack of 17 18 information. 19 20 Do we have a motion to..... 21 MR. HONEA: I would second. 22 23 24 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So you're making 25 that motion, Don? 26 27 MR. HONEA: Yes, I am making that motion. 28 29 30 Thank you. 31 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do we have a second. 32 33 MRS. PELKOLA: I'll second, this is 34 35 Jenny. 36 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Jenny seconds. 37 Any further discussion by the Council. 38 39 40 (No comments) 41 42 MR. HONEA: Question. 43 44 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do you want to call 45 the roll, Karen. 46 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 47 MS. DEATHERAGE: 48 On the motion to accept the list of priority

49 50 information needs for the Fisheries Resource Monitoring

```
Page 204
 1
     Program;
 2
 3
                     Donald Honea.
 4
 5
                     MR. HONEA: Yes.
 6
 7
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Jack Reakoff.
 8
9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes.
10
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Tommy Kriska.
11
12
                     MR. KRISKA: Yes.
13
14
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Tim Gervais.
15
16
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yes.
17
18
19
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Jenny Pelkola.
20
21
                     MRS. PELKOLA: Yes.
22
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: And Goodwin Semaken.
23
24
25
                     MR. SEMAKEN: Yes.
26
27
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you. Mr. Chair,
     the motion passes six/zero.
28
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. And so you
     were going to cover the Yukon next Robbin.
31
32
33
                     MS. LAVINE: Correct, Mr. Chair.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go right ahead.
36
37
                     MS. LAVINE: Okay. So thank you, Mr.
     Chair, members of the Council. Again, this is.....
38
39
40
                     MR. KRISKA: Hey, Mr. Chair, this is
41
     Tom, did you get my vote for that one?
42
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Did we?
43
44
45
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, Mr. Chair, this
46
     is Karen Deatherage. Yes we did record your vote.
47
48
                     MR. KRISKA: Okay.
49
50
```

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MS. DEATHERAGE: We currently have six voting members, Jack, on the Council and so a unanimous vote with all members would be six/zero.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So go right ahead,

Robbin.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So moving on to the list of priority information needs developed for the Yukon region. And, again, there are three Regional Advisory Councils that have participated in the development of these priority information needs.

And the list that was sent to you is 12 items long, again, I'm only giving you numbers so that we can kind of keep track. These will be bulletted and not numbered when they go out for the call for proposals. So I'll read them to you now.

All right.

1. Impacts of climate change in continued harvest and use of fish and impacts of climate change on fish, for example, impacts to fish migration, spawning and life cycle.

2. Effects of environmental stressors, such as heat stress on salmon mortality during adult up river migration and/or pre-spawn mortality within spawning tributaries.

3. Effects of ichthyophonus infection on chinook salmon mortality and spawning success.

4. Knowledge of population, reproduction and health of spawning habitat for bering cisco and humpback whitefish.

5. Reliable estimates of chinook, summer chum, fall chum and coho salmon escapements and/or harvest, particularly sub-stocks that are large

contributors to the total run.

6. Estimates of quality of escapement measures for chinook salmon, for example potential egg deposition, age, sex and size composition of spawners, percentage of females, percentage of jacks, and spawning habitat utilization with an emphasis on Canadian origin stocks.

7. Reliable in-season harvest of salmon harvest in the lower, middle and upper Yukon River subsistence fishery.

8. Reliable estimates of age, sex, length and genetic composition of salmon harvested in the subsistence fishery with emphasis on chinook and fall chum salmon.

9. In-season estimates of genetic stock composition of chinook, summer chum and fall chum salmon runs and harvest.

10. Reliable methods of forecasting chinook, summer chum, fall chum and coho salmon run abundance.

11. Assessment of incidental mortality with gillnets, dipnets and seines with particular consideration for delayed mortality from entanglement from dropouts and live release of chinook salmon, for example loss of chinook salmon from six inch mesh net during chum salmon fisheries and the live release of chinook salmon from dipnets and seines.

12. Traditional ecological knowledge of fisheries.

Mr. Chair and members of the Council, the YK-Delta Regional Advisory Council met last week and added a 13th PIN. This is also a PIN in the Kuskokwim region.

 13. Distribution, abundance, condition and survival of juvenile and out-migrating salmon in the Kuskokwim River drainage.

And, Mr. Chair and members of the Council, the Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Council reviewed this list this morning. I will check and see

this list.

Page 207

if they have accepted the 13th PIN that I just read to you submitted by the YK-Delta Council, but otherwise approved all of these priority information needs as written. Thank you and we're ready for your discussion. CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Robbin. I would agree with the YK-Delta adding that smolt out-

Any questions on the presentation from the Council members.

MRS. PELKOLA: Could you read that 13th one again, this is Jenny.

migration indices on the Yukon River as a 13th PIN to

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Council Member Jenny, yep. They added:

Distribution, abundance, condition and survival of juvenile and out-migrating salmon in the Kuskokwim River drainage.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you. That was on the Kuskokwim list is where they did that.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, go ahead.

MS. DEATHERAGE: This is Karen Deatherage, are we on the Yukon FRMP, Robbin, PIN list?

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, we are.

 $$\operatorname{MS}.\ \operatorname{DEATHERAGE}\colon$\operatorname{Okay}.$ Then I guess I'm confused as to why the Kuskokwim was added just now.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: No, she misstated -- I'm sure she misstated that the YK-Delta added that PIN to the Yukon list, and she said Kuskokwim, but I clarified that a second ago that it was for the Yukon.

1 MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2 3 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 And, Karen, sorry about that. But I also just wanted to let you know that the Eastern Interior had also 5 6 approved the addition of the distribution abundance, condition and survival of juvenile and out-migrating 7 salmon in the Yukon River drainage, or the Kuskokwim 8 9 River drainage -- yes, actually it does say the Kuskokwim River drainage but I would imagine it is the 10 Yukon River drainage as well, yep. 11 12 13 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: That's a flaw in the 14 record because that issue was on the Kuskokwim 15 list. So that's a flaw somehow in the record, but surely they 15 would meant to the Yukon River. 16 17 18 MS. LAVINE: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair. And I realize that -- I was forwarded this PIN and we 19 20 did not edit it to demonstrate that this is a PIN for 21 the Yukon River drainage. 22 2.3 Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: That's already on 25 the Kuskokwim River list. 26 27 MS. LAVINE: Correct. 28 29 30 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So should go back to 31 the transcripts on that. But I will clarify for this record that that's a priority need, a PIN need for the 32 33 Yukon River, juvenile out-migration condition, et cetera for this list here, the 13th need for the Yukon 34 35 River list. 36 Is that agreeable to the Council, 37 adding the 13th priority need. 38 39 40 MR. HONEA: Yes. 41 42 MR. GERVAIS: Yes. 43 44 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. 45 46 MR. GERVAIS: So long as it only 47 references the Yukon River. 48 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You're breaking up a 49 50

little bit, Tim, go ahead.

1 2 3

4

MR. GERVAIS: Yes. So long as it is only in reference to the Yukon River and not the Kuskokwim River.

5 6 7

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Right. On this list, right, correct.

8 9 10

So other Council questions or comments on this list.

11 12 13

MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is

14 15 Tim.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

MR. GERVAIS: Yes. This is Tim And all these 13 points or items are all Gervais. informational needs that are important for the river but -- and some of them are existing programs such as the quantity of escapement, weirs and what not, so of course I would like any kind of project that has to do with counting salmon to be maintained and funded, but if we need to, I'd like this Council to comment and emphasize two of the newer items that are affecting us right now, which don't have the history or the prior research with them and so, therefore, this Council needs to emphasize them because there isn't the basic or historical research on it and that is assessment of mortality for the various gear types for the different species and then the effects of environmental stressors such as heat stress, and water velocity.

31 32 33

34 35

36

Yesterday, Jack, you mentioned water quality from these different minerals and chemicals that are getting into the spawning areas.

37 38 39

40

41 42

43

44

So to summarize, all these are important but I think this Council should emphasize those two items because there's a lack of information about those issues and they're having dramatic effects over the last two seasons regarding the chum salmon returns -- or not chum, I'm sorry, all the -- either -- any species of salmon.

45 46 47

48

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes. I will agree with you on that, Tim, that those are priority information needs because they basically don't have an

```
1
     answer.
 2
 3
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yeah.
 4
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Weir enumeration and
 5
     so forth, yeah, those are ongoing needs, but we have
 6
     scientific priority informational needs but water
 7
     quality, water temperature, water velocity and then the
 8
 9
     -- what current management practices are with
     incidental mortalities and then disentanglement
10
     injuries that may be affecting the progress of the
11
     returning fish and their ability to spawn.
12
13
14
                     I admonish any biologist that's
15
     listening to me right this minute to get the Schindler
     data on those Bristol Bay stocks that were disentangled
16
     and went up the river, through the counting towers,
17
18
     counted as escapement but they didn't actually spawn,
     five to 30 percent, that's a significant number of fish
19
                    So I think that there's some
20
     not spawning.
21
     informational needs that are priority on the Yukon
     River and those, as you enumerated Tim, I will agree
22
     with you on those.
23
24
25
                     Any other comments.
26
27
                     MR. GERVAIS: Jack, I have a question
     or additional.
28
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.
31
32
                     MR. GERVAIS: So when you're talking
     about the Bristol Bay study, the bullet list says
33
     incidental mortality, should we add.....
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, it says.....
36
37
                     MR. GERVAIS: .....stress also.
38
     Because those fish, those entanglement fish you're
39
     talking about in Bristol Bay, they were -- they're not
40
     dying but they're getting on the spawning grounds, or
41
42
     part way to the spawning grounds and not spawning, not
     completing their life cycle, so maybe that -- that one
43
     bullet that talks about incidental mortality should be
44
45
     expanded out to include -- I don't have the exact
     verbiage it would be but something to the effect of
46
     stress and behavioral change or something.
47
48
```

49

50

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:

Well,

Right.

particular consideration of delayed mortality or ability to spawn from entanglement or drop-out, live release of chinook salmon, for example. Or other species of chum salmon, fish, you know, in the chum salmon fishery. There's chum that get beat up.

I fish on the Yukon, I fished late a couple years ago and I was catching chums with their caudal fin just ragged in tight to the beach, really skinny, you could see that those poor fish had swam really hard to get to that point, and they're going to Canada, they're not going to make it. So this is a salmon spec -- as an overall -- all salmon species on the Yukon River are having the same effect from disentanglement for outright mortality from gear. We have an unknown factor that scientists are going to have to address as runs get smaller and smaller and the number of fish is needed, as people are fishing longer and longer and harder and harder, the fish are enduring more and more stressors. So this is a priority information need.

And so the additional language for this bullet point would be delayed mortality or inability to spawn, which can happen. I mean they went through the sonar, those are counted, but does that actually mean that they're actually going to get to the spawning ground, does that mean they're actually going to spawn. So that's something to look at.

Other comments from the Council on these 13 priority information needs.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So hearing none, the Chair will entertain a motion to adopt 13 priority needs for 2022 Draft Yukon region to include the juvenile condition, out-migration as the 13th point. Our transcripts shall be used for our discussion on whether -- of critical priority information needs as we did on the Kuskokwim River adoption. So the Chair will entertain a motion to adopt the 13 priority information needs for the Yukon River for 2022.

Do we have a motion to that effect.

MRS. PELKOLA: This is Jenny, so moved.

```
Page 212
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Moved by Jenny.
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. GERVAIS: Seconded by Tim.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Seconded by Tim.
 6
     Any further discussion.
 7
 8
                      (No comments)
 9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do we have a
10
     question.
11
12
13
                     MRS. PELKOLA: Question.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Question's called,
     those in favor of adoption of the priority information
16
     needs for 2022 Draft Yukon region, 13 points, signify
17
18
     by saying aye.
19
20
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
21
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair, I'd like to
22
     do roll call.
2.3
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
25
26
27
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: All right, this is
     important for the record.
28
29
30
                     Donald Honea.
31
                     MR. HONEA: Yes.
32
33
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Jack Reakoff.
34
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes.
37
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Tommy Kriska.
38
39
40
                     (No comments)
41
42
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: We'll come back to
     Tommy until he gets his phone.
43
44
45
                     MR. KRISKA:
                                   Tom Kriska, yes.
46
                     MS. DEATHERAGE:
                                       Thank you, Tommy.
47
48
                     MR. KRISKA: Tom Kriska, yes.
49
50
```

```
Page 213
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Tommy, we
     got you. How about Tim Gervais.
 2
 3
 4
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yes.
 5
 6
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Jenny Pelkola.
 7
 8
                     MRS. PELKOLA: Yes.
9
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Goodwin Semaken.
10
11
12
                     MR. SEMAKEN: Yes.
13
14
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Motion passes,
15
     six/zero.
                Thank you, Mr. Chair.
16
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you.
17
18
     And so what do you want to do for break, Council, or do
     you need a break, we're about 45 minutes from lunch, do
19
20
     you need a break right now.
21
                     (No comments)
22
2.3
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don't hear anybody
     raising their hand.
25
26
27
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, Mr. Chair, can we
28
     have a 10 minute break, please.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So we'll come
31
     back on at -- I got 11:15, come back on at 11:25.
32
33
                     MR. HONEA: Thank you.
34
35
                     (Off record)
36
37
                     (On record)
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, we're all back
40
     on the call again. So, Karen, do you want to go over
     the annual report.
41
42
43
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
     Welcome back from the break everybody. I'd like to
44
45
     draw your attention -- this is Karen Deatherage with
     OSM, to Page 126 in your meeting book, which explains
46
     the purpose of the annual report which essentially is
47
48
     an opportunity for the Council to share its concerns
     and issues with the Board that are outside of the
49
50
```

regulatory process. So any issues of concern that the Council has that does not deal with regulations.

The last annual report, and responses to that annual report can be found on Pages 128, 129, 130 and 131, including some attachments after that.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

The last annual report that was responded to by the Board identified the mean high water mark definition; the Mulchatna Caribou Herd harvest management; and the maximum sustained yield fisheries management as three issues of concern to the Council.

13 14 15

 $\,$ For this meeting, the Council will be drafting an initial.....

16 17 18

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

MS. DEATHERAGE: I'm sorry -- will be drafting its initial report for fiscal year 2020, whatever the Council decides to include as topics on this annual report can be reviewed at the winter 2021 cycle meeting and either updated or approved as is as a final report to the Board.

26 27 28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39 40

41 42

So what I would like to invite you to do is just briefly look at the annual report in the meeting book to determine if you feel like the responses by the Board were adequate, or if you'd like to bring those subjects back up to the Board or if you have any new subjects to bring in front of the Board. As I was briefly discussing with Jack, we are already including in this report, per the Council's request, the issue of Council appointments, that they're not made on time, there's not enough members, there's no meaningful participation and that a whole compliment of membership on the Council is imperative to having the Council meet the statutory language of ANILCA. That will be one subject that will be included on the annual report. That subject will also cite the fact that a letter is being written to the Board regarding the same issue.

44 45 46

43

Thank you, very much, Mr. Chair.

47 48

there's a definition in CFR 33.328.38 [sic] and that is a Corps of Engineers definition. The term ordinary high water mark means the line on the shore established by the fluctuations of water indicated by physical characteristics such as a clear natural line impressed on the bank, shelving, changes in the character of the soil, destruction of terrestrial vegetation, the presence of litter, debris and other appropriate means that consider the characteristics of the surrounding area. And the Federal Subsistence Board says we don't need to make a definition because there already is one.

Well, we have winter hunts for moose and the State controlled land are below the mean high water mark on the river, and above that is Federal land, and in the winter you cannot see all of those discerning factors that are stated in CFR 33.328.38 [sic] of the Corps of Engineer regulation.

 So I feel that we should reclarify to the Federal Subsistence Board in our annual report, that there's an inadequacy in that CFR regulation that does not give the subsistence user a clear definition of mean high water mark under Federal regulation, and it should be the brush line, where willows and vegetation occurs above the snow so that the user knows where they're standing. Are they standing on State land, or is this moose standing on Federal land.

Does that make sense, Karen.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I have captured what you said as an indication for the new fiscal year 2020 annual report; will reference the current CFR and say that it is inadequate and needs to be reclarified and it does not give the subsistence user clear definition which should be the brush line, a clear delineation of willows including during winter.....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, willows or vegetation above the snow column, so that the user knows what is considered the Federal lands and what is considered the State lands, or the mean water mark on navigable waters.

```
Page 216
                     (Teleconference interference -
 2
     participants not muted)
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So are you listening
 5
     to this Tommy.
 6
 7
                     (No comments)
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are you there Tommy.
10
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So any Council
     comments on my discussion regarding the....
14
15
16
                     MR. KRISKA: Yes, I have Council
17
     comments on that.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                       Go ahead, Tommy.
20
21
                     MR. KRISKA: That came about, and we
     put that in a proposal because some of the guys in
22
     Nulato shot a moose and -- on the top of a bank where
23
24
     we knew there was vegetation, if vegetation is
     considered willows, then the willows were like two feet
25
     high under the snow, they knew that, they shot the
26
27
     moose and the State was waiting in the -- sitting in a
     helicopter waiting for the sound of a shot and they
28
     heard it and they just popped right over there, come
29
30
     out of the lake, and popped in on the hunters, they
31
     already had the moose down, and they cited them for it
     and then, you know, they broke the law. And if they
32
33
     checked that in the spring, the -- where they shot the
     moose was like 50 feet back into the two foot high
34
     willows. So that's one of the reasons it came up.
35
36
                     Because there's a lot of other areas
37
     where they don't know if they're -- they're on the
38
     south bank and they don't know if they should shoot or
39
     not, so that's one of the reasons this high water mark
40
     and this regulation of boundary lines came to be.
41
42
43
                     So that's what I have to say about
     that, it needs to be clarified, seriously.
44
45
46
                     Okay, thank you.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, I understand
            This Corps of Engineer definition, 33 CFR
49
     that.
50
```

328.38E -- it's actually E, not 8, states, you know, that there's all these terracing, et cetera, et cetera, and destruction of terrestrial vegetation, well willows extending above the ground level are not destroyed, they -- there might be an iceberg shoved up on top of them once in awhile but that's above the mean water mark, so I think that the Federal Subsistence Board needs to relook at this, using this definition, which is, -- strictly written for bare ground, open ground season versus a winter hunt scenario.

So that covers that issue adequately.

Karen.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Yes, it does.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any other annual report topics besides the delineation of the ordinary high water mark for winter hunts. Any Council members have annual report topics at this time.

MRS. PELKOLA: Mr. Chair, this is

Jenny.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Jenny.

MRS. PELKOLA: I don't know if we should do this again, but Council member appointment I think is very critical.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Karen read that so we'll add that to our annual report as a topic, is the timely appointments and maintaining the compliment of the Council to accomplish the statutory requirements found in .805 of Title VIII of ANILCA, so that the Councils can have a meaningful role in fish and wildlife management as delineated in statute.

 So the Federal Subsistence Board is an arm of the Secretary of Interior, they can make recommendations that the Councils need to have, and remind the Secretary's Office of Agriculture and Interior, that there's a need for timely and full compliment appointments to accomplish the statutory requirements of ANILCA because of the huge size of these regions. And then we're also going to send a letter to that effect to the Chair of the Federal

Subsistence Board.

MRS. PELKOLA: I had one more comment.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead there, yes.

MRS. PELKOLA: I remember back, I don't know how many years ago but I thought that like Tommy's seat was up and I thought if there was no appointment he would be able to go to our first meeting of the year, I thought we had talked about that at one time.

MRS. PELKOLA: Yes.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Karen, do you want to address the alternates.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you for the question, Jenny.

(Indiscernible - breaking up)to review the charter and the Council requested not only to have.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Say Karen. Karen,

 your....

MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:phone is really breaking up, are you near your window or something.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ DEATHERAGE: I'm going to walk around and hopefully it gets better.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, you're better now, go ahead.}$

MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay. So the Council voted to amend its charter to allow for alternates to serve in the event that a Council member passes away or doesn't attend the meetings and is removed from the Council. It was not approved for filling vacancies that the Council has, just for unexpected vacancies that come up during the cycle.

The Council also -- but the Council did not request at that time -- I'm sorry, I'm getting lost because I moved away from my machine -- Jenny, could you repeat your question for me, thank you.

MRS. PELKOLA: Okay. I thought at one of the meetings, or one time that we stated if a member's term was up and they didn't hear -- they reapplied but they didn't hear back that they would be able to attend the first meeting of the year.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay, thank you, Jenny, now I recall. And that has not been formally introduced as a proposal to change the charter, however, it's a great question because Jack and I just talked about that recently, and next time the charter comes up for review, the Council is going to be encouraged to put that idea forth so that in the event that appointments aren't made by the deadline of December 2nd of each year, that the incumbent Council member whose terms would typically expire would be allowed to continue service until those appointments are made or they are removed from the Council.

 So this is something that we can put in the docket when the Council charter comes up for review again, which will happen in the next year or so and we can put that forth as a proposal to make those changes.

And it's an excellent idea, thank you

 Jenny.

 $$\operatorname{MRS}.$ PELKOLA: Okay. This is Jenny again. I just have a little bit more to add.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Jenny.

MRS. PELKOLA: I just want to say that I remember at a couple meetings that we had a couple members that weren't reappointed or notified and the meeting was critical, we had to make our travel arrangements, or the office did and they couldn't do it because the members weren't appointed and it almost stopped our meeting, that's how serious it was.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, that was last spring, wasn't it Karen?

 ${\tt MS.}$ DEATHERAGE: Yes, it was, we did

not get appointments until February and so yes it was quite delayed. And so, again, I think it's a really legitimate subject and proposal to make, particularly given the fact that last year it was really, really difficult for us to do travel and other things because we did know who exactly was going to be on the Council, and in some Councils — in the case of some Councils, they weren't even going to be able to have a quorum, a reasonable quorum so it was quite stressful for everybody. So, again, that's why this is a wonderful idea and it's not unheard of, it happens with other formal groups. And so I think it will be great to be able to put that proposal forth to the Secretaries to ask that they include that option with respect to the Council charters.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I would like the Council to be aware that ANILCA mandated Subsistence Resource Commissions .808 of ANILCA, Councils are .805; .808 Subsistence Resource Commissions actually serve until reappointed or removed. And ANILCA Commissions has the ability to serve until there's a reappointment that comes through. So we will change our charter at a future date to reflect that and try to get the Secretary of Interior to agree to that.

So any other annual topics, items, that Council members can think of at this time, and after this meeting we'll formalize that, do we have to motion that -- or we finalize those in the winter meeting, don't we, Karen.

MS. DEATHERAGE: You finalize it and as I recommended earlier I would wait until towards the end of the meeting to do any motion to accept this as your first draft.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Uh-huh.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Because other issues typically do come up, especially after agency reports, that the Council would like to include in the annual report.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Secondly, I'd just

```
Page 221
     like to note if you would like, I can put in the
     section where you are discussing Council appointments
     and delays, I can also put in there to the Board that
 3
     the Council intends to formally request that incumbents
 4
 5
     serve consistent with the SRCs until reappointment or
 6
     removal.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, please do
9
     insert that.
10
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay, thank you.
11
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Other Council
14
     comments on the annual report at this time.
15
16
                     (No comments)
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don't hear any right
     now. I got 10 minutes to 12:00, right before lunch,
19
20
     does the Council wish to break to lunch until 1:00
21
     o'clock, or should we.....
22
23
                     MR. HONEA:
                                 That sounds good.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Is that okay
     with the Council.
26
27
28
                     (No comments)
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Does that sound
31
     good, Karen.
32
33
                     MR. GERVAIS:
                                   It sounds good with me.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                         Okay.
36
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, Mr. Chair, thank
37
38
     you.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, we'll break
41
     until 1:00 o'clock.
42
                     MR. KRISKA: This is Tom, it sounds
43
     good to me.
44
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Okay.
                                                We'll come
     back on record at 1:00 o'clock.
47
48
                     (Off record)
49
50
```

```
(On record)
 1
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we're going to
 4
     reconvene and this meeting coming back to order.
 5
     Karen's got an issue to discuss, go ahead, Karen.
 6
 7
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Just a quick update
     for the Council, thank you, Mr. Chair.
 8
                                              This is Karen
 9
     Deatherage. I was informed by the Northern Alaska
     Environmental Center that they will not be doing a
10
     presentation or discussion today and so I just wanted
11
     to be make you aware of that agenda change.
12
13
14
                     Thank you for that.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you.
     we're going to do agency reports, 15 minute time limit,
17
     so the agency's doing their reports try to stay within
18
            And so the first one out of the chute is tribal
19
20
     governments, and so TCC, are you there Brian?
21
22
                     MR. ROWE:
                                My name's Eric, good
2.3
     morning, Mr. Chair and members of the Council.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Go ahead.
26
27
                     MR. ROWE: For the record, my name is
     Eric Rowe, fisheries biologist with Tanana Chiefs
28
29
     Conference.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Okay.
32
33
                     MR. ROWE: We provided a report of our
     activities in your meeting booklet so I'll provide you
34
     with a quick recap of the activities we've been up to
35
36
     and then I'll be happy to answer any questions you may
     have.
37
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                         So where is that
40
     located, Karen, do we have a number on that, is it in
41
     the book.
42
43
                     MS. DEATHERAGE:
                                      Yes, Mr. Chair, it is
     in the book.
44
45
                                I believe it's on Page 138.
46
                     MR. ROWE:
47
48
                     MS. DEATHERAGE:
                                      138.
49
50
```

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: 138, okay.

10/15/2020

1 2 3

MS. DEATHERAGE: It's a beautiful

report, thank you very much Eric.

4 5 6

MR. ROWE: Thank you, Karen.

7 8

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Eric, go right

ahead.

9 10 11

MR. ROWE: We have two ongoing projects funded through OSM Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program.

13 14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

12

The first FRMP project is the Henshaw Creek weir. The weir project was not operational this season due to the Covid19 pandemic. We made this decision for health and safety reasons, for both our Staff members and the members of the communities that we visit while operating these projects. The weir sustained some heavy damage last season in 2019 because of some flooding, so we utilized this off season to make some necessary repairs to the weir. We went out and did many repairs and the weir is now in perfect condition and ready for next season.

25 26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39

The second FRMP project is a study utilizing traditional knowledge of salmon and whitefish in the Draanjik River Basin to update the anadromous waters catalog. This is a joint project with YRDFA. We're using a combination of ethnographic interviews with local knowledge holders and the analysis of water samples for environmental DNA, or EDNA to target specific areas within the Draanjik Basin that are critical spawning and rearing habitat for anadromous fish. We didn't conduct field work this summer again, due to Covid19, we plan on conducting aerial surveys next spring, summer and fall to identify and document the spawning and rearing locations for chinook, chum and coho salmon.

40 41 42

43

44 45

46

47 48

Lastly, we began a new pilot study this summer in collaboration with the University of Alaska-Fairbanks, examining effects of wildfires on rearing chinook salmon in the Chena River. Wildfires can lead to increased erosion, sedimentation and turbidity all of which can negatively affect spawning and rearing habitats. One aspect of this study is investigating the feasibility of using drone-based multi-spectral

imagery as a rapid assessment tool for estimating turbidity and fire related effects on salmon habitat. Between July and September we successfully conducted aerial missions over four different sites of interest located above and below the recent Nugget Creek firestar. We have created multi-spectral ortho images over each site of interest. This winter we will analyze the multi-spectral ortho images to determine if turbidity and other characteristics of quality of salmon habitat could be estimated based upon metrics derived from the images.

Specifically, we'll be examining the relationship between the spectral index called the normalized difference waters index and in-river turbidity measurements. The degree of the relationship will allow to assess the efficiency of using remotely sensed data as a rapid assessment tool for monitoring effects of wildfires on salmon habitats.

And with that, that's the conclusion of our report of our activities and I just would really like to thank you for the time to speak today and would be happy to answer any questions you may have.

Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks so much, Eric. That report has got nice graphics and very explanatory. So Council comments or questions for Eric at TCC, go ahead.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Anybody there.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go right ahead, Don.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, this is Don. Eric, I was wondering, were some of the -- so you mentioned some of the programs may have been curtailed or stopped altogether because of Covid, so are you just doing the ones that you deem are more important right now and so you -- do you have anything -- I mean do you have updates on -- I mean like the one -- I don't know if you guys were involved with the one up at Kanuti or around Allakaket or something, so was that one, in

```
particular, closed.
 2
 3
                     Thank you.
 4
 5
                     MR. ROWE:
                                I think you might be
 6
     thinking of the Henshaw Creek weir project which is
     near Allakaket.
 7
 8
9
                     MR. HONEA: Oh, right, right.
10
                     MR. ROWE: We weren't able to operate
11
     that because it involves going to Allakaket and other
12
13
     villages and so for everyone's safety we cancelled that
     project. But we were able to go out there and do a lot
14
     of repairs that needed to be done so the project could
15
16
     be operational next year.
17
18
                     MR. HONEA: Oh, okay, all right, great.
19
20
                     MR. ROWE: Does that answer....
21
                     MR. HONEA: Go ahead.
22
23
24
                     MR. ROWE: No, I'm just asking if that
25
     answered your question, sir.
26
27
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, yeah, I was -- I was
     just wondering how many -- how many projects you have
28
     ongoing and how many were stopped because of Covid?
29
30
31
                     MR. ROWE: Well, the projects I
     mentioned are the ones that we have ongoing and we just
32
33
     couldn't get any data from those projects this year
     because of Covid. So we are still making some progress
34
35
     with our projects.
36
37
                     MR. HONEA: Okay, great. And just one
     other question. Are you guys open for any projects
38
     like while we have the Fisheries Monitoring Program and
39
40
     stuff like that, are you guys -- do you guys get
     regular funds where you could just -- you're open to
41
42
     any studies within the TCC region?
43
                     MR. ROWE: We are definitely interested
44
45
     in ongoing projects and increased funding for other
46
     projects.
47
                     MR. HONEA: Okay.
48
                                        Thank you.
49
50
```

1 MR. ROWE: Thank you. 2 3 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So, yes, you're 4 going to be reviewing our priorities needs list and hopefully you could accommodate -- or make a proposal 5 6 on one of those, that'd be great. 7 8 Other Council members. 9 (No comments) 10 11 12 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any other Council 13 members have questions for TCC. 14 15 MR. GERVAIS: Jack, this is Tim. 16 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go right ahead, Tim. 17 18 MR. GERVAIS: Hi, Eric, this is Tim 19 20 Gervais. From the people that were at Henshaw Creek 21 site doing the repairs, did they have any sense of the strength of the return in that region just from looking 22 at the river and the sloughs. 2.3 24 MR. ROWE: So, hi, Tim, that's a good 25 question. We were out there during mid-July, I was 26 27 also out there doing the repairs and, you know, looking into the water I didn't see a large return at all. 28 Actually a lot of my crew and I, we didn't see many 29 30 return, and that's kind of usually a time where when 31 you look in the river you see quite a bit of salmon passing through and that's just not what we were seeing 32 33 this year. 34 35 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you. Other 36 questions, Tim. 37 Thank you. 38 MR. ROWE: 39 40 MR. GERVAIS: No, I'd just like to thank Eric and TCC for continuing to do the research on 41 42 the salmon and expand everybody's knowledge base. hope that TCC can figure out the correct protocols to 43 have Henshaw Creek operational. That was real 44 45 unfortunate we didn't get an accurate count for this

data set growing.

46

47 48

49 50 last year with the weak chum return but I understand

the situation. But I hope that you guys can overcome

the protocols to be able to be operational and keep our

1 Thank you. 2 3 MR. ROWE: Thank you. 4 5 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So my question, 6 Eric, how did you access the Henshaw to do this repair work, did you fly out of Coldfoot with a floatplane, or 7 8 how did you do that? 9 MR. ROWE: We actually put folks in the 10 water in Coldfoot and boated down to Henshaw. 11 12 13 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, I see. 14 15 MR. ROWE: And that way we were able to 16 avoid stopping. 17 18 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: That's pretty..... 19 20 MR. ROWE: We just had to bring a lot 21 of fuel with us. 22 2.3 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Right. That's cool. 24 I have a question regarding this. You can use drones to do some overflight work? 25 26 27 MR. ROWE: So Brian would be able to explain that, he -- I don't believe he's on the call 28 right now, he was participating in the Eastern RAC 29 meeting -- Eastern Interior, but he's been working with 30 31 these ortho images and using drones to map these rivers, that's correct. 32 33 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, I think that's 34 35 a very progressive thing to do. 36 37 MR. ROWE: Yeah. It's an ongoing project and I think there's some really neat things we 38 could do in the future with it. 39 40 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Really large burns 41 42 in 2004 and 2005 -- in 2005 the Jim River drainage was burned extensively and I was surprised that the water 43 temperature fell dramatically after that -- during that 44 45 summer as the ice mass is released high -- these terpenes (ph) and so forth into the water and then the 46 ice mass has chilled the water down, so there was two 47 48 effects. The water got really super brown, and the

49 50 water got really super cold. So I didn't stick a

thermometer in there, but I was fishing for grayling without boots and normally I can wade around in those ripples and it was really cold.

So are you monitoring the water temperature near these burns, also?

MR. ROWE: Yes, water temperatures is being taken.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, cool.

MR. ROWE: And, yes, I think in the future this can be some really interesting information with wildfires nearing spawning habitat.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And this acidic increase, to you take.....

MR. ROWE: Yeah, the change in turbidity and what happens to the water in these areas.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, the pH would change dramatically with that.

Any other questions for TCC.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don't hear any, thanks so much Eric, appreciate your presentation.

MR. ROWE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks for the good work. And hopefully we get a weir count on the Henshaw because their data's been pretty poor.

 MR. ROWE: Yep, we're ready to be operational so just depending on Covid19 pandemic and hopefully we can make something happen next year. But with training being cancelled and everything with Fish and Wildlife, it's hard to get some technicians out there with the right training as well. So hopefully --we're ready to go for next year and I am confident we'll get out there and get counting fish.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MR. ROWE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you. So we're moving on to Bureau of Land Management and Dalton Highway Corridor update, Ambler Road update, et cetera. So who's on the call for BLM, now.

MR. LAMARR: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, this is Tim LaMarr, field manager for the Central Yukon Field Office for the BLM on the line.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Good afternoon, Tim. Thanks for being on the call, please go ahead.

MR. LAMARR: You bet, thanks. There's a couple of things I wanted to touch base on.

One is an update on the Central Yukon Resource Management Plan, and just to let folks know that we're working on the draft environmental impact statement for that resource management plan actively right now, again. And we are anticipating releasing the Draft EIS approximately in December this year. And so upon the release, the publication and release of the draft there will be a 90 day public comment period associated with that. And then there will be a series of public meetings associated with that as well. So stay tuned on that, but I just wanted to give everybody a head's up that that's the trajectory that we're on with the Central Yukon Resource Management Plan right I know it's kind of been in the background for awhile now but I wanted to make everybody aware that it is something we're actively working on and planning to get out relatively soon.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

 MR. LAMARR: Sure. Just a few words about the Ambler Road project. Folks are probably aware that -- I'm sure everyone's aware that the Record of Decision on the EIS was signed back in July of this year for that project. Since that time, BLM has been working on a right-of-way grant to AIDEA to authorize them to construct and maintain the route and, of course, that right-of-way grant that the BLM's working on would only apply to the BLM lands in the project which is about 26 miles of the route, cumulatively. The right-of-way grant's going to require implementation of the design features and mitigation

measures from the Record of Decision, which was published back in July, and so that's the status right now. We're working on that. But at this point there isn't even right-of-way authorization (indiscernible - breaking up and muffled)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Your phone is really breaking up, can you restate that last couple sentences.

MR. LAMARR: Is this better.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Much better.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ LAMARR: So, okay, I took it off speaker and I'll just speak directly into the phone here.

Yeah, I was just mentioning, I don't know, I can repeat what I said about the Ambler Road project. Basically the BLM here is working on a right-of-way grant to be offered to AIDEA to authorize them to construct and maintain the route on BLM lands. The right-of-way grant would only, you know, be applicable to the BLM lands throughout the length of the route, which is a cumulative total of about 26 miles of the route. And the right-of-way grant will require implementation of the design features and the mitigation measures that are disclosed and spelled out in the Record of Decision. So at this point there is no right-of-way grant instrument in place for AIDEA but it is something that is in progress.

MR. LAMARR: Yeah, the Record of Decision, you know, described and maps the route that was selected and it was Alternative A, which is pretty much the straightest line route and initiates at about Mile Post 161 on the Dalton and heads westward from there.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And they did work on

the Ambler Road this year, are you aware of what and where they were working, work on the BLM land or were they just working throughout the entire route.

MR. LAMARR: We were aware of some preconstruction work that was data collection oriented. And I don't know what they did off of BLM lands, but, you know, they did some cultural resource surveys on BLM lands. That was one thing that we were aware of and an activity that we approved for them to do. It was non-ground disturbing work based on what we were proposed.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Can I stop you there for a second. They did no cultural work with the people here. They didn't come and ask us anything about where we hunt there, or anything about it. So apparently AIDEAs skipping over the communities of Wiseman and Coldfoot that use that area near Chapman (ph - muffled) Lake and the BLM lands there. So I think you should back them up and send them up this way to have a real cultural talk about stuff.

 MR. LAMARR: And I think there are opportunities for that built into processes established in the programmatic agreement that we've put together in concert with the EIS and so the programmatic agreement provides for, you know, consultation on cultural resources and opportunities and -- and BLM is in charge of implementing the programmatic agreement, and so we're actually continuing to work on the step down plan, which is the cultural resource management plan for that, and so we're in the process of finalizing that.

So I believe the work they did this summer was basically site specific work on the proposed route itself. So I believe it was field based data collection on the proposed alignment of the route itself, so it didn't involve any kinds of interviews at this point. They recognize -- AIDEA recognizes that they've got, you know, a substantial amount of preconstruction work to be done before they consider pushing to initiate construction.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Appreciate that clarification.

MR. LAMARR: You bet. And then one

other thing that we were able to do this year was a tricky year, as everyone knows, because of the Covid19 situation and everyone wanting to be, you know, cautious and careful and minimize risks of, you know, infecting folks. We did do some dall sheep surveys on BLM this year and they were -- and I believe I have Jenn McMillan on the phone here and Jenn can talk a little bit about the approach that we took and why and if you want to go ahead and chime in Jenn, now would be a good time.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Jenn.

MS. MCMILLAN: Hello. Yeah, I first want to say, thanks, Jack, I always really appreciate how in tune you are with the sheep and how concerned you are. So thanks for that.

And then I also am glad that we were able to get a survey done this year. It was a little bit different because of Covid. We opted to do pilot only surveys so there is some challenges with the direct comparison that we might want to make because methods were a little bit different than in previous years. So while we do have the results and we've shared them with other agencies, we're not really -- it's not really the right time to talk about them right now, but we can share the results and talk more about it in the future.

So I can email those to you and anybody else who would like those and maybe we can set up a meeting and talk about them.

That's all.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So you'll have those results at our winter meeting? I would like the results sooner than later.

MS. MCMILLAN: Sure, I can email them to you today, no problem.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes. As I stated earlier in this meeting, I counted 31 ewes, all adult ewes, there are no yearlings, there are no -- there was only three lambs, and there were seven rams, and that was from May all the way until the end of September when it snowed. There's less than 40 sheep from -- I

saw no sheep south of Wiseman. That's from Wiseman all 1 the way to the Atigun divide. So the reality is the 2 dall sheep population in the Dalton Highway Corridor is 3 extremely low and the ram component is extremely young, 4 and so they're protected under full-curl regulations 5 6 right now but in two years they're going to be fullcurl and when those are killed, because there's 7 8 extensive guiding pressure here, we're going to be in 9 reproductive failure. So that's where we're at right Sheep populations to the east, you can't mix in 10 Unit 25 with Unit 24A, Dalton Highway Corridor 11 populations, and the Koyukuk River Drainage took a 12 13 beating the last two years. So I need to have current 14 numbers. And so with the State -- survey for the last two years, we have a weak -- it's considered soft data, 15 what you're referring to, that doesn't actually hold up 16 in the Board process. So I'm really concerned with 17 18 what's going to happen here within the next two years 19 with the sheep populations. We haven't even started 20 into the winter yet and we have a high wolf population 21 because of the high hare population that we just experience and these wolves are real hungry. 22 wolf tracks in my yard this morning. We got wolves all 23 24 over the place here. And so we're going -- those numbers I gave you are not going to be there in the 25 spring. 26

2728

29

So I do want that data as soon as you can send it, if you could send it to me today, I would appreciate that.

30 31 32

MS. MCMILLAN: You bet.

33 34 35

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Have you done your minerals count survey with your cameras and stuff, are you seeing sheep on your cameras?

40

41 42

43

44 45 MS. MCMILLAN: I am seeing sheep on my cameras. That field work was entirely canceled for this year, but the cameras were set up to make it through a year just in case and so they should be out there working away right now. And so, yeah, we should be able to either -- they've been out long enough, but they could start to become pretty good monitoring data and hopefully into the future, it's something I aim for.

46 47 48

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: When did you repower those, when did you update those?

 $\,$ MS. MCMILLAN: About one year ago, but they were -- but I have them set to go for at least a year.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So that'll be great, because the sheep that you saw last year are not there now. I talk to a lot of hunters, I've looked at the sheep, it's basically 11 ewes in the Mathews River, south of Snowden Mountain. There's hardly any sheep left here. The last winter was brutal. It rained in April, it glazed the ground, and in October -- and in April and we lost a phenomenal amount of sheep that were already stressed from the year before so we need to have a real good survey for this next year and I would hope that the BLM will work with the State to get a real valid survey that will actually have scientific validation, comparable to other data sets.

MS. MCMILLAN: Yeah, we're hoping that too. I've already started some discussions with them and if they're not able to, for budget reasons, or any other reasons, to do that survey, then BLM is ready to try and do it ourselves. And so -- and if not I was hoping to expand the survey area to get some of those other further south populations that you're talking about in the survey as well, for some previous years data to compare with.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I'm glad to hear that, thanks so much.} \\$

MS. MCMILLAN: Sure, thank you, Jack.

 Bye.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right, thank you. Do you have other things, Tim.

 MR. LAMARR: No. I just wanted to provide the opportunity. I had heard that there maybe was a desire to talk about hunting guides and I don't have a presentation on that but I wanted to be available to consider any questions or concerns related to that.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: My concern is that there's a hunting guide that has a piece of property here in Wiseman, he's permitted for X amount of clients for sheep, moose, caribou, et cetera, et cetera, the problem is it's not just him and his airplane, it's two

 Page 235

other airplanes. He had his SuperCub on tundra tires, there's a blue and white SuperCub on tundra tires, and there was a floatplane, a 180 horse SuperCub flying all from his camp.

MR. LAMARR: Right.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So you have to limit the number of -- this is a phenomenal harvest power against the local resources that we have here and limit the number of assistant guides and his -- his associated registered guides that are basically hunting out of his camp. They're -- he's got other registered guides showing up here hunting out of his camp. I don't know that they're hunting under his permit, or how that's all working out, but I see that there's four registered guides for the Dalton Highway, but he's basically got three registered guides in his camp and where are the other ones. So the reality is there needs to be stipulations about how much harvest power they actually are allowed.

Every evening there were three airplanes in the air at 8:00 o'clock hunting moose after the 5th of September when they could hunt moose in this game unit. There were airplanes in the air all evening flying up and down the valley. That's really hard for subsistence hunters to compete against basically an Air Force with air support. So this is kind of a big issue especially since our moose population is down by 40 to 50 percent, and our sheep population is absolutely in the toilet. So I would —as manager, direct your Staff to reevaluate what is actually going to be allowed as far as harvest power by each individual guide. You can't start calling in every last other guide that they know and hunt out of the same camp and basically hunt this country to death.

 $$\operatorname{So}\ I'm\ concerned\ about\ that,\ I\ just\ wanted\ to\ state\ that\ for\ the\ record.$

And we talked to Henri Bison several years ago about guide use areas, what's happening with BLM, the State fell out of the equation, if the State fell out of the equation, the BLM was going to institute guide use areas, individual use guide areas like the Federal government has, and other Federal --your sister agencies have guide use area permitting processes, what's going on with that, I want to hear a

report about that at our winter meeting.

2 3 4

> 5 6

> 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

1

MR. LAMARR: Yeah, that -- other parts of the state are -- like in Squirrel River, focused on that issue, we haven't moved forward with that up in the Dalton Corridor. Just kind of circling back to your concerns about the hunting pressure related to hunting guides, you know, I think what's happened is -you know I know we've shifted -- we've had some shifts, right, in actual individuals who were guiding and for what it's worth, we have not -- we've not allowed any increase in the allowable harvest associated with the guiding program that we've got going. So we have not increased the level of harvest even though the operators, you know, I think that are involved now are using more aviation, you know, and anchored out of Wiseman, so from the standpoint of the harvest itself, the BLM has not allowed an increase in allowable harvest related to our hunting guides that we permit and we do -- when we reconsider applications for permits, we do coordinate with ADF&G on the numbers that we're looking at permitting relative to, you know, their take on the population levels at the time.

23 24 25

26

27

28 29

30

31

So I guess what I'm just trying to say, Mr. Chair, is we try to be mindful of, you know, not allowing -- certainly not allowing unlimited hunting guide pressure, hunting guide take of any particular populations and then we do try and coordinate with ADF&G to see if we're on the same page with their biologists with regard to potential permitting of hunting activity.

32 33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Currently the guide that you've permitted to hunt out of Wiseman has eight sheep clients, there's only seven rams, I'm talking two-fifth rams to three-quarter curl rams, there's more rams allocated to the non-resident hunter guides than there are sheep in the Dalton Highway Corridor in Unit 2403. So the reality is you're permitting way to many sheep for the available sheep population, in conflict with subsistence users. So I would reevaluate how many sheep you're going to allocate when you get your real data, which your soft data should show a decline in the sheep population, but the reality data is there's not very many sheep and there's way to many sheep allocated to the non-resident hunting guides.

47 48 49

And so I'm concerned about where we're

going, you're under ANILCA, Title VIII, says to maintain wildlife populations using recognized scientific principles, don't rely on the State, the State of Alaska will kill every last sheep that we have here, they're not even looked — they haven't even modeled the population. Jenn, you modeled this population, you see 2013 die-off, '12, '13, '14 missing cohorts, now we have missing cohorts from '17, '18 and '19 and '20, we have had two bad years, model that one and you come up with — we don't have any rams coming up and you need to back off the guiding pressure. The science — it's strictly science.

So that's my position on that.

So at our winter meeting I would like a presentation on the sheep population and where we're going to go with this guide use area, we can't have unlimited amounts of guides hunting here for what's basically allocated every live animal that's here.

So anything else there, Tim.

 MR. LAMARR: No, thanks, Mr. Chair. I certainly appreciate and acknowledge your comments and your concerns. And, you know, we'll regroup after this meeting and move forward with this issue before the winter meeting.

So we're coming to almost a red sheep situation here and I don't want to go there.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Hey, Jack, this is -- oh, sorry.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do you know what that is, Tim, Red Sheep Creek where they had a closure, we don't want to go there, we want management of resources using recognized scientific principles. You're under a mandate, ANILCA tells you how to manage. People think that's subsistence, no, it tells agencies that they must manage for healthy populations using recognized scientific principles, read it.

So, Karen, go ahead.

MS. DEATHERAGE: I'm sorry, I just want to confirm that with both you and Mr. LaMarr that there will be, Mr. Chair, a presentation at the winter

meeting on the sheep surveys and the guide use issue.

2

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes.

4 5

6

MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay. I just wanted to make sure I have that on the record here and in my notes, so thank you guys very much for that.

7 8 9

10

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you. Nothing personal, Tim, I'm just stating what the problems are here because I live right here.

11 12 13

MR. LAMARR: Sure.

14 15

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I appreciate your presentation and your update on the Ambler Road.

16 17 18

Any questions from the Council on the BLM presentation.

19 20 21

MR. GERVAIS: Jack, this is Tim, I have

22 23 a comment.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.

24 25 26

27

28

29

30 31

32 33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

MR. GERVAIS: Hi, Tim, this is Tim Gervais. I had a -- when you were talking with Jack regarding the figuring out allowable harvest and what not and consulting with Fish and Game, I've -- it's my perception now that -- I think it's because of State budget constraints or limitations that a lot of the game biologists for the State of Alaska, they're supporting -- they have a tendency to support too much harvest on the big game species where they can have non-residents come in because they're interested in maximizing the amount of tag fees collected for that style of sporthunting. So I would like -- when your agency is considering what the allowable harvest is, I would like you to give equal bearing to what you're hearing from the Regional Councils on what is an appropriate level and not just defer everything, the allowable harvest to the opinion of the State biologists because I feel at this time they have too much of a bias towards generating revenue at the expense of the stock.

45 46 47

Thank you.

48 49

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks for those

comments, Tim. I want to remind the Council that every one of those sheep hunts that the BLM approves, or the game hunt that BLM is taking three percent of the gross; is that correct Tim?

4 5 6

2

3

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ LAMARR: Yeah, the new regulations are wired that way.

7 8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So this Council has to look at what the BLM is doing, nothing more than what the State is doing. The State is selling a sheep for over \$4,000 in license fees and Pittman-Roberts funds and then the hunt is being approved, and if the gross is \$26,500 for that sheep hunt, that's a significant -- that's almost \$800 to the BLM per sheep. So I'm concerned that the money gets way too focused on and the resource is being barely paid attention to.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33

You know the State of Alaska area biologist lied to the Board of Game this spring when there was a proposal to increase the season from September 20 to October 5 for dall sheep, and they lied to the Board, they said that the sheep population was stable to increasing when they had no survey the year before, and they knew, through, how, through the Koyukuk River Advisory Committee and meetings that there was a brutal winter in progress at that point, in March of 2020. The reality is the State will lie through their teeth to maintain revenue generation. And so I think the BLM, you're under a Federal mandate for healthy populations using recognized scientific principles, and if you kill off all of the rams in the Dalton Highway Corridor and we go into reproductive failure, you're failing the statutes of Congress.

Take that back and start talking about it back at the office.

38 39

So we're going to move on.

40 41

Thanks so much, appreciate that.

42 43

MR. LAMARR: All right, thanks, Mr.

44 45 46

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Where are we at,

47 48

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

49 50 Chair.

Karen.

Then next up we will have U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and depending on who's available we can start with Kanuti National Wildlife Refuge. We'll have a report, a brief report from Nowitna/Innoko/Koyukuk and the Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge. And then Vince Mathews may have some information to share regarding any subsistence updates from Fish and Wildlife Service in the region.

Thank you, very much.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Karen. Do we have Kanuti Refuge on the phone.

MS. MORAN: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair and Council members. This is Tina Moran. I'm the Deputy Manager at Kanuti -- can you hear me okay?

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We can hear you fine, Tina, good afternoon. Go ahead.

 MS. MORAN: Jack, I'll present some updates from the report that was given, you should have a report that we turned in a little while ago but we've got some updates from that. Joanna wasn't available today so I'll give the report and then also Chris Harwood, our Refuge biologist will follow up with some results from our biological program.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, so I want to stop you there for a second, Tina.

MS. MORAN: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Your report is on Page 152 of our book, for the Council members so they can get on the same sheet of music with you.

Go right ahead.

 MS. MORAN: Perfect, thank you. So beginning in about mid-March, a little bit after the last meeting, Staff began working from home to protect ourselves and the communities around the Refuge so ongoing and plans, aviation, field-based activities and travel were cancelled. In Early May the Fish and Wildlife Service established safety guidelines specific to these activities. So under these guidelines we were able to complete some of our previously planned work

that we thought we'd have to cancel and Chris will talk about that in a few minutes.

As far as fire on the Refuge, we had a pretty quiet season so there were no fires on the Refuge, there was some around us but not on the Refuge.

As far as permits, we issued special use permits authorizing three air transporters and one air taxi operator to conduct commercial operations on the Refuge. One of the air taxi operators, a fairly substantial one, cancelled their permit prior to conducting activities due to Covid concerns. We also issued one company a permit to conduct guided sportfish activities. We also have one big game guide that's permitted on the Refuge and he did conduct operations on the Refuge this year for moose and bear hunts, this September. And we're still awaiting, all the reports from these operators, so hopefully by the next meeting I'll have some numbers for you.

 Brandon Bosh, our law enforcement officer, was able to conduct aerial and boat patrols in September during the moose hunting season. He reported most activity was on the Kanuti River and Bonanza Creek with several small groups hunting the Kanuti River and quite a bit of activity on Bonanza River up river from the Refuge.

 We had some sudden drops in water levels along the Kanuti and that created some logistical challenges in getting some hunters out of the field, some of the air taxis had some -- little bit of issues, but that all got mitigated.

 $\,$ He also reported encountering one group on the Middlefork and said the Southfork was very quiet compared to past years.

As far as outreach and environmental education, due to our commitment to prevent the spread of Covid19 into rural communities, we worked closely with TCC and their decision not to insert Staff in the Henshaw Creek weir, so we didn't conduct a science camp but hopefully if things work out we will host it again next year.

And we also, on Staffing update, we're happy to announce that Chris Harwood, our longtime

questions.

Page 242

wildlife biologist for the Refuge is now our lead wildlife biologist and at the moment our only biologist. He's had more than 30 years working on Alaska Refuges and 17 years here at Kanuti Refuge. So he'll be giving an update on our biology program, what we were able to accomplish this past summer.

Go ahead, Chris, unless there's any

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thank you. Any question from the Council on Tina's presentation.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Don.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, this is Don. I was just wondering, Tina, what your moose population is -- or is it too early to have those numbers. Probably like on the Nowitna and closest to Nowitna in our region here, so I was -- and they didn't have a checkstation here this fall so I was -- and I guess we maybe, on the Nowitna report, the numbers won't be in until our spring meeting, or winter meeting, whatever they call it, but I was just wondering what your -- if you guys come up with a number that was taken, what's your overall population of moose. Because like in the past we were pretty conservative about -- and I think there was a predator control -- I don't know if that's still in effect but how you guys today on your population.

Thank you.

 MS. MORAN: Yeah, thank you. Yeah, unfortunately we haven't been able to do a survey for the past two years, mainly due to conditions. And so we're still running under the same numbers and Chris could help out with that here in a minute. But we were hoping to conduct one this fall, because last fall we weren't able to due to lack of snow conditions at the time, but we're looking at some possible challenges, we've talked with Fish and Game and they may focus on Galena, it's a little bit easier logistically -- basically, to be honest, it's kind of the Covid conditions and trying to mitigate everything we can to do it safely. So there's still some hope, but we'll see. So unfortunately we don't have any new numbers.

That's about all I can say for that right now. But hopefully we can give you some updates.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So they're planning to do a survey but that's still contingent on Covid?

MS. MORAN: Well, we have some logistically challenges working out of Bettles, and, you know, this is a survey that we do need to partner with Fish and Game on, we just don't have the Staff and the capacity to do it on our own. And talking with their biologist, we're all trying to work together to try to find the best way we can to get as many of the moose surveys done this year as possible. So we're still working together but we are running into some challenges.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So the survey that's going to be run is a trend count survey or is that a full on survey?

MS. MORAN: Chris, can you followup on

 that.

MR. HARWOOD: Sure. Good afternoon, this is Chris Harwood with Kanuti National Wildlife Refuge.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.

MR. HARWOOD: The annual surveys that we're doing -- or the annual surveys that we plan for and attempt every year now are population estimates not trend surveys, Jack.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MR. HARWOOD; And through the Chair, to answer, I believe it was Member Kriska's question, the 2017 estimate for moose on Kanuti was 1,311 with a range of 1,059 to 1,562, which comes out to about a density of 0.48 moose per square mile and that's the highest that we have seen since we started doing the geospacial estimator survey back in 2003.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you. Yeah, we've had two bad winters. Moose didn't get beat up as bad because they continue to produce calves whereas dall sheep, they didn't actually produce -- they lost

those cohorts that they were producing, whereas, for some of these moose, they actually survived -- some of these yearling moose actually survived with there's virtually no recruitment of dall sheep. They didn't survive at that same rate. And the number of lambs basically in the Dalton Highway Corridor is 10 lambs per 100 ewes, is that's what that comes out to.

So I'm really anxious to get a moose survey for Unit 24 -- upper Unit 24, because we've had some bad years but it's not nearly as bleak as the sheep situation. So I would encourage you, if at all possible, to come up with some kind of a protocol to get some kind of a Staffing to survey these moose. It's almost become a critical situation.

And I'm happy that you're now lead biologist, Chris, I've read quite a bit of your stuff.

Other questions for Kanuti.

MS. MORAN: And Chris can followup with the results of the program if you'd like.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead. Go right ahead, Chris.

 MR. HARWOOD: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you, Council members. Again, for the record I'm Chris Harwood and I'm the biologist for Kanuti Refuge. I'm going to report briefly on the projects that we originally scheduled for 2020. Details for some of these projects I'll mention are on Pages 153 to 157 in your briefing booklet.

As others have mentioned, many field projects have been affected by the pandemic so I'm going to start with the bad news first and then finish with some good news concerning our field work efforts.

 In terms of mammals, we were part of a team or we are part of a team of folks that are studying the movement of lynx in the Interior of Alaska. In March we sent a crew up to Bettles to capture and put GPS collars on lynx again but we had to recall that crew after about a week because of Covid concerns so they were never able to really establish a trapline. Similarly, we are not able to our snowshoe hare monitoring along the Kanuti and Koyukuk Rivers

because of Covid. And, finally, as Tina mentioned, the moose population survey for this November is up in the air again.

3 4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

2

In terms of birds, several national and regional monitoring programs that we participate in annually were cancelled because of Covid. This included the national cancellation of both the Continental breeding bird survey and the Alaska Landbird monitoring survey. And both of these surveys target songbirds. Also, Fish and Wildlife Service's waterfowl management branch, nationally cancelled the spring Continental breeding pair survey for ducks, so as a result the waterfowl management folks in Alaska were not able to survey Alaska and nor were they able to complete a second intensive survey for which we had asked them to do for breeding ducks on Kanuti. Now, they had done one survey in 2019 and hoping to get two of them done for comparison and they hope to complete the second survey in 2021 if they're cleared to fly. Kanuti also annually flies its own survey to monitor breeding pairs of scope and scotters, known locally as black ducks on the Refuge but we had to cancel that too. Finally, we had to cancel our continuing research on lesser yellowlegs, which is a boreal shorebird and we do that out of the Johnson/Moses administrative cabin along the Kanuti River.

27 28 29

30

31

32 33 We were scheduled to, again, survey the float pond in Bettles for invasive plants, specifically aquatic invasive elodea, we had to cancel that work because of Covid. In the previous year they did not discover any elodea in that float pond or in other ponds on the Refuge.

34 35 36

Now, on to the good news.

37 38 39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47 48 We were able to do three of our scheduled aerial surveys this year and this is because two pilot biologists from our sister Refuges at Yukon Flats and Koyukuk Refuges, these two people share a household and, thus, are able to work freely despite the Covid restrictions. So in July these two were able to fly our annual molting good survey on the Refuge and they reported 475 whitefront, the most we've seen in awhile. In August the team completed our swan survey and this is something we do every five years. So pairs of swans of 95 percent of which we believe to be trumpeter swans were, again, up on the Refuge as they

have since the survey started in 1985. Since 1985 swan pairs have increased over 2,000 percent on Kanuti from about 10 pairs in 1985 and they estimated -- or they counted 227 pairs this summer. And finally the crew, these same two, just recently completed our survey to monitor beavers on Kanuti and the team counted the numbers of beaver caches or food piles as an index of beaver abundance, and as of yet I have not had time to tally those results.

9 10 11

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

So with that I'll take any questions if anybody has any.

13 14

12

Thank you, sir.

15 16

17

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks so much, Chris. Any questions for Chris on those projects.

18 19

(No comments)

20 21

22

23

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: No. I think it was an excellent there to get a beaver cache survey because there was hardly any snow so they can see all those real easy. So that should be a real good index.

24 25 26

27

28

29 30 So my question is, I've seen way less puddle ducks in the last two years, a declining duck population, at least migrating through this part of the Central Brooks Range, have you noticed a decline in puddle ducks or is that an appropriate scientific study?

31 32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47 48

49 50

MR. HARWOOD: It could just be a function of where you are, Mr. Chair. As I said we've got Migratory Bird Management out of Anchorage, they do annual transects in Alaska as part of the Continental breeding pair survey and two of those transects fall within Kanuti, and so we don't analyze them separately from the whole state, but in general puddle ducks tend to be doing fairly well. As I mentioned, every 10 years Kanuti tries to do -- we use those same pilot biologists with Migratory Birds and they do an intensive survey of the Refuge for us and it's time to count breeding pairs of puddle ducks, so they were able to count -- they were able to do the survey two years ago, 2019, they were scheduled to do one this summer but were unable, but we've budgeted for them to come up again and do a survey. So we keep track of sort of on a quota scale, mostly puddle ducks, during over a 10

year period. And so far we've done three decades of surveys so late '80s, 2000 or so and then 2019 and '21. And with those few data points we don't have a trend. But generally my impression is that puddle ducks are doing fairly well. I think most of the concern in the Service is with diving ducks and seaducks.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, appreciate that. Any other questions for Chris and Kanuti.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hearing none, thanks so much, appreciate all the good projects you're doing out there.

MR. HARWOOD: You're welcome, thank

18 you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I asked quite a few questions because it's really close to where I live here. So next up would be Koyukuk/Nowitna and Innoko.

MR. REBARCHIK: Good afternoon, Jack. This is Bob Rebarchik, now the Refuge Manager here out at Galena. Can you hear me okay?

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I can hear you fine, hear you real loud, go right ahead, Bob.

MR. REBARCHIK: Okay. Jeremy Havener, who normally would give this report is actually on some long overdue leave and he's doing a hunt down, I think, in Kodiak for elk. He and his wife and family are down there.

I'll start with personnel, Staffing, for the Refuge. I think I mentioned we had hired a fisheries biologist on Staff and he's actually finished his move this summer, even with Covid and is now fully on board here in Galena and his name is Wyatt Snodgrass. He comes to us from fisheries office down in Ohio. But he has previous experience in Alaska on, at least a couple of weirs down on the coast of Alaska, Southeast Alaska.

We selected, and our new Deputy Manager, his name is David Zabriski, will report here to Galena possibly next Wednesday. And he's moving up

from Arizona. Previously he was Deputy Manager in Kotzebue for the Selawik Refuge before he went down to Arizona so he's got experience in Alaska. Very much looking forward to his help from here on out.

4 5 6

7

8

1

2

3

I mentioned that I was actually selected as the Refuge Manager. I'd been acting in the role for about a year and a half, that selection was finalized in May.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20 And we're still waiting on our Refuge Information Technician for Galena. That position has been vacant now for going on three years. And we've -- Fish and Wildlife Service Human Resources Division has gone -- has undergone a major reset and now are going to be called the Joint Administrative Offices, and that's part of the delays for some of the positions, but we're going to be pushing real hard to get that RIT position filled, it's critical for us to function for the -- for all of the villages that we deal with on this complex.

212223

24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

Moving along, I guess over the year, started out last -- it would have been in January, we did an evaluation to hold winter moose hunts on the Koyukuk and Innoko and Nowitna Refuges, Units 21D, 21B and 24D. In consultation with, you, Jack, and locals, those hunts were not recommended to happen, our moose populations are not adequate at this point in time, except for one location and that is the Kaiyuh Flats, the northern unit of the Innoko National Wildlife We did have a winter hunt again this year. Refuge. was actually a State emergency hunt, and that hunt, my understanding, will happen again this coming winter. Our numbers are still going up. We did moose surveys in the spring and the population is still on the rise and at least for that area there is sufficient population to handle additional harvest if that needs to happen. Currently it's the only area on the three Refuges that I manage that we can make that kind of a recommendation.

41 42 43

Moving along.

44 45

46

47 48 I also consulted with you, Jack, on the emergency action request made by the Village of Koyukuk for a three moose harvest. Many rural Alaska villages made those requests. I did support that request and forwarded it to the Board of Game. The action on that

specific request was deferred and there is still no answer on what's going to happen there. The Board was asking for better determination on what food security concerns there are to demonstrate that and also they wanted more information on the imminent threat to public safety concerning the moose hunt. So those two issues, there's a committee working on those now, I don't know when we'll get to some kind of resolution from the Board but it's in their hands at this point.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You said Board of Game, but you meant Federal Subsistence Board.

MR. REBARCHIK: That's correct, excuse me, yeah. Yeah, you're correct there.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Just clarifying for

 the record.

MR. REBARCHIK: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: That seems like an awful long time for an emergency response but whatever.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ REBARCHIK: I'm -- yeah, I totally agree with you. The initial request was made, boy, not looking at the records, but back in March.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah.

MR. REBARCHIK: I forwarded my decision about three weeks after it was given to me in June and the Board deferred after that. So I'm not sure where that's going to go but we'll await the decision for that.

 We did not have Staff at the Nowitna checkstation this year for the first time in about 30 years. Specifically, we were not able to mitigate all of the safety concerns, health and safety concerns for both our Staff and the public out in that rural location because of Covid. And we were able to handle the specific subsistence permitting from Galena, Jeremy Havener handled that for us and we were able to get permits out to folks. To my knowledge, there were only three moose harvested in that final additional five day period of time, through the end of September, on that subsistence hunt. I do not have final numbers for the overall harvest or anything like that yet but we'll

work on getting that put together this winter.

We are beginning a new project, our fisheries biologist is going to be working a new project with ADF&G specifically on coho, king salmon. It's a project on the Yukon. And we're actually purchasing pit tags (ph) and we'll add an additional number to what ADF&G is purchasing and plan on getting those out on fish this summer.

We're continuing an effort to monitor temperature, water temperatures on the Yukon and also on the tributaries that we help to manage, the Koyukuk, the Nowitna and the Innoko, for more better data, specifically dealing with concerns for global warming, water temperatures going up.

We did have some waterfowl surveys that were scheduled for last winter, did not happen because of Covid. Those were in conjunction with ADF&G also.

 And we're going to be doing a -- we have an inventory monitoring plan that's been in place for almost 30 years and we've got that much data, which we want to maintain, but we're doing a reevaluation of our inventory and monitoring program this year, hopefully we'll finish that in mid-summer. We're actually starting on it now so hopefully most of the work will be done before we move into field season but plan on finishing that reevaluation and reset of our biological inventory and monitoring plan this year.

 $$\operatorname{And}$ with that I'll open it up to any kind of questions or comments that folks would like to ask.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks so much Bob. Questions for Bob from the Council.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is Don.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Don.

MR. HONEA: Thank you. Yeah, Bob, hey, how are you doing.

MR. REBARCHIK: I'm good.

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27 Page 251

MR. HONEA: I guess I just wanted to comment that, you know, it's really much too early to tell the numbers of the moose and maybe for everybody else's sake on all the Refuges that we represent, it's kind of a loss not to have -- to see what the Innoko or something or over in McGrath to see what their concerns Spending time in fish camp this summer I haven't seen one cow moose with a calf, I mean like in the past years see them with calves so I haven't even seen a calf this fall so -- and I guess -- but -- but the moose numbers surprisingly stayed stable so I was just -- I was pretty saddened to see the thing up there not being covered and so the checkstation not being there so maybe it's just a shout out to all of the -- I asked about the moose numbers on Kanuti, maybe in the winter, things -- the least I can do as a member sitting on this RAC is to at least know what our objectives are and to try to keep them, that's my opinion, that's why I'm asking about the moose populations and maybe -maybe you'll have numbers later. But also the RIT, I mean you've been without that technician, whatever they call it, and it's kind of -- those are to enable us as villagers to seek help, whether it's finding moose numbers or bird populations or -- and I don't know why we don't have an RIT but I'm -- I'm covering a lot of things. But I just wanted to mainly mention that sitting on this RAC, at least I should know the numbers in my specific area and be concerned about it.

28 29 30

31

32 33 But I appreciate that Bob and I congratulate you for being the Refuge Manager and I've often talked with Jeremy and so we have a pretty good relationship there. But thank you for your presentation.

MR. REBARCHIK: Don, I share your concerns. I very much appreciate what points you bring up. All are very valid and I share those same concerns.

39 40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47 48

38

This is the first year we haven't Staffed that Nowitna checkstation even though it's a voluntary checkstation, from my perspective it's one of the most essential things we do. And we're going to do everything we can to Staff that station next fall if we can get past the bureaucracy that didn't allow it to happen this year. We're going to make every effort to have the safety equipment, masks, gloves, and what we need so that we can interact with the public out there,

even though it is remote.

On your comments about moose Yes, overall, our moose populations are populations. stable on all three of our Refuges. They are down slightly on the Nowitna, and your comment about not seeing calves is concerning to me, but we are going to be doing our moose surveys, fall surveys beginning the first week in November if at all possible. And we will make every effort to attempt to support Kanuti in getting theirs done because we utilize the same pilots and many times the same observers. And if we can help support Kanuti with their survey we'll certainly do that. But we are going to do surveys on all three of our Refuges that I manage. We have to have that information. It's critical in making hunt decisions and knowing what our moose population is doing. So just know that we're going to be doing our surveys this November.

And, yes, the RITs are critical to communications with our communities and right now we only have Ken Chase down in Anvik and he supports the GASH communities down there and we really, really need an RIT here for Galena, Kaltag, Koyukuk, Nulato, Ruby and Huslia. And I'm pushing to get those positions but we're just not getting the support from HR that we need. So I'll leave it at that.

MR. HONEA: Okay. Mr. Chair, if I can I'd like to ask him one other question.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go right ahead Don.

 MR. HONEA: Yeah, Bob, I guess the reason why I was disappointed in not having a checkstation was we're opening that up for abuse and maybe the numbers that I've seen come out of there, I actually have not even hunted there, I've been up to across Kokrines, Twin Slough area, below Novi and so I just drove up there for a ride one day just to check on stuff but I think when we do that and don't have that last subsistence hunt to verify that someone had -- the moose and go up there and used that, used that process, you know, used that last subsistence week for their own benefit is something else, and I just wanted to somehow like in the future, we got to get a grip on this, we've got to get some way to stop that, it's an abuse of our natural resource and I'll leave it at that.

```
But I appreciate that, thank you for
     your response to the comments that I made.
 2
 3
 4
                     MR. REBARCHIK: You bet. And I
 5
     appreciate your comment. I agree with what you're
 6
     saying. Like I said, we're going to make every effort
     to make it happen next year. And, yeah, we need to
 7
     have a presence out there, that's -- it's just
 8
 9
     necessary. And I believe there were only 12
     subsistence permits issued for that Nowitna hunt this
10
     year and right now I believe there were only three
11
     moose harvested during that five day period.
12
13
     that's all the information I have right now.
14
15
                     MR. HONEA: Okay, thank you.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks, Don.
18
     Any other questions for Bob on Koyukuk, Nowitna and
19
     Innoko.
20
21
                     MRS. PELKOLA: Mr. Chair, this is
22
     Jenny.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Go ahead, Jenny.
25
                     (No comments)
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Jenny.
28
29
30
                     MRS. PELKOLA: Sorry I put myself back
31
     on mute.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Okav.
34
35
                     MRS. PELKOLA: But, anyway, I was just
36
     saying, Bob, last winter we had a moose fatality in our
     area, you know, the moose were coming right into Galena
37
     and it seems like they were all dying. And also to get
38
     back to Don's comment on no moose, calf moose in their
39
     areas. I've heard in our area that we have an increase
40
     of grizzly bears and I even saw one myself during moose
41
42
     hunting but maybe the bears are getting them, I don't
     know. But anyway I'm just interested in that moose
43
     fatality, if you've heard anything.
44
45
46
                     MR. REBARCHIK: Jenny, what I know is,
     yes, I know that we had at least five moose die late
47
     last winter and it was specifically because of the deep
48
     snow and the winter conditions we had, ice, and other
49
50
```

factors contributing to poor health for the moose. So, yes, there were a number of moose, I think we had probably more die last winter because of the severe winter than we've had the last few years. But at least the immediate area of Galena, the moose population is more than stable and our spring counts we did, twinning surveys, still show at least this area, the moose population rising. So I think locally the moose population is good right around Galena, but I can't expand on that until we get better information from the harvest -- or from our counts that we'll have here in November, this fall.

And I wanted to pass on, I forgot to say that earlier, but I will pass -- we will pass those fall moose survey information on to all the local villages. I just need an email, and we have the local tribal councils email information, so we will get that information out as soon as we have the report put together.

MRS. PELKOLA: Thank you.

MR. REBARCHIK: You bet.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, any other

questions.

MR. KRISKA: Yeah, this is Tom Kriska. Can I have a little talk with Mr. Bob, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, go right ahead,

Tom.

 MR. KRISKA: Hey, I just had a little concerns about last year, last spring, Koyukuk Tribal Council, they put in an emergency moose hunt because of the Covid at the time, and it's still happening, they're not getting the amount of food and groceries out in the villages. And they did put in a request to put in emergency -- for three moose, and at one point they said it was granted, and then they were getting ready to go and they were told by Mr. Bob that they were not to do it. So I'm just kind of concerned how that -- and that went on until hold on, hold on, hold on until August 22nd moose hunt came by and then nothing happened at all. I mean it seemed like they just waited until the moose hunt went by and they got it on their table to deal with it and it was April of

```
the spring, and who's to say because of the fish, no
     fish, no kings, no dogs, no silvers, so if it was put
 2
     into -- a request that went there, or will the Feds or
 3
     somebody look into that or can Bob look into that, and
 4
     if we had to seriously go into requesting moose because
 5
 6
     of empty freezers so quickly of the moose that we got
     this fall and the fish that we don't have. So I
 7
     imagine that some families that have a hard time
 8
 9
     already due to the fact putting -- sustained the food
     for their children for the winter, so I'm kind of
10
     concerned about that. And hopefully Bob will look into
11
     it, or we look into it, or look higher up into the
12
     ranks, and get some assistance for emergency in that
13
14
     case.
15
16
                     So hopefully it went somewhere rather
     than this -- maybe in the trash can or just never did
17
18
     anything about it so we're all here to help the folks
19
     out there, so anyway that's my concerns.
20
21
                     And the calves last winter, there was a
     lot of calves that when you guys asked about the
22
     yearlings, they weren't there this year, but there was
23
24
     a lot of -- 2020 yearlings I see and hopefully they
     survive because there is a lot of grizzly out there,
25
     too.
26
27
28
                     So anyway that's my questions for Bob.
29
30
                     Thank you.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Tommy. Got an
     answer to that Bob or do you want me to interpret what
33
     actually happened.
34
35
36
                     MR. REBARCHIK: I can summarize.
37
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: You give your
38
     summary and I'll give mine.
39
40
41
                     MS. DAMBERG:
                                   And through the Chair.
42
                                        Yes, who's this?
43
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
```

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

Staff Committee member.

44 45

46

47 48 49

50

Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, I'm the InterAgency

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:

MS. DAMBERG: Carol Damberg with the

Okay, Carol, go

right ahead.

MS. DAMBERG: Yeah, so with all due respect I certainly feel your pain in terms of how this happened, but Bob did everything he was supposed to do and did all the due diligence to set up the special action request for the emergency as he was supposed to and submitted that to the Board as appropriate. And essentially what happened is the process was shut down due to a State court injunction, which has not been settled at this point and has got the Board basically stopped in processing emergency special actions.

I will comment that we are still working diligently behind the scenes with the hopes of seeing the ability of the Board to make these decisions in the future and when they hopefully will be able to do that and we will have a better framework in place to help the process along and expedite it where appropriate.

So that's, in a nutshell, what I have to provide to the RAC at this point, and I'll field any questions on that.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks, so much. That's exactly where I was going to go with that. But basically the State was having a conniption fit, they threw themselves on the floor and had a fit. When in reality we have a moose hunt, the Kaiyuh that had 25 allocation for the winter hunt and they only harvested eight. As far as I'm concerned there's 16 moose on the table, there's no reason to deny Koyukuk access to the Kaiyuh Flats for an emergency moose harvest.

So that's just my position.

And so the reality was there was real no reason, there's no biological reason to preclude Koyukuk from hunting in the Kaiyuh Flats.

So does that answer your question,

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are you still there

49 Tommy.

Tommy.

MR. KRISKA: Yeah, I'm still here. Is that — what I'm thinking is, this coming year it's going to be, you know, it's probably going to be requested again for some odd reason, even if it's due to the fact that some people did get moose, it's going to come up again, so I was just kind of wanting, hopefully that something would happen a lot quicker instead of maybe put aside or it was never really dealt with or decided on. So we didn't even know that it was turned down until the day they were going to go hunting. And lucky they made some phone calls just to be sure and it turns out that they were shut down right — just slapped in the face and said, hey, oh, no, you can't do that but anyway. It's probably going to be requested again.

15 16 17

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

MR. REBARCHIK: Tommy.

18 19

MR. KRISKA: Yes.

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

MR. REBARCHIK: This is Bob Rebarchik, and, yes, I'm the one that called and told you to stop the hunt and it's because the Board was not able to make a decision and they had to defer it. And until there's some kind of a resolution between the lawsuit with the State suing the Federal Subsistence Board, the Federal government, until there's some resolution to that I don't know -- I just feel like our hands are tied. I don't know that we're going to be able to do anything. And I know that's not the answer you want to hear. I understand where you're coming from. Yes, I live on the river, and the salmon -- the migratory birds weren't available, the salmon subsistence -- and salmon run was as poor as we've seen, especially for the chum salmon, and moose harvest may not get folks through, so I understand, I hear where you're coming from and I am going to continue to pursue support for your request.

38 39 40

MR. KRISKA: Okay, and that's fine.

41 42

MS. DAMBERG: And through the.....

43 44 45

46

47 48 MR. KRISKA: That's fine. It's just that, you know, the chiefs and guys out there, there was a few chiefs that were in on the whole thing and were happy to hear that and ready to go, but to tell you the truth, whoever is back there lawsuiting, the State and the Feds, you know, with their decision it's

kind of -- we don't eat. So that's not going to happen this year. They're already saying that they're going to feed their people regardless so they better come up with something, you know, to make that happen instead of two entities fighting against each other and we're way out there looking at our kids and wondering what we're going to feed them.

7 8 9

2

3

4

5 6

So just simple decisions would make life a lot easier out there in the cold, you know.

11 12

10

So, anyway, that's all I got to say.

13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, appreciate your comment. I understand your pain about this issue but that was the position of the Commissioner of Fish and Game, he called into question the emergency need for -- it was not only this proposal, there was other proposals for deer and other species in other parts of the state so that's what they're -- they're sue happy so they're in court right now.

212223

24

So hopefully that gets resolved before too long, that would be nice. But that's why that occurred that way, Tommy.

25 26 27

Any other questions for Bob.

28 29

(No comments)

30 31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So you're monitoring the water temperatures in the Koyukuk and various drainages, I'm starting to see a lot of mineral discharge out of the Brooks Range in the last two years. There's lots of iron and other kinds of minerals that have been -- they're pleistocene minerals, previous to the ice ages and those are starting to melt loose and come down out of these side drainages. There's like almost over 200 thaw loads in this valley alone, some of them are coming down on the road, and some of them are discharging a lot of weird minerals. So I would suggest that your fisheries people should look at the pH and also what the mineralization content of the water is, that's going to start changing as I keep seeing more and more minerals coming out, especially this year.

47 48

So you said that you did a spring moose

```
survey, and that was sort of a quick survey or what
     were you referring to, your spring.....
 2
 3
 4
                     MR. REBARCHIK: It's a twinning survey
 5
     that we do every spring.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Twinning, I missed
 8
     that part.
9
                     MR. REBARCHIK: To look at production.
10
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Okay. All right.
13
14
                     MR. REBARCHIK: Calf production
15
     primarily and then in the fall we assess the whole
     population, we look at cows, calves and bulls.
16
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Right.
                                                Right.
19
20
                     MR. REBARCHIK: And so the fall survey
21
     is much more comprehensive.
22
2.3
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Right.
                                                Okay.
                                                        That
24
     was my question.
25
                     Any other questions for
26
27
     Koyukuk/Nowitna/Innoko.
28
                                   Jack, this is Tim.
29
                     MR. GERVAIS:
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.
31
32
33
                     MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Jack.
     this is Tim Gervais. I just wanted to express
34
35
     appreciation for the high quality moose management
     that's been going on for several years now, and we see
36
     in a year like this we have a partial king salmon
37
     harvest and no chum salmon harvest, that we still have
38
     one of our main protein sources is available with the
39
     moose, so it's good in times of low fish abundance
40
     we're still able to have access to high quality protein
41
42
     and it's a real big deal for the local communities.
     appreciate yours and the Refuges good job of keeping
43
     healthy populations so we can fall back on it in a year
44
     such as this.
45
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks, Tim.
                                                       Any
48
     other questions.
```

```
1
                     (No comments)
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So thanks so much,
 4
     Bob. Congratulations on being Refuge Manager, a very
 5
     deserved position, you're doing a great job, appreciate
 6
     it.
 7
 8
                     MR. REBARCHIK:
                                     Thank you.
 9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we're going to go
10
     to subsistence updates under U.S. Fish and Wildlife.
11
12
13
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair, we also had
     the YK-Delta....
14
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, right, yeah, I
     missed that. I thought I stuck that in there. Okay,
17
18
     YK-Delta, you're right.
19
20
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: .....with Aaron
21
     or....
22
2.3
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Aaron, are you still
     there.
24
25
                     MR. MOSES: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair
26
27
     and Council members. For the record my name is Aaron
     Moses, I'm the subsistence specialist for Yukon Delta.
28
     I'm going to give, not a very long update, but we do
29
30
     have a lot of Staff changes since the last time we met.
31
32
                     First of all we got a new Refuge
33
     Manager.
                     (Teleconference interference -
34
35
     participants not muted - put on hold - music)
36
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We can still hear
37
38
     you, go ahead.
39
40
                     MR. MOSES: Okay. We have a new Refuge
     Manager, Boyd Blihovde. He's coming to us from Texas,
41
42
     he was the Refuge Manager at Laguna-Atascosa, he's been
     here since July. He's just getting his feet wet so,
43
     yep, in the spring time he'll give a brief introduction
44
45
     of himself, today he had some other engagements he had
     to go to so you'll be hearing a lot from him in the
46
     near future. His wife, Suzella, is also our new
47
48
     visitor services manager, so she's going to be taking
     over for Patrick Snow because he retired this summer.
49
50
```

Ray Born is returning back to his Deputy Manager role. He's been the acting Refuge Manager for the past couple years.

We have Matthew McDonald, he's our new law enforcement guy. He's going to be out here and some other Refuges, he has multiple Refuges that he's going to be covering.

We have a new big game biologist, Aaron Webber, he was the fisheries biologist for Kenai Fisheries Office.

Our supervisory biologist, Lew Coggins, has taken another job, so in the interim biology department Staff will be rotating supervisory duties. We just got the hiring process open now, I believe it's going to close soon.

And we also have a new Park Ranger, Letecia Lesuire (ph), she's going to be working remotely from Utah during the pandemic.

Biology news in fisheries. Gary DeCossas this summer worked on a project to investigate the relationship between chinook salmon female body size and their length in order to understand how smaller chinook salmon returning to the Kuskokwim River will have an impact on future productivity.

Also we've worked closely with ONC and Bering Sea Fishermen's Association to operate the inseason harvest monitoring program for 2020 summer chinook subsistence fishery. And they did an amazing job this summer with the Covid and stuff going on, they did a really good job in the summertime helping us get estimates on harvest.

 As for moose, the RM615, the lower Kuskokwim moose hunt was extended to October 7th this year in Zone 2 on Federal lands, it's the latest it's ever been opened. And Zone 1, also, which is primarily State lands, was open for the longest in quite awhile. And it looks like we had 1,826 permits issued, that's about 400 more than any other year of the hunt. In Zone 1 the quota is between 160 ad 190 but we had a harvest of 207 moose. On Zone 2, the quota is about 110 and as of this time we only have 69 moose harvested.

In the wintertime, our new wildlife biologist is planning on working with Alaska Department of Fish and Game to get counts on moose this winter and populations in Unit 18 and Zone 1 and Zone 2.

And we also will be working with Alaska Department of Fish and Game in Togiak on compliance for the caribou closure this winter. So you'll hear about caribou at your next meeting.

As for waterfowl, the pandemic pretty much cancelled all our projects but we were able to go out to complete one project out on Kigigak Island and our waterfowl biologist was banding ducks within the Bethel area.

Our visiter's services has been closed but we have a whole bunch of stuff that we posted on our Facebook so if you guys would like to go check us out on Facebook.

And our Refuge Information Technicians, our RITs are the backbone of our Refuge efforts to talk with tribes and villages. We currently have three Refuge Information Technicians, one on the Yukon, one on the Coast, and one on the Kuskokwim. We are in the process of trying to recruit an additional two more RITs and so we are looking for people from Russian Mission on down. We want another RIT from the Yukon and one more from either the Coast or the Kuskokwim.

And with that, that's all I have for you guys today. I miss seeing you guys and so hopefully we can get back and see each other some time.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks so much Aaron. Does any Council members have questions for Aaron.

MR. GERVAIS: I do, Jack.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.

MR. GERVAIS: Hi Aaron, this is Tim Gervais. What did you say about the Mulchatna Caribou Herd information?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ MOSES: Oh, yes, Fish and Game, I think later on in the agenda will talk about -- or

Togiak will talk to you more about caribou, our role is more of the outreach, going to villages and informing them on closure and what's going on on this side of the range.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: That's further in our agenda here, Tim, it's coming right up, Mulchatna Caribou Herd update.

MR. GERVAIS: Okay. But specifically to what you're working with Aaron, what's the local's opinion of the closure and the level of compliance you saw.

 MR. MOSES: This spring there was a little bit of hunting during the closed season, we had patrols out but compliance has actually been pretty good. People are kind of frustrated that the caribou season is going to be closed, but they understand that without closing it they won't, later on in the future they won't be able to hunt caribou. So we're getting some positive feedback but there's people that really want to hunt. And in August we had a limited bull hunt, I don't know -- Fish and Game will be able to answer those questions.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks. Any other questions for Yukon Delta National Wildlife Refuge.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. That was a thorough report, Aaron, appreciate that. Hope to be able to have a face to face meeting sooner than later.

So, all right, and where are we at now, Karen, we're at subsistence update.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair. If Vince Mathews has any information to share with the Council this is an opportunity for him to do so.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Are you

48 there, Vince.

1 MR. MATHEWS: Yes, I am, can you hear

2 me?

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We hear you just perfectly fine.

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Because I'm on a funny phone. First off, I want to recognize the patience of the RACs, Regional Advisory Councils, in this environment, doing it by teleconference, and with this virus hanging around.

In addition, I wanted to recognize that it was very helpful to be in touch with both coordinators for Eastern and Western Interior, and that's how I was able to share some of that information.

 I'll go quickly through some other items. At past meetings I shared with you about the Native Youth Congress, I'm involved with the planning the 2021 one. We're looking at all options. So when that moves forward, if it moves forward for 2021, I'll be providing information on how Native students from your villages can participate in that process.

I was real pleased, I think it was Tom Kriska, this morning, or yesterday morning, was talking about waterfowl and seeing ducks and geese. Tom, I want to give recognition to Donald Honea, he is the Vice Chair for the Tanana Chiefs Migratory Bird management body, and that's the type of information I believe they would like to hear as the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council addresses, you know, management decisions as well as seasons. So it's really great that that information is shared here but it also needs to be shared with the Alaska Migratory Bird Co-Management Council.

 On a broader scale, due to the Congress — for this past year, the Native Youth Congress not being held, the National Conservation Training Center is doing different webinars on Native relations and tribal outreach. So I have suggested and we are in the preliminary discussions that one of their monthly webinars will be possibly on the Regional Advisory Council process as how it relates to traditional ways, but in particular how it relates to, you know, the Native connection to subsistence.

And continuing on that vein, I'll be participating, and I don't know if Orville's still on 2 line, I'll be assisting Orville and others with Alaska 3 Native Relations training and that's going to be a two 4 day training or sessions for people to participate in, 5 6 and the title of it is Alaska Native Ways of Life subsistence. My part will be to cover the Legislative 7 history of subsistence within ANCSA and ANILCA. And 8 9 then I think of Ron Sam a lot in my job because of what I'm going to share now: With Yukon Flats Refuge, we're 10 ramping up getting people to understand the importance 11 of reporting their hunting activities and their 12 13 harvest. We've been doing radio shows there but I'd like to get the word out to Western Interior, Council 14 members and beyond, that it is extremely important to 15 report that. Ron Sam captured it very well, but the 16 point of it is, is that the process; to protect 17 subsistence, to protect those wildlife resources, 18 definitely needs the biological data but it needs --19 20 what's happening with those animals.

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33 And then, finally, I really appreciate the discussion brought up by Tom Kriska on the special action. I am involved, along with many other Yukon Flat's Staff on two special actions, and as a Staff person it's really frustrating to have these requests and all we can say is our hands are tied. So please, you know, when these -- situations like that, don't hesitate to pick up the phone and call your coordinator or call whoever, within the Program, to say we have a special need here, we have an emergency situation, we have a special action that needs to be taken, and hopefully our hands will be untied to give a quick response.

34 35 36

 $$\operatorname{And}$ so with that, I will see if there's any questions.

37 38 39

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Any questions for Vince on his presentation, subsistence update.

40 41 42

MR. HONEA: Yes, Mr. Chair.

43

MRS. PELKOLA: This is Jenny.

44 45

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, go ahead

46 47 Jenny.

48 49

MR. HONEA: Yeah, go ahead Jenny.

10/15/2020

Page 266

```
Ladies first.
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
 1
 2
 3
                     MRS. PELKOLA:
                                    Okay.
                                            You were talking
 4
     about this Native Youth Congress, are you going to get
     the information out to the tribes or to the Council
 5
 6
     members?
 7
 8
                     MR. MATHEWS:
                                   Yes.
                                         Through the Chair,
 9
                  Right now we're struggling just like Bob
     yes, Jenny.
     was saying, and others, our dream would be to have
10
     another face to face week long Native Youth Congress in
11
     West Virginia with Native high school leaders, but we
12
13
     have to be realistic so we're exploring all options of
     how to have a similar experience for the Native youth.
14
     But right now we don't have anything. So I'm pushing
15
     but, you know, but this virus doesn't allow me and
16
     others to move forward as quickly as we want. So, yes,
17
     if this comes out, whatever form it comes out, I will
18
     be sharing it as widely as I can because there's 220
19
20
     tribes in Alaska out of 557 in the nation, so we should
21
     have a strong representation at this Native Youth
22
     Congress.
23
24
                     MRS. PELKOLA:
                                    Thank you.
25
                                        Any other questions,
26
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
27
     Jenny.
28
29
                                    No, that's it, thank
                     MRS. PELKOLA:
30
     you.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                         Okay.
                                                Don.
33
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair.
34
35
     Vince, I appreciate your presentation. I also
36
     appreciate the remark that you had made about the
     Migratory Bird Committee and that's why I'm kind of
37
     harping on the RIT for the Nowitna, Innoko, Koyukuk
38
     Refuge to fill that spot because as we all know the
39
     Refuge areas -- the Refuge's office are charged with
40
     doing those migratory bird counts and what's the
41
42
     knowledge of what we have there, so I appreciate that.
     And, you know, coming from a Council member here, that
43
     these numbers, every time we talk about low numbers and
44
45
     stuff, it's a concern to us, whether we're on these
     committees or not, I mean it should be a concern.
46
     so I appreciate that, Vince.
47
48
                     And I appreciate -- I believe when I
49
50
```

first got on to the Western Interior you were our RAC 1 coordinator, I believe. So appreciate all you've done 2 3 and I appreciate the presentation you gave. 4 5 Thank you. 6 7 MR. MATHEWS: Mr. Chair. 8 9 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Vince. 10 MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, I forgot to 11 mention, we're also challenged with the waterfowl 12 harvest survey. The harvest survey for 2020 will not 13 be conducted. That's a random selection of communities 14 and then within those community households are 15 interviewed face to face. Due to the Covid19 situation 16 the 2020 has been cancelled. So I'm on the harvest 17 18 survey committee and we're also looking at, well, what 19 other options do we have to conduct this survey. So we 20 have -- so the users, as well as management, as well as the flyaways, there's three flyaways, in my memory now, 21 that are connected with Alaska, they value this 22 information on this harvest survey. So challenging 23 24 Donald and others, if you have any suggestions now or any other time on how we could do this waterfowl 25 harvest survey for the selective communities in a safe 26 27 way, please let me know, because the harvest survey committee is supposed to come up with some 28 recommendations to the main body for upcoming surveys. 29 30 31 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Any other questions for Vince. 32 33 34 (No comments) 35 36 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I don't hear anymore Vince. 37 38 39 MS. DEATHERAGE: Hello, Jack, this is 40 Karen. 41

42

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Karen.

43 44 45

46

47 48

MS. DEATHERAGE: Hi, Jack. We have a member of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Staff who has a commitment later so as we move forward in the agenda, if we could change the Subsistence Division update with Alida Trainor and Chris McDevet before the Mulchatna Caribou Herd that would be greatly

```
Page 268
     appreciated.
 1
 2
 3
                     Thank you.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. All right.
 6
     So I think that covered it, Vince, thanks so much.
 7
 8
                     So we're going to move to the
9
     Subsistence Division update, Alida and Chris.
                                                     Alida
     Trainor, Chris McDevet, are you on the phone?
10
11
12
                     MS. TRAINOR: Yes, good afternoon, Mr.
     Chair and members of the Council. Thank you for
13
14
     allowing me to move ahead on the agenda. I do have to
     go pick up my son from daycare, so working from home is
15
     presenting lots of new challenges. So echo what
16
     previous presenters had said about really kind of
17
18
     missing being at these meetings in person. I do hope
     that we can see each other again soon.
19
20
21
                     For the record my name is Alida Trainor
     and I'm the lead researcher in the Subsistence Division
22
     for the Interior region of the state. I have two OSM
23
24
     funded projects that I'd like to provide short updates
25
     on.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
28
                     MS. TRAINOR: I (indiscernible -
29
30
     cutting out)
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Your phone is
     breaking up a little bit, can you get closure to the
33
34
     mic or....
35
36
                     MS. TRAINOR:
                                   Okay, sure. Can you hear
37
     me now?
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I can hear you good.
40
41
                     MS. TRAINOR:
                                   Okay, great.
42
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, you're.....
43
44
45
                     MS. TRAINOR:
                                   So you should have in
46
     front of you some slides that we submitted.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, what's
49
     the....
50
```

WESTERN INTERIOR RAC MEETING 10/15/2020 WESTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE RAC MEETING Page 269 MS. TRAINOR: Are you seeing those? Karen, were you able to distribute the PDFs that we 2 3 shared with you? 4 MS. DEATHERAGE: Yes, Alida, I was able 5 6 to send that. Jack, and other Council members, through the Chair. This went out with an email, I'm checking 7 right now to see when. 8 9 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I have it. 10 11 12 MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay. Do you know the 13 date of that email that you could share with others? 14 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I don't -- let 15 16 me.... 17 18 MS. DEATHERAGE: Yeah, I'm checking 19 too. I think it was the October 8th email. 20 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah. 21 22 MS. DEATHERAGE: Yeah, it was October 23 24 8th and it went to everybody on the Council and it's called Trainor, Runfella, October 2020 WIRAC, that's 25 the name of the document, WIRAC. 26 27 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: The PDF I have is 28 Alaska Department of Fish and Game was the title on 29 30 that PDF and when you open it..... 31 MS. DEATHERAGE: Yeah, but the name of 32 33 the document for the -- in the email that was distributed to the Council is Trainor, Runfella, 34 35 October 2020 WIRAC presentation. 36 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: 37 Okay. 38 39 MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay. 40 41 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do people have..... 42 43 MS. DEATHERAGE: So you might want to check with everybody, Mr. Chair, to see if they have 44 45 that document.

46 47

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes. Did the

49 50

Council get that email and do you have this document?

MRS. PELKOLA: This is Jenny. I probably did but I probably deleted it.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Can you resend to people, Karen.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ DEATHERAGE: It's already done, Mr. Chair, thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So you should have that in a second. So go ahead and open it up and we'll have Alida continue. Go ahead, Alida.

MS. TRAINOR: Thank you. So the first project is titled Yukon Salmon Networks.

 This project wants to document how subsistence salmon harvests are shared in Yukon River communities to understand a basic customary practice with sharing and how declining salmon abundance and increased restrictions impact household's ability to get what they need through these local and regional exchange networks.

This project was really exciting for us because it advances our capacity to map the flow of resources within communities and throughout regions. We're examining how age demographics, fishing groups, commercial fishing households and even community events such as memorial potlatches influence and understand sharing and support the well-being of households not only in a study community but in those across the state. Understanding how sharing occurs and the decisions that affect the levels of food distribution in subsistence economies and particularly in Alaska Native communities is critical to fully understanding how communities are adapting to reduce abundance and (indiscernible - distorted) fishing restrictions.

 So the communities we worked in included Pilot Station, Nulato and Beaver. They represent the lower, middle and upper regions of the river (distorted). We have to begin by cooperating with the Division of Commercial Fisheries to have them expand their post-season survey effort to a census, typically they do a stratified sample and they talk to a portion of households in each different level of

harvesting capacity but they actually went and surveyed 100 percent of households for us in those communities. And we needed them to do that so that we knew exactly how much fish each household harvested so then we could follow up with our own survey and ask much more detailed questions about where those fish went and to whom. So we went out and we conducted that survey and then we also conducted numerous ethnographic interviews, traditional knowledge interviews with people who are on both the giving and receiving end of those sharing networks.

We partnered with Oregon State
University, researchers there, to (indiscernible distorted) analysis to build our own capacity in that
area. So as far as progress goes, our (indiscernible distorted) complete and was successful. Our data
analysis is almost complete and write up has begun. So
I'm very happy to say that we were able to get this
project, you know, basically complete, the field work
aspect of it complete prior to Covid.

So moving on to the second project.

 This is called Customary Trade in the Lower and Middle Yukon River Regions. This project builds off recent work that we completed in the upper Yukon River. We wanted to expand our findings and understandings of customary trade, which is the small scale sale of a wild resource, an exchange of a resource for cash. We wanted to expand our understanding to the whole river so that we can help inform conversations occurring around this subject and contribute some nuance to them.

Overall we wanted to describe how customary trade practices fit within the overall subsistence use of salmon in the lower and middle Yukon areas, both historically and in present times of declining salmon.

 We plan to work in the communities of Nunum'Iqua, Mountain Village, Kaltag and Galena. Our methods will include a household survey to document both barter and customary trade in a single study year as well as ethnographic, traditional knowledge interviews with people who participate in either barter or customary trade. We have not yet began field work. We are going to have to postpone this project because

of Covid concerns and because of how sensitive the nature of this topic is, we have -- we really feel like 2 this is something that requires building through 3 (indiscernible - distorted) and that is best done face 4 to face. However, if there -- if this pandemic 5 6 continues, which it likely will, we may need to deploy some more creative solutions. And right now our 7 division is rolling out a comprehensive subsistence 8 9 survey in Unalaska to over 900 households and we're doing it all remotely and so we're hoping to learn from 10 that and possibly take some of the strategies they use 11 there and (indiscernible - distorted) for this project. 12 13 I'm really hoping that we'll be able to visit these communities in a realistic timeframe and conduct this 14 15 in person. 16 So that concludes my updates. 17 18 19 I'd be happy to take any questions. 20 21 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Thanks for the update on your projects. This Covid is throwing 22 everybody for a loop. Does Council members have 2.3 24 questions for Alida. 25 (No comments) 26 27 28 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Don't hear any, your 29 presentation was thorough. 30 31 MS. TRAINOR: Thank you so much. 32 33 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right, have a 34 great afternoon. Hope you can go get your son. 35 I will, thanks. 36 MS. TRAINOR: 37 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So, Chris McDevet. 38 39 40 MR. MCDEVET: Yeah, Chris McDevet, thanks, Mr. Chair, thank you Council members for 41 42 allowing me to present. Just a little background information, as Mr. Chair mentioned, my name is Chris 43 McDevet, I'm a subsistence resource specialist for the 44

45

46

If you refer to the presentation.

about.

Division of Subsistence Fish and Game here in Fairbanks and there's just a few projects I'd like to talk to you

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2.3

Page 273

First project is the Kuskokwim area salmon post-season subsistence harvest surveys. is an OSM funded project that's been ongoing annually for several decades. We typically aim to survey about 28 Kuskokwim area communities including all lower, middle and upper river communities. Surveying is in progress right now. The focus right now is the lower river as well as the community of Bethel. We will be moving up river here shortly, probably next week to start calling households in those communities. Ordinarily this project is done through, you know, in person, door to door surveys, but because of the health concerns, in order to remain in compliance with community quidelines, travel quideline restrictions, so on and so forth, all of the outlying communities right now are being contacted by phone. So households in outlying communities will receive a phone call from Fish and Game Staff to conduct the survey. For Bethel it's a little different. There's other options as well. Online option, mail in survey forms, so on and so forth. But for Bethel we're actually going door to door in Bethel, we partner with ONC surveyors to complete the Bethel portion of the project. And so far so good.

242526

I can move on to the next project here.

27 28

29 30

31

32 33

34

Kuskokwim big game survey and ethnography. This is a project funded through a Pittman-Roberts grant. A large part of the research was prompted by the declining Mulchatna Caribou Herd numbers as well as some moose population changes in Game Management 18 as well as low turnout for permanent returns so we're seeking to update large land mammal harvest through this research.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

Our contingency plan in terms of conducting the research here in the spring of 2021 is kind of similar to other contingency plans you've heard. We will work with the community to develop some kind of research approach to ensure that, you know, we remain in compliance with community guidelines and travel restrictions and so on and so forth. So that may mean that there might be an online survey option, a mail in, or telephone survey options as well.

45 46 47

48

And on to the subsistence chinook harvest in lower Kuskokwim tributaries. This is funded through AKSSF. We currently have very little data on

king harvest in non-spawning tribs in the lower river and this project seeks to fill those harvest data gaps. Again, contingency planning, same situation as with our other projects, we will work closely with communities to ensure that we remain in compliance with their guidelines and travel restrictions as well as the safety of all participants involved in the research and that is supposed to kick off next summer.

So that was the end of my presentation. If anybody's got any questions, thank you, Mr. Chair, thank you Council members. I'm free to answer any questions anybody has.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks, Chris. My question would be document subsistence harvest of chinook and other salmon in non-spawning tributaries, that would be users are traveling to the Kuskokwim River, or how would.....

MR. MCDEVET: Well, there's several lower river communities that tend to focus, especially during closures, tend to focus their fishing in these lower tribs and, again, these are non-spawning tribs, you know, salmon tend to stage in some of these areas near the mouths of these rivers so that's the main focus.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Right. Yeah, we were talking about that this morning, that the assumption is that there's no salmon in those side channels, but in the intertidal zone the fish actually go way in there, they get sucked up in there. And so then they want to come back out when it starts ebbing. So I don't think managers understand that aspect of how the natal waters from up drainage actually are flowing down river, then they get pulled into the side rivers, side sloughs and drainages during the flood.

Okay, so that covers that one.

And then the Mulchatna was underreporting, so how are you going to achieve getting reporting with online kind of -- you're going to.....

MR. MCDEVET: Well, I mean that's, you know, as I mentioned, you know, we're seeking to collect this harvest data information so we're going to, you know, give everybody all the options available,

phone calls?

Page 275

all the tools available to report their harvest to us. So that may include an online option. I understand your point for sure, but we feel that if people have all options available to them, we should be able to collect that information.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And you'll also make

MR. MCDEVET: Yeah, phone calls, the --similar to kind of the same approach that we're taking with the post-season survey right -- post-season salmon survey right now. Working with -- we're working with communities to obtain phone lists, things like that, so we can give folks a call by telephone to conduct a survey, a short, you know, brief survey with them and then like I also mentioned, like a mail in option, we're doing that with the post-season survey now.

If someone would rather not do the online survey or do it over the phone they'll also have the option of just doing a survey on their own and then sending that in, postage paid already, to Fish and Game.

 $$\operatorname{CHAIRMAN}$$ REAKOFF: Okay. Other questions from the Council on Chris' presentation portion.

(No comments)

MR. MCDEVET: Okay, thank you. I appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we're going to move on to Mulchatna Herd update, Todd Rinaldi.

MR. RINALDI: Yes, can you hear me?

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, we hear you

 MR. RINALDI: Thank you. Thank you for the opportunity to provide an update on the Mulchatna Caribou Herd. My name's Todd Rinaldi, I'm the regional management coordinator for the Department of Fish and

 fine.

Game and the Division of Wildlife Conservation out of Region 4, which oversees the management of the Mulchatna Caribou Herd in Unit 17 and the adjacent units out of Dillingham.

We've been without an area biologist in Dillingham off and on for a little more than a year now. We've had a vacancy in that position since April and we are pleased to announce that we have a new biologist who has accepted that position, his name is Brian Riley and he'll be starting in Dillingham on November 16th. So we're pretty excited about that.

We're also excited that we were able to get a Mulchatna caribou population census done this summer -- or a photo census done this summer. We were able to complete one last year and as you recall the findings of the survey were a little bit surprising, actually they were a bit surprising. The survey last year gave us a population estimate of about 13,500 caribou with pretty good calf to cow ratios and pretty good bull to cow ratios but that population assessment was considerably lower than what we were managing under and where the current population objective is.

 So the primary objective of the photo census is to estimate caribou abundance by not only obtaining the minimum count of caribou on the landscape but also to derive a statistical estimate. And in those estimates the trends and abundance are integral to our wildlife management program, and particularly when we're estimating harvestable surplus and maintaining -- or managing for sustained yields as we're required to under the Constitution.

The Mulchatna Caribou Herd has an ANS, amount necessary for subsistence of 2,100 to 2,400 caribou and there's also a positive (indiscernible - muffled) finding for caribou with a population objective of 30 to 80,000 caribou and a harvest objective of 2,400 to 8,000 caribou. The pattern of aggregation of the Mulchatna Caribou Herd during the post-calving population estimate surveys hasn't really changed much in the last 10 or so years, so we were able to track the herds into the two areas, the eastern and western segment, western segment being on Refuge land, primarily in Unit 18, and the eastern segment being in the central Mulchatna area of Unit 17.

So we were able to track 69 radio collars, or 69 radio collars were available for this survey. That helps us determine where the groups are and how (indiscernible - muffled) the groups are and where they are in their migration. One of the things that's done -- and in every year, is a group of airplanes spend considerable time flying the area and doing reconnaissance, many days or even weeks before the actual survey looking for outlying groups, maybe groups that don't have collars on them. We try to keep track of them so when the survey day does come up, we're able to track in on all the known groups of caribou and very rarely do we find unknown groups of caribou by that point.

14 15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22

2.3

2

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

This year I think we found 67 of 69 collars, so that's really good. That gives us a good level of accuracy. The number of groups of caribou are similar of what's observed and documented last year, both years the group size ranged from about one animal to, you know, give or take 4,000 animals, and like in previous years, the Western groups in Unit 18 were more widely spread and contained fewer animals when compared to the Eastern groups.

242526

27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39 40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47 48

49 50

So the results of this year, like I said, we spent extensive time locating satellite groups tracking down all the collars minus the two that we could not find. Typically collars are associated with just smaller numbers of groups, we've never found a missing collar and founds hundreds or thousands of animals. Often times a missing collar, if it is actually still active, it usually represents maybe eight individual or a handful of individuals so it usually doesn't represent a lot of animals. In this particular instance we documented 3,900 or almost 4,000 caribou in the West and about 4,800 caribou in the East this year, so that gave us a total minimum count of 8,782 caribou. The minimum count last year was just a little bit higher, it was a few hundred higher at 9,294. So when we take into consideration the statistical significance and estimate the total population, we're basically exactly, statistically where we were last year at 13,448. And I can't explain how we ended up with that exact number. standard error and the standard deviations are different on that amount -- on that population estimate. So that puts the estimate in the West at about 5,500 and the Eastern portion to about 7,900.

The population obviously still remains under the population objective at 13,500 and is not currently meeting the ANS, nor the harvest objective. The survey located 96 percent of the active collars in the East and the West and that relatively high detection rate contributed to the narrow gaps in the minimum counts and are our statistical estimate.

7 8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

2

3

4

5

And I won't get into a lot of the detail unless you guys have some questions about the statistics. But I want you all to know that efforts to understand the decline the Mulchatna are ongoing including evaluating productivity and survival, to increase GPS collaring of adults, collaring of neonates, newborns, and regular tracking flights as well as discussions regarding a range analysis and habitat analysis.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

We just put out, I think, about 30 GPS collars into the herd, actually it's ongoing as we speak right now. The fall composition survey was just completed last week on the Mulchatna Herd. And the preliminary results suggests that the calf to cow ratio is still pretty good and the bull to cow ratio is still pretty good, as good as last year. I won't be able to finalize that information until we get a further analysis.

27 28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47 48

49 50

But that's ultimately why we were able to offer a shortened or abbreviated hunt, both the Federal and the State hunt under RC503 this fall. you may recall we ended up closing the hunt down early. I think the Feds closed their hunt around New Years and we closed our hunt, I think about 30 days later in January on the Mulchatna last year. And this year based on that photo census information, previous fall composition information and historic harvest levels for a fall hunt on the Mulchatna we deemed -- in consult with our Federal partners we deemed it appropriate to offer an open Federal hunt for -- open a registration hunt under 503 for State hunters and the Feds offered the same under the same permit, and we anticipated a harvest of 200 to 400. Currently, harvest data has been coming in really slow to date. And of course all the Mulchatna Caribou hunts are closed across all the range currently and we only have a report of 50 males taken, and that's out of 450 reported harvest information and a total of 700 permits. permits is quite lower than what is typically issued

add.

Page 279

for the annual hunt, although given that a lot of hunters and users knew that the hunt was only going to be relegated to the fall, that might have affected participation.

10/15/2020

4 5 6

1

2

3

And a couple other things I'd like to

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

As far as hunt reporting goes, you know, the RC503 closed in January of last year, we still have 400 hunt reports out that haven't been reported on so if you have a hunt report I would like to remind you to return your last year's hunt report because this year's hunt reports are also due. don't anticipate opening up the Mulchatna Caribou Herd to additional harvest this regulatory year.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

2.3 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39 40

41 42

43

44 45

One thing to note that might be of interest, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service sent a letter to the Commissioner of Fish and Game last year proposing that the Department of Fish and Game, Division of Wildlife Conservation should draft a plan for predation control on Federal lands in Unit 17 and 18 and they were specific, that the conversation was Unit 18, and this is to address declining caribou populations. The proposal, which will be coming up in front of the Board of Game this winter, if that meeting happens, seeks to establish additional predation control areas expanding the current Mulchatna control area of 10,000 square miles to a broader area and give us an opportunity to implement control on Federal lands where half the herd seems to aggregate and a good portion of them seem to calf. I bring that up because it's a bit unusual. We do have an intensive management program for the benefit of caribou in Unit 17, there's a 10,000 square mile control area in portions of 19B, 17 and 9B and it has been effective in years when travel conditions and flying conditions and tracking conditions are conducive to same-day airborne take of wolves but we've also had some great participation from local hunters and trappers in that same area and they are given an opportunity to get out there before SDA hunters -- sorry, SDA pilots and gunners are out there beginning February 1st, so when that harvest is good we do see some potential impacts on calving that following spring.

46 47 48

So we know that was a lot, and I will leave it for questions.

 Page 280

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So you basically have the same population as surveyed but that's counting the newest calf recruitment which are not actually recruited.

MR. RINALDI: Right.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So what was your cow to calf ratio that you recently.....

MR. RINALDI: That we just finished the composition surveys last week and that information is not finalized but I'm suspecting that it's going to be somewhere to 30 but that's just a guess because I don't have that information in front of me, we haven't analyzed it yet. We were unable to get composition information from the photo survey, even though that photo equipment is getting to be such a high resolution that we can begin to sex animals and potentially age animals with that camera but it would be very time intensive to do it. We conduct our composition surveys for caribou typically in October across Region 4.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So would those be comparable -- speculated comparable cow to calf ratios to last year in your survey?

MR. RINALDI: Yeah. Yes, Mr. Chair. Those would be -- we expect that the ratios are going to be comparable to last year based on the preliminary, at least as good as last year based on a preliminary review of the composition data.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And have you modeled this year as far as age composition of the adults, what their age composition would be, are they in the productive healthy younger breed of eight year old cows, or what's their age classes generally?

MR. RINALDI: I can't -- yeah, I can't speak directly to the age class but, you know, productivity's been good. Calf weights and 10 month old weights have been good. Obviously we have an interest in being able to evaluate the range as our Federal partners do. There is also a Board of Game proposal to adjust the harvest objective and the population objective on the Mulchatna, so that's going to be a point of conversation at the Board of Game this winter as well.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So the season -- the current season closed when, the end of September?

MR. RINALDI: It closed at the end of September, so it was open, I forget the number of days, but for a number of weeks in September and a number of weeks in August.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And there will be no winter hunting?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ RINALDI: No, there's no winter opportunity this year.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Uh-huh, okay, good. So that was a very thorough report. I would like to get those finalized comp numbers for the.....

MR. RINALDI: Sure.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:at some point. You can send that to our Council coordinator to disseminate to the Regional Council.

MR. RINALDI: Sure. Yeah, I can send you -- I'll send you both the population estimate memo and the comp memo, which hopefully will be drafted in a matter of days here and we can finalize it and send it on.

I also -- I don't know if anyone's noticed but I think we might have made copies available, there is a new edition, a brief edition of Caribou Trails for the Mulchatna that came out back in July. It has some of the information, obviously it was updated with the population information but it won't have the comp information but it kind of discusses where we're currently at and the approaches that we're going to be continuing to take, including probably the formation of a working group in the future.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. I live in the northern part of Alaska so I was not privy to that. Is that PDF available on the AD.....

MR. RINALDI: Yep. Yep, it's on the website, it isn't a multi-page document, we're going to produce a larger version, you know, much like you see for the Western Arctic and some of the other, the

larger herds up north. We wanted to provide just a two pager to get out to folks before the hunting season so they understood the rationale for why the regulatory changes had been made and where we were, the approaches that we were taking for conservation sake. I can include that with the other two memos if you'd like.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Well, thank you, I'd appreciate that.} \\$

Any questions from the Council on the Mulchatna Herd update presentation by Todd.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: No. That was very thorough. I really appreciate that. These are the kind of numbers that I really wanted to see with this, and the management restrictions, that you've dropped into a conservation mode for bull harvest, et cetera. So appreciate what the Department's doing to try to bolster this population and bring it back, turn the corner on it.

Do you have any idea what the brown bear predation on the calving ground is?

MR. RINALDI: Off the cuff based on the previous work that's been going on the past couple of years, they were a significant predator but it wasn't of significant consequence. So they made up a piece of the pie but they weren't taking a fair number of -- I'd have to go back and so I don't want to say any specific numbers because I'd just be pulling them out of my memory, which is not reliable.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. All right.

MR. RINALDI: I can -- you know there is a PowerPoint presentation -- there is some documents that have been presented at the Board of Game that describe the predator calf relationships that we've documented out in the Mulchatna, and I can provide that as well.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. I'd appreciate that. Okay, any other Council questions or comments.

```
1
                     MR. GERVAIS:
                                   Jack, this is Tim.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Tim.
 4
 5
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yeah, I had a question.
 6
     Thank you for the presentation. Is the caribou that's
     out on the Nushagak Peninsula, is that considered part
 7
     of this herd also?
 8
 9
                     MR. RINALDI:
                                   Thanks for the question.
10
     Through the Chair. The Nushagak Peninsula Caribou Herd
11
     are considered and managed as a separate herd. That
12
     hunt is administered under RC501, which is primarily a
13
     Federal hunt under a State permit. The amount of State
14
     land on the Nushagak Peninsula, State and private land
15
     is pretty small but we do offer a hunt opportunity out
16
     there in some years. This year the population estimate
17
18
     based on -- the population estimate based on work that
     Togiak did determined that that population was under
19
20
     objective as determined by -- as defined by their
21
     management plan and so we did not open or offer any
     Federal -- State opportunity on the Nushagak this year.
22
     There was some Federal opportunity provided to some
23
24
     local communities on the Nushagak, but short answer is,
     yes, they're considered a separate herd and we see and
25
     we've documented very little mixing.
26
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Another question,
     Tim.
29
30
31
                     MR. GERVAIS: No, that's it, thank you
32
                 Appreciate your efforts to rebuild this
     very much.
33
     herd.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
                                               Any other
36
     Council member questions on Mulchatna.
37
38
                     (No comments)
39
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.
40
                                               Thanks so
     much, Todd, we really appreciate that.
41
42
43
                     MR. RINALDI: Yes, thank you.
                                                    Take
44
     care.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right, you, too.
     So we're moving down the list here to the Gates of the
47
48
     Arctic National Park and Preserve Subsistence Resource
     Commission report. Are you on the phone, Marcy?
49
50
```

MS. OKADA: Hi, good afternoon, Mr. Chair and Council members.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Marcy.

MS. OKADA: Okay. I believe Karen Deatherage mailed out via postal mail our Park and Preserve update, I'll just quickly go through it.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I have it in hand, go right ahead.

MS. OKADA: Okay. For the Gates of the Arctic National Park Subsistence Resource Commission, they had a teleconference meeting this past April. The SRC received updates on the Ambler Mining District Road, Board of Game regulatory proposals that were passed, wildlife projects occurring with Park Service Staff and our next teleconference meeting is going to be November 18th to 19th.

 For the Western Arctic Caribou Herd, there's a caribou pellet project that was unable to occur this past April. Pellets are used to analyze the diets and it's being rescheduled for April 20/21.

So a recent paper was published on the Western Arctic Caribou Herd looking at the effects of bugs on caribou. Caribou tend to increase their movements during peak insect harassment. Basically they're running away from bugs. These behavioral responses scale up to physiological effects as caribou move to less productive habitats in order to reduce this harassment and this, in turn, increases energetic costs due to them moving around more, it reduces nutrient intake due to less time spent foraging and it can also lead to poor physiological conditions.

 For grizzly bears, there was a 2014 to 2017 grizzly bear collaring project and off of that project there were multiple journal articles written. So a recent paper looking at Brooks Range grizzly bears revealed that a large number of bears had salmon as the main component of their late summer diet. And this, in turn, let to Park Service biologists looking at GPS data more closely and what they found out was that bears movement data was used to identify streams that have salmon, and these streams were previously unmapped. So biologists shared this finding with the

Alaska Department of Fish and Game and they were able to add 100 miles or so of new salmon stream information to the official anadromous stream catalog. And then lastly, there was also a paper written showing an analysis of grizzly bear diets and how these can vary by looking at different segments of their hair follicles.

So moving along to the dall sheep survey. A rather shortened survey was done this past July and it was solely done in the Killik Preserve and this, of course, was due to concerns about Covid19 so the normal distance sampling protocol was basically replaced, and it was a pilot only aerial survey count. Poor weather prevented the pilot from surveying the entire Killik Preserve so the total sheep count is not comparable to past years. Of the 376 total sheep observed, 82 were lambs, and 237 were ewe-like, which covers ewes, yearlings and young rams. This results in a lamb to ewe-like ratio of .35 which is higher than average and indicates a healthy reproductive rate. Surveys planned for 2021 will help determine whether this translates into actual population growth.

And then lastly, for an Ambler Mining District Road update, Park Service and the Federal Highways Administration issued a Record of Decision, otherwise known as a ROD, on the access route and right-of-way through the Gates of the Arctic National Preserve Kobuk Unit. The decision was based on the environmental and economic analysis and it determines the most economically feasible and prudent route with the least impact allowing for construction, operation, maintenance and reclamation of this private industrial access road within the Preserve.

The EEA and decision includes mitigation measures to protect wetlands, fish, wildlife and their habitat as well as subsistence hunting and fishing activities. And the route selected across the Kobuk Preserve Unit follows the route selected by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Bureau of Land Management Record of Decision, and the press release for this and the Record of Decision can be accessed through two websites that are listed in your update.

I believe we also have Matt Cameron on the phone to answer any wildlife questions you might have about these updates.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So any questions for Gates of the Arctic on the presentation.

2 3 4

(No comments)

5 6

7

8

10

11

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: My question would be, why was the sheep survey done on the North Slope when at our spring meeting I was talking a lot about the two brutal winters on the South Slope, that disseminated sheep on the South Slope, and why was there a determination to do a North Slope survey. Matt.

12 13 14

15

16

MR. CAMERON: Yeah, hi, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. This is Matt Cameron, wildlife biologist with Gates of the Arctic. Can you hear me okay?

17 18 19

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We hear you fine.

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

MR. CAMERON: Great, hi, Jack. Yeah, I can't really speak to that, that was -- Will Decey (ph) is our new sheep biologist and unfortunately he had some family things come up this week and can't be here. I know that they were limited by weather as well as mitigating Covid concerns and that was a pilot only survey. So I don't have a good answer for you but I can relay that question to Will and have him follow up with you.

29 30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47 48

49 50

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, we'll discuss that further at the SRC meeting. One thing I would like to comment on is the Western Arctic Caribou Herd recent paper, effects of bugs on caribou. Bugs, you're referring to parasitic flies, but there's things that happen with caribou that managers don't pay attention Cool, wet summers actually are the highest benefit to caribou. Why is that. Because caribou eat basically flowering grasses and flowering forbes throughout the summer. If there's lots of -- if it's hot the insects -- the pollinating insects pollinate all the flowers and so they lose that whole protein source and that reduces their whole protein productivity for calf production. Wet summers restricts the pollinating insects which allows the caribou to eat flowers for a longer period of time. also reduces the parasitic flies and mosquitos that are trying to bite them. So it's a double-whammy of what wet summers actually do for caribou. They cool better.

They typically have better cooling, they don't over heat and so they're not panting and huffing and puffing either. Wet years allow lichen to grow more. Dry hot summers, lichen doesn't grow at all. And on wet summers it actually will engulf a cranberry -- an annual cranberry of the year, I've seen it.

So there's some things that are not actually sought in this paper, what insects do the caribou -- pollinating insects are detrimental because they pollinate all the flowers that they use for protein sources and it's a major food source. I was on the North Slope this summer and I watched a caribou bull and I watched him for a half an hour straight, he ate five pink louseworts and then he nibbled on willow leaves, he ate five pink louseworts, there's flowers everywheres on the tundra, that's what they're eating. So there's some things that need to be looked at, or reevaluated in your peer review on this insect paper. I haven't read the insect paper but it's only focusing on harassing insects but there's the detriment of pollinating insects also.

I wanted to get that on the record for the -- and for the Council who sit around watching caribou like I do.

MR. CAMERON: Thank you, Jack.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So any questions on this presentation, bears, or caribou, dall sheep, Ambler Road, we talked about Ambler Road earlier.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hearing none, so thanks so much Gates of the Arctic. And subsistence eligibility permit and individual customary and traditional use determination, Josh Ream. Dr. Ream, are you there.

 MR. REAM: I'm here, thank you, Mr. Chair. Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. Today I'll be presenting on a prospective (ph - muffled) change and procedures for individual customary and traditional use determinations. For the record my name is Joshua Ream, and I am the Regional Subsistence Program Manager for subsistence in the National Park Service. I also serve as the Agency's

representative to the InterAgency Staff Committee.

This topic is rather complicated so our Staff recently developed a comparison chart to show the existing process for these determinations as compared to what we are proposing. You should have received this in your emails earlier today, it was only recently developed after some confusion at the Southcentral RAC meeting.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So....

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ REAM: Requests for -- yes, go ahead, Mr. Chair.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We have a document that's standard operating procedure and issuance for subsistence eligibility in our book on Page 159, is there a different document?

MR. REAM: There is. And I believe Karen sent that out over the lunch break.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh.

MS. DEATHERAGE: I did. I sent that out via email to everybody. I know it's hard to keep up with all these emails, but it's just a one pager, or front and back.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So go ahead.

 $$\operatorname{MS}.$ DEATHERAGE: And this was sent at 11:38 a.m. today.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Let me.....

MS. DEATHERAGE: Do you want to give everybody a couple of minutes to look at that Josh, or to find it because we don't want to create the same confusion here and this document will be helpful.

Thank you.

 MR. REAM: Sure, no problem. And this information is contained in the other briefing material that are in your books and what I intend to cover, but I think it's just an easy way to look at it, the change in the process.

```
Page 289
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. I have a one
     pager here attachment and it's basically sort of an
 2
 3
     overview of what the changes are.
 4
 5
                     MR. REAM: That's correct.
 6
 7
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: That is it and I
     think, again, it would be helpful if people had that in
 8
9
     front of them.
10
                     Thank you.
11
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Did everybody open
14
     that up, if you have email. I received it at 11:39
15
     a.m., this morning from Karen.
16
                     MR. HONEA: Mr. Chair.
17
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Don.
20
21
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, I'm opening it up
            Is it the forward supplemental documents for
22
     WIRAC meeting next week, is that the one?
2.3
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: No.
26
27
                     MR. HONEA: Sent at 3:01. Or maybe
     it's -- 3:01, I'll check.
28
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: It's titled forward
31
     -- the forward is individual C&T comparison chart.
32
33
                     MR. HONEA: Okay, got it.
34
35
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: All right. How about
36
     you, Jenny, do you have it?
37
                     MRS. PELKOLA: I'm still looking.
38
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do you have that
     Tim.
41
42
43
                     (No comments)
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are you still there,
     Tim Gervais.
46
47
48
                     MR. GERVAIS: No, but go ahead.
49
50
```

```
Would anybody --
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Hum.
 2
     would you like me to go ahead and send it or do you
     think that perhaps we could go ahead and move forward
 3
     and Josh can just use that part of it.
 4
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: A lot of the
 7
     plan....
 8
9
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Yeah.
10
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: .....is also in the
11
12
     book here on Page 159, Josh?
13
14
                     MR. REAM: Yes, Mr. Chair, that is
15
     correct.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Proceed
18
     with....
19
20
                     MS. DEATHERAGE:
                                      Thank you.
21
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: .....your
22
23
     presentation, Josh.
24
25
                     MR. REAM:
                                Thank you.
                                            So requests for
     individual customary and traditional use determination
26
27
     are very rare and less than a dozen of them have been
     requested to date. These determinations only apply to
28
     National Park and Monuments.
29
30
31
                     During the last Federal wildlife
     regulation call for proposals, one of these requests
32
33
     was made by an individual seeking to hunt in Denali
     following a long existing pattern of use.
34
35
     Unfortunately the proposal was invalidated by OSM based
     on erroneous information regarding the process.
36
     situation brought to light a need to provide a clearer
37
     streamlined process for responding to these requests.
38
     The National Park Service Staff developed one such
39
     process for consideration by the Board, and this is
40
     what I'm speaking to your Council about today.
41
42
                     At their July work session, the Federal
43
     Subsistence Board deferred action on a delegation of
44
45
     authority to the National Park Service Alaska Regional
     Director for making individual customary and
46
     traditional use determinations for lands managed by the
47
48
     National Park Service and requested that the RACs be
```

49 50

briefed and have an opportunity to provide input on the

delegation at your fall meeting.

The Federal Subsistence Board is considering a delegation of authority to the Park Service, which would grant the Regional Director the authority to make individual customary and traditional use determinations. The draft delegation of authority letter and the draft SOP, standard operating procedure, can be found beginning on Page 159 of your meeting book, and in preparation for this requested administrative change, Staff with the National Park Service did develop the standard operating procedures for both the 13440 subsistence eligibility permit, which are within the purview of the Park Service, and for individual C&Ts, which are currently heard by the entire Federal Subsistence Board.

I'll be presenting you with a brief overview of both topics. The Park Service suggested changes to existing processes and the reason that this is being pursued.

To begin, it's important to recognize that eligibility to engage in subsistence activities in National Parks and Monuments in Alaska is different from that of National Preserves and other types of Federal public land as you all are likely aware. To be eligible in these units one must not only be a Federally-qualified subsistence user, but also have his or her primary permanent home located within a resident zone community or alternatively, to have obtained a 13440 subsistence eligibility permit from the Park Service. Additionally, the subsistence user must also have a customary and traditional use determination for the area and species that they intend to hunt.

Customary and traditional use determinations acknowledge an existing pattern of subsistence use. The Federal Subsistence Board frequently receives requests to evaluate or reevaluate these, but predominately this is for inclusion of communities and areas. For lands managed by the National Park Service, the determination can also be made for individuals. And this is set forth in 50 CFR 10016. This says for areas managed by the National Park Service where subsistence uses are allowed the determinations may be made on an individual basis. The request for these, again, have been really rare and we've gotten less than a dozen of them in the history

of the Federal Program. We have no reason to believe that this is going to change. Given that they are rare, and the applicability to only those lands managed by the National Park Service, we feel that the Program and its stakeholders can be better served if the Agency's Regional Director is given delegated authority to make the individual customary and traditional use determination. If this were to be delegated the process would alleviate burden on the Office of Subsistence Management and the Federal Subsistence Board, it would provide a more substantive role for the Subsistence Resource Commission. It would also allow for requests to be acted upon outside of the normal regulatory cycle, and it would provide better mechanisms for documenting and archiving these decisions.

If the Board delegates authority for individual C&Ts, the Park Service intends to follow the procedures that we outline in your book.

In order that the responsibilities of all involved are clearly defined, to provide consistency and continuity across our Park Unit, to streamline both the 13440 permit process and the individual C&T process, and to provide mechanisms for evaluating and documenting the request and subsequent decisions. These procedures are consistent with Title VIII of ANILCA and with existing regulation.

I will not walk the Council through every step and document outlined in the standard operating procedure, I will, however, point out that the eight criteria for evaluating individual C&T is the same as those for community and area C&Ts. The Park Service would continue to analyze these criteria in a manner consistent with the Board's policy.

 Delegation of authority on these matters is considered an administrative action of the Federal Subsistence Board. Before approving the process, however, the Board did ask that the Regional Advisory Councils review the proposed procedures and offer feedback, especially given that the responsibility for making recommendations on individual C&Ts will shift from the Regional Advisory Councils to the Subsistence Resource Commissions. The Park Service believes that the Commissions are perhaps best equipped to evaluate patterns of subsistence use

in their associated Parks and Monuments, and each includes representation from the associated Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils.

This is an action item.

We would appreciate your feedback on these processes and specifically request that your body vote on whether to endorse this change.

I will mention that today the Eastern Interior RAC voted unanimously to support our proposed process and the delegation. And this included the Chair, who had previously expressed reservation at the Wrangell-St. Elias SRC meeting.

The Kodiak/Aleutians RAC voted in unanimous support.

The Yukon Kuskokwim Delta RAC took no action. They don't have Park Service lands in their region.

The Southcentral RAC actually voted unanimously to defer until the Wrangell-St. Elias SRC could review further. And the Wrangell-St. Elias SRC voted in unanimous opposition until they have more time to consider it.

The Denali SRC actually took no action on this but discussion was in favor of our proposed standard operating procedures.

I'd be happy to try to answer any questions that you have.

Thank you so much for your time.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you, Joshua. Questions from the Council on what this actually is and the change in procedure.

(No comments)

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So we used to have Ray Collins which was on the Gates of the Arctic -- or correction, on the Denali Subsistence Resource Commission and so he usually gave us an insight into that Commission's working. I'm on the Gates of the

 Page 294

Arctic Subsistence Resource Commission, we have not held a meeting, we will on November 18 or so. So I have not seen this change in process. But I do feel that this has merit, because it would be very few people that would actually qualify for a 13440 permit.

Was there any discussion during any of the deliberations of the Councils or the SRCs about an appeal process if the Regional Director arbitrarily made a decision that impacted the individual, is there a methodology for appeal to the Federal Subsistence Board or was there discussion about that?

Josh.

MR. REAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. We did take our proposed standard operating procedure to the Solicitor's Office and he recommended that there not be an appeal process. He did, however, advise, that this is a delegation of authority from the Federal Subsistence Board and at any time there could be a request by a member of the public of the Federal Subsistence Board to repeal that delegation if the procedures are handled inappropriately.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thank you. That was a good clarification. And who is your Solicitor?

MR. REAM: Mr. Chair. That would be Ken Lord.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Oh, that's Ken Lord also. So I feel that the SRCs, or the Subsistence Resource Commissions are actually best suited to deal with this issue because they're typically made up of individuals that surround that Park and are aware of the Park uses and areas within the Park. So I would support this change in procedure in that it opens continuously the application window instead of a biannual process through the Federal Subsistence Board. It's more face to face with the agency that actually's going to make the determination and the Subsistence Resource Commissions, and so I feel that this has viability to it.

So I would be supportive of entertaining a motion to adopt this change in procedure

for issuance of 13440 permits for individuals to hunt or fish within the National Parks within Alaska, hard Park. Is the Council agreeable to that, to my line of thinking.

4 5 6

3

MR. HONEA: Yeah, I certainly am, Jack.

This is Don.

7 8 9

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Don.

10 11

MR. HONEA: And I would make that

motion.

12 13 14

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, Don makes the motion to that effect. Do we have a second.

15 16 17

Seconded by Tim. MR. GERVAIS:

18 19

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Tim seconded. Any further discussion or ideas or comments.

20 21 22

23

24

MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair, this is Karen Deatherage, could you repeat the motion slowly again so I can just make sure I have it correct on the record.

25 26 27

Thank you.

28 29

30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: The motion should read that the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council supports the change in process of the National Park Service for issuance of 13440 permits, which are individual use permits within Hard Park within Alaska, that the procedure of utilizing the Subsistence Resource Commissions is more of a interface with the users and that Commission within the Park Unit, and I was satisfied with Dr. Ream's response, that if an individual felt that the Regional Director had acted in an inappropriate manner, that the individual could make a proposal to the Federal Subsistence Board to repeal that delegation of authority to the Regional Director to issue these permits.

42 43 44

That's basically what my line of thinking was, so that's basically the motion.

45 46 47

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

48 49

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

```
Page 296
                     Any further discussion.
 1
 2
 3
                      (No comments)
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Do we have a
 6
     question.
 7
 8
                     MR. HONEA: Call for the question.
 9
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Question's call.
10
     Would you do a roll call, Karen.
11
12
13
                      (No comments)
14
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are you there,
15
16
     Karen.
17
18
                      (No comments)
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Karen must have
21
     dropped off the phone.
22
23
                     MR. GERVAIS: Do you want me to do the
24
     roll call, Jack.
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, if you would.
26
27
                     MR. GERVAIS: Okay. Mr. Semaken.
28
29
30
                     MR. SEMAKEN:
                                   Yes.
31
                     MR. GERVAIS: Mr. Kriska.
32
33
                     MR. KRISKA: Hello.
34
35
36
                     MR. GERVAIS: Was that -- are you in
37
     favor Tommy.
38
                     MR. KRISKA: Hello. Hello.
39
40
                     MR. GERVAIS: On the motion.
41
42
                     MR. KRISKA: I lost you guys for a
43
     minute, I had to walk back over here and I just called
44
45
     back in, so I lost about four or five minutes.
46
                     Yeah.
47
48
                     MR. GERVAIS: Do you understand what
49
50
```

```
Page 297
     we're voting on, we're voting to -- do you want to read
     the motion, Karen.
 2
 3
 4
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: I'll do my best here,
 5
     thank you Mr. Gervais.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                         Okay.
 8
9
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Through the Chair.
10
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Karen.
11
12
13
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: The motion is to adopt
     changing the process of the National Park Service for
14
     issuing individual use permits within Parks in Alaska,
15
     the Council also supports the procedure of utilizing
16
     the SRCs as the interface with the users and the Park
17
18
     Unit. If the individual believed that the Regional
     Director acted inappropriately in the issuance of these
19
     permits, or a permit, then the individual could make a
20
     proposal to the Board to repeal that delegation of
21
     authority from the Regional Director.
22
23
24
                     Thank you.
25
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So how do you vote
26
27
     on that Tommy.
28
29
                     MR. KRISKA: Yeah.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And continue to call
     the roll, Tim.
32
33
                                   Jenny Pelkola.
34
                     MR. GERVAIS:
35
36
                     MRS. PELKOLA:
                                    Yes.
37
                     MR. GERVAIS: Donald Honea, Jr.
38
39
40
                     MR. HONEA: Yes.
41
42
                     MR. GERVAIS: Jack Reakoff.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes.
45
46
                     MR. GERVAIS: And Tim Gervais, myself,
47
     yes. Unanimous six to zero, Karen.
48
49
                     MS. DEATHERAGE:
                                       Thank you, Mr.
50
```

Gervais, through the Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Anything else on that issue or any other issue of the Park Service. Joshua.

MR. REAM: No, sir. I would just mention that our regional office Staff is growing by a couple of members and we're really excited about that.

 As we've reduced, over the past decade, our Staffing capacity at the Park Service the Parks have had to absorb a lot of the responsibilities, which they are now wanting to give back to our regional Staff, so we have a couple of really great people coming on line. I did recently hire Dr. Kim Yocum who has been managing the wildlife program at Ft. Greely and she moved last week from Delta Junction, so I'm excited to have her on board, and I'm sure that your Council will be interacting closely with these Staff in the near future.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So thanks so much for the update and interaction.

So we're moving on to OSM, tribal guidelines, Orville Lind, are you there.

 MR. LIND: Yes, good afternoon, Chair, Council members. Orville Lind, Native Liaison for the Office of Subsistence Management. First of all, it's really good to hear everybody on. I miss our face to face RAC meetings, but I'm also happy that this is working out better than I expected.

So I'm going to be very brief.

 This topic is the tribal guidelines. Again, they are on our website, subsistence website. And since adopted in January of 2015, the implementation guidelines are our living document that really provides additional guidance to our Federal Staff and the Federal Subsistence Management Program tribal consultation policy. And it has gone unchanged up until about two months ago. There was a question asked about special actions, which you'll find on Page 7 of the guidelines, and the question was asked, what

if a requested special action that spans multiple units or multiple agencies or multiple delegations. And of course the answer is whoever the in-season manager is as specified in a delegation of authority letter, they would be responsible for coordinating the tribal consultation regarding the special action.

There was another question asked about that, what if there is no delegation of authority in place, and, of course, the answer is the in-season manager would -- must have delegated authority from the Federal Subsistence Board in order to announce the special action. And of course there would not be a time when a manager would act on a special action without delegated authority from the Federal Subsistence Board.

This is informational for now, it was accepted at the last August work session with the Federal Subsistence Board and again this is informational for the RACs. I'm just letting folks know. And very shortly I will send out the revised document for everybody to have.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. That is all I

have.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. And that revised document will come out pretty soon within the next couple of weeks or so or.....

MR. LIND: I'm going to get it out in the next couple of weeks.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Sounds good.

MR. LIND: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Thanks so

So OSM update -- did you have any other issues, Orville?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.\ \operatorname{LIND}\colon$$ No, I sure don't. Just happy to hear you guys on.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, thanks so much. So Greg Risdahl, OSM update.

 much, Orville.

MR. RISDAHL: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. For the record this is Greg Risdahl, I am the OSM Fisheries Division lead.

First of all, like everyone else, I want to thank you all for the hard work that you have done and, again, for hanging in there to get through all this information and I have to say this has been actually one of the best meetings for me because my phone did not actually drop this time. Last week it dropped five times. So I think technology is catching up.

These have been pretty difficult times for all of us, even at OSM, dealing with the Covid19 environment. Everyone pretty much is teleworking from home and that's just the way it is for now but we're doing the best we can. As you all know, the teleconferences are how all of the Regional Advisory Council meetings are being held this fall. This decision was made with the utmost consideration and concern for the health and safety of Council members, their families, rural communities, the public, and all Staff who are all part of the Council meetings. The health and safety of everyone is the highest priority.

The Regional Advisory Councils remain the foundation of Alaska's Federal Subsistence Management Program and we do recognize that holding the face to face Council meetings in rural communities across Alaska is preferable for engaging the public most effectively, and we hope to be able to return to that as soon as possible. We are fully committed to resuming person to person Council meetings as soon as possible, when it is safe to do so.

We've also had a number of Staff changes at OSM over this past few months since we last met. The following Staff changes have occurred beginning with -- I'll start with Tom Doolittle.

 Tom Doolittle, he is our former acting Assistant Regional Director and Deputy Assistant Regional Director. Tom has basically held the acting position for close to three years, I believe, and he is retiring this fall. We're going to hate to see him leave, probably no one more than me. He's been a good mentor to me and I've really enjoyed working with Tom. He's headed out to the happy hunting grounds, he's been

Page 301 doing a lot of hunting this fall already and he'll be heading back home in Wisconsin in short order here. 2 3 4 Most of you know by now that we do have 5 a new Assistant Regional Director, that is Sue 6 Detwiler. And Sue is -- she's got a fair amount of knowledge and experience with OSM from the early days 7 and we are doing our best to bring her up to speed in 8 9 these modern times with all the many changes that have taken place since the Program's inception. 10 11 12 Most of you remember Chris McKee, he was the OSM wildlife division leader. He took a 13 14 position with the.... 15 16 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hello. 17 18 (No comments) 19 20 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are you still there, 21 Greg. 22 23 (No comments) 24 25 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Karen. 26 27 MS. DEATHERAGE: Oh, goodness, did he get cut off. 28 29 30 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah. Right during 31 his presentation. 32 33 MS. DEATHERAGE: No kidding. 34 35 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So he'll probably 36 call back in in a couple seconds. 37 So in the interim until he comes back 38 I've identified one more annual report topic that I 39 would like to put on the list after discussion with the 40 BLM about this guide issue. 41 42 The annual report topic should be that 43 the BLM promised a guide use permitting process to 44 select guides on BLM lands if the State of Alaska did 45 not implement a guide use permitting process from State 46 and BLM lands. Well, they didn't. The State didn't do 47

48

49 50 They haven't done it for several years now.

BLM needs to develop a guide use permitting process

that's mirrored like the National Park Service, Park Preserve permitting process for guides, and the Refuge permitting process, and guide use areas are delineated, guides, they compete for those, they make application for those and the highest going guides actually achieve those permits then they don't hunt all over the top of each other, and they're under specific allocation of resources in those areas

MR. RISDAHL: Mr. Chair. I shouldn't have spoken when I did because I got dropped.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MR. RISDAHL: So anyway.....

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Could you hold on one second, Greg.

MR. RISDAHL: Yeah, yeah, you bet.

2.3

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Since you dropped off I started into an annual report topic and we'll pick you right back up again.

MR. RISDAHL: Okay, sounds good.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So this guide use area for the BLM lands is an important issue. Henri Bison, Regional Director in 2004 promised this Council that they would implement a guide use area if the State permitting process failed. And so the State permitting process has failed. We also have concerns about populations and the allocation of too much resources to the commercial uses because of the -- without consideration the -- the .810 analysis consideration of the subsistence users and the need for those resources on the BLM land.

So that's basically an annual report topic that I would like to put on the list for our review at our winter meeting.

So did you encapsulate that, Karen.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I sure did, thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. So since

Greg's phone heard him say that it didn't drop him, it dropped him, so you're back on Greg, go right ahead.

MR. RISDAHL: Yes, I am, Mr. Chairman. That is just the strangest thing, I mean what a coincidence.

(Laughter)

MR. RISDAHL: Okay. I was just discussing our Staff changes.

And I mentioned Tom Doolittle's leaving, Sue Detwiler is our new ARD. Chris McKee left to work for the Bureau of Land Management as the ISC person there, for that agency so that's a good thing, he's still working in subsistence.

Suzanne Worker, she was one of our wildlife biologists, she took another position with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Ecological Service Program down in Portland, Oregon.

Lisa Maas, she's right now our only remaining biologist, but she's currently the acting OSM policy coordinator.

We've also had some changes in the fisheries program.

 Frank Harris, he transferred to the Kenai Fisheries Field Office, but he will continue to work on subsistence and specifically in the Kuskokwim River area.

Scott Ayers, another OSM fish biologist, he took a promotion and he has gone to work here at the Regional Office with the Wildlife and Sportfish Restoration Program.

 Fortunately we've also been able to hire two new fisheries biologist. You heard briefly from Jared Stone today. Jared did work for us before as a technician, but he's doing a great job, he was very familiar with the Program when we picked him up. And we've also hired Cory Graham, who is a fisheries biologist with both a Masters and Bachelors out of the University of Alaska-Fairbanks and had done a number of years, I think close to seven years of work before he

 Page 304

took a position down in California and we were able to bring him back just recently.

We've also hired Sherry Gould as our third administrative assistant.

And low and behold we've finally been able to hire a new supervisory anthropologist, Dr. Brent Vickers, who will begin sometime in December of this year. And that position has been vacant literally for three years so we are really really happy, pleased and excited to have Mr. Vickers coming to join us.

A few policy changes I think you guys all know about, we'll just be briefly outlining these.

The Federal Subsistence Board approved changes to the closure policy and the non-rural determination policy. The Councils have all been briefed on these in the past. The Board also approved revisions to the special action section of the tribal consultation implementation guidelines. These documents are available upon request as well as on the OSM website.

We were asked to remind the Councils this year that the Real IDs are going to be required, at least that's the way it appears to -- it's been postponed from October 2020 to October 1st, 2021. So we've all gotten a bit of a reprieve, but those things will be needed in order for Council members to travel, if we ever do start going to villages and stuff again.

You've all heard a little bit about the lawsuit, even at this meeting. Today we have a short update that we ran past our Solicitor's Office that we will share with you here.

On August 10th, the State of Alaska filed a lawsuit against the Federal Subsistence Board after it adopted emergency Special Action WSA19-14. This special action allowed the village of Kake in the Southeast to engage in a community harvest that included two antlered moose and five male Sitka blacktail deer. Also included in the lawsuit was Temporary Special Action WSA20-03, which closed Federal public lands in Units 13A and B to non-Federally-qualified moose and caribou hunters.

As part of the lawsuit the State asked the court to issue two preliminary injunctions, one to prevent the Unit 13 closure from taking effect, and the other vacating the Kake hunt and prohibiting the Board from allowing any additional emergency hunts related to the impacts of Covid19. And as I believe Carol Damberg mentioned, that's still up in the air and that will probably be discussed for some time. Anyway, on September 18th, the U.S. District Court denied the State's request for a preliminary injunction on the Unit 13 closure. The Court found that because the State has not demonstrated either a likelihood of success or serious questions on the merits of its claims. The Court need not consider the remaining elements of the preliminary injunction analysis.

As of this writing, the Court has not yet ruled on the request enjoining the Kake hunt or the adoption of other Covid19-related emergency actions related to food security. A ruling on the preliminary injunction does not resolve litigation. Barring a settlement with the State, the questions raised by the State concerning the Board's authority to take these actions will be argued over the coming months. The Solicitor's Office estimates that the briefings should be complete by late winter or spring of 2021 and the Court will issue its decision in early summer.

And that is essentially all that we can comment on based on the legal guidance that we have been given.

Last but not least, most of you all have heard by now that the wildlife rules just last week were approved and they will be published -- actually I heard that they were going to be published this week, I don't know if they've come out yet, I haven't seen anything on that.

 Anyway, thank you all again for the hard work that you've done for the rural users of Alaska and stay well and hope to see you soon.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks so much, Greg. That's quite a bit. Any questions for Greg about OSM internal workings and the litigation and et cetera.

(No comments)

```
CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: I will comment as a
     Regional Council member and a subsistence user.
 2
 3
     confusing as to the Federal Subsistence Board passing
     regulatory changes that were not published and actually
 4
     applied during the hunting season from July 1 until
 5
 6
     now, that's like months into the hunting season.
     the future it would be good to send out like an
 7
     addendum or a cheat sheet or something that tells the
 8
 9
     subsistence users that there are no subsistence
     regulations, changes at this time, and use the old book
10
     of '19/20 regulations.
11
12
13
                     If this happens again, can that be done
14
     to the subsistence users themselves.
15
                     MR. RISDAHL: Mr. Chair, this is Greq.
16
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead, Greg.
19
20
                     MR. RISDAHL: I don't see any reason
21
     why we could not do that.
22
2.3
                     Karen, have you got any thoughts on
24
     that?
25
                     (Teleconference interference -
26
27
     participants not muted)
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: We're getting bleed
30
     over, somebody's not on mute.
31
32
                     MR. RISDAHL: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
33
     is Greg Risdahl again. I don't see any reason why we
     could not do that and it certainly seems likely that it
34
35
     will happen again. We could send out a.....
36
37
                     (Teleconference interference -
38
     participants not muted)
39
40
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Hey, Don, is that you,
41
     this is Karen.
                     Don, can you mute your phone.
42
                     (Teleconference interference -
43
     participants not muted)
44
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:
                                        Don, mute your
47
     phone.
48
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah.
49
50
```

1 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Mute your phone. 2

3 4

almost done?

MS. DEATHERAGE: Yeah, we're almost

MR. HONEA: Oh, yeah, okay, are we

done.

5 6

> MR. HONEA: Yeah, because I've been changing phones because mine ran out of juice and I had to get another one and so bear with us, uh.

11 12 13

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Yep, understandable.

14 15 16

17 18

19

So, Greg, you were stating that it's most likely to occur that the regulatory changes adopted by the Board will -- they did not apply but yet the emergency closure to non-subsistence users in 13 did, that was where I got confused.

20 21 22

23

MR. RISDAHL: Okay, yes, Mr. Chairman, I thought you were asking about OSM sending out a letter to the Council.

24 25 26

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, I did say that.

27 28

MR. RISDAHL: Okay.

29 30

31

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: But I'm also confused by actions taken by the Board that applied and then did not apply.

32 33 34

MR. RISDAHL: Yes. So I'll just read this again real carefully.

36 37 38

39

40

41 42

35

The Court ruled that the request to enjoin the Kake hunt or the adoption of the other Covid-related emergency actions -- wait a minute -- has not yet ruled on those, so they're just sitting out there in limbo. And the U.S. District Court did deny the State's request for a preliminary injunction on the Unit 13 closure.

43 44 45

46

47 48

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So it was my understanding from the news media, that the Federal Board sided with Ahtna to close Unit 13A and B, whatever it was, to non-subsistence users and that applied, and non-subsistence users could not hunt

there. Correct? This year?

(No comments)

4 5

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: There's a lot of people -- as far as I could tell that Federal Subsistence Board action was enforced.

MR. RISDAHL: Yes, that's the way I recall it too now, is that the Unit 13A and B closure did take effect.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes. So what my question is, why didn't the actions of the Federal Subsistence Board on all regulatory proposals, why don't they take effect. They have to be published, there's a different Federal Register published, publish or approval process through the Interior Department, the Interior Department approved the 13 closure but they didn't approve the regulations. That's where I'm unclear.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair, this is

Karen.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, go ahead.

 MS. DEATHERAGE: I think there's two different issues. The issues that the State had were with special actions that were taken for food security purposes, I believe. Whereas the issue of the regulations being noticed in the Federal Register is an issue of simple delay versus involvement with the legal process.

Am I correct in saying that Greg. I thought they were two different issues.

MR. RISDAHL: Yes, they are definitely two different issues.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay, thank you.

MR. RISDAHL: And so.....

 MS. DEATHERAGE: Yeah, because that's the issue, Jack -- I think we've had some delays in the past of getting Federal Register notices in the regulations and I think that's where we are now, but

the issue with special actions, the information I sent you allowed for 13, the community of Kake, and the issues at that location have not yet been resolved; am I correct in that Greg?

MR. RISDAHL: Yes, that's -- like I said it's kind of sitting out there in limbo right now, the food security Covid-related hunt, that has not been resolved at this point.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yeah, I understand that part. But I'm confused -- I'm still confused as to why 13 non-subsistence uses was implemented immediately, and the regulatory changes were not implemented immediately.

MS. MAAS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, go ahead.

MS. MAAS: This is Lisa, I can answer that if you'd like.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, answer that.

MR. RISDAHL: Thank you, Lisa.

MS. MAAS: Yeah, Lisa Maas for the record. And the Unit 13 closure was a special action and so this is an out of cycle temporary regulation change and the Board acted on that in July and special actions do not require publication in the Federal Register.

All the regulations that were adopted in April, are the, quote, permanent regulations, that require publication in the Federal Register.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

 $\,$ MS. MAAS: So the difference was a special action request versus, quote, permanent regulation changes.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Understand now. Thanks so much, Lisa, appreciate that.

MS. MAAS: Yep.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Lisa.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So any further questions or comments for Greg on that OSM report.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hearing none, we'll

9 move....

10 11

8

MR. GERVAIS: I have one Jack.

12 13

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, go ahead, Tim.

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24 MR. GERVAIS: Hi, Greg, this is Tim Gervais. I don't know how much of our meeting you heard. We have an issue where one of our members had put in an application a year ago and it didn't get processed and we've had a big back log with getting our other seats filled, I think we have three vacant seats not counting this application in question. Is there anything that can be done from your position to maintain the eligibility to remain on the Council, that application that -- Tommy Kriska's application was, for whatever reason, was not processed?

25 26 27

28

29

MR. RISDAHL: Yes, Mr. Gervais. Through the Chair, this is Greg Risdahl. I have been pretty much been on this entire meeting except for the few minutes when my phone finally got cut off.

30 31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47

But to be honest, yes, so I definitely heard that discussion. I don't know the answer to that, and there may be people better able to respond to your question. But I do know that once the Federal Subsistence Board approves the list of nominations it goes up to the Secretary's Offices, and what goes on up there, we're really not privy to. So that's what caused so much trouble last year and we tried lots of different things to try to push that through and in the end there were some additional appointments made. as far as a situation where an application is lost or misplaced or somehow doesn't find its way to the Secretary I'm not sure how that will be handled. Somebody else may have a better idea, I simply cannot answer that question. I don't know what kind of exceptions might be made to allow that appointment to go through the rest of the process.

Page 311

Lisa, do you have any ideas on that?

MS. MAAS: Thanks, Greg. No, I would agree with you that -- I mean to be honest it's just kind of a black box once they go to D.C., and why or how they determine who to appoint and not is like Greg said, is not information that OSM is privy to.

 $$\operatorname{MS}.\ \operatorname{DEATHERAGE}\colon$$ Thank you, I can answer that, Jack.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes.

MS. DEATHERAGE: This is Karen
Deatherage. Unfortunately, alternates were not
approved for filling vacancies. So let's go through
the scenario that an exception is not made for Mr.
Kriska's application and there is an additional vacancy
on the Council, no alternate could fill any of the four
vacancies that are currently on there. The only seat
that an alternate can fill is if after -- or even
between now and December 2nd, somebody had to drop off
the Council or heaven forbid passed away, then an
alternate could step in. And so it does -- alternates
have limitations on what they can fill or not.

As far as going into any exception and what or will not happen with that, I can assure you at this point, that at OSM, anyway, we do not have the capacity to make that decision, we can only put forth the request, as you guys have asked us to do, in a letter, to make that exception on behalf of Member Kriska. But we are not, as a Staff, in a position to remark or assume any kind of action by the Secretary's Office with respect to these nominations. But we will put a letter forth and act on behalf of the Council to try to get an exception made.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Okay.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: All right, understand. So appreciate that clarification, Karen.

48 Any other questions or comments, Tim. 49

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501 Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

MR. GERVAIS: No.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So I think we've covered -- anybody else, for OSM.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So closing comments from the Regional Council, we're coming down with adjournment. So we'll start with closing comments starting with Jenny, you want to give a closing comment.

MRS. PELKOLA: Okay. Just before that I was going to say that our charter, we have a charter on the back and on Page 189 it talks about the alternate members. So if we can just look at it.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MRS. PELKOLA: I think that was what Karen was talking about. Do you see it, Jack, it's in your meeting book.

 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, I see it. I see our charter, I'm looking for the alternate discussion.

MRS. PELKOLA: 189 right on the top

 almost.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Oh, yeah, I see it now. Go ahead, Jenny.

MS. DEATHERAGE: And I think the key word for that, is if they occur out of the cycle, this vacancy by Member Kriska is not occurring outside of the cycle because he is still on the Council until the next cycle, which effectively begins December 2nd.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay.

MRS. PELKOLA: Okay. Okay, thank you, I just wanted to bring that up.

But anyway my closing remarks are I'd just like to thank our tribe for allowing me to use their office to call in. And on that same note, if we do have to meet by teleconference again, maybe, somehow

we can get speaker phones to borrow or whatever, I'm sure there's lots around out there somewhere.

And I forgot to put this in my opening remarks that there were -- people were telling me that there's increase of grizzly bears, more so than ever.

And also I didn't get to say that our berries, we didn't have blueberries and lowbush cranberries in our area, some places. We did find some blueberries, but no lowbush. So some of the people here in Galena are hurting for lowbush cranberries.

And I'm very concerned about the continuation of our fish, and I would just like to urge everyone to take only what you need and, you know, don't overfish until we can get more fish back. Maybe we'll just have to share, we'll have to do without, but -- and also there's other fish like whitefish and all this fall fish that we could use.

We talked -- when we were talking about the annual report, you were talking about what to put in there and I know you talked about the appointments of the Council members and then you dropped off, so what happened, did we get cut off or -- the next thing I knew we were talking about something else so I didn't hear that, but anyway.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Would you like to address that Karen.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Jenny, I'm not sure what section but we have three items in the annual report. The Council has three items, the first is dealing with the Council appointment issue. The second is dealing with the high water mark. And the third is dealing with the BLM and hunting guide permitting process and guide use area and guide use program.

 $\label{eq:solution} \text{So those are the three that I have right now.}$

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And we also have the....

 $$\operatorname{MS}.\ \operatorname{DEATHERAGE}\colon$$ So this is a draft annual report that will be finalized and moved on by

the Council at their winter meeting. So we will first draft it and then provide this to you at the winter cycle meeting.

MRS. PELKOLA: Okay. Okay, thank you. I was just -- I just missed that whole part and Jack was just talking there and getting all steamed up and it was getting interesting and then we got cut off.

 $\,$ MS. DEATHERAGE: That's okay, I captured it all and we'll go ahead and include this in the annual report.

MRS. PELKOLA: Okay. I just want to thank the Council for sitting, you know, all day in the meeting. It's pretty hard, you have to get up and walk around. And I know how it is to call on a cell phone, so I didn't want to call on my phone or hold my phone all day, but thanks to all of you and hopefully our next meeting will be in person.

2.3

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks so much for your diligence and staying on the call also, Jenny, and your participation.

Goodwin, did you want to have a closing

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Are you still there

Goodwin.

comment.

MR. SEMAKEN: Oh, yeah.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Go ahead.

MR. SEMAKEN: Yes, thanks to everybody for being here and listening in.

 Yeah, there was a lot of bears, a lot of bear problems in Kaltag here too, they were coming into town so I guess there must have been some problems. There wasn't just brown -- black bears, there was brown bears too. I guess there was hardly any fish going back to the creeks. That's what I noticed. Hardly no black ducks coming down this year, I seen a bunch but not as much. Not as much ducks as there used to be.

And are you guys still there?

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, we're here, keep talking, go ahead.

MR. SEMAKEN: Okay, yeah, it got quiet so I enjoyed the meeting and listening and thanks for everything.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks so much for staying on the call there, Goodwin, you stuck it out real well on this one and have a great fall and early winter.

MR. SEMAKEN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So Tommy are you

still there?

MR. KRISKA: Yep, still here.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay, you got a closing comment.

 MR. KRISKA: Well, let me see, I apologize to Bob a little bit, if he's still there, a little bit about getting a little forward today but other words everything on that part is good.

 I heard Jenny say that they were missing berries, and I was told by my Uncle Roger that when the water is really high and when the water in the spring went up higher than when the berries are, normally the berries won't grow back that year and if the water went up over where those blueberries are and the cranberries, those berries won't grow back. You have to look above that -- wherever that water line is that year and then you'll find some. But I was looking around and I found that to be true. Because around my camp there's a lot of blueberries but where that high water went there was nothing. We found other places where there was an abundance. But anyway and that part.

 And the fishing, like some of them said, take only what you need, and it was kind of hard to even do that last summer. But the different fish, and fishing methods have to be thought about and looked at in your areas, I guess, on the high water at the

time.

 The moose, like I said, last year yearlings a lot of them were not there but this year there were a lot of new yearlings, hopefully they don't wind up being lost like last year but we never know about the winter and how the snow will be.

And the grizzly bears, there's quite a few of them around. I know in our area, we try to manage that and even though we thought we did a good job, there's still a bunch out there that are still causing problems right now in the fall and I don't like them when they're coming around in the fall time with the cubs because that means, you know, they're just gong to hang around and going to be there first thing in the spring again.

But in other words, the Council, I enjoy being on the Council and hopefully that's something that will get resolved or somehow magically I wind up right back on the Council. Didn't mean to get off, if I knew that I would have went back and filled out another application but I know I did fill one out while I was in there, and what happened, it's lost I guess. But anyway I'm still here and I still have my concerns and hopefully be here for the longrun if I can and anything with the people of Alaska. I say the people of Alaska because we're all here together, Native, non-Natives, we do all this -- the regulations and all right, and things like that, I think everything will work out, when you start fighting about things it's not going to go anywhere.

So hopefully the agencies and everybody up above us can see our way of living and consider it and, you know, I respect the way they live and they're all from subsistence users too, and hopefully, you know, they put a note in their books on that so that it'll be a little easier for us.

But, anyway, I better -- I can talk all day, but -- I'm okay with the way the meeting went and in some ways, let's keep in touch and if I get back on, I get back on, and if not then I'll just apply later.

But, anyway, thank you for your time, and thank GCI because this is the first time my phone never went off for 16 hours so usually drop calls, drop

comment.

Page 317

calls, drop calls, and then I don't know why it stayed on but anyway thank you guys so much, all of you.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Thanks so much for sticking in there Tommy. We hope that they make an exception to maintain you on this Council, we need you on here pretty bad. You got your hand on the pulse of the lower Koyukuk and middle Yukon area there.

So, Don, do you want to give a final

MR. HONEA: For myself?

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes, to you.

MR. HONEA: Yeah, yeah, okay. Well, I can't top Tommy there, I mean he took all my ideas, everything I was going to say.

(Laughter)

MR. HONEA: But I actually appreciate everybody for having to put up with this thing. Like I said, I had to change up my phones a couple times so I appreciate everybody hanging in there and the input. I think we sometimes get more input maybe just.....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. HONEA: Is somebody talking?

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Hey, Tommy, do you want to mute out now.}$

MR. KRISKA: Okay.

 MR. HONEA: Okay. But, anyway, I think sometimes we get -- this has turned out better than I expected even though it's taken a lot of time, personal time away from me, I have things to do, even as I hang up the phone here, but I have to kind of reiterate what cousin Jenny said there, too, also about the fishing and my cousin Tom also mentioned it, that we have to conserve. I mean even if I'm on the InterTribal Fish Commission, I've been kind of harping on that, we have

to conserve. I know, even our leaders, I mean I'm going to be vocal about it. You know, we see abuse in this system here. So I think that we should take the lead on this and really, really do some conserving there. Because I mean to me it's really ironic that we've always been -- I mean in the past we've even taken steps to -- like I mentioned, to eliminate fishing for the chinook, for the kings, and it's just the irony of it, we always depended on the summer and fall chum and it's still -- it's just baffling what the heck happened.

So anyway I appreciate each of you and for putting up with all of us and, you know, a big concern I'd still like to see the Kusko and Innoko, those areas represented.

So all right, thank you.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Okay. Thanks so much for sticking it out, Don, always appreciate your input.

Tim Gervais.

MR. GERVAIS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Tim Gervais. i'd like to thank everybody on the Council and the agency Staff for working with us on the teleconference. I know it's probably hard to hear what we're saying or have to wait for us to mute or unmute, but anyway I appreciate it. The end goal is to keep maintaining a healthy subsistence economy in the Western Interior Region and that's really more important than all of our own inconveniences for dealing with the Covid and the social distancing.

 I appreciate agencies working together, Fish and Wildlife Service, ADF&G working on the Mulchatna Caribou, Yukon fish, Kuskokwim fish, working with the InterTribal Fish Commission, I realize maybe there's different agendas that are happening and different personalities but even though it might not enjoy making a compromise or work with somebody, it's what I think — the more people that are collaborating on these projects the better it is overall for the result.

 $$\rm I$$ feel that if we can't retain Tommy it's going to be a big detriment to our Council so $\rm I$

hope some creative thinking can occur so we can keep him active in the Western Interior Council.

2 3 4

> 5 6

> 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31

32

I've seen incidences in the course of this meeting, we have commercial fishing happening and I don't feel that commercial fishing should occur when escapement's not being met, or when subsistence needs aren't being met. Thinking about the Goodnews Bay fishery, I'm not particularly convinced with the ADF&G's manager's, what's it called -- it's called a theory that none of the fish caught in that fishery in the Goodnews Bay are not bound for the main stem of the Kuskokwim River. So we need to do more thinking and research on that and make sure that we're not supporting the commercial fishery when we have the low run strength on the chinook and the chum salmon on the Kuskokwim. And then as I was looking at some of the other information on the Kuskokwim overall, and the Yukon overall, it's still a glaring reality that neither of these Kuskokwim or Yukon mainstem king fisheries have been healthy since the inception of the BSAI trawl fishery and I think I should put together some information with the pertinent points and I'll probably suggest at our winter meeting that that goes back on the annual report. Because we -- there's not enough fish to spare to give them that bycatch that was legalized and I think we need to -- I know a lot of people are tired of hearing about it, they're tired of hearing me talk about it but once, again, I don't feel if subsistence needs are not being met, escapement's not being met, why do we have these -- lose these fish in the bycatch in a commercial fishery, it's not the way it's supposed to work.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42 I would like to clarify for the record a few times during the meeting researchers and research, that some of this research was coming out of Washington State University, that's unlikely, it's most likely University of Washington, their Fisheries Research Institute in Seattle is the school that works and does a lot of research on the Alaskan fisheries, you know, the Federal fisheries or that are abutting up to Alaska.

43 44 45

46

47 48 So let's see a few times during the meeting, Jack and myself have sounded critical of ADF&G, sounded too aggressively or too critically, or not -- let me restart that -- too aggressively -- too much harvest opportunity and I would like to state that

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19 Page 320

we support all the work that ADF&G does, we support those people being career managers, we need that kind of continuity and expertise into the management process, we are just trying to point out that -- I don't know exactly where it comes from because we're not in that organization, but maybe it's from the Dunleavy Administration, maybe it's from the Commissioner of ADF&G, or whatever, but we keep saying subsistence needs aren't being met, stocks are not being met, escapements are not being met, they need to back off of the aggressive harvesting and get the, whatever it is, fish or animal stocks in a healthier population than they currently are. So I hope those people can just listen to our comments and understand, we're just trying to promote healthy game populations and good opportunity for subsistence users and not being critical of their work or their theories, we're just trying to say what we're here on the Council to do, and that's promote subsistence and to promote healthy populations.

20 21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33 The two special action request items, like Kuskokwim River on the chum salmon and Koyukuk and the other villages on trying to get additional moose harvest, I would like those parties who put in those special action requests to not take that personally, the Federal Subsistence Board is trying to work within whatever legal framework they're set up with and to operate with and so don't give up on the system or feel like you're being prejudiced against by these special action requests not being put through but just stay active with it, stay open with good communication and thank you for expressing your frustration with those special action requests not being granted.

34 35 36

That's all I have for right now.

37 38

Thank you.

39 40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47 48 CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Appreciate all those words, Tim. I do feel that this Council's primary job is to work towards a healthy -- and you've coined the phrase, healthy subsistence economy, which is healthy populations of fish and wildlife using recognized scientific principles, that's actually what the statute says. And the Federal agencies need to understand, they're under a Federal Congressional mandate to maintain that and this Council is working for conservation, most of the time, we also work for the

there.

Page 321

subsistence users so that they have a priority use when needed to maintain their subsistence needs.

2 3 4

So I agree with everything you say

5 6 7

8

I misstated the Washington State whatever, there's fisheries -- a lot of documentation of Alaskan Fisheries and Bering Sea Fisheries with the universities in Washington, whatever they are and I'm bad with names, sorry about that Tim.

11 12 13

14

15

16

10

So I do want to have this Council give a condolence to Pollock Simon, if Karen could transmit a condolence from this Council about this daughter, Sheryl, passing away and his not being able to be at this meeting.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

Jenny's exactly right, I had to borrow a speaker phone from the visitor center, Karen used to work there she remembered they had some. But the Councils, if they're going to have a meeting on the telephone, I have to have a speaker phone, and I plugged it into my landline, I'm sitting on my couch, tied to this phone, but at least I'm not holding a cell phone in my hand and the stupid thing's going dead all the time, I'm not sure what kind of a phone Tommy's got, but it's got a bullet-proof battery, that's for sure, so we do need -- the OSM should -- if you're going to contemplate having conference call meetings with the Regional Councils, Regional Councils should be provided with a speaker phone, I don't have one and I think that the Council members -- the travel savings is phenomenal by not having a face to face meeting, at least OSM can buy a gross -- a pallet of speaker phones to send out to the....

36 37 38

MR. HONEA: Yes.

39 40

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF:110 Council members that are having to deal with this phone issue.

41 42 43

44 45

46

47 48 So I appreciate all the work that Karen does on a daily basis to maintain our Council's paperwork and so forth. I really appreciate OSM assistance, they usually have an answer within seconds of when we're asking specific questions, and so I'm happy to hear that the OSM Staff is increasing to provide for the overall Subsistence Program. I feel

that we go to all this trouble to go to these meetings and get these regulations pass at least the Secretary of Interior should approve their arm, the Federal Subsistence Board's regulatory changes and have those published on time.

I appreciate all the Federal agencies and State agencies that attend our meetings on the phone, and if we telegraph what kind of information we need, Mulchatna Caribou, Yukon Kuskokwim fish, what we need they provide that information, which helps us make good management decisions. So I really appreciate the State and Federal agencies and OSM providing that information to these Councils so that we can make informed decisions and proper comments regarding those.

 And I appreciate all of the Council members on this call and at all of our meetings, the information that these Council members have is integral on managing the fish and wildlife within the Western Interior region.

So I'm up for reappointment in December, don't know what's going to happen there so I'm hoping that I'm reappointed, I've made application but we'll see what happens.

And at this point that's my final comments so thanks so much.

Any further comments from the Council.

33 (No comments)

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: So if not the Chair will entertain a motion to adjourn.

MRS. PELKOLA: So moved, this is Jenny.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Moved by Jenny to

MR. HONEA: Second, Don.

CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Seconded by Don.

MS. DEATHERAGE: Donald Honea.

adjourn.

Roll call, Karen.

```
Page 323
                     MR. HONEA: Yeah, what do you want --
 2
     oh, yeah, yeah, yes, go ahead.
 3
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you.
 4
 5
 6
                     Jack Reakoff.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Yes.
9
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Tommy Kriska.
10
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
14
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Timothy Gervais.
15
16
                     MR. GERVAIS: Yes.
17
18
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Jenny Pelkola.
19
                     MRS. PELKOLA: Yes.
20
21
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Goodwin Semaken.
22
23
24
                     MR. SEMAKEN: Yes.
25
                     MR. KRISKA: Yes.
26
27
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: And then we'll go back
28
     to see if Tommy's on, Tommy Kriska, the motion to
29
30
     adjourn.
31
                     (No comments)
32
33
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Maybe his phone
34
35
     finally died.
36
                     MR. HONEA: Okay, all right, you guys
37
     we'll see you in McGrath, is that the next place?
38
39
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: The next meeting is
40
     actually scheduled for Fairbanks.
41
42
                     MR. HONEA: Oh, okay.
43
44
45
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: Through the Chair.
46
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: And -- oh, go ahead.
47
48
                     MS. DEATHERAGE: I also wanted to thank
49
50
```

```
Page 324
     everybody, and, particularly Jack for a great job and
     to the Council and the presenters for a relatively
 2
 3
     flawless teleconference considering what could have
     happened, I think it went really well. And I'd like to
 4
     offer a special thank you to our elders for enduring a
 5
     two day teleconference, I know it's really challenging
 6
 7
     to be on the phone that long. And last but not least I
     would like to express my deep sympathies to Pollock
 8
 9
     Simon for his recent loss and I will go ahead and pass
     along the condolences from the Council. And I'd like
10
     to say take care everyone and wear a mask and stay
11
     healthy.
12
13
14
                     Thank you.
15
16
                     MR. HONEA:
                                  Okay.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN REAKOFF: Stay healthy and
     great meeting, we are adjourned.
19
20
                     (Off record)
21
22
23
                        (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

10/15/2020

```
1
                      CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                      )
 4
                                      )ss.
 5
     STATE OF ALASKA
                                      )
 6
 7
             I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
     state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
 8
 9
     Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
10
             THAT the foregoing pages numbered __ through
11
         contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
12
13
     WESTERN INTERIOR FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY
     COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME II taken electronically on the
14
15
     15th day of October;
16
                     THAT the transcript is a true and
17
18
     correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
     thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
19
     reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
20
21
     ability;
22
23
                     THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
24
     party interested in any way in this action.
25
                     DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 6th
26
27
     day of November 2020.
28
29
30
31
                     Salena A. Hile
                     Notary Public, State of Alaska
32
33
                     My Commission Expires: 09/16/22
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```