BRISTOL BAY SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL

PUBLIC MEETING

TELEPHONIC February 9, 2021 8:30 a.m.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Dan Dunaway, Acting Chair Samantha Herrick Lary Hill Robert Larson William Trefon Richard Wilson

Regional Council Coordinator, Donald Mike

Recorded and transcribed by:

Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC 135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2 Anchorage, AK 99501 907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 3 (Telephonic - 2/9/2021)4 5 (On record) 7 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I want to welcome everybody and we'll call this meeting to order. 8 The way I got my computer right now I don't know what 9 time it is. It's approximately 8:41. And thanks 10 11 everybody for joining us. 12 13 And, with that, I'd like to turn the 14 mic over to Lary Hill for an invocation at the beginning of the meeting, and I thank you Lary for 15 16 helping us with this. 17 18 MR. HILL: Hi, this is Lary. 19 2.0 (Invocation) 2.1 2.2 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, Lary, appreciate that. Nice to get off to a good start. 23 2.4 And, Donald, you wanted to take this time, go ahead. 25 26 MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, yeah, after the roll call and establishing a quorum, I'd just like to 27 go over quick notes for the agenda and we'll move 28 29 forward with the business at hand. 30 31 Thank you. 32 33 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. I don't 34 have a full roster, would you be able to do the roll call. 35 36 37 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, Mr. Chair. 38 This is Donald Mike, Council Coordinator. I can do the roll call and I'll start off with Ms. Nanci Morris 39 40 Lyon. 41 42 43 (No comments) 44 45 MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. Ms. Lyon is unavailable and she's out of state and out of cell 46 service so she couldn't be attending this meeting via 47 48 teleconference.

1	Mr. Billy Trefon.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. TREFON: Yes, I'm here.
5	MR. MIKE: Ms. Samantha Herrick.
7	MS. HERRICK: I'm here.
9 10	MR. MIKE: Mr. Dan Dunaway.
11 12	ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Here.
13 14	MR. MIKE: Mr. Lary Hill.
15 16	MR. HILL: Here.
17 18	MR. MIKE: Mr. Robert Larson.
19 20	MR. LARSON: Present.
21 22	MR. MIKE: Mr. Richard Wilson.
23 24	MR. WILSON: I'm here.
25	MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, we have six
26 27	members present. We have a quorum.
28 29 30 31 32 33	
34 35	meeting you in future, Ms. Samantha.
36 37	Dan.
38 39 40 41 42	ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, sir, thank you. Yes, thanks everybody and, yeah, look forward to meeting our new members and hello to Robert in Koliganek, and everybody else.
43 44 45 46	I guess at this point do you want to do those notes or do we want to do introductions, Robert I mean Donald.
47 48 49 50	MR. MIKE: Yeah, before we get into introductions I'd like to go over what I noted.

If we take a break I'd like to do the roll call of all the Council members present on teleconference so that way we know we have a quorum for this Council.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, go ahead.

 MR. MIKE: And before any action -- or if we come to any business by the Council, you know, during discussions and Council actions, whoever is making the motion is to state the motion and state their name. And whoever makes the second, state your name that you are seconding the main motion.

And for those participants on the teleconference line, if the Council requests any public comments or seek agency specific comments on any particular item, please state -- address Mr. Chair and state your name. And for those folks on Teams that wish to address the Council, make sure if you wish to speak to the Council, raise your hand, and there's a little hand on the upper right side for those public and agency that wish to address the Council. And just state your name and address, Mr. Chair, and state your name and the Chair will recognize you.

And there's some last minute meeting materials, not specifically action items, but information materials that did not make it in the meeting materials, book publication date, but this would be -- they will be posted under our OSM website under supplemental materials under Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council.

And that's all I had, Mr. Chair.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you. Question, you say additional materials, I saw the Park Service pamphlet, was there other stuff, because I kind of went looking but I didn't find anything. Okay.

MR. MIKE: There's one report from Becharof Alaska Peninsula, they have an agency report and I should be getting that this morning and I'll have it posted on our website. In addition, I'll forward it to the Council members, via email.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, we'll look forward to that. I don't know how to split up my screen to here to look at it and keep on Teams but, okay. Bear with me folks, I'm not in the real habit of Chairing, particularly, this level of a meeting. But also I'm kind of hoping we don't have a pile of work items so I'm hoping we can get through this today but still give full attention to important -- to all the details so holler at me if I'm lagging.

9 10 11

1

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

With that, can we go to welcome and introductions, and, again, thanks everybody for logging on. I look forward to the meeting.

1.3 14 15

12

Go ahead.

16 17

MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, it's Donald.

18 19

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, sir.

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28 29

MR. MIKE: Yes, if we can have welcome and introductions. We can start off with those folks on teleconference line that do not have access to Microsoft Teams. For those folks on teleconference line, you can introduce yourself and state which community or your agency and then we'll move on to the Microsoft Teams. What I like to do for welcome and introductions, we can go by agencies, we can start with Fish and Wildlife Service, National Park Service, BLM, BIA and any Native organizations.

30 31 32

So with that, Mr. Chair, we can start with folks online that are not participating on Microsoft Teams to introduce themselves.

34 35

33

Thank you.

36 37 38

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: That sounds

39 40 good.

(Teleconference interference)

41 42 4.3

44

45

MR. MIKE: Do we have anyone on the teleconference line that wishes to introduce themselves and please state your name and which community or organization you are from.

46 47 48

MR. ANDERSON: Donald, this is George Anderson representing the Chignik InterTribal Coalition

```
for the tribes of Chiqnik Lagoon, Chiqnik Lake, Chiqnik
 1
     Bay, Ivanoff Bay, and Perryville.
 2
 3
 4
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 5
 6
                     MR. MIKE: Thank you, George. Anyone
 7
     else.
8
9
                     MR. GERKEN: Hey, Donald, good morning.
     Good morning, Mr. Chair. This is Jon Gerken, I work
10
     with the Fish and Wildlife Service and the Federal in-
11
     season manager for Bristol Bay and Chignik. I won't be
12
     able to participate on Teams but I'll be listening in.
13
14
                     (Teleconference interference)
15
16
17
                     MR. MIKE: Thank you, John. Anyone
18
     else.
19
2.0
                     MS. ANDERSON: Good morning, Mr. Chair
     and -- I'm sorry, I'm speaking over someone. This is
21
22
     Susan Alexander, Refuge Manager for Alaska Peninsula
23
     and Becharof National Wildlife Refuges.
24
25
                     Good morning, everyone.
26
                     MR. HARRIS: Good morning.
27
     Frank Harris, I'm a fish biologist with the U.S. Fish
28
29
     and Wildlife Service.
30
                     (Teleconference interference)
31
32
33
                     REPORTER: Could you please repeat your
     name, I didn't get that.
34
35
36
                     MR. HARRIS: My name's Frank Harris.
37
38
                     REPORTER: Oh, Frank, okay.
39
40
                     MR. HARRIS: I'm a fish biologist with
     the Fish and Wildlife Service.
41
42
43
                     REPORTER: Sorry about that, Frank,
44
     okay.
45
                     MR. GUSSE: Good morning.
46
     Walker Gusse with the Bureau of Land Management of
47
     Anchorage, Ranger pilot, law enforcement.
48
49
50
```

MR. LIND: Good morning everyone. 1 is Orville Lind, Native Liaison for the Office of 2 Subsistence Management. (In Native) 3 5 MS. CHISOLM: Good morning. This is 6 Linda Chisolm, subsistence coordinator for Aniakchak 7 National Monument. 8 9 MS. JOCHUM: Good morning. This is Kim Jochum, subsistence program analyst, Alaska Regional 10 Office for the National Park Service. 11 12 13 MR. MIKE: Can you restate your name 14 please. 15 16 MS. JOCHUM: Kim Jochum, J-O-C-H-U-M. 17 Thank you. 18 19 MR. MIKE: I'm sorry I wasn't clear on 2.0 that, can you spell your name. 21 22 MS. JOCHUM: Thank you. My name is Kim 23 Jochum. The first name is K-I-M, my last name is J-O-2.4 C-H-U-M. Was that more clear? 25 26 MR. MIKE: Thank you. 27 28 MR. MATHEWS: Yes, good morning. This 29 is Vince Mathews with the InterAgency Staff Committee with the Fish and Wildlife Service calling in from 30 Fairbanks. Thanks. 31 32 33 MS. RUPP: Good morning. This is Liza 34 Rupp from Lake Clark National Park and Preserve. the subsistence coordinator. 35 36 37 MS. JONES: Hi, good morning. This is 38 Bronwyn Jones for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Subsistence. I'm a subsistence 39 resource specialist in Anchorage. 40 41 MR. BORDEN: Hello, this is Lee Borden. 42 I'm the Bristol Bay area manager for the Division of 43 Sportfish for Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 44 45 MR. BURCH: Hello. This is Mark Burch 46 with the Department of Fish and Game. Mr. Chair, I'd 47 just like to point out also that we have wildlife 48

49

50

biologists online that are happy to give updates prior

to your discussion of proposals, if you'd like that, 1 that you can be better informed. 2 3 4 Thank you. 5 6 MR. RILEY: Good morning. This is Brian 7 Riley, area biologist for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game out of Dillingham. 8 9 10 MR. MERIZON: This is Rick Merizon, 11 Statewide Small Game Program Coordinator for the Alaska 12 Department of Fish and Game. Thank you. 13 14 MR. MOSS: Good morning. This is Danny 15 Moss. I'm the Deputy Refuge Manager at Alaska Peninsula Becharof National Wildlife Refuges in King 16 17 Salmon. 18 19 MS. MILLION: Good morning. This is Bonnie Million. I'm the Field Manager for the BLM's 2.0 Anchorage Field Office. 21 22 23 MR. STURM: Good morning. Mark Sturm, 24 National Park Service, Superintendent at Aniakchak 25 National Monument and Preserve and Katmai National Park 26 and Preserve. 27 28 Andy Aderman, Wildlife MR. ADERMAN: Biologist, Togiak National Wildlife Refuge. Good 29 30 morning. 31 32 MR. MOOS: Kenton Moos, Refuge Manager 33 for Togiak National Wildlife Refuge. 34 35 MR. SEPPI: Bruce Seppi, BLM, Anchorage 36 Field Office. 37 38 MR. WALSH: Pat Walsh, Togiak Refuge. 39 40 MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Good morning. 41 is Susanne Fleek-Green, Lake Clark National Park and 42 Preserve. 43 44 MR. HAMMOND: Good morning. This is Troy Hammond with the National Park Service in King 45 Salmon. 46 47 48 MR. PAPPAS: Good morning, Donald. 49 This is George Pappas, Office of Subsistence 50

Management. I am the State Subsistence Liaison, but more recently I'm the acting Fisheries Division Lead. Good morning and looking forward to a good meeting.

3 4 5

6

7

1

2

MR. MCFADDEN: Good morning, Donald. This is Steve McFadden. I'm with the Office of Subsistence Management, Acting Wildlife Division Chief. Looking forward to today's meeting.

8 9 10

11

12

MS. SKORA: Good morning, everyone. This is Leslie Skora, I'm the wildlife biologist at Katmai National Park and Preserve and Aniakchak National Monument and Preserve.

13 14 15

MR. STONE: Good morning. This is Jared Stone with the Office of Subsistence Management.

16 17 18

19

2.0

21 22

23 24

25

MS. LAVINE: Good morning everyone. This is Robbin LaVine, anthropologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. And I just want to let you know that I miss you all. The Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council meeting in Naknek at Dolly's Hall was the last meeting I attended in person and it's bittersweet. It's good to hear all your voices and look forward to a productive meeting.

26

Thanks.

2.7 28 29

30

31

32

MR. MIKE: Thank you. Is there anybody else that hasn't introduced themselves yet. And I want to thank George Anderson from the Chiqniks, as a public participant to call in. Is there any other public members that did not introduce themselves on line.

33 34 35

36 37

MR. LARSON: Good morning, Donald and everyone. This is Cody Larson with the Subsistence Fisheries Program for BBNA. Good to be online and hearing all the familiar voices.

38 39

> MR. MIKE: Thank you.

40 41 42

MR. CHEN: Good morning, Donald. is Glenn Chen for the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

4.3 44 45

MR. MIKE: Okay, thank you. Anyone else.

46 47

(No comments)

```
MR. MIKE: And my name is Donald Mike.
 1
     I'm the Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council
 2
 3
     Coordinator. And Tina's our court recorder, she'll be
     recording the Council proceedings. And for those
 4
 5
     participating, please speak clearly into the
     teleconference line so that the court recorder will be
 6
 7
     able to record what is stated on record.
 8
 9
                     Mr. Chair, if you wish, you can request
     that your Council members introduce themselves and
10
     which community they are from and then we can go from
11
12
     there.
13
14
                     Thank you.
15
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you,
16
17
     Donald. And thanks everybody for calling in, feeling
18
     like a pretty popular meeting here. Welcome to all the
     old friends and faces and welcome, too, to the new
19
     faces and names here. I'm really happy to see our new
2.0
2.1
     biologist designated to Dillingham to be on. I haven't
22
     yet met him but we've been corresponding. So, with
23
    that, if the Council members could weigh in and I'll go
2.4
     left, so go ahead.
25
26
                     MR. LARSON: Hi. This is Robert Larson
2.7
     from Koliganek.
28
29
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Welcome, Robert.
30
31
                     Billy.
32
33
                     MR. TREFON: Yeah, Billy, right here,
34
     go ahead.
35
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay.
36
                                                   And
    you're from Nondalton, right?
37
38
39
                     MR. TREFON: Yes, Nondalton.
40
41
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: That guy's got a
     million dollar view, he shares it on FaceBook a lot.
42
43
     Okay, others.
44
                     MR. HILL: Lary Hill, Iliamna.
45
46
47
                     MR. WILSON: Richard Wilson, Naknek.
48
49
                     MS. HERRICK: Samantha Herrick, Pedro
50
```

```
1
     Bay.
 2
 3
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Who else do we
 4
     -- does that just leave me?
 5
 6
                     MR. MIKE: Yeah, that leaves you, Mr.
 7
     Chair.
8
9
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, I'm sorry
     here. Okay, and I'm Dan Dunaway, I live in Dillingham,
10
     and I guess officially from the commercial/sport seat
11
     but try to represent everybody. It's interesting, we
12
     got a lot of Lake area folks, welcome.
13
14
15
                     So, okay, with that I guess review and
     adopt the agenda. Has everybody had a chance to look
16
     through it and are there any corrections, additions
17
18
     that you want to add to the agenda.
19
2.0
                     (No comments)
21
22
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Do we have a
23
    motion to adopt the agenda.
24
25
                     MR. WILSON: Rich Wilson, I so move.
26
27
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Okay, thank you,
28
     Richard. Second. Is there a second.
29
30
                     MR. LARSON: Robert Larson. Second.
31
32
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Thank you,
33
     Robert. Donald, or any other folks, is there any
     additions that we need to put in here? Okay.
34
35
36
                     MR. TREFON: I have a question, this is
37
     Billy, Nondalton.
38
39
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead, Billy.
40
                     MR. TREFON: Yeah, I was wondering if
41
     there was going to be a discussion about the infection
42
     with the Mulchatna Herd?
4.3
44
45
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. We can request
    the State, if they have any information on the
46
     Mulchatna Herd, on the recent developments on the herd.
47
     If we have any biologist that will discuss this issue.
48
49
```

```
Thank you.
 1
 2
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, that
 3
 4
                   I would expect, possibly, the Togiak
 5
     Refuge biologist might be aware of it as well. But we
 6
     should make a note to talk about that. Any other
 7
     items.
 8
 9
                     MR. TREFON:
                                  Billy, I'm right here,
10
     Trefon.
11
12
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Okay.
13
     assuming there's no other additions or corrections, I
     guess I'll -- do we do a roll call on this, Donald, or
14
     just call for a unanimous consent? Okay.
15
16
17
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, we can do a roll
18
     call since we're doing teleconference. And Mr. Richard
     Wilson moved to adopt the agenda and it was seconded by
19
     Mr. Robert Larson and discussion, Mr. Trefon requested
2.0
21
     additional information on the Mulchatna Caribou Herd
22
     disease, and the Togiak Refuge or the Alaska Department
     of Fish and Game may have some information on that
23
24
     during the agency reports. And with that, Mr. Chair,
25
     someone needs to call the question and I can do a roll
26
     call.
27
28
                     MR. WILSON: Call for question.
29
30
                     MR. TREFON: Billy Trefon.
31
32
                     MR. WILSON:
                                  Question.
33
34
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                             Okay, thank you
35
     guys.
36
37
                     MR. MIKE: And the question was called
     by Mr. Wilson.
38
39
40
                     REPORTER: Yes.
41
42
                     MR. MIKE: Who called the guestion?
43
44
                     REPORTER: Richard did.
45
                     MR. WILSON: Rich Wilson.
46
47
48
                     MR. MIKE: Okay, thank you. Okay, Mr.
49
     Chair, a roll call vote on the review and adopting
50
```

```
agenda. Mr. Wilson moved to adopt the agenda and
     second by Robert Larson and question called by Mr.
 2
     Wilson.
 3
 4
5
                     Mr. Billy Trefon.
 7
                     (No comments)
8
9
                     MR. MIKE: This is a roll call vote to
10
     adopt the agenda as presented.
11
12
                     MR. TREFON: Yes, I approve.
13
14
                     MR. MIKE: Ms. Samantha Herrick.
15
16
                     (No comments)
17
18
                     MR. MIKE: Samantha are you still with
19
     us?
20
21
                     MS. HERRICK: Sorry.
22
23
                     MR. MIKE: Okay, thank you.
24
25
                     Mr. Dunaway.
26
27
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                             Yes.
28
29
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Lary Hill.
30
31
                     MR. HILL: Yes.
32
33
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Robert Larson.
34
35
                     MR. LARSON: Yes, I approve.
36
37
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Richard Wilson.
38
39
                     MR. WILSON: Yes.
40
41
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, we have a
     unanimous vote, six to zero, the meeting agenda is
42
43
     adopted. Thank you.
44
45
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thanks,
     everybody. Next on the list is election of officers.
46
     And I think in talking to Donald yesterday I was going
47
     to turn this over to Donald to conduct, so at this time
48
49
     if he could take it, Donald, thank you.
50
```

```
MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 1
     is Donald Mike, Council Coordinator. Item No. 6
 2
 3
     election of officers. We'll go through the election of
     the Chair, then the Vice Chair, and then the Secretary.
 4
 5
                     The election of officer for Chair is
 6
 7
                Anyone can nominate a person to serve as the
     now open.
     Chair. Nominations are open now.
 8
 9
10
                     MR. WILSON:
                                  I nominate Nanci Lyon.
11
12
                     MR. MIKE: Pardon.
13
14
                     MR. WILSON: Richard Wilson is
15
     nominating Nanci Lyon.
16
17
                     MR. MIKE: Okay, there's a nomination
18
     for Nanci Lyon made by Mr. Richard Wilson. Any other
19
     nominations.
20
                     MR. TREFON: I request nominations be
21
22
    closed.
23
24
                     MR. MIKE: Okay, there's a request for
25
     nominations to be closed. Any objection.
26
27
                     (No objection)
28
29
                     MR. MIKE: Okay, if none, we can do a
     roll call vote for nominations for Nanci Morris Lyon to
30
     serve as Chair for another term.
31
32
33
                     Roll call vote.
34
35
                     Mr. Billy Trefon.
36
37
                     MR. TREFON: Yes for Nanci.
38
39
                     MR. MIKE: Samantha Herrick. Ms.
40
     Samantha Herrick.
41
                     MS. HERRICK: Yes.
42
43
44
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Dan Dunaway.
45
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
46
47
48
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Lary Hill.
49
50
```

```
MR. HILL: Yes.
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Robert Larson.
 4
 5
                     MR. LARSON: Yes for Nanci.
 7
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Richard Wilson.
8
9
                     MR. WILSON: Yes.
10
11
                     MR. MIKE: Okay. The nomination is
     unanimous, Nancy Morris is nominated as the Chair for
12
13
     another term.
14
15
                     Next, we will open the nominations for
16
     Vice Chair. Nominations are now open.
17
18
                     MR. WILSON: I nominate Dan Dunaway.
19
2.0
                     (Teleconference interference)
21
22
                     MR. TREFON: I nominate Dan Dunaway.
23
24
                     MR. MIKE: Pardon.
25
26
                     REPORTER: Okay, so it's just one at a
27
     time, please.
28
29
                     MR. TREFON: Okay, Billy Trefon,
30
     Nondalton. I nominate Dan Dunaway.
31
32
                     REPORTER: Thank you.
33
34
                     MR. MIKE: Okay. There's a nomination
     on the floor by Mr. Trefon -- Mr. Billy Trefon, Jr.,
35
     nominated Mr. Dan Dunaway for Vice Chair. Any other
36
37
     nominations.
38
39
                     (No comments)
40
41
                     MR. MIKE: Any other nominations for
42
    Vice Chair.
43
44
                                This is Lary Hill, move that
                     MR. HILL:
45
     nominations be closed.
46
47
                     MR. MIKE: Okay. Mr. Lary Hill moved
     to close nominations for Vice Chair and Mr. Billy
48
49
     Trefon nominated Mr. Dan Dunaway for Vice Chair.
50
```

```
Roll call vote for Vice Chair for Mr.
 1
 2
     Dan Dunaway.
 4
                     Mr. Billy Trefon.
 5
 6
                     MR. TREFON: Yes for Dan Dunaway.
 7
 8
                     MR. MIKE: Ms. Samantha Herrick.
9
10
                     MS. HERRICK: Yes.
11
12
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Lary Hill.
13
14
                     MR. HILL: Yes.
15
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Robert Larson.
16
17
18
                     MR. LARSON: Yes, for Dan.
19
2.0
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Richard Wilson.
21
22
                     MR. WILSON: Yes.
23
24
                     MR. MIKE: And, Mr. Dan Dunaway, you
25
     can vote for yourself I quess.
26
27
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Well, I don't
28
     want to appear to egotistical, but, okay, yes.
29
30
                     MR. MIKE: Okay. The nominations vote
     -- the roll call vote was unanimous, Mr. Dan Dunaway
31
     has been nominated as the Vice Chair for the Bristol
32
33
     Bay Council. Mr. Dunaway, you have the gavel for
34
     nominations to open the seat for Secretary.
35
36
                     Thank you.
37
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, okay,
38
     thank you, Donald. And thanks everybody for your
39
40
     confidence, it's humbling. I did try to email Nanci
     last night just to see if she'd e willing to serve if
41
     elected but I think what's knocking out her cell phone
42
     is knocking out her email as well. I suspect she's
43
     willing, so just to reassure you. She's done a good
44
45
     job.
46
47
                     Okay, with that, I'll open the
48
     nominations for Secretary.
49
50
```

```
MR. TREFON: Who was our last
 1
 2
     secretary, Richard?
 4
5
                     MR. HILL: I think so.
 6
                     MR. WILSON: I am very willing to pass
 7
     that baton on to anybody that wishes it.
 8
 9
                      (Laughter)
10
                     MR. TREFON: Well, I'll nominate you
11
12
     anyway. I nominate Richard Wilson.
13
14
                      (Laughter)
15
                     MR. LARSON: I second.
16
17
18
                     REPORTER: Okay, who was the -- who
19
     nominated and who did the second?
20
21
                     MR. LARSON: Robert Larson seconded.
22
23
                     MR. TREFON: Billy Trefon nominated
24
     Richard Wilson.
25
26
                     REPORTER: Thank you.
27
28
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Any other
29
     nominations.
30
31
                      (No comments)
32
33
                     MR. WILSON: The floor is open, guys,
34
     anybody else interested.
35
36
                      (No comments)
37
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I can hear that
38
39
     train a running somewhere so.....
40
41
                     MR. LARSON: I move to close the
42
     nominations.
43
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Is that Robert?
44
45
46
                     MR. LARSON: Yes.
47
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
48
                                             Thank you,
     Robert. Okay, Donald, if you could conduct a roll
49
50
```

```
call.
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. MIKE: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair.
 4
     Donald Mike, Council Coordinator. Mr. Trefon, Jr.,
 5
     nominated Richard Wilson for Secretary seat and it was
     seconded by Mr. Robert Larson, and Mr. Larson requested
 6
 7
     that nominations be closed.
 8
 9
                     Mr. Billy Trefon.
10
                     MR. TREFON: Yes, for Richard Wilson.
11
12
13
                     MR. MIKE: Samantha Herrick, Ms.
     Samantha Herrick.
14
15
16
                     MS. HERRICK:
                                   Yes.
17
18
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Dunaway.
19
2.0
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, Richard.
21
22
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Lary Hill.
23
24
                     MR. HILL: Yes, for Richard.
25
26
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Robert Larson.
27
28
                     MR. LARSON: Yes, Richard.
29
30
                     MR. MIKE: And the vote is unanimous.
31
     Richard Wilson, you want to vote for yourself.
32
33
                     REPORTER: Yes, he does.
34
35
                     MR. WILSON: If I must.
36
37
                      (Laughter)
38
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Wilson has been
39
40
     nominated as Secretary for the Bristol Bay Council.
     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
41
42
43
                     And, if I may, I corresponded with
44
     Nanci last night regarding the election of officers and
     she didn't have any objections to serve another term
45
     for Chair for the Bristol Bay Council.
46
47
48
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
49
50
```

```
ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Okay, thank you.
 1
     That's good to know. I know we've been cautious in the
 2
 3
     past not to elect somebody without them having at least
     some head's up so, good, thank you. She's very capable
 4
 5
     and so is Richard, so thank you folks.
 6
 7
                     Okay, next on the agenda, review and
 8
     approve previous minutes. Has everybody had a chance
     to review the minutes and is there any corrections that
 9
     they want to see -- or, first, I quess, do we have a
10
11
     motion to adopt.
12
13
                     MR. WILSON:
                                  Rich Wilson, I so move.
14
15
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you,
     Richard. Do I have a second.
16
17
18
                     MR. HILL: Lary Hill.
                                             Second.
19
2.0
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                             Thank you, Lary.
21
     Any corrections for the minutes.
2.2
2.3
                     (No comments)
24
25
                     MR. TREFON:
                                  Question.
26
27
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay.
28
29
                     MR. MIKE: Who called the question,
30
     please?
31
32
                     MR. TREFON:
                                  Oh, excuse me.
                                                   Billv.
33
34
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                             Okay, with that,
     Donald, can we conduct roll call.
35
36
37
                     MR. MIKE: Yes, Mr. Chair. Adopting
38
     the previous meeting minutes, Mr. Richard Wilson moved
     to adopt and seconded by Lary Hill. Roll call vote to
39
40
     adopt the meeting minutes.
41
42
                     Mr. Billy Trefon.
43
44
                     MR. TREFON:
                                 Approve, yes.
45
                     MR. MIKE: Ms. Samantha Herrick.
46
47
48
                     MS. HERRICK: Yes.
49
50
```

```
MR. MIKE: Mr. Dan Dunaway.
 1
 2
 3
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes.
 4
 5
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Lary Hill.
 7
                     MR. HILL:
                               Yes.
 8
 9
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Robert Larson.
10
11
                     MR. LARSON: Yes.
12
13
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Richard Wilson.
14
15
                     MR. WILSON: Yes.
16
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, the vote's
17
18
     unanimous to adopt and approve the meeting minutes.
19
2.0
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
21
22
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Thank you,
     Donald. And I want to thank our recorders for the good
23
     job they do, and it sure is a great service to the
24
25
     Council members. I'm secretary on the Advisory
     Committee here in Dillingham, and it's a heck of a lot
26
     of work. So I really, really appreciate that we have
27
2.8
     official recorders.
29
                     With that, we go to reports. Council
30
31
     member reports and a Chair report. Are any Council
32
     members have things they'd like to report.
33
34
                     MR. TREFON: This is Billy in
     Nondalton. I do, but I'm looking and it would probably
35
     would come under tribal or public comment because
36
37
     Council member -- Council member's supposed to be on
     but I'm representing the tribe and it's a discussion
38
     about extending the moose hunt and the caribou hunt for
39
     subsistence -- discussion for now.
40
41
42
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: You want to do
    it later?
4.3
44
45
                     MR. TREFON:
                                  Yeah.
46
47
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you,
48
     Billy.
           Next.
49
50
```

Anybody else.

2 3

1

5

7 8 9

6

10 11

12 13 14

15 16 17

18

21

19 20

22 23 24

25 26

27 28 29

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37 38 39

40

45 46

48 49 50

47

Samantha, I'll invite you, maybe just tell us a little bit about your end of the lake and if there's concerns or interests you have. Okay.

MS. HERRICK: Hi. Yes, I live in Pedro Bay. And I haven't heard or have seen anything needing to report.

> ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you.

MR. TREFON: Questions for her -- this is Billy -- I do have a question for Pedro Bay. How about the wolves that Verna is always posting over there, there's no problem with them?

MS. HERRICK: Yeah, I haven't heard too much trouble with the wolves. There hasn't been any reports that no one has told me about.

MR. TREFON: Oh, okay, yeah, Verna was posting pictures about wolves and wolf tracks. Just wondering.

MS. HERRICK: Oh, okay, thank you.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: You got anything for us, Richard. Thank you, Samantha.

MR. WILSON: Yeah, good morning. Yeah, as you all know we got pretty trying times here in the winter. It's kind of up and down, up and down. We finally got a little snow on the ground here, a little ice in the rivers, people are starting to cross and starting to hunt on that herd south of the Naknek River here with kind of a minimal amount of ice. The river has gone out at least once and it refroze again. So people are utilizing that and getting caribou down there.

I haven't seen or heard of any infectious stuff yet from that herd. People have been getting them. Understand we may have a report later on the Mulchatna side. But there is some Mulchatna Herd moving in closer. They kind of -- it sounded like they were kind of spread out a bit, all the way from the west side of the Bay here, the Kvichak Bay, and we have some in our backyard here, towards SugarLoaf and, you know, there's, I guess, a couple thousand had crossed

the Kvichak here a few weeks ago and they're kind of spreading out so that's encouraging.

2 3 4

We've been talking a lot about the ptarmigan population and that seems to be coming along fairly decent. People are seeing flocks of 50, 100 here and there. I get a little flock of 20 coming through the yard here every once in awhile.

But the smelting is good.

Very few moose caught in December here. They usually pick on -- you know, up here at King Salmon Creek and other areas, but the other creeks have been open so nobody's traveled up Big Creek and Smelt Creek and those areas so, yeah, very few months. Even few have been spotted in that drainage there.

So that's about all I got for now.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: That's a pretty thorough report. Anybody else. Lary.

MR. HILL: Mr. Chair, Dan. Donald. Yes, I didn't think I had much to report but I guess there is some. We had a few winter season moose that were harvested. I don't know, what else, the small game, we got one rabbit running around here that's driving the dogs crazy.

(Laughter)

MR. HILL: People are really conc -- some people are concerned about the infection to that Mulchatna Herd and how far it reaches and how do you tell if an animal is infected if they don't show any of the outward signs.

 And last, something that's happened here before, is I had a report where there were three or four -- I guess the only term I could use, the lake silvers, they're the -- oh 18 inches long, maybe 20 inches long that were caught, I didn't get any, stuff in their stomach, I didn't find out until later, but they look just like silvers, the scales are like the silvers, they got the same coloring, and the flesh is kind of pink.

So that's all I can think of.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. How about Robert, what you're seeing up there at Koliganek.

MR. LARSON: Well, I don't have very much to report. I've been gone majority of the winter for health reasons and I've just gotten back here last week. What I've seen what looks like a few people out getting some wolves. So I guess that -- a few guys are taking some of those down here.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Oh, that skin guy, he's hard on those wolves. So cool. Well, I hope your health is good and as well as everybody else's.

Let's see is that everybody but me?

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, I think so. Over here on the Dillingham side, I think it was kind of a big disappointment with the restrictions on the caribou, both the Mulchatna and Nushagak Peninsula Herds. I think it's been slow to get across to a lot of people the dire condition of the Mulchatna Herd, especially when they, I think, showed up around NewStu awhile back. I think this infection is a huge concern and looking forward to a discussion on that.

 There has been an effort for the winter moose in 17A but the up and down weather interfered somewhat. I heard recently this last weekend that some wolves had kind of chased quite a bunch of moose and kind of a restricted area and people went out and tried to get a moose but I don't know if any were caught. I think we'll get a report from Togiak Refuge on the reported harvest.

And I do hear about a few ptarmigan but I haven't heard any real reliable reports.

 Lary, that's interesting on the possible silver salmon. I'm seeing some discussions about people over on the Kenai saying that there's silvers going in the Kenai River all the way up into January but they didn't discuss size.

But, yeah, the small herd sizes,

there's going to be some much more restricted proposals with the Board of Game, through Fish and Game, though the Board of Game -- we can also get an update on that, I believe most actions are going to be postponed for now.

With that, I think we'll invite public and tribal comments on nonagenda items and I should, at this point, too, encourage anybody public listening in, I think there's a verbal way to let us know that you want to speak and I'm not quite sure how we do the official signing, maybe, Donald, you could cover that, but definitely we encourage and invite public comment.

Donald.

2.0

MR. MIKE: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair. Donald Mike, Council Coordinator. Yeah, for the public or tribal comments on nonagenda items, if the public wishes to address the Council, please state your name and which community or organization you are from.

 And under reports -- the Chair's report, I just want to inform the Council that the Federal Subsistence Board met in January and all the fisheries proposals for the Bristol Bay region were on the consensus agenda so the Federal Subsistence Board adopted, or opposed, as recommended by the Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council on the fisheries proposals for the Bristol Bay region.

And we provided a brief update to the Federal Subsistence Board on the positioning of animals and we will be discussing that later on during the meeting.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

And then for public and tribal comments, please state your name and which community or organization you are from.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, Donald, for filling that in. So at this time, if there's any public, Cody, do you want to speak at this time or Mr. Anderson or any others, please speak up. Okay.

MR. ANDERSON: Yes, sir, Mr. Chair. George Anderson here with the Chignik InterTribal Coalition. We would like to submit a comment when there's time.

5 6

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, is that on a particular issue that's in the agenda or separate from that -- okay.

8 9 10

7

1

2 3

4

MR. ANDERSON: I would say this is a nonagenda item, Mr. Chair.

11 12 13

14

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. I'll look a little bit to you, Donald, would this be a good time or should we wait until later.....

15 16 17

MR. MIKE: Yes.

18 19

2.0

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:but I certainly want the participation -- okay.

21 22

MR. MIKE: Yes, Mr. Chair. Donald Mike. This is a good time for Mr. Anderson to provide public comment.

2.4 25 26

23

Thank you.

27 2.8

29

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you. ahead, Mr. Anderson, I really appreciate you taking the time to join us.

30 31 32

33

34

MR. ANDERSON: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair and Council members. I'll read this and then I can supply a written copy to the recorder because, technology.

35 36 37

Okay.

38 39 40

41

42

The Chiqnik InterTribal Coalition is working towards solutions to ensure the well-being of our communities. Our social and cultural well-being is tied to the health of the lands and the water which surrounds us.

4.3 44 45

46

47

48

As you know the abundance of one main subsistence resource has languished the past three years. We are asking the Council to voice our need to the State and Federal agencies that share the mutual goal of sustainable resource management. We hope the

Council receives our comments in good faith, that we are doing what we can, locally, yet, additional assistance in recovering our subsistence salmon resources through Federal management actions.

In response to the closures and restrictions of the 2018, 2019 and 2020 on Federal public waters of the Chignik River drainage for subsistence harvest of chinook and sockeye salmon, we are requesting a formal stock assessment research and recovery plans to support the conservation of healthy populations and the continuation of subsistence harvest opportunity on those lands.

 Also we would like to ask at this time that these comments be included in your annual report to the Federal Subsistence Board members, that they may give direction to the -- and resources to the Federal in-season managers and Staff in the Chiqnik area.

 Thank you, Mr. Chair, and Council members for hearing us.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, sir, thank you for that comment. And we look forward to getting the written comment. I don't know if you've listened in to some of our previous meetings but we've been very, very concerned of the status of salmon in the Chigniks. I wonder if the rest of the Council, do we want to discuss it more here or is there another place, but I'll invite the other Council members to speak, if they have something. Okay.

(No comments)

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, hearing none. We'll definitely include it in our minutes and maybe when we get to agency reports we might hear from Fish and Game and other agencies down there, what is being done. One quick question, Mr. Anderson, I don't know you but are you already -- have you been working with the Fish and Game and other agencies at this point? Okay.

MR. ANDERSON: Yes, Mr. Chair. Yes, starting back in '18 at our first economic disaster declaration we -- well, even previous, we had been in talks with OSM there and ADF&G and we've kind of had our eyes on a multi-agency project to address some of

```
these issues utilizing the FRMP funds that we plan on
 1
     applying for. And some of those goals that we'd.....
 2
 3
 4
                     (Teleconference interference - placed
 5
     on hold)
 6
 7
                     MR. ANDERSON: ....like to accomplish
 8
     is escapement enumeration and quality of escapement of
     those. As you know the State is struggling with
 9
     funding the Chignik weir so hopefully we can get on the
10
     shoulders beginning and ending of that, and maybe use
11
     some of those funds to keep the weir in a little
12
     longer. And then also getting a better assessment on
13
     the subsistence take all the way from June into the
14
     late fresh fish take into December.
15
16
17
                     So, yes, we're reaching out to your
18
     folks there and I sure appreciate the time.
19
20
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you.
21
22
                     (Teleconference interference - placed
23
     on hold)
24
25
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I don't know if
     somebody needs to mute their phone or something but,
26
     George, I'm glad to hear that the -- the coalition
27
     tells me there's a lot of people working together.
28
29
     That's what it'll take. But, yes, the FRMP Program is
     there and I think bringing -- for us, we're extremely
30
     concerned. Nanci isn't here but when she is here --
31
     she does fish down that way and knows a number of folks
32
     in the Chignik area, I think she's made some trips down
33
    there to attend some meetings. It's huge, still it's
34
     unimaginable to how once one of the hottest fisheries
35
36
     in the state has....
37
38
                     MR. MIKE: Yeah, excuse me, Mr. Chair.
39
40
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: ....become....
41
42
                     MR. TREFON: Somebody needs to push
     mute to mute their phone.
43
44
                     REPORTER: Excuse me, this is Tina, the
45
    reporter. So Donald gave a lot of instruction when we
46
     first started and we didn't say, please do not put your
47
     line on hold because the only way I can take that off
48
```

49

50

is if I break the call and go in and ask the operator

```
1
          So we could take a minute or so and I can go in
     and ask the operator to drop that line, or if you could
 2
     maybe put a -- somebody could put a little thing in
 3
 4
     your Team chat thing, maybe it's one of those people;
 5
     please hand up and don't put us on hold.
 6
 7
                     MR. MIKE: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is
     Donald. If we could take Tina's suggestion and take a
 8
     five minute break and have her unhook the line.
 9
10
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, thank you,
11
12
     Tina, and thank you, Donald -- oh, and then it got
     quiet -- maybe.
13
14
                     MR. TREFON: No, it didn't.
15
16
17
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Let's go ahead
18
     and take five minutes.
19
                     REPORTER: Okay, I'll call the
2.0
21
     operator.
2.2
23
                     MR. MIKE: We're taking a five minute
2.4
     break.
25
26
                      (Off record)
27
28
                      (On record)
29
30
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, Donald.
31
32
                     MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
33
     Donald Mike, Council Coordinator.
34
35
                     Mr. Billy Trefon.
36
37
                      (No comments)
38
39
                     MR. MIKE: Billy, are you still with
40
     us?
41
42
                      (No comments)
43
44
                     MR. MIKE: Ms. Samantha Herrick.
45
                     MS. HERRICK: I'm here.
46
47
48
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Dan Dunaway.
49
50
```

```
ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
 1
                                             Yes, sir.
 2
 3
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Lary Hill.
 4
 5
                      (No comments)
 7
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Lary Hill, are you still
 8
     with us?
 9
10
                      (No comments)
11
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Robert Larson.
12
13
                     MR. LARSON: Here.
14
15
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Richard Wilson.
16
17
18
                     MR. WILSON: Yeah, I'm here.
19
20
                     MR. MIKE: Okay, back to Mr. Billy
21
     Trefon, are you with us?
22
2.3
                      (No comments)
24
25
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Lary Hill, are you with
26
     us?
27
28
                     MR. HILL:
                                Yes, I just switched to my
29
     other office, I'm here.
30
                     MR. MIKE: Okay. So we have five
31
     members present, we're still waiting on Mr. Billy
32
33
     Trefon. And while we are waiting I'd like to remind
     the participants on teleconference, please have your
34
     phones muted and also if you need to go somewhere,
35
     please do not put your phone on hold otherwise we'll
36
37
     hear elevator music and that disrupts the proceedings.
     And for those folks on Microsoft Teams, please have
38
     your Microsoft Teams muted also.
39
40
41
                     Mr. Billy Trefon, are you with us yet?
42
43
                      (No comments)
44
45
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, we have five
     members present and if you wish to continue with public
46
     and tribal comments we can go with that.
47
48
49
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
50
```

1 2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14 15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

29

30 31 32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48 49

50

Page 30

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you, Donald. Yeah, I was going to reiterate and, again, invite public and tribal comments. Make sure people have opportunity to participate. So are there any more comments or -- from public or tribal agencies -- okay.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: It sounds pretty quiet. Our next two items are kind of our action items, I believe, so I kind of want to stand by a little bit to hear if other members rejoin us. So we're just missing Billy at this time -- over.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Hello, Donald.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, real quiet

here.

REPORTER: Okay, we're still connected

Dan.

MR. PAPPAS: Yes, Mr. Chair, George Pappas. I could step in while we're waiting for members to rejoin. This is a nonagenda item, it's a comment on the comment about February silvers.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:

MR. PAPPAS: In the Kenai, the studies indicate that there are adult silvers, I think the only system in Alaska that has adult silvers 11 months a year. There aren't adult silvers in June, that was done about 15 years ago, a massive project that was undertaken. And, also, yes, on occasion they -- in the lake in Chignik Lake and you can ask George Anderson to vouch on this, there have been a few caught in February, I think one even as late as March, they seem to be not full size, you know, five pounds, seven pound adults, they seem to be 18 to 20 inches long. The reports I've had is they cut them open and the spawn's not quite developed yet but just like everywhere else, the scientists never get their hands on them, all they do is get a spine and part of a tail usually by the

time they find out about it, because it's the first

fresh salmon anybody's had for awhile.

 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: That's very interesting. I've often thought -- we had a guy here in Dillingham claimed to catch a king salmon through the ice in the winter sometimes but it's really a strategy to avoid some predation, going in under the ice might be the way, just the extreme cold would be the counter indication there. But, thanks, George, for that information.

 MR. PAPPAS: Mr. Chair. I was just corrected, Mr. Chair. Frank Harris from the Kenai Fish and Wildlife Field Office there indicated they just found out that coho -- adult coho are in the Kenai for 12 months a year now, so they must have found some in June, so they came in early or barely alive from last year. So I stand corrected.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

And that actually matches the record out in Attu, there was, at Attu, a Coastie out west in Dutch Harbor there, there used to be salmon runs that ran all year-round until World War II and then the military messed them up, but interesting, we have new information.

Thank you, sir.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, that's fascinating. Yeah, I was amazed that Sand Point saw an eagle in a bush in a tiny, tiny creek in November and about a mile away, open tundra, went over to see what's going on and the eagle chased a couple of silvers in under that bush and was trying to get them. But November's' not near the surprise that February is. So that's very interesting.

Thanks for the info, as a retired fish

Donald, or Billy, are you guys there?

MR. TREFON: Yeah, I got disconnected and then I almost didn't get back on there, so, here, I'm back.

guy.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: All right. Okay. I'm assuming we got all six of us now. We've called for public and tribal comment, just on an open agenda there, and we have heard no more, so at this point we are in old business. And, maybe, Donald, can you introduce us on this material.

1 2

MR. MIKE: Yes, Mr. Chair, thank you. Donald Mike, Council Coordinator.

Under old business, the National Park Service individual C&T determination, you can find it in your meeting materials beginning on Page 14. And this was first presented to the Council at its fall meeting, October 2020, and the Council, at its fall meeting, deferred any public -- any further action until they reviewed the document thoroughly and in preparation for developing their comments on this individual C&T. And that's where we're at, and we have -- Mr. Chair, if we can have the National Park Service Staff present the document in summary and then we can -- the Council can get into question and answering session and then provide its comment from there.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, thank you. I'm glad to know we have several Park Service Staff on this -- I started reading through it and for a few minutes I was kind of panicking and then my memory started working and I started remembering, some of this was introduced. Appreciate the thorough information here, but Mr. Sturm, would you be the lead guy to discuss this -- I'll invite you or others to discuss this.

Thank you.

MR. STURM: Good morning, Mr. Chair. No, I wouldn't be. I believe this would be the Regional Subsistence Office led by Josh Ream -- Josh, are you on?

MR. PATTERSON: Good morning, Mr. Chair and Council members. I'm not sure if Josh is on the call, but my name is Dylan Patterson, and I'm a Pathways Intern with the National Park Service's regional team. If it's all right, I'd like to make a couple points on this issue this morning.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, what was 1 2 your first name, Mr. Patterson, and go ahead. 4 MR. PATTERSON: It's Dylan. 5 6 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Dylan, okay, 7 thank you. 8 9 MR. PATTERSON: Yes. So today I am here to report on the recent decisions made by the 10 Federal Subsistence Board on the process used to make 11 customary and traditional use determinations for 12 13 individuals. 14 15 You might recall that the NPS Staff offered a lengthy presentation on this issue at your 16 fall meeting. As a reminder, individual customary and 17 18 traditional use determinations are rare and eligibility to hunt and trap in National Parks and National 19 Monuments is a rather complex issue compared to other 2.0 21 Federal public lands. Almost all customary and 22 traditional use determinations are for communities and 23 areas, not for individuals. Because individual 2.4 determinations are so rare, most people are unfamiliar 25 with them and the process uses to make them was also 26 not well established. NPS suggested some tweaks to the

28 29 30

31

27

This is an important issue. We are not proposing a new type of C&T, only improvements to something that already exists.

process to make it easier to understand and timelier

for both the public and for Staff.

36

37

38

Last fall we asked all 10 Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils and the seven Park Subsistence Resource Commissions for feedback. We incorporated this feedback into our proposed process and this is what was adopted by the Federal Subsistence Board at its January 2021 meeting.

39 40 41

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{The}}$ revised process includes two critical RAC recommendations.

43 44 45

42

First, that there is no delegation of authority to NPS. The Federal Subsistence Board will retain the final decisionmaking authority.

46 47 48

Second, the process now includes the formal recommendation from both the affected RACs and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

the affected SRC.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

Perhaps the biggest change is the process is no longer tied to the lengthy biennial regulatory proposed cycle, instead the application window is open continuously once the RAC and SRC have weighed in. The Board will take action on the applications at its next public meeting. accomplishes an important goal of being able to process applications in a more timely manner. Many of the RACs and SRCs voted to defer action until they had more time to study this issue. While the Federal Subsistence Board took action to help improve the process, the Board still welcomes any feedback that you might have for further improvement either now or at any point in the future. Ultimately the National Park Service and the Federal Subsistence Board just wants this to be as streamlined and responsive as possible.

18 19 20

21

22

Thank you for your time. I would be happy to answer any questions that you may have. And if you have any additional feedback for the Board I'd be happy to deliver that, too.

232425

26

27

28 29

30

MR. TREFON: Yes, this is Billy Trefon in Nondalton. We live right by the Park. And my question is, how will this affect my community when we basically almost 100 percent of our traditional use is within Park land and Park Preserve. Is that -- would the local Park Service have any say so or is this going to be a Park Service plan, ask no questions.

31 32 33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40

MR. PATTERSON: So if I understand your question correctly, individual customary and traditional use determinations won't in any way affect community or area-wide customary and traditional use determinations. So, again, these are very rare and they are made for individuals that have customary and traditional use in those Park lands, but do not, for whatever reason, still live in the resident zone communities.

41 42 43

44

45

46

MR. TREFON: So if we're in the village we're fine but if we live somewhere else and we could (indiscernible) subsistence use on Park land it would be -- you'd have to come to a committee decision, something like that?

47 48

MR. PATTERSON: Correct. Yes. So just

```
as an example, if you once lived in a community that
 2
     had a community-wide customary and traditional use
 3
     determination and utilized that for subsistence within
     Park lands and you moved to a more urban area then you
 4
     could apply for an individual customary and traditional
 5
     use to return to those Park lands for subsistence uses.
 6
 7
 8
                     MR. TREFON: Okay. How about
 9
     enforcement, how is that done? Because we got
    traditional land, these people that are not from
10
     Nondalton but they continue using traditional land,
11
     which is their right, but they live in Anchorage where
12
     the jobs are or Juneau or wherever, but they come back
13
     to continue their traditional lifestyle, do they have
14
15
     to go and submit this paper?
16
17
                     MR. PATTERSON: So if I understand your
18
     question correctly, this only applies to National Park
     lands and National Monuments so.....
19
20
21
                     MR. TREFON: This is National Park
22
     lands I'm talking about because we traditionally use
23
     Kvichak all the time. We use a lot of the Park land up
2.4
     there for subsistence use.
25
26
                     MR. PATTERSON: Sure. Yeah, so my
27
    understanding is that you have to have a customary and
    traditional use determination, be that either a
28
29
     community or individual or area C&T. So I'm not
30
     sure....
31
32
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN:
                                       Right.
                                               I can....
33
34
                     MR. PATTERSON: ....if that's....
35
36
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: .....if -- yeah.....
37
38
                     MR. PATTERSON: ....if that's
39
     answering your question.
40
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: .....Billy, I can
41
     jump in and maybe Liza can as well. This is Susanne
42
     with Lake Clark National Park. So if you are within
4.3
     National Park or Preserve boundaries, you would then
44
    have to qualify as a Federal subsistence user so --
45
     versus a State subsistence user, so then that would
46
47
     mean that, yes, you are a resident of one of our
```

48 49

50

really much of a process, or if you are outside of one

resident zone communities, in which case there's not

of those resident zone communities, but still within the region and can demonstrate that you have -- that you live there year-round, and all of that, then you can get a 13440 subsistence use permit. If you are outside of the region, so for example, if you live in Anchorage year-round, so you are no longer a local Federally-qualified subsistence user, then you would go through this process of getting a C&T permit that is then reviewed by the Federal Subsistence Board, correct.

10 11 12

1

2

4 5

6 7

8

MR. TREFON: Yeah, I understand

13 how....

14 15

16

17 18

19

2.0

MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Well, by the National Park Service, sorry, not the Federal Subsistence Board, but that per -- that C&T application would be reviewed by the National Park Service because you are -- you are saying that you have a long-term traditional subsistence use of the area but you no longer are a permanent year-round resident of the area.

21 22 23

2.4

25

26 27

28

MR. TREFON: Okay. Because I'm seeing a lot more people from outside purchasing property so there's going to be a lot more people using the land so the enforcement is what my question is. Law enforcement, what kind of document do we have to present when they land by our subsistence camps? These permits?

29 30 31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

MS. FLEEK-GREEN: So, yes -- well, if you are a local resident and you're already a Federally-qualified subsistence user, meaning you have come to, in our example, you've come to Lake Clark National Park and you've provided -- you can provide your driver's license, you can provide bills, you know, documentation that shows that you are, in fact, a yearround resident, or if you don't have those documents there's other things you can do, you know, you can have testimonials from other people in the community that say, yes, Billy Trefon, is a year-round resident, then we issue you that subsistence use permit. If someone just flies in and is fishing on the -- within Lake Clark National Park, our law enforcement officers, you know, our law enforcement officers live out there, they recognize a lot of local people, sometimes they don't and they will ask you whether or not you are a qualified subsistence user. Is that what you mean by enforcement?

```
MR. TREFON: No, I.....
 1
 2
 3
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: And we definitely,
 4
     you know, people call us, too, and they say, hey, so
 5
     and so has set a net out in this location, they are not
 6
     a qualified subsistence user and then our law
 7
     enforcement officers will go check on that person and
     try and determine whether or not they actually are a
 8
 9
     qualified subsistence user and meet those residency
10
     requirements.
11
12
                     MR. TREFON: Because what I understand
13
     is, Nondalton has never went to the Park Service, in my
     experience and knowledge to fill out these paperworks
14
     to go to Kvichak to put up fall fish. Are -- I
15
16
     mean....
17
18
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: That's because....
19
2.0
                     MR. TREFON: .....mean we have to --
21
     we didn't have to do it for the moose and big game but
22
     we don't want to be harassed when we're doing our --
     now that's traditional subsistence use there and
23
     there's nets involved and there's guns involved and
24
25
     listening to this guy talking that they're going to
     start enforcing the rules and it's going to -- it seems
26
2.7
     like it's going to change things up there.
28
29
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Well, it shouldn't
     change things for residents of Nondalton because
30
     Nondalton is one of our resident zone communities. So
31
     you -- if you live in Nondalton you're automatically a
32
33
     qualified Federal subsistence user within Lake Clark
     National Park.
34
35
36
                     MR. TREFON: I understand that.
37
     lots of people, like I was saying, live in Anchorage,
     they live someplace else but they come back to
38
     traditionally fish, they don't -- and their physical
39
     address is not Nondalton, but they continue their
40
41
     traditional lifestyle, they don't have the paperwork
42
     saying they live in Nondalton.
43
44
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN:
                                      Right.
45
46
                     MS. JOCHUM: Excuse me....
47
48
                     MR. TREFON: So my.....
49
```

```
MS. FLEEK-GREEN: And so those --
 1
 23
     sorry, is somebody else jumping in there?
 4
                     MS. JOCHUM:
                                 Excuse me, Susanne. Yeah,
 5
     this is Kim Jochum, subsistence program analyst and
 6
     work with Dylan as well in the National Service
 7
     regional office, Subsistence Program. I just thought
     maybe I could chime in for one second if that's okay.
 8
 9
     Susanne, is that okay?
10
11
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Yes, go ahead, Kim.
12
13
                     MS. JOCHUM: Okay, thank you so much.
14
     So....
15
16
                     MR. MIKE: Hold on, hold on, you need
     to address the Chair and need to be recognized.
17
18
     you, this is Donald Mike.
19
2.0
                     MS. JOCHUM: Okay, no problem. So good
21
     morning, Mr. Chair and Council members.
2.2
23
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Yeah, so....
24
25
                     MS. JOCHUM: I just thought I could
     maybe help for a second here. Because there is nothing
26
     new, those are not new permits, it was just a -- it was
27
     a proposed new process on how to handle those
28
29
     applications. So nobody who moves into the city will
     be qualified for any of those permits, or I mean the --
30
     the subsistence -- the 13440 permit nor to apply for
31
     individual C&T determinations. So you have to be in a
32
33
     nonrural area, which means to be a Federally-qualified
     subsistence user still. So this will not affect really
34
     anything and overall Alaska-wide, we have less -- we
35
36
     have six of those applications currently in place. So
37
     this is not the people that you see coming back as you
     -- what you -- what you were trying to refer to like
38
     they'd move to the city and come back and -- and --
39
     yeah, follow traditional hunting practices. So that
40
    does not -- those do not qualify for an individual C&T
41
     permit, or an individual C&T permit. I hope that's
42
     helpful.
43
44
                     MR. TREFON:
                                  Yeah, that's just for my
45
46
     information and also for the tribe, I mean, is there
     anywhere I can get this information from, where it --
47
```

is it even out yet?

48

MS. JOCHUM: Yes. Also the updated information on the Board's decision on how to -- on how that process is going to -- going to work now and how the -- the changes they approved, we definitely have that information as well so I can definitely share more information with you to make sure you have -- have that in place if -- if -- because I know what's in your -in your Board book before you is the old paperwork still. So I can make sure to get that information to you.

10 11 12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

> MR. TREFON: All right, I would appreciate that because Nondalton, I would have to say 99 percent of our land use is within the Preserve and the Park, that's why I'm asking so many questions.

15 16 17

Thank you.

18 19

MS. JOCHUM: Okay, no problem.

20 21

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you. Does that satisfy you, Billy?

22 23

24

25

MR. TREFON: Yes. If I get the information that'll -- that'll make things a lot -- at least I'll have it in black and white. Appreciate it.

26 27 28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35 36

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, okay. Yeah, I was blown away how big a chunk of our book was filled up with Park Service forms and had kind of a sudden feel of dread. But I think I talked -- and I'm forgetting the intern's name here. I think I corresponded with him some on this and it -- it gets narrowed down pretty fast. But before I go on is there like -- we have a lot of our members are affected by Parks so Richard or Lary or Samanth -- is it Samantha, any of you have comments on this -- okay.

37 38 39

MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, this is Donald.

40 41

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, sir.

42 43

44

45

MR. MIKE: Yes. When we get through with Council question and answer session, I think the Aniakchak SRC met on this issue and when there's a time later on during the discussion you can call on the SRC.

46 47 48

Thank you.

```
ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you
 1
                It was pretty quiet there, it sounds like --
 2
 3
     I guess one more chance to bring that up before we
 4
     invite the SRC. Does anybody else have comments --
 5
     okay.
 6
 7
                     MR. HILL: Mr. Chair, this is Lary.
 8
9
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, go ahead,
10
     Lary, thank you.
11
12
                     MR. HILL: I'm referring to what Billy
13
     was talking about and I don't want to put words in his
     mouth, but I think there's some feeling about people
14
     that live in Anchorage full-time, and come out here,
15
     who had been traditional users in the Park and Preserve
16
     who live in Anchorage all year-round, who come out here
17
18
     for two weeks, load up the fish and then take off. And
     nobody wants to be the bad guy and tell them they're
19
2.0
     not qualified subsistence users. Is there a way to
21
     address those same people and suggest that they do need
     a special permit to come in and do that?
22
23
2.4
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Well, maybe -- I
25
     think this C&T process here proposed is to address
     that, am I correct, Kim or Liza -- okay.
26
27
28
                     MS. JOCHUM: Hello, this is Kim Jochum
     again with the National Park Service, Regional
29
     Subsistence Program. Yeah, well that really is not the
30
31
     case, we -- we never talked about rural residents so if
32
     people move to a rural area that's defined as rural
33
     under the Federal regulations they are not eligible to
     come back and do -- yeah, on the -- on the -- they're
34
     not qualified to continue to subsistence use in other
35
36
     areas, so they have to be a nonrural [sic] resident to
     be a Federally-qualified subsistence user.
37
38
39
                     MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, this.....
40
41
                     MS. JOCHUM: So that is.....
42
43
                     MS. LAVINE: .....is Robbin.
44
                     MS. JOCHUM: ....that is not the case
45
46
     -- yeah, please go ahead Robbin.
47
                     MS. LAVINE: All right, thank you, Kim
48
```

and Mr. Chair.

49

50

I just want to clarify that actually to

be Federally-qualified you must be a rural resident and I'm sure that's what Ms. Jochum meant, so yo must be a rural resident and you must have customary and traditional use of a resource.

So some people move back to nonrural areas. Nonrural areas like Anchorage or Fairbanks or Juneau. If you become a permanent resident of a nonrural area you are no longer Federally-qualified, even if you once did have customary and traditional use. But let's say, you, Billy, but say your son moves to Bethel, a rural area for work, but -- so he's a rural resident and he, as a rural resident of Bethel, he may be able to participate in the subsistence way of life there, but he wants to come home. He wants to come home with you, his family, and his community, to subsist on the lands that are customary and traditional for him. This process would help people like that. But not people that move to nonrural areas and are no longer rural residents.

Thanks.

MR. LARSON: Hi, this is Robert Larson. I just wanted to know when this rule came in and was applied?

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Park Service.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ PATTERSON: Mr. Chair, if I can -- this is Dylan Patterson and I can speak to that.

So the Federal Subsistence Board made the decision to revise the process for individual customary and traditional use determinations at its meeting this past January. However, there was already a process in place for individual customary and traditional use determinations, that was just revised this past Federal Subsistence Board meeting.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Does that help Robert?

MR. LARSON: Yeah, it does but it seems like you're going to get a lot of -- we've been getting a lot of contradictions.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah.

```
MR. TREFON: Yeah, this is Billy again.
 1
     Lary pretty much hit it on the head, I mean, because
 2
 3
     like we do get people that use this land all their
     lives, until they got -- and they still use the land
 4
     custom -- but they live in Anchorage year-round and
 5
 6
     they come back to continue their traditional lifestyle,
 7
     customary use, is what they're doing. And so what I'm
     hearing, from what Lary said, they no longer qualify,
 8
     they have to go jump through the hoops to come back and
 9
     put up their fish and.....
10
11
12
                     MR. LARSON: Yeah.
13
                     MR. TREFON: .....do whatever it is
14
     they do, to get their fall fish, they have to follow
15
     Park rules, that's what I'm -- is that what I'm
16
17
     understanding?
18
19
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Yes. Billy, that is
     -- if you are.....
20
21
22
                     REPORTER: Okay, who's talking.....
23
24
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: .....if you are no
25
     longer....
26
2.7
                     REPORTER: Excuse me....
28
29
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: ....a permanent
30
     year-round....
31
32
                     REPORTER: Excuse me....
33
34
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: ....resident, you
     are -- this is Susanne Fleek-Green, Lake Clark National
35
36
     Park.
37
38
                     REPORTER:
                                Thank you.
39
40
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Through the Chair.
41
     If you live in Anchorage, you might have grown up in
     Nondalton, but now you are a permanent year-round
42
     resident in Anchorage, you are no longer a Federally-
43
     qualified subsistence user within the boundaries of the
44
     National Park.
45
46
47
                     MR. TREFON:
                                  Oh, and how....
48
49
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. And....
50
```

```
12
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: And we....
 3
                     MR. TREFON: .....I mean this is.....
 4
 5
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: ....hear -- we hear
     this concern a lot. I think Lary talked about it, we
 6
 7
     hear a concern a lot about urban Alaska residents
     coming in the summer and subsistence fishing when they
 8
 9
     are no longer Federally-qualified users. And certainly
     would, I guess, the growth in Port Alsworth, there's
10
     more pressure on those subsistence resources and so
11
12
     there is greater concern about people from outside the
     area who are not year-round residents coming and
13
     subsistence fishing within the Park boundaries.
14
15
                                 Wow. I think this is
16
                     MR. TREFON:
     going to be a head's up for you, Liza and Susanne.
17
18
     When I bring this back to the Council they're going to
     have questions and maybe you might end up meeting with
19
20
21
22
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Yeah, we would be
23
     happy to do that Billy. We are -- whenever the Council
2.4
     wants we can come and talk to them. This is -- I mean
25
     this is not a new rule, right, this has been since the
26
     beginning of the Park and so we would be happy to come
2.7
     and talk to the Council about it.
28
                     MR. TREFON: That's -- well, the Park
29
     guy that came on that totally just represents NPS so
30
     I'm -- so that's why I had a question, the enforcement
31
     of it, there's more people living in Lake Clark now and
32
33
     buying more property up there.....
34
35
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Uh-huh.
36
37
                     MR. TREFON: .....so the Park Service
     law enforcement's going to be a lot more busy because
38
     they wouldn't know who from who and who just moved in
39
40
     and who just moved out. Last year I seen four new
41
     residents up there at Case Point and new cabins.
42
43
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Uh-huh.
44
                     MR. TREFON: So that was my question, I
45
     mean so the Council is going to want -- because we
46
     never done it in my experience of growing up around
47
```

48

49 50 here, even back when I my dad and them did it, nobody

ever went to the Park Service other than -- because we

never went to the Park Service to get a permit to go up and get our fall fish, we never went to the Park Service to go and get spawned out -- or even bears inside -- because you have to go certain areas and these places are within the Park boundaries, hunting moose, they're all within the Park boundaries for Nondalton people.

MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Right. And, again, it's different if you're a resident of Nondalton, because Nondalton is a designated resident zone community, Case Point is not.

MR. TREFON: So....

MS. FLEEK-GREEN: So if you live in Case Point you would have to come to the Park Service to get one of these 13440 permits demonstrating that you are a year-round permanent resident within the boundary of Lake Clark.

MR. TREFON: Okay. What if I just showed up at fall fishing and then law enforcement didn't know me, what kind of paperwork am I going to -- do I have to present; that's going to be another question.

MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Yeah, I think you're right, it would be great, we should -- our preCovid times, we had more ability to come to different communities and talk about these rules and the process and we would be happy to figure out a way to do that again with the Council or with the community as a whole. We'll have to figure out a way to do that by phone -- when we're not allowed to gather still in large groups under Covid.

MR. TREFON: Okay, yeah, because that's going to be a great concern because a lot of our people that live in Anchorage and Soldotna and Kenai, they come back here to put up fish every year, fall fish, and when the fish arrive, it's -- it's a traditional customary use. And then when they find out they're no longer qualified, that's -- that's what I got to bring back to the Council.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, thank you. This is Dan, again. It's good we get this discussion. I've encountered people that maintain cabins off the

road between Iliamna and Nondalton who come back but I believe they do subsistence under State rules where they're still qualified. But, yeah, it's good to work it out and clarify it. But I still understand that the ultimate goal of all this paperwork is really still more streamlined, though, it's geared for more like if Robert there in Koliganek could prove that he had customary and traditional use up in the corner of the Preserve he could get a permit and it would probably be easier and he wouldn't have to wait two years, is my understanding. So it does sound like the Park would be wise to have some public outreach to the communities there to clarify -- okay.

MR. TREFON: Yeah, because we -subsistence for State, we have that State permit and
that's a real simple form to fill out. But the Federal
-- and if they ask for it and if you have to carry it
with you, they probably would, but if law enforcement
rolls up on you when you're out there with your net set
out in Kvichak trying to get fall fish and law
enforcement don't know you, they're going to -- they're
going to write you up. I mean history has shown that
YK last experience with the elder, that's why I'm so
concerned about the enforcement of this -- because I
never heard about it so I'd like to get that clarified.

And I think we beat that one to death.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, we probably should move on if we can but I don't want to cut things short. You know, Billy, I don't know a lot of....

MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Mr. Chair.

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:maybe you don't, but a lot of people carry their driver's license and I bet in a lot of cases, like yourself, if you had that on you, that'd probably be sufficient for law enforcement. But did somebody else want to say something, go ahead.

MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Yes, Mr. Chair. This is Susanne Fleek-Green again with Lake Clark. I just want to followup very quickly on our enforcement, you know, we try and be very aware of who are residents and who are not residents and our law enforcement have really learned a lot over the last 20 years and for the

most part we are -- we do not issue tickets, we try and do a lot of education on site so that people understand the rules. If -- if we don't know you. And like I said, we work hard to have our law enforcement rangers living in Port Alsworth and doing their best to get out into communities, again, preCovid times, so that we -- we know folks because we -- we don't want to be issuing tickets. That is not the goal here at all.

So I just wanted to clarify that.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you,

Susanne.

Okay, Donald, this was a potential action item, if I'm correct, were we looking for a vote of confidence on this or how on that, Donald?

2.4

 MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, this is Donald Mike, Council Coordinator. As you recall the Park Service initially presented this to the Council at its fall meeting seeking comment and input, and the Council went ahead and deferred it to this winter meeting to further discuss it. And I believe this Council has submitted these comments and the Park Service took note of it and we'll go from there. I believe the Park Service is taking all your comments and will comprise it into a document for their use.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, so thank you, Donald. A discussion for Park Service. I guess one last call, Richard or others do you have anything you want to pick up -- okay.

MR. HILL: Mr. Chair. This is Lary Hill. On the application form on Page 21, what physical address is currently indicated on your -- and it gives Alaska hunting fishing license, drivers license, tax return, boater registration, Alaska Permanent Fund Dividend, so would a person who is applying have to list all of those or just one?

 $\label{eq:ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:} \mbox{ Is there a park Service person who could answer.}$

MR. STURM: Through the Chair, Mark Sturm, Superintendent at Katmai National Park and

Preserve Aniakchak National Monument. Is it okay if I speak Dan.

2 3 4

1

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Please, go

ahead.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

MR. STURM: Thank you. So just to be clear we do occasionally have to assess the qualification of an individual as a Federal subsistence user and the answer to all these questions is how we do Essentially the bar is where is an individual's primary residence. And a lot of residents -- a lot of local -- communities around Parks have properties that are owned by individuals, but the assessment of whether or not someone is a qualified subsistence user does indeed truly depend upon where their primary residence is and one way to do that is to assess what individuals use for the various things that we all have, you know, tax returns, driver's license, those kind of things. So filling out that form is how we assess the overall qualification of an individual as a subsistence user or not on Federal land.

22 23 24

25

2627

28 29

30

MR. HILL: Okay, primary residence, now -- this is Lary Hill, Mr. Chair. Your -- the primary residence is something Lake and Peninsula Borough has dealt with as far as qualifications a any Federal judge, I believe, I don't know the name or the actual court case, but his ruling was your primary residence is wherever you say it is. I don't know if you know anything about that or can you address that?

31 32 33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47 48

49

50

MR. STURM: Well, again, the Federal subsistence -- individuals that qualify for Federal subsistence are, by definition, rural residents of qualified communities and so, you know, I guess what we have done and it's only been a few instances where this comes up, but we do look at the individual case, you know, and assess the specifics of a particular situation, each time that these issues about does this individual qualify for these -- to take advantage of these resources or not. And, you know, it is -- it is absolutely, I guess, an individual assessment of each case as it comes up to make that determination, applying some level of consistent interpretation of where an individual's residence is. But at the end of the day if you primarily live for, you know, eight or nine months of the year in an urban area, in accordance to the Federal Subsistence Program, as it's managed,

MR. HILL: Okay, thank you. I just

you do not qualify as a Federal subsistence user. And so, yeah, that's kind of the process that we have gone through.

wanted to see if you needed to do all of them, that would really be a good background check.

Okay, thank you.

 MR. STURM: If I could just add one final comment. The process that the Subsistence Program has gone through is essentially trying to expedite these determinations for individuals, if I have my facts straight, so if you did qualify or did qualify for a customary and traditional use permit, before you required, I guess, Board approval, and, Dylan, if I'm correct, the Board has determined that the agency, in this case the National Park Service can make that determination much more quickly than the Board would ultimately be able to do it, it would take years for the Board to determine.

 MR. PATTERSON: Mr. Chair, this is Dylan Patterson. And as a point of clarification, the initial proposed revision to this process did have the Park Service Regional Director as the decisionmaker, however, the Federal Subsistence Board decided at their January meeting that the Federal Subsistence Board would retain the decision -- the role of decisionmaker.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, great. I like that idea. How does the rest of the Council feel.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, is there any discussion on this. I was kind of hoping to move along but I don't want to squelch anything -- okay.

MR. WILSON: Mr. Chair, Rich Wilson.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, Richard.

MR. WILSON: Yeah, hi, thanks. A lot of good discussion here. You know here on the Naknek, you know, we have the National Park system up river from us and there is no subsistence use in that Park, you know, only in the Preserve section so when these

applications are -- you know it's a little confusing for a guy like me, knowing that we are strictly a customary traditional use in this Park and we have very little of that, but in the Preserve section, you know, a lot of this subsistence stuff applies. You know so we got to make sure that that -- that we're not -- you know it's a bit different because there is no subsistence availability here in Katmai National Park, only in the Preserve section. So it's a little bit of a learning curve here looking at some of these applications. But to simplify things for people is really, you know, it's what it's going to come down to. You know people get -- you know things could get complicated pretty easy so keeping it simple is good for us.

Thanks.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, thank you, Richard. Yeah, what Naknek and Paug-Vik went through just to get red fish is -- I think every time I see a Park Service form my eyes kind of glaze for a few minutes so -- but I do want to compliment the Park Service for their efforts to move away from killing things with forms and bureaucracy and really trying to be more responsive, is my understanding of this whole thing.

Last call on comment before we move.

MR. REAM: Mr. Chair.

MR. HILL: Mr. Chair, I have another comment on this Page 27 of this document here by the National Park Service.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, thank you, Lary, go ahead.

MR. HILL: Okay. On Page 27 there's a footnote about pattern of use. It says there might be variation by region and Old Park on what constitutes a pattern of use, that's -- that's what I'm concerned -- curious about -- how much of a pattern of use is sufficient to enable a person to actually complete this application?

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Good point. Is the Park Service prepared to touch on that or has the

```
-- and I don't know if we've ever heard from the SRC
     yet on this so -- but if somebody can answer I'm ready
 2
 3
     to hear it. Go ahead.
 4
 5
                     MR. REAM: Mr. Chair. This is Josh
 6
     Ream, the Alaska Regional Program, Subsistence Manager,
 7
     I'm on the call now and can answer that question.
 8
9
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, go ahead,
10
     please.
11
12
                     MR. REAM:
                                Sure.
                                       Thank you.
     reason that we put in that there are variations in
13
     pattern of use among regions is so that we can look to
14
     the Regional Advisory Councils as well as the Park
15
     Subsistence Resource Commissions to help us to
16
     understand what they feel is an acceptable pattern of
17
18
     use in making a determination for customary and
    traditional use. So just like in the Board's new rural
19
     determination policy, the Board looks to the affected
2.0
21
     Regional Advisory Council to understand what they
22
     believe rural and nonrural to mean in their region.
     The Park Service is also seeking to look to the RACs
23
2.4
     and the SRCs to help us define that pattern of use that
25
     is acceptable as well.
26
2.7
                     I hope that helps.
28
29
                     Thank you.
30
31
                     MR. HILL: Yes, this is Lary Hill.
     was concerned about that. It almost felt like the SRC
32
     or the local people who deal with this are being kind
33
     of phased out of making this initial decision whether
34
     this person has an actual enough pattern of use to
35
36
     qualify for this permit.
37
38
                     MR. REAM:
                                Through the Chair.
39
40
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Yeah, Lary....
41
42
                     MR. REAM: Oh, go ahead.
43
44
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Well, go ahead,
     is that Josh, go ahead, please.
45
46
47
                                Sure. Just in response to
                     MR. REAM:
```

48

49 50 the comment, we wanted to avoid that, we wanted to make

sure that local people did have a say in these

customary and traditional use determinations. Regional Advisory Councils under the previously existing process were the ones making the formal recommendations. The Park Service had proposed that this shift even more locally to the Park Subsistence Resource Commissions, however, some of the Regional Advisory Councils noted that they would also like to retain their voice. So the policy that the Board adopted in January includes recommendations from both 10 the Regional Advisory Councils and the Park Subsistence Resource Commission, so really trying to make sure that 11 we have local input in analyzing these proposals for 12 13 individual use determinations so that those arguments can be heard by the Federal Subsistence Board when they 14 make their determination. 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

1

2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9

MR. HILL: Okay. I don't want to go much further, it just seemed like there might be a conflict between the initial decisionmakers and what the local SRC or local people feel is a sufficient traditional use. That was my worry about this footnote.

22 23 2.4

25

26 2.7

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you, Lary. Yeah, if anything, it seems like it's empowered those two. And it looks like Linda has got her hand up, Linda Chisolm, did you want to say something, go ahead.

2.8 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

MS. CHISOLM: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council members. I wish I had some additional information to illuminate for you all today. As Mr. Donald Mike mentioned earlier the Aniakchak SRC began its review of the individual C&T process this fall and deferred the discussion to their winter meeting. We held our winter meeting last week but were not able to obtain a quorum. And I think because of that lack of quorum, the members who were in attendance declined to have a client or discussion on this matter.

39 40

Thank you.

41 42 43

44

45 46

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you for that, and I don't know how long your hand was up. I'm not used to scanning the screen so much so -- but thank you very much. Are there any other SRC comments. Over.

47 48

(No comments)

1 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Hearing none, have we covered this adequately, I really would like to 2 3 move on. But I'm really glad that we got a thorough 4 explanation. 5 6 MR. HILL: Dan, I quess one more. 7 8 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. 9 10 This is Lary Hill. MR. HILL: 11 12 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead, Lary. 13 14 MR. HILL: On Page 15, the title, 15 individual customary and traditional use determination pursuant to 50 CFT 100. (indiscernible) it references 16 the Board -- the Board -- that's what I don't 17 18 understand. 19 2.0 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: So you're asking which -- who is the Board, if, again, Josh, or Mark or 21 22 someone could answer, please speak up, go ahead. 23 24 MR. REAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 25 is Joshua Ream. I just want to make sure that I understand the question, being who is the ultimate 26 27

MR. REAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Joshua Ream. I just want to make sure that I understand the question, being who is the ultimate decisionmaker, per regulation, and if that is the question, it is the Federal Subsistence Board. They have the authority to delegate according to the Solicitor but they opted not to do that, they want to retain the authority. And that was a recommendation that was made by several of the Regional Advisory Councils, that the Board continue to make the final call on individual customary and traditional use determinations.

35 36 37

38

39 40

41

42

4.3

44

45

28 29

30

31

32 33

34

MR. HILL: Okay. In reference to that, my concerns about that is this Board will determine which fish stocks and wildlife populations have been customary and traditionally used for subsistence, and this is kind of in reference to what Richard Wilson talked about with use of red fish in the Preserve, and that's what I would be worried about or concerned about, where is this Board getting the information about which fish stocks and wildlife populations have been customary and traditionally used for subsistence?

46 47 48

49

50

MR. REAM: Through the Chair. This is Joshua Ream. Again, I would like to reiterate that

individual customary and traditional use determinations 1 are similar, and the process that's used to make them, 2 3 between individuals, communities and areas, the 4 process, you know, starts with a request by an 5 individual, the request specifically includes information about why they believe there is an existing 6 7 pattern of use, that then leads to an analysis that is either conducted by the Office of Subsistence 8 9 Management or by the National Park Service to see what data is available to support those arguments, and then 10 we turn to the Regional Advisory Councils and the 11 Subsistence Resource Commissions for them to weigh in, 12 13 about not only their recommendation but what they know about the pattern of use, and so all of that 14 information, including information from the public, you 15 know, there's public comment, both in written and 16 verbal form as well as tribal and ANCSA Corporation 17 18 comment, all of those things go before the Federal Subsistence Board to help them in understanding the 19 request and what existing pattern of use exists for 20 21 that species in that area.

22 23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28

MR. HILL: Okay. Mr. Chair, my response to that. My reason for asking these questions, I think we're all kind of gun shy about someone who lives outside the area who has no really, you know, very in-depth knowledge of subsistence just making decisions for us on what we can or cannot do, so thank you for the answer.

29 30 31

I'm done, Dan.

32 33

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Boy.

34 35

MR. TREFON: Billy one more time here.

36 37

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, Billy.

38 39 40

41

42

4.3

44

45

46

47

48

MR. TREFON: Back to what Lary was just saying about, I'm looking at Page 15, CFR 100.24, person granting individual customary and traditional use determination will be notified in writing by the Board. The Fish and Wildlife Service and the local National Park Service will maintain a list of individuals having customary and traditional use on the Park and Monument, a copy of this list will be available upon request when there is a determination for specific communities and area residents in a unit. So what this is saying is basically nothing about our

Council approving anything, it looks like the Park Service and the Fish and Wildlife have the final say so.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Well, kind in the beginning there it talks about the Federal Subsistence Board determined -- I haven't read this very thoroughly for a few days but I guess -- I think this would kind of go, Billy, to kind of if the Park Service maintains this list and they come along your net up in Kvichak or something and it's like, oh, yeah, here's Billy Trefon, he's good, or say more likely somebody like Robert that somehow qualified but he lives in Koliganek, for an arbitrary example, and they could say, okay, sure your name is here, yeah, you got something kind of shows who you say you are and catch more fish or something. Am I correct Park Service, go ahead Josh, if you have something, or Mark.

2.7

Sure. Thank you, Mr. Chair. MR. REAM: So the list is simply so that it exists in multiple places for someone that wants to know what these individual customary and traditional use determinations have been. We do not publish individual names in the Federal Register as part of a regulation on customary and traditional use determinations so you can't just look to the Code of Federal Regulations to figure out who has C&T. So that list simply is a list of those determinations that have already been made by the Federal Subsistence Board, so the decision is made by them. Of course, five Federal agencies are represented on the Board and three rural subsistence users and those eight members are the ones making the final determination.

And, you know, generally, if a -- these are so rare, that if a determination is made, the Park's local Staff should be well aware of who has an individual C&T and, you know, who is able to be participating in subsistence harvest within the Park boundaries because of an individual C&T.

The Park and the Regional Office and the Fish and Wildlife Service will all be in possession of that list of previous determinations, and anybody can ask for that list at any time and we can provide it. Unfortunately under the previous process the list — it was so rare for these things to happen that the list was never maintained but the Board has sort of

addressed that in their new policy that the list will 1 be available for the public to review. 2 3 4 MR. TREFON: Well, I think it's going 5 to change after people realize that they no longer qualify because they don't live here anymore and they 6 7 have to go fill paperwork out. 8 9 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, okav. going to have to cut it off unless there's really 10 something else burning here. I think some of this, for 11 12 some areas might be good to deal with at the SRC level and locally, but I sure appreciate everybody speaking 13 14 15 16 Can we move then to positioning of 17 wolves discussion. 18 19 And I think Park Service got adequate 20 input at this point -- okay. 21 22 MR. MIKE: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Donald Mike. 23 24 25 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead. 26 MR. MIKE: Yeah, if the Council wishes 27 to do so they can move to endorse this National Park 28 29 Service individual C&T determination process with their comments discussed during this proceedings as part of 30 the record, the Council can go ahead and make a motion 31 to endorse the Park Service's individual C&T, Mr. 32 33 Chair. 34 35 Thank you. 36 37 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, 38 Donald. Does anybody want to make a motion on this Park Service process, I am ready to hear it. 39 40 41 MR. WILSON: Through the Chair, Richard 42 Wilson here.

45 46 47

48

49

50

43 44

MR. WILSON: Dan, I'm wondering if, you know, have we had enough discussion, I mean is there -- I mean are we all satisfied with what we heard and do

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:

Go ahead,

Richard.

we feel like we can give the okay for this agenda item? I'm not totally certain, maybe somebody can help me out here.

3 4 5

2

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Well, Council members, what do you think.

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

MR. TREFON: This is Billy. Well, for me, like I said, it's probably the best idea for us up here to meet with the Park Service and go from there because what I'm gathering here there's going to be some really disappointed people here that don't live here -- are from here but don't live here.

13 14 15

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Lary or

16 17 Samantha.

MR. HILL: Mr. Chair, this is Lary.

18 19 2.0

21

22

23 24

25

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

MR. HILL: Go ahead. I feel like there's not enough discussion but it seems like, what's the word, I can't think of it now, but how is simplifying the process, how is it simplifying by adding more paperwork as a requirement, or is it a deliberate attempt to make it extremely difficult, even if it is rare?

26 27

> ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, Lary, when I was looking into this last week, I think in the past you could only apply every couple years and I don't think the SRCs and the RACs had as much opportunity for input on this. So my overall understanding is that this really is better, that it could be more responsive as people apply instead of making people wait awhile. And I think a lot of the consternation here is actually rediscovering Park rules that have been in place for awhile but unless there's -- when we don't talk about them much people lose track of what they are. But -so I'm inclined to support this as a good effort to simplify. But since I don't really have to deal with the Park I'm reluctant to go farther than that because so many of you do -- you know, you're on the edges of that Park. So it's kind of up to you guys, if you want to move or have any other final comment or if we can move on to the next agenda item -- okay.

45 46 47

Well, for Nondalton, I MR. TREFON: think I'm ready to move on.

MR. MIKE: Okay, Mr. Chair, Donald Mike. It sounds like that the Council provided its comments and would like to move on, and if the Council wishes to do so we can place this on our fall meeting agenda again to provide further comments and public testimony on the subject.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, Donald. Do you guys like that suggestion, it sounds like a good one to me -- okay.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ WILSON: If we still have the time, Richard Wilson, yes, that'd be a good one.

 MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Donald Mike. And just to notify the Park Service, the Council provided its comments on the record and we've addressed this agenda item topic.

Thank you.

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, great, thank you. Thanks for all the input. Maybe some PR outreach between now and fall to explain it to community members would be very helpful.

With that I would like to move on to positioning of wolves and wolverine, and, Donald, can you bring us up to speed on that.

MR. MIKE: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair. Donald Mike, Council Coordinator.

 At the Federal Subsistence Board we provided a briefing along with OSM Staff -- Robbin LaVine and I, provided a briefing to the Federal Subsistence Board at its January 2021 meeting and we stated to the Board that the working group requested additional guidance on positioning of animals and this working group was established by the Federal Subsistence Board at its spring 2020 meeting to develop language on positioning of animals and this working group compromised of Federal and State representatives. We met three times and this working group needed additional guidance a far as how to go forward with developing recommendations for language.

And with that I'd like to turn it over to my colleague, Robbin LaVine, for additional information for the Council. And after Robbin's briefing, the Council continues to provide additional recommendation on how should the working group move forward or if they wished to provide some additional background information for future or potential wildlife proposals.

1 2

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, thank you, Donald. Yeah, Robbin, you're welcome to carry on.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you. Hello, Mr. Chair. Members of the Council. Again, for the record this is Robbin LaVine, anthropologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. I know we've been taking a bit of time this morning, but I recognize we have some new Council members, we might have some new Staff folks from different agencies that are online. So because of that I'd like to give you a little bit of a background on this issue. Before we proceed, what does it mean, we're talking about a working group, we're talking about deferred Proposal 20-26.

So with your approval, Chair, I can proceed with some history.

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, please do. I'm realizing in my mind, I'm kind of also involved with the Advisory Committee here and we also discussed this same issue and after awhile it all gets kind of muddled together so go ahead. I'll even appreciate it too.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. All right. So to understand this issue I'm going to describe a series of proposals on snowmachine use for Federal Subsistence Board subsistence harvest that were submitted across the state, and I'm going to start in 2016.

So in 2016 Wildlife Proposal 16-48 requested that Federal subsistence hunters be allowed to use a snowmachine to position a caribou, wolf, or wolverine for harvest and it was going to take place in Unit 23, so up north. The Board adopted the proposal but modified it to say: This method of harvest could

only happen on Bureau of Land Management lands, as the regulatory language for this agency does not specifically prohibit the use of snowmachines to position animals for hunting. The Board also noted this harvest method is allowed on some State lands in Units 18, 22, 23 and 26A.

So in 2017, Wildlife Proposal 18-24 was submitted requesting pretty much the same thing as 16-48, that Federally-qualified subsistence users be allowed to use a snowmachine to position caribou, wolves and wolverine for harvest in Unit 17, so that's your area, provided the animals were not shot from a moving vehicle. A same proposal, called, 148, was submitted to the Board of Game. So the Board of Game met first and the Board of Game adopted Proposal 148 but modified it to caribou only, shot from a stationary snowmachine, with further clarification describing exactly how the snowmachine may be used for subsistence harvest.

2.4

 The Federal Subsistence Board deliberated the proposal on record, the one that came -- when it came to the Federal Subsistence Board's turn, they deliberated and rejected it, citing concerns over a lack of clarity and consistency among existing regulations, especially those on U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service lands and Park Service lands.

 In the lead up to the 2020 regulatory cycle, the Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council formed a working group to discuss next steps. They were strategic in splitting Wildlife Proposal 18-24, the failed proposal, into two separate proposals, one for caribou to align with the recent State regulations on snowmachine use in Unit 17, and another one on wolves and wolverine because Wildlife Proposal 16-48 set some precedent for positioning caribou, wolves and wolverines on BLM lands for -- because there were no agency-specific prohibitions.

 So Wildlife Proposal 20-27 requested Unit 17 specific regulations allowing the use of a snowmachine to assist in the taking of a caribou and allowing caribou to be shot from a stationary snowmachine using the regulatory language adopted by the Alaska Board of Game back in February 2018. And if it'd be helpful I can read that proposed regulatory language to you now.

1

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

232425

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43 44 45

46

47 48

49 50 So this is what they came up with for Wildlife Proposal 20-27 and it mirrors the language of the modified proposal 148, and it goes like this:

In Unit 17 a snowmachine may be used to assist in the taking of a caribou and caribou may be shot from a stationary snowmachine. Assist in the taking of a caribou means a snowmachine may be used to approach within 300 feet of the caribou at speeds under 15 miles an hour in a manner that does not involve repeated approaches or that causes a caribou to run. A snowmachine may not be used to contact an animal or to pursue a fleeing caribou.

So during our April 2020 Board meeting the Board took up Wildlife Proposal 20-27 first before 20-26, the caribou proposal, discussed it and adopted it. And then the Board took up Wildlife Proposal 20-26, which states -- oh, do I have it here -- requesting that Federally-qualified subsistence users be allowed to use a snowmachine to position wolves and wolverines on BLM lands in Units 9 and 17 as long as they're not shot from a moving snowmachine.

So the Board took up Wildlife Proposal 20-26, discussed and then deferred it back to the working group and back to you, the proponent. So as Donald said, we've had a number of meetings over time. We had a briefing with the Federal Subsistence Board a couple weeks ago. As Working Group Member, Mr. Dunaway, you, the Chair, knows when the working group met January 21st, a big part of the discussion was Board of Game Proposal 23, so the -- basically the exact same proposal, the use of snowmachine to harvest -- or to position wolves and wolverine was submitted to the Board of Game and it's Board of Game Proposal 23, and it was submitted by the Nushagak Advisory Committee and the working group wanted to hold its recommendation on 20-26 until Board of Game action on Proposal 23, however, we now know that due to Covid, the Board of Game won't meet on this issue until 2022, so the working group has now expressed a desire to take a lead on this issue rather than wait for the State.

So the working group has already supported expanding the analysis of this proposal to include all Federal lands in Units 9B and C and Unit 17B and C, so not just BLM lands, but all Federal lands, Park Service lands, U.S. Fish and Wildlife

Service lands. The working group is still considering Board guidance on identifying specific regulatory language that may reduce complexity between State and Federal regulations, assist enforcement in recognizing a traditional practice and provide clarities for hunters in consideration of Federal agency specific regulations.

So the next steps, you, as the proponent, Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council, can take, could include -- you could modify the proposal to use the same language the working group approved for Wildlife Proposal 20-27. You know, I'd note that this language was approved and passed by the Board, but that was for caribou.

2.0

You could proceed with the proposal as written. The only change being to expand the analysis and proposed regulation to include Park Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service lands in addition to BLM lands in Unit 9B and C, and Unit 17B and C.

Or you could come up with new proposed

language.

And you can do all these now on record or you could ask the working group to meet again and follow through on any of these options.

So, finally, to finish up, I would remind you that you, the Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council, you are the proponent of this proposal and the working group was formed to help inform your actions on this issue.

So with that I will complete my presentation and I'm ready for questions and support your discussion.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, Robbin. Excellent job. Like I said, my memory's gotten jumbled on it, but, yes, what she said. So any questions from Council members.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Or a comment.

```
MR. TREFON: I don't see any problems
 1
     with it, if I mean if you're shooting from a stationary
 2
 3
     snowmachine. If you're shooting from a moving machine
     that'd mean you're actually right next to the animal
 5
     going full bore all out. But it's a good idea, I like
 6
     it.
 7
 8
                     Thank you.
 9
10
                     Billy Trefon.
11
12
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Thank you,
     Billy. Yeah, and how do folks feel about expanding it
13
     to beyond BLM. It's our understanding from the working
14
     group that the original proposal language, they're
15
     seeking the most likely acceptable language but really
16
     we're hearing from the folks really out there that the
17
18
     more widely -- the more wider lands that could be
     included, the easier it would be to know you're in
19
     compliance, BLM lands in -- over here around Dillingham
2.0
21
     are kind of postage stamps with other lands around
22
     them, it makes a difference so.....
23
2.4
                     MR. TREFON: Yeah....
25
26
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Did
2.7
     somebody....
28
29
                     MR. TREFON: Yeah, I support
     completely. I mean it sounds like a great idea and
30
     most of 9B is within the Preserve, I'd like to know the
31
     Park Service's view on that because wolves are
32
33
     predatory and wolverines -- I mean I've never heard of
     anybody here hunting wolverine, trying to get it
34
     positioned, but, wolves, I've heard stories about
35
     people tracking wolves and hunting them with
36
37
     snowmachines. But it's kind of hard to shoot in my
     country from a moving machine, you're going to hit a
38
39
     tree or drive off a mountain.
40
41
                     (Laughter)
42
43
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah.
44
     anybody else.
45
46
                     MR. HILL: Mr. Chair, this is Lary.
47
48
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead, Lary.
```

1

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

Page 63

MR. HILL: Part of the words I heard was when you can use a snowmachine say with caribou and not to pursue a fleeing animal. Is there a footnote or anything like that through that -- through that stipulation because if the animal is wounded sometimes you have to go after it, you have to pursue it, and it will be fleeing, and so can we make a note on that somehow where if the animal is wounded, if you don't chase after it, it could run a quarter mile and hide from you and you won't be able to find it. It's like some of the ground cover being, you know, pretty thick, so is there a way we can address that particular set of circumstances?

1.3 14 15

16

17 18

19

2.0 21

22

23 2.4

25

26 2.7

28

29 30

31

32

33

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Well, Lary, this proposal addresses wolves and wolverine, I kind of hate to drag caribou back in it. The State regulation does have some more details, I think, about speed or -- and possibly distance. I don't have the regulation at my fingertips here but there was quite a discussion, the caribou aspect was addressed at a Board of Game meeting here in Dillingham, as Robbin outlined, but at this point if we could keep it to wolves and wolverine. would add that the Nushagak Advisory Committee has a similar, Proposal 23, they double downed on supporting it 11-0 at our December meeting prior to the Board of Game. And we have a couple of guys here real strong for it, one of them's our -- probably one of our biggest full-time trappers and he really wants to see it for wolf and wolverine from the standpoint of traditional practices plus up in Game Unit 18 and I think Robbin listed others, it's already allowed. out here we're saying if it's okay in Unit 18 it should be okay in 17.

34 35 36

But, anyway, before I dominate too much, any other comments.

37 38 39

Thank you.

40 41

MR. HILL: Dan, just go along with what Billy talked about, I like the idea of this extending beyond just BLM land.

43 44 45

42

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Agree. Thank you. Other people.

46 47 48

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Billy said....

2 3 4

1

MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, this is Robbin.

5

7

8

9

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:he wasn't aware of folks up his way. I know early on in my time here that I heard of both wolverine and wolves being hunted from snowmachines out in the Igiugig area, I suspect others, and they bump up against the Park. But did somebody else want to speak, go ahead.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28 29

30 31

10

MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, this is Robbin. I would just like to point out that when we -- if you decide, if you choose to expand the analysis to include all Federal lands, not just BLM lands, we run into agency specific regulation that conditions the use of snowmachine in such a manner as to prevent the herding, harassment, hazing or driving of wildlife. It doesn't specifically say you may not position an animal. some of it may be really dependent on how we define what it means to position. But given past Board action on this issue, it seems that there is an interpretation that to position an animal is equivalent to herding, harassing, hazing or driving. So I would just make note of that. It doesn't specifically state you may not position an animal anywhere in our regulations but I recognize Federal Board decisionmaking that has indicates there may be an interpretation, maybe for wildlife, maybe for land managers that equates positioning an animal with herding, harassing, hazing or driving.

32 33 34

Thanks.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, thank you. And I think we talked about that in the working group and, in particular, that's -- like, I think, BLM is maybe the least restrictive, and activities in the Park/Preserve areas is probably the most restrictive, and, yeah, we're real aware that how do we navigate all those. But what's the pleasure of the Council.

42 43 44

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ WILSON: Rich Wilson here. I'd be willing to move on it.

45 46 47

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Could you, you know, put a few more words in there on what you would like to move ${\it --}$ okay.

49 50

```
MR. WILSON: Well, please identify it
 1
2
     for me, is that WP20-26?
 4
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
5
 6
                     MR. WILSON: Okay. I'll move to
 7
     approve WP20-26.
8
9
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: And would that
     expand this proposal to include other Federal lands,
10
11
     beyond BLM?
12
13
                     MR. WILSON:
                                  Yes, it would. Thanks for
14
     adding that. Yes, that was my intent.
15
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay.
16
     you. I guess so that's your motion, is there a second.
17
18
19
                     MR. HILL: This is Lary Hill. Second.
20
21
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, Lary,
22
     second. Is there any other discussion.
23
2.4
                     (No comments)
25
26
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Is there any of
     the agencies that want to speak up -- over -- or
27
28
     public.
29
30
                     MR. TREFON:
                                  Question. Billy.
31
32
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, this is Donald.
33
34
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I think I heard
35
     Billy and then Donald, did you have something Billy.
36
37
                     MR. TREFON: I was calling for
38
     question.
39
40
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Donald,
41
     go ahead.
42
43
                     MR. MIKE: Yes, just to clarify the
     maker of the motion by Mr. Richard Wilson to adopt 20-
44
     26, is that the motion to clarify the current analysis
45
     we have to expand or to extend positioning of animals
46
     beyond BLM lands; is that correct, maker of the motion?
47
48
49
                     MR. WILSON: Rich Wilson, yes, that is
50
```

```
correct. All Federal eligible lands.
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. MIKE: Okay. So the motion, if you
 4
     can help me out, Ms. Robbin LaVine, the motion was to
     adopt WP20-26 to expand the current analysis that are
 5
 6
     currently being developed right now, is to expand the
 7
     analysis to include all lands beyond -- all Federal
 8
     lands beyond BLM managed lands in Unit 9 and Unit 17.
 9
     Robbin, is that what you heard?
10
11
                     MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair. Yes, this is
12
     Robbin LaVine.
                     And I'm understanding that the Board --
13
     sorry, the Council -- would like to proceed with the
     proposal as written, the only modification is that the
14
     proposal will expand to include all Federal lands in
15
     Units 9B and C and Units 17B and C, but that the
16
     regulatory language will not be changed, it will remain
17
18
     as written.
19
2.0
                     MR. MIKE: Okay. Mr. Chair, does the
21
     maker of the motion agree with that?
2.2
23
                     MR. WILSON: Rich Wilson, here.
2.4
     it was said nicely, thanks.
25
26
                     MR. MIKE: Okay. And the second was
2.7
     called by Lary Hill.
28
29
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Yes.
30
31
                     MR. MIKE: Okay. Mr. Chair, if you're
32
     ready for a roll call vote.
33
34
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Yes, sir, I
35
     believe Billy called the question so please proceed.
36
37
                     MR. MIKE: Okay. Roll call vote on
38
     motion to accept WP20-26 to expand the current analysis
39
     to include all Federal lands beyond BLM lands.
40
41
                     Mr. Billy Trefon.
42
43
                     MR. TREFON: Yes, I approve.
44
45
                     MR. MIKE: Samantha Herrick.
46
47
                     MS. HERRICK: Yes.
48
49
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Dan Dunaway.
```

1		ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		MR. MIKE: Mr. Lary Hill.
5		MR. HILL: Yes.
7		MR. MIKE: Mr. Robert Larson.
9		MR. LARSON: Yes, I approve.
11 12		MR. MIKE: And Mr. Richard Wilson.
13 14		MR. WILSON: Yes.
15 16 17	passes. Thank y	MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, the motion you.
18 19 20 21 22	very much. Than	ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you aks for all the input on there. So, we new business. Do we need a break or on.
23 24		MR. MIKE: I need a break.
25		ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Let's te break, thank you, I could use one
29 30		(Off record)
31 32		(On record)
33		ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: We've got Lary Billy and myself, and I don't know tha.
		MR. MIKE: Okay, Mr. Chair, I can do a of all the Council members.
40 41 42	ahead please.	ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: If you would, go
43 44		MR. MIKE: Okay.
45 46		Billy Trefon.
47 48		MR. TREFON: Yep, go ahead.
49 50		MR. MIKE: Samantha Herrick.

```
MS. HERRICK: I'm here.
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. MIKE: Dan Dunaway.
 4
 5
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Here.
 7
                     MR. MIKE:
                               Lary Hill.
 8
 9
                     MR. HILL:
                                Here.
10
11
                     MR. MIKE: Robert Larson.
12
13
                     MR. LARSON:
                                  Here.
14
                     MR. MIKE: Richard Wilson.
15
16
17
                     MR. WILSON: Here.
18
19
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, we have everyone
2.0
     present.
21
2.2
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you,
23
     everybody. So we were just starting new business and
     first on the list there is the call for Federal
24
25
     wildlife proposals. And were we going to have wildlife
     status updates at this point, is that what -- what's
26
     the pleasure of the Council here -- okay.
27
28
29
                     MR. TREFON: I'd like to hear a report
30
     from the agencies -- Billy.
31
32
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Okay. Sometimes
33
     it makes sense to hear from the agencies before we
     plunge off into proposals, kind of know what's needed
34
     versus what's already done. So how do we normally do
35
     this, Donald.
36
37
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, Donald Mike,
38
     Council Coordinator. First of all the call for
39
40
     wildlife proposals, just some background information
     for the Council's reference, begins on Page 36 of your
41
     meeting materials. How to submit a proposal to change
42
     Federal subsistence regulations. And if there's any
4.3
     specific wildlife issues that the Council has and any
44
     potential proposals coming of that, the Council can
45
     request some status updates on any wildlife population.
46
47
48
                     Thank you.
49
50
```

```
ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. This is
 1
 2
    how to change the proposal, there's a whole process.
 3
     Yes, well, we do have a lot going on in the Game Unit
     17 big game population. But I guess first off, does
 4
     people have -- I think it might be more streamlined if
 5
 6
     we have any people who want to make a proposal right
 7
     now to maybe discuss that briefly. Because I know we
     get into the wildlife populations -- could run awhile
 8
 9
     -- okay.
10
11
                     MR. BURCH: Mr. Chair, I might give you
12
     a little bit of an idea. This is Mark Burch from Fish
     and Game. Just give you an idea of kind of what's on
13
     deck if you'd care to hear that.
14
15
16
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Yeah, Mark,
17
     yeah, I think we'd appreciate that, go ahead.
18
                     MR. BURCH: We have Todd Rinaldi, who
19
     is the management coordinator, online, and he's
2.0
21
     prepared to give an update on the Mulchatna and some of
22
     the work that we've been doing out there over time.
     And, of course, he is the supervisor of the area
23
2.4
     biologist who's also online, and can speak to other
25
     game species such as moose and that kind of thing, if
     you're interested. And then Rick Merizon is also
26
2.7
     online, you might recall he's our program lead for
     small game, so he can talk about hares and ptarmigan,
28
29
     especially.
30
31
                     Thank you.
32
33
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Okay.
34
     Council....
35
36
                     MR. WALSH: Mr. Chair.
37
38
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Yes, sir.
39
40
                     MR. WALSH: Mr. Chair, this is Pat
41
     Walsh.
42
43
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Go ahead, Pat.
44
                     MR. WALSH: Yeah, I've also -- the
45
46
     Council asked us to prepare a presentation for this
     meeting on wolf and bear predation on Togiak Refuge
47
48
     moose and I have information for you on that topic.
49
```

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Excellent. What you say, Council, should we hear from these guys first, or if -- or if you have some burning issues, at least do a head's up before we go on to that -- go ahead.

5 6 7

1

2 3

4

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ WILSON: Rich Wilson. I'll wait for some discussion from agencies.

8 9 10

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thanks, Richard. Billy said about the same thing. Anybody else.

11 12 13

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ LARSON: Robert Larson, I'll hear from them also.

14 15 16

17

18

19

2.0

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, okay. Let's proceed with Fish and Game comments here then because, yeah, this latest development of caribou is, I think, has got all our attention. So, Mark, if you could kind of work with Todd and you guys run through your information, go ahead.

212223

MR. BURCH: Yes, Mr. Chair. I think Todd is ready to go, thank you.

242526

MR. RINALDI: Good morning. This is Todd Rinaldi with Fish and Game in Palmer, can you hear me?

28 29

27

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Loud and clear.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MR. RINALDI: Great. Well, thanks for having me this morning. There's a number of topics that I think you want to hear about, things I've gleaned from this morning's conversation and from information requests in between meetings. I hope this morning to give you an update on the status of the Mulchatna caribou, including -- well, nothing's changed since we spoke last about population, but we do have some composition information. I'm going to speak a little bit about wolves and wolf distribution in the area. I'm going to speak a little bit about calf mortality and causes of mortality. And then I can speak to brucellosis and specifically talk about -there were some questions here about how to tell if meat's infected, I can speak to that. And then, finally, I'll finish up speaking about Unit 17A moose as it pertains to RM575 and 576, which closed on Monday.

So does that sound like a plan, is there anything else that you'd like me to discuss beyond that?

3 4 5

1 2

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I think that's a pretty good list so go ahead.

6 7 8

9

10

11 12 MR. RINALDI: All right. So we talked not too long ago about the status of the Mulchatna Herd so I'm just going to give you an overview on some of the S&I, the survey and inventory activities that we've been engaged in. I think we spoke in the fall. So this information really hasn't changed.

13 14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

2627

28 29

30

But, you know, for a number of years, going back well, five, six, seven, eight years, we had indications through S&I activities, through calf mortality studies, through composition assessments that the herd was actually growing and we had some information, even some population information to suggest that. They were a couple years towards 2017 and 2018, I think we struggled to get a survey in, but we completed a survey in 2019 which revealed that we were down to 13,500 animals. At the time there was a lot of discussion and question as to whether or not we adequately surveyed and sampled all the animals in the area. We were pretty confident in 2019 -- but we went forth in 2020 in June and July of 2020, despite the pandemic and we flew a lot of airplanes and a lot of time and a considerable amount of money out there to determine whether or not we had missed any animals.

31 32 33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47 48

49

50

Our survey technique uses collared animals and known groups of animals to find uncollared animals in unknown groups of animals. So we had five planes, including a 206, and a couple of 185s and SuperCubs flying for at least five days before the survey. When the animals grouped up we completed the photo survey and we came up with pretty much the same exact number as in past years, which is 13,500. And as a result of that, as you know, we looked at some ratios, bull to cow ratios and determined that we would probably be able to hold a fall hunt, limited to bulls, and that hunt -- we issued close to 800 permits, about half of those people reported as hunting and the reported take across the full range of RC503 was about 55 caribou from those 800 permits. The season closed at the end of September as scheduled and the winter season did not open. October rolled around and we had

an opportunity to get people into Dillingham and to complete a composition assessment of the herd. We spent two days, actually locating and comping a substantial portion of the herd. We completed this on October 19th and the -- well, let me back up here -- we determined a combined ratio of 36 calves per 100 cows which is higher than last year, 2019, and is above the 30 to 100 objective for the second time in the past seven years. The percent calves in the herd, which is 21 percent is slightly higher than in 2019 and it's also the highest since regulatory year 2010.

(Cell phone ringing)

MR. RINALDI: Excuse me. The percent of bulls in the herd is 19 percent and is the lowest marginally since RY13, but combining the bull to cow ratios, if we look at them as combined, instead of east and west, they are actually meeting objective. The total sample size for the composition was 5,400 caribou and the total number of caribou counted in both segments is 5,780 caribou.

So with that information, our current calf to cow ratio is 36, the objective is 30. The bull to cow ratio is 35 and it's currently at 34. It was at 42 in the previous year.

So basically what's that telling us now — I guess the other important piece of information that came out of this composition assessment is the fact that we have a very large group of young bulls, three year old bulls moving up, and we had to go out a second day to make sure that we classified those animals right because it was a really high percentage of bulls within that age cohort. We believe that this is a huge growth spurt spurred on by a relatively lean winter and a relatively low predation spring in the calving areas.

So that brings us up to the comp surveys in the fall.

I guess I'll speak a little bit about the wolf work that we're doing currently. As you know there is same day airborne as part of a wolf predation control plan in portions of Units 17, 9 and 19. The area has two -- the area comprises almost 10,000 square miles which is what we were allocated by the Board of

Game, and we can arrange that predation control area, we can separate it, segment it and move it around so that we can best cover the current calving grounds of the Mulchatna caribou. There are two predation control areas out there. There is the Kimuk area, which is a smaller area located near Kimuk and it's an area that doesn't open up until February 1st. So it just opened up for predation control. And that's basically a handshake agreement with the local communities to allow for local hunters and trappers to get out there in November, December and January and try to take some of those wolves on the ground through trapping and hunting methods, and as I've heard earlier this morning, the conversation that sounds like that's been pretty productive this winter.

As far as wolf numbers go, we have a wolf project going on. We've been collaring a number of wolves each year and trying to determine distribution and abundance and trying to answer some questions related to the effects of wolf removal and caribou survival. As you can imagine collaring wolves in a predation controlled area where there also can be a high level of hunting and trapping can be a challenge for the Department and frustrating for the researchers on the ground to try to keep those collars out on wolves, but that's part of the information we're looking for. So unfortunately it's an artifact of the project that we've undertaken.

Numbers. I completed a wolf assessment out in Unit 17, 9 and -- well, 17 and 19 back in -many years back when I was a researcher. The takeaway message from that work was that we were seeing group sizes of wolves ranging from two to six with an average of four. Now, this was a while ago. The minimum density of wolves was estimated at 1.8 wolves per thousand square kilometers so that's about 4.7 wolves per thousand mile square and that's a number that our researchers have come up with through alternative methods through the collaring and capturing efforts out there in Unit 17. We can't say anything definitively about the role of wolves out there other than, you know, what we've presented to the Board and how we're addressing the predation issues and the growth of the Mulchatna Caribou Herd, but we feel that that number is 4.7 wolves per thousand square miles is a general -it's an average of most areas in the state where you would expect a healthy wolf population. Obviously this number can be higher in years where low trapping and no SDA activity is occurring due to weather and participation, and I'm sure pack sizes are varying higher than two to six as the dynamism of wolf removal and wolf recolonization takes place in Units 17, 9 and 19 and 9 [sic].

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

2.0

21

22

23 24

25

2627

28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

1

2

4

5

So one of the other projects that -one of the other research projects that has been going on for a considerable period of time is the calf mortality and survival project. The calf mortality and survival project started in 2011 and went to 2014, that was the same time that we did that original wolf evaluation and there's a second effort of caribou mortality and survival, that's a project that's been going on since 2017 and is funded at least until next year. Adult survival. We've been going back and looking at adult survival with the full 30 years of information that we have. It's one of the pieces that we haven't been focused closely on because we've been focused on the causes of neonatal mortality and productivity and parturition but it is something that we are evaluating currently and will be able to assess adult survival in addition to neonatal survival. And one of the new projects that's also come on board since we have a new caribou research biologist, is, you know, we'll continue to be looking at abundance and survival but they're also looking at body condition and using fat index scores amongst other things to evaluate the health of the herd and obviously we'll continue to sample the traditional samples that we take from animals that we handle. Those samples are regularly screened through our department vet and through DEC and through that regular screening is how we detected the brucellosis which I will talk to you in a little bit

36 37 38

39

40 41

42 43

44 45

46 47

48

So moving a little bit further ahead on the control -- I'm sorry on the Mulchatna calf survival information. We have basically captured almost 700 calves during this time, 350 females and 300 males and for some reason there's 50 unknown calves with an unknown sex. This includes capture, radio collaring. We try to do a cause of death determination and that's through birth through 15 days of age. So that's that term neonate that we use or neonatal mortality. That's basically a -- it's just a newborn basically in the first two weeks of life is how we use that term, at least in -- for Fish and Game, and in the community.

And then we also try to determine the fate of nonneonates -- and as I mentioned we're going to be doing that survival analysis to have more information.

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

2324

2

One of the things that's really interesting to note, in addition to that slug of young bulls that seem to be in the two to three year range that are coming up, I think that should add heartedly to our productivity. The mean capture weights of our neonates, of our calves, they've been big and healthy and they've been big and healthy through the years, that we've also just run brucellosis -- brucellosis analysis on and, you know, one of the things that can be a sign of brucellosis is young -- obviously it's abortions and a few other things, but it's -- it can be giving birth to calves that don't have the brucellosis but they are compromised and have a tough time of making it happen when you being life with a deficit. But our newborn calf weights have been very healthy and in the last few years they've been extremely high. We basically have seen the males go from 7.9, back when I was working on this project in 2011, to an average of 9.7, and that's kilograms, so those are pretty fat little calves in some of the more recent years, so that's a plus.

252627

28 29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49

50

The short yearlings -- the short yearlings are the animals, those are the calves that were born in the previous summer, we call them short yearlings because we handle them and evaluate them at 10 months of life, it puts it in March typically, and so they're just short of a year, hence the name. One of the things that we've observed over the last 20 years is that the body mass -- there was a real low body mass reported, so body mass essentially weight, low body mass reported post the 1996 decline. So we were starting at a really low number. And the first information we have on that puts the mean weight under 105 pounds -- so kilograms is 2.2 so 105 pounds is -that's pretty -- well, we won't do that calculation, I'll just talk in pounds for the purpose of this. We started off in 2002 -- 2000 with really low body mass weight of under 105, it has risen steadily since that time and as I mentioned with the neonates we're now averaging 125 pounds plus for our short yearlings. haven't been able to get information from short yearlings last year due to the pandemic and I think there might have been an issue -- anyway, the story is is that we're going to be going out and getting

additional short yearling weights this year. The overwinter survival is an important piece that we need to look a little more closely at and the short yearlings is the group that we'll be looking to. So that's a plus. Those are both positive signs with the herd and those are signs that we've been observing for a number of years. That, combined with that slug of young bulls has us pretty optimistic that we might see — continue seeing upwards trends — I know we've said that before but we have a full suite of information that is suggesting that despite the brucellosis information.

12 13 14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0

21

22

23 24

25

2627

28 29

30 31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

44 45

46

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

So when we look at neonatal mortality, calf mortality in the first 15 days of life, predation in our study is accounting for 85 percent of the mortality. 15 percent of it was due to nonpredation. Unfortunately a lot of those little calves out there -we have found them laying on the ground, I don't have the numbers specifically for that, but the nonpredation, 70 percent of it is drowning and I'm guessing that a lot of you folks have seen this happen at different times of the year. You've got all those little rivulets everywhere and those little feeder streams and cutting across the tundra and those little -- if mom jumps across it or runs through it and then the little moose calf or the little caribou calf can't get out of that little slough and then they end up getting hypothermic and end up usually drowning. So 70 percent of our calves that we handle drowned. 26 ended up -- 26 percent ended up in starvation and that could be due to a variety of causes, and four percent are If we look a little bit closer at the unknown. predation portion of the cause of death in 44 percent of the calves that were preyed upon were preyed upon by brown bears; 26 percent were preyed upon by wolves, we had 7 percent by black bears -- not a lot of black bears in the area to begin with, general bear is another 6 percent, meaning that we couldn't really determine the difference between the two; golden eagles have accounted for 8 percent, and that's been mostly observed, obviously in Unit 17 but up in the northeast portion up near the Park and up near Tundra Lake and some of those areas where we've seen a lot of golden eagles coming out of the hills and focusing on caribou calves at that time of year; and then one percent is wolverine and 8 percent is unknown predation.

So if we look at the proportion of

radio collared kills attributed to bears and wolves, it basically starts off with bears having a higher proportion killed in the first week of life and -- and then the proportion of calves taken by wolves increases in the second week of life, and that may be -- and that may have something to do with prey shifting, you know, we've observed that in other areas where bears are focused a little more on moose calves in Unit 13 and some other areas and wolves are a little bit more focused on the abundant caribou calves. We're not sure what the effect is here. Obviously if we have removed a significant number of caribou calves from the calving area we might see a shift in predation as a result of those lower wolf numbers. So a lot of good information there but we're still going to need some pieces to -to tease the rest of it out.

So I'm going to leave it there for now for the Mulchatna population.

And I'm going to talk a little bit about brucellosis right now, but because I'm jumping around to a bunch of different -- I mean it's related to Mulchatna but because I'm jumping to some topics, what if I pause here and take some questions on the first half of this presentation.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, thanks, Todd. That is probably good to take a break, you were whipping through a lot of stuff there. Anybody have questions, speak up.

MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, this is Donald.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, Donald.

 MR. MIKE: Yeah, just quickly, you know, we're on new business, call for wildlife proposals and wildlife status updates, but before we take up any potential proposals we have an update on the proposed rule process and when we're done with this section we can move on to that section.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, yeah, thanks for the reminder. And you might have to remind me again so -- but anybody have specific questions for Mr. Rinaldi -- over.

1

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12 13

14

15

16

1718

19

20 21

2223

24

25

26

2728

29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49 50 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ TREFON: This is Billy, no, I'm just curious to hear about this infection that the caribou herd has and is it edible.

MR. RINALDI: Yeah, that's the next thing that I'll get into and I'll specifically talk about that. I'll provide you guys with some resources that I'll email to you as well and some of you may have already received them but I'll make sure that you guys get them, or I'll get into that in a minute -- or, I've already started so maybe I'll just go with it.

 $\label{eq:acting chair dunaway: Well, I had one quick one for your Todd, I.....$

MR. RINALDI: Okay.

MR. RINALDI: Sure.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, if nobody else has a question. I didn't hear you mention coyote predation. It's been some time but there was a big search and rescue flight effort here, early in my career here, and some of my friends that flew it came back surprised how many coyotes they were seeing. I haven't come across that many recently but could you differentiate between wolves and coyotes out there or....

MR. RINALDI: Yeah, so in most areas of the state where we see reductions of wolf populations, and, again, wolf, coyote distribution in your area has been a recent -- they're recent colonizers in the west and certainly out to the southwest, they are pretty prolific all the way out to -- God, I think all the way out to Cold Bay now, but when we see wolf predation, wolf removal or reduction in wolf numbers we often see an increase in coyote numbers and wolverine numbers. The big take home that our Staff have seen, not only back in 2012 when I was doing that wolf work, but even more recent stuff, is we're seeing a really high number of wolverine tracks and activity, which is good. We haven't had any calves documented as being killed by any -- killed by a coyote. We don't have much information on coyotes because coyotes are not required to be sealed in most areas of the state so we don't get

many information -- we don't get much -- we don't really get any information outside of the trapper questionnaire about coyote harvest, which can be a problem.

4 5 6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0

1

2

I am pretty familiar with the I haven't seen much to discuss about literature. coyotes and caribou calves, you know, when you have these bigger -- my sense is that the coyotes are probably a lot more opportunistic and scavengers out there much like the wolverines. They certainly have it in their capacity to take down a caribou calf, we've seen it with sheep ewes before but, you know, with the other predators on the calving grounds at that time and with the larger cows with antlers and stuff, it would probably be difficult for a single coyote to have any effect. Obviously covotes can hunt in packs again -but the long answer short is we don't have much information and we haven't documented any coyotes taking any calves, not to say that it hasn't happened but it's likely rare.

212223

2.4

25

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you. Yeah, I figure a 17 to say 25 pound calf, it sounds like if they could catch them it wouldn't.....

2627

MR. RINALDI: Yeah.

28 29

30 31 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:be too tough a deal. Okay, well, I don't want to prolong things so if you want to go -- unless there's any other questions lets go on to brucellosis -- okay.

32 33 34

35 36

37

MR. TREFON: This is Billy. You actually brought up one interesting question about the coyote. I've been watching videos of feral pig hunts and coyotes, what is the population growth if they do kind of come into an area?

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

MR. RINALDI: Well, it really depends. They are easily preyed upon by -- not necessarily fed upon, but it can happen, by both bears and wolves and obviously they have other relationships with other furbearers. As I mentioned before the coyotes in your area are relatively recent colonizers. They've actually moved all the way out to the Peninsula. But, again, we have very little information about coyote populations. We have information about ranges and we have some anecdotal information from hunters. One of

1

2

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

the interesting trappers -- one of the interesting things about coyotes though is when they come into denning in April and May, they are one of the few species that'll actually move their den during the denning season. They will actually pick up their pups and move them to new site and that makes them pretty suspectible to harvest in April and in May. But I really don't have a good answer. You know, I think they are really are mostly -- I mean obviously they rely more on the smaller micro -- the smaller voles, the mice, the hare, and obviously during hare years there can be a direct competition with lynx and some other species for that prey. So they're really limited by the prey that's available and also by the predation or the other pressures from competition that they may feel from bigger predators. It kind of keeps it in check.

17 18 19

20

23

2.4

25

2627

28 29

30

31 32

33

34 35 MR. TREFON: Okay, that makes sense. Because I've only seen one in my entire life and.....

2122

MR. RINALDI: Yeah. I've flown a lot and only seen a couple of -- you know I did a lot of wolf work in the early 2000s and late '90s and in 13 and out here and I very rarely see a coyote and I've only seen one at a time -- well, I saw a pair once. But -- now the big take home from the field work that I did out there, and of course it's a few years ago, but when they were doing some work out there a couple of winters ago, Lauren, you know, our last temporary AB, she had commented as well as Nick, who is our -- is our wolf researcher out there, they both commented on the number of wolverine tracks that they seen, especially in the north and especially as you go north into 19, so that's a good sign. But, yeah, not much on the -- not much on the coyote front.

36 37 38

39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47 48

49

50

Just an anecdotal thing, you know, we had wolf control and removal in Unit 16 for a number of years and that place, it had -- it was one of the slower places to recolonize -- Unit 13 recolonizes within a season and they reestablish traditional territories, even if there isn't an ancestral linkage to those previous populations, and so Unit 16 did not colonize -- recolonize as quickly as we had expected. But in that time we did see -- we did have more observations of coyotes and that may be because it's one of the few dogs that you're kind of seeing out on the landscape and those are the few tracks that you're

seeing. Obviously tracks can be different sizes. But even in that situation we didn't see an explosion of coyote activity in an area where the wolf activity was low for four or five years after we ceased wolf control.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{So}}$$ really anecdotal, but just something for context there.

MR. TREFON: Thank you.

MR. RINALDI: So, yes, brucellosis, so we regularly collect blood samples from all the animals that we handle and from those blood and tissue samples we can submit -- we archive this for future studies, for future genetic and disease screening opportunities, and that's what happened with this suite of samples from the past few years from Unit 17.

 The research monitoring efforts on the Mulchatna identified a higher than normal prevalence of antibodies to a bacterin called brucellosis-souv, I guess, of Variant4, so much like Covid19, this is Variant4, so this is brucellosis-souvNo.4. And so this is a lot higher compared to other herds and it will lead to a disease known as brucellosis in both wild caribou and moose and domestic ungulates, reindeer, bison and cattle, although it seems to be a different -- a different variant of brucellosis in bison and cattle.

Observations of caribou with a typical swollen front knee or an enlarged scrotum and the detection of the bacteria in the tissues of two dead caribou from this past fall further support the findings of an increase of brucellosis in the herd. The bacteria are mainly spreading amongst caribou from contact with birthing fluids during calving and humans can become infected with the brucella bacteria if they come in contact with bodily fluids of infected animals. So this is important, obviously because it has ramifications for human health. But this is another piece of the puzzle that will add to our understanding of what's going on with the Mulchatna caribou, and it might help us answer some of the adult survival questions and a few other things. You know, we have observed swollen knees. We have observed maybe a prolapsed uterus, or maybe an abortion in the past few years, but we're not seeing prolific signs of it.

However, the bacteria has been detected and it's been detected at a very high -- or relatively high rate.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

1

So what can it do to humans. In the short-term, people who become infected with brucella bacteria, they often exhibit flu-like symptoms, which is not what we need right now, under our current pandemic. That includes a fever that comes and goes, chills, loss of appetite, sweats and fatigue. Untreated, infections can cause severe medical complications but they are treatable with multiple rounds of antibiotics.

12 13 14

15

16

17 18 And so what can we do to avoid infection. You know, well, first off there is no harvest of the Mulchatna caribou currently across its full range so no animals should be taken for any purposes currently right now. That's the first step to avoid infection.

19 20 21

22

23

2425

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

Hunters should be aware of the constant low level presence and the potential risk of brucellosis in caribou herds, and it's not the Mulchatna, but, you know, it's the Western Arctic, the Teshekpuk, the Central Arctic and Porcupine, it is something that occurs in all these herds at low levels. There was -- Western Arctic had a break, an outbreak a few years ago, as I've been told. So when folks are butchering animals that have potentially been infected, they should avoid touching the blood or any body fluids from the animals, so wearing gloves and thoroughly washing your hands, and all of your processing is really important. Do not cut into enlarged or abnormally appearing organs or meat, and do not cut into the womb. So a lot of this fluid is actually in the womb and so it's really important about not poking the uterus and popping it open. Smoking, drying and pickling may not kill all the potential pathogens in the game meat, and I know that's unfortunate, but you need to cook all the meat thoroughly to a minimum temperature of 165. Wash your hands, your knives, the food processing surfaces with hot, soapy water after handling the meat, and do not consume raw bone marrow as this is a high risk area for infection with the brucellosis. And, finally, do not feed diseased or uncooked parts to pets, because they can get sick and carry it as well.

So what are we doing as we move forward

here. So the Division of Wildlife Conservation monitors the health of caribou and that, as I mentioned before, does include the periodic testing specifically for brucellosis by animals handled by Staff and as well as harvested wildlife with potential signs of disease. We have no reports from the public this year of any diseased animals encountered through the fall hunt. We will continue to monitor and investigate the prevalence of brucella as well as several other factors that may be contributing to the population decline.

So what we're asking folks to do or to be aware is that if you are experience symptoms and they are concerned about a possible infection, you should tell your health care provider that you may have been exposed to Brucella, and if signs of the disease are seen in wildlife, it should be reported to the Department of Fish and Game as soon as possible, and that can be reported to any Fish and Game office.

We have some additional information for best practices to prevent infections and how to report a diseased caribou. We have a wildlife parasites and diseases web page, which I can send the link to the group and also there's a bulletin called brucellosis, answers to frequently asked questions, and that was issued by the Alaska Native Health Consortium and that's also on our web -- we have that linked to our website and I will provide that information for you.

Any questions.

 MR. TREFON: Billy here, I got one. Do you have any human cases of -- anybody get in contact with it?

 MR. RINALDI: No, not that I know of. I do know that there was a case in the YK Delta in the last 20 years, I don't remember when that was, but it was not in the last four or five years and it doesn't seem to be related to this current situation. So fortunately it is pretty rare that it happens, but it does happen.

MR. TREFON: Thank you.

MR. WILSON: Through the Chair, Rich Wilson here. Todd, what about the North Peninsula Herd, any there, and then in that small herd that lives

out at Kukotlik, any studies there?

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

MR. RINALDI: Yeah, because the Department and Togiak Fish and Wildlife Refuge have been handling the Nushagak caribou we do have blood samples from them and they have been getting screened regularly. We are going to be sending more samples to the lab to see if we can determine if we can see a rise or a decline, and the occurrence of the bacteria. You know, one of the things that we're talking about is the prevalence of the bacteria, so it's occurring, but, you know, prevalence doesn't mean that it's manifesting itself into sick animals or dying animals, which can be problematic, so the bacteria can still be there, it might not be manifesting itself, much like Covid and asymptomatic symptoms. But we will be taking samples from animals that we handle this spring, if we are able to do captures, as well as historic samples from the Nushagak, if they haven't already been submitted, we'll make sure that they get submitted because there's obviously a very good opportunity for that to spread between herds whether it be from predators or from the animals themselves.

232425

MR. WILSON: Okay. I was requesting the North Peninsula Herd here on the.....

26 27 28

MR. RINALDI: Oh, I'm sorry you said the NAP, not the Nushagak.

29 30 31

MR. WILSON: No, I said, yeah, the North Peninsula, yeah, that one there, and then the Kukotlik, the little bunch there, any word on those?

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

32

MR. RINALDI: Yeah, that little bunch there, you know, I think we're going to probably try to put some collars on those animals because, you know, we've had questions and we've had conversations about them for awhile so we're going to want to make sure that the next capture opportunity we get out there that we put some collars on there. I don't think we have any collars in there currently. And so that would be the first opportunity that we're able to take samples from that seemingly isolated group.

44 45 46

47

The same with the NAP, Dave's got some -- well, I don't think Dave Crowley's doing any capture work this spring. He's got some moose captures going and he's got a bunch of parturition work on his three

herds but he won't be handling animals, but he'll be observing all three of those herds this spring. situation as with the Nushagak Peninsula, or even the SAP or even the Unimak Herd for that matter. We regularly submit these samples for disease screening and we only really hear back if there's a positive or an issue, so we'll be continuing to monitor, not only this herd, but different herds.

MR. WILSON: So at this time you're saying that you haven't heard anything from the NAP population yet?

MR. RINALDI: No, we haven't detected it. We haven't detected it in the NAP or the SAP or the Unimak Herd.

MR. WILSON: Okay, appreciate it,

thanks.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Anybody else.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Say, I don't know if it's your phone running down, Richard, but it's getting a little crackly when you talk. But, anyway, thanks, Todd, I.....

MR. RINALDI: I do have -- if you would, I do have a few more pieces of information and I have some stuff to talk about in 17A, too, if you guys want a quick update on that.

33 34 35

32

Well, you may as ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: well, go ahead.

36 37 38

39 40

41 42

43

44

45

46 47

48 49

50

MR. RINALDI: Okay. So I guess the other take home message from the Mulchatna caribou is we're starting -- we're meeting -- multi-agencies are meeting to discuss a plan and moving forward with the potential of -- with a potential plan so we've been discussing this pretty regularly with our Federal counterparts. Right now we are not seeing a harvestable surplus on the Mulchatna Caribou Herd, and we don't expect anything to change dramatically in the next couple years. So while there hasn't been a decision to not hold the hunt this year, it's very likely that we're not going to be able to have any

opportunity for the Mulchatna caribou this year based on the numbers.

2 3 4

5

6

7

1

So just wanted to give you a little head's up on that. Like I said it hasn't been solidified in stone. We are going back to the Board to discuss some things in March -- 19th, or something like that, so that may come up again.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

2.7

28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

And then so finally, you know, unfortunately we weren't able to hold the 17C and 17B late season moose hunt this year. It's 585, I believe it is. In part, because in Unit 17, we had a pretty high fall harvest and participation, and that 585 is a winter hunt that's to be announced, and that's to be announced when we have the surplus animals to be able to take, and considering the number of animals that were taken this fall we did not open up the 585 hunt in 17B and 17C because of declining, or reduced populations and some of the objectives not being met. So what we did, knowing that that was an issue and knowing that there was reduced opportunities on Mulchatna, we really tried to get folks to focus on 17A moose where we are currently above objectives out there. And so we tried to provide as much of a subsistence opportunity, because the population is well over objective, and currently the -- the community, or the group management plan that was developed with, I believe members of the RACs, ACs, and Togiak, it doesn't address the current situation that we're in, being the 800 plus moose over our current population objective. So we opened up RM565 and 56 -- no, wait a minute I got it backwards, I screwed up my numbers -we opened up those registration -- 575 and 576. One is for an antlered bull and one is for an antlerless moose, and if you did not take a moose in the fall in the 2020 season you could have been afforded two moose in that hunt, one antlerless and one antlered. If vou took a moose in the fall elsewhere you were only allowed one additional moose. And people took advantage of it. We provided -- we were able to provide a substantial food opportunity, subsistence opportunity this fall.

43 44 45

46 47

48

49

50

The previous harvest in this hunt -this is one of -- this is that hunt that is to open up
for a 31 day period between December and February, I
believe is what it is, the past X number of years we
tried to open it up when the snow conditions and travel

conditions are ripe. Unfortunately usually when 2 they're ripe they deteriorate a couple days later. 3 That's been a problem for us. And so we've had to do 4 extensions to this hunt by emergency order the last few 5 years. There is a Board of Game proposal for next year that is looking -- that we proposed and submitted to 6 7 the Board of Game that would make this hunt a fixed 8 window of 60 days or 61 days or something -- 60 days, I believe, it would still close by EO when the quota is 9 reached, and we hope that that's going to be a better 10 way of providing a more substantial opportunity for 11 12 this late winter, or mid-winter hunt, as late moose 13 season opportunity. Over the last number of years we've been -- the number of animals has kind of -- has 14 kind of increased, we took 29, 28 and 23 animals in 15 2016, '17 and '18. We took 48 animals -- 48 moose last 16 winter in 2019 and this winter, because we did not 17 18 close the hunt, and we did not cap the quota because we're so far above the objective right now, we 19 2.0 harvested 84 moose out of those two hunts. A 2.1 substantial number of those were cow moose from RM576. 22 So we've essentially doubled the harvest in that area this season and I'm hoping that that provides a 23 2.4 significant food source to the folks out there in the absence of other subsistence opportunities. 25 26

2.7 28

29

Thanks.

30 31 32

Oh, and the hunt did close on Monday and so we're asking everyone to -- we put out a press release today asking everyone to submit their hunt reports as soon as possible so we can finalize this hunt and have some information.

33 34 3.5

Thank you.

36 37

38

39

40

MR. TREFON: I got -- this is Billy, I got one more question about the Mulchatna Herd. Have their ranges changed, which also has been a question that you might be the person to answer -- and has the caribou been coming into 9B?

41 42 4.3

44

MR. RINALDI: You know, there's a few that are coming into 9B. There's a group that's down by Portage Lake now.....

45 46 47

MR. TREFON: But that's.....

48

MR. RINALDI:but for the most

```
part there hasn't been -- I mean they're starting to
    move down there, there's that group down by Iliamna and
 2
 3
    stuff but for the most part the two main groups of the
     Mulchatna are Central, Northern, Unit 17 and Central
 4
     Southern Unit -- I quess Central Unit 18. They have
 5
 6
     been....
 7
 8
                     MR. TREFON: Yeah, that's.....
 9
10
                     MR. RINALDI: They have been moving
11
     around, you know, but they haven't made considerable
     movements. This movement down to Portage Lake is
12
     pretty new -- I think it's Portage Lake -- we haven't
13
    seen them down there since I was working out there in
14
     2012 or '13 so -- but the main calving areas, could be
15
     surprised this year, because they always like to keep
16
     us guessing. They've been pretty predictable for the
17
18
     last few years.
19
2.0
                     MR. TREFON:
                                  Okay. So the reason I
21
     brought that up is because our experience caribou since
22
     they moved in that direction is we very rarely see them
     and maybe somebody will get one, two in a year if
23
2.4
     they're lucky.....
25
26
                     MR. RINALDI: Yeah.
27
                     MR. TREFON: .....and we're going to be
28
29
     submitting -- the Council is going to be submitting a
     proposal to extend the caribou and the subsistence
30
31
     moose hunt because of....
32
33
                     MR. RINALDI: Yeah, they're.....
34
35
                     MR. TREFON: .....that the game is so
36
     hard.
37
38
                     MR. RINALDI: Yeah, there's a
     significant -- there's a significant gap right now
39
40
     between where the Mulchatna are and have historically
     been and where the Northern Alaska Peninsula Herd has
41
42
     been so there's a pretty good gap there. So that being
     said there is really no -- I mean there might be some
43
     caribou calving activity up there in 19 but we're not
44
     seeing any harvest coming out of 19 as far as I recall,
45
```

46

47

48 49 50 and unfortunately those folks are reporting that

which is probably an issue with this.....

they're not seeing caribou in their neighborhoods,

```
MR. TREFON: Yeah, this is 9B I'm
 1
 2
     talking about.
 3
 4
                     MR. RINALDI: Yeah, yeah, I -- you
     know, yeah, same deal, so there's a big separation
 5
     there between where the two herds have been and there
 6
 7
     might be an area out there where there's no caribou, or
 8
     won't be caribou for -- unless they shift or the
 9
     numbers increase or....
10
11
                     MR. TREFON: Well, then you must be
12
    kind of new because this used to be their traditional
13
     calving....
14
15
                     MR. RINALDI: Oh, yeah, no, I
16
    understand that.
17
18
                     MR. TREFON: .....grounds up in.....
19
2.0
                     MR. RINALDI: I remember when there was
     200,000 running all over Lake Clark. I worked for Lake
21
22
     Clark back then.
23
2.4
                     MR. TREFON: Because....
25
26
                     MR. RINALDI: So I know where they've
27
     been it's just not where they are now.
28
29
                     MR. TREFON: Yeah, that's what I --
     that's why we're going to be bringing that to the
30
     attention of probably this and our RAC local -- a
31
32
     proposal for expanding the subsistence hunt because of
33
     lack of animals and lack of access.
34
35
                     MR. RINALDI: Sure, yeah, that's fair.
36
37
                     MR. TREFON: All right, thank you.
38
39
                     MR. RINALDI: Thank you.
40
41
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Any other
42
     questions or comments.
43
44
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, this is Donald.
45
46
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, go ahead,
47
     Donald.
48
49
                     MR. MIKE: Yeah, we're at the lunch
50
```

```
hour and we're still on call for wildlife proposals.
 1
     If the Council wishes to take a lunch break we can
 2
 3
     review the agenda topic, call for wildlife proposals
     after lunch, but I'll leave it up to you and the
 4
 5
     Council members.
 6
 7
                     Thank you.
8
9
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Oh, thanks for
     reminder.
                What's the pleasure of the Council -- over.
10
11
12
                     MR. TREFON: Take a lunch.
13
14
                     MR. WILSON: I can tell Donald's
15
     hungry.
16
17
                     (Laughter)
18
19
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, can we do
     it, what, in a half hour, 45 minutes. I don't want to
2.0
21
     over rush but what's your pleasure.
22
23
                     MR. TREFON: 1:00.
24
25
                     MR. WILSON: 45 minutes sounds good,
26
     quarter 'til.
27
28
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Not hearing
29
     other folks, let's try for 1:00 o'clock, but we're
     going to have to move hasty to be done today -- okay.
30
31
32
                     MR. WILSON:
                                  Can we get it quarter to
33
     1:00.
34
35
                     MR. TREFON: Works for me.
36
37
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Maybe that's --
38
     what Billy.
39
40
                     MR. TREFON: I said it'll work for me,
41
     quarter 'til.
42
43
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, let's do
44
     quarter 'til. I can go grab my Pilot bread and pickled
     herring eggs. So quarter to 1:00. Thanks everybody.
45
46
                     MR. MIKE: Okay, thanks, Mr. Chair.
47
     Recess until 12:45. Thank you.
48
49
50
```

```
ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
 1
                                             Thank you.
 2
 3
                     (Off record)
 4
 5
                     (On record)
 7
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Let's get see if
 8
     we can get going because I'd still like to try and wind
     it up today. So, Donald, if you could do a roll call.
 9
10
11
                     MR. MIKE: Okay. This is roll call and
12
     we're back from recess.
13
14
                     Billy Trefon.
15
                     MR. TREFON: I'm here.
16
17
                     MR. MIKE: Samantha Herrick.
18
19
2.0
                     MS. HERRICK: I'm here.
21
22
                     MR. MIKE: Lary Hill.
23
24
                     MR. HILL:
                               Here.
25
26
                     MR. MIKE: Robert Larson.
27
28
                     (No comments)
29
30
                     MR. MIKE: Robert Larson, are you with
31
     us?
32
33
                     (No comments)
34
35
                     MR. MIKE: Richard Wilson.
36
37
                     MR. WILSON: Here.
38
39
                     MR. MIKE: Okay, Dan, we have everybody
40
     here except for Mr. Larson. We're on agenda Item No.
     11, new business, call for wildlife proposals. And
41
     before we get started on going any further, I just want
42
43
     to provide a briefing for the Council on our proposed
44
     rule and with that I'll defer to George Pappas. And,
     again, we're under new business, call for wildlife
45
     proposals, and just mainly a wildlife status update, so
46
     the Council or the public can think about submitting
47
     wildlife proposals.
48
49
50
```

Mr. Chair, if we can defer to George Pappas, he'll provide a briefing.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Well, let's do that, and then when we first started talking about agencies I think the Togiak Refuge had a presentation, so after George, and since it kind of meshes right with what Fish and Game was covering, I'd like to do that. But go ahead, George.

2.4

MR. PAPPAS: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. So what you're hearing here is something new. The timing of presenting to the Council with agency Staff during the proposal process—about six months ago, the Eastern Interior RAC approached and asked how can the Regional Advisory Councils and the local Advisory Councils work closer together with sharing information, and through discussions with March Burch and support Staff of the Board of Fish and Board of Game different topics came up, and one of the topics that came up is timing for presenting information to base proposals on. And, you know, what you're hearing here is, Todd, was given the opportunity to speak at the beginning of the meeting, and....

(Cell phone interruption)

MR. PAPPAS: So anyways, long story short, you're hearing Mr. Rinaldi's covering some of the subject matters, and this is the first out of the chute for this opportunity and idea. We'd like feedback at the end of the meeting. If there's a way we can dial it in so it's not a full presentation, like a normal agency presentation at the end of the meeting, but if there's a subject matter for proposals you want discussed, this is new, and we're trying to improve and, yes, it has taken more time and I've been having some feedback from Staff and individuals, it's taking more time than we'd expected and this is not normal, this is new, so we could move on from here, and if the RAC does want to hear, the Council does want to hear more information from Refuge, other Fish and Game folks and different subject matters, we can make that happen.

Bottom line we're just trying to try something new, possibly something that'll be more

beneficial then you'll have the information in front of you.

But you made a very good comment, Mr. Chair, you had a lot of numbers passed at you and you couldn't keep up with those numbers and how's that going to help you make a proposal is my question. So we'll work this direction and any ideas or suggestions, that's what we'd like to do, to try to make it better.

So moving on to -- let's see here. I do have one presentation that was approved about the proposed Refuge rule and let's see here, it's trying to load up here.

(Pause)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Did George just get cut off or did I?

MR. PAPPAS: Can you hear me, sir?

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, now I can

hear you.

MR. PAPPAS: Okay, sorry about that. So the proposed rule, and right now, Mr. Chair and Council members, with the new Administration in the office, all Federal agencies in the process of publishing rules and regulations were directed to withdraw these documents so they could be reviewed by the new Administration. This is a common practice and has occurred within the last two new Administrations.

4.3

 Our Program requested a special reconsideration for our proposed rule, that is for the wildlife cycle. This request was accepted by the new Administration, we resubmitted our proposed rule and is currently going through the clearance process. At this time, while we do not have the proposed rule published and the Program cannot accept proposals, as a Council you can still meet, discuss and draft wildlife proposals, you may also accept proposals from the public. You will have to hold on to them until the publication of the proposed rule takes place. Once the proposed rule is published we can accept the proposals and enter them into the system and proceed as if we would in our normal cycle. So right now we're on delay in D.C., but that doesn't stop us from what we're doing

now.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

And, again, we have some folks standing by, you indicated a Refuge individual, we have Fish and Game standing by with this report on Unit 17 hares if you're interested. Let us know what you want, and the idea is to provide as much information as possible to the Regional Advisory Councils so they could make educated decisions and proposals.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you, George. That was breezing by pretty quick. So the way I understand it is with the new Administration, things are asked to be kind of put on hold somewhat but we could still carry on and have things get ready to be moved forward as soon as we get the okay.

What's the -- well, first off, I was understanding we might have some material from the Togiak Refuge that meshes right with the moose and caribou concerns of 17 -- Fish and Game for 17 and 9 and so on. What's the pleasure of the Council, hear them and maybe wait on the small game stuff or -- from Fish and Game, or how, I'd like to hear from the rest of the Council.

Thank you.

MR. WILSON: I'd gladly listen to what they have to say, Dan. Richard.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you.

37 Anybody else.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ TREFON: Yeah, I'd like to hear what they have to say, the more information from other areas, the better.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay.

MR. HILL: Yeah, Dan, Lary Hill, here,

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you.

Yeah, there's been times in some of our meetings in the

go ahead.

past we charged into proposals and then we got the reports and then we started to have second thoughts about our proposals, so, yeah, with that I'd like to invite Togiak Refuge Wildlife just to fill us in. And I'm not -- you know, I'm trying to figure out how this -- there's supposed to be some visuals with this as well -- oh, something's popping on my screen. So those of you on Teams, hopefully you could see this and with that I'll hand the mic off to Togiak -- okay.

MR. WALSH: Okay, thanks, Mr. Chair. This is Pat Walsh. I'm the lead biologist on Togiak Refuge and Jared Stone is going to advance my slides through this presentation.

So we were asked by this Council for a presentation on wolf and bear predation on Togiak Refuge moose and so I'm going to provide that now. I'm going to give you an update on the bear status on the Refuge, on the wolf status on the Refuge, and the predation on moose and caribou by bears and wolves. I'm briefly going to touch on caribou, although the focus is mostly on moose here.

But please change slides.

We first began working to understand bears in 1993 with a study that we did in collaboration with Yukon Delta Refuge and ADFG. That was a 10 year long study, which was a radio-tracking study on adult female bears. The objectives were to determine home range, survival and some other objectives. The relative -- or the relevant thing for this talk is that the study occurred in this outlined area on the map there toward the northern edge of Togiak Refuge, and extended north into Yukon Delta Refuge, but with more of an Interior rather than a Costal brown bear study. Even so, one of the findings that came out of this was that bears used a disproportion of the amount of their time to focus on salmon when salmon were available. So that's even though they are an Interior population.

I guess I should ask, is anybody hearing this but me?

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I can hear you

MR. WALSH: Okay. Okay.

 Pat.

1 2 3 MR. MIKE: You're on Pat.

4 5 6

7

8

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ WALSH: Okay. I once gave a talk for like five minutes before I realized I was talking to myself.

9 10 11 So the study also determined that bear home ranges averaged 356 square kilometers or if you think in terms of miles, that's 137 square miles. So I'm going to bring this point up a little bit later so you don't need to remember that number, but I'll remind you what it was.

12 13 14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

2324

Another relevant point that came from this study was that it measured the population rate of increase. What this is is a measure of whether a bear population is increasing or decreasing and -- and the number hovered around 1.0, which indicates population stability. This suggested a bear population that was neither growing nor declining. The results of this were published in the two citations that you see here in the box on the bottom of the screen, and on each of these future slides you'll see similar publications, or if you're interested in any more details I can get you the publications.

252627

But please change slides.

28 29

So how many bears do we have.

30

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

Bear populations are difficult to survey so our understanding is limited to a single population estimate that we performed in 2003 and 2004 and we used a method developed by ADFG that was an aerial survey that collected data in a way that permits the analysis to account for bears that were not seen. We flew about a thousand transects, saw 330 bears, and estimated that bear population density was about 40 total bears per thousand square kilometers, and that results in an estimate of about 855 total bears. However, the survey method we used was later determined underestimate -- a reassessment of the survey method by ADFG at another study site found that the original estimate underestimated by about 46 percent. That was a forested area rather than open tundra that we have here so I assume that we did underestimate but probably less than the 46 percent they found. But the bottom line is our total bear population is somewhat more than 855 but not too far from that.

So please change slides.

12 3 So how do we compare with other areas.

4 5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

On this graph, to the far left is a measure of bear population density for Interior Alaska areas. And bear population density from about 15 studies that I had averaged there ranged from six to 35 bears per thousand square kilometers. The Togiak Refuge has more bears than that, about the same as what's present on the Kenai Peninsula, but quite a bit less than populations on the Alaska Peninsula, and way less than those on Kodiak Island, which is the far right. We've got a moderate bear population relative to other Alaska populations. And so what's the best explanation for why bear density is so different on these different areas. Let's use the Togiak Refuge and Kodiak Refuge -- or Kodiak Island examples -- or as an example for this.

19 20

But please change to the next slide.

21 22 23

2.4

25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

And what you see here is a comparison between Togiak and Kodiak. The table there in the top row shows the total amount of salmon that's available for bears on these two different areas, 3.7 million kilograms of salmon available on Togiak versus more than 12 on Kodiak. The areas are quite a bit different with in terms of thousands of square kilometers, Togiak is 21,000 versus Kodiak's 12. So we're a very much larger area which amplifies this difference. In terms of bears, and I've got a value for independent bears on this third line on the table, independent bears are adults without the cubs and I'm using that value here because that's what was reported in the Kodiak study, there's 579 for Togiak versus more than 2,000 on Kodiak. So huge differences. But when you go to the fourth line on the table and boil this down to the amount of salmon available per bear it's almost the same. And if you increase the number of bears on Togiak Refuge because this estimate is probably an underestimate, like I just mentioned, then they really are virtually the same number, which really argues that bear populations are a function of salmon availability.

44 45 46

So move to the next slide, please.

47 48

49 50

Okay, we've covered the size of the population and how it compares elsewhere, and the fact that salmon's the likely big driver, so how does harvest affect the population. Bear harvest have increased greatly in the last 30 years as a result of a number of regulatory changes that were designed to increase harvest and so those rules work. We did increase harvest. However, to test whether that really changed the bear population we hypothesize that if this harvest was causing change it would affect the age structure of bears, and much of the harvest is accounted for by sporthunters, who prefer large bears, instead of just selecting bears at random, they take the biggest and oldest bear that they can find. So if this increased harvest was affected things, it should cause the harvest to become younger.

Change slides.

This slide shows the age of harvested bears over time and there's no evidence that the harvested population has gotten younger. No change.

Go to the next slide, please.

 This is the measure of skull size, which should decrease if the harvest is changing the population. And, again, there's no evidence of a decline in skull size, in fact it got a little bit bigger. So it doesn't appear that human harvest has been a strong population driver with Togiak Refuge bears.

Please change.

 We conclude that we have a moderately abundant bear population that's strongly influenced by the availability of salmon but hasn't been much affected by the legal -- or the harvest.

Go ahead and change.

And I want to give you an update on the wolf status. We've performed radiotelemetry studies on wolves from 2007. We first studied the affect of wolf predation on Nushagak Peninsula Caribou and from 2015 until present we've been investigating wolf predation on moose. Over this period of time we've captured and radio'd 68 individual wolves. We've collected more than 200,000 locations.

12

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28 29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49 50 Page 99

So please change.

What you see here are the distribution of radio'd wolf packs from 2016 through 2019. The points that you're seeing there are locations of individual wolves. It's not the number of wolves themselves. In some cases we had several wolves radio'd in a single pack while in other cases just two wolves. The line circling each set of points shows the pack's home range.

11 Okay, change slides.

Now, this shows the size of the pack ranges. Packs averaged 2,245 square kilometers, but if you think in terms of miles that's 867 square miles per pack. These are cumulative pack ranges over a period of four years, so when we break these down later by years they'll be a bit smaller but probably not much. And you may recall earlier that I mentioned bear home ranges averaged just 356 miles compared to this 2,200 — or square kilometers compared to this 2,200. So they are very much smaller, and that's because the social order of the two species is very different. Wolves are territorial and they defend large home ranges against other wolves and bears aren't. They've got smaller home ranges and they readily share those ranges with other bears.

Please change.

This is the same slide I showed a minute ago but included here are three shaded areas that are located where I'm aware of other wolf packs, but I've not been able to radio collar them, so combined with the nine radio'd packs here, our total for this time is 12 packs of wolves. You'll probably also notice there are some unoccupied areas on Togiak Refuge where I have no wolf data, while I'm uncertain of the status of those areas, other than I do know that wolves pass through them, but moose are scarce there so it's reasonable to believe that there are no established packs in those areas. If the moose or caribou population increases there then it's reasonable to believe that another pack or two will develop. I don't expect the wolf population to continue to grow very much. Wolves are territorial so they defend their pack ranges against other wolves so when an area is saturated with wolf packs there isn't space to grow,

even if the food supply increases.

2 3 4

1

Okay, change slides.

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

So how many wolves do we have. Don't worry about reading the numbers here, I just want to show you this to explain the basis for how I'm estimating pack size. The slide shows the estimated size of wolf packs by year during spring when packs are at their lowest and fall, after the new pups are added and about the -- half of the values here come from actual counts, but in cases where I was not able to count wolves, I estimated their numbers by averaging known counts. And those are the areas shaded in grey. So the overall number of wolves per pack was 6.1 in spring and 7.2 in fall. In comparison, Denali wolf packs averaged 5.8 in spring and 7.4 in fall. just mentioned some figures showing the wolf packs in this study area were a little smaller than this but all of them are relatively similar.

21 22

So go ahead and change.

23 2.4

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

So to summarize all the pack counts. We can come up with an overall population estimate of 81 total wolves in spring, on average and 88 in the fall. And this estimate doesn't account for wolves that are not part of the packs. We know these wolves exist, but it's difficult to come up with a basis for estimating how many there are. An estimate of about 10 percent has been used by some wolf investigators so if you accept that our spring estimate is around 90 wolves and the fall estimate is around 100 wolves.

33 34 3.5

Go ahead and change slides.

36 37

38 39

40 41

42

So we conclude that there is about 12 wolf packs currently on Togiak Refuge and the total population is somewhere up to about 100. Their territories average about 2,200 square kilometers, and those territories have pretty much filled the available space on Togiak Refuge and the rest of the population can't grow very much.

43 44 45

So change.

46 47 48

All right, I've given the status on bears and wolves and now I want to talk about their predation on moose. We've got three relevant studies.

So change slides and I'll talk to a cow moose mortality study.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

1

This first study is part of a moose radiotelemetry project that Andy Aderman has led since 1998. And what you see on this slide is the number of mortalities of cow moose totaled by month through the 22 years of this study. Out of 67 total mortalities documented, 47 were from unknown sources, that's the green diagonal checks. Next, brown bears accounted for 40 percent, so that's the -- that's the second highest and that's kind of consistent with what Todd found in terms of bears being -- and I mean Todd's study was on neonate caribou rather than adult moose so this is interesting that these things are similar.

15 16 17

(Teleconference interference participants not muted)

18 19 2.0

21

22

MR. WALSH: So other mortality sources included 13 percent human harvest and five percent wolf predation. Most of the mortality occurred in May and June, and this is around the time of calving.

23 24 25

So please change slides.

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

So if you extrapolate these results to estimate mortality due to predators on an annual basis, there were an average of 34.7 female moose collared annually and of them mortality averaged three on an annual basis. Total mortality throughout the study were 67 and that came from a combined total of 764 cow years so that's 8.8 percent annually, of that, bears accounted for 40 percent, so annual mortality of cows due to bear predation totalled about 3.5 percent. If you do this same analysis based on known wolf mortality, annual mortality is less than a half of percent. One thing to keep in mind here, though, is that these results took place in the early years of moose population growth on Togiak Refuge, and when the moose were in low numbers, we assumed wolves were in low numbers, but there were just pretty much the same number of bears. So we're not sure that this percentage is accurate for now and we have a more up to date study that I'm going to get to in just a minute.

45 46 47

Okay, change slides please.

48

This slide is focused on caribou,

1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

15

16

17 18

2.0 21

23 24 25

26 2.7

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43 44

45

46

47 48

49 50

rather than moose, and it shows the results from a study of wolf predation on the Nushagak Peninsula Caribou Herd and the object there was to determine whether wolf predation was controlling the size of this herd. And so the graph shows an index to wolf predation and that's the pink line with the square points, and it's related to the size of the population of caribou, which is the purple line with diamond points, and over the course of this study, both increased, and they were highly correlated with each other. So these kind of analyses don't do a real good job of answering cause/effect questions but logic can tell us that it's not reasonable to believe that increase in wolf abundance caused the caribou 14 population to increase, but on the contrary it's completely believable that increases in caribou caused the wolf to increase their time preying on caribou. this argues that wolf predation did not control caribou 19 population dynamics, but, instead caribou numbers grow wolf predation choices. So rather than focusing on moose, which were available to these wolves off the 22 Peninsula, when caribou became abundant, wolves switched their focus to caribou.

So please change slides.

This is the last study I'm going to mention, it's a wolf and bear diet study that's still ongoing but it's nearing completion. The information I'm going to give you now is preliminary and will be refined but there is some useful points to bring out now. There are several objectives in this study but the one that's most relevant to this discussion is to determine the quantity of moose annually preyed upon by wolves and brown bears throughout the Togiak Refuge. Once we complete this we're going to have a far more current view of moose predation than that from our previous work. The study is based on analyzing stable isotope data to determine the proportion of moose in bear and wolf diets. And so if you're not familiar with that term, stable isotopes are alternate forms of the same element like carbon or nitrogen, and they can be identified from each other in a lab analysis. And this is useful in a study like this because animals like bears and wolves are composed of what they eat. If a wolf ate nothing but moose all its tissues, like muscle, blood, hair it'd all be chemically identical to the chemical composition to the moose that they ate.

1 2 3

4

5

6 7

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

2122

23

2425

26 27

28 29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38 39 40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47 48

49 50 Okay, let me show you an example of this, so please change slides.

This graph shows two primary wolf diet components, moose and salmon, and they're plotted with the isotope nitrogen15 on the vertical axis and carbon13 on the horizontal axis, and you can see that each species is widely separate so they're isotopically different from each other.

Okay, change slides.

This slide adds Togiak Refuge wolves to the same slide and you can see that they cluster in an immediate position because they're composed of quantities of moose and salmon, if they just ate moose they'd be clustered over in the moose values or similarly if they ate just salmon.

Okay, please change.

So to do this study we collected fishing samples from brown bears and wolves. For the bears we collected hair, which begins to grow around the time that bears emerge from their dens, quits growing in the fall when bears return to their den. the hair captures pretty much their entire annual diet. We collected bear hairs by using small pieces of barbed wire attached to wolf snares that were built with rubber breakaways, this snare would close around a bear's neck and when it broke free it would leave a bit of hair attached. We set about 600 snares and obtained 291 samples to get the analysis. The wolves we collected both hair and blood when we handed wolves captured for radio collaring. The hair represented the wolf's summer diet, it grows from spring to fall just like bear hair, and the blood was collected in March and represents the winter diet.

Okay, please change.

So these are plots of wolf isotope data plotted relative to the isotopic values of salmon, caribou and moose. The upper graph is the summer diet based on hair samples, while the lower graph is winter diet based on blood. As you can see the distribution of wolf data points is different in the two graphs. It extends towards the salmon extreme in the top one, that's the summer graph, but it clusters around moose

and caribou in the winter when salmon aren't available.

2

1

Okay, please change.

4

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

27

28 29

30

MR. WALSH: The results of the analysis (indiscernible - cuts out) the contributions of these diet components to overall diet. So this is summer diet that you're seeing on this slide here. broken down into Nushagak Peninsula wolves there on the left, versus other Togiak Refuge wolves on the right, and we've done that because wolves on the Nushagak Peninsula have a dependable source of caribou that are available, while those elsewhere on the Refuge are lacking caribou or -- or it occurs in far less quantities. So the data here suggests that caribou compose the majority, 65 percent of the summer diet of wolves that use the Nushagak Peninsula while moose provide about 30 percent of their diet. The wolves that use the rest of the Refuge, moose compose about 75 percent of their diet in the summertime, while salmon composed about 25 percent.

242526

Now, again, understand these are preliminary results and they could be reinterpreted as we continue. And also we're too early in this stage to provide an analysis of the winter diet based on blood and so when that is available I'll provide an update to this Council.

31 32

Please change slides.

33 34 35

36

37

38 39 And what you see here is a plot of bear isotope data, and that's plotted relative to the values of salmon and moose. Included with moose are values with vegetation that bear consume as we're not able to discriminate moose from vegetation and that makes sense, and moose are composed of vegetation.

40 41 42

Change slides.

43 44 45

46

47

48

My collaborator who ran this analysis was *Gangle Schindler. He ran a number of different diet scenarios for bear diet and I'm presenting the one that provides the most reasonable answer at this time. So under this model salmon provide 77 percent of bear diet and moose and vegetation constitute 23 percent.

So once we obtain the sensible estimates of these diet proportions for wolves and bears we will quantify their level of predation based on our population estimate of wolves, bears and moose, and we expect to have this in a few months.

Okay, please change to the last slide I'm going to show you.

This is the history of the moose population growth for Togiak Refuge which has increased continuously for 30 years. It's exceeded our population objectives for Game Management Unit 17A and it's growing on other parts of the Refuge. Those population objectives were established habitat, this moose growth has occurred in the face of wolf and bear predation.

They've got four conclusions.

 The first is that the bear population hasn't increased due to moose population growth as bears are more likely regulated by salmon abundance than anything else.

The second is the wolf population probably has grown because it's unlikely that the current population could have existed when moose were absent or in very low numbers.

Third, wolf population size is at or near its maximum potential. Because wolves are regulated not just by the abundance of ungulates that they eat but also by space, and there's just not much room left for new packs to become established.

And the last thing is the combined level of both wolf and bear predation doesn't seem to be serving as a real strong population control for moose. Although predation is working in the same direction as management, which we desire to slow moose population growth, it really hasn't occurred yet.

So that's all I have Mr. Chairman.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Woowee, another drinking out of the firehose kind of deal, there's an awful lot of interesting items there. Do any of the RAC members have questions or comments.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, speak up if you have -- the one thing I thought was pretty interesting is there's two or three packs there, Snake Lake, Ongoki, and I forget the other one now, dang near on top of each other. I thought that was kind of interesting. Is there any more -- a little -- can you enlighten us how that happens or what -- there we go -- thank you.

MR. WALSH: Yeah. Yeah, that -- that is an interesting point and it's pretty cool that you noticed that. When we break this down by year there's going to be more discrimination between the areas that they use so they shift some over time. But packs do overlap, even though they're territorial, and those boundaries are probably good boundaries, they -- there's border wars and one pack will increase in number and power and take over country that another pack had previous, so packs that overlap are quite common even though they are territorial.

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thanks. This is closer to my home and, in fact, I think I'm picking up a couple -- or maybe the same wolves in a trail camera a few times a year. I'm over towards Snake Lake where I have my camera.

Any other comments of questions.

MR. TREFON: Hey, this is Billy. You actually brought up one here, I noticed it was on your brown bear report, it was predominately brown bear I noticed, but do grizzlies come into that country?

MR. WALSH: Yeah, the -- when they're in the Interior people refer to them as grizzly bears and when they're near the Coast people call them brown bears, but they're the same species.

MR. TREFON: Yeah, we usually call them -- we could tell a tell difference because they're smaller and meaner bears and most of them are silvertipped and they kind of mix here in the lake area, Lake Iliamna or Lake Clark.

MR. WALSH: Yep, well, that's very much the same here. They're smaller and they seem to be

1 meaner.

MR. TREFON: Yeah. So they're basically the same bear.

MR. WALSH: Yeah, same -- same species. It's probably a function of salmon.

MR. TREFON: Yeah, that's the only thing I -- I've always heard grizzly and brown bear, and for awhile I used to always thought they were the same bear and then as I traveling around you actually could see the difference in them. Yeah, basically size and attitude.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ WALSH: I think you have that exactly right.

MR. TREFON: All right, thank you.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thanks, Billy.

22 Anybody else.

MR. HILL: Hey, just a little tidbit of information here, around the area, the coast off of -- out in the bay there, outside of William's Port, in that area, down towards the south and the north there's a group of bears that are -- they're full grown adults and they look like cubs, they don't get very tall, but they're full grown adults, and we've seen a number of them over the years. It's just a mutation, I guess, or something, so has anybody seen anything like that?

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Well, if there's any biologists that can speak to it that's on the line I'd be interested to hear them, I don't know if Troy or somebody over that way has anything.

MR. HAMMOND: Hey, Dan, or Chairman Dunaway, Troy Hammond with the Alaska Park Service. I did not quite catch the context of what they were hoping for from us on this side.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead, Lary.

MR. HILL: Just I -- interested in the different size brown bears you're talking about the grizzly and then the actual brown bear, same species, but out on the coast, outside of -- you know, where the

Park goes to the coast and down towards like Becharof, there's a group of bears, I don't know if it's just a mutation or what, but they're full grown adults and their ages are -- you know you can tell by their teeth, that have been harvested and they're really small but they're living on the coast where there's a lot of fish, so I wondered if there's anything -- any recordings of that at all.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27

1

2

4

5

7

Oh, Mr. Chairman. MR. HAMMOND: Hill, I am not familiar with that data, I would be interested to see it. We do have a variety of sizes of bears within our population structure, but they are generally pretty large compared to the more inland bears throughout the area as far as I'm aware. And so I haven't seen that in our -- in our data here. And so -- but I'd be -- I'd be really interested to see it. But as far as it goes, depending on which as -- as Mr. Walsh said, depending on kind of how you're -- how you're defining your brown versus grizzly distinction of -- sometimes people say 50 miles from the coast, sometimes they'll say 100 miles from the coast is where that distinction arrives, either way it doesn't really happen on the Peninsula, the Peninsula's too narrow, so everything on the Peninsula is still regarded as a brown bear. But operationally it seems like it's more defined by access to that high density coastal or salmon resources and....

28 29 30

MR. HILL: Okay, thank you.

31 32

33

34

35

36

37

MR. HAMMOND:the observation -- yeah, and the observations people made about -- and you, yourself, made about the differences, I would concur, they're generally fairly visually significant. I apologize but I'm not familiar with the group that you're referring to, though, but I'd be happy to find out about them.

38 39 40

41

MR. HILL: Yeah, thank you. I just wanted to bring that up in case there's anybody that noticed anything like that. That's all, thank you.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Thank you. It's my impression that's kind of -- the presentations about big animals in the area, and kind of looking for guidance, do we feel a need to make any proposals regarding large animals in the Bristol Bay area or should we carry on with more wildlife reports,

or what's the pleasure of the Council.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ WILSON: Continue on with more reports.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Yeah, and for the other agency folks, are BBNA, or others, if you have concerns you want to bring to us raise your hand at some point here, but, yeah, I get the sense we don't have any burning proposals. We're hoping to -- the ones we already got in the hopper we're hoping to see move forward.

So with that, was it -- I'm sorry, I forget the small game guy, but I've heard your name and I've even talked to you, with Fish and Game, but if you wanted to go on with your small game presentation we'll hear it now.

Thank you.

MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, this is Donald.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Oh, Donald, go

ahead.

MR. MIKE: Yeah, before we move on, I just hear some of the Council members state that they'd like to proceed with agency reports, but just for process on our agenda, the call for wildlife proposals, there are no proposals that this Council wished to submit and if the public or the Council members wish to submit wildlife proposals and they need assistance, we have Staff in OSM to provide the technical assistance they need. If there are no further call for wildlife proposals, we can move on to our next agenda items, and then get into agency reports. That's one option for the Council to consider.

Mr. Chair.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: As always, thank you, Donald, I appreciate the guidance. One thing I meant to ask earlier, what is the -- I was skimming the book, what's the deadline for proposals in this cycle?

MR. MIKE: Standby, let me find the

 date.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Donald.....

3 MR. TREFON: This is Billy.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead, Billy.

MR. TREFON: Yeah, you were saying that this is the only proposal we have on the book, on the table right now?

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

8

1

5

6 7

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: We don't really have anything on the table. You know the positioning of -- wolf and wolverine positioning's kind of been in the hopper, for, what, a year now, and we're hoping -- we voted to move it forward here. But like if there was anything new, I was just going to speak up, if any of you have been sitting in on your Advisory Committee, Fish and Game Committees, and had any issues pop up there that we need to be aware of here -- okay.

19 20 21

22

23

MR. TREFON: This is Billy. I sit on the Lake area local advisory and our concerns never really change about the caribou and where's the moose, and of course predation.

242526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah. Same here in the Nushagak. I think there's discussion of going to Tier II for the State system. There's also a proposal by the Fish and Game -- there's several proposals of interest to Nushagak, I think we spoke out on them at the AC meeting about expanding permits for nonresident moose hunters in the Togiak area, which the Nushagak Advisory Committee, I believe, opposed. And there's also one discussing expanding wolf control areas into -- I think into Game Unit 18 and more of 17. And off the top of my head I don't remember what our position was. You'd think I wrote all those minutes I'd remember, but I'm foggy on it right now. But they are ones that we should be paying attention to. I'm not quite sure with the change in the Board of Game cycle, how, when -- we probably should be looking at some of those at the RAC level but I'm a little unclear what -when is the best time -- okay.

43 44 45

46

47

MR. TREFON: Yeah, and the other important thing up in this area is fish and looking at the chart there had a pretty good return, I mean not a great return, but normal.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Oh, yeah, on the 1 2 sockeye salmon, Billy? 3 4 MR. TREFON: Yeah. Submitted a list 5 from Park Service -- that was sent out by Donald, I 6 think. 7 8 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Oh. 9 10 MR. TREFON: It goes all the way back 11 to 1980, Newhalen and the Kvichak. 12 13 MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Yes, this is Susanne Fleek-Green, Lake Clark National Park. Through the 14 Chair. That sounds like Lake Clark National Park data 15 16 from our River Mile 22 station that.... 17 18 MR. TREFON: Yes. 19 2.0 MS. FLEEK-GREEN:yes you're 21 right, Billy, last year was an average year. 2.2 23 MR. TREFON: Yeah, Dan Young sent it. Yeah, it's pretty good -- I mean I like it, I mean 24 25 there's a big gap in there where it looked like there 26 was no count for almost 10 years. 27 2.8 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Just so I 29 don't get too lost, Donald, did you come up with a deadline on wildlife proposals for the Federal system? 30 31 32 MR. MIKE: Not exactly a deadline, but, 33 you know, with the new Administration, the published rule for the Federal Register, that has been delayed, 34 and the proposed rule calling for the call for wildlife 35 proposals hasn't been published yet, but we will move 36 37 forward as though it is published and we will take proposals until the proposed rule is published. 38 feel free to submit your proposals to our office. 39 you need help, technical assistance, we can provide 40 41 that. Otherwise you can just go on to the -- when the 42 proposed rule is published, you can go on regulations.gov and submit proposals through that 43 portal and that's all I have. So as far as what I have 44

47 48

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

on Federal wildlife proposals -- call for wildlife

49

45 46

50

proposals.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, Donald. And so for all of us, if we hear of community members or tribal Councils, any other group of folks that wants to see a change in Federal hunting regulations, don't hesitate to encourage them to pursue it.

6 7

1

2

3

5

MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair.

8 9 10

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, go ahead. I'm not sure who spoke up but go ahead.

11 12 13

MS. LAVINE: Hi, Mr. Chair, this is Robbin LaVine.

14 15 16

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead,

17 Robbin.

18 19

2.0

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

MS. LAVINE: Hi. I just wanted to clarify that until we actually have the proposed rule announced, until we begin our call for proposals, we won't' be accepting proposals. But that doesn't mean that you, the Council, cannot work on proposals. Additionally, the -- you, as a Council, can accept proposals and hold proposals as representatives of your region. So if other people are interested in working on proposals, they can talk to you. Once the announcement hits then you'll be notified and, of course, I think, we have 45 days to collect proposals at that time.

30 31 32

33

34

35 36

37

So I just wanted to clarify that we can't accept proposals until the proposed rule has been announced and at that point we will have a call, we will announce our call for proposals, and we will submit them, or you can submit them at that time, and until that time you guys can be working on them and we're here to support you.

38 39 40

Thanks.

41 42

43

44

45

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, Robbin, that's very, very helpful. So everybody out there -- I know BBNA is usually pretty vigilant on that and been a real help as well in developing proposals and keeping track of them. So thank you, Robbin.

46 47 48

I guess at this point can we proceed with the small game stuff, and everybody keeping in

mind if you come up with an idea while we're still in the meeting we could maybe flesh it out, but I want to keep an eye on the clock here, so, again, sorry for the wildlife biologist, he wanted to -- the hares and ptarmigan guy, if he's available -- okay.

MR. MERIZON: You bet, yep, this is Rick Merizon, the statewide Small Game Program Coordinator for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. And, Mr. Chairman and other Council members I appreciate your time. I know you're trying to wrap this meeting up today so I'll try to keep my comments fairly brief with space at the end to ask some questions, if you have any of me.

Really, today, I just wanted to keep the Council abreast of some of the small game related proposals that we've been working on this year and obviously have been delayed, one additional year, due to the change in schedule for the Board of Game.

2.4

So beginning back in 2017 our program started looking pretty intensely into Alaska hare populations, also known as jackrabbits, or Tundra hares across Western Alaska. We were concerned and wanted to learn more about the species. We'd been hearing quite a few reports that the population was lower than it had been in years past and frankly we really didn't know much about the species. So we started a research project in 2018 that has been successful in capturing and radio collaring four Alaska hares, three of which are still on the air and giving us movement data, which is very exciting, specifically in Unit 17. And we've been learning a great deal about their movement and sort of just refining what we know about life history with that species.

Another prong of our Alaska hare work has also been looking at and addressing the regulatory -- hunting regulatory structure for that species throughout its range, which really, in Alaska, is from about Kotzebue along the extreme Western coast all the way down throughout Bristol Bay and down the Alaska Peninsula.

Back in 2018 the Alaska Board of Game created a season date, bag limit and salvage requirement for Alaska hares in Unit 9 and then just last year in region -- what we call our Region 5, Units

18, 22 and 23, the Board of Game further created a management structure for Alaska hares in those game management units also creating a season date, bag limit and salvage requirement. And this year we submitted a proposal that was scheduled to be heard last month at the Region 4, Board of Game meeting, which obviously has been postponed until next year, specific to Unit 17, which is the only game management unit that currently does not have a management structure for Alaska hare. And so that's a proposal that we submitted and will be heard next year.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

10

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

Secondly, looking at snowshoe hare, we've also created a proposal that was scheduled to be heard at this March statewide meeting but, again, obviously has been delayed for a year, and that is creating a salvage requirement for snowshoe hare, the second native species of hares that we have here in Alaska. And just -- you know a lot of folks use snowshoe hares and Alaska hares for a wide variety of things, trapping, to eat, to sew with the hide, a wide variety of uses, and the salvage requirement for snowshoe hare will sort of recognize that broad use of those animals, and the salvation requirement -- there's two types of salvage requirements that the Board of Game recognizes. One is for human use, and the other is for human consumption. So human consumption is similar to what we might recognize as typical salvage requirements for big game animals, having to salvage the hindquarters, the backstraps, that type of thing. For human use, really allows the hunter to utilize that animal in any way. It could be utilized for dog food, for sewing or human consumption. It could be used for trapping. Just as long as that animal is used. that is the definition that we're seeking through that proposal to the Board of Game for snowshoe hare, is just for human use.

37 38 39

40

So I just wanted to highlight those small game issues to the Council. And at this point I can try to answer any questions you might have.

41 42 43

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Council, any questions.

44 45 46

(No comments)

47 48

49 50 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Pretty quiet, I'll have one. I heard that you got a collar on a hare

out near Clark's Point, or Ekok, that way, and then did I hear you're working up towards Ekwok recently -- over.

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0

1

2

MR. MERIZON: So we just got back from a trip about a week or two ago where we were successful in deploying our second and third GPS radio collar down near Clark's Point. We have not moved to other areas in Unit 17 yet for intensive field work. We do have -the research that we're doing is kind of a two-pronged effort. One is to deploy radio collars to learn more about movement and mortality. And then the other is to identify whether pellets, picking up pellets would be a method that we could use to kind of index abundance on a broad scale. And so the other field component we're working on now is creating long-term snowmachine transects where field Staff will run these 10 to 20 mile long transects and pick up Alaska hare pellets along the transects multiple times throughout the course of the winter that could be used as a mark/recapture abundance estimate.

212223

2.4

25

2627

30

31

So, to answer your question, no, we have not moved up to that area. We have expanded our research to other areas across the range of the species though and we do have a number of field sites both for capture and pellet pick up in Unit 23 and 22.

28 29

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Cool. I'm fascinated. I'll look forward to updates. Especially we get Brian in place here in Dillingham, we'll probably have a little better pipeline for what's next.

32 33 34

So anything else from the Council for

35 36 37 Rick.

(No comments)

38 39

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, hearing none. Donald, where do we go from here?

40 41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MR. MIKE: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair. Donald Mike, Council Coordinator. I think we got done with the call for Federal wildlife proposals, and we heard some wildlife status updates from agencies. So if the Council wishes to hear any other reports we can wait for those reports under agency reports and then we can move on to the Council charter review and review of the 2020 annual report, and update on the Fisheries

```
Resource Monitoring Program.
 1
 2
 3
                     Mr. Chair.
 4
 5
                     MR. WILSON: Mr. Chair.
 6
 7
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead,
 8
     Richard.
 9
10
                     MR. WILSON: Yeah, I did have one
11
     thought, just one short comment there for Rick on the
12
     hare -- on the Arctic hare.
13
14
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead.
15
16
                     MR. WILSON: I just wondered, you know,
17
     as he's compiling all this information, that if he
18
     hasn't gone to the village -- I know he's working in
     certain areas, but if you were to like send fliers out
19
     to communities and ask for samples, you know, if people
2.0
     do see them, that might be a way for him to get more
21
22
     info quicker, you know, in those broader areas, too.
23
     So just a thought there.
24
25
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, citizen
26
     participation, it'd be nice.
27
28
                     MR. MERIZON:
                                  Sorry, this is Rick
                     I appreciate that comment, and, yes,
29
     Merizon again.
     absolutely citizen science and local resident
30
     participation has always been something we've been
31
     strong advocates for. We do have a sort of another
32
33
     approach to just gaining broader insight into Alaska
    hare abundance, and we've sent out -- beginning in 2018
34
     we sent out posters that I hope are still broadly
35
     available across the range, where a person could rip
36
37
     off a post card and send in their observations of
38
     Alaska hare. So that is definitely an option and we
     would very much welcome anyone's individual field
39
     observations of that field species.
40
41
42
                     MR. WILSON:
                                  That might be one thing
43
     you want to refresh if it's been a few years.
44
45
                     MR. MERIZON: Yeah, thank you, we'll
46
     look into that.
47
48
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Okay, great.
```

49

50

Yeah, all of these noninvasive sampling like scat and

hair seem like neat ways to go.

Okay, well, not hearing any other motions here, let's move on to the Council charter review and I'll look to you, Donald.

MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Again, this is Donald Mike, Council Coordinator for the Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council. And in your meeting materials starting on -- beginning on Page 57 is the current charter we have for Bristol Bay.

And under the Federal Advisory
Committee Act, the Council charter is reviewed -- or
renewed every two years, and before the charter is
renewed the Council has the opportunity to discuss any
changes that they would like to propose to the Federal
Subsistence Board and the Board reviews the proposed
changes and if the Board agrees, the request for
proposed changes is forwarded to the Secretary of
Interior. There's a lot of language in our charter
that's actually required by the Federal Advisory
Committee Act and its implementing policy so you can't
change that language.

 The changes you can request are the changes to name of your Council, or the number of members on the Council, but you will need to have a reasonable justification for it.

You can also request, in addition to that, that species a desired membership balance that, if achieved, allows the members of the Council to represent an entire region. For example, a few years ago the Kodiak/Aleutians Council requested and was granted approval to geopgrahic -- rebalance the membership to have four members from the Kodiak Archipelago, three from the Alaska Peninsula and three from the Aleutians Pribilofs Island. That region is so spread out that the Council wanted to state that having broader geographic representation is a value for the Council.

During the last charter review, the Western Interior Alaska Council also added similar language to their charter.

 $\label{eq:Additionally, with the delays of Council appointments experienced over the last few$

years, the Council also may want to consider requesting adding a provision to its charter that allows a member to serve after expiration of his or term until a successor is appointed. This is what is called a carryover term that will come in play if the appointment letters are late. Rather than a member's term expiring and the Council having empty seat on the Council, that member would continue to serve until an appointment letter comes in.

If the Council is satisfied with all the charter provisions as is and requests no change then the Council can just simply vote to forward the charter to the Board.

2.4

2.7

 And as a reminder, during the last charter review in 2019, the Secretaries improved new language suggested by the Councils to their charters that alternate members may be appointed to the Council to fill vacancies if they occur out of cycle. An alternate member must be approved and appointed by the Secretary before attending the meeting as a representative. The term for appointed alternate member will be the same as the term for the member whose vacancy is being filled, however, in order to have alternates there needs to be a sufficient number of applications in your region. Alternates are appointed as members only if a seat was vacated because of a member passing, resigning or moving out of the region.

So that's a summary of our charter review. Like I stated earlier, the Council can request to add language for a membership balance or they can make suggestions to the number of seats available.

Mr. Chair, thank you.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, Donald, that was very helpful. I did look through it but some of those items I wasn't aware of. Again, pleasure of the Council, do you have any ideas.

MR. TREFON: Has anybody objected to it in the past, I mean from looking at this, I mean if it still works we should just continue carrying it over and review it for the next meeting.

47 48

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, I was

members.

wondering that possible route. I saw this intriguing — I was a little shocked here early in January where we really only had three or four members because of whatever sorts of things had disrupted the appointment process. I'm also on another Board where we have a carryover feature like Donald discussed. And then also the alternate, I thought we once talked about alternates because we have ended up where we're really hurting for full seats.

What's your thoughts on that fellow

MR. WILSON: Dan, Rich, here.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, sir.

MR. WILSON: Yeah, you know the alternate idea is a good idea. You know sometimes these seats, like you say, it takes so long for them to re-up, that if we had an alternate standing by there wouldn't be that lapse of a quorum and, you know, it would sure help us as a Council to move things along.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah. I've seen it on some of the other boards where an alternate position can also kind of be a little bit of a learning and training opportunity. It requires a real dedicated person, but if they participate, or at least even sit in then, yeah, they're a little bit more up to speed. It does strike me, we struggle to even get full board — on the board, and then in fact I got a reminder here that you can still apply to be on this RAC until February 15 and I know it's a year long vetting process before your name can get into the hopper.

So any thoughts from other folks.

MR. TREFON: Yeah, this is Billy here. I was looking at that list we have, what, three vacancies, and I actually have a person in Nondalton that's interested and we're going to go through the process and we're going to talk with Donald about what to do and we'll take a look at what needs to get done and submit. How many people could we -- one area submit, that would be a good question.

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, that's a good point and that kind of gets to that other item

about geographic distribution. Right now we've got pretty good representation around the lake. I also had a person from Kokhanok asking he about how to get on but we're really hurting for anybody out of the Chigniks. But I think, in my mind, getting people willing to serve is primary. But, anyway, do you have any comments or suggestions, Donald.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

1

2

4

5

6

MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Donald Mike, Council Coordinator. As far as how many applications we can receive from a particular area, in my mind there's no limit, it's just a, you know, a matter of geographic distribution and these last few cycles our program has been receiving low numbers of applications from the entire state so we're trying to encourage as many people to apply for a seat on the So for this cycle, the nominations Council. application period ends on February 15th and we may extend that, I don't know if it's been approved or not, but the application period may be extended. But, currently, now, the application period ends on February 15th. But if we do get an extension we will notify the public of that.

232425

26 27 But by all means, Council members, encourage the public to submit their applications and if they need assistance, OSM Staff will gladly be of assistance to them to submit their applications.

28 29 30

Thank you.

31 32

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you,

33 Donald.

34 35

MR. HILL: This is Lary.

36 37

 $\label{eq:ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Did somebody} % \end{substitute} % \$

38 39 40

41

42

43

MR. HILL: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is Lary. If we have an alternate, could it be perhaps say someone who maybe has one from the Iliamna Lake area and one from Southwest who could fill in from either area, so that might be a way to look at it.

44 45 46

47

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, are you going to make a motion to include into our charter a system of some alternates.

```
MR. HILL: Well, it wasn't exactly a
 1
     motion, just something to think about since we're
 2
 3
     having such a hard time to get anybody to apply.
 4
 5
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah.
 6
 7
                     MR. MIKE: Yeah, Mr. Chair.
8
9
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: So the question
     -- yeah, go ahead Lary.
10
11
12
                     MR. HILL:
                               No, that was all I had.
13
14
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, this is Donald.
15
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead.
16
17
18
                     MR. MIKE: Yeah, this is an opportunity
19
     for the Council to submit their comments. This is the
     Council charter review, so any comments or suggested
2.0
     changes is on the table for alternates or a number of
21
22
     members to sit on the Council so this is good
2.3
     discussion.
24
25
                     Thank you.
26
27
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, thank you,
     Donald. So -- but if we really wanted to make a
28
29
     serious change would a motion be in order or just like
30
     discussion with maybe some consensus -- okay.
31
32
                     MR. MIKE: Yes, Mr. Chair, thank you.
33
     Donald Mike, Council Coordinator. Yes, if the Council
     wishes to take action on it, the Regional Council
34
35
     charter, the Council make a motion and provide its
     comments to the charter review, to include alternates
36
37
     or other comments that they'd like to see to the
38
     charter.
39
40
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
41
42
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Thank you,
     Donald. And is it kind of like -- some of this is kind
4.3
     of a little new to all of us. Would we need to do it
44
     now or could we discuss it a little bit and try to put
45
     it on the agenda for the fall as a little more hard
46
     business point -- okay.
47
48
```

49

50

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I'm

MR. MIKE:

just trying to find out when the Federal Subsistence Board will take up this topic at their work session, either this summer or next fall, does OSM Staff have any idea when the Federal Subsistence Board will take up the charter review for the 10 regions in the state. I'm looking to leadership team at OSM.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I'm willing to entertain comments.

MR. TREFON: I got a question about selections. I mean if we do that because, is the Secretary of Interior going to select who gets on this board because I got a letter with his signature on it, would that change anything to add alternates -- would the Federal government at that level be involved?

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, Billy, I would assume the appointments would kind of follow the same track. It's a long track. First time I applied I was amazed. But I don't know if, Donald, or anybody else can answer that.

MR. MIKE: Yes, Mr. Chair. Donald Mike. As far as the alternates, you know, the alternates will go through the same process as the nomination cycles. Our program interviews all the applicants and makes recommendations to the Federal Subsistence Board and the Federal Subsistence Board submits its recommendations to the Secretary of Interior. So with the nomination process in our office, you know, alternates will be identified alongside with the candidates name for nominations on the Regional Advisory Council so it'll go in as a package to the Federal Subsistence Board and Secretary of Interior.

MR. TREFON: Billy here again.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead.

MR. TREFON: So for us, do we have to start that process like right now, like a proposal at this meeting to go forward if we're going to do an alternate, I mean to speed things up.

MR. MIKE: Yeah, I believe so, Mr. Chair. The alternate seats, as we discussed, will need to be provided as a comment to the Federal Subsistence

Board to include on the current -- on our current Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council charter, but that will be need to be included in our charter as a draft for recommended changes to the current charter we have.

Thank you.

2.0

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, Donald. Yeah, there's some interesting concepts here. They're all appealing to me, the alternate, the carry over, and then there's times when we've been a little bit stuck on making recommendations. I remember for Chignik when we didn't really -- really hadn't heard anything from the people from Chignik, or even Lower Bristol Bay, and like right now we don't have a voice from, say, Togiak, I kind of feel like -- well, we got Robert here, but I'm probably the farthest west voice on the Council, but for geographic distribution, I would want that on an agenda where we very carefully discuss it with as much representation as possible rather than kind of off the cuff.

2.4

 I wonder how the rest of the Council would feel like, I'm still eager to hear some comments, but if we maybe ask to add for the fall meeting, the discussion of these three concepts, what do you guys think -- over.

MR. TREFON: Is this for discussion, this is Billy here again. Yeah, that's a good idea, I mean if it's all about changing something to our charter -- adding something to our charter and that's about it, it sounds like, and that'll take care of that, for alternates, is that what we're discussing alternates only, or the carry over.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, Billy, I was actually inviting comment on carry over, on alternates and on geographic distribution, you could speak to one or all of them. I'm just curious what other people are thinking -- okay.

MR. TREFON: Okay. Yeah, well, I mean I think it's a good idea as far as the discussion goes to add alternates.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. How about Robert, what do you think, did you manage to join us?

```
(No comments)
 1
 2
 3
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Or Samantha.
 4
 5
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, this is Donald.
 6
     I just wanted to make sure that we haven't lost anybody
 7
     and let's do a quick roll call.
 8
 9
                     Billy Trefon.
10
                     MR. TREFON: Here.
11
12
13
                     MR. MIKE: Samantha Herrick.
14
15
                     MS. HERRICK:
                                    I'm here.
16
17
                     MR. MIKE: Dan's here.
18
19
                     Lary Hill.
20
21
                      (No comments)
22
2.3
                     MR. MIKE: Lary, are you still with us?
24
25
                     MR. HILL: Hi, I had it on mute. Yeah,
     I'm still here.
26
27
2.8
                                Robert Larson, you're still
                     MR. MIKE:
29
     with us?
30
31
                                   Yes, I'm here.
                     MR. LARSON:
32
33
                     MR. MIKE: Okay.
34
35
                     Richard Wilson.
36
37
                     MR. WILSON: Yeah, I'm here.
38
39
                     MR. MIKE: Okay. So, Mr. Chair,
40
     continuing with the discussion, there's a lot of good
41
     comments from the Council, some discussion on
     alternates and the other discussion that the Council
42
43
     was thinking about was carry over terms and this is a
44
     good time for this Council to provide its comments to
     the Federal Subsistence Board. And like I said
45
     earlier, the charter is renewed every two years, on the
46
47
     odd numbered years, and we provide opportunity for
     Regional Advisory Councils to provide comments on the
48
49
     charter on odd years and like I said, the charter is
50
```

renewed every two years. And the Federal Subsistence Board will address the charters in their August work session this summer.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you. Well, that tells me that if we really had a burning issue we want them to address we should talk about it now because we probably won't meet before their August meeting. But we need to move on as well. Personally, I'd like to discuss it at our next meeting and maybe have samples of how the other RACs have addressed those comments, it might help us a little. And I think none of us were prepared to really rewrite the charter today.

 So if it's okay with everybody else could we leave it at that and move on or is there any more comments on charter.

MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Samantha.

 MS. WESSELS: No, Mr. Chair, this is Katya Wessels, and I'm with the Office of Subsistence Management. I'm Acting Policy Coordinator. I just want to clarify that this your only chance to comment on the charter, is during this meeting. Because the charters are going to be reviewed by the Board during their August executive session. So, you know, if you would like to make any changes to the charter you would need to make your suggestions now during this meeting. I mean you can delay it until tomorrow or later in the meeting, but I would advise you not to delay it until your next meeting, because then the new charters will be enforced for the next two years.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you for that. That adds some emphasis. What I'm thinking, ma'am, though, is that overall we feel it's working pretty well as it is and I don't know that any of us are -- have a burning issue or are ready to really dive into more substantial changes at this point so....

MR. WILSON: Mr. Chair.

```
ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, sir, who's
 1
 2
     talking.
 4
                     MR. WILSON: Yeah, Rich Wilson.
5
 6
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead.
 7
 8
                     MR. WILSON: Yeah, I would at least
     want to, you know, put in the charter as a possible
9
     change is the carry over because, you know, it takes so
10
     long for another seat or to renew the seat and the way
11
12
     we've been going, we've been barely making it so we
     should at least put in that, you know, the carry over
13
     would be awful nice just so we're protecting ourselves
14
     so we won't ever be short of a quorum.
15
16
17
                     So I'll propose that as a proposed
18
     change in the charter.
19
2.0
                     MR. TREFON: I second it.
21
22
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Does the
23
     Council support Richard's proposal.
24
25
                     MR. TREFON: This is Billy, I'd support
26
    that.
27
28
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Thank you,
29
     Billy. Others.
30
31
                     MR. HILL:
                                This is Lary, yes.
32
33
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Thank you, Lary.
34
     Anybody else.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Hearing none, I
     would speak in favor of that as well.
                                            I just keep
39
40
     thinking Donald called me pretty concerned, earlier
     this year, about we only have four people on the
41
     Council and we can't afford -- or it didn't seem right
42
     to try to have a meeting if we didn't have any members.
43
     So if we have the consensus of the Board, if we could
44
     forward the carry over as a strong interest, and it
45
     appears we have a pretty strong interest in the
46
     alternates as well. Would that work, Donald?
47
48
49
                     MR. MIKE: Yes, if there's a strong
50
```

```
1
     consensus among Council members, we can take that
     comment to the Federal Subsistence Board to have in the
 2
 3
     charter, a carry over for membership on the Council
     and, you know, the alternative is to take a roll call
 4
     vote and go from there. But if there's a strong
 5
 6
     consensus, I'm good with that, but otherwise we can do
 7
     a roll call vote.
 8
 9
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, let's do a
     -- if the Council's willing, let's do a roll call vote.
10
11
     Donald.
12
13
                     MR. MIKE: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair.
     Donald Mike, Council Coordinator. Mr. Richard Wilson
14
     moved to have language in the charter for a carry over
15
     seat or carry over language for Council members that
16
     their terms are ending and that was seconded by Billy
17
18
     Trefon.
19
                     Billy Trefon.
20
21
22
                     MR. TREFON: Yes.
23
2.4
                     MR. MIKE: Samantha Herrick.
25
26
                     MS. HERRICK: Yes.
27
28
                     MR. MIKE:
                               Dan Dunaway.
29
30
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes.
31
32
                     MR. MIKE: Lary Hill.
33
34
                     MR. HILL:
                                Aye.
35
36
                     MR. MIKE: Robert Larson.
37
38
                     MR. LARSON: Yes.
39
40
                     MR. MIKE: And Richard Wilson.
41
42
                     MR. WILSON: Eeee.
43
44
                     MR. MIKE: Quyana.
45
46
                     (Laughter)
47
48
                     MR. MIKE: So it's unanimous to go
49
     forward with the comment to have carry over seats on
50
```

the Council. Thank you. 1 2 3 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you very 4 much everybody. With that, let's review and approve 5 the annual report that shows on Page 51 in our books. 6 7 Is there any information you want to 8 add, Donald. 9 MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. These annual 10 report topics were developed at our fall meeting in 11 2020 on teleconference. We had three items -- three 12 topics submitted . The first item was Regional 13 Advisory Council teleconference public meetings. Item 14 No. 2 Chignik salmon fishery. And No. 3, the Nushagak 15 Peninsula Caribou Herd. And those were the three 16 annual report topics that were discussed at the fall 17 18 meeting. And if the Council wishes to add additional 19 topic items we can go with that. 20 21 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. 22 comments from the Council. 23 2.4 MR. TREFON: Ouestion. 25 26 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Well, Billy, the one thing I was wondering if we could add brucellosis 27 28 concerns. 29 30 MR. TREFON: Yes, I think that would be 31 important. 32 33 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I was also going to -- if Mr. Anderson was still on from Chigniks, point 34 out to him that our No. 2 concern is the Chignik salmon 35 fishery, and add our reassurance and sense of support 36 37 that we definitely are concerned about their plight --38 okay. 39 40 MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, this is Donald. 41 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead. 42 43 Somebody started to say something. 44 45 MR. MIKE: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is 46 Donald, if I could. 47

48

49 50 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead.

MR. MIKE: Yeah, earlier today we heard 1 public testimony from Mr. George Anderson of the 2 3 Chignik InterTribal Coalition. And in his testimony he requested that this topic be included as part of this 4 Council's annual report to the Federal Subsistence 5 6 Board. 7 8 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Council, 9 does that sound good to you? 10 11 MR. WILSON: Aye. 12 13 MR. HILL: Aye. 14 15 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. So I 16 wonder if we could update that a little bit with we're in support of their -- they've got quite a coalition 17 going that we support all their efforts to address poor 18 salmon in Chiqnik area; would that sound right, Donald? 19 20 21 MR. MIKE: That sounds right. 22 Council, during its discussion on the annual report we 23 had three items that we were going to go forward with 24 and does the Council agree to include the brucellosis 25 concern on the Mulchatna Caribou Herd and the concern 26 from the Chignik InterTribal Coalition. 27 28 Thank you. 29 30 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, that sounds 31 good. Do we need to vote on that, or how -- over. 32 33 MR. MIKE: Yeah, if the Council wishes to vote on this I can make the additions discussed or 34 we can come with a consensus and I can share the next 35 36 draft with the Council for additional input. 37 38 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. 39 Council, do you want to vote or do you -- can we say we have a consensus -- over. 40 41 42 MR. WILSON: Rich Wilson, I'm in favor. 43

45 46 47

44

MR. WILSON: Consensus, if we can just all say yea or nay we'll be good.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Favor of

48 49 50

consensus or a roll call?

MR. LARSON: Robert Larson, good.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, I sense there's a consensus, I hear no objections. Maybe we can move on to the FRMP discussion.

Thank you, Donald.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ MIKE: Yes, Mr. Chair. I think for the next agenda item we have Jared Stone or Ms. Robbin LaVine.

 MR. STONE: All right, good afternoon, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. My name is Jared Stone and I'm a fisheries biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. I'm joined here today with my colleague Robbin LaVine, who is a Staff anthropologist. And I'm here today to update you on the Council -- or I'm sorry, to update the Council on the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program, and this is not an action item today.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. STONE: The Monitoring Program solicits investigators to submit proposals that are responsive to the priority information needs that you have developed over this last year during the fall 2020 meeting. The 2022 notice of funding opportunity was recently posted online and closes March 15th.

We are seeking proposals for projects that gather information to manage and conserve subsistence fishery resources in Federal public waters in Alaska. The Monitoring Program is also directed at supporting meaningful involvement in fisheries management by Alaska Native, and rural organizations and promoting collaboration among Federal, State, Alaska Native and rural organizations.

For the 2022 funding cycle it is anticipated that there will be roughly 2.25 million available for the first year of new projects. Anyone wanting to apply can find additional information about the program at the Federal Subsistence Management website or by visiting www.grants.gov, and searching for our notice of funding opportunity using the FDA No. 15.636, that's under Alaska Subsistence Management.

```
After this funding window closes, the
 1
     investigation plans will then be reviewed by the
 2
 3
     Technical Review Committee. The results of that review
 4
     will be presented to you at the next fall 2021 Council
 5
     meeting.
 6
 7
                     This concludes the Fisheries Resource
 8
     Monitoring Program update, and if there are any
 9
     questions I would be glad to address them now.
10
11
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Okay. Council,
12
     anything.
13
                     MR. WILSON: Mr. Chair, Richard Wilson.
14
15
16
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, Richard.
17
                     MR. WILSON: Yeah, I know we've got a
18
     list of this Monitoring Program that's ongoing now and
19
     I just want to reiterate on our chinook, how important
2.0
21
     it is in our, you know, like here we have a couple of
22
     small streams that are real big for survival,
23
     especially the Big Creek here, you know, for our king's
24
     survival. And I know they've got some -- you know,
25
     we're scheduled in for some monitoring but I just want
    to make sure that that's there and it's active because
26
27
     it's a pretty big concern. There's still a lot of
    traffic in that creek there and it's a pretty small
28
29
     area, and a lot of anglers are going up in there for
     other species but in doing it, you know, they're
30
    killing off a lot of the chinook. So it's a big
31
     concern here on the smaller systems like that that
32
33
     can't handle the pressure.
34
35
                     So I just want to just highlight that.
36
37
                     Thanks.
38
39
                     MR. STONE: Mr. Chair, this is Jared,
40
     if I may.
41
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead.
42
43
     Thanks, Richard.
44
                     MR. STONE: I just want to bring up the
45
```

46

47

48 49

50

point that is an excellent point. And this last

year when your Council and the Kodiak/Aleutian Council

got together to form the priority information needs for

the upcoming call, they actually identified a priority

information need that is going to hit on exactly that issue that you had brought up. And that particular, PIN, or priority information need is reliable estimates of chinook salmon escapement and evaluation of quality of escapement measures in Alagnak River, Big Creek, Nushagak River, Naknek River and Togiak River, including elements of potential egg deposition, sex and size, composition of spawners and spawning habitat quality and utilization for determining the reproductive potential of spawning stocks.

And so the hope is that we find an investigator that is willing to listen to your recommendation today and try to address that particular priority information need, and so I thank you for making that reminder.

2.4

MR. WILSON: Yeah, thanks. Rich Wilson here again, through the Chair. Yeah, it -- I believe that's one of our important factors here in this little system that we have here in the Naknek for the king run and that's one of the major places that they hold up and spawn out in. And there is way too much traffic in there, that time of year, so it just needs to be highlighted.

Thank you.

MR. STONE: Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, thank you both. Yeah, I do recall we discussed that some. I'm glad to know it's in there and noted.

Anybody else.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. I think possibly when we get down more to agency reports we might hear from Lee Borden also about interest in kings on the Naknek and everywhere else and possibly Big Creek. Right, I'm hoping that Mr. Anderson is still listening too, that I think he even talked about seeking FRMP support and I certainly hope he does. And if any of you are in contact with him, encourage him or the rest of the Chigniks. They could probably use that entire allocation down there.

Anything else before we go to agency 1 2 reports.

4

(No comments)

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: So, okay, I see Robbin LaVine suggesting we need to add additional ways to encourage the public to tune into these meetings. And there have been advertisements on the KDLG radio and last I heard there's a repeater in Togiak. supposed to repeat that, and so on. And also through emails and other context where we can encourage people.

12 13

Thank you.

14 15 16

Unless there's something else maybe we can go to agency reports and roll -- okay.

17 18

MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair.

19 20

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, Robbin.

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32 33

MS. LAVINE: I just want to be really brief, but I thought one of us folks from OSM might actually speak directly to those people who are listening in. If you are a member of the public, if you're not, you know, one of our agency folks who are attending and supporting this discussion, but if you are a member of the public in the Bristol Bay area, and you have experience in subsistence and you are in touch with your local communities, we need people to participate on the Councils. And we're looking for elders, we're looking for people who are actively hunting, and we're looking for young new people.

34 35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

So, again, as people have discussed during this meeting, we're also looking for members of the public from Togiak, Manokotak, the Chigniks, communities along the Nushagak River, we need your local expertise and representation of the region. so, again, I'm just making a heartfelt plea to anybody listening in that might be tempted to participate as a member of this Regional Advisory Council, reach out to us at OSM, and you can find the application on our website.

45 46

> Thanks. I'm done now.

47 48

> ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you,

Robbin. Yeah, and some of us members, you know, we rattle around the communities, we're available and would be willing to help. Like I said I forwarded a bunch of information to a person over in Kokhanok just in the last few days they were asking about it. And also, again, I want to thank Robert up on Koliganek for stepping forward, it's really helpful to have somebody from up that way.

Okay, with that I'm going to move to the agency reports and I think we start out with tribal governments. I don't know if Heidi or Cody, I'll leave it up to you to stand up and provide us your report.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ LARSON:}$$ Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Cody Larson here. Good to hear everyone on the phone.

I believe I may be the only member of the Natural Resources Department Staff from BBNA on the call today. Heidi may be on as well. Gayla was not able to make it but sends her best wishes to all.

For a plug for our department, we are still recruiting for an additional person to join our team and work with us on subsistence projects and working with our communities. So that is still open and we're seeking someone to join us.

Another plug, I guess, is I'm still putting together the summer internship and as we all know, this year, things have kind of been up in the air and some things are put on pause until we know more about how we can logistically operate safely with interns and field Staff, and putting our projects together this summer. But with that if there are any folks listening on the phone call today, you bet, would like to plan on having an in-person intern field season, please contact me and we can work out how to implement a successful season there and partner as we've done in the past.

I don't have a lot other than that update from the fisheries Program.

One comment that I'd like to make the Council aware of that I made to the Federal Subsistence

1 1

> 1 Board last month was with regard to a fisheries proposal. I recognize that this is a wildlife cycle, 2 3 but I wanted to at least acknowledge that I had made these comments to the Federal Subsistence Board with 4 regard a proposal to change the areas of closure of 5 6 waters to subsistence fishing around the region, and I 7 think that everyone should be familiar with that. If not, it's certainly in the past meeting minutes. I 8 9 recognize that at the Federal Subsistence Board meeting in January there were at least 20 -- I believe there 10 were upwards of 25 reviews for closures to Federal 11 12 fisheries areas around the state, and my recommendation was that rather than a proposal to change the closure 13 of the waters to all species, you know, there was some 14 conversation at the last RAC meeting that it was too 15 broad, that it needed more review prior to the RAC 16 being comfortable with looking at allowing any species 17 18 to be taken within 300 feet of a stream mouth used by salmon. And so my recommendation was rather than that 19 be -- attempted to be narrowed through a proposal, that 2.0 21 it be up for a Federal closure review much like the 22 other 20-some reviews that were done at the last --23 during the last cycle. 24

So I just wanted the Council to be aware of that, that I had made that comment and that it is an attempt to bring more information back to the Council on what those closed waters mean and how they were initially closed to subsistence uses, with the caveat that some of those areas of water are open to other users harvesting. So I wanted to bring that to light, and that's all I've got. I can take any

33 questions.

25

26

2.7

28 29

30

31

32

34 35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48

49 50 Thank you, very much, Mr. Chair, and for your service as Council members.

 $$\operatorname{ACTING}$ CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, Cody. Any comments from the RAC.

MR. TREFON: This is Billy here.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Billy.

MR. TREFON: Hey, Cody, do you have a phone number for the contact if we come up with a name?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ LARSON: Yes. Yep. We're all still working from home here so a good contact for me is 843-

1800. With that, if anyone on the phone is looking to make State finfish proposals for the Bristol Bay area, those are due May 10th, and I can assist any of your community members with developing language for the State Bristol Bay finfish regulations.

Thank you.

MR. TREFON: Thank you, Cody.

MR. WILSON: Through the Chair. Rich Wilson here. Cody, make sure that you, you know, you got fliers out like to our high schools and that for those internships, that'd be great.

MR. LARSON: Through the Chair. Thank you, Richard. Will do.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, thank you, Cody. Yeah, I've seen them put stuff on FaceBook, various places, too, and I just remember when I was working, I was pretty proud that we were able to work in some young folks across the bay in joint projects. It helps them understand, and you always hope that they'll get a few career motivated folks, or just that they learn for the summer.

So any other comments from the Council.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Are there any other tribal governments that wish to speak, Curyung or (indiscernible) or anybody else -- over.

(No comments)

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Hearing none, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. We had part of Togiak's report. I assume they have more, they usually have a very thorough report. Kenton or Patrick or --you're on.

MR. ADERMAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman, Andy Aderman here. How do you read me?

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Oh, sorry, Andy. Loud and clear, go ahead.

MR. ADERMAN: Okay. Yeah, so our report is in the meeting materials, it starts on Page 39.

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

10

1

2

The first thing I was going to -- or don't really need to cover is Mulchatna Caribou, I think Todd Rinaldi did a pretty good job of that other than just to mention that we continue to assist the Department with the monitoring surveys and we're on that management technical working group and will continue to be.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0 21

22

23

Starting at the bottom of the page, Nushagak Peninsula Caribou. This is all pretty much information you got at your last meeting. The herd is down just a little over 200. No caribou were reported harvested during the month and a half long fall hunt. I am aware of two caribou that were harvested, most likely from that herd early this winter, and I learned that through law enforcement and so there's some investigation going on there. We did get a composition survey in in October. The results of that estimated 33 bulls per 100 cows and 49 calves per 100 cows. So they're both pretty good ratios.

2.4 25 26

27

28 29

30

31

Todd covered harvest of 17A moose. This is by far our largest, you know, one year harvest and it's likely to bump up a little bit more as more hunt reports turn in, but we are up to, right now 143 moose. This is a combination of fall hunts and the winter hunt that just closed, which 94 are bulls and 49 are cows.

32 33 34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49 50

Let's see, I'm going to move over towards the bottom of Page 42, recovery of overgrazed lichen on Hagemeister Island. This is a study that Pat Walsh led, and I've got a thing -- I'm not going to read what I have written there but I just wanted to summarize to say the study occurred following over grazing by reindeer. The study measured lichen biomass at two points in time, 12 years apart, and calculated time to full recovery using three different models with the fastest estimating recovery in about 77 years. And lessons learned, you know, there on Hagemeister are helping to guide our management on the Nushagak Peninsula Caribou. And kind of swinging back to Nushagak Peninsula Caribou, we've been trying, here for the last four or five years to bring that herd down and we have and it's a little lower than what we had hoped

but the big concern there is the declining amount of lichen cover. And so it was kind of, you know, through management and working with the planning committee for that herd that, you know, we enacted liberal regulations and we actually got the favorable conditions for people to get out and harvest those caribou. But we got a couple of lean years ahead of us, I think, before, you know, -- I think we'll still continue to harvest but we'll see what the population is after calving and convene with the committee and come to some sort of consensus on what we want to do as far as hunting goes. I think -- I guess two more quick things.

A lot of our summer camps that involve youth, well none of them happened and a lot of the school presentations that Terry and John Dyasuk have done, you know, throughout Southwest Alaska, a lot of those didn't occur because of Covid, hoping that'll get switched around this coming year but we'll wait and see.

The very last thing is, on the last page, though, we did hire two new people. The first is Janelle Trowbridge, she's a resident of Nome that just got her degree from UAA. She came on board, I think it was early June this -- or June of last year. And then Deputy Refuge Manager Stan Cullings. He arrived in October.

And that's all I have and I'd be happy to take any questions if there are some.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, Andy. All interesting stuff. Questions from the Council.

(No comments)

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, pretty quiet. My one comment is it sounds like the Covid stuff really hampered some of the earlier large animal survey efforts and it seems to me we know enough about Covid these days that I hope we can overcome that and it doesn't hamper your work as much as it did last spring -- okay.

MR. ADERMAN: Yeah, I appreciate that,

Mr. Chairman. You know, we are able to use our aircraft and our pilots only so we're in the same position, kind of as we were, the end of last March when, you know, all vendor aircraft was prohibited from us using them, and so we were able to get some of the work, like the composition survey on Nushagak Peninsula Caribou, ADF&G took care of that because that involves a helicopter and Fish and Wildlife Service doesn't have any helicopters, at least in Alaska. So, you know, working together we got some stuff done. We were able to get in some caribou population surveys and moose survey work out west. So I appreciate that comment.

Hopefully things will turn around and we'll be able to use other pilots and aircraft that we don't have.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, great. Yeah, shoot, next thing you'll know you'll be darting us with antivaccine stuff.

(Laughter)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: So okay if that's everything from Togiak, let's see, how about Becharof. I thought I saw Susan on a little bit briefly there. Are you ready?

MS. ALEXANDER: Hello, yes, thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Council, guests. Susan Alexander, Refuge Manager for Becharof and Alaska Peninsula National Wildlife Refuges.

 I wanted to start out by introducing our new Deputy Refuge Manager, Danny Moss, who's on the call and to give him just a moment to say hello to the Council.

Danny, do you want to take the floor.

MR. MOSS: Hello, can everyone hear me?

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes.

MR. MOSS: First off I just want to say what an honor it is to be here, be on this call and privilege to be a part of it. It's good to be in Alaska. I've been trying to get here for many years and so it's good to be here.

Just a little bit about myself. 1 the offspring of immigrants from Scotland and Ireland 2 3 and my maternal and paternal grandparents all settled in Vicksburg, Mississippi. One set of grandparents 4 were bakers, my other grandfather was a mill rite and 5 did a lot of steel work and things like that. And so 6 7 that's who I am. 8 9 Not to digress, but right now I'm in 10 the Native Alaska Relations 101 course, and one of the things we were talking about is learning from our 11 12 elders. And so I remember when I was in psychology, in 13 college, a professor told us, he wanted us to go home and talk with our grandparents and find out something 14 about them we didn't know. So in 1927 there was a huge 15 flood on the Lower Mississippi, and so in talking with 16 my grandmother I found out that she and my grandfather 17 18 were living right in that area when this happened. They'd never mentioned that to me in all my life. And 19 20 so.... 21 22 (Teleconference interference participants not muted) 23 24 25 MR. MOSS: Can you hear me? 26 2.7 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, sir. 28 29 MR. MOSS: So anyway so that's -- I've always had an interest in my lineage and everything 30 else. My greatgrandmother on my maternal side was a 31 full-blooded Choctaw Indian and as you well know back 32 33

always had an interest in my lineage and everything else. My greatgrandmother on my maternal side was a full-blooded Choctaw Indian and as you well know back in those days birth certificates were probably not recorded, nor death certificates and maybe not even marriage licenses, so we're still in the process of trying to get our tribal number and establish that designation. So anyway I won't go into any more of that but I just wanted to take a minute and thank you for this time and it's such an honor to be working in Alaska and I work forward to working with all of you.

MR. TREFON: Well, welcome to Alaska.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, thanks,

45 Danny.

46 47

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43 44

MR. MOSS: Thank you.

48 49

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: (Indiscernible)

we might get to talk.

2 3 4

1

(Laughter)

5

MR. MOSS: Great.

7

MS. ALEXANDER: All right, thanks, Danny, and thanks members of the Council and Mr. Chair.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

2.0 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

So a brief update on Staffing for us. I know I've spoken about this every meeting for a number of meetings. We're obviously making some progress, we have filled the Deputy position with Danny and also an additional admin position I've been given the go ahead to fill, and have that new person on board. Unfortunately, among the positions I have not yet been given permission to fill are our visitor services position and our permits coordinator, and perhaps most near and dear to the hearts of the RAC and why we're all here is, our big game position -- big game biologist position, which has been vacant for four years now, I'm still not able to fill, and our subsistence and avian biologist positions have now been vacant since fall of 2020, and I do not have authority to fill those yet. However, we are still pushing to keep an emphasis on subsistence even with those three key -- those are basically our three primary biological Staff positions other than our supervisor and our pilot. So we're still pushing ahead.

29 30 31

32 33

34

35 36

And like everybody else we had a reduced field season last year because of Covid but we were able to get some good work done. And I am prepared to go over it myself, but I wanted to check briefly and see if Bill Smith is on the phone, he's our supervisory wildlife biologist. He had a family urgent commitment today but was going to try to get on after.

37 38 39

Bill, are you on the phone.

40 41

(No comments)

42 43

44

45

46

47

MS. ALEXANDER: Okay, I will go ahead. So moose composition surveys. We flew seven composition surveys from mid-November to early December in 9C and 9E with fortunately excellent survey conditions and that data has been provided to the Department of Fish and Game for analysis.

As we've discussed previously with the RAC, we are still intending to make an effort to survey the Black Lake area. We weren't able to do that in 2020 due to weather but we're hoping to at least fly abundance rather than composition for moose in that area in February while we still have snow. So that's coming up very, very soon.

Working with Fish and Game again we were able to fly some bear stream side composition surveys that haven't been flown in decades to basically resurvey those streams. And the protocols for these surveys were designed by Dave Crowley at Fish and Game and the original plan was for him to fly them in our aircraft but thanks to Covid protocols we were unable to have Dave in our aircraft so we put Fish and Wildlife Staff in there and flew them that way and provided the data to Dave.

Caribou winter forage monitoring is something that we're starting to make in-roads into. We will fly this month, the Northern Alaska Peninsula Herd winter range to look for habitat use areas for future monitoring. We're starting to try to map some of this out. We're presuming that such communities along the Naknek and like near Becharof may be likely areas and we're looking to identify areas to establish long-term habitat monitoring locations so we're starting some of that work coming right up.

Another new project is long-term habitat change monitoring in general, not just geared towards caribou, and this is a project that we contracted last summer with ABR Incorporated. I know some of you are probably familiar with them, and that will involve two things. One is repeat photographs of photographic monitoring for change. We'll be trying to duplicate historical images and that will give some qualitative and quantitative monitoring including things like shrub expansion, glacial retreat, water levels, coastal erosion. And then we will also establish 20 new monitoring plots and quantitatively measure vegetation at one meter intervals along three sampling lines of each plot. So that's coming up primarily this summer.

Our water temperature monitoring, we're continuing and expanding. I know, we all remember 2019, too vividly with dying fish and dry creeks. On

lakes we are continuing to monitor Upper Ugashik, Mother Goose Lake, and Needle Lake, which is near Mother Goose for anyone who's not familiar with that further down on the Peninsula. And we are planning on reestablishing the monitoring Ray and Becharof Lake, that was lost early on in this effort and we tried very hard to find it and finally given up. It's a big lake. So we think we have a better location where we're more likely to be able to hang on to that.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

25

26

2.7

28 29

1

3

4 5

6

7

8

In addition, after that 2019 year with salmon kills everywhere, we were approached by a group of Ugashik setnetters represented by Ms. Katie Bursch (ph), and those folks have a number of efforts going on, I gather, in relation to getting temperature sensors installed in the main stream of the Ugashik River and perhaps other locations. I haven't spoken with her recently but in connection with that, at her suggestion we provided our lake temperature data to the Bristol Bay Science and Research Institute and the Cook Inlet Keepers to help just get that information shared as broadly as possible. We also, every year, routinely upload that data to the Alaska Online Aquatic Temperature Site, or AKOATS, which is operated by UAA with funding from Fish and Wildlife and in conjunction with the Western Alaska Landscape Conservation Cooperative. So that's -- our data always goes there but now we've also shared it a little more broadly at the urging of those folks who were working -- focusing mostly on the Ugashik.

30 31 32

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40

Also in connection with stream temperature monitoring, we plan to initiate some long-term stream temperature and dissolved oxygen monitoring this year and we're also looking at trying to identify changes over time to -- or excuse me -- the emphasis of that temperature monitoring is to identify changes over time related to chinook and coho salmon spawning reds on Big Creek and the King Salmon River are the first priorities for that, that's the southern King Salmon River down by Ugashik and Mother Goose.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47 48 So last bit to report on at the moment, relates to ptarmigan, Willow ptarmigan in particular, that we had planned in 2020 to conduct another ptarmigan density estimate on our established trend sites in 2015 but were unable to do so due to Covid and we're not sure that we'll be able to do that this year either. And we're also intending to start working on

```
some Alaska hare inventories, of course, cooperatively
 1
     with Fish and Game to try to compliment what they're
 2
 3
     doing there, and we're hoping to initiate those next
 4
     year in 2022.
 5
 6
                     So that's the quick and dirty version.
 7
 8
                     Does the Council have any questions on
9
     any of that?
10
11
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Any Council
12
     questions.
13
14
                     (Teleconference interference -
15
    participants not muted)
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you,
2.0
     Susanne, or did somebody start to say something?
21
22
                     (No comments)
23
24
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay.
25
     Covid sure is interrupting science, I hope it gets over
     with. So thank you very much, Susan, and we have Ellen
26
27
     with NOAA next, go ahead.
28
29
                     MS. YASUMIISHI: Hi, good afternoon,
    Mr. Chair, members of the Council. This is Ellen
30
    Yasumiishi. I'm presenting today from Juneau, Alaska
31
     where we have minus 10 degrees, very unusual weather.
32
33
34
                     Anyways I work for NOAA Fisheries. I'm
     a research fishery biologist and I'm a past member --
35
     editor of the Alaska Marine Ecosystem Status Report.
36
37
     I'm going to try to share my screen and I'm wondering
     if you can see the PowerPoint Presentation.
38
39
40
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I can see it.
41
42
                     MS. YASUMIISHI: Great.
43
44
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: It's a dot.
45
46
                     MS. YASUMIISHI: I have kind of a long
     presentation with 35 slides but I'll try to go through
47
     it -- I tried to write the main points on each slide.
48
49
     I'm going to talk about last year and this year's sea
```

2 3 4 5

1

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17 18

19

2.0 21

22

23 2.4

25 26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

45

temperatures and ice in the Bering Sea. Most of our research is ecosystem surveys in the Eastern Bering Sea to support stock assessments of groundfish fisheries. But we also catch a lot of juvenile salmon in our surveys, and other forage fish.

So I'll talk about sea temperature ice and then some of the 2020 NOAA surveys.....

(Teleconference interference participants not muted)

REPORTER: So hang on, Ellen. could either have people mute their phones, if you could take a second and just check and make sure your phone's muted for the interruption.

MS. YASUMIISHI: So this shows in the last 20 years we've had the top 10 warmest summers in the Bering Sea, that's east of 180, in the last 10 years we've had seven of the warmest years in the last 120 years, and 2020 was the third warmest summer. we're also seeing variability. In the 2000s we did have some average and below average temperatures.

So this slide here is our time series of seasonal temperatures from this summer through December, I quess, and for the Northern Bering Sea on the left and the Southern Bering Sea on the right. the blue line shows that 2020 temperature time series relative to the average, the purple line from 1985 through 2019, and the black line is this year. We're starting off a little cooler than last year, but not quite at the average, the long-term average. And this information is available online and it's being updated by Jordan Watson that includes contact information there. He has a page where you can go and create this graph for yourself for your presentations.

And Rick Thoman at the International Arctic Research Center in Fairbanks, a climatologist has provided us a look spatially at what's going on in the Bering Sea and the Gulf of Alaska current sea surface temperatures, and this is the week of January 18th through the 24th temperatures from -2 and those are the ice areas up through -- up to four degrees by the Aleutians. It's warmer in the Gulf of Alaska.

The next slide just shows the ana --

how anomalous this information is this year relative to the time series back to 1971. And it's a little -- actually a little cooler in the Bering Sea than that -- relative to the time series, especially in the Bristol Bay area, the dark blue is about two and half, three degrees cooler than the average and warmer off shore off the shelf in the Central Bering Sea.

One of the reasons for that could be that the ice has melted more. We're not seeing ice in the Bristol Bay area this year like we did last year so the red that shows where the ice is at the week of January 24th. So the ice is farther north this year, but it's also distributed farther off -- off the shelf into the Central Bering Sea.

2.0

This slide shows the Western Norton Sound average sea temperatures time series from 1982 through 2020. Over time it just shows that 2020 was cooler on average for the June and August than it was in 2019. And much cooler particularly in June.

2.4

And, again, for the Bristol Bay area, 55 miles off shore of Pilot Point shows similar trends and the Southern Bering Sea is the north where there is a cooling of sea temperatures in 2020 relative through 2019.

Deeper in the water column we have a survey near the edge of the Continental Shelf in the Gulf of Alaska all the way through the Bering Sea area and that showed a trend of warming relative to the warm blob in 2014, above average temperatures throughout the water column, which is a little concerning, and then in 2020 we saw a cooling relative to 2019. Particularly in the middle of the water column. But it was still a little bit warmer on average, particularly in the Gulf of Alaska and in deeper areas of the Bering Sea.

So in 2020 we had, of course, Covid, drastically affect the number of our surveys. Our main survey, the Bering Sea bottom trawl survey estimates population numbers of adult pollock and many of the commercially important graphs of species did not happen, and right now we are just working on logistics with State and Federal regulations for getting people on board vessels during Covid.

So I'll talk a little bit about the

survey that we did have last year, which was the longline survey on -- and this is from -- this map shows the station locations on the shelf where we have longline to estimate population numbers for sablefish, Pacific cod, (indiscernible - muffled) Turbot, rougheye, blackspotted, and shortraker rockfish, and those are used in the stock assessment that are presented to the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council. We also collect information on, you know, temperature and growth rate, whales coming on to the lines that take fish off the line. So this is the only long-term survey that we conducted in 2020.

Some of the results of the survey were really interesting, particularly for sablefish in the Bering Sea area since the blackcod and (indiscernible - muffled) and shows that the 2014 and 2016 year classes, so that's the year these fish were born, those are starting to show up in the population in huge numbers, so our catches were 40 percent higher in 2020, relative to 2019. And I know this has been an issue with bycatch in other fisheries.

We've also had above average Pacific cod numbers. In 2019 -- there were 17 percent more fish in 2020 than 2019. And below average catch of turbot.

 This slide shows the Bering Sea research surveys. So these are just kind of like ecosystem surveys where we go out and collect more comprehensive oceanographic information, zooplankton, physical and biological oceanography. We collect fish in surface waters and look at their diets and their condition. We use this information to let the Councils know what conditions are like for the juvenile fish and that's a critical time in their life that determines their survival to adulthood.

 Only one survey was conducted this year, distributed biological observatory, and these are just sites where we try to hit every year when we're out, you know, to collect oceanographic information, you know, that's around St. Lawrence Island.

But in 2019 the highlights were that it was really warm and, of course, and there were a lot of herring and this is typical what we see in late summer a lot more herring during warm years and a lot fewer

chinook salmon, we see fewer capelin, and higher catches of -- lately we've been catching a lot of juvenile pink and sockeye in the last like four years. Working with Curry Cunningham on using our juvenile sockeye catches to help inform the Bristol Bay sockeye returns.

We also see in warm years there's low krill and low copepod abundances so large copepods and those are used by a lot of the forage fish to -- as lipid -- those are lipid rich prey and that helps -- helps them survive the first winter in the ocean.

So some of the research highlights, just wanted to show you the trends that we're seeing.

Capelin we catch mostly in the Northern Bering Sea around St. Lawrence Island. A little bit below Nunivak Island. And they really show up in the cold years in our surveys and we've seen the -- the trend, of course, since the warming is -- is a decline in their (indiscernible - muffled), they may be moving north out of the survey area, but they just started disappearing in warm years.

On the other hand, herring, which you also see mostly in the north around Nunivak and Norton Sound, they show up near shore and mostly in the warm years and currently their populations have been increasing and on the rise in the Southeast Bering Sea as well.

 Juvenile sockeye trends. So we have -so this is our survey information since 2002. That's
when we started this large Bering Sea ecosystem survey.
In recent years, in 2012, we've only surveyed every
other year, so in even years we've had the opportunity
to go out and survey. So in 2014 with that warm blob
we saw decreases in catches and abundance of juvenile
sockeye, through 2018, and unfortunately we did not
have a survey last year and because of an odd year, we
probably won't have funding to go out this year, but
hope to get out in 2022 again.

Our pollock catches, age zero pollock we see a lot in the surface waters, particularly during warm years and -- and that middle domain, in the middle area of the Bering Sea Shelf, yeah, and they're just everywhere, they're thick. Their abundances are the

highest of all the species along with the juvenile sockeye during these warm years. And during cold years you see a lot more herring.

3 4 5

6 7

8

9

10

2

So this slide is just a summary of our catches, really, in the Northern Bering Sea for forage fish showing the trends and the declines in the capelin, juvenile pollock and juvenile chinook salmon in the recent -- in the last five years. Stable catches in the last five years were Pacific herring and juvenile chum and coho.

11 12 13

14

15

And then in the Southeast Bering Sea we're seeing more herring and more juvenile salmon, these are the non-salmon which are primarily the juvenile sockeye.

16 17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28 29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36 37

So the importance of this slide is a little complicated, but it just shows how important sea ice is to production of large copepods and that's a primary lipid rich prey for a lot of these fish. And when the ice -- in colder years when the ice retreats later the ice melts and the algae is released from the ice and at that time there's more sun available and so there's a big bloom of algae and that provides food for these baby copepods that become the large copepods that are important for a prey item for -- for fish. So we've been able to estimate the abundance of large copepods in the Southeast Bering Sea in our survey data and use that to predict survival of the commercially important fish species, particularly we focused on pollock, we've correlated large copepod abundances also with growth rates of juvenile chinook salmon. And one of the things we're doing now that is a next step is to incorporate large copepod densities in our chinook (indiscernible - muffled) models for a particular area. A few Western Alaska stocks of chinook and chum salmon. That project is just starting.

38 39 40

41 42

43

44 45

46 47 So -- and we also have -- before we go on, just the climatologists are developing longer time series of large copepods because our data only goes back to 2002, they've been able to use our data as well as climate data to (indiscernible - muffled) predict in the backwards and forwards, a longer time series of large copepods so that we can use that in models predicting say chum salmon growth, or chinook salmon growth or pollock recruitment into the future.

Anyways, not to digress, but I'll get back to the slides, and these are a time series of the diets of age zero pollock just showing the presence of large copepods, things like lipid rich hamburger food in these colder years.

2.0

And then I was talking a little bit about the importance of sea ice and chinook salmon growth and this is a paper I published a couple of years ago showing that it's really more highly linked to chinook salmon in the south -- Southern Bering Sea, in the Kuskokwim River chinook versus in the -- in the north, and we think that it's because the ice is, you know, more variable. Sometimes there's a lot of ice in the north -- or in the south and in some years there's hardly any. So it really -- it's more tightly linked with the production of, you know, algae and large copepods and food linked, the densities of large copepods with growth rates of Kuskokwim River chinook salmon and this is using scale pattern analysis of adult chinook salmon that return to the river.

2.4

 And finally I just wanted to mention a new project that I'm working on with Curry Cunningham and another project student to look at where the essential fish habitat for juvenile salmon in the Bering Sea. And this is an update that was -- we're supposed to do every five years, and so we're trying to figure out if juvenile salmon are avoiding certain habitat conditions like areas that are too warm, or areas that don't have a lot of zooplankton in them.

 So in conclusion 2020 was cooler than 2019 and this year it's starting out a little cooler than 2020 but we're seeing low sea ice in Bristol Bay. Many of our 2020 NOAA surveys were cancelled due to Covid so it's really a data poor year. But we are really optimistic about getting back out there this year and doing our best to develop policies for Covid and mitigation measures.

So with that then -- and then I'm really honored to be here and to be invited to present what we're doing. I just wanted to say if you have any kind of information that you're interested in asking us about or other information you want me to present, please feel free to email (indiscernible - cuts out) collaborate.

```
So thank you, Mr. Chair and members of
 1
 2
     the Council for your time.
 3
 4
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes. Thank you,
 5
     Ellen. Especially that part there about how sea ice is
     important to juvenile kings is pretty interesting.
 6
 7
     there anything from any of the Council members.
 8
 9
                     (No comments)
10
11
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Okay.
                                                    Then....
12
13
                     MR. WILSON: Through the Chair, Rich
14
     Wilson here.
15
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
16
                                            Go ahead,
     Richard.
17
18
19
                     MR. WILSON: Yeah, just a big shout
     out, thank you, Ellen, very well done. A lot of good
2.0
    info there. And for a lot of us that are life
21
22
     residents of the area and seeing these changes, this
    kind of information is very helpful, so thank you so
23
2.4
     much.
25
26
                     MS. YASUMIISHI: Thank you.
                                                  Thank you
2.7
     for your interest.
28
29
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Yeah, we're
     discovering more and more how important that ice was
30
     because people didn't dream that there was such a
31
32
     biological community dependent on it. So any other
33
    Council members.
34
35
                     (No comments)
36
37
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, hearing
38
     none, we've got a few more folks to go but I wonder if
     we need a five minute break.
39
40
41
                     MR. TREFON: I would like a five minute
42
    break.
43
44
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: So you'd like
45
     one?
46
47
                     MR. TREFON:
                                  Yep.
48
49
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Let's take five
50
```

```
and try to get back real quick and -- because we're --
 1
     I think we'll make it here but we need to keep clipping
 2
 3
     along, so thank you.
 4
 5
                     MR. TREFON: 25 after.
 6
 7
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                             Yeah.
8
9
                     MR. TREFON: 25 after, that's good.
10
11
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, is that
12
     good Donald?
13
14
                     MR. MIKE: Yes.
15
16
                     (Off record)
17
18
                     (On record)
19
20
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I don't think
     our sundials all match here, I'm looking at 3:26 here,
21
22
     how are we doing?
23
24
                     MR. WILSON:
                                 We're moving right along.
25
26
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Do we got
27
     everybody back with us?
28
29
                     MR. TREFON:
                                 Billy here.
30
31
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, Billy's
32
     everybody.
                 So....
33
34
                     (Laughter)
35
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: That's fun. I'm
36
37
     glad we're all friends here. So if we're all back then
     Susanne are you going to lead the charge for the Park
38
     Service.
39
40
                     MS. FLEEK-GREEN: I will, yes, thank
41
     you, Mr. Chair. This is Susanne Fleek-Green, Lake
42
    Clark National Park and Preserve. And I just want to
43
     reiterate what Robbin said this morning, and I
44
     certainly would rather be with all of you in person
45
     right now but maybe we will get there by the fall
46
47
     maybe, and let's hope so.
48
                     So hopefully you can all see my screen.
49
50
```

I know not everyone is on Teams right now but I'll just try and highlight some key points and go quickly. Krista Barts also is going to follow me and do a little bit more in-depth report per your request from last fall's meeting on mercury in lake trout. So I will go ahead and dive in. I'm going to focus on what we hope to be doing in this coming season.

7 8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19 20

2.1

22

23

2425

26

2.7

28 29

30

1

2

4

5

6

In the fall I gave you a report about what we managed to do last summer. Certainly more and more of our Staff are getting vaccinated. You know the Port Alsworth Clinic is now doing vaccines and so we're very thankful for that. And we did fairly well last year having reduced Staff that stayed in sort of individual work pods, especially our natural resources Staff to do critically important aquatics and fisheries work that our SRC is always very interested in. some of the subsistence projects that we plan to undertake this coming summer, as I mentioned last time, we hope to get underway in cooperation with Alaska Department of Fish and Game two harvest surveys, starting in Port Alsworth. This was a specific request of our SRC, had not been done in well over decade so we hope to initiate that this coming year. And then we were able to secure funding to do one for Nondalton as well. We'll have to see how the Port Alsworth one goes first because, of course, with Covid, the typical survey methods are going to be much more challenging. But it will be a good experiment, and either way I know we'll get some really good data since what we are operating off of right now is very well.

31 32 33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42

We also will be continuing with a study looking at the potential impact of an increasing beaver population on water quality and salmon habitat. We did some aerial surveys last summer. We'll be doing some more this summer. And initially what we have found is there is an increase in beaver dams around Lake Clark proper. There are salmon that are still able to pass by the dams. And so we -- actually what we're trying to determine is how much those beaver dams are impeding salmon passage as well as affecting water quality so we'll continue with that.

43 44 45

46

47

48

And then we will be initiating a new monitoring project. This has come up a couple of times with our SRC, real concerns about increased in submerged aquatic vegetation. Originally there was concern that it was invasive species. We've determined

it's really not an invasive but for a number of reasons do believe that there is increase vegetation which our subsistence users have said really impeded their access to the resources, so we'll undertake this study this summer to try and determine how big a growth there is, and also where, in the various lakes, again, mostly around the Lake Clark proper area we've seen significant growth or not and report back to our SRC on that.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0 2.1

22

23 2.4

1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

We'll be doing our typical fisheries projects. I think most of you now are familiar with our operation at River Mile 22 and (indiscernible muffled) those two counting stations. For those of you on Teams, you see the counting station there at River Mile 22, that's the tower. We'll have probably about an eight person crew this summer rotating between those two stations, and that's the data that Billy mentioned earlier in the call that we've been getting for over 20 years now that feeds into the overall management of the Bristol Bay system. We will not be doing any of the gathering of otoliths that we typically -- we'll typically send our Staff to fish camps and collect those otoliths that help give us more data, because of Covid we will not be doing that again this summer.

25 2.6 2.7

28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

We experimented a lot last year in how to do education and outreach to our resident zone communities and beyond. We had some success and I really would say that this was an experiment. Typically we go in person to a number of communities and conduct day camps. This past summer we sent out day camp in a box. We provided over 100 elementary kids in Nondalton, Newhalen and Port Alsworth with these kits, that if you can see the picture, there's some binoculars in there, a water bottle for sampling, some guide books that the students then could take out on their own during the summer and practice a little bit of science. So we are preparing those kits again for this coming summer although we hope that at least by the fall we can do day camps, again, all depends on how it goes with Covid and if that's a safe thing to do or not. We will not be sending our Staff if the communities still have Covid protocols in place so when the team travels in and out.

45 46 47

We also started up a distance learning We reached 10 schools both in the Lake and Penn District and the Kenai Peninsula District, new

49 50

distance learning, we have a great bear program -- one bear program working with our biologist that has some of the -- similar data that we saw from Togiak looking at where bears are going around the Lake Clark region and working with students to try and understand what those migration patterns might be -- mean for populations, but also for potential development in the area. So that was fun to see. Again, we will be ready and willing to do that if we can't go into the schools in the fall, just so that we're still reaching these places.

2.0

And then if we're lucky enough we will conduct some of our outdoor learning that we have in Port Alsworth itself. Typically we'll do day programs in Port Alsworth. We did not do them last summer but we hope to do them this coming summer. And we also hope to have the Quk Taz'Un Culture Camp at Kvichak in August. The dates have been set. And, as always, we will be working with Nondalton Tribal Council to run that culture camp.

2.4

And that's really it. I'm happy to take questions but I know your time is limited and we're trying to get through this in a day so I will pause and just thank you guys for the time and looking forward to Krista's presentation on mercury.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you, Susanne. Any comments, questions from the Council.

MR. TREFON: Hey, Susanne, Billy here. Going back to that fish report there, when we were -- I was talking -- about that gap from 1984 to (indiscernible - cuts out)....

MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Uh-huh.

MR. TREFON:any reason why.....

MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Yeah. We did not have an operation actually. 2000 is about when Dan Young came back to the Park and restarted that program. So due to a lack of funding we did not operate it, but Dan started it as part of his Master's Program at UAF and we've been very lucky enough to secure funding to keep the program going since then.

MR. TREFON: Okay, that explains it.

Because that's when I actually worked for the Park for 1 2 the two years. 3 4 MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Uh-huh. 5 6 MR. TREFON: All right, thank you. 7 8 MS. FLEEK-GREEN: You're welcome. 9 MR. TREFON: Yeah, and Nondalton really 10 11 appreciate our relationship with the Park Service. 12 mean we had an outstanding relationship from its 13 existence. Thank you, again. 14 15 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, nice. 16 Anybody else. 17 18 MR. HILL: Hey, Dan, this is Lary. 19 battery is going to go dead on my phone in a few minutes so if I -- if you don't hear me it's because of 2.0 21 that, I haven't hung up. 2.2 2.3 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I see. You need 2.4 a longer wire -- okay. 25 26 (Laughter) 27 2.8 MR. HILL: Yeah, this is my landline 29 phone, it doesn't have a recharge thing on it. 30 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Oh, yeah, yeah, 31 I've got an old fashion base phone here or I'd have 32 33 been dead in the water a long time ago. So, okay, 34 well, maybe you can get on some how or hang in there the best you can. 35 36 37 Thank you. 38 39 Any other comments. 40 41 (No comments) 42 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: 43 I have a real I'd be curious to see how you come 44 quick one there. up with that vegetation -- what you come up with in the 45

46

47

48

49 50 future. I know some of these really warm years, low

water. the weeds up here at Lake Aleknagik, near the

difficult to get your boat out of there. And I know

boat launch are getting to where it can be very

Troy Hammond has always kept a sharp eye on that kind of stuff from when he was over here and there in Naknek, so I'd be curious what you find as you go forward. But to reiterate you said that it is local and it is natural but it's maybe things are more abundant; is that correct?

MS. FLEEK-GREEN: Right. Well, we're hoping to answer a couple of questions. Whether or not if the warmer waters are leading to increased growth, but also certainly water levels have been lower than in the past summers, and Krista actually is an expert on this as well. She does a lot of our water work in the Park. But, you know, is it just that water levels are lower and so it's impeding and getting stuck in boat motors a lot more because of the lower water levels. So those are two of the questions we're going to try and answer.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Good. Because it's affecting us here up north of Dillingham and I've encountered heavy weed growth along the Naknek River some years, so good on that.

MS. FLEEK-GREEN: And of course we'll share back with the RAC what we learn as we learn it.

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Excellent. Look forward to it. Okay, anything else or shall we move on. These lake trout are making me hungry right now.

MR. TREFON: Coming back to the weeds that are growing out there, they're pretty thick outside Nondalton. And this summer one of them actually spooked me, I thought I was running over something, that's how much there is, I let it drop off.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, it can be a real....

MR. TREFON: We were talking about how there was a way we could mitigate that, you know, remove it or something, because it's kind of like watching a lake grow back over from swamp to -- from lake to swamp, that's what it looks like to me.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, I think they call that utification, but, yeah, it can get horrible. And I think it was 2019, getting through,

there's kind of a lagoon at the end of Lake Aleknagik, before it turns into Wood River and man if you weren't on a plain, you weren't going to be on a plain, you were going to hack weeds and slog your way through it for awhile. So I know what you mean.

Okay, with that can we move on to mercury and lake trout. I'm sure this is of great interest, so go ahead with it, Krista.

MS. BARTS: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Council. Can you hear me okay?

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Very clear.

MS. BARTS: Great, okay. And can you see my slides?

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes. Until I saw the mercury part my stomach was growling for lake trout -- okay.

MS. BARTS: Well, I am parked in the kitchen of my house right next to my router because my phone -- my internet connection is a little wishywashy. And right now, right as I've just started speaking, my kids have just gotten home from school so this is going to be an interesting experiment in chaos.

But today I would like to talk about mercury in lake trout from two Southwest Alaska Parks.

And before I dive into that story, I wanted to share some context about the division within the National Park Service where I work in case it's not familiar to you.

So the National Park Service's Inventory and Monitoring Division was created in 1998 first to inventory and then to monitor natural resources in Federal Park Lands. At that time the Parks were divided into 32 networks and they were loosely organized by ecoregion, and four of the networks were located in Alaska, including the Southwest Alaska network, where I've worked since 2013.

So the Southwest Alaska network, or I'm

sure I'm going to fall into calling it by its acronym SWAN covers five Park units, and those are Katmai National Park and Preserve, Aniakchak National Monument, Alagnak Wild and Scenic River, Lake Clark National Park and Preserve, and Kenai Fjords National Park. So within those Park units we monitor a suite of indicators that we call vital signs in six project areas, and then we communicate what we learn with Park managers and with the public. Those six project areas are weather and climate, air quality, terrestrial vegetation, wildlife, near shore marine systems, and fresh water systems. So from here forward I'm going to drill down into the fresh water vital signs.

SWAN monitors or at least helps to monitor four fresh water vital signs -- oh, let's see, there we go, now I'm on the right slide.

For each of these vital signs -- so sorry, they are water quantity, water quality, sockeye salmon, and resident lake fish. And then for each of these four vital signs we track a range of variables. So those are things like lake level and discharge for water quantity, temperature and pH for water quality, adult abundance and age for sockeye salmon, and then mercury concentration for resident lake fish. And then the rest of this talk is going to focus on an ongoing study by the National Park Service and the U.S. Geological Survey examining mercury concentrations in a long lived top predator lake fish species, which is lake trout.

The impetus for the study was a report issued in 2014 by the USGS and National Park Service. It was titled Mercury in Fishes from 21 National Parks in the Western United States. And according to this report mercury concentrations in lake trout from Lake Clark National Park were among the highest of the 21 Parks included.

For example, here's a figure from that report. The bars in this figure show the average mercury concentration for multiple fish species from multiple sites grouped by size, which is shown in the legend of the figure, and by Park, which is shown in very, very small font along this X axis in the figure. So that black arrow right there points to the lake trout results from Lake Clark National Park. And then, furthermore, routine monitoring around that same time

as the report suggested that average mercury concentration in lake trout and Northern Pike from several lakes in Katmai National Park were in this same neighborhood of mercury concentration, if not higher.

4 5 6

7

8

9

2

3

So these results begged the question, why do long-lived top predator resident lake fish from SWAN Parks have such elevated mercury levels, and what accounts for the differences in fish mercury levels among lakes.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

25

26 27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

Previous studies showed that factors driving fish mercury levels can be grouped into four broad categories, loading, methylation, bioaccumulation, and biomagnification. Loading pertains to the source of the mercury, which can be distant, as in the case of mercury rich emissions from coal burning powerplants overseas, or it can be local. For example, underlying geology, which may be enriched in mercury where natural deposits or actively offgassing volcanos exist; melting glaciers which may contain latent reservoirs of atmospherically deposited mercury, and finally spawning salmon, which return to these parts in the hundreds of thousands each year and they import mercury acquired while at sea just like they import marine nitrogen and phosphorus. Methylation pertains to the process by which mercury changes from an inorganic to an organic form, and it's determined by local ecological conditions such as nearby wetlands, which provides favorable anaerobic conditions for methylation, and water quality variables, like temperature and pH, which are believed to control the bioavailability of that inorganic mercury. Right so what about bioaccumulation and biomagnification.

35 36 37

 $$\operatorname{\text{\rm Here}}\ I'm$$ going to pause to provide some background on mercury in fish.

38 39 40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

So most mercury in fish tissue is methyl-mercury, which is a potent neurotoxin. Methyl-mercury bioaccumulates and biomagnifies. Specifically it bioaccumulates in a single organism over time because it accrues in that organism faster than it's excreted and it biomagnifies across many organisms as you move up the food chain. So all other things being equal, older fish at the top of the food chain will have higher concentrations of mercury.

1 Okay.

(Teleconference interference - constant

static)

4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

3

MS. BARTS: So getting back to our It encompasses 16 lakes from two Parks. study design. 10 lakes from Lake Clark National Park, and those are shown in green here. And then six lakes from Katmai National Park which are shown here in blue. (Indiscernible - cuts out) span a range of wetland cover and glacier cover in the water shed. wetland cover is shown in this graph on the Y axis, and the glacier cover is on the X axis. We were also selected to span a range -- spawning salmon density which is indicated by the size of the points on the graph. So we collected various samples from each of the 16 lakes. And those samples were 10 or more lake trout, (indiscernible) sockeye salmon if they were present, water samples, plankton samples, and sediment samples. We then analyzed the fish samples for various things like total mercury, total nitrogen, and total carbon as well as stable isotopes of mercury, nitrogen and carbon, and then otoliths which give us our reading on the fish age.

252627

 $\,$ All right, so I'm going to switch gears now and offer two slides of preliminary results.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43 44

45

46 47

48

49

50

The first slide summarizes fish total mercury results with (indiscernible - cuts out) depicting the range of mercury for the fish samples in each lake. In this graph the lakes are arranged on this Y axis along a north to south gradient. With the lakes from Lake Clark National Park showing in green and the lakes from Katmai National Park showing in blue. Dark green or dark blue boxes represent lake trout, you can see that in the legend here, and also light green or light blue boxes represent sockeye salmon. Total mercury in fish muscle tissue is found on the X axis here in nanograms per gram, wet weight, and finally the vertical line, this vertical grey line here represents the upper limit for unlimited human consumption of Alaska caught fish, specifically for women of child bearing age, nursing mothers and children, and that is set at the 200 nanograms per gram wet weight (indiscernible - cuts out) by the state of Alaska. So these results suggest that lake trout exhibit a wide range of total mercury levels, both

within some lakes and across all lakes. The median value of lake trout total mercury is above the State's fish consumption threshold in 7 of those 16 lakes. By comparison, sockeye salmon total mercury levels are consistently low, in these boxes here, and they exhibit little variability.

All right, so to understand which drivers best explain the observed patterns in lake trout total mercury concentrations, we've been developing a handful of statistical models. These models include variables measured at the fish level or once per fish, like age, and also at the lake level or once per lake or watershed, like the percentage of wetland cover.

So this is definitely a work in progress and it has been for quite awhile, and sadly we're still fine tuning the lake level variables. But so far the fish level variables that best explain mercury concentrations include lake trout age, so we're finding that mercury is higher in older fish, which is no surprise. But also lake trout body condition. We're finding that mercury is higher in skinnier fish. And finally lake trout diet specialization. So mercury is higher in fish feeding off shore according to their carbon stabilize isotope signatures, at least. So the more negative that stabilize isotope signature for carbon is, the higher the mercury.

All right.

 So what might these results mean for people who eat these fish regularly. Are lake trout and sockeye salmon in these lakes safe to eat.

I think the short answer is yes, but the amount that's safe to eat depends on the species of fish, where it's caught and also who's eating it.

So to justify this answer I'm going to rely on guidance published by the Alaska Department of Health and Human Services. The guidelines apply only to women who are or can become pregnant, nursing mothers and children under the age of 18. Everyone else can eat as much Alaskan seafood as they like. So for that at risk group the guidance is to eat as much as you'd like of the species in this box on the left, which includes all the species of Pacific salmon, but

for species in this box, kind of at the top right, which includes lake trout, you should limit consumption 2 3 to four meals per week. 4 5 And with that I think I will stop and 6 take questions and thank you for your time. 7 8 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you, 9 Krista, that's pretty interesting. So are there questions from the Council. 10 11 12 MR. WILSON: Mr. Chair, Rich Wilson. 13 14 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, go ahead, 15 Richard. 16 17 MR. WILSON: Yeah, I'm not on that 18 Microsoft Teams that you guys are looking at, so is it possible to get some, you know, if you guys could email 19 me those last two presentations there. There's a lot 2.0 of good information there that I'd like to see, the 21 22 graphs and such. 23 24 MS. BARTS: Absolutely. I will make 25 that available as soon as possible. Normally I would send it right away. My understanding is that for --26 for replies or pdfs that we're sending out now from the 27 Federal government, they have to be compliant so that 28 29 they could be read by people who are blind, and so there's a little bit of extra post processing that I 30 need to do to just add some like written captions into 31 them, but I will make that available as soon as 32 possible. Absolutely. 33 34 35 MR. WILSON: Thank you. I appreciate that. Looks like a lot of good information there, I 36 37 really thank you guys for doing that type of research, it's very interesting. Thanks. 38 39 40 MS. BARTS: You're welcome. 41 42 MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, Donald. 43 44 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Was that Donald? 45

46

47 48

49

50

Council Coordinator. Mr. Richard Wilson, I emailed a

copies and I will forward to the Council members. And

request to the Park Service to send the electronic

MR. MIKE: Yes, this is Donald Mike,

it should be in an email later on today or tomorrow. 1 2 3 Thank you. 4 5 Thanks, Donald. MR. WILSON: 6 7 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Great. Yeah, a 8 lot of beautiful pictures in these two. I'm curious --9 or, first, are there other Council members who have questions. 10 11 12 MR. WILSON: Am I the only one that's 13 got a real scratch on the phone. 14 15 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I'm hearing that 16 crackle too, I'm not sure, maybe somebody's phone's 17 getting tired. 18 19 MR. WILSON: Okay. 20 REPORTER: Yeah, I think that's all it 21 22 is and it's just been from our last presenter, so we'll have to just check and see if she mutes if that goes 23 2.4 away. 25 26 MR. WILSON: Thank you. 27 28 MS. BARTS: I've been on mute. I'm 29 going to mute again and then we'll see, okay, but I 30 hear it too. 31 32 MR. WILSON: Okay. 33 34 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. have a question for you Krista, there, is it -- you 35 know, sometimes I eat almost a half a small salmon for 36 37 a meal, so what is -- when you're talking about some of these four meals a day and stuff, is this the standard 38 -- I always look at some of these portions that they 39 put in on the back of packages and so on, like potato 40 41 chips, I go wait a minute, I eat the whole bag or something, so I'm kind of curious, I'm sure you have a 42 weight or a mass expression, but I'm curious what it is 43

44 45 46

47

48 49

50

MS. BARTS: Yeah, absolutely. So I'm not sure how well you can see this slide now but it says, note; a meal size is six ounces, uncooked weight, or roughly the size of a deck of cards. So that's

-- over.

```
pretty small. But I would also take note that, you
    know, your salmon species are down here in this
 2
 3
     (indiscernible - cuts out) category so I'm like you, I
 4
     eat a lot of salmon, when I eat salmon, and I don't
 5
     worry about -- you know, I'm also not, you know, in the
     at-risk group so much anymore. And I think as a male
 6
 7
     you wouldn't be either at your age. So I think you're
     okay. But, you know, take a close look at this -- and
 8
     this guidance -- I'll send the slide, but here's the --
 9
     the website where you can read more about it, but
10
     that's what I'd say off the top of my head.
11
12
13
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Great, thank
     you. I hadn't thought of that six ounces, I probably
14
     had that much for lunch today with salmon. Luckily
15
     salmon's got some fat in it and keeps you going, it can
16
     take a lot more pike to fill you up.
17
18
19
                     So any other questions.
20
21
                     MR. TREFON: Yes, Billy, here.
2.2
23
                     (Teleconference interference -
2.4
     participants not muted)
25
26
                     MR. TREFON: Can you hear me?
27
28
                     MR. MIKE: There's some disturbance
29
     online, if you can mute your phone please.
30
31
                     REPORTER: So Donald, the only other
    way I can take care of that is if I, you know, call the
32
33
     operator to have the line disconnected.
34
35
                     MR. TREFON: Yeah, because this is
    Billy, I could hear it too, it's loud -- and I did have
36
37
     a question also.
38
39
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: You have a
     question, Billy, please go ahead.
40
41
                     MR. TREFON: Yes, about the mercury
42
     levels and eating about -- I mean I could eat a
4.3
     sandwich bag full of strips, is there a survey done on
44
     the process of traditional salmon that's been dried,
45
46
     for the mercury level?
47
```

48

49

50

don't know the answer to it. I would guess that

MS. BARTS: That's a great question.

certain parts of the fish have somewhat different mercury levels and I'm not sure if there have been studies on the actual like process of, you know, how much drying the fish would affect that. I can say that when we get results back from the lab, they actually run the mercury analysis on fish that have been dried, and then we have to back calculate back to like a wet weight for the fish. But I think that's not really what your question's getting at.

So why don't -- how about this, I will look into to see if I can find anything about that and get back to Susanne about it or directly for the Council because I think that's a really interesting question.

MR. TREFON: Yeah, because there's 16 strips in one -- about 16 strips in one fish and anybody that gets a hold of dried salmon, they could eat that in a sitting and then ask for more.

MS. BARTS: Absolutely.

MR. TREFON: All right, thank you.

MS. BARTS: Yeah, thank you for that

question.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, thanks, Billy. How it's processed can make a real difference, how you eat it could really concentrate things. Just hoping no bear eats me, might get mercury poisoned.

Okay, are there any other questions or is it time to move on to Katmai.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Again, thank you, Krista. It's really interesting, I'll look forward to the electronic file. And you're on Katmai.

MR. STURM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mark Sturm, Superintendent of Katmai National Park and Preserve, Aniakchak National Monument.

(Telephone interference - participants not muted and constant static - calling operator)

MR. STURM: I wonder, Krista, if you can still hear me.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

1

MR. WILSON: Mr. Chair, I got a request, please. There's quite a bit of disturbance on my phone there and if we can perhaps take a little -couple minutes here, I'd really like to hear what Mark's got to say so if we can clear up this phone it'd be great.

9 10 11

REPORTER: Okay, if everybody wants to standby just a couple minutes I'll contact the operator.

13 14 15

12

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you.

16 17

MR. WILSON: Thank you.

18

MR. MIKE: Okay, thank you, Tina.

19 20

REPORTER: Yep.

21 2.2 23

(Off record)

24 25

(On record)

26 27

> 28 29

30

31

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42

4.3

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. STURM: I'll continue on, thank you, Mr. Chair. And I hope you don't have high expectations, those last few presentations were really high quality. We're going to do our best here but my information won't be nearly as engaging as some of the other presentations.

32 33 34

But I do want to share with the Council that we are focused on preparing for the FY'21 field season at this time here at Katmai. There are some differences. We are anticipating more visitation this year than last year and we are anticipating still having, obviously, significant concerns around the coronavirus, Covid19. Thankfully there are some additional tools available, the vaccine effort is really continuing and ramping up, which is great. hope to have a number of our Staff vaccinated. We also have a mask mandate that has been issued at the Federal level. The President signed an executive order requiring Federal agencies, and Federal buildings and in areas where we cannot six feet distance to require masks. And so that's a different posture than what the previous Administration held around masks the last

season. What you should expect when you come and visit Katmai this year, is to have a requirement for masks inside buildings and in areas where congestion occurs outside. Other than that it should be very much the same as what was around last year.

And we are trying to understand how we can increase our level of access to the Brooks Camp area, in particular. That was the only area that was significantly impacted from an operations perspective last year. We did have to accommodate day use visitation primarily for most of the season once we opened. And a limited amount of overnight visitation at the lodge out there. We're hoping that we can make some decisions before the end of this month about the campground and how that might impact our ability to have overnight accommodations there. And when we make those final decisions we will be issuing a press release.

The Council has also expressed an interest in a number of projects that are ongoing. Obviously as with so many other Federal partners, our efforts on some of the projects we have initiated got postponed due to Covid19. But one that did -- I guess that we have worked on recently is the Pike Ridge Project, and I think this is what Richard is interested in hearing. The Pike Ridge, for those of you that might not know, is just a small portion of Katmai National Park, if I can share my screen -- let me see if I can do this here -- do you all see a map that shows a green area of Katmai National Park?

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes.

 MR. STURM: Okay. For those of you that have Teams, I'm sharing an image of a portion of Katmai National Park. And what I want to share is what happened back after the National Election was that the 117th Congress asked Federal agencies if they had any issues that we would like to put forward as a legislative proposal to be addressed, and this is a particular issue that we have worked administratively to try to solve for a number of years, and the community in the King Salmon, Naknek area and surrounding areas of Katmai know this, but it still remains as it has been for the last number of decades. The current allocation of this area is National Park lands and the areas in question, if you look on the

map, there is a white line, that white line was the 1 former boundary of Katmai National Monument at the time 2 3 of the passage of ANILCA. And what we have submitted for my agency, and essentially that's the process, it 4 goes up the National Park Service chain to the 5 Department of Interior, and if there is interest in the 6 7 Federal leadership to try to work this issue 8 legislatively, they will engage with Congress and the 9 President's office and try to include a remedy for this issue in a Federal legislative package. And so 10 essentially what we have put forward is a legislative 11 12 proposal to consider converting a 20,000 or 19,064 acre area of Katmai National Park to Preserve, which would 13 allow for subsistence use and hunting in this area. 14 The area in question has a long existing ATV trail, 15 access trail, that you can see identified on that map, 16 it also is known to have a certain level of hunting 17 18 that occurs. This is something that we have worked 19 collaboratively in the local community to understand 2.0 and to try to understand what the community's interest 2.1 and needs are and we want to -- essentially, myself, as 22 a manager, what I would like to do is have this area of the Park, in particular, in such a condition, that we 23 2.4 can manage the area as it is designated. And currently 25 the -- those -- the National Park designation and the 26 access that this trail allows and some of the 2.7 activities that occur as a result of that trail in the Park are not in alignment. And so we want to align the 28 designated purpose with the actual use and this is a 29 30 possible way that Congress could help us do this.

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39 The other aspect that we included as a possible remedy for this, is to consider Congress authorizing subsistence use for Western Expansion Lands in Katmai National Park. Essentially those areas, or a portion of those areas that came into Katmai National Park at the passage of ANILCA in 1980, Congress could consider allowing subsistence use in those areas. They would -- subsistence use was explicitly prohibited with the passage of ANILCA in those additional Park lands.

40 41 42

43 44

45

46

47 48

49

50

And so we put forward both of those proposals. That second proposal, I don't have a map, it would be premature to show a map. We would have to probably get on the phone with the RAC and other stakeholders and discuss what the proposed additional subsistence lands might look like. But the question has been asked in general, up my chain of command, and potentially to the Secretary of the Interior, and

beyond, about the possibility of rededicating the Park Lands that came into Katmai upon the passage of ANILCA so that they might allow subsistence use.

So those are two proposals. One is the one that you have in front of you, which is -- those of you that can see the Teams presentation, which is a smaller version, it solves a small problem in the corner of the Park, the other would be a more expansive proposal. And right now the only question that we have asked is Congress, or is the Department of Interior interested in working with Katmai National Park to address these issues.

And so with that, I'll stop. The reason why -- I guess I won't stop, I will say one more thing.

The 117th Congress, which is the Congress that just came into session asked the Federal agencies if they were interested, or had any proposals, this is the first time since I've been here that we have had an opportunity to put a legislative proposal forward. And so we talked quickly with a number of partners that are affected. The State owns some land adjacent to the Park in this area. Paug-Vik Native Corporation owns that purple/pink section on the map, if it shows. And so we reached out to them and tried to confirm their interest in floating this possible solution and, indeed, they have and so we'll see where it goes.

 But I'll stop there and allow you all to ask any questions you might have about what we've done.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Wow, this is fascinating. I'm going to open it up to the Council, who might have questions, is that you, Richard?

MR. WILSON: I do, but I don't need to be the first.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Well, I'm looking to you or if anybody else wants to speak up, but otherwise go ahead, Richard.

MR. WILSON: Through the Chair. Thanks, Mark, for that presentation. The second

proposal that you had just talked about is new and we had discussed earlier, or you had mentioned that there was a timeline where all this could be submitted. Are we still under that same timeline or is there -- or do we have time to -- you asked, you know, if there was some interest on where these boundaries might lie for the community, so what does this timeline look like for getting involved with helping you with that decision?

8 9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 2.0

21

22

23 2.4

25

26 2.7

28 29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

1

2 3

5

6

7

MR. STURM: So the timeline that I was referencing in a previous conversation that you were part of that we had about this has come and gone. Essentially, we, the Park, and some of our support Staff from the region had to pull together the legislative proposal package for the agency, the National Park Service Washington Leadership and the Department of Interior, we are part of the Department of Interior, to consider, and we have done that. essentially to be honest, Richard, the reason why the second alternative about a possible subsistence authorization for expansion -- Western Expansion Park land came back on the table, because this came from the community back in 2015 when we were in discussions around how -- you know what's the problem, how can we fix the problem, this was -- that particular option was a popular option, and it came up during our conversation with Paug-Vik Corporation, the Board, that you were part of, and at that time I was reminded about it and went and looked at the previous iterations of the draft compliance that we had developed and found a version that contained that proposal. And so it is something we are interested in. The only thing that held us up that we didn't have an opportunity to at least ask the question, is Congress interested, is the Department interested, and perhaps helping us solve this problem. The 117th Congress gave us that opportunity and we had asked the question.

37 38 39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46 47

48

49 50

Now, to answer your second question around will you have opportunity; if the Department of Interior and my leadership says, yes, we are interested in seeing if we can work on this, there will be extensive opportunity to engage with the community about what this could be. So right now the question is out of our hands, there needs to be some assessment at the Departmental level about whether or not this is something they think they could effectively advocate for and are interested in. But if the answer is, yes, we are and we will, then this will be a drawn out

expensive process that could lead us to a situation where subsistence is authorized in these areas.

MR. WILSON: Through the Chair, Rich Wilson here. My hat's off to you, Mark, you know, you've done a great service there by those two proposals for this community. It's something that, you know, we've been striving for for a long time and it's taken somebody like yourself to come into our community to, you know, basically help open these doors. So we are very much appreciated of it.

Thank you.

MR. STURM: Through the Chair, I appreciate those comments. There's a lot of folks, not just me, that are wanting to solve these problems, many of whom still work for Katmai and the community members in general. I do want to offer an ounce of caution. That we have asked the question and we essentially have said, the Park supports this possible future solution, but we are one small voice in a big chain of command, and I guess it could fall apart at various levels, but at the same time we're an important voice and we're the first one and we're trying. And so we'll see where this goes.

One thing that might be worth thinking about, if I could make a recommendation or a suggestion is, for the RAC to consider drafting a letter to the Secretary of Interior's office expressing support for one or both of these possible alternatives, and I'd be happy to talk offline, you know, about that a little more to help frame that conversation. And so this is the first that many of you are learning about these options.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay.

MR. WILSON: Through the Chair, Rich Wilson here. Great idea, Mark. Great idea. And I guess I'd look to our Council members for input on that there.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you, Richard. Thank you, Mark. Other comments from other Council members. I'm wishing Nanci was here right now -- okay.

1 2 3

4

5

6

7

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, I have a few questions. How much of this that you're sharing here could be shared around with some of the other communities. I would think possibly Igiugig and Kokhanok might be pretty intrigued by some aspects of this, Mark.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28 29

MR. STURM: So it is a question and I'll be honest, you know, when do you start talking about this, and to me, after talking with my Staff, this is very early in the process, but this legislative proposal is a instrument that could impact the work that the RAC does. And I just thought that it was important, even though it might be very early in the process and it could result in a lot of high hopes that get dashed, I thought it was important because the question has been asked, at least up through the Federal agency's chain, and so I thought it was important to share this. At the end of the day, Mr. Chairman, I guess I would say we have shared this publicly to the RAC and it can be discussed in any venue that you would like. There's likely to be some interest and we will do everything we can to keep up with the interest as we can, but there's a lot of unknowns. We're going to say, I don't know, we have to wait and see; those are going to be a lot of our answers until we hear back what the interest of the Department is about supporting something like this.

30 31 32

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, I understand, and especially with the change of Administration here, it could be very interesting.

34 35 36

37

33

Another question is so your Item 2, would that open up more land than are shown in this map that you have up -- over.

38 39 40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49 50 MR. STURM: So the second alternative would be a subsistence only option and it would essentially have Park lands similar to what exists in Lake Clark that do allow for subsistence use and it's possible that it could be limited to an area like this, I don't think it would be smaller, but it could be limited to something this size but it also could include additional lands. And there is work there, there's discussion there around in the Park manage —can the — you know, how do we manage access, how do we

manage subsistence. One thing I will say is that we did clarify in our proposal that we would require a subsistence manager, which we currently don't have, that's essentially assigned as a collateral duty, and it's a lot of work for a collateral duty, to be honest, but we would require, because this would be a significant expansion of our responsibilities, to have a position dedicated to that. So that sometimes can be an issue for Congressional support or Departmental support. But that's a long way of getting to your question, which is — the short answer, Mr. Chair, is, yes, it could include additional lands beyond what's shown on this map.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Well, having a little experience with bureaucracy, I can appreciate it's a fine balancing act. This issue was one of the first issues I became aware of, even though I was just a sportfish biologist, but ever since I first -- or I came back into this country in 1989, it's exciting -- I feel like the Park Service is making a good faith effort to fix it, and they're building a track record of fixing things, and that's wonderful.

I don't know how the rest of the RAC feels but I would, at the appropriate time, certainly be inclined to support a letter of support from the RAC, but what's the pleasure of the rest of the Council.

MR. WILSON: Rich Wilson here. I'd e in support of it and I think earlier the better. If you wait to too long then they've already made up their mind.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I see. Yeah, I'm looking to Mark, too, but I didn't want to jump the gun, but if this is the time to compose a letter of support I'm all for it.

MR. TREFON: Yes, Billy here. I support it.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I'm suspecting some of my friends in Kokhanok and Igiugig might support it as well, and maybe others. What would it take to compose a letter, what would be the right language to use or would -- can we get guidance from Park Service on that or do they have to be careful?

MR. STURM: Well, we do have to be careful. But I can certainly review a draft for accuracy and provide some suggestions, I guess. We certainly need to be careful about that. But I'd be happy to at least make sure that whatever you are proposing to send is consistent with what we have submitted and I guess I would -- this is Mr. Sturm, from the National Park, I just want to make sure, if someone would like to just get in touch with me as soon as possible we can have a detailed discussion around how to proceed.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: That sounds good. I was looking, again, to you, Richard, what do you think?

MR. WILSON: It sounds like a Donald

 Mike thing.

(Laughter)

MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, it's Donald Mike.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead.

MR. MIKE: Yeah, I was going to defer it to the Secretary of the Bristol Bay Council.

(Laughter)

MR. MIKE: No, really, if the Council wishes to go forward with the correspondence to the Park Service stating that they support their initial plans to submit a proposal to the Congressional Office, we can send a letter to Mr. Sturm, and I can work with Mr. Sturm on developing language on the correspondence from the Council to the Park Service.

Mr. Chair.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: That would be good. And I would think, Richard, you'd might want to be a little involved, or do you have a -- I'm trying to think one of the really good letter writer around town there that maybe is part of Paug-Vik, or whatever, that might contribute. If there's a way I could help I'm eager to do so. At this point I'm wondering if -- because it's been a problem, I've been very aware of it even though I live on this side of the bay, I used to

spend a lot of time in King Salmon. I guess do we need a motion to compose a letter of support because it 2 3 would be wonderful to fix that problem -- okay. 4 5 MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, this is Donald 6 Mike. 7 8 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, sir. 9 MR. MIKE: Yes, if the Council wishes 10 11 to make a motion in sending correspondence to the Park Service in support of the issue, the Council can move 12 forward with a motion and take action. 13 14 15 Thank you. 16 17 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, 18 Donald. 19 MR. WILSON: Rich Wilson, here. I will 2.0 21 so move. 2.2 23 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Richard 24 you're moving to compose a letter of support for Park 25 Service finding a legislative solution to the Katmai 26 land use issues, or something to that order? 27 28 MR. WILSON: Yeah, the two proposals 29 that he has compiled. 30 31 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. sufficient language for a motion, Donald? 32 33 34 MR. MIKE: Yes. The Council had a lot 35 of discussion on this and we can go back to the transcripts and go with that and Mr. Richard Wilson 36 37 made a motion in support of the language that was 38 discussed earlier by Mr. Sturm. 39 40 Thank you. 41 42 MR. WILSON: We need a second. 43 44 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you. have a motion, do we have a second. 45 46 47 MR. TREFON: Billy Trefon. Second. 48 49 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, 50

```
Billy. Any more discussion on it.
 1
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: I'll say one
 6
     thing. I'm wondering how, like Paug-Vik or other folks
 7
     who might benefit from this, how you could mount a
     little bit of a PR campaign. I've seen some other
 8
     really reasonable Park Service ideas, but there's some
 9
    fanatical supporters from the Lower 48 who you even
10
     mention something like this in some of these Parks, and
11
     they're really well-connected, and they've squashed a
12
    few ideas that are really pretty harmless, so I'm
13
     wishing there's ways to, you know, so you're a small
14
     community of 1,500 or something, and these guys paint a
15
     picture that we're going to destroy a vast area or
16
     something, which just isn't the case.
17
18
19
                     So anyway if there's any other
     discussion, or should we look to vote -- okay.
20
21
22
                     MR. WILSON: We'll call it customary
     and traditional use.
23
24
25
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Well, it
26
     certainly is, so that would certainly be appropriate in
2.7
     there. People cherish that trail.
28
29
                     So any other comments.
30
31
                     MR. WILSON: I guess, Donald, if you
     need me to chat with, or, Mark, later, when you guys
32
     compile the letter, I'll be glad to either pass it on
33
     to others and help you out that way or we can just do
34
35
     it.
36
37
                     Thanks.
38
39
                     Call for question.
40
41
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thanks.
     Yeah, and I bet Nanci could contribute when she gets
42
     back. So I heard the question called, Donald, can you
43
44
     read the roll.
45
46
                     MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
     Donald Mike, Council Coordinator.
47
48
                     A motion was made by Mr. Richard Wilson
49
```

```
to work with the National Park Service to find a
     legislative solution on Park Service lands in Katmai,
 2
 3
     and a second was called by Mr. Billy Trefon.
 4
 5
                     Roll call vote.
 6
 7
                     Billy Trefon.
 8
 9
                     MR. TREFON: Yes.
10
                     MR. MIKE: Samantha Herrick.
11
12
13
                     MS. HERRICK: Yes.
14
15
                     MR. MIKE: Dan Dunaway.
16
17
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes.
18
19
                     MR. MIKE: Lary Hill.
20
21
                      (No comments)
22
23
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Oh, did his
24
     phone die?
25
26
                     MR. MIKE: I think his phone died.
     Lary, are you still with us?
27
28
29
                      (No comments)
30
31
                     MR. MIKE: Okav.
32
33
                     Robert Larson.
34
                     MR. LARSON: Yes.
35
36
37
                     MR. MIKE: And, Richard Wilson.
38
39
                     MR. WILSON: Yes.
40
41
                     MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair. We have five yes
42
     and one absent. Motion passes. Thank you.
43
44
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Well, that's
     good news. I thank you for that Mark, that's a very
45
     interesting development. Do you have more in your
46
47
     report.
48
49
                     MR. STURM: So my Staff does. Through
50
```

the Chair, if it's okay, they have just a few things to share that the Council may be interested in, if I could turn the microphone over to Linda Chisolm, our subsistence coordinator.

 $\label{eq:ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes, please do.} \\ \mbox{Thank you, Linda, and Mark.}$

MR. STURM: Thank you.

MS. CHISOLM: Thank you, Mark. And thank you, Mr. Chair and Council members. This is Linda Chisolm, I'm the Subsistence Coordinator for Aniakchak National Monument.

And as I mentioned earlier in today's called the Aniakchak SRC met last week on February 2nd. Although the SRC was not able to meet a quorum, members continued to meet as an information session to share recent survey efforts by our local agencies in the Federal and State jurisdictions across Unit 9 and specifically Unit 9E. Response from our SRC members at that meeting and at the recent SRC Chair's meeting that we held telephonically with all of the Chairs across the Alaska region indicated that folks in, especially the Port Heiden region, were noticing caribou numbers were up and ptarmigan numbers also appeared to be increasing from the levels that were observed maybe one or even two years ago.

This fall and summer we have made some concerted efforts to make sure that appointments, reappointment packages were completed for all three of our DOI appointed SRC members. These were submitted in the fall and we are awaiting a resubmission through the DOI this fiscal year.

We also have one member who is currently working on his RAC appointed reappointment package, so I anticipate we'll have that for you all to review at the next RAC meeting.

And a little bit of good news, too, I am helping a member from the Chignik community with a package to apply to the Bristol Bay RAC. So I anticipate by the end of this week I should be able to forward that package on and add to the pool of applicants going forward.

And I think that is all for my report. Thank you, very much.

2 3 4

1

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, Linda. Council members, any comments, questions.

5 6 7

(No comments)

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Well, I'll bull I'm glad you were able to move forward, at ahead then. least for an information item, and glad to hear caribou and ptarmigan are looking better, as well as applicants. I have some doctor friends that go down that way and every time they go down I tell them to ask people to apply to the RAC so maybe somebody will. We've had some excellent members from down there.

16 17

Anything else.

18 19 2.0

(No comments)

21 22

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Hearing nothing, thanks again, Linda and Mark. Is BLM available.

23 24 25

26

27

28 29

MS. MILLION: Yes, good afternoon, Mr. This is Bonnie Million. I am the Field Manager for the BLM's Anchorage Field Office. The BLM has an update in the meeting book, I believe it starts on Page 46, it's a couple pages long. I'm not going to take the time to read it to you.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

I guess the main highlight would be that we are stepping up our involvement with our sister agencies of U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and ADF&G to participate on some of the Mulchatna Caribou Herd monitoring. Actually right now our law enforcement pilot is out in Bethel assisting Fish and Wildlife Service with some patrols of the area and we're hoping to continue that for the next -- I think he will be out there for another week or so.

40 41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

We're also putting in for some project dollars and I think several other land managers before me have mentioned this, we are optimistically planning for the 2021 season with the understanding and keeping the flexibility of if numbers don't continue to improve or if there are communities that are not too keen on folks coming in, especially from the hub of Anchorage, that we would adjust and adapt as needed to make sure

1 that everybody stays safe.
2

Like I said we've got updates in the meeting books, if there are any questions or if there is anything that I can help with, please, just let me know, and that's the end of the report from my end.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Oh, my you're efficient, and I somehow missed [sic] your section in our book here, so this is wonderful, thank you.

MS. MILLION: You bet.

 $\label{eq:action} {\tt ACTING\ CHAIR\ DUNAWAY:} \quad {\tt Are\ there\ any} \\ {\tt questions\ from\ the\ Council.}$

(No comments)

2.0

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you. Again, I've seen some kind of unnecessary delays, in my opinion, to some of the research folks are trying to do out of shear terror in some of these communities and I'm hoping that, you know, folks realize the stuff is not nerve gas or novichok poison and if a pilot lands at the far end of the runway and gasses up his plane and goes again, that he's not likely to destroy a community so, you know, some fears like that have totally disrupted good science. So hopefully we can -- as the vaccine spreads people can relax a little bit. It is serious but not that deadly. So thank you for that.

That leads us to Fish and Game. Is Lee on or Mark, go ahead.

MR. BORDEN: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is Lee Borden.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead, Lee.

MR. BORDEN: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll just keep mine short and sweet.

This last season, you know, everybody is kind of saying the same thing and I'll be a broken record, but this last season was rough, budgets were impacted for the State based on, you know, low tourism, and, you know, lower license sales. So the Bristol Bay Sportfish Division budget got cut by over half, so I'm

still kind of reeling from that. That has resulted in Staffing shortages as well as field projects being cut altogether. So still trying to gather myself and figure out our best path forward as far as Staffing and budgets go here in Bristol Bay.

And then that being said, we had all of our field projects cut for last season. I had four scheduled. We were going to do some rainbow trout work up in the Iliamna drainage. We were going to do some coho work on the Nushagak as well as some king work on the Togiak, and all of those projects got cut based on our budget.

So we were able to run a Naknek rainbow trout krill last fall so the second year of that project. We got good data. We had to change our methodologies a bit being that we could no longer obtain biological samples from anglers on the river, so we had our crew obtaining samples for themself and that seemed to work for this season. We found no significant missing age classes or no -- nothing that would cause us any kind of concern as far as the size composition of the catch was, so it was a good project. We did document pretty high effort and that would have been, you know, obviously last fall, so before Covid hit.

This season effort was down across the bay for sportfishing. I personally did some counts on the Nushagak and compared them to counts that were done in 2019 for a krill survey and I documented that effort was down by about 75 to 80 percent lower than it would have normally been. So we were seeing 20 to 25 percent of the anglers you would expect in a normal year on the river. That trend held pretty solid for all of Bristol Bay, although fishing did begin to pick up in the fall as travel restrictions began to ease.

As far as fish went, you know, king returns this year were low. We struggled to make escapement and didn't make escapement on the Nushagak based on the sonar count. That kind of held true for most other rivers in the bay. Reports were that kings did not come in super strong, and that fishing was spotty throughout the bay.

 $\hbox{Another note is that chums were also} \\ \hbox{low this year.} \ \hbox{It's kind of the first year that's ever}$

```
been on my radar. Failed to make escapement on the
 1
 2
     Nushagak and had reports from Alagnak and other
 3
     drainages that chums did just never really showed up
 4
     this year.
 5
 6
                     Other than that, I don't have a whole
 7
     lot to give you. I can answer some questions. And
     that's it for me.
 8
 9
10
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11
12
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                            Thank you, Lee.
13
     Any questions from anybody.
14
15
                     MR. WILSON:
                                  Through the Chair, Rich
16
     Wilson here.
17
18
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead.
19
2.0
                     MR. WILSON: Yeah, it's for Lee. I'd
     like to hear some counts on the king salmon, like in
21
22
     the Alagnak and in the Big Creek area, you got any of
2.3
     those numbers?
24
25
                     MR. BORDEN: Unfortunately we don't
     enumerate king salmon in the bay anywhere other than
26
     the Nushagak River so we rely on reports from sportfish
27
     users as well as subsistence user and commercial
28
29
     fishing catch reports and that's kind of how we gage
     things. So I won't have a lot of the subsistence and
30
31
     catch data until later this year. So unfortunately I
32
     don't have numbers for you, no.
33
34
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Any followups or
35
     other people.
36
37
                     (No comments)
38
39
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay.
40
     that explains why I didn't have to can very many chums
     out of my subsistence net. I wondered because I was
41
42
     surprised how few I saw.
43
44
                     Is that everything for you, Lee?
45
46
                     MR. BORDEN: Mr. Chair, that'll be it
47
     for me, yes.
48
49
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                                    I hope
                                            Okay.
50
```

they give you a little bit more budget. You were having a great program planned. Is there any other Fish and Game folks that were going to call in.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: So I guess our wildlife guy's checked out. I'm assuming that's it then for Fish and Game. How about....

MR. BURCH: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is Mark Burch. I can affirm that I have -- I'm not aware of any additional reports. Thank you.

 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Thank you, Mark. Thank you for being on. If Brian's still on, I appreciate it. And really and truly appreciated Todd's extensive discussion early on and please pass a thank you on to him.

Is Office of Subsistence Management, who do we have for that?

MR. PAPPAS: Good evening, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. I have a thousand word presentation that goes to all 10 Regional Advisory Councils, and you're No. 1.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead,

George.

 MR. PAPPAS: All right, first of all, I wanted to thank you and the Council members for your hard work. It's been very difficult for all of us dealing with Covid and this environment this last year.

So for teleconferences, we are holding all 10 of the winter Council meetings via teleconferences. This decision was made with the utmost consideration and concern for the health and safety of Council members, families, rural communities, the public and Staff, who are all part of the Council meetings. The health and safety of everyone is our highest priority. The CDC and prevention guidelines suggest that people avoid travel, refrain from meeting in person to minimize risk and help prevent the spread of Covid19.

The Regional Advisory Councils are the

foundation of Alaska's Federal Subsistence Management Program. We recognize that holding face to face Council meetings in rural communities across Alaska is preferable to engaging the public most effectively. The Federal Subsistence Management Program is fully committed to resuming in-person Council meetings across Alaska soon as it's possible to do so safely.

1 2

OSM Staff changes.

You've heard a few things. We've had a couple of changes since the last Council meeting. The following Staff changes have occurred.

 $\,$ Doctor Brent Vickers started at OSM as the anthropology lead in December.

 Greg Risdahl, the former Fisheries Division Lead has moved over to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service [sic] in January, and will be on their ISC.

We are grateful to have experienced people on the ISC and remain with the Program as advisors for the Board members. If you recall we have Josh Ream which was an anthropologist for OSM is an ISC member, and now Greg Risdahl is ISC, and also Chris McKee, the Wildlife Lead has moved on, and he's over at ISC.

So I, am, actually at this time have stepped up to be the Acting Fisheries Division Lead for awhile in addition to my liaison work with the State of Alaska, and because partially the Board of Fish and Game decided to delay their meetings until next year, that freed up a little bit of my schedule to help out at this point.

Katya Wessels, Council Coordinator, she is also acting as OSM Policy Coordinator.

Steve McFadden, who you met earlier today and has been on the line most of the day came to us from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Refuges to be acting OSM Wildlife Division Lead.

 $\,$ Admin Sabrina Schmidt left, she was one of our OSM clerks and she left and she took a promotion with the military in January.

Council appointments and applications. 1 The annual Council appointment process is very complex. 2 3 It takes about 15 months to complete. It begins in 4 September of each year when the Federal Subsistence Board announces the opening application period for 5 Council membership. During this six month application 6 7 period the Program conducts extensive statewide recruitment and efforts. The Program distributes 8 9 approximately 2,000 applications throughout Alaska via 10 mail, email, individuals, agencies and organizations. Basically the Program conducts wide-ranging outreach 11 12 through a variety of media outlets including, but not limited to newspapers, radios, TV, internet, FaceBook 13 and public conferences. Last year, in 2020, the effort 14 resulted in 74 applications to fill the 64 vacated or 15 expired seats. After the InterAgency Nominations Panel 16 conducted interviews, the Federal Subsistence Board 17 18 reviewed the applications and forwarded their 19 recommendations to the Secretary of Interior on September 4th, 2020. On January 15th, 2021, OSM 20 21 received copies of the Regional Advisory Council 22 appointment letters signed by the former Secretary of Interior. The Regional appointment letters were sent 23 2.4 by regular post and the applicants whose appointments 25 were approved should be receiving the letters soon, if they have not already received them. We received full 26 2.7 appointments for three Councils, for the KA, the Kodiak/Aleutians, the Bristol Bay, and the Seward 28 Peninsula, and partial appointments for four Councils, 29 30 Eastern Interior, Northwest Arctic, North Slope and 31 Southcentral. Three Councils, the Western Interior, 32 Yukon-Kusko Delta and Southeast Alaska did not receive 33 appointments at all. Some Councils that received partial appointments that left them with three or four 34 35 vacant seats. We are working with our FWS 36 Headquarter's officials as well with the Department of 37 Interior to see if we can get additional appointments considered out of cycle. Application period for this 38 year's Regional Advisory Council appointments is open 39 until February 15th, and by December 2nd of this year 40 we're going to have 62 open seats for appointment or 41 42 reappointment, and as of today we have received only three applications for this appointment cycle. The 43 cycle has been extended, I believe, for a couple of 44 weeks, and we're beating the bushes as hard as we can. 45 We're looking for any ideas or recommendations. We 46 encourage, as you've heard many times throughout this 47 meeting, Council member's whose terms expire in 48 December 2022 to reapply, and also we encourage the 49 50

Council members to make as many contacts as possible, as, Mr. Dunaway, you had indicated -- I haven't heard that one yet, asked the doctors to pass it along. The Council Coordinator should also have contacted you if your membership expires this coming December. We also encourage other rural Alaskans to apply to Council memberships. Serving on the Regional Advisory Council is the best way to participate in the Federal Subsistence Management regulatory process and help to sustain the traditional way of life. Your participation on the RAC allows for better regulations to be developed that satisfy the needs of the users in the region, and, at the same time, assure the continued viability of fish and wildlife populations. Please let your, Coordinator, Donald, know if you want to reapply.

15 16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

Another issue, RealID for traveling to Council meetings. Over the past two years we've been reminding Council members about change in requirements for identifications at airports. This has been postponed to October 1st, 2021. We want to make sure that all Council members, you will need to make sure that they have the required ID for travel next fall. If you do not have the correct ID, please make sure that you get it before the fall 2021 Council meeting cycle.

262728

And for the lawsuit with the State.

2930

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

On August 10th the State of Alaska filed a lawsuit against the Federal Subsistence Board after it adopted emergency special action WSA19-14. This allowed the village of Kake to engage in a community harvest of two antlered moose and five male Sitka black-tailed deer. Also included in the lawsuit was temporary special action WSA20-03 which closed Federal public lands in units 13A and 13B to non-Federally-qualified moose and caribou hunters. As part of the lawsuit the State asked the court to issue two preliminary injunctions. One to prevent the unit 13 closure from taking affect and the other to vacate the Kake hunt and prohibit the Board from allowing any additional emergency hunts related to Covid-19. On September 18th the U.S. District Court denied the State's request for a preliminary injunction on the Unit 13 closure. The court found that because the State has not demonstrated either a likelihood of success or serious questions on the merits of its claim, the court need not consider the remaining

elements of the preliminary injunction analysis. 1 2 3 A few months later on November 18th, 4 the Court also denied the State's motion for a 5 preliminary injunction against the Kake hunt after 6 Judge Gleason concluded that the State had not 7 determined -- or, excuse me -- had not demonstrated a 8 likelihood of success on the merits, raised serious 9 questions on the merits of its claims, or demonstrated any likelihood of irreparable harm. 10 11 12 While these rulings are on preliminary 13 injunction are encouraging, they do not resolve the litigation. Settlement negotiations between the 14 Department of Justice, the Department of Interior 15 Solicitor's Office, the USDA Office of General Counsel, 16 and the State are ongoing. The parties have agreed to 17 18 delay the court proceedings while these discussions are underway. Barring a settlement, the Solicitor's Office 19 now estimates that the briefing should be complete in 2.0 21 summer 2021 and that the court will issue its decision 22 in late summer. Based on legal guidance, Program Staff 23 does not comment on any active litigation directed 2.4 against the Federal Subsistence Board beyond what has 25 been set forth here. 2.6 2.7 I'd be happy to answer any of your 2.8 questions, Mr. Chair. 29 30 Thank you. 31 32 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. 33 you, George. A bunch of interesting stuff in there. Any questions, comments from Council members. 34 35 36 (No comments) 37 38 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Everybody's 39 watching the clock for the end, I think. 40 41 (Laughter) 42 43 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you, 44 George. And if..... 45 46 MR. PAPPAS: You're welcome, sir. 47

48

49 50 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:

Pardon.

MR. PAPPAS: I said, you're welcome, Mr. Chair. Standing by, thank you.

2 3 4

5

6 7

8 9

1

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, that's interesting on the RealID, I keep forgetting that myself. I'm feeling fortunate that we got our membership reappointed before this freeze. that seems to conclude -- or are there any other agencies or groups that we need to hear from at this point -- over.

10 11 12

(No comments)

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, that takes us down to future meeting dates. We need to confirm the fall meeting date and location. I'm hoping it's not on the phone. And I forget what the time is, if you want to chime in, Donald. It looks like we're looking at October 27/28 in Dillingham. What do people think of that.

20 21 22

(No comments)

23 24

25

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: If I hear no objection I'm going to assume that everything's fine.

26 27

MR. WILSON: That generally works for

28 29

30

31

Mrs. Lyon.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, and it works for me, too, by then you're happy to stay inside somewhere. Everybody else okay with it.

32 33 34

(No comments)

35 36

37

38

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay, thank you. And we need to pick a winter 2022 dates and location. Typically it's been Naknek, what's our options at this point, Donald.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

MR. MIKE: Mr. Chair, Donald Mike. If you look on Page 56 of your meeting materials we have the 2022 Council meeting calendar. So the window opens February 7th and closes on March 25th, so we have the whole calendar to ourselves since we're first on the docket to set up meeting dates.

46 47 48

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

```
ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Oh, wow, usually
 1
 2
     we're kind of painted into a corner by the time we get
 3
     to it. I'm open to comments from the Council.
 4
 5
                     MR. WILSON: It seemed to work out, you
 6
     know, this time of year, this time of month here it
 7
     seemed to work.
 8
 9
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yeah, it does.
     It does. Would February 8th and 9th or 15th and 16th;
10
11
     what's everybody's preference.
12
13
                     MR. WILSON:
                                  8th and 9th, for me.
14
15
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: You want to make
16
     it into a motion.
17
18
                     MR. WILSON: I'll move to have our 2022
19
     meeting February 8th and 9th.
20
21
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                             Got a second.
22
23
                     MR. TREFON: Question on location.
24
25
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                             Typically we've
     had it in Dillingham [sic] to try to, you know, cover
26
     both sides, I mean I'd rather have it in Nondalton,
27
     Billy, but they say that doesn't work so.
28
29
30
                     (Laughter)
31
32
                     MR. TREFON: Yeah, Dillingham will
33
     work.
34
35
                     MR. WILSON: We usually have the
36
     February one in Naknek.
37
38
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Oh, Naknek,
     you're correct, you're correct. Do you want to put
39
40
     that in your motion.
41
                     MR. WILSON: I believe I did.
42
43
44
                     (Laughter)
45
46
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Oh, okay, I
     missed that. Okay, so do we have a second for a motion
47
     to meet February 8 and 9 in Naknek.
48
49
50
```

1 2		MR. TREFON: I so move.
3 4 5	Billy.	ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Is that
5 6 7		MR. TREFON: Yes.
8 9 10	treat that as a	ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Can we second, Richard made the motion?
11 12		MR. TREFON: Yes, it's a second.
13 14 15	we're all No. 1	ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Okay. Well, right, so any discussion.
16 17		(Laughter)
18 19		MR. LARSON: Question.
20 21 22	call the roll.	ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Donald, can you
23 24 25 26 27		MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. to have the Bristol Bay Council meet d 9th in Naknek, and seconded by Mr.
28 29		Billy Trefon.
30 31		MR. TREFON: Yes.
32 33		MR. MIKE: Samantha Herrick.
34 35		MS. HERRICK: Yes.
36 37		MR. MIKE: Dan Dunaway.
38 39		ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Yes.
40		MR. MIKE: Lary Hill.
41 42		(No comments)
43		MR. MIKE: Robert Larson.
45 46		MR. LARSON: Yes.
47 48 49 50		MR. MIKE: And Richard Wilson.

1 MR. WILSON: Yes.

3

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ MIKE: Mr. Chair, we have five in favor in one absent. Thank you.

5

7

8

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Excellent. Thank you. Well, I hope we all get to see each other in Naknek next winter. So closing comments. Looking to guidance, Donald, do you have any or anybody else.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

MR. MIKE: Yeah, just real quickly. I want to, again, thank and welcome Ms. Samantha Herrick of Pedro Bay. I know this is her first meeting and it's kind of difficult holding a meeting teleconference, but hopefully at our fall meeting we'll meet in person. And I want to thank our OSM Staff and, particularly, Mr. Jared Stone, he's normally chasing fish tails but this time around he was our main person contact on our Microsoft Teams. Good work Mr. Jared Stone, thank you.

20 21 22

MR. PAPPAS: Yeah, Mr. Chair, George Pappas, I have something to add.

23 24

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead,

25 26 27

28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

George.

MR. PAPPAS: Yes, so thank you for indulging us and trying to move a few folks around for the proposal process. We have nine more Regional Advisory Councils and we're going to look at trying this with. And moving closer to working with the Regional Advisory Councils and local ACs, at 6:30 tonight, our Fisheries Team at OSM will be on the Kodiak/Aleutians RAC explaining the fisheries closure review process. They moved to defer all their closures to next fisheries cycle because it was new to them and they wanted more local -- the RAC demanded more local buy-in from tribes and local ACs, so we are doing that. We've met with some tribes, we're going to meet with the ACs that are affected by the different closures that are in place that are potentially going to be repealed.

43 44 45

46

Thank you, Mr. Chair. That's all I have. Great meeting. And good to hear you again, Mr. Dunaway.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you,

George. And best wishes for that. Say howdy to Pat 1 Holmes and I don't know if Tom Schwantes is still on 2 3 there but best wishes, and of course the lady from King Cove, Della, she's quite a lady, really impressed. So, 4 5 thank you. 6 7 Any other comments. 8 9 MR. WILSON: Mr. Chair, Rich Wilson. 10 11 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead, 12 Richard. 13 14 MR. WILSON: Yeah. Just I thought it went real well. I mean, you know, having some of those 15 agency reports prior to the proposals really went well. 16 Some of them were a little lengthy but the information 17 18 was really vital. So I really appreciate all that, and Donald's work and your work and just pray everybody 19 20 keeps safe. 21 22 ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, 23 that's nice. Samantha, you have anything, or Robert or 2.4 other folks. 25 26 MR. LARSON: Hi, this is Robert Larson. 27 28

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Go ahead,

Robert.

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36 37 38

39 40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48 49

50

MR. LARSON: I just want to say thanks to all the presenters for all their information and it was all very interesting. And I want to thank all the other members for being efficient for their regions and for everyone to be safe, and hopefully we'll see everyone else at the next meeting.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Thank you, Robert. Yes, excellent. Let's see, Lary's probably still off. Billy you got anything.

MR. TREFON: Yeah. I'd like to thank everybody for the meeting. It was very, very informative and a lot of questions, especially for me, that came up with some of the topics that came up. And like Richard was saying it was very well done even though some of the topics were lengthy, and it is a

```
good idea maybe we start having the agencies present
 1
     before the resolution committee.
 2
 3
 4
                     Thank you, very well done meeting.
 5
     Lots of information.
 6
 7
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                             Thank you,
 8
     Billy. You got to pester those guys across the lake
 9
     there for some more info so. And, Samantha.
10
11
                     MR. TREFON: Yeah.
12
13
                     (No comments)
14
15
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Well, Samantha,
16
     you haven't been real outspoken. Don't let a big mouth
     intimidate you, but we're really glad to have you on
17
18
     board and look forward to meeting you in the future.
19
2.0
                     Anybody else.
21
22
                     (No comments)
23
24
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Well, not to
25
     prolong it, but I want to thank everybody for bearing
     with me, I'm not in the habit of Chairing this at all,
26
     and so I put a little extra work on Donald. That quy
27
     -- I don't know how he carries the load he does, thank
28
29
     you so much Donald. Thanks again to our recorder.
     Thanks to all of the Federal folks. And, yes, some of
30
     those presentations, I sat the other day looking at the
31
     Katmai Park pamphlet that was emailed to me and I sat
32
33
     there enjoying it, it's good.
34
35
                     Thank you everybody.
36
37
                     And unless there's something else, I'm
     inclined to take a motion to adjourn.
38
39
40
                     MR. TREFON: I so move. Billy.
41
42
                     ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Billy. I ask
     for unanimous consent.
4.3
44
                     MR. WILSON: Second it.....
45
46
47
                     (Laughter)
48
49
                     MR. WILSON: .....unanimously.
50
```

```
1
                      (Laughter)
 3
                      ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY: Hearing no
 4
     objections I guess we'll hang up. Is that good or do
 5
     you need anything more, Donald.
 6
 7
                      MR. MIKE: No, that's it, meeting's
 8
     adjourned. And I want to thank all the Council members
     for your patience and involvement in this process.
 9
10
                      Thank you.
11
12
13
                      REPORTER:
                                 Thank you.
                                              Thank you, Dan.
14
15
                      ACTING CHAIR DUNAWAY:
                                              Adjourned.
16
17
                      (Off record)
18
19
                        (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
```

```
1
                      CERTIFICATE
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 4
                                      )ss.
 5
     STATE OF ALASKA
                                      )
 6
 7
             I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
 8
     state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
 9
     Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
10
11
             THAT the foregoing pages numbered through
         contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
12
     BRISTOL BAY SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
13
     MEETING taken electronically on the 9th day of February
14
15
     2021;
16
17
                     THAT the transcript is a true and
18
     correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
     thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
19
     reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
2.0
21
     ability;
2.2
23
                     THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
2.4
     party interested in any way in this action.
25
                     DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 18th
26
2.7
     day of February 2021.
28
29
30
31
                             Salena A. Hile
32
                             Notary Public, State of Alaska
33
                             My Commission Expires: 09/16/22
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```