```
0170
 1
                   KODIAK/ALEUTIANS SUBSISTENCE
 2
                    REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
                            VOLUME II
 7
 8
                          TELECONFERENCE
 9
                        September 28, 2021
10
                            8:30 a.m.
11
12
13
14
15
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
16
17
    Della Trumble, Chair
18
    Melissa Berns
19
    Coral Chernoff
20 Natasha Hayden
    Patrick Holmes
21
22
    Richard Koso
23
    Rebecca Skinner
24
25
26
27
    Regional Council Coordinator, Vince Mathews
28
29
30
31
32
33
    Recorded and transcribed by:
34
35
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
36
    135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2
37
    Anchorage, AK 99501
38
    907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0171	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Teleconference - 9/28/2021)
4	
5	(On record)
6	
7	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, Vince,
8	it's 8:30, maybe we'll go ahead and start with a roll
9	call and see who's online and go down the line.
10	
11	MR. MATHEWS: Yes, so I'll start the
12	roll call. Is Patrick I'm sorry, is Pat online.
13	
14	MR. HOLMES: Roger that. I just had
15	muted. Thank you.
16	
17	MR. MATHEWS: Is Rick Koso online.
18	
19	MR. KOSO: Yes.
20	
21	MR. MATHEWS: I believe Sam is busy but
22	maybe he found time, is Sam online.
23	
24	(No comments)
25	
26	MR. MATHEWS: Okay. And Chris may
	participate later, he's swamped at his office on other
28	tasks, so, Chris Price is not online.
29	
30	Coral. Coral.
31	MO CHEDNOTE The leave
32	MS. CHERNOFF: I'm here.
33	MD MARKIDEIO. Dalance
34 35	MR. MATHEWS: Rebecca.
36	(Malagan faranga interference
	(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)
	participants not muted)
38 39	MS. SKINNER: I'm here.
40	MS. SKINNER. I M Hele.
41	MR. MATHEWS: Della. Chair Della.
42	MR. MAINEWS. Della. Chail Della.
43	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I'm here. And
44	just as a reminder, people might want to mute their
	phones.
46	phones.
47	MR. MATHEWS: Yes, I will.
4 8	rat. rathews. 165, 1 will.
49	Natasha.
50	wacasiia.
$\sim$ $\sim$	

```
0172
 1
                    (No comments)
 2
 3
                    MR. MATHEWS: Is Natasha online.
 4
 5
                    (No comments)
 6
 7
                    MR. MATHEWS: Is Melissa online.
 8
 9
                    MS. BERNS: Good morning, I'm here.
10
11
                    MR. MATHEWS: Okay, so that's Melissa.
12
    Natasha, are you online.
13
14
                    (Teleconference
                                         interference
15
    participants not muted)
16
17
                    MR. MATHEWS: I heard something but I
18
     don't think it's her.
19
20
                    REPORTER: Okay, so hold on Vince.
21
22
                    MS. SKINNER: Hey, Della.
23
24
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah.
25
26
                    MS. SKINNER: Oh, sorry.
27
28
                    REPORTER:
                                  I'm
                                      sorry, go
                                                   ahead,
29
    Rebecca.
30
31
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                  Oh, thanks. I was just
32
     going to ask if today -- I don't want to seem rude but
     if I hear background noise I think I'm going to be
33
34
    pretty proactive about jumping in and saying please
35
    mute your phones because yesterday it was really hard
36
    to hear when people didn't have their phones muted.
37
          also
                for the
                            benefit
                                      of
    And
                                            our
                                                 recorder
     transcriptionist, it's going to be really hard to pick
38
39
     stuff off the recording without having a clear audio.
     So, Della, please don't get mad if I interrupt and say,
40
41
    hey, somebody needs to put their phone on mute.
42
43
                    Thank you.
44
45
                    REPORTER:
                               Okay, this is Tina, the
46
    recorder. So I appreciate that Rebecca. That's what I
47
    was going to jump in and say, somebody doesn't have
48
           phone on mute and we're
                                         getting another
49
     conversation. And when participants are talking, you
```

0173

1 know, we want to be respectful to be able to listen to 2 what they're saying. So thank you.

3

4 MS. SKINNER: Thank you.

5

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Tina.

6 7 8

MR. MATHEWS: Okay. So Natasha, did

you join.

9 10 11

(No comments)

12 13

14

15

16

MR. MATHEWS: No. So, Madame Chair, we have six of your Council members online at present. And I have the general announcement for the beginning of the meeting to go through, if that's appropriate at this time.

17 18 19

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes, go ahead,

20 Vince. 21

> MR. MATHEWS: Okay. This is dealing with opportunity for written public comments. Council meetings provide an opportunity for the public and tribes to provide oral and written testimony and comments. There are several opportunities for these throughout the meeting. The first one is at the beginning of each day, there's an opportunity to provide testimony on non-agenda items. The Chair will announce these opportunities. And, Chair Della, you've announced it several times throughout the meeting yesterday so I want to recognize that. There is also an opportunity to provide oral comments on wildlife proposals and closure reviews after an analyst presents proposal analysis, the order of receiving comments is outlined on Page 27 in your book. The Council Chair will call for this public oral testimony. There is also an opportunity to submit written public comments on proposals. If you have a written comment please email to subsistence at fws.gov. Please clearly indicate the number of the proposal or closure review you are commenting on in the title of the email. comments need to be emailed prior to the proposal you are commenting on as presented to the Council. If you emailed your written public comment to your Council Coordinator prior to the meeting, please resubmit to subsistence at fws.gov now. If less than 10 written comments are received we will read them into the record. If more than 10 written comments are received,

```
0174
    they will be tallied and the result will be presented
    to the Council prior to deliberation.
 2
 4
                    And, again, I need to remind people
 5
    star six to mute, star six again to unmute, and please
 6
    do not
 7
    put your phone on hold. It makes it -- well, it just
    interrupts with the meeting and it's very difficult to
    work through the operator on that.
10
11
                    And with that I'll turn it back to
12
    Chair Della.
13
14
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                               Thank you,
15
    Vince. And if we could get people to state their names
    on line that represent U.S. Fish and Wildlife, can we
16
17
    get that going.
18
19
                    MS. HAYDEN:
                                   Madame Chair, this is
20
    Natasha. I was a couple of minutes late signing in and
21
    I just wanted to make my presence known.
22
23
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                               Thank you,
24
    Natasha.
25
26
                    MS. GREDIAGIN:
                                     Good morning, Madame
27
             This is Lisa Grediagin, Wildlife Division
    Supervisor with OSM. Thanks.
28
29
30
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                   Good morning, Madame
31
             This is Katya Wessels, Council Coordination
32
    Division Supervisor with OSM. Thank you.
33
34
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                              Thank you,
35
    Katya.
36
37
                    MR. BRADY: This is Mike Brady.
38
    the Refuge Manager at Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge.
39
    Good morning.
40
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Mike,
41
42
    good morning.
43
44
                    MR. VICKERS:
                                   Okay.
                                            Good morning,
45
                       This is Brent Vickers, Cultural
    Madame Chair.
46
    Anthropology Supervisor for OSM.
47
48
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning.
49
```

```
0175
 1
                    MR. PAPPAS:
                                Good morning, Madame
 2
    Chair. George Pappas, OSM State Liaison.
                                                     Good
    morning.
 4
 5
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning,
 6
    George.
 7
 8
                    MR. WILLIAMS:
                                   Good morning.
    Williams, Deputy Refuge Manager for Alaska Maritime
 9
10
    National Wildlife Refuge. Good morning.
11
12
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning,
13
    Jeff. I got you first on the agenda this morning.
14
    ahead.
15
16
                    MS. HOLMAN:
                                   Good morning, Madame
17
             This is Kendra Holman, Wildlife Biologist with
    Chair.
18
    OSM.
19
20
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning.
21
22
                    MR. STONE:
                              Good morning. Jared Stone
23
    with OSM, Fisheries Biologist.
24
25
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning,
26
    Jared.
27
28
                    MR. LIND:
                                 Good morning everyone.
29
    Orville, Native Liaison for Office of Subsistence
30
    Management.
31
32
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning,
33
    Orville.
34
35
                    MS. KENNER:
                                   This is Pippa Kenner,
36
    Anthropologist at the Office of Subsistence Management.
37
38
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning,
39
    Pippa. Do we have anybody from Izembek?
40
41
                    MS. MELENDEZ: Yes.
                                            Good morning,
42
    everybody. Regional Advisory Council and Madame Chair,
43
    this is Letecia Melendez, Deputy Refuge Manager at
    Izembek National Wildlife Refuge. And joining us today
44
45
    is our biologist Allison Williams.
46
47
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning.
48
49
                    MS. KLEIN: This is Jill Klein.
```

```
0176
 1
                    MS. LAVINE: Good morning, Madame
    Chair. This is.....
 2
                    MS. KLEIN: I'm on the -- sorry,
 4
 5
   Robbin, go ahead.
 6
 7
                    MS. LAVINE:
                                  Third time's a charm.
 8
    Good morning, Madame Chair. This is Robbin LaVine,
 9
    Subsistence Policy Coordinator with OSM. Thanks, Jill,
10
    you're next.
11
12
                    MS. KLEIN: Okay. This is Jill Klein.
    Regional Subsistence Coordinator from the Fish and
13
14
    Wildlife Service and the InterAgency Staff Committee
15
    member. Good morning.
16
17
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning.
18
19
                    MR. RISDAHL: Good morning, everyone.
20
    This is Greg Risdahl, the InterAgency Staff Committee
    person for the U.S. Forest Service and Forest Service
21
22
    Subsistence Program Leader. Thank you.
23
24
                    MR. PLANK: Good morning. This is Tom
25
    Plank, Wildlife Biologist at OSM.
26
27
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning.
28
    Okay, do we have anybody from Parks, someone was on
29
    yesterday.
30
31
                    MR. PATTERSON: Good morning, everyone.
32
    This is Dillon Patterson with the National Park Service
33
    Regional Office Subsistence Program.
34
35
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            Good morning,
36
    Dillon. All right, let's move on to ADF&G, online.
37
38
                    MS. KRUEGER:
                                  Good morning.
                                                  This is
39
    Kelly Krueger with the Alaska Department of Fish and
    Game and I have Mark Witteveen with me also with the
40
41
    Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
42
43
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning,
44
    Kelly and Mark.
45
46
                              Good morning, Madame Chair.
                    MS. FOX:
47
    This is Lisa Fox in Kodiak with Fish and Game and also
48
    on the line is Tyler Lawson with Fish and Game.
```

```
0177
 1
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning
 2
    Lisa and Tyler.
 3
 4
                    MS. KEATING:
                                   Good morning.
                                                    Jackie
 5
    Keating, Division of Subsistence, Fish and Game.
 6
 7
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning,
 8
    Jackie.
 9
10
                    MR. CROWLEY:
                                   Good morning, Madame
11
    Chair. Dave Crowley, Department of Fish and Game, King
12
    Salmon.
13
14
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning.
15
16
                    MR. BURCH: Good morning.
                                              This is Mark
    Burch with the Department of Fish and Game in the
17
18
    Palmer Office.
19
20
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning,
21
    Mark.
22
23
                    MR. RINALDI: And this is Todd Rinaldi.
24
    I'm the Regional Management Coordinator for the
25
    Division of Wildlife in this region out of Palmer.
26
27
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning,
28
           All right, is that everybody.
                                              Do we have
    Todd.
29
    anybody representing any of the tribal entities online.
30
31
                    MS. PETRIVELLI: Good morning. This is
32
    Pat Petrivelli with BIA, the Subsistence Anthropologist
33
    out of Anchorage.
34
35
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning,
36
    Pat. Okay.
                  If anybody that comes online from any of
37
    the tribal entities or anybody that wants to testify,
38
    please, just go ahead and text me or interrupt and we
39
    can work your item into the agenda.
40
41
                    MS. PETERSON:
                                   Good morning.
                                                   This is
42
    Amy Peterson, Koniag Community Affairs Liaison.
43
44
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning,
45
    Amy. Okay, we'll go ahead and move on to the update on
46
    Adak Island Caribou, Jeff Williams.
47
48
                    MR. WILLIAMS:
                                      Yes, good morning,
49
    Madame Chair Woman and Council members. I gave a -- my
50
```

name is Jeff Williams. I am the Deputy Refuge Manager for the Alaska Maritime Refuge. I gave an update yesterday on the status of the Adak caribou and the request by the Council for looking at a management plan. I will -- I said, briefly, that we havea memo -- or a letter, rather, that is included in the informational packet on Page 23 in there which was written by Refuge Manager Steve Delehanty. He's out of state right now on a medical emergency and unable to attend right now so I'm stepping in for him at the last minute here.

In that, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and Fish and Wildlife Service had some discussions about the management of caribou on Adak. The consensus between the Department and the Fish and Wildlife Service management was that there is actually a management plan that ADF&G pointed out to us that defines the goals and responsibilities between the two The thought was that that agencies very well. management plan accurately describes it and sufficient for the management of the Adak Caribou Herd. Both the Service and ADF&G have interest in that caribou herd, and just to reiterate the Fish and Wildlife Service recognizes the primary responsibility of ADF&G to manage the caribou herd themselves and the Wildlife Service has and the primary responsibility to manage the habitat on which that herd, for the most part, occupies.

I think we've reported in previous meetings, we did a survey in 2019 in cooperation with the helicopter that was out there with the Alaska Volcano Observatory. I provided that report along with the 1980 management plan which prescribes a herd population size of about 150 animals. And 1994 Memorandum of Understanding that I mentioned earlier here, I provided that to Vince and Katerina, and they can forward that on to Council members. I did that just this morning, a little bit ago.

So I think that's kind of an update, or recap. Most people that were on yesterday should have heard that. I know Council Member Koso, who has a specific interest in that might have some follow-up questions. I'd be happy to answer that. And I also want to make sure that our colleagues at ADF&G, that I characterized things correctly, between the two agencies. And I know Dave Crowley's on here and if

I've misspoken I'd be interested in hearing what he said.

But I think that's about it, Madame Chair Woman for kind of a recap on things, and I'm happy to answer any questions.

MR. RINALDI: Hi, this is Todd Rinaldi with Fish and Game, Division of Wildlife Conservation. And, yes, those comments are accurate and do highlight our relationship with the Refuge and our management strategy, and Dave can add more if he'd like.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$  KOSO: Della, this is Rick here, do you hear me now?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick, we can hear you.

MR. KOSO: Oh, yeah, I pushed this mute and then -- but anyway I got a question for Jeff there on Adak, the caribou there. I know he's just a fill in for Steve. Jeff, I know that we've been working with Mike McDonald here on -- or Donald here on, it seems like forever, trying to get a management plan for Adak. I know ADF&G has one of those but that didn't seem like it was sufficient and there wasn't anybody that was really getting any information to the locals in Adak. Nobody has heard anything unless it's a special inquiry from someone to get some information, they never get anything on it. We were hoping that we would set up a management plan to where you included a couple of the locals in Adak that could sit on that management plan and be part of yourmanagement system. Right nowthat -as far as I understand it isn't happening.

So I just thought I would throw that in as far as Adak goes.

Then there was a couple other questions, I'll just throw in.

You know we do have, I guess, an outfitter and a transporter that are located in Adak and I was kind of curious if you guys keep track of how many people they take out and how many caribou that they bring back. And there was a concern of wanton waste on a lot of the caribou. I know they gave quite a bit away to some of the locals and the stuff they

gave to the locals was already rotten and was unable to eat. So I think that kind of stuff needs to be checked into.

The locals also had another complaint that there wasn't any caribou that was coming down to the north side anymore that they used to get during the snow on the road system where they're able to reach those. Most -- I'd say 95 percent of people don't have skiffs to get around the island to get the caribou so they're pretty limited to what they can get. And so I don't know if it's because the caribou herd is diminished or they're moving to a different area or what the deal is but it seems to be really affecting a lot of the subsistence hunters for caribou hunters in Adak, local people.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Rick. Jeff Williams here to respond to some of those things there.

And I will start off by saying that currently Adak is listed under the Big Game Guide proposals as a Big Game Guide use area. It has not had any applicants for a number of years now. That prospectus is out now. We haven't had it in over 15 years or more, I think. So there is no authorized big game guide on Refuge lands. There are -- as you know there is one permitted transporter to the Refuge, so there's no guides, there's one transporter, Aleutian Outfitters, that can transport individual hunters but not provide guided hunts to the south part of the And there are, of course, individuals that island. just can get their own ways down to the Refuge, which where most of the caribou are historically. And, Rick, I provided -- and other Council members I provided a the Adak survey in 2019. copy of It's always concentrated, for the most part, the central portion, around the areas above Hidden Bay, is the primary area. And so no commercial guides are on there. There is, of course, no season, no limit.

 And the north side caribou, I think, we peaked in about -- well, according to survey about 2012 or thereafter at about 2,800 animals, as you know, and our last survey was somewhere around 1,200 animals in 2019 with expanded numbers of individuals swimming across Kagalaska Straits over to Kagalaska.

So I guess to answer your question

about the north side animals, it's probably because there are fewer animals, there's half as many animals or less than that, and the hunting pressure is pretty big, as you know. Pretty much every plane that comes out there there are people coming from Anchorage and other places, not guided, but just people coming there. So both lands, Fish and Wildlife Service administered lands and Aleut Corporation Lands are open, of course Aleut Corporation lands only with a land use permit.

9 10 11

12

13

5

6 7

Does that answer some of your questions, Rick, and then I'll address your request for local involvement a little bit, to make sure I understand it.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

242526

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44 45

MR. KOSO: Yeah, Madame Chair. Yeah, Jeff, I appreciate that info. It still doesn't satisfy me to the point where you've got transporters out there, it's a huge boat, I think they carry anywhere from I think 15 to 25 people, somewhere in that range. So they take a lot of people out. A lot of people come and it seems on every airplane they're getting in, you know, 15, 20, 30 people that are catching that boat. They don't need a, so-called, guide, out there in Adak because it's such a geographic layout, I mean the boat can go around there and you can see the caribou. They don't have to have a quide to hunt those caribou. It's a little bit different than other regions in the state of Alaska. So it's very simple for them to go around with a boat, anchor up below any one of those bays where they spot the caribou and they point them out and that's where they go. So a guide is not necessarily needed unless you wanted them to skin it out and pack it out for them, which is a little different. But most of the people don't want a guide because they're too expensive, but this transporter is probably a lot more effective and they take a lot more caribou than I think a guide would. Because a guide is out there, you know, they're just looking for big antlers, big head. there's been a lot of caribou being shot out there. I don't know if you guys are keeping track of that, that's what I would like to know. Do you guys keep track of how many people that actually come out there and are transported and how many caribous that they I know they're supposed to fill out a card supposedly, but do you guys have documentation on that?

46 47 48

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  WILLIAMS: I'll look to some of my ADF&G colleagues for some of that. They certainly have

reporting requirements for hunters, particularly out of state hunters, and they could speak towards that.

 We don't know -- we only know for what is permitted so we don't know the general numbers of people who come on and just come on the plane. The transporters are actually off Refuge so they're in State-managed waters when they transport to the Refuge. The Refuge begins at the mean high tide line, so if they can drop off people off by skiff, they're still on State lands. So there's no requirement to be permitted -- that is permitted in the sense of we write a permit for them to conduct that activity. So that is our mechanism for keeping track. So, in general, no, we're not able to really have any numbers to provide to you as far as tracking that. But the best is the harvest tickets that ADF&G maintains and people are obligated to do.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, Jeff, I know you have people that are working out there during the summer months there if I'm not mistaken at your office in Adak, it seems to me you have two or three people there but I may be mistaken. But, you know, it's a very big concern to the local people there because they're not seeing caribou like in the past. They're not able to get caribou like they used to get so they're having a hard time right now to get their subsistence for their food and what not in the local town there. I know they can't afford to fly to Anchorage and place orders like most people are able so, you know, caribou is a real big asset to the people there that live on the island, especially ones that don't have a lot of means to get any other groceries or anything.

So it's a real concern out there in Adak right now.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Council Member Koso for that comment. I understand that. I recognize that, that local caribou are important to many people out there. In the materials that I provided this morning should make their way to you and in it there's those three documents. Two of those documents, and the 1994 Memorandum of Understanding with ADF&G describe -- I think what we're facing here is a reduction in numbers of caribou that was in many ways very predictable in the sense that an island population of caribou will -- basically is the hunting

pressure was not sufficient in the 1990s and into the early 2000s to keep that herd in check and it increased beyond its ability to be maintained, and so when the community of 6,000 people there on the military base, as we all know, a lot of hunting pressure went away in 1994 -- we mention that in that Memorandum of Understanding, that we expected, it's happened about 20 times to island caribou populations that we've been able to document in a 1994 environmental assessment on the caribou herd at Adak and there still isn't enough hunting pressure to keep the herd in check. And so basically the herd exceeded carrying capacity, and it's overgrazed the lands and now is beginning to span out into other islands and altering the habitat there.

So I think that its primarily the answer that is most likely responsible for the lack of caribou on the north side of the island. And it doesn't help to have a large number of people also hunting and coming in, and that, which probably decreases that -- those numbers, and just any animals that venture to the north are harvested.

So I think that's where we're -- that's merely a statement of where we're at. I recognize that those animals are important to everyone. And I think that perhaps to get at what you're looking at it might be a -- I'm not sure that a management plan is the way that you want -- or maybe a working group that can bring together some people to talk about the caribou and the issues and the concerns that everyone has, might be an area of exploration that we could look at. I know that on behalf of the Service, speaking for the Refuge Manager, Steve Delehanty, that we are interested in participating and providing those subsistence opportunities for folks on Refuge lands, that are local.

## Thank you.

MR. KOSO: Thank you for that Jeff. I just got one other thing. I know there's a lot of mistrust between the Feds and the local people, especially Aleut people. When that land was transferred to the Aleut people back in 2004, the Feds were trying -- were going to eradicate the caribou herd on the island, you know, after the military left and it was a battle between the Aleut Corporation and the Feds to get them to stop doing that. But there definitely

was a battle. So there's not a lot of trust, I don't think, between the Aleut Corporation and the Feds right now as far as them caribou go.

So, anyway, I'll leave it at that, so thank you very much, and I'm done here.

MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair.

MR. CROWLEY: Madame Chair, this is Dave Crowley, I have some comments.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Dave.

MR. CROWLEY: Thank you, Madame Chair. I sent around a graph, I don't know if it got out to members of the RAC. But it shows the population of the Adak Caribou herd as well as harvest and this is reported harvest. We can see quite clearly from the introduction through -- almost through to when the Naval Base pulled out, that harvest on this herd was nearly half -- the reported harvest was nearly half of the size of the herd and that's what it took to keep the herd in check, and, of course, as Jeff pointed out, once the air station left we just didn't have the harvest. And we've seen this on many islands in Alaska, oceanic islands where reindeer have introduced, you get the explosion, the very high peak of animals on the landscape. And in this case, on Adak, there were nearly eight caribou per square mile, which was -- that's 10 times what we really want to see for caribou. And it's like a caribou wildlife went across Adak and it's kind of a now a smoking ruin, nutrition-wise, I predict, compared to what it was before this eruption occurred.

So I'm quite concerned that Adak will never be able to support the number of caribou that we would like to see on it.

I think, as far as hunters go, I mean I've been spreading the word, don't go to Adak, the caribou population is crashing. I think there's some means we can do to like -- like close it to non-resident hunters is usually our first step that we do when trying to reign in harvest. But we're not trying to reign in harvest, our management plan, or coop agreement says, you know, 150 caribou on the island. So that's kind of where we're at now. We're kind of in

limbo as this population seems to be crashing and I -- I think there's some regulatory work to be done.

And as far as communicating with the State, you know, folks can call the area office here in King Salmon, they can call the regional headquarters in Palmer to talk to people about Adak. We have the proposal system, Board of Game proposal system, if the Aleut Tribe wants to put in a proposal to restrict harvest from non-locals, you know, there's the opportunity to do that through our process.

So that's all I've got, Madame Chair.

 Thank you.

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, thank you for that update there, I appreciate that. You know, I think the caribou herd, when I talked to you folks there a few years ago, I know you were talking about when you had 2,700. 2,800 caribou on the island, that you were talking about, you know, a thousand, 1,200 caribou would be an ideal number for, you know, where the island could survive that. And right now I think -- in 2019, I think you were looking at just a little over 1,200 caribou that was counted. So are we in the ball park now that we could maintain this down to 1,200, would that be sufficient to maintain a good number for the island?

MR. CROWLEY: Madame Chair. Rick. I don't think so at this point. I think we have far exceeded with the 2,800. I think we've done more or less -- well, I don't want to say, permanent damage, but very long-term. Caribou populations, they cycle, in almost human generations, I mean we're talking 40 years from, you know, bottom to peak, and I don't think the island can support that many caribou. I really don't.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So this is Della. So you're basically saying, per the plan, that you believe that it should only support as a minimum 150 caribou?

MR. CROWLEY: Well, historically,

Madame Chair, I mean that was kind of the plan but, you know, I'm looking at this graph with herd size and when it was around 250 animals, 300 animals, that was the level at which it could be controlled by Naval Air And I think that once Alaska Station personnel. Airlines started flying down there we were getting that level of harvest, a similar level of harvest by hunters flying into the island, but by then it was too late, we were at 2,000 animals and that level of harvest, it was too little too late, but if -- if Alaska Airlines continues to fly to Adak Island and if we're at 200, 300 caribou, I think maybe the population could be regulated. It's hard to say because it's hard -- I mean it's hard to access what kind of damage the animals did to the range. But it's something that we're going to have to kind of feel out. 

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So -- go ahead.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, you know, I just can't agree with you on that. I'm not no scientist of biologist but, you know, in 1958, they put 158 caribou on that island, they were managed to sustain themselves all the way through today and there's still a thriving group of caribou on the island. So when you're speaking 150 caribou, me, I don't agree with you at all on that, and I may be wrong because like I said I'm not a biologist and I'm not somebody that monitors it and does that stuff, so. Anyway, that's all I have to say on this.

Thank you.

MR. CROWLEY: Madame Chair. Rick. No, I think I agree with you. You know, I think two to 300 might be a more reasonable number to look at to see if that can be maintained. But like I say, this is all kind of up in the air, we're going to have to feel our way through this.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: This is Della. If I may, basically when you look at a management plan that is 45 years old and you're still utilizing it, you know, things change, I mean the way people got around that island in the last 45 years has changed. The dynamics have changed. And there's more, I think, local Native people living on that island, that I don't

understand why, when we asked to have people from Adak or the Aleut Corp, and ADF&G and Alaska Maritime to come together to review these documents, I mean 45 years ago, how did you talk, on land radios. You can do meetings, Team meetings, other ways to talk about it and be able to communicate, to be able to maybe update these things, or if they remain status quo, at least you made the honest effort to — all parties to come together to try to come to an updated plan, and if it remains the same, date stamp it. But can you tell me why that's not possible?

MR. RINALDI: This is Todd Rinaldi, Division of Wildlife Conservation. I can speak to that. There's no reason why we can't get together with locals and interested stakeholders and sit down and talk about Adak caribou. The issue with Adak caribou comes down to one thing, is the island can only support so much caribou, and we are unable to get that control for management through harvest. So -- and that's ultimately it. So to come up with a new management ultimately it. plan, we would just be restating -- we could basically redo a management plan that would suggest that the population should be at a couple of hundred, that really wouldn't be based on any evidence, as Dave has suggested, but currently we don't know where the herd is going to land after this rapid and dramatic decline. We're still going to be in the same situation where, if the herd does climb to a certain level again, we are not going to be able to have the harvest level high enough to keep that herd reigned in and not hammer the habitat.

 Currently there is no closed season except for a portion in the summer that is limited to sex and there's no bag limit on that island. And so that's ultimately the issue that we're dealing with here and I'm unclear on how a new management plan would be able to address it. However, that being said, we'd be happy to sit down and go over some of these documents with invested stakeholders and talk in more detail about this approach.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rick can, I guess, speak for Adak, but....

MR. KOSO: What was that, Della, excuse

me, I missed that?

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I was saying you could speak for Adak, but I just don't understand why it's taken this long to at least try to -- understanding everybody's, you know, we've got the concern of too many animals and the destruction of the habitat but, you know, not knowing how many people are going in there and hunting and the reporting factor, or they don't need a permit -- I heard at some point in time, so that it's just basically open, non-permitted hunting to anybody, and that's going to continue until you get your herd down to 250, to 150 and then you'll put together a management plan; is that the way I am understanding this?

MR. RINALDI: No -- this is Todd. That's not completely accurate. So we do have an idea of how many hunters are reporting taking caribou. The graphs that were provided in the management report and the memos, and the graphs that Dave sent this morning to the Council do reflect the level of harvest. Although we may not have an accurate representation of how many hunters or how many people come on to the island associated with hunting, we do have a pretty good idea of what the reported harvest is, and that's in those documents that are provided. You are required to have a hunting license and a State permit to hunt caribou on Adak. So it's not unpermitted harvest, it's a harvest ticket used -- it's the general season harvest ticket that's used throughout the state, inun -undraw -- or no draw registration hunt.

And, so, yeah, but ultimately as we continue to see this decline and in the coming years, we'll start to understand a little bit more about where this herd may level out. It may be something that we work on with U.S. Fish and Wildlife to assess the range of the herd and to assess the habitat out there, it's something that we haven't talked about in a number of years but it may be appropriate to do that. But those are the types of information that we'd probably need if we really wanted to dial in a new management plan, which can be done down the line. We just don't have the information to be able to address it at this time.

Thank you.

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair. So, Todd, do

you guys presently have a management plan in place? I think you mentioned that earlier, and you guys are working out of King Salmon, right?

MR. RINALDI: Dave -- Dave Crowley is the area manager for the Aleutians and he is out of King Salmon. I am in the regional office in Palmer. And there is -- there's a 1980 Adak plan in addition to the 1976 plan, which is, for all intents and purposes still there. I believe those documents, along with the MOU, and the most recent survey memo from Fish and Wildlife have been provided to the Council, and, if not, I can make those available.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: They've

been....

MR. KOSO: Maybe do you guys have a -- maybe redoing that and bringing it up to date, it seems like it's 40, 50 years old?

MR. RINALDI: Yeah, you know, and that's a lot of what this conversation has been, is bringing it up to date. You know, the management strategy really hasn't changed. You know, we have an objective to keep the population pretty low, 150, some would arque that that's too low, but we have not been able to As Dave pointed out, in those keep it at 150. population and harvest charts that were provided, they show that, you know, while the Base was active on the island, the hunters were able to keep it in check around 300 animals for a number of years, but as soon as they left and even with no bag limits the population took off and we weren't able to get the harvest to keep it in check. So the management strategy would still be the same, is to -- it would be no bag limit and very little season change to maximize the harvest, even while the herd is declining. But once it starts to get, you know, below a thousand and close to the 500 we'll probably end up changing the bag limit in concert with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and begin to address what we're observing at the time and changing the hunt structure as necessary.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, Todd, I think that needs to happen because.....

MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair.

 MR. KOSO: ....you're talking 150, 200 caribou on that island, that certainly wouldn't be enough for -- even to suffice five people on the island for subsistence. A lot of those people live off of that caribou during the winters and summers and if you were to drop it to 150 I mean you'd be taking away a whole subsistence lifestyle of people that've been there for 30 years now, been living off of -- and that would really hurt the local people for sure.

MR. RINALDI: Yeah, I guess one caveat there, is the plan does say to maintain a pre-calving population of 150 caribou so, I believe the thought process back when this plan was developed and when this herd was introduced, was that, the productivity of 150 adult caribou would probably provide enough caribou for the locals and I guess for -- for the military folks that were out there at the time. So I guess that is a point of clarification. We're talking about 150 adults, late winter, technically, and they could produce hundreds of calves themselves.

MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Vince.

MR. MATHEWS: Yes, all the files that have been discussed have been emailed to you, possibly twice. I do caution you the one is four megabytes so it might be a little slow to get, but they've been emailed, and I realize that is, you know, another channel of communication. But if those -- if Council members want to look at what's being discussed, hopefully they have access to email.

Thank you.

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick.

MR. KOSO: Yeah. Hey, Vince, you know, I've been receiving a lot of the info, you know, to download that we're looking at 28, 30, 40 pages to download, you can run through a pack of ink pretty fast with that and, you know, when you're spending 60 and 70 bucks for an ink cartridge, is there any way that you could mail us that stuff instead of sending it -- I know we need it right away but in the past -- but maybe

```
0191
    we could get it through the mail.
 2
 3
                    MR. MATHEWS: No, I can do that, that's
 4
    no problem.
                  I just know that there was references to
 5
    different graphs and that and we wanted to make sure,
     if possible, you could see them. But we can mail all
 6
 7
     the materials to the Council members, no problem.
 8
 9
                    MR. KOSO: Thank you.
10
11
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                  Della, this is Rebecca.
12
     Just on the record, I don't need anything -- any hard
13
     copies mailed to me, so don't waste the postage on
14
     that.
15
16
                    Thank you.
17
18
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           Yeah, Rick,
19
    have my laptop up and these reports that have been
20
    discussed, I'm looking at them now.
21
22
                    All right.
23
24
                    MS. HAYDEN:
                                  Madame Chair, this is
25
    Natasha.
26
27
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                    TRUMBLE:
                                               Go ahead,
28
    Natasha.
29
30
                    MS. HAYDEN: I just have a question.
31
     So I don't know if I'm looking at the right document or
32
    not, but the aerial survey, is there harvest statistics
33
     in one of those documents that you sent? I see the
34
     aerial survey one that shows the numbers.....
35
36
                    MR. RINALDI: Figure 4.
37
38
                    MS. HAYDEN: .....of animals; is that
39
    in the....
40
41
                    MR. RINALDI: It's Figure 4.
42
43
                    MS. HAYDEN: ....aerial survey
44
    documents on Page....
45
46
                    MR. RINALDI: Yeah, Figure 4 in that
47
     aerial survey document depicts the harvest relative to
48
    the population.
49
```

MS. HAYDEN: Current population and hunter harvest estimate. Okay, so the red is the harvest. So it looks like the harvest....

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  RINALDI: Yeah, the red is the harvest and -- and the....

MS. HAYDEN: ....is going, in the last 10 years, it looks like about an average of 250, something like that, animals. So is that -- am I looking at that correctly?

MR. RINALDI: Yes, that's correct. 14 It's the.....

MS. HAYDEN: The red line.

MR. RINALDI: .....it's the right axis, which ranges from a hundred to 500, and that's where the red data line, and then the blue line is working off of the left axis, the population size.

MS. HAYDEN: Okay. So my question is, that 150 animals that you're saying -- I guess you're saying winter, so that would be post-harvest, even though there isn't any season, that's assuming that the harvest would be done for the year, and that is predicted to support these harvest levels of two to three -- above 300 animals per year as well?

No. If we only had 150 MR. RINALDI: animals on the landscape we wouldn't be able to harvest two or 300 caribou, so, we -- to support a level of two or 300 harvested, we'd probably have to have the levels that we have now and the participation that we have now because that's what the harvest levels are showing us. You know, if we wanted to maintain the population of a few hundred, we would probably only be able to, you know, harvest a hundred, 200 -- you know, less than 200 a year if we were trying to keep the population around three or 400. It depends on productivity. And as Dave mentioned, you know, the habitat has probably suffered significantly from these population levels and so these lower populations are going to try to figure out how to make a living as the habitat regenerates. So that's one of the variables that we're trying to understand and we're not going to be able to assess that until the next few years as this herd begins to stabilize at lower levels again.

MS. HAYDEN: Okay, thanks for that. I have a couple of questions, Madame Chair, if that's okay.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

MS. HAYDEN: So my next question is, is that there was -- this was based on the aerial survey and I think the aerial survey had been a long time coming, like we don't have aerial surveys -- do they have aerial surveys every year? Maybe I'm wrong about that.

MR. RINALDI: You cut out, but I think your question was about the aerial surveys. And the charge -- leading the charge on that is the U.S. Fish and Wildlife, and we greatly appreciate it. I don't believe surveys are done every year. If you look at the population estimates on that chart it looks like there's been some difficulty in getting population estimates, and that can range from logistical issues to pandemic issues to mostly weather issues and the ability to get out there and fly and survey at the time of the year. It can also be financial issues, but I don't think that's been a problem. And U.S. Fish and Wildlife could speak more to that if they'd like, please.

MR. WILLIAMS: Jeff Williams here just to speak to the question, briefly. So almost all those surveys are opportunistic surveys. We've never received funding to do any of those surveys and it's usually flight time and compensation for other logistical support through agencies like the Alaska Volcano Observatory or historically U.S. Coast Guard When they came in we would look for cutters. opportunities to get several hours of flight time, and it takes about a full day. At least two, two and a half (indiscernible) with about four hours each to do that. So we do it when we can. And there is no helicopter stationed on Adak and so it has to be whenever a helicopter comes out because it would be hundreds or hundreds of thousands of dollars to do a survey on a regular basis.

Thank you.

MS. HAYDEN: Thank you for that. So my follow-up question to that, is that method of

conducting the survey, is that in the existing management plan?

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

MR. WILLIAMS: This is Jeff Williams again. So I don't think that that is explicitly called out, and it's certainly the most effective way with helicopters, slow flying aircraft, like helicopter, due the mountainous terrain that's in there. Logistically it's difficult, a lot of fog, high elevations, you need just the right weather. So you need a vehicle of opportunity, a helicopter, or a platform of opportunity, and you need the right And sometimes we've had opportunities and weather. we've been waiting, or have been unable to survey. Like similar things for a variety of species, but with fog and wind and the high terrain, you need pretty clear opportunities, to get good counts. So it's very challenging.

18 19 20

21

But we've done fairly well, as best we could, to-date, out there with all those considerations.

222324

Thank you.

25 26

27

28 29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MS. HAYDEN: Sure. Understand. Thank you for that. I'm just trying to get a good handle on what approach, us, as a RAC, could take for requesting that updated management plans with the -- I mean circumstances have changed dramatically in the last 40, 50 years, to help address the concerns of the people out there. I understand what the biology is saying, is that the habitat can only support a certain population, and because the -- or because damage to the habitat has happened, that this, you know, from what I understand what you're saying is we're expecting a complete collapse of the population over there, but to me that's not taking into consideration those other components, the other factors of the increased hunting pressure, and the dependence of the community out there as this being the primary subsistence resource. Can you just help me, and I'm not sure who this question should go to but can somebody help me understand how we could approach requesting an updated management plan, or data request to -- so that we could get a better sense of what all of these factors are, the impact of these new circumstances, relatively new, maybe over the last 10 years, are, on -- that is different than just the habitat and what the habitat can support?

1 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Natasha, let's see, I think what -- I'm not sure what the proper 2 procedure here would be, but, maybe Katya you can help me out here, should they then just have a motion -- or Council consensus that the impacted groups then, and 5 they're listed on the letter, try to make an honest 6 7 effort to be able to try to look at these documents and see if there's any areas to make improvements, I mean 8 I think -- this caribou even to just update them. 9 thing has been our agenda for five years, easily, and 10 11 it's probably even been longer than that, I'd like to 12 try to come to some resolution to this so that we're 13 able to -- it's nice to get these reports because even 14 that we weren't getting for quite awhile. 15 understanding being not able to do the surveys and just an opportunity sometimes happens and able to do that, 16 17 and that was really appreciated to be able to even just 18 see numbers. So I don't know what the proper procedure 19 would be to request that an effort be made to try to 20 get the impacted -- the affected parties together to be 21 able to address some of these issues and concerns by the local community. 22

2324

25

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  SKINNER: And, Della, can you add me to the list -- this is Rebecca, of people who want to.....

262728

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rebecca.

29 30

MS. SKINNER: Oh, thanks.

31 32 33

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, go ahead, Rebecca.

34 35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, thanks. So being from Kodiak, you know, obviously I'm not -- Adak and something I deal with Kagalaska caribou are not everyday, but listening to the discussion and then thinking back to some of the past meetings we've had, I -- so I like Della's suggestion of having the interested stakeholders get together and talk about this at a high level. One, the caribou, I believe, are not a native species to that area, so one of the questions I have is from a Federal standpoint, what is the intent on the Federal side? So is there going to be a continuing willingness to manage that herd so that it continues to exist or at some point will there be, you know, eradication. Because I think with one of the

islands out there it was decided that the caribou were damaging the island and they just needed to go away. So if that is going to be the case, I mean that would definitely change how I looked at, is there really going to be a long-term management plan. So that's one question.

6 7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

5

If the herd is going to be managed for continuous existence, I -- one of the issues is there isn't data and it sounds like it's going to be very expensive to have regular surveys, and I don't know if this would be a priority item for those funds anyway. And I guess I'm trying to So that's a problem. understand fully what the concern is right now. If the concern is that the hunting limits are too liberal, so the concern from the RAC side. That the hunting limits are too liberal and we're concerned with the viability of that herd. But at the same time the biologists are telling us that the herd is too big and there's not enough hunting to reduce it to a point where it would be viable. I don't know -- so what I get out of that is a management plan would be to go in and cull the herd, I'm not sure if that interaction that Rick is thinking right now, I don't know -- I mean I don't think we can solve this on this call today so I guess I'll just wrap up by saying I think having a more focused and targeted stakeholder group discussion makes sense to me. Because as Della said, this is an issue that has been ongoing for a long time and I think some of the challenges that we're looking at as far as survey and funding and just availability of hunters to go in and hunt the herd to the level that's required to have it be a sustainable population. Those are issues that we, as a RAC, I think, can't solve, but perhaps a discussion of a new management plan could actually, you know, address those issues, think outside the box, come up with something.

37 38 39

So those are my thoughts.

40 41

But I do support Della's suggestion of a stakeholder group.

42 43 44

Thank you.

45 46

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Are there any other comments in regard to Adak caribou.

47 48 49

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

```
0197
 1
                    MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.
 2
 3
                    MS. CHERNOFF: I have....
 4
 5
                    MS. HAYDEN: Madame Chair.
 6
 7
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            Go
                                                ahead, was
 8
     that you Rick. I heard two people.
 9
10
                    MR. HOLMES:
                                   Ι'd
                                         like to make a
11
     comment.
12
13
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           Okay, it sounds
14
    like maybe Pat, and is one of the lady's maybe.
15
16
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Coral.
17
18
                    MS. HAYDEN:
                                 I think Coral, and then
19
     this is Natasha.
20
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you.
21
22
    Okay, go ahead Coral and then Pat.
23
24
                    MS. CHERNOFF:
                                    Yeah, I thank you.
25
    Natasha had mentioned how, you know, how we, as a RAC,
26
    what is our role here. And my thought was that -- what
27
     I'm kind of pulling out of this conversation that some
28
    issues are perhaps the hunting is diminishing the herd,
29
    or diminishing the herd so much that they're moving
30
    farther, people can't get to the herds, hunting is too
31
    liberal. So my thought is just to help people, or
32
    encourage people -- it seems like we have the tool of
33
    proposals that it seems like could deal with some of
34
    these issues, perhaps proposing closed areas closer to
35
     the village, that are only open to the local people.
36
     So I guess I would encourage some, you know, getting
37
     together and maybe looking at a new management plan
38
    might be good but it seems like the management plan is
39
     for 150 and if the concern is the environment and too
    many caribou, yeah, I don't see the management plan
40
41
     necessarily raising those herd numbers.
42
43
                    So it seems like proposals, maybe going
44
     and looking at encouraging some proposal options.
45
46
                    Also I guess looking forward in a
47
    management plan maybe there's an opportunity for the
48
     tribe to be gifted a certain amount and then sent so
```

they have them -- you know there's a lot of caribou --

49

there's several places around the state that I think do caribou herding or they're kept, so maybe that's a possibility.

4 5

6

2

But my suggestion is to encourage proposals to sort of sort out some of these issues in the near future, and that's all.

7 8 9

Thank you.

10

11 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,

12 Coral.

13 14

Pat.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42

44

45

46

MR. HOLMES: Yes, Madame Coral's raised a point. I think in the State regs, you could probably take the part that belongs to the Aleut Corporation and change harvest levels with access I think the community itself would make a there. request to incoming folks as to how many people are coming in and ask them to have a report. I might suggest to Rick that they form a Fish and Game AC in their community to deal with those things. But looking at the information presented by both the State and the Feds it's very obviously that the population has exceeded the carrying capacity. And having been out there at Adak and I provided one time opportunity with a helicopter that I had when I was surveying the Aleutians to the Refuge, and the point is is that you need to look at the topography of the island and even with a large population or a small population there's only a percentage that's going to come over the mountain on the side, on the Aleut Corporation land, and I honestly don't think that there's any way to be able to get that population -- if you look at that graph, anything over 500, and what the professionals are saying is that probably is sustained population of 150, 200 after harvest is probably what's achievable. I think the point made on looking at through either State or Federal regs on having an allocation to the island for locals, that's a possibility. But I don't think there's any way you're ever going to achieve thousands of animals on the island. And I don't think there's any way you're going to be able to actively get the numbers that used to come to the north side; it's just not biologically possible.

47 48 49

So I would think that the agencies are

doing their best job. They could help by just keeping us up to date on what's going on and I would suggest a liaison and a forming of a committee, either with an Advisory Committee or a local one between the city and the corporation and these entities for them to keep the locals advised as to what's going on. So that would be my perspective on it.

Quite frankly, I think they're giving us a pretty honest appraisal of the situation. And changing the management plan, I don't know what that would accomplish because there's too many -- probably at least twice as many caribou as there should be.

So thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat.

Any other comments.

MS. CHERNOFF: Della, this is Coral.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I do have one comment -- go ahead, Coral.

MS. CHERNOFF: So with these comments that we've just given perhaps we can write a letter to Adak with some of these sort of suggestions for proposals, or what did Pat say, form an advisory committee, just sort of some suggestions that have happened here and send them a letter. And I guess if we could offer any help through OSM or anyone, just to let them know that. Just to let them know that, you know, we're sort of working at this, we're trying to figure this out and we have been trying to figure it out for quite a few years. And then maybe that could get the ball rolling.

MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, go ahead, Rick, I hear you. We've got to wrap this up real soon here because we've got quite a bit on the agenda.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, Della, I didn't have anything to say. But, yeah, the people there are aware of what's going on. I've been talking to a couple of them and I got a couple that would be willing to get on a board there or a management plan, but as far as an

advisory and getting the local people together, it's a tough deal in the small villages to do that. So I could get one or two probably, which I already found there, so if need be we could put them on a board of some sort there.

But, anyway, that's all I have on Adak.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. And just so we keep this moving, a couple things. Maybe we are wanting to give this to Steve and Dave Crowley, Delehanty and Dave Crowley, to try to work with the community and work with Rick and try to figure out how you guys can get together and set up some kind of -sort of meetings to try to move this along. Because I think it's in everybody's best interest to, number 1, make sure everybody knows what they're doing, what each other is doing, and how best to keep moving forward.

The other thing I'm going to bring up is that is a concern that I get here and this is going to go to the State to put in the back of your mind and figure out how this can be addressed. But Rick did bring it up earlier. I mean it's nice for people in these communities to be able to get the caribou. people are out there just basically hunting for the racks and they don't process the meat as it should be and you get it coming into the communities, and people are happy to take it, but they're not happy to take when this meat is sour or bad and it's really just frustrating and sad for us to see this. Because we live off of this. It's part of who we are and what we do, eating caribou. And I get it here a lot, we have guides, like one guy will fly in, the caribou or moose, whatever they got, clean and it's perfect condition and I pick it up and I take it to elders all over town and everybody is happy. But when you see this come in like that, it's basically, in my opinion, a slap on the face, because it's so sad to see and there's got to be It's more of a respectful a way to address this. thing. The wanton waste is really hard to live with.

So just keep that in the back of your mind, because it's something I watch pretty closely here. Birds come over here and they're sour and they're bad, there's no sense in sending them over from Cold Bay if you can't eat them. The intention is nice but if you can't make any use of them it defies the whole purpose. So keep that in mind.

With that, Rick, I guess closing this, if everybody can sort of agree maybe to work with you a little bit to figure out how to work on a committee and then maybe we have some sort of a report at our last meeting if things are moving on, I guess. So Jeff and Dave, I guess, is that going to be something that can be accomplished, or an effort to work towards.

 MR. KOSO: Yeah, that would be fine with me, Della, I don't have any problem with that. I just, you know, I'm kind of lost here because I didn't get a booklet this year so I've been trying to catch up and follow behind you guys on a lot of stuff here. So excuse my questions sometimes if they don't sound right with what we're talking about. But anyway I'll be happy to work with whoever from King Salmon or any of the Feds there, and then I could relay to the community, I'm in touch with them all the time.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$  CROWLEY: And, Madame Chair, this is Dave Crowley. I certainly agree, I'm willing to do that.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, Madame Chair, thank you. I agree on behalf of the Fish and Wildlife Service. We are happy to participate in something. I might also point out that a majority of the land -- all of the land that's owned on the north side of Adak where Council Member Koso was talking about, all that land is managed by the Aleut Corporation and access to that land is provided by the Aleut Corporation through use permits, except for a few 17(B) easements that go through that land to Refuge land. So by far the majority of all the harvest on Adak is on that northern lands and so the Aleut Corporation is a key player in here. If the intent is to provide for subsistence uses by local residents, then access to those northern areas immediately adjacent to the town is really critically. And so the Aleut Corporation, by providing access, would be a real key stakeholder I think could be involved in that.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I fully agree. And what I'll do is I'll -- they do have a resource person that they hired and I'll get in contact with them and give Rick's andthe -- Dave's and Jeff's your-and Steve's email address and maybe you can start that

0202 process there, that involvement. Plus I will forward the documents that we've got in regard to the updated documents for Adak caribou. So hopefully we can make 4 some progress in moving in a positive direction. 5 6 MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair. 7 8 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Vince. 9 10 MR. MATHEWS: Yes, I'll make sure it's 11 a note to the future coordinator on this, that it will be on the agenda, and there's other Staff listening in. 12 13 The other thing is, is you may want to announce, you 14 know, that it's time for public comment on non-agenda 15 items in case there is somebody listening in that has comments to share on non-agenda items. 16 17 18 Thank you. 19 20 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, 21 Vince, for the reminder. So I'll open the floor for anyone that would like to speak on any non-agenda 22 23 items, or anybody from the public that would like to 24 speak. 25 26 (No comments) 27 28 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. was just kind of trying to figure out, yesterday, did 29 30 we do Division of Subsistence report on Unalaska and 31 Kodiak? I'm thinking we did. 32 33 MR. MATHEWS: Yes, ma'am. 34 35 MS. KEATING: Madame Chair, this is 36 Jackie Keating. 37 38 MR. MATHEWS: And she also pointed out 39 to you the other reports, too, so that was concluded. 40 41 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. 42 43 MR. MATHEWS: Unless Jackie

44 45 46

47

48

she wanted to share.

MS. KEATING: Good morning. This is Jackie Keating with the Division of Subsistence. I gave a pretty brief overview, and so if folks had any

something else, I think she concluded on the reports

follow-up questions since it was at the end of the day I'd be happy to answer them. We also have a more detailed research plan for the upcoming Kodiak work that I didn't really get into. And just know that I'm here and willing to talk about that if people are interested but I know owe have a packed agenda today so I'm on if questions come up.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Thank you, Jackie. I usually highlight when we complete something and I didn't highlight it so I just wanted to doublecheck. So, thank you.

So we're under new business, wildlife proposals, OSM, fall 2021, I see what is -- is there a page number on for this item? Is it in our book?

MR. MATHEWS: Yes, there is. I think the first one is WP22-37, and Brent was going to cover that as I'm madly trying to find the page number for that.

MR. VICKERS: Hello. Good morning. This is Brent Vickers from OSM, and it's Page 64 of your Council books, WP22-37. Page 64.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And I thought we took care of that yesterday and 38a. No, we didn't.

MR. VICKERS: No, we did not.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. We had the report -- okay. All right, so Page 67.

MR. VICKERS: Okay. Would you like me to start getting into it?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Let's go.

MR. VICKERS: Yes, so good morning, Madame Chair and members of the Council. My name is Brent Vickers and I'm the Cultural Anthropologist Supervisor at the Office of Subsistence Management in Anchorage. Analysis of this proposal begins on Page 64 of your Council books.

Wildlife Proposal 22-37 submitted by Della Trumble of King Cove requests a change to the customary and traditional use determination for

ptarmigan in Unit 9D from all rural residents to residents of Cold Bay, King Cove, Sand Point, Belkofski, Sanak, Pauloff Harbor, Unga and Nelson Lagoon.

Discussion. In the proposal, the proponent states that ptarmigan is an important resource for residents of Unit 9D. Through Proposal WP22-37, the proponent requests the evaluation of of the uses of ptarmigan by rural residents of the communities listed. There have not been any Federal determinations made for customary and traditional uses of ptarmigan in Unit 9D.

Regulatory history. In 1990 the Federal Subsistence Board assumed subsistence management responsibilities on Federal public land and adopted existing State customary and traditional use determinations. The State did not recognize the customary and traditional uses of ptarmigan in Unit 9D and no proposals to change customary and traditional use of ptarmigan in Unit 9D have been submitted since inception of the program.

Community characteristics. All communities listed in the proposal are located within Unit 9D. Belkofski, Sanak, and Pauloff Harbor are no longer permanently occupied. The communities of Cold Bay, King Cove, Nelson Lagoon and Sand Point are currently occupied year-round.

Eight factors for determining customary and traditional use. Customary and traditional uses by rural residents of a community or area are generally exemplified through eight factors in regulation that include a pattern of use excluding interruptions beyond the control of rural residents of an area, near the community or area. The Board has recognized customary and traditional uses of brown bear, caribou, moose and wold in Unit 9D for residents of Cold Bay, King Cove, nelson Lagoon and Sand Point. There's no formal harvest reporting for ptarmigan, however, ptarmigan harvest is captured in subsistence surveys. Residents of each of the Unit 9D communities reported using and sharing ptarmigan in the most recent comprehensive subsistence surveys conducted in their respective village communities. Resident have harvested ptarmigan locally typically within 30 miles of their homes. author of a 2021 comprehensive subsistence report in

King Cove noted that ptarmigan were hard to find and many people believed them to be over hunted. Many households said they did not go hunting because the population is depressed.

Effects of the proposal. Currently all rural residents may harvest ptarmigan in Unit 9D. Recognizing customary and traditional use of ptarmigan in the communities in Unit 9D will restrict Federal harvest opportunities for other rural residents, however, most hunters prefer to pursue opportunities for the harvest of resources close to home so this is not seen as a hardship.

OSM preliminary conclusion. Support Proposal WP22-37 with modification. To recognize a customary and traditional use of ptarmigan by all residents of Unit 9D.

Justification. The Board has already recognized customary and traditional use of terrestrial animals and fishes in Unit 9D by communities of King Cove, Cold Bay, Nelson Lagoon and Sand Point. Based on

these previous determinations communities of Unit 9D have already established a recognized pattern of harvest and use of wild resources in the area consistent with the eight factors. In addition, each community has demonstrated use of ptarmigan as well as demonstrated patterns of harvesting resources close to home. Finally, recognizing customary and traditional use for all residents of Unit 9D, rather than just those with permanent settlements in the analysis will account for changes in settlement patterns within the unit.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. So that was the introduction. Are there any tribes or ANCSA Corporations. Orville.

MR. LIND: Good morning, Madame Chair. Council members. There were no comments or questions during the wildlife proposal consultations.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

```
0206
   Agency comments, ADF&G.
 2
 3
                    (No comments)
 4
 5
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Are there any
 6
   ADF&G comments.
 7
 8
                   (No comments)
 9
10
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Federal agency
11
   comments.
12
13
                   (No comments)
14
15
                   MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Tribal.
16
17
                   (No comments)
18
                   MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Regional
19
20
   Advisory Councils.
21
22
                    (No comments)
23
24
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Fish and Game
25
   Advisory Committees.
26
27
                    (No comments)
28
29
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Subsistence
30
   Resource Commissions.
31
32
                    (No comments)
33
34
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Are there any
35
   written public comments.
36
37
                   MR. VICKERS: Madame Chair, this is
38
    Brent Vickers. No, there are no written public
39
    comments.
40
41
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.
42
   Public testimony.
43
44
                    (No comments)
45
                   MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Regional Council
46
47
   recommendation.
48
49
                    MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, Pat. I move
50
```

```
0207
 1
    to adopt.
 2
 3
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Second. This is Coral.
 4
 5
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            Motion made by
 6
    Pat Holmes, second by Coral. All in favor -- oh, let's
 7
     see, we've got discussion.
 8
9
                    So I....
10
11
                    MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair.
12
13
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Sorry.
                                                         Go
14
    ahead, Vince.
15
16
                    MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair.
17
18
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Vince.
19
20
                    MR. MATHEWS:
                                    Working with different
21
     advisory groups, sometimes a motion like this,
22
     need to do is get clarification that the motion is to
23
     adopt the proposal as written and not as modified by
24
    OSM. So I need clarification that it is as written, or
25
     are people making a motion as modified by OSM.
26
27
                    MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.
28
29
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.
30
31
                    MR. HOLMES: Pat.
32
33
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.
34
35
                    MR. HOLMES:
                                  With permission of the
36
     second I'd like to change the motion, move to adopt as
37
    modified by Office of Subsistence Management.
38
39
                    Thank you, Madame.
40
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.
41
42
43
                    REPORTER: Coral.
44
45
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And, Coral, that
46
     is acceptable?
47
48
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Oh, yes, I second that.
49
```

1 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okav. right, I did meet with Lisa in regard to this and I 2 quess the thing that I -- when I look at this basically, because the concern of, I think, lack of 5 birds, I did -- the concern with the amount of sport hunting going on, and this is particularly in the 6 7 community of Cold Bay. And I did read yesterday into the record a message that I received in regard to that. 8 9 So the concern here, and when I talked to, Lisa, if you 10 could help me with this one, we were looking at this 11 proposal, right now when it says currently all rural 12 residents and that means all rural residents, rural is 13 defined as all people within the state of Alaska. So 14 if this proposal is adopted then it would be the 15 residents of Cold Bay, King Cove, Nelson Lagoon, and Sand Point, in 9D, and I'm not even sure why False Pass 16 is not on this list -- of course, False Pass is in Unit 17 18 10, but I guess -- but I didn't hear any comments from 19 the State on this so if there is a concern then I kind 20 of would like to know what it is, and if there isn't 21 then I just think we move on with this proposal.

2223

MS. GREDIAGIN: Madame Chair, this is

24 Lisa.

2526

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Lisa.

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, I just want to -yeah, the reason Brent and I contacted you about this proposal is we just wanted to make sure you and the Council understands that having a C&T, a customary and traditional use determination doesn't affect anyone hunting under State regulations so unless there's a closure of Federal public lands, then anyone can still hunt ptarmigan under the State regs around, you know, Cold Bay, on Federal lands in Unit 9D. So the establishment of a C&T doesn't really get to any of the conservation concerns that you expressed and by the member of the public in the comment that you read yesterday, so it'd be like a two step thing, where you'd establish the C&T and then you would need to request a closure of the Federal public lands in order to have any sort of conservation effects for -- or limiting people hunting on Federal land under sport regs.

45 46 47

48

So that's really beyond the scope of this proposal before the Council right now. But I just wanted to clarify that since the concern seemed to be

0209 over ptarmigan numbers and conservation. 2 3 Thanks. 4 5 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you for 6 the clarification. 7 8 MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair. 9 10 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Vince. 11 12 MR. MATHEWS: Just a word of caution. are the submitter of this proposal as an 13 14 individual, so we're going to take your comments on the 15 proposal as that, and you may want to consider having your Vice Chair continue with this so it's clear that 16 17 you're honoring yourself as an individual in this 18 process. Just something to consider on this and, of 19 course, as you know with more controversial proposals 20 that needs to be made very clear. 21 22 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right, 23 thanks for that. Go ahead, Rebecca, do you want to 24 continue on with WP22-37. 25 26 MS. SKINNER: Yep. Yep, I can sure 27 that. So I think we're in the discussion phase. there further discussion. 28 29 30 MS. CHERNOFF: This is Coral. 31 32 MS. SKINNER: Go ahead, Coral. 33 34 MS. CHERNOFF: Yes. I want to just say 35 I am fully in support of this. I think it's -- I think customary and traditional use determinations are 36 37 important. As has been shown in harvest reports and we know from stories and just family and living in areas 38 39 that do the things that were used to sustain us, these 40 hunting practices for thousands of years and so I am in 41 support of this proposal. 42 43 MS. SKINNER: All right, thank you. 44 there further discussion. 45 46 (No comments) 47 48 MR. KOSO: Question. 49

```
0210
 1
                   MS. SKINNER: Is that calling for the
    question -- all right, well, it sounds like then if
   there is not further discussion, just restating the
    motion for the record. Vince, do you mind restating
 5
    that?
 6
 7
                    MR. MATHEWS: Yes, that's fine.
    motion is to support Proposal WP22-37 with modification
 8
    to recognize the customary and traditional use of
 9
    ptarmigan by residents of Unit 9D. That was the motion
10
11
    and it was seconded.
12
13
                    MS. SKINNER: All right, thank you,
14
    Vince. Can we go ahead and do a roll call vote on
15
    this.
16
17
                    MR. MATHEWS:
                                Sure. Let me grab my
18
    sheet here. Okay. Patrick.
19
20
                    MR. HOLMES: Yes.
21
22
                    MR. MATHEWS: Rick. I heard a click,
23
    Rick.
24
25
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah.
26
27
                    MR. MATHEWS: Okay, we'll come back.
28
    Was that Rick.
29
30
                    (No comments)
31
32
                    MR. MATHEWS: Okay, we'll come back.
33
34
                    Coral.
35
36
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Yes.
37
38
                    MR. MATHEWS: Rebecca.
39
40
                    MS. SKINNER: Yes.
41
42
                    MR. MATHEWS: Natasha.
43
44
                    MS. HAYDEN: Yes.
45
46
                    MR. MATHEWS: Melissa.
47
48
                    MS. BERNS: Yes.
49
```

0211 1 MR. MATHEWS: Della. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes. 4 5 MR. KOSO: Yeah, Vince, this is Rick. 6 Can you hear you now? 7 8 MR. MATHEWS: Yes, I can hear you now. 9 10 MR. KOSO: Yeah, I say yes to that if 11 you could hear me. This is Rick. 12 13 MR. MATHEWS: I can hear you. Rebecca, 14 the vote was unanimous in support of the proposal as 15 modified. 16 17 MS. SKINNER: Great, thank you, Vince. 18 And I will hand the gavel back over to Della then. 19 20 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, 21 everyone. Thank you, Vince, for keeping us in line --22 me, in line. The next item on the agenda is WP22-38, 23 caribou, Unit 10, add Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon to C&T 24 use determination. Introduction. 25 26 MR. VICKERS: Hello, again. This is 27 Brent Vickers once more. The next analysis for proposal begins on Page 76 of your Council books. 28 29 Wildlife Proposal WP22-38a submitted by the Council 30 requests a customary and traditional use determination 31 for caribou in Unit 10 Unimak Island for residents of 32 Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon. 33 34 Discussion. The Council requests to 35 Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon to the existing 36 customary and traditional use determination for caribou 37 in Unit 10, Unimak Island. Note. Relaxing the current closure to caribou hunting in Unit 10, Unimak Island, 38 39 which currently applies to all but residents of False Pass is related in the related proposal WP22-38b. 40 41 42 Regulatory history. In 1990 the 43 Subsistence Board assumed subsistence 44 management responsibilities on Federal public land and adopted and existing State customary and traditional 45 46 use determinations. At that time False Pass was the 47 only community with customary and traditional use 48 determination for caribou in Unimak Island portion of 49 Unit 10. In 1998 the Board expanded customary and

7

8

9

traditional use of Unit 10 caribou to residents of King Cove and Sand Point. In that same proposal the Board decided not to include residents of Cold Bay nor Nelson Lagoon into the determination because they had not demonstrated a long-term use of the Unimak Island caribou. In 2010 the Secretary of Interior asked the Board to review, with Regional Advisory Council input, a customary and traditional use process and present recommendations for regulatory changes. In June 2016 the Board clarified that the eight factor analysis applied when considering customary and traditional use determinations is intended to protect subsistence rather than limit it.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

44

11

12

Eight factors for determining customary and traditional use. Customary and traditional uses by rural residents of a community is generally exemplified through eight factors in regulation that include a pattern of use excluding interruptions beyond the control of rural residents of an area near the The Board makes customary and community or area. traditional use determinations based on the holistic application of these eight factors. The Board has recognized Cold Bay's customary and traditional use of brown bear in Units 9D and 10 Unimak Island and caribou, moose and wolf in Unit 9D. The Board has recognized Nelson Lagoon's customary and traditional uses of brown bear, caribou, moose and wolf in Unit 9D. Unimak Island caribou is closed to hunting during the 2016 survey year, but residents of Cold Bay harvested caribou in other local locations. In a 2021 report, residents of Cold Bay claimed that they were not getting enough caribou for their needs, explaining that caribou were not coming as close to the community and harvesting sites as before. There was a dramatic decline in the number of caribou harvested by residents of Nelson Lagoon between 1987 and 2009. households surveyed in 1987 reported harvesting and sharing caribou but no households reported harvesting caribou in 2009. Regulatory changes since 1987 are one of the main causes for reduction in caribou harvesting. In a 2012 report one resident explained the caribou ban really put a hurt on us, others believe that the main reason for the decline in caribou is because of an over abundance of wolves.

45 46 47

48

49

50

Effects of the proposal. If this proposal is adopted, the residents of Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon will have customary and traditional use

for caribou in Unit 10 Unimak Island. Currently residents of Akutan, False Pass, King Cove and Sand Point have customary and traditional use of caribou in Unit 10 Unimak Island, however the harvest of caribou in Unimak Island is currently closed to all but residents of False Pass. If the closure is modified as requested in Proposal WP22-38b, the Federal public lands in Unit 10 Unimak Island are closed to the hunting of caribou except by Federally-qualified subsistence users, Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon would have an opportunity to participate in the hunt.

OSM preliminary conclusion. Support Proposal WP22-38a with modification to recognize the customary and traditional use of caribou by all residents of Unit 9D.

Justification. Residents of the communities of Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon already have customary and traditional use determination for caribou and brown bear in Unit 9D as well as customary and traditional use determination for brown bear in Unit Both communities have patterns of use of caribou and local subsistence resources in Units 9D and 10 consistent with the eight factors outlined in the Furthermore, recognizing customary and analysis. traditional use for all residents of Unit 9D, rather than those with permanent settlements in this analysis, including Cold Bay, King Cove, Nelson Lagoon, and Sand Point will account for changes in settlement patterns within the unit.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Brent. Is there a report, Orville, on tribe or ANCSA Corporation comments.

MR. LIND: Madame Chair. Council members. No questions or comments from the consultations. Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.
44 Agency comments, ADF&G.

(No comments)

48 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Federal

49 comments.

```
0214
 1
                    MR. RINALDI: Yes, the.....
 2
 3
                    MADAME
                             CHAIR
                                     TRUMBLE:
                                                   Tribal
 4
    comments....
 5
 6
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:
                                            Madame Chair.
 7
    Council members. There are no Federal.....
 8
 9
                    MR. RINALDI:
                                 .....Department of Fish
10
    and Game does have comm.....
11
12
                    REPORTER: Okay, wait a second. Wait.
13
    Wait. Please. Della, could you go back to Fish and
14
    Game.
15
16
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                              Okay,
                                                     Tina,
17
    ADF&G?
18
19
                    REPORTER: Yep.
20
21
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah. ADF&G.
22
23
                    MR. RINALDI:
                                 Yes, the Department has
    comments, and I believe Dave Crowley will be speaking
24
25
    to this proposal.
26
27
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.
28
29
                    MR. CROWLEY:
                                 Madame Chair. This
30
    Dave Crowley, Department of Fish and Game. I guess my
31
    only concerns with this have to do with harvest of the
32
    Unimak Island herd. Similar to Adak Island, I think if
33
    we restrict harvest to a small group of people we're
34
    not going to get the harvest we need to control this
35
    herd. We have a proposal in -- the Department has a
36
    proposal in to the Alaska Board of Game to open a
37
    registration hunt on Unimak Island with an initial
38
    harvest of approximately 25 bulls just to get things
39
              Unimak Island is probably more remote than
    started.
40
    Adak Island because Alaska Airlines does not fly into
41
    False Pass.
                  So that's something we need to keep in
42
    mind, that we're going to need to start harvesting this
43
           It's currently at about 460 animals and it's
    growing and the composition is looking really good.
44
45
    There's very good bull to cow ratio, calf/cow ratio.
46
    The herd is growing, and we're going to need to start
47
    harvesting it in order to stay out of the Adak Island
```

48

scenario.

```
0215
 1
                    Thank you, Madame Chair.
 2
 3
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.
 4
   Federal comments.
 5
 6
                    (No comments)
 7
 8
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Tribal comments.
 9
10
                    (No comments)
11
12
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Advisory group
13
    comments.
14
15
                    (No comments)
16
17
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Regional
18
    Advisory Councils.
19
20
                    (No comments)
21
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Fish and Game
22
23
    Advisory Committees.
24
25
                    (No comments)
26
27
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Subsistence
28
    Resource Commissions.
29
30
                    (No comments)
31
32
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Written public
33
    comments.
34
35
                    (No comments)
36
37
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                     TRUMBLE:
                                                   Public
38
    testimony.
39
40
                    (No comments)
41
42
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Regional Council
43
    recommendation. Basically it looks like support with
    modification. So I believe that's where we're at, we'd
44
45
    be adding Akutan and False Pass and Unit 9D. Is that
46
    correct, Vince, on the modification, or can answer on
47
    this?
48
49
                    MR. MATHEWS: Yes, that's correct. I
50
```

```
0216
    don't know if you can hear me, I can hear you. But,
    anyways, yes, that is correct. That would be a valid
    motion. We need someone to make the motion and a
 4
    second. Thank you.
 5
 6
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Do I hear
 7
    a motion to approve WP22-38a.
 8
 9
                    MR. HOLMES: Move to adopt as modified.
10
11
                    (Teleconference
                                         interference
12
    participants not muted - phone on hold)
13
14
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Moved by Pat as
15
    modified -- oh, somebody putting their phone on hold.
16
17
                    REPORTER: I'm going to cut out and try
18
    and get the operator, hold on, and cut the line.
19
20
                    (Off record)
21
22
                    (On record)
23
24
                    REPORTER: Go ahead, Della.
25
26
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: A motion was
27
    made by Pat Holmes to approve WP22-38a, do I hear a
28
    second.
29
30
                    (Teleconference
                                         interference
31
    participants not muted)
32
33
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Somebody needs
34
    to mute their mic.
35
36
                    MS. GREDIAGIN: Well, Madame Chair, can
37
    you clarify whether that was adopt as submitted, or
38
     adopt as modified by OSM.
39
40
                    MR. HOLMES: As modified.
41
42
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                             It was
                                                        as
43
    modified. All right, I'm still hearing.....
44
45
                    REPORTER:
                               Okay, folks, before we go
46
    on, can people please check your line, make sure you're
47
    muted so we can hear what's going on and give the
    courtesy to the people that are speaking, so we can
48
49
    focus on what they're saying.
```

```
0217
 1
                    Thank you.
 2
 3
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Tina.
    And I hear some typing also going on there. All right,
    here's where we are, Council. We got a motion to
 5
    approve WP22-38a, no second to the motion, so I am
 7
     assuming this will basically fall -- fail.
 8
 9
                    (Teleconference
                                         interference
10
    participants not muted)
11
12
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Moving on. The
13
    next item on the agenda is, if I can find it again, is
14
    statewide Proposal WP22-01, various species, statewide,
15
    define who/who is not -- is or is not a participant in
    a community harvest program and affects on harvest
16
17
    limits. So whomever is doing that proposal, you're on
18
    the floor.
19
20
                    (Teleconference
                                         interference
21
    participants not muted)
22
23
                    MS. SKINNER: Della, this is.....
24
25
                    MS. KENNER: Hi, Madame Chair.
26
27
                    MS. SKINNER: .....Rebecca. Can I just
28
     -- this is Rebecca. Can I just clarify, and I'm sorry
29
    if I'm confused, I thought we just did 38a, were we
30
     actually doing 38b next?
31
32
                    MS. KENNER:
                                  Rebecca, this is Pippa
    Kenner. And, yes, we need to move on to 38b now which
33
34
    is to modify the season.
35
36
                    (Teleconference
                                         interference
37
    participants not muted)
38
39
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                               Okay, sorry
40
    about that.
41
42
                    MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair.
43
44
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           Vince, are you
45
    trying to make a comment.
46
                                             Madame Chair.
47
                    MR. MATHEWS:
                                     Yes.
48
    Because we're having so much difficulty with the phone,
     38a, we didn't get a second, to my knowledge, but it
49
50
```

```
0218
    could be because of the phone challenges, so I don't
    know if you want to go back to 38a on the motion.
    Because we're having a lot of difficulty on the phone.
 4
 5
                    (Teleconference
                                         interference
 6
    participants not muted)
 7
 8
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                          Well, let's do
 9
    this. We did this yesterday, too, let's go ahead and
10
    take a 10 minute break right now. Everyone call back
11
     in and hopefully we're on a better line so everybody
12
     could hear and we're not having difficulties.
13
    that's agreeable let's go ahead and recess for 10
14
    minutes, call back in and we'll go back to 22-38a.
15
16
                    REPORTER: Okay, and folks while you're
17
    hanging up, when you call back in, please put yourself
18
    on mute, because a lot of the problem is, is we have a
19
     lot of background noise. So I would appreciate that
20
     and everyone speaking would appreciate that.
21
22
                    Thank you.
23
24
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Tina.
25
    Yes.
26
27
                    (Off record)
28
29
                    (On record)
30
31
                    MR. MATHEWS: So, Madame Chair, you do
32
    have a quorum, you're just missing Melissa. So if I
33
    may, we left, before all the phone problems, that Pat
34
    made a motion and we need to clarify that he made a
35
    motion as the proposal was amended or did he make a
    motion as the proposal was written, and there was no
36
37
    second at that time but it most likely was because of
38
    the phone problems.
39
40
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            Basically what
41
    Vince is saying is we're backing up to WP22-37.
42
43
                    MR. MATHEWS: 38a.
44
45
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: To 38a.
46
47
                    MR. MATHEWS: 38a.
48
49
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, on 38a on
```

```
0219
    caribou Unit 10 adding Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon to
    C&T use determination. Pat Holmes made a motion to
 2
    approve as amended. And I was asking if there was a
 4
    second to Pat's motion.
 5
 6
                    MS. CHERNOFF: I'll second it, this is
 7
    Coral.
 8
 9
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: There's a second
10
    by Coral.
              So discussion. Is there any discussion on
11
    the motion.
12
13
                    MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca.
14
15
                    MADAME CHAIRTRUMBLE: Goahead, Rebecca.
16
17
                    MS. SKINNER: Thank you, Della. I just
18
    wanted a little bit of clarification about how this
19
    proposal 38a works in conjunction with Proposal 38b.
20
    So looking at these, my understanding is even with the
21
    changes in 38b it's still not limited to subsistence
22
    users with a C&T determination; is that correct?
23
    guess I'm trying to understand the impact of 38a,
24
    taking into account 38b that we haven't dealt with yet.
25
26
                                    Madame Chair, this is
                    MS. KENNER:
27
    Pippa Kenner.
28
29
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pippa.
30
31
                    MS. KENNER:
                                    Hey, thanks for the
32
    question. Madame Chair. The a portion of the proposal
33
    describes who will be affected. What people will be
34
    affected by changes in Federal regulation.
35
    portion discusses seasons and harvest limit.
36
37
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                    Okay.
                                           That was very
38
    helpful, thank you.
39
40
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Any other
41
    discussion on 38a.
42
43
                    MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.
44
45
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick.
46
47
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, I know it just says on
48
    the thing here Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon, but I heard
49
    somebody mention Akutan on that, that's not part of
```

this is it?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: If you recall the history, Rick, Akutan is part of it and has been. Historically it has been. But what happened -- I don't know how many years ago when the herd crashed on 9D and 10, basically -- and we did subsistence permits, Akutan agreed to not be a part of that allocation to the community because they don't -- didn't have, really, ways of coming -- going to Unimak to hunt, or to 9D to hunt because they hadn't for many, many years. So they agreed at that time to be left out of the customary and traditional use by residents of Unit 9D. looks like it's adding them back in here, but like I say, before -- I want to say maybe 30 caribou, there was five caribou per community, six communities to equal the 30 caribou that it was split between communities and it didn't include Akutan.

MR. KOSO: Thanks, Della.

MR. VICKERS: Madame Chair, this is Brent Vickers. And I just wanted to clarify and read out that the current customary and traditional use determination is for the residents of Akutan, False Pass, King Cove and Sand Point. But Federal public lands are closed to all -- to taking of caribou except by residents of False Pass. So this proposal is to add Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon to the list of communities with C&T determination. The following proposal, 38b, will be about who -- how -- relaxing that closure.

Thank you.

use based on community.

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, it seems like it would clarify things on that if they just went ahead and said

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think basically they're using the customary and traditional

all villages, communities in the Aleutian East Borough.

MS. KENNER: Madame Chair, this is Pippa Kenner.

I....

0221 1 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. 2 3 MS. KENNER: Okay. So the reason why 4 we're -- the analyst is only looking at those two communities is because we only analyze the communities 5 in the proposal. However, if the Council wishes to, 6 7 they can recommend to the Board that a broader area be in the customary and traditional use 8 included determination, such as all residents of Unit 9 and 10 9 10 or something like that. It's up to the Council. 11 12 Thank you. 13 14 MS. PETRIVELLI: Madame Chair. 15 16 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 17 18 MS. PETRIVELLI: Well, it could be 19 since the communities that are listed are the communities of the Aleutians East Borough, because I 20 21 think in our other regulations we've done rural 22 determinations, like saying the Fairbanks Northstar 23 Borough aren't -- are non-rural, but the regulation 24 specialist could see if it could just say, residents, 25 you know, of the Aleutians East Borough in 9D and 10, 26 and that would just simplify it. Because it would just 27 be a way of delineating the boundaries of the areas, and that way you could include like -- like you did 28 29 with the other one that just said residents of 9D. But 30 if you wanted to suggest that modification and they 31 could see if that would be a proper way to put it in 32 regulations. 33 34 MS. KENNER: And, Madame Chair, this is 35 Pippa Kenner. 36 37 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: For the record 38 that was Pat.... 39 40 MS. KENNER: That was Pat Petrivelli 41 who is at the BLM and a long-time part of our program. 42 Thank you. 43 44 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: BIA. 45 46 MS. PETRIVELLI: Yes, I'm from the BIA. 47 48 MS. KENNER: Sorry, Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. So we have some suggestions to modify the motion. The motion was made by Pat Holmes. Pat, do you have any comments.

MR. HOLMES: I don't have any problem on the way it's modified. I think right now with the harvest being limited to False Pass that's really key, and then when it opens, more critters are available, then, you know, opening it up. Right now I believe most of the herd, from the previous reports that we had from the State and OSM, most of the herd is in the highlands all the way, two-thirds of the way, or halfway down the island. And back in the old days when there were a lot of caribou all these communities used to fish there and Becharof and False Pass, along betweenthe island and the mainland, and particularly --I think a lot of the harvest would occur after the end of the salmon season where people that were fishing up on the north side would come back down through or earlier when everybody's going north and back and through the pass. So I would just like to make sure that until we have a decent amount of harvest that, you know, the folks in False Pass have the priority and then once there's enough and we need to reduce the herd, or keep it down, then opening it up to the rest of the Peninsula first.

And, anyway, that's kind of my heartfelt feelings on the whole thing. I don't know that the argument that it's exactly like Adak because there's 10 times the area and so there might probably might need to be a little higher number of caribou but I'll leave that to the professionals.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So basically the motion, Pat, would read to support Proposal 22-38a with modification to recognize a customary and traditional use of caribou by residents of the Aleutians East Borough.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, that's fine with me if it's with the second.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And, Coral, you seconded, is that okay?

MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah. And then I have a

0223 comment or a question. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Go ahead, 4 Coral. 5 6 MS. CHERNOFF: 7 about -- so originally the draft proposal lists residents of Akutan, Cold Bay, False Pass, so it lists 9 those out, so my question is in the -- in the 10 modification, when you list residents of the -- what 11 was it East Aleutians -- Aleutian East, whatever, or if 12 you list it as residents of Unit 9, is that the best 13 wording. And what I'm thinking of is that say a mining 14 company comes in, within this area, all of a sudden 15 there's 3,000 people like living there, and this is just thinking about the scenario, so then they become 16 17 included in this area, under customary and traditional 18 19 20 21 on this. 22 24 Robbin.

use, right? So my idea is if that's the case then maybe we should leave them listed as Cold Bay, Akutan, False Pass, King Cove. And so that's just my thought

So I have a question

23

MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair, this

25 26

27

MS. KENNER: Madame Chair, this is And just to answer the member's Kenner. question. She -- her interpretation is correct.

28 29 30

MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair, this Robbin. Can you hear me?

31 32 33

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I can hear you, Robbin, go ahead.

34 35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

MS. LAVINE: Actually, I would note eligible to though, that to be participate in subsistence harvest under Federal regulations you must also be a permanent rural resident. And so under the scenario that you pose, people that come in and spend a short period of time working, seasonally, in support of a development operation would not be a rural resident of Unit, let's say 9D and 10.

43 44 45

Thank you, Madame Chair.

46 47

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And, Robbin, for further clarification, can you -- it states in the book, is that nine or 10 or 11 months or 12 months out

49 50

of the year to be classified as a rural resident?

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair. I'd have to look to be sure. But it has to -- you have to be a permanent Alaskan resident for a certain period of time. I'll have to look, just a moment. Or if one of my colleagues has that page open.

Thank you.

MS. KENNER: Hi, Robbin, this is Pippa. And, yes, you have to be a permanent resident of Alaska, meaning you've lived here for at least 12 months, and you also must be a permanent resident of the region. And we ascertain that by asking for things like an electric bill, something that states your domicile and that you're not intending to return to your residence outside the region. We have had situations, like with logging camps in Southeast, where logging camps have actually grown these communities and some of the families have decided to move there. I think everything you've heard is a good representation of the dilemma and, you know, going back to just the communities that were requested in the proposal is possible, expanding it is also possible.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you for the clarification.

MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, I just wanted to get on the record, if someone could explain what the practical difference would be between using the term Unit 9 as is included in the OSM recommendation, versus Aleutians East Borough. So like for example, I can see that Aleutians East Borough does include Akutan, whereas I think Akutan is not part of Unit 9, so I'm looking for what are the different communities that would fall in Unit 9 versus Aleutians East Borough, and then just from a regulatory standpoint....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

1 MS. SKINNER: .....is it easier, or is it better to have references to Game Management Areas 2 like Unit 9, rather than municipal boundaries like Aleutians East Borough. So if someone could just speak 5 to that, it would be helpful. 6 7 MS. KENNER: Yeah, this is Pippa Kenner 8 again with OSM. 9 10 (Teleconference interference 11 participants not muted) 12 13 MS. KENNER: So I could give you the 14 answer to a couple of your questions. Earlier in the 15 program there are references in the regulations to 16 areas other than wildlife management units, but more 17 recently we use wildlife management units because 18 they're clearly marked on maps that people can get and 19 20 21 (Teleconference interference 22 participants not muted) 23 24 MS. KENNER: There's always the 25 possibility that there are people living between the 26 communities who may not be included if..... 27 28 MS. SKINNER: I'm sorry Pippa. 29 30 MS. KENNER: .....the C&T..... 31 32 MS. SKINNER: Whoever doesn't have their line on mute, could they please mute their line 33 34 because we're having background noise. 35 36 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. 37 38 MS. SKINNER: Thank you. 39 40 MS. KENNER: So to recap we usually do 41 try to use wildlife management units because they're in 42 the handy-dandy and people can see them, they're 43 understandable and identifiable. Another issue is that 44 there might be people who live between the communities 45 otherwise might not be included in the customary and 46 traditional use determination, especially if this was

unintended. The bigger issue is that the Board has

said that customary and traditional use determinations

are meant to be broad and inclusive, to identify the

0225

47

48

49

0226 customary and traditional uses of a resource in a larger area. However, the Board generally will follow the recommendation of the Councils involved. 4 5 Thank you. 6 7 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. And to add to that, Rebecca. Is Unit 10 starts from False 8 Pass and goes all the way out to Attu, but the 9 customary and traditional use of Akutan did harvest at 10 11 one point in time caribou from False Pass so it was 12 included in Unit 10, Akutan and False Pass. 13 14 Okay, so we had a motion to revise this 15 proposal to state -- to include Aleutians East Borough, so the question is, do we remain -- change to Aleutians 16 17 East Borough or keep it as modified by OSM. 18 19 Any discussion on this. 20 21 MS. CHERNOFF: Della, this is Coral. 22 23 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. 24 25 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Coral. 26 27 MR. HOLMES: Go ahead, Coral. 28 29 MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, and it was also my 30 recommendation to also look at just listing those traditional communities. So I don't know how we go 31 32 about this, we kind of have three choices in front of 33

Should I make an amendment, or somebody make us now. an amendment to something and we just start shooting them down or how do we deal with this?

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45 46

47

48 49

50

CHAIR MADAME TRUMBLE: Well, technically the traditional communities are what's basically listed in the OSM preliminary conclusion, the The initial one included all of the change. traditional communities, which was Akutan, Cold Bay, False Pass....

MS. CHERNOFF: Yes.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: .....King Cove, Nelson Lagoon, and Sand Point.

MS. CHERNOFF: Yes. But I think the

```
0227
    motion was to adopt this with the OSM change. So I
    think that's where we're at.
 3
 4
                    MR. HOLMES: I have a question, Madame
 5
    Chair.
 6
 7
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.
 8
                    MR. HOLMES:
 9
                                 Yeah, does the Aleutians
10
    East Borough, does that go west of Skotscap or does it
11
    end at the end of Unimak Island. Because if it does
12
    then I'd just as soon as go back to where we were on
13
    our proposal that we submitted, rather than having any
14
    modifications because in that list, the traditional
15
    communities that harvest at Unimak.
16
17
                    (Teleconference interference
18
    participants not muted)
19
20
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Dave, your phone
21
    is not -- Dave, you need to mute your phone.
22
23
                    REPORTER:
                                Dave, please mute your
24
    phone.
25
26
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           Okay. Pat, the
27
    communities in the Aleutians East Borough include
    Akutan, Cold Bay, False Pass, King Cove, Nelson Lagoon,
28
29
          Sand Point.
                         The communities listed on the
30
    customary and traditional use determination of caribou,
31
    the dark bold, which includes Cold Bay and Nelson
32
    Lagoon is added to that list. And at some point in
33
    time, many, many years ago, I think, when we were doing
34
    -- when there wasn't a C&T at some point, developed for
35
    Cold Bay and that's something (indiscernible) really
36
    had worked on, developing a C&T, Pat Petrivelli can
37
    probably help me with that because mainly you didn't
38
    have any -- I use the term, Native, people living in
39
    Cold Bay, you had State, Federal, Fish and Wildlife,
40
    airport FAA, you know, there was really no -- there was
41
    really no living in that community, it was a handful.
42
43
                    MS. SKINNER: Can somebody.....
44
45
                    REPORTER:
                              Excuse me. Excuse me. I
46
    think that's still Dave.
47
48
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                    .....text Dave Crowley
```

and ask him to mute his line please.

49

0228	
1	REPORTER: Thank you, Rebecca.
2	
3	MS. SKINNER: Sure.
4	
5	(Teleconference interference -
6	participants not muted)
7	
8	MS. WESSELS: You had your phone not on
9	mute when you had another phone conversation. This is
10	Katya Wessels. When you have separate phone
11	conversations, you need to mute your phone. In fact
12	everyone should mute their phones unless they're
13	speaking at all times.
14	
15	Thank you.
16	
17	(Teleconference interference -
18	participants not muted)
19	
20	MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair.
21	
22	(Teleconference interference -
23	participants not muted)
24	
25	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I don't think
26	he's getting the message.
27	
28	MS. WESSELS: I think he's still on the
29	other phone line, we need to wait until he's done.
30	
31	REPORTER: And in addition to that,
32	please do not put us on hold, just mute your phone.
33	(5)
34	(Pause)
35	MD MARIUTHO Malana Olaria
36	MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair.
37	MADAME GUATO EDUMDIE - C
38 39	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Vince.
40	MD MARIENC. Not to confuse enuthing
41	MR. MATHEWS: Not to confuse anything, but since we have quite a few experts online. If you
42	but since we have quite a few experts online. If you go with the Aleutians East Borough, will the Borough
43	
43	boundaries change in the future. Biologists either with Fish and Game or other ones can confirm that
45	units, game management units, do not change. So it's
46	just another consideration of going with a Borough
47	description versus a unit description.
48	description versus a unit description.
49	Thank you.
50	mank you.
$\sim$ $\circ$	

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. That's a good point. I personally don't have a problem with just listing these communities. I recognize them, living out here, as the communities that have the C&T for caribou, whether you live in Unit 10 or Unit 9D. When there's a lack of caribou in Unit 10, they get their caribou from Unit 9D. If there's a lack of caribou in Unit 9D, I'm sure we're going to go over to Unit 10 where they historically they have. And as Pat said before, the Unit 10 caribou are hard to get at unless you're on a fishing boat, unless they come in close to town. So that's basically -- I, personally, and, Rick, you're the one that asked on changing this to the communities of Aleutians East Borough, request maybe we just leave it as is and have the communities listed, and then it's just clear and there's no question.

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

 MR. KOSO: Yeah, I don't have a problem with listing the communities. I just thought it would be simpler and then people in the region would understand it a little more than picking out all the individual communities, especially in Akutan. They understand the Borough. So anyway the communities are fine with me and I'll be happy to vote on that. All right, thank you.

MS. CHERNOFF: Della, this is Coral.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat, if -- go ahead, Coral.

 $\,$  MS. CHERNOFF: So can Vince read us the motion that was  $\,$  made so we  $\,$  can know where to  $\,$  go from here.

MR. MATHEWS: Yes, Madame Chair, I can do that. The motion that is on the table now was by Pat that it be residents of the Aleutians East Borough, was seconded by Coral. If that is not of interest, to make it cleaner, would be that the mover and the second agree to withdraw their motion and go forward with a new motion.

MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca.

```
0230
                   MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, that's what
   I'd like to do.
 4
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat, then....
 5
 6
                   MR. HOLMES: I'd like to go back to
 7
    make the motion -- hello.
 8
9
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So, Pat, do you
10
    agree to withdraw your motion, is that acceptable to
11
    Coral?
12
13
                   MR. HOLMES:
                                  Yeah, that's what I'm
14
    trying to do. And then go back to the original
15
    proposal that we had in the book up there in that
    section where it lists Akutan, Cold Bay, False Pass,
16
17
    King Cove, Nelson Lagoon and Sand Point, with
18
    permission of the second.
19
20
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            Okay.
21
    agrees to withdraw his motion. Coral, do you approve.
22
                    MS. CHERNOFF: I do, Della, if, I think
23
24
    -- you know, I guess I'm just sort of looking to you
    and Rick who know the area better, like if you think
25
26
    that's the way to go. I would prefer to see the
    communities listed but I think if that's the direction
    we're going then I agree with Pat to withdraw and then
28
29
    we'll make a new motion.
30
31
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                          I think, Coral,
32
    both I and Rick stated that we would -- we agree to
33
    leave it as listing it with the communities.
34
35
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Okay.
36
37
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So given that,
38
    Pat withdrew the motion, Coral agrees. Now, Pat, do
39
    you want to rephrase your motion.
40
41
                    MR. HOLMES: As stated in the executive
42
    summary WP22-38a, resident of Akutan, Cold Bay, False
43
    Pass, King Cove, Nelson Lagoon and Sand Point.
44
45
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat.
46
    Do I hear a second to Pat's motion.
47
48
                   MS. CHERNOFF: Second.
```

0231

1 MS. SKINNER: This is....

2

3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Second by Coral.

4 Discussion. Question?

5

MS. SKINNER: Della, Rebecca was wanting to comment.

9 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, go ahead 10 Rebecca.

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

6

7

8

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, thanks. I disagree with the motion that's currently on the floor, insofar as, as I think our Staff expertise has weighed in and suggested that we use a larger area and you heard from Pippa Kenner that the C&T -- was it Pippa or Robbin, I can't remember, but the C&T determinations, that the preferences to do those on a larger area basis. So I prefer the larger area and I think that does address any lack of clarity if you live outside of the community limit. I assume these communities have limits. So if you're outside of that limit do you If you set the C&T determination for qualify or not. the entire geographic area, so whether it's Unit 9D or the Aleutians East Borough then it's clear if you live in that area you fall under the C&T determination. So that is number 1.

272829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

Number 2. I think I would prefer to have the geographic area described as Unit 9D. So it'd be Akutan, False Pass, and Unit 9D, primarily because when you go pickup these -- the regulation booklets for State hunting or Federal hunting, the maps are all laid out in game management areas, they don't necessarily have the Borough boundaries. And since we are dealing with regulation of fish and wildlife, I think stating geographic areas in terms of those management units makes sense. That being said, I do agree with Rick's comments that from an actual user perspective, you're going to know if you live in Aleutians East Borough or not, so I think there is a lack of clarity there. But looking at this from kind of a system basis, I do see an advantage in continuing to refer to the game management areas.

44 45 46

47

48

That being said, I think the point of this is to add additional communities to the C&T determination to expand the ability for these communities to access caribou, and I don't disagree

with what we're trying to do, I just disagree with the wording.

Those are my comments, thank you.

6 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other 7 comments.

9 MR. VICKERS: Madame Chair. This is 10 Brent Vickers.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Brent.

MR. VICKERS: I just wanted to say that in the -- as Coral said, particularly with her first comment, on agreeing to include the larger area, saying Unit 9D, however you decide to use it, that was the logic, her reasoning was the logic of modifying both this proposal, 38a, and the previous proposal 37, to be unit-wide, to account for people who may be living in between communities or in communities that currently unoccupied, reoccupied. I'm not an expert in the area, I'm new to this, and have been unable to travel there, but that was my logic going into it. I accidentally left out -- in, the modification, False Pass and Akutan. I think I just was -- at the very end of this proposal, and forget to include those, so if I had it back again I would say to include all residents of Unit 9D, False Pass, and Akutan.

Thank you.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. Vince. I think what he just said is what I'd like to have for the motion. We can't do Unit 10 because that goes farther west than Akutan so I'd like it to read, Unit 9D and False Pass and Akutan, and then that includes the whole shebang and provides for variability and folks that are in the communities, or not, because there's a few guides that have camps and things like that, that live out there most of the year and a few people year-round. So that's what I'd like to have, Unit 9D, and including False Pass and Akutan, the communities thereof.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Including the communities of 9D, and you can list them. Unit 10, the communities of Akutan and False Pass.

```
0233
 1
                    MR. HOLMES: Roger that.
 2
 3
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And I want to
    remind everybody, and Pat Petrivelli can help me with
    this, you develop a C&T, that is on record, and that
    history and that documentation is made prior to being
 7
    listed on this, so any other communities are new
    communities and developed after they passed a C&T
    determination made, and so historically these are the
 9
10
    six communities that have utilized the resource. Am I
11
    correct, Rick?
12
13
                    MR. KOSO: Della, you're very correct
14
    on that and I agree with you 100 percent.
15
16
                    MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair.
17
18
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.
19
20
                    MR. MATHEWS: This is Vince.
21
22
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I'm sorry,
23
    Vince.
24
25
                    MR. MATHEWS: Sorry about that. I just
26
    got to keep you guys on track here. My understanding,
27
    unless I'm wrong, the current motion on the floor was
    to support \, 38a as written, \, and as written it \, has the
28
29
    six communities that you just mentioned. So if I have
30
    that wrong, please, correct me, and it was seconded by
31
    Coral.
32
33
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: That is correct.
34
    Discussion.
35
36
                    (No comments)
37
38
                    MADAME
                             CHAIR TRUMBLE: Call for
39
    question.
40
                    MR. KOSO: I call for the question.
41
42
43
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Vince,
44
    do a roll call please.
45
46
                    MR. MATHEWS: Yes, I'll do that. Okay.
47
    We'll go from the bottom of the list up. Melissa.
48
49
                    (No comments)
50
```

```
0234
 1
                    MR. MATHEWS: Okay, we'll come back.
 2
     And, again, the motion is as the proposal was written.
 3
                    Natasha.
 4
 5
 6
                    MS. HAYDEN: Yes.
 7
 8
                    MR. MATHEWS: Della.
 9
10
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes.
11
                    MR. MATHEWS: Rebecca.
12
13
14
                    (No comments)
15
16
                    MR. MATHEWS: Rebecca.
17
18
                    (No comments)
19
20
                    MR. MATHEWS: Okay, we'll come back.
21
22
                    Coral.
23
24
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Yes.
25
26
                    MR. MATHEWS: Let's see here, Rick.
27
                    MR. KOSO: Yes.
28
29
30
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat.
31
32
                    MR. HOLMES: Yep. Yes.
33
34
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Was that a yes?
35
36
                    MR. HOLMES: Yes. Roger.
37
38
                    MR. MATHEWS: Thank you. Sorry.
39
    Melissa.
40
41
                    (No comments)
42
43
                    MR. MATHEWS:
                                    Okay. And I don't
    remember, did we get a vote from Natasha.
44
45
46
                    REPORTER: Yes, we did. You're going
47
    to call on Rebecca.
48
49
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: You're waiting
50
```

```
0235
    on Rebecca, Vince.
 2
 3
                    MS. HAYDEN: Yes, you got me. This is
 4
    Natasha. My vote was yes.
 5
 6
                    MR. MATHEWS:
                                   I'm sorry. Okay,
 7
    Rebecca.
 8
 9
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                   Yeah, sorry.
10
    know what happened there. Yes.
11
12
                    MR. MATHEWS:
                                  Okay.
                                         So if I got it
13
    correct here, the current tally is six in support of
14
    the proposal as written.
15
16
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           That's correct,
17
    thank you everyone.
18
19
                    MR. VICKERS: Madame Chair and Council.
20
    I'm sorry, just to clarify because I got a little
21
    confused there. I just want to make sure I write this
22
    down correctly. But we just approved the motion to --
         written, so listing -- only listing the six
23
24
    communities, the four already listed plus Nelson Lagoon
25
    and Cold Bay, and not to have it by a unit or a
26
    Borough, is that correct?
27
28
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: That is correct,
29
    as in the proposed regulation.
30
31
                    MR. VICKERS: Thank you very much, I
32
    appreciate that.
33
34
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So we'll move on
35
    to WP22-38b. And that looks like it's Page No. 84 in
36
    your book.
37
38
                    MS. HOLMAN:
                                  Madame Chair.
                                                 This is
39
    Kendra Holman. Members of the Council. I'm a Wildlife
    Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management.
40
41
    I'll be addressing WP22-38b. It was submitted by the
    Kodiak/Aleutian Subsistence Regional Advisory Council
42
43
    and can be found on Page 83 of your meeting books.
44
45
                    This proposal requests closure of the
46
    Federal public lands in Unit 10 Unimak Island only to
47
    caribou hunting except by Federally-qualified
48
    subsistence users unless the caribou population exceeds
49
    a population threshold.
```

5 6

7

8

9

With discussion, the proponent, this Council, states that the Unimak Island Caribou Herd has reached a population threshold and that Federal and State wildlife biologists agree more harvest is necessary to maintain a healthy population. The submitted proposal also requests an annual harvest quota for the hunt to be established by delegation of authority in consultation with the State of Alaska as outlined in the letter of delegation. As the in-season manager already can set annual harvest quotas via delegation of authority letter as appendix one on Page 101 of your meeting books, this part of the proposal is not considered further.

13 14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

11

12

Regulatory authority. Caribou Herd has experienced drastic population fluctuations since at least 1975. Over the last three decades regulations for harvesting Unit 10 caribou has responded to population changes within the Unimak Caribou Herd. From 1991 to 1997 the regulations became tighter in response to population declines. And from 1997 to 2003 the regulations became liberalized with the population increase. In 2004 regulations were tightened as the Unimak Caribou Herd population began to decline. This continued through 2017. In both 2018 and 2019 temporary special actions were approved that liberalized hunting regulations. In 2020 Wildlife WP22-25 opened the caribou Proposal season and delegated authority to Izembek National Wildlife Refuge manager to set the harvest quota, close the season, and set permit conditions.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Biological background. In 2004 it was that the Unimak Caribou Herd are a demonstrated distinct subpopulation of caribou. This separated the Unit 10 from the Southern Alaska Unimak Island, Peninsula Caribou Herd. The Unimak Caribou Herd population size has varied considerably over the last In 2007 ADF&G drafted the Southern Alaska century. Peninsula Caribou Herd operational plan to reflect the separation of the Southern Alaska Peninsula Caribou Herd and the Unimak Caribou Herd. To-date no formal management objectives have been defined by ADF&G for the Unimak Caribou Herd due to the difficult logistics in accessing the island. In 2020 the ADF&G caribou management report and plan proposed population objective ranges of 800 to 1,000 caribou given the 2002 peak of 1,200 caribou and subsequent decline.

Harvest. In 1997, the Board opened a subsistence hunt on Federal lands and in 2001 they opened a general hunt. From 1997 to 2008 the majority of caribou harvest which averaged 12 annually were harvested by non-local residents. In 2018 10 permits were allocated, four issued, and three caribou were harvested on Unimak Island by False Pass residents. In 2021 at the winter Council meeting the ADF&G area biologist stated there are surplus bulls available for harvest and the management of the Unimak Caribou Herd should be revised to avoid population and subsequent crashes like in the past. He also expressed concern that harvest by Federally-qualified subsistence users would not be enough to maintain the Unimak Caribou Herd at a sustained level. Based on the current population metrics and projects he recommended an annual harvest of 25 bulls and stressed for timely reporting. He also projected that if the Federal closure was lifted and State season opened, more non-resident hunters would Unimak Caribou Herd under likely harvest regulations than resident hunters as non-residents already traveled to Unimak Island for bear hunts. Table 2, which can be found on Page 94 of your meeting book breaks down the caribou harvest for numbers for both Federal regulation permit, registration permit and State harvest tickets by year.

Another alternative that was considered was to extend the season, change the harvest limit from one bull to up to three caribou and delegate authority to Izembek National Wildlife Refuge Manager to announce harvest limits and set sex ratios. Flexibility in the harvest limit and season could help achieve harvest objectives and provide additional opportunity to Federally-qualified subsistence users when the Unimak Island Caribou Herd population could support additional harvest.

The effects and justification. If WP22-38b is adopted it would open caribou hunting on Unimak Island to all Federally-qualified subsistence users. The proposal also requests opening the hunt to all users if the population exceeds a threshold to be determined by State and Federal wildlife biologists maximizing harvest opportunity for all user groups. Delegating authority to Izembek National Wildlife Refuge to be able to announce harvest quotas and permit numbers each year to help ensure sustainable harvest and respond to changing conditions. ADF&G area

biologist stated that maintaining the current Federal regulations would likely result in another population eruption followed by habitat damage and subsequently a population crash like what has happened in the past. HE recommended a harvest of 25 bulls, the highest reported historical harvest from the Unimak Caribou Herd, which is 19. Managing the herd for a population threshold following years of conservation concerns as has been done by the Nushagak Caribou Herd, it is anticipated that the changes of another population crash will be minimized. The Izembek National Wildlife Refuge Manager has designated -- was designated to assist in conservation of the Unimak Caribou Herd, the delegation of authority letter can be found on Appendix 1 as stated earlier, will continue to apply with the proposed management of the herd for a population threshold.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to support Proposal WP22-38b with modification to establish a population threshold of 800 caribou.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Thank}}$  you, Madame Chair and members of the Council. I will be happy to address any questions at this time.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Are there any questions at this time.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So I do have a question.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, I got a question, Della. I was just curious on that crash out there that they claim, because we've been dealing with this for quite a years now on Unimak. You know at one point there we thought that the caribou were totally going to disappear from the island, I think we were down to like 76 caribou or something there, about four or five years ago. I guess I'm curious, the reason that it crashed, what was the reason that it crashed, was it because of predators or was it because of feed, I don't know, I'm just asking the question on that.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Can anybody

26 27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41 42

43

44 45

46

47 48

49

50

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: ADF&G.

MR. RINALDI: This is Todd Rinaldi with Fish and Game. I think Dave might have had to step away from his phone momentarily. Can you repeat the

MR. KOSO: You know during crashes, I'm not exactly sure I follow you guys on when you speak of crashes. Is that because of the feed, with too many caribou on the island or is that because of predator control. I know a few years ago, five or six years ago, we've been battling this caribou on Unimak and I think at one point it was down to 76 and there was talk that they may totally disappear on the island, so anyway if you can answer that question it'd be fine.

MR. RINALDI: Okay. Just to verify, Dave, are you on the phone?

> MR. CROWLEY: Yeah, I'm back.

MR. RINALDI: Okay.

MR. CROWLEY: Yeah, Madame Chair. answer the question, generally it's a number different responses to high density of caribou so they exceed their carrying capacity and of course the range conditions deteriorate, the nutrition level goes way down. Also when they're at a higher density they tend to be more stressed and they're more susceptible to disease as well as predation. So it's a number of factors that contributes to that decline once they, you know, once they exceed their range capacity.

Does that answer your question?

MR. RINALDI: And this is Todd, if I There was -- at the decline, when we started to see range effects and the decline did become pretty evident, the State had proposed removing predators from the landscape through predation control. That was never -- that never came to fruition. And it likely has slowed the recovery of the herd but we are seeing positive signs which is fortunately why we're having

this conversation here and we'll have a similar conversation at the Board of Game.

Thank you.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I'd like to footnote the last comment there. Because the Unit 9 showed an immediate response with the work that was done, in cow/calf immediate increase ratios, immediate increase in survival. And I believe the gossip I heard was when they asked to go to Unimak Island, the gal that was the head of the Refuge at Izembek said that if they went there they would be cited, and into court, and possibly be arrested and it was a pretty -- and that's just gossip but it was a pretty strong comment of not allowing any predator control, even though the people on the island there, I think Tom Hobletts' wife or one of his relatives there reported -- well, they were making comments to us then requesting that, that she had to leave the phone, run outside the house and gather her kids in because there was a wolf out in the yard stalking her kids and so that was pretty graphic but yet it never happened. And so there was a big increase in wolves on the island. And so we're seeing a much slower response. Just my personal opinion.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. And just to add to that, Ruth had only -- that was one example, but when you have five wolves outside the school during the day there's a problem. And locally they took care of the problem as well as they could.

And one thing I do question is you have a population threshold of 800 caribou, was there any current surveys done this year at this point in regard to the Unimak caribou and what those numbers look like.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  CROWLEY: Madame Chair, this is Dave Crowley.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

MR. CROWLEY: We did get a composition

survey done last fall on the island as well as a parturition survey this spring. The spring survey we were somewhat limited by weather in how high we could go up into the mountains. But from our composition survey we had very good calf/cow ratio, it was approximately -- it was 33 or 34 calves per 100 cows, which is quite good for Unimak compared to down in the single digits that we were, you know, a decade before. Bull/cow ratio was quite high, 80 bulls per 100 cows, so there's definitely some room for bull harvest in the herd. The approximate population size is 450 animals And I think with the calf survival that we're now. seeing now, that predation isn't having as much -- of an effect on the herd as in the past. I think they've kind of escaped that predator effect, so overall the herd is in very good shape.

And, Madame Chair, I do apologize for not having my phone on mute a little while ago, that's what I get for trying to multi-task.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, we'll let you go this time.

## (Laughter)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So my other question to you is on this OSM preliminary conclusion it says support with modification, establish a population threshold of 800 caribou, what is the population threshold currently for Unimak?

MR. CROWLEY: I'm not sure where that number came from, Madame Chair. I think we're ready to start harvesting this herd right now at a -- you know to a limited extent by registration or drawing permit, probably registration and closing by emergency order, if need be. But I don't know where the 800 threshold came from.

Thank you.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MADAME}}$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Are there any other questions.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, Madame Chair, this is Lisa.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Lisa.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, I just wanted to clarify the OSM conclusion. I mean first of all the Council submitted the proposal to establish population threshold, and so if you have a population threshold you have to have some number associated with it so that modification was mostly just in response to the proposal as submitted by the Council. And the reason OSM came up with that 800 number was that was the lower end of the population objective that was put out there by ADF&G. And I mean OSM, you know, we're open to what that population threshold would be, we just put 800 in there since there needs to be some number and it seemed to make the most sense since it's the lower end of the population objective range. But, again, that was the proposal as submitted by the Council, but, of course, it's up to the Council to decide what recommendation they want, whether they want to maintain the closure and then open it up if it exceeds a population threshold, or go ahead and remove the closure altogether.

Anyway, there's a lot of options out there. But just to clarify the OSM modification.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. The reason I'm asking this question is I see that 800 and then, you know, look at -- I think last fall it might have been, or the idea that there's 450 animals and what we saw in the past is that herd has been increasing close to 200 animals per year is what the past, maybe two years has shown. So if animals are at the -- let's say, 600, but we have a population threshold of 800, and the State is looking at a potential of a harvest by permit, and then looking at the potential for opening this for a C&T, larger group, I guess I'm a little bit -- I want to make sure whatever we do today, we have the correct information in this regulation.

 The other piece when I'm looking at this, the Unit 10 caribou, Federal public lands are closed to taking of caribou except, and then you cross out the residents, Federally-qualified users, unless the population estimate exceeds a threshold to be recognized by State and Federal management, and then this particular, it looks like 800, but it doesn't say to Units 9D and 10, subsistence users in 9D and 10.

So those are just my observations.

Anybody can -- I don't know if there's other Council, 2 Pat or Rick, what your comments are. 3 4 MR. KOSO: I don't have it in front of 5 me to look at it but, you know, I think you're 100 6 percent right on that. I'll follow your lead on that. 7 8 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. 9 10 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 11 12 MR. HOLMES: Could I discuss some 13 possible verbiage and see if that's reasonable and then 14 make it a motion. 15 16 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 17 18 MR. HOLMES: I like what is down under 19 the proposed regulation. Federal public lands are 20 closed to the taking of caribou except by Federally-21 qualified users and your suggestion of Unit 9 and 10, 22 unless the population estimate exceeds a threshold 23 recommended by the State and Federal management. And I 24 think just leaving it at that so that the State and 25 Feds can do their arm wrestling and come up with a 26 number -- 800 might be right but I don't have a clue. 27 28 Would that line of logic work? 29 30 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think at this 31 point we're just asking questions of the presentation 32 to see where we're at. 33 34 MR. HOLMES: Oh, roger that. 35 36 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Next is going to 37 be the report on Board consultation with tribes and 38 ANCSA Corporations. Orville. 39 40 MR. CROWLEY: Madame Chair, Dave 41 Crowley. 42 43 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Dave. 44 45 MR. CROWLEY: I need to mention that we 46 believe that people in Unit 9D really don't have any 47 reason to go to Unimak Island to hunt caribou because 48 the season in 9D is quite liberal and the bag limit is

three caribou. So folks that have this hunt, you know,

0243

49

```
0244
 1
    virtually in their backyards there's really no reason
    to travel down to Unimak Island where it's much more
    exposed and difficult to access and all that. So I
    don't think we should rely on Unit 9D residents to
 5
    really get after the Unimak caribou.
 6
 7
                    Thank you.
 8
 9
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think, Dave,
10
    we don't rely on it. But there are sometimes
11
     opportunities if these guys are fishing that way and
12
     salmon went well into September this year, and you have
13
     an opportunity on weather and closures and they observe
14
     caribou they may take that opportunity to do so.
15
16
                    MR. CROWLEY: Okay, yeah, I could see
17
     that.
18
19
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                             And it's not
20
     that we rely on it but that opportunity is there.
21
22
                    MR. CROWLEY: Roger that, thank you.
23
24
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Anyway, Orville,
25
     are you online.
26
27
                    MR. LIND: Yes, Madame Chair.
28
29
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Tribe and
30
    ANCSA Corporations.
31
32
                    MR. LIND:
                                  Again, no questions or
33
    comments during the consultation. Thank you.
34
35
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                               Thank you,
36
    Orville. Agency comments, ADF&G.
37
38
                    (No comments)
39
40
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Dave.
41
42
                    MR. CROWLEY:
                                    Nope, none -- nothing
43
    more from me, Madame Chair.
44
45
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Dave.
    Federal agency comments.
46
47
48
                    (No comments)
```

```
0245
 1
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Tribal comments.
 2
 3
                    (No comments)
 4
 5
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Advisory group
 6
    comments.
 7
 8
                    (No comments)
 9
10
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                             Regional
11
    Councils.
12
13
                    (No comments)
14
15
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So are there any
    other Regional Council comments.
16
17
18
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                    Sorry, is this
                                                       RAC
19
    members?
20
21
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                   Okay, sorry, this
22
    Rebecca.
23
24
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                   TRUMBLE: Go ahead,
25
    Rebecca.
26
27
                   MS. SKINNER: I have a comment and a
    question. My comment is that there was a little back
28
29
    and forth earlier about whether the language and the
30
    proposed regulation needs to
                                     be changed to add
31
    reference to Unit 9D, I don't think it does.
                                                   I think
32
    we basically took care of that with that last proposal.
33
    We were told that these proposals work together and
34
    Proposal 38a had to do with the population of people
35
    who can participate in the hunt and that 38b really
36
    talks about the harvest limits. So I think the
37
    language -- in my opinion, the language that's there
38
    covers people in Unit 10 and Unit 9D; that's what we
39
    just did with the last proposal.
40
41
                    My question is, I think it's to Mr.
42
    Crowley, I wanted to confirm if there's a concern with
43
    not enough hunting on this herd? I think that's what
44
    I'm hearing him say and I just want to confirm whether
    I understand that correctly or not. So if he could
45
46
    speak to that, and thenI may have a follow-up question.
47
48
                    MR. CROWLEY:
                                   This is Dave Crowley.
49
    Yes, that is a concern, not an immediate concern, but
```

certainly one for the next few years, assuming that the herd continues to increase. I think it's going to be pretty difficult to get the harvest we're after down there, so, yes.

4 5 6

7

9

11

12

13

MS. SKINNER: Okay, thank you. And so I guess I don't have a question. But my comment would be then it seems like the proposed change to this -- or the proposed regulation -- or the proposed change that's on the table goes in the right direction and that it opens this particular hunt to a wider group of people, and that would include residents of the communities that we spoke about under the last proposal.

14 15 16

Thank you.

17 18

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other Council comments.

19 20 21

(No comments)

22 23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47 48

49

50

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So let me throw something in here. I hear from Tom Hobblet who's a resident in False Pass. When we talked, about the question Rick had earlier about the crash of the Unimak caribou and what were the potential reasons for that, that contributed, and the landscaping, the habitat was part of it. The wolves, the predators is another big part of it. And we saw what the wolves did to Unit 9D. The other one that Tom had mentioned that was a big concern is when you have the -- and it was brought up earlier, the bear guides maybe going in, they fly in and they can take a caribou, he felt that that there was a large number of caribou being taken that way also. So I'm not -- and when we go through these steps as we did with 9D, as when that herd crashed, we did the subsistence use. The subsistence use and ability to take caribou continues today mainly because -- one main reason is the issue around being able -- the designated hunter, the ability to have someone as a designated hunter. And this works very well for people who, number 1, can't get out and hunt, or don't have the ability or boats or different things to do so, or an elder. And so when I look at this whole process, I'm comfortable with using and doing this for the subsistence purposes in the beginning and going on and opening this if, you know, if the State has something that they have, a proposal that they are going to

review to open this up. And at this point, except for hearing that we want to be able to make some decisions based on the fact that this herd gets to 800, so these things are in place.

So I guess I'm comfortable with taking the steps to continue with the Federally-qualified subsistence users. And as they step into this —because right now looking at 9D, the majority of what people are doing is under the State caribou program and I've done many, many, many permits for people locally to get their caribou tags to go hunting and very few actually do use the Federal one, however, they do use it. So that's just something to think about.

I don't have the correct answer right now except the opportunity to speak as a Regional Advisory Council member.

So is there any other comments.

(No comments)

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I will say this, though, you know, it's actually after all the years of -- and, Rick and Pat, we've been through this, the crashes and watching these herds come back and being in the discussions we are now, it's really positive, because people in these communities definitely depend a lot on and the ability to have these caribou.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$  I'm thankful we are having these discussions.

I guess where we're at, if there's no other comments, Fish and Game Advisory Committees.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  RINALDI: Actually Fish and Game does have one quick comment.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

MR. RINALDI: This is Todd Rinaldi for the record. Yeah, we just wanted to emphasize a point that Dave had made and it has to do with a point that you guys were discussing earlier and that has to do with that 800 threshold. You know, while we see this herd recovering, continue to grow, and we hope that it continues to grow, the State is concerned that that 800

threshold to let State hunters and non-resident hunters in there might surpass the trajectory of the herd. And what I mean by that is it might be past that point where hunting can control the growth of the herd, and so I would ask that you guys reconsider or discuss maybe something that does allow some State opportunity on this herd.

Thank you.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So Todd, maybe help me out a little bit here, sometimes I remember these things and sometimes I don't, we've been over these caribou things so much. So the State basically would work with the Federal to open any hunting that would allow on the Federal lands and the majority of Unimak, correct me, is basically Federal lands; is that correct?

 MR. RINALDI: That's correct. There is no State land to open up a State hunt, so any State opportunity that is provided would be in conjunction with the habitat land managers, the Refuge and -- yeah.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, thank you for that. And that's why they couldn't do the elimination of predator control on the wolf because there were not enough State lands, the bulk of it is all Federal and the Corporation lands.

MR. RINALDI: That's correct.

MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.

MS. SKINNER: I have a question.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

40 Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, thank you. This is Rebecca Skinner. My question is do we have to insert a number into this amendment, so do we have to put in 800 or a different number, or can we include language that indicates we expect the Refuge Manager and the corresponding State people to get together and figure out what that number is? So do we have to have an absolute number today or can we kind of delegate the

development of that number to the Refuge Manager working in conjunction with the State?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think if you just added right on that second section on the bottom where it says threshold to be recommended by State and Federal management instead of 800 caribou.

MS. SKINNER: Okay. So we do not need to include an actual number?

MS. GREDIAGIN: Madame Chair, this is 13 Lisa.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Lisa.

 MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, so an option the Council could pursue would be delegating authority to, I guess in this case probably the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge Manager, to announce that opening of the season on an annual basis. And then, I mean, that would be a criteria the Refuge Manager could use, is what the threshold, you know, what the current population of the caribou is and, of course, they would consult with ADF&G, and the Council Chair and, you know, some other entities on whether or not to open that season. So I think that is getting to Rebecca's question or concern about not having a set population number in regulations. But that would need to be clarified.

 The proposal, as submitted, could not go into Federal regulations. There would have to be some clarification about how that threshold is determined and whether or not the season's opened. But that clarification, instead of having a set number in regulations, could be delegated, you know, to an inseason manager.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And, also correct me if I'm wrong, Lisa, is there not in the Unimak Caribou Management Plan, is there a number listed in there?

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, I think Dave is probably more familiar with that than I am. But the reason OSM went with the 800 caribou is because that's the population objective that the ADF&G biologist recommended for the herd, 800 to 1,000 caribou. And

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

now, I mean I understand ADF&G's concern that once you open it -- if you don't open it until it reaches 800, the trajectory of the herd might already be beyond the point of where hunting could control that. But, yeah, I think at this point we'd either need to have a set in regulations, or just be number clear about delegating that authority to the Refuge Manager to decide when to open a season or not. Because currently in-season managers with, you know, delegated authority can close areas to non-Federally-qualified users if warranted, you know, for conservation concerns so that is within the wheelhouse of in-season managers to do. But, yeah, it would definitely need some sort of tweaking of the current language -- proposal language as submitted, it's not a full regulation that could be in the CFR.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And then back to the discussion of the term Federally-qualified subsistence users. When we did this with 9D, it was the ability to have a limited harvest of caribou that were under the population threshold on 9D. And the point, also brought up that it's tough for people in 9D to go to Unimak and harvest caribou.

242526

27

28

So given all that, does anybody have a recommendation in looking at this language and potentially what maybe it could read, given all the discussion?

29 30 31

MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca.

32 33

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

34 Rebecca. 35

> MS. SKINNER: Yeah, thanks. preference, and, unfortunately I don't have a sentence drafted up, but my preference for the proposed regulation would be to delegate authority to the Refuge Manager to work in conjunction with the State to come up with the threshold amount. The reason I think that makes sense rather than including a hard number is that just in this meeting we've had the last two days, my impression is that we've heard either different recommendations, or different trajectories as far as the caribou populations for these different areas. And with rapidly my concern is that changing environmental conditions that things are changing very fast, and my concern with putting a hard number in

there is, as has already been mentioned, it may be too late by the time we hit that trigger point, that number may not even be appropriate right now, or it might not be appropriate next year, because of changing conditions. And so if we delegate the authority to the Refuge Manager to make a call on an annual basis, I think that gives more flexibility to the management of this herd.

So I can come up with language, or maybe someone with OSM, I mean I think we could use similar language in something else we did in the last couple of years, I just don't have it in front of me. But if someone could supply that language I am happy to either make an amendment or include that in a motion.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. So I'm going to....

MR. KOSO: Della.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick.

MR. KOSO: I listened to Pat's proposal earlier there prior to all this discussion, and I think he had it hit pretty close on this proposal there if he could read it again maybe. Because I think he pretty much stated in his proposal what we've been talking about right here and maybe it needs to be tweaked a little but maybe if he can reenter his proposal that we had and we could go from there.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think, Rick, that that was -- we can't make a motion right now. I think we're in the discussion, Regional Council discussion to what is basically what is on record here on Page 83. And I do like what Rebecca was suggesting. But the question now is if someone can maybe make recommendation on how to reflect what the change would be and then someone could make a motion.

MS. HOLMAN: Madame Chair, this is Kendra.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

48 Kendra.

MS. HOLMAN: I was just going to bring it up that this was considered as an alternative within this analysis. And I've been just -- so you guys know, there is a little bit of language already, it's on Page 95, other alternatives considered, of your meeting books. It's not the regulatory language but there is a little bit of information on this as it was considered an alternative.

8 9 10

5

6 7

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Because the date for Unimak caribou is August 1st to September 30th, it doesn't open again like 9D does in November through March.

13 14 15

11

12

MR. CROWLEY: Madame Chair, this is Dave Crowley.

16 17 18

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Dave.

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

MR. CROWLEY: I have just a quick comment regarding the proposal that we are putting into the Board of Game. It's kind of a step-wise proposal so that we can manage the herd proactively as it grows. So, for example, if the harvestable portion is greater than 25, the harvest -- or the bag limit will be one bull. If the harvestable portion is greater than 100 then we go up to three. And then we've got one more step to go to four caribou if the harvestable portion is over 200. I just don't see us even getting to 100. Given the history of the reported harvest on the herd, you know, the highest ever reported that we saw was around 20 back about 20 years ago. Maybe the Subsistence Division has different harvest numbers but at any rate the harvest is low. But this proposal that we've got to the Board of Game is a means to deal with that outside of the regular board cycle to be able to increase the bag limit as the herd grows.

37 38 39

Thank you, Madame Chair.

40 41

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Dave.

42

43 MS. GREDIAGIN: Madame Chair, this is 44 Lisa.

45

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Lisa.

46 47

48 MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, I just wanted to 49 kind of add on to what Kendra and Dave were saying, is,

yeah, that other alternative considered suggested by OSM is similar to what Dave was just saying for the Board of Game proposal, where it would be to change the harvest limit from one bull, to change that to up to three caribou, and then delegate authority to the inseason manager to announce that harvest limit and sex restriction based on the stats of the herd. So, again, it allows that flexibility in management to respond to what the harvestable portion is each year. And so it provides more subsistence opportunity if the herd's doing well versus keeping it at one bull. And so it's kind of similar to what Dave was saying for the Board of Game proposal.

Thanks.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

18 Okay, so.....

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I think that we don't -- why do we need to state that it would be delegated to the Refuge Manager when that's already in regulation and it's already defined in the cooperative agreement, that the State and Federal government are working together on this. And to me, I think that Becky's a wonderful wordsmith, but I think that what we have in that description already, if it just needs a tweak of an adjective or something, would be fine. Where it says the qualified users -- subsistence users, unless the population estimate exceeds a threshold, as defined within the cooperative management plan, and just leave it at that. Because the State and the Feds have their cooperation and they'll come up with some agreement and let it be at that.

 I think 800 might be too much, 500 is probably too low. So I think it's an ambiguous things, and needs to be allowed to be ambiguous and to be resolved between the two management groups.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. Given that -- Rebecca, do you have any comments over what Pat just said.

```
0254
 1
                    MS. HOLMAN: Madame Chair.
 2
 3
                    MS. SKINNER: Thanks, Della. It was my
 4
    understanding from listening to the Staff report that
          language in the proposal as written is not
 5
     sufficient for regulations, that's why I suggested
 6
 7
    including specific delegation language. I believe that
    is what we were told and that is why I suggested that.
       also think somebody else was trying to make a
 9
10
    clarification, maybe they will speak to this issue.
11
12
                    (Teleconference
                                         interference
13
    participants not muted)
14
15
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.
16
17
                    MS. HOLMAN:
                                    Madame Chair, this is
18
    Kendra.
19
20
                    (Teleconference
                                         interference
21
    participants not muted)
22
23
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                    TRUMBLE:
                                                 Go ahead,
24
    Kendra.
25
26
                    REPORTER:
                                 Okay, before you start,
    Kendra. Hang on. Hang on.
27
28
                    MS. HOLMAN: So the current -- can you
29
30
    hear....
31
32
                    REPORTER: Hang on please. Everybody,
     I'm getting another conversation in the background I
33
34
    can hear. If we could all mute our phone so we could
35
    hear Kendra, and everybody could hear each other, it
    would be great. So please check your phone, make sure
36
37
    you're muted if your name is not Kendra.
38
39
                    Thank you.
40
41
                     (Teleconference
                                         interference
42
    participants not muted)
43
44
                    MS. HOLMAN: The current delegation of
45
     authority letter is to issue emergency and temporary
46
     special actions so that would need to be addressed for
47
     the alternative in regards to Pat's comment. It's not
48
     to manage. Currently it's only for those emergency and
49
     temporary special actions.
50
```

0255 1 MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, 4 Rebecca. 5 6 MS. SKINNER: So, Kendra, if I could 7 just make sure I'm crystal clear on what you're saying. There is currently delegation of authority in place, 8

would not apply to this regulation that we are talking about right now; is that correct?

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

9

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Katya, maybe you could help me with this. Because at the state-wide meeting, when this was discussed, and the ability to look to the Refuge Manager to make those decisions, for some reason I got the sense that if there was ability to harvest more the Federal Manager could make that determination. Am I wrong there, or basically can you help me out?

but it is only for emergency and special actions and

20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39 40

MS. GREDIAGIN: This is Lisa, I can address that question. So currently the Izembek National Wildlife Refuge Manager has the authority to set the harvest quota, close the season and set any permit conditions for caribou. They can also close and reopen Federal public lands to non-subsistence hunting. But the current regulation is -- the Federal regs are closed to everyone except False Pass and so the current proposal is to modify that closure to opportunity both under Federal regulations, so instead of just False Pass, expand it to all Federallyqualified users and then to open it to all users, so including people under State regulations if it exceeds a population threshold. And so my understanding is some Council members are suggesting, instead of having a set population threshold in regulation, to delegate that authority to the in-season manager so that the opening and closing of Federal lands under, you know, to non-Federally-qualified users could be determined on an annual basis versus having it fixed in regulations.

41 42 43

44

45 46 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: But for purposes of the Regional Councils, we can only recommend for use by Federally-qualified users, subsistence users and if it goes on to the State, basically, that's between the State and the Federal manager; is that correct?

47 48 49

MS. GREDIAGIN: Well, I mean, yeah, the

Regional Council has no authority over what the State regulations are, but Federal regulations can close Federal lands to non-Federal users, so effectively there'd be no hunting under State regulations if the Federal lands are closed. I mean so even if -- I mean currently there is no State season on Unimak Island, but if the State opens a season but Federal lands remain closed then effectively there's no -- there's still no State hunting.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, that's kind of what I was -- yeah. So Federal Staff, can somebody help us with this, given on all this discussion, on how best to do this proposal. It is 10 after 12:00 right now.

 MS. GREDIAGIN: This is Lisa. I mean it's really up to what the Council wants to do, whether the Council wants to change -- keep the population threshold at 800, change it to 600 or some lower number, or change it to delegate that closure, whether or not it's open, to an in-season manager. So it's really up to however the Council wants to proceed. And as long as we understand your intent we can work on the regulatory language.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: And I think that's where....

 $\mbox{MS. SKINNER:} \quad \mbox{Della, this is Rebecca,} \\ \mbox{may I speak} \\$ 

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah. So I think I can clarify where we are. My understanding is from what everyone has said on the Council, we don't want to set a hard number, we want to delegate. I think what Della was just asking, is do you have a recommendation for language that we can include in our motion to make sure that this regulation does what we are intending. So I don't think anyone is disagreeing that what we're wanting the Refuge Manager, or whomever, we want them to be able to make that call on an annual basis, or not be locked in to one number. So what is the regulatory language that will allow us to do that. And then I think Pat raised the question, is any language necessary at all. What I'm understanding from you is that, yes, we do need some language about delegating

authority, now we're just looking for what is that language so that we can appropriately include it in our motion.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And basically with OSM's preliminary conclusion, support Proposal WP22-38b with modification to establish a population threshold, not of 800 caribou, but to be recommended by State and Federal management, or in-season manager. Would that work, if we just put in-season manager?

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, this is Lisa. And, I mean your intent is perfectly clear and so, honestly, off the top of my head, I can't say exactly what the best regulatory language would be. But, yeah, we can finesse it later, or if the Council really wants to we could -- I could think about it over lunch and get back to you, but I think your intentions are clear and so we can work on what the exact wording would be in regulation later.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, so let's go ahead and do this, let's go ahead and break for lunch. And then come back with -- because we are at the point of making a motion, so that's the question, of the language of the motion. So why don't we just take a break and maybe come back about 1:15, and hopefully we will have the wording. Is that agreeable?

MS. SKINNER: Thank you, Della.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca, is that good?

 MS. SKINNER: Yeah, that's perfect. And if our discussion on the record is enough I'm comfortable with that. I just didn't want us to make a motion thinking that, you know, we'd have this new regulation go into place and then it turns out that we didn't include some sort of magic language that we needed and the regulation was no good. So I'm just trying to avoid that situation. But, yeah, I think your plan sounds great.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thanks. Everybody we'll go ahead and recess until 1:15.

0258 1 Thank you. 2 3 (Off record) 4 5 (On record) 6 7 MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair, that's the 8 polling of your Council, and as I said there's an email 9 with the modified language but I'm sure Lisa can cover 10 it if people do not have access to their email. 11 12 Thank you. 13 14 Thank you, MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: 15 Vince. And, maybe, Lisa, are you online? 16 17 MS. GREDIAGIN: Hi, Madame Chair, yep, 18 this is Lisa. 19 20 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I see the 21 language in my email but I don't think Rick has access 22 to email so I would just go ahead, for the record, read 23 your recommendation. 24 25 MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, okay, sorry, just 26 a moment. I accidentally closed it. Let me bring it 27 back up here. 28 29 MR. KOSO: I got it Della. 30 31 MS. GREDIAGIN: Okay, I have it open 32 now. So it would be support WP22-38b with modification the 33 closure from to remove the unit-specific 34 regulations and delegate authority to the Izembek 35 National Wildlife Refuge Manager to open and close 36 Federal public lands to non-Federally-qualified users 37 annually based on the current population status of the 38 Unimak Caribou Herd in consultation with ADF&G via 39 delegation of authority letter only. 40 41 And then in the document I sent it also 42 has, you know, the modified regulation should read with 43 the Federal lands closure language crossed out and then 44 the delegation of authority letter attached with the additional language bolded and highlighted under scope 45 46 of delegation. 47 48 CHAIR TRUMBLE: So basically what this

does is allows the Refuge Manager to say -- I think

49

currently there was a number of like four bulls by subsistence last -- I don't know if that remains in place, I can't remember what remains in place currently, but this allows for -- because one bull by Federal registration permit and that -- is that basically -- does the Refuge Manager say that you could take 10 Unimak -- or what exactly -- I'm a little confused now?

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, so currently the Izembek manager has authority to set the harvest quota, close the season and set any needed permit conditions. So for example, the manager could say the Federal quota this year is 10 bulls and then she would close the season if those 10 bulls were harvested under Federal additional language would add regulations. Then additional authority -- or this would delegate additional authority to the manager to open and close Federal public lands to non-Federally-qualified users on an annual basis, based on the current population status of the Unimak Caribou Herd in consultation with ADF&G. So this is the alternative to Federal lands being closed unless the herd exceeds the population threshold, and so instead of having a set number in regulations it would be -- you know this would be more flexible where the in-season manager would open or close Federal lands to people under State regulations based on the population status of the herd that year.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.

MS. GREDIAGIN: So it doesn't change

any....

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Basically in the book it says one bull by Federal registration permit, FC10003, Federal public lands are closed to the taking of caribou except by residents of False Pass, August 1st to September 30th. So this is basically saying that — is changing it to not being closed, to remove the closure of the unit. Does anybody have any comments in regards to the language?

MS. MELENDEZ: Hello, Madame Chair and Council members. This is Letecia at Izembek National Wildlife Refuge and I am sitting in for Refuge Manager Maria Fosado. And based upon what I'm hearing the language that gives the seasonal Refuge Manager the option to consult with ADF&G for hunt numbers, harvest

0260 numbers, the language that I'm hearing so far sounds as though it would be appropriate but I'm willing to listen to further comments before making a final 4 decision. 5 6 Thank you. 7 8 MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair. 9 10 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Vince. 11 12 MR. MATHEWS: To Izembek, I didn't 13 catch your name, I can share your email so you have it, 14 unless Lisa's already done it, you would have the 15 language that the Council is looking at for action on this proposal but I didn't catch your name. 16 17 18 MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, I just forwarded 19 it to -- I just forwarded it to her. 20 21 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. All right, that's 22 great. And, again, for the Refuge Staff there, I'm 23 sure the Council, any time you have questions you can 24 request the Chair to recognize you because this is an 25 important action. 26 27 Thank you. 28 29 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Vince, I 30 would like to hear some of the Federal Staff, have you 31 reviewed that language and what are your comments? 32 33 (No comments) 34 35 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Anyone. 36 37 MR. MATHEWS: Well, I'll jump in here. 38 I think what this is doing is it maintains the current 39 season and Federal register permit, that didn't change. 40 It's just taking out the closure and then it is in the 41 delegation of authority letter, it's adding in there 42 for the scope of delegation to open and close Federal 43 public lands to non-qualified users, annually, and 44 what you discussed earlier is an annual that's approach, based on current population status of the 45 46 Unimak Caribou Herd in consultation with Alaska 47 Department of Fish and Game.

48 49

50

So listening to you this morning it

seems to align with what you wanted, that the 800 number was, you know, questionable and paraphrasing Pat, 500 may be too little, so this would allow more direct action annually by the managers, Alaska Department of Fish and Game and Refuge Manager. So that's how I understand it, Lisa, can correct me or add on

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So I guess where I'm at with this, Vince, is if we look at the language in the proposed regulation, the language has changed technically. And then you have the OSM preliminary conclusion now. So that's kind of where I'm at, since this language has changed, do we need -- what is the proper procedure. A motion to accept the language as modified and then I still would question OSM's preliminary conclusion, whether they approve the recommended language.

 MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, Della, this is Lisa. So the modified language that you received from Vince that I worked on over lunch and I just read into the record, that is what my understanding of what the Council, you know, wanted, a reflection of your intent, and I just, you know, kind of formalized it into what the regulations would actually read and look like, you know, in the CFR and the delegation of authority letter. So if the Council agrees with the modified language I sent then you could just adopt that, or maybe it's not an accurate reflection of what the Council's desire is.

As far as the OSM preliminary conclusion goes, OSM was just responding to the proposal as submitted, to identify that population threshold, and so OSM suggested 800 based on the current population objectives, but based on feedback from ADF&G and Council concerns, I mean OSM is not —we don't feel super strongly about that 800 number, we were just putting something out there since that was what the proposal submitted was for.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.

MS. GREDIAGIN: So OSM, as far as their preliminary conclusion goes, we're pretty flexible to that.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you

0262 for the clarification. 2 3 MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca. 4 5 MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair. 6 7 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Vince. 8 9 Okay. I think you were MR. MATHEWS: asking where you can proceed from here. And this is 10 11 your proposal, you submitted it, and even if it was 12 submitted by someone else, you can modify the proposal. 13 So everything is on the table as far as your proposal 14 to have Federally-qualified users, et cetera, 15 cetera, and establish a threshold. So it's within your purview to pass a motion adopting, you know, the 16 17 language that Lisa provided. 18 19 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. 20 21 MR. MATHEWS: It's there. And then the 22 Refuge Manager is online, and I hope the Alaska 23 Department of Fish and Game, and then the management 24 biologist is online and I'm sure they will share if 25 they have any comments on this language. 26 27 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. So would 28 anyone like to entertain a motion, if someone would 29 make a motion basically to support WP22-38b with 30 modification to remove the closure from the unit-31 specific regulation and delegate authority to the 32 Izembek Refuge Manager to open and close Federal lands 33 to non-Federally-qualified users annually based on the 34 current population status of Unimak Caribou Herd in 35 consultation with ADF&G Staff via delegation authority letter only, and then see revised delegation 36 37 of authority -- so that's there -- so does any one of the Council members want to make a motion to that 38 39 effect. 40 41 MR. HOLMES: Move to accept. 42 43 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Motion made by 44 Pat, do I hear a second. 45 46 MR. KOSO: Second, Della.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Second by Rick

47 48

49

50

Koso. Question.

1 MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca. 2 I have a couple of questions I wanted to ask.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rebecca.

5 Rel

MS. SKINNER: Thank you. So my first question is, and unfortunately this is not the language in front of us, but in the language in the book for the proposed regulation is close the taking of caribou except by Federally-qualified subsistence users. I wanted to confirm, are those Federally-qualified subsistence users only the groups that we dealt with in the last proposal that had to do with the C&T, or is this all Federally-qualified subsistence users all throughout the state of Alaska. So that's my first question, and if I can get that answered then I'll go on to my second.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Hey, Rebecca, this is Lisa. And, yes, the Federally-qualified subsistence users are only the ones that would have the customary and traditional use determination. So if the Board adopts the Council's recommendation that you made for 38a, then those would be the communities and the qualified users, you know, that would apply to this Proposal 38b.

MS. SKINNER: Okay. So I guess I have more of a comment then. It seems like the language that was emailed out over lunch and I think that is currently on the floor strikes me as much more broad. So it delegates the authority to open and close Federal public lands to non-Federally-qualified users. And so we've gone from -- this is how I'm viewing it, from allowing harvest by residents of False Pass, the original proposal in the book then expanded that basically to the Aleutians East Borough, and now the proposed language is going, in my opinion beyond that, to non-Federally-qualified users which is a whole different group altogether. However, if the Council went ahead and moved forward with the language that was sent out over lunch, does that give the Refuge Manager the authority to only allow harvest by residents of the Aleutians East Borough? So basically does the proposed language provide very broad discretion but within that the Refuge Manager could say, all right, I'm going to open a hunt, I feel like -- I've consulted with the State, there's enough caribou there that we can open a

hunt but it's only going to be for residents of the Aleutians East Borough, or the residents that have the C&T determination. Can you clarify that?

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, Rebecca this is Lisa. In the proposed language, if the Refuge Manager closes Federal public lands to non-Federally-qualified users then it would only be open to the people with the customary and traditional use determination. So it would only be open to the Federally-qualified users which in this case would be the residents of Unit 9D, False Pass, Akutan. So I think it's just different ways of saying the same thing, you know, a closure to non-Federally-qualified users is the same as being open only to Federally-qualified users. So it's kind of the same as in the.....

MS. SKINNER: Okay. But I.....

MS. GREDIAGIN: ....proposed regulations except.....

MS. SKINNER: Right. Well, no -- but I want to clarify because I want to make sure we're not skipping a step. Because the proposal that's in the book specifies that the hunt would be open to Federally-qualified users. We have no skipped that language entirely, it's nowhere in the proposed language, and we've now going to opening and closing lands to non-Federally-qualified users. So is it already assumed that the Federally-qualified users will have access to a hunt or do we need an actual regulation that says that. Because like I said, our original change was going from the current regulation which only allows hunt by False Pass and we were going to expand it to the rest of the communities in Aleutians East Borough. The current language on the floor, as far as I can tell, doesn't do that.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, so my -- it just does it in a different way. My understanding from the Council -- the Council was concerned about putting some population threshold, like an actual number in regulation, you guys wanted more flexibility, and the way to gain that flexibility is to delegate authority to an in-season manager to, you know, open and close, on an annual basis. So if there's something in unit-specific regulation then it would either need to be a hard number, or you could just say Federal public lands

are closed to the taking of caribou except by Federally-qualified subsistence users, and that doesn't provide any flexibility for opening based on current population status of the herd. And so currently what's in regulations, except by residents of False Pass, that's actually a Section .804 restriction, where it's only open to False Pass, not all Federal users with C&T.

So sorry, I guess -- I feel like maybe I'm not quite answering you question, so sorry if you need to restate something. But I think it's just getting at it in a different way. It's achieving what your intent is but it's just -- yeah, maybe a little confusing how it's worded in the regulation.

MS. SKINNER: So my question is the language that was sent out at lunch, does that provide for a hunt by all the Federally-qualified users? Because that phrase, Federally-qualified users, has been removed so that language is not in the language that was sent out at lunch. So I just want to make sure that if we move forward with this, is this actually broadening the people who can hunt from just False Pass to all the other Federally-qualified users that we talked about before lunch.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Oh, yes.

MS. SKINNER: So I think what you're telling me is, yes, it's assumed, then that's okay,I just want to make sure.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yep. Yep, it's assumed, yeah.

MS. SKINNER: Okay, thank you.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: This is Della. When we have the ability to request and ask for harvest for Federally-qualified subsistence users in the communities of Unit 9D and 10, we don't have any authority to make any actions for any non-Federally-qualified users. That's something that's between the State and the Federal government, if the State wants to open it up for getting permits to harvest caribou on, in this case, Unit 10, which the majority is Federal lands, but we don't have anything to do with that. We don't make that decision; is that correct, that's

between the State and the Feds?

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, Della, this is Lisa. The Council and the Federal Program have nothing to do with the actual State regulations. So I mean if the Board of Game approves opening a season and harvest limit, then, yes, the Federal Program and the Councils don't have anything to do with that. But the Federal regulations can close Federal public lands to people hunting hunter State regulations. And so since Unimak Island is basically all Federal land, if there's a Federal lands closure then that effectively eliminates anyone hunting under State regulations, even if there is an open State season.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So when you open -- the Refuge Manager -- all Refuge Managers have that authority, that was passed by the Board, there's no question there what their authority is. I think the thing that -- and I was -- that Rebecca was saying and I have a problem with is the term, open and close Federal lands to non-Federally-qualified users, when we were making this for Federally-qualified subsistence users unless the population estimate exceeds. bigger question was limiting or putting a number on that population threshold. So I think going back to this and saying with modification to establish a recommended by population threshold, as State and Federal management, I'm more comfortable with that language than what's in front of me right now.

And maybe it's just clearer when I see it and read it that way and not reading between the lines, if someone wants to read between the lines.

I don't know.

MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Vince.

MR. MATHEWS: Maybe, and Lisa can -maybe the language in there has to be -- it seems like
you and Rebecca are concerned that there's not language
in there about threshold, but there's language in there
about population status. So I don't know if that would
help, that the language would change to threshold level
or something like that. I hope this helps but....

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, Della, this is Rebecca. So just to clarify. I am not concerned that the word threshold isn't in there, what I'm concerned about is, from my perspective, we went from a proposal that slightly broadened the eligible hunters rom False Pass to include False Pass plus this other very limited list of communities with the C&T determination; that's what we were trying to do. The language that's currently in front of us completely removes the closure altogether. So to me that's a much bigger step than what I think was in the original proposal.

 But, yes, part of what we're talking about is instead of using a set number like 800 caribou, we want a threshold to be recommended by the people who are working most closely with the stock numbers and so that gets to the delegation of authority.

But the issue I'm having right now doesn't have to do with including the word threshold, or even talking about a threshold, it's because this seemed to go from opening the door to a little bit wider to throwing the door wide open. I mean that's how I'm interpreting this language.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  GREDIAGIN: Madame Chair, this is Lisa, if I could respond to that.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

 MS. GREDIAGIN: I think there's just confusion about how this delegation of authority works. So it's not throwing the door wide open, as Rebecca's concern, it's just putting it in the hands of the Refuge Manager. And so it would be up to the manager to decide whether or not to open or close Federal lands to those non-Federally-qualified users. And so that's the difference, is before it said, you know, a population -- Federal lands are closed, except by Federally-qualified users, unless there's a population threshold, but since the Council isn't comfortable with stating a hard number in regulations and delegating that to the manager to decide, then it's up to the manager to decide whether or not to open or close those Federal lands on an annual basis.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: But basically the Federal manager already has that authority?

MS. GREDIAGIN: I mean the Federal manager has authority to close to non-Federally users but this gives more direction on closing it on the population status of the herd and consulting with Fish and Game on that. You know the language that's currently in, you know, the standard language in all delegation of authority letters, it's kind of covering bases in an emergency situation, it's not really expected to be used on an annual basis kind of like, you know, the setting harvest quotas, as something done routinely every year, where a closure to non-Federally users, that's not a routine thing, that's a very -something, yeah, done in an unforeseen emergency circumstance. Whereas this modification is making that Federal land closure much more routine because it's understood it's going to change based on population status of the herd.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So basically our request was to include the other communities as part of -- recognizing them as part of the Federally-qualified users, not non-Federally-qualified users, and that's where I'm not comfortable with that language. We passed a customary and traditional use determination recognizing two more communities as part of the Federally-qualified user communities for Unit 10, and then we're taking this and going to open this to non-Federally-qualified users based on the delegation of authority of the Refuge Manager. So now I'm -- that's why I'm saying, now I'm more confused than when we started with this because we just totally went on the other side of the road here and I'm not sure where the middle ground it.

MR. RINALDI: This is Todd Rinaldi.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$  GREDIAGIN: I mean if it makes the Council....

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Lisa.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  RINALDI: This is Todd Rinaldi with Fish and Game, could I add something to help clarify.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

MR. RINALDI: Yeah, so and I'm going to be speaking a little bit on behalf of the Refuge here because I'm going to explain a little bit how the

process works -- or how we perceive the process from the State perspective.

So with the changed language, what it basically is going to do is it's going to remove the regulation that currently has a closure for non-Federally-qualified hunters in that unit. And the Federal manager has that authority under its delegation of authority currently. So even though that gets removed, the default will be that those Federally-qualified hunters that you just discussed in the previous proposal, those folks would be qualified to hunt on Unimak in years when the harvestable surplus was not high enough to open it up to all users, basically non-Federally-qualified users. So that would be the default.

And so in years where there is a harvestable surplus that's going to exceed the ability of Federally-qualified hunters to reach that seasonal quota, we would be talking with the Federal managers about opening it up under our existing registration hunt that we have proposed to the Board of Game, that would then allow additional State hunters to come in and hopefully optimize that harvest so that the herd doesn't get away from us as it grows.

So I guess the key thing for me is it's by default -- the way the Federal system is set up, by default, Federally-qualified hunters are going to get the first option unless the State and the -- the State has data to justify that the harvestable surplus is greater. And as we discussed early this morning, we are concerned and I believe the Federal U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is concerned about this herd growing too fast and our inability to reign it in.

So Federally-qualified hunters would not lose their option, they would still -- even if it was opened up to non-Federally-qualified people, you would still have the ability to hunt it as a Federally-qualified hunter, and if there was a State hunt in place you could -- and/or use the State option to hunt on that.

I'm hoping that didn't confuse anyone. I'm hoping that helped clarify it.

MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca,

can I ask another question.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: Thanks. So, yeah, part of my challenge is I didn't have the subsistence regulation book in front of me. So my question is -- or, I guess, statement first. Currently caribou is -- so it says, Federal public lands are closed to the taking of caribou, except by residents of False Pass. So nobody can hunt caribou in Unit 10 except for residents of False Pass. If we proceeded with the language that's on the floor right now, that closure would be completely lifted. Does that mean that any Federal subsistence user could go in and hunt caribou, or is it limited to only the communities with a C&T determination, and if it's limited, where does it say that?

MS. GREDIAGIN: Rebecca, this is Lisa. And it would be limited to only those communities with a customary and traditional use determination to hunt under Federal regulations. And, you know, I guess it's just kind of an understanding of Federal regulations, I can't point to exactly where it says that in the CFR or the regulation booklet, you know, off the top of my head, but it's just a basic tenant of the Federal Program, is that the Federal regulations only apply to people with a customary and traditional determination. Now that wouldn't affect people hunting under State regulations, this current modification is delegating authority to the in-season manager to make those determinations on a State hunter.

MS. SKINNER: Okay. So with that clarification, understanding that even with this language it's still only open to residents of communities with a C&T determination, I guess that does answer one concern I had.

Thank you.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So basically if you look in the regulation book on Page 55 it has caribou, Unit 10, residents, Akutan, False Pass, King Cove and Sand Point. What we did earlier is add Cold Bay and Nelson Lagoon. The actual harvest — under harvest limits it shows, Federal public lands are

closed to the taking of caribou except residents of False Pass. Now, I'm going to be a little bit -well, I'm going to be honest because -- and I'm going to tell you what my discomfort is in doing this. Is I'm not comfortable with this language on the non-Federalqualified user without discussing this with the community of False Pass. Opening this to Federallyqualified users, I'm okay with that. I'm not okay going beyond that without talking to people in False Pass. If that happens, that's where the State piece comes in, in here, and that's between the State and the community of False Pass and the Refuge Manager. that's where I'm having a bit of problem with this language. And I'm not sure -- that's just -- yeah, that is basically what I have a problem with.

I feel like we just took a big leap here and I understand the reasoning behind it but I just don't know that I agree with it. That's my discomfort level with it.

So maybe Pat or Rick, what are your thoughts? Pat you've been a part of this process with us on Unimak forever so what are your thoughts?

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, Pat here. I can see all the sides here on the discussion. Initially -- I don't think the way it is written for a proposal or written, the suggested writing from Staff, at all, excludes the preference for folks at False Pass or the priorities that we set up before. I think this redefinition is just trying to find a way to address a population threshold. I think that it could just easily be left that way and it still would make sense to me.

One question I have on the suggested writing, regardless of what happens, is, it says Federal qualified users, annually, based on current population status, which is the whole thing, is that the Refuge Manager would make that judgment. But I don't know that having been involved in resource management, that you want to lock it in to only an annual basis because you've got spring and fall surveys, something might happen to reverse the decision, so I think if you just dropped annual, to me it works fine. Or you could just dropped the annual and just take a little bit of the verbiage from that first proposal and I think it would give you what you

want.

In the general description at the top of the page, 83, that pretty well defines that it would remain within the residents of that neck of the woods, and then you go to Step B, and then Step C after consultation with the State.

So I guess it's up to you folks to get comfortable, but the one phraseology that I would ask to reconsider is, annual, because we want our Refuge Manager to be able to make that decision whenever the information becomes available, that it's necessary. Because you could start out based on the spring but then find out, oh, gee, there was a mistake or something could happen and then you'd want to reverse it or reduce it so I think just leaving it in the manager's pocket is the way to do it.

## So I'd toss it to Rick.

MR. KOSO: Hi Della. I agree with Pat and most all of what he said there. You know I think we agreed to go ahead and let the Federal and the State manage this and, you know, we have to trust them to a certain degree. I know we've gotten tied up on a couple of issues but we have to start somewhere. And so, you know, I still stand with the Pat's motion there and I think we could beat on this forever. I think we'll never become satisfied 100 percent because I think there's always a little bit distrust with the people land the Feds and also the people in the State.

So that's where I stand. I just think that we have to start somewhere and I think with the State and the Feds working together they'll make sure that the caribou, you know, stay plentiful for all hunters and if it isn't then, you know, the residents of the area there get the priority. So that's where I stand on this whole thing.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rick. Coral, do you want to make a comment.

MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, I guess my thoughts on this are it's been a long conversation and it seems like what people want is not quite pinpointed. It seems like there's some grey areas in interpretation. And I guess what I would recommend is

7

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

not moving this forward and maybe put it into -- oh, and also you were saying you weren't changing some kind of language without talking to the people in the area, and I think that's always our concern there, the people in the area are the ones that are going to have to live with this, so if we're not comfortable with it yet and we're not comfortable with the language, I think maybe it head to a work group and really get that to where --I think it's possible to get it to a place where people want it and I think that's how we should go about it. Get it to a place where we want it, where the wording's good, where people in the living area are going to be able to live with it and make it clear. That's another thing, too, is clarity. It's hard enough reading all State subsistence and Federal subsistence, sporthunting, guiding, fishing, it's hard enough reading all the regulations. Regulations should be clear to everyone and if we don't have that clarity I don't think it's ready to be voted on.

19 20 21

Thank you.

2223

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

24 25

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  HOLMES: Question for Staff. Della, this is Pat.

262728

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

29 30

31 32

33

34

35

MR. HOLMES: What's the timeline on these proposals. Do they have to be done with our Council here this fall in order to make it to the Board, in order to make a decision for next year? There's a timeline here and I don't know that going to a work group, is that going to bugger it up. Thank you.

36 37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, thanks, Pat. Through the Chair, this is Lisa. And the Board will be considering this proposal in April. So the Council could theoretically take this up again in spring, or winter, you know, February or March, before the Board would meet in April. I mean so, yeah, if the Council really wants to go that route it's a possibility. You know typically the fall meeting is the time to put their recommendations on the record and that gives Staff time to include it in the analysis and send it out for review and get comments from the State and the ISC Committee, but there have been times where

proposals have come back before Councils at their winter meetings before the Board meets in April.

2 3 4

MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair.

5 6

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Vince.

7

9

10

11

12

MR. MATHEWS: Yes, you guys are looking at, which you'll confirm, that your meeting would be February 22nd and 23rd, so there is, as Lisa laid out, time there before the Federal Board meeting in April. So there is time there. And that's it. I gather there's some phone line problems.

13 14 15

16

17

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I don't know if there's any phone line problems. But basically --well, it's up to the Council. Rebecca, what are your thoughts, and then Natasha.

18 19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, thanks, Della, this So, yeah, I apologize, not having that is Rebecca. regulation book in front of me until about 10 minutes ago was really hindering my comprehension, my full comprehension of exactly how this regulatory language would come out. So after looking at the regulation book, the C&T usage for Unit 10 includes residents of Akutan, False Pass, King Cove and Sand Point, so those are the communities that are listed as eligible -- this So if is my interpretation, eligible to get caribou. the closure, the closure right now, only allows False Pass, if that closure were lifted, then any of those other communities, plus the ones that we talked about for a C&T determination would be eligible to hunt.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

So I think I've come around to agreeing with Lisa's statement that the proposed language is really just a different way of saying -- so instead of -- we kind of limited it -- we said, well, we're opening it to this specific list of places. proposed language says, well, we open it to everyone who's qualified, which is the same as the list of places that we had, so I think it is saying the same I would be comfortable with this language thing. because I think that it does represent the intent of the original proposal and reflects the conversation today and the desire to not have a set number of animals like 800, but to allow the in-season managers to make that decision through delegated authority. However, if the rest of the Council did want to form a

0275 working group to make sure that we're clear on this, I am okay with that as well. It sounds like we do have time to do that. 4 5 Thank you. 6 7 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. 8 9 Natasha. 10 11 MS. HAYDEN: Thanks, Madame Chair. I 12 am okay with either as well, moving forward with the 13 way it is or if others wanted to go the route of having 14 a working group. I'd go along with either. 15 16 Thanks. 17 18 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. So do I 19 hear a motion to approve WP22-38b as recommended on the 20 -- amendment -- modifications. 21 22 MR. HOLMES: On question. Are we 23 leaving the annual in there or does that mess things up 24 for the manager? 25 26 I MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: think 27 basically they set a limit..... 28 29 MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, Pat, this is..... 30 31 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Do you not set a 32 limit annually and then close by emergency order if 33 there was a reason too? Aren't these harvest limits 34 set annually? 35 36 MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, Pat, this is 37 I mean having the word annually in there 38 probably doesn't make too much difference one way or 39 the other, just kind of clarify that this decision will 40 be made routinely, you know, each year, each season. 41 Yeah, I guess it'd be up to the manager whether they'd 42 really feel like in the middle of the season they would 43 need to change because of new information coming in, 44 but I don't think it would limit them one way or the 45 other if that word annual is in there or not. 46 47 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, Pat, back. That's 48 fine. That explains it, thank you.

```
0276
 1
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. We've got
 2
    to get moving guys or we're going to be here all night.
    Do I hear a motion to approve WP22-38b
 4
    modifications.
 5
 6
                    MR. HOLMES: This is Pat.....
 7
 8
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                   Della, I think you
 9
    already had a motion on the table.
10
11
                    MR. HOLMES:
                                  .....Madame Chair, I'd
12
    like to move that we do.....
13
14
                    MS. SKINNER: Yeah, if Vince could just
15
    confirm, I think we already have a motion on the table.
16
17
                    MR. MATHEWS: Yes, we do.
18
19
                    MR. HOLMES: Okay. With permission of
20
    the second I'd like to withdraw the first motion and
    then present the one that we've just worked out for
21
    consideration by our Council.
22
23
24
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, I could rescind the
25
    second, too.
26
27
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.
                                                    So Pat
28
    you're going to make a new motion supporting the
29
    modification?
30
31
                    MR. HOLMES: Yes. Yes.
32
33
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.
34
35
                    MR. HOLMES: Just the way it was just
36
    presented by the Staff and we've discussed for probably
37
    almost an hour. I'd like to make that wording the
38
    motion.
39
40
                    Thank you, Madame Chair.
41
42
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Do I hear a
43
    second to the motion.
44
                    MR. KOSO: I'll second, Della.
45
46
47
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Motion made and
48
    seconded. Discussion.
```

0277	
1	(No comments)
2	
3	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Question.
4 5	MR. KOSO: Call for the question.
6	
7 8	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Roll call.
9	MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Madame Chair, I'll
10	read what I understand the latest motion is and I
11	apologize if I get it wrong. It's basically, if I
12	understand correctly, it was the language that was
13	provided in an email from OSM Staff and it reads:
14	
15	Support WP-38b with modification to
16	remove the closure from the unit-specific regulations
17	and delegate authority to the Izembek National Wildlife
18	Refuge Manager to open and close Federal public lands
19	to non-Federally-qualified users annually based on the
20	current population status of the Unimak Caribou Herd in
21	consultation with the Alaska Department of Fish and
22	Game via delegation of authority letter only.
23	
24	And that would result in the existing
25	language of crossing out or eliminating the language,
26	Federal public lands are closed to the taking of
27	caribou except by residents of False Pass and it
28	maintains the current harvest of one bull by Federal
29	registration permit with season dates of August 1
30	through September 30th.
31	
32	So that is what I understand. If the
33	mover and the second, if that captures it, then I
34	believe there's a question on the floor to vote.
35	
36	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: The question has
37	been called, Vince, I wasasking for the roll call vote.
38	
39	MR. MATHEWS: Okay, thank you. Let me
40	get my list here. All right.
41	
42	So we'll start I don't know if
43	Melissa's on. Melissa.
44	
45	(No comments)
46	
47	MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Natasha.
48	
49	MS. HAYDEN: Yes.
50	

```
0278
 1
                    MR. MATHEWS: Thank you. Della.
 2
 3
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah. Yes, I
 4
    guess.
 5
 6
                    MR. MATHEWS: We'll take that as a yes
 7
     then? Okay, Rebecca.
 8
 9
                    MS. SKINNER: Yes.
10
11
                    MR. MATHEWS: Thank you.
12
13
                    Coral.
14
15
                    MS. CHERNOFF: No.
16
17
                    MR. MATHEWS: Rick.
18
19
                    (No comments)
20
21
                    MR. MATHEWS: Rick, are you online.
22
23
                    (No comments)
24
25
                    MR. MATHEWS: Okay, I'll come back to
26
     Rick.
27
28
                    Pat.
29
30
                    MR. HOLMES: Yes.
31
32
                    MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Rick, are you back
33
    online.
34
35
                    MR. KOSO: Yes, I said yes on that,
36
    this is Rick.
37
38
                    MR. MATHEWS: So you voted yes?
39
40
                    MR. KOSO: Yes.
41
42
                    MR. MATHEWS: Thank you. So the motion
43
    passed -- the amended motion passes with five to one.
44
45
                     Thank you.
46
47
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. The next
48
     item on the agenda is statewide proposal, WP22-01.
49
```

MS. KENNER: Are you ready for me.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, and it's on Page 28 of your papers, or the book. Go ahead.

MS. KENNER: Shall I begin?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

 MS. KENNER: Okay. Good afternoon, Madame Chair and members of the Council. As Della just said this proposal analysis begins on Page 28 of your Council books that were sent to you and is also available at the Federal Subsistence Management Program web page at doi.gov/subsistence/regions, look for the Kodiak/Aleutian region meeting materials. My name is Pippa Kenner and I'm an Anthropologist at the Office of Subsistence Management in Anchorage.

So this proposal, 22-01 was submitted by the Office of Subsistence Management and requests to clarify who is and who is not a participant in a community harvest system. First, I'll describe community harvest systems in Federal regulations. Community harvest systems generally allow hunters to harvest animals up to a community harvest limit. Individual harvest limits such as one moose do not apply to hunters in a community harvest system. The community harvest limit might equal the number of hunters in a community, for example, each hunter may continue to harvest until the community harvest limit is met.

Now, these regulations generally describe what animal, species may be taken, where they may be taken and who may take them.

The general regulation describing community harvest system -- excuse me -- community harvest limit is split between Pages 31 and 32 in your Council book. It says, an animal taken under Federal or State regulations by any member of the community within an established community harvest limit for that species counts towards the community harvest limit for that species. An animal taken as part of a community harvest limit counts toward every community member's harvest limit for that species taken under Federal or State of Alaska regulations.

Now, what this regulation doesn't say is that a person might not want to participate in their community harvest system. For moose, for example. This proposed regulation clarifies that a person can request a registration system where only people who register may participate in the community system. So our proposed regulation reads: for the purposes of this provision, all residents of the community are deemed participants in a community harvest unless the Board approved framework requires a registration as a prerequisite to harvesting or receiving any fish, wildlife, or shellfish, pursuant to that community harvest, in which case only those who register are deemed participants in that community harvest.

Currently there are no community harvest systems in regulation in the Kodiak/Aleutian region.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to support this Proposal 22-01. And, finally, this Council is the first to act on this proposal. The proposal is a request to change general regulations that affect all regions of the state so we will be asking all Regional Advisory Councils to act on this proposal.

Thank you, Madame Chair and Board members, that's the end of my presentation, and I'm available to answer questions.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Are there any questions for Pippa in regards to her summary.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, Pippa, could our Council opt out on this. We've done that before when

the question of use of bear parts of handicrafts, based on what our elders had provided us several years ago, there was a proposal statewide and we decided to opt out on that and allow the areas that did do that to do it, to continue. And I'm kind of thinking beings that we don't particular do community harvest permits here, if we do go for this, I think that we need to answer the question whether this would affect the total

overall harvest limit because if you have community harvest setup of 50, and then you have other people that are opting out, you still have 50 moose to take or whatever.

4 5 6

So, myself, I would just defer it to the other Councils for their approval but not do it in our region.

8

7

10 Thank you, Madame Chair.

11

MS. KENNER: Madame Chair, may I respond?

14

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes, go ahead.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

MS. KENNER: Thank you, Madame Chair and thank you, Pat. This is Pippa with OSM. So these regulations already exist in Federal regulations. What OSM is proposing is that we allow an opt-out, meaning to allow a registration system so people who do not want to participate in their community -- in the community harvest system don't have to. So these regulations are already there. We're trying to clarify that you can have this registration system. addition to that I don't -- you know, there's a couple of things that you brought up. One is not deliberating on it at all and not collecting the public comments. Another thing is to go through the process of collecting all the comments and then maybe taking no I'm going to need to help from Council action. Coordination Division or Lisa Grediagin on exactly what the consequences are. I can tell you that the Board would really appreciate knowing what your point of view is on this issue.

35 36 37

Thank you.

38 39

40

41

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So we might want to go around and ask each Council member what their point of view is. Rebecca, what's your thoughts on this?

42 43 44

45

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$  SKINNER: I tend toward liking additional clarity so I actually like the proposal, if that's the question.

46 47

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Natasha.
49 Thank you, Rebecca.

MS. HAYDEN: Thanks, Madame Chair. I also appreciate additional clarity. This is the first one of these that I've been on the Council that we've had a discussion about for the statewide prior to any of the other Councils having an opportunity to weigh in so I'm going to continue to listen and hopefully learn more from my colleagues.

Coral.

Rick.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

 MS. CHERNOFF: Sorry. Took awhile to find my mute. I don't see an issue with going through with this. I know I'm not -- I just kind of glazed over it because I'm not really familiar with it and we don't really do it here. But I wouldn't mind it continuing through its process and really looking into it and getting familiar with it.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, I'm kind of at a loss. I don't know of anybody that does that down in our region. There may be but I'm not familiar with anybody. So as far as me getting involved in it, it doesn't affect our communities, you know, I would just opt-out of it and let the people that are involved in it make the decisions.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So that leaves me. I think that for the purposes of statewide, it's already in place and this just adds more clarification to it so I would actually support this. And that we don't -- may not use it at this time but it gives -- I mean that opportunity's there whether we use it or not. The question is whether or not we agree with the language change, is that correct, Pippa?

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$  KENNER: Thank you for the question, Madame Chair. I was reading and missed it, could you repeat that.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So this is already in place so what is being asked is the change of language, or language that's added for more clarification on who can actually harvest for a community and how it affects a community. And the idea is -- our thoughts technically is that there aren't any

communities within Kodiak or the Aleutians that utilize this, however, my thoughts are maybe there will be an opportunity somewhere in the future, but whether we—the real question is whether or not we support the language because this is already in place?

 $\,$  MS. KENNER: I couldn't have explained it better myself. This is Pippa for the record. Thank you, Madame Chair.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

MR. HOLMES: Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I was thinking on this a bit and I guess my only concern is, you know, as long as it's done in a way where it's not going to affect the total amount of whatever can be harvested. But it got me thinking listening to folks comments, is, I know, in our region the State occasionally does this. I know like the Dig Afognak got a permit to take deer for, you know, show kids how to harvest them and butcher them and stuff and other things, and I think occasionally they've done things in the Game Division, so I wouldn't necessarily be against it. And I was just thinking that it might be advantageous because I know that young gentleman, Tyler, that works with Lisa Scott [sic] is working with Chris Price out at Unalaska on trying to develop a community harvest permit on the State side for McLees Lake in a way in which they could charter a boat, legally, to go out and do a community harvest. So I guess I'd back off and say I'd go ahead and go with the proposal as long as the, you know, the Staff can assure that, you know, I -- I guess I have to have confidence in the State and the Feds that they wouldn't overharvest. So I'll reverse my position and say I'd be in favor of this proposal.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So we have to go through the process, is that correct, I need to get

```
0284
 1
    consultation for tribes or ANCSA Corporations -- and
 2
    somebody is typing.
 3
 4
                    REPORTER:
                               Can everyone please mute
 5
    their line.
 6
 7
                    MS. KENNER:
                                    It's me, I apologize.
 8
    This is Pippa.
 9
10
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So do I need a
11
    report from Board consultations from tribes and ANCSA
12
    Corporations.
13
14
                    MR. LIND:
                                   Madame Chair.
                                                     Board
15
    members. This is Orville Lind, Native Liaison for the
16
    Office of Subsistence Management.
                                           There were no
17
    questions or concerns during the consultation.
18
19
                    Thank you, Madame Chair.
20
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,
21
22
    Orville. Agency comments, ADF&G.
23
24
                    (No comments)
25
26
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Federal.
27
28
                    (No comments)
29
30
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Tribal.
31
32
                    (No comments)
33
34
                    MADAME
                             CHAIR
                                   TRUMBLE:
                                                   Regional
35
    Advisory Councils. I think we may have covered our
36
     comments and questions to Pippa, but is there anybody
37
    else or anything else to add?
38
39
                    (No comments)
40
41
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Fish and Game
42
    Advisory.
43
44
                    (No comments)
45
46
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Subsistence
47
    Resource Commissions.
48
49
                    (No comments)
50
```

```
0285
 1
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           Summary of
 2
   written public comments, Vince, were there any?
 4
                    (No comments)
 5
 6
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Public
 7
    testimony.
 8
 9
                    (No comments)
10
11
                   MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Regional Council
12
    recommendation. Do we have a motion to adopt WP22-01.
13
14
                    MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca.
15
   I'll make that motion.
16
17
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Do I hear
18
   a second.
19
20
                    MR. HOLMES: Second. Pat.
21
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Motion made and
22
23
   second. Discussion.
24
25
                    (No comments)
26
27
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Call for
28
   question.
29
30
                    MR. KOSO: Question.
31
32
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Question's been
   called. All in favor signify by saying aye.
33
34
35
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
36
37
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Opposed, same
38
    sign.
39
40
                   (No opposing votes)
41
42
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Motion carried.
43
    Moving on. WP22-12, deer Unit 6, and that is on
44
    Page....
45
46
                   MS. KENNER: Madame Chair, I think
47
    we're on 22-02.
48
49
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Oh, I'm sorry.
50
```

For some reason I've been doing this, this whole meeting is missing a line here.

MS. KENNER: Oh, that's okay. I also want to add this is Pippa Kenner. I think I was supposed to inform the Council that there were no written Federal -- there were no written public comments on Proposal WP22-01, and, therefore, none were presented to you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Nobody said anything when I called it so I figured that was the case.

## So WP22-02.

MS. KENNER: Thank you, Madame Chair. And good afternoon to Madame Chair and members of the Council. And the analysis for Wildlife Proposal WP22-02 begins on Page 46 of your Council books. And as I mentioned before can also be found at the web page. My name is Pippa Kenner, again, for the record, and I'm an Anthropologist at the Office of Subsistence Management in Anchorage.

So Proposal WP22-02 was submitted by the Office of Subsistence Management and requests to remove language from designated hunting regulations prohibiting the use of a designated hunter by a member of a community operating under a community harvest system. So we're going to pull that apart a little bit.

Earlier we were talking about community harvest systems and now we're going to switch and we're going to talk about our designated hunter system. So current designated hunter regulations are shown to you on Page 48 of your Council book, and they state:

If you are a Federally-qualified subsistence user, you may designate another Federally-qualified subsistence user to take deer, moose and caribou on your behalf unless you are a member of a community operating under a community harvest system. The designated hunter must obtain a designated hunter permit and must return the completed harvest report. The designated hunter may hunt for any number of recipients but may have no more than two harvest limits in his or her possession at any one time.

 So what we propose is to remove the language that says: you may designate another Federally-qualified subsistence user. The language we're proposing to remove is unless you are a member of a community operating under a community harvest system. And this is because there are some people -- members of communities and community harvest systems that wish to designate another harvester to take an animal on their behalf.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to support Proposal WP22-02. And, again, this Council is the first to act on this proposal and provide a recommendation to the Board. The proposal is a request to change a general regulation that affects all regions of the state. We are asking all Regional Advisory Councils to act on this proposal.

Thank you, Madame Chair. That's the end of my presentation. I'm available to answer questions.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Are there any questions for Pippa.

MS. CHERNOFF: This is Coral.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Let's start with Coral -- perfect. Go ahead, Coral.

MS. CHERNOFF: So, thank you, Pippa. So this proposal is a request to remove language, can we make suggestions to remove additional language while we're -- so I'm interested in where it says, where designated hunters may have no more than one harvest limit in possession at any one time, I guess I'm interested in removing that. And my feeling is that subsistence hunting a lot of times is a matter of opportunity and limiting the time it takes to get, so it seems to me that in the sporthunting, I think that -- that has that same kind of language where you can have no more than one harvest. But I think that works great for sporthunting, which is a different kind of hunting, whereas subsistence is a food collection. think being able to get more permits, like say, you know, get four permits for four deer would be way more timewise, and effort-wise and cost-wise subsistence hunter.

So if that's a possibility I would like to talk about removing that. And if not -- well, I just thank you for your response.

4 5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2

MS. KENNER: Yeah, thanks for the Through the Chair. One of the things I'd question. like to clarify is that the general regulation says that a designated hunter may hunt for any number of recipients but may have no more than two harvest limits in his or her possession at any one time. Now, that's the general harvest regulation. There is another regulation that your Council has approved in unitspecific regulations. One is for Unit 9 that says a Federally-qualified subsistence user of 9C and 9E can take bull caribou and there is no limit to how many he can have in his possession at one time. And also for Unit 9D, your Council has approved a unit-specific regulation concerning caribou and there is a limit of four harvest limits in his or her possession at one time. And this is in your book on Page 49. And also in Unit 10, on Unimak Island, you may have up to four harvest limits in your possession at one time for caribou.

232425

26

27

28 29

30

31

So in addition to that information I wanted to provide, I want to let you know that I think the Board would have an issue with putting the change — with recommending a change like that because we have not advertised that change to the public. But, of course, the Council can do it. I think that the Board might have a problem because there wasn't enough time given for the public to respond through public notice.

32 33 34

Thank you very much for the question and that's the end of my answer.

35 36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So, Pippa, just This proposal requests to remove for clarification. designated hunting regulations language from prohibiting the use of a designated hunter permit by a member of a community operating under a community So if we're looking at -- just so I harvest system. understand this right, if we're looking at 9D and you can have up to four caribou, are you saying -- what are you basically saying, are you removing the designated hunter or is it from a community harvest?

46 47 48

 $\,$  MS. KENNER: From a community harvest. We're going to remove the language that says unless the

recipient is a member of a community operating under a community harvest system. And those cross outs begin on Page 50 and you can see that that statement in all of these regulations have been crossed out and that's what the proposal is, to cross out that statement.

To add to that answer, I'd like to add more, Madame Chair. And that is, again, this is a regulation that will not reduce opportunity, it's meant to increase opportunity for people who live in communities that are working under a community harvest limit.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: But none of our communities at this point are working under a community harvest limit; is that correct?

MS. KENNER: That's correct, Madame Chair. This is Pippa for the record.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. So that's kind of where I was wondering about and then when looking at the Unit 6, they have in their unit, specific language that looks like -- that follows more along the line, I think, of the State -- Federal -- or State designated hunter, which is the line, 65 years of age or older, at least 70 percent disabled, or temporarily disabled; is that -- so Unit 6 basically is -- their would remain the same as what they have in place?

MS. KENNER: It would, Madame Chair. This is Pippa for the record. It would remain the same, except -- let me get to the page number for those that have the book in front of them -- on Page 51 you can see that the language; unless the recipient is a member of a community operating under a community harvest limit would be rescinded.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Okay. All right, thank you. Pat, do you have comments.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. I'd say go 46 for it.

48 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rick, do you 49 have comment.

0290	
1	(No comments)
2	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca.
4	MEDECCA.
5	(No comments)
6 7	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Natasha.
8	
9 10	MS. HAYDEN: I don't have any comments.
11	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Okay. So
12	I guess at this time we'll go through the process real
13 14	fast.
15	MS. KENNER: Madame Chair oh, I'm
16	sorry, never mind.
17 18	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca has to
19	leave at 3:00 o'clock for a little bit so we might try
20	to get through the process on these and then the other
21 22	statewide proposals I think were information only. So going through this process, do we have any tribes of
23	ANCSA Corporations. Orville.
24	
25 26	MR. LIND: Madame Chair. There were no questions or comments on the consultation. Thank you,
27	Madame Chair.
28	
29 30	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Orville. Agency comments, ADF&G.
31	
32 33	(No comments)
34	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Federal.
35	
36 37	(No comments)
38	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Tribal.
39 40	(No comments)
41	(No Condition 123)
42	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Advisory group
43 44	comments.
45	(No comments)
46	MADAME CHATA EDIMALE
47 48	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any more Regional Council comments.
49	-5
50	

```
0291
 1
                    (No comments)
 2
 3
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Fish and Game
 4
    Advisory Committees.
 5
 6
                    (No comments)
 7
 8
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Subsistence
 9
    Resource Commissions.
10
11
                    (No comments)
12
13
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Summary of
14
    written public comments. Pippa.
15
16
                    MS. KENNER:
                                Thank you, Madame Chair.
17
    This is Pippa for the record. There were no written
18
    public comments.
19
20
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                              Okay.
                                                       Any
21
    public testimony.
22
23
                    (No comments)
24
25
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Regional Council
26
    recommendation, motion to adopt. Do I hear a motion to
27
    adopt WP22-02.
28
29
                    MS. HAYDEN: Madame Chair, so moved.
30
    This is Natasha.
31
32
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                              Is there a
33
    second.
34
35
                    MS. SKINNER: I'll second.
                                                   This is
36
    Rebecca.
37
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Discussion.
38
39
40
                    (No comments)
41
42
                            CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                    MADAME
                                             Call for
43
    question. All in favor signify by saying aye.
44
45
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
46
47
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Opposed,
                                                     same
48
    sign.
49
```

## (No opposing votes)

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Motion carried. And I guess it's 3:30 for Rebecca and she will be leaving for a short while. So statewide proposals, C&T determination of all rural residents outside Kodiak/Aleutian region and this says information only. I'm not sure who's taking that up.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Madame Chair, this is Lisa. I'm here to address any questions you have on the statewide proposals. It's really up to the Council how much information they want on these. I mean given it's not an action item, so given, you know, the time crunch feel free to skip this item. The tables are in your book for reference or if you have any questions or you want me to go through them one by one I'm happy to do whatever the Council would like.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Basically since it's informational is there any Council have any items that they would like brought to their attention. Questions on any one of them.

## (No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. We will move on. I've got review Alaska Board of Game statewide proposals, they're not in the meeting book, Vince, do you have -- who.

MR. MATHEWS: Yes. But, Madame Chair, just to make sure my notes are reflective on Proposal No. 2, that you were supporting the OSM justification for your action on WP22-02. I believe that's what you were indicating in your discussions.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Can you repeat

that.

MR. MATHEWS: Yes, sorry. You were taking up -- Pippa was presenting WP22-02, which was dealing with change of, you know, community harvest system for the designated hunter permit, and I believe your motion and action was in support -- based on, I should say, by the justification provided by OSM on Page 59.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: That is correct.

MR. MATHEWS: Okay, thank you. we're looking at Board of Game proposals. Chair Della and I talked weeks ago about the option of bringing up Board of Game proposals at your Council meeting. I do not represent Alaska Department of Fish and Game. But I can help the Council through, if they so desire, and let me think now. The best bet if you don't have a paper copy that shows yellow highlighted ones then you need to go to the website and that would be Alaska Board of Game Central, Southwest region, supplemental proposal index. So in there, Della and I talked, and there was four proposals in there. Again, it was my assessment from way up in Fairbanks and, of course with input from Della, that these four proposals may be of interest.

So with that Proposal 205, I'm just basically going to give you the title and see if you want to go any further on it. Proposal 205 is to reauthorize the Southern Alaska Peninsula Caribou Herd Intensive management Plan. So that's one of them that we looked at. And it's quite lengthy. I'm checking here to make sure but I'm almost certain it's from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game -- yes, it is. So if there's interest you can go to the next file -- apologize, if we were in person this would be a little bit easier. That would be in the file, Alaska Board of Game proposals 205 and 206.

Okay, not hearing any -- and I'm not ignoring that it was mentioned earlier that Kodiak, as well as others in your region, have very active Advisory Committees so I hope that they also will be looking at these proposals.

(Pause)

38 MR. MATHEWS: Okay. Hearing none on 39 that....

41 (Teleconference interference - 42 participants not muted)

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: So, Vince, if I might make a....

MR. MATHEWS: Yes.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Because these

documents, I don't think we have with us, I could be wrong, they're not in the book, you referenced a website, can you repeat that.

REPORTER: Okay, and before you go on, Vince. Vince, hold on just a minute. If I could ask everybody to check their phones and make sure you're muted. There's either a TV in the background or conversations in the background, I'd appreciate that. Also just out of respect for the speakers, please. Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Tina. Vince, where I was headed with this is you are talking about the Alaska Board of Game statewide proposals, and you said they're under the DOI.gov.subsistence, is that where they're at or do I go to the ADF&G?

MR. MATHEWS: Yes, the ones that you and I discussed were put into a file with those titles. The one we're looking at now is, you know, central southwest proposal index. And, again, I don't know if you want to take these up.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: What I was going to recommend, just so we have time to pull them up, since we don't have the documents, is to just kind of table this for a little bit and then move on to the next item to the 2022 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program and then if anybody wants to go back and take a look at the Board of Game statewide proposals they can maybe take a look at them. And if there's any recommendations or comments they'd like to make in reference to them, maybe that's what we can do because they're just not readily available.

MS. CHERNOFF: Della.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, I think I heard Rebecca first, maybe, and then Pat.

MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, this is Coral.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Or Coral, go ahead.

MS. CHERNOFF: There is a packet of these proposals in our booklet near the back so there are four in the areas of Unit -- oh, no, two, three, four. Yeah, there's four for King Salmon area proposals, Units 9 and 10, and then there is a couple of bird proposals, too, so they are in our packet. Mine are near the back of my packet.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Do you have a page number?

 MS. CHERNOFF: I do not because they start with Page 1 -- let's see, it's after the annual report reply process revision and after the Akhiok, looks like the harvest summary.

MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair. What she's referencing is materials that were supplemental to the book, I believe, and then we also had them placed on the website. So, again, maybe your direction is wise.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I'm going to tell you, I've never been so confused about a Board packet in my life. This is kind of because this thing is in piecemeal almost but that's okay, that's my problem, not yours. But, anyways, if there's any other comments in regard to the statewide proposals, maybe you can go ahead Council if you have any comments.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I know it'd be nice to have details on these. I did look a few up but I can't -- my computer is so old that if I listen to the conference here then I have to reload my whole computer. But there are those points on the Unimak caribou that you identified. I think shortening the wolf trapping season, I'd sure be against that, period, in Unit 9 and 10. Wolverine doesn't matter because there's not so much but I'd go along with the State. But the -- there's a big zinger in there, I usually try to get all the -- of Coral's committee there on birds, but there's a whole bunch of them in there that would reallocate harvest to non-residents for Emperor goose

0296 and I think those are ones that we'd probably want to put our two bits worth in. But we can come back to those later if we can get time. 4 5 Thank you. 6 7 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other 8 comments. 9 10 MS. CHERNOFF: Yes, Della, this is 11 Coral. 12 13 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Coral. 14 15 MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, so I guess I have 16 some comments on the migratory bird proposals that are 17 in if we are going to cover this right now. If we're 18 going to put it off for later then I'll wait. So. I 19 know you had talked about coming back to these 20 proposals when they were in front of everyone. 21 22 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: If it's okay 23 with everybody to come back to it. I honestly don't have it in my packet that I can see. Also I'm online 24 25 to take a look, if that's all right with everybody. 26 27 MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, because there is a 28 closing a non-resident season for emperor goose and 29 then a bunch of other proposals for increased non-30 resident hunting that I think we should probably cover. 31 32 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. 33 34 MR. HOLMES: Yeah. 35 36 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right, thank 37 you. 38 39 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, we could just lump a bunch of them together at a time and then just stroke 40 41 them out with a no. Thank you, Madame Chair. 42 43 MR. KOSO: Madame Chair. 44 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick. 45 46 47 MR. KOSO: Yeah, I looked at those and 48 they're all on emperor goose there and, you know, have a problem with almost every one that I seen on 49

5

6 7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

there. The reason being is that they're saying that the locals never shot enough or they don't harvest enough to make a difference but the reason they don't harvest enough is we're only allowed one. And 99 percent of the people that come out of King Cove and False Pass and Sand Point and other places in our area, it's just too darn expensive for them to go out to shoot one goose. The people that want these geese are these people that are flying  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) +\left( 1\right$ most of these guides, they come out hunting and they all sign up for this one goose and they want to hang it on the wall but our local villages, our people, they want that for food source. And going out and getting one it just doesn't make sense. So there's really difference between the folks that use them in the villages and the people that are requesting all this extra hunting time. So I'm totally against all that right now until we can get -- you know, you got 28,000 and working on it, and until they get it up high enough to where those people can go out and shoot a half dozen of them to make it worth their while then, you know, I'm certainly against all this other stuff.

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I totally agree with what you just said, Rick, thank you. Because I can't even find the paperwork but I will find it. So if we can just hold that off right now and then move on to the 2022 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. And I'm hoping in the future -- I know, Vince, everything's been really busy and things kind of got a little off track with all this but if we can put the names of the person that's going to do this specific report in the future, whoever's putting these packets together, it would be helpful. So moving on, whoever's going to present that report.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

MR. STONE: Good afternoon, Chair and Members of the Council. My name is Jared Stone and I am a Fisheries Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. I'm here today to present you a brief overview of the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program and some of its accomplishments to You can find these materials in your Council date. books on Page 127. And I will give you a short overview of the Monitoring Program, briefly describe the funding process, give an overview for the Southwest region and then finish by requesting Council comments of the projects submitted for any Kodiak/Aleutian region. This is not an action item.

There is no motion necessary for this process.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

The Office of Subsistence Management administers the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program and funds research and monitoring that advances projects that are strategically important to the Federal Subsistence Management Program. The Monitoring Program also supports collaboration and cooperation among Federal agencies, the State of Alaska, and Alaska Native and rural organizations.

10 11 12

I'll now go into describing how the Monitoring Program's funding process works.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

The program is divided into regions, which are listed on Page 130 of your Council books. These regions correspond with Federal subsistence fisheries areas and generally hold stock, harvest and community issues in common. Some regions have multiple Councils, such as the Southwest region, which has both the Bristol Bay and Kodiak/Aleutian Councils. During the first step of the process the Regional Advisory Councils with support from OSM Staff and Federal and State fisheries and land managers ensure the Monitoring Program focuses on the highest priority subsistence fisheries information needs for the region. Councils ensure issues of local concern and knowledge gaps are related to subsistence fisheries identified for priority information needs are development. And these information needs influence and quide the rest of the funding requests. Ideally, principal investigators will work closely with Councils in order to develop strong proposals that are responsive to those needs. The Office of Subsistence Management provides technical assistance as needed.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

The second step of the funding process is requesting new project proposals, which the program does every two years. Submissions must be complete, on time, address priority information needs and address the five criteria outlined in the notice of funding opportunity in order to be competitive. Technical Review submitted, the Committee evaluates and scores each project proposal package. The Technical Review Committee is а standing senior technical experts InterAgency Committee of brought together to evaluate project proposals and ensure that the Monitoring Program is maintaining consistency with its mission. It is the responsibility

of the Technical Review Committee to develop the strongest possible Monitoring Program for all regions across the state based on the evaluation criteria, which includes strategic priority, technical and scientific merit, investigator ability and resources, partnership and capacity building and cost benefit of the project. A more detailed description of the criteria can be found on Page 132 of your Council books.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

8

5

6 7

> Once a Draft Monitoring Program is developed it's brought before the Regional Advisory Councils for their input and comments. This is where we are at in the current cycle and we'll come back to this in a moment. Additional comments on the Draft Monitoring Program for the Kodiak/Aleutian regions will be provided by the InterAgency Staff Committee. These, along with comments developed by the Council are all provided to the Federal Subsistence Board. The Board and into consideration comments takes concerns generated by the process and endorses the Monitoring Plan. Final approval of the Monitoring Plan is made by the Assistant Regional Director of OSM.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

So now that I've discussed how the Monitoring Program works, we are now at a point in time where we are seeking your input on the proposed projects for the Southwest region, which includes both the Kodiak/Aleutian and Bristol Bay. For the Southwest region you can find the figures that I'm about to reference on Page 136 of your Council books.

31 32 33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

Since 2000 the Office of Subsistence Management has funded 60 projects costing 11.9 million for the Southwest region, and that is Figure 1. these the State of Alaska received funds to conduct 27 projects and the Department of Interior had 27 projects funded. Other organizations had three projects funded. And Alaska rural organizations had one project funded as you can see in Figure 2. In the Southwest region, you can see from Figure 2 that the project leads have been predominately the State of Alaska and Department of Interior agencies. For the 2022 cycle, there is an anticipated \$2.25 million available for new The available funding amount for projects statewide. 2022 is budgeted for each projects first year, not the total project request. And this is ultimately subject the final budget determined by the Assistant Regional Director.

For the Southwest region there were a total of seven projects submitted during the current cycle. The projects are listed in numerical order by project number. Justifications for each of the proposed projects by the Technical Review Committee can be found on Page 138 of your Council book. And the projects executive summaries which were submitted by the primary investigators are located on Page 144.

So we have seven projects that were submitted for this region, of which, four are in the Kodiak/Aleutian region, which includes the Buskin River sockeye salmon stock assessment and monitoring; networks of network, subsistence harvest trends of Aleutian and Alaska Peninsula communities on Federal lands and waters; False Pass and Nelson Lagoon monitoring subsistence harvest and traditional ecological knowledge investigation; reliable estimates of subsistence harvest and uses in Ouzinkie and Port Lions, and for the Bristol Bay region three submitted projects and they include the Chignik River subsistence harvest surveys and escapement indexing; improving Lake Clark sockeye monitoring in a changing climate; and subsistence harvest and uses of salmon and other wild resources in Manokotak, Alaska.

So this wraps up a short summary of the projects under consideration. And as a reminder, today, I'm asking for your comments on any of these proposed projects in the Southwest region. Again, there is no action item needed for today. What we want to ensure is that selected projects have the greatest chance at successfully answering the Councils priority information needs as possible. We also want to know if the Council has any specific concerns about a project based on the provided materials.

That concludes my presentation for today and would be glad to take any comments or questions you might have.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Is there any questions or comments in regards to this report.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, Pat.

0301 1 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 2 3 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I think that the 4 forming a joint study group between the two Councils, I 5 participated on it the last time, between here and Bristol Bay, because we have a geographic definition as 6 7 opposed to other areas and so there was a time when it was disproportional to Bristol Bay but it's balanced 9 out now. And I think with the discussions that we have 10 with their subcommittee, I think have really proved out 11 well. And I think one of the points that I'm glad is 12 hopefully getting solved was the funding for McLees 13 Lake because we lost it for two years because of some 14 mis-assumption on the evaluation group at OSM, that it 15 would be funded somewhere else when everything had been cut across the board, and so it's really imperative to 16 17 have McLees continue and the Buskin continue, and then 18 updating of the subsistence harvest surveys; those are 19 critical. And it's reasonably balanced out in this 20 last 2022 on Table 1 between projects between our two 21 sub areas within our region. So I'd like to commend 22 the folks that are making those decisions 23 supporting those items. 24 25 Thank you, Madame Chair. 26 27 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. 28 Are there any other comments. 29 30 (No comments) 31 32 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, I quess, 33 hearing none, thank you for the report, Jared. 34 35 MR. KOSO: Hey, Della, I got a question 36 on this. Are you still there? 37 38 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. Go 39 ahead. 40 41 MR. KOSO: Yeah, I got a question for 42 Lisa, and I don't know if this is the right deal but 43 it's the only place I see where we're talking about 44 that, and I was just wondering, Lisa, do we still do 45 out on Thin Point and Mortensens Lagoon, with those, or

has that just been in the past?

MR. LAWSON:

this is Tyler Lawson with Fish and Game.

Madame Chair and Rick,

49 50

46

0302 1 MR. KOSO: Yeah. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Tyler. 4 5 MR. LAWSON: Yep. We do not have a 6 weirs at Mortensens or Thin Point to my knowledge. 7 think 2006 was the last time they were in place. 8 9 MR. KOSO: Yeah, I was just kind of 10 curious on that because both of them are really 11 depressed. I know we don't even have a subsistence 12 fishery in Mortensens anymore and Thin Point has been 13 totally depressed for getting subsistence fish, you 14 know, a lot of people from King Cove have a hard time 15 getting it. So I was just curious if you guys had plans in the future to maybe instate that or is that 16 17 just a dying deal? 18 19 MR. LAWSON: Hope, there has been some 20 discussion about that. There's just kind of some logistics and then the funding part to figure out. But 21 22 we're happy to talk more with the Board about that and 23 capacity in the future with it. 24 25 MR. KOSO: Okay, thank you. 26 27 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: We have brought 28 those up, Rick. 29 30 MR. KOSO: Okay, thanks, Della. 31 32 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Last year. 33 34 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. 35 36 MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca. 37 38 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, Rebecca 39 and then Pat. 40 MS. SKINNER: 41 Yeah, I think Jared had 42 asked if we had comments on any of the projects, and, 43 unfortunately I don't have -- my comments are not super 44 specific. But I did want to put a word of support in for the Chignik River project, insofar as it relates to 45 46 Chiqnik salmon. I think over the last several years 47 Chignik returns have been really abysmal and, in 48 general, I support projects that are going to start to

take a look at what might be going on there.

49

0303

1 So that was all, thank you.
2
3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,
4 Rebecca. Pat.

5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

MR. HOLMES: Am I on. My phone isn't -- yeah, there's also a Chiqnik River sockeye thing that will be coming up on funding where our two committees recommended to look at Chignik because of the problems on different year classes not showing up, like the Buskin, Chignik, and then McLees, not this season but last season was also missing three ocean fish, and it seems like the older sockeye smolt, the ones that are spending longer in the water are having trouble getting home. And so we have endorsed looking at scale growth patterns for fresh and salt water for Chignik and then also for Kodiak and McLees to try and determine what's happening. Is it in the freshwater or is it in the ocean. And so our little joint committee really recognizes the importance of Chignik because there's a whole lot of Chignik folks that live in Kodiak and so they're really trying to find out what's happening in the ocean and one way you can do it is by looking at scales. And I think if everyone thinks back to Ellen, and I always get her name wrong, Yasumiishi, the NOAA scientist that presented the ocean temperature information for the Gulf of Alaska, was talking about the blob, or this huge warm pattern and that really can affect the return of sockeye.

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

And anyway, and then I forgot the main point I wanted to raise, so I'm sorry, Madame Chair. But I think this is such an important program across the board, and I sure hope we can continue -- oh, Rick's question on funding for weirs. With the new Governor there's been some -- anyway the programs for the big weirs in the State, I think Chignik, Karluk, and Ayakulik in our region are some of the biggest red producers and the only way that those weirs are funded through the State is by a cost recovery fishery, where they go out and catch fish before the fishermen do and then they sell them and then that money carries over to the following year to run the big weirs. And so weir funding is a real skimpy thing right now with the current politics in Juneau.

45 46 47

Thank you, Madame Chair.

48 49

MR. KOSO: Yeah, Pat, I understand

```
0304
 1
    that. I agree with you there. But I know they do it on
    the hatcheries where there's State cost recovery for
    hatcheries but I never knew that they did cost recovery
    for other stuff, so, okay, thank you.
 5
 6
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any
 7
    other comments or questions.
 8
 9
                    MR. STONE: Madame Chair.
10
11
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Jared, is that
12
    you.
13
14
                    MR. STONE:
                                 Yes, thank you, Madame
15
    Chair. And through the Chair. Pat and Rebecca, thank
16
    you both for your comments here and I'll be taking
    these to the Board for further consideration.
17
18
    was an added component to the proposed Buskin River
19
    project to perform an age analysis and
                                                 so the
20
    investigators
                  are listening to
                                          your
                                                priority
21
    information needs that we developed earlier in the year
22
    and I sure look forward to working with the Council in
23
    the future years. And so the things that I heard from
24
    the Council is that you are all in support of the
25
    reliable estimate of subsistence harvest of Ouzinkie
26
    and Port Lions. You'd like to continue the volunteer
27
    working groups for the PIN development, the priority
28
    information needs, between Bristol Bay
                                                 and the
    Kodiak/Aleutians. It sounds like a word of support for
29
30
    the McLees Lake and Buskin River and that is what I
31
    have written down.
32
33
                    Did I capture all of your thoughts and
34
    comments?
35
36
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                  This is Rebecca.
37
    sorry, did you capture the support for the Chignik
38
    River project, or my support?
39
40
                    MR. STONE:
                                 Yes, thank you, Rebecca.
41
    This is Jared.
42
43
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                 Thank you.
44
45
                    MR. STONE: And, yes, I did write that
46
    down and I just didn't mention it, but I do have it
47
    written down here. Thank you.
48
49
                    MS. SKINNER: Okay, thank you.
```

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Jared. I'm going to recommend, Council, that we put off the identify issues for the FY2021 annual report. The next item fall 2021 Council application nomination open season. And then move on to agency reports, and then we still have to go back to the statewide proposals. Is that agreeable with everyone?

MR. HOLMES: Yep.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And we have agency reports with a time limit of 15 minutes, if we can stick with that, it would be great, so we'll do the best we can. So are there any tribal governments that would like to do a report. I think Sun'aq might have had a written report in the packet, or an email -- it was emailed.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any Native organizations, and I have Koniag in bold written on this.

MR. PANAMAROFF: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$  . PANAMAROFF: This is Tom Panamaroff

with Koniag.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Tom.

Thank you. MR. PANAMAROFF: I'd just like to announce to the group that Koniag has entered into a contract with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to provide community affairs liaison services to the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge. And this position has been taken by Amy Peterson of Old Harbor and Amy will be working with the Refuge to communicate with the villages on Refuge activities and communicate back to the Refuge on village activities. And, we, at Koniag are really excited and happy to be able to partner on this important program and we look forward to it to leading to more opportunities to collaborate partner with the Refuge in the future. So just wanted to let you know that we have Amy on board. working out of our Koniag office in Kodiak and I'm sure that if you haven't already met her, when the situation

provides you'll get an opportunity to meet her and really enjoy working with her. So I just wanted to give you that update.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Are there any comments.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, Tom, I think that's great because for years our Council's, one of our priorities was the Federal Refuge replacing Tonya Lee's position because of her real critical role communications and organizing and getting the word out to the villages and basically I think we've forgotten or given up after five or six years since she left. And I think Amy will be a wonderful person to do that, and I think with your funding hopefully that will really, really enhance things because a lot of times when something's coming up, you know, I'll forward things to Sun'aq or NVA, but, heck a lot of my contacts in the villages have passed away. So I think this is just going to be a critical role for her to fill and she's a really good person.

Thank you.

MR. PANAMAROFF: Thanks, Pat.

MR. BRADY: Madame Chair, this is Mike at Kodiak. I'd like to also say something.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Mike.

MR. BRADY: Yeah, so thank you Koniag for stepping up and partnering with us on this really important position and we've worked with Amy the last few days and she is a real go-getter and we're excited to have her help us to do a better job communicating with all the villages. So she will be filling that role of our RIT position, Pat. So please reach out to her and give her your concerns and hopefully, you know, we can do more in the field as well so we really look forward to working with Amy.

Thanks, Tom.

0307 1 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any 2 other comments. 3 4 (No comments) 5 6 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I'd like to..... 7 8 MS. HAYDEN: Madame Chair, this is 9 Natasha. 10 11 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, 12 Natasha. 13 14 MS. HAYDEN: Yeah, I just also want to 15 express my excitement that Ms. Amy Peterson is going to be joining through that partnership between Koniag and 16 17 the Refuge. She's amazing and I look forward to her 18 involvement. Welcome Amy. 19 20 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other 21 comments. 22 23 (No comments) 24 Tom, this is 25 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: 26 Della. This is such positive news. I know this 27 Council is very supportive of this position and has tried to continue it on, at least, not only for Kodiak 28 29 Refuge, but also we've always lacked that position with 30 Izembek. And the concept of utilizing, and the 31 teamwork between the Refuge and the region, I think is 32 a good model and I would like to be able to see how you 33 guys have gotten that work and I think it's something 34 other regions maybe in the state might want to take a 35 look at because that position, I think, is so important for communications, especially when you have large 36 37 areas like we do. And just being able to get the 38 information out and the ability to communicate is so 39 important. But good -- I think that's just a great 40 idea and I'd love to see how that works in the model 41 form. 42 43 MR. PANAMAROFF: Thanks, Madame Chair. I'd be glad to have a conversation with any of you 44 about it. It's part of our hope, too, is that a 45 46 successful partnership like this be -- a public/private

partnership like this between the corporation and the

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service will lead to similar

So kind

opportunities in other regions of the state.

47

48

49

of excited about being the trailblazer on this and available to have discussions with any and all of you on maybe letting you know how we did it. It takes a willing, you know, a willing customer, that being the Fish and Wildlife Service and the Refuge in Kodiak and building off of good relationships that we've established with the Refuge is critical. So with that I'll let you get back to your meeting.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. And, Tonya, in my opinion, was the perfect model on how that position should work. So I'm excited to hear you guys are doing that. Thank you.

MS. PETERSON: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right. Go

ahead.

MR. PETERSON: Hi, this is Amy Peterson, Koniag Community Affairs Liaison. I just wanted to say thank you everybody for such a warm welcome. And my ears are always open and my hands are here for helping so if anybody needs anything, please, reach out. If I don't know I will, you know, go back to Mike and we'll figure it out together and we'll get an answer back to you.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Amy, nice to meet you. All right. U.S. Fish and Wildlife, Izembek National Wildlife Refuge report.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$  MELENDEZ: Yes, good afternoon, Madame Chair and Council....

MR. MATHEWS: Yes, Madame Chair. The wildlife reports start on Page 161, and I believe you directed that we will get back to future annual reports after these agency reports.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: That is correct, Vince. We have three items to go back on. So Izembek, go ahead.

MS. MELENDEZ: Thank you, Madame Chair.

My name is Letecia Melendez from Izembek National Wildlife Refuge reporting out for our Refuge Manager, Maria Fosado, who had to leave Cold Bay unexpectedly. We also have joining us on the call our stationed biologist, Allison Williams, who can answer any questions anybody might have detailing any of our biological projects.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

5

6

I would like to first open up by saying that as we all have had to adjust to the normal of the pandemic, we have had to adjust our projects and on our last report we were able to operate on most of our projects based upon approval from our upper management, which factored in all the CDC guidelines. So we were fortunate enough to be able to do those projects and during that timeframe we made sure that we kept all of our partners and our communities as safe as possible. However, in recent weeks, Covid19 cases across Alaska have increased at alarming rates. And in the news it's been reported that the state is now the highest -- has nation. highest case rates in the unprecedented strain on our medical care system and healthcare providers have been paramount. So medical professions across the state have called on Alaskans to avoid activities that may increase the risk of needing emergency medical services or hospital care. In light of this unpredictable situation, our upper management unanimously agreed to pause field work at this time. We listened and we will heed to the call for caution.

293031

32

33 34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

With that said, we are moving forward with some projects but only providing logistical support. Looking at our report, which you will find on Page 166 in the book, starting off with our caribou subsistence hunts we have our Federal Federal subsistence hunt on Unit 9D, the Southern Alaska Peninsula Herd, also known as the SAP Herd. This is a split hunt so the first split is from August 1st through September 30th and the second half begins on November 15th and ends on March 31st. The harvest limit is three caribou in Unit 9D, which was increased from a limit of one bull caribou last year. As you look down you can see the table, on Table 1 for 2021, and in that table we have our participating communities for the Federal subsistence hunt that includes Cold Bay, False Pass, King Cove and Sand Point. 60 permits were allocated, 17 permits were issued and there was a report of one caribou harvested. We also have a Federal subsistence hunt on Unit 10, Unimak Island, for

False Pass residents only. And that hunt starts August 15th and ends on October 15th. The Fish and Game supported an increase of harvest to total threebulls -- well, it was a total of three bulls and was increased up to five caribou in Unit 10. 10 permits were allotted, five permits were issued and three caribou were harvested.

 Moving on to our annual brown bear survey. Izembek Staff completed an annual brown bear survey in August on the National Wildlife Refuge and Unimak Island. The Staff flew replicates of survey areas, one in the morning and one in the evening to test differences in bear visibility and activity between different times of the day. The minimum population count for Izembek was 152 bears with 20 litters and only 43 cubs. And for Unimak Island was 108 bears with 12 litters and a total of 24 cubs.

Moving down to the waterfowl, our annual tundra swan population survey. Izembek completed the annual tundra swan population survey in May. The crew consisted of pilot biologists Dan Pippin out of Alaska Peninsula Becharof, Allison Williams, our biologist, and the Refuge Manager, Maria Fosado. survey crew observed a total of 109 swans and 117 nests within the Izembek survey unit, which represents the unique non-migratory population of tundra swans was found on the Southern Alaska Peninsula, which observed 169 swans and 23 nests. Swan densities in both survey units were higher than observed in surveys in 2017 through '19 but remained lower than the most recent count in 2016. If you look on the next page you'll see a map that indicates in the Izembek unit when it was first being surveyed in 1978, which is outlined in blue and then you can see where the Pavlof unit survey was added in 1984, which is in green.

Moving on to the landbirds, Alaska landbird monitoring survey. This survey was planned to be performed by Staff of the Migratory Bird Management Program for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, however, it was delegated to the Izembek Staff due to travel restrictions and the Covid pandemic. The breeding survey is a long-term and international monitoring program operated across North America and is a joint effort between U.S. Geological Survey and the National Wildlife Refuge Center. The breeding bird survey first began at Izembek National Wildlife Refuge

in 1993 and were annually done until 2009. Surveys resumed in 2019, and cancelled in 2020 due to Covid19 pandemic but resumed again in 2021. The survey route covered 25 miles of roads across the Refuge and through Cold Bay. The survey points averaged about a half mile where observers counted and detected birds for approximately three minutes and observers detected 34 unique species during that survey.

Our habitat projects. The eelgrass abundance productivity monitoring. We have David Ward, who is now a retired USGS biologist, who has been working with us for over 25 years on an extremely the Refuge which is important component of eelgrass, which is a contributor to the diversity and of wildlife in the lagoon. volume It provides protection, habitat protection and nutritious marine food sources and is an attractant for the migrating black brant, of course. Very important food source for It's approximately a 150 acre mile them as well. making it the largest eelgrass bed in the world. project was conducted in April but due to the caution that we're heeding with our upper management it will be cancelled for its second scheduled survey which would have been taking place August. The assistance to have that done would include David Ward, but Damian Menning is from USGS, he's a biologist, they both were going to come down and conduct some more surveys but, again, it was cancelled due to ongoing Covid pandemic.

Invasive species management was a standalone survey. A group from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Alaska Invasive Species Program traveled from Anchorage and was here in September to conduct various surveys in an area for invasive species. Surveys included surveying the road system for terrestrial invasive species, plants, water bodies with high use levels for aquatic invasives and surveying marine environments for invasive European green crab.

We also had our Brant age ratio biological project that was cancelled. It was to be conducted in October. It would have marked the 59th consecutive year of collecting brant productivity data in Izembek. The productivity index for the entire Pacific Brant population is generated from ground and boat-based count ratios of adults to juvenile birds conducted in Izembek Lagoon and adjacent areas each

fall when the birds are staging for migration. Because the project would require a minimum of surveying 15,000 brant via flocks from shore and from boats, it was just too many folks to bring on board for this particular project.

The Steller's Eider banding and habitat sampling. We got the green light on that, we're continuing to do that. The work is part of an ongoing study to characterize the habitat conditions, determine diets, understand body condition and habitat use of eiders molting in Izembek during the fall. As part of this project, benthic samples were collected in the lagoon in July of 2021 and will be sampled again in September to characterize benthic prey availability for eiders, and to contrast with historic sampling results to understand changes in benthic communities that may contribute to the observed declines in Steller's eiders molting at Izembek. Benthic data will form the basis for a Master of Science degree for a graduate student working here out of the University of Alaska-Fairbanks.

The sealings. We actually performed some sealings here at Izembek. During the May registration bear hunt Staff sealed 18 bears and this was in Unit 9 and 10, 16 which were non-resident hunters. We actually sealed five grey wolves. It says one in there but we actually sealed one thereafter. And 10 river otters that were sealed here. We also increased our sealing in the walrus tusks and sealed up to 22 of those.

Thank you, Madame Chair and Council members. This includes the report for Izembek National Wildlife Refuge. If anyone has any questions I'm happy to help answer those.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Are there any questions or comments.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, well, congratulations. I'm really happy that you're being able to get things done with the Covid, that's difficult enough, and really appreciate your work. And I noticed that you had a pilot from Becharof, so that's

0313 good, because some of the past Administrations out there have had troubles when someone would get sick or the plane would fall out of its maintenance cycle and then the bear surveys wouldn't be done. 5 that's the same with the caribou. So I appreciate you

folks trying to keep doing what you're doing.

8 9

6

7

10 MS. MELENDEZ: Thank you, Pat, for that 11 comment.

12

13

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other comments.

Thank you, very much.

So anyway

14 15

(No comments)

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So I have a comment, I guess, Letecia. In the eelgrass abundance and monitoring, you said it was put off -- the dates on it were supposed to be between August 9th and the 22nd, and following that you have species management and that was August 14th to September 4th, and I did get called from the gentleman that was doing that study to be able to do some work on King Cove Corporation lands close to Russell Creek so we allowed him to do that. And then the brant ratio you said that's been put off, and because of Covid, and I guess I was just kind of curious because I don't think -- it seemed like things totally got really shut down until almost in September, because our meeting was this month, and that's kind of when we got the word, about mid-September, that we couldn't meet face to face. But the other thing is, on the caribou, because on my list to call, there's no designated hunter permits in what you sent us, so if you could throw some in the mail. I've had two requests for them and I've been extremely busy, I have to apologize, so if you could send some of those over it'd be great. And then just a comment.....

39 40 41

42

MS. MELENDEZ: Did Ι correctly, Madame Chair, you would like some designated permits, is that correct?

43 44 45

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, they're always sent with.....

46 47

48 MS. MELENDEZ: Designated hunter

49 permits?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, they've always been sent with the subsistence permits, there isn't any in the packet — there aren't any in the packet. And then just make a comment in regard to the 2021 Federal subsistence take on caribou. Last winter was probably one of the worst winters for weather I've seen in a long time, we had nothing but basically high winds after high winds and storm after storm so people were pretty limited to try to get out and get caribou last winter and so hopefully that's not the case this year and we'll just see what happens.

But other than that I don't have any other comments.

MS. MELENDEZ: Madame Chair and Council, RAC members.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right, thank you, Letecia. So the next item on the agenda is Alaska Maritime. I think we've kind of -- didn't we cover that, maybe not.

MR. MATHEWS: No, we didn't. We covered their report, it's on Page 161 and there may be somebody online to hit the highlights.

MR. WILLIAMS: Madame Chair, Jeff Williams here. I'd be happy to present the report which starts on Page 161 if you'd like.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Jeff.

 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Madame Chair and members of the Council. 2021 is kind of winding down for the Refuge. Just a couple of comments in general nature so that the Council is aware of activities that the Refuge has undertook this year.

Our number 1 priority was dealing with Covid this year and how we can safely conduct field operations this year. Our number 1 priority was keeping neighboring communities and our own Staff safe. We went to extraordinary measures involving strict quarantines before boarding a vessel. It also meant that the ship did not dock at any communities so that we would not be the vectors of bringing Covid into our communities that are immediately adjacent to the Refuge. So that made a lot more sailing time and

longer trips and so we only touched base at Homer. We were able to safely do it, the ship returned to -- the research vessel returned this morning for the season so we did not have any cases and successfully deployed seabirds camps that monitors things all summer.

Our visitor center was open briefly for a period in June and served over 16,000 people here at Homer. That's way down from what we normally expect, about 60,000 visitors here at the visitor center on a normal year. The visitor center is closed again due to the Delta variant.

We have seabird camps that went out to monitor the status of seabirds at six different camps, it says five different camps -- six different camps all the way from outside of Sitka, the Bering Islands of Kenai Peninsula, (indiscernible - muffled) and St. Matthew up in the north. Sailing only from Homer and supporting those camps meant that the ship traveled over 24,000 miles this year all at 10 knots. So a lot more fuel burning this year to do that and avoid ports of calls at Adak, Dutch Harbor and other locations too. But everyone made it back. The crews have been back for about two weeks now. They're summarizing data right now. We will be able to report card, a kind of quick snapshot of what's happening in the marine environment to the Council later. They are meeting today, the technicians, 14 technicians we had in all these camps are meeting today to kind of get the initial feedback, which we can provide to the Council. One of our camps in the (Indiscernible) Islands was closest probably of anyone to the large earthquake in July, magnitude 8-plus. They safely rode it out but the cabin was a mess and basically everything fell off the shelves in there but they were safe.

We have a fair bit of work involving invasive species throughout the Refuge. It's just easier to prevent problems than it is to fix them later and it's just difficult to do that. The Refuge is working together with the Aleutians Pribilof Islands Association, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, DEC, Department of Agriculture and the communities of Adak and Atka to keep them informed of a long-term vision that the Refuge and others are -- have for a rat free Aleutians. So a very broad goal to have. The first step is a feasibility study for rat eradication on Great Sitkin Island, some of that work began this year

and will continue into 2022. The reports are being written up now on those activities and we'll be able to provide those later.

4 5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

2

About eight years ago we set up five enclosures for cattle on Chirikof Island, that's southwest of Kodiak Island, to see what things looked like without cattle grazing. There are lots of cattle there, they are unmanaged right now. I encourage you to look at the picture of one of the enclosure on Page --I don't have that right here in front of me but it's in the report there. We remain very concerned about the impact of introduced cattle, particularly on cultural Historic village and cemetery sites are resources. being severely degraded by the cattle in that area and a lot of that heritage is being lost on Chirikof Island right now in addition to the effects on wildlife and plants and soil.

18 19 20

21

22

I already discussed some of the work that we've done on caribou at Adak, I'll probably just skip that for the moment and happy to answer any questions if there any more on that.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

What we call biosecurity, that is keeping invasive species out of places where they don't already exist is a focus that we've really ramped up. In this last year, we, that is the Refuge, is perhaps one of the major potential vectors of invasive species, particularly plants, by transporting those into novel and new areas that they don't exist already and so we've instituted what we call a biosecurity plan that will help prevent that from happening in the future.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43 44

45 46

47

48

In other news that might be of interest to Council members. A fair bit of formerly used defense sites clean ups occurring on the Refuge and, in particular, Great Sitkin Island, which was a naval fueling operation in the -- beginning in 1942, a huge legacy of abandoned fuel tanks and fuel lines and just a real mess. And that was abandoned basically by 1963. Fuel remains, still in a lot of the pipelines and stuff, contamination was documented a long time ago into the early '90s. That's one of the first things I did when I first came here in the early 1990s. But 2021 was the first serious effort to kind of clean up what began more than 60 years -- more than that, 70, 80 years ago almost now. The Army Corps of Engineers is the agency responsible for that. There's

6 7

8

9

10

11

photographs of the locations and the substantial infrastructure there in the report. A large group of people working together on that. There was cultural surveys involved in there documenting location sites, making sure that none of those were affected. Massive underground grid of pipes and transported fuel, batteries and PCP-filled transformers, a lot of that was collected and some was documented for future plans to remove. A lot of the fuel has been kind of weatherized into either asphalt or tar-like surface that will be removed and you can see some of those photographs.

12 13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Covid had a lot of effect on how we do our operations. And there was really kind of a switch to remote and outdoors types of things to try and still be, and connect with people here in Homer, but also in remote locations to. Our education specialist, Kendra Bush really kind of shifted gears a lot, teaching over 100 classrooms, either sometimes in-person earlier in the season and even today right outside here under some up tents outside in a safe Covid kind of pop preventative manner. Probably over 2,000 people locally, that's basically half the number that normally we would reach, and normally we would send Kendra out to other remote communities to engage in environmental education. Some of the numbers are in there, in the report.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

I am also happy to say that we were able to support youth camps in a few communities this year with direct funding for them and also support from our education Staff in Paul, in Unalaska and also Sand Point and each camp is kind of unique to its own community and has its own special approach to things. We were able to, at the St. Paul seabird camp, enjoy -the ship was able to -- we weren't able to come ashore there but the crew was there doing field work and doing counts of seabirds on the cliffs at St. Paul from a distance from the ship and so we were able to engage with the seabird camp kids. There's a great picture in the report. It was a big hit with the kids to be able to talk to the biologists on the radio there and for them to kind of have realtime feedback of what's going on in there.

45 46 47

48

49

50

So those are some general things about what the Refuge is doing in a broad approach and we will be able to provide information to the Council

```
0318
 1
     throughout the winter as soon as the summaries and
     stuff get done.
 2
 3
 4
                    So that concludes my report and I'd be
 5
    happy to answer any questions.
 6
 7
                    Thank you.
 8
 9
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.
10
     there any comments.
11
12
                    MR. KOSO: Della, it's Rick here.
13
14
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.
15
16
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, I got a question. Are
17
     you guys counting caribou on Kagalaska too across from
18
     Adak. I know you had 10 there that you supposedly
19
     eradicated. I heard there was more on Kagalaska again.
20
21
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Yep, Rick, thank you for
22
     the question. We did not do any counts this year.
23
     kind of had a bare bones approach. We minimized the
24
     number of people that were on our ship and really just
25
     kept it to our seabirds crews and a few biologists this
26
     summer and just kind of a minimal skeletal type of --
27
    we had people at Kagalaska this year. They were doing
28
     surveys for rats as part of this rat free Aleutians
29
    initiative that I mentioned.
                                      There were caribou
30
     spotted on Kagalaska Island, but it wasn't really -- it
31
     certainly wasn't an aerial survey, which we really need
32
     to do in that kind of rugged territory. But they were
33
     seen and there was documentation of just the continued
34
    increase in the number of trails that are evident
35
    through some of that new habitat as caribou continue to
36
    expand on to Kagalaska. But no formal survey. I could
37
    get you a number but there was a few animals seen in
38
     one place but it wasn't an extensive survey, Rick.
39
40
                    MR. KOSO:
                                   All right, thank you.
41
     That's all I really needed. Thank you.
42
43
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                    TRUMBLE:
                                                Any other
44
     comments or questions.
45
46
                    MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.
47
48
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.
```

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, that's a good report. I think you were really wise with your prefield work quarantine of the Staff that you took out on the Tiglax. I wish NOAA had done that so we wouldn't have a big hole in the ocean information from them because I think that's probably driving a lot of the problems that we're seeing in salmon throughout the Gulf of Alaska.

And then I also wanted to thank you a lot on the -- a couple years ago when you took the folks from Atka to Attu, I think that was really a wonderful thing to do.

And you're cleaning up the Great Sitkin, starting that. I know when I did my salmon survey work in '82, that was one of the places my Staff reported as being a whole mess and we pointed out to Fred Zellemaker at the time so that's hopefully something good.

 I might suggest on Chirikof, with the cultural sites, that maybe you connect with Tom Panamaroff with Koniag here in Kodiak and talk to him about maybe trying to figure some joint venture with Koniag, our largest Native Corporation, and perhaps with the Alutiiq Museum to maybe figure out some way to do one of your little barrier fences around the old village and the cemetery site there because those are real treasures for our community and also for the State.

But overall great job there, thank you so much. Bye.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any other comments or questions.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none, thank you for the good report.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right,
moving on, Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge.
Supplemental packet.

0320 1 MR. BRADY: Hello, Madame Chair, this 2 is Mike. I'm here. 3 4 MR. MATHEWS: Yes. 5 6 MR. BRADY: So, yeah, you'll find we're 7 in the supplemental material. I'll do some of the report and then Joy Erlenbach who is the wildlife 8 9 biologist will do bears, goats and berries. 10 11 So if you look at Page 2, we did get 12 back out to Akalura and were able to continue that 13 work, the fish weir there. And also on Page 2, the 14 water monitoring for salmon habitat, we were able to 15 collect that data this year as well and also did collect data in Larsen Bay and Old Harbor. 16 17 18 And then skipping down to Page 5, the 19 coastal seabird information and the marine mammal 20 surveys that we did were around Afognak Island this 21 year and that information will be provided in the fall 22 meeting. 23 24 The migratory bird calendar contest 25 this year was bird language and stories and we did 26 submit artwork from some of the villages for that. 27 28 And then I'll draw your attention to 29 Page 6. We had two ANSEP students helping us with 30 berry monitoring and invasive this year and that was 31 Kaitlyn Hanson and Alexandria Burks, and so we really 32

appreciate their assistance this summer. They did get out quite a bit. In the Invasive Species Program we were able to accomplish treatments in 27 sites.

33

34

35 36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48 49

50

So other than that I'll turn it over to Joy for bears, berries and goats. Joy, you on there.

MS. ERLENBACH: Yeah, thanks Mike. Hey, everyone, in May we flew our brown bear population assessment survey in the Shearwater and Kiliuda survey areas. The estimated densities of bears in Shearwater was 181 independent bears per 1,000 kilometers squared, which was lower than the estimated density in 2005. The estimated density in Kiliuda was 239 independent bears per 1,000 kilometers squared, which was similar to the estimate from 2005.

For berries, in July and August we

monitored berry abundance on the Refuge and found later fruit ripening than typical. Low elderberry abundance in monitored sites and variable salmonberry abundance by site and elevation. Salmonberries in the Red Lake area were especially sparse in mid-elevations but were abundant at low elevations.

For goats, unfortunately we were not able to conduct our mountain goat survey this year.

Back to you Mike if you have anything 12 else.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  BRADY: No, that's our report. Thank you. We'll take any questions.

MR. HOLMES: Pat here.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any questions -- go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I want to compliment you on all your hard work there, Mike. And, particularly, dropping off that packet to my door because my printer is dead as a doornail. It helped me a lot to get through this meeting. I think you're really great on having those interns. I think that's a really capital program to help our community.

And then I had a question for you on the sea otter surveys. In the past, oh, a few years back it was reported, it'd be nice sometime if you could maybe put together something in terms of years that you've done that on the distribution and numbers like, you know, by major bay, or something like that because that's, I think, of quite interest to our sea otter committee.

And your berry stuff is really good to know. And I thought your escapement at Akalura is pitiful, that's the lowest escapement I've heard of in the 45, 47 years I've been here in Kodiak. But, anyway, good job overall.

Thank you.

MR. BRADY: Yeah, thanks, Pat. I will talk to Bill and Robbin about that sea otter data and get back to you. I will say that we did have some

problems in 2020 and that might be part of the low numbers. We'll have to wait and see how that comes out. But this year the fisheries biologist, Kevin Vanhatten, has told me that the numbers are much higher in Akalura and we'll wait and see what those numbers are.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other comments or questions.

### (No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none, thank you for your report. Next item we have on the agenda is National Park Service, but I don't see anything there. Is there anybody online to give a report.

#### (No comments)

 $$\operatorname{MADAME}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. We'll move on to ADF&G, Mark Witteveen. I know that his report was in the handout.

MS. KRUEGER: Hi, Madame Chair. This is Kelly Krueger with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Can you hear me?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Kelly.

MS. KRUEGER: Okay, thanks.

33 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: We can hear you.

MS. KRUEGER: I'll be doing the report on the Buskin. It's in the supplemental materials. It's a PowerPoint presentation if everyone wants to follow along. So it is second to last on the supplemental materials, titled 2021 Buskin River Sockeye Salmon Stock Assessment.

So this is just a quick presentation on our sockeye salmon stock assessment project this year. The primary objectives for this study are to census sockeye salmon escapement, estimate age composition of escapement and harvest and summarize sex and length data. We have a written report, which is also in the supplemental materials, and that's the last item on the list which starts with ADF&G update through September

1 1st, 2021.

Next slide, Slide 2. This shows the escapements of sockeye salmon through Buskin River and Lake Louise from 2012 to 2021. Our weir count for sockeye salmon at Buskin River this year through September 1st was 2,263 fish. This was well below the current escapement goal range of 5,000 to 8,000 fish and was the lowest estimated escapement on record. The Department closed the subsistence, sport and commercial fisheries targeting Buskin River sockeye salmon and subsistence salmon fishing was closed by emergency order on June 15th. The Buskin River weir was operational on May 17th and was removed on August 4th and we installed our lower Buskin weir on August 1st and sockeye salmon continued to be counted through this week. While we're still compiling data fish seemed to be of fairly average size and a preliminary look at scales indicate a fairly normal age composition. will be looking more at that data throughout the winter and we'll report, if we find anything else, at the spring 2022 meeting for the RAC.

The next slide, Slide 3. This is the run timing for the Buskin River sockeye salmon. And this shows that the 2021 run had late and sporadic timing compared to previous years with a significant number of fish passing after July 31st. The peak day was June 16th with 333 sockeye counted. Many of those later timed fish could have been destined for our Lake Louise weir which I will talk about next.

Our Lake Louise weir had a run much higher than average this year. Through September 1st a total of 1,464 sockeye salmon were counted through the weir with 1,355 counted on September 1st which coincided with a very heavy rain event. For comparison, the recent five year average for Lake Louise is 95 fish. Many of these were likely counted through the lower weir as well but some were in their spawning colorations and could have entered the river before we put the lower weir in. The Lake Louise weir was in place from June 1st through September 13th, and when we pulled the weir on September 13th we counted 1,896 sockeye total for Lake Louise.

We submitted our Buskin proposal for OSM. This is Slide 5. It's been submitted and we're waiting on notification of a successful proposal.

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

As discussed during our spring 2021 presentation to the RAC we removed subsistence sampling in the Lake Louise weir from our proposal. Subsistence sampling was originally included in the FRMP project due to concerns about age differences in subsistence harvested sockeye versus sport harvested sockeye. analysis of scales did not show any significant differences in age between the sport and subsistence harvested fish. In addition, we removed the Lake Louise weir from our upcoming proposal. This component was originally added in the project in 2002 to provide complete escapement counts of sockeye salmon returning to the Buskin River drainage. The recent five year average escapement, so from 2016 to 2020 for Lake Louise was 95 fish, as I said before. The run timing for Lake Louise seems to be later than that of Buskin and fish tend to push hard during heavy rain events. Habitat for sockeye spawning and rearing in Lake Catherine is marginal and tanik compared to Buskin Lake.

20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

For our new proposal we have a new objective within our proposal and that is to measure the sockeye salmon scales for freshwater and saltwater growth phases. This was an FRMP priority objective need listed for the Southwest Alaska region. We plan to measure freshwater and saltwater anuali growth from digitized scales from the most prominent Buskin River sockeye salmon age classes which are ages 1:2, 2:2, 1:3, and 2:3 fish. Correlations between growth measurement data and various climate indices will be explored as well as the relationship between ocean growth and the sibling relationship, which is the proportion of fish that return after two years in the ocean versus those that return after three years. And this will help us better forecast the Buskin River sockeye salmon In addition, the growth run. measurement data will be explored to evaluate the relative health of freshwater residents time, which is used as an index for the productivity of the freshwater environment. And the cost differences between this proposal and our previous proposal is a cost savings of This proposal totaled 490,000 versus our \$39,000. previous one, or current proposal, which is funded at 529,000.

45 46 47

48

The next slide, Slide 6, is about our intern program. Our intern program continued in 2021. This year our interns were Audrey Longheim and Lucas

5

6 7

9

Parker, which are on this slide. This program annually employees two top qualified students to work on the Buskin project between June 1st and July 31st. Interns gain knowledge, principles involved in fisheries management and research while obtaining experience and fisheries data collections methods and techniques. Since 2003, 21 of 33 interns have returned to work for Fish and Game at some point and we're really proud of that number, that so many interns come back to work for the State, either seasonally as technicians or as biologists. We will be recruiting soon for two interns for the 2022 field season if our funding request is successful.

13 14 15

16

11

12

 $\,$  And with that I will take questions and thank you to the OSM FRMP Program for providing funding for this project.

17 18 19

 $\mbox{{\it MADAME}}$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Do I hear any comments or questions.

20 21

MR. HOLMES: I'll let somebody else go first.

24 25

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

26 27

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  HOLMES: Well, if not this is Pat, Madame Chair.

28 29

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, Kelly, it's a very I commend you folks on your intern good report. program. And I'd point out that the regional sportfish management biologist went through that years ago and I think it's really a credit to what you folks do in working with young kids and training them, and then also the outreach things that you've done in the past with different classrooms coming out to check things out at the weir. I think it's really swell that your proposal to look at fresh and saltwater growth in the scales, I think that should help quite a bit to solving the mystery of why we've had some of these catastrophic changes. I know last year the only reason -- not this season, but last year that they even got the escapement was because of the sea lions, not because of the strength of the returning run. And I think that Mark mentioned then that the three ocean fish were really low so I'm going to ask Tyler when he gives his report

on McLees, because they had a bad year two years ago, what kind of age composition was short there. And, you know, you folks are number 1 priority for our Council, and I'm just really glad you're able to get this information and help everybody out on the island and in town to know what's going on.

And so I just want to salute you folks and thank you and thank you very much for your super hard work.

## Thank you.

Through MS. KRUEGER: the Chair. Thanks, Pat. That means a lot. Hopefully next year we'll be able to do more outreach and education with different kids. We were able to host the Youth Conservation Corps through the Refuge at the weir so they came and looked at the weir and we talked to them about how the weir works and how to age scales and some different things. So that was fun and hopefully next year we'll be able to do some more. For the ages, we still have our weir in the water but once we pull it later this week, we'll be able to sit down and age the scales and see what the trends look like and hopefully have some better information for you guys at the spring meeting to discuss.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other comments, or questions.

#### (No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none, thank you for your report.

# MS. KRUEGER: Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. I have Tyler Lawson, update on McLees salmon weir, verbal update.

MR. LAWSON: Yes, hello, Madame Chair and members of the Council. For the record this is Tyler Lawson, the assistant area management biologist for the South Alaska Peninsula and Aleutians Island. I'll be giving an update on the McLees Lake sockeye salmon enumeration weir on Unalaska Island.

This past summer saw a healthy improvement in the run compared to 2020. reminder, McLees has an escapement goal of 10,000 sockeye salmon. In 2020 the weir only passed 5,037 which was a historic low. And as a result of that Fish and Game extended the 500 yard closure there for subsistence fishing for the duration of the run. Pat had asked about what we saw in our scale analysis out there and in 2020 we saw that the run was 1:2 dominant whereas usually McLees is a 1:3 dominant system. And it's likely that those 1:3 fish were negatively impacted kind of by what Pat and Kelly had discussed before mirroring some of what we saw elsewhere in the region, it was a really bad run for sockeye across the South Peninsula in 2020. But we had guessed that those 1:2s being more dominant in 2020 would hopefully bode well for this year and we'll find out here soon. I'll be looking at those samples this winter and we'll be able to provide an update at the next RAC meeting about how the 2021 scale ages look for the McLees sockeye.

But this year the weir passed 16,173 sockeye and Fish and Game was able to open up fishing all the way to the outlet stream perimeter on July 12th. And the anecdotal reports from our technicians out there at McLees stated that a good number of people were able to make the trip out from town to catch enough fish to fill up their smokehouses and freezers and it was a good pretty good summer for weather out there from what the technicians told me in their daily reports.

At this point in time the weir still has funding for two more field seasons, and with that in mind this past summer was also the second year that Fish and Game collaborated with Aleutian Aerial to perform salmon surveys on McLees via drone. And we're hoping to have four years of data to calibrate the accuracy of those drone surveys to see if they are suitable as a cheaper back up alternative if we have trouble getting funding for McLees again in the future.

As always we need to express gratitude to Chris Price and Shandra and the Q-Tribe for providing support on this project, and I'd also like to thank Jared Stone and the OSM Staff for all of their administrative support and for providing the funding for the weir. And I'd be happy to take any questions that anybody has.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat, do you have any comments or questions.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, well, thanks for answering that question on the 1:3s. I think that's probably paramount in the change. It sounds like the escapement you got this year is kind of memorable to some escapements in previous years. I think the exciting thing about McLees lake is that if you have a relatively more sun in the summer that thing basically turns green with a broth and really improves the escapements in future years. And so I'm just tickled to death that you folks were able to get funding back for McLees and I know that the Q-Tribe is very happy with working with you folks and I just think what you're doing is really swell because that's one of our highest priorities in the region. And -- oh, did you have problems getting ashore this year? I think pointing out some of the hazards of being a field biologist.

MR. LAWSON: Yep, yeah, it took us three attempts to actually land to get the weir installed this year. On the first attempt we were able to make it on to land but as we turned around to head back we got hit by a swell and got tossed in the surf and got a little wet. Which I was a little bit embarrassed about it at first but when we got back town and told people it sounded more like a right of passage out at McLees than anything. A couple of folks told me that when they go out there they have to wear drysuits to do their fishing so that's kind of how that beach is out there.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I think it's exciting also to be looking at the drone surveys. I know they are getting some good information there for Unalaska. But then that does make it a backup, but it doesn't get your sampling of the fish, and although it's certainly a lot less exciting than trying to fly the lake and the stream. The last time I did it was in a Grumman Goose and that was exciting in itself, and then the pilot the next year ended up dying in a crash and he was probably the most experienced Goose pilot in the state so it is just kind of dangerous to get things

0329 done out there. But I think what you're doing is so important to the community. And I understand that you're also trying to work with the Q-Tribe on helping them get some ability to do a community harvest for 5 subsistence and I think that's really great for them to get some help doing that. 6 7 8 So thanks a whole bunch. 9 10 MR. LAWSON: You're welcome, 11 problem. 12 13 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other 14 comments or questions for Tyler. 15 16 (No comments) 17 18 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: 19 20 positive news. 21 22

Hearing none, thank you Tyler, it's a good report and nice to hear

MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Vince.

nο

MR. MATHEWS: I don't know if Mark is He provided, you know, a Buskin River sockeye salmon fishery and stock assessment project report. So I don't know if he's online. Copies were provided to you in the mail and they're also on the website for future reference.

31 32

23 24

25 26

27

28 29

30

33

34

35

36

38 39

40

41

42 43

44 45

46

47

48

MR. WITTEVEEN: Yes, this is Mark Witteveen, and I am online here. Kelly just gave the report that summarizes that written report but I think she referenced where the written one was as well so I think we're covered.

37

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, correct. I think I asked for you, Mark, on the report but Kelly did give the report. Okay, thank you.

The next item on the agenda is OSM.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Thanks, Madame Chair. This is Lisa Grediagin with the Wildlife -- I'm the Wildlife Division Supervisor with OSM and I'll be giving the OSM report.

5 6

7

All right, so on behalf of OSM I'd like to thank all Council members for your exceptional work that you do on behalf of your communities and user groups during these trying times. We value your expertise and contribution of your knowledge and experience to the regulatory process. It has been very difficult for all of us dealing with the Covid19 environment.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

you've learned from Chairman As Christianson's letter dated August 20th, 2021, we are holding all 10 fall 2021 Regional Advisory Council meetings via teleconference. This decision was made with the utmost consideration and concern for the health and safety of Council members, families, rural communities, the public and Staff who are all part of Council meetings. The health and safety of everyone is our highest priority. The DOI guidelines advise that people avoid travel and refrain from meeting in person to minimize risk and help prevent the spread of Covid19. The Regional Advisory Councils are the foundation of Alaska's Federal Subsistence Management The Federal Subsistence Management Program Program. recognizes that in-person meetings are preferable, however, until we can ensure the safety of all participants we will follow current guidance and hold all meetings via teleconference. We thank you for willing to participate being in the lengthy teleconferences and appreciate your patients as we deal with the various technical issues that arise from the poor telephonic connection, the vast distances involved and differing communication systems throughout the state.

33 34 35

Some updates on the OSM Staff.

36 37

38 39

40

41

Since your last Council meeting in winter 2021 the following OSM Staffing changes have occurred. We are very pleased to announce that Amee Howard has joined OSM as our new Deputy Assistant Regional Director. Amee previously worked in OSM as policy coordinator.

42 43 44

45

We are also very pleased to see that a number of Staff that worked at OSM for awhile grew with the program and got promoted.

46 47 48

Katya Wessels was promoted from Council Coordinator to Supervisor of the Council Coordination

1 Division.

2

Myself, Lisa Grediagin, was promoted from Wildlife Biologist to Supervisor of the Wildlife Division.

5 6 7

4

Robbin LaVine was promoted from Anthropologist to Policy Coordinator.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

Additional good news is that three wildlife biologist positions at OSM were filled. Tom Plank came to OSM from the Bureau of Land Management, or BLM, in Utah. Bryan Ubelaker came to OSM from BLM in Anchorage. And Kendra Holman came to OSM from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in Anchorage.

15 16 17

We also had some departures at OSM through retirement and new opportunities.

18 19 20

We bid a fond farewell to Donald Mike, Caron McKee and Zach Stevenson.

212223

24 25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

The OSM team is diligently working on building capacity and will be filling several new positions in our anthropology, Council Coordination, Fisheries and Regulatory Divisions, along with adding additional administrative support. And, an additional note is the application period is currently open right now for two subsistence Council Coordinator positions and these are GS12 positions. The job announcements are currently posted on USAJobs -- the USAJob.gov And so we ask that Council members help website. spread the word and help find us -- sorry -- help us find great candidates for these key positions in the Federal Subsistence Program. And if you have any additional questions regarding these positions you can call Katya Wessels at 907-786-3885.

37 38 39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

Moving on to RealID for travel to Council meetings. Over the past two years we have been reminding Council members about the change in requirements for IDs at airports. Beginning May 3rd, 2023 every air travel will need an RealID compliant acceptable form of license or driver's other identification, such as a passport, to fly within the United States. This is applicable even when you fly on small Bush carriers. Please note that all Council members will need to make sure that they have their required RealID for travel to the fall 2023 Council

1 meeting.

And lastly an update on the lawsuit from the State of Alaska.

As you were previously briefed, August 10th, 2020 the State of Alaska filed a lawsuit against the Federal Subsistence Board after it adopted Emergency Special Action WSA19-14. This special action allowed the Village of Kake to engage in a community harvest of two antlered moose and five male Sitka black-tailed deer. Also included in the lawsuit was a temporary special action WSA20-3 which closed Federal public lands in Units 13A and 13B to non-Federallyqualified moose and caribou hunters. As part of the the State asked the court to issue two lawsuit preliminary injunctions. One to prevent the Unit 13 closure from taking effect and another vacating the Kake hunt and prohibiting the Board from allowing any additional emergency hunts related to the impacts of Covid19. On September 18th the U.S. District Court denied the State's request for a preliminary injunction on the Unit 13 closure. The court found that, because the State has not demonstrated either a likelihood of success or serious questions on the merits of its claims, the court need not consider the remaining elements of the preliminary injunction analysis.

Two months later on November the 18th, the Court also denied the State's motion for a preliminary injunction on the Kake hunt after Judge Gleason concluded that the State had not demonstrated a likelihood of success on the merits, raised serious questions on the merits of its claim, or demonstrated any likelihood of irreparable harm.

While these rulings on preliminary injunction are encouraging, they did not resolve the litigation. Recent developments are that settlement negotiations between the Department of Justice, the DOI Solicitor's Office, USDA Office of General Counsel, and the State proved unsuccessful. A briefing was completed at the end of August 2021 and we are now awaiting the Court's decision.

Based on legal guidance, Program Staff does not comment on any active litigation directed against the Federal Subsistence Board beyond what we have reported here.

0333 1 I would be happy to answer anv 2 questions. 3 4 Thank you, Madame Chair and Council 5 members. 6 7 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Are 8 there any questions or comments. 9 10 MR. HOLMES: Excuse me, Pat. 11 12 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 13 14 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I was wondering, 15 that was a pretty in-depth report, faster than I could keep notes. I didn't see anything in our packet. I was 16 17 wondering if you could take what you reported to us and 18 give it to Vince to email, or if the Council doesn't 19 want it if you could email it to me I'd sure like it. 20 And the court suits is also something interesting to 21 try to think out a bit. And I always like to keep track of who the Staff is. So thanks a lot for the 22 23 update. 24 25 MS. GREDIAGIN: Yep, thanks, Pat. 26 Yeah, we can absolutely mail that out OSM report to 27 you, at least, and the other Council members, if 28 interested. 29 30 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. 31 Because I think a couple Council members aren't here, 32 just go ahead and send it to Vince and he could share 33 it with all of us. Thank you for the report. 34 35 Do people need a short All right. 36 break or are we good to keep going here. 37 38 (No comments) 39 40 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Then we'll keep 41 going. 42 43 (Pause) 44 45 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, I quess we'll just keep going. 46 The next thing we have to back 47 up on is we have the statewide proposals with C&T determinations and that one was mainly informational 48

only so I think -- I don't know that we need to do

49

anything with that at this point unless somebody wants to pull one of them forward to discuss.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

6 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Excuse me, my other phone that tells me what I'm doing, the battery died. I was thinking that on some of these, there's some that I think would affect our region. I think the intensive management findings for Unimak and the two there 25 and 26, having not read them but I think those will be shook out. The one I'm wondering about is Proposal 30 shorten the wolf trapping season in Units 9 and 10. I think we don't need details on that. I think an absolute no on that would be appropriate for our Council.

 And then as Coral pointed out we've got a whole bunch of things on reducing the Emperor goose harvest and I think Rick commented on them and maybe we could just take all those in one big group together and go for a no on them.

So, thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you, Pat. I was referring to there was a section on the WP22, on determinations of rural residents. But we'll go ahead and move on to what you suggested and I do have mine pulled up on the internet. So if we could look at Proposals 15 and 26 first and that is the review of intensive management findings for Unimak caribou and determine objectives if applicable. And Proposal 26 is determining C&T uses of Unimak Caribou Herd, Unit 10, Unimak.

MR. HOLMES: Are the Peninsula State biologists still with us?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, I don't know. Do we have anybody from ADF&G online that could go through these proposals.

MS. HAYDEN: Madame Chair, this is Natasha.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

Natasha.

 MS. HAYDEN: I'm going to have to sign off here in a few minutes to go to a -- I have an obligation at 5:00 o'clock, so I apologize. I'm not sure how to be able to find out if we're going to be coming to an end at the end of the day or do you have a sense of how much longer we're going to need to go?

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Basically we have this to go through and then identify issues for the annual report, and then the dates of the next meeting. So I suspect if we can go through maybe this Proposal 25 and 26 which are on the Units 9 and 10. If any Council member has any comments on this. I'm going to -- I'm hoping maybe Vince or somebody is listening that can send in any comments from the Council in reference to these proposals.

 MR. MATHEWS: Yes, Madame Chair. The actual proposals were mailed and they're also online in a file there at the website. So in respect to Fish and Game, if they were tracking this meeting by the meeting book agenda, the meeting book agenda did not have this topic on it. It somehow got misplaced. So they were not aware that you were going to look at Board of Game proposals. So -- and they may jump on now, but I want to get that on the record, that it somehow just did not get in the book, the agenda that did have Board of Game would be possibly looked at.

 So Proposal 25, I can try to give a summary of it and then you guys can decide from there. Again, I do not work for Alaska Department of Fish and Game so I -- but, anyway, Proposal 25, if it's okay I can give you kind of a quick summary. Again, there's a lot of verbiage here but I'll try to cover as much as I can.

MS. SKINNER: Della, I just wanted to jump in. Rebecca joined the meeting again. And did I understand correctly, are we hoping that Vince is going to capture our thoughts on these proposals and then actually submit written comment on behalf of the RAC. Della, was that your question?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: That was the comment. I think that was the plan also because they do affect our area, especially the issues around the

Emperor geese. But we figure we can kind of take these in blocks, so we were looking at Proposal 25 and 26, we can take them one at a time, on the wolf and wolverine trapping and then the other main one -- the big one, I think is on the next page, is Proposal 35 through 49 that have been turned in with regard to Emperor geese.

MS. SKINNER: Okay, thank you, Della. This is Rebecca again. And last question, are you looking for a motion to submit comments for these different blocks of proposals or is our comments made on the record, is that sufficient?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Vince, what would be the proper procedure here. I think if there was Council consensus to make comments in regards to these. Maybe for the record let's go ahead and just do the motion, it just makes it a lot cleaner.

MR. MATHEWS: Yeah, Madame Chair, when I've worked with other Councils, we would draft a letter under your signature to the Board of Game, your actions on individual proposals so there is a formal record -- and your record, and then it also goes into the formal records for the Board of Game.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.

MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair, this is Katya Wessels.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Katya.

 MS. WESSELS: If you want to send the comments to the Board of Game you should just have a motion to send Council's comments on these proposals. So send a letter with comments. You should have a motion on these.

Thank you.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. So I guess at this point, Rebecca, if you want to make a motion and someone second it, then we'll go through each of these proposals and write down what our comments are in reference to them.

MS. SKINNER: Okay. This is Rebecca. I don't mind making a motion. If I could just get

clarification from either Katya or Vince, do you need a separate motion in relation to each of these Board of Game proposals?

MS. WESSELS: This is Katya. No, you don't need a separate motion. You just need to have one motion for a letter and, you know, that the draft will go to the Chair and the Chair will review it before it's sent. So just make a motion that it reflects the Council's comments made on the record during this meeting.

 MS. SKINNER: Okay. So if this is the right time I'll make a motion that a letter be prepared that reflects comments made on the record by the RAC in relation to Board of Game proposals, and the letter would be addressed to the Board of Game. And the letter would be drafted and then sent to Della for her signature and review.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rebecca. Do I hear a second.

MS. CHERNOFF: Second. Coral.

MS. HAYDEN: Second.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. I got a second by Coral. With that we'll go on with discussion. And in the discussion maybe first we'll talk on Proposal 25, Vince, do you want to just -- 25 and 26. So, Vince, if you want to go ahead and just give a review of what those proposals are about.

MR. MATHEWS: Yes, Madame Chair. Proposal 25 is to identify big game prey populations The way I understand it now is that and objectives. there is some population objectives, this is for the caribou herd, the Southern Alaska Peninsula Unimak And they're looking at changing it to a Island. negative finding or a positive finding for 1,000 to 1,500 with a harvest objective of 20 to 150. understanding is the current population objective is 1,500 to 4,000 with a harvest objective of 150 to 200. And I'm going to leave it at that because -- well, it's -- there's a lot of complexity to it. But it is there and hopefully you guys can see the copies of it and it's proposals from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and comments are due on these by January 8th.

```
0338
 1
    that's 25.
 2
 3
                    (Teleconference interference
 4
    participants not muted)
 5
 6
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Della.
 7
 8
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. I'm going
 9
    to -- I've been having -- go ahead.
10
11
                    MS. CHERNOFF:
                                   Della, can we -- can we
12
    ask everyone to mute, please.
13
14
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           Everyone please
15
    mute your phones. There's a lot of -- it sounds like
    paper on a microphone. I'm still trying to basically
16
    pull it up. You said 1,500, what was -- I thought the
17
18
    -- I thought they listed -- okay, yeah, I didn't think
19
    it was that high, 1,500. I'm still trying to pull it
20
        I've been having some issues with the internet. I
21
    keep getting knocked off. So are there any other
    comments in regard to what Vince just read.
22
23
24
                    (No comments)
25
26
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Is there
27
    any other....
28
29
                    MR. HOLMES:
                                   I missed it because my
30
    phone died but I'll go with the flow.
31
32
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           So, Vince, can
33
    you repeat that real fast, what you just said.
34
35
                    MR. MATHEWS:
                                 Yes. What I understand
36
    -- because what they do is the new language is
37
    underlined and in bold. So the existing language for
38
    population, which is for the Southern Alaska Peninsula
39
    Unimak Island. The current thing is positive finding,
40
    their population objective is 1,500 to 4,000 and their
41
    harvest
             objective is 150 to 200.
                                             What they're
42
    proposing is to have a negative finding or to find a
43
    positive finding and change the population objective
    down to 1,000 to 1,500 and the harvest objective down
44
45
    to 20 to 150 caribou.
46
47
                    So that's the real bare bones of this
```

49 50 proposal.

1 (Pause) 

3 MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

6 Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, thanks. So I do not -- I am not familiar enough with this topic and I guess I also note the reference to, depending on what the Board did with the caribou it would change the Unimak wolf management area or it would be repealed from regulations. So I don't know enough about this to make a motion. I am not sure how I would vote on a motion that were made but I am hoping either there's going to be more discussion from people more familiar with the actual State management of this in this area, otherwise if nobody is going to make a motion on it -or I guess we're not doing a motion, we're capturing comments at this point, but if we don't have enough comment to actually put into a letter maybe we could move on. Because I know the Emperor goose ones we're definitely going to have comments on that. So I guess I just don't want us floundering if we don't have enough for a comment, let's just, you know, figure that out and then move on to the next one.

Thank you.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I'd be inclined to

move on.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, so the next one is Proposal 26. I can't pull this up at all, I don't know why. It's not -- okay, so -- there's Proposal 26, Vince, do you want to summarize what Proposal 26 is.

MR. MATHEWS: Yes, Madame Chair, and I'm hoping that Pippa may still be online because this is under the State and it's looking at the State's customary and traditional use of game populations. And they want to determine the customary and traditional use of Unimak Island Caribou Herd, Unit 10, Unimak Island. So what is the issue: the Board is required to identify game population or portions of populations that are customary and traditionally taken or used for subsistence. If a positive C&T finding was applied to the combined mainland and island herds, then the last—

well, I'm losing it -- I don't understand the C&T determinations for the State, what impact -- what management part do they address, so maybe Pippa can help out on that so we get the best information to you.

MS. KENNER: Yeah, I can talk to you about it in a broad sense. This is Pippa Kenner with OSM. If Robbin is on, she actually may know more.

So in the State system -- may I ask who that proposal's from, who submitted the proposal, do you know?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  MATHEWS: Yes, it's from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

MR. KOSO: ADF&G.

MR. MATHEWS: And if you look at the website it's -- I'm trying to find the name of it real quick here, it's Alaska Department of Fish and Game.....

MS. KENNER: That's okay. That's okay. That's what I wanted to know.

MR. MATHEWS: Okay.

MS. KENNER: And so in the State system, subsistence regulations cannot be adopted unless there is a customary -- a positive customary and traditional use determination for those animals. So the C&T in State regulations includes the animals and the area. And if the animals in that area have a customary and traditional use determinations then subsistence regulations can be adopted. And the subsistence priority in State regulations must be observed.

MR. MATHEWS: So, Madame Chair, if the State determines a positive C&T for this particular herd, then the Alaska Department of Fish and Game recommends that the Board postpone establishing an ANS, which is an amount necessary for subsistence, for the herd until the population reaches management goals and harvest consistent with traditional levels are sustainable. So there is that coupling into amount necessary for subsistence, but Fish and Game is asking for a delay on Board action on that until the

```
0341
    population reaches management goals.
 2
 3
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.
 4
 5
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Della.
 6
 7
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat, do you have
 8
    any comments in regards to what's been said?
 9
10
                    (No comments)
11
12
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           I'm going to
13
    assume as far as Proposal 25 and 26 at this point
14
    there's no comments. I can't pull up
15
    proposals....
16
17
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Della.
18
19
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: ....online.
20
21
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Della.
22
23
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                             There is my
24
    problem.
25
26
                    REPORTER: Is that you Coral?
27
28
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Yes.
29
30
                    REPORTER: Della, did you want Coral to
31
    go?
32
33
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I'm sorry, yes,
34
    go ahead.
35
36
                    MS. CHERNOFF: So I guess in looking at
37
    reading this what I'm capturing from this is that there
    was already a customary and traditional -- a positive
38
39
    determination but now they want to separate the herd.
40
    So there was a positive determination under the
41
    Southern Alaska Peninsula Herd and then now it says,
42
    because the caribou are now managed as a herd separate
43
    from the Southern Alaska Peninsula Herd, there is no
    C&T finding specific to the USH (ph).
44
                                              So they're
    trying to separate it out and get a new customary and
45
46
                       And I guess I didn't hear that
    traditional use.
47
    captured when the proposal was talked about a few
48
    minutes ago. So I don't know if that makes a
49
    difference to you in how you want to deal with it or
50
```

just move forward.

3 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I can't read it. My computer keeps going down. But I think Coral's interpretation of it is probably quite logical because of the statement they had in the -- 25 had them combined, so in my mind if they're working towards trying to come up with a State C&T for Unimak Island and separate the two out then I'd be inclined to say yes for both them. And even though it'll take longer than what we have it'll end up being a similar situation to what you have over in King Cove and Unit 9 for having either type of permit to get. So if a person had options on either one, availability, I think, is something important. So I don't think it would mess up subsistence at all so I'd be inclined to vote yes on both then.

MR. KOSO: Della, Rick here.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Rick, do you have comments.

 MR. KOSO: Yeah. I mean we beat this up pretty hard earlier today but I know the State is trying to separate it out for customary and traditional deal on the Southern Peninsula. So I'm kind of with Pat on this deal, I think we should let them do their thing and get it right. The State decided -- you know, they're working separately with the Feds on Unimak so on this I would say if the State's looking to do their C&T for, you know, Unit 9 then I would agree that we let them do that. So I'd agree with Pat on saying yes to both 25 and 26 right now.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$  CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, is that agreeable with everybody else. Rebecca, you had mentioned different earlier.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, this is Rebecca. I mean we're not voting, the motion was to put together a letter that would capture our comments. Personally, I feel like we didn't discuss these in enough detail. I don't understand them well enough for myself to feel comfortable, you know, if I did have to vote yes or no,

but if everyone else wants to include comments in the letter, I guess that's fine. But I -- we didn't have the State biologist here to walk us through this and I feel like we're kind of -- half the people, it seems like, don't have the materials in front of them and it's just not clear to me if we even really understand what these mean.

So those are my comments, thanks.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, I can't even pull them -- I can't pull anything up online. It's just so frustrating. Oh, look at this, proposal book, finally.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, so if we don't vote on these here or send in a letter of either support or non-support of our actions, then they will follow through anyway whether they got our yes or no on them, if I'm not mistaken; is that what will happen?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: We're just basically making comments on them, Rick.

MR. HOLMES: Well, you could have a whole bunch of sport -- excuse me, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah. Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Well, you could have a whole bunch of -- you know, at the Board of Game Board meeting you could have a whole bunch of guides and sport people say they didn't even want to consider having a C&T for those areas and I think that from what Coral read it sounds to me that it would be getting them moving forward in a positive way towards a State C&T on Unimak because they needed to separate that out and define it. So I would like to put forth a motion that we take a position to send to the Board of Game to support Proposal 25 and 26. And then if folks don't want to they can say no and that's it.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So, Pat....

MR. HOLMES: I guess I would need a second.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat, the procedure we took was a motion was made to send a letter in reference to these proposals. So this letter is going to reference Proposal 25 and 26 and it would basically say that the KRAC supports Proposals 25 and 26

MR. HOLMES: Yes.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And basically the comment that Rebecca made was, because we can't seem to see the actual wording, I can't. I can pull this document up and it tells me what the proposals are but I can't find where to get the information to read the actual proposal. And so then we go down to Proposals 30 and 31 which is shortening the wolf trapping seasons in Units 9 and 10 and then close wolverine trapping and hunting in Unit 10. I just don't know where these proposals are, the actual wording is, I can't find it.

MR. HOLMES: Well, I think if you look at -- excuse me, Madame Chair. In the back of that packet that came with that little brief thing of -- it has Page 1, 2 and 3, but there was also something that we got that has Page 32, it discusses the Unimak and game things and it's Proposal 25 and 26 is discussed in that packet that I got from Vince. So I think it does explain that when I look at those. On Page 32, that, I think is intensive management. It talks about the population objectives, harvest objectives. And then on No. 26 it says determine customary and traditional and basically they're both from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. And scanning through them quickly I think they are trying to accomplish what Rick was proposing, is that they're trying to come up with something similar to what we have already determined ourselves and to separate out Unimak from South Peninsula. And so I think we've got the information here, at least I have in this part of the packet I got from somebody.

MS. CHERNOFF: Madame Chair, this is

 Coral.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Coral, are you

0345 1 there? 2 3 MS. CHERNOFF: Yes, I'm here. 4 5 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Do you have a 6 comment. 7 8 MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, I guess I'd like 9 to suggest maybe that we do or say nothing about these 10 since we don't kind of have a consensus and we just 11 move on to the next proposal. But I think it was good 12 to see these proposals, I think they're important for 13 the area and, you know, I guess I would suggest that, 14 you know, yours and Ricks area and you're really 15 familiar with it, that, you know, you make sure people 16 are aware of the proposals and understanding them. 17 18 MR. HOLMES: Well, Madame Chair, 19 don't think that we don't have a consensus unless we 20 have a vote to decide that. 21 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: 22 We already have 23 a motion on the floor, Pat, to send a letter in 24 reference to these various proposals so I don't -- at 25 this point whatever anybody decides I'm okay with it 26 just to get -- to move on. 27 28 I'm going to be honest, I have never 29 been so disorganized in a meeting as this meeting. I 30 usually can go through everything, I organize myself. 31 I've gotten pieces here and pieces there and I finally 32 get a booklet and then I'm trying to go to the internet 33 and our internet keeps popping on and off. 34 apologize for my frustration but it's not been easy. 35 So given that whatever you guys decide in regard to Proposal 25, 26, 30 and 31, I think I'm fine with. So 36 37 there's Proposals..... 38 39 MR. HOLMES: Okay. Madame Chair, I 40 guess.... 41 42 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: .....35 through 43 49, that we definitely were going to oppose those 44 having to do with the Emperor geese, and I think that's 45 the easy ones. But as far as the 25 and 26..... 46 47 HOLMES: Well, all I was trying to 48 do is determine whether we had consensus or not, Madame

Chair. And we have two against and two in favor but we

49

0346 haven't heard from the other folks. 2 3 MS. SKINNER: Well, consensus means 4 agreement so if there's two against you will not have 5 consensus. 6 7 MR. HOLMES: That's fine. 8 9 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And so at this 10 time....11 12 MR. HOLMES: Let's move on then. 13 14 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: .....I think we'll just leave 25 and 26 off the table. The next one 15 16 would be 30 and 31 is shortening the wolf trapping 17 seasons in Units 9 and 10 and then close wolverine 18 trapping and hunting in Unit 10. 19 20 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. 21 22 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Does anybody 23 have comments. Go ahead, Pat. 24 25 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I think shortening 26 the wolf trapping season in Unit 9 and 10 from all the 27 actions our Council has taken before on the predator 28 control, I think that it would be good to be against those because that would reduce the harvest of wolves 29 30 and I think that would end up slowing things down with 31 the caribou recovery. So I think on Number 30 I would 32 be inclined to say against that. 33 34 The wolverine one, I read that through 35 to the end and basically the only wolverines that are 36 available in that whole area are a small number on Unit 37 10 so I think -- I don't know anybody that traps and so 38 I think that voting yes to close the season there and 39 support the State biologist's position is the way I 40 would look at those two. 41 42 Thank you, Madame Chair. 43 44 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Can I just 45 ask.... 46 47 MS. SKINNER: This is Rebecca, I agree. 48 49 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Just out of 50

```
0347
     curiosity, does it say on these proposals who submitted
 2
     them.
 3
 4
                    MR. HOLMES: Yeah, Alaska Department of
 5
    Fish and Game.
 6
 7
                    MR. KOSO: Madame Chair, this is Rick.
 8
 9
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick.
10
11
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, I lost my last phone
12
     there, it ran out of batteries so I might have missed
13
    -- I don't know if you heard me or not, but, you know,
     I had a chance to look at both of those proposals and I
14
15
     second Pat's motion to go ahead and accept them. So I
16
     just wanted to let you know that I'm in favor of those
17
     and I didn't see anything in there that would hurt our
18
    area. I just see improvement to our area, both 9 and
19
    10.
20
21
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Della, this is Coral.
22
23
                    MR. HOLMES: Which ones, Rick?
24
25
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, I don't
26
     know where you're getting 9 and 10, we were talking
27
     about Proposal 26 and 27 [sic] on Unimak and then....
28
29
                    MS. CHERNOFF: I think he was talking
30
     about the area.
31
32
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Oh, gotcha.
33
    we were talking about Proposals 20 and 31, Rick,
34
    because Pat suggested not supporting shortening the
     wolf trapping season, to say no on Proposal 30 and yes
36
     to 31, closing wolverine trapping and hunting in Unit
37
         There are wolverines in our area but I don't know
38
     anybody that's been trapping them. Unit 10, I don't
39
     know either there if anybody's trapping wolverines.
40
                    MS. SKINNER: This is Rebecca, I tried
41
42
     to speak up before. I support Pat's suggestions for
43
     Proposal 30 and Proposal 31.
44
45
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you,
46
    Rebecca.
47
48
                    MS. CHERNOFF: This is Coral.
49
```

#### MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Coral.

MS. CHERNOFF: Yes. I also agree with Pat on both of those, oppose Proposal 30 and support Proposal 31. And, Della, you had asked who submitted those proposals. Proposal 30, which was shortening the wolf trapping season was proposed by a Jeff Lucas, and the other proposal, 31, was proposed by Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Okay. Thank you. And I do know who Jeff Lucas is. So, Rick, what are your comments in regard to what Pat suggested, no on 30 and yes on 31?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  KOSO: If you can repeat it for me, Della.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So Pat's suggestion was to say no on Proposal 30, which is shortening the wolf trapping season and I don't think we want to do that. We want to continue, hopefully people can get rid of -- control the wolves. Proposal 31 was yes to close the trapping and hunting in Unit 10.

MR. HOLMES: For wolverine.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: For wolverine.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, Della, I agree with that. Yeah, I'll agree with that.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. And I agree too. And I think Natasha may have left, she said she had to be somewhere at 5:00. So, okay, I think we're good to go there. Proposal 35 through 49.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I'm going to -- go ahead, Pat, what's your comment in regards to -- or, Rick, I'm going to say Rick, you were talking about Emperor geese earlier so I'm going to let you talk about these ones to start off.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, okay, Della. I was looking at those proposals and most of them, I would say probably 90 percent are from guided hunts and, you

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

20

know, I would say probably, you know, 90 percent of them are looking for, you know, wall mounts instead of food. And I know they got, what 28,000 Emperors they're hoping to reach and I hate to turn this over to the outsiders to come in and shoot up these Emperor geese when in our communities, the reason that the locals are not going out and getting these is they're not going to go and spend a lot of money and take a skiff or a boat to a certain area where they can shoot these. It's just too costly for one bird. They're not going to go out for one bird. They're going to go out for groceries, they're going to go out for food. And so the guides, they want to go out for one bird, that's fine, they want to mount it on their wall. Well, the Native people of King Cove, as far as I understand and other places in our area, they want to go out where they could shoot six, eight and make it worth their while, so it just doesn't make sense to me that, you 19 know, we'd approve something like this until we get plenty of Emperors that we could have a regular open season.

21 22 23

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rick.

24 25

MS. SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca.

26 27

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rebecca. Go ahead.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

MS. SKINNER: Thank you. So I would suggest, and this is for Vince's benefit, or whoever's writing the letter, that the letter specifically support Proposal 32, which was proposed by the AMBCC, and then oppose Proposals 33 through 50. I know people have been saying 49, but it looks like Proposal is in the same category. And that the comments we make in subsequent discussion could be captured in the letter. So reasons for supporting Proposal 32, and then reasons why we don't agree with having essentially more nonresident permits for harvesting Emperor geese, and that would be Proposals 33 through 50.

41 42

Thank you.

43 44 45

46

47

48

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, because 32 says close non-resident season for Emperor geese. And then 33 is allocating 50 percent of the permits for harvesting geese in Unit 9, 10 and 17 to non-residents, so we would want to -- we wouldn't want to support 33.

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

And then 34 is the same thing, allocating 50 percent. And I think I also wanted to add to Rick's comment and we heard it a little bit in what I read yesterday from a local resident that lives in Cold Bay year-round. When these guys come in, and they're coming in to hunt the Emperor goose, they're also -- because they're going to be hunting, you can hunt up to, I thinkit's --Rick can correct me if I'm wrong, I'm thinking it was like 51 or 52 birds a day which totals the various species available. So when that happens, that has a big impact when they're basically killing these birds and basically they're taking -- they're taking the breast and throw the rest of the bird away, it's a big loss. It has a big impact on what's available and it is happening now.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

MR. KOSO: Yeah, Della, I just wanted to say, you know, I'm not against guided hunts. I know we have some locals that do it and we have some people that come in and set up residencies, not year-round residencies, but they do set up businesses and stuff in Cold Bay and it does help the local economy and the town there to have these people come in. certainly not against having these people come in. What I am against is them giving them a lot of permits. And what I'd like to do is there's a lot of locals that don't shoot birds because of the fact they're not going to go out and get one bird, they want to go out and get enough for dinner and when you have four or five kids in the family one bird ain't going to do it. So I'm just at a point where, you know, when we get enough Emperors on the table where we can have a regular season or a better subsistence hunt then I would certainly be not against this.

343536

MS. CHERNOFF: Della, this is Coral.

37 38

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Coral.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

MS. CHERNOFF: Hi, Della. So I just attended the State AMBCC meeting and I would just like to say that, yes, I think we should support Proposal 32. The AMBCC has worked for several years to open the Emperor season. It's a great team of really dedicated and professional people. It consists of Alaska Department of Fish and Game, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the Native Caucus from all areas around the state. So I think we should just support this proposal for the reasons that we support the work of the AMBCC

who are dedicated to the conservation -- both the conservation of the Emperor while also supporting opportunities for subsistence users.

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2

So there's two management plans. work on two separate management plans. One is for the fall/winter, which we know as the sport hunt. other is for the spring/summer, which we know as the subsistence hunt. So originally -- so right now Alaska allocates 25 of the currently 500 birds to non-resident in a draw permit. After a couple of years of working through these management plans, AMBCC forwarded their plan to the Alaska Department of Fish and Game as a proposal and in that hunt the Emperor Goose Committee and AMBCC had asked that there be 1,000 resident hunt for the fall season and then continued harvest for the spring season. So the AMBCC never asked for non-Through the meetings they were never resident hunts. in support of non-resident hunts at this early trial period. And then, of course -- and then as it went through the Board of Fish and Game, they threw 25 nonresident hunts on there.

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

So that's kind of a little background on this. So, once, again -- so then in the second year -- after the first year of the hunt they did a survey and numbers were down. So they have three levels that they have the birds at. And so we're kind of in a cautionary population status. So according to the management plan, when we enter into that status then we come back to the table and we make a plan for conservation methods. So what we did is reduced the hunt from 1,000 down to 500 s statewide, and then there's different zones. The Kodiak and out on the Aleutians for the fall hunt, they have higher numbers than up north because we have more birds out this way in the fall so our numbers for harvest are higher. So we reduced our numbers. We agreed on higher education We agreed to really impress, when and outreach. everybody went back to their towns and villages and relatives, we really impressed that we don't, you know, we're sort of hunting with caution and we don't really need to hunt, like don't hunt if you don't need to. So we really put a lot of effort into that. And then the Yukon Delta area agreed to not do any egg gathering of Emperors. So a lot of talk happened at the AMBCC level about, well, then how about if we take away half of the So non-residents didn't make any effort -- so hunt. there was no efforts put forth to reduce their numbers

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

so all the effort was put forth through the subsistence users through AMBCC. We were a little disappointed in And then in 2020 we didn't have surveys. then this last survey the numbers were down again, and what we've just agreed to is just status quo. We've already reduced the number that are available. then we've really bumped out our education They've put videos on. outreach. Apparently when you're on the internet, I don't know, Facebook, and YouTube the video will come up, you know, and it talks about hunting, hunting carefully, hunting for the future so that message comes up. And we've passed around fliers. And then here in Kodiak we, in the springtime, did a permitted hunt and we had, I think, 47 people apply for a spring permitted hunt.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

So then I guess leading into the other, most of the proposals, which are asking for more hunts, we're a bit disappointed that -- so Alaska has been really spending a lot of time and effort and supporting not hunting the birds so that the population goes up, and so a lot of these -- in these proposals they mention that -- like one says, we've learned that most Alaskan hunters are simply not that interested in hunting Emperors and that demand for this species is largely for non-resident. They also talk about how there's a lot of birds that aren't being taken. And so we're working on some outside messaging for that too. So they're ill-informed. Their reasoning on where they want to increase the hunt, their reasoning is false. So I would hope that we don't support any of those for, I guess that reason, them not understanding that we're really working hard in-state to conserve that bird. And AMBCC is doing a lot of work to get the message across that we're still kind of in a -- we're in a real kind of a touchy conservation period for these birds.

36 37 38

39

I guess that's it. That's all I have to say. I would hope to support Proposal 32, while not supporting the rest, 30-50 [sic].

40 41 42

Thank you.

43 44

45

46

47

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. I solidly agree with Coral, that was a really excellent summary. And earlier I was just trying to point out the 32 on non-resident, and you did a marvelous job, Coral, I totally agree.

0353 1 MR. KOSO: Yeah, I totally agree with She gave a good report there. 2 Coral on that too. Yeah, I'm in favor of what she said there, you know, in supporting 32 and opposing all the rest of them. 5 6 MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair. What 7 would recommend on this is that when I get to this section in the letter on comments on these proposals, 9 that a draft would be provided to Coral, and others if 10 they so desire, to make sure I capture it all. I tried 11 to capture most of it but it would be great if they had 12 an opportunity to review the comments that were just 13 discussed that would be in the letter to the Board of 14 Game. 15 16 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, I think 17 that's great because she had some very good supporting 18 reasoning why they should not be supported. And just 19 trying to keep the population to where we can harvest 20 is -- all these -- how many years that we couldn't, you 21 know, we don't want to get to that point again. So I 22 think basically that's pretty much a consensus. 23 24 Rebecca. 25 26 (No comments) 27 28 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right. 29 given our motion, I think we've agreed to not do 30 anything with 25.26. No. 30 is a no. No. 31 is a yes. 31 32 is a yes. 33 through 50 is a no. Is that correct? 32 33 MR. HOLMES: Roger that. 34 35 MS. CHERNOFF: Yes. 36 37 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right. 38 we've got a motion on the floor as far as any more 39 discussion. 40 41

(No comments)

42 43

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none, call for question.

44 45 46

MR. KOSO: Question.

47

48 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All in favor 49 signify by saying aye.

0354 1 IN UNISON: Aye. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Opposed, 4 sign. 5 6 (No opposing votes) 7 8 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Motion carried. 9 Thank you, everybody. Some tough things on our plate. 10 The next item on the agenda I believe is identify 11 issues for 2021 annual report. 12 13 MR. MATHEWS: Madame Chair, I'll just 14 give you a quick outline of what goes into a report. 15 You have a summary on what, you know, what the annual reports do and that's on Page 159 in your book. 16 17 basically what I wanted to point out is that the annual 18 report provides the Council an opportunity to address 19 the directors of each of the four Department of 20 Interior, and Department of Agriculture in their 21 capacity as members of the Federal Subsistence Board. 22 The Board is required to discuss and reply to each 23 issue in every annual report and to take action when 24 within the Board's authority. When it's outside the 25 authority, they would refer it. 26 27 So based directly from Title VIII of ANILCA, Section .805, the description for the annual 28 29 report is that it would address, identification of 30 current and anticipated subsistence uses of fish and 31 wildlife populations within the region. So that's 32 anticipated subsistence uses. The next is evaluation 33 of current and anticipated subsistence needs for fish 34

and wildlife populations from public lands within the So that is looking at needs. The third part region. in the annual report is a recommended strategy for the management of fish and wildlife populations within the region to accommodate such subsistence uses and needs to public lands. related And a fourth part, concerning policies, recommendations standards, guidelines, and regulations to implement strategy.

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

So that is the sideboards, parameters for your annual report. So I'll stop there and see what topics you would have for your next annual report.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Vince. Any items that the Council would like to add to our annual report.

1 MR. HOLMES: A couple things, Madame 2 Chair. 3 4 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 5 6 MR. HOLMES: Two things that come to 7 mind are the needs of the respective Refuge Staff and filling their empty positions, and the same with OSM 9 filling empty positions that they need in the support 10 of accomplishing those. I can see -- and those 11 particularly relating to our caribou things in 9 and 10 12 and then also all the work that the Maritime is doing 13 in the Aleutians. So that's at least a kickoff there, 14 I'm kind of brain dead. 15 16 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Anything 17 else. 18 19 MS. CHERNOFF: Della. 20 21 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. 22 23 MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, I guess I would like to -- I don't know how many things have been 24 25 listed because I got kicked off the phone so I just got 26 back on. But I think really implying, you know, our 27 need for seating of alternates. I think this meeting for us kind of got a little tricky with not having 28 29 members in attendance or if people have to leave, can't 30 make it until the end of the meeting and we have things 31 we're still voting on or things like that, we really 32 get impacted by that. So I know we've asked about the process of alternates but I think it'd be imperative 33 34 that maybe we get some alternates appointed. 35 36 MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair, this is 37 Katya Wessels. 38 39 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Katya. 40 MS. WESSELS: 41 I would like to address 42 what's just been said about the alternates. So as the 43 Council knows that during the last charter change the 44 Secretary added the new language to the Council charters under the membership and designation section 45 46 the charter, and that section reads: Alternate

members may be appointed to the Council to fill

vacancies, if they occur out of cycle. An alternate

member must be approved and appointed by the Secretary

0355

47

48

49

before attending the meeting as a representative. The term for an appointed alternate member will be the same as the term of the member whose vacancy is being filled. But what I want to clarify about this, you know, they say if a vacancy occurs out of cycle, and a vacancy occurs in the situations when a Council member passes away, they move out of the region or they resign. So the only alternates that have been approved by the Secretaries is the alternates that are sort of sitting in the wings and if a vacancy occurs, then that alternate can be appointed and come to the meeting. And that's one thing.

So you can't have alternates just because a Council member is busy and cannot come to the Council meeting. You can't have alternates come in your place and attend that meeting. That's not what's being allowed.

And the other thing, even to have the alternates to fill a vacancy out of cycle, you need to have enough applications for the region. And that will be the next topic of your discussion, you know, the open period for Council applications, that is open right now. But, you know, I just want to say briefly that during the last two appointment cycles, the Kodiak/Aleutian did not have enough applications. The Kodiak/Aleutian region did not have enough applications to fill the vacancies.

 So I just want you to be very clear when you are formulating your topics for your annual report that you understand these details. That the alternates can be only for the purpose of filling out of cycle vacancies. And in order to have these alternates you need to have more than enough applications to fill your seats and then also have enough qualified applicants to be vetted as alternates and be waiting if there are any vacancies that occur.

## Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Thank you, Katya. In reference, also, to that in our letter, in response to this issue, it's a result of insufficient applications. In 2019 and 2020 the Board could not provide recommendations to the Secretaries of Interior and Agriculture to fill all of the vacant seats on the Council. And there were no applicants to

 recommend for alternate positions because we didn't have enough applicants and we still have one vacant seat open on our Council. So it's something, I think we've got to really look at trying to talk people into getting on, whether they're younger people, or just trying to get people to get involved is what we need to make an effort to do.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I think that one thing, I don't know if it was when you weren't on the Council, but I think at one time we had asked to be notified prior to the deadline as to whether or not we had enough applicants. And I think if we had that type of working relationship in this process, I think that it would help us on our outreach. And, quite frankly, I've been sending out the notice to lots of folks on emails but I think that at times, in the past, like this last go around where we didn't have enough applicants, I had sent it out to a bunch of people and I had no idea that they didn't get -- nobody followed through. So if we don't know from the administrative level whether or not we've got enough people applying then it's hard for us to go and forge ahead. need some kind of verbiage to say that the Council would like to help in outreach for filling vacant seats but we would need to be notified prior to the deadline whether or not we had enough applicants.

So is that reasonable?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So, Pat, I'm going to -- I think that's reasonable but I don't know that that's going to be what we need. One of the things....

MR. HOLMES: No, but it'd help.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: .....that follows on what you're saying is that RIT and if we've asked them to consider them to look at the model of what Kodiak did with Koniag as -- for the future, is looking at something like that and doing -- because if we had an RIT at Izembek -- I'm going to be honest, we don't get a lot of interaction with Izembek at all. I probably have more just because I'm the Chair than

9

11

12

13 14

anybody else, but there's not a whole lot unless you live in Cold Bay. There's no outreach, there's no visiting the communities, it's been a big problem. And it's something that I've brought up on many occasions, you know, does anybody get a hold of anybody in False Pass, does anybody talk to anybody in Akutan. Our area is so big, you know, miles and miles, and even just how do we address that so there is more communication and education that's being put out there than what's being done now. And that's part of the, I think, the bigger picture, not only for me trying to get more people involved, or request people. The other part, though, that's been tough is this pandemic, and even just trying to see people at any kind of level because -that has an impact also.

15 16 17

## MR. HOLMES: Amen.

18 19

20

21

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: But should we make a suggestion for them to look at that, what Koniag and Kodiak Refuge is doing because I think that is amazing?

222324

MR. HOLMES: Yes, I think so. I think

252627

28

29

30

so.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And the sea otters was the other thing and when we're looking at our annual report, I think we covered that really good. Do we feel comfortable with the sea otters at this point but to continue to work with Marine Mammals.

31 32 33

MS. CHERNOFF: Yes.

343536

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Food security. That's just an ongoing issue. Closure reviews. I think we've covered this -- I think that's been pretty well covered.

38 39 40

37

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS}}.$  SKINNER: Della, this is Rebecca. I have a question.

41 42

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

43 44 45

46

47

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, so when you just said food security is an ongoing issue, did you mean that that would be included in our annual report or it would not?

48 49

0359 1 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Well, just maybe 2 Katya can help me with that. Is that -- the lawsuit is still ongoing; is that correct? 4 5 MS. WESSELS: Well, yes. 6 7 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So if we were to 8 reference the food security would we basically say that 9 we support the food security? 10 11 MS. WESSELS: Well, you can talk about 12 food security in your annual report, that's -- I don't 13 think that's a problem. 14 15 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Okay. 16 17 MS. SKINNER: Yeah, so this is Rebecca. 18 I would like to have a paragraph on food security. And 19 I would like some reference to the Covid, and the 20 impacts of supply chain challenges because of Covid, 21 and it just underscores the importance of having 22 reliable and adequate access to subsistence resources 23 for our communities to ensure food security. 24 think that it is very timely to talk about food 25 security and it's not specific to a lawsuit, it's not 26 even specific to Covid, it's important to our 27 communities. But we can point to a few things that are 28 happening right now that we can use to illustrate why 29 access to subsistence resources remains so important to 30 our communities. 31 32 Thank you. 33 34 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, I fully 35 agree. 36 37 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. 38 39 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: What about 40 invasive species -- go ahead, Pat. 41 42 MR. HOLMES: Well, to follow-up on the 43 food security, I was thinking, you know, if a person's 44 well established in a community, you have connections and I just had somebody drop by a king and silver and a 45

big rock fish during our talk, but a lot of people

don't have that kind of contact and I'm not a

Facebooker but I'm wondering if we maybe could get

support from the tribes or Native Corporations or

46

47

48

49

within our own group to have some kind of like a -- I think they have some kind of Facebook sites on, you know, vegetables and stuff, but why not something -- a model that could be developed for each community in our region where you could have a place where you could just send a note saying, gee, you know, my skiff got killed, I could use a couple fish. And some places the word gets around really easy and some places it doesn't, but that might be a model to think about trying to develop somehow.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thanks, Pat. I actually was fortunate and got some halibut and some red snapper yesterday so I was happy. My favorite fish is red snapper. Okay. What about invasive species.

MR. HOLMES: Well, I think continuing comments of support to Sun'aq on their research on the crayfish even though it'll be repetitive. I think that that's a really important thing because that could be a very major factor in why things have crashed and hopefully we'll find out with the more intensive scale analysis. You know because if it comes up showing both saltwater and freshwater are doing fine, then something else is causing the problem and it might well be the crawdads. So I think it's worthy of mentioning.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: If you make comment that continued support of Fish and Wildlife with BIA providing funding and technical support to continue on with looking at the crayfish issue in Kodiak, Buskin.

MR. HOLMES: Right. And I'm sure the folks out, you know, I haven't talked to anybody in Atka in a few years but I'm really tickled, and I should have mentioned it to the Maritimes, I'm really tickled that they're helping them on rats for some of the more remote places where we do have small villages because it's totally incredible. At night there you can shine a flashlight and see 300 beatty little red eyes. And I think that would be, you know, support on efforts for invasive species including rats, you know, would be a good comment to work in there.

Thank you.

0361 1 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thanks, Pat. 2 3 MS. CHERNOFF: Della, this is Coral. 4 5 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Coral. 6 7 MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, I just want to 8 build on that too. Pat did mention invasive species, but I think we have a lot of monitoring programs and 9 10 reporting programs and I would like to see them support 11 some more efforts in eradication. Like we do have --12 the Refuge seems to have some pretty good eradication 13 efforts and programs because I know, like, I might have 14 been on the RAC six years now or something like that 15 and I remember in the beginning hearing reports of crayfish. And then while I applaud all the efforts for 16 17 Sun'ag, certainly in going forward and finding a grant 18 to gather those crayfish; in those five years, or four 19 years that population has grown tremendously. 20 don't know if there's anything we can do about it at 21 this point. 22 23 And then moving forward I've seen 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

several pictures of mink on the Buskin. I think mink can be some -- quite a voracious little eater of fish too. We have them near our -- we have them over on Near Island and down by the fuel docks. I think both fuel docks have mink. And so the mink population seems to -- I'd like to get on top of that before it gets of hand, they're definitely an invasive species.

So I would like to impress on really putting some effort into some eradication of invasive species, if they could start sort of looking that direction rather than kind of move out of, you know, reporting and information gathering, and support other organizations and funding that, maybe more eradication type.

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42 43

44 45

46

47 48

49

50

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: We might have to be careful with that.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat, you were going to make a comment.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah. A point of interest is that I've noticed the mink population has increased

with the rats in Pearson Cove here and that they're a major predator of rats and, you know, and no offense but they really don't get deep diving into the water, not like land otters do for fish. And so I don't mean to be rude but I think they do play a very important role in our ecosystem. So sorry to contradict.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. So basically we can add that in there. Anything else.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So between now and maybe when we get the draft if anybody thinks of something we could probably consider it.

So, Vince, did you get all of that?

MR. MATHEWS: Yes, I did get that and you captured it in your last sentence the latter part. If I understood correctly, if other annual report topics come up they would send them to me and obviously copy Katya because hopefully not too far in the distance there'll be a new Regional Coordinator for you and so I will do as best I can on the annual report and all that through my detail and then others would pick up from there. So I appreciate, do copy Katya if there's additional annual report topics. And obviously if I don't understand and I have in my notes a specific name, sure, I'll be giving you a call and saying, Coral, I didn't understand clearly what you were saying there, could you enlighten me a little bit more and that will help with the writing.

MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair, this is

 Katya.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Katya.

MS. WESSELS: Yeah, I think that the best, you know, approach to the annual reports is that we, Vince, we, or the OSM draft the topics and then send the draft to the Council members that initiated that topic and then you work on the language and then the report is put together after that and then the Council can look at it together in its entirety at its next meeting, during the winter 2022 meeting cycle. I

think that that would be the best approach to things.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think the only

MR. MATHEWS: And your calendars are on

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Katya.

MS. WESSELS: Yes, we also have on the

Yeah, so just

Thank you.

thanking them I think would be a good thing.

Anything else.

(No comments)

MS. WESSELS:

meeting, date and location and then fall.

other item now I've got is confirming the winter 2022

Page 173 and 174. And as we mentioned earlier at your

last meeting, I believe it was, you guys selected

February 22nd and 23rd and if I understand correctly a

agenda the topic of the open period for the Council's applications and nominations and I think Vince has a

little presentation for you on this because I think it's very important for the Council members to help us.

2

3

4

7

9

11

5 6

And I think maybe just a reference too, in thanking them for the support on the Unimak caribou and the sea otter issue. I know sometimes some of these things are out of their purview but they've been supportive of 10 trying to get Staff to help us and point us in the right direction. And just a thank you to the Staff for 12 helping us to do what we need to do in what we are 13 requesting. Because they do a lot of work in that 14 arena and it's much appreciated.

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23 24

25 26

> 27 28 29

30 31 32

33 34

35 36

37

38

39 40 41

43 44 45

42

ahead.

46 47 48

49

50

season.

meeting in Kodiak.

Katya Wessels.

and I appreciate Pat's comments and others comments on efforts to get the word out. But basically the

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Oh, okay, Fall 2021 Council application nomination MR. MATHEWS: Yes, I appreciate that

Madame Chair, this is

nomination open season is happening. And so with that,

I, and I think the whole OSM Staff would like to thank all the Council members for your service on the Council on behalf of the user groups that you represent. And, personally, I thank you for your patience. We've had a lot of hurdles to get over, and, Della's leadership and all your patience is just phenomenal. Anyways, your knowledge and experience is of great value to the Federal Subsistence Program. In my opinion and others, it's the foundation of the program.

We are currently going through some difficult times during the pandemic but at the same time we are constantly working to have a robust --Councils with full memberships. And we are looking to you, Council members, for help because you are the connection to the communities that you represent. The 2022 Council application period was open on September 17th, so we are encouraging you and some of you are already doing this, we are encouraging you to help us to spread the word about in the region, communities and recruit new applicants throughout your region. know the people and the region. You also can share firsthand experience what it means to serve on the Council and what is involved and how much time it takes. You can also nominate candidates if someone is interested in applying but is having a hard time filling out an application. The application packets are available on the website and that's the one I mentioned earlier, but it's, www.doi.gov/subsistence/regions, and a fillable copy can be posted soon as well. We're planning on mailing a number of application packets to current Council members and when you receive them you can hand them out to interested persons or organizations and tribes.

I'm going to jump off the script here a little bit. If you do share with people that you think are interested, I'd recommend you share those names with Katya and the new Coordinator because then that's what Pat was signaling earlier, there may need to be a further call because they go oh I got it, but, oh, I get it, I'll apply now. So, please, when you do provide that and you're comfortable sharing the name of the person you gave the application to, that helps a lot.

Okay.

And additionally, we are mailing 2,000

copies of the application packet to various addresses across the state. If someone needs a copy to be faxed to them we can do it as well. We are hoping to have a good number of qualified applicants applying this year so we're able to fill out all the vacant seats and have alternates, which you just discussed, available for the situations when Council members resign, move out of the region or pass away.

We would really appreciate your help with this recruitment this year. And, again, I think earlier on we talked about encouraging you to look out to younger ones. And younger adults, et cetera, and youth, you could also invite them, hopefully when we have a face to face meeting but if not, and it's not in their community, invite them to listen in by teleconference. That'll go a long way. I work with a lot of youth and we just got to kind of nudge them along a little bit, especially when they're younger.

So with that, that's the information on the upcoming nomination period.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And just a question, because the 2021, that was me, Natasha and Melissa, and I know I got all of my stuff in, so what we're looking at is 2022 which would be the vacant seat, and then Pat Holmes, we've got Rick Koso and Sam Rohrer.

MR. MATHEWS: If you're looking at the list, yes, that would be correct. I'm trying to find that list as quick as I can. But those that are listed with the number year of 2022 are the ones that are up in this round of nominations.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And I would also like to make a suggestion because.....

MR. MATHEWS: So Pat -- I'm sorry, go ahead.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, I just named them, Pat, Rick and Sam and a vacant seat. And then also because it seems like there was a problem at one time getting -- submitting an application by email and there might have been a mixup somewhere, I would recommend that if you have these applications being emailed, that they be sent to more than one person if

1 it's not a hardcopy being sent in the mail.
2
3 MS. WESSELS: Yeah, Madame Chair, this
4 is Katya.
5
6 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Katya.

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

MS. WESSELS: Yeah, we have separate applications for the incumbents and this is what Pat and Rick and Sam would be, they would be the incumbents. And that's correct, their terms expire next year and we have another vacant seat on the Kodiak/Aleutian. But the other thing, what I wanted to add is, you know, the Council members, they really are the connection to the communities and you know people in your communities. You know who might be a good person. You can share your experience of working on the Council, what it entails, what time commitment that it is, what difference does it make for the people in the communities, for the users, for the user groups, you know, it would be great if you can encourage younger people to apply for Council memberships so you can pass along your knowledge to them. We have on some Councils some younger people and they really work well, you know, they really learn quick and it would be great if Kodiak/Aleutian gets a member or two that are a little bit younger than the current Council members so you can pass your knowledge. So if you know a person who can be a good Council member, you can also nominate them. You know they don't necessarily need to fill out the application. For example, if there's somebody who is shy, or, you know, maybe needs help filling out the application, you can nominate that person and if -- I mean with their agreement, of course, that they agree to apply, you know, you don't want to nominate somebody who doesn't know. But it would great if you could help us this way. You know we are trying to do what we can, we are posting information about this open period in the newspapers, we have radio spots, we have TV ads, we've put it on Facebook, you know, we're mailing applications, it's on our website, but we still need the personal connection, we need the personal outreach. So I would really encourage Council members to help us, especially your region, we did not get enough applications, we have one vacant seat, we want to have all the seats filled at least, if not have alternates.

46 47 48

49

50

So thank you for your attention. Thank you very much for your service, we really appreciate

```
0367
 1
    it.
 2
 3
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you.
 4
    I think we got the message.
 5
 6
                    (Laughter)
 7
 8
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think we've
    got the dates of the -- it says confirm winter 2022
 9
10
    meeting dates and locations. We had the February
11
    winter meeting. So can we just basically select our
    fall meeting at our winter meeting or do we need to do
12
13
    that now?
14
15
                    MR. MATHEWS:
                                     You
                                           just need to
16
    confirm....
17
18
                    MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.
19
20
                    MR. MATHEWS:
                                   ....the dates at this
21
    time for your winter meeting. Sorry.
22
23
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                             I wrote this
24
    down somewhere, Vince, when you said it, but I don't
25
    see what I wrote down.
26
27
                    MR. HOLMES: It's listed as.....
28
29
                    MR. MATHEWS: According to the calendar
30
    -- I'll leave it up to Pat because I think Pat has
31
    right in front of him.
32
33
                    MR. HOLMES: Yeah, it's February 22 and
34
    23 for the winter meeting in Kodiak.
35
36
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah.
37
38
                    MR. HOLMES: I would like to suggest if
39
    it'd be possible for the fall meeting, we are going to
    have a commitment to go take care of our grandkids in
40
41
    August and September so if we could go maybe like the
42
    third week or fourth week in September, that would kind
43
    of give me -- give us a little week break before we got
44
    back -- or when we got back. And also if we were going
    to be considering trying for Cold Bay again then the
45
    week of the 19th or 26th is a good time to see
46
47
    waterfowl and go silver fishing or whatever else.
48
49
                    MADAME
                            CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                                 So
                                                     just
50
```

basically let's -- it'd have to be the 27th and 28th because you would do a fly in on the 26th and then hopefully there's somebody flying out of Cold Bay on the 29th. My only concern with that is if it's possible to go up one week, and the reason for that is using Chris as an example. This last week in September, because of year end it gets pretty hectic for some of these organizations.

9 10

7

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, that'd be swell.

11 12 13

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So the week of the 19th. So the meetings would be maybe the 20th and 21st, fly in would be the 19th and fly out on the 22nd, Cold Bay.

15 16 17

18

19

14

MR. HOLMES: Maybe the Staff could check and see, you know, on best guess on plane availability because we might need to flex that one way or the other.

202122

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, when we get closer, who knows. We've had our challenges.

232425

MR. HOLMES: Roger that.

26 27

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. I don't have anything else, guys. I want to make a comment though.

293031

32

33

28

First of all, I want to apologize for my -- I just totally felt so disoriented, or a little bit of confusion here, and I'm not usually this way but we did get through the meeting, but I apologize.

343536

37

38 39

40 41

42

44

45 46

47

48

The second thing, I just want to thank Vince is the Coordinator for Eastern Interior, Vince. and is it Western Interior, and the crash of their salmon has kept him literally running and he's been able to help but I don't think we were able to do, I think, work as close as we usually would if I had if it had been with a different Coordinator, but I just wanted to thank him for helping out and doing what needed to be done to get us through this meeting. I'm hopeful that we do get a Coordinator in the near future, that those positions get filled, because it's so important. And it's hard to go through the shift from one to another and especially with somebody that doesn't really know our region very well. But, you

know, it is what it is. And I'd just like to thank him for that.

And thank everybody for your hard work on here because I'll tell you what this Council is pretty strong in their beliefs and what you guys push forward on and listening to the concerns and the wishes of the communities that you represent.

Thank you.

I guess we just do closing statements and adjourn. So anybody want to jump in.

 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, well, yeah, I wanted to thank Vince because I know he's been trying to handle so many different things and I thought he did an incredible job as well as did the rest of the Staff and getting good reports. I think it was a difficult meeting but I think it was -- we made a lot of progress. And I just want to thank everybody on the Council and the Staff. And, particularly, since Covid and so many people dying of that and just dying of old age in my age group, that I just wanted to say it's been an honor to work with all you folks and I think you're all swell, so take care.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. And don't forget to fill out your application.

(Laughter)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rick.

MR. KOSO: You called me Della.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rick, I sure

38 did.

MR. KOSO: Yeah. Well, first of all I'd like to thank everybody on the Board there. I think with what we went through today with everything we had and what we didn't have, I think we accomplished a lot. I think everybody pretty much stood together and spoke their mind and so nobody had to guess at all in my mind here during this meeting. But I know we had a lot of support from the Staff and our Coordinator and it'd be nice to get one soon. But I know on this -- when I went to reapply and I know it took about a year and a

half to get reapplied the last time I came on, and I think I missed one or two of the meetings because of it but it is what it is. So anyways thank you very much and I enjoyed the meeting.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rick, and welcome back. Natasha, are you online or are you still gone.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Coral.

MS. CHERNOFF: Yes, thank you, Della. I just want to say thank you to all the Staff who provided our materials and took these couple days to be here with us and answer a lot of questions for us. I always appreciate that, all the effort you put in. Of course, your work starts much earlier than ours does. And thank you so much, Vince, for all the emails, keeping in touch and just really being on top of it.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

(Laughter)

MS. CHERNOFF: And I want to thank all the people for not muting their phones, some of it's amusing, some of it's not. And I hope everybody goes through the rest of the winter healthy and gathering lots of subsistence foods.

Thank you everyone.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Coral.

37 ( 

Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, I just want to thank everyone, all of the Staff people that put the meeting together and presented and all of the Council members. I feel like we had a lot of discussion on a couple of things that we maybe weren't expecting to have quite so much discussion on but I think it was really good discussion. I think it was necessary discussion. So in my opinion this was a really productive meeting. And I look forward to meeting up

```
0371
    again here in a few months.
 2
 3
                    Thanks.
 4
 5
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you,
 6
               Okay, I just want to tell everybody, the
    Rebecca.
 7
     Staff and Katya, thank you for all your help and
    helping us. And everybody just keep safe and with this
    Covid, God willing we'll be able to see each other here
9
    face to face in February. So happy holidays, and be
10
11
    safe. And a motion to adjourn.
12
13
                    MS. SKINNER: So moved.
14
15
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Second.
16
17
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Rebecca and
18
    Coral.
19
20
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Coral seconded.
21
22
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Discussion.
23
24
                    (No comments)
25
26
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Question. All
27
    in favor signify by saying aye.
28
29
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
30
31
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Opposed, same
32
    sign.
33
34
                     (No opposing votes)
35
36
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                             And before I
37
    forget, thank you, Tina, for your help.
38
39
                    REPORTER: You're welcome.
40
41
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, guys, bye.
42
43
                    MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Kodiak/Aleutian
44
    Council, you guys are wonderful.
45
46
                     (Off record)
47
48
                      (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
49
50
```

372	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )
4	)SS.
5 6	STATE OF ALASKA )
7	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
8	state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
9	Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
10	
11	THAT the foregoing pages numbered 02 through
12	contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
13	KODIAK/ALEUTIANS FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY
14	COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME II electronically on the 28th
15	day of September 2021;
16	muam the control of t
17 18	THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
18 19	correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
20	reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
21	ability;
22	<u>.</u> .
23	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
24	party interested in any way in this action.
25	
26	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 10th
27	day of October 2021.
28	
29 30	
30 31	Salena A. Hile
32	Notary Public, State of Alaska
33	My Commission Expires: 09/16/22
34	11 Commission Displice. Co, 10, 12
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
10	
1	
2	
13 14	
44 45	
16	
47	
18	
19	
$\cap$	