```
0001
 1
                   KODIAK/ALEUTIANS SUBSISTENCE
 2
                    REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
                             VOLUME I
 7
 8
                          TELECONFERENCE
 9
                        February 22, 2022
10
                            8:41 a.m.
11
12
13
14
15
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
16
17
    Della Trumble, Chair
18
   Coral Chernoff
19 Natasha Hayden
20 Patrick Holmes
    Richard Koso
21
22
    Christopher Price
23
    Samuel Rohrer
24
    Rebecca Skinner
25
26
27
28
    Regional Council Coordinator, Katerina Wessels (Acting)
29
30
31
32
33
34
    Recorded and transcribed by:
35
36
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
37
    135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2
38
    Anchorage, AK 99501
39
    907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0002	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3 4	(Teleconference - 2/22/2022)
5	(On record)
6 7	MS. WESSELS: This is Katya back. I am
8 9	not able so far to get in touch with Della. Della, did you join us by any chance while I was off the phone.
10 11 12	(No comments)
13 14 15 16	MS. WESSELS: Let's see which Council members are online right now. I know that Pat is online. Other Council members please identify yourself if you're online.
18	MS. SKINNER: Yes, Katya, this is
19	Rebecca Skinner and I'm prepared to step in as Vice
20	Chair if need be if we can't get a hold of Della.
21	
22	MS. WESSELS: Okay. Thank you,
23	Rebecca. Anyone else besides Rebecca and Patrick.
24	
25	MR. ROHRER: Good morning, Sam Rohrer
26	is here.
27	is here.
28	MS. WESSELS: Good morning, Sam.
29	MS. WESSELS. GOOD MOINING, Sam.
30	MD DOUDED. Cood morning
31	MR. ROHRER: Good morning.
32	MC MECCEIC. Anyono olgo
33	MS. WESSELS: Anyone else.
	MD MOGO. Vash this is Dish Mass The
34	MR. KOSO: Yeah, this is Rick Koso, I'm
35	online.
36	MD DDTOE H' and man's miles 's
37	MR. PRICE: Hi, good morning. This is
38	Chris Price in Unalaska.
39	NO THEODER OF THE
40	MS. WESSELS: Okay, so we have Pat,
41 42	Rick, Sam, Chris, Rebecca, anyone else.
43	MS. CHERNOFF: This is Coral Chernoff.
44	
45	MS. WESSELS: Good morning, Coral.
46	
47	Natasha are you online.
48	
49	(No comments)
50	

```
0003
 1
                     MS. WESSELS: Okay. Rebecca, do you
    think -- let's wait until about 8:40 and if Della
    doesn't join us then let's begin the meeting, is that
 4
    okay with you?
 5
 6
                     MS. SKINNER: Yes, that sounds good.
 7
 8
                     (Pause)
 9
10
                     MS. SKINNER: Della just texted that
11
     she'll need to call in at 9:00.
12
13
                    MS. WESSELS: Okay. Let's just begin
14
    the meeting and then she can join us when she can.
15
16
                     MS. SKINNER:
                                    Okay.
                                            Well, let's go
     ahead and get started then. The first item -- and,
17
18
    Katya, if there's something that I need to announce
19
    that I have skipped just let me know, but we'll go
    ahead and get the -- this is the February 22nd and 23rd, Kodiak Regional Subsistence Advisory Council
20
21
22
    meeting, and the first item on the agenda is the
23
     invocation.
24
25
                     I didn't have a chance to doublecheck
26
    who's going to do this but I think Pat, this is
27
     something you've done before, are you willing to do the
28
     invocation this morning.
29
30
                     MR. HOLMES: Yes, I am.
31
32
                     (Invocation)
33
                    MS. SKINNER: All right, thank you,
34
35
           We'll go ahead then and call the meeting to
    Pat.
            This is Rebecca Skinner, Vice Chair, for the
36
     order.
37
              It's 8:41 a.m. Third item on the agenda is
     record.
     the roll call and establish quorum, Katya, if you could
38
39
     do that.
40
41
                     MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Madame Chair.
42
     I am going to do the roll call. Pat Holmes.
43
44
                     MR. HOLMES: Yep.
45
46
                    MS. WESSELS: Rick Koso.
47
48
                    (No comments)
49
```

```
0004
 1
                    MS. WESSELS: Rick Koso. I think I
 2
    heard you earlier.
 3
 4
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, I'm sorry, I was on
 5
    mute.
 6
 7
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                    Oh, that's okay, thank
 8
     you.
 9
10
                    Sam Rohrer.
11
12
                    MR. ROHRER: I'm here.
13
14
                    MS. WESSELS: Thank you. Chris Price.
15
16
                    MR. PRICE: Here.
17
18
                    MS. WESSELS: Coral Chernoff.
19
20
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Here.
21
22
                    MS. WESSELS: Thank you.
23
24
                    Rebecca Skinner.
25
26
                    MS. SKINNER: Here.
27
28
                    MS. WESSELS: Thank you.
29
30
                    Della Trumble.
31
32
                    (No comments)
33
34
                    MS. WESSELS: Della is going to join us
35
     shortly, thank you.
36
37
                    Natasha Hayden.
38
39
                    MS. HAYDEN: Here.
40
41
                    MS. WESSELS: Thank you. With seven of
     the eight seated members present a quorum has been
42
43
     established. Thank you, Madame Chair.
44
                    MS. SKINNER:
45
                                   All right, thank you,
46
    Katya. The next item on the agenda is the welcome and
47
     introductions. So first of all I'll welcome everyone
48
     to our RAC meeting today. For introductions I'll start
49
    with the Council and then I think usually we go on to
```

see who else is on the phone. Council member reports will be later on the agenda so for the introductions you can keep it brief, state your name, what area you're from and anything else you want the Council and public to know about yourself as we start the meeting here.

So I'm going to go in the order that I wrote people down on my list which is not any particular kind of order. But the first name I'd written down was Sam.

MR. ROHRER: Okay, thanks, Rebecca. Yeah, my name is Sam Rohrer. I live on Kodiak and I live in the town of Kodiak. I spend about six or seven months out of the year on the west side of the island though. So thanks.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right, thank you, Sam. Next up is Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, Pat Holmes. I live in Kodiak and since '63 I've lived and worked in almost every community and a lot of ones that don't exist anymore from Cape Douglas to Attu and up in the Pribs and I've rattled around a lot. Now I'm almost 80 and I don't travel as much but -- most of my acquaintances have passed away and anyway, but, subsistence remains a passion for me.

Thank you.

MS. SKINNER: Thank you, Pat. Next up is Rick.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, I'm Rick Koso representing Adak. I spend quite a bit of time in Cold Bay during the summers. I still commercial fish out of King Cove on and off there during the summers. I'm still a resident and a voting member of Adak. So that's all I have to say, thank you.

MS. SKINNER: Thank you, Rick. Next up is Chris.

MR. PRICE: Hi, good morning. Hi, this is Chris Price from Unalaska. Privileged to be on the Council with you folks and I appreciate all your time. I am a subsistence harvester here in Unalaska and work

0006 for the tribe here and, again, it's a great privilege to be a part of this group. 2 3 Thank you. 4 5 6 MS. SKINNER: Thank you, Chris. Next 7 up is Coral. 8 MS. CHERNOFF: Hi, I'm Coral Chernoff. 9 10 I live here in Kodiak, Alaska. I raised three boys who 11 are currently all out on the Chain fishing, they're 12 fishermen. I am an indigenous artist and I have a studio where I teach art now. And I'm very much 13 14 involved in subsistence hunting and eating, as well as 15 I do medicinal plants. Yeah, and I'm very happy to be 16 here. 17 18 MS. SKINNER: All right, thanks, Coral. 19 Next up is Natasha. 20 21 MS. HAYDEN: Good morning. 22 Hayden. I am Alutiiq/Supiaq born and raised in Kodiak. 23 My family comes from the old village of Afognak. I'm 24 on the tribal council for eight years and I've been 25 part of (indiscernible - cuts out) pretty much my whole 26 life. 27 28 Thank you. 29 30 MS. SKINNER: Thanks, Natasha. 31 just so you know your line was cutting out pretty badly 32 so that may be -- I don't know if you can -- if it's a 33 reception issue. Okay. 34 35 And my name is Rebecca..... 36 37 MS. HAYDEN: I'll -- go ahead, sorry 38 Rebecca. I'm going to go ahead and hang up and try 39 calling back in. 40 MS. SKINNER: 41 Okay, thank you. 42 right. And my name is Rebecca Skinner. I was born and 43 raised in Kodiak. And I think I've been on the RAC 44 here, maybe this is going on my seventh or eighth year 45 and very happy to be here today. 46 47 Okay. So to do the rest of the 48 introductions in a fairly orderly manner, I'm going to

go down the list of agencies that are -- that appear on

49

```
0007
    Page 2 of the agenda. I'm just going to go in order of
    what's listed there.
 3
 4
                    So the first agency listed is tribal
 5
    governments.
                   Do we have representatives from tribal
 6
    governments on the call today.
 7
 8
                    (No comments)
 9
10
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                 All right, hearing none,
11
    the next group is Native organizations. Do we have any
12
    representatives from Native organizations on the line
13
    today.
14
15
                    MS. PETERSON: Good morning. This is
16
    Amy Peterson. I'm the Community Affairs Liaison for
             And my primary position is cross sharing
17
    Koniag.
18
    information between
                           the Kodiak
                                          island rural
19
    communities, tribes and corporations, as well as the
20
                      Wild [sic] Refuge and other
    Kodiak
            National
                  I have -- I am re -- I am currently
21
    stakeholders.
    living in Kodiak part-time and Old Harbor part-time.
22
23
    I'm a resident of Old Harbor for 31 years.
                                                Thank you
24
    for having me.
25
26
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                   All right, thank you,
27
    Amy. Are there any other tribal Native organization
28
    representatives.
29
30
                    (No comments)
31
32
                    MS. SKINNER: Okay, hearing none.
    next grouping is the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
33
34
    and I'll start with the Maritime National Wildlife
35
    Refuge.
36
37
                    (No comments)
38
39
                    MS. SKINNER: Do we have anyone on from
    the Maritime National Wildlife Refuge.
40
41
42
                    (No comments)
43
44
                    MS. SKINNER: Okay, hearing none. The
45
    next is Izembek National Wildlife Refuge.
46
47
                    (No comments)
48
49
                    MS. SKINNER: Okay, hearing none. The
50
```

```
8000
   next is the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge.
 2
 3
                    MR. BRADY: Good morning. This is Mike
 4
    Brady, I'm the Refuge Manager at Kodiak National
 5
    Wildlife Refuge.
 6
 7
                    MS. SKINNER: Good morning, Mike.
 8
 9
                    MR. PYLE: Good morning. This is Bill
10
    Pyle, Supervisory Wildlife Biologist with Kodiak
11
    Refuge.
12
13
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                     Good morning, Bill.
14
    Okay, it sounds like that's it from the Kodiak National
15
    Wildlife Refuge.
                       The next grouping is the Alaska
    Department of Fish and Game.
16
17
18
                    MS. KRUEGER:
                                 Good morning.
                                                  This is
19
    Kelly Krueger and Mark Witteveen with the Alaska
20
    Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sportfish here
21
    in Kodiak.
22
23
                    MS. SKINNER: Good morning, Kelly.
24
25
                    MR. LAWSON:
                                 Good morning.
26
    Tyler Lawson and Lisa Fox with CommFish in Kodiak.
27
28
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                 Thank you. Anyone else
29
    from....
30
31
                    MR. BURCH: Hello. This is Mark Burch
32
    from the Palmer office, Department of Fish and Game.
33
    Thank you.
34
35
                    MS. SKINNER: Yep, thank you, Mark. Is
36
    there anyone else on from Fish and Game.
37
38
                    (No comments)
39
40
                    MS. SKINNER: Okay, hearing none. The
41
    next group is the Office of Subsistence Management.
42
43
                   MS. WESSELS: Good morning. This is
    Katya Wessels, and I'm the Council Coordination
44
    Division Supervisor with the Office of Subsistence
45
46
                 Today I'm serving as the Designated
    Management.
47
    Federal officer for this meeting. But I also would
48
    like to introduce to you your new Coordinator that --
49
    we just hired a new Coordinator so the Kodiak/Aleutians
50
```

Council has a new Coordinator, her name is Leigh Honig and she is not leading this meeting because she just started about a week and a half ago so it would be kind of hard for her to lead this meeting but she is here, she's listening, she's taking notes, she's helping as much as she can. And, Leigh, could you please introduce yourself.

Thank you.

MS. HONIG: Good morning, Madame Chairwoman and members of the Board. Very nice to meet all of you. And like Katya said my name is Leigh Honig and I am very excited to be working with all of you.

Thank you.

MS. SKINNER: Welcome, Leigh. All right, so those are all of the agencies that are listed on the agenda there, are there other agencies that didn't list that would like to introduce yourselves at this point.

MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair, this is Katya. There might be other Office of Subsistence Management employees online.

MS. SKINNER: Oh, right, yes I apologize, go ahead.

MR. LIND: (In Native) Good morning, Madame Chair. This is Orville Lind, Native Liaison from Office of Subsistence Management. Good morning, everyone.

MS. SKINNER: Thank you, Orville. I apologize. In my fervor to be efficient I should not have stepped on introductions there. Are there other individuals from OSM on the line.

MR. STONE: Good morning. This is Jarred Stone, Fisheries Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management.

MS. SKINNER: Good morning, Jarred.

MR. ROBERTS: Good morning. This is Jason Roberts, Anthropologist with the Office of Subsistence Management.

```
0010
 1
                    MS. SKINNER: Good morning.
 2
 3
                                 Good morning.
                    MS. LAVINE:
                                                  This is
 4
    Robbin LaVine, Subsistence Policy Coordinator with the
 5
    Office of Subsistence Management.
 6
 7
                    MS. HOLMAN:
                                 Good morning.
 8
    Kendra Holman, Wildlife Biologist with the Office of
 9
    Subsistence Management.
10
11
                    REPORTER: Yeah, hangon, sorry but.....
12
13
                    MS. SKINNER: I'm sorry whoever.....
14
15
                    MS. WESSELS: Sorry, this is Katya. We
16
    could barely hear you.
17
18
                    MS. HOLMAN: Is this any better?
19
20
                               No.
                                     So Kendra, when you
                    REPORTER:
21
    come in you have a loud buzz that's coming with your
22
    phone.
             I've noticed that at other meetings and I've
23
     asked....
24
25
                    MS. HOLMAN: Interesting. Interesting.
26
    I'll have to see what I can do about that.
27
28
                    REPORTER: Thank you.
29
30
                    MS. HOLMAN:
                                  This is Kendra Holman,
31
    Wildlife Biologist with the Office of Subsistence
32
    Management.
33
34
                                    All right, thank you,
                    MS. SKINNER:
35
    Kendra.
36
37
                    MR. PAPPAS: Good day. This is George
38
    Pappas OSM....
39
40
                    MR. VICKERS: Good morning everyone.
    This is Brent....
41
42
43
                    MR. PAPPAS:
                                    ....State Subsistence
44
    Liaison.
45
46
                    MS. SKINNER: Thank you, George.
47
48
                    MR. VICKERS: I'll try again. This is
49
    Brent Vickers. I am the Supervisory Anthropologist at
```

```
0011
    OSM and I'll also be representing the Leadership Team
    today for OSM so good morning.
 2
 3
                    MS. SKINNER:
 4
                                 All right, good morning.
 5
    All right, are there anymore.....
 6
 7
                    MR. GERKEN:
                                   Good morning.
 8
     John Gerken -- sorry, Rebecca.
 9
10
                    MS. SKINNER: Sorry, go ahead.
11
12
                    MR. GERKEN:
                                 This is John Gerken with
13
     the Fish and Wildlife Service. I'm the Fisheries
14
    Biologist, Federal In-Season Manager for the Aleutians
15
     area. Good morning.
16
17
                    MS. SKINNER: Thank you. All right, and
18
     I realize -- is there anyone from BIA online.
19
20
                    MS. PETRIVELLI: Yes.
                                           Pat Petrivelli,
21
     Subsistence Anthropologist from Anchorage.
22
23
                    MS. SKINNER: All right, good morning,
24
    Pat.
25
26
                    MS. PETRIVELLI: Good morning.
27
28
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                   Okay.
                                            Are there any
29
    other agency representatives that have not introduced
30
    themselves yet.
31
32
                    MR. HARRIS:
                                  Good morning.
                                                   This is
33
    Frank Harris. I'm....
34
35
                    MS. WILLIAMS: Yes, good morning. This
36
    is Allison Williams. I'm a Wildlife Biologist at
37
    Izembek National Wildlife Refuge.
                                        And we also have
    Letecia Melendez, our Deputy Refuge Manager on the
38
39
           I apologize for not being quick enough to hit
40
    the mute button earlier but we are present and excited
41
    to be here.
42
43
                    MS. SKINNER: All right, thank you,
44
    Allison.
45
46
                    MR. HARRIS:
                                   Good morning.
                                                   This is
47
    Frank Harris with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
48
    I'm a Fish Biologist with the Southern Alaska Fish and
49
    Wildlife Service Field Office.
50
```

```
0012
 1
                    MS. SKINNER: All right, thank you.
 2
    All right, is there anyone else.
 4
                    MS. KLEIN: This is Jill Klein.
                                                      I'm
 5
    also with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service out of the
 6
                          I'm the Regional Subsistence
    Anchorage office.
 7
    Coordinator.
 8
 9
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                   Thank you. All right,
10
    anyone else.
11
12
                    (No comments)
13
14
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                   Okay.
                                            And then if
15
    there's anyone else on the phone regardless of your
    affiliation, anyone who would like
16
                                           to introduce
17
    themselves I'll open the floor for that.
18
19
                    (No comments)
20
21
                    MS. SKINNER: Okay. All right so that
22
    was the welcome and introduction section. We've got
23
    about three minutes before 9:00 o'clock when hopefully
24
    Della will be joining.
                            The next item is review and
25
    adopt the agenda. And I just want to note that Katya
26
    did send out an updated agenda this morning via email
27
    so hopefully all of the RAC members have the agenda.
28
    Is there a motion to adopt the agenda.
29
30
                    MR. ROHRER: This is Sam. I'll make a
31
    motion to adopt the agenda.
32
33
                    MS. SKINNER: Thank you, Sam.
34
35
                    MR. KOSO: I'll second that, this is
36
    Rick.
37
38
                    MS. SKINNER: Is there a second --
39
    okay.
40
41
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, this is Rick, second.
42
43
                                   Okay, thank you, Rick.
                    MS. SKINNER:
44
    Katya, can I just ask if there's.....
45
46
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                   Madame Chair, this
47
    Katya.
48
49
                    MS. SKINNER: Yes, go ahead.
                                                   Yep, go
50
```

0013 1 ahead. 2 3 MS. WESSELS: I was going to say, may I 4 read into the record the additions to the agenda. 5 6 MS. SKINNER: Yes, please do. 7 8 MS. WESSELS: So the new updated agenda 9 is to add an item 10B under old business, Council 10 application and nomination open season update. That's 11 the first item. 12 13 The next item is to add under new 14 business, Item 11C, Fishery Closure Review Discussion 15 by OSM. 16 17 And then add under new business, Item 18 11D, Call for Nonrural Determination Proposals. Also 19 presented by OSM. 20 21 Then next item to add under 12, agency 22 reports, under tribal governments, add Qwalangin Tribe 23 update on McLees Lake Project. 24 25 The next item to add also under agency 26 reports, under C(3) Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge 27 Proposed Administrative and Regulatory Changes to the Kodiak Fishing Regulations for 2023/2025, and you have 28 29 that in your supplemental materials. They were mailed 30 to you, priority mail, about a week or so ago. 31 Hopefully you received it. They're also available on 32 our website. 33 34 Then under agency reports as well, 35 under 12D(3) Update on the Alaska Peninsula Island 36 Salmon Fisheries presented by ADF&G Tyler Lawson. 37 38 And that's all of the agenda items that are proposed to be added to the earlier published 39 40 agenda. 41 42 Thank you. 43 44 MS. SKINNER: All right, thank you, 45 Katya. 46 47 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Good morning 48 everybody this is Della, sorry I was late but I am.

49

50

am here now so go ahead.

MS. SKINNER: All right, Della. Okay, well, I'll finish the agenda Item No. 5 and then I'll hand it back over to you then. So we had a motion and a second, is there any further discussion.

MR. HOLMES: Pat here.

MS. SKINNER: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, on the Kodiak Refuge -- nevermind -- I didn't receive the -- I'm down in the States, Patty had to have an operation on her back a couple weeks ago but I've been playing catch up. George Pappas got things forwarded down to me but I don't think that my -- that proposed Administrative Regulatory Changes to Kodiak Fishing Regs from the Refuge, I didn't receive that. And I'll try and get it out once we have a break here, off of my 94 year old mother-in-law's computer, which is -- you almost have to crank it.

But my main thought is I had talked to a lot of folks over the last four months, and, in the Federal closure particularly, recently, about reviews and they were not on the original agenda and so I think that might catch some people short because, you know, if you looked on the website and looked at the agenda that wasn't on there previously. So I guess we'll get an update as to what's going on but I think that -- I tried to contact the local Fish and Game Advisory Chair, they did have a meeting with -- or a question and answer with OSM prior to our last meeting, I believe, and had some questions -- or maybe it was shortly after -- I'm sorry I'm getting old, on that, that they hadn't had answered, but I don't know that there's been success with communicating with that. And I believe Chris can update us later on, I think they may still be short a quorum out at Unalaska because we were going to try for some outreach through the Fish and Game Advisory Committees and through the other folks. So I think getting this on the agenda this morning, it's deserving of discussion, but I think it might catch some of the public unaware.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MS. SKINNER: Okay. And just to clarify, Pat, that was more of a comment, you're not asking to pull anything off of the agenda, are you?

MR. HOLMES: No, ma'am. I'm just making a comment for the record. MS. SKINNER: Okay, thank you, Pat. Any further discussion. (No comments)

9 MS. SKINNER: Okay. Is there any 10 objection to approving the agenda as presented.

(No objections)

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ SKINNER: Okay, hearing none, the agenda is adopted. And, Della, I will hand it back over to you.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rebecca. Good morning, everyone. I'm sorry I'm late. I have to be honest with you, I thought this meeting started at 9:00 but apparently it's 8:30. The next item that you have on your agenda is the election of officers, so, Katya, I think this gets turned over to you.

MS. WESSELS: Yes, thank you, Madame Chair. Members of the Council. For the record, my name is Katya Wessels and I'm the Council Coordination Supervisor with the Office of Subsistence Management. And for the purposes of this meeting I am the Designated Federal Officer.

So the Council has the charter as was approved in 2021 and in accordance with the Council charter Council members elect the Chair, Vice Chair and Secretary for a one year term. The term starts at the beginning of the calendar year. I'm going to open, in a second, the nominations for the Council Chair, but first I would just like to let you know that Council meetings are usually run according to the Robert's Rules of Order and the Robert's Rules of Order says that nominations need not to be seconded. When we call for nominations, you just nominate a Council member to be a Chair and I will repeat their name and then after the nominations are closed we will put those nominations to vote.

So at this point I would like to open the floor for nominations of the Council Chair.

```
0016
 1
                   MR. HOLMES: This is Pat, I'd like to
 2
   nominate Della for Chair.
 4
                   MS. WESSELS: Okay. Della Trumble has
 5
   been nominated for Council Chair. Any other
 6
   nominations.
 7
 8
                    (No comments)
 9
10
                   MS. WESSELS: Okay, hearing none, I
11
    would like to close this call for nominations for the
    Council Chair. Ms. Della Trumble has been nominated to
12
13
    serve as the Council Chair, all in favor say aye.
14
15
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
16
17
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right,
18
    everyone, thank you. Thank you, Katya.
19
20
                   MS. WESSELS: Okay. Yeah, anyone
21
    opposed say -- same sign.
22
23
                    (No opposing votes)
24
25
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                 No opposition.
26
    Chair, I'm going to pass this gavel to you. Thank you.
27
28
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                             Thank you,
29
    Katya. And thank you everyone. I hope I'll be able to
30
    do due diligence. This past year has been pretty busy
31
    for me but we'll continue forward and thank you
32
    everyone. Thank you, Rebecca, for Chairing the meeting
33
    until I got here.
34
35
                   Vice Chair. I'll open the floor for
36
    Vice Chair, do we have any nominations.
37
38
                   MR. KOSO: I'd like to nominate
39
    Rebecca.
40
41
                   MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                          Nomination made
42
    by Rick Koso. Are there any other nominations.
43
44
                    (No comments)
45
46
                   MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing
47
    none....
48
49
                   MR. HOLMES: No, I.....
```

```
0017
 1
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Oh, Pat, go
 2
    ahead.
 3
 4
                    MR. HOLMES: Oh, I was just going to --
 5
    I don't know if we need to second it but I'd second it
    because Rebecca has a unique ability to be able to do
 6
 7
    four things at once and still keep track so she's a
 8
    good Vice Chair.
 9
10
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            Thank you, Pat.
11
    Hearing no other nominations, Rebecca, are you good
12
    with the Vice Chair.
13
14
                    MS. SKINNER: I am.
                                           And thank you
15
    everyone.
16
17
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you.
18
     Then we'll go ahead, anybody opposed.
19
20
                     (No opposing votes)
21
22
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: We'll go ahead
23
    and move. Open nominations on the floor for Secretary.
24
25
                    MR. HOLMES: I'd like to nominate.....
26
27
                    MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair, I'd like to
28
    nominate -- sorry, go ahead.
29
30
                    MR. HOLMES:
                                 I was going to do Melissa
31
    Berns.
32
33
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                   I was going to do Chris
    Price because I don't think Melissa -- she's not listed
34
35
    on the roster.
36
37
                    MR. HOLMES: Oh, okay, I missed that.
38
                                            Pat, Melissa
39
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
40
    didn't renew her application to reapply, so, yeah.
41
42
                    MR. HOLMES: Okay, well, in that case I
43
    will -- I'd like to nominate Natasha.
44
45
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So Pat nominated
46
    Natasha, Rebecca nominated Chris, are there any other
47
    nominations.
48
49
                    MS. HAYDEN: This is Natasha. I would
50
```

```
0018
    like to defer to Chris if possible.
 2
 3
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.
 4
 5
                    MR. PRICE:
                                  Natasha I was going to
 6
    defer to you.
 7
 8
                     (Laughter)
 9
10
                    MS. HAYDEN: I beat you to it Chris.
11
12
                     (Laughter)
13
14
                    MR. PRICE:
                               I don't know if there's any
15
    other nominations for the -- we're talking about the
16
    Secretary?
17
18
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            That's correct,
19
    Chris.
20
21
                    MR. PRICE: Yeah.
22
23
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay,
24
    no other nominations, Chris, I believe you are the
25
     Secretary, is there anyone that opposes.
26
27
                     (No opposing votes)
28
29
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            Hearing none,
30
    the officers are myself as Chair, Rebecca as Vice Chair
31
     and Chris Price as Secretary.
32
33
                     (Teleconference interference
34
    participants not muted)
35
36
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So, Katya, just
37
    for the record, do we need a motion to approve the
38
     officers as elected.
39
40
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                     No, we do -- Madame
41
    Chair, thank you. No, we do not need a motion.
42
43
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: All right, thank
44
     you. Moving on we have the review and approve previous
45
    meeting minutes, Tab No. 3. Do I hear a motion to
46
     approve the minutes and then we can go into discussion.
47
48
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                  I would move to approve
49
    the minutes for the September 27th, 28th, 2021 RAC
50
```

0019 meeting. 2 3 MR. KOSO: I second that. 4 5 6 comments.

MR. HOLMES: I'm going to make a couple

7

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Motion's been -- go ahead, Pat.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

8

MR. HOLMES: On the minutes that we had, at our previous meeting, I noted and some of the other folks that our agenda has moved into a very strict active minutes, is that what you call, where you only have the topics down and very little discussion. And I had suggested that we have a little more discussion on items, so it's in the record, so then a person doesn't have to go and request the transcript through the Federal government.

23

24

25

26

And one of the items that, too, that I'd like to comment on is that there wasn't any discussion about the RAC members comments on closures and difficulties that that might involve. And the -- we did get some comments from the Fish and Game Local Advisory Committee, and, anyway some of those things didn't show up.

27 28 29

30

31

And so I really think that there should be a little more meat in these so that it accurately reflects what was discussed rather than just saying there was a discussion.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47

And, let's see, pardon me it's just hard to remember -- oh, discussed that I said my daughter and her family came down with Covid, I don't have a daughter and so maybe that was in reference to somebody I fished with. I haven't any idea. And just little details like that that really need to have a little more work. Like when we get down to the 2022 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program, probably could have used some editing down at the end of that. It says -- it's discussing Resource Monitoring Program and then down at the end it says the proposed subsistence harvest survey should be inserted there, Ouzinkie and Port Lyons, because it doesn't make any sense to say proposed subsistence harvest in Ouzinkie and Port Lyons -- I mean the whole question was monitoring it.

0020 1 And I think that's the gist of my comments, but it could use a little more work, and 2 maybe bounce the minutes off of the Secretary or --4 anyway, it needs more meat the next time. 5 6 Thank you. 7 8 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. 9 Are there any other comments in regards to the minutes. 10 11 (No comments) 12 13 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And maybe just 14 for the record I do recall Pat bringing this up last 15 time, so hopefully we can take note of it and be able to reflect his points that he has brought up. Hearing 16 17 that we had a motion to approve the minutes, Rebecca 18 can we just change that to as amended by Pat, 19 recommended amendments. 20 MS. SKINNER: 21 Yeah, I think as 22 corrections are noted I think it would not be 23 inappropriate to just reflect those and those would be 24 encompassed in the motion> 25 26 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. 27 Motion made by Rebecca, second by Rick Koso. Call for 28 question. 29 30 MR. KOSO: Question. 31 32 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Question's been 33 called. All in favor signify by saying aye. 34 35 IN UNISON: Aye. 36 37 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Opposed, same 38 sign. 39 40 (No opposing votes) 41 42 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Motion carried. 43 The next item on the agenda are Council member reports, 44 let me find my list here. 45 46 Pat, do you want to go ahead and start 47 with you, I'll just go down the list by the names we

48

49 50 have on our roster.

MR. HOLMES: Okay. I'd like to put in some thanks to the Sunaq Tribe because this year they implemented a subsistence home pack program from their little fish processing plant for elders in the community. And I also didn't -- wasn't able to get out for silver season and commercial fishermen, and former school teacher, Nate, and his wife, asked me if I needed some silvers and they brought me 20 and so I gave two-thirds of those away to other old geezers that needed some.

A few folks got some deer. Not too many, it still needs time to recover. All I do anymore is maybe a goat hunt, or if somebody takes me out to their cabin, but the only two bucks that I saw were right in the middle of Woodland Acres, they were as fat as could be.

But anyway it would be great, I hope, and if our Council doesn't have a lot to say about who gets to replace things, but it would be really good, because traditionally we've had somebody from Old Harbor, to replace — hopefully to replace Melissa Berns, and I was hoping maybe somebody from the Peninsula, like down at the False Pass, maybe the Hoblet family, they've always been active in fisheries and local subsistence and commercial fishing and hunting issues, so that would be another good spot or maybe we could get some applicants from the Pribilofs again because we had Mr. Zuch (ph), and was quite active when he was on so I just hope we can find some good replacements.

Thank you, Madame Chair and sorry to talk so much.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. Rick Koso.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, hi, Board Members, this is Rick Koso here. You know Adak is, we've had a little bit of problems out there since we didn't have the fish plant working the last couple of years. Being a member of the Adak Community Corporation we've been working on that to try to get a fish plant reopened, at least on the commercial side.

As far as the subsistence goes on the fisheries, you know, there's plenty of halibut and

salmon and stuff that people are able to get without too much of a problem outside of logistics getting there, and the expense of that.

4 5

6

7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

2

But overall -- and I did speak to Lisa (ph) in Adak there, she's been living there Spittler and working for the Feds for quite some time now, probably as long as I've been here since 2001, on and off, and she's brought up a problem of the ptarmigan out there. That the birds have really dropped in numbers, she's a little concerned about that, or I guess I should say she's a lot of concerned. She's been dealing with a couple of the local guides there and they said they cut back on getting their bag limits, daily limits, which is 20, 40 in possession so they cut that in half on their own and dropped it to 10. So they've been working with her to try to get it down. But, you know, we don't see that that's going to solve that problem, but unfortunately we don't have another -- our next submittal of proposals for that wouldn't be until 2023. So I don't know what we can do right now. I think a couple options is to cut the season shorter and get the bag limit down but this has been happening on a lot of the other islands too. So the ptarmigan are just disappearing. So they don't really have a clue why that happened.

26272829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

So anyways as far as the caribou goes, we're always concerned on the caribou, especially for the locals out there. They've been using the caribou for years for their survival on meat because of the expense of getting anything in Adak. So that's very important to them. But I've been working with Steve, we have a meeting coming up March 1st with Steve Delehanty and the Aleut Corporation Board and a couple other entities that are involved in the caribou and so we'll be talking about the caribou on March 1st of this year here.

38 39 40

I don't have any more to say.

41 42

Thank you.

43 44 45

 $\label{eq:madame} \mbox{MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rick.} \\ \mbox{Is there any comments in regards to Rick's report.}$

46 47

(No comments)

48 49

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Sam, are you

0023 1 with us. 2

MR. ROHRER: Yes, I am, thanks, Della.

I don't have a lot to report. I do want to say sorry
to have missed the fall meeting. The fall meetings are
always tough for me with hunting schedules and we had a
lot of challenging weather and I was goat hunting and
it was -- well, it was a challenging season just due to
the weather but, anyway, sorry to have missed that

meeting, but happy to be back here for this one.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

Not a whole lot to report. Just to talk a little bit briefly about field observations for You know Kodiak's continued to have deer numbers. reduced deer numbers. Pat kind of alluded to that Particularly on the west side where I spend there. most of my time, we've just been hit by a series of bad winters. We're starting to see some recovery. last winter we saw a few more than normal, than what we've seen in the last few years so that was good. This winter, it's just been a mixed bag. We've had a lot of really cold weather but then we've had some pretty warm spells like we're in right now. So it'll be interesting to see what happens with our deer. was just communicating with a friend of mine who's down on the west side last night and the snow level's up and things are warm over there, snow's melting and he is finding some winterkill deer but not a whole lot. So we're optimistic that our numbers will continue to just slowly creep back.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

The only other thing is just hearing in the community a lot of complaints about charter -- the charter fleet hitting deer pretty hard, and that was complaints coming from island-wide. Probably the most complaints focusing on the south end of the island but up and down the east and west side as well, just lots and lots of charter boats bringing lots of folks over to shoot deer on the beaches late in the season. So quite a bit of frustration -- we're hearing quite a bit of frustration from locals on that.

41 42 43

Other than that, that's all I have.

44 45

(Pause)

46 47

MS. SKINNER: Della, did we lose you.

48 49

(No comments)

0024 1 MS. SKINNER: Can anyone else hear me. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hello. 4 5 MS. SKINNER: Oh, there you are. 6 7 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I'm sorry, this 8 is Della. For some reason my phone disconnected, this 9 is Della. We've been having issues out here between 10 phone and internet so hopefully it won't be too much of 11 a problem, I apologize. 12 13 MR. ROHRER: No problem, Della. 14 is Sam, I wrapped up my report there so I'm done. 15 16 (Pause) 17 18 MR. ROHRER: Did we lose Della again. 19 20 MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair, are you on. 21 22 (No comments) 23 24 MS. WESSELS: We might have lost her 25 again. Madame Chair Trumble, are you on. 26 27 MS. SKINNER: Okay. 28 29 MS. WESSELS: Rebecca, would you mind 30 to take over temporarily. 31 32 MS. SKINNER: Yep, that's fine. The 33 next person on the list is Chris Price. 34 35 MR. PRICE: Good morning. Well, I'd 36 just like to let you guys know that it has been a 37 challenge not to meet in person and I've looked forward to us getting back to in-person meetings. But we've 38 39 been doing well to keep our meetings going, so I appreciate that too. Not everybody's been able to keep 40 41 all their meetings going. 42 43 The Unalaska Fish and Game Advisory 44 Group has not been meeting and so it has created a gap for us locally with the community input process that 45 46 we've had in place with the group. So we're fortunate 47 with our, UNFA, Unalaska Native Fishermens Association 48 have been meeting. We have a new president that has

been elected, his name is Nick Tutiakoff and he's a

49

long-time, I think, Vince, his dad, was on the RAC in the past, so many of you may know Nick. He's some of our next generation moving up into the leadership position with the Unalaska Native Fishermens Association which represents commercial, subsistence and sportfishing interests in our community.

I would like to let you guys know that we are going to have a report from the tribe later on in the meeting under tribal government reports so there's going to be a good discussion about our McLees Lake Project that many of you have supported in the past and helped us advocate for getting that project up and running and so I want to thank you guys again for that. A lot of times people don't realize how many years it took to get these things going and in place and even my Staff now, they don't really realize all the hard work and effort in to trying to make it a reality so you'll get to hear that later today. excited for you guys to get that update. I don't know if we're going to have Tyler on the phone as well with Fish and Game, but we have a really important partnership that we've developed out there at McLees and the tribe is -- and we're moving into year three of our grant, we're starting to transition into the leadership role and the staffing and the procurement and the management of getting the weir up so you guys will hear more about that. So, anyway, that's been important for us.

You know another subsistence issue would be, we do have some issues with sea otters here locally that have been a little bit not in check. We are working with the local subsistence hunters to try to address that situation a little bit better. We're concerned that it might be getting a little bit out of hand with our sea otter populations here locally.

As you guys have heard -- you probably did hear about the closure of the commercial red king crab season that we had out here and that's affecting us locally and our economy and so and then the reduction in the opilio crab fishery as well on the commercial side.

So as far as halibut, last summer we did have a pretty successful year for subsistence and so that's a positive sign and indicator for us and so we really rely on the halibut to feed our local

community. And we're glad to see some of the potential management techniques that have been put into place are working well, and so we're appreciative of that. Whenever subsistence fishermen can actually catch fish in our local bay that's a really big win for us. Because as soon as you leave the bay you're in the Bering Sea so it's a challenge in a small boat as you guys know.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

5

6 7

> One issue that I spoke to Tyler about with Fish and Game about our situation out at McLees, and I'm almost going to wrap it up here, was that we do have a need to coordinate with charter boats on the -the -- how do I say this, where we move gear back and forth annually to get the weir set up and just moving Staff and supplies and that kind of stuff. You know we use a charter vessel because we need a bigger boat out there to do that type of work. And we tried to make it in partnership with the Coast Guard but they really are more -- they can't use their vessels, they can supply us with some labor, but they can't really give us the vessels. So we've had to make arrangements with local charter groups to supply our shipping and the coordination of all the -- it's a big -- you'll hear more about it today, there's a lot of work that goes into putting up a fish camp and then taking it down annually. But one of the issues that came up is that we have elders in the community that are unable, you know, to harvest fish and so we inquired with the charter boat captains if they would be able to accommodate the subsistence practices that we have here which are to use a small skiff to set the net. speaking to local charter captains and Tyler, that the regulations say that that's not an allowable activity and so I'd like, you know, maybe Tyler could speak to it a little bit more on the legal side of it, but for you know, we need to be able to hire some bigger boats to help us out because we really can't supply that -- that trip back in a small skiff it's not safe and then we've had a number of accidents with boats out there. So that's part of the reason behind that and I'll let Tyler speak to that a little bit more.

42 43 44

That's about it for my report, I appreciate your time, you guys. Thank you.

45 46 47

48

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Chris. And Tyler is on the agenda farther down. Coral.

MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, this is Coral. I don't have too much to report. I guess I haven't been out that much this winter but when I do drive out to the Flats I do notice emperor geese are pretty healthy stocks of emperors on the way out of town.

And then this year we've noticed — which we haven't noticed in a couple of years, the big flocks we used to see, all the time, big flocks of scoters and scaup and goldeneye, and we haven't seen that in a while. And this year, a couple times out in the bay I've seen some pretty big flocks of those so those are kind of nice to see. As you know we have pretty healthy eagle populations, they're all over the place right now.

And this year it seemed like there was salmon pretty late, I think even into December, there was still salmon, quite a few salmon in Buskin River that the bears were there eating so that was kind of fun. I didn't personally go -- I saw one off the bridge driving by once but it was a great opportunity for photographers especially during some -- I think there was one bear, I think they called it Icy Bear or Frosty Bear and all its hear was all frosted so there was some beautiful photographs of that, it was cold and wet and eating fish. So that was fun.

And then I have a friend on the other side of the island, he's been -- had friends out, they've been chasing goats around, the goat population seems to be fine, they haven't seen any deer kill anywhere.

Everything they catch — they catch a couple crab now and then, so everything seems to be just right where it should be, not noticing anything unusual. Yeah, we'll see how the rest of the winter goes, it's pretty mild now. We had maybe two really cold — really cold weeks, I think, in winter, but other than that it's been snowy, rainy, and sunny. I think the other day it was 47 and I'm guessing it's going to be around that today so we've had some pretty nice and warm weather. So that'll just help everything be healthy.

And I guess that's it for me.

I haven't been out clam digging. And I

don't know if there is -- I haven't been out clam digging so I haven't seen -- I haven't heard about the PSP numbers, either, so I haven't kept up on that or I don't know if they post that so I don't know how the clams are doing.

So that's all I have, thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Coral. Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: Thanks, Della. So I wanted to make some comments that are a little bit higher level than just the Kodiak/Aleutians. But because Kodiak is really dependent on commercial fishing and with the changing ocean conditions, unexpected changes, I think we are, even in our region, are seeing some of the impacts.

So the first thing, Chris Price had mentioned, the crab seasons out in the Bering Sea, so the red king crab and the opilio, those were significant reductions in commercial fishing opportunities and from what I'm hearing they are most likely due to ocean conditions, although it's not completely clear what exactly caused the, I guess, I'll use the word, crash, for the crab.

The other thing this past summer, I think everyone's probably heard about up in the Northwest Alaska, the chinook and chum runs were greatly reduced. I think chinook has been low for awhile, but chum was unexpected as far as I understand, as far as the severeness of the reduction. And, again, I haven't heard a definitive reason behind those reductions but I think from what I am hearing people suspect, again, it's ocean conditions. So there's these environmental changes that are impacting the marine resources. And I think we'll hear more about it later but for Kodiak, in the past summer, we had, I think, unexpectedly low subsistence runs out at Buskin for the sockeye.

On the brighter side, the Pacific cod in the Gulf of Alaska seems to be on the rebound from the significant reductions on the fisheries side that occurred in 2018. So it looks like the cod is recovering.

And then for halibut, the International Pacific Halibut Commission did set the halibut limits a little bit higher. So it -- halibut is looking promising. there's some strong year classes that are coming up that haven't disappeared so that's good news on the halibut side.

And other than that I am looking forward to our meeting today and tomorrow.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rebecca and thank you for all the information.

Natasha.

MS. HAYDEN: Good morning, Madame Chair, can you hear me.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes, go ahead.

MS. HAYDEN: Okay, good. Well, good morning everybody. I have, I think, quite a bit to report. I agree with Coral that we've seen lots of -- I don't know I'm not familiar enough with the seabirds to call them out by species, but we've seen lots and lots of ducks everywhere in the -- like Shuyak, Afognak, so the southern coast of Afognak, pretty much out anywhere in Marmot Bay, lots and lots of birds, which has been really great.

I was able to go -- wasn't on the Refuge land but I was able to go deer hunting, when was it, I think it was the beginning of November. I didn't see a huge amount of deer but the ones that we did see were really healthy looking. And I think Coral said there was a couple of weeks of very cold weather, I think it was longer, I think it was about two months of very, very cold weather there in October and November, it was really hard. And I was kind of worried that if things continued like that that we were going to see a lot of stress on our deer but, thankfully, like she said it has warmed up significantly, hopefully that's going to stick and we're through the worst of it.

I also wanted to comment about the, not just the hunting charters, the pressure seen from the hunting charters but what the -- what we've seen over

5

6 7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

in Port Lyons and they're starting to see in Ouzinkie is the traffic that is a result of the marine highway going into those communities directly from mainland. That we didn't see very much in 2020 because of Covid, but last year the residents though that it would -- that the trend would still stay down but they saw a huge spike in hunting traffic from the mainland where people were just riding their ATVs and side-bysides on to the ferry and then riding them off in Port Lyons and they did some in Ouzinkie this year as well, and just kind of a revolving door of traffic with taking huge amounts of deer out of the area. So that is also a concern. I mean everybody on the phone knows that there's no grocery store in those communities so all of the food is either harvested or having to be brought in so residents are concerned about food security for themselves there with all of that increased pressure on the resource in the region.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

Fish. Yeah, looking forward to this The fisheries, like I just wanted to talk spring. about the -- I'm glad that Rebecca brought up the commercial fisheries and the collapse of the salmon returns in Western Alaska and it is indeed a lot of conversation and speculation about the ocean conditions and the changing -- changing ocean conditions but there's also a lot of concern around bycatch. Governor established a task force on bycatch. They don't really have any authority to do anything. believe they're going to be making some recommendations to the Governor regarding potential actions or strategy around bycatch. But bycatch is a big problem both in the groundfish for the halibut wastage and salmon. And I know there isn't any existing limits on chum bycatch in the Bering Sea and that's a concern considering the collapse of the chum concerns on the Yukon River.

36 37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

I was able to participate in the Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association -- invited me to participate in a panel to talk about the collapse of the salmon returns along the Yukon and there were residents that called in on that call from the Bering Sea all the way through British Columbia, so there's dozens and dozens of communities that were impacted by that last year and, you know, they're waiting on baited breath. They've only got, you know, I don't know, six or eight weeks before things start to break up and they start to see salmon returns and start to get a feel for what things are going to look like this year. I'm not

sure -- I think we're going to get a report about the projections for our returns here in our region coming up this summer but I'm hoping the Buskin will see some improvements for the sockeye return which would hopefully alleviate some of the pressure over in Afognak, over at Alitnik, there was a huge amount of fishing activity, both subsistence and sportfishing over at Afognak last year and people going over to Ouzinkie and Port Lyons to get their subsistence.

So looking forward to hopefully the worst of winter is behind us and look forward to a good spring.

Thanks.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Natasha. The next person who is no longer on our Council is Melissa but I think for the record I would like to thank Melissa for her past involvement with this Council. She contributed a lot and the lady is just so busy and involved in so many amazing projects that hat's off to her and we'll definitely miss her.

Pat did mention Old Harbor earlier and I think, and just for the record I'd like to recognize Al Cratty who was a member on this Council for many, many years and has recently passed away and the hardships I think that's going to contribute to the community of Old Harbor, and just the fact that we really appreciated what he contributed in the past for Kodiak.

And, with that, I think I'll go ahead with my report, not a lot to report.

Winter, it's been interesting, it was cold early and then we've had so much rain, just a tremendous amount of rain. And, again, the effects without the king crab and small amounts of opilio, fortunately the guys did get to do some crab fishing. The cod season was short and getting ready for the next one.

Interesting enough we've had a lot of ptarmigan. People are harvesting a bit of ptarmigan.

The silvers, like I think someone mentioned earlier, Coral, maybe, that even into

0032 1 December there were still silvers basically around. 2 3 Bears have been out. It's been cold 4 and they -- yeah, they've been out, so I'm thinking 5 it's more of a hungry kind of thing there more than 6 anything else. 7 8 But other than that I guess we just 9 keep moving forward. The people are harvesting clams, 10 and thankfully we don't have an issue with sea otters 11 here but, yeah, the clams are good. 12 13 But other than that, caribou, I think 14 there were a few people earlier in the year that did 15 harvest caribou and recently I haven't heard of anybody so -- but we've had a lot of storms so people don't 16 17 necessarily get out and about with that. 18 19 So that's all I have, are there any 20 other comments in regard to the Council reports. 21 22 MS. HAYDEN: Madame Chair, this is 23 Natasha. 24 25 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go 26 Natasha. 27 28 MS. HAYDEN: Sorry, I forgot to 29 mention, I wanted to draw or just mention that one of 30 the most prominent reliable furriers called New Method 31 in California, has closed permanently. We don't have 32 any -- they're a sea otter -- they do sea mammal hide 33 processing, tanning, and so one of our issues that we 34 have here in our region is we don't have any tanners, 35 tanneries here and we don't -- I don't know what the prospects are of the available tanneries in the future. 36 37 So it's one of the things that when people talk about the increasing sea otter population, is that, for us to 38 39 be able to harvest and then have our hides process, we need to be able to figure out how that's going to --40 41 it's just going to be a bottleneck in the system. 42 43 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. 44 45 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. 46 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 47

MR. HOLMES: One question for Natasha

48 49

on the sea otters, does the Tlingit Tribe in Sitka, are they kind of maxed out on their hide processing, is that an option?

MS. HAYDEN: I believe so. You know one of the problems is getting them there and then getting them back, like it was probably was cheaper to ship it to California than some places Southeast. So that's just one of the issues.

MR. HOLMES: Thank you. Madame Chair, could I do one brief anecdote about Al Cratty, Jr.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes, Pat, go ahead.

MR. HOLMES: Al was really active on our committee for many, many years and really was a good representative for Kodiak Natives. And he, at times, would get quite frustrated with bureaucracy but he was always able to laugh about things. And so we had one good discussion about how things take so long and get so complicated and when he went to -- we had a break, and I don't know if it was Pat Petrivelli or one of the other gals, but I got some flowers and put them in a little vase and put them at his place at the table and I asked her to write a little note for him just to kind of perk him up a little bit. And so she, whoever she was, lady, in a very fine hand, you are really hot, and exclamation points and said, a government gal, and he came back and he looked at that and he looked at the vase and he looked at the notes and he just cracked up because, you know, not only hot in terms of emotion in terms of the process but also, you know, about just saying he was a cool guy and he must have laughed for three or four minutes. His sense of humor and his passion I think will be well remembered.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. His, definitely sense of humor. I recall a meeting in Sand Point one time when I was Chairing the meeting and I'm not sure, I stepped out of the room but I do know that when I left for lunch that day, him and Vince Tutiakoff stuffed my hood in my coat full of leaves and stuff and I didn't realize it, they had it completely full, I put my coat on and these dried alder leaves were everywhere. But, yeah, his definitely sense of

```
0034
 1
 2
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

I am sorry.

humor and he cared a lot about his community and the Kodiak region and people in general so, yeah, big loss, and definitely we will miss him.

Chair's report. There's not a lot to report. There was one statewide meeting mainly just to go over a couple of things. Chairs weren't really involved, we were -- could call in and listen but basically it's not a lot on that level.

And then No. 10 old business we have, it looks like, the charter update, Katya Wessels.

MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair, thank you, this is Katya Wessels. Do you want me to provide the Council Coordinator report, is that what you're asking?

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hold on here. Basically it has under old business.

MS. WESSELS: Oh, okay. We didn't have public and tribal comment on non-agenda items yet.

23 24

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Oh, I'm sorry.

25 26

MS. WESSELS: Yeah.

27 28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I found it, sorry. So we do have public and tribal comment on nonagenda items, and then for the record if people want to testify over the course of the meeting you're welcome to, just let me know and we've always made a time for anybody to speak when they would like to make testimony. So given that, are there any public or tribal comments on non-agenda items.

36 37 38

(Teleconference interference participants not muted)

39 40

41 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: What's non-agenda 42 items....

43 44

45

46

REPORTER: Could we please mute our phone if we're not directly talking. You could do that by star, six or the mute button on your phone. We're hearing some background conversation.

47 48 49

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think I heard

0035 a question being asked what are non-agenda items? 3 (No comments) 4 5 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: No, okay, 6 7 MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair, this 8 Katya. 9 10 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. 11 MS. WESSELS: Yeah, non-agenda items is 12 13 any item not on the agenda that is related to the 14 subsistence issues in the Kodiak/Aleutian regions. So 15 if there is any public or tribal representatives online 16 that would like to talk on any non-agenda items, that's 17 an opportunity for the public to provide these comments 18 or for tribal represents to provide their comments. 19 20 Thank you. 21 22 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Okay, 23 hearing none, we'll continue on to No. 10 old business, 24 Council charter update, Katya. 25 26 27 For the record my name is Katya Wessels. 28 29 30 the Council's meeting materials. 31

MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Madame Chair. And your Council's charter can be found in your meeting materials under Tab 15. It's also available online in

32

33 34

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

This is renewed charter, it was renewed in 2021. And all 10 Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils in Alaska were chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, we also abbreviate that as FACA, Federal Advisory Committee Act is FACA. The Council charters are renewed every two years. year the Councils reviewed the charters and proposed some changes. It was in 2021 when you reviewed your charters. The Federal Subsistence Board supported most of the Council proposed changes and forwarded its recommendations to the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture for review and renewal of the charters.

Nine out of 10 Councils requested to add a carryover terms clause to all Council charters to avoid temporary vacancies on the Councils that occur between the expiration of the current member terms and the annual set of Secretarial appointments.

Secretaries supported these charter requests — these charter change request. And if you look in your charters, the clause on the carryover terms was added to your charter and it can be found in your charters in Section 12 which is titled, Membership and Designation. I will read the language that was added. So this language is:

If appointments for a given year have not yet been announced, a member may continue to serve on the Council following the expiration of his or her term until such appointments have been made. Unless reappointed, the members service ends on the date of announcement, even if that member specific seat remains unfilled.

So this is a very good change that will help us in the situations when the Secretarial appointments are late.

So the other charter change that was made, and it was actually recommended by the North Slope Council. The North Slope Council recommended to strike subsection 4(h) and 4(i), of Section 4, description of duties, from all the Council charters. The Board agreed with the Council's opinion that this language of these subsections was irrelevant to the Council mission and ANILCA mandates. These subsections were inserted in all the Council charters during 2017 charter renewal by the previous Administration. Some of the Executive Orders cited in these subsections were either irrelevant or revoked by the newly issued Executive Order. So the Secretaries approved this change request as well. And the section 4(h) and for (i) were removed. In some charters they are numbered a little bit differently, in almost all the charters they're 4(h) and 4(i). So that, you know, made your charters a little bit shorter, which is also good, easier to comprehend.

This concludes my presentation to the Council on the charter change updates and I'm ready to answer any questions the Council might have about the charter changes. The only thing I want to say is the next review of the charter will be happening now in 2023.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Katya. Are there any comments or questions in regard to her report.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none, Item B, Council application nomination open seat, Katya.

MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Madame Chair. As the Council might recall during your last meeting in the fall of 2021 we appealed to the Council to help us to solicit more applications for the -- to serve on the Council. And, you know, the application season is open right now. It will remain open just a little bit under a week, it will close on February 28th. And I remember that during one of the previous meetings the Council wanted to ask to update them on the situation with the applications in your region. So when it's going to be December of this year the Council will have four vacant or expiring terms and right now we have less than a week before the open season closes on February 28th and we only have one application for the Kodiak/Aleutian region.

There are three incumbents on this Council whose seats expire, so if you are planning on reapplying please make sure you submit your incumbent applications before February 28th. Your incumbent applications have been mailed to you. And also if the Council knows of any potential applicants to serve on the Council and I would like to ask for your help to encourage these people to apply or if you would like to nominate them, you can nominate them as well. You can always call me or email me if you need any help with any of this or have any questions. My number to call is (907) 786-3885.

Yeah, so we would like to keep the Council strong and all the seats filled and to have representatives from all parts of your region, you know, Kodiak, Aleutian Chain, Pribilof, and we appeal to the Council to help us with filling out these seats because you are the representatives of your communities and all people in your communities that can be very good on the Council, that will be contributing members, so we would appreciate this help.

0038 1 Thank you. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: 4 Katya. And the three members that are currently coming up is that Pat Holmes, Rick Koso and Sam Rohrer; is 5 6 that correct? 7 8 MS. WESSELS: Let me just open this. 9 Yes, Pat, Rick and Sam, that's correct. 10 11 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. 12 13 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 14 15 MR. HOLMES: Point of clarification. 16 We need to fill out that form and send it in because I 17 am not getting my mail down here to Seattle and some of 18 it's up in Kodiak and I know I want to continue and 19 we've lost -- one time I think we lost Rick Koso for a 20 couple years because of some confusion as to whether he 21 was reapplying and I believe he had tried to do so but 22 it didn't make it to the right spot and so we had that 23 slot open. And we should be -- can we do that online or 24 whatever. I just don't understand because I am not 25 ready to quit and I don't think Rick is or Sam and, you 26 know, I would assume on this list here we have Della 27 and Natasha being done in 2021 so I would assume that 28 you wonderful ladies are going to continue. 29 we're vacant one seat completely because we didn't have 30 it filled that says 2022 expires and then I guess we'll 31 need to find somebody for Melissa. So assuming that 32 all of us that are on are going to continue then we 33 need to find at least two people to replace those vacancies; is that correct? 34 35 36 MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair. 37 38 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: That's correct. 39 Go ahead, Katya. 40 41 MS. WESSELS: Yes, Pat -- Madame Chair 42 Trumble and Natasha Hayden have been approved to serve on the Council and now their term expires in 2024. I 43 think when we sent the documents originally we might 44

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ HOLMES: Okay. And then my other question, I'm sorry, I ramble around too much, I'm just

have had the older roster for the Council, and, yeah,

the Council currently has two vacant seats.

45 46

47 48

49

```
0039
    old, so do I need to go online and get the application
     and renew it, and same with Rick and Sam?
 2
 3
 4
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                     Well, we will work
 5
    directly with incumbents so we will reach out to you
 6
    personally and talk to you.
                                   We don't need to talk
 7
     about that in
                     the Council meeting.
                                               Mostly my
    presentation is to request the help from the Council
 8
 9
    members to solicit additional applications.
10
11
                    MR. HOLMES:
                                   Roger that.
12
    understand that but I'm down in Seattle and I won't be
13
    back to get any mail in Kodiak and so if I have.....
14
15
                    MS. WESSELS: Yeah.
16
17
                    MR. HOLMES:
                                  .....to have a piece of
18
    paper in then I need to know where to get it.
19
20
                    MS. WESSELS: Pat, either me or.....
21
22
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And, Katya.....
23
24
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                   ....the new Coordinator
25
    will reach out to you then today or tomorrow and we'll
26
     clarify it.
27
28
                    MR. HOLMES:
                                 Okay, use my cell phone.
29
    Thank you.
30
31
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                 Thank you.
32
33
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat
34
    and thank you, Katya.
35
36
                    MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.
37
38
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick.
39
40
                                  Yeah, I did send in an
                    MR. KOSO:
41
     incumbent application, emailed it back but I'm not sure
42
    if they got it or not so I emailed it back a few days
43
     ago and I don't know that they got it. I got a feeling
44
     that they didn't for some reason. So anyway if she
45
     could check on that to see if she received my
46
     application, it's been emailed in.
47
48
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rick.
49
```

MS. WESSELS: Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And this kind of happened with Rick last time. So my recommendation, Katya, is to continue to directly contact the three members to make sure that their paperwork has been received in a timely -- you know, on time, so we don't lose the three members. Sam, we haven't heard from you but we are hoping you are going to continue to stay on the Council. And then I will try to get a hold of the Hublets in False Pass and see if I can get somebody from there to fill out an application. And as far as the Pribilofs, I can check maybe with Richard or somebody out there to see if they know of anybody that might want to apply. And any other help, I guess, we can do from the Kodiak side for Melissa would be great. Does that sound like a plan?

MS. WESSELS: Yes, thank you, Madame

20 Chair.

MS. SKINNER: Della, I have a -- yeah, Della, I have a question.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Is that Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: It is.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

 MS. SKINNER: And this might be a little unfair because it involves the Bristol Bay RAC. But I see that -- so I looked at the notice for new appointments that came out on February 4th or February 2nd and I see that Bristol Bay RAC is down to six people and the Kodiak/Aleutian RAC is down to eight people, which was very alarming to me when I first saw it compared to the other RACs, which look much more, you know, fully Staffed or fully seated. Does anyone know if the Bristol Bay RAC is having the same problem, in that, they're just not getting enough applicants and I guess I'll give some context for my question.

My first thought was, oh, like they're intentionally making Bristol Bay and the Kodiak/Aleutian smaller, maybe they're going to squish them together into one RAC. So I guess what I'm looking for is reassurance that that's not what's happening, it really just is that applications are not

coming in for either the Bristol Bay RAC or the Kodiak/Aleutian RAC.

2

4 MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair, may I 5 answer.

6 7

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Katya.

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

MS. WESSELS: Yes, thank you. the situation with Bristol Bay is as you described. They currently have actually five vacant seats and, you know, last cycle we did not receive many applications for Bristol Bay and the situation is kind of similar to last year with Bristol Bay. There is no intentional at all to combine Kodiak/Aleutian and Bristol Bay Councils. There will be -- the Councils will remain. And, you know, we want to get more applications for your regions and, you know, that's why we're trying to do more outreach. I guess, you know, just because -- perhaps because of the pandemic, perhaps for other reason, we're not able to reach people that would be interested and willing to apply to serve on the Councils. And that's why we're appealing to the Council members since you are the representative for your communities, you live there, you know people, you know who might be interested, who might be good in serving on the Councils. So, you know, there is -but, again, I will ensure that there is no intention to combine two Councils together, not at all. You know those are vast regions, there's no reason to combine these two Councils. That's not what the -- originally the 10 Councils were established, the 10 Councils will remain there. We just need to have more applications. We need to have more representatives from across the This is a very big issue that, you know, not region. just Bristol Bay and Kodiak/Aleutian are facing, there are a few other Councils that are facing the same situation.

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

And, you know, now that you will have the permanent Coordinator, hopefully the situation will change. They also will be able to do more outreach in the future. We are looking at some other, you know, some other avenues to increase this outreach. It's just, you know, right now we only have less than a week before the open season closes so the season, you know, was opened in September and we're trying to reach the public through newspaper ads and other, radio announcements and other advertisements but, you know,

as a result so far we only have one application for Kodiak/Aleutian region. I am just being open about it and, you know, hopefully we will get more applications within the last few days. But that's what the situation is.

Thank you.

MS. SKINNER: All right, thank you, Katya. That makes me feel a lot better. Thank you for the reassurances on that.

So I guess my next question is and this is more for the RAC members, is having 10 members, regardless of what sub-region they're from, is that more important than maintaining the balance that we've outlined in our charter? So if I go out and recruit Kodiak people to apply is -- and they apply and get appointed, is that going to be problematic. I guess I'm asking, what is more important to us, is it having the 10 people or is it really trying to keep the geographic balance that we've talked in the past. Because depending on the answer to that, I mean it will influence, you know, where I'm trying to recruit people from.

Thanks.

MS. WESSELS: This is Katya.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

MS. WESSELS: You know, I think it will be up to the Council, really, you know, I mean the Council can still function with just eight seated members. If you feel it's important for you to have all the seats filled then it doesn't matter that, you know, maybe most of them will come from Kodiak, but if it's important for the Council to have, you know, all the seats filled then, you know, I would encourage the Council members to reach out to the people that they know that might be good serving on the Council. Just remember that, you know, Council members are usually appointed for a three year term. It sometimes happens when the seats remain vacant for awhile that when the Council members are appointed, that seat specifically will only have two, or one year term left, so then that person will need to reapply. But like the Council needs to really think about if they want to uphold what

```
0043
 1
         request added to their charter, which is a
    membership balance for different parts of the region,
    because your region is so vast, geographically, or
    perhaps you have some people, you know, in Kodiak that
 5
    also have knowledge of the subsistence needs and
    resources in other regions. Because, you know, even if
 7
    you get the Council members from the other parts of the
    region, the Council members are appointed for their
 9
    knowledge and experience in five categories. You know,
10
    the knowledge of subsistence resources, and use and
11
    knowledge of commercial/sport use, and, you know, their
12
    ability to communicate with the public, their ability
13
    for leadership. So that's kind of a balance, you know,
14
    we don't know who.....
15
16
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Katya.
17
18
                    MS. WESSELS: .....these new people
19
    will be applying.....
20
21
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Katya.
22
                                 ....on the Council --
23
                    MS. WESSELS:
24
    yes.
25
26
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think Rebecca,
27
    maybe just a short answer to your question. We have 10
    seats and the goal would be, in all reality, to have
28
29
    five from Kodiak and five from the Aleutians, however,
30
    in the past we haven't been able to get five people
31
    from the Aleutians and a lot of times even if they
32
    applied for some reason they actually got more out-
33
    weighted on the Kodiak side, but I think the goal here
34
    would be -- and ideally we would like five and five,
35
    however, I think if we don't it's good to have those 10
    seats filled regardless of where they're coming from.
36
37
    And is that maybe Chris or Rick who can comment in
38
    regard to that.
39
40
                    MR. PRICE: Rick, you want to go.
```

(No comments)

43
44 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think Rick
45 might have stepped away. So Chris do you want to.....

46
47 MR. ROHRER: Della, this is.....

49 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:go, I think

50

48

0044 just.... 2 3 4

MR. KOSO: Yeah, you hear me Della.

5 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: 6

Oh, yeah, we hear you now Chris -- or Rick, go ahead.

8 MR. KOSO: Yeah, okay, this is Rick, 9 Della.

10 11

7

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. KOSO: You know I don't have a problem with having the 10 members from other regions. I think our problem came when we confronted this a few years back to where we were having not so much on the subsistence of it but our meeting side of it. We were kind of being outgunned when it came to selecting a spot to have our next meetings and we felt sometimes that Kodiak was overriding to have their meetings in Kodiak versus having them in Cold Bay or Dutch Harbor. I think that was a reality that we ran into a few years But outside of that I don't see where there would be a problem with filling it up with some other areas in our region.

25 26 27

28

29

30

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, I think, Rick, that might have fell into when they were trying to cut back on travel and saying that our main area, or hub was Kodiak. That's kind of where that whole process fell into place a few years back.

31 32 33

Thank you.

34 35

Chris.

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

44

45

46

47

MR. PRICE: Yeah, I mean I'm not the expert in this because I'm more new to the Council but I do encourage, you know, the Aleutian region to be more active and participate and I always, you know, want to work with the Pribilofs and encourage them to participate. I mean I like that we have a way to make openings for communities that may not be engaged at this time but in the future may wish to participate more and be full members. So, you know, I don't have all the answers here and, you know, you guys are the experts so I'll listen in and hear what you guys have to say.

48 49

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Rebecca, we'll try do some due diligence on our side too to get try to get people to apply. It's not always easy. I do know that I'm aware of people that have applied in the past that didn't get appointed so we'll do the best we can, I guess and see where we end up, we don't necessarily have the choice in the final decisionmaking of who is selected.

MR. ROHRER: Della, this is Sam. I just want to jump in real quick and say I do plan on reapplying. I have the application sitting on my desk, I've just been a little bit busy and sidetracked with some other stuff going on, but I will get that in here before the deadline. So just wanted to let you know.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you.

MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And I'm going to do a couple -- one person in Cold Bay I have in mind, too, that I'll get a hold of in the next day or two and see if I can get him possibly interested. And then Rebecca, I hear you.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, I just wanted to say that your response was very helpful and that's exactly the kind of feedback I was looking for so thank you for that.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any other comments.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Katya and Council.

MS. WESSELS: Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none we will move on to sea otter discussion. We have this on the agenda, there was some, I think emails back and forth on whether to keep this on the agenda or not, so it's up to the Council, for Council discussion. However, you know, I will say it's starting to come more like Chris just mentioned in Unalaska in regard to sea otters so with that I think I'm going to ask Katya

to talk about why this discussion is up and her emails in regards to this and the Council can tell us what they want to do in the future.

4 5

> 6 7

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

2

MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Madame Chair. In one of the previous Council meetings the Council voted actually to have sea otter as a topic on their agenda, like a permanent topic, so I reached out to Council members that are on the sea otter working group to see if, you know, you would like to have this on the agenda and to see if the Fish and Wildlife Marine Mammal Management could present anything on this topic and, you know, it seemed like there was no request for Marine Mammal Management to present anything and it wasn't clear to us if the Council would like to see the sea otter for a topic for this particular meeting on the agenda so that's why we left it on the agenda. And the Council can speak up now and if you would like to this discussion, there is no presentation associated with it, nothing like that, but if the Council would like to discuss anything right now about sea otters you can or we can just move on to the next agenda item.

232425

MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair.

26 27

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rebecca.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, thanks. So I think that part of the circumstances leading up to this meeting is that our sea otter working group, I think is what we're calling it, was not able to meet prior to this meeting and so it wasn't as clear what specific issues or questions or information we would want Marine Mammals to share in a presentation. I do think -- and so there was correspondence prior to the meeting today to see if we -- if it was feasible to try and have that working group meeting and my sense was rather than trying to squish in a last minute working group meeting, that we would aim to do that after this RAC meeting. And I think that when we have the working group meeting it will become more clear what kind of discussion or information we want to have at our next And so when Katya says there wasn't --RAC meeting. there didn't seem to be a lot of interest in having the sea otter discussion today, I do think a big part of thatwas just lacking, again, theworking group coming -the sea otter working group coming together and being

able to have more focused conversation ahead of time. So I think it's really useful to hear that, you know, there's more sea otter issues out along the Chain, which I'm not sure we heard of much before, so I'm looking forward to our sea otter working group and, again, I think that will help define -- you know better define what we want to hear from Marine Mammals at our next RAC meeting.

8

5

6 7

10 Thank you.

11

MS. CHERNOFF: This is Coral, Madame
13 Chair.

14

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, 16 Rebecca. Coral.

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33 34

35

36 37 38

MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, for myself, don't know, I was just thinking, oh, where are these emails, I might not have responded. This fall -- yeah, we didn't get together as a working group because we had -- as you all know we had the new Omicron going through and just everything was disrupted again. so -- but I do think I would like to see this be on the agenda every time and we will get our working group together. There's also things to work on -- I just found out through a friend who works in government, or she's a lobbyist in Washington and they are trying to get a law passed to ban fur in Washington, which should be a really big concern for us here also if people are going tostart trying to ban fur. That would be major -there's not that many sea otters taken now but, of course, if you ban fur -- or people start banning furs and they start getting the word out against -- the word starts getting out that furs are bad, you know, that's not good for our -- you know we do cull a little bit of our population and I think Sealaska was trying to get something started. So I think there are, definitely issues to work on, that is definitely something new that we should keep our eyes on.

44

45 46

47

48

39

Yeah, and then I think we just haven't really met. I know the Burke Museum, too, has got -- I don't know what they're doing, they're doing sea otter studies on something. The Burke Museum they just got a bunch of sea otter skulls, I think, and then they were going to do a bunch of studies on them so it'd be nice to keep updated on everything that's going on with sea otters even though we are not the governing body, we

0048 don't report to the governing body that deals with them. We do know it concerns us and concerns our subsistence foods so we do have to, I think, have conversation to figure out how to talk to people about 5 it and how to -- yeah, I guess just to talk to people 6 about it. 7 8 And, yeah, so I'm interested in keeping 9 it on every time and I'm sure we'll get started up with 10 our sea otter working group soon. 11 12 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you, 13 Coral. Any other comments. 14 15 (No comments) 16 17 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none, 18 we'll keep it on the agenda. And maybe whoever -- we 19 do have our new Coordinator, I don't know if she's been 20 introduced, I believe she's online listening, but to 21 maybe -- we need to make a little more effort, I think, 22 in getting the working group together prior to our 23 meetings, would be probably a good idea. 24 25 All right. My suggestion at this time 26 is to go ahead and take a 10, 15 minute break. Let's take 15 before we start on new business, if everybody 27 28 is okay. We'll come back in 15 minutes. 29 30 MS. HAYDEN: Thank you, Della.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, 15 minutes

MR. PRICE: Thank you.

31 32

33 34

35 guys.

36 37

(Off record)

38 39

(On record)

40 41 42

43

44

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Let's call our meeting back to order from our break and continue on. I think the next item on the agenda is new business, 11A, Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program information update, Jarred Stone.

45 46 47

MR. STONE: Okay, thank you. morning, Madame Chair and members of the Council. the record my name is Jarred Stone, I am a Fisheries

49 50

Biologist here at the Office of Subsistence Management. I work in the Kodiak/Aleutian region but I also work in the Bristol Bay and Southcentral regions. I'm joined here today with my anthropologist colleague Jason Roberts. Today I will provide updates on three fisheries programmatic areas. I'll begin with the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program and then I'll switch over to the fishery call for proposals for the upcoming regulatory cycle, and then I'll finish by providing additional details regarding the fisheries closure review process. These will be brief updates but please ask questions as we move along and I'll do my best to help answer them.

For the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program update, this is not an action item for today, and as I mentioned there are no associated materials for this discussion in your Council meeting books.

We are currently in the final stage of the 2022 Monitoring Program funding cycle. The Federal Subsistence Board met in early February and made recommendations about the Monitoring Plan. Once the Office of Subsistence Management has a final budget, the Assistant Regional Director will then approve the funding plan and all applicants will be notified of the status of their submissions.

With the 2022 cycle coming to an end, it's time now for the Councils to begin developing priority information needs for the 2024 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program, which will happen at your next meeting in the fall. We are asking for Council members from both the Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council and your Council to consider volunteering and to meet by telephone this summer to identify knowledge gaps and information needed for the management of subsistence fisheries for the Southwest region. The draft list of priority information needs will then be presented to the Councils for their final approval during their fall 2022 meeting.

This isn't an action item but if there's anyone on the Council that would like to volunteer to help develop the draft priority information needs for the next cycle it would be greatly appreciated.

This concludes my presentation

```
0050
    regarding the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program.
    Are there any questions or volunteers who would like to
    help draft the priority information needs for the fall
 4
     2022 meeting.
 5
 6
                    Thank you.
 7
 8
                    MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair.
 9
10
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,
11
    Rebecca.
12
13
                    MS. SKINNER: Yeah, thank you. I would
14
    like to volunteer to help draft the PINS.
15
16
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                                Thank you,
17
    Rebecca, anyone else.
18
19
                    MR. STONE: Thank you, Rebecca.
20
21
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                             I'll go
22
    and put my name in, too, Jarred.
23
24
                    MR. STONE:
                                Thank you, Madame Chair.
25
26
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                              Does anybody
27
    have any comments or questions for Jarred in regard to
28
    this agenda item.
29
30
                     (No comments)
31
32
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I guess hearing
33
    none, we'll....
34
35
                    MR. STONE: Madame Chair, if I may.
36
37
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                    TRUMBLE:
                                                 Go ahead,
38
     Jarred.
39
40
                    MR. STONE:
                                  So for the Bristol Bay
41
     region, Council Member Dan Dunaway had volunteered so
42
     I'll work with the three of you to try and coordinate a
43
    time this summer that works best for the three of you
    and that will be it. So look for an invitation on my
44
45
    part to try and coordinate a time that works best.
46
47
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you,
48
             Do you want to go ahead and move on to Item
     Jarred.
49
    No. B.
```

MR. STONE: Okay. Again, for the record, my name is Jarred Stone and I'm a Fisheries Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management.

I'll now give an update on our call for Federal fisheries and shellfish proposals. This is an action item for your Council. Once we work through this item I will then provide an update on the upcoming Federal subsistence fisheries closure reviews that were deferred by the Board in 2021. You can find the materials on how to submit regulatory proposals on Tab 4 of your meeting books.

The Federal Subsistence Board will be accepting proposals this winter and into spring to change the Federal regulations for the subsistence take of fish and shellfish on Federal public lands and waters for the 2023 through 2025 regulatory cycle. The Board will consider proposals to change Federal fish and shellfish seasons, harvest limits, methods and means and customary and traditional use determinations. The current window of opportunity to submit proposals is not quite open yet, however, as a Council you may vote on fisheries proposals and then submit the proposals to the Council Coordinator at any time during our Council meeting. Coordinators will then hold on to the proposals until the window for fisheries proposals is officially opened and then submit them. The general public will need to wait until the window for fisheries proposals has officially opened, which should be some time soon, and stay open for a period of at least 30 days.

Proposals need to be submitted to the regulations website by going to www.regulations.gov. The proposals will be analyzed and presented to the Councils during the upcoming fall 2022 meetings for Council recommendations. Proposal analysis and Council recommendations will be presented to the Federal Subsistence Board for their final action at the January 2023 fisheries regulatory meeting.

This concludes my presentation. Are there any questions or regulatory proposals that the Council would like to put forward before I move into the fisheries closure update.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I'm sorry I lost you folks there for awhile. I couldn't call out on my cell, it went nuts on me. I would like to be on that planning group and I don't have any other questions. I participated in every one in the last 20 years and I'd like to continue on that. And I found that Dan Dunaway was a really good link on that.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat.

MR. STONE: Thank you, Mr. Holmes. Council Member Holmes I'll add you to the list of volunteers for the upcoming draft of the priority information needs.

MR. HOLMES: Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, Jarred, I don't hear any other comments if you want to continue on.

MR. STONE: Okay, thank you, Madame Chair. Again, for the record, this is Jarred Stone and I am a Fisheries Biologist with OSM.

In addition to the upcoming fisheries regulatory cycle OSM Staff will review closures to Federal subsistence fisheries similar to what wildlife closure reviews had done during the last cycle.

So Title VIII of ANILCA establishes priority for the taking of fish and wildlife on Federal public lands and waters for non-wasteful subsistence uses over the taking of fish and wildlife on such lands Under certain circumstances or other purposes. specified in Title VIII, the Board is authorized to restrict, close and reopen Federal public lands and waters to the taking of fish and wildlife. Like other Board established rules closures are subject to change during the regulatory cycle. It is the Board's policy that Federal public lands and waters should be reopened as soon as practicable once the conditions originally justified the closure have changed to such an extent that the closure is no longer necessary. To help ensure that the closures do not remain in place

longer than necessary, the Board has directed OSM to review existing closures.

2 3 4

5

6

7

9

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

OSM will review half of all closures during each applicable regulatory cycle. will include analysis of all reviews relevant considerations and OSM will recommend an action for the Council's consideration on the closure. The types of actions that the Council can recommend include, retain, which means the closure remains regulations unchanged. The second option is to modify, which means a change directly related to the actual closure, an example of that would be adjusting the dates the closure's effective, or perhaps assigning an expiration date or broadening a closure to both subsistence and non-subsistence users. A third option is to rescind, which means to remove the closure from the regulations. If a season harvest limit exists in the regulations, that season or harvest limit will then once again become effective. If none exists, proposal must be submitted to establish a season and/or harvest limit. If no parallel proposal is submitted with the closure review, existing State sport regulations would be as applicable for fish or there is no harvest season until a proposal is submitted. the last option is to defer, which means that the closure then would remain in place until that time the Board takes up the closure again, normally no longer than the next applicable regulatory cycle.

29 30 31

32

33

34

There are currently 20 fisheries closure reviews statewide under review for the upcoming fisheries regulatory cycle. Of the 20 reviews, 14 will be considered for the Kodiak/Aleutian Regional Advisory Council during the fall 2022 Council meeting.

35 36 37

38

39

40

Here is a brief list of the fisheries closures that will be under review for the cycle. First I'll go over the list of deferred closure reviews from 2021, all of which pertain to the harvest of salmon.

41 42 43

That includes FCR21-08 Unalaska Lake.

44 45

FCR21-09 Unalaska Bay, including Summers and Morris Lake.

46 47 48

FCR21-11 McLees Lake drainage.

0054 1 FCR21-13 Alaska Peninsula, Russell 2 Creek and Nurse Lagoon. 3 FCR21-16 Kodiak Island Buskin River. 4 5 6 FCR21-18 Afognak Bay drainage. 7 8 FCR21-19 Afognak Island freshwater 9 drainages. 10 11 There are an additional seven closure 12 reviews to be considered, and OSM Staff will draft the 13 analysis for these during the summer and provide 14 preliminary conclusions to your Council during the fall 15 meeting. These additional closure reviews for the 16 upcoming cycle include: 17 18 Unalaska Bay streams for salmon. 19 20 Adak/Kagalaska freshwater streams for 21 salmon. 22 23 Trout Creek on the Alaska Peninsula for 24 salmon. 25 26 Womens Bay for salmon. 27 28 Selief Bay Creek for salmon. 29 30 Little Kitoi Creek for salmon. 31 32 Womens Bay for king crab. 33 34 As you recall an outreach team made up 35 of volunteers from your Council and OSM Staff helped to 36 create outreach materials to help generate additional 37 feedback from the affected communities. This included 38 community fliers which were sent to local communities 39 showing summaries of each of the closures, the OSM 40 website was then updated with additional maps depicting 41 the areas along with guidance on how to submit a public 42 comment and meetings were held with the State's local 43 Advisory Committees and tribal organizations. 44 45 If you're interested in viewing the analysis and would like to submit a public comment, you 46 47 visit our website by going 48 www.doi.gov/subsistence/krac, and that's spelled KRAC /

49

50

closures.

We are hopeful that this outreach will generate thoughtful feedback concerning these closure reviews to allow the Council and the Board to make an informed decision for each of the 14 closure reviews to be considered. If anyone wishes to modify any of these closure reviews in any way, other than the four options I had described earlier, a parallel proposal must be submitted during the call for fisheries regulatory proposals, such as during this meeting. The window of time to submit a proposal is not yet open, however, the Council can choose to provide proposals directly to the Council Coordinator to have submitted when the window of opportunity opens.

This concludes my update on the fisheries closure review process and the status of the upcoming closure reviews to be considered for the fall 2022 meeting.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Jarred. Are there any comments or questions for Jarred.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, Madame Chair, this is Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: Thanks. Yeah, I have

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rebecca.

three questions right now and I think they're clarifying questions. So the first question I have, I thought that I heard you say in the presentation right now that OSM would provide recommendations to the RAC and I wanted to make sure I understand that correctly because I thought in previous discussions about this item, there was some back and forth about whether OSM could provide a recommendation or perhaps the nature of that recommendation. So I wanted to make sure that, one, I heard you correctly and, then, two, what does that mean, that OSM will provide or can provide a recommendation to the RAC.

MR. STONE: Thank you. Through the Chair, Council Member Skinner. You are correct. So OSM, in the fall, will have finished all of the Staff analysis on each of the closure reviews, very similar to what the regulatory proposals look like. And at the

very end of the analysis, OSM will provide what we call a preliminary conclusion. And that is, you know, a recommendation to the Council for their consideration. And so I hope that addresses your question.

MS. SKINNER: Yes. So just to dig into this one a little bit more. So would that be along the lines of, for example, OSM recommends rescinding the closure, or is it going to be a higher level statement that doesn't get into one of the specific options that you outlined?

 MR. STONE: Thank you for the question. Boy, to answer that I think it -- our preliminary recommendation or conclusion that we offer for our Staff analysis will likely only involve one of the four options that I had mentioned before, to either rescind, or retain or modify or defer. You know, we'll often -- similar to what we've done last time during the previous regulatory cycle, a majority of those closure reviews we had opted or recommended for allowing those closures to be opened and for allowing the fisheries to essentially mirror what the State sportfish regulations would look like.

 It's a little early for me to make any recommendations on the upcoming new fisheries closures just because Staff have not had a chance to provide their full analysis on those particular fisheries closures.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted - hold)

MR. STONE: And so this fall when we come in front of you again for our fall meeting, we'll likely discuss to a great extent each of the fisheries in detail and then make a preliminary conclusion or a recommendation to the Council for your consideration and it will include one of those four actions.

MS. SKINNER: Yep, that's very helpful. So two more questions. My next question has to do with timing. So at our upcoming fall RAC meeting, we will be reviewing all of the -- and correct me if I'm misstating this, we will be reviewing all 14 of the closure reviews, or all the closures, but if we -- as a Council, if we wanted to put forward regulationsthat -- proposed regulations that related to these closures

and we wanted to do that as a Council, would we need to suggest those at this meeting, because it's going to be too late in the fall to generate a proposed regulatory change, or if I've got the timing on that wrong, if you could comment on that.

5 6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

4

MR. STONE: Thank you. Chair. And, Council Member Skinner. That's a great question and, you know, unfortunately the timing of our call for proposal and our review process are a bit awkward. We are very much open to receiving comment from the Council in regards to how to maybe make this process more streamlined or to make it easier, but you are correct, in that, if there are changes you would like to make to any of the closures then you would have sort of two opportunities. One would be right now during this Council meeting. The next opportunity then would be, you know, during our next regulatory cycle, which would be in two years. So, again, I apologize for the awkwardness or the nature of this in the way that it's rolling out, but you are correct that the call for proposals will be this spring, and you will not see the Staff analysis of the next seven closure reviews until this fall.

242526

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

MS. SKINNER: Okay. Thank you, that's helpful. And then my last question is, can you explain again -- I know you just explained this in your presentation but I did not completely follow it; closure is rescinded and there is no current Federal subsistence regulation to fall back on, I heard you say if that was the case then the State sport regulations would be kind of the default, but then I thought you said something right after that, like it was an either Can you explain again what happens if a closure is rescinded and there is no Federal subsistence regulation to fall back on.

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

MR. STONE: Thank you. Through Madame Chair. Council Member Ms. Skinner. If a closure is rescinded and there are no existing regulations in place to fall back on, it would require a proposal to establish a harvest season. If -- again, and I'll just state that again: If there's no regulations in the background, or none exist, a proposal must be submitted to establish a season and/or harvest limits, and if no parallel proposal is submitted with the closure review, existing State sport regulations would be followed. And so from my understanding, if no parallel was

submitted, maybe come this Council meeting in the spring, then in the fall the Council decides to rescind the closures, then what would likely happen is that those fisheries would then revert to mirror State sport regulations.

MS. SKINNER: All right, thank you. That's all I had, Madame Chair.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, Pat here.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: You said it would revert to State sport regulations, what does that have to do with it? I mean that's part of it, but it seems like my recollection that all of these closures originally came from the State and all of those were originally from the various agencies within the State and the Board of Alaska Department of Fish and Game; is that not correct?

MR. STONE: Madame Chair....

MR. HOLMES: None of them originated

with OSM.

MR. STONE: To answer your question Council Member Mr. Holmes. You are correct in that a lot of these regulations were adopted during the time when the Federal regulations were first enacted. And in certain circumstances some of these State fisheries do allow a sportfishing opportunity, whereas they do not allow for an opportunity for Federal subsistence users. And we're hoping to address this, and to allow for more rural subsistence priority for folks that live in the rural parts.

Thank you.

MR. HOLMES: I have a point that I'd like to make on this whole section of which I raised earlier on our minutes from our meeting and that the two previous times this has been presented to our Council, many comments have been made and none of them have shown up in the minutes. And I would question the fact, in our region, that sportfish would be allowed when subsistence is closed. Because in the time that I've been with the Department and living here, which is

9

10

11

12

13

like combined over 50 years, 60 years, almost every single closure in our region, both sportfish, commercial -- commercial closes first, and then there's a decision to make on subsistence and sport, but in our region I don't recall any single time where sportfish has been allowed to continue if the subsistence is closed. And there are several reasons why, and, particularly in Unalaska why those are implemented the way they were, they were done in coordination between the State and the local Advisory Committees and presently since the Subsistence Management Program was developed, all the ones that are within jurisdiction of the Federal government, are all consulted with prior to the closure.

14 15 16

And so tell me where there has been a sportfish allowed in our region when the subsistence is closed, I just don't recall that.

18 19 20

17

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Jarred, do you have an answer?

212223

24

MR. STONE: Madame Chair. Mr. Holmes -- Council Member Holmes, would you mind just maybe restating that so I understand the question.

252627

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

Well, yes. A, okay, the MR. HOLMES: regulations in place were originally from the State, okay. B, and my recollection, let's say the Buskin, for instance, there is no commercial fishery allowed for red salmon near there by the Alaska Fish and Game. If there is any closure then the area biologist, James Jackson, calls up Bill Pyle at the Refuge and he says, gee, the escapements are way down, we're going to have to close things up and both the commercial -- the commercial fishery is already closed and then the sportfish and commercial -- and the subsistence are closed at the same time. And you made the statement that this process is to avoid the problems that would occur, would provide for subsistence fishing if its closed by the State, and I do not recall ever -- it might have happened sometime, but that the subsistence has been closed and the sportfish left open, it just doesn't happen. It's almost a matter of policy. And I checked with the area biologist and the regional biologist and sportfish and commercial fisheries and tell me where this has happened and why is it a problem.

0060

1 MR. AYERS: Madame Chair.
2
3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

Jarred.

4 5 6

MR. AYERS: Madame Chair, this is Scott Ayers, I'm the Fish Division Supervisor at OSM. Could I speak up to the question?

8 9 10

7

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes, go ahead.

11 12 13

14

15

16

MR. AYERS: Hi, thank you. And thank you for allowing me to be part of this meeting today, it's exciting to be here and I'm still -- while I spent time at OSM, I've been gone for a few years and I'm happy to be returning and am happy to see the Council so invigorated in these discussions.

17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41

To Mr. Holmes' question. The fisheries that we're talking about aren't fisheries that were closed as a matter of, even the season adjustments, these are fisheries that are closed by regulations and have been closed for a number of years. And I believe what Jarred was bringing up was that there are some of these closures that are closures to both State and Federal subsistence that do have allowances sportfishing, I believe, in some of the freshwaters in Unalaska, that's the case, and I believe that was what Jarred was bringing up as part of his discussion. The closure review process as was stated earlier by the other member is a little bit awkward right now, in that, our timing is not optimal to bring these closures and this discussion to you, letting you know that there's going to be an analysis conducted that you'll be in the fall, but also letting you know that if you want to make changes beyond the scope of those four options you have to do it now and we recognize this and this is something that we've been discussing internally about attempting to see if we can make changes to the process for timing of this the next cycle. Unfortunately we're just too far along right now to make those changes.

42 43 44

45

46

47 48 Our intent today to bring this forward with you was mostly to provide some discussion on the front end that these closure reviews would be coming to you in the fall and to provide opportunity for the Council members to consider what the options will be, and also to let you know which closures were going to

be up for review so that there was ample opportunity to consider those and reach out to others in the area as appropriate for their feedback before the fall came.

5 Thank you, Madame Chair.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. So any other comments.

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, I guess I got a -- I guess I'm more confused than anything. I think in Cold Bay there just north of Trout Creek, I know they put markers out this last couple of years for 500 yards from the entrance to the creek there and it was closed down to -- as far as I understand, I could be wrong, it was closed down to subsistence users but not for sportfishing, they were able to sportfish there, but we were not able to do the subsistence fishing in that area. So that's one of the deals that I was concerned about.

And then in Adak, you know, it kind of got me that they were closed down in Kagalaska, you know, streams there, ADF&G, when there's not a commercial fishery that's been out there since the 1960s so I don't know why they would close that down to, you know, subsistence fishermen.

Those are the only places that I know that people go from Adak that they're able to get red salmon so that would be a real hit to the local people in Adak.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. This is Della, I have to admit I'm a little -- I get a more confused, I swear, the more we discuss this particular issue. So correct me if I am wrong. As stated, if we rescind these closures from regulations, aren't these closures basically State regulations?

MR. STONE: Madame Chair. Yeah, so when there are existing State regulations, if there are no Federal regulations to back them, then, yes, they would revert to State sportfish regulations. A lot of times, with these closure reviews, if they're rescinded

they would then fall back on to the area, or the region area regulations.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. So given that answer, if this Council voted to rescind these regulations and then we have to go through a process, our Staff would need to determine whether there are regulations or in place or whether or not we have to create ones, would that be the next process prior to the fall meeting?

Thank you, Madame Chair. MR. STONE: You know, I think in the ideal situation in the fall when we come to you next with our Staff analysis and our preliminary conclusions that we have sort of a formulated stance, or approach for the Council to consider so that they can consider opening these waters. That was our intent with our last seven fisheries closure reviews that we reviewed last cycle, our intent then was to allow sort of a limited opportunity to mirror State fishing regulations to have rod and reel fisheries in each of those closed waters. And as Council Member Koso had mentioned, you know, currently as the regulations sit right now, you know, as a Federal subsistence user you cannot fish in these waters, however as a sport fisher you can, so we were hoping to address this rural priority issue and to Federally-qualified allow opportunity for participate in these fisheries.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Thank you, Jarred. I agree with Rick also because I do hear -- that question has been brought to my attention from other residents in Cold Bay and King Cove.

So basically at this particular moment in time what are we, as a Council, needing to do?

MR. STONE: Madame Chair. Right now if the Council wishes to submit a proposal for any of the 14 closure reviews, you can do that today or tomorrow or any time during the call for proposal, when that window opens. You can choose to do nothing for now and come fall wait for our Staff analysis and make a decision at that time. The timing of it, like Mr. Ayers had mentioned, is a bit awkward, in that, the call for proposals will then be over in the fall and so

0063 then to take action or modify any of the closures you would have to wait until the next cycle which would be in two years. 4 5 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. So maybe, 6 Council, my recommendation might be that we review 7 what's in front of us and if there are or is a particular one you would like to see rescinded then we 9 can try to draft a proposal, maybe a time tomorrow, 10 before the closing of the meeting, that we do so. 11 Would that be agreeable? 12 13 MS. CHERNOFF: Madame Chair.... 14 15 MR. HOLMES: I think we..... 16 17 MS. SKINNER: Della, this is..... 18 19 MR. HOLMES:should wait for the 20 analysis, Madame Chair. 21 22 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat, if we wait 23 for the analysis..... 24 25 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. 26 27 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, Pat it was 28 brought up that if you want for the analysis it would 29 be another basically two years. Rebecca, I believe I 30 heard you. 31 32 MS. SKINNER: Yep, you did. 33 defer to Coral because I think I've already spoken at 34 least once on this and then I can go after Coral. 35 36 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, go ahead, 37 Coral. 38 39 MS. CHERNOFF: I -- yeah, I don't have -- well, maybe -- no, I don't have anything to say on 40 this question but I do have a comment, maybe after we 41 42 cover this question I do have a comment on something 43 that was talked about before so I'll just wait until 44 we're finished on this subject. 45 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Rebecca. 46 47 48 MR. STONE: Yeah, so in -- I guess in 49 regards to what the Council needs to do or can do right

now and then what we need to do or can do in the fall, on the one hand I do appreciate Della's suggestion to look at the closures reviews and take advantage of the timing opportunity to propose regulatory changes that would need to go into effect if the result of the closure review is to rescind the closure. So I mean in some ways I do like that suggestion.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

5

6

On the other hand, reflecting back to Pat's comments at the beginning of the meeting, that the closure reviews weren't originally included on the agenda and also just thinking about the amount of information involved with each of these closure So having participated in the previous reviews. discussions with the RAC and then also the small subgroup that talked about, and developed kind of an outreach plan regarding the closures, there's a lot of information. And so I am concerned about trying to quickly digest that and come up with cohesive regulatory proposals in the middle of the RAC meeting that's happening.

212223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

I did ask the questions of Jarred, you know, what happens if we don't have regulations and his response was that the default would be whatever's allowed under State sport regulations for the area, that would be the default. I'm actually fairly comfortable with that because I do support a subsistence priority so at least if subsistence users get the same access as sport users under State regs I'm okay with that, understanding that it would then take another two years to get back to the fishery proposal cycle.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

So I guess I just want to be clear in my understanding, at the meeting today, we're not taking action on closure reviews; that's something we're going to do at the fall meeting and, in fact, we don't even have any materials in the agenda packet regarding the closure reviews. What is on the agenda for potential action today would be proposing potential changes to fishery proposals, which technically the call for proposals hasn't opened yet, but if we want to take action as a Council, this meeting is the time we would have to do it because by the time we get to our fall meeting the window would have closed. So we could generate some potential proposals at this meeting for fisheries, that then would be kind of the fallback position if some of the closures were actually

rescinded. And I think the situation probably isn't that complicated but, yeah, the awkward timing isn't — isn't helping, I think that actually makes it a lot more confusing, you know, oh, well, what are we doing today, it's almost like the step that's in front of us today is really the second step in the process, we haven't even acted on the closure reviews yet and we are not going to at this meeting.

So after all of that talking, like I said, I see merits to Della's' suggestion, I also see some challenges and I will be quiet and let some other people talk.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Are there any other comments.

20 MR. HOLMES: If there's not I'd like to 21 make a brief one.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat, and then I had.....

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ PRICE: Pat, this is Chris, I had a brief comment.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.

MR. PRICE: So I just want to clarify. So the closure reviews and the review of those are going to happen at the September meeting in Cold Bay and then based on those discussions and decisions we'll see how they -- what the recommendations are at that point; is that correct?

MR. AYERS: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

MR. AYERS: Hi, this is Scott again. Thank you, again, for the opportunity. That is accurate. Right now there is no action required of the Council on the closures as was described earlier, just a few moments ago by the Council member. We are providing you with a list of closures that are going to be brought to you in the fall with analysis, with relevant information for the Council to make a

recommendation, they would then go through the same process to the Board as the regulatory proposals do and the Board would give deference to the Councils related to these issues.

The closures are -- again, if there is no relevant Federal subsistence regulation in place for the area, they would defer next to State subsistence regulations. As all of these areas, I believe have that same closure, in both Federal and State subsistence regulations, they would then defer to State sportfish regulations. They would not -- they would incorporate those into the Federal regulations, if that makes sense. This is the opportunity right now to submit a parallel proposal, if the Council so chooses, that would allow you to put one of the other options out there beyond the four options that Jarred described earlier, however, you may also choose to make no -take no action -- there's no action to be taken on the closures, you may choose to not submit proposals at this point in time if you so choose.

Please let me know if that clarified anything or if I've just muddied the waters further.

 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So basically that leads me to -- this is Della. Leads me to the point or question is, if you look at Jarred's memo and he basically said that you're seeking input whether to recommend lifting local fishery closures in the Kodiak/Aleutian region and then the Federal Subsistence Board relies upon public comments to better inform the decisionmaking process. The Board options during a closure review are retain, modify, rescind or defer. If we were to recommend to rescind, technically if it went through the process then it would revert to subsistence utilizing whatever the State sportfish regulation is in place; is that correct?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ AYERS: Yes, I believe that is the correct answer.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And the other question I guess I have is an issue of timing. Whatever decision is made today and if we request to put a proposal into place there's a deadline and that needs to be done prior to our fall meeting, is that correct, or can it be done at that fall meeting, or do we have to wait another two years for the fisheries

0067 1 cycle. 2

3 MR. AYERS: Madame Chair....

4 5 6

MR. STONE: Madame Chair, this is Jarred. Are you talking about the -- at what time you can submit a proposal for the change of regulations?

7 8 9

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: That's correct.

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

MR. STONE: Okay, so, thank you. that window of opportunity will come up here shortly. We don't have a date range quite yet as the final published rule is being finalized now. We hope to see that window opened here shortly. When it does it will be for about a 30 day period, at least 30 days and, you know, if you choose to submit a proposal today the proposal then will go through the normal process similar to any of the fisheries or wildlife proposals and it will circle back to the Council in the fall for, you know, your final feedback and considerations on that proposal. And so with that said you'll almost have a second opportunity to glance at the proposal that you submit now and if there are changes that you would like to see I believe that you would have another opportunity to offer modifications to that proposal, and that would be at your fall meeting in 2022.

272829

Thank you.

30 31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, that helps. I'll be honest with you I'm looking at Russell Creek and Nurse Lagoon. Because -- and I don't particularly know the real answer to this but what was brought from a resident in Cold Bay was, you know, they have the sportfish, most of us go over -- I, myself, go over and I sportfish for my subsistence in reality. use that fish for subsistence. But the question was is how come there's not a subsistence regulation in place but there is sport in place and doesn't subsistence -isn't subsistence a priority, so that's basically the question. And I think if you get to -- I think it comes up more and more and I think as we all know, is if there is a shortage of salmon, which I think about-as I recall, but maybe Rick could help me, maybe about four years ago there definitely was a shortage. just want to make sure that I understand so if I talk to somebody in Cold Bay and they suggest that we put in a proposal then I want to be able to work with them to

do so but I wanted to make sure that I got the dynamics of this whole process in place.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

MR. STONE: Thank you, Madame Chair. Yes, as a Council you can put together a proposal today or tomorrow but if you work with individuals outside of the Council they would have to wait until the window of opportunity opens for the call for proposals, and any individual can submit a proposal to change or modify these fisheries regulations.

10 11 12

Thank you, Madame Chair.

13 14

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,

15 Jarred.

16

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

17 18 19

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

appreciate **HOLMES:** Ι MR. difficulties here. But as Rebecca pointed out and I mentioned earlier, we had our agenda published and it was revised today and maybe we can make changes without going to the public. This review process that we worked on for getting more input from the communities was to be making presentations to the Advisory Committees. Before that decision was made, there was an informal one with the Kodiak AC that I listened to and they had some questions that I don't believe were answered for them. They were hoping to have them back, so did, A, did we have briefings in the communities other than what has gone on through our RAC? And then I'll go on with my questions, or my comment on the need for more information. What groups have you met with since that casual meeting where the AC called you in Kodiak, has there been one for Sand Point, Unalaska, or meetings with the tribes?

38 39 40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MR. STONE: Thank you. Through the Chair. Council Member, Mr. Holmes. So, you know, at the direction of the Kodiak/Aleutian Regional Advisory Council, OSM Staff and volunteers from the Council began reaching out to the communities and tribes and the State Advisory Committees for their A meeting was held with tribal representatives. representatives from Kodiak Island back in January of 2021. Seven representatives were in attendance. tribal representatives expressed gratitude for the

notice and they withheld any comments or recommendations until they had more time to consider the closure reviews.

OSM Staff then met with the Kodiak Fish and Game Advisory Committee on February 9th, 2021 to present fishery closure reviews and get feedback. This, I believe, was the meeting that you are referring to, Mr. Holmes, and OSM Staff had also attended the Dutch Harbor/Unalaska Fish and Game Advisory Committee meeting held on February 22nd last year, however, that was the meeting that was cancelled due to the Committee not having a quorum.

So during that time, during last members of the Kodiak/Aleutian summer, Regional Advisory Council had volunteered to assist OSM Staff in outreach efforts and we met twice last summer to develop this outreach plan that would encourage local residents and stakeholders to consider providing comments regarding each of the closure reviews. Various outreach materials were crafted, some of which you have seen. We had posted shorter summaries on our website that had described the closures, and offered up additional maps that helped clarify the actual areas that were closed. We had drafted some radio and newspaper advertisements, however, we withheld those until we were thinking closer in time towards the fall meeting just to generate more public interest and the timeframe of it was going to work out better we thought if we waited for those to go out this summer.

And then lastly we had created the community flier. And I sent out most of those community fliers to various communities just before Christmas, I believe. And I tried to get some of the Council members feedback as to where exactly I should be sending this fliers and I had generated a list of a large amount of contacts, actually, from various communities and had sent these community fliers out, as I mentioned, just before Christmas. And they really were just aimed at the general public to help inform them of our process and where and how to submit a public comment.

And as of last week we have not received any public comments regarding any of the fishery closure reviews thus far.

0070 1 Thank you. 2 3 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I -- Madame Chair, 4 Pat. 5 6

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I'd like to commend you on your efforts. I did printout that information and then I also emailed and talked to everybody that I possibly knew about this topic and that it would be coming up if it were going to be on our fall agenda. And I mean like at least 50 people and all the way out west, and really didn't get any response at all on it.

14 15 16

I think that we really do need more information.

17 18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

I believe that ADF&G has some reports coming up, I believe, Lisa Fox who is the area biologist for the Alaska Peninsula, might be able to explain to you the closures there in Cold Bay and why they're there and I believe that she and her assistant have come up with some solutions so we might want to wait until we hear from Fish and Game later in our meeting to see what's going on there. And you could also ask them about any problems that you perceive, Rick, out at Unalaska.

32

33

34

And then I think also as information goes, the Unalaska subsistence survey is being conducted now but won't be summarized until later on and so that'll be questioned where people will say, gee, there is a problem. And so those are things I think that should be kept in mind.

35 36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

And I'd like to make one comment on the stream that most people think about in Unalaska and that's Iliuliuk that comes out of Unalaska Lake and the drone surveys that they've been doing show that there and the other systems on the road for reds, which is the main target for subsistence in Unalaska are still down after more than 25 years. Morris has like about 100 fish going back to that lake, 100 fish and Unalaska Lake has like 400. The community has reduced their own harvest on Towne Beach with gillnets and many years ago they worked with the area biologist Arnie Shawl and I also worked with them on the closures for Iliuliuk and only allowed fishing -- red fishing in that stream to

the Church Hole and that's because there's only 400 fish that have come back to that, usually less. And these places are stressed out and so -- and I'd be open to having sport gear for subsistence if it wouldn't potentially cause difficulties in the returns, but when you have very small returns and let's say you have -- I think a question you should have for the sportfish data is do they have any data on what the sport catch is, would that double the catch in-stream for what gets into the Iliuliuk and then what's going to happen to the returns in the future.

So that's my point, is, thinking about the fish and adding another harvest level. So I honestly think we should explore this future when we get the State people making their reports, asking them questions about what have been reported as problem areas, King Cove, Adak and then just see what the options are. And then also we'll probably have the sportfish biologist, regional one, Tyler Polum, I think should be on there somewhere and ask him some very pointed questions about what's allowed and what's taken and -- before we go on this.

And so at that I'll just close with Becky's main point, if it wasn't on the agenda, if we're firing up a new proposal, are we going to run amuck. So thank you and I'm sorry to be so gabby.

Thanks a lot, bye-bye.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. And if I may make a comment, this is Della. 11B item on the agenda is call for Federal fish and shellfish proposals. Item C is the closure discussion. So this particular meeting basically is a discussion to call for any proposals or an action item whether to propose regulatory changes, which we're allowed to do and this was noticed -- this stuff was given to the public, it's part of the notice and this process for this meeting. And the point of the awkwardness of this timing is correct but there's a lot of awkwardness with a lot of stuff we got going on due to Covid and it's just things we need to work with.

I understand, Pat, you getting the information, I think it's great to look at what the State's reports are and I agree to wait until the following meeting, but I don't necessarily agree to put

0072 1 this totally aside and if there's something that can be put into place, possibly, and then to work with the State through the course, prior to our fall meeting, I would just like that opportunity. I think that's what 5 this call for Federal proposals is. 6 7 If somebody could help me if I'm 8 getting this all wrong. 9 10 MR. KOSO: Yeah, Madame Chair. 11

12

MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair.

13 14

15

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, think I thought I heard Rick, and then maybe -- I don't know who the lady was behind him.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

MR. KOSO: Yeah, this is Rick here, Madame Chair. Yeah, you know, I'm all for ADF&G and their regulation, but I question some of their actions, you know, we really feel it in Cold Bay, probably the only place that I feel it and I think everybody else does that's a subsistence fisherman. They closed Mortensens Lagoon down to subsistence fishermen and left it open for sportfishing, unless I'm wrong on that but I'm pretty sure I'm not, and we get hundreds of people that come in, you know, through Anchorage and go down and sportfish there and so they're catching a tremendous amount of fish out of there and the subsistence people are not able to go and catch their fish and we've been fishing Mortensens Lagoon for 50 years that I could remember in my lifetime for subsistence, so they closed that down, I think it was subsistence and so I think it's last year for conservation but to leave it open for sportfishermen, I think ADF&G needs to follow the regulation too on priority where the subsistence users are, you know, above the sportsfishermen. So I think they need to get in line on how that works and I think they should be giving the subsistence fishermen priority sportfishing.

41 42 43

So that's all I have to say, I don't want to prolong this anymore.

44 45 46

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rick. There was a lady starting to make a comment.

47 48 49

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, it was Rebecca.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, Rebecca,

2 go ahead.

MS. SKINNER: Yep, thank you. couple of things and then a suggestion. I just wanted to clarify that we -- it was noticed to the public that as a RAC we were going to discuss and have the opportunity to propose regulatory changes related to fisheries. So there's no question in my mind that that was properly noticed and, in fact, that's one of the big things that we're going to do at this meeting, this particular meeting. My caution, as far as what was not noticed to the public, was getting into a significant RAC discussion about the pros and cons of the specific fishery closure reviews because that was not on the agenda well in advance, and there's no materials as part of the packet, and so I would be concerned if we were having significant RAC discussion about whether the closures should be rescinded or not and getting into a lot of detail.

I do acknowledge that that's probably a very awkward and fine distinction because the proposals we might be talking about, you know, are related to the closure reviews. But I did just want to clarify that those are two different things, they're going to be two different RAC actions. So the RAC can take action to propose regulatory changes; in the fall the RAC will take action to, you know -- you know on the closure reviews, to recommend one of the four options that Jarred went over. So that's comment one.

The suggestion is, and I don't know if you need a motion to this but I would suggest that we table the regulatory discus — the regulatory changes discussion until at least tomorrow and that will give some opportunity for some offline discussions to develop some actual regulatory language if any RAC members want to propose, you know, put some language together for the RAC to consider as far as a proposed regulation. Because I think that that's going to be a more efficient development process to do offline as opposed to trying to do it in the middle of the meeting. So if it is appropriate and you need a motion I'd be happy to move to table this particular item until tomorrow.

MR. HOLMES: I'll second that.

```
0074
 1
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So Rebecca I'm
 2
    going to assume that's a motion since Pat seconded it.
 4
                    (Laughter)
 5
 6
                    MS. SKINNER: Yep.
 7
 8
                    (Laughter)
 9
10
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.
                                                       All
11
    right. We have a motion made and second. Discussion.
12
13
                    (No comments)
14
15
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Call for
16
    discussion.
17
18
                    MS. CHERNOFF:
                                   No, I guess -- this is
19
   Coral.
20
21
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, Coral.
22
23
                    MS. CHERNOFF: I don't have discussion
24
    particularly on this motion but I still -- I was
25
    waiting to make comment still.
26
27
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            Okay.
                                                    Do you
28
    want to go ahead and make that comment now before we
29
    vote on this, Coral?
30
31
                    MS. CHERNOFF: No. No, I think we can
32
    vote on it and then I'll just make another comment.
33
34
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                             Okay.
                                                       All
35
    right. All in favor signify by saying aye.
36
37
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
38
39
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Opposed,
40
    sign.
41
42
                    (No opposing votes)
43
44
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Motion carried.
45
    We will table this until tomorrow. Now, Coral, do you
46
    have a comment.
47
48
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, I guess my comment
49
    is I -- it seems like there's really still this sort
50
```

of, I don't know how much lack of understanding there is over these closures and I don't know how we can clear up that before we come to our next meeting. There seems to be confusion.

And then I guess I have a little confusion, too, in when we're talking about if this -let me look at my notes -- so if this gets rescinded we keep sort of jumping over -- we do say if there's no regulations then it goes to sports regs, but we're all in areas where we all have subsistence so I'm not under -- so my understanding is why do we keep jumping over that then we go right into whatever our subsistence regulations are for our area. So I think then there seems to cause this panic that we jump right over into -- then it'll -- then we'll go right into method and means and times and what do you call, how many you keep, limits, then we'll go into sport but we keep skipping over the fact that we all do have subsistence areas with subsistence rulings. So I think that's causing a little bit of confusion and if somebody wants to address that maybe we can address that.

Because I know when I look in the Kodiak area, of course, we have subsistence take of salmon in our area so I'm assuming that we would just go right into that and we may have -- nope, outside of that area we have customary and traditional -- some you might have customary and traditional use determinations already in the area, so I guess that, to me, is causing some lack of understanding.

 And then I think if people want to go back to what they're doing on this Board, we're representing subsistence and the kind of upholding of the Title VIII, which gives subsistence priority, so I'm not quite understanding -- it seems like that's kind of a no-brainer that all of that probably today, if that's what we are to do, is to uphold these subsistence priorities we could all just go ahead and vote to rescind all these today because that's kind of what we're here to -- sports is already open in all these areas and subsistence is not and so that seems like a no-brainer to me, and then in the fall just -- we look at whether -- how our local subsistence applies to these areas and if it's not sufficient then work on proposals that gets submitted in addition to these.

And so that's all my comments and then

```
0076
    if anybody would like to comment about, I guess, my
    perception of why we just kind of keep jumping over the
    -- we have subsistence in our area and how would that
    apply if these are rescinded.
                                      I think that might
 5
    be....
 6
 7
                    MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, Madame Chair.
 8
 9
                    MS.
                         CHERNOFF:
                                         ....a
                                                   helpful
10
    (indiscernible - cuts out)
11
12
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                              Thank you,
13
    Coral. Is that you Katya.
14
15
                    MS. GREDIAGIN: Oh, no, this is.....
16
17
                    MS. WESSELS: No, it wasn't me, Madame
18
    Chair.
19
20
                    MS. GREDIAGIN:
                                      .....Lisa Grediagin
21
    with OSM. I'm the Wildlife Division Supervisor. But
22
    we deal with the same closure review issues on the
23
    wildlife side.
                     So I would just like to respond to
    Coral's question because I think the Council has gotten
24
25
    a little confused on how rescinding the closures work.
26
27
                    And so if there's a closure under
28
    Federal regulations that's to all users, so there's no
29
    harvest limit or season under Federal regulations, but
30
    the Board -- the Council recommends rescinding that
31
    closure to everyone, then there are still no Federal
32
    regulations but then because there is no closure --
    Federal closure anymore then everyone can still fish
33
34
    under the State regulations. So I think that's where a
35
    little confusion came when people were saying revert to
    the State regulations, is just because there is no
36
37
    longer a Federal closure in place then people can fish
    or hunt under the State regulations but there would
38
39
    still need to be a Federal proposal to establish the
    harvest limit and season under the Federal regulations.
40
41
42
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.
43
44
                    MS. GREDIAGIN: Thank you.
45
46
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And I think if
    you look into the fisheries closure review in our
47
48
    minutes it basically says Mr. Stone gave an update on
49
    the outreach effort and that all of these were deferred
```

by the Federal Subsistence Board from the last fisheries regulatory cycle at the request of the Council, and that was for the purpose of trying to have public meetings and Advisory Council meetings, but we're actually now going into the second year of this process and we still have not made headway. So that's basically the next issue. If the Council makes a decision to ask to have those lifted as a whole and try to determine what the process would be for a proposal or, you know, what exactly -- you know, what would happen if we agreed at this point to recommend to the Federal Board that those closures be lifted.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hello.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Madame Chair, this is Lisa. And, yeah, I would have to defer to Jarred or Scott for the specifics of those closures because I'm just, yeah, more familiar with the process and the wildlife regulations, but, yeah, it would depend whether there's -- I mean, yeah, I guess I would think you would still need to submit a proposal to establish the harvest limit and season under the Federal regulations if it's currently a closure to all users.

MS. WESSELS: Yeah, Madame Chair, this

is Katya.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Katya.

MS. WESSELS: Yes, I just wanted to let the Council members know and the public, that the information on the fisheries closure reviews that the Council addressed during the fall of 2020 for the first time, and that the Council decided to defer is actually now on the Council meeting materials page, it's supplemental material No. 3, and if you click on that link there is information on the first seven closure reviews that the Council addressed in 2020.

That's all, thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.

MR. STONE: Madame Chair, this is.....

MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair....

MR. STONE:Jarred.

1 2

3

4

5 6 guess I would just maybe caution the Council in making 7 a broad sweep in rescinding all of them as sort of a package. You know the previous cycle we had Staff do 9 the analysis on the first seven of the closure reviews, 10 and the upcoming new closure reviews for this next 11 cycle have not had Staff analysis yet and so I just 12 13

14 15 16

17

18

19

29 30 31

28

37 38 39

36

44 45 46

47 48 49

50

guess maybe given that it is noon, so if we break for lunch, what does everybody want to come back at 1:00,

does that work for everybody or 1:15.

MS. CHERNOFF: Yes, that works for me,

want to preface that by saying I -- as a Council I would recommend maybe only considering those first seven since there's available information surrounding those fisheries. Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, Jarred.

MR. STONE: Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, That's what I was recommending. Jared. For some reason I' e got a letter from you and then I've got seven pieces of document that says Federal Subsistence Board public comment opportunity and it's all the same page so I don't know -- which is fine, I got lots of -- but, anyway, I think copies maybe we've all contributed recommendation, to this discussion and a recommendation, or a motion was made by Rebecca and seconded by Pat, to keep this on the agenda but to maybe look at it tomorrow.

So it was a lot of information for everybody and maybe we kind of just think about all of this between now and then and definitely have it on the agenda before we close our meeting. Would that be appropriate -- maybe the last item on the agenda after agency reports, that way we've got everybody's report to help with making any kind of decision.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, that makes sense to me Della, this is Rebecca.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:

Okay.

And I

```
0079
 1
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                              Okav.
 2
    right, maybe we break for lunch and everybody be back
     at 1:15 and good discussion. Okay, thank you all.
 4
 5
                     (Off record)
 6
 7
                     (On record)
 8
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hi, this is
 9
10
    Della. Katya, could we get a count of Council members,
11
    please.
12
13
                     (No comments)
14
15
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Katya, are you
16
    online.
17
18
                     (No comments)
19
20
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I wonder if she
21
    maybe thought 1:15, I don't know.
22
23
                     MR. HOLMES: Hello.
24
25
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hi.
26
27
                    MR. HOLMES: Hello.
28
29
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat, is that
30
    you.
31
32
                     (No comments)
33
34
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat, can you
35
    hear me.
36
37
                     (No comments)
38
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hello.
39
40
41
                     (No comments)
42
43
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Is anybody
44
    online.
45
46
                    REPORTER: I'm on here Della.
47
48
                    MR. PRICE: I'm here Della.
49
```

0080		MS. HAYDEN: This is Natasha.
2		
3 4 5 6	we're waiting going at 1:15.	MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, I think for Staff, maybe they thought we were
7 8		(Pause)
9		MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Should we
10 11	do a tentative Let's go ahead	call on who's here for the record. and do that.
12		
13 14 15	Madame Chair. are you on.	MS. WESSELS: Yes, we can do that, Yes, I'll do the roll call. Pat Holmes,
16 17		MR. HOLMES: Here.
18 19		MS. WESSELS: Thank you.
20 21 22		Rick Koso, are you back.
22 23 24		(No comments)
25 26	Rick.	MS. WESSELS: Okay, we can get back
27 28		Sam Rohrer, are you on.
29 30 31		(No comments)
32 33	not on either.	MS. WESSELS: Okay, it looks like he's
34 35 36		Chris Price, are you back.
36 37 38		MR. PRICE: Here. I'm here.
39 40		MS. WESSELS: Thank you.
41 42		Coral Chernoff.
42 43 44		MS. CHERNOFF: Here.
44 45 46		MS. WESSELS: Thank you.
46 47 48		Rebecca Skinner.
48 49 50		MS. SKINNER: Here.

0081 1 MS. WESSELS: Della. Natasha Hayden. 2 3 MS. HAYDEN: Here. 4 5 MS. WESSELS: Thank you. So we have, 6 let's see, six out of eight members present, Della, so 7 we have a quorum. I will ask again if Rick Koso or Sam Rohrer rejoined the meeting. 9 10 (No comments) 11 12 MS. WESSELS: It doesn't look like 13 they're back on yet. So you may proceed if you would 14 like, Madame Chair, or we can wait another few minutes. 15 16 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: We can kind of 17 start and proceed. Maybe, just for the record I'd call 18 for any public or tribal comments. Is there anybody 19 online that would like to speak to that. And just a 20 reminder, that that option is open, and if you would 21 like to speak just let me know. 22 23 (No comments) 24 25 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So, Jarred, are 26 you online. 27 28 MR. STONE: Yes, Madame Chair, this is 29 Jarred, I am online. 30 31 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you, 32 Just maybe before we close out I just have a 33 couple questions in regard to our last discussion. 34 35 No. 1 on the call for fish 36 shellfish proposals and also the fisheries closure 37 reviews. 38 39 Just so we're all clear, because I have to be honest with you, I've been through this I don't 40 41 know how many times and I still get confused and that 42 may just be the case with me forever, I can blame it on 43 age. But can you tell me, and maybe the State can also 44 answer in regard to this. Let's start with the 45 fisheries closure review. 46 47 If we look at those, and I'm looking 48 specifically at Russell and Nurse Lagoon. 49 these, for consideration, these are State subsistence

closures, does the Federal government -- can the Federal government set a subsistence fishery for those waters or are they all under State jurisdiction?

4 5

6

7

9

2

MR. STONE: Thank you, Madame Chair. So to my understanding the waters are under both Federal and State jurisdiction. So there is a Federal fisheries closure for Federal subsistence users. And to my knowledge some of these fisheries are closed as well for State subsistence as well. Where it's awkward is that there are State sportfishing regulations that allow people to participate in those waters.

12 13 14

11

Does that answer your question.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: It does. And I wanted to make sure we're clear on that. And then there was, I think, another question, I don't think I wrote it down -- so if we were to create some sort of proposal -- and I'll talk to Rick -- I'll talk to Rick after we break, later, in regards to that. Does anybody else have another question -- any other questions on this before we....

232425

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, Della, this is

262728

Rebecca.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. Go ahead, Rebecca.

293031

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

MS. SKINNER: Thank you. question that I have for clarification, you -- in your presentation you made it clear that if the fisheries closures are rescinded, if there is not Federal in place then the default set of regulations regulations will be State sportfishing. That made sense to me, as far as at least understanding what's the basic, you know, floor that we're looking at. But over lunch I went in and looked at the regulations just for the Kodiak area for the Buskin closure to see, you know, with the language of the regulation, how would this work, and it looks like for the Buskin area, at any rate, to remove -- if the closure were rescinded, the paragraph having to do with the Buskin closure would be removed and the general subsistence regulations that otherwise apply to the Kodiak area would then go into place because the Buskin closure was basically an extra rule that was put in, so if you remove the extra rule then, you know, the regular

subsistence rules apply. If that were the case it looked like to me that there wouldn't be a need to fall back to the State's sport regulations because there actually would be Federal subsistence regulations already in place.

So I quess it's a two part question.

One is, do you know, for each of the seven areas that have already been analyzed whether there are Federal regulations that would go -- that would serve as that fallback or not, and then if you do have that answer, do you have or is it possible to quickly get kind of a summary of what those regulations would be.

So I mean I can do that, I can look through the regulations but I barely had time at lunch just to find the Buskin one because depending on what the response is we may or may not need to do additional, you know, proposed regulatory language at this meeting. We may be satisfied that, okay, if it just falls back to the Federal subsistence regs for whatever area we're talking about, that that's fine and we're comfortable with that. But at this point I think we just --we don'tknow the status for each of these areas.

So that was a very long-winded question but did that make sense?

MR. STONE: Thank you, Council Member Ms. Skinner. Yes, it does. I think right now the Council is at sort of a crossroads in trying to decide what to do with these closure reviews. And I would ask that I work with my team quickly and try to get this answer for you so that when we circle back to having the idea of crafting proposals we have a clear answer for you so that we're not having your Council generate proposals that are maybe not necessary if it's, indeed, just maybe rescinding the closures is going to be ample for moving forward.

Again, I need to probably sit down and work with our folks in-house $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

MS. SKINNER: Okay, yeah, that -- that makes sense. Thank you. Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you,
Rebecca. Any other question or comments before we move
on.

MS. FOX: Madame Chair, this is Lisa Fox with Fish and Game.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Lisa.

MS. FOX: I just wanted to address a question that Coral had had earlier and this is a super complicated topic, even within the Department and it sounds like there's a lot of confusion all around.

But one of the questions that had come up was where does the State subsistence fishery kind of fit into it. And so I guess there's the State sport fishery in the freshwater and then the State subsistence fishery, at least in the areas that I manage, which is the South Alaska Peninsula and the Aleutian Islands, those subsistence fisheries are all in the salt water, and for the State sportfishery, that's rod and reel, and for the State subsistence fishery is gillnet. So two different gear types and two different areas within the water.

And so if the Federal closures were rescinded, the State sportfishery would be in effect, and the State subsistence fishery with gillnet in the saltwater would be in effect still.

So I just wanted to clarify that a little bit.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. And, Lisa, can you help me with this, if you look at that list, using basically Russell Creek and Nurse Lagoon, on the closures on 21-13, weren't those implemented by the State -- are those State regulations?

MS. FOX: So, Madame Chair, those are the State regulations for using subsistence gillnet gear and not for where the sportfisheries are. And that's kind of the confusing part, is each one of these systems, you know, is a case by case scenario. So like in Unalaska it says — in Iliuliuk, it says that that's open to sportfishing but it's actually closed to all salmon fishing, it's just for trout fishing in Unalaska. And then in Russell Creek, it doesn't have

any of the areas that are open for gillnet fishing for subsistence. So there's kind of a part of the picture that's missing in each one of these —— each one of these graphics. And we're happy to go through each of those on a case by case basis from the State side and Tyler's going to talk a little bit about this later on when he gives his presentation. I just wanted to try to clarify a little bit of the State subsistence versus sport and then Federal kind of the question.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. Thank you, that helps.

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: It sounds like, go ahead, Rick, Rick you're online now.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, I got cut off, I don't know what happened. Anyway, a question for Lisa on that subsistence sportfishing in Cold Bay there. I know that you put some markers up on the creek, on the beach going up toward the head of Cold Bay, I think it's 500 yard closures there for subsistence fishing but it was open to sportfishing, but outside of those markers there I think it was legal to do subsistence fishing. Is that right or am I missing something?

MS. FOX: Yeah, through the Chair. Rick. Yeah, that's kind of a complicated one, too, in Cold Bay, that Swan Lake Creek has been kind of a hot topic the last couple of years. That stream has had a lot of sockeye salmon harvested from out around in front of it near the mouth but that stream is actually not in the anadromous catalog so it doesn't fall under some of the regulations we have for the State and we're trying to clean that up. Tyler's going to talk about this a little bit later too, but we have a couple proposals to kind of clean up some of the issues that have been going on up at Swan Lake Creek.

But, yes, it was open to subsistence fishing and we didn't have any closures at all for subsistence fishing. There's just another complicated regulation and I hate to make it even more confusing but in the Alaska Peninsula area the subsistence fisheries closures get tied in with the commercial fishery closures and we're also going to submit a proposal to try to clean that up so it only pertains to

people who have a commercial fishery permit and not just the general public. But, yeah, the subsistence fishing was open and that's for the State, that's with gillnet gear, so just outside of the markers residents could put up a gillnet and put up fish with that method, but not with rod and reel for State. As the regulations stand right now that's not a -- that's not a gear type that's allowed in the Alaska Peninsula area, but sportfishing was allowed and subsistence fishing was allowed right there.

MR. KOSO: Thank you, Lisa.

MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

Rebecca.

MS. SKINNER: Thank you. I just wanted to get clarification on something that, again, may influence how the Council moves forward with whether to propose new regulations at this meeting. So my question for clarification is, in areas that are -that have both -- or I guess are subject to both State and Federal jurisdiction as Jarred had clarified, Russell Lagoon fit into that, if the State regulations more stringent or limiting than the Federal subsistence regulations, if a closure is rescinded -- I just want to clarify, I guess, my understanding that in that case, the more liberal Federal subsistence regulations would control for that area, provided if, you know, a Federal subsistence user engaging in Federal subsistence, can -- can we just get clarification whether that's the case or not.

Thanks.

MR. STONE: Through the Chair.

MS. FOX: Oh, go ahead Jarred.

MR. STONE: Well, I was just going to say I don't know the answer to that off -- shooting off the top of my head but maybe, Lisa, maybe you do.

MS. FOX: Yeah, this is Lisa Fox with Fish and Game. My understanding from the discussion and from what I read is that if the Federal closure review decides to rescind the closures in freshwaters

```
0087
    then there wouldn't be a Federal subsistence fishery
    until a proposal was submitted to define what that
    would look like, but the State sportfishery would
    continue as it is and the State subsistence fishery
 5
    would continue as it is.
 6
 7
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                 Yeah, I think that that
 8
    didn't....
 9
10
                    MS. FOX: So in order to.....
11
12
                    MS. SKINNER: ....yeah, that didn't
13
    actually....
14
15
                    MS. FOX: ....in order to increase the
16
    Federal....
17
18
                    MS. SKINNER: .....get to my.....
19
20
                    MS. FOX: .....subsistence.....
21
22
                    MS.
                          SKINNER: Yeah, that didn't
23
    actually get to my.....
24
25
                    MS. FOX: Well....
26
27
                    REPORTER: Okay, I need just one at a
28
    time please.
29
30
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                  Yeah, thank you.
31
    think that you do raise a good point.
                                            And this is
    something that we asked Jarred to try to get some
32
33
    clarification on, that, if the closures are rescinded,
34
    whether the fallback is the general Federal subsistence
35
    regulations that are already in place for the area, or
36
    whether new regulations need to be, you know, proposed
37
    and promulgated. So at this point it seems like that's
38
                         So we've heard two different
    an open question.
39
    perspectives on that. But I do think Jarred's going to
40
    clarify that.
41
42
                    My specific question was if it's just
43
    really -- if there's State and Federal jurisdiction and
44
    the Federal regulations are more liberal as far as
    subsistence harvest those -- that's what applies to
45
46
    Federal subsistence users, and I'm looking for either
47
    yes, that's the case, or no, that's not the case. And
    the reason I ask is I swear I read it in the Federal
48
49
    subsistence book, the summary regulation book for fish
50
```

and shellfish and I'm trying to where I read it but I haven't been able to find it again yet.

Thank you.

MS. FOX: Through the Chair. Again, this is Lisa Fox. I believe that if you — if there were a Federal subsistence fishery and you were Federally-qualified and had a permit, you could fill your Federal subsistence permit and if you had a sportfishing license you could also go State sportfishing, which is part of the complication of this issue.

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, quick question, Lisa. Is there actually a Federal subsistence in freshwater, I'm not aware there is. There may be one in Adak on Lake Andy but I don't know if anybody goes there and does any Federal fishing. So I'm not aware of any, you know, freshwater subsistence fishing.

MS. FOX: Through the Chair. The only freshwater Federal subsistence fishing that I know of is actually outside of my management area and it's in Chignik Lake and I have not had any Federal subsistence fisheries in the south Peninsula or Aleutian Islands so far while I've been in this position. So I'm not aware of any in my area but I do know of one in Chignik.

MR. KOSO: Would Lake Andy and Adak be considered a freshwater lake there's lots of reds and silvers going there, would that be considered a Federal fishery?

MS. FOX: Through the Chair. I'm not familiar with what the Federal regulations would be for that lake. I imagine it would be State sportfishing regulations. And I don't even know what the State subsistence regs would be. But it would be -- the State subsistence would be in saltwater and the State sport would be in freshwater but I don't know what the Federal regulations are for Andy Lake.

MR. KOSO: All right, thanks, Lisa.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I guess hearing none, thank you, Lisa. Yeah, boy there's a lot to all of this. So Jarred's going to do all his homework and....

11 12 13

9

10

MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair.

14 15

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:have all the answers for us tomorrow. Go ahead.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

MS. LAVINE: This is Robbin. And I do hope to work closely with Jarred in preparation for this discussion tomorrow. I think that would be a wonderful way to tackle this during this meeting, is to let Staff regroup and review and also to remind ourselves of some of the options that you may have. So while we are trying to recognize what would happen if you did lift a closure, some of these closures, what regulations would be in place when that is done. some cases there could be minimal regulations which are good enough for now and then you can recommend changes during the next fisheries regulatory cycle. Some though, if you lift the closure, could potential be detrimental to some of these watersheds, some of these fisheries. And I think those ones, those potentially detrimental regulations to vulnerable fisheries, are the ones you want to get ahead of so we'll be looking into those between now and tomorrow.

35 36 37

38

39

40 41

42

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

Ι do want to flag for your consideration that if we -- if we move forward and find that you don't make any proposals to change regulations this cycle and you review the closures in the fall, let's say you suggest to rescind the closures, if you think there would be helpful regulations to put in place after that rescinding, you can propose special actions, so you can put some temporary regulations in place until the next call for proposals. So that is something I want to remind you that you would have, that's an option, a tool that you will have. And the Staff will work together between now and tomorrow morning to make sure we have some clear guidance and

0090 messaging for you. 2 3 Thank you, Madame Chair. 4 5 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank 6 you. Yeah, this is..... 7 8 MS. CHERNOFF: Della, this is..... 9 10 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead. Ιs 11 this Coral. 12 13 MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah. I just want to 14 say that I wanted to thank Rebecca for looking through 15 her book and sort of restating what I stated before, 16 which was it seems like we already do have subsistence 17 in place and to me that has always looked like that's 18 what we would fall back on. So I guess, that, in 19 looking to what Robbin was just saying, I'm not sure 20 what they're going to look into but I think the one 21 thing we definitely ask for in all these areas, do we 22 have a subsistence already in place that this could 23 fall back on to. I think that's the only question 24 unless somebody else wants to -- unless Rebecca wants 25 to say again what she was asking for, but I think that 26 was kind of really -- yeah, before even putting in any 27 proposals or anything, we first need to know what will happen if we rescind the Kodiak area, we already have 28 29 subsistence in the Kodiak area, does that just fall 30 under it. To me it looks like it does but I guess 31 other people might see it a different way. So that's 32 what we need clarification on. And then if we want to 33 go about changing other things then we can decide. 34 35 But, yeah, I just wanted to clarify 36 that. That is one thing we were definitely asking for 37 in each of these areas, is do we already have 38 subsistence in place once we rescind because then the 39 issue is not complicated at all. 40 41 And I don't think -- and I guess I'll 42 just say, too, I don't think this issue is complicated 43 at all in already that if there's sportfishing already 44 open in these areas and we have Title VIII in place and 45 that's part of our duties, this Subsistence Board, is 46 to uphold subsistence rights, to me it's kind of a no-47 brainer to kind of rescind these.

So that's all I have to say, thank you.

48 49

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. Maybe we'll go -- maybe just as a thought we can bring this up first thing in the morning, that way whatever discussion is brought to our attention, we have a little bit of time to think of before we close, if there's any proposals that we want to put in place, would be a recommendation.

So hold on a second.

(Pause)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, sorry about that. Sam, did you get online.

MR. ROHRER: Yes, Della, sorry I was like a minute late dialing in but, yep, I've been here the whole time listening.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. All right, I guess maybe at this point we could move on. Thank you everybody for the discussion. It's pretty healthy and it doesn't look like it's an easy one but every little bit we learn helps making a decision.

So the next item on the agenda says call for nonrural determination proposal, Jason Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: Hi, thank you, Madame Chair and members of the Council. My name is Jason Roberts, new Anthropologist here at the Office of Subsistence Management in Anchorage. And as I get under way one of my key priorities will be working on subsistence issues with the Kodiak/Aleutian region and the Southcentral region. But today I'm here to provide a brief overview of the current call for proposals for nonrural determination in Federal regulations and this is an action item, but these materials are not in your Council book.

A nonrural determination is the process for formally changing in Federal regulations a community's status from nonrural to rural or from rural to nonrural. This is the second call for proposals for nonrural determinations since 2018. Any valid nonrural determination proposals submitted this year will be acted on by the Board in 2025. So the call for

nonrural determination proposals occurs every four years concurrent with every other fisheries regulatory cycle. So the next call for proposals for nonrural determinations will be in 2026.

As you just heard from our colleagues in the Fisheries Division, the call for proposals has not yet published due to a lengthy clearance process in Washington. When it gets published the public comment proposal to change rural or nonrural regulations will be open for at least 30 days.

There's a flier on our website on how to submit proposals to change nonrural determinations. The flier includes information on where to submit a proposal and lists items a proposal must contain to be accepted for consideration by the Board. The website address is www.doi.gov/subsistence/faqs. This flier can be found near the bottom of the frequently asked questions page. Additional information should soon to be published proposed rule for the 2023 to 2025 subsistence fish and shellfish proposed rule.

And, again, this is an action item so thank you very much for your attention and me, and my colleagues from OSM will try to answer your questions if you have any.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MADAME}}$ CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Are there any questions or comments.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Hearing none, we'll go ahead and move on, thank you.

Next item on the agenda, review and approve FY2021 annual report. Katya. That is Tab 5.

MS. WESSELS: Sorry I had myself on mute, I apologize for that. Thank you, Madame Chair. Members of the Council. The Council developed topics for the annual report during their fall meeting. The draft annual report is located in Tab No. 5 of your meeting materials and it is also available online on our website. At this point we just will open the floor to the Council members to see if there is any additions, changes, edits to the current draft and after that we would entertain a motion from the Council

0093 to approve this annual report to be submitted to the Federal Subsistence Board. 2 3 4 Thank you. 5 6 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, 7 Katya. Are there any comments, questions in regard to 8 the draft report. 9 10 MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair, this is 11 Rebecca. 12 13 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, 14 Rebecca. 15 16 MS. SKINNER: Yeah, thanks. I think 17 it's just a typo under Item No. 5, the invasive 18 species. 19 20 MS. WESSELS: Okay. 21 22 MS. SKINNER: The second to the last 23 line where it says, in going forward we would like to 24 see a FRMP project to eradicate, either remove the 25 word, A, or take the S off of projects. 26 27 MS. WESSELS: Okay, thank you. 28 29 MR. PRICE: Hi, this is Chris, I had a 30 comment on the food security, No. 3. 31 32 MS. WESSELS: Okay. 33 34 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Chris. 35 36 MR. PRICE: The way it reads is pretty 37 general. I'm assuming that means you're referring to the entire region when you're talking about -- yeah, it 38 39 says, region, but, you know, Unalaska, particularly is 40 having some challenges, you know, with our food 41 security and subsistence at this time. I just wanted 42 to mention that. 43 44 WESSELS: So may I clarify, would MS. 45 you like to add Unalaska specifically to this topic. 46 47 MR. PRICE: I mean if that would be 48 okay with the Chair, but, you know, I would -- I 49 wouldn't mind if it was mentioned specifically.

Because we write it in to a lot of our grants 2 currently. 3 4 MS. WESSELS: What does the rest of the 5 Council think. 6 7 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Basically this 8 is overall for the Kodiak and Aleutians, the food 9 security. I guess I'm a little bit confused, Chris, 10 about what you're asking. 11 12 MR. PRICE: Maybe we could just write 13 in Kodiak/Aleutians region, it just says region, but I 14 just want to make the point that we have a number of 15 food security issues that we've been addressing over the last two years and would like, you know, to make 16 17 sure that that's understood here. That's all. 18 19 MS. WESSELS: Okay. We can certainly 20 add -- yeah, we can certainly add specifically that 21 instead of saying affected food security in our region, 22 can say affected food security in 23 Kodiak/Aleutian region, if you would like that to be 24 added there. 25 26 MR. PRICE: Okay. 27 28 MS. WESSELS: We can do that if that is 29 satisfactory for you. 30 31 MR. PRICE: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. 32 Thank you. 33 34 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair. 35 36 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, I think --37 go ahead, Pat. 38 39 MR. HOLMES: On Item 1, level of 40 Staffing in Refuges in our region and at the Office of 41 Subsistence Management, and at OSM. I think that's 42 very good. It would be good to have a short sentence 43 in there, at the end it says the Units 9 and 10 have 44 been Federal special actions have -- have been Federal 45 special actions recently and the caribou populations 46 and subsistence uses should be closely monitored so 47 that the importance of the resource and other subsistence resources can be sustained and subsistence 48

can be maintained. Somewhere in there there should be

0094

49

```
0095
    a statement, because of the shortages that we've had,
    Staff shortages -- Staff shortages have resulted in
    annual surveys of critical subsistence species not
    being completed.
                      And I believe that's happened in
 5
    Kodiak as well as Izembek.
 6
                    MS. WESSELS: Okay. Is the rest of the
 7
 8
    Council okay with Pat's suggestion to add a sentence
    that because of the Staff shortages annual surveys have
 9
10
    not been completed?
11
12
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I don't have a
13
    problem, that sounds good.
14
15
                    MS. WESSELS: Okay.
16
17
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other
18
    comments or additions.
19
20
                    (No comments)
21
22
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, I think
    we're good.
23
24
25
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                   Okay, Della -- Madame
26
    Chair, we need to have a motion to approve this annual
27
    report.
28
29
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Do I hear
30
    a motion to
                   approve the FY2021 annual report as
31
    amended.
32
33
                    MR. ROHRER:
                                   Della, this is
                                                   Sam,
34
    I'll....
35
36
                    MS. SKINNER: I'll make that motion.
37
38
                    MR. ROHRER: .....make the motion.
39
40
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, motion
    made by Sam, is there a second.
41
42
43
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                    Yeah, Rebecca will
44
    second.
45
46
                    MR. PRICE: Second, Chris.
47
48
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                          Okay, Rebecca
49
                   Chris, but I heard Rebecca first.
    second
           and
50
```

0096 1 Discussion. 2 3 (No comments) 4 5 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Question. All 6 in favor signify by saying aye. 7 8 IN UNISON: Aye. 9 10 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Opposed, same 11 sign. 12 13 (No opposing votes) 14 15 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Motion carried. Okay, moving on, Item F, Federal Subsistence Board 16 17 annual report reply process review and revision, 18 discussion and Council comments and feedback. Robbin 19 LaVine. 20 21 MS. LAVINE: Good afternoon, 22 Chair and members of the Council. For the record my 23 name is Robbin LaVine and I'm the Subsistence Policy 24 Coordinator at OSM. Before I jump into my talking 25 points, I just want to let you all know how grateful I 26 am for your service and your volunteering and your 27 expertise and I love working with this Council. I know 28 it's hard, I miss seeing you all face to face. I just 29 wanted to let you know that. 30 31 This is an action item. 32 33 During the Federal Subsistence Board's 34 August 2021 35 Committee briefed the Board on the annual report reply 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

work session, the InterAgency Staff possible revisions process and to improve responsiveness to Regional Advisory Councils. This ISC briefing is part of a larger ISC effort to improve workload efficiencies within the Federal Subsistence Management Program. The Board reviewed and discussed the report reply process and agreed to forward this topic on, to you, the Councils, for your input on the suggested revisions, including possible ideas of your own.

44 45 46

47 48

As you are aware, ANILCA Section .805 authorizes the Councils to prepare an annual report containing information related to important subsistence resource issues within their regions. These reports

are invaluable as they provide the Board with a broad holistic picture of local resource conditions and the most up to date subsistence issues facing communities across rural Alaska. With this knowledge the Board can make informed decisions.

Historically, the Board has strived to provide responses to every topic listed in annual reports regardless of its authority to address the issue raised. However, it's unclear if Board responses on all annual report topics are helpful to the Councils while also taking considerable Staff time to complete. The ISC has suggested process revisions.

1. To maintain annual reports as a way to inform the Board of local conditions, issues and needs, and

2. They propose that letter writing be a way to request a response from the Board on topics of concern.

We do recognize that Councils already write letters to the Board and to other agencies outside of the annual report process. Under the ISC scenario the only change is how the Board responds to issues within your annual report. This change might allow for more substantive and timely responses from the Board on topics of critical concern to the Council, requesting Board for example, a separate letter response could be written and submitted to the Board more quickly than including the issue in the annual report as the annual report requires a longer time for both preparation of the report as well as for preparations of the Board's response.

 So these suggested revisions are not intended to diminish the ability of the Councils to communicate with the Board. The Board relies upon your knowledge and expertise and annual reports are a way to ensure the Board remains up to date on important developments in your region.

To that end, we are asking you to think about what you want from the Board in response to your annual report. To help guide your discussion I suggest we first; we're going to review the ISC's suggestions; 2, we can open the floor to questions and Council discussions, and, then; 3, ask you to take action

developing your comments to forward to the Board.

 So I'll start with step one and review the ISC's suggestions and those are: annual reports remain as a means to keep the Board informed of important conditions, issues and needs, and letter writing is proposed as a way to request Board response on important topics and concerns.

Thank you, Madame Chair and members of the Council. I'm ready for your questions and your comments.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Do I hear any questions or comments for Robbin.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none, Robbin, do you have -- are you.....

MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair, this is Robbin.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair and members of the Council. We actually did bring this up at your fall meeting and I gave a bit of a briefing and there was actually a pretty good discussion and some of the Council members, while I can't summarize, but you provided feedback on this, you know, your -- if I recall your comments were interested in the process and acknowledging the challenges that the Board faces when reviewing your annual reports and recognizing that there are issues on your reports the Board has no authority to address, I mean other than to say, wow, that is so important because we understand it affects your subsistence way of life, so, again, it serves to inform the Board more than the Board can actually respond on some of those issues.

 $\;\;$ I remember that this Council was kind of open to these suggestions but that's all I can say.

If the Council does not feel strongly about a discussion today you can also suggest we review the transcript and forward those comments to the Board.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

2

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: So, Robbin, maybe help me out a little bit here today. I know we discussed this a little bit the other day. You're saying, in regard to the RACs report, annual report, if we are looking at something like using migratory birds as an example, and it's in our letter to the Federal Board, that we shouldn't be using that, but that those are issues that pertain to subsistence that are outside of our purview so to speak but when we need assistance, are you basically saying we shouldn't be doing that —what exactly are you saying?

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

MS. LAVINE: Madame Chair. First I'm saying that every single issue that you feel impacts your subsistence way of life and the resources that you depend on in your region should absolutely be in the annual report, all of it. It's -- it is really important for our Federal Subsistence Management Program to really understand all the different context and, you know, regulatory and management complexities that impact you, we can only address a small arena and that's fish and wildlife regulations and we defer to you in circumstances of take. What -- so that won't change, and please don't change any of the information you share with us, it's really, really important. Again, it helps all of us to be informed and all of us to better understand what you're working with in your regions.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

We're looking at the process replies. So in the fall, right now what you're doing is you're confirming your annual report and you're going to send it to the Board and in our -- usually in our summer work session, the reports -- all the reports are presented to the Board members, the Board members read them and the Staff listens and reads them and from there, we also, develop replies, or at that time they confirm replies. Not all the replies can be useful. Title VIII of ANILCA, Section .805 requires as part of your authority of your Councils to forward reports to the Board, but it doesn't require the Board to respond. We have consistently responded because, of course, we value your annual reports. What we are interested in is the utility of that response, and then we're asking, rather, forward the annual reports and, you know, we present them to the Board and the Board says thank you so much and the Staff says thank you so much, this is

really important it'll help us track issues across the state of Alaska, but we don't give you individual responses. If you want individual issue responses, you can write a letter, you can say Board take action, this was in our annual report, and now it's in a letter, please respond to us. And I think that's what the ISC is recommending.

7 8 9

5 6

Thank you, Madame Chair.

10 11

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Thank you, Katya [sic]. Are there any questions or comments for Katya in regard to this item.

13 14 15

12

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, Madame Chair, this is Rebecca.

16 17 18

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead,

19 Rebecca. 20

> MS. SKINNER: Thank you. I think agree with the approach of not expecting the Federal Subsistence Board to reply to each item in our annual report. For one, the reply that we get usually comes so far after the issue first came up, because for example we had discussion or we're going to have discussion at this meeting and then -- sorry, let me back up. Today we approved our 2021 report but that covered issues all the way back to our meeting a year ago and then by the time that report gets submitted and then we get a reply from the Federal Subsistence Board there's quite a lag in time so sometimes the response we get, the value of it is somewhat attenuated just because there's such a big time gap.

343536

37

38 39

40

41

42 43

44

45 46

47

48

Two. I do like the idea of sending a letter instead of putting something in the annual report, if it's an issue where we are looking for a response because that gives us two chances a year. So we could decide at this meeting -- well, now I'm making assumption, maybe this is a question clarification -- I'm assuming that either meeting during the year we could generate a letter directed to the Federal Subsistence Board asking for something, or asking for clarification or asking for a response and that would allow responses to be more timely as opposed to right now it seems like our correspondence or our dialogue with the Federal Subsistence Board is on this much longer timeframe where we do an annual report and

then wait for responses, so I do like that there's the potential for faster responses. And also if it's an that's important we may need more than a paragraph to describe the issue. And I think when we do our annual report there is a certain -- it seems like it makes sense, you know, to have, you know, kind of brief paragraph for each issue because if we wrote two pages on every issue our report would be 12 pages, you know, that's a very lengthy report, but if we've identified an issue that's very important and we've had nuance discussion and we want to put together a good, you know, one and a half, two page letter about it, that works well in a letter. And if we send a letter, to me, that is a signal to the Federal Subsistence Board that we care enough about this issue, it's important enough to take the time to write and approve a separate letter.

So in general I think going in this direction is not a bad thing. I guess I will say it has been nice to get a response back to the annual reports just because then we know somebody took the time to read it, somebody took the time to respond, so I will somewhat miss those responses to the annual report but I understand the point that it takes, you know, a lot of Staff time to respond to each of those issues, sometimes we have very disparate issues that we bring up and it does take time to understand the issue and then draft a response back and perhaps that Staff time is better spent on other things.

And that's all I had, thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rebecca. Any other comments.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: I can understand all the rationale and Becky's really good at that, however, I still think that often there are things that should come to their attention and sometimes they respond, sometimes they don't, but we didn't get any response, even though it's not our turf on the sea otters for four years, untilwe finally had somebody atthe Board — and I was going through — a lot of times I'll read

1 their minutes and we had somebody that said, gee, this has come up before, why don't we have somebody on Staff call up Marine Mammals and have them get together and brief folks, even though it's not the turf, and so I would think we should just have a normal letter and if 5 there's something that requires an immediate response 6 7 or something that has more in-depth then we have, you know, our Secretary or somebody work with OSM or to fill it out and have more detail, and I think a lot of 9 10 the detail gets lost because we've had such poor 11 minutes in the last four years and it's not the function, the responsibility of the folks that are in 12 13 that niche right now because I can emphasize losing, 14 you know, 40 percent of your Staff and making it more 15 difficult. But if we think that the most important thing is closures at Unalaska Lake and it needs more 16 17 in-depth discussion then we put an asterisk on it and 18 say, letter to follow. But I think that they should 19 know what is of concern to people in our region, and 20 I'm sorry that Staff time is difficult but they can go through and pull out the important ones if they are 21 22 marked and get back to us on that and then the other 23 ones, if there's no response, just come back with the thing, Item No. 2, no response, and let it go at that. 24

2526

Thank you.

2728

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. Any other questions or comments.

30 31

29

(No comments)

32 33

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, hearing none, thank you, Robbin.

343536

37

38

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Madame Chair and Council members. And your comments were really helpful and specific. Thank you, Council Member Holmes and Skinner. Thank you.

39 40 41

42

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Next item on the agenda, receiving public testimony protocol, guided discussion, Brent Vickers.

43 44 45

MR. VICKERS: Hello, Madame Chair. Members of the Council. This is Brent Vickers, OSM. Can everyone hear me just fine.

47 48 49

46

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Loud and clear.

MR. VICKERS: Okay, great, thank you. Sometimes it's hard to know if I'm just speaking into the air or if anyone can hear me. Thank you for that confirmation. I've got a few things for you today. The first of which will be update you on receiving public testimony protocol and we're going to have a little bit of a discussion at the end of this before getting into the next topic. We're going to have a series of questions that we'd like to get comments back from you if you have any.

First let me give you the update.

Receiving public testimony protocol quided discussion.

All 10 Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils were formed under Title VIII of Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, or ANILCA, and chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act. ANILCA gives each Regional Advisory Council an authority to 1; provide a forum for the expression of opinions and recommendations by persons interested in matter related to subsistence uses of fish and wildlife within the region; and, 2, encourage local and regional participation pursuant to the provisions of Title VIII of ANILCA in the decisionmaking process affecting the taking of fish and wildlife on public lands within the region for subsistence uses.

The Federal Advisory Committee Act stipulates that any member of the public is permitted to file a written statement with the Advisory Committee and may speak to or otherwise address the Advisory Committee if the agency guidelines so permit.

During each regulatory cycle there are several opportunities for the public to provide their oral and written comments to the Federal Subsistence Board, or Board and to the Councils.

First opportunity commences after the call for the proposals, officially known as the proposed rule, after it's published in the Federal Register. This is an opportunity to submit proposals, or any significant comments to the Board. The first comment window is open anywhere from 45 up to 90 days or longer.

2

4

Second opportunity for public comments on submitted proposals begins when the proposal submission window closes and validated proposals are published on the Federal Subsistence Management Program website.

5 6 7

The third opportunity for public comments on proposals is during the Council meetings.

8 9 10

11

12

13

The fourth opportunity for public comments on proposals during the Board regulation meeting -- the Board regulatory meeting -- sorry. These comments are used by the Board in their decisionmaking process.

14 15 16

17

18 19 Now I will explain the third opportunity for public comments on proposals during the Regional Advisory Council meetings in more detail because this is the subject of our guided discussion today.

20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

The public comments on proposals accepted at the Council public meetings are for use by the Council in making their recommendations to the Board. The Council Chair or your Council Coordinator presents the Council recommendations and any supporting data to the Board in its regulatory meeting. This round of public comments on proposals are part of the record for the Council meeting, not the Board of meeting. Public comments on proposals are accepted after the presentation of each proposal analysis. These include oral comments provided by the public attending the meeting and written comments provided by the public during the meeting. The latter will be read or summarized to the Council. Again, these comments are for the Council and not to be included in the Board proposal analysis. Public comments on non-agenda items are accepted at the start of each day of a Council meeting. All right, now in the past when the Councils met in person, the public brought their written public comments to the meeting to be distributed to Council members and other meeting participants. During the fall 2021 meeting cycle since all meetings were held via teleconference, the OSM leadership deemed it necessary to establish a temporary procedure for the submission of written public comments during the Council meeting. The public was directed to submit written comments to centralized OSM email during the meeting as it was indicated in that years proposed rule

published in the Federal Register. The OSM Staff read these comments into the record verbatim during the meeting. This was an interim procedure.

Now, prior to the Councils fall 2022 meeting cycle, when the Councils are going to discuss fisheries proposals and closure reviews requesting the Councils input on how the Councils would prefer receiving written public comments submitted to them after the proposed rule deadline. These are comments the Council may want to consider in formulating their recommendations to the Board. OSM receives input from the Councils on permanent quidance we will then update the written framework accordingly. This is not an action item but the Council's discussion is encouraged.

And for the Council's feedback we developed a number of questions that we would like the Council members to respond to. Remember, that we are talking about the written public comments submitted only to the Council. These comments are used by the Councils to make their recommendations to the Board. The comments themselves will not be shared with the Board.

Okay so now I have five questions for discussion, they're related questions and we're really looking just to get feedback on this comment period process.

No. 1. In the Council's opinion, what are the best ways to encourage public feedback to the Councils.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR TRUMBLE: Are you basically looking for some answers now or are you going to....

MR. VICKERS: Yeah, we are, sorry. Yes, I should have clarified. Yeah, so we're just going to try to get, you know, some of your thoughts, concerns you've had, some ideas that you might have and what might improve the process we have given how things were done in-person and how they have been done in the last two years. We're trying to make better guidelines going forward. And so I was just going to ask some questions and you guys can just shoot out ideas as you have them, have a discussion, I'll try to get the notes

```
1
    down, we're going to take these ideas and then try to
     use them to make a better guideline going into the fall
 2
 3
     2022 meetings.
 4
 5
                    MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair, can I ask a
 6
    question.
 7
 8
                                    TRUMBLE: Go ahead,
                    MADAME CHAIR
 9
     Rebecca.
10
11
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                   Yeah, thank you.
12
                 I think you covered this but I missed it.
     you, Brent.
13
     Prior to Covid times, so back when we had in-person
14
    meetings, how were written comments handled because I'm
15
    going to be honest I was wracking my brain trying to
    receive written comments, and I know this came up
16
    during the working group that was working on the
17
18
    fishery closure outreach, just how is written comment
19
    taken and I couldn't remember how we ended up receiving
20
    public comment. So can you just go over again how did
21
    it used to work prior to Covid times.
22
23
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                    Madame Chair, this is
24
    Katya.
25
26
                    MR. VICKERS: Yeah, this.....
27
28
                    MS. WESSELS: May I reply.
29
30
                    MR. VICKERS:
                                     Thank you.
31
    Katya, that was going to be my suggestion, thank you.
32
33
                    MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair.
34
35
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Katya.
36
37
                    MS. WESSELS: Is that okay.
38
39
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, go ahead.
40
                                      Thank you for
41
                    MS. WESSELS:
42
    question.
                You know prior to when we started meeting
    teleconference during the pandemic, usually the written
43
     comments were brought to the meetings and handed to the
44
    Council Coordinators and then Council Coordinators made
45
46
     copies of these written comments, they were given to
47
     all Council members in person and additional copies
    were made to put on the public table.
48
                                               Some of you
```

might remember, you know, that we used to have the

0106

49

public table because all the materials that are shared with the Council are public documents as well. that's kind of what, you know, our dilemma when we started meeting via teleconference, you know, we're trying to figure out how we're going to share the written public comments with the public. During the last meeting cycle we advised all the public that they could email all their comments during the meeting to our general email inbox subsistence@fws.gov, and then we tried to either read them verbatim into the record or summarize them on the record. But for some regions it didn't work because some regions received very large number of written public comments so it extended their meetings tremendously. It wasn't the case Kodiak/Aleutian. But in the past, you know, like I said they were brought to the Council meetings and they were distributed there.

I just want to emphasize also, any oral or written public comments provided during the Council meetings, they're there to help the Council to help make their decisions. Those comments are not usually shared with the Federal Subsistence Board. They're just for the Council's information and decisionmaking process.

Thank you.

I hope I answered your question, thank

you.

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, you did, thank you. So I'm going to have a comment or suggestion that relates — I guess it relates more to how information and/or our meeting materials are distributed. Is one of the five questions you have, are you going to get to that topic or should I go ahead and share my comment now.

MS. WESSELS: I think you can go ahead and share your comment now. You know I don't remember all of the five questions we're asking but any kind of feedback from the Council on improving this public process would be very helpful to us.

Thank you.

MS. SKINNER: Okay, thank you. So my comment is, and I'm just speaking for myself, I

understand that other people have different ways that work better for them. But for me I would prefer to have all of the meeting materials posted online. right now I do think all of the meeting materials are 5 posted online and if written comments are received in advance of a RAC meeting, I would like to have those 6 7 posted online so that we can access it off of the website. For myself, personally, I would rather access 9 the materials through the website directly rather than 10 receiving hard copy materials that are mailed to me. I 11 think every time I get a big binder in the mail I feel 12 kind of bad that there was the cost and the carbon that 13 was spent mailing the thing to me. And I also think, 14 kind of taking a step back, that maybe if our meeting 15 materials were posted online perhaps we could relax the scheduling a little bit. I think that in order to get 16 17 everything received, printed and mailed out, the 18 deadlines for submitting that information are so early 19 in the process that I think that my perception is that 20 that creates challenges. And so part of my perspective 21 on this is, well, if the materials are posted online 22 that actually gives more time for more information and 23 reports to be received, rather than having -- and I'm 24 not sure how many weeks in advance it is, but I think 25 it's quite a few weeks in advance of our RAC meetings.

26 27

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

And then -- but I guess to get back to the question that was poised, I think it was, what do we think is the most effective way to solicit public comment, it's hard right now because we are between -we're between in-person meetings, which is how we used to do it and then during Covid times we've had these virtual meetings and I think that the answer kind of depends what format you're using. I think during inperson meetings, it was very easy if there was an inperson meeting in your community and you had time, you could come to the meeting, you could sit in the audience or stand by the doorway, whatever you felt comfortable with and there were opportunities to talk to RAC members at break, before or after, and/or you could make a comment in the room. And in some ways I think that feels more accessible and more informal.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

Now that we're in virtual meetings, that's not possible, so the -- you know unless you have the contact information for the individual RAC Members you don't necessarily have that individual kind of communication option and you can call in -- honestly, I don't have a better suggestion but I do -- I do think

there's probably better outreach options to let people know that these meetings are happening. But, again, I don't have an immediate suggestion. But it does seem like, you know, putting things in the newspaper, a lot of people don't read the newspaper or putting a flier up, I don't know, sometimes especially these days people just aren't going where the fliers are. For Kodiak, Facebook, like friends of Kodiak is very popular so putting stuff up there can reach a wider range of people.

All of that being said I think the kinds of topics we talk about maybe are, you know, going towards a niche market and we're not going to get 300 people in Kodiak showing up, you know, there might be a smaller number of people who are very interested in the kind of stuff we talk about and maybe they already— youknow they'realready alerted to the meeting.

 $$\operatorname{So}\ I$$ kind of wandered there in my response but I'll be quiet and give other people a chance to talk.

Thanks.

MS. WESSELS: Thank you. Thank you for your feedback. That was actually great, you know, this is exactly what we're looking for, the kind of feedback that you provided.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ ROHRER: Madame Chair, Sam here. I have come comments to make.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Sam.

MR. ROHRER: Thanks. Yeah, I'll echo a lot of what Member Skinner said. Having -- you know it's sometimes hard to follow along when you're just listening and you don't have a person you're looking at, it's really helpful for me to be able to have an actual report to follow along with, even like these five questions, to have them written out where I can be looking at them while they're being read is helpful. Having everything posted on the website where we can go to, we can download them, we can open up the documents from there, whether it be for comments or whether it be for reports that were too late to be mailed out is really important to me. Having them emailed out, even the morning of the meeting is just fine, you can -- as

```
0110
    we're sitting here at our computer listening in we can,
    you know, open those documents up from there.
    somehow having them either emailed or on the website,
    one central location on the website would be helpful.
 5
 6
                    Again, to echo what Member Skinner
 7
    said, the hard copies, I mean it's fine, I'm following
     along with my meeting book but I could just as easily
 9
    be following along online, it's not necessary for me to
10
    have those mailed to me. I think we could -- think
11
    there's....
12
13
                    (Teleconference
                                         interference
14
    participants not muted - hold)
15
16
                    MR. ROHRER:
                                 .....probably better use
17
    of Staff's time, in my case.
18
19
                    (Teleconference
                                         interference
20
    participants not muted - hold)
21
22
                    MR. ROHRER:
                                    Can you still hear me
23
    there, I hear a dial tone coming through.
24
25
                    REPORTER: I do. So either somebody's
26
    put us on hold or is trying to make another call. So
     if everybody could take a moment, mute your phone,
27
     star, six, please don't put us on hold if you're going
28
29
    to listen to this meeting.
30
31
                    MR.
                          ROHRER:
                                      Yeah,
                                            I have more
32
     comments, I'll just wait until the dial tone is done.
33
34
                    MS. SKINNER: That may be awhile.
35
36
                    REPORTER: Yep.
37
38
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                  Tina, could you isolate
39
     that phone call through an operator and disconnect
40
     them.
41
42
                    REPORTER:
                                 I will.
                                            But, please, I
43
            record while I'm doing that so hold your
44
     comments. Just a moment.
45
46
                    (Off record)
47
48
                    (On record)
49
```

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think as we come back into session here we left with Sam making comments. So Sam.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ ROHRER: Della, this is Sam, do you want me to finish my comments.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, I think we're good go ahead.

MR. ROHRER: Okay. The only additional thing I was going to add, again, to echo what Member Skinner said, the idea of maybe expanding how we're advertising these meetings. Certainly I get the local Kodiak paper and so I see the ad in there but I think making use of social media, it seems most of our rural communities have community centered social media pages that they use on Facebook that have quite a lot of folks that interact on them and I think making use of those pages would certainly help.

The one other aspect that I think would help is maybe in those ads if we listed the local people who are members of those Councils and would let the public know who to reach out and ask questions of. You know there's three or four of us from Kodiak who are on the Council, if that ad for this meeting listed us as being members it might help some of the folks who maybe aren't exactly sure what the Subsistence Council's meeting about, what things we discuss, if they have point of contacts on there for us maybe those folks would reach out to individual Council members and then help them be a little bit more informed about the process.

So those would be my suggestions.

Thanks.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Sam. I have a question for you, Katya, and do you -- I know Sand Point has KSDP, Sand Point radio, I think Unalaska, Chris, isn't there some sort of radio or something or do you use KDLG from Dillingham, and Rick I don't know if there's anything farther out west, but do you utilize the radio stations that are available at all, in Kodiak.

MS. WESSELS: Yes, thank you, Madame

Chair. I can't tell exactly which radio stations we're utilizing but I don't have this information in front of me but, yes, we do utilize the public announcements on the radio, before the Council meetings and also for when we're having open RAC application cycle, we also utilize the radio public announcements. So I can get that information to you exactly which stations we put those announcements on but I can't tell right now.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, an old geezer perspective. Through the years I usually try to call somebody in each of the villages, particularly if there's been somebody that's on our Council for Kodiak and try to hit a few places out west and then I always give a call to KMXT, the public radio and also to Ellen Simeonoff at KVOK, which is our private radio and Ellen was one of our big supporters when we were doing the arguments on Kodiak staying rural, an old born Kodiak family, so that's -- but also I mean you're talking about new generation, millennial solutions, which are great and probably cover a lot of folks, but I think that personal contact with people. Like I said I usually try to those folks, I couldn't when I was down here in the States but I think that personal contact is important.

And then on receiving information, everyone's expressed their preferences, but I don't have a tablet and I don't have a portable computer and sometimes I end up going down to the library and asking for a separate room so I can use their computer so having a paper copy of most of the materials is important to me just because I don't have sort of the spry brain like the young folks do of being able to look at a tablet and a computer and deal with that information. I like to have a piece of paper to scribble on and then I can go back over it again. So you need to provide for both types of levels for communication.

Thank you.

 $\label{eq:MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:} \mbox{ Thank you, Pat.} \\ \mbox{Any other comment.}$

0113 1 (No comments) 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none, 4 Brent, do you want to go on to your second item. 5 6 MR. VICKERS: Yeah, that's -- would you 7 like me to -- you guys have touched on most of the material that was in the list of five questions. Would 9 you just like me to go through the rest of the 10 questions to see if you have anything else to add. Like 11 I said you really have touched on most of it but if 12 anyone has a comment -- would you like me to do that or 13 just go ahead to the next item. 14 15 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, let's just 16 go ahead and cover them and then if somebody wants to 17 speak to something that hasn't already been addressed 18 they can add to it. 19 20 MR. VICKERS: Okay. That's great, 21 thank you. Okay, again, this is Brent Vickers for the 22 record. 23 24 The second question was, would the 25 Council prefer to receive comments from the public 26 orally or in writing. 27 28 And I think -- well, go ahead, if you 29 have anything else to say? 30 31 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I thought you 32 were just going to go ahead and go through all your 33 questions and then we can comment. 34 35 MR. VICKERS: Oh, okay, I'll just go --36 all right, I'm sorry, I'll read all the questions and 37 then let me know if you have anything. Thank you for 38 clarifying. Sorry. 39 40 No. 3. Would it be helpful to the 41 Council if OSM requests the written public comments 42 submitted to your Council Coordinator prior to the 43 meeting within a certain time period and the Coordinator, in turn, will summarize the comments and 44 present them to the Council during the meeting. 45 46 47 No. 4. Is it better for the Council if

received public comments are read verbatim into the

48

49

50

record or summarized.

No. 5. Do you have any other suggestions regarding the ways of improving written or oral public testimony procedures during the Council meetings.

Those are all the questions.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I've followed the RAC for five years longer than I've been on it, tried to sit in on all the ones in Kodiak and very seldom do we ever get anything written from anybody. They, you know, and I think with Covid then that might happen a bit more but I think mostly people will call in and it's verbal in my perspective.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat.

Any other comments.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think I got a It doesn't -- from my perspective it couple here. doesn't bother me whether they're in person or written, I'm happy to get the comments and I think that helps with any decisionmaking. One of the things I think that we have, the tribal liaison, that does take public and having meetings various comments with the organizations, or tribes, those hearings continue and it seems like that effort, in some cases in regions they get a lot of response and others not so much and I think it depends on exactly what the item on the agenda is being up for public comment is possibly part of the issue here. I think sometimes when you don't get a lot of comments people are just -- means people are just fine with what is as is.

Those are the only comments that I can think of. I like all the comments that were made in regard to on other opportunities to get the message out more or interact with people about the various issues. And I know, Pat, for sure has always called people, or called around to check with them prior to our meeting

and if there's something that has an effect on your he does call people and community that's much appreciated by people.

4 5

2

Other than that I don't have any other comments.

6 7

MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair.

8 9

10 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, 11

Rebecca.

12 13

14

15

16 17

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, thanks. So I'm going to go ahead and make these comments with the assumption that these five questions are being posed to all of the Regional Councils and that the responses are going to be compiled together somehow and used in decisionmaking around the process.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

So in response to the question do we prefer written or oral comments. I prefer comments. I don't care how they come in. If somebody -- if they're more comfortable submitting a written comment, I'm fine with that, if they're more comfortable either calling in or showing up to a meeting, I'm fine with that as well. However, we need to meet the public in order to make it a less confronting process for them, favor of that.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

In response to the question about -- it had to do with would I like the Coordinator to summarize the comments. Personally if written comments are coming in, I would not like a summary of the comments, I would like to actually read the written comments as they were written by the member of the public writing the comment. That being said, as I said earlier, I don't think that the Kodiak/Aleutian RAC has received very much written comment at all over the time that I've been on it. If in response to the question that had to do with the written comments being read into the record verbatim, for myself, I would just prefer to read the comment myself rather than have it read into the record -- on the other hand, I guess now that I think about it, I understand that reading it in verbatim is how it would get into the record of the RAC meeting, but I think my preference would be, as I said at the very beginning of this conversation, if the comments are basically published as part of our meeting materials and they're on the website then they will be

part of the record in their entirety. And if it did get to the point where we were receiving hundreds of written comments, you know, I'm very, I guess sympathetic to not taking hours and hours and hours reading into the record verbatim public comment. There's probably a better way to handle that. But like I said our RAC just hasn't received a lot of written comment so it hasn't been an issue. But for myself, I prefer to read the comments myself and I'd like to read the words as they were written by the person that took the time to comment on the subject.

And I think you had another question in there that I didn't specifically address but those were the points that I did just want to get on the record.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, 20 Rebecca. Any other comments.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hearing none, I think that should cover that. Do you have any other questions for us in regard to this issue or -- Brent.

MR. VICKERS: No, you guys have really covered it. You have actually excellent comments so I really appreciate your thoughts. And, Rebecca, is right, we're asking these questions, same questions, same ordeal with all the Regional Councils and we're going to get together with all the comments and meet and discuss and take what we can do and try to make an overall better process for everyone. So we'll see exactly what happens. But those are really thoughtful and great comments, I really appreciate it, thank you.

And if you're ready I can go into the next item.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, go ahead, I see you're on the next two items so go ahead.

MR. VICKERS: Yeah, you'll get a lot of me. All right. So the next item is briefing the Council comment -- Council comments on proposed actions to automate Federal subsistence permits. This is not an action item.

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

One of the many governing statutes that cover the Federal Subsistence Management Program is the Paperwork Reduction Act, commonly referred to as the This law requires all Federal departments and agencies to gain approval through the Office of Management and Budget, or OMB, prior to the collection of information from the public. In regards to our Program, this collection of information can be the information you provide on your permits, the data supplied to be nominate to serve, or to continue your service on the Regional Advisory Councils, but it also covers the signage used for fishwheels, nets and buoy markers. Even the requirements to submit a lower moose jaw, the sealing of untanned animal skins, and other biological submissions are covered under this statute.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

This law was designed to protect you, the public, from unreasonable requirements to provide data and/or personal information without justification. The process to gain approval to collect information from OMB generally takes a year, but can be as long as 18 months. An agency must, in short, provide the reasons this information will be collected, what it will be used for, how it may be used outside of the original intent, who will have access to the information, and how it will be stored. This clearance is valid for three years and must be resubmitted prior to the expiration date.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41

42

Another governing statute that covers our Program is the E-Government Act. The purpose of this law is to improve the management and promotion of electronic government services and requires using Internet-based information technology to improve citizen access to government information and services. You see this is in our Program, in our webpage, providing news releases, meeting materials, and other information, and in the use of social media which allows for the swift notifications regarding special actions, meetings, and submissions of regulatory proposals. Our webpage and social media sites are DOI 24/7 to ensure monitored by we meet all requirements of the law and departmental policies.

43 44 45

46

47

48

After the most recent OMB clearance to collect information from the public, OMB contacted OSM tasked us with exploring the use of automated permits within our Program. Many issues would accompany the use of automated permits and must be addressed before

any attempt is made to use internet permits.

 OSM is asking the Councils and the public for ideas as to how the issuing of permits might look in the future. Any and all ideas are welcome. There are several overriding factors that must be understood. All Federal permits must be issued by a Federal agency and cannot be delegated to a non-Federal entity, such as a local or State entity or a tribal government. The cost must be considered, the Program has limited budget and excessive costs cannot be absorbed to the detriment of Board and Council meetings or the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. A paper permit will still have to be carried into the field for proof when addressing LE or field Staff.

Some of the issues that muse be

addressed.

How can we verify a person is a Federally-qualified user.

How do we address the lack of or substandard infrastructure in rural communities if internet permits are used.

 $$\operatorname{\text{How}}$$ will managers address quotas, and/or limit the amount of permits issued in the case of conservation concerns.

How will managers deal with the lack of reporting of harvesting results in the case of power failures or lack of the internet. Especially when reporting requirements are listed as 48 or 72 hours due to severe conservation concerns.

How would this possible change affect subsistence users.

And what method of issuing permits would be preferred by subsistence users.

 While this is not a formal request for comments, we ask that any comments be sent to subsistence at fws.gov by November 15th, 2022. More information will be provided to the Councils as this process moves forward.

And that is all I have to say about

```
0119
    that. Are there any questions or comments.
 2
 3
                     MS. CHERNOFF: This is Coral.
 4
 5
                     MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Coral.
 6
 7
                     MS. CHERNOFF: Could I just ask you to
 8
     repeat that where -- where are suggestions sent to,
 9
     subsistence at something?
10
11
                     MR. VICKERS:
                                     Yes, no problem.
12
     email address is subsistence@fws, as in Fish and
13
    Wildlife Services dot gov. And we're requesting these
14
    be sent to that email address by November 15th, 2022.
15
16
                     MS. CHERNOFF: Thank you.
17
18
                     MR. VICKERS: Do you have it.
19
20
                     MS. CHERNOFF: Yes, thank you.
21
22
                     MR. VICKERS: Thank you.
23
24
                     MADAME CHAIR
                                     TRUMBLE:
                                                  Any other
25
     comments or questions.
26
27
                     MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair.
28
29
                     MADAME CHAIR
                                     TRUMBLE:
                                                  Go ahead,
30
     Rebecca.
31
32
                     MS. SKINNER: Thanks. So that was a
33
     lot. Can you distribute or post what you just read or
34
     something that generally covers what you just read so
35
     that we can cogitate -- I'm going to use one of Pat's
36
     words, cogitate on it and formulate responses?
37
38
                     MR. VICKERS:
                                      Ηi,
                                           this is Brent.
39
    You're not the first to ask for this, it is a lot of
     information and as you mentioned before it's hard to
40
    hear it just get read verbatim. I will get -- I will
41
42
    see what we can do. If this was, like actually was
43
    mentioned I think by you earlier, a matter of timing,
    and getting all the information after the publishing date and so I'll get back to you with whatever we can
44
45
46
    provide to you in writing as soon as possible, is that
47
    okay.
48
49
                     MS. SKINNER: Okay, thank you.
50
```

0120 1 MR. VICKERS: Thank you. 2 3 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other 4 comments. 5 6 MR. HOLMES: Yes, Madame Chair, Pat. 7 8 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 9 10 MR. HOLMES: One of the things getting 11 on -- on Federal permits would be probably really handy for villagers and what not, to be able to do it online, 12 13 but it's also -- I wouldn't remove it from any places 14 where, like at Kodiak, you can get it out the Refuge 15 and they have a lady now that will be handling that. I 16 don't think you would want to suspend all personal 17 interactions, particularly in rural areas because that 18 provide a point for person getting a permit to ask 19 questions and sometimes, you know, you run into folks 20 that really aren't adept to the modern media and so 21 it's good for them to still have a physical source for 22 the permit, as well as for information. 23 24 Thank you. 25 26 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. 27 Other comments. 28 29 MR. KOSO: Madame Chair. 30 31 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick. 32 33 MR. ROHRER: Yeah, I know like down in 34 the Aleutians or Cold Bay, Adak, I know we were able to get on the phone and call ADF&G and they would issue us 36 a permit, send it through the email too back to us or 37 mail it to us, but it was always kind of nice that we 38 could pick up the phone and talk to them and they would get a permit to us. 39 So that was really good for at 40 least in the Adak and the Cold Bay area there. 41 42 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rick. 43 Any other comments. 44 45 (No comments) 46 47 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, hearing 48 none, I think, Brent, we can move on.

2

5

6

MR. VICKERS: Thank you, Madame Chair. All right, my last for today I think -- I believe. Briefing on the Secretarial regulations proposing the inclusion of identified submerged lands in the Tongass National Forest. Again, this is not an action item. This is an informational brief only and no action is required on the part of the Council.

7 8 9

This is the second rulemaking on this subject. The first taking place with the publication of a proposed rule in June 2016 and the final rule in May 2018.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

10

11

Τo comply with court order, а Peratrovich, et al., versus United State and the state The Secretaries were directed to initiate of Alaska. regulatory proceedings to identify those submerged lands within the Tongass National Forest that did not to the state of Alaska at statehood, and, therefore, remain Federal public lands subject to Federal subsistence provisions. This task forwarded to the Federal Subsistence Board by the Secretaries. This proposed rule would add to the list of submerged parcels in the Federal subsistence regulations that have been identified through agency review. The purpose of this proposed rule is to comply -- is to complete regulatory proceedings addressing submerged public lands within the Tongass National Forest, as directed by the court.

293031

The majority of these submerged lands are low-water lines, reefs, rocks and very small islands, 100 yards to a half a mile in length.

33 34 35

36

37

32

This document is expected to be published in the Federal Register in February 2022 and will have a 60 day comment period. The exact dates will be announced by news release and social media.

38 39 40

41

If you or the public desires to comment on this proposed rule you may submit comments by one of the following methods:

42 43 44

45 46

47

48

Electronically. Go to the Federal erulemaking portal https://www.regulations.gov. In the search box enter the docket number -- I can provide that if anybody wants to, it's a long string of letters and numbers, then click on the search button. On the resulting page, in the search panel on the left side of

the screen, under the document type heading, check the proposed rule box to locate this document. You may submit a comment by clicking comment.

And you can do this by hard copy. I can -- this would be submitted U.S. Mail or hand delivery and I can provide you with the mailing address which is in Falls Church Virginia if you would like.

Would anyone like me to repeat any of the docket number for the erule portal or for the physical address for making these comments.

(No comments)

MR. VICKERS: As a Council, if you desire to comment on this proposed rule you may submit your comment to the Council Coordinator. And that concludes that update. Thank you. Let me know if you have any questions or comments.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I do have a comment, or maybe a question. You made the comment that you could submit them to your Council Coordinator if you are on the Council -- the Council, as a whole wanted to make a comment then that's the process, but individually as a Council member we can't do that unless we make -- take action as a whole to proceed; is that correct.

MR. VICKERS: Thank you for the question. That is my understanding but, of course, Katya, would be able to respond to that better, with more authority. Katya.

MS. WESSELS: This is Katya. Would you please -- Della, would you repeat your question, please, because I got temporarily distracted here.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: This discussion is in regard to the Briefing on the Secretarial regulations proposing the inclusion of identified submerged lands in the Tongass National Forest. Brent gave where it can be pulled up and reviewed, which we don't have that information in front of us. And so his comment at the end was if we wanted to make any comments in regards to this that we could do it through the Coordinator. I guess my comment was, we really, in the past, have not made any comments -- or, you know,

we don't unless we approve it as a whole to do so, as a unit, and then it goes forward, but in this case I don't see any documentation for us to even make comment on

4 5 6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MS. WESSELS: Yes, well, thank you, Madame Chair. What I would just say about this specific agenda item, since this is a proposed rule, this is going to be presented to all the Councils (indiscernible - cuts out) to just Southeast Subsistence region because that's where the Tongass National Forest is. You know we are talking about (indiscernible - cuts out) trying to post online what we have, what we can currently provide to the Council. So it's not really an action item for the Council, that is, you know, more of a briefing, but if Council wants to provide some kind of a comment of course it's your prerogative. So I just would suggest that, you know, let us discuss it here, we might have something to you later today, or -- and online, you know, and then the Council can address that item again if you would like I think at this point it would be kind of difficult for the Council to provide any kind of comment, I completely agree with you.

242526

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, Pat.

2728

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

MR. HOLMES: I would suggest that we deal with this like we have historically and if it's something in another region that doesn't relate to us that we just defer to the SERAC on that and let the Tlingit and Haida and I don't know if the Tsimshian folks are in that neck of the woods or not, but differ to the Southeast RAC and not take a position.

36 37 38

Thank you.

39 40

MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair.

41 42

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$ CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. Go ahead, Rebecca.

43 44 45

46

47

48

MS. SKINNER: Yeah, thanks. So I either have one or two questions. If the docket number is available I think Brent offered to give us the very long docket number; is that open now so if I went on could I -- could I, you know, ask the Federal Register

system to send me an update when the rule is actually published; and maybe you don't know the answer to that, but either way I think I would like the docket number. You could either read it or is that something that could be emailed to our new Coordinator, Leigh, and then she can forward it out to the RAC.

And then I guess I do have a third part, I'm wondering when the rule is published, will that information be posted, or can it be posted somewhere on the kind of the general RAC website so if people do want to comment, there's a place they can go that would link them to the Federal Register. Because I know it can be -- sometimes it can be challenging trying to find things in the Federal Register so if there was an easier way to link to it if the public is interested, I think that would be handy.

 $$\operatorname{So},$$ sorry, that ended up being multiple questions in there.

MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair, this is

 Katya.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Katya.

MS. WESSELS: To answer your question, I don't really know if that docket number is active right now. We definitely can send to the Council that information in an email and we definitely can provide that link on our website to the Federal Register. Like I said earlier, we are just discussing right now behind the scenes how to provide this information in the best way possible to the Council because we realize that, you know, that's a pretty complicated topic and it's the first time you hear about them and I'm sure it's very difficult to the Council to just comprehend, you know, the topic in its entirety just listening to a presentation without having any written information in front of you. So our apologies for that. That was not thought through very well, and we will try to correct that as we go.

MS. SKINNER: All right, thank you, that will be really helpful. And I understand the rule isn't published yet so I'm not sure how much more you could have shared but, yeah, appreciate getting an email on that when that does become available.

```
0125
 1
                    Thank you.
 2
 3
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other
 4
    comments.
 5
 6
                    (No comments)
 7
 8
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you,
 9
    Brent.
10
11
                    MR. VICKERS:
                                    Madame Chair, this is
12
    Brent Vickers. I just wanted to ask, Chair Member
13
     Skinner [sic], would you like me to read out the docket
14
    number while I'm here or do you just prefer the email.
15
16
                    MS. SKINNER: Yeah, I think email would
17
    probably be easier just in case I miss -- you know
18
    miss....
19
20
                    MR. VICKERS: Okay.
21
22
                    MS. SKINNER:
                                  .....you know transpose
23
    something you say. Thank you.
24
25
                    MR. VICKERS:
                                    Understand,
                                                well, no
26
    problem. Thank you.
27
28
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Brent are
29
    you good, we're done.
30
31
                    MR. VICKERS: I'm done, if you guys are
32
    done with me.
33
34
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okey-dokey.
35
36
                    MR. VICKERS: Thank you very much,
37
     appreciate your time.
38
39
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                               Thank you.
40
     Thank you. Okay, moving on, we have agency reports and
41
     the first one is tribal governments, Qwalangin Tribe,
42
     update on McLees Lake project.
43
44
                    MS. POE:
                                Hi, Madame Chair, this is
45
    Chandra Poe with the Qwalangin Tribe.
46
47
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hi, welcome, go
48
     ahead.
49
```

MS. POE: Hi. And I would like to give an update from Qwalangin Tribe, tribal government on a couple of topics and our Fisheries and Outreach Coordinator Jenny Rene will be giving very more detailed information about the McLees fish run measurements from last summer. So we'll look forward to hearing from Jenny, I think, a little further on down the line, probably about when Tyler is speaking for Fish and Game. But with your permission, I do have some other updates that I would love to share.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

MS. POE: Thank you. So, again, my name is Chandra Poe, and I am a Environmental Program Instructor at Qwalangin Tribe and I've been working for the tribal government for about two years. And I just wanted to share some of the ongoing work that we've been involved with and I'll just move on through my list.

I think that probably throughout the time that I've been working at the tribe, in our Qwalangin Tribe updates, we have shared our plans to have our Coastal Community Forum, and that was have our Coastal Community Forum, successfully held this November -- November 2021. think that several people on this call were actually able to attend for at least part of that event, which we held virtually, and so I want to thank everyone for their support. It was a really successful regional event even though it was mainly virtual with a couple of communities able to gather there together locally and we were able to introduce many topics related to changing climate conditions and impact to many different aspects of which subsistence is, of course, the highest priority for the tribe. So thank you to everybody for your support on that event. And if anybody is interested in any materials from that, I'd be happy to speak with you more or provide some more information on that.

As a follow on to that Coastal Community Forum that Qwalangin Tribe hosted with a lot of great partners, I also wanted to let this group know that there will be a regional workshop on food security and climate adaptations with Aleutians Pribilof Islands Association in April, April 12th through the 14th, again, just for your information. If anyone would like additional information, very, very happy to share about

that, and working with great partners at APIA for that next regional effort on food security and climate adaptation.

3 4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

2

I would also like to share just a few updates. One of the really exciting developments for us as a tribal government Staff is a lot of increasing between environmental collaboration programs and culture and wellness and I was given some updates to share from our culture and wellness team. And one of the really rewarding things that they've been able to accomplish the last couple of years is berry picking for elders and both tribal Staff and community members will go out at the appropriate time and harvest and share the harvest with elders who may not be able to access the areas where the berries are anymore. this year that effort was able to share the harvest with 21 elders of the 36 who currently live in Unalaska. They did find the berries were less abundant this year and one elder asked, where did you find them so it was, again, as it's been for the past couple years, a very wonderful event that we were very happy to be able to accomplish.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

And also to share an update from last summer. Camp Q, our summer camp was back on in-person, thankfully, and those activities were able to be completed, including sharing with the youth, the process and fish and seal harvest processing, language and dance among many other important sharing opportunities. So very happy to say that that was able to be in-person again last summer.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

I think I'll And other than that, probably close there except to say, again, that Jenny Rene will give more detailed information about the McLees Lake results from last summer. And I would like to say here that we've been very pleased with the partnership that we are developing and continuing to work with Fish and Game. We're very thankful to be involved in the Partners Fisheries Monitoring Program and have been able to really expand some good capacity and are really looking forward to what that's going to mean for being able to continue to work on important subsistence issues for the tribe and community. And we are really looking forward to another great season at the salmon weir and continuing to develop additional project work.

That is all that I had on my list. I will thank you for your time and ask if there are any comments or questions.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Chandra. Any comments or questions for Chandra.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, this is Pat.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I was wondering if you folks have talked with Lisa and Tyler, I know you're kind of working on a -- you know, getting as many folks from the community involved, but Mark Witteveen and Tyler Polum here with Sportfish in Kodiak have had a program ongoing there for, gosh, 20 years, on trying to work in high school interns for part of their program and they've had tremendous success with those young folks eventually getting jobs with the Department and then going out to other agencies. I just wondered if you had a chance to explore a similar relationship or maybe some time in the future.

Thank you, very much.

MS. POE: Thank you, Pat. Wonderful. We are fortunate to be often able to host interns for the summer through a (Indiscernible) Program and we also are working with ANSEP, with Alaska Native Science and Engineering Program and we are hopeful to be able to have a summer Bridge student at the weir this summer, is our -- is our goal, to start involving more youth. And we definitely appreciate that observation and look for those opportunities to involve youth. It's especially wonderful to have the hatchery program in Unalaska and I think that gives us some really good strong connections and inspires a lot of interest in the Unalaska youth specific for salmon fisheries.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any other questions or comments.

(No comments)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, hearing none, thank you for your report Chandra. Glad to hear everything is moving forward and going good.

```
0129
                    MS. POE: Thank you.
 1
 2
 3
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Are there any
 4
    other Native organizations online that would like to
 5
    speak or give a report at this time.
 6
 7
                    (No comments)
 8
 9
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Is there anyone
10
    from Kodiak.
11
12
                    (No comments)
13
14
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Well, if
15
    somebody does, as the meeting goes on, just let us
           The next item on the agenda is Tab No. 6, U.S.
16
    know.
17
    Fish and Wildlife Maritime National Wildlife Refuge
18
    winter 2022 report.
19
20
                    (No comments)
21
22
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Hello.
23
24
                    MS. WESSELS: Della, this is Katya.
25
    Perhaps they're not online.
26
27
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            Okay.
28
   How about Izembek National Wildlife Refuge.
                                                 Is there
29
    someone online for that report.
30
31
                    MS. FOSADO: Hi, good afternoon, yes,
32
    this is Maria, can you hear me?
33
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: We can hear you.
34
35
    You might get a little closer to the phone though.
36
37
                    MS. FOSADO:
                                  Good afternoon, Madame
38
    Chair and members of the Board. This is Maria Fosado
39
    from Izembek National Wildlife Refuge. Can you hear
40
    me?
41
42
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes we can hear
43
    you.
44
45
                    MS. FOSADO: Perfect. All right, well,
46
    we're going to share just a few of the activities that
47
    have taken place since we last met. I hope everybody
48
    has a copy of our report but I'll just start off with
49
    the caribou Federal subsistence hunt for Unit 9D on the
```

Southern Alaska Peninsula. Izembek Refuge during 2021/2022 the Federal subsistence caribou hunt in Unit 9D which covers the hunting periods of August 1st through September 30th and then again opens November 15th and ends March 31st. The hunt, you know, was available to folks, however, to-date we have not received any harvest reports. None have been submitted to the Refuge.

As far as the Federal subsistence hunt on Unimak Island, Unit 10D, the period -- the hunting period was open August 15th through October 15th, 10 permits were allotted, five permits were issued and we received reports that three caribou were harvested for Unit 10.

We were able to conduct our annual bear stream survey. The 2021 brown bear survey took place over the course of six days during the end of August into the beginning of September. The survey covered all the major watersheds in Izembek Refuge and Unimak Island where the bears are most likely to be concentrated while feeding on salmon runs. The minimum population counts for the Izembek area was 162 bears, which made up about -- which was made up of 89 single bears, 21 sows with cubs and 52 cubs. Compared to the 2020 count, total count for brown bears at Izembek decreased by three and a half percent. The count of single bears was the same, however, the count for sows with cubs decreased.

The minimum -- we also surveyed on Unimak Island the minimum population count for Unimak Island area was 108 bears, it consisted of 72 single bears, 12 sows with cubs and 24 cubs. Compared to the 2020 counts the total on Unimak Island also showed a decrease of 18.2 percent. There's some additional details in the report but I just want to note that the survey -- it's important to note that this survey is used to understand the minimum numbers of bears present in the area and the general population trends but it's not intended for use as a population estimate. There's a lot of variables such as, you know, the time of day, the tides, the weather, the individuals that are conducting the survey so I just wanted to make that disclaimer known.

Moving on to waterfowl. We were able to conduct a reduced scale brant age ratio. We had

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

planned to continue operations as we had historically and we had planned to bring in employees from -- Fish and Wildlife Service employees from King Anchorage and Fairbanks, however, due to the pandemic we reduced our efforts and it was Izembek Staff that carried out the survey. Historically we've done it both from shore counts and boat counts, however, since it was just Izembek Staff all of our observations were shore based operations. Over the course of seven days, five Staff members traveled to locations along Izembek Lagoon and we counted and aged 8,911 birds to understand the ratio of juvenile and adult birds in the population. We shared the data with USGS and it's used to develop modeled estimates of productivity of Pacific black brant populations. And there's a link in the report but data is available for viewing and download at the annual data modeled based estimate link provided in the report.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40 41

42

43

Waterfowl commercial guiding. In 2021 Izembek issued four permits to commercial Our permits -- permit, guiding clients operations. using Refuge lands. Each operation reports the number of client use days at the conclusion of the hunting season, which are the number of hunts -- excuse me, which are the number of days the hunter was taken on the Refuge by a commercial guide. Since 2009 the number of reported client use days has increased and we did provide a figure in our report. And as you can see since 2009 the overall trend has been one of increasing. And I just want to note that the client use days are the number of days the hunter was taken on the Refuge by a commercial guide. So this does not account for any non-commercial waterfowl hunting. And a single trip to the Refuge will contribute multiple client use days when multiple clients are guided at once. In addition to that multiple trips by the same client on the same day only constitutes a single day. So it's important to note that this is merely an index of the commercial use of the Refuge as it illustrates the number a client was guided on the Refuge but does not account for the area hunted, the methods used, the birds harvested or the amount of time spent on the Refuge.

44 45 46

47

48

49

50

Moving on to our habitat efforts. Eelgrass abundance and productivity monitoring. Due to Covid19, we had to cancel our lagoon-wide eelgrass survey, however, retired biologist -- USGS biologist

David Ward was able to come out to Cold Bay and he did spend a week training our Staff on the survey protocol. And although we weren't able to conduct a full Izembek Lagoon eelgrass abundance monitoring survey, we were able to conduct the eelgrass transect sampling along Grant Point, and this has been conducted in the spring and summer since 2007 and so those efforts are ongoing.

Moving on to invasive species. The Fish and Wildlife Service Staff from the invasive species program conducted surveys on and around Izembek and the Alaska Peninsula National Wildlife Refuge during summer of 2021. The surveys included sampling of road ways for terrestrial invasive species, major bodies of water for invasive aquatic species and surveying for invasive European green grab in Cold Bay. Surveys uncovered no species of high concern on the Refuge itself or signs of European crab, however, several populations of aggressive and invasive orange hawkweed, Canada thistle and oxeye daisy were found within the city limits of Cold Bay.

We hope to continue these efforts in 2022. Izembek Staff and the invasive species program members hope to work with the community of Cold Bay to compile a treatment plan for the invasives that were surveyed during the summer of 2021. In addition to that Izembek Staff will be reaching out to the community of King Cove to share the information on the project and to discuss potential opportunities for partnering and conducting similar surveys around King Cove. Early detection and treatment of invasive species, which can have severe ecological consequences for habitat and wildlife will reduce the likelihood of spread into the remote areas and wilderness areas of the Refuge.

Moving on to upcoming work, we had actually scheduled our mid-winter caribou survey for this week, however, we're still pending a pilot, we're keeping an eye on weather and we're hopeful that we will be able to still carry it out but stay tuned for more. We'll see if it's able to -- if we're able to carry that out.

And the same for the Pacific Flyaway Pacific winter brant survey, we had planned on hosting Migratory Bird crew members here in Cold Bay over the course of the last couple weeks, however, unforeseen

0133 issues have come up, whether it's weather or just planning logistics and they haven't been able to make it down to Cold Bay. So we're hoping that that will materialize still this winter but stay tuned on that. 5 6 That is all. 7 8 Are there any questions. 9 10 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any questions or 11 comments for Maria. 12 13 MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, Pat. 14 15 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat. 16 17 MR. HOLMES: Thank you for the very nice report and glad what you've been doing what you 18 19 have been doing for your research programs, and I 20 understand that because of Covid you haven't been able 21 to do as much of your normal outreach over in King Cove 22 or in town with the school kids. But I was quite 23 interested in your reported game bird client use days 24 because at our last meeting we had quite a talk reviewing the State proposals in which they seemed to 25 26 me that non-resident hunters were trying to get more 27 emperor geese which they use mainly for trophies versus 28 the King Cove folks and residents there in Cold Bay, 29 using them there for food, and so our Council made 30 comments to the Game Board not to do that. So this 31 continuing up creep certainly shows that there is a 32 whole lot more demand for that resource. 33 34 I'll just leave it at that because I'm 35 sure you're sensitive to what local folks feel about 36 the emperor geese in hopes to try to get the numbers up 37 for a better subsistence harvest, so thank you very 38 much for your report. 39 40 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat. 41 42 MS. FOSADO: Thank you for sharing. 43 44 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Any other 45 comments. 46 MR. KOSO: Madame Chair. 47

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead Rick.

48 49

```
0134
 1
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, Madame Chair.
 2
 3
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick.
 4
 5
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, I just got a question
 6
    on this decrease, this three and a half percent
    decrease on the bear surveys that you did on 9 and 10D.
 7
    Is that due to more guided bear hunts or is that just
 8
 9
    natural?
10
11
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           I think maybe,
12
    Rick, to add to that.....
13
14
                    MS. FOSADO: Good afternoon, Rick.....
15
16
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                            ....because I
17
    was going to.....
18
19
                    MS. FOSADO: .....I'm jumping to that
20
    part of the report.
21
22
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: ....bring that
23
    up.
24
25
                    MS. FOSADO:
                                 I would venture to say
26
    that right now I can't answer whether or not it's tied
27
    directly to hunter harvest but I would venture to say
28
    that based on the variables that I mentioned such as
29
    the time of day we're surveying, the individuals who
30
    are surveying, the weather, the transects that we're
31
    flying, the surveys aren't necessarily always capturing
32
    the exact same areas and so I just don't have enough
33
    information to really give you a really concrete answer
34
    on the decrease.
35
36
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And, Rick, this
37
                To add to that, if you recall, I think it
    is Della.
    was in 2020 there was not a bear season, 2021 had a
38
39
    spring and fall hunt, which I think, in my opinion,
40
    probably contributed to those numbers.
41
42
                    Did you have anything else, Rick.
43
44
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah.
                                       I just wanted to
45
    know, is this the bear survey, the 162 that you
46
    surveyed, is that within your realm of where you want
47
    to be with bear, is that high or is that low?
48
49
                    MS. FOSADO:
                                  Hi, Rick, yes, this is
50
```

Maria. I'll defer to the -- I don't know if there's folks from ADF&G that want to speak to the bear population.

MR. BURCH: Madame Chair, this is Mark Burch.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

MR. BURCH: Yeah, as I introduced myself, I'm with the Department of Fish and Game, but I'm a generalist kind of coordinator, unfortunately I'm not familiar with the bear management in great detail. And the biologist that normally does that is not online as far as I know. So we'd have to put that on the agenda and get it scheduled in order to be able to get into that subject very deeply, I'm afraid.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

MR. ROHRER: Della, Sam here. I have a comment -- a couple comments on that that might help shed a little bit of light on Rick's question.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Sam.

MR. ROHRER: Yeah, if you look at the survey data from Izembek, the total count was down but it says — in the third paragraph there it says the count of single bears was the same, so 89 single bears were counted in 2021 and then 89 single bears counted the year before in 2020 so there's no decline in single bears. It looks like the decline came from the sow and cubs count. So certainly that wouldn't have been contributed to by hunting.

And then I'll just mention, Unimak saw the bigger decline in count but I'll point out, Unimak is managed by strict drawing for resident and non-resident hunters alike. And I don't remember with the cancelled seasons for 2020 -- I'm trying to remember off the top of my head how that worked on Unimak, the non-resident portion of that hunt was cancelled so harvest would have been down for non-residents, residents could still show up. But I don't think there was any special seasons like the rest of the Peninsula had some special seasons, but Unimak didn't have those,

```
0136
    it would have just stuck with its normal drawing. So
    the numbers have held pretty close on Unimak. So I
    would say that it's pretty unlikely that bear hunting
    led to any of those decreases, it's probably more
 5
    likely -- like Letecia said, due to inconsistencies in
 6
    the actual surveys.
 7
 8
                    MR. KOSO: All right, thank you, Sam.
 9
    That cleared things up for me, so I appreciate that.
10
11
                    MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.
12
13
                    MADAME CHAIR
                                   TRUMBLE: Any other
```

14 comments -- go ahead, Pat.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

MR. HOLMES: Yeah I was just wondering, these are rather small but I'm just curious because if you do -- you probably don't do any surveys outside of the Refuge for the -- on the Peninsula but I can recall that the area biologist there in the past when -commenting on when they've had significant changes in the returning salmon on seeing a larger number of bears, I forget the name of that lagoon that's west of Cold Bay, on the other side of the Peninsula there but sometimes I think the number of chums and silvers in there can fluctuate a lot so, you know, I think your numbers there in relation to the harvest and what's available seem to be pretty reasonable. But I'm just wondering if you've ever noticed or if your reports -if you correlate those at all with the -- Lisa Fox' salmon surveys.

31 32 33

Thank you.

34 35

MS. CHERNOFF: Della, this is.....

36 37

MS. FOSADO: Good afternoon, Madame

38 Chair and....

39 40

MS. CHERNOFF:Coral.

41 42

 $\operatorname{MS.}$ FOSADO:members of the Board. We have -- I'm sorry.

43 44 45

46

47

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think Pat had some questions I don't know if they were towards Lisa or Maria so maybe we can get those answers and then I think it was maybe Coral after that.

MS. FOSADO: Okay. That I'm aware of in recent years we have not looked at any salmon escapement and tried to correlate the runs with our annual bear stream surveys but that could something that could be looked at into the future.

MS. FOX: Yeah, good afternoon, Della -- Madame Chair, this is Lisa Fox. There have been some low sockeye numbers at Christianson's Lagoon on Unimak Island, I believe that's the one you're talking about but last year there was some decent escapement and the year before I think there was really, really good escapement. I don't know if the escapement at Christianson's would change the population of the bears that much, so much that it would just concentrate them right on that river there. Sometimes there's bears side by side on that whole stream. But we definitely can start sharing those escapement numbers with the folks at Izembek in-season because we fly that survey, you know, six times a year probably.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Pat, is that good -- are you good?

 MR. HOLMES: Yes, ma'am, thank you. I think that's really swell. I know they -- 20 years ago, well before Lisa, but, you know, there was a lot of communication between -- we've had a lot of turnover, and so I think that's just really swell for the ladies to be working together on that.

Thank you, very much. And thanks for your answers, ladies, thank you.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{MADAME}}$ CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. Coral, was that you.

MS. CHERNOFF: Yes.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, Coral go ahead.

MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, I had a question about your graph here with the reported game bird use days. There's quite a large jump from 2019 to 2020. 2019 it looks like there was less than 300, maybe 275 client use days and then 2021 there was over 800. So does that cause any concern for you out there within the Izembek communities or is that something then you

2

4

have -- or do you have conversations with biologists and stuff, like is that number a concern, and if it's not have you ever discussed at what point that number would become a concern that you might have to limit permits or lower amounts of birds taken.

5 6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. FOSADO: Good afternoon, Madame Chair and members of the Council. Yes, we do -- to vour question, we do have continuous conversations in-house of, you know, the uses that are occurring on the Refuge, whether it's commercial uses or any other recreational uses. We would, of course, become concerned if there starts to be noticeable impacts to the resource and/or to wildlife increase disturbance. I know that that has been a conversation that has been, I believe, discussed at previous RAC meetings and we have fielded some concerns from the public either via -- mainly via phone calls of folks that have called in and relayed how they've perceived things changing throughout the years as they've visited and hunted here. So, yes, we have in-house conversations.

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40 41

As far as our permits are competitively issued permits. And in order to look at restricting any use or the number of guides we would have to move from a non-competitively issued special use permit, so a competitively issued special use permit and that would require, of course, going through the NEPA process and either an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement on the disturbance and the potential impacts that the use is causing and that information would be fed into competitively determinations. But I'd also like to note that the Lagoon waters, Izembek Lagoon, below the mean high tide is actually State jurisdiction so if there is concern over damage to the resource and/or disturbance to wildlife the first step would be for the Refuge and the State to start having discussions and fielding some of those concerns and working through discussions between the State and the Refuge here to see where we go from here.

42 43 44

45

I don't know if I captured all your questions or if I answered all your questions, if not, please let me know.

46 47

48 MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair, this is 49 Katya.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go -- let's see, first, Coral, did she answer your questions.

2 4

5

6

7

9

MS. CHERNOFF: Not -- well, I guess it sounds like it's just kind of up in the air, open process, there are no numbers they're looking at exactly of birds taken. But if they got to be more concerned they would have to change the process to a limited process for game guides. I think that's what I understood.

10 11 12

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Okay.

13 Katya.

14

MS. WESSELS: Yes, I just wanted to let the Council know that Dave Crowley with ADF&G is online so he's able to answer any bear questions if the Council would like. Thank you.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

15

16

17

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay. Before we move to bear, can you -- does the impact of the amount of hunting days on birds contribute to more hunters going into the -- they're after basically the emperor goose and while they're there they are harvesting other birds because they have a daily limit, do you think there's possibly -- some of that impact coming from that at all.

27 28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

MS. FOSADO: Madame Chair, I'm not sure I completely understood the question. So we permit the commercial operation but we don't set any of the So as long as hunters are operating harvest limits. legally and following the regulations, getting the necessary permits -- I'm making the assumption that the regulations that are being -- the harvest regulations and the limits that are being set are essentially capturing the concern for specifically as you mentioned the emperor goose.

38 39 40

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you.

41 42 43

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair, another question relating around the non-residents.

44 45

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

46 47

Yeah, I was wondering MR. HOLMES: there, Maria, if does either Fish and Game or your shop do any monitoring of the number of sportfishermen

49 50

around there, or Cold Bay, because we've had some complaints about competition with non-residents sportfishing and difficulties with locals getting their food. I was just wondering if we've seen that big of an increase in guided hunters, if there's been a similar increase particularly at that time of the year in non-resident sportfishermen. And probably nobody has any data but I was just curious.

Thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat.

MS. FOSADO: Good afternoon, Madame Chair and members of the Board.d We do -- we do issue some sportfishing commercial permits. I don't have all the data available that I $\operatorname{--}$ I would need additional time and I could report back on client use days. as far as the concerns that have been discussed at past RAC meetings and some of the concerns that we field via phone calls here at the office, they seem to be revolving around the waterfowl, the commercial waterfowl guiding client use days. I haven't heard anything specific to commercial sportfishing, as far as guiding and Refuge issued permits.

MR. HOLMES: Thank you.

MR. LAWSON: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

 MR. LAWSON: Hi. This is Tyler Lawson with Fish and Game. In some related conversations with the Sportfish Division, they do have some harvest estimates for Cold Bay and I think Tyler Polum is out sick today but maybe tomorrow he'll be back in and he might be able to share some of those harvest numbers.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, where -- is Tyler online.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. LAWSON: Yes, were you able to hear me okay.

 46 $\,$ MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: No, go ahead and 47 repeat that please.

MR. LAWSON: Sure, sorry about that. So

```
0141
    I was just saying that related to some of the other
    conversations we've had with Cold Bay fishing, which
    I'll discuss more during my update, I know that
    Sportfish does have some harvest estimates for the
    sportfish harvest in Cold Bay waters, however, Tyler
    Polum, I believe is out sick today and he might,
 7
    hopefully, be back in the office tomorrow and he might
    be able to share some of those numbers with the RAC.
    So I know it's out there, I'm just not sure about
 9
10
    sharing that graph with you because he
                                                 has it
11
    currently.
12
13
                   MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, perfect,
14
    thank you.
15
16
                    MR. LAWSON: Uh-huh.
17
18
                    MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair....
19
20
                   MS. KRUEGER: Madame Chair, this is
21
    Kelly Krueger with Department of Fish and Game.
22
23
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, I had two
24
    people online so.
25
26
                    MS. KRUEGER: This is Kelly Krueger.
27
28
                    MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair....
29
30
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Let's start with
31
    Kelly, I caught her name.
32
33
                    MS. KRUEGER:
                                 Thank you.
                                               I am just
34
    going off of what Tyler Lawson was just saying. There
    are numbers online for the Cold Bay area under the
36
    statewide harvest survey and that data is available to
37
    the public on the Fish and Game website.
                                                 And it's
38
    updated as recently as 2020.
39
40
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you.
41
    And there was another lady on that was trying to speak
42
    at the same time.
43
44
                    MS. SKINNER: It was, it was Rebecca.
45
    I think I have two questions. One question is, in the
46
    report that we got from Izembek we do have data on
47
    client use days but I'm not seeing data on harvest of
48
    game birds. Is that correct that we don't have harvest
49
    data in the report?
```

MS. FOSADO: Madame Chair. Members of the Council. The only thing that we recorded was the client use days.

MS. SKINNER: Okay. And then this relates to my second question, and this is a bigger process question, because I feel like I haven't been directly involved in one of these, if there starts to be or if there is a big concern with the resource, and local subsistence users, or subsistence users access to an adequate amount of resource where -- how does that usually come to light, so the data for that? Is that something that normally the resource managers would alert the RAC to so we would have data that shows there's a resource issue and that maybe we need to go towards limiting it to a customary -- or, you know, a C&T, customary and traditional use, or does that usually come about based on feedback that the RAC has gotten from people in the community and then do we need to request specific data that would help show whether there's a resource problem or not? And so that is kind of a higher level question, again, because I realize I am not completely sure how this process is supposed to unfold.

Thank you.

(Pause)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Maria.

MS. FOSADO: Madame Chair. Members of the Council. This is Maria at Izembek.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead.

 MS. FOSADO: I would venture to say that that would be on a case by case basis. It could stem from internal conversations identifying potential impacts to the resources, or to wildlife, and then looking internally at our process and us starting to reach out to our partners and to our biologists to ensure that we have the data that we need to address some of the potential impacts. So it could start from in-house, from within Fish and Wildlife Service as far as our permits are concerned, and trying to assess if there are impacts occurring, what impacts are incurring and how to best mitigate for those impacts.

Or, Option B, as you mentioned, could be concerned members of the public reaching out to the RAC, as has been done I believe in the past.

I don't know if there is any one right answer, but I would venture to say it's on a case by case basis.

MS. SKINNER: Okay. And then just to confirm, based on the report, I didn't get the sense that Izembek itself has any concerns with the resources, was that a correct interpretation of the report because you did provide the graph on the client use days, is that raising a little bit of a red flag for you guys or is this just merely informational, the fact that it was included in the report?

MS. FOSADO: Madame Chair. Members of the Council. This is Maria. It's both. It's an informa -- I know that this subject has come up at historic -- at the past couple RAC meetings so I wanted to be transparent in the information that we're providing. So we -- it is some -- it is an issue that we are keeping an eye on, we are trying to collect the data as best as we can and starting to have some of those internal conversations. I believe -- well, I don't know if this was shared at the last Regional Advisory Council meeting, I can't recall, but we have had a few conversations with our State partners but nothing that has really -- it's just initial conversations I guess is where we would be at.

MS. SKINNER: Okay. All right, thank you for that context. Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rebecca.

MR. HOLMES: Madame Chair.

MS. CHERNOFF: This is Coral.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, Pat.

MR. HOLMES: Okay, do Coral first.

47 MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Pat and then

48 Coral.

MR. HOLMES: Okay. Probably Coral is going to hit on the same thing. Because of the Covid I haven't made as many of the AMBCC meetings as I would like to, but what I've heard is the numbers of emperor geese are down, over -- statewide, and so the overall management of that is raising questions, and I may be wrong, but -- so I'm glad to hear, Maria, that you folks are starting to think about it and looking at towards what information is available because Izembek is definitely one of the major places for emperor geese to stop and have a little snack before they head this way or go up into the Western Alaska. So thank you very much for starting to think about that.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Coral.

MS. CHERNOFF: Yes. So I guess I would suggest -- I guess what I would like to see, Della, for us for our next meeting, I don't know where we would get this information but the bird game guides, when you're a guide I believe you have to fill out some paperwork for your clients, and send it into the State, and I'm wondering if at our next meeting we could get our information for the guides in our area, like Kodiak, Izembek, and if we could get the bird numbers, because we've never seen those and I think that would make a difference. Even though we're not -- we don't deal with migratory birds, that's a separate thing, we are, you know, we're very much involved in it in our subsistence lifestyles, and so I would like to see our Councils get those numbers if we can at our next meeting.

And to me, that's pretty startling, the number of use days, how much it has increased and somehow there needs to be an actual conversation started, to go from less than 300 client days to over 800 in two years and then who knows where it's going to go next year and next year. So I think we should definitely get some numbers in front of us and start talking about this.

And that's all I have.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Coral. I think a lot of very good points brought forward. Any other comments in regard to this for Izembek.

```
0145
 1
                    (No comments)
 2
 3
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Appreciate your
 4
    report and your time, Maria.
 5
 6
                    MR. BURCH: Madame Chair, this is Mark
 7
    Burch.
 8
 9
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Mark.
10
11
                    MR. BURCH: Yeah, I just wondered if
12
    you wanted to get back to Dave Crowley, the bear
13
    biologist, since he is for that part of the state,
14
    since he is on the line.
15
16
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           Yeah,
                                                   yeah, I
17
    agree, let's go ahead and do that, thank you.
18
19
                    (Pause)
20
21
                    MR. CROWLEY: Hello, Madame Chair, good
22
    afternoon. This is Dave Crowley, can you hear me okay.
23
24
                    UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:
                                            Yes.
                                                    Della,
25
    stepped out for just a second but she will be right
26
    back, she had to run to the bathroom real quick.
27
    Sorry.
28
29
                    MR. CROWLEY: Okay, thanks, I'll be
30
    here.
31
32
                    (Pause)
33
34
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: This is Della,
35
    I'm back here. I had to run and get something.
36
37
                    MS. WESSELS:
                                    Della, we have Dave
    Crowley online, he's available to talk, we were just
38
39
    waiting on you.
40
41
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                           Okay, yeah, I'm
42
    here.
            I heard him start but I had to step out, so,
43
    yeah, go ahead.
44
45
                    MR. CROWLEY:
                                  Okay. Good afternoon,
46
    Madame Chair and Committee members.
                                             This is Dave
47
    Crowley, Alaska Department of Fish and Game. I'm the
48
    Area Biologist out of King Salmon. I understand there
49
    were some questions. Were there some
                                                  specific
```

questions on bears down in Unit 9E or 9E?

MR. BURCH: This is Mark Burch -- oh, go ahead.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Mark.

MR. BURCH: Since Dave was not online I was just going to try and summarize. I think I heard the question, about the affect of the pandemic on the hunting pressure and harvest and overall population. Whoever asked that question in the first place might want to clarify it.

MS. SKINNER: Madame Chair, this is Rebecca. I have another question if we don't get clarification on that other question.

(Laughter)

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rebecca. I'm sorry, I'm in the office and sometimes I have to stop and deal with a couple things but I think we're good now so go ahead.

SKINNER: Okay. Yeah, and then MS. whoever did have the original questions this may give them more time to think about it. But since we do have the bear biologist for the $\ensuremath{\text{--}}$ that would cover the area that's in the Izembek report here, I was wondering as far as the lower numbers of sows with cubs, so for example the Unimak brown bear counts between '20 and '21 there was a 42 percent decrease in sows with cubs, is that a big decrease, I mean is that an alarming number or is it just normal, sometimes, that's how much they decrease. And then if it is alarming or it is significant, do we know, do we have any sense of why there was that decrease.

MR. CROWLEY: Yeah, Madame Chair. I have not seen the recent data from Izembek, I'm assuming you're referring to their surveys of Unimak Island. And those surveys can vary quite a bit from year to year because they're not repeated. Normally when we do bear composition surveys we do repeated surveys during the week, we'll survey the same thing as many as, you know, four or five times, and pool that data because it can vary so much day to day. And so with the data from Izembek and Unimak Island, I kind

of, you know, take a long range look at those surveys and it could be that their survey this year jumps right back up to a normal level. So a decline in one or two years in the number of cubs doesn't really concern me much.

From what we've seen on our ungulate surveys -- we haven't gotten down to Cold Bay since, oh, I think a parturition survey a couple years ago, or a composition survey a couple years ago but the cubs were coming back. We actually did see -- I don't think in 9D or Unimak, but elsewhere in Unit 9 we saw a decline in cubs during our ungulate surveys but boy they've come screaming back at least in Units C and E. We haven't spent as much time down in 9D yet or Unimak but -- so, yeah, short answer, no, that wouldn't concern me from one year to the next, but if it was a longer term decline, if we saw that over, you know, three, four, five years then I'd be concerned.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ SKINNER: Okay, thanks. Yeah, that context was exactly what I was looking for. Thank you, Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Rebecca. Thank you.

MR. KOSO: Madame Chair.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Rick.

MR. KOSO: Yeah, no, that answered my question too on Unimak and 9D area. It doesn't sound like they're too concerned about it. Like I said, my concern was this three and a half percent decline, so I think I got my answer from them, they don't seem very concerned about it so I guess there's nothing to worry about as far as that goes, so thank you.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Do we see the State -- does the State report on the bear part of this reporting process for our meetings, or can we get that in the future?

MR. BURCH: Madame Chair, this is Mark Burch.

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Mark.

1 2 3

7

10

11

12

13

14

MR. BURCH: Ι would just say in general, of course, the Department of Fish and Game has a place on theagenda for agency reports. In the case -if we have population level concerns we'll sometimes initiate a report to bring that to your attention but often it turns out to be by request. So if you have concerns about a population and want to let us know what those concerns are and would like to have that added to the agenda, you know, a situation where we could of course prepare ahead of time and line up the biologist, we're happy to do that. But we wouldn't normally present on bears on Unimak, for instance, at every meeting. Hopefully that makes sense, thanks.

15 16 17

18

20 21

22

23

24

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah. Well, I guess I'm looking at more the, you know, how you sometimes do the joint survey on caribou, I think in this case you most likely don't do a joint survey on bear but it's just that when those reports are available, I guess it's nice to be able to see what they are. But at this particular point it doesn't sound like there's a concern on anything, it was just a question.

252627

Okay, any other comments or questions.

28 29

(No comments)

30 31

32

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{MADAME}}$$ CHAIR TRUMBLE: I think hearing none, do we want to go ahead -- thank you, everyone, on the Izembek, reports.

33 34 35

MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair.

36 37

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Katya.

38 39

MS. WESSELS: Yes, we have Steve Delehanty with Maritime National Wildlife Refuge online if you would like to return to Maritime now.

41 42 43

44

40

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you. Steve, do you want to go ahead with Maritime National Wildlife Refuge winter report.

45 46 47

48

MR. DELEHANTY: Yes, thank you very much for the opportunity. I just want to make sure that you have a copy of the report to the Council

0149
1 members and can hear me all right.

2

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yes, we can hear you well and it is Tab No. 6 in your binder.

4 5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

MR. DELEHANTY: Perfect. Thank you, So I wanted to -- we spent, as so many very much. people have, a lot of time worrying about Covid and being safe and keeping your communities safe and that remains our focus. But we are planning a more active field season, summer season coming up. So last year, if you perhaps remember from our fall report, we did all of our work that was ship-based departing from Homer only, and arriving Homer. So basically we did big giant long, six, eight weeks long sweeps around and would return to Homer, we did not stop in Adak or Unalaska or Sand Point or Cold Bay or any place else and we didn't fly anybody anywhere. This year we are hoping to stay safe and conservative but to have some ports of call other than Homer, particularly once we have our field camps dropped off in the spring. will be in Adak and Unalaska, for example.

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

We are doing, as you can see from that first topic, Snow On The Ground, Summer on Our Minds, we're doing a lot of planning trying to figure out how to get as much done as we can with what everybody is saying, which is not enough resources to be able to do the work, so we're having a hard time finding people to get the projects done and we're -- but we're excited about our plans. And it includes, I'm happy to say, besides our normal biological monitoring and oversight of the Refuge management issues, we are hoping this year, and are planning this year to reengage in person with the youth culture in camp science and camps and we -- in recent years, traditionally, pre-Covid, have had active participation in the Pribilof Islands as well as Sand Point and Unalaska so we hope to do that this year as well. We're working a with Maria a little bit to try and figure out the best way to help support the Sand Point camp. We expect to receive some money for that and invest some Staff time in that.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

We also are planning on a youth hiring program that we've done for quite a few years now. It's complicated. And it's particularly complicated now doing it safely and smartly but we've been really pleased and proud of the program in the past where we've been able to take young people from remote

communities and bring them together with Refuge Staff and have them experience biological field work and ship operations and just sort of how we do things, they typically get a short trip, a week or so aboard the Tiglax, so we're hoping for that again this year. With all of this we're reaching out to the communities and making sure that we're welcome, but so far we've gotten nothing but positive information from communities, they'd be glad to have us back and participating more actively.

I know I heard Rick on earlier, he knows this already, but we are -- we do have a meeting planned with Rick and Dave Crowley and the Aleut Corporation and myself to talk about the Adak caribou issue. So I don't have any new information for you other than we have a meeting date and time set up to have that discussion about caribou in Adak and hear what people have to say.

We continue our work, moving down in Just -- I believe it the report, on invasive species. was last week or the week before, we did a rather intensive plant species workshop so that's something that we have never ever dealt with effectively at Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge, we're pretty famous for our work removing introduced foxes from islands and rats and things like that but we've tended to not do much with plants, if anything. And so we brought some plant experts and others to just kind of go through an evaluation and figure out which are the most difficult plants and which islands should we -you know, how should we be looking at this. We really haven't made any decisions yet but we hope it will help us figure out where we can best make an investment and do good work for plant, you know, invasive plants, either eradication or controlled. So that is going on actively right now.

And then as you know we maintain this vision for a rat free Aleutians some day. That full implementation of that vision is a long ways out and I may not live to see it but every journey starts with a single step. So we are continuing planning to look at the feasibility of possible eradication on Great Sitkin Island most intensely but we're also looking at Amchitka and Kiska and Attu just in kind of more of conceptual desktop exercise. But it was delayed because of Covid so we will be going out there this

0151 1 summer and.... 2 3 (Teleconference interference 4 participants not muted) 5 6 REPORTER: Okay, hang on Dave. Dave. 7 Hang -- or, no, not Dave, Steve. I'm sorry, Steve. Steve, hold on, could you hold on just a moment. Could 8 everybody check their lines and make sure they're 9 10 muted, I have a lot of background noise and I cannot 11 hear him talking right now, it's not picking up on the 12 recorder. 13 14 MR. DELEHANTY: Thank you. Just let me 15 know when you'd like me to proceed. 16 17 REPORTER: Okay, go ahead, I think 18 somebody muted. Sorry to interrupt you. 19 20 MR. DELEHANTY: Oh, that's fine, thank 21 you. So we are planning on some field work on Great 22 Island this summer. And that relates to 23 studying the -- not only looking to figure out how, like for example, how high up elevation rats live on 24 25 the island but also things like how quickly the bait 26 breaks down in the real environment out there. We're 27 not actually putting any poison on the island but using 28 the little kernels, the grain-based products that would 29 be very similar to what some day could be -- could 30 include the toxicant, the chemical in it, but for this 31 year there's no chemical or anything it's just the 32 grain-based bait trying to figure out what happens in 33 the aquatic environment, invertebrate take and things 34 like that and -- and there's also parallel work being 35 done in a lab to understand the effects on salmon of 36 this particular pesticide that likely would get used in 37 a future project. 38 39 So that work is going on and we're 40 excited to be going out and interacting with some communities again this summer. And really that's all I 41 42 wanted to report on but I'd be happy to take any questions and thank you, as always, for the work that 44 you all do to help take care of this wonderful 45 landscape out here. 46 47 Thanks. 48

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you. Any

49

0152 1 comments or questions.

2

MR. HOLMES: Pat.

4 5

MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Go ahead, Pat.

6 7

8

9

10

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I'm sorry there, I was having to deal with something else on a different phone but you were mentioning something about rats and I lost you and then pesticides and salmon, I just wonder if you could briefly repeat that.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

MR. DELEHANTY: Yes, hi Pat. So as part of our feasibility project to learn whether or not it's really possible to try and eradicate rats from Great Sitkin Island in the Aleutians we've got kind of a two-part research going on that the U.S. Department of Agriculture is doing for us. Part one laboratory-based, so they're studying the effects of rodenticide (ph) on salmon because the islands that we're looking at, like Great Sitkin and Attu, for example, have salmon runs on them and so we want to understand what, if any, what the impact on those fish. And then the second part of the research is actually on the island will be occurring this late summer this year, which is examining how non-toxic, just grain pellets that resemble the grain-pellets that some day could contain the rodenticide, how those break down and move through the, particularly the aquatic food chain, so that research is going on this summer on Great Sitkin Island.

31 32 33

Yeah, and I think that's it if that answers your question.

343536

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

MR. HOLMES: Yeah, thank you very much. I did survey the Chain in '82 and looked at a lot of those streams and have followed your rat attempts at different places and I did, at one point, in the '80s there, get -- I forget where they were working, but one of the golden king crab fishermen that was working out there, said they noticed some -- several dead eagles and so I think you're finding your way of distributing rodenticide is going to be an important thing, particularly the question of using pellets and whether or not that might get into the stream is certainly a good thing to check out before you do that. And I'm glad that, hopefully things will loosen up for you were really folks, I know my friends at Atka

```
0153
    appreciative of the trip you folks took them out to
    Attu to get grass and I finally was able to afford to
    buy an Attu basket made by an Atkan lady with Attu
    grass for my wife for Christmas last year. So anyway
    that helped -- that was good for the folks there, not
    only for their culture but for their own personal
 7
    income. So thanks a lot for all your hard work, bye.
 8
 9
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Thank you, Pat.
10
    Any other comments or questions for Steve.
11
12
                    (No comments)
13
14
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, hearing
15
    none, thank you for your report.
16
17
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Madame Chair.
18
19
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Oh, I'm sorry,
20
    go ahead, Coral.
21
22
                    MS. CHERNOFF: Yeah, I quess I just
23
    wanted to -- I don't know at this point, about putting
    my two cents in worth, but I don't think that any sorts
24
25
     of poisons or toxins are ever a great idea to put into
26
    the environment but I don't know what we have to say
27
     about that but that's my comment.
28
29
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you.
30
    Okay, Council, I think we're -- the next item is the
31
    Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge, my question to you is
32
    do you want to continue on today and do this or do you
33
    want to do it the first thing in the morning.
34
35
                    MR. HOLMES: Morning would be fine for
36
    me.
37
38
                    MR. KOSO: Yeah, morning's fine for me,
39
     Della, I'm going to have to check out right now.
40
41
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Okay.
42
43
                    MR. PRICE: Yeah, and for me too.
44
45
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE:
                                               Okay,
46
    works, we don't have a whole lot left on this agenda so
47
     I think we'll be fine. So 8:30 in the morning.
48
```

MR. KOSO: Was that 8:30.

49

```
0154
 1
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: Yeah, 8:30.
 2
 3
                    MR. KOSO: Okay.
 4
 5
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: And we will talk
 6
   to you all then, have a very good evening everybody and
 7
    thank you all for the reports and work today.
8
9
                    MS. HAYDEN: Thanks Della.
10
11
                    MR. ROHRER: Have a good evening,
12
    thanks.
13
14
                    MR. PRICE: Thanks, Della.
15
                    MADAME CHAIR TRUMBLE: You too, have a
16
17
    good evening, bye.
18
19
                     (Off record)
20
21
                  (PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED)
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

)155	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
4)ss.
5	STATE OF ALASKA)
6	
7	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
8	state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
9	Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
0 1	THAT the foregoing pages numbered 02 through
2	contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
z 3	KODIAK/ALEUTIANS FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY
<i>3</i>	COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME I electronically on the 22nd
- 5	day of February 2022;
6	day of rebluary 2022,
7	THAT the transcript is a true and
8	correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
9	thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
О	reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
1	ability;
2	
3	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
4	party interested in any way in this action.
5	
6	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 14th
7	day of March 2022.
8	
9	
0 1	Salena A. Hile
2	Notary Public, State of Alaska
3	My Commission Expires: 09/16/22
<i>3</i>	my Commitssion Expires. 09/10/22
5	
6	
7	
3	
9	
О	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
0	