NORTH SLOPE SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL

11/5/2020

PUBLIC MEETING

VOLUME II

TELECONFERENCE - ALASKA November 5, 2020 9:00 a.m.

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Gordon Brower, Chair William Hopson Wanda Kippi Steve Oomittuk Edward Rexford Peter Williams

Regional Council Coordinator, Eva Patton

Recorded and transcribed by:

Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC 135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2 Anchorage, AK 99501 907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net

Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

PROCEEDINGS

(Teleconference - 11/5/2020)

(On record)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Well, good morning. I'll go ahead and call the North Slope Regional Subsistence Advisory Council back to order. We recessed yesterday to reconvene to take up the remainder of the agenda items.

 Every morning public comments are welcome for each agenda item and for regional concerns not included on the agenda. The Council appreciates hearing your concerns and knowledge. So it's important to -- and maybe the public and tribal comments on nonagenda items I'd like to make sure that we provide those opportunities for the public and the tribal comments on nonagenda items each morning and we'll recognize the tribes.

So yesterday we went through the agenda and I think we were down to agency reports I believe or were we still on item 11 under new business. I'm trying to get reoriented where we left off yesterday.

MS. PATTON: Good morning, Gordon. This is Eva. So we had just started the discussion under 11-B on page 2 of your meeting books. And that's the 2022 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. We had just heard from FRMP researchers in the region and I believe Brendan Scanlon was about to speak right at lunch break there. And then Hannah Voorhees and Karen Hyer, the North Slope fisheries biologist and anthropologist will address the Council on previous projects funded in the region and then walk through discussion with the Council on what you see as needs for subsistence fisheries research in the North Slope region that should be included in the call for proposals.

 $$\operatorname{So}\ I$$ think Hannah is up here to take over and we'll go from there.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And just before Hannah comes on, Eva, I just want to see where we are with action items that need to have a quorum to -- for action items. And are any of these that we need to go

ahead and reestablish quorum or can we go ahead and move forward on the agenda just to get out of recess and restart the meeting?

MS. PATTON: Sure. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chair. So the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program we are looking for the Council's recommendation on what those research priorities should be. It's not as critical to have a quorum for a vote on that. We've had previous discussions with the Council to begin developing those and can further develop that in discussion with the Council that we have. So we do have four Council members on right now and William was going to be joining us shortly. I know Wanda had anticipated being on this morning. So we can proceed with the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program.

2.2

 We have a couple action items in terms of the annual report. And again that's recommendations from the Council and if we don't have full quorum for that vote those recommendations are still part of the record and the discussion here.

And then the National Park Service subsistence permits for individual customary and traditional use determination. Marcy Okada will be presenting those. And that one is an action item. The Board is seeking formal recommendation from all 10 Councils on that. So we would want a quorum to take action on that one.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. With that, Wanda, are you online yet.

(No comments)

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Eva, in the absence of Wanda can you do a roll call real quick and I believe we do have a quorum established with the majority online.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Gordon Brower, Utqiagvik.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning. I'm

Roll call.

join in the discussion.

2 3

Thank you.

4 5

6

7

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. I appreciate that. And so we'll go ahead and continue with item B, 11-B, Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program and go ahead and continue from yesterday.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

MS. HYER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and Council members. This is Karen Hyer with the Office of Subsistence Management. And I think Brendan is on the line and he's going to do his presentation and then Hannah is going to take over and walk us through the PINs.

15 16 17

So, Brendan, are you there?

18 19

MR. SCANLON: Good morning, Karen. I'm

20 here.

21 2.2

23

24 25

26

27

MS. HYER: Good morning. And, Mr. Chairman and Council members, you received some information in the mail. And one of those is a handout from Brendan and it has a couple maps and it's the Colville grayling. So if you could take a minute and find that in your materials, I think that would assist you in his presentation.

28 29 30

And then I'm going to turn the floor over to Brendan when he's ready.

31 32 33

MR. SCANLON: Thank you, Karen. you folks able to find the materials I sent up?

34 35 36

37

38

39

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I think I have. a report from ADF&G on Sportfish Division, Brendan Scanlon, Northwest/North Slope area biologist, Fairbanks. Update of OSM FRMP project FIS 18-100. Is that the one?

40 41 42

MR. SCANLON: Great. Yeah, that's it, Gordon. Thank you.

43 44 45

46

47

48

So once again my name is Brendan I'm the Northwest and North Slope area Scanlon. fisheries biologist for Fish and Game. And I've been to a few of these meetings, you've probably seen my presentations on some of the dolly varden char work

we've done and lake trout work we did over on Chandler Lake as part of the FRMP. And today's project I'm going to talk about, the one we're doing over on the Colville River, this was to address a priority information need regarding the health of the Arctic grayling subsistence fishery for residents of Nuigsut.

11/5/2020

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

2

3 4

5

6

And we designed this project to be a radio telemetry project so we radio tagged a bunch of grayling in two different spots. We designed this to have two tagging events. We deployed 116 transmitters in graylings upstream of the village of Nuigsut and several of the tributaries kind of around near Umiat. On that first map you can see the white circles with the numbers, those are the locations and numbers of tags we put out in each location. And you can see we went as far up as the Nanushuk River. And we've tried to put these tags out in sort of relative abundance when we found them. That's why there's so many tags in the Anaktuvuk and just a couple in the Tulugak Creek. The Anaktuvuk is a really big river up there, there's tons of graylings. So we tried to spread the tags out in sort of the relative abundance that we found them in. And one thing we know from Arctic grayling life history from projects we've done on the Seward Peninsula and in the Interior is that they like the char they'll overwinter in lower rivers where's there deep liquid water and they don't move very much and then in the spring they'll -- they'll move up and spawn in sort of the middle reaches and then as the summer comes and ice melts and everything warms up they'll move pretty far up into these creeks to feed on some of the bigger insects that are found at the very top.

34 35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50 So we deployed our 100 transmitters upstream in the summer when they were in their feeding areas and we went back to Nuiqsut in the wintertime, hired a great guy name Jonas to take us around and we put out 52 radio tags just upstream of the village where people were fishing through the ice. And so the idea was we'd like to see how far upstream the fish that were tagged in Nuiqsut go in the summer and if the fish that were tagged in the stream in the summer came down close enough to Nuiqsut to be vulnerable to the fishery. So we put all these tags out and then the next summer we did several flights. I did include one of the maps from one of the flights, the second map figure two, and its locations of fish that were located

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

Page 70

on August 11 of 2020. The fish in the white circles, those are the fish that were tagged in the summertime in the upstream reaches and the ones in black are the ones that are all tagged in Nuiqsut. And the -- kind of the big take home here is that the Aichilik appears to be far and away the most important source of grayling for the fishers in Nuiqsut in the wintertime. We only tagged just a handful of grayling in the Aichilik in the summertime, but most all the grayling that were tagged through the ice in Nuiqsut went into the Aichilik River. The Anaktuvuk River is a much bigger river, there's a lot more grayling, but it appears that in the wintertime they just don't drop down far enough close to the village to be vulnerable to very much fishing.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28 29

30

31

So now that the Aichilik is the largest source of graylings for the subsistence fishery in (indiscernible - distortion). Tags that will turn on and off. Grayling are really small so we can't use a very large transmitter and so to save battery life and since the fish don't move in the wintertime we go ahead and program the tags to shut off until March. And so we'll conduct four or five more flights throughout the spring and summer and we'll be finished with our tracking by the end of August and we'll have a final report by next (indiscernible - distortion). It appears by the size of the fish, the catch rate and just the locations where we found grayling, they were just all over the place, it appears right now that the population of grayling is healthy and that the fishery appears to be sustainable.

32 33 34

So that's what we know so far.

35 36

I'm happy to take any questions if you

have any.

37 38 39

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any questions for -- is it Brendan?

40 41 42

(No comments)

43 44 45

46

47

48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Interesting study. So the first map where it says Nuiqsut 52 that were tagged, my guess is 52 grayling right at Nuiqsut there and then the white ones are those not tagged right at Nuiqsut and then distribution is on the next page of those radio telemetry work that are in the fish and it

looks like all those black dots are lined up in the Aichilik River there. And it looks like a pretty cool study. I've seen how the distribution pattern goes.

3 4 5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

2

MR. SCANLON: Yeah, Mr. Brower, that's absolutely right. Those 52 tags all went out in about a day and a half. Fishing was really good through the ice in Nuigsut with the help of Jonas and some of his friends. The tags that are located downstream of Nuigsut on the Delta, I imagine those fish are dead just because there's going to be some saltwater mixing with that. Those might have drifted down. But yeah, it appears that if there ever is a problem with the grayling fishery in Nuigsut that the Aichilik would be the first place to look for problems. But right now everything seems to be good and healthy just based on numbers of fish and size composition.

17 18 19

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. questions for Brendan from the Council.

20 21 2.2

MR. REXFORD: This is Edward, Kaktovik.

23 24

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Edward.

25 26

27

28

MR. REXFORD: The -- with the 116 transmitters that you deployed, is there -- do you guys retrieve them transmitters after the studies are done permanently?

29 30 31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

MR. SCANLON: So these fish were surgically implanted. We anesthetize them using a -kind of a synthetic clove oil mix called Aquiesse and we revive them before we release them to make sure they're still alive, but, you know, these tags are implanted, they're sewn up, their sutures are held together by something called Dep Bond. These fish might live several more years even after the tags have quit working. But if you catch a tagged fish it'll have our contact information on it and you could send it to us, we'll send you a nice little -- maybe a small reward and a nice letter saying thank you and give you a little (indiscernible - distortion) fish.

43 44 45

46

Okay. One of the -- is MR. REXFORD: the transmitters hazardous to other animals if they ingest the fish?

47 48

No, if a bear chewed one MR. SCANLON:

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10 Page 72

up he might get a little bit of glass and copper in him, but on the occasions when other fish eat radio tagged fish they seem to pass the tag. It's really smooth, it's encased in kind of like a acrylic glass material, it's real smooth and they just seem to poop it out later. But we did have one burbot eat one of these tags, one of these tagged fish before it -- the fish appeared fine. So it happens in nature quite a bit, fish -- little fish get eaten by bigger fish and I'm not aware of any problems with tags causing health problem.

11/5/2020

11 12 13

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. I got a question. Edward, were you done or.....

14 15 16

MR. REXFORD: Thanks.

17 18

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Edward, were you done with your questions?

19 20 21

MR. REXFORD: Yes.

2.2 23

> 24 25

> 26

27

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I do have one concern and it may be just an issue. If a larger fish eats one of those tagged fish does the transmitter keep working and you're now following a predator that has eaten one of those fishes now?

28 29

MR. SCANLON: That -- that's a good question. I think that's happened, but there's an antenna that sticks out that's about eight inches long and that generally needs to be outside the fish's body wall for us to be able to detect it from a tracking station or an airplane. So fish sitting in the belly, we probably couldn't get a location, but if for some reason if the tag was -- antenna was sticking out of the mouth or the rear end, yeah, we might be able to do that. And generally the antenna needs to be outside the body wall of the fish.

39 40 41

Thank you.

42 43

44

45

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. wanted to make sure that all of the black dots on the Aichilik River weren't burbot that had eaten all those fish.

46 47 48

Any other questions to Brendan from the

49 50 Council.

```
Page 73
                     (No comments)
 1
 2
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: And maybe.....
 3
 4
 5
                     MR. HOPSON: Gordon.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Yeah, go ahead.
 8
 9
                     MR. HOPSON: Yeah, hi. William here.
10
     Do they have any plans to do any new species study, you
11
     know, like for Barrow area. Basically all the
     villages, what are we getting and how fast are they
12
     going to become an invasive species to upset our
13
     natural grayling and whitefish species that we subsist
14
15
     on?
16
                     Thank you.
17
18
19
                     MR. SCANLON: Thank you for the
     question. I'm not familiar of any invasives right now
20
     on the North Slope in freshwater. I know salmon appear
21
2.2
     to be showing up more and more, in fact during this
     study near the mouth of Tulugak Creek which is on the
23
     Anaktuvuk River, was actually counting dolly varden
24
25
     char from the air. I saw maybe a couple hundred chum
     salmon in a big pool that looked like they were getting
26
     ready to spawn. We also so -- we caught a coho salmon
27
     in the Anaktuvuk River. Over on the Aichilik we saw
28
29
     sockeves and some chum salmon.
30
                     I know at these previous RAC meetings
31
     there's been some concern about fish in some of the
32
     lakes just south of town. And I didn't have any
33
34
     information on that, but I did talk to Carmen Daggett
35
     who talked to Geoff Carroll and I think Todd Sformo a
     little bit about some of the concerns people have about
36
     whitefish catches and sizes of fish. And they might
37
     have some more information. But we don't have anything
38
     else currently planned for the North Slope, but
39
     certainly that's why -- one reason I'm calling in to
40
     listen for any other priority information needs or
41
     answer any questions people have and maybe we can come
42
     up with a legitimate concern that needs to be
43
     investigated and turn that into an FRMP funded project.
44
45
                     Thank you.
46
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       In terms of other
     studies and, you know, I -- I'm hearing from Nuiqsut
49
50
```

some of the whitefish that are caught again this year in their -- during their (in Native) season the Arctic cisco's -- heard that one's going pretty good. I got some fish sent to me. And but from some of the fishermen that they're getting the moldy whitefish again. Is there any effort by ADF&G to assess the concerns raised by Nuigsut on the whitefish?

MR. SCANLON: Mr Brower, I don't think there's anything active that the Fish and Game is doing, but I know the North Slope Borough Wildlife Department has a pretty rigorous whitefish program and maybe if Todd Sformo's on the line he could answer some of these questions. But he's been looking at this quite a bit and I believe he got some money to look at some of these fish and also to look at water temperature. These -- this mold is probably something called saprolegnia, it's a water mold, it's pretty ubiquitous and it's very important in the breakdown of organic material, but it attacks weakened fish that either have lost some of their slime or have a wound, but it shows up a lot more when the water gets warm.

But I don't think the Department has any whitefish studies going on up there, but I have talked to the North Slope Borough Wildlife Department before about their whitefish program so hopefully Todd and North Slope Borough can better answer this question.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you.

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair, this is

36 Steve.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Steve, from

Point Hope.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah. Just out of curiosity do you know of any other studies within the Chukchi Sea or the Bering Sea or around Point Hope on fish. I know that they, you know, when they were doing some sort of study in the inlet 12 years back that there was a whole bunch of different species in the inlet. And that, you know, we were pretty surprised on the amount of species that come into our inlet. We're only so used to catching certain type of fish. We

Page 75

never did fish in the inlet, but we always go to the river or the ocean, mostly our ocean. You now, we used to fish mainly for char, like I said in the past that, you know, in the old days you -- when we had dog teams a lot of our humpies went for the dogs but now we see a whole bunch of store salmon that were catch and they're catching some king salmon. You know, the king salmons used to be further out in the ocean, but now they're coming right to the edge, you know, how we set our nets right on the edge of the ocean and sit and wait for the fish to hit and scoop them up. And, you know, we used to scoop like 30, 40 fish at a time, you know, go home with a sackful of char, but now we're getting a whole -- you know, back then we use a smaller mesh, but nowadays they're using a bigger mesh to let the smaller fish go through. We know we get a lot of herring, but not too many people fish for herring.

But I -- you know, we notice some ships south of Point Hope that stay out in the water for a week -- week at a time and they're about three miles out. And we were just wondering if you know of any studies that are being done by Department of Fish and Game that -- looking at the waters and seeing what species are out there. We've had a lot of concerns on the different vessels that we can't recognize or don't know what kind of studies are being out there in the ocean if you know anything about that?

 MR. SCANLON: Sure. I don't know a ton about what they're doing in the ocean. They do have a juvenile salmon trawl survey that goes up to the Chukchi Sea, I don't think they go much past Shishmaref. And they are primarily looking for the relative abundance of juvenile chinook and chum salmon.

But I do know in the Kukpuk River there near Point Hope we had some of our radio tagged dolly varden char from the Noatak River get recaptured by folks from Point Hope in the Kukpuk. So that's interesting, that's a little bit of a swim to go up the coast like that. And there's probably some fish that — from the Kukpuk that will overwinter in the Wulik River. The Wulik River has some years over 200,000 dolly varden that overwinter there and most of those are not spawners from the Wulik.

And in the Wulik River near Kivalina just this year they're -- they saw lots and lots of

pink salmon. So when they did their surveys for dolly varden char in the fall they saw a whole lot of dead pinks that had spawned out. And they caught a lot of pinks in the commercial fishery near Kotzebue. It was a huge pink year in Norton Sound so certainly it's not surprising that a lot more pink salmon are showing up as well as chum salmon.

And offshore we did satellite/tag some dolly varden in the Wulik River at Kivalina and several of those fish swan over to the Chukchi Sea about 500 miles west of Kivalina and were feeding off the Siberian coastline. And I suspect that the dolly varden that are in the Kukpuk River are part of the --kind of meta population, they're part of the same group of fish, that the Noatak and Kobuk and Kivalina and some Norton Sound fish all move around in the ocean together.

2.2.

So we don't know much about the Kukpuk itself. I did fly over it once looking for radio tagged fish since we did have one -- have two get caught there, but they haven't been on the ground on the river there to my knowledge not since I've been here. But it -- it's certainly something that's really interesting and I'd be glad to talk to you more about it and ask you a bunch of questions because I just don't know.

Thank you.

MS. KIPPI: Good morning.

MR. SFORMO: Mr. Chair, this is Todd

Sformo.

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you.

MS. KIPPI: Good morning, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning. Before I acknowledge you, Todd, I think I heard Wanda chime in. I just wanted to make sure we acknowledge our Council members when they chime in.

MS. KIPPI: Good morning, Mr. Chair. Thank you. I've been on here for over -- about eight minutes. I didn't want to interrupt. I've been -- I was sitting here with my babies. I had to put them

 Page 77

back to sleep. Sorry about that.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. We -- glad you made it and just want to make sure for the record that Wanda is on.

Go ahead, Todd.

MR. SFORMO: Thank you. Yeah, I can mention a -- or talk about a few things that's just come up. So concerning the whitefish in the Colville, we -- you know, we do have a program, we are trying to actively collect fish, both healthy and fish with the mold on it. It's been a little bit difficult especially this year with the covid restrictions to get there. So I haven't been able to get there this year. Right now we do have individuals, individual fishermen, who will be sending me some fish so that we can examine those for this year as well as ABR through their work with Conoco. So they've also collected some whitefish that have the mold on them this year and they should be sending it any day.

The thing with temperature that's kind of interesting is that in 2018 when our Department -- when the Wildlife Department went to Nuiqsut one thing that was requested was an experiment to look at temperature and salinity affect on mold growth. And so I've conducted an experiment and we are just waiting for one -- a few coauthors to make their final comments on that paper. But what's interesting is that the -- as you would expect temperature increases the growth rate of this mold, but until the end of July of this year no one has seen the mold on these fish at that time of the year during the warmest water conditions.

So unfortunately we didn't have photographic evidence of a single fish that has -- that has the mold on it at the end of July. So that's the first kind of new piece of information that we received this -- this summer. And unfortunately the -- I guess the fishermen didn't save the fish and so we don't have a -- can't really examine it.

And concerning Point Hope, we had conducted a few surveys, fish surveys in the inlet in 2018 and '19. We were obviously hoping to go this summer, but we -- you know, we couldn't make it. So we have looked at a number of fish and we've collected

everything from let's see, ferry flounder, saffron cod, rainbow smelt, tarm smelt, salmon, least cisco, Bering cisco, Arctic flounder. So there is a huge range of fish within the -- within the lagoon and outside of Point Hope. And we're hoping to do more river work. We've gone up there twice, but it's just -- we're still trying to get a feel for this, but we've had really good help from individual fisherman and in Point Hope so that's helped guide us.

11/5/2020

And then finally also working with ICAS and the Native Village of Point Hope we've put together a tribal wildlife grant that's been submitted to the U.S., you know, Fish and Wildlife Service. So we're still waiting to hear about whether we'll be funded for that grant.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Todd. Any questions for Todd.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. We'll continue on with item B for the fisheries.

MS. VOORHEES: Yes, hello, Mr. Chair, members of the Council. This is Hannah Voorhees, I'm an anthropologist at Office of Subsistence Management. And today I'm working with Karen Hyer, a fisheries biologist at OSM. And I'm going to review the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program with you and then we'll work to develop and approve the 2022 priority information needs. The materials I am discussing begin on page 35 of your books.

So just a reminder that priority information needs which are also known as PINs for short just refers to topics of research that the Council feels are critical and timely. So before we begin discussing the priority information needs for your region I'll go through the whole process and try to be pretty brief though.

Every two years OSM announces an opportunity for researchers to apply for money to fund fisheries research and management projects that address data gaps. This announcement is called a notice of

funding opportunity. Three primary types of research are funded and these include harvest monitoring, traditional ecological knowledge and stock status and trends. Harvest monitoring and traditional knowledge projects provide information directly from subsistence users including descriptions of fishing effort and harvest and use patterns, while stock status and trend projects address fish abundance, migration and behavior in specific fisheries.

11/5/2020

9 10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

So the researchers interested in submitting proposals will look at the priorities identified by your Council. These priorities will inform investigators of what data gaps the Council thinks are critical and they guide the money spent on fisheries research in your region. This is really important because your input guides the program. This is the point at which the Council has the most influence over the course of the FRMP.

19 20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

The list of research priorities developed by your Council will be combined with research priorities identified by the other northern councils which include Seward Peninsula and Northwest This combined list will be called the Arctic RACs. 2022 priority information needs for northern Alaska and it highlights the data gaps identified by these three Councils. And then this list is included in the 2022 notice of funding opportunity when it's published in So very soon. Once this is published November. investigators can submit proposals to OSM and the deadline will be sometime in March. Once all the proposals are received they're evaluated according to five criteria including strategic priorities, technical and scientific merit, investigator ability and resources, partnership capacity building and cost benefit analysis. And just to remind you these projects do need to have a Federal nexus and a connection to Federal fisheries management -subsistence management.

44

45

46

47

48

So next during the winter 2021 Council meeting you'll be presented with a list of proposed projects that OSM received for the northern region. And during that meeting your Council will be able to comment on each project, let us know if you think the projects meet the PINs and what could be changed to make them better projects. And the Technical Review Committee's evaluation and your comments will be

submitted to the Federal Subsistence Board for their recommendation on funding. The projects that are successful in receiving funding will begin in 2022. Since 2000 this process has resulted in 54 projects being funded in northern Alaska. These projects are listed in your meeting materials on page 36.

So we'll begin discussing the 2022 priority information needs shortly. I just want to pause and ask if there are any questions first.

MR. SFORMO: Mr. Chair, this is Todd

13 again.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any questions for the

researcher.

MR. HOPSON: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead. Is

that William?

MR. HOPSON: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair. While these studies like these types of studies are being performed are they studying the ocean, the temperature, at the same time, the salinity. I bring this up because right across the Arctic Ocean the Russian far east which is not far from here and they have nuclear reactors and they're having oil spills and what it would do -- how we deal with that effect on our side of the ocean.

That was my question. Thank you.

MS. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Hopson. So marine waters are outside of Federal subsistence jurisdiction and are not part of the Fisheries Research Monitoring Program in the sense that -- so projects considered for FRMP funding cannot focus on marine areas. We're talking about Federal public waters and there are some kind of near -- some tidal areas that come within that jurisdiction, but unfortunately for this program we have to focus on inland areas adjacent to Federal public lands and within the boundaries of Federal public lands.

Thank you.

MS. VOORHEES: But I do understand your

question.

1 2 3

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any other questions.

4 5

(No comments)

6 7

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. And what was

8 9 your name again?

10

MS. VOORHEES: This is Hannah.

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, Hannah. a question on studies. I see a whole bunch of North Slope eastern dolly varden spawning overwintering assessment, these kind of things, genetic stocks, subsistence fish harvest, a whole bunch of different things here. And just trying to find the types of Can you give an example of a study that you wouldn't or couldn't take and -- and one that you could.

20 21 2.2

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

And I'm going to just give a quick example of a concern on the fish mold based on It's highly linked to subsistence temperature. resource, right, we -- it's a subsistence food, it's bordering Federal lands and it seems to me that there has to be some way to check what the stressor is. know temperature is one, maybe the fish was weakened by its own spawning or maybe it was an injured fish, a set of variables there. But at the same time, I mean, you could start to think why would there be a temperature related disease developing. And I -- it seems to me that it's about maybe five to six years, five to six years old.

34 35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

And if you look at development in that area, I think Alpine started its production somewhere around the year 2000 and then you look at the stem and web of the wells that go 8,000 feet down and to bring the oil up so that it can be processed and a little bit refined to take water and sulfur and the gas and separate it so it can go down the pipeline as quality crude, but that oil comes to surface from 8,000 feet below the surface at a temperature of about 150 degrees fahrenheit. I mean, it's a pretty -- pretty warm oil and if you have, you know, five or six pads with 50 wells going down into the subsurface to 8,000 feet down, every one of those wells and pads, you add them together pulling 150 degrees oil up to the surface in a

known -- in a known thaw bulb meaning the whole Colville River delta and its (in Native) channel in the Colville River has a thaw bulb. I'm not sure if you're familiar with that term, but it's more like thaw stable area, it's not impacted by continuing permafrost. But I'm wondering if when drawing that much production could act as a bunsen burner on that thaw bulb, raising the temperature a little bit.

And it seems to me that the fish mold is fairly new, it might be five or six years now of being reported and maybe no prior history of that saprolegnia or whatever they call that fish mold, not being reported in that area prior to that date, I'm not exactly sure, and then maybe look at the neighboring delta which is the Ikpikpuk to the west which has a huge, huge whitefish population and spawning events. And not having the same issues on the same type of species, but there's no development there, I mean, it's not impacted with subsurface oil production activity.

 So just that type of scenario and maybe I'm going on too long and then but I think there's -- you know, if we didn't have it and then now it's kind of an ongoing issue and the -- and maybe the whitefish are more resident than the qaaktaq because we know the qaaktaq migrates in and out from the McKenzie River in Canada and comes in and that's why the qaaktaq fisheries start annually all the time. In any event maybe I'll leave it there.

MS. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Hannah Voorhees again for the record.

 And yes, there are specific topics which the Federal Subsistence Board has said they will not fund and this does include contaminant assessment as well as habitat protection and hatchery research. The Federal Subsistence Board decided that these projects are more appropriately funded by the local land management agencies. However having said that there's -- the mold itself can certainly be investigated and we do have a PIN noted from previous discussion with Council members on that topic. And, I mean, there's no reason why we can't investigate, that the researcher who has a successful project or proposal, that there's no reason that they can't investigate the causes of mold. I think that we do have to be cautious in framing a -- you know, a

causation in the PIN itself, but rather to leave that open. And then certainly communicate the Council's concerns which has been well documented in the record and which they can also -- you know, if this -- if we decide to have a PIN on mold in fish they can also -- the Council members can also comment on that next -- during our next meeting. Anyway so I just want to -- when you're -- excuse me, when you're at the meeting when we're reviewing the project proposals they can comment.

I definitely want to get into developing that PIN with you.

I have it number 2 on the list and I can start going through the draft PINs with you now if you would like.

2.2.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: It was just a question and I don't know if -- that it's such a issue that warrants a major investigation or anything like that. But certainly the concerns raised out of the community about the whitefish in the Colville River being harvested by Nuiqsut seems to have some issues that are ongoing with this type of concern. And yeah, I know there are concerns about trying to pinpoint a cause. So I'll just leave it at that.

I mean, I thought maybe there were easier ways to -- other than just saying that well, you know, the climate is changing and that it's subjected to climate change. Well, if it were there would be those issues in the Salvanik River has a huge whitefish population that spawn because I fished there, I fished at the Salvanik to the east and then I fished over at the Ikpikpuk to the west and so anyway it just seems like in between where development is -- anyway, I'm just thinking about that.

MS. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I do -- I think that the recommendation to perhaps have a comparative aspect with near -- nearby river systems is a good one and can certainly include that in this PIN if you would like.

 And also I'd like to acknowledge that Karen Hyer is working with me on this. She's the fisheries biologist, I'm an anthropologist so if she wants to add anything she can. She may not want to,

but just wanted to remind you that she's available as well.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

And so I just wanted to mention that we really -- at OSM really appreciate the efforts that have been made by volunteer Council members from the North Slope RAC to meet with Eva and myself and Karen in the weeks leading up to this meeting and that from those meetings we developed a list of draft priority information needs. And the process now, if you're ready, is for me to start going through each one. And you can edit these PINs, you can remove PINs, add a PIN, and eventually we will need to approve them and it is an action item.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

I am going to skip forward to the mold PIN as it were just because we've been discussing that and I'll -- I have some very basic language for that and I was hoping to add some more information to it which you've already helped me with to some extent The PIN as written is in place to document mold disease and discoloration on fish and to document possible connections to increased water temperature or other factors. So we could -- we could wordsmith this a little bit, but I would add a sentence here perhaps about having a comparison with nearby river systems. I can remove the clause, possible connection to warmer water if you would like. I do have some questions, do you want this to focus on whitefish and would you like this to focus on the Colville River or do you want it -- it sounds like that is the focus. I will mention there are two other PINs that are specific to Nuigsut on this draft list that kind of approach this issue of the changing whitefish situation and from different aspects. And would you like this -- I imagine it would be important to have a traditional knowledge component to this investigation, but I just want to put this one on the floor for you and have feedback at this time.

38 39 40

Thank you.

41 42 43

44

45

So just to clarify the will of the Council now is to -- we can do some wordsmithing later, but broadly what would you like the PIN -- is this a PIN that you would like to approve or are there any changes that you would like to make to it.

46 47 48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I'm not sure I understand clearly what you're asking because there's

so much information all at once. I mean, I did try and look at concerns that are raised and how a possible scenario in a study could occur. So what -- anyway I'm not sure what a PIN is.

4 5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

2

3

MS. VOORHEES: Okay. A PIN is a priority information need and that is just referring to a topic that is of concern to the Council that we'll put on a list with the notice of funding opportunity when that is released in November. And then that guides the kind of projects that we accept for the So basically we just want to list -- right now I think we have six possible PINs and I was just going to go through them and see if you would like to approve them. These are topics that have been raised in conversation with the volunteer Council members in the last couple weeks. For example, Mr. Chairman, we have one that you recommended, affects of climate change or lake freeze-up specifically on Ikpikpuk River and affects on subsistence practices and fish preservation. So that will be a PIN on the notice of funding opportunity and we'll invite researchers to submit projects under that priority information need. So the PINs that you choose today will -- are an invitation to researchers to submit proposals and they're powerful in the sense that they shape the kind of projects that we'll consider for funding.

27 28 29

30

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. I think I understand a little bit better. I think you can go through those and maybe just.....

31 32 33

MS. VOORHEES: Okay.

34 35

CHAIRMAN BROWER:any other -- are there any questions from the Council in this area.

36 37 38

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair, this is Steve for the record.

39 40 41

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Steve.

42 43

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

44 45 46

47

48

MR. OOMITTUK: You know, all -- all these studies that have been done since -- for quite some time within the Colville River or within the Prudhoe Bay area and all the industry that's going on

there for years and, you know, and we talk about climate change and erosion and, you know, the rivers are changing and we're having a lot of erosion due to permafrost melting. Is there any sort of contamination that you guys find any sort of contamination with this erosion that's going on or with the industry that's been there for over 40 years, is there any -- especially in the Colville River area. And I just out of curiosity are they doing studies to that sort to see, you know, if there's an environmental impact statement in the area especially with industry.

MS. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Oomittuk. I may have to defer to a researcher on that question, but I will say that there is a priority information need on the list this cycle for you -- for your Council to approve that reads, baseline fish habitat and water quality monitoring on the rivers and tributaries important to subsistence fishing around Nuiqsut. And so you raise a great point and I believe that would be an essential and valid part of that priority information need.

And if anyone else would like to chime in please go ahead.

MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman and Council members, this is Karen Hyer. I couldn't quite hear what Steve had to say and I'm wondering could he -- because this PIN's directed towards around Nuiqsut can -- and maybe this PIN should be more general, I -- can he just repeat again the area that he was interested in? I'm sorry, I just didn't hear.

Thank you.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yes. I'm sorry, I have a bad connection here and I keep getting cut off so I couldn't quite hear. The wind gusts are going past 60 and I had to go upstairs and my window felt like it was going to blow open so I had to put a two by four and I was kind of pounding a nail around these two by fours to ensure my window don't blow open. But it's gusting over 60 right now.

 Yeah, my question was around the Colville area and the industry and any impacts on the subsistence lifestyle over there. Just the last 40 years our population of the fish and with the climate

```
change and the warmer weather any other contamination
     showing up. We're having longer -- it seems like it's
 2
     taking a lot longer to freeze-up and we're having
 3
 4
     longer summers. You know, I -- I could remember when I
     lived in Barrow in the '70s and we had ice year round,
 5
     you know, in June, July ice was always there. And now,
 6
     you know, it's still ice free pretty much, it's ice
 7
     free here in Point Hope, you know. It's been like that
 8
     for how many years. You know, we -- we noticed the
 9
10
     climate change, we -- you know, in the old days we
11
     traveled by stars too. Our grandparents would tell us
     about, you know, what stars, you know, it's clear and
12
     you're lost and you know which way the -- where the
13
     stars are. But seem like the stars are not in the same
14
15
     place where they used to be, you know, at certain times
     which things have changed so much within our area, in
16
     our lifetime.
17
18
19
                     Thank you.
20
                     MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair.
21
2.2
23
                     MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman, Council
     members, can you hear me? This is Karen.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Let me go to -- it
26
     sounded like maybe Earl and then we'll go.....
27
28
29
                     MR. WILLIAMS:
                                   Yes, Mr. Chair.
30
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: ....to Karen.
31
32
                     MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair, it sounds
33
34
     like someone's scratching the mic, maybe somebody's got
35
     their phone on or something, just hearing scratching
     here.
36
37
38
                     Thank you.
39
40
                     (Teleconference interference -
41
     participants not muted)
42
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. For those
43
     that are online please if you're working on something
44
     don't put us on hold, but use your mute button so that
45
     there's limited interference.
46
47
```

48 49 50 Go ahead, Karen.

MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman, Council members. Just for clarity I just -- just what I heard had to do with the Colville area and then some other areas too. So I'm wondering for this particular PIN if this baseline habitat and water quality should be just for the North Slope in particular instead of just around Nuiqsut which would give a broader area for the researchers to look at.

8

2

3 4

5

6

7

Thank you.

10 11 12

MS. VOORHEES: Mr. Chair, this is

13 Hannah.

14 15

16

17

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I think if you're going to look at that issue and looking at similar areas on the North Slope that may not be being affected might be important. So.....

18 19 20

21 22

23

24

MS. HYER: Yeah, I was just wondering because I heard him mention also just climate change and how things in his area are changing in June and July and how ice free it is. So I was just wondering if the Council is interested in making it a little bit more general.

25 26 27

Thank you.

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I think it's important. I mean, there's this one concern that I've seen from a couple of subsistence hunters like around (in Native) and the little stream that goes out of the (in Native) Lake, just 18 miles out of Barrow that goes to the eastern side, it's not even frozen. And when we -- there's some concerns that it's being affected by geothermal activity. And I've seen that area where the stream outlet goes from the lake even in February have wet areas that normally should be frozen. So but I don't know if it's increasing to where a whole section of that stream coming out of that area is being affected that way. But that one to me sounds like it's geothermal and some issues subsurface that's affecting that one. But certainly some of the river issues for fall freeze-up fishing, we have those kind of concerns as well.

45 46 47

MR. SFORMO: Mr. Chair, this is Todd. Could I ask a question or two?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead.

1 2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

I guess mainly --MR. SFORMO: Thanks. maybe it's mainly to Hannah and Karen. One of the difficulties with this particular kind of request for proposals is that as you said contaminants aren't really part of this or, you know, you don't really get credit for mentioning contaminants or maybe you're even taken off of possibly receiving this grant because of that. And yet if you talk to -- when you talk to the fishermen, you know, all over the North Slope that is one of the major questions that comes up. So contaminants related to, you know, Umiat, traveling on the Colville, reaching Nuigsut and things like that. This kind of is one of the main questions that fishermen have and yet for this call it's not really part of it.

11/5/2020

17 18 19

20

21

2.2.

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

And so when I've applied in the past for some of these grants that's a big sticking point. And I just don't know exactly -- I guess I don't really know exactly what you're looking for. It is good to have baseline information and water quality understanding of these rivers and to a certain extent that has been done through the gaaktag fishery, you know. And so but they don't always look at certain contaminants and so there is a very difficult, kind of fine line that I'm not really sure I'm understanding in trying to secure some of these grants because of that limitation.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

And then the second thing is also the stock. I've asked specifically what -- what do we -what is the name of the stock for the, you know, North Slope salmon. And there really doesn't seem to be an answer to that. And so I can't put a name down when I'm filling out, you know, a proposal because of that.

38 39 40

And so, yeah, those are the -- kind of the two difficulties I'm having and I'm just wondering if you can sort of address that.

42 43 44

41

Thanks.

45 46

47

48

MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman, Council I'll talk about the first point first and then I think we need to revisit a little bit on the second point because I didn't 100 percent understand

the question.

1 2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

But the contaminants, an actual study on contaminants would not be competitive under this funding. And that is because the Federal Subsistence Board when this money was set aside to do research decided that the land managers were better suited to do any sort of direct contaminants study. Now we understand that contaminants is a huge issue in this part of the world. We have proposals that have been successful where they've looked at the habitat and the climate change and things like that. And then they've gone to a different funding source to actually pay for the contaminant work. So the samples that they collect during the research has been sent to labs and the funding to pay for the contaminants work has come from a different pot of money. We often see projects as submitted through this program that are funded from multiple funding sources and have multiple partners.

19 20 21

2.2

23

2425

And so that is probably the best guidance I can give you. If you're interested in direct contaminants work because it does say in our -- in our call that the Federal Board has decided not to pay for direct analysis and lab work for contaminants.

26 27

And then could -- could I have that second question repeated because I didn't quite understand what was meant by stock.

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

28

MR. SFORMO: Yeah. Sure. Thanks. The -- there are certain stocks, you know, of fish, but I don't know the -- what is the name of the stock of salmon that are on the North Slope. So I've called -- this is a number of years ago actually, so I called your area as well as others to find out well, what do you call these stocks because I think at least in the past that was a question that was specifically asked, what stock of salmon or what stock of fish are you intending to work on. And I didn't have a name and I don't think there is one related to North Slope salmon say. And so that was a very -- it was a difficulty and I just was wondering if that was still the case.

43 44 45

Thanks.

46 47 48

MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman, Council members. So I can understand that. If I'm understanding the question right like I would talk

about Copper River chinook salmon escapement and the stocks going to the upper river. And I agree that there is no name for any -- a specific name like that on the North Slope especially since we're seeing salmon in places we haven't seen it before. I'm a little bit surprised that you would get dinged for not being able to specifically name a stock, but you do have to tie it back to Federal subsistence management in some way. And so I -- I would recommend that more than actually naming the stock.

And I certainly -- after this meeting if we have -- if you have specific issues I'd be happy to go back in our records and look at what was -- what was put forward and help you work through that. But I think more important than naming the stock, talking about how it applies to Federal subsistence management because we're indeed seeing new salmon or seeing salmon in places we haven't seen it before.

2.2

So I hope that helps. I'd be glad to follow-up after the meeting if you have some real specifics you want to work through with me.

Thank you.

MR. SFORMO: Thank you. I will.

MS. HYER: And I also might add we're all working from home. So again my name is Karen Hyer and you'll find me on the website related to North Slope. But the -- probably the best way to get ahold of me is to send me an email to start that because if you call my work number I check that periodically and that's not the best way. And then we can start a conversation via email and go from there.

MR. SFORMO: Thank you.

MS. HYER: And then I also might add we're expecting this call to come out sometime in late November. So if you have questions get ahold of me now.

All right. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Council members.

MR. NAGEAK: Mr. Chairman, this is Roy Nageak with BLM at the Barrow office.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Roy.

MR. NAGEAK: Thank you. And I just want to check with Shelly because there are some concerns. We are the management for NPR-A which is being looked at -- at the resources that are needed to run the energy of America. And I want to pick on something that Gordon Brower freaked out a little. He said thaw bulbs and the changes in the river system that we utilize for subsistence. And I'm going to take that issue in hand because as the managers of NPR-A we have done three development studies in all the villages in NPR-A. And then one of the things that has become a concern as the president, Gordon Brower, spells out is the thaw of the region across NPR-A.

And the reason why I'm looking at it at a different level is I have family and they fish for months in the Chip River, right in the middle of NPR-A, basically one of the biggest rivers. And all summer basically while they were trying to fish they got some fish where there was less current. They had to go to rivers that has less currents. But the big currents that take the run through there were filled up with silt and there was so much silt that it wasn't proper. And sometimes it tend to trip the net away so they took a lot of their nets out from the major river because there was a lot of silt or tundra that was migrating from every little creek or every little thaw bulb that goes all along the rivers and kept silting the rivers and the impact it has on trying to do subsistence. that's becoming a concern is the change in the tundra, and the rivers getting more, what you call that, it's stirred, it's tundra growth that is going into the river and clogging it up.

And for the first time in how many years I finally went out into the tundra after maybe 10, 15 years and the thaw that I saw from the mounds that used to be in flush with the tundra, some of those bingles are like five -- five to 10 feet above the tundra that used to be kind of in flush with the permafrost hardened bingles or mounds across the tundra. And the little creeks that used to run on top of the permafrost now a lot of them are like four to five feet deep and migrating into the peak of rivers. And then when I saw that it's like how is the industry after 40 years realizing the thaw that is happening around their development areas. And I think that's

happening around that area too in Prudue Bay, and Anaktuvuk, I was in Anaktuvuk 40 years ago when they were using the water there for development especially with ice roads had a great impact on the whitefish.

And I remember some of the now adult elder -- elderly people that worked there. In their middle age they were just sucking up tons and tons of whitefish and maybe catch a little study on what's happening in Anaktuvuk and whether the whitefish came back. But getting away from there we're in the front line of whatever they want to call it, global warming and the rivers that are being impacted with whatever creeks that are keeping it in whatever silt or tundra that is going out in great amounts and where you can't even fish anymore. And I tend to agree on which way we need to go especially with impacts of subsistence hunters like my family.

But Gordon brings out a good point about the thaw bulbs and still development going to happen and what needs to be done and looked at is where they plan to put a lot of the development structures and whether any climate change as it goes along will impact the stability or whatever of the development structures. It's -- if we start addressing it now because it's still going to be coming this way towards Barrow maybe we might get a heads-up on the best way to try to deal with the thaw bulbs and whatever is happening now in NPR-A just to get a heads-up because it's really drastic and especially more so along the ocean.

And I took a whole bunch of pictures all the way to the west of Barrow where the cliffs are just right up to the beach line. And we're starting to have storms and thank god some of the slush started freezing and now it's a barrier. But we need to start thinking of development in the thaw bulbs and permafrost that they have utilized for keeping up their structure is of concern and try to get the heads-up on that development with looking at what needs to be done and the safe way to develop what the country needs or what the State needs or the output of what is being looked at especially with the management of NPR-A and the borough for our management. And that's what we need to start thinking is how to develop NPR-A with the global warming that's happening because we're in the front lines of it.

```
I just want to comment on that because
     it's going to be a Federal issue. You can't produce
 2
     the areas that have the resources and not manage it
 3
     right to not be impacted by as Gordon put it the thaw
 4
     bulbs. We need to look at that and start getting ahead
 5
     of it.
 6
 7
 8
                     Thank you.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Thank you, Roy.
11
12
                     MS. JONES: Mr. Chair.
13
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead.
14
                                                         Is
15
     that Karen?
16
                     MS. JONES: No, this is Shelly Jones
17
18
     calling from BLM.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Oh, all right,
21
     Shelly.
2.2
23
                     MS. JONES:
                                 Hey, I just.....
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: You've got the floor,
26
     Shelly.
27
28
                     MS. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chair and
29
     Council. I just -- I've been listening on this
30
     conversation and scrolling back through some of my
     emails, trying to remind myself of some of the
31
     conversations I've had and I -- I'm finding a couple of
32
     citations that I can send to Eva to distribute to the
33
34
     group on different studies that have been done not real
35
     recently, but like I think it was back in 2005 the
     North Slope Borough's Wildlife Department had published
36
     findings from a study called Petroleum Hydrocarbons in
37
     Fish and Sediments of NPR-A, Teshekpuk Lake and
38
     Colville and Ikpikpuk Rivers. So that might be
39
     something, I think they were looking into the so called
40
41
     PAHs in that study.
```

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

And then also I'm not sure of the date, but there was a study that was done as a requirement by ConocoPhillips to study contaminants in subsistence foods. That was the requirement of the GMT-1 project. And they did do that study. They didn't continue with It was not -- it had some I think design issues. And I think that's where I left my conversations with

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16 17 18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

26

2728

29 30

31

32 33

34 35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50 Page 95

our staff. We don't have any contaminant expert and from time to time we've been talking and following up with people to try to figure out how a person might design a study that would be considered valid. And I think that, you know, might be -- there may have even been a study that -- a grant that the North Slope Borough got a while back from the impact litigation fund to study that topic. But it just seems like all the emails I have on it are alluding to the fact that they can't design the study and so I'm wondering if that should be the focus of a grant is just to design the study and not necessarily think about implementing it just yet because it sounds more complicated than, you know, maybe some people realize.

11/5/2020

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Shelly. And I think it's been pretty interesting discussion about interest in studies on fisheries up here. And I think it's important to look at traditional knowledge and those kind of things. And the subsistence pressure on these resources that we have and to be mindful that some of the other tributaries, the other areas like the Colville River that are -- have some stated concerns about things like fish mold and the temperature related issues and things like that. And it might be important like you said to design something that -- yeah, just get the grant to put the talk together to bring people to design these studies that could develop into something meaningful and that could develop into something best management practices might embrace like how do we protect if in fact there's a conclusion about -- you know, these -- you know, title 19 of the Borough ordinance, land use ordinance, has statements to protect overwintering habitats. And there are these deep portions of the river where fish spawn and over the course of winter they become little fries and juvenile fish and but there are nurseries and there are smaller thaw bulbs that are used. In fact I have a picture in my office in February back in the 1990s of a Cat going through the river trying to shortcut over a -- a overwintering habitat and fall right through in February when you'd think that's the coldest month time of the year and there's a lot of snow covering some of these river banks that are overwintering habitat. it -- I think there needs to be some way to look at these areas especially when it's a highly prized subsistence resource that's heavily traded, subsistence

traded, between communities and to make sure we minimize disruption of those kind of activities. In any event I think it's an important area.

11/5/2020

With that we'll go back to where we were in the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. And we were talking about I think some of the priorities that were going to be discussed from a few weeks ago without exactly knowing where we are on the agenda in this -- on this topic.

MS. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Hannah Voorhees. I -- if it's okay with you I'm going to go through and read the list that I have now completely so that we can at least get that out on the record and for further discussion. We discussed many of them at length already and I've been going through and editing according to some suggestions we've had. So I'll go ahead and start that now.

2.2.

The first priority information need that we have drafted with your volunteers is to document new fish species and changes in abundance, size and distribution of previously present fish; impacts of new or expanding species on other fish that are important to subsistence. And this could draw on traditional ecological knowledge.

So a question that I -- we have on this is whether, you know, there -- you would like to list specific species that you observed, but I will move on to the next PIN so that we can keep the conversation flowing.

The second PIN that I have that we've discussed a little bit already is to document mold disease and discoloration on whitefish in the Colville River and investigate possible causes.

The third PIN I have is affects of climate change including late freeze-up on Ikpikpuk River and affects on subsistence practices and fish preservation.

The fourth PIN that I have is documentation of changing subsistence fish harvest and consumption in the community of Nuigsut.

I wanted to address briefly Todd's

question where he mentioned that contaminants are a major concern for fishermen. And I think that as part of the intent of this PIN is which we've discussed with Martha Itta is to look at how concerns about contamination are affecting practices and to show that people have these concerns and document that in a really solid way as a first step.

11/5/2020

All right. I'll move on to the fifth PIN which is baseline fish habitat and water quality monitoring, especially temperature, dissolved oxygen and silt content on the rivers and tributaries important to subsistence fishing in communities on the North Slope.

The sixth PIN that I have which is not one that was brought up by volunteers, but which was a PIN from last cycle, 2020, is document temporal changes and harvest patterns, resource availability and abundance of broad whitefish in the tributaries of Smith Bay and Lake Teshekpuk including application to Federal subsistence management such as identifying critical habitat, refining range maps and understanding ecological relationships, identify spawning locations of broad whitefish in central and western North Slope areas.

The background on that final PIN is that no proposals were received for it and the Council should let us know whether or not you think this is still an important topic.

All right. Would you like me -- how would you like to proceed, would you like me to go through one by one and approve each one or I'll leave this up to the Chair.

And Eva and Karen if you want to jump in on the best way forward please do that as well.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. And you're -- this is where the action item exists is what I'm thinking, maybe order of ranking or just approval those are the important PINs, priority information needs, that -- for the North Slope region in Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program.

 $$\operatorname{MS}.$$ VOORHEES: That's correct, Mr. Chair. This is an action item to approve these PINs,

but you may of course remove some or edit them or add any at this point as well. And I did have a few questions still outstanding which I was hoping to address with the Council before you vote to approve them.

11/5/2020

5 6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

2

3 4

> CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. the wish of the Council, are we -- I think Hannah read out some of the PINS, I'm starting to learn to use the acronym, and understanding it a little bit better what the PINs are, but seems to me that's a pretty good list of some of the things that we've expressed for several years. In any event I'm going to before -- I'd just like to hear the Council before I turn it back over to Hannah if there are additional or if you feel confident that this list is the right list.

16 17

> Mr. Chair. MR. REXFORD: Kaktovik.

18 19 20

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, Eddie, go ahead

from Kaktovik. 21

2.2 23

24 25

MR. REXFORD: Yes, I had some concerns on some studies that are happening in our area and I'll give you a comment. But on page 36, the Department of Fish and Game and KIC....

26 27 28

MS. VOORHEES: Yes, Mr. Rexford.

29 30

MR. REXFORD:that would be.....

31 32

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead.

33 34 35

36

37

MS. VOORHEES: That is a study that was initiated in 2001 and is now completed. So I don't know if you -- do you still have a question about it or is it -- it's a pretty old study, I just wanted to let you know.

38 39 40

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Was that -- did that answer your question, Eddie?

41 42 43

(No comments)

44 45

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I hope I'm still on.

46 47

MS. VOORHEES: I can hear you, Mr.

48

49 50 Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I didn't hear Eddie respond back. Either he's on mute or maybe he got dropped on the call.

3 4 5

2

MR. REXFORD: Yes, I'm on.

6 7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. You were knocked out and Hannah said that was a study that was 2001 vintage. (In Native) and that it was probably a completed study.

11 12

MR. REXFORD: Okay. And the other one was 02-0 -- of Arctic cisco and dolly varden in Kaktovik lagoons by the Fish and Wildlife Service. On these studies could there be reports to our tribe on what the studies have come up with and so our community would be aware of what's happening in our area on these studies.

18 19 20

21 2.2

23

MS. VOORHEES: And, Mr. Rexford, this is Hannah Voorhees. And yes, definitely, we can share copies of those. OSM can deal with sharing copies of those with you. I'll make a note and we'll be in touch after the meeting.

24 25 26

MR. REXFORD: And any that's happening in our area is 07-107, the HulaHula River dolly varden sonar enumeration. Can you have that study provided to our community and our tribal government here.

29 30 31

27

28

MS. VOORHEES: Okay. Certainly.

32 33

34

35

36

37

MR. REXFORD: And these studies are kind of like baseline studies that would be happening in our area and -- and other contaminants we'd sure like to have a contaminant study done on our fishes in our area. That way I guess we won't be blamed for later findings of contaminants in the fish species in our area.

38 39 40

Thank you.

41 42 43

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you.

44

MS. VOORHEES: Thanks, Mr. Rexford.

45 46 47

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eddie. Go

48 49

50

ahead. Is that Hannah?

MS. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. And I wanted to just note I think that you all understand this, but the study -- the initial number by the project is the year it was initiated and projects are funded for up to four years. And the projects that are still going on on the North Slope are the Meade River changes in subsistence fisheries and the Colville River grayling habitat and migration. Those are the only two that are -- oh, and then aerial monitoring of dolly varden overwintering abundance. The other ones are completed or having -- reports are being written up at the moment.

11/5/2020

12 13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

11

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

> So thanks for your comment on contaminants near Kaktovik. I believe that the same guidelines that prevent the FRMP from funding contaminant study elsewhere would apply however we at OSM are -- you know, we're trying to work with communities to find ways to document their concerns that don't deal with documenting contaminants directly. So that might be something to work on developing as a PIN even in future cycles through conversations prior to our meetings as, you know, volunteers come forward to discuss PINs.

24 25 26

Thank you for those comments.

27 28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

CHAIRMAN BROWER: This is Gordon and in looking at the studies and some of them are aged studies. And when it's a study that might be most affected by a region, area or a community, what is the practice of the study being complete, is it merely getting it presented in the Regional Advisory Council and with the findings there or is there any effort to bring that study and do a presentation to the community where it's most impacted?

36 37 38

And just wanted to get your feedback on

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

MS. VOORHEES: Mr. Chair, this is Hannah. And so generally there needs to be a final technical report filed with OSM and then funding is closed out. And in terms of accountability to communities that does differ a little bit between I know for example Alaska projects and agencies. Department of Fish and Game, Division of Subsistence conducts final community meetings whenever they've completed a study and communicate results and verify

49 50

that.

them. In other cases the results may just be coming back to the RAC, I can't say for sure. Karen Hyer may have some additional comments. But definitely communication with communities on the results is and at least with the Regional Advisory Council is something that OSM emphasizes in considering proposals.

11/5/2020

6 7 8

2

3

4

5

MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman.

9

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you.

10 11

MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman and Council

12 13 members. I....

14 15

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Karen.

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

MS. HYER: Oh, I just wanted to add we do encourage all investigators to return to the communities to present the work. We also encourage them as the work is going on to be in contact with the communities, kind of before, during and after. We have had situations -- we do have a project up in Anaktuvuk Pass where when we went forward with it the community had concerns about timing and through several meetings with the community we changed the timing of the project. So we do -- I would say more than just a recommendation, we do ask that our investigators engage with local communities because I know that there have been issues in the past up there with investigators that have simply gone about their research without involving communities and have actually interfered with local subsistence activities. So we're sensitive to that and we basically require our investigators to engage in that way.

34 35 36

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Any other questions on the PINs that were described by Hannah.

37 38 39

(No comments)

40 41

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And, Hannah, were there additional questions before this action item was brought for a decision?

43 44 45

42

MS. VOORHEES: Yes. Thank you, Mr.

46

47 48

MR. OOMITTUK: This is Point Hope.

49 50 Chair.

1 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Let's take Point Hope 2 first, Hannah.

11/5/2020

3 4

MR. OOMITTUK: I can listen to her first and then I will respond after that.

5 6 7

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve. Okay. Go ahead, Hannah.

8 9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

MS. VOORHEES: Okay. Excuse the Yes, I do have a question on the first This priority information need regards new fish species that are showing up and as well as species that might be increasing in abundance or changing their distribution. And in earlier conversations there were a number of specific fish mentioned and I wondered -okay. So first I'll just read the list. It was large whitefish, pink salmon, northern pike, smelt and cod. So I'm wondering if you want all those included and I have a question about cod in particular which is can you help me understand exactly what fish we're talking about because again we would not be able to -- you know, we don't have jurisdiction over marine species. So just wanted to check, I think that might have been saffron cod and if so we probably would not be able to include it in that list.

26 27 28

Do you want us to include a list at all of species or just say -- I mean, and the wording can, you know, kind of shape the way people apply so that's why I'm asking how'd you like to handle that.

31 32 33

29

30

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And what was the name of the study again on the first PIN?

34 35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

MS. VOORHEES: Oh, the topic is documenting new fish species. So I'll read it in its entirety. It reads, document new fish species and changes in abundance, size and distribution of previously present fish species, impacts of new or expanding species on other fish that are important for subsistence, and studies should draw on traditional ecological knowledge.

43 44 45

46

47

48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And we've listed some specific fish and you're thinking that maybe it should be just a broad statement to look at any new fish that are coming in and then look at the impacts of the current fish that we already know are known to exist?

```
MS. VOORHEES: That would be one
     option. We could also just say, you know, for example
 2
     or possibly including and then give a list, but I
 3
     didn't want to include cod in there in particular if
 4
     it's not within our jurisdiction.
 5
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: And if cod -- like
     saffron cod is not in your jurisdiction what would be
 8
     the reason that it's not, is it a marine fish and not
 9
10
     anadromous, doesn't come into the rivers and stuff?
11
12
                     MS. VOORHEES: I'll defer to Karen Hyer
13
     on that.
14
15
                     MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman and Council
     members. Yes, we don't have any jurisdiction on marine
16
     waters, all our jurisdiction is on freshwater. So that
17
     is where we engage in management so that's where the
18
     research is focused.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.
21
2.2.
     something like tom cods or saffron cod, those are
23
     exclusively marine fish and would not be candidates?
24
25
                     MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman and Council
               That is correct.
26
     members.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Very good.
29
30
                     MR. SFORMO: Mr. Chair. This is Todd.
31
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, Todd.
32
33
34
                     MR. SFORMO: I just had a question.
35
     But a lot of the saffron cod, rainbow smelt, things are
     -- like that are also caught in the lagoon and, you
36
     know, technically they're not freshwater or a
37
38
     freshwater environment, but they're not marine.
     -- I have a problem kind of with understanding
39
     something like that or is the lagoon a spot that can be
40
41
     examined and if so it's not technically a freshwater
     environment, but saffron cod is regularly caught in
42
     these lagoons. So if you could just get a little
43
     clarification for me for that.
44
45
46
                     Thank you.
47
48
                     MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman and Council.
49
```

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Sounds like a Karen question.

11/5/2020

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

MS. HYER: Yes, this is Karen again for the record. Yeah, so we have done work in northwest Arctic in the brackish waters because it is -- it is an area where -- it's so close to the freshwater that it does apply. It's an area where we sometimes share jurisdiction, but I can say for sure that we have funded projects like in Hotham Inlet and places like that that are brackish water. So that is a little bit different than a straight marine environment. again....

13 14 15

11

12

MR. SFORMO: So I guess maybe that -oh, sorry. Go ahead.

16 17 18

19

20

21 2.2.

23

24 25

MS. HYER: That would then need to be tied back to Federal subsistence management and of course if those fish are moving through that -- what was happening is those fish were moving through that area and heading back into Park land to spawn, it was sheefish work and they were harvested by our Federal subsistence users. And so we were able to tie the project back to Federal subsistence management and that's really the key.

26 27

> MR. SFORMO: Mr. Chair.

28 29 30

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Todd.

31 32 33

MR. SFORMO: Uh-huh. Okav. I kind of see that as a difficulty for the area that's just North Slope rather than Northwest Arctic.

34 35 36

Well, thank you. Okay.

37 38

39 40

41

42

43

44

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So I do have a question here in terms of structuring the language there. So if it were to change to new fish species and the size and abundance of existing species study and some of the cod type stuff in the lagoons are in these brackish areas would they be singled out as not being counted or as would be the abundance of those they would qualify to be numerated at that point?

45 46 47

MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman and Council members. Gordon, could you repeat that again, are you talking about like an abundance study in brackish

49 50

water? I wasn't 100 percent sure what you were asking.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, there seems to be some concerns and questions about the cod and what species we're talking about, that it probably wouldn't qualify or something like that to be part of this. But knowing that they're caught regularly in the brackish lagoon areas where those lagoons are fed by multiple streams, multiple rivers and things like that and but taking the words that species of fish off the list, but putting -- looking at other fish including the known species are of subsistence resources and their abundance, if -- if we were to wordsmith that would that suffice as to be able to count those particular fish that are named that may not be qualified? I'm -- I'm just trying to see....

MS. HYER: Mr. Chair, yes.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER:somebody obviously put in cod in there and be -- you know, there used to be just for an example down the beach from Barrow and there used to be a lot of ice starting around late September, you'd have 300 people fishing right on the beach down here and it was a major subsistence food resource for the community. Now we're limited to the lagoon side of things to try to get the same resource and the abundance and are -- is there concerns to those, seems like we don't get as much, I'm not sure, but obviously it was put on the list as a concern.

MS. VOORHEES: Mr. Chair, this is Hannah. And I think that -- I think a community based kind of traditional ecological knowledge approach this would capture people's concerns. I think that any researcher worth her salt would be checking the records, the public transcripts from your meetings, from this meeting, for example. And I don't -- I think that either way it's fine, but we may just keep things simpler and eliminate some, you know studies being predisposed to focusing on species, certain species, rather than taking kind of a holistic assessment from communities about what they're seeing.

So I would just recommend taking off the list of species, but it's obviously up to the Council.

```
Page 106
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: So the recommendation
     is to take the list of species off.
 2
 3
 4
                     MS. VOORHEES: Yes, that's correct.
 5
 6
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair, I have a
 7
     question.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead,
10
     Steve.
11
12
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, you know, our
     ecosystem in the Arctic is so delicate and here we have
13
     an abundance of new species coming within our area.
14
15
     Are -- you know, and some of these species are known to
     be more dominant in certain areas and take over certain
16
     species and that can change our ecosystem. Are we --
17
     you know, that's always been like a -- and then when
18
     we're talking about tom cods, you know, the (in Native)
19
     that we catch, you know, Point Hope is way out on a
20
     point and we mainly fish in the ocean and in the river
21
22
     October and November, you know, they used to go and
     because it doesn't freeze-up much early as it used to,
23
     but....
24
25
26
                     MS. VOORHEES:
                                    Thank you.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: So a question for
     you, Hannah, is, you know, considering Point Hope's
29
     issues who has the jurisdiction of studying fish that
30
     are all just marine dwellers, I mean, is that NOAA or
31
     is that....
32
33
34
                     MS. HYER: Mr. Chairman....
35
                     MS. VOORHEES: Would you -- oh, go
36
37
     ahead, Karen.
38
                     MS. HYER: .....and Council members.
39
     It is NOAA.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
42
                                       Okay.
43
                     MS. VOORHEES: I also wanted to add
44
     that -- this is Hannah, that there is a clause in that
45
     PIN about expanding the -- whether the impacts of new
46
     and expanding species on other fish that are important
47
     to subsistence so maybe getting Steve's question about,
48
     you know, dominance of one species over another.
49
50
```

think that in conversation about new species moving in, you know, I mean, obviously it's pretty difficult to separate out the marine and nonmarine environments and that would certainly probably come up in interviews with participants if a traditional ecological knowledge approach was taken.

So if it's -- we can move on to the next -- the next question that I had about PINs unless there's other comments and questions of course.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, Hannah, I think we would consider maybe your recommendation of taking the species out of the -- but making it still a PIN if there is an issue with commingling with marine dwelling fish that might be under the jurisdiction of another agency like NOAA or something like that.

MS. VOORHEES: Okay. Thank you. I am noting that. And at the end of all this I'll probably just go through and read all the PINs one more time just for the record before you vote on whether or not to approve them or not so you can make sure that they sound correct to you.

The next question I have is whether for affects of climate change including late freeze-up on Ikpikpuk River and affects on subsistence practices and fish preservation if you do want that to be specific to the Ikpikpuk River or to a wider area.

And that is the last question I have for the Council about these PINs.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Hannah. do know from issues that -- on the Ikpikpuk and for many, many, many generations of families that fished up there and the stories that are handed down from generation to generation around Aulavik area which is an old townsite that existed back in the day, but it was a major fishing village. And the amount of subsistence trading that went along and the amount of fish that is -- was caught and traded throughout and the ability to continue to do that. The fish are still there, they're in great abundance, but the ability to keep them -- to fish because of the impacts of climate change on river freeze-up, tearing up nets, then when you do catch fish there's a continuing struggle to keep them fresh.

Page 108

Normally the winter would set in, you would fish and you can put -- sack your fish up and put them in a warehouse, wait until November and start hauling that fish to -- to the community. And in the past few years and -- and continuing today is those fish start to go bad. They're still edible, elders like to have (in Native) fish, but when 90 percent of your catch is doing that and you're not intending to make that into stink fish that's -- that's a concern because we can't depend on the weather to preserve them for the community. And seems to me we need to look at this as -- because it's a food security concern and how we do that.

21 22

So I think it's important and to the extent that it's a wider spread problem throughout the North Slope, maybe it is, but that was something that was -- that the fishermen along Ikpikpuk that fished in that abundance and there used to be several families like our family, Penagues, Danny Edwards and those Melacks, they -- we would fish on the Ikpikpuk and fish in great numbers. And in the same way that our ancestors did in the past. And we're struggling to do that today.

 MS. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Hannah again. And from that I might suggest saying something like affects of climate change including late freeze-up on subsistence practices and fish preservation. And let's see, impacts on continuity of traditions and food security with a special emphasis on Ikpikpuk River. That would leave the door to application -- proposals from other regions that would show that there's a special interest in that area.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, that seems palatable to me, keeps the door open. And, you know, if you didn't know the Sagavanirktok River in the Prudhoe Bay area has a big whitefish spawning event as well and it was used in the past before industry was there. In any event if that's okay with the Council I think it leaves it broad enough.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, just for the record, Gordon, I'm back. I got disconnected and had a hard time getting back on. I -- so I missed a lot of -- I don't know if they responded, but I was talking about the cod, the tom cods, that we get.

29 30

31

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49 50 Page 109

You know, because we -- we live in the 2 point, we're surrounded by the Bering Sea, the Chukchi Sea, Arctic Ocean and a lot of our fishing is we do a 3 4 lot of ocean, we're right on the coastline. And we don't go out in the ocean and then upriver mainly in 5 the falltime, late fall, winter. But lately the tom 6 7 cod, the (in Native), you know, have been hard. know, we usually go to a certain area usually in 8 January right by the point, on the north side of the 9 10 point, and we used to get a whole -- sacks and sacks of 11 them. And the last few years that it's been harder to You know, there could be 40, 50 people and find them. 12 we'd go home with sacks of them. We'd have these hooks 13 so we could get 10, 12 at a time, you know. And then 14 15 pull them out one after another and fish for a good two hours and go home with over 300 tom cods, you know, or 16 four sacks easily. But maybe the women and children 17 would fish for (in Native) and the men would be hunting 18 seal. But if seal hunting was bad then they would fish 19 for (in Native) also. And I -- you know, they're 20 getting harder to find, we have to move around and 21 2.2 sometimes the ice isn't as stable as it used to be in January. And the last few years it's been pretty good. 23 But, you know, I don't know if they responded to my 24 25 concern about species and the fact we live in a 26 delicate ecosystem and other species coming in that are more dominant than the species that are there and 27 28 taking over.

And the other concern was can -- do saltwater fish, are they capable of adapting into freshwater at times.

The other concern that Point Hope had was the abundance of birds washing up on the beach especially towards the east of Point Hope and north of Point Hope on the other side of Cape Lisburne and on the east side of Cape Thompson of puffins and the murres. We know that -- I know the population is still high on the murres, the (in Native) that we get the eggs from the cliffs and usually we get them in first of July, lately they've been getting them in the middle part of June. And at Cape Thompson they used to have like 300,000 birds nest on the cliffs and then at Cape Lisburne 600,000. It seems like you don't see that many murres flying like they used to. I was just wondering about the population and the studies that was being done.

Thank you.

1 2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Steve, on the tom cods, the way the recommendation is heading because we can't intertwine marine going fish that are exclusive marine domain that NOAA would be the jurisdiction on That the anadromous species, those that spawn into the rivers and hang around in brackish areas, that is the domain of these studies and such that it was -the study will be amended in that way so that there is clarity on -- and it might even help make sure that we -- that clarity might help secure that funding because there is no commingling of species from anadromous to marine going. And so it will address fish that might be anadromous and coming into the rivers as invasive and that may become dominant and taking up more space of local fish. So to my understanding that's part of that.

18 19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And I think that was one of our concerns, our jurisdiction. You know, we are the North Slope Borough Subsistence Advisory Council and, you know, we have over 90,000 square miles and the Borough limit is three miles out into the ocean. And yet we still have no jurisdiction in the ocean to -- you know, to address our concerns as each community especially with the ocean when some of us live -- depend on ocean, especially the animals like the seal, the walrus, the whale, the fish and all that. And yet, you know, our jurisdiction is limited and we are appointed by the Secretary of Interior. And I think that's something we need to discuss and see how we can amend that to widen our jurisdiction especially with the concerns that we have with our ecosystem and the migration of certain species of fish and animals. And like you said, you know, subsistence is a broad thing and we are subsistence communities and.....

38 39 40

Thank you.

41 42 43

 $\mbox{ CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thanks, Steve.} \\ \mbox{ Hannah, if you could go ahead and continue.} \\$

44 45 46

47

48

MS. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I lied, I do have one more quick question for you. I had brought up a PIN from 2020 about broad whitefish and the tributaries of Smith Bay and Lake Teshekpuk and I'm wondering do you still want that to be a PIN. It did

 Page 111

not receive any proposals in the last cycle. And I can read the entire PIN if you'd like again.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, why don't you refresh our memory on that one.

MS. VOORHEES: All right. This was the North Slope RAC's only 2020 PIN and it reads, document temporal changes in harvest patterns, resource availability and abundance of bright -- broad whitefish in the tributaries of Smith Bay and Lake Teshekpuk including application of Federal subsistence management such as identifying critical habitat, refining range maps and understanding ecological relationships; identify spawning locations of broad whitefish in central and western North Slope areas.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. Yeah, that seems -- yeah, I can't really recall deliberating too much as a PIN. Yeah, I do remember.....

MS. VOORHEES: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER:concerns about the Smith Bay area and Ikpikpuk and the fish and their health needs in comparison to the locations in the Colville River where the whitefish there are enduring some level of sickness with the mold and to assess the neighboring area, tributaries around by the Smith Bay right there. And there seems to not have the same issues that are occurring from the Colville -- I don't know if it's related to that and it was drafted in a way that singled that out as looking at is -- is the issues going on in the Colville River, are those issues going on in the Ikpikpuk area for knowing that the broad whitefish is a major fishery in that area where there's the heavy population of subsistence activities.

MS. VOORHEES: Mr. Chair, I don't -since I wasn't here that cycle I don't propose them, I
don't know exactly the history of this one either.
Someone else can chime in, but it sounds to me like the
issue that you were just discussing and which we
discussed as a PIN focusing on mold disease and
discoloration of whitefish in the Colville River and
comparison to the Ikpikpuk, et cetera, sounds like that
is a greater concern. And if this is not a current
pressing concern, you could take it out. I mean, there
is -- the benefit of having fewer PINs is you're more

decides.

Page 112

likely probably to get proposals focused on those concern -- those topics of greatest concern and immediate (indiscernible - distortion) but there's no real harm in including more PINs if you feel strongly about it either.

5 6 7

2

3 4

So just let me know what the Council

8 9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, it's my understanding that kind of study did occur back in the 1990s. I think there was a radio telemetry and stuff. So I would be inclined to maybe take it off the list. I would need to defer to the entire Regional Council that there are more important studies than that one that was just described. But I would leave it to the better judgment of the entire group.

17 18 19

20

What's the wish of the Council on that one. It seems to me we could table that one and take it off as a PIN.

21 2.2 23

MR. REXFORD: This is Edward. I agree with that recommendation.

24 25

Thank you.

26 27 28

29 30

31

32

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So thank you, Edward. And I think -- I think we're understanding these things more as we discuss them there, Hannah, and yeah, I think we would take that one off because there are multiple broad whitefish proposals in there that are PINs.

33 34 35

36

37

MS. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the Council. Duly noted and when you're ready I will read the final list of PINs to you for your approval.

38 39 40

41

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead. We're getting closer to lunch so we're going to speak with our stomachs here pretty soon.

MS. VOORHEES: All right. I have a lot of edits here so bear with me, but the first PIN, and these are not read in any particular order and they're not ranked, they're just a collection.

46 47 48

The first PIN is to document new fish species and changes in abundance, size, timing and

```
Page 113
     distribution of previously present fish species; study
     impacts of new or expanding species on other fish that
 2
     are important to subsistence and studies should draw on
 3
 4
     traditional ecological knowledge.
 5
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
 6
                                       Thank you.
 7
 8
                     MS. VOORHEES: The second.....
 9
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Do you want to
10
11
     approve them one by one or do you want to name them all
     and then we go into approval at that point?
12
13
14
                     MS. VOORHEES: I think it might be best
15
     to approve them all at the end.
16
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Continue.
17
18
19
                     MS. VOORHEES: All right. Okay.
20
     second PIN is to document mold disease and
     discoloration on broad or just -- excuse me, whitefish
21
     in the Colville River and investigate possible causes.
22
23
                     The third one is affects of climate
24
25
     change, including late freeze-up -- I'm sorry. And
     there was a comparative aspect for the second one as
26
     well with lake -- with Ikpikpuk River.
27
28
                     So the third PIN is affects of climate
29
30
     change, including late freeze-up on Ikpikpuk River on
     subsistence access practices and fish preservation.
31
     This should include study -- studies about how these
32
     affects are impacting people's ability to continue
33
34
     traditions and their food security or something to that
35
     affect. We'll tighten up the language.
36
                     The fourth PIN....
37
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: And there was a --
40
     there was a concern on that one that it was going to be
41
     stated in a way that.....
42
43
                     MS. VOORHEES: Oh, I'm sorry.
44
     and....
45
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: .....primarily in the
46
47
     Ikpikpuk....
48
49
                     MS. VOORHEES: Yes, thank you.
50
```

CHAIRMAN BROWER:or something to -- you phrased it just right earlier when we were discussing it.

MS. VOORHEES: Yeah. So affects of climate change including late freeze-up, et cetera, et cetera, on North Slope -- on North Slope systems with an emphasis on Ikpikpuk River. Something -- something like that.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: There you go.

MS. VOORHEES: Okay. Thank you. Karen is taking notes so we'll make sure these are according to the Council's wishes.

The fourth PIN is documentation of changing subsistence fish harvest and consumption in the community of Nuigsut.

2.2

The fifth and last PIN is baseline fish habitat and water quality monitoring, I was going to suggest including overwintering areas, with a special focus on temperature, dissolved oxygen and silt content on the rivers and tributaries important to subsistence fishing in North Slope communities.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I like that one because I've got a picture of a overwintering habitat with a D-7 stuck right in the middle of it in February. If you ever want to see that picture I'll send it to you. And I'm thinking that there's lots and lots of baby fish in that -- in that picture underneath that tractor and that tractor is -- in February, it's an overwintering habitat, because I know they spawn in the -- in these deep holes on almost every bank of the -- when they -- in these spawning areas on the Ikpikpuk. You can practically go fishing one deep hole and then if you know where there's another deep hole go move your nets over there and get more spawning fish in the next hole.

 $$\operatorname{MS}.$ VOORHEES: But I'm glad you approve of that addition. Thank you.

And that is the complete list of PINs and now it is open -- this is an action item and it's open to the Council's approval.

Page 115

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Eva, in the cover -- in the cover of the November 4-5 meeting there's a picture of -- that's the Ikpikpuk River right there on the cover, the one that you distributed and those are my boys fishing. And you can see a little stick sticking out by one of the boys standing and jigging for grayling, but the area is full of lined-up fish. That's what I'm talking about, this picture is like September 28 and my kids are pretty young in that picture. You don't get anymore of that kind of setting hardly to allow for the nets to be stationary and now we're dealing with moving ice quite a bit. So and the Ikpikpuk is a major fish spawning area. Anyway I just thought I'd say that.

11/5/2020

So what's the wish of the Council on the studies. This is an action item, we need a motion to approve those PINs as our priorities for study.

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair. I make a motion to approve the studies that were presented.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a motion on the floor from Steve Oomittuk from Point Hope for the PINs described today.

MS. KIPPI: Second the motion. Wanda.

MR. HOPSON: I'll second that motion.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's been seconded by Wanda at Atqasuk. Any discussion.

MR. OOMITTUK: Under discussion I know you -- they mention about ocean studies. You know, the murres are, you know, that come to Point Hope and lay their eggs in the cliffs and the abundance of murres that are -- and puffins that are -- nest in the cliffs. You know, they've been washing up. I don't know if they're doing any studies on that. They are on land, but they feed on the ocean, down deep, you know, in the eco. And then you had mentioned that some of them starve.

So and then, you know, that's a concern because of the jurisdiction problem that we have dealing with the ocean. And when you're surrounded by ocean and a lot of your concerns deal with, you know, the animals that live within the ocean that we depend

And that's some of the concerns that Point Hope has to really -- and we are mainly an ocean subsistence people and yet sometimes we can't adjust these because I think it's not within the North Slope Subsistence Advisory Council. And then that's something that we want to look into to try to change that because the boundary lines of the North Slope Borough is three miles out.

11/5/2020

8 9

2

3 4

5

6

7

Thank you.

10 11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I'm going to ask Eva to maybe chime in a little bit because we can send a message to NOAA on some of these concerns. I don't think the -- our boundary of the State and the Borough on this particular issues are at play. the jurisdiction is related to it because some of the species are marine going, they may come in right near to the shore, but they spawn in the ocean, they live in the ocean and hangout where we can subsist off them And that's the only defining jurisdictional issue where when it's all marine it's NOAA. And when it's anadromous, being able to go ocean, but go into the rivers and do their thing, then it's in our jurisdiction to study thee things because they're in our jurisdiction at that point. That's my understanding. It doesn't have to do with the Borough boundary or the State boundary, it's just a jurisdiction between it's all fully marine or it's anadromous. Anadromous meaning a mixed.

30 31 32

I hope that helps, Steve.

33 34

35

And, Eva, if you could chime in on the messages and concerns that have been raised that may be the exclusive jurisdiction of another agency.

36 37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and thank you, Steve, again for that question. Yeah, and it -- as we've discussed before both the Council's own jurisdiction is guided by ANILCA addressing Federal subsistence fish and wildlife on Federal lands in the region. Federal lands and waters so inland waters. And the FRMP program itself also has a limitation in terms of the research that can be funded has to address Federal management so again on Federal lands and Federal waters.

47 48

And then when we get into the marine

Page 117

environment that is the jurisdiction of NOAA and National Marine Fisheries Service. And we'll be having a report on Beaufort and Chukchi Sea research at the end of the Council meeting today. So it is something that as the Nuiqsut subsistence is broad encompassing, you know, there isn't a boundary between freshwater and marine waters for subsistence activity especially for coastal communities. So we will be trying to get more of those marine research reports and information to the Council and the Council can also, you know, address those concerns in your annual report as well which is coming upon the agenda next too.

But for the Council's work and for this FRMP project the jurisdiction can only be Federal lands and waters. And we'll have to defer to the other agencies to address some of the environment.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. And I hope that helps, Steve, and.....

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ OOMITTUK: Oh, yes. Thank you. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Any further discussion.

MR. OOMITTUK: Question called for.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: The question's been called for. All those in favor of approving the current PINs that were of discussion this morning signify by saying aye.

IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed say

40 nay.

(No opposing votes)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: The ayes have it. Those are our PINs.

Thank you, Hannah.

MS. VOORHEES: Thank you, Mr. Chair and

members of the Council.

2 3

4

5

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. about 15 minutes to lunch. What's the wish of the Council, do you want to take a lunch break and then -and if so for how long.

6 7 8

9

Mr. Chair and Council, if MS. PATTON: I may. This is Eva. There's one more topic on the agenda that would be very helpful to address briefly before breaking for lunch. And that is the

11 12 13

14

10

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. It'll be -- we'll get it done before lunchtime because we're growling.

15 16 17

18

19 20

21 2.2

23

24 25

26

27

MS. PATTON: Yes. So that's the overview to address the Council's annual report and identify topics for this year's annual report. So this is something I can provide a quick overview of and any recommendations from the Council and then we circle back around at the end of the meeting as well because there's other things that come up as you're getting information and reports that you'd like to add to your annual report reply. So it would be -- of your annual report rather. So it would be helpful to be able to cover this quickly before lunch and then we'll be able to add....

28 29 30

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Is it a action item?

31 32 33

34

35

36

37 38

39

MS. PATTON: It is an action item. the feedback from the Council comes throughout the meeting and we can add it at the end of the meeting. The Council doesn't necessarily have to vote on it, but we're looking for your feedback. But again if I can provide the overview so the Council has the opportunity to continue to think about it and we'll get more feedback on what you'd like to add to your annual report at the end of the meeting.

40 41 42

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. Go ahead, Eva. We'll listen super fast.

43 44 45

46

47

Thank you, Mr. MS. PATTON: Okay. Chair and Council. So you'll find page 38 of your meeting books is an overview of the annual report process. And then you'll find that beginning on.....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. I'm on page

38. 2 3

MS. PATTON: All right. And then you'll find beginning on page 40 of your meeting books is your last year's annual report with the replies from the Board.

11/5/2020

7 8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

1

4

5

6

So for this year's annual report just again ANILCA, title VIII of ANILCA which established the Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils established these annual reports as a way to bring the regional subsistence uses and needs to the Secretary's attention. And again the Secretary's delegated this responsibility to the Federal Subsistence Board and section 805(c). Again deference includes matters brought forward in the annual report to the Federal Subsistence Board.

18 19 20

21 2.2

23

So this is an important opportunity too for the Council to address the Board directly on subsistence issues of concern, requests for information or research and to make recommendations to the Federal Subsistence Board.

24 25 26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

So the annual report provides the Councils an opportunity to address the directors of each of the four Department of Interior agencies, Department of Agriculture and as members of the Federal Subsistence Board. And the Board is required to discuss and reply to each and every issue in the annual report and take action when it's within the Board's authority. And in many cases if the issue is outside the Board's authority then the Board will provide information to the Council on how to contact personnel at the relevant agency.

36 37 38

39

40 41

42

As directors the Board members have authority to implement most of the actions which would affect the changes recommended by the Council, even those not covered in the 805(c). And the Councils are strongly encouraged to take advantage of this opportunity.

43 44 45

46

47

48

And so if Council members have topics that you would like to bring to the Board's attention and I know Steve Oomittuk has, you know, been very concerned about the marine environment and what's going on there and the importance for subsistence and the

inter-relationship. And so we'll, you know, definitely ensure that those concerns and that dialogue is included in this year's annual report to the Board.

3 4 5

> 6 7

8

9 10

2

I'm going to open it up to the Council if there's topics that you feel at this time you'd like to have in the annual report and then again we'll circle back around at the end of the meeting to see if there are additional interests or requests or recommendations you'd like to add.

11 12

13

14

15

16

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. you, Eva. And I suspect we'll discuss this at the end of the -- later on towards the end of the agenda items as you said. But thanks for the overview for the annual report and the process and what we could mention and then the -- from there.

17 18 19

So with that I think we're due for a break, a good lunch break. And what's the wish of the Council, it's about 10 minutes until noon.

21 2.2. 23

24

20

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair. I make a motion to break for lunch until 1:00 p.m. Is that enough time?

25 26 27

CHAIRMAN BROWER: 1:30.

28 29

MR. REXFORD: Second.

30 31

MR. OOMITTUK: Okay. 1:30. I make a

32 33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42

43

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair. If I may just jump in real quick. We do have a very full agenda for the second half of the day since we recessed yesterday. We have one more action item for the Council from the National Park Service and then we do have a full agenda of informational reports that the Council has requested. So we do want to make sure we are able to get to those on behalf of the Council. And so if there might be an opportunity to begin the meeting again at 1:00 p.m. that might help to fit all those reports in by the end of the day.

44 45 46

47

48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Oh, come on, Eva. got to sign lots of timesheets. I -- is it 1:30 or 1:00 o'clock. I do have to do a little bit of making sure everybody gets paid while they're hunkered down

49 50

motion to....

will be addressed by Marcy Okada. 43 44 Are you online, Marcy?

MS. OKADA: Hi, Eva. This is Marcy.

MS. PATTON: Hi, Marcy. Thank you. are still waiting on two other Council members here,

49 50

42

45

but given the timeline that we're looking at this afternoon we should proceed. I guess at the Council's wish if maybe since we're waiting for a couple Council members for a full quorum for making a recommendation, if you would like to provide the Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve update and then we can take up the National Park Service request when we have full quorum of Council members joining us.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, just for the record this is Steve, I'm on.

MS. PATTON: Oh, okay. We've got Steve on. Okay. So we have quorum so we can go ahead and proceed then with the next and last action item for the Council.

Thank you.

MS. OKADA: Okay. Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and Council members. For the record my name is Marcy Okada and I'm the subsistence coordinator for Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve. I'll be presenting a requested change in procedure for individual customary and traditional use determinations. And as Eva had mentioned the associated materials begin on page 49 of your Council book.

 This topic is complicated so our staff has recently developed a comparison chart to show the existing process for these determinations as compared to what we're proposing. And I believe that chart is in your supplemental meeting packet, but let me go ahead and get started.

So requests for individual customary and traditional use determinations are rare and less than a dozen have been made to date. These determinations only apply to National Parks and Monuments so they don't apply to Preserves. During the last Federal wildlife regulation call for proposals one of these requests was made by an individual seeking to hunt in Denali National Park, following a long existing pattern of use. Unfortunately this proposal was invalidated based on erroneous information regarding the process. What this situation brought to light was a need to provide a clear, streamlined process for responding to these requests. National Park Service

staff developed one such process for consideration by the Federal Subsistence Board and this is what I'm speaking to your Council about today.

11/5/2020

3 4 5

6 7

8

9 10

2

Throughout their July work session the Federal Subsistence Board deferred action on a delegation of authority to the National Park Service, Alaska Regional Director, for making individual customary and traditional use determinations for lands managed by the Park Service and requested that the RACs be briefed on this and have an opportunity to provide input on the delegation of authority at their fall meetings.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2.

11

12

The Federal Subsistence Board is considering a delegation of authority to the National Park Service which would grant the National Park Service Regional Director the authority to make individual customary and traditional use determinations otherwise known as individual C&T. The draft. delegation of authority letter and the draft standard operating procedure can also be found in your meeting book on page 49.

23 24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

In preparation for this requested administrative change staff with the National Park Service have developed standard operating procedures for both the 13440 subsistence eligibility permits and for individual C&T. I will be presenting you with an overview of both topics, the Park Service's suggested changes to existing processes and the reasons that this is being pursued.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

To begin with it is important to recognize that eligibility to engage in subsistence activities in National Parks and National Monuments in Alaska is different from that of National Preserves and other types of Federal public lands. To be eligible in these units one must not only be a Federally-qualified subsistence user, but also have his or her primary permit home located within a resident zone community or have obtained a 13440 subsistence eligibility permit. Additionally the subsistence user must also have a customary or traditional use determination for the area and the species that they intend to hunt.

45 46 47

48

Customary and traditional use determinations acknowledge an existing pattern of subsistence use. The Federal Subsistence Board

frequently receives requests to evaluate or reevaluate these predominantly for inclusion of communities or areas, but for lands managed by the National Park Service determinations can also be made for individuals pursuant to 50 Code of Federal Regulations 100.16 which states for areas managed by the National Park Service where subsistence uses are allowed the determinations may be made on an individual basis.

Requests for individual customary and traditional use determinations have been rare in the history of the program and less than a dozen requests have been made thus far. We have no reason to believe that this will change given its rarity and the applicability to only National Parks and National Monuments National Park Service feels that the program and its stakeholders may be better served if the Park Service Regional Director is given the delegated authority to make individual customary and traditional use determinations.

If this were to be delegated to the Regional Director the process would alleviate a burden on the Office of Subsistence Management and the Federal Subsistence Board, provide a more substantial role for Subsistence Resource Commissions, allow requests to be acted upon outside of the normal regulatory cycle and provide better mechanisms for documenting and archiving these decisions.

 If the Federal Subsistence Board delegates authority to the Park Service for individual customary and traditional use determinations the Park Service intends to follow the procedures outlined in your meeting materials in order that the responsibilities of all involved are clearly defined to provide consistency and continuity across Park units, to streamline both the 13440 permit process and the individual C&T process and to provide mechanisms for evaluating and documenting the requests and subsequent decisions. These procedures are consistent with title VIII of ANILCA and with the existing regulations.

So I'm not going to walk the Council through every step or document -- or the document outlined in the standard operating procedures, but I would like to point out that the eight criteria for evaluating individual customary and traditional use determinations are the same as those for community and

area customary and traditional use determinations. The Park Service would continue to analyze these criteria in a manner consistent with the Federal Subsistence Board's policies.

Delegation of authority on these matters is considered an administrative action of the Federal Subsistence Board, but before approving this process the Board has asked the Regional Advisory Councils to review the proposed procedures and to offer feedback especially given that the responsibility for making recommendations on these C&T requests will shift from the Regional Advisory Councils to the Subsistence Resource Commissions. The Park Service believes that the Commissions are perhaps best equipped to evaluate patterns of subsistence use and their associated Parks and Monuments and each includes representation from the associated Regional Advisory Councils.

 As Eva had mentioned this is an action item and we would appreciate your feedback on these processes and specifically request that your body vote on whether to endorse this change.

At this time I would be happy to try and answer any questions that you might have.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Marcy. Any questions to Marcy on this C&T determination delegation to Park Service.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I have one. You mentioned this was spurred on by somebody doing erroneous stuff in the Denali National Park. Without naming names what was the erroneous information that prompted the need to do some better work here?

MS. OKADA: So for clarification the gentleman had originally been -- him and his family had originally been living in Healy or Cantwell actually and then they moved to Healy to -- for work and he wanted his family and himself to be able to go back to Cantwell and still be able to hunt within Denali National Park. So he was requesting an individual customary and traditional use determination for that --

 Page 126

for his particular situation. And because we didn't have a streamlined process it's kind of led to this current request.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay.

MS. OKADA: So the information that was -- he was providing in his application was erroneous because we don't currently have a streamlined process.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. So since Gates of the Arctic is in our region as well and what could you expect for a potential individual C&T in the Gates of the Arctic. I mean, could it be a guided operator that's out of Fairbanks that frequents the Gates of the Arctic or, I mean, can you give an example of what you might see?

MS. OKADA: Because -- because the applicant would have to be a Federally-qualified subsistence user that means their primary residence would have to be in a rural area also located within a resident zoned community. And as of right now we're anticipating maybe a rare request here and there, but we don't suspect, you know, a rise in applications all of a sudden due to the criteria.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. So I'm not looking for a rise in -- I'm just saying what -- where an application might come from, I mean, from Fairbanks, a user has to be a rural resident so let's just say there's a whole bunch of new teachers in Anaktuvuk Pass or some other village on the Slope or within the NANA region that has a -- village that has a C&T to the Gates of the Arctic and it's a new resident. Do those new residents automatically qualify because they're rural residents or would they need to apply for an individual C&T because they just moved there?

MS. OKADA: So in your example if a teacher were to move to Anaktuvuk Pass because Anaktuvuk Pass is a resident zoned community they would already be considered a Federally-qualified subsistence user.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. I'm just trying to see what an application -- where it might come from, what you guys are describing. It just seems -- it seems like going way out of the way to work on

Brian.

a request.

Page 127

something that's maybe not an issue or something.

MR. PERSON: Mr. Chair. This is Brian Person, North Slope Borough Department of Wildlife Management. May I ask a question?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead there,

MR. PERSON: Yeah. Good afternoon, Council members and hello, Marcy. I guess I'm not entirely clear what you're asking of the RAC. I mean, currently who -- who determines whether or not in your example in Denali Park, would that go before the RAC to determine if that individual could still qualify to hunt within Denali even though that person moved to Healy. So I guess what I'm asking is -- you know, is the North Slope Regional Advisory Council are they

forfeiting their ability to approve or disapprove such

Thanks.

MS. OKADA: Currently the RACs are the advisory committees that review these requests and then it goes on to the Federal Subsistence Board. So what we're proposing is it -- the advisory committee review would be -- an advisory committee would be the Subsistence Resource Commission and then the final decision maker would be the Park Service Regional Director. The RACs would still have some involvement, but essentially the main advisory committee would be the Subsistence Resource Commission. So that's one of the proposed changes.

MR. PERSON: Sure. Okay. That -- that helps. Sorry. Through the Chair. So but ultimately with what you're proposing it would be up to the Park Service to make the ultimate determination rather than where the powers exist now with the Subsistence Resource Committee; is that correct?

MS. OKADA: Yeah. So the proposed process would change from the RACs to the Subsistence Resource Commissions and then the decision maker is currently the Federal Subsistence Board and we're proposing that it would be the Park Service Alaska Regional Director. And mainly this would be because currently when an application is submitted there's a

narrow window and it -- and the current process is during the call for regulatory proposals which is every two years, but under this new process it would be open continuously. So we -- you know, we would be able to process such an application year round and not just during a regulatory proposal year.

6 7 8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

2

3 4

5

MR. PERSON: Through the Chair. This is Brian, North Slope Borough, Department of Wildlife Management again. Okay. Thank you, Marcy. Yeah, I understand that these -- you know, the current process, there's a leg just like all of these, you know, be it Alaska Department of Fish and Game or geepers (ph), even a call for proposals. But yeah, it just seems -- yeah, it seems that a lot of power is being handed to the Regional Director of the Park Service and I just want to bring that to the attention of the Committee.

17 18 19

Thanks.

20 21

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair. I got a

22 question.

23 24

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Steve.

25 26

2728

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, you know, I know when they bring proposals to the North Slope Subsistence Advisory Council or when we pass resolutions, you know, and then they get shut down by Federal Subsistence Advisory, you know, even though we support or we pass them. And I know -- you know, for our new Council members, I don't know if you can elaborate how things -- you know, the Subsistence Advisory Council and the Federal Subsistence Advisory. You know, we have some new Council members. that, you know, in the past when we -- when they're concerned about the Western Arctic caribou herd and we wanted to stop all nonresidents and commercial hunting on Federal lands and it was passed by the North Slope Subsistence Advisory Council, you know, within the North Slope Borough, the boundary lines on Federal lands, but still it was shot down by the Federal Subsistence Board. And then who makes the final decision, is it -- you know, the governor appoints a certain person to address these and then signs off on it, you know, and we got a new governor, they get some advisors or how does that work. I know we ran into a situation last year or the year before and even though we passed these resolutions, but was -- it was shut

down and you want to make decisions in support or not support, you know.

2 3 4

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair. This is Eva.

5 6

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Eva.

7

9

MS. PATTON: Yes, I thank -- thank you for that question, Steve, and I can help address that question and the process of the Federal Subsistence Board in terms of their consideration of Council recommendations.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

11

So the Councils are governed by title VIII of ANILCA and within title VIII of ANILCA it provides that the Board will accept the recommendations of a Subsistence Regional Advisory Council regarding harvest of subsistence fish and wildlife and providing for a subsistence priority unless the recommendation is not supported by substantial evidence, if the recommendation violates recognized principles of fish and wildlife conservation or if adopting a recommendation would be detrimental to the satisfaction of subsistence needs. So if other subsistence users might be harmed or impacted by a proposal or recommendation. And again when a Council's recommendation isn't adopted then the Board is required by the Secretarial regulations to provide the reasoning for their decision.

29 30 31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

So in -- overall the Board does defer the majority of the time to the Councils' recommendations. There are circumstances where for example in the case of the Western Arctic caribou herd that crosses multiple Regional Advisory Council regions and, you know, is important and affecting many subsistence users throughout the herd's region. And so sometimes there are differing recommendations from different Councils and the Board has to listen to all the Councils and all the information to try to make their decision supporting the majority of the Councils' recommendations and again the data book, both science and traditional knowledge, that is provided to the Board.

44 45 46

47

48

So overall the -- since the inception of the Federal Subsistence Management Program back in 1993 the Board's track record of supporting Council recommendations is roughly around 95 percent for all 10

Councils. So the Board does take the Councils' recommendation very seriously and again has to provide a justification for not supporting Councils' recommendation and they usually do. So it varies from year to year, but on average the Board supports between 85 and 95 percent of all Council recommendations.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you.

MS. OKADA: Mr. Chair, this is Marcy

13 Okada.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Marcy.

21 22

MS. OKADA: I think what's helpful is the table that was sent to you and the Council members. It breaks down what the current process is and then it shows what the proposed process would be. It basically breaks it down to what it currently is and what we're proposing to change. And then I'm not sure if you folks have that table in front of you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I'm trying to

locate....

MS. PATTON: Marcy, this is.....

CHAIRMAN BROWER:locate that.

MS. PATTON: Yeah, Marcy, this is Eva. I might suggest just because it might take time for Council members to find that particular document and that was sent out in the second set of meeting materials that was mailed out. And I know most Council members received it although some mail service in communities is quite slow and others have concerns about, you know, exposure to coronavirus. So it might be helpful to reference that, to kind of narrate that over the phone for the Council.

Thank you, Marcy.

MS. OKADA: Okay. So currently the process has an application window which is a narrow window, it's -- it's during the call for regulatory proposals which is every two years. What the Park Service is proposing is the application window would be

open year round and not have just a certain period where applications can be received.

11/5/2020

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

The current process for application review. Proposals may be invalidated if they're incomplete or if a 13440 permit is needed. Park Service is proposing that Park Service staff interview the applicant to ensure that all information regarding the eight C&T factors is documented and a process -and we would process the 13440 permit application if one is needed.

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Proposal analysis. Is currently -what it does is it currently follows the standard format for C&T proposals using the eight criteria factors. The analysis is prepared by the Park Service staff in combination with Office of Subsistence Management staff. What we're proposing is to continue to follow the standard format for C&T proposals using the same eight criteria factors and the analysis would be prepared by Park Service staff.

21 2.2 23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

As mentioned before the advisory committee that reviews these applications is the Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils. proposed process would change to the National Park Service Subsistence Resource Commissions. Currently the decision maker, the final decision maker is the Federal Subsistence Board. We're currently proposing that the final decision maker is the National Park Service, Alaska Regional Director.

31 32 33

34 35

36

And lastly the current decision timeline is on a fixed schedule at annual regulatory meetings. But we're currently proposing to change that to a flexible schedule following the receipt of the Subsistence Resource Commission's recommendation.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So, Marcy, in this scenario and what you're requesting, you know, should we approve -- and this is an action item on our part as well?

42 43 44

41

MS. OKADA: Yes. We're requesting that the Council make a decision.

45 46 47

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And that....

48 49

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Let me be allowed to finish for a minute there, Steve, and then I'll get to you.

3 4 5

> 6 7

> 8

9 10

2

And so if the deliberation was to go with the recommendation of what you're requesting and that it will also defer the review and recommendation from the Regional Subsistence Advisory Council to the Subsistence Resource Commission or Committee for the Park Service which we have one or two members from the Slope on that?

11 12 13

14 15

16

17 18

MS. OKADA: Yes. So recommendations would no longer be sought from the affected Regional Advisory Council regarding individual C&T determinations. Instead those recommendations will be provided by the affected Subsistence Resource Commissions or SRCs to which the Councils currently have representation.

19 20 21

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Right.

2.2 23

MS. OKADA: The affected RACs....

24 25

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So....

26 27

MS. OKADA:would be made aware of any of these new individual customary and traditional use determinations at your meeting.

29 30 31

28

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Right.

32 33

MS. OKADA: But so....

34 35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I know, we'll become aware of it, that would be the extent of it. But the members on the Subsistence Resource Commission for the Park Service, I know we -- the original Advisory Council has a member that we delegate the AKP representative and then one from the Western Arctic Caribou Working Group I think and then who are the other Subsistence Resource Commission members, who do they comprise of?

43 44 45

46

47

48

MS. OKADA: So as you had said the North Slope RAC has an appointed member to our SRC and that's Esther Hugo. The Northwest Arctic RAC also has an appointed member to our SRC and the Western Interior RAC has an appointed member. Now there's nine seats on

the Commission, three are RAC appointed, three are governor of Alaska appointed and then three are Secretary of Interior appointments.

3 4 5

6

7

8

9

2

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Now those appointments from not the RAC, are they general public appointments or are they department employees, ADF&G appointments or what are the normal appointments from the governor and then you said some other entity appoints, is that the Secretary of Interior you said maybe?

11/5/2020

11 12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22 MS. OKADA: Yes. So there's three seats for appointment -- three seat appointments for Secretary of Interior. And for the governor of Alaska appointments, we do have a North Slope Borough representative on our SRC that's governor of Alaska appoint -- appointed. Another SRC member has a homestead just outside of Gates of the Arctic, he -- he's a governor of Alaska appointed member. And then the third member actually comes from one of our resident zoned communities, but he happens to be appointed by the governor.

23 24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. Yeah, just wanted to see what the makeup was versus Regional Advisory Council deliberating versus the SRC and what the makeup of that is. It seems like no one area is outgunned maybe with the governor's appointment or the Secretary of Interior appointments and just wanted to see what the balance of power would be in reviewing those types of things. So I feel comfortable that it's not a really big issue and I don't expect a lot of C&T's, but I'm still at a loss of what kind of applicant you would be implementing on something like this. Even if it -- if we are to do an individual C&T -- I haven't seen an individual request a C&T and what an applicant would look like. I mean, can you give an example of what would be entertained and reviewed besides that erroneous one in Denali National Park?

44

MS. OKADA: So currently the majority of the applications are from the Wrangell-St. Elias area. We -- you know, for Gates of the Arctic we just don't receive those types of requests commonly.

45 46 47

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So an individual that lived in Wrangell-St. Elias would put in an application to have C&T determination to Gates of the Arctic based

49 50

on prior use or something like that?

MS. OKADA: No. So for qualification both the application -- individual C&T traditional use determinations would -- would be someone who -- in that region for Wrangell-St. Elias and would be putting in for Wrangell-St. Elias National Park. In regards to Gates of the Arctic, you know, I don't have a strong background in the type of applications....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah.

MS. OKADA:we think we might

receive.

21 22

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I -- I'm just seeing that there's -- you're -- it's trying -- this is some sort of loophole that we're dealing with because you're saying even a new resident that became a resident in Anaktuvuk Pass automatically gets C&T to the Gates of the Arctic because that's their residence now, because rural residents of that area have customary use determination already. I'm just trying to find out what an application would look like?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$ REAM: Mr. Chair. This is Joshua Ream. I'm the regional subsistence program manager for the National Park Service.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hey, all right, Joshua. Long time no hear.

MR. REAM: I know. How you doing,

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Sorry for all the grilling, but just -- I just want to understand a little bit better.

MR. REAM: No, that's fine. And I'm hoping that maybe I can fill in a little bit for Marcy by providing an example. In order to qualify to submit an application for individual customary and traditional use determination in a Park, the individual must have had a previous pattern of use. And so they could have gotten that either by living in the resident zoned community of the Park or having subsisted within the Park before ANILCA was signed into law. So just as an example if someone from Anaktuvuk Pass that had their

 Gordon.

entire life hunted in Gates of the Arctic, moved to Nome, but Nome did not have a customary and traditional use determination, that person could apply for an individual customary and traditional use determination which would then allow them to return to Anaktuvuk Pass every year to continue to hunting -- continue to hunt under that existing pattern that they established long ago.

11/5/2020

And so I think that that hopefully would be an accurate and good example of where somebody might want to apply. And this does not apply to the more common community and area C&T's, those will continue to go through the same process they always have, they will go to the Federal Subsistence Board. This would only apply to those individual C&T's and we've only gotten a handful of them from the beginning of the program so they're very, very rare. And I think that that's sometimes why people get a little confused because you don't -- we don't hear about them that often, they don't typically come up. So hopefully that's helpful.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, it's kind of like creating the last Alaskan people kind of scenario to me, that's what it kind of sounds like to me. They move in and make some good friends with good hunting practices and learn the hunting trades of the local residents, move out -- move back to Fairbanks or move to wherever and then apply for an individual C&T based on all the friendships they made and say I hunted with these guys over here, now I live way over here in an urban setting and want to put in a C&T because I lived in one of the communities that had a C&T to this which I enjoyed and I'd like to continue enjoying that while I live in Anchorage or something. Is that kind of like what I'm hearing?

MR. REAM: Mr. Chair. This is Josh Ream for the record again. I just want to reiterate what Marcy said, that the individual still has to be a Federally-qualified user so they could not live in Fairbanks or Anchorage, they have to be a rural subsistence user. And yeah, I hope that helps to clarify. But I -- maybe I will state though that this is not something new, these individual C&T's have existed since the inception of the program, they're

```
just rare.
 2
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
 3
                                       Okay.
 4
 5
                     MR. REAM: The only thing that we're
     looking to do is change how they're reviewed, but not
 6
     to implement a new type of C&T, they're already in
 7
     regulation.
 8
 9
10
                     Thank you.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, thank you,
     Josh. They're already in existence, but it's new to us
13
     if we don't ever see them, you know. Anyway.....
14
15
                     Thank you.
16
17
18
                     So I think I'm done and kind of
     understand what it is. So maybe, Marcy, you could let
19
     us know....
20
21
2.2
                     Maybe I -- I better go to Steve, I
     think Steve had his hand up.
23
24
25
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair. This is
26
     Steve.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Go ahead, Steve.
29
30
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
     You know, just that's a lot of -- and I agree with
31
     Gordon. And, you know, some of us are new Council
32
     members and Gordon's been with the Subsistence Advisory
33
34
     Council for gees, probably 20 years. And I would like
     to hear Gordon's recommendation so the Council can --
35
     before we make a decision. Gordon's very knowledgeable
36
     and I'm way on the east -- west coast. But, yeah, I
37
38
     just feel like we might be opening some doors in the
     future that are unseen. And like what Gordon
39
                And I -- and then even though we do pass it
40
     mentioned.
     -- don't pass it then it goes to the Federal
41
     subsistence advisory and they can override us. And
42
     that's always an issue seems like.
43
44
                     But I would like to hear Gordon's
45
     recommendations just so the rest of the Council can
46
```

understand especially the -- we have some new Council members that -- and I thank Gordon for always being so active and under -- he understands what's going on in

49 50

47

that area and been around a long time on this Advisory Council.

2 3 4

Thank you.

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

2.2

23

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, so what we're talking about is -- and Marcy might need to clarify so we can -- I'm on page 49 about the standard operating procedures for issuance of subsistence eliqibility permits and individual customary and traditional use determinations for the Park Service and to be delegated to the Park Service to do that instead of getting those recommendations and review at the Regional Subsistence Advisory Council level. So it's kind of like all the communities on the Slope representatives and we say well, it's -- the question is asking us would all the communities would like to delegate this review and recommendation to the SRC which has three appointed members from the governor, has a member from Western Interior I think, from the Northwest Arctic Regional Subsistence Advisory Council, a member there, and a member from the North Slope Regional Advisory Council and three appointed members from the Secretary of the Interior.

242526

2728

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

And considering the amount of applications that come through I myself in the 20 years that I've been here haven't been exposed to a individual customary and traditional use determination that I could remember, maybe I -- maybe I don't remember one. But they're far and in between, they don't happen all the time. And I don't know why it's on the table, if there's -- if there's something behind the scenes why we're being asked to do this, to get that delegation to the National Park Service to do that, because is there something behind the scenes that is brewing that we are not aware of, I don't know, that's just conjecture on my part.

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48 But I don't really see an issue except reducing the level of oversight by the Regional Advisory Council and delegate it to some other. We can conditionally I think see how it is for a couple years and reassess how that works in a couple years. I mean, that would be my recommendation because I just haven't dealt with individual customary and traditional use determinations. But even for a couple years is that something -- there might be something imminent that's going on that would get those types of determinations,

I don't know.

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

So maybe there's better judgment to be had, but I think it's not a really big issue and but we seem to have been delegating a few things in the past. I think we delegated some management authority to the ANWR manager, Steve Berendzen, I forgot what it was, but we -- it was something that the RAC managed for a long time and then -- and there was a request to delegate that to the ANWR manager. And I've forgotten what it was and over time we might all forget what we're delegating, you know. And it may never surface again especially if all the RAC members gets replaced and stuff.

14 15 16

Anyway, Marcy, is that pretty much what we're talking about?

17 18 19

20

21 2.2.

23

24 25

MS. OKADA: Yes, Mr. Chair. know, the delegation of authority to the Park Service at the Federal Subsistence Board can be retracted. I mean, it doesn't mean that this is in perpetuity. Should this whole procedure not be working the Federal Subsistence Board can take away our delegated authority.

26 27

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. And if I can pick your brain, I mean, why is this on the table now. Is there -- is there a problem brewing or something?

29 30 31

32

33 34

35

28

MS. OKADA: Well, it all stemmed from that family that was trying to get C&T for Denali. You know, his application couldn't be processed in a timely manner. So it kind of triggered action so that we could look for a way of streamlining these types of requests.

36 37 38

39

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And then who had the authority in the Denali National Park for individual C&T reveal?

44

45

MS. OKADA: So it currently lies with the Federal Subsistence Board. You know, his application has to wait until it becomes a regulatory cycle and then it can be taken up. So that's what -what....

46 47 48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.

MS. OKADA:also triggered this. You know, if we're able to process applications in a more timely fashion and not just during a regulatory cycle then folks like these people can get their applications processed.

5 6 7

8

2

3 4

> CHAIRMAN BROWER: Uh-huh. And so it's about expediency versus wait for the next regulatory cycle?

9 10 11

12

MS. OKADA: Well, it's about streamlining and just taking action -- yeah, taking action on things in a timely fashion.

13 14 15

16

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So if the Federal Subsistence Board was the decision maker who was making the recommendation then?

17 18 19

20

23

24 25

28

29 30

31

MS. OKADA: It would have fallen to the RAC or that RAC for that reason.

21 2.2.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And in the Denali National Park does the RAC over there still retain the recommendation level or is that now deferred over to National Park Service?

26 27

MS. OKADA: I don't know how far along that application went, but should his -- should he reapply and this process get -- the delegation of authority go through then essentially his application would be the first to be processed through this new....

32 33 34

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Uh-huh.

35 36 37

MS. OKADA:this new way of doing

38 39 40

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And then the following question I have and maybe it's the last one, is what other Regional Councils have deliberated this and what was their recommendation?

46

47

48

41

MS. OKADA: You -- you folks are the last RAC to meet and all the RACS have just been presented the same information and Joshua Ream I believe has the -- if you're asking for what each of the RACs decided, Joshua Ream has that information available.

49 50 things.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I think that's important to see if they had the types of deliberations we're having in trying to look at this.

11/5/2020

3 4 5

6 7

8

9

1 2

> Thank you, Mr. Chair, MR. REAM: members of the Council. For the record this is Joshua Ream with the National Park Service. And I have both the outcomes of this topic with the Regional Advisory Councils as well as with the Subsistence Resource Commissions that have met so far.

10 11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2.

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

The Eastern Interior Council gave unanimous support and that includes the Chair of the Eastern Interior RAC who had previously expressed reservations at the Wrangell-St. Elias SRC meeting. The Western -- the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council gave unanimous support. The Kodiak Aleutians Regional Advisory Council gave unanimous support. Yukon Delta Regional Advisory Council took no action and there's no Park Service Land in their region. Southcentral Regional Advisory Council unanimously voted to defer until the Wrangell-St. Elias SRC had more time to review the topic. The Southeast Regional Advisory Council was in unanimous opposition. Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council gave unanimous support for deferral, also wanting to have more time to study and consider the topic. The Northwest Arctic Regional Advisory Council deferred the topic to the Kobuk Valley and Cape Krusenstern Subsistence Resource Commission. The Aniakchak Subsistence Resource Commission gave unanimous support to defer for more time to consider. The Denali and Lake Clark Subsistence Resource Commission took no action. the Wrangell-St. Elias Subsistence Resource Commission unanimously voted to oppose until they have more time to consider.

36 37 38

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. it's good to hear what other Council members are doing. It almost sounds like it's split down the line, middle, defer and approve. And it -- and seems like defer is almost wanting to learn more about it too to another time or to -- and some that have outright denied delegating that is what I'm getting.

46 47 48

Is that about a right assumption?

Page 141

MR. REAM: Mr. Chair. That's correct. The decisions on this topic have been all over the board. There -- when there has been hesitancy it's been for a couple of reasons. One, some of the Regional Advisory Councils possibly wanted to retain their ability to make a recommendation on individual customary and traditional use determination. And some Regional Advisory Councils were hesitant to give the final determination authority to the Regional Director of the Park Service rather than the Federal Subsistence Board. Many Councils and Commissions simply found this to be a complex issue which it is and hoped to have more time to learn about it and consider it.

Thank you.

MR. NAGEAK: (In Native), Gordon.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Josh.

Go ahead.

MR. NAGEAK: (In Native).

CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native).

 MR. NAGEAK: Thank you, Mr. President. For the record I'm a BLM natural resource specialist for NPR-A. And I just got a question because this reflects what the Gates of the Arctic, especially that's in Anaktuvuk Pass, had dealt with. Since the inception of the Park and how they were treated with their subsistence rights and their ability to hunt on the Park Service's land. That was -- and it was really hard.

And now some of the biggest complaints that we have is -- they have with their subsistence hunting that is being impacted by airplanes and trophy hunters that land in the North Slope Borough Airport in Anaktuvuk Pass. And now the Federal -- Federally-recognized subsistence boards, Advisory -- Regional Advisory Councils that need to be utilized for implementation of subsistence laws and what's coming from government to government relationship with the tribes.

One of the changes that was made in December, 2016 was the New Native American Policy that

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

Page 142

was enacted after the United States joined the United Nations in regards to how the rights of the indigenous people were being impacted by different, whether it's Federal organization or different organization that utilize the lands that was once Native lands and now it's all Park Service, Wildlife, different Federal lands. And the part that -- the question would be how do you tell with the tribes whether it's in Anaktuvuk Pass to have that government to government relationship that was enacted in the New Native American Policy to make sure that the people that relied on the lands and the resources like the animals are not impacted negatively. And I know that Parks, National Park Service, have impacted the subsistence way of life for the Anaktuvuk Pass people.

11/5/2020

15 16 17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24 25

26

27

And then when you reflected that it will be -- a lot of this activity will be with one person, I think that the way that rules and regulations are made it don't need to go back to one person. before Alaska became a State there was so many one person governors, one person recognize that really created havoc during the times of pandemic and during the times of resources that people eat all over Alaska. 1918 is a good example of when that pandemic started and now today the resources that are utilized for the subsistence hunting for the sovereign tribal people....

28 29 30

(Teleconference interference participants not muted)

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

MR. NAGEAK:are -- not a lot of people want to be part of that. And when I see this, it's making it easier for them to be part of the system, but the way to do it would be to ask the tribes, see if they would want somebody to hunt within their corporation lands or to hunt in areas that -areas of influence setup by the comprehensive plan and approved by the regional government and by the State.

41 42 43

44

45

46

So there's a lot of different issues that need to be understood. A new relationship that started with the New American Policy and those other things that need to be start moving forward with the new policies that were made, especially with the recognition of the sovereign tribes.

47 48

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Roy. think after listening to Joshua on the deliberation of many other RACs and there seems to be a split somewhere down the middle, some say do it, some say delegate it. And it seems to me that the explanation given to us from the Park Service is for expediency and not to wait around for the next regulatory cycle for the Federal Subsistence Board to take it up after the Regional Advisory Council who is currently tasked with these things, deliberates on them and makes a recommendation.

11/5/2020

11 12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2.

23

24 25

26

27

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

> And it's my own personal opinion and there was some suggestion that we're moving the balance of power authority and which seems to be a trend that we've been enduring like let's do the stuff ADF&G is doing and align regulations too so that when you cross Federal land to State land the rules are the same. then to -- and it seems to start to erode some of the rural subsistence priority issues within Federal public lands which is protected by ANILCA, where there must be a rural subsistence priority preference in individual customary and traditional use determination and the permit for subsistence on these lands. And I was just kind of reading through some of the intents behind it and seems to me we would -- we should in my opinion retain the oversight until there is an analysis done in my view and then defer to some other time.

28 29 30

That would be my recommendation, but if there are others that see it differently, then I.....

31 32 33

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair. With that said I'd like to make a motion to your suggestion.

34 35 36

37

CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a motion on the floor....

38 39

40

MR. OOMITTUK: That we change this proposal until we further understand it.

41 42 43

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. So we'll defer it until we have more understanding and an analysis about its affects.

44 45 46

47

REPORTER: Excuse me, Mr. Chair. going to try to remove the beeping, if you want to hold just a moment. This is the court reporter.

50

MS. PATTON: Steve Oomittuk, were you

```
able to join us back online?
 2
                     (No comments)
 3
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, somebody's
     still on hold or something because there's still a lot
 6
 7
     of beeping going on.
 8
                     MS. PATTON: Yes. So, Gordon, we've
 9
10
     disconnected a few times and it's not resetting.
11
     our court reporter is trying to get through to the
     operator yet. Given the time needs this afternoon to
12
     get through the agenda if it's able for the Council to
13
     proceed at this time with voting on the motion on the
14
15
     floor, hopefully the operator's going to connect with
     us and we'll be able to address that beeping soon.
16
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Sure.
                                              All right.
     Well, there is a motion on the floor from Steve
19
     Oomittuk to defer decision on this to a later date
20
     until we get an analysis and understand better. And
21
2.2
     that was the motion to -- on this for the issuance of a
     subsistence eligibility permit and individual customary
23
     and traditional use determinations to be delegated to
24
25
     the National Park Service from the Regional Advisory
     Council.
26
27
28
                     MS. KIPPI: Gordon, this is Wanda.
29
     like to second that motion.
30
                     MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair.
31
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a second from
34
     Atgasuk. We're up for discussion.
35
                     MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair, this is
36
     Earl....
37
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Earl.
40
41
                     MR. WILLIAMS: .....Earl Williams from
     Anaktuvuk.
42
43
```

44

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Earl.

45 46

47

48

MR. WILLIAMS: I just talked with Esther and she brought up a proposal that's been pulled out two years by Noatak and it's something to do with Anaktuvuk Park Service, it -- it wanted to be identical

or something she said. And she'd like a meeting on the 14th where it's -- for another meeting I guess and she brought this issue up, see if we could put it back on the table. She said it was a three year proposal, but I don't know nothing about it. So I'll just tell -- see if you guys got a record for that.

MS. PATTON: Hi, Earl. This is Eva. That proposal Esther is referring to was a -- dealing with the hunt area outside of Anaktuvuk Pass there and we can certainly add that back to the Council's agenda for a future meeting to discuss that. But that's a separate issue from this customary and traditional use determination request. So but we can certainly add that back to the agenda for the Council's winter meeting.

Thank you for bringing it up, Earl.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.

MS. PATTON: Thank you for reporting that on Esther's behalf.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you very

much.

much

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, all right.

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm just going to keep that on the record.

Thank you, Eva.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Earl, I got a

question for you.

MR. WILLIAMS: Go ahead.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Earl, this is Gordon. And on this to defer recommendations to the SRC for the National Park Service to entertain individual subsistence eligibility permits and individual customary and traditional use determinations to the National Park — on the National Park Service lands and take that away from the Regional Advisory Council and delegate over to the SRC. What's your opinion on that, we're kind of seeing like a whole bunch of Regional Advisory Councils say no and let's defer it, some are

making no decision and some other Regional Councils that say let's do it. And I'm of the opinion that we should understand better what the consequence is and still retain the recommendation level to the Federal Subsistence Board instead of letting it go to the National Park Service that way.

6 7 8

9

10

2

3 4

5

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, sounds pretty good and very understandable. And I'll really appreciate that on our behalf and, you know, keep me posted.

11 12

Let's move along.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. Well, we're going to vote on it, it's on the table. Right now the motion is to retain that and defer those decisions and deliberations at the Regional Advisory Council levels for all the community members to consider it instead of the SRC that has a couple of North Slope members plus three appointments from the governor on that SRC and then three appointments from the Secretary of Interior on that Board versus just the Regional Advisory Council deliberating on it. So I'm of the opinion that we should retain it and -- at this time and until there is a more understanding of the consequence and leave it at that. That is the motion at this point.

25 26 27

MR. WILLIAMS: I seconded the motion

28 29

then.

30 31

CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's been seconded. That's how come we are on the deliberation.

32 33 34

Any other discussions from any of the Regional Advisory Council members.

35 36 37

MR. OOMITTUK: Question called for.

38 39 40

41

42

43

CHAIRMAN BROWER: The question's been called for to defer the decision at a later time and retain to the Regional Advisory Council for the issuance of individual subsistence eligibility permits and individual customary and traditional use determination signify by saying aye.

44 45

IN UNISON: Aye.

46 47 48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed say

49 nay.

(No opposing votes)

1 2 3

CHAIRMAN BROWER: The ayes have it and there you go, Marcy.

4 5 6

Thank you, Mr. Chair, MS. OKADA: Council members.

7 8 9

10

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Let's qo back to our agenda, see where we're at here, are we down to agency reports?

11 12 13

14 15

16

17

18

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Eva. Yes, we are down to agency reports. And we do have a need to request everyone to maintain -you know, about 20 minutes for presentation and discussion with the Council in order to get everybody in who had taken the time to prepare the Council's request this afternoon. We do.....

19 20 21

2.2

25

26

27

28

29 30

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hey, you're adding five minutes, our papers say 15 minutes.

23 24

MS. PATTON: Well, we do have a request, one of the BLM people, Shelly Jones, the manager for BLM, NPR-A, the Arctic field office, does have a meeting at 3:30. And she has requested if the Council and Arctic National Wildlife Refuge and Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve would consider allowing BLM to report to the Council first prior to her meeting.

31 32

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

33 34 35

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. So is BLM

36 37 38

39

40

41

MS. PATTON: If the Council agrees and I'd just like to check on the agenda. We do have Steve Berendzen online with Arctic National Wildlife Refuge and National Park Service who were on the agenda first. So if that's okay with them as well for BLM to present at this time.

42 43 44

45

MR. BERENDZEN: Yes, Mr. Chair.

46 47 48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So what's the wish of the Council, will you let BLM pass because they got a prior commitment and they got very little time. I

49 50

up next then?

think we should get BLM on so we can release them.

2 3

MR. OOMITTUK: So moved.

4 5

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Sound good, everybody. So moved. All right. Let's go. BLM, you're on.

7 8 9

10

11

6

MS. JONES: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Council. And also thank you to Park Service and Fish and Wildlife. I really appreciate you guys reordering the agenda.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

In your packets there are two documents from BLM. One is a spreadsheet that has all of our various permits and projects and planning activities categorized in colors to indicate whether it's a research project or an oil and gas, et cetera. I'm not going to read through that list, but I am happy to answer any questions on things that might be of interest from that list.

21 2.2 23

CHAIRMAN BROWER: What page are you on?

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. Yes, this is a supplemental report that was mailed out to you in your second round of snail mailings. So that's where you'll find this report, it's not in your meeting book, it was in your second packet mailed to you. And then Shelly can reference the page numbers of that report.

31 32 33

Thanks so much.

34 35

36

37

40 41

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. going to go to Eva on my email, maybe that's where I saw it.

38 39

MS. PATTON: I can resend the email as well. So there's a hard copy that was sent out to you in the mail that arrived for most folks about five days ago or....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. I see it. BLM activities. And there are two pieces.

46 47 48

MS. JONES: Yep. And the one that's labeled narrative report is the one I'm going to be going kind of through on a high level, try to keep it

short.

2

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.

4 5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

MS. JONES: So -- okay. So just real quickly wanted to mention the BLM managed areas on the North Slope and they're depicted on one of the first maps in the narrative. Mostly it's in the National Petroleum Reserve, that's a huge BLM management block, 23 million acres. But we do have lands on the North Slope outside that block, particularly to the west of the National Petroleum Reserve. There's about a million acres of calving and insect relief area for the Western Arctic herd over there on that side. there's a different resource management plan that pertains to that, it's covered under our Kobuk/Seward plan, but it's still the responsibility of the Arctic district so we sometimes do issue permits over in that area.

19 20 21

2.2

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

Just to give you an idea of some of the ongoing activities, GMT-1 has seven wells on it that are in production now. When that pad is fully developed it will have 33 wells, but it's already pumping oil into the pipeline and last year in 2019 we collected or the Federal government collected \$35 million in royalties from the production within the NPR-A and that was split with the State of Alaska. That is the process that is used. so 17 and a half million went to the State of Alaska and 17 and a half million went to the Federal treasury. And this year the production is probably higher than it was in 2019 however the price of oil is lower so the revenue may be down a bit. But I don't have the 2020 numbers.

34 35 36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

So anyway just -- the main reason for it is because the part that the State of Alaska gets goes into the NPR-A Impact Mitigation Fund and that is distributed through grants to all the North Slope communities that apply for different projects. And there's a nice report online that gives information going back to the beginning of that program, telling, you know, which communities and how much the grants have been. But that's a great source for potential future projects, wildlife and fisheries projects as well.

46 47 48

So this year on our planning we worked with our partners, a lot of the people on this call on

Page 151

the new Integrated Activity Plan for the National Petroleum Reserve and that hasn't been finalized yet, it's sitting in our Washington office waiting for the record of decision to be signed. And just wanted to mention currently — the current plan we're working under has about 11.7 million acres of the petroleum reserve open for oil and gas leasing. And the recommended preferred alternative for the new IAP is recommending 18.5 roughly million acres to be open for oil and gas development. But currently we keep operating under our 2013 plan and no new lease sales that I'm aware of are being advertised or thought of at this time. So it's pretty much status quo.

We do have the Willow Master Development Plan that we also worked on last year. That EIS was signed in -- or the record of decision was signed just in October, a week or a little over a week ago now. And so that has been approved and ConocoPhillips, that project is eventually going to develop up to five new drill pads and have a central processing facility and an airport and be connected back to GMT-2 via a road, a gravel road. And GMT-2, I forgot to mention, there -- there's no wells on that pad yet, they're still doing work, but the road is constructed between GMT-1 and 2. And one of the first things that the Willow project will do is begin working on the road from GMT-2 to the main pad at the new Willow project. So to build that road they will be opening up a new gravel pit that was also approved as part of the project and that'll be a winter gravel operation where they'll be hauling material from that pit to the road locations.

So the -- another big project that was approved back in August was the leasing plan for the coastal plain of the Arctic Refuge. And so now we're able to use that plan to base future decisions off of, both permitting decisions as well as lease sales into the future.

So some of the other permits that are going on right now that are available for public comment, we got an application from KIC, the Kaktovik Inupiat Corporation, to do a seismic project around on their land as well as some of the Refuge lands that BLM has responsibility for the oil and gas program. So we're currently working on that EA and it's available on our website right now for public comment.

We also have a new project in the NPR-A, it's not yet a project but a company called Acumula Energy has applied for a five year winter exploration program to do work on their leases. I think they're owned by a company called Emerald House, leases around Umiat area. And so they'll be drilling two exploration wells this winter.

11/5/2020

Some of the project work -- I'm probably skipping a lot of things, we've definitely got a lot of active permits. One of the most interesting in my opinion is -- this'll be the third year I believe of the North Slope Borough's Community Winter Access Trail. It's a right-of-way from BLM. And this year it goes from the 2P pad in the Kuparuk across the Colville at Ocean Point and then travels -- makes a route to Utgiagvik. It also has a route that goes from Utgiaqvik to Atgasuk and also to Wainwright. And this year I think they've applied for an extension to make a route that'll go from Wainwright to Point Lay. And so that extension is being worked on. And it didn't get a lot of use I don't think last year because of the covid situation, it had just sort of started getting used when things got shut down in March. So hopefully that'll be a great asset into the future and it seems to be really working out well.

We've got seven thermistors along that route monitoring the snow depth and soil temperature. And as of this last Monday the soil temperature at one foot is 31.5 degrees fahrenheit. So the State requirement for opening the tundra is 24 degrees fahrenheit at a foot. So we still are waiting for a freeze down. I'm not sure what their thermistors are showing at Prudhoe Bay which is where the State's taking their measurements, but Roy told us that it had been cold so hopefully that frost is getting driven into the ground and the snow that you guys are getting now won't serve to insulate, you know, as it would have if it had come earlier. So hopefully everything will work out and that system will be active this winter I guess subject to covid.

 We also have a project that we approved quite a while ago to lay a fiber optic cable between Utqiagvik and Atqasuk and I think they're going to start getting out this winter to begin that installation.

Page 153

We've got several gravel projects. There's an Atqasuk airport expansion so there -- we've got an application too for commercial hauling of gravel down to work on that project.

Some of our own work that might be of interest particularly to the Council for fisheries, we've got a project that we funded last year to Fish and Game to get an update on the subsistence fisheries harvesting from Nuiqsut. That was last done in 2014 so it's been a while and it'll be really good to keep up with that into the future because of all the development going on. We want to make sure we're not having unexpected consequences and keeping up to date on that information.

And we also have a data, I don't know what you'd call it, like a historic data collection project that is trying to pull together all the fish information that's been collected by different people over the years on lakes mainly throughout the north or through the NPR-A, excuse me, that we use that information in our permitting a lot to decide, you know, how much water can be taken out of different lakes and sometimes it's hard to -- part of the calculation has to do with whether there are fish and what kind. So we need to have that more readily available.

So those two projects are under way or funded and will be getting underway soon.

The Wilderness Conservation Society also got approval to do a wolverine study. So that -- there's a little write-up on that in the -- in the information as well.

And then we also continue to work away at the legacy well program for plugging and remediating old wells throughout the NPR-A. And this winter Olgonik got the contract to go to the Fish Creek and Inigok wells to remediate those legacy wells. So that work I think will be starting in January.

And I guess one of the things we did this year with Eva and Hannah's help this summer, we didn't -- we got off to a little bit of a slow start with our field season in May, we delayed our helicopter so because of covid some of our bird studies that we

normally would have done in May didn't happen. So we're going to have an unfortunate break in some of our data sets, but we just didn't think it was safe at that time to get started with our fieldwork. But we did get our helicopter going in June and so a lot of our work, monitoring work in other projects we did manage to accomplish.

11/5/2020

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

2

3

4

5

6

But our new wildlife biologist, Heather Savage and Roy Nageak and I all got together with some of the Fish and Wildlife people including Hannah Voorhees and Eva Patton and we learned about how to issue Federal subsistence permits. And I think the reason we wanted to do that is that we hear every once in a while from people, I've heard particularly at meetings with Nuiqsut talking about, you know, they remember just a few years ago when they used to be able to harvest more caribou. And I guess there was a change in the regulation. I'm going to ask Hannah and Eva to help me out, but the bag limit was changed not long ago from 15 a day to five. And so some people would like to harvest more than that and there's opportunities to do that under the State hunting regs. They have a program that's called the proxy hunter program that people can apply for, but the Federal system also has a program that can help people who want to harvest more for -- you know, to give to other hunters. And so to do that they need to get a Federal subsistence permit and we've now been trained on how to issue those permits. So both Roy and Heather will be able to issue those or are now able to issue them to anyone who's qualified and wants one.

32 33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42

43

45

46

47

And so what it would allow you to do is to get double the bag limit. So instead of just getting five caribou you would be able to get 10. And the extra five would be -- they call that a designated hunter, but you don't have to pick the person that you're hunting for ahead of time, you just have to give it to a set -- I guess they have to have a hunting license, but they don't have to necessarily hunt for themselves, that somebody with a Federal subsistence permit can hunt for them and give them those five extra caribou and then they can, you know, use it or donate it down the line as they wish. So that might be an advantage or a different opportunity that people haven't thought of that could help out in some situations. So I just wanted to bring that up.

And I think that's probably -- could be the end of my presentation for now and then I can just take any questions.

11/5/2020

3 4 5

2

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Shelly. Any questions for BLM.

6 7 8

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, this is Steve in Point hope.

9 10 11

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Steve.

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

MR. OOMITTUK: When you do these projects in these communities do you utilize local hire and local knowledge throughout the summer and then during the winter do you have like an apprentice program for young people to get involved with BLM, whether it's surveying or doing certain projects, do you utilize local hire, especially the youth?

19 20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

MS. JONES: We do have -- we haven't hired a lot of youth recently. BLM used to have a program called the Resource Apprenticeship Program for students that we used in the past to hire students, but I don't know, that program seems to have kind of gone defunct. A lot of the youth programs under the current administration were unfunded. So right now the way you would get hired onto a BLM project would be through our regular seasonal hire program. And we sometimes do use local hire for that.

30 31 32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

So for example we're getting ready -our -- Roy was -- Roy Nageak was hired in Utgiagvik and he originally got hired as a local hire. And then we're hoping to have a position in Nuigsut fairly soon. And that will also be advertised under our local hire authority. So but neither of those programs or positions were targeting youth. That said, a lot of the applicants I think do -- it's not a requirement of ours, but particular....

40 41 42

(Teleconference interference participants not muted)

43 44 45

46

47

48

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. And, you know, there's always a lot of concerns with the -- you know, when they utilize helicopters to do some of their projects, especially during migration season or calf season or, you know, in certain areas. And, you know,

Page 156

we've always heard about helicopters within our area especially when the -- you know, migration routes coming south in first of July, usually we'll get some caribou. And then there's always -- hunters are always saying there's a helicopter roaming around out there. And it seemed like it's just diverting the caribou away from their natural migration routes. So that was a concern. And they didn't know whose helicopter, who's flying around. Usually they have numbers on there, we try to tell them to catch the numbers on the tail or I don't know if helicopters have numbers where they can see them, but it would be good to inform communities when you're going to be flying around and in what area and what time of the year.

Thank you.

MS. JONES: Sorry, Mr. Chair and members of the Council, for -- I guess I may have been the only one that got cut off, but I just dialed in so I probably didn't hear most of that last question.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I just dialed back in, somehow I got cut off.

MS. JONES: Okay. I guess I got cut off too, but I did hear the end of that about using local knowledge having to do with our aircraft and that kind of stuff. And so the two ways that we -- we have several ways that we outreach to the communities. If we're based out of the community we will be talking to the tribal council before we base our project out of any community. Currently we're only basing ourselves out of own administrative sites near Inigok or at Inigok and Umiat. And so the main communities that we could be bothering there are -- is Nuigsut.

And so we call in -- ConocoPhillips runs the aviation, it's not really a dispatch, but every evening all the pilots that are working in that area including their own call into a center at Alpine and talk about where they're going to be going the next day. And that call has subsistence reps on it that talk about areas that are being used at different times of the summer for subsistence. And then those areas are marked and the aircraft is rerouted.

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you.

```
Page 157
                     MS. JONES: You're welcome.
 2
 3
                     MS. KIPPI: Mr. Chair.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead.
 6
 7
                     MS. KIPPI: Hi.
                                      This is Wanda.
 8
     Shelly, on the Atgasuk airport expansion, is that a
     gravel haul or is that for addition to the airstrip or
 9
10
     apron or what are they going to use that gravel --
11
     gravel haul for or is that -- are they hauling gravel?
12
                     MS. JONES: Yes.
13
                                       Thanks for the
     question, Wanda. The permit I think is to Cruise
14
     Construction for the right-of-way. So they evidently
15
     got the job to haul the gravel and they expect to start
16
     repacking in towards the end of this month to help the
17
     trail setup so that they can do that. But I'm not
18
     sure, it sounds like it might have been if DOT manages
19
     your airport, they must have an airport expansion
20
     because the purpose of the gravel haul is to -- is for
21
2.2
     the airport.
23
                                  Shelly, this is Roy.
24
                     MR. NAGEAK:
25
                     MS. JONES: Hello, Roy. They're going
26
     to make a hard surface for the runway like the other
27
     airports that have been completed, Wainwright, Nuigsut,
28
     Kaktovik. And Atgasuk will get a hard surface runway
29
30
     with that crushed gravel.
31
                     Thank you, Wanda.
32
33
34
                     MS. KIPPI: Hi, Roy.
                                           Thank you.
35
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any other questions
36
     for BLM.
37
38
                     MR. SFORMO: Mr. Chair, this is Todd.
39
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, Todd.
42
                     MR. SFORMO:
                                  Thank you. I was just
43
     wondering in your description of kind of synthesized
44
     all this information on the lakes for the presence of
45
     -- presence or absence of fish and species, is there
46
     any ongoing or future studies of lakes to find, you
47
     know, presence and absence of fish or are you relying
48
     only on past data and if you are is there sort of a cut
49
50
```

off, is there a point at which old data is too old?

11/5/2020

2 3

Thanks.

4 5 6

7

8

MS. JONES: Yeah, thanks, Todd. a good question. And I might see if our fisheries biologist happened to have called in. We have a new biologist, Katie Drew, and she might not know the answer to that question quite yet either.

9 10 11

But, Katie, are you -- do you happen to be on the line?

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

MS. DREW: Yeah. Yeah. So, everyone, I am on the line. So for projects looking at distribution of fish other than the environmental DNA study or the eDNA study that was conducted kind of over the past couple years in collaboration with the assess inventory and monitor program, I don't know of any immediate projects that are going to be doing distribution, fish distribution studies especially in this upcoming year, but that doesn't mean that there won't be any on the horizon.

23 24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

So and we are -- Shelly might have already, you know, mentioned this of course, but we are -- do have a project going on this year where we are compiling both the historical fish distribution data, you know, back from as far as the, you know, '70s and the '80s as well as more recent data that's been collected by industry or contractors such as ConocoPhillips and ABR and going to basically combine and compile all that data into a single data base so that it will just be much more easy to manage and see not only kind of help assess kind of development and where development is occurring, but also help address, you know, fisheries inventory needs throughout the NPR-Α.

38 39 40

MR. SFORMO: Thank you.

41 42

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.

43 44

This is Carmen at Fish MS. DAGGETT:

45

and Game.

Carmen.

46 47

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Sure. Go ahead,

48 49

 Page 159

MS. DAGGETT: So one thing that I haven't heard come up and I -- I don't know if our fish -- fisheries biologist could expand upon this more, but I'm fairly certain that Fish and Game has both an anadromous waters and an inland waters catalog that we keep records in. And I know for certain that some of the information that was gathered years ago was done quite extensively across the North Slope and there's a really interesting map of it on the wall in Umiat quonset hut. But so I guess I just wanted to throw that information out there when people start digging for some of this information that there might be some catalogs already available for some of those things regarding the North Slope. And so yeah, just know that there might be some resources there.

Thank you.

 MS. JONES: Thanks, Carmen. Yeah, I was thinking of that resource as well and I think we definitely utilized that for the rivers in particular and our environmental assessments often cite that information.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. If there aren't any further questions for BLM.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I thank you, Shelly, for your informative report.

MR. JONES: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. And I appreciate the questions. I'm going to have -- several of our staff members are going to stay with you guys on this meeting so I'll be able to catch up with them as well as the notes.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{So}}$$ thank you and have a good rest of your meeting.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Did we time this meeting, your presentation, did we pass $15 \, \mathrm{minutes}$?

MS. JONES: I was at 17 minutes, but then I got questions. So.....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. So we're

going to go to item 12, see if we got tribal governments, ICAS was on the roster to make a report.

11/5/2020

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

Thank you, Mr. Chair and MS. PATTON: Raymond Atos of ICAS was going to provide a Council. report to the Council. He had an ICAS meeting that came up this afternoon so he won't be on at this Council meeting, but plans to participate at the Council's February meeting in winter.

9 10 11

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. only under tribal governments had ICAS listed. So we'll go down to Native organizations.

13 14 15

12

(No comments)

16 17

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, was there any special actions?

18 19 20

21 2.2

23

24 25

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. No special actions other than Steve Berendzen with Arctic National Wildlife Refuge I'm sure will be giving a report to update his action as the delegated authority for unit 26C moose and we do have Arctic National Wildlife Refuge up next on the agenda.

26 27

Thank you.

28 29

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. So we'll go to Arctic National Wildlife Refuge.

30 31 32

33 34

35

36

MR. BERENDZEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. In the packet of meeting materials about three-fourths of the way down is the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge summary of activities. I'm planning to follow that and just mention highlights from it to try to stay within my 15 minutes.

37 38 39

So Arctic....

40 41

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Is that on page 70?

42

MS. PATTON: Yes, Mr. Chair. 43 44 find the Refuge report on page 70 of your meeting books. 45

46 47

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Proceed,

48 49

50

Steve.

MR. BERENDZEN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair. We -- due to the covid-19 impacts we had a very limited field season or field activities this year. It shut down most of our activities that we wanted to do as well as a lot of the collaborative work that we had planned with partners on different studies. But there were still a few things that we were able to do and I would like to report on those.

8 9 10

11

12 13

2

3 4

5

6 7

But following down through this -- the information we provided I should mention that we were able this time to hire a -- fill a few of the vacancies. We've had several vacant positions for a few years now and we were able to fill a few of those.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

The next item on the list is oil and gas leasing program. I think Shelly gave a good summary of those activities. The only thing that I would mention is that we have a few studies listed here that we were able to do. The majority of our activities and studies that we had planned to do were related or in support of the oil and gas program, but most -- like I said most of those were shut down. So we still had a few things we were able to do in the way of in support of oil and gas studies.

25 26 27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

And then for biological monitoring and research, you know, separate from that we have the Canning River delta study site where we have been working for a couple of decades now and we did not get a crew out there this year due to covid, but one of the interesting results that we were able to learn from previous work there was related to cackling geese. Over the years we've had a 10 fold increase in the cackling goose population and last year they put some miniature radio transmitters on neck collars on a few of those geese to try to learn their movements and where they spend the winter. And what we learned is when they leave the Canning River delta they fly east along the coastal plain to the McKenzie River and then they head south. And we had speculated that they would just go down along the Pacific coast and there are a lot of cackling geese down there, but these that we tracked didn't really do that. They ended up down in....

45 46 47

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Come on in.

48 49

(Teleconference interference -

participants not muted)

2 3

MR. BERENDZEN: I'm sorry.

4 5

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Oh, sorry. I -- I'm going to go on mute here.

6 7 8

9 10

MR. BERENDZEN: Oh, okay. So anyway we have in our information here we do have photos where one cackling goose was in Albuquerque, New Mexico for most of the winter and just a little information about that.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24 25

28

29

11

Another thing that we were able to do this summer that we wanted to do at the Canning River delta, but couldn't because of covid, we did a pilot study looking at our plans for tagging and tracking small mammals. The idea or -- up on the North Slope it would primarily be lemmings, but outside of Fairbanks it was voles primarily. And anyway we put PIT tags in voles that we captured and we had some loggers that would register when that PIT tagged vole came nearby. And so we could monitor the movement of those voles that had PIT tags when they came near those data loggers that were placed out on the study site.

26 27

So we were able to learn a lot from that and we hope to apply that to -- at the Canning River delta when we get back up there and set up that study again.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

Other monitoring. The only flights that we were able to do in the way of surveys were for dall sheep. We had a priority need to do a survey of the Arctic Village Sheep Management Area which we hadn't surveyed in several years and there's some concern or controversy about that area so we were able to fly that. Sheep numbers were generally about the same as they had been the last time we had surveyed them, a little less, but not a statistically significant difference. But that was the only flying survey that we did in any significant amount. We had hoped to do moose surveys, but we were unable to because of covid so we did not get to do moose surveys this past year.

45 46 47

48

And, yeah, Eva mentioned the delegated authority for the moose hunt. We got the permits to Kaktovik back in early September I believe it was and

 Page 163

as I understand they have had their drawing. So 10 permits for residents with a three bull harvest quota. And hopefully they will have a successful season and get three bulls as a result of that.

For public use activities, again the covid really shut down a lot of public use. The air taxi operators for the most part shut down. Our rafting guides were not worth operating this year. We issued or directed the public through our website and other information not to visit Kaktovik because they had made it clear that they did not want people bringing covid into the community and we totally supported that. So there was very little public use management or public use activities that went on this year.

And one of the management actions that we did related to that is we developed a prohibition or through the regulatory process we proposed a prohibition on the use of domestic pack animals in Arctic Refuge. And in this case domestic pack animals is referring to domestic goats, sheep or llamas or alpacas.

And that went through the regulatory process and is now in effect where those domestic pack animals are prohibited. That's the third bullet under public use management.

And otherwise I don't have a lot to report in the way of highlights that I think would be that relevant. If anybody has questions on anything I'll do my best to answer those.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve. Any questions to $\mbox{--}$ from the Council on the ANWR manager.

MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chair. This is Eddie from Kaktovik.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Kaktovik, Eddie.

MR. REXFORD: Yes. One of your studies that did happen look like there was a Fish and Wildlife Arctic LCC plan to get out in September as part of the frost and snow depth monitoring project. Did it

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

happen?

MR. BERENDZEN: It did happen. We were unable to do it ourselves. We were collaborating with BLM and BLM and a university person went out and did that work. So yes, they -- the plan was to replace some of the thermistors that were -- that had failed during the previous winter, replace batteries, thermistors and I think they set up another weather monitoring station or two.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. So we got this in the temporary special action on Federal subsistence moose season and quota established in unit 26B remainder and unit 26C. And do you want to talk about that a little bit?

MR. BERENDZEN: Yes, Mr. Chair, I can. There was a request well over a year ago, probably closer to two years ago, to expand the season and expand the area that was available for moose hunting to Kaktovik residents. Previously it had been a spring hunt from I believe February into the end of March I believe and it was restricted to the Kongakut River drainage. And so about a year and a half or yeah, a year and a few months ago prior to the fall of 2019 we made a change to allow the....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. BERENDZEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Steve.

MR. BERENDZEN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chair. We did expand the area to include all of 26C and 26B remainder and we extended the season to begin in September -- well, I think we -- the request was to begin in September, but by the time we got the permits out it was October so I think it was October 20th that -- last year until the end of April was the season length. And instead of just issuing three permits we expanded it to issue 10 permits with a quota of three bull moose. And so we've used the same protocol this year to issue the permits and the same regulatory season and same area to be hunted.

So we hope that will provide more

opportunities and hopefully there will be some successful moose hunters.

2 3 4

MR. REXFORD: Yes, Steve, this is Eddie. Can you hear me?

5 6 7

MR. BERENDZEN: Yes, I can. Go ahead.

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

MR. REXFORD: Yes. My phone got dropped when you were talking on the Arctic LLC plan on the frost and snow depth monitoring. Just get back to that topic. Who decided to do the study in September, that's kind of before we had actually any snow and now we've got a lot of snow, October, November. Is there a reasoning why it was done so early before the snow fell?

16 17 18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24 25

26

27

MR. BERENDZEN: Yes, Mr. Rexford. plan was to get the -- it's snow monitoring stations where they can monitor frost depth and snowfall. they wanted to get those in place before the ground froze and before the snowfall started. So they were -that's why they were placed in September so they can function all winter monitoring the temperatures in the soil through those thermistors and then also for some of them, not all of them, but some of them had the snow monitoring capability and they can track the depth of the snow.

28 29 30

MR. REXFORD: Okay. Thank you for that clarification. I thought it.....

31 32 33

MR. BERENDZEN: You're welcome.

34 35 36

37

38

MR. REXFORD:I thought it was to measure the snow depth in preparation for like industrial activity or seismic work and that would probably give false information using the September data. That's just my.....

39 40 41

Thanks for answering that and clarification on that.

43 44 45

46

47

48

42

MR. BERENDZEN: Sure. And I quess to further answer it, we think that this information from these monitoring stations will help provide some information. There's going to be a lot of variation across the coastal plain, but if we can use -- set up these stations and follow through multiple years the

trends or get a sense of what the snow is like and the frost depth is like that will help inform us in those decisions into the future.

MR. REXFORD: All right. Thank you for that clarification.

One other question I had. On the --you mentioned cackling geese were collared and I haven't heard that slang used before. Is that white fronted or speckled belly geese?

MR. BERENDZEN: No, Mr. Rexford, those are the Canada geese, they're the small Canada geese. They -- the ornithologists who classify them split them up from -- split the cackling geese away from the Canada goose. They said it's noticeably different so instead of having several subspecies of Canada goose now there's the cackling goose or a few subspecies of cackling goose and a few subspecies of Canada goose. But it's really just the Canada goose that's common there.

MR. REXFORD: Okay. Thank you for

that.

MR. BERENDZEN: You're welcome.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So if it's a cackling goose is it -- is it part of the Canadian goose family and if it's being called differently is it going to be categorized as protected or something like that or -- because of low numbers and things like that, is that the trend for that?

MR. BERENDZEN: Actually, Mr. Chair, I think it's more the contrary. That species is expanding a lot. They had been -- there had been low numbers 20 and 30 years ago, but they've been increasing significantly over the years. And no, I don't think there's going to be any kind of restrictions placed on them.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I've heard the term before, but I was just curious.

Any other questions for the ANWR

 manager?

```
Page 167
                     MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman, this is
 2
     Earl.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Earl from
 5
     Anaktuvuk.
 6
 7
                     MR. WILLIAMS: I just got cut off a
     while, I've been trying to get back on. But there was
 8
     a busy line so I just got back on.
 9
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Welcome back. I keep
     getting cut off once in a while too.
12
13
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, this is Point Hope
14
15
     too. I just got back on myself. I got cut off.
16
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Steve, does that
17
18
     conclude your presentation or you still got more to do?
19
                     MR. BERENDZEN: That concludes it, Mr.
20
21
     Chair, unless there are any additional questions.
2.2.
23
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, let's see. Any
     additional questions for ANWR.
24
25
                     MR. REXFORD: Yeah, this is Eddie
26
     again. I got a comment for Steve. Is it possible to
27
28
     provide a moose survey routes that were done so we can
29
     see it on the map where the moose were surveyed?
30
                     MR. BERENDZEN: I think we -- I can't
31
     promise you right now, Edward, I'd have to check, but
32
     I'm pretty sure we could get those. I will look into
33
34
     that and try to get those to you as quickly as I can.
35
                     MR. REXFORD: All right. Thank you.
36
     I'd appreciate that information.
37
38
39
                     MR. BERENDZEN: You're welcome.
40
41
                     MR. REXFORD: That's all I have for
     you. Thank you.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Just one other
44
     question, Steve, on the moose season quota established
45
     and stuff. Does that -- we had the concerns that they
46
     were out of reach and quite a ways from the village
47
     where the actual hunt were to occur. And one of the
48
     con -- issues and concerns and requests for the
49
50
```

community was wherever it's in that allowable when the moose is moving around and if it's closer to the community that it can be taken. I mean, can you talk about that a little bit?

4 5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

2

3

MR. BERENDZEN: Yes, I can, Mr. Chair. Yeah, there was a lengthy discussion about that. we recognize that they do move and that we -- we acknowledge we don't survey as much as we ideally would especially in the fall because of the short day length and lack of snow, hard -- complications of spotting the moose when there's not snow. So yes, we agreed to open the entire area, all of 26C and the remainder of 26B to -- for this moose hunt.

14 15 16

17

18

19

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. I think that's the important thing to recognize the communities and making sure when there's an opportunity that they don't forego that because the hunt limits are so far away or something.

20 21 2.2

Any other questions for ANWR.

23 24

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair.

25 26

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Steve.

27 28

> 29 30

31

32

MR. OOMITTUK: I think I missed the time range on the moose season for that area. I know like in Point Hope, you know, the moose are not around when the moose season opens up, but, you know, in the past the -- several people got in trouble for catching moose which are rare in our area.

33 34 35

(Teleconference interference participants not muted)

36 37 38

39

40 41

MR. OOMITTUK:July, but they're never around in August or late August when the season opens up or September. I just -- you know, for the caribou hunting season over there at Barter Island, you know, I know there's a.....

42 43 44

(Teleconference interference participants not muted)

45 46 47

MR. OOMITTUK: I think somebody's.....

48

MS. PATTON: Yes, a quick remind, I

think we've got Staff in the background actually that need to mute your phones please. Thank you everyone.

2 3 4

5

6

MR. BERENDZEN: Council member Oomittuk, I think that was a -- what -- were you asking what the seasons were -- what the season was expanded to, is that -- do I understand that correctly?

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. OOMITTUK: Yes, certain areas, you know, moose season, you know, here in Point Hope we don't normally see very much moose. I can't say for eastern side of Alaska, they're a long ways, but the time range of when it's -- you know, when we used to hunt animals when we're there -- when they're reachable or right in our neighborhood, moose is very rare for I don't know about on the east coast, I know, you know, the season for hunting moose over in that neck of the woods should accommodate for when the -- it's there. And one -- one moose for -- for the whole village is kind of low. I know we just got that increased for the last year I think it was.

21 22 23

Thank you.

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

Thank you, Mr. MR. BERENDZEN: The season was expanded to go from October 20th which is when we got the regulatory -- yeah, the regulatory change made and in place. So it was October 20th through April 31st I believe. And this year it was a little earlier than October 20th, but I think it was again sometime in early to mid October through the end of April.

31 32 33

> MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you.

34 35 36

MR. BERENDZEN: Thank you.

37 38

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any other questions to Steve Berendzen for ANWR.

39 40 41

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair, this is Earl from Anaktuvuk Pass.

42 43 44

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Earl, you got the floor.

45 46 47

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, we had three units in our back yard. Do we follow the same regulations?

that.

Page 170

MR. BERENDZEN: If that's a question for me I'm not sure I can answer that. I -- I'm not sure which you -- which area you're talking about.

3 4 5

2

MR. WILLIAMS: Anaktuvuk Pass.

6 7

MR. BERENDZEN: And -- and no, I cannot answer that question for you.

8 9 10

MR. WILLIAMS: I was just curious about

11 12 13

14 15

16

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, they're bordered by units like unit 26A, unit 26B, unit 26C and Kaktovik is unit 26C and some parts of the remainder of unit 26C or B I believe. And I could be corrected by the ANWR manager.

17 18 19

20

21 2.2.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Earl. And for the Anaktuvuk Pass area Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve is the Federal land manager for that region. And they're up next so we'll be able to respond to your questions for the Anaktuvuk Pass area.

23 24 25

Thank you.

26 27

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

28 29 30

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. So what's going on in Kaktovik area in that game management unit under ANWR is limited to that area.

31 32 33

34

35

All right. If there's no further questions for wildlife -- Arctic National Wildlife Refuge we'll go on to Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve.

36 37 38

(No comments)

39 40

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And we have Marcy Okada and then Kyle Joly with a caribou update.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

Thank you, Mr. MS. OKADA: Okay. Chair. And for the record this is Marcy Okada with Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve. I just want to address Earl's question regarding which game management unit Anaktuvuk Pass falls in. So he's correct, there's three game management units for Anaktuvuk Pass. And that would be 26A, 26B, and 24A.

So there's no overlap in 26C, Earl, which is primarily where Arctic Refuge lies.

2 3 4

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you for the info.

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

MS. OKADA: You're welcome. Okay. I'll go ahead and just give a real brief update. We had a Subsistence Resource Commission meeting this past April via teleconference. And we were able to share updates of what's going on in the Park with our Commission members. Our next meeting is scheduled for November 18th via teleconference. Commission members will be hearing the same briefing that your RAC has heard regarding the individual customary and traditional use determinations as well as other updates.

16 17 18

19

20

21

For Western Arctic caribou herd there was supposed to be a caribou pellet project in April, but that was postponed until next April. And the pellets will be used to analyze the diet -- diets of the caribou.

2.2 23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

Also related to the Western Arctic caribou herd, there was a recent study on the affects of bugs on caribou and how they increase caribou movement during peak insect harassment. Caribou spend a lot of time trying to run away from the bugs and this in turn affects their -- creates behavioral responses to and physiological affects as caribou move to less productive habitat.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42

So there was a bear collaring project from 2014 to 2017. And results from this project continue to come out. Recent information on Brooks Range grizzly bears show that a large number of bears have salmon as a main component of their summer diet. And this led to Park Service researchers to look closely at the GPS data which then led to identifying major salmon streams for Brooks Range grizzly bears. Park Service shared this finding with Alaska Department of Fish and Game and so they were able to add new information to their anadromous stream catalog.

43 44 45

46

And then also information from the collaring project shows that diet analysis can be done by looking at different bear hair samples.

47 48

Moving on to dall sheep. This past

July Park Service was able to conduct an aerial survey of dall sheep just in the Aichilik Preserve. Mainly due to covid-19 the normal protocol was replaced with a pilot only count and unfortunately poor weather prevented the pilot from surveying the entire Aichilik Preserve. So that in turn doesn't allow the total sheep count to be comparable to past surveys. Of the 376 total sheet observed 82 were lambs and 237 were ewe like sheep. The results show a lamb to ewe like ratio of .35 which is higher than average and right now indicates a healthy reproductive rate.

11/5/2020

There are surveys planned for 2021 which will then help determine whether this 2020 data translates into a population -- translates into population growth.

And then lastly an update on the Ambler Mining District road. The Department of Interior through the National Park Service and the Department of Transportation through the Federal Highways Administration issued a record of decision on the access route and right-of-way that would go through Gates of the Arctic National Preserve, the southern Kobuk unit. The decision was based on an environmental and economic analysis and it determines the most economically feasible and prudent route with the least impact allowing for construction, operation, maintenance and reclamation of the private, industrial access road.

 The EA and decision includes mitigation measures to protect wetlands, fish, wildlife and their habitat as well as to protect subsistence hunting and fishing activities.

The route that was selected through the Kobuk Preserve unit follows the route selected by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Bureau of Land Management record of decision. And I believe you have the written update.

If you have any questions we have Kyle Joly, our caribou biologist, online and also Will Wiese, our sheep biologist online.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is Point Hope. Steve, for the record.

Page 173 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Steve. 2 MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, just out -- you 3 mentioned that you guys couldn't take a whole count 4 this year. And is it my understanding that last year's 5 count was right around between -- like 110,000 for the 6 7 Western Arctic caribou herd? 8 9 MR. JOLY: Hello, Mr. Chairman. 10 is Kyle Joly with the National Park Service, Gates of 11 the Arctic. Can I answer that question for you? 12 13 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead. 14 15 (Teleconference interference -16 participants not muted) 17 18 MR. JOLY: Thank you. Again this is 19 Kyle Joly, I'm the (indiscernible - echo) this past summer, but they did the previous summer. And that 20 count was.... 21 2.2 23 CHAIRMAN BROWER: I'm getting some --I'm getting some delayed reaction like saying things 24 25 twice. 26 27 Steve, maybe if you try to go on mute, 28 maybe that will -- see what happens. 29 (Teleconference interference -30 participants not muted) 31 32 33 MR. JOLY: Is this better, Mr. 34 Chairman? 35 MR. BERENDZEN: Yes, I -- I am on mute. 36 37 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. Try it again. 38 39 40 MR. JOLY: Okay. Is it working now? 41 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I don't hear 42 the feedback now. 43 44 MR. JOLY: Great. This is Kyle Joly 45 with the National Park Service. I'm a wildlife 46 biologist for Gates of the Arctic. Yes, the 47 information was correct that Fish and Game did not 48 conduct a photocensus this past summer, but they did in 49 50

2019. And the estimate for 2019 was 244,000 caribou.

4 5

2

3

MR. OOMITTUK: Okay. Thank you. And what time of the year do you usually do your census for the Western Arctic caribou herd?

6 7 8

9

MR. JOLY: Mr. Chairman. This is Kyle Joly again. Fish and Game conducts that survey pretty much right around the 4th of July every year. That's usually when peak insect harassment occurs and the animals are most aggregated.

11 12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

MR. OOMITTUK: Okay. Thank you. Because and -- so and they're flying quite a bit above the herd because normally the caribou usually come around right around the 4th of July through Point Hope, the Western Arctic caribou herd when they're going -coming back from up north. Anytime between late June to 4th of July we get that Western Arctic caribou herd coming through. And lately it's been later. Last couple years, you know, we had an abundance of caribou sticking around in the area. And even just last week while they were out fishing, you know, they got some caribou. It hasn't been like this in almost 20 years. You know, usually, you know, in the summer you'd see a good 100,000 caribou 10, 15 miles away from Point Hope, but hasn't been like that for a long time, but seem like they're starting to come back this way and stick around a little longer like in the past.

29 30 31

Thank you.

32 33

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve. So let's -- was that your report there Marcy and Kyle?

34 35 36

37

38

MR. JOLY: Mr. Chairman. This is Kyle Joly again. I had some more information I wanted to provide and give you an update on some of the recent events with the Western Arctic herd if I could.

39 40 41

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

MR. JOLY: Thank you. So currently the Western Arctic herd is distributed in two long spread out bands. One is as the Council member just mentioned, running from Wainwright down to Point Lay. There's a bunch of caribou from the Western Arctic herd there. And then there's another long band of caribou stretching all the way from Kiana to Anaktuvuk Pass

through the Brooks Range mountains.

1 2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

Something notable was that we just had the first collared caribou cross the Kobuk River yesterday. Often in previous years we -- the first caribou to make it across the Kobuk happens in August. We routinely have our capture operations with Fish and Game out on the Kobuk River in September, but for the last three of the four years we've not gotten out the number of collars that we wanted and this year the crew was out for a couple weeks and they didn't see a caribou, not a single caribou was collared. Fish and Game and Park Service is collaborating with them on the captures.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24 25

26

27

28

We're looking to explore the possibility of different means of deploying collars and specifically we're considering the use of helicopters to deploy collars and we did that in 2019 out of the Red Dog area. And that was fairly successful after a couple failed years. But given that we've had three out of four years where we haven't been able to get collars out the way we'd like and need to to continue monitoring we're thinking about either going to net qunning or chemical immobilization of caribou which is also known as darting. And that would be some alternative methods that we could use to get the collars out because the caribou haven't been migrating through like they have been for decades before.

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

I'd be happy to answer questions. of the things to consider about darting is that it -we do use chemicals for that and the animals would be inedible for a period of time, probably a couple of weeks. We'd give it 30 days. Our intentions would be to avoid any village, any area where subsistence users are active and have a very strong outreach program if we went forward with helicopter operations.

38 39 40

So that's my update and I'd be happy to answer any questions for you.

41 42 43

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair. I have....

44 45

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any further questions

46 47

48

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, this is Steve for the record. You know, the Ambler Road from the -- you

49 50 for Kyle.

know, that's in the process or still undergoing to the gold mine area. And the route that is chosen was where the Western Arctic caribou herd migrates through, whether they're going north or migrating back south. And there was a lot of concerns about the road and the impact it might have on the Western Arctic caribou herd on their migration. And can you elaborate on that and....

MR. JOLY: Mr. Chairman. This is Kyle Joly, I'll try and answer that question if I can.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead.

MR. JOLY: So yes, as Marcy mentioned there was a BLM record of decision approving the road and a parallel process through Gates of the Arctic Preserve, what we call the Kobuk boot. So the Preserve section that covers the Kobuk River. The road would be about 200 miles long going from the Dalton Highway almost to the village of Ambler. And it would intersect the migratory corridors of the Western Arctic herd that are more used in the fall than in the spring. It's also an area that the Western Arctic herd has been known to use as winter range. So we should probably expect some levels of impact. It is further toward the periphery of the herd's range, especially the eastern fringes of the road, but it certainly does intersect migratory corridors.

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair. This is Earl, Anaktuvuk Pass.

MR. OOMITTUK: So is it future plans -- is your future plans on more surveys or, you know, keeping an eye out because one side of the road is -- are they going to want to be monitoring the Western Arctic caribou and see what kind of impact that road is going to have on it.

MR. JOLY: Thank you. This is Kyle Joly again. Yes, one of the primary functions of the monitoring effort that we have is to look at the movements and distribution of caribou. And right now we've got about 60 or so GPS collars on animals and, you know, our intention is to try and keep that sample at least that or maybe even a little bit higher so we can figure out the best ways to mitigate any impacts that the road might have and also to document any

impacts the road might have.

2 3

4

5

6 7

8

9

MR. OOMITTUK: And the other question, you know, when you're using chemicals to trap caribou is it a certain time of the year you're going to be doing it or is it going to be bulls or females or do you have any particular -- you know, because you said they won't be edible and what time of the year would these chemicals be put into the herd to monitor their migration?

10 11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 2.2.

23

24 25

26

MR. JOLY: Thank you. Excellent question. So the chemicals that will be used, they're anesthetics so they'd be used just for the capture. So the animal would not feel what we were doing, they'd be basically knocked out like if you went to get surgery or something like that. So they'd be anesthetized. Generally we have the animal in that condition for a very short amount of time, maybe 15 minutes and then we are able to administer reversal drugs so they are able to get right back on their feet after we give them the reversal drugs. And then just out of abundance of caution to let those chemicals work through their system, it's recommended that they don't be eaten for 30 days. So we've marked any of those animals on their collar when they -- the date they were captured and when it's safe to eat by date on them.

27 28 29

30

31

32

And generally we like to do captures in late March or early April, it provides reasonable temperatures, longer days and adequate snow cover on the ground. So that's generally when we like to do helicopter operations.

33 34 35

36

37 38

Oh, and your other question was males or females. The Park Service has only been collaring female caribou and I think for this particular operation both the State and the Park Service would be limiting it to female, adult female caribou.

39 40 41

MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair. This is Carmen Daggett with Fish and Game.

42 43 44

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead,

45 46 47

MS. DAGGETT: I just wanted to elaborate a little bit. So Kyle is correct that we mostly collar females, however we do try to keep a

49 50

48

Carmen.

2

3 4

5

6

Page 178

small portion of males collared in the caribou herds and it's a far less proportion than the females. for example right now we have about 110 animals collared in the Teshekpuk caribou herd and like 10 of those are male. So it's -- we try to keep it a relatively small proportion that are bulls. And so I hope that helps answer that question.

11/5/2020

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24 25

And also -- I quess I also wanted to say that, you know, in working with our counterparts that work out of the Kotzebue area, Alex Hansen, who's the Western Arctic caribou herd biologist, we would be working with him to get information out to villages about if we were going to use drugs or net gunning to capture caribou in that area and make sure that the public is aware to keep an eye out for caribou that are marked. The caribou that I have seen that are marked, at least images that I've seen of them being marked because we haven't done any chemical captures around the Teshekpuk area since I've been in this office, but I've seen images and they're pretty obvious. I believe they, you know, make it really obvious that a hunter could see whether the animal had been drugged recently and -- and when they were and with the date that they would be okay to eat again.

26 27

So yeah, I think for the most part the rest of the information is good. So I'm going to back off.

29 30 31

28

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. One last question, Mr. Chair.

32 33 34

Go ahead, Steve. CHAIRMAN BROWER:

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

MR. OOMITTUK: I just out of curiosity now the drug that they're going to be using to knock out the caribou, is there any side effects to human consumption, is there -- have they been tested or side effects on -- is it poisonous to the human if consumputed [sic] or is it known, have they been sent -- why are they moving to a chemical that -- other than tranquilizers or is it.....

43 44 45

46

47

48

MR. JOLY: Mr. Chairman. This is Kyle Joly. So the chemical that we use is called Thianil, it's -- or Thiafentanil. It is a very dangerous drug for humans, we have to be very careful using it. don't know of any studies that have had lab animals eat

2

3

4

5

6 7 8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43 44

45 46

47 48 49

50

Page 179

the meat so I'm -- I'm not sure what the effects would be if someone did do that. And that's why, you know, out of an abundance of caution we -- the recommendation is to not eat the meat for 30 days. That way the animal can completely metabolize any remnants that might be in their system.

11/5/2020

Does that help?

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. I -- in the time frame, you know, do they know of any side effects on the animal itself, you know, especially when they're running and their blood system is going strong and their adrenalin, any sort of side effects or, you know, on females to their -- their bodies, are there any known side effects from this drug?

MR. JOLY: Mr. Chair. This is Kyle So there are known side effects. Joly again. So one of the side effects of the drug is they can have a hard time breathing, but once the antidote, the antagonist, is provided to the animal they just bounce right back up and are completely I guess for lack of a better term, cured. You wouldn't notice the difference, but they -- you can get in trouble if, you know, you gave too much of the drug to it, it would have difficulty breathing. And I've seen that before, but once you administer the reversal those side effects go away and the response time for the reversal is usually under a minute, sometimes it's virtually instantaneous.

As for other side effects the -- these combinations have been used throughout the State, I've used them in about seven different herds across the State. And generally, you know, March, April is a normal time to do it. We have found no evidence of it affecting pregnancy at all if that was a concern. it's just a very standard procedure in other parts of the State, Southcentral and Interior, Southwest Alaska, have been doing this and it's a procedure, protocol that has been in use for probably 50 years. And it has been used in the Western Arctic herd several decades ago before the captures at Onion Portage really caught on.

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. That's all the questions I have.

> CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Any other

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

Page 180 questions..... 2 MR. PERSON: Mr. Chair. 3 4 5 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead. Is that Todd? 6 7 8 MR. PERSON: Yeah, this is -- this is Brian Person with the North Slope Borough Department of 9 Wildlife Management. 10 11 12 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Brian. 13 MR. PERSON: Thanks. Good afternoon, 14 15 Kyle, or probably good evening to you. It's pretty late there I reckon. But yeah, I guess, you know, we 16 -- I've been involved with the Teshekpuk collaring 17 program for just about 20 years. And we never used 18 narcotics like this, tranquilizing the animals simply 19 because there is a year round harvest. And I recognize 20 that with proper outreach and if you're going to mark 21 2.2. the animal in some way that it's going to be obvious to hunters that it has been chemically immobilized 23 recently, you know, I recognize there can be ways to do 24 25 that. But traditionally on the North Slope this has always been a real source of anxiety from the hunters 26 and the communities up here. 27 28 29 And, you know, I think net gunning 30 works fine, there are drawbacks with that of course. But you can be very efficient net gunning and get your 31 sample size out and really reduce the amount of concern 32 that the hunters have here. And I strongly encourage 33 34 you to follow the lead and, you know -- you know, net guns since, you know, ADF&G are going to be the ones 35 doing it anyway so follow their lead. 36 37 38 Thanks. 39 40 MR. JOLY: Mr. Chairman. This is Kyle 41 Joly again. 42 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead. 43 44 MR. JOLY: Thanks, Brian, for those 45 Yes, you know, Fish and Game will be leading 46 comments. up this operation and ultimately making the final 47

Computer Matrix, LLC 135 Christensen Dr., Ste. 2., Anch. AK 99501

48

49 50

> Phone: 907-243-0668 Fax: 907-243-1473

decision, you know, with input from the public on, you

know, which method to go with. You know, one of the

challenges that we're facing is one, you know, net gunning's a lot more dangerous for the personnel involved and two, there's just the shortage of teams. And so it's a -- you need experts and extensive coordination between the gunner and the pilot and there's just not very many pilots, there's not many gunners and there's fewer gunner/pilot combinations around. So, you know, that's another consideration. Darting's a lot more forgiving for the gunner/pilot teams and there's a lot more people with that experience. So that's one of the things that we're considering.

11/5/2020

But yeah, I mean, the whole point of opening this conversation is to hear people's thoughts on it and, you know, make a decision that everyone can live with.

MR. PERSON: Yeah, through the Chair. Thanks for that, Kyle, and yeah, I appreciate being candid about it. And I recognize I -- you know, I've been on the helicopters plenty of times and it's a real -- it's a thrill, I could say that. But and, you know, the one thing you got going for you is at that time of year you're not going to be competing with the efforts that we're doing on the Teshekpuk and Central Arctic herd at the same time. So anyway I said my piece.

Thanks.

MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair, I guess I want to say a little bit more if that's okay. This is Fish and Game, Carmen again.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead,

Carmen.

MS. DAGGETT: So this is -- certainly these issues impact, you know, the Teshekpuk caribou herd collaring program as well and, you know, we -- I -- we as an office would prefer to keep going with net gunning as long as we can and that will be our first choice because of all of the reasons we've talked about. You know, the only reason why we might consider switching to doing drugs is if we don't have another choice.

 $$\operatorname{So}$ I just wanted to lay that out there for you guys that, you know, the current plan is to

keep going with net gunning and keep doing things business as usual doing net gunning. Just that, you know, you guys know that that's what our plan is. And we'll be transparent with you if any of those issues come up. But, you know, like I said we're facing the same challenges that they are in the Western Arctic caribou herd other than the fact that we were doing Onion Portage first.

So, you know, just keep those things in mind. It might be a future discussion, but for right now we're going to do business as usual and keep net qunning. So that's that.

Thank you.

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chairman. This is Earl from Anaktuvuk.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. Go ahead,

21 Earl.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, I spoke to a few people about Ambler Road Mine, they just had a concern about that in the village, about is this going to affect our migratory herd because we're right in the middle of it and this is a concern.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Earl. And thank you, Carmen. Any further questions for Marcy and Kyle on their projects?

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, I appreciate your presentations and the dialogue, very informative, lots of concerns.

MR. JOLY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Council members. Feel free to reach out if there's anything -- any questions that come up later or if you have anything to follow-up with. It's much appreciated, we want to hear your comments and thoughts.

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. So we'll move along to ADF&G, Carmen with a written report.

11/5/2020

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Carmen with Fish and Game again.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead.

MS. DAGGETT: Okay. I'm -- I sent a document to Eva and I'm not sure it made it around to everybody or not. But it has.....

If you did get something, Eva, it would be -- it would have been probably in an email format. And it should say Alaska Department of Fish and Game across the top. If you didn't get it don't worry, I'll walk you through it and make sure you get all the information that's on it. It's pretty -- I'm going to try to keep this pretty short and sweet.

2.2.

Okay. So this spring I did a short yearling survey again for the Teshekpuk caribou herd to be clear. And we looked at about 4,000 caribou and a little around 11 percent were yearlings, 11.7 percent were yearlings. So that was pretty good for the spring. And then during the summer we did calving surveys and we had an 81 percent parturition rate which is really good for the Teshekpuk herd. The 10 year average is 68 percent. So it's good to see that there were a lot of females out there pregnant.

During the summer we did our collaring project and we collared 32 caribou, 20 of which were female yearlings and three were bulls. And we collared nine adult females.

We're also looking at adult female mortality for this year from 2019 to 2020, we go from collar year to collar year. So the mortality rate was about 12 percent.

One thing that was interesting while we were doing the project was that female yearling weights were about 20 pounds lighter on average than the long term average. So that's something we're keeping our eyes on. And six out of the 10 lowest weights were recorded since 1996 during this summer. So we're definitely keeping our eyes out on that and hopefully those weights come back up.

 Page 184

We also collected a variety of other samples throughout the summer to keep track of their diseases and also look at parasites.

This spring I did -- well, actually I had help from other people to do the moose survey. Because of covid I wasn't allowed to travel. So we saw 169 adults and 43 short yearlings which is about 20 percent short yearlings which is actually pretty good. The number of adults is relatively low for the trend count, but seeing higher numbers of yearlings is a good

12 sign.

looked....

Sorry, the total trend count for moose is 212, the number of adults is 169, the total yearlings is 43.

The most recent minimum count where we

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Is that -- Carmen, just a question.

MS. DAGGETT: Sure. Go ahead.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: On your total moose count, the -- was the trend 212 for 2020 or is that the entire region or is it limited to a unit?

MS. DAGGETT: That is a great question and I was just getting to that. So we do the trend count every year and it's a portion of the unit that we kind of keep an eye on. So it includes a portion of the main stem of the Colville, the Anaktuvuk River and the Aichilik and kind of the tributaries in that area. So we kind of look at the moose in that section and get a good count on that.

And then every three years we try to do a full survey of the entire Colville River and its drainages. And the last time we did that was in 2017. We would have done it this year, but as I said before we had some challenges with covid and there were -- everybody was having the same problems and they were all trying to do surveys at the same time. So we had to deal with just being able to get a trend count number and I was grateful to get that.

So next year provided that we can

function as normal again hopefully we'll get another full minimum count of the entire drainage.

2 3 4

So thanks for that clarification,

5 Gordon.

6 7

The last time we did a minimum count

was....

8 9 10

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Just one follow-up if I may real quick.

11 12 13

MS. DAGGETT: Sure.

14 15

16

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. What about the west 156 thing that's always so controversial in my mind.

17 18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

MS. DAGGETT: Yeah. So that boundary was decided to split the North Slope in half and to provide some quidelines for where it would be protecting the heart of the population of moose. you can tell the -- what I was just going to say is that there were 339 total moose in the entire system, right. And so the majority of that population, we want to allow it to continue to grow. So 156 longitude regulation allows people to be able to take a cow moose. And so when you have a core population that you're trying to allow it to grow, you don't want to take a cow moose out of that population. Now west of 156 longitude, that area over there, there's not that many moose over there. And well, the area that I'm talking about if you need a reference is largely if you think about where the Topagoruk River is westward.

34 35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

And so that area over there isn't the primary area where most of the moose are. It's basically where there's moose that migrate out of their normal range. And in that area there's not a lot of good habitat for moose and so we wanted to provide some opportunity for residents to be able take a moose over there whether it be a cow or a bull. We don't want to have cows being taken out of the core of the population because we want that population to continue to grow.

44 45 46

47

48

So that's why that line exists. And it was -- it was put into place when Geoff Carroll had his position and it was a regulation that was built in conjunction with the North Slope Borough Wildlife

21 22

Page 186

Department and the North Slope Advisory Committee to try to provide some opportunity for North Slope residents to be able to take moose when they migrate to the western portion of 26A.

11/5/2020

So I recognize that that line of longitude provides some level of confusion for people. And maybe this is something that we might want to consider for a future proposal to think about redefining what that line should be. And perhaps the Topagoruk River would give some local residents enough reference. The only challenging thing about that is and why the west 156 longitude line is used is because there's not a feature that runs from the northern end of 26A all the way to the southern end of 26A. There's

no river that runs that full length.

So it's sort of tricky to find a natural feature that people could use. The best option or at least a portion of it if you wanted to keep the same area, is the Topagoruk River. But like I said it only goes down about a third of the length of the game management unit.

So that's the challenge with that and, you know, if you are interested in submitting a proposal to the Board of Game at some point to have some discussion about redefining that line so it's more clear to users, you're welcome to do that and I'd be happy to help you in that. But that's why it is the way it is at the moment.

Did that answer your question?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, you know, it opens up a lot of questions. But yeah, I always want to try to decipher sometimes what the intent of one -- the west 156 really means. And I think it's the area like Valley of the Willows and things like that where there's like little oasis type areas where moose like to be in there.

MS. DAGGETT: Yeah, and you can still take bull moose at different times of the year in those areas. You just can't take a cow.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Right.

 ${\tt MS.\ DAGGETT:\ Okay.\ Are\ we\ done\ with}$

that topic?

1 2 3

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah.

4 5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

MS. DAGGETT: Okay. The very last thing I want to talk with you guys about is muskox. we did a muskox survey this spring in conjunction with Park Service and the Kotzebue Fish and Game office. And some interesting results came out of that. there were 911 total muskox between unit 23 and unit 26A which is a substantial increase from the previous survey. In 26A alone there were 455 muskox with a confidence interval range of 350 to 631. So with that in mind the last time we did that survey there were about 253 muskox that were in 26A in 2016. And I've been hearing a lot from members of this Board, members of the public who are really interested in hunting muskox in this portion of 26A. And I want to be clear that it's the portion of 26A that kind of is west of 156 longitude or the Topagoruk line.

20 21 22

23

2425

26

27

28

29 30

31

Okay. So there's two populations of muskox in 26A. There's one that -- on the eastern side kind of over by Nuiqsut and they can wander as far west as about the northern end of the Ikpikpuk. We -- Fish and Game and the Board of Game actually in March decided that they were not going to open that hunt on the eastern portion of 26A muskox. They want to wait until that population gets bigger before they're comfortable with opening that hunt. However on the western side of 26A we have enough muskox according to the survey that we think we can open a hunt.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

And so there's some things that I've been working on and trying to sort out to have this hunt work and be of service to people. So I have a couple of questions for you guys and I'm hoping that you give me some good feedback on that. So first of all we were looking at some season dates and I know that I kind of have brought up season dates before with people. So we're looking at August 1st through March 15th. And the reason why we picked those dates is they're consistent with the unit 23 regulations for muskox. And also it seems like when local residents are telling me that they're seeing muskox and when they're around they tend to be around within that time frame. And it also gives muskox a little bit of a break to have their young and be not disturbed during the summer.

So what do you guys think about those dates? Again it's August 1st through.....

2 3 4

CHAIRMAN BROWER: As long as we could have a time to catch one and eat one would be good.

5 6 7

MS. KIPPI: Carmen, can you repeat the

8 9 10

MS. DAGGETT: Sure. August 1st through

March 15th.

date.

11 12 13

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair. I have a

question.

14 15 16

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Steve.

17 18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, when you say a hunt are you talking subsistence hunting for local people or are you suggesting a hunt for nonlocals that are sporthunters or nonresident hunters, you know. have a huge population and we still -- you know, and when you say we can open it up, but when you say open it up you're talking a range of people not resident or local, you're talking nonresidents also?

25 26 27

Thanks for the question, MS. DAGGETT: Steve. So I guess what I would like to start with is so that decision would be made by the Board of Game, but they have some guidelines that they have to follow. So first of all this population is 455 in 26A, it's still not very big, there's a limited amount of muskox that would be available. And in unit 23 they've had a hunt open for a while and their population is actually much larger. And those have all been tier two hunts which means that people would have to apply for permits and that those permits would -- applying for those permits would mean that you'd have to answer some questions.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

So first of all I'd like to point out that when these permits -- when applications go out for these permits I would travel to villages and help people fill out this stuff. I'm not expecting people to be able -- to fill out those paperwork. So I just want to throw that out there to start with. And I've done that in unit 22 before. So basically there's a couple of questions. They're going to ask you about how much you pay for gas, they're going to ask you how

much you pay for groceries, they're going to ask you how long you would have used the resource if you had the opportunity to do it. And it's going -- it's going to score people based on how much they pay for those things. And then based on that names go into a hat and people get drawn for the limited number of permits available.

And that's how a tier two draw hunt would work for muskox. And from what I understand and the research that I've done people on the North Slope would well out people from other areas because of the cost of living up here. So that's kind of that. It would be most likely a tier two hunt and that would be what -- what I would want to have happen for you guys. So that's kind of the direction that we're going.

So -- okay. Does that answer your question, Steve?

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, thank you. I just -- you know, when they say, you know, the population is too low and they're not opening it up even though. You know, and then my question was kind of too low for local hunters or, you know, locals should have priority, they're a food source, or is it too low for -- to open it up like you said like a lottery or a -- you know, a permit, and how do you get this permit, how do you -- and anybody can get a permit even if they're not in residence.

And, you know, Department of Fish and Game when you justify opening muskox do -- you know, that are open season and -- and then that's the thing is, you know, population, but, you know, we always had muskox around and the populations are high and, you know, they're usually real -- you know, just seven, eight miles out of town, you know, the -- we just had a muskox in town this last summer. And, you know, it's usually the only time they come around is when they have porcupine quills on them and they're -- but they're around. And, you know, some people say they're -- you know, they scare off the caribou.

And, you know, we want to understand that, you know, if you do open the season that, you know, that local is priority, you know, we -- you're going to open a season and say oh, the numbers are right and that should be for residents. People like --

love muskox, you know, and if you're waiting to open the season for, you know, the whole State of Alaska on a permit, you know, what -- how do you justify we're going to keep it closed because the numbers are too low for -- you know, for a lottery or a permit and then when it should be local priority is what I'm trying to get at.

11/5/2020

Thank you.

 MS. DAGGETT: Yeah, Steve, I think all really legitimate concerns. And I can feel your heart is with this one. And I guess what I would say is, you know, the tier -- the muskox hunts that exist right now that are close enough to this population in unit 23, the Board already decided to make those populations have to happen under a tier two hunt. Tier two hunts are only available to residents and people have to fill out this permit or, you know, the questionnaire basically that says you -- what do you pay for gas, what do you -- what are your living expenses like and then they'll rank people. And that's basically how Alaska can give rural priority with that.

So I hear you on your concerns on about, you know, it being closed for a while and then, okay, now it's open and who is it going to be open to, is it going to be open to local. And certainly what I anticipated how people would feel about it and it's all very understandable. That certainly would be the goal of opening this hunt is to have it be available for people to harvest that are within the area, within the North Slope. That would -- that is the intent.

The only tricky part about this is that, you know, I can make this request, I can go to the Board of Game. Board of Game makes choices and I can give them the best information possible and request things, but ultimately they get to make that decision. So, you know, know that the intent is to have it be a tier two hunt and that's what's most likely to make sense given what already exists for muskox hunt in the neighboring areas and the regional areas that are associated with this same muskox population that we're talking about.

So that's kind of the goal, the intent, and what happens beyond that is yet to be seen. But that's where we're at right now. And I will be as

transparent as I can with people about it and I'm asking you guys these questions because I care about what you think and I want to build a hunt that makes sense for you. And so that's why I'm here asking you these questions.

Thank you.

MS. KIPPI: Mr. Chair. Wanda.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Wanda.

 MS. KIPPI: Okay. I have the answer for what's his name, Mr. Steve, Steve from -- Steve Oomittuk. The -- when you mentioned the muskox scaring the caribou I have seen it myself this summer, this fall. There was no caribou around while there was a muskox in my cabin camping ground and it was like that for the whole month. So yeah, that -- that's a fact about the muskox scaring the caribou.

And another one for Carmen, for the people of the North Slope you had mentioned it -- is it going to be a tier two hunt did you say?

Thank you.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Carmen, you on?

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Must have lost Carmen or she fell asleep.

MS. DAGGETT: So can you hear me now?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah.

MS. DAGGETT: So, Wanda, yeah. The tier two hunt is the direction that we're hoping this will go and so it'll be a little bit different than how you applied for a permit this last summer and it would be under a different section of code and it would have completely different regulations from what you were able to hunt under last fall, but it would be more predictable. So instead of hunting the previous code where if you have a nuisance muskox you can call me and we can try to get on -- you know, request an emergency

order open. We would just have a consistency. If the population continues to be high enough to continue to harvest, you know, we would just keep having this season every year.

So that's kind of the goal with this and hopefully it'll be more smooth for hunters in the future when they want to hunt muskox.

I have a few more questions I want to ask people.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Did you get your answer there, Wanda?

MS. KIPPI: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Carmen.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I do have an observation here on -- based on the animal and based on the land it's on and being that Federal public lands have a rural subsistence priority already on them and -- and opening it up under a State reg sounds like under a tier because that's a State reg is a tier hunt in my understanding. And you would advertise it for the residents of Alaska in my humble opinion and then you would further evaluate the applicability based on economic conditions of your area to narrow things down so that -- it just -- it just seems kind of a long way around to go to do things. And that seems to be your end around to the rural subsistence priority. But I know lands to the west, there are some State lands there and to the south.

MS. DAGGETT: Yeah. Uh-huh. And, you know, Gordon, part of the reason why I wanted to talk with you guys about this particular hunt is because like you mentioned before it's better if you have consistency between, you know, Federal and State hunts. And so I've been in discussion with BLM, I had a discussion with Heather Savage a while ago about this very idea. And perhaps there may be some options for a different adaptation for participating on Federal public lands versus the State ones.

However, BLM normally -- normally State regulations are followed on BLM lands for the most part. But, you know, I'm willing to work with our Federal partners to come up wit options for people.

size.

Page 193

I'm trying to think outside the box here and like I said I'm trying to work on something that will work for North Slope residents. So.....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Try this one for

MS. DAGGETT:you know, that.....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Try this one for size. Point Hope, Anaktuvuk Pass, Point Lay, Barrow, they all have village comprehensive plans and then define an area of influence in -- each village has an area of influence. And where -- there's a definition for that is the area immediately outside the village district boundary that provides for the subsistence needs for the community. And that area of influence is intended to define that and to provide enhanced protection for providing those subsistence resources. That's why there is an area of influence developed.

And Alaska statutes, the Alaska law, requires that State departments follow these home rule borough laws and ordinances and zoning. And I think there's things to work on in coordination with the North Slope Borough as well, maybe with the Wildlife Department, to look at how these area of influences — and these plans are mandated by Alaska statutes, mandated by North Slope Borough code and to develop these things and they exist and they're adopted by ordinance, they're a law. And it seems to me you need to work with the North Slope Borough as well to — it's not to say that the State will change its law, it's to say work within the same law to conform to these things to make it work.

It's not -- it could be time area management, what we do with the Federal government in migratory movement of bowhead whales and the Borough has put time area management or you work within an existing conflict avoidance agreement. And the one measure of recognizing that the industry and others that are working within the migration of the bowhead whale, one way we recognize that a coordination with Alaska Eskimo Whaling Commission has occurred is when they have signed on to a conflict avoidance agreement.

And so it's important to recognize what

we're all really doing in management of other resources in areas where food security issues are of concern, high dependency and the preponderance of the evidence state a high dependency on these subsistence resources for these villages exist. And on top of that Alaska statute says work within these laws as well. So....

MS. DAGGETT: All right. Thank you, Gordon. So I have a couple more questions and I'll be done with my portion. Can I continue with this, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.

MS. DAGGETT: So one thing that comes with tier two hunts in the rest of the State is this idea of trophy destruction. And it's something that I wanted to ask you guys about because I wasn't sure how you would feel about it. But basically what it means is that if a hunter were to get the muskox they would — in some areas they are required to cut the horns. And it's basically to avoid people going out there to just get muskox for the horns. It's supposed to be a subsistence hunt and so there's often trophy destruction associated with that. There's certainly that in 26 or in unit 23 right now in both of their muskox hunts.

And so I was curious what you guys would think about that?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, that's a good thing to talk about because the horns are used for different things as well. You could make spears, harpoon tips, arts and crafts and things like that. And that's part of subsistence. And it shouldn't -- in my view we're not going after the horns, but they should be usable to do some of the things that are traditional and make the rule for that in that way. It's just my take on it, but, you know, you guys go far and above and say oh, you get the horns to prevent them from hanging on somebody's wall, you got to burn it or grind it and turn it into dust or something.

MS. DAGGETT: All right. Thank you for that comment. Does anyone else have comments on that particular question, I'm curious?

(No comments)

```
Page 195
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Was that the only
 2
     question there that we had left there.....
 3
 4
                     MS. DAGGETT:
                                   No.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       ....Carmen?
 7
 8
                     MR. NAGEAK: Mr. President. Roy with
 9
     BLM.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Go ahead, Roy.
12
13
                     MR. NAGEAK: Thank you. And now we're
     seeing what covid-19 is doing to the rest of our
14
     nation. And a lot of increases of people that are
15
     lining up for food in the lower 48. And we're starting
16
     to feel it in the small stores all across the State of
17
     Alaska because there's some form of shortage in regards
18
     to what's available in the small stores. And any
19
     discussion on what comes to a village for food right
20
     now at this stage of what covid-19 disease is doing
21
2.2
     across the nation, across the world I don't think we
     should be talking about the food that is made available
23
     and it comes right in front of us. I think we are at
24
25
     the point where hunger knows no law.
26
27
                     Thank you.
28
29
                     MS. KIPPI: May I add to that, please.
30
     This is Wanda.
31
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Wanda.
32
33
34
                     MS. KIPPI: Okay. Thank you.
                                                    I agree
     with Mr. Roy there. If food in the villages is getting
35
     harder to get from the stores, our stores are very
36
     limited and empty in a lot of the portions that we
37
     normally buy, the meat especially. So it's getting
38
     very hard for us to get some food from -- from our
39
40
     stores now that this covid thing has been going on for
41
     a couple of months.
42
                     And I think about the horns I think
43
     that we should keep -- keep the horns because they do
44
     -- they will be used for artifact -- I mean, for tools
45
     or for making their items for sale or whatever they use
46
     it for.
47
48
                     But I think the muskox should be only
49
50
```

for -- up here on the North Slope should be only for the communities within their region to hunt for the muskox because there's the food shortage up here on the North Slope is very slim.

5 6

Thank you.

7

2

3 4

> Thank you, Wanda. CHAIRMAN BROWER:

8 9

MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair.

10 11 12

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Carmen.

13 14

MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair, just a couple more things and then I can be done hopefully here. you mind if I continue?

16 17 18

15

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Please do.

19 20

21 2.2.

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

MS. DAGGETT: Okay. So I mentioned before when you brought up the moose regulation about this challenge with north/south boundaries and having to split the North Slope so that we don't have to worry about harvesting a muskox population that's currently closed and needs to remain closed for a while. And so that population largely ends at the Ikpikpuk. And so when I spoke with Beth Leonard about it she suggested that if there was going to be a boundary it needed to be west of the Ikpikpuk. And so there's only a few rivers that run north/south that are really long, that could give some guidance to hunters for providing a boundary. And so I think either -- and you guys tell me what you think about this, but I was thinking that the Topagoruk makes sense, but and then maybe have the Topagoruk extend down the line of longitude further down because there's nothing else to really follow there. But I also recognize the problem with the line of longitude being a boundary and the problems it creates because I get calls from hunters about it. I know that you don't love it either, Gordon.

40 41 42

43

44

45

And so I guess I'm asking, you know, what a good natural feature boundary would be that runs north/south that's west of Ikpikpuk if not the Topagoruk?

46 47

CHAIRMAN BROWER: That runs

48 north/south?

distortion)....

2

4

REPORTER: Hi, this is the Reporter, could I have just one person at a time please. Thanks.

5 6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

MS. DAGGETT:now either. But I want to plant the seed in your mind about it and, you know, if you think of something feel free to shoot me an email and we could have a meeting to talk about it later too or something. But I just want to throw a seed out there that these are the things that I'm thinking about and I want to be transparent with you. So that's kind of one question that we just table for now if it would be easier because I totally understand that looking at a map would make it a lot easier.

15 16

So I guess another thing is.....

17 18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, there are maps of features -- there is natural features in most areas like (in Native), that's a natural feature. It is the bluff that starts at Chipp 9, but it's a little bit west of Ikpikpuk by about a mile. And then it goes up towards (in Native) which is still part of (in Native), the waterfall areas and they're just a little bit more east. And then at the end of the northern portion of (in Native) is where the Ikpikpuk drainage that goes east to the Smith Bay instead of -- I mean, if you look -- if you were that -- that's a noticeable feature of the land and from snowmachining all the wolf hunters know this going that way, you know. (In Native). So that's all I'm -- and when you reach (in Native) you know where you're at in the world and you can speed it up, going up.

34 35

At any rate that's my own take on that.

36 37 38

MS. DAGGETT: Okay.

39 40

41

42

43

44

CHAIRMAN BROWER:and it's not using the river, but it's using a natural land feature which is the bluff. (In Native) bluff is a huge bluff and it extends all the way to Little Supreme, to Howard Hill and then onto the Knife Blade Ridge that separates Ikpikpuk and the Colville River.

45 46 47

MS. DAGGETT: Okay.

48

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair. This is Earl

```
from Anaktuvuk.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Earl.
 4
 5
                     MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, we're having phone
     problems here, I keep getting cut off and I'm trying to
 6
 7
     get back on, but it said busy so I'll keep trying.
 8
 9
                     MS. PATTON: Hi, Earl. This is Eva.
     It's hard.....
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I've had to do
12
               And....
13
     that too.
14
15
                     MS. PATTON: .....you're having
     difficulties there.
16
17
18
                     And just wanted to check in with the
     Council because we do still have a couple other agency
19
     reports today. And there is an opportunity, sounds
20
     like some real in depth discussion about land features.
21
2.2
     And there's always an opportunity if the Council would
     like to, you know, form a working -- informal working
23
     group to have further discussion on potential -- you
24
     know, helping to inform potential proposals with your
25
     local knowledge. We can always convene an informal
26
     conversation to share information and feedback there.
27
28
29
                     So just wanted to touch base here.
30
     is 5:25 and we do have a couple other folks coming up
     yet. If the Council would like to have an in depth
31
     discussion with -- and we could, you know, get maps
32
     together and things like that.
33
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       All right.
36
37
                     MS. PATTON: We can always do that
     informally to help provide feedback to the local
38
39
     managers.
40
41
                     Thank you.
42
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. It sounds
43
     like we got -- we need to make time to discuss and
44
     flesh these things out a little bit more. But very
45
     interesting. I think we can do with a muskox opening,
46
     you know.
47
```

48 49

50

Okay.

MS. DAGGETT:

So if -- I guess I

have at least one more question here about this and if -- and I can ask that question or we can discontinue this conversation and do a working group type thing like Eva suggested. If we do want to do that I would like to know who would like to be involved in that.

5 6 7

8

9 10

2

3

4

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hmmm. I would be and I -- I would want to be in there. But are you talking about agencies or local representatives in the -- like maybe the North Slope Borough AC, advisory committees and -- or you talking about individuals.

11 12 13

14 15

16

MS. DAGGETT: However you want it to I mean, this is -- this is me trying to get information to help make this hunt as good as it can be for people here. So whomever you guys think should be on that Council is more important. You know, I.....

17 18

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Uh-huh.

19 20

MS. DAGGETT: Yeah.

21 2.2 23

24 25

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. Well, I think we're kind of getting meeting'd out to think logically now. So a lot of information....

26 27

MS. DAGGETT: Yeah, and that....

28 29

CHAIRMAN BROWER:you know.

30 31

MS. DAGGETT: Uh-huh.

32 33

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Well....

34 35

MS. DAGGETT: Is there anyone else that would be interested in being part of that.....

36 37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

CHAIRMAN BROWER: What's the wish of the Council, do -- anybody want to chime in about being -- working with Carmen to develop a muskox feature or whether it's Topagoruk or if it's Ikpikpuk or maybe it's (in Native), whatever, to look at how a potential muskox opener based on the number of animals now towards the west side could open and be controlled in that way. It might just mean somebody raising their hand and they get to be in teleconference and receive material to talk about a potential proposal development in my view it sounds like.

48 49

```
Page 202
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.
                                                   I thought
     maybe you had.....
 2
 3
 4
                     MS. KIPPI: Thank you, Carmen.
                                                     Thank
 5
     you, Mr. Chair.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: .....Central Arctic
 8
     herd up your sleeve or something.
 9
10
                     MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council.
11
     Beth Leonard had provided a written report on the
     Central Arctic caribou herd, but she didn't plan to
12
     present to the Council at this meeting. She does.....
13
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.
16
                     MS. PATTON: .....would probably
17
     follow-up at the next meeting since that's a wildlife
18
     cycle and provide a comprehensive overview. So that
19
     written report was emailed to folks and also will be in
20
     the mail as a hard copy too for the Council.
21
22
23
                     Thank you.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.
26
     you, Madame Coordinator.
                                     So we'll go to North
     Slope Borough Department of Wildlife Management.
27
28
29
                     (No comments)
30
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: I'm not sure if
31
     anybody's going to chime in from the Wildlife. I'm
32
     going to give them a few seconds to chime in before we
33
34
     move on.
35
                     MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
36
     And, you know, we had Brian Person with us for most of
37
38
     the day and Todd Sformo in the morning, engaging in the
     discussion. I'm not sure if Brian's still on at this
39
     hour, if he's still on the line.
40
41
42
                     Brian.
43
44
                     (No comments)
45
                     MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair. This is
46
     Earl. We're having phone problems down here so we'll
47
48
     keep trying.
49
50
```

```
Page 203
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. All right.
                                                           We
 2
     can hear you, Earl.
 3
 4
                     Okay. So we're going to move on to
 5
     OSM.
 6
 7
                     MS. PATTON: Actually, Mr. Chair and
     Council. We do have one of the final reports for the
 8
     day was the NOAA report as requested from the Council.
 9
10
     And Ed Farley will be calling in for that.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Okay.
13
14
                     MS. PATTON: Let me just check and see
15
     if he's online now.
16
                     Ed, just checking to see if you've
17
18
     joined us by teleconference.
19
20
                     (No comments)
21
2.2
                     MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair, he was standing
23
     by so I'll send him a text to call in. And the Council
     because we just got that presentation recently, that
24
25
     was emailed to the Council. I know not everyone has
     access so we were working with your local North Slope
26
     Borough office to print that out for folks.
27
28
29
                     And let me just.....
30
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. While we're
31
     working on that let's confirm the winter meeting
32
     location. So that's on page 85.
33
34
35
                     MS. PATTON: Yeah.
                                         And then I'll have
     to narrate -- I will have to narrate the actual fall
36
37
     meeting dates for you.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.
                                                   So
     winter, 2021, right, is that where we're at?
40
41
                     MS. PATTON: Yeah, we have to reconfirm
42
     winter, 2021 and then I'll have to narrate the fall
43
     dates for 2021 that are available at this time.
     can go ahead with winter and I'll try to check with Ed
45
     here.
46
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: And is our
     opportunity window open February 8 through March 25?
49
50
```

How about February 22 and 23, Monday and Tuesday? 2 3 MR. OOMITTUK: That sounds good to me. 4 5 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Does the Council have any specific dates or is this tentatively February 22 6 7 and 23 okay. 8 9 MR. OOMITTUK: Yes, sound good to Point 10 Hope. 11 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, sounds good for 12 Anaktuvuk too. 13 14 15 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. We got February 22 and 23 for the winter. 16 17 18 MS. PATTON: Sorry, Council, I was on 19 So currently the Council was scheduled for mute there. February 24 and 25, but you'd like to bump it up 20 earlier to the Monday, Tuesday, February 22 and 23? 21 22 23 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Oh, I didn't see 24 there. There was a SC Cordova one. 25 MS. PATTON: Yeah, we can.... 26 27 28 CHAIRMAN BROWER: And right after that 29 is NS.... 30 MS. PATTON: Yeah, so we can hold two 31 -- two Council meetings at a time. So the North Slope 32 was tentatively scheduled for that Wednesday, Thursday, 33 34 February 24 and 25th. 35 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. 36 like everybody is comfortable with 22 and 23. 37 38 39 MS. PATTON: Okay. Sounds good. 40 41 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. 42 MS. PATTON: And I do have -- I do have 43 Ed Farley on teleconference with NOAA. 44 45 46 MR. FARLEY: Yes, can you hear me? 47 48 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Ed, you have the floor. 49 50

MS. PATTON: Thank you so much, Ed, for calling in. We've been kind of tag teaming back and forth with updates on the agenda so really appreciate you hanging on the line there, Ed. And he had some of his own technical difficulties with power outages down in Juneau. So thanks -- thanks, Ed, for being online and I know the Council's really looking forward to your report.

Thank you.

MR. FARLEY: Yes, I thank you. I really appreciate the invitation by the North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Council for this opportunity to talk about recent observations that we have through our Arctic Integrated Ecosystem Research Program.

 And so I wanted to find out -- I have a presentation that I'm speaking to here in my -- in my office at home, but I don't know, do you all have something on your computer or did you print it off, how would you like me to speak to the presentation?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Was that forwarded to us through Eva?

MS. PATTON: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. So that was emailed to you Monday. And I know Ed was working with a couple of the Council members that don't have access to email and we were hoping your local North Slope Borough office would be able to print that out for you.

So maybe just be aware, Ed, there's a couple Council members that due to the covid circumstances weren't able to get documents printed out so we do have a couple people that wouldn't have all the visuals at this time. So as descriptive as you can be would be helpful.

Thank you.

MR. FARLEY: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So this means the Arctic Integrated Ecosystem Research Program. We are experiencing the future Arctic. Is that the one?

my computer.

Page 206

MR. FARLEY: Yes, that's it.

1 2 3

Okay. I have it on CHAIRMAN BROWER:

4 5 6

MR. FARLEY: Okay. Well, when I -when I go to the next slide I'll just say next slide.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

So I'm Ed Farley and I'm a fisheries research biologist with NOAA Fisheries, the Alaska Fisheries Science Center. I am at the Ted Stevens Marine Research Institute in Juneau, Alaska. And I'm part of a larger project, the Arctic Integrated Ecosystem Research Program that I'm going to be talking about today. And the slide presentation that I sent for all of you is a presentation that I gave at the Alaska Science Symposium last January.

17 18 19

20

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

So for those that are following along if you go to the next slide.

21 2.2

The way I've arranged the presentation is I'm going to give a little bit of a brief introduction to the Arctic Integrated Ecosystem Research Program. This is a program that is through the North Pacific Research Board. And then I'm going to give a little more information on one of the projects within that program, it's called the Arctic Integrated Ecosystem Survey. And that's a project that I'm connected with. And some of the information that we have or these observations that we have which are over, you know, recent times over the last decade, may indicate, you know, something of -- in the line that we might be experiencing the future Arctic now.

34 35 36

So I'm going to go to the next page or next slide.

41

42

43

44

45

46

And our study region for those that can see the slide is the Northern Bering Sea and the Chukchi Sea, an area that you are -- I am sure you are all very familiar with. This area is very dynamic, it has northward moving currents that connect the Bering Sea to the Bering Strait and up into the Chukchi Sea. So one of the features then of this region is the connectivity between the Bering Sea, Chukchi and the Beaufort through these northward moving currents.

47 48

So going to the next slide.

1

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16 17 18

19

20

21 2.2

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31 32

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50 Page 207

Our overarching question for the program is how will reductions in sea ice influence the flow of energy through the Northern Bering Sea and the Chukchi Sea ecosystems. And when we're talking about energy we're talking about heat, so warming sea temperatures, we're talking about the strength of those currents which it appears that when it's heating up so the currents are getting stronger so there is more movement of water from the Bering Sea into the Chukchi and Beaufort. But we're also when we -- when we talk about energy we're talking about the food web. And you're going to see if you're following along I'll have a couple of slides that describe lipid which is fat and how we're seeing changes in the fat content of the food web that's not only the plant matter, but the zooplankton, the bugs and also up into the fish.

11/5/2020

The next slide.

For those of you who can see this, this is the Arctic Integrated Ecosystem Research Program support. Just a brief background. This started in 2016, there were \$16 million for funding. That funding came from the North Pacific Research Board, the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, North Slope Borough Shelf Baseline Studies Program and the Office of Naval Research, Marine Mammals and Biology Program. And there's been some in-kind support by some of the other agencies such as the one I work for, NOAA Fisheries, the National Science Foundation and the University of Alaska Fairbanks.

So the next slide.

This slide discusses the Arctic Integrated Ecosystem Research Program structure. is a social sciences component that is the Chukchi coastal communities' understanding of and responses to environmental change. There's another field component or a survey component which was the spring field expedition. And that was labeled the Arctic Shelf Growth, Advection, Respiration and Deposition or ASGARD as I'll refer to it at sometime during this talk. that's a -- that's a spring component to this project. And then what I'm going to talk most about today is the summer, late summer field expedition that I was involved with. And those summer expeditions have some time series to them so I can compare some early observations that we had in 2012 and 2013 to the more

recent observations that we just did in 2017 and 2019.

11/5/2020

2 3

So next slide.

4 5

> 6 7

> 8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

We just did an overview then of this fieldwork. We had spring and summer surveys for this project in 2017. We did have another spring survey in 2018 and then we finished up our survey effort in 2019 in the summer. There -- this project had moorings that were on the sea floor and so those -- some of the information I'll share today come from the moorings. These moorings were deployed and left through the winter and then recovered the next year. And we were able to get about three years of information from doing this. And then there are a number of other collaborating projects.

16 17

So next slide.

18 19 20

21 2.2

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

So those of you who can see this, this is the survey component. We on our Arctic Integrated Ecosystem Survey did a number of different measurements, I won't go into each one of them, but you can see that we're measuring the physical environment, the biological environment and we also measured fishes and we took -- we had a seabird observer so we were getting seabird observations. And we also used some of our acoustic devices that were on the moorings for some of the marine mammal observations. We did -- these surveys were on one of our research vessels. we did the surveys between August to October, 2017 to The survey extent covered pretty much the southern Chukchi Sea all the way to the northern Chukchi Sea and then along the shelf break in the Beaufort Sea to the Arctic Ocean there. And again these surveys occurred in 2017 and 2019, but we had similar surveys in 2012 and 2013. And I'll be referring to those in a few minutes.

38 39 40

Next slide.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

So we had some hypotheses on change for I'm more familiar with the fishes. And so for our Arctic Integrated Ecosystem Survey, upper trophic level, that's what UTL is, we wanted to find -- answer the question what we think will happen during warming in the Arctic. And some of the main players up in the Chukchi Sea include Arctic cod, saffron cod, herring, capelin, chum salmon and pink salmon. We know that

there's quite a few snow crab up on the sea floor and there's some jellyfishes that are now being -- moving up into the Chukchi Sea being affected up there from the -- with these currents from the -- from the Bering Sea. And we expected Arctic cod to go down in abundance and saffron cod to go up and these are -- these projections for down or up are all based on how these fish respond to temperature. Arctic cod tend to want cooler waters, saffron cod tend to like warmer waters, et cetera.

11/5/2020

So next slide.

For those of you that can see this, this was on seabirds. We have a seabird component that Kathy Kulick with U.S. Fish and Wildlife is doing. And I won't go into too much depth here, but they're looking at changes in abundance of seabirds that eat fish versus seabirds that eat zooplankton. And they're thinking that with some of the warming we might see more seabirds that are -- that are fish eating and less seabirds that eat zooplankton.

Okay.

Next slide.

This was just a picture of the vessel that we used for the Arctic Integrated Ecosystem Survey, it was the Ocean Star.

So next slide.

And then what I'm going to do is just kind of put -- give a little bit of a story on some of these observations that we have seen in 2017.

But I want to put some context to that first so go to the next slide.

 So those of you that can see this slide, it's the observed and projected sea surface temperatures in August through October. Again this is when our survey occurred. And if you look at what the observed temperatures in the Chukchi Sea were from 1979 to 2008, you would see that those summer temperatures were between 34 and 47 degrees fahrenheit which is one to seven degrees celsius. There's a model that is modeling what the temperatures will look like in 2040

to 2069 and the model's sea surface temperatures for August to October in the Chukchi Sea suggest that it's going to warm up to 30 -- between 37 to 54 degrees fahrenheit at the surface which is quite a bit of warming over this time period or about 2.5 to 10 degrees celsius. So we're looking at observed temperatures between 34 to 47 degrees fahrenheit, you know, at least through 2008 and model temperatures that are between 37 and 54.

11/5/2020

9 10 11

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

So if you go to the next slide.

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

So we measure sea surface temperature when we're on our surveys. And as I mentioned we had surveys up in the Chukchi in 2012 and 2013 and what we noted then is that the temperatures that we measured or observed were very similar in those two years to the historical observations that were between one and seven degrees celsius. And so '12 and '13 look to be more normal at least at the -- for the observations that we had in terms of sea surface temperature.

21 2.2 23

So if you go to the next slide.

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

However when you look at 2017 and 2019 things changed dramatically. We are seeing some warming in 2017. Not quite to where the model suggested we would be in 2040, but if you look at 2019 we saw some extremely warm temperatures in August through October in the Chukchi Sea. And the temperatures that we observed were above or similar to and above what the model forecast for 2040 and beyond. So this is where we're trying to understand, you know, that we are now seeing temperatures that the models don't predict us to see until much later in this -- in this century. So our -- some of the observations then that I'm going to show, this is sort of a prediction of what the future Arctic might look like.

38 39 40

So if you go to the next slide.

41 42 43

This is some of the food web information. This slide says large and small copepods abundance.

44 45 46

47

48

So a copepod, that's one of the zooplanktons that fish and -- feed on. And what we note is if you're a large copepod, these are different species now, but we're just designating them by size,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12 13

14

Page 211

if you're a large copepod you tend to have more fat. So it says more lipid. So it -- large copepods are good because they have more lipid and they're going to provide more energy to the food web than some of the smaller copepods. They tend to have less fat or less -- less energy. So if we compare what we saw in 2017, 2019, one of the things that we noted when it warmed up dramatically in '19 was a real drop in the large -- in the number of large copepods that we saw in our survey. And we didn't see much change in the number of small copepods, but that decline to us is an indication that potentially these large copepods that love colder water aren't doing as well when it gets warmer. And that might have some real consequences for energy within -- within the food web.

15 16 17

So moving on to the next slide.

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

Other -- other things that we're taking -- that we're taking samples of the seafloor while we're out there and this is one example that is from one of the colleagues, Dean Stockwell, he works at the University of Alaska Fairbanks and they took some measurements of the -- the mud they collected at the seafloor to look for domoic acid which is one of the harmful algae, it's a toxin. And so if you -- if you're able to see this it's some -- some of the stations that they took samples from from the Northern Bering Sea all the way up in -- you know, past Point Hope into the Chukchi Sea. And the bars there just indicate the amount of this potential toxin in the seafloor. And they did note that there's a 180.5, that's nanograms per liter, that's actually parts per trillion, so they're measuring it parts per trillion, but that's -- that can be -- that level at 180.5 can be harmful to somebody or a marine mammal or somebody who might eat it. And they did find one place where the levels were high enough that could be -- indicate a place where the toxin is built up enough to be harmful and that was offshore of Point Hope.

40 41 42

So again, I mean, these are just some observations that we're taking while we're out at sea.

43 44 45

So then moving on to the next slide.

46 47 48

This is -- this from -- again from Kathy Kulick. And while we were -- we have a seabird observer on the vessel and they were taking -- whenever

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18 Page 212

we saw a dead seabird when we were traveling by we would grab that seabird from the ocean, bring it back onto the boat, they would freeze the bird. And so on the one side over here you see dead birds at sea from our survey and there are a variety of species from shearwaters, northern fulmars, et cetera. But then this -- we were trying to get some sort of context to what others in the local regions are seeing because there's some information on dead birds in 2019 from the community's agencies, the Coast Group. And what they found was that there's been -- there was some seasonal component to this shearwater die off. It looked like in July there was a lot of shearwaters that died down in the Bristol Bay area. But then when you move to August a lot of shearwaters were found dead in the coastal areas in the Bering Strait and off Kotzebue Sound. So going into the -- and what Kathy was saying is that these birds were emaciated and they think they died of starvation.

11/5/2020

19 20 21

So then moving into the next slide.

22 23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

This is just another seabird slide. This is changes in seabird distribution. We're looking at one total bird densities. So this is -- again this is from seabird observers that are on the vessel, they're looking at -- they're observing these birds while we're at sea and they found that there were higher densities further north in 2017 and there were fewer birds in 2019. So again '19 was the year that was extremely warm. And the other, they pulled the crested auklets out of here to take a look at that and they found that in 2019 there were fewer crested auklets that went north in late summer during that year than we observed in the 2017.

35 36 37

So moving to the next slide.

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48 This is Kate Stafford's work and she's using a mooring, these are moorings like I was describing before. These are there all year round so you can get a seasonal or a monthly picture of movement of whales because these moorings have a sound listening device on them. So when they pull them up they can pull all this information out. And the take home message if you're able to see this is there was a mooring just south of the Bering Strait called N4 and Kate pulled some sounds from there and found that the -- there was some Arctic species up there of course

during the winter, that was bearded seal, beluga, bowhead and walrus. And then if you move down below there's the weekly mean of the sub-Arctic species that are the humpback, killer -- killer whales, gray whales and fin whales. And just the take home message here is that Kate is saying that these sub-Arctic species are staying longer in the northern regions than they were in the past, you know, in through November, December.

11/5/2020

8

2

3 4

5

6 7

Next slide.

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

Moving on into the fishes. We do have an acoustic device on the ship and this is just if you're able to see this there's some information from 2012, 2013, our survey in '17, '18 and '19. So this is acoustic backscatter and it's a proxy for the fish abundance. The fishes that we're looking at are really If you have -- if you can see over in 2012 that's my hand with one of the fish that we're looking That's a small -- probably a small Arctic cod. And so we're trying to understand, you know, the numbers of Arctic cod that are up in this region. They're extremely important to the food web. And if you look at the time series we have -- the backscatter shows that there were fewer fish in '12 and '13, however '17, you know, the red shows really hot so there were quite a few fish up there in '17 and then fewer in '18 and '19. We did get some observations from '18 using that sail drone, but that's a lot more detail.

30 31 32

So moving onto the next slide.

33 34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

And again getting back at this energy in terms of fat content, this is one of the things that we noticed. We have samples again for Arctic cod from 2013 which was more of a cool year and then '17 which we were seeing some warming. We don't have the '19 samples analyzed yet because covid stopped our lab work, but this slide essentially shows if you were just to look at length and weight of these fish there doesn't seem to be any difference in their condition between 2013 and '17. But when you look at fat which is lipids you can start to see in '13 that the larger fish had less fat or, I mean, in '17 they had less fat and they had less storage fat. And storage fat is what gets fish through winter. So this is really important information for us to understand. It does look like those fish that are in water that's warmer are actually

 Page 214

utilizing more of their fat because their metabolism is going up due to the warm water. So again we don't have any information from '19 yet, those samples are still in the lab.

11/5/2020

Moving onto the next slide.

And just to get at fish movement, we do have the moorings again that had upper looking acoustic devices on them so that we could look at fish and movement when we were out there. So this kind of just gives you an indication of when fish were moving past these moorings that were on the seafloor, there's -- there were three moorings, one of them up there in Barrow Canyon, another one further on the Chukchi Shelf and then further -- there's another one that was further west and south. And again you can just -- the take home message here if you can see the picture is that we do see movement of fish on and up and on through summer and then there seems to be no fish in the winter.

Next slide is just a -- this is some folks that are working on a model to try to understand Arctic cod's life -- a conceptual model for their life history. And areas that are pink are potential spawning areas. These Arctic cod spawn in the winter under the ice. They have eggs that are buoyant or they float. And they stay under the ice and once the ice melts back there's ice algae. And typically those fish are then -- or those eggs are now larvae. The larvae begin to feed on that ice algae and because there's these northward moving currents the larvae are moved up into the Chukchi Shelf. So that's just a conceptual model for Arctic cod.

So next slide.

And one of the things that we are noting from our survey is that typically when we're up here we're seeing Arctic cod in the summertime in our surveys, however in 2017 we started to see walleye pollock, small age zero walleye pollock. These fish were again about an inch to two inches long. This was a surprise to us. We saw some in there up around Kotzebue Sound in 2017, but in 2019 that's when we really were surprised at the number of walleye pollock juveniles that showed up in the Chukchi Sea. Again '19 was an extremely warm year and we were not prepared to

see walleye pollock up to 72 north. They seem to be displacing the Arctic cod. So, you know, this -- this may be one signal for what a future Arctic may look like.

So if we go to the next slide.

That's just again juvenile Pacific cod. These are -- we did not see any in surveys in the past. There was -- in 2012 there were no juvenile Pacific cod in the Chukchi that we found in the summertime. However in '17 we did start finding them in fairly large numbers.

And in 2019 if you move to the next slide, there's the juvenile Pacific cod. In '19 we didn't seem to find as many, but again they were quite far -- quite far north, similar to walleye pollock.

So moving to the next slide.

So the next one -- the next slide I have is we weren't prepared, it just says what about sub-Arctic data so in other words what about these walleye pollock and Pacific cod that typically are found in the Bering Sea, you know, we weren't prepared to see the kind of movement north that we saw from our survey for these fish. And so now we have to ask well, what's happening with these fish while they move north.

And so why don't -- next slide after that is our international collaboration with our Russian colleagues. They also were doing surveys, bottom trawl surveys, over in the western Chukchi Sea on their side. If you are able to see this slide it shows some black dots. That shows the stations that they put a bottom trawl onto the seafloor. They did that just west of Point Hope there and Kotzebue Sound and they also did a -- did net tows up around 74 degrees north.

If you go to the next slide you'll see that in 2018 when they ran that -- their bottom trawl survey over there they found that there were quite a few adult walleye pollock in that area. This was again a very -- a big surprise to them. They found very few adult Arctic cod and this is in the -- this would be in the area west of Point Hope or the Kotzebue Sound. They didn't -- and they also found some Pacific --

adult Pacific cod, but they -- they found that the adult Arctic cod were pretty much pushed all the way up north into the cooler water around 74 north.

3 4 5

> 6 7

> 8

9

11

12

2

And if you go to the next slide they --well, the next slide just kind of gives you some data if you want to look at this a little bit later, but it just provides you with size data so that you can see that there were also some small walleye pollock just like we found on the east Chukchi side. But because they were -- we were not running a bottom trawl, they were running a bottom trawl, they did catch adult walleye pollock.

13 14

So if you move to the next slide.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

That one is just that they did a survey in 2019, our Russian colleagues did, and they found a lot more adult walleye pollock than they found in '18. And I don't know if you're keeping up with the news, but they were thinking of actually doing a commercial fishery in the western Chukchi this year. I don't know if they did it or not, but they felt like they'd found enough walleye pollock to do that.

242526

27

28

29

So if you move to the next side it just highlights and, you know, you live in this region so you know that there's been some pretty remarkable rapid change. This is just some of the -- some of those highlights from the news.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

And then if you move to the next slide it kind of gives a -- just a highlight, an overview of what Henry Huntington is working on with the social science project -- part of this project. And he's working with you all in nine communities. You can see them there, there's Savoonga, Diomede, Buckland, Kotzebue, Kivalina, Point Hope, Point Lay, Wainwright and Utgiagvik. And they're looking for -- you know, the focal topic is environmental change, societal change and community response. And what you all are saying is that environment and society are changing pretty fast and that the communities and individuals have many ways of coping with change and that traditional values and attitudes remain important for well-being and that predictions are uncertain so fostering resilience and adaptability is important and that your tribal and community leadership are essential. So that's some of the highlights from that

social science component of the project.

2 3 4

5

6 7

8

9

And if you move to the next slide this is what some people are describing at least in some journals as what the future Arctic might look like. Essentially it's a feedback loop and it's just continuing as we get warmer, we lose ice, when we lose ice we get more sun, energy on the water so the water heats up and it's just a continual feedback loop. And some of the consequences of that are over -- there.

10 11 12

And so the next slide is my last slide.

13 14

15

16

17

And I don't know how many of you are still here, but I really appreciate being able to describe some of the observations that we've had through this program and look forward to your questions if you have any.

18 19

Thanks.

20 21 2.2

23

24 25

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you very much. And I find this to be very interesting and kind of puts to light some of the things that we're enduring up here ourselves as well in terms of different species and stuff.

26 27

Any questions -- was this Marty?

28 29 30

(Teleconference interference participants not muted)

31 32

MS. PATTON: Ed Farley. Thank you,

33 34 Gordon.

35

MR. FARLEY: Ed, yes.

36 37 38

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Ed. Ed Farley.

Okay. Any questions for Ed.

39 40 41

42

43

MR. NAGEAK: Just a comment, Mr. President. We're kind of the people that's been fishing for tom cod since the lagoon froze and we're hardly catching any. That could be the cause of what you mentioned, tom cods are going north.

45 46 47

Thank you.

48 49

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any other questions.

```
MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, this.....
 1
 2
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: I really enjoyed the
 3
 4
     presentation. We need outreaches and things like that
     to communities that would -- maybe even the schools to
 5
     make environmental observers out of some of our
 6
 7
     villages.
 8
 9
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, this is Steve in
10
     Point Hope. I really appreciate this presentation and
11
     I wish I was there, you know, to see the presentation
     on the screen. I'd like to get a copy of that, it
12
13
     would be very helpful.
14
15
                     Eva, I.....
16
                     MS. PATTON: Hi, Steve. Yeah, I....
17
18
19
                     MS. PATTON: ....and, you know,
20
     we....
21
2.2
                     MS. PATTON: .....that's the
     presentation -- that's the presentation that's before
23
     you -- printed out for you on.....
24
25
26
                     (Teleconference interference -
27
     participants not muted)
28
                     REPORTER: Okay. Hold on. This is the
29
30
     reporter. So I'm getting a lot of people talking over
     each other and some feedback. One at a time please.
31
32
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, this is Steve in
33
     Point Hope. And I really appreciate like I said. And,
34
     you know, we noticed the warmer, you know, climate,
35
     that the ocean is a lot warmer, it's not freezing like
36
     it used to. And like I said several times, you know,
37
     we celebrate the born of the ice with the whale tail
38
     (in Native) and when it -- you know, it -- it predicts
39
     our -- if it -- if it's (indiscernible) first on the
40
     south side we know we're going to have a good season.
41
     On the south side, but on the north side, it's going to
42
     be a tough year for us, you know, when the first slush
43
     ice connect to the land. It usually happens in the
44
     October, first part. Here it is early November last
45
     two years it's been late November. And then the
46
     breakup of the ice is even earlier. You know, we had
47
     open water in February and March and whales were going
48
     by, you know. Usually we don't get the open water
49
50
```

until April. You know, they might hunt seals in February and March, but just, you know, very little water and there's (indiscernible - distortion) but we've been seeing a lot of open water in February and March the last five years or so. And the tom cod are -- that we hunt in January, fish for in the point, is very scarce now the last few years it's -- they're hard to find. Usually we get them in January.

Thank you.

MS. KIPPI: This is Wanda. I had to call back in, I got cut off.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Wanda. Yeah, we recognize some villages are storming right now and there's a storm warning that's going to start for these areas along the coast here as well pretty -- in the next couple of days too.

Any other questions for Ed.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: If not, I really appreciate it and this was really good. I hope that Steve had the visuals to go along with it because the visuals help a lot as you were narrating your presentation.

MR. FARLEY: All right. Well, thank you, Mr. Chair. And have a good evening. I hope everyone is doing well.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah. Thank you very

 much.

And, Eva, I think that concludes the presentations of all the agencies on the agency reports.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ PATTON: Thank you, Ed. Appreciate you being on.

And thank you, Mr. Chair. That's it for the agency reports. So we just have the last brief OSM update and to confirm the Council's fall meeting.

And just to circle back around as well, the Council was talking about -- earlier today about

 Page 220

issues and topics you'd like to bring forward in your annual report to the Federal Subsistence Board. So if there's any issues that come to mind for you now and we'll -- I'll be going back through all the Council's discussion from this meeting as well to develop the Council's report to the Federal Subsistence Board and then that draft will come back in front of the Council at your next winter meeting for your full review. So there's still an opportunity to add and edit at that time.

11/5/2020

But maybe if we can just take a minute and see if Council members have any issues or requests to the Board for your annual report?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any concerns you want to take to -- for the annual report, Council members, otherwise Eva will work on it with all of what we've worked on all day for the couple days and develop the annual report for us. And we'll have to just vet it when she's done.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing non, did we confirm the -- and select the fall meeting date for 2021.

MS. PATTON: Not yet, Mr. Chair. So just to reconfirm, we were looking at the winter meeting dates and just to reconfirm the Council was originally scheduled to February....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, we already did that and we said 22 and 23.

MS. PATTON: Okay. So 22, 23 is confirmed. And then because the North Slope is one of the last to meet, all the other Councils have already selected their fall, 2021 meeting date. So what I can do for you, if you look on page 86 of your meeting books I can let you know the meeting windows that are open yet to meet for that fall meeting time frame.

So the meeting window does open mid August and I know this Council likes to alternate between meeting prior to the fall whaling season and then after the fall whaling season. So the calendar's wide open mid August through September. And then we have this first week of November is still available at

```
Page 221
     this time frame, November 1st through 4th is available.
     So you're wide open mid August through October 1st is
 2
     open to meet and then the week of November 1 through 4
 3
 4
     is open to meet as well.
 5
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 6
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: How about October 12
 9
     and 13.
10
11
                     MR. OOMITTUK:
                                    Sounds good with me.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: October 12 and 13
     sounds good. It will give us all summer to hunt and
14
15
     fish through August, September, right into October.
16
                     MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council.
17
     I....
18
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Maybe October 14 --
     maybe October 14 and 15 would be better I think.
21
2.2.
23
                     MS. PATTON: Yeah, Mr. Chair and
               Those weeks in October, so it -- the
     Council.
24
     calendar's still open through October 1st. And then
25
     because all the other Councils have selected their
26
     meetings, we're not able to hold more than two Council
27
     meetings per week. And so unfortunately that week of
28
     October 4th through October 29th is completely booked
29
30
     up.
31
                     So there is a little earlier in the --
32
     unless it's too early in the fall whaling season, that
33
34
     week of September 27 through October 1st is still open.
35
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: You know, those are
36
37
     really -- those are big subsistence -- peak
     subsistence, September 28, 29, 30, fish run -- that I
38
     know that were special runs starts around September 23
39
     and ends about October 10. And I always -- you know,
40
41
     when I try to get back to my fishing, I don't like to
     miss the fish run with the eggs. And that's the time
42
     on Ikpikpuk is.....
43
44
45
                     MS. PATTON:
                                  Sure.
46
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: ....that time.
47
48
49
                     MS. PATTON:
                                  Sure. Absolutely.
50
```

2.2 23

24 25 26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49 50 Page 222

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Seems to me somewhere 2 around October 28 and 29 maybe. 3 4 MS. PATTON: And I -- again I apologize, Mr. Chair and Council. So that entire month 5 of October is booked up because all the other Councils 6 7 have already selected their fall meetings unfortunately. 8 9 10 But we do have this same time frame so 11 the week of November 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, is available. So we could meet again on November 3rd or 4th or 12 13 prior.... 14 15 MR. OOMITTUK: Okay. 16 MS. PATTON:prior to October 1st 17 18 is available. 19 (Teleconference interference -20

11/5/2020

participants not muted) 21

REPORTER: I need people to mute their phones, please.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: November 3 and 4, I think November 3 and 4. good enough?

MS. KIPPI: Yes, November 3 and 4 are good.

> MR. OOMITTUK: All right. Thank you.

MS. PATTON: Okay. So November 3 and 4 works for the Council after fall whaling season and gets a little slower for subsistence.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Closing comments. Let's move right in. So anybody want to say any closing comments. We'll start with Point Hope.

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. I can, I just have a super brief OSM report. We always leave it for the last. But it is very brief.

We just want to relay to the Council the Federal Subsistence Board has made the decision to hold all meetings by teleconference, all 10 Councils have met by teleconference due to covid-19. The Board

3 4

5

6 7 Page 223

recognizes the Regional Advisory Councils are the foundation of Alaska Federal Subsistence Management Program and the Board recognizes that holding face to face Council meetings in rural communities across Alaska is incredibly important for engaging with the public. And the program is fully committed to resuming in person Council meetings across Alaska as soon as it is safe to do so.

11/5/2020

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

And just wanted to provide a couple updates. OSM does have a new director, Sue Detwiler, who is a long time Alaska resident and has worked with the subsistence program in the past as well as other Federal agencies. So she -- she comes with a long experience with subsistence herself.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21 2.2

And we do have a new supervisory anthropologist who was just recently hired. So that position was vacant for a few years and I know that's one that's of real importance to the Council. So I'm pleased to let the Council know we do have a new supervisory anthropologist for the Federal Subsistence Program.

23 24 25

26

27

And just real briefly the Board's been working on special actions and tribal consultation throughout this year.

28 29 30

And just a reminder for the real ID for travel to Council meetings. So that deadline got extended, got postponed to fall of 2021. But we're here to help make sure Council members are able to travel when we are able to meet in person next.

33 34 35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44

31

32

Lastly update -- just to let the Council know on August 10th the State of Alaska filed a lawsuit against the Federal Subsistence Board after it adopted emergency special action that allowed the village of Kake to engage in a community harvest of two antlered moose and five male sitka black tailed deer. Also included in the lawsuit was a temporary special action which closed Federal lands in the unit 13A and B to non-Federally-qualified moose and caribou hunters. So these were emergency hunts related to covid 19 and food security.

45 46 47

48

On September 18th the U.S. District Court denied the State's request for a preliminary injunction to the unit 13 closure and the court found

that because the State had not demonstrated either a likelihood of success on serious questions on the merits of its claim the court need not consider the remaining elements of its preliminary injunction.

4 5 6

7

8

9 10

2

3

So as of this writing the court has not yet ruled on the request to enjoin that Kake hunt or the adoption of other covid related emergency action. A ruling on preliminary injunction does not resolve the litigation. A settlement with the State, questions raised by the State concerning the Board's authority to take these actions will be -- will continue to be argued in the courts over the coming

13 14 15

11

12

(Teleconference interference participants not muted)

16 17 18

The Solicitor's Office.....

19

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.

20 21 2.2

MS. PATTON: Okay. So anyway so we'll keep the Council and communities updated on that as we go forward.

24 25 26

23

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.

27 28 good.

MS. PATTON: That's all we have for now and thank you, Mr. Chair, and Council for all your great work.

31 32

29 30

Thank you.

33 34 35

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, you're welcome. And I think we're very tired.

36 37 38

39

So we'll go to Point Hope, we're starting with closing comments. You got anything to -in closing there, Steve.

40 41 42

43

44

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. It's been a good couple days. I'm looking forward to the next meeting. And safe travel and wear your mask and be safe.

45 46 47

Thank you. It's been a long day today.

48 49

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.

Page 225 MR. OOMITTUK: Lot of good information. 2 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, it sure has. 3 4 Yeah, thank you, Steve. 5 Anaktuvuk. 6 7 8 MR. WILLIAMS: No. Thank you very 9 much. Have a good year. 10 11 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Kaktovik. 12 13 MR. REXFORD: Yeah, it was a good 14 couple days meeting. And I'd like a copy of the NOAA 15 report if possible. 16 Thank you. 17 18 19 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. We'll --20 I have it too, I was -- I had it on my email. I'm not sure if you received it or not. If Eva don't send it 21 2.2 to you I'll send it to you right away. 23 (In Native), Eddie. 24 25 26 MR. REXFORD: Thank you. 27 28 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Wanda. 29 30 MS. KIPPI: Thank you, Mr. Chair. you might mention about the (in Native) during 31 September (in Native) in -- after it freeze up my son 32 caught some in his net with some eggs in them. So I'm 33 34 hoping like they extended their -- extended their (in 35 Native) during that time. I'm, wondering if it's changing because of the temperature or the climate 36 37 change. 38 But anyhow I want to thank everybody 39 for taking the time off of my time, I just -- no, I'm 40 41 just kidding. I just want to thank everybody for understanding when -- when I didn't call in on time 42 this morning. I thank you all for your understanding 43 and I hope you all have a safe -- safe first of the 44 winter. And it's always good to hear from you guys and 45 see what's going on on your side of the country. 46 47 48 Okay. (In Native). Thank you. 49 50

```
Page 226
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: (In Native), Wanda,
     (in Native)
 2
 3
 4
                     Yeah, Ikpikpuk, those areas up that way
     they're -- they always have (in Native) even in the
 5
     summertime, but when it's about September 20 through
 6
     October 10 that's when they spawn. They -- when they
 7
     got lots, they (in Native) for spawning. But they do
 8
     have eggs throughout the summer that -- when they're
 9
10
     getting ready to mature and stuff like that. (In
11
     Native) they have a different spawning in the lakes for
     lake whitefish. And then different rivers. They have
12
     a different -- sometimes they have a different spawning
13
     as well.
14
15
16
                     (In Native).
                                   Thank you very much.
17
18
                     How about William Hopson in Barrow.
19
20
                     (No comments)
21
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, I hope
2.2
     I didn't miss any of the Council members. And it was
23
     fairly enjoyable, I really enjoyed listening to a lot
24
25
     of the presentations and a lot of the dialogue.
26
                     And with that I'm going to entertain
27
28
     item 15, adjournment.
29
30
                     MR. OOMITTUK:
                                    I make a motion to.....
31
                     MS. KIPPI: Second.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a motion on
34
35
     the floor to adjourn by all.
36
37
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Question called for.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Ouestion's been
40
     called. All in favor of adjournment say aye.
41
42
                     IN UNISON:
                                 Aye.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: We are adjourned.
     Good night, everybody.
45
46
47
                     MS. PATTON:
                                  Thank you, Mr. Chair.
     Thank you, Council members.
48
49
50
```

11/5/2020

		Page 227
		rage ZZ/
1	(Off record)	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		
3	(END OF PROCEEDINGS)	
4		
5		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12 13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
33 34 35 36 37 38		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42 43		
43		
44 45 46 47		
1 46		
47		
48 49		
49		
50		

```
CERTIFICATE
 1
 2
 3
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                      )
 4
                                      )ss.
 5
     STATE OF ALASKA
                                      )
 6
 7
             I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
     state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
 8
     Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
 9
10
             THAT the foregoing pages numbered ____ through
11
      ___ contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
12
     NORTH SLOPE SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
13
     MEETING, VOLUME II taken electronically on the 5th day
14
15
     of November;
16
                     THAT the transcript is a true and
17
     correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
18
     thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
19
20
     reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
     ability;
21
2.2.
23
                     THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
     party interested in any way in this action.
24
25
                     DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 4th
26
     day of December 2020.
27
28
29
30
                     Salena A. Hile
31
                     Notary Public, State of Alaska
32
                     My Commission Expires: 09/16/22
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

11/5/2020