```
0001
 1
                     NORTH SLOPE SUBSISTENCE
 2
                    REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
 7
 8
                             VOLUME I
 9
10
11
                          TELECONFERENCE
12
                         November 3, 2021
13
                            9:20 a.m.
14
15
16
17
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
18
19
    Gordon Brower, Chair
20 William Hopson
    Martha Itta
21
22
   Wanda Kippi
    Steve Oomittuk
23
24
    Edward Rexford
25
26
27
28
    Regional Council Coordinator, Eva Patton
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
   Recorded and transcribed by:
39
40
41
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
42
    329 F Street, Suite 222
43
    Anchorage, AK 99501
44
    907-227-5312/sahile@gci.net
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0002	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2 3	(Teleconference - 11/3/2021)
4	
5 6	(On record)
7 8 9	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Maybe we'll go ahead and start off with an invocation. I can give that if there's no objections, I can provide the invocation.
11	(Invocation)
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN BROWER: With that I'm going to call the meeting to order and maybe we can do a roll call and I'm not sure if we'll establish a quorum right now. But, Madame Coordinator, if you can provide the roll call. I'm not sure if Wanda has is in attendance right now because she may be attending to community needs right now.
21 22 23 24	MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council members. I'll go through the roster for roll call here. Gordon Brower, Utqiagvik.
25 26 27	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, I'm here.
28	MS. PATTON: Wanda Kippi, Atqasuk.
29 30	(No comments)
31 32 33 34 35 36 37	MS. PATTON: And, again, Mr. Chair, and Council members she may be calling in a little later this morning as she attends to a community emergency there. And hopefully we'll hear from Wanda in the next hour or so.
38	Steve Oomittuk, Point Hope.
39 40 41	(No comments)
42 43 44 45	MS. PATTON: And I just talked with Steve again last night so, you know, he'll be calling in and hopefully able to connect here this morning.
46 47 48 49 50	And we have our new Council member from Wainwright. Billy Patkotak, Jr., are you able to connect with us this morning on teleconference.

0003 1 (No comments) 2 3 MS. PATTON: I did just leave a message 4 trying to get through and hopefully he's able to connect on the teleconference this morning and join us 5 a little later, I'll try to touch base again and see if 6 7 he's able to connect. 8 9 Edward Rexford, Sr., Kaktovik. 10 11 MR. REXFORD: Yes, I'm here, good 12 morning. 13 14 MS. PATTON: Good morning, Eddie, thank 15 you. 16 17 Martha Itta, Nuiqsut. 18 19 MS. ITTA: Good morning, Eva. Good 20 morning everyone. 21 22 MS. PATTON: Good morning, Martha. 23 24 Earl Williams, Anaktuvuk Pass. Peter 25 Earl Williams. 26 27 MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning. 28 29 MS. PATTON: Good morning, Peter. All 30 right, thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. Well, at this 31 time we have -- currently we have seven seated Council 32 members and three vacant seats and we only have four 33 out of our seven Council members present. So we don't 34 yet have quorum but we can begin the meeting with 35 informational. 36 37 CHAIRMAN BROWER: That sounds like a 38 good plan. 39 40 MS. PATTON: Hopefully we will have our 41 other Council members joining us shortly. 42 43 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 44 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, thank you, Eva, 45 46 for providing the roll call and we'll acknowledge the 47 other Council members when they join and hopefully by 48 that time we would reach some of the action items. So 49 this morning I'm going to do welcome and introductions

```
0004
    maybe just starting from Kaktovik. Eddie.
 2
 3
                         REXFORD:
                    MR.
                                      Yes, good
    everybody. I'm on and willing to sit in in the meeting
 4
 5
    all day. Thank you.
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
 8
    think I heard Martha, maybe I'll go to Nuigsut. Good
    morning, Martha. Good to hear you.
 9
10
11
                    MS. ITTA:
                                 Good morning, Mr. Chair.
12
    Good to hear you all too. Martha Itta from Nuigsut.
    I've been on since, I believe, 2018.
13
                                                Long life
14
    resident of Nuigsut hunter, fisherman, all year-round.
15
    Good morning.
16
17
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       It's good to hear
18
    you. Earl.
19
20
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning. Thank you
21
                  Thankful for our caribou herd.
    very much.
    morning to everybody.
22
23
24
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      Good morning.
25
    let's start with those online, maybe we'll start with
26
    OSM Staff, and then just chime in after that, those
27
    that are online.
28
29
                      **Transcriber Note**
30
31
            Voorhees contacted Computer Matrix
32
    Reporters requesting she be titled as Dr. Voorhees in
33
    transcripts and not Ms. Voorhees
34
35
                    **End Transcriber Note**
36
37
                    DR. VOORHEES:
                                   Good morning, this is
38
    Hannah Voorhees, Anthropologist with OSM.
39
40
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
41
42
                    MS. HYER: Good morning. This is Karen
43
    Hyer, Fish Biologist with OSM.
44
45
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Karen.
46
                                   Good morning.
47
                    MS. HOLMAN:
                                                  This is
48
    Kendra Holman, Wildlife Biologist with OSM.
49
```

```
0005
 1
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
 2
                    MR. VICKERS:
                                 Good morning.
 3
 4
    Brent Vickers, Cultural Anthropologist with OSM. And I
 5
    will also be representing the leadership team for OSM
 6
    today. Thank you.
 7
 8
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.
 9
10
                    MS. LAVINE:
                                 Good morning, Mr. Chair.
11
    This is Robbin LaVine, Subsistence Policy Coordinator
12
    with OSM.
13
14
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
15
                                  Good morning. This is
16
                    MR. PAPPAS:
17
    George Pappas, State Subsistence Liaison, OSM.
18
19
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
20
21
                    (Pause)
22
23
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                     So that sounds like
24
    that concludes OSM so I'll just ask those others that
25
    are online to just go ahead and introduce yourself.
26
    I'm not exactly sure who's on.
27
28
                    MS. LEAVITT: Good morning. This is
29
    Doreen Leavitt with Inupiat Community of the Arctic
30
    Slope,
            ICAS.
                     I am the Acting Natural Resource
31
    Director. So this is my first time calling in, thank
32
    you.
33
34
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Welcome and.....
35
36
                    MR. RISDAHL: Good morning, Mr. Chair
37
    and....
38
39
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                         .....good morning
40
    Doreen.
41
42
                    MR. RISDAHL:
                                   .....Council members.
43
    This is Greg Risdahl, the Forest Service InterAgency
    Staff Committee member. Nice to be here.
44
45
46
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
47
48
                    MR. BERENDZEN:
                                       Good morning,
49
              This is Steve Berendzen, Arctic National
    Chair.
```

```
0006
    Wildlife Refuge.
 2
 3
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Steve.
 4
 5
                    MS. DAGGETT: Good morning.
 6
    Carmen Daggett with Alaska Department of Fish and Game
 7
    up in Barrow.
 9
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Carmen.
10
11
                    MS. MIKOW: Good morning. This is Beth
12
   Mikow. I'm the Anthropologist with the Arctic District
13
    Office with BLM.
14
15
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
16
17
                    MR. MCKEE:
                                 Good morning.
                                                This is
18
    Chris McKee, Subsistence Coordinator with BLM and ISC
19
    member.
20
21
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
22
23
                    MS. KLEIN: Good morning. This is Jill
24
    Klein. I'm the Regional Subsistence Coordinator and
    InterAgency Staff Committee member for the U.S. Fish
25
26
    and Wildlife Service.
27
28
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good....
29
30
                    MS. JOCHUM: Good morning, Mr. Chair.
31
    This is.....
32
33
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: ....morning.
34
35
                    MS. JOCHUM: Good morning. This is Kim
36
    Jochum, I'm the Acting Subsistence Program Manager for
37
    the National Park Service Regional Office and ISC
38
    member.
39
40
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
41
42
                    MS. NIGRO: Good morning.
                                                  This is
43
    Debbie Nigro. I'm a Wildlife Biologist with the Arctic
44
    District of BLM.
45
46
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Debbie.
47
48
                    MR. BURCH: Good morning. This is Mark
49
    Burch with the Department of Fish and Game in the
```

```
0007
 1
    Palmer office.
 2
 3
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Mark.
 4
 5
                    MR. HANSON: Good
                                           morning.
 6
    Hanson, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Kotzebue
 7
    office.
 8
 9
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
10
11
                    MR. PHILLIPS: Good morning.
12
    Georgianna Phillips with Alaska Fish and Game, Board
     support in the Kotzebue office.
13
14
15
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hi, Geogeann.
16
17
                    MS. PHILLIPS: Good morning.
18
19
                    MS. MCDAVID: Good morning.
20
    Brooke McDavid with the Division of Subsistence, Fish
21
    and Game in Fairbanks.
22
23
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
24
25
                    MR. NAGEAK:
                                  Good morning.
                                                    Ernest
26
    Nageak, Barrow Fish and Wildlife Service, Barrow Field
27
    office.
28
29
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Ernest.
30
31
                    (Pause)
32
33
                    MS. LENART: Good morning, Mr. Chair.
    This is Beth Lenart from ADF&G in Fairbanks.
34
35
36
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Beth.
37
38
                    MS. LENART: Hi.
39
                    MS. OKADA: Hi, good morning. This is
40
41
    Marcy Okada, Subsistence Coordinator for Gates of the
    Arctic National Park and Preserve.
42
43
44
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Morning Marcy.
45
46
                    MS. BROWN:
                               Hi, Mr. Chair.
                                                  This is
47
    Caroline Brown, the Subsistence Statewide Research
48
    Director for Fish and Game in Fairbanks.
49
```

0008	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning.
2 3 4 5 6	$$\operatorname{MR.}$$ JOLY: Good morning. This is Kyle Joly, I'm a Wildlife Biologist with Gates of the Arctic.
7 8 9	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Who was that, I'm sorry.
10 11 12 13	MR. JOLY: No problem. Good morning. This is Kyle Joly, I'm a Wildlife Biologist with Gates of the Arctic National Park.
14 15	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hey, all right, Kyle.
16 17	(Pause)
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, giving a little bit more than time to pause because I keep overtalking and somebody keeps introducing themselves. Hopefully everybody's introduced themselves that are online and we are able to get underway. So one last call for someone that hasn't been acknowledged and introduced themselves, time to do that now.
26 27	(No comments)
28 29 30 31	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none. I'm going to go to Item 5, review and adoption of the agenda. What's the wish of the Council.
32 33	$$\operatorname{MR.}$$ WILLIAMS: I'll make a motion to adopt the agenda.
34 35 36 37	CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a motion on the floor to accept the agenda.
38 39 40	REPORTER: Hey, Gordon, this is Tina, your reporter.
41 42	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yes.
43 44 45 46	REPORTER: Could I just have when people make a motion or a second, can I get their name. Was that Eddie?
47 48 49 50	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Who made the motion to adopt the agenda, was that Eddie or Earl?

0009 1 MR. WILLIAMS: Earl. 2 REPORTER: Okay, excuse me. I'm sorry, 3 4 Earl -- okay, thank you Earl. 5 6 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. Anaktuvuk Pass 7 made the motion. 8 9 REPORTER: Thank you, Gordon. 10 11 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. Is 12 a second. 13 14 I second it, this is Martha. MS. ITTA: 15 16 REPORTER: Thanks, Martha. 17 18 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. 19 motion has been seconded. Discussion. Any amendments 20 to the agenda by Staff or any of the Council members 21 who wish to make any changes, or any proposed 22 realignment in the agenda anywhere. 23 24 Eva. 25 26 MS. PATTON: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair, 27 and Council members. If I may make a recommendation to adopt the agenda as a guide so that we can be flexible 28 29 if we need to shift the order of the agenda around in 30 order to have quorum for taking action on the wildlife 31 proposals, in particular, so we can take care of all 32 the other business that doesn't require full quorum and 33 is informational and be sure to take up the action 34 items when we do have our quorum of Council members. 35 36 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 37 38 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Madame 39 Coordinator. So that's the advice to the Council, that 40 we be flexible and take up those nonaction [sic] items 41 that we need for a quorum and work on the agenda that 42 are informational and that don't need a full quorum to 43 adopt those action items. So that's the recommendation 44 If there are no other and we'll go with that. recommendations or changes to the adoption of the 45 46 agenda with those recommendations, so what's the wish 47 of the Council. Maybe call for the question.

49 MR. WILLIAMS: It's so moved, Earl -- I 50

```
0010
    call for it, Earl.
 2
 3
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. I think Earl,
     you're moving that we take those recommendations and be
    flexible so we've been -- we've already got a motion on
    the floor to adopt the agenda, it was seconded,
 6
 7
    discussion's happened, and there's a motion to take
    those recommendations from Eva, is there a second.
 9
10
                    MS. ITTA: I second it.
11
12
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those in favor of
13
     amending the agenda by making it flexible enough so
14
    that we are addressing informational items until we get
15
     a full quorum. All those approve of that motion
16
     signify by saying aye.
17
18
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
19
20
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed,
21
    same sign.
22
23
                     (No opposing votes)
24
25
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Hearing none, all
26
    right, the agenda is flexible and we're back to the
27
    main motion. Maybe if we could call for the question
    at this point, maybe.
28
29
30
                     (Teleconference
                                         interference
31
    participants not muted)
32
33
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: I think we could end
34
    the discussion by calling for the question.
35
36
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Question. Earl.
37
38
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                          Ouestion's
39
     called for, all those in favor of adopting the agenda
     as amended by making it flexible for the Council to
40
41
     take up \operatorname{--} just to be flexible so that when we get a
42
    quorum, all those in favor of adopting the amending
43
    agenda signify by saying aye.
44
45
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
46
47
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed,
48
     same sign.
```

0011 1 (No opposing votes) 2 3 CHAIRMAN BROWER: We have an agenda. 4 So we will acknowledge those Council members that have 5 yet to dial in when they are here. So Item 6, review and approve previous minutes. What's the wish of the 6 7 Council. 8 9 MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and 10 Council. So this is an action item. 11 12 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Oh, okay. 13 14 MS. PATTON: So one of the ways it's 15 easy to look at the action items, there's a little where formal action is 16 asterisk in your agenda 17 requested from the Council. So you're welcome to take 18 a minute to look at your previous meeting minutes and 19 then when Wanda and Steve are able to join us to get 20 their input as well to take formal action on the 21 meeting minutes. But if the Council would like to take 22 a look at it so you're aware of there's any corrections 23 that are needed then we can address that. 24 25 Thank you. 26 27 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, we're welcome 28 to look at the minutes but we can't act on them. So if 29 you find a typo we can point it out though. 30 31 REPORTER: Thank you. 32 33 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, with that we will continue to digest the minutes as we go. I think 34 we can move on to reports, which are nonaction items. 36 Normally this part we'll go by village to have a report 37 from Council members about their village concerns and 38 subsistence and how things are going in that area. So 39 maybe we will start with Anaktuvuk. We'll start from 40 the mountains and then we'll come down. Earl. 41 42 MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning. 43

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Council.

(Indiscernible - muffled - cuts out) had some caribou come through, migration but we caught There was a lot of bears their subsistence needs. around but they all disappeared. There's still wolves around. They're around the dump site and much around

49 50

44 45

46

47

town there. Pretty quiet since it's been hunkered down, a lot of deaths going on and losing our loved ones, it's pretty hard but we got to accept that.

The weather comes and goes and main issue is climate change. That's what we got here, rain, snowing, wind blowing, cold, warm, we think that sickness with it, and everything comes with it, but I just pray to the Lord that everybody will be okay and all the village people have their share of the quota. Thanks for the muqtuk, appreciate it and no matter how we see each other we just got to move along, like our elders said, that, you know, if give up we're not going to get nowhere so just keep us going.

I really appreciate you people that are giving information on your village talk, we could learn more from one another, and that's an important of the traditional custom style that we live in so we got to live with it.

So that's my part. I just wanted to thank you all for having me at your meetings and I appreciate the people, families, thank you very much. Good morning, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Good morning, Earl. It sounds -- you know, we all pray for our communities. Is there any questions to Earl for his report on the village and subsistence in that area. I'm really glad to hear that the fall tutu went through and came in and blessed the community. I saw that happen and a lot of smiling faces for the community. We are sad about the loss of the loved ones and so many community members leaving us with the pandemic and other things like that.

Quyanaqpak, Earl.

Any questions for Earl.

MR. WILLIAMS: You're welcome. I just want to add one more thing to that there, Chairman. I have to thank the young ones and the people that share their kill with the elders and the people that can't hunt and stuff. I really appreciate for city and tribal to stick up for their -- with fuel and animals and the things that they got, thanks a lot for everything that you've done for us and that's really

important for our issues.

2

Thank you, very much.

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, thank you, Earl. You know I often think about the issues facing when tutu are not coming around and there's so much different variables involved when that happens and it's a very big heartache but somehow I think there needs to be efforts to do something about addressing subsistence, when a community is without those resources. I mean I like to think about some of the mitigation efforts that go into like a community like and sometimes I pray that all of our Nuiqsut communities are blessed with those types of mitigation measures that when the resources are scarce and not coming by, what do we do for our communities to assist them and sometimes I think Nuiqsut invites Anaktuvuk hunters to come to Nuigsut to hunt and I think those are very important things to recognize about our dependence, sometimes, on another village. Anyway just thought I wanted to add that. I'm just so happy this time the caribou come around.

232425

With that, if there are no further questions for Anaktuvuk, maybe I'll go down to Nuiqsut.

262728

Martha.

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37 MS. ITTA: Good morning, Chair and good morning Council. Okay, there's many of the old concerns that I'm going to bring up that's going to keep coming up. A lot of unanswered questions to some of our fishermen in regards to the sick fish that they're catching. They're still catching them and to my understanding it looks like they're getting worse. There's -- the fish are really getting eaten from something that they don't understand.

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

Fishing this year is really slow. We started fishing -- we usually start fishing soon as freeze-up starts in October but the weather has been really warm lately. Freeze-up is really slow. Fishing is slow. Some are barely getting caught up here right down at the river where they usually catch a lot. They're getting some there at the (Indiscernible), maybe at least a hundred every two days but it's slower than usual. More sick fish. We've already had one fisherman fall through the ice. There's a lot of open

spots so, you know, our fishermen are being really careful at this time because of the warm weather and open holes on the river.

Some concerns on caribou this year. I know there's an abundance of caribou but this year they

 have been scattered. We didn't see as many as we did last year and concerns by hunters is that the traffic, them going to the west side, they watch them and they try to watch for the first herd to pass through. That didn't really happen. Their migration was diverted due to the heavy traffic of vehicles, heavy equipment going to the west side, like Fish Creek area, so they had turned toward the south side and I think that's when they went toward Anaktuvuk Pass. People were catching them, a couple of sick caribou that had to be thrown away.

A lot of muskox. There's more muskox around here. I heard one hunter say, you know, we need to see if we can start hunting those because they're messing with our caribou and other animals. Just recently, a few days ago, there was about 40 muskox, maybe more, right across the Killuk River, right on Killuk River.

Other than that, that's all I've seen and heard hunting and fishing in our area.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Martha. It's always to hear each community and hearing their concerns and what's been happening. So any of those couple of caribous that were deemed sick, were there any specimens or parts that may have been maybe provided to the Wildlife Department to see what was wrong with them.

MS. ITTA: Yes. As far as I know they have sent samples of them but they haven't gotten reports back.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And maybe a question for ADF&G or to OSM, I know our resources, the North Slope Borough, the people are pretty much know that the Borough will do a necropsy and try to take a look at what ails the animals and try to figure it out if it's

a widespread problem or an isolated incident or something like that. Is there additional outlets for communities that might report these so that, you know, the finding that maybe the North Slope Borough can be corroborated by another entity. Just putting that out other. Because it seems like we're always wanting to know where to send some things and sometimes it's always the same place. I'm not saying we don't trust the Borough, but it seems to me that we should have, if there are other places to send it to just to check on the health of what the state of the meat is or something like that. Any feedback on that

MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair. This is Carmen Daggett with Fish and Game. I can answer your question if you'd like.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, Carmen, and thank you.

MS. DAGGETT: Yeah, so thank you for this comment, Mr. Chair. We encourage people from any North Slope community to contact the North Slope Fish and Game office here in Barrow and last winter we actually did send in several samples to have them analyzed from some caribou here in Barrow but if people from villages have -- or surrounding communities have samples they can certainly send them to us and then we can get them processed. Doesthat answer your question?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I think that's very helpful and important to try to make sure there's, you know, responses and things like that. Any other questions for Nuiqsut's representative, Martha, from anybody.

MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning, Chair, this is Earl from Anaktuvuk.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, Earl.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, good morning. Anyway when I was growing up, when I was a kid, I also remembered when we used to check fishnets and fishwheels, we used to do it early morning, the reason why is when the sun sits on the fish they start getting mushy. Even in a fishnet that's why we always check morning, early morning around 7:00 or 6:00 in the morning before the sun comes out and when the sun goes

 down we check the wheel too. And our old people used to say that when it really warms up the fish got kind of mushy. I don't know if that has to do with the sun heat to the water or, I don't know I just heard a story about those around the coastal area, around Yup'ik country that, you know, fishis getting kind of fishy—I mean mushy, I don't know, I just kind of read it on Facebook and I was just concerned about those, are they studying on that.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Earl. Those are good observations because those are old time observations and, you know, what we grew up with too. Yeah, that's a point of interest about the sick fish and I'm thinking, Martha, that you're talking about more moldy white broad -- broad whitefish, (In Native) type, and it seems to me there's been very limited exposure to the (In Native) and we know the (In Native) comes in and they come in from different parts, I think from McKenzie they migrate and then they come into the Colville and it gets pretty abundant. But it seems to me many of the reports have been related to the broad whitefish that are caught.

MS. ITTA: Yes, Mr. Chair, that's correct. I didn't know whether -- when to bring this up but I saw that ADF&G and the anthropologist is on the agenda, but I did bring up in the past about trying to change the wording about the studies that they do on our fish to expand to contaminants so another thing that I wanted to mention to help try to get answers on these fish and what we're facing right now. Because I don't believe that they're doing any studies on the contaminants on our animals. I think that that is excluded, to my understanding, in the wordings and I was going to bring that up to BLM and to the fishery people when they're on the agenda.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yes, thank you, Martha. I think that this is something that Karen Hyer has -- I know she brings up the fish proposals, I think every two years, I think, for the studies and I'm pretty sure we're going to get to their report and be able to add those types of concerns and see where we could find common ground in terms of the type of study. But it might be wordsmithing, too, how we say things. It could lead them to do something and maybe that's more dialogue with -- am I right, that that person is Karen Hyer with Fish and Wildlife.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. Yes, Karen Hyer will be on and reporting to the Council on the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program. So an opportunity there to discuss the fisheries research and then as noted, too, we do have BLM Staff on as well to -- and others from other agencies that may be able to address some avenues and components of particular research that Nuiqsut is looking for. But Karen is on the agenda a little later in the meeting under the FRMP reports and, yeah, we can definitely have full conversation on that at that time.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. And, Martha, seems like there's going to be some opportunity to express those type of concerns.

MS. ITTA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Eva.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Martha.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: If there are no further questions for Nuiqsut I'm going to go to Kaktovik.

MR. REXFORD: Yes, good morning, thank you, Mr. Chair. Council members. Federal agencies. We've been catching caribous. There's caribou around and I haven't heard ofany sick animals being harvested.

The past moose season I don't think anybody harvested any moose. And polar bears, we have hardly any polar bears coming into our community or into the bone pile for that matter. Because this past summer there was a killer whales roaming around our area and also in the Barrow area I hear that our harvesting bowhead whales also so we have three dead bowhead whales, I believe washed ashore the east of our community, not too far and that's why we don't have any problem bear problems this year, hardly any coming into the village or the community for that matter.

And the other ongoing problem we have is, although it's not wildlife matters, it's trespassing in our Native allotments, it's still an

ongoing issue. Although we've been reporting this to the Refuge manager nothing is being done about that. I don't know, maybe we need to have a pow-wow with all the powers that be, maybe ICAS realty, BIA realty, 5 Refuge manager, Refuge enforcement officials, Alaska 6 State Troopers maybe, because, you know, they break 7 into our cabins, use our fuel, eat our food, break in the cabins, vandalize equipment. Just recently we had 9 hunters coming back from the HulaHula second fish hole 10 and a hunting cabin there had been vandalized again. 11 They cut up all the mantles in the lanterns, even the 12 mantels that are not being used, brand new ones, are 13 cut in half, all the fuel's been used and our community 14 is pretty frustrated about this ongoing trespassing 15 issue by White folks using the Refuge. I don't know if 16 it's sportfishermen, sporthunters, sheep hunters, you 17 This has got to stop. And I think we need name it. 18 to, as a community, put our foot down and demand that 19 action be taken, maybe have the Refuge folks or the 20 Federal government make signs for us stating these are 21 private properties and should not be trespassed on.

22 23

That's all I have for now and if I think of anything else I'll surely chime in.

242526

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

27 28

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eddie. Any questions to Eddie from any other Council.

30 31

29

(No comments)

32

33 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any questions 34 for....

35 36

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair, Earl.

37 38

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Earl.

39 40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47

48

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, I fully support you there Ed. And, you know, it's an ongoing thing about sportshunters, we're having the same problem here, especially when the caribous are coming through there's a little white plane flowing over it, they see it, it just scatters the caribous and they migrate to one location and that's where they go when the aircraft is disturbing them, they just scatter and then they get all confused as we get too when we get all rounded up. Well, anyways I just fully support you and I hope you

0019

1 get your resolutions on your muskox too. If you got
2 any questions we're here for you bro' thank you.
3

4 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Earl.
5

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And any questions

9 for....

10 11

6

7 8

MR. REXFORD: And I forgot one thing.

12

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Eddie.

MR. REXFORD: Thank you, Earl.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28 29

30

31

MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chair, this is Eddie. Yeah, we also have sheep hunters trying to harvest sheep for communities, upcoming feast, and they just reported a couple days ago that a float plane just landed at Schrader Lake, I don't know what they're doing there but that might be the folks that's been trespassing every year. I can't believe it was a float plane because they said the lake is not even frozen yet which is strange, but maybe it's been warm up in the mountains there. So it's a continual problem, even to this day, and I don't know if -- who they report to when they land in Refuge property like that next to our allotments, do they report to Anchorage air tower or Deadhorse tower before they land or they don't report anywhere before they land. That would be interesting to find out so we could contact these air control towers to find out who's doing the flying. Maybe that would help us. Just a thought.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43 44

45 46

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, thank you, Eddie. Just as a, you know, I think it's important if there was any ways that anyone, you know, had tail numbers or description of that aircraft. As an inspecting example, our inspectors and guides operations on the Haul Road, were on inspection and came across a downed aircraft and we had pictures of it and the tail numbers and we did our own investigation on it and it was a guided operator that had no permits from the North Slope Borough and we're continuing to investigate whether they had BLM permits or Federal permits or State permits, so we're investigating that. And we know who the outfit is and so it's important that, you know, when we get information, to beable to -who that plane is registered to and things like that.

48 49 50

5

6

7

8

Maybe, Steve Berendzen, if you could maybe respond to a little bit because I believe this is in your neck of the woods for Wildlife Refuge and maybe talk about how many permits are issued or it doesn't require a permit to get in ANWR because it's a Wildlife Refuge. It seems to me you would be the point of contact for the entire world to want to visit ANWR and maybe there are informations there and how that place functions that we need to identify greater.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. BERENDZEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair Yes, I'll do my best to answer that and Council. question. We do have several permitted operators. At this time I'm not aware of anybody who's permitted to use float plane -- or permitted to do air taxi operations, for example, with a float plane that would be using the North Slope like that. But there are other private operators who have their own aircraft who could use that. There's no restriction on private operators landing on lakes or on whatever runways on the Refuge. So my guess would be that it's a private owner, a private aircraft owner. But we'd be happy to work with Kaktovik and learn more about this and do what we can to pursue that.

242526

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve. I think it's important -- you know, I think this might be the second time that I've heard about vandalism, you know, we see it on TV about the Last Alaskans in the Wildlife Refuge. And I think there's some sentiments that grow about, you know, from others that any use of the Refuge, that they try -- you know, they should try to understand that, you know, there's Native allotments in there, there's prior use and for subsistence much longer than the Refuge being in existence that the $\operatorname{--}$ I just think there's some negative sentiments about why people do that. And I wouldn't think it would be local folks doing that, I -- it's such a publicized area in United States about the Wildlife Refuge and all that stuff that goes on. But I think it's important for us, you know, if we were finding out -- you know, my other hat that I carry is the land management for the administrator North Slope Borough responsibility to look at complaints like this as well and try to investigate them and if it's a commercial operations then we -- that are not permitted and they're not seeking approvals to do some of these. But we also recognize the private user that might have their own airplane and it's just generally allowed and,

you know, I've used an air taxi before to go to my cabin and it's generally allowed, activities to do that.

But, anyway, very heart wrenching to hear that. We feel for you, Eddie, and your community. I think it's unacceptable and it's important that we get to hear from communities like this.

Any other questions for Kaktovik.

MR. WILLIAMS: Chairman, this is Earl.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, Earl.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, you know, as I recall they used to have a lot of those homesteaders moving into their country there for awhile. That's what I heard anyway, some people around the Gwich'in country was kind of upset about that because they're trapline country and there were people living around there and they had some concerns about that. But then they all passed them on, so their 100 years is up, I guess, I don't know. But I've been hearing a lot of stuff about these people moving up that way, does that really bother them people or what (indiscernible - cuts off)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I'm not exactly sure if you're referencing some of those that are highly publicized, you know, like the Last Alaskans show or something like that.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, yeah, that's what I was talking about, yes.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, it's.....

MR. WILLIAMS: The Gwich'in people are kind of upset about that because they're just using the background for an excuse or something, I don't know.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, it's very hard to, you know, as interesting as it is I think they completely diminish the long,long standing time immemorial use by the indigenous people that subsist on these lands today, for a thousand years back or not that the -- you know, they're Alaskans too, but they're not the last ones and will never be the last ones.

But, anyway, just my sentiment. If I could talk to Discovery Channel and talk about the kind of sentiment that it brings, maybe Steve Berendzen and I can go talk to Discovery Channel and help them modify their show a little bit.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{But}}$ pretty interesting, I like to watch that though.

10 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, pretty 11 interesting. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. And good comments there, Earl, I think those are pretty good comments. Any other questions from any other agency, Federal agency, State agency, tribal entity, any questions for the report from Kaktovik.

(No comments)

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: With that, thank you, Eddie, for your report. Let's see if maybe go to Atqasuk and see if Wanda's chimed in yet. Wanda, are you on for Atqasuk.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And hearing none from Wanda. Billy Blare Patkotak, Jr., in Wainwright, our newest Council member. I wonder if he's chimed in.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So I'm going to have to encourage and get more contact information from the Council members and remind them of their important role in representing their communities. So let's try and go to Point Hope, Steve Oomittuk, are you on.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And Steve's been a member and articulating all the concerns from Point Hope and I'm sure if he could make it he would be here right now and eager to represent his community. So I feel maybe he's caught up in some business or some other things that are important to the community as I love to listen to Steve and his words. So we'll recognize them and give them the opportunity when

they're present. So with that, I'm going to say a few things in my own personal report.

2 3 4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Well, you know, I think subsistence here around the Barrow area has been pretty good from goose hunting to (In Native) to spring whaling, to fall whaling and the catch and to -- and by the way there was an announcement, I think it was in August by the Assembly, that 2022 would be Kivgiq, that they're going to have the Messenger Feast probably February 2022, is my best guess. So we're hoping that by that time Covid and that kind of stuff is starting to subside and then we've taken all precautions. But I think it might be contingent on that because I know a lot of events get cancelled because of that sickness going around and when it starts to surge. But in any event that was an announcement made by the Mayor that there wold be Kivgig for 2022. It sounds exciting and it's going to be fun if it does happen.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

So in terms of subsistence, me and my boys were up at the cabin up there and it was pretty good and got some caribou and got some really fat ones. And many, many community members were expressing the same that they got good fat caribou, and just some cases right outside of town, five or 10 miles out. And of course caribou move around. I didn't hear of any sick caribou being caught. But a few years ago when my nephews brought me caribou that was caught and I was eager to cook it up but inside the muscles in the meat were actual worms moving around. And I said how can a caribou be alive like that where the muscles in the tendons and the (In Native) and the muscles themselves were live worms moving around in the meat. So we got to be careful and be observant now days of our food resources, you know, we didn't see that this year.

36 37 38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47 48 We did some good fishing and freeze-up was -- it froze up a little -- almost like it did in the '70s for a little bit to where I was feeling good that we were going to be able to put nets under the ice in mid-September and something I need to talk to -- if we had a hunters or trappers association or something, is what not to do up there, especially on the Ikpikpuk River. If you all didn't know Ikpikpuk River has been used as a mecca for fishing. There's an old village down from Chipp2, near Chipp1 area, it used to be called (In Native) and lots of stories about that in the 1920, 1930s and '40s and was a community of about

50 to 60 people there, a lot of sod house rooms in that (In Native) area and old cellars and stuff, but it was a mecca for fishing and, in particular for broad whitefish as the spawning season occurs and we continue to use those areas to fish. But one thing is the spawning is right at the point of freeze-up on the 6 7 Ikpikpuk. The minute it freezers and people will take care not to break up the ice. Now days we have a lot 9 of young hunters that really don't recognize that and 10 they go up and down the river and I happen to be up 11 there and watching and this ice that froze up good, 12 people like me would use shovels and anything to put 13 water on top of frozen ice to make it thicker, like 14 making an ice road so I can get on top and make it 15 And sure enough, you know, boats coming down the road would bust that up and it never really got 16 17 cold enough to freeze it across the river again so I 18 had to go down to like about two miles down river to 19 another small river that was connected where it froze 20 all the way across and I put water on top, used a sump 21 pump and pumped it and two days I was walking on top of 22 it and put nets under the ice, September 23 I had nets 23 under the ice under that little river and fishing was 24 really good. But the main fishing would have been on 25 the Ikpikpuk River and I couldn't do that. Maybe my 26 fishing would have been like 10 times more catch rate 27 than on a small tributaries and those boats were not 28 able to go in or didn't even know where that was. 29 Anyway, just saying there should be more talk to young people about this expectation.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

My folks used to tell me, never forget about this kind of fishing. In times when people are hungry, in sickness, back in the '20s and even before that, these places were known to be able to provide community-wide food, enough -- that fishery is so abundant there and then it only lasts for about -- mid-September to about October 10 and I kid you not, they stop. You might be getting 200 a day on October 5 and when it comes October 10 you're going to go down to three a day because the spawning is over. The old timers (In Native), that's what they call it, (In That the spawning is over and the fish -your river's course and get out of the river right after that spawn. But it's prime fish when it's that time.

46 47 48

49

50

 $$\rm So$$ I managed to make about 20 sacks. In times past, in the '60s and '70s and '80s we made 90

7

9

sacks and it would be important. A lot of times we'd trade those with other whaling captains for oogruk skins to skin our boats, and they were used for trading. I mean it was a very important fishery. That should be important. And I always want to make sure young people when they're up there and say, hey, before it freezes you got to head down river, don't try to head down river when the river is starting to freeze and bust it all up, I may not be able to fish and it may not freeze up that cold for a long period of time and I could miss out on that catch.

11 12 13

14

15

16

Anyway, that's just my rant. I think I'm ranting because that's what happened this year, that they busted up the ice with boats and we could have had a lot more so we had to find another place to put the net.

In any event, I think subsistence was fantastic, it was good. And I encourage that, you know, we share, as we always do with people that need the food and things like that.

222324

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

21

So I will stop there and I see a lot of other people had subsistence going on. There's people putting nets at (In Native) and Inaru and those areas and I see snowmachines going up and down and I keep telling my son, hey, let's go put a net, I'll show you how to put it under the ice and important thing -- I always think sometimes there should be some sort of school on traditional school, how to live and do these kind of things so that -- what my folks said, don't forget these things, they will be useful again, they're useful now. It's important that we recognize areas that can provide food for a lot of people, like catching a like the (In Native) where you can feed whale, communities and trade. I love trading with Nuiqsut and get those (In Native). And same thing for Ikpikpuk it's that way, all of these fisheries and things that are important. I haven't seen any sick fish. I caught a lot of fish and on the broad whitefish, that's the primary fish on Ikpikpuk and I mean we can get (In Native) but you have to go down near the mouth of the Ikpikpuk and there's places where in November we put (In Native) nets there and we'll get the Arctic cisco there too. Important fish. But it's so far away and not close to a community, the mouth of that -- of those areas are 40 miles from Barrow.

In any event that's my report and if there's any questions I'd be happy to take any questions.

CHAIRMAN BROWER:

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ DAGGETT: Mr. Chair, this is Carmen with Fish and Game, if I may.

10 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, 11 Carmen.

MS. DAGGETT: Yeah, it was really fascinating listening to your account of fishing on the Ikpikpuk and I'm kind of excited to hear you say that you wanted to have a school of some sort for these sorts of things. I've been recently discussing a similar sort of idea with Elle Savigik and the North Slope Wildlife Department to invite local subsistence hunters to give little workshops on these sorts of items and if you or anyone else is interested in sharing their knowledge to the people of the North Slope through these sorts of workshops. I really encourage you to contact me and we can work something It stems from some suggestions that William Hopson has had at previous meetings and so we've been working on putting together like maybe a winter session sort of thing, although I recognize that some of these practices might be seasonal and -- and likely are seasonal and would be best taught at particular times but if you would like to participate in that, please let us know and I will be happy to work with you to try to get something put together.

Very interesting talk, thank you, Gordon.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yes, thank you, Carmen. And, yeah, I think these are important. I think the last time I ever saw anybody put effort to that was when Luther*Leavitt, Sr., was alive and they would take some students but, you know, his place was super isolated like 100 miles southeast of Barrow at (In Native), in what they call (In Native) that way. And he had the opportunity, you know, teach some young folks to be — to learn proficiently and timing-wise about when to go, when to expect these resources to be there and other things and not to mention the ability to be able to survive out there.

You know I could remember being on rescue missions and, you know, when we find a person and they're not adequately sheltering themselves out there, when there are resources all around like a snowbank and things like that so that you're not so exposed to the elements, things like that, that are part of subsistence to be having passed on to young people. Because a lot of the young people depend on, what I like to say, is an elder in a box, a GPS and other things like that and those are fantastic because you can get help fast but there may be circumstances where you're going to need to shelter right there and use the natural environment to shelter yourself from freezing your feet and things like that.

Anyway, a very important area, I would welcome the opportunity to participate.

Anyway, thank you, Carmen.

So if there aren't any other questions in this area, we'll continue to acknowledge tribal, the representatives, the Council members as they chime in and hopefully we're continuing to try to send messages for them for their participation.

So with that I would -- Eva, does that seem like we've concluded Item 7.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. Excellent report from the Council members and we can revisit the Council member reports when our other Council members are able to join us so hopefully we're able to hear from them later as well.

And we do have a service award for Wanda Kippi as well so when she's able to join us we'll circle back a round and recognize her for her service on the Council.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: So being that we adopted a flexible agenda we'll table the service award until Wanda is present and I'm glad she made it through five years of service with the Regional Advisory Council. So we'll do a big hooray when she comes in. And we'll go to Item 9 public and tribal comments on non-agenda items. And this is available each morning

so if there are any public comments, we encourage you to address the Council on those public comments and be recognized, and any tribal comments from any tribal entity that wish to be recognized and say a few things. It's your time right now.

5 6 7

MS. LEAVITT: Mr. Chairman.

8

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair.

10

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So we got two.

11 12

MR. WILLIAMS: Go ahead, I'll wait.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

27

28

MS. LEAVITT: Thank you, this is Doreen Leavitt with ICAS. And I just wanted to comment on Eddie's concerns with Kaktovik on the trespassing. ICAS recently, before we had another big outbreak of Covid, were able to travel to Kaktovik with our Executive Director, Mory Leman, and do a meet and greet with ICAS because we haven't been out to the villages in quite some time and that was a concern that we had heard also when we were there from the community. We also heard the same concerns from AKP about tribal members not being able to access their allotments because of, you know, whatever reasons, not being able to get into ANWR or in AKP also. So we just wanted to say, you know, we're here to support and anything we can do to assist we're here to help.

29 30 31

Thank you.

32 33

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Doreen. And were you the Natural Resource Director now?

343536

37

38

39

MS. LEAVITT: Right now. Yes, I'm Acting -- Ray Atos retired so we still have not quite filled the position yet but I am acting in capacity for right now and hopefully to be the permanent Natural Resource Director.

44

45 46

47

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Oh, that's awesome. And thank you, it's always good to hear from the tribal entities and often we'll hear from Point Hope, they always try to make their comments and things like that. It's always important to be listening on this Council because sometimes it's a little more than the regulatory

48 regulator 49 stuff bu

stuff but in the Council's own reports, you know,

there's a lot of important stuff that should probably be heard by the tribes that could help.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ LEAVITT: Yes, thank you very much for having me join.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. So Item 10. If there aren't any other.....

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair, before you 11 move on.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, Earl.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, I just wanted to say something before you move on with the tribal like all the Advisory Councils and stuff, like fishery advisories, one time in '89 when we had a meeting in Barrow, there was a little issue going on there for awhile and Harry Brower brought up this issue that — he said the tribe has more power than the ASRC Corporation and North Slope Borough, and I was just wondering why the tribal is not involved in these kind of issues because I know they have a lot of land and I'm just kind of curious about this.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hey, Earl, maybe I need to hear a little bit better, there was just some areas I didn't quite understand your position on that.

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm just asking a question is there a way we could get tribal involved for this kind of matters because the way Eddie is speaking up, on his behalf, we need the tribal to be involved because they got tribal power on lands.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay, thank you. I think that's a great comment, and because, you know, there is a fiduciary responsibility by the tribes — it's like a trust responsibility for some of these types of issues especially when we're dealing with trespass, vandalism that might be on Native allotments for one thing. And I would think they would be the jurisdiction along with BIA to sit down with Steve Berendzen and find the — how these could be more

remedied. If it's a guest list on the Refuge and I don't know exactly how the Refuge monitors its guests, whether they're commercial or private. It sounds like they don't monitor the private but they might be monitoring the commercial, like river guiders or if there is actual hunting guides for different species in those areas. I mean a lot of animals are off limits for guides right now and I don't think there's anything for moose, there's nothing for muskox.but I think caribou might be the target, I'm not too sure.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, Earl, and I'm glad, you know, ICAS is here and I'm pretty sure they're taking notes. And I think from our standpoint, you know, I represent North Slope Borough as well and land management issues, you know, we don't regulate subsistence and personal use but when it comes to commercial, recreation, guiding, those kind of things, air tax permits and those kind of things like that, then those are the types of things that we would need to regulate so there brings some concern there. So it seems to me there needs to be some thoughtful investigation what's going on there.

 $$\operatorname{Any}$$ other public or tribal comments on non-agenda items.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right, hearing none, we'll go to Item 10, old business. And I guess maybe I'm going to go to Eva, our Madame Coordinator, and help us field through old business.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. On Page 14 of your meeting books you'll find the .805(c) report. And this is a report from the Federal Subsistence Board to the Council to report on the Board's actions on any regulatory proposals.

So under Section .805 of the Alaska National Interests Lands Conservation Act, ANILCA, provides that the Board will accept the recommendations of a Subsistence Regional Advisory Council regarding take unless the recommendation is not supported by substantial evidence, or the recommendation violates recognized principles of fish and wildlife management,

or adopting the recommendation would be the detrimental to the satisfaction of subsistence needs. So if or when a Council's recommendation is not adopted by the Board, the Board is required by Secretarial regulations to set forth a factual basis and reasons for their decision.

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

And so that's essentially what the .805(c) report is. And if you'll recall last year was a fisheries regulatory cycle and often times there aren't any fishery regulation proposals for the North Slope or Northwest Arctic region. There are few subsistence regulations in place for fisheries. the Board did take action at their January 26th through 29th, 2021 meeting. Fisheries proposals, there were 14 submitted throughout the state, but for the North Slope region there were only two, what we call crossover fishery proposals, areas that North Slope communities have customary and traditional use to fish in but often times don't frequent that area and often the Council will defer recommendations on those proposals outside of the region to kind of the home Council or home region. And there were two proposals similar to that.

222324

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

So the North Slope does have customary and traditional use determination for the Yukon River drainage and there were a couple proposals. One for Jim Creek for all fish, and these were actually closure reviews, so not proposals specifically, but reviewing closures that are currently in place and whether to maintain those closures or lift those closures. there was one on Jim River which is a tributary into the Yukon drainage and that was for all fish and it was a closure to Federally-qualified subsistence users. And then there was one on Nome Creek on the Yukon drainage, specifically a closure for Arctic grayling on that tributary. And this North Slope Council voted to defer these proposals to the home region Councils, Eastern Interior and Western Interior Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils, and then you'll see on Page 16 and 17 of your meeting books, those Council's recommendations and the action that the Board took.

41 42 43

 $\,$ And I'm happy to answer any questions for the Council, Mr. Chair.

44 45 46

Thank you.

47 48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. Any questions to Eva on that report.

0032 1 (No comments) 2

3 4 5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I have one. And I'm not sure if it was reflected on this one from the letter from Anthony Christianson, the Chair of the Federal Subsistence Board or if it was on a response to the Chair's report for this, and my -- one of the concerns that, you know, we had raised was about the food security surrounding -- it's that example of fishing a lot and how traditionally the fish are stored -- the fish are stored. For many, or even hundreds of years and there's lots of stories and evidence and old documentaries, traditional land use inventory, about the fisheries and in September and October, a lot of people, back then, would make warehouses out of ice and filled them with fish and they would be frozen solid and they'd be able to haul them by dog team and other means back to communities from (In Native) area, and we basically did the same thing in the '60s, '70s, '80s, '90s but today you can't depend on the weather to store the fish. For example, half of -- more than half of my catch a couple years ago stunk up and it's been routine that way so I try to limit now what I catch because I can't depend on the weather to stay cold. And when the fish are harvested and -- and don't get me wrong, you know, a lot of the old people they all just like to have some of that stinkfish, we call it (In Native) and -- but it's not widely consumed, you know, by younger generations and things like that. And when the fish is -- and I thought the response from Chairman Christianson, from the Federal Subsistence Board, well, we can extend -- resolve that by extending the period. Well, there's no period extension to be had here, I don't think, but the fish run is such that it's a very defined period in time from about mid-September to about $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ just about $\operatorname{\mathsf{mid}}\operatorname{\mathsf{-October}}$ and that's what I was thinking about, sometimes those boaters got to recognize, hey, the fish aren't coming back until next year so quit messing around and quit breaking up the ice, I got to put these nets under and -- but same goes with being -- the ability to harvest community level and store them is very challenging now. In fact you could waste a lot of food now and it can't be resolved by extending your time out there because the fish, they come and go, just like the whales will pass by, only a certain period of time, at least for the spawning fish.

46 47 48

 $$\operatorname{So}$$ that was $$\operatorname{my}$$ concern about that one letter that was the response from the Chairman and had

me -- I think he didn't understand the gravity, in like order to fix it I need to be able to keep that fish fresh. That means, you know, we've tried to do that with ice cellars and those ice cellars are struggling and the temperature is not such that you could store fish -- in fact, folks down river where I'm from said 7 their ice cellar almost turned to poison it was so rancid in there by the time they went up there to go haul it, it was gaseous and the fish got very ripe in 9 10 there because the temperature of the permafrost is not 11 the same. We normally use the temperature outside to 12 flash-freeze our fish up there and you can barely do 13 that now days. But -- and I got a lot of pictures of 14 what I'm talking about. It might be that subsistence 15 OSM, or somebody, start to help and maybe we need a windmill with a freezer, a walk-in freezer in certain 16 17 locations where these types of fisheries are still able 18 to provide that type of delicacy for the community, 19 which is pretty much highly prized, (In Native) fish 20 and things like that, they're fantastic when they're 21 yellow and they're super fresh versus when they turn orange, pinkish where they've been subjected to thaw 22 23 and freeze and thaw and freeze.

242526

27

28

Anyway, I'll stop there so -- besides I talk too much.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

29 30 31

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any other questions to Eva on the .805(c) report.

32 33 34

(No comments)

35 36

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Eva, is there anything more you needed to add.

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. And, yes, thank you, Gordon, you are on to the next topic on the agenda which we do want to have a full discussion with the Council and that is the Board's reply to the Council's 2020 annual report and you'll find that on Page 19 of your meeting books. And so that was the response from the Board that you were referring to, Gordon, this is the Council's report to the Board from last year and the Board does reply to respond to Council's questions, requests for information, you know, requests for changes to

regulatory processes.

So as you'll see on Page 19 of your meeting books, that was the first topic that the Council had identified of concern for the Board to be aware of, the environmental change impacts to healthy subsistence resources and management strategies to address subsistence food security. And so you'll see in italics is the Council's request to the Board and then the reply, the response then follows. And so we do want to make sure, for the Council to review this, if the Board has adequately addressed the concerns or the questions or the requests for information or if there's anything further to bring to the Board's attention. As Gordon has articulated, there's, you know, greater detail to bring to their awareness of how the fisheries work in the North Slope region, important for subsistence and challenges faced with the changing environment.

So please do take a look at that reply and let us know. We can have a discussion with the Council here if there's any further details or information you would like or if you would like to add more detail and awareness in this year's annual report. So we'll be taking up that annual report, identifying issues for this year to bring to the Board's attention after review of this reply.

The topics where that environmental change to impacts to healthy subsistence resources and the second item identified by the Council was the challenges of multi.....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted - on hold)

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$ PATTON:management and effective means for addressing subsistence information and concerns.

And then the third in this report from last year was the Board -- the Council asking about the Board's' decisionmaking process and how it was -- in terms to deference to the Council's recommendations. And the Council had also asked for information on who the current Federal Subsistence Board members are, and you'll find that on Page 26.

And so please do take a look if there's any further issues or questions or clarifications to bring to the Board's attention and we can add that to this year's annual report.

4 5 6

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

22

28

31

32

33 34

35

37

38

41

42

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. And it's important to look at the response from Chairman Christianson from the Federal Subsistence Board on the questions that we posed in our Council's report and this is the response back. And I think that's where I read about the concerns about -- you know, it's a food security concern. If we're -- if we can't harv -- if climate is impacting our ability to store safely and our ability to keep the food fresh and the location is 16 70 miles from the village and that's part of my concern. IS this fishing mecca that provides these 19 types of fishing resources on the Slope here, some places like Ikpikpuk are -- you take -- sometimes it takes me a full day to get to my cabin if I am in a 20 21 boat because I got to -- you know it's 40 miles to the 23 mouth of the river and then you have to rest up 24 somewhere along the river and then go up another 20, or 25 30 miles up the river to get to you cabin and you're 75 26 miles from town at that point and what food resources 27 you can bring back knowing all the while that the fishing mecca period is coming and it's during the 29 onset of winter, it's just that part of it where I had 30 concern -- well, I'll just extend your fishing period -- no, I can't just extend my fishing period, I have to harvest when they're spawning in order to get that type of quality of food with the eggs and freeze them. So my question would have been how can we get assistance during this period of time to keep the food fresh. 36 And, you know, if I'm fishing, I fish traditionally for community-wide level harvest where my catch is distributed -- when I catch a whale I'll distribute 39 that during that time, during (In Native) including all 40 my fish, and then make it available for trading and other things and we're getting close to where that might be a thing of the past in our past history, based 43 on climate if we can't find alternative means to preserve those types of foods. And I -- you know, 44 45 think it's important to say that back to the Federal 46 Subsistence Board themselves, is I think you're missing 47 the boat here, we just can't extend the season. will fish other fish throughout the season, you know, we'll go into (In Native) in October and November,

49 50

we'll go to lakes for lake fish which is a different flavor and they really don't have the eggs during that time but we supplement all of these food resources with what we can do.

 Anyway, that would have been just my critique of that response from Chairman -- so maybe it's the responsibility of OSM, maybe with the tribes, how can we, you know, Office of Subsistence work with the tribes to address these kind of concerns because I think they're real. I mean we just -- you know the ability to make sure these types of harvest, we maintain the quality of the food before they rotten up, or else we're just not going to do that anymore, is what I'm getting at.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{Any}}$$ other comments on the Board's FY2020 annual reply.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: This is the Federal Subsistence Board's response to our concerns and they're important for us to see. Are they thinking we're nuts and crazy or what does the Board think about us. I often wanted to -- you know, I read about the deference, we had been given more guidance for the Federal Subsistence Board about deference, giving deference to the Regional Advisory Councils but they put all this other lingo, that you got to meet this, this and that, and by the way if OSM or some fish and wildlife biologist differs with you, it seems to defray from the deference that they're giving more weight to your concerns because they got this other threshold that diminishes the deference issue of moving that type of concern.

Anyway it seems complicated because we will have to work with Eva, you know, if we're trying to get deference on an issue and we don't quite understand the thresholds that Anthony Christianson has laid out and say, oh, we're going to give you deference on your concern but it has to meet these one, two, three on maybe science -- do I have to hire my own scientific group to side with me or is there a compromise in there. I mean that's some level of understanding on the feedback in terms of when the Secretary of Interior modified, I think, to include that deference in giving more weight to the Council on

0037 1 the concerns.

2

Anyway, Eva, what did you get out -- your take on that area?

4 5 6

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. And as you'll see on Page 24 for that particular question regarding deference, that there are criteria that the Board has to consider. And one of the things the Board does consider and take greatly into consideration is that substantial evidence and information which includes traditional ecological knowledge, so the Council's feedback to the Board and recommendations and the justification and discussion based on your local and traditional knowledge is a very important part of what is considered by the Board as well.

16 17 18

19

20

The Board does have to consider conservation concerns and so that does factor into their final decisionmaking.

212223

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

And they do have to consider if an action would harm subsistence users. So in some cases there might be one region where a particular action would benefit subsistence users but may have a detrimental effect on others so they do weigh feedback from across a broad region in many cases to try to best understand. You know, for example for the Western Arctic Caribou Herd, when the management is across multiple Regional Advisory Council regions and many, many communities so they try and take a look and understand the whole process and each region's recommendation but local and traditional knowledge is a very important part of their consideration as well along with biological information.

36 37 38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

And I do have our anthropologist has information here, where the provided some deference comes from and in ANILCA, there is -- the Secretary, in performing his monitoring responsibility pursuant to Section .806 of ANILCA and in the existence of disclosure and other administrative authority over public land, shall consider the report recommendations of Regional Advisory Councils concerning the taking of fish and wildlife on public lands within their respective regions for subsistence The Secretary may choose not to follow any recommendation, which she determines is not supported

by substantial evidence, violates the recognized principles of fish and wildlife conservation or would be detrimental to the satisfaction of subsistence needs. And those are the three criteria that we did just note there.

5 6 7

8

9

11

12

13

4

And then as we just covered, if the recommendation of the Council is not adopted by the Board then that's where the .805(c) report comes in, where the Board does have to explain or justify their reasons for not concluding with the Council's recommendation. So there will always be a -- again, a justification explaining why the Board did not concur with the Council's actions.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So I would think, you know, crossover proposal on limiting moose and caribou to Federally-qualified users in 23 and 26A, that seems like is going to expand into additional public hearings November 18th and that the inability for the Federal Subsistence Board to adopt that special action is based on those criteria, that would be -- that you pointed out one that -- not supported by substantial evidence, violates recognized principles of fish and wildlife conservation or would be detrimental satisfaction of subsistence needs. And I don't know if that has anything to do with that particular wildlife special action proposal to -- based on last year's events around the Kobuk area, the Noatak areas, the NANA region and why caribou didn't come around and was so far late into the season where they mostly had to hunt the females into the rut -- and there was not adequate food in the freezers at that point. And their proposal was to -- see, we don't know the reason why the caribou didn't come last year. But the Federallyqualified users were up in arms and concerned to bring It was a crossover because it affected -up that. their proposal crossed a boundary into Region 10 up and we deliberated on that and into the Slope recommended on the side of the proponent. So I'm just saying, you know, and I think there's action to be had on that because it was merely extended for continued public hearing. And meanwhile we should find out, you know, if those caribous went through but we will not probably know what happened last year. I mean you would have had to figure out why that much caribou didn't go through there as expected. It's been, you know, we know they're migratory and they don't always follow the same path but, anyway, I'll leave it at

1 that.

And those are probably some of the heart of, you know, what kind of deference do we have in these things. And it sounded like we followed all the right protocols in trying to make sure established processes are in place and not showing any detriment to the satisfaction of subsistence needs, that would have been for the subsistence user, the Federally-qualified user put in that proposal for that special action.

Anyway, I will leave it at that, I tend to try to analyze some of these things far too deep sometimes but it's important to find out how the Federal Subsistence Board reads us because a lot of those are agency folks on there. Anyway.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. And I do want to bring to the Council's attention that as you noted there will be further upcoming public hearing and there is one scheduled now for the WSA21-01 for November 17th and then we do have on the Council's agenda a discussion -- a guided discussion on that wildlife special action 21-01 for Unit 23 and Unit 26A caribou and moose and that will be coming up on the agenda after the wildlife proposals. It's not an action item at this meeting but as you noted the Board is seeking further information from the Regional Advisory Councils and through the public process to better understand the dynamics of the caribou and subsistence access to caribou. And so we will have an in-depth discussion later on on the agenda on that special action request, specifically at the Board's request to get more information from the Regional Advisory Councils, local subsistence hunters and communities. So that will come up again.

And as you note, we'll also want to confirm -- we had discussed this with the Council prior to the meeting, is, setting a good public hearing date for the North Slope region. There will be three public hearings scheduled in follow-up to receive further information and detailed feedback on that proposal. So I know the Council had discussed maybe that first week of December so we had hoped to set that second public hearing date at a time that would work good for the North Slope. So, again, we'll have a full discussion on that coming up later on the agenda.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

But as vou note, Gordon, traditional knowledge, that detailed information from the Council and local subsistence hunters and fishers is very important and that is identified by the Council in the subject No. 2 of your annual report and reply on Page 21. And the Council tried to articulate to the Board just the many ways that local subsistence communities have to be engaged in subsistence issues and to bring attention and awareness to the concerns and those could include a consideration understanding of local culture and communications norms and support for the Council and the public. consideration and inclusion of local and traditional knowledge in subsistence management. Understanding and support for community, regional sharing and trade of 16 subsistence foods. And subsistence management approaches that support and uphold these traditional sharing practices essential to the well-being 19 community. And understanding and awareness of the 20 interaction of all subsistence foods and activities, for example, when important subsistence food such as walrus or whale are not harvested that there's a greater need for other food, such as caribou and fish to sustain communities for the year. And then also understanding and awareness of the interaction of 26 industrial development with subsistence activities, and 27 while the Council recognizes the Federal Subsistence Management Program does not have direct jurisdiction over development activities in the North Slope, recognizing the impact to subsistence foods is a direct concern to the program and this might impacts to migratory routes, disturbances that deflect or stress animals or contaminants that may impact subsistence foods and engagement through Title VIII of ANILCA, Section .810 which requires an analysis of impacts to subsistence uses through activities on Federal land.

And then lastly the Council had wanted to bring awareness of monitoring of climate change impacts to subsistence and these annual reports from the Council in their discussion in Council member reports and throughout the meeting are a really important part of documenting your observations and changes that are occurring that may impact subsistence.

And the Board does respond reconfirming the importance of recognition of traditional ecological knowledge informing the Federal Subsistence Management Program. And they do strive to include all relevant

traditional knowledge in all aspects of the analysis and rely on you, the Regional Advisory Councils, to help inform the program of local conditions and knowledge on the subject matter. You can see the full Board reply there but I did want to point out that they do knowledge the importance of your knowledge and observations and your engagement with the communities which you serve for subsistence.

And, again, please do, if there's any further information or questions that you don't feel were answered by the Board that we can bring forward in your annual report for this year in follow-up.

Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. So any other questions on the FY2020 annual report reply from the Federal Subsistence Board.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, we'll go to Item C, annual report reply process review briefing. Eva.

 MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. There was a request by the Federal Subsistence Board at their summer work session to get some feedbacks from the Council on what we discussed here, whether the annual report and Board's reply process is working for the Council, and any suggestions for improvement. And we do have our Anthropologist LT lead Brent Vickers who was going to provide an overview of that request from the Board and discussion. It's not a formal recommendation action item but any discussion the Council would like to have on feedback for that so I'll turn it over to Brent Vickers.

Thank you.

MR. VICKERS: Hello, Mr. Chair. Members of the Council. For the record my name is Brent Vickers and I am the Supervisor Cultural Anthropologist for OSM. You can download the meeting material for this agenda item from our website. The document is entitled annual report briefing or the meeting materials for this agenda item begins on Page 29 of your Council book. This is not an action item.

While we recognize that this item that this item is new business for the Council, we chose to address it here in old business following your review of the annual report replies from the Board. This way the annual report reply process is fresh in your mind as I present the briefing.

During the Federal Subsistence Board's August 2021 work session the InterAgency Staff Committee briefed the Board on the annual report reply process and possible revisions to improve workload efficiency and response to Regional Advisory Council concerns. The Board reviewed and discussed the report reply process and agreed to forward this topic to the Councils for your input on the suggested revisions, including possible ideas of your own.

As you are aware, ANILCA, Section .805 authorizes the Councils to prepare an annual report containing information related to important subsistence resource issues within their region. These reports are invaluable as they provide the Board with a broad holistic picture of local resource conditions and the subsistence issues facing communities across rural Alaska. With this knowledge the Board can make informed decisions.

Historically the Board has strived to provide responses to every topic listed in the annual reports regardless of its ability to address the issues And it is unclear if the Board responses on raised. all annual report topics are helpful to the Councils, while taking considerable Staff time to complete. The ISC, or InterAgency Staff Committee has suggested process revisions to consider annual reports serve solely as a mechanism for informing the Board of local conditions as needs and proposed writing letters as a means of requesting Board responses to topics of concern. Under this scenario, Councils could ask their coordinator to write a letter to the Board if there are annual report topics to which they're specifically requesting a response.

Those suggested revisions are not intended to diminish the ability of the Councils to report to the Board and Councils will still receive responses from the Board when requested, and these are only suggestions. You, the Council, may have other ideas about a more efficient and responsive annual

report and reply process.

To that end, we are asking you to think about what you want from the Board in terms of replies as you develop and confirm your fiscal year 2021 annual report between the fall and winter meetings.

We will take this up again when we meet in 2022. Once again this is not an action item, not until your winter meeting.

Thank you.

 $\ensuremath{\text{\textsc{I}}}$, and the other OSM Staff are ready for your questions.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Oh, sorry, I was on mute. And, thank you, I was reading, you know, you were paraphrasing some of these annual report reply process review, and very informative and I feel good that this is going on so that how better to respond to the Regional Councils and, yeah, it was just informative.

Any questions for this one from the Board -- from the Council.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So in terms of the guidance and strategies and I would hope, you know, once there are changes and hopefully maybe we've drafted our own suggested language too, how we might be addressed by the Federal Subsistence Board, that there be examples or training, not just say this is changed, you can do this, and you can do that. All right, I'll give you an example, what can I say now to be more effective for the Federal Subsistence Board to hear our concerns in a way that reply that gives deference to the Regional Council and not just say, well, you know, you guys don't have substantial evidence and things like that and it's detrimental to subsistence and guiding and stuff and just say no. So I'm eager to see how that language would be developed on the reply process review.

Is that kind of like the heart of what we're talking about here?

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

MR. VICKERS: Mr. Chair, this is Brent Vickers. I hear what you're saying and I think that's an excellent idea. As far as getting to the heart of the process, I know one thing is that a lot of what is said in the annual reports, while very important, you know, very important matters, climate change, things that have huge impacts, the Board, in itself can't make very strategic recommendations and I think that's one of the things that they're really trying to address and so having a report process that when there is a response, something strategic and perhaps exactly what you're talking about -- Eva, do you have any other suggestions or something you can say about this or anyone else from OSM.

14 15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

MS. PATTON: Through the Chair. Yes, indeed, and the Council does you, Brent. understand that, you know, in the Council's own report often, you know, starts with the understanding that the Board may not Federal Subsistence have direct jurisdiction over these issues, however the interrelationship of all of these issues affecting subsistence and ways that the Program can, either flexibly through flexible regulations, you know, which works in some cases, you know, with timing, access to resources and timing to be able to subsistence hunt or As Chairman Brower noted, in some cases, you know, there is no ability to respond by changes to regulations or timing or access but the time is when the resource is available. And so I know the Board has endeavored over the years to network with other agencies, other Federal agencies or get information that would be helpful in responding to the broader concerns of the Council that the Board doesn't have jurisdiction over. I guess I'll note just from the years of working with the Regional Advisory Council that those efforts are very much appreciated so even if it doesn't fall within the Board's direct jurisdiction, that the networking and efforts of the OSM Staff and Program to be able to collaborate with others on finding ways to come up with solutions or avenues to address these concerns.

42 43 44

Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council.

45 46

47

48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. And maybe sometime we should have a workshop in this area just -- you know, it's kind of hard when you're on teleconference and you're thumbing through stuff and

it's good to have animation and examples and talk and be present in person but we all know what we're challenged with nowadays but it's certainly interesting enough to, you know, try to understand a little bit and provide some feedback on it and it seems like it's a work in progress.

MS. PATTON: And, Mr. Chair....

10 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any other 11 questions....

MS. PATTON:and Council -- go ahead.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, Eva.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. And as Brent noted that this will come back before the Council at your winter meeting so, you know, any thoughts on this as we're working through our annual report process for this year, too, and please do keep me posted as well as, you know, ways that we might be able to engage and better respond to the Council's annual report and request for information and support for the Council.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Uh-huh. Just an FYI, Steve did respond back to my text from Point Hope and he promised to chime in after lunch.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. Yes, I just heard back from Steve as well. He had been very sick for some time and was doing better and I know when I talked to him last night he was not feeling so well again so we want to support Steve in all his efforts to join us, he does wear many hats for his community as you noted, serving both on the tribal council and the corporation board and responding to the community's needs and urgencies right now with Covid. So we'll let Steve hopefully have a good lunch and join us this afternoon for the wildlife proposals.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, we got about 20 minutes if we.....

0046 1 MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2 3 CHAIRMAN BROWER:want to take an 4 early lunch and then we'll be able to act on the 5 asterisks portions or is there something else we could take up and be very flexible with..... 6 7 8 MS. PATTON: Actually..... 9 10 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Eva. 11 12 MS. PATTON: Yes, actually, Mr. Chair 13 and Council, I do have a recommendation but the annual 14 report reply and the annual report process actually got 15 separated in the agenda because one is old business and one is new business but they really should come 16 17 together. Since we're having this discussion on the 18 reply and any follow-up to the Council's request to the 19 Board and that reply, if we may, Council to address the 20 annual report so that the Council has the time to think 21 about topics for the annual report here for this year 22 throughout the meeting. If that would be okay with the 23 Council we could cover that at this time. 24 25 CHAIRMAN BROWER: What's the wish of 26 the Council. 27 28 (No comments) 29 30 CHAIRMAN BROWER: You guys want to let 31 Eva continue and do that and take her recommendation or 32 do you want to take a lunch and come back at 1:00. 33 34 MS. PATTON: And this..... 35 36 MR. WILLIAMS: Break. 37 38 MS. PATTON:would be just a brief 39 overview of the annual report and the topics that we're 40 seeking from the Council for the meeting. 41 42 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 43 44 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Do you want to take a 45 brief update on that as suggested by Eva and then go to 46 lunch. 47 48 MR. WILLIAMS: Let's go to lunch. 49

```
0047
 1
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. Is that one
   vote for going to lunch.
 2
 3
 4
                   MS. ITTA:
                                 I move to go to lunch.
 5
    Second, I mean.
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        All right.
 8
    stomach's growling so that's going to override you
 9
    there, Eva, and.....
10
11
                    MS. PATTON: No worries.
12
13
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      ....we will take
14
    that up right after lunch, I suppose.
15
16
                    MS. PATTON: Sure, absolutely.
17
    just have that brief update on the annual report so
18
    that the Council is thinking about those topics that
19
    you'd like to add to this year's report through the
20
    meeting and then we will have Steve on and hopefully
21
    Wanda as well after lunch to take up the wildlife
22
    proposals.
23
24
                    Thank you, Mr. Chair.
25
26
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. We'll
27
   recess until 1:00 o'clock.
28
29
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
30
31
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.
32
    signing off, I'll dial back in at 1:00 o'clock.
33
34
                    MS. ITTA: Thank you.
35
36
                    MS. PATTON: Thank you everyone. We'll
37
    resume at 1:00 p.m.
38
39
                    (Off record)
40
41
                    (On record)
42
43
                   CHAIRMAN BROWER: Steve, we're going to
44
    hand you the mic so you can do your Councilman's
    report. Everybody, AKP gave their report, Nuiqsut gave
45
46
    their report, Kaktovik gave their report and we were
47
    going to revisit the reports when you and Wanda were
48
    available.
                So I'm going to give the mic to you and
```

50

report from your village.

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. It's been a good year. Just for the record this is Steve Oomittuk from Point Hope located on the west coast.

This year has been a pretty good year for caribou. You know the caribou seem to stick around pretty much all year. And, you know, the numbers — when you look at the report the numbers show low but the caribou have been staying within the area of Point Hope, the hunters haven't had to go very far, the majority of them. The fall time, you know, they're still catching caribou right now, which is good. There's a lot of snow on the ground. You know they're seeing other animals, they're catching sea otters in the river. We never seen sea otters in years.

But, you know, not much grayling. There's some trout. And people are up the river trying to get the fish. You know the grayling was in abundance last year and so was the trout. The hunters right now are saying, you know, they're having a hard time getting the fish, the river seems kind of shallow and kind of muddy and their nets are coming out empty handed.

It's been It's been kind of warm. warming up and cooling off. We still have no ice. Here it is in November again, we didn't -- last year we didn't get -- you know the river freezes and thaws out but it's getting frozen now. But, you know, the ocean, you know, when we celebrate the Born of the Ice when it's (In Native) when we bring out the whale's tail, usually in October, you know, in years past -- years ago it used to be late September, early October and then it moved to mid-October and then October -- now the last couple years it's been in November and you're talking late November, you know, right before Thanksgiving, towards the end, you know, that's very late for our -- you know because we celebrate the Born of the Ice and we have, you know, we've got 10 whales and, you know, we pull out the whale's tail from the ice, those that are covered with whale blubber and, you know, if you haven't gotten five whales and you pulled your whale's tail out, you know, when the ice is formed and we celebrate the Born of the Ice -- you know the old days they said is it -- if it's (In Native) on the south side of Point Hope it'll be a good year -- a good hunting year with the ice and everything, if it's (In Native) on the north side it's going to be a tough year

for us. Yeah, there's a lot of water out there so no sign of any ice at all.

You know the fish, we didn't get much fish this year, the ocean's been pretty rough during the fishing time when they're getting the char and they're coming back in late August, early September.

It seems like things are changing, you know, you never know when they're going to come, the current, the fish, the migrations, yeah, it's been changing for quite some time, you know, when you live in a place the majority of all your life you're so used to, you know, the food chain and the timeline of when everything comes and goes, you know, the migration of the animals, not just in the sea, but the sky, the land, the river and if you -- you know, so used to be a way of life, the subsistence way of life, you notice the changes and there's been a big change in the last 10 years even with the weather and the size of the snowflake and the wind direction and temperature.

But for the caribou it's been a good year, even though I see the numbers are low, and we see the abundance of sports hunters coming into Kotzebue, you know, by the hundreds, you know, the airlines are full and they're still coming up even though we wanted to close it down because the population of -- I still we still have an estimated number of 244 but that's from 2019, we don't have the 2020 census. I don't know about the 2021. I just saw in the meeting packet and it shows the numbers and it's still in the low -- and the Federal Subsistence Advisory, even though we suggested that they shut down hunting on Federal lands to nonresidents and sportshunters, and we had that, you know, the meeting on that and also on the radio what you guys have -- my mind is going in circles right now. I was in a meeting until 1:00 this morning so I'm still trying to -- you know, past 1:00, but, you know, I just feel that the different entities and, you know, that here we are the North Slope Borough Subsistence Regional Advisory Council it seems like it goes in one ear and out the other ear. You know we're concerned of -- you know it's our food source. You know we're a very low income communities and high cost of living and the subsistence way of life is our main food source and we want to ensure that we continue to put food on the table, you know, all our families depend on the animals, whether it's in the sea or the land, the

rivers. But it seems like, you know, when you deal with Fish and Game, or Wildlife, you know, it's -- you know we're being overridden by a way of life when we know that there's something wrong and, still, you have people testifying that are from out of state that don't even live in the area calling in and voicing their opinion when we're concerned about the survival about the animals that we depend on, and the people that depend on the food source, it just -- it just wasn't right.

 $\label{eq:thank you.} \quad \text{And, you know, that's all I} \\ \text{have.} \quad \text{Thank you.}$

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Quyanaqpak, Steve. Always interesting to hear your report from your neck of (In Native). Just a -- I mean any questions for Steve on Council member's reports for Point Hope, from any of the Council members.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve. And just wondering about your river and, you know, here our concern -- my concern has been about the freeze-up and then warming up and fishing and being able to, you know, we always depend on the weather sometimes -quite a bit here, to freeze your catch so it won't get Just wondering about, stinkfish so it can be fresh. you know, it seems like it would be more of an issue over that way around Point Hope. You know we're starting to develop (In Native) here, we've got some ice floating around, you could see some ice developing, lakes are frozen. Right now the rivers are frozen now. But in the beginning, you know, it froze real good up there in Ikpikpuk but it kind of warmed up again and very difficult to fish in that kind of condition. Just my observation and just see if there were those kind of issues that you guys might have with freeze and thaw.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yes. You know last year January and February, you know, usually the coldest times of the year and, yet, it was raining and we were kind of worried about the caribou because here it was cold and then it started raining and then it got cold again and we were worried about the caribou getting to their food source, you know, especially with the rain and freezing up the ground, you know, it makes it harder for -- but it seemed like, you know, the weather

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

didn't get as cold, you know, as it normally gets in January and February and that's supposed to be the coldest time of the year, you know, in the 30 belows, 40s, windchills, higher, and yet we still had open water in February, you know, the ocean and that was kind of a concern, you know, that -- you know they were seeing whales, you know, in late February, March, you know, when we don't -- in the past we don't normally see them until April, middle part of April, when they start coming through. Now we see them towards the end of March, first part of April. Once in a while we see them in February but you could really tell the ocean is not as thick as it used to be and then when it freezes -- and the currents -- and you also see different types of species of birds that normally weren't around in the older days, we just seen -- you know there were people concerned about all the murres -- the dead birds towards Thompson that washed up, you know, the puffins and the murres and on Cape Thompson side and also towards Cape Lisburne. So -- but we are concerned.

202122

23

24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48 49

50

They increased traffic through International waters through the Northwest Passage. When you see the traffic that comes through because of the Northwest Passage is open now and a shortcut, not just for tourism but for industry, you know, and it's a shortcut for, you know, to get to the other side of the world instead of going through the Panama Canal. I was surprised to see the numbers of ships and boats that come and goes through Federal waters up on the Arctic Ocean. You know our Arctic Ocean isn't very deep and any kind of major spill will be easily be an impact on our food source because the majority of our food source is from the ocean and they're depleting the animal's food source that they depend on, you know. And that's something that, you know, we wanted to know about, the Native Village of Point Hope. You know back in the days when they had off shore they were doing all that seismic and then they had these contacts (ph) that was looking at the traffic and keeping in contact with ships and barges and personal, you know, explorers or cruise ships that were coming through, you know, we saw a few numbers, you know, you're talking 10 years ago but when I saw recently of how many ships are going through now that, you know, we don't even know about but you're talking hundreds, you know, out International waters where we have no jurisdiction or anything and, you know, I would think as the North Slope Subsistence Advisory Council that, you know,

```
0052
    would have some sort of say-so on, you know, the Coast
           could do more monitoring on -- you know,
    especially within the -- you know it's Federal waters,
    I guess, but we don't have no jurisdiction but ensuring
    that they're not putting waste into our ocean which is
    so delicate, you know, the smallest food source feeds
 6
 7
    the largest. You know the whale eating on the plankton
    and, you know, and the depth of the Arctic Ocean isn't
 9
    very deep so there's a lot of concerns on that.
10
    then -- and then it's something that we have no
11
    jurisdiction over.
                            We
                                have the
                                            Beluga Whale
    Commission, the AWC, although we are considered the
12
13
    North Slope Subsistence Advisory Council where we can
14
    give advice but we are limited -- there is a Walrus
15
    Commission, there's a Polar Bear Commission, like I
    said, you know, we have these lengthy meetings with a
16
17
    lot of people but yet, you know, when we give advice it
18
    gets overridden but -- you know, by the Federal
19
    Subsistence Advisory Council [sic].
20
21
                    Thank you.
22
23
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Thank you, Steve.
    We're going to move on to Wanda, if Wanda has joined
24
25
    us. Wanda, are you on from Atqasuk.
26
27
                    MS. KIPPI: Yes, Sir, Mr. Chair. Good
28
    afternoon. Good afternoon, everybody.
29
30
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      Good afternoon, long
31
    time no hear.
32
33
                    MS. KIPPI: Eee (In Native)
34
35
                    CHAIRMAN
                              BROWER:
                                          Give
                                                 you
36
    opportunity to provide your report as Council member
37
    from Atgasuk.
38
39
                    MS. KIPPI: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair.
40
```

41 CHAIRMAN BROWER: We've heard from

42 Anaktuvuk....

43 44

MS. KIPPI: Wanda Kippi from.....

45 46

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Wanda.

47

48 MS. KIPPI:Atqasuk -- alrighty 49 thank you. Wanda Kippi from Atqasuk.

Our weather for the springtime, I think it was pretty good. It usually thaws out too early during the springtime. The geese hunting was good. The (In Native) was good. What you normally see out there during springtime. It's usually about -- it was usually good -- it was good.

And then for the summer, there was no caribou for awhile until -- until -- well, there was some caribou around but not as much as you would see in July and then near the middle or ending of July you started finally seeing some and then August -- August was a time that we started seeing more -- more caribou but they were -- they were small -- small herds here and there, a few big ones. And then near the ending of August we started finally -- and September, we finally started seeing some caribou and there was finally a big herd by the thousands around (In Native), which we haven't seen for a long time. I didn't get to see it, I didn't get to go because my Honda was down. I had to order so many parts for my Honda this year so I missed out camping and hunting this year. I'm not going to Nobody's going to stop me this time, miss next year. my Honda's going to be running.

(Laughter)

MS. KIPPI: But, anyhow, that caribou, it was probably by the thousands, my son said, it was black from all the caribou lined up for miles and miles from one end to the other end on the direction they were going, he said it was awesome to see. I missed that. I haven't seen that for a long time, that was —that was a good report from my son when he went out that day.

Lately -- and the freeze-up was slow as usual, a late freeze-up. And some places where it's deep or where there's a concentration of gases, like farther up, like (In Native) and somewhere down that way there's some gases in the water that never lets it freeze and it never freeze over in September so we couldn't go -- or a family member couldn't go up there and go fish end of September oreven and it was still -- still not frozen from those gases that they usually have on that part of the river. But other than that, after it freeze up they were catching some good (In Native) and then when it freeze up some people put net out they were catching some (In Native) some good

catches I guess, they did pretty good catching with net. There was one -- one fish that has abscess in the liver, that was just recently, last week, they must have found it when they were cutting it up. It might have been a (In Native) I think, or (In Native), they didn't say which one.

And the wolves were around here. Not too long ago they were fresh tracks to spot (In Native) somebody had caught it and they had seen some more tracks nearby which is unusual. I mean you don't really see the tracks up this close around our area, they're usually like 13 miles or around that far, they don't usually come this close to town. I guess what happened is that there was a big herd across -- across the river, somewhere back there and they must have scared them over here and those caribou stayed across the river for a few days. But after that, even after the caribou left, there was (In Native) tracks around there so somebody went to go fishing over there and they took picture of the tracks. So one (In Native) was caught already from seeing those tracks near, around our community, that close, what, only a mile.

And everything was okay, I guess, but the -- the freeze-up is getting later and later and then after even though it froze up there was some soft spots after it warmed up on top of the ice so that was just end of October or first -- yeah, end of October -- last week of October it warmed up and there was some slush on top of the ice so people had to be careful.

There was sometimes -- sometimes there was no caribou around the area, still people had to go far -- far away during the caribou hunting season until -- until they started coming finally. A lot of young -- a lot of young bucks, a lot of females with their young ones, we seen small herds of them all around. But, yeah, they finally started seeing some caribou after -- before -- before it was getting too late. I'm thinking some of them never catch enough for their families, but I guess it'll work -- it works out -- everything will be okay, I guess.

 But, yeah, it's getting -- the groceries are getting more expensive here in our community, yeah, it's just getting more expensive for our community even to get -- even to get some groceries up here, it's getting expensive, very expensive so our

0055 main sources around here is going to be our subsistence life which has been for many, many years and I hope we can keep our hunting and fishing and bird hunting going for as long as we can because that's what we subsist 5 on, that's what we live on because the (In Native) is not going to keep us full all the time, it's not going 6 7 to be available all the time due to -- due to have the expenses of getting them up here and just the pricing of the meats are high, even just dry goods are 9 10 expensive now, that I've noticed when I go to the 11 store. I just buy a bag and it cost me \$99, almost 100 12 bucks, so, yep, it's getting too expensive to live on 13 (In Native). 14 15 (In Native) Taikuu. Any questions. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Wanda. 18 It's good to listen to you and how the village is doing 19 and about subsistence. Any questions for Wanda from 20 any of the other Council members or OSM or Fish and Game or those that have a question. 21 22 23 MR. WILLIAMS: I just want to say 24 congratulation on your five year award Wanda. Keep it 25 up, I'm proud of you, representing for your people and 26 tradition. Thank you, very much, Ma'am. Earl, for the 27 record. 28 29 REPORTER: Thank you, Earl. 30 31 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right, Earl. 32 33 MS. KIPPI: Was that Kaktovik. 34 35 MR. WILLIAMS: Anaktuvuk. 36 37 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Anaktuvuk Pass. 38 39 MS. KIPPI: Thank you. 40 41

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any other....

MS. PATTON: Yes, thank you.....

CHAIRMAN BROWER:questions for

Wanda.

48 (No comments) 49

50

42 43

44 45

46

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right, very good. Good report. It's always good to hear what's going on with the village. And we will be planning from the Borough side of things, putting the CWAT trail to Atqasuk and Wainwright and we've also been approved to make the trail all the way to Point Lay this year. So as we get funded we start these processes. So maybe that might help with food and ability to get things into Atqasuk.

With that....

MS. KIPPI: All right, thank you for that.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Do we have member from Wainwright, Billy Blare Patkotak, Jr., have you joined us this afternoon.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, Madame Coordinator, it might be important to continue to try to send him a message, maybe through the city office or the North Slope Borough Liaison's office. Sometimes they're very helpful and will call them right up, or they'll knock on their door to remind them or something like that.

 MS. PATTON: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair. And I had spoke with the tribal administrator again this morning and she did say she would call and relay a message to him, I haven't heard back. I'll try to leave a message for them, again, and see if Billy could join us this afternoon, still.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: That's good. At least we try, it's important we try to get all the new Council members acquainted and encourage them. So with that, we'll go to Item 8, which we passed earlier and we were going to wait for Wanda, is the service awards. Wanda, you've served in your capacity now as a representing Atqasuk in the Subsistence Regional Advisory Council for five years and we'd like to thank you very much and the passion you have for the subsistence arena, and the knowledge you hold and the way you represent the community of Atqasuk and the

people as a whole.

(In Native)

Quyanaqpak.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Madame}}$ Coordinator, was there something that you wanted to say or provide.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And big thanks to Wanda Kippi for your wonderful service to the Council and the community, are just wealth of observations and traditional knowledge that you share and the joy of living subsistence way of life and the time you spend out on the land that you share with everyone. And you being young, but an elder in your own right, the gift that you provide sharing your knowledge and traditional skills with not only your children and grandchildren but with others in the community and all of us. It's a pleasure and joy to know you and work with you. So thank you so much Wanda.

And we do have a service award for you, we had hoped, of course, to be able to meet in person and have a celebratory potluck and we have a placque for you that we will be.....

MS. KIPPI: Wow.

MS. PATTON:sending in the mail. So, yeah, so that will get shipped after the meeting, we'll have to pack it up good. So thank you for everything, Wanda.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right, well deserved Wanda. We hope you can continue and be an advocate for subsistence. I always like to encourage young people and, you know, I always find it important so that our young people are knowing of the regulations too and it's important, too, to help this Council be successful so thank you very much.

And if we were here in person and Covid wasn't bothering us I'm pretty sure, along with Wanda and the rest of the Council members and Eva we'd have a group picture and hand you your award personally with your plaque. But it is what it is, we're in difficult times, and historical times these days, living in a

```
0058
    pandemic era.
 2
 3
                    MS. JONES: Mr. Chair, this is Shelly.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Shelly.
 6
 7
                     MS. JONES: Thanks, Gordon. And I just
     wanted to really lend my appreciation and thanks to
 8
 9
    Wanda as well. I really admire everyone that goes out
10
    of their way to help us in these public processes and,
11
     yeah, just really happy. I've learned a lot from Wanda
12
     already and really glad my path has crossed with hers
13
     and looking forward to a lot of future years.
                                                   So if we
14
    were in person I would be hoping to have a little bit
15
    of cake but I would either be applauding or a thumb's
    up if we had a video so I just wanted to lend my voice
16
17
     in congratulating Wanda.
18
19
                     MS. KIPPI: Thank you. I'm honored to
20
    be in this Advisory Council. Quyanaqpak, thank you.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.
23
24
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair, this is Steve
25
     for the record.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right, go ahead,
28
     Steve, we'll give you the mic.
29
30
                     MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, I also wanted to
31
     thank Wanda for her five years of service and thank you
32
    very much. It's always good to see you and, you know,
33
     five years, you know, we thank you. We know it's tough
34
     times and, you know, it's not always easy sometimes.
35
     You know it takes a lot of time, we volunteer our time,
36
     we thank everybody that comes and volunteers their time
37
     for this North Slope Subsistence Advisory Council. And
38
     keeping us updated with all our different villages and,
39
     you know, keep our way of life and the concerns that we
     have, especially on the land and the different issues
40
41
     that we deal with in different places.
42
43
                     But thank you very much, and for your
44
     report. Keep up the good work.
45
46
                     Thank you.
47
48
                     MS. KIPPI: Taikuu, Steve. Quyanaqpak.
49
     (In Native)
```

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. Any other comments in recognition for the service award for Wanda for her five years.

MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair, this is Carmen Daggett, I'd like to say something.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Carmen.

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you. I just wanted to give my sincere thank you to Wanda. Not only has she served in this body but in other bodies as well and provided a lot of really cheerful, wonderful comments, she's always got a smile on her face even if she's talking about something that's difficult. And I really treasure getting to know her, not just in public but she also messages me on Facebook every once in awhile and we try to help her out as much as we can from this office. So thank you very much, Wanda, I appreciate all your time and efforts.

MS. KIPPI: Thank you, Carmen. It means a lot to me, thank you. I'm honored to help -- be on this Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. Thank you.

MS. PATTON: Wonderful. Thank you again, Wanda. I'll make sure to contact you when your award is coming in the mail to you and you'll know to look for it and we'll all have to celebrate when we can meet in person again. We'll have some virtual cake today. And thanks to everybody for your feedback also.

Quyanaqpak, Wanda.

MS. KIPPI: Taikuu. Taikuu, Eva.

MS. PATTON: And, Mr. Chair and Council. We did have an opportunity for the Council to review the previous meeting minutes this morning but we were waiting for additional Council members to make a vote on that so if we may, go back to Item No. 6 on your agenda, for your meeting minutes, on Page 5 in the meeting book.

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair.

MS. PATTON: Steve and Wanda if you want to take a look and see if there's any edits there.

0060		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair.
		MS. PATTON: Uh-huh, yes, go ahead.
	for the record.	MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair, this is Steve
		CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead,
9 10	Steve.	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	motion to approx 23, 2021 as pres	MR. OOMITTUK: I'd like to entertain a ve the meeting minutes of February 22, sented.
	Earl.	MR. WILLIAMS: Second. Second from
		CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. There's a loor to accept the minutes from February and a motion on the floor by Steve pint Hope.
		MR. WILLIAMS: Second by Earl.
	Earl. Any disc	CHAIRMAN BROWER: Been seconded by ussion.
28		(No comments)
29 30		MS. KIPPI: Question.
34		CHAIRMAN BROWER: Question's been All those in favor of approving the 2021 minutes signify by saying aye.
35 36		IN UNISON: Aye.
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	hold my phone. one and I'm goin	MS. KIPPI: Okay, Mr. Chair, I'm going this back on speaker so I don't have to I accidentally lifted up the phone cording to try to put it on speaker and if it
	hangs up I'll ca	all right back. CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good. All those
45 46	opposed, signify	
47		MS. KIPPI: Okay, thank you.
48 49 50		CHAIRMAN BROWER:by saying no.

0061 1 (No opposing votes) 2 3 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, the 4 minutes are approved. 5 6 (Teleconference interference 7 participants not muted) 8 9 CHAIRMAN BROWER: So we got that out of 10 the way so Item 5 is complete. And I'm going to go 11 back to Item 8 real quick, service awards, anything 12 else have anything to add about Wanda's service award 13 before we go on to the next agenda item. 14 15 (No comments) 16 17 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, we'll 18 go to -- I'm not sure if we finished old business under 19 the annual report reply process. Did we complete that, 20 did we finish old business Eva. 21 22 MS. PATTON: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair. 23 And then as noted, the We completed old business. 24 identifying issues for the FY 2021 annual report does 25 come up later on the agenda after the wildlife 26 proposals. So we will revisit the annual report at 27 that time. 28 29 And then first under new business is the wild proposals and closure reviews. And these are 30 31 action items and we'll just walk through the process 32 thatwe'll usefor reviewingeach ofthewildlife proposals. 33 34 (Teleconference interference 35 participants not muted) 36 37 MS. PATTON: And we had planned also 38 for the Council to receive all relevant wildlife 39 reports from both the Federal and State biologists that 40 have joined us on the teleconference so that you have 41 the latest information available for that particular 42 wildlife or proposal. So we'll take up each proposal 43 one at a time, but we'll hear the latest data that the 44 wildlife biologists have to share before we get into the proposal. Then we have our wildlife biologists and 45 46 anthropologists online to provide the overview of the 47 analysis that's in your meeting books.

For each of the proposals, I'd like to

49 50

0062 direct you to Page 30 in your meeting books. 2 3 For each proposal we'll walk through a 4 presentation process. Again, we'll hear any current 5 relevant data reports on wildlife affecting that particular proposal before we get into it. And then 7 we'll have a presentation of the Staff analysis. We have Kendra Holman on, OSM Wildlife Biologist and 9 Hannah Voorhees as the OSM Anthropologist, we also have 10 Pippa Kenner on who will be addressing the community 11 harvest proposals under the statewide proposals. 12 we'll have a review of the -- overview of the analysis and then a report to the Council on the Federal 13 14 Subsistence Board's tribal consultation and ANCSA 15 consultation on each proposal and then we'll walk you 16 through.... 17 18 (Teleconference interference 19 participants not muted) 20 21agency -- ADF&G, MS. PATTON: 22 Federal agencies, any tribal entities that have joined 23 us on the teleconference that would like to comment on the proposal and then we walk through the advisory group comments. So we hear from other Regional 24 25 26 Advisory Councils, especially for those proposals that 27 are crossover proposals with another region. 28 29 (Teleconference interference 30 participants not muted) 31 32 MS. PATTON: And then.... 33 34 REPORTER: Okay, hey, hey, hold up Eva. This is Tina, the court reporter. So I have a few 35 lines that are unmuted because we're getting background 36 37 conversations and I'm not picking Eva up on the recording so if we could all take a second, look at 38 39 your device, star, six to mute, or use the mute button 40 and that way we will be able to hear the presentations. 41 So I'm sorry to interrupt but everybody please check 42 and see if you're on mute. 43 44 Go ahead, Eva. 45

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Tina.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Eva, this is Gordon.

49 50

46

MS. PATTON: Yeah, go ahead, Gordon.

BROWER: CHAIRMAN Yeah, presentation procedures and proposals and closure reviews, it's pretty much standard on the -- I think we've done this many times on the process there and I appreciate going through all of the gamut of going each proposal, through these for and to hear everybody's comments and things like that, and agency comments. So I think we will be able to maneuver through that and it looks like we have a whole bunch of them so it might be prudent to get underway.

MS. PATTON: Sure, you bet, thank you, Mr. Chair. Yeah, and for other Councils we've had almost entirely new Council membership so I've been walking through the process....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Right.

 $\,$ MS. PATTON:a bunch of times for all our new Council members.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay.

MS. PATTON: So just a -- just a friendly reminder, though, when we are -- when the Council is taking action on the proposals, that full discussion from the Council, you know, why you're voting the way you are, the background information, your traditional knowledge, knowledge of subsistence to provide that full discussion and justification to help the Federal Subsistence Board understand the Council's recommendation. So that's the main thing and a motion in the positive is helpful as well so when you're voting yes we know you're supporting it and, a, no, that you're opposing it.

So thank you, Mr. Chair.

And with that I will -- we have our first proposal on the agenda is WP22-54. And wanted to -- and that's requesting a modification of the hunt area boundary for moose in Unit 26A, and this was submitted by the North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. And before we turn it over to Kendra to provide the analysis overview, I'd like to check in with our State and Federal Staff to see if there's any moose reports or updates that our regional biologists

would like to share with the Council at this time.

MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair, I want to make sure that this is asking for my information versus information that would be provided by OSM, or maybe this is an Eva question.

MS. PATTON: Hi, thank you, Carmen. Yes, this is the opportunity for you and other regional biologists to provide those updates. Thank you, very much Carmen.

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Eva. So I have a report that I submitted under the supplemental materials to give you the most recent minimum count numbers for the moose population in 26A. The most recent count was done this spring and we counted the larger minimum count area instead of just doing the trend count area, which we do every year, we do the minimum count every three years. And so our most recent count was 438 moose. There were 350 adults and 88 short-yearlings. This is in comparison to the minimum count in 2017 where there were 339 moose counted, 281 adults and 58 short-yearlings. So we've seen an increase in this moose population from just about 100 increase since we've done this minimum count.

So it's good to see it growing. It's still a modest size moose population. But that is the most recent information that we have for you and I'm happy to entertain any questions that people have regarding this population.

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair, Steve for the record. When you talk about 26A, I don't have a map in front of me but a lot of that area is also on the Northwest, the NANA area, 26A.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's Page 35 is the map for the moose that we're talking about on Unit 26A.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And it looks like NPR-A.

MR. OOMITTUK: Okay. So I was trying to -- I'm getting a little mixed up because I don't have a map in front of me and it seems like we had

(indiscernible - background noise) you know on the moose and the Western Arctic Caribou Herd and I thought 26A was on there, it might have been a different -- you know this was on a public testimony on the moose and, you know, having the non-residents and sporthunters coming in and hunting on Federal lands, a few months back, about five or six months ago -- but maybe it wasn't 26A, maybe that was a different number, I'm trying to -- and that's why -- and, you know, I'm on Page 31, I don't see a map of where 26A is. You know we cover such a broad area and looking for the jurisdiction area.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, I might stand to be corrected but maybe Carmen or the area biologist, that map on Page 35 in our books Unit 26 and it has Unit 26A stamped on it and it kind of highlights a majority, if not all of NPR-A.

MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair, I can just give a description of the Game Management Unit boundaries if that would be helpful to Steve if he can't locate the map in his materials, if that would be helpful.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ OOMITTUK: Okay, thank you, yeah, I see that now.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay.

 MR. OOMITTUK: You know because 26A covers a wide area in the North Slope but also in the NANA area and their Subsistence Advisory Council, I was just wondering if they -- what kind of concerns the Northwest Subsistence Advisory Council had on this proposal, if -- if you had public testimony on that.

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair, this is Eva, I

 can....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead.

 MS. PATTON:address that question for Steve. Yeah, thank you, Steve. This actually was not a crossover proposal with the Northwest Arctic Council since this is a hunt for residents in Unit 26A. So this proposal is coming just before North Slope

0066 Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. 2 3 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 5 (Teleconference 6 participants not muted) 7 8 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. 9 10 MR. OOMITTUK: Okay, thank you for 11 clarifying that. I just wanted -- I just wanted to 12 better understand it because, you know, like I stated 13 recently we just had a public testimony on the Western 14 Arctic Caribou Herd hunting on Federal lands also with 15 moose in 2 -- I think it was in 26A and it was on the public testimony -- public testimony on the radio with 16 17 the Northwest Arctic Subsistence Advisory Council also 18 that had to -- with their moose population and non-19 resident hunting and sporthunting coming into the 20 territory. 21 Thank you. 23 24 MS. PATTON:

22

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Yeah, thank you, Steve. through the Chair. We will be having a conversation -- you missed it this morning, that that Wildlife Special Action Request that was submitted by the Northwest Arctic Subsistence Regional Advisory Council regarding Unit 23 and Unit 26A caribou and moose, that was deferred -- action was deferred on that by the Federal Subsistence Board in order to get more information, in particular, from local residents and so that was on the agenda for the Northwest Arctic Council to discuss and it'll be coming up on the agenda later in the meeting for the North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Council to discuss as well. So we'll have a full discussion on that particular special action request later in the meeting. But this particular proposal doesn't affect that special action request.

39 40 41

MR. OOMITTUK: All right, thank you.

interference

42 43

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

44 45

46 47

48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And, Carmen, this is Gordon, and maybe you can define the areas that this is -- because this is a Council sponsored proposal, this is a proposal from the Regional Advisory Council, Region 10, and it's for Unit 26A on Federal public

lands and I would think that any public lands within Unit 26A would be incorporated into that.

(Phone ringing)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I'm going to take a call real quick, but, Carmen, if you can describe that.

MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair, thank you. So the area that will be covered by this proposal isn't the entirety of 26A, it is largely the western portion of 26A so the proposal is to move the current line from 56 west longitude -- 156 west longitude to 155 west longitude, which means that it would go from -- it would include the (Indiscernible), I'm pretty sure I'm butchering the name of that, I apologize that, down to and kind of following the Ikpikpuk down to the boundary of 26A and for your reference, Steve -- well, actually it's to the Colville River drainage. So it would be north of the Colville River drainage and westward to So just so that you're kind of aware of the coast. where that boundary is. I know it's sort of hard to There is a map that describes that and I visualize. believe that you should be able to reference that in the materials from what OSM provided. And hopefully that helps show you the area that would be included. So basically you're just adding on a sliver of area to the preexisting hunt area that already exists in 26A. We're just moving that boundary eastward from 156 west longitude to 155 west longitude. So hopefully that helps a little bit.

I know it would be best if you could see that on a map, I hope that map's in front of everyone so that they can kind of understand the area that we're talking about. But that's the best description I can give.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Carmen. And, Mr. Chair and Council, maybe just to guide the Council again to Page 35 of your meeting book. So Steve that's the big meeting book that you got with the muskox on the front, the bound meeting book. And on Page 35 is the map of Unit 26 and you can see the grey lines that run north and south that delineates the current boundaries and then there's a white line that is following roughly along the Alaktak River drainage and that's the proposed boundary that the North Slope Council had submitted. So it would move that boundary

a little further to the east. Hopefully Council members were able to find that in their meeting books on Page 35.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So on Page 35 that map that shows a white sort of like a hook shape towards the ocean and a straight line and kind of describes the meander around the Alaktak River and then 155 west to its -- to the border of the Colville River, I believe, is that the proposed delineation of the change?

 MS. PATTON: Yes, correct, so if you're looking on Page 35 there and that white line, yeah, that runs north/south is the specific area that the Council had recommended to shift the boundary to that area and the -- a landmark that was familiar for local residents.

And we will have also.....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, and....

MS. PATTON:so we're getting just a moose update right now and then Kendra, who is the Wildlife Biologist for OSM for the North Slope region will walk through the proposal and analysis, to kind of walk through the request and information in more detail as well.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. Maybe that's what we should do is get Kendra on and start going through this thing. Kendra.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$ KENNER: Madame -- Mr. Chair, Kendra is saying that she is having hard time calling back in.

This is Pippa Kenner.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right, so.....

MS. HOLMAN: Mr. Chair, this is Kendra. I apologize, I haven't been able to get my phone off of mute. If I can help explain the map I kind of missed the last minute or two but if you have a specific question I can try and answer it now.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: It was more or less,

```
0069
    I think we're ready to go ahead and go through the
    proposal and do the introduction and presentation of
    Staff draft analysis for.....
 4
 5
                    MS. HOLMAN: Okay.
                                      I....
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: .....WP22-54.
 8
 9
                    MS. HOLMAN:
                                  Okay. I apologize
10
    for....
11
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: So that's where we
12
13
    are.
14
15
                    MS. HOLMAN:
                                .....that -- I'm -- I'm
16
    not really sure what happened. But for the record my
17
    name is Kendra Holman and I'm a Wildlife Biologist with
18
    the Office of Subsistence Management. The following is
19
    a summary of Wildlife Proposal WP22-54 which was
20
    submitted by this Council and can be found on Page 31
21
    of your meeting book.
22
23
                    This proposal requests modification of
24
    the hunt area boundary for the moose in Unit 26A
25
    identified in Map 1 on Page 35 of your meeting book.
26
27
                    The proponent states that the moose
28
    hunt opportunity is particularly beneficial to the
29
    communities Atqasuk -- my apologies if I'm -- if I
30
    pronounced that wrong, and Utqiagvik that have the
31
    closest access to the hunt area. The current boundary
32
    of 156 west longitude is the 70 mile round-trip by boat
    up the Ikpikpuk River for the residents of Utqiagvik
33
34
    and....
35
36
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Can I make one
37
    correction.
38
39
                    MS. HOLMAN: Oh, yes, please.
40
41
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's 75 miles one
42
    way, and it's.....
43
44
                    MS. HOLMAN: Okay.
45
46
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: ....about 140 miles
47
    round-trip.
48
49
                    MS. HOLMAN: Okay, so 70 miles,
50
```

not.... CHAIRMAN BROWER: And that's not even reaching to the upper reaches of Ikpikpuk which is about 120 miles one way and 220 miles round-trip, which we do those things. MS. HOLMAN: Okay. Okay. CHAIRMAN BROWER: Just for.... MS. HOLMAN: I wrote that down....

CHAIRMAN BROWER:clarity.

MS. HOLMAN:thank you, Mr. Chair. So an expanded hunt area would allow moose harvest west of the Alaktak River and would be beneficial to the local community hunters that have to travel so far. The Council recommends establishing this new boundary to follow the natural landscape feature of the Alaktak River which is a tributary to the Ikpikpuk River and runs north/south to Admiralty Bay. The Alaktak River is well known by local communities and will provide a natural hunt area boundary that is easy to identify rather than the current abstract the 156 west longitude, which is very difficult to locate on the ground.

Establishing the hunt area west of the Alaktak River would help communities and families that hunt and have cabins on the Chipp River and have access to the moose hunt area. Council members relayed their experiences that encountering a moose in the area are opportunistic and, therefore, harvest is anticipated to still be low.

The regulatory history of this area. From 1991 to 1996 there was a 75 percent decline in moose population prompting stricter State regulations and the Federal Subsistence Board in 1996 closed moose hunting to -- on all Federal public in Unit 26A except in the portion of the Colville River drainage down stream from the mouth of Anaktuvuk River. The area did remain open to Federally-qualified subsistence users. In 2002 regulations were liberalized and moose hunting population has been increasing since 1998, specifically in the core areas of the Colville River drainage. State and Federal regulations were aligned at this time

as well. In 2005 the closure for non-Fed -- for non-Federally-qualified subsistence users was reviewed and maintained. At the review ADF&G suggested that the closure may no longer be necessary since the moose population at that time had reached at least 1000 animals. In 2006 the reopened Colville River drainage, down stream from and including the Chandler River to hunting areas by Alaskan residents. This was followed by the Board of Game opening a non-resident draw hunt for moose in Unit 26A in 2007. The resident bull moose season in Unit 26A was extended in 2014 with the shifting of moose season, two hunt areas were created. The Colville River drainage above and including the Anaktuvuk River drainage and the Unit 26 remainder. Later in 2014 the season was reduced in length and the draw hunt closed non-resident due to the moose population decline. In 2021 the Board deferred Wildlife Special Action Request 21-01 which requested closing Federally-public -- Federal public lands in Unit 23 and 26A to caribou and moose hunting to non-Federally-qualified users.

Prior to the 1940s moose were scarce along the North Slope, subsequently populations expanded along the limited riparian habitat of the major drainages and have become well established in the southeast portion of 26A. The northern extent of the moose population on the North Slope is thought to be limited by habitat availability. The recommended State management objectives for the state for moose in Unit 26A are a population of six to 800, a fall bull/cow ratio that is greater than or equal to 30 to 100 and a fall calf/cow ratio that is greater than or equal to 30 to 100 and to manage for greater than 20 percent yearlings in spring.

Since the late 1970s ADF&G has conducted spring aerial surveys in the major drainages of Unit 26A to assess population status and recruitment of short-yearlings. Between 1970 and 2001 the Unit 26A moose population fluctuated ranging from 294 animals to 1,535 animals -- 1,535 moose. Those numbers can be seen on Table 1 which is on Page 39 of your meeting book.

And the percen -- the period of population declines resulted from poor calf/cow survival and high adult mortality. Moose mortality was likely due to malnourishment, bacterial diseases,

mineral deficiencies, predations from wolves and bears, weather factors and competition with snowshoe hares for browse. In 2008 weights from short-yearlings average 322 pounds, which is the lightest record in Alaska and is an indicator of malnourishment.

5 6 7

Moose harvest levels have responded to population levels and regulations.

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

The pure estimate abundance of moose -the upper estimate abundance of moose was in 1991, and average harvest level was 57 moose per year. restrictive regulations implemented and harvest dropped In 1996 the use of aircraft in the area to 14 moose. was banned and moose harvest dropped to an average of four moose per year until 2004. In 2006 regulations began to be liberalized including a State draw permit and the use of aircraft to hunt moose. This lasted until 2015. Non-resident moose hunting in Unit 26A has been closed since 2014 and from 2009 to 2019 the average reported moose harvest was 3.73 per year. This can be found on Table 3, which is on Page 41 of your meeting book.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

The proposed change will have little effect in the moose population in 26A. Regulations in the affected area would change from one bull during a season of August 1st through September 1st to one moose during a season of July 1st to September 14th. proposal would provide for more opportunity with a longer season and available to harvest -- the availability to harvest a cow. The change is not expected to impact the moose population or harvesting The prohibition of harvesting a calf or cow levels. accompanied by a calf would be applicable and help to mitigate the conserv -- some of the conservation concerns.

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

While the moose population in Unit 26A is below State management objectives, adaptation of this proposal is not expected to affect the population due to very low harvest. Adoption of this proposal also increases hunting opportunity for Federally-qualified subsistence users by providing for a longer season, a more liberal harvest limit within the affected area and makes it more feasible for Federally-qualified subsistence users to reach the hunt area. Currently the number of animals reported harvested in Unit 26A is less than one percent of the population.

```
0073
 1
                    The OSM preliminary conclusion is to
   support Proposal WP22-54 with the modification to
   revise the hunt area descriptor to Unit 26A, that
    portion west of Admiralty Bay and the Alaktak River to
     155 west longitude excluding the Colville River
    drainage, one moose, however you may not take a calf or
 7
     a cow accompanied by a calf.
 8
 9
                    Thank you, Mr. Chair.
                                            Members of the
10
    Council. I'll be happy to field any questions.
11
12
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any questions -- what
13
    was your name again and your affiliation?
14
15
                    MS. HOLMAN:
                                 Mr. Chair, this is Kendra
16
    Holman. I'm a Wildlife Biologist with OSM.
17
18
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                     Thank you, Kendra.
19
    Any questions for Kendra on WP22- -- was that the
20
    executive summary?
21
22
                    MS. HOLMAN:
                                 Yes, that was the summary
23
    of the analysis, Mr. Chair.
24
25
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you.
26
27
                    MS. KIPPI: I have a question, Mr.
28
    Chair.
29
30
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        Yeah, go ahead,
31
    Wanda.
32
33
                    MS. KIPPI: Okay, thank you.
34
    proposed line, the white line and the grey line, is
35
    that including all of that area within those
36
    boundaries?
37
38
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: That white line
    that's bordering the.....
39
40
41
                    MS. HOLMAN: Mr. Chair.....
42
43
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       .....Alaktak River
44
    and then going up to the Colville River with a straight
     line on 155 west and then that rule would apply to the
45
46
    west of that, going west on Unit 26A.
                                             Kendra, does
47
    that sum it up?
48
49
                    MS. KIPPI: So that's -- is this for
```

everyone that are able to hunt within these boundaries, like Atqasuk, Wainwright, Utqiagvik, AKP, whoever's in these boundaries?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yes.

MS. HOLMAN: This proposal.....

MS. KIPPI: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, it's a -there's an existing regulation that allow for this -this is just the modification to go a little bit more
to the east, it's not changing the regulation that
already exists for -- that's already on the books.
It's only changing that 156 west where we always argue
about that for, I don't know how long, we've always
argued about why the heck 156 west anyway, you know.
So this is....

MS. HOLMAN: So, Mr. Chair....

CHAIRMAN BROWER:more like looking at the boundary of that existing regulation and moving it a little bit more to the east, you know, (In Native).

(In Native)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: It should be access for Federally-qualified subsistence users and not -and sometimes I put this as frank as I can be, is, that 156 west to me has always constituted some arbitrary line but, you know, we will have that argument on what's arbitrary and what's not with biologists, but to allow for Federally-qualified subsistence users in that area. And we might need to, you know, it's important to look at this regulation because there are some languages, whether it's Federal land or State land about residents because there's always been some willingness for the State regulators, for them to want us to align regulations and sometimes we got to be careful about aligning regulation and making sure that rural priorities are still being implemented because these population estimates are not very much. minute they get to a little bit high numbers they start saying, oh, we're going to allocate this much for the resident and they $\ensuremath{\text{--}}$ you got to be careful about how we understand what resident means, means resident of the

State or resident in a rural community, which is on Federal public lands, especially when it is a closure bordering on conservative management, opening, declining and those kind of things.

4 5 6

7

9 10

11

12

13

So the State really needs to think about when they do those kind of things to look at Tier hunts in State land to be very conservative in the approach when -- you know Tier hunts are almost analogous to starting to look at it as who needs it the most type thing, almost a rural resident and I never, ever see a Tier hunt until it's time to close for everybody. And, to me, that's not sustained yield management.

14 15 16

17 18

Anyway, I always get to start thinking about what all of these things mean and how they're wordsmithed, sometimes it's dangerous and we need to be very careful. Anyway.

19 20 21

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair, this is Eva.

22 23

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead.

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. Just wanted to respond to Wanda's question to clarify. So this is a Federal subsistence proposal and so this proposal change, if adopted, would apply to only Federally-qualified subsistence users in the region and that includes for all the Federal lands that make up 26A are primarily the National Petroleum Reserve, BLM lands, and this proposal is -- current regulations and this proposal applies to residents of Unit 26, rural residents of Unit 26 and also Point Hope and Anaktuvuk Pass have customary and traditional use determination for Unit 26A. So you're correct, Wanda, that all the communities in the North Slope region would have access to hunt under these regulations on Federal land.

39 40 41

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

42 43

MS. KIPPI: Thank you, Eva.

44 45

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. (In 46

Native)

47

48 MS. KIPPI: (In Native)

49

```
0076
 1
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      Okav.
                                               Introduction
 2
    and presentation of Staff analysis, okay, report on the
    Board consultations, A, tribes.
 4
 5
                                 Camai, Gordon. This is
                    MR. LIND:
 6
    Orville Lind, Native Liaison for Office of Subsistence
 7
    Management. During the consultation session held in
    August we did not have any questions or comments on
 8
 9
    WP22-54.
10
11
                    Quyana, thank you.
12
13
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's good to hear you
14
    Orville.
15
16
                    MR. LIND: Good to hear you, too, Sir.
17
18
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       And then that leads
19
    me to go down to report on Board and consultation from
20
    ANCSA Corporations.
21
22
                    MR. OOMITTUK:
                                       Mr.
                                            Chair,
                                                     so is
23
    this....
24
25
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                         It looks like we
26
    don't have any representation or comments.....
27
28
                    MR. OOMITTUK: .....an.....
29
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
30
                                      .....created by the
31
    ANCSA Corporations, village corporations or regional
32
     corporations and it's pretty silent.
33
34
                    MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Did
35
    we lose Orville.
36
37
                    MR. LIND:
                                  Sorry,
                                         I was on mute.
38
     Again, Orville Lind, Native Liaison, OSM. We did not
39
    have any questions or comments from ANCSA Corporations.
40
41
                    Quyana, thank you.
42
43
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. Item 2,
44
     agency comments ADF&G.
45
46
                    MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair, this is Alaska
47
     Department of Fish and Game, Carmen Daggett, for the
48
     record.
             Alaska Department of Fish and Game opposes
49
     changing the border for any moose hunt in GMU26A, Game
```

```
0077
 1
    Management Unit 26A because it will result
 2
    misalignment in of Federal and State regulations.
 3
 4
                    Thank you.
 5
 6
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Thank you, Carmen.
 7
    Agency comments, B, Federal.
 8
 9
                    (No comments)
10
11
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any Federal comments.
12
13
                    (No comments)
14
15
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Maybe you're on mute
16
    or maybe there aren't any Federal folks.
17
18
                    (No comments)
19
20
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: We'll go to Item C,
21
    tribal, any tribal comments.
22
23
                    (No comments)
24
25
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: I know I'm not sure
26
    if ICAS is still on, it's your opportunity to make a
27
    comment on this proposal now exists, or any Native
28
    village official.
29
30
                    (No comments)
31
32
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: We'll move down the
    line, Item 4 is advisory group comments, A, other
33
34
    Regional Advisory Councils.
35
36
                    MS. PATTON:
                                   Thank you, Mr. Chair
37
    and....
38
39
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair.
40
41
                    MS. PATTON: ....Council.
42
    this proposal only affects the North Slope region no
43
    other Councils have made any recommendations on this.
    And I haven't heard back from any Fish and Game
44
    Advisory Committees, if anyone had a comment on this,
45
46
    if there's anybody online with us today from a local
47
    Fish and Game AC.
48
49
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva.
```

```
0078
 1
                    MS. PATTON: And then the....
 2
 3
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair.
 4
 5
                    MS. PATTON: ....SRC.....
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Did somebody call for
 8
     the Chair -- yeah, go ahead, is that Earl.
 9
10
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah.
                                            I was off mute
11
     and I was trying to get back on about the tribes.
12
13
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, okay....
14
15
                    MR. WILLIAMS:
                                    Anyway, I want to say
     something about moose -- earlier, about the tribes, I
16
17
    know when I went to their meeting they spoke up about
18
    moose, not much -- the moose around here -- the young
19
    boys they get moose here, but it's quite a ways out,
20
    they say, so the moose population is you know up and
21
    down but I just wanted to speak for the tribe and we're
22
    still -- we are still hunkered down and they say
23
    there's moose up further north, that's about it. I just
24
     wanted to -- sorry, I was on mute.
25
26
                    Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
27
28
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                         All right, Earl.
29
     Quyanaq, and that would be tribal comments under C.
30
    And I'm going to 4C, Subsistence Resource Commissions.
31
32
                    MS. PATTON:
                                  Thank you, Mr. Chair and
33
    Council. Because Anaktuvuk Pass does hunt -- does have
34
    C&T to hunt for moose in Unit 26A, and the SRC, the
35
    National Park Service Subsistence Resource Commission
    does encompass Anaktuvuk Pass, however, their meeting
36
37
    is coming up in a couple weeks here so they have not
38
    met yet.
39
40
                    Thank you, Mr. Chair.
41
42
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Thank you, Eva.
                                                         F,
43
     summary of written public comments.
44
45
                    MS. HOLMAN: Mr. Chair, this is Kendra
46
    Holman.
             There were no written public comments received
47
     for this proposal.
48
49
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. I'm going
```

to open the floor to public testimony, is there any member of the public wishing to provide public comment on this proposed change to the regulation.

MR. DEWITT: This is Neil DeWitt, I would like to make a comment if I could, please.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Neil.

MR. DEWITT: All right. I sit on the Western Arctic Caribou Herd Working Group. I also sit on the Anchorage AC. My comment that I would like to make is kind of the same for both caribou and for moose. By limiting or closing the lands for non-Federally-qualified subsistence users you're causing the transporters and the other people that come up there hunting to get put into an area and as we heard in the last two days in the Northwest ARC meeting, the corridor that's along the Noatak, which I know is not guys' area, but the same thing is actually These people are getting lined up and happening. they're keeping your animals from getting to you in your areas so if you got rid of your non-qualified subsistence user in the areas, your population is not being hurt any, from what we've heard testimony on, and it's allowing those people, the non-Federally folks to spread out more instead of being in one area and stopping migrations.

 We also heard something that, you know, I'm not sure, well, we're on the moose but I'm not going to be able to be here probably when you do the caribou, I'd like to say, if I could -- I know it's out of point right now, but it kind of ties into it, is earlier in the meeting we heard....

 $\label{eq:MS.PATTON: Mr. Chair, if I may interject.} \\ \text{Ms. PATTON: Mr. Chair, if I may interject.}$

MR. DEWITT:earlier in.....

MS. PATTON: We are on Proposal WP22-54 and it is addressing only moose for Unit 26A so we're not addressing the Wildlife Special Action Request at this time and the Council procedures are, at this time we can only comment on the proposal before the Council. So apologies, this is not the Wildlife Special Action request but a proposal specific only to the Federal subsistence moose boundaries in Unit 26A.

0800 1 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2 3 MS. DEWITT: I can go along with that. 4 I was asking for latitude, I'm sorry. 5 6 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Well, I think some 7 proposals will come up where, I think, those comments 8 will be useful. 9 10 MR. DEWITT: You're correct, but I don't 11 know if I'll be able to attend the meeting because I 12 have another meeting that I have to get on here pretty 13 quick, so that's the only reason I was asking for the 14 latitude to make that comment on the record at this 15 point. But go ahead, I'll refer back to you, thank you for your time. 16 17 18 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, thank you and, 19 you know, trying not to mix up the type of comments 20 that we're trying to generate from the general public 21 on this particular proposal and I understand your time 22 constraints and I think the proposal that you're going 23 to -- that you're wanting to comment on will come up on 24 the agenda and I apologize if you might not be able to 25 make that. I hope you provide written comments and I 26 think this process will go on into 2022 and eventually 27 when the Federal Board of Game takes this up somewhere 28 in 2022. 29 30 MR. DEWITT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 31 appreciate you guys' time. I did not and I cannot, for 32 some reason, download your material and that's why I was kind of uncertain when it was going to come up or 33 34 when in your meeting you were going to be -- but as I 35 said I have another meeting I have to get to so I'll 36 probably be cutting off here soon again. 37 38 Thank you. 39 Yeah, thank you for 40 CHAIRMAN BROWER: 41 participating. Any other.... 42 43 MR. DEWITT: You're welcome. 44 45 CHAIRMAN BROWER:public testimony 46 on this proposed change to an existing regulation to 47 move the boundary. 48

(No comments)

49

```
0081
 1
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's just open to
 2
   public testimony.
 3
 4
                    (No comments)
 5
 6
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. So we'll
 7
    keep the public testimony open until we go through this
    and make sure we acknowledge those that may want to
    provide public testimony on this. No. 7 Regional
 9
10
    Council recommendations and having heard the advisory
11
    group comments, agency comments and from OSM's Staff
12
    analysis report on Board's consultations with the
13
    tribes and ANCSA Corporations and now leads me to
14
    what's the wish of the Council for WP22-54. What's the
15
    wish of the Council.
16
17
                    MR. OOMITTUK:
                                   This action item, make
18
    amotion to approve WP22-54 moose Unit 26A revise hunt
19
20
                                      There's a motion on
21
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
22
    the floor from Steve Oomittuk, Point Hope, to approve
23
    WP22-54.
24
25
                    MR. REXFORD: Second. This is Eddie.
26
27
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Seconded, is that
28
   Eddie.
29
30
                    MR. REXFORD: Roger.
31
32
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: And seconded from
33
    Kaktovik, Eddie Rexford.
34
35
                    MR. REXFORD: Yes, it is.
36
37
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any discussions.
38
39
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Question.
40
                                         Question's
41
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
    called for, all those in favor of WP22-54 signify by
42
43
    saying aye.
44
45
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
46
47
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed
48
    same sign.
49
```

0082 1 (No opposing votes) 2 3 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, the 4 aye's have it. Eva, does that.... 5 6 MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair..... 7 8 CHAIRMAN BROWER:conclude WP22-54 9 for it's action item purposes. 10 11 MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council. If 12 we could, just get a few words from the Council, your 13 justification for supporting this proposal. That helps 14 to clarify the Council's intent for the Board. Thank 15 vou. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BROWER: In terms of that

discussion period, I know we went through extensive dialogue earlier about, through the executive summary and the presentation in -- but we did provide some justification there. The long distance between these areas and the villages, in particular to Alaktak, Chipp, and Ikpikpuk, by moving it over to -- the meanders on Alaktak River and then following 155 allows those subsistence qualified users that make that trek to these areas at extreme burden to them to get to these areas to conduct subsistence activities. very high cost in doing that, I often, myself, access these areas and, you know, I'm buying \$700 worth of fuel to get this far and I want to be successful to the maximum extent possible to provide food on the table on these subsistence resources. The population itself, we heard from the biologist, it's trying to rebound and getting this far in these areas, I think it's important to recognize that it's just an opportunity. We don't hunt moose all the time and we might be in an area where one moose might come down the river and it's not a normal habitat for it to be in, they're way up in the 140 mile range in the upper Ikpikpuk Valley of the Willows, Key Creek, Maybe Creek, all of those that are in excess of 130 miles from Barrow, one way, and once in awhile we might see one and we're about 75 miles one way in these Chipp River areas and I think that's important to recognize these food resources and that there is a customary and traditional determination made for us on these.

46 for us on 47

And for many, many, many years, maybe it seems like over decades, we've seen this line 156

49 50

48

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

44

west that's just -- it kind of puts us out of reach, for some of us that are in these areas, it excludes some subsistence qualified users while including the majority of subsistence users going west. And it's important to recognize that a few of us on that border, you know, that border -- I don't even know, you know, was it just primarily to exclude Federally-qualified subsistence users along the Ikpikpuk and Chipp River, it seems that way. We know that moose go up and down and drastically decline through health and sickness and things like that but it's important to recognize that.

I offer that as some justification and offer my support in this area so.

There's already existing regulations that allow for the hunt of moose for Federally-qualified users but you are discriminating an area for no other reason in my view, other than to disqualify them by using 156 west.

Thank you.

Any other testimony on justification.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I hope that is helpful, Eva, in providing some discussion and justification on this.

MS. PATTON: Yes, great, thank you, Mr. Chair. Good discussion with the Council and your detailed feedback there will help the Board understand the Council's recommendation.

I did want to ask one point of clarification for the Council. As we discussed in our pre-meeting and I think it got lost at the tail and here and exchange, if the Council was interested in the OSM recommended modification. And that was simply to add to the hunt descriptor, to add, Admiralty Bay, into the description. And the Council has passed the proposal but if you were interested into adding that detail into the hunt description.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. I would offer that the OSM, that added Admiralty Bay, describe that boundary and -- of Admiralty Bay because Admiralty Bay

is a huge, huge bay, and so if that requested modification -- if you want to entertain that, please describe the Admiralty Bay portion because we're understanding the meander from the mouth of Alaktak and its meander and then where it connects to the Ikpikpuk, that then 155 -- I think it's 155 or 154 to the -- following up to the Colville River.

OSM.

MS. HOLMAN: Mr. Chair, this is Kendra Holman. I think this will answer your question. We added in the Admiralty Bay as part of the descriptor as to where the Alaktak River goes in so it would be the portion of Admiralty Bay west of where the two come together.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: I mean that's still kind of confusing to me. You would need to draw a line to show, because I see where that little hook goes in — it doesn't actually go to Admiralty Bay, that's (In Native), it's a small bay where the Alaktak River begins. And then there's a mouth of the (In Native) that goes into Admiralty Bay. And I'm thinking that you're saying that the Admiralty Bay west, meaning on the eastern portion of all of the land mass within Admiralty Bay up to Tangent Bay west, would that describe that, or are you saying from the mouth of (In Native) where it encounters Admiralty Bay and then go west from there.

Because Admiralty Bay.....

MS. HOLMAN: So....

 CHAIRMAN BROWER:is a pretty huge area and I would think that it would apply to following the shoreline up to Tangent Point near the -- where the beginning of the islands and that's west, going west of Admiralty Bay.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Hi, Mr. Chair, this is Lisa Grediagin and I can help answer your question. So you're looking at the map on Page 35 of your meeting book and so you can see that white line goes to the mouth of the river emptying into Admiralty Bay but there's still a distance between that white line and the edge of, you know, the Unit 26A hunt -- or unit. And so OSM's just trying to complete the hunt area

descriptor to make it, you know, a full description. So I can see what you're getting at and OSM was hoping to make another map that included this description but it might be more clear if we say west of and including Admiralty Bay, but basically the idea is if you just continue that white line you see on Page 35 of your map along the eastern edge of Admiralty Bay all the way up to the Unit 26 border.

So I think it might be a little more clear to say west of and including Admiralty Bay.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Right, that's what I'm getting at, what you just said, now, I understand it, on the eastern shore up to the border which is near Tangent Point, is pretty close to the border there.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yep.

 CHAIRMAN BROWER: I don't think the moose are going to go way out there into the tussocks and things like that but they could, who knows. So if that is the descriptor that you're saying, then I think we can support that as a modification to the motion that was just adopted. So maybe -- I'll say it again, to entertain a motion to amend the main motion to include the descriptor from the Alaktak River bordering the east boundary of Admiralty Bay up to the Unit 26A border, which would take it up to Tangent Point, and then is included from there, west.

Anybody want to entertain that motion.

MR. OOMITTUK: So moved, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a motion by Steve Oomittuk to amend the....

MR. WILLIAMS: Second by Earl.

CHAIRMAN BROWER:to include the eastern shoreline of Admiralty Bay to the Unit 26A border that includes all of Adm.....

MR. WILLIAMS: Second by Earl.

47 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Is that a second

48 Earl?

```
0086
 1
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
 2
 3
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                             All right,
 4
    discussion. We're under.....
 5
 6
                    MS. KIPPI: Question.
 7
 8
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: ....discussion now,
 9
     there's been a motion and seconded -- go ahead.
10
11
                    MS. KIPPI: Question.
12
13
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                         Question's
14
                  All those in favor of amending the main
    called for.
15
    motion to include that portion of Admiralty Bay from
    Alaktak to Admiralty Bay bordering the eastern boundary
16
17
    of Admiralty Bay to the Unit 26A border signify by
18
    saying yes.
19
20
                    IN UNISON: Yes.
21
22
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed,
23
    say no.
24
25
                    (No opposing votes)
26
27
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      The aye's have it.
28
     The motion is included to amend that. Thank you.
29
    Thank you, Eva.
30
31
                    MS. PATTON: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair
32
    and Council. Excellent discussion and clarification of
33
    the boundaries there. And just very quickly, before we
34
    get on to the next proposal before the Council, just
35
    wanted to note, again, appreciate public participation
36
    in the Council's meeting and, apologies, once we get
37
    into proposals we have to focus exclusively on the
38
    proposal for the Council process. But did want to note
39
    that as we announced this morning, there will be public
40
    hearings for the WSA21-01. There is a news release out
41
    now for one public hearing on November 17th and then
42
    the North Slope Council will also confirm a good date
43
        the North Slope region so there will be multiple
44
    public hearings coming up, the first of which is
    November 17th so there'll be additional opportunity for
45
46
    comment on that Special Action Request at that time as
47
    well.
48
49
                    So thank you, Mr. Chair, just wanted to
```

```
0087
    acknowledge public.
 2
 3
                    Thank you.
 4
 5
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva.
 6
    And....
 7
 8
                    MS. PATTON: And....
 9
10
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        ....keep us on
11
    track, too, if we're missing any portion of the need
    for discussion and justification and deliberation just
12
13
    correct us as we need to provide that.
14
15
                    MS. PATTON: Great, thank you, Mr.
16
    Chair.
17
18
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: The next proposal is
19
    WP22-55, muskox, Unit 26A, establishing a hunt. You'll
20
    find that on Page 44.
21
22
                    MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and
23
    Council. And, again, I believe we may have some
24
    current updates if we have any of our State or Federal
    biologists that want to provide the Council with the
25
26
    latest data on muskox for Unit 26A at this time before
27
    we get into the analysis.
28
29
                   MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair and Council,
30
    I'm happy to.....
31
32
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead.
33
34
                    MS. DAGGETT: ....provide the most
35
    current information for the western portion of 26A
36
    muskox population. This is Carmen, again.
37
38
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Carmen.
39
40
                    MS. DAGGETT: Can you hear me?
41
42
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, we're
43
    listening, it's going to be interesting probably.
44
45
                    MS. DAGGETT: Okay. So, thank you, Mr.
46
    Chair and
                 Council.
                            Carmen
                                     Daggett with Alaska
47
    Department of Fish and Game, for the record.
48
49
                    In 2020 we did a survey of the Cape
50
```

Thompson muskox population and we included the expanded area in that survey. The population in Game Management Unit 26A for that western portion was 455 muskox with a 95 percent confidence interval between 350 and 631. And that survey was conducted in the spring of 2020. That number can be compared against the 2016 survey where we found 253 muskox in the same area.

As a result of this increase, the proposal was submitted to the Board of Game and is in process through the Board of Game. And just a descriptor here, this is the western portion of 26A. There's two populations in 26A and this one -- this hunt only pertains to the western portion.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. If there's any questions.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Questions to Carmen on the muskox population for Unit 26A, and where is the divide between the two populations. Is there a defined area?

MS. DAGGETT: So currently the divider for this area would be largely west of the Ikpikpuk area, so it would be west of that boundary that you hate. I think in the proposal there is a reference to the Topagoruk River as a dividing line down to the Colville. So that's kind of the area we're talking about, the western -- the west of the Ikpikpuk, west of that western 156 longitude sort of reference area that we were just talking about in the moose proposal, but west of that, yep.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Right. And then we had the same deliberation about west 156, I don't hate the number, I just have some disdain to it probably but -- because I use 156 for some other stuff so I like it for that. But it is important to recognize, you know, there are muskox in our area in that the -- that boundary's seldom reached by the other sub-population that is primarily in Unit 26C and B. And it's important -- and you might have information on the frequency of that other population reaching 156 west and why 156 west is that defined right there. I mean it's important to think about the availability of subsistence resources and not make outlaws out of a few people because of a number and, you know, we're pretty much law abiding citizens and, you know, I could recall

when my old man was alive and he would call and I was working with Geoff Carroll at the time, there was about 10 muskox that refused to leave the camp and sometimes they would bluff the old man and they were almost belligerent type of animal and would also prevent him from harvesting the caribou and we were fevorishily 6 7 trying to work to get the authorization to shoot one because it was going on a month, and he had continued through radio and VHF and see what can be done about 9 10 this and Geoff authorized a one-time special action to 11 go ahead and shoot it, and shoot one of those that was 12 hanging around the cabin. And then we finally said to him, yeah, ADF&G said go ahead you can do that, and 13 14 then he said, oh, it's okay, it took off last night, 15 after one month of harassing him.

16 17

(Laughter)

18 19

20

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So it was heart wrenching to hear those kind of things. Anyway, I'll leave it at that.

212223

 ${\tt MS.}$ DAGGETT: ${\tt Mr.}$ Chair, may I ${\tt make}$ a brief correction here.

242526

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead.

2728

29

30

31

32

33

MS. DAGGETT: So the proposal suggests the same line as you're requesting for the moose proposal, I was mistaken when I said that. So I just want to clarify that the boundary is west of the Alaktak River following the 155 south to the 26A border. I just want to make sure we're on the same page on that before we get into anything else.

34 35

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.

36 37 38

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair.

39 40

CHAIRMAN BROWER: That's -- yeah, go ahead.

41 42 43

44

45

MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair, this is Steve. Out of curiosity what's the population of that area, if you want to open that for one muskox, what is the population within that area.

46 47

48 MS. DAGGETT: Through the Chair to 49 Steve Oomittuk.

```
0090
 1
                   MR. OOMITTUK: Yes.
 2
 3
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Isn't it -- go ahead,
 4
    Carmen.
 5
 6
                    MS. DAGGETT: So the current population
 7
    in that region is 455 muskox in 26A and then there's
    also some that are in Unit 23 but they would not be
    necessarily included in this hunt, they would be
 9
10
    included in the Unit 23 hunts. So they would be
11
    separate from each other. The only reason why I say
12
    that....
13
14
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Yes.
15
                                 .....Steve is because I
16
                    MS. DAGGETT:
    know you guys are 23 there -- or you're actually in 23
17
18
    in Point Hope so this would be a bit north of you,
19
    Steve. But 455 is the number.
20
21
                    MR. OOMITTUK:
                                   Yes, thank you.
22
    what is the population in 23, Unit 23 area, muskox,
23
    does that include Krusenstern and Kivalina and Noatak
24
    area, Point Hope, is that about 700, is that what I
25
    heard the last time.
26
                                   Through the Chair to
27
                    MS. DAGGETT:
28
   Member Oomittuk. I believe it's more like 900-and
29
    some. I can get you the exact number in a minute here
30
    but it's a bit more than 700, a fair amount more than
31
    700....
32
33
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Okay.
34
35
                    MS. DAGGETT: .....for the total area.
36
37
                    MR. OOMITTUK:
                                       Thank you.
                                                      You
38
    know....
39
40
                    MS. DAGGETT: But give me a minute and
41
    we'll get you the.....
42
43
                    MR. OOMITTUK: .....you know and.....
44
45
                    MS. DAGGETT: ....exact number.
46
47
                    MR. OOMITTUK: You know we had the same
48
    concerns, you know, we're opening it for Unit 26A for
    one muskox and, you know, muskox was introduced back
49
```

into our area in the '70s and it has grown in numbers and how it's always been a nuisance whether they come into our communities or keep the caribou away and -and, you know, we wanted to open the season up for 23, Unit 23 also because of the abundance of muskox and yet they won't allow that. But here we're going to open it up for population area with -- of 400 and, yet, they don't want us to open up the -- you know, muskox hunting to 26 with a population of over 900. And, you know, I know we talked about it last time in our last meeting and, you know, it's -- you know, muskox was introduced back into our area in the '70s and the numbers have climbed up and now we see our caribou population dropping down and their migration routes, where we see different sorts of animals coming in, you know, caribou has always been one of our top priorities in a lot of our Unit 23 area, especially the further inland communities living on the coast. And sometimes the muskox can be a big nuisance and yet we don't have a hunting season in Unit 23 for muskox because they say the numbers are low and here we're going through a population of 400, it's only one muskox, but, you know, as subsistence hunters, you know, muskox is good eating and the priority should be the residents.

You know we see a lot of muskox in our area and we're very fortunate that the caribou are finally coming back more and spending more time because the muskox is moving further to the east.

But, thank you, I just wanted to hear those numbers.

MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair, may I please address Steve Oomittuk's question here.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Please do so, Carmen, thank you, and thank you, Steve.

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Steve for your comment. I just want to clarify there are two muskox hunts, they're Tier II muskox hunts in Unit 23. There's a TX107, which is actually that portion north and west of the Kobuk River drainage, and I believe it includes the area relatively close to Point Hope and it's August 1 through March 15th. The Tier II permits have to be drawn for -- applied for, sorry, in the falltime and once they're applied for a drawing permit is pulled for just residents that apply for that. So

```
0092
    there is a hunt available to you guys relative close
    for muskox in Unit 23 and then there's also the TX107
    hunt that is done on the Seward Peninsula area, too,
    with the same hunt dates. So just so you're aware
    there is some opportunity for Unit 23 residents to hunt
    muskox, and there has been for awhile. This proposal
 6
 7
    would seek to open it up into 26A as well.
 9
                    Let me know if you....
10
11
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Okay, thank you.
12
     I....
13
14
                    MS. DAGGETT:
                                    .....have any other
15
     questions and.....
16
17
                    MR. OOMITTUK:
                                   .....and I do -- yeah,
18
     I....
19
20
                    MS. DAGGETT: ....thanks for your
21
    comments.
22
23
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, I have a question
24
     on that and, you know, it's by permit so it can be
25
    whether you're a resident or a non-resident or, you
26
    know, I would think if there's going to be one muskox,
27
     I would think that residents or subsistence hunters
    would have top priority and not putting it up for a
28
29
    permit type thing, like a lottery, or how are you going
30
    to do that. You know you're talking one muskox and no
31
     open season up in our area and they want to do it by
    permit and by lottery when that just doesn't sound
32
     right, you know, our subsistence hunters love to eat
33
34
    muskox also and residents should have top priority
35
     especially if you're only going to allow one.
36
37
                    MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair.....
38
39
                    MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chair, this is Eddie.
40
41
                    MS. DAGGETT:
                                 ....to....
42
43
                    MR. REXFORD: Hello, Mr. Chair, this is
44
    Eddie.
45
46
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead. Go ahead,
47
    Eddie.
48
49
                    MR. REXFORD:
                                 Yeah, I probably need a
50
```

```
0093
    little clarification. On the 26A, is there a limit to
    just one hunt like Steve is alluding to or is that a
    mis-quote. What's the actual limit on 26A for muskox
    hunt there. I'm surprised it's only one but that might
 5
    be a miss-communication there.
 6
 7
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, thank....
 8
 9
                    MS. DAGGETT: Through the Chair to.....
10
11
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: .....you Eddie.....
12
13
                    MS. DAGGETT: ....Mr. Oomittuk and
14
    Eddie....
15
16
                    CHAIRMAN
                                BROWER:
                                               ....for a
17
     clarification, that would go to Carmen.
18
19
                    REPORTER: Okay, I'm need one person to
20
     talk at a time, please, for the recording. Thank you.
21
22
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Tina. Was
23
     that you Carmen.
24
25
                    MS. DAGGETT: Yes, Sir.
26
27
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead,
    Carmen, if you can....
28
29
30
                    MS. DAGGETT:
                                 Thank you.
31
32
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       .....provide the
33
    explanation.
34
35
                    MS. DAGGETT: Sure. To address Steve's
36
     question first and then I'll answer Eddie's question as
37
     well. So the Tier II hunt is for residents only. Non-
     residents are not included in a Tier II hunt. And Tier
38
39
     II hunt is what is currently in Unit 23 for muskox.
    And because of the -- it depends on what they establish
40
41
    for amount necessary for subsistence for 26A, that's
42
    kind of to be determined by the Board, but if the
43
    amount necessary for subsistence is -- if there doesn't
44
    seem to be enough to go around for everybody that would
    need it, for amount necessary for subsistence, then a
45
46
    Tier II hunt is likely to be considered and is likely
47
    to be what is implemented in this area. That decision
48
     is made by the Board of Game, that is not a decision
49
     that I can make. However, for this proposal, and in
```

```
0094
    this context with the low number of animals available,
     it is very possible that a Tier II hunt is what's going
     to be implemented and that would be for residents only.
    And they would go through an application process, the
 5
    people who are interested, the residents that are
    interested in applying for those permits would go
 6
 7
    through that application process and have to fill out a
    questionnaire about how much they spend on fuel, and
    how often they use the resources, and how often they
 9
10
    use resources in that area besides muskox, and all
11
    those sorts of things and then they're given points and
12
    then there's a drawing based off of those number of
13
    points from the people who apply.
14
15
                    So that's kind of a general summary of
16
    how the Tier II process works for muskox and that's how
17
     it's been used in Unit 23.
18
19
                    Eddie's question.
                                       So per hunter, per
20
     year, the bag limit would be one. That's not to say
21
     that everybody -- that there would only be one allowed
22
    to be for everybody. The difference between bag
23
     limit....
24
25
                     (Teleconference
                                         interference
26
    participants not muted)
27
28
                    MS. DAGGETT:
                                  .....and how many would
29
    be able to be taken so -- just so you're kind of aware
30
     of the difference between the bag limit per hunter per
31
     year is one. And I believe the quota, or....
32
33
                     (Teleconference
                                         interference
34
    participants not muted)
35
36
                    MS. DAGGETT:
                                 .....how many that would
37
    be taken overall is -- I think it was like six to (ph)
38
     (indiscernible - background noise) .....
39
40
                     (Teleconference
                                         interference
41
    participants not muted)
42
```

MS. DAGGETT:that would be -- just so you're aware. If there's any further questions (indiscernible - breaking up)

43

44

45

46 47

48

REPORTER: Hold on. Hold on.

MR. OOMITTUK: Yes, Mr. Chair, I'm 50

```
0095
    getting a lot of static in the background, it's kind of
    hard to hear.
 2
 3
 4
                    REPORTER: So, please.....
 5
 6
                    MR. OOMITTUK:
                                     I didn't hear
 7
     numbers, what the bag limit and the actual quota....
 8
 9
                    REPORTER:
                                Okay, Steve, I didn't hear
10
    her either, so Steve -- Steve, I can't hear you either
11
     right now. Steve, I'm sorry to interrupt, but I can't
12
    hear you either right now. So this is Tina, the court
                If people could take a minute and look at
13
     reporter.
14
    their phone, put yourself on mute because -- so I can
15
    hear Carmen and I can hear Steve, because right now I'm
16
    not picking them up on the recording. I'd appreciate
17
     that, it's star, six, or mute.
18
19
                    Thank you.
20
21
                    Go ahead, please, Steve.
22
23
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yes, thank you, Tina.
24
25
                    REPORTER:
                               Okay, thank you.
     Steve wanted to continue, or Carmen.
26
27
28
                     (No comments)
29
30
                    REPORTER: Carmen, did you want to go.
31
32
                     (No comments)
33
34
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Steve are you on,
35
    this is Gordon.
36
37
                     (No comments)
38
39
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        We may have lost
40
     Steve.
41
42
                    MR. OOMITTUK:
                                    Okay, I'm sorry about
43
     that....
44
45
                    MS. ITTA: Well, Mr. Chair, Martha.
46
47
                    MR. OOMITTUK:
                                    .....I couldn't get it
48
     out of mute.
                    I had to find the mute button on the
49
    phone, it's a digital thing, it went back to -- but
50
```

```
when I looked at the draft Staff analysis of WP22-55
    and the issues and it goes to in Federal regulations
    Unit 26A muskox, proposed Federal regulations, 26A
    border, one muskox, August 1 to March 15th, no Federal
 5
    open season. As just a reminder, that's what I'm
 6
    reading on that.
 7
 8
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you....
 9
10
                    MS. HOLMAN: This is Kendra....
11
12
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: .....Steve. And then
13
    we'll go....
14
15
                    MS. HOLMAN: .....Mr. Chair.....
16
17
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead,
                                                       is
18
    that Carmen.
19
20
                    MS. HOLMAN:
                                 This is Kendra with OSM.
    So what was being read about Unit 26A and no Federal
21
    open season is the existing -- is the existing Federal
22
23
    regulation, the proposed Federal regulation when I do
    the rest of the analysis, I'll be able to -- that'll
24
    kind of explain this second portion, this 26A, west of
25
26
    the Alaktak River following west 155 south to the Unit
27
    26A border, one muskox. That'll be explained a little
28
    bit more with the analysis. And so if it needs more
29
    clarifying after that, go ahead and ask.
30
31
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you.
32
33
                    (Teleconference
                                        interference
34
    participants not muted - typing)
35
36
                    MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair, this is Carmen
37
    with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
38
    wanted to reiterate the point to answer Steve and
39
    Eddie's question about bag limit versus quota.
40
41
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead.
42
43
                    MS. DAGGETT: So there's a bag limit of
44
    one muskox per hunter, that's what's suggested in this
    proposal.
45
               However, there would be a limit of six
46
    muskox being able to take in this hunt quota, a quota
47
    of six muskox to be taken. And it's likely that we
48
    would issue more permits than that to guarantee that
```

six muskox would be taken. Just so that you're aware

0096

49

that there's a bag limit, how much a hunter is able to take per year versus how many animals can be taken out of the population in a year by everybody in that area.

5 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MR. OOMITTUK: Okay, thank you for clarifying that. I have a better understanding about it now. When you say -- yeah, thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. I know this has been a very controversial and.....

MR. REXFORD: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER:we haven't seen a muskox hunt in a small window of opportunity that we keep hearing and I'm pretty sure it's going to be highly regulated even for the Federally-qualified users.

Martha.

 MS. ITTA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just wanted to -- I'm trying to understand what you guys are talking about. As for Nuiqsut -- I'm sorry I didn't get my meeting materials, you guys are on the muskox Unit for 26A, to establish a hunt, right; am I correct, on the agenda?

REPORTER: Yes.

MS. DAGGETT: Yes, you're correct.

MS. ITTA: Okay. Thank you for clarifying that on what it means to bag -- be able to bag one muskox but then able to get at least six of them throughout the whole time it's open. For Nuigsut, you know, it's kind of a problem with our caribou and all that and the population is really growing. We used to have only maybe three to five around our area and now there is over 40, maybe 50 and they're in various places and the numbers are just growing and we're seeing -- you know the reports that I get talking to hunters, you know, they're -- they're kind of a problem and, you know, it would be nice for our community to be able to get more than one because our community do eat And as far as I know hunting muskox, we're being told that we're not able to hunt them and I'm

2

really hoping that this can go forward for Nuiqsut to be able to hunt those because they do eat them and we do need to alleviate the caribou issue.

4 5

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Martha. And I think those are important comments. Because I think ADF&G makes distinctions on several population areas, that's why we argue over 156 west because that constituents the eastern population boundary, suppose, versus the western population existence, and then the others that are over there by Point Hope and Unit 23 on the other side of the Brooks Range, some population over there too. It might be important to talk with Carmen, because I think this was something, quite frankly, maybe a conversation between Wanda and Carmen about exactly the same thing that you're talking about, the proximity of muskox in caribou areas and their increasing numbers and things like that. might be able to make a game plan to introduce a proposal. Because these are by proposal, and I don't think this proposal affects, and I might have to be corrected, the eastern population which is your neck of the woods and Kaktovik, unless there's a mid-population and the far east population over there in Kaktovik, but I think you guys are on the same population. And that same population boundary, the western boundary of it where they're categorized as the eastern population of muskox starts at 156 and we're trying to look at how we could just move that a little bit so that we could have an opportunity. At least for those that are around the 156 boundary. That it just seems that it -- it discriminates against the western populated areas with its people.

39

That's my own thoughts. Because we see the muskox too. We see them. I hope that helps, Martha, and if I'm wrong, Carmen, you can correct me too.

44

45

MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just have a little bit more information to add to that but you did a pretty good job of summarizing it. But there's one more bit of information I think Martha will be really interested in knowing, can I add that.

46 47 48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Have at it.

MS. DAGGETT: So thank you for your interest, Martha. And the North Slope Borough Wildlife Department just recently submitted a proposal as an agenda change request to the Board of Game to open the hunt in your area for muskox. Gordon is 100 percent correct that this proposal does not cover that area. There are two different populations and are going to probably end up being under slightly different regulations just because of the survey area boundaries and because of the way the population of muskox are not really related to each other. So just so that you're aware, there is a proposal already in to the Board of Game. I believe they're going to talk about it in the next couple of weeks to see if they're going to consider it under the agenda change request process.

So just so you kind of have an idea of -- that that's already been submitted and hopefully it will be taken up but that's in process already. So thank you very much for your interest and you might want to follow that Board of Game work session meeting discussion if you want to figure out how that turns out. And I can give you that information later Martha.

Thanks.

MS. ITTA: Thank you, Carmen. And thank you, Mr. Chair. Yes, I'd really appreciate that information. Thank you.

MS. KIPPI: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Yeah, go ahead there Wanda.

 MS. KIPPI: Thank you. I have a concern about the Point Lay area. They've been seeing quite a bit of muskox too, and I don't -- it doesn't -- the boundaries don't even go near Point Lay. How many miles is that from Point Lay to the boundary. Because Point Lay has been seeing more muskox like Point Hope, they're seeing a lot of muskox. There was about 10 four miles east of town that got hunted down by wolves. That's from Point Lay, a Point Lay resident. And maybe 17 or so eight miles out from (Indiscernible) (ph) River. I just wanted to -- why didn't you include the rest of the -- is that the 23 boundary or what.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Wanda.

MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair and Council.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: We will -- I'll try to respond and then I'll ask for some help from Carmen from that. The Unit 26A compromises of some Federal land and some State lands, too, and you'll see that in the map. And there is a corresponding for the Board of Game to take up that muskox hunt that you had communicated with Carmen, which I would think would include -- that community in Point Lay, that might be bordered by State lands but within Unit 26. So -- and this particular proposal is completely just on Federal public land in Unit 26A with a defined boundary that already had existed at 156 west for other animals, and now we're talking about muskox and I just think we need to do away with that 156 and quit discriminating against the hunters that are in an area and can have that opportunity to subsist, by changing that boundary to meander on the Alaktak River until it reaches Ikpikpuk and then 155 west up to the Colville going westward on Federal public lands.

So, Carmen, if you need to correct me and need to add clarifying language about Point Lay, please do so.

MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair and Council. This is Carmen for the record. I just want to make sure that you guys realize that this will go all the way to the coast and Gordon is 100 percent correct that it would include just Federal public lands, there's quite a bit of State land around Point Lay. And he is also correct in the sense that there is a Board of Game proposal that has been submitted as an agenda change request and will be taken up in March to try to open up the hunt on the State lands in that area, so Point Lay would be included, Wanda, and hopefully we can get it so that they're consistent between them.

And I also, just for clarification sake, for Gordon, we're using the same boundary for this hunt in the Federal and I think if this passes through the Federal Subsistence Board that it would be wise for the State to use the same boundary that you're suggesting that's already in this proposal. So, you know, the west 156 boundary is not what we're talking about here, Gordon, we're using the boundary that

```
0101
    you're talking about in this proposal, so we don't need
    to keep going on that. So just an FYI.
 3
 4
                    All right, that's all, thank you.
 5
 6
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Carmen.
 7
 8
                    MS. KIPPI: Thank you, Carmen....
 9
10
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        I hope that helps
11
    Wanda.
12
13
                    MS.
                            KIPPI:
                                            ....for
                                                        the
14
    clarifications.
15
16
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                          All right.
                                                         So
17
    we....
18
19
                    MS. PATTON:
                                  Thank you, Mr. Chair and
20
     Council. It may be helpful -- so we haven't heard the
     analysis yet so it might be helpful at this time for
21
22
    Kendra to be able to provide the analysis and overview
23
     and it will clarify what this particular proposal would
24
     cover.
25
26
                    Thank you, Mr. Chair.
27
28
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                          Thank you, Eva.
29
    Kendra, go ahead and do the presentation and draft
30
     analysis.
31
32
                    MS. HOLMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For
33
    the record this is Kendra Holman and I'm a Wildlife
34
    Biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management.
35
    Right now I'll be addressing Wildlife Proposal WP22-55,
36
    which was submitted by this Council. It can be found
37
    on Page 44 of your meeting books.
38
39
                    This proposal is to establish a hunt
40
    for muskox within the western portion of Unit 26A. The
41
    map for this boundary, as you've been discussing, can
42
    be found on Page 47 of your meeting book.
43
44
                    So the eastern boundary line would
     follow a very similar one to the previous proposal.
45
46
    It'll start at that Admiralty Bay and come down through
47
    the Alaktak, the Ik -- I apologize.....
48
49
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Ikpikpuk.
```

MS. HOLMAN:the Ikpik River [sic] down the 155 west longitude all the way to the Unit 26A southern boundary. So this line comes all the way through that Unit 26A. That would be that eastern boundary, so everything to the west all the way to the Chukchi Sea would be included in this area, in this proposal.

So the muskox hunt has never occurred in this eastern portion of Unit 26A under Federal or State regulations. There were proposals in 1998 and 1999 which the Federal Subsistence Board -- which were both deferred and no subsequent actions were taken on those. In 1995 a customary and traditional use determination was determined for residents of Nuiqsut, Utqiagvik, Atqasuk, Wainwright, Point Lay, Point Hope, Anaktuvuk Pass in Unit -- for Unit 26A.

Currently the Alaska Department of Fish and Game is reviewing Proposal 193 as an agenda change request consideration to the Board of Game's statewide regulations meeting in March of 2022. The State proposal requests establishing a muskox hunt in the western portion of 26A with the allowing of a harvest of up to six muskox. This proposal currently has a different boundary.

So muskox population shave grown subtly in the region since the AGF -- since they trans located 36 muskox to Cape Thompson in 1970 and then an additional 34 more in 1977. Muskox in the Cape Thompson area appeared to occupy relative discreet core Between 2001 and 2020 the population of that areas. core count area remained relatively stable averaging 234 moose. Population wide survey estimates to be -there to be 576 muskox in 2011, 556 muskox in 2006, and 911 muskox in 2020, these -- these are for the entire area and they do include some of the population that's in Unit 23. Figure 1 which can be found on Page 50 of your meeting book includes all of this population This indicates that the population has information. increased for movement into other areas including Unit 26A. Muskox are more sensitive to selected harvest of mature males, mature bulls are important for predator defense, foraging and group cohesion in addition to Legal harvesting of the muskox in the breeding. eastern portion of 26A has not taken place under State or Federal regulation since the introduction in 1970.

5

6

7

9

10

11

So we have an alternative that was considered and that was to establish a Federal registration permit with a harvest quota of six muskox just -- just for the Council's information, the muskox population within the core count area of Unit 23 has declined and the muskox has dispersed into other areas including Unit 26A. A limit of six muskox would be less than one percent of the current population outside the core count area. A fixed harvest quota does not provide for management flexibility in response to changing populations and hunt conditions so this area -- so this option was not selected.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

The effects and justification of this proposal would establish a Federal subsistence season and a harvest limit for muskox in portions of Unit 26A west of the Alaktak River following the west 155 longitude south to the Unit 27A [sic] border. proposed change would allow Federally-qualified subsistence users to harvest one muskox within the new hunt area of Unit 26A, the modified hunt area descriptor completes the hunt area without leaving any gaps or ambiguous areas. Harvest pressure from Federally-qualified subsistence users on muskox in 26A is unknown since this will be a new hunt, however, the hunt limit of one muskox by each Federally-qualified subsistence users has the potential to result in an overharvest of muskox -- muskox and can cause conservation concerns. The proposal as submitted would only require a registration permit, which would likely result in poor harvest reporting and that an unknown -an unknown number of muskox harvested. This proposal will allow a limited harvest where none currently Adaptation of this -- adoption of this exists. proposal also increases the harvest opportunity for Federally-qualified subsistence users providing for subsistence use of additional wildlife resources -modification the requirement with -- with the modification to require a Federal registration permit will help to ensure sustainable harvest, timely harvest reporting and effective hunt management.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to support WP22-55 with modification to revise the hunt area descriptor, require a Federal registration permit and delegate authority to the BLM Arctic District Office Manager to close the season and to announce the harvest quota and the number of permits to be issued via delegation of authority letter which can be found

```
0104
     as Appendix 1 on Page 55 of your meeting books.
 2
 3
                    Thank you, Mr. Chair. Members of the
 4
    Council. I'd be happy to address any questions.
 5
 6
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        Thank you, Kendra.
 7
    So any questions on the analysis for WP.....
 8
 9
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair, I just got
10
    back on.
11
12
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: .....22.....
13
14
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair, I....
15
16
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Earl.
17
18
                    MR. WILLIAMS: .....just got back on so
19
    -- I was cut off for awhile. This is Earl, AKP.
20
21
                    MS. PATTON: Okay, thank you, Earl, I'm
22
    glad you were able to get back on.
23
24
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good.
                                                     So any
25
    questions to the draft analysis for WP22-55.
26
27
                    (No comments)
28
29
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right, with that
30
    I'm going to go down the list. Maybe report on Board
31
    consultations,
                     we'll go to A,
                                         tribes on the
32
     consultation.
33
34
                    MR. LIND:
                                Good afternoon, Mr. Chair.
35
    Council members. Orville, Lind, Native Liaison, Office
    of Subsistence Management. And during the consultation
36
37
    session we did not have any questions or comments on
    WP22-55.
38
39
40
                                       Thank you, Orville.
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
41
    Item B, ANCSA Corporations.
42
43
                    MR. LIND: And the same, we did not
44
    have any questions or comments on that proposal either.
45
     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
46
47
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.
                                                    Item 3,
48
     agency comments, ADF&G.
49
```

```
0105
 1
                    MS. DAGGETT: Sorry, I managed to get
 2
    myself on mute there. Fish and Game supports this
    proposal.
 4
 5
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                          Wow, very good.
 6
    Agency comments, B, Federal comments.
 7
 8
                    (No comments)
 9
10
                    CHAIRMAN
                              BROWER:
                                          Maybe just
                                                        as
11
     curiosity....
12
13
                    MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair, sorry, there's
14
    a little bit more.....
15
16
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       .....on -- yeah, go
17
     ahead.
18
19
                    MS. DAGGETT: Sorry, Mr. Chair, this is
20
     Carmen again with Fish and Game. I just want to add a
21
     little bit more to that statement.
                                           Fish and Game
22
    supports this proposal in allowing the taking of muskox
23
    in the western portion of 26A and requests that the
24
    Federal Subsistence Board align the boundaries and
25
     season dates with the State proposal.
                                            However, yeah,
26
    that portion of 26A west of the Topagoruk following 156
27
     south to the 26A border, the season dates would be
    August 1 to March 15. So that's the State's position.
28
29
30
                    Thank you, Sir.
31
32
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       All right, Carmen.
    Is your subsistence board proposal have the same
33
34
    boundary as -- so the alignment is not conflicting with
     these requests?
35
36
37
                    MS. DAGGETT:
                                     Mr. Chair,
                                                   when I
38
     originally submitted the proposal to the Board of Game
39
     it did not have -- we didn't have the specifics for
40
    what you guys were requesting so they're not consistent
41
    with each other currently. But it could be requested
42
    to be adjusted the other way too eventually.
43
    guess I would just say you guys have the opportunity to
44
     change the regulation first because of timing so, yeah.
45
46
                    CHAIRMAN
                                                      It's
                               BROWER:
                                            Yeah.
     important....
47
48
49
                    MS. DAGGETT: Currently they're out
```

1 of....

CHAIRMAN BROWER:because I want to hear from you -- yeah, it's important, we want to hear from you and you support this but with a caveat in there so that -- and the Board of Game proposal is the proposal, without this modification in boundary, that's the current proposal and you're suggesting to -- we can modify that but at what point because it's a submitted proposal and I would think it's -- by mere supporting this as it is, I think is important, I think, to the Board, because I would think that we're going to have to go in front of the Federal Subsistence Board and argue boundaries and that seems like that's going to be end up being set up that way with that additional language. It's just my concern but thank you for your comments, ADF&G.

 MS. DAGGETT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. It is -- this is currently what we gave for our recommendations just based on what was submitted to the Board of Game. However, the RAC could also suggest that the boundaries be what you guys had written, too.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Pretty good. So they're pretty flexible as I hear it. Eva, just a point of clarification when we go down to agency comments like, and it just says, Federal, is that the President of the United States or who's making comments on behalf of Federal?

(Laughter)

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. Unfortunately we don't have the President participating in the RAC meeting today, that's generally the local area Federal land managers so whichever Federal land management agency would be involved with management under these particular proposals. So in this case these proposals are dealing with Unit 26A which is predominately BLM Federal lands and so that who we're reaching out to on this proposal here, if they have any comments to add.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva.

That's what I kind of thought. It's just so broad when you just say Federal, it could be Fish and Wildlife Service, BLM, NASA or something.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right, any agency comments from Federal, BLM.

MS. JONES: Mr. Chair, this is Shelly Jones with BLM. And I'm wondering if Chris McKee might be on the line for BLM, he think he prepared the statements mostly in concurrence with the OSM, as I recall. But I'm sorry I haven't had as much of a chance to get briefed up on them so I'm hoping that Chris is on the line.

MR. MCKEE: Mr. Chair, this is Chris

19 McKee.

MR. MCKEE: Yes, just as a kind of general guideline, generally at this point BLM, at least -- we're not going to be commenting on positions on proposals at this stage, they generally are preliminary in nature at this point and kind of these proposals will come back to the InterAgency Staff Committee in January to start working up formal comments on and then, obviously the BLM position will be discussed at the actual Federal Subsistence Board meeting in April. So usually we don't comment on proposals at the RAC portion of the meeting.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: So does that mean I should erase Federal and you will erase yourself from commenting and the opportunity for all the villages combined to hear the land manager's comments?

MR. MCKEE: Well, Mr. Chair, it really depends on the agency. Usually at this stage -- it's not always consistent, but usually at this stage there generally isn't comments because the Federal agencies might not necessarily want to take a position until they've gotten all of the information, and one of the most important things, obviously, is the Regional Advisory Council's positions and recommendations on proposals. So usually it's just a little bit too

preliminary for Federal agencies to take positions on the proposals at this stage.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I just find that problematic to be able to do that when there is an opportunity here, even at the preliminary stage. Because it is something that's been debated, talked about and conceived and then you're going to go back to the InterAgency Staff Committee and deliberate amongst yourself and then able to be swayed out of your opinion probably at that point.

So at this point, any other Federal comments.

MS. KENNER: Hi, Mr. Chair, this is Pippa Kenner. I just wanted to make a clarification here.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Uh-huh.

MS. KENNER: Yeah, hi, yeah, I'll be presenting to you later. But I just kind of wanted to reiterate what Chris McKee was saying. There's always an option on the agenda for Federal agencies to speak but the Office of Subsistence Management represents the five big Federal agencies in Alaska and we generally provide deference to the Councils so it's very difficult for the agencies to come up with a conclusion until they hear what the Council does.

Thank you. Bye.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, and I thought this was the opportunity to do that, the Council. You might be talking about the Federal Subsistence Board maybe.

MS. KENNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair, this is Pippa.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, Pippa.

MS. KENNER: I am, yeah -- well, the five -- these five large Federal agencies are the Federal Subsistence Board, it's the leadership of those agencies along with three public members.

0109 1 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2 3 CHAIRMAN BROWER: That's right because 4 you mentioned until we get in front of the Council, but 5 I think you're referring to the Federal Subsistence 6 Board. 7 8 MS. KENNER: Yes, I am. But that's why it's difficult for the Federal agencies to have a 9 10 comment or a recommendation on the proposal because 11 there's a -- they almost always defer to the Council's 12 recommendation, so without having the Council's 13 recommendation, without knowing what you're doing they 14 can't comment because they want to know what the 15 Council's doing first. 16 17 Thank you. 18 19 CHAIRMAN BROWER: That's -- anyways, 20 sounds like a Catch-22. 21 22 MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, I have a comment. 23 24 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead, is 25 this Steve. 26 27 MR. OOMITTUK: Yeah, this is Steve. 28 You know just in the past when we make proposals and 29 then it goes to the Federal Subsistence Board, you know, who is the make up of -- you know I think the 30 31 only one that sits on there is Gordon is Chairman of 32 the North Slope Subsistence Advisory Council and if we 33 do make a proposal, like what we did in the past, it 34 seems like we're always overridden by the Federal 35 Subsistence Advisory Council [sic] even though it deals 36 with our neck of the woods and that's, you know, 37 something -- I wish they were here to listen in to our 38 meetings and take comments, you know, because we are --39 you know there's proposals in front of us and we're approving them and then our -- then are some of these 40 41 same proposals are going to go -- that we approved, are 42 they going to go in front of the Federal Subsistence 43 Board and are they going to override us, or what, like 44 they did in the past, or what we -- what we propose and 45 what we pass here and now..... 46 47 MR. WILLIAMS: Hello.

MR. OOMITTUK:is it going to be

48 49

in black and white and law.

2

4

5

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

MS. KENNER: Hey, this is Pippa Kenner I don't want to go -- I'm sorry, I don't want to detract too much from the important business that the Council is doing now, but especially because we have new Council members. The Federal Staff that are present at this meeting, the majority of them at this moment are acting as your Staff. We are -- right now we are assigned as Staff to the Council and we are here to answer your questions and to listen to what you're saying, we're not here to tell you what to do right now, so that is the purpose of the Council meeting is for us to hear what local people, the Council members are, what their point of view is, what they want to happen. So we're Staff to you right now and that's why you will rarely hear Federal agencies have comments but we're here in case you have questions.

18 19 20

Thank you.

21 22

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, thank you, Pippa. And is that you Earl.

232425

(No comments)

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39 40

41

42

44

45

46

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I thought I heard Earl chiming in or something. And, thank you, Pippa. You know I think we understand our role and we're working on a proposal right here and debating on it to try to see whether or not we should move it on up the that's important and chain and maybe there's a situation here that needs to be fixed, agency comments and when it says Federal comments and, you know, it could be as easy as we reserve our time to provide comments to the Federal Subsistence Board on the matter, or something, you know, that -- it just seems like it's a -- like Steve said, we go -- we get these proposals and we voted on them and we should get deference in these proposals and as they get up toward the Federal Subsistence Board you have leadership of various agencies, you might even have the Wood Company, the Forest Service on there or some other agencies on there that make up the Federal Subsistence Board, of various land managers. In any event, yeah, we shouldn't be hung up on this at this point but it's important.

47 48 49

I'm going to move directly on to tribal

comments. Any tribal comments, ICAS, Native villages, your opportunity to provide comments.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. OOMITTUK: This is Point Hope, Native Village of Point Hope. As President of the You know if we're going to open up muskox in Tribe. different areas it also needs to be looked at 23, Unit 23 also, because the population in the western area -and like you said -- I don't know who stated it but the population, you know, it's all in the western area and the population is about 900 but then they mention that, you know, Point Hope area is a smaller number but the number is still over 900 and we don't have a muskox hunting season at all. And, you know, if we're going to open it up in one area, and it's for six that I understand for, in 26A and the population in that area is 470, and you're talking -- and the western area is over 900 and, yet, when they do have muskox seasons and they want to do them by lottery, by permits is my when -- if -- I would think that understanding subsistence hunters and residents would have priority especially if the numbers are going to be low and not have a permit style or lottery style where anybody can, you know, can submit a permit, you know, we're talking, you know, subsistence hunting, a way of life, you know, to put food on the table, muskox is good eating. But the numbers are getting too high and it is causing a nuisance and we need to keep that number low.

29 30

So that's just my testimony there.

31 32 33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

A lot of our tribal members and local hunters do complain about muskox keeping the caribou away in certain areas. So -- and especially where, you know, when the women go out berry picking, they're always in a certain areas where the salmonberries and they beat up the ground and tear up the berries, where the berries are usually abundant and then, you know, it can be very dangerous to the women and children that pick berries in that area especially if they don't have a husband or a boyfriend or somebody with a rifle with them as they berry pick or something. Because the numbers are, you know, getting pretty high.

44 45 46

47

48

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Steve. And I think those are very good comments from the Native Village of Point Hope. It sounds like a dialogue between Native Village and ADF&G needs to

occur to look at the possibility of that population of 900 in that area to be visited for developing a local hunt in that area.

Thank you.

Any other tribal comments. Any tribal entities.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, Earl from Anaktuvuk. A few of us were talking about muskox around here and they were around here about five years ago, four years ago, basically a lot of them around here, they're a nuisance but some people said they seen them further up north so they don't bother around here very much and they're pretty good -- I never tried it before but sure wouldn't mind tasting it. Eddie, you hear that, we need to kill one.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Very good to eat I'll tell you that much. I'm not saying where I got it but it was pretty good.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Yeah, all right, the meat smells good in the fall.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, thank you, Earl. From time to time, you know, it's important to reflect on this particular animal and its finally on the table, I think, to be discussed. Thank you. Any additional tribal comments from Native villages, regional tribal councils. I did get a message from Doreen Leavitt from ICAS that she had to drop off for another teleconference from 2:00 to 4:00, I don't know if she'll rejoin us this afternoon but she inquired that she will rejoin us tomorrow if she wasn't able to rejoin us. So I did get that message from the ICAS representative.

Any other tribal comments.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I'm going to go to Item 4, advisory group comments, other Regional Advisory Council's, Eva.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. And, again, because this encompasses just 26A

relevant to the North Slope communities, no other Regional Advisory Councils are taking this proposal up. I have not heard from any local Fish and Game Advisory Committees. And the Gates of the Arctic Subsistence Resource Commission, which does include Anaktuvuk Pass, which this proposal is relevant to, they can hunt muskox in 26A, if adopted, and that meeting, again, will be coming up in a couple weeks here. So no comments from other advisory groups at this time.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. Summary of written public comments.

MS. HOLMAN: Mr. Chair, this is Kendra Holman. There were no written comments received on this proposal.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I'm going to open the floor to public testimony. Anyone out there want to provide public testimony on this proposal for muskox in Unit 26A, which is WP22-55. Open to public testimony.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: And we'll keep that open if somebody wants to provide public testimony. So what's the wish of the Council on WP22-55, and keeping in mind there is some suggested language revision, we could avoid making amending motions if we kind of -- if someone were to propose a motion, under discussion, we could talk about the OSM proposed modification.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ OOMITTUK: So moved, Mr. Chair. I make a motion to adopt WP22-55 for discussion purposes.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a motion on the floor from Point Hope to adopt.....

MS. KIPPI: Second.

CHAIRMAN BROWER:WP22-55.

MS. KIPPI: Second the motion.

```
0114
 1
                    MS. KIPPI: Yes, Sir. Yes.
 2
 3
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay.
                                            Let's go into
 4
    discussion.
                  I mean there's some other language here
 5
    that I think OSM has proposed to make some slight
    modifications to the boundary to where it includes the
 6
 7
    portion of Admiralty Bay on the eastern bank to the
    border of Unit 26A from there, going west, and I think
 8
 9
    that's a friendly motion -- or a friendly addition to
10
    the language, in my view, it kind of describes the area
11
    to hunt.
12
13
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Do we need that in a
14
    motion to amend.....
15
16
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      Yeah, let's go ahead
17
    and....
18
19
                    MR. OOMITTUK: ....to WP22-55.
20
                                      .....if we want
21
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
22
     add that I would say, because our proposal doesn't say
23
     that and so we could amend it right now.
24
25
                    MR. OOMITTUK:
                                      Yes, so moved, Mr.
26
    Chair. The amendment to the main motion for WP22-55.
27
                                      There's a motion --
28
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
29
     and I'm going to make sure if we get some legal help if
30
     we need to because we haven't formally closed the
31
     original motion but we are under discussing and
32
     amending the original motion to include that portion of
33
     Admiralty Bay on the east bank to the border of Unit
34
     26A, and that's an amending motion to include that in
35
     the main body of the motion by Point Hope. Motion on
36
    the floor.
37
38
                    MS. ITTA: I second it.
39
40
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        And that's been
41
    seconded, is that Nuigsut, Martha.
42
43
                    MS. ITTA: Yes.
44
45
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Question, Earl.
46
47
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Any discussion on the
48
     amendment.
49
```

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. If I may quickly ask a clarification. So just wanted to clarify if the Council was supporting the complete modification recommended by OSM, which was that additional boundary language and it also had included a requirement for a Federal registration permit and delegated authority to the BLM Arctic District Office Manager to close and announce the season and harvest quota through that delegation of authority letter. Did the Council want to add that as well, orwere youjustamending fortheboundary information.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva, for the clarifying. And I think it would be important that the Arctic District Office be the responsible party for regulating that as suggested by OSM and it just — maybe a little bit more discussion on that. What is the wish of the Council on that portion of it, and I apologize I didn't read that out.

MR. OOMITTUK: Sorry, Mr. Chair, I got sidetracked so I missed that portion of what Eva was talking about, there was more to the amendment of the motion, is that what she meant?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Right. From the boundary -- this is what was added to revise the hunt area descriptor, that's what we did -- we (In Native) Require a Federal registration permit and that part. delegate authority to BLM Arctic District Office Manager to close the season and to announce the harvest quota on the number of permits to be issued via delegation of authority letter. We've done that before, I think to Steve Berendzen, when there was some development of issues between Fish and Wildlife Service and maybe Eddie Rexford from Kaktovik can remember that, that there was a delegation to the ANWR Manager by delegation of authority letter to carryout, I think, the moose hunt and be regulated by the ANWR Refuge Manager. It's the same thing. And I think that's good because it puts the regulation in the hands of Federal agency.

MS. JONES: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, go ahead.

```
0116
 1
                    MS. JONES: Mr. Chair, this is Shelly
    Jones, and I just wanted to mention that that sounds
 2
    consistent with the way it is handled in other BLM
    areas as well, they usually look to either the majority
    Federal land owner, or all the Federal land owners but
 5
    I'm really only familiar with it in the Central Yukon
 6
 7
    area where we were issuing, you know, special openings
    for Federal hunts. I'm not as -- I'll have to study up
 9
    more on how this works with a special closure and learn
10
    more about the analysis and stuff but the process seems
11
    right.
12
13
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        All right,
14
    And that's the Arctic District Office talking.
15
    that you, Eddie from Kaktovik.
16
17
                    MR. REXFORD: No, it was somebody else.
18
19
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Okay. (In Native)
20
21
                    So that's the additional language and I
22
     would tend to support that as part of the boundary
23
                 to include all that language and I
     descriptor,
24
     apologize for not reading it all out.
25
26
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair, with that
27
     said I call for question.
28
29
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. Question
30
    has been called for. All those modifying the main
31
    motion to include the descriptor language as suggested
32
    by OSM, signify by saying aye.
33
34
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
35
36
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed,
37
     say no.
38
39
                    (No opposing votes)
40
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: And the....
41
42
43
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Mr. Chair, I call.....
44
45
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: ....amendment to the
46
    motion....
47
48
                    MR. OOMITTUK: .....for the question on
```

50

the main motion.

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN BROWER:passes. go into motion to support and I think it's important during the discussion and to talk a little bit about the justification as suggested on the earlier motion and that -- prior to final vote -- I think this proposal is important. It provides an opportunity where it hasn't existed. And the establishment of a muskox hunt in Unit 26A from this boundary, the Alaktak River and the additional descriptors that are added because of the presence of muskox and that this area would be more beneficial because it includes the areas accessible by residents of Unit 26A and that boundary of 156 west is a boundary designated to distinguish herd differences and not to separate people. It is to distinguish the eastern population of the muskox and the western population of the muskox in Unit 26. And there isn't that much substantial evidence that 156 is even closely meandering back and forth in this area from the eastern population. I think by moving the boundary would allow Federally-qualified users that normally access these areas to have that opportunity for subsistence harvest of muskox should they secure a permit, a Federal registration permit, or something like that.

242526

27

28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

I think those are important. So Equally, these areas are so remote, even to get to these areas one way is -- you know just to the mouth of the river is about 45 miles and to go up into that river to these areas to have that opportunity, you know, you're talking about 75 to 100 miles one way, an extreme hardship exists, already exists, round-trip is easily 120 miles to access these areas. So that would be, in my view, that when we're talking about the opening of a hunt that hasn't existed to do it in the right way where it's inclusive to those that access these areas. And we recognize that, you know, this is a request that is going before the Board of Game and we hope that this language and the border itself is the reflective boundary that the Board of Game align to.

40 41 42

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{So}}$$ with that I would call for the question.

43 44 45

(No comments)

46 47

 $$\operatorname{CHAIRMAN}$$ BROWER: Anybody want to call for the question.

```
0118
 1
                    MR. OOMITTUK: So moved, Mr. Chair, I
 2
    call for question with all that said.
 3
 4
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                         All right.
 5
     question's been called on the main motion all those in
 6
     favor of adopting WP22-55 as amended with the language
 7
     from OSM signify by saying aye.
 8
 9
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
10
11
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed,
12
     say nay.
13
14
                    (No opposing votes)
15
16
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       Hearing none, the
17
     ayes have it. This proposal shall go to the Federal
    Board of Game -- Federal Subsistence Board, I mean --
18
19
    my bad. It's now 4:30 and we'll -- does anybody need
20
     to take a biological break.
21
22
                    MR. OOMITTUK:
                                      Yes, are we talking
23
    about to reconvene tomorrow morning or.....
24
25
                    CHAIRMAN
                               BROWER:
                                           No, like
26
    minutes.
27
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Yes, I do need to.....
28
29
30
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: I seem to need to
31
    take a few minute....
32
33
                    MR. OOMITTUK: .....take a 10 minute
34
    break, a five.....
35
36
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: ....break here.
37
38
                    MR. OOMITTUK: ....or 10 minute break,
39
    I do need to use the restroom.
40
41
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right.
42
43
                    MR. OOMITTUK: I will call back in.
44
45
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right, let's take
46
     a little bio break and then get back here. Yeah, we
47
    got way too....
48
49
                    MS. PATTON: Yeah, everybody could just
50
```

```
0119
 1
    stay....
 2
 3
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: ....much work to
 4
    just quit right now.
 5
 6
                    MR. OOMITTUK: All right.
 7
                      S. PATTON: Just stay connected on There have been some reconnection
8
                    MS. PATTON:
9
    teleconference.
10
    issues for folks so, yeah, if you just want to just put
11
    your phone on mute and take a break, that would be
12
    great, but I think it would be helpful for everybody to
13
    stay connected so we don't lose folks.
14
15
                    Quyana.
16
17
                     (Off record)
18
19
                     (On record)
20
21
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right, I know
    we're getting close to 5:00 o'clock but I think we
22
23
    might have time to punch out one or two of these.
24
25
                    MS. PATTON:
                                    Okay, thank you, Mr.
26
            Yes, there's just two more specific to the
    Chair.
27
    North Slope region, which would be great if we could
28
    cover those before concluding this evening.
29
30
                    MR. WILLIAMS: 340 pages is a lot.
31
32
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      Yeah, there's a lot
    of stuff that's for sure. All right, let's go to --
33
34
    who was the lady who was doing the analysis, the
35
    executive summary.
36
37
                    MS. PATTON: Kendra Holman.
38
39
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yes.
40
41
                    MS. PATTON:
                                  Is the OSM Biologist for
42
    the North Slope region.
43
44
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        All right, Kendra,
45
    you have....
46
47
                    MS. PATTON: And....
48
49
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: ....the floor for
50
```

```
0120
    WP22-56.
 1
 2
 3
                    MS. HOLMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 4
    Eva, do we need to make sure we have a quorum before I
 5
     start.
 6
 7
                    MS.
                          PATTON:
                                        Yeah,
                                              I'll just
 8
     doublecheck and do a quick roll call. We have Gordon
 9
     on. Did we get Wanda Kippi back on.
10
11
                    MS. KIPPI: Yes, I'm still on.
12
13
                    MS. PATTON: Wonderful, thank you,
14
    Wanda.
15
16
                    And Steve Oomittuk.
17
18
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Yes, I'm on.
19
20
                    MS. PATTON: Okay, thank you, Steve.
21
                    Eddie Rexford, Sr.
22
23
24
                    MR. REXFORD: I'm on.
25
26
                    MS. PATTON: Great, thank you, Eddie.
27
28
                    And Martha Itta.
29
30
                    MS. ITTA: Here.
31
32
                    MS. PATTON: Great, thank you, Martha.
33
34
                    And, Earl Williams, AKP.
35
36
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Here.
37
38
                    MS. PATTON:
                                  Wonderful, thank you,
39
    Earl. We have all six of our seven Council members are
    back online. Thank you.
40
41
42
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                      All right, Kendra,
43
    you have the floor.
44
45
                    MS. HOLMAN: All right, good afternoon,
46
     or evening now, Mr. Chair. Members of the Board. For
47
     the record this is Kendra Holman, and I'm a Wildlife
48
    Biologist for the Office of Subsistence Management. I
49
    will be presenting a summary of the analysis for
50
```

Wildlife Proposal WP22-56 submitted by the Gates of the Arctic National Park Subsistence Resource Commission requesting the brown bear harvest limit for that portion of Unit 26A within Gates of the Arctic National Park be increased from one bear to two bears. The proposal starts on Page 58 of your meeting books.

The proponent submitted this proposal because residents of Anaktuvuk Pass have observed brown bear populations growing and believe that the harvest to be far below the sustainable yield. The Commission states that this proposal would afford Anaktuvuk Pass residents hunting brown bears additional harvest opportunity.

The regulatory history.

At the January 2020 the Alaska Board of Game adopted Proposal 29 to increase the resident State brown bear harvest limit in Unit 26A from one bear per year to two brown bears. The Board of Game concluded that there were no biological concerns, furthermore, they concluded that resident harvest was low and comparing data from eight other units that a two bear harvest limit -- the change in the harvest limit is not likely to increase the bear harvest significantly.

The densities of brown bear vary widely within Unit 26A with densities highest in the foothills of the Brooks Range and lows in the northern portions The current population estimates for of the unit. brown bear within Unit 26A is 900 to 1,120 bears. Brown bear densities and reproductive output within Gates of the Arctic National Park are some of the lowest in Alaska. Limited food resource and short growing seasons are likely major factors contributing to this demographic pattern.

The harvest numbers can be found in Table 1 which is on Page 63 of your meeting book.

The ADF&G management goal is to keep the harvest at or below an average of five percent of the bear population during any two year period. Under these guidelines the maximum allowable harvest would be approximately 51 bears per year. Between 2008 and 2018 total reported harvest in Unit 26A ranged from 10 to 31 bears and averaged 21 bears -- 20 bears per year. With the resident reported harvest on average accounting for

eight bears per year. A significant management problem in Unit 26A continues to be unreported harvest and noncompliance with bear hunting regulations. However, community-based harvest assessment studies indicate a potential of three to four harvested brown bears per year are not reported but does not appear to be at a level that creates a biological problem.

Current harvest rates are below the State recommended sustainability harvest -- sustainable harvest rate for 26A. This proposal may result in an increase in harvest but is not expected to increase the total harvest rates above the minimal sustainable yield but will increase the harvest opportunity for Federally-qualified subsistence users specifically within Unit 26, that portion within Gates of the Arctic National Park.

Adoption of this proposal, as submitted would retain more restrictive harvest limit of one bear per year on other Federal public lands within Unit 26A, although under State regulations, Federally-qualified subsistence users can already harvest two bears on these Federal lands but not within Gates of the Arctic National Park.

The OSM preliminary conclusion is to support WP22-56.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. Members of the Board. I'd be happy to address any questions.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: I was on mute, sorry. Any questions to Kendra.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: If not I'm going to go down the list.

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, I was just going to say that it says, you know, we estimated -- they said we killed between two and 10 brown bears between '94 to 2011, to my understanding around here, they don't hardly shoot bears, they're -- maybe one that

0123 bothers us, but the last three years I know they shot only about three bears as far as I know last fall -another one was bothering the village and they shot that one too. So I don't know what they're counting --5 unless they're counting another village 6 Anaktuvuk, I'm just curious about that. 7 8 Thank you. 9 10 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Earl. And 11 we recognize that this portion of the regulation is limited to Gates of the Arctic within Unit 26A and it's 12 13 probably all that land within the purple, National Park 14 Service lands, and this was recommended by their 15 Subsistence Resource Commission over at the Gates of the Arctic, in your neck of the woods there. 16 And 17 maybe.... 18 19 MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, thank you, 20 Chair, I just wanted to clarify. 21 22 (Teleconference interference 23 participants not muted) 24 25 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Yeah, it would change 26 it from one to two bears. 27 28 MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair, I just wanted 29 to clarify that. Thank you, very much. 30 31 CHAIRMAN BROWER: If I make any 32 mistakes here, Kendra, please correct me, but I think that that particular proposal is within the Gates of 33 34 the Arctic, those portions that are in Unit 26A. 35 36 MS. HOLMAN: You are correct, Mr. 37 Chair. 38 39 CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. 40 41 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. 42

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. I believe Orville Lind needed to step out this evening. There -- the Board did conduct tribal consultation and we had representatives from the

All right, so report

CHAIRMAN BROWER:

on Board consultations, A, to tribes.

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

0124 1 Anaktuvuk Pass Tribal Council participating. No formal recommendations at that time but they did participate 2 to ask questions and provide some more information for 4 the Board. 5 6 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 7 8 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Eva. And 9 Item B, ANCSA Corporation consultations. 10 11 MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and 12 We did not have any ANCSA Corporation Council. 13 comments on this proposal. Thank you. 14 15 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Agency comments, 16 ADF&G. 17 18 MS. DAGGETT: Mr. Chair, this is Carmen 19 Daggett with Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 20 21 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Carmen. 22 23 MS. DAGGETT: ADF&G supports this proposal as it will align -- ADF&G supports this 24 25 proposal as it aligns the State and Federal bag limits. 26 27 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Carmen. 28 Item B, any Federal comments. 29 30 MR. MCKEE: Mr. Chair, this is Chris 31 McKee for BLM and BLM has no comments on this proposal 32 at this time. 33 34 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Chris. 35 Any other Federal comments. 36 37 (No comments) 38 39 CHAIRMAN BROWER: Going down, any 40 tribal comments. Any tribal, village, ICAS, Native 41 villages, your opportunity to comment on this proposal. 42 43 MR. OOMITTUK: This is Point Hope, just 44 out of curiosity, you know, I, for the Native Village of Point Hope, you know, I know in the past we used to 45 46 have to take home some meat from the brown bear, I 47 don't see that happening anymore, you know, whether 48 they're Native or non-Native that it's open to that 49 area. You know years ago, in our younger years we ate

the brown bear meat, mainly the hindquarters. Now you don't see that. Now my understanding is you don't have to take any of the meat, is that my understanding of brown bears, whether it's Native or non-Native hunters?

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Carmen.

MS. DAGGETT: Through the Chair to Steve Oomittuk. So Steve there's kind of two different ways that you can harvest brown bears, two different permits. There's a subsistence harvest permit where the idea is that you would harvest the meat and as long as you don't remove the hide and skull from the area, that you don't have to harvest those, the goal would be to harvest the meat. And then there is kind of the general season hunt on the harvest ticket for brown bears and that method would focus on taking the hide and skull and you would need to seal the bear if that was the case. And you could choose to harvest the meat or not, but it's not required.

I hope that answers your question.

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. Now, do you consider, you know, brown bear and grizzly bear, do you consider them the same species because some people do get the grizzly bear and brown bear -- are there different hunting for grizzly bears and brown bears when you categorize them, you know, because we do have an abundance of grizzly bears and they're smaller than the brown bears. And it seems like the brown bears are coming more and more but, you know, when you say brown bear harvest does that include grizzly bear.

MS. DAGGETT: Through the Chair to Steve Oomittuk. Yes, they would be considered the same in this proposal and in regulations.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ OOMITTUK: Okay, thank you. That answers my question.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Mr. Chair, this is Lisa Grediagin. And just to clarify under Federal regulations, the meat of brown bears must be salvaged. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. And who was that again.

MS. GREDIAGIN: That was Lisa

Grediagin.

MR. OOMITTUK: You know you said.....

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Lisa.

MR. OOMITTUK:the -- this is Steve again. And lately, you know, here in Point Hope it seems like the brown bears are coming closer and closer to town and -- and, you know, for, you know, safety purposes if a brown bear was killed, you know, for safety purposes, you're talking about increase -- is there a limit on how many you can catch per year, in that area, 26, they're putting two more or what's the total. I mean they reach that limit and then, you know, we're seeing an abundance of brown bears and grizzly bears and coming closer and closer to town, and if they reached the limit, if for safety purposes if we had to kill a brown bear or a grizzly bear, we wouldn't get in trouble by the State, would we.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hey, Steve, I'm looking up for Unit 23 real quick. I know this particular regulation proposal is in the Gates of the Arctic -- limited to the Gates of the Arctic in Unit 26.

MS. GREDIAGIN: Yeah, through the Chair, this is Lisa Grediagin. The harvest limit for brown bears in Unit 23 under both State and Federal regulations is two brown bears. And, Steve, if you're referring to defense of life and property kills, you know, if a brown bear is threatening your property, you know, your pet, your life, that's a different set of regulations if you take a brown bear because you feel threatened by them. But, yeah, again for Unit 23 it's two brown bears per person but, yeah as the Chair mentioned the proposal before the Council right now is focused on Gates of the Arctic National Park within Unit 26A.

Thank you.

MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. I just was throwing out some questions on tribal and -- but, yeah, the Gates of the Arctic and so the two per person is also in Unit 23 also.

```
0127
 1
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                       That's right.
 2
    you're primarily on State lands surrounding you guys
    with some limited Federal lands I could see. I hope
 4
    that helps, Steve.....
 5
 6
                    MR. OOMITTUK:
                                     Thank you.
                                                    That's
 7
    helpful.
 8
 9
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: .....for the tribe in
10
    Point Hope.
11
12
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Yes.
13
14
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER:
                                        Any other tribal
15
    comments on the proposal.
16
17
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Chair, this is Earl.
18
19
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Go ahead, Earl.
20
21
                    MR. WILLIAMS: I had the comment that
22
    Steve said, we've got the same problems here with bears
23
    but they don't hardly bother the -- even if they're
24
    kind of on the outskirts, let them be, but when they
25
    come into town that's when they threaten people and
26
    that's -- that's when everybody pull out their -- their
27
    (indiscernible) but I just want to thank Steve for
28
    bringing this issue up. Thank you, very much.
29
30
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you, Earl. You
31
    know I'm a tribal member too but I'm not the President
32
    or I don't assume to comment on behalf of Native
33
    Village of Barrow but -- but I'm a tribal person and I
34
    would just say about these bears, I've seen those brown
35
    bears come inside my cabin and even sleep on my bedding
36
    in my cabin and then lock themselves in and come out
37
    through the wall. And these guys are sometimes pretty
38
    outrageous, these bears, and I put a whole bed of nails
39
    around my entrance, even at night when I'm there, and
    once in a while I'll hear them step on it while I'm
40
    sleeping and they scream really loud that I put these
41
42
    20-penny spike nails because they will try to go in
43
    where human scent goes in and out and they know the
    door where people goes in and they know you're in
44
    there. So, yeah, you know, it's important to reflect
45
    on some of these bears that are very aggressive, you
46
47
    know, kind of stuff.
```

In any event, that's just my own

48 49

comment there.

So with that I'm going to go to advisory group comments, any other Regional Advisory Council comments on this.

MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Council. Yes, we covered that already, so no other Councils are taking up this proposal, it's outside of their region. The Subsistence Resource Commission will be meeting in a couple weeks, again, they are the ones that submitted this proposal but they will be meeting to discuss it themselves again in a couple weeks.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. So I take it the Fish and Game Advisory Committee is along the same boat with no comment.

MS. PATTON: I have not heard any feedback from the local Fish and Game ACs. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. Thank you. Summary of written public comments.

 MS. HOLMAN: Mr. Chair, this is Kendra Holman again with OSM. So we had two written public comments received prior to the deadline. One from the Chair of Alaskans for Wildlife and one from Bill Sherwonit, if I pronounced that correctly. Both of them opposing the proposal. The comments oppose the proposal due to documented low reproductive rates, evidence of overharvest and low densities of brown bear within Gates of the Arctic. The comments recommended a measure of stewardship and conservation management approach to be used instead.

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Thank you. And so we have two written comments that were in opposition to this proposal. Any public testimony. Do we have any public willing to provide public testimony at this time.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing no public testimony. Candidates to provide -- I'll keep that

```
0129
           So Regional Council recommendation. What's the
    wish of the Council WP22-56.
 3
 4
                    MR. WILLIAMS: So moved.
 5
 6
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Motion to approve.
 7
 8
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a motion to
 9
     approve by -- was that Earl.
10
11
                    MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
12
13
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a motion on
14
    the floor....
15
16
                    MS. ITTA: I second it.
17
18
                    MR. OOMITTUK: That was.....
19
20
                    MS. KIPPI: Second the motion.
21
22
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: ....to approve WP22-
23
     56, seconded by Wanda from Atqasuk. Discussion.
24
     Justifications.
25
26
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Question called for.
27
28
                    MS. ITTA: Call for question.
29
30
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: I think it -- yeah, I
31
    think it's important to just recognize that this is a
32
    proposal from a Subsistence Resource Commission of the
33
    Gates of the Arctic to do this based on concerns of
34
    residents of Anaktuvuk Pass to increase the harvest
35
    limit to two. It's pretty consistent with others like
    Unit 23 and has a bag limit of two, so I think for
36
37
    uniformity and across different jurisdictions of land,
38
    that two is pretty good.
39
40
                    With that, anybody want to call for the
41
    question.
42
43
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Question called for.
44
45
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: All right. All those
46
     in support of WP22-56 for brown bears signify by saying
47
     aye.
48
49
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
```

```
0130
 1
                   CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed,
 2
   say nay.
 3
 4
                    (No opposing votes)
 5
 6
                   CHAIRMAN BROWER: Aye's have it, WP22-
 7
    56 has passed the Council. With that it is now a
    little bit after 5:00 p.m., what's the wish of the
    Council, do you want to recess until tomorrow and
 9
    reconvene at 9:00 a.m.
10
11
12
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Reconvene tomorrow -- I
13
    make a motion. Earl makes a motion to reconvene
14
    tomorrow.
15
16
                   CHAIRMAN BROWER: There's a motion -- I
17
    didn't quite understand it, I think there was two
18
    people talking.
19
20
                   MR.
                         WILLIAMS: Earl, motion to
21
   reconvene tomorrow.
22
23
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Are you making a
24
   motion to recess until tomorrow.
25
26
                   MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
27
28
                   CHAIRMAN BROWER: Motion on the floor
29
   to recess until tomorrow at 9:00 a.m.
30
31
                   MS. ITTA: Second.
32
33
                   MR. REXFORD: Second.
34
35
                   CHAIRMAN BROWER: It's been seconded.
36
   Any discussion.
37
38
                    (No comments)
39
40
                   MR. OOMITTUK: Question.
41
42
                    CHAIRMAN BROWER: Question. All those
43
    in favor of recessing until tomorrow morning at 9:00
44
    signify by saying aye.
45
46
                    IN UNISON: Aye.
47
48
                   CHAIRMAN BROWER: All those opposed,
49
    say nay.
50
```

```
0131
 1
                   (No opposing votes)
 2
 3
                   CHAIRMAN BROWER: Hearing none, we are
 4
   recessed until 9:00 a.m. Thank you everybody for
 5
   today.
 6
                    MR. OOMITTUK: Thank you. Everybody
 7
 8
   have a good evening.
9
10
                   (Off record)
11
12
                (PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0132		
1	CERTIFICATE	
2		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
4)ss.	
5	STATE OF ALASKA)	
6 7	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for	-ho
8	state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Computer Matrix	
9	Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:	итс
10	Reporters, and, do neresty certary.	
11	THAT the foregoing pages numbered throu	uah
12	contain a full, true and correct Transcript of	
13	NORTH SLOPE SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNC	
14	MEETING, VOLUME I taken electronically on the 3rd of	day
15	of November;	
16		
17	THAT the transcript is a true a	
18		and
19	thereafter transcribed by under my direction a	
20 21	reduced to print to the best of our knowledge ability;	ana
22	ability,	
23	THAT I am not an employee, attorney,	or
24	party interested in any way in this action.	0_
25		
26	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 1	4th
27	day of December 2021.	
28		
29		
30		
31 32	Salena A. Hile Notary Public, State of Alaska	
33	My Commission Expires: 09/16/22	
34	rly Commission Expires. 05/10/22	
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43 44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		