```
0338
 1
                   SOUTHEAST ALASKA SUBSISTENCE
 2
                    REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
                            VOLUME III
 7
 8
 9
                          Cape Fox Lodge
10
                        Ketchikan, Alaska
11
                         October 27, 2022
12
                            8:59 a.m.
13
14
15
16
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
17
18
   Donald Hernandez, Chair
19 Calvin Casipit
20
   Michael Douville
    Albert Howard
21
22
    Ian Johnson
23
   Harvey Kitka
24
    Cathy Needham
25
    Patricia Phillips
26
   James Slater
27
    John Smith
28
    Louie Wagner
29
    Frank Wright
30
31
32
33
    Regional Council Coordinator, DeAnna Perry
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
    Recorded and transcribed by:
42
43
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
44
    329 F Street, Suite 222
45
    Anchorage, AK 99501
46
    907-227-5312/sahile@gci.net
47
48
49
50
```

(On record)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you everybody. Good morning. I see we're still missing one Council member and we still might be waiting for a couple folks on the telephone that are on the Council. So we'll just talk about what we're going to be doing today. The first item on the agenda for this morning is the petition to rescind the rural -- or the nonrural status for Ketchikan. We have a fair number of blue cards requesting public comment on that so we can fortunately be able to get right with that this morning, which is pretty convenient. We also have some blue cards from some other folks, different topics, so when we get to -- so the way I'm going to do this the topics that are non-agenda items which is kind of what the purpose of the 9:00 o'clock testimony is, nonagenda items, we'll get those folks up first and then we'll have a presentation from Staff on the non-rural determination status and then other than questions from the Council to that Staff member then we'll just go straight to public comments and testimony on that. So that's how we'll work it this morning.

I do want to remind the Council of a few things here now that we're into our last day and time crunch is upon us to -- let's all try and stay focused today, it's kind of that time where we have to kind of not try and get too side-tracked on things and not stretch this out too long, don't have a lot of action items yet but we do have a few. And also I want to remind the Council members to keep in mind for the course of the day we will be looking for new items to add to the annual report so keep those in mind, jot them down if you have any so we can go through those, quickly, hopefully. And also keep in mind if there's any letters that we want to generate we'll do that at the end of the meeting so keep those ideas in mind.

And, yeah, we'll get things started here. We'll look at the blue cards and start calling people up for their comments on non-agenda items.

And....

MS. PERRY: There's none in there that

0340 1 are non-agenda. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: There isn't --4 yeah, this one is I think. 5 6 MR. HOWARD: Good morning, Mr. 7 This is Albert I'm online. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you 10 Albert. 11 12 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well, we do 13 have one agenda item, somebody that wanted to testify 14 on that first day on our indigenous management letter. 15 They were unavailable at that time so this would 16 probably be a good time for me to offer Wanda Culp an 17 opportunity to talk about an agenda item if she were on 18 the telephone. 19 20 (No comments) 21 22 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I don't 23 hear Wanda, maybe she'll be on before we conclude this. 24 So maybe I'll go to Amy Daugherty and she is 25 representing the Alaska Trollers Association so come on 26 up Amy. 27 28 MS. DAUGHERTY: Hi. Thank you for this 29 opportunity. My name is Amy Daugherty like you said 30 and I work for Alaska Trollers Association. We're the 31 small boat, hook and line fishery that I'm sure most of 32 you are familiar with, if not all of you. 33 34 We're here because it became apparent 35 during the last Board of Fish meeting of Southeast 36 region that we have a lot in common with subsistence 37 folks. For one, we're a very high resident rate in our 38 fishery and we also have -- we share mutual concerns 39 about fisheries management in our region. You had 40 Proposal 143 and 145, which we concurred with and

44 45 46

47

48

49

50

41

42

43

We're your neighbors. We appreciate everything that you are doing. I don't want to get too much in the weeds of those proposals, but I did want to extend our group and in effort to coordinate more in

Ketchikan Indian Community had 93, 147 and 234, all of

which we agreed with. But we were very consumed with

our own allocation which we were kind of put on the

line with, absolutely consuming to contain that.

the future. Perhaps when the Board of Fish meeting gets closer we'll be able to connect more and mutually support each other when it gets closer. I think this is the time when we have to stand up together. There's some problems with our region. We have, as you pointed out in your proposals, one sector which is open-ended, which is growing that we're very concerned about. It's going to be -- it is problematic for us now and it's going to be problematic for all users, all resident users, including yourselves, before long. Yeah, I just see that.

So I'm here to make a pitch for residents to stand together and to extend our goodwill.

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{I'll just see if I had anything else I} \\ \mbox{wanted to say.}$

Oh, I was also interested in your ESA situation that's coming down the pike, just because we face a very similar situation. I don't know if you guys are aware, I know some of you are, that we are dealing with an ESA situation with the southern resident killer whales and it's highly problematic. So when I hear you guys talk about the wolves and potentially having problems with that, that's another apex predator and I don't know I would be very concerned about that coming down the pike on your user group.

That's it.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Amy. Any questions from the Council. Go ahead, Mike Douville.

MR. DOUVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Has ATA considered residency is an issue the way it is written, or the way you qualify in the state of Alaska. If you own a home here you can claim residency even though you really don't live there. So there's among the AC's and user groups there's been talk of effort to change that and to qualify for the Perm Fund, not necessarily collecting it, but if you qualify for the Perm Fund you would be an Alaska resident, has ATA considered anything like that?

 $\,$ MS. DAUGHERTY: No. And I think if we could easily take that up, we have a Board meeting

towards the end of next week and I could float that idea. I think you're referring to the sector, the user group sector that I alluded to earlier and I think that would be a move in the right direction. That's just me, that's not the Board talking, but I'm pretty sure they'd back me up on that. So I could get back to you, personally, on that and let you know affirmatively.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other questions. Ian, go ahead.

MR. JOHNSON: Thanks, Mr. Chair. Just a clarification, you mentioned the concern of the growth in one sector but you didn't really define the sector, could you just, you know, give me a little more of what you're talking about there?

MS. DAUGHERTY: Yeah, I'm talking about the non-resident harvesters, the sportfish harvesters. You know we've been limited since the '70s. We don't even use all of our permits and we're definitely getting the squeeze because they haven't been limited, and I do know all the nuances of all of the....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MS. DAUGHERTY:other questionably, or definitely unfair, you know, criteria that kind of surrounds that. And we would just really appreciate a level playing field in that regard.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.} Anybody else on the Council with a question.$

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MR. HOWARD: Just a comment, Mr. Chair.

MS. NEEDHAM: I just want to let the Council know that when we were at the Board of Fish -- I'm just acknowledging, you know, the Alaska Trollers Association sort of extended hand to kind of work together when I was at the Board of Fish meeting. That's when I met Amy. And we did talk a lot with some of the other local ACs as well about how we could be, before the Board of Fish, with a united front, if we can, you know, sort of -- especially on things where we agree, but even in places where we might oppose, being

able to kind of try to find common ground in some of the actions that they have. So, you know, she and I talked a little bit about, well, how do we do that because we work at the table and it's kind of difficult so we're basically asking everybody else to come to us because when we leave this table we don't, you know, we don't represent the Council necessarily unless we're there on official business so there was stumbling blocks to that. But I appreciate the fact that you did come to us and remind and speak towards the whole Council, and I think that's a product of our Council sending people to these processes so voting to go to the Board of Game to carry our comments forward and build those relationships and, you know, we can't --when we're at the Board of Fish we can't speak on behalf of the Council and change any route that we're going but we can certainly explain our position better and bring in, like the conversation that we've had and I think that's extremely important.

So, you know, I'd like to see more, and I did say this to the Alaska Department of Fish and Game's Advisory Committee folks that I sat down and worked with there, where they were like we want you to budge on this and I'm like I'm not here to budge, like I can't do that, but I told them, you know, I was like we need you to come have this conversation with us early then we can talk about like some of those, if there is that room for compromise, this body can help decide that.

So I just really appreciate the fact that you followed up on that, so, thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Response, Amy, go ahead.

MS. DAUGHERTY: The way I look at it is, you know, we're at the time that we really have to stand up as much as we can in alliance because, you know, the residents of this state, we have -- according to the Fish and Game we have scarcity issues on some of these major rivers and it's just the time for residents to stand up together, yes.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think maybe

Albert was on the phone, Albert are you there. Albert Howard.

MR. HOWARD: I'm here, Mr. Chairman. I was just making sure you knew I was here. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good. Thanks for being there Albert. Any other questions from the Council. Patty, go ahead.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. Thank you, Amy, for coming before us. One of the things that the RAC has proposed at the Board of Fish is reduced bag limit -- or reduced harvest limits for sport and then at the local Pelican ADF&G Advisory Committee level we supported that proposal and we actually asked for a modification to further reduce the harvest limit for sport catch on salmon species. Just how can we be more effective, because we've been bringing this forward like, I mean like multiple Board cycles, every three years, and we can't do it any sooner, and so I think like 4 cycles have gone through where we've requested, you know, harvest limit reductions and it's like we're not being heard. How can we be more effective?

Thank you, Amy.

MS. DAUGHERTY: Well, I mean honestly, politically we're kind of at a lost, Southeast is at a lost, we keep losing representation in the Legislature. That would be a good first step to get some legislation through, meaning some kind of guide, registration, or some kind of residency, you know, change. What we can do is we can all stand up together.

Last time, as some of you are aware we managed to get an alliance with Territorial Sportsmen just based on separating the residents from the non-residents. And that really worked, and believe me there was a lot of pressure on those guys, they said we're -- well, I can't quote anybody directly but they were told, we're not after you, we're after them, meaning trollers. So -- but they stood firm. I don't see, necessarily, that relationship holding even though I want it to, I mean I just can't hold my breath, but that's what it's about, it's about alliance building. At the point, in which, everybody, everybody who's speaking expect for the non-resident lodges, it would seem to me that it would be very difficult to ignore.

```
0345
 1
     So I do have -- I mean I worked for the Legislature in
     fisheries for a long time, so that's how I see it.
     That's the only chance we have and it's a good shot.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
 6
     Anybody else with a question.
 7
 8
                     Cal, you got a question.
 9
10
                     MR. CASIPIT: I don't want to belabor
11
     the point but I was really disappointed that all we
12
     were asking for the last time was just to keep track of
13
     this use and they wouldn't even go for that. And I
14
     just -- why somebody would not want information is,
15
     that in itself, is telling. That, in itself, is
16
     telling.
17
18
                     Thank you.
19
20
                     MS. DAUGHERTY: If I can comment,
21
     through the Chair. Yes, it was very telling, wasn't
22
          And it's my understanding through my sources that
23
     there is data coming in but it is a policy of the
24
     Department, at this point in time, not to do in-season
25
     management. So in other words, the data that comes in
26
     is on the phones, through an app, there's no third-
27
    party involved, and they will never shut down, per
28
     policy, mid-season or reduce bag limits per season, so,
29
     you know, in a way that's happening, what you asked
30
    for. And that's just one of the little, you know,
31
     details that I'm aware of.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, anybody
34
     else, a question.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, quess not.
39
     Amy, thanks for coming before us here and I think it's
40
     a real good message you brought, so, yeah.
41
42
                     Okay, let's go for some other non-
43
     agenda item, I think this also -- yep, another
44
     fisheries issue not on our agenda, Heather Bauscher,
45
     come on up.
46
47
                     MS. BAUSCHER: Good morning Members of
48
                   Thank you, Chair Hernandez. My name is
     the Council.
     Heather Bauscher. Many of you know me through a
49
50
```

variety of different capacities. I wanted to speak to a couple things but I know there's some other folks on the line that are going to go into things a little bit deeper so I won't talk too much about some of that.

But a few updates with me. Thanks again, of course, for continuing to support the class and the opportunity. I always want to keep saying that.

 Another update, I'm now the Fisheries Community Engagement Specialist for the SHIP Program, which is Salmon Habitat Information Program, which is now a joint position between Sitka Conservation Society and Salmon State, so I'm now working across a variety of different groups throughout the Southeast region and beyond and on some issues now that are a little bit more than Southeast.

I want to echo Amy's spirit of coalition building and collaboration because I think we all realize is many of these issues that we're up against on our own, we're not big enough to take these things on and the only way we stand a chance is to work together. And I also want to echo some of the conversations about the Board of Fish and thanks to Cathy and thanks to Amy and everybody working together on some of the things at that meeting because a lot can happen in that room and if we don't stand together, once, again, we don't stand a chance.

So somebody on the phone is going to talk more about the transboundary mining issue. And I'm not sure which hat I'm going to wear, I'm also the Chair of the Sitka AC so I've been involved in a lot of things and I'm not going to speak under any of those hats, I'm just going to paint this picture right now. So we have the transboundary mines on the Canada side impacting all of our king salmon rivers. The North Pacific Fisheries Management Council met in Sitka this past June, the bycatch issue came up, there was a lot of talk about king salmon, and chum salmon, there was like two or three days of public testimony about these things. When they finally got to their motion, there was no reduction to the trawl fleet, there was no effort made to reduce that interception and they basically just told industry to get more creative and I am a champion of public process, I believe in people's ability to come forth and change things but I am

1 concerned with the Council and our ability to do that. I do know that there are four other RACs that have signed a joint letter on the bycatch letter. King salmon will be coming up again in December at the North 5 Pacific Council. While what we face in Southeast is 6 nothing to what the people out west are seeing, I mean 7 they're not even getting subsistence fish. And another thing I realized at that meeting is NOAA's under 8 9 Commerce and Commerce is not one of the agencies that 10 deals with subsistence, subsistence is DOI and USDA. 11 But if this issue was raised high enough, could there 12 be a way that those folks could push latterly maybe to 13 force Commerce to consider the subsistence impacts; 14 that's a thought or an idea. And I know that -- it 15 wouldn't make sense for Southeast to sign on to the 16 letter that the folks out West did but maybe there's 17 some sort of action that the Southeast RAC would 18 consider taking or at least raising that issue when we 19 get to the Federal Board so it does show there's more 20 communities from out West to Southeast that are 21 concerned and it's not just a regional issue, it's a 22 statewide issue. But overall king salmon, very 23 concerned about king salmon. If we can't deal with the 24 bycatch issue and the transboundary mines we're going 25 to be in as much trouble as the folks out West.

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

And, additionally there was a meeting that happened with a person that sits on the Council for the Department of State and he was very generous to spend two hours with us and during that meeting I realized, oh, you're the marine conservation department, you're the Department of Marine Conservation so you deal with the Salmon Treaty and you deal with the Halibut Treaty but it's a totally different team that's dealing with the transboundary mines, and, wait, you don't know who the transboundary mine team is so that means that at the Department of State level the right hand's not talking to the left hand and we just had Amy come with the Trollers Association and the Salmon Treaty's going to be coming up in a couple years and we're going to be in big trouble because at the Council, the genetic reports showed that even with the trawl intersection of chinooks in the Gulf of Alaska, 45 percent of that was Canada fish. And they're going to say that they want more fish and they're already pointing the finger at small boat Southeast fishermen for taking their fish while they're building mines at the headwaters of our rivers.

So I don't know what the course of action is but I really would love it if somebody could help try and get the Department of State to have the right hand talk to the left hand on this because I think we're losing in both of those rooms and if we can't connect the dots there I think we're going to be in trouble and it's going to be too late.

I just wanted to get some of those things on the record, that's all.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Heather. Questions from the Council.

Ian, go ahead.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Ms. Bauscher, do you have any idea to, I guess, so you got right hand not talking to left hand but other issue is also turnover and just kind of institutional knowledge and other things that are impacting the ability for people to interact. I mean certain -- I would assume there is, I'm just kind of looking for your insight on that.

MS. BAUSCHER: I would agree with you. And even the person we spoke with, while they were very generous with their time, they were pretty new in the position and I think we spent like the first hour just explaining basic salmon biology and halibut biology and I also realized, like, you know, Alaska is one of the last places with anadromous fish. And I think part of the problem is the folks in D.C., are not thinking about the link between the land and the water and they're managing it separate and they're not thinking about anadromous streams and that connection. And I was really excited at the end of the meeting but the other person that I was with had been through these a lot and she was like, he's just going to -- it'll be something else the next time and I've explained this over and over again so many times and haven't really gotten anywhere. So you're right, Ian, and thank you for that question.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other questions. Patty, go ahead.

 $\,$ MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. The RAC has been bringing up this transboundary river mining in the

annual letter to the Secretaries and have asked that a letter go to the Secretary of State but if the right hand is not talking to the left hand, I mean who else more should we be talking to, the Secretary of Commerce? I mean because now we're looking at sending another letter and we want it to go to the most people that we can so they understand this connection of land to water, and our resources, especially for subsistence.

Thank you.

MS. BAUSCHER: Thanks, Patty. I'm sure you're in touch with some of the others and I think there are folks online that are going to speak more specifically to the transboundary issue and asks related to that letter and the Department of State. But I think it's even within the Department of State that those teams aren't connecting. So I mean maybe you could ask the Department of State to have those teams talk to each other. I was just surprised that the guy who dealt with the Salmon Treaty and the Halibut Treaty didn't know anything about what was going on with the transboundary mine side. But I think that could somehow maybe be worked into whatever message is sent to the Department of State.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else with a question. Louie, go ahead.

MR. WAGNER: I don't have a question but just to let you know that I'm on that Indigenous Transboundary Commission and had the first meeting here a week and a half or so ago while I was attending a meeting down by Reno, Nevada by the WMAN(ph) group that's organizing on all this mining and it's starting to grow pretty fast but Guy Archibald who kind of runs everything there for the group has been collecting resolutions from -- like from me, from Metlakatla, he has that, and I think Rob Sanderson from Ketchikan is a representative on there, then we have at least two people out of Wrangell and Petersburg and Juneau that came to the meeting down there and there was a few more. But without those resolutions we can't meet with the Canadian Government, the Minister of Mines down there. So getting really close on that, was that Pandemic, we were shut down for three years on that so it's kind of good to get going on that again, so, just for your information on where that is at. Hopefully

0350 some good will come of it. 2 3 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Louie. 6 Frank, I think you had your hand up and then John. 7 8 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. You 9 had mentioned earlier about some kind of letters from 10 different RACs, is that right? 11 12 MS. BAUSCHER: Yes. 13 14 MR. WRIGHT: Well, I think that in our 15 Tlingit, you know, Tlingit, we always say (In Tlingit), pulling together, so I think if at all possible this 16 17 RAC should join up with other RACs to talk about this 18 issue. And I was wondering if you, when that person 19 you were talking to had -- didn't know anything about 20 what was going on because, I, myself, am so worried 21 about the king salmon. You know we hardly ever catch a 22 20-pounder and, you know, that's -- if the trawlers are 23 picking it all up, that's where it's at. You know, so 24 I think that person that is in charge of the $\operatorname{--}$ that 25 you said he didn't know anything about all this stuff 26 that was going on with us, you should ask him, why not, 27 why didn't you know, you got a position that is 28 involved, involves all of Southeast Alaska, all of 29 Alaska, because I'm sure up in Bristol Bay and that 30 area and Kuskokwim and all them, their king salmon they 31 don't have the volume that they used to have, like we 32 used to have, you know, so I think that we should get 33 together and do this all together, (In Tlingit). 34 35 Gunalcheesh. 36 37 MS. BAUSCHER: Gunalcheesh, Frank. 38 Thank you. 39 40 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I think what 41 Frank might be asking for there is if the Staff could 42 maybe get us a copy of that letter so we could look at 43 it and see if we could -- apparently Heather kind of 44 indicated it might have different issues than we're 45 dealing with but we should probably see it and we might 46 have a similar letter to write. 47

So, John, go ahead.

49 50

MR. SMITH: The first day I was here I couldn't sleep because I was -- that was on my mind about the bycatch, so saying that, I just find that it's odd that they -- or ironic that, you know, the Board of Fish was meeting and transboundary and we weren't there. I truly believe anything to do with fish, and salmon and our foods from the air, sea, land, the whole works, that we're all in it together, and we should be -- every one of us should be at the table on any of those events. So I like what Frank said, (In Tlingit) all hands on.

Gunalcheesh. Uh.

MS. BAUSCHER: Thank you, John.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Harvey did you have a question, go ahead.

MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. One of the things is we don't have -- really the people that live along the Fraser River to come talk with us, we need them to come forward with us to go with the transboundary. We need the firsthand knowledge because right now we're dealing with what we call, what if this happens, what if. And it's -- you know, that's a subject they don't want to look at. They need to know what did happen. So somehow we need to get these people along the Fraser River that suffered from the subsistence to come and be part of the meeting.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Harvey. Yeah, Heather, you reminded me, I need to check the phones and see who's standing by on the telephone line that also wants to testify and maybe what topics you wanted to talk about so, maybe, if everybody's done with questions from Heather I can -- or one more from John, go ahead.

MR. SMITH: Yeah, just -- just what I -- I pulled this up, Alaska Board of Fisheries seat remains vacant. And I know that Mr. Sanderson out here mentioned that there was a couple other seats that were -- and would definitely like to hear and see all those different seats and applications for that so we can encourage, you know, the support in those areas if those positions are not there and getting somebody

```
0352
 1
     there in those positions that understand and know the
 2
     knowledge. Hurrah.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good observation.
 5
     So if I could go to the phone lines and.....
 6
 7
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....if you're
10
     standing by waiting to.....
11
12
                     MS. BAUSCHER: Thank you.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you,
15
     Heather. Thanks for reminding me about the phone lines
16
     too.
17
18
                     MS. BAUSCHER: Yeah.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So if you're
21
     standing by.
22
23
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
24
     Albert.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Albert, go ahead.
27
28
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
29
     Albert -- thank you Madame Chair -- or Mr. Chairman --
30
     that was from yesterday, I guess. I have a question
31
     for Heather....
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, sorry.
34
35
                     MR. HOWARD: .....through all your
36
     work, do you know if there's any scale samples or any
37
     type of DNA samples that can demonstrate that, in fact,
38
     our salmon or king salmon are being intercepted by the
39
     trawl fleet out in the Bering Sea? That's Part 1, Mr.
40
     Chair.
41
42
                     MS. BAUSCHER: To Mr. Howard's
43
     question. At the last Council meeting this past June
44
     there was a genetics report released, they were doing
     sampling on the king salmon that were intercepted and
45
46
     there was a breakdown in the percentages. I can't
47
     remember separate from -- of the overall king -- the
48
     overall king salmon take, I think their cap's around
49
     45,000 fish, I think this past year they took around
50
```

33,000 fish so they were operating below the cap and one of the arguments at that meeting, well, then let's lower the cap, industry is showing that they can operate at a lower level, why are we allowing them to take so much of this fully allocated resource. Anyway, in that breakdown from what I remember, and you should check this, but Gulf of Alaska, I'm pretty sure it was 45 percent BC fish, 40 percent Washington fish, and about 15 percent transboundary fish, and that's also why we're going to be in trouble when it gets to the Treaty place in a couple years, you know, given the --all the things with Canada, Washington and the killer whales but, yeah, that report is available, I think, on the North Pacific Council's website probably, and we could pull that up and I could also try and help get that letter from the other RACs to you because I've been in talks with them, or help you find it before the end of the day.

And also I just wanted to say, I apologize, I didn't mean to speak harshly about the Department of State person, I mean they were pretty new, in their defense, and this is like a lot of stuff to understand so it's not like he didn't know or he wasn't trying, I mean he spent two hours with us so he was trying. And, you know, we're grateful for that. But, yeah, the turnover part is a problem and I think he was more of a budget person, not a biologist.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thanks for that answer. Did you have something else, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Well, Mr. Chairman, it seems like when you talk about the Treaty, that's coming up, they don't recognize the subsistence user when it comes to an allocation on the king salmon.

MS. BAUSCHER: Yeah.

MR. HOWARD: I mean we've heard that in another meeting, they lump us in with the sportfishing part of that and it seems like that, to me, doesn't seem fair when communities throughout Southeast have been relying on king salmon forever as a way to subsidize, you know, as an example me having to go to the store and buy port chops, I'd rather go out and catch a king salmon, if I could. But sometimes they shut that down saying we've met our quota and I don't remember -- it's kind of nice to just be able to have

```
0354
    things the way they used to be where they didn't tell
    us we had to close something. But I think if we can
    work together to build a case that, in fact, the trawl
    fleet is catching and dumping a lot of our fish then I
    think we should join that fight. I talk to a lot of
    fishermen here in Angoon and they're wondering if
 6
 7
    that's what the problem is. I think more research into
     that would help us build a case.
 9
10
                     Mr. Chair, thank you.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert.
13
    We'll go back to the phone lines here and see who's
14
     online -- thanks, again, Heather, for coming back -- to
15
     see who else is online and wants to give some testimony
16
     this morning.
17
18
                     (No comments)
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody there from
21
    transboundary mining.
22
23
                     MS. WALKER: Good morning, can you hear
24
    me?
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes. Yeah, hear
27
    you fine.
28
29
                     MS. WALKER: Good morning, Council
30
    members. And -- great -- thank you so much for the
31
    opportunity to give public comments this morning. My
32
     name is Brianna Walker.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Can I -- before
35
    you get started, Brianna, could I just get an idea of
36
    who else is on there as well.
37
38
                     MS. WALKER: Oh, yes.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody
41
    else.
42
43
                     (No comments)
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, it sounds
46
    like I don't hear anybody else, go ahead, Brianna.
47
48
                     MS. WALKER: Thank you so much. So as
49
     I said my name is Brianna Walker, I'm the Director for
50
```

2

Salmon Beyond Borders and I'm joining you today from AukeWaan and Tlingit Haa Anni, the ancestral and present homelands of the AukeWaan Tlingit people in Juneau, Alaska.

4 5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

I called in to speak with all of you last year for this annual meeting and so I believe most of you are familiar with Salmon Beyond Borders. We're a community driven campaign, we work closely with commercial and sportfishermen, community leaders, tourism and recreation business owners and concerned citizens across the Alaska British Columbia to defend and sustain our transboundary rivers, jobs and our salmon way of life. You all are very familiar with the transboundary issues so I won't spend too much time giving you an update there. I just wanted to add the request that the Council consider sending another letter this year and, specifically I really wanted to thank the Council -- I know you've taken leadership on this issue, included it in many of your letters over the years, and so I thank you for that and for your continued effort to advocate for the Taku, Stikine, and Unuk and our wild salmon that we all rely on.

232425

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

Specifically this year we are going to be delivering some asks to the Biden Administration that has been coming from communities across Southeast Alaska over the last year and I am sending an email to DeAnna that includes copies of these resolutions that have been passed, with a little bit more information, just for you to consider including those asks in any letter that you send. It would be immensely helpful for this Council to send a letter to the Department of Agriculture and the Department of the Interior as well as the Department of State restating your concerns around the issue of British Columbia's mines in the Taku, Stikine and Unuk, and specifically it would be great to have you include and voice support for the asks coming from Southeast Alaska which includes a call for a permanent ban on mine tailings dams in the Taku, Stikine and Unuk and an immediate pause on all new permits of BC mines in the Taku, Stikine and Unuk until there is a multi-national agreement in place that includes the voices of communities and tribes and all of us living downstream so that we ensure we have a say in the decisions about how this habitat is managed.

46 47 48

 $\label{eq:case there in case there are any questions.} \\$

```
0356
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, okay, are
 2
     there any questions from the Council. Patty Phillips,
     go ahead.
 4
 5
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 6
     apologize, but she went through the asks -- I only got
 7
     No. 2, what were the other two.
 8
 9
                     MS. WALKER: Thank you, Patty.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Patty, DeAnna's
12
     got them written down.
13
14
                     MS. WALKER: I'm sending them also to
15
     DeAnna.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: DeAnna's taking
18
    notes, she's got them.
19
20
                     MS. WALKER: And I'm also sending them
21
    written via email so you will have copies to reference
22
     of the resolutions that have been passed in
23
    municipalities and then also some just suggested
24
     language for you to incorporate should you decide to
25
     include these asks in your letter.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Other
28
     questions. Ian, go ahead.
29
30
                     MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
31
     This is either a question for Brianna, or just a
32
     general question to the Council a little bit, too, but
33
     like do we know what the formal response to our letter
34
    has been, like what have we received to these requests
35
     to-date?
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm pretty sure I
38
     can say that we got no response on the letter that we
39
     tried to have forwarded to the State Department through
40
     the Agriculture and Interior, and if I'm mistaken on
41
     that somebody correct me, but.
42
43
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair, that is
44
     actually addressed in our annual report reply from the
45
     Board, it's No. 1. And that is where the Board
46
     suggested that we resubmit a letter so, yeah, I can
47
     detail that with you if you'd like.
48
49
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
```

0357 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other 2 questions. 3 4 MS. WALKER: Through the Chair, if I 5 may. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 8 Brianna. 9 10 MS. WALKER: This is Brianna. I just 11 wanted to offer, I'm also including some additional places that you all could send the letter. I know that 12 13 this Council specifically works with Department of 14 Interior and Department of Agriculture, which is why I 15 think those agencies have been targets in the past. Department of State, of course, is a good place to send 16 17 the letter and we do have contact information for those 18 offices there that are specifically working on the 19 transboundary issue. But then there is also the 20 International Joint Commission, which is the governing 21 body of the Boundary Waters Treaty, the Treaty that 22 says no polluted water should flow to either side of 23 the border and that is the governing body that really 24 has the ability to come in and put a table in place for 25 those of us down stream to have a voice in this process 26 because we currently don't. So I would also offer 27 sending a letter to the International Joint Commission. 28 There have been calls from Southeast Alaska for nearly 29 a decade for engagement by that body and I do think 30 that a letter coming directly from this Council 31 expressing the concerns that these mines pose to 32 subsistence in Southeast Alaska would be very important 33 even though the Council doesn't directly work with IJC, 34 I think that would be appropriate to include them on 35 the letter. 36 37 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, again, Brianna. And, yeah, it would probably be very 38 39 helpful if you could email some of that information to 40 our Coordinator. Then we have a question from John 41 Smith. Go ahead, John. 42 43 MR. SMITH: I'm the new fellow but 44 hearing not that they didn't respond to you and I don't know if we could check and see if they did respond to 45 46 us but I find that unprofessional and in our new letter 47 to address that, why we weren't responded to. I don't 48 know if this happens that much but if it does, I think

that's a big issue. I think it's important enough that

49

0358 they should respond to us. Just feelings is all, thank 2 vou. 3 4 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, point well 5 taken. I think we'd agree on that. Any other 6 questions for Brianna Walker, transboundary rivers. 7 8 (No comments) 9 10 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I don't see 11 any Brianna. Thank you very much for bringing all 12 those things to our attention, much appreciated. 13 14 MS. WALKER: Thank you, very much. And 15 I did just want to offer that we do hear from 16 Department of State quite regularly and when we do we 17 continue to hear from them that it is very important 18 for them to hear from people on the ground in Southeast 19 Alaska and in particular Councils such as yourselves. 20 So for what it's worth from my perspective, a letter 21 from you all does carry a lot of weight and I do encourage you to do what you can to raise this issue. 22 23 24 Thank you so very much for your time 25 this morning. 26 27 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you again. What, you got a question or comment, John. 28 29 30 MR. SMITH: So it sounded like -- I 31 might be confused but they responded to her but they 32 didn't respond to us, no -- no? Okay, sorry. 33 34 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, right, 35 different issue. Anybody else on the telephone wants 36 to give public testimony on non-agenda items. 37 38 (No comments) 39 40 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, let's go 41 back to the blue cards. I have a card here from Tony 42 Gallegos, and it looks like you wanted to talk about 43 maybe a fisheries issue and then I also have a card 44 that says you want to talk on the rural determination, 45 and I don't know if I see Tony here right now -- okay -okay, he is here, very good. And I'm also -- just 46 47 while Tony's coming up, I'm also looking for Larry 48 Bemis, I don't think I see Larry in the room, he also

has a blue card -- but, no, I don't see him. Come on

49

```
0359
    up Tonv.
 2
 3
                     MR. GALLEGOS: Hello, this is Tony
 4
     Gallegos. I'm Staff with Ketchikan Indian Community,
 5
     429 Deermont Street. Sorry, I was out of the room and
     I'm not sure if this was something I addressed
 6
 7
     yesterday or not.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm unsure. It
10
     says bead foods and shellfish, is that what it says,
11
    CTU?
12
13
                     MR. GALLEGOS: This would have been
14
    related to the.....
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, beach foods.
17
18
                     MR. GALLEGOS: .....statements I made
19
    yesterday concerning the customary and traditional use
20
    of the shellfish so.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, you were up
23
    for that, weren't you?
24
25
                     MR. GALLEGOS: I did, I think, but if
26
     there's any further questions I'm open to it.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Don't think
29
     so, sorry to drag you in here, my mistake.
30
31
                     (Laughter)
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: The blue card
34
     didn't get put away. If Larry Bemis is not here, all
35
     the other blue cards are rural determination testimony.
36
     One last chance for anybody on the telephone.
37
38
                     (No comments)
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If not we'll get
41
     -- who is it, Brent Vickers, I think you're going to
42
    give us a -- yes, an analysis on the threshold analysis
    for the non-rural status of Ketchikan. And as I said
44
    we'll have this presentation, we'll get any questions
    from the Council and then we'll have public comments on
45
46
    this issue.
47
48
                     MR. VICKERS: Good morning, Mr. Chair.
49
    Members of the Council. For the record my name is
50
```

Brent Vickers, Anthropology Division Supervisor at the Office of Subsistence Management. I am presenting a draft threshold assessment of non-rural determination proposal NDP25-01. You can find the draft threshold assessment on Page 119 of your Council books. This is an action item.

First, it's important to know that unlike fisheries and wildlife regulatory proposals, which are on two year cycles, non-rural determination proposals are on a four year cycle. The process takes four years to complete.

The second main difference is that non-rural determination proposals have a threshold assessment. Threshold assessments like this one focus on the merits of the proposal itself and not on the rural character of the proposed community. If the Board determines that the threshold requirements have been met at its upcoming winter regulatory meeting then OSM will proceed with a full analysis on the rural character of the community.

NDP25-01 was submitted by the Ketchikan Indian Community and requests that the Federal Subsistence Board rescind the non-rural determination of Ketchikan area, which would ultimately result in a rural designation of the Ketchikan area. The purpose of this threshold assessment is to evaluate if the proposal meets the four threshold requirements necessary for a full analysis of the proposal's request.

The first threshold requirement is that the proposal is based upon information not previously considered by the Board. The Board adopted the new policy on non-rural determinations in January 2017. Ketchikan's non-rural status has not been considered by the Board under these new regulations. Furthermore, the proponent claims that characteristics of Ketchikan area have changed since its previous non-rural determination including a reduced population level, less services and a less reliable food supply chain, likewise, the proponent reports that the community of the Ketchikan area have levels of subsistence resource harvesting and sharing similar to those of nearby rural communities. Lastly, in March 2022 the Ketchikan Indian Community tribal government declared that the Ketchikan Indians -- that the Ketchikan area was rural.

OSM conclusion is that the proposal meets this threshold requirement.

The second threshold requirement is that the proposal demonstrates that the information used and interpreted by the Board in designating the community as non-rural has changed since the original determination was made. The proponent states that there have been changes in Ketchikan since previous determinations, including a smaller population, less grocery stores and other services, inflation of fuel and non-traditional food prices and less reliability in the non-traditional food supply chain. Additionally, the proponent claims that other Federal agencies, including the Department of Agriculture have expanded their definitions of rural and that Ketchikan qualifies as rural under these definitions.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{The}}$ OSM conclusion is that the proposal meets this threshold requirement.

The third threshold requirement is that the proposal provides substantive rationale and supporting evidence for determining the rural status of a community or area that takes into consideration the unique quality of the region. The proponent explained that Ketchikan is inaccessible by the road system from the rest of the state of Alaska and the country, has a limited access to non-traditional foods that can be purchased through stores, has an unreliable supply chain for importing non-traditional foods that depends on privately owned and -- privately owned barges, has limited access to hospitals and other services, has a high reliance on traditional foods in the area and has active food sharing and traditional trading networks among its community members that are consistent with those of nearby rural communities such as Saxman, Metlakatla and Prince of Wales.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{OSM}}$ conclusion is that the proposal meets this threshold requirement.

The final threshold requirement is that the proposal provides substantive information that meets the provided rationale that a community or area is rural instead of non-rural. The proponent provided substantive information on community boundaries, demographics, services, subsistence harvest practices and resource sharing and a declaration by the Ketchikan

Indian Community tribal government that Ketchikan Indian Community is a rural territory.

OSM conclusion is that the proposal meets this threshold requirement.

In closing, OSM found that the proposal meets all four threshold requirements. This is an action item for which the Council can accept the proposal and suggest that the OSM proceed with the analysis of the rural character of the Ketchikan area. If the Board determines that the proposal has met the threshold assessment requirements at its January regulatory meeting and there is a full analysis on the rural character of -- then there will be a full analysis of the rural character of Ketchikan. The full analysis takes two years, during which OSM will hold a public meeting here in Ketchikan where people, tribes and organizations can comment on the rural character of Ketchikan. The Council will also have opportunities at its meetings to make recommendations on the analysis and the rural character of Ketchikan. The Board will take those comments and the Council recommendation into account when it make sits determination on the rural character of Ketchikan area at its 2025 regulatory meeting.

Thank you, and let me know if you have any questions.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. Questions from the Council. Louie Wagner, go ahead.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ WAGNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. On the rules that have been changed, what I remember the population limit was 8,000 when this rural determination was made.

MR. VICKERS: The former policy depended very much on the population level, which I believe at that time Ketchikan was sort of the baseline of what that was based -- on which they established that population level so it was around seven or 8,000, I can't remember off hand, but the new policies do not depend on population levels.

MR. WAGNER: Even when Ketchikan two or three years ago was like 17,000-plus people?

MR. VICKERS: The new policies do not depend on population levels.

MR. WAGNER: Well....

MR. VICKERS: The new policies take into account things like population levels, take into account things like harvesting levels, dependence of the community on traditional foods, on subsistence resources, on resource sharing and other ways -- other practices that are consistent with the subsistence way of life and very heavily on the Council recommendations, tribal recommendations and recommendations from community members. So take -that all changed in 2017. There's only been one nonrural determination since then, that was for Moose Pass during the last cycle and that was -- Moose Pass was determined -- or they -- the non-rural status was rescinded so it's now -- it now has a rural status and that's been the only determination made under the new regulations.

MR. WAGNER: And during the summer when the cruise ships are in, the town grows by five, 7,000 people with four and five ships in town, which puts a lot of sportsfishermen out and it -- what I'm looking at is what it's going to do to Prince of Wales for all these sportfishermen out there that's going to be taking the resources out there. And then the road system, is that thrown out, too, all these guidelines that were there?

MR. VICKERS: The entire process was revised. And so a lot of those, sort of metrics were pushed aside and it's a much more holistic perspective that the Board takes into consideration, as I said much — more to do with the rural character rather than these, you know, thresholds, and much more to do with Council recommendations and taking into consideration regional differences and everything else that they can.

MR. WAGNER: And Ketchikan has Walmart, they have the airport, they have the ferry system in and out, if they want to go to Rupert in the summer, or down to Seattle, where the other villages really don't have a ferry service anymore, which is very essential. All these things should be considered. Just because you changed the number of population and the road system, you know, it's just this is really serious,

it's going to put a huge impact on the villages and especially Prince of Wales.

MR. VICKERS: I don't want to comment on the impacts its going to have on other communities, but I will remind you that this is actually a threshold assessment that relies on the merits of the proposal itself and whether the proposal meets these thresholds and we will get into everything that you're mentioning if we go into a full analysis of the proposal after the Board meeting -- if the Board determines that the thresholds of this proposal -- requirements have been met.

MR. WAGNER: Okay. I just think these are very important questions because this will have a huge impact in the area so.....

MR. VICKERS: Yes, these are all questions that will be considered during a full analysis when we have public meetings, et cetera, thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Yeah, thank you Louie. John Smith, go ahead.

MR. SMITH: This is just a perspective of, you know, non-rural and rural, I just looked up and it's all different so maybe we need to look at what the actual definition in Alaska would be as non-rural and rural if you're not following population numbers, you just share that you don't look at it that way. We need to get all on the same page in figuring out what's rural and non-rural. Just a thought, I don't know. But it's confusing, even to me, looking it up, and then you saying that it doesn't go by numbers. So just a perspective, sorry.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, John. If it would be helpful, I think DeAnna has, what, you have a policy on that?

MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair. There is a formal non-rural determination policy which Mr. Vickers mentioned and I'll be happy to provide that to the Council. Today's action item is just on the threshold analysis as Mr. Vickers said, so we didn't want to bombard you with too much information because we kind of have to go through this first hurdle before we can

```
0365
     even get into that discussion. But I'll be happy to
 2
     provide that to you shortly.
 3
 4
                     Thank you.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. In other
 7
     words, specific questions kind of come later, this is
 8
     more of a general discussion, I guess.
 9
10
                     So, Harvey, you have a question.
11
12
                     MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Not
13
     really a question. But any discussion on it needs to
14
     come after we put it on the floor.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
17
     Harvey. Yeah, we will have discussion on this, it is
18
     an action item. So Patty, go ahead.
19
20
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. Thank you,
                   So the, you know, KIC is asking for rural
21
    Mr. Vickers.
22
    status designation for Ketchikan area, and KIC declared
23
     the Ketchikan Indian Community territory as rural. So
24
    Ketchikan Indian Community tribal government has
25
    declared the Ketchikan Indian Community territory as
26
    rural, can only tribal members have rural status in
27
     this designation of rural for subsistence? Would that
28
    be something that would be analyzed in the full
29
     analysis.
30
31
                     MR. VICKERS: Thank you for that
32
     question. It's always an important question that comes
33
    up. This -- the Federal Subsistence Program only looks
34
     at rural and community members, it doesn't make a
35
    distinction between tribal, Native or non-Native or
36
     anything. So anyone living within the defined area,
37
     irrespective of their other affiliations as long as
38
     they have residence in that defined area are -- fit the
39
     associated status of that area so it would be -- if
40
    this went through everyone living in Ketchikan, with a
41
     residency -- permanent residency in Ketchikan would be
42
     considered rural.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else with
45
     a question.
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
```

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, because I

49

have a couple -- oh, there's Frank. Go ahead, Frank.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I was just thinking here, you know, this Council deals with rural with most of our issues so Ketchikan, Juneau, all the larger communities that -- do they become rural since we, as a Council, deal with rural issues, you know, because -- I'm not -- I'm a little confused because, you know, Hoonah's rural, all of a sudden Juneau's going to be rural so they're rural and non-rural, so what becomes non-rural?

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MR. VICKERS: Thank you for that question. Currently there are no proposals for a non-rural determination, a proposal would have to go out. So if there was a community that a proponent felt would no longer fit into the -- a category for rural they would have to put in a proposal and it would have to go through this process. There is currently also not a proposal out for rescinding non-rural determination of Juneau, there is only one just for Ketchikan area itself. Any other community would needs its own separate proposal to either change its status from rural to non-rural or to change it from non-rural to rural. I hope that answers your question.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else. Followup, Frank, go ahead.

 MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair. Well, I'm still kind of confused but anyway --but because, you know, there was some people that argued against the Juneau -- you know a lot of the Hoonah Tlingits live in Juneau so they are considered rural so they are -- they're confused, you know, because they don't know they -- they can come hunt because they live in Juneau -- so, thank you, Mr. Chair.

MR. VICKERS: Thank you, that's a very important question. If they have -- anyone who has resi -- this all depends on residency status, the whole rural/non-rural as far as the Federal Board is concerned, it's completely on residence status. Not where they were born, not where they like to visit. If they have their permanent residency in Juneau then they do not -- they are considered to live in a non-rural

0367 area and they are not rural residents and, therefore, they are not Federally-qualified subsistence users. 2 3 4 Thank you. 5 6 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So.... 7 8 MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is 9 Albert. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Just a second, 12 Albert, I want to clarify that last question. So I 13 think maybe what Frank was alluding to there, and it's 14 kind of a question I have, so if you have tribal 15 membership in Hoonah, that is not considered any kind 16 of connection to a rural community, correct? 17 18 MR. VICKERS: Thank you for that 19 question, these are great clarifying questions and you 20 are correct. Tribal membership in any community -- the 21 status does not rely on tribal membership, it only 22 relies on where your residency is. 23 24 Thank you. 25 26 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. 27 Albert, do you have a question. 28 29 MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 30 This one concerns me because we have to look at the 31

proposal we have on the table how that will impact us in the future if this -- if this does happen and Juneau decides they want to be a part of the rural determination then they would be allowed -- and we just might as well throw all the proposals out the window if they're allowed to become Federally-qualified, you know, that means anybody can come on to Admiralty and everything we just worked on the last couple of days means nothing and I'm sure Prince of Wales would have the same issue when it comes to deer populations or anything on that island and people are showing up from Ketchikan hunting because they're Federally-qualified. We're just opening something that we may pay a price for later. There are solutions to that, I agree there are people in Ketchikan that have always lived off the resources around them and I think you can almost create a special area for places like Juneau, Sitka and Ketchikan where they are, in fact, Federally-qualified in their own boundaries, if that makes any sense, Mr.

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

0368 1 Chairman. 2 3 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there a 6 question there, Albert, or a comment? 7 8 MR. HOWARD: That was just a comment, 9 Mr. Chair, and something for us to consider when we're 10 looking at this, the impact it's going to have on us 11 going forward. 12 13 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 14 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep, and I think 16 we are well aware of that. Mr. Douville, go ahead. 17 18 MR. DOUVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 19 I think that we're getting into the finer points of 20 this thing, which we could debate that a little later, 21 where it could be explained, this part of it is pretty 22 simple really. But just so, if the Council isn't 23 aware, there's mechanisms in Title VIII to protect 24 rural users from rural users overrunning another. I 25 mean all those things are already in place so you 26 really shouldn't be fearful. 27 28 Thank you. 29 30 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep, you're right 31 about that, Mike. And that is getting the cart a 32 little bit in front of the horse here. So let's try 33 and keep this limited to questions on this threshold 34 analysis and not get too far into what the impacts 35 would be if, four years down the road, you know, 36 there's a positive finding. But -- did you have a 37 question, Ian, was that your hand -- go ahead. 38 39 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr. Vickers, I'm just -- the part I'm struggling with 40 41 is not having the proposal before us and kind of -- or, 42 you know, the actual KIC submission to just like sort 43 of think about my own evaluation of it. And then also, 44 you know, all we have is threshold met, but with no kind of description of like the process or like whether 45

-- you know, I'm trying -- I guess my question is, can

threshold, there must be some -- like the assumption in

my mind, I'm imagining, a rubric, you know, basically

you describe more, kind of the determination of the

46

47

48

49

it's a checklist, an evaluation of the proposal, it meets these criteria, as you're evaluating the statement, the threshold requirements, it seems like there must still be like some sort of sub-points or bullets underneath that, or it's -- your evaluating the proposal just against the single statement -- or can you just describe a little bit more about how it was determined the threshold was met?

8 9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

5

6 7

> MR. VICKERS: Yeah, that's an excellent question and your latter sort of sub-question is correct, it is really just these single sentences. really it comes down to a yes or no. Did it have -did this proposal, just the proposal itself, which is available online I believe, and much of it -- I believe in the analysis contains some of the quotes or other supporting evidence. But I did not -- for the threshold assessment did not look outside of the proposal. So I didn't go and look at the actual, you know, so harvest history or anything, because a threshold assessment is just asking does this proposal -is there enough in here for us to actually go through the big analysis, let's not start off with a big analysis, let's just make sure proponents have enough to make -- even make this suggestion that we do it. And so the four threshold requirements are just those four questions. There's not a matrix. There's not a, it needs to contain six of these, three of these to meet this. Really it just comes down to is the -- was there information not considered, does the proposal say that there was information that wasn't considered before; have there been changes since the last determination; are there evidence of -- does the proposal contain evidence that there's rural character and so really just looking through the proposal, finding those things and saying, yeah, it's here.

36 37 38

39

40

And then it would be up to you, the Council, to see if you actually agree with that, that the proposal does actually contain information that meet that threshold requirement.

41 42 43

Thank you.

44 45

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Patty -- or excuse me, Cathy has a question.

46 47 48

 $\,$ MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I just want to followup with Ian on that because -- or

Ian's comment was because it was one of my comments as well. Like in this situation your recommendation is that it meets the threshold requirement. But if we had a proposal for us where you said you opposed, or that 5 it did not meet the threshold requirement, I most certainly would want to see that proposal in front of 6 7 me, because I would want to make sure, like it's a crosscheck. And so having the proposal and us 8 9 understanding like how you're making that decision is 10 helpful mainly because we haven't been through this 11 process in Southeast before and so it's like, you're 12 saying the threshold -- we're trusting you in the 13 analysis that you made of the proposal, we don't get 14 any crosscheck on that. So I don't think it's 15 necessary for us today, in terms of making our decision 16 but I think in the future OSM should consider including 17 those in our proposal book when they do and ask us to 18 make a -- like to concur with a threshold assessment on 19 these kinds of things and it should be part of the non-20 rural determination policy.

21 22

Thank you.

2324

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

MR. VICKERS: Thank you for that comment. This is actually only the second time we've done this under the new regulations. It did cross my mind during this, do I keep in the proposal or not, the other proposals aren't in the Council book so I edged on keeping it in consistency with how the other fishery proposals are and I tried to keep as much of it -information from the proposal in the analysis and also knowing that the proposal can be accessed online, I went with that. But hearing the comments today, next time I'm going to put the proposal in there because I do know that that's easier when you just have it right in front of you in the Council book. So I am sorry for err in judgment, kind of winging it as far as a lot of those little decisions go because we don't really have the guidelines totally written out like we do with the fisheries proposals, what goes where and everything. So that's going into my guidelines, so thank you for that suggestion.

42 43 44

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody

else.

45 46 47

(No comments)

48 49

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Yeah, a

```
0371
     couple questions, a couple things.....
 2
 3
                     MR. SLATER: Mr. Chair.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....that I didn't
 6
     hear in the proposal and.....
 7
 8
                     MR. SLATER: Mr. Chair.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ..... don't know,
11
     things -- excuse me, is that Jim Slater on the line?
12
13
                     MR. SLATER: Yes, it was, thank you.
14
     I'd like to ask a question.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Jim. Go
17
     ahead.
18
19
                     MR. SLATER: The -- thank you.
20
          The proposal mentions throughout the text that
21
     the population has changed even though there's not a
22
     strict limit on the population anymore, or criteria on
23
     that, it mentions throughout that the population has
24
     changed, it mentions that there's less services, a less
     reliable food chain, limited access to health services,
25
26
    hospitals. If you could just run down the list, could
27
     you tell us about what the population change has been
     that they've seen, has there been any change in the
28
29
     ferry service or barge service, have there been any
30
     flight changes by Alaska Airlines, and how many groc --
31
     which grocery stores have changed, you know, are
32
    Walmart and Safeway still open and is the hospital
33
     reduced its size or change in any way. I would just
34
    like to hear that because it's mentioned throughout
35
    this and that doesn't seem like what I -- I hadn't
    heard about any of these drastic changes. I'd just
36
37
    like to hear what the data is behind those statements
38
    if I could.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If I could say
41
    here, I don't know those kind of questions sound a bit
42
     too detailed for me, Jim. I think Brent would probably
43
     agree those are the kind of things that they have yet
44
     to analyze. They've only looked at it broadly as
     whether or not they should be factors but the details,
45
46
     I don't think we've gotten there yet; is that correct?
47
48
                     MR. VICKERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
49
     That is correct. My interpretation of this threshold
```

assessment was to really stick to the proposal. And the idea that they suggested that there's been these changes, to me, fit under that -- fit as the supporting evidence for the assessment and those are the details that would actually go into a full analysis, would be looked at much -- looked at comprehensively during a full analysis. But I really -- my interpretation and what has been done before with a non-rural determination proposal is sticking to the proposal and does this proponent have the information to make these claims, are the claims that they're making substantive enough that we could look into them during a full full analysis. I hope that answers your question. And I'm sorry it doesn't incorporate that kind of data but, again, this was my interpretation of how the threshold assessment should be run and how it was run during the last non-rural determination cycle.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.

MR. SLATER: Well, I understand the answer there but I would say that if we're asked to make a decision on whether or not we should let this go forward, I would say that that kind of information is critical otherwise what's the point of us even evaluating this. That's my response.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:} \quad \text{Do you have a response, Brent.}$

MR. VICKERS: My response is that's a valid point to make, this is a step in the process is all I can say. You know maybe that kind of comment could be taken in reconsideration in how this threshold -- what this threshold assessment is supposed to incorporate for the future....

(Cell phone ringing)

MR. VICKERS:but right now I really stuck to what has been done and what my interpretation and it has gone through levels of review through OSM, through ISC, and we all have agreed that this is what should be incorporated in this assessment.

Thank you.

1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.

3 MR. SLATER: Okay, thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So, you know, in listening to your assessment there, one of the kind of important factors that I would want to consider, I think, in our eventual determination, I didn't hear it mentioned, is a threshold analysis and, I don't know, maybe it's in there somewhere, but just kind of economic factors of the community. You kind of mentioned a whole bunch of different stuff. But, to me, primary characteristic of a rural community is its extent of seasonal economy, you know, when a community doesn't have a core year-round industry, you know, it becomes more seasonal, that, to me, is a real characteristic of a rural community. And, I don't know, I think that's probably one of the big changes we've seen in Ketchikan here, you know, it's become as, Mr. Wagner pointed out, very much a seasonal economy now and I don't know, is that something that would be considered in this analysis, or -- I didn't hear it mentioned. I don't know if it's kind of implied somewhere.

MR. VICKERS: Thank you for that question, Mr. Chair. Basically everything will be considered dealing with the character of the Ketchikan area. The demographics, the changes, the economics, the changes over time, really and how it relates to subsistence — use of subsistence resources, reliance on subsistence uses, practices, shar — like I said, sharing, harvesting methods, harvesting means, anything — anything I can collect that speaks to this I will incorporate.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Another question, just the fact that a community has a large Native population, and I know we're going to hear from a lot of those folks today, does that in and of itself become a factor, because, you know, that's a community that's traditionally been engaged in subsistence activities, so it's kind of like you need to be rural in our present system to have, you know, subsistence opportunities but yet having have lived the subsistence lifestyle for generations after generations, it seems like that ought to be a factor as

well, just how many people in the community have lived that lifestyle traditionally. Is that not valid?

MR. VICKERS: Thank you for that The ethnic, racial, tribal demographics in question. itself is not something the Board would consider. But there are associations, as you say, and recommendations from the Council and tribes play heavily into that. If a community has a very strong presence of Native Alaskans who, as you say, traditionally practice -- or practice those traditional subsistence resourcing harvesting methods, means, sharing, then that community is -- may be considered as one that is very reliant on subsistence and subsistence practices. So while looking at a metric and saying, well, this is such and such percentage of Natives so therefore let's consider it rural is not a characteristic that the Board would look on, the amount of sharing, harvesting and everything that might be associated with a large Native population would be looked at.

thank you.

I hope that answers your question,

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, yeah, that's very helpful, thank you. One last short question, when you do hold these public meetings, I guess it would be pretty beneficial to us, if possible, to schedule them at such a time that they might coincide with one of our Council meetings so the Council could be present for those public meetings. So just a thought.

MR. VICKERS: Thank you. I think the -- DeAnna Perry, sitting to your right side would be heavily involved with scheduling those meetings so speak to her if we move in that direction. Thank you.

 $\label{eq:chairman} \mbox{CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Any other questions.}$

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Mr. Vickers. So I think, like I said, the Council is going to have a chance to weigh in on this, just basically approve or disapprove of their threshold analysis and before we do that, though, we want to hear from the public so let's go to the blue cards and, I don't know, there's a dozen or so. I guess I'm hoping

that, you know, some of these won't be too lengthy. If I thought they would be lengthy I might have to start putting time limits on them but I don't want to do that prematurely so I'll just throw that out there.

Let's see the first one I have is from Trixie Bennett.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted - dog barking)

MS. BENNETT: Good morning. (In Tlingit) Members of the Council. Mr. Chair. Madame Chair. Trixie Bennett from Ketchikan Indian Community.

(In Tlingit)

MS. BENNETT: I just said my English name is Trixie Bennett. I said I'm Tlingit. I belong to the (In Tlingit) people. We're a Raven/Frog Clan out of Wrangell but there's (In Tlingit) all over Southeast Alaska, all over Alaska. I'm Tlingit from my mom, Tahltan from my dad, and mom was — her name was Minnie Larson and my grandma was Emma Shakes. We always, as most of you know who are indigenous, we always give recognition to our grandparents and that connection we have.

I'm here to speak in support of KIC's proposal to make Ketchikan a subsistence and hunting -- a subsistence, hunting and fishing community. You heard from your Staff, we meet the thresholds for this proposal. I saw a lot of specific questions came up, we're hoping for the opportunity to answer those questions over the next couple of years. We know it's a big decision and an important decision, especially the impact that's on the, you know, our surrounding communities and our island here.

KIC's mission is to enhance and protect the interest of the tribe and its tribal citizens including upholding our cultural heritage and traditional values. Subsistence, as codified in ANILCA, helps sustain, not only the physical but the spiritual culture of Alaska Native people. Recognizing this is an important tradition for many non-Natives as well, Congress established that local rural residents be given the precedence for using fish and wildlife resources, prioritizing subsistence uses over other

uses such as sportfishing, and hunting. That's what we're doing here today, that's what I see you doing.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

We need that here in Ketchikan. I heard one of the Council say it themself, the demand grows greatly in the summer, we need the subsistence protections for our food and medicines. We strongly believe achieving a rural designation for Ketchikan is a giant step in the right direction in our mission of upholding our way of life. I've been in Ketchikan over 30 years now, I'm the President for the tribe right now, Ketchikan Indian Community, it's one of two Federally-recognized tribes here. You heard from the Saxman President yesterday, President Joe Williams. You heard his support for our proposal. You heard there's a need to correct this imbalance that is existing on our island within our people.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

At KIC, I've seen our programs grow and the overall access to our healthcare and services greatly grow over the last, you know, 20 years or so, we're resilient people but we struggle with generational trauma as well as the trauma we see today with the opiate epidemic and our people are still struggling from high rates of diabetes, heart disease, cancer, homelessness, addiction, overdoes, too many, I couldn't even count them all just this year. With addiction is domestic violence, abuse, neglect and all that goes with that. We have 86 children in our district not set to graduate, and just as many of our Native kids in the State foster care system right now. Many of our young people are in the jails, or out on the street. Through SITC, the Southeast Indigenous Transboundary Commission and -- which I sit on their executive committee, we've done a lot of work and through other groups like Kianna Sisters, we've held plant symposiums, we're working hard to rediscover and protect our traditional food systems here on the land and in the waters, especially on the transboundary rivers as I've mentioned. What we call the sacred headwaters where our creation stories come from, where our migration stories are. The mining industry calls it the golden triangle, 80 percent of the Unuk is staked for mining. We're in trouble there. And I'm grateful to hear you all are paying attention to that as well. We need more work on that because it continues to be probably the biggest threat to salmon and our way of life, the pollution on the transboundary rivers where 80 percent of our fish come from in the

0377

1 Southeast. 80 percent.
2
3 Recentl
4 that show our Tlingit p
5 different types of food

Recently I read about some research that show our Tlingit people used to eat over 400 different types of foods and now when they look at it 6 the average is just under 30 different types of food. 7 Many of us don't know about all these other plants and foods that are in the woods and even in the ocean. And 8 9 you'll hear from other people here today that access to 10 the land is a real problem for us here to get our 11 foods. There are traditional foods all over this 12 island and the surrounding islands that in quantities 13 sufficient to sustain our population. We hear your --14 the concerns, we know. In this proposal we're simply 15 asking the Federal Subsistence Board to recognize that 16 they must give priority access of these resources to 17 our Ketchikan residents. And I hear some of you saying 18 the same thing, but we need that designation for that 19 to happen. Other similar Southeast communities are 20 already designated as rural. Sitka is similar in size, 21 economy and population, it has rural status. That 22 supports our Ketchikan proposal. You'll hear more how 23 we met up with your thresholds too from some people 24 from our Staff and our community. In 2012 the city of 25 Saxman and Saxman Tribe, located just two miles from 26 here, within the Ketchikan Gateway Borough, and relying 27 on the same food chain received rural status which further supports our Ketchikan proposal. As you heard, 28 29 President Joe Williams testify -- or comment yesterday, 30 Saxman not only supports our proposal but he points to 31 this imbalance on our island that's contributing to a 32 loss of our culture, it's hindering our ability as 33 Native people to thrive in a subsistence lifestyle. 34 heard the topic of Tlingit ceremony, Khu.eex come up a 35 couple times, how it was used to obtain this balance. 36 I just, less than two weeks ago, attended Khu.eex in 37 Wrangell for our Kaach.adi Clan, to honor our people 38 because there was an imbalance, they're gone and we 39 need to have ceremony around this. And the very word, Khu.eex means invitation, and it's invitation to come 40 41 feast and to sing to each other and they sing back, and 42 through that ceremony you achieve balance. I felt like 43 I should come here and sing a mourning song because I 44 feel like we're going to be in mourning until we have 45 this balance in our community for our people.

46 47

My Tlingit name means plant leave or plant spirit, (In Tlingit), that name given to me connects me to the land, it grows my spirit, it creates

49 50

a responsibility for me.

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

0378

In my 20-years plus in health care administration here for the tribe I've watched and participated in the progression of our people, in our sovereignty to run our own programs, we've had to relearn our inherent right to hunt and fish and to have ceremony with the land and with each other. We're building up our capacity to co-manage on the Federal lands, some State projects, too. We've had our boots on the ground. We've been observing the returns of the eulachon on the Unuk out there counting, and the herring at the southern end of the island. We're doing habitat restoration out at Margaret Creek. We purchased Orton Ranch on the NaHa River for a place to hold culture camp and a place to share with the whole community about our way of life and our own people, of course, a place where we can prepare our foods and teach our stories and come together as community.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

So in my position with the tribe I've seen what's happening in the community now for almost three decades and we're in trouble here but we do see hope in a lot of the work that we're doing. We have a treatment center planned, a navigation center, some supportive housing. Somewhere near the center -- or of our best programs, the ones that really bring together hearts that have had the most healing in our community are the programs that get our people out on the land and bring us together around our foods. That's been the most impactful. You'll hear other people say that here today. And getting the foods, these are the activities that give that opportunity for our people to hold up our traditional values; I've heard you say those here today, (In Tlingit), you know, Haa Anni, the spiritual aspect of being on the land providing for your community is missing here for many yet we lack the subsistence opportunity of our neighbors, our brothers and sisters in Saxman just two miles away from the location that we sit, but if I live here I'm not afforded those same subsistence rights.

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

40

Another point I think it's important to make is Ketchikan was left out of ANCSA, we did not get land for the Ketchikan people so we have no access as a Federal subsistence user, and we were left out of ANCSA, we don't have access to Cape Fox land. We probably would if we asked. But -- and there's work towards that but I thought it was important to mention

that, there's -- you know, so we have to go way out for our foods, we have to go far away. It's out of balance.

A sound subsistence policy is, one solution we know would have big impacts on the health and cultural survival of the Native people of our area. We really feel like the future of Ketchikan Native people hangs in the balance of your decision.

So, in summary, I just want to remind the Council we are a rural community, we need better access to our foods and the large indigenous population that I proudly represent, they deserve access to these foods just as our ancestors have relied upon since time immemorial. We all agree traditional foods are important to our individual and collective well-being. We need to work together to address this imbalance and change this designation to rural for the people of Ketchikan.

So I thank you in advance for your consideration and your support. Please let me know if there is any questions that I can, or our Staff can answer.

Gunalcheesh.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Ms. Bennett. I think with the Council's indulgence and considering time constraints we have during this testimony I'm going to refrain from questions or -- I got a feeling these testimonies could probably generate a lot of comments, for sure, and maybe some questions could be answered later, but I think we'll forego that and just listen to the people that come before us. And, yeah, speaking of time crunches, I was informed that some Council members who are headed home this evening need to check out of the hotel before 11:00 o'clock, so I guess we're going to have to take a short break.

MS. BENNETT: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So I'll allow that to happen and apologize for that but, yeah, I'm afraid it's going to be necessary so everybody can take 15 minutes, I guess and we'll resume with the testimony and I hope that doesn't inconvenience anybody.

```
0380
 1
                     MS. BENNETT: Gunalcheesh.
 2
 3
                     (Off record)
 4
 5
                     (On record)
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Council
 8
    members are coming back from their checkouts. We got a
 9
     fair number of people that are headed back to Juneau
10
     tonight, Council and Staff, so they had to check out.
11
     They asked the front desk if we could have a extension
12
     until noon but they said, no, so there we are. Sorry
13
     about that.
14
15
                     Okay, so let's continue on with our
    public testimony. We do have somebody on the
16
17
    phoneline, I believe, it says they're on the phone.
18
    Marcy Haines, are you there on the phone. I don't want
19
     to forget about people on the phone. Our court
20
     reporter tells me that she has everybody muted so if
21
     you are waiting to talk you do have to unmute your
22
    phone at your end, yeah, star, six, I believe, is what
23
     you have to hit.
24
25
                     So do we have Marcy Haines on the
26
    phone.
27
28
                     (No comments)
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Not yet.
                                                    Well,
31
     I'll set that one aside and come back to her.
32
33
                     MS. HAINES: Mr. Chair, can you hear
34
    me.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, there you
37
           Is this Marcy, go ahead.
     are.
38
39
                     MS. HAINES: I guess when you're on
40
     your cell phone you can't just press the little mute
    button, you have to press star, six, so thank you for
41
42
     reminding me of that.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I didn't
45
     know that either but we all learned something. Go
46
     ahead.
47
48
                     MS. HAINES: Okay. Thank you for
49
    having me, Council, and Mr. Chair. I'll start out with
50
```

my introduction. As a Haida person, you know, we always introduce our mothers and our grandmothers. So (Indiscernible - cuts out - garbled) (In Tlingit)

I just introduced my grandmother is Paulene Peratrovich and my mother is Barbara Fields, and my Indian name is (In Tlingit) my English name is Marcy. I sit on the Ketchikan Indian Tribal Council and I also operate a commercial fishing business here in Ketchikan and I'm here today in support of the proposal NDP25-01.

 I wholeheartedly believe when Alaskan people live in a rural and subsistence way, they lead a more active and healthy lifestyle. And I won't get too much into the details today and I know you are on a time crunch and I did send in my letter so I won't reread the whole thing.

You know Alaskan people expect our government leaders to hold up promises of the Alaska Native Settlement Act of 1971 and also Alaska National Interest Land Conservation of 1980 and making Ketchikan a rural status will create more trust with our government leaders such as yourselves.

Rural status affects me and my family pretty greatly. I grew up on Prince of Wales Island as a child and so I enjoyed that type of life. Ketchikan we find it very restrictive to live that kind of life and I can tell you, a mother of four, we run out of food at the end of every winter. We have about 25 miles or road and a couple back roads, which are mostly privately owned. And as you know I grew up on Prince of Wales Island and we have hundreds upon hundreds of logging roads so hunting was very different. Here in Ketchikan, you know, I have two sons, one that is an active hunter and a fisherman and also works on our commercial fishing vessel, and I bought him his first skiff at 10 and he has to travel great distances to harvest the foods that I grew up eating and I am teaching my sons to harvest and eat as well as my step-daughter. Alaska Native subsisting lifestyle is very important and it will be beneficial to us in this community as most of us feel like it is a rural community. And I know this is about the threshold analysis and we're talking about evidence of rural character. We may have two grocery stories, we lost our (indiscernible) grocery store, and our two

grocery stores really have a hard time staying stocked and we're very limited on what we can buy and most of it is very processed food and when we do get vegetables they rot within a few days and, you know, the meat is sometimes not quality. And I don't want to be offensive to our grocery store people but that's the truth of it. And the prices of the foods are so expensive and to be honest I didn't grow up eating any beef. In fact, my grandmother didn't allow us to eat beef or drink milk and I still carry that on with my children. So it's very important I have an appropriate amount of food for my family, even started some gardens to get fresher vegetables.

The cost of gas is so expensive and the cost of anything we need to, you know, harvest, is pretty expensive and we have to travel pretty far to get the foods we need. With a population of about 8,000 our grocery stores are packed and we're constantly fighting with other consumers, we need to get there first so we can buy things. I'm in the habit of buying five or six items at once because I know they'll run out. I know that our ferry schedule has gone down quite a bit. Anything cargoed in, whether it be an airplane, a ferry, or a barge, the prices are skyrocketing and with a family of four, including me and my husband, that is really hard to keep up with. Not to mention that my family, you know, that lives in the city, like Anchorage, you know, trying to share food with them because it's important my nieces and nephews also have food.

Let's see, you know, I was thinking about Sitka being a very similar city in size and population and that they enjoy rural status and that we are an island and we are very isolated, and our neighbors of Metlakatla and our neighbor Saxman and our neighbor, Prince of Wales, which is where most of my extended family live all enjoy rural status. So I just wanted to express to you, you know, that we have a really high population of Native people living on this island and not only that, we have a lot of Alaskans living on this island and they want to eat their foods that mean the most to them and our grocery stores cannot sustain us at the moment.

 $\,$ And I hope that you are in favor in supporting this proposal.

Thank you. Howaa. I am finished. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Marcy. Go back to somebody who is probably here in the room, Judith McCreary. UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: She couldn't stay. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, sorry to hear

that. Apologies. How about Naomi Nickleson.

MS. (In Tlingit) Good morning. Good morning members of the Council. (In Tlingit) My Tlingit name is (In Tlingit), it was my grandmother's name. I am Eagle, Wooshkitaan from the Shark House. Although I originally come -- my family comes from the Berners Bay Juneau area, I raised my children here for the last 30 years, my five children, here in the beautiful of the Saanya Kwaan and Taanta Kwaan peoples. I have nine grandchildren and I'm here as a KIC member -- tribal member and also as a grandmother. I am also here in support of the proposal from KIC for rural status.

As indigenous peoples of Alaska, we are on a journey of healing. Lack of truthful and culturally appropriate education and narratives around our Alaska Native peoples, the land, the foods, and the plants have harmed us by attempting to destroy our identity. Continued limits to accessing our lands and foods through policies and institutionalized racism still threaten the health, well-being, stability and growth for our indigenous communities, and ultimately all people, yet we are reestablishing ourselves in our homelands by remembering who we are.

We are stewards of the land and it is our responsibility to take care of the land. Alaska Native peoples have respectfully used these foods for uncounted millennia. Our lands are so generous to us in providing us with abundance. These foods have always been here and we have taken care of them, and they, in turn take care of us. It is important for our community, our society to recognize this and ground ourselves again in the connectedness of eating this way by season, from the land and from the wild. Elevating the value of our own knowledge, foods and food wisdom creates a healing space and starting point for future and meaningful dialogue to address many of these issues

0384

1 that we all face today.

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13 Bringing together community is key to preserving indigenous wisdom of all types and it is integral to realizing food security and sovereignty. We live in a time of great change and we can see that. I can attest to what Marcy was saying a little bit ago and Trixie as well, is the difficulty in accessing our foods, the grocery stores no longer have our -- a major store that's out in the south part of time, and so we have two grocery stores and it has been hard to get the -- you know, we haven't had a reliable ferry system and the barge lines and all of those things have been affected in the last -- especially in the last two years.

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50

14

Throughout -- I've also been able to be a part of bringing back some of the plant medicines and the foods and have been able to help support plant and food symposiums here in Ketchikan, Southeast Summit, that was for Southeast Alaska and also one in Juneau this June, and what I see is just really beautiful. Just amazing. You would think that it was just a chance to get around and learn about what our land has to offer us. And as Trixie mentioned there are over 400 foods and plants that we use here on Tlingit Haa Anni and so some of us don't remember those things and there's a reason why, because many of our parents were, you know the story of being taken to boarding school and separated and disconnected from this land, our languages, our songs, our dances, each other. And so when we come together, and we've been able to bring foods that -- 20 different berries that many of us didn't know about, all the different foods and plants and medicines that we have that are the best foods that we can put into our bodies and it makes kale, some of our best greens and spinach look bad when we bring in our own foods. And so we know that this is going to help us spiritually, physically, emotionally and it really is beautiful. And what I've seen people say when we bring these foods together and we come together and learn about them, we're learning the Native languages, the names of these foods, how to harvest them respectfully, sustainably, how to prepare them, how to preserve them and how to share them because in our culture we shared. And we took care of each other, it was not about ourselves, it was about our opposites. A good leader took care of the community and was not about what he had or she had, it was about what they

gave and the community was happy and healthy. And so you have the opportunity to help our community because as Trixie mentioned earlier we suffer from the highest 4 rates of these social ills that we do not want, we all 5 want to be happy and healthy and you have the 6 opportunity to help us in this community to really 7 strengthen us to grow and to remember who we are as --8 especially as KIC represents, you know, all different 9 nations, but here on Tlingit Haa Anni, our southern 10 language is lost, there -- we don't -- everybody is 11 learning, starting to learn now the northern Tlingit 12 dialogue, dialect because we, unfortunately lost our 13 (In Tlingit) and some of our last speakers, Clara, in 14 Klawock, Peratrovich, and so you find that when we lose 15 our foods, the more language that is lost, the further 16 away we are from being healthy and so to help us to 17 grow and to strengthen ourselves so that not -- so that 18 we can learn to take care of this place together and I 19 think you see that in the world it's really going to be 20 difficult and challenging and there's not a lot of 21 time, and two years is a long time to wait but it's 22 really very important to us, to our children and to 23 your grandchildren and to all of our peoples here.

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

I strongly support this proposal. We are all connected. So whether we live in Prince of Wales, where I grew up part of my life, whether you're from Hoonah, where my family's father's side is from, Juneau, where my ancestors come from, or this beautiful place here in Tlingit Haa Anni and the home of the Saanya Kwaan and Taanta Kwaan, we know we have everything that we need. If we have abundance -- but we haven't learned how to take care of those things and to share them and I think this helps our children with their cultural identity. You know, you've probably seen a lot on the news around being Native enough, or not too much -- or not enough Native and those are the struggles that our children have because they're not connected and so I've seen eyes light up and even elders say at these symposiums that we've had, gatherings that I'm 72 years old and this is the best day of my life, or elders might say thank you for bringing this back to us, we've been waiting. And when young boy -- a young boy came with his grandmother and said, I don't know why grandma said I had to come today but I'm really glad I did and just a young mother said this is powerful, I belong here and really we all belong here but we don't have access to our food like we should. Our children need access, we need to learn

about them so we can take care of them and protect them.

I would like to just end on -- it's a quote from one of my mentors that I love what she says and it's true, and we're all related in these indigenous relatives but this is from Valerie Seagrest and she says:

Food is a gift. Elders remind us that true wealth is having access to Native foods along with the knowledge of how to gather, prepare and serve them. Our values and food traditions are a living legacy that links us to the past, present and future generations. Several times a day we encounter opportunities to reflect on what we eat and how our choices change our world. When we harvest Native foods and incorporate them into our modern lifestyle we strengthen our cultural identity, our relationship to the land and tribal sovereignty. It will take all of us to feed the next seven generations.

Gunalcheesh. And thank you for allowing me the time to speak today.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. How about Guiea Benning. Guiea Benning.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Not here, okay.

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: She's not here.

Sorry if people had to leave because we got delayed here. How about Steve Hartford.

MR. HARTFORD: Yes, I'm here. Good morning, Mr. Chair. Members of the Council. Thank you for giving us the opportunity to speak. My name is Steve Hartford and I am honored and privileged to be able to serve as the tribal attorney and general counsel for Ketchikan Indian Community. I was part of the team that put together the proposal before the Federal Subsistence Board more than half a year ago now, so we're more than half a year into the four year process. But we look forward to working with you throughout this process and I just want to let you know a couple of points of numbers.

 $$\operatorname{Ketchikan}$$ Indian Community represents over 6,400 members, about half of those members live

here in Ketchikan Borough, so over 3,000 Alaska Natives that we represent. We firmly believe local reliance on fish, game and plants is common to Ketchikan, to everyone within Ketchikan, we especially want to point out the importance of that and as you've heard by the prior people that's -- the prior speakers how important that is to our Native culture and the culture of our citizens.

So really the main point that I want to make is that we want a fair -- we want fair consideration of our proposal. We would like a fair hearing. This is only the first step. As your Staff pointed out, we meet the thresholds, the recommendation is that we meet the four key thresholds, we have asserted or stated facts and submitted data that allows the Federal Subsistence Board and their Staff to move forward in the process. It opens the door to a full review of our proposal, that's all we're asking. We're just asking for a full and fair review. So by you voting yes today, you're saying we accept the proposal as presented and we will allow it to be fully and fairly reviewed, and that also means by this Council, you're going to have multiple meetings on this proposal, there's going to be more public hearings on this proposal, the Staff is going to come back to you with additional data for your consideration so that you can have the best and most current data upon which you can ultimately make a decision as to whether you recommend the approval or the denial of the proposal to the Federal Subsistence Board. As part of that, and you'll hear more about this from our cultural resources director, we are developing additional and more up to date data based on current subsistence uses of people who live in Ketchikan, all people who live in Ketchikan as well as historic uses of residents and their families.

And as far as the thresholds, we did lay out, and, you know, you've heard a lot of it already but we laid out where -- what the changes have been in our access to goods and services, the rural nature of our community, the other changes to our comparability to other rural communities including Sitka, Kodiak, Bethel, that all enjoy rural status and how our -- no one community -- no communities are identical but how our community is comparable in terms of its rural nature. Probably the single biggest change is the Federal standard that was adopted in 2015

by the Federal Subsistence Board that took away the -that the decision should only be based on population,
that has now changed, and so this Council and the
Federal Subsistence Board can consider the other unique
rural characteristics of the community that's making a
proposal and in this case, Ketchikan so that is key and
that is important.

Another point that we make is since the last time Ketchikan was considered, in the interim, Saxman, which is wholly within Ketchikan, it's a very small hamlet, if you don't know it's a small hamlet that's surrounded by the rest of the Ketchikan Community so at some point the Federal Subsistence Board in the last -- about 10 -- in the last 10 years determined that they should have access to subsistence resources and they have identical access to transportation, other goods and services that the rest of the residents of the borough have, they have the same access, it's no different. And by the way it's not just Ketchikan Indian Community that says that we think that our community should be fairly determined to be rural so that all of our residents can have access to subsistence resources, it's the other three key representative governments within the borough. It's Ketchikan Borough Assembly, the Ketchikan City Council, and the elected tribal Council of the Organized Village of Saxman. And to that point I want to submit for the record, a letter from the city council in support of this determination, a resolution adopted by Ketchikan Gateway Borough Assembly, as well as the resolution adopted by the Organized Village of Saxman. I'd like to submit those for the record at this point, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you very much. We'll consider that done. Do you have anything else.

MR. HARTFORD: Yes. Just a cou -- I'll be as quick as I can, sir. With respect to -- so I think the importance of the uniminity within the community on the importance of access, the need for access to subsistence services, the importance of the uniminity goes to the heart of what ANILCA talks about when it talks about subsistence resources, the intent of all Federal subsistence regulations is to accord subsistence uses of fish and wildlife on public lands a priority over the taking of fish and wildlife for other

purposes. So I really want to underscore that everyone in the community, the Borough, the City, the Organized Village of Saxman and the Ketchikan Indian Community are in uniminity that local users of subsistence resources should be given priority over other users. That's the first time, to our knowledge, that we have been unanimous as a community on that point.

And let's see, as far as the -- I noted that there were some preliminary concerns raised by members about the impacts on other communities and I think those are valid concerns but I want to point out that under Section .804 of ANILCA limits for impacts on local communities can be placed and so this can all be managed and is currently managed, the use of these resources are managed based on not only the stock but also the amount of users in the region, so those things will all be taken into consideration ultimately if it's determined that Ketchikan residents and members of Ketchikan Indian Community should be given access to subsistence resources.

And, again, we are looking for a full and fair consideration of our proposal at this point, that's what we're asking for. We're not saying that we're absolutely right, we want everyone to look at all of the data and to weigh all of that. If it was just a simple numbers game we wouldn't be here and this Council or even the Federal Subsistence Board wouldn't have any role, because it would just be let's look at the census numbers and that would make the decision. By the way our numbers have gone down, our 2020 numbers are about 14,000 in the entire Borough which is like two people per square mile if you look at it that way on Revillagigedo Island as far as density but it's gone down consistently since 2010 and that's one of the factors that we listed, one of the 12 factors that were listed that were considered in the threshold analysis.

And, again, thank you for your time and we look forward to a favorable decision on whether we meet the threshold analysis.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Steven. How about Keenan Sanderson.

MR. SANDERSON: Mr. Chair. We were

supposed to have another person come in to testify and they were not able to come in today, is it okay if I read their short testimony for the record?

4 5

2

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure, go ahead.

6 7

8

MR. SANDERSON: Okay. It's brief, and then I'll get to my testimony, if I can pull it up -- excuse me.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

So, and this is word for word what they sent me. Hello. My name is Jessie. I am the wellness manager at the Ketchikan Indian Community Tribal Health Clinic. I hold a bachelor's degree in psychology and a bachelor's degree in diabetics. I am a diabetic student in the nutrition and diabetes program through the University of Alaska-Anchorage. I would like to take a moment to communicate the importance of traditional foods in the health of our community. Traditional food consumption increases the health of the community in three different ways. First, increase in food security which translates to better health. Two, increase in vegetable, fruits and meats with healthy fats and whole foods. And, three, increase in physical activity. Research has shown an increase in traditional foods reflects an increase in diet quality. Those that consume more traditional foods compared to the standard American diet consume more vitamins, minerals, essential fatty acids, omega-3s and fiber. Conversely traditional foods contain less sugar, saturated and transfats, which can contribute to this disease, which she refers to diabetes. A good example is saturated fat in deer versus beef, 3 ounces of deer contains one gram of saturated fat whereas 3 ounces of beef contains 5 grams of saturated fat, but the same 3 ounces of deer contains 26 grams of protein and beef only contains 22 grams.

37 38 39

I'm going to skip this part.

40 41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

This last part, refers to increased physical activity. As you know many of the -- you know, when you go out and harvest traditional foods, whether it's deer, salmon or moose, whatever it may be in our area, it promotes a healthy lifestyle and that's kind of the point that Jessie would have made to you if she were here today, is that this -- and this all relates, I should say to the rural status application that KIC put forward, but the tribal government through

the Ketchikan Indian Community is doing everything they can to promote healthy lifestyles through all of their tribal citizens and this would be the same for people who are not tribal as well.

I don't know the entirety of the extent of the wellness program that they have but they have a number of different diabetes prevention programs going on through the inclusion of a new recreational center and a number of things going on between the health care providers and the wellness department.

So that was kind of the summation of what she would have said today.

With that, I'm going to go on to what I'm up here for today. I'll keep it as brief as I possibly can, I know we're on a time crunch, or get to the point as much as I can.

For those of you who don't know my, my name is Keenan Sanderson, I wear a number of hats now days. I'm the Vice President of the Ketchikan Gateway Borough School District. I am the President of the Ketchikan Tlingit and Haida Community Council. I am the head coach for the Academic Ocean Science team here. And I am a brother, a son, a partner and a community advocate. For the purpose of this testimony today I kind of have to tow between whether I'm going to be wearing my hat as the Indigenous Food Sovereignty Specialist for the tribe and as well as my personal comments as well so if there's any clarification on what hat I'm wearing depending on what comment I'm making, happy to answer those questions.

So I think, and I think it's been pretty well described so far in previous public testimony but I really think it needs to be hammered home on what this vote that you guys are going to be taking up is. This vote is not whether the Council agrees that Ketchikan should be rural today, this vote that is before you today is whether we meet -- on Page 120 and 121 in your packet, if our proposal, meets these four questions that was reviewed by the Office of Subsistence Management. There's been a lot of discussion that in my personal opinion isn't relevant on whether or not we've met these requirements, so I would ask -- this is a personal comment, not my at through the tribe, my personal opinion, that your vote

should reflect whether you agree with this and not this application. That is my big ask for you guys today.

2 3 4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

I have a couple other notes I would like to say, or touch on. What the Ketchikan Indian Community is doing is in relation to a big web of other things that are going on, but this application is an act of self-determination and tribal sovereignty. And I understand that you, as a Board, can't necessarily differentiate between indigenous and non-indigenous and tribal and non-tribal, but I think it is really important to clarify that what KIC is doing is tribal sovereignty.

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

One other thing that I'd like to bring up and I'm not an expert in high level law, myself. I'm thankful that KIC has an attorney that can help dumb things down for me, but one thing I want to bring to the attention -- it's not even relevant to this conversation but it's been brought up through questions prior. It is very clear in Section .804 of ANILCA that gives local resident priority over traditional resource -- excuse me -- resources in that area. I'm not sure if I'm explaining it so I'm going to give an example. So if Prince of Wales, which has been brought up a lot because we're near Prince of Wales, if Prince of Wales is experiencing a reduced number of deer that would potentially if we were to go on to a normal harvest throughout a year and everybody was included it would do huge damages to that deer population, however, through Section .804 of ANILCA if Federal managers think that sustained harvest pressure at that reduced number they can, and in my opinion, should exclude people who are not from that area to harvest. not even in your guys decision, that is in Federal statute. So I understand the concern that, you know, Prince of Wales tribes and community members, they don't want deer populations to decline because of a potential change in rural status, but because of Section .804 that should alleviate a lot of the concern related to that.

41 42 43

44

45

It's a huge thing. It's not relevant because the question you guys are on is whether you agree with this, but I wanted to make that clear for the record for future conversations.

46 47 48

make sure it's clear for the record, is that, yes we get cruise ships here. It's a fact of the matter. I've heard things that our population jumps by 5,000 during the summer. Those are non-residents coming to work in our community, those non-residents are not Federally-qualified subsistence users because they are not residents. That, again, should alleviate that question.

A couple other things that was brought up, or maybe not brought up but something that I thought during other people's public testimony. One thing I should say, reiterate too, Sitka is a comparable size to us, as I believe our attorney had said, and he's lived there too by the way. Roughly the same school -- or not school, but just community structure, they have an airport, they have two and a half high schools there, they have most -- pretty much the same thing we have here in Ketchikan. I mean I'm not from Sitka, there's probably some people who know more about Sitka than I do but everything I know about Sitka it's roughly the same as Ketchikan. And also to that point, and I'm not sure if you've heard in the news -- and I should that's nothing to take away from Sitka, we agree with Sitka's rural status, they are just as rural as we are in my opinion.

And another thing that would come up, and this is not meant to potentially take away from any other community but it's been in the news recently that Klawock is going to be getting cruise ships in, I think as early as next year. Is a cruise ship a single determination of whether a community should be rural or not rural, I would, in my opinion just because Klawock is getting a source of income during a particular part of the year, I don't think that should make them non-rural.

 $$\operatorname{Again},$$ not relevant to the conversation but I just wanted to address those points because they were brought up.

One thing that I will close with though that is relevant to the conversation. We understand that OSM is going to be doing a lot of data analysis and community outreach to determine -- to provide data to this Board and the Federal Subsistence Board, the Ketchikan Indian Community is going to be doing the same. We are putting a lot of resources in the next

two to three years or whenever the vote's going to be coming up, I don't know the exact date, to provide you the most data that we can, the most accurate data that we can so that you can make the best decision possible for whether you determine whether you agree with this application or not but that's three years down the road.

So there's definitely a lot more I could say but I think I will leave it at that. And if you have any more questions, in particular, with this application, there is a team of us that wrote this. I would say that I probably — at least the initial leg work I probably wrote 95 percent of this, so if you have any questions about this I'm more than happy to answer any of those at this time or any time down the road.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Keenan. Tony Gallegos, you're up next.

MR. GALLEGOS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Council. My name's Tony Gallegos as was stated. I am the Cultural Resources Director for the Ketchikan Indian Community and my testimony is basically from that perspective. Again, happy to work with the team, Keenana and Steve and others trying to prepare the proposal that showed that we could meet the threshold analysis, and I am hoping that you guys will support and let us move forward for a thorough hearing on this particular matter. A decision will not be made until January 2025 according to the calendar so this is -we'll have lots of opportunity to further discuss these issues in public forums and with the Council and we will also, again, as has been stated, to gather some additional information.

With the additional information in mind that needs to be developed, let me point out one thing that's been mentioned, and I want to thank Glenn Chen, who's here with the BIA Office of Subsistence Branch who has helped us secure about \$93,000 which we will soon have to move forward with starting this damage, mining and data collection and developing a household survey where we can collect information, again, to provide to you and provide to us as we analyze the level of use of our natural resources that's done within our community.

1 I have been appalled that that information does not exist, very much so. I would 2 direct your attention to a section of your handout where we were talking about shellfish status for 5 customary and traditional use, and there were many 6 pages, several pages or tables within that showing the 7 different types of shellfish and utilization throughout the communities, look back at that, there is no 8 9 information as far as use in Ketchikan because we are a 10 non-subsistence area, and so data has not been 11 collected by the Federal agencies and the same thing on 12 the State side, the State considers us a non-13 subsistence area. That data has not been regularly 14 collected. And so when your Staff is going to review 15 that data that exists, they're going to have a harder 16 time finding what has been going on in Ketchikan 17 because that data has not been collected over the 18 years. So, again, there will be some holes in the 19 data, just so you're aware of that, because it has not 20 been collected, and I think that's been a disservice to 21 the communities that have been utilizing the resources 22 but we cannot have good documentation to that effect 23 because the data has not been collected by the agencies 24 in the past.

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

One of the strategic priorities that the tribe has had for a few years and it's helped me build my program area is trying to improve their access to traditional foods. And there are a lot of positive ways to go ahead and encourage a more traditional way of life and promote that and that's happening throughout many of our departments. One of the things that we recognize, however, and in my department we are very much involved in how can we remove any barriers to our access to these resources, and one of the largest barriers that is kind of a domino that affects many other barriers is the fact that we have been considered non-Federally-recognized users and that's because, unfortunately, of the status of being considered an urban area. So to address that within the regulatory framework and systems now we are going through this process. This is not the first time that Ketchikan has made this request for reconsideration, we have never self-identified as urban, it has been a label that has been placed upon our community inappropriately since its inception. So we want a through review of this and this unfortunate label that has classified as non-Federally-recognized subsistence users and limited our tribal members' ability and other community

members' ability that want to practice a lifestyle that they've come here and lived and practiced for, and in the case of tribal members since millennia.

So this is a barrier that needs to be addressed. Maybe there is one more than way to address this. If this barrier cannot be removed there may have to be other solutions sought but the tribe wants to exercise their sovereignty and have fuller access to the traditional resources in their territory, and you will help us tremendously if we could be recognized as Federally-recognized subsistence users by this change in status. So, again, the status change, the final decision will be made after a lot more information is shared and gathered, a lot of discussion but we ask for a thorough hearing and I think it's worthy to proceed through a thorough review of the status. It will help to protect our rights.

Right now Federal agencies are represented on this Board, and Federal agencies have a trust responsibility to the tribes that they are not fulfilling for our tribe because of the policies that have been put in place right now that prevent us from having access so we are moving forward, again, as a whole community. You might be interested to know it hasn't been mentioned yet, but the footprint of Ketchikan Indian Community is the exact same footprint as the Borough, minus about one square mile of the Organized Village of Saxman, take that out, those are the same footprints, we are applying for this rural status change for the area that represents both our tribal and non-tribal community. And there are many citizens here in the community that are non-tribal, that are here because of the lifestyle that this community allows. So you'll hear from them as time goes on.

 $$\operatorname{And}\ I$ just hope that you would positively move forward with a thorough consideration at this point.

I'm going to go ahead and take just a moment, just to mention one thing, because the eulachon fishing and monitoring was brought up earlier in this meeting and that monitoring is something that I'm very happy to say that the tribe has helped to instigate and expand and work with, especially U.S. Forest Service but also U.S. Geological Service and BIA to do some

more monitoring on the Unuk River. We've taken that initiative and pushed for that additional work to be done. We've just secured a almost a \$600,000 grant to do the environmental DNA work and water quality work, 5 it was just earlier this month that we were doing our water quality sampling for that project. So the tribe 6 7 has been very, very involved in that. We wrote the proposals to allow for a eulachon fishery to open 8 again, at a small level, it has been closed for over 15 9 10 years in the Unuk River and we are losing that 11 resource, and we're losing the connection of our 12 citizens to that resource. What does eulachon taste 13 like, we're losing that, and that connection, and so 14 we're trying to open that up. But even though the 15 fishery has been opened, we are not Federally-16 recognized subsistence users so that fishery is open 17 and the citizens of the Organized Village of Saxman can 18 go there, citizens from Prince of Wales can go there 19 and harvest eulachon with those regulations, but 20 Ketchikan Indian Community citizens, or residents 21 within Ketchikan Gateway Borough cannot. That is a 22 problem. And that's just one example of how we're 23 restricted from our access to our traditional foods by 24 this designation.

2526

Thank you.

272829

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Tony. Let's see it looks like Wayne Weiling -- Wayne Weiling -- no Wayne, okay, we'll move on. How about Jerry Holderman.

31 32 33

30

UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: He's not here.

343536

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: No Jerry Holderman, okay. Judy Weis -- Weis Gutherie -- excuse me.

37 38 39

40

41

MS. GUTHERIE: (In Tlingit) Good morning, Chair and Board members. My name is Judy Lees-Gutherie. I'm a member of the Ketchikan Indian Community and I'm also on the tribal council for KIC.

42 43 44

45

46 47 I'm here to speak in support of KIC's proposal to make Ketchikan a subsistence hunting and fishing community. Rural status will allow Ketchikan citizens to start harvesting in Federally-managed waters, a traditional food source for Ketchikan's Native peoples. Residents in remote and rural

49 50

1 communities such as Ketchikan need to be able to rely upon locally sourced foods to supplement the food available for purchased locally. Economically Ketchikan does have large amounts of money flowing 5 through it each year, however, not all of that money 6 stays in Ketchikan or ends up in the pockets of local 7 people so they can purchase the high priced food they need to feed themselves and their families. This is why local access is -- to locally harvested foods is so 9 10 important, not only to our way of life, but to our 11 local economy.

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

I was lucky enough to be able to be raised in Metlakatla where I lived for the first 13 years of my life, and then again as an adult, now I live in Ketchikan, I've been here for about 14 years. And a little bit about me, I am married, I have four kids and seven grandkids and something that I have been used to having my whole life is access to salmon, to shellfish, to deer, and so are my kids, and I want them to continue to have that access. It's very important to us. It might take a lot of work to be able to go out and harvest these things and it might not cost any money but it's a lot of work, it sometimes a lot of days away from our families but at the same time it means that we don't have to go to Safeway and buy meat or fish. That way of life is very important to us. And I think that this application, when you think about who it's going to affect, it's going to affect all of the residents of Ketchikan, not just the Native community.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38 39 And so I want my remarks to be really short but I also want to reiterate that this proposal has been supported by the city of Ketchikan, the Borough of Ketchikan and the Organized Village of Saxman, that's how important this application is and we realize it's going to be three years, and maybe a long three years, but it's something that we will continue to pursue.

40 41 42

Thank you.

43 44

45

46

47

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Judy. So those are all the blue cards that I have from people that say they wanted to testify on the non-determination process, unless there's anybody in the room I missed.

48 49

0399 1 (No comments) 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Apparently not, 4 but I still have another card from yesterday. 5 6 MS. PERRY: Okay, Mr. Chair, we do have 7 two emailed comments. One that Mr. Vickers mentioned 8 earlier and one that was just sent to us and so we'd 9 like to go ahead and take care of those as well. 10 11 Thank you. 12 13 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good, yeah, 14 thank you. 15 16 MR. VICKERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 17 For the record this is Brent Vickers and I will be 18 reading the public comments that were emailed to us 19 before my presentation. 20 21 The first public comment is from Jeremy 22 Bynum, he's a Ketchikan Borough Assembly member, 23 electrical utility manager, State House candidate and 24 Ketchikan resident. And he writes: 25 26 Speaking on behalf of myself, I 27 strongly support rescinding Ketchikan's non-rural 28 determination. This is an important issue that impacts 29 our community's ability to be food secure and self-30 sustaining. We, as a community, will continue ensure 31 wise use of our resources to benefit our families and 32 communities as a whole. 33 34 With a unanimous consent, Ketchikan 35 Gateway Borough's Resolution 2968 supports this change and the Ketchikan City Council voted unanimously to 36 37 provide a letter of support. We are all well-served by the efforts of the Ketchikan Indian Community, KIC, in 38 39 their efforts to bring positive change for our 40 community by advocating on our behalf a change in the 41 non-rural determination. 42 43 Thank you for your consideration in 44 this important manner. Jerry Bynum. 45 46 The other email comment was from Marcy 47 Haines of the Ketchikan Indian Tribal Council. She 48 actually gave a verbal testimony earlier and referring 49 to it, would you like me to read her emailed comment as

```
0400
 1
    well.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.
 4
 5
                     MR. VICKERS: Thank you.
                                               She writes:
 6
 7
                     Good afternoon, my name is Marcy Haines
 8
     and I sit on Ketchikan Indian Community Tribal Council.
     I'm here today to talk to you as a fellow Alaskan. I
 9
10
     wholeheartedly believe when Alaskan people live a rural
11
     and subsistence way they lead a more active and healthy
12
     lifestyle. When a community can harvest local food
13
     sources in a traditional way they strengthen
14
    relationships with a community and transmit cultural
15
    values and love of place. Our neighbors in Sitka are
16
     similar in size and the community is able to enjoy
17
    rural status on the Federal and State lands, we are
18
    asking for the same treatment. Alaska Native people
19
    expect our government leaders to uphold promises of the
20
    Alaska Native Settlement Act of 1971 and Alaska
21
    National Interest Lands Conservation Act of 1980.
22
    Making Ketchikan rural status will create trust with
     our government leaders and ensure strong and healthy
23
24
     relationship of community. This will affect me and my
     family and friends greatly. I will be able to more
25
26
     safely harvest food by not spending a ton of money to
27
    travel to harvest. We will also be able to eat more
28
    traditional and local food sources instead of buying
29
    overpriced processed foods from our two poorly stocked
30
     grocery stores in Ketchikan.
31
32
                     I humbly ask you to support our way of
33
     life in Ketchikan by passing rural status.
34
35
                     Thank you for your time, Marcy Haines.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. And those
38
     were the only two you've got, right.
39
40
                     MR. VICKERS:
                                   (Nods affirmatively)
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
43
44
                     MR. VICKERS: Yes, for the record.
45
     Thank you.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good.
48
     Like I say, I don't have any other blue cards on this
49
     topic but we do have one other and that would be from
50
```

Larry Bemis who I called earlier and I see he's in the room now, so Larry do you have something for us.

MR. BEMIS: I think that was.....

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sorry we moved on -- no, yeah, I was reminded that, you know, we went through the opportunities for public comment this morning and you weren't in the room and then we moved on in the agenda and now we're actually on an action item and this testimony wasn't actually addressing this action item so we really need to stay on this topic, I'm sorry, we can't just switch gears like that.

Yeah, so that we've had all the input necessary for the Council to make a decision so it's time for the Council to deliberate and to do that we'll need a motion.

 $\,$ MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I move to support the OSM conclusion that Proposal NDP25-01 meets the threshold analysis.

MR. CASIPIT: Second.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. And now is the opportunity for the Council to make comments and deliberate and then we have a vote. I see Patty Phillips and Harvey did you have your hand up -- no -- okay, Patty Phillips.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Chairman Hernandez. I will be voting in support of the non-rural determination proposal, NDP25-01 Ketchikan threshold requirement assessment and support recommending proceeding with a full analysis.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Harvey Kitka, go ahead.

MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I will also support this proposal. I really think that this is just a small baby step and it's going to take a long time for it to come about. I realize even though this was part of the Alaska Native Land Claims Settlement Act, that when they threw in rural status, it kind of threw a real wrench in the works. The

reason it was was supposed to be for Natives and we wouldn't be here on this if it was still that way. But we have to deal with this now and I would like to see it go its full cycle.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Harvey. Cal Casipit.

MR. CASIPIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I will be voting in support of this as well. I think it's really important that we develop the information through the Staff analysis and see the new information that comes from KIC. I take to heart the issue about household surveys not being conducted very often in Ketchikan, I'm trying to think the last time that I remember one being done and I'm having troubles, I think maybe the mid'80s is probably the most recent household surveys but I'm not sure on that. But, anyway, I do support it, I do think developing this information is going to be really important and looking forward to seeing the completed analysis.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal. Any other Council members want to make a comment. Okay, I see Mike Douville and then Frank Wright.

MR. DOUVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I will support this proposal. We've struggled with this issue since 2007 several times in Saxman, there's been a long history and we've always encouraged Ketchikan to have rural status. I believe Title VIII protects all users so nobody should fear that. And hopefully that will all come out in an analysis. So would be happy to see it move forward.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Frank. Frank Wright.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I, too, will be in support of this proposal. You know I listened to some of the people talk and I can feel the pain in them not being able to harvest foods that they get. And I always said, well, what comes off the beach, what comes out of the Forest, is my identity, that's who I am. So I feel that this proposal has got to move forward so that a Tlingit can be a Tlingit.

```
0403
 1
                     Gunalcheesh.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank.
 4
    Louie Wagner, go ahead.
 5
 6
                     MR. WAGNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 7
     I'll support it, listening to the senior Council here.
 8
     But I am still very concerned with the large number of
 9
     the non-Natives here. If it was just our people it
10
    would be simple for me. I am out there every day and I
11
    see all the boats out there, everyday, from all summer
12
    and then in the fall hunting and if you don't get out
13
    there early with the rest of them to hunt you're just
14
    too late and that's one of the problems they're having
15
    on Prince of Wales. But I'll support the -- thank you,
16
    Mr. Chair.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Louie.
19
    Any other Council members want to make a comment. John
20
    Smith.
21
22
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, I'm in support and
23
                       And just information that Naomi
    also of the data.
24
     shared about how our foods are very healing and I hear
25
     that Ketchikan, just from testimony, that they need
26
    this healing. So I really believe this is really
27
     important. It's like you -- like Frank was saying,
28
    it's a connection to the land, the air, the sea, the
29
    respect too so I'm in support of, and, really the data
30
    and what Cal was saying, it would be cool -- and even
31
    what Ian was sharing about -- of the data -- it would
32
    be cool to see us going door to door and actually
33
    hearing local testimony from them and hearing their
34
    words even a digital, on the computer, kind of, where
35
    people can get on there and actually share their
36
    feelings and that we all see that.
37
38
                     Gunalcheesh. Thank you.
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Any
40
41
     other Council members.
42
43
                     (No comments)
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Just kind
46
     of like to give my opinion on this.....
47
48
                     MR. SLATER: Mr. Chair.
49
```

0404 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:as well --2 oh, sorry, yeah, we got people on the phone, Jim Slater and then I'll ask if Albert has anything to say..... 4 5 MR. SLATER: Yeah, hi. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:as well. Go 8 ahead, Jim. 9 10 MR. SLATER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 Yeah, hi, when I first read this in preparation for the meeting, I was somewhat conflicted on it, sharing 12 13 concerns of Louie that as we make more and more people 14 rural and, you know, if the fact that everyone becomes 15 rural then no one's rural essentially. But after 16 hearing the heartfelt testimony it really resonated 17 with me about the same feelings I have and especially 18 taking into consideration the historical perspective. 19 I feel like I would support this with the hope that 20 conflicts will be able to be taken care of or handled 21 by Section VIII, as Mike Douville was mentioning, and that it wouldn't be a long drawn out process for that 22 23 type of resolution to take place. 24 25 Thank you, that's what I have. 26 27 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Jim. 28 Albert, did you have anything to add. Albert Howard. 29 30 (No comments) 31 32 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, don't hear 33 anything from Albert. So just for my own comments on 34

this, I'm also going to be in support of the threshold analysis and I'm one of the two Council members on the Council from Prince of Wales and, you know, we would definitely be impacted with this as well as our member from Metlakatla, see some immediate impacts, changes would have to be made, I think that's obvious. And I'm confident that that can happen and I was really glad to hear that some of the testifiers from Ketchikan recognize that as well, that gives us a good understanding I think of where we would be. I feel good about that. And all things considered, if this proposal were to go through the process and be approved and Ketchikan were to be determined a rural community and I know that this Council also has a lot of input into that decision and should that be the case I would consider it to be a justified decision, I think justice

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

```
0405
     will have been done. So, yeah, we'll just see where it
     goes from here but we'll move forward.
 2
 3
 4
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
 5
    Albert.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
                                          Oh, there's
 8
    Albert. Albert Howard, go ahead.
 9
10
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11
     I appreciate Mr. Douville's comments after mine, and
     after I voiced my concerns and then I also appreciate
12
13
     hearing from the testimony from a lot of people in
14
     Ketchikan so I'll be supporting this after that. So,
15
     thank you, Mr. Chair. I apologize, I had to do the
16
     star, six, and unmute my phone.
17
18
                     Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert.
21
     Harvey, you had something else to add.
22
23
                     MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd
24
     like to call for the question on this.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
27
     Harvey. I think we've heard from almost every Council
    member in support so maybe I could just go ahead and do
28
29
     a voice vote on this. All in favor of the motion to
30
     approve OSM's conclusion that the threshold analysis
31
     has been met, I think that's the proper terminology,
32
     signify by saying aye.
33
34
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody
37
     opposed. Albert, did I hear you, I heard Jim.
38
39
                     MR. HOWARD: Yes, I said, yes, Mr.
40
     Chairman.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
43
     Anybody opposed, say no.
44
45
                     (No opposing votes)
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, motion
48
     passes, we're good. Okay, I think we can take a recess
49
     for lunch. Let's come back -- it's after noon, so
50
```

```
0406
    let's come back at 1:30 and we still have a number of
     action items. About five action items to go through
     and then correspondence so keep that in mind.
 4
 5
                     (Off record)
 6
 7
                     (On record)
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Council
10
    members, if you're in the room or within earshot let's
11
     come back to the table and get going here.
12
13
                     (Pause)
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So while we were
     out -- okay, I shouldn't say while we were out to
16
17
     lunch, but while we were out to lunch we got a couple
18
     of things distributed to us. We have another draft
19
     copy of our proposed letter on co-management,
20
     indigenous management. We'll be finalizing that so if
     you get an opportunity, look that over again. And then
21
22
     we also got a copy of a letter that was sent --
23
     referred to earlier from the -- I think it was four
24
     other RACs up north that they sent to the North Pacific
25
    Fishery Management Council so if we want to generate a
26
    letter to the Council as well we could use probably
27
    some of the same points that they made, however, you
28
    know, they do have some different issues up there so we
29
     should probably generate our own letter geared toward
30
     our region but there are some overlapping concerns so
31
    we have that to look through as well when it comes time
32
     for letter writing.
33
34
                     Okay.
35
36
                     But starting off, next item up on the
37
     agenda is Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program
38
     Priority Information Needs, and Brent Vickers will give
39
     us that presentation again.
40
41
                     (Pause)
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I was just going
44
     to ask, is there a PowerPoint with this Brent.
45
46
                     MR. VICKERS: Yes.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, so we have
49
     to move again.
50
```

```
0407
 1
                     (Pause)
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If you need time
 4
     to set that up we could maybe move on to the next item
 5
     or if you need DeAnna here to.....
 6
 7
                     MR. VICKERS: Yeah, sure.
 8
 9
                     (Pause)
10
11
                     MR. VICKERS: Mr. Chair, this is Brent
12
     Vickers. We can go ahead and -- I can go ahead and do
13
     the next item which is the call for Partners.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure, that's a
16
     related topic.
17
18
                     MR. VICKERS: Fisheries Monitoring.....
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, let's go to
21
     there while we get the PowerPoint set up.
22
23
                     MR. VICKERS: Okay. It won't take very
24
     long, but it'll suck up a little time.
25
26
                     Okay. Good afternoon, Mr. Chair.
27
    Members of the Council. For the record I am Brent
28
    Vickers, Anthropology Division Supervisor at OSM.
29
     giving a brief update on the Partners for Fisheries
30
    Monitoring Program. Now, members of the Council please
31
     turn to Page 128 of your books.
32
33
                     Last week the Office of Subsistence
34
    Management posted a notice of funding opportunity for
35
     Partners of Fisheries Monitoring Program in grants.gov.
36
     This is a competitive grant for Alaska Native and rural
37
     non-profit organizations. The intent of the program is
38
     to strengthen Alaska Native and rural involvement in
39
     the Federal Subsistence Management by providing salary
40
     funds to organizations so they can hire professional
41
    biologists, social scientists or educator. The grant
42
     also provides funds for science and culture camps and
43
    paid student internships. M ore information on the
44
     funding opportunity will be posted to our website. You
45
    can also contact Karen Hyer via email or phone. Her
46
     contact information is on Page 128 just above that
47
    picture.
48
49
                     Thank you, and that concludes my
```

```
0408
    presentation. Let me know if you have any questions,
     although Karen Hyer is definitely the best person to
     reach out to for those.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 6
    Brent. So you're just informing us of this opportunity
 7
     to pass on to organizations we might be familiar with,
 8
     right?
 9
10
                     MR. VICKERS: Yes, that's the intent of
11
     the presentation, thank you.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good, thank
14
     you. Are there any questions. Harvey, go ahead.
15
16
                     MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
17
     there any time limits on this?
18
19
                     MR. VICKERS: Mr. Kitka, I'm going to
20
     have to check on that. This is something that's
21
     largely out of my hands and is usually presented by
22
    Karen herself who is somewhere else in another region
23
     right now. So I can find that out and get back to you.
24
     There is going to be a time limit and I don't know
25
     exactly when it is so I'll make sure to find out for
26
     you.
27
28
                     Thank you.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else with
31
     a question.
32
33
                     (No comments)
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you
36
     for that information Brent. Do we have the PowerPoint
37
     ready.
38
39
                     MR. VICKERS:
                                   (Thumbs up)
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I see a thumb's
41
42
    up. Okay, Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program
43
     Priority Needs Information.
44
45
                     MR. VICKERS: You can sit for a moment
46
    because I'll give a brief presentation while we figure
47
    this out as well. Again, for the record this is Brent
48
    Vickers. I am the Anthropology Division Supervisor at
49
    Office of Subsistence Management. I'm here today, or
```

now with Rob Cross who is the U.S. Forest Service Tongass Subsistence Coordinator. Materials relevant to this presentation start on Page 127 of your Council books. This is an action item. At the end of this presentation with Rob, we will ask the Council to approve the list of priority information needs for the Southeast Alaska region.

The Office of Subsistence Management administers the Monitoring Program which funds research and monitoring projects that help manage subsistence fisheries in Federal public waters of Alaska. The Monitoring Program is also directed at supporting meaningful involvement in fisheries management by Alaska Native and rural organizations and promoting collaboration among Federal, State, Alaska Native and local organizations. The Office of Subsistence Management will announce the 2024 notice of funding opportunity for the Monitoring Program later this winter.

The first step in the funding process is for the Regional Advisory Councils to identify priority information needs for each region. Priority information needs identify issues of local concern and knowledge gaps related to subsistence fisheries. In addition, they guide the Monitoring Program by determining the type of projects that are submitted for funding and providing a framework for evaluating and selecting project proposals.

Again, this is an action item. We are requesting that the Council approve a list of priority information needs for the Southeast region.

That concludes this part of the presentation, and I will standing by with Rob Cross as he reads the priority information needs. Rob, and any others present in the room or phone can answer questions that help you to discuss priority information needs in your region.

Thank you.

MR. CROSS: Thank you. For the record, again, my name is Rob Cross and I'm the Tongass Subsistence Coordinator for the Forest Service. And, yeah, sorry about the technical difficulties a second ago. So, again, these priority information needs are

used to identify local concerns and gaps in fisheries knowledge based on the information that you have about your communities and others. And I'll provide really quick a rough overview of the process and how these priority information needs fit into the overall FRMP process.

So after information needs are identified, the Office of Subsistence Management will call for project proposals that addressed the needs identified by this Council. So those are sort of the sideboards for what proposals can be put in. And I'd like to make folks aware that our Staff, the Forest Service or OSM can assist anybody in developing a proposal. It's a pretty onerous process. So the Staff has gone through it several times and we're happy to assist with that and get down to the project proposal that you'd like.

So the next steps in the process. proposals are received by the Office of Subsistence Management then they're reviewed by a panel of fisheries and anthropology experts called the Technical Review Committee and that's made up of Staff from the five Federal agencies, as well as ADF&G and it can also include experts from other agencies as well. So as a reminder, I'm sure a lot of you folks know the funding for the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program Projects is competitive so each proposal is evaluated for its technical merit, ability of the researchers to complete the proposed work, partnership development which is a really important one, capacity building and local support and then a cost benefit analysis. And then the next step, after projects are evaluated and ranked, the Office of Subsistence Management develops a monitoring plan that contains the analysis of all of these projects and then they are ranked based on the criteria listed before.

So I will read the priority information needs, these are from the last cycle developed by this Regional Advisory Council. It's just a good starting point. And then I'll go to -- after I read the priority information needs I'll go to a map that shows some of the most important systems around the area and then I'll go back to the priority information needs for the action item so that the Council can go through and make changes as they see fit.

0411 1 So the priority needs previously 2 identified by the Council are up on the screen and they 4 5 Reliable estimates of sockeye salmon 6 escapement and in-season harvest and estimates of 7 stream discharge in the following systems: Kanalku, Klawock, Hetta, Falls, Sarkar, Kook, Neva, Karta, 8 9 Hatchery, Eek, Kah Sheets, Klag, Gut, Kutlaku, Salmon 10 Bay, Sitkoh, Hoktaheen, Alex Creek, Lake Eva and Lake 11 Leo. 12 13 Escapement indexes for eulachon on the 14 Unuk River and Yakutat Forelands. 15 16 Population estimate for eulachon for 17 northern Southeast Alaska. 18 19 Traditional ecological knowledge of how 20 each community distributes harvest been sockeye salmon 21 systems available to them. 22 23 Reliable estimates of salmon 24 populations and harvest in the sport and subsistence 25 fisheries at Kah Sheets and Alex Creek. 26 27 Ethnographic study of the Yakutat 28 subsistence salmon fishery. 29 30 Reliable estimates of subsistence 31 sockeye salmon harvest in the Klawock River drainage. 32 33 Develop escapement goals for sockeye 34 salmon systems with long-term escapement data sets. 35 36 And, finally, update community 37 household fish harvest surveys. 38 39 So, again, those are the priority 40 information needs that were identified by this Council 41 in the last cycle. So up here on this admittedly very 42 tiny map, I apologize about that, are -- it's an effort 43 to try to capture important fisheries across the 44 Forest. And I understand that these are not all of the 45 important systems or all of the systems that are 46 important to every community and that's what this 47 process is for. So if, as a Council member, you see 48 the absence of a system that's important to your

community or another community, this is the time to add

49

it to this list, whether it be a sockeye system or eulachon or any of the priority information needs that were listed above can be modified.

So just for some quick history, in the last Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program cycle, we monitored Gut Bay, Falls Lake, Klag, Neva, Sitkoh, Eek and Hetta Lakes for sockeye. And then in the current cycle that's happening right now, the 2022 to 2025 cycle we've funded seven monitoring projects and those are listed on the right side of the screen. And those are the northern Southeast eulachon population dynamics monitoring, updating Icy Strait community household subsistence harvest surveys, Neva Lake sockeye salmon stock assessment, Klag Lake sockeye salmon stock assessment, Sitkoh Lake sockeye salmon stock assessment, estimating in-season harvest of Klawock River salmon subsistence fishery and then finally the Hetta Lake sockeye salmon stock assessment.

And then I guess one final thing I should note is the Unuk River eulachon is up there and that has been funded by the FRMP process in the past, right now in cooperation with KIC and those folks, the Forest Service and KIC are doing monitoring but it's not currently part of the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program funding.

So I will put up the priority information needs again for your review and for your discussion. Thank you, very much.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Council have questions on this action item. Ian, go ahead.

MR. JOHNSON: Thanks, Mr. Chair. I don't have a suggestion on location, I'm looking at the second to last item, the development of escapement goals for sockeye salmon systems...and thinking about the co-management discussions that were earlier on and the letter that is back before us again, but it would be nice to develop some kind of language in there that creates -- develop escapement goals, you know, a co-decision, or a decisionmaking space to be made amongst the partners too, you know, something that helps create that co-management atmosphere as these FRMP projects are rolled out. I'm not sure exactly how that looks right now but these projects have kind of been listed as -- thought about as a co-management sort of

0413 opportunity, a budding opportunity right now and we could strengthen the language in there to create that decisionmaking space for local stakeholders. 4 5 Thank you. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody 8 else with a question or a comment on this. John, go 9 ahead. 10 11 MR. SMITH: Yeah, can you repeat the 12 rivers and the community that you were sharing that wasn't on the data, like I -- I'm thinking of like 13 14 Klukwan and Haines for eulachon. 15 MR. CROSS: Through the Chair. Member 16 17 Smith. Sorry, can you restate the question. 18 19 MR. SMITH: I'm just -- there was a few 20 like Klukwan and Haines and Yaku -- or Skagway, were 21 those on that list for testing and observing the 22 eulachon? So I don't know, can you share the 23 communities -- you just shared there was a few 24 community that weren't on your data that you didn't 25

have on your information and I could be confused too, sorry, I don't hear very good.

26 27

> MR. CROSS: Thank you. Through the Chair. Member Smith. Yeah, that's part of this exercise is to identify systems that aren't on this list. I mean I could probably spend all day listing systems that aren't on here but, you know, as far as the eulachon goes there is a current project going on the northern Southeast eulachon project and I'm sorry I don't have the list of systems that they're looking at, it's quite extensive. All the way from Haines, Skagway down to the northern Juneau area as well and they're using environmental DNA, along with some other sampling techniques to try to estimate escapement for the eulachon and identify different systems that they're in. And I can get you the list of systems that they're looking at. This was their first year and, yeah, I apologize I don't have the list of all of them.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else with a question or a comment.

(No comments)

47 48

46

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

```
0414
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Apparently not.
 2
     So just out -- oh, wait a minute, Harvey fist. Go
     ahead, Harvey.
 4
 5
                     MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just
 6
     for what I'm thinking, will this interfere with -- or
 7
     will this change the money and the things that are
     going to the tribes, will they have to resubmit or is
 9
     this all new?
10
11
                     MR. CROSS: Through the Chair. Member
12
     Kitka. That's a great question. I don't believe that
13
     any of this funding will interfere with the tribes and,
14
     honestly, maybe I didn't emphasize enough, when a
15
     proposal is put in, if it doesn't have a tribal
16
     cooperator or tribal support or a local cooperator then
17
     it has very little chance of making it through the
18
     vetting process. So each one of -- I'll go back to
19
     that slide -- each one of the projects that's listed
20
     over to the right has at least one tribal cooperator.
21
     For example the northern Southeast eulachon project it
22
     has the -- and I apologize if I pronounce this
23
     incorrectly, the TaskshoonkWatershed Council, the
24
     Chilkoot Indian Association, Skagway Traditional
25
     Council and then the Oregon State University are all
26
     partners on that project, and that's just one example.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else with
29
     a question.
30
31
                     (No comments)
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody on the
34
     phones with a question.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: No. Okay, so
39
     maybe you could juts briefly outline again what our
40
     action encompasses on this, what are you looking for.
41
42
                     MR. CROSS: Yes. Mr. Chair. We are
43
     looking for any additions or subtractions from this
44
     list of priority information needs.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are you also
47
     looking for an approval of this list, would you say?
48
49
                     MR. CROSS:
                                (Nods affirmatively)
50
```

0415 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, okay. 2 Additions, subtractions and then approve it. 3 4 MR. CROSS: That's correct, Mr. Chair. 5 6 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So maybe I 7 don't know if we need more discussion before we have a motion, I don't know if anybody has suggestions on this 9 but at some point we have to have a motion. So John 10 Smith, go ahead. 11 12 MR. SMITH: Just a question, because 13 of, you know, Haines, Klukwan, Skagway not being on 14 there, is that -- can that be something that we suggest 15 to put on the table to, you know, we're talking about 16 co-management and working together. 17 18 (In Tlingit) 19 20 MR. VICKERS: Council Member Smith. 21 Having whatever you want looked at, specific 22 systems, specific surveys, specific species is very 23 important because the TRC, the Technical Review 24 Committee, when they evaluate proposals, they make sure 25 it addresses a priority information need. And they --26 so if someone puts in a proposal to look at something 27 in Haines and the Technical Review Committee says, 28 either Haines isn't listed here at all, it's not a 29 priority information -- they're not addressing a 30 priority information need, or if Haines is listed, then 31 they say, okay, this is addressing a priority 32 information need, something that the Regional Council 33 has decided is important for the community of Haines. 34 So if there's something specific you want on there, get 35 it -- discuss putting it on there. 36

Thank you.

39 40 ahead.

40 41 42

43

44

45 46

47

37

38

MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I can't see very well but is like habitat, the spawning grounds of the streams, is that -- I realize that's an important thing because a lot of the streams, the habitat has changed with the logging that took place, and some of the spawning grounds aren't what they used to be. Could that be put on the list?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Harvey, go

MR. CROSS: Through the Chair. Member Kitka. I'll have to look into that specifically. It's my belief that the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program can't do any habitat restoration or that's not the goal of it, it's simply -- or its primary focus is to gather information, whether it be on subsistence users or systems or species that are important to subsistence fisheries in order to guide management actions and to provide information for this Council to make management decisions.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody

13 else.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, maybe it's time to make a motion. I think before we start making any suggested additions or changes that should be in the form of a motion so let's think about that.

Cathy Needham.

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I move to support the priority information -- the 2024 priority information needs as identified by this RAC.

MR. CASIPIT: Second.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Cathy. Now I think it would be appropriate if you wanted to add anything to that list or make any changes we can address that.

MS. NEEDHAM: I would support Ian's suggestion that if we could strengthen any one of these things, specifically he said the development of escapement goals for sockeye salmon systems in terms of the co-management. That is something that is typically and specifically done mainly by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game in a lot of respects and so it would be really great if -- I know that when we put this particular item on this priority information needs in the past, we talked about, well, that looks -- there'll be a proposal coming from the State most likely, but they would need a tribal partner in order for the project to kind of -- that's one of the thresholds, as well evaluation criteria and stuff, and so I think Ian is right, if we can actually put co-management into it

maybe that would encourage a tribe to engage with the State and having an escapement goal met. And I can think specifically like Hetta Lake has a long-term escapement data set and the tribe there could potentially put in a proposal to develop an escapement goal for sort of co-management of that population at this point in time. That would be a good example.

So if we could strengthen that. I don't want to say that it's limited to that one system, but if we could actually put that co-management piece into it I think it would be a good addition.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Cathy. So I think the way this should work, and so we have a suggested addition, I'll ask the Council if they have any comments on that, if for some reason somebody thinks, you know, maybe it shouldn't be on there, we could discuss that, but if it's been suggested and there's no opposition, it's just part of the motion and would be approved in a final vote. So that's what I see.

Patty Phillips, did you have anything

to add.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Chairman Hernandez. I just want to support what Ian brought up and what Cathy brought up. And sometimes it'll take a pilot project to do what we're suggesting, or a pilot projects and within systems that we've already supported in the past is a good area to choose from.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Patty. Any other Council members have any suggested additions or changes.

John Smith, go ahead.

MR. SMITH: Can we -- or the committee have a conversation about Haines, Klukwan and Skagway and what your thoughts are of that, just to see if that might be an addition but I'm not sure.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I mean that's the procedure, we're having a discussion now, it's kind of.....

0418 1 MR. SMITH: And the reason I say..... 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:deliberation 4 on the.... 5 6 MR. SMITH:is that's where a lot 7 of my family harvests and I've noticed a lot of changes in returns and I know a lot of things happen in the 8 9 river and it changes quite often so I don't know what 10 the up to date data is in that area but I know from my 11 own personal that it's slowed down a little bit. 12 13 Thank you. 14 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 16 John. Cathy, do you have something in relation to what 17 John was saying or something new. 18 19 MS. NEEDHAM: Yeah, I would ask Member 20 Smith the question, putting a community in but what is 21 the data collect, what data would they collect, are you 22 speaking specifically regarding eulachon or are you 23 thinking of some other fishery for data collection? 24 MR. SMITH: Data collection, yeah, for 25 26 sure. I mean basically what they're doing in all the 27 other rivers, you know, to identify how the return is, the numbers are, how many females, how many males, 28 29 what, you know, the timeline, you know, those kind of 30 things. So, you know, I'm just trying to connect to 31 doing the same thing what they're doing with the other 32 eulachon studies. But I'm just throwing it on the 33 Board, I don't know, I'm the new person here so I'm 34 learning a lot here today so I'm kind of leaning on you 35 guys to think of that. But I know that I didn't see 36 them on the table and that's why I'm -- and that's a 37 really important place and there's a lot of importance 38 there and history, culture. 39 40 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, 41 understand that. I think Cathy has another followup on 42 that. Go ahead, Cathy. 43 44 MS. NEEDHAM: I understand that and I'm not against putting like naming them specifically, but 45 46 it's a different type of project if you're doing an 47 eulachon assessment or a sockeye assessment or a king 48 salmon assessment and so we need to make it successful

for the people putting in a proposal, we can't just say

49

any data collection on fisheries that come out of Haines, and so I'm just looking to -- if we're going to add a bullet, I think it needs to be a little more specific than that and I'll point out bullet point No. 3., is a population assessment for eulachon for northern Southeast Alaska which does include those communities, it just doesn't list them separately. So if that covers it, that's great. And then if there's another resource that we need information on that could be priority information need that we need for them to collect in order to help manage the resource then we should identify it along with listing the communities.

I just don't know of any at this time. Like I don't know if they need sockeye counts, or if they need coho counts or anything at this point so.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Cal.

MR. CASIPIT: One of the other concerns that I would have and before we would go -- you know, add specific projects or communities is that I know we need to make sure that those places are within our jurisdiction. And I know that there's a bunch of country up there near Haines that's not even within the exterior boundary of the Tongass and, therefore, outside our jurisdiction and we won't get approval to spend money there. Anyway as far as adding communities, that last one up there about community household harvest surveys, I think maybe that's what you're getting at, I'm not sure. I mean I -- you know, I'm not sure when the last set of community household surveys were done in Haines, Klukwan and Skagway but Staff could probably figure that out for us.

You know, I know if -- I know Ketchikan is in a serious situation because their last community harvest surveys were the last century.

(Laughter)

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ CASIPIT: So anyway, that's kind of where I'm coming from on that.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Cal. Before we get to Louie Wagner, Mr. Risdahl here has maybe some help on this.

MR. RISDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Members of the Council. Just to help those members understand a little bit more about the process, this Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program process.

Essentially you're looking to fund projects based on stock, status, trends, and traditional ecological knowledge. So we don't necessarily pick out specific communities. What you do is you're throwing a broad group of ideas out for where you believe research needs to take place on stock, status, trends or traditional ecological knowledge and that includes such things as household surveys. So as you've seen from the bullets that were selected back in 2020 there's a lot of different areas, locations, streams and species that have been selected. Now, you don't -- in this process you don't pick the partners. When people submit proposals, researchers submit proposals to do research then a group of individuals, experts from all five Federal agencies plus other Federal agencies go through and score and rank all of the projects based on some criteria like do they fit with the PINS first of all, and do they provide capacity building opportunities, do they provide partnerships of any kind. Do the researchers have the experience to prove that they can get the job done, things like that. Is the cost to benefit for doing these projects, is it a reasonable cost. So those are the kinds of things that are looked at $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$ those are the criteria that are used for selecting projects.

So what you guys do is you just determine where you really need the most, the research the worst, you know, what communities have not been looked at for a long time, and where do you really need that information from.

For example, today, Ketchikan is a perfect example. Apparently there, I think it was according to Cal, there hasn't been any kind of household surveys done since the 1980s, maybe that's something that would be important. Or if there's other areas that you know of, like John you're suggesting, hey, maybe there's areas that, you know, or communities in my area that need some of this sort of thing done.

So that's what you're looking at, you're looking at stock, status, trends or traditional ecological knowledge studies and then the researchers, whoever they may be submit proposals and they get

reviewed and ranked and scored as to which ones are the most likely to be, you know, successful.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Greg. Yeah, Louie Wagner, you had a question or comment.

MR. WAGNER: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair. So if I was to apply for the Unuk River there, since I have close to 60 years on that river I know it quite well, what would I have to do to apply? That's what you're looking at here, am I right?

MR. RISDAHL: Mr. Wagner, through the Chair. Yeah, so if you or your community has the ability to submit a proposal, you know, you got a natural resources group and they would look at it -- and they -- you submit your application and your application would try to hit on all the points necessary to make for a project that would score high in this process. Like I said there's a number of things. It looks like Rob has a -- go ahead, Rob.

MR. CROSS: Yeah, Member Wagner. I just want to clarify that the Unuk River eulachon, there have been proposals submitted for those over the last couple of cycles, they've ranked highly, they just have not received funding based on the ranking. But I would add that if that's something that you're interested in -- I guess to address the whole Council, if there's a project that you're interested in, I would say through this process, or through this exercise I would make sure that one of those priority information needs captures the project that you're interested in.

So -- and directly to your question, Member Wright [sic], I'm happy to work with you on developing maybe a more robust application. We've been working with KIC and I feel like we have a very good application and a good chance of getting funded in this next cycle but the more cooperators we have the more support that we have from local communities and tribes and your 60 years of knowledge on that system will only help to bolster that proposal and hopefully will get through. But to the exercise, I would just make sure that, again, if you have a proposal in mind or a project that you're thinking about, I would make sure at this point that these priority information needs

capture that project and -- because we're not allowed -- researchers or proponents of a proposal can't submit a proposal or it won't go very far if it doesn't address one of these priority information needs.

MR. WAGNER: It would be on the eulachons, especially the survey and the size and when they come in, when they leave, because nobody's been doing that. We've been getting up there too late and what not, and it would be nice to get this done so we can bring home fish again, you know, I mean the people are passing away without their last meal of eulachon and it's been too hard on communities and haven't been able to make the eulachon grease. We make it, my whole family knows how to make it, I have my big cooker right in the back of the yard there so it's very handy. So to me it's really important if we could do that and bring some good numbers back so we can get back to harvesting again for at least some of the people.

Thank you.

MR. CROSS: Yes, Mr. Wright -- or Member Wright [sic] -- oh, sorry.....

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Mr. Wagner.

MR. CROSS: Sorry, Mr. Wagner, I apologize. Yes, so that is captured in one of the priority -- or in multiple of the priority information needs and that is part of the proposal that's been submitted. But, again, for this cycle that's coming up I would highly encourage you to work with myself and also KIC and help to develop a proposal that has a better chance of getting funded.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Patty, do

you....

MR. WAGNER: Okay. What about going through my own community to do this. We have our own, you know, town secretary, and we have a grant writer, we have our natural resource committee, everything's all set up. We have our own fisheries there, we have a real good Staff on the fisheries. You know, we have our own cabin up there, we have the boats to get around that river, we know how to find the eulachons where a lot of people really don't know how to find them. We had fishermen come up behind us and they would leave

because they couldn't find the fish. There's times when I wouldn't fish because they would have just followed me and worked where I was working so we'd just wait and they would leave and then we would fish. But they are hard to spot up there. Most of them are, you know, they're spread out all over, so it would be good to get a good survey on the amount.

Thank you.

MR. CROSS: Yes.

MR. WAGNER: So we'd just have to contact you, even like have the secretary contact you -- hopefully she's feeling better.

MR. CROSS: Through the Chair. Member Wagner. Yes, that's correct. So it doesn't -- you can submit your own proposal. You can work with the Forest Service or with the Office of Subsistence Management or you can have your own Staff submit a proposal. And, again, to this exercise that's captured by multiple priority information needs so that would be an appropriate proposal to submit during this process.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ WAGNER: Okay. I'd be happy to work with you and it would get done a lot faster if it was going to go anywhere. Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Patty Phillips, go ahead.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. Mr. Wagner, tribal support for a project really helps move a project of a selection list just so you're aware.

But on the escapement index for eulachon at Unuk and Yakutat Forelands, does that index escapement index include a population assessment or should we add that to make it more specific?

MR. CROSS: Through the Chair. Member Phillips. I don't think that it would hurt to add that. It's my understanding that a population index would encapsulate escapement as well but I don't think that it hurts to add that on there.

```
0424
 1
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any other
 4
     suggestions from the Council.
 5
 6
                     MR. VICKERS: Mr. Chair. I have some
 7
     information if you would like it.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Who was that?
10
11
                     MR. VICKERS: Over here, Brent Vickers,
12
     sorry.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, Brent, okay.
15
16
                     MR. VICKERS: Since it was brought --
17
     the question came out about updating community
18
     household harvest surveys and I quickly just tried to
19
     look through the State's ADF&G Division of Subsistence
20
     community harvest data, there has not been a
21
     comprehensive or any subsistence survey in this region
22
     within the past five years. There's been several
23
     communities, maybe about eight total in the region that
24
     have been done in the last six to 10 years, including
25
     Hydaburg, Whale Pass, Sitka, Angoon and Haines and
26
    Klukwan. The rest have not been -- the last time -- if
27
     they have been surveyed, the last time was over 10
28
     years ago. That's all, thank you.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
                                          Okay. Well, once
31
     again any other additions, questions from the Council
32
     before we take a vote on this.
33
34
                     Harvey, go ahead.
35
36
                     MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd
37
     like a copy of the priority information needs. I can't
38
     seem to find it in any of my paperwork. I just was
39
     hoping that -- I asked about a timeline earlier, I'd
40
     like to take it back to our tribe and see what they
41
     have to say.
42
43
                     MS. PERRY: Through the Chair. Member
44
     Kitka. We actually do have that list printed in your
45
    meeting book, your main meeting book, it's on Page 127,
46
     and that's the whole list that we've been discussing.
     You should have that in your book, if you don't -- it's
47
48
     in the main book, not the supplemental, so just
```

checking. Did you find that Mr. Kitka?

49

```
0425
 1
                     MR. KITKA: (Nods affirmatively)
 2
 3
                     MS. PERRY: Okay, great. Thank you,
 4
    Mr. Chair.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else
 7
    before we kind of review what we've done and take a
 8
     vote.
 9
10
                     MR. SLATER: Mr. Chair, this is Jim.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Mr. Slater, go
13
     ahead.
14
15
                     MR. SLATER: Yes. Maybe because I'm on
     the phone it's hard to follow some of the aspects of
16
17
     this. Could you -- or could someone tell me what the
18
     criteria are for selecting a good river system, I mean
19
     is it for a local area of subsistence use or is it for
20
     a barometer for the fishery in general? I'm just --
21
    what is a good candidate to be on this list, what are
22
     the components of making a good candidate?
23
24
                     MR. CROSS:
                                 Through the Chair. Member
25
     Slater. Again, for the record my name is Robert Cross.
26
     I would say all the above that were mentioned listed
27
     for the call for proposals, it shows that in addition
28
     to the priority information needs, it needs to show
29
     Federal jurisdiction, and a direct subsistence
30
     fisheries management implications as well.
31
32
                     MR. SLATER: Okay. So for example, a
33
     local river system to like say Lisianski Inlet, where
34
    there was some subsistence needs, or not a really good
35
    understanding of what the -- what it is for a coho run
36
     -- what the coho run was as far as size so what the
37
     potential impacts were and the level of subsistence
38
     fishing and sportfishing were, that would be a valid
39
     candidate?
40
41
                     MR. CROSS: Through the Chair. Member
42
     Slater. I'm looking at the priority information needs
43
     right now and that is a possible gap and then for the
44
     Regional Advisory Council to consider, in that, it's
45
     very heavily weighted towards sockeye salmon and I
46
    believe that you mentioned coho salmon.
47
48
                     MR. SLATER: Right, okay, I got it.
49
     Okay. All right, that answers the question for me,
50
```

0426 1 thank you. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Mr. Kitka, go 4 ahead. 5 6 MR. KITKA: Realizing that this is all 7 mostly about sockeye and eulachon, but the Federal waters of Makhnati Island where the herring used to 8 9 spawn and they're just barely starting to come back but 10 it would be nice to maybe have a study on that too. 11 12 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any further 13 discussion on that. 14 15 (No comments) 16 17 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Want to make sure 18 that's a project that could be a added to the list, it 19 sounds like it is Federal waters, although mostly we 20 talk about stream assessments and stuff, but those are 21 Federal waters. Any other discussion. 22 23 (No comments) 24 25 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So let me 26 know when you're ready to take a vote unless there's 27 anything else to add. 28 29 (No comments) 30 31 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And as I said, we 32 had suggested additions so we have an original motion 33 to approve the list and we added to the list so that 34 motion would cover any new additions as well. 35 36 So, Patty, go ahead. 37 38 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 39 Perhaps we should have a separate bullet about, you know, establish with local partnership decisionmaking 40 41 rather just on this one that's for sockeye salmon 42 systems. We want that kind of insert, or that sort of 43 indigenous management within the whole FIS if possible. 44 And the reason I'm saying that is if we include Makhnati Island herring then there's a possibility of, 45 46 you know, developing the stock assessment and possible 47 partnership and decisionmaking with the tribe rather

than having it just on sockeye salmon streams. I don't

48

49

50

know.

```
0427
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, any
 2
     discussion on that.
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If that's
 7
     something the Council is interested in I guess we could
     work up some wording on that. I guess probably for a
 9
     lot of this we might have to go back through
10
     transcripts or something and, you know, see exactly
11
     what people wanted, but, yeah.
12
13
                     Cathy, go ahead.
14
15
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
16
     quess my question would be does it preclude a project
17
     that doesn't have that from being funded?
18
19
                     MS. PHILLIPS: It's trying to
20
     encourage.
21
                     MS. NEEDHAM: No -- yeah, okay, thank
22
23
     you.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Is that
26
     Frank, go ahead, Frank.
27
28
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
29
     Since we're talking different species, you know, there
30
     was a time when I was able to get a community permit
31
     for my seine boat to go over to Excursion Inlet and
32
    make a set for chum because the river in Excursion
33
     Inlet used to be a huge producer and now they don't
34
    even open it up over there so I don't even bother about
35
    going over there anymore because -- for the community --
36
     would it be possible to do some kind of survey on that
37
    river in Excursion Inlet to -- I know the Department of
38
     Fish and Game does it, but they never say anything.
39
    Last time -- there was a time when we used to fill our
    boat up every time but, you know, now it's not there
40
     anymore. And, you know, that -- the people in Hoonah
41
42
    used to really enjoy when I came in with 700 fish to
43
    distribute to the communities and right now they don't
44
     even open it up anymore. So with the rivers running
     and -- I don't know the reason why chum salmon quit
45
46
    going there or only thing I know is that the -- I think
47
    the Department -- I think the Department of Fish and
48
     Game had taken some chum eggs out of there and ever
49
     since then we haven't been to really harvest there.
```

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank. Other comments on addition of -- I don't know the name of the stream there but stock assessment on chum salmon stream in Excursion Inlet.

Yeah, John, go ahead.

MR. SMITH: Yeah, I was a fisherman too at -- when I was a young man, about 14 years old I was a skiff man on the Donna Ann, I used to love leaving there and I used to hang out in the front of the bow and put my hands there and you would just see the dog salmon for miles all the way until we got home almost, that's how much dog salmon was in the water and now, of course, like you say don't see that anymore.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. Sounds like that's been added to the list. Anybody else. Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: So could we have the additions, could we have the list of the additions, please.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: At some point we're going to have to have that. I don't know who's been taking notes but like I say we haven't had any objections to any additions so if we don't have a good list being generated then it could be gotten off the transcripts as well, so we know the suggestions, it might be helpful. So go ahead, Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: So I'm a little bit confused. So we had add population assessment and escapement index for eulachon at Unuk River and Yakutat Forelands, study at Makhnati Island herring stock, Lisianski River coho stock population assessment, developing escapement goals for sockeye salmon established with local partnership decisionmaking, and I don't know -- those are what was talked about but are we adding them all to the list, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That was my understanding unless there was some objection to adding those to the list, that they would be added to the list and they would be included in our vote to approve the list.

0429	
1	Cathy.
2	
3 4 5 6	MS. NEEDHAM: I would support adding them all to the list, this is an inclusive we can be as inclusive as possible, and it's all a matter of whether or not somebody then subsequently submits a
7 8 9 10 11	proposal for it. So just because we're adding it to the list doesn't mean that somebody's going to submit a proposal but it at least allows them to so I think the more inclusive we can be the better.
12 13 14	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patty, you have something.
15 16	MS. PHILLIPS: And chum stock assessment at Excursion Inlet.
17 18 19	Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. So just so
21 22	everybody understands, let's call it a wish list at this point.
232425	(Laughter)
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And then in order for that to happen some organization would have to come forward and say, yes, I think I could do that and they'd put in a proposal and how they'd go about it and then it all gets evaluated and, yeah, so just because you put it on the list doesn't mean it's going to happen, somebody has to want to do it and have the ability to do it, but if you don't put it on the list it won't happen. So there you go.
36 37	So go ahead, John.
38 39 40 41	MR. SMITH: So the Chilkoot and Chilkat areas for population assessment and escapement, we're not going to do that?
42 43 44	eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Put it on the list and
45 46 47 48	MR. SMITH: Like you just said, you said I'll just put it on there and then somebody has to step up, but just a thought.
49 50	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, yeah, you

0430 could put it on the list, like I say, Cal Casipit did point out that those may not be Federal waters. 2 3 4 MR. CASIPIT: Right. 5 6 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. So, you 7 know, depending on -- I'm not sure about that myself, but yeah it'd be a criteria so get it evaluated and put 8 9 it on the list, sure. 10 11 Rob, you had something to include 12 there. 13 14 MR. CROSS: Yes, Mr. Chair. So I have 15 been taking notes and I can put up the suggested edits 16 on there. Just as a note, so I have added something 17 along the lines of development of strategies to 18 incorporate indigenous co-management or incorporate the 19 use of indigenous co-management and you can edit the 20 language as you see fit. I just wanted to reiterate 21 that that's actually a criteria for submitting a 22 proposal, is capacity building with local support, 23 partnership development, things like that, so some of 24 that -- maybe not co-management, partnership with 25 tribal organizations and different communities is both 26 a ranking criteria and a necessity for having one of 27 these funded. And then, finally, these priority information needs don't need to name every system that 28 29 could be looked at. In the past it's my understanding 30 that the Regional Advisory Council put the priority 31 sockeye systems on that list as sort of a way to focus 32 any proposals that were to come in, it's not an 33 exhaustive list, so I would suggest, you know, as far 34 as chum salmon or coho salmon or different stocks to 35 look at, maybe coming up with a bullet point that 36 incorporates that such as escapement indexes or 37 escapement estimates and harvest of subsistence salmon 38 stocks versus naming each stock, but that's totally up 39 to the Regional Advisory Council. 40 41 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Do you have a 42 comment on that Patty. Go ahead.

43 44

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. That's a very good suggestion, thank you, Rob.

45 46 47

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. What's the Council's wishes on this, are we satisfied that we have a good list. Like I say, it sounds like Patty was

49 50

keeping pretty good track there and Rob's got a list and so -- is it going to go up on the screen, oh, okay that'd be helpful if we do that.

(Pause)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Council, we got the list up there. Rob will read through it. My suggestion would be, you know, kind of make sure that it kind of encapsulates the ideas that you had in mind and I'm pretty confident that, you know, when this all goes on to the next level, if there's any questions about what the intents were Staff could probably go back to the transcripts and listen to what you had to say and flesh it out, right, so let's just get the list up there and make sure it's all inclusive, we didn't miss anything.

MR. CROSS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So hopefully I captured all the suggestions.

So the first one that I have is -- or that was suggested was reliable estimates of salmon escapement and in-season harvest of subsistence salmon systems. And this was in response to the desire to have monitoring for both chum salmon and coho salmon that were not previously captured by the priority information needs.

Do you want me to just keep going?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: (Nods

affirmatively)

MR. CROSS: Okay. The second one that I have per the discussion with Member Phillips was adding or estimates of escapement to the priority information need that says escapement indexes for eulachon on the Unuk River and Yakutat Forelands, so now it would read escapement indexes or estimates of escapement for eulachon at the Unuk River and Yakutat Forelands.

 And I apologize, I did my best to try to capture these ones but the next one is incorporate the use of indigenous co-management to develop escapement goals for sockeye salmon systems with long-term escapement data sets. Followed by development of strategies to incorporate indigenous co-management.

```
0432
 1
    And that language needs to be work-shopped a little
 2
    bit.
 3
 4
                     And then finally assessment of Makhnati
 5
    Island herring stock.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I think --
 8
    did we miss a couple, did we miss Lisianski and
 9
     Excursion Inlet -- oh, are they up there.
10
11
                     (Pause)
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Does that
14
    look good to everybody now.
15
16
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Where's the herring.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Makhnati Island.
19
20
                     (Pause)
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Just let me know
23
    when you're ready to take a vote.
24
25
                     MR. JOHNSON: Call for the question.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
28
    Question's been called for on this list of priority
29
    information needs. So all in favor of approving the
30
    list as we've discussed say aye.
31
32
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
35
    Anybody opposed, say no.
36
37
                     (No opposing votes)
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, we have
     approval of the list of priority information needs. It
40
41
     is important stuff, too, hopefully a lot of them will
42
     get funded, you just never know.
43
44
                     Okay, let me just see if we skipped
45
     anything on the agenda here, priority information
46
     needs, Partners. We have an action item, Wrangell-St.
47
    Elias SRC appointment, and.....
48
49
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Barbara Cellarius.
50
```

```
0433
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....yeah, for
    Barb Cellarius, yeah, do we have Barb Cellarius
 2
     available to tell us about the Wrangell-St. Elias SRC
 4
     appointment.
 5
 6
                     MS. NEEDHAM: She's on the phone.
 7
 8
                     MS. CELLARIUS: Hello.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Hello. We
11
     are....
12
13
                     MS. CELLARIUS: Can you hear me?
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, can hear you
16
     fine.
17
18
                     MS. CELLARIUS: Okay.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Barbara.
21
                     MS. CELLARIUS: Okay. The fact that
22
23
     the Operator muting everybody is confusing to those of
24
     us who have mute buttons on our phones. The -- let's
     see the handout for this agenda item is, I believe, on
25
26
    Page 129 of your meeting book. And I should introduce
27
    myself, I was distracted. So this is Barbara Cellarius
28
     and I am the Cultural Anthropologist and Subsistence
29
    Coordinator for Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and
30
    Preserve. In addition to that handout that starts on
31
     page 129, I'll draw your attention to the map on Page
32
     131 which shows the location of Parklands in Unit 5.
     And as the Chair noted this is an action item.
33
34
35
                     The Wrangell-St. Elias National Park
36
     Subsistence Resource Commission, or SRC for short, is a
37
     citizen advisory committee that provides a venue for
38
     local subsistence users to have input to the (paper
39
     rustling) management of subsistence resources in
40
    Wrangell-St. Elias National Park. It also makes
41
     recommendations to Regional Advisory Councils and the
42
    Federal Subsistence Board about proposals to change
43
    Federal subsistence regulations for hunting, fishing
44
     and trapping.
45
46
                     Under the provisions of ANILCA, three
47
     of nine SRC members are appointed by RACs, including
48
     one by the Southeast RAC. These members provide an
49
     important link between the SRC and the Federal
```

Subsistence Management Program. The Southeast RAC has the opportunity to take action on its appointment at today's meeting. (Paper rustling) Some of you may recall that I called into the spring meeting but I didn't have a candidate, now I do. RAC appointees to the SRC must be a member of either the RAC or a local Fish and Game Advisory Committee within the region. Yakutat is the only Southeast Alaska community eligible to harvest resources in Wrangell-St. Elias, which means only residents of Yakutat are eligible for this appointment. Because no Yakutat residents currently serve on the Southeast RAC any appointee would need to be a member of the Yakutat Advisory Committee.

In its previous actions the RAC made two appointments to the SRC, one for the primary representative and an optional appointment of an alternate. The primary seat is currently vacant because Sam Demmert no longer serves on the AC. The alternate is Larry Bemis, (paper rustling) his appointment has expired but NPS Advisory Committee members continue to serve until reappointed, replaced or they resigned. So I suggest that the RAC start with the primary appointment.

Through the AC coordinator, I reached out to AC members about interest in serving on the SRC but no one responded to the inquiry. In the spring the Superintendent and I met with several members of the Yakutat Tlingit Tribal Council although it wasn't a formal endorsement by the Council the person whose name was mentioned is the one who I'll be presenting to you today.

 Darrel James has expressed interest in serving on the Wrangell-St. Elias SRC. He is eligible for this appointment as a member of the AC. Darrel was born in Juneau and has lived in Yakutat most of his life except for college and military service. In terms of subsistence activities he hunts moose, deer and seal (paper rustling), fishes and gathers shellfish. He also commercial fished for about 20 years, hand trolling and setnetting. He is retired from the U.S. Forest Service. In addition to the AC he currently serves on the city and borough of Yakutat Assembly and he's a past member of Yakutat — or a past Mayor of Yakutat.

So that is Darrel James who has

```
0435
     expressed interest in serving on the SRC as your -- as
     an appointee by the Southeast RAC. So I'll stop there
     and see if there's any questions about that.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 6
               So what you need from this Council is
     Barbara.
 7
     approval to -- or for us to appoint that person and I'm
     sorry, there was a little paper rustling going on
 9
     there, I didn't catch his full name.
10
11
                     MS. CELLARIUS: So the name is Darrel
12
     James.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
15
16
                     MS. CELLARIUS: I can -- let me take
17
     the phone off speaker. So I'll try speaking directly
18
     into the phone and see if that's better. So Darrel
19
     James is the name of the individual who's the candidate
20
    that I've been able to identify.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
                                          Right, got it,
23
                    So I guess what's needed for the Council
     Darrel James.
24
     to make a motion to have him appointed, correct?
25
26
                     MS. CELLARIUS: That is correct.
27
28
                     MS. NEEDHAM: And an alternate?
29
30
                     MS. CELLARIUS: I mean you could see if
31
     there are any -- he was the only candidate that I was
32
     able to identify.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. So I quess
35
     I'm assuming that we don't have a name for an
36
     alternate, is that correct?
37
38
                     MS. CELLARIUS: So Larry has been --
39
     Larry Bemis has been serving as the alternate. I don't
40
     know if he's in the room with you and could speak to
41
     whether he's interested in continuing to serve in that
42
     capacity. No other -- no other of the SRC seats
43
     actually have alternates but the possibility of having
44
     an alternate does exist in regulation.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.
     Why don't we just check with our.....
47
48
49
                     MS. CELLARIUS: So it's not....
```

0436	
1	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:Coordinator
2	to
3	
4	MS. CELLARIUS:required to
5	appoint an alternate. It's a little bit unclear to me
6	from the last conversation I had with Larry whether he
7	would like to be appointed as the alternate which is
8	why I'm sort of hesitating and hope that he might be
9	able to represent himself.
10	
11	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: He's not here in
12	the room. Did he have a discussion with our
13	Coordinator on that earlier when he was here.
14	
15	MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This
16	is DeAnna Perry, Council Coordinator. Larry was in the
17	room earlier today. He did not express an interest but
18	we didn't bring it up at all. It sounds like,
19	according to Ms. Cellarius that Larry, by way of his
20	membership through the local AC there is eligible, we
21	just don't know if he's willing to serve; is that
22	correct Barbara?
23	
24	MS. CELLARIUS: That's correct. I mean
25	sometimes he's indicated an interest but the last time
26	I spoke with him he seemed on the fence because he has
27	a lot of other obligations.
28	
29	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well, let's
30	not go there with an alternate it sounds like it's not
31	necessary. So let's just look for a motion from the
32	Council here.
33	
34	Cathy, go ahead.
35	
36	MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
37	move to appoint Darrel James to the Yakutat
38	Wrangell-St. Elias SRC sorry, the Wrangell-St. Elias
39	SRC. Thank you.
40	
41	MS. PHILLIPS: Second.
42	
43	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. It's been
44	moved and seconded to appoint Darrel James to the SRC.
45	Any discussion.
46	
47	(No comments)
48	
49	MS. PHILLIPS: Question.
50	

```
0437
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, question's
 2
    been called for. All in favor of appointing Darrel
 3
     James say aye.
 4
 5
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody
 8
     opposed, say no.
 9
10
                     (No opposing votes)
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.
13
    Glad to hear we had a candidate step forward.
                                                    So....
14
15
                     MS. CELLARIUS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Let me just
17
18
     check the agenda here and we're moving along quickly.
19
20
                     (Pause)
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We have
23
     another action item, this is also -- it's Item G,
24
     harvest of wildlife for sport purposes in National
25
     Preserves, and this is presented by Eva Patton from the
26
    National Park Service. Eva, are you on the line.
27
28
                     REPORTER: Don, so people need to star,
29
     six to unmute.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And remember to
32
    hit star, six because you're muted from this end.
33
34
                     REPORTER: Just like we have been for
35
     years, to mute and unmute, star, six, like at every
36
     single meeting, you should be using star, six.
37
38
                     MS. PATTON: Hello, Mr. Chair and
39
    members of the Council. Can you hear me now?
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We hear you now.
42
     Go ahead, Eva.
43
44
                     MS. PATTON: Great, thank you, Mr.
45
     Chair. Again, my name is Eva Patton. I'm the
46
     Subsistence Program Manager with the National Park
47
     Service, Regional Office here in Anchorage.
48
49
                     And this was an action item, we're
50
```

really seeking your feedback today -- the proposed rule did not get published in the Federal Register yet so I have a brief overview of what we're anticipating will come in the Federal Register and are interested in any questions or feedback that you might have at this time, but not a formal action item because the actual rule and comment period isn't open yet at this time.

I want to thank you for giving us your time in your busy agenda to speak with you today about this important issue that will affect how we manage sporthunting in the National Preserve Lands here in Alaska. Last winter the National Park Service was directed by the Department of Interior to initiate a rulemaking to reconsider factual, legal and policy conclusions that underlie the 2020 hunting rule, and that 2020 hunting rule allows for bear baiting, the baiting of bears by sporthunters in National Preserves as well as other previously banned activities such as the harvest of wolves and coyotes during the denning season, the taking of black bear cubs and sows at den sites and the harvest of swimming caribou, among other practices.

And, specifically, for the Southeast RAC region, the only area where the proposed rule, which again would restrict those practices under sporthunting, or State regulations on Preserve lands, it wouldn't have any effect to current subsistence regulations, means or methods, just for State regulations on Preserve lands -- and the only area that it would overlap or interact with the Southeast RAC region is in Unit 5, you'll see on Page 38 of your wildlife proposal -- or your wildlife regulatory books, there's a small section of Wrangell-St. Elias Preserve lands in Yakutat Bay and a small section of Glacier Bay Preserve lands in Unit 5A. And bear baiting is in regulations, under subsistence regulations for black bear between April 13th and June 15th and it is allowed under the State -- or sporthunting regulation on Preserve lands in that unit as well, for black bear. And so the proposed rule would only restrict those practices by sporthunters, and no affects to the current subsistence regulations.

And the reason the Park Service is revisiting this is that they're examining the risk associated with bear baiting on Preserve lands where all of the public is invited to come and enjoy the land

and also that a number of the practices that were authorized for sporthunting in 2020 may be appropriate for Federal subsistence users but the Park Service is reconsidering whether those opportunities should also be authorized for sporthunters. And, again, none of what we're talking about today or in the proposed rule would have any affect to current subsistence -- Federal subsistence regulations, or restrict subsistence in any way. And the input that the Park Service had received just through informal communications with affected tribes and Native Corporations is that one of the primary concerns with the 2020 rule is that it increases competition with non-local hunters and it allowed for sporthunters to compete with Federal subsistence users for the same resources on Preserve lands.

And we're interested to get your feedback if you have any questions or comments on this issue and are interested to know how the process will ensure that your perspective on those that live in the area as a priority consumptive user under ANILCA are considered.

And the proposed rule, again, we had anticipated that it would come out prior to the RAC meetings so you'd have an opportunity for formal comment as a Council, the proposed rule is anticipated to perhaps come out sometime this winter and we will keep your Council Coordinator apprised when that does post, there will be a minimum 60 day comment period and also, importantly, once the Federal Register posts, the National Park Service will initiate formal tribal consultation with affected communities and, again, primarily that would be the community of Yakutat in relationship to where the Preserve lands are in the Southeast RAC region.

 So happy to take any questions and would be very interested to hear your thoughts and ideas. Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Council.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.} \\ \text{Questions from the Council.}$

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I guess no -- oh,

0440 Ian Johnson, go ahead, Ian. 2 3 MR. JOHNSON: Thanks, Mr. Chair. Ms. 4 Patton, is there a place we can get a written -- a 5 location we can find a written description. 6 appreciate the information I'm just having a little bit 7 of a hard time tracking without documents in front of 8 me. 9 10 MS. PATTON: Thank you for that 11 question and our apologies. We don't have any written information at this time. Again, we were anticipating 12 13 that the actual Federal Register notice would publish 14 so that we could provide that for you. Since it hasn't 15 published yet we don't have the exact language that 16 would be within the proposed rule. We will, again, notify your Council Coordination -- Coordinator when it 17 18 does publish and I'm sure that the information and the 19 opportunity to comment gets out to everyone there. 20 Apologies, we don't have anything available in writing 21 without the Register itself. 22 23 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. 24 So as far as action for the Council at this time, 25 you're just looking for comments from us. 26 MS. PATTON: Yes, thank you. Any 27 28 feedback would be helpful in guiding how the Park 29 Service proceeds with this or any concerns, you know, 30 or questions that you have that would help guide both 31 how the Park Service proceeds or outreach with affected 32 communities would be very helpful. 33 34 Thank you. 35 36 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anything 37 else from the Council, questions or comments. 38 39 (No comments) 40 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, not seeing 41 42 any at this time so we'll just stay apprised of the 43 situation as it moves forward I guess. So thank you, 44 Barbara [sic]. I think we should take a..... 45 46 MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and 47 members of the Council. 48 49 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep, thank you. I

0441 think we should probably take a short break and when we come back we need to develop our annual reports list, it's another action item. And then after we do that I think we could move into the State Board of Game 5 wildlife proposals and get a report from our working 6 group on that and then we'll see where we are. 7 8 Okay, thank you, 15 minutes, let's say 9 3:15. 10 11 (Off record) 12 13 (On record) 14 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Council and 16 Staff if you're in the room let's find our seats and we 17 could reconvene here. 18 19 (Pause) 20 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, everybody. 22 We're going to move on to..... 23 24 MS. PERRY: The annual report. 25 26 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm sorry I think 27 I did miss one, I thought we were going to go on to the wildlife proposals but I do see we also have the annual 28 29 report issues as an action item. So the Council 30 Coordinator handles that and we need to go there first 31 and then the wildlife proposals so annual report. 32 33 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. Members of the 34 Council. For the record my name is DeAnna Perry, 35 Council Coordinator for the Southeast Alaska 36 Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. It is time for 37 the Council to identify issues for its annual report. The guidelines for annual reports can be found on Pages 38 39 132 and 133 of your meeting books and this is an action 40 item. 41 42 ANILCA established the annual report as 43 a way to inform the Federal Subsistence Board of 44 regional subsistence uses and needs and to provide recommendations for regional fish and wildlife 45 46 management strategies, policies, standards, guidelines 47 and proposed regulations. In the interest of time 48 since I know you have this handy cheat sheet in your 49 book I won't go through all of what Section

.805(A)(3)d) of ANILCA spells out, you've got that right in front of you so -- but I would like to emphasize that your annual report ensures the Board has the most up to date awareness of issues, concerns and current events that impact your subsistence way of life and this information and recommendations help the Board make informed decisions on regulatory and policy actions.

For our new Council members, just to let them know how this process works. After the Council identifies issues at this meeting, I'll draft up an annual report in letter form based on the information provided in your discussion. Then the Council will be able to review that draft report at our winter meeting next year in February. At that time you can also provide edits and even additional information if you think of an additional topic, you can also add it at that time. If you cannot think of topics to include in the report now, again, just remember that you will have another chance to do that.

So, Mr. Chair, if you'd like to lead the discussion for the Council members to share items for fiscal year 2022 annual report I'm ready to make a note of those items.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, DeAnna. So as I mentioned this morning and hopefully Council members, through the course of the meeting may have written down some issues that came up in the course of our meeting that you think maybe need to address in the annual report or maybe you just had something else on your mind so now is the time to put those forward and we'll get a list going. So anybody with a suggestion for the annual report.

Ian Johnson, go ahead.

MR. JOHNSON: Thanks, Mr. Chair. I have a few written down, do I just like rip them out like altogether, I don't know how this works, like one at a time and discussion, or just like put them all out.

MS. PERRY: Through the Chair. If you could list the item and then maybe tell me a little bit

more about that item because we will have to explain that context in our annual report.

MR. JOHNSON: Okay.

6 MS. PERRY: So, yeah, one by one would 7 be great.

MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, thanks. And I'm only putting these out here as potential topics not of course -- yeah, and I was thinking about Mr. Smith's comments about just the lack of response for the transboundary letter. It seems like we could address that directly again, that no response was received which, of course is discouraging but then focus on the new letter that was suggested by the Board.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, sure, that's a good one, Ian. I think Cathy just maybe wants to add something to that so go ahead, Cathy.

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I agree with Ian and I think that one point that we can put in there that we add on to it is the fact that we are still getting new and emergent information about transboundary impacts and have been even asked at this meeting to potentially write a letter that gets submitted to the Department of State and while I was listening to Heather say that, in my mind I was thinking, well, we've already tried that tactic, in our letter, our first letter that was written years ago hasn't gotten there and so like just making sure that that point is put in there, that this is not only a continuing issue but it's becoming more complex as time goes on as it's coupled with other compounding issues.

John Smith.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cathy.

MR. SMITH: Yeah, and just making note that these changes take time, from what I'm hearing, so the sooner they respond to us the sooner we can make changes and, you know, to me these are important issues and very time sensitive. Just thoughts, thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, those thoughts can be added into the letter, yeah, that's what we're looking for. Okay, any other comments on the transboundary mining letter.

0444 1 (No comments) 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Not seeing any, 4 Ian, you got another suggestion. 5 6 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, during the 7 testimony today from Amy, hearing about, you know, and it was reflected from the Council that we've had 8 9 multiple attempts at trying to curb the sportfish 10 issues, the limit issues. And she did suggest 11 legislative actions that also could be other 12 mechanisms, so I guess my -- I'm trying to think of 13 like some sort of wording in there that'll allow us --14 that'll, you know, help us identify other means to 15 achieve this end, this highly important issue to the 16 Council but it seems like right now we're likely to 17 continue to beat our head against this wall without 18 success so to investigate other mechanisms to achieve 19 this end. 20 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I'm sure 22 DeAnna is probably going to tell us that we can't do anything that might influence legislation, it's a 23 24 violation of the Hatch Act, right, DeAnna. 25 26 27 the unguided sportfishing issue. We could certainly 28 29 advise the Board that this is a continuing issue but 30 that is outside our jurisdiction. We can comment, as 31

MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair. But I did want to just clarify that that was regarding addressing we have done, but, yeah, that's probably about as far as we can go but we can put it in the annual report so that it maintains its position in front of the Board.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, good clarification. Any other comments on that. Patty, go ahead.

MS. PHILLIPS: Yes, so we also talked about recordkeeping that the RACs put forth proposals to the Board of Fish for recordkeeping by unguided sport harvest. And then we've also supported bag limits for sport harvest and unguided -- well, that would be unguided sport -- and we've gone through four cycles with these same requests and basically nothing, you know, our concerns aren't heard.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So just as a clarification, are we just informing the Board of our

47 48 49

50

32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44 45

frustration on this and not actually asking them to do anything; is that correct?

2 3 4

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. Through Ian's -- what Ian said it sounds like we can -- I mean we can certainly ask the Board to help us identify other means, you know, if they're available, that this Council could take to elevate this. I don't know what the response will be but we can certainly ask so I would include that.

 $\mbox{CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, sounds good.} \label{eq:chairman} \mbox{Anybody else on this topic, on Board of Fish proposals.}$

(No comments)

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Did you have something else Ian.} \\$

MR. JOHNSON: I did. We haven't talked a lot about this but I think this is actually a point that Mr. Kitka has made in the past and I think it's been in our letter to encourage the Forest Service to think very strategically about the young growth harvest when it does occur and ensure that we don't replicate the same sort of conditions that occur during old growth harvest and thinking about landscape management. I don't know, it's a bit of a winger compared to anything we've talked about but I do think it's important. I think it's linked to the SASI initiatives and just the general kind of restructuring that's going across the region. It might seem pertinent to put that back in there as just a reemphasis on the importance of the strategy once harvest does begin again.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Any comments from Council on that item.

Cathy, go ahead.

MS. NEEDHAM: I would just add that even though Mr. Johnson doesn't think that we've discussed it, we've done a lot of work with land management planning around like Roadless and the Tongass Land Management Plan and I think that we've always kind of commented along those lines so I think they're supporting information that we have talked about, you know, managing young growth Forest and old growth Forest for habitat for wildlife and so I think,

you know, it's not a brand new thing, and we have talked about it. So I think that it definitely something that we highlight in there because it came up during this fiscal year. So.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: It's come up a lot actually. We've talked about it a lot. We made pretty strong recommendation 15 years ago in our deer working subcommittee group on Prince of Wales Island, we made a strong recommendation to do more habitat restoration on Prince of Wales Island so, yeah, it's been a longstanding issue. It won't be new. So any other comments from the Council on that one.

Patty, go ahead.

MS. PHILLIPS: And we had emphasized adaptive management techniques, I mean requesting additional sort of -- sort of management.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, that can be added. Any other discussion on this topic.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ian, do you have anything else that was on your list.

MR. JOHNSON: I have a note about desire of the Southeast RAC position on trawling, and I don't know how other -- at least we may express interest in that looking at the letter from the other one, that seems like we have heard from quite a few constituents about the issue of trawling and its impacts. So I'm not sure what -- how to frame that to the Board or what the most important parts are. So that's the last thing on my list that I jotted down.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Ian. Any other input from the Council on that item.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So I mean I was pretty aware of that issue being discussed quite a bit, a lot, and I guess I kind of have a question for Staff, if that is something that is best addressed in the annual report or I know we've also talked about generating a letter that would go to the National

Marine Fisheries Service, so would the letter be more effective than annual report, I quess is the question.

And, John Smith, go ahead.

MR. SMITH: Yeah, Gunalcheesh. I really think that's a go, and I think both would be real good.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Possibly, yeah, both is an option as well. So, Frank, go ahead.}$

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I think when we were talking about it, we were talking about addressing it with the other RAC people.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I don't know if I mentioned that but after lunch we had a letter that was passed around, that is a letter from the other four RACs. So, yeah, we can support what they're doing, we can write a separate letter giving our viewpoints on the issue and how it relates to Southeast Alaska, and probably draw some common themes from what the other four RACs are doing. So I think that will be a letter for sure, I think I can say that, we haven't gotten there yet but I think it will be, and maybe not a bad idea to put in the annual report as well.

Okay, I think that sounds good.

Cathy, go ahead. I think we're ready for new topics, yes.

MS. NEEDHAM: Okay. Along those same lines in terms of having, even though we're writing a letter, also including in our annual report, I think some of our main points about indigenous co-management need to continue to be in the annual report. You know, sometimes these timings are a little bit different. They're going to -- you know hopefully we'll vote on our letter today and they'll get it soon but our annual report won't necessarily reach the Board for a little bit of time, so it's always good to remind them that we have put in a lot of work and support this indigenous o-management. So I think that's another one of the topics that should be both a letter and the annual report.

```
0448
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you
 2
     Cathy. Any other suggestions on that topic.
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Other
 7
     topics. Patty, go ahead.
 8
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. Well, I know
 9
10
     the Board already knows, you know, what we're doing but
11
     I think we should address it because it is part of the
12
     .805 section is that, you know, we kept the closure in
13
     place for Neva, we recommended a closure at Kah Sheets
14
     and because of the increasing competition from sport
15
     harvest that we could anticipate further closures on
16
     certain streams and just that those are topics that we
17
     will face and deal with.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other comments
20
     from Council on that one. DeAnna, you have something
21
     to ask.
22
23
                     MS. PERRY: Yes. Through the Chair.
24
     Member Phillips. Was this specifically regarding
25
     unguided sportfishing, or sportfishing in general. I
26
     just want it to be real clear.
27
28
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Both.
29
30
                     MS. PERRY: Good enough.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Discussion
33
     on that.
34
35
                     (No comments)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: How about new
38
     topics.
39
40
                     (No comments)
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I'm not
43
     seeing any. I have one to add. I think we should put
44
     in the annual report, talk about how important we think
45
     it is for students to be visiting this process and
46
     request that they get some funding through the Federal
47
     system. I think we should request that they continue
48
     to get funding.
```

```
0449
 1
                     Any other comments on that one.
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any new topics.
 6
 7
                     (No comments)
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
10
11
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
12
    Albert.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, Albert, go
15
     ahead.
16
17
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
18
    One I can think of is the impact of creating a new
19
     commercial fisheries having on subsistence users.
20
    We've heard again they created a new shrimp fishery and
21
     now when they go out for shrimp they have a harder time
22
     getting what they used to get. So I think that's
23
     something we should start looking at again.
24
25
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,
28
     Albert. Anybody else on the Council with a comment on
29
     that topic. John, go ahead.
30
31
                     MR. SMITH: I know in previous
32
     conversation, Mr. Jackson from, I think it was Kake,
33
     came in, and the issue with crab and not knowing who's
34
    pots were out front and the low numbers. I was just
35
    curious if there was an investigation or a study or if
36
    we moved on any of that, if you remember any of that at
37
     all. I'm just curious on that first before -- if there
38
    might be an encouragement of doing a study over there.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I don't know if
41
     anybody has any recollections for that, I don't.
42
    Getting back to Albert Howard's topic, I think I do
43
    recall putting something about shrimp fishery in an
44
     annual report previously and I don't remember what the
45
     response was, but if it's still an issue we can
46
     certainly add that.
47
48
                     Cathy.
49
```

0450 1 MS. NEEDHAM: No, I have a new topic. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, okay, I 4 think we can take another new topic. Cathy, go ahead. 5 6 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I 7 think it's an added comment to the youth -- support funding for youth. We've talked about this a lot in 8 9 the past and we haven't brought it up in an annual 10 report for a long time but I think if we're going to 11 continue to have Council that doesn't have young people 12 sitting at the table with us that we should also -- we 13 should be thinking about having a youth representative, 14 a specific seat for that. One or the other. Either 15 put youth on the Council with us, and I know the 16 Federal Subsistence Board just makes recommendations 17 from an applicant pool up to the Secretaries, but --18 and then it's up to them to actually make the 19 appointments but if we can't get -- I know youth are 20 applying. Younger people are applying to be on this 21 Council and we're not getting a lot of that 22 representation and so -- and I know Councils, after the 23 All Council meeting, all talked about having putting in 24 a youth representative seat if we can't generate enough 25 youth to be actually seated on the Council. So I think 26 it's time to bring that back up again. And I would put 27 it under the same one with the funding because then we 28 can highlight the success of Heather Bauscher's program 29 and, you know, this will go before the Federal 30 Subsistence Board and so all these young minds that 31 we've been melding in this room over the last three 32 days, they will be there, too, so I think it's just 33 appropriate for those topics to kind of be put 34 together. 35 36 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good. 37 you, Cathy. Any comments on that one. Ian. 38 39 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, thanks Mr. Chair. Yeah, I think it's a great idea and I guess I would 40 41 encourage the process to remove barriers. I mean I 42 remember the application process and it's pretty 43 rigorous and I think that's a barrier, you know, and so 44 as we're thinking about developing these youth 45 opportunities, to not just imagine a youth seat but

also make it accessible, you know, make sure that those

-- you know remove barriers as appropriate for youth to

get involved. Just to encourage that.

46

47

48

```
0451
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Ian.
 2
    Any other comments on this one.
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other new
 7
     topics.
 8
 9
                     (No comments)
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I'm not
12
     seeing any. DeAnna, go ahead.
13
14
                     MS. PERRY:
                                 Through the Chair. I am a
15
     little wanting with the crab topic that was brought up.
16
     I'm not quite sure how to frame that. So if Member
17
     Smith can help me out, the annual report item that you
18
     wanted. You mentioned crab and knowing that what --
19
     not knowing what is out there, what kind of study could
20
    be done. So I'm going to need some more information
21
    because....
22
23
                     MR. SMITH:
                                Yeah, I'm going.....
24
25
                     MS. PERRY:
                                .....I'm not familiar.
26
27
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, I'm going off the
28
     testimony of, but I can't remember his first name, but
29
     Mr. Jackson, he was in a -- he came in and testimonied
30
     about that and that numbers were down and they were
31
     having trouble catching them but there were pots all
32
     the way up and down the beach so I'm sure commercial
33
     was involved in that so even to the point where lots of
34
     suggestions came up on the table of what to do and one
35
     of them was actually getting a Fish and Game officer to
36
     go out and actually observe that and pull them up or
37
     find out whose pots they were and start taking some
38
     data of who's setting pots or who's subsistence fishing
39
     there, too, who's using their resource to do that too,
40
     and try to determine why the low numbers of that crab
41
     in that area. Because like he was saying in his
42
     testimony, that it was plentiful before. So I can't
43
     remember a whole bunch from that event, but I believe
44
     that was some of the things that came up and I know
45
    many others were there, maybe you remember his
46
     testimony or there's a document of it. But just don't
47
     want to leave him out and that.
48
49
                     So, thank you.
```

```
0452
 1
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Member Smith.
 2
     I'll relook at the transcripts as well when they come
     out, thank you.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Louie, go
 6
     ahead.
 7
 8
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
 9
     Albert.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is it okay if we
12
     go to Albert -- yeah, go ahead, Albert.
13
14
                     MR. HOWARD: It's about the crab, Mr.
15
     Chairman, it was Joel Jackson that called in. His
16
     concern was that subsistence users in Kake would have
17
     their pots out and then a commercial boat would come in
18
     the bay and dump three pots in the bay and basically
19
     not leave anything left for the subsistence users other
20
    than females, which you can't take anyway. I think
21
    that's been a problem we've had for years now where
22
    that continues to be a practice. And as an example,
23
    Mr. Chairman, Angoon tried to address that by closing
24
     certain bays close to Angoon to commercial crab without
25
     getting anywhere. So that could be in the annual
26
     report as a continued concern that 300 pots could get
27
     dumped in the bay and cleaned out within a week and
28
     then they move on.
29
30
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert.
33
     I see DeAnna is transcribing that. So Mr. Wagner, go
34
     ahead.
35
36
                     MR. WAGNER: This is on the same
37
     subject that came up at our meeting last spring.
     up in Fairbanks I sat at a table with all the Kake boys
38
39
     there and I talked with Joel Jackson, he kind of
40
    brought it up again and I suggested to try to get a
41
     proposal in. I don't know if that would work through
42
    here to designate the area for just sportfishing, like
43
     I explained like Ketchikan has Bostwick Inlet that's
44
     strictly just for sportfishing, and Clover Pass for
45
     shrimp and crab, you know. I think they were going to
46
     try to get something together. I thought that was
```

Thank you.

their best option because they were fished out.

47

```
0453
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So with this
    annual report, we're -- it's not really a time to
 2
     suggest proposals, I mean that's kind of getting ahead
     of ourselves, we're just informing the Board that there
 5
     is an issue and putting it on their radar that, and,
     yeah, a proposal may follow but we're not really
 6
 7
     suggesting anything yet so.
 8
 9
                     MR. WAGNER: Well, I meant for them to
10
    get it in the spring one.....
11
12
                     REPORTER: Louie, your mic.
13
14
                     MR. WAGNER: Sorry. I didn't make
15
    myself clear.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I know, I....
18
19
                     MR. WAGNER: It was just a suggestion
20
     on here if that was all right to talk to him about that
21
     and encourage him to try to get that in for the spring
22
    meeting, winter meeting, it's called. So that was more
23
     of a question than anything.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. Cathy
26
    might have something to add to that.
27
28
                     MS. NEEDHAM: So the Board of -- that's
29
     a Board of Game proposal, and maybe what we can add
30
     into our letter to the Federal -- or sorry, Board of
31
     Fish proposal, and maybe what we could add into our
32
     letter on the topic is that this Council spent a lot of
33
     time supporting proposals for closures, commercial
34
     closures of shellfish around communities that submitted
35
    proposals through the Board of Fish and the Board of
36
    Fish actually accepted all of those proposals,
37
    unfortunately there were a number of communities that
38
     didn't submit proposals at that time and so they would
39
    have to wait for the next cycle which is like, it's a
     three year cycle, and they just missed the last -- you
40
     know, they just missed the last one. But we did --
41
42
     like for the Federal Subsistence Board we can say that
43
    this is an issue that was brought before us and we have
44
     supported proponents of those proposals by carrying our
```

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,

comments up to the Board of Fish and delivering that

support while the Board of Fish is deliberating and

making those decisions.

49 50

45

46

47

0454 Cathy. Any other topics. Patty, go ahead. 2 3 MS. PHILLIPS: I don't know if we want 4 to put it in there or not, but it troubles me that for 5 enforcement of some of these subsistence fisheries that Alaska State Troopers, or Alaska, you know, Fish and 6 7 Wildlife Troopers will not enforce and that there's a lack of U.S. Forest Service enforcement in the field 8 and, you know, I don't know. I don't know whether to 9 10 put that into a letter or not but people -- what I 11 heard at this meeting like at Neva area, is that they 12 go fish in areas that's supposed to be closed to them 13 just because there's no one around to stop them. 14 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Patty. 16 Cal, you want to comment on that. 17 18 MR. CASIPIT: Yeah, thank you, Mr. 19 Chair. And I would support putting something like that 20 in our annual report, however, I have a concern and it 21 seems like whenever you ask for more law enforcement 22 you get it, you might get it and you might not get the 23 kind of enforcement that you're wanting. 24 25 (Laughter) 26 27 MS. PHILLIPS: That's why I said I 28 wasn't sure. 29 30 MR. CASIPIT: You know I don't have a 31 problem saying, you know, we need some law enforcement 32 folks to go out there and enforcement our closures and 33 make sure that only Federally-qualified users are using 34 in places where we do have closures but I would hate to 35 say we want more enforcement to have guys start showing 36 up and, you know, ripping open your permit and looking 37 in your bag and, you know, making sure you cut that fin 38 off. I mean, I don't know, I've -- if we could confine 39 it just to enforcing closures I'm all for it, but I'm 40 not really..... 41 42 MS. PHILLIPS: I'm fine. 43 44 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, we're

getting a nod of approval from Patty on that. So

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is

anybody else on the Council want to add to that

48 49 50

45

46

47

discussion.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We got Albert first and then we'll go to -- Albert, go ahead, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That is what is going to happen because that is what happened in Angoon. We get everything looked at, so it's pretty normal here so. I agree there should be a mechanism to enforce we'd like to see on the Federal level but as far as more enforcement, I can't imagine any more enforcement in Angoon than there is.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert. John, you had something.

MR. SMITH: Yeah, just kind of echoing some of the conversation we had about our enforcement officers and having better relationships and more connection and friendlier, you know, would be a more positive. Just a thought, you know, I know it's come up on the table where we get a lot of folks from the community to that -- that have that same feeling like Cal was sharing, you know, and it shouldn't be that way. It should be more of a friendly and guidance to maybe that officer will share, well, you're not doing very good here but, see, he's pulling data from them too that he can record for us, and then guide them to where the good spots are because they probably know all these things.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

So more of a friendlier, you know, just like we were talking about our relatives and how, you know, as long as you came in and connected with them and let them know you were there, they would actually quide you to right where the food was that you were looking for.

39 40 41

Thank you.

42 43

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Any new topics. Ian.

44 45 46

47

48

MR. JOHNSON: Also not sure on the -thank you, Mr. Chair. So putting this one together in my mind a little bit here and one of the things that concern me about the analysis of the deer issue in

Hoonah was the emphasis on declining usership instead of not -- you know kind of negating the issue of competition and instead passing it on to the fact that there's just less hunters in Hoonah, you know, that the youth aren't stepping up. You know I'm not going to like weigh in on whether or not that's true, you know, I do see those trends though, but in my heart I would say like that is true so I do think we could do more. Hoonah's doing a lot and I think other communities are to bring up the next generation and, you know, I hope that we won't see that decline in usership.

But I guess what I would like to maybe put in a letter is my concern with future analysis of competition that utilize this concept of reduced hunters to kind of negate the effect of competition. So hopefully I said that clearly.

 But that has been bugging me in my mind a little bit, it doesn't -- I feel like it adequately like addresses the issue it just kind of creates a scapegoat for like the competition issue and I don't quite see that as what's truly going on.

And the other analysis issue that's just bugging me, it ultimately comes down to lack of data, but there just doesn't seem to be an adequate addressing of local -- of access to a recess in thinking about the competition issue, like, again, thinking about the Unit 4 deer issue, we're aggregating data across three islands with like degrees of latitude difference.

 So I'm more inclined -- for the latter perspective, I guess I'm more inclined to just like think about how to kind of like encourage analysis to look -- maybe look at things in the face, I guess, or look beyond maybe what seems obvious initially to describe these social issues, there's just a lot of drivers that are going on and not identifying one scapegoat. So anyways, up for discussion on whether that's appropriate to put in this letter or like how to frame that, too. But that's just been on my mind here.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Cathy, you have something to add.}$

 $\,$ MS. NEEDHAM: Yeah, I wholeheartedly agree, and I think that maybe the ask could be that we

need funding to actually collect data that's relevant to these analysis that we need for deciding proposals like this. If the underlying problem is, is that we don't have the data that we need to, again, find mechanisms to fund those types of studies so that the data is collected in that particular way.

And I think it extends beyond just the deer, too. We've heard other conversation on a couple of fisheries proposals that were kind of the same thing, you can't just assess — like at Neva, we heard from Mr. Casipit about that in our record, so we can say it's not just that specific thing.

MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, I agree with that. And actually one of the things though that crossed my mind is there was no discussion of declining usership when we talked about competition in the fisheries issue, it was only a discussion of declining usership when we talked about big game and deer issues. So there's just a different philosophy happening there, or whatever, or there's just an acceptance that there's not a declining usership in fisher people but it's more of a hunting related thing. But I thought about it during the analysis discussion. We didn't draw that same conclusion, kind of negating the competition issue because of some like perceived lack of participation or youth involvement or something like that, or the next generation. So I noticed that discrepancy when we were doing it.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is

Albert.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead

Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just real quick, I'd have to agree with that and I guess I've been doing this long enough that -- when I did mention, so we have a problem with the deer, how is adding more users to that problem going to fix the problem, it doesn't. So even if we start doing hunter programs and put more use out there, the problem still exists that there are non-resident, un -- non-Federally-qualified hunters in the area with their big boats and skiffs, that doesn't fix that problem. And

that's kind of been the concern I was trying to voice. That was their solution in the past when Kootznoowoo had the ETJ petition on the salmon. The point of that petition was the salmon weren't making it back to Angoon streams, so the answer, one of their solutions was well we could allow you to gillnet out in Chatham and we were gillnet on what, if the salmon aren't there, they aren't there. It doesn't matter if you have a gillnet or not. Same thing with the hunting issue we're trying to address, even if you put more young people out in the field it doesn't fix the fact that we have pressure from other user groups on the same resource we've been using our whole lives.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert.

John.

MR. SMITH: Yeah, kind of want to echo the air, land, sea and being out and harvesting, I want to thank Ian for, you know, all his work with the children over there, with the kids and being worried about the community. I grew up over there and understand when you're seeing those things of, you know, we got to bring the kids and the families back to the air, land and sea to do the activities, that's what brings us to peace and calm. And I echo that.

And definitely echo what Cathy is saying about getting funds, getting funds to do these studies. And then connecting the youth back to the land, air and sea, you know, will really make a difference.

So I really throw up a lot to you Ian for taking care of the community of Hoonah and the kids. But also echo trying to get him as much support from all the entities. Seeing the kids out here is great. And even putting a position up here. It's going to make us all stronger that way.

Gunalcheesh. Hoho.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I just wanted to point out that a lot of our recent proposals have really dealt with the issue of competition. And, you know, we've kind of identified that to the Board as a detriment to meeting subsistence needs. And, you

know, at one point we weren't really talking about that so much but it's becoming more of an issue and I think that's pretty worthwhile to the discussion we've had.

So any other topics.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Maybe we've got the annual report covered. I just want to add that with our recent annual reports I've asked to have included like the latest fish — for this cycle, would be the fish harvest reports that we heard during the course of the meeting. That's kind of one of the things that's mentioned in ANILCA, that the Board likes to hear what the subsistence harvests are in our region, you know, from a yearly basis. So we like to include that as well just so they kind of have this record of how things are going. So we'll have that included as well, that report I think Jake gave us at this meeting.

DeAnna.

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. I just wanted to clarify. Usually in the spring meeting, or the winter meeting Mr. Musslewhite presents both an updated fisheries report and wildlife because he's had time to go through all the data and it's a more comprehensive report so that's usually the ones that we attach and if that's okay with you I'll wait for those reports to attach to the annual report.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. Yeah, that sounds right, thank you, DeAnna.

So Frank.

MR. WRIGHT: Just something that keeps bothering me. You know this climate change thing is really getting to me, you know, I always use an example of our cockles and our cockle shells and -- because when I was a kid we used to throw cockle shells on the beach and they never broke, but now that -- I was told that there's acidification or something of that sort, that made the shells so thin. So now when you throw cockle shells on the beach it sounds like glass and just about every one of them breaks. So I don't know what we can do with this climate change thing but, you

know, it's an issue that bothers me. And you look at the resources of our fish and wildlife and, you know, I always worry about it.

You know I'm a person that -- my wife and I are the first people that collect plastic, it might be a raindrop in a storm but it's something that needs to be addressed sometime by somebody. I feel ill every time I think about it. You know I worry about the younger children who are going to have to deal with this because we got old people in Congress and everywhere else that doesn't care, and we have children that are going to have to face it. Right now I'm supposed to go fishing but then it's so rough out there, when I get back, that I'm not going to go -- anyway it's just an issue that -- I know I don't think -- I don't know if we can put it in the letter about our concerns about this climate thing but, anyway, thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank. I think that can be added. Anybody else.

Cal.

 MR. CASIPIT: I agree with Frank. The whole issue of global warming just kills me. I know it's just disheartening and it's hard not to get — it's hard to not lose all hope, you know, I hope we can keep some hope about fixing some of that. Anyway so.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, let's not get into a too deep of a discussion here, the time is going by, the longer we talk the later it gets.

Ian, go ahead.

MR. JOHNSON: Thanks. I was just going to suggest that the climate change issue be wrapped into the co-management bullet point just because I think that's a direct adaptation strategy that we can take.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. John.

MR. SMITH: Even just echoing what he's saying. I know exactly what he's saying because working with the tribe on testing and there's places that we're finding where we can't even pull it up and

```
0461
    the shells are breaking and this is a carbon issue and
    its effect. And I think a lot of testing of the water
     and the levels would be a good thing. And they're
     actually using -- to recover that they're actually
     doing studies where a bay was really high in carbon and
 5
    they would actually grow bull kelp in that area, like
 6
 7
    huge, and then they would sink them to the bottom to
 8
    deplete the carbon level and it was showing -- even Guy
 9
    Archibald....
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: John, we can't
12
    really get into lengthy discussions on climate
13
    change....
14
15
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, sorry.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....we really got
18
    to move on here. Sorry.
19
20
                     MR. SMITH: Yes, sir.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We all know what
23
     the problem is.
24
25
                     MR. SMITH: Just to echo his thought.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other new
28
    topics.
29
30
                     (No comments)
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Looking for new
33
     topics here.
34
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So if we
39
    have no more new topics, should we have a quick review
     of the topics suggested and then we will vote, right,
40
41
     it's an action item, to form the draft of the annual
42
     report.
43
44
                     MS. PERRY: Okay. Before I go through
45
     the list, which will be really rough, but I just did
46
     want to remind especially maybe our new Council members
47
    who might not be aware of the function of the annual
48
     report. When we put an annual report together, it's to
49
    bring issues to the Board's attention hopefully that
50
```

they have jurisdiction to do some action on. So that's why you've heard some of the Council members specifically couch their responses as this is what the ask would be, or what are we asking.

So I just wanted to mention like on Page 132 about the annual reports, the Board is required to discuss and reply to each issue in our annual report, we're up to 13 right now, and to take action when, within the Board's authority. In many cases if the issue is outside the Board's authority the Board will provide information to the Council on how to contact personnel at the correct agency. So what this Council has done in the last couple of years has sort of divide our annual report into one, those asks that we think the Board has jurisdiction and can address, and the other is like FYI, this is what's going on in our region, it's still a concern and we want you to know.

So, again, just to kind of share that with some of our newer Council members.

So through our discussion it looks like No. 1, we will address the lack of response on our previous transboundary letter and possibly go ahead and write that letter to submit -- well, we'll have to ask the Board to submit that to the Department of State. Mentioning that it's a continuing issue and becoming more complex as time goes on. The sooner we get a response the sooner there can be action to address the issue.

2. This came from testimony. We, as a Council, have made multiple attempts to curb the sportfish issues, address limits. We may want to see or have the Board explain if there are any legislative actions that can be pursued, or what type of remedies we can pursue. And maybe I'll be combing through the transcripts to give some citations as to just how many attempts this Council has made to put in proposals to the Board of Fish to address these concerns regarding the sportfishing and unguided sportfishing.

3. Would be addressing a concern and asking the Forest Service to think strategically about young growth harvest and not replicate landscape management problems. Restructuring across the region, there needs to be a reemphasis on the importance of

strategy once harvest begins again. And I think I will explore, this was before my time, but if there was a recommendation 15 years ago by the subcommittee, I think I'll try to uncover some of that information and Cathy I know you're great repository for that.

4. An annual report item, as well as a letter just showing our desire to develop a possible position by this Council on trawling regarding bycatch issues. I did want to point out if folks haven't seen it at their spots, there was a letter from the Yukon Kuskokwim Delta, Western Interior, Eastern Interior and Seward Penn RACs. It's dated April 12th, 2022 and they're talking about, in a nutshell, the bycatch issue, and that's what we were talking about earlier, we might want to do our own letter and join the support for addressing the bycatch issue.

Another annual report item and possible letter, which I think we've also been calling our position statement, is the indigenous co-management, which we also need to visit before we leave today. We did put a lot of work into this with our work group, the drafting committee. And we'll add that as an FYI in the annual report, and then of course the letter will go into detail with our position.

6. Talking about how this Council kept the closure in place on Neva, a closure in Kah Sheets because of increased competition of unguided sportfishing and sportfishing, and that we'll be dealing with this in the future.

7. Address how important it is for the students to continue to be visiting us and learning the process. Also we should request any possible funds through the Program or agencies for the students to continue attend meetings, and if we continue to have a Council -- let me rephrase that -- if we continue to have applications for Council members we should try to find a way to have young people sitting with us, whether that be a youth representative seat, or a possible RAC membership. Highlight success of Heather Bauscher's group in the Program and encourage the process, that's the application process to remove barriers for a youth representative seat.

8. Was to describe the impact created by commercial fisheries on subsistence fisheries.

There was a new shrimp fishery created and, again, just reinforcing a previous annual report item where we expressed to the Board that the subsistence users are having a harder time getting their subsistence needs met specifically regarding shrimp.

9. The crab item, that was mentioned, I'll revisit Mr. Joel Jackson's testimony about the crab pots. How important taking data of who is setting pots, the subsistence fishing, what reports are available to determine low number of crabs. And then I think one of the examples was 300 pots in the bay can clean out an area in a short amount of time. So I will, again, visit transcripts to get a little bit more information on that.

10. The enforcement on some of the subsistence fisheries. Alaska State Troopers, Fish and Wildlife Troopers, their lack of enforcement, also lack of Forest Service enforcement in the field. Examples through testimony, such as Neva, there is fishing going on in areas that shouldn't be because people simply aren't there to enforce it. And yeah, closures, and having better relationships and enforce the closures so that only Federally-qualified users are able to use those subsistence fisheries.

 analysis. Emphasis on declining usership. And I will relook at the transcript because I think I know what you were talking about, Ian, on this, but I don't think I captured it quite well in my notes here. But the concept of reduced hunters to negate the effect of competition kind of creates a scapegoat for competition issue, doesn't reflect what's truly going on. There's not adequate addressing of access to a resource. We need to have data. We need to fund studies. We need the data, you can't assess with adequate data. And then Albert also added the problem with deer, adding more users does not fix the problem if hunter programs and regulations create more competition then that's obviously not going to fix the problem.

12. Climate change. The example of think cockle shells. Talking about the weather changing. Concerns about climate and global warming and its affect and impact on fish and wildlife resources. Testing of water would be a good thing.

```
0465
 1
                     13. Again, is that what will be the
 2
     latest fish and wildlife harvest reports that come from
     the Forest Service in the spring.
 4
 5
                     Did I capture everything in a nutshell,
 6
    knowing that I'll clean this up and review some
 7
     resources before I do my draft. And, again, for
     everyone, you will have an opportunity to look at that
 9
    draft and add any additional items in February at our
10
    meeting.
11
12
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair. Oh, Patty.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, DeAnna.
15
    Patty.
16
17
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
18
     Under the climate change one, perhaps we should mention
19
     the tree die-offs, Forest die-offs.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Patty.
22
    That was discussed in this meeting. Any other
23
     suggestions on DeAnna's summarization there. As I say
24
     there's still plenty of time to finalize this so we're
25
     just getting the concepts down there.
26
27
                     (No comments)
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
                                                Then we
30
    would need a motion to approve this as our draft annual
31
    report.
32
33
                     Cathy.
34
35
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Move to approve the draft
36
     annual report.
37
38
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Second.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We have a
41
    motion to approve the draft report. All in favor say
42
     aye.
43
44
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody opposed
47
     say no.
48
49
                     (No opposing votes)
```

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, it's been approved. Okay, now we still have a few more items to deal with. I think the one that's going to take the most time is our comments on proposals to the State Board of Game that we have an opportunity to comment on before they meet in January. So this is our one and only chance as a Council to formalize our comments that we want to send in. We'll have no other opportunity. So we need to -- if we want to do this we have to complete it now.

So just to review, yesterday we formed a working group to kind of identify the proposals that we thought were most important to subsistence users and we had a pretty good representation of, you know, the areas in the region, we had some expertise. We were able to sit down with our subsistence Staff over there and ask him questions this morning and DeAnna took some pretty good notes, I believe, what we want, what our suggestions were. So I think the best way to go about this is for DeAnna to go through the list and for the benefit of the Council members, all of the proposals are in our supplemental book under Tab No. 5 and they -you know, for each proposal, it's a complete proposal with justification and what the problem is so, you know, as we go -- as DeAnna goes through it, you can also be looking at that to be familiar with them and what we will need to do is listen to what the working group's opinion was on these and then if anybody on the Council has a different view or something to add, that will go into our recommendation, and we will be making -the Council, as a whole, will be making recommendations on whether to support the proposal or not support the proposal.

So it's not like our system where we have all this, you know, public input and testimony, this is just the Council's opinion on what we should do with State Board of Game proposals.

So if everybody understands what we're trying to do here, like I say, we'll kind of go through them one by one, Tab No. 5 starts as Page 1 in the supplemental book and DeAnna can read what the workgroup thought about them. Also, I guess I should add that if, you know, anybody on the Council sees a proposal that we didn't identify as being important but they think is important that can certainly be added and suggest a recommendation as well.

So hopefully you have confidence that the work group did a good job on this and, yeah, we can proceed. Go ahead, DeAnna.

MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And for those who might be listening online, you can find that proposal book on Alaska Department of Fish and Game's website. There's a link at www.adfg.alaska.gov. The meeting for the Southeast region Board of Game -- or I should say the Board of Game, the Southeast cycle will be January 20th through 24th and the comments for that proposal cycle need to be in by January 6th. So as was mentioned this is our last chance to provide comments.

So similar to the annual report items, we have not had an opportunity to fine-tune the language since we met at 7:00 o'clock this morning but we'll give you the gist. I also have Rob Cross and Jake Musslewhite, Biologists from the Forest Service standing by. They will be helping me afterwards put the nuances with our paragraph description but, again, this is just going to be me identifying the proposal, our recommended position and then just a quick blurb on why we would either support or oppose. And, again, to streamline I'll go through these rather quickly but if folks have something to add please let me know.

So the work group did identify Proposal 1 as one that the Council would like to comment on in opposition. Recognizing this proposal is a great idea but it's going to be too burdensome.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Could you read the proposal and the basic outline of the proposal.

MS. PERRY: Oh, I can.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: It's right here

too.

MS. PERRY: Gotcha. Alrighty. So Proposal 1 was to require certified hunter safety education to hunt in Units 1 through 5. Again, the suggestion was to oppose this proposal because although a great idea it would be too burdensome. There are issues such as internet connectivity, the offering to remote communities may be a challenge to do that consistently and it does create an extra hurdle. If it

```
0468
 1
     was voluntary, then the Council may support it but if
     they're going to make it a requirement the Council
 2
     would probably oppose this proposal.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If I could just
 6
     add, the wording was to require hunter safety
 7
     education, I think it was for youth maybe or for
     everybody -- everybody in order to get a hunting
 9
     license. So essentially it would require you to do
10
     this education before you could get your State hunting
11
     license, and, of course subsistence hunters have to
12
     have a State hunting license to hunt so it would affect
13
     us and it would be burdensome.
14
15
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
16
     that summary is good we'll move on to the next
17
     recommendation which was to oppose Proposal 2.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Excuse me, DeAnna,
20
     I would like to get -- instead of doing them all as a
21
     group at the end I just, you know, would like to ask
     after each one if the Council is in agreement with the
22
23
     work group on our recommendation on this.
24
25
                     Anybody disagree or have a question.
26
27
                     Mike.
28
29
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Are you talking about 1.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes.
32
33
                     MR. DOUVILLE: (Thumbs up)
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, we got a
36
     thumb's up.
37
38
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Patty.
41
42
                     MS. PHILLIPS: To facilitate the
43
     discussion, you can ask if there's any objections and
44
     then if there are any then someone will speak up and if
45
     there is no objection we just roll right along.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good suggestion.
48
     If there's no objection we'll move on to the next one.
```

That's a good way to phrase it, thank you, Patty.

49

0469 1 (No objections) 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I see no 4 objections, okay, next one. 5 6 MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 7 Proposal 2 was to require hunter orientation for 8 hunting goat in Southeast region units. The working 9 group suggested the Council oppose this proposal. 10 Again, the proposal's a good idea but too burdensome 11 similar to the opposition in Proposal 1, there are 12 issues such as internet connectivity and the challenge 13 to offer this to remote communities consistently. 14 15 If there are no objections I can move 16 on to the next. 17 18 (No objections) 19 20 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I see no 21 objections, go ahead, DeAnna. 22 MS. PERRY: The next proposal 23 24 identified by the working group was Proposal 5. 25 Proposal 5 was to change the waterfowl season in Units 26 1 through 4 by creating a split season. The working 27 group suggested the Council support this proposal because it creates more opportunity and affords more 28 29 flexibility. 30 31 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: This was proposed 32 by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game as well. 33 Anybody have an objection to our recommendation on this 34 one to support. 35 36 (No objections) 37 38 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, seeing none, 39 go ahead, DeAnna. 40 MS. PERRY: 41 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 42 next set of proposals the working group looked at as a 43 group, those were Proposals 6 through 9, which dealt 44 with lengthening the trapping seasons. Proposal 6 was to lengthen the river otter trapping season in Units 145 46 through 4 to align them with Unit 5. Proposal 7 was to 47 extend marten trapping seasons to align with wolverine 48 seasons in portions of Units 1 through 4 and 5.

Proposal 8 was to extend the marten trapping season in

49

```
0470
    Units 1 and 2. And Proposal 9 was to extend the
    wolverine trapping seasons to March 15th in Units 1
    through 5. The working group suggested this Council
    support this proposal because it provides additional
 5
    opportunity for subsistence users. And we did check
    Federal seasons with State seasons and stood behind
 6
 7
    that recommendation.
 8
 9
                     So if there are no objections to that
10
    we can go on to the next.
11
12
                     (No objections)
13
14
                     MR. CASIPIT: And there was no
15
    difference between -- excuse me.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal.
18
19
                     MR. CASIPIT: There was no difference
20
    between the State seasons and the Federal seasons?
21
22
                     MS. PERRY: That was my
23
    understanding.....
24
25
                     MR. CASIPIT: Okay.
26
27
                     MS. PERRY: .....but we'll have Rob
28
    relock at that if you would Rob.
29
30
                     (Pause)
31
32
                     MR. CROSS:
                                 Through the Chair. It'll
33
    take us a second but we'll look that up.
34
35
                     MS. PERRY: Yeah, we were fast and
36
     furious this morning with all the regulation books.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Does somebody else
39
    have a question or comment on this one.
40
41
                     (No comments)
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: No, I guess not,
     okay. We'll just give it a minute here. There's a lot
44
45
     of information to digest on some of these.
46
47
                     (Pause)
48
49
                     MS. PERRY: Yeah, I remember during the
```

work group discussion that we were talking about that was one of the crux of whether we would support or not and there was looking at the Federal and State seasons.

While they're working on that I can go maybe to the next group if that would please the Council.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.

MS. PERRY: Okay. Great. This would be under the Sitka area heading. The working group took up Proposals 10 and 11 to look at. They suggested this Council support both of those proposals. Proposal 10 was to decrease the bag limit to four deer in Unit 4 remainder and Proposal 11 was to decrease the bag limit to four deer in Unit 4 remainder. We chose to support both of these under one comment because it was basically the same proposal, one was just more specific than the other. The support would be to support the bag limit reduction to four and, again, there was some discussion if the Board approves our earlier deer proposals, that there might be a conflict. There was also discussion about how the limit for the State was arbitrarily changed from four to six and now there is localized competition. The working group said the Council could support going back to the harvest limit of four and support the concept again if limiting that bag limit to four deer.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And keep in mind that only this — this regulation only applies to nonsubsistence users so it's just going back to where they were several years ago. They increased the bag limit and now somebody's proposed to put it back to where it was and, yeah, only apply to non-subsistence users. And depending on what the Board does on our proposals, you know, if they should approve that, any of those proposals to reduce the bag limit to two deer, then that would supersede this regulation on Federal lands, but this one could still apply on State lands. So further clarification.

Any questions or opposition to our recommendation on that one.

MR. HOWARD: Just further clarification, Mr. Chairman, this is Albert.

```
0472
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
 2
    Albert.
 3
 4
                     MR. HOWARD: So that decrease wouldn't
 5
     affect Angoon residents?
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
                                          This proposal
 8
     would not affect Angoon residents directly but if it
     were approved it would lessen the bag limit on, let's
 9
10
     say, you know, the Juneau hunters that they would only
11
    be allowed to take four deer instead of six on State
12
     lands, which of course in your area would be, you know,
13
    below the tidelands, so the beach hunting. So, you
14
    know, if you're looking for an effect, it could be
15
     positive on Angoon residents to some degree.
16
17
                     But as I said, you know, depending on
18
     what....
19
20
                     MR. HOWARD: Okay, thank you, Mr.
21
    Chair.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep. Depending on
24
     what the Federal Subsistence Board does, which we don't
25
     know yet, it could potentially go to two bucks for non-
26
     subsistence users.
27
28
                     Okay, next one, I didn't see any
29
     opposition to that one.
30
31
                     MS. PERRY: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair.
32
     The next three that the working group brought up, we
33
     did discuss a little bit but we wanted to also include
34
     our Council members in the discussion who are from
35
     these areas. So first Proposal 12 was to open the
36
    Mitchell Bay closed area in Unit 4 to brown bear
37
    hunting. The work group recognized that we did receive
38
     testimony from the Mayor of Angoon regarding this type
39
     of harvest and so the working group suggested this
40
     Council oppose this proposal but that we did want to
41
     ask our Angoon Council member his thoughts on this.
42
    Some of the discussion was, is this proposal, could it
43
    have been put in for predator management because it
44
    describes the effect for deer and that this might be
45
    creating a hunt which would be used by non-residential
46
    residents -- or non-residential hunters and increase
47
     competition. So, again, the working group wanted to
```

49 50 hear from Member Howard.

```
0473
 1
                     Thank you.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you,
 4
     DeAnna. And Albert, yeah, we are requesting some help
 5
     on this one. Do you have the proposal in front of you
 6
     with all the explanations of what the proposal is
 7
     attempting to do?
 8
 9
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, thank you.
10
     I have had conversations with community members and
11
    past community members and past Forest Service
12
     employees, one of them is KDMetcalf and he was a part
13
     of the original reason they closed this area, was due
14
    to the fact that a lot of wounded bears seemed to have
15
    made it into town when a non-resident trophy hunter
16
    took a bad shot and it ended up being the
17
    responsibility of community members to make sure the
18
    community was safe. The areas that they are talking
19
    about are way too close to Angoon and would become our
20
     responsibility if such thing had happened, or if it did
21
    happened and then it wasn't reported -- as you can
     recall past meetings I've talked about bear hunters in
22
23
     the southern bay pulling crab pots and getting caught
24
     by residents who were checking their crab pots, and
25
     that's another thing residents here are mindful of, is
26
     the impact it will have once you start letting people
27
     into the bay that have never been in there. Now, if
28
     you look at the map and keep in mind, sometimes the
29
     tide will run out of those bays pretty fast. I think
30
     they did some gauges on the current coming out of there
31
     and it's anywhere from 14 to 18 knots, depending on
32
     whether it's a minus tide or not, and then that brings
33
     in the concern of safety of people -- safety of people
34
     going up in the bay. Then that also becomes our
35
     concern as well, if they go in there and decide they're
36
     going to turn around, that's not an option.
37
38
                     So there's many things that -- reasons
39
     why there shouldn't be bear hunting in there.
40
     agree with opposing this for community safety really.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Right.
43
44
                     MR. HOWARD: We have a lot of young
45
     kids running around now and I'd hate to see a wounded
46
    bear come into town.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
49
```

0474 1 MR. HOWARD: And someone not notify us. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert. 4 5 MR. HOWARD: It should be somewhere so 6 thank you, Mr. Chair. 7 8 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you. 9 I think you just confirmed what the discussion was of 10 the work group. We thought that was the issue and we 11 just wanted to make sure we got it right, so, yeah, our recommendation was to oppose for those reasons. 12 13 thank you, Albert. Anybody else on the Council see any 14 reason to change that. 15 16 (No comments) 17 18 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, next one, go 19 ahead. 20 MS. PERRY: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair. 21 22 Going on with this suite of proposals and then I think 23 we'll go back and revisit the one where we were getting more information. The next one is Proposal 13, which is to change RB088, the hunt boundary in Unit 4 to 24 25 26 include Northeast Chichagof and increase the allowable 27 harvest for brown bear. (Coughing) I hope I'm not losing my voice. And for this one the initial response 28 29 from the work group was to probably oppose, or suggest 30 the opposition, but the working group wanted to hear 31 from Ian and Frank who are our Hoonah Council members 32 for this. 33 34 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Ian. 35 36 MR. JOHNSON: Okay, yeah, I believe 37 that's the correct action of this. I mean any of the brown bear hunts are going to overlap with usership and 38 39 so I just think it could exacerbate the competition issue so I think that's my primary thought on this one. 40 41 42 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anything to add to 43 that Frank. 44 45 MR. WRIGHT: (Shakes head negatively) 46 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. So our 47 48 recommendation was to oppose, correct?

```
0475
 1
                     MS. PERRY: Yes.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody
 4
    else have a different opinion on that one.
 5
 6
                     (No comments)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Oh, John,
 9
    go ahead.
10
11
                     MR. SMITH: Just conversation. Of the
12
    numbers of bears and what the number of per mile, I
13
     don't know, when I was a young man it was five bears
14
    per mile. I grew up in Hoonah too and know that the
15
     numbers are very high.
16
17
                     (In Tlingit)
18
19
                     MR. SMITH: But, you know, encouraging
20
     to drop the numbers down. I don't know if that's
21
     respectful or not, but I know that harvesting brown
22
    bear and black bear have been in many cultures and have
23
    been on the table for many generations. But just a
24
     thought and maybe talk about that.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I quess
27
    that could be added to the discussion right. Anything
28
    else on this proposal.
29
30
                     (No comments)
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: What's the next
33
    one.
34
35
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
36
     next proposal the working group looked at was Proposal
37
     14, which was to change the brown bear hunt area for
38
    RB088 in Unit 4 to include all of Lisianski Inlet
39
    drainage. Again, the work group's initial
40
     recommendation was to oppose but they wanted to hear
41
     from our Pelican Council members, Ms. Phillips and Mr.
42
     Slater.
43
44
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patty.
47
48
                     MS. PHILLIPS: I support the
49
    recommendation of the working group.
```

0476 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any comments from 2 Mr. Slater. 3 4 (No comments) 5 6 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. 7 8 MS. PERRY: Bear with me, I was going 9 back to the proposal that we were looking at, I believe 10 that was the suite of proposals, Proposals 6 through 9 11 about lengthening trapping seasons, checking Federal 12 seasons with State seasons, and it is my understanding 13 that it's closed to all. Regarding brown bear, also 14 all the trapping seasons are currently the same. And I 15 would defer to Mr. Cross or Mr. Musslewhite if they 16 needed to add some details. 17 18 MR. CROSS: No, that is correct. 19 State and the Federal trapping regulations for the 20 species listed in those proposals are currently 21 aligned. 22 23 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So just as a 24 clarification, if the State were to change their season 25 length we might want to put in a similar request to the 26 Board to change Federal regulations as well to realign, 27 that's always an option, I guess. 28 29 (Council nods affirmatively) 30 31 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We're seeing 32 confirmation on that so we'll wait and see what the 33 State Board does before we take any action but as of 34 right now I guess we think it could be a good idea. 35 36 Next. 37 38 MS. PERRY: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair. The next proposal that the working group looked at was 39 from the Sitka Area Unit 5 section -- or I'm sorry, let 40 41 me take that back -- Petersburg and Wrangell area, 42 Units 1B and 3. So the first proposal was Proposal 16 43 and the working group suggested this Council support 44 that proposal which was to lengthen the deer seasons in Unit 3, that portion of Mitkof Island within the 45 46 Petersburg Management Area. The working group felt 47 that lengthening the season is beneficial to

subsistence users, beneficial, again, to the Federally-

qualified users to harvest by bow and just, in general,

48

49

```
0477
 1
     provide additional opportunity.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other comments
 4
     on that one from the Council.
 5
 6
                     (No comments)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, seeing none,
 9
     next one DeAnna.
10
11
                     MS. PERRY: The next proposal was
12
     Proposal 17, the working group suggested that this
13
     Council support the proposal to establish a fall
14
     drawing permit hunt for elk on Zarembo Island in Unit
15
     3. There was discussion about the competition between
     elk and deer and they thought that this proposal, the
16
17
     support of it, would decrease competition with deer and
18
     there is not a sustainable population on Zarembo and it
19
    would create an opportunity for Federally-qualified
20
     users. And I guess I should clarify, it wouldn't --
21
     there's not a sustainable elk population on Zarembo.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any objections to
24
     the recommendation on that one.
25
26
                     (No objections)
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Don't see any, go
29
     ahead, DeAnna.
30
31
                     MS. PERRY:
                                 Thank you, Mr. Chair.
32
     next proposal the working group talked about was
33
     Proposal 42 and that's on Page 3 of the document behind
34
     Tab 5 of your supplemental book. The working group
35
     suggested this Council support this proposal, which
36
     extended the deer season to December 31st in Unit 1A
37
     remainder. They felt this would provide more
38
     opportunity for people in Ketchikan, that they would be
39
     available to hunt basically in their own backyard
40
     instead of having to go to Prince of Wales. It would
41
     decrease the competition on Prince of Wales Island.
42
    Again, just allowing the hunters to stay in Ketchikan
43
     and take advantage of the additional opportunity
44
     locally.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
                                          Any objection from
47
     the Council on our support for this proposal.
48
49
                     (No objections)
```

```
0478
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, don't see
 2
     any, go ahead, DeAnna.
 3
 4
                     MS. PERRY: The next proposal the
 5
     working group looked at, Proposal 45, the working group
 6
     suggested this Council oppose the proposal to raise the
 7
     population objective from 150 to 200 wolves to 250 to
     350 wolves in Unit 2 and raise the threshold for
 8
     closing the season from 100 to 200 wolves. The working
 9
10
     group actually had a long discussion recognizing how
11
     this Council has worked for two years plus to come up
12
     with a management strategy kind of hand in hand with
13
     ADF&G. They want to continue to support ADF&G's
14
     management strategy. We believe the testimony and the
15
     traditional ecological knowledge that we've heard over
16
     the years and the last several meetings that the
17
     population of wolves is actually higher on Prince of
18
     Wales Island. The strategy is working and they would
19
     be pretty confident in supporting the current
20
     management strategy for the next several years because
21
     it's too soon to tell whether there's an issue with the
22
     new management strategy because by all accounts it
23
     looks to be working.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any comments.
26
27
                     MR. CASIPIT: Is it oppose?
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, we opposed
30
     that. Any comments on that from the Council.
31
32
                     (No comments)
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. It looks
35
     like we oppose that one.
36
37
                     MS. PERRY: The next proposal was No.
38
          The working group suggested we also oppose this
39
     proposal for much the same reason. That proposal was
     to lengthen the hunting season for wolves in Unit 2 to
40
41
     open September 1. And, again, the working group felt
42
     this was not within the current management strategy and
43
     wanted to make sure that we supported ADF&G's current
44
     management strategy.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think we might
47
     have gotten 46 wrong there, DeAnna.
48
49
                     MS. PERRY: Okay.
50
```

```
0479
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: This was not a
    trapping regulation, this was for hunting, and it was
 2
    to lengthen the non-subsistence hunter season for
    wolves in Unit 2 and the rationale for this proposal
 5
    was to better align State and Federal regulations.
    Right now the Federal regulation is for a longer
 6
 7
    hunting season and the proposal is to lengthen the
    subsistence -- or excuse me, the non-subsistence
    hunters opportunity in Unit 2. So that would affect
 9
10
    non-subsistence users who would be hunting on State
11
    lands. Because right now they have a shorter season on
12
    State lands than Federal lands so if you align the two
13
     seasons then they -- non-subsistence hunters would have
14
    more opportunity as long as they're hunting on State
15
    land.
16
17
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, Mike.
20
21
                     MR. DOUVILLE: I believe that the
22
     trapping season limits are aligned but not the hunting
23
     so this would address that. But I believe that....
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That's correct.
26
27
                     MR. DOUVILLE: It seemed like.....
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, this is a
30
    hunting proposal for wolves so, you're right, right now
31
     we're not in alignment but this would bring us into
32
     alignment, that's correct. Yeah, actually I think we
33
     wanted to support that, DeAnna, was my understanding.
34
35
                     (Pause)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We'll take a
38
    minute on this one to confirm that.
39
40
                     (Pause)
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.
43
44
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Sorry, so we're -- what
45
    was the question, why we opposed it?
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: No, it was my
48
     understanding that we supported this one and DeAnna had
49
     it in her notes that we opposed it. I don't know if
```

you were taking notes but we're just trying to confirm what we did here.

MS. NEEDHAM: On my note I just said we should defer and discuss this with Member Douville on how he would handle it. But my inclination was that we were talking about grouping all of the wolf proposals and opposing any changes to that but I don't know about this one specifically.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: The other proposals all deal with trapping.....

MS. NEEDHAM: Right.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:but this is a hunting proposal so it's different in that respect. It doesn't have as much to do with -- the wolf management plan is much more geared towards trapping than hunting.

MS. NEEDHAM: Yeah. I don't think the work group discussed it because when we talked about wolf we took that strategy and then we didn't necessarily come back to this one individually to talk about the hunting aspect of it. But I suggested we might want to make a comment on it but I wanted to hear what Member Douville thought about it.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, that sounds right. Our discussion may have centered around the possibility of supporting it but we did want to talk to you, Mike, I guess, so, yeah, I guess the question is, you know, how much is the non-subsistence users hunting activity, you know, reflected in the wolf management plan, you know, I know the plan is primarily geared towards the trapping effort but I mean there is some effect of hunting, although it's small. And I guess I'm trying to gage here what the impacts would be if liberalizing the season for wolf hunters which -- I mean we know is fairly a small take. But, yeah, I don't know if you have an opinion on that.

 MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman. I am neutral on this one. It is not a big opportunity, you might get some or you might not even see any but then you might do okay. It's just a -- but I wouldn't expect the take from this hunt to be really that significant.

1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mike.
2 I think that might be the best course, you know, given
3 the complex ramifications, maybe we should just pass on
4 this one, we don't have to make a recommendation on it,
5 we could just let the Board hash it out. That's fine,
6 yeah, that might be a good recommendation.

Go ahead.

MR. DOUVILLE: This would only affect State and private land.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. Let's go on to the next one then, take that off the list.

MS. PERRY: Okay, thank you for that discussion, I appreciate that. The next proposal discussed was Proposal 47. The working group chose to recommend this Council oppose the proposal to require wolf harvest information be reported within 48 hours of recovery and sealing within 14 days in Unit 2. This Council actually put in a pretty good justification against a similar proposal from Board of Game a couple years ago so I will pull out that language. Again, the working group thought because of that, that they would suggest opposing the proposal. 48 hours was an unnecessary burden and, again, I would look for more information in our old justification that we have of record on this.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Do we have agreement on that rationale for that proposal. Proposal 47.

(No objections)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I think we have consensus from the Council on that recommendation to oppose. What's the next one.

MS. PERRY: Okay. And then the next set we lumped together, Proposal 48 through 54, again, because we agree with the current management strategy. Proposal 48 was to change the methodology for setting the population objective for wolves in Unit 2. Proposal 49 was to utilize the lower confidence interval of the wolf population for estimating the population in Unit 2. Proposal 50 was to establish estimate and harvest limit based on Prince of Wales

1 Island wolf population that excludes extrapolation from outer islands in Unit 2. Proposal 51 was to establish a percentage of the Unit 2 wolf population that can be harvested on a sustainable basis. Develop a harvest 5 quota each season. Require in-season reporting. Provide the harvest to the public in real-time, and 6 7 allow three days notice before closing the season by emergency order. Proposal 52 was to establish a 9 harvest quota for wolves between 25 and 30 percent of 10 the estimated wolf population in Unit 2. Proposal 53 11 was to establish an estimated unreported mortality rate 12 for Unit 2 wolves to be used for establishing the 13 harvest quota. And Proposal 54 was to identify an area 14 in Unit 2 for protected status for wolves.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Again, the working group remembered all the work that it had done with ADF&G when moving from the recommendation to manage wolves from a harvest quota to a population estimate or objective and so, again, wanting to support that work and ADF&G's current management policy the Council chose to -- the working group suggested the Council oppose all of those proposals as one group.

232425

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

26 27

28

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Do we have agreement from the Council on our recommendation there to oppose these. Mike, go ahead.

293031

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

MR. DOUVILLE: I totally agree. has been a long process and it involved several meetings with the locals, TEK, it just didn't materialize out of thin air, a lot of thought and effort went into these regulations we're working under right now and I believe that the Department is doing better with their assessments in the last couple of years, I mean there's a learning curve to all this, you don't come to the island and become a wolf expert in a year or two, it takes times, and I believe that it is bearing fruit. It's looking a bit more solid as we go along and I hope that down the road that it continues to do so. So I am in opposition to these proposals that would put a skewer in everything and change the direction and we need to give the -- we need to give enough time for the system that we have in place to work and it is working and we need to continue that for at least -- for awhile.

48 49

47

```
0483
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mike.
     I think that's good to get on the record so appreciate
 2
    that. Any other thoughts from the Council on our
 4
    recommendations here.
 5
 6
                     (No comments)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. And I
 9
    believe those are the last proposals, is that right,
10
     DeAnna.
11
12
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair. That
13
     concludes the work that the working group did earlier
14
    today, thank you.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So at this
17
     point I guess what would be required is a motion from
18
    the Council to approve these recommendations and send
19
     them to the Board of Game. That would be one motion.
20
    We probably should also have a motion that would
21
     request that somebody on the Council get funding and
22
     support from Staff to attend that meeting in January.
23
     So what's the Council's wishes here.
24
25
                     (Teleconference interference -
26
    participants not muted)
27
28
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Cal.
31
32
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, I'll take care
33
    of the first one first. I move to approve the
34
    recommendations that we just discussed and send those
35
    recommendations to the Board of Game for their January
36
    meeting.
37
38
                     MR. KITKA: Second.
39
40
                     MR. HOWARD: I'll second, Mr. Chair,
41
    this is Albert.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
44
    we have a motion and a second. Any other discussion on
45
    this motion.
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, motion to
50
```

```
0484
     approve the recommendations on the wildlife proposals
     and send them to the Board of Game. All in favor say
 2
 3
 4
 5
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody
 8
     opposed say no.
 9
10
                     (No opposing votes)
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good.
13
     Another motion is needed here to.....
14
15
                     MR. CASIPIT: I'm not sure I want to
    make a motion yet but I just wanted to ask Mike if
16
17
     you'd be available to go to that. I know you're the --
18
     It seems to me that wolves will be taking up a major
19
    portion of this agenda and you are our wolf expert and
20
     I don't want to put you on the spot but if you were
21
     available to do that.
22
23
                     MR. DOUVILLE: I can't say that I'll be
24
     available but I have other avenues to -- I'm on the
25
    Craig AC and I'm also going to encourage the Craig
26
    Tribal Association to comment. I am fully authorized
27
    to speak on behalf of the Craig Tribe on matters of
28
    wolf and sea otter so there's a good possibility I'd be
29
     available but I can't guarantee it.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If I could add to
32
     that. Given what Mike just said, probably a good
33
     chance that he might be there representing another
34
     organization which would, if that were the case, it
35
     would open up the possibility of us maybe designating
36
     somebody else in the other area that we -- a lot of
37
     these proposals deal with is Unit 4 and I don't know if
38
     somebody, a resident of Unit 4 might want to volunteer,
39
     accept a nomination to attend the meeting. And like I
40
     said, we don't have to have a specific person, I don't
41
     think designated right now, if we just say that we will
42
     send somebody maybe we can set that later, I'll put
43
     that question to DeAnna.
44
45
                     MS. PERRY: Yeah, Mr. Chair, you do
46
    need to select someone at this meeting.
47
48
```

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.

```
0485
 1
                     MS. PERRY: You could select someone
     and select an alternate. And, again, just as a
 2
     reminder the meeting is January 20th through the 24th,
     just to consider that on your calendars.
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Where is it?
 6
 7
 8
                     MS. PERRY: It will be in Ketchikan.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right here in
11
     Ketchikan. Okay, so we do need a name. I don't know,
12
     I guess I'll ask for any volunteers first or
13
     suggestions or, Albert, we'll include you in this if
14
     you want to -- hopefully you're still there and
15
     listening.
16
17
                     MR. HOWARD: I'm still here Mr. Chair.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: What was that,
20
    Albert?
21
22
                     MR. HOWARD: I was letting you know I
23
     was still here, Mr. Chairman.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good to
26
     know. John, were you -- did you have your hand up?
27
28
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, I would put the
29
     efforts up if that's what the group would like.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, so you would
32
     accept the nomination to attend, is that right, John,
33
     are you accepting -- you say you'd be available to
34
     attend?
35
36
                     MR. SMITH: I would, yes, if that's the
37
     wishes of the team, yeah.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So are we
40
     ready for a motion, and I guess there was another
41
     suggestion of suggesting an alternate as well, just in
42
     case. Cathy.
43
44
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Yeah, Mr. Chair, I wanted
45
     to ask Mr. Howard if he was available. We did talk
46
     about potentially sending someone from Unit 4 and there
47
     are Unit 4 proposals from the Board of Game and if he's
48
     available I think he would be also an option to either
```

be a primary or an alternate person on that list.

49

```
0486
 1
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, I can be an
 2
    alternate.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 5
    Albert.
 6
 7
                     MR. HOWARD: I'm kind of thinking --
    Mr. Chairman, to be honest I was thinking Ian Johnson
 8
 9
    with all his work. Because him and I had a
10
    conversation earlier when he was starting his project
11
    and I thought that that's a good pilot project and
12
    maybe we could turn that into something like co-
13
    management with the State so I think he should be
14
    considered as well, if he could go.
15
16
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: He's nodding his
19
    head no.
20
21
                     MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, I appreciate it,
22
    but I will not be a Council member by that time so
23
    thank you.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, okay, that
26
    makes a difference. Are we ready for a motion.
27
28
                     Mike.
29
30
                     MR. DOUVILLE: I'm not ready to make a
31
    motion but I was going to clarify something. That I do
32
    belong to the AC and I am a CTA tribal member with full
33
    power of representation on -- but I was not making any
34
    decision for them but I do sit on those two groups, so
35
    I wasn't making any preconceived assumptions for them
36
    at all, okay.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, that's a
39
     good point. Yeah, you'd probably still have to have
40
     those discussions with those groups before you kind of
41
     had a position on these, I guess, right?
42
43
                     MR. DOUVILLE: That's right.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good.
46
47
                     MR. DOUVILLE: That is correct.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
50
```

```
0487
     that's good to know. So are we ready for a motion with
     that clarification from Mr. Douville.
 2
 3
 4
                     (Pause)
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thinking about the
 7
    details here.
 8
 9
                     (Pause)
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We're making sure
12
     we get the proper wording to the motion here, I think,
13
     so standby unless anybody has a suggestion. DeAnna, do
14
     you have something to add.
15
16
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. I just wanted
17
     to, again, take an opportunity for our new Council
18
    members who might not understand the process. When we
19
     send anyone to like a Board of Game or Board of Fish
20
    meeting we also -- that's always contingent on funding
21
     available from the Office of Subsistence Management so
22
     as part of the nomination for someone to attend the
23
    meeting we also couple that with a funding request
24
     letter to Office of Subsistence Management because if
25
     they cannot provide funding, we cannot send anyone. So
26
     I just wanted to, again, let our new Council members
27
     know a little bit more about that process.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Cathy.
30
31
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
32
     struggle how to put the nomination of who we're sending
33
     as the primary and then the alternate and then the
34
     funding all in one kind of motion and it seems like --
35
     I don't know it just seems easier to break it up a
36
    little bit. But, yeah, one minute.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I suppose
     it could be broken up.
39
40
41
                     MS. NEEDHAM: It can?
42
43
                     MS. PERRY: Sure.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I would say
46
     so, go ahead, Cathy.
47
48
                     MS. NEEDHAM: All right. I move that
49
    we send one representative from the Southeast RAC to
```

```
0488
    the Board of Game meeting in January 2023 and that that
     includes funding from the Office of Subsistence
 2
 3
    Management.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We do have
 6
    to name -- we do have to designate individuals is what
 7
     DeAnna told me.
 8
 9
                     MS. NEEDHAM: You said I could break it
10
    up.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, sorry, okay.
13
14
                     (Laughter)
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I see where
16
17
    you're going with this, okay.
18
19
                     (Laughter)
20
21
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Second.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So the
24
    motion was to send a representative and an alternate
25
     and to request funding, that was motion No. 1. So
26
     everybody clear on that, any further discussion.
27
28
                     (No comments)
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. All in
31
    favor say aye.
32
33
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody opposed
36
     say no.
37
38
                     (No opposing votes)
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, that motion
41
    passes. Now, the other motion which I was I guess
42
     anticipating would be the first motion but go ahead.
43
44
                     MS. NEEDHAM: I nominate John Smith to
    be the primary and Albert Howard to be the alternate to
45
46
     represent the Southeast Regional Advisory Council's
47
     comments at the Board of Game.
48
49
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Second.
50
```

```
0489
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We have a
 2
    motion and a second. Any further discussion.
 3
 4
                     MR. WRIGHT: Question.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Question's been
 7
    called for. All in favor of sending John Smith as a
    representative and Albert Howard as an alternate to the
 8
 9
     Board of Game meeting say aye.
10
11
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody opposed
14
    say no.
15
16
                     (No opposing votes)
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We got that
19
    one settled. And I need to take a quick break here,
20
     and probably everybody else does too, let's make this a
21
     10 minute break, if we could be back at 5:15. I'll
     consult with our Coordinator here on the rest of the
22
23
     agenda, I think there's some items that are on the
24
     agenda that could be moved to our next meeting but we
25
     still have letters to authorize for sure and we have to
26
    set our next meeting date, that's a requirement. So
27
    keep those two things in mind and be back in 10
28
    minutes.
29
30
                     (Off record)
31
32
                     (On record)
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, everybody we
35
    need to reconvene here. We've got a little bit of a
    time deadline staring us in the face here and I'll
36
37
    explain that in just a second. So Council members
38
    let's get back to business here.
39
40
                     (Pause)
41
42
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Do you want me to
43
    whistle.
44
45
                     REPORTER: Sure. Yes.
46
47
                     (Whistle)
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I wasn't
```

expecting that. Okay, thank you everybody. Yeah, we still have a couple of action items to get through here this afternoon and we do have kind of a deadline staring us in the face here because, did you say it's 6:15 or 6:30?

MS. PERRY: 6:15.

MS. NEEDHAM: 6:15.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: 6:15, we have four Council members that are going to be leaving us because they have jet reservations on the flight back to Juneau tonight, so after 6:15 unless we have a commitment from at least one Council member who's on the phone, and I know Albert was there, and Albert I hope you're still there, I don't know if Jim is there, but we will lose a quorum unless we still have that one person on the telephone at 6:15. So are you still there, Albert?

MR. HOWARD: I'm still here, Mr.

22 Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good, thank you, Albert. I think we'll be good then. Okay, and we are going to eliminate agency reports. DeAnna checked with everybody and most of that stuff can be moved to our spring meeting when hopefully we'll have more time, but there are a couple of kind of report items that are brief that we do want to include and then we're going to finalize our letters, pick our dates for our next meeting and then we can adjourn.

So, DeAnna, a couple of those.....

 $$\operatorname{MR.\ SLATER:}$ Mr. Chair, this is Jim. I'm sorry to interrupt but I was here, I was on mute trying to answer you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, good, thanks Jim. I think we'll be fine then if we have to go past 6:15. So, DeAnna, what are those report items that you wanted to inform us about.

MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So I think we were talking about some correspondence items. I think we might first want to revisit the indigenous co-management letter. Everybody should have a copy of the second draft that was given to you, we just need to

0491 1 confirm that that's okay to send after the edits were 2 made. 3 4 MR. SLATER: DeAnna, was it emailed to 5 us guys on the phone? 6 7 MS. PERRY: Mr. Slater, I forgot to do 8 that so my apologies. I can send it to you and Albert 9 now. 10 11 MR. SLATER: Thanks. 12 13 (Pause) 14 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So standby while 16 DeAnna takes care of those emails and then you guys 17 have a few minutes to read through that because we'll 18 do a couple of brief little report items here that we 19 want to inform the Council about before we get into any 20 other discussions. 21 22 (Pause) 23 24 MS. PERRY: Through the Chair. Mr. 25 Slater and Mr. Howard, again, apologies that I didn't 26 send that out sooner but I just sent it so you should 27 be getting it shortly. Mr. Chair, as we wait for them 28 to receive that and maybe have a moment to look it 29 over, would you like me to go ahead and take care of a 30 few of the other report items? 31 32 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, that would be 33 good, go ahead. 34 35 MS. PERRY: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair. 36 Members of the Council. For the record, again, my name 37 is DeAnna Perry, Council Coordinator for the Southeast 38 Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. 39 40 I would like to reiterate the 41 appreciation that our Regional Forester earlier gave at 42 the beginning of the meeting and thank all the Council 43 members for your volunteer service on the Council and 44 on behalf of the Federally-qualified subsistence users 45 in your region. Your knowledge and experience is of 46 great value to the Federal Subsistence Management

Program. The Program constantly continues to work on

having robust Councils with full membership and at the

request of the Board we're going to engage the help of

47

48

49

4

the Native liaisons of Federal agencies in helping us to solicit more applications during this appointment cycle. And we're also requesting you, Council members to help, because you are the connection to the communities in the region that you represent.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

The 2023 Council application period opened on September 13th. We are encouraging you to help us spread the word about it in your region and recruit new applicants. You know the people in your region and you can also share first-hand experience of what it means to serve on the Council, how much time it takes, what's involved. You can also nominate candidates if someone is interested in applying but is having a hard time filling out an application. The application packets are available on our website, for those listening on the phone, if you go to www.doi.gov/subsistence/regions, then you'll actually have to click on the regions tab on the blue bar as it's on the regions page, but it doesn't appear as a drop-down option if you just hover over the regions tab. We also have a number of application packets here at the meeting that we will give to each of the Council members, you have that in your folders. Please take those back to your communities, take a handful back to your communities and hand them out to interested persons, organizations and tribes. Additionally, we're mailing out about a thousand copies of the application packets to various addresses across the state. If someone needs a copy to be faxed to them, we can do that as well. We're hoping to have a good number of qualified applicants applying this year so that we are able to fill all vacant seats across the region and we can even have alternates available for situations when Council members resign, move out of the region or pass away. I would really appreciate your help with recruitment this year. The application period is open until February 21st of next year.

38 39 40

41 42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

I also encourage all of the incumbents on our Council to reapply. You can do that before you depart from this meeting, I think I've already sent out incumbent applications to folks. This way you will not need to mail your incumbent application. All incumbents whose seats expire on December 2nd will need to reapply during this cycle. And in the Southeast we have four seats open for appointment or reappointment come December 2nd. And as most of us know there has been delay in appointments the last couple of years and

0493 I would just note that we did have a recent charter change which allows for continuance of service. So for instance if we have not received new appointments by December 2nd, those folks whose seats would expire on 5 December 2nd can continue to serve until the 6 Secretaries make a formal appointment. 7 8 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 9 10 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 11 DeAnna. Any questions from the Council on the process 12 -- nomination process. 13 14 (No comments) 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 16 17 DeAnna. There's one other item there that you wanted 18 to inform us about -- I don't know, maybe not but I'll 19 20 21 MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 22 Actually I think this is Mr. Vickers who is going to 23 tell the Council about virtual Council meeting costs. 24 Are you available to do that, Brent, if not I can come 25 back for an encore if you're not available, I do have 26 the talking points. 27 28 MR. VICKERS: If you want to, I don't 29 have them. 30 31 MS. PERRY: Okay, no worries. 32 33 MR. VICKERS: Thank you. 34 35 MS. PERRY: I can go ahead and present 36 it. I'll give you information on how you can get 37 reimbursed. If any of the Council members have any 38 telephonic or internet expenses associated with your 39 participation in Council meetings over the last two and 40 a half years, the materials for this agenda item are in 41 your supplemental materials book behind Tab 4. This 42 issue was brought up to the Board's attention by the 43 Yukon Kuskokwim Delta Council during their winter 2022 44 meeting. OSM reviewed the policies regarding 45 reimbursement and I would like to provide you with

information on the types of expenses that may be

reimbursed and the documentation necessary to receive

48 49 50 reimbursement.

46

For the time period when the Council members [sic] were held via teleconference, or in our case, videoconference, you can be reimbursed for internet and phone charges that are in excess of your normal bill. Council members who have internet or cellular plans that charge a flat monthly fee that they pay regardless of how much usage, they cannot be reimbursed unless it is over normal charges. So if it is a flat fee, there would not be any overages. In order for Council members to receive reimbursement for excess charges you would need to submit a copy of your bill that covers the time period during which the virtual Council meeting took place, indicate the excess charges for attending the virtual meeting and then the Council members can submit that information to myself or mail your bills to the Office of Subsistence Management and I can provide you with that mailing address.

So that concludes my presentation on that, Mr. Chair. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, DeAnna. Any questions on that item.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good. So next item of business is approving our letters that the Council would like to send out. So we have three letters that have been proposed. I'll tell you the three that I'm thinking of and if I missed anything, that anybody else wanted to send a letter on, please let us know and we can deal with that as well. But we have to approve our indigenous co-management letter.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Send that to the Board. Another letter is we want to send a letter that would hopefully go to the Department of State and that letter would have to pass through the Board and the Secretaries of Interior and Agriculture requesting them to forward that letter on to Department of State. That has to do with the transboundary mining issue, and, you know, that's the letter that we sent several years ago and it never got responded to so I guess the proposal there is to essentially take the points out of that

original letter. There is a few additions based on events that have happened since that time to be added to that letter and then we make the request that eventually that letter would go to Department of State. So that's letter number 2.

Third letter is for this Council to generate its own letter that is somewhat in line with what the four other Regional Councils have sent in regard to trawl bycatch and that letter would go through the Board and ask them to forward it to the North Pacific Fishery Management Council. So we have some -- well, I guess what we would do there is take a close look at the letter from the other RACs, pull out bullet points that we have common interest in and then there might be a couple others that we may want to add more specific to our region.

So those are the three letters I have. Anybody else have any other letters that they propose to send that I missed in my notes.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I think we've got three to work with here. Let's start with the indigenous co-management letter which was distributed at lunchtime. For the benefit of everybody it's the one that looks like this, it's got our Council logo on the top and then there's a list of, what, five agencies that it's going to go to on the front cover and then the letter is behind that, and it was just — like I say, it's not the one in the book, the original draft, this is a new draft that was kind of put together based on the conversation we had on Tuesday. So it was handed out at lunchtime while we were at lunch.

So, Cathy.

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I move to submit the SERAC Council's position statement for indigenous management of natural resources to the Federal Subsistence Board.

MS. PHILLIPS: Second.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. So we have a motion on the floor to submit the letter

so that gives us the opportunity to start discussion on what's in the letter and make sure it's what we intend to send. So, Cathy, go ahead.

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. You know this Council at this meeting spent a bunch of time talking about this on our first day and I reviewed the letter that was given to us and I think that the recommendations of additions to that letter and stuff were captured really well and I didn't find anything in there that was concerning. I think that the indigenous work group — the indigenous co-management work group did a stellar job and I think that with those edits I'm pretty — I'm ready to vote to send it and move to the next agenda item.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cathy. Any other input from the Council on this. Ian, go ahead.

 MR. JOHNSON: Thanks. I think just one minor addition, maybe for me, would be, I think we discussed in the definition of co-management to include State agencies in there among partners and it's not in there now so I think that would be a fairly minor addition. And then on Page 3, second paragraph, the --it's listed as SeaCoast Indigenous Guardians Network Project, but it's actually just Indigenous Guardians Network so I just wanted to make sure that that was named correctly, a simple correction.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Ian. DeAnna, do you have a question.

MS. PERRY: Not a question, I just wanted to address Ian's comment about Seacoast, I was advised by our tribal relations specialist that they are now going by the name SeaCoastIndigeneous Guardians Management to differentiate themselves between the Indigenous Guardians Management from B.C., in British Columbia, but I can confirm that before we send the letter out. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Hopefully that clarifies that. So, yeah, this is an opportunity, you know, as Ian mentioned, maybe something was missed, like the inclusion of the State agencies, I think, in that definition, so if anybody saw anything else like that that might have been missed in this draft, like I

0497 say, hopefully you're having a chance to look it over, but, yeah, as Cathy said I think we did a pretty good 2 job of capturing all the input we had on Tuesday. 4 5 (Pause) 6 7 MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is 8 Albert. 9 10 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Albert, go ahead, 11 and then Patty Phillips has something. So go ahead, 12 Albert. 13 14 MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 15 know the State agencies need to be included but they're already managing the resource, I think what we're 16 17 asking for is to be co-managers with the State agencies 18 so I think it should be worded that way. 19 20 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert. 22 23 I think that's a good suggestion. DeAnna, are you 24 adding that. Patty, go ahead. 25 26 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I 27 just wanted to state that this is very superior work and thank you, thank you very much for the effort from 28 29 the work committee to bring this before us. 30 31 Thank you. 32 33 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Patty. 34 Like I say, there was a lot of input from the rest of 35 the Council as well. So anybody else with anything 36 they want to address on this letter. 37 38 (No comments) 39 40 MR. WRIGHT: Question. 41 42 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, question has 43 been called for. So we have a motion to approve this 44 draft letter and make it a letter from the Council 45 going to Office of Subsistence Management, Regional 46 Office of U.S. Forest Service, Dave Schmidt, Tongass 47 Forest Supervisor, Earl Stewart, National Park Service 48 Alaska Region, Regional Director Sarah Creachbaum, 49 National Park Service Glacier Bay Superintendent Philip

0498 Hooge, Commissioner ADF&G, and I guess we have a question mark on the Governor, can we send it to the Governor, but it does go through the Board first before it gets forwarded to any of these other people. guess I'll leave that as a question as to whether or 6 not it can go to the Governor and the Commissioner of 7 ADF&G. 8 9 MS. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Patty. 12 13 MS. PHILLIPS: Is the director of BIA, 14 is -- I thought they were on the Board, no? 15 16 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So it's going to 17 the Board as well, right, I mean that's the first stop. 18 19 MS. PHILLIPS: Oh, I see, okay. 20 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: The first stop is 22 the Board and then we requested they send copies to 23 these other people, I guess. 24 25 MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. 26 27 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I think 28 that's the way it's supposed to work, is that correct, 29 DeAnna? 30 31 MS. PERRY: Yeah, Mr. Chair, in the CC 32 line on the last page you'll see Federal Subsistence 33 Board, that's how they'll get a copy of it. And also 34 of note, this Council expressed a desire for a copy of 35 this letter of intent and their position statement to 36 also be shared with all the other regions, so they're 37 listed there as well. We also have Ben Mulligan, Deputy Commissioner, and Mark Burch, Special Projects 38 39 Coordinator from ADF&G as well as the InterAgency Staff and, of course, all of you as members will get a copy 40 41 as well. 42 43 Thank you. 44 45 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. 46 I didn't want to muddle the motion there but I'll 47 restate the motion. The motion is to approve this 48 letter as a letter from the Council. It goes to the 49 Board with copies going to numerous other agencies and

0499 1 individuals. So all in favor of the motion signify by 2 saying aye. 3 4 IN UNISON: Aye. 5 6 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody 7 opposed say no. 8 9 (No opposing votes) 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Got that 12 one settled. So now the other two letters may still 13 take a little bit of work. Let's go to the letter that 14 we propose to send to the -- eventually it'll end up at 15 the North Pacific Fishery Management Council. Of 16 course it'll also go through the Board and asking them 17 to forward. And this is the letter that we will 18 generate from the Southeast RAC that will go along with 19 the letter from four other RACs, that's the Yukon 20 Kuskokwim Delta, Western Interior, Eastern Interior and 21 Seward Peninsula Regional Councils. 22 23 So like I say this one might require a 24 little bit of work. So at some point we have to use 25 this as kind of a model, I guess, to be consistent. We 26 can use some of their wording. We've already got some 27 suggestions so maybe I'll just start digging into that 28 now. 29 30 These Councils -- on the first 31 paragraph, write to you to request a significant 32 reduction in chinook and chum salmon bycatch, of course 33 they say the Bering Sea/Aleutian Islands, ours would

say Gulf of Alaska, and their primary concern is with chinook and chum, but we might suggest including all salmon species as bycatch, it might be expecting some of the other species in Southeast Alaska. So that's a suggested change. And to request subsistence representation on the North Pacific Fishery Management Council. That's a pretty important ask there. All the Councils should be requesting subsistence representation on the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council. Councils will further request the Federal Subsistence Board elevate the concerns expressed in this letter to the Secretary of Commerce. So, you know, National Marine Fisheries Service is under Commerce not Agriculture or Interior, so we have to make that same request like we did in our other letter to forward it to a different cabinet department,

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

0500 1 so that is important in the letter, I believe. Then, you know, where they talk about their various communities, we would probably have wording reflecting 4 the communities here in Southeast Alaska, or all of 5 Southeast Alaska probably. 6 7 They quote passages from Title VIII of 8 ANILCA, that would give Councils the authority to do 9 this and their charters so we would include that. 10 That's paragraph number 2. Nothing would be different 11 there for this Council other than the locations. 12 13 Next paragraph, you know, we would kind 14 of reflect that on our meeting and the discussions we 15 had about salmon bycatch. 16 17 Of course the next paragraph is pretty 18 specific to, you know, the Yukon and Kuskokwim Rivers. 19 We might talk about how salmon bycatch, you know, 20 affects Southeast fisheries. And maybe I'll throw this 21 out there, I thought maybe something that Southeast 22 Alaska might want to include is bycatch on halibut, 23 that's kind of been an issue in the past. So I'll just 24 maybe take a minute here and see if the Council would 25 agree that we should include halibut bycatch. I don't 26 think that's mentioned for the northern RAC. 27 28 Any comments there. 29 30 (No comments) 31 32 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We all good with 33 that. 34 35 (Council nods affirmatively) 36 37 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Next 38 paragraph kind of deals with the extent of the salmon 39 bycatches so they focus on chinook and chum, of course. 40 If we would include a paragraph like that we would have 41 to do a little research on what some of the other 42 bycatch species are if we want to include that 43 paragraph. I guess we'll kind of leave that -- Council 44 members might want to comment on that. 45 46 And, Harvey, I see you have something 47 to say, go ahead. 48

MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I

49

0501 know this is not added to any of the records or 1 anything but the bycatch on forage fish throughout, not 2 only the Bering Sea but the Gulf of Alaska is affecting 4 a lot of things. 5 6 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good, Harvey, 7 yeah, I think we should include that. Any other 8 suggestions. 9 10 Patty, go ahead. 11 12 MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you. That last 13 sentence, if subsistence fishers cannot harvest a 14 single salmon then the billion dollar commercial 15 fishery should have stricter salmon conservation 16 measures enacted. I mean the way they have it written, 17 it's like the Commfish should enact stricter measures 18 or, you know, the commercial fisheries should enact 19 stricter measures but it's the North Pacific Council 20 who would enact the measures, and then the commercial 21 fisheries would have to comply. But maybe we don't 22 want to add that, I don't know. 23 24 MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is 25 Albert. 26 27 MS. PHILLIPS: Page 2, second 28 paragraph, last sentence. 29 30 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sorry, we'll get 31 to you in just a minute, Albert. What was your 32 suggestion there, Patty. 33 34 MS. PHILLIPS: Well, the way it's -- it 35 just needs a little bit of wordsmithing. To me it reads the billion -- it says now: The billion dollar 36 37 commercial fisheries should also enact stricter salmon 38 conservation measures but it's the North Pacific 39 Council that does the enacting. I mean right now the commercial fisheries is taking -- under the current 40 41 regulations is taking as much as its taking. I mean 42 it's not enacting nothing, it's the over -- you know 43 the governing body that should be doing more to enact.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I follow you there.

MS. PHILLIPS: Okay.

48 49

44 45

46

47

0502 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: There's no mention 2 of the governing body in that paragraph. 4 MS. PHILLIPS: Right. Correct. 5 6 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So, yeah, I think 7 that does need to be included DeAnna. That's a good 8 catch Patty. Albert, what did you have to add. 9 10 MR. HOWARD: It was along the same 11 lines as Patty. But the thing I was thinking about 12 today and the other day was these guys don't have to be 13 a part of the Treaty negotiations when the rest of us 14 have to go there and justify why we need so much, 15 they're just allowed to dump it back overboard and call it good. I know if that, in itself, bothers me, I mean 16 17 as subsistence users and residents of Alaska we have to 18 go there as part of the Treaty negotiations, maybe we 19 need another letter to tell them they should show up 20 and justify their bycatch and what they're dumping 21 overboard to the B.C. government. I don't know. 22 23 Thanks, Mr. Chair. 25 26

24

27

28

29

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert. Yeah, that issue of the International Treaty, I'm not too familiar with that so maybe that'll take a little research but it certainly -- we'll certainly take a note of it and investigate that a little more I think, possibly. Does that sound.....

30 31 32

MS. PERRY: Okay.

33 34

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:like a good idea. And, John, did you.....

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

42

43

MR. SMITH: Just a -- I quess a question, or even a comment that, you know, you were talking about salmon on the bycatch but also halibut, but I'm just thinking of crab and sharks and all these other things that come up when they're catching. They're catching almost everything so, you know, what's happening -- even seals and other things. So just a thought.

44 45 46

47

48

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I don't know if we've gotten to that in this letter yet but there was some suggestions about the harm that the trawl industry does to habitat and other species on the

0503 bottom as well such as crab, so that is a consideration. It may be in this letter, we just haven't gotten to it yet, but we'll add it if we don't. So okay. Any other comments thus far. 5 6 (No comments) 7 8 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: It's a fairly 9 lengthy letter. So next paragraph deals with a 10 request, salmon bycatch, they say the Bering Sea trawl 11 fisheries be significantly reduced below levels 12 currently authorized by the North Pacific Fishery 13 Management Council. Councils recommend that bycatch be 14 immediately reduced. Let's see let's kind of go 15 through here. That paragraph is essentially asking for 16 some significant decreases in the bycatch. 17 18 Anybody else has any thoughts on this 19 just chime in. 20 21 (No comments) 22 23 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think there was 24 some -- might be some discussion as, you know, they 25 have a lot of specific asks that, you know, affects 26 their regions and it was also kind of a thought that we 27 may just want to also, you know, add a paragraph that 28 our Council supports their Councils and their efforts 29 to protect their fisheries as well. That might be a 30 good idea. 31 32 (Council nods affirmatively) 33 34 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm seeing some 35 agreement on that. Because they have a lot of specific issues in here and we could just support them in that. 36 37 That's probably a good idea. Is there agreement on 38 that? 39 40 MS. PHILLIPS: Yes. 41 42 (Council nods affirmatively) 43 44 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Have 45 agreement on that, thank you. So the next paragraph 46 deals for that need for subsistence representation on the North Pacific Management Council. And I think that 47 48 paragraph would probably be the same as what our 49 Council would want. I think we could probably mirror

1 that wording.

John, you have a comment.

MR. SMITH: Yeah, this might be way off but just thinking about all that by -- the bycatch and what do they do with that bycatch. And even though they catch it and it's dead, doesn't mean that it's not -- you can't preserve it and you can't process it, just make note they can process all that. But something -what can we make them do besides throw it over, their bycatch, or a certain percent of it, maybe threequarters of it or half of it is processed on their ship, boxed and everything and then dropped off at all the different communities for free, I mean because of the -- I mean I'm just thinking it just kills me the idea of them dumping that much or what are they doing with it but, you know, they're processing and they're profiting off of it, and maybe there's a percent of that they can give back to the people because of the -the heavy kind of fishing that it is, the darkness of that fishing, yeah. Just a thought. Just how do we manage it.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And I know I've heard discussion on that and I don't know where it's gone. But that could probably be a suggestion added into the letter to find out a way to -- or figure out a way to, yeah, essentially not waste the bycatch. I'm trying to remember, I did hear something on that recently -- oh, yeah, kind of the previous recommendation from the Council to the trawl industry was to find creative solutions to this issue, and that might be one of those creative solutions that might help.

So, okay, anybody else on that topic.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We're good. And then they kind of go to their conclusion and we could probably craft some words that would be appropriate for our Council in a way of a conclusion, I think we could do that. I don't know if there's any suggestions there, in that last paragraph.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So I just see here, John, just reading through this it says, this acknowledgement is very important, however, even if subsistence communities were to receive some economic relief for the loss of the food that we have suffered, no amount of money can possibly replace the millions of pounds of healthy subsistence salmon we rely on to survive. Yeah, I don't know if that would be the same for us or not but probably not. I don't know, any thoughts on that.

MS. PHILLIPS: Could you say that

13 again.
14

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, I guess they talked about, or maybe it's been suggested, you know, that there might be some ways for the subsistence communities to receive some economic benefit from the loss of this bycatch but they kind of reject that idea as being insignificant in comparison to what's been lost.

I don't know if anybody has any thoughts on that conclusion.

(No comments)

 $\mbox{CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, we can probably mirror that in some way. Ian, go ahead.} \\$

MR. JOHNSON: I would just include that we expect a response. They don't explicitly ask for it so I think we should just make sure to include that in there.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other

suggestions.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So we kind of got a proposed letter here with bullet points that need to be fleshed out. That's fairly typical that the Council kind of, you know, expresses all their ideas on a subject and in this instance we have an example to go by because we're kind of supporting other Councils so we have that available to us and what we usually do is kind of allow our Staff to maybe consult with probably myself on this, I don't know if anybody else, and as

long as the Council approves the concepts and ideas we talked about here and we can flesh out the wording and it would have the approval of the Council is the normal procedure.

So, Ian, you had a question and then Patty.

MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, I just thought of one more. They reference their fisheries disaster declarations but I think it'd be worth talking about not making escapement in Taku and Chilkat and just kind of paint the picture of where things are at here.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:} Another good suggestion, thank you, that's relevant.$

Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair. You know the drastic measures that was taken, you know, by the Board of Fish on salmon. But I also want to state that the National Fisheries Management Program under the Magnuson-Stevens Act, Section .301, National standards for fisheries conservation and management, 104-297109-4798; conservation and management measures shall, consistent with the conservation requirements of this Act, including the prevention of overfishing and rebuilding of overfished stocks take into account the importance of fishery resources to fishing communities by utilizing economic and social data that meet the requirements of Paragraph 2 in order to, A, provide for the sustained participation of such communities and, B, to the extent practicable minimize adverse economic impacts on such communities.

You know me, I like to put, you know, the actual law into our justifications. I mean we are an affected community and, you know, they're not taking into consideration, you know, the overreach that harvest is having on us as communities.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good suggestion. DeAnna, were you able to capture that, do you need the statutes from Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: I can take a picture.

```
0507
 1
                     MS. PERRY: I recorded Patty's mention
     so, yeah, so Patty if you've got that available you can
 2
     send that to me or I can look it up. That'd be great,
 4
    thank you.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well, it
 7
    looks like we're approaching that 6:15 timeline. We've
     got some Council members that have to leave so I think
 8
 9
     we're pretty close to finalizing this letter. Just
10
    want to confirm that we still have two Council members
11
     on the phone.
12
13
                     MR. SLATER: This is Jim, I'm here.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Jim.
16
17
                     MR. HOWARD: I'm here, Mr. Chairman.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks,
20
    guys, we still have a quorum.
21
22
                     MR. HOWARD: This is Albert.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep, we're still
25
     good. So are we ready -- I don't know if anybody has
     any other details they want to add, we've had some
26
27
     really good suggestions here.
28
29
                     (Pause)
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I just want to
32
    thank the Council members who have to leave, thank you
33
     for all your good work here at this meeting. Good
34
     travels and we'll see you down the road, I guess.
35
36
                     (Pause)
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are you leaving,
39
    Patty, as well.
40
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
41
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Ian, are
44
    you staying?
45
46
                                   (Nods affirmatively)
                     MR. JOHNSON:
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So we have
49
     one, two, three, four, five, six, seven Council
50
```

```
0508
    members, that's a quorum, okay, and a court reporter
    and a Coordinator. Oh, John, are you still here
 2
 3
    or....
 4
 5
                     MR. SMITH: I'm still here.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
 8
 9
                     (Pause)
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So we actually
12
     still have eight Council members so.
13
14
                     (Pause)
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Just for the
17
    record here we're kind of saying goodbye to Council
18
    members and checking to make sure we still have a
19
    quorum, which we do. We don't usually have this
20
    situation but.
21
22
                     MS. PERRY: I think we're ready for a
23
    motion, Mr. Chairman.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think we are
26
    ready for a motion. Ian.
27
28
                     MR. JOHNSON: I make a motion that we
29
     draft a letter using the Yukon Kuskokwim Delta, Western
30
     Interior, Eastern Interior letter as a template and
31
     adopting the suggestions made here in this discussion
32
     to be drafted and approved by the Chair.
33
34
                     MR. WAGNER: Second.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We have a motion
37
     and a second. Is there further discussion.
38
39
                     (No comments)
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. The motion
42
    is to send a letter from this Council to the Board that
43
    mirrors the letter from four other Regional Advisory
44
     Councils dealing with salmon bycatch and the letter
45
     should be forwarded to the North Pacific Fisheries
46
    Management Council. Ready for the question.
47
48
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Question.
49
```

0509 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Do you have one 2 more question, Mike. 3 4 MR. DOUVILLE: I don't have a question, 5 I have a comment. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, one more 8 comment, go ahead. 9 10 MR. DOUVILLE: I think it's a good 11 letter and I think our additions are really good and particularly the one that Patty recommended that we put 12 13 in there that states the regulations. Call for the 14 question. 15 16 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. 17 Question's been called for. All in favor of sending this letter from the Council say aye. 18 19 20 IN UNISON: Aye. 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody 22 23 opposed say no. 24 25 (No opposing votes) 26 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, motion 27 28 passes. Okay, one more letter and then we approve our 29 next meeting places and times for the next Council 30 meetings and then I think we're done. 31 32 Third letter is -- let's see, the third 33 letter is a letter dealing with the transboundary 34 mining issue and this letter would also be addressed to 35 the Board with a request for the Board to send it to the Secretaries of Interior, Agriculture and a request 36 37 for those departments to send it to the Department of 38 State, is how that would have to work. So 39 transboundary mining and we have sent previous letters 40 on transboundary mining which we can draw on. A lot of 41 issues remain the same but there are some new issues to 42 consider. 43 44 We do have a list of talking points on 45 the new issues and I know DeAnna has that available for 46 us for discussion when she finds that in her emails, I 47 believe. So maybe DeAnna if you could kind of run 48 through the suggested new items that would be added to 49 that letter. Like I say we have a previous letter that 50

0510 was drafted and sent and we never got a response to it so we will essentially resend that letter with these 2 additions, okay. 4 5 MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 6 7 MR. SLATER: Okay. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Excuse me, did we 10 have a question on the floor. 11 12 MR. SLATER: No, I was just agreeing, 13 I'm sorry to disturb. 14 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Go ahead, 16 DeAnna. 17 18 MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 19 Earlier we heard testimony from Brianna Walker 20 regarding the transboundary mining rivers issues and 21 she asked this Court [sic] to also support their effort 22 with letters going to the Department of Interior and 23 Department of Agriculture. They are also suggesting 24 that we include that letter addressee the U.S. members 25 of the International Joint Commission as well as 26 Department of State and she's provided me with some 27 additional addresses to do so. And, yes, Mr. Chair, 28 you're correct there are certain limitations we have in 29 our correspondence policy but we can certainly ask the 30 Board to forward on to those that we cannot directly 31 communicate to. 32 33 And if you'll bear with me I will pull 34 up her other email where she kind of enumerates some 35 specifics that she wanted us to add into our letter 36 that we sent earlier. 37 38 (Pause) 39 40 MS. PERRY: So this would be a letter 41 that is incorporating some information we learned today 42 from Salmon Beyond Borders. It will be forwarded to, 43 it looks like the Salmon Beyond Border folks will be 44 sending a letter to the Biden Administration by the end 45 of the year. They're requesting a renewed letter of support from this Council to those agencies that ${\tt I}$ 46 47 mentioned before to voice shared concerns for the

future of the Taku, Stikine, Unuk Rivers and the

threats posed to them by British Columbia's mining up

48

49

river. She has attached some examples of resolutions and I can share those with Council members after this meeting. The asks included in these resolutions are timely and vital. She asks that the Council consider supporting the following asks in any of our letters that we send regarding the transboundary mining issue.

6 7

5

8 For President Biden and the United 9 States Government and Prime Minister Justin Trudeau and 10 the Canadian Government to immediately utilize their 11 authority under the United Stats/Canada Boundary Waters 12 Treaty of 1909 to prevent and resolve disputes over the 13 use of shared waters, and support an immediate 14 temporary halt to permitting, exploration, development 15 and expansion of Canadian mines along shared Alaska, 16 British Columbia salmon rivers until a binding 17 international agreement on watershed protections 18 developed by all jurisdictions in these shared 19 transboundary watersheds and consistent with the 20 Boundary Waters Treaty of 1909 and the United Nations 21 Declaration on Rights of Indigenous Peoples is 22 implemented, and convene with local communities, 23 stakeholders and indigenous leaders of the Taku, 24 Stikine and Unuk watersheds to develop the 25 aforementioned binding international agreement on 26 watershed protections. This agreement will identify 27 and honor No-Go zones and decisions by local residents 28 and indigenous people on both sides of the 29 international border, ensure mining companies and 30 shareholders are liable for clearing up their waste and 31 compensating impacted communities for all damages and 32 enforce requirements for mining best practices, 33 including a permanent ban on the perpetual storage of 34 contaminated water and wet tailings behind earthen dams 35 along these irreplaceable Alaska/British Columbia 36 Transboundary Salmon Rivers.

37 38

39

40

41

More information on the above request is included in one of the documents that she sent me, again, that I'll share with the Council and she has given me her contact information in case there's further support that she can give.

42 43 44

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

45 46

47

48

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, DeAnna. Any questions, comments, additions from the Council on those suggestions. I think we're fortunate that, you know, we have Salmon Beyond Borders has been

0512 involved in this issue for a number of years and they're taking the lead and following this issue and giving us suggestions on, you know, how to address it. I think we find that very helpful. But like I say, if 5 there's anything else that you can think of that you 6 want to add to that. 7 8 Frank, go ahead. 9 10 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 There were just a few of those communities that were 12 added -- that were mentioned in the letter, right? 13 14 MS. PERRY: Are you talking about the 15 watersheds.... 16 17 MR. WRIGHT: Yeah. 18 19 MS. PERRY: Member Wright? 20 And let me just make sure in her precursor, she does 21 say in the resolution that it does address areas 22 including Pelican, Petersburg, Sitka, Craig, Wrangell 23 and Ketchikan. 24 25 MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you. I was 26 thinking that since this RAC represents all of 27 Southeast Alaska we need to mention all the rest of the 28 communities because we are concerned about what's going 29 on with this mining industry. And I think it would be 30 a little stronger if all the communities within 31 Southeast that are represented by the RAC. 32 33 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 34 35 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Rank. 36 I think that's a good suggestion because impacts to 37 fisheries -- yeah, do impact many communities, every community essentially so thank you for that. Any other 38 39 suggestions, additions, comments. 40 41 Ian. 42 43 MR. JOHNSON: Thanks, Mr. Chair. Just 44 the same that I suggested before in the conclusion, just making explicit that we expect a response to this 45 46 letter and try to give it more teeth that way. 47 48 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good suggestion, 49 thank you, Ian. Any others.

```
0513
 1
                     (No comments)
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So once
 4
     again we'll kind of take all these points that we've
     kind of outlined here, put them into a good narrative
 5
     form and do a little bit of wordsmithing but, like I
 6
 7
     say, I think we have enough to approve the writing of a
     letter along these lines so a motion from the Council.
 9
10
                     (No comments)
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Or more
13
     discussion, either one.
                              Ian.
14
15
                     MR. JOHNSON: Thanks, Mr. Chair. I make
16
     a motion that we draft the letter on transboundary
17
     issue guided by our previous letters and the discussion
18
     here today to be sent to the Department of State, did I
19
     get the recipient right again?
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Just as a
22
     clarification it would be .....
23
24
                     MR. SLATER: I second that.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....it would go
27
     through the Board.....
28
29
                     MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....and
32
     eventually be forwarded to the Department of State.
33
34
                     MR. JOHNSON: Okay, thank you.
35
     submitted to the Board.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right.
                                                  With the
38
     request that it be forwarded on to the Department of
39
     State. You might want to state that again so.
40
                     MR. JOHNSON: Okay. I move that we
41
42
    draft a letter on the transboundary issue guided by our
43
    previous template -- or previous letters and the
44
     discussion here to be submitted to the Board and then
45
    moved through to the Department of State.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We have a
48
    motion....
49
```

```
0514
 1
                     MR. SLATER: This is Jim, I second
 2
     that.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: .....and a second.
 5
    Okay, we had a second here, thanks, Jim. Okay. Any
 6
     further discussion.
 7
 8
                     (No comments)
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I'll call
11
     the question for the motion to draft a letter on
12
     transboundary mining. All in favor say aye.
13
14
                     IN UNISON:
                                 Aye.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody opposed
17
     say no.
18
19
                     (No opposing votes)
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, motion
22
     carries. So unless I'm mistaken the only other item
23
     that we need to do is future meeting times and dates,
24
     can you confirm that DeAnna.
25
26
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair.
27
     You did have a few calendars in your meeting book
28
    however they have been updated since the printing of
29
     the meeting book since we've had some RAC meetings and
30
     folks have taken -- they have scheduled their meetings,
31
     so in front of you you should have two handouts that
32
     look like calendars. One for fall 2023 and one for
33
    winter 2023 and so what we need to do is tentatively
34
    the Southeast RAC had chose let's see, February 28th
35
    through March 2nd in Juneau for their winter meeting so
36
    if this Council could confirm that date and location by
37
    motion and then we would also need a motion for a
38
     selection of dates and location for the fall meeting.
39
40
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
43
     DeAnna. So let's deal with the winter meeting first,
44
     it's already been selected so if we're still all good
45
     with that we could just confirm that and that does
46
     require a motion and if there's any discussion we'll
47
     have discussion after the motion.
48
49
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chair.
```

```
0515
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank.
 2
 3
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chair. I move that a
 4
    meeting for February 28th through March 2nd in Juneau.
 5
     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank.
 8
 9
                     MR. JOHNSON: Second.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We have a
12
    second.
13
14
                     MR. HOWARD: Second.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there any
17
    discussion on this, is there any reason why anybody
    would need to change this time and place, and I realize
18
19
    we lost half our Council here almost, which is
20
    unfortunate, but for the folks that are here, any
21
    problems with that time.
22
23
                     Mike.
24
25
                     MR. DOUVILLE: It looks to me and I
26
     support the motion.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, call for the
29
    question.
30
31
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Call for the question.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
34
    All in favor of holding our meeting, winter meeting in
     Juneau on February 28th through March 2nd say aye.
36
37
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
                                          Thank you.
40
    Anybody opposed say no.
41
42
                     (No opposing votes)
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, that's
45
     confirmed. A little more difficult here, we got to
46
     talk about the fall meeting. I guess I will -- like I
47
     say, since we lost so many Council members here I just
48
    kind of want to confirm with DeAnna, anything we select
49
    here is subject to change at the next meeting if it
50
```

```
0516
 1
    doesn't work with the Council members who aren't here,
    is that correct?
 2
 3
 4
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair. And I
 5
    would like to let the new Council members know that due
 6
     to the splitting of Staff, we share Staff during all
 7
     these Regional Advisory Council meetings we can
     schedule no more than two Regional Council meetings per
 9
    week, and it looks like we're safe with all weeks
10
    except for October the 2nd, that week is kind of out of
11
    play and then the week of October 30th is out of play,
12
    they already have two Council meetings.
13
14
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
15
16
                     And also as a reminder, just like we
17
     just did for our winter meeting, we can select a.....
18
19
                     (Teleconference interference -
20
    participants not muted)
21
22
                     MS. PERRY: ....we can select a few
23
    dates and a location and then confirm that at our next
24
     meeting, and it's not uncommon for us to, you know,
25
     change it if there are conflicts. So this is just
26
     trying to look a year ahead and anticipate when most
    people would be available but you would be able to
27
28
     confirm that at your next meeting.
29
30
                     Thank you.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, DeAnna.
33
     So just kind of open for Council members to throw
34
     out....
35
36
                     MR. SLATER: Traditionally in October
37
     -- Mr. Chair.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Jim.
40
41
                     MR. SLATER: Traditionally we're in
42
    October for that meeting.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I didn't quite
45
     catch that.
46
                     MS. PERRY: Traditionally we have
47
48
     our....
49
50
```

0517	
1	MR. SLATER: Is that true?
2	
3 4	MS. PERRY:meeting in October.
5	MR. SLATER: Oh, I'm sorry,
6	traditionally our fall meeting's in October.
7	
8	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That's been
9 10	typical, yes. I would say late September, occasionally we have meetings that early but mostly they're in
11	October, that's true.
12	occoser, chae s crae.
13	MR. SLATER: So the 2nd is out and the
14	30th is out.
15	
16	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right.
17	
18	MR. SLATER: And the 9th is Columbus
19 20	Day which is a short day short week for some people and they might not want to travel on that day so we
21	have the week of the 16th and the week of the 23rd, so
22	we could have the week of the 16th designate a meeting
23	if we like that and then have a back up for October
24	23rd the week of October 23rd, does that sound
25	reasonable to anyone.
26	
27	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So far it
28 29	sounds reasonable to me, other Council members.
30	MR. SMITH: Sounds good.
31	rik. Britin. Bounds good.
32	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Mike.
33	
34	MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chair, I'm having a
35	hard time understanding what he's saying. Maybe we
36	could clarify it.
37	OHATOMAN HEDNANDER D'ala 'I
38 39	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right, it was a little bit garbled over the phone there but he's
40	essentially saying the week of October 16th or the week
41	of October 23rd are probably the best dates available
42	right now. I don't know if yeah, go ahead.
43	
44	MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chair. I'd be
45	supportive of the week of October 23rd.
46	
47	MR. SLATER: Yeah, I
48 49	MR. DOUVILLE: As we're winding down
50	rin. Dooving. As we le winding down

```
0518
     fishing still in the middle of September.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnna.
 4
 5
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 6
     just found out that it is possible that AFN is going to
     be scheduled the week of October 16th so Mr. Douville's
 7
     suggestion of the following week, the 23rd, may be the
 8
 9
    best suggestion at this time.
10
11
                     Thank you.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
14
     DeAnna. Yeah, sometimes it's helpful to check on other
15
     events going on. So do Council members that are here
     concur with Mr. Douville that October 23rd would be the
16
17
    best week.
18
19
                     MR. SLATER: I concur, that sounds good
20
     to me. Am I coming in clearer now.
21
22
                     (Teleconference interference -
23
    participants not muted)
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Yeah, I
26
     could hear you Jim.
27
28
                     MR. SLATER: Okay.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I think we
31
    have consensus on that. Once we get a proposed place
32
     we'll put that into a motion so it's always a
33
     consideration here about what's the best place. And I
34
    don't know what the considerations are, we have our
35
    next meeting in Juneau. I'm trying to think of other
36
     communities we haven't been to in awhile and in the
37
     fall, I don't know, I'm open to suggestions.
38
39
                     MR. SLATER: Pelican.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: John.
42
43
                     MR. SLATER: Sometimes it's hard to get
44
     there.
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We got John and
46
47
     then Jim. Go ahead, John.
48
49
                     MR. SMITH: I was just looking at
```

0519 Sitka, maybe, possibly, just an idea. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 4 John. Did you have a suggestion Jim. 5 6 MR. SLATER: I was maybe half joking 7 when I said Pelican being that it's very hard to get there in October, (indiscernible - garbled) waiting 8 9 around for a week. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sorry, I had a 12 little difficulty hearing that again. 13 14 MR. SLATER: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah, I 15 was kind of half jokingly suggesting Pelican but then realizing that our travel in and out of Pelican is very 16 17 iffy in October that that wouldn't be a good idea. 18 19 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, there are 20 some parameters. Maybe for the new Council members, 21 DeAnna, you might want to kind of run through the 22 parameters here we kind of have to consider. 23 24 MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 25 are expected to hold our Council meetings in what they 26 call hub communities and those are the -- roughly those 27 communities that can be accessed by a commercial air 28 flight such as Alaska Air. For us it was Island Air 29 and Alaska SeaPlanes. If we choose to be in a more 30 remote location we do need to ask -- I've got to come 31 up with a comparison and some paperwork showing that 32 the estimated cost of having a meeting in a non-hub 33 community would be and then that is actually something 34 that needs to be approved by OSM before we could secure

43 44 Thank you. 45

clear in your motion.

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

46 47

48

49

50

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, DeAnna. So we had Sitka suggested which is always a good option. I did want to bring up, I think -- I mean we've had so many meetings cancelled here in the last

any kind of non-hub community. And I also did want to

note again for our new Council members, when we single

out a week, usually we pick Monday as our travel day,

the meeting dates are Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday

and then Friday is the travel date back. I would

assume that we would continue that tradition but if

somebody wants to change that just please make that

```
0520
     couple years I'm trying to think of the communities
     that we had meetings scheduled in that we didn't get to
     go to and I think one of them was Craig and I don't
     know if Craig fell into that category of something that
 5
     would require kind of like a special.....
 6
 7
                     MS. PERRY: Yes.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....that -- it
10
    does?
11
12
                     MS. PERRY: Yes.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So Craig's
15
     a little more difficult, it's been done in the past but
     I don't know maybe we should just go with the Sitka
16
17
     suggestion, I don't know any other comments.
18
19
                     (No comments)
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think Sitka was
22
     also a community that we passed by as I recall.
23
24
                     MS. PERRY: Uh-huh.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, we had a
27
    meeting scheduled there as well so, yeah, that might be
28
     a good option. Go ahead, John.
29
30
                     MR. SMITH: Yeah, and then there's
31
    more....
32
33
                     (Cell phone ringing)
34
35
                     MR. SMITH:
                                .....people there that
36
     would testify or come in and visit too. You know if we
37
     go to a smaller village the numbers of folks that
38
    participate would be limited so just thinking that
39
     Sitka would be a good positive.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, are we ready
42
     for a motion then.
43
44
                     Ian.
45
46
                     MR. JOHNSON: I make a motion that the
47
     fall 2023 meeting be held October 24th, 25th, 26th in
48
     Sitka, Alaska.
49
```

```
0521
 1
                     MR. WAGNER: Second.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, we have a
 4
    motion and a second. Unless there's any other
 5
    discussion call for the question.
 6
 7
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Question.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Question's
10
    been called for, for our fall meeting a year's hence to
11
    be held in Sitka the week of October 24th, 25th, 26th,
12
    all in favor say aye.
13
14
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody opposed
17
    say no.
18
19
                     (No opposing votes)
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I think we
22
    have our meeting schedule addressed. And with that I
23
    think we can entertain a motion to adjourn.
24
25
                     MR. SLATER: Do we ever -- Mr. Chair,
26
    can I ask a question.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is that a motion
29
    to adjourn Jim.
30
31
                     MR. SLATER: No, but it's a -- no, I
32
    understand -- I can defer it but I just wanted to have
33
     a closing comment if I could.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, yeah, I
36
     quess, I can't remember, closing comments come before
37
     or after adjournment.
38
39
                     MS. PERRY: Before.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Before, right.
42
43
                     MS. PERRY: Yes.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Before, okay.
46
    Yeah, there is an opportunity for closing comments.
47
     So, yeah, before we entertain a motion to adjourn let's
48
     ask if anybody has any closing comments.
49
```

Jim, it sounds like you might so go ahead.

MR. SLATER: Yeah, I think the meeting overall was very productive. And I think a lot of good decisions and difficult decisions were made. I did just want to say one thing, I think with our discussion on the Ketchikan rural identity issue, we made a good decision but I think the trend may continue that another bigger community, Juneau may follow along the same route and I would guess that we need to prepare to think about it. Are we going to do that, are we going to -- what would be our actions and how would we handle that, would we become somewhat irrelevant at that point if basically all of Southeast Alaska is rural. And then the meaningful preference is for everyone, effectively everyone.

So anyway that's my comment. And it's something we need to think about for the future. That's all.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Jim. any other closing comments for those of us that are still here.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Council members. I think it's been a pretty productive meeting. I think we got a lot more accomplished here meeting in person. I don't know how we could have done this meeting over the phone, I think it would have been a real nightmare with all the different topics we had and having the opportunity for more public comment and hearing from the folks in Ketchikan was pretty valuable. So, yeah, I think it was just -- I really appreciate the fact that we could do this.

So somebody else.

Mike.

 MR. DOUVILLE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I do appreciate being able to meet in person, it's been a long time and it's very difficult to do virtual meetings and do a good job. It gives us the opportunity to interact and solve a lot of problems in a much smoother fashion so it's been a great meeting

```
0523
     and I think we accomplished quite a bit.
 2
 3
                     Thank you.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mike.
 6
    Anybody else.
 7
 8
                     (No comments)
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Motion to
11
     adjourn.
12
13
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
14
    Albert.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, Albert, yeah,
17
    go ahead.
18
19
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20
     Just real quick, an idea, as far as food security goes
21
     if there's ever another (indiscernible - garbled)
22
    Angoon take and other communities aren't able to --
23
     like an example, Mr. Chairman, we didn't have ferry
24
     service because someone on the ferry had Covid so they
25
     cancelled the ferry and then that kind of left us
26
    without groceries. I think if we get ahead of it,
27
    maybe, and I wish I'd have thought of it earlier, make
28
     it part of the co-management topic. Maybe it'll be the
29
     first one, I don't know, but how to work with the State
30
     on opening the resources with guidelines for Federally-
31
     qualified users for small communities during a pandemic
32
     to where we won't get in trouble but we have the
33
     ability to feed our families.
34
35
                     I didn't make it to this one because
36
     I've been gone all summer so I had to get my house back
37
     in order so I'll see you guys at the next one.
38
39
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert.
42
     And appreciate you and Jim spending all that time by
43
     your telephones, I know that's difficult. We really
44
     appreciate it, you've been a big help, thank you very
45
    much.
46
47
                     Anybody else.
48
49
                     Frank.
```

```
0524
1
                     MR. WRIGHT: Just have a question, Mr.
 2
    Chair. If no one comes in as a nominee for the next
   seat on our Council, is Ian still going to be a
 4
    representative?
 5
 6
                                 Through the Chair. Member
                     MS. PERRY:
 7
    Wright. Yes, he would be under the continuing service
    clause of our charter so he would be able to continue
    to serve until that appointment was made.
 9
10
11
                     MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you, Mr.
12
    Chair. I enjoyed being here with everybody and it's
13
     sure a lot more fun than sitting by your phone and just
14
    eating all the time.
15
16
                     (Laughter)
17
18
                     MR. WRIGHT: So, Gunalcheesh.
19
20
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank.
21
    Well, you do get more bathroom breaks, so I will say
22
    that.
23
24
                     (Laughter)
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, anybody
27
    else.
28
29
                     Louie.
30
31
                     MR. WAGNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
32
    It's a good experience. A little different on this
     side than sitting back there so thanks again.
33
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Okay,
36
    Mike.
37
38
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chair, move to
39
    adjourn.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: A second.
42
43
                     MR. WAGNER: Second.
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. The fall
45
46
    meeting of the Southeast RAC is now adjourned.
47
48
                     MS. PERRY: Everyone in favor say aye.
49
```

```
0525
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, sorry, we do
 1
 2
   have to vote -- everybody in favor say aye.
 4
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, anybody
 7
    opposed.
 8
9
                    MR. WRIGHT: No.
10
11
                    (Laughter)
12
13
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, meeting
14
    adjourned.
15
16
                    (Off record)
17
18
                     (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0526	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
4 5	STATE OF ALASKA)
6	STATE OF ADASKA
7	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
8	state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
9	Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
10	
11	THAT the foregoing pages numbered through
12	contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
13	SOUTHEAST FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
14	MEETING, VOLUME III taken electronically on the 27th
15 16	day of October;
17	THAT the transcript is a true and
18	correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
19	thereafter transcribed by under my direction and
20	reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
21	ability;
22	
23	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
24 25	party interested in any way in this action.
26	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 18th
27	day of November 2022.
28	
29	
30	
31	Salena A. Hile
32 33	Notary Public, State of Alaska
34	My Commission Expires: 09/16/26
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42 43	
43	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	