```
0001
 1
                   SOUTHEAST ALASKA SUBSISTENCE
 2
                    REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
                            VOLUME I
 7
 8
 9
10
                          TELECONFERENCE
11
                          March 16, 2021
12
                            9:00 a.m.
13
14
15
16
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
17
18
   Donald Hernandez, Chair
19 Larry Bemis
20
    Calvin Casipit
    Michael Douville
21
22
    Albert Howard
23
   Ian Johnson
24
   Harvey Kitka
25
    Cathy Needham
26
   Harold Robbins
27 Robert Schroeder
28
    James Slater
29
    Frank Wright
30
31
32
33
    Regional Council Coordinator, DeAnna Perry
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
    Recorded and transcribed by:
42
43
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
44
    135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2
45
    Anchorage, AK 99501
46
    907-227-5312/sahile@gci.net
47
48
49
50
```

0002	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Teleconference - 3/16/2021)
4 5	(On record)
6	` '
7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thanks DeAnna. Yeah, so I don't know if there's anybody who would like to do an invocation, I haven't heard of anybody but if there is anybody who would like to, now would be the time to volunteer.
13	(No comments)
14	
15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I'm not hearing anybody step forward so I'll call the meeting to order. And I will ask our present Secretary who is Frank Wright if you could do the roll call, and Frank do you have the list of all the newly appointed members there before you.
21	
22	MR. WRIGHT: Yes, I do.
23 24 25	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good, if you want to call the roll.
26 27	MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Calvin Casipit.
28 29	(No comments)
30 31	MR. WRIGHT: Cal Casipit.
32 33	MD CACIDIE, Home Col Cocinit IIm
34	MR. CASIPIT: Here. Cal Casipit, I'm here.
35	nord.
36	MR. WRIGHT: Okay.
37	
38	Michael Douville.
39	
40	MR. DOUVILLE: Mike Douville's here.
41	
42	MR. WRIGHT: James Slater.
43	
44	MR. SLATER: Here. I'm here.
45	MD EDTCHE Del Celevele
46	MR. WRIGHT: Bob Schroeder.
47 48	MR. SCHROEDER: Bob Schroeder's here.
49	rm. bonnobben. bob benioedel 5 nele.
50	

0003	
1 MR. WRIGHT: Albert Howard.	
2	
3 MR. HOWARD: Albert's here. 4	
5 MR. WRIGHT: Don Hernandez.	
6 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Here.	
8	
9 MR. WRIGHT: Harold Robbins.	
10 11 MR. ROBBINS: Here.	
12	
MR. WRIGHT: Harvey Kitka.	
14 15 MR. KITKA: Here.	
16 MR. KIIKA. Hele.	
17 MR. WRIGHT: Larry Bemis.	
18 19 MR. BEMIS: Here.	
19 MR. BEMIS: Here. 20	
MR. WRIGHT: Cathy Needham.	
22	
MS. NEEDHAM: Present.	
25 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chair, you have a	
26 quorum.	
27 28 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank yo	
28 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank yo 29 Frank.	J,
30	
MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.	
32 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnn	a
34	٦.
MS. PERRY: Thanks. I didn't hear I	
Johnson's name called. I just wanted to check and s if she's online.	ee
38	
	an
40 Johnson.	
41 42 (No comments)	
43	
44 MR. WRIGHT: I think I talked to	
yesterday and he said he was going to be late, he ha some other meeting until about 9:30 or something lik	
47 that he said.	_
48	
49 MS. PERRY: Okay, thanks, Frank.	
50	

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Yeah, so
thank you, Frank for that. We do have a quorum. So we
can get the meeting started. Thank you all for being
able to attend another teleconference meeting.
Unfortunately we have to do this again but appreciate
everybody being available.

We'll start the meeting with some introductions. I don't know if anybody has a welcome prepared seeing's how we're meeting over the phones this time. DeAnna, do we have anybody that wants to do a welcome to start the meeting or should we go into introductions?

MS. PERRY: I would just check to see if Regional Forester and Federal Subsistence Board Member Dave Schmid has joined us yet.

MR. SCHMID: Yes, hi, good morning, DeAnna. Hi, Mr. Chairman. I am on until 10:30 so looking forward to at least catching the very front end of the meeting, thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Great. Thank you, Dave. We'll be hearing from you right after we get through the initial introductions. So, yeah, good to hear you on. So let's just, you know, briefly, see who is on the line and at the meeting. We can't see everybody but we will get introductions from Staff and find out who's here and then the Council members can each maybe introduce themselves. For the Council members, especially the folks that are new to the meetings, we'll just do kind of a brief introduction, you know, saying who you are, where you live, any information about yourself and then later on, shortly this morning, we'll get into Council member's reports and that's the opportunity for you to tell us all what's going on in your communities and regions.

So we'll start with the introductions of -- maybe we'll do the Federal Staff that are with us first, and we can't really go around the room in this venue, so I guess I'll just have to ask people to kind of start shouting out and tell us who's online and what you do and we'll get everybody accounted for.

So if somebody wants to.....

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna.

0005	
1	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:start us off
2	that'd be great.
3	
4	MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.
5	
6	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnna.
7	
8	MS. PERRY: Would it be easier to just
9	take a roll call of Staff and those that I might have
10	missed can speak up instead of several people speaking
11	at once?
12	
13	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If you have a list
14	there, that'd be great, DeAnna.
15	MG DEDDW OL THILL T
16	MS. PERRY: Okay. I think I have a
17 18	partial list anyway. So I've kind of captured a list
19	of Staff and if you could just let me know you're on we're preserving this, again, for our administrative
20	record.
21	iecolu.
22	Do we have Wayne Owen on the phone.
23	bo we have wayne owen on the phone.
24	MR. OWEN: Yes, you do, and good
25	morning to the RAC.
26	
27	MS. PERRY: Thank you, Wayne.
28	
29	Brie Darr.
30	
31	(No comments)
32	
33	MS. PERRY: Greg Risdahl.
34	
35	MR. RISDAHL: Good morning, DeAnna.
36	Members of the Council. Glad to be here.
37	MC DEDDY. C
38	MS. PERRY: Good morning.
39	Coott Chulen
40 41	Scott Shuler.
41	MR. SHULER: Yes, DeAnna, Scott
43	Shuler's here. District Ranger, Prince of Wales Island
44	and thank you for having me today.
45	and chain you for having me coday.
46	MS. PERRY: Thank you, Scott.
47	inc. 121111. India jou, cocci.
48	Delilah Brigham.
49	3 • •
50	

```
0006
 1
                    (No comments)
 2
                     MS. PERRY: Terry Suminski.
 3
 4
 5
                     MR. SUMINSKI: Good morning everyone.
 6
     This is Terry Suminski. I'm the Subsistence Program
 7
    Manager for the Tongass National Forest. And welcome
     to the new Council members and some good friends. So
 8
 9
     thank you.
10
11
                     MS. PERRY:
                                 Thanks, Terry.
12
13
                     Rob Cross.
14
15
                     (No comments)
16
17
                     MS. PERRY: Jake Musslewhite.
18
19
                     MR. MUSSLEWHITE: Yeah, yeah, good
20
    morning, folks. It's Jake Musslewhite, Fish Biologist
21
    up in Juneau.
22
23
                     MS. PERRY: Good morning, Jake.
24
25
                     Susan Oehlers.
26
27
                     MS. OEHLERS: Yes, good morning.
    is Susan Oehlers in Yakutat.
28
29
30
                     MS. PERRY: Good morning, Susan.
31
32
                     And Justin Koller.
33
34
                     (No comments)
35
36
                     MS. PERRY: Gregory Dunn.
37
38
                     MR. DUNN: Here -- oh, good morning,
39
     everybody, this is Greg Dunn, Sitka.
40
41
                     MS. PERRY: Thanks, Greg. Greg is
42
     actually running all of the Teams behind the scenes, so
43
     really grateful to Greg for making time in his next
44
     three days to do that for us.
45
46
                     Kyle Rosendale.
47
48
                     (No comments)
49
```

```
0007
 1
                     MS. PERRY: Okay. Those were all folks
     from the Forest Service and you'll be hearing from most
 2
     of those later on during our meeting. I'll now go out
 4
     to OSM Staff.
 5
 6
                     Sue Detwiler.
 7
 8
                     (No comments)
 9
10
                     MS. PERRY: Katya Wessels.
11
12
                     (No comments)
13
14
                     MS. PERRY: Brent Vickers.
15
16
                     MR. VICKERS: Yes, good morning. This
17
     is Brent Vickers. I am the new Cultural
18
     Anthropologist. I'll be representing the leadership
     team today. Good morning to everyone on the Council,
19
20
     the public, and Federal servants.
21
22
                     Thank you.
23
24
                     MS. PERRY: Good morning, Brent.
25
26
                     Pippa Kenner.
27
28
                     MS. KENNER: Good morning.
29
30
                     MS. PERRY: Good morning, Pippa.
31
    Anthropologist at OSM. George Pappas.
32
33
                     MR. PAPPAS: Hey, good morning. I'm
34
     currently the Acting Fisheries Division lead for a
35
     detail here until mid-summer, and still the liaison to
36
     the State. Good morning.
37
38
                     MS. PERRY: Good morning, George.
39
40
                     Steve Fadden.
41
42
                     (No comments)
43
44
                     MS. PERRY: Tom Kron.
45
46
                     (No comments)
47
                     MS. PERRY: Okay. Those are the folks
48
49
     I had for possible Office of Subsistence Management.
```

0008	
1	Joshua Ream with the National Park
2	Service will be joining us on Wednesday and Thursday.
4	Pat Petrivelli from Bureau of Indian
5 6	Affairs, Pat, are you on?
7	MS. PETRIVELLI: Yes, I am, good
8	morning. And I'm the Anthropologist for Bureau of
9	Indian Affairs and I work out of Anchorage.
10	
11	MS. PERRY: Good morning, thanks, Pat.
12	And is there any other Office of Subsistence Management
13	Staff that I did not call.
14	
15	MR. LIND: (In Native) Good morning,
16 17	everybody, Chair, Council members. This is Orville Lind, Native Liaison for the Office of Subsistence
18	Management.
19	ranagement.
20	MS. PERRY: Good morning, Orville.
21	
22	MR. LIND: Good morning.
23	
24	MR. FOLEY: Good morning, DeAnna.
25	Members of the Council. This is Kevin Foley, Office of
26	Subsistence Management.
27	MC DEDDY, Cood manning Varia
28 29	MS. PERRY: Good morning, Kevin.
30	Okay, I'll move on to the State. Do we
31	have Ben Mulligan online with us today.
32	navo zon nazizgan onizino mion ao obaa,.
33	MR. MULLIGAN: Yes, ma'am. Ben
34	Mulligan, Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
35	
36	MS. PERRY: Good morning, Ben. Glad
37	you could join us.
38	The short Med Devel
39 40	How about Mark Burch.
41	MR. BURCH: Good morning. Mark Burch,
42	Department of Fish and Game.
43	beparement of fibriana dame.
44	MS. PERRY: Good morning.
45	
46	Tom Schumacher.
47	
48	(No comments)
49	
50	

```
0009
 1
                     MS. PERRY: Ryan Scott.
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     MS. PERRY: Troy Thynes.
 6
 7
                     (No comments)
 8
 9
                     MS. PERRY: David Harris.
10
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
                     MS. PERRY: Grant Hagerman.
14
15
                     (No comments)
16
17
                     MS. PERRY: Andrew Olson.
18
19
                     (No comments
20
                     MS. PERRY: Robbin Dublin.
21
22
23
                     (No comments)
24
25
                     MS. PERRY: Robert Chadwick.
26
27
                     MR. CHADWICK: Yes, this is -- good
28
     morning. Robert Chadwick, Sportfish Division.
29
     Regional Management Coordinator. I'll be available for
30
     questions at 1:00 o'clock is my understanding.
31
32
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, thanks, Robert. I do
33
    have -- let's see folks from the Alaska Department of
34
     Fish and Game on for 1:00 o'clock, but I know at one of
35
    my last meetings folks were on a little early so I just
36
     wanted to see if you were on yet. But, yeah, we do
37
    have you slated for 1:00 o'clock.
38
39
                     MR. CHADWICK: No, thank you. I just
40
     wanted to make sure my audio was okay. Am I coming
41
     through loud and clear?
42
43
                     MS. PERRY: You are, Robert, thank you.
44
45
                     MR. CHADWICK: Again, thank you, and
46
     good morning.
47
48
                     MS. PERRY: Good morning.
49
```

0010	
1	And Lauren Sill, I think I see you on
2	Teams, you've joined us today?
3	
4	MS. SILL: I have, good morning.
5	
6	MS. PERRY: Good morning. Okay, as far
7	as Staff, Federal or State Staff, is there anyone who I
8	didn't call. Again, we're just capturing all the names
9	for our administrative record.
10	
11	MS. CELLARIUS: Hi, DeAnna. This is
12	Barbara Cellarius with Wrangell-St.Elias National Park
13	and Preserve. I'm the Subsistence Coordinator. Good
14	morning, Council members.
15	
16	MS. PERRY: Hi, Barbara.
17	MO DIODDY II' Mil's 's II's sais
18	MS. FLOREY: Hi. This is Victoria
19 20	Florey, National Park Service here in Anchorage.
21	MS. PERRY: Good morning, Victoria.
22	MS. FERRI. GOOD MOINING, VICCOITA.
23	MR. DURMISH: Good morning. This is
24	Adam Durmish with Glacier Bay National Preserve. I'm
25	in Yakutat.
26	In Taxacac.
27	MS. PERRY: Good morning, Adam.
28	110 · 1211111 · 000 a moznizing, 11dam
29	(Pause)
30	
31	MS. PERRY: Any other Staff members.
32	
33	MR. CROSS: Yeah, good morning. This
34	is Rob Cross. I was dealing with some technical
35	difficulties. But I'm a Fish Biologist with the U.S.
36	Forest Service on the Tongass National Forest. Good
37	morning everybody.
38	
39	MS. PERRY: Thanks, Rob.
40	
41	Any other Staff.
42	
43	(No comments)
44	MO DEDDY. OL M. L. T
45	MS. PERRY: Okay. Next I would like to
46	see if we have members of the public or tribal members
47 48	with us.
49	(Teleconference interference -
50	(leteconterance intertained -
50	

```
0011
     participants not muted)
 2
 3
                     MS. PERRY: And if so I'll ask you to
 4
     go ahead and identify yourself so that, again, we can
 5
     show that on our public administrative record.
 6
 7
                     MS. ANDERSON: Good morning. This is
 8
    Marina Anderson with the Organized Village of Kasaan.
 9
10
                     MS. PERRY: Good morning.
11
12
                     MS. RILEY: This is Katie Riley with
13
    the Sitka Conservation Society.
14
15
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Katie.
16
17
                     MR. SANDERSON:
                                      Hello. This is Keenan
18
     Sanderson. I'm with the Ketchikan Indian Community.
19
     I'm the Indigenous Food Sovereignty Coordinator.
20
21
                     MS. PERRY: Good morning, Keenan.
22
23
                     MS. SCHLICHTING: This is Sally
24
     Schlichting with the Southeast Alaska Conservation
25
     Council.
26
27
                     MS. PERRY: Good morning, Sally.
28
29
                     MS. EATON: Good morning. This is
30
     Judith Eaton, Executive Tribal Secretary from
31
    Metlakatla Indian Community with Mayor Atkinson,
32
     Reginald M. Atkinson.
33
34
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you for that Judy --
35
     or Judith, rather. I'm glad you guys could join us.
36
37
                     MS. EATON:
                                Thank you.
38
39
                     MS. BAUSCHER: Good morning. This is
    Heather Bauscher with the Sitka Conservation Society.
40
     I'm also now the Chair of the Sitka AC. So thanks for
41
42
     the invite to the ACs and attendance as well.
43
44
                     MS. PERRY: Good to hear from you
45
     Heather.
46
47
                     MR. FELDPACH: Good morning. This is
48
     Jeff Feldpach with the Sitka Tribe.
49
```

```
0012
 1
                     MS. PERRY: Good morning, Jeff.
 2
 3
                     MR. EDWARDS: Larry Edwards, Sitka
 4
    Alaska Rainforest Defenders.
 5
 6
                     MS. PERRY: I'm sorry, I didn't quite
 7
    get your name, could you repeat that for me please.
 8
 9
                     MR. EDWARDS: Larry Edwards.
10
11
                     MS. PERRY: Oh, Larry Edwards, okay.
12
    And you said Defenders of Wildlife, correct?
13
14
                     MR. EDWARDS: No. Alaska Rainforest
15
     Defenders.
16
17
                     MS. PERRY: Oh, Alaska Rainforest
18
     Defenders, okay. I can't quite write quick enough,
19
     thank you.
20
21
                     MR. KITKA: Harvey Kitka, Sitka
22
     Kaaqwaantaan.
23
24
                     MS. PERRY: Could you repeat your name
25
     for me again.
26
27
                     MR. KITKA: Harvey Kitka.
28
29
                     MS. PERRY: Oh, hi, Harvey.
30
31
                     MR. KITKA: Hi.
32
33
                     MS. PERRY: And anyone else from the
34
    public that has joined us.
35
36
                     MR. IRWIN: Hi. This is Bruce Irwin,
37
     Tanana Chiefs Conference, Hunting and Fishing Gathering
38
     Task Force.
39
40
                     MS. PERRY: Good morning, Bruce.
41
42
                     MR. IRWIN: Good morning.
43
44
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Bruce, my God.
45
46
                     MS. PERRY: And anyone else.
47
48
                     MS. DUBLIN: This is Robbin Dublin from
49
     the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of
50
```

```
0013
 1
     Subsistence.
 2
 3
                     MS. PERRY: Good morning, Robbin.
 4
 5
                     MS. DUBLIN: Good morning, DeAnna.
 6
 7
                     MS. PERRY: And any other Staff.
 8
 9
                     (No comments)
10
11
                     (Teleconference interference -
12
     participants not muted)
13
14
                     MS. PERRY: Okay. Mr. Chair, before I
15
     turn it back I would like to go over a few housekeeping
16
     items.
17
18
                     Just to remind everybody this is the
19
     Southeast Regional Advisory Council meeting. If you
20
     are following along with us, you know, usually we have
     these meetings in person and folks can go pick up a
21
22
    meeting book from the table but in the virtual world,
23
     the next best thing is to go to our website for the
24
    meeting book. The agenda and the meeting materials can
25
    be found at www.doi.gov/subsistence, and then go under
26
     the regions tab into Southeast and you'll be able to
27
     find the meeting book materials there.
28
29
                     We've opened up a videoconference
30
     through Microsoft Teams to help convey information,
31
     again, trying to fill that gap from in-person meetings.
32
     For the link to the videoconference, it has to be
33
     shared by email, it's again a link that you click on.
34
     Please call Office of subsistence Management at 1-800-
35
     478-1456 and they can send that link to you. Again,
36
     there is no audio on the Teams line, we are capturing
37
     all audio for our transcript on our dedicated phone
38
     line.
39
40
                     A request that we make to our folks who
41
     are dialing in or participating in Teams, please do not
42
     place any calls on hold, that's quite disruptive to the
43
     meeting. Sometimes it's music and sometimes it's.....
44
45
                     (Teleconference interference -
46
     participants not muted)
47
48
                     MS. PERRY:
                                 ....other sounds but
49
     nonetheless it's almost impossible for the court
```

reporter to take down what's being said over it. So if you need to step away, please do so, just go ahead and hang up and call right back in whenever you're ready. Again, please don't put us on hold.

Some of the lines have become a little unstable recently we've noticed so if you have a bad connection, again, just hang up and try calling back in again. We want to thank everybody in advance for helping us mitigate some of the distractions.

And I think lastly I would like to remind Staff, as well as the public, if you're participating on Teams, if you could turn your camera on, if you're comfortable with that. We do have some new Council members and it would be nice to put names with faces, and for Staff, especially if you're giving some type of report or addressing the Council, if you could remember, if you're on Teams, to go ahead and put your camera on, that would be wonderful too.

If you are participating and mostly listening, please remember to mute your phones, and that really goes for everyone. Mute your phones when you're not speaking. If you don't have a mute button, press star six. That will mute your phone. And when you're ready to come off mute, just star six again, that will take you off mute. Again, that helps minimize some of the cross talk and the disruptions in the meeting.

And I would ask, because we're doing this by visual and we don't have nice printed name plates, that when everybody introduces themselves or they're getting ready to speak, if the first time if you could make sure that you identify yourself and spell your name, that would greatly help out the court reporter.

So I just wanted to go over a few of those housekeeping items so to speak. I'd also like to welcome back our previous Council members, also our three new Council members and previous Council Member, Harvey Kitka. They'll all be introducing themselves again to you in Council member reports.

Mr. Chair, I think I've covered the basics and thank you all for your patience. I know this takes a bit of time but it does help in the longrun.

1 Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, DeAnna. And it sounds like we have a fair number of people from the public calling in this morning. For those folks, I'll let you know that we will be accepting public testimony this morning on any topic related to subsistence, not necessarily on our agenda. We do have to get through our initial business this morning plus we're going to have Regional Forester Dave Schmid talk to us first thing, but after we get through those items we will go to public comment. And for the remainder of the meeting, we start every day at 9:00 o'clock and we open the meeting with public comment so, you know, if you don't want to speak to us this morning, time's available tomorrow and Thursday first thing in the morning and time right after lunch break if that's convenient for people who want to testify.

DeAnna, a question for you. Normally, when we're meeting in person folks do submit cards saying that they want to testify, I don't know if you've heard from folks and have a list there, or I don't know if the people just need to be available online if they want to testify or should they notify you in advance.

MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I do have a few folks that did want to speak under agency reports but as far as those folks who identified themselves this morning, if they would like to let us know if they're going to provide any testimony and, again, that's either during the morning public comment and tribal comment period or if they're testifying on an agenda item, if they'd like to let us know I could start a list that we could work from for the rest of our meeting.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Hopefully that's helpful to everybody. So before we go to Dave Schmid, I think we should go to the Council to just briefly introduce themselves. And as I said just kind of say where you're from, any short information about yourself you want to share, and maybe I'll just go down the list here.

 $\ensuremath{\text{I}}$ will start with Cathy Needham, who is presently our Vice Chair.

```
0016
 1
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Good morning, everyone.
    This is Cathy Needham. And I am living back in Juneau.
 2
    And I spent a lot of time on Prince of Wales over the
    past year but I am actually happy to be home and
 5
     looking forward to this meeting for the next few days.
 6
 7
                     Thank you.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cathy.
10
11
                     How about our present Secretary, Frank
12
    Wright.
13
14
                     MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, good morning,
15
     everybody. My name is Frank Wright. I'm a commercial
    fisherman, subsistence user. Been a commercial
16
17
     fisherman for more than 50 years and subsistence user
18
     for all my life, I guess. Anyway I'm from Hoonah. And
19
     I can hardly wait to see what's going on in this world,
20
     I guess, it's a crazy world we're living in. All
21
     right, thank you, Mr. Chair.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank.
24
25
                     How about Mike Douville.
26
27
                     (No comments)
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are you there
29
30
    Mike.
31
32
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Yeah, you got me now?
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, got you, go
35
     ahead.
36
37
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Okay. Mike Douville. I
38
     live in Craig. I'm a member of the -- Council member
39
     of the Craig Tribal Association. Commercial fisherman,
40
     subsistence user, hunter, gatherer, trapper. It's nice
41
     to hear everybody and I'm happy we have a full Council,
42
     or nearly full. So good morning, all.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mike.
45
46
                     How about Harvey Kitka.
47
48
                     MR. KITKA: Thank you, Don. This is
49
     Harvey Kitka. I live in Sitka, Alaska. I'm a
```

```
0017
     spokesperson for the Sitka Kaagwaantaan. I have a
     Council seat on Sitka Tribe. Also I'm Chairman of the
 2
     herring committee. Subsistence user. Retired.
 4
 5
                     Thank you.
 6
 7
                     Good morning, everybody.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good morning,
10
    Harvey, and, thank you.
11
12
                     How about Albert Howard.
13
14
                     MR. HOWARD: Good morning, Mr.
15
    Chairman. My name's Albert Howard. I live in Angoon.
     I have a commercial salmon license. I've been a
16
17
     subsistence user my entire life. I'm not retired, I'm
18
     just tired.
19
20
                     (Laughter)
21
22
                     MR. HOWARD: I actually have an office
23
     job, first time in years because of Covid, I couldn't
24
    be a guide last summer and it doesn't look like I'm
25
     going to be this summer either.
26
27
                     Good morning, everyone.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good morning,
30
    Albert.
31
32
                     How about Bob Schroeder.
33
34
                     (No comments)
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are you there,
37
     Bob, this morning?
38
39
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Yes, is this working
40
    now?
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, we can hear
43
     you.
44
45
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Okay. This is Bob
     Schroeder. I'm a Juneau resident. I've had the honor
46
47
     and privilege of working with the Council, first in a
48
     professional capacity with years at Fish and Game, and
49
     then with the Forestry Science Lab, and then with
50
```

```
0018
 1
     Forest Management downtown in our region. I'm
    presently retired and frankly I'm enjoying being a
 2
    public member of the Council at least as much as I
 4
    enjoyed working with the Council in a professional
 5
     capacity.
 6
 7
                     Thank you, much, and I'm very pleased
 8
     that our appointments came through, we're back
 9
     together. And, in particular, that Mr. Kitka has been
10
     reappointed to our Council.
11
12
                     Thank you.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Bob.
15
16
                     How about Harold Robbins.
17
18
                     MR. ROBBINS: This is Harold Robbins
19
    here in Yakutat. I've lived in Yakutat for about the
     last 50 years. Subsistence user, commercial fisherman.
20
21
    We have a little family -- private family processor
    that we deck market fish, the salmon particularly.
22
23
    fish halibut and salmon. And we really, really enjoy
24
    the area. And we think subsistence is very special, so
25
     thank you.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Harold.
28
29
                     How about Larry Bemis, are you with us
30
    Larry.
31
32
                     MR. BEMIS: Yes, I am, good morning.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Larry.
35
36
                     MR. BEMIS: Yes. I'm just spent my
37
     winter trolling, it ended yesterday, things were just
38
     getting good, of course, when it closes. I wished we'd
39
    had a little more time. I'm a gillnetter, troller, and
     I'm also on the Yakutat Tlingit Tribe as a Councilman.
40
41
    And I've just been recently appointed to CBY City,
42
    Borough of Yakutat as an assemblyman. And I'm also
43
     currently on the AC Board. And I spend my time
     commercial fishing. I'm a subsistence hunter/gatherer.
44
45
    And I will start here next month, I'll be chartering
46
    April to August as a charter captain for a lodge here.
47
    And I am glad to be here this morning. I know I've
48
    missed a few meetings but it's usually either fishing
49
     or charter related.
```

0019 1 Thank you. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Larry. 4 5 Okay, now I'll get to our latest new 6 appointments to the Council. These are folks that are 7 joining the Council for the first time. And I'll call on you, Cal Casipit. 8 9 10 MR. CASIPIT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 Can you hear me okay? 12 13 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Got you fine, Cal. 14 15 MR. CASIPIT: Okay. Yes, my name is Calvin Casipit. I think most of the folks online on 16 17 the Council recognize the name. I was Staff for the 18 Council and the Federal Subsistence Board for many 19 years, all the way back to when we first implemented 20 the Katie John Rule back in 1999. Retired in 2015 from 21 the Forest Service. Have been living in Gustavus 22 since, a little community on Icy Straits. Was the 23 Mayor for a couple years, was on the city Council for 24 three years. This last go around I term limited myself 25 out and gives other people in town a chance to take 26 leadership roles in town. And looking forward to 27 working on the Council in a different capacity as a 28 public member. Getting to sit on the other side of the 29 table, now, I guess. 30 31 A little bit about myself. I hunt, I 32 fish, have family -- one of my daughters living here 33 with two of my grandsons so really enjoy living here 34 and I really enjoy the subsistence lifestyle and be 35 looking forward to work on the Council, so thanks. 36 37 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal, 38 and welcome to the Council. 39 40 How about James Slater. 41 42 MR. SLATER: Hi everyone. Jim Slater. 43 I live outside of Pelican with my wife I go by Jim. 44 and three children. They attend Pelican school so we 45 take an ocean ride in every morning to bring them to 46 school. We enjoy a subsistence lifestyle out there. 47 And feel very blessed to be living in a special place. 48 I'm a semi-retired engineer. I worked in, I guess, hi-49 tech for a number of years and then about 20 years ago

or so started winding down and still do occasional projects but really try to focus on where I live and doing things around our homestead.

It's a pleasure to be on the Council and I'm looking forward to trying to contribute and help the whole Southeast community in terms of subsistence issues.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{\text{How}}$$ about Ian Johnson, is Ian with us this morning yet.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sounds like Ian is still busy as Frank said he had another commitment this morning but hopefully we'll hear from Ian soon.

on the list.

Yeah, and, myself, I guess I'm the last

Don Hernandez. I live in Point Baker, which is on Prince of Wales Island. I've lived here for 35 years or so and have been a commercial fishermen, salmon and halibut for the last 40 years or so. Living here in Point Baker, fairly remote community, we're very dependent on subsistence harvest of fish and wildlife and wild plants and everything that's available to us. I guess I've been on the Council for 16 years now.

So, yeah, I think that wraps up the introductions. Like I said we will come back to the Council members for more detailed reports of what's going on around their communities shortly when we conduct a little more business. But right now, DeAnna, I think you said that Dave Schmid would be available first thing this morning so if we could hold off on the other -- I guess we're going to hold off on the other Council business until after Dave, is that my understanding.

MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair. If Mr. Schmid is still with us and would like to say a few

words to the Council to kick off our meeting that would be wonderful.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good. And just kind of reminder to maybe the new Council members, we will have an opportunity to ask Mr. Schmid questions after he gives a presentation, so if you have questions be thinking about that. So, okay, thank you very much DeAnna.

Dave Schmid, do you want to join us again.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SCHMID: Hi. Good morning, Mr. Chair, can you hear me okay.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes.

MR. SCHMID: All right. Hey, thank you all so much for inviting and accommodating me this morning here this morning here. I just really appreciate the opportunity to dialogue with some of you. And first and foremost want to really welcome new and old members back to the Council. I know that there were some challenges in the last year or so of getting our Councils across the state Staffed, and so I'm glad to see that and glad to see that folks are back together as well.

And just also really want to thank you all for your service. This is absolutely important work that you do on behalf of all of Alaska, but especially the rural residents here in Southeast Alaska.

For those of you that I haven't met, I think I've met most of you, some of them have only been by phone here, unfortunately here with Covid, I look forward, as I'm sure you all do to the day, and maybe by next fall we'll be able to meet again face to face. But a little bit of my background as well, I spent early in my career here with the Forest Service. I spent nearly 25 years working and living in rural Alaska. I worked as a fisheries biologist, and then also as a District Ranger in Southeast as well on Prince of Wales Island and so do bring some of that background and history with me. I returned here to serve as the Regional Forester just coming up on three years ago. It's been quite an honor and an opportunity

and a humbling experience, and challenging at times to serve as your Regional Forester as well as the Federal Subsistence Board member here for the Department of Agriculture.

I just had a couple of maybe real high level comments here and kind of where we're at today as opposed to maybe the last time I spoke to you and then really open it up for particular questions you might have and so, again, thank you for the opportunity and welcome to the Council.

I would start out by saying if you haven't realized, I think you all have, we had an election last November and an inauguration in January here and a new Administration. We're in the middle of that Administration, and like often times when we change Administrations some of our priorities shift a little bit. It seems like Alaska, it's more of a pendulum, and it at times swings a little harder than the rest of the country, but in many ways I think good here. There are some things that I would share that I think you probably are aware of as well but let me talk a little bit about that and where I kind of see ourselves.

As you know the Biden-Harris Administration has laid out four pretty major priorities and making a lot of headway in all of those and just to restate it's certainly around the pandemic, here Covid-19, we're seeing a lot of effort. It is feeling really good about being in Southeast Alaska here and our opportunities to get vaccinated and a lot of emphasis on some of the work we've done around Covid.

The economy is critically important here and especially in this part of the world. I know many of you all live and practice a subsistence lifestyle.....

(Line dropped)

MR. SCHMID:and our landscapes and so we'll be seeing more on those four priorities.

And with that, as you know, the President has signed several Executive Orders and there's a few of them, I'll just highlight, that really

have an affect here on Southeast Alaska, I think, and in Alaska, and with the Forest Service and other Federal lands and how we manage and if you haven't, I won't get into detail, but a 30by30, really looking at how we address that and 30 -- conserving and restoring 30 percent of some of our Federal landscapes here by the year 2030. There are Executive Orders around climate and climate change and how we're going to proactively start addressing some of those. And, again, as I spoke before on racial equality and really looking at how we might really focus some of our priorities and our capacity around a lot of our under served populations and under served communities.

So that's kind of at the national level. And part of that transition we have a new Secretary, he is in the Department of Agriculture, Secretary Vilsack. Secretary Vilsack served for all eight years of the Obama Administration, so while he's new, he's not so new, and he knows the Forest Service and he actually knows Alaska really well. In the Department we -- as an agency rest in the Department of Agriculture.

And a couple of things that have happened right away. I know last week that there were a number of consultation requests and other petitions that have gone unanswered from Alaska and one of the first actions by Secretary Vilsack was to consult and invite consultation with tribes across the country, nationally and we did that together and he offered that opportunity last week. Again, it was virtual, and through videoconferencing but really opened the door. He's also met with our agency. So that's happening.

And just a couple more things here.

I would mention that our program, and without going into a lot of details, as with any change in Administration, typically the Departments and folks will take a look at and do a review of all of the environmental regulation, environmental decisions that were happening right before and right during the transition, and so this Administration has paused for about 60 days all of our environmental decisions and actions that we had coming forward with an opportunity to review. That 60 day review should conclude here about the end of March. And in specific to Southeast and specific to Alaska, in addition to that review

there was -- by the President, sent out, looking at environmental reviews with each agency and within the Forest Service and actually the Department of Ag, the only big review was the Alaska Roadless Rule. And so they have been taking a very close look at that as well. And so we expect to hear things in the very near future.

As part of -- I'll speak just a little bit about the Alaska Roadless Rule. I know this is a topic we have discussed together and certainly over the last two and a half years. There was a lawsuit brought forward against that, and currently the judge has stayed that lawsuit so it's essentially in a holding pattern while this review is going on, and with the agreement that there would be no action taken as far as projects that would rely upon the outcome of that ruling. So there are no projects currently being undertaken within the areas that would have been exempted from the 2001 Roadless Rule. I expect to hear decisions on that relatively soon, by the end of the month. I don't know exactly what that's going to look like but we expect to hear that very soon.

That rule is also subject, some of you that are familiar, it's called the Congressional Review Act, and those are decisions that happen at the very end of one Administration, that Congress has an opportunity to look at and to review and actually make some decisions if they so choose. And so that is also available for Congress to take a look at. But, again, I expect to hear something on that.

And I also -- I spoke a little bit about some of the consultation, and I know certainly interest here in Southeast, there were two petitions to the Secretary, one was called the Homelands Petition that was put forth, and the other was from the Longliners Association, and I expect while they did not -- they were not acknowledged in the last Administration or responded to in any formal way, I expect that also within the next couple of weeks coming from the Biden-Harris Administration and the Department of Agriculture.

So I'm going to pause there. I just kind of laid out a whole lot of stuff to folks. I would say, again, thank you for the opportunity to be here. I know some of your questions, if they get real

specific, we've got a lot of Staff on for this important Council meeting that can really address things at that very site specific level, but I'll be happy to take on any of your questions here. I've got certainly some time this morning and also, while my calendar's pretty full today, I'm open a lot tomorrow, and I'm hoping to join you for more of the meeting.

So with that, Mr. Chairman, I'll turn it back, that was a lot real quick, and hopefully we sparked a few questions that you may have.

So, Don, back to you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, Dave. Yeah, that was quite extensive. We're going to see changes I think here in the next few years. So appreciate you filling us in on what's in the works. Probably will have questions from Council members.

One thing I did want to ask first, do we have any major changes in the upper levels of the Forest Service back in D.C., or are people pretty much in place from the previous Administration?

MR. SCHMID: Yeah, that's a good question, Don. You know I talked about Secretary Vilsack as well. In the last Administration we used to be housed, it's called the Natural Resource and Environment, that's a sub-cabinet of the Department of Ag, we were in there with the Natural Resource Conservation Service NRCS, and they were split out. They moved over to join in another. So the Forest Service is the only agency within the Natural Resources and Environment. And our former, and will be returning Deputy Chief, Chris French, I know some of you have met, he's no stranger to Southeast, he's been serving in that capacity at the Department as the Acting Under Secretary, and he's been reporting to Robert Bonnie, who is also in the Department who was the -- not -also very familiar with Alaska, Robert was the Under Secretary during the last Administration. All of the other Forest Service, our Chief is a career senior executive position, it's not a politically appointed position, and so all of the rest of our senior leaders are still in place. So Chief Vicky Christianson remains the Chief, and Angela Coleman is our Associate Chief, and I'm also career so I'm still here as the Regional Forester.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, great, thank you for that Dave. So questions from other Council members. I'll just ask for a shout out, if anybody is ready to go.

MR. KITKA: Don, this is Harvey Kitka.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey.

MR. KITKA: I have a question.
Basically it has to do, not with subsistence or
anything like that, it has to do with -- maybe a little
bit of it, being a Veteran, a Vietnam Veteran, we just
became eligible for lands in Alaska but the Forest
Service says that we can't take anything in the
National Forest in Southeast Alaska, which covers

MR. SCHMID: Uh-huh.

almost all of Southeast.

MR. KITKA: My question would be to, why can't Veterans put in for the land allotments that are open that belonged to our families that were -- they're still open on the desk someplace in Washington. Is it possible for somebody to help us get our lands back to our subsistence way of life, because these were our summer homes where we subsisted and gathered our foods for the winter. So that's my question.

MR. SCHMID: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Kitka, and I was very pleased to see you were reappointed to the Board, you're long history and support here has been amazing.

So it was not the Forest Service that said no, or objected, when Congress passed the bill that provided for that opportunity, and, again, these were folks that -- tribal folks certainly that were serving in Vietnam when the opportunities to get land and their homestead sites available and when Congress specifically exempted the Forest Service in the last bill that provided for that, and so that was not currently an option. I heard Mr. Kitka, from you and certainly from many other leaders and tribal members in Southeast that they felt that that was not appropriate that they were excluded from Forest Service lands. I know that our Delegation and Senator Murkowski has been working on that and that's the place that we would need to get that decision changed. That was not an agency

level decision, Mr. Kitka, or an administrative, that was part of the bipartisan effort to pass that bill. And for whatever reasons the Forest Service was not included on that, both the Chugach and the Tongass. So I certainly understand your interest and others concerns and that's something that would need to be solved and likely with the leadership with our Alaska Delegation in Congress.

MR. KITKA: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:} Thank \ you, \ \text{Harvey.}$ Any other Council members want to ask Dave questions.

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.

MR. KITKA: One more question, Don.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I hear Frank, go ahead, Frank, and then somebody else as well. Frank.

MR. WRIGHT: Okay. I was just wondering what exactly does -- you mentioned something about tribal consultation with the tribes so I'm just curious of what kind of consultation does the Forest Service do.

Thank you.

MR. SCHMID: Yeah, thank you as well. And so the Forest Service, we have certainly as a Federal agency, trust responsibilities and consultation with tribes is different than how we interact with other partners, folks, stakeholders, the agency, and so -- and so tribes, and we certainly consider are sovereign nations, and so as we make decisions and before we make decisions in the agency we have an obligation and a responsibility to consult with the tribal -- the Federally-recognized tribes, and in Alaska corporations as well, that before we make that decision, an opportunity to listen and hear what the interests are and move forward. And so we have a whole -- how should I say, policies around Federal and tribal consultation. What I was speaking to specifically was that typically that consultation occurs where the decisions are being made, at the level, and so the Forest Service here in Southeast Alaska, we have several District Rangers, they're called a line officer, but they're a decisionmaker on a piece of

landscape and often times the tribes will be working and consulting with that District Ranger. At the Forest level, those decisions, Earl might be on here today, he's currently -- Earl Stewart's the Forest Supervisor on the Tongass. And then I am the Regional Forester.

And so most of the things that happen in the region would occur here.

 There were decisions that were being made at the Secretary level, the rulemaking decision around the Alaska Roadless Rule, and so the tribes had requested that. There was some consultation with the Under Secretary who represents the Secretary as well here, but that certainly didn't, at least in the eyes of many tribes and -- felt like it met the intent around formal consultation.

So, again, back, it's an opportunity to work as we would with State governments but other nations as Federally-recognized tribes. And so we do that, we consult with the -- also with the Councils and the Federal Subsistence Board. Orville's on here, he could certainly speak to that if there are more questions, maybe even a bit more eloquently than I can, but we do offer consultation ahead of any Board decisions as well that would be affecting tribes.

Thank you.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Hey, Dave, this is Melinda, do you mind if I jump in real quick, do you mind?

MR. SCHMID: Please do, Melinda.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Hi. Hi everybody, this is Melinda Hernandez Burke, Tribal Relations Specialist for Region 10 U.S. Forest Service. Just wanted to do a quick follow up there.

The consultation that took place last week was at the Department level invited by Secretary Vilsack's office of tribal relations, and my understanding was that because of the amount of folks that signed up that there was going to be a followup session. So President Wright, if there was not an opportunity for your tribe to participate or if there's

0029 request for followup, as soon as I find out the details I'll be sending those to all tribes here in Alaska. 2 4 Gunalcheesh, thank you. 5 6 MR. SCHMID: Yeah, thanks, Melinda. 7 8 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Melinda good to 9 hear from you this morning. Frank, any followup. 10 11 MR. WRIGHT: No, I got it. Thank you. 12 13 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks, 14 Frank. I heard somebody else with a question earlier, 15 so anybody else go ahead. 16 17 MR. KITKA: Don, do you hear me? 18 19 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep, go ahead. 20 21 MR. KITKA: Yeah, this is Harvey Kitka 22 again. I had a followup on that consultation. 23 Realizing what I see as consultation, it seems to be 24 not what the Federal government sees as consultation 25 most of the time, or even any other governments. To 26 have a real true consultation is when projects are 27 given to the Forest Service to look at, they should 28 actually be given to the tribe at the same time and 29 then we can have a two-way conversation on it. When it 30 goes to the Forest Service and then it comes to the 31 tribe later, then it's not really true consultation. 32 They have basically things that are already set in 33 place and starting to work on the process. I think 34 that if we could have really true consultation where 35 it's face to face and talk, and started right at the 36 start of the projects, instead of after the projects 37 already in place and starting, it seems like we're 38 always following up on something that's already started 39 and it makes it tough for us to -- it's kind of like an 40 uphill climb. 41 42 Thank you. 43 44 MR. SCHMID: Yeah, thank you, Mr. 45 Kitka, again, I couldn't agree more. And I would say 46 that we have been remiss at times and we -- looking as 47 a learning organization, this is something that we are 48 really trying to improve. You're absolutely right it

needs to be predecisional, and at times we seem to be

49

behind the curve. But been working with our Rangers, working with folks here as well and myself included to do just as you've requested and I agree consultation is — it is predecisional, it's at the very beginning, it's how you form that. So I hope and pledge to do better here as we move forward, Mr. Kitka, because you're right.

MR. KITKA: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Harvey. Other Council members with a question.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ HOWARD: This is Albert Howard, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My concern is with Greens Creek and the last tailings expansion we dealt with the Forest Service through, or what they called consulting with the tribe and it was a teleconference and the feeling I got, being a part of that process, was that it didn't matter what I said, that the person that's representing the Forest Service on the phone always referred back to Greens Creek like he was an employee of Greens Creek.

MR. SCHMID: Hmm.

MR. HOWARD: And so I had asked for a recording of that meeting and I received an email that stated that the recording was too long, they couldn't send it to me and they'll find a way to send it to me through the mail or on a jump drive. I would like to encourage someone higher up in the Forest Service to actually take a listen to that meeting and decide for yourself what you see and what you hear.

A lot of consulting and consultation with the tribe over Greens Creek over the past several years, and I'm the former President of the tribe so I kind of understand it and the impact of what they're doing has on our subsistence. And we're not asking to close the mine, we're just asking to mine responsibly.

MR. SCHMID: Uh-huh. Uh-huh.

MR. HOWARD: And not to have an impact

on our way of life here in Angoon. I do a lot of research, probably enough to drive my family crazy because they'd rather see me out fishing and hunting with them but they're old enough to do it on their own now so I have more time to read. They didn't want to do the Section .810, they said they weren't required to do it, when, in fact, they were moving the power lines from one part of the tailings pond to the other and asking for an additional five acres. To me, in my mind, that qualifies as needing a Section .810, and they were also moving the road to the other side of the tailings pile. I think it's important for -- I know there was a report given that all of Southeast Alaska salmon goes by Greens Creek, what happens when our salmon fry go by there, when they hit that mixing zone. Do they perish. I don't think anybody has an answer. What about the adult salmon when they come back from the ocean and hit Hawk Inlet and go north and south, what happens to them then. These are -- this impacts everyone, this just isn't Angoon.

MR. SCHMID: Uh-huh.

MR. HOWARD: And I can't help wonder if that's what's happening to all our salmon. So I'd like to encourage you to find the recording of that and ask yourself, is this true consultation, or is this someone's interpretation of consultation and they're going to go ahead and allow the tailings expansion anyway. It's hard for me to sit here and look out the window and remember as a teenager what used to be out here in Chatham Straits isn't here anymore.

MR. SCHMID: Uh-huh.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ HOWARD: So I have to ask myself, am I going to sit here and allow that to happen or am I going to continue pushing this rock up the hill.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MR. SCHMID: Thank you for that comment. I will have to look into that and I will, as well, in terms of the consultation and whatever was recorded and whether that meets what our expectations would be around that as well. So I'll have -- I will look into that and follow up with you all directly.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Dave.

Any other Council members with a question for Mr. Schmid.

2 3 4

MR. CASIPIT: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is Calvin Casipit. I just had a quick -- I just have a quick observation and quick question for Mr. Schmid.

6 7 8

5

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

MR. CASIPIT: You know I've heard the last couple speakers about, you know, the consultation process and what's expected and kind of expectations of the tribes and that consultation and what happens, that's real similar to an experience as I had as Mayor in my little community here when we started talking about the Roadless Rule. First of all I wanted to say that Gustavus is an important community for the Tongass, we do do quite a bit of subsistence on the Tongass National Forest even though most people associate us with Glacier Bay National Park, most of us do our food gathering on the Forest. So I just want to make that abundantly clear that we are a community of the Tongass and the Tongass is important to us. And some of those public -- .810 and the public hearing we had here in town a couple years ago, I didn't really feel like that had been incorporated into the thinking of the people that were here talking to us. It's almost like we were a border community and that we, you know, anyway that's the feeling I got.

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

The other thing, too, you know, and I agree -- I kind of understand why this happened because of the nature of where the decision was being held, back in D.C., the decisionmaker was the Secretary of Agriculture so I understand that. But it's like our comments, our concerns and our issues, and I bet you this goes to every subsistence community in Southeast, we were pretty much ignored. There was no addressing of the comments that came from the community to that proposed rule. I'm really glad that our tribal partners sued and I'm really glad that, you know, this is under review, but, my gosh, and this goes back to where I started from, when you have a consultation, when you have a public meeting, when you have a .810 hearing in these communities, people expect that you're going to at least listen and there's going to be at least something at the end that says we heard this, we considered this and this is why we didn't go that way, or something like it. Some sort of explanation. And I

just don't see that a lot, and I think that contributes to this mistrust, this missed expectations -- these missed expectations, both with tribes and communities like Gustavus that don't have a tribe.

5 6

But, anyway, that's all I had. It's more of an observation than a question.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

You know, I would hope that with the new Administration, will approve that level of coordination, communication and even though the decisionmaker might not go the way the tribe wants or the community wants, at least there's a discussion of why they didn't go that way.

14 15 16

Anyway, that's all I had.

17 18

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any response,

Dave, or....

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

MR. SCHMID: Yeah, I guess I didn't hear a question there but I would try and put some of that -- thanks, Cal, I appreciate your comments, and believe me I heard many of that loud and clear. I quess I would like to start with folks here on the call and places to, one, understand, that, you know, as an agency and I know Cal and many others here, you know, worked for a variety of Administrations with different priorities, the Forest Service was directed to move forward with a rulemaking effort in Southeast and that the Staff here in the region, and the folks that came out did listen to folks, they did incorporate folks' comments and folks' concerns into the analysis and at the end of the day, one, I would say that the folks here, and the Staff are highly regarded civil servants and like you all serving on a Council, they have been serving members here as well. There are political decisions. Mr. Kitka talked about the, you know, the opportunity for Southeast Veterans, those are made in Congress. The decisions for the Roadless Rule were made at the Administration level at the President's desk and at the level of the Secretary. And in looking back and in looking forward, you know, I know that some of those decisions were made that certainly the Administration looked at the four highest elected officials in Alaska, our Delegation and our Governor that represent the people and maybe not clearly represent the interests of all of us on the phone here but that was a decision that was made and I would

actually just try to reassure folks that the Federal Forest Service employees that were out conducting .810 hearings and public meetings and listening had the highest integrity and did take that information, and that information was available to the folks that made a decision.

And so I hope I don't sound defensive, it's not a place that I think was helpful when we began the rulemaking effort here in Alaska, but, believe me, the Forest Service and, I, personally, have heard from all of the voices in Southeast Alaska that were affected by that and those were also articulated to the people that made that decision. So appreciate the comment, I appreciate the concern and certainly, hopefully didn't sound defensive and I do want to make it very clear where that level and that decision and that effort was being made.

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.

MR. SCHROEDER: Don, can I grab you

next.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I hear Frank and I think I heard Bob Schroeder. Frank, why don't you go ahead first.

MR. WRIGHT: Okay. You know I was around when the Hammond v Barton was around and I watched one of Murkowski's people come in to talk, to listen to the community and he was just sitting there with his legs crossed and I asked him, well, what can you -- what are you taking back with you and he said, oh, I got a good memory and I says, well, what did this old man say and he had no answer for that. Then I went to several meetings about the Forest Service and this Roadless Rule and pretty much everyone was against the change in the Roadless Rule. There were basically thousands of testimonies that were against it but it was kind of like we were just -- we were just being, you know, we went and had testimony and that was it, you know, so that's one of the reasons why a lot of the people don't trust that, you know, consultation should start the day, even talking about it, you know, so, you know, I've been around long enough to see that we go and we try but they say they hear us but they don't hear us.

So, thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank. Bob, you had a question. MR. SCHROEDER: Yes. And thanks very much for spending time with us Dave so we can grill you. MR. SCHMID: Yep. (Laughter) MR. SCHROEDER: But I think our last, just following up on what Mr. Wright was saying, some of us testified at the last -- our last input on Roadless from the Council that I remember was an OMB review. MR. SCHMID: Uh-huh.

MR. SCHROEDER: And you were on that one. And I think those of us who testified there were really clear that we much preferred having decisions made in the region, and not that we'll always agree and certainly not that we'll always win but we think that things should go that way.

I was thinking, you know, there's kind of a theme to some of the questions that have come before you and our Federal Subsistence Program has been a little bit of a test tube for certain things. We've had very many cooperative projects with tribes and communities throughout Southeast Alaska in the technical field of doing fisheries research and definitely most of the subsistence research that's been done in Southeast Alaska has been done with full cooperation and with tribes and communities, and these have been really successful. We also have, you know, kind of a longer standing example of some of the land management stuff that goes out in Admiralty, the National Monument, with Angoon, I think perhaps even Albert would agree with me, has been way better than not having Angoon intimately involved in management.

I think that our political situation right now may give us an opportunity in so many realms to think big. I also think that we have the opportunity to think big coming through the pandemic,

which completely roiled our society and turned things upside down. Things don't have to be the way they were yesterday. We don't have to go for some minor, incremental change that means that we have a slightly better meeting transcript or a slightly better procedure.

So I'm working -- you're probably wondering what my question here is, I'm going to get to it.

(Laughter)

MR. SCHROEDER: And I'm really wondering -- I'm really hoping that the opportunity is seised to really move to very serious co-management and tribal management of Federal lands and resources in Southeast Alaska. I think this is really the wave of the future to overcome the very clear deficits of the disenfranchisement, particularly of indigenous people in Southeast Alaska, in all of Alaska, and for that matter throughout our country.

I think some of the signs are there.

Appointing Deb Haaland as Interior Secretary is a completely amazing, particularly if you know that the proudest moment for Laguna Pueblo, her home community, is the part they played in the Pueblo revolt that completely removed the colonial government, at that time the Spanish Colonial Government, from the face of the earth in their territory.

So my question isn't about the Laguna Pueblo, it has to do with the direction Forest Service may be going to institute complete -- institute sharing of management responsibility with tribes and communities and to foster genuine comanagement and tribal communities. This obviously has major economic import for our region and could ultimately result in, I think, much better management of our land and resources.

And then I'll have a followup comment, thank you.

MR. SCHMID: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Schroeder. Well, I agree. I think there are areas that we are moving in whether we call it comanagement,

shared stewardship of these lands, but that effort is important. We have been doing a lot of cooperative work here in Southeast Alaska with some -- certainly with some tribal partners as well. I think our last big step in that direction, which is a very positive step, was signing an agreement with Central Council here, with Tlingit-Haida Council and the Forest Guardians, Indigenous Guardians effort to look just at that. We've began to hire Staff. And really looking at, and, again, it's setting -- it's shared decisionmaking on the landscape, it's shared prioritization of efforts and projects and I continue to see us on that path, and I also, as you mentioned, I think there is with -- as I began my opening remarks around some of the current priorities that I see us embarking us on and Executive Orders that will help guide some of these efforts is that the door is open for really enhancing that type of management in relationship, especially in Southeast Alaska.

MR. SCHROEDER: And just a quick more of a followup comment. We really look forward to working with you. As you know the Council was very strong on the Roadless Rule and we put a huge amount of energy into that and we do appreciate that Staff came to the Council repeatedly throughout the process. We didn't win. But -- and I think we beat up Staff pretty thoroughly, I hope we did, but we look forward to working with you on reinstating the Roadless Rule, which I believe is inevitable. And if it doesn't get reinstated, we may have a Pueblo Revolt in Southeast Alaska.

I also am really hoping that Forest Service takes seriously, as we do, two main comments in our Roadless Rule in addition to the protection of subsistence comments, that we really see the Tongass as that the highest and best use of the Tongass, in addition, and relate -- and along with subsistence is sequestration of carbon. We think that this is a national necessity. And we also called for a focus on restoring and rehabilitating the Tongass to its natural state.

And that's what I've got, thank you, so

 much.

MR. SCHMID: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Schroeder. And I believe that the planets are lining

up to move many of the things you've talked about forward here. So I welcome that opportunity.

Mr. Chair, I do have to jump over to another call right now but I certainly, if there are things that come up during the meeting that you'd like me to address, I do have quite a bit of time tomorrow that's flexible on my calendar and the next day I would be happy to come back and try and pick up if you've got a question or two that comes out of some your discussions and deliberations this week.

But I really thank you for the opportunity, Mr. Hernandez.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Dave, yeah, thank you very much. I did have one specific question for you, I don't know if you've got a couple minutes now or if you want to come back to us tomorrow.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SCHMID: Let's try it right now, Don.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I'm going to put you on the spot.}$

MR. SCHMID: Yep.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: You know in this review process, I don't know what level this review is happening, you could maybe inform us about that but I do want to make a specific request that all of the testimony and comments that the Council submitted in the previous rulemaking process is all put before whoever is involved in this review process. We want to make sure that all of our comments are available for them.....

MR. SCHMID: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:in this review and I'd like your assurance that that could happen.

MR. SCHMID: Uh-huh. I can do more than assurance. I know that they have all of those, Mr. Chair. And that review is happening as we speak. I've had input into it as well. But that's happening at the Department level with our Under Secretary --

Acting Under Secretary as well, and like I said they have heard loud and clear and some of those directly from -- in fact I believe that our Acting Under Secretary, he did attend a couple of those public 5 meetings and the RAC meeting in Ketchikan as well. And 6 so that -- I can more than assure you that the comments 7 that were transmitted officially as well as the information that was summarized out of the .810 hearings and the other comments are all part of that 10 record that is being reviewed.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good, thank you, Dave. I was fairly sure that would be your answer but I just wanted to make sure. So we really appreciate you taking the time to answer all of our questions and inform us on what's going on and I think we're kind of hearing from the Council members that we're optimistic that these next few years will be good for the rural residents of Southeast Alaska.

19 20 21

So thank you once again, appreciate your time.

22 23

24

25

26

MR. SCHMID: Thank you. I'm a glass is half full person so I think it's more than half full now looking forward. So thank you all and I'll be back with you tomorrow a bit just to eavesdrop, so, thanks.

27 28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

Thanks.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good. Thanks, Dave. Okay. So as far as the agenda goes here, I think this might be a good opportunity to

take a 15 minute break and when we come back we have our normal business to attend to of just, you know, reviewing and adopting the agenda, this is an election cycle so we have an election for officers, just review our previous minutes and approve those, and then we'll go to Council and Chair reports. And then there will be an opportunity for public comments if anybody from the public is still around at that time.

39 40 41

42

43

So let's take a 15 minute break, come back at 10:45 and DeAnna, I don't know if you have anything to add to that. I'm assuming we keep our lines open while we're on short breaks.

44 45 46

MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair.

47

48 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good. We will reconvene at 10:45.

49

0040		(Off record)
2		(On record)
4 5 6 7	checking to see getting back onl	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: It's 10:45, just if Council members and Staff are line here.
9		(Pause)
10 11 12	to take a quick	MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, do you want me roll of Council members.
13 14 15	a good idea DeAr	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That'd probably be
16 17 18	you back on.	MS. PERRY: Okay. Frank Wright, are
19 20		MR. WRIGHT: Yes, I'm here.
21		MS. PERRY: Thank you.
23 24		Cal Casipit.
25 26		MR. CASIPIT: Here.
27 28		MS. PERRY: Thanks, Cal.
29 30		Mike Douville.
31 32		MR. DOUVILLE: Mike Douville's here.
33 34		MS. PERRY: Thanks, Mike.
35 36		Jim Slater.
37 38		MR. SLATER: Jim Slater's here.
39 40		MS. PERRY: Thank you.
41 42		Robert Schroeder.
43 44		(No comments)
45 46		MS. PERRY: Albert Howard.
47 48		MR. HOWARD: Albert's here.
49 50		

```
0041
 1
                     MS. PERRY: Thanks, Albert.
 2
 3
                     Don Hernandez, I heard you.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Here.
 6
 7
                     MS. PERRY: Harold Robbins.
 8
 9
                     MR. ROBBINS: I'm here.
10
                     MS. PERRY: Thanks, Harold.
11
12
13
                     Harvey Kitka.
14
                     MR. KITKA:
15
                                 (In Tlingit)
16
17
                     MS. PERRY: Thanks, Harvey.
18
19
                     Larry Bemis, Jr.
20
                     MR. BEMIS: Here.
21
22
23
                     MS. PERRY: Thanks, Larry.
24
25
                     Cathy Needham.
26
27
                     MS. NEEDHAM: I'm here.
28
29
                     MS. PERRY: Thanks, Cathy.
30
31
                     Ann Johnson, were you able to join us
32
     yet.
33
34
                     (No comments)
35
36
                     MS. PERRY: Okay.
37
38
                     And, Bob Schroeder, have you rejoined
39
     us.
40
41
                      (No comments)
42
43
                     MS. PERRY: Okay, Mr. Chair, you have
44
     10 of your 12 members participating at this time.
45
46
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Bob Schroeder is here.
47
48
                     MS. PERRY: Oh, thanks, Bob.
49
50
```

```
0042
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So 11 of the 12,
 2
     okay.
 3
 4
                     MS. PERRY: 11 of the 12.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good, thank
 7
    you, DeAnna. We have a little business to take care of
 8
    before we go into the Council reports.
 9
10
                     First item is to review and adopt the
11
     agenda. And we would need a motion to do that and I'll
12
     remind Council members that we would be adopting this
13
     agenda as a guide, it's subject to minor changes as we
14
     go through the process, but we need a motion.
15
16
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, I do have a few
17
     changes to suggest before you hear a motion if you'd
18
     like.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good idea, go
21
     ahead, DeAnna.
22
23
                     MS. PERRY: Thanks, Mr. Chair. These
24
    were items that came up since the agenda had been
25
    printed in the meeting book. It looks as though a
26
    Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program information
27
    update is available so we would like to add that to
28
     11E. 11E.
29
30
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank.
33
34
                     MR. WRIGHT: I think we need a motion
35
     and then we add on to the agenda before we adopt it.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, that might
38
     be appropriate Frank, yeah, put it on the table and
39
     then we'll make amendments.
40
41
                     MR. WRIGHT: Yes.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.
44
45
                     MR. WRIGHT: I make a motion to adopt
46
     the agenda.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Do we have a
49
     second.
```

0043	
1	MR. KITKA: Second.
2	
3	MS. NEEDHAM: Second by Cathy.
4	
5	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Cathy seconds.
6	Okay, thank you, both. Yeah, now we can go ahead and
7	make any changes or amendments, go ahead DeAnna.
8	
9	MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
10	Thank you Member Frank Wright. 11E, so that would be
11	under new business, adding FRMP.
12	
13	Also under agency reports for USDA
14	Forest Service we would like to add C, a tribal
15	relations report from Melinda Hernandez Burke. Again,
16	that's under agency reports, agenda Item 12.
17 18	And then inst of note themelo a time
19	And then just of note, there's a time
20	certain for Forest Supervisor Earl Stewart to give his agency report. Usually we have those on the last day,
21	he will be available Wednesday from 1:30 to 2:30 to
22	give us an update on those projects that are listed
23	under USDA Forest Service and agency reports.
24	ander obbit rolest bervice and agency reports.
25	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
26	100, 111 01011
27	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,
28	DeAnna. So if Council members have made note of those
29	additions to the agenda, call for the question.
30	
31	
32	(No comments)
33	
34	MR. KITKA: Question.
35	
36	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Maybe on this one
37	did did I hear for a call for the question.
38	MD IZTUZŽI. Overski su Herrore
39 40	MR. KITKA: Question. Harvey.
41	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
42	Harvey. I think for this maybe I could just call for a
43	shout out vote. All in favor of adopting the agenda as
44	a guide say aye.
45	a garac bay aye.
46	IN UNISON: Aye.
47	
48	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Is
49	there anybody opposed.
50	

0044 1 (No opposing votes) 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Hearing none, our 4 agenda is set for the meeting. Next up is..... 5 6 MR. KITKA: Mr. Chair. 7 8 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead. 9 10 MR. KITKA: This is Harvey Kitka. 11 12 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 13 Harvey. 14 15 MR. KITKA: I just wanted to welcome 16 you guys all to Sitka since this is where it's supposed 17 to be. 18 19 (Laughter) 20 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We were -- and I'm 22 sure we're all wishing we were there Harvey, it's one 23 of our favorite places to be for our spring meeting. 24 It's just a great time to be in Sitka with the herring 25 starting to show up and all the activity that goes on 26 around there. Yeah, we really wanted to be there so 27 thank you for the thoughts. 28 29 MR. KITKA: Okay, let's get started. 30 31 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 32 Harvey. So we do have an election of officers at this 33 meeting. And, DeAnna, as our designated Federal 34 officer, you take over for the first start of the 35 process here. 36 37 MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair. Just to 38 hold nominations for the Chair. And I'd just like to 39 take a moment to remind everybody to go ahead and star six and mute your phone, we're hearing some tapping and 40 41 typing over some folks so if you could just take a 42 moment to look and make sure you're on mute that would 43 be great. 44 45 We will now hold elections for officers 46 for the Southeast Subsistence Regional Advisory 47 Council. And are there any nominations for the Chair

49 50

48

position.

```
0045
 1
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Madame Chair.
 2
 3
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Douville.
 4
 5
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Yeah, Mike Douville
 6
     here. I would like to nominate Don Hernandez for the
 7
     position of Chair.
 8
 9
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Douville.
10
     Any other nominations.
11
12
                     (No comments)
13
14
                     MR. WRIGHT: Move to close.
15
16
                     MS. PERRY: Thank you, Frank. We have
17
     a nomination for Donald Hernandez for Chair of the
18
     Southeast Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. I'll
19
     declare the nominations closed and I'll ask for
20
     unanimous consent. Is there any opposed for Don
21
     Hernandez as Chair of the Southeast Subsistence
22
     Regional Advisory Council.
23
24
                     (No opposition)
25
26
                     MS. PERRY: Okay, Mr. Chair, Don
27
     Hernandez, you are reelected as the Chair and the floor
28
     is now yours to lead nominations for Vice Chair and the
29
     Secretary positions on the Council.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
32
     DeAnna. Thank you, Council Members for the vote of
33
     confidence. A little over a week ago I didn't even
34
     think I was going to be on the Council and now here I
35
     am Chair again for another year so I'm happy to do it.
36
     I kind of enjoy it. So I appreciate the vote.
37
38
                     So now we need a.....
39
40
                     MR. KITKA: Congratulations Don.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
43
     you. So now we need nominations for a Vice Chair.
44
45
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, is that
48
     Albert.
49
```

```
0046
                     MR. DOUVILLE: No, it's Mike Douville.
 1
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, hi, Mike,
 4
    yeah.
 5
 6
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Congratulations on your
 7
    election. I would like to nominate Cathy Needham for
 8
    Vice Chair.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
11
    A nomination for Cathy. Any other nominations.
12
13
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
14
    Albert.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.
17
18
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19
    I'd like to nominate Harvey Kitka.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
22
    Albert. Any other nominations.
23
24
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chair, move to close.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank.
27
     So we have two nominations. I guess, DeAnna, doing
     this over the phone, do you have a suggestion on what's
28
29
     the best way to go about taking a vote here on this.
30
31
                     MS. PERRY: We normally would do this
32
    by ballot if there are more than one nominations.
33
34
                     MR. KITKA: Mr. Chair.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. How do we
37
    do that over the phone.
38
39
                     MR. KITKA: Mr. Chair, Harvey Kitka.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, Harvey, yeah,
42
    go ahead Harvey.
43
44
                     MR. KITKA: I respectfully decline the
45
    Vice Chair. I'm just -- my plate is pretty full at the
    moment but I really thank Albert for nominating me but
46
47
     I don't think I quite have the qualifications for Vice
48
     Chair but thank you anyway.
49
```

```
0047
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, I think we'd
     disagree with your qualifications Harvey but we know
 2
     you are a very involved person over there in Sitka and
     understand your time commitments there as well. So
 5
     thank you. So I guess we can proceed with the vote
 6
     with just Cathy Needham as being nominated.
 7
 8
                     So all in favor of Cathy Needham for
 9
    Vice Chair say aye.
10
11
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody
14
     opposed to Cathy Needham as Vice Chair, say, no.
15
16
                     (No opposing votes)
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Cathy,
19
     sounds like you're Vice Chair again. Any comments.
20
21
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and
22
    Members of the Council. I look forward to continuing
23
     to serve this Council in that capacity.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good.
26
27
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Congratulations Cathy.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And, Cathy, I just
30
     want to acknowledge that for the past several months
31
     you have been the Acting Chair and doing a very
32
     excellent job of keeping up with all the business. I
33
     know you weren't expecting to have to do all that but
34
    you stepped right up and took care of everything that
35
    needed doing for the Council. So I just want the rest
36
     of the Council to know that they should appreciate you
37
    being able to step in and do all that while some of us
38
     were waiting for our reappointments. So once again
39
     appreciate it very much and I think all the Council
40
     does.
41
42
                     How about nominations for Secretary.
43
44
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Cathy.
47
48
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
49
     I'd like to nominate Frank Wright, Jr., for Secretary.
```

```
0048
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Frank
 2
    Wright's been nominated.
 3
 4
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chair, this is Albert.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.
 7
 8
                     MR. HOWARD: I move to close
 9
     nominations.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We have a
12
    motion to close, do we have a second on that.
13
14
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Mike Douville, I'll
15
     second.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
18
     So we now have Frank Wright, Jr., nominated for
19
     Secretary. Once again, voice vote, all in favor of
20
     Frank say aye.
21
22
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody
25
    opposed.
26
27
                     (No opposing votes)
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay,
30
     congratulations Frank. Appreciate you taking the
31
    position and while we were short on Council members we
32
    were kind of asking you to kind of increase your roll a
33
     little bit as Secretary and you stepped up as well so
34
    we all appreciate that. But now we are up to full
35
     strength and full speed ahead. So let's get going
36
    here.
37
38
                     One more item of business before we get
39
     into our Council member reports and that would be to
     review and approve the previous meeting minutes. So,
40
41
     once again we need a motion and a second to do that.
42
43
                     MR. KITKA: So moved. Harvey Kitka.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Harvey.
46
    And a second, please.
47
48
                     MR. CASIPIT: This is Cal, I second.
49
```

```
0049
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cal.
    If the Council members just want to take a couple
 2
    minutes, if you haven't already, to look over the
    previous minutes and make sure everything's proper.
 5
     I'd like to point out, you know, that Council members
    maybe take a close look at your previous Council member
 6
 7
     community reports, those are kind of summarizations of
    what you reported to us, you might want to check and
 8
 9
    make sure that you feel that that's accurately reflects
10
     what your report said.
11
12
                     So we'll take a couple minutes here and
13
     then we'll have the vote to approve.
14
15
                     (Pause)
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If at any time any
18
    Council member has something they want to bring to our
19
     attention that brings amending go ahead and chime in.
20
21
                     MR. BEMIS: Mr. Chair, this is Larry.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Larry.
24
25
                     MR. BEMIS: In my testimony, I forgot
26
    to put in that I've been here for 66 years as a
27
     resident in Yakutat. Born in Sitka, raised in Yakutat.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
30
    Larry. We'll have DeAnna reflect that in the minutes.
31
32
                     MR. BEMIS: Okay, thank you.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else on
35
    the Council see anything that needs amending to the
36
    previous minutes.
37
38
                     MR. KITKA: Mr. Chair, Harvey Kitka
39
    here.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey.
42
43
                     MR. KITKA: I'm not sure how I'd vote
     on this because I haven't been to a few minutes so I'll
44
45
     probably have to abstain for voting on it.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good point,
48
     Harvey.
49
```

```
0050
 1
                     MR. SLATER: Likewise, Mr. Chair, this
 2
     is Jim Slater. For us new appointees we wouldn't
     qualify for a vote as well.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right.
 6
 7
                     (Pause)
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So whenever
 9
10
     anybody's ready to call for the question.
11
12
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Question.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,
15
     Cathy. For this one, maybe, Frank, could I ask you to
16
     do the roll and I guess take note that persons who were
17
     not seated last fall probably wouldn't be voting on
18
     this so.
19
20
                     MR. WRIGHT: All right. Michael
21
     Douville.
22
23
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Mike Douville votes yes.
24
25
                     MR. WRIGHT: Bob Schroeder.
26
27
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Bob Schroeder votes
28
     yes.
29
30
                     MR. WRIGHT: Albert Howard.
31
32
                     MR. HOWARD: Yes.
33
34
                     MR. WRIGHT: Don Hernandez.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes.
37
38
                     MR. WRIGHT: Harold Robbins.
39
40
                     MR. ROBBINS: Yes.
41
42
                     MR. WRIGHT: Larry Bemis.
43
44
                     MR. BEMIS: Yes.
45
46
                     MR. WRIGHT: Cathy Needham.
47
48
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Cathy votes yes.
49
```

0051 1 MR. WRIGHT: That's everyone, Mr. 2 Chair. 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: How about 4 5 yourself, Frank. 6 7 MR. WRIGHT: Yes. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. 10 11 (Laughter) 12 13 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good, 14 so minutes approved. So let's get to our Council 15 member's reports. And I'll just make a note here that for the Chair's report I've asked Cathy to give that 16 17 because she did have to conduct most of the business and attended the Board meeting in my absence as not 18 19 being reappointed, so Cathy will be doing that. 20 21 So Council member reports, Harvey, I'm 22 going to give you the honor of leading us off. As you 23 mentioned this meeting was supposed to take place in 24 Sitka and we all are very sorry that we can't be there. 25 I also would like to point out that you're a recent 26 appointee but not a new appointee by any means. You 27 served on the Council for many years before not getting 28 appointed in that previous round. And with your 29 absence from the Council we have not had a 30 representative from Sitka, which is the largest rural 31 community in Southeast Alaska and we kind of lost out 32 on a lot of information from what's going on over in 33 your area. So Harvey if you want to start out with 34 your Council report we would be honored. 35 36 MR. KITKA: Thank you, Don. I'm just 37 wondering, does the Council, I forgot, do we go through 38 a swearing in ceremony or anything through that before 39 we can even be a part of the Council? 40 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna. 41 42 43 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnna. 44 45 MS. PERRY: Yeah, I can answer that. 46 Harvey, no, we do not. Once you're elected that's all 47 you need as far as being an officer -- as far as being 48 a member, you received an appointment letter from the 49 Secretary so there's no swearing in, you're

0052 automatically appointed with that letter. 2 3 MR. KITKA: Okay, thank you. Well, the 4 Sitka reports. We've got a few things that are on the 5 table. 6 7 We got some issues with our subsistence 8 on sockeye. So many places have the return of the 9 sockeyes is declining and we'd like to show that we are 10 concerned about that. Some of the places that we used 11 to harvest quite a bit was kind of been declining the last few years and in some places there's not enough 12 13 there to even subsist. 14 15 We do still have concerns about 16 regulations on the shrimping and how they figured out 17 the amount necessary for subsistence and how they put 18 upon that it was subsistence that was causing the 19 problem. We found that it was more the charter boaters 20 and the personal use permits. 21 22 We still have some concerns with sea 23 otter but not near as many. 24 25 The harvesters seem like they've got a 26 handle on how to be able to keep harvesting and still 27 allow us to get some of our subsistence foods that we 28 compete for. 29 30 Hunting, there's still some concerns 31 over the goat. 32 33 Our deer population seems to be doing 34 fine. The mild climate seems to have helped 35 considerably. 36 37 We have some -- still ongoing concern 38 with the herring fishery even though this year it looks 39 like there might be more herring out there but the 40 herring are small and there might be not as many 41 spawners as they think. I know that our elders tell us 42 that the three year olds don't spawn near the quality 43 of eggs that would be needed. The more quality eggs 44 came.... 45 46 MS. NEEDHAM: Hello. 47 48 MS. PERRY: We seemed to have lost 49 Harvey. Harvey, are you still on with us.

0053	(No comments)
2	(NO Commence)
3 4 5 6	MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, I believe Mr. Harvey's line has gotten dropped. We may need to come back to him.
7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I was wondering what happened there, I thought it was maybe my line. But okay. Well hopefully he'll get reconnected and pick up where he left off. Okay, let's see, let's go to Mike Douville.
13 14 15 16	MR. DOUVILLE: Okay, Mike Douville's here. We do have a few things of concern on Prince of Wales.
17 18 19 20	We've had some discussion on the Roadless, which, hopefully that can be resolved in a positive fashion for us.
21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Old growth logging and stem exclusion is, we believe, causing a down turn in the deer population, as well as wolf. I think both are nature factors. Stem exclusion is becoming greater and greater on Prince of Wales, so there's certainly less land for good deer habitat.
28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Climate change is really rearing its ugly head here, you know, we've had the worst winter I can ever remember for winds and rain. Rain coming at a time when we we believe that it's washed a lot of the salmon eggs out of the streams, just really violent flood waters.
35 36	The deer hunting success rate, it appears to be continuing to drop.
37 38 39 40 41 42	We do have wolf issues also, we believe the population is healthy however we are faced with a ESA which, I think good science here will prevail and we'll hopefully be okay.
43 44	That's all I have for now, thank you.
45 46 47 48	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Mike. How about, Frank Wright, what's going on up in Hoonah.
49 50	(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are you there Frank? MR. WRIGHT: Oh, you called me, this is Frank. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, Frank. MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, this year, I don't know if it's environmental or whatever, but anyway went crabbing this year, it was the first time I've ever gotten dungeness 50 fathom down, usually you don't catch any dungeness until about 10, 15 fathoms, but this year got a lot of dungeness at about 50 fathoms and that's unusual for tanner fishing. So that's -- I don't know, I don't know what the deal is. But then even where I regularly fish there was hardly anything. Usually I get a lot of females but that -- even those

were gone. We used to have sort through them but we didn't even have to sort this year and there was less crab. The crabber -- the tanner crabber in the 90s and 80s and 90 fathoms, so that was another environmental

80s and 90 fathoms, so that was another environmental thing.

24 thing.
25

I remember -- I don't know if you guys remember last -- a couple years ago I reported that there was caterpillar crawling around on the cement down there in the park down there in January and this last year the first time I haven't ever seen swallows around, you know, I was in Hoonah most of the year because seining was pretty bad last year but this last year there was no swallows and that was kind of weird.

This is the most we've had in years but it still hasn't piled up like when I was a kid. Haven't had a -- we haven't even had a foot of snow in front of my yard, you know, the kids used to be able to jump off the side of the road and tumble down and get buried in it and now you can't do that, it's really strange.

The ferry system is pretty tough on the smaller communities, too, because, you know, we don't get as many as before and I'm sure Angoon is really suffering because of it too because the ferry system is pretty much built for tourists now. As soon as summer comes around they'll start increasing their ferry system. So I think that something has to be done about

1 that.

2 3 4

You know, something that benefits the young people a lot here in Hoonah, both basketball, volleyball, but because of that invading the world, they just started volleyball and then basketball is supposed to be on right now but that hasn't even started, you know, so you know the mental health of the young people are suffering because of it. You know a lot of them look forward to it every year and even in school, the kids are pretty sporadic, you know, so doing a lot of virtual learning.

The city has been doing pretty good on this virus thing. You know they test everybody that comes into town, on a plane, they have someone out there at the airport testing and they go to the ferry, they test, so they're trying to keep the community pretty clean of this bug and so they've been pretty successful in doing that. So I think that, you know, we're keeping up. But, you know, like anything else there are people that doubt it, you know, and not wearing mask or not social distancing and like I got one of my crew member and I jumped on him, his wife works at a place that doesn't believe in it.

But anyway, another thing is the rain last year it was -- it was amazing. I mean like Mr. Douville said, you know, the river was so full, it was unbelievable. I mean you couldn't even -- you know, so you know the salmon eggs have been pretty much washed out. I don't know, you know, the rivers that we've gone to, they've -- I mean you wouldn't believe it it's just so -- and then I was worried about some of the hills around here having landslides because of, you know, some people thinking of cutting trees down is a good thing but, you know, when you take the root system out then that's it.

Commercial fishing prices this coming year for long-lining has gone up for black cod and not too much for halibut but not as -- not as much as it used to be so we're going to be struggling again.

 $$\operatorname{And}$ so that's about all I have, thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, Frank. How about if we move up to Yakutat now and call

on Harold Robbins, are you ready Harold.

MR. ROBBINS: Thank you. Yes, we're experiencing a real winter here for a change. We've got three to five feet on the ground and snowing hard as we speak. But it looks like it might be a real concern but the thing wears on on how the moose are going to survive and the deer. Once this sets up a little bit and the wolves can walk on top the moose are pretty much an easy target. So that's kind of a concern I see.

Been pretty good trolling in the bay here which helped out economically here in town. My son and a friend flew to East River down towards Cape Fairweather and back on the 8th of March and observed a considerable amount of eulachon and a lot of predators all along the coast up to about the Dangerous River but nothing was clear on into river at the Situk or around town. Akwe was the most plentiful and, of course, it gathered up the most sea lions and seals and birds, so — but there were signs all up and down the coast that there was good numbers of eulachon so that was pretty positive.

And the Fish and Game local biologist reported, when I was visiting with him, about how things were going he had reported back that they had gotten the DNA samples from the king — commercial king opening, the spring opening in May of '19 and I think he plans on reporting what they found that way but it looks like there was a reasonable percentage of Situk and Alsek fish in that king fishery and a percentage of Southeast kings also. So it will bring some issues there I'm sure, the troll opening that coincides with the spring troll opening, elsewhere throughout the area.

And the moose hunt, as far as the East of the Dangerous, the 5A east, it turned out where only 34 percent of the local subsistence — the local — 34 percent of the moose were taken by local subsistence users and the rest — the other 21 moose were taken by other people from outside the area. So it's kind of an interesting twist there and see how things really go but maybe moving the subsistence portion of the moose season as far as East River and then on the east side of the Dangerous, in turn — you know, moving it into a time slot that wasn't very convenient for the

subsistence users because they're still busy trying to make a dollar commercial fishing. So that might not be such a great idea, maybe we need to figure that out a little later on.

So anyways that's pretty much all I have at this point.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good. Thank you, Harold. How about Albert Howard.

 MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Weather here is been kind of interesting. I'm looking at snow on the mountains like three-quarters of the way down the mountain across the other -- it's been that way for the whole month of February, it snows and then it'd rain, snow.

The deer season wasn't what it used to be. I'm not sure why that is. Because I went out trolling last weekend and there was deer everywhere. I don't know if they have their own calendars or what's happening. They're definitely around. The usual's been happening and I -- and Robert knows this because I don't always agree with him but I'm starting to think that somehow we need to put together a comanagement plan where we work with the State to co-manage the resource because it seems like every part of the resource is diminishing. Good example is Hoonah Sound shrimp. That closed to subsistence, sportfish users and commercial. You allow one subsistence user to take a full tote out of there, a full tote's going to be all that's taken out of there. And when you add in a commercial fishery and now they're taking boat loads out and with no answers to why that is. I think if there was a comanagement in place it would be managed like the State Constitution says it should be, managed for abundance.

I believe in my first meeting ever my interpretation of managing for abundance is like when our elders or when I was a teenager you could walk across the salmon stream and you'd always step on a salmon, accidentally, of course, and now you walk across a stream you're lucky to see a salmon. Something has to change and I believe maybe comanagement may be the answer. There's a lot of

instances where that does work. With the diminishing funds with the State has to manage the resource, it would be easier to call Albert and say, hey, how are the salmon at Bear Creek (ph) or Favorite Bay, or Basket Bay or Hanus Bay and chances are you would get an accurate answer besides the fish count. There needs to be something done different.

And I think that Cathy may offer some ideas to how we can get all the resources in the same standing as they have in Prince of Wales where -- and it's interesting to hear the fish biologists talking to tribes and asking their opinion on different things but not really wanting to talk to Angoon on how we think Chatham should be managed. A good example is there's three bays we fish crab, there's (In Native) Bay and Minto Bay -- well, four -- one of them is hard to get into, the other three any commercial boat can get in there and they do so we'll enjoy crab all the way up until crab season opens, the commercial crab season, and then you get mostly females or under sized crab after that.

So I wonder -- I mean if you have the numbers supporting what's happening to the resource it seems like there's no mechanism to say, well, we'll have to close this area as a conservation concern. Every year it's the same. You give the same report and nothing is done.

 $$\operatorname{So}$$ hopefully we can work together and figure out how to get from A to B.

I'm sure there's more, I mean I could talk about Greens Creek but I see it's on the agenda so I'll save that for then.

Oh, that's what I was going to talk about, the crab. When we have the bear hunters coming in to -- I'll say exactly where, too, (In Native), we caught them actually pulling our pots. Now, having worked for a lodge, Fish and Game always comes out and checks their freezers to make sure you're not feeding your clients sportfish, sport caught crab or anything, you have to buy it from a vendor that bought it from a commercially licensed vessel. We can't feed them something you just pulled out of the water. When we catch someone pulling our pots and they're a bear guide, aren't they feeding their clients these sport

caught crab and what is the difference, I mean so that's something we need to look at and figure out and maybe I'll have questions for the State later on that whole thing.

There's a lot of unintended consequences we're paying here in Angoon for decisions that are made somewhere else and it's affecting our way of life.

 My dad said once you shoot a deer and you missed, you're never going to see that deer again. And we're starting to see that more and more, and I kind of get the feeling it's either the fact that they're allowing bear hunters to shoot at deer in the fall, is that the reason why we're not seeing them anymore. You used to be able to drive up to a deer, get out of the boat, within reasonable range and take the deer. Now, you have to stop four or 500 yards away, get out of the boat and take a shot.

So I'm sure I have more but that's all I have for now.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert. How about Larry Bemis.

MR. BEMIS: Yes. Yeah, this has been some kind of a year with this pandemic, just all the different changes of all the things that are going on and the economy and luckily we're able to keep our fishing -- the cannery had their workers in place before they locked everything down so the cannery was able to stay open and proceed with the halibut first and then the salmon. And the halibut's been extended, I guess, March 6th it started this year all the way through November sometime, so they got quite a fishing. And they increased the halibut by 27 percent in Area 3A, which is quite a bit higher than 9 percent that was last year so everybody scrambled to get out there but they're sitting waiting out the weather. It's just been horrific about the weather. I've been trolling most of the winter and most of the time I'm lucky just to get 10 minutes from the harbor to be able to fish and usually our good fishing grounds are a good 20 minutes to a half hour out. So the price held up good.

Good to see that we got the low end escapement on our king salmon on both the Situk and I quess on the Alsek.

I would like to -- I think we got crossed with that Fish and Game, that Harold said, I think that 19 genetic testing was during a commercial netting opening, it wasn't during a troll opening. It was -- because the fish were coming across the dock and they don't think any of that was troll because none of the king salmon are around for the Situk until the latter part of May. So we don't even get the Situk fish -- subsistence fishing until the latter part. So I'm thinking gillnetting opens on the 1st of June and we got the bycatch of the Situk king and also the Alsek king. I don't think we caught any of the -- I don't think the trollers caught any of the king salmon that were strained for Situk and the Alsek.

So I might be wrong there, but it seemed like I talked to him about it and that's when they got the testing, the genetic sampling and he told me how many king salmon were being caught.

So the other thing is that we are giving up a lot of fishing time for the sockeye to get our king escaped when it's not really a king salmon river. So the blunt of the fishery for sockeyes has been dwindling. We've had tremendous escapements on the Situk River that have just barely squeaked by escaping now. So I'm thinking maybe an over escapement of fish for several years might have messed up what -- because we're just squeaking by, we don't get any extra fishing time, we've actually been cut back but once the kings are through they usually extend the fisheries for the sockeyes providing that they're in abundance.

And we were able to have some sportfish that people came up and did, and did quarantines and what not, and I'm hoping that this year that with getting the vaccine and better placement of testing and stuff that there'll be a few more people getting a chance to get out and -- we're like the rest of Alaska, we depend on tourism as a part of our -- our mainstay is commercial fishing but tourism is playing a big part of our economy.

And as far as the winter here, I don't think I've seen it -- so many storms back to back,

raining one day, freezing, snowing the next day, warming up, getting cold, I mean what a swing in temperatures. One day you've got snow and very warm out and the next day it's froze and 10 to 15 degrees difference, it's just unbelievable the temperature swings that we have.

Looking forward to having the snow, though, because we do need it for the distance of the water for the streams and also for the berries and stuff. I notice when we don't have very much snow we wind up drying up pretty good so hopefully we don't have -- the heat has a lot to do with it, if we got high temperatures then it don't matter how much snow you got, you wind up losing your water.

 $$\rm I'm\ looking\ forward\ to\ this\ season\ and\ I'm\ glad\ to\ be\ here\ with\ the\ meeting\ today\ and\ that's\ what\ I\ have.$

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks, Larry. Bob Schroeder, what do you got.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: You there, Bob.

MR. SCHROEDER: Yes, yes, I am, Don. Thanks so much. I'd like to welcome the new Council members to, hopefully their long careers with the Southeast Regional Advisory Council. I was thinking this morning how grateful I am to -- so many people, many of whom are no longer with us that worked with the Council for so many years and really are very instrumental in why and how we've been able to do a really good job of protecting subsistence over these many years.

Kind of a local report. I'm in Juneau and as noted, you know, we haven't had our tourist season, which of course has a lot of economic effects on a community. Our whales were roaming around without being looked at so they were off -- I think they're all on unemployment insurance because the whale watching groups aren't there.

No tourists also means that we had good pulses of king salmon come through. My own extended family here we had really a lot of king salmon, we had

so many that I could actually make king strips which I have very rarely have been able to do in Juneau. I think my daughter-in-law was the champ but in any case, something like 30 or 40 kings showed up.

Deer were a bit harder this year. Just kind of seconding what Albert said, we're not quite exactly sure why because while there do seem to be deer around but somehow hunting was a bit more difficult, although my family did okay.

And we've been down on coho.

I think I'm -- I'm kind of into the big picture right now and when we were talking with -- or interrogating the Forest Supervisor, we note that, you know, there are really major changes afoot. And I'd urge our Council to take this opportunity to think big, not only because of the political changes but because what we seen in this last year of lockdown, that huge social changes are possible. The pandemic ones weren't ones that we wanted, but somehow we've been able to adapt. So I think we can do more than nibble around the edges of making -- providing more and more subsistence protection in this coming year. So I urge to think big.

I also put in an initial pitch for seeing if this Council sometime in our meeting talks about Forest policy and possibly forms a Forest policy group to write up the way we see it. We've spent an enormous amount of time on Forest management and Forest management, subsistence related issues, over the past years, and I think we might do really well if we put our thoughts together and came up with what we considered to be a SERAC Forest Management Policy. So perhaps we'll get a chance to talk about that more in the course of this meeting.

My personal schedule will only have me in morning sessions during these next two days.

Thank you, so much. And it's really great -- oh, I had one other thing to say, which is, I am so impressed with how our Council is able to function remotely and how we've been able to weather the absurdity of having excellent members just booted off and fortunately, Mr. Kitka, is back representing the Kitka contribution to our Council. I so fondly

remember his father Herman Kitka on the Council so many years ago. I think our secret is that we know each other pretty well, those of us who have been on the Council, and we've learned to respect each other even though we don't come from exactly the same places.

Thank you, so much, and it's great to be with you guys.

Bye now.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, Bob. Maybe we'll go to our most recent Council appointees now and get the reports from them, and Cal Casipit, I'll call on you first.

MR. CASIPIT: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair. Again, this is Cal Casipit. I live in Gustavus, and I think this is the first time that there's ever been a representative from Gustavus on the Advisory Council. So I take that honor pretty darn seriously and I'm grateful to participate with you all here today and hopefully into the future.

I did want to start of with covid-19 and that I just feel like need to start off with that because as Mayor that's pretty much what I dealt with for over -- for a year now -- for a year before I retired from Mayor, and that's something that ate a bunch of my time. But in Gustavus we had nine cases -well, up to today -- well, as far as up to today we've had nine cases of Covid in 10, 8 of those being nonresidents coming in with the sport charter fleet. We only had one local resident come down with Covid here. So I think that we've been pretty successful in keeping the bug out of town. And we've been really good with our vaccine program here as well, thanks to SEARHC for doing that for us here in Gustavus. In fact, the vaccine, we have a vaccine program here today that I got to take my wife to after lunch so if I'm a little late getting back it's because we're getting vaccinations. So I just wanted to beg some indulgence from the Council on that if I get back a little bit late this afternoon.

The other -- one other thing I wanted to mention also and it doesn't really relate to Federal subsistence because the moose season that happens here happens on private and State lands so it's outside of

our jurisdiction, outside of the exterior boundary in fact. But we did have a good moose season this year. One of the things that I say whether it's good or not is the number of illegal bulls that get shot and we only had one or two illegal bulls shot last fall so that, in my opinion, is good. I was able to take a legal bull so I was happy. So anyway we had a fairly good moose season.

Our deer season was pretty good. We got a good dump of snow just at the perfect time. It melted right afterwards, but the hunters that I heard from and the folks that went out to hunt deer, they had very good success rates and I think it had to do with that big dump of snow we got right at the right time, that pre-rut snow that really, really helped our hunters out. And like I said, that snow went away and for most of December we didn't have any snow. I think I heard the gentleman from Yakutat talking about the weird weather and the change in temperatures and that's pretty much the same here. Like just now we got a big dump but now it's all melted. So it's been that way all winter long.

As far as the fishing goes. I did make one trip to Neva Creek this year. I have to say that I was a little disappointed. I wasn't successful in getting anything with my net and I went to -- we went to the dock there near the hatchery store and tied up there and went up to the store to get some snacks and then as we pulled in and was tying up to the dock, two of those salt guided skiffs from Doc Warners pulled in, each with four guys in it, they all jump out with coolers and fly rods and walk up to Neva -- to South Creek there and they all went sportfishing, which I thought there was a closure to non-Federally-qualified users there. I wasn't sure where they were fishing, I didn't really track them down and do any investigation so I wasn't sure if they were fishing in Federal jurisdiction or not, but they did come back later and just before we left -- they did come back later and all reported they all limited out and there was eight of them. So I was really disappointed in seeing that. Especially since there's supposed to be a closure to non-Federally-qualified users there.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{Anyway}}$ so that was my experience from Neva Creek this year.

 As far as the coho fishing. I thought it was pretty good. I think the fact that we didn't have a whole lot of the sport charter activity here probably contributed to better fishing. My wife and I caught all the cohos we needed in a day and a half of trolling so we did good. And it was pretty -- we had some good fishing, so the coho fishing was good and I think it had a lot to do with just reduced effort from the sport charter fleet around here.

 I also wanted to report that due to the Covid situation we did -- the community of Gustavus, the City of Gustavus, we did apply for and we fished -- a community harvest permit for halibut from NOAA and that was our first time ever doing that this fall and it was really successful and I think a lot of people in town got the halibut they needed through that community harvest permit that we got through the city.

Anyway that's all I have. And be looking forward to continuing work. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Cal. How about if we go to Jim Slater.

 MR. SLATER: Sure. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Since maybe you guys haven't heard anything from Pelican for a year or two I thought I'd start out and give a general overview of the economy and then move into some of the subsistence issues and so on.

So as you guys may know Pelican is in a transition from a company town without a company to one that has a small but growing base in both tourism and fish processing.

Concerning fish processing, we've got one fish processor and two buying operations in Pelican. The processor, which is called Yakobi Fisheries is doing quite well. They've grown dramatically over the last five years and currently employ about 30 people in the summertime and four to five year-round. The other buying stations are kind of smaller operations and they employ just a few people during the summer. But in talking to the owners it's estimated that about 500,000 pounds of fish will go through Pelican annually this year and last year.

Concerning the charter businesses. We

have several charter businesses in Pelican but they're dominated by just a few. There's one in particular that's quite large and has, I think close to eight boats. Traditionally, or over the last decade or so there's been, by city code, no sales tax, to the charter fleet and we took note of that this year as in light of the fact that Pelican was going through some financial hardship, something I'll talk about later, we took note of the fact that the charter businesses which have grown significantly over the last five to 10 years don't contribute anything in the form of sales tax and that sales tax exemption was removed this year and so they'll start paying sales tax in 2022.

This year -- we received notice last year but things were cancelled because of Covid, but Alaska Dream Cruises has announced they'll be visiting Pelican this year, Pelican and Lisianski Inlet and somewhere in the range of two to four ships a week. The Pelican City Council is reacting to that. Actually I'm on the City Council as well and we're having a series of meetings over the next couple weeks to address how to position, or determine the town's position on how we -- how we interface with the cruise ships.

Moving on, the school has traditionally been right at kind of the cusp of staying open. We've had -- over the last couple years we've kind of had an abundance of kids, we've had up to 15 or 16 kids, however we lost a couple families in the last two years and so now we're down to slightly below the count so that'll be an upcoming challenge for this next year.

Our ferry service is back. You guys may have heard on the radio that we had no ferry service for almost a year, which is rather a hardship for people trying to keep supplies in during the winter, especially during the pandemic, but we're back to one a month in the winter and two a month this summer, is what the forecasted schedule is.

Pelican had some poor luck with regard to its utilities. Last year its hydroelectric plant went down and so for the last year it's been run by diesel and the good news is that we're currently installing the refurbished turbine that had some work done on it, in the next week or two so we should be back on water power fairly soon.

On the political stage, we're looking at some significant changes. The current Mayor, Walt Weller resigned effective this May and an interim Mayor will be appointed until we have an election in October, that's a year early. So there's a little bit of transition in politics right now.

We have a new VPSO and they're head of the volunteer Fire and Rescue, of which we have about 10 members, 10 active members, so that's a positive note on there.

So that kind of wraps up the general happenings around Pelican.

Now, I'll talk about some of the subsistence issues.

Deer was -- it was a very poor deer year last year and there's been a lot of talk about it. Almost everyone we talked to had trouble, either didn't get a deer or had trouble getting enough deer for what they felt their needs were. And a lot of discussions revolved around causes, and the general consensus was it was a combination of increased hunting pressure. We saw quite an increase in the number of hunters in the There's been talk about increased Inlet this year. brown bear predation. And then also the weather. As everyone's been -- has taken note, the weathers been quite unusual where we had a few early snowfalls but for the most part it was very minimal snowfall and when it happened it melted off quickly. So there may be more deer than meets the eye but they might just not being seen.

Fishing over the last few years is kind of consistent. It hasn't been that -- so it was available, there was some kings available in the Inlet but one thing to note and I'm not sure if you guys are aware of it, but fishing in Lisianski Inlet, in general, has been significantly worse over the last -- it's decreased over the last decade or two. This has been for both salmon and halibut. It used to be pretty easy to go out in the Inlet and catch king salmon, now it's kind of hit or miss in the peak of the season. And for us with subsistence skates for halibut it -- like I said it used to be you set once or twice and you have your halibut for the year, now you can go out four or five times before you even catch a halibut.

0068 1 The sockeye runs. I went to Hoktaheen this year and we had very minimal luck but other 2 community members hit the right days and they did okay 4 so I would say that it seems to be somewhat average. 5 6 Clam population was pretty good. A lot 7 of people hesitated to dig clams because there was kind of talk about the warm year that we had in 2019 might have affected the level of PSP that might be out there 10 and reading some State reports that seemed to be the 11 case so we -- a lot of people didn't dig as many clams 12 this year. 13 14 Berries and mushrooms were a bit below 15 average. We had a lot of -- some the year before but last year, as we know was quite a bit rainy -- or quite 16 17 rainy and maybe that affected it. 18 19 Shrimping was, I say, okay, in 20 Lisianski Inlet last year. We had a big commercial 21 usage in 2019 but we seemed to have held up under that. 22 23 Other than that, that kind of wraps it 24 up. 25 26 You know, as everyone's made comments 27 on the weather, we've had a very rainy last 2020 and a somewhat mild winter, you know, we had some bouts -- a 28 29 couple cold snaps, and a couple periods of snow but 30 most of the time they melted off and we saw a lot of 31 rain over the winter. 32 33 That's about it. Anyone have any 34 questions. 35 36 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thanks, Jim. 37 38 MR. SLATER: Okay, no problem. 39 40 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good, thank 41 you. Do we have Ian Johnson with us yet. 42

(No comments)

43 44 45

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Apparently not. Harvey, did you get reconnected. We lost you in the middle of your report.

47 48 49

46

MR. KITKA: Thank you, Don. I'm not

 exactly sure where I was on the report. But I do know that the herring, there seems to be more herring but they're a lot smaller this year. Not sure what's going on there. But I wanted to say that according to our elders the three year olds are not much of a spawning group. The quality of eggs you get is mostly from the seven year olds and maybe some of the older ones. The best quality comes from the seven year olds. I wanted to mention that.

I also wanted to mention that the Sitka has taken the State to court several times this year and was kind of a three-pronged lawsuit. The first two parts, Sitka Tribe came out ahead in those cases and were able to get the State of Alaska to look at our subsistence a little differently. The last part went before the judge back in February, I believe, and is not going to rule on it until June or July so that won't come into effect until next year. But right now the State of Alaska, the management has to check with subsistence people on the quality of eggs. I'm not sure how they're going to do that as they've never done that before. The fact is they've only checked with the commercial industry and never even talked to subsistence people. So this is going to be a big change for the State of Alaska. I had maybe one question for later on, maybe public comments on extraterritorial jurisdiction, the Kaagwaantaan has filed from Sitka.

 $$\operatorname{So}\ I$$ think I'll leave that at the end of my report.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, yeah, thank you, Harvey. If you want to address that petition, you know, under the -- I don't think we have an agenda item but, you know, certainly if you want to represent the Kaagwaantaan, you know, during the public testimony that would be great and then we can take questions on that as well. And, yes, we all will be watching what happens with the Sitka herring this spring for sure. Kind of a different situation.

Maybe I'll give my local report and then go to Cathy Needham for the Chair's report.

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.

0070 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead. 2 MS. PERRY: I just wanted to let you know that Ian Johnson is online, he can hear us and he might want to try his audio again just to make sure he has an opportunity to speak if we can hear him before 6 7 we go further. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, certainly, 10 yeah, I'll hold off. Yeah, Ian, go ahead, try again. 11 12 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, I'm getting a 13 chat from him through Teams that he's trying to talk on 14 the audio line but we're not able to hear him. 15 16 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. 17 18 MS. PERRY: He said he would call in on 19 another line so perhaps we can come back to him later 20 in the meeting. 21 22 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So for my area 23 report, somewhat mirrors what Mike Douville reported on 24 for Prince of Wales Island. 25 26 27 28

It was a very poor deer season for the locals this year. Seeing a lot of deer in general, certainly a lack of bucks. Being out around this winter just seeing not very good sign at all, on the north end of Prince of Wales, like same situation as lower end as well.

31 32 33

34

36

37

38

39

40

29

30

Yeah, we have a lot of erratic weather in Southeast lately. I was quite concerned as some of the other Council members were as well with the torrential rains that we had a couple of times this last fall. October and then again in December, those horrific landslides that we all saw and, yeah, what it must have done to the salmon spawning beds we won't know until the returns start coming out but it could have been damaging for sure.

41 42 43

44

45

46 47

48

And then this winter, for the most part we had a very open winter here on Prince of Wales, very little snow. But also had some very severe cold. When it wasn't warm it was very cold. That also is a concern because without having a snow pack, spawning beds are also more prone to freezing the eggs out. So it could have been a bad year for the salmon streams

0071 1 this fall and winter. 2 3 One other thing I would like to report 4 on is I've been kind of active as a Council member, and 5 also when I wasn't on the Council, in putting together this deer summit that the Council was made aware of at 6 7 our last meeting. Something that's being organized by -- got started by the conservation group, had a lot of 9 support from the local tribes getting involved. 10 we've also put together a steering committee to, you 11 know, kind of organize this event that will probably be 12 happening next winter now due to all the Covid 13 concerns, it's been put off, but we're pretty confident 14 that by next winter we can put together a big meeting 15 to discuss all kinds of issues related to deer on 16 Prince of Wales Island, maybe come up with some kind of 17 recommendations moving forward. We've had very good 18 support from our local Forest Service, the District 19 Ranger has been involved and also the Department of 20 Fish and Game wildlife Staff have been involved in 21 organizing this. Sounding pretty positive. 22 23 So I think that will do it for my 24 report. 25 26 We'll try once again to see if Ian 27 Johnson's gotten reconnected. 28 29 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, are you able to hear 30 me now? 31 32 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, we are, go 33 ahead, Ian. 34 35 MR. JOHNSON: Okay, thank you. I 36 apologize for that, thank you, Mr. Chair. Yeah, my 37 name is Ian Johnson. I live in Hoonah. I've been here 38 maybe not as long as others, actually only five years, 39 but since that time, have been involved in a lot of 40 community based here, work force development resource 41 management and other things and feel like I've got a 42 pretty good finger on the pulse in terms of what's 43 happening in town. It's been really great getting a 44 perspective from folks across the region because a lot 45 of what I have to report I guess corroborates and is in 46 line with a lot of what's been reported around 47 Chichagof and Admiralty. 48 49 So, yeah, in regards to the rain

conditions and landslides, the things that have fallen out from that, Frank talked a lot about the rain, you know, we received 8.75 inches in a 48 hour period and Pelican received even more than that, almost 12 inches. Pretty unprecedented amounts of rain, in fact, record setting in that regard. That triggered -- that was in December, and since then it's just kind of continued to be a high event type of winter and that's actually triggered eight landslides that I know of across our road system which is going to impact, you know, our ability to get out and subsist here until those can be resolved. On top of the landslides there's multiple culvert failures and, you know, the whole road network has been shattered by that. I'm highly concerned about scouring in the rivers. We've seen a lot of change occurring within our river systems, you know, areas that have never had pools traditionally have been carved out, of course it moves a lot of wood and other things, and this is all stacked on what was already a pretty poor run for chum and pink within our systems here. So a lot of concern for a few years down the road and certainly believe we should be looking at our pink populations two years from now.

We also had a, I think, a pretty tough deer hunting season here. We, like Gustavus, had an early snow that did enable a lot of hunters to pick up a lot of deer on the beach. But I guess thinking back two years ago, I feel like -- so the 2019/2020 winter was severe -- fairly severe and long lasting out here that I think our winter kill was high and haven't heard other members talk about winter kill as potential impact to their deer resource. I don't have any numbers to support that but other than seeing a lot of deer on the beaches here, around Hoonah, but also even out in Cross Sound area, so I just -- I think we do need to think about winter kill, it's an impact on our hunting success.

Going along the lines of what Jim was talking about in Pelican in regards to clam, PSP, levels. We actually do have some monitoring programs for that here in Hoonah in association with Sitka Tribe and we've detected the highest levels of PSP in our shellfish that we've seen in Hoonah to date in that four years of work we've been doing there. It's not to say that these levels haven't ever happened or occurred in the past but certainly I believe that the warmer temperatures and out flow, nutrient out flow that's

coming from the rivers is directly linked to some of those numbers we've been seeing.

2 3 4

It was good to look at escapement numbers and other things that were provided for Hoktaheen, those systems, I guess, corroborating with what was reported from Pelican, it was a pretty tough year for subsistence users out in Hoktaheen, Takanis and Surge Bay. I know it was kind of a feast or famine type of year where folks that were able to connect with fish timing were able to succeed but I talked to users who fished Surge and Takanis out of necessity. There was also kind of like a disjunct between openings, timing openings and when fish were actually there so for instance a lot of the Hoktaheen fish, I think, showed up after the closure was done, when the subsistence season was complete.

We -- oh, another effect of the rain events is just drastic changes within our estuaries and I'm an avid shellfish user myself, cockles, and our local estuary here where a lot of our users go has been heavily impacted by the amount of sediment and other things coming out of there, you know, 10 inches of mud on top of areas that have always been gravel and cobble, butter clams and cockles getting completely rearranged and dug up, you know, the entire area out there in the estuary was littered with clams that have been yanked up by the high flows. You know, I was doing some skiing on one of our local water sheds last -- two or three weeks ago and was seeing spots where, you know, river levels were far out into the flood planes, eight or maybe even 10 feet above base flows during those max events so just really dramatic stuff.

I thought reporting on berries was a good addition. So we -- yeah, last year, I think was pretty average for berries but it's, I guess, important to think back even two years ago when, you know, we were in a moderate drought condition here and even steeper drought conditions further south and those conditions really impacted our berries so they did bounce back a little bit. I guess, you know, it really depends on what berry resource you're looking at. We, you know, salmonberries are heavily influenced by the weather here and it seems like they don't really like the strong drought and they didn't do well with the pretty exceptionally rainy summer too. Blueberries seemed to do all right, though.

 Just in general, as a Hoonah update, I guess, we just have a lot going on here right now surrounding stream restoration and kind of landscape improvement working both on private and public lands so through a partnership called the Hoonah Native Force Partnership, so, yeah, just a lot of active projects and work occurring to link directly to community need around subsistence and resource production.

So, yeah, I think that -- I sketched out a list for myself and I guess that was the list so I appreciate the opportunity to report.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good. Thank you, Ian. I'm glad you could get connected again. Yeah, excellent reports from all of our new Council members. Oh, last here we'll go to Cathy Needham for her local report and she will give the Chair's report. So, Cathy, go ahead.

MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Cathy. And for a local report I need to say that I did spend -- since the last time that we met I was still living on Prince of William Island. I enjoyed my time there being involved in a lot of activities that are not necessarily always get to partake in when I'm in Juneau such as deer hunting this fall, even though I was unable to get a deer myself, it has more to do probably with skill rather than population.

I have been spending some time continuously working on the wolf issues on Prince of Wales Island in Unit 2 staying abreast of the concerns about the -- regarding the population. As you know I've been working with Hydaburg Cooperative Association for a number of years now on the wildlife population research in conjunction with the State of Alaska. I just want to bring that to everyone's attention again. I know that there isn't always 100 percent support for this research in terms of its ability to estimate the number of wolves on POW but it is the current mechanism for obtaining a number that wolf populations can be managed by and that management strategy that the Alaska Department of Fish and Game has implemented has been in conjunction with the Federal managers with the U.S. Forest Service and this Council has supported that management strategy in the past, and I know that there's still a lot of discussions that continuously go on with that. And I

try to keep abreast of that as much as possible given that we are involved in that research. And I want to point out that that research doesn't have funding for Hydaburg Cooperative Association to continue and we talk about this population trying to manage it inseason previous -- prior to the season and being able to manage we have to have a number to work with and so I think it is important that, you know, our Council has always talked about how we need to fund wildlife projects just like we fund fisheries based projects but we never have funding for wildlife, so I think that is an impact that we're going to be seeing in terms of how the Federal management system interacts with the State management system and being able to gather that information that's needed for management decisions.

On the heels of the Roadless Rule making process, I've been trying to also track numerous efforts across the region that are more related towards local management ideas, or indigenous management ideas. We have a number of efforts that are coming out of the region. I think Regional Forester Dave Schmid mentioned a couple of them with the Tribal Homelands Petition as well as a petition that came out from the Trollers Association to protect and have more local management with salmon. I know that we're going to hearing from WECAN again this meeting as we did from the last meeting on food security and I just hope that we can -- that this Council can continue to learn more about those efforts and hopefully engage and support the effort to the benefit of our constituent subsistence users in the region.

I think that I'll end that for my sort of local Council report. And then I'll move into the Chair's report now.

 Before I give a recap of how the work that I was doing as the Acting Chair over the last six months, I just want to make sure that I thank Don Hernandez for spending time while he was not appointed on the Council to help update me and prepare me for representing this Council to the Federal Subsistence Board meeting. You know I've been Vice Chair for a number of years, I have not had the opportunity to attend the Board meeting in the past. Usually when those are made in person the Chair travels to the meetings and the Vice Chair is standing by and fortunate for myself in this position is that we've

always had very strong Chairs and, Don, and our previous Chair, Mr. Mike Bangs, were both always able to attend those meetings. So Don did spend a little bit of time in this sort of off period prepping me for that and I really appreciate the time and effort that he put in to do so. So even though he wasn't formally appointed to our Chair -- or appointed to our Council, he definitely continued to work on the behalf of this Council over the last six months and being able to do that for me.

The Federal Subsistence Board met telephonically January 26th through 29th and that meeting was their regular meeting for handling their regulatory proposals for the region. I'm told that for the Southeast region it was relatively mild for us, we only had one fisheries proposal before the Federal Subsistence Board and it was on the consensus agenda and the Federal Subsistence Board voted to maintain the status quo, which was to maintain closure of all except for Federally-qualified subsistence users in Makhnati Island Federal public waters for herring and herring spawn. So because it was on the consensus agenda obviously it was the position that our Council gave.

The other action you may recall from our fall meeting that we talked about individual -- or C&T use determinations for individual National Park Service, and our Council -- I was able to represent our Council's opposition to that process that was discussed. It had to do with the application of individual National Park Service permitting and having a delegation of authority for that process. And other Councils from across the state, it was a mixed bag, there were a couple that did support the proposal and there were many who wanted more information before they made a decision so they didn't provide input back and, again, our Council opposed it. The Federal Subsistence Board ended up passing a modified version of the proposal and the modified version did address the concern of delegated authority and did allow for when individual permits are applied, for that, they will seek input from Regional Advisory Councils and Subsistence Resource Committees. And I do believe that we're going to hear more about that in the National Park Service's report to the Council later in our session.

Our Council report to the Federal

Subsistence Board also included our disappointment about the lack of Council appointments and the reduction of our Council members from when we went from a 13 member Council to an eight member Council. This was the sentiment across the state. Every Regional Advisory Council expressed their dissatisfaction and how that impacted them as a working Council and their ability to representative regions and I also believe there was a lot of testimony regarding this, not just from Regional Advisory Councils, but across the Board it was to the flavor of the entire Board meeting, if you will so I think the message was heard loud and clear. Many Councils had to still go through their meeting cycle without appointments, since those appointments only just came back this last week. I quess this is the one time that we were fortunate enough to pick our meeting schedule last and get stuck with having to be later in the meeting cycle, because that delay allowed for us to get our Council appointed so that we can have almost a full Council for this meeting cycle.

During the Federal Board meeting I was also able to highlight work we've done in 2020 which included all our communications with the Forest Service regarding land management activities. We also talked about all of our correspondence so that included communications and support for the Unit 2 deer summit and our after the Roadless Rule making process. We also -- Chairman Hernandez and Bob Schroeder and I were involved in the OMB process for giving testimony for that so we shared that with the Federal Board.

Chairs are also able to share observations that come from our region and so we highlighted how the pandemic has impacted food security in our region. We talked about the low fish returns for king and sockeye salmon, and how that from our region that we heard from our constituency.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{We}}$ -- I spent some time outlining our actions regarding the Alaska Roadless Rule making process.

There were a lot of comments that we were able to include regarding wolf management in Unit 2 and this Council's engagement in those efforts. And how the ANILCA .810 subsistence hearings went for that.

Also able to highlight issues about deer hunting as well as increased competition of predation by bears in the region and some of the bear issues that Southeast Alaska experienced this last year.

We highlighted, not issues, but we just reported back on receiving moose management in Yakutat.

And we also thanked the Board, again, for their actions and they granted the Organized Village of Kake their special action for food security and being able to harvest additional resources out of season during the pandemic.

And so I think that is pretty inclusive of everything that this Council was able to report back to that meeting and I'll conclude my report at this time.

Thanks, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Cathy, thank you very much. And, once, again, I'm sure the rest of the Council appreciates the fact that you are so ably able to step in and take care of the Council's business. Really well done on short notice so thanks again.

I think we're going to take a break for lunch now. When we come back, check with DeAnna, see if there's any important agenda items we have to address right after lunch, I don't think there are, we can go to public testimony right after....

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:lunch so, DeAnna might -- go ahead.

MS. PERRY: Thanks, Mr. Chair. Before we break for lunch I know that we have a time certain for the State biologists on our fisheries for 1:00 o'clock today. So I know that they are available at 1:00 o'clock. We do, as you noted, still have public comment and testimony still to come and we also have Mr. Schumacher and Scott Shuler standing by to discuss wolves. Looking at the availability of those involved, I didn't know if this Council might want to switch

```
0079
    around the State proposal discussion with wolves,
    again, just based on everyone's availability. And it
    looks as though if we don't get to wolves today there
    are some time slots tomorrow that we could address
    that. Just trying to take advantage of the support
 6
    that we have right now from the State. Just bringing
 7
    that to the Council's attention to act upon however
     they'd like to.
 8
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Let me just
11
     ask you, I know it was available at 1:00 o'clock, I
     don't know if they had the rest of the afternoon
12
13
     available after 1:00 o'clock or if a short time period
14
     there, so that was one question. I guess the other
15
     question is do you have notification from folks that
16
     want to testify, public comments, have a list there?
17
18
                     MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair, I have two
19
     folks who said they would like to speak on the
20
    Traditional Homelands Conservation Rule, and then, of
21
    course, Mr. Kitka mentioned that he might want to speak
22
    on the extra-territorial jurisdiction on behalf of
23
     Sitka Kaagwaantaan.
24
25
                     MS. PHILLIPS: How do you let them know
26
    to testify.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I hear a question.
29
30
                     MS. PERRY: I've received emails but if
31
     you would like to testify, if you can give me your name
32
     and what agenda item you'd like to testify on I could
33
     take that now.
34
35
                     MS. PHILLIPS: This is Patricia
36
    Phillips.
37
38
                     MS. PERRY: I'm sorry, Patty, is that
39
     you?
40
41
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Yes, and it's on
42
    nonagenda items.
43
44
                     MS. PERRY: Okay, thanks, Patty. I'm
     sorry I've got a terrible echo with your phone and I
45
46
     didn't hear your name at first. Good to hear you.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, so, DeAnna,
```

I know how important public comments is to the Council

49

```
0800
     and I don't really want to have to put people for too
    long, if the State is available for a time period this
    afternoon, if we could get to that item of business
    right after public comment that would be the
    preference. I don't know if you could check with them
    on that. It's hard to judge how long public comment
 6
 7
    might last but I would like to get to that right after
     lunch if possible.
 8
 9
10
                     MS. PERRY: I can reach out to see how
11
     long the State biologists would be available for that
     discussion. I do realize that Mr. Schumacher has a
12
13
     3:00 to 4:00 p.m., conflict, but he and Mr. Shuler
14
    would be available to talk about wolves this afternoon
15
     after 4:00. So if you'll just give me a moment I can
     reach out unless Mark Burch or Ben Mulligan are on the
16
17
     phone and could let us know right now how long we might
18
    have the State biologists online this afternoon.
19
20
                     MR. BURCH: Mr. Chair, this is Mark.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
23
    Mark.
24
```

MR. BURCH: Yeah, I checked with them and I know they're planning to be available at 1:00 but we really don't know their availability for each of the biologists later this afternoon after that. So we just checked at 1:00 when we understood that you had a time certain for us.

31 32 Thank you, Mr. Chair.

33

2526

27

28

29

30

34 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you for

that.

35 36 37

MR. KITKA: Don, this is Harvey.

38 39

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,

40 Harvey.

41 42 43

44

45

MR. KITKA: There's a couple of people that wanted to make some comments, Tom Gamble and Mike Miller, they're going to come to the conference room probably about 1:00 o'clock. So when public comments come on they want to talk also.

46 47 48

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. I know, Harvey, we do like to accommodate the public and if you

```
0081
 1
     know that people are going to be there expecting to
     testify this afternoon I would like to give that
 2
    priority. So, DeAnna, we may have to move the wolf
 4
    discussion back to later this afternoon.
 5
 6
                     MS. PERRY: And, Mr. Schumacher and Mr.
 7
     Shuler have said that would be fine after 4:00 o'clock,
 8
     they would be available at that time.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I think we
11
    may have to do that, yeah, sometimes the morning's
12
    business just kind of runs on. So we'll do that.
13
    Maybe we don't need a full hour for lunch. Harvey it
14
    sounds like you got people coming at 1:00 o'clock. So
15
    maybe we can reconvene at 1:15 if that's okay. That'll
16
     give everybody a chance to have a decent lunch.
17
18
                     MR. KITKA: Can do.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Can do. Okay.
21
22
                     MR. ROBBINS: Mr. Chair.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That's where
25
    we'll....
26
27
                    MR. ROBBINS: Mr. Chair, this is Harold
28
    from Yakutat.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, Harold, is
31
    that you.
32
33
                     MR. ROBBINS: Yes. I would like to
34
     correct my comments and verify that Larry was right on
35
     the king salmon DNA here in Yakutat, it was the gillnet
36
     king salmon in Yakutat Bay.
37
38
                     Thank you.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, hopefully
41
     our recorder takes note of that and can alter your
42
     report. So thank you Harold.
43
44
                     Any other comments or suggestions from
45
     the Council before we take a break.
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you
```

```
0082
     all very much. Yep, it's really difficult trying to
     juggle the schedule that meets everybody -- or the
     agenda to meet everybody's timeframes but like I say I
    think I'm going to prioritize public comments so we
 5
    will hear public comment at 1:15 so have a good break
 6
    everybody.
 7
 8
                     Thank you.
 9
10
                     (Off record)
11
12
                     (On record)
13
14
                     (Teleconference interference -
15
    participants not muted)
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: DeAnna, I think I
18
    heard some members, maybe we're still missing one,
19
     Schroeder, Ian Johnson, are you back.
20
21
                     REPORTER: Okay, really quick, though,
22
     I'm going to have to have you guys mute your phone if
23
     you're not talking.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think I heard
26
     Ian was back.
27
28
                     MR. JOHNSON: That's correct, yep, Ian
29
    is here.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, we have the
32
    Council back, we have some folks ready to testify. I
33
    don't know if the Sitka group is all in one room, or if
34
    you're at your homes but if you have -- Harvey, if you
35
    have folks through....
36
37
                     (Teleconference interference -
38
    participants not muted)
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....ready to get
41
     started just let us know who will be up and testifying.
42
43
                     MR. KITKA: Yeah, I don't know if Mike
44
     wants to testify right now. He's ready though.
45
    want to testify.
46
47
                     MR. MILLER: I could give some updates.
48
49
                     MR. KITKA: Mike Miller would like to
50
```

talk to the Council. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, go ahead, Mr. Miller. MR. MILLER: Yeah, thanks, Mr. Chairman. Mike Miller, Sitka Tribal Council, and do a fair amount of subsistence activities around the state, I quess, a lot of marine mammal work and some others.

And so I guess I'm not specifically testifying to anything before the group at this point but I did want to just give a couple updates, I think that, you know, have impact on subsistence, and one just dealing with marine mammal work. We recently had a statewide meeting, actually last fall at this point, with all the organized comanagement holders in the state and there'd been a little bit of division between some of the northern Arctic group and some of the southern groups, management planning, but we did come to an agreement between all the Arctic regions and all the members to collectively work together on more regional -- a more regional approach to interpretations of Marine Mammal Protection Act instead of the present language, which is statewide. And it does have down stream implications for harvest management in regions like Southeast. So I think our next step is to circle back and meet with AFN on how to go forward with that and we're in the planning process for that.

Our statewide organization did submit for funding, we were invited by National Marine Fisheries to submit a proposal to help rebuild a comanagement body for harvest seals across the state, about 70 tribes or so that would be involved. So hopefully that funding will come through.

And I think lastly, just of note, I think to the group, is that we also kind of came across some potential reauthorization language for Magnuson-Stevens Act which has -- although it came out of a California Congressman's office, they had really strong subsistence language added -- or proposed language added that did -- there was a good resolution that came out of AFN in support of that. And so it would -- if it was passed, it would be adding subsistence definitions in the Magnuson-Stevens Act, along with actually some additional protections for forage fish in Federal waters. So that would be for fisheries that

aren't already developed but there's some good language for protection of consideration and other factors of forage fish and all the things that live off of them. So that's hopefully positive language. I can get a copy of that resolution to Harvey and he can forward it to you guys.

So those are just some updates that are working on subsistence.

So thanks for the time and certainly if there's any questions I'd be happy to answer.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mike. Yeah, you bring up some issues that have been before the Council, and of interest to the Council here in the past. Marine mammals for sure and the forage fish has also come before the Council before. We'd be interested in seeing resolutions regarding those.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Does}}$ anybody on the Council have any questions for Mr. Miller.

MR. WRIGHT: Hi, this is Frank.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,

27 Frank.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ WRIGHT: Yeah, what kind of issues that you have with the harvest -- going with the harvest seal, you know, so I was curious about that.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MR. MILLER: Mr. Chair. Yeah, Frank. It's actually not new issues it's just that there was a comanagement body, several years ago that worked on issues of National Marine Fisheries, and they essentially kind of disbanded and so for an organized group to work with National Marine Fisheries, there really isn't a successor organization and in our statewide group recently rebuilt the comanagement group for polar bears and just coming off the heels of that National Marine Fisheries said, hey, you know, could you -- since you have all the documents could you start restructuring a group that could be representative of a whole mix of folks for comanagement with the National Marine Fisheries. So it would take, you know, Southeast, Southcentral, Kodiak, Aleutians, Bristol Bay

so there's quite a few tribes to try to pull together. But if we get the funding fully we'll be reaching out to all the tribes, you know, and some organizations. And of course it'll be up to the tribes whether they want to be a part of it or not but that'll be up to each.

MR. WRIGHT: Followup, Don.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,

Frank.

MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, I was just wondering because, you know, wondering about the regulation on Natives hunting sea -- harbor seals because, you know, you got to be at least a quarter or something like that and I ran into people that still eat seal meat and stuff but they're not even a quarter and they can't hunt them and so eventually because of assimilation of people, you know, I don't know if this is what you deal with but, you know, I would say some blondes are more Tlingit than Tlingit so it's kind of like, you know, you take something out of the culture, the Native community when you diminish what they do, you know. And I know a person in town that used to take his boys out hunting seal, he couldn't shoot them because he was a 32/nd or something like that but his kids could shoot them, so that's how that went, you know, but he ate seal meat like my ex-brother-in-law did too. So anyway that's just a comment.

Thank you.

MR. MILLER: So, Mr. Chair, if I might.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.

MR. MILLER: So for the restructure it wouldn't be getting into actually any of the harbor seal interpretations or any of the MMPA, it's just strictly creating the structure for the organization. So with the statewide group, when we did the polar bear, we got all the communities together and kind of stood up the group but the group, itself, is dealing with the Department of Interior on polar bear issues. So this is just the very first stage of recreating an organization and who they would end up, you know, housing it under and the issues they would want to take up would be up to the group. It's just facilitating

getting the group back in place to receive funding from National Marine Fisheries.

2 3 4

But the issue you bring up, Frank, is absolutely, you know, kind of on the minds of all the groups in the south, actually, you know, that's the way things are and that's why we're having to work with some of the Arctic communities to agree to potentially allow regional interpretations instead of statewide interpretations. Because the way the language is right now if you qualify here in Sitka or Hoonah or wherever you qualify also for polar bear or walrus and some of the groups up there are concerned that that could increase pressure on species that have conservation issues. But they agree that we should be allowing self-determination in the tribal groups, but they just don't want one group defining for them. And if we go the regional approach then that could allow for provisions down here for people to qualify but it wouldn't automatically make them qualify for polar bear or walrus, that'd be up to the groups up there.

So that's the effort that we're trying to do. And having a good strong comanagement body is really helpful in getting that, to have a venue each year for all the communities to speak their issues.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, any other questions for Mr. Miller.$

MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chair, Mike Douville.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Mike.

MR. DOUVILLE: I think the Alaska Native Harbor Seal Commission was the one he might have been referring to that may not be active anymore. But in 1998 I was a certified biosampling technician for them. I just want to make a comment in relation to what Frank said. Our Native heritage is getting deluded quite rapidly and that we need to see some changes to accommodate these changes.

The one thing I would like to see is not the 1/4 quarter Native portion of it but to be a tribal member would qualify you. So that's something that we need to pursue in the future and not far in the future but actually pretty soon.

```
0087
 1
                     Thank you.
 2
 3
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chair, this is Albert.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Did you say
 6
    Robert?
 7
 8
                     MR. HOWARD: This is Albert.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Albert. Oh, hi,
11
    Albert.
12
13
                     MR. HOWARD:
                                  Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14
     I'd like to agree with Mr. Douville and Mr. Wright.
15
     When you look at Tlingit culture you can look at --
16
     I'll use my son as an example. He's my step-son but he
17
     grew up as my son so I call him my son. But he doesn't
18
     look Native but his mother's Tlingit so in our culture
19
    that makes him Tlingit. It doesn't say anything about
20
     quarter or half or three-quarters Tlingit, he is
21
     Tlingit because his mother is.
22
23
                     You know I think we're falling into a
24
     system that isn't designed or indigenous friendly, I
25
     guess. And I think to recognize someone as Tlingit or
26
     not should be based on our own way of doing things, the
27
     way things have always been done with our people. So
28
     maybe that's something we should visit.
29
30
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert.
33
    Any more questions or comments.
34
35
                     MR. KITKA: Don, this is HARvey.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Harvey, go ahead.
38
39
                     MR. KITKA: I just wondered if that
40
     question of blood quantum should go back to whoever set
41
     it up and see if we could get it changed at that point.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Another issue to
44
     work on, I don't know where to begin but.....
45
46
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.
47
     I just had one comment kind of just a potential to
48
     moving forward. There's an initiative across the
49
     region right now called the Guardian's Network. It's
50
```

being modeled off of work being done by First Nations in Canada, it seems like -- so Tlingit/Haida has recently hired a position, it was referenced from the funding that Dave Schmid talked about in his report. Basically that link to the Guardian's Network and think 6 kind of working towards some of the comanagement 7 strategies. But there's a lot of flexibility and kind of talk about what directions that group should take, 9 the Guardian's Network, broadly. But just want to 10 throw that out there as an avenue for folks who aren't 11 familiar with it and they want to become in touch with 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right, thank you, Ian. And I guess remind the Council that -- maybe not this exact issue but related issues is on the agenda for later, under the agency reports, we're going to hear more about efforts of comanagement and, yeah, those topics will all become relevant so, yeah, keep those in mind.

202122

Thank you.

23 24

Anybody else on the Council.

2526

27

28

MR. BEMIS: Yes, this is Larry, can you

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,

hear me okay?

29

Larry.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. BEMIS: I'm thinking that we need to have each community weigh in on this so that we're not just representing a group of people, maybe a group of people will represent the idea and get full support from the people understanding it rather than us conveying it and then conveying it to a committee and a committee deciding. I'm thinking that maybe this should go to each one of the tribal organizations and also the tribes, self-govern themselves, and get this out there. Because, yes, we are diluting a little bit of the blood line as time goes on, that's just a given. But when it comes to making a decision, this shouldn't be just made by the people representing the idea to go to a committee, I think all the people should weigh in and carry the weight of getting this done. So somehow we need to get this information out to the communities and let them know what's going on and where they stand with this to see how many people are wanting to help

0089 out and do this, or the ones that might be against it, and if we get full support then it shouldn't be a 2 problem to get a change. 4 5 Thank you. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 8 Larry. 9 10 MR. MILLER: So, Mr. Chair, this is 11 Mike in Sitka. 12 13 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Mike. 14 15 MR. MILLER: So, yeah, I don't know if 16 I can, you know, stay for the later discussion on 17 comanagement, but, yeah, this issue has been around for 18 a long time. You know there are different 19 interpretations, this is the interpretation of the 20 MMPA, and, you know, certainly communities weighing in 21 is really important. The one thing is that as we've brought this to the Congressional Delegation, the way 22 23 the MMPA is written, it's got to be, in their eyes, 24 kind of a 100 percent across the state is what they 25 shared with us, to make these changes. There are 26 considerations because a change like that, marine 27 mammals are not governed by states or individual 28 tribes, it's the common property of the United States, 29 so everybody gets to comment and that's something to 30 consider. But the problem that we've run into is that 31 since the MMPA is common for all Natives across the 32 state, there are regions of the state that don't want 33 the change across the board, they want to do it on a 34 regional level. So that's why I think it's important, 35 you know, to have a good comanagement structure to get 36 the views of the community and be able to interact with

37 38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

the agency.

And Mr. Douville is right, this is the recreation of the Alaska Native Harbor Seal Commission, which unfortunately misspent Federal money, the Executive Director I think is in prison, and they were debarred, the organization eligible to receive funding anymore, they are defunct. So now we're trying to help rebuild a structure so the communities can work together and come up with a common— so it's good discussion and, you know, hopefully in negotiating with some of the Arctic communities that don't necessarily want a change but they are saying that they would be

tentatively okay with a regional approach and so that, you know, groups like Southeast, or Kodiak or Southcentral where it's more of an issue, be able to make changes. But it's, you know, unfortunately, you know, kind of a bigger thing where you need everybody at the table and we're working on it.

So that's where that stands.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, well, obviously it's of a lot of interest to the Council, Mike, so appreciate you keeping us informed.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ MILLER: Absolutely, yep. And I'll get that other MMPA language to Harvey and he can share it with you guys.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good, thank you. Harvey is there anybody else there with you in Sitka that wants to testify.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ KITKA: Mr. Tom Gamble didn't show up so I'll take my part.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey.

MR. KITKA: I'll go back to August of 2020, August 31st is actually when we submitted a letter to the Secretary, Department of Agriculture, on extra-territorial jurisdiction of Sitka waters on the issue of herring.

One of the reasons we did this, we noticed that the herring, after thousands of years of coming back to one spot, just kind of disappeared from that area and that it's controlled basically by the seiners. They have such legends about where they came to spawn and where they traditionally spawned within our Sound and to talk about how much herring there was, when they fished out, what we called our local stock, which was stock that basically stayed within the bays around Sitka Sound year-round, they came out and spawned with the ocean stock that came in. It might have been all part of the same stocks for all we know but we had stocks that stayed within all the bays within our passage ways between (indiscernible) almost no matter where we went there was herring. I know that when talking with my father-in-law and my father about the early hand-trolling days when they used to get

towed off to the different fishing spots and they'd watch for when the herring started bouncing out of the water then they'd all run to their skiffs to go ut and start fishing because in between then when the king 5 salmon came back through their first sign is the herring started bouncing out of the water, and we don't 6 7 see those big areas anymore. Actually we don't see that many king salmon anymore. I know back in 1990 9 (ph) I wrote an article to the Sitka Sentinel, I was 10 getting ready to turn it into the editors on what 11 happened to our Sitka Salmon Derby and why we weren't 12 getting any large pink salmon anymore. We knew there 13 was still herring out in the ocean because the power 14 trollers were catching big ones still, off shore, but 15 it was way out in the 50 fathom area and deeper. But the herring disappeared and with it the king salmon 16 17 didn't come into their usual spots anymore. 18 was -- even though the State doesn't realize that we 19 subsisted on king salmon as well as everybody else that 20 had king salmon in the streams we caught them in the 21 ocean the same way, we caught them off the handlines 22 and stuff.

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

The Kaagwaantaan, we wrote a -- we drew up a picture of basically just around Baranof Island where the spawns took place before contact and how big the spawn was knowing that other communities like Craig/Klawock, when their spawn started and it was also as huge as Sitka's maybe even huger because theirs not only spread from their area but it went up to Chatham Straits all the way to Kake so that whole area on the south end of Baranof Island are probably one of the biggest commercial fishing industries that took place. It had Coronation Island and southern tip of Baranof Island, these were big places for halibut and king salmon, so -- and we don't see those big bodies anymore. We don't see all that herring. So the herring are really dropped, and the last few years we started fishing, started realizing the marketable fish were all the older fish and they started targeting these older herring and now the percentages of the older herring have dropped considerably.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

49

50

A couple of years ago the -- I think it was the three year olds were one of the biggest number and all the rest were real tiny percentages of the biomass. Well, now they're five year olds and they're still the biggest numbers. But a year ago the three year olds were only one percent of the biomass. So

0092 looking down the street it doesn't look very good for, you know, once these five year olds are gone there 2 might not be any herring out there for anybody. 4 5 So this is one of the things that we 6 wanted to stress in our petition notice. 7 8 My question is, basically, is, do I 9 have to resubmit this again since we got new people in 10 Washington, D.C. 11 12 That's all I got, Don. 13 14 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, 15 Harvey. You know what I'd like to say is in the amount of knowledge that you have, you know, accumulated, it's 16 17 just really invaluable. I just -- I don't know I think 18 it's just really a sad commentary that, you know, the 19 existing managers don't seem to pay attention to that, 20 I don't understand it. But such a wealth of knowledge 21 that you have to offer there. 22 23 And as far as the petition goes, there 24 might be other questions from the Council, but I was 25 wondering what kind of response you did get after 26 submitting that letter, I don't think we ever heard? 27 28 MR. KITKA: Well, Don, I got a note 29 that they got it, and I don't know if -- where it 30 disappeared to but I haven't heard a thing since. 31 32 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Interesting. 33 Okay, I'll open it up to other Council members who 34 might have questions now. So go ahead, anybody else on 35 the Council with a question for Harvey. 36 37 MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Calvin 38 Casipit. 39 40 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 41 Cal. 42 43 MR. CASIPIT: I'm really interesting in this ETJ petition. It seems like it should have been 44 45 assigned for Staff work and if it hasn't been, I would 46 suggest, Harvey, that you resubmit it and just say, 47 hey, we submitted this to the last Secretary of

Agriculture and we didn't get a response -- well, we

got a response, but there doesn't appear to be any

48

49

0093 action, we're resubmitting it and we would still like you to, you know, consider this and just send it to the new Secretary. I think that's what you're going to have to do because it sounds like it got -- it doesn't 5 sound like anybody's working on it. 6 7 MR. KITKA: Thank you, Cal. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, any other 10 response Harvey or, other questions from the Council. 11 12 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. 13 14 (No comments) 15 16 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna. 17 18 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnna. 19 20 MS. PERRY: Yeah, I wanted to followup 21 with Harvey's question on the status of the ETJ, I've 22 been texting Wayne Owen, and I believe that he can 23 provide an update on that. I think this was not 24 submitted through OSM, I think it was submitted 25 directly to the Secretary so I've been a bit out of the 26 loop but perhaps Wayne can speak to this. He said that 27 he is online and could speak to that if that's 28 appropriate at this time. 29 30 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure, if he's 31 available with information, go ahead. 32 33 MR. OWEN: Mr. Chair, Wayne Owen. 34 35 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Wayne. 36 37 Thank you, Mr. Chair. With MR. OWEN: 38 respect to the ETJ petition, where it is right now is the Forest Service Washington Office is putting 39 40 together packages of unresolved issues for the new 41 Secretary. It is in that pile of stuff. There is not 42 now a schedule or any expectation of when that might happen. You know we don't know that. But the Forest 43 Service, in the Washington Office, is aware it and it 44 45 is in that pile to go back there. 46 Having said that, you know, I'm sure it 47 48 will be addressed eventually. But I'd like to support 49 Council Member Casipit's suggestion that the

```
0094
    Kaagwaantaan consider resubmitting it to Secretary
    Vilsack. Secretary Vilsack is a known quantity to us.
    He was Secretary of Agriculture during the last ETJ
    petition. You know his Administration handled it very
    well, you know, administratively, I'm not talking about
    how it came out or anything but, you know, it went
 7
    through the regular process under the Agriculture
    Department. So there would be nothing wrong with Mr.
 9
    Kitka and his clan to resubmit it again. But if you
    choose not to, please know that the Forest Service has
10
11
    got their handle on it and they will see it eventually,
12
     you know, but we don't have a timeline on that.
13
14
                     So that's everything I know about the
15
    herring ETJ right now.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
18
    Mr. Owen, that's helpful.
19
20
                     MR. KITKA: Don, this is Harvey.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead.
23
24
                     MR. KITKA: Could the information be
25
    given to DeAnna so that this could be forwarded on to
26
    me so I could have the addresses of where to send this
27
    to and who to address it to.
28
29
                     MR. OWEN: Through the Chair. Mr.
30
    Chair.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.
33
34
                     MR. OWEN: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair.
35
    Mr. Kitka, I will work with DeAnna to get you that
36
    information.
37
38
                     MR. KITKA:
                                 Thank you.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
41
42
                     MR. OWEN: You're welcome.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: While we're on
45
     this subject I have a question that maybe the Staff
46
     could answer, maybe before the end of the meeting, it
47
    doesn't have to be answered right now. But I would
48
     like to know if it would be appropriate for the Council
49
     to send a letter, not necessarily expressing any
```

```
0095
     opinion on the petition itself but just to, you know,
     inform the Secretary that this is an important issue to
    the Council and we would like to see some action on it.
     I don't know if that's an appropriate type of letter to
 5
    write but at some point.....
 6
 7
                     MR, OWEN: It is within your
 8
     jurisdiction and area of responsibility and I would see
 9
     nothing wrong or contrary to your doing that. So that
10
    would be your decision.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
13
    Mr....
14
15
                     MR. OWEN: But it is not inappropriate.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....Owen --
18
    that's a quick response. We may consider that before
19
     the end of the meeting so thank you. Any other
20
     questions for Harvey.
21
22
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank.
25
26
                     MR. WRIGHT: I was wondering if it
27
    would appropriate just to make a motion so it'll be a
    motion made by this Council to get this thing rolling
28
29
     so that we could, you know, kind of put a fire
30
     underneath somebody. So if we had a motion from this
31
    Council to get this issue it might be a little
32
     stronger.
33
34
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you,
37
     Frank. I think that's probably how we will address it.
38
     We'll do that later in the meeting when we usually do
39
     have a list of letters that we want to be written and,
40
     yeah, having a motion and a vote to that effect is
41
    probably what we would want to do. So that'll come
42
    later in the meeting, I've taken a note of it. So,
43
    thank you for that. Any other questions, comments from
44
    the Council.
45
46
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank.
49
```

```
0096
 1
                     MR. WRIGHT: Just a question for
    Harvey. Has the quota jumped up from last year.
 2
    don't think they fished last year but I understand the
 4
    quota went up a little bit so do you have that
 5
     information?
 6
 7
                     MR. KITKA: Yes, the quota went up to,
 8
    what, 20,000.
 9
10
                     MR. MILLER: 33.
11
12
                     MR. KITKA: 36.
13
14
                     MR. MILLER: 33. But they're not going
15
    to fish the entire quota.
16
17
                     MR. KITKA: Yes, Frank, the quota went
18
    up to 33,000 tons but all reports are that they're not
19
     going to fish that total amount but we'll wait and see,
20
     I guess.
21
22
                     MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, well, thank you.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other
25
    questions for Harvey.
26
27
28
                     (No comments)
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you
31
     for that information, Harvey. Very interesting. And
32
     we will, I'm sure, be taking some action on your
33
     behalf. Is Patty Phillips still on the line available
34
     for public testimony.
35
36
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Chairman
37
    Hernandez, I am.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: It's good to hear
40
     you, go ahead.
41
42
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Chairman
43
     Hernandez and Regional Advisory Council members.
44
45
                     Well, I was enjoying the public comment
46
     I just heard and I hope there's some sort of an
47
     overview at the Department of Interior level that can
48
     bring subsistence to the forefront again.
49
```

So this is Patricia Phillips from Pelican, Alaska. I've always advocated for the continuance of our -- you know practice of our traditional ways of harvest and traditional ecological knowledge. And so what I want to discuss is there's some discussion going on in Pelican that our subsistence needs are not being met for deer. And so I'm looking at it from my traditional ecological knowledge viewpoint, which is, you know, looking at it from all points of view, having an open mind somewhat with subsistence being the priority.

So what comes to mind is that, you know, what are the deer population estimates for our area. You know Pelican, Alaska is out on the remote coast of the West Chichagof, Yakobi Island Wilderness area, we're very hard to get to. But I would say that, you know, it's been harder to see deer lately but does that mean we have less deer in our area. One thing I want to bring up is I was reviewing the minutes to see if my comments from the last meeting made it into the minutes and there's nothing that indicates public comment in the minutes but at the last meeting I called in and said that I was concerned about the bear predation on our deer in the Lisianski Inlet/Lisianski Straits area and I still have that concern. I think we're seeing less deer because of predation. Does that mean that bear are getting a lot of deer, I think they are getting deer but I think also the deer are staying up in the Alpine or up in the uplands away from the deer -- or away from the bear. But the bear, especially with this, you know, low salmon populations or low salmon numbers in the Lisianski Inlet/Lisianski Strait, the bear are hungry so they're looking for anything to eat and -- but they're staying down in the beach fringe for the most part.

 So I mean there's been some discussion about, well, what can we do, there's less deer. Well, I think, you know, it has to do with the environment, you know, there's concern about there's overharvest from non-Federally-qualified users and so we need to reduce those harvest levels. But there's several ways it can be done. One of those ways that's being discussed and I don't know if I necessarily support it but it's just to make Lisianski Inlet/Lisianski Strait a strictly subsistence use area. Well, that's 100 miles of coast line. And, you know, Pelican, in the wintertime we're around 90 people and, you know, 10 of

those are kids and some of them are elders but, you know, so we're looking at about 70 of us who have, who can go out and harvest but you probably have about 40, 50 who are actual deer harvesters who go out and harvest. So the number of non-residents coming in to harvest is -- I don't know if that's the real cause of the deer population diminishing, so what else could we do.

> Well, I was looking at what the RAC has done in other areas, and I really appreciate that you look at it on a case by case basis. But in Unit 2 there is, what do you call that, the non-resident -- or the non-Federally-qualified may harvest up to two male deer on Federal public lands in Unit 2. Was that a provision that the RAC endorsed and passed. Because if we can reduce the amount of harvest that non-Federallyqualified can take, you know, that would be a good start, I mean because right now in Lisianski Inlet/Strait, non-Federally-qualified are allowed up to four deer apiece and that means both resident and nonresident of Alaska. And, further, it would probably require a Board of Game proposal to non-residents of Alaska to only take one deer so it would take some sort of coordination. And non-residents are required to have a locking tag and there's a locking fee associated with that or, you know, there's a fee associated with a locking tag which is a \$300 fee.

So, you know, it's a concern about our deer but is it -- what's it related to. I mean we didn't have snow until -- I mean any amount of snow until now, until March and so, you know, the deer haven't had to come down. I was getting concerned, I'm not seeing any little ones, I'm not seeing any does, I'm really not seeing much deer. But we did get what we needed. But, you know, we have the ability to go to the outer coast and get our deer. And some of the folks here in town they have to hunt the town -- you know just the town watershed. They have to stay close to town and we have a pink salmon, chum salmon stream right in Pelican and so we got bear around so you might see deer but they're very skittish.

 So anyways we are concerned about the number of the deer but there's more than one way to, you know, try to protect a resource without actually cutting people out completely. Because we have people from Juneau that are -- you know, they have a long

pattern of hunting out here. They don't spend a lot of time out here, they come out for -- it's expensive to get out here. So, you know, they'll come, they'll come for a week, maybe two, they'll fly in and ferry out or the other way, you know, but there's some people that have long connections to Pelican and, you know, I just -- by turning us into a subsistence use only zone then those people will lose that historical pattern of use. So I want us to consider other options of reducing harvest from non-Federally-qualified.

Anyways I also wanted to let you know that I coordinate the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Farmers to Families food box program and we brought in 10,000 pounds of food boxes that are distributed within our community and it really is meeting some of the food security needs. And harvesting our resources locally also contributes to that.

So that's pretty much my remarks and thank you for this opportunity, I'm out.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,} $$\operatorname{Patty.}$ You want to take a few questions.$

MS. PHILLIPS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I have one response. Your question in Unit 2, yes, we did get a regulation change through the Board that reduced the non-subsistence bag limit from four deer to two deer. It was strongly opposed by Fish and Game. They said it was not something we could do but the Board agreed with us and passed the regulation. So, yes, it is something that's in the tool box now, so if you want to go that route. And of course this is the time period to take wildlife proposals. I think it runs into May, I can't remember the exact date, but there's time to put together a wildlife proposal for the Federal system so I just wanted to pass that along to you.

And ask if there's any other Council members with any questions for Patty.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SLATER: This is Jim Slater from Pelican.

48 MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman, Mike

49 Douville.

0100 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think I heard 2 Jim Slater first, and then Mike Douville. MR. SLATER: Okay. This is for either 4 5 Patty or you, Mr. Chair, other -- that's actually a 6 great idea about reducing the bag limit for non-7 Federally-qualified hunters, are there any other methods that have been used besides that besides just 9 purely limiting it to subsistence only. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, we've 12 actually -- yeah, to answer Jim's question, we've 13 actually done a couple things in Unit 2. We shortened 14 the season for non-subsistence users. We moved their 15 season back into August, I think August 10th, and the subsistence season opens sooner so there's an advantage 16 17 for subsistence users who want to get out early before 18 -- in Unit 2 there's a big influx of hunters, you know, 19 when the season opens and a lot of them come from elsewhere so it gives the subsistence users a time 20 21 advantage. Yeah, that's the other -- I guess that's the only other.... 22 23 24 MR. SLATER: How many days, shorten the 25 season for.... 26 27 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: There's precedent 28 for that. That's done in other hunts in other places, 29 too, giving that priority of a earlier opening 30 essentially. 31 32 MR. SLATER: Okay. All right, well, thank you. 33 34 35 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Mike, you 36 have a question or comment. 37 38 MR. DOUVILLE: I have a comment for, I guess, what Patty said. It sounds like the bears have 39 40 turned to deer predation more so than normal. 41 42 MS. PHILLIPS: Right. 43 44 MR. DOUVILLE: Whenever you have predators it only takes a few of them. As an example 45 46 on the outside islands here, you take one wolf on one 47 of those islands and the deer do not like to come down

to the beach anymore. Where there is no wolf, all of

them are gone from there, the deer come down and they

48

49

lounge and enjoy themselves on the beach eating kelp or whatever, you see them quite often. But it doesn't take much of a predator presence to keep them off the beach and I suspect you might be seeing in Lisianski Inlet because of the -- perhaps the habit of the bears changed somewhat. It sounds like you can go to the outside or go farther and you're more successful, or the deer are more visible. If that is true, there probably is deer there yet but they are avoiding coming out in the open.

Thank you.

MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. And, thank you, Member Douville. He's absolutely right. I had one hunter who hiked up to the Alpine, up behind Pelican up to what we call Wedge Mountain and he -- in August, and he counted 200 deer up there but we weren't seeing them, we're not seeing them down here. We are starting to see them now because the snow's driving them down. I mean I'm actually seeing herds of deer but not -- not -- you know, I haven't been out to run the beaches like I -- I will, I just haven't had the chance yet. Every spring I usually go out when there's been a big snowfall and count deer. I just looked at my notes and I counted like 63 deer. So I'm usually seeing between 60 and 80 deer on the beaches when I make a run through. So I haven't done it this year so anyways, thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, Patty. I mean those kind of observations are pretty valuable. That's long-term information that's actually pretty relevant, yeah, so thank you for that.

Any other questions for Patty.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BEMIS: Yes, this is an observation, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Larry.

 MR. BEMIS: I have something here in Yakutat that I noticed in the last couple of years when it came to dealing with the bear. Now, most of the time when there's fish in the streams the bear just stay following the streams. If there's a poor run of any certain specie like the pinks, the reds, or -- the silvers are too late in the fall, but the first fish to

get up the stream, if there's not many, it doesn't take very long for the bears to come down, and we had so many break ins, so many -- I mean they tore up 15 camps and it's unheard of that we ever have bear come down and tear up the fish camps about 10 miles out of town, you can drive there. They just never let up. And you take -- last year we had a pretty decent run, we didn't see any bear, didn't have any break ins. And the other thing is, is well that bear thing that was going on, the deer that we had locally showed up in town. I mean there was deer all over town. I remember one day by the post office there was five deer playing in a ditch and they didn't care about the cars or nothing. You got to pretty much let them walk. They never used to be in town. So now that we've got this logging, it's going to be interesting because they've pretty much logged the last the perimeter of five miles around Yakutat.

But what I wanted to emphasize it's a definite change and other species, the deer, the moose, everything when the bears do not stay up in the streams. So, yes. And everything seems to disappear. So I wanted to say that as an observation of what's happened here in Yakutat a couple years ago.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Yeah, right, Larry. And then, of course, a lot of that activity depends on what happens in the fish stream so it's all connected.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ PHILLIPS: Mr. Chair, this is Patty Phillips again.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Patty.

MS. PHILLIPS: I just want to say that, you know, we had these torrential floodings also this fall and so I'm worried like down stream, or, you know, four years or three years from now with all the eggs washing out, you know, we're going to have more of these sort of events happening and where bear aren't getting their own nutrition needs and then, you know, more of an impact on deer populations as a result.

Okay, thank you. Sorry to interrupt.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: One more question.

Have you noticed the bears changing their hibernations this winter due to lack of food, are they out.

MS. PHILLIPS: Oh, yes. I had a bear on my porch in January twice. I even got a picture of him on my game cam. It's just unbelievable. Seeing their tracks in the snow you know they're around.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, they're hungry. Yeah, so all indications. So, yeah, affecting us and them. Any other questions.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you very much Patty. Started a good conversation there. How about Marina Anderson, are you standing by.

MS. ANDERSON: Hello, this is Marina Anderson with the Organized Village of Kasaan.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Marina.

MS. ANDERSON: Hi. Thank you guys for making time and giving me time to speak today. First, I want to make sure my audio is okay, can you all hear me well?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We hear you fine.

MS. ANDERSON: Okay. Great. W ell, thank you again. I have with me Katie Riley, with Sitka Conservation Society and she'll be supporting me, if there's anything that I miss out, as well as helping answer any questions that may come up.

I want to present a petition that was submitted by 12 tribes in Southeast Alaska to the Department of Agriculture. The petition was submitted by the Organized Village of Kasaan, Organized Village of Kake, Klawock Cooperative Association, Hoonah Indian Association, Ketchikan Indian Community, Organized Village of Saxman, Skagway Traditional Council, Yakutat Tlingit Tribe, Central Council Tlingit and Haida Indian Tribes of Alaska, Angoon Community Association, Craig Tribal Association and the Wrangell Cooperative Association. And the petition is requesting a creation of a traditional homelands conservation rule for the long-term management and protection of traditional and

1 customary use areas.

This petition is specifically relevant to the Southeast RAC because we're asking for long-term management and protection of the traditional and customary use areas to protect the long-term sustainability of the resources within the areas including critical subsistence resources such as fish, game, cedar (ph), and everything that comes along with it. With a lot of public comment about climate change impacting food resources and scarcity of important resources we need to conserve them and, this petition, like I stated is submitted by 12 tribes and it's a vision for comanagement in the region that has been promoted by the signatories.

So the Organized Village of Kasaan, it's very relevant for us and very important for us to be submitting this petition and being part of this petition because our stewardship of our land is long overdue. We have a lack of access to our cultural use wood and traditional resources and our main goal is to provide protection for the future generations.

The petition has three different asks in it. One, do an inventory and identify and protect traditional and customary use sites in areas. As part of the rulemaking process we're requesting the development of a methodology to identify the sites of critical importance to our customary use and the implementation of Forest-wide conservation measures and management to protect the uses.

Our second ask is for a new consultation process to co-identify the areas and to co-create the conservation measures. The Forest Service -- we're requesting the Forest Service to engage in a new and more robust and legitimate government to government consultation process with the tribes on the Tongass National Forest under the principles of mutual concern to identify customary and traditional use areas and design a Forest-wide conservation measure to protect them. Of course mutual concurrence means both parties must be agreeing when the consultation is happening, not just one party.

 $\hbox{And our third ask is for increased use} \\ \hbox{of existing authorities and cooperative agreements.} \\ \hbox{We're requesting the expanded utilization of the}$

existing authorities and agreements by the Forest Service and the Department of Agriculture to accomplish the goals in partnership with the sovereign tribal governments in Southeast Alaska.

The petition came about because of the Alaska Roadless Rule making process. The Forest Service neglected to incorporate value and input, comments and concerns that tribes in Southeast and the RAC raised in the final preferred alternative for a full exemption. This petition is separate from the Roadless Rule process but whatever happens there is going to generally be mirrored and calls for increase in comanagement work that has already started to happen in the region. A good example of that would be the Sitka Tribe's monitoring and management of the Klagg Bay sockeye run.

Our ultimate goal is to have important subsistence resources inventoried, monitored and managed. The partnerships between the tribes and the current main land owner, which is the United States Forest Service. We want to work with the Forest Service to develop and train local work force to be able to take this on.

And today we're specifically asking the Southeast RAC for support and to provide a letter of support for our Administrative Procedures Act petition that we have submitted.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Marina. So I haven't seen your petition yet, have you given a copy of that to our Coordinator so that the Council can view the petition.

MS. ANDERSON: I'm happy to email a copy over. And I think I just heard Katie Riley.

MS. RILEY: Yeah, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Katie.

MS. RILEY: We did email a copy of the petition to DeAnna and I'll request that she forwards that to all of you or if she needs an updated copy I'm happy to send that.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, the Council

```
0106
 1
     is definitely going to want to see that. And we can
     certainly put that as an item that we'll want to
     discuss, it'll probably be a letter from the Council of
 4
     some kind of support, is that what you're looking for.
 5
 6
                     MS. RILEY: Yes, please.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I'll make
 9
     note of that for the Council.
10
11
                     MS. RILEY: If I may, Mr. Chair.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.
14
15
                     MS. RILEY: I just wanted to say that
16
     this petition was submitted to former Secretary of
17
     Agriculture....
18
19
                     (Teleconference interference -
20
    participants not muted - echo)
21
22
                     MS. RILEY:
                                .....Sonny Perdue, and has
23
    not yet been resubmitted to the new Secretary of
24
    Agriculture but it's still waiting at the Department.
25
     Secretary Perdue sent a letter back notifying the
26
    tribes that he had received the petition and was
27
    considering it but we never received anything beyond
28
    that note and for all intents and purposes we
29
    understand that it is sitting there. Did appreciate
30
    Mr. Schmid's notification earlier that he thought that
31
     Secretary Vilsack had it on his to do list, reviewing
32
     it and sending a response on.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Might get
35
    back to some of these issues but first I want to see if
     there's any other Council members with questions for
36
37
     Katie, it sounds like you're testifying on the same
38
     issue perhaps or do you have something else you wanted
39
     to weigh in on this afternoon or are you here
40
     supporting Marina?
41
42
                     MS. RILEY: Yes. I am here to support
43
    Marina. I supported the development of this petition
44
     and primarily have been working to send it out to our
45
     Congressional contacts and gather support for it in
46
     that way. In addition, the Sitka Conservation Society
47
     is working through the Sustainable Southeast
48
     Partnership to bring as much of this comanagement work
49
     to fruition as we possibly can and definitely
```

0107 highlighting the work that is being done through the Hoonah Native Forest Partnership and the (Indiscernible - echo) Kwaan Community Forest Partnership as modeled for how this could work throughout the region. 5 6 So, yes, I'm here with Marina and 7 speaking in full support of this petition. 8 9 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. 10 So Council members, have any questions for either 11 Marina or Katie to answer, go ahead. 12 13 (No comments) 14 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Other questions from the Council. 16 17 18 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair. 19 20 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy. 21 22 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 23 just want to thank Marina for taking some time today 24 and give us a very thorough outline of this petition. 25 I had heard about it and have actually received a copy 26 and read it myself but, Marina, you did an excellent 27 job summing it up and articulating the wishes of the 12 28 tribes that are working together. 29 30 I'm wondering, I know this petition is 31 in the process after it's been submitted. 12 tribes 32 out of 17 in the region is outstanding in terms of 33 organizations coming together to support and work 34 together on a common cause and I wonder if there'll be 35 a little bit of circle back with any of the other 36 tribal organizations that may not have had a chance to 37 participate before the petition was submitted. 38 39 MS. ANDERSON: We haven't circled back with other tribes yet but that is something that we've 40 41 kind of continuously done and something that we'll most 42 likely do if we submit it again to Secretary Vilsack. 43 44 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Followup, 45 Cathy. 46 47 MS. NEEDHAM: No. Thanks, I appreciate 48 that answer. Again, a job well done. And thank you

for bringing this forward. You know I know that you

49

sat through a lot of our morning session and there was a lot of touching -- a lot of different Council members touched on the comanagement of resources on Federal lands in Southeast Alaska. And I think that for the benefit of the rest of the Council I think this petition, because it has strong support with a majority of the Federally-recognized tribes in the region, I think it's a venue that we're all trying to -- trying to find an opportunity to move forward with and I think this is a really good one.

And on a personal note I would definitely hope that we would put together a support letter for this petition from this group.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cathy. Any other Council members with questions on this.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I'm not hearing any other questions. But I don't think we're done with this issue yet. This is becoming a really important topic here in the region and I think it's something that the Council is going to have to get involved in. You know the issues that your homeland petition brings up, this whole concept of comanagement, where it can lead and later on the agenda we're going to have a presentation from a group WECAN, Womens Action Environmental -- Womens Environmental Climate Action Network, and a lot of what they are proposing ties in to what we're talking about right now. Hopefully Marina and Katie, you'll be listening in when we get to that closer to the end of the meeting.

But I also think that this issue is going to be a little more involved and a little more complex than some letters of support that can be written during the course of this meeting. You know, this issue moving forward is going to be important and time consuming, I believe. And I want the Council to be thinking ahead here a little bit in the course of this meeting. Something that I was kind of thinking about in the back of my mind was the need to maybe put together some kind of a -- either a working group, or possibly even establish a sub-committee of the Council similar to what we've done in the past, working with

0109 1 stakeholder groups and members of the Council on specific issues, any efforts that that group can come together on or brought back before the Council for the Council to take possible action on but just the ability 5 to sort through the complexity of a lot of these issues. It's definitely more than we could do just in 6 7 the course of a three day meeting. 8 9 So I'd like the Council to kind of keep 10 that in mind and maybe before the end of the meeting, 11 if, you know, we hear more, and people get other ideas 12 it might be something to consider. 13 14 I don't know if there's any other 15 comments related to this from members of the Council. 16 17 (No comments) 18 19 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, not hearing 20 any at this time, Marina and Katie, thank you very much 21 for your presentations, and as I said we will be 22 getting back to this issue. 23 24 MS. ANDERSON: Thank you. 25 26 MS. RILEY: Thank you. 27 28 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep. 29 30 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. 31 32 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, DeAnna. 33 34 MS. PERRY: I just wanted to let the 35 Council know that I did just send that petition to them 36 by email. 37 38 (Teleconference interference -39 participants not muted - echo, typing, cars) 40 41 MS. PERRY: And in looking at -- I know 42 I've sent several batches of supplemental materials out 43 since the printing of the meeting book and I believe I 44 owe Ms. Riley and Ms. Anderson apologies because I 45 don't think that made it into the prior batches of 46 supplemental materials. So I do extend my apology for 47 that and I have sent that now. So hopefully we'll have 48 an opportunity to look that over in the next couple of

49

50

days.

0110 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. We'll have our homework ahead of us here this evening so thank 2 you, DeAnna. I need to ask, is there anybody else on 4 the telephone line that called in who wants to give 5 public testimony this afternoon. 6 7 (Teleconference interference -8 participants not muted - echo, typing, cars) 9 10 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Am I hearing 11 somebody. 12 13 (No comments) 14 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I thought I heard 16 somebody on the line, I don't know if they wanted to 17 testify or make comment. 18 19 (No comments) 20 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Apparently not, 22 okay. Thank you to those that did provide comments. 23 Always very important to the Council that we hear from 24 our fellow residents what's going on in the area and 25 important issues brought forward so thank you again. 26 27 I'm looking at the agenda, okay, 28 DeAnna, did we get a confirmation from Scott Shuler and 29 Tom Schumacher that they'd be available later this 30 afternoon? 31 32 MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair, 4:00 33 o'clock. 34 35 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I don't 36 think there'll be anything that will get in our way 37 this afternoon so we'll get to that item at 4:00 o'clock and I think that could turn into a Council 38 39 action item. We may have to make a comment to the 40 State Board of Game towards the end of the meeting 41 because there's a comment period open right now and I 42 think it ends the last day of our meeting. So Council 43 members be prepared to submit comments. 44 45 Let's see next up under old business is 46 the eight Board of Fish proposals, another main item of 47 business for our meeting for this week. Do we have the 48 people available that we need to get into that

discussion, DeAnna, are you in contact with people.

49

MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair. I know we had a 1:00 o'clock commitment from the State. I'm not sure who all is still on with us at this point but we could start discussing comments and I believe Terry Suminski is standing by to help walk us through the road map that we provided. It was basically just taking the language from the State proposals out of the Board of Fisheries proposal book so that everybody didn't have to flip back and forth.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well, I know Terry's available. Like I say it might be helpful to have some State folks, if they're available, are we hearing from them seeings how we got started on this a little late.

MR. BURCH: Mr. Chair, this is Mark

 Burch.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, hi Mark, you got something.

MR. BURCH: I just sent an email to let them know that you're getting to that subject so any of them that aren't on may be able to join us, we'll have to see. I would suggest, I guess, that you go ahead and see who's able to log on here in the next few minutes.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Maybe we'll just take a 10 minute break and Council members can kind of refresh their memories on what's ahead here, take a look at the proposals, and maybe we'll have all the folks that we need to have a good discussion available in about 10 minutes. So how about 2:45.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Member Cal Casipit.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Hi, Cal, go ahead.

MR. CASIPIT: Hi. As far as the Board of Fish proposals, the State Board of Fisheries proposals, correct me if I'm wrong but I think they postponed all that one year, didn't they?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: You're correct,

```
0112
    Cal. They put it off, I think they put their meeting
 1
     off until next January but I think this might be our
    best opportunity right now to address the proposals. I
    don't really envision that much time in the fall as
 5
    we'll have right now.
 6
 7
                     MR. CASIPIT: No, that's fine. I'm
 8
     totally fine with that. I'm just wondering, we will
 9
     get an update from somebody about that, you know, I
10
    mean I'm not sure everybody knows that the meeting's
11
    been postponed a year so anyway.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, if the folks
14
     at the State have any, you know, relevant information,
15
     anything that might change between now and then they
     could pass that along but like I say right now we
16
17
     started at our last meeting making comments on these
18
    when we thought the Board of Fish could be meeting this
19
    winter but that didn't happen so we've gone through
20
    some of these proposals and had some testimony on them
21
     so....
22
23
                     MR. CASIPIT: Okay.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
                                          .....I think the
26
    prudent thing to do is just wrap it up here if we can.
27
28
                     MR. CASIPIT: No, I agree. It's just I
29
     -- yeah.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah.
32
33
                     MR. CASIPIT: I just want to make sure
34
    everybody knows what's going on, that things got
35
    postponed, and I think some of the Board of Game, even
36
     some of those decisions got postponed but I'm not sure.
37
     I've been seeing so much stuff across my computer, I
38
     can't keep track of it all and I'm just hoping that the
39
     State will be able to help me out.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm sure they will
42
    help us all out.
43
44
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
47
     DeAnna.
48
49
                     MS. PERRY: I just wanted to remind
50
```

```
0113
 1
     folks, before the break, that on Page 17, we've kind of
     got an example of a letter that the Wrangell-St. Elias
     Subsistence Resource Commission put together and I
    would suggest kind of that we use that same format for
 5
    our comments. And I did want to followup with Cal, the
    meeting for Board of Fish has been rescheduled to
 6
 7
    January 4th through the 15th, 2022 and public comments
    must be received on those proposals by December 22,
 9
    2021 and I think, Mr. Chair, you covered why we're
10
    addressing them at this meeting not knowing how many
11
    regulatory proposals we'll have to discuss at our fall
12
    meeting. So I did want to throw those dates out there
13
    because I do have them in front of me.
14
15
                     Thank you.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, DeAnna.
18
    Okay. So I think we can take a 10 minute break, back
19
     at 2:45.
20
21
                     (Off record)
22
23
                     (On record)
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We're going to
26
    continue on with the work that we did last fall --
27
     since we're just making comments on proposals and then,
28
     you know, the Council does have the opportunity to send
29
     a representative to the Board of Fish meeting and we
30
    get additional time to, you know, present our comments
31
    before the Board and be part of their process and so
32
    that's what we're doing.
33
34
                     MR. KITKA: Yeah, Don, this is Harvey.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
37
     Harvey.
38
39
                     MR. KITKA: I didn't get that road map
40
     either or even get to see what you guys were
41
     commenting.
42
43
                     MS. PERRY: Harvey, I sent that to you
44
    by email if you've got a way to access email right now.
45
46
                     MR. KITKA: I'm on email and I don't
47
     see it yet.
48
49
                     (Teleconference interference -
```

0114 participants not muted - echo - outside) 2 3 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 6 Cathy. 7 8 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 9 When we left off on this work at our last meeting I had 10 made a commitment to have Hydaburg as the proponent of 11 a couple of these proposals call in and talk about 12 their intent and so I just wanted to let you know that 13 Tony Christianson is doing that here shortly and I'm 14 not sure at what point you'll want to hear from him but 15 he is actually not in Hydaburg, he is in Craig so we 16 might have very limited time in order to do so. 17 18 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well, we're 19 not going to -- I don't see any way that we're going to 20 get through all of these this afternoon. If Tony or 21 any other tribal representative, you know, has 22 proposals of interest to them they want us to discuss 23 this afternoon, if they're here, or tomorrow when we 24 get to them, if they're here this afternoon we'll make 25 sure that they get their opportunity right away if 26 they're available so they need to let us know. 27 28 (Pause) 29 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So, DeAnna, while 30 31 some of the Council members -- it sounds like the new 32 appointees may not have gotten this in their packets, 33 which is unfortunate, but if the emails are going out 34 and people have access to it that would be helpful. 35 Meantime while we're back from break, do you want to 36 take a quick roll and make sure we got the rest of the 37 Council here. 38 39 MS. PERRY: Sure. Mike Douville. 40 41 MR. DOUVILLE: Mike Douville is here. 42 43 MS. PERRY: Thanks, Mike. 44 45 Jim Slater. 46 MR. SLATER: Jim Slater is here. 47 48 49 MS. PERRY: Thank you.

```
0115
 1
                     Albert Howard.
 2
 3
                     MR. HOWARD: I'm here.
 4
 5
                     MS. PERRY: Thanks, Albert.
 6
 7
                     Don Hernandez, I just heard you.
 8
 9
                     Harold Robbins.
10
11
                     MR. ROBBINS: I'm here.
12
13
                     MS. PERRY: All right.
14
                     Harvey Kitka.
15
16
17
                     MR. KITKA: I'm here.
18
19
                     MS. PERRY: Larry Bemis, Jr.
20
                     MR. BEMIS: Here.
21
22
23
                     MS. PERRY: Cathy Needham.
24
25
                     MS. NEEDHAM: I'm here.
26
                     MS. PERRY: Ian Johnson.
27
28
29
                     MR. JOHNSON: I am here.
30
31
                     MS. PERRY: Frank Wright.
32
                     MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, I'm here.
33
34
35
                     MS. PERRY: Cal Casipit.
36
37
                     (No comments)
38
                     MS. PERRY: Cal, are you still with us,
39
40
     I heard you earlier.
41
42
                     (No comments)
43
44
                     MS. PERRY: And Robert Schroeder, I
     believe he still out this afternoon.
45
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
49
                     MS. PERRY: Okay, Mr. Chair, you
50
```

currently have 10 of your 12 seated Council members online, you have a quorum.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well, we're not off to a great start on this but we'll proceed nonetheless, it's one of the pieces of business we have to get through.

First of all I might ask some of these proposals regard to specific areas and I know previously we had some help from local people that wanted to weigh in. I don't know if anybody's out there for this session this afternoon, local Advisory Committee people or tribal members that have proposals of particular interest to them. If they're there now we'll take those up first.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I'm not hearing any. So let's just proceed down the list presented on this road map that's been prepared for us which is very helpful.

The first one is Proposal 93. King salmon management plan was proposed by the Ketchikan Indian Community. We had Keenan Sanderson available at the last meeting, had a good discussion on this proposal and we voted to support it. I don't know if any new Council members, any additional comments or other Council members that want to review what we did in the fall.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.

MR. JOHNSON: This is Ian, Mr. Chair. I was just curious, do we have any idea how many -- like is three sort of an average for what we might expect for a non-resident use, or like I'm just curious if we actually know is this truly a reduction, like will this advance, you know, salmon management, or is it just kind of an average amount for like what a non-resident might take on an annual basis?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I guess I don't know the specific answer to that, Ian, I don't know if that was part of the discussion, you know, as to what

```
0117
    might be typical. But, you know, the idea is to put an
    annual limit and I think the discussion more centered
    around what was reasonable. And three fish was
    proposed, I guess, three kings. I don't know if it was
    based on any, you know, previous history, but I think
    it was just judged to be a reasonable take, so that's
 6
 7
    my recollection.
 8
 9
                     MR. SLATER: This is Jim from Pelican.
10
    Are there any auxiliary rules that govern whether or
11
     not the catch goes on a charter boat or not?
12
13
                     MR. CHADWICK: This is Bob Chadwick.
14
     Is my audio okay?
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, Mr.
17
     Chadwick, you....
18
19
                     MR. CHADWICK: I am the Regional
20
     Management Coordinator for Sportfish, and I might be
21
     able to help out just a tad.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good, I'm glad
24
     you're here. Thanks for.....
25
26
                     MR. CHADWICK: No, I appreciate.....
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....joining us,
29
     please....
30
31
                     MR. CHADWICK: ....the opportunity.
32
     And you just tell me to be quiet when you need me to.
33
34
                     But, yeah, so this proposal here is
35
     seeking to change prescriptions within the king salmon
36
    management plan. This king salmon management plan
37
     governs what the bag limits, annual limits, and -- or
38
     just the management prescriptions for a given year for
39
     a regional -- the regional king salmon. Under the plan
40
     there's seven tiers, and the Board has established
41
    management prescriptions in each of those seven tiers.
42
    And for non-residents, part of those management
43
    prescriptions are bag limits, you know, a bag limit of
    one. Under all tiers the bag limit would be one for
44
45
    non-residents, but the annual limit does vary with
46
    abundance. It's abundance-based. So at higher
47
    abundances, at the higher end of the tier, just keep it
48
     simple, the two top ends of the tier, the bag -- the
49
     annual limit for non-residents could be four or five.
50
```

```
0118
    At the lower end of the tier it's three, and then it
    turns into a harvest limit further down in the plan,
    which means that the annual limit starts at three, goes
    to two, and then one in season. So there would be
 5
    reduction if the higher abundance is under the plan.
 6
 7
                     But there are lower annual limits,
 8
    lower than three under the plan.
 9
10
                     I'll stop there.
11
12
                     MR. SLATER: Is there any disposition
13
    between if it's caught on a charter boat or just caught
14
    in a private boat?
15
16
                     MR. CHADWICK: No, there is not. The
17
    prescriptions within the plan are specifically for
18
    residents and non-residents.
19
20
                     MR. SLATER: Okay.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other
23
     questions from the Council.
24
25
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank.
28
29
                     MR. WRIGHT: Does this limit on the
     commercial trollers is based on the Treaty with Canada
30
31
     so is this going to change if there's a reduction in
32
     that fishery?
33
34
                     MR. CHADWICK: Again, this is Bob
35
    Chadwick, AFD&G. Sorry if I was unclear. No, the
    management plan, the prescriptions for the plan are to
36
37
     stay within our allocation. These bag limits are set
38
     to stay within the allocation of our all gear harvest
39
     that's set underneath the Treaty.
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
41
42
43
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
44
    Albert.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.
47
48
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
49
    have a question. Was there subsistence users part of
```

0119 1 this Treaty, were they at the table being represented? 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: You got an answer 4 to that Bob? 5 6 MR. CHADWICK: Boy, I don't. I want to 7 say -- yeah, I don't. I don't have a -- I'll get back 8 to you on that one. 9 10 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, does anybody 11 else have an answer to that, any other Staff? 12 13 (No comments) 14 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, apparently 16 not. 17 18 MR. HOWARD: Well, Mr. Chairman, the 19 reason I ask that is because it seems like decisions, again, are being made that affect the subsistence user 20 21 without the subsistence user being at the table. 22 good example is the closure of king salmon. Just when 23 we start figuring out where they're at they close it 24 and we take less than one percent of the king salmon 25 populations. 26 27 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Albert, I will 28 point out that the next proposal before us on the list 29 is the one that we put forward that kind of deals with 30 that very situation there on establishing a subsistence 31 take for king salmon. So that's going to be up for 32 discussion next. And maybe the answer to your previous 33 question is kind of relevant to that proposal as well. 34 So we may have an answer to that question, hopefully. 35 36 MR. SLATER: Mr. Chair. 37 38 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead. 39 40 MR. SLATER: Yeah, this is Jim Slater 41 from Pelican. Just a protocol issue, are these 42 proposals, do we evaluate them in their whole and then 43 decide whether or not to support them or not or are 44 they open to edits or what kind of disposition are they 45 in right now? 46 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right, good 47 48 question, Jim. No, at this stage these are proposals, 49 you know, from the folks that made the proposal and,

```
0120
 1
     no, they're not available for us to make any changes at
     this point. So we're just commenting in support or
 2
     non-support, basically.
 4
 5
                     MR. SLATER: I understand, thank you,
 6
    Mr. Chair.
 7
 8
                     MR. SUMINSKI: Mr. Chairman.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, is that
11
    Mike.
12
13
                     MR. SUMINSKI: I'm sorry, this is Terry
14
     Suminski.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Terry. Oh, hi,
17
     Terry.
18
19
                     MR. SUMINSKI: Yeah, I just wanted to
20
     maybe just back up just a touch and give a little
21
     guidance of what this document is and how you might use
22
     it, if you choose, which it seems you are.
23
24
                     What we did, from the last meeting, all
25
     the proposals that the Council expressed interest in,
26
     and, you know, we put those in a list. And then DeAnna
27
     and I went back through all of our notes, all of the
28
     transcripts and tried to put everything in this
29
    document that Council members may have thought about
30
     the proposal.
31
32
                     So just for example, this first
33
    proposal, it shows the proposal straight out of the
34
    Board of Fish book. Then it shows the current Federal
35
    regulations, there are none in this case. The king
36
     salmon in salt water. At the time the Council -- the
37
     last meeting, the Council expressed support, so I put
38
     that in there. And then the rationale is what we could
39
     glean from what the Council discussed.
40
41
                     In this one, Proposal 143, is the
42
    proposal to create a log book, and 145 was the proposal
43
     about annual limit.
44
45
                     So as you go through this, what we
46
     would like -- you know, what the plan was, is to, the
47
     Council go through it, think about the -- if they've
48
     thought of anything more between meetings and, you
49
     know, sorry the new Council members are a little behind
50
```

the curve, I understand that, but we tried to consolidate what was said. Now what we're trying to do is work on that Council position and the rationale. Those are the key things, if the Council wants to beat that up, or change their position, this is your document.

And then the way I see this working is once we capture all the relevant discussions, we can easily turn this into a letter to the Board of Fisheries.

This is just the list that, you know, we heard from the last Council meeting. So if there's others that people want to add, we can do that, it'll take a little work on the side but we can do that. So hopefully that helps guide the Council in what we're doing, and we're extremely -- you know, it's great that we have representatives from the Alaska Department of Game, fisheries managers to ask questions, you know, about the proposals.

So as a Federal biologist, I'm not in a position to comment on how these would affect State management, but if you have comments on how this would -- or questions on how this would affect Federal management, I can certainly talk about that.

One thing I will let you know right up front, all these proposals would have no direct affect on Federal regulations and management. But these are proposals that the Council is interested in so we're happy to help you, the Staff, to get those comments submitted to the Board of Fisheries.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Terry. That's very helpful. And, right, it's good to point out that the proposals do not affect directly the Federal fisheries, these are strictly fisheries that take place within State jurisdiction. It may in some way affect subsistence users, but in no way related to Federal regulations. So good point to be made.

All right, also, yeah, this is our opportunity to add to what we'd done last fall, if people have additional thoughts, we have an opportunity to ask the State managers some questions to clarify our

0122 1 thoughts so, yeah. 2 3 So getting back to the first proposal, 4 No. 93, as you can see was proposed by the Ketchikan 5 Indian Community and the Council, at our fall meeting 6 did support it. There's our rationale consistent with 7 Proposals 143 and 145, which assists in reducing 8 competition between non-residents and subsistence 9 users. 10 11 So anybody on the Council want to add to that this would be the opportunity. 12 13 14 (No comments) 15 16 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Let's move 17 on to Proposal 125. 18 19 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair. 20 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: This was proposed 22 by us, the Southeast Subsistence Regional Advisory 23 Council. And this proposal would clarify language for 24 subsistence take of coho and king salmon as follows: 25 26 I'm going to ask you to explain this 27 I tell you when I read this, I'm always a little 28 bit confused on what the structure of the language is 29 here and, you know, how these proposals are written. 30 know some things in the text are things that are added 31 and some things are deleted, so before we get into this 32 proposal, I'm going to ask you to run through it with 33 us so everybody understands. We obviously supported 34 because it's a Council proposal but I think the Council 35 all needs to be clear on exactly what this proposal 36 does, and I think you could explain it better than I 37 could. 38 39 MR. SUMINSKI: Yes, Mr. Chair, this is 40 Terry Suminski with the Forest Service. You're 41 correct, the language is awkward. We tried to use 42 existing language in the State regulations but 43 basically the intent is to allow the Department to 44 issue king salmon permits for subsistence. And maybe 45 there's a better way of doing that with this language 46 but that was the intent and in the comments we could 47 make that clearer. 48

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. And as Albert kind of brought to our attention earlier, presently there is no subsistence king salmon fishing under State regulation. I believe I'm correct in that, Mr. Chadwick?

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ CHADWICK: Yeah, I'm not -- being the sportfish biologist, I think is Troy -- is Troy Thynes on.

MR. THYNES: Yeah, I'm not sure if you guys can hear me or not, this is Troy Thynes with Fish and Game. I'm the Area Management Coordinator for Southeast here. How am I coming in, I'm getting a real bad echo listening to you all.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I hear you fine, Troy. You're clear.

MR. THYNES: Yeah, in response to that question there about a directed subsistence fishery, in Southeast there are no directed subsistence fisheries for king salmon but king salmon are taken in other fisheries in the personal use hatchery fisheries as well as in sportfisheries and as well as for personal use retained from commercial catch. So there is a take of king salmon by residents of Southeast Alaska, or residents of Alaska, that are considered towards the ANS, the amount necessary for subsistence.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. So this proposal, as I understand it, would authorize having a permit for a, I guess you would call it a directed king salmon fishery?

 MR. THYNES: As written -- as the proposal is written it would just clarify language for the incidental take of king and coho salmon while subsistence salmon fishing. But, you know, as Terry pointed out, you know, the intent is to allow the Department the ability to issue permits for the directed subsistence harvest of king salmon.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you for that clarification. Any questions from the Council. \\$

48 MR. SUMINSKI: Mr. Chairman, just very 49 quickly. This is Terry again.

0124	
1	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Terry.
2	
3	MR. SUMINSKI: The intent was that this
4	provision would not apply to the Taku or Stikine
5	Rivers.
6	
7	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Would not
8	apply to the Stikine fishery.
9	
10	MR. SUMINSKI: Or the Taku. That was
11	the discussion by the Council.
12	
13	(Teleconference interference -
14	participants not muted - echo)
15	
16	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, just as a
17	clarification there, under State subsistence fisheries,
18	there are no subsistence fisheries on the Stikine or
19	the Taku, is that correct, I'm pretty sure on the
20	Stikine there are not, I guess I'm not sure about the
21	Taku, but all the subsistence fishing on the Stikine
22 23	takes place under Federal permit as I recall.
23	MD MILYNES. Mr. Chair woulre correct
25	MR. THYNES: Mr. Chair, you're correct there on the Taku River it's just personal use fishery,
26	there is no C&T findings on the Taku and it's part of
27	the Juneau non-subsistence area. For the Stikine River
28	we defer the subsistence fisheries we defer to
29	Federal management under the U.S. Forest Service for
30	that.
31	chae.
32	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right, okay.
33	omilian melaniset integrio, ona,
34	MR. SUMINSKI: And just to add the Taku
35	fishery is closed under Federal regulations.
36	
37	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Taku fishery is
38	closed what was that last part?
39	
40	MR. SUMINSKI: The Taku is closed to
41	king fishing under Federal regulation.
42	
43	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
44	
45	MR. KITKA: Don, this is Harvey Kitka.
46	
47	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey.
48	
49	MR. KITKA: I find it strange that our
50	

people that lived in the Taku area lived on king salmon and this was one of their main fisheries up there so I don't know why there would be no C&T within the State on king salmon fishing.

MR. THYNES: And to clarify, the Taku is part of the Juneau non-subsistence area. So there's a couple of non-subsistence areas within Southeast and the Juneau non-subsistence area is one and then the Ketchikan non-subsistence area is another area within Southeast.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. So if these rivers fall within that boundary then they're not eligible for subsistence fisheries, that's correct, right?

MR. THYNES: Correct.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, Harvey, that's just another one of those things that needs addressing at some point but it's not before us here today unfortunately. Also, the fact, I think you were the one who pointed out that, you know, in times past, pre-European contact there were Tlingits harvesting king salmon out in the open ocean, that's never been recognized. So there's some lapses here for sure that could still be addressed, but not what's before us here today.

MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Calvin Casipit. Basically the only difference — the only thing I see here is what it does is it takes away the prohibition of — for the managers to issue permits for king salmon, it doesn't necessarily mean that they will issue them, it just takes away the prohibition from issuing them; is that correct, Terry?

MS. KENNER: Mr. Chair, this is Pippa

Kenner.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Pippa.

MS. KENNER: Hi, yes, I was at this meeting and I actually assisted the Council and the working group in developing this proposal. And, yes, the intent of the proposal was to take away the prohibition of receiving a salmon harvest permit to target king salmon, while at the same time, making it

0126 clear that king salmon cannot be harvested for subsistence on the Taku or Stikine River drianages. 4 Now, I'm seeing what we produced and 5 now I'm just going to look at what's in the book 6 because they might be different -- thank you. 7 8 (Pause) 9 10 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 11 Pippa, for that clarification. Any other comments from 12 the Council, we obviously did support this, it's our 13 proposal, but we do all want to be clear about what it 14 does and doesn't do, and our rationale, and we'll be 15 done with this one. So any other comments. 16 17 (No comments) 18 19 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I think 20 we're done with that proposal, it's already been acted 21 upon. 22 23 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair. 24 25 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead. 26 27 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair, 28 this is Cathy. I got a text from Tony Christianson 29 that he might be on the line still. He was on a little 30 bit ago and maybe you might want to check and see if he 31 is still there to talk about the proposals that 32 Hydaburg Cooperative Association put in. 33 34 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, we'll do 35 that. We'll move right to his proposals if he's 36 available. Are you there Tony? 37 38 MR. CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, can you hear me 39 Don. 40 41 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, yeah, got 42 you fine, go ahead. 43 44 MR. CHRISTIANSON: Okay. Cool. Yeah, 45 I just wanted to thank you guys first and foremost for 46 taking the time to support the proposals as we put them 47 forth and taking the time to interject and entertain me 48 getting on and taking time to comment. 49

Again, we put two proposals forward to the Board of Fish to consider the closure of the commercial crab fishery and the commercial shrimp fishery in the waters immediately adjacent to the community. You know we did this for multiple reasons as stated in the proposal. We have, you know, a huge predation issue going on with sea otters that we're combatting on a daily basis with hunters. With that with the declining stocks in other areas we have the pressure of the commercialized guys really recognizing the value of crab in that area now and their pots were getting pretty close to the sensitive areas this last year, both shrimp and crab. And in the last few years we've had a drastic die-off on shrimp in the waters that we described. Like we used to shrimp almost extensively for our subsistence take right there immediately within the first two or three miles of Hydaburg and now we're having to take ourselves five, six miles away from the community to make that happen.

And so those two proposals were to just, you know, start the process of, you know, taking out the biggest user group and then recognizing the local user group as the primary and then our next level is to start developing a local area management plan to try to address the personal subsistence of the area.

And so just again I want to take the time to say thank you guys and if you have any questions about the proposal I can take them at this time.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thanks Tony. I'm looking to see if maybe one of the Staff can help me, is that proposal one of the ones that is on this road map or is that a different one?

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy. I put the proposals on the road map, they're Proposal No. 177 for the closure for commercial shrimp fisheries and Proposal 210 for the closure of commercial crab fishery. And if you work backwards from the road map since there's no numbers on it, it's about four pages in, the front and back, on that fourth page from the back side.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right.

MS. NEEDHAM: I don't know if I made

sense but.

2

4

5

6 7

8

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Cathy, hopefully the other Council members have located it, Proposal 177. It appears there's a map. It appears to lay out some pretty specific areas proposed for closure. Let's see, are you looking at -- Tony, I don't know if you have the book in front of you there, but with the proposal there's a map, I'm looking to see.....

9

MR. CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, I'm aware of the map.

13 14

15

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. So there's some areas that are kind of outlined in red, are those the specific areas that you're looking to close?

16 17 18

19

20

21

MR. CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, they were the most ones right in the bay area extending out to both north and south pass, you know, running down to Round Point and then extending itself up there into the Sulzer Portage area.

222324

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I see it.

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

44

45

46

47

48

MR. CHRISTIANSON: We're just trying to recognize, you know, some of the areas and they are very lucrative for the commercial fishery and you can see we tried to stay south some of that to not utilize the area that -- but the area further north up by Jumbo Island and stuff are really starting to get an impact inside of the island, they're both from -- you know, there was three years of a contracted project in Copper Harbor and Natzuhini Bay as well as to the community and the commercial guys, they like to target that area for the size of the shrimp. It's also the area the State utilizes to set the quota in their shrimp along that whole Hetta Lake shore area and so, you know, we tried to remove that core area because we recognize the commercial value to it but, you know, as we looked at it, it's also the area that recharges the areas that are starting to decline. And -- but, you know, we don't want to be trying to take more than we need but we definitely have to do something because just in the last few years there's been, like I said, a drastic decline in the shrimp in those inlets and then there has been a noticeable decline on the dungeness on -- if you look at the outer edge of that map, the sea otter predation on the dungeness crab has moved all the way

into those north and south pass areas and have devastated those stocks. The last couple of years we haven't been able to get our dungeness from any of those north and south arms. But we are still keeping them out of the Natzuhini Bay area but it's almost a weekly hunting trip to continue to (indiscernible - echo) guys out of there because they've really inundated our community.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. Yeah, I mean this proposal reflects, you know, what a lot of the communities have done around them on their shrimp or crab grounds, kind of protecting a local resource. It's right in line with what's happening around the region.

So other Council members, hopefully you've all located the two proposals and we don't have a comment from the Regional Council yet so this is our opportunity to do that. Anybody else have any questions for Tony Christianson.

MR. KITKA: Harvey Kitka, I got a question.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey.

 MR. KITKA: Tony, do you have any idea how many commercial fishermen are fishing dungeness and shrimp in your area. It seems like a few years ago there was wasn't that many and weren't having that much of a shortage it seemed like -- and in the Sitka area it seemed like there's an awful lot of commercial guys fishing them when they're not trolling or something like that.

MR. CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, this is Anthony Christianson for the record. The area that we're describing around Hydaburg for the shrimp, the Cordova Bay area, just this week, provided the largest shrimp quota for the commercial fishery fleet. And so we have upwards of 20 to 30, to maybe sometimes 30-plus boats that register for that area. What we're trying to do is just pull a little bit of the area adjacent to the community off of that slate because what we've had is we've had guys come in there and lace the place with 100 pots, you know, because they started to get —because it started to dwindle in other areas. You know, and we're not saying that the shrimp numbers in

the area are at an all time low, we're just saying that in the areas that we're describing there, they have historical lows in those areas but we do support the largest shrimp in Southeast Alaska. What's happening this last three years is those guys who are commercial crabbing on the east side and north side and near Dall 6 7 Island are starting to not find the crab because of that sea otter predation and they're really localizing 9 their efforts now to critical subsistence areas for 10 Hydaburg which is Sulzer Portage and Natzuhini Bay, and 11 we've put a lot of work into managing those locally to 12 make sure we pull only the male sex out of there, we 13 keep everybody to the cultural practice of maintaining 14 those stocks and we're just trying to make sure we put 15 something on the books that showcases the effort we're 16 making as well as trying to protect so we could rebuild 17 the stock because we're not even fishing in those areas 18 now because of the decline for the shrimp and the crab 19 itself, we've really scaled back on the areas that we 20 could use (indiscernible - cuts out/echo) and 21 commercialization. I think we may have about three to 22 four boats that fish area commercially for crab but one 23 of our main concerns is one of the guys is a local guy 24 who now parks his boat in the community with a stack of 25 commercial pots in the back and he had set right in the 26 zone that (indiscernible - cuts out/echo) and you can 27 see on the map that we provided. 29

28

30

Thank you, Tony. I really MR. KITKA: think the message that needs to be sent to the State is rebuilding the stocks.

31 32 33

MR. CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Harvey.

34 35

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian, I have a question.

36 37 38

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,

39 40 41

42

43

Ian.

MR. JOHNSON: Tony, I was just wondering, do you have any expectations for how long this closure would last. Right now there's nothing specified in there?

44 45 46

47

48

MR. CHRISTIANSON: That's putting for indefinite closure and then like I said, the follow up procedure would be for us to continue to (indiscernible - cuts out - participants not muted - echo) continue to

bring it before the Advisory Committee based on the program to try to develop a local area management plan that can address all of these critical concerns and could maybe even set some thresholds for ourselves so that we can help to rebuild the stocks.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:} \textbf{Other questions} \\ \text{for Tony on this proposal.}$

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, I have just kind of a quick followup question, I guess. This is Ian. Like if we were to choose to support this proposal, like can we also advocate for this management plan that Tony's talking about or does that -- since it's not really in the regulation proposal, is that not something we talk about or how does that work?

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:} \mbox{I guess my} \\ \mbox{recommendation would be to just stick with the existing} \\ \mbox{proposal for now.}$

MR. CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, and I guess to answer your question, I would see that those places being closed indefinitely to the commercialization, you know, that -- we rely on those resources way too much to have to (indiscernible - echo) and with the dwindling resources all around, we could go back and forth and we could bring ourselves to the Kasaan situation, we could go ask every island community from Naukati to Coffman Cove to Craig and ask them how their dungeness is doing now. And I'll tell you, they're all telling us the same thing, we have to go out several hundred feet of water now to get a dungeness so we have these areas that we've protected for hundreds of years that we're saying we need just a little bit of assistance in maintaining some level of population so we could continue to have access and provide for our community a priority resource which is dungeness crab, it provides protein on a year-round basis and so we would be advocating for a complete commercial closure of the area.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, that's good clarification, Tony. Thank you. Any other Council.

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.

48 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.

```
0132
 1
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
    Tony, I apologize if you actually just said this, I
 2
    wasn't quite clear because I'm having a hard time
 4
    hearing you, but does the community or the local area
 5
    committee have any plans to apply for a C&T
 6
    determination for crab and shrimp in this area?
 7
 8
                     MR. CHRISTIANSON: Yes, I think we are
 9
     going to try to do that. You know with the Board cycle
    being put off a year, we're going to try (indiscernible
10
11
     - echo) meetings, I don't know if they'll be
12
     entertaining any additional information at those
13
    meetings but definitely the long-term plan is to
14
    develop a C&T determination for the area, and to
15
    develop a local area management plan that really
16
     specifies out the use of whether they're personal use
17
    or subsistence use or, you know, where the extent of
18
    those go. And all we're asking for is a similar
19
    situation like Juneau and Ketchikan where they set up
20
     sport zones, and economic zones around the community to
21
    support what it is that (indiscernible - echo) want to
    do in those boundaries and what we want to do is we
22
23
    want to protect our resources for our community's
24
     consumptive purposes, (indiscernible - echo) and
25
     subsistence.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any
28
    followup, Cathy.
29
30
                     MS. NEEDHAM: No, thank you, Mr. Chair.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
33
    Any other Council members have questions on this
34
    proposal.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I think
39
    what we would want to do next is this would have to be
40
     a motion to support this proposal and then it comes
    before the Council for discussion and a vote.
41
42
43
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
44
    Albert.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
                                          Go ahead, Albert.
47
48
                     MR. HOWARD: Yeah, I move to support
49
     the proposal.
50
```

0133 1 MS. NEEDHAM: Second. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Second. Do we 4 have a second. 5 6 MS. NEEDHAM: Second. 7 8 MR. CASIPIT: This is Cal Casipit, I 9 second it. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, motion by 12 Albert and a second by Cal to -- we'll just deal with 13 Proposal 177 specifically. So we have a motion to 14 support. So maybe I'll just want to clarify a little 15 bit here. 16 17 MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair. 18 19 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: This process, I'd 20 say this is a little different than what we do for 21 Federal proposals. I don't know if we need the same 22 justifications and rationales that we do for a Federal 23 subsistence proposal for the State proposals, I think 24 we just need to have a vote and some kind of a 25 rationale. Would I be correct in that, from the Staff? 26 27 MS. PERRY: Yes, Mr. Chair. 28 29 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 30 DeAnna. So comments from the Council on this proposal. 31 32 MR. CASIPIT: This is Cal. I'd like to 33 give a shot at the rationale for supporting this. 34 35 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, go ahead. 36 37 MR. CASIPIT: First of all, I think 38 looking at the map and then looking at the description 39 of the area, this is a really small area, and in terms of the big areas of the commercial shrimping so I think 40 41 that, you know, (indiscernible - echo) off limits to 42 commercial users to ensure that Hydaburg can get their 43 subsistence needs for shrimp met. It's a great idea. 44 And it isn't about precedence, I mean there's other 45 similar closures for crab and either -- other shellfish 46 around communities, maybe not as small as Hydaburg but 47 I don't think that really believe people in a small 48 community (indiscernible - echo) that they don't need

49

50

this kind of protection.

```
0134
 1
                     So I'm totally in support of this. I
    think it's reasonable, it's a pretty small area
 2
     compared to the whole area that's open for shrimping
    and then it's not without precedence, the Board has
 5
    done this before in other places. So I'm supporting
 6
    this.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 9
     Cal. I think you've offered up a good rationale in
10
     support. Any other Council members want to comment on
11
     this.
12
13
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cathy.
16
17
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
18
    think that we should also support this proposal. I
19
    think Cal did a really good job with the rationale.
20
    would like to add to that rationale that I think that
    the proponent has spoken towards the fact that the
21
22
     current commercial fishery in there is -- well, first
23
    of all that the community is not -- their subsistence
    needs are not being met so there's not a management for
24
25
     a subsistence priority, and then second, the community
26
    has expressed that there is competition for this
27
    resource, not just from the commercial fishery but also
    from sea otters, I know we don't take care of that but
28
29
    it sounds like there's a lot of potential pressure on
30
     this population and they are working towards trying to
31
     locally manage it. So the rationale, their subsistence
32
     needs aren't being met, there's competition from
33
     multiple arenas as well as reduction in access to
34
     shrimp for the community.
35
36
                     Thank you.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cathy.
39
    Any other Council members.
40
41
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman, Mike
42
     Douville.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Mike.
45
46
                     MR. DOUVILLE: I'm not going to support
47
    this. I do not support it. The commercial test
48
     records do not indicate that there is any decline in
49
     the shrimp. The sizes are maintaining quite well. The
```

season is only open for two weeks out of the year. It's an important species for many local people. Otters don't predate on shrimp because their depth is a little bit too deep. It seems like we're talking about 177, 5 the other proposal's a little different. But, you know, I watch FaceBook and it doesn't look like to me 6 7 from the pictures I see posted on there from people that live in Hydaburg that they're having any 9 difficulty catching shrimp it's just they put the 10 effort into -- into it. So I think that the commercial 11 test records should be reviewed to see if they're -like I already said, doesn't indicate that the resource 12 13 is under any stress.

14 15

So the Craig AC did not support this proposal.

16 17 18

Thank you.

19 20

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you for that information, Mike.

212223

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ CHRISTIANSON: Mr. Chair, this is Anthony Christianson, may I have the floor.

242526

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Tony, go ahead.

272829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

MR. CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, I just wanted to say that, you know, Mike, that's why we tried to keep the areas as small and localized to inside waters where a majority of the commercial fishermen stay away from and tried to recognize the value for the local fishermen as we do have a commercial fleet ourselves that do fish and so just wanted to make sure that we put that on the record that the areas we did do, you know, the only area in all of that that was probably commercialized is the Sukkwan Strait south of Saltery Point to Round Point where there's very limited commercial fisheries. We're just trying to protect an area in the zone. And then the Jumbo Island insides of the corner up there before the elbow turns to Sulzer is another area that one or two guys from the area like to fish. And so if anybody lost any area in the commercial zone it would be just north of Jumbo Island, which is likely a very limited area to ask for some reprieve. And as we develop a local management plan looking forward, would also looking at probably some restrictions on the local people too to recognize that

shortage and move our fisheries efforts out further to where there is an abundance. When you look at the pictures on FaceBook, Mike, I'd just like to let you know those are guys that have commercial pots, that pull those gear from commercial boats and they do share that subsistence resource extensively. So as far as the shortage goes, we're not saying, shortage, what we're saying is a lack of population in the areas we described on the map.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Good perspective from two people that know the area probably better than anybody so thank you both. Mike, I'll give you an opportunity for followup if you would like.

MR. DOUVILLE: I don't know how to respond at this time without looking at a picture with these lines drawn out. I don't have -- at the AC meeting this was -- there was considerable resistance and it was not supported and so going with that I'll support their wishes.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. And yeah thank you for bringing that to our attention, that's pretty relevant. So thank you.

Anybody else on the Council in the way of deliberation.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ BEMIS: Mr. Chair, this is Larry, before you deliberate.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BEMIS: I got to thinking about a situation where we're in a similar situation here in Yakutat with shrimp.

We had too many fishermen fishing in the area so at one given time they had 100 pots or 75 pots, unlimited fishing time and pretty much in a short time the outside boats came in and they cleaned things up. So we had to put a restriction on the limit per month so it wouldn't be feasible to bring in all the boats to wipe out the shrimp. So over a period of time we wound up putting -- there is commercial fishing and there's only allowable so much a month and there is a winter fishery that fishes one area and there's no

areas being fished commercially year-round. And this brought our shrimp in for the people that are fishing, viable, just not to harvest the same area over and over and this gave the subsistence user and the commercial user plenty of shrimp to have. But when you had 75 per boat with -- back then you were allowed two permits on one boat, they don't allow that now, you're looking at 150 pots a day and it only took a few boats a year or so before it was just -- there was nobody getting anything.

So that being said, instead of total restrictions, I think you close an area until it rebounds and the user, you know, you could use it on a subsistence and your commercial would fish somewhere else and then it would come back in later on and be able to fish in an area that you're having a problem with. And that way you're continuously fishing and you rebuild the stocks in the areas that have been depleted instead of just totally wiping out the resource where nobody gets anything.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Larry. Anybody else want to comment on this.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is

 Albert.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think this is a well thought out and a well planned plan for their area and I've always been a supporter of people that want to do what's best for their community and their tribal members. This is a good example of it. We've tried to close crabbing around here for years now because once the commercial guys come and dump 300 pots in the bay that bay is done. In the future this will be a good example for Department of Fish and Game to look at as Hydaburg manages the area and see what the resource looks like when you allow a community to manage the resource within their own backyard versus having -- and don't get me wrong, this has got to be -- something has to be done different. had a real good friend of mine, every time -- I worked on his boat and he said, Albert, that's insane, which means you're doing the same thing over and over and

expecting a different result and I think that's the management that's being used, and all we're asking for is to change the way of thinking, this isn't working, allowing one commercial boat to come into an area as the one gentleman said, you dump 100 pots, give me 10 pots to use, I got to pull them by hand so there's no way I'm going to wipe out a system, as far as shrimp goes. My son and I have tried and we're just not good shrimp fishermen, I guess. But my point being is it'd be a good thing to look at in the future on how this has worked out for them.

So I think supporting it is not going to hurt the resource, if anything, we're going to help it and be able to see documentation in the future on what happens when you allow a local area management plan.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert.

22 Anybody else.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I'd like to say that I would like to support this proposal. I recognize that it's asking for a closed area and anytime anybody proposes a closure it always generates a lot of opposition, commercial users, but in my experience there have been numerous, what I consider to be reasonable closures around communities to protect resources that has been opposed by commercial users, yet usually as it turns out the impacts don't tend to be as catastrophic as what the opposition is afraid of. So I think for the most part they've been reasonable and effective, therefore I would support this proposal as well.

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank.

MR. WRIGHT: In Hoonah we have a closed off area for commercial crabbing just behind the island here, and then it goes from there then across Game Creek, and it's been pretty successful. It's been keeping the commercial out of there. I don't use pots anymore, every time when commercial opened I used to

```
0139
 1
     lose pots because they used to borrow, but it seems to
    be pretty successful for the community to be able to
 2
     just go around the island here and get their crab.
 4
 5
                     I would be in support of this.
 6
 7
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank.
10
    Anyone else.
11
12
                     (No comments)
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are you ready for
15
    the question.
16
17
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Question.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: The question's
20
    been called for. The motion was to support Proposal
     177 proposed by Anthony Christianson for a area closure
21
     to protect shrimp stocks near Hydaburg. So Frank if
22
23
     you want to do a roll call vote on this.
24
25
                     MR. WRIGHT: Okay.
26
27
                     Ian Johnson.
28
29
                     MR. JOHNSON: Yes.
30
31
                     MR. WRIGHT: Cathy Needham.
32
33
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Yes.
34
35
                     MR. WRIGHT: Larry Bemis.
36
37
                     MR. BEMIS: Yes.
38
39
                     MR. WRIGHT: Harvey Kitka.
40
41
                     MR. KITKA: Yes.
42
43
                     MR. WRIGHT: Harold Robbins.
44
45
                     MR. ROBBINS: Yes.
46
47
                     MR. WRIGHT: Don Hernandez.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes.
50
```

```
0140
                     MR. WRIGHT: Albert Howard.
 1
 2
 3
                     MR. HOWARD: Yes.
 4
 5
                     MR. WRIGHT: Bob Schroeder.
 6
 7
                     (No comments)
 8
 9
                     MR. WRIGHT: Bob Schroeder.
10
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
                     MR. WRIGHT: Jim Slater.
14
15
                     MR. SLATER: Yes.
16
17
                     MR. WRIGHT: Michael Douville.
18
19
                     MR. DOUVILLE: No.
20
21
                     MR. WRIGHT: Cal Casipit.
22
23
                     (No comments)
24
25
                     MR. WRIGHT: Cal.
26
27
                     MR. CASIPIT: Yeah.
28
29
                     MR. WRIGHT: Frank Wright votes yes.
30
    Motion passes.
31
32
                     MR. CHRISTIANSON: Thank you guys for
33
     your support.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. So Tony we
36
     have kind of a time specific discussion, it's going to
37
    be the wolf discussion, I don't know if you were
     interested in listening in on that one but that's at
38
39
     4:00 o'clock. We're not going to have time to
     deliberate on your second proposal this afternoon but
40
41
     we've had a pretty good discussion on it, appreciate
42
     you being here for that. I do want to ask you, though,
43
     I think you brought up those two proposals, were there
44
     any other proposals that you wanted to bring before the
45
    Council that are of interest to you?
46
47
                     MR. CHRISTIANSON: I just want to say
48
     thank you for your support today and appreciate your
49
     guys hard work and I'll do my best to stay on for the
```

0141 wolf discussion. Thank you, very much. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, great. 4 Yeah, we're going to go to that next. So we got a little start on our fisheries proposals, that's good. 5 I think the Council kind of sees what we need to do now 6 7 when we dig back into these tomorrow. So DeAnna, do 8 you have Tom Schumacher. 9 10 MS. PERRY: I believe Mr. Schumacher 11 just joined us. I just admitted him through Teams and 12 I would just doublecheck to make sure that Scott Shuler 13 is also on the line. 14 15 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right, Shuler as 16 well. 17 18 MR. SHULER: Yes, I'm on the line. 19 20 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Good. 21 if somebody could start the next item of business, what it's about and what the Council needs to do today. 22 23 24 (No comments) 25 26 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well, I 27 think I know what the Council needs to do, we need to listen to a presentation from Department of Fish and 28 29 Game and our District Ranger, on what's going on with 30 the latest wolf harvest and seasons and then we'll have 31 before us a potential comment on a proposal before the 32 State Board of Game dealing with sealing requirements. So.... 33 34 35 MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, this is DeAnna. 36 37 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, DeAnna. 38 39 MS. PERRY: I just wanted to remind the 40 Council that by email of March 12th I did send out some 41 last minute supplemental items on the wolves and we're 42 basically going to be looking at possibly sending a 43 comment to the Board of Game at their meeting this 44 Thursday. So I know Mr. Schumacher and Mr. Shuler have 45 a lot of information to give us regarding wolves and so 46 I would just remind everybody that there is a current 47 public comment period open and we could put something

in prior to their deliberations of the Board of Game on

48 49

50

March 18th.

```
0142
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. So it's
     4:00 o'clock, we usually like to try and wrap up our
 2
    meetings by 35:00 but if this gets into a detailed
     discussion I'd consider going past 5:00 but let's see
 5
    how we do. So are we ready for the presentation.
 6
 7
                     (No comments)
 8
 9
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Schumacher, are you
    also on the phone.
10
11
12
                     (No comments)
13
14
                     (Teleconference interference -
15
    participants not muted - echo - typing)
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sorry, DeAnna, I
18
    may have misheard you, I thought you said he was there
19
    but maybe not.
20
21
                     MS. PERRY: He is on Teams, I'm just
22
     reminding him to call in on the audio line.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
25
26
                     MS. PERRY: I see that he's popped into
27
     Teams.
28
29
                     (Pause)
30
31
                     MS. PERRY: Tom, if you're on Teams I
32
     just wanted to remind you you'll need to call into the
33
     audio line and I just posted that in the chat box.
34
35
                     (Pause)
36
37
                     MS. PERRY: Tom Schumacher, are you
38
     with us?
39
40
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: I'm here but I'm not
41
    hear yet on the phone line.
42
43
                     MS. PERRY: Okay, thanks. Just wanted
44
     to make sure that we had you.
45
46
                     (Teleconference interference -
47
    participants not muted - echo)
48
49
                     (Pause)
50
```

```
0143
 1
                     MS. PERRY: Tom, if you've joined us on
 2
     the phone I think we're ready whenever you are.
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     MS. PERRY: Mr. Chair, while we're
 7
     waiting on Mr. Schumacher, I also wanted to note that
     in the meeting books on Page 14, we do have the news
 9
     release regarding the wolf harvest season closure date
10
     announcement. So there's a couple different resources
11
     for this agenda item.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
14
    DeAnna.
15
16
                     (Pause)
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Maybe this is a
19
     good opportunity to maybe stand up, stretch our legs a
20
     little bit while we're waiting for Mr. Schumacher.
21
22
                     (Pause)
23
24
                     MR. WRIGHT: Hey, DeAnna, my phone went
25
     dead and now I got another one so I lost where we're
26
     at.
27
28
                     MS. PERRY: We're just in a holding
29
     pattern, Frank, we're waiting for Tom Schumacher to
30
     call in. He's on Teams but for some reason he's having
31
     an issue getting on the conference line and I know the
32
     conference lines have been kind of been crazy for the
33
     last couple of days and I can certainly hear the echos
34
     today. We haven't quite experienced it to this effect
35
     in the past.
36
37
                     MR. WRIGHT: So what page does it start
38
     on, this wolf thing?
39
40
                     MS. PERRY: It's on Page 14 in your
41
    meeting book.
42
43
                     MR. WRIGHT: Okay.
44
45
                     MS. PERRY: And I also sent some
46
     supplemental materials on March 12th by email.
47
48
                     MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, I saw that, thank
49
     you.
50
```

0144 1 (Pause) 2 3 MS. PERRY: Tom, are you on now? 4 5 MR. SCHUMACHER: Yes, it finally 6 accepted my passcode digits. 7 8 MS. PERRY: Great. 9 10 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, hi, Tom, it 11 can be difficult getting connected sometimes but 12 appreciate you being available this afternoon. Sorry 13 we weren't able to get to you at 1:00 o'clock, but 14 appreciate that you can be here at the end of the day. 15 So the floor is yours. 16 17 MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay, thank you, very 18 much, Chairman Hernandez. For the record and for the 19 new members of the Council, my name is Tom Schumacher. 20 I'm the Regional Supervisor for the Division of 21 Wildlife Conservation, Alaska Department of Fish and 22 Game in Southeast Alaska, Game Management Unit 1 23 through 5. I'm going to give an update on wolves but 24 before I do that I want to talk a little bit about 25 deer because you can't really talk about wolves without 26 talking about deer. 27 28 29

I wanted to kind of hit four main broad topics in my discussion. And I thought it would be good to stop and ask for questions after each topic just so we can get those out of the way and you don't have to remember your questions until the end.

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

30

31

So we'll talk about deer harvest, and, you know, we have our 2019 harvest data available now; 2020 data won't be available until the middle of summer. After that I want to talk about Unit 2 wolf harvest and population status. And then I want to touch on our proposal to the Board of Game for a short sealing period for Unit 2 for wolves. And the last thing I want to talk about is the Endangered Species Act Petition, the Department's participation and what we see as the future course there.

43 44 45

46

47

48

So to get things started off I'll talk a little bit about 2019 deer harvest. I know we've heard a little bit today from Council members about their experiences and the experiences of their friends this past season. I wish we had more updated data but

we're still taking in hunter reports. In 2019 in Unit 4 we had about 6,000 deer harvested, 75 percent bucks. That's up a little bit over 2017 and '18, and, you know, we don't know of any deer die-offs or major 5 changes in the population going into 2020. In Unit 3 6 2019 both the first year was a five week season on 7 Mitkof Island and the Lindenberg Peninsula Kupreanof 8 Island, and it had been a two week one buck season 9 there for along time. The Board of Game, in 2019 10 approved a proposal to expand that season to five 11 weeks, that includes about a week of the rut in early 12 November. So hunters were pretty excited about that. 13 And we had an increase in harvest in both areas as you 14 might expect. Harvest on Kupreanof Island, Lindenberg 15 Peninsula went up about 70 percent, so from about 131 bucks to 223 bucks. Harvests on Mitkof Island grew 16 17 much more than that about two and a half times over the 18 previous year so it went from 57 bucks to 141 bucks. 19 We're going to monitor this pretty carefully to ensure 20 it's sustainable, you know, with the community of 21 Petersburg on Mitkof Island and an extensive road system, I guess we'll see if that level of harvest and 22 23 the deer population can, you know, can stand that level of harvest over a five week season or if, you know, we 24 25 need to amend that. But we won't make any decisions on 26 that until the Board of Game meeting, which our next 27 Board of Game meeting is scheduled for 2022. January of 2022. Down to Unit 1A around Ketchikan 28 29 area, we had about 850 bucks harvested, this was the 30 highest in a very long time, probably at least 20 31 years. Gravina Island, which is part of Unit 1A, we 32 had a little bit of an increase there but really the 33 bulk of the increase was on Revillagigedo where it went 34 from an estimated harvest in 2018 just shy of 400 to 35 over 500 in 2019. The number of hunters and the amount 36 of hunting effort was up in both areas over recent 37 years and the effort to harvest deer was down over 38 recent years. We don't know how much of the additional 39 hunting effort is due to people not going to -- from 40 Ketchikan not going over to Prince of Wales due to the 41 curtailment of the bag limit for non-Federally-42 qualified users. But it is possible some of that due 43 to people trying to stay home and hunt on Revillagigedo 44 rather than go over to Prince of Wales. And then I'll 45 just stop -- or finish up with Unit 2. The reported harvest in 2019 was about the same as 2018, it was 46 47 about 2,000 bucks. So that's below the State's harvest 48 objective which is about 2,700 bucks, for deer, but 49 that level of harvest 2,000 is not unprecedented, it's 50

1 similar to a period in the late '90s and early 2000s where harvest was generally similar. The hunter and hunter effort in Unit 2 was lower than in recent years, and, again, that could be due to the people from Ketchikan not going over there. We haven't dug into 5 the data deep enough to really try to figure that out. 6 7 Hunter effort to harvest a deer was 4.4 days, the longterm average is 4.2 days so hunter effort appears to be 9 about average. Let's see change in harvest and effort, 10 could be due to, you know, fewer people from Ketchikan 11 coming over, we don't -- haven't looked at it to see if 12 there was actually a change among Unit 2 residents or 13 not.

14 15

And that's about what I can tell you about deer, does anybody have any questions.

16 17 18

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good summary, Tom, thank you.

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay, hearing no questions I'll move on. So Unit 2 wolf harvest and the status of that population. The reported harvest in 2020, so just this past fall/winter, was 68 wolves during a three week season and that follows a record harvest of 165 wolves the previous year with an eight week season.

272829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

We're getting used to the new harvest management strategy of managing by season lengths and I think, you know, it's hard to justify a season again after what happened in 2019 but I think overall the harvest strategy is working out pretty well. We have a fall population objective of 150 to 200 wolves. Just the fall 2019 estimate -- population estimate of 316 was close to the true number of wolves because we never know the true number of wolves but our population estimate going into the fall 2019 was 316. If you remove 165 from that you end up with about 150 wolves remaining after trapping season. So if you recall the population objective for Unit 2 is 150 to 200 in the fall population so we think we're in pretty good shape moving to the fall 2020, we're likely to be within or perhaps above the population objective. Following a harvest of 68 we think we're probably back in the objective and well positioned to be there again for the coming year. And that's sort of the record that we wanted to build -- I mean prior to establishing this population objective we had no real bar to measure

0147 1 success for management. Now that we have a population objective we can say our management has resulted in the population being within this objective range now, probably two years ago, and hopefully going into the 5 future, and that would be an argument to say the 6 population is being sustainably managed. 7 8 Another indicator of the stability in 9 -- sustainability of the population is our hair board 10 estimates. You know we do roughly -- well, almost 11 exactly the same effort of trying to collect hair 12 during the fall..... 13 14 (Teleconference interference -15 participants not muted - echo) 16 17 MR. SCHUMACHER:the Department's 18 efforts and the Hydaburg Cooperative Association's 19 efforts, for the last three years, we've gotten about 20 between 575 and 600 hair samples from canines, that's 21 all canines not necessarily wolves, so there may be some dogs in there, but we've collected the same number 22 23 of hair samples virtually for the last three years, 24 which (indiscernible - disruption) the population is 25 relatively stable. 26 27 So we think we're in a pretty good 28 position there in terms of population management. 29 30 And does anybody have any questions 31 about Unit 2 wolf population status. 32 33 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I just maybe have 34 one question, Tom, this is Don. You say the 35 population, you know, seems to be holding stable..... 36 37 (Teleconference interference -38 participants not muted - overlapping conversations) 39 40 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:you have to 41 kind of consider the timeframe, it wasn't that long ago 42 that the population estimate was well below 100 as I 43 recall and then it went to over 300, but -- so it's 44 kind of -- you kind of have to put some context, I 45 guess, on a stable population. I don't know, any 46 comments on that.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Well, there's a lot of

unknowns here. You know wolves are a species with a

47 48

49

2

high reproductive potential and the Unit 2 population appears to be productive so, you know, wolves can change numbers considerably over the course of the year so that's one moving part.

4 5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The population estimate is just that, it's an estimate. It is not the true number of wolves. We provide a measure of error around every estimate and, you know, that says that -- this is incorrect statistically but it helps people understand what (indiscernible - echo) we're roughly 95 percent certain the true population exists in our confidence limits, so for fall of 2019, you know, we had a point estimate of 316 wolves, however, given the data collected the number could have been as low as 250 or as high as 398. So as you get farther away of the point estimates the likelihood of any of those numbers being the true population declines dramatically. So given the data collected, and that's always the caveat, we believe the population as around 316, it probably, you know, wasn't exactly 316 but it was roughly within 30 or 40 wolves of that, and that goes with every estimate that we have. You know the estimate is an estimate, we provide a range of possible value, it's more likely that the true number is closer to the middle of the point estimate than the extremes but nobody ever knows the true number and we're doing the best job we can at estimating and so we use the point estimate for management.

29 30

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Understood.

31 32 33

34

MR. SCHUMACHER: We're trying hard, Don. If there are no more questions I'll move on to the seven day sealing proposal.

35 36 37

MR. SLATER: Hi, this is Jim Slater.

38 39

MR. SCHROEDER: Mr. Chair, I....

40 41

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, who was that with a question.} \\$

42 43 44

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SLATER: Yeah, I think we were two people but this is Jim Slater from Pelican.

45 46 47

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.

48 49

MR. SLATER: Just a question, when you

reduced the population or when you took the 68 out or when you took the 100 and some out in the previous year, do you pay attention to the distribution across the island or do you assume that the wolves are redistributed and then set new regions?

MR. SCHUMACHER: Well, during the sealing process trappers are asked to identify the area where they caught the wolf. So we have what trappers report to us. Some are comfortable reporting fairly accurate locations, some would prefer to not disclose locations so, you know, we have a reasonable idea of the distribution of the harvest. In that year, in 2019, it was pretty evenly distributed across the island with one area, down around (Indiscernible - echo) where we had a concentration of harvest.

MR. SLATER: Okay. And do the -- accordingly if one area is harder hit than the others, will the territory of one wolf pack shrink and another one grow or will that same wolf pack reproduce and fill out its ranks again quickly?

MR. SCHUMACHER: Well, we don't know, you know, if entire packs were eliminated, or -- or if only a couple animals were taken out. In many cases, anyway. We really can't answer that question because the locations aren't precise enough, however, let's see, if you have a lot of harvest concentrated in one area then it may take a little while for new wolves to fill in that area. But given that it's a fairly productive population, that doesn't appear to take very long.

MR. SLATER: Okay, thank you. I was just curious if you take down the population of one pack dramatically, does another pack basically come in and take its territory and kill those wolves or does that one wolf pack hold so it's just a smaller territory and then grow back from there. That was kind of what I was trying to figure out.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SCHUMACHER: Oh, in terms of wolf behavior.

MR. SLATER: Yeah.

MR. SCHUMACHER: I don't know that I can give you an answer to that, you know, in nature

just about anything happens at least once. If there are fewer wolves around, like if one pack is untouched and it may bring back -- or greatly reduced, you know, the larger pack may make some inclusions (ph) and take over some of that territory but there's no certainty that that will happen. MR. SLATER: Okay, well, thank you. MR. SCHROEDER: Do you got room for another question, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, is this Dennis from Klawock? This is Bob. MR. SCHROEDER: CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Bob. MR. SCHROEDER: This is Bob Schroeder. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, Bob, you're back. MR. SCHROEDER: Yes, I was able to get on here. I missed a little bit of your presentation, Tom, and thanks for coming before us. As you know, the SERAC has spent an untold number of hours on the wolf population of Prince of Wales and I'm certain we'll continue to do so in the future. We had a long discussion, as you're well aware, in coming together and getting behind the new -- somewhat new management plan, which we thought

We had a long discussion, as you're well aware, in coming together and getting behind the new -- somewhat new management plan, which we thought would alleviate some of the previous problems. One thing that we highlighted -- more than highlighted, it was emphatically emphasized in our comments on the new (indiscernible - muffled - echo) was in light of the obvious (indiscernible - muffled - echo) in coming up with any estimate of wolves on Prince of Wales using the DNA methods, namely the DNA methods produce an estimate of how many wolves may have been on Prince of Wales at least a year before, we more than strongly requested, demanded, suggested, I'm not sure what the word is, the knowledge and experience of people who actually are on Prince of Wales, around (indiscernible) with wolves, looking at wolves, wandering around trying to find wolves, observing wolf behavior would be included. It appears that absolutely none of this has

been done. And from my feeling, the Department is getting further behind in actually getting up to speed on including any indication of comanagement, much less tribal management activities. And I would suggest that had you followed our suggestion and used the methodology that is local knowledge, trapper knowledge in particular, perhaps you wouldn't be in such a position in respect to the suit that is before the Department right now and we wouldn't have to dance around because people who love wolves, above all else, and (indiscernible - muffled - echo) management scheme.

So I don't know I think that we just, you know, roll forward endlessly, move on on out of date good DNA estimates or are sole estimates for wolves on Prince of Wales; I mean would you do anything different, I mean it's almost like the Department of Fish and Game doesn't acknowledge that there's anyone on Prince of Wales who knows anything about wolves, or uses wolves, or observes wolves regularly and that you're relying exclusively on a good, but (indiscernible - muffled - echo) qualified methodology.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thanks, Bob. Do you want to comment, Tom, on that.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, I'm afraid I couldn't make out all of what Member Schroeder said, he came through a little bit garbled but I think I got the gist of it. I don't know the Department's position on things like comanagement, I'm not -- I haven't been involved in anything like that, I'm not aware of that going on anywhere. The basically explicit mark/recapture models based on DNA that we use is, in fact, a very modern and up to date technique. And, you know, we continue to refine how we apply it. I understand and remember very well Member Schroeder and others urging us to incorporate local knowledge into estimates. We're trying to manage this wolf population at a very fine scale. We're also in a highly contentious environment where method matters. And I don't know how to incorporate observations into what is an objective method, you know, looking at taking observational information which some people would say is biased, in fact, we're getting sued by some of those people right now and trying to incorporate into what is an objective kind of science based estimate, and I

0152 1 don't know how to meld those two things. Because we're trying to manage at such a fine scale, we need numbers, 2 and it's very difficult to include observational 4 information with objective information in those 5 numbers. 6 7 So I really don't know how to do that. 8 9 MR. SCHROEDER: If I could followup 10 through the Chair. 11 12

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Bob.

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

44

45

46

47

48

MR. SCHROEDER: Well, Tom, I think, you know, we've talked about this a whole lot and I understand where you're coming from. I will point out that the Marine Mammal Commissions throughout Alaska do not see local knowledge as being some sort of anecdotal unscientific observational data. I will point out that one of the Department's most successful planning efforts concerning Northwest Arctic planning -planning for the Northwest Arctic Caribou Herd, which went on for years and years and may still be continuing, and did incorporate local knowledge and did use local observations. And I frankly feel that we're way behind in Southeast, that this is just kind of a real heavy handed approach, but because you haven't done something, you're not trying. That there's definitely are ways to incorporating local knowledge, you know, we're not talking about anecdotes, we're not talking about what somebody said in a coffee shop, we're talking about developing methodologies, following it and using that knowledge. And I don't know, I think we're going to be doing this forever. The estimates -the estimates produced by DNA, which I'll point out again, through Forest Service support and funding, it may continue through that, and that's really great, I'm amazed that we could do that, they're out of date, they're old. And you keep coming up with things to show that virtually all the wolves have been trapped that exist and we know that isn't true. And we just have to get off this -- so if you could comment on that and, basically, you know, I'm frankly someone who's worked for 30 or 40 years dealing with indigenous, subsistence and knowledge, the idea that somehow there's science, which is something that happens in a laboratory and then there's what local people know which basically they don't know what's going on and they're just biased because they either want to kill

0153 all the wolves or save all the wolves, that just doesn't watch in 2020. Perhaps it was really good earlier in the Colonial era, that isn't what happened now, this is 2020 and we can go in different 5 directions. 6 7 Thank you. 8 9 MR. SHULER: Mr. Chair, this is Scott 10 Shuler. I'd like to address if I could. 11 12

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Sure, go ahead,

13 Scott.

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

MR. SHULER: Wow, this is tricky business, right. And I was trying to think through this as I was listening to comments and I thought, well, you know, from my perspective I think that we did make an effort to try and meld the DNA estimates with anecdotal evidence or observational evidence that we heard loud and clear at the public meeting that we had -- the virtual public meeting. What I remember is that after we finally did get the estimate, the population estimate, Tom and I talked at length about what we thought would be a reasonable season length to meet the population objective of between 150 and 200 wolves. And we proposed, based on that conversation, a two week season. So after that proposal we had the public meeting and most definitely heard loud and clear that there were a lot of folks who disagreed with the estimate and felt strongly that there were a number -there were a lot more wolves than the DNA estimate was accounting for. And so in the end, after, again, Tom and I coming to the table and talking about this, we did extend the season a week. Now, that may not be -that extension may not have been as much as some folks would like to have seen but I do remember the conversation basically including, you know, there's a lot of folks on the island that are adamant there are a number of more wolves on the island than the estimate would suggest. And so those observational -- that observational data did give us a higher comfort in extending our initial two week season one additional week.

44 45 46

47

48

49

50

So -- and, again, I recognize that the additional week may not have been as long as some folks would like to have seen but I guess my point is that I do believe that we did take into account folks'

0154 1 observations and their experience here on the island and what they expressed during that phone call and we 2 extended the season a week. 4 5 So I just wanted to make that point. 6 7 MR. SCHROEDER: Can I do one followup, 8 Mr. Chair. 9 10 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Bob. 11 12 MR. SCHROEDER: I understand that you 13 made some efforts in this direction, what I'm saying is 14 that what you put before us is that there's science and 15 management on which, I'm not sure how much we spend on that every year, look at wolves on Prince of Wales, 16 17 it's a whole lot, I wouldn't be surprised if there were 18 a couple of hundred thousand dollars or maybe a quarter 19 of a million dollars in a year where you're doing 20 intense sampling but there -- is there anything that 21 qualifies as research or science in using traditional 22 local knowledge and the knowledge of hunters and 23 trappers. That's way, way different than having a 24 meeting and then incorporating, with good faith, some 25 of the things you heard. There are ways to conduct 26 research on these things, and if you don't know them 27 you got to talk to somebody who does wildlife 28 management because, you know, we can't keep doing 29 things the way they were done in 1950 with DNA 30 psychology added on. 31 32 So I'm just registering that finding 33 out, incorporating local knowledge is not like a public 34 meeting and hearing from people who show up, that's not 35 the be all and end all. But there are research methods 36 to get at that in an organized and sensible way. And 37 it's like stuff gets done all the time and, again, 38 reiterating what I said, that perhaps if you and he had done that, we, the Council, would support that and the 39 40 Forest Service could be involved, you'd have a way 41 better -- a much more defensible management plan and 42 you'd be less likely to lose in court. 43 44 So perhaps we can consider that. 45 That's my last comment on this. 46

Thank you, so much.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is

47

48 49

0155 1 Albert. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert. 4 5 MR. HOWARD: And anybody could answer 6 this question, isn't it 2021 -- okay, I think I 7 answered that myself. But I'm sitting here listening, it's interesting, on the one hand you're not sure how 9 to incorporate traditional knowledge and on the other 10 hand you heard the gentleman just say that they 11 actually have done it before, so my question is what 12 was the result of extending the season an extra week 13 after you've heard enough people say that there's 14 plenty of wolves around, did that harm the wolf 15 population or did that help manage it better, because 16 you've just incorporated the testimony from people 17 saying that there was a lot of wolves around and they 18 didn't have hair boards or any of that stuff, for 19 cameras, they just told you and based on what they told 20 you, the season was extended one week. So what was the 21 result of the extension? 22 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Can you answer 23 24 that Tom? 25 26 MR. SCHUMACHER: Well, we don't really 27 know the results of the extension other than an additional week of trapping effort. Because of the way 28 29 the sealing requirements are, you know, people aren't 30 required to seal any wolves they harvest until 30 days 31 until after the season closes. They are requested to 32 identify the month and year of harvest so we can say 33 wolves harvested in November and December, and December 34 we had five days of open season so most of the week, on 35 average, you have 68 wolves in three weeks, that's 22, 36 pulling six wolves per week or something like that, so 37 the additional five days, you know, an additional 20 38 wolves or something like that. 39 40 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So just maybe to 41 followup on Albert's question there, do you think that 42 an extra week of the season was detrimental in any way 43 to your management strategy? 44 45 MR. SCHUMACHER: Well, we'll find that 46 out this coming fall when the 2020 population estimate

is done. The signs that are out there suggest not.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Other questions

49 50

47

0156 from Council members on this topic. 2 3 (No comments) 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Questions or 6 comments. 7 8 MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman, I have a 9 question. 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 12 Mike. 13 14 MR. DOUVILLE: I guess this would be 15 for the Feds at some level, the District Ranger or higher up, is the Proposal 194, I don't think we have 16 17 that proposal on a Federal level yet, but I guess my 18 question is this, can a sealing period be modified via 19 the designated authority by the District Ranger or some 20 -- administratively. That would be my question at this point, without having to go through the proposal 21 22 process. 23 24 MR. SHULER: Mr. Chair, this is Scott 25 Shuler again. If I could address that question. 26 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead, 27 28 Scott, it sounds like it's a question for you. 29 30 MR. SHULER: Okay. Yeah, thanks for 31 the question, Mike. And I'll ask Terry Suminski to 32 weigh in here as well if he has additional input. But 33 my understanding is that the delegation of authority 34 that I've been given does not allow for that to occur 35 so there would be -- I would not be able to effectively 36 change the sealing season based on the delegation of 37 authority I've received. 38 39 Terry, would you like to add to that. 40 41 MR. SUMINSKI: Yeah, through the Chair. 42 Mr. Douville. My strict reading of the way the 43 delegation is written does not allow that. It's 44 specific to setting seasons, season lengths, opening 45 and closing, it doesn't mention other methods and 46 means. Unless someone at OSM could correct me, I 47 believe we'd have to go through a Federal proposal 48 process to change the sealing requirements at this 49 point.

1 Thank you.
2
3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. Any
4 followup on that Mike.
5
6 MR. DOUVILLE: No. It was just, you
7 know, we lag behind, and I think there's a call for
8 proposals at this time. But I have no more questions

at this point.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, okay, thanks, Mike. That's something we're going to have to consider here coming up is the proposal on the sealing requirement. Tom, I guess I have a question, maybe more of a comment, but you might be able to help me out here.

Regards to, you know, what Bob Schroeder was saying there, I'm kind of getting the impression that you're pretty much constrained right now in what you can do by these Endangered Species Petitions that -- I heard you say that, you know, the level of scrutiny that you're under requires that you follow some pretty strict scientific procedures and be able to justify your management plan, you know, to a higher authority essentially, that's going to be overseeing this whole wolf situation. So I guess that's my impression.

My question to you is, I'm just kind of wondering, you know, you seem pretty confident in this DNA analysis technique. I know we've heard testimony before, you know, Mr. Douville has brought out some pretty pertinent observations of what he thinks happens over time with these hair boards and DNA sampling techniques, just based on the fact that wolves are pretty intelligent creatures and you keep doing the same thing over and over again, they get wise to it and start avoiding your sampling sites. Seems like a pretty good observation to me. And I just wonder, in your opinion, how do you value the local knowledge that you hear in regards to the wolves, do you feel that it's pretty accurate, do you think it could be incorporated into a management strategy if you weren't so constrained by outside influences? I don't know if you care to comment on that.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SCHUMACHER: Well, my eventual hope for the new management strategy, which isn't quite as

new as it used to be, is to have a relatively stable harvest and stable population where we really only need to do a population estimate every year or two or three, and otherwise we rely more on local observations. I don't know if that's ever going to happen given the level of controversy surrounding this population. You know this one is really in the spotlight.

7 8

5

6

9 I gave a talk in Craig before the 2019 10 season where we first implemented the new strategy and, 11 you know, it's an eight week season. But I encouraged 12 moderation because the population is under such 13 scrutiny, and, you know, I warned people that we'd have 14 another Endangered Species Petition if there was, you 15 know, a whole lot of harvest, which is what people were 16 talking about doing at that meeting. The history kind 17 of bears that out now. Because we're trying to manage 18 what is a closed population, so immigration really 19 isn't an issue on Prince of Wales. You know, Unit 2 is 20 essentially a separate world from other wolf 21 populations in Southeast. We have a population 22 objective which is 50 wolves wide that we're trying to 23 hit. I don't know how observational information can 24 provide the precision and some measure of confidence in 25 the number of wolves that will be accepted by all 26 parties, from people on both sides of the issue. I 27 think that, you know, people have expressed skepticism 28 of this method and these estimates and I think really 29 no one knows the true number of wolves so nobody knows if there are more or fewer than our estimate says 30 31 really, in a way that anyone who looks at -- you know, 32 other parties will accept as verifiable. So the 33 confidence in our estimate really depends on confidence 34 in the method. I know Mr. Douville and others have 35 raised questions about the method and when we got 36 together last fall in the (indiscernible - echo) garage 37 at the Craig District Office, I promised to put 38 together educational material that would better explain 39 many of the questions because frankly it's an abstract 40 and somewhat complicated process. We are working on 41 that now and I hope to have a draft presentation that 42 should be ready late this month, early next month. 43 Once we have that draft, I'll be in touch with some 44 Unit 2 residents to talk about that and market test it, 45 you know, what do you see as shortcomings, what do you see is missing or things you don't understand, so for 46 47 me confidence in the estimates is all about confidence 48 in the method.

```
0159
 1
                     And that's kind of where we're going.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right, and I do
 4
     understand that. I think one of the new -- one of the
 5
     more relevant pieces of information here is this
 6
     starting to become more obvious here in the last few
 7
     years is just how the local observations have been so
     greatly enhanced by technology. And I'm talking about
 8
 9
     all the game cameras that are being placed all around
10
    the country now by individuals, I mean it's not
11
     something I could have foreseen five, 10 years ago but,
12
     you know, it's new technology and it's available to
13
     anybody and I think it's really enhancing the
14
     observability of wildlife. And I know you're probably
15
     looking at it, I know those techniques were used on
16
    Mitkof Island, in particular, to kind of assess the
17
     deer population before you increased the season there
18
     and it seemed to be a pretty valuable management tool,
19
     so, yeah, I think -- yeah, I guess, I hope moving
20
     forward that maybe we can get a little closer to what
21
    Mr. Schroeder was proposing and, yeah, it'd be good for
22
     everybody involved, for the wolves as well.
23
24
                     So I don't know, Tom, any last comments
25
     on that.
26
27
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman, Mike
28
     Douville.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
                                          Go ahead, Mike.
31
32
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Can I go ahead?
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
35
    Mike.
36
37
                     MR. DOUVILLE: So I don't have issue
38
     with the DNA samples they are getting, I mean that
39
     tells you quite a bit. But to me, knowing wolves and
     how they react, is that the rub in this unit is most of
40
41
     the people in the know think that there is more wolves
42
     than the Department is coming up with with their DNA. I
43
     agree with them somewhat, and that's what the issue is
44
     really. So I'm confident in the numbers that the
45
     Department's coming up with even though I believe
     they're quite conservative so, you know, I think the
46
47
     type they're using -- they're coming up with a number
48
     but everybody here thinks that it's low, including
49
     myself. You know, I think there's -- particularly
50
```

because we have not been able to see how they compensate for wolves that they do not collect DNA off of that are running in the pack, or singly or however. So I know there's significant numbers that do not roll on these hair boards. The ones that you do you can get a solid number off of there's no question about that. But we've been asking for a long time to see the formula as to how many they estimate that do not roll in there to get their population number or do they include any that may not be hitting the hair board. And that's the problem that most of the guys here have issue with.

Thank you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:} An opportunity to comment if you'd like Tom.$

MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Yeah, I'm hoping to have some answers to Mike's questions in the next two or three weeks to, like I said, in a market test, and start to explain this. Like I said this is a little bit complicated in that.....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted - echo - overlapping conversations)

MR. SCHUMACHER: You know it's going to take a little bit of effort on behalf of Unit 2 residents to learn and, you know, it's taken a considerable effort on our part to come up with ways to teach. But, you know, we're certainly more than willing to make that effort and, you know, there's three people are working on that presentation right now so the goal is to have a short presentation, kind of like a PowerPoint presentation but to also have a number of the individual pages that would serve as stand-alone handouts for people to take home.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you. Tom, maybe we should move on, you have a portion on -- an update on what's going on with the Endangered Species Act, maybe we could move to that now.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SCHUMACHER: I also have the seven day sealing proposal, would you like me to talk about that as well?

1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Do you have a preference in order?

4 preference in order 5

6 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Not really.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay. The seven day sealing period is what's up next in my notes so let's go there. After we eliminated the 14 day sealing period in 2019 we realized there's an unforeseen consequence and that is that when wolves are removed from the population is important information for the population estimate. The population model, which is just a mathematical equation, you know, expect wolves to be there to be detected at the other hair board stations unless it's told they're removed. So with a two week season period, every two weeks we could say, well, these wolves were removed. Now, with the current sealing period we can't do that anymore and that affects what's called the probability of detection. that it actually depresses the possibility of detection and the affect of depressing that is unknown, it could go either way in terms of eventual population estimates. But what we know it does is it takes information away from the model and you want to do the opposite, you want to bring information into a model.

So following the 2019 trapping season, the Commissioner instructed us to bring a proposal to the Board of Game that would get us the best information possible for the population estimation model. The one area where we could improve on things was the sealing period. So we put together a proposal for a seven day sealing period that will be heard this Thursday at the Board of Game meeting. And the request from the Commissioner was for something that would get us the best information and it's also feasible. We believe seven days is feasible because there are sealers in Craig, Klawock, Thorne Bay and Coffman Cove. But this is — the Board of Game is a public forum and I think they'd be very receptive to Unit 2 trapper comments on this.

 So I encourage people to tune into the Board of Game meeting on Thursday. I realize Member Douville won't be able to because he'll be in this meeting, but if there are other trappers in Unit 2 you might want to encourage them to call into that meeting

and express their views on that proposal.

So that's essentially where that proposal came from. And it depends on, you know, the Board's opinion on whether, you know, they think seven days is feasible or not and, if not, what is.

 $$\operatorname{So}\ I$$ encourage people to participate in that public process.

Beyond looking at just a date the animal is removed, a short sealing period also informs wolf movement. So we mark wolves the first time they roll on a hair board, that's considering marking that wolf because they have very (indiscernible - echo) identification. Population estimates are reliant on recaptures. So after it's marked, catch any -detecting it again with other hair boards or when a trapper harvests the animal. Because the distance the wolves move in that period also informs population estimates and the more the shorter the period to have between checks, between, you know, trapping checks or hair board checks, the more refined information you have on wolf movement. And that's better information to bring into the model. So it's all about improving the output of that population estimation model.

And I think that's what I had to say about the seven day sealing period proposal. And obviously if -- you know, we will be submitting a proposal to the Board of Game -- or excuse me, to the Southeast Regional Advisory Council and that proposal will be based on whatever the Board of Game adopts.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{Now}}$, if there's any other questions on the seven day sealing proposal I'll be happy to answer them.$

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:} \mbox{ Any questions from the Council.}$

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SLATER: Mr. Chair, this is Jim Slater from Pelican.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Jim.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SLATER: Excuse me, but I'm new and uninformed on this matter but I'm curious. I've heard the argument for the seven day sealing motion -- or

proposal, but I'm not sure, is it just purely a convenience issue for keeping it at 30 days, what is the argument for that, just convenience?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I don't know if Tom wants to answer that or a more experienced trapper, Mr. Douville wants to answer that. What would be the argument against the seven day sealing requirement.

10 MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman, Mike 11 Douville.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Mike.

MR. DOUVILLE: The only way you could know that even if you sealed the wolf and they plucked some hair off of it, it's my understanding that all these samples go in at the same time at the end of the season so it's not like from week to week you're going to be able to compare this hair with a catalog of DNA hair that they have to be able to track these wolves in practically real time. So if all the DNA is going in, you might know what week you caught it in but that's not going to help you in-season at all. Anyway, that's the way I see it. And it's not a problem here if you have a group that has been cooperative, they don't believe in the Department's science so they think that the estimate is way too low. And there's a healthy population because you catch as many in 2019 and then you're catching at the same, if you will, in 2020, so my experience with wolves, once you've trapped them, the next year they're going to be smarter but it seems like guys are doing just as well which indicates that the population is pretty healthy.

But I don't think -- I would support sealing at the end of the season within seven days but, you know, the sealing sheets go by month, they don't go by days, the same sealing sheets you've used for quite a few years and it goes by month so there's never any request to go by a day in the month, it's just by a month. I wouldn't ask a subsistence user or trapper to do it weekly but I don't think it's unreasonable to ask them to do it within seven days of the end of the season since our seasons are so short, I wouldn't anticipate it being more than three weeks this coming year, or perhaps even less, so I think it's -- in my opinion it looks like a back door effort to try to get a weekly count of how many wolves are taken, which

there's nothing wrong with that, but I don't think you're going to get the cooperation you would hope to get. What I would ask of the trappers, or knowing one, some of them would cooperate just as plain and simple is ask them to report when they do catch one. You have a certain that's not going to and they're going to do everything that they can to not play ball, if you will. But some of them are straight up and would be forthcoming and that, to me, would be more valuable than force somebody to do that.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good points, Mike.} I don't know, Tom, if you want to comment on that question.$

MR. SCHUMACHER: Yes, I do. I think that Mike brought up some interesting points. The first is, this is not an attempt at in-season monitoring. That's what we used to do under the old harvest management strategy, we're not doing that anymore. If the season's open for three weeks, the season's open for three weeks, we're not going to close it down early, unless I'm told to by the Commissioner or something, but that's really not the goal here. The goal here is to gather information about wolf movement and when wolves are removed from the population.

Yes, all hair is sent in at the end of the season, however, the sealer can note the day or a period when you caught the wolf at a (indiscernible - echo) finer than a month. If you seal a wolf on a certain day and the regulation is you have to seal within seven days, we assume that wolf was recovered within the previous seven days of the day it was sealed. So that's how we work in the timing factor.

In terms of DNA measurements, you know, if we know when that individual was harvested and have a general location of where it was harvested and we have, you know, a discreet period, however many days when it was harvested then you can track their movements, but we don't know that until, you know, the next summer when we get our DNA results back so it's not about in-season movement, it's about movements of some wolves in the previous season. This, I hope that we will be able to explain in our presentation and I hope to show to you and some others in the next few weeks to make this understandable. But the goal of a short sealing period here is to get better information

```
0165
 1
    for estimates.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well....
 4
 5
                     MR. SLATER: Thank you to both of you.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there a
 8
     followup Jim.
 9
10
                     MR. SLATER: No, that's more than
11
     enough, thank you.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any other
14
     Council members.
15
16
                     MR. CASIPIT: Well, this is Cal
17
     Casipit. I just wanted to get something -- be real
18
     clear about something. Basically the seven day
19
     sealing, you know, proposal is to basically
20
     (indiscernible - echo) improve the statistical
21
     reliability of the DNA estimates through these hair
22
    (indiscernible - echo), correct, I mean I'm familiar
23
    with mark/recapture studies, but if you don't have
24
     enough recaptures or if you -- yeah, basically if you
25
    don't have enough recaptures you don't have a good
26
    confidence interval among these population estimates so
27
     I'm assuming that this helps you get a better
    confidence interval around that estimate (indiscernible
28
29
     - echo) issue of people think there's a lot more wolves
30
     out there than there actually are, correct.
31
32
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: Member Casipit. Yes,
33
    but there's more.
34
35
                     MR. CASIPIT: Okay.
36
37
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: We use a
38
     mark/recapture technique because that's how you count
39
     things you can't see, that's how everybody does it
40
     everywhere. What we do is a spatially explicit
41
    mark/recapture which is more complicated and advanced
42
     and, you know, mark/recapture has been around for many
43
    decades, basically explicit mark/recapture is a much
44
    more recent development. It takes into account wolf
45
    movement, or the movements of whatever animal it is and
46
    you're right that, you know, and then, you know, the
47
    date that animal is removed from the population
48
     affects, like I said before, the detection probability
49
     for that individual so -- and the movement help inform
50
```

the probability of detection because if a wolf is identified at one hair board, it's much more likely to be detected at the neighboring hair board than it is at a hair board far away. And so the model assigns a higher probability of that wolf being detected at those neighboring hair boards than it does of those far away, and that refines the information that's available to the model. Beyond that it gets a little bit complicated for explaining over the phone. But it's important information, no one will never know, we'll never be able to say it improves things by this much, you know, like I said earlier, this is -- confidence in the numbers is based on confidence in the method, and the method, if you provide better information to the method you should get a better result. And that's all we're trying to do here is get a better result.

MR. CASIPIT: Okay. Thank you for the explanation that helps me a lot.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very good. Anybody else on the Council with a question.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good. Tom, you've given us a lot to think about here and what we do, our action, it sounds like we've got to balance what enhances the research capabilities as opposed to what can work best for the people out doing the hunting.

MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman, Mike Douville.

MR. SCHUMACHER: If I may say, you know, in terms of being defensible because our population estimates really do need to be defensible to in a much larger audience in the endangered species world, the more effort we put into this, the more defensible they are. The higher degree of cooperation, the more defensible. And the reason we're putting this in regulation rather than just asking hunters to report is that just what Member Douville said, some people will cooperate and some won't. If it's in regulation that you have to do it then we're much better able to make the assumption that everyone is doing it. That's a much more defensible assumption.

(Teleconference interference -participants not muted - echo - overlapping conversations) CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Points well made, so thank you. Do you have.... MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:an update on the Endangered Species petition for us. MR. SCHUMACHER: Sure, we can close out strong with that. All indications are that the

strong with that. All indications are that the petition submitted to the Fish and Wildlife Service will be accepted sometime between now and the middle of April. There is virtually no doubt that it will be accepted. That will trigger another 12 month finding which is a process we went through in 2015 where they essentially review all the information about the species, habitat, prey, things like that that's available and probably go through some exercises to look at things projecting the future because this isn't just about what's on the ground now, this is about looking into the future as well.

When that 12 months findings will begin is unclear at this point, it probably will not begin this year, just it takes time to get people in position to do that so I don't anticipate that starting until next year and who knows it could be even longer than that.

This petition is designed differently than the one we dealt with last time. This petition first asks the Fish and Wildlife Service to consider wolves in Southeast Alaska a distinct population segment. That means for management purposes separate from the wolves along coastal British Columbia. believe that will happen. Because the last time it was a very, very close shave on that and this time I got to think -- our people who deal with endangered species stuff all the time say, yeah, they're probably going to do that. So what that does is confine the remainder of the analysis to only wolves in Southeast Alaska. So Yakutat Bay (indiscernible - echo) you know, it's a much smaller of wolves, much less habitat. And it's important to keep in mind that the petition is for wolves throughout Southeast and not just on Prince of

Wales. If we have most information and most issues on Prince of Wales that tends to focus the discussion there but this is really about the entire region.

So the five reasons that a species can be listed as threatened or endangered.

The first is a threat to habitat. Wolves are kind of habitat generalists, you know, they live all over the Northern Hemisphere in all kinds of different places, so this is really more about habitat for their prey, which in most places are deer.

We have some vulnerability there. There's been an awful lot of logging, a lot of road building. So that's one reason.

But of the five reasons you only need one to be listed, but like these species can be listed for more than one as well.

The next is over utilization. On a region-wide scale we really don't have a lot of information on that so that question is going to focus probably back to Unit 2. You know, we had 165 wolves, a new record by 30 wolves harvested one year, it raised — there was a lot of public outcry about that. So, yeah, there's — that's where — received some scrutiny.

 The next reason is disease or predation. Those aren't known to be issues in Southeast but it does kind of highlight the need to vaccinate pets, particularly dogs against things like parvoviruses and temper, it does get into small populations of wolves or isolated populations like Prince of Wales and, you know, we could have some problems there.

Reason No. 4 is inadequacy of regulations. I don't know if our sealing period would be considered an inadequate regulation but it's certainly a place where we could improve things. Beyond that I think we have a pretty strong regulatory environment. Part of regulation is also enforcement. The ability to enforce those regulations and that's, you know, where we maybe have some vulnerability. You know we have very few Alaska Wildlife Troopers, we have some big areas, it's hard to get around, and they have

a lot of responsibilities, you know, not just wolves. So, you know, that's an argument people could make.

And the other -- the fifth factor is other natural or man made factors. Now, that's kind of a catch all and I don't know what anybody would point at for that one.

So there are the five reasons and we do have some vulnerabilities on a couple of them. So that's kind of where I think the debate will focus.

Most wolves are in Units 1A, 2 and 3 and that's probably 70 percent of the wolves, in all of Region 1, through all of Southeast Alaska. Prince of Wales, we think is about 35 to 40 percent of wolves, so, yeah, that's a big chunk of the population there and then, you know, Revillagigedo and the Unit 3 islands. That's where most of the logging has also taken place. So this is a time we're meeting our population objectives in Unit 2 so that'll count in our favor.

The Department, you know, strongly disagrees with the petition. We've made that known in a letter to the Fish and Wildlife Service. We've also provided a bunch of new information that we've been collecting on various studies that primarily addressed information gaps identified in the last Endangered Species process and we've been working on those gaps in the last few years and we provided that information to the Fish and Wildlife Service but they still, we believe, will issue a warranted finding for the petition. Assuming that happens, the Department is going to be vigorously and regularly engaged in this whole process because we see that as a serious threat. So we're going to be very actively engaged in this and we certainly encourage all of you to keep an eye on things as they develop.

And I just wanted to end by saying that the ESA is separate, with a separate side line management issue for us, you know, our primary focus is our Constitutional mandate for sustainably harvestable populations and, at least, in Unit 2 for our Board of Game specified population objective for wolves. Those are really where we focus our management. The ESA is a little bit of a side line for us.

0170 1 And that's what I've got for you on the ESA, does anybody got any questions on that. 2 4 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, any 5 questions from the Council. 6 7 (No comments) 8 9 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Very informative 10 Tom. Any questions. 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I do have one, 12 Tom. Hearing that this Endangered Species petition is 13 for all of Southeast Alaska and so much of the focus is here on Prince of Wales, but I know there's been some, 14 15 you know, pretty heavy trapping efforts in other units as well. It seems like 1A has had some pretty 16 17 extensive effort and Unit 3 as well. Are there any 18 concerns in other areas besides Prince of Wales that 19 could affect this listing? 20 21 MR. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, the habitat 22 issue is widespread so that's going to get some 23 attention. In terms of harvest, Unit 1A, harvest there 24 has been pretty steady over the last 10 years, I don't 25 remember beyond that. Unit 3 there were some high 26 years there over, I think, at least one over 100 in 27 Unit 3, which is a lot, but Unit 3 is also a pretty big 28 land area. Those two places have far less road access 29 than Prince of Wales or Unit 2 as a whole, and not just 30 road access but also access for boating. You know Unit 31 2 has the -- Prince of Wales but also there's a lot of 32 big bays and things that are sheltered for boating 33 access and the archipelago of islands on the west side, 34 (indiscernible - echo) but they do provide some shelter 35 from ocean conditions and, you know, there's better

42 43 44 region-wide as well.

36

37

38

39

40

41

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. Any other Council members got any questions for Tom on the Endangered Species Act petition.

access to some of those islands than elsewhere. So

Unit 2, I think, has some things that are, you know,

access both road and boat, and logging that are going

wide proposal -- or petition, and so we need to look

region-wide and that means the consequences will be

to be vulnerabilities there but, again, it is a region-

50

45

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman, I don't have a question about the Endangered Species Act but

```
0171
     I'd like to ask Tom a question before he leaves.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Mike.
 4
 5
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Okay. For the 2019
 6
     season, I guess for my own general information I would
 7
     like to know how many wolves -- we know how many wolves
     were harvested, I would like to know how many of those
 9
     that you have DNA -- previous DNA profile on as opposed
10
     to those that you did not for that season?
11
12
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: I'm afraid I don't
13
    have that information at my fingertips.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Can you get that.
16
17
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Well, perhaps you could
18
     forward it along at some point when you do get your
19
     fingers on it.
20
21
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: Yes, I can -- but let
22
     me just clarify the question. You want to know how
23
    many of the wolves trapped during 2019 were also
24
     detected at hair boards, that's all?
25
26
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Yeah.
27
28
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay.
29
30
                     MR. DOUVILLE: I would like to know how
31
    many you had a DNA profile on as opposed to those you
32
     did not.
33
34
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay. I can get that
35
    information for you.
36
37
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Okay, thank you.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Great. Anybody
40
     else on the Council with a question for Tom Schumacher.
41
42
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Just a comment, Mr.
43
     Chair.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: A comment, Mr.
46
     Douville.
47
48
                     MR. DOUVILLE: I do appreciate the
49
     Department's efforts and their scientific portion of
```

0172 1 what they're doing. It's the best we got right now and I do support it. Hopefully we can get through all this and I was happy to hear him mention that deforestation is a factor, or it is a factor in the ESA 5 also, so it looks like some hard work ahead but I 6 believe the science will prevail. 7 8 Thank you. 9 10 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you 11 for that, Mike. Tom, I want to thank you for coming before us this afternoon and giving us all this really 12 13 useful information, and pretty helpful and we do 14 appreciate it. 15 16 MR. SCHUMACHER: It's my pleasure. I 17 really do enjoy these get togethers and, you know, be 18 able to share this information because how else are we 19 going to understand each other. 20 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Just unfortunately 22 we can't all be sitting down somewhere and hash this 23 out a little more -- that day will come. 24 25 MR. SCHUMACHER: Next year. 26 27 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Next year. And 28 also thank you, Scott Shuler, for joining in and 29 answering some questions. And the Council will 30 probably come to a decision before the end of the 31 meeting on whether or not we want to make comments to 32 the Board of Game or submit a proposal to the 33 Subsistence Board along these lines so Council members 34 keep that in your minds here. 35 36 And we'll recess for the evening, and 37 come back 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning. The first 38 order of business will be if there's any more public 39 comment that people want to make and then after that 40 we'll try and wrap up the Board of Fish proposals and 41 move on to some other very interesting topics. 42 43 So that'll be it. 44

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead,

45

46 47

48

49 50 Cathy.

```
0173
 1
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
    just want to put a reminder out that we meet today,
 2
    tomorrow and that Board of Fish proposal goes before
    the -- I'm sorry, Board of Game proposal goes before
 5
    the Board of Game on Wednesday, so if we do want to
 6
    make any comments on that proposal from this Council,
 7
    we probably would have to have that wrapped up close to
    the end of the meeting day tomorrow in order for it to
 9
    be considered for the Board of Game's deliberation on
10
    the proposal.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, good
13
    reminder, Cathy, thank you. Yeah, we'll get to that
14
    tomorrow for sure. So don't let me forget.
15
16
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Okay.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, any
19
    other....
20
21
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: Mr. Chairman, this is
22
    Tom Schumacher. Can I state one more point.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Tom.
25
26
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay, when Member
27
    Needham spoke she indicated the Board of Game meeting
28
    is on Wednesday, the Board of Game meeting is on
29
    Thursday and I think she knew that and just misspoke so
30
    it is Thursday.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, we should
33
    probably get our comments together, probably be a good
34
    idea so.
35
36
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, the sooner the
37
    better.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Tom.
40
    Okay, DeAnna, do you have any reminders for us before
41
    we recess.
42
43
                     MS. PERRY: No, Cathy covered it so,
44
     yeah, looking forward to getting back to the Board of
45
    Fish proposals tomorrow and getting through all those,
46
    lots of work still to do so get a good night's rest.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Okay,
```

have a good evening everybody and we'll be listening to

49

```
0174
1 you tomorrow.
 2
3
                    (Off record)
 5
6
                       (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0175	
1 2	CERTIFICATE
3 4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA))ss.
5 6	STATE OF ALASKA)
7 8 9 10	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
11 12 13 14 15	THAT the foregoing pages numbered through contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the SOUTHEAST FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME I taken electronically on the 16th day of March;
17 18 19 20 21	THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and ability;
22 23 24 25	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party interested in any way in this action.
26 27 28 29	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 16th day of April 2021.
30 31	Salena A. Hile
32	Notary Public, State of Alaska
33	My Commission Expires: 09/16/22
34	
35	
36 37	
38	
39	
40	
41 42	
43	
44	
45	
46 47	
4 /	
49	
50	