```
0001
 1
                   SOUTHEAST ALASKA SUBSISTENCE
 2
                    REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
 3
 4
                          PUBLIC MEETING
 5
 6
                             VOLUME I
 7
 8
 9
10
                          TELECONFERENCE
11
                         October 5, 2021
12
                            9:05 a.m.
13
14
15
16
    COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
17
18
   Donald Hernandez, Chair
19 Calvin Casipit
20 Michael Douville
21
    Albert Howard
22
    Ian Johnson
23
   Harvey Kitka
24
    Cathy Needham
25
    Harold Robbins
26
   Robert Schroeder
27
    James Slater
28
   Frank Wright
29
30
31
32
     Regional Council Coordinator, Katya Wessels (Acting)
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
    Recorded and transcribed by:
41
42
     Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
43
    135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2
44
    Anchorage, AK 99501
45
     907-227-5312/sahile@gci.net
46
47
48
49
50
```

0002	PROCEEDINGS
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(Teleconference - 10/5/2021)
	(On record)
	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: At this time I would like to call the fall meeting of the Southeast Regional Advisory Committee to order. It looks like it's 9:05. And I will ask our Secretary, Frank Wright, to call the roll. Frank, do you have a list of our current members there, I think I heard you online.
14 15	MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'll call the roll. Ian Johnson.
16 17 18	(No comments)
19 20	MR. WRIGHT: Ian Johnson.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	(No comments)
	MR. WRIGHT: Frank's here.
	(No comments)
27 28	MR. WRIGHT: Cal Casipit.
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	(No comments)
	MR. WRIGHT: Is anybody hearing me?
	REPORTER: Yes.
	MS. WESSELS: Yes, we can hear you.
37 38	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm hearing you, Frank.
39 40 41	MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Cal Casipit.
42	(No comments)
43 44	MR. WRIGHT: Michael Douville.
45 46	(No comments)
47 48 49 50	MR. WRIGHT: Michael Douville.

```
0003
 1
                     (No comments)
 2
 3
                     MR. WRIGHT: James Slater.
 4
 5
                     (No comments)
 6
 7
                     MR. WRIGHT: James Slater.
 8
 9
                     REPORTER: Hey, Frank. Frank.
10
11
                     MR. WRIGHT: Yes.
12
13
                     REPORTER: This is Tina, the court
14
     reporter. Okay, so folks, at the beginning of the
15
     conference I put all the lines on a muted call because
     there were lots of calls that were unmuted. So I know
16
17
     some of these people that Frank is calling are online.
18
     You need to star 6 to unmute your phone and then put
19
     yourself back on mute if you're not talking. So you
20
    might be saying you're here, I know you're online, so
21
    make sure you star 6 to unmute and then put yourself
    back on mute when you're done talking.
22
23
24
                     Go ahead, Frank.
25
26
                     MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you. I'll
27
     start over.
28
29
                     Ian Johnson.
30
31
                     MR. JOHNSON: Hey, I'm here. I hope
32
     that fixed it.
33
34
                     MR. WRIGHT: Ian. Okay, thank you,
35
     Ian.
36
37
                     Cal Casipit.
38
39
                     MR. CASIPIT: Hey, Frank, did you hear
40
    me, this is Cal, I'm on too.
41
42
                     MR. WRIGHT: Okay, I've got you, thank
43
     you.
44
45
                     Michael Douville.
46
                     (No comments)
47
48
49
                     MR. WRIGHT: Michael Douville.
50
```

0004		
1 2		(No comments)
3 4		MR. WRIGHT: James Slater.
5		(No comments)
6 7		MR. WRIGHT: James Slater.
8 9		(No comments)
10 11 12 13 14 15		MR. WRIGHT: Bob Schroeder.
		MR. SCHROEDER: Bob Schroeder's here.
		MR. SLATER: Can you hear me now,
16 17	Frank?	The series of th
18		MR. WRIGHT: James Slater?
19 20		MR. SLATER: Yes, can you hear me,
21 22	Frank, I'm here	
23 24	thank you.	MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, I could hear you,
25 26	7	MR. SLATER: Okay, great, thanks.
27 28		
29		MR. WRIGHT: Albert Howard.
30 31	Howard.	MR. HOWARD: Good morning. Albert
32 33		MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you.
34 35		Don Hernandez.
36 37		CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm here.
38		
39 40		MR. WRIGHT: He's here.
41 42		Harold Robbins.
43 44		MR. DOUVILLE: Mike Douville is here.
45 46		MR. WRIGHT: Okay, Michael, thank you.
47		Harold Robbins.
48 49		(No comments)
50		

```
0005
 1
                     MR. WRIGHT: Harold Robbins.
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     MR. WRIGHT: Harold Robbins.
 6
 7
                     (No comments)
 8
 9
                     MR. WRIGHT: Harvey Kitka.
10
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
                     MR. WRIGHT: Hey, Harvey. Harvey
14
     Kitka.
15
16
                     (No comments)
17
18
                     MR. WRIGHT: Harvey Kitka.
19
20
                     (No comments)
21
22
                     MR. WRIGHT: Larry Bemis.
23
24
                     MR. ROBBINS: This is Harold Robbins,
25
     I'm here.
26
27
                     MR. WRIGHT: Okay, thank you.
28
29
                     Larry Bemis.
30
31
                     (No comments)
32
33
                     MR. WRIGHT: Larry Bemis.
34
35
                     (No comments)
36
37
                     MR. WRIGHT: Cathy Needham.
38
39
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Cathy is here.
40
41
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Cathy. Mr.
42
     Chair, we have a quorum.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
45
     Frank. So next up we'll start with our welcome and
46
     introductions. This morning we will be having a
47
     welcome, I understand, from Dave Schmid. I think he's
48
     going to be available in about 20 minutes unless he's
49
     here now. But first we need to find out everybody who
50
```

1 is online and participating. So we'll want all the Staff people to identify themselves, and then we'll ask if you're a member of the public or representing a tribal organization to identify yourself. And we do 5 ask, you know, for the public to -- seeing how we're 6 doing a virtual meeting it is kind of important that we 7 kind of an attendance to know who's participating. So if you're on the phone and you're just a member of the 8 9 public, we would like to hear your name. And also for 10 this morning, we offer public testimony, which would be 11 a little bit later in the meeting after we conduct some 12 other business and meet with Dave Schmid and possibly 13 Earl Stewart and we hear our Council member reports. 14 We will be taking public testimony. So if you are a 15 member of the public and you would like to testify, 16 we'd appreciate you letting us know so we have an idea 17 of how many people we do have lined up to testify.

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

One thing I'd like the public to take notice of is our agenda calls for public and tribal comments on non-agenda items, which is available every morning at the start of the meeting. I would like to point out that if you are wanting to testify on a specific proposal, for instance, it would be more effective if you were to give that testimony at the time we're deliberating on the proposals, however, if, you know, there is time constraints where you may not be available, and we can't tell you for sure when those times will happen, you know, you can testify at any time that it's available. I might also point out that it might be more effective if you give those types of testimony on the morning that we probably will be conducting that business, it might be helpful. But we will have public testimony available this morning after we hear our Council member reports and take care of the other initial items on the agenda.

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43

So with that we'll do the introductions and seeing how we're scattered about and not all in one room I guess we'll just have to do kind of a shout out, but maybe to be a little more organized maybe we'll start with all of the Federal Staff that are online. So if you could just identify yourselves and we'll try and take note of who's here.

44 45 46

So thank you very much, go ahead, somebody.

47 48 49

MS. WESSELS: Good morning. This is

```
0007
    Katya Wessels and I am Council Coordination Division
     Supervisor with OSM. And today I am your Council
 2
     Coordinator instead of DeAnna. Thank you, and thank
 4
    you for joining the meeting.
 5
 6
                     REPORTER: Okay, wait a second, before
 7
    we go any further. This is Tina, I'm sorry to
     interrupt, Don. So there's quite a few calls that are
 8
     not muted. Unless you're speaking, other than Don,
 9
10
    could you please mute your line because we can't really
11
    hear who's talking. So just to give respect to the
12
    people that are talking, please mute your line, star 6
13
    or mute your phone.
14
15
                     Thank you.
16
17
                     Go ahead, next Federal person.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
20
    Tina.
21
22
                     REPORTER: Next Federal person.
23
24
                     MR. UBELAKER: Good morning. This is
25
    Brian Ubelaker, Wildlife Biologist with OSM.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Brian.
28
29
                     MS. DARR: Good morning, Brie Darr with
30
    the Forest Service.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good morning.
33
34
                     MS. HOWARD: Good morning. This is
35
    Amee Howard with OSM.
36
37
                     MR. RISDAHL: Good morning, Don, and
     Council members. This is Greg Risdahl, USDA Forest
38
39
     Service, Subsistence Program Leader and ISC member.
40
41
                     MS. YOCHUM: Good morning. This is Kim
42
    Yochum, National Park Service, Subsistence Program
43
    Regional Office.
44
45
                     MS. MARKEGARD: Good morning. This is
     Sara Markegard with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
46
47
48
                     MR. SUMINSKI: Good morning everyone.
49
     This is Terry Suminski with the U.S. Forest Service,
50
```

```
0008
 1
     Subsistence Manager for the Tongass National Forest.
 2
 3
                     MS. OEHLERS: Good morning. This is
 4
     Susan Oehlers, Wildlife Biologist with the U.S. Forest
 5
     Service based in Yakutat.
 6
 7
                     MR. DUNN: Good morning. This is Greg
 8
     Dunn, Tongass National Forest, Wildlife Planner.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are there any
11
     other Federal Staff that we haven't heard from.
12
13
                     MS. HOWARD: Mr. Chair. This is Amee
14
    Howard. I believe.....
15
                     MR. LIND: Chairman Don.
16
17
18
                     MS. HOWARD: .....Orville Lind -- there
19
    he is.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
22
23
                     MR. LIND: Yes, good morning, Chairman
24
    Don and Council members.
25
26
                     MS. HOWARD: Good morning, Orville.
27
28
                     MR. LIND: This is Orville Lind, Native
29
    Liaison for Office of Subsistence Management. Good
30
    morning.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good morning,
33
    Orville. Is there anybody else from Federal Staff.
34
35
                     (No comments)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Not hearing
     anybody, I think we have everybody. Is there anybody
38
39
     online that's representing the State of Alaska this
40
    morning.
41
42
                     (No comments)
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Apparently not
45
     yet.
46
47
                     MS. SILL: Good morning, Mr. Chair.
48
    This is Lauren Sill. I'm with the Department of Fish
49
     and Game, Division of Subsistence, and I am on the
50
```

```
0009
 1
    line.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Good
 4
    morning, Lauren.
 5
 6
                     MS. SILL: Good morning.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody
 9
     else from the State.
10
11
                     MR. SCOTT: Ryan Scott, Fish and Game
12
    here in Juneau.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think I heard
15
    Ryan Scott.
16
17
                     MR. SCOTT: Yep, good to hear you Don.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Good
20
    morning, Ryan. Anybody else, speak up.
21
22
                     (No comments)
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, perhaps not.
25
     Do we have anybody representing a tribal organization
26
     or corporation.
27
28
                     MR. BURCH: Hello, this is Mark Burch
29
    from the Department of Fish and Game as well.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, okay, hi,
32
    Mark. So that appears to be everybody from the State.
33
     So tribal representatives or Native Corporations.
34
35
                     MR. SANDERSON: Hello. This is Keenan
36
     Sanderson.
                I'm a Staff person for the Ketchikan Indian
37
    Community.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good morning,
     Keenan. Anybody else, tribal or Native Corporations.
40
41
42
                     (No comments)
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, not hearing
45
     anybody else. How about members of the public, and
46
     just....
47
48
                     MR. CARSON: Yeah, this is Chris
49
    Carson. I'm a property owner in the Lisianski Straits
50
```

```
0010
 1
    out of Pelican.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Chris
 4
     Carson, good morning.
 5
 6
                     MR. CARSON: Good morning.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else from
 9
     the public that would like to identify themselves.
10
11
                     MR. GALLEGOS: This is Tony Gallegos
12
     with the Ketchikan Indian Community. I'm the Cultural
13
     Resource Director for the tribe.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, okay, good
16
    morning, Tony.
17
18
                     MR. SHOREY: Yes, hello, my name is Bob
19
     Shorey. I'm a Juneau resident.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, could you
22
     say your name again.
23
24
                     MR. SHOREY: Bob Shorey, S-H-O-R-E-Y.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Bob Shorey, okay,
     good morning, Bob.
27
28
29
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Chairman Hernandez.
30
    This is Patricia Phillips calling from Pelican.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good morning,
33
     Patty.
34
35
                     MS. PHILLIPS: I'm going to be emailing
36
     in a summary comment of the Pelican Fish and Game
37
     Advisory Committee for WP22-9 and 10. And then I'll be
38
     providing comment as well, personally. Thank you.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Patty.
41
     And thank you for reminding me. I am going to ask
42
     Katya to kind of give another little information on
43
     additional public comments and how they might be
44
     accepted in the course of the meeting and that kind of
     relates to email comments. So thank you for reminding
45
46
    me of that Patty. After we get through the attendance,
47
     I'll ask Katya to tell us what that policy is.
48
49
                     So anybody else from the public.
50
```

```
0011
 1
                     (No comments)
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I don't
 4
    think I'm hearing anybody else from the public.
 5
    more opportunity here.
 6
 7
                     MS. WELLER: Good morning, can you hear
 8
    me?
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead.
11
12
                    MS. WELLER: Good morning. This is
13
    Celeste Weller. I'm a full-time resident of Pelican
14
    and City Council member.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, good
17
    morning, Celeste. Anybody else.
18
19
                     MR. CARSON: You might want to remind
20
     folks that the star 6 will unmute because mine was
21
     automatically muted by the conference call when I first
22
    got on until someone reminded us. So anybody that got
23
     on late might not have heard that.
24
25
                     MR. CORBIN: Yeah, hi, this is Denny
26
    Corbin from Pelican.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: There you go,
29
     okay, that's going to bring out some more people.
30
    Okay, who was that last person?
31
32
                     MR. CORBIN: Denny Corbin from Pelican.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,
35
     Denny. Yeah, that's a good reminder. If you initially
36
     dialed into the call everybody was put on mute by our
37
     phone person so you might have to press star 6 to
38
     unmute your phone.
                        So.
39
40
                     MR. CARSON: Yeah, good morning. This
41
     is Norm Carson from Pelican calling in to comment on
42
     09.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Norm
45
    Carson, thank you.
46
47
                     MR. CARSON: Good morning. This is
48
     Scott Carson calling in to comment as well.
49
```

0012 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Scott. 2 Okay, anybody else, who recently pressed star 6 and can 3 now speak. 4 5 MR. CARSON: Richard Carson. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Richard Carson, 8 okay. 9 10 MR. FOLEY: Good morning. This is 11 Kevin Foley with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service in 12 Anchorage. 13 14 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, good 15 morning, Kevin. And, right, if you are a Staff person and missed the initial opportunity here, you may have 16 17 to press star 6 to be heard, so any other -- more 18 people from the public go ahead and chime in. 19 20 (No comments) 21 22 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Do we have 23 anybody else from the public, organizations, or Staff 24 that's joined the meeting. 25 26 (No comments) 27 28 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, perhaps not. 29 So Katya if you could just go over what the policy is 30 in regards to accepting -- I don't know, I guess, I 31 don't know if we're calling them email comments or 32 comments that might be written in the form of an email 33 but not necessarily in regards to in person comments 34 and the policy on how we're going to deal with those 35 for the benefit of the folks in the public there. 36 37

38

39

40

41

MS. WESSELS: Okay, thank you, Don. Thank you, Mr. Chair. So as you all probably know, the Council meeting, you know, provides an opportunity for the public and tribes to provide oral and written testimony and comments. And throughout this meeting there will be several opportunities for this.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

At the beginning of each day there's an opportunity to provide testimony on non-agenda items. The Chair will announce these opportunities to provide testimony on non-agenda items. There is also an opportunity to provide oral comments on the wildlife proposal and closure reviews during and after analysts

present proposal analysis. And, again, the Chair will be announcing these opportunities as well. And in your meeting book, you can also find out the order of procedure for each proposal and that's when you will get an opportunity in this order of procedure to provide your oral testimony.

Additionally, there is an opportunity to submit a written comment on the proposals during the meeting. If you have a written comment please email it to starting right now until your proposal that you want to comment on is, you know, presented to the Council. And this email that you need to email it to is subsistence@fws.gov. Subsistence@fws.gov. So please clearly indicate the number of the proposal or closure review that you are commenting on in the title of the email. If you emailed your comment to the Council coordinator or anyone at OSM or Federal Subsistence Management Program prior to the meeting, please resubmit it to subsistence@FWS.gov. If we have less than 10 written comments they will be read into the record. If we receive more than 10 written comments on each particular proposal then they will be tallied and the results will be presented to the Council prior to their deliberation of that proposal. We might need to take a few little breaks here and there throughout the meeting in order to tally the proposals and access how many -- I mean not proposals, comments and assess how many were received.

So these are the opportunities throughout the meeting to submit your written comments. And also oral testimony during presentation of each proposal.

 So, additionally, as already has been said, please keep your phones muted when you're not speaking, star 6 is mute and unmute. If you receive another phone call please do not put this meeting on hold because we will hear the elevator music if you put us on hold. Please hang up and call back. You can call back into this meeting as many times as you need.

Thank you, very much.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

REPORTER: Okay, so before you start,

```
0014
 1
     Don, there are calls that are not muted right now
    because we can hear background radio and whatever. It
 2
    makes it really hard to listen to the speaker, so if we
    could all check whatever device you're using, make sure
 5
     it's on mute so we can, you know, give respect to the
    person talking so everybody can hear what they have to
 6
 7
     say.
 8
 9
                     All right, sorry, again, Don.
10
11
                     UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Several of us have
12
    not been able to get in and announce that we are part
13
     of this hearing too.
14
15
                     REPORTER: Okay. So in order to speak
16
     to the Council you need to star six your phone, unmute
     it, and then, you know, get the attention of the
17
18
     Chairman and he'll proceed, and then when you're done
19
     speaking, if you could star six your phone again to
20
    mute it again that takes the background noise off. So
21
     at the beginning everybody was placed on mute. And you
22
     will need to star six.....
23
24
                     KAREN: Yes, well, we still tried.....
25
26
                     REPORTER: Okay, just a minute.....
27
28
                     KAREN: Well, we tried.....
29
30
                     REPORTER:
                               I'm sorry, go ahead.
31
32
                     KAREN: Can we still try to let them
33
     know we're here?
34
35
                     REPORTER: Absolutely. Okay, I'll turn
36
     it back over to Don. I just wanted to make that quick
37
     announcement because there is a lot of background
38
     noise. So, yes, absolutely, go ahead and please let
39
     Don know who you are.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
42
            So, yeah, we'll continue on. It sounds like we
43
    have more members of the public that have dialed in so
44
     go ahead and state your name.
45
46
                     (No comments)
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Am I being heard
49
    now?
```

0015			
1 2	REPORTER: Yes.		
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. It sounded like there was somebody else who wanted to participate and we'd appreciate hearing your name if you would.		
	KAREN: This is Karen Sulley and Michael Murphy, residents of Lisianski Inlet.		
	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Karen and Michael, gotcha.		
	KAREN: Thank you.		
14 15 16	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you.		
17 18 19 20 21	MR. PHILLIPS: This is George Phillips and Mike Allard, residents of Pelican.		
	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: George and who?		
22 23	MR. PHILLIPS: Mike Allard, residents of Pelican.		
24 25	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Mike, okay.		
26 27 28	MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you.		
29 30	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else.		
31 32	(No comments)		
33 34 35 36 37	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody that has any questions on how we're going to deal with email public testimony comments that Katya just outlined.		
38	(No comments)		
39 40 41	CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Just so we'll have an idea of maybe the number of people who		
41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	we'll have an idea of maybe the number of people who were planning on testifying this morning would be helpful. This testimony is available for non-agenda items, which is, you know, anything, any issue that anybody wants to bring before the Council regarding, you know, subsistence activities not necessarily on our agenda today; this is an opportunity. And as I mentioned earlier, if people did not hear, we would also take public testimony on specific proposals,		

```
0016
 1
    however I will remind people that if you are available
    it may be more effective to give your testimony when
    we're actually discussing those proposals so they're
    fresh in the Council's mind. But if you can't call
 5
    back in at a later time we can hear that testimony this
 6
    morning.
 7
 8
                     So are there people on the line who
 9
    know they want to give testimony this morning and kind
10
     of get a count.
11
12
                     MR. CARSON: This is Scott Carson. I
13
     would like to give testimony today.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
16
     Scott.
17
18
                     MR. CARSON: This is Chris Carson and
19
     I'll be giving testimony today.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Chris, got
22
     you.
23
24
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Patricia Phillips.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Patty, got you.
27
28
                     MR. PHILLIPS: George Phillips.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I heard Patty and
31
     George Phillips; is that correct?
32
33
                     MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, George Phillips and
34
    Mike Allard would like to give testimony today.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Mike Allard, okay.
37
38
                     MR. KITKA: Harvey Kitka.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, good morning,
41
     Harvey. It sounds like you just came on.
42
43
                     MR. KITKA: Yeah, it took awhile to
44
     figure out all the electronics.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are you planning
47
     to give testimony as a tribal representative this
48
     morning, Harvey.
49
```

```
0017
 1
                     (Teleconference interference -
 2
    participants not muted)
 4
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Again, names of
 5
    people who would like to testify this morning.
 6
 7
                     MS. WELLER: Celeste Weller.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Celeste Weller,
10
    okay, Celeste got you.
11
12
                     MR. CARSON: Dick Carson.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is that Richard
15
    Carson?
16
17
                     MR. CARSON: Yes.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody
20
    else.
21
22
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good morning,
25
    Cathy, go ahead.
26
27
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Good morning, Mr. Chair.
28
     I just wanted to remind you about a time certain
29
     testimony from Regional Forester Dave Schmid, who, I
30
    believe only has until 10:00 o'clock this morning to
31
     give welcoming remarks.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. Dave is up
34
    next. I didn't hear him on the introductions, but is
35
     Dave Schmid with us now.
36
37
                     MR. SCHMID: Good morning, Mr.
38
     Chairman. I am here whenever you're ready, thank you.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,
41
     Dave, you're up next. Okay. I think I have a list
42
    here of people who would like to testify this morning.
43
     I can't tell you exactly when that will be. We will be
    hearing from Dave Schmid and then we'll go through our
44
    Council member reports and then public comments are
45
46
    next so it might be an hour or so or more.
47
48
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman.
49
```

```
0018
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank.
 2
 3
                     MR. WRIGHT: I think we need to adopt
 4
     the agenda.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah. Actually,
 7
     Frank, I have that on my agenda after Dave Schmid.
 8
 9
                     MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Anyway, thank you,
10
    Mr. Chair.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thanks.
13
     We'll do that. Like I say the way it's written on my
14
     agenda that comes after the introductions so, yes, we
15
     will get to that.
16
17
                     All right, so, Dave, I think we can.
18
19
                     REPORTER: So, Dave?
20
21
                     MR. SCHMID: Okay, I think that's my
22
     cue to start here, Mr. Chairman. And thank you so much
23
     again for the invite and opportunity to welcome the
24
     Council here as well. I am sitting in just an
25
     absolutely gorgeous day here in Juneau. First, I
26
     should make sure can you all hear me okay, or at least
27
     the Chair and others, I think I'm off mute.
28
29
                     REPORTER: Yes.
30
31
                     MR. SCHMID: Oh, good thank you.
32
33
                     MR. SCHMID: All right, outstanding.
34
     Hey, gosh, I know folks we had, it's a little bit funky
35
     here trying to work through but this is really
36
     important work that the Council is performing
37
     especially vital to our rural communities and all of
38
     Southeast Alaska. So I really applaud and thank you
39
     all for your patience in working through a clunky
40
     system at times on teleconferences but I know with the
41
     leadership of the Chair and the members of the Council
42
     you will get through that and a lot of good work will
43
    happen here over the next couple of days. So I really
44
     appreciate that. And I really do cherish the
45
     opportunity to spend some time with you. I cannot wait
46
     for the time that we can meet again in person. As I
47
     said, I thought this would have happened by now where
48
     we were in the middle of summer and as you all know the
49
     state has really been challenged by Covid, especially
```

in some of our rural communities and in Southeast Alaska. So we are here and thank you for being here.

I think the last opportunity I had to address the Council was in the spring as well. We've had a few changes in the agency and I'd like to just share a little bit of that and so just a real kind of high level overview of where I think we are headed here, certainly some areas that are of interest to the Council and then I'll pass the baton over to Earl Stewart, Forest Supervisor Stewart of the Tongass, and he can probably get into more specifics. And, again, I can hang with you until 10:00, I had an unavoidable commitment and then I'm going to join back up after lunch as well and listen in to the Council and as much as I can take in over the next couple days.

But let me just start with change. One of the big ones here for us and for me and for the agency is we have a new Chief of the Forest Service since I had an opportunity to address you the last time. Chief Randy Moore became the 20th Chief of the Forest Service in 100, I don't know eight or 10 years here with our agency. I've had the opportunity now to serve under 10 of those Chiefs. But Randy has been a good friend and a mentor to me. He was formerly the Regional Forester in California and really his heart is in making things happen on the ground and a close connection to the field programs. I have extended an invite for him to come visit Southeast Alaska and the Chugach as well and hopefully will be able to do that and maybe meet some of you in your communities here likely in the spring of next year.

 And then I'd just go back and remind you all of the first day in office, President Biden, last January, set up, you know, some broad priorities for the Administration. Again, those were responding to Covid19 and, of course, we're still working our way through that. A second was advancing racial equity. A third, supporting the economic recovery of the country here, certainly following Covid. And another big one is tackling climate change, which most of you see that front and center here in Southeast Alaska with some of the shifts, everything from our fisheries to our weather patterns and things. So the Forest Service and the Department, we've tried to align around these priorities.

What I'd like to share today, I know many of you have already engaged, but would like to share that a lot of our work is going to be in the very near term here around what we're calling a Southeast Alaska Sustainability Strategy. And this was announced by the Secretary, Secretary Vilsack in July. This is set up here to help guide and direct us around supporting a diverse economy and enhancing community resilience and capacity here and also to conserve natural resources. There's really four main primary components to the strategy and I'd like to just talk a little bit about each one and would be happy to address any questions you might have.

The first one, and I know this has been a huge topic within this Council and, certainly, in Southeast Alaska over the last couple of years, and that is around the Roadless Rule protections. So the Secretary's announced and we are proposing to restore the 2001 Roadless Rule protections that were in place until more recently. And many of you, most, if not all of you have lived in Southeast a long time, this has ping-ponged back and forth since 2001, when I was living on Prince of Wales myself, and so I'll talk a little bit more about that.

A second big piece of this strategy that was announced was ending large scale or larger scale old growth timber harvest on the Tongass and focusing resources -- really, shifting the focus to support Forest restoration, recreation, climate resilience and a sustainable young growth management looking into the future.

Another area that I know has garnered a lot of interest here is really identifying near and long-term opportunities for investment here in the region that affect, you know, diverse opportunities. And I'll speak to that a little bit as we're right in the middle of a public outreach process here to engage with folks.

And then the fourth is really highlighted here, it's around advancing some of the racial equity as it relates to Southeast Alaska. And that's engaging in truly meaningful tribal consultation with our tribal governments and Alaska Native Corporations.

So those are kind of the four pillars, four areas that were announced that are a part of this strategy that we're moving forward with. So I'll go back to the Roadless piece and I know the Council has commented several times as well in doing that.

So, again, the USDA, Department of Agriculture is proposing to repeal or replace the Alaska Roadless Rule and this is which exempted the Tongass from the 2001 rule. This proposal is pending right now on the regulatory agenda for further action. And we expect here in the next -- actually within the next couple of weeks that this will come out and be posted in the Federal Register. Again, the proposal will be to restore the 2001 protections that will include a public comment period. That's, again, expected likely later this month as well. And, again, throughout the Roadless Rule there are exemptions to the Roadless Rule as well and I'd just remind folks that some of those activities, certainly around hydropower and some of the other inter-ties and things that are really important to some of the communities, that there is -- that those all fit under some of the exemptions to the Roadless Rule. And so that, I think, again, I know that your voices were heard, loudly, coming in with this new Administration, from Southeast, many of the residents, certainly hard from tribal communities and from the other, commercial fishing and other recreational interests that affected that. So that's one of the components there.

And then the second, which I talked about, was ending large scale old growth timber harvest and really refocusing on resources that we've spent, before, or more on Forest restoration, recreation, sustainable young growth management into the future as well as climate resilience. And we are really looking at increasing -- significantly increasing the pace and scale of restoration, not just with the Forest Service but trying to leverage those resources across other partners and Federal agencies and tribal nations as well.

And we're also continuing to support small, micro sale old growth program. We do have a number of small mills that continue to operate and Forest Supervisor Stewart can speak a little bit to the Forest Plan that we still envision and the plan, even at a full transition to a young growth program does

provide old growth opportunities. Smaller sales for value added, certainly for cultural wood, in poles and canoes and others pieces. We're also working, I would share, with the State of Alaska, Sealaska and other partners to look at more of an all hands — all lands approach to some of the young growth management as well.

The third part of the strategy which is, like I said, has garnered a lot of interest here lately is the investment strategy. And we have formed kind of an interagency, USDA interagency team here with the Forest Service, Natural Resource Conservation Service, NRCS, and rural development. We're really looking at a two-tiered approach. The first is -- and we are right now in the middle of an open public engagement process. We've held some open meetings, we've been engaging and invites are out to meet with any -- pretty much any local groups, communities, that are interested. As well, there's also online opportunities to provide comments. But this is to initially to really identify locally driven priorities here in Southeast Alaska and fairly quickly deploy or fund up to \$25 million of work here in Southeast. There's a strong commitment to that through the Department, but within the Forest Service and those funds will be made available.

That's the part that folks are interested in. What we'd also like to really hear about and hear from folks is those longer term investment opportunities in Southeast. So we're looking, again, at a near-term and a very short-term priorities here. We expect to recommend to the Secretary here by mid-November what those priorities might be and hopefully have announcements ideally before Thanksgiving that we would be able to put into motion here moving into this next calendar year. And as I said we're in the middle of about a 30 day period here. We're also consulting with tribes and corporations and key stakeholders to really garner some feedback on this initial set of funding.

 And I think given the time and where we're at I just wanted to stop here and share that that's kind of a very broad strategy, an outline of where the Forest Service, in partnership with others, tribes, communities, stakeholders and especially our Federal family here looking at moving forward. So I

just wanted to, if you haven't heard, kind of give an overview of that and I can get back, through the Chair, opportunities for folks if you haven't been able to connect yet, either online or through contacts, we have a steering team, we have a working team and folks that, as I said, we are engaging directly with folks here over the next couple of weeks yet.

So with that, Mr. Chair and Council, I would, again, thank you all for the opportunity here this morning. I am going to try and listen in to as much of the Council meeting as I can here over the next day or two and, again, wish you all well here in the meeting. I will turn it back to you, or however you'd like to go, if you want to go directly to Earl, I've got a few minutes here if there were some questions from the Council. So with that thanks and back to you Chairman Hernandez.

(No comments)

MR. SCHMID: Chair Don, you may be on mute or I may have been talking to myself for the last 15 minutes.

MS. WESSELS: You have not been talking to yourself, we could hear you just fine.

MR. SCHMID: Thanks, Katya.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thanks Katya. Can you hear me now?

MR. SCHMID: I can, thank you.

MS. WESSELS: Yes, we can.

 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Yeah, I was just going to say my line's gotten dropped a couple times here and I had to redial in. Cathy, if I get lost again I'm hoping you could jump in if I don't get heard. So Dave you've got a few minutes, maybe you could take a couple quick questions from the Council if they have any before you have to leave, and then I'm sure Earl could answer any more specific questions. But Council members, you have a question for Dave Schmid go ahead and speak up.

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, this is

```
0024
 1
    Frank.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank.
 4
 5
                     MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, I was just wondering
 6
    what -- replace Roadless Rule, I was just wondering
 7
     what that meant? Because, you know, that Roadless Rule
     has been there since -- is it going to be going back to
 9
     2001, I think that's when the Roadless Rule came in?
10
11
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
12
13
                     MR. SCHMID: Yeah, through the Chair.
14
     Frank. Yes, so what the Administration is proposing to
15
     do is -- and this will come out in a Federal Register
     notice. I do not want -- it is going through a
16
17
    rulemaking process. It will be, I'd say fairly
18
    expedited relative to maybe the last rulemaking, a lot
19
     of work was done the last couple of years. And so when
20
     it says, replace and restore, not to be predecisional
21
    but the proposal is to do just that, which is to
22
    restore the 2001 protections. So it would go back
23
     again to the Tongass. And this was a state specific
24
    rule but it was specific to the Tongass National
25
    Forest. So, yes, the short answer is yes it would go
26
    back to 2001 if that's where the decision comes out at.
27
    But that's what the proposal that's going into the
28
    public comment period.
29
30
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
31
    Just another.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank.
34
35
                     MR. WRIGHT: So when does the public
36
     comment coming on this replacement rule? Thank you,
37
    Mr. Chair.
38
39
                     MR. SCHMID: Through the Chair. Frank,
40
     this will begin with the notice in the Federal Register
41
     and we expect that notice to begin -- it is going
42
    through that process now within the next -- I'm
43
     guessing in the next two to three weeks at the latest.
44
    And when that notice is posted we'll make sure that the
45
    Council, through the Chair, knows, and that will begin
46
     a public comment process. I believe it's a 45 day
47
     comment.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anything
```

0025 1 else Frank. 2 3 MR. WRIGHT: No. No, I just -- the 4 comment period, so that 45 days doesn't seem long 5 enough but anyway thank you, Mr. Chair. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank. 8 Any other questions from Council members. 9 10 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian. 11 12 13 (No comments) 14 15 MR. KITKA: Don, this is Harvey Kitka. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Ian and 18 then Harvey. 19 20 MR. JOHNSON: I was just wondering, you know, we talked about -- Dave, hi, this is Ian. 21 22 mentioned an expedited process, I was just wondering 23 will comments submitted during the last process be 24 considered in this new Register or are those have just 25 been essentially closed out at this point? Do people 26 need to resubmit comments? 27 28 MR. SCHMID: Yeah, through the Chair. 29 Ian. That's an excellent excellent point. Yes, folks 30 should resubmit the comments that they've submitted 31 before or new comments during this open period. That 32 will be important to do that as well. So when I say 33 kind of an expedited, what we're looking for, we also 34 consulted with several tribes as well here and they 35 were open also asking. So we will not be planning a 36 number of public meetings and engagement over a longer 37 period of time given that the work that was completed, 38 they're using the same environmental document, there 39 was a range of alternatives, if you remember, in the 40 Roadless Rule. They were bookended with Alternative 1, 41 which would have been keeping the 2001 protections in 42 place or Alternative 6, which was an exemption. There 43 was a range of alternatives in between there as well. 44 So the document, the environmental documents that were

completed are still valid and so the process will be

just that, it'll be an opportunity to comment. And,

again, short answer, it would be important to resubmit

your comments unless things have changed and you want

to add or modify those comments that came in.

49 50

45

46

47

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.

MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Kitka, I believe you had a question for Regional Forester Dave Schmid.

MR. KITKA: Yes, I do. Basically it has to do with the mining that's starting to take place, exploratory mines and whether they're going to allow them to go ahead and build temporary roads or temporary things to them. What are the regulations on their tailings and things like that.

Thank you.

MR. SCHMID: Yeah, through the Chair, Vice Chair there. Mr. Kitka. Good question as well. We do have a couple of proposals here on the Forest and that might be better directed to the Forest Supervisor for more direct information. But under the Roadless Rule, the 2001, with the protections, it does provide for some opportunities to do exploratory work and build temporary roads. Right now there are a couple of proposals on the Tongass. I know in one of those, and they're both near Juneau here, Herbert Glacier is one that, again, there's no road building with that associated, but there is some temporary tree removal with the exploratory and then as well as the Kensington Mine right now is looking at they have -- they're in the middle of an objection period actually around a NEPA decision that would expand the tailings. are some areas that are provided for within the Roadless and I do know there is interest in Southeast Alaska. But I would defer to the Forest Supervisor when -- and ask you to reask that question again, Mr. Kitka because that's a good one and especially if you're specific to certain areas or projects.

MR. KITKA: Thank you.

MR. SCHMID: And with that, Chair, I do apologize, I do have to jump over to another call. But if we have other questions that come up I will be available here over the next two days sporadically. And so, through, Katya, if you'd like me to come back and pick up some questions as you think about things I'd be happy to do so.

MS. NEEDHAM: All right, thank you, Dave. I'd like to ask if Earl Stewart is with us right

now. MR. STEWART: Yes, through the Vice Chair. This is Earl Stewart, Forest Supervisor on the Tongass, I am available now. Thank you. MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Stewart. Please go ahead and give your introductory comments. MR. STEWART: So I want to start off by

offering through the Chair, Vice Chair and all the members of the Southeast Subsistence RAC how much I value and appreciate the time that you schedule and that you make available to the Forest Supervisor and to the Agency Staff on the Tongass National Forest. I sincerely appreciate your ongoing commitment to the value and resources across Southeast Alaska and the importance that each of you all place on that role and responsibility that you hold as a committee member.

With that you've heard the presentation on Southeast Alaska Sustainability Strategy. The elements I'm going to focus on is the element associated with ending large scale old growth timber sales across the Tongass National Forest with the renewed focus on Forest restoration, recreation and resilience while emphasizing the impacts on climate, wildlife and watershed improvement.

To start off I'm going to offer that our continued need for (indiscernible) commercial thinning across the young growth stands with the interdisciplinary team now assigned to consider options of the (indiscernible) culture, prescriptions and treatments to improve terrestrial and aquatic environments on the Tongass. With that as part of the Southeast Alaska Sustainability Strategy that ending large scale old growth timber projects on the Tongass has direct impact on the projects that you've asked me to speak to, which are Twin Mountain II, South Revilla, and Central Tongass. Each of those projects have been put to the side. We're really trying to refocus and emphasize our efforts on young growth activities and to promote young growth stands building the characteristics necessary for wildlife and Forest restoration needs across the Tongass. As I work forward on those elements, it's really important to recognize that it is a new shift and a dramatic shift in making those changes so that we can be more readily

responsive to the interests and the needs of the communities and everything while building the needed characteristics for wildlife and restoration in the Forest systems.

As we work forward on these elements I think it's most important to acknowledge that Twin Mountain II, South Revilla and Central Tongass are not going forward at this time. I do want to recognize that a portion of the Central Tongass project with that (indiscernible - background noise) are looking to go forward. The young growth are going through a process as I said earlier to look at (indiscernible - background noise) terrestrial and aquatic environment on those young growth stands to try to seek to build the characteristics needed for fish, wildlife and the populations that are so critical to Southeast.

There is an ongoing effort to provide some small and micro old growth sales to those communities for the community consumption and cultural needs along with the recognition that many communities have totem pole needs, or canoe needs or tribal artist needs to fulfill their -- continue their historic traditions. We do have two projects going on. One with Hydaburg and one with Kasaan right now to help define what those represent so that the Forest Service can fulfill its duty by providing those cultural trees and those processes are going forward quite readily at this point in time, and continue to offer our appreciation to the Organized Village of Kasaan and to Hydaburg for helping develop those MOUs that are currently being prepared for final.

With that, those are the opening comments that I have so I'll refer back to the Chairman if there are any follow-up questions for me as Forest Supervisor.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Earl. And Cathy thank you for jumping in, I've kind of been losing signal on and off here, is everybody hearing me okay now?

MS. WESSELS: Yes, we can hear you.

MR. STEWART: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

0029 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good. 2 Thank you, Cathy. Thank you, Earl. So Council members, do you have more specific questions for Earl 4 Stewart, go ahead and speak up. 5 6 (Teleconference interference -7 participants not muted) 8 9 REPORTER: Could people mute their 10 lines, please, unless you're speaking. 11 12 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Harvey, did you 13 have a more specific question on mining for Mr. 14 Stewart. 15 16 MR. KITKA: Yeah, Don, I'm still having 17 trouble trying to turn the mute on and off. 18 19 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, we're ready 20 for you. 21 22 MR. KITKA: Basically I had -- we got 23 some concerns over the exploratory mines and whether 24 they're going to open up the mines before this Roadless 25 Rule comes into effect. Basically because it affects 26 not only our subsistence for fishing, but it affects 27 the commercial industry as well. Some of the tailings 28 are so bad that they're using -- they're not building 29 the tailing dams well enough to last long enough, for 30 the stuff to disappear. So we still have a lot of 31 mines out there that are causing problems here and 32 there. So I just wondered what kind of rules were in 33 place for that. 34 35 Thank you. 36 37 Through the Chair to Mr. MR. STEWART: 38 Kitka. Thank you for the associated with mining 39 activity. I did hear the Regional Forester mention 40 ongoing work associated with exploratory items 41 certainly around Kensington and Hecla Greens Creek. 42 Those limits are basically to the limited harvest of 43 small diameter trees so that they can do exploratory 44 work. Those elements have been going on for a number 45 of years so they're probably not new to the Southeast 46 Alaska Subsistence RAC members because of the work 47 across Southeast Alaska. There are some newer

activities that you need to be aware of that we're

really kind of in the very early formative stages on.

48

49

```
0030
 1
    There are some associated with Cleveland Peninsula,
    effectively known as the Helm Bay area to where there
    are some interests to initiate some exploratory work
    there. That's been going on just in the last several
 5
    months so it's fairly new. There are additional
    interests associated with the mainland over in the
 6
 7
    Hyder/Stewart British Columbia area. At this point in
    time don't have enough detail to really dig into each
 9
    of those other than to say that the local District
10
    Ranger in Ketchikan Misty Fiords is engaging both with
11
    tribal entities to start the conversation and
12
    consultation needs while working with the request that
13
    are for staking associated with different elements.
14
    The Helm Bay area is currently ahead of any of the
15
     other projects that I'm currently aware of in the
16
     Southeast area -- or the Cleveland Peninsula area is
17
     ahead of the Hyder Alaska area. With that the
18
    restrictions are basically those as assigned under the
19
    Forest Plan and the limitations that are in all the
20
    national prioritization for duties such as the Roadless
21
    Rule with the future modification of that rule and a
22
    future decision pending.
23
24
                     I hope that covers that sufficiently,
25
    Mr. Kitka. If there's a follow-up question that I
    might have missed I would certainly welcome follow-up,
26
27
     sir. And I'll stand by.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey,
30
    if you have followup.
31
32
                     (No comments)
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Perhaps not. Is
35
     there anybody else on the Council with a question for
36
    Mr. Stewart.
37
38
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair.
39
40
                     MR. KITKA: Don.
41
42
                     MR. JOHNSON: Go ahead, Mr. Kitka.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I hear somebody,
45
    go ahead.
46
47
                     MR. KITKA:
                                 This is Harvey.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Harvey.
```

```
0031
 1
                     MR. KITKA: I'm still having trouble
    with mute on and mute off, but I got a member that's
    very concerned about the mining situation in Southeast
    Alaska. I'll probably get him online tomorrow, would
 5
    that be okay, Don.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is that something
 8
     that he might want to testify in the morning?
 9
10
                     MR. KITKA: I'll get ahold of him and
11
     -- I'm at home now. I was going to use the tribal
     office but I got up this morning and almost couldn't
12
13
     walk, my arthritis kicked in real bad.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, okay.
16
17
                     MR. KITKA: So I'm sitting at home at
18
                 So I'll get a hold of him and we'll talk
    my counter.
19
     about it.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. And
     somebody else may be able to take questions tomorrow as
22
23
     well so we'll standby.
24
25
                     MR. KITKA: Okay, thank you.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. Any
28
    other Council members with a question for Mr. Stewart.
29
30
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
31
    Albert.
32
33
                     MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I hear Albert, go
36
     ahead Albert.
37
38
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
39
     I'm wondering if there's an update on the last tailings
     expansion that the tribe here in Angoon had. Their
40
41
    concerns with how it was conducted was the Forest
42
     Service sitting at the table as though they were part
    of the mine. I'm wondering if that has been addressed
44
     and if there's an update on that concern.
45
46
                     MR. STEWART: Through the Chair, this
47
     is Earl. Appreciate the question, I'm not sure which
48
    mine that's relative to, could you give me a much more
49
    defined which mine you're referring to. The Kensington
```

Mine right now is currently going through an analysis right now for expansion in the tailings, but that's also in the final decision stage and the objection meetings are pending in the coming days. Is that the one that you're referring to, sir?

 MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman. The one I'm referring to is Greens Creek. We went through another tailings expansion process with somebody, well, the president of the tribe and myself. We kind of got the feeling that the Forest Service person representing the Forest Service was actually -- appeared to be a Greens Creek employee the way they conducted the meeting, and I would have liked to have gotten a copy of the recordings of that meeting but apparently the file was too big to send me, and I still haven't received a copy of that. My concern is that the concerns of Angoon weren't being heard.

MR. STEWART: In response through the Chair. Thank you very much, sir. So Hecla Greens Creek meetings there are past actions associated with the tailings expansion. There are potential proposals for the future, there are none currently in operation since the last analysis and decision. With that, it's always our expectations for employees to represent themselves professionally and fully in all meetings and certainly any meetings that involve objectors or tribal members or community representatives. So if there is a need for me to look into something, sir, I would be glad to do that and I would be glad to follow-up on any future actions that we're aware of associated with Hecla Greens Creek for both leadership and elected leadership in Angoon and for the representatives on the Southeast Alaska Subsistence RAC.

Thank you, sir.

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think we'd just like to have an open and transparent process when it comes to tailings expansion and have our voices heard and we didn't get that during the last one and there's a lot of concerns with how things are being done there. And that just caused more concerns when the process was done that way. I know I've heard

from people in Klukwan and Haines that Greens Creek was being used as an example of how to mine and I told them that I didn't agree with that because I had concerns on what's happening.

The minerals that are being put into Chatham Strait from the mine had me really concerned there and it's been that way for years now and it seems like it isn't being addressed, somebody's kicking the can down the road and it's costing Angoon. And this, in my mind, is worth the cost of -- a sister-in-law lost her life to cancer after two years. A young man fought cancer for a year, he's 23 years old. And now we're probably going to lose a mother-in-law to cancer, they gave her six months. Now, we all live on subsistence and we're wondering if its the food that's going past the mine that's causing our community members -- I lost a good friend of mine to cancer and everyone knows him, Floyd, Senator Kookesh, is this because the minerals from the mine are getting into our food supply that we've lived off of for generations. cousin I've spent most of my life with is in Anchorage. She's -- she also has cancer. We're putting things in the environment that were never there and I'm wondering if we can do mining more responsibly and eliminate that from the equation of maybe is that causing cancer.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert. Other Council members with a question for Mr. Stewart.

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chair, this is Ian.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,

Ian.

MR. JOHNSON: I'm just wondering as we transition into young growth management and especially the focus on wildlife when we're doing that management, is there a priority towards -- a current priority, has it been discussed to have a priority around communities to do those actions. It just seems like creating better habitat around communities should be the priority.

MR. STEWART: In response, through the Chair, thank you for the question. I think that it's important and critical for the agency to look at all

young growth stands, but I think those that are within a geographic distance of the communities probably have a higher or highest priority as it relates to community health and well being. We don't have a definitive element in what we've used to look at those individual young growth stands and decide how best to manage them. But I think that some of the proposals that we've heard from, other communities, related to other timber projects such as a perimeter around the communities might add value in both the importance to the community, but also an importance into prioritization of timing and scheduling for those treatments and may have a dramatic opportunity to decide how best to handle it from a prescription standpoint or from a treatment standpoint to optimize the impacts because effectively value and importance to the various communities across Southeast is the most critical step that we have as an agency.

And I yield back, thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any follow-up,

Ian.

MR. JOHNSON: No follow-up questions, but it does seem like a really good opportunity for communities to approach the SASS, or sorry I call it the SASS, but the Sustainable Alaska Southeast Strategy, and would encourage folks to do that.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:} Thank \ you, \ \mbox{Ian.}$ Anybody else on the Council with a question for Mr. Stewart.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Earl, I do have a question myself. I have some concerns over the scale of the second growth harvest that could be taking place in the future. I recognize that a lot of the harvest is a ways off yet but you did offer up -- you have offered up some preliminary sales, I believe the sale taking place in Central Tongass on Mitkof Island and at Thomas Bay, in my view, is a fairly large scale. It seems like my recollection is it's closer to a million board feet of timber and quite a few thousand acres to be harvested. And that kind of raises some concerns as to, you know, what scale of commercial harvest you are anticipating on second growth and has the Forest

Service undertaken any kind of planning that would start to consider, you know, what scale this second growth harvest for commercial purposes might occur here as we look into the future.

4 5 6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MR. STEWART: Mr. Chairman, let me offer first that is a remarkably good question. It's one that we're in the initial stages of trying to work through. We don't have a very definitive idea or assessment of what it represents other than the acknowledgement and recognition that the size or scale of treatments continues to be a significant impact to subsistence users or remote rural communities. As we work through it right now we're trying to build the interdisciplinary team that literally is going to look at that as a holistic stage of Forest management across the Tongass as it relates to young growth and how do you develop treatments, initiate treatments, or plan treatments that allow for development of fish, wildlife, recreational uses in a more productive and sustainable way. As we work through that effort and hope to see some initial results in the coming months then we should be able to get a little more definitive answer on that but size and scale of young growth treatments is going to really shift or expected to shift so that it emphasizes fish and wildlife characteristics on terrestrial and aquatic systems which will have a really significant impact to the rural users of Southeast Alaska.

293031

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

As we work through that I would gladly engage the Southeast Subsistence RAC with more definitive information, I just don't have anything at this stage of the game right now other than, as you indicated, young growth treatments are being planned on Mitkof and Thomas Bay, a vast preponderance of that is over in the Thomas Bay area. And, although we're reusing the information that was developed from the ground and field inventories from the Central Tongass project, that project is still in the planning stage for young growth treatment and it's going to come down to a difficult balance between what can be reasonably accomplished on the ground from an operability standpoint or from a user standpoint for those Forest products to make it also beneficial and suitable for terrestrial and aquatic systems. Just early in the stage at this game [sic] right now and so I don't have a much more definitive answer, Mr. Chairman.

0036 1 And I'll yield back, sir. 2 3 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. So, thank 4 you, Earl. Just as a follow-up, I guess I'm wondering, 5 you know, what the public participation would be in that process. I don't know if we're going to have to 7 wait for the public to weigh in on specific environmental impact statements that might be 9 developed, you know, in relation to a project, or if 10 there is, you know, more public engagement that's 11 anticipated, maybe even before you get to specific 12 projects with environmental impact statements. I don't 13 know if you can shed some light on how that might 14 occur. 15 16 MR. STEWART: Mr. Chairman, all I can 17 offer at this point in time is it will go through an 18 open public process. My assumption is that's more of 19 an environmental analysis kind of arena. I don't have 20 that much detail at this point in time but would gladly 21 share through the Chair to the RAC members, or through 22 the Coordinator, the future schedule on the proposed 23 action associated with Thomas Bay and Mitkof if that 24 would help give the RAC much more clarity around the 25 timing and scheduling, if that would help, sir. 26 27 And I yield back. 28 29 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you 30 for that Earl. I guess we will just stay engaged as we 31 move forward in the future on how this planning process 32 takes place. So appreciate your answer. 33 34 Is there anybody else with a question 35 for Mr. Stewart. 36 37 MR. WRIGHT: Yeah, this is Frank. 38 39 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank. 40 41 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Stewart, thank you. 42 Is there going to have to be another EIS done on the 43 changing of the Roadless Rule from today to 2001 or is 44 it going to be what we had before? 45 46 Thank you. Mr. Chair. 47 48 MR. STEWART: Through the Chair. 49 apologize, sir, I don't have enough detail on what the

5

6 7

8 9 Alaska Roadless Rulemaking process is going to go through to be very definitive or offer more clarity other than I expect there would be a Federal Register publication in the coming weeks or months that would probably provide more daylight -- or daylight the situation more fully. I apologize, sir, I don't have anything more at this point in time unless there's a representative from the regional Forester's Office that can offer an answer, I can't give you any more detail. My apologies, sir.

10 11

12

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any other Council 13 member with a question.

14

(No comments)

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm not hearing any more questions for Mr. Stewart. So, Earl, I think I will thank you for your time, very much appreciated. Once again, we thank Regional Forester Dave Schmid for joining us this morning. And one last opportunity if there's anybody else on the Council with a question.

22 23 24

(No comments)

25 26

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Hearing none, we will move on our agenda. Next up is we need to review and adopt the agenda and in order to do that we would need a motion to adopt.

29 30 31

27

28

MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman. I move we accept the agenda as a guide. This is Frank.

32 33 34

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Frank. A motion to accept the agenda....

35 36 37

MR. HOWARD: Second. This is Albert.

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:and Albert is a second. Okay, yep, a motion to adopt the agenda as a guide and there are maybe a few changes or adjustments to our printed agenda that we might want to amend at this time. I don't know, Katya, would it be best if you kind of inform the Council of what suggested agenda changes we have here before us.

45 46 47

MS. WESSELS: Yes, thank you, Mr. Chair. Members of the Council. There are a few suggestions to change the published agenda. That is to

49 50

```
0038
 1
    move Item 10E, fall 2021 Council application nomination
    open season to be the first item under 9, old business.
    And then add to agency reports, U.S. Fish and Wildlife,
    the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Subsistence Program report
    under Forest Service. And also move U.S. Forest
    Service tribal report from U.S. Forest Service C, to
 6
 7
    the first, so that it follows WECAN presentation. So
     these are the three changes that are requested.
 9
10
                     Thank you.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
13
    Katya. Frank, I believe maybe it's appropriate that we
14
    move to make those amendments to the agenda; is that
15
    correct?
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Frank, do we need
20
    to make another motion to amend?
21
22
                     (No comments)
23
24
                     MS. WESSELS: Frank, if you are on
25
    mute, you need to press star six to unmute yourself.
26
27
                     MR. WRIGHT: I'm sorry, Mr. Chair, I'm
28
    not sure but I think under discussion and additions,
29
     just a slight amendment, so we can just go on from
30
    here.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Is there
33
     any discussion then on those proposed changes to the
34
    agenda.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
39
40
                     MR. WRIGHT: Call for the question, Mr.
41
    Chair.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank.
44
     I think we can do a voice vote on this. All in favor
45
     of adopting the agenda as presented with changes, say
46
     aye.
47
48
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
49
```

```
0039
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody
 2
     opposed, say nay.
 3
 4
                     (No opposing votes)
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, the agenda's
 7
    been adopted. So the next order of business would be
     to review and approve the previous minutes and that
 8
 9
     would also require a motion.
10
11
                     MS. WESSELS: And for the Council's
12
     information, this is Katya Wessels, the Council meeting
13
    minutes are located on Page No. 5 of your meeting
14
    materials book.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Katya.
17
18
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, I move to
19
     approve the minutes for the Southeast Subsistence
20
    Regional Advisory Council meeting of March 16th through
21
     18th, 2021.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:
                                          Thank you, Cathy.
24
     Second.
25
26
                     MR. HOWARD: Second.
27
28
                     MR. CASIPIT: This is Cal, I'll second.
29
30
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chair, Mike Douville
31
     seconds.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I head
34
    numerous seconds there. So the motion's been made to
35
     approve the previous minutes as Katya informed us they
36
    begin on Page 5 of the meeting book. Take a few
37
    minutes, Council members, if you want to look over the
38
    previous minutes, if you made any notes of any changes.
39
40
                     (Pause)
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Always remind
43
     Council members they might want to look at their
44
     Council reports, they're kind of summarized for the
45
     notes, check and make sure the summary reflects your
46
     report adequately.
47
48
                     (Pause)
49
```

0040 1 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Any discussion on 2 previous minutes from our March 16th meeting. 4 (No comments) 5 6 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Not hearing any 7 discussion are we ready for a vote. 8 9 MR. CASIPIT: Yes, call for the 10 question, this is Cal. 11 12 MS. NEEDHAM: Call for the question. 13 14 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 15 Cal. Another voice vote. All in favor of approving the previous minutes from the March 16th meeting say 16 17 aye. 18 19 IN UNISON: Aye. 20 21 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is there anybody 22 opposed to approving the previous minutes, say nay. 23 24 (No opposing votes) 25 26 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, previous 27 minutes are approved. Next up on the agenda is Council member reports and then public comments. So if 28 29 everybody's ready maybe I'll go right into the Council 30 member reports and Chair's report and then maybe we'll 31 take a short break and ready to hear public and tribal 32 comments. So I will just go down the list here of 33 Council members and ask for your report and maybe I 34 will start with Vice Chair Cathy Needham. 35 36 MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 37 This is Cathy Needham. I reside in Juneau. As may of you know I spend a lot of time on Prince of Wales 38 39 Island where I work and have a satellite office and a 40 second place down there. For my report I'd like to 41 keep it short and sweet. 42 43 The one thing I'd like to bring to the 44 Council's attention that has, what I've noticed during my work on Prince of Wales, is that this past season 45 46 was pretty dismal for sockeye salmon returns. I do 47 work with the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program for

Hetta Lake, Eek Lake and we also added Kasikok* Lake

recently. And during the subsistence season the

49 50

community of Hydaburg was only able to harvest 785 sockeye salmon and none of that harvest came from....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MS. NEEDHAM: Very little of that harvest came from Hetta, approximately 30 fish came from Hetta out of the 785 fish. The rest came from other small sockeye salmon streams that are (ph) currently being monitored by the community. With that said, I think late season in mid-September, the Hetta Lake weir was actually seeing some sockeye salmon start to go past the weir, unfortunately kind of heavy rains kind of blew out the operation and they weren't able to extend all the way through the end of September this year. So in addition to potentially really low numbers of sockeye returning to Southern Southeast Alaska, one thing that I've mentioned in previous years is that I think we're starting to see a lot of delays in run timing of fish trying to come back into these systems with warmer waters, keeping them out into the -keeping them out into like Cordova Bay longer where they're more susceptible for a longer period of time being caught as bycatch during the pink salmon season.

Aside from that, I've been spending time talking to non-Federally-qualified subsistence users in the Juneau area regarding some of the proposals that our Council is going to be deliberating on during this Council meeting so that has also been an issue that I've been keeping tabs on since we last met in the spring.

And true to my words I'm going to keep it short and sweet and hand it over to the next person. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Cathy. And I want to make another apology here, we were doing our introductions of Staff and everybody, I probably should have asked the Council members to just identify themselves and say where they were from and any affiliations they might want to mention. So we can do that now when we go through the Council reports, make sure you tell us, you know, where you live and anything else you want to say about your affiliations with communities or activities. So thank you, Cathy. How about we go to Frank Wright.

1 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Frank.

MR. WRIGHT: This year the ships, because of the Covid, the tourist ships have been pretty small, which is -- I'm happy about but, you know, mixed feelings about the tourist ships coming in. But, you know, the ones that I did meet there was -- I was down at a place called the Cannery, and I talked to a couple of the tourists and they said, well, they said stay out of Hoonah because there's a big Covid outbreak in Hoonah. So we ended up with 51 cases when we used to be proud of when we only had four. But some people thought they're invincible. Anyway, so now the town is pretty much shut down again.

But I'd like to thank some of the organizations, when Hoonah Indian Association was accepting food for people that was brought in from different organizations, and it was not only for the tribal members but it was for the whole community. So, you know, that was very much appreciated.

You know this year, you know, I wonder if it's the environment or something but, you know, we have an apple tree behind our house and last year we had over 200 apples on our tree and this year we had 20, you know, so we couldn't share too many. We kind of just kept it to ourselves so, you know, is it the environment or what's going on. And then I've talked to other people who have apple trees and they said they kept the apples for themselves too when there used to be an overabundance and would be able to share with the community. And, you know, even our raspberry bushes back there has changed, we used to get buckets, we used to invite people into our yard to get the raspberries but not anymore, not this year anyway.

And, you know, the school closure, the school closure because of the Covid thing, has been shut down for awhile now, probably about a couple weeks now because of people not doing safe distancing and stuff like that. So that's kind of -- and then, you know, this year the rivers were full. I mean there was salmon in the rivers that were mostly just pinks and chums, but they were just full. I don't know what the rain did this year but the rain was pretty hard for awhile but not as hard as I've seen it so I'm hoping

0043 none of the eggs got washed out. So, you know, I think that climate change is making a big difference and, you know, it's the first time we've had snow on the mountains this early. We've had snow on the mountains 5 twice this year already and then they melt off. 6 7 So anyway not much else. 8 9 One thing I observed was when I was 10 fishing in Chatham, I was fishing in Hawk Inlet and I 11 saw this tourist ship running by, I mean there was --12 he was causing -- that ship was causing a haze of smoke 13 going by us and it was coming from the south and I'm 14 sure the people in Angoon could see it, see that smoke 15 that was in there. Here we got these big ships that have no consideration of the beauty of this country, 16 17 beauty of this state, beauty of Chatham Strait. And, 18 you know, I know that they go up -- when they go up to 19 Glacier Bay, you know, we the tribal members are 20 restricted from going up there unless we ask permission 21 into our tribal ancestral home, but I know that they go 22 up there and spew that smoke all over when they're 23 supposed to be keeping everything pristine. I don't 24 know what their meaning of pristine is but when you got 25 a smoke stack that big that's spewing black smoke out, 26 that's not too cool. 27 28 That's all I have, Mr. Chair, thank 29 you.

30

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Frank. How about if we hear from Mike Douville from Craig. Are you there Mike.

33 34 35

31

32

MR. DOUVILLE: Mr. Chairman, are you

36 37

there?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, we hear you Mike, go ahead.

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

38

MR. DOUVILLE: Okay. Yeah, Mike Douville. I live in Craig. I'm a member of the Craig Tribal Council. Not much to report here really. Cathy mentioned the sockeyes and, yes, a very poor sockeye year in all the small streams that I looked at, a little over 5,000 went into Klawock this year, which is really low, I think.

47 48 49

It looks like the deer season is going

to be similar to or less than last year so I don't see a significant change in that population. What I am seeing is a healthy wolf population. I don't anticipate any -- it looks like -- well, in any case it looks like that population is healthy along with bears.

There is one concern that the tribe has here is the kelp farm permitting. Everybody's on board with kelp farms, it's green, it's wonderful and everything, but in reality it probably is a plus but they need not to be permitted in subsistence sensitive areas. We, as a tribe, protested the last license that the State issued to Seagrove Kelp Farm, which is what we felt was in a subsistence sensitive area, not only that there's numerous seal haulouts and a lot of things that goes on there. But they got permitted and we appealed and it was denied. But I think along with the jumping onboard the great green train, we need to make sure that these things are not infringing on other long established uses.

That's all I have, thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Mike. How about Harvey Kitka from Sitka, are you there Harvey.

MR. KITKA: Good morning, Don. My name's Harvey Kitka from Sitka, Alaska.

 $\mbox{CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead,} \\ \mbox{Harvey, we can hear you fine.}$

 MR. KITKA: Okay. We got -- I won't rehash a lot of the things. The sockeye is a big concern of ours. We've got some things with the State going in to probably try to allow us to subsist with a net up in Redoubt since that system seems healthy but the other place we -- a lot of the people harvest would be Klagg Bay which has been kind of collapsing the last few years. So that's of concern to us.

I was wondering about the coho salmon, it seems like they were either real late or there's not very many coming in. That was part of our subsistence too.

 $\label{thm:constraint} \mbox{We've got some -- I'm not too sure who's harvesting all the goats but I don't think}$

```
0045
 1
    there's that many subsistence people from Sitka
    harvesting them. They closed down big areas so I don't
     know who's doing the harvesting because all I get is
 4
     that they're closing goat season in different spots.
 5
 6
                     We've got problems with bear just like
 7
     a lot of the communities in Southeast. The bear are
     starting to set up big areas of where they think they
 9
    belong now and a lot of people are having a lot of
10
    problems with them. I don't know if there's any way to
11
    reduce the restrictions on the bear so that they can be
12
     either moved or removed, one or the other.
13
14
                     I have some things with the clean up of
15
    military sites. Also around Roadless Rule on new
16
     mining sites. Mike Douville talked about kelp farming,
17
     we've got some issues with the kelp farming that they
18
     keep trying to get permits and a lot of the places
19
     we've been able to talk them out of, trying to set up
20
     kelp farms in subsistence use areas.
21
22
                     Pretty much that's kind of where I'm at
23
     and that's pretty much my report.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
26
     Harvey. How about Albert Howard in Angoon.
27
28
                     (No comments)
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are you ready to
31
     go Albert.
32
33
                     (No comments)
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are you there
36
    Albert.
37
38
                     (No comments)
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Not hearing from
41
    Albert, I'm sure he's around somewhere on the line.
42
    Maybe we'll go to Bob Schroeder from Juneau, are you
43
     there, Bob, we'll come back to Albert.
44
45
                     MR. SCHROEDER: Yes, can you hear me?
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep, we hear you,
48
     go ahead, Bob.
49
```

MR. SCHROEDER: Okay. Yes, I'm Bob Schroeder. I am a Juneau resident by introduction. I've had the good fortune to work on a subsistence issues in which the Regional Councils since they were formed in 1981 or '82, and then when they became Federal regional Advisory Councils after the State was out of compliance with ANILCA. So I'm honored to be here and thankful to still be around.

I think other Council members are going over many of the resource issues. I kind of -- what I'm really interested in right now are opportunities that we have as a Council to address some larger concerns. So I'm sure we'll do a good job on our regulatory issues but we should notice that there's really huge change going on. We're all living in at this unexpected time of a continuing pandemic. A number of Council members, Frank Wright and others have talked about climate catastrophe which is coming our way and frankly scares the bejesus out of all of us who are concerned about natural resources and the subsistence use of natural resources. I'm also really enthused about discussions that we'll have later in this meeting concerning comanagement and efforts to restore tribal management of natural resources in Southeast Alaska. I think maybe being excessively optimistic, perhaps we're in a pivotal time when we can make some changes and get some things accomplished. The pandemic definitely shows that we can make huge changes.

We've had a huge change in Washington in our national leadership. The Council has spent probably half its energy for the last couple of years working on Roadless doing what we could to protect subsistence and worked pretty effectively with Forest Service on that although we didn't always see eye to eye, we really liked being able to deal with our local Forest Service representatives.

So I'm looking forward to this meeting. I'll also second what Mr. Wright said about the absence of cruise ships. This is economically tough for many people, however, it sure made the waterways of Southeast Alaska much more like what we remember them to be. So I'm looking forward to a good meeting and thanks everyone for taking the time out. Oh, by the way I'm doing this meeting remotely from Spokane, Washington, where I escaped the rain for a few days and

came down on a camper trip. 2 3 Thank you so much. Bye now. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 6 Albert Howard, are you with us and would Bob. Albert. 7 you like to give a report. 9 (No comments) 10 11 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Are you there 12 Albert. 13 14 MR. HOWARD: Can you hear me, Mr. 15 Chair. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: There you are, go 18 ahead, Albert. 19 20 MR. HOWARD: Yeah, I pushed the wrong 21 button. So thank you, Mr. Chair. 22 23 Sockeye were hard to come by this year 24 so it created a derby up in Kanalku with the local 25 residents. We looked at Hanus Bay, Sitkoh Bay and 26 Basket Bay and we burned a lot of gas to get what we have. So I think that's going to cause a concern going 28 forward in the future as far as what made it up to the 29 lake in Kanalku. 30 31 I think that the thing on everyone's 32 mind here in Angoon is the ferry service and if we --33 with the pandemic seeming to be on the rise again, we 34 went from zero cases up to eight since the last ferry 35 came in, so I'm wondering, our concern is going to be 36 is a ferry worker gets Covid and they shut the ferry 37 down for a week, that has an impact on our store. 38 think it's important that we find a way to gain access 39 to resources in times like this. 40 41 You know, we found a lot of pinks -- my 42 son and I went out yesterday in the rivers just looking 43 around and there were a lot of pinks on the banks and 44 that was good to see because that's how it needs to be but we haven't seen any cohos, which is what we were 45 46 after. Usually we can fish them in the streams all the 47 way up to the end of October and we went all over 48 creation yesterday and got one and it got away, which 49 was probably a good thing. 50

 As far as smoke from the cruise ships, one of the wonderful things of social media, is people post cruise ships going across Angoon and ask if you see smoke coming out of the stacks and I think that needs to be addressed. If you're going to sell pristine Alaska you shouldn't be polluting the waters and the air while you're advertising that is just kind of my own thought to that process.

I've reached out to community members the last couple of days and their concern is still with the king salmon and closing it to us when it's open in places like in Sitka and the Lisianski area and we're not allowed to catch any. I think we need to take another look at how that's divvied up when the State of Alaska says the resource belongs to everyone equally and yet when I they close that resource down we're not allowed to get any.

There's a lot of concern with all the people having cancer and we're wondering if it's from the environment created by polluting Hawk Inlet and is it getting into our natural food source and is that causing the population here to have a majority -- it seems like there is -- every family in Angoon is affected by it now and it's been within the last five years. It's a statistic I'd rather not even see in our community, people are having issues with cancer and everyone's concerned and no one has answers.

I remember when we first started addressing what's happening at the mine and thought that they were polluting water, all of a sudden, oh, by the way you can only eat so much fish and you shouldn't have too much seal and this was information we never knew before until we dug into the issue at the mine. If you're going to say — if they're going to say that the mines are done responsibly and this is a good example of how to mine and they're going to use this mine as that example, then they should clean it up and it should be a good example, that would be great, you know, for everyone. It's a northern and southeast problem and it's going to continue to grow.

Kelp farms, not to agree with Mr. Kitka, because when we went to -- when we go to Sitka to get herring eggs, eggs on kelp and everything else and I think listening to the locals before you allow kelp farming, they have the knowledge that I don't

have. I'll give you an example of what I mean is I never knew how to even get herring eggs and someone from Sitka taught me how. That's how local knowledge works. I think kelp farming is something that is being commercialized and will have an impact on local economy and economy meaning not necessarily money in the bank. I've explained this at a Tlingit and Haida meeting at one point. A gentleman going home with a boat load of fish is like a person going home with their paycheck at the end of the month, it's the same feeling.

It's cold here so that's unusual. We had to start the wood stove the last couple of days and we woke up to frost and we usually don't do that until the end of October.

I'd like to see our chums -- something done about the chum salmon. Our elders like to get the eggs but even the chums are hard to come by. The streams are usually -- there's two systems here -- three systems, there's Favorite Bay, usually has a pile of chums, and Hood Bay and Chiek Bay, but many of those have rarely produced anything, so that's something to think about and consider. I'd like to do fish monitoring to have a more detailed information on water quality, water temperature, water flow to go along with the amount of salmon that made it to the spawning bed. And that would give us a better picture of what's happening throughout Southeast Alaska.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert. How about if we move to Harold Robbins up in Yakutat. Are you wish us Harold.

MR. ROBBINS: Yes, good morning.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Good morning, go

40 ahead.

MR. ROBBINS: We've had a pretty interesting year also. The fish season here has been quite kind to us. Sockeye were relatively abundant, especially in the Situk, we exceeded the upper escapement goals on the Situk by almost double so there was plenty of sockeye to go around. And the kings also came in well. The Alsek River had a major flood event back in late August, or early August I should say and

it hit one of the all time high records, overflowed the banks and cut across into the East River and changed a lot of the fishery habitat there. And hopefully it was for the best, we'll see in the next four to eight years.

We had good sockeye in the Alsek and the East also. The escapement goals were met and exceeded the high escapement goals for kings and sockeye both in the Alsek up at the weir and so everybody was pretty excited about that.

We've had plenty of rain. We've got plenty to share if somebody could use some. It's kind of nice to have a halfway sunny day today but it's cold. So that's kind of how things are going here. The town's kind of slowed up quite a bit due to the Covid thing but everybody's working through it. And so, in general, things are going relatively well as could be expected.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Harold. Let's see how about Ian Johnson in Hoonah, are you there Ian.

MR. JOHNSON: Hey, good morning, can

29 you hear me?
30

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead.

MR. JOHNSON: Okay, thank you. Ian Johnson, I live in Hoonah. And I'm associated with a lot of community Forest driven work here associated with the tribe and work with a lot of different partners here in Hoonah to do a lot of land management and stream restoration and other things.

So I guess that's one of the things I'd like to highlight from the summer is we completed a stream restoration here in Hoonah, it was on Hoonah Totem Land, it's one of our ANCSA Corporations. And those projects are completed by a local work force and with local contractors and I really like that model, it's being promoted pretty heavily through the Southeast Alaska Sustainability Strategy and I'd be happy to be a sounding board for discussing what that looks like in our community more.

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

1 Yeah, I'm definitely going to try to bounce on a lot of other things that were said, 2 especially what Bob was remarking about about change 4 and where things are at. Just environmentally, so two 5 years ago during the drought we had the hemlock, you 6 know, outbreak which didn't affect Northern Chichagof 7 as much but certainly hit Admiralty pretty hard but, you know, there was a lot of tree stress that occurred 8 9 then and then now this season there's been a dual kind 10 of pestulants outbreak. We've had spruce rust, which 11 has infected our young growth spruces pretty heavily 12 where, you know, it basically kills off all of the new 13 growth on the tree. IT doesn't ultimately kill the 14 tree but it's pretty alarming to look at. So that's 15 one thing turning our young trees brown. And then 16 there's also been a pretty significant increase in bug 17 worms, and they're like in a 30 to 40 year kind of 18 cyclical cycle boom right now and that's turning a lot 19 of our old growth Forests brown. We're seeing a lot of 20 kind of drastic Forest. And, you know, springboarding 21 on what Bob was saying earlier just, you know, in the 22 past it's been able to -- you know an article will say, 23 you know, this is not a concern, it's a one year annual 24 event, but I just feel like there's these compounding 25 things that are happening right now, multiple events 26 impacting trees at once as well as climate change and 27 other drivers. And there's a lot of unknowns out there 28 right now on how it's going to affect our environment 29 ultimately. 30

And then springboarding off of what Frank was saying, you know, we had that really high rain event and there was a lot of concern in the community around scouring of our rivers and as part of some of those initiatives I mentioned we're looking at trying to monitor that and quantify that, especially thinking about the effect to pink salmon next year since that would be the two year run that would be affected by that scouring event, you know, basically a 100 year rain event is what we predict, what came through and really rearranged rivers a lot and that was from December and into the spring of last year. And then all that rain resulted in a lot of landslides across our road system. I think actually -- I noticed in my report from last time I said eight and it's more than that, I think it's more like 20 to 30, not all of them occurred in roads that are open but it was a pretty significant -- we have about 150 miles of driveable road here and some of those landslides are

truly enormous, like whole sides of mountains came down. So they were still working on that. The Hoonah Ranger District was about to secure a bunch of emergency funding actually and they've been able to do a lot of work on the road system this year but there's some slides that just won't get cleaned up until next season.

And we are also kind of at the end of our barge and ferry service system so we'll be down to one barge a month here in Hoonah and our ferry service is still two a week which is great. That's a pretty reliable service, all things considered, for Southeast Alaska. But of course that is still going to have an effect on our ability to get resources into town. And I, and others are working towards some food sustainability and security initiatives that try to meet that, kind of change in ferry service and demand.

And I guess, yeah, last I'll just again bounce off of what Bob was mentioning around the comanagement discussion. Definitely looking forward to engaging in that. I think the Southeast Alaska Sustainability Strategy can create a lot of opportunities to, you know, have those discussions and actually maybe link some dollars to it right away and I think that's a good opportunity for maybe outside this call as well for communities to discuss what an investment looks like and to really create something that can get a project done and also build towards something bigger.

Oh, and then the last thing I wanted to mention, there's a lot of discussion in our community and I think other communities in Southeast Alaska about the affect of trawling on our fish populations, especially halibut and king salmon. You know when you look at bycatch numbers it's pretty alarming and so I want to throw that out there as definitely it's something I've heard in Hoonah as a concern and I'd be interested to hear what other folks have heard about that.

Thank you.

46 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, 47 Ian. How about Cal Casipit in Gustavus. Are you there 48 Cal.

MR. CASIPIT: Yeah, I am here. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Like I was introduced, my name is Cal Casipit, I live in Gustavus, Alaska. Been living here about six years now. By way of introductions I've been previously associated with this Council in another way, I've sat on the other side of the table as Staff for many years until I retired in 2015.

 Since coming to Gustavus I've been on the city council, I've been Mayor, I didn't run for reelection the last time. I just kind of felt like I should term limit myself out and was doing that for awhile. And so I've been kind of focusing on this assignment as a Southeast Alaska Regional Advisory Council member and actually been hearing from a lot of folks through the summer about concerns, a little bit — lots of concerns about some of our deer proposals and I'll be looking forward to those discussions.

As far as the season goes, the summer went, it was -- well, like everybody said it was kind of wet, in fact this is a really nice day and our moose season is still going and I haven't gotten mine yet and, boy, this is the first nice day in awhile so I'm kind of looking out my window.

Our tourist season this year, I couldn't believe the amount of people in town on top of the construction that was going on out at the airport here. A lot of people in town. The Alaska Airlines jet was full almost every day and you never see that, you never see that through most summers. My daughter works for Alaska Airlines and she couldn't believe the amount of fish boxes that went out. So there was a lot of people in town here fishing and a lot of independent traveling that went on here. Through all those people coming through town and all the people in town we only had two Covid cases through the summer. So our community has, I think, responded pretty well to Covid and has pretty much kept it out of town. Most people I know in town are vaccinated. I think the last time I checked we were at over 80 percent of the residents are vaccinated. So apparently the word got out and so people felt comfortable coming here as far as the independent traveler.

The moose season has been going really good. Our quota is 10 and there's been seven taken already, and no illegals, no illegal bulls so that's

good too. Most folks I know got the cohos they needed. I did get sockeyes out at Neva Creek, my limit of 10, and I was kind of following the reports -- the FRMP reports that come out of every week and it seemed like it wasn't a great escapement but it was better than what we've had in the past, so -- in the recent past so I was kind of grateful for that.

I guess other than that I'll just turn it on to the next person, that's about it from here.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you very much, Cal. Let's see we still have Jim Slater from Pelican, you there, Jim.

MR. SLATER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Jim Slater from Pelican. I think I'll echo a lot of what we've heard already.

This was a super busy year for our tourism businesses. We had a very -- I think the busiest charter season we've ever had and in addition to that we had, I think two to three cruise ships per week, small cruise ships that came in for shore tours.

Can everyone hear me okay, am I coming in loud and clear?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: You're loud and clear.

MR. SLATER: Okay, great. To date, Pelican has not had a Covid case so we're very fortunate for that. As far as subsistence activities go, so far this year, I think, a lot of people had a lot of success in seaweed harvest.

I, myself, did. I don't have -- I didn't do a lot of berry foraging this year but I did see a lot of people doing it so I think it was okay. I think the sockeye reports I've heard have been mixed.

We saw a few bears early on in the year but basically it's dropped off a cliff. We haven't seen any bears since late May or early June. I'm not sure what's behind that. I've heard a couple of them are at the creeks but in general we see much more than that.

There's been some recent mine activity here in the Inlet. There's a small mining exploration company named Millrock who has the rights to the Apex-ElNido Mine across from town. They recently signed a deal with Coeur Alaska, operator of the Kensington Mine to step up the exploration and actually do some drilling activity. So we expect to see that next year.

The ferry is switching to its winter schedule now. We have one ferry a month and the current planned schedule for next summer is one ferry a month as well.

The last time we talked our hydro was not yet operating at full potential but I'm happy to report that our hydro is on and working well and we're having no trouble there.

That's about it for my report. And, Mr. Chair, I have to, as I mentioned to you earlier this morning, I have to sign off for a little bit and I'll be back after the lunch break. Thank you, very much.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, yeah, thank

you, Jim.

MR. SLATER: Okay.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Let's see, I think that just leaves Larry Bemis and I don't believe Larry was here when we started the meeting. Have you joined us Larry.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I believe Larry had a prior commitment for today. So I think that just leaves my Chair's report.

And I'll start out with Council business. Let's see normally I might be reporting on a Federal Subsistence Board fish meeting but due to timing that happened prior to our spring meeting so I reported on that then. There was really only one issue for the Federal Subsistence Board for Southeast issues and that was a Makhnati Island closure which was reviewed and reinstated. So that's it for the Board report.

We did, as several Council members said, we did start a working group on indigenous management at our previous meeting and we held several meetings. We had kind of an introductory meeting to set what the discussion topics would be and then we held another meeting that kind of started to flesh out, I guess, more of what kind of topics we would be considering dealing with indigenous management, food security discussions were part of that discussion. And food security kind of leads to food sovereignty, which is a different issue than security. So we had discussions on what all of that means to....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: And we will be hearing a full report on those work group meetings later on in the meeting and we'll have some presentations as well in regard to that. So I will leave that report until later.

I also attended several planning meetings for a deer summit that we hope to hold on Prince of Wales Island. It's a joint effort between local groups and tribes and I've been representing the Council. It's an effort that keeps getting postponed due to Covid. We want to have a gathering in person on the island for people to come together and discuss many issues related to deer harvesting on Prince of Wales Island. As of right now we're hoping we can have that in-person meeting sometime this coming winter. It all depends on how the Covid19 pandemic plays out here. So we'll wait of any announcements on forthcoming meetings when we decide that they can happen.

As far as my report for my community. I live in Point Baker on Prince of Wales Island. And I'm a commercial fisherman myself and have been for 40-odd years. I have a gillnet permit, some halibut IFQ and a hand troll permit and my household does a significant amount of subsistence harvesting throughout the year as well.

So my report for the local area is, well, first of all as a commercial fisherman, fishing the waters of Sumner Strait for the past 35 years we kind of had an anomaly this year. We had very poor sockeye fishing through the month of August when our

5

6 7

8

sockeye, or our management plan calls for sockeye fishing, we had a lot of reduced fishing time and very poor catches for sockeye. It was a very poor run for the Stikine River. You know I catch those as a commercial fisherman but there also is a subsistence fishery on the Stikine River. Run and escapements were not good for Stikine sockeye this year. I haven't heard a report of how the subsistence harvest went. But I think there was a decent amount of harvest despite the poor return.

10 11 12

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

13 14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So the interesting thing about this was we kind of had a real anomaly happen when our commercial fishing management ended for sockeyes and pink salmon management began at the first of August, we had a large influx of sockeye salmon show up in August. And looking back through my log book I have never seen a year when I caught as many sockeye in August as I did this year and that sockeye run continued late into August. And nobody that I've talked to has been really able to say for sure where those sockeyes were headed. We suspect that they were not local fish. They could be going down to Canada and passing through our waters, which is unusual. But we did catch quite a few in the commercial fishery and I don't believe the local subsistence fisheries benefited from those fish that were passing through in the Straits.

31 32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

The other thing about this year's fishing was all species of salmon were a good bit smaller than the averages. Pink salmon were exceptionally small, which for, you know, commercial gillnet fishery, which is also a subsistence fishery, fishing with a gillnet, when the fish are smaller your normal mesh sizes are not as effective as fish swim right through the -- small fish can swim right through a larger mesh so even though we had a really strong pink salmon run not a lot were caught in the gillnets this year. And throughout the region it appears that all species were smaller fish this year, chums; cohos; kings; and sockeyes as well. So that was an effect. Nobody quite knows for sure, I guess, why that is but obviously there's something going on where the salmon are feeding primarily.

5

6

7

1 The other thing in our Forest observations, as Ian mentioned up in Chichagof Island, 2 we had another sawfly outbreak this year in my area this year, on Prince of Wales, and this is the third year in a row that we've seen that. Previous two years were rather severe, this year was not as severe as we did have a wetter summer, but we did have one period of exceptionally dry hot weather back in June and we did see the sawflies out again. And they have done --10 there's been some significant impacts to the local 11 Forest, a lot of hemlocks are very unhealthy, appear to 12 be dying. Ian also mentioned spruce rust in the 13 younger spruce trees and I think I observed that as 14 well in our area. So something is affecting our 15 Forest, we'll have to keep our eye on.

16 17

So I think that's pretty much all I have to report from from my area.

18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

And I think that kind of concludes our Council member reports for this morning. So next up on the agenda is the public and tribal comment for nonagenda items. And I think at this time I'd maybe like to ask if there are other people who have joined the meeting on the teleconference line. Members of the public or possibly Staff that we didn't hear from when we had our introductions at the start of the meeting. And then if you called in and are wanting to give public or tribal comment, it'd be nice if we had a list before us so I have an idea how many people would like to comment. Right now from earlier this morning I think I have seven names of people who wish to testify or comment. So if you have signed into or called into the meeting since this morning and we haven't heard from you yet, I will maybe first ask if there's any new Federal Staff who have called into the meeting.

36 37 38

(No comments)

39 40

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'm not hearing any. Do we have any.....

41 42 43

44

MR. COOPER: Hello, this is Doug Hi, Doug Cooper with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Cooper. Service.

45 46 47

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Doug Cooper, thank you very much. Anybody else.

```
0059
 1
                     MS. SAWERS-CONTRERAS: Hello this is
 2
    Rebekah, hello can you hear me?
 3
 4
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes.
 5
 6
                    MS. SAWERS-CONTRERAS : We have a
 7
    comment we'd like to say. We'd like to sign up for the
 8
    list.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, and who is
11
    this?
12
13
                    MS. SAWERS-CONTRERAS : Rebekah and
14
    Wanda from the Womens.....
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Rebekah and
17
    Wanda, you wish to comment this morning?
18
19
                    MS. SAWERS-CONTRERAS : Yes.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,
22
    Rebekah, I'll put you on the list. Anybody -- any
23
    other Staff people that have joined us.
24
25
                     (No comments)
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Apparently not.
28
    Other than Rebekah and Wanda, any other members of the
29
    public who have called in and joined the meeting.
30
31
                     MS. SAWERS-CONTRERAS : We have another
32
    one.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is that Rebekah?
35
36
                    MS. SAWERS-CONTRERAS: Another person
37
    to comment from the -- Penny St. Claire.
38
39
                    MS. CULP: Julia.
40
                    MS. SAWERS-CONTRERAS: Julia St.
41
42
    Claire, I mean.
43
44
                    CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Julia St. Claire,
45
     thanks. Thanks, Rebekah.
46
47
                    MR. PHILLIPS: Good morning. My name's
48
     James Phillips, I'd like to comment. I'm from Pelican.
49
50
```

```
0060
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Did you say James
 2
     Phillips?
 3
 4
                     MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, sir.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: James Phillips.
 7
    Okay, got you James, thank you.
 8
 9
                     MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Anybody else from
12
     the public.
13
14
                     (No comments)
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Even if you've
17
     just joined in to listen, we'd appreciate hearing from
18
     you just to know who's participating.
19
20
                     MS. KLEIN: This is Jill Klein. I am
21
     with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. I am the Regional
     Subsistence Coordinator and InterAgency Staff Committee
22
23
     member.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,
26
     Jill.
27
                     MR. CARSON: Yeah, this is Norm Carson
28
29
     from Pelican. I can't -- my phone died awhile ago so I
30
     don't think I got my message through. I'd like to
31
     comment later on on the wildlife proposal.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Norm, you want to
34
     comment during the time that we discuss the proposal,
35
     is that what you're saying?
36
37
                     MR. CARSON: Yes, sir.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I can't
     tell you exactly when that will be but it'll be
40
41
     sometime tomorrow it sounds like.
42
43
                     MS. LAVINE: Hello, Mr. Chair, this is
44
    Robbin LaVine.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Robbin, was that?
47
48
                     MS. LAVINE: Yes. This is Robbin
49
    LaVine, Subsistence Policy Coordinator with the Office
50
```

```
0061
 1
     of Subsistence Management. I'm just listening in this
     morning and I've really enjoyed learning so much about
     your region from the Council member reports.
 4
 5
                     Thank you.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 8
     Robbin.
 9
10
                     MR. MANNIX: Hello, Mr. Chair, I'm not
11
     sure if you can -- Mr. Chair, I don't know if you can
12
     hear me or not.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I hear you.
15
16
                     MR. MANNIX: Oh, great, okay. I wasn't
17
     sure if I was off mute or not. My name is Jacob
18
     Mannix, I work for Backcountry Hunters and Anglers.
19
     I'm the Alaska Chapter coordinator. I'd just like to
20
     take a moment to today to get on the list to provide
21
     public comment and just introduce myself to the
22
     Subsistence RAC.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. What was
25
     your first name, again?
26
27
                     MR. MANNIX: Jacob Mannix. Sorry if
28
     I'm muffled, I'm stuffy and talking through a mask
29
     recovering from Covid. Happy to hear that a lot of the
30
     communities down there didn't get hit.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Do you want
33
     to testify now or are you going to wait until we
34
     discuss the proposals?
35
36
                     MR. MANNIX: I was just going to -- I'm
37
     not going to testify specifically on the proposals
38
     today. I just wanted to introduce myself, whenever is
39
     most convenient for the Council.
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
41
42
     And I'll say we will have this opportunity for
43
     testimony at a for sure time tomorrow at 9:00 o'clock,
44
     that's how we always start our meetings with public
     testimony and then during the course of deliberations
45
46
     we take comments on individual proposals at the time
47
     we're discussing those proposals and, of course, that
48
     time is to be determined so I can't give you an exact
49
     time there. So anybody else who has joined the
50
```

0062 1 meeting. 2 3 (No comments) 4 5 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, apparently 6 not. So we're kind of getting a little short on time 7 here. I think if everybody can just bear with us without taking a break we'll just move right into 9 public testimony. One of the good things about being 10 at a teleconference meeting is if people need to kind 11 of go off and do something for a few minutes they 12 usually can without disturbing the meeting and coming 13 back so we can keep the breaks to a minimum. 14 15 REPORTER: But don't put us on hold. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So public 18 testimony. I'll call out the names of people that I 19 was able to for sure put on my list and I'll check back 20 and make sure I get to everybody. Maybe one 21 announcement, Katya, could you just inform people if 22 they want to testify during the course of our 23 deliberations I believe there's kind of an updated 24 agenda online that kind of tells people where we are in 25 the meeting. Maybe do you just want to inform people 26 about that. 27 28 MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 29 Well, there is like -- we do not report online where 30 we're at on the agenda, unfortunately. But, you know, 31 as I said earlier the opportunity to testify on the 32 proposals will be during the presentation -- after the 33 presentation of the proposal analysis by the analyst. 34 Your meeting materials contain the procedure for 35 presenting proposals to the Council, it's located on 36 Page 27 of your meeting materials. Additionally, if 37 you would like to submit a written comment, like for 38 example if you can't wait until the proposal comes up, 39 you can submit a written comment and that needs to be 40 emailed to the email subsistence@fws.gov. So these are 41 the opportunities. 42 43 Right now we're having the opportunity 44 for commenting on non-agenda items. 45 46 Thank you. 47 48 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, 49 Katya. Public testimony is an important part of this

```
0063
    process and we do try to offer enough opportunities for
    people to tell us what they want us to hear. So with
    that maybe I'll start going down the list of people
    that I have that said they wanted to testify this
 5
    morning. And I....
 6
 7
                     (Teleconference interference -
 8
    participants not muted)
 9
10
                     REPORTER: Okay, hold on a minute, Don.
11
    Okay, this is Tina the court reporter....
12
13
                     MR. CASIPIT: Whoever's got the cough
14
    that needs to.....
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Tina.
17
18
                     REPORTER: Yeah, could everybody please
19
    take a minute, look at your device, telephone, iPad,
20
    whatever you're on, computer, make sure it's muted.
21
    It's star six to mute. Star six to unmute yourself.
22
    And since we're doing public testimony now only one
23
    person should be unmuted, which is that person
24
    testifying and Don. So, okay, back to you, Don.
25
     Sorry.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you
28
    for that Tina. Good reminder. Okay, first person I
29
    have on the list is Chris Carson.
30
31
                     (No comments)
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is Chris Carson
34
    still with us.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: If I call your
39
    name and you want to give your testimony remember to
     unmute and we'll hear from you.
40
41
42
                     MR. CARSON: Yeah, can you hear me,
43
     this is Chris Carson.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead.
46
                     MR. CARSON: Hello. Oh, thank you.
47
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead.
50
```

```
0064
 1
                     MR. CARSON: Yeah, I have a question as
     far as the process for this Council when they review
 2
     these proposals. Are they going to -- there will be a
     vote by the Council on whether to forward these on for
 5
     Federal consideration; is that correct?
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: That -- yes, that
 8
     is correct. We are the.....
 9
10
                     MR. CARSON: Okay. So if a
11
    proposal.....
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: .... Regional
14
    Advisory....
15
16
                     MR. CARSON: ....was submitted by one
17
     of your Council members, will that member be required
18
     to recuse himself from that vote for that particular
19
    proposal?
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I don't know the
22
     answer to that.
23
24
                     MR. CARSON: Well, I think that's an
25
     answer that -- I think that's an answer that we need to
     get because, you know, if it's in their -- if the
26
27
    Committee or the, you know, local committee is not in
     favor of the proposal that's submitted by that member,
28
29
    that Council member that is also part of that
30
    committee, and they submitted the proposal themselves,
31
     and their vote becomes a yes that would be going
32
     against the committee and so I think there's definitely
33
     a conflict of interest at that point and I think that
34
    member should recuse themself from a proposal that they
35
    submitted.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
38
39
                     MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, this is Katya
40
     Wessels.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Maybe Katya can
43
     answer that, she's our.....
44
45
                     MS. WESSELS: Yeah, I have a question,
46
     are you saying that the Council member submitted a
47
     proposal as an individual? Is that what you're saying?
48
49
                     MR. CARSON: That's correct. That's
50
```

```
0065
 1
    correct.
 2
 3
                     MS. WESSELS: Okay. And are you also
 4
     saying that this member is a member of some other
 5
     committee or council that voted against.....
 6
 7
                     MR. CARSON: He's a member of.....
 8
 9
                     MS. WESSELS: .....the proposal?
10
11
                     MR. CARSON: No, no, he's a member of
12
     your Council, he's on there. I don't want to -- I
13
     don't want to say names just, you know, as respect.
14
15
                     MS. WESSELS: Okay, that's fine.
     know if a Council member submitted a proposal as
16
17
     private citizen they don't need to be recused from the
18
    vote because they're voting as a member of the Council.
19
     They're not, you know, I mean if the Council themselves
20
     decide that that's the right way to go we can go that
21
     way but as a standard procedure we don't ask the member
22
     of the Council to recuse themselves from the vote just
23
    because they submitted a proposal to the Federal
24
     Subsistence Board.
25
26
                     MR. CARSON: Okay. So if you got a
27
     whole -- if you got a whole committee -- or a whole
28
     community that the advisory committee made the
29
     recommendation not to support his proposal for that
30
     community and then he goes ahead and votes yes on it
31
     don't you think that is -- don't you think that's an
32
     issue? I mean he's using his position on the Board to
33
     do whatever he wants to do regardless of what the
34
     community wants. That's -- that's not right. I've
35
    been in State procurement for many years with the State
36
     of Alaska and, you know, there's people who have a --
37
     you know if there's a direct interest in him -- you
38
     know and his personal views of what's going on in that
39
     community and he can override the whole community and
     still vote yes, I don't think that should be allowed,
40
41
     and I don't think it is allowed.
42
43
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, point of
44
     order.
45
46
                     MS. WESSELS:
                                   I would like to.....
47
48
                     MR. CARSON: And I....
```

```
0066
 1
                    MR. CASIPIT: This is Cal Casipit
 2
    and....
 3
 4
                    REPORTER: Okay. Okay. Okay, wait a
 5
    second, please, just one person at a time. Please.
 6
 7
                     Katya, you were talking.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Cal, would you
10
    hold on for just a second.
11
12
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'd like to
15
    clarify something here.
16
17
                     MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, and who is
20
    this?
21
22
                    MS. WESSELS: This is Katya.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Go ahead,
25
    Katya.
26
27
                    MS. WESSELS: Yes. I just want to add
28
     to what I said. Each Council member is appointed to
29
     the Council to represent a user group. Each Council
30
    member does not represent a certain community. Council
31
    members represent either subsistence user groups or
32
     sport/commercial user groups. So that's why when
33
    you're saying that he represents the community, is not
34
    a correct thing to say.
35
36
                     Thank you.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you
    for....
39
40
41
                     MR. CARSON: Okay, well then....
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: ....that, Katya,
44
    and....
45
46
                    MR. CARSON: ....the....
47
48
                    REPORTER: Okay, wait a second. Wait,
49
    please. Chris. Chris, please. Don, would you go
50
```

0067 1 ahead, just one person at a time, please. Wait for the 2 Chair. 3 4 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes. I do want to 5 clarify further. I think in the proposals that you're 6 referencing, I think if you look in the book you'll see 7 that the proposal is actually put forward by the Regional Advisory Council, which is the whole Council 8 9 voting to make the proposal and that happened at our 10 last meeting. The proposal may have been brought to 11 the attention of the Council by an individual who is on 12 the Council, which is what they are supposed to do, 13 bring local issues to the attention of the Council, and 14 then if the Council chooses to take that as, you know, 15 a Council recommendation and put forward a proposal, 16 the proposal actually comes from the entire Council and 17 we voted on it in the meeting to do that. So to say 18 that the proposal came from an individual is not 19 correct, it may have been brought to the attention of 20 the Council by an individual but that's kind of 21 irrelevant to what's happening here today. 22 23 So I believe, you know, all of the 24 proposals that are under discussion today came from the 25 Council although there is one from an individual from 26 Pelican as well who's not on the Council. So hopefully 27 that clarifies what you're asking. 28 29 MR. CARSON: Well, actually there's 30 another proposal that is from Pelican that is -- was 31 authored by somebody on that Council. 32 33 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I don't believe 34 so. Which one are you referring to? 35 36 MR. CARSON: Well, I'll just go ahead 37 and say it, Jim Slater authored it. 38 39 MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is 40

MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is Albert. Point of order. It's public and tribal comment on non-agenda items and it appears the gentleman is talking about items that are on the agenda. Also a conflict defined by the State of Alaska is, you have to show financial gain by the person you're saying is in conflict of interest, a substantial

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, that's good clarification about conflict of interest. But I do

financial gain. So, thank you, Mr. Chair.

```
0068
 1
     allow, you know, public testimony on -- even if it's on
     the agenda as long as it's, you know, convenient to the
 2
     person that's trying to make that comment so.
 4
 5
                     MR. CARSON: Yeah, and I was -- I was
 6
     just -- I was just seeking clarification.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We don't have a
 9
     proposal from Jim Slater. We have a proposal from the
10
    Council and from Patty Phillips in regards to
11
    Lisianski. So it's a proposal from the Council so, you
12
    know, the Council will have a vote, Jim doesn't have to
13
    recuse himself and our vote will be a recommendation,
14
    not a final action. It goes before the Board.
15
     that's the process.
16
17
                     Do you have any other comment on the
18
    proposal?
19
20
                     MR. CARSON: No, I don't.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.
     I hope that clears that up for other folks as well.
23
24
     Let's see that was Chris Carson, how about if we go
25
     to....
26
27
                     (Teleconference interference -
28
    participants not muted)
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, that's
31
     background. How about if we go to George Phillips, I
32
     have George Phillips on the list.
33
34
                     MR. ALLARD: Hi, this is Mike Allard,
35
              George Phillips had to leave.
     Pelican.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Mike, we'll
38
     take you next, go ahead.
39
40
                     MR. ALLARD: All right. My name is
41
    Mike Allard, I live in Pelican, year-round resident.
42
    And I'm in favor of the deer proposal. There's lots of
43
     consideration to go on. We talk about the Alaska
44
    Marine Highway and how we're dependent on it, and a lot
45
     of times we forget on how easily it is to close it from
46
     strikes, to the pandemic, to lack of funding and food
47
     sustainability is a big issue out here because, you
48
     know, when stuff doesn't come out of Juneau to the
49
     stores we don't eat, and it's as simple as that. And
```

if the planes don't fly for weeks, it's the same thing. As you all well know everybody that cans food, that jars, lids and bends are at a premium and having them is like gold. You can't find them, you can't get them. So, you know, you have to put up lots of food to sustain yourself. And we have changed the proposal from, you know, closing down an area to opening it up to two legal bucks so we are trying to compromise. We do see, you know, both sides of the story.

We get that there's a lot of outside people that like to come out and participate in that, that don't qualify for subsistence use, it's really getting hairy, like tit-for-tat, social media, let's slam certain people, it's wrong, you know, you got to compromise and you got to be amicable about it. It's -- if we don't work together for this there's -- it's going to turn out to be a horrible situation. You look at the Sitka Sound sac roe, and you look on how depleted the herring stocks are and you got one entity saying that there's not a problem and then you got the people saying that there is a problem. We're just looking to curb the problem now before it happens so it doesn't turn out to be like that.

You know there's a lot of friends that have been turned against each other and with the pandemic a lot of the subsistence proposals were put on hold and a lot of people feel like that -- you know, like we're just trying to shove it down their throats, well, we're not. Because of the pandemic we -- we weren't able to. It's just -- it's like you got to work together, it's a give and take and it shows that the people of Pelican are trying to work together but it's not all about me, me, me, there's a problem going on. I live in the Inlet here, I look at charter fishing but when it gets rough you got the charter fleet coming into the Inlet, anchoring on certain pinnacles and fishing rock fish that stay in certain areas and -- and as a commercial fisherman, they shut down some rivers of concern, they shut down my spring king salmon openings, we're not allowed to do that anymore, it's for the betterment of the fish, yeah, it's a hard pill to swallow but we're doing it. We see a lot of Juneau people coming over and fishing, it's putting pressure on the resource, the resources are precious, they're valuable, you can't replace them and once they're gone they're gone. We're just hoping we could work together and work through this, otherwise

```
0070
     there's going to be nothing for anybody.
 2
 3
                     I could keep going on and on but, you
 4
     know, you see the point. And I'm a city council member
 5
     and I'm a member of the RAC, commercial fisherman and
 6
     subsistence user just like a lot of you, so hopefully
 7
     we could work together and get something going
 8
     peacefully.
 9
10
                     And that's all I got to say.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you,
13
    Mike. I always ask people when they testify if they
14
     care to take questions from the Council we allow that,
15
     would you like to take any questions?
16
17
                     (No comments)
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Well, maybe not so
20
    we'll move on.
                     Is Richard Carson still with us.
21
22
                     (No comments)
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Richard Carson.
25
     Unmute your phone if you want to testify. Okay, not
26
     hearing from -- is that Richard?
27
28
                     MR. CARSON: Can you hear me now?
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Is this Richard
31
     Carson?
32
33
                     MR. CARSON: This is Richard Carson.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead.
36
37
                     MR. CARSON: Well, I just want to
38
     testify that I have ties in Pelican. I've been going
39
     to Pelican since 1970. My wife was born and raised out
40
     there and we have a place above Pelican and we have
41
     property in Pelican. And being a tax payer out there,
42
    but I live down South now since my wife passed away.
43
    Now, I can't go out there and hunt anymore under this
44
     new rule in October, November and December, and I don't
    think that's right. My children have been going to
45
46
     Pelican for years, my nephews go out there. I have a
47
    place above Pelican, a cabin that I put in my nephew's
48
    name and he's the owner and he won't be able to go out
49
     there because he's not living in Pelican and do hunting
50
```

```
0071
 1
    in the fall and he's a hunter and a fisherman. And I
     don't think this is right to exclude everybody from out
     of town who has ties out there. I pay taxes on
    property out there. And what's the sense of me keeping
    my property. That's all I have to say.
 5
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 8
    Mr. Carson. Are you open for questions?
 9
10
                     MR. CARSON: Sure.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any
13
     questions for Richard Carson from the Council.
14
15
                     (No comments)
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, apparently
18
     not. Thank you, Mr. Carson. How about Patty Phillips,
19
     are you with us Patty.
20
21
                     MS. PHILLIPS: I'm here Chairman
22
     Hernandez, can you hear me?
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, hear you
25
     fine, go ahead, Patty.
26
27
                     MS. PHILLIPS: I'll be doing comments.
28
     One if for the Pelican ADF&G Advisory Committee. They
29
    met on Tuesday September 7th, 2021. I want to make a
30
     correction, there were 26 members of the public who
31
     attended, nine were members of the Pelican Fish and
32
     Game Advisory Committee on teleconference with ADF&G
33
    wildlife biologist and the Southeast ADF&G Advisory
34
    Committee coordinator, and I later learned that the
35
     Subsistence Advisory Coordinator for Southeast was also
36
     on the phone.
37
38
                     The committee discussed the Federal
39
     Subsistence Management Program 2022 through 2024
40
     wildlife proposals, specifically WP22-09 deer, closure
41
     to non-Federally-qualified users, Lisianski Inlet
42
     Strait and WP22-10 deer, lower harvest limit for non-
43
     Federally-qualified user Lisianski Inlet Strait. Each
44
     person was given an opportunity to give voice to their
     views and opinions regarding to deer proposals WP22-09
45
46
     and WP22-10. When comments ended there was consensus
47
     to support a two deer bag limit for non-Federally-
48
     qualified users. Non-Federally-qualified hunters
49
     reduced bag limit to two deer, 19 yes, two no, one
```

2

4

5

6

abstained. There was a further request to limit the bag limit to bucks only, Federally-qualified hunters reduced bag limit to two deer, bucks only, 12 yes, five no, one abstain. This is submitted by Patricia Phillips, Chairman of the Pelican ADF&G Fish and Game Advisory Committee. I did submit it to subsistence@fws.gov.

7 8 9

Thank you.

10 11

Mr. Chair, can I proceed or should I take questions?

12 13 14

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead,

15 16 17

18

19

continue.

MS. PHILLIPS: Okay, I have my own personal comment. And, thank you, Mr. Chairman for public comment on proposals that you'll be discussing tomorrow, I may not be available then.

20 21

50

22 Good morning Chairman Hernandez and 23 Regional Council members. WP22-09 states increased 24 hunting pressure by non-Federally-qualified hunters is 25 making it more challenging to meet the subsistence 26 needs. WP22-10 reduces the bag limit to four deer in 27 Lisianski Inlet, Lisianski Strait. Local residents of Lisianski Inlet and Lisianski Strait active hunters 28 29 state that they are seeing less deer in Lisianski Inlet 30 and Lisianski Strait area. Why are there less deer 31 being seen and being harvested by local Federally-32 qualified users? Are we seeing less deer because of 33 climate change effects, the recent winters have been 34 less severe with less snow which can impact whether the 35 deer are being driven to the beach fringe or not. I 36 personally did observe slightly less deer than the 37 average number of deer that I normally observed. 38 may have been because the snow level was well above the 39 beach fringe and I have observed significant deer 40 browse within the beach fringe areas. Anecdotally, 41 healthy deer populations are observed in the Alpine and 42 bear predation on deer kept deer out of the beach 43 fringe. This spring when bears came out of hibernation 44 they were emaciated and bears were eating bears. does not concern me when locals -- it does concern me 45 46 when local Federal-qualified hunters say their 47 subsistence needs are not being met. The wildlife 48 analysis does not support restrictions on Federally-49 qualified users in the Lisianski Inlet Strait area. A

complication to the Federal process is that it will be two years before another deer proposal can be submitted to readdress this issue. Emergency closures shut down the resource to all users causing subsistence needs to not be met. To err on the side of conservation a reduced bag limit is reasonable for a continued healthy resource.

I recommend approving WP22-10 deer harvest limit on non-Federally-qualified with an amendment of reducing harvest to three deer. The analysis depicts the efficiency of local Federallyqualified hunters of Lisianski Inlet Straits as having a greater success rate. I question this information. When I complete a deer hunter survey I only list actual deer harvested and it is always a one deer hunt, I never list the number of times I hunt without success which may be three, four, or five times before I shoot a deer. The analysis states very few non-Federallyqualified harvest of more than three deer, not all hunters report harvest. There is a presumption of under-reporting of harvest. Having long-term engagement at the local level, city council, and as Mayor, and current Mayor, I know the community of Pelican supports and continues to support Alaska Division of Subsistence conducting subsistence studies for the Pelican area.

We also recently supported a resolution by Southeast Alaska Indigenous Transboundary Commission and Salmon Beyond Borders asking for a permanent ban on tailings dams and a temporary halt on Canadian mines along the transboundary border.

On a closing note, women membership on the Federal Subsistence Southeast Regional Advisory RAC is significantly under-represented and to the detriment of the region.

I also added a little note here that this deer process has been fast-tracked and it's without its usual due diligence and I would remind you that the Prince of Wales deer process was a multiple year process.

much.

Those are my remarks, thank you very

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,

```
0074
 1
     Patty. And I do just want to make sure that your
     Advisory Committee comments, they were submitted
     electronically, right, so we'll have those before us
 4
    when we deliberate?
 5
 6
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Yes, Chairman Hernandez.
 7
     I did submit both my comments separately to the
 8
     subsistence@fws.gov.
 9
10
                     Thank you.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
13
14
                     MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, this is Katya.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead,
17
    Katya.
18
19
                     MS. WESSELS: Yes, we received the
20
     comments that Patty sent and I can read it into the
     record tomorrow if the Council wishes me to. And I can
21
22
     also email the comments to the Council members and we
23
     can probably post them online, too.
24
25
                     Thank you.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
28
    We'll probably want those on record tomorrow. So very
29
     good. Any questions for Patty Phillips from the
30
     Council.
31
32
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, this is Cathy.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Cathy, go ahead.
35
36
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
37
     And thank you Ms. Phillips for providing comments. I
     know we normally do this for non-agenda items but I
38
39
     appreciate the fact that you might not be able to join
40
     us when we're discussing proposals tomorrow so it's
41
     always good to have your perspective.
42
43
                     I do have one question for you. It
44
     sounds like you did take a look at the analysis for the
     proposals. And one thing that I noted is the figure of
45
46
    how many deer non-Federally-qualified users harvest
47
     over, I think it was a 19 year period, and the graph
48
     that the analysis provided was really for the whole
49
     unit, Unit 4, rather than in Lisianski Inlet
```

specifically. But with that being said the graph does show that there is a trend for less -- well, it looks like less success for non-Federally-qualified users that harvest more than two or three deer, and so I was wondering if you could elaborate. You mentioned that you recommended an amendment to the proposal that you submitted to reduce the bag limit for non-Federally-qualified users from two deer to three deer, I'm wondering why you made that recommendation and then if you feel like that actually will make a difference within Lisianski Inlet.

MS. PHILLIPS: Chairman Hernandez. Thank you Council Person Needham. Yeah, so I actually thought that unit-wide, that the non-Federallyqualified should all be reduced to four, or three. My original proposal was for a four deer, reduced to a four deer bag limit for non-Federally-qualified. And, you know, I don't know if I'm reading these graphs right but on Page 254 it's showing non-Federallyqualified number of days hunted is significant for non-Federally-qualified and especially for the month of November and, you know, WP22-09 is wanting to restrict hunter access mid-October through the end of the season and according to this Figure 5, the heaviest hit month is November. So if we reduce actual bag limit rather than restrict non-Federally-qualified then there's the potential to reduce the harvest of deer by non-Federally-qualified which would also have an added benefit of conserving the resource.

Does that answer your question?

MS. NEEDHAM: Through the Chair. Yeah, that -- thank you, Patty, for the clarification. I just wanted to -- since we might not have you during our discussion tomorrow I just wanted to hear more of your rationale of why you recommended that modification to the proposal you submitted so thank you for that.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cathy. Any other Council members have a question for Patty Phillips.

47 MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is 48 Albert.

Thank you.

```
0076
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert.
 2
 3
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
 4
     I guess my question would be is the proposed bag limit
     that you're proposing to change going to meet the
 5
     subsistence users needs going forward. I remember, and
 6
 7
     I appreciate your testimony as far as snowfall and
     everything else that impacts what a deer does or
 9
     doesn't do, we heard testimony from an elder, a
10
    gentleman saying -- what I took away, was he was too
11
    old to get up into the Alpine and a lot of his deer
12
     came off of the beach and so when it doesn't snow this
13
     does impact him and his ability to get deer now.
14
    wondering -- there's two things there to consider.
15
16
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: You have a
19
     response, Patty.
20
21
                     MS. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Chairman
22
     Hernandez. I hear what he's saying, I really do. I
23
     take everybody's comments into consideration when I
24
     make my remarks.
25
26
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
29
     Patty. Anybody else on the Council with a question for
30
     Patty Phillips.
31
32
                     (No comments)
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I think we
35
     can move on. I have Celeste Weller wanting to testify.
36
     Are you there Ms. Weller?
37
38
                     MS. WELLER: Yes, I'm here, can you
39
    hear me?
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, I can hear
42
    you loud and clear.
43
44
                     MS. WELLER: All right, thank you.
45
     I've also emailed my comments to subsistence@fws.gov. I
     am a full-time resident of Pelican, Alaska. I hunt
46
47
    black-tailed deer yearly. I work year-round in Pelican
48
     also. And I witness and experience first-hand the
49
     negative impact that unrestricted tourism is taking on
50
```

this town and community. I support WP22-09 and 10 as a starting point to slowing or stopping future resource exploitation in this area.

Decreasing the bag limit and restricting allowable hunting times can help discourage those who would choose to hunt here for sport. Regular regional hunters who come here for food or subsistence should be capable of changing their schedule to accommodate the proposed hunting period change. WP22-09 does not close hunting, it just restricts the timeline. The Pelican community, we've been accommodating tourism and the part-time residents here for decades much to its slowed detriment. I think it's time for a change, that's just my opinion.

May opponents to these proposals will argue that there's no current interest in sport hunting for wintertime black-tail deer in Lisianski Inlet and Straits, however, as I previously mentioned the intense expansion on tourism centralized in Pelican definitely indicates otherwise. At the 9/7/21 meeting of the Pelican ADF&G Regional Advisory Committee, less than half of the attending Council and community members represented full-time residents of Pelican and its surrounding area. Most of them were full-time residents -- or excuse me -- most were part-time residents. Our tiny, year-round population is out numbered in voice for what regulations are discussed and/or determined in our area. I am not opposed to tourism as long as it can be regulated and the locals of the community that the tourism takes place in don't have to compete for resources. I am not a generational resident of Pelican, Lisianski Inlet or Alaska, but I have lived here for, year-round, for almost six years. I volunteer within the community in a myriad of ways and I participate in subsistence hunting and fishing.

I do appreciate that every voice is being heard for these proposal changes.

Thank you.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ:} Thank \ you, \\ \text{Celeste.} \ \text{Are you open for taking questions from the } \\ \text{Council?}$

MS. WELLER: Yes, that's fine.

```
0078
 1
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Any
 2
     questions from Council members.
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, perhaps not.
 7
     Thank you for your testimony. And I still have Scott
 8
     Carson on the list, are you there Scott.
 9
10
                     MR. CARSON: Yes, I am, can you hear
11
    me?
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, loud and
14
     clear, go ahead.
15
16
                     MR. CARSON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. My
17
     name is Scott Carson. I'm a lifelong Alaskan and
18
     currently live in Juneau. I grew up in Southeast
19
    Alaska, spending my summers with my grandparents and
20
     parents in Pelican where I commercial fished with them,
21
     and as I grew older I joined my father and my
22
    grandparents in the yearly deer hunt. After I
23
    graduated high school, I left Alaska and joined the
24
    United States Army where I served for six years. I
25
    returned to Alaska every year through my time in the
26
    Army and spent my vacation time in Pelican with my
27
    family. I returned to Alaska full-time in 1998 where I
28
    began my career as an Alaska State Trooper. I have
29
    been stationed in Anchorage, Petersburg, Sitka,
30
    Ketchikan and Juneau. And I return to Pelican twice a
31
    year to spend time with my family and it is my
32
    intention to carry on this tradition to my children and
33
    grandchildren. And I have spent over 40 years hunting
34
    and fishing in the Pelican area. And for these reasons
35
    I support ANILCA and it's goal to preserve Alaska
36
    resources for future generations. And I want future
37
     generations of Alaskans to enjoy what I have.
38
39
                     I oppose WP22-09 for the following
40
     reasons.
41
42
                     There is no depletion of deer in the
43
     Game Management Unit 4, including the areas surrounding
44
     Lisianski Inlet. The Alaska Department of Fish and
     Game actively manages the deer population in this area.
45
46
     The Alaska Department of Fish and Game released a
47
     report in 2021 that detailed the deer population,
48
     hunter efforts and success rates. The report concluded
49
     that there is no shortage of deer and a copy of this
```

report has been made for this Council. This proposal violates ANILCA as written. The proposal was pushed by Pelican resident and Board member Jim Slater, who had a land dispute over deer hunting with a neighbor in 2020. Mr. Slater was appointed to the Southeast Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council shortly before 6 7 March 2020 meeting, and just prior to that meeting Mr. Slater recruited Pelican residents, via email, to 9 testify in support of his proposal and even coached the 10 residents on what to say in their testimony and a copy 11 of this recruitment email is available to this Council, 12 if needed. The residents testified by following Mr. 13 Slater's script and their testimony is a direct 14 conflict of the Alaska Fish and Game hunt reports for 15 the Pelican area. And I believe that the State of 16 Alaska and/or the Federal Subsistence Board were to 17 closely to compare the testimonies given by Mr. Slater 18 and the residents he recruited it would show that their 19 testimony does not align with the hunt reports they 20 gave to the State of Alaska. There is enough evidence 21 that Mr. Slater used his newly appointed position on 22 this Council to serve his own personal agenda and an 23 attempt to manipulate ANILCA to serve his own personal 24 needs and, therefore, I request that this proposal be 25 voted down.

26 27

28

29

30

31

In other areas of the state where game population was in decline there was a thorough study of the resources before any action was taken. The current report from the local wildlife biologist reports a robust deer population and does not merit any restrictions on hunting.

32 33 34

35

36

In closing, the Wildlife Proposal 22-09 violates ANILCA without a scarcity of deer this proposal lacks legal justification to restrict hunting rights of Alaskans.

37 38 39

Thank you for your time and I'd standby for any questions if you have them.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Scott. Does anybody on the Council have a question for Mr. Carson.

44 45 46

(No comments)

47 48

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Scott, I'm not hearing any questions. So thank you very much for

```
0800
    your testimony, appreciate it.
 2
 3
                     MR. CARSON: Thank you.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, you're
 6
     welcome. Looking at my list, I know we have Rebekah
 7
     Sawer and Wanda Culp still waiting to testify. I do
     just want to check, we've kind of been focused on this
     one deer hunting issue, I just wanted to check and make
 9
     sure I called everybody's name that I had written down.
10
11
     If there's anybody else on this issue that wants to
12
     testify, please speak up, if not, we'll move on to
13
     Rebekah Sawyer and Wanda Culp.
14
15
                     MR. PHILLIPS: James Phillips from
16
    Pelican I think....
17
18
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
19
    Albert.
20
21
                     MR. CORBIN: Denny Corbin.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I heard two
24
     people.
25
26
                     MR. HOWARD: Mr. Chairman, this is
27
     Albert.
28
29
                     MR. CORBIN: Denny Corbin.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Albert, and
32
     somebody else.
33
34
                     MR. PHILLIPS: James Phillips.....
35
36
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
37
     I....
38
39
                     MR. PHILLIPS: .....from Pelican.
40
41
                     MR. HOWARD: I....
42
43
                     MR. CORBIN: Denny Corbin.
44
                     REPORTER: Okay, just one at a time.
45
46
47
                     MR. CORBIN: Go ahead.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I have a couple
50
```

```
0081
 1
     people talking at once. I know Albert you're on the
    Council, I'll....
 2
 3
 4
                     MR. HOWARD: Well, Mr. Chairman, you're
 5
     correct, this does appear to be a conversation over an
 6
     agenda item and this was -- this is going to happen
 7
     later on in the meeting and I appreciate Patty Phillips
     giving her testimony because she won't be available
 9
     when we're talking about the agenda item, but it
10
     appears as though everyone else will be. It's just
11
     something to think about, thank you, Mr. Chair.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep, I'm trying to
14
     keep that in mind, Albert, but, yes, thank you.
15
16
                     MR. CORBIN: Yeah, could I.....
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I did hear....
19
20
                     MR. CORBIN: .....get a comment in,
21
     this is Denny Corbin and I have to work.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, what was your
24
     name?
25
26
                     MR. CORBIN: Yeah, Denny Corbin from
27
     Pelican.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Denny Corbin,
30
     okay.
31
32
                     MR. CORBIN: Okay, Denny Corbin, yeah.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, we'll
35
     hear your testimony or comment.
36
37
                     MR. CORBIN: Okay. Yeah, I have to
38
     work today so I'd like to just get this out of the way.
39
     In my view I'm against the deer hunting proposal. And
40
     what I see happening is that economy in Pelican has
41
    been pretty bad over the years. I left several years
42
     ago, the Pelican Seafoods shutdown and half the town
43
     got on the ferry and left. And salmon trolling isn't
44
     as good as it used to be and opportunities to longline
45
     for halibut and black cod has been limited. So, you
46
     know, young people move away and then what this law
47
     will do is make it so they can't come home and hunt
48
     with their parents or grandparents or get their parents
49
     or grandparents a deer. And so it kind of breaks a
50
```

longstanding tradition of culture, where, you know, people have children, they take care of them and they teach them to hunt, and then when those children get to be adults they take care of their parents and grandparents by harvesting deer for them. But it seems like a really good way to destroy a community.

And the other thing about it is that according to the best data from Alaska game management biologists there's not a problem with the deer in Lisianski Inlet. And, you know, I grew up hunting here and, you know, we were really subsistence. I remember my mother telling me, don't come home unless you have deer, you know, and it's a lot of hard work. And some years the deer hunting's not so great. If it's a warm winter they're up real high, or the feed, you know, they go where the feed is, and you have to work real hard at it and sometimes you don't always have success and that's just the way hunting and fishing is.

So I think the -- you know, given -this is a generational theft of hunting rights for
people, you know, that live in this area, you know,
especially young people that might move away to find
job opportunities, if you have family support, it's a
pretty serious proposal if you look at it in that way.
So I think the burden of proof should be on the people
promoting it and we should have, you know, a real good
look to make sure that there actually is a problem
because right now all the data says, no, there is no
problem, they're saying there's plenty of deer.

And I agree also with Scott, that this was done as a sneak attack. A small group of people were cultivated, that's what it looked like to me, and then testified at the March meeting and I was messaging, one of the individuals who testified, they never mentioned it to me, and we've been friends our whole lives basically. And, yeah, another member that testified, I left several phone messages, they never called me back. And, so, you know, it's not hard to just type in a few letters and enter someone's name in on the email list, so I just have a bad taste in my mouth. I feel like this was done in a bad way, and the rest of the community wasn't allowed to comment on it initially and then it was adopted by the Subsistence Board, so I think it should be thrown out just on that basis alone but at the very least people should realize that even though you're hurting your family unit in a

```
0083
    big way doing this and the burden of proof about deer
     should be well established and we should really check
    this out because, you know, deer hunting pressure has
    gone way down in this area, there used to be a lot more
    people living out in Pelican, and a lot more good
    hunters and, you know, I tend to believe the game
 6
 7
    biologists.
 8
 9
                     So, thank you.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep, thank you,
    Mr. Corbin. Any questions for Mr. Corbin.
12
13
14
                     (No comments)
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I think now
17
    I will call on Rebekah Sawyer and.....
18
19
                     MR. PHILLIPS: Mr. Chairman.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: .....Wanda Culp --
22
     oh, wait a minute, who was that?
23
24
                     MR. PHILLIPS: This is James Phillips
25
     in Pelican. I asked to testify because I, personally,
26
     would like to go out deer hunting. Thank you.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, sorry,
29
     James, I didn't have you on my list here. Do you have
30
    more to say?
31
32
                     MR. PHILLIPS: Yes, I do. I was just
33
    waiting for your confirmation, sir.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, yeah, go
36
     ahead.
37
38
                     MR. PHILLIPS: Yeah, I apologize. My
39
    name is James Phillips. I reside here in Pelican.
     Have since 1961. Pelican Advisory Committee provided a
40
41
     forum for the expression and views and opinions by
42
    persons with connections to Pelican and Lisianski Inlet
43
    and Strait, and, yet, there was how many of us. And I
    was the member that -- Council member that abstained.
44
45
    Because I think we go back and forth, Terry Warder, and
46
    I, we've lived here longer than a majority of them
47
    because our parents moved out, and I own property
48
     outside of town and inside of town and I've been on the
```

school board, the Mayor, graduated from Pelican in '78.

49

```
0084
 1
                     And, anyway, we have a six month deer
    season. And the way I feel we have six months and if
 2
   you can't get your deer limit in them six months, we do
    not need a January season, but that's my opinion.
 5
    Anyway I'm -- what my wife had said earlier, I don't
    know all the numbers. We have to compromise, I agree
 6
 7
    with Mike. I abstained because they're my friends,
    both sides of this. And I'm a have. I have a big boat,
    I'm able to travel out and go to the outer coast and go
 9
10
    down to where we grew up always hunting because my
11
    family, we rarely hunted in the Inlet, we left that for
12
    the have nots, the people with just a skiff, you know.
     I've had a 50 foot boat my entire life, my parents. We
13
14
    always traveled out and hunted in the Sitka area,
15
    between us and Sitka. And when they made all these
    rules and regulations and they still continue to do it,
16
17
    it just pits one against the other and right or wrong,
18
    we all need to eat. I understand that and respect
19
    that. I'm a little over 60 years old and I don't climb
20
    to the top of the mountains either. That's why I asked
21
    if I could -- because today is the best day I've seen
    in a couple years to go deer hunting and they're out
22
23
    and around and they're moving. They're walking on the
24
    beaches. They're not always at the top of the
25
    mountain, you have to be out and ready for them. And
26
    it's election day too which complicates it in a small
27
    town.
28
29
                     So I thank you for this, and I will
30
    take comments, thank you, questions.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
     James. Any questions for Mr. Phillips from the
33
34
    Council.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. I'm not
39
    hearing any. Thank you, Mr. Phillips.
40
41
                     MR. PHILLIPS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you. One
44
     last call, anybody I missed that wants to testify on
45
    Lisianski proposals.
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Rebekah and
```

```
0085
     Wanda, are you still there.
 2
 3
                     MR. KITKA: Don, this is Harvey Kitka.
 4
 5
                     MS. SAWERS-CONTRERAS: This is Rebekah
 6
     -- okay.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, I hear
 9
     Rebekah, but Harvey what did you want to add?
10
11
                     MR. KITKA: Well, it has nothing to do
12
     with Lisianski but I had definitely some non-agenda
13
     items I wanted to talk about. I know when you were
14
     asking for non-agenda items, I'm speaking on behalf of
15
     the Kaagwaantaan in Sitka.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
18
19
                     MR. KITKA: I don't know if you still
20
     wanted to talk to whoever else was trying to call in, I
21
     can wait.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, if you don't
24
     mind waiting, Harvey. I know you're on the Council so
25
     you won't be going anywhere. So if we could hear from
26
     Rebekah and Wanda. I heard Rebekah, I believe, in the
27
    background.
28
29
                     MS. SAWERS-CONTRERAS: Yes.
30
31
                     MS. CULP: Hi, Don, we're here.
32
     is Wanda.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Tell us who
35
     you are and go ahead.
36
37
                     MS. CULP: Yes, Wanda Culp. I'm the
38
     Coordinator for the Tongass Women for Forest, an arm of
39
     the Womens Earth and Action Climate Network,
40
     International. We represent the Tongass Forest for
41
     indigenous management. I'll be brief. I sent a
42
    message earlier about the continuing destruction of
43
    Hoonah because of the clear-cut so I won't go into
44
    that. But I will say that Hoonah and Angoon are
     suffering an epidemic of cancer that's been pretty
45
46
     silent and now we're being attacked by Covid and it's
47
    time that all Federal agencies recognize that this is
48
     the endness of the Earth and, yes, we need to have
49
     local harvesting of our natural resources but that each
50
```

```
0086
 1
    local community should also have the right to say, yes,
    this is one of ours, they can come in and hunt and
    gather with us. So we don't want to eliminate anybody
    but we sure don't want to invite all the fish, you
 5
    know, the sportshunters to have your little free for
 6
     all. So that's all I wanted to say.
 7
 8
                     Thank you.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Wanda.
11
12
                     MS. SAWERS-CONTRERAS: And I wanted to
13
     say....
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead,
16
     Rebekah.
17
18
                     MS. SAWERS-CONTRERAS: I wanted to say
19
    quyana to Patty Phillips. I thought that what she said
20
     was really important and I want to uplift her message
21
     along with Wanda's. We all have something in common
22
    that we want to make a change. And we're looking out
23
    for the food chain, the population and we're
24
     recognizing changes in the land and when there are two
25
     -- it's a balance and things become scarce and it's the
26
     same way for plants. And when there's a pollution in
27
     an area that also contributes, we are recognizing
28
     locally and globally that westward expansion is the
29
    goal and this is based on the choices continuing to be
30
    made and the choices that you should be making should
31
    be based on public input, not what industry and
32
    businesses can offer. And also there's a common theme
33
    across Alaska of shutting down subsistence king fishing
34
    to make way for business also. They were mentioning in
35
    Angoon earlier, it's also happening in Hooper Bay. So
36
     just wanted to let you know that it's happening all
37
    across Alaska, these sorts of issues across all the
38
     coast and tribal issues.
39
40
                     So thank you for listening to me and
41
     thank you.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
44
     And are you open for questions from the Council.
45
46
                     (No comments)
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Questions?
49
```

```
0087
 1
                     MS. SAWERS-CONTRERAS: Yes.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Anybody on
 4
    the Council have a question for Rebekah or Wanda.
 5
 6
                     (No comments)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, apparently
 9
     not. And, Rebekah, I understand that you and Wanda
10
    have a presentation that you'll be presenting to the
11
     Council later on in the meeting.
12
13
                     MS. SAWERS-CONTRERAS: Yes, we will.
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We'll look forward
16
    to that as well. Okay.
17
18
                     MS. CULP: All right, thank you for
19
     listening.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you.
22
23
                     MS. ORR: Hey, real quick. This is Nick
24
     or Nicolas Orr out of Juneau, I had a quick question.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Nick Orr with a
27
     question, do you have comment or just a question?
28
29
                     MR. ORR: It's just a question.
30
     Because it sounded like there was a lot of comments on
31
    WP22-09 and I thought that was for tomorrow. This
32
     sounds like a lot of agenda items that are being
33
     discussed in a non-agenda forum.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, you're
36
     correct. We asked that people hold their comments on
37
     agenda items until it's on the agenda but we're
38
     flexible. I recognize that people have busy schedules
39
     and can't always be available.
40
41
                     MR. ORR: Well, that's fine, I just
42
     wanted to clarify. I will hold my comments and
43
     questions until we are discussing this tomorrow.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you for
46
     that, that's very helpful. We'll look forward to
47
     hearing from you. Harvey Kitka, you have non-agenda
48
     comments from the Sitka Kaagwaantaan. Go ahead,
49
     Harvey.
```

MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This is Harvey Kitka and I'm speaking on behalf of the Sitka Kaagwaantaan. My topic is herring.

As you know our clan submitted a proposal that would affect Sitka Sound. There's some things that I needed to kind of add to that. We, at Kaagwaantaan had hoped that some other clans from other communities would add to it because there's been long talks between our community and Craig and Klawock about the size of their herring spawn. Most people don't really realize that between the time of first contact, the ocean were teaming with herring and salmon and whales and dolphins, you know, just everything was plentiful. The spawn in Sitka Sound was not only in Sitka Sound, all the bays, it went all the way down and around Baranof Island. There was also Craig and Klawock, their spawn started there and then went around the Decision, went up Chatham Straits all the way to Kake, and then Angoon had their own spawn, Hoonah had their spawn, Juneau had their spawn, Yakutat had their spawn. So the amount of herring that they used for their base, it's so small as compared to what it used to be. This is one of our major concerns.

The other concern is that they're still taking the older herring, which is so important. Last year in the process of trying to get their old herring, they fished for days and an awful lot of the small herring died and ended up on the beaches. No telling how many sank to the bottom. But on another note it was kind of nice that they tried to stay away from some of the area because they are closed subsistence areas. It was nice to see herring back in some of those spawning grounds. It wasn't very many but to see them coming back it goes to show what we've been telling them that they've been forcing them away from us.

So some of these things are of big concern to us and that was all I wanted to add.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{And}}$ if there was any questions I'll answer them.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Harvey. If there's anybody on the Council that has a question for Harvey.

0089 1 MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chairman, this is 2 Frank. 3 4 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead, 5 Frank. 6 7 MR. WRIGHT: I just have a comment. 8 You know quite a few years back when I first started 9 black codding I used to have a throw net and I used to 10 go down to a float down here in front of my house and 11 throw my net and get herring, now you can't even hardly 12 catch one, you hardly see them anymore. So this 13 herring issue has to be really, really looked at 14 because it's just too many signs showing that it's --15 that, you know, the Department of Fish and Game is not 16 looking at the signs that are happening, you know. I 17 know there used to be a herring spawn over in Auke Bay 18 and used to have a herring spawn here in Hoonah, and we 19 don't anymore, and all of a sudden Department of Fish 20 and Game knows exactly everything that's going on 21 except us, you know, the people that have seen it, seen 22 the changes that are going on. And, you know, when the 23 herring spawn that used to be here, it's not here 24 anymore. I know -- so I wish they would look at the 25 signs and decide on what's going on because this 26 doesn't make sense that they still put this big boat 27 out there and I just wonder who are they listening to, 28 certainly not the people that have lived it. 29 30 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 31 32 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you, 33 Frank. Anybody else on the Council questions for 34 Harvey or a comment. 35 36 MR. HOWARD: Just a comment, Mr. Chair, 37 this is Albert. 38 39 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Albert. 40 MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 41 42 Just real quick, when I got to Sitka with a group of 43 guys and, you know, it seems like, you know, we're 44 getting regulated on how much we can bring home or 45 they're trying to and my thought to that is we go and 46 bring the eggs home and the herring there live to see another day the way we do it. I'd have to agree with 47 48 Mr. Wright, you know, our elders are asking about our 49 herring, what can we do about -- we used to have spawn

in Hood Bay, they'd talk about it, we talk about Salt Bay used to have one in May so a lot of the resources are disappearing and nothing's being done. It's just an unintended consequence of bait fishing back in the '80s and they came in and fished it out. Fader* Bay used to have a lot of herring and that got fished out. So I guess I think a lot of this needs to be looked at and looked at seriously because Sitka's almost the last people where the herring spawn.

Thanks, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Albert. Anybody else on the Council with a question for Harvey or a comment.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, apparently not. Thank you for your testimony on herring Harvey. It's a very important issue and we definitely expect to stay engaged on that which I'm sure we will in the future. So now.....

MR. KITKA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: We will take a lunch break now. When we return we will be starting old business, there's a couple of major items we have under old business. We have to finalize our comments on proposals to the Alaska Board of Fish. And then we also have an update from Department of Fish and Game on wolves in Unit 2. I should ask the folks from the Department of Fish and Game about their time availability. I will schedule where we start on the old business depending on ADF&G's availability. So do we still have -- I think Tom Schumacher was going to do the presentation, I don't know if he's on board. We also have -- I guess we'll have a report from the Fish and Wildlife Service, Doug Cooper and Sara Markegard. So if those folks could tell me about their availability. We're going to break about an hour for lunch.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Chairman Hernandez, this is Tom Schumacher.

48 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, Tom, go

49 ahead.

```
0091
 1
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: I'm available this
 2
     afternoon, I'm listening in so, yeah, whenever you're
     ready I'll be ready.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
 6
     Tom.
 7
 8
                     MR. COOPER: Mr. Chair.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes.
11
12
                     MR. COOPER: Hi, this is Doug Cooper
13
     with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. I am also
14
     available any time this afternoon so no time crunch for
15
    me.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you
18
    very much for that. I think I'm going to recommend
19
    that we....
20
21
                     MR. MANNIX: Mr. Chair.
22
23
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Somebody else, go
26
     ahead.
27
                     MR. MANNIX: Mr. Chair, this is Jacob
28
29
    Mannix. I was on the list to comment and I'm not going
30
    to do that now because I know you guys are trying to
31
    break for lunch but, again, Jacob Mannix, Backcountry
32
    Hunters and Anglers. I'll save my comment for another
     time. I just did want to relay, you know, that if
33
34
    Council members have questions for me or my
35
     organization at some point regarding the comments that
36
    we did make on some of the proposals, I forwarded my
37
     contact information to your Coordinator and that's
38
     available to you. So, again, anyway, sorry for
39
     interrupting there. I'll save my comments for a later
40
     time.
41
42
                     Thank you.
43
44
                     MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is.....
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So I....
47
48
                     MR. CASIPIT: .....Cal, I have a quick
49
     request to make.
```

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Who is this now? MR. CASIPIT: This is Cal. Member Cal from Gustavus. CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: All right, go ahead, Cal. MR. CASIPIT: Okay. I have a quick request to make of the Coordinator or whoever, before we get to the deer proposals. There was quite a few

comments made today over the phone about a report from Fish and Game. Also in the written public comments there was quite a bit of referrals on all those three — four proposals, quite a bit of referrals to, I assume is the same, ADF&G report. It is not in our materials, as far as I can find and I've looked through everything. I would request that we get a copy of that before we start on those proposals so we can at least look at the information that was presented. Because I am flying a little blind on those. Because people keep referring to them and I don't have it.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Cal. I guess I'll -- well, first of all, Cal, let me just respond to Jacob from Backcountry Hunters. I just want to make sure you know that at 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning when we open the meeting there is public testimony, that's a sure thing, we do that every morning. So if you want to hold off until then that would be greatly appreciated, appreciate your time.

And, so now Katya I don't know if you could track any reports that Fish and Game may have put out on Lisianski, although Cal I'm not sure if people aren't referring to just what we have before us in our analysis. I don't know if there's anything in addition to that.

MS. WESSELS: Yeah, Mr. Chair, that's what I was thinking, that perhaps they were referring to what's in the analysis in the proposal. I will try to figure it out.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, appreciate that.

```
0093
 1
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Chair, this is Frank.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, go ahead,
 4
    Frank.
 5
 6
                     MR. WRIGHT: I have a commitment at
 7
     2:00 o'clock so I won't be around for about an hour.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, Frank.
10
    Let's see we'll be coming back at about 1:45, is there
11
    an item on the agenda that you were most interested in
12
     or want to be here for?
13
14
                     MR. WRIGHT: Not at this time, no,
15
     thank you.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you,
18
     Frank.
19
20
                     MR. WRIGHT: All right.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So I'm thinking
23
     when we come back we'll maybe start out with the wolf
24
     update and report if that's okay with everybody. And I
25
    have asked Vice Chair Cathy Needham to maybe run the
26
    meeting through that old business portion to go through
27
    the Fish and Game proposals and the wolf updates. So
28
     I'll check with you, Cathy, does that sound good to
29
    you, to start with the wolf update, unless you'd like
30
    to do it differently?
31
32
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair, yep, I'll
33
     standby. That sounds great to me.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Okay, we
36
     will recess until 1:45. And just a quick maybe
37
     reminder from the folks monitoring the phones, should
38
    people hang up and dial back in or should they stay
39
     connected and on mute.
40
41
                     REPORTER: So, Don, this is Tina.
42
     People can stay online, stay muted, or they can hang up
43
     and call back, it's really their choice.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Okay, thank
46
     you very much. Okay, recess until 1:45.
47
48
                     (Off record)
49
```

0094	(On record)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Good afternoon everyone, this is Vice Chair Cathy Needham. It is our first day of the Regional Advisory Southeast Regional Advisory Council meeting. And I would like if Frank is there, if you could do a quick roll call to assure that we have all of our Council members back for a quorum, that would be great. If Frank is not there, maybe Katya, you could do a quick call for us.
11 12	MS. WESSELS: Sure.
13 14 15 16	ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Why don't you go ahead, Katya.
17 18 19	MS. WESSELS: Okay, thank you, Madame Chair. Ian Johnson.
20	(No comments)
22 23 24 25	MS. WESSELS: And, you know, if you called back in, you will have to press star six to unmute yourself.
26 27	Ian Johnson.
28	(No comments)
29 30	MS. WESSELS: All right. Frank Wright.
31 32	(No comments)
33 34	MS. WESSELS: Frank Wright.
35 36	(No comments)
37 38	MS. WESSELS: Calvin Casipit.
39 40	MR. CASIPIT: I'm here.
41 42	MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Calvin.
43 44	Mike Douville.
45 46	(No comments)
47 48	MS. WESSELS: Mike Douville.
49 50	MO. WEDDEED. MIKE DOUVILLE.
50	

0095
1 (No comments)
2
3 MS. WESSELS: James Slater. 4
5 MR. SLATER: I'm here.
6
7 MS. WESSELS: Thank you, James.
8
9 Robert Schroeder. 10
11 MR. SCHROEDER: Bob Schroeder's here
12
MS. WESSELS: Thank you.
14
15 Albert Howard. 16
17 (No comments)
18
MS. WESSELS: Don Hernandez.
20 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Here.
22
MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Don.
24
25 Harold Robbins. 26
MR. ROBBINS: I'm here.
28
MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Harold.
30 31 Harvey Kitka.
32
MR. KITKA: I'm here.
34 35 MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Harvey.
MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Harvey.
37 Larry Bemis.
38
39 (No comments) 40
41 MS. WESSELS: Cathy Needham.
42
ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: I'm here.
44 45 MS. WESSELS: Thank you. So we have
MS. WESSELS: Thank you. So we have a quorum
47
Thank you.
49 50
JU

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank you, Katya. Again, welcome back everyone. For Council members, if you are here and didn't call out when your name was called, that's fine, we have a quorum to get started. But just as a reminder, when we entered back in on the meeting we were in silent mode so you need to press star six to unmute your phones and then potentially place your device back on mute when you're not talking, please.

 Again, welcome back everyone to the Southeast Subsistence Regional Advisory Council meeting. I was asked, as Vice Chair, to take over chairing the meeting through our old business. So I'd first like to call on Katya Wessels, I believe we had an announcement that she wanted to make at the beginning of old business that was not part of our agenda but it's regarding the application season.

Katya.

MS. WESSELS: Yes, thank you, Madame Chair. Members of the Council. Yes, we have this agenda item about the open season for the Council application and nominations. First of all I would like to thank all of you Council members for your service on the Council and on behalf of the user groups that you represent. Your knowledge and experience is of great value to the Federal Subsistence Management Program. We currently are going through some difficult times during the pandemic, but at the same time we're constantly working to have robust Councils with full memberships. And we're looking to you, Council members, for help, because you're our connection to the communities that you represent.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MS. WESSELS: The 2020 [sic] Council application period was open on September 17th. We're encouraging you to help us to spread the word about it in the region's communities and recruit new applicants throughout your region. You know the people in your region. And you can also share the firsthand experience of what it means to serve on the Council. What is involved in this serving, and how much time it takes. You can also nominate the candidates if someone is interested in applying but is having a hard time

0097 filling in an application, or is not sure, just ask if 1 they're okay with you nominating them. The nomination 2 packets are available on our website, it's 4 www.doi.gov/subsistence/region and a fillable copy of 5 the application will be posted soon as well. We also 6 plan on mailing a number of application packets to the 7 current Council members when we receive them from the printer so you can hand them out to interested persons, 8 9 organizations, and tribes. Additionally, we're mailing 10 around 2,000 copies of the application packet to 11 various addresses across the state. If someone needs a 12 copy to be faxed to them we can do that as well. We're 13 hoping to have a good number of qualified applicants 14 apply this year so we're able to fill all vacant seats 15 and have alternates available for the situations when 16 Council members resign, move out of the region, or pass 17 away. And we would really appreciate your help with 18 recruiting this year. 19 20 (Teleconference interference -21 participants not muted) 22 23 MS. WESSELS: The Southeast region, you 24 know, you always have a lot of applicants and we had a 25 good turn out of applications in your region but we 26 still are asking you to help us this year. 27 28 So thank you very much for your 29 attention and if you have any questions please ask. 30 31 Thank you. 32 33 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank 34 you, Katya. Are there any questions regarding the 35 application period for Regional Advisory Council seats 36 from Council members. 37 38 (Teleconference interference -39 participants not muted) 40 41 (No comments) 42

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, hearing none. Before we move on with the next agenda item I just want to remind everyone that we are still getting some feedback from phones that are not currently muted. So if you don't have your device on mute, please do so, it's distracting to try to hear the speaker when there's a lot of background noise going

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

1 on.

2 3

Before I move to the next agenda item, I wanted to ask you whether or not you had any announcements or housekeeping items that you wanted to share with us?

MS. WESSELS: Yes, thank you, Cathy. I just want to remind everyone who participates in this meeting that there's an opportunity to submit your written public comments on the proposals by emailing it to subsistence@fws.gov. That's the email address that you need to use; subsistence@fws.gov. And these comments can be emailed now and until the proposal is taken by the Council. So please indicate in the title of the email the number of the proposal that you're commenting on.

Also I just wanted to clarify the situation in regards, there was a question about the ADF&G report before we took a lunch break. And what it turns out, it was not ADF&G reports, it turns out that people were referring to ADF&G comments on the proposals, and the ADF&G is going to present their comments when the Council takes each proposal in their allotted time according to the proposal presentation procedure. And that is why it is so important for the public, also, to provide your oral testimony to the Council during the same time. This way we can avoid the confusion, because when people testify on the proposals during the period for testimony on non-agenda items there can be some confusion because the Council members are not sure what you are referring to.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{So}}$$ these are just the two items that I wanted to mention at this point.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, Katya. Next on the agenda we have the $.805\,(c)\,(3)$ report. I'll remind Council members that there were supplemental materials regarding this report and I believe Katya Wessels was going to be an information update regarding that.

MS. WESSELS: Yes, thank you, Madame Chair. Members of the Council. For the record, Katya Wessels, Council Coordination Division Supervisor with

OSM. You were, Council members, with the meeting books you were sent a copy of the letter dated August 26th, 2021, the letter is from the Federal Subsistence Board to the Council and it is known as the .805(c)(3) report. This has also been posted on our website in the Southeast meeting materials section and it's part of the supplemental materials. The document is called Federal Subsistence Board 2021 .805(c) report to the Council.

The .805(c) report provides the actions that were taken by the Board on the fisheries proposals from the last cycle affecting subsistence users in the Southeast region. The Council already received a quick update at your March meeting but to recap, this Council only had one closure to consider, FCR21-22, was the closure on Makhnati Island Federal public waters in Sitka Sound to the harvest of herring and herring spawn except by Federally-qualified subsistence users. The Board took action consistent with this Council's recommendation and supported maintaining the closure.

So this is just a formal opportunity to bring to your attention the Board's action in the documented form, and this is just for your information only, this is not an action item for the Council.

Thank you for your attention.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank you, Katya. Are there any questions from Council members regarding the .805(c) report.

(No comments)

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, hearing none, we'll move on to our next agenda item under old business. The next agenda item is the Alaska Board of Fish proposals. As you are all aware we have spent quite a bit of time as a Council providing comments to proposals that are part of the Alaska Board of Fish process. That material can be found starting on Page -- oops, it's going to be a supplemental, there was a supplemental document that is a draft letter that we have prepared on comments that our Council has provided thus far on Board of Fish proposals. The

supplemental is a letter on our letterhead, the date is October 2021. We don't have a date yet because we have not finalized this letter. It also states in bold letters Comments on the 2020-2021 Alaska Board of Fish Proposals for Southeast and Yakutat Finfish and Shellfish.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: I would like to just take a few moments to go over what this Council has worked on thus far, and then I will give an opportunity for us to decide if we want to make comments on proposals that we have not yet deliberated on in our past two meetings. To refresh the Council members we have provided support for Proposal 93, which has to do with king salmon. We have provided support for 125, which is under personal use, sport and subsistence proposals. Also under the same topic we have provided support for Proposals 127, 128. We provided support with modification for Proposal 129. We opposed Proposal 130. We provided support for Proposal 131. Opposed Proposal 134. Supported 142, 143, 144 and 145. We opposed Proposal 161. We provided support for 177 and 210. And then under the topic miscellaneous statewide sportfish, shellfish proposals, we supported Proposal 234 and then 235 and 236.

So that's a quick summary of what we have done thus far on all of the Board of Fish proposals.

Proposals that we did not get to that we did express that we might want to provide comments on may be found also in our supplemental materials in association with that letter. There were Proposals 132, 133, and 170. I would like to go through each of these to decide whether or not we'd like to provide comments on them and then after we go through those previously identified proposals, the Council members, I would like to open it up to find out if there are any other Board of Fish proposals that we wanted to provide comments on.

So I think what we'll do is we'll start with Proposal 132. I believe at our past meeting we just reviewed what the proposal was and if we decided

0101 to provide comment, we do need a motion for such. 1 Proposal 132 is in regards to Redoubt Bay and Lake sockeye salmon fisheries management plan. So at this time I would ask whether or not the Council is 5 interested in providing comment on that proposal to the 6 Board of Fish. 7 8 (No comments) 9 10 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: And if you are 11 trying to talk and aren't able to, when we came back 12 from lunch, we came back in silent mode, so you will 13 need to push star six to come off of mute. 14 15 MR. KITKA: Are you there Cathy? 16 17 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: I am here 18 Harvey. 19 20 MR. KITKA: Madame Chair. Comments on 21 132. 22 23 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, please. 24 25 MR. KITKA: Okay. The comment is, what 26 Mr. Tompkins wrote in this, it's pretty much accurate. 27 I'm not too sure whether the scuba divers is a good 28 thing right next to the stream, they tend to force the 29 fish bank out and sometimes our fish don't come back 30 until late at night when there's nobody there so it 31 makes it really hard for the dipnetters. Maybe they 32 ought to be moved back out to the snagging line and 33 leave it there. That's my comment. 34 35 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, 36 Harvey. I would ask if Council members, perhaps if we 37 would like to include formal comments to the Board of Fish regarding Proposal 132, that we make a motion to 38 39 provide comment and then we can discuss what comments 40 we'd like to provide. But I would need a motion for us 41 to do so. 42 43 (No comments) 44 45 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, I am 46 not hearing -- other than Harvey's comment, I'm not 47 hearing much interest from Council members about 48 providing comments to the Board of Fish regarding to

Proposal 132 so I would suggest that we move to

49

Proposal 133. Proposal 133 to the Alaska Board of Fish was also in regards to Redoubt Bay and Lake sockeye salmon fisheries management plan.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: If we can ask folks that aren't currently talking to mute their phone that would be much appreciated. We're getting some feedback.

Proposal 133 to the Alaska Department of Fish and Game was to allow use of seine and gillnet gear in waters of Redoubt Bay that are open to commercial salmon fishing. I would ask the Council if they are interested in providing comments to the Board of Fish regarding this proposal, if so, we probably need a motion so we can discuss what those comments might be.

MR. SLATER: I Cathy, it's -- Madame Chair, this is Jim Slater. Who's the sponsor of the proposals, can we get background information as we try to evaluate these?

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Slater. The Proposal 133 it looks like it was proposed by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. So the materials that we are going over right now were provided to the Council members as supplemental materials in our meeting materials. There was a draft letter that our Council has been working on for the past two meetings. The proposals that were sort of brought before us that we might want to provide comment on are a part of those meeting materials, those supplemental materials.

MR. SLATER: I understand. I have the letter in front of me, I was just wondering what the origin of the proposal was and where it came from and so on. That's all. Just a bit of background. It doesn't mention the source of it and other things, and I guess maybe I didn't read it close enough but I just was wondering.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: On the sheet if you look -- I don't know if you have access to Teams but on the sheet it does say that this proposal was

```
0103
     proposed by Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
 2
 3
                     MR. SLATER: I'm sorry, I just have the
 4
     letter in front of me. Okay.
 5
 6
                     MR. KITKA: Cathy, this is Harvey
 7
    Kitka.
 8
 9
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, please, Mr.
10
    Kitka.
11
12
                     MR. KITKA: Proposal 133 looks like
13
     it's a basically Alaska Department of Fish and Game
14
    housekeeping.
15
16
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr.
17
    Kitka. Are there any Council members that would like
18
     to see our Council to provide comments to the Board of
19
     Fish regarding Proposal 133 or should we move to the
20
     next proposal?
21
22
                     MR. SLATER: This is affecting
23
     subsistence users, it allows subsistence users to use a
24
     seine or gillnet, correct, if I'm understanding it
25
     correctly. So this is something that would be of
26
     interest to us because it's helping subsistence users
27
     is my -- would be my understanding of it. So in that
     case I would say it would be worthwhile for us to look
28
29
     at supporting it. If anyone has any other thoughts or
30
     comments, maybe I am looking at it incorrectly, but I
31
     think it would be a good thing.
32
33
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank
34
     you for that. I would entertain a motion to provide
     comments on Proposal 133 if you would like for it to go
36
     into the letter that we'll submit to the Board of Fish.
37
38
                     MR. SLATER: Sure. I move that we
39
     evaluate or submit comments -- evaluate submitting
40
     comments to Proposal 133.
41
42
                     MR. JOHNSON: This is Ian, I'll second.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'll second that,
45
    Cathy. This is Don.
46
47
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Don.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, I said I'll
50
```

0104 1 second that. 2 3 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Okay. I think I 4 heard Ian with a second before I heard you. There's a 5 bit of a delay. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, that's fine. 8 9 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: So I'll take 10 Ian's second for the record. And then I think if we 11 are going to put in comments to the Board of Fish 12 regarding Proposal 133 we need to provide -- it sounds 13 like it's been suggested thus far that we should 14 support it but we probably should include some 15 rationale associated with that. So other than just 16 that it would be a benefit to subsistence users, does 17 anyone else have any other comments that they would like for us to consider in our support for this 18 19 proposal. 20 21 MR. SLATER: Cathy this is -- Madame 22 Chair, this is Jim Slater again. The fact that it only 23 would support the use of seine or gillnets in areas 24 that already allow for commercial salmon fishing, I 25 would say that this puts the -- the rationale would be 26 that this puts subsistence users at no disadvantage to 27 commercial fishing in the same region, or the same 28 area. 29 30 Thank you. 31 32 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. 33 Slater. 34 35 MR. KITKA: Madame Chair. 36 37 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes. 38 39 MR. KITKA: A little bit of history on 40 that. Even though it was unlawful to use gillnet gear, 41 the Department of Fish and Game allowed them to try to 42 gillnet outside the closed area. At the most after two days of fishing they got one fish. This would just --43 44 if I'm reading it right, it allows the use of a seine or a gillnet outside the closed area. It has nothing 45 46 to do with inside the bay. The one inside the bay is 47 going to be part of what Sitka Tribe is proposing. 48

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank

49

```
0105
 1
    you, Harvey.
 2
 3
                     MR. CASIPIT: Madame Chair, this is
 4
    Cal.
 5
 6
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Cal.
 7
 8
                     MR. CASIPIT: Also it seems to me what
 9
     this does is clarify something in the Redoubt Lake
10
     sockeye management plan that was worked on so hard
11
     years ago, so if that helps clarify that plan I think
12
     that needs to be in there, too, as part of our
13
     justification.
14
15
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank
16
     you for that Cal. Any other comments from Council
17
     members regarding supporting Proposal 133 in our
18
     comments to the Board of Fish.
19
20
                     (No comments)
21
22
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: If there are no
23
    other comments from the Council I'd entertain the
24
     question.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I'll call for the
27
     question.
28
29
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, the
30
    question's been called. We'll have a vote regarding
31
     providing comments from the Council in support of
32
     Proposal 133 to the Alaska Board of Fish. And I'll go
33
     ahead and try a just regular vote, without having to go
34
     through the roll call unless it becomes split. So all
35
     in favor signify by saying aye.
36
37
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
38
39
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Opposed, signify
40
    by saying nay.
41
42
                     (No opposing votes)
43
44
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, we
45
     will add supporting comments for Proposal 133 to our
46
     letter to the Alaska Board of Fish. One other proposal
47
    that was previously identified at our Council meetings
48
     as potentially discussing and providing comments on for
```

our letter to the Board of Fish was Proposal 170.

49

```
0106
 1
     Proposal 170 was proposed by Ketchikan Indian Community
     and it's regarding shrimp. I did hear this morning
    that there were two KIC folks on the line that
    introduced themselves, and I guess I'd first like to
    check and see if either Tony Gallegos or Keenan
    Sanderson are still with us, and if the Council members
 6
 7
    have questions about this proposal that KIC submitted,
     if you'd be willing to take some questions.
 9
10
                     MR. SANDERSON: Keenan Sanderson's on
11
     the line.
12
13
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you,
14
    Keenan.
15
16
                     MR. GALLEGOS:
                                    Thank you.
                                                This is.....
17
18
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Oh, go ahead. I
19
     apologize for cutting someone off if somebody had
20
     something.
21
22
                     MR. GALLEGOS: Oh, Cathy, this is Tony
23
     Gallegos also checking in but Keenan can take the lead
24
     on this, he did most of the preparation.
25
26
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank
27
                 So maybe I'll just go ahead and ask Keenan
     you, Tony.
28
     if you want to give us -- I believe probably at least
29
     two meetings ago we talked about this proposal,
30
    potentially supporting this proposal that you submitted
31
    but if you could refresh our memories about the
32
    proposal that KIC submitted, I think that might help us
33
    formulate any comments that we might want to provide
34
     regarding the proposal. So, Keenan, would you take a
35
     few minutes to do that please.
36
37
                     MR. SANDERSON: Yeah, sure. Before --
38
    before I start, though, I was actually -- I heard my
39
     name and I'm actually not sure which proposal you're
40
     referring to. Can you state which one that is, please?
41
42
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yeah, we're
43
     talking about, or hope to be talking about Proposal 170
44
     which is....
45
46
                     MR. HOWARD: This is Albert.
47
48
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Albert.
49
```

0107 1 MR. HOWARD: Just so we can go into 2 discussion I'll move that we support Proposal 170. 3 4 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you. 5 6 MR. CASIPIT: Second, this is Cal. 7 8 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Cal. Thank you for that you guys. Keenan, yeah, if you 9 10 could give us a quick overview of the proposal that KIC 11 submitted, I think that would help us in formulating 12 comments to provide the Alaska Department of Fish and 13 Game. 14 15 MR. SANDERSON: Right. Can you tell me 16 which that one was referring to, though, I'm in my 17 truck right now moving from one place to another, and I 18 don't have -- we have eight proposals that we submitted 19 and I just don't remember which one that was. 20 21 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Understood. 22 is under shrimp, so it's 5 AAC 02.108, customary and 23 traditional subsistence uses of shellfish stocks. It's 24 to establish a positive customary and traditional use 25 finding for shellfish and plants for all intertidal 26 areas of Southeast Alaska and Yakutat. 27 28 MR. SANDERSON: Okay. If you're ready 29 for me I can give some overview of what the reason 30 behind this proposal was. 31 32 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, 33 please do. 34 35 MR. SANDERSON: Okay. Thank you, 36 Madame Chair. So this was a proposal that was passed 37 unanimously through the Ketchikan Indian Community 38 tribal government. And we wrote this proposal in hopes 39 that the Alaska Board of Fish will designate all beach 40 seafood as customary and traditional use resources 41 throughout the entirety of Southeast Alaska. As you 42 may know the indigenous tribes all around Southeast 43 Alaska have basically been using all beach resources as 44 a way of providing sustenance for themselves and their 45 families. And there's a saying that a lot of 46 indigenous people use around Southeast Alaska, 47 basically saying, that when the tide goes out, the

table is set. And I'd be hardpressed to find any

resources on our coastlines that haven't been utilized

48

49

in one way or another for whether it's food purposes or medicinal uses or whatever it may be. And we don't really see this as a huge stretch for this proposal going through. I firmly believe there's nothing controversial about it. It doesn't really impact anything that relates to sustainability of these resources and it's something that'll help protect the rights of the indigenous people around Alaska, or in Southeast Alaska anyways for these beach food resources.

That's kind of like the mild view of it. I could probably go into a little more but that's kind of the gist of our reasons behind this proposal.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Great, thank you, Keenan. Council members is there any discussion regarding comments that we want to provide regarding this proposal to the Board of Fish.

MR. KITKA: Madame Chair, this is Harvey Kitka.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Harvey.

MR. KITKA: Madame Chair, I just wanted to make sure that, you know, seaweed is one thing but you've got kelp and sea ribbons and macrosystic kelp and some of the other kelps that are out there. I don't know if that's designated different than seaweed. Also I'd like to make sure that abalone's written in there so there's no overlook of that part.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank you, Harvey. Any other discussion from Council members regarding our support for this proposal.

MR. HOWARD: Madame Chair, this is Albert.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thanks, Madame Chair. I think the language that Mr. Sanderson stated would cover everything and it is from Ketchikan to Angoon and further north that when the tide is out, the table is set, that implies everything below when the tide is out

```
0109
     is fair -- has always been fair game to us when it
     comes to feeding our family. So I think putting that
     in there, that language in there somewhere, would cover
     everything that we've always used to take care of our
 5
     families and help us to be independent.
 6
 7
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
 8
 9
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank
10
     you, Albert. Are there other comments from Council
11
    members regarding comments for Proposal 170 for our
12
     letter to the Board of Fish.
13
14
                     MR. CASIPIT: Madame Chair, this is
15
     Cal.
16
17
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Cal.
18
19
                     MR. CASIPIT: I support this like 100
20
     percent. And I want to thank Mr. Sanderson and KIC for
21
    putting this in the system. And, you know, folks --
22
    most folks, I probably don't have to say this for most
23
    folks, but getting a positive customary and traditional
24
     use determination is the first step to getting
25
    protection from other competing uses under the State
26
     system. So the fact that this is being submitted and,
27
     like I said, Mr. Sanderson and KIC deserve a bunch of
28
     credit for putting this in and I support it 110
29
    percent. And like I said part of the justification,
30
    this is the first step in getting protection for
31
     subsistence of these beach resources. I know lots of
32
     people harvest, you know, the sea aspar -- the beach
33
     asparagus and goose tongue and all that other stuff
34
     and, you know, you hear people talk about people want
35
     to commercialize that kind of stuff and everything and,
36
     you know, this gives that positive protection under
37
     State regulation for subsistence uses. So I'm all for
38
     it. And, thanks, Mr. Sanderson, for putting this
     through.
39
40
41
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Cal.
42
     Are there any other comments from Council members.
43
44
                     MR. HOWARD: Madame Chair, this is
45
     Albert.
46
47
                     MR. JOHNSON: Madame Chair, this is
48
     Ian.
49
```

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: I'll go Albert and Ian, I believe, is after that.

MR. HOWARD: I wonder, it's just a thought, can we add an impact statement before they create another fishery to this, just something I think needs to for them going forward -- every time they create a new commercial industry off the resource they need to come and talk with subsistence users on how that impacts them and that'll help demonstrate what Cal has stated that we demonstrated that it's a resource that we've used forever. It's something to think about and consider.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Albert. So my understanding is our discussion on these proposals that we've chosen to include in our letter will get summarized from the meeting transcript prior to this letter being submitted to the Board of Fish. So in regards to your question, we add the impact statement, we can add -- or we can summarize what has been discussed in that, and then if there is something in addition and beyond, we can talk about potentially writing a letter on it if need be. So Ian, did you have comments that you'd like to provide?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes, thank you, Madame Chair. I was thinking in line with what Cal represented and not just thinking about commercial interests, but also the ability to protect climate drivers and just, yeah, there's a lot of factors that could pose a threat to these resources and so I think that's important.

And then I also want to ask a question, so, this is probably just my lack of familiarity as a relatively new Council member here. But I just want to make sure that if we go down this route of supporting this, that we're not ultimately — that like regulation around quantities and other things, for instance, may come out of this, around, like black seaweed or ribbons, or other things, all of these resources are of tremendous importance to the community, but are essentially like one of the — like as a good — is a really good example of like community managed resources, there's just common — people have harvest areas that they manage themselves, et cetera, and I

just want to make sure that this -- like this path wouldn't result in a harvest limit, say, or something of these resources. So if anyone has any thoughts on that.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Ian. I'm not sure if there is anyone that can speak towards that piece of the process. One thing I would make note is that if these resources do have — what this proposal is to provide a positive customary and traditional use finding for these resources, and once — if that finding goes through, if there were times of limitation on these resources and regulations would be put in place to limit harvest, this C&T would be in place, which would provide a rural subsistence priority for them. And I'm not sure if there's anyone else that can provide any additional information that is on the line but I'll allow for that if there is.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ SANDERSON: Madame Chair, this is Keenan Sanderson.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, go ahead,

Keenan.

MR. SANDERSON: So from my understanding and this is referring to the question of setting harvest limits. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game does not have the authority to set specific harvest limits for sport or subsistence users -- sport, personal or subsistence users in the state of Alaska. The harvest limits have to come from the Alaska Board of Fish and that has to go through the Board meeting cycle, so unless there's already something in regulation, the harvest limits is either just determined whether -- and this is specifically towards beach seafoods, it's either open or it's closed and there's no set regulatory limits unless there's already something within the Board of Fish regulation book. So I'm not entirely sure if there is a region -- I don't think there's a region-wide set limit on the harvesting of stuff like black seaweed or ribbon kelp or other things like that, but that does have to come through a separate proposal through the Alaska Board of Fish, Fish and Game does not get to -- they are not legally allowed to set those kind of limits. They can either open it or close it, but that's the only jurisdiction that they have.

0112 1 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank 2 you. Are there any other Council comments with respect to Proposal 170. 4 5 (No comments) 6 7 MR. CASIPIT: I'd call for the 8 question, I'm ready to support this. This is Cal. 9 10 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank 11 The question's been called so we are voting on 12 providing -- we're voting to provide support for 13 Proposal 170 in our letter to the Board of Fish, 14 comments on their proposal. So all in favor signify by 15 saying aye. 16 17 IN UNISON: Aye. 18 19 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, is 20 anyone opposed, please signify by saying nay. 21 22 (No opposing votes) 23 24 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, we 25 will include supporting comments from this discussion 26 on Proposal 170 in our letter to the Alaska Board of 27 Fish. Before we move to the next duty that we have 28 regarding our letter I want to circle back and make 29 sure that there are no -- and I'll remind our Council 30 again that we've worked on this for -- this is our 31 third meeting, maybe even our fourth meeting that we've 32 been working on comments to the Board of Fish 2021 33 cycle proposals, and we've covered all of the proposals 34 that have been previously identified by a Council 35 member as potentially providing comments for, so I want 36 to open it up and find out if anybody has a chance to 37 find additional comments that they would like to put 38 into this letter at this time. I will remind you that 39 these comments are due by December 22nd to the Board of 40 Fish so we have to take action at this meeting about 41 submitting comments so this will be our last 42 opportunity to provide comments. So if there are any 43 other proposals that we should take a look at, please 44 let me know at this point. 45 46 (No comments) 47 48

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right,

hearing none, the next task that we need to do is

```
0113
 1
     approve this draft letter that was provided to us in
     our meeting materials with the understanding that
     Proposals 133 and 170 will be added to the letter,
     support for both of those will be added to the letter.
 5
     So I will need a motion from someone on the Council for
    us to finalize and submit this letter to the Alaska
 6
 7
    Board of Fish which are our comments regarding fish
    proposals for the Southeast and Yakutat finfish and
 8
 9
     shellfish regulatory cycle.
10
11
                     MR. CASIPIT: Madame Chair, this is
12
     Cal, I so move.
13
14
                     MR. HOWARD: This is Albert, I second.
15
16
                     MR. SLATER: I second.
17
18
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, I
19
    heard Albert second. We have a motion and a second to
20
     finalize and submit our comments, which is the
21
     cummulation of a lot of work that we've done over the
22
    past Council meetings. Is there any discussion
23
    regarding the motion.
24
25
                     (No comments)
26
27
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Hearing no
28
     discussion I'd entertain someone to call the question.
29
30
                     MR. JOHNSON:
                                  This is Ian, I call for
31
     the question.
32
33
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Ian.
34
     The question's been called. So the motion is to
35
     finalize and submit a letter from the Council to the
36
     Alaska Board of Fish on proposals for the Southeast and
37
     Yakutat finfish and shellfish regulatory cycle. All in
38
     favor signify by saying aye.
39
40
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
41
42
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: If anyone is
43
     opposed, please say nay.
44
45
                     (No opposing votes)
46
47
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right,
48
     motion passed. Well, congratulations Council. We've
49
     spent a lot of time working on comments to provide the
```

Board of Fish, even though the pandemic has slowed their process down it has given us a chance to thoroughly go through all of the proposals and provide some pretty meaningful positions on proposals that affect subsistence users in our region. So I'd just like to congratulate you all on accomplishing what we've turned into a really big task.

On the same note, in past meetings we have talked about sending a Regional Advisory Council member to the Board of Fish meeting. Those meetings are currently scheduled to be in-person January 4th through 15th of 2022 and those meetings will be in Ketchikan and if we intend to send Council members to that meeting, it's been recommended that we identify two Council members. It's possible that we may have the funding to actually send two, however, by also identifying two, if the primary person cannot make it we'll have a backup in place already. So we would need to -- our next agenda item -- or our next thing is to decide if we want to send Council members to the Board of Fish meeting, which I believe we do, and then identify individuals and then we will need to take action by having a vote of who we send as our representative to the Board of Fish meetings.

And maybe I should open that up by asking first if there are any potential volunteers? We do not have a current Regional Advisory Council member in Ketchikan. So we would need to send someone, so if this is something that you are interested in doing and have the time to be able to attend, and I can tell you from my past knowledge that you wouldn't be asked to attend the whole entire meeting, we would schedule time with the Board of Fish to carry our comments forward to them and then potentially be involved with other aspects of it but that would be figured out at a later date. So are there any Council members that might be interested in doing this?

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Madame Chair, this is Don.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Don.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, well, I would just like to point out that it is fairly advantageous to have a Council member present there at the Board of Fish meetings. The Council is allotted a fair amount

of time to present their actions to the Board. The Council does have some significant proposals that we put forward and I think it would be really good that we would be there in person to support, answer questions on, interact with other people. There's a number of other proposals put forward that are similar to our Council's proposals and some of them are, you know, slightly different, it's good if different proponents can get together and, you know, discuss possible alternatives to our proposals.

That being said, quite often it's the Chair person that attends those meetings but I'd just like to say that I've kind of made it known in the past that traveling in January is just not a good option for me. It's really difficult to come and go from Point Baker in the wintertime, very unreliable travel, a good chance I won't make it to the meeting. Also a good chance if I do get there I might not get home for quite some time so I won't be volunteering, but hopefully if some other Council members with some good expertise on fishery matters will be available to do that.

So, thank you.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Don.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

REPORTER: So somebody's not muted. If you could please mute your lines, star six, unless you're talking right now, and I think just Cathy's talking right now.

 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Tina. So, Don, I had some background noise myself with traffic outside because I opened my window and I just wanted to clarify with you, are you saying you would be available to potentially attend but would like a backup person or that you would not want to be a person that would attend?

 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Cathy. No, I was just saying that I will not be available to attend. My travel is just too unreliable in January so I'm asking that another Council member come forward and volunteer.

0116 1 Thank you. 2 3 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank you for that clarification, Don. All right, Council, I do believe that it is important to have one of our members at least present at the Board of Fish meeting 7 to deliver the comments that we've worked so hard on over the past three meeting cycles on these proposals. 9 So if nobody else is going to up and volunteer I would 10 state that I could likely attend these meetings. It is 11 a slow time of year for me, I live in Juneau, it's 12 pretty easy to travel to Ketchikan. I also have family 13 in Ketchikan so I would be able to be available. But I 14 also think that we have this opportunity to at least 15 identify two folks so that if something does come up 16 and I cannot attend we have a backup. And, of course, 17 my volunterism would be only if I have your support to 18 represent you at this meeting. So are there any other 19 Council members that are interested in attending the 20 Board of Fish meeting on behalf of the Southeast 21 Regional Advisory Council. 22 23 MR. JOHNSON: Madame Chair, this is 24 Ian. I just want to let you know I'm being silent 25 because I am not available those dates. I also don't 26 think I'm the right person to go. But, anyways, that 27 does not work for me to consider. 28 29 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank 30 you, Ian. Yes, it's not the best time of year to 31 travel.... 32 33 MR. SLATER: Madame Chair, it's Jim 34 Slater. 35 36 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, go ahead. 37 38 MR. SLATER: Yeah, hi, Madame Chair, 39 it's Jim Slater. I was just going to mention as well. 40 I'd love to volunteer but travel for me out of Pelican 41 in January is extremely difficult as well. 42 43 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Right. I'd ask 44 Mr. Douville, he's pretty close to Ketchikan and he has been chosen to represent the Council at some Board of 45 46 Game meetings in the past so he has a good handle on 47 that. Would you be interested, Mr. Douville, 48 potentially being as a backup or a person to attend the

49

50

Board of Fish meeting?

0117 1 (No comments) 2 3 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: You're being 4 silent so maybe I should just volunteer you, or you 5 might need to press star six to come off of mute. 6 7 (No comments) 8 9 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Or maybe Mr. 10 Douville is not with us right now. All right. 11 12 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Madame Chair, this 13 is Don. 14 15 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Don. 16 17 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, thank you. 18 You know I might suggest that it be a good idea that we 19 make a motion to request funding and support to send 20 two people to the meeting. If we haven't identified anybody at this meeting, I think that could probably be 21 22 done, you know, after this meeting, you know, arm's 23 could be twisted, people can decide whether or not they 24 are available in January if they can stop and have a 25 chance to check on what they're doing. So I think it's 26 important that we get authorized to send two people 27 even if we don't have two volunteers at this time. 28 29 That's all, thank you. 30 31 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank 32 you, Don. On my meeting cheatsheet I had specifically 33 said that -- I have my notes that say that we do have 34 to identify two people but I think you're also right 35

that we have to assure that we request funding. maybe I'll ask Katya if that is true, if we need to do both actions, or if we could just ask for the funding to send two people to the Board of Fish and figure out who those two people will be not at this time.

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49

50

MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Madame Chair. This is Katya Wessels. Of course it would be great if you can identify the two representatives from the Council but, you know, if you can't identify them at this point that, you know, perhaps you can just vote that you will have a representative and a backup from the Council representing you at the meeting. And at the same time, you know, like have another motion and ask for funding because the funding will only come in

```
0118
 1
     play if the meeting is held in person. And even if
     they're held in person on the side of the Board of
 2
     Fish, you need to remember that since you will be
     traveling on the State Federal funding there might be
 5
     some additional regulations applied to that funding,
     depending on what's happening with the pandemic at that
 6
 7
     time. So just letting you know. I think you should
     have two motions and, you know, if you can't decide
 9
     right now who is going to be representing you at that
10
     meeting that is okay.
11
12
                     Thank you.
13
14
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you,
15
     Katya.
16
17
                     MR. HOWARD: Madame Chair, this is
18
     Albert.
19
20
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Albert.
21
22
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Madame Chair.
23
     I'd like to make a motion that the Regional Advisory
24
     Council send two representatives to the Board of Fish
25
     meeting in January 2022 and under discussion we can
26
     decide who those two will be.
27
28
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
29
30
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you.
31
     there a second.
32
33
                     MR. CASIPIT: This is Cal, I second.
34
35
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Cal.
36
37
                     MR. HOWARD: Madame Chair, under
38
     discussion.
39
40
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you for
41
     helping speed this along -- yes.
42
43
                     MR. HOWARD: If Cal's available I'd
     like to see him in there if that's possible.
44
45
46
                     MR. CASIPIT: Cathy this is -- or,
47
     Madame Chair, this is Cal. I am available for those
48
     times and I -- you know I would be honored to go and
49
     represent the Council but, again, I have that concern
```

0119 like other folks said about trying to fly out of here in January, granted we do have an airport so it makes it easier. You know maybe I'll tentatively volunteer myself just for now to go but I've been to so many 5 Board of Fish meetings, it -- and I know I could probably represent the wishes of the Council fairly 7 well, but if it's any way possible to get folks who haven't been to a Board of Fish meeting before to go, I 9 think would be valuable just for the development of 10 that Council member, just to see how it works. But 11 anyway that's just my thoughts. 12 13 I really support this motion. I think, 14 Cathy, you make sense to lead the delegation, if you 15 will, and maybe I'll pencil myself in as a definite 16 maybe or something. We can talk about that more later 17 similar to what Don has suggested. And I appreciate 18 Howard's vote of confidence -- or Mr. Howard's vote of 19 confidence too. Anyway, thanks. 20 21 MS. WESSELS: Madame Chair, this is 22 Katya Wessels. 23 24 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Katya. 25 26 MS. WESSELS: Yes, I'm sorry, I got 27 dropped off the call so I hope you got to hear everything about what I said and I don't know if there 28 29 were any questions. 30 31 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you. We 32 did hear all that you said and now we have a motion 33 right now on the floor to send two Regional Advisory 34 Council members to the Board of Fish meeting and we're 35 in discussion regarding that. 36 37 MS. WESSELS: Thank you. 38 39 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: The motion was 40 made by Mr. Howard and seconded by Mr. Casipit, for 41 your notes Katya. Is there any other discussion 42 regarding the motion. 43 44 MR. HOWARD: Madame Chair, under discussion. 45

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: I think it's important to

46 47

48 49

send someone that has been there before so they -because they probably know how to get our message
across to the Board of Fish so I think it's important
that the experience is there but it's also important
that the message from the Regional Advisory Council is
shared loud and clear. And on that note, maybe we want
to draft a statement to be read by the representative
that way there's no room for interpretation on the
intent of what we are trying to accomplish supporting
the proposals we're supporting.

So, thank you, Madame Chair.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Albert. The representatives that would attend the Board of Fish meeting on the Regional Advisory Council's behalf would have the letter that we just voted to finalize and submit. And then I do know from past experience at Board of Game meetings that the representatives do meet with the Council Coordinator before the meetings and they also have support from other Federal Staff at Board of Fish and Board of Game meetings to assure that they have resources at their disposal during the meeting. So I appreciate that you bring that up, because I don't think we want to necessarily send anyone in blind and it is -- the Board of Fish meetings can be kind of a lot all at once. So it is -- I appreciate that you brought that up.

Are there other comments regarding the

 motion.

(No comments)

 $\,$ ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: If there are no other comments, does someone want to call for the question.

MR. HOWARD: Madame Chair, we're supposed to identify two and an alternate?

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, we -- the motion on the floor was to send two Regional Advisory Council members to the Board of Fish meeting.

MR. HOWARD: Okay. I thought we were identifying them as well. Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: I believe if

you'd like to amend that motion and identify two people from the discussion that's an option, or we can do as Katya advised and just have a second proposal if we've identified two people that would represent the Council.

MR. HOWARD: Okay, Madame Chair, maybe

we could have the motion read back to us.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: I know -- it sounded like Katya was dropped off when we put the motion on the floor. I'm not sure if that's something that the Council Coordinator can -- or I'm sorry, the court reporter could do for us, if not, the person who -- I believe you were the one that made the motion.

 $\,$ MS. WESSELS: Yeah, Madame Chair, this is Katya, I was not on the phone when the motion was made so I cannot read it back to you. Sorry.

REPORTER: Okay. So the motion was to send two people to the Board meeting, you didn't really say about specifying the names.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank you, Tina. The motion on the floor is to send two Regional Advisory Council members to the Board of Fish meeting.

REPORTER: Yes.

MR. HOWARD: Madame Chair, if it's in order I'd like to make an amendment to the main motion to identify two Board members and one alternate, just so we're not back revisiting this.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Before -- so I'm understanding you want to amend the motion, but do you want to name the people in the amendment or is that what you're suggesting, I mean I think we need to name them so they either....

MR. HOWARD: Madame Chair, we could name them under the conversation. It looks like we have one volunteer and one penciled in so we're getting there.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: So Mr. Howard

```
0122
 1
    has offered a motion to amend the motion. Do we want
    to go that route or do we want to close out our
    original motion and then do a second motion. I can't
    go forward unless there's a second on Mr. Howard's
    motion. If I don't hear anything then we'll just
 5
    continue on with the motion on the floor.
 6
 7
 8
                     MR. JOHNSON: This is Ian, I second.
 9
10
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Okay. All
11
     right, there's an amendment to the motion to identify
     two Regional Advisory Council members to attend the
12
13
     Board of Fish, to actually identify those two. Is
14
     there any discussion on the amendment.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Madame Chair, this
17
    is Don.
18
19
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Don.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, I think that
22
    the motion needs to include that we have approved Vice
23
    Chair Cathy Needham to attend the meeting, Cal Casipit
24
     to attend the meeting and another alternate to be
25
     determined later; how does that sound?
26
27
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Right.
28
    need the third person if we're going to identify an
29
    alternate.
30
31
                     MR. HOWARD: Madame Chair, this is
32
    discussion under the amendment to the main motion so I
33
    don't think we need names right now, we're just
34
    deciding whether or not we want to amend the main
35
    motion. Thank you, Madame Chair.
36
37
                     MS. WESSELS: This is Katya Wessels.
38
     This is correct, Madame Chair.
39
40
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank
41
    you. Is there any discussion on the amendment to the
42
    motion.
43
44
                     (No comments)
45
46
                     MR. HOWARD: Call for the question for
47
    the amendment to the motion, Madame Chair.
48
49
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr.
```

```
0123
 1
    Howard. The amendment to the motion is to identify two
    individuals plus an alternate for the Regional Advisory
    Council members to attend the Board of Fish meeting.
 4
    All in favor say aye.
 5
 6
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 7
 8
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right.
 9
    Anyone opposed, signify by saying nay.
10
11
                     (No opposing votes)
12
13
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right.
14
    motion to amend the main motion has been approved. And
15
    now we're back to the main motion to identify two
    individuals plus an alternate Regional Advisory Council
16
17
    member to attend the Board of Fish meeting.
18
    Discussion.
19
20
                     (No comments)
21
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Are we ready
22
23
     to....
24
25
                     MR. HOWARD: Madame Chair, this is
26
    Albert.
27
28
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Albert.
29
30
                     MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Madame Chair.
     I think with all the conversation surrounding global
32
    warming and everything it could be 70 degrees where the
33
    Chairman is at and sunny so he may make it to
    Ketchikan. So unless anyone else has any ideas I think
34
35
    the Chairman of the Council should be there, the Vice
36
    Chair and then Cal could be the alternate.
37
38
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
39
40
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you,
41
    Albert. Are there any other Council members that would
42
    like to provide comment.
43
44
                     (No comments)
45
46
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Mr. Hernandez,
47
    does that sound acceptable to you?
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Madame
50
```

```
0124
 1
    Chair. Sure I can I could be designated but I quess I
     could always defer to the alternate, that would be
 2
     fine.
 4
 5
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right.
 6
    we're currently discussing identifying Chairman Don
 7
    Hernandez, Vice Chairman Cathy Needham to attend the
    Board of Fish meeting with Member Cal Casipit as an
 9
     alternate. Are there any other comments from the
10
    Council.
11
12
                     (No comments)
13
14
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Are we ready to
15
    vote on the motion.
16
17
                     MR. JOHNSON: This is Ian, I call for
18
     the question.
19
20
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Ian.
21
     The question has been called. So we are voting to send
22
     two Regional Advisory Council members who have been
23
     identified as Chairman Don Hernandez, Vice Chairman
24
     Cathy Needham with an alternate of Member Cal Casipit
25
     to the Board of Fish meetings in January 2022 in
26
     Ketchikan. All in favor signify by saying aye.
27
28
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
29
30
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: And if anyone is
31
     opposed, please signify by saying nay.
32
33
                     (No opposing votes)
34
35
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right,
36
    motion carries. All right, our next agenda item that
37
     we have is Unit 2 wolf update. And I'm going to ask if
38
    Mr. Doug Cooper or Sara Markegard with the U.S. Fish
39
     and Wildlife Service are on the line.
40
41
                     MR. COOPER: Hi, Madame Chair.
42
43
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Sorry, you were
44
    breaking up, was that Mr. Cooper?
45
46
                     MR. COOPER: Yes, this is Doug Cooper.
47
48
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, hi,
49
     and then....
```

0125 1 MS. MARKEGARD: Hi, Madame Chair. 2 3 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, is that 4 Sara? 5 6 MS. MARKEGARD: Sorry, yes, Sara 7 Markegard is on as well, thanks. 8 9 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank 10 you. So under old business we have the Unit 2 wolf 11 update. For members of the Council we are going to 12 have the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service give us a 13 presentation regarding the ESA review. And then also 14 to let you know what's coming up after we have a 15 discussion about that is that Mr. Tom Schumacher will 16 be here to give us a presentation, which is an Alaska 17 Department of Fish and Game update. But we'll have 18 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service go first so please take 19 it away Mr. Cooper or Ms. Markegard. 20 21 MR. COOPER: Thank you, Madame Chair. 22 Good afternoon, Madame Chair and members of the 23 Council. Thanks for the opportunity to present to the 24 Council today. My name is Doug Cooper. I supervise 25 the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Ecological 26 Services Program in the Anchorage Fish and Wildlife 27 Service Conservation Office, which is part of the 28 Southern Alaska Fish and Wildlife's office complex. 29 Ecological Services is our regulatory program and our 30 Anchorage office oversees the services regulatory work 31 load for the southern half of Alaska, including 32 Southeast Alaska. So as you mentioned Sara Markegard 33 is with me on the call today. Sara is a Fish and 34 Wildlife biologist in our office and is the Staff lead 35 for Alexander Archipelago wolves. And we're here today 36 to update the Council on the Service's response to the 37 petition to list the Alexander Archipelago wolf or AA 38 wolf as threatened or endangered under the Endangered 39 Species Act. So my presentation will focus on the 40 petition and listing process, specifically in regards 41 to our recent publication of a 90 day finding. 42 presentation is being shared through the Teams 43 platform. For those who do not have access to Teams, 44 my understanding is the presentation was also provided 45 to the Council and is available in the meeting 46 materials package. For those who don't have access to 47 Teams and can't see the screen I'll do my best to

describe the content on each slide as well.

48

0126 1 So if you could advance to Slide 2 2 please. 3 4 MS. WESSELS: May I interrupt you for a 5 second, this is Katya Wessels. Actually your 6 presentation is available on our website in the 7 supplemental materials..... 8 9 MR. COOPER: Great. 10 11 MS. WESSELS:at 12 doi.gov/subsistence/regions/southeast materials. 13 Sorry. 14 15 MR. COOPER: Thanks, Katya. So on July 16 15th of 2020 we were petitioned by the Center for 17 Biological Diversity, Alaska Rainforest Defenders, and 18 the Defenders of Wildlife to list the AA wolf in 19 Southeast Alaska as threatened or endangered under the 20 Endangered Species Act. The full petition actually 21 asked us to list the Southeast Alaska AA wolf as a 22 distinct population section, or alternatively to list 23 the entire AA wolf subspecies with Southeast Alaska 24 wolves representing a significant portion of the range. 25 And the distinct population segment and significant 26 portion of the range are sort of complex nuances under 27 the Endangered Species Act that require sort of policy 28 level decisions at our higher management levels. So 29 we'll just be talking really in general terms about 30 whether or not to list the AA wolf for today's 31 presentation. 32 33 Slide 3 please. 34 35 So the petition cited the effects of 36 logging and road development, trapping and hunting, 37 climate change, loss of genetic diversity and 38 inbreeding depression as primary factors that warranted 39 listing. The petition also claimed the existing 40 regulatory mechanisms may be inadequate to provide the 41 necessary protections to prevent habitat destruction 42 and modification from logging and road construction, 43 over exploitation from trapping and hunting and 44 anthropogenic climate change to the AA wolves. 45 46 Slide 4 please. 47 48 So our petition response process as 49 many steps. As you may be able to see in the flow

chart there's sort of a number of decisions that are made. And we have three main steps the Fish and Wildlife Service takes in the petition review and listing process. Those are the three in the blue bubbles and I'll go into each of those stages in the following slides.

So if you could go to Slide 5 please.

So we are in the early stages of the petition response. And the first step after we receive a petition, highlighted in the first blue bubble of the chart, is to evaluate the merit of the petition. the Endangered Species Act requires that we conduct this initial review within 90 days of receiving the petition, therefore it's often referred to as a 90 day review, or a 90 day finding. And the 90 day finding assesses the merits of the petition so that is we evaluate whether or not the petition makes a reasonable argument to consider listing a species. So the 90 day finding is simply a determination on whether the petition presents a reasonable case that the listing may be warranted, it isn't whether or not a listing is warranted. So it's an important distinction. And during this 90 day finding stage we just consider information included in the petition and files that are already in our records. So any information -- and also any information submitted by the State. So we don't gather any additional information, we're basically evaluating just what is in the petition, what the petition presents.

Slide 6 please.

So on July 27th of this year we did publish our 90 day finding in response to the petition. In this case we determined that the petition contained substantial information that supported a reasonable argument that a listing may be warranted. And for some context, in a number of court cases the court has basically informed that it is a relatively low bar to reach a 90 day finding so it's pretty typical that most petitions reach a positive 90 day finding.

So Slide 7 please.

So when a petition is found to contain substantial information that basically means that we initiate a more detailed analysis of the species

5

6

7

9

10

11

status. So at this 90 finding -- positive 90 day finding stage there is no ESA protection conveyed to the species, so this isn't any sort of regulatory change at this point, it just means that we trigger our next stage in the status assessment. And that next stage, the second blue box is where we will seek additional information and conduct a detailed analysis. So in this case the Endangered Species Act provides that the Service will complete the assessment within 12 months of receiving the petition, therefore this stage is often called the 12 month status assessment, or 12 month finding.

12 13 14

Next slide, Slide 8 please.

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

So this is the stage we are preparing to move into now. The 12 month status assessment includes what's called a species status assessment report, or an SSA. This is a science based analysis of the status of the species and then that is followed later by the sort of policy level decision that our higher management levels as to whether or not to list the species as threatened or endangered. So when we do initiate the 12 month status assessment, we notify the public via Federal Register notice and at that time we also request information from the public, from other agencies for information that could inform our decision on whether or not to list the species. Right now we are in the process of sort of preparing this 12 month status assessment stage and one of the things we are trying to develop currently is a plan for how to engage the public and seek local information including traditional ecological knowledge. So information from the public and other agencies is definitely an important part of our evaluation and we do make a point of requesting that and notifying the public of that opportunity. The 12 month finding concludes with our determination on whether or not a species is warranted for listing as threatened or endangered. And once we reach that stage there are a number of additional steps that we would follow if we determine the wolf is warranted for listing, however, right now it's really too early to consider those possibilities. We're really only focused on the scientific analysis at this time and it's premature to really talk about sort of the long-term policy implications should the wolf be listed.

47 48 49

Slide 9 please.

1 So this is our current projected timeline for the completion of this petition response 2 and status assessment. Our 12 month finding process is part of our entire national level work load plan and so 5 schedules for these 12 month findings are really 6 developed based on a national petition and listing work 7 load. And so while the Endangered Species Act sets out these 90 day and 12 month timelines based on when we 8 9 receive a petition, the reality is that our work load 10 and staffing often preclude us from meeting those 11 statutory deadlines and the court often ends up 12 mediating between us and the petitioner a final 13 publication date. So at this time, as I said, we're 14 preparing to kick off our 12 month status assessment 15 process. We anticipate officially initiating that late 16 fall or early winter this year, so in the next couple 17 few months. And at that time it will be published and 18 noticed in the Federal Register. Sort of the end date, 19 national work plan has scheduled our publication for 20 our findings in fiscal year 2023. So our Federal 21 fiscal year runs from October 1st to September 30th of 22 every year. So fiscal year 2023 starts on October 1st 23 of 2022, and therefore we anticipate publishing our 24 listing determination no later than September of 2023.

2526

Next slide, please, Slide 10.

2728

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

So just want to give you some context for how this timeline and how this petition process compares to recent similar events that you may be familiar with. So for context we were previously petitioned to list the AA wolves in August of 2011. We did not publish our 90 day finding until March of 2014 and that was a positive 90 day finding. So, again, we said the petition contained substantial information that suggested the listing of the wolf may be warranted. We did enter into a court settlement to set a timeline for the 12 month status assessment, so that was September 14th when we settled with the petitioners on the timeline. And on January 5th of 2016 is when we published our 12 month finding and listing decision, and at that time we determined that the AA wolf was not warranted for listing. So for your context here, just because we have a positive 90 day finding, it doesn't really give any indication on what our final listing decision is. It just means we're going to go to a more detailed analysis process.

47 48 49

And Slide 11 please.

So we do provide this website links for additional information on the petition process and it will contain the figures I've shown today. It provides a little more detailed description of some of the more kind of legal aspects of each step of the process. For additional questions specific to the AA wolf or this specific petition response, you should feel free to contact me or Sara Markegard, we're happy to answer any questions. As I said, Sara will be our Staff lead as we go through this process so she may be your best point of contact for anything specific to the AA wolf but I'm happy to help answer questions on the process or procedures as appropriate.

That concludes my presentation. Thank you, Madame Chair and members of the Council for the opportunity to provide this update. If there are any questions I'd be happy to address them now.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank you, Mr. Cooper. I would also remind Council members that in our meeting supplemental materials we were also provided with a copy of the 90 day finding that Mr. Cooper referred to in his presentation along with the slides that he went through. At this point I'll open it up to Council for any questions that they may have for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for Mr. Cooper regarding the ESA review for Unit 2 wolves, or sorry, just wolves, Alexander Archipelago Wolves. Any questions from Council members.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Madame Chair, this is Don.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Don.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, thank you, through the Chair. Mr. Cooper, you said that your investigation will include traditional ecological knowledge, and local knowledge. How do you go about collecting that information?

MR. COOPER: Through the Chair. Thank you very much for that question. That's exactly what we're working on developing on, our best outreach plan to achieve that. In other circumstances we've done things like hold public meetings locally, tried to — like we sometimes will hold public calls, we can hold calls specific for villages or tribes, individual

villages or tribes. We actually are open to suggestion from the Council and public on what avenues would be most useful to provide that information. Right now, given Covid concerns, it's unlikely that we will host any in-person public meetings but we will certainly try to have a series of call-in opportunities to provide information. And then, as I said, when we officially initiate our 12 month status review process we do notice that in the Federal Register, we can ensure that the Council is notified of that initiation and we do provide information on how members of the public and members of other agencies can submit information to the Fish and Wildlife Service. So there isn't -- it's sort of case by case specific as we go through each scenario but we definitely -- recognizing the importance of this issue to the region, to the Southeast region, and Prince of Wales region area, we do want to provide ample opportunity for the public to provide information.

Thank you.

 $\label{eq:ChairMan} \mbox{CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Madame Chair. One follow-up.}$

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, please, Mr.

Hernandez.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, I was just wondering, do you have any formalized procedures for working with tribal entities? I know on Prince of Wales Island the tribes have been pretty engaged in this, is there any kind of required or formalized process you have to engage with them, like a consultation process or government to government relations, or anything along those lines?

MR. COOPER: Through Madame Chair to Mr. Chair. Yes, thank you for that question. We do have formal government to government consultation process. Fish and Wildlife Service has a Native Alaskan Liaison -- relations liaison, Crystal Leonetti, and we are currently working with her to best engage with tribal interests in the Southeast region. So we do our normal procedures, as I mentioned, as we do set at least more than one teleconference call opportunity. We provide -- we send out notification specifically to each of the tribal entities in the region, so we do specifically try to engage directly with each tribe.

And in this case, recognizing the sensitivity of the issue, we're trying to provide a robust mechanism and opportunity for tribes to provide information to the Fish and Wildlife Service.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Mr. Cooper. Madame Chair, that's all I have for now.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank you. Are there other Council members that have questions for Mr. Cooper.

13 MR. HOWARD: This is Albert, Madame 14 Chair.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, Madame Chair. As we all know we've become experts on Prince of Wales wolves so we kind of have an idea the impact either way. My question would be, the impact of putting these wolves on endangered species, what is that impact going to be on subsistence users? I reached out to organizations yesterday and the day before asking what their concerns were going forward on the resources and one of the responses I got back was the fact that they were trying to put the wolves on the Endangered Species list. On Prince of Wales where the local knowledge says there is no reason to do that and we've heard in the past that this is -- the wolves have made a comeback from substantially low numbers, even more than the baseline the State of Alaska wants to put on them, so by putting these animals on the Endangered Species list there's no checks and balances. Nature has a way of having their own checks and balances and I think that that needs to happen more than this needs to happen.

Thank you, Madame Chair.

MR. COOPER: Through the Chair. Thank you, Mr. Howard for your question. As I mentioned, we feel like it's a little premature to sort of go into supposition and try to anticipate what might happen should the wolf be listed but I think it's a reasonable question recognizing that it could have a significant effect on subsistence use in the area and life in general on Prince of Wales. It's a difficult question to address probably in a satisfactory manner. But I

1 can say that the Endangered Species Act does contemplate subsistence use under the Endangered 2 Species Act. So Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act deals with exemptions to the take of prohibitions, 5 so Section 10(e) of the Endangered Species Act specifically talks about subsistence use in Alaska and 6 7 it does allow for exemptions from the listing protections in cases of Native Alaskans and rural 8 9 Alaskans and their subsistence use. So as examples, 10 the Southwest stock of the Northern Sea Otter is listed 11 as threatened under the Endangered Species Act and 12 there are still opportunities for subsistence harvest 13 of those otters for Native Alaskans and rural Alaskans. 14 So I think we would sort of outline how that process 15 could still work should the wolf be listed.

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

I think it's also important to note that, as I mentioned, we've been petitioned to list the wolf as a threat or endangered species on multiple occasions in the past and on each of those occasions we have found that it is not warranted for listing so I do want to stress that just because we have a positive 90 day finding right now, it does not necessarily mean we are moving towards listing the species, it just means we're trying to do a more detailed analysis of the science and biology of the current status of the species. I think that's why, Mr. Howard, you mentioned, that local knowledge suggests that the wolf does not need to be listed under the Endangered Species Act. That's the sort of information, if you or others are able to provide specific information to help inform our decision, that is something that we do take into consideration through this analysis process. why we do want to provide a robust mechanism for individuals to provide us that local information and traditional ecological knowledge. So we are trying to take that into account in our analysis and decisionmaking process.

38 39 40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

I think it would be disingenuous of me to say there would be no effect if the species were listed. Obviously if we determine that it does need to be protected under the Endangered Species Act, that suggests that our scientific analysis, which will be conducted in partnership with the State and with local entities, but that that analysis might suggest that there is a conservation concern, should the species be listed we do also set goals to get the species removed from the Endangered Species Act. And there have been

instances of very short turnarounds of a species being listed to when it is later considered to be recovered. A recent example, in California, the California Channel Island stocks was listed as endangered 15 or 20 years ago and within five years it was already headed towards recovery and I think -- I believe within 10 years of listing it was already removed from the Endangered Species list. So there are instances where we can achieve rapid recovery. Our goal would be to work with the State, with the Council, with other management entities like the U.S. Forest Service. Should the wolf be listed, our goal would be to get it recovered and removed from the Endangered Species list as quickly as possible. Ultimately our goal is to preserve these species for future benefit of the American people.

As another similar parallel, the wood bison, we're working with the State of Alaska to recover that species. We've had some other opportunities to work with the State under different designations under the Endangered Species Act, but similarly our goal is to recover the wood bison for the purpose of being able to be harvested through subsistence use. So we do work with those long-term sustainable use goals in mind.

I think that's about all I can say for right now. It's hard to anticipate what conditions and the situation might look like approximately two years from now but our goal, I think, is similar to yours, is where we would like to be at a point where we do not need to protect the species under the Endangered Species Act, and that's certainly our long-term goal.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank you. Are there any other Council.....

MR. HOWARD: Madame Chair.

 $\label{eq:acting chair needham:members} \\$ that have -- yes, follow-up, Albert.

MR. HOWARD: I guess my concern as far as subsistence users go is the fact that the wolves are a predator and they have an impact on deer which subsistence users rely on more so than they do the pelt of a wolf. There are instances and people already know that you keep the wolves in checks and balances on their own so there's mechanisms in place if you allow

5

6 7

8

subsistence users to maintain their own resource, I guess. My concern is when you put this thing on the Endangered Species list the wolves will just flourish and the deer will go on a decline. There's a difference between a wood bison and a wolf, and a wolf is a predator and I'm not sure what a wood bison eats because that's the first time hearing of them. But I guess my concern is with the deer population and putting this on the Endangered Species list.

9 10 11

Thank you, Madame Chair.

12 13

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank you, Albert.

14 15

MR. COOPER: Thank you.

16 17 18

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Are there other Council -- oh, Mr. Cooper, did you have a response?

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

MR. COOPER: Yes, thank you, Madame Chair. Through the Chair, Mr. Howard. I think that's a really important point, you're right, that there is a significant difference between a wolf and a wood bison and that is a potential significant additional impact to subsistence use in the area. I think that's information we're sensitive to and aware of. Unfortunately there are challenges under the Endangered Species listing process that sort of impact is not something that is easy to factor into our listing decision. I'll say that it is at least a year before any decision is made on the listing process, likely more than a year, a year and a half, plus, and there's sort of ample time for us to work still collaboratively with local entities, with the Forest Service, with the State to try to provide enough conservation for the wolf to make it not necessary to list the species and to allow for natural processes and a natural system to continue to function. So we don't want to throw an ecosystem out of balance either. And I think you have an excellent point, the impact to deer is something we should be thinking very carefully about in terms of making our management decisions on how we manage the wolves, conserve the wolf but at the same time ensure that we're not disrupting a natural balance of predator and prey and that deer are also conserved.

46 47 48

 $$\operatorname{So}$ I'll thank you for your comment. It's something that we will try to carefully take into

consideration but it is hard to factor that into the Endangered Species listing process all the same.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank you. Are there other Council members that have questions or comments for Mr. Cooper.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right. I have one question, Mr. Cooper. I'm sure you're familiar with this Regional Advisory Council and our role and I was just wondering when you start to compile information, as you move forward, would you consider going back or do you go back through transcripts that Regional Advisory Councils may have? This Council has spent a lot of time working on the wolf issue, specifically in Unit 2. But I was just wondering if any of that information is utilized in your analysis or are you only just collecting past scientific information and then using more traditional knowledge going forward rather than some of the work or testimony and stuff that has happened at meeting such as this?

MR. COOPER: Thank you, Madame Chair. That's an excellent question. To be honest it's something I hadn't thought about myself. Sara or Kevin Foley, who's another Fish and Wildlife Service biologist of ours that's on this call may have already considered that but now that you've brought it up it's something we definitely will take under consideration. We do have all the transcripts available to us and I think that is important information that we should take under consideration so I will say that, yes, we will definitely make a point of reviewing previous transcripts for information. If the Council has specific meetings where they know important debate or information was shared, it would benefit our process to have those highlighted to us but we will also endeavor to go through past meeting transcripts and try to identify all the appropriate information that has previously been shared during Council meetings.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, awesome. I appreciate your open-mindness about that. And since you did ask to highlight other things, I do know that we have -- this past year we had an ANILCA

```
0137
 1
     subsistence meeting where there was an evaluation
    regarding closure of wolves and when we've been doing
    some of the management plans so aside from our
    transcripts there are also those ANILCA subsistence
 5
    meetings that the U.S. Forest Service normally hosts on
    Prince of Wales Island for Unit 2 wolves that I found,
 6
 7
    personally, were extremely useful to even our Council
    when we're deliberating proposals, the information that
 9
     is shared in there by local trapper folks that have a
10
    beat on the ground. So that information would also be
11
    really good to look at.
12
13
                     MR. COOPER: Great, thank you for the
14
    recommendation.
15
16
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Uh-huh. Are
17
    there other Council members that have any questions or
18
     comments for Mr. Cooper before we move on to our next
19
     speaker.
20
21
                     (No comments)
22
23
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank
24
     you, Mr. Cooper, for your presentation and providing
25
     answers to questions that the Council may have. Next
26
     up on our agenda we have Tom.....
27
28
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Madame Chair.
29
30
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, is that an
31
     unmuted phone or was somebody trying to get my
32
     attention.
33
34
                     MR. DOUVILLE: I was trying to get this
35
     thing unmuted so I can talk.
36
37
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Oh, okay, Mr.
38
     Douville.
39
40
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Can you hear me?
41
42
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, please, Mr.
43
     Douville.
44
45
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Yes, Mr. Cooper.
46
     encouraging to hear that you may be using some TEK,
47
     that's -- it hasn't been used seriously in any of these
48
     wolf decisions in the past as far as I know. Mr.
    Chairman Hernandez asked a couple of questions that I
```

would have asked myself. I would like to say that the tribe would certainly be willing to engage you in conversation concerning TEK on wolf down the road.

Having said that, you will be making your reviews in the next year or two, or year and half or whatever it will be, it appears to me that if you don't have a researcher on the ground, a Forest Service one or Fish and Wildlife Service, you will have to use State information. I would encourage the Feds to do some research of their own. We haven't had a biologist on the island for while that's capable of doing this type of work. But in any case, the wolf population is fine, it looks like old growth logging will be curbed in the near future and I'm sure things will be fine.

Thank you for your time.

MR. COOPER: Madame Chair.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mike. Yeah, Mr. Cooper, did you have a response?

MR. COOPER: Yeah, this is Doug Cooper, thank you. Through the Chair. Just want to say, yes, we definitely look forward to working with Native entities in Southeast to gain TEK. We have, actually been working fairly closely with the State of Alaska and with the U.S. Forest Service to gather the most current information possible. We actually provided funding to the State of Alaska and Forest Service to do some additional wolf monitoring this year. We're also trying to work to better understand genetics of the wolf populations in Prince of Wales area. We don't have, as you mentioned, we don't have the capabilities to directly do work on Prince of Wales ourselves so we are relying on other agencies. We are also partnering with the U.S. Geological Service to conduct additional research. So we have multiple State and Federal partners that we're working with to understand the current status of the wolf. And look forward to, not only working with our other agency partners, but also with local individuals and tribes to better understand the current biology of the species.

Thank you.

 $\mbox{ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr.} \\ \mbox{Cooper. Next on the agenda we have Tom Schumacher with} \\$

the Alaska Department of Fish and Game who has a presentation for us. For those of you that are on Teams, I think the presentation will be aired on that and then also this is still part of our supplemental materials provided to the Council. So, Mr. Schumacher, if you're there I'd like to hand the floor over to you.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay, thank you, Cathy. Can you hear me?

11 ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, we can hear 12 you.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay. And I know that the teleconference environment can be a little challenging depending on where you are. Some people seem to come out louder or softer, just wondering if all the RAC members can hear me. Maybe if you can't hear me, please let me know and I'll try to speak more loudly.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay, hearing none, I'm going to assume everyone can hear me. So appreciate the opportunity being invited here to speak about this topic. Probably about a year ago at a similar meeting was asked to provide an explanation on how we estimate abundance of wolves in Unit 2. So I've been thinking how to do that because it is somewhat complex and I've developed a presentation on it. I think in addition to discussing population estimation, I also want to touch on -- briefly on the Endangered Species Act. Mr. Cooper covered that pretty thoroughly so I don't really want to repeat anything he said. And I also want to talk about the wolf sealing proposal a little bit. Because all three of these things are bound up into really the same issue.

So with that we can move on to Slide 2.

So I'm going to talk a little bit about estimating abundance using mark/recapture. And I think most everyone who's been on the RAC for a little while has heard us talk about mark/recapture estimates. You know, we've tried explaining what those are in the past, and I don't think those explanations have been effective so I'm going to try again in a little bit different way. First I'm going to go through just a

broad concept, then I'm going to go through an example, and then we're going to look at a video which has two parts, where an English guy estimates the number of ping pong balls in a tank, and then the number of taxis in London. I think you'll find those interesting. And then we'll move on to some other parts of estimating abundance.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

5

6

But just to get started with what is mark/recapture. And mark/recapture is the way that biologists estimate things they cannot count. And if you can't see wolves you can't count them, so you have to figure out a different way. Mark/recapture was first developed 120, 130 years ago in the 1890s and it was first used to estimate the abundance of fish in a pond in England. You think, well, that's an old tool. It's an old concept but over the years, as with any kind of technology or other human concept, it's developed, you know, somebody has a better idea about how to -- a way to improve it, a way to apply it in a different situation. So over the years, the 120 years, you know, mark/recapture has gone through a number of iterations and it has become a more powerful tool. And as things become more powerful they tend to also become more complex. And the spatially exclusive capture/recapture method that we use to estimate abundance of Unit 2 wolves is the most complex and most advanced but it's also the most appropriate version for estimating abundance of wolves in Unit 2. There is another method called close kin capture/recapture that evaluated familial relationships among animals but it requires very large sample sizes, thousands of animals, so that's not an option for us. Spacial explicit capture/recapture or seeker is the best way there is right now to do this. This technique was developed about 15 years ago, it couldn't be done before that because computers weren't powerful enough to run the calculations. Since then it's been evaluated and reevaluated and applied in the field all over the world and found to be accurate and reliable and we do our best to apply it well in Unit 2. And I'll talk more about that a little bit later.

42 43 44

Next slide please.

45 46

47

48

Okay, this is an example I made up, it's not based on anything, it's just example numbers. And I wanted to go through this because this is the kind of stuff that the video is going to show. The

48

49

50

1 video goes through it a little bit quickly and sometimes it's difficult to understand what's being said. So I wanted to just give you a heads up on what to look for. So it's going to go through a simple 5 capture/recapture experiment. And in this experiment where we use wolves, just because that's what everybody 6 7 cares about right now, when a wolf is detected or captured or marked, it's all the same thing, the first 9 time at one of our hair boards, you know, it hasn't 10 been caught before. The hair board, we get hair, we 11 extract DNA, we can identify that individual wolf. That individual wolf will never lose it's mark, and 12 13 it's mark will never change; that's important. 14 put the hair boards out again, we'll get some new 15 wolves from a lot of them, but we'll get some wolves that get recaptured, wolves that are already detected, 16 17 that's a recapture. But, you know, the marked wolf 18 that's caught a second time, that's a recapture. And 19 that's kind of what we'll go through in the video. But 20 the experiment, we're talking -- and this is important 21 to pay attention to because, you know, there's a little 22 bit of math involved and if you want to understand this 23 you have to understand this math. So in this 24 experiment, initially putting out our hair boards and 25 detecting 50 wolves, and then putting out the hair 26 boards again, or second the hair boards again, and 27 detecting another 50 wolves, 20 of which were 28 previously marked. So if you look towards the bullet 29 that says, math, the way it calculates the total 30 estimate for the population is, on the left side of the 31 equal sign on the top you have the number of wolves you 32 caught in your second effort so we captured 50 wolves 33 in our second effort. Of those 50 wolves, 20 were 34 marked on the first effort so we put 20 on the bottom 35 of that fraction. On the right side of the equal sign 36 we have the unknown total population of wolves, X, 37 that's what we want to figure out. And then under that 38 we have the number of wolves caught in the first 39 sample, the number of wolves originally captured and 40 marked. So to find out what the estimate is for the 41 total population using simple capture/recapture you 42 cross multiply to solve for X. So when fractions are 43 equal, and this assumes that the proportion of wolves 44 that were captured in the second effort is the same 45 proportion of wolves in the total population. 46

So on the left side, 20 wolves out of 50 is the proportion and we assume that 50 wolves out of the total is the same proportion so we'll just solve

for the total. We do that by cross multiplying. Take the 20 recaptured individuals from the bottom on the left side and we multiply it by X, and then we take the 50 wolves captured in the recapture effort multiply it by the 50 wolves captured in the original marking effort and you have 20X equals 2,500. To solve for X you divide each side by 20 and your population estimate is 125 wolves. So that's just an example of how this works.

And at this point, I guess, are there any questions about the math or how that -- how you collect your samples or how that works?

(No comments)

MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay, hearing nothing, let's move on to the next slide. Okay. This slide has a link to a YouTube video. This is something you can look up at any time and watch it as many times as you want. And if you want to look for other explanations of capture/recapture, YouTube is full of them. I used this because I think it does a pretty good job of explaining the basic concept. As I told you it's a two-part video, there'll be a first part where estimates the number of ping pong balls in a tank and the second part of is about estimating the number of taxis in London. And we're going to stop halfway through which, for whoever is controlling the video, that's at about two minutes and 15 seconds, so if we could pause there and just go over that first example I'd appreciate it. And now if you can start the video please. I think you're about halfway through there.

(Video playing)

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SCHUMACHER: Okay, thank you for muting, can everyone still hear me?

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, we can hear you.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay. I didn't know if I was back on. I hope that everyone was able to follow along. I know the sound on mine was a little scratchy. But he did the same thing that I just talked about in the previous example. He got a sample of ping pong balls and marked them. He put them back in the

population. And took another sample blindfolded so he wasn't biased and he calculated the proportion of marked animals in the second sample equal to the proportion of marked animals total and the unknown population and multiplied it out just like we did and came up with 588 when the real number was 600. That's pretty accurate for a simple capture/recapture experiment.

So now I'd like to just move on to the second one. And the second one involves estimating the number of taxis in London, which is a bit more complex but he does exactly the same thing and comes up with another pretty good estimate. So please start it up again, thank you.

(Video playing)

MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay, are we back on. Anyway that's something I really wanted to cover because it does relate directly to our proposal for the new sealing regulation. Geographic closure is somewhat related, animals don't permanently move in or out....

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{REPORTER}}$:$$ Hey Bob. Bob, I think your phone's not muted, could you please mute it.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Moving right along. After closure, means animals don't permanently move in or out of the study area, we don't really know about that, it's hard to say, the study area is not all Game Management Unit 2. So there is probably some violation of that assumption. The good news is that lots and lots and lots of analysis of capture/recapture estimates have shown that it's robust to minor violations of that assumption. That means that if there are minor violations it doesn't really affect the outcome. And then the way we buffer our study area, which I will talk about in a minute, also helps to eliminate the chances that wolves from outside the study area are detected in the study area.

So let's go on to the next slide.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{Another}}$ assumption that we talk about is the opportunity to encounter hair boards. The goal

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

1 of our sampling design is to have at least two hair board nodes within the range of each wolf in the study area. We operate about 83 hair board nodes distributed throughout North Central Prince of Wales all along the 5 road system and then since 2016 the Hydaburg Cooperative Association has operated about 60 6 7 additional hair board nodes south of the Department of Fish and Game study -- adjacent to it, so they 9 essentially form one large study area. The hair board 10 node, in case you don't know, is a place where we place 11 five hair boards. Hair boards are pieces of plywood 12 with a length of barbed wire stapled to them, we stake 13 them into the ground in am array of five boards that's 14 spaced anywhere from 10 to 30 yards apart and that 15 collection of five hair boards is called a node. Nodes 16 are at least two miles apart. And as I said are 17 distributed throughout Northern and now Central Prince 18 of Wales Island with the Hydaburg folks helping out. 19 We scent those hair boards with a lure and the lure 20 attracts wolves and they roll on it. Rolling on the 21 hair boards we have hair on the barbed wire, and we're 22 not after hair, we're after DNA, and the DNA is in the 23 hair follicles. So we're really collecting DNA, not 24 hair. So that's an important thing to keep in mind. 25 When we talked about balancing the number of hair board 26 nodes that we can and the time it takes to check them. 27 If you want to have a lot of detections of wolves you 28 have lots and lots of hair boards distributed all over 29 the place, that way, you know, wolves can't hardly step without rolling on one. However, we also need to 30 31 balance that with the time it takes to check those 32 nodes. We used to check hair boards about once every 33 10 days but what we found out was the DNA in the hair follicles caught on those nodes decomposes over time 34 35 and this was known, but it decomposes faster in the wet 36 environment of Southeast Alaska. So we need to balance 37 the number of nodes that we have with our ability to 38 check those nodes within about a week. That seems to 39 be about the best balance between having lots of nodes 40 but also being able to check them and get useable DNA 41 out of the hair follicles. 42

And another assumption is that at least all wolves from all age and sex groups roll on hair boards. So how do we deal with that assumption. From DNA we know that wolves of both sexes roll on hair boards, some years it's more females, some years it's more males. And age groups, you know, it's hard to tell a yearling from an adult wolves, but you can tell

a pup from the other age groups and it seems that pups would be the most unlikely to roll if there was going to be a group of wolves that don't roll. However, from photos at hair board nodes it's apparent that pups also roll on hair boards, so we think we're doing pretty well with that assumption.

Next slide please.

Now, this is a map of the hair boards node distribution throughout Unit 2. The red dots are sites operated by Department of Fish and Game, the yellow dots are sites operated by the Hydaburg Cooperative Association. They're distributed pretty densely and we believe that wolves around there, if they're living there, they have a good chance of encountering at least two hair boards. Now, the blue area is what we call the study area. And really it's the area where we estimate the density of wolves, so number of wolves per thousand square kilometers of land. Now the size of that study area varies because it's based on the movements of wolves as detected at hair boards stations, and by trappers and hunters that year. It tends to be pretty similar from one year to the next. But the idea of that buffer is that wolves living outside of it, so wolves living in the green area have virtually no chance of encountering a hair board. Not none, but a very low chance. As we all know there are going to be some transient wolves that will move through but it's a low proportion of animals and over the few months that we're doing the field work we are pretty sure that there are very few animals that enter from outside the blue area.

You know this -- first I want to talk about this little table I have here. This shows a number of things about samples collected over the last three years. So the first row shows the number of unique wolves detected at hair boards. And you can see that ranges anywhere from 64 in 2017 and 92 animals in 2019, so 64 to 92 different wolves were detected here. The next row shows unique animals, including harvest. So this is where contributions by hunters and trappers come in. The DNA samples we collect at sealing really help. You can see it really bulks up the numbers. And in addition to just identifying unique individuals, some of those individuals that were harvested were also marked at hair board stations so the harvest locations can be recaptures which are really valuable. So then

1 the next row, total catches at hair boards and that's the number of samples that produced useable DNA. So 2 it's usually two to three times the number of unique animals. So animals are being marked multiple times at 5 hair boards. They're rolling on hair boards multiple times. Some only roll once, some roll two, three, four 6 7 times. And then the bottom row is simply total detections including harvested animals. So the total detections are really what we're after, you collect a 9 10 lot more hair samples but not all hair samples produce 11 useable DNA. You may get a hair sample, you know, 12 first we do a visual screening for is it wolf hair, 13 because we also get, you know, black bears are pretty 14 common to roll on hair boards, that's why we do our 15 sampling in the fall because black bears are such a 16 problem, but other animals that we've had roll are 17 martins, river otters, we've even had deer. So a 18 visual screening. Dogs are also rolling on them in 19 some places. So I mean you can't tell for sure it's 20 not wolf hair, so that wolf hair gets sent to the lab. 21 The lab's first step is to try to extract DNA. If they 22 can't extract DNA the sample's thrown away or 23 discarded. If they can extract DNA then their next 24 step is is it a wolf or is it not a wolf, so some 25 things will be not wolf, other things will be. Those 26 that are wolves then move on to the next step which is 27 where they do an individual identification, and that's 28 what we use in population estimates. So we get a lot 29 of samples but they're thinned out a little bit as we 30 go through that screening process. So it's very 31 important that we, you know, try to collect as much 32 useable DNA as possible.

33 34

Next slide please.

35 36

37

38

39 40

41

42

43

44

45 46

47

48

Now I'm going to talk about interpreting estimates and I think some of you may have seen this graphic before. This is a graph showing the point estimate, the confidence interval around that point estimate and what's called a violin plot, which is the grey shaded area. So if we just take the 2019 estimate, and if you look across the bottom you'll see years, if you look on the vertical axis on the left it's numbers of wolves. So if you go to 2019 and you see a white dot in the middle that is the point estimate and that's the number of wolves that we use for management purposes. The grey area, called a violin plot, shows the probability of a value being correct given the data collected that year. So that's

1 an important concept, given the data collected that year. We do the best we can to collect data. years weather and things conspire against us and it's not as much as we'd like, but we do the best we can 5 every single year. The widest area of the violin plot is the area that's most likely to be correct which 6 7 aligns with the point estimate, that's -- those two always align. The violin plot you may notice is 8 squared off at the bottom for all of them and pointer 9 10 at the top. The reason it's squared off at the bottom 11 is because we know we detected a certain number of 12 wolves so there is a minimum number that it can't go 13 In 2019, you know, that minimum number was 14 something over 200. The tops are pointy because 15 there's less certainty about how high the population could be. If you look at 2019 they could have 16 17 approached 500 even there was a very, very low chance 18 of that. So then the black bar is the confidence 19 interval. And the confidence interval is really best 20 viewed as a measure of certainty in the estimate. 21 first year that we did this in 2013 we didn't -- we 22 were new at it, we didn't collect as much useable data, 23 therefore, we had a very large confidence interval and 24 really very little certaint -- not much certainty about 25 the estimate. However, as years have progressed we've 26 gotten much better at it with very small confidence 27 intervals in succeeding years. 2019 was a little larger confidence interval but that's because there was 28 29 a big population, and when you have a big population 30 you have -- you end up with more uncertainty over the 31 exact number of wolves, or the point estimate anyway. 32 The exact number of wolves is never known by anyone. 33 So that's, you know, when we look at it at an estimate, 34 that's how we interpret it and that's how we apply it 35 to management.

36 37

38

39

Now I'd like to move on to more current events. I know people are wondering about this fall's trapping season and looking to provide an estimate and the length of the season.

40 41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

Ultimately there's been some Covid related delays at the lab that does down in Montana and the latest word from them is that they hope to have our DNA data back to us by the middle of October, so not for another week or so. If that holds, calculating an estimate, rechecking it, thinking about it, rechecking it again, takes about two weeks. Then once you have an estimate we'll have a discussion with the Federal

5

6

7

subsistence in-season manager, who is the Ranger in Craig, and we'll talk about trapping season lengths, and after that, you know, we'll come out with a season length publicly announced. So look for that in late October at the earliest, and probably not -- probably the first week in November. But we'll get that out as soon as we can to give trappers as much lead time to plan their activities as possible.

8 9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

I have a couple of slides on the ESA stuff but Mr. Cooper covered that pretty thoroughly. This one, however, might be worth mentioning. You know if the population is listed, the Department and the Federal Subsistence Program lose authority. That means we no longer can have hunting or trapping seasons. As Mr. Cooper noted a recovery plan will be developed, it could resulting establishing critical habitat, it could result in a population objective, a new population objective. You know currently we have a population objective -- excuse me, yes, please move one more, two more slides, I skipped through -- there we go, thank you. Currently have a fall population objective of 150 to 200 wolves. I think if you go through the listing process you'll probably end up with a higher population objective. It's possible that a portion of the harvest -- or harvestable portion of deer population will be designated for wolves, you know, and these are things, as Mr. Cooper said, we're still a long way out from this but these are potential consequences. And I think you also heard him say 10 years was a quick turnaround for delisting an animal. And something he didn't talk about was there are really far greater consequences for taking a listed animal, according to the Fish and Wildlife Service's website, if you take a listed species you're potentially going to be charged with a Federal felony and that civil penalties are also possible. The felony -- a felony conviction can be up to a year in prison with a \$50,000 fine per wolf. Additional civil penalties are six months in prison and up to a \$25,000 fine per animal. And then something else to keep in mind is convicted felons are prohibited from possessing firearms.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

So what can you do if you want to reduce the likelihood of a decision to list wolves. You can encourage unlawful take, or trap ethically within the season. You can encourage trappers to continue providing tissue at sealing so we can incorporate that into the population estimates and to

provide a foreleg bone at sealing. The foreleg bone 1 helps us distinguish between pups, yearlings and adult 2 wolves and understanding the age structures within the population, provides extra information for management 5 and can ensure that we have reproduction going on and those animals are surviving to be adults and 6 7 reproducing themselves. So Rob Storendorf, our management biologist in Ketchikan contact all Unit 2 8 9 trappers and he sent out a letter that talks about 10 providing tissue and foreleg bones and how to do it. 11 So we encourage trappers to please provide them at 12 sealing. And the third thing that this Council can do 13 is adopt our proposal 22-03. The goal of this proposal 14 is to provide better data for a population estimate. 15 You know, we've heard constantly that the Council and the Unit 2 residents want better population estimates, 16 17 well, this is one way to do that. Now, we'll go over 18 the specifics of that proposals in a minute, but 19 adopting that proposal also shows that the RAC and the 20 Federally-qualified users are doing all they can to 21 promote sustainable management.

2223

Next slide please.

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

So in our proposal would mirror -- to the Federal Subsistence Program mirrors measures adopted by the Alaska Board of Game last March. It's important -- you know the three elements of that new sealing regulation, in State regulations, it establishes a 15 day sealing period, currently it's 30 days after the season, from 2018 and earlier it was a 14 day sealing period so that's doable and not burdensome on trappers. It also asks, well, requires that trappers attach a tag to each hide with a unique number, and that's just a control number so that trappers can keep track of individual hides and they can keep track of both the date and location it was recovered from the field. And those are very important as recaptured and information for the population estimate. You know we talked about that earlier. just need trappers to write down the date and the location and the location doesn't have to be absolutely precise but within half mile of a landmark, maybe the head of such and such bay near the mouth of such and such a creek, something like that is good enough as long as we can identify about where it is on the landscape. That's important information. And final provision is to report harvest within seven days. Alaska Board of Game evaluated the situation that we're

 in and the consequences, you know, they weighed their options and these are the measures they came up with. The proposal to the Board of Game that the Department submitted just had a 14 day sealing period, that was it. But the Board, themselves, looked at the situation and they added these additional requirements. So I think at this point I strongly encourage the Council to take the situation seriously and, you know, in the hopes of driving both good information for population estimates and for providing assurance that both the Council and the users are doing everything they can for sustainable — to maintain sustainable harvest. I strongly encourage you to adopt this proposal.

And that's the end of my presentation and at this point I'd be happy to take any questions.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank you, Tom, for your presentation. Are there any Council members that have questions for Tom regarding the update of Unit 2 wolf.

MR. SLATER: Madame Chair, this is Jim Slater. Can I ask a question to Tom, please.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Mr. Slater.

MR. SLATER: Hi, Tom. We talked last spring after the spring meeting I think about using special cameras to identify individual wolves, and I was looking at the recapture method you use, and I -maybe I missed it in your presentation but if you look at the aquarium with the ping pong balls and you essentially showed the example where he takes 100 balls out, marks them, and then pours them back into the aquarium and then does the important step of stirring up the aquarium to -- to essentially distribute the marked balls in uniformally amongst the rest of the ball population. What is the equivalent that you use to remix the wolves up after recapture? It was my understanding that they would stay in their own regions and within their own pack structure and not mix up uniformally. Do you try to do that for each pack in each area or how do you ensure that?

MR. SCHUMACHER: Well, you're correct that wolves are social carnivores and they're territorial. Time allows wol -- you know, wolves are highly mobile, they move a long distance in a short

period so time is part of it and having multiple detectors in the field is part of it. The sampling is also robust to minor violations to things like that.

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

MR. SLATER: Okay. You feel they redistribute well enough to approximate the uniform distribution of the recaptured wolves within the general population?

MR. SCHUMACHER: The seeker estimates don't work exactly like the ping pong ball, you know, that was a simple capture/recapture. A seeker estimate doesn't just determine a location for an animal, it determines an activity surface. An activity surface can be thought of kind of like a mountain on a topographical map with the peak being the hair board where the animal was located and then the probability of a wolf being anywhere else declines, like going down a mountain slope as you go away from that point, where you know it was for certain.

MR. SLATER: Right.

MR. SCHUMACHER: So the seeker estimate doesn't work quite like the ping pong ball because it looks at the probability of a wolf being somewhere based on its activities.

MR. SLATER: Okay, I think I started to see how you modeled. Okay, well, thank you, I was just curious about that.

MR. SCHUMACHER: You're welcome.

38 CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Madame Chair, this 39 is Don.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Don.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, just to kind of follow-up on what Jim brought out there. Something that I had a question on, in the demonstration, you know, it does assume a random sampling, and, you know, something I'm not sure about is in an active moving population of, you know, how random that sampling could be, given the fact that ping pong balls don't have a

behavior associated with them whereas, you know, the wolf would do. I don't know, do you have any kind of factor for trying to tease out some wolves just don't have the behavior for wanting to, you know, rub on a hair board, or learned activity or factors such as that?

MR. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, Member
Hernandez. Let's see, the assumption that goes with
our estimate is that all classes of animals roll to
some degree. Now they don't have to all roll, as long
as one animal is doing it the class is being sampled,
so it doesn't have to be an equal proportion of those,
each age and sex groups, as long as one animal in the
age and sex group is rolling it's detected, and that is
suitable for the estimate. I can't give you an
explanation that's really finer than that, our
statistician would have to do that.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yep, okay, I know it's kind of been brought up before. You know wolf behaviors change and individuals and different packs and whatever, so I just wanted to know if you had a determining factor for that. So I guess we'll just kind of leave that as an open question. So thank you very much.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Well, our work, we do what's called a probability detection function. Factors in that function are used to explain wolf behavior relative to our sampling success that year. Developing that function is a complicated process and that's one of the reasons the estimates take two weeks to produce. If you'd like a more detailed answer I will ask the statistician and get it to you.

MR. SCHROEDER: Madame Chair, can I enter.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yes, go ahead, I'll yield for now, thanks.

MR. SCHROEDER: Oh, thanks very much Tom for your presentation, yet, once again. It does sound good that we may have an estimate of how many wolves were present last year in time for this season. We, obviously, have -- as we've mentioned numerous times because wolves have great fecundity, knowing how many wolves were there a year ago is definitely

important but there could be a lot more wolves at this time than last year, or I suppose there could be fewer if many wolves were taken out of the population after the sampling took place. So perhaps you could comment on that.

And then I'm a little unclear, are we still -- once you have the population estimate that you go on, does the previous management strategy follow in terms of not using a quota but having the other seasonal requirements in there?

And the third thing is that it is a little distressing after all these years, definitely decades of issues with Prince of Wales wolves that basically local information still continues to be kind of an add-on that isn't really incorporated in a theoretically robust way, and as I've said at other times there definitely are robust ways of gathering information from the people who actually are out there hunting and trapping wolves.

But thank you, again, for your presentation.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ SCHUMACHER: Yeah, sorry, Bob, but I'll have to ask you to repeat your questions, they slipped my mind.

MR. SCHROEDER: Okay. Then I won't be so wordy. One, it's great that we may have an estimate for how many wolves were present one year ago when you get numbers back, if you get numbers back and are able to analyze them. The point on that is that however many wolves were around last year doesn't really indicate how many wolves are on Prince of Wales at this time at the beginning of the trapping season.

Point two. I still continue to be frustrated that the incorporation of local knowledge is, frankly it seems like an afterthought, there's no methodology for doing things — doing that other than, well, maybe we'll have some meetings here or people can write in. And, you know, so that's a little concerning for me.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{So}}$$ if you deal with those two that would be great.

1 MR. SCHUMACHER: Okay. Well, you certainly have a valid point that it's not ideal to use 2 last year's estimate for this year's management. However, at this point we don't have a better way of 5 doing this, it takes a year to do this sort of estimate 6 and it's really the only sort of estimate that -- it's 7 the best estimate that can be done. Now with that said, we're always looking into new ways of estimating 8 9 abundance that might work better, might provide more timely results. Beginning this fall, right now, we've 10 11 entered into an arrangement with the University of 12 Alaska-Fairbanks Cooperative Wildlife Research Center 13 where we're supporting a ph.D student who is going to 14 work on Prince of Wales Island to evaluate camera based 15 estimation techniques. Now, camera based estimation techniques are new, it's a developing field. There's 16 17 no guarantee that it's going to produce a good 18 estimate. But if it does, it produces a very timely 19 estimate and it's also likely it could be used to 20 monitor things like black bear and deer. So, you know, 21 there's some promise there but there are some hurdles 22 to get over. That student will be working in the field 23 for three years and then will be spending two more 24 years in Fairbanks writing up results. So it'll be 25 some time before we learn about that but, you know, 26 that is the next thing, hot thing that everybody's 27 trying out now is the camera based estimate. So we 28 recognize what we do now is imperfect, we don't have 29 something better, however we are investigating new 30 ways.

31 32

33

34

35

You asked about how the season will be managed this year, no different than last year or the year before. We'll establish a season length, it'll open on one day, it'll close on one day, an emergency order will be issued before the season even opens.

36 37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

And then in terms of incorporating traditional ecological knowledge into the management, it sounds like that's going to be part of the status assessment that's going to be initiated this fall so, you know, maybe we'll have some new tools available after that's over. I can't make a promise about how the State will use it because that's not really up to me but, you know, I think that is a topic that will be addressed in the next year or so.

46 47 48

49

50

 $\mbox{ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank} \mbox{ you, Tom. Mr. Schroeder, did that answer your} \label{eq:chair_chair_chair}$

```
0155
 1
    question?
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right.
 6
     there other Council members that have comments or
 7
     questions for Tom regarding Unit 2 wolves.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Madame Chair, this
10
     is Don again.
11
12
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Don.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Yeah, one more
15
     question in regards to gathering information. I read
     somewhere, I don't know if it was a news story or some
16
17
     other release -- I think it's the Department has
18
     initiated a public reporting system where just general
19
    public -- they're encouraging the general public to
20
     report wolf sighting information. Is that a Department
21
     project or is that a different research project, do you
22
     know anything more about that and how it might be used?
23
24
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: Yes, I do. Actually
25
     it's a place on our website, it's been there for five
26
     or more years it's just that sometimes people forget
27
     about it. It's a place where you can report wildlife
28
     sightings and I think it's available for everywhere in
29
     the state. We don't know how we would incorporate, you
30
     know, sightings reported by the public, into specific
31
     season management decisions, but I think reported
32
     sightings do give some idea of distribution of wolves.
33
    You know, if you're seeing pups, you know, that's
34
     indication of reproduction. If you take pictures and
35
    post them and locations and dates, you know, that,
36
     again, supports wolves are well distributed throughout
37
     the area and that they're reproducing and those are
38
     important things.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you.
41
     And it sounds like it is on your website somewhere.
42
43
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: Yes, it is. I'm
44
     afraid I don't have the link handy but if you'd like I
45
     can provide it to you.
46
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: I think that might
47
48
    be of interest so thank you.
```

```
0156
 1
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank
    you for that Don. Are there other questions from
 2
    Council members to Tom Schumacher with the Alaska
     Department of Fish and Game regarding Unit 2 wolves.
 5
 6
                     MR. WRIGHT: Hi, this is Frank.
 7
 8
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Frank.
 9
10
                     MR. WRIGHT: Did I hear him -- Mr.
11
     Schumacher say something about traditional culture will
12
    be looked at in a year or so?
13
14
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: Yes.
15
16
                     MR. WRIGHT: Traditional knowledge.
17
18
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: Yes, the question was
19
     from....
20
21
                     MR. WRIGHT: Mr. Wright.
22
23
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: .... Member Schroeder,
24
     he asked about incorporating traditional ecological
25
     knowledge into population estimates. My answer to him
26
     is that we do not currently have a way of doing that,
27
    however, as we heard Mr. Cooper from the Fish and
    Wildlife Service say, they're going to be incorporating
28
29
    traditional ecological knowledge, investigating ways of
30
    using that in the next year for the species status
31
     assessment for the Endangered Species process. So, you
32
     know, maybe that will produce something that we can
33
     use.
34
35
                     MR. WRIGHT: Okay. Madame Chair, I'm
36
     just curious why that's going to be in a year or so
37
     because we've been talking about this knowledge stuff
     for years. I mean I've been on here for quite awhile
38
39
     and so it's been there a long time and all of a sudden
     we're talking about it. Anyway, thank you, Madame
40
41
     Chair.
42
43
                     ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr.
44
     Wright. Are there other questions from the Council to
45
    Mr. Schumacher.
46
47
                     MR. DOUVILLE: Madame Chair, Mike
48
     Douville.
```

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Yes, Mr.

Douville.

MR. DOUVILLE: Madame Chair, I have a real straightforward question but, those of us, on Prince of Wales, Unit 2 have been on this roller coaster of regulations that the Department comes up with since the late '80s, I guess. And the ride is getting steeper up and down, You know just a year ago, oh, you got 30 days to seal your wolves, you know, two month season, I mean this is -- you know we may -whether we support or don't support this proposal is really not the important part, it's whether you can get the participants in the game to support what you're doing and that's why I mentioned the roller coaster ride. I mean we've been going -- in the book there are three pages of regulation changes and justifications but I guess if we pass it and you don't get complete cooperation from the participants that's another thing, enforcing it, and what you're going to if they don't. I guess you'll need to get a bigger super computer to deal with any discrepancies. But, you know, these people here, particularly the trappers have been on quite a ride, and then you have -- anyway, I'll save the rest for when we do deliberations I guess.

Thank you.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mike. That was a comment, not necessarily a question but I would give Mr. Schumacher an opportunity to respond if he would like.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, I recognize that there has been a lot of changes over the years. Wolves in Unit 2 have been controversial, that's not entirely locally derived, that comes from outside. So there's — nobody's really in complete control of that. The State is often reacting to other conditions, you know, that we didn't instigate. You know it's true that you can pass a regulation but will people follow it and can it be enforced. I'd point out that a reason for listing a species under the Endangered Species Act is that if regulations are inadequate or if they're unenforceable. So, you know, if people don't want to follow regulations well, you know, that's up to them but I think they expose themselves to a greater danger of a listing decision.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, thank you, Tom. Any other questions from Council members for Tom.

(No comments)

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: All right, hearing none, thank you Tom for your time this afternoon. And I'm sure we'll be hearing from you or somebody from the State regarding the wolf proposal when we move into new business. So we appreciate the time you took to give us the presentation materials today.

MR. SCHUMACHER: You're very welcome.

ACTING CHAIR NEEDHAM: And with that -yes, thanks. With that, I think that concludes all of
the old business on our agenda and I would like to turn
the Chair back over to Don Hernandez and he can decide
if he would like to keep going through and start into
new business of if we should recess for the day.

Mr. Hernandez, the Chair is yours.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, Cathy. Appreciate you taking over for that segment. It was a big help and allowed me to engage a little more in the conversation so I appreciate it. So, yes, we are up to new business which is proposals and we do have quite a few proposals to get there. I anticipate them taking a fair amount of time. I don't think I want to start into that this evening so we will leave that until tomorrow. I would like to kind of ask a few questions in preparation for tomorrow, some issues came up.

First of all, if Alaska Department of Fish and Game Staff are still with us, will you have Staff people that will be participating in the deliberation process tomorrow and making presentations?

MR. SCHUMACHER: Yeah, this is Tom Schumacher. I plan to be here for the duration.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, very good. Another question that came up that I'd like to get clarified, on some of these proposals I believe the Department of Fish and Game has come up with some draft

comments. I believe, unless I'm mistaken, that the Council has not seen these comments yet. It's my understanding that the comments will be presented in person tomorrow at the time of deliberations. correct procedure, that your comments are not distributed ahead of time? I guess that's a question for our Council Coordinator. (Teleconference interference -participants not muted) MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Katya Wessels. Yes, ADF&G is going to present their comments in their allotted time for each proposal, through the proposal procedure. So they will

(Teleconference interference - participants not muted)

be able to present their comments then.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ WESSELS: We cannot distribute them to you and the public at this time. Thank you.

REPORTER: Okay, whoever's not muted, could you please mute.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: So I guess my other question is, some of the folks who testified this morning were talking about, you know, Fish and Game reports they had read with information on these proposals. Were the Fish and Game's draft comments distributed publicly prior to the meeting or were folks who were testifying talking about other information that they had read?

MR. SCHUMACHER: This is Tom
Schumacher. I'll answer Chair Hernandez' question. I
think you're aware probably that the Unit 4 deer
proposals raised a lot of interest. I mean you heard
quite a few comments about it this morning. Many of
the people who's interest was raised by those comments
[sic] contacted the Department of Fish and Game —
who's interest was raised by those proposals contacted
the Department of Fish and Game with requests for data
and all sorts of things. So we thought about, you
know, you have a lot of people who've made a lot of
different data requests, it's going to take a long time
to respond to all of them, what's the most efficient

```
0160
 1
    use of State time and what's the best way to respond to
    these requests. We determined that the best way to do
    that would be to put together draft comments so that
     everybody got the same information, maybe often more
 5
     than they asked for, with context and interpretation.
     So people who asked us, made requests for data, were
 6
 7
     sent those comments. I'm sure they've been distributed
     far and wide. They were made available months ago, I
     think back in June so.
 9
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Okay, that
12
     just clarifies in my mind what kind of -- the
13
     timeliness of the information that we're going to be
14
     seeing so, okay, maybe some of our Council members have
15
     seen this draft comments before. So I don't know, but
16
     I know I'm going to be seeing them for the first time.
17
     So I will just keep in mind that, yes, these comments
18
    have been out to the public and people have been using
19
    them to formulate their comments to us. That's helpful
20
    to know so.
21
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: I'd also like to
22
23
     add....
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay.
26
27
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: ....Chairman
28
     Hernandez, I'm sorry for breaking in.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.
31
32
                     MR. SCHUMACHER: I'm sorry for breaking
33
    in but I'd also like to add that those comments were
34
    provided to the Federal Subsistence Program two weeks
35
     ago.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Oh, okay. And I
38
     quess I understood from our Council Coordinator that
     just the procedure is that they withhold those comments
39
40
     from us until the time of our deliberations, so that's
     what I gathered from Ms. Wessels' response earlier.
41
```

MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, this is Katya

44 Wessels.
45

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead.

46 47 48

49

50

MS. WESSELS: Yeah, we are not withholding specifically the comments from the Alaska

Department of Fish and Game, however, if we're distributing any supplemental materials to the Council we are supposed to be able to provide it to the public. So unfortunately the information that was provided to us by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game is not compliant with Section .508 of Americans with Disabilities Act, so we are not able to provide this information to the public by posting it on our website. That's the issue for us.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Right. So therefore seeings how you couldn't provide it to the public, you also couldn't provide it to the Council ahead of time; is that correct?

MS. WESSELS: That's correct. And we can actually avoid this if Alaska Department of Fish and Game can possibly post it on their website and we can just link to these comments on their website, that will resolve the situation.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. Well, that's all very interesting. Yeah, I'd just like to keep that in mind that we may not a lot of time to, you know, thoroughly look at the Fish and Game comments since we're hearing them for the first time but we do have a printed analysis from our own Staff, hopefully — hopefully the two, the comments in the analysis will be in-synch. If there's any discrepancies, well, I guess we'll just have to try and resolve that at the time.

So anybody else on the Council have any questions or comments regarding this.

MR. CASIPIT: Mr. Chair, this is Cal in Gustavus.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Go ahead, Cal.

 MR. CASIPIT: I -- I -- apparently these -- they were available in early June, these draft comments, and I'm a little concerned that for some reason our partners in managing these resources for the benefit of everybody but, you know, we are supposed to have that -- I mean we are supposed to be providing that meaningful priority, but why this wasn't -- why we

1 didn't have copies of this, you know, before, I mean this is back in June and now we're in October. 2 know it just -- I remember stuff like this coming up years ago on the Council. I remember Chairman 5 Littlefield and Chairman Thomas talking about the importance of sharing information early and helping, 6 7 you know, bring people along. But I don't know, I 8 quess I'm -- I'm -- and especially when I get -- when I 9 read comments from the public saying we agree with 10 ADF&G's position on these proposals, and we agree with 11 the, you know, the data that that they've provided, 12 shows this and, you know, I'm sitting here reading this 13 stuff and I -- I read every page in this book and I'm 14 going through here and I'm reading through all these 15 comments and it's a common theme; according to the Fish 16 and Game's report, according to the -- and I know there 17 was -- I know there was probably no ill-intent here for 18 any of this but it -- I don't know, it just bugs me, 19 and it probably bugs you, Mr. Chair.

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

Anyway we'll get through it but it just made it so much harder because I was, you know, I was reading what Staff wrote in here and I'm reading comments about what Fish and Game says and I don't see it in the book, what I'm trying to read, and, you know, I get a phone call from somebody that talks about their comment, it -- that's enough, I'm done.

272829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, I hear your frustration. I know this issue does go back some time. I will say that, you know, in the past the issues were that sometimes that we would not even hear the Fish and Game's position until it was brought up before the Board, at the Board meeting, so I always appreciate the fact that we're now getting it at our Council meetings instead of hearing it for the first time at the Board, so that's better. And also I don't think in my time here I've ever heard of us running up against the Americans Disability Act so I don't know where that plays into it but that's something new. I guess it's just all part of the system. So like I say, I think we'll deal with it tomorrow. It's just good to know how some of these things come about, I guess.

44 45 46

Anybody else.

47 48

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay. We can recess until 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning at which time we will take public comment on non-agenda items. That would be any issue that anybody has regarding subsistence activities and wants to bring it to our agenda, so that will be the first item on the agenda tomorrow.

Any more housekeeping issues we need to talk about before we recess, Katya.

MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. No, I do not. I just remind everyone on the call if you have a written public comment on any proposal that the Council is going to be discussing tomorrow you can email it to subsistence@fws.gov. Please indicate the proposal number that you're commenting on and we are, you know, going to collect this information from that email. And if we receive 10 or less comments we're going to summarize them and present them to the Council and if there's more than 10 they're going to be tallied, but the results of the tally will be presented to the Council. And also, of course, the public has an opportunity to testify on any of these proposals orally during the public testimony period of the presentation procedure for each proposal.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HERNANDEZ: Okay, thank you, Katya. So we'll recess until 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning, at which time we'll have public testimony and take up the proposals and we'll go through the proposals in the order in which they're listed in the Council book. So thank you very much we'll see everybody tomorrow.

(Off record)

(PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED)

4 T

0164	
1	CERTIFICATE
2 3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
4)ss.
5	STATE OF ALASKA)
6	
7 8	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court
9	Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
10	
11	THAT the foregoing pages numbered through
12	contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the
13 14	SOUTHEAST FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING, VOLUME I taken electronically on the 5th day
15	of October;
16	
17	THAT the transcript is a true and
18	correct transcript requested to be transcribed and
19 20	thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and
21	ability;
22	
23	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or
24	party interested in any way in this action.
25 26	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 17th
27	day of October 2021.
28	
29	
30 31	Salena A. Hile
32	Notary Public, State of Alaska
33	My Commission Expires: 09/16/22
34	
35	
36 37	
38	
39	
40	
41 42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47 48	
49	
50	