

To: Skrabis, Kristin[kristin_skrabis@ios.doi.gov]; Sarah Cline[sarah_cline@ios.doi.gov]; Stern, Adam[adam_stern@ios.doi.gov]; Christian Crowley[christian_crowley@ios.doi.gov]; Joshua Sidon[jsidon@blm.gov]
Cc: Simon, Benjamin[benjamin_simon@ios.doi.gov]
From: Miller, Ann
Sent: 2017-07-25T17:59:32-04:00
Importance: Normal
Subject: monuments reports decisions
Received: 2017-07-25T18:00:16-04:00

I attempted to document and summarize the conclusions of our discussion today in the "Bureau comments" doc (in red bold text). Feel free to add anything I left off or change anything I misremembered. (Josh, let me know if you have questions since I know it was probably hard to know what we were talking about the whole time).

In addition, we agreed:

- to produce a table that essentially summarizes which resources are available at each monument (like taking the check box idea that we have in the text box at the beginning of each monument but turning that into a table of all the monuments)
- not to produce a table with quantities/economic values since it's too easy for this type of information to be misconstrued or misrepresented, or for readers to think it is appropriate to compare the "values" of the monuments, in addition to the fact that we do not have economic values for many of the resources (cultural, scientific, etc)
- to scrap Table 3 completely. Qualitative information from it may be incorporated into the text of individual monuments or in the overarching "tradeoffs" section in the intro
- to remove any general information from individual monument reports and put it all in the intro materials, with text in each monument report that references these sections. This includes: 1) the discussion of economic values vs. economic contributions (this will be moved to the intro and elaborated on, including having a glossary - the text box of definitions can be deleted from each report). Any discussion of economic contributions in each report should reference the "Economic Values vs. Economic Contributions" section in the intro for more information. 2) the discussion of tradeoffs. Monument-specific tradeoffs can be discussed in each report with a sentence referencing the "Land Management Tradeoffs" section of the intro for more information.

We're shooting to have a working version of these materials by COB Thursday but until we have confirmation that we have received all the comments that we are going to get from bureaus, we will hold off on putting together a "final" draft for Randy.

Thanks!
Ann

--

Ann Miller
Office of Policy Analysis
U.S. Department of the Interior
1849 C St. NW
Washington, DC

p: 202.208.5004
ann_miller@ios.doi.gov