

1 KODIAK/ALEUTIANS FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE  
2 REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL  
3  
4 PUBLIC MEETING - TELEPHONIC  
5

6  
7 VOLUME II  
8

9 Anchorage, Alaska  
10 January 10, 2014  
11 10:00 a.m.  
12  
13

14 COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:  
15

16 Speridon Simeonoff, Chairman  
17 Melissa Berns  
18 Patrick Holmes  
19 Richard Koso  
20 Samuel Rohrer  
21 Thomas Schwantes  
22 Antone Shelikoff  
23 Della Trumble  
24 Vincent Tutiakoff  
25  
26  
27 Acting Regional Council Coordinator, Tom Jennings  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39

40 Recorded and transcribed by:  
41

42 Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC  
43 135 Christensen Drive, Suite 2  
44 Anchorage, AK 99501  
45 907-243-0668/sahile@gci.net  
46

47 \*\*\*Transcriber Note - Bad Telephone Connection\*\*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

P R O C E E D I N G S

(Anchorage, Alaska - 1/10/2014)

(On record)

CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. Well let's go ahead and call the meeting back to order. Yeah. I've got 10:03.

Tom, would you take a roll call, please.

MR. JENNINGS: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Here is a roll call. Antone Shelikoff.

MR. SHELIKOFF: Yeah. I'm here.

MR. JENNINGS: Patrick Holmes.

MR. HOLMES: Here.

MR. JENNINGS: Richard Koso.

MR. KOSO: Here.

MR. JENNINGS: Samuel Rohrer.

MR. ROHRER: Here.

MR. JENNINGS: Thomas Schwantes. Tom Schwantes.

MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah. I can barely hear you, Tom.

MR. JENNINGS: Okay. I'll get closer. Vince Tutiakoff.

MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah. Good morning here.

MR. JENNINGS: Della Trumble.

MS. TRUMBLE: Here.

MR. JENNINGS: Speridon Simeonoff.

CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Here.

1 MR. JENNINGS: Melissa Berns.  
2  
3 (No comments)  
4  
5 MR. JENNINGS: You've got eight members  
6 connected. Thank you.  
7  
8 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. Thank you,  
9 Tom. I've got an echo on my phone, so I'll take it  
10 kind of slow.  
11  
12 When we recessed on the 6th we were  
13 waiting for some more information. I think we got that  
14 information now on the email. And it looks like the  
15 majority of the Technical Review Committee didn't feel  
16 comfortable in funding this program because of lack of  
17 information. Are there any comments.  
18  
19 Rick, have you received the information  
20 from Jeff Brooks, the latest information?  
21  
22 MR. KOSO: Yeah. No, I haven't got  
23 anything, Mitch. Like I said, my computer crashed a  
24 while back here. I just got it up and running here  
25 just a couple days ago.  
26  
27 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay.  
28  
29 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Chair.  
30  
31 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Tom, will you kind  
32 of give him an overview of what we did and where we're  
33 at?  
34  
35 MR. JENNINGS: Yeah. Mr. Chairman.  
36 This is Tom. The.....  
37  
38 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: There's an  
39 echo.....  
40  
41 MR. JENNINGS: We've got a pretty good  
42 echo on the line. I was wondering if anyone was.....  
43  
44 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yeah, I've it.  
45  
46 MR. JENNINGS: .....on a speakerphone  
47 perhaps or on a hand's free. You might check your  
48 device.  
49  
50 MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah. Tom, you keep

1 fading out, too. You come in loud and then you fade  
2 out.

3

4 MR. HOLMES: Yeah. I think it's just  
5 the phase (ph) pressure in the speaker, Tom. I just  
6 can't hear you.

7

8 MR. SCHWANTES: I don't think it's a  
9 problem with Tom, I think it's a problem with the  
10 connections we've got.

11

12 MR. JENNINGS: That's affirmative.  
13 It's the connection today I believe.

14

15 MR. SCHWANTES: I get everybody fine  
16 except for Tom.

17

18 MR. HOLMES: Same here. Fine.

19

20 MR. JENNINGS: All right. I'll try  
21 again here.

22

23 We've reconvened after you folks had  
24 the opportunity to read the summary of the TRC's  
25 recommendation for this project. Jeff Brooks compiled  
26 the TRC's comments, and that is the same information  
27 that is being provided to the Federal Subsistence Board  
28 which is meeting on the 14th of this next week. The  
29 Federal Subsistence Board will make a determination on  
30 all the projects, whether they would recommend -- or  
31 whether they will fund them or not.

32

33 And I'll Jeff add anything if  
34 appropriate. Perhaps the Council may have questions as  
35 far as the project and the comments, Jeff respond to  
36 that.

37

38 Thank you.

39

40 MR. KOSO: Yeah, I think -- this is  
41 Rick here. you know, I didn't get any of that emails  
42 in the past so I'm kind of in the dark on this deal  
43 here, so maybe it's just kind of frustrations a little  
44 bit. I went through all of the -- you guys meeting  
45 here, but that's all I had.

46

47 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. Yeah, Rick,  
48 what we have on the table here is a proposal -- well, I  
49 forgot the number already, but it's.....

50

1 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Chairman. This is  
2 Tom.  
3  
4 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: .....Proposal.....  
5  
6 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Chair.  
7  
8 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yes.  
9  
10 MR. JENNINGS: If you would, Jeff  
11 Brooks is prepared to maybe describe this as well as  
12 possible for Rick at this time.  
13  
14 Thank you.  
15  
16 MR. KOSO: Yes. I was about to do  
17 that.  
18  
19 MR. BROOKS: Mr. Chair. If I may,  
20 thank you. Again this is Jeff Brooks in Anchorage with  
21 the Office of Subsistence Management.  
22  
23 Good morning, members of the Council,  
24 and you specifically, Rick. If you had read the  
25 transcripts like you said you did, you know that what  
26 we discussed was the proposal to do a social networks  
27 study on subsistence resources in Cold Bay, King Cove,  
28 and Sand Point area. And the researcher was from Idaho  
29 State, and we made clear in the transcripts that this  
30 proposal was complete as is to go to the Federal  
31 Subsistence Board, but we at that time had not finished  
32 the TRC review, which was incomplete on Monday.  
33  
34 Now, you're back to discuss it again,  
35 and since you did not get the email due to your  
36 computer crashing, I will read to you what I sent the  
37 rest of your RAC members.  
38  
39 This is study 14-452. The title was  
40 Western Gulf of Alaska Subsistence Harvest and Social  
41 Networks.  
42  
43 This proposed study does have a strong  
44 link to Federal public lands, and is focused on  
45 subsistence uses salmon and other subsistence foods in  
46 three National Wildlife Refuges. The proposed work has  
47 potential to help close numerous data gaps in the  
48 region. Information and insights from this proposed  
49 study would situate salmon in a larger subsistence  
50 context and provide data on salmon availability and

1 importance in relation to other subsistence foods.

2

3                   While the project has potential to  
4 integrate past studies and provide a comprehensive  
5 subsistence and economic analysis of the Aleutian and  
6 Alaska Peninsula areas, it is not recommended for  
7 funding at this time.

8

9                   Not all members of the Technical Review  
10 Committee provided an assessment of Project 14-452. A  
11 majority of the technical reviewers who did provide an  
12 assessment of this proposal do not feel justified  
13 funding this study, because they feel that the  
14 investigation plan failed to provide clear and direct  
15 implications for subsistence management in Southwestern  
16 Alaska.

17

18                   Alaska the proposed study does not  
19 address social network analysis in the Bristol  
20 Bay/Chignik area, which was a priority information need  
21 for the Southwest Alaska region.

22

23                   The reviewers highly encourage the  
24 principal investigator to revise this investigation  
25 plan and resubmit for consideration during the next  
26 funding cycle. The revision would require  
27 collaboration with the affected Federal refuge managers  
28 and social scientists at the Alaska Department of Fish  
29 and Game, Subsistence Division.

30

31                   That is the summary, Rick, that I sent  
32 to the RAC and that Tom sent again yesterday.

33

34                   Thank you, Mr. Chair

35

36                   CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Thank you, Jeff.

37

38                   Before we go any further, may I have a  
39 motion to remove from the table this motions we have  
40 before us.

41

42                   MR. HOLMES: This is Pat. I'll, with  
43 the permission of Vince, I think he made the first  
44 motion so I would move that we remove those motions to  
45 defer.

46

47                   MR. TUTIAKOFF: I agree. This is  
48 Vince.

49

50                   CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: It's been moved

1 and seconded. All those in favor say aye.

2

3 IN UNISON: Aye.

4

5 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Those opposed say  
6 nay.

7

8 (No opposing votes)

9

10 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Motion carries.

11

12 Okay. With this new information, the  
13 Technical Review Committee is going in the same  
14 direction that we were headed when we recessed. So I  
15 guess we think the motion's in order with -- if  
16 everybody has a clear understanding of what we have  
17 here, we can go ahead and proceed with our motions.

18

19 MR. KOSO: A question, Mr. Chairman.

20 This is Rick.

21

22 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yeah. Go ahead,  
23 Rick.

24

25 MR. KOSO: Yeah, I'm just -- I think  
26 that's what we're looking, the way I understand it, is  
27 to funding this project. And is this money that we're  
28 looking to fund, is that money that we're taking away  
29 from something else or is that other money that is  
30 generated? Because if we're taking moneys from like,  
31 you know, our travel and whatnot, and cutting us back,  
32 then, you know, I'd kind of be against it, but if we're  
33 looking at funding that's separate totally from us,  
34 then I would certainly be for it. So I guess I'm  
35 asking, where is this money coming from, and are we  
36 taking it from some other project?

37

38 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Chair.

39

40 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: This is a new  
41 proposal, and they're requesting that amount of money,  
42 and it was discussed, that amount of money was kind of  
43 high, and we were wondering if that money could be  
44 better used somewhere else.

45

46 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Chairman. This is  
47 Tom.

48

49 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: This is who?

50

1 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Chairman. This is  
2 Tom Jennings.  
3  
4 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Tom.  
5  
6 MR. JENNINGS: Yeah. Thank you.  
7  
8 Rick, this money that's -- these  
9 projects that are being considered are under the  
10 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Plan. And they're funded  
11 separately from the general operations, and for the --  
12 from the funding that supports your travel for the  
13 RACs. So there's not a conflict there.  
14  
15 There is competition on the FRMP among  
16 projects, and that's what the Board will be considering  
17 next week, which projects to fund or not to fund.  
18  
19 Thank you.  
20  
21 MR. KOSO: Thank you, Tom.  
22  
23 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. Any other  
24 questions for Jeff.  
25  
26 MR. HOLMES: Yeah, I have one, Mr.  
27 Chairman. This is Pat.  
28  
29 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Pat.  
30  
31 MR. HOLMES: Jeff, I forget to welcome  
32 you aboard. I'm glad you're there, and we'll hopefully  
33 use your expertise a lot in the future.  
34  
35 I have one question on the summary of  
36 comments of the TRC review, and that's down towards the  
37 bottom, maybe second to the last sentence, and it said,  
38 the proposed study does not address social network  
39 analysis of the Bristol Bay/Chignik area. And our  
40 region is either north and east or south and west of  
41 Bristol Bay and Chignik. And, you know, is that a  
42 mistake? Somebody did a little cut and paste in one  
43 area.  
44  
45 MR. BROOKS: Mr. Chair. May I address  
46 the question.  
47  
48 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yes, go ahead.  
49  
50 MR. BROOKS: All right. Thank you.

1 Pat, thank you for welcoming me aboard.  
2 I've only been here nine months, and things are  
3 starting to settle down and progress for me.

4  
5 But to answer your question, that is --  
6 it's not a mistake. Basically what -- and it may not  
7 have been communicated properly, but what we're saying  
8 is the priority information need for a social network  
9 analysis, which is proposed in 14-452, was specifically  
10 for the Bristol Bay/Chignik area, and not for southwest  
11 in general or for your specific area, the Alaska  
12 Peninsula area which your RAC is responsible for. So  
13 that's one of the reasons it didn't score real high on  
14 priority due to that. The project did fall under some  
15 other priorities for the region in multi-regional  
16 climate change type needs. But the specific request or  
17 need for a social network analysis wasn't right in your  
18 area. That's what it's saying.

19  
20 Thank you. If you have any other  
21 questions, please let me know.

22  
23 MR. HOLMES: Okay. You might want to  
24 add another phrase to that to clarify it, make it a lot  
25 easier for the Board to understand then.

26  
27 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: I didn't hear  
28 anybody.

29  
30 UNIDENTIFIED VOICES: No, I'm still  
31 here.

32  
33 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. Any other  
34 questions.

35  
36 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Thank you. Where are  
37 we at with the motion then, Mitch.

38  
39 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. Our  
40 parliamentarian, Vince, how do we take these motions in  
41 order.

42  
43 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah. Mr. Chair. The  
44 original motion, we would like to vote -- I believe the  
45 motion was to approve the funding, and we were going to  
46 vote no based on information not being available.  
47 After hearing all the comments and the technical  
48 reviews comments, I believe we should withdraw that  
49 main motion and I have another motion that moves  
50 forward recommending the TRC recommendation.

1 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. Then I  
2 would ask the original motion makers if they would  
3 withdraw their motions so we can make a new motion.

4  
5 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah. This is Vince.  
6 I so move to withdraw the main motion on the proposal  
7 -- Western Gulf of Alaska Subsistence Harvests and  
8 Social Networks proposal.

9  
10 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Thank you. Do I  
11 hear a second.

12  
13 MR. SCHWANTES: Tom in Kodiak. Second.

14  
15 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Moved and  
16 seconded. Any discussion.

17  
18 (No comments)

19  
20 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Hearing none, is  
21 there any objection.

22  
23 MS. TRUMBLE: Mr. Chair.

24  
25 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yes, Della.

26  
27 MS. TRUMBLE: This is Della. I just  
28 have a question to the Staff to answer. I realize this  
29 was put together by -- or submitted by Kate Maschner,  
30 but was she working with anybody? I didn't call her or  
31 ask her about this, on this project that was -- on the  
32 proposed project.

33  
34 MR. BROOKS: Mr. Chair. I can answer  
35 Della's question.

36  
37 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yes

38  
39 MR. BROOKS: Della, the PI on the  
40 project was listed as Dr. Kate Reedy-Maschner. I  
41 believe that she was the only one on the proposal, but  
42 she has a team of researchers there at Idaho State,  
43 particularly I would say the graduate students and  
44 research associates who she works with. And she has  
45 been -- in the past performance of her other projects,  
46 she communicates with tribal council members and key  
47 respondents and elders, for example, to help inform the  
48 research. But she did not have any co-investigators  
49 that I'm aware of from other institutions or the State,  
50 for example.

1 Thank you.

2

3 MS. TRUMBLE: Okay. Thank you for that  
4 answer.

5

6 Mr. Chair. The reason I asked the  
7 question is I think -- I mean, I agree that at this  
8 point the information is not all there. However, based  
9 on some of my discussions and concerns in the last  
10 meeting, I think the tribes need to work with the  
11 State. There's two tribes in King Cove and there's  
12 three tribes in Sand Joint, to even include False Pass,  
13 in time to see exactly, you know, what this project or  
14 proposal should look like, and that at a later time  
15 when they -- and, you know, a while. But I think the  
16 importance of having some good documentation is  
17 valuable, because that -- there is a big black hole  
18 here that needs to be clearly addressed.

19

20 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Mr. Chair. This is  
21 Vince.

22

23 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Vince.

24

25 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah. My understanding  
26 is when, what's his name, Burke, that this proposal  
27 will then come back around on the next cycle, and we'd  
28 hope that information requested by Della and other  
29 communities be then included in the next proposal  
30 cycle. Am I correct, or no?

31

32 MR. BROOKS: Mr. Chair. This is Jeff  
33 Brooks in Anchorage.

34

35 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yes, Jeff, go  
36 ahead.

37

38 MR. BROOKS: The TRC reviewers have  
39 recommended to the PI in Idaho that she work on  
40 revising this, and they have encouraged her to resubmit  
41 to the next funding cycle. There's no guarantee that  
42 that will happen. It's up to the researcher. And the  
43 researcher will have access to the TRC's  
44 recommendations for improving this, which to some  
45 extent reflect the RAC's information, however, not  
46 completely. But the researcher will also have the  
47 public record of your meetings to consult. So if she  
48 does choose to resubmit, I'm sure that she will try to  
49 make it meet the recommendations, you know, to increase  
50 her competitive chance of funding.

1 Thank you.  
2  
3 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Thank you.  
4  
5 MR. HOLMES: Mr. Chairman. This is  
6 Pat.  
7  
8 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Pat.  
9  
10 MR. HOLMES: I was wondering, I don't  
11 know if this is the right place to address Della's  
12 comments, but I was thinking that we were going to move  
13 towards accepting the TRC recommendation, but I would  
14 like that our Council could provide some verbiage for  
15 additional guidance to the TRC. And the reason that  
16 I'm saying is at our last meeting we were advised that  
17 some of our priority projects were no longer a  
18 priority. And so when that happens, I think that there  
19 should be, and this should be a message to the TRC and  
20 OSM that, you know, our Council really needs to have  
21 some input into these projects. And so I think let's  
22 just go ahead with the motion to turn it down and then  
23 let's have a discussion for some suggested perspectives  
24 for future RFPs on this topic.  
25  
26 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Mr. Chair. I call for  
27 the question and also discussion after the motion to  
28 talk about further action.  
29  
30 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. The  
31 question was called. Those in favor say aye.  
32  
33 IN UNISON: Aye.  
34  
35 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Those opposed say  
36 nay.  
37  
38 (No opposing votes)  
39  
40 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Hearing no nays,  
41 then the ayes have it.  
42  
43 Further discussion on the.....  
44  
45 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Mr. Chair. I'm going  
46 to make a recommendation to direct the Chair to appoint  
47 a committee to come up with verbiage with Tom and Jeff  
48 Brooks on what the proposal should contain from our  
49 point of view. I think it would be more beneficial,  
50 and we could move right along on this if you'd appoint

1 committee members from the Council. Those that are  
2 there in Kodiak possibly and Della.

3

4 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yeah, I would  
5 agree with that. I was going to ask if there was a  
6 point for people to work with Jeff and Tom, and get  
7 some more verbiage on here. After that is done.....

8

9 MR. KOSO: Mr. Chair. Rick.

10

11 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: .....we could do a  
12 phone poll of the Board and just ask them if that  
13 verbiage is good enough and then submit it. You only  
14 have a few days.

15

16 MR. KOSO: Yeah. Mr. Chair.

17

18 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yes. Whom am I  
19 speaking to?

20

21 MR. KOSO: Rick.

22

23 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Rick. Go ahead,  
24 Rick.

25

26 MR. KOSO: Yeah, maybe I'm a little bit  
27 lost on what you guys are talking about here. I think  
28 I've got most of it though. I was thinking, you know,  
29 we only have, what is it, a couple days left or  
30 something, the weekend coming up, we only have a couple  
31 of days left actually. Are we looking then that if we  
32 don't accept or approve the funding to go forward now,  
33 then we would probably be realistically looking at the  
34 next go-around, not this January 14th deadline that we  
35 have. Is that right there? That is.....

36

37 MR. TUTIAKOFF: That's correct.

38

39 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Chair. This is Tom  
40 Jennings.

41

42 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead.

43

44 MR. JENNINGS: And what Rick describes  
45 is correct. The next FRMP funding cycle is down the  
46 road. And I don't have those exact dates, but it will  
47 be a new cycle, and that's where the recommendations  
48 could be captured during that time.

49

50 Thank you.

1 MR. KOSO: Mr. Chair. Rick.

2

3 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Rick.

4

5 MR. KOSO: Yeah. You know, looking at  
6 the funding at this, the funding that they're asking  
7 for to do the study down there, the way I understand  
8 it. You know, I hate to not -- to turn down funding  
9 that could be advantageous to us in the future and  
10 postpone it, because I know kind of how the system  
11 works. If we don't get on the ball and get it done  
12 right away, then normally it doesn't happen. I think  
13 by us not move forward and approving the funding, this  
14 is not affecting us in our operations, to try to get  
15 funding to get more information, I read Della's thing  
16 there, I think she was on the same page. If we don't  
17 do this, is there a chance that this funding will have  
18 a lot less chance of survival.

19

20 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: If we send the  
21 recommendations for more verbiage on this proposal and  
22 the PI wants to resubmit the proposal for the same  
23 amount or greater or lesser, whatever she wants I  
24 think. But for now, just the lack of information, that  
25 it does not include the Bristol Bay and Chignik area, I  
26 think that's -- the Technical Review Committee was  
27 asking for, broaden the scope of that proposal to  
28 include those areas.

29

30 MR. KOSO: Yeah. Okay. Thanks.

31

32 MR. BROOKS: Mr. Chairman. This is  
33 Jeff Brooks in Anchorage.

34

35 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Jeff.

36

37 MR. BROOKS: Just a minor point of  
38 clarification. The TRC, the reviewers that provided  
39 information to us that appears in this summary, they --  
40 one of the concerns they have with the project was it  
41 didn't address a priority information need to do a  
42 social network analysis in the Bristol Bay area. They  
43 weren't asking -- they weren't saying to the PI that  
44 they need to expand their study to include that. I  
45 think that's just a point of clarification.

46

47 Thank you.

48

49 MR. KOSO: Okay. Thank you.

50

1 MS. TRUMBLE: Mr. Chair. This is  
2 Della.  
3  
4 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Della.  
5  
6 MS. TRUMBLE: On the proposal, I guess  
7 if we go through this process, to hold on to get --  
8 fund the proposal, I mean, then this comes back to us  
9 at this time next year; is that correct?  
10  
11 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: When's the next  
12 funding cycle for these proposals, Tom.  
13  
14 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Chair. We're in a  
15 two-year cycle. And so I believe the TRC will be  
16 asking the Councils to provide some of their needs,  
17 identified needs -- I'm not sure if it's in the next  
18 fall.....  
19  
20 MR. BROOKS: Over the next year.  
21  
22 MR. JENNINGS: Excuse me, it would be  
23 over the next year to where they will solicit  
24 recommendations from the Councils for, you know,  
25 meeting the information needs. And then -- so it would  
26 be -- the decisionmaking by the Board then would occur  
27 two years from about this date, you know, similar, so  
28 there would be -- that would be the end point when they  
29 would actually approve funding for projects.  
30  
31 Thank you.  
32  
33 MR. SCHWANTES: Tom in Kodiak.  
34  
35 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Tom.  
36  
37 MR. SCHWANTES: Yeah. Tom, you know,  
38 with that understanding, then -- if I'm understanding  
39 this right, we will have almost a year or actually  
40 longer than that for our Council to get together and  
41 put some ideas together on what we would like to see  
42 for our region as well, right?  
43  
44 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: (Indiscernible)  
45  
46 MR. SCHWANTES: I didn't hear that.  
47  
48 (Another conversation not related)  
49  
50 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: I'm sorry, I'm

1 getting a real bad echo, and I'm just not understanding  
2 anybody.

3

4 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Chairman. This is  
5 Tom Jennings.

6

7 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: I guess what  
8 Schwantes was saying is the next cycle is almost two  
9 years out, so we can provide a little bit of verbiage  
10 for her proposal to include our region, too, the Kodiak  
11 region. Was that it, Tom?

12

13 MR. SCHWANTES: Yes.

14

15 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Chair. This is Tom  
16 Jennings.

17

18 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Tom  
19 Jennings.

20

21 MR. JENNINGS: Yeah. I believe they'll  
22 be -- the Board will have a call for proposals, or the  
23 TRC, for recommendations from the Councils next winter.  
24 And so that would be their information gathering. And  
25 again, the TRC will have evaluated proposals, and the  
26 Board will make a final determination two years from  
27 today. Approximately.

28

29 Thank you.

30

31 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay.

32

33 MS. TRUMBLE: Mr. Chair. This is  
34 Della.

35

36 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Della.

37

38 MS. TRUMBLE: I need to be careful how  
39 I word this I think. I agree with Rick that there is a  
40 need, that we have a need to get this information. For  
41 those of you from Kodiak, we need like I said to  
42 understand the (indiscernible -- echoing) road impact  
43 among the communities and the Izembek refuge. I think  
44 it's important that we get this information out. We  
45 don't have the luxury of having the relationships that  
46 you all have around Kodiak with the Kodiak Refuge.

47

48 And part of this I think is recognizing  
49 the needs and information, and the impact on the  
50 subsistence region. We went -- the most that we talked

1 about went for subsistence reasons, and the guys  
2 getting their fish did not get it from Cold Bay. A  
3 handful maybe will from there, and there's a lot of  
4 complaints that come back to King Cove in regard to  
5 that. But they go to Sand Point or Martin's (ph)  
6 Point. And it goes -- and the conflict issue that --  
7 with the majority of the sports activity that goes on  
8 in Cold Bay more than us is not any information. And  
9 that information in my mind is important to the  
10 survival of these communities in the future.

11  
12                   And when we're looking at this as a  
13 project, it should include the communities that are  
14 affected in 9D, because that project -- that includes  
15 False Pass and Akutan who doesn't usually go -- using  
16 -- users don't go from Akutan, because of the distance,  
17 but it also includes Nelson Lagoon.

18  
19                   And somehow in tying this thing, are  
20 trying -- we've got two grants that I have applied for  
21 as the administrator working with a couple of  
22 scientists. And one of them is the Tribal Wildlife  
23 Fund, which is working on the issues and the Steller  
24 eiders, and some of the threatened species. The other  
25 is an ICD Leed (ph) grant that also is working with  
26 fishermen and looking at information and potential  
27 changes in regard to global warming and/or anything  
28 else that could possible fit in -- not a grant.

29  
30                   But this grant would tie nicely in with  
31 these funds at some point in time. And it's a  
32 collaborative effort in getting the correct information  
33 I think down and on paper so we move forward in the  
34 future in a positive manner. That is where I'm headed  
35 with this.

36  
37                   Thank you.

38  
39                   And I am concerned that it gets put off  
40 for a couple of more years.

41  
42                   CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Say that last part  
43 again, Della.

44  
45                   MS. TRUMBLE: I am concerned that it  
46 does get put off for a couple years. You know, if  
47 there is a way to fund it, at least the first year,  
48 because it's a small amount, but within that first  
49 year, to lengthen the activity that can possibly move  
50 forward in the following years.

1 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. Thank you.

2

3 MR. KOSO: Mr. Chair. Rick.

4

5 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Rick.

6

7 MR. KOSO: Yeah. I essentially agree  
8 Della there. I guess I would like to see what the down  
9 side would be of us not approving this funding. I  
10 think I see more of an up side for us by approving this  
11 funding, having the study go on, and then we have two  
12 years to then react to what has been happening, and  
13 then also if we see something that needs to be added or  
14 taken away, then we could put that proposal in in the  
15 next couple of years as has been mentioned at that  
16 time. But right now, I see us -- I see a real up side  
17 of getting what we could out of this, since it's not  
18 affecting, you know, our financial part of this whole  
19 deal. If we could get more information, that's great.  
20 And if we don't have enough information, that's where  
21 we can -- we can react to that, you know, within the  
22 next two years. But I would say, let's go for funding  
23 now and get what we could out of it, to get the  
24 information we need, and get it started.

25

26 Thank you.

27

28 MR. SCHWANTES: Mr. Chair. Tom in  
29 Kodiak.

30

31 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Tom.

32

33 MR. SCHWANTES: Mr. Chair. The problem  
34 I see with that is -- and I agree, something needs to  
35 be done, but I think if we approve this, then if the  
36 Board should happen to approve this, we're going to be  
37 stuck with this. And she has made a proposal, and I  
38 don't think we're going to be able to go back in a year  
39 from now and say, we want you to change what we've  
40 approved for you to do. I think she has no obligation  
41 to do that once we approve this thing. So I think we  
42 would be better off to not approve it, and to then  
43 spend some time, our Council spend some time submitting  
44 something showing exactly what we feel needs to be done  
45 to meet some of these needs that Della is talking  
46 about, and then try to approve that, or try to get her  
47 to come back with a proposal that would include those  
48 things, and then approve that. But I'd be really  
49 concerned that if we were to approve this as is written  
50 here now, then I don't think we really have the luxury

1 of going back and saying, we want you to change this  
2 halfway through the study.

3

4 MR. HOLMES: Mr. Chair. Pat.

5

6 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Pat.

7

8 MR. HOLMES: I'm probably one of the  
9 few people that has -- I mean, I have worked  
10 everywhere from Cape Douglas to Attu and the Pribis, and  
11 I've got friends everywhere. And there is a lot of  
12 concern. I really think the work needs to be done.  
13 And reading -- I read that proposal twice, and it's  
14 very deficient in its objectives, methodology. It  
15 doesn't address a specific budget for personnel,  
16 travel, training, administrative costs. And some of  
17 these universities charge, you know, 50, 100 percent  
18 for administration costs. And I think we can get a  
19 better report by having a new RFP go out, and have it  
20 specific.

21

22 And I'd like to touch on some points  
23 that I feel the TRC and OSM have been deficient,  
24 because these are points that our Council has talked  
25 about since it began. All you have to do is look at  
26 the minutes from the meetings.

27

28 And those points include the change and  
29 reduced resource availability, competition from non-  
30 residents, specifically at Cold Bay, changes in the  
31 ungulate populations, changes in marine mammal  
32 populations, and changes in waterfowl populations.  
33 Every single one of our meetings we've touched on those  
34 things, said there's problems. We know there's a  
35 problem with predation, whether you want to call that  
36 environmental change, habitat readjustment or whatever,  
37 those are problems, and they should be addressed.  
38 They're not addressed in her proposal at all. And so  
39 that's significant.

40

41 And the point and objectives of looking  
42 at where the (indiscernible) stems from, it's very  
43 apparent in my conversations with the area manager and  
44 biologist that collect the data from the State  
45 subsistence permits. Nowhere in the proposal does it  
46 so say that the State's collecting the data. And also  
47 my conversations with the State subsistence research  
48 people, there's been a lack of coordination and talk  
49 with them, and I really think those should be done much  
50 more specifically.

1 For half a million dollars, my goodness  
2 sakes, that's an awful lot money with so many data  
3 gaps, and I really feel that we have a real shortage on  
4 those points I just mentioned, and I could have them  
5 typed up within an hour and send them to Tom. But  
6 those are things that our Council has talked about  
7 since it started, and nothing's ever been addressed.

8

9 Thank you.

10

11 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Thank you for  
12 that, Pat.

13

14 MR. KOSO: Mr. Chair. Rick.

15

16 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Rick.

17

18 MR. KOSO: Yeah. Tom's got some real --  
19 or not Tom, but -- yeah, got some real good points  
20 there. You know, if he could draft those points up,  
21 send them to Tom, you know, to be included in this  
22 financial package that we're looking to vote or approve  
23 or disapprove, then I think with that added, I think  
24 that would be a good start. And maybe with that added  
25 to this deal, we can possibly approve it.

26

27 MR. BROOKS: Mr. Chair. This is Jeff.

28

29 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: What about just  
30 adding some verbiage on this proposal, and make a  
31 motion to approve, if you.....

32

33 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Mr. Chair.

34

35 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: .....can concur,  
36 we'll send this, and concur on the amount of verbiage  
37 that we wish to add, we can make it.....

38

39 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah. Mr. Chair. This  
40 is Vince.

41

42 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yeah, go ahead,  
43 Vince.

44

45 MR. TUTIAKOFF: My understanding is  
46 that the recommendation of the TRC is not to fund. I  
47 believe that's going to happen, because they'll take  
48 that recommendation.

49

50 And I understand the concern of Rick

1 and Della, and I have that concerns out here also, but  
2 if we try to say agree to fund just one year of this  
3 proposal, I agree that they're not going to change it  
4 as it's written. And we are going to -- we are  
5 recommending that the principal, Maschner, resubmit the  
6 proposals with the information that we're talking about  
7 included in a new proposal for the next cycle. I know  
8 that time is of the essence, but I think if we go ahead  
9 with approving the proposal as it stands and try to  
10 amend it, we're not going to get -- be successful. I  
11 don't believe that the subsistence department of Fish  
12 and Wildlife will agree, because they did not get that  
13 recommendation from the TRC.

14

15 MR. SCHWANTES: Mr. Chair. Tom in  
16 Kodiak.

17

18 I agree exactly. I think if we think  
19 that we can fund this, and then, you know, go back in,  
20 make recommendations a year from now telling them we  
21 want to make changes, I don't think that's going to  
22 happen. I think if this gets approved, which I don't  
23 think it will based on what we see before us, but if it  
24 should happen to get approved, I think we're stuck with  
25 it as it is. And so I would -- and I agree  
26 wholeheartedly with Della and Rick and on out in that  
27 area, and agree that we need to do something, we need a  
28 study out there, but I don't think this is it. I don't  
29 think is going to accomplish what we want. And so I  
30 think the best thing for us to do is to turn this down,  
31 and then put our recommendations forward for her to  
32 come forward with a new proposal that would meet our  
33 needs.

34

35 Thank you.

36

37 MR. KOSO: Is anybody from the Council  
38 going to attend the meeting other than Tom Jennings and  
39 possibly Jeff.

40

41 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: We have telephonic  
42 connection availability. I was trying to look for them  
43 on the email, but I couldn't find them, so I'll  
44 probably get them from Tom after this meeting.

45

46 MR. JENNINGS: Yeah. Mr. Chairman.

47

48 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: But if you wish to  
49 attend it.....

50

1 (Indiscernible - simultaneous  
2 conversation regarding teleconference)

3  
4 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: ..... you can also  
5 get that information from Tom.

6  
7 MR. KOSO: Della mentioned earlier the  
8 recommendation to address the proposal prior to the  
9 vote to ask that they reconsider and fund it for a one-  
10 year program and see if they do it that way. Because I  
11 think that would kick-start it and we can make the  
12 changes with their support. I think they need to  
13 understand our issue.

14  
15 MR. SCHWANTES: Della, you need to be  
16 that phone call. I recommend it highly that you be  
17 there. And Rick, because you also do a lot of fishing  
18 and subsistence out there. It would be a good idea for  
19 you guys to be on the phone or actually be at the  
20 meeting.

21  
22 MR. KOSO: Yeah. Mr. Chair. Rick.

23  
24 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Rick.

25  
26 MR. KOSO: Yeah. I'll be down at the  
27 ISPC meeting in Fairbanks on that date, so I won't make  
28 that.

29  
30 I guess my concern about this dealing  
31 with the government, they only appropriate X amount of  
32 dollars, you know, each cycle, that, you know, you are  
33 going to get or not going to get. And I'm thinking  
34 that, you know, if this money is coming up in this  
35 cycle and appropriated for possibly what we're asking  
36 for or not asking for, would that money be then lost,  
37 and then two years from now when we resubmit our  
38 proposal that we'd like to add to this, that this is  
39 not going to be another transfer up to proposals, or  
40 get any money for it is what I'm saying. Is the money  
41 going to be appropriated for this two years from now I  
42 guess is that I'm asking.

43  
44 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: I wouldn't know.  
45 We've requested money from the government, and we never  
46 know what's going to be available from one year to the  
47 next.

48  
49 MR. SCHWANTES: Mr. Chairman. Tom in  
50 Kodiak.

1 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Tom.

2

3 MR. SCHWANTES: I think the one thing  
4 is we can't be sure that even if the Board approved  
5 this that it would be funded I guess is what I'm  
6 saying. But maybe it would. But, you know, we could  
7 spend this money, it's a lot of money, and not  
8 accomplish -- and get a report that doesn't accomplish  
9 what we want and what we really need out there. And so  
10 I think to approve this as it is, and even if we send  
11 additional comments, I think we're asking for trouble,  
12 because I think we're going to wind up with a report  
13 that doesn't cover what we really want to cover in that  
14 study. Again, I think we would be much better off to  
15 not approve this, to come up with our proposal on what  
16 we feel needs to be done, and request that she should  
17 resubmit that proposal for this study to include all  
18 those things that we feel are absolutely necessary.

19

20 MS. TRUMBLE: Mr. Chair. This is  
21 Della.

22

23 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Della.

24

25 MS. TRUMBLE: Tom, I kind of disagree.  
26 I worked closely with Kate Maschner on a number of  
27 different occasions, her and her husband, Herb  
28 Maschner, on quite a number of occasions, including the  
29 Aleut Village activity and along the corridor through  
30 (indiscernible) to the Norton -- (indiscernible) Harbor  
31 into Cold Bay. And I've worked closely with her on a  
32 couple of books that she has published. I think she's  
33 very open to recognizing the concerns and  
34 considerations brought up both by myself and Rick in  
35 the past. And I don't think -- I don't foresee that as  
36 a problem and so I just wanted to get it out there.

37

38 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yeah. Mr. Chair.  
39 Rick.

40

41 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Rick.

42

43 MR. KOSO: Yeah. Just to reiterate on  
44 what Della said there. You know, I've taken Herb and  
45 Kate through all of the sites there in the Aleutians  
46 all the way from Pavlof Bay all the way out to Cape  
47 Sherichief for about five years. And if anybody could  
48 do a good job on getting at the information you need on  
49 this stuff, Kate would be certainly top of the list on  
50 that.

1                   So, you know, I certainly don't have a  
2 problem with her doing this, and I certainly don't have  
3 a problem going out with this money that's available  
4 possibly now. I just think that two years from now  
5 we're looking at probably three years if we do that.  
6 Get the next cycle two years from now, we're probably  
7 looking at three years. A lot could change by then,  
8 and I think the information we could gather from this  
9 money that we're looking to get, it might not, you  
10 know, answer all of our questions, but it will  
11 certainly answer a lot of them. It would give us a lot  
12 more information than we do have today, so I'm going to  
13 vote, you know, in my mind that -- you know, to go  
14 forward with this and approve this.

15  
16                   Thank you.

17  
18                   CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Thank you, Rick.

19  
20                   Is there any further discussion. I  
21 realize we're discussing this proposal without a motion  
22 on the floor, but.....

23  
24                   MR. KOSO: Mr. Chair. I'd like to make  
25 a motion.

26  
27                   CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Pat.

28  
29                   MR. KOSO: I'm not Pat.

30  
31                   MR. TUTIAKOFF: I think that was Rick.

32  
33                   (Laughter)

34  
35                   CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: That was Rick?

36  
37                   MR. KOSO: Yeah.

38  
39                   CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Rick.

40  
41                   MR. KOSO: Rick here, yeah, Mitch, but  
42 I think, you know, I would like to make a proposal that  
43 we approve this funding for this deal, and I'd like to  
44 put that into a motion right now.

45  
46                   CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. The motion  
47 would be to approve Proposal 14-452.

48  
49                   MR. KOSO: Yeah. Yes. That's correct.

50

1 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Is there a second.  
2  
3 MR. SHELIKOFF: Antone. And I second  
4 the motion.  
5  
6 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: I didn't get that.  
7 All I got was echoes.  
8  
9 MR. TUTIAKOFF: I believe it was  
10 Antone.  
11  
12 MR. SHELIKOFF: Antone.  
13  
14 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: I think.  
15  
16 MR. SHELIKOFF: And I support this  
17 proposal, motion.  
18  
19 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: I'll that as a  
20 second.  
21  
22 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah. That was Antone  
23 from Akutan.  
24  
25 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay.  
26  
27 MR. KOSO: Call for the question.  
28  
29 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: I'm sorry. I'm  
30 having trouble here.  
31  
32 MR. KOSO: I'd like to call the  
33 question, Mitch. Rick.  
34  
35 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. I heard  
36 someone say question.  
37  
38 MR. KOSO: Yeah. Rick.  
39  
40 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. Before we  
41 -- before I entertain that question, may I ask if there  
42 is any further discussion on this proposal.  
43  
44 MR. HOLMES: This is Pat.  
45  
46 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yes, Pat.  
47  
48 MR. HOLMES: I agree unfortunately with  
49 both sides, but I kind of feel that it's not going to  
50 accomplish what we want. I kind of agree with Tom's

1 thoughts. Actually I agree with both sides, but  
2 unfortunately I think I'll vote no. I'd rather see a  
3 good study done that's more streamlined, because I  
4 honestly don't think that even the results of the study  
5 are going to have any real effects unless you are  
6 addressing those points that we've asked about. And  
7 what I also worry about is I've seen some things that,  
8 you know, because we -- things that we had priorities  
9 on before for our Council we've seen that's got lost.  
10 And so I think I'll vote no, because I'd like to see  
11 something done that's more specifically written out.  
12 This is an open check for over a half million dollars,  
13 and I will do all I can to try and see if I can find  
14 some other sources there in order to get things going,  
15 because I think we could -- really need to address  
16 those things.

17  
18 So, thank you, Mr. Chairman.

19  
20 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Thank you, Pat.  
21 Anyone else.

22  
23 MS. TRUMBLE: Mr. Chair. This is  
24 Della.

25  
26 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Della.

27  
28 MS. TRUMBLE: I guess this is a  
29 question for the Staff. Is it proper to add to that  
30 motion that the Board recognize the need as presented  
31 by the Kodiak/Aleutians Advisory Council in the past be  
32 included in this proposal. And I think (indiscernible)  
33 could draft that, can be made between the, you know,  
34 the Staff and the affected communities and Kate  
35 Maschner.

36  
37 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: I think that would  
38 come in the form of an amendment.

39  
40 MR. KOSO: We can add that onto the  
41 main motion if you want, Mitch. That would be fine  
42 with me.

43  
44 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. Any other  
45 Board members feel the same.

46  
47 MR. HOLMES: What was the amendment?

48  
49 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Oh, boy.

50

1 MS. TRUMBLE: Pat, this is Della.  
2  
3 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Go ahead, Della.  
4  
5 MS. TRUMBLE: The amendment would be to  
6 include the concerns and presented year after -- time  
7 after time by the Kodiak/Aleutians Advisory Council in  
8 regard to this region, and that we can include, you  
9 know, as Pat had stated earlier the different areas  
10 that need to be recognized. And my reason for this is  
11 I think this is important, and it does all those  
12 pieces, because we get a bigger picture, and I think  
13 bang for your bucks so to speak even, I think a clearer  
14 picture of exactly what is going on and the effects it  
15 has on each of these groups. And hopefully in the  
16 future that we all work to figure this out and move  
17 together on and address the issue.  
18  
19 MR. HOLMES: Pat.  
20  
21 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Pat.  
22  
23 MR. HOLMES: Mr. Chairman. I think  
24 that sounds like a reasonable approach, and we can toss  
25 it in and then if the Board vote -- puts it down  
26 because of the TRC recommendations, then we've got a  
27 first step in in telling them what we'd like to have  
28 done. And then we can keep plugging away at it. I've  
29 just about got most of that typed up and I can email it  
30 to Tom and probably you folks could have that fairly  
31 soon.  
32  
33 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Very good.  
34  
35 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay.  
36  
37 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Call for the question,  
38 Mr. Chair.  
39  
40 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. The  
41 question is called. And who's taking notes? Would you  
42 read back the motion and the amendment, please.  
43  
44 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yeah. Mr. Chair.  
45  
46 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yes.  
47  
48 MR. TUTIAKOFF: This is Vince. I wrote  
49 what I thought was the motion. There was a motion by  
50 Rick, seconded by Antone, to approve the proposal with

1 amendment to include the RAC's concern on the proposal,  
2 and we have that list being formulated by Pat there.  
3 But I think that's the motion.

4  
5 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay. Thank you,  
6 Vince.

7  
8 With that, being the question was  
9 called, could we take a roll call vote, please, Tom.

10  
11 MR. JENNINGS: Yes, Mr. Chair. Roll  
12 call. Mr. Shelikoff.

13  
14 MR. SHELIKOFF: Yes.

15  
16 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Holmes.

17  
18 MR. HOLMES: Yes.

19  
20 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Koso.

21  
22 MR. KOSO: Yes.

23  
24 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Rohrer.

25  
26 MR. ROHRER: Yes.

27  
28 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Schwantes.

29  
30 MR. SCHWANTES: Yes.

31  
32 MR. JENNINGS: Mr. Tutiakoff.

33  
34 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Yes.

35  
36 MR. JENNINGS: Ms. Trumble.

37  
38 MS. TRUMBLE: Yes.

39  
40 MR. JENNINGS: Chairman Simeonoff.

41  
42 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yes.

43  
44 MR. JENNINGS: That's unanimous. Thank  
45 you.

46  
47 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Thank you.

48  
49 MS. BERNS: Excuse me. This is Melissa  
50 Berns out in Old Harbor.

1 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Hi, Melissa.  
2  
3 MR. JENNINGS: Excuse me. I didn't get  
4 you earlier, Melissa. I'm sorry. Ms. Berns.  
5  
6 MS. BERNS: Yes.  
7  
8 MR. JENNINGS: Thank you. And I  
9 apologize.  
10  
11 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: We're still  
12 unanimous. I didn't hear you come on, Melissa. Sorry  
13 I didn't acknowledge you.  
14  
15 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Okay. Thank you,  
16 Mitch. I'll leave you to work with Pat and Tom and  
17 Jeff there to get the information to us. I've got to  
18 get going here.  
19  
20 Hey, Rick, give me a call when you can.  
21  
22 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: We'll get all that  
23 information to you on your email, and that will be what  
24 we submit.  
25  
26 MR. TUTIAKOFF: Okay. Thank you.  
27  
28 MS. TRUMBLE: Mr. Chair. This is  
29 Della.  
30  
31 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Yes, Della.  
32  
33 MS. TRUMBLE: If you feel it's  
34 appropriate that I be on line when this comes up, if  
35 you can get the information to me, I'll be more than  
36 happy to do so.  
37  
38 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Okay.  
39  
40 MR. KOSO: Yeah. Thank you, Mitch and  
41 the Board, and Happy New Year to all you guys and gals.  
42  
43 CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF: Happy New Year and  
44 Merry Christmas. And I guess, Tom, you need to get the  
45 information from Pat. You can email it and then we'll  
46 just know what we did here this morning.  
47  
48 MR. SCHWANTES: Okay. Mr. Chair. Do  
49 we need a motion to adjourn.  
50

1                           CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF:  Yes.  
2  
3                           MR. SCHWANTES:  Okay.  I'll move.  
4  
5                           MR. KOSO:  Second.  
6  
7                           CHAIRMAN SIMEONOFF:  Moved and  
8 seconded.  We're adjourned.  It's 11:00 a.m.  
9  
10                          (Off record)  
11  
12                          (END OF PROCEEDINGS)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

C E R T I F I C A T E

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )  
 )ss.  
STATE OF ALASKA )

I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the State of Alaska, do hereby certify:

THAT the foregoing pages numbered 30 through 60 contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the KODIAK/ALEUTIANS FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING, TELEPHONIC PUBLIC MEETING taken electronically on the 10th day of January 2014, beginning at the hour of 10:00 a.m. at Anchorage, Alaska;

THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed under my direction;

THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party interested in any way in this action.

DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 12th day of January 2014.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Salena A. Hile  
Notary Public, State of Alaska  
My Commission Expires: 9/16/14