00001 NORTHWEST ARCTIC and NORTH SLOPE 1 2 FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCILS 3 VOLUME I 4 Days Inn, Anchorage, Alaska 5 October 19, 1999 6 NORTHWEST ARCTIC MEMBERS: 7 Willie Goodwin, Chairman 8 Bert Griest9 Raymond Stoney 10 Percy Ballot, Sr. 11 Joe Arey 12 Enoch Shiedt 13 Rosaline Ward 14 NORTH SLOPE MEMBERS: 15 Fenton Rexford, Chairman 16 Harry Brower, Jr. 17 Ben Hopson 18 Terry Tagarook 19 Gordon Upicksoun 20 Ray Koonuk, Sr. 21 Paul Bodfish, Sr. 22 Mike Patkotak 23 Gordon Brower 24 Charlie Hopson 25 Barbara Armstrong, Coordinator

PROCEEDINGS (On record) 6 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Good morning, everyone. (Various good morning replies) CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: I'd like to call the 11 joint meeting between the Northwest Arctic and the North 12 Slope Regional Advisory Council to order. Take roll call, Barb. MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Fenton. MR. F. REXFORD: Here. MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Harry. MR. H. BROWER: Here. MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Ben. 26 MR. B. HOPSON: (No audible response) MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Terry. MR. TAGAROOK: Here. MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Gordon Upicksoun. MR. UPICKSOUN: Here. MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Ray Koonuk. MR. KOONUK: Here. MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Leonard. MR. TUKLE: Here. MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Mike MR. PATKOTAK: Yes. MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Gordon Brower. MR. G. BROWNER: Here.

00003	MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Charlie Hopson.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	-
	MR. C. HOPSON: (No audible response)
	MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Willie Goodwin.
	CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Here.
	MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Bert.
	MR. GRIEST: Here.
	MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Raymond Stoney.
	MR. STONEY: Here.
10 17 18	MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Percy Ballot.
19 20	MR. BALLOT: (No audible response)
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Joe Arey.
	MR. AREY: Here.
	MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Enoch.
	MR. SHIEDT: (No audible response)
29 30	MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Rose.
31 32	MS. WARD: Here.
<pre>33 34 our custom, as 35 invocation. Bu 36 of silence, ple</pre>	CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Barb. As is we hold meetings we generally have t at this time I'll just call for a moment ase.
37 38 (Moment 39	of silence)
 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: I'd like to welcome everyone to this joint meeting. Those of us that live out in the north and North Slope know we have issues of concern that effect both regions and as a result we asked for a joint meeting to share some of these concerns and perhaps help each other in making sure we make the right decisions for our people. First of all I'd like to welcome the new appointees 	
49 to the Council.	It's quite an experience, let me tell you. is one of the few times you're in the

00004 1 driver's seat, and we have a lot of fun doing this. 2 3 Why don't we go around the table and state your 4 name, where you're from. We'll start from over here. 5 6 MS. WARD: Rosaline Ward, Kobuk. 7 8 MR. STONEY: Raymond Stoney, Kiana. 9 10 MR. AREY: Joe Arey, Noatak. 11 12 MR. GRIEST: Bert Griest, Selawick. 13 14 MR. GOODWIN: Willie Goodwin from Kotzebue. 15 16 MR. F. REXFORD: Fenton from Kaktovik on 17 Barter Island. 18 19 MR. H. BROWER: Harry Brower from Barrow. 20 21 MR. TAGAROOK: Terry Tagarook from 22 Wainwright. 23 24 MR. KOONUK: Ray Koonuk from Point Hope. 25 26 MR. UPICKSOUN: Gordon Upicksoun, Point 27 Lay. 28 29 MR. BODFISH, SR.: Paul Bodfish from 30 Atgasak. 31 32 MR. PATKOTAK: Mike Patkotak, Barrow. 33 34 MR. TUKLE: Leonard Tukle, Nuigsut. 35 MR. C. HOPSON: Charlie Hopson from Barrow. 36 37 38 MR. G. BROWER: Gordon Brower from Barrow. 39 40 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Why don't we follow a 41 different rule and introduce ourself, who you work for and 42 where your station is at. 43 44 MR. RABINOWITCH: Sandy Rabinowitch from 45 the Staff Committee, National Park Service, Federal 46 Subsistence Board. 47 48 MS. MEYERS: Randy Meyers, BLM Management. 49 I'm a national resource specialist from Kotzebue. 50

00005 1 MS. COLE: Cindy Cole, Bureau of Land 2 Management, New Wildlife Island, Fairbanks. 3 4 MR. YOKEL: Dave Yokel with BLM in 5 Fairbanks, wildlife biologist. 6 7 MR. McCLELLAN: I'm Greg McClellan with the 8 Arctic National Wildlife Refuge out of Fairbanks office. 9 10 MR. CARROLL: Geoff Carroll, Alaska 11 Department of Fish and Game in Barrow. 12 13 (Indiscernible) I'm with the Fish and 14 Wildlife Service. 15 16 MR. ULVI: Good morning. Steve Ulvi, 17 National Park Service in Fairbanks. 18 19 MR. SHULTZ: I'm Brad Shultz, Wildlife 20 Biologist, Kotzebue. 21 22 MR. ADKISSON: Ken Adkisson, National Park 23 Service, (indiscernible) stationed in Nome. 24 25 MR. THOMPSON: Lynn Thompson, National Park 26 Service Subsistence, Nome. 27 28 MR. HUNTER: Paul Hunter, National Park 29 Service Subsistence in Anchorage. 30 31 MS. MOORE: Colleen Moore, Traditional 32 Subsistence and Fish and Game in Fairbanks. 33 34 MR. (Indiscernible): Traditional 35 subsistence, State Department of Fish and Game, Fairbanks. 36 37 MR. HAYNES: Terry Haynes, Department of 38 Fish and Game, Subsistence Division, Fairbanks. 39 40 MS. KERR: I'm Leslie Kerr. My Inupiat 41 name is (Inupiat) comes from the Lee family of the Kobuk 42 River. I'm the Refuge Manager for Selawik Management 43 National Wildlife Refuge, Kotzebue. 44 45 MR. JENNINGS: I'm Tim Jennings, Office of 46 Subsistence Management, Fish and Wildlife Service here in 47 Anchorage. 48 49 MS. LYNCH: Paula Lynch. (Indiscernible -50 away from microphone).

00006 1 MS. DEWHURST: Donna Dewhurst, I'm a 2 wildlife biologist on staff (indiscernible - away from 3 microphone). 4 5 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Barbara Armstrong, 6 Coordinator for North Slope and Northwest. 7 8 MS. FOX: Peggy Fox, Office of Subsistence 9 Management, Fish and Wildlife Service. 10 11 MR. KOLASINSKI: I'm Joe Kolasinski. I put 12 up the sound system for you guys. And I hope it works. 13 Welcome to Anchorage. 14 15 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: For a while there I 16 thought we were paying good money for a dead mike. 17 18 MR. KOLASINSKI: Me too. 19 20 (Laughter) 21 22 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: I assume everyone had a 23 chance to review the agenda. Are there any changes or 24 items anyone wish to add? Under number seven I just want 25 to add the sheep issue that we brought up in Kotzebue. 26 I'll just give a brief report on that. Unit 23(C). 27 28 MR. F. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman, would that 29 be 17(A), (B) or (C)? 30 31 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: D. 32 33 MR. F. REXFORD: D. Thank you. 34 35 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any other additions? If 36 there's no other additions a motion is in order to adopt 37 the agenda as amended. 38 39 MR. GRIEST: Mr. Chairman, I move that we 40 adopt the agenda. 41 42 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Is there a second? 43 44 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Second the motion. 45 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any discussion on the 46 47 motion? 48 49 (No audible responses) 50

00007 1 MR. GRIEST: Ouestion. 2 3 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: All in favor signify by 4 saying aye. 5 6 IN UNISON: Aye. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: All opposed? 9 10 (No opposing responses) 11 12 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Item 5, the first item 13 is the review and adoption of minutes of February 23 and 14 24, 1999, North Slope. It's under attached C. 15 16 MR. F. REXFORD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 With Harry's assistance we've had a chance to review the 18 minutes of February 23 and 24, 1999 when we met in Barrow 19 at the Heritage Center. On page three, the only question I 20 have is on the sixth sentence. I believe that was to be 21 Colville River instead of Kogru. So..... 22 23 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: On the first paragraph? 24 25 MR. F. REXFORD: Yeah. Sixth sentence 26 first paragraph under Nuiqsut should be Colville River. It 27 was Leonard (indiscernible)..... 28 29 MR. H. BROWER: Mr. Chairman. 30 31 MR. F. REXFORD: Harry. 32 33 MR. H. BROWER: I also have a couple of 34 clarifications. There's one on page four regarding the --35 in the third paragraph, Gordon asked when we will know 36 whether or not -- that should be whether we will know, so 37 that needs to be clarified. 38 39 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: That's the first 40 sentence.... 41 42 MR. BROWER: Yes. 43 of the first 44 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: 45 paragraph? 46 47 MR. BROWER: Yes. 48 49 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: I'll attend to it. And 50 what are you asking?

00008 1 MR. BROWER: I was just asking you if we 2 were going to be updated on that. 3 4 MR. F. REXFORD: I believe we are under 5 fisheries under the reports from Bill Knauer. 6 7 MR. BROWER: All right. And the next one is on page nine. It's on the first or second sentence 8 there on the top. It talks about a radio collar for 9 10 bowhead whales. I don't think it's a radio collar, I think 11 it's supposed to be a transmitter. 12 13 That's all I have, Mr. Chairman. 14 15 MR. F. REXFORD: In place of Russian radio 16 collar you want went with a transmitter? 17 18 MR. BROWER: Radio transmitter, yes. 19 20 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Thank you. 21 22 MR. F. REXFORD: Okay. Anything else from 23 North Slope Council? If there are no other corrections or 24 additions, motion is in order to adopt the minutes of 25 February 23 and 24, '99. 26 27 MR. BROWER: So moved, Mr. Chairman. 28 29 Thank you, Harry. MR. F. REXFORD: 30 MR. UPICKSOUN: Second. 31 32 33 MR. F. REXFORD: Seconded by Gordon. 34 35 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Question. 36 37 38 MR. F. REXFORD: Question's called. All 39 in favor of approving the North Slope Regional Advisory 40 Council Minutes of February 23 and 24, '99 with the noted 41 corrections on page three and page nine, all in favor say 42 aye. 43 44 IN UNISON: Aye. 45 46 MR. F. REXFORD: Those opposed, same sign. 47 48 (No opposing responses) 49 50 MR. F. REXFORD: Thank you.

00009 1 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Fenton. We 2 have also the minutes of March 2, 1999 for the Northwest We 3 Region. Motion is in order to adopt or put the issue on the floor on the minutes of March 2. 4 5 6 MR. GRIEST: Mr. Chairman, I move that we 7 approve the minutes of March 2, 1999 for Northwest Arctic 8 Subsistence Regional and Advisory Council meeting. 9 10 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Is there a second? 11 12 MS. WARD: Second. 13 14 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any changes anyone wish 15 to make, or corrections? 16 17 MR. GRIEST: I quess I only got one 18 question, Mr. Chairman. 19 20 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Bert? 21 22 MR. GRIEST: I know the State didn't pass 23 their subsistence things like we had discussion on joint 24 jurisdiction between the State and the Feds. I didn't see 25 that on the agenda but I quess that will be covered. 26 27 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Is it under fisheries? 28 29 MR. GRIEST: No, subsistence -- yeah, law 30 enforcement. 31 32 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yeah. That's on the 33 agenda, I believe. Any other corrections? 34 35 (No audible responses) 36 37 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: If there are no other 38 questions or notations to make, all in favor to adopt the 39 minutes of March 2 signify by saying aye. 40 41 IN UNISON: Aye. 42 43 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: All opposed? 44 45 (No opposing responses) 46 47 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: They're adopted. Thank 48 you. 49 50 Item Six on the agenda is the opening -- we'll open

00010 1 our public comments on Federal Subsistence Management 2 Program. There's some forms at the front desk if anyone 3 wishes to fill out any forms. Does anyone have any 4 comments? 5 6 (No audible responses) 7 8 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: I guess I have one 9 question that I've been kind of wondering about it. And it 10 probably will go to the -- the issue will be to the 11 subsistence program. Is there a way we can get a break 12 down on how the money is being spent on the program from 13 Fish and Wildlife Service? I certainly want to know. Ι 14 mean, you know, under fisheries talks, I know the proposal 15 to manage fisheries is broken down by region and how much 16 money is going to be spent in each specific region for 17 fisheries. But I fail to see anything on game. 18 19 Peggy. 20 21 MS. FOX: Mr. Chairman, Peggy Fox. I'm 22 with the Office of Subsistence Management, Fish and 23 Wildlife. And I will follow through with your request. 24 I'll meet with you and find out specifically what you're 25 interested in, maybe at a break. I can't provide you that 26 information today but I will follow up. Is that 27 satisfactory? 28 29 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okay. 30 31 MS. FOX: Thank you. 32 33 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Because I understand 34 that from the subsistence management program \$1,000,000 was 35 used to buy computers for Fish and Wildlife Service 36 statewide, for the whole office and not just the 37 subsistence office in the different regions. For instance, 38 in Kotzebue. Am I correct or wrong? 39 40 MS. FOX: No, I think you've been 41 misinformed. The money was actually distributed to several 42 agencies and from what I know some people were hired to 43 help us get organized in the different agencies to 44 implement October 1st, Park Service, BLM, Forest Service. 45 Everybody got a portion of that and not the entire one 46 million was distributed. It was held in case we did 47 implement October 1st and will be put with the other 10 48 million as we move forward. 49 50 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okay.

00011 1 MS. FOX: Thank you. 2 3 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any member of the 4 Council have any comments toward the program? Or 5 questions? 6 7 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, did I 8 understand her when she said she'll give us a more specific 9 dollar amounts? 10 11 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: That's what I had asked. 12 Yeah. Any other questions? 13 14 MR. F. REXFORD: Yeah. Mr. Chairman, 15 probably under the Chair's reports we'll probably hear 16 something on the stipends and maybe we'll -- it's been an 17 ongoing issue for the Chairmen and the Council to seek 18 stipends or honorarium of that nature to help offset coming 19 to the meetings a couple of days. Should be about four 20 days, two days of travel, two days of meeting. So 21 financially we'll probably -- that's a finance in fact on 22 the Council members. Probably discuss that maybe under the 23 Chair's report? 24 25 Okay. Item A under CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: 26 number six is the village concerns from all members. What 27 we'll do is start this way, then move over that way, back 28 and forth, if that's okay. Rosie, you want to start? You 29 have any.... 30 31 MS. WARD: I have no village concerns. 32 33 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon. 34 35 MR. G. BROWER: I got a question concerning 36 birds. I don't know if somebody here can answer. But 37 we've been having some discussions on endangered species, 38 eiders, in Barrow, and doing some kind of development 39 related issues concerning the eiders up there that are 40 being potentially displaced from development. And I'd like 41 to find out if someone from here can talk about that. 42 43 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon, maybe we can ask 44 if somebody here can get a hold of Mimi Hogan when she gets 45 -- later on in the agenda on migratory birds update, if she 46 can report on that. Although the migratory bird issue is 47 separate from our program here. As I understand it, the 48 commission that was set up was primarily to make sure that 49 subsistence hunting of migratory birds takes place, and 50 that commission has done its duty now. They're trying to

00012 1 figure out how to manage it, or whether or not this Regional Advisory Council will be involved in that or how 2 3 it's going to be set up statewide. That, as far as I know, 4 is an unanswered question yet. 5 6 MR. G. BROWNER: That was just one of the 7 concerns I had. I went out fishing in Tuntutuliak, fishing 8 and hunting was pretty good up in (indiscernible). 9 10 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: You got anything else? 11 12 MR. G. BROWER: No. 13 14 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Raymond? 15 16 MR. STONEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I've 17 got two issue concerns from my village of Kiana, which were 18 passed to me. Number one, I guess, Mr. Chairman, for the 19 State Board of Game, the question has come up again the 20 sales of antlers is coming up, so the recommendations from 21 the IRA and the (indiscernible) Kiana is the same as the 22 last meeting on sale of antlers. Do you have any idea 23 what's going to happen on the Board meeting? Going to 24 happen very quickly? 25 26 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: No, I don't. Geoff, do 27 you have anything that might happen on sale of antlers in 28 the Board of Game meeting? Is that coming up? Or anyone 29 from the State know? 30 31 (Inaudible response) 32 33 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Probably still a 34 sleeping issue at this point. 35 36 MR. STONEY: Okay. Second issue, Mr. 37 Chairman, is the Waterfowl Treaty. As you know there's a 38 lot of fowl (indiscernible), that is a concern not only for 39 most folks we work with in the interior, everywhere, 40 getting this situation going for a treaty that the agency 41 should go to every village and then get recommendations to 42 regulate it that will come up before us for the next 43 generation on the Waterfowl Treaty. That's a very 44 concerned issue, not only just for myself and all of the 45 members of the interior especially. And then of course the 46 new (indiscernible) will come before the Board of Game 47 meeting about how to manage the waterfowl. 48 49 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: I'm not sure how that 50 issue is being brought forth right now. Is anybody here

00013 1 can give us an answer to that? 2 3 MR. C. HOPSON: The way that is put, Mr. 4 Chairman, there's a lot of requests from IRA is they should 5 go to all the villages and then listen to the input from 6 several people for regulations. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Fred, have you got a 9 response to that? 10 11 MR. ARMSTRONG: Fred Armstrong, Mr. 12 Chairman. The hearing process is still open I think until 13 the end of this month. The discussion right now is in new 14 management bodies. The treaty calls for a management body 15 consisting of Alaska Natives, the Federal government and 16 the State. And there was some alternatives that were 17 presented to the public and they're receiving comment on 18 those right now. The deadline was extended an additional 19 month because of the concerns of Bush Alaska not getting 20 adequate time to comment on the proposal. 21 22 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Is there a way that we 23 can convey that message to Fish and Wildlife Service about 24 having additional hearings in the villages? 25 26 MR. ARMSTRONG: It will be brought forward. 27 28 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okay. Thank you. 29 30 MR. STONEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 31 32 Thank you, Raymond. CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: 33 Charlie. 34 35 The only concern that we MR. C. HOPSON: 36 have up there, that new committee that they're trying to 37 form on the caribou -- the Western Arctic Caribou Herd, and 38 I talked with a lot of our elders and we need to have a 39 meeting in our own town, that we're kind of concerned about 40 that committee. I heard there's something like 50 or 60 41 villages involved in this thing. And we want to get 42 together with our hunters sometime next month or so to try 43 to -- you know, I don't know any -- I need to get a meeting 44 together. But that is our concern on the Western Arctic 45 Caribou Herd. I don't know what whether the North Slope 46 wants to join it or not since there's so many villages that 47 are concerned. So we should have a report next month or 48 so. Charlie Brower was wanting to have a meeting with 49 Councils and see what we can do, or what steps there are. 50 We might get into trouble up there with our own people if

00014 we join. But that's our only concern with the caribou 1 2 herd. 3 4 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Fenton. 5 6 MR. F. REXFORD: Yeah. Mr. Hopson, the 7 North Slope Fish and Game Management Committee will be 8 meeting next Tuesday and Wednesday. 9 10 MR. C. HOPSON: Yeah. 11 12 MR. F. REXFORD: So that issue will be 13 brought before the committee to discuss the..... 14 15 MR. C. HOPSON: Because that was the only 16 concern I have. 17 18 MR. F. REXFORD: That will be discussed 19 next week. 20 21 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman. 22 23 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon. 24 25 MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes. Fenton, could you be 26 more specific when you said the North Slope Borough 27 Wildlife Management Committee will be meeting and who will 28 they be meeting with, and will they be meeting by 29 themselves? I believe Charlie's concern is where the 30 villages will stand on this plan that he's concerned about. 31 32 MR. F. REXFORD: Yeah. Mr. Upicksoun, the 33 North Slope Fish and Game Committee has discussed this a 34 couple of times and it's coming before the committee again 35 with, I believe, Mr. Trent, who I recall will be there to 36 help facilitate the discussion. 37 38 MR. UPICKSOUN: All right, thank you. 39 40 MR. F. REXFORD: I think he's the chair or 41 the.... 42 43 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yeah. He's one of the 44 co-chairs of that group that was formed early, I don't know 45 how many years ago, to try to get a handle on the caribou 46 herd before something drastic happens to it. 47 48 MR. C. HOPSON: Yeah. The only concern 49 that some of the elders have, you know, there's 56 other 50 villages trying to control this Western Arctic Caribou Herd

00015 1 and here we are one vote, you know, and something happens down the along the line and they want people to quit 2 3 hunting caribou just all of a sudden. And that's our main 4 concern, you know, with so many villages and towns involved 5 in that same herd. And it's a big concern to us up there, 6 on the caribou herd. 7 8 That's all I have. 9 10 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Charlie. 11 12 A little history on that, I guess. I've been to 13 some of those meetings back in the '70s when the herd 14 crashed, of course the estimate from Fish and Game was 15 60,000 but if you look at the report it was more like 16 100,000. So the restrictions came where we could only get 17 one bull. And 1,000 bull caribou was allocated for the 18 North Slope Region. And I remember the hearing I went to 19 in Fairbanks where they were trying to divvying it up. But 20 unfortunately, there was nobody from the North Slope there. 21 So when I asked for 800, they gave us 800 of the 1,000. 22 But I don't think that's the case this time. But there is 23 a concern within the Department of Fish and Game and the 24 agencies that are involved in the management of the herd, 25 where the herd passes over and at some point in time our 26 people know that it will crash. I mean that's a historical 27 fact. We all know that. The Natives know that. So they 28 were just trying to get ready to make sure the management 29 policies or regulations that are going to be put forth are 30 looked at before we're restricted so severely in. 31 32 Thank you, Charlie. Joe. 33 34 MR. AREY: Mr. Chairman, I lose appointment 35 this coming year. I never get around to my people to ask 36 them what they (indiscernible) but in the future I'll have 37 more. 38 39 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Joe. 40 Leonard. 41 42 MR. TUKLE: At this time we don't have a 43 concern from Nuiqsut. 44 45 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Bert. 46 47 MR. GRIEST: I know we've had worst problem 48 we've had with an over abundance of beavers impacting our 49 fisheries. And it's a continuing problem. On the more 50 regional and statewide levels I guess I'd be interested on

00016 1 down later in the meeting probably to address the areas of 2 the local hire issues and co-management issues. 3 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okay. Who's next down 4 5 there? Oh, Mike. 6 7 MR. PATKOTAK: I'm asleep over here. Well, 8 our hunting conditions up in Baird Bay were excellent. The 9 caribou continues to be healthy. And there seems to be an 10 increase in our salmon coming into Baird Bay. What was 11 noticeable was that there was hardly any spotted seals. 12 Hardly any kasia (ph). And which caused me t --, or I told 13 my father there must be a lot of allo (ph) around which is, 14 you know, killer whales, which feed on the seals. Oddly 15 enough we didn't notice them around. But the increase in 16 the salmon was welcome change in diet, so to speak. The 17 ice was a lot thinner again. And seems like even short and 18 quick changes in the wind would cause pressure ridges to 19 pile up, which were further out, by the way. And the water 20 table was a lot higher. What used to be high tide levels 21 seems to be the low tide level now. Even some of the spit 22 there, which is eroding. I don't know whether it's erosion 23 or a higher water table. But nonetheless alarming. Even 24 in Kokrugarok, where we go every year, there's a spit, the 25 erosion is considerably more. The water temperatures were 26 a lot higher. This year I don't know whether you can 27 advocate that to the El Nino effect or call it what you 28 may, but the water temperatures were anywhere from six to 29 12 degrees warmer than usual. The water temperatures were 30 considerably warmer inside the bay than were outside. But 31 nonetheless something that we talked about quite a bit. 32 33 Other than that -- oh, by the way, I don't know, 34 maybe my Wainwright counterpart can join in on the increase 35 in grizzly. Although the caribou was pretty healthy, the 36 grizzly bears were pretty -- I don't know whether they 37 concentrated on Baird Bay. Just maybe because of the 38 increase in fish, but they kept the caribou away the first 39 part of the season, and thank goodness, they seemed to come 40 back around during the fall. Other than that things were 41 pretty normal. 42 43 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Mike. Enoch, 44 we were going over village concerns. By the way, Enoch 45 Shiedt from Kotzebue, newly appointed. He worked for 46 Maniilaq as subsistence coordinator. He hears a lot from 47 the villages. So how about from Kotzebue, have you heard 48 any concerns that people might have? 49 50 MR. SHIEDT: Their main concern is the

00017 1 health of the caribou and the way they're being collared. 2 That's when I talked to four different villages, Kivalina 3 Noatak, Shungnak and Kotzebue. So I haven't been to all 4 yet this year. So that's the main thing they have right 5 now. 6 7 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Anything else? 8 9 MR. SHIEDT: No, that's about it on 10 caribou. 11 12 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any other issues? 13 14 MR. SHIEDT: The other one is I heard from 15 a few of the Selawik people on beaver. There's getting to 16 be too many beaver. Also a couple people from Noatak 17 called and said, you know, they'd never had a beaver, they 18 want to see. They're scared of the fish being stopped by 19 the dams on beaver. And that's about it for now. 20 21 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Enoch. Paul. 22 23 MR. BODFISH, SR.: Yes. I've got no 24 concerns coming from our village. But hunting and 25 everything has been okay up there. That's all I have. 26 27 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Paul. As far 28 as I've heard, you know, we still want to hunt muskox. 29 30 MR. BODFISH, SR.: Yeah. 31 32 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: In Kotzebue, anyway. 33 People up there think there are getting to be too many 34 cause there never was any for a couple hundred years 35 anyway. So it's not a natural resource that we had up 36 there but a planted in resource. They brought them there 37 years ago, now they've multiplied so much they're all over 38 the place. The issue was brought up in the Kiana meeting 39 where strays, if we can harvest the strays out of the 40 monument where they're supposed to be. And I asked this 41 same issue of whether or not, of the animals that we wished 42 to harvest from the park land, if those strays can be 43 counted as part of the harvest. And I never got a straight 44 answer from the Park Service yet. Because they're 45 elsewhere in the region other than the Noatak and we've 46 seen them in the Kobuck Valley Park or the (indiscernible) 47 Monument. 48 49 And of course the other issue that people always 50 talk about is the user conflict. Again, this year some of

00018 1 the migrations were altered, some of the people in Kotzebue didn't get to go out and hunt as much as they used to. 2 3 They were altered up in the Noatak. But I think we can go 4 all day with that issue and -- it's a never ending issue 5 that has to be resolved in some way. And I'll get more 6 into that in the report later on. 7 8 There's some other issues that are on the agenda 9 that I'll bring forth, from what some of the people in 10 Kotzebue have been talking to me about. 11 12 MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chairman. 13 14 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yeah. 15 16 MR. PATKOTAK: One thing that I failed to 17 hear is the -- now that you mention muskox, our people in 18 Anaktuvuk Pass, the muskox are displacing the migration of 19 caribou and causing a very serious concern in this area. 20 And I just want to bring that out and put it on the record 21 here that that concern needs to be seriously dealt with, 22 and these hunters having to travel even further than their 23 normal hunting grounds because of this displacement. When 24 you look at muskox from a long ways, and I had the pleasure 25 of viewing one just recently, and they move surprisingly 26 like a bear. Bear and brown grizzly. And caribou 27 initially will run when they see something like that. So 28 this is an issue, an ongoing issue that's albeit it the --29 I don't know what do you call these people that want to 30 increase the populations of muskox. Nature depleted them 31 and I don't know why you want to cause hardship for people 32 in Anaktuvuk Pass in that respect. But it is something 33 that needs to be seriously addressed because this is --34 caribou is a stable source of food for Anaktuvuk Pass and 35 to see them without causes concern. And it behooves me 36 that biologists or God knows, these well-intentioned folks 37 that want to reinstitute muskox, should place these animals 38 well being ahead of people. And this should not be so. 39 40 Gordon CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Mike. 41 Upicksoun. 42 43 MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Our 44 concerns at Point Lay are the same as any member concern 45 here. It's the State's inability to resolve the 46 subsistence issue. And we're very concerned about that and 47 there seems to be no solution to it. 48 49 And that's about it, Mr. Chairman. 50

00019 1 MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chairman. 2 3 4 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Mike. 5 MR. PATKOTAK: There is a solution, Gordon. 6 Create the 51st state and just break away from this 7 apartheid creating legislature and move away. America's 8 the greatest nation. Let's create a 51st state. That's 9 the solution I see. They won't listen to us. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Well, we have the 12 solution now. We can work with the Federal government on 13 our subsistence issues which is quite a bit more receptive 14 than the State. Ray. 15 16 MR. KOONUK, SR.: The only concern -- well 17 a couple of concerns is that we had one polar bear running 18 around back in July, it got stranded. And the other 19 concern is same thing as Point Lay is that the State has 20 not resolved the subsistence issue. 21 22 So that's about it. 23 24 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you. Terry. 25 26 MR. TAGAROOK: Good morning. I think what 27 Raymond said earlier, I think that all the agencies, before 28 you make your proposals, that you get the input from all 29 the villages. Not just in our regions but the other 30 regions also. These are the people that know these game 31 and know where they are. Before you make your proposals, 32 go to the villages because they are the experts and get 33 their input before making a proposal. And that's one 34 solution of making things easier for us. 35 36 And in the meantime, caribous are migrating in our 37 area. There seems to be some wolves that are also very 38 close too. 39 40 I have nothing else. Thank you. 41 42 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Terry. 43 Harry. 44 45 MR. H. BROWER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 46 don't have any real concerns. Most of them you've heard 47 from Gordon and Charlie. And hunting has been very good in 48 Barrow, although we have other communities that have some 49 resource problems that we hear of, especially from the 50 Borough. I work for the North Slope Borough Wildlife

00020 1 Management and we do hear concerns from other communities 2 regarding caribou and other resources not being available 3 to them at the time that they need the resource. But that 4 gets resolved at a later time at the end of the year when 5 the resources start migrating back and forth. So they do 6 become available at a later time, which seems to resolve 7 the problem itself, you know. But we hear it year after 8 year, especially from Anaktuvuk. Ben probably has heard. 9 You'll probably hear from him tomorrow on that. That's one 10 of the places where we have the problems where concern was 11 brought out on caribou. Although the North Slope has the 12 largest, you know, caribou populations, now that we have, 13 you know, the four herds that we have to deal with 14 Porcupine, the Teshekpuk, the Central and the Western 15 herds. Some of our communities still have the availability 16 of resources not being there at certain times of the year. 17 That's just one of the things that I, you know, have to 18 deal with throughout the year. 19 20 Hunting has pretty good. Fall whale was really 21 successful in Kaktovik and Nuigsut, Barrow. It's been 22 pretty good. 23 24 Weather-wise the weather been a little unusual this 25 year. We had hardly any snow falling even through the 26 summer. You know, I think we had a record breaker for snow 27 not being on the ground, or it not snowing in the middle of 28 summer. Sixty-four days, I think that's what the 29 weatherman said. Then the other part of that, the rivers 30 not freezing early. Just a little later than last year 31 from what I observed this year. Otherwise hunting has been 32 pretty good throughout the community. 33 34 Thank you. 35 36 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Harry. 37 Welcome, Ben. 38 39 MR. B. HOPSON: Thank you. 40 41 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Ben Hopson from 42 Anaktuvuk Pass. You got any village concerns that you want 43 to share with us? 44 45 MR. B. HOPSON: I really don't have, you 46 know, any village concerns. Things are going pretty well 47 in Anaktuvuk. I know they had a decent caribou migration 48 run this year. And the village is very much interested in 49 a muskox hunt yet, both on State and Federal. And that's 50 yet to be discussed.

00021 1 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you. Fenton. 2 3 MR. F. REXFORD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 4 As far as Kaktovik area, the summer has been pretty slow as 5 far as caribou is concerned. While I think I could count 6 on both of my hands that with a population of only 250, I 7 think only 10 caribou were caught since April. So it's 8 been a very bad summer for the folks there in Kaktovik. 9 The Porcupine caribou migration turned around pretty fast. 10 And we want to discuss this further with the Arctic 11 National Wildlife Refuge or the refuge system of user 12 conflict. 13 14 We've discussed this at our previous meeting and I 15 believe that there might be a report on the use by the 16 hikers and the floaters and other sight-seers that are 17 right on the migration path there at Kongakut River Pass 18 area where the migration hits before it goes on to the 19 Kaktovik area. And we believe that this particular base 20 camp or sites that they pick up people and drop them off on 21 floaters is a site that needs to be seriously looked at, 22 cause we again had a very bad summer as far as getting any 23 of the Porcupine caribou herd. 24 25 So that is our concern. The other one is while the 26 caribou weren't around this summer that we seen muskox. So 27 I think the community will come up with a proposal to have 28 a year round hunt for muskoxen in replacement of not 29 getting any caribou, because that will be probably our next 30 source of food for the population that at Kaktovik, which 31 is getting close to 300 now and only catching less than a 32 dozen caribou all summer long is pretty bad. 33 The other issue, Mr. Chairman, but we'll discuss 34 35 this, is permitting the catch of muskox, on how they issue 36 permits. I know Mr. McClellan from the refuge will talk 37 about that issue. It's a local issue. But I wanted to 38 bring up that just for the other Council members and from 39 our neighbors around Kotzebue area and other places where 40 they're looking towards muskox. We had a pretty open 41 permitting system where family members or the households 42 would get maybe two or three permits for one house. And 43 that didn't kind of spread out. So the community 44 discussed, and Greg will update us, on how the permits is 45 handled locally. So that will only be one household 46 permit, or one permit per household. It's kind of spread. 47 They split the take of only 15 muskox at Kaktovik area. 48 49 As far as the Anaktuvuk Pass area, this is an 50 ongoing concern with muskox and maybe further down the

00022 1 agenda I would like to propose an action item from the 2 Council to get a policy or a position statement from the 3 Federal agencies that if -- I know that they were 4 reintroduced by our area or at Arctic National Wildlife 5 Refuge without our input. I believe the State was involved 6 in that. Now they are repopulating other areas, moving 7 west. And I know there are impacts around Anaktuvuk Pass. 8 There should be a position statement to reestablish muskox 9 population so that they can hear from the villages, they 10 can talk to the villages that are impacted. A position 11 statement will help. I know the National Park Service has 12 said that it is not their policy to take animals to reduce 13 a nuisance animal. To identify this as a nuisance animal 14 we need to get away from that and get local input for the 15 reestablishment of a resource population that is impacting 16 the main source of food, which is the caribou. We've heard 17 concerns from the North Slope and other areas that this 18 happens. So we need a position statement or policy to be 19 in effect of taking not only in the State that we are 20 currently using, I think what they call incidental take on 21 State and private lands around Anaktuvuk Pass, which would 22 be the corporation lands and State lands. 23

24 And there are other areas that could be the same 25 muskox crossing from private and State lands into the park 26 service. It could be the same animal, just a boundary 27 change. If by chance they didn't get the muskox in the 28 corporation or State land, which is the same animal they 29 could have taken, cross over to the park service lands. 30 They're so restricted we can't, under current policy, take 31 that same animal that crossed the same land. The same 32 animal that folks there could have taken on State and 33 regional corporation land. This issue needs to be 34 discussed. And I would like to make that an action item 35 for not only the Park Service but other Federal lands. 36 Maybe rather than take an incidental take, for them to 37 allow the take of strays or something rather than call it 38 nuisance animal where it's a current National Park Service 39 policy. So -- I have one more issue, Mr. Chairman. 40

Probably under the agency reports, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to hear the boards and I'm glad that we had an opportunity, Taqulik Hepa, myself, allowed the alternative, We were very happy to participate in the Kotzebue user Sconflict, which we are in the same boat in the Kaktovik area, about the use of recreation sightseers and that is effecting Kaktovik's welfare. We'll probably hear something on that under the Fish and Wildlife Service.

50

CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: It is on the agenda.

00023 1 Yeah. 2 3 MR. F. REXFORD: Let me just review one 4 thing. 5 6 MR. UPICKSOUN: It's Tab H, or Tab F under 7 A3. 8 9 MR. F. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman, if something 10 comes up -- again, I believe that's pretty much all I have 11 as far as Kaktovik. I'll just summarize that the summer 12 for caribou was pretty bad and there needs to be something 13 to replace what we can catch as far as the resources that 14 are available. 15 16 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 18 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Fenton. 19 20 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman. 21 22 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon. 23 24 MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes. Mr. Chairman, in 25 regards to Fenton Rexford's request for position statement 26 regarding muskox that probably effect the migratory pattern 27 of caribou coming into Anaktuvuk Pass, we discussed that 28 issue regarding muskox that are dispersed in the Point Lay 29 area that have affected the caribou in that area. And it 30 took many years before that issue was resolved. So in 31 regards to the position statement that Mr. Rexford 32 requested, I hope that issue is addressed a little faster 33 than the issue was -- how the issue was resolved regarding 34 muskox dispersed in our area and affecting our ability to 35 hunt caribou. I hope the issue is resolved a little faster 36 for Anaktuvuk. 37 38 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Gordon. 39 Fenton, we can probably take it up under number 7A on the 40 agenda. 41 42 MR. F. REXFORD: Yes. Thank you. 43 44 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: The position you want to 45 take. Ben. 46 47 MR. B. HOPSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, 48 I've forgotten one other issue. Last year I was involved 49 with that campaign to defeat the wolf snaring ballot 50 initiative. Our organization has been loosely intact, yet

00024 1 as a group. We do know the animal rights groups are going 2 to be attempting another ballot initiative having something 3 to do with the -- in the way of elimination of trapping. 4 We don't know the exact wording yet but the year 2000 is 5 coming up and that's uneven years, is when the ballot 6 initiatives can be introduced and taken up by voters of 7 Alaska. 8 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Ben. 9 10 I'd like to welcome Percy Ballot from Buckland. 11 The agenda item were on, Percy, is concerns from your 12 village. And you're up now. 13 14 MR. BALLOT, SR.: Okay. I'm Percy Ballot 15 from Buckland. The only thing we got, is we've got too 16 many bears and too much restrictions on bears. They come 17 into town and really when we hunt bears we just go get the 18 meat (indiscernible). People can't hunt them because of 19 the restrictions on them. So that's our concern. 20 21 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Percy. 22 23 MR. AREY: Mr. Chairman. 24 25 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Joe. 26 27 MR. AREY: Yeah. (Indiscernible - away 28 from microphone) lots of caribou on the river 29 (indiscernible) usually the caribous are fat. So this 30 should be the concern that the biologists and they're not 31 telling us what's going on. 32 33 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Joe. Did 34 everybody hear that? Sick caribou. Caribou with 35 infections out of Noatak. 36 37 MR. AREY: And they leave the meat, and 38 when they're fat, they don't want to get their family sick. 39 They leave the meat and Feds come around, or Park Service 40 or whoever is preserving that place, they say them Natives 41 are wasting food. They're not. They don't want to take it 42 home and feed it to their family cause they're infected. 43 44 Thank you. 45 46 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you. Enoch. 47 48 MR. SHIEDT: Okay. Willie, now that I'm 49 awake. Okay. You will hear about caribou. Okay. I've 50 been to a few of those villages, like I said, four but I

1 get a lot of calls from the villages. On the sick caribou, there's getting to be as much as -- when I talk to Fish and 2 Game, 16 percent. We had two mild winters. And the elders 3 4 in the sick caribou aren't getting killed off. And I get 5 one call, somebody get a sick caribou, the Fish and Game 6 went out there, got the caribou, gave it to someone to see 7 if they'll get sick. That got me pretty upset. I was 8 living here in Anchorage. I flew to Kotzebue. The lady 9 they gave it to was one of my relatives. And I told the 10 enforcers if you're going to give the sick caribou away, 11 you eat it yourself. I mean they got to quit that to our That's why I'm here, hoping I make a difference. 12 people. 13 Yeah, Joe is right. This summer when we were hunting 14 caribou in Noatak we get a lot of sick caribou. I mean 15 lots. I mean it had close to a dollar size pus on the 16 liver. They weren't just part way, these were all the way. 17 And caribou I hunt a lot. One quy got, it was so full of 18 pus on the legs that we -- it's yellowish, white, coming 19 all over. 20

21 And like Joe say, that's when we always get blamed 22 when we leave the caribou. We don't want to get our 23 families sick. The Fish and Game and other enforces want 24 us to take it home. If they're really concerned about it, 25 why don't they take it home to their family. Quit using 26 us. I mean you guys go to quit telling us what to do with 27 rules before you talk to us. Our elders taught us from way 28 back how they treat our caribou and our different animals. 29 These are natural biologists. They take care of the game 30 themselves and they had no problem until you people come 31 around and tell us what to do. That's the problem we're 32 having and we're running into. You guys come from Lower 48 33 to tell us what to do on game. We were balancing it, our 34 forefathers were balancing it for years. The problem is 35 not here. It's over here. It's you people. 36

And I had a question on muskox, what do they eat? Mhen I asked that in one of our meetings in Kotzebue by the Park Service, I think it was Park Service, I'm not real usure, but they told us they don't eat moss. I just went to another meeting at Sand -- one of the scientists at the Sand Dune said they eat moss out there. And when you talk a to other people from Nome area, one of the meetings I went to, those people they tired of the muskox. They tear up all their berry picking patches and they don't move for hobody. And they scare the caribou.

And I did one survey in the village of Shungnak on 49 the take per household, and I'm going to do it on all our 50 villages. I'm going to do it in four year cycles, four by

00025

1 11 villages. Four per year. And this is house to house 2 survey without the other agencies. And I will find out how 3 many they use per family. And Shungnak is 376 people. 4 Right around 376 people. Close to 600 caribou is taken per year, and that's the most accurate count ever taken by 5 6 anyone. Every household was interviewed except two. But 7 the two, they weren't in Shungnak. I talked to them over 8 the CB. But they say I can't take it, Fish and Game, cause 9 I didn't interview them in person. So what's the 10 difference between we ask them any how verbally, same thing 11 as radio or telephone. So what's wrong with the CB? Now 12 you tell me that. Since I'm getting something accurate, 13 they got to try to find some fault with. I mean the 14 fault's got to quit. The experts are the Natives mostly. 15 16 If you don't see it on paper you think it's not 17 there. And we try to tell the people something different, 18 they don't hear us because we don't have that piece of 19 paper saying we are educated. 20 21 Okay. Thanks. 22 23 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any other village 24 concerns? 25 26 MR. G. BROWER: Yeah. Mr. Chairman. 27 28 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon. 29 30 MR. G. BROWER: I one time had the 31 privilege to go through Red Dog Mine as a permitter for 32 North Slope Borough. There was a concern they had asked us 33 question, what do you see as a future problem with this 34 operation. And I would have thought that contamination of 35 the nuna (ph) would have been one of them because when we 36 talked to some of the operators (Inupiat) who had talked to 37 some of those people, and they said sometimes they have a 38 roll over, or something like that, they have to cleanup the 39 mess. And I know they had done some other stuff to 40 minimize the dust that flew off the trucks on their way to 41 the dock, and had been doing some modifications and stuff 42 that way. But I think a contamination issue for wildlife 43 migration in that area would have been a problem for that 44 kind of an operation. And I would very much like to see 45 biologists check for that kind of contamination in the 46 migration route. Maybe in the Tutus, or something like 47 that, if that would cause infection or something like that 48 in the livers of animals, of that kind of chemical of lead 49 and zinc. That was just one of the concerns. When I went 50 through there I had asked about a potential problem in the

00026

00027 1 future. And most of the policies that North Slope Borough 2 has is protecting wildlife, and that's the only thing I had come up with. And (Inupiat) Noatak from those roads and 3 4 the other way is that other whaling village they got the 5 other way. 6 7 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Gordon. 8 9 MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chairman. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Mike. 12 13 MR. PATKOTAK: Another thing that I forgot 14 about was there's been an increase in aircraft activity, 15 especially those ones with -- I'm a private pilot and I 16 have a tendency to look at the types of aircraft and stuff. 17 Now the kind of aircraft that I see more of are ones with 18 big number 24 tires. The kind that can land on almost --19 they can almost land on bog. And a friend of mine came up 20 to me and said hey, you know, I have a serious thorn up my 21 you know what. He witnessed an aircraft harassing caribou. 22 And I mean harassing them. Here he was trying to catch 23 caribou himself and the aircraft didn't notice him but the 24 aircraft continued to -- and he said he had time to get 25 first three numbers but didn't quite get all the numbers. 26 It was an American aircraft cause it started with an N. 27 And so this increase in activity in the aircrafts is 28 increasing. Although I've never seen, myself personally, 29 harassment of any kind of animals by these aircraft, but 30 there seems to be more and more of them flying on the North 31 Slope. 32 33 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Mike. 34 Anybody else? 35 36 MR. BALLOT, SR.: Mr. Chairman. 37 38 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Percy. 39 40 MR. BALLOT, SR.: Just the one suggestion 41 about leaving of animals, maybe we need to start thinking 42 of something we need to do by bringing of tissue, or what 43 is needed to let the people know that what we leave out 44 there is not good? A leg or a liver or whatever. Some 45 indication to let our hunters know that. In order to show 46 our good faith we should bring something back for them to 47 look at for whatever reason. 48 49 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Raymond. 50

1 MR. STONEY: Mr. Chairman, a follow-up on 2 Mr. Ballot's (indiscernible - away from microphone). I've 3 seen in last five years where I had a catch of a sick 4 caribou, Park Service said ship it to a lab and then we 5 could get the results. Well, okay. We do that. But the 6 results from these guys came 13 months later. Why we have 7 to wait one year and one month for the results? So this 8 thing, Mr. Chairman, should be looked into for both 9 agencies, very important between State and Federal, this is 10 important hunting. Very seriously, it's like Enoch said 11 about the sick caribou (indiscernible) giving to people, I 12 think that be the wrong thing. So again, Mr. Chairman, I 13 say that this issue should be looked very closely between 14 both Natives and State and Federal, about the result why 15 are these caribous sick and endangered? 16 17 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 18 19 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Raymond. 20 Ben. 21 22 MR. B. HOPSON: Mr. Chairman, with this 23 issue over sick caribous, we had an incident happened in 24 Anaktuvuk several summers ago where a hunter harvested a 25 caribou and this caribou was sick with pus everywhere. And 26 then I remember him saying on radio to us that he was going 27 to leave this caribou behind in the willows. And a few 28 days later this hunter was issued a citation from the 29 National Park Service for dumping that caribou. And, you 30 know, he didn't want to take this caribou home cause, you 31 know, they're going to get sick from it. So this issue 32 really needs to be looked into further without just saying 33 wanton waste. 34 35 Thank you. 36 37 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you. Anybody 38 else? If not, let's take 10 minutes. Take a break. 39 40 (Off record) 41 42 (On record) 43 44 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Good morning, folks. Ιf 45 we can find out way back into our chairs we can proceed 46 with the next item on the agenda. We got a brown envelope 47 over here somebody seems to have laid down. Who does that 48 belong to? The Chair's report. I'm going to ask Fenton to 49 give us a brief report on it. 50

00028

Go ahead, Fenton.

MR. F. REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As the Chair of the North Slope Regional Advisory Council, I'd like to welcome the new members from your area, Willie, and glad that they're able to help with our similar issues, not only at North Slope but also at Northwest that I've heard this morning.

10 So with that, under 6B, under Tab D, you'll find 11 the written reports of our Chairmen meeting held on May 2. 12 There were several discussion items. I'll just briefly go 13 over those. 14

The Council stipend under the discussion items is a continuing issue. We've written letters, we've signed a request to the Secretary of Interior, and I believe maybe we should discuss that after giving the report here. So you'll see it again under Tab D, the discussion items, that a letter was drafted and the Chairs did approve and sign and sent on to Secretary of Interior requesting the increase in the amount of stipend that Councils currently receive while they're at the Regional Council meetings or attending the Federal Subsistence Board meetings.

Currently the Councils do take a lot of time off from their work and daily activities to volunteer to sit on the Advisory Councils without adequate compensation. And again, this is a continuing issue. We will discuss this after the report. Maybe take some action on that item.

Co-management Idea or Concept. Our neighbors, the Sastern Interior, proposed a concept of co-management. This was discussed at length by all the Chairs statewide. This concept was presented to give Regional Councils more tools to work and develop cooperatively with the affected aragencies and land managers in their respective regions. The thrust of the proposal is to give more weight to traditional knowledge and allowing the local people to work on the same level as regulatory agencies to resolve anagement issues.

So this was brought back to the regions to discuss 44 a little bit more on the work and responsibilities and 45 concerns that was raised with this idea so as not to impede 46 or delineate the responsibilities that the Regional 47 Advisory Councils have in their system. 48

49 One of the Chairs raised a concern that the State 50 will not sign off on the co-management with tribes because

00029

1

2

9

42

00030 1 they do not recognize the tribal governments. There were 2 also examples or samples of successful co-management and 3 one of those that we've discussed is the Alaska Eskimo 4 Whaling Commission, the Walrus Commission, and other 5 agreements that we have with Federal agencies. 6 7 C&T. Various Council Chairs had mixed feelings on 8 this, this customary and traditional information. As you 9 know, Title VIII allows eight, I think there was eight or 10 five ways of processing or determining customary and 11 traditional use of the animals taken. So one chair stated 12 that there should be a regional C&T. Others stated that 13 they should not go species by species or do a blanket C&T. 14 The Eastern Interior and South Central supported the 15 individual C&T determinations. 16 17 At the Chairmen meeting also was discussed the 18 subsistence fisheries. Again, one chair stated that if the 19 Federal government takes over fisheries on Federal waters 20 that they should be a separate chairman for fisheries. 21 Another chair suggested that Federal Subsistence Board 22 simply conduct the meetings and that there are other Board 23 members that kind of even it out. We didn't take any 24 action on this issue. We just had received the handout and 25 needed more time to review the issue. 26 27 In our region we discussed who was going to manage 28 or who was going to be on the fisheries team. We need to 29 further discuss this. The Regional Council representation 30 on the planning team and budget issues were raised, and how 31 much money the Federal government will be getting and how 32 it will impact or be used. 33 34 False Pass fishery. No action was taken on this 35 item. Also at the area of Adak Subsistence Hunter 36 Designation. 37 38 The following letters are pretty well similar, 39 written to me and also to Willie about our Annual Reports. 40 The actions taken is the first one, June 3, and is pretty 41 similar to what was written to the Northwest Arctic 42 Regional Advisory Council. The actions taken is a summary 43 at the May 3 through the 6th Federal Subsistence Board 44 meeting. I'll just briefly go over that. 45 46 Proposal 63 was deferred. Proposal 48, the sheep 47 proposal, was submitted by Northwest Arctic. This proposal 48 making the existing Federal subsistence sheep season 49 established by special action permanent. Our Council 50 supported this proposal.

00031 The Interim Harvest Plan that we've worked on for 1 2 the past three or four years was acknowledged by the Board. 3 And this Interim North Slope Muskox Harvest Plan is an 4 interim plan which we finished in December of last year. 5 It will be expanded into a Comprehensive Muskox Management 6 Plan. Again, the Board supported the concepts expressed in 7 our Interim Harvest Plan. 8 Did you want to go over your -- or did you want me 9 10 to just go ahead and finish this? 11 12 MR. GOODWIN: Go ahead and finish it. 13 14 MR. F. REXFORD: A similar letter 15 summarizing the actions taken by the Federal Subsistence 16 Board of the Northwest Arctic Subsistence Regional Advisory 17 Council proposals. 18 19 Proposal 48, sheep. This sheep proposal was 20 submitted by your Council, would make the existing 21 subsistence sheep established by special action permanent, 22 revise the season opening to begin August 1 through April 23 1, and would close Federal public lands in these areas to 24 non-Federally qualified subsistence users in the Baird and 25 DeLong Mountains. That was Arctic Council's proposal. 26 27 In consultation with local hunters and the State 28 and Federal managers and the Arctic Regional Council Chair 29 proposed a modification to allow the harvest of 10 sheep in 30 each of the State and Federal hunts within the DeLong 31 Mountains as outlined by the State. The State hunts will 32 provide five registration permits and five drawing permits. 33 The registration permit would not allow the use of aircraft 34 but the use of aircraft shall be allowed during the shorter 35 fall season with the drawing permits. Both of the permits 36 are valid on State and Federal lands. The Federal 37 Subsistence Board adopted your proposal with the 38 modification as worked out between the ADF&G and local 39 entities, and resulting in a no closure for the DeLong 40 Mountains. 41 42 Proposal 49, this moose proposal was withdrawn by 43 the submitter. 44 45 Proposal 46, submitted by the Seward Peninsula 46 Regional Council on muskox, would make temporary hunting 47 regulations into permanent regulations. The '98 muskox 48 hunting season was the first year of a joint Federal/State 49 muskox hunt. The number of permits for '99-2000 year hunt 50 would remain the same as the '98 hunt for both State and

1 Federal hunts. Your Council supported proposal 46 as 2 submitted but took more action on proposal 47 since it had 3 no effect within your area in Unit 23. 4 5 The Federal Board passed a motion unanimously to 6 support the special action and make those temporary hunting 7 regulations permanent, recognizing that any changes be 8 approved cooperatively between the villages in Units 22(D) 9 and 22(E) and 23, and the National Park Service and the 10 Bureau of Land Management. 11 12 Proposal 43. This black bear proposal was 13 submitted by Western Interior Subsistence Regional Advisory 14 Council, requested a positive C&T use determination for 15 black bear for residents of Unit 21 and 23. Your Council 16 supported that that dealt with residents of Unit 23, but 17 were silent on the other parts to give C&T for black bear 18 in Unit 21(D) for Deering, Candle, Buckland and Selawik 19 residents. 20 21 The Board rejected the proposal consistent with 22 recommendations of the Eastern Interior and Western 23 Interior and Yukon-Kuskokwim Regional Councils. The Board 24 supported Regional Councils' assertion that the adoption of 25 the proposed C&T determination would be detrimental to the 26 subsistence uses not listed. The Board did not adopt the 27 Northwest Arctic Regional Council's recommendation to 28 support including Unit 23 residents because retention of 29 the existing no determination would not undermine their 30 interests as all rural residents would be provided a 31 subsistence priority under a no determination. 32 33 The next two letters deal with responses to our 34 Annual Reports submitted earlier this year, February 10. 35 This one's dated August 13, '99 to myself, the Chair for 36 North Slope Regional Advisory Council. The number one, 37 North Slope Muskox Harvest Plan, we are proud of that 38 Interim Muskox Plan which we've been working on for several 39 years. They commented on our persistence resulting in 40 completion of the Interim Harvest Plan. They thanked the 41 Council for our dedication in facilitating the cooperative 42 efforts between the various Federal agencies, ADF&G, North 43 Slope Borough, particularly the Department of Wildlife and 44 all the villages on the North Slope in developing the 45 Harvest Plan. 46 47 At that meeting the Federal Board gave their 48 written support to the concept of this complex wildlife

48 written support to the concept of this complex wildlife 49 issue. They also understand that the Harvest Plan is not 50 permanent but will be expanded into a more comprehensive

00032

00033 1 Muskox Management Plan. 2 3 Muskox Proposal for Unit 26(A). Proposal 97-108 4 was brought back to the table in '98. The main gist of the 5 proposal was that the Anaktuvuk Pass Nunamiut would like to 6 hunt in the national parks as they hunted in this area in 7 the past. The Federal Subsistence Board recognizes this 8 ongoing issue concerning muskox in the area. Appreciates 9 our Council's efforts in working with ADF&G, Federal 10 agencies to reach a consensus that will help the 11 subsistence users in our region. 12 13 Number three, our Council requested to defer which 14 is now Proposal 63. This will be discussed under proposals 15 in our agenda further down the agenda. This was deferred, 16 I noted, to give us time to evaluate the State's incidental 17 hunt. Apparently that is in effect, as I discussed 18 earlier, only on State lands and on private lands. 19 20 The Regional Council compensation, the Board is 21 sympathetic, however the Secretary of Interior's position 22 should be based on voluntary participation. The 23 Secretary's office reiterated his position again in a 24 recent letter to our neighboring Western Interior Council, 25 dated May 14th, '99. Mr. Babbitt remains firm in his 26 conviction that financial compensation beyond standard 27 travel expense would significantly alter the nature of 28 citizen participation and would set a precedent that would 29 undermine the spirit of public service. 30 31 He's aware of the letter that the Regional Council 32 here has signed, except for the Yukon-Kuskokwim Regional 33 Council, again making a request to increase compensation 34 provided to the Regional Council members statewide. He 35 understand, this is from Mitch Demientieff, understands and 36 knows how important the subsistence way of life is and how 37 crucial it is to the survival in rural areas. 38 Unfortunately the Federal Board is not at liberty to grant 39 this compensation. 40 41 For the Northwest Arctic Annual Report response 42 from the Chair, Mitch Demientieff, Muskox Management Plan, 43 number one, Muskox Management, the Northwest Arctic 44 Regional Council stated that they would be submitting the 45 proposals for limited harvest of muskox in the Cape 46 Thompson and Cape Krusenstern areas in Unit 23. 47 48 The harvesting of muskox is a continuing concern in 49 your region. The Board gives their continued support of

50 regional planning efforts in reaching a consensus on muskox

00034 1 issues. The first step has been taken in establishing 2 management bodies of the Native, State and Federal 3 representatives to develop recommendations for the 4 regulations of muskox. And the Board appreciates your 5 efforts in keeping the agencies involved, or informed about 6 the muskox issues. 7 8 The same response on the Regional Council 9 compensation. I'll leave that for you to read. It's the 10 same response that was stated to our Council. 11 12 User Conflicts in Unit 23. Your Regional Council 13 expressed concerns about user conflicts in Unit 23 and 14 reported on a meeting with subsistence hunters in the unit. 15 The user conflicts are a serious problem statewide. And 16 they applaud your Council for beginning to address it and 17 to establish policies that are clear and precise to all 18 users. He understands that there was a very good 19 successful first meeting held in Kotzebue May 7, '99 with 20 the Northwest Arctic Regional Council, representatives from 21 the villages, State advisory committees, guides, 22 transporters, and various Federal agencies within your 23 region. The ADF&G facilitated the meeting and encourages 24 your Regional Council to continue to participate in this 25 issue. 26 27 Moose, number four, in Unit 23. The Regional 28 Council submitted a proposal to close Federal lands to non-29 subsistence users of moose in Unit 23. Your Council 30 withdrew Proposal 49 regarding moose. Should this issue 31 come forth again the Board will take it into consideration 32 at the proper time. 33 Number five, Designation of Squirrel River as a 34 35 Wild and Scenic River. Your Regional Council again 36 reported that local Natives oppose any type of designation 37 to the Squirrel River, which is a small tributary of the 38 Kobuk River north of the village of Kiana. The 39 recommendation of no designation has been forwarded by the 40 BLM. The final decision on Squirrel River designation 41 currently is being formulated by BLM. 42 43 The Board recognizes the concern of local residents 44 that designation as a wild and scenic river could result in 45 greater recreational use. We encourage you to remain 46 active in the BLM planning process. 47 48 Again, thank you for your efforts in bringing this 49 issue forward to the Board for their review. 50

00035 1 So at this time any questions or discussion on 2 the.... 3 4 MR. GRIEST: Mr. Chairman 5 6 MR. F. REXFORD: Bert. 7 8 MR. GRIEST: I've got two, and that is if 9 there are any update on the Squirrel River as of today? 10 Where it's at now? 11 12 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Randy, is that going to 13 be part of your report? 14 15 MR. GRIEST: Later, later. 16 17 MS. MEYERS: (Inaudible - away from 18 microphone) 19 20 MR. GRIEST: Okay. And then I guess a 21 comment and a question as well. On the Regional Council 22 compensation, I think we're spinning the wheels on this 23 issue. And I think it's time that we addressed this issue 24 probably to our congressional delegation to probably either 25 amend ANILCA or come up with legislation that would 26 authorize compensation for a Regional Council stipends. 27 Other than that I'm fairly certain that we'll be forever 28 spinning wheels on this issue. 29 30 MR. F. REXFORD: Thank you, Bert. Yes, 31 this Regional Council compensation has been discussed 32 pretty much every year at the statewide Chairmen meeting 33 for the past several years and we are spinning wheels with 34 the Federal Subsistence Board. And I think the concept of 35 writing to Senators Stevens, Murkowski and perhaps Don 36 Young, to make them aware of our dilemma or working 37 voluntarily, and make them aware of what we're going 38 through as far as participating in the Regional Council 39 member here. Just briefly our Chairman discussed that the 40 Federal staff that attend these meetings are getting paid 41 while we have loss of pay. That was one of the issues of 42 the Chairmen, all 10 regions brought this up. That Federal 43 staff that attend the meetings are getting paid and we are 44 at a loss of pay. We're volunteering, and those that are 45 employed either have to take vacation, and that wasn't 46 fair. 47 48 So any other discussion or do you want to 49 deliberate on this matter? 50

1 MR. GRIEST: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to say 2 more but I've already been accused by a State employee that 3 all I want is compensation for my service here. So I'll 4 just keep quite. And that's the truth. I was accused by a 5 State employee. 6 7 MR. BALLOT, SR.: Mr. Chairman. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Percy. 10 11 MR. BALLOT, SR.: I was just wondering how 12 to support that Proposal 43. That you are saying that we 13 did not get our required (indiscernible) on the black bear 14 (indiscernible) under C&T determinations. 15 16 MR. F. REXFORD: I'll refer that to the 17 Chairman. 18 19 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: If I remember correctly 20 we acted only on the portions that affected the residents 21 in our region when it first came up and we had the meeting 22 in Kotzebue. Am I correct, Barb? 23 24 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: (No audible response) 25 26 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yeah. 27 28 MR. BALLOT, SR.: Okay. 29 30 MR. F. REXFORD: Any other question or 31 comments on.... 32 33 Yes, Mr. Chairman. MR. UPICKSOUN: 34 35 MR. F. REXFORD: Mr. Upicksoun. 36 37 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, just to 38 respond to your Annual Report under the North Slope Muskox 39 Harvest Plan, the Interim Harvest Plan, he states at the 40 May 3 through 6 meeting the Federal Subsistence Board gave 41 their written support for the concept. What do they mean 42 they gave their written support to the concept expressed on 43 this issue? 44 45 MR. F. REXFORD: Well, that's what I had 46 underlined. Can anyone from the staff maybe after lunch --47 Ida Hildebrand. 48 49 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, maybe they 50 could be more specific when they -- what do they mean by

00036
00037 1 they gave their written support to the concept of the plan? 2 There's a big difference between approving it and 3 supporting it. 4 5 MR. F. REXFORD: Ida. 6 7 MS. HILDEBRAND: Mr. Chairman, Ida 8 Hildebrand, BIA Staff Committee member to the Federal 9 Board. When the Board said they gave their written support 10 to the concept, they were responding in that manner because 11 it was an interim report. It was still ongoing. The 12 members of the committee that were developing the plan with 13 Fenton and various other agency people, classified it as an 14 internal report. At that time it hadn't been completely 15 signed off, I believe, and they said they were working 16 toward a final plan. And because it wasn't a final plan, 17 that's the language they chose to use. If it was a final 18 plan, the Chairmen stated, then they could say they 19 approved of it. But it was still a work in progress. 20 21 MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. Mr. Chairman, my 22 understanding was that this was an interim plan because of 23 the fact it was a living document and could be amended at 24 any time. I thought they would approve the interim report. 25 And the reason why it's going to be expanded into a 26 comprehensive Muskox Management Plan was because it's a 27 living document that can be amended at any time. 28 29 MS. HILDEBRAND: The understanding that 30 when it was presented to the Board was that it wasn't a 31 final report. If it was a final report, a final report can 32 still be amended but since it wasn't called that I believe 33 the discussion indicated that they believed it was ongoing 34 and it hadn't come to a completion place. And if that 35 needs to be presented to the Board, that is called an 36 interim report. But if it is the final product, then I 37 would suggest that it be presented to them as a final 38 product. 39 40 MR. F. REXFORD: Thank you, Ida. Anything 41 else, Gordon? 42 43 MR. UPICKSOUN: Thank you. 44 45 MR. F. REXFORD: Anyone else on the report 46 material from your respective regions? 47 48 (No audible responses) 49 50 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Are we ready to move on?

00038 1 MR. F. REXFORD: I think Mr. Griest there 2 had a concept. I would like to just maybe discuss this a 3 little bit further on informing our congressional delegates 4 on this issue, See the kind of response that we would get 5 from their respective offices and maybe give them a copy of letters written to Babbitt and see if they're sympathetic 6 7 to the statewide issue. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: You want that as a 10 letter from both you and I as Chairmen? 11 12 MR. F. REXFORD: Yeah, I think that --13 yeah, I think that would be good, just to get them up to 14 date, inform them of our dilemma of loss of pay or 15 compensation. I think that's what was your -- Bert. 16 17 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okay. Do we need a 18 motion. 19 20 MR. F. REXFORD: That would be in order. 21 Thank you. 22 23 MR. GRIEST: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move 24 that our Council either write a letter or introduce the 25 issue to our congressional delegation and that they address 26 this issue regarding our Regional Council compensation, and 27 to either introduce legislation, either amending ANILCA or 28 otherwise, to enable the Regional Councils to receive 29 compensation during their respective Regional Council 30 meetings. 31 32 I second. MR. AREY: 33 34 MR. F. REXFORD: Seconded by Joe. 35 Discussion on the motion? 36 37 (No audible responses) 38 39 Call for question. MR. UPICKSOUN: 40 41 MR. F. REXFORD: Question's called. All in 42 favor of the motion as described by Bert to bring this 43 issue to our congressional delegates signify by saying aye. 44 45 IN UNISON: Aye. 46 47 MR. F. REXFORD: Those opposed same sign. 48 49 (No opposing responses) 50

00039 1 MR. F. REXFORD: Thank you, both regions 2 approved the motion. At this time I'll turn the floor back 3 over to Willie here. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Fenton. Item 6 seven ar the proposals. The proposals to change subpart of 7 D, season harvest limits, methods and means on Federal 8 subsistence regulation. A is the muskox proposal, North 9 Slope and Northwest. 10 11 MR. F. REXFORD: Do we have copies of that 12 on the table, the proposal that was deferred? 13 14 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Mr. Chairman. 15 16 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Barbara. 17 18 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: I was just informed by 19 Donna that (indiscernible) does not have a copy of that 20 proposal, but the only proposal that's on the table right 21 there is the what you see is the deferred Proposal 63 for 22 North Slope. 23 24 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: You're correct. We 25 wanted to get a report from Ken before we submitted a 26 proposal and try to work around his plan. 27 28 (Indiscernible - away from MS. ARMSTRONG: 29 microphone). 30 31 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yes. 32 33 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Okay. 34 35 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Proposal 63 was 36 deferred. 37 38 MR. F. REXFORD: This, Mr. Chairman, is a 39 deferred proposal for muskox. This was to establish a new 40 Federal permit on to it for a year round season and harvest 41 of two muskox within Unit 23. Our Council requested that 42 the Board defer this proposal earlier this year at the May 43 3 meeting of the Federal Board so that we could have time 44 to evaluate whether the State meets the subsistence users 45 needs. The Board this spring accepted our request. So 46 Mr. Chairman, I'd like to discuss this, perhaps hear from 47 Geoff, who takes care of the incidental take within the 48 Anaktuvuk Pass and other areas in Unit 26. 49 50 Geoff.

1 MR. CARROLL: Well, as part of our muskox 2 planning process on the North Slope, the group of State, 3 Federal and North Slope Borough agencies, plus the North 4 Slope Borough Fish and Game Management Committee, and this 5 group, had submitted proposals to the Board of Game. And 6 one of those was to take care of dispersing muskox in 7 26(A), which the hunters were concerned were displacing 8 caribou from some of their hunting areas. So the Board 9 passed a regulation allowing the Commissioner of Fish and 10 Game to issue permits for these muskox that moved into 11 26(A) with the understanding that the permits would only be 12 issued when these muskox have moved into an area that 13 people consider to be an important caribou hunting area or 14 migration area. 15

16 So we've had this regulation in place for two 17 seasons now. The first season we had two instances where 18 the first four muskox had moved into the area near Point 19 Lay. Actually, a lot of Gordon's testimony and work that 20 brought this regulation about. And we did issue permits to 21 the hunters in Point Lay to harvest those muskoxen last 22 summer. That was our first instance of working with this 23 regulation. And I think Gordon and others felt that we 24 took a little bit too long to get the permits issued. One 25 of those muskoxen was harvested but the others moved away 26 from the river. So there was only one harvested. After 27 that we worked with the system and so we were faster at 28 issuing permits after that. Now, once people call in we're 29 able to issue permits within a couple days of hearing that 30 the muskox are in an area that people are concerned about. 31

Later in the summer muskox moved into the Chip River area and when hunters did express a concern that they were effecting the migration, then we issued permits there. Muskoxen were there for about a month and a half, and the day before we issued the permits they packed up and left and moved away from the river and none of those were harvested. So we did issue permits twice last summer but out of all that one muskoxen was harvested.

This summer is a pretty short report because there the were no muskoxen reported moving into any of those areas this year and no permits were issued. I did get a call from Bob Ahgook from Anaktuvuk Pass. He said that there had been a male hanging around there earlier. He was basically getting a clarification on what it took to have permits issued. I told him, you know, basically we'd talked about this at several different meetings. What has to happen is somebody from the effected community has to contact my office or my supervisor, John Cody, and we

00041 1 facilitate getting the permits issued and getting the 2 permits to the villages. I didn't ever get any more calls 3 from Anaktuvuk this year so I assumed that meant there 4 weren't any muskoxen up there that people were concerned 5 about. 6 7 The situation with Anaktuvuk is, you know, the 8 State can issue -- they are treating the muskoxen like a 9 problem animal. It is not a subsistence hunt so we can 10 issue permits on either Federal or State land. Around the 11 Anaktuvuk Pass area, you know, it's a different situation 12 because a lot of that is Park Service area. And so we can 13 issue permits anywhere within the valley of Anaktuvuk Pass, 14 which is the main area that the muskoxen would be in if 15 they were causing any problem, plus anything to the north 16 of there. So any muskoxen that would really be affecting 17 the migration at Anaktuvuk Pass, we can issue permits for. 18 So I think we have that situation covered pretty well. 19 20 But I think that's it in a nut shell. I don't know 21 if there's any questions about this regulation. 22 23 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman. 24 25 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon. 26 27 MR. UPICKSOUN: I have a question for 28 Geoff. Geoff, when you spoke to Mr. Ahgook, you didn't 29 interpret your conversation with him as a request for 30 permit to harvest muskoxen he discussed with you? 31 32 MR. CARROLL: No. He said at that time 33 that he hadn't seen it for several weeks and he'd call me 34 back if it reappeared. And then he didn't call back. So 35 that's.... 36 37 MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. Thank you. 38 39 MR. TAGAROOK: Mr. Chairman. 40 41 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Terry. 42 43 MR. TAGAROOK: What's the population of the 44 muskox now? 45 46 MR. CARROLL: Well, you know, it's a little 47 -- with the ANWR population, the Arctic Wildlife Refuge, 48 the ones that are dispersing from the Arctic Wildlife 49 Refuge, there are about 320 generally in that area, in the 50 Arctic Wildlife Refuge. And then as you move to their

00042 1 dispersing to the west there's another, oh, approximately 2 300 in that area in 26(B). They're gradually expanding 3 their range to the west. The area that we call Unit 26(B) 4 West, the area to the west of the Dalton Highway, most 5 recent count is there's around 90 muskoxen in that area. 6 And, you know, I expect they're start to be moving into 7 26(A) but we don't have any established breeding 8 populations in 26(A) that we know of. From the other side, 9 you know, there are also muskoxen dispersing into the North 10 Slope and, you know, in the Point Hope area. And over on 11 that side I understand there's about 300 in that Cape 12 Thompson population as well but not very many of those move 13 into 26(A). 14 15 MR. TAGAROOK: One more question. Are 16 there any muskox that are collared? 17 18 MR. CARROLL: Any that are collared? 19 20 MR. TAGAROOK: Collared. Um-hum. 21 22 MR. CARROLL: Yeah. The Department 23 collared, let's see, 12 muskoxen in the 26(B) area last 24 year so that we can more accurately do counts and do 25 composition counts. There are some collared animals at 26 this point and they really did help a lot last summer when 27 we were doing our composition counts. We were able to go 28 right to the groups instead of spending three-fourths of 29 our time looking for animals. 30 31 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Ben. 32 33 MR. B. HOPSON: Yeah. Does Point Hope have 34 a harvest? Are they permitted to harvest muskox out of 35 those 300 some that were sighted in that Point Hope area? 36 37 MR. CARROLL: Well, at this point there's 38 no legal harvest there. I understand that they're working 39 on that, yeah, in Game Management Unit 23, I assume we'll 40 probably hear more about that later. 41 42 MR. G. BROWER: Mr. Chairman. 43 44 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon. 45 46 MR. G. BROWER: Just some of the 47 observations from some of us, we saw two muskox around by 48 Chip, just above PK13, or just above Teshekpuk there, there 49 was two of them there. 50

Another question I had was, is it still an emergency order on the Slope only? In our area like if it's -- what if it's like in your Native allotment or something, you know, just within that area?

6 MR. CARROLL: The way the procedure works 7 it requires an emergency order. And that's how we open the 8 hunt. And, you know, it's a very, very flexible regulation, you know, and it's something we can issue 9 10 permits any time of the year for, you know, whatever the 11 number of animals that seem to be causing the problem are. 12 But it requires an exchange, you know, we have to get word 13 that the animals are there and that they're causing a 14 problem with the caribou hunting or caribou migrations and 15 then we can issue the permits. And as I said, we can do it 16 fairly quickly now. 17

18 MR. G. BROWER: And one more concern was I 19 know we had a few of them that stayed around Chip 9 for a 20 while and then, you know, when I came back we had a request 21 from my dad about that kind of stuff going on with the 22 muskox. But he had scared them away. They didn't go away. 23 He used their rattler on his machine to scare them away. 24 But at that time it was freezing up and it was -- you know, 25 we were getting ready to put nets under the ice. And we 26 had -- at least I had a concern that when information like 27 that, that muskox was in that area, permits were given to, 28 you know, some other people that usually didn't hunt that 29 area but went ahead and barged on up with their boats and 30 started tearing up the ice so our nets wouldn't be able to 31 go under the ice. And I was wondering if that's something 32 that permits would be given to the normal hunters of that 33 area instead of just anybody that can make it up there to 34 go hunting the muskox, or is that unconstitutional or 35 something?

37 MR. CARROLL: That's a tough question. Ι 38 mean my preference would be just to issue permits to the 39 people that live in that area. But it is unconstitutional. 40 I have to make them available to anybody that wants to come 41 in and get one. It's always a registration hunt. We make 42 permits available and everyone that wants to can come in 43 and get a permit and then we close the season when the 44 muskoxen are harvested. We close it by emergency closure. 45 I think you know in that situation I made every attempt to 46 get permits out to your dad and people that live out there 47 and who, you know, legitimately should have been getting 48 them. But as I say, I have to give them out to anybody 49 that wants them. And I think in that instance, you know, 50 it turned out that most of the people from Barrow or

00043

00044 1 Atqasak that normally hunted in that area were the ones 2 that got permits, or mainly the ones that were up there. 3 4 MR. G. BROWER: That was just some of the 5 questions I had. 6 7 MR. F. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Fenton. 10 11 MR. F. REXFORD: I want to thank Geoff for 12 giving us an update on the proposal. I'd just like to 13 briefly summarize the action taken last spring. Again, I'd 14 like to thank Geoff Carroll from Barrow. 15 16 MR. CARROLL: Am I done then, may I go? 17 18 MR. F. REXFORD: Proposal 63 would have 19 established a new Federal permit hunt for muskox asking for 20 two by Federal registration permit. The staff 21 recommendation was to oppose this proposal as written 22 because there was no limit of how many total muskoxen could 23 be taken. ADF&G also opposed this proposal because there 24 is no established breeding population of muskox in Unit 26. 25 This proposal was deferred in '98. We have continued 26 concern for the folks there in Anaktuvuk Pass, and I 27 believe that this proposal as written, and I would 28 recommend that the Council discuss this now. 29 30 Again I'll just say that National Park Service is 31 in opposition to this proposal as written. Perhaps the 32 community of Anaktuvuk Pass, or with the help of this 33 Council, and also the North Slope Borough's Fish and Game 34 Management, take a look at the rewritten, or modified, or a 35 new proposal, since BLM also is opposed to the proposal, 36 that they do not see this proposal as being consistent with 37 our North Slope Muskox Management Plan. There was a lot of 38 work that went into the development of the plan and BLM 39 thought it was very inappropriate to make a regulation that 40 is inconsistent with the plan. 41 42 So our discussion the Council debated whether or 43 not to table or defer or withdraw this proposal at our 44 spring meeting, or winter meeting. The Council would like, 45 at this time, we need to bring it up the future to see what 46 happens again. We did see what's going on with the State 47 hunt, and it seems to be working. But with the way that 48 our Proposal 63 is written, like Bert mentioned, we're 49 spinning our wheels on this proposal. So there was 50 discussion at that time that the proposal be withdrawn so

00045 1 that more appropriate records, so we -- or at that time our 2 motion was to defer it rather than withdraw it. 3 4 So the brief summary that I've just stated, again 5 as this proposal is written there is a lot of opposition. 6 Perhaps the community of Anaktuvuk Pass or this Council can 7 rewrite or withdraw this proposal and seek a new avenue. 8 Our main concern or problem is the residents of Anaktuvuk 9 Pass would like to hunt in both State, private and Federal 10 lands, like I stated earlier, that the same muskox could --11 there's very little or limited amount of land around 12 Anaktuvuk Pass, there's State lands just north of Anaktuvuk 13 Pass, and there is corporation lands. Those are the only 14 lands that they could hunt, but the same animal crosses the 15 borderline and, you know, they can't hunt it at this time. 16 So we could use the same concept to maybe make a limited 17 hunt. Maybe perhaps would be more successful for our 18 Council to withdraw and make it more specific as outlined 19 by the staff recommendation. Maybe go with a newer, better 20 proposal to have a limited hunt. So a motion is -- Harry. 21 22 MR. H. BROWER: Mr. Chairman, yes. I'd 23 like to make a motion to withdraw this proposal, Proposal 24 63. That's what you have mentioned to probably establish 25 better and a more elaborate proposal that better fits the 26 community's needs. 27 28 MR. B. HOPSON: And I second it. 29 30 MR. F. REXFORD: Seconded by Ben. 31 Discussion? 32 33 (No audible responses) 34 35 MR. F. REXFORD: Hearing none all in favor 36 of the motion to withdraw Proposal 63 signify by saying 37 aye. 38 39 IN UNISON: Ave. 40 41 MR. F. REXFORD: Those opposed, same sign. 42 43 (No opposing responses) 44 45 MR. F. REXFORD: Thank you. 46 47 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Fenton. 48 Under C, deferred Proposal 15 on moose. Donna. 49 50 MS. DEWHURST: This is in Tab E of your

00046 1 book. Have you found that yet? 2 3 COURT REPORTER: Excuse me. Donna, would 4 you say our name? 5 6 MS. DEWHURST: Oh, sorry. Donna Dewhurst. 7 Tab E in the book. This is a deferred proposal from the 8 South Central RAC, and it one the Copper River Native 9 Association originally that submitted it. It's not the 10 first time this issue has been raised before the Federal 11 Board. It's come before the Federal Board two previous 12 times. Both times have been opposed. This current time 13 the Federal Board deferred. They felt this issue had more 14 statewide applicability and they wanted input from all the 15 different RACs. You're one of the last Councils to be 16 considering this. It's already gone to all the other 17 Councils and I am prepared to summarize that. 18 19 What this is is a requirement, it's in both State 20 and Federal regulations, that when there's a moose hunt 21 that is of limited sex, let's say a bull's only hunt, that 22 you are required to keep the sex parts attached to part of 23 the meat while you're transporting it out of the field. 24 And there's been a lot of concern. 25 26 If you look on the second page, the reason it's 27 being opposed, some of the concerns have been that leaving 28 the sex parts attached spoils the adjacent meat, it's not a 29 customary and traditional practice in some regions. There 30 was another comment that many subsistence hunters already 31 bring in the antlers anyway, so why should they have to 32 mess with dealing with the sex parts. And then the last 33 comment was retaining the male sex organs is culturally 34 offensive. 35 36 The reason it's been opposed by both the ADF&G and 37 the Fish and Wildlife Service and/or the Federal Board, is 38 this regulation was put in -- it's an old regulation. We 39 researched the history. Basically this regulation has been 40 with the State since before statehood. It's been on the 41 books for a long time. And the reason it got on the 42 Federal regulations was when we started the Federal 43 subsistence program we basically adopted all the State regs 44 at the time when we started and that's how it got on 45 Federal regulations. So it has along history. 46 47 And the reason it was put into place initially is 48 to protect cows. Protect the cow moose. And in these 49 limited hunts, especially when we're dealing with 50 antlerless hunts, we have a number of bull seasons that are

1 December, January, February hunts where the racks are 2 dropped and you have no way of telling whether it's a male or female. I mean other than -- you can tell but when 3 4 you're dealing with just the meat, when somebody is hauling 5 the meat out and the enforcement person, or whoever, wants 6 to check, there's no way to tell just from a hindquarter 7 whether it was from a male or a female. You can kind of 8 quess, if it's a really large hindquarter, it was probably 9 a bull. But.... 10 11 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: And skinny. 12 13 MS. DEWHURST: Yeah. But it's not 14 definitive. And that's where they said, well, if you have 15 to keep the sex organs attached to part of the meat then 16 they know for sure that the person took a bull. And that 17 way it protects the cows. There have been a number of 18 abuses over the years. And it's not just sport hunters. 19 There have been a couple of cases I've heard of subsistence 20 hunters across the state where people have shoot cows. 21 This has been a real awkward one. I've had to 22 23 present this to a number of different Councils cause I'm 24 also subbing for another biologist. And the best analogy I 25 can give is I've always considered this regulation kind of 26 possibly a necessary evil. And think of it as a lock on a 27 door. You could spend a lot of money making a fancy lock 28 system and all sorts of burglar alarms and everything else 29 for your door, but if the burglar is a good burglar they're 30 going to get past anything you put on your door. But if 31 you put a lock on the door, all it really serves to do is 32 keep the honest people honest. You know, if there's an 33 honest person walks up to your door, checks it and it's 34 locked, then they walk away. They don't walk in your 35 house. 36 37 This regulation is kind of that way. And if we 38 require some proof of sex, an honest person is less likely 39 to go out and shoot a cow in a case where a hunt is bulls 40 only hunt. Certainly if they want to break the law they're 41 going to break the law no matter what the regulations say. 42 That's fact. But it keeps the honest people honest is kind 43 of one of the things. 44 45 This has gone to a number of different Councils 46 already. The results have been incredibly mixed. They're 47 almost split right down the middle. It's been really 48 interesting with the Councils. And it's very 49 geographically distributed. Basically the two Interior 50 Councils and Southcentral Council oppose this regulation,

1 or they want to have the regulation removed where you don't 2 have to mess with the sex parts. All the southwest and 3 coastal regions, Yukon Delta, Bristol Bay, Kodiak-4 Aleutians, have all said keep this regulation in place, it 5 serves a good purpose. So as I say, the Councils have been 6 incredibly split on this one. 7 8 And that's what we wanted to find out, was should 9 this regulation be a statewide issue or should this 10 regulation be purely a region by region specific issue. 11 And that's one of the things the Board wanted to find out.

12 And one of the questions we'll be asking you today, there's 13 a series of questions, one of them is should this be a 14 statewide issue or should it be just -- if it's an Interior 15 issue, then keep it an Interior issue?

17 The other things we're asking is possible 18 alternatives to it. We're certainly not seeing this as a 19 black and white issue. And we've been given a number of 20 interesting ones that are certainly warranting discussion. 21 Probably one of the most common and most logical would be, 22 okay, we'll still require the male sex parts to be hauled 23 out but they don't have to be kept attached to the meat. 24 Of course there have been a lot of jokes about that. Put 25 them in a little Ziploc baggy and carry it along with your 26 meat. But you don't have to actually keep it attached to 27 the meat so it won't taint the meat. That one has gotten a 28 lot of jokes. But that one has been brought up at several 29 Councils.

31 Southcentral Council recently brought up the point 32 of the head. And in their region head cheese is popular 33 and eating the nose. And so they almost always haul out 34 the head of a moose. So they said well, why couldn't we 35 have it where we just have to have some proof of sex and 36 have the option of either bringing the antlers, the head, 37 or the sex parts, and have the option of which one of the 38 three you want to bring out as long as you have some proof 39 that you got a male. Which was a really interesting idea. 40 That's the first time the head has been mentioned. And the 41 head is a viable way to tell the sex. Even on an 42 antlerless hunt, if you have the skull you can still see 43 the antler scars, the pedestals. So the head could be 44 used. But then I've also heard that not everybody brings 45 out the heads.

46

So anyway, these are the things that have been 48 brought up by the other Councils. And they've had -- like 49 I say, it's been kind of mixed. And we have two Councils 50 here and we are interested in the inputs from your region

00048

16

1 as far as dealing with moose hunts. And we do have --2 let's see, I guess there aren't any of these antlerless 3 moose hunts up in your regions from the looks of it. 4 There's nothing in 26 or 23. Most of them are Interior and 5 Seward Pen and places like that, and down south. But there 6 are some bull only hunts in this region. And so we are 7 interested in input.

9 I guess the first question -- there's a series of 10 questions in what we're asking the Council. And the first 11 question is alternatives. Can you think of any that 12 haven't been mentioned so far? Oh, there's one I forgot to 13 mention, which was pelvic bones. You can tell the sex of a 14 moose by the pelvic bones but it involves a law enforcement 15 officer cutting down a hindquarter, which I don't know very 16 many hunters in the field that want any law enforcement 17 officer cutting their hindquarter down to check the bones. 18 Because there's always the chance you're going to mess up 19 the meat if you start taking a knife and hacking at a 20 hindquarter, if you don't know what you're doing. And so I 21 don't think that's going to be real viable. But it has 22 been mentioned as a possibility that well, if you have the 23 hindquarter, the pelvic bone is in the hindquarter, you can 24 tell bulls from cows from looking at the pelvic bone. But 25 you got to be able to see the pelvic bone which means you 26 got to strip all the meat off of it. And it means somebody 27 had to have left the meat on the bone and didn't already 28 bone it out.

The first question we have for the Councils is can you think of any other possibilities, or any of the possibilities that have been mentioned, how do you feel about those? Because we are open -- we don't see this hecessary as a black and white issue.

36 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Well, first of all, I 37 think it should be region by region. You know, there's 38 certainly a difference in how we hunt moose in the 39 wintertime. And of course our bull season is in the fall. 40 And we normally get our bull before they rut. And then we 41 have a cow season in the winter. And because our terrain 42 is different than some of the Interior's where they may 43 have difficulty trying to figure out a female and a male, 44 the differences, you know, I don't see too many of our 45 people hunting skinny moose. And that's the bulls in the 46 wintertime. So I think it should be a regional issue. Ι 47 don't have any suggestions on how to tell those people to 48 take what parts home because when I get a moose in 49 wintertime I don't carry around the head, you know. And 50 it's only cows. So I just take the meat. So I have no

00049

29

1 suggestions as to what they should take home cause I think region by region can figure that out themselves. You know, 2 3 if they would prefer taking a Ziploc, that's up to them, 4 you know. Or if they have another way of keeping track of 5 what they get. So my feeling is it should be region by 6 region. And, of course, I think our region, you know, we 7 can tell. Even though we haven't had moose -- well, my dad 8 told me the last time he saw a moose, I think it was in the 9 early '40s or late '30s and he didn't know what the heck it 10 was, you know, in our area when they started migrating from 11 the Interior. I guess they were spotted here and there 12 every now and then before that but we didn't start seeing 13 numbers until '50s and '60s, you know. But I think our 14 season is liberal enough for us to not to have to worry 15 about carrying what parts to prove what we get. I don't 16 know about the rest of you folks but that's the way I feel, 17 you know. 18

19

00050

20 21 22 MR. G. BROWER: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon.

23 MR. G. BROWER: I don't really understand 24 why, you know, you would want that kind of information. Is 25 it because -- is it all in the effort to increase the 26 population of a herd that's struggling to produce? And if 27 that's the case, then I think there should be other 28 alternatives besides doing that kind of a check. You know, 29 you could limit the take of sport hunters and, you know, 30 put a priority on subsistence. That would reduce the 31 number of animals caught. Because, you know, the sport is 32 just for sport. They're not feeding young children and 33 things of that manner. If this is in an effort to try to 34 increase the population I think those kind of issues should 35 be -- if you're going to try to just regulate a sex take, I 36 think the real issue is it the population big enough to 37 support both subsistence users and sports. And you guys 38 are going to have to make some kind of decision in that 39 manner in those regions. And, you know, personally, you 40 know, we stop hunting when they start to stink up. I don't 41 know if that's the same thing with moose cause I don't hunt 42 moose. You know, rutting season they change their chemical 43 stuff in their body and you don't want to eat that kind of 44 a meat. As far as I know in Tuntutuliak it's like that. 45 And I think that the only people that would be hunting in 46 the rut season is, you know, mostly somebody that just 47 wants to take something and put it on his wall and hang it 48 up. That's just my personal feeling.

49 50

MS. DEWHURST: I think I can further

1 clarify that. This is pretty much purely an enforcement issue. And that when an enforcement officer comes and checks a hunter, whether they be a subsistence hunter or a sports hunter and they're hauling out meat, you know, they just have quarters or the back or whatever, they're hauling out meat, it's a means of being able to know whether they took a bull or a cow when they just have meat in possession. And so they're saying that you have to have some sort of proof of whether it was a bull or a cow.

And in a lot of cases, like the cases, let's say for example, Seward Peninsula. Those populations have gone down so low in certain parts of the Seward Peninsula that the both the sport hunters and subsistence hunters are restricted. The sport hunters were restricted first but is also gone to the point where even the subsistence hunters are restricted to bulls only because those populations have gone so low. And in most cases the sport hunters take the first hit as far as any restrictions. But then eventually, if the population keeps going down, then everything has to live with some restrictions. And that's an issue they're still facing.

So it isn't so much worrying about numbers as it is in the case when we know that moose populations aren't doing that well, you can still allow harvest if you can restrict the sex of the harvest. If you can keep it primarily to the bulls then you can still allow harvest and phe population can sustain it. But once you start taking the moms, the cows, then a lot of the populations don't do the moms, the cows, then a lot of the populations don't do very well. So it's the idea of protecting the cows. And that's the whole reason this regulation first got into place, is some means to protect cows from, I don't want to say bad guys, but people that are out shooting animals that they shouldn't be. You know, in cases where the numbers are low. We're trying to build the numbers back up.

And it's purely an enforcement thing. It's not a 39 scientific thing at all. The biologists don't -- you know, 40 we're not taking any tally of how many bulls and cows are 41 shot. It's purely an enforcement issue. 42

43 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Is that a State 44 enforcement issue? 45 46 MS. DEWHURST: Both. 47 48 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Both? 49 50 MS. DEWHURST: Both State and Federal have

00051

00052 1 the same regulation at this time. 2 3 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Well, as long as we have 4 a priority. I mean on Federal land. 5 6 MS. DEWHURST: But we still have some 7 restrictions in Federal law. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: So if you have a decline 10 in a population in a certain area then, you know, there are 11 ways where we still have a priority. 12 13 MS. DEWHURST: Uh-huh. You're right. But 14 there are some hunts where the moose populations are so bad 15 that even the subsistence hunters are restricted to one 16 sex. And I'm saying that's the last resort but allow a 17 harvest at all they've said well, we can allow a 18 subsistence harvest in this area only, but we can allow 19 bulls being taken. Or we can't allow a harvest at all. 20 21 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: In the winter? 22 23 MS. DEWHURST: Yeah. Down south bulls 24 don't get that skinny. I mean you really don't see that 25 much of a difference. They still have browse they can get 26 to in some of the southern parts of the State. So it is as 27 much of an issue. 28 29 MR. BALLOT, SR.: Mr. Chairman. 30 31 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Percy. 32 33 MR. BALLOT, SR.: Don't we have real good 34 wanton waste? I mean we leave meat on the members, or 35 whatever you want to call them, sex organs -- sex things. 36 I guess you'd call them members. And we leave meat on 37 there and you're going to waste the meat. You know, moose 38 is real heavy. Whatever meat we take we want to take every 39 part it home. Or do we take it home with boat or 40 snowmachine? And not only to take the meat but the..... 41 42 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: The issue is on 43 different parts of the state, not necessarily ours, you 44 know. And they're just trying to get a consensus, I guess, 45 on what to do. 46 47 MR. BALLOT, SR.: I think if it were region 48 by region. 49 50 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yeah. Fenton.

00053 1 MR. F. REXFORD: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, our 2 elder stated in our North Slope Borough Fish and Game 3 Management committee, Edward Hopson, Sr., stated just do away with the regulations or laws. I think the more laws 4 and regulations you have, it's cumbersome and the less 5 6 regulations you have, the better. So I would recommend we 7 do away with the regulations. Just not bother with it. 8 9 MR. AREY: Mr. Chairman. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Joe. I got Joe over 12 here first. 13 14 MR. AREY: Yes. 15 16 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Get that mike close to 17 him. They can't hear him back there. 18 19 MR. AREY: Yeah. You're talking about two 20 different issues on one here. You're talking about 21 subsistence and you're talking about sport fishermen [sic]. 22 And when you go that you put us into one. Both sport and 23 subsistence you mix together and get our input. And we're 24 out there getting the game for our family while the sport 25 fishermen [sic] are out there hanging theirs on the wall. 26 And you want our input on what kind of regulations we 27 should get. And they're separating bulls subsistence and 28 the sport hunters, putting into one. And this would mostly 29 be on the sports fishermen [sic] cause they're the ones 30 that's getting it for their walls to look at and to be 31 proud of, while subsistence, they're out there to feed our 32 families. We're not out there to get the organs or 33 whatever. 34 35 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: They're asking, Joe, if 36 they should take a Ziploc with you. 37 38 MS. DEWHURST: Well, the concern is, you 39 know, the State at this time is not showing any interest in 40 removing the regulation. And if you look on any of our 41 maps, our areas are hodge-podges of both State and Federal 42 land. So if we remove it from the Federal side then it 43 does put a subsistence hunter potentially in a possibly 44 tricky spot, that if then they transport the animal across 45 State lands they could get stopped by a State brown shirt 46 and be in violation because it's still on the State books. 47 You know, we've been working, as both of you well know, for 48 a number of years to try to align the State and Federal 49 regulations as much as possible to simplify life for 50 hunters so they don't have to know two different sets of

1 regulations. If we remove it on the Federal side we'll be 2 doing the exact opposite. It would be a case where as long 3 as you keep your meat on Federal land you're fine, but if 4 you transport it across State land and get caught by a 5 State enforcement person, you'll be in violation, because 6 the State would still have it on the books. So that is 7 another concern that has been expressed. Depending on your 8 area and the region where you hunt, it might not be an 9 issue. But if you are in an area around a lot of State 10 land -- we are only talking about removing this regulation 11 from Federal regulations at this time.

12

00054

CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Mike.

13 14

15 MR. PATKOTAK: Yeah. I think for the sake 16 of showing support to our different regions, it's become 17 very important that we show, as a group from region to 18 region, as Natives, as subsistence users, to show support 19 for each other. And I am fully in agreement with Willie 20 that each of these issues that effect the user should be 21 that region that makes that decision. So as a group here I 22 think the prudent choice would be here to show support for 23 that region to, whatever decision they make, as a group 24 here, to show support for that Regional Council, and to let 25 them know, on record, that we support whatever decision 26 they make. And although I see, in your position, that it's 27 a necessary evil, but there are some legitimate concerns 28 from the subsistence user. Like, for instance, Mr. Arey 29 and Mr. Ballot over there, meat. I haven't gotten a moose 30 in 15 years but I know how big and heavy and cumbersome 31 they can be. And it's a lot of work. And when you 32 inadvertently get these organs, sexual organs of the animal 33 on the meat it has a lingering taste that does not go away. 34 But for the record I would show that we, as a body, vote to 35 show support for whatever region this proposal effects. 36

CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Before we -- Bert, let's here from Ida. Ida, you wanted to say something here? She's been trying to get my attention here.

MS. HILDEBRAND: Ida Hildebrand, BIA Staff Committee member. I was going to request a point of order. The discussion is to hear from the Councils, not to hear yustification of the regulations. And the correction, Kodiak Regional Council oppose the regulations and they're the ones who suggested, and not in jest, that if the sex organs had to be taken out of the field that they be put in baggies and delivered to whichever game officer needed them.

00055 1 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Ida. Bert. 2 3 MR. GRIEST: I was just going to comment 4 that I support the region by region approach on the 5 handling of this issue basically, and to change the 6 regulations to reflect that. I think in areas where the 7 degree of dependence is not so great for daily sustenance. 8 It's a mere what they call wildlife management regulation. 9 But when you're in areas where there's a high degree of 10 dependence on the resource you're actually talking about 11 dealing with peoples way of life. And I would gravitate, 12 or deal -- I mean I would show mutual respect in this case 13 to those people. 14 15 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: The other issues from 16 out area is that the cows only season is not to protect the 17 bulls. It is giving the people the opportunity to hunt the 18 cows in the winter. 19 20 MS. DEWHURST: No. We're not talking about 21 cows only. We're talking about bulls only seasons. 22 23 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: That's the reason for 24 cows only season. So I can't see trying to prove taking 25 home the cow's organs, you know. 26 27 MS. DEWHURST: Oh, I see what you're 28 saying. Yeah. 29 30 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: It's for the wrong 31 reason that the regulation would be in place in our area. 32 The regulation would be in place for us to try to protect 33 the bulls, which is not the reason we have the regulation 34 for cows only? 35 36 MS. DEWHURST: That's a good point. Yeah. 37 That's a good point. It's in there and it is kind of a 38 strange one. 39 It should be region by 40 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: 41 region. 42 43 MS. DEWHURST: Yeah. No, that's a good 44 point. Because if you actually read the legal definition 45 of the regulation it says that if it's a one sex hunt, 46 whether it's a bull only hunt or a cow only hunt, you're 47 supposed to be able to prove the sex of the animal. And so 48 technically, although I've never heard of it really being 49 enforced, but technically if you shoot a cow in a cow only 50 season you're supposed to have some proof it was a cow.

00056 1 But I've never heard of anybody enforcing it in the cow 2 only season just for that reason, cause it's kind of a.... 3 4 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Well, when you were 5 trying to give justification a while ago of why it should 6 be in place.... 7 8 MS. DEWHURST: That's on the bulls only 9 season. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN:to protect the 12 bulls only season. Now we have a cows only season in the 13 wintertime and it's not to protect the bulls. You see what 14 I'm saying? 15 Uh-huh (affirmative). 16 MS. DEWHURST: 17 18 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Enoch. 19 20 MR. SHIEDT: Yeah. Willie, you're right. 21 Moose is pretty new to us, about 50 years or so. And it 22 should be region by region. And we have the least problem 23 right now and why should we worry about the other units if 24 it's for the wrong reason for us. Seems the way I'm 25 hearing it they want us to solve the problem and we're last 26 to hear about it right now, you know. So it should be 27 region by region. We don't have the problem at all. 28 29 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: You're correct. If it 30 needs to be enforced in a region, then it should be region 31 by region. If it doesn't need to be enforced, that's a 32 different story. 33 MS. DEWHURST: Well, where we're at -- let 34 35 me explain. Let me back up one step. Where we're at right 36 now is it's a deferred proposal from Southcentral. The 37 Board wanted to decide whether or not -- it's deferred 38 right now so it is going to come up for Southcentral again 39 this year for sure. The Board wanted to decide whether or 40 not to make it a statewide proposal. 41 42 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: No. 43 44 MS. DEWHURST: So that's why they wanted 45 the Councils to give input on whether or not this should 46 now become a statewide proposal or if it should remain a 47 Southcentral proposal. 48 49 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: I think it should remain 50 in Southcentral.

00057 1 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman. 2 3 4 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon. 5 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, not one 6 subsistence users supports leaving the evidence of sex 7 attached. I support their request to eliminate the 8 requirements to keep evidence attached to the moose. Not 9 one subsistence user supports leaving evidence of sex 10 attached to the carcass. I'd like to eliminate that 11 requirement. 12 13 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Gordon. 14 Fenton. 15 16 Thank you, MR. F. REXFORD: Yeah. 17 Mr. Chairman. Yeah, knowing that this is a proposal for 18 Southcentral only I would recommend our region to support 19 Copper River Native Association's submitted proposal and 20 not make it a statewide issue. 21 22 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Ben, Hold on a second. 23 Ben, you want to make -- and then you could move, okay? 24 25 MR. B. HOPSON: Yes. My comments would be 26 to keep this as a region by region issue. I really don't 27 see it as an issue for our area. 28 29 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you. 30 31 MR. F. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman, so in that 32 case I would move that the proposal that the Copper River 33 Native Association submitted, Proposal 99-15, to modify 34 general regulations, stay within their region. And we've 35 heard the discussion here, Mr. Chairman, may keep it region 36 by region. So my motion is to support Copper River Native 37 Association's proposal and make it region by region. 38 39 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Is there a second? 40 41 MR. AREY: Second. 42 43 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any more discussion on 44 the motion? 45 46 (No audible responses) 47 48 MR. G. BROWER: Call for question. 49 50 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: All those in favor of

00058 1 the motion signify by saying aye. 2 3 IN UNISON: Aye. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: All opposed. 6 7 (No opposing responses) 8 9 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Donna. 10 11 Sheep. On one of the reports Fenton gave a while 12 ago was that the proposal our Regional Advisory Council 13 submitted were sheep harvest and the Federal Board acted on 14 it and passed, but there was a condition that the season 15 would not open until the survey was done on the sheep. So 16 when the survey came back in July the State Advisory 17 Committee for our region met, I met with them and I think 18 there was some discussion with some people in the Regional 19 Advisory Council here, and we discussed it with the Park 20 Service, the numbers came down below the census that was 21 taken the year before. And the year before that was on the 22 borderline whether or not we should allow a harvest. And 23 when the rams only proposal came forward, of course, we 24 supported it and passed it for only subsistence. But this 25 year the ram count was lower than the year before, so I 26 went along with closing the season totally, even for 27 subsistence right now, until the population of moose [sic] 28 rebounds back to where it can be at a level where we can 29 harvest sheep. So that's where it's at now. The Federal 30 Board for the agencies, the Park Service closed the season 31 and, of course, the State closed their season too. That's 32 in Unit 23 in the Baird and the DeLong Mountains. 33 34 Anybody have any questions? 35 36 (No audible responses) 37 38 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: That's basically what 39 happened this summer on the sheep. Okay? And it will stay 40 closed until we get a count that will support a hunt. 41 42 We're down to number eight, agency reports. 43 44 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman. 45 46 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon. 47 48 MR. UPICKSOUN: I move we break for lunch 49 until 1:00 o'clock before we start on the agency reports. 50

00059 1 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any objection? 2 3 (No audible responses) 4 5 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Hearing none let's break 6 for lunch until 1:00. 7 8 (Off record - 11:30 a.m.) 9 10 (On record - 1:00 p.m.) 11 12 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: First on the agency 13 reports there's a fisheries update by the staff. 14 15 MS. FOX: I'm Peggy Fox, currently with the 16 Office of Subsistence Management, Fish and Wildlife 17 Service. And thank you, Mr. Chair, and fellow Chair 18 Fenton, and Council members. 19 20 We've been preparing to manage subsistence 21 fisheries since the Katie John decision in 1995. In the 22 years since this decision we have published an Advanced 23 Notice of Proposed Rules, a Proposed Rule, an Environmental 24 Assessment, and eventually a Final Rule on January 8th, 25 1999. The Final Rule has now taken effect as of October 26 1st. 27 28 The Advanced Notice of Proposed Rule and the 29 Proposed Rule received extensive public review. We held 30 over 40 public meetings and several Regional Advisory 31 Council meetings to gather comments. Since 1995 32 implementation was delayed three times by Congress. Now we 33 are actually implementing the Katie John decision. 34 35 Since January our planning efforts have 36 intensified. In April we developed an Implementation Plan 37 which is in your folder. Excuse me, I don't know what tab 38 it is.... 39 40 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Tab F. 41 42 MS. FOX: Tab F. Thank you. Outlining 14 43 major issues or tasks that we needed to address as we moved 44 forward to October 1st. Today I want to share our progress 45 on some of the more important tasks in this plan. The 46 topics I'm going to talk about are the organizational 47 approach, cooperative management with tribes and other 48 Native organizations, Federal/State cooperation, Regional 49 Advisory Council structures, extraterritorial jurisdiction, 50 customary trade, orientation for Regional Councils, and the

00060 1 fisheries regulatory process. Following my staff report on 2 each topic I will pause for questions or comments. 3 4 One of the more important tasks is determining how 5 the five Federal agencies are going to organize to 6 implement these regulations. In developing budgets and 7 staffing plans we needed to define an organizational 8 approach that would encourage effective coordination 9 between the five agencies. As you well know, fisheries 10 management is far more complex than wildlife management, 11 and it calls for a different approach. We began by looking 12 first at how we were going to organize to gather and 13 analyze the information about fisheries and harvests, 14 including traditional environmental knowledge, needed to 15 make sound regulatory decisions. 16 17 The result of this exercise is what we called 18 unified resource monitoring. This approach recognizes the 19 need for the agencies to work together to identify resource 20 monitoring priorities statewide, and allocate funding and 21 staff to these priorities. While staff from four of the 22 five agencies will be co-located at key field locations, we 23 will need to establish additional connections between 24 agencies to ensure a common direction for the program. A 25 central office in the Fish and Wildlife Service, which is 26 separate from the Office of Subsistence Management, is 27 being created to coordinate the resource monitoring aspect 28 of the fisheries program. This office will be required to 29 provide the most up to date information possible, in fast 30 moving situations such as in-season management, in managing 31 fisheries. 32 33 The administration of the program will remain 34 primarily with the Office of Subsistence Management. This 35 staff will be enlarged with fisheries biologists, more 36 Council coordinators, social scientists, and administrative 37 staff to ensure adequate technical support to the Regional 38 Advisory Councils and the Federal Subsistence Board. Some 39 field staff will also be identified with responsibilities 40 or delegated decision-making responsibility for regulating 41 fisheries in-season. 42 And that concludes my progress report on the 43 44 organizational approach we're taking. And I'd like to ask 45 if there are any questions or comments on that subject? 46 Yes, sir. 47 48 MR. PATKOTAK: Ma'am, I appreciate your 49 synopsis. And what must be noted here, as you go, you must 50 remember and note to any of your taskforce that work in

1 this area, is that the State has always had an emphasis in 2 the commercial aspect and the sports oriented in terms of 3 wildlife and fisheries. In the past the subsistence end 4 has always virtually been ignored. And therefore, the 5 contrast in -- well, you see it in the headlines every day. 6 It's the refusal of the State to even give any credibility 7 to our point of view. So when your groups start to 8 consider the fisheries and/or wildlife, you must remember 9 the credibility of our group as an entity, and give 10 credence. And not only will it enhance wildlife stock, but 11 also improve, believe it or not, the commercial and sports 12 end of it. 13

In the past you've seen the belly flops that the State management has had in the fisheries and in the wildlife portions. And the number one fault that I see in that area is they did not listen to this Board, where mainly because it was "Native". That aspect must be eliminated. And thanks to all Federal agencies and the Federal government that we are given that credibility.

MS. FOX: Thank you. I couldn't agree more if we take care of the resource, if we all do a good job taking care of the resource, no matter what users we're working with, it benefits everyone. And I think in the experience that the Federal agencies have had with the Councils, we have a number of successful things that we can look back on and feel that we have made some good decisions prelative to wildlife that carry forward for the long-term for both the people that use the wildlife and for the resource itself. And we're hoping that that type of success in the working relationship between the Board and the Councils will continue as we move into fisheries.

Thank you for your comments.

CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Peggy.....

38 39

35

36 37

40

21

MS. FOX: Yes.

41 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN:as far as 42 cooperative management with tribal and Native 43 organizations, is it going to be out of the subsistence 44 office or each Federal agency will have it's own policies 45 on how to deal with this issue? 46

MS. FOX: That is the next topic I was 48 going to talk about. Perhaps I'll answer your question and 49 then I'll -- but first I guess I'd like to see if there are 50 any questions on the organizational approach before we move

00062 1 on to the next topic. Willie. 2 3 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: One other question I had 4 on that was that on the proposal it has the North Slope and 5 Northwest and the Bering Straits region as one region. The different agencies like the Fish and Wildlife, the Park 6 7 Service, and BLM all have separate staffing proposals to 8 deal with all three areas at once, or are the offices going 9 to be in separate areas? 10 11 MS. FOX: The agencies each have their own 12 staffing proposals, but they have been done where a number 13 of those staff, not all of them, but a number of them will 14 be located together. For example, for that area in 15 Kotzebue, some of them, and then Park Service and Fish and 16 Wildlife Service in particular is what is being planned, 17 will be located together to work on fisheries issues. Down 18 in Fairbanks will be another office that would work on 19 issues relative to the North Slope and the Northwest. And 20 that will also be an office where we will have a 21 combination of agency staff working together, fisheries 22 staff. 23 24 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Specifically for the 25 North Slope out of Fairbanks? 26 27 MS. FOX: Yes. 28 29 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: How about the Nome area? 30 31 MS. FOX: I think that's probably, I'm not 32 sure, but I think that might be being covered..... 33 34 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Out of Kotzebue? 35 36 MS. FOX:out of Kotzebue. I'm not 37 sure on that. I'd have to check the analysis that was 38 done. Other questions on the organization? Yes, Fenton. 39 40 MR. F. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman and Peggy, I 41 was reviewing the organization structure on page five of 42 the assignments, to develop the guidelines and how the 43 planning will go. The Staff Committee will develop those 44 guidelines. This is going to happen here, or is it already 45 going on? The reason I'm saying that is it's important to 46 include some maybe type of representation from each 47 Regional Council to help develop those new guidelines. Is 48 there a possibility for us to get in there or state that 49 now as far as the organizational structure, how staffing 50 and budgeting will go?

1 MS. FOX: Yes. We have a committee of 2 which I was chair, developed a final report which we just 3 got printed this week. And we don't have copies yet but 4 they will be mailed to you. We're just getting some 5 published right now. And this report was presented to the 6 Board and this is the report that addresses the 7 organizational structure and the program strategy, 8 including budget for this year and the next few years. And 9 this was presented to the Board as a conceptual document. 10 In other words, this is the best of our thinking right now 11 on what we might need in terms of an organization for each 12 agency, for the Office of Subsistence Management, as well 13 as how we might co-locate people, like I was saying, from 14 different agencies, and how we think the budget will be 15 distributed. And the Board accepted this as a conceptual 16 document. But between now and the orientation session 17 that's coming up in January, we will be working with the 18 agencies to make this more specific. And I think during 19 that process, as you review this, and we ask for final 20 comments from the Councils I anticipate sometime in 21 January, I believe you'll have an opportunity at that time 22 to look at what we're coming up with more specifically. 23 24 MR. F. REXFORD: Okay. Maybe if I reword 25 it. Staff Committee will develop -- what I'm trying to say 26 is that during these meetings where you're developing the 27 guidelines, for our Council from each region to be 28 represented during any Staff Committee meetings. 29 30 MS. FOX: During Staff Committee meetings? 31 32 MR. F. REXFORD: Yeah. Yes. Or be part of 33 the development of guidelines. 34 35 MS. FOX: I guess I need..... 36 37 MR. F. REXFORD: Or is this document 38 changeable? I mean can we revise it or enter into this 39 document what representations are? 40 41 MR. FOX: Well, I think what's happened 42 since April when that was written is that we've actually 43 gone a level higher with Willie Goodwin and Dan O'Hara 44 working with the Board. And they are there to hear, for 45 example, when this report was presented, and working with 46 the Board when the Board hears organizational staffing and 47 budget recommendations from staff. 48 49 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: That's when he told me 50 that, when I questioned our three areas being lumped into

1 one and only getting 900,000 from the 10,000,000, you know. 2 Because we wanted -- actually I wanted to see more because 3 I'm not sure what species of fish have been studied up on 4 the North Slope. I do know that some have been taking 5 place in our area and I know that the Bering Straits area 6 have an assortment of salmon. And I wanted to get a head 7 start on those before any of the regulations were starting 8 to be presented or put into the process for passing a final 9 regulation. And Marilyn Heiman and them guys, they told me 10 it's still flexible but until I see that I'll see how much 11 they flexed. Probably not. 12 13 MS. FOX: This document has only changed in 14 very minor ways since it was presented to the Board in 15 September, based on comments that we received from the 16 Board at that time. So I don't think the budget figures 17 changed, if that's what you're referring to in particular, 18 Willie. Not at this point. 19 20 Mr. Chairman. MR. PATKOTAK: 21 22 MS. FOX: But there's opportunity to work 23 on that later. Other questions? Yes, sir. 24 25 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Mike. 26 27 MS. FOX: Sorry. 28 29 MR. PATKOTAK: Are there any plans to hire 30 full-time contact from any of the Regional Councils at all, 31 or has that been addressed at all? With the additional 32 work load and the additional contact needed to implement a 33 full contact so that each and every Council know the 34 complete process, are there any plans in that direction? 35 36 MS. FOX: As far as somebody working 37 directly with the Council the way this current team does? 38 39 MR. PATKOTAK: No. I'm asking for like a 40 Native hire locally, you know, from each Regional Council 41 to be in close step for every step of the way that you 42 implement the Federal management process. 43 44 MS. FOX: Well, the hiring will occur 45 within each agency. And our office, the Office of 46 Subsistence Management that provides administrative staff, 47 as I indicated, will probably be hiring fisheries 48 biologists, another Council coordinator or two, for the 49 Councils, another social scientist, and some support staff. 50 But the agencies are where, perhaps, those types of

00065 1 opportunities will arise, so we have to look at what the 2 specific plans of the BLM, the Park Service, Fish and Wildlife Service, Forest Service and so are -- what their 3 4 specific plans are, but our office is primarily focused on 5 the administrative aspect of it, and so I'm not really sure 6 whether you're asking a question that relates to the 7 administration, you know, the regulatory process or if 8 you're talking about somebody who is going to be working on 9 specific issues. 10 11 MR. PATKOTAK: Well, the trickle down 12 effect, we've always inadvertently felt at the bottom of 13 the ladder so to speak, you know, and if somebody poured 14 water from the top, and we're at the very end, we get the 15 regulatory end of it, and that's all. No hands on in terms 16 of development of the regulations that are enforced. We're 17 much less even in the administrative end. So I quess my 18 question would be, is that, will anyone involved in any of 19 the Regional Councils to be fully informed not after the 20 fact, but during the development and the implementation of 21 this so that there's no surprises, 22 23 MS. FOX: Well, I hope so, but.... 24 25 MR. PATKOTAK:which has always been 26 the case in the.... 27 28 Uh-huh. MS. FOX: 29 30 MR. PATKOTAK:in the state, and where 31 the natives have always been, oh, is that so? And it's 32 been -- and it's been written into law already, whereas now 33 we have this opportunity to be fully involved, not only in 34 the formation of this regulations, but in the enforcement, 35 and where it would be user-friendly in terms of native. 36 37 MS. FOX: Ida was just indicating I may be 38 able to answer your question a little bit later in the 39 presentation, but I'd have to say I don't think that our 40 plans as far as organization goes have really gotten that 41 detailed. We have made estimates, because we have to 42 prepare estimates for budget purposes, because, you know, 43 you have to request a budget back in Washington in advance 44 of the year that you need it. Really three years in 45 advance. And then you just keep updating it. So we had to 46 do something last year in order to get into the budget 47 process for the coming year, and so it's done without a lot 48 of detail, or a lot of very detailed thought as to what 49 role some of these positions would play, and I -- again I 50 have to say that each agency is -- I think has -- have

00066 already begun to start putting more detail into the plan, 1 2 and deciding on staffing, where they'll be located, exactly 3 what type of grade level and so on, all the little details 4 that you have to figure out and what their responsibilities 5 will be. And I think that's something that's probably 6 occurring now and will occur for the next few months, maybe 7 halfway into this year, this new year. 8 9 So I can't answer your question. It's too specific 10 for our office, but -- because we -- at least I think it 11 is. I'm just not totally clear, but the agencies are doing 12 most of the hiring, rather than the Office of Subsistence 13 Management. I think the intent is always to try to get as 14 much information going back and forth between the Council 15 members and the Board. And, you know, by having these 16 meetings, by bringing issues forward, by having the 17 meetings in the villages, and trying to get people to come 18 forward with what problems there are, and what needs to be 19 resolved, we can start to work on the resolution of 20 something long before it comes a regulation or before it's 21 imposed on us by somebody else. We put a lot of emphasis 22 on the Councils to keep everybody informed. 23 24 Anyway, maybe I should move head and then see if 25 some of the other things I'm going to talk about help in 26 answering your question. 27 28 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman? 29 30 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon? 31 32 MR. UPICKSOUN: I have another question on 33 the budget, budgetary end. We've already spent almost a 34 million dollars. That leaves a little over \$7 million. Do 35 you see a point down the road here soon where you're going 36 to run out of money before you implement that aspect of the 37 -- your proposed plan? 38 39 MS. FOX: We have -- we were provided with 40 \$11 during the.... 41 42 MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes, but the Forest..... 43 44 MS. FOX:fiscal year '99, yes. 45 46 MR. UPICKSOUN:Service got \$3 47 million, that's -- they already got three million already, 48 already spent almost a million already, you've only 49 got.... 50

00067 1 MS. FOX: We haven't spent almost a 2 million. We..... 3 4 MR. UPICKSOUN: I thought earlier.... 5 6 MS. FOX:we did come -- we spent some 7 of it, yes, but I was indicating that we reserved what we 8 didn't spend to -- after -- to spend after October 1st, 9 because we had to do some preliminary planning, but we 10 always allowed for the fact that the State might pass a 11 resolution so that, you know, that there would be something 12 put on the ballot, so we didn't want to spend the whole 13 million. We didn't need to. Each of the agencies made 14 their request, and they -- you know, it was a very

15 conservative request for some staff to help get prepared, 16 and so over \$10 million is still available. I mean, this 17 is only the middle of October, and most of that money is 18 being planned to see us through the end of this fiscal 19 year, through the end of September, and it will primarily 20 go towards hiring people, towards finding new office space, 21 towards starting some projects in the field, and starting 22 to get some agreements with organizations under way on the 23 plan that Congress is going to come forward with the rest 24 of the money that we need in future years. But Congress 25 hasn't passed a budget yet, so -- but there's certainly 26 support in Congress to see this through.

27 28 29

CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Go ahead.

30 MS. FOX: Okay. The next topic is, 31 cooperative management with native organizations. During 32 the planning effort, we've kept in front of us the need to 33 work closely with native communities to support local 34 involvement in the management of subsistence fisheries. 35 During the summer we completed an inventory of fisheries 36 projects conducted by native organizations. We learned 37 that a large number of field projects are already on-going. 38 We want to build on this capability as we implement the 39 fisheries program. Naturally, it will take several months 40 to identify design and initiate field projects for 41 cooperative involvement, but our goal is to start several 42 projects during the fishing season in the summer of 2000, 43 and then build the program in future years. 44

Selection of field projects will be based on 46 statewide priorities for information. The type of projects 47 we are considering include conducting village harvest 48 surveys, managing fish monitoring stations to ensure 49 adequate escapements for subsistence and spawning, such as 50 fish weirs, counting towers, test fishing and so forth,

00068 1 documenting traditional environmental knowledge about 2 fisheries, and cooperative management planning. 3 4 And that concludes my comments on the -- on 5 cooperative management at this time. Willie, do you want 6 to ask your question again? 7 8 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: What I asked was is it 9 going to be the Office of Subsistence Management that does 10 the contract with the native organizations, or the 11 different Federal agencies going to be doing the 12 contracting? 13 14 MS. FOX: The money was distributed for the 15 individual agencies to do the cooperative management 16 planning, writing -- the Office of Subsistence Management 17 has retained a very small amount compared to what was went 18 -- what went to the other agencies, and that will primarily 19 go for things such as our working relationship with Fish 20 and Game. We support the information that we get, the data 21 we get from Fish and Game, and there's a liaison and so 22 forth. But the bulk of it went to each of the agencies for 23 them to develop contracts or agreements and do a lot of the 24 resource monitoring with those funds. 25 26 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: One of the things that 27 the Alaska Department of Fish and Game had in Kotzebue was 28 the counting of salmon. And they stopped. Now they fly 29 and count the old way, you know, and when it's full of 30 smolt, they can't count. So is -- are the Federal agencies 31 going to go back to that to count the fish? 32 33 MS. FOX: We definitely have talked about 34 as an interagency committee looking at those types of 35 projects, especially where, you know, if we contribute a 36 little bit of money, maybe the State will contribute a 37 little bit of money, and a little bit of money from several 38 parties goes a lot further. And we definitely feel we're 39 going to be involved in a lot of this monitoring of the 40 fish stocks as they move up the stream, as well as harvest 41 surveys and so forth, so that's -- I don't know the 42 specifics in terms of jurisdiction relative to the -- was 43 it a weir or a counting tower that you were talking about, 44 but we will be involved in a number of them across the 45 state. I know of one that the BLM is involved in, and the 46 State is -- or probably will be involved in on the 47 Unalakleet, for example, with the State and Kawerak. It's 48 a prime opportunity for us to work with them. Hopefully 49 add to our information. Other questions? 50

00069 1 MR. F. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman? 2 3 4 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Fenton? 5 MR. F. REXFORD: You know, just to go a 6 little bit further then on the interagency team, there on 7 page six, task number 1. Let me just use an example where 8 the task force were implementing or developing a Muskox 9 Harvest Plan. The Regional Council members and the North 10 Slope Borough Fish and Game or Wildlife Department were 11 sitting at the table. The interagency team, that's what 12 I'm just trying to further identify that it's important to 13 have Council with the interagency team to identify the work 14 that needs to be done. 15 16 MS. FOX: Yes. Yes. 17 18 MR. F. REXFORD: Is that the way -- will 19 the Regional Council members have that opportunity, 20 when.... 21 22 MS. FOX: Yes. 23 24 MR. F. REXFORD: (indiscernible -25 simultaneous speech) in the assignments? 26 27 MS. FOX: Yes, we have a target of trying 28 to have what we call a field project plan done sometime in 29 February, and hopefully -- well, we'll have to have it done 30 before the Council meetings, and you'll be able to see what 31 we have in mind in terms of specific projects for the 32 coming year, and at that time your comments would be asked 33 for, and your advice on those projects. 34 35 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Will you be seeking 36 input before the.... 37 38 MS. FOX: Before it's final? 39 40 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Well, before you 41 even.... 42 43 MS. FOX: Before what? 44 45 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN:put it out? 46 47 MS. FOX: Before we put out the list? 48 49 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yeah. 50

MS. FOX: Well, that isn't -- we don't have 1 2 a detailed process plan on that right now, but I can 3 certainly take that back as a suggestion, is that we 4 provide an opportunity -- but what we've been doing is 5 getting, as I indicated in my discussion on this topic, we 6 have a complete inventory of all the projects that native 7 organizations and others, the Fish and Game and so on have 8 been working on, and then we've taken a look at what the 9 priorities are across the state. I think we have enough 10 information to pull together a draft and at that point ask 11 for Council comments. That might be productive so that you 12 could see what people have in mind for your given area, and 13 then comment on that. Would that be acceptable? 14 15 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Well, I think what would 16 be more acceptable is if you got all three of our regions 17 together and then ask us. 18 19 MS. FOX: Just for a suggested list? 20 21 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yeah, for the northern 22 part of our -- the program, because I do know that the 23 North Slope, the Northwest and the Bering Straits are 24 lumped together as one region. 25 26 MS. FOX: I'll take the suggestion back. 27 It.... 28 29 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Because we have -- for 30 instance, I think our salmon go through the Bering Straits, 31 you know. Well, they've got to to reach us. So we've got 32 to have some kind of a plan to talk about those issues, you 33 know? 34 35 MS. FOX: Right. I agree. And we will. 36 It's a matter of at what step we do different things. I 37 think that's all we're talking about. We both agree that 38 the Councils will have an opportunity to comment on the 39 plans for the field work, and it's just a matter of how and 40 when. So -- okay. 41 42 MR. B. HOPSON: Mr. Chairman? 43 44 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Ben? 45 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman? 46 47 48 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yes,.... 49 50 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?

00071 1 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon, hold on a 2 minute, Gordon. Ben's got the next one. 3 4 MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. 5 6 MR. B. HOPSON: Does the Federal 7 Subsistence Board have plans as to how they're going to 8 divvy up the take of fish? I've heard in reports that the 9 native take for subsistence amounts to one percent of all 10 fish caught in the Alaskan waters. 11 12 MS. FOX: We rely at this point on 13 information from the State. We do not have any specific 14 plans as far as changing allocations. We really are hoping 15 that the Councils will be able to advise us where there are 16 issues, where there are concerns, where people's needs are 17 not being met, and will come forward with proposed changes 18 to the regulations, some of which needs to be dealt with 19 actually through the management plans, the fisheries 20 management plans, which is where the allocations are 21 discussed. And again that's a part of the program that we 22 haven't figured everything out yet, and actually probably 23 figured out very little in terms of how we're going to work 24 with the State on fisheries management planning, and look 25 at how the allocations are, but that is something that we 26 do have planned to do, and to work with the State on 27 getting revisions to those plans as needed. 28 29 MR. B. HOPSON: I had read in the paper 30 earlier this summer that Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta area wasn't 31 satisfied with their subsistence take of fish, and on 32 upward through the Yukon, too. 33 34 MS. FOX: One of the real complicating 35 factors, of course, with the Yukon and the Kuskokwim is the 36 changing jurisdiction along the river. Some of it's 37 managed -- going to be managed by the Federal agencies, 38 some of it's going to be managed by the state, and it's 39 something that we are going to probably have to spend an 40 awful lot of time figuring how this is going to work. 41 42 MR. B. HOPSON: So would you management 43 extend out to the 200-mile limit, 44 45 MS. FOX: No. 46 47 MR. B. HOPSON:will you be managing 48 those waters? 49 50 MS. FOX: No, we wouldn't be out in --

00072 1 beyond the high tide line on the shore. 2 3 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Moving right along, we 4 have.... 5 6 MS. FOX: Gordon. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Oh, Gordon, I'm sorry. 9 10 MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. Thank you, Mr. 11 Chairman. You know, the issue of where the tribal 12 organizations, individual tribes in the stand with the 13 differences with the State. Now we've got government-to-14 government relationship with the feds. Your communications 15 with tribal and native organizations are listed here, some 16 of them. Every tribe will want to be involved. 17 18 MS. FOX: We've had a lot of interest 19 expressed on the part of tribes at probably ever Council --20 certainly every Council meeting I've been to, and I've been 21 to several of them, half of them anyway, and there is quite 22 an interest on their part in participating in the 23 monitoring work that's going to happen, and I think 24 probably -- and the word comanagement has come up several 25 times. However, we have a rather unique relationship 26 relative to ANILCA in working with the Councils primarily, 27 and any kind of agreements that we would generate will be 28 with Council input, and with the agencies overseeing those 29 agreements. In other words, we're not in a position to be 30 working directly with the tribes. It's our charge to work 31 with the Councils. 32 33 MR. UPICKSOUN: Uh-huh. 34 35 MS. FOX: Now, the agencies, specifically 36 when they do projects can certainly work with the tribes in 37 whatever the relationship may be, government-to-government 38 or something else. But in terms of the subsistence program 39 per se, we work primarily with the Councils, and wouldn't 40 enter into agreements with the tribes. That would be 41 something that the specific agencies would do. 42 43 MR. UPICKSOUN: There's two regional tribal 44 organizations in the state. Only two regional tribal 45 organizations. I imagine those two tribal organizations 46 will be -- will want to be very active in cooperative 47 management. Federally recognized regional tribal 48 organizations. There's two of them. 49 50 MS. FOX: Uh-huh. And, of course, on
00073 1 specific issues, we hope that everyone who's involved in a 2 certain issue will come and work together cooperatively, 3 the tribes being one representative, and there being other 4 representatives in some of our -- many examples of those 5 across the state, but that's -- you know, as far as this 6 program goes, we like to bring everybody who's interested 7 in a particular issue together to try to work things out, 8 and I think that's different from, you know, the 9 government-to-government type relationship that you're 10 talking about. 11 12 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Go ahead. You know for 13 the Council's information, there's a meeting planned for 14 January, isn't it? 15 16 MS. FOX: Yes. 17 18 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: For all the Regional 19 Councils to attend on fisheries only. What we're doing 20 right now is getting a head's up preview so to speak 21 without any of -- all the specific answers yet. So what I 22 think we should do is listen to Peggy on what the overview 23 of the plan is right now, and if we have specific 24 questions, we can certainly ask them in January after we've 25 had time to digest this information from her, 26 27 MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. 28 29 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN:and especially 30 after we get the report. 31 32 MS. FOX: Yes, thank you, Willie, it is a 33 program as you well know that is very new to us, and 34 there's a lot of these things that are being thought about 35 and evolving, and we don't have a lot of specific answers 36 right now. It's too soon. Thank you for you comments, 37 Willie. 38 39 MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chairman, ma'am? That 40 newness, that freshness, that new structure, once again I 41 would place the emphasis on giving credibility to these 42 native organizations, whether you -- whether it be the 43 Councils or the tribe. That credibility must be given, not 44 just a token, but given. And you can see the end result of 45 the State management, and especially in the fisheries, and 46 in some areas of wildlife, where populations have belly 47 flopped or even flip-flopped because of State management 48 saying, these guys don't know what they're doing. Let me 49 tell you there has been some major belly flops done by the 50 State management, because of not giving credibility to

1 native organizations that are directly involved with the 2 fisheries and/or wildlife. 3 4 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Mike, there's going to 5 be one more thing that we should all keep in mind is that 6 all of the regulations are going to be going through the 7 Regional Councils to the Federal Board. That's where a lot 8 of our input will be, even though we hear different 9 proposals and studies from the agencies, we are the ones 10 that are going to be making the proposal -- changes, if we 11 see a change that needs to be made, to the Federal Board 12 for action on regulations, so we're going to have a better 13 way of determining what regulations we pass than through 14 the State system right now where we've been ignored. 15 16 MS. FOX: Okay. Thank you. The next 17 subject is Federal/State cooperative management strategy. 18 Just as with managing wildlife, an effective Federal 19 subsistence fisheries program will require close 20 cooperation with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 21 This is necessary, because the State will continue to have 22 management authority over fisheries throughout the state. 23 With mixed State/Federal jurisdiction, close cooperation 24 and coordination of management activities between State and 25 Federal managers is extremely important to protect 26 resources and continue existing uses. 27 28 Three meetings have taken place since September of 29 1998 between the chairs of the Alaska Board of Game and 30 Fish, the Commissioner and Deputy Commissioner of the Fish 31 and Game, and Federal Subsistence Board. In the most 32 recent meeting on June 28th, Dan O'Hara and Willie Goodwin 33 were also present. 34 35 For example -- or these meetings resulted in a 36 mutual understanding of similarities and differences in our 37 positions. For example, both State and Federal managers 38 place conservation of the resource as the first priority. 39 Among users, both State and Federal managers agree 40 subsistence needs have priority over other uses of 41 resources. However, separating rural from nonrural users 42 is where we cannot find resolution, and the State cannot 43 differentiate among residents. 44 45 Moreover, the Board and Councils want to maintain 46 their existing close working relationship whereas the State 47 must continue to work with advisory groups who represent a 48 broader constituency. Thus, we will continue to have a 49 separate regulatory process for both wildlife and 50 fisheries.

1 Nevertheless, even though there will be a separate 2 process, we must have a high level of coordination and 3 cooperation between the State and Federal fisheries 4 programs to be successful. We've recently established a 5 work group made up of State and Federal staff to begin 6 defining how the two programs will coordinate. Topics 7 under consideration include how information will be shared 8 and managed, how fisheries management planning will be 9 coordinated, now we will coordinate pre-season, in-season 10 and post-season, how to coordinate our respective 11 regulatory processes, and how to strengthen the interaction 12 of the regional advisory Councils, and the local advisory 13 committees. 14 15 Our immediate objective is to have a draft 16 agreement for review and comment at the January orientation

17 session of the Regional Councils, and the winter Regional 18 Council meetings. Our goal is to have an agreement with 19 the State in place during the first year of the fisheries 20 program.

And I'll -- that concludes my progress report, and I'll take questions at the will of the chair?

CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: To add a little bit more to those meetings in June, you know, the State was asking for too much, but Mitch, the chairman of the Federal Board, said -- told them bluntly that the Federal Board will not give up any of its mandates in ANILCA. So that's when the discussions stopped. And now we're in a position where -a situation where the Federal Board will be taking recommendations from all the regional advisory Councils to take actions in specific areas on fisheries, subsistence fisheries. Kind of an interesting meeting. Boy.

Any questions of Peggy?

MS. FOX: Okay. The next subject is regional advisory Council structures. A couple of years ago we surveyed the Councils on the need to restructure the Council system in response to common fisheries issues. At that time, only the Councils along the Yukon and Kuskokwim andicated a need for further discussion. The boundaries of three regional advisory Councils overlay a common river for further discussion. The boundaries of three regional advisory Councils overlay a common river the function, Western Interior, and those are the Eastern for the Western Interior, and Yukon-Kuskokwim regions. The Western Interior and Yukon-Kuskokwim regions also overlay the Kuskokwim drainage. We recognized the need to develop a way to coordinate fisheries issues between these councils, and we're beginning to work with these Councils

00075

21

35 36

to promote communication and coordination. This has been a separate agenda topic at the respective meetings of these particular Councils this round of meetings. And I'm mentioning this to you for your information. However, there was also a handout on the table that looks like this, it's two-sided, that lets you know what the Councils are -what the purpose of this topic is, and some suggested alternatives in the way that they could coordinate and communicate more effectively. So if you're interested, you can take a look at that.
We've also provided an opportunity for the three Souncils to meet separately during the training session in

13 Councils to meet separately during the training session in 14 January and make some further progress in deciding on how 15 they're going to coordinate issues along the Yukon and the 16 Kuskokwim. Any questions on that? 17

Okay. The next topic is extraterritorial jurisdiction. Since 1995, we've been acknowledging the Secretaries' authority to extend jurisdiction beyond Federal lands and waters, if necessary, to protect the subsistence fishing and hunting that occurs within Federal jurisdiction. We recognize that management of migratory species such as salmon may require adjustments and sallocations downstream beyond Federal jurisdiction to protect subsistence uses. This authority, however, has not been delegated to the Federal Subsistence Board, and we further recognize that the public needs to know how this process will work.

Recently we prepared draft procedures for handling petitions requesting such extensions of jurisdiction for the Secretaries' review. And that's another handout that was provided to you, and it looks like this. It's got a big draft stamp on top of it.

It's important to note that these powers have seldom been applied by the Secretaries. If there are extreme circumstances in which a fisheries resource is being depleted outside a Federal area to such an extent as to cause a failure in subsistence harvest within a Federal area, the Secretaries can extend Federal jurisdiction to a provide a remedy. This process will not follow the normal annual regulatory process. Serious petitions for extension of Federal jurisdiction will involve consultations with the State of Alaska and other fisheries authorities as well as a thorough analysis of the best available information, both scientific and traditional environmental knowledge. We will advise the Councils when these procedures become o available. Yes.

00076

30

00077 1 That concludes my update on that subject. 2 3 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: If this issue is of 4 concern to the False Pass fisheries, that's an example of 5 where this extraterritorial jurisdiction would take place. 6 Studies have been done by the State that show that the 7 Yukon-Kuskokwim salmon fisheries are affected by how much 8 fish are taken commercially at False Pass, and if it -- if 9 the -- if a petition, and I'm assuming a petition is going 10 to be submitted by one of those people, one of those 11 organizations on either of those river drainages to take a 12 look at extraterritorial jurisdiction in False Pass, then 13 it's going to be a very interesting situation on what the 14 Secretary will do, because whatever he decides here in 15 Alaska is going to have an effect on the other states. So 16 the other states are going to be watching this, too, so 17 I've been told by the Solicitor's Office. That's an 18 example of the extraterritorial jurisdiction. 19 20 The other is within the Federal waters, what we 21 talked about, you know, extending to State waters is where 22 this would take place within a river system. Federal 23 waters within a Federal -- like in a Fish and Wildlife 24 refuge and State waters adjacent, and if those are being --25 the State waters are affecting the Federal waters, you know 26 in for instance a refuge, and the extraterritorial 27 jurisdiction could extend to the State water. That's 28 another example. 29 30 MR. G. BROWER: Willie? 31 32 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon? 33 34 MR. G. BROWER: Is this an example of what 35 this could probably -- something that you could hear is 36 fish that are normally caught in the rivers, and then it 37 has a migration path through the ocean, and a development 38 like oil wells in an area could be affecting its migration 39 path, is that -- somewhere in that neighborhood where you'd 40 be able to put some input into that? 41 42 MS. FOX: Well, you'd have to be able to 43 show that there was a direct connection between, for 44 example, if -- in your example, the affect of the oil wells 45 on the migratory species, the salmon, let's just say 46 salmon, and people not being able to meet subsistence needs 47 in an area that is managed by Federal agencies. You'd have 48 to show a direct connection. 49 50 MR. G. BROWER: Is there a provision where,

00078 1 you know, you're not able to hurt something that's 2 protected by Federal law, like fisheries, and where -- the 3 subject I'm getting at is like the Colville River fisheries 4 for Arctic cisco, and the development and the leasing 5 opportunities that are being put out in the Beaufort Sea 6 area, because those fish migrate from McKenzie in Canada 7 and grow up in the Colville and then go back to spawn again 8 over there. Is that -- somewhere in that neighborhood 9 where you would be able to say something to a leasing 10 agency other than just the fisheries? 11 12 MS. FOX: No, that's a case that would have 13 to be pulled together, and as I indicated, you'd have to 14 provide sufficient information to the Secretary..... 15 16 MR. G. BROWER: Uh-huh. 17 18 MS. FOX:for them to be able to say 19 that this is worth pursuing further and getting information 20 on. And then there would be just a tremendously thorough 21 analysis of what is causing the problem for the subsistence 22 harvest. And there would be extensive public hearings, a 23 number of Council meetings, a lot of scientific and 24 traditional environmental knowledge brought forward before 25 a conclusion would be made. And, you know, if you can put 26 together a pretty good case and the Secretary tells the 27 Board to study it, then we would. We would pursue..... 28 29 MR. G. BROWER: Uh-huh. 30 31 MS. FOX:some kind of study of what 32 the -- what is causing the problem and look at what is 33 being suggested, but that's..... 34 35 MR. G. BROWER: But I'm just saying..... 36 37 MS. FOX:about as far I can answer 38 you. 39 40 MR. G. BROWER:that that's just 41 another -- is that an example of an extraterritorial 42 jurisdiction matter? 43 44 MS. FOX: Well,.... 45 46 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Wouldn't we have --47 Gordon, excuse me,.... 48 49 MS. FOX: Sure. 50

00079 1 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN:is the procedures 2 that would follow if a petition was brought forth. For 3 instance, on your concern. The Federal Subsistence -- Fish 4 and Wildlife Service, and the Federal Subsistence Division 5 would make a determination of whether it's a petition 6 that's valid and go the Federal Board, or -- is that the 7 process? 8 9 MS. FOX: Well, we would forward the 10 petition to the Secretary, and the Secretary would review 11 whatever information is provided along with the petition, 12 and will tell the Board whether or not to do any further 13 information gathering analysis, public hearings and so on, 14 and then the Board would probably provide a recommendation 15 to the Secretary after.... 16 17 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: So the Secretary 18 would..... 19 20 MS. FOX:all that's done. 21 22 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN:in a sense take up 23 the concern.... 24 25 MS. FOX: Yes. 26 27 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN:that he had? 28 29 MS. FOX: But I'd like to just emphasize 30 that that's -- as it says in here, each case will be 31 closely scrutinized, and there's a very high standard to 32 meet in making your case. 33 34 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any other questions? 35 Customary trade? 36 37 MS. FOX: Okay. The definition of 38 subsistence uses in Title VIII includes customary trade as 39 a legitimate subsistence practice. In regulation customary 40 trade includes the sale of subsistence-taken fish, as long 41 as it does not constitute a significant commercial 42 enterprise. This permissive customary trade regulation is 43 designed to permit the practice of selling small quantities 44 of fish, but to keep this practice separate from commercial 45 sales. We recognize that there may be a need for 46 additional regulations to further define customary trade 47 practices on a regional basis to ensure the separation and 48 prevent abuse of the regulation. 49 50 We are initiating a process at the Council meetings

00080 1 this fall to identify customary trade practices in each 2 region in consultation with the regional advisory Councils. 3 And this is a subject that's on your agenda a little bit 4 later this afternoon, and Helen will take the lead on that. 5 6 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: So any specific 7 question, we can ask Helen? 8 9 MS. FOX: That's right. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okay. 12 13 MS. FOX: The next subject is to talk about 14 the orientation session that both Willie and I have 15 referred to. We've recognized the need for further 16 training and orientation to the new Federal fisheries 17 program. We all obviously have a lot of questions. Over a 18 month ago you received a notice that we would be conducting 19 such an orientation session for all regional advisory 20 Council members as well as agency field personnel in 21 November. 22 23 Recently we decided to postpone the session until 24 January of 2000. We did this for several reasons. First, 25 we simply did not feel that we could do a credible job with 26 a program of this magnitude by November, and that we needed 27 more time to do adequate planning. Some of the details 28 about how the Federal fisheries program will work are still 29 evolving. In particular, we wanted to have a better 30 understanding of our relationship with the Department of 31 Fish and Game, and as stated earlier, these consultations 32 are still on-going and are likely to be on-going through 33 November. Moreover, we are only beginning to recruit for 34 fishery staff, and hope to have some of the key staff 35 members in place by January. 36 37 Proposed dates and a draft agenda are subject of 38 another agenda item to be covered by Ida Hildebrand 39 following my presentation. You should have a copy of the 40 draft agenda from the table as well. It looks like this, 41 and she's going to go over this with you when I'm done. 42 43 The last topic I wanted to update you on is the 44 fisheries regulatory process. The current fisheries 45 regulations will cover the 2000 fishing season. We will be 46 starting a new fisheries regulatory process for the 2001 47 fishing season later this winter. This process will be 48 similar to the wildlife process; however the fisheries 49 process will begin with the winter meetings in February and 50 March. At that time we will be requesting changes for the

2001 fishing season. Proposals for these regulatory 1 2 changes will be evaluated and presented to the Councils for 3 review and recommendations during the fall meetings next 4 year. We anticipate that the Board will deliberate and 5 make decisions in December of 2000. There is a handout 6 showing the schedule that I've just outlined. It shows you 7 $% \left({{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}}{{\rm{T}}$ 8 the -- when the proposal period ends, and Council meeting 9 windows and so on. And what this schedule is intended to 10 do is to cover our needs for the first couple of years in 11 this program. And during that time, we hope to learn 12 whether or not this type of a schedule is going to work for 13 us, or if we need to modify it and do it differently. 14

Right now it -- and when we talked to the Councils about developing something like this past, the Councils radvised us that they preferred to add the fisheries discussions to their existing schedule of meetings, so that you still had two meetings per year instead of four. So that's what we've done here, is to add to the meetings and at your next meeting in February or March, whenever you decide that is, you will start to discuss fisheries proposals for the 2001 fishing season. And a year from now, at your fall meeting, you will actually be making recommendations on proposed changes to fisheries regulations while you're looking at wildlife proposals.

So as I said, we can -- take a look at this, and over the next couple of years we'll be looking for whether or not this schedule works, or whether or not we need to do something different. Also, the coordination that we're doing with the State may also affect how this might change in the future. For example, if we tried to work more closely with the timing of the Board of Fish meetings, that might affect how the schedule is done.

36 37 38

27

And that concludes my comments on that.

39 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: One thing that needs to 40 be clarified is that the Federal Board adopted the State 41 regulations as they are right now for fisheries.

42

MS. FOX: For -- yes, almost in its 44 entirety, except there were a few changes that affected 45 that Kodiak, some rainbow trout fishing in I think it was 46 Region 5, and then statewide, the Board has always 47 recognized -- I mean, for several years, rod and reel as a 48 subsistence method whereas the State doesn't. So -- but 49 those are the differences in a nutshell, but for the most 50 part they're the same as the State, and our intent was not

00082 1 to cause a lot of disruption with a lot of change 2 overnight, and then secondly, if there are needed changes, 3 we wanted to hear from people, and then through the 4 Councils what those changes should be, rather than us 5 proposing anything. 6 7 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: I had to bring this up, 8 because one of the things that we talked about in our 9 spring meeting was the three regulations in our area that 10 are contrary to what we do at home, and my comment was to 11 see if we can take them off the books, and if they need to 12 be put back in, give us justification. That would the 13 Kelly River one, the mesh size on the shee fish, and the 14 closing of five-foot stream. Those are three regulations 15 that at least for the January meeting I'd like to see as 16 our proposals to take those off for the Northwest area. 17 18 MS. FOX: Okay. Any.... 19 20 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Questions? 21 22 MS. FOX:other comments? Okay. That 23 concludes my progress report on some of the issues that are 24 in the implementation plan, and again you're -- any of your 25 thoughts or comments are welcome. Willie will be 26 continuing to work with the Board as we continue to evolve 27 more detail about the program, and then at the end of 28 January you'll be hearing a lot more information and have 29 the opportunity to comment on a lot more things. And I'd 30 like to, with the chair's concurrence, ask Ida to cover the 31 agenda for the January session next. 32 33 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: You're up, Ida. 34 35 MS. FOX: Thank you. 36 37 MS. HILDEBRAND: Ida Hildebrand, 38 39 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: I've always 40 wondered,.... 41 42 MS. HILDEBRAND:BIA staff committee 43 member. 44 45 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN:Ida when we hear 46 from, is it the native perspective or the Bureau of Indian 47 Affairs? 48 49 MS. HILDEBRAND: If you ask me for my 50 personal view, it is certainly my native perspective;

00083 1 however, as a staff committee member, I do reflect the 2 statements of various Regional Councils, which is generally 3 the native perspective. And on an official level, the BIA 4 supports whatever the Secretary of the Interior states. 5 6 As to my presentation on the agenda for the 7 training session that is now the week of January 25th here 8 in Anchorage, at -- I believe it's at the Egan Center. 9 10 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: January 25th? 11 12 MS. HILDEBRAND: The week of January 25th. 13 I believe travel is on the 24th. But another letter will 14 be sent out to you with the specific date, and the final 15 agenda will be mailed to all Council members. All Council 16 members are urged to attend. And this agenda was drafted 17 from the comments of all Regional Council chairs. They 18 were all called and polled, and there were 29 comments from 19 all Regional Council. In addition to the Regional 20 Councils, Federal staff and regional coordinators and 21 regional teams were also consulted, for a total of 39 22 comments on what should be on this agenda. If there is 23 anything that isn't on the agenda that you feel very 24 strongly about, I would hope that you would let us know at 25 this meeting. 26 27 And the form of the agenda on the first page, or 28 day one, those large blocks indicate that it's a general 29 session, which means that all the Regional Councils and the 30 Federal staff will be in the same room listening to the 31 same speakers or the same panel. On the first day there's 32 a keynote luncheon address, which means you stay through 33 lunch and listen to this person speak. 34 35 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Who? 36 37 MS. HILDEBRAND: That person hasn't been 38 selected, but there have been several people recommended. 39 40 Also, on the evening before the first day, we're 41 hoping to have a reception when all the Councils will have 42 an opportunity to chat and compare notes and start thinking 43 about who you would like to caucus with, because there will 44 be many opportunities for Regional Council caucuses 45 throughout the training session. 46 47 On day two, those smaller boxes indicate that 48 you're going to be breaking up from that large general 49 assembly into break-out sessions or work shops. So I would 50 hope that you would contact your coordinator and let her

1 know which workshops you're interested in attending. Some 2 of them will be different topics, some of them will be the 3 same topic in two different rooms. Right before lunch on 4 the second day, they're going into user conflicts to 5 discuss methods and techniques of how to address user 6 conflicts. It's deliberately placed before lunch in the 7 hopes that the Council members would have an opportunity 8 over lunch to discuss some of their ideas.

10 And immediately following lunch, that large session 11 again is for Regional Councils to meet together, to caucus. 12 You mentioned that you were interested in your two Councils 13 meeting with Bristol Bay, that would be a good time to 14 schedule that. For instance, the Yukon Y-K and Eastern and 15 Western Interior are planning to caucus during that time to 16 discuss the management of fish on the whole river drainage 17 and other issues. It doesn't have to be just those issues. 18

On the third day, it's again -- it begins in the morning with break-out sessions or workshops. In the workshops there a -- excuse me, let me regress for a minute. On the first day, the talks will be just to give you a broad overview of what is fisheries management and qive you the big picture or general idea. When you go into sworkshops, that's the time for more specific questions to ask about issues that are pertinent to your specific regions. On the first day, there will also be an open mike, or the floor will be open for comments from all council members.

And on the last day when you go back into general session, right before lunch is the beginning of wrap up of discussion, and there will probably be a panel. The Federal Subsistence Board will also be in attendance, and may be members of this panel, as well various Council chairs. After lunch we'll continue the wrap-up session and there will be an opportunity for Council members to state issues that still remain or to make any comments that you gel pertinent to the training session.

40 41 42

30

Are there any questions?

CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: I don't know about a 44 question, but I'd like to address this to the people of the 45 North Slope and our region. Because the three regions, the 46 North Slope, our region, and the Bering Straits are being 47 lumped into one region, I just want to know if you want to 48 meet before this January meeting, after or during to get an 49 update on some of the budgetary issues we talked about on 50 what the agencies plan to do within the regions separately

00085 1 or as a whole region? I just feel that we should get 2 together between the three regions, the regional advisory 3 Councils, and talk about fisheries, because where the 4 monies being spent is a critical issue to all of us, and 5 how it's going to be spent? Anybody got any comments they 6 want to make? When do you want to meet, before, after or 7 during? I see there's time to do it during, but I don't 8 know how much time that will be to go over some of the 9 details we need to go over. Harry? 10 11 MR. H. BROWER: Mr. Chairman, I just have a 12 question regarding the agenda. It doesn't state where the 13 meeting's going to take place or -- in Anchorage or in what 14 place are we going to be meeting? 15 16 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: You mentioned the Egan 17 Center? 18 19 MS. HILDEBRAND: Peggy, has that contract 20 been signed? 21 22 MS. FOX: No. 23 24 MS. HILDEBRAND: The tentative plans are 25 for the Egan Center in Anchorage. 26 27 MR. H. BROWER: Thank you. 28 29 MR. PATKOTAK: And these meetings are for 30 all regional advisory Council members? 31 32 MS. HILDEBRAND: Every regional advisory 33 Council, every Council member, so it would be all the 34 people in this room, and then all -- all ten regions and 35 all their Council members. 36 37 MR. PATKOTAK: And these dates are set 38 already and when will we be notified of arrangements so 39 that we can make plans? 40 41 MS. HILDEBRAND: A tentative draft of the 42 letter of the exact dates has already been drafted and 43 they're just waiting for signing the contract to -- once 44 the contract is signed, then it's a definite. And as soon 45 as that contract is signed, the letter will be mailed to 46 you. 47 48 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Anybody have any 49 questions of Ida? 50

00086 1 MR. GRIEST: Mr. Chairman? 2 3 4 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Bert? 5 MR. GRIEST: There's studies being done 6 right now in our area of whether or not there's resources 7 out there that might be harvestable for commercial 8 purposes. If there are some possibilities for commercial 9 usage, then I can see -- I can foresee that there would be 10 a need for our area to start focusing on potential use 11 conflicts or what implications this might have for those of 12 us that subsist on the resources. And I think for the time 13 being, you know, for our area, there's the least amount of 14 conflict right now between commercial and subsistence uses 15 in terms of drafting management guidelines and for 16 providing training. If there's -- I would (indiscernible) 17 for these meetings at least for our area, to kind of take a 18 look at what implications this, you know, this might have 19 for our area, at least for our three regions. There might 20 be a need, I think, for us to either break off with Bering 21 Straits, or Bering Straits and our area might need to be 22 lumped together, because of potential -- or there might be 23 some potential on commercial resources available out there, 24 so we need to take a look at that. The Kotzebue area. The 25 Kotzebue and Bering Straits area. 26 27 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Do you have any 28 suggestions when we might meet? 29 30 MS. HILDEBRAND: Mr. Chairman, on all the 31 caucuses that are -- all the spaces that are at the call of 32 the chair of the Regional Councils is for the Regional 33 Councils to address that specific issue. If Bert is asking 34 me if they -- that your Council can meet prior to the 35 January training session, I'm not in the position to answer 36 yes or no. That would have to be a question directed to 37 Mr. Boyd, the director of the Office of Subsistence 38 Management. I personally would believe that you'd have 39 ample time for those caucuses during the meeting, and the 40 user conflicts training that's available may benefit your 41 discussion. But, of course, that's the call of the chair, 42 or the call of this Council. 43 44 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: What I'm talking about, 45 Ida, is discussing the budgetary issues that are being 46 proposed by the different agencies on how the money's going 47 to be spent in each region. This is just a general 48 overview on how the fisheries management program is going 49 to be. 50

00087 1 MS. HILDEBRAND: Right. 2 3 4 5 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Now, I'd like to get specific. 6 MS. HILDEBRAND: Right. And as far as 7 those budget figures, although they're on paper, they're 8 just figures for discussion. They're -- for instance, if 9 the BIA had \$5,000 -- \$500,000 to do some kind of study, 10 the BIA isn't just going to say, okay, oh, we're going to 11 do this. There's already that planned overview of 12 priorities to rise, what are the issues, how did you come 13 to these priorities on the Federal staff, and on the 14 regional basis, each Council to come up with what the 15 Council says is the priority in those regions, and those 16 two would have to be looked at and brought together to form 17 a real priority, and so the BIA wouldn't be spending 18 \$500,000 on whatever the BIA wanted to study, but coming 19 from the priorities identified by the Councils for their 20 respective regions, what the recommendation was from the 21 technical committee of what are -- what's data that exists, 22 and what's the data that doesn't exist. So although it may 23 seem set to you at this point, it really isn't, and the 24 Councils still have a very strong voice in stating what 25 their regional priorities are. 26 27 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: What I'm saying, Ida is 28 that Peggy waved around a.... 29 30 MS. FOX: A plan. 31 32 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN:plan. 33 34 MS. FOX: Right. 35 36 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Even though it's in a 37 proposal form, it's broken down by region, how many staff 38 is going to be hired, and how much it's going to cost to 39 have these people hired, and where they're going to be 40 hired. 41 42 MS. HILDEBRAND: Right. And then if you 43 recall in that meeting you were at, Marilyn Heiman said 44 those figures are only representative of the workload, and 45 it may well be contracted and not actual staff hired. 46 47 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: What I'm saying that I'd 48 like to have the three regions together to at least have 49 some input into those proposals before they become final. 50 And that's why I'd like to have a meeting between the three

00088 1 regions,.... 2 3 MS. HILDEBRAND: Yes. 4 5 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN:and I will have 6 someone draft a letter for me and Fenton to sign, right, 7 Fenton? 8 9 MR. F. REXFORD: Uh-huh. (Affirmative) 10 11 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okay. Any other 12 questions of Ida on this pink proposal? Enoch? 13 14 MR. SCHIEDT: Yeah, on this one here, on 15 your agenda here, you've got it broken down, general 16 session starting on day one, and you're going to break it 17 down to workshops on day two, different groups after that. 18 (Indiscernible - away from microphone and rattling of 19 papers) find out who's going to go who and where, 20 (indiscernible) everybody, just break out in different 21 sessions, and for the different -- like marine regulatory. 22 We might end up with something we don't really know, and we 23 can cover (indiscernible) marine up in Barrow or worried 24 about their land in Kotzebue. And they're going to break 25 us up here. 26 27 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: No, I think all three of 28 those are going to be talking about the same thing, right, 29 Ida? 30 31 MS. HILDEBRAND: Some workshops will be 32 talking about the same topic, and other workshops will be 33 talking about different topics, because not all regions 34 have the same interests. There are some regions that don't 35 have any marine waters and won't be interested in marine 36 waters, and therefore it's requested that all Councils 37 contact their coordinator and let their coordinator know 38 which workshops they're interested in attending. 39 40 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any other questions? 41 42 MR. H. BROWER: Willie? 43 44 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Harry? 45 46 MR. H. BROWER: When you're talking about 47 marine waters, are you just going to be talking about this 48 fisheries management, or are you going to be talking about 49 other resources within the marine waters also? 50

00089 1 MS. HILDEBRAND: The training session is 2 focused on fisheries management. 3 4 MR. H. BROWER: Okay. Thank you, Mr. 5 Chairman. 6 7 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okay. Okay. What I'll 8 do at this time is just entertain a motion to get the 9 regions together to talk about this thing. It seems to me 10 that's the only way we can get action out of some of these 11 Federal agencies. If we move to act, then they'll have to 12 honor our request. 13 14 MR. F. REXFORD: Yeah. For the sake of 15 discussion, Mr. Chairman, I so move to have the Bering 16 Straits, NANA -- or Northwest and North Slope to meet 17 before this January meeting. 18 19 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Do you second it, Bert? 20 21 MR. GRIEST: (Inaudible reply.) 22 23 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any discussion on the 24 motion? Under discussion, anybody got any date that they 25 want to talk about? 26 27 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, we couldn't 28 hear what the motion was down at this end. 29 30 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Pardon me? 31 32 MR. UPICKSOUN: We could not hear what the 33 motion was.... 34 35 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: The motion was.... 36 37 MR. UPICKSOUN:down at this end. 38 39 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: The motion was to have 40 the three regions meet before the January meeting here. 41 The North Slope, Northwest and the Bering Straits, to talk 42 about fishing. Ben? 43 44 MR. B. HOPSON: A day or two before this 45 training session starts. 46 47 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Anybody have any other 48 suggestions? Ben suggested a day or two before the TNCRAC 49 (ph). 50

00090 MR. SCHIEDT: (Indiscernible) 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Probably just one day. 4 I think one day would 5 6 MR. SCHIEDT: Yeah. One day. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN:be sufficient to go 9 over, or half a day to go over -- one day at least to go 10 over the regional stuff, you know. 11 12 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Uh-huh. 13 14 MR. UPICKSOUN: What day does January 25 15 fall on? The start date? 16 17 MS. FOX: Tuesday. 18 19 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: The what? 20 21 MS. FOX: Tuesday. 22 23 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: A Tuesday. So we could 24 meet.... 25 26 MS. HILDEBRAND: It starts on Monday. 27 28 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: We can meet Monday? 29 30 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Uh-huh. Afternoon. 31 32 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah. 33 34 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Monday? 35 36 MR. H. BROWER: Uh-huh. All the travel is 37 going to be on -- all the participants going to be able to 38 participate, because it includes travel on Monday. 39 40 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Well, we sure can make 41 the request. I can't see why they have -- can't say no. 42 Any other discussion on the motion? 43 44 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Call for the question. 45 46 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Thank you. 47 48 MR. F. REXFORD: Where? 49 50 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Hold on a second, go

00091 1 ahead. 2 3 MR. F. REXFORD: This would be in Anchorage 4 I presume? 5 6 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yeah. The question was 7 called. All in favor signify by saying aye. 8 9 IN UNISON: Aye. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: All opposed? 12 13 (No opposing votes.) 14 15 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: So, Barb, we've got to 16 get this with -- just tell Bering Straits they've got to be 17 there. 18 19 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Seward Pen. 20 21 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Seward Pen. Okay. 22 23 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Where would we be 24 without her? 25 26 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: We're moving right 27 along. Muskox meeting update. Donna? 28 29 MS. DEWHURST: I don't really have any --30 there is no updates, unless Fenton knows of anything. The 31 last meeting of the muskox group for the North Slope was 32 that informal meeting connected with our Regional Council 33 meeting. So I am not aware of any other meeting since 34 then, and I don't know of any plans for any in the 35 immediate future, unless Fenton knows of something? 36 37 MR. H. BROWER: (In Inupiat) 38 39 MR. F. REXFORD: (In Inupiat) 40 41 MR. H. BROWER: (In Inupiat) 42 43 MR. F. REXFORD: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, Steve 44 and the other team members for muskoxen, while we're 45 dealing on muskox meeting updates, we know that this is the 46 interim Muskox Harvest Plan, and we're supposed -- within 47 three years.... 48 49 MS. DEWHURST: Uh-huh. 50

00092 1 MR. F. REXFORD:supposed to come up 2 with a comprehensive management plan. The agency who 3 suggested that this become a comprehensive plan will have 4 to take the lead, because we've worked on the interim plan, 5 and that's where we're at. 6 7 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any questions of Donna? 8 9 MS. DEWHURST: And as far as the North --10 or Northwest Arctic, kind of the results of muskox meetings 11 there are the current State proposal, I don't know the 12 number, that is for a harvest.... 13 14 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Ten? Is it ten, Ken? 15 16 MS. DEWHURST: Ten? 17 18 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Ten the State is 19 proposing? 20 21 MS. DEWHURST: Which we'll probably be 22 talking about further when Den does the Park Service 23 update, but it's the proposal to have a harvest. It's a 24 State Tier II harvest for the Unit 23 north and west of the 25 Noatak River, including Cape Thompson, Cape Lisburne area, 26 and probably the only update I have there is I have talked 27 to the Alaska Maritime Refuge folks, and the Alaska 28 Maritime Refuge manager is in support of the State Tier II 29 harvest that would occur on the refuge. So the refuge --30 John Martin says he does support it, and that's what will 31 go as far as to the State Game Board. So that's one step 32 closer. That will be decided I guess next week in Barrow 33 at the State Game Board for the Tier II, but I'm sure we'll 34 discuss that more when Ken gives his, and that's really the 35 -- unless you can think of something else, Willie, that's 36 the main result of all our muskox meetings in the Kotzebue 37 area. 38 39 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Ken, do you want to talk 40 now or latter? 41 42 MR. ADKISSON: It's up to you. I can do it 43 now I guess. 44 45 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okav. 46 47 MR. ADKISSON: I have written 48 (indiscernible - away from microphone) on the Seward 49 Peninsula, do you want me to do that, or hold off on that 50 (indiscernible)?

00093 1 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Go ahead and do it now. 2 It doesn't matter when (ph) talks anyway. Tell them of our 3 plan there. 4 5 MR. ADKISSON: Oh, okay. Right. Good 6 afternoon, Council chairs, and Council members. My name 7 is.... 8 9 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: State your name? 10 11 MR. ADKISSON:Ken Adkisson. I'm the 12 subsistence program coordinator for Western Arctic National 13 Park lands, and I'm currently stationed in Nome. I'd 14 prepared a short report on the -- an update status report 15 on the Seward Peninsula Muskoxen. You've got copies of it. 16 I think I passed it out earlier. I'll be really brief on 17 that, since it's a little south of your territory, but it 18 does affect two villages in Unit 23, those being Buckland 19 and Deering. 20 21 On the Seward Peninsula hunt, it's managed jointly 22 by the State and Federal systems that share one unified 23 over-all harvest quota system. At the last count two years 24 ago, the population numbered roughly a little over 1400 25 animals. The season opened August 1st of this year, and 26 will close March 15th of next year. The bag limit is one 27 bull muskoxen by either Federal or State Tier II permit, 28 and the harvest level of each -- for within each subunit of 29 the hunt area is currently based on a quota system of five 30 percent of the animals counted in that subunit at the time 31 of the last count. There were a total of 64 permits 32 available, 35 of those were State permits, and 29 were 33 Federal permits, and they were distributed as illustrated 34 in that table on the report, and I won't go into them at 35 this time, but if you have questions about how those 36 worked, you know, feel free to ask. 37 38 The State Tier II permits are scored with a cost of 39 living and prior success in muskoxen hunting being major 40 factors, especially the -- as it's turned out over the last 41 couple years, prior harvesting success has been very 42 important locally in determining who gets a permit in some 43 cases, and who does not. To sort of work out a more 44 equitable balanced system for the permits, we've kind of 45 held the Federal permits in reserve, and used those to 46 somewhat balance out any inequities that show up in the 47 State permits. Unlike last year, there were no Nome 48 residents who received permits this year. All but four of 49 the total permits went to the six Federally qualified 50 villages. To date we've only had reports of four animals

00094 1 having been harvested, two of those were by residents of 2 Wales, one by a resident of Brevig Mission, one by a 3 resident of White Mountain. All four of those animals were 4 harvested with State Tier II permits off State or private 5 lands. Once freeze up is over and travel becomes easier, 6 we'll expect the harvest level to pick up. And that 7 basically concludes the report on the Seward Peninsula 8 muskoxen hunt, unless you have any questions. 9 10 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Mr. Chairman? 11 12 MR. BALLOT: Mr. Chairman? On the Tier II, 13 the cost of living, how the State picked that up, we have 14 to go 90 miles, 50 miles this way, and it costs us a lot 15 more than it costs the folks in Deering (indiscernible), 16 and Tier II for (indiscernible). 17 18 MR. ADKISSON: Yeah, that's always going to 19 be kind of a problem, Percy, and one of the things about 20 the Buckland and Deering hunt is we kind of in working with 21 the villages left it up to the villages how they wanted to 22 work out the allocation or division between the State and 23 Federal permits. Some of the units like in 22(E) wanted 50 24 percent State permits, 50 percent Federal permits. 25 Buckland and Deering did not, and the result was there were 26 eight Federal permits and two State permits. And what's 27 happening is both of those State permits are winding up, 28 you know, in Deering largely based on prior success. The 29 only thing that I could recommend at this time would be if 30 those two villages wanted to shift a few more permits into 31 the State system to see what happened, and again we would 32 be willing to work with the communities in the allocation 33 of the Federal permits to try to balance out any 34 differences. And that's something that we should get with 35 the communities and talk about over the next several 36 months. 37 38 In discussing -- it's a very -- somewhat 39 complicated issue in talking about shifting permits from 40 the Federal program into the State program, and we 41 understand that. And in doing that, I want to make it very 42 clear that we're not giving permits up to the State. We're 43 simply working with the State in the allocation system, and 44 we reserve the right through the right through the Federal 45 board to provide oversight, and see that the State portion 46 of the hunt's working for the local communities, and if 47 it's not, you know, we can always I guess go to the Federal 48 board and take back those permits. That was part of the 49 original agreement. 50

CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: One other thing, too, 1 2 Percy, now that the -- October come around, those land that 3 were selected, but not conveyed around the villages is now 4 under Federal jurisdiction. Correct me, Vince -- I mean, 5 Ken, can they hunt those (indiscernible, feedback) those 6 areas? 7 8 MR. ADKISSON: Largely no, and my 9 understanding, and Peggy Fox or someone may be able to give 10 you more information, but my understanding is that applies 11 only to selected, but nonconveyed lands within the 12 boundaries of the conservation units, so that would include 13 Bering Land Bridge National Preserve, and if you look at 14 the map kind of right behind Fenton and Harry there and 15 stuff, the Bering Land Bridge is kind of down on the lower 16 left corner, that large block of land that sort of runs --17 yeah. And if you..... 18 19 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: But they can hunt right 20 here, right? 21 22 MR. ADKISSON:look right in that 23 corner, that's some -- that's an example of selected, but 24 nonconveyed land that in the past was not part of the 25 Federal program, but is now. But the problem for like 26 Deering and Buckland, they're largely surrounded or 27 adjacent to BLM lands, and my understanding is that the 28 selected but nonconveyed lands does not apply to those. 29 And somebody from Fish and Wildlife can.... 30 31 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okay. 32 33 MR. ADKISSON:correct me if that's 34 not true. So Buckland and Deering are kind of up against a 35 hard situation in that case. 36 37 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Tell them what we have 38 planned that you told me about a little while ago? 39 40 MR. ADKISSON: About the (indiscernible) to 41 move to the Cape.... 42 43 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yeah. 44 45 MR. ADKISSON:Krusenstern? Okay. 46 Donna mentioned to you the outcome of a series of muskoxen 47 meetings held on the North -- in Northwest Alaska, and 48 what's under discussion there are those animals that have 49 sort of fallen between the cracks between the North Slope 50 planning process and the Seward Peninsula planning process.

1 In other words, the animals just north of Kotzebue there, 2 from Cape Krusenstern National Monument up along the coast 3 now as far as Cape Lisburne. Geoff mentioned to you that 4 there's roughly a little over 300 animals out there now. 5 What I might also add, roughly a third of those, or about 6 100, are located within the National Monument. The other 7 two-thirds are on State, private lands, and some on the 8 national wildlife refuge lands.

10 There's been discussions of opening up a hunt, and 11 the original idea was to go along with sort of the original 12 Seward Peninsula Plan, and that was basically to have what 13 some people consider is a conservative harvest of three 14 bulls only, three percent of the population, which in this 15 case would have amounted to about ten animals the first 16 time around. And the Park Service has been looking at it 17 very seriously, and we have some real concerns about 18 hunting at this time within the monument itself. We're 19 very concerned with conserving and protecting those small, 20 very small, roughly 100 animals, breeding population within 21 the monument. We want to complete a management planning 22 process for the monument and look at those factors and some 23 other factors. 24

What I had discussed with Willie and so forth, and to sort of clear up earlier Willie's comment about trying to get a straight answer out of the Park Service, I'll try to give him his straight answer now. The question was concerning the dispersing animals out of there. And we look at those animals that leave the monument itself, these are largely bulls at this time, and they do disperse coutward in limited numbers. Once they do that, we sort of look at them as sort of potentially harvestable under the threshold of the larger population, and so we have no problem with, you know, providing a hunt say in the Noatak National Preserve portion of the area. Our main concern at this time is protecting that breeding, that small breeding population.

39

What's going on is that there will be a proposal What's going on is that there will be a proposal the before the State Board of Game that they'll deal with later a basically to establish a State Tier II hunt north and west a basically to establish a State Tier II hunt north and west the of the Noatak River with a harvest of up to 15 bulls. The State recognizes that for the present time the Park Service is not interested in participating in a hunt within Cape rune for the State basically is discussing actually a harvest, even though their proposal calls for up to 15 animals, initially their number of permits will probably be on more like six to eight, which would reflect roughly the

00096

1 population outside the monument. And my understanding of 2 that based on the current Federal regulations is that if we 3 do nothing on the Federal side, if you look in the Federal 4 regulation book now, there's a no open season Federally for 5 that area. My understanding of that was if we do nothing, 6 and the State Tier II hunt goes forth, Cape Krusenstern 7 will remain closed, but the State Tier II hunt would apply 8 in lands outside of the park, including the Noatak National 9 Preserve north and west of the Noatak River. 10 11 So I think in my discussions with Willie, the idea 12 was maybe to proceed cautiously to see how the State hunt 13 works, and where we need to do it. One thing eventually I 14 would, you know, entertain from the regional advisory 15 Council would be to try to better understand where some of 16 those animals are occurring and dispersing outwards, and 17 where if there are holes in the State system that we need 18 to look at as providing hunting -- additional hunting 19 opportunity. The issue in this case being the lands south 20 and east of the Noatak River, which would include the lower 21 half of the Noatak National Preserve, which now would not 22 -- would remain, you know, off limits under the State 23 program is my understanding, and hence off the Federal, 24 unless we took an action. 25 26 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Raymond? 27 28 MR. STONEY: Mr. Chairman, (indiscernible), 29 when will this be issued (indiscernible)? 30 31 MR. ADKISSON: And when? 32 33 MR. STONEY: In the area, when will we be 34 able to hunt muskox, and (indiscernible). 35 36 MR. ADKISSON: If the State passes its Tier 37 II hunt, that would probably take effect in -- for what, 38 the 2000/2001 hunt year, so like -- and I believe the 39 regula- -- the date, the season dates are for the same as 40 the Seward Peninsula, so August 1st of March 15th, so the 41 first time there'd be a hunt then would be August --42 starting August 1st of what, 2000. 43 44 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yeah. 45 46 MR. ADKISSON: Yeah, 2000. 47 48 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any other questions? 49 Fenton? 50

MR. F. REXFORD: Yeah, I'm glad that we're having this joint meeting, and also have Seward Peninsula. (Indiscernible) on the species that we hunt around Kaktovik, being that far away, we wouldn't have had the opportunity to know that there is a quota. The question I have, five percent. What number is that you're looking at? What is the population you're working with? Is it area wide or what count do you....

10 MR. ADKISSON: No, it isn't, Fenton, and I 11 don't know if I really at hand got me the last -- I've got 12 it somewhere and have to go look for it, the last 13 population count broken down, but it's by sub units, so 14 roughly it's -- the hunt area currently on the Seward 15 Peninsula is divided in three sub unit or areas. Unit 16 22(E), which likes across the whole northern part of the 17 Seward Peninsula from the tip of Cape Espenberg westward, 18 Unit 22(D) which is really the central part of the Seward 19 Peninsula, and then 23 southwest, which is that area south 20 of the sound there around Buckland and Deering. And the 21 way it works is they go in every two years, count the 22 animals within the sub unit, and then the harvest quota is 23 established on that. It started out at three percent of 24 the population, of the count, and through the muskoxen 25 cooperators group, it's been revised upward to five 26 percent. And the next counts due this coming spring. The 27 muskoxen cooperators group will convene after that and 28 reassess the situation and see what they need to do with 29 the quota. So there's possibilities that the quota could 30 rise somewhat. All indications are so far that the 31 population growth continues to be very good for the Seward 32 Peninsula.

33 34 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon? 35 36 MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes, I had one question. 37 How do you on the introduced species, how did you come up 38 with a prior harvesting success issue on the introduced 39 species? 40

MR. ADKISSON: That was a fundamental 42 question, especially in the State C&T determination, and 43 really it was thrashed out with the local communities, and 44 there was a lot of good testimony at the meeting that was 45 held in Fairbanks from the communities, and they did 46 everything. They -- well, first of all, when we had a 47 Federal C&T, there was -- there had been no prior harvest 48 within recent time, so that was a big issue, but the 49 Federal board still found a positive C&T. When the State 50 went into their second time or third time around looking at

00098

1 it, they had some harvest figures from the previous two years of Federal hunt, plus they also looked at comparable 2 3 harvest for moose and caribou when -- and frankly also 4 talked to the villages about, you know, what they felt 5 their needs were, and some villages felt, you know, one 6 animal for every four households was appropriate. Others 7 felt that -- came up with slightly different formulas. Put 8 all that stuff together, presented that information to the 9 State Board of Game, and the State Board of Game basically 10 accepted it. So they really did listen to the communities, 11 and there was an awful lot of community involvement. 12 13 MR. UPICKSOUN: All right. 14 15 MR. ADKISSON: And to some extent I guess 16 they're going to face the same problem here in October when 17 they -- because when they take up the northwest coast hunt 18 proposal, they're going to have to be looking at 19 identifying a subsistence level. But when you figure that 20 under the current State system, it's -- anybody in the 21 State can apply for a Tier II hunt, so if you throw in 22 Kotzebue and you, you know, throw in the communities along 23 the northwest, and God knows how far else they'll go to do 24 it, I mean, when you're only talking maybe six to eight 25 animals, it's not hard to see that you're going to exceed 26 the allowable harvest just on the basis of the need level, 27 so that, you know, I'm sure the outcome will be a Tier II 28 hunt. But what the actual identified need level to satisfy 29 subsistence need will be, you know, I don't know. That's 30 going to be up to the State Board of Game. 31 32 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Fenton? 33 34 MR. F. REXFORD: I just wanted to -- would 35 you reclarify 23, just divide it into sub units like A, B 36 and C? 37 38 MR. ADKISSON: Twenty-three isn't. It's 39 just.... 40 41 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Twenty-two. 42 43 MR. ADKISSON: Twenty-two (E) -- 22 is 44 divided into A, which is down by Unalakleet. 45 46 MR. F. REXFORD: Twenty-two is what I mean. 47 48 MR. ADKISSON: Yeah. B which is around 49 Koyuk and Elim, White Mountain and Golovin, 22(C) which is 50 right around Nome. 22(D) which is the central part of the

00100 1 Peninsula which includes the villages of Brevig Mission and 2 Teller, 22(E) which is the northern slice of..... 3 4 MR. F. REXFORD: Any sub unit.... 5 6 MR. ADKISSON:the Peninsula. 7 8 MR. F. REXFORD:have an individual 9 population where you speak a three percent from that..... 10 11 MR. ADKISSON: That was an issue..... 12 13 MR. F. REXFORD:22(A)? 14 15 MR. ADKISSON: Yeah, it was an issue of how 16 do we count -- how do we determine what the population is 17 on the Seward Peninsula, whether we should be dealing with 18 one large one, or we should be dealing with subpopulations. 19 And for a lot of reasons, both the Federal system and the 20 State Board of Game decided to manage on subunit basis, 21 partially because the breeding populations are fairly 22 sedentary, and don't move around a whole lot, unlike these 23 dispersing bulls. And so that most of those animals you 24 can go out and find them in the same places every winter. 25 I mean, it's no big deal down there, so -- also, managing 26 by sub units gave the State Board of Game more options in 27 dealing with the animals, and looking at some of the 28 harvest levels and need levels where managing it in one 29 large unit took those options away from the Board, so I 30 think it worked out well by managing it on a sub unit 31 basis. Not perfect, but it works. 32 33 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Raymond? 34 35 MR. STONEY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It's 36 kind of interesting, you know, about this muskox. I've 37 seen a lot from the air, but I (indiscernible), I said, how 38 do you tell between a male and female, that's one 39 (indiscernible). 40 41 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: They have brochures 42 they're going to give out. 43 44 MR. ADKISSON: Yeah, the easiest way is 45 through the horn growth, and looking at the heads of the 46 animals and looking at the horn growths. That's the most 47 -- easiest way to tell. 48 49 MR. STONEY: What's the difference 50 (indiscernible). What's the difference would you tell on

00101 the head? 1 2 3 MR. ADKISSON: Up to -- you know, it really 4 takes a lot of work up to around like the -- between one 5 and two years old, it's a lot harder to tell, but as the 6 animals get to maturity around four, it's a whole lot 7 easier to tell, and bulls generally have a lot heavier horn 8 growth, and these large bosses on the forehead, and the --9 those get larger through age, and the space between them 10 decreases. Cows generally have smaller bosses, more space 11 between them, and retain more of the hair in between. So 12 you can generally tell. 13 14 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: We learned. 15 16 MR. STONEY: Mr. Chairman, what would 17 happen to me if I kind of get the wrong one? Wind up in 18 jail? 19 20 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Just like trying to take 21 the organs of a moose home, you know. 22 23 MR. ADKISSON: You know, I know the State 24 runs a head hunter education program down on Nunivak 25 Island, and actually the State, BLM and the Park Service 26 have run extensive orientation sessions in the villages and 27 worked with the hunter on -- and like say Willie said, you 28 know, posters and the whole bit about how to identify the 29 animals and, you know, cautionary thing -- precautions to 30 take and stuff, and if people take their time, there really 31 isn't a whole lot of reason to make a mistake, and..... 32 33 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: It's not like they're 34 going to run away? 35 36 MR. ADKISSON: We've actually -- you know, 37 and we've actually encouraged education and accurate 38 reporting versus punishment. I mean, that's not what we're 39 in the business to do, and an occasional mistake is an 40 occasional mistake, but it doesn't.... 41 42 MR. F. REXFORD: (Indiscernible -43 simultaneous speech) at Kaktovik..... 44 45 MR. ADKISSON:happen very often. 46 47 MR. F. REXFORD: Excuse me, Ken. Mr. 48 Chairman, we've been hunting them for quite a while now, 49 and they do move, Mr. Chairman. 50

00102 1 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: So you've got to chase 2 them? 3 4 MR. F. REXFORD: So you're aiming at a 5 bull, and a cow steps in front or something as you fire, 6 what they'll do is take -- at least ANWRs did, they'll take 7 the skull and the hide and give the meat to the city 8 Council or the IRA and have them distribute it to the 9 needy. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any other questions of 12 Ken? 13 14 MR. GRIEST: Just a comment. I know at 15 Selawik two summers ago there was one at the airport. We 16 were out hunting August 1st, and we saw another one at the 17 confluence of Kogoluktuk and Tagagawik Rivers, so they must 18 be expanding up in our area. 19 20 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okay. Randy, you had a 21 comment? 22 23 MS. MEYERS: Oh, I just -- Randy Meyers, in 24 response to your question about.... 25 26 COURT REPORTER: Excuse me, ma'am, you're 27 (indiscernible). 28 29 MS. MEYERS: Just a brief comment. Т 30 wanted to amplify on what Ken said about the State and BLM 31 and Park Service going out to the Seward Peninsula villages 32 when they were preparing for a muskox hunt, and so I just 33 wanted to say if that does comes about for the Cape 34 Krusenstern, Cape Lisburne, you know, that area population, 35 that we could put together, you know, some hunter education 36 visits, and BLM and Claudia Eyo (ph), who is a graduate 37 student at the University of Fairbanks, put together a big 38 poster, just a line up of muskox from little to big, male 39 and female, plus some small brochures in color, so those 40 could -- they were distributed to the Seward Peninsula 41 villages, so they could certainly be distributed to, you 42 know, the villages in Northwest Alaska. 43 44 COURT REPORTER: Could you state your name, 45 please? 46 47 MS. MEYERS: Randy Meyers with the BLM in 48 Kotzebue. 49 50 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any other questions?

00103	
1 2 3	Thank you, very much, Ken, and good afternoon, Judy, you're just in time for our break.
4	Let's take 10 minutes.
5 6 7	(Off record)
, 8 9	(On record)
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okay. Back to order. Helen, can you wait for a minute, please? I want to make a change here if you don't mind. What's happening is that the Parks Service has a resource what are they, committees or commissions chair commission for the various parks meeting at the same time and we have some personnel who would like to be at both places, but we control that, so they're here. At this time, if there's no objection, I'm going to ask Steve to move him up on the agenda to give his report. Any objection? Steve.
21	MR. ULVI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I really do appreciate that. The chairs for our Subsistence Resource Commissions are meeting and I appreciate the chance to go over there and catch the end of their meeting.
27	My name is Steve Ulvi and I'm management assistant at Gates of the Arctic National Park. I work out of Fairbanks. It's good to see you folks again. I have a couple of short items that I wanted to give just under our routine agency reports and I appreciate the opportunity to do that now.
$\begin{array}{c} 34\\ 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\end{array}$	As Ben Hopson knows, we have a continuing Dall sheep study around Anaktuvuk Pass going on and I wanted to mention in particular that we continue to work with the community in maintaining the number of collars that are out. I think there's 17 collars out on those sheep. And continuing to try to better understand how those populations move locally to Anaktuvuk Pass. Primarily, that's because we have a very successful community harvest for Dall sheep going on now with 60 sheep as the limit and we think that's working quite well and we just want to better understand those local sheep populations and they continue to be increasing in number it appears after those hard winters a few years ago and a decrease in the population.
49 50	In fact, some of our biologists have spent a little bit of time trying to do some ground surveys to see if they

1 could get away from the collaring and get away from some of 2 the helicopter use and fixed wing aircraft use to survey 3 those sheep and we've had very little success in trying to 4 work on the ground and see those animals and understand 5 what's going on in the larger picture.

Kobuk River monitoring, as many of you know, and I think Chairman Goodwin knows, that we have participated in these user conflict meetings and attempts for people to understand and resolve some of these issues in GMU 23. The Kobuk River heads up through the Arctic National Park and when it comes out of the park, it comes into the preserve there. It's called the Boot and it's above Kobuk and Shungnak Bear (ph). Actually, above the Paw River and on by Walker Lake. So, of course, we have both local subsistence hunters and other subsistence activities going on there in the preserve, as well hunters from outside the area coming in under general State hunting regulations hunting in a preserve.

21 For about four years now we've been putting 22 personnel on the river during the fall to check licenses 23 and talk to folks, both local and otherwise, and try to get 24 a handle on the numbers of people and what's going on 25 there. In the course of contacting people, we also try to 26 convey information about private lands, Native allotments. 27 Some of the traditional use areas, particularly on the 28 south side of the Kobuk River there where there's some 29 higher benches of lookouts for caribou that are coming 30 across and local people have asked that we talk to people 31 about not camping on that side of the river if at all 32 possible and those sorts of things, as well as this ongoing 33 issue of problems with salvaging all the meat. So we put a 34 small pamphlet out this year and we've been continuing to 35 contact those folks.

What I wanted to say in particular as an update is that we found the numbers of people that have been brought in this fall to the Kobuk to generally float down the river and hunt moose, caribou and bear generally, that those in numbers were down significantly and those people are generally brought in by air taxi operators. And those anumbers were down. We don't really know why they were and down. I suspect some part of that may be a reaction to the incident that occurred there in the past with a conflict and it scared off some people.

But the other point about this is, is even though 49 those numbers are down, it also appears that some of the 50 local residents -- and I think Rosie and other folks could

00104

6

20

speak to this better than I can, but some of the local residents in Kobuk and Shungnak have begun to take an interest in bringing some folks in from those communities up the river and putting them in with canoes and rafts and sometimes motorized craft to hunt back down through the preserve, so there's kind of a growing interest, I guess, in a local economy there with working with some of these hunting groups. I don't know where that's going. That all remains to be seen how interested the communities are in continuing to do that. But the point is, it seems there's a drastic drop in the numbers of people being dropped off by air taxi operators from Bettles and elsewhere.

And muskox, one of the favorite topics of many here 15 and certainly one of mine. There were several comments 16 made this morning and today and I won't try to answer all 17 those comments. If you have questions, I'll be glad to 18 answer to the best of my knowledge. 19

20 The National Park Service, the Gates of the Arctic, 21 certainly plans to continue to stay directly involved with 22 the Harvest Planning Group that created this interim 23 harvest plan that Fenton has described and mentioned. I'm 24 presuming that the group will be asked to meet again to 25 discuss the proposals that the local communities may be 26 coming up with to supplant Proposal 63, which you voted to 27 withdraw this morning, but I really don't know that. Ι 28 haven't had a chance to talk with Fenton. I just wanted to 29 reiterate that we are interested in continuing to stay at 30 the table of the discussions and see what alternative 31 strategies there are, what we can work out as a cooperative 32 management group thinking in terms of the region and the 33 entire GMU and continue to work through this process. 34

The other thing I guess I'd like to say is that it should be clear to many of you, and I suspect it is, but in many ways this idea of trying to develop wildlife management strategies for these national parks system units in Alaska is an ongoing experiment. As you know, in many of the park units that the National Parks Service manages in the Lower 48, there are very few areas where consumptive uses are allowed except for sport fishing, you know, fishing in a lake with your family or something like that.

So this whole Title VIII requirement that we allow traditional subsistence uses where they traditionally cocurred in these national parks is a relatively new thing. We've all been at it for about 20 years here now. I think, when we talk about a creature like a muskox that's been introduced, it's been out of the ecosystem and out of

00106 1 people's lives and out of their patterns of use for a 2 hundred years, that we have a lot of things to work out and 3 we will continue, to the best of our ability, to explain 4 the Park Service policies as we think they apply to these 5 circumstances that we've really never faced before in 6 exactly this way. I'll try to be straightforward about 7 that and as helpful as we can. 8 9 In the meantime, I have mandates and congressional 10 kinds of direction through ANILCA and other laws to do my 11 best to try to follow. But I think the point I'm trying to 12 make is that we are very interested in continuing the 13 discussions and working with the harvest group because we 14 think we've made quite a bit of headway in the past. Those 15 are my comments, Mr. Chairman. 16 17 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Anybody have any 18 questions? Gordon. 19 20 I have a question MR. G. BROWER: 21 concerning the use of the areas. What other types of 22 permits do you issue other than -- in those areas other 23 than the hunting? I mean do you issue permits to camp --24 you know, like camp locations for guides and stuff like 25 that? 26 27 MR. ULVI: Gordon, we do issue permits. We 28 have two guides. Guiding is only allowed in the preserve 29 units and we have two preserve units. One is the Itkillik 30 Drainage up by the Dalton Highway and the other is the 31 Kobuk Unit I just described. We have one guide that works 32 in those two -- each of those units and is under what's 33 called an incidental business permit. So the Parks Service 34 controls the numbers of people they can bring in, the 35 numbers of animals that they can take and, in some cases, 36 where they can camp and operate, especially with aircraft. 37 38 So, for instance, for the guide that works in the 39 Kobuk Drainage, in their permit we negotiated a situation 40 where they were not allowed to use the Kobuk River itself 41 and had to hunt off the river back in the lakes and away 42 from the lake and the preserve. So we can dictate those 43 kinds of things through those permits. But for 44 recreational users we don't have permits as to where people 45 can or can't camp. We do have the air taxi operators who 46 are conducting a commercial enterprise that is on Park 47 lands to one degree or another. Then they do have to have 48 a permit with us and report the numbers of people they 49 bring in and that sort of thing. 50

MR. G. BROWER: The reason why I asked is I also -- you know, I have my regular duties that I work besides being here. I work with the Permitting Department for North Slope Borough. And all agencies that do permitting up on the North Slope, I think they're required to go ahead and tell that individual who you're giving the permit that there are other required permits for you to seek after so that the local control can also take place because the North Slope Borough has environmental regulations as well.

12 Something we've been trying to get a grasp of also 13 through the Borough is trying to control where residents 14 are having problems with sport hunters and because of laws 15 existing that subsistence shall not be precluded. You 16 can't take the subsistence away from the person that it's 17 designed to protect. Those kind of laws exist and I think 18 they apply in those -- you know, we've, I think in the past 19 four years, issued maybe only one permit and I feel that 20 there are more individuals that come up there to do sport 21 hunting and commercial enterprise, like floating down 22 rivers and taking, that kind of activity. And trying to 23 protect how you dispose of waste and all that kind of 24 stuff. It's just one of the things that the Borough is 25 trying to figure out -- not figure out, but just trying to 26 get a grasp of getting these people to come in to get their 27 permits as well through the Borough. 28

29 MR. ULVI: Gordon, I appreciate what you're 30 saying and I'm quite sure, although I wasn't directly 31 involved when that prospectus went out, more or less you 32 put it out on the streets and then guides who had 33 experience in the area applied for it. And, in this case, 34 it's Mr. Richard Guthrie that guides. He has other guide 35 areas up there outside of the preserve as well, so I'm sure 36 you're familiar with him or working with him. But I 37 suspect that the Park Service put that out during the 38 prospectus stage for comment by agencies and organizations 39 such as the North Slope Borough. We, too, have similar 40 interests in the way they -- where they camp and how they 41 camp and the condition they leave the environment in and 42 that sort of thing. Aircraft use and those things. Т 43 suspect there was fairly close coordination. 44

45 MR. G. BROWER: I just thought I'd, you 46 know, bring it out because some of the concerns that 47 Kaktovik may have and the people that have not -- that, you 48 know, were not aware of some of the activity that takes 49 place and that may be the reason why we're not being able 50 to be as responsive on our part as far as when people come

00108 1 in and tell us that they're being displaced by this action 2 and this activity. We try to be responsive. 3 MR. ULVI: Gordon, Mr. Chairman. What I 4 5 can do is when I get back I'll talk to our chief ranger and 6 the folks that have been involved with those kinds of 7 permits and kind of monitor those permits and see what the loop is as to reporting to you folks or giving you an 8 9 update or something like that. So I'll call you at some 10 point here in the future and see if there's some other 11 detailed information we can give you. 12 13 MR. G. BROWER: Yeah, I'd appreciate that. 14 That's just on my part of doing my other job I normally 15 work day to day with and I have concerns of that type and 16 wanted to make sure the activities are properly enforced 17 and things get taken care of as far as our policies are 18 concerned. It's just a concern. 19 20 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Ben. 21 22 MR. B. HOPSON: Steve, did I hear it right, 23 the Gates of the Arctic National Park was being considered 24 for the World Biosphere Park along with numerous national 25 parks or refuges within Alaska? 26 27 MR. ULVI: Mr. Chairman, Ben. I'm not 28 directly involved with that, but I think I know the gist of 29 your question. There already is a biosphere reserve in the 30 Noatak River Drainage that was established at the time of 31 ANILCA and Congressman Young and others have recently been 32 discussing and I think even had some pointed bills on the 33 floor of the Congress about preventing any new 34 establishment of biosphere reserves without going through 35 some kind of public process. Gates of the Arctic was 36 mentioned, but I believe it was in terms of there was 37 already an existing biosphere reserve on the Noatak River. 38 39 MR. B. HOPSON: You mean when a park is 40 designated as a biosphere park, how does that change the 41 subsistence scheme of things? 42 43 MR. ULVI: Well, again, it's not a program 44 that I'm intimately familiar with, but my best answer to 45 that, and that's a good question, is that it doesn't change 46 anything at all. In fact, as a biosphere reserve, there's 47 a large component -- because, as you know, that program is 48 run by the United Nations and there's a large component of 49 that that has to do with humans in the ecosystem. As you 50 know, world wide, there are a large number of areas where
00109 1 there are conservation system units, like parks and 2 refuges, where indigenous people and others are continuing 3 to try to conduct their traditional activities in those 4 park units. So there's kind of a recognition that there 5 are indigenous cultures using these biosphere reserves and 6 I think that's taken into account. It's kind of like icing 7 There's no money involved for management of on the cake. 8 Gates of the Arctic National Park or the biosphere reserve. 9 We don't do anything different really there than we would 10 do otherwise and it certainly doesn't change what Title 8 11 of ANILCA says about protecting the subsistence opportunity 12 in any way. It's more or less an international recognition 13 of a place that's considered to be very special in 14 biosphere terms. 15 16 MR. B. HOPSON: I was just conscious about 17 some of the parks that were designated biosphere parks in 18 other parts of the world where they prohibit any hunting 19 activities to go on. Just more or less a scenic area to 20 visit and enjoy the scenery and look at wildlife. 21 22 MR. ULVI: I suspect that is the case, 23 depending on the reserve and why it's set aside. But the 24 fact that it's a national park established under ANILCA, in 25 this case the Noatak is part of the park, our management 26 policies are not affected in any way by a biosphere reserve 27 designation. Title 8 of ANILCA, the advisory group such as 28 yours to regulate subsistence activities, this is where the 29 action is, this is where it's happening. 30 31 MR. B. HOPSON: All right. Thanks. 32 33 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any other questions? 34 Steve, is this your last meeting with us? 35 36 MR. ULVI: It could be, Mr. Chairman. 37 38 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Well, in that case, I 39 appreciate your time you've taken here and I want to thank 40 you for all the work you've done with our coordinator in 41 resolving some of our issues. Thank you very much. 42 43 That's kind of you. MR. ULVI: Ι 44 appreciate it. I'll miss working on some of these issues 45 and working with you folks. We certainly come from 46 different perspectives and have different ways of looking 47 at the world, but I generally very much appreciate the way 48 in which I've been treated and the progress these groups 49 have made. I'll be in the background, but I won't be 50 directly involved.

00110 1 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you very much. 2 Ken, you've got anything else to add? 3 4 MR. ADKISSON: No, sir. 5 6 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okay. User conflict. 7 Just an update. After the meeting we had in Kotzebue with 8 some members, Fenton and Gordon and a couple people were 9 there -- we had another meeting in Kotzebue with a guide 10 and an outfitter present with the agencies and myself and 11 the State people, trying to get a handle on the user 12 conflict issue that was addressed in the January meeting. 13 14 I wrote a letter to all the agencies, Nana Region 15 Corporation, the Northwest Arctic Borough, basically asking 16 them to explain to us what regulatory tools they currently 17 have to restrict the amount of activity for commercial 18 hunting, fishing guides, air taxi transporters, instate 19 residents flying in in their own aircraft, and guided 20 recreational float tours. To at least give us an avenue in 21 our region to use some of the regulatory things they have 22 in place to help us resolve this issue. 23 24 So far I haven't heard anything from anybody, so 25 I'd like to just add two more things here to that request 26 and ask the agencies to add what kind of permits they issue 27 and to whom, the number of permits issues and, lastly, the 28 harvest level of those people that were permitted to hunt 29 in the Federal lands in our region. That would certainly 30 help us in our Council deliberations on what to do with the 31 issue. 32 33 I want to thank the State also for using their 34 money to get our people together in Kotzebue from all the 35 villages in January with the Federal agencies to talk about 36 the issue and the ongoing meetings that we've had. I think 37 we need to get a handle on the issue before it escalates to 38 something more drastic than happened in Shungnak (ph), on 39 the Kobuk River with the Shungnak hunters. With that, I 40 don't have much more to add unless you have, Donna. 41 Fenton. 42 43 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. MR. F. REXFORD: 44 We're in a similar situation with user conflict in at least 45 Kaktovik. Earlier, at this year's meeting, I had requested 46 from the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge and they did come 47 up with the data we needed, but our request was that all 48 floaters, hikers, commercial guides, the number of people 49 and that's what we wanted. The only thing missing I found 50 from our request was the hunter data provided by the guided 1 hunters was missing. So, was your request for that as 2 well, guided hunter numbers and the number of hikers and 3 recreationists? That will provide useful data for like 4 migration time for Kaktovik. Not only for caribou, but 5 other species as well. 6 7 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: The interesting fact

8 that we found out in our meeting in Kotzebue was that even 9 the guides and outfitters realized that there are too many 10 people out there in certain areas in Unit 23. For 11 instance, the Squirrel River area. For a guide to offer 12 quality hunts, there's just too many people out there. At 13 the same time, our people are hunting the moose and 14 caribou. So, we're just trying to get some numbers so we 15 can at least recommend to the Federal agencies to hopefully 16 start limiting these permits and number of people they 17 bring out. I see that as the only avenue we have to at 18 least lessen the conflict that we have with those other 19 users at the same time our people are hunting, the 20 subsistence user.

21 22 23

24

25

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon.

26 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, also what 27 contributes to that conflict is how that portion of the 28 game that they caught that they're only required to take --29 they can bone the thing and leave the good part, what we 30 consider the better part of the animal, and they're not 31 required to haul out the bones, you know. That contributes 32 to the conflict that's escalating. 33

34 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you, Gordon. Any 35 other comments? Gordon. 36

37 MR. G. BROWER: I'd just like to make a 38 comment. I fully agree with what you said about the 39 conflicts that are occurring. To all the agencies that do 40 permitting on the lands, that they do disclose the types of 41 permits they do, not just the fishing, but maybe the spike 42 camps and what they're able to do there, the land use 43 activity. 44

45 Some of the -- like the Northwest Arctic Borough 46 and the North Slope Borough, they are able to make comments 47 directly when the permits are being issued under their 48 coastal management programs and the areawide policies and 49 that's partially some of the things that we can work with 50 this as part of the North Slope Borough.

1 I'm just stepping out of here just for a second and 2 becoming one of these guys down here. I'm a regulator as 3 well down in the borough and working with all the policies 4 that the North Slope Borough has in implementing all those 5 -- trying to implement them to where they're usable. I can 6 see that it will work if the people who are having the 7 activity take place, get that information to the other 8 permitting agencies that these people need -- they can't 9 just get one permit and say they're okay and they're 10 permitted. There's other agencies, like North Slope 11 Borough, that has to provide them a permit and tell them 12 these are our policies and you have to abide by these rules 13 and some of them are very strict and they go as far as 14 protecting the culture, our way of life and a subsistence 15 resource and not to displace subsistence user access. Some 16 of the concerns that I'm hearing are exactly that. They 17 are displacing subsistence user access. 18 19 In permitting, I've been able to make different

20 types of stipulations. You can only be there during this 21 time of the year and then you've got to go out because of a 22 certain activity. Those kind of things can be taken care 23 of if the information is moved around and not just staying 24 in one place. 25

I mean basically if I wanted to find out Fenton is having a problem, I'd have to fly over there and go look at the rafter and verify for myself and then I can issue a yiolation that there's no permit in place. That's just the way the policies are working where I implement versus another agency can tell me there's going to be somebody there, then I call them up and say you need a permit to be there from the borough. So there's two ways of doing it.

35 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: What they're doing on 36 the North Slope, boy, I wish they were doing it in our 37 area. I don't see that happening within our borough, even 38 though we have the same type of power and requirement under 39 our Coastal Management Plan. Any other questions on the 40 user conflict issue? If not, we can move right along to 41 customary trade. Helen.

MS. ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I 44 want to thank the North Slope Regional Council and the 45 Northwest Arctic Regional Council for having me here today. 46 I really enjoy these two Councils. They're my favorites. 47 Don't tell anybody. Maybe it's because I've been doing 48 this for a long time.

49 50

42

Today I'm talking about customary trade practices.

1 It's on a sheet that was handed out. It's a kind of creamy 2 color and it says Regional Advisory Council Meetings on the 3 top. If you can find that, it will probably make it a 4 little easier to follow along. 5 6 As Peggy said earlier, when they were working on 7 the Federal Subsistence Fisheries Implementation Plan, 8 customary trade is one of the items, one of the 14 issues 9 in that plan. What our purpose today is to just start 10 talking about customary trade with the Councils and just 11 get some dialogue going. We're not really coming to any 12 great conclusions. We just want to start talking about 13 what customary trade is, what kind of practices there are. 14 15 As of October 1st, with fisheries management coming 16 into place, it's become, of course, a very important issue 17 for us. The regulations do recognize the importance of 18 customary trade and barter in rural Alaskan subsistence 19 economies. The regulations, as they exist, are fairly 20 permissive. You may remember we discussed this at earlier 21 meetings. We were talking about the whole fisheries issue 22 about whether or not we should be having regional 23 regulations to do with customary trade, whether we need 24 something statewide. We're just trying at this point to 25 get a handle on what's really going on out there and how do 26 we even define customary trade. 27 28 As it exists, the definition of customary trade is 29 the cash sale of Fish and Wildlife resources regulated in 30 this part, not otherwise prohibited by Federal law or 31 regulation, to support personal and family needs and does 32 not include trade which constitutes a significant 33 commercial enterprise. So, at this point, what determines 34 significant commercial enterprise is not defined. The 35 legislative history, the judicial findings and the 36 regulations don't define the significant commercial 37 enterprise or what would be considered an allowable level 38 of customary trade. 39 40 We've realized when working on the Fisheries 41 Implementation Plan that there might be those regional 42 differences and that there might be differences in the 43 meanings of the terms associated with the issue. There's 44 been a committee that's been working with this and Ida 45 Hildebrand was also on the committee, so I'm going to offer 46 to her, if she has anything to add after I get done or 47 during the discussion that will help, that she would come 48 up and participate with us. 49

50

What our goal right now is to define the customary

00114 1 trade practices in your regions and to talk about a process 2 for addressing those concerns. The timing of this whole 3 process, it reminds me a little bit of when we were talking about redoing C&T, but I have a feeling it's going to be 4 5 one of those long term things again. 6 7 Beginning with the fall this year, we're going to 8 the Councils, gathering information, identifying areas of 9 concern and then after that we're going to start putting 10 together historic and contemporary information on customary 11 trade, the exchange of subsistence caught fish and 12 shellfish and we'll be working with ADF&G and other 13 organizations in putting that information together. 14 15 At the winter meetings of 2000, we'll give you a 16 progress report and we'll talk to you about whether or not 17 we need to go out to the field and do some field work for 18 gathering more information. We need to get a handle on how 19 much information is really out there. Then, by the fall 20 2000 Council meetings, we'll be providing a draft report to 21 you for your review describing the regional customary trade 22 practices. At that time, we'll be addressing concerns 23 about protecting customary trade practices and preventing 24 abuse. 25 26 The board would like Council recommendations on 27 what policies we may need, what regulations should be 28 developed or whether customary trade practices need to be 29 recognized on a region-specific basis. 30 31 So, today, what I want to do is talk about some 32 definitions that you'll find at the bottom and then 33 flipping over on the next page. These are just beginning 34 definitions, just something we were taking a stab at. If 35 we can throw them out, these are just ideas for you and you 36 can do something else, but just to kind of get the dialogue 37 going. And then as we're thinking about these definitions, 38 if we could talk about the customary trade practices that 39 may exist in your region. 40 41 I just wanted to say, if there are things people 42 don't want to say here publicly but they want to tell me 43 privately after the meeting or you want to call me, that's 44 fine. In some meetings, people haven't wanted to talk 45 about some of this because some of it's illegal. But 46 nothing will happen if you -- I think you all know me well 47 enough to know that there have been things we've talked 48 about before in these meetings that haven't been legal and 49 nothing is going to happen. 50

1 So, to begin with, the first term is barter at the 2 bottom of the page. As a starting definition, we've 3 defined it as the exchange of subsistence fish or their 4 parts -- for example, roe. It doesn't describe what parts 5 means. Or their parts for other subsistence food or parts 6 of other food in general, i.e., the exchange of salmon 7 strips for beluga. That's just an example. Does this 8 definition of what barter is sound right to you? Do you 9 have some examples of what barter might be in your region? 10 Any thoughts? Getting late in the day. 11 12 MR. F. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman. 13 14 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Fenton. 15 16 MR. F. REXFORD: Why does barter have to be 17 part of the regulations? 18 19 MS. ARMSTRONG: Well, why does it have to 20 be? 21 22 MR. F. REXFORD: Yeah. I mean why is it in 23 there? 24 25 MS. ARMSTRONG: Well, it's in the 26 regulations right now in the definition. Actually, barter 27 is not in the definition. It's in the regulations right 28 now. 29 30 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Let's hear from Ida. 31 32 MS. HILDEBRAND: Perhaps it would be better 33 just to go look at all the definitions and say if something 34 is completely objectionable to you or is inaccurate or 35 doesn't apply to your region. But why the word barter was 36 on this list -- it's already accepted and approved in the 37 regulations -- is for in the discussions. So, when we talk 38 about barter or when we talk about trade, we'll all have a 39 common understanding. Is this what we mean and are we 40 talking about the same thing. And it isn't only in your 41 two regions. These terms are given to the Councils all 42 over the state and they're also looking at it and saying 43 whether it stinks or throw them all out or this is what we 44 mean or whatever. 45 46 If your Council wishes to discuss them and address 47 them at this time, we're hear to listen. If you would 48 rather think about them and let your coordinators know, 49 that's fine also. 50

00116 1 MS. ARMSTRONG: Should I go through all the 2 definitions for you first? Okay. Barter was the first 3 one. Barter trade: The exchange of subsistence fish or 4 their parts for other subsistence food, cash or other 5 items. That is the exchange of salmon strips for beluga, 6 as above, but with the added sale of all or part of the 7 beluga for cash. So that one is where you're bringing cash 8 into the equation. 9 10 Tradesman: A person who barters or trades 11 subsistence food or parts for barter, trade or cash on a 12 regional basis. He or she does this as a continual basis 13 and is basically a subsistence user. 14 15 Customary trade: The trade of subsistence fish or 16 parts for cash that does not amount to a significant 17 commercial enterprise. For example, a subsistence user who 18 sells for cash a part of their subsistence take. 19 20 Commercial fishing: The catch and sale of fish 21 solely for the purpose of sale in the commercial fish 22 industry. 23 24 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: I don't know if we need 25 the other stuff, but I certainly know that some of the 26 definitions that we have on subsistence taking of wildlife 27 in the blue book here are adequate at this time and to add 28 some more will confuse the issue a little bit more. Unless 29 you're not sure yourself. 30 31 MS. ARMSTRONG: Well, I think, as I have 32 said, it's just a matter of wanting everybody -- when we 33 talk about barter, we know what people mean. It was a 34 beginning point of trying to really make it better defined. 35 It's been an issue in some other regions and because 36 fisheries is coming into place, that's where there's more 37 of this that goes on where people may -- you know, as it 38 says here as an example, they may sell salmon strips for 39 beluga and then they sell the beluga. I think it's more of 40 a practice that's happened in connection with subsistence 41 fishing. That cash comes into play a little bit more than 42 perhaps in wildlife, so it hasn't been such an issue for 43 wildlife. That's why it's become more of an issue now. 44 And it's been a problem in other regions where people have 45 said, well, if I sell herring roe, how much if I sell it is 46 it no longer customary trade. So it is an issue that we 47 need to deal with, in terms of fisheries especially. 48 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Don't they need to be 49 50 licensed to be able to sell herring roe or smoked salmon?

MS. ARMSTRONG: Well, it depends on how much you're selling. I know that someone was talking about how that was an issue at one of the interior meetings that came up; you know, at what point does it need a commercial permit.

MS. HILDEBRAND: Mr. Chairman, if you need a license, you're probably in the commercial enterprise anyway. Our purpose for bringing this to the Councils is for the Councils to begin to tell us what are the practices you do have in your area. Like most people are already selling a small part of their subsistence take for gas or sto trade for flour or to trade for something that isn't a subsistence resource and it isn't to incriminate anyone or to embarrass anyone but just to begin to identify what are end the common practices of the region.

18 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Well, I think what we 19 need to do then is we need to go back to our people and ask 20 them. There's certainly some people that do this. I know 21 I trade, but I don't sell anything and it's customary for 22 me. So, to try to get too many definitions without going 23 back to our people I think is trying to jump the gun here 24 on us. I think we should have time to go back and ask 25 first before we come up with some kind of formal response 26 to you. I mean that's my feeling on it. Bert. 27

28 MR. GRIEST: Yeah, I agree. I totally 29 agree. I know we try to stay away from putting monetary 30 value on resources, number one. Number two, when it first 31 came up, I know we talked about there's always barter. You 32 went a little bit further than what we first started 33 discussing it years ago because there's a certain amount of 34 cash involved I know for wolverine, wolf, some bear hide 35 ruffs, ruffs for wolverine, wolves, et cetera, beaver, 36 commodities not only among ourselves but with other tribes. 37 Not only that, but to some degree non-member tribes. Ι 38 need to take a look at our old -- I know we spent a lot of 39 time in this area. As long as it's covered in one of the 40 categories or the other, I know there is a certain amount 41 -- I mean we do that pretty regularly.

- 42
- 43 44

CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Ben.

45 MR. B. HOPSON: I wanted to make the 46 comment in my dealings of growing up in the Slope and 47 Anaktuvuk the last 20 years, I've only seen barter for 48 exchange of like sheep for seal oil from someone up the 49 coast or something like that. I really don't -- I think 50 it's probably more by community situation. That first --

00118 or that barter trade kind of looks like an urban Native 1 2 deal where that beluga is being sold here or that's what I 3 hear. 4 5 MS. ARMSTRONG: So you wouldn't think that 6 barter trade was something that you needed in your 7 definitions, is what I'm understanding you to say probably. 8 You're not the only -- I mean other Councils have felt that 9 way as well, that it wasn't necessary. 10 11 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Terry, did you have a 12 comment? Gordon. 13 14 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman. That aspect 15 of barter trade I find very offensive where you say we 16 traded beluga for salmon restriction, went ahead and sold 17 the rest of beluga for cash. We do not sell beluga. We do 18 not. We give it away, we share it, we do not sell. That 19 part of the definition I find very offensive because we 20 would never sell beluga for cash. Our subsistence catch we 21 would not sell for cash. That part of the definition I 22 find very offensive and I'm glad our chairman caught that 23 part of it because I find that very offensive. We do not 24 sell beluga for cash, never had, never will. 25 26 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: I don't want to be 27 labeled a tradesman either. You can strike that one. 28 29 MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chairman. 30 31 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Mike. 32 33 MR. PATKOTAK: My concern is in terms of 34 this customary trade. I know for myself I'm primarily an 35 ocean gatherer. I do a lot of trading in the Kobuk River 36 area and some of the interior. Now, in terms of 37 definitions, where I see possible conflict, is where it has 38 happened in the past is an overzealous enforcer taking that 39 definition and taking what is customary trade and taking 40 him to a court of law. Call it a violation of -- call it 41 what you will, but a violation with this definition. I, 42 for one, don't want to see any -- like, for instance, what 43 Gordon brought out in this definition of for sale stuff. 44 I'd rather keep it a broad regional concern. It's a 45 definition that each region will define for their own self. 46 To over-define what is -- basically what it is, just basic 47 custom and traditionary trade, pure and simple. What needs 48 to be defined? What is that? What do you want to 49 regulate? That's the only concern -- that's a major 50 concern in terms of anyone that comes up with a badge and

00119 1 says, uh-oh, you crossed the definition here, when it isn't 2 a violation in the first place. 3 4 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon. 5 6 MR. G. BROWER: I'd just like to say a 7 little bit because I do customary trade and that kind of 8 stuff, that activity with subsistence. I think trying to 9 put certain words in certain places and trying to define 10 what we all did in the past as to try to get along. You 11 may have needed (indiscernible) and you used fish to pay 12 for it and trying to define that in so many different ways 13 to make so many different meanings out of it. I think 14 everything could be categorized as just customary trade. 15 It's what we did. We either gave it to somebody for 16 something else, for cash or something else, for fur. 17 That's what it is. 18 I don't think you can put it down as a tradesman 19 20 because a lot of times the people that caught a lot of food 21 are the only ones that can be out there at that time. Α 22 lot of people are not able to be out there and customary 23 trade was born. It's just availability of food and being 24 able to be out there. I think just the two things that 25 works good in my own -- you know, you know what commercial 26 fishing is. It's commercial fishing. Customary trade, I 27 think it's a combination of all the things in here that you 28 use in exchange to change food with cash or food or 29 snowmachine or something. That's what each one thought it 30 was an equal value. That's what customary is in my own 31 view. 32 33 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any other comments? 34 Bert. 35 36 MR. GRIEST: Twenty-five percent of our 37 population, our area, do not hold jobs. They subsist using 38 resources from other families, next of kin and also using 39 public assistance to some degree. But ever since cash was 40 introduced in our area, it always has been included. Т 41 like the idea of defining to some degree in a broad 42 regional sense. I mean what's -- I guess here in 43 Anchorage, what was allowed and what the agency allowed as 44 far as cash. It is offensive in our area to try to label 45 us in that way. But to some degree there is cash. 46 47 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Enoch. 48 49 MR. SHIEDT: Yeah. On your barter and 50 trade, we all do it, we all trade for our food for the

00120 1 seafood, but the word I'm really scared of is the word cash. Just delete the word cash and just use barter. 2 Some 3 other stuff are a lot more expensive and we can trade 4 enough for what we need. 5 6 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon. 9 10 MR. UPICKSOUN: Helen, you can probably 11 understand what contributes to the problem here in regards 12 to beluga. There was some non-subsistence users that 13 caught belugas and sold it for cash and that makes us 14 subsistence users that barter look bad. That's what's 15 happening here. You associate barter trade with that. 16 That is not what happens with us as subsistence users. 17 It's completely different. You can read in the paper today 18 about what's happening with how they're trying to make it 19 an endangered species because of the commercial aspect that 20 contributes to depleting the stock in this area. To use 21 that definition like that just makes us all look terrible. 22 23 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Can we go back to our 24 respective regions and ask our people before we make any 25 formal recommendations here? You can take our comments for 26 real, too. 27 28 MS. ARMSTRONG: I will take them for real. 29 Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'd like to add that the other 30 regions have done the same, so you're not alone in that 31 feeling of needing to go back and talk with people. Thank 32 you very much for your time. 33 34 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Thank you. Number 5, 35 migratory birds update. Mimi Hogan. 36 37 MS. HOGAN: Thank you for inviting us. I'm 38 Mimi Hogan with the Migratory Bird Office with Fish and 39 Wildlife Service and with me is Bob Stevens and we've had 40 an opportunity to talk with you before and also give some 41 public forums in your meeting and we'd like to update you 42 to where we are in the process. As you know, the Fish and 43 Wildlife Service finally amended the treaty so that it can 44 allow legal spring/summer hunting of migratory birds now, 45 which has been illegal since the first treaty in 1960. 46 47 Part of the treaty language says that the 48 subsistence hunters will have a voice in these regulations 49 and that management bodies will be put together before 50 there are any regulations, so we've had a two phase

1 process. The first phase that Bob and I have been involved 2 in the last two years now is going around and talking to 3 people. How should we put these management bodies 4 together? What's going to work in the state? We're sort of 5 at the last part of that step. 6

We did forums around the state last year, 12 of them, asking people what they thought. We had refuge people going out further to villages. And we've put together from what we've heard four models for the way management bodies might work. Those are in Tab F and there's -- do you have this red book? We have copies of this that we mailed out. All of you should have gotten one in the mail in June that gives more detailed information bout the management bodies and it has the treaty in it. Me mailed out 1,200 of those asking people for comments about the management bodies.

19 The comment period was to end the end of September. 20 We had a request that it be extended, so it was extended to 21 October 29th, so we are almost at the end of our comment 22 period. The good thing about extending the comment period 23 is it's given Bob and me a chance to go to the Regional 24 Advisory Councils and get their opinions, get some 25 resolutions from them and how they'd like to be involved. 26

We hoped -- well, we planned that the regional director of the Fish and Wildlife Service will make a gecision. He'll look at all the comments that have come in and he'll make a decision in the next month about the form of these management bodies and then we hope to get started on a meeting in the year 2000 and possibly have regulations by the spring of 2001. That's a pretty ambitious plan, but that would be the earliest we would have any legal rules.

If you'll look at Tab F, I'll briefly go through These different models with you and how specifically these models would work in your region. They're sort of in the back part of Tab F and you can spot them because they look like this.

We really didn't have any direction in the treaty Alanguage about how these management bodies would be formed. A Sometimes the language said management body, just one, and sometimes it says bodies. But Model 1 there would be only 6 one management body in the state. When I say management body, I mean that there are representatives, Native representatives, Federal representatives, which would be the Fish and Wildlife Service, and there also will be so working in this group state representatives, which will be

00121

1 Alaska Department of Fish and Game. So there are three 2 partners in this process. 3 4 Who would come to the table from the Native 5 community in Model 1? The proposal is that in Model 1 it's 6 basically the non-profits. This is based on the models 7 that Fish and Wildlife Service has worked with on the 8 Yukon/Kuskokwim Delta with the Yukon/Kuskokwim Delta goose 9 management plan where we've worked with AVCP. They have 10 formed the Waterfowl Conservation Committee. We've worked 11 with them with flyaways, the Fish and Wildlife Service, the 12 State and come together on a management plan, so there's a 13 bit of a precedent for picking these partners and we also 14 heard many places around the State that these were 15 appropriate partners. 16 17 So, someone from each of these associations would 18 pick a representative that would come to the one statewide 19 management body and there they would bring forward their 20 proposals and discuss what they want to send forth. There 21 would have to be consensus with the State and Federal 22 government or the Fish and Wildlife Service. 23 24 After this management body decides on their 25 proposals, they go two places. They would go to the 26 flyaways and they would go to the Service Regulations 27 Committee. The flyaways are the group of states that 28 organize to make their own recommendations about birds and 29 they also send their recommendations to the Fish and The State of 30 Wildlife Service Regulations Committee. 31 Alaska works with California, Washington, Oregon, Idaho. 32 These states form the Pacific Flyaway. So, the Alaska 33 management body that we're talking about forming would send 34 their recommendations to the flyaway and then again to the 35 Washington, D.C. Fish and Wildlife Regulations Committee. 36 37 The flyaways do not have veto power. If you send 38 forward proposals to the flyaway, they can't say -- they 39 could say they don't like it, but they can't stop it from 40 going forward to the Regulations Committee. The 41 Regulations Committee in Washington, D.C. looks at all the 42 migratory bird regulations. The fall regulations, the 43 proposals that come from the flyaways and the proposals 44 that would come from this management body and make the 45 final decision. 46 47 Let's move to Model No. 2. It's very similar. 48 It's one statewide management body again, only this time 49 the partners that come to this management body will come

50 from a different source. They come from the Regional

Advisory Councils. For example, the Northwest Arctic RAC would choose -- they would meet, say, an extra day, depending on the issues in their region. They would discuss migratory bird proposals the way you now discuss proposals for other animals and then you would pick someone from the Regional Advisory Council and that member would then go to the statewide management body and, again, it would be similar to the path that I told you about in Model 1 where they would meet with Federal and state, make 10 decisions on the proposals, they'd go to the flyaway, 11 they'd go to the Service Regulations Committee.

So, Model 1 and 2 are similar in that again we're talking about one statewide management body, but the partners, the Native partners that come to that management body from very different systems. It's been important for rus to go to the Regional Advisory Councils and find out whether they actually want to be involved in this process. Do they feel like they have time, is it something that their region would endorse. So that's why we feel like these meetings.

23 There's obviously some room for confusion if we use 24 the Regional Advisory Councils because before your 25 recommendations they go to the Federal subsistence board 26 and they become part of the Federal subsistence 27 regulations. Now we would be asking you to look at 28 migratory birds and almost put on a different hat. The 29 wolves would go to this management board, then they go to 30 the Fish and Wildlife Service, then they become part of the 31 migratory bird regulations for the nation as a whole. So, 32 it's going in two different directions. Also, I'd point 33 out that when we create migratory bird regulations for the 34 spring, it won't just be for Federal lands. It will be for 35 all the lands in the regions that are eligible. 36

Moving to No. 3, No. 3 is in response to the people who came to the meetings and said we don't want one big management body, we don't want to go and talk about eiders, we don't take eiders, we're not interested in sitting around the table and talking about that. We just want to talk within our region and we want to make our recommendations for our own region. That's what Model 3 is the result of. For your area, it does combine Maniilaq and the North Slope Borough as partners. In that example, you're number six up there. If you follow the area for number six, there would be like eight representatives and it would be four from Maniilaq and four from the North Slope Borough.

50

1 When I'm done, I'm going to let Bob sort of 2 summarize some of the advantages and disadvantages of these 3 different models. Of course, the one here would be that, 4 yes, you are just working regionally with issues for the 5 birds right in your area. If you look at where the 6 recommendations go, it means the flyaways and the Fish and 7 Wildlife Service Regulations Committee are going to be 8 getting seven different proposals for Alaska in the spring. 9 If there are conflicts between two regions, they haven't 10 had an opportunity to discuss it, so the final decision is 11 going to be made by Washington if there are conflicts. 12 13 The last one then is what I call the compromise

13 The last one then is what I call the compromise 14 model because what we've tried to do here is combine the 15 two elements that people have told us about that they want. 16 One is the people that want more of one management body 17 that would have a chance to discuss issues and just send 18 forth one proposal and then for the people that want to 19 keep the issues closer to the regional level, again, the 20 partners are the same. They would be the non-profits. 21

I want to back up maybe a little bit here. I should have said this before. The Fish and Wildlife Service would have a funding agreement with each of the non-profits and they would have the responsibility to coordinate within their regions, the villages within their regions, to meet and discuss proposals before they sent a member to the management body.

In the case of Model 4, number three would be grouped by these partners represented by the non-profits, but we've also tried to group them by areas where the regions share the same birds. So, number two would be Bristol Bay, the Yukon Delta, the Seward Peninsula. Number three would be the Northwest, the North Slope and the Interior. Most of the birds used in this area are very similar populations. So, number three, which would be the region you're in, would include representatives under this model of the Council of Athabaskan Tribal Governments, Maniilaq, North Slope Borough and TCC.

42 What we would like is comments. Either you can 43 comment as a Council or you can send us individual 44 comments, but we would like to know what you think. And 45 whatever information you give to us today we'll certainly 46 record as part of our record. I'm just going to let Bob 47 maybe run through whatever I forgot. Bob's going to sweep 48 here for me. Thanks.

49 50

MR. STEVENS: Actually, I don't think you

00125 1 forgot anything. You covered it pretty well. What we 2 generally do when we go before the Regional Advisory 3 Council, just to give you a few things to consider. Maybe 4 originally we had referred to them as pros and cons. That 5 may not be a good terminology because what's a pro for one 6 person is a con for somebody else. So, just a few points 7 to consider when you look at these four models and then 8 hopefully you will be making a decision whether or not you 9 want to accept any one of these models. 10 11 When you look at Model 1, just a couple of things 12 there very quickly, is with one statewide body, which is 13 also true with Model 2. That management body will speak 14 with one statewide voice as it goes forward to the Lower 48 15 states. The flip side of that is that the people who sit 16 on that one management body are going to have to become 17 familiar with the issues of the entire state and not just 18 from their individual regions. So, a couple things to 19 consider there. 20 21 In Model 2, Mimi covered very well one of the 22 points to consider and that being the possible confusion 23 that would exist with Title 8 and the procedures that are 24 going to be used for these management bodies to make their 25 recommendations. One aspect of Model 2 is that it would 26 require the least number of people to sit on a management 27 body and that 14 would be required to do that. When you 28 look at Model 3, for example, it would require 72 people to 29 sit on those seven management bodies. So, points to 30 consider there as far as the logistics is concerned. 31 32 Models 3 and 4 being just the opposite of Models 1 33 and 2 in that you do not have recommendations going to the 34 Lower 48 states with one statewide voice. You've got seven 35 sets of recommendations coming out of Model 3. You've got 36 three sets of recommendations coming out of Model 4. The 37 other side of that coin is that decisions, especially in 38 Model 3, are made closer to the local regions rather than 39 being made on a statewide body. 40 So these are just a few of some of the things that 41 42 you may want to consider when you look at these models. Ιf 43 you do decide to take action as a body or just make 44 comments as individuals, we would like very much to know 45 why you are selecting what you are selecting or why you are 46 rejecting what you are rejecting. Those reasons are very 47 important to us when that decision is made. 48 49 Also, if you feel there's another model that we 50 haven't covered here that would work best for you, we would

00126 like to hear that as well. Or if you find some combination 1 would work for you, we would like to know that as well. 2 We 3 thank you for the opportunity to share this with you. 4 can answer any questions that you may have. 5 6 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Model 2 would be 7 Regional Councils as a whole would have the opportunity to 8 comment or make recommendations through their 9 representative, is that correct? 10 11 MS. HOGAN: That's correct. If you would 12 meet just like this, agree on proposals and then send one 13 person to the management body, which wouldn't ensure that 14 those proposals would be final if the Yukon Delta, the 15 State, whoever modified it, whatever, it would be up to 16 your representative to present the case for it and see that 17 it went forward. 18 19 MR. STEVENS: Of course, in this case there 20 would be two representatives since you have two RAC's 21 meeting here, one from each RAC. 22 23 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon. 24 25 MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes. On No. 4, how do you 26 come about -- what is the idea behind that? I don't see 27 how they are different. A whole bunch of non-profits in 28 there. 29 30 MS. HOGAN: Well, we used the non-profits 31 as the partners so that they would be responsible -- in the 32 co-management model, they would be responsible for 33 coordinating with the villages in their region, so that 34 when their representative did meet on the management body, 35 they had some idea what people wanted from their region. 36 37 MR. UPICKSOUN: In that regard, how does 38 the North Slope Borough get near a State charter and 39 municipality? 40 41 MS. HOGAN: That was a recommendation from 42 the Native Migratory Bird Working Group that that be the 43 partner for that area, and if that's not the appropriate 44 partner, then we need to hear comments to that effect. 45 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: If the associations were 46 47 selected as the body, management body, is the Fish and 48 Wildlife Service going to compensate the association for 49 employees or coordinators? 50

00127 1 MS. HOGAN: The non-profits? 2 3 4 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yes. 5 MS. HOGAN: Yes. The Fish and Wildlife 6 would have a funding agreement with the non-profit and then 7 they would have a responsibility for running it in their 8 region however they choose meeting the contract 9 obligations; that they include the villages, that they 10 might have so many meetings. You know, I'm not sure what 11 it would look like, but it would be like a grant with the 12 non-profit. 13 14 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Would these management 15 body representatives have stipends? 16 17 MS. HOGAN: That would be up to the 18 partner, not up to us. 19 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: 20 So that management body 21 itself would determine whether or not..... 22 23 MS. HOGAN: No, the partner would -- will 24 have funding agreements with the partners. 25 26 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Well, that could be part 27 of the proposal. 28 29 MS. HOGAN: Certainly. 30 31 MR. STEVENS: Each region may do it 32 differently. 33 34 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Raymond. 35 36 MS. STONEY: (Indiscernible - far away) 37 38 MS. HOGAN: The Flyaway Councils, there's 39 four of them in the United States and they're formed around 40 the states that share the same birds. Most of the birds, 41 if you look at Model 4, almost all the birds that are 42 certainly in Region 2 go to the Pacific coast states and 43 those states meet twice a year to talk about migratory bird 44 proposals and we want to be a part of that now. They vary 45 where their meetings are. They're usually somewhere on the 46 Pacific coast in one of those states. 47 48 Now, number three, a lot of the birds from the 49 North Slope and the Interior go through the central United 50 States, so it's probable that someone from that management

body would want to go to the central flyaway meetings. 1 The white fronts, a lot of the scouters go to those states and 2 3 you would want to be involved in those states. But the 4 majority of Alaska's birds and the state of Alaska is a 5 member of the Pacific flyaway and it's not a group that has 6 a building or staff, it's an advisory group of all the 7 State waterfowl coordinators. 8 9 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Enoch, go ahead. 10 11 MR. SHIEDT: Yeah, you've got -- like in 12 Model 2, Federal 2, State 2, Native 10 on the voting power. 13 How many does the State have and the feds have and the 14 Natives have? 15 16 MS. HOGAN: In this particular model, we 17 tried to keep it sort of 5-1 so we didn't have huge groups, 18 but one of the first jobs that the management body would be 19 would be to put together an operating manual. If the group 20 can't come to a consensus, then there's got to be some way 21 to vote on issues and we were looking at some of the co-22 management models in Canada that this is similar to and it 23 may be that the Federal would have an equal number of 24 votes. 25 26 MR. SHIEDT: So the State would have..... 27 28 MS. HOGAN: It would be equal. The State, 29 Federal, Native. 30 31 MR. SHIEDT: Two, and the feds 2 and the 32 Natives how many? 33 34 MS. HOGAN: Each model is a little bit 35 different. 36 37 MR. SHIEDT: If they each have the voting 38 power, if you get together with the State and the feds 39 together and you want to override the Natives, you could 40 easily override them on your voting power. 41 42 MS. HOGAN: And any other combination 43 thereof, too. Right. 44 45 MR. SHIEDT: So, what I'm really saying, no 46 matter what happens, we lose out because you guys will 47 decide -- when they come in with regulations and say, okay, 48 this is what we want to start with and just override the 49 Natives and we'll lose what we want. We need equal power 50 to vote. On your YK Delta area, where they got endangered

00129 1 species and if they get on the endangered list and we in 2 our area, Northwest Alaska, including Slope, are not on 3 that list and they say endangered species, you can't hunt 4 them no more in YK Delta, but they're in our flyaway. How 5 is it going to be regulated if the YK Delta, they're 6 endangered, and we are not? 7 8 MS. HOGAN: Well, it would not be unusual 9 in migratory bird regulations that different regions have 10 different regulations. When we're talking about one 11 statewide management body, it doesn't mean that the 12 regulations are going to be exactly the same for the whole 13 State. For example, if you wanted to open the season, it's 14 going to be earlier in the south of the State than it would 15 be in the north. You might want to close it earlier when 16 the birds start laying in the south, so it will vary by 17 region. It doesn't mean it's going to be uniform. And if 18 there's a management problem with the species in one area, 19 it would only be -- the regulations would only be addressed 20 in that area. 21 22 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: When did you say the 23 deadline was for comments? 24 25 October 29th. MS. HOGAN: 26 27 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: October 29th. What's 28 the wish here? Do you guys want to make comments to your 29 chair and then make a decision? I'm trying to figure out 30 what we should do here or whether or not we should do 31 anything. I want to hear from you folks. Terry. 32 33 MR. TAGAROOK: Yes. I'd just like to 34 comment that before there were any regulations the Native 35 people had all the lands and we managed our own game. We 36 took what we wanted when they came and we did not kill them 37 to extinction. We're not like the white man that killed 38 off the (indiscernible) down in the Lower 48. We just take 39 what we need and we save some for our future, for our 40 children and their children. That's what the unwritten law 41 was among our Native people and there was no problem. 42 There were resources that came back. We lived by --this 43 was passed down from generation to generation and I feel 44 uncomfortable with these models. Why not just take what we 45 need? We'll save some for our children and their 46 children's children and that's the way we have lived before 47 regulations were brought to us. Thank you. 48 49 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon. 50

00130 1 MR. UPICKSOUN: We're at the stage of the 2 game where they expect us to make decisions on step three 3 and I think it's too soon. I think sometime tomorrow we 4 should be able to -- after we kick this around overnight, 5 make a better decision on what to do about any of these 6 four or none of the above. 7 8 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Sounds like a 9 recommendation. Anybody have an objection with that? 10 We'll kick it around tonight. 11 12 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman. Our next 13 agenda item is our coordinator. I think everybody is 14 tired. I think we should take a break and reconvene at 15 8:30 in the morning so we can be fair to our coordinator. 16 17 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Let's get through this 18 issue first here. I want to here everybody. Fenton has a 19 comment or a question. 20 21 MR. F. REXFORD: The flyaways that are down 22 in the Lower 48, what type of management style are they 23 using or what are they using for the Flyaway Council? Is 24 there sort of management style where they make 25 recommendations to their Council or how is that set up? 26 27 MS. HOGAN: Where most of the work is done 28 is with a group called the technical committee and they are 29 the biologists from each of the states and they meet 30 several times a year and they lay out management plans, 31 they work in small subcommittees. They may have a 32 committee just for geese where they review the information 33 on geese. 34 35 MR. F. REXFORD: Like the Pacific 36 northwest, do they have a management style or models like 37 these from California to Utah and Montana or how does 38 that.... 39 40 MS. HOGAN: Yeah, I guess the flyaway -- it 41 would be like having four management bodies. You have the 42 10 Pacific states come together and then you have the 43 Central Flyaway, which are the western states, and the 44 Mississippi Flyaway, all the states that are on either side 45 of the Mississippi River where the birds funnel down 46 through there, and then the Atlantic Flyaway, which are the 47 states along the Atlantic coast. So, it is sort of like 48 having four management bodies in the Lower 48 and, again, 49 they're grouped around similar bird use. 50

00131 1 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Any other comments? 2 Bert. 3 4 MR. GRIEST: I know we need unity. I hear 5 what you're saying about your not being comfortable with 6 the management and the decision-making process in a way, 7 but I don't think we can no longer close the door to the 8 way our life will be impacted by these flyaway Councils and 9 how regulations go about. I know RuralCap has spent a lot 10 of time in this area and I think we should talk to some of 11 their representatives and figure out how, on a statewide 12 basis, how we could best approach this together. I think 13 we need some time to talk. I know we need to stick 14 together. 15 16 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Well, if we could come 17 up with a recommendation tomorrow, that would be fine, and 18 if we can't, we can't, but at least give us some time to 19 think about it. 20 21 MR. STEVENS: Mr. Chairman, may I make one 22 comment based on a couple things that have been said here? 23 24 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yes. 25 26 MR. STEVENS: I think one thing to be 27 considered -- and I appreciate what you were saying, Bert 28 -- this is the first time since the treaty was signed in 29 1916 that the subsistence user now has an opportunity to be 30 a part of the National Migratory Bird Management process 31 and I think that's a really big step to be part of that 32 process because even though the subsistence user may take 33 birds for different reasons, it's the same populations of 34 birds all the way along and now the subsistence user will 35 have an opportunity to have input into that. I think this 36 is a real opportunity and that's why we encourage your 37 input. 38 39 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Gordon. 40 41 MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes. What's the time frame 42 on step three? You wanted comments on the booklet by 43 September 30, which is already passed. Do you have a time 44 frame that you want us to make a recommendation to you on 45 or it's open or what kind of schedule do you have for us? 46 47 MR. STEVENS: We're looking at the end of 48 October. If I could just share with you for a minute what 49 some of the other Councils had decided to do and why. Your 50 individual comments can come to us at any point in time

1 during the rest of this month. If you want to take action 2 as a body, then you need to take action today or tomorrow 3 because it's probably the only chance you're going to have 4 to be together as a Council before the comment period ends. 5 In some cases, they made the decision just to take action 6 on Model 2, whether or not they wanted to do that or not, 7 because this is the model that affects you. In other cases 8 they went ahead and made a decision as to which model they 9 wanted, so it's up to you. But the key here is that Model 10 2 does affect you and you might want to consider as a 11 Council what you want to do about that, how you feel about 12 that. 13

MR. F. REXFORD: Just one last comment for 15 the day. Management styles are used in the Lower 48 that 16 are different or like defined as ecosystem for deer or elk 17 in Idaho or other -- in Montana area they look at timber 18 resources and put a dollar value on lumber that is going to 19 be cut. Have there been thoughts on ecosystem management 20 style or how to manage the resources?

22 MS. HOGAN: I think in Model 4, and this 23 was one of the alternatives we offered to people, should we 24 try and group these management bodies around the same type 25 of bird populations and Model 4, to the best of our 26 ability, does that. It's probably the closest to an 27 ecosystem model if you sort of look at the boundaries there 28 where you have interior and tundra and, you know, the 29 coastal states where the birds are different. 30 31 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Is your deadline set in 32 stone? 33 34 MS. HOGAN: Pretty much. We'd like to keep

35 moving on this. 36 37 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Okay. What I'd like to 38 recommend is that we -- if we want to take action, we 39 should do it tomorrow as a body or separately. Anybody 40 have any objection to that? 41 42 MR. UPICKSOUN: We'll do it tomorrow? 43 44 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Yeah, we'll consider it 45 tomorrow, but keep in mind that you still have the 46 opportunity as an individual or a member of the Regional

47 Advisory Council to make your comments individually. You 48 still have that option whether we decide tomorrow or not. 49

MS. HOGAN: Thanks for your time.

00132

21

00133 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: Barb's corner can wait. 1 2 Okay. What I think we should do is take a break until 3 tomorrow. I'm losing my touch here. I wanted to get done 4 today. That was my respect for the people of the North 5 Slope. I know I would have got it done if we were here 6 alone. Tomorrow morning at -- what time? Gordon? 7 8 MR. UPICKSOUN: Yeah, save some for Fenton. 9 10 CHAIRMAN GOODWIN: 9:00 a.m. Okay. 11 12 (Hearing recessed) 13 14 (TO BE CONTINUED)

00134 1 CERTIFICATE 2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) 3)ss. 4 STATE OF ALASKA) 5 I, Joseph P. Kolasinski, Notary Public in and for 6 the State of Alaska and Owner of Computer Matrix, do hereby 7 certify: 8 THAT the foregoing pages numbered 02 through 133 9 contain a full, true and correct Transcript of VOLUME I, 10 NORTH SLOPE and NORTHWEST ARCTIC FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE 11 RETIONAL COUNCILS JOINT PUBLIC MEETING, taken 12 electronically by Dorothy Wenzel and Susan Reilly on the 13 19th day of October, 1999, beginning at the hour of 8:30 14 o'clock a.m. at the Days Inn, Anchorage, Alaska; THAT the transcript is a true and correct 15 16 transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter 17 transcribed by me to the best of my knowledge and ability; 18 THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party 19 interested in any way in this action. 20 DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 5th day of 21 November, 1999. 22 23 Joseph P. Kolasinski 24 Notary Public in and for Alaska

My Commission Expires: 4/17/00

- 25