NORTH SLOPE SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
PUBLIC MEETING
February 17, 1995
North Slope Borough Assembly Room
Barrow, Alaska

VOLUME II

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Edward Itta, Acting Chair
Fenton O. Rexford, Chairman (By Teleconference)
Harry K. Brower, Jr., Secretary
Terry Tagarook, Member
Gordon Upickson, Member
Jim A. Aveoganna, Sr., Member
Ray F. Koonuk, Sr., Member
Frank K. Long, Jr., Member
Jakie T. Koonuk, Member

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
Barbara Armstrong, Coordinator
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

PROCEEDINGS

2

3 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Call the meeting to order. The ¢ontinuation of the North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Gouncil meeting here in the North Slope Borough Assembly Room. 6Today, February 17th. The time is 9:22 a.m. Do we have ānybody else on line? Just

8

9 MR. BROWER: Just Fenton.

10

11 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: I think just Fenton.

12

CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. All right, Fenton. We have -for your information, we have Jake Koonuk, Frank Long, Jr., Ray
Kōonuk, myself, Edward Itta, Harry Brower, Jr., Jim Aveoganna,
Torry Tagarook, Gordon Upickson; and on our staff we have Bill
Knauer, Steve Kovach, and Helen Armstrong; Barbara, the
therelated Armstrong, is here also. And we have some of our
Agency people with us, Fenton, and also Mike Pederson from
Acctic Slope Native Association.

21

Fenton, we missed you last night. We even deferred a 2duple of subjects until you were on line, one of which is the 2dsk ox draft agreement that Geoff Carroll from ADF&G presented 25day, and we deferred that, and also went ahead and deferred 26em 6-A-4, the musk ox update by Steve until you were on line. 25o we'll be getting into those. Where we are -- do you have a 28py of the agenda, by the way?

29

30 MR. REXFORD: I do.

31

32 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. You might want to add on on the agenda that under 7, between B and C is the petition from the Merthwest Arctic Regional Council, that Bill I think is going 85 be presenting, is that correct? Yeah. And this morning -- Best night we had stopped at the customary and traditional use addate which was presented by Helen Armstrong, and we're now at 88C.

39

But prior to getting into that, I want to make some #1me here for Harry Brower, Jr., here from the North Slope #2rough -- who works with the North Slope Borough Wildlife #2epartment, to give us an update on the harvest monitoring program, if you could, Harry?

45

MR. BROWER: Okay, Edward. We've gotten five people Alred for the communities on the North Slope. We've gotten Earl Kingik from Point Hope, Frances Hobson from Wainwright, Elis Patkotak from Barrow, Archie Brower from Kaktovik. Let 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

the see who else that we've got. Anyway, we've got five 2dentified for now, and we've got two more villages that we're 3dorking on. We just submitted our evaluations to Personnel, 4and then they'll be reviewing our evaluations and they'll send 5the letters to the person that -- the people that we identify for the other two communities, Nuiqsut and -- not Wainwright. Atqasuk. So that's on the hiring for the subsistence specialist for each of the communities.

10 We're planning a workshop for March. It should be from the 22nd or from the 23rd here in Barrow to go over the field manual with the subsistence specialists. Savere Pederson and Geoff Carroll's been helping us with the -- also Mike Pederson from ASNA has been helping us with the field manual, and Savere and Geoff are helping us with the workshop that we're going to be doing in March.

17

We're, you know, in the process of reviewing our field manual. It's still in the draft form, but we're still making ananges to it.

21

That's all I've got for now.

22 23

CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh. Do you want to go ahead and got up to -- I guess we'll have our speaker chair will be over there. We need you to identify yourself?

27

MR. ULVI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Steve Ulvi with Gâtes of the Arctic National Park. I just had a question for Bârry.

31

MR. BROWER: Sure.

33

34 MR. ULVI: Harry, how will it be decided what species §5u're going to concentrate on with the harvest monitoring?
36

MR. BROWER: We've -- we're separated, you know, for the seasons, the four seasons, we're going to be working under those four seasons, and what's being harvested during those the seasons is what we're going to be working.

41

42 MR. ULVI: Everything?

43

MR. BROWER: Yes. We originally started with only two species at a time, but when we did our introduction to the Fish 46Game Management Committee, there were some suggestions that \$\frac{1}{4}\text{E}\$ should work with the species that are being harvested at \$\frac{1}{4}\text{Bose times}\$, so that's probably the way we're going to be \$\frac{1}{4}\text{D}\$ lecting information.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
MR. ULVI: Thank you.
3
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Any more questions for Harry? Frank?
       MR. LONG:
                  Yeah. Could we -- could the communities
Somehow have a list of your people so that if in case anyone in
Our community has some information that you would need, we'd be
able to send it?
10
11
       MR. BROWER: We're going to -- we'll have the list of
people and we'll be going into the villages for informing the
           I've attended two city council meetings so far, to
#8mmunity.
1Aform the Council members, citizens of the community, and get
15 you know, get their support and begin the documentation
16 bocess. We'll be doing that.
17
18
       MR. R. KOONUK: Mr. Chairman?
19
2.0
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Ray?
21
       MR. R. KOONUK: Yeah, all the samples you're going to
28llect, is that what you're going to do? Collect .....
25
       MR. BROWER: Not samples. It's harvest information
ĝ€nerally.
2.8
       MR. R. KOONUK: Harvest. Oh, just harvest information?
29
      MR. BROWER: And harvest areas, and identifying our
Barvest areas and that type information is what we're going to
B@ collecting.
33
34
       MR. R. KOONUK: You're not going to collect any kind of
§5mples to analyze?
36
37
       MR. BROWER: We're not -- we're not planning to collect
and samples. We're just going to be trying to document what's
B@ing harvested throughout the seasons is what the project's
4fivolved.
41
42
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Helen?
43
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Harry, how are you going to handle
Barrow? Are you going to try to do just a -- or just sample
46st a certain portion of the population or .....
47
48
       MR. BROWER: Well, we're planning to try and identify
#De active hunters, and, you know, interview them on -- during
```

```
the survey period, so I don't think we'll be able to complete
doing Barrow as a whole, since it -- 'cause it's -- since --
Being such -- the largest community. We'll probably just
#arget the active hunters, and then go and work from there.
6
       MR. UPICKSON: Mr. Chairman?
7
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Gordon?
10
       MR. UPICKSON: I guess a question for Harry.
the data that you gather, who will have access to it?
12
13
       MR. BROWER:
                    There's going to be some areas where the
14 formation -- some information will be confidential, but the
Marvest numbers and that we'll be making available to like the
F6deral agencies, the State.
17
18
       MR. UPICKSON:
                      Okay.
19
2.0
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Jake?
21
       MR. J. KOONUK: Is this a one-time thing, or are you
aging to keep doing it year after year?
25
       MR. BROWER: We're starting it off as a project now,
Dat then, you know, it depends on what kind of funding that we
ĝ∉t from the Assembly, and if it's -- if they keep funding it,
₩ê'll keep going, and eventually -- or hopefully we'll turn --
2Dange it into a program, keep it on-going.
30
31
       MR. R. KOONUK: Mr. Chairman?
32
33
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh.
                                Ray?
34
       MR. R. KOONUK: I would like to see a sampling program
$6 along with this harvest, because there's all kind of, you
Binow, contaminants out there, radiation fall out, stuff like
BBat, that, you know, has affected our caribou. You know, who
Relows what kind of animals out there also have it, you know,
₩ear that eat the caribou, they get affected, too.
                                                  And I'd
42ke, you know, to see -- give us a sampling program where you
&Ould analyze what kind of contaminants they have, if that's
∌øssible.
45
46
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Maybe I can answer that, Harry.
47
48
       MR. BROWER: Yeah, go ahead.
49
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: And have you comment. Ray, and correct
me if I'm wrong, maybe you can talk a little bit if you know
about it, about your little bit of a sampling program that you
Had on-going with some sea animals and what not. Maybe that
Sits under that program?
       MR. BROWER: This program we're starting, the project
8hat we've started, it's a harvest documentation project.
That's all we're -- that's where we're going to focus on, just
tollecting harvest information. As to collecting contaminants,
We haven't had any -- where -- or any ideas as to how we're
₫@ing to do that, but the North Slope Borough Wildlife
D@partment is involved in that, so, you know, if you have some
$Amples that need to be tested or analyzed, you could probably
₩5rk with our department, Wildlife Management Department.
16
17
       MR. R. KOONUK: Mr. Chair?
18
19
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay, Ray?
20
21
       MR. R. KOONUK: Would it be -- you know, if we send in
2De samples, would you hold confidential information like the
Marvest information you're going to hold? You said you would
Deld information that's confidential, .....
25
2.6
       MR. BROWER:
                     The .....
27
       MR. R. KOONUK: ..... and if we do send samples, would
₹0u guys hold confidential information once you find out
What's .....
31
32
                    Ray, let me get some part of this straight
       MR. BROWER:
     The part of the confidential information that we're going
84 be holding back is the household numbers and the household
35dividuals inside the survey that we're doing. There's going
86 be a household list and, you know, there's going to be a lot
3% names given, birth dates and stuff like that. That's the
part that we're going to be keeping .....
39
40
       MR. R. KOONUK: Oh, okay.
41
       MR. BROWER: ..... confidential. As to the harvest
Aûmbers, that's what we want to get a general idea and keep
#Hat, you know, open for information purposes. We will have
$5me control over the information, but I'm not really certain
A6w much of that harvest information we could keep
&@nfidential. I'm hoping that we'll be using that for, you
#Bow, general information to like the Federal agencies, or like
40e State, Alaska Department of Fish & Game for harvest
50
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

```
1nformation.
3
       MR. R. KOONUK: Thank you.
4
5
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Ray. Frank?
6
7
                   Yeah. Speaking on confidential .....
       MR. LONG:
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Excuse me. We have Fenton on the
phone. You have to speak up, make sure he speaks you. Speak
1buder.
12
       MR. REXFORD: Okay.
13
14
       MR. LONG: Speaking on confidentiality, let me say
$6mething in our own language. (In Inupiat) This is not
t∉ally confidential, but this has got to be heard in reference
t8 what Ray is saying here. (In Inupiat) Regardless if it's
the land or sea animal.
2.0
21
       MR. BROWER:
                    (In Inupiat)
22
23
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: (In Inupiat)
2.4
25
       MR. BROWER:
                     (In Inupiat)
26
27
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Jim Aveoganna?
28
29
       MR. AVEOGANNA:
                       (In Inupiat)
30
31
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: (In Inupiat) Right?
32
33
       MR. BROWER: Uh-huh.
34
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: You said you had gone to two villages.
3Which two, and .....
37
38
       MR. BROWER: Kaktovik and Barrow is where we -- I
39tended the city council meetings.
40
41
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Are you going to notify the IRA
€@uncils also?
43
44
       MR. BROWER: We're planning to, yeah.
45
46
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. All right.
47
       MR. BROWER: Edward, we're always -- we're going to
40me back, you know, after doing the surveys to inform the
```

tommunity as to what kind of information that we collected so Zar to keep them informed. Attending the city council Meetings. The subsistence specialist who have that #esponsibility of reporting to the city council as to what 5heir findings, you know, are on harvest information. 7 CHAIRMAN ITTA: 8 9 MR. TAGAROOK: Mr. Chairman? 10 11 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Terry? 12 13 MR. TAGAROOK: What parts of the animals will you be --14ke the heart or the liver? When we're sending a sample if we think that the animal is sick, and what parts do you want? 16 17 MR. BROWER: You would want to get the part that is h8ticeable and, you know, what could be analyzed, that's the \$Amples you want to send to the North Slope Borough Wildlife Department. You want to send that kind of samples. 2here's some kind of pus in the liver and you don't know what's 2ausing it, you know, you could take a part of that and put it 28 -- and keep it frozen. You could -- I mean, you could send 24 here, and we could have it analyzed in our department. North Slope Borough Wildlife Management. 2.6 27 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Jim and then Frank? 2.8 29 MR. AVEOGANNA: (In Inupiat) 30 31 MR. BROWER: (In Inupiat) 32 CHAIRMAN ITTA: (In Inupiat) Wildlife Department. \$\ddot*'s run by the North Slope Borough. (In Inupiat) 35ailable. So I want to go ahead and try to stick to this Barvest monitoring report. Frank and then Ray? 37 MR. LONG: On your reporting from certain hunters, (In Baupiat). They withhold a lot of good information, and I'm 48 suming that maybe even these young hunters and these older Aunters will withhold a lot of good information that it will \$2nefit to keep on the safe side, if in case they have in mind 48 one time to completely stop the caribou hunting. ##alize that it wasn't fair at that point in time when we were **45**ld you cannot hunt caribou any more. Then nowadays they ₩6thhold some real good information, and you talk to them real \$\vec{d}{d}rious about collecting information, and not try to harass #Bem or anything. That's the point I want to make. 49 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Thank you, Frank. Ray?
3
       MR. R. KOONUK: Yeah. If we aren't going to talk about
sampling program, could we put it on our next agenda?
6
       CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                      Okay.
7
       MR. R. KOONUK: To have somebody or anybody from the
Borough that can help us address this? And -- because I
believe, you know, we're talking about our animals. We eat the
Ammimals out there, and I think we have the right to know what's
12 the animals. And if they're contaminated, then we need to
know, because there's been a lot of experimentation going on in
the past, and I wouldn't, you know, believe very -- I would
believe there would be -- they're still doing it, because if
they can hide something for 30 years, you know, the Federal
Government, then they can do just about anything on our
a8imals. So I would like to recommend that we defer this until
69r next meeting and have somebody available that can answer
201 these questions.
21
22
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. And you're talking particularly
2Be sampling program?
2.4
25
       MR. R. KOONUK: Yes.
                              Sampling.
2.6
27
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: So noted. Thank you, Ray. Thank you,
Aarry.
29
30
       MR. BROWER: Uh-huh.
31
32
       MR. J. KOONUK: Mr. Chair?
33
34
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Jake?
35
36
       MR. J. KOONUK: Harry, it seems to me like this is
addunting type program. You're going to go by seasons, and
BBat's what you guys are counting there. Your traditional
dalendar. You -- that type of thing in your program?
40
       MR. BROWER: We've got it divided by the four seasons,
41
∲0u know, what's being harvested during that season is what
₩ê're going to try and target.
44
45
       MR. J. KOONUK: It will be easier that way to go by
$€asons.
47
       MR. BROWER:
                    Because in other words there's different
40 imals that are being harvested during the different seasons,
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

Fax 274-8982

\$0 that's what we want to target there. MR. J. KOONUK: So if you're -- if you're documenting &aribou, will be seasonal to every village. MR. BROWER: Yeah. Well, you know, caribou is kind of a year round thing, so it is always going to be there, caribou Being harvested throughout the year, different times of the Pear, so it will always be on the list of getting that general 18 formation. 11 12 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Any more questions for Harry? Fenton, abe you still there? I can't see you. 14 1.5 MR. REXFORD: Yeah. Okay. I'm here. 16 17 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah, I hear you. 18 MR. REXFORD: I think it would good there to go ahead 20d defer that, and I want to thank Harry for giving us a 2eport on that program. 23 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. All right. We're going to go 24ght and continue here. And, well, we wanted to just make an announcement here. And Steve I think can help me out if I 26n't pass this information on just right here, or Dave I ब्रेचिंess. One of you. 28 29 But anyway, there's a comment period that's on-going Baght now in regards to use of firearms for -- during the Brapping season, and that's on-going now, and it in particular affects Nuigsut and Anaktuvuk Pass in particular, but I just want to know that there is a comment period on that proposal Bhat's before who? Maybe you can come up and just talk about 35 very briefly. Give us a quick background. 36 37 MR. HUNTER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. My name is Paul Banter. I'm a subsistence specialist with the National Park Service in the Anchorage regional office. 40 41 The proposed regulation that you referred to is a #2mbination of a same-day airborne prohibition regulation, and

#3clarification amendment to the Park Service regulations for #Me existing limitation on the use of firearms by trappers in ₽ārk Service areas.

46

The proposed regulation started in 1989 around the #8rst time that the increased public interest in wolf hunting #9om aircraft began. And at that time the Park Service adopted

R & R COURT REPORTERS

An emergency one-year regulation to restrict same-day airborne Bunting of wolves in Park Service areas, and began a regular -- a proposed regulation process to make a permanent regulation for same-day airborne hunting, prohibiting same-day airborne bunting in Park Service areas. That was in 1989. That rule making has continued until now. It would -- it would become -- There would be more interest in it for a while, and then something would change in the regulations, the State pegulations that would diminish the interest, and then our proposed rule would kind of go on the back burner for a while, and then something else would happen to bring it back to the front of the stove, and, you know, that -- that has gone on \$3nce 1989.

14

The latest interest in that began about a year ago at about the same time that U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service also began a same-day airborne rule making for wolves and wolverine 18 wildlife refuges, and that also led to increased interest in the Park Service, bringing our regulation process back to the zoont burner, and we did.

21

The comments that we have received over the years when we started with just the same-day airborne restriction for welves was there was a lot of interest in extending the position to the other species that are classified in the state regulations as big game, and fur animals. The animals that can be taken under the hunting regulations. And we did expand our proposed regulation from just wolves to include all those species in Park Service areas so that the same-day appropriate restriction would be consistent in Park Service areas and would not change as the same-day airborne hunting rules and an on other Federal lands.

33

And the feeling there was that the Park Service areas 35e to be managed more conservatively in terms of wildlife 36nagement and establishing a long-term consistent rule for 36nose areas was more appropriate than having one that changed 36riodically.

39

The other part of the proposed regulation had to do with an existing rule that the Park Service has where we define trapping as taking wildlife with a trap, and we define a trap as a device designed to entrap animals. And that definition of atrap, the Park Service has taken the position, does not afficude a firearm, other than to dispatch a trapped animal fat's already caught in a trap. So that the practice of almosting free-ranging wildlife that hasn't been caught in a fap, a set trap line, is not covered under trapping in Park service areas. That activity is covered under hunting

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982

regulations in Park Service areas.

And that Park Service interpretation of our trapping definition has not -- has not been widely publicized or finderstood, and consequently in connection with the same-day &irborne rules for wolves in particular, because wolves can be Taken under trapping licenses under the State regulations, the authorization of same-day airborne wolf trapping, when it was Prevented for hunting under State rules, caused confusion for tDappers, thinking that therefore it was allowed to shoot wolves if you had a trapping license in Park Service areas. A2d that confusion would periodically come up, and then die down. But at the time of adopting our same-day airborne regulation, we thought that it would be appropriate to clarify that taking of wildlife under a trapping license in Park **\$6**rvice areas by shooting when they're not caught in a trap is Mot authorized. In just Park Service areas. So that's why the 180 came together.

19

- We republished the same-day airborne regulation and the Liapping clarification in November as a proposed -- as a Lievised proposed regulation, and it had a 30-day comment Lievised proposed regulation, and it had a 30-day comment Lievised. The comments that we received were generally Lievised proposed. There was about -- in the State of Alaska, two-Lievised of the comments supported the same-day airborne Lievised communities in the outlying areas of Alaska as opposed Lievised communities in the outlying areas of Alaska as opposed Lievised. And -- but there was also many comments, Lievised from the Borough and Anaktuvuk Pass, the City of Lievised Lievise
- 34 So the 30-day comment period ended on December 15th. 3he decision was made to go ahead with a final rule for the 36me-day airborne hunting restriction, and we're in the process 8% doing that. And at the same time that that final rule is \$8blished, it will also extend the comment period for the Byoposed clarification of the trapping rules. And I expect #Bat that will be published in the Federal Register in the next two to three weeks. It's back at Washington now. It went #Mrough review, the legal review, and just before coming to #Bis meeting, I talked to the attorneys in the Solicitor's Office in Washington, and they said they were moving it on to ∜he National Park Service's Director's Office for signature, 46d then it will go to the Secretary's Office, actually A3sistant Secretary Frampton's office for approval. And \$8obably in the next two or three weeks it will be published in #De Federal Register and there will be officially at that time 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982

an extended comment period. And I will notify all of those, 2ncluding the Borough and Anaktuvuk Pass and individuals that It has formally been extended, and I will send a copy of the Materials out to everyone who has expressed an interest so that Surther comments can be taken on that. So that's pretty much where it stands right now. Are 8here any questions? 10 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Any questions? Yeah, Frank? 11 This is the first I've heard of this MR. LONG: proposal on firearms. Had you sent any material to my village, Naigsut? 15 16 MR. HUNTER: We 17 18 MR. LONG: Was any material sent over there? 19 20 MR. HUNTER: We did not officially send any materials @1t to individual villages 23 MR. LONG: Then what 2.4 MR. HUNTER: in November. And that's primarily ₩by we -- I think we received so many comments asking for more 27me. And we have -- we will when it's -- when the extension 28 published in the Federal Register here in the next couple of Weeks, formally announcing that the comment period has been 8\tended, we will correct that mistake and notify all of the 311 lages, all of the advisory commissions and councils, and the B@cal governmental bodies, so that we can get as much feedback and comments as possible on that. MR. LONG: And your collection data has not been from and of the native villages affected by Federal areas. 37 I've got a couple of more questions. On firearms, what ช0uld be your definition of firearms, per caliber? Are you #alking about a small caliber rifle, or are you talking about 41 elephant gun firearm? 42 43 MR. HUNTER: Well, for trapping it would include any #4rearm. The definition of trapping that the Park Service €5rrently has, and that we are trying to -- that our intention 46 to clarify so that it's better understood, is that taking #Ildlife that's not already caught in the trap with a firearm 48 not allowed under trapping in Park Service areas. 49 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
1
       MR. LONG: Does it include .....
3
                     It is allowed under hunting, of course.
       MR. HUNTER:
4
5
       MR. LONG: Does this include handgun?
6
7
       MR. HUNTER:
                    It would include any firearm.
       MR. LONG: Okay. Another question on traps. Traps are
10 different forms, shapes and sizes. What is your definition
of a trap? We have steel trap, we have snare trap, we have
møst traps.
13
14
       MR. HUNTER:
                     The definition, it's a very basic, generic
definition. It just -- the definition is any device designed
16 entrap animals.
17
18
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Which includes snares, traps?
19
20
       MR. HUNTER: Yes.
21
22
       MR. R. KOONUK: Right.
                               Right.
23
       MR. LONG: Yeah, our way of trapping is not like the
₩āy the trapping that they did on that wolf kill that just
Aappened not too long ago.
27
28
                     I'm just speculating now, but I would
       MR. HUNTER:
29 sume that that basic definition would include any kind of
method of catching wildlife. You know, that -- any kind of
method that was otherwise allowed under State regulations, or
Wasn't prohibited under State regulations, that catches the
W3ldlife and restrains it so that the trapper then comes by
Batter to gather the wildlife, as opposed to not catching it,
But just going out to try and stalk the wildlife and shoot it.
36n Park Service areas, that's hunting, and trapping is setting
311t traps and catching the wildlife that way. So we
dastinguish more in the Park Service areas the difference
Between trapping and hunting, than the other Federal land
Adnagers and the State.
41
42
       MR. LONG:
                  The reason that I'm saying this is our ways
43e different than the State and the Federal regulatory ways
#Mat they impose on us to do. The way that he learned how to
#5ap and the way that I learned how to trap is not from the
      They are very effective, and they will catch anything
#Mat we set out to catch, without any harm to the animal.
49
       MR. HUNTER: Well, and that type of trapping is exactly
50
```

```
What the Park Service considers trapping in Park Service
âreas, .....
3
       MR. LONG: Okay.
       MR. HUNTER: .... and the Park Service would be very
7- would rely on the local advisory councils and groups to
Continually advise us on what is appropriate trapping in their
areas. And the customary and traditional methods of trapping
and the more modern methods of trapping, whatever the local
community considers trapping is -- would fall within our
dêfinition.
13
14
       MR. LONG: All right.
1.5
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah, we're taking on time here, and
hed to moving on the agenda, but, Helen, you had your hand up?
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I just wanted to emphasize so people
2Adderstand that what Dave's talking about is only on Park
Service lands, and if you look at the map behind you, that's
$2st the purple land. It's just a corner of it in 26, so it's
probably going to apply mostly to Anaktuvuk. I mean, somewhat
24 -- and a little bit to other places.
2.5
       MR. LONG: Yeah, but once it get into the Federal
Register, it will affect us, too.
28
29
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Harry?
30
       MR. BROWER: All these -- you said you had some
80mments from Anaktuvuk? I would like to hear what kind of
88mments have been received from Anaktuvuk regarding this
∂¶arification?
35
36
       MR. HUNTER: The comment -- we haven't really received
any specific comments on the rule. What happened is there was
38 it was a relatively short comment period initially, and the
80mments we received on the trapping clarification were asking
#0r additional time to carefully consider it, to discuss it
₩1th the local wildlife groups, and in particular the Borough
W21dlife Department wanted more time to consider it before
sanding in the final comments. So we haven't really gotten any
@⊕mments about the substance of the definition.
45
46
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
47
       MR. HUNTER: It was just "we would like more time" was
4De basic comment.
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: And -- go ahead, Harry.
        MR. BROWER:
                     I've got one other question. From your
Subsistence resource commission, from the Gates of the Arctic,
What kind of action did they take on this?
        MR. HUNTER: They did discuss it at the last SRC
Meeting. Let me defer to Steve if -- Did they make a
f@solution, Steve? I .....
11
                  Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chairman.
        MR. ULVI:
                                                    Steve Ulvi
W3th Gates of the Arctic Park.
14
15 Harry, yes, they spent considerable time. They were \$6ry concerned about that regulation, and they sent a letter in
which the Councils are all going to receive soon. We'd hoped
18 get it to you sooner, but we couldn't get it signed off by
Chairman Raymond Paneak. So you'll be receiving that.
2.0
21
        They are very much opposed to continuing with the
definition of trapping that the Park Service has on the books.
23hey would like to see that changed so that there isn't that
24 finite split between hunting and trapping when you're out in
25e winter taking fur-bearing animals.
26
27
        So our reason for wanting to bring this up is we do
20el that it's a subsistence issue. It is only for park and
29eserve lands, and for Unit 26 that is only Gates of the
Adottic National Park and Preserve, but it affects potentially
B&sidents from Nuigsut who are within the resident zone, and
62rtainly Anaktuvuk Pass. And we feel it's a very important
13sue, so thought that this Council may want to send in a
Better supporting the SRC's position or the -- or however you
₩ānt to do that. But the comment period will be open, and you
While not meet again before that comment period is closed.
37
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. The notification will be sent to
80r SRC here, to all members so everybody will be aware of when
40's coming back up, and I'll go ahead and make a note here
#hat we need to respond as a Council formally on -- when that
#2mment period comes on line, and I would just go ahead and
#3te that as an action item to be continued later.
                                                    I think
₩4're going to support whatever Anaktuvuk and Nuigsut decide in
#hose town meetings, and we'll support I suppose that position.
4But we'll get to that, and I'll make a note of it, that that's
And we need to follow up on in relation to that comment period.
40kay.
49
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515 Fax 274-8982

50

```
MR. ULVI: Okay, Mr. Chairman. So you would have to do
2hat then amongst yourselves without the benefit of a meeting
Bike this?
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Right. We can do it on teleconference.
6We can schedule those. Okay. Who's -- how about a ten-minute
Break here at this time? We think -- is it too early?
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Please, Edward. Edward? Could you
take a ten-minute break? We need to talk to you. Please?
12
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Gordon?
1.3
14
       MR. UPICKSON: I'd like the recommendation. Let's take
$5ten-minute break before we get to the next agenda item.
16
17
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: All right. Okay. Thank you, Gordon.
Wê're going to go ahead and take a ten-minute break, Fenton.
$@e you in a bit.
2.0
21
       (Off record)
22
2.3
       (On record)
2.4
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Call our meeting back to order. Just
26 time you walk in. Helen is back in here again.
28
       Ray and Jake for your information, Helen and Steve and
B911 are like our staff here, and Helen's mostly in the, what,
anthropological?
31
32
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Yeah, I'm an anthropologist.
33
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Portions of the Fish & Wildlife
Service, and Steve is the biologist, and you can talk to him
about anything related to any animals here, and they'll tell
$∂u what they're role and function is. And Bill is a jack of
all trades I guess.
39
40
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                          Regulations.
41
       MR. KNAUER: Primarily regulations and policy and
#Bocedures.
44
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah. Policy, procedures, regulations,
those sorts of things, and about -- you know, I know Ray had
#Xpressed a concern about getting kind of up to speed on where
&Berything is, and who does what for us here, and we need to
#@ep that in mind as we're going along.
50
```

```
I want to go ahead and maybe I'd better ask first,
Benton, are you back on line?
       MR. REXFORD: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'm here, so I
appreciate your willingness to go ahead and chair it from over
There.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Thank you. We'll go ahead and
$6ntinue, and down to 6-C, the annual report.
                                               I don't know
Who's doing a report on that one? Barbara?
12
13
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                           Fenton.
14
15
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: And Fenton?
16
17
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Ee-ee.
18
19
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Barbara, if you could go up to the
mucrophone, please?
21
22
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                           Okay.
23
2.4
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: And, Fenton, feel free to comment.
25
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Okay. I talked prior to this
2000 to Fenton regarding the annual report, and he and I
28th agreed that being at the chair and vice chair meeting that
2Dey are -- since the Seward Peninsula and the Northwest Arctic
annual reports hadn't been answered then at that meeting, they
3aid that they would wait until they get answers, and now that
Bley have been answered, and then last year North Slope waived
BBeir annual report, but Fenton said that there will be one
₩ titten for the North Slope area this fall. This coming fall
$5r annual report. Is that not correct, Fenton?
36
37
       MR. REXFORD: Yes, that is true. Yeah, that is true.
When -- again, most of our issues with Seward Peninsula and
Morthwest Arctic generally are about the same issues, the same
40oup of animals and primarily the same concerns that they have
Afe similar to Seward Peninsula, you know, principally, and the
Morthwest Arctic group, so I'm glad that they finally got an
ABswer, so this year we'll get one forward here.
44
45
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
46
47
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Koyana.
48
49
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Thank you, Fenton. Any comments on the
50
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

```
annual report? If not, we'll go ahead and continue on down to
D, and that's the Regional Council's Charter renewal. And just
Bery briefly on background information, this charter was
Anitially approved by Secretary Babbitt on January 31, 1995,
borrect? And, what, every three years we -- two years we get
60 renew this thing?
        MR. KNAUER: Yes, Mr. Chair.
10
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Bill?
11
       MR. KNAUER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. The first charter was
approved by Secretary Luhan back in 1993, early '93, and
A cording to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, advisory
$5oups, whether they're called councils or committees or
Whatever, only have by law a two-year life span, so charters
Mave to be renewed every two years, and it was renewed, and as
$$8u said, it was signed on January 31, '95, and it -- this will
€%pire December 2nd, '96.
                          It expires on the two-year
anniversary of the enabling legislation, in this case the
Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, which was
passed in 1980. So December 2nd of the even numbered years it
2%pires, and we have to renew it, and it's at those times that
2Manges can be placed in it. So you are a legally chartered
B5dy.
26
27
        MR. LONG:
                   Illegal?
2.8
29
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Pardon?
30
31
        MR. LONG:
                 We're illegally chartered bunch?
32
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Under ANILCA. Bill, just -- or,
Barbara first, if you could come up?
35
36
        MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Okay.
                                  There's -- if Bill is done,
Steve has a short request to make of you regarding your charter
$8r the SRC appointments that they need, and then Bill -- Steve
39vi will explain that to you shortly after, just for a few
Allnutes.
41
42
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
43
44
        MS. B. ARMSTRONG: If Bill is done?
45
46
       MR. KNAUER: I am.
47
48
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                           Okay.
49
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Bill?
                   Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Steve Ulvi, Gates
       MR. ULVI:
f the Arctic National Park.
       This is an issue that's been going on for a year and a
Malf or so. You have received correspondence in the past.
What it boils down to is that for Gates of the Arctic National
Park, we have a subsistence resource commission that advises us
$\text{the Subsistence issues.} And in the ANILCA, in the Alaska Lands
Act, there's a provision for each Regional Council to appoint a
member to that subsistence resource commission. And, of
tourse, as you know, things have changed in the last few years.
14he State regional councils are out, and the Federal Regional
Councils are in, so there's a need to change the charter.
$6 what we've requested, and our subsistence resource
tommission wants, and everyone seemed to agree over the last
&Buple of years, there's nobody in opposition to it, and the
Park Service supports it, is that Regional 8, the Northwest
AOctic Region, Western Interior, Region 6, and your North
$10pe, Region 10, will each end up with appointing one of the
2Dree members the Federal Regional Councils can appoint to our
28bsistence resource commission. So the Park has three Federal
24uncils that we deal with. It makes sense each would have one
25 pointment. It balances things out nicely. That's not the
26y it is in the law right now. The Western Interior .....
27
28
       MR. KNAUER: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman. Steve, the new
2Barters do reflect that change.
30
31
       MR. ULVI: It's already been changed?
32
       MR. KNAUER:
                    Yes.
                          That was, because of the Park
Sérvice and Regional Council requests and SRC request, that
3hange has been affected in the new charters.
37
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Can you show them on the new
Barters so the Council can see, please?
40
       MR. ULVI: Okay.
41
       MR. KNAUER: In your book it does specifically say
48der duties of the Council, which would be on page two of the
&Harters, it's item number five, "Appoint one member to the
45tes of the Arctic Subsistence Resource Commission in
46cordance with Section 808 of ANILCA."
47
48
       MR. ULVI: Okay. So, Mr. Chairman, what that means
#Men, and I wasn't aware that that change had gone through,
```

What that means then is probably at your fall meeting for this Region, you will want to consider perhaps having some Bominations or somehow find out who's interested from the North Slope Region and take a look at that and be able to go ahead 5 and make that appointment. There is a person serving in that Seat right now from the community of Ambler, but they serve Then until this Council goes ahead and makes a different Reppointment. So, you know, we will look forward to in the fall Perhaps this Council considering appointing someone who can tepresent the North Slope Region and cultures.

11

12 CHAIRMAN ITTA: All right. Thank you. Bill?

13

MR. KNAUER: Yes. Steve, you might wish to explain to them there are certain requirements as to who they can appoint. 18 hat way they would know, and they would be able to think a mead.

18

MR. ULVI: That's right. Thank you, Bill. adickly, Mr. Chairman, that person that you appoint to our 21bsistence resource commission has to meet two basic tests. Three actually. One, they'd have to be a resident of the 28gion, but the first test is that they need to be a reasonably Active subsistence user of the park, not just a subsistence 25er and a resident of the North Slope, but of the park up 26ere in Gates of the Arctic. And the second factor is they 22ed to be either a member of this Council or a member of a 18sh and game advisory committee. And I believe that the 20 asoning there is that they're already familiar with issues and policies and how it all got the way it is and things, so Bley can kind of step right in and be fairly effective. But I Blink you have a fairly large body of people that would meet BBose tests. But, thank you, Bill. That's all I had.

35 CHAIRMAN ITTA: All right. We'll go ahead and deal &6th that

37

38 MR. LONG: Mr. Chairman?

39

40 CHAIRMAN ITTA: issue when it comes up here, and #lassume it's going to be in October. Frank?

42

MR. LONG: I have a comment on this -- with regards of &\delta\begin{align*} \text{\$4\text{bs}} istence resource commission. If we do according to our &\text{\$\text{\$h}}\arrangle arter, an appointee of one member to the Gates of National &\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$6}}\$rk Service, that person would be -- would have to gather a &\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$0}\$}\$t of information from each community affected by the Federal &\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$\text{\$6}}\$gulation, isn't it?}}

49

50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

CHAIRMAN ITTA: Maybe you can go ahead and get back up and respond to Frank? 4 MR. ULVI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Steve Ulvi. Frank, the way that works is there are nine members on The subsistence resource commission. Three are appointed by 8he Governor, and three by the Secretary. They don't have those same criteria for those appointments. They could appoint \$0mebody from Anchorage, Fairbanks. They haven't. They're people from Barrow, they're people from Anaktuvuk Pass, they're people from the Athabascan communities on the south side. So 18 is generally people who are engaged in subsistence and live farally. 15 But the way it works is that if you have a member from 16 Affiaktuvuk Pass, they do try to understand the issues in their \$8mmunity, and to the best of their ability understand the 19 sues with adjacent communities who use the park, and try to 20present those issues. They meet about three times a year. 2t's a lot like this where we pay the per diem and the travel. 2\empty e set up the meetings. I'm the coordinator for that. But PRey're issues that only have to do with the park, not the £4deral region at large or anything. Only with subsistence in £Бе park. 26 27 MR. LONG: Under the park. 2.8 29 MR. BROWER: Mr. Chairman? Mr. Chairman? 30 31 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah. Uh-huh. 32 MR. BROWER: Steve, while you're on -- while you're Still here, I still need to get a clarification. Do we want 35mebody appointed that uses the park area, the two eligible ₱€ople would -- or the communities would be Nuiqsut or Amaktuvuk, right? 38 39 That -- yes, Harry, that's pretty much what MR. ULVI: 40 boils down to, although it's possible for someone from Barrow or another community to have a permit to conduct \$20bsistence activities in the park. As it stands, there is no 43e that has come forward and wanted one of those permits or A4s one right now. So essentially it would be someone who's 45 ready eligible and using the park for subsistence, and in #Mat case it would be a resident of Nuigsut or a resident of Anaktuvuk for the North Slope. 49 MR. BROWER: Thank you. 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
MR. ULVI: Thank you for pointing that out.
3
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
5
6
        MR. ULVI: So that's what it boils down to.
7
        MR. LONG: Yeah. The only reason that I made this
Omment is that we do have guys that travels all the way
through the park, especially during the winter. There's no way
that they can get up there unless by plane during the summer.
12
13
        MR. ULVI: That's right. Those winter subsistence
Attivities qualify. I mean, it's -- they just want someone
Who's still engaged and understands the park issues, not
$6neral subsistence issues of coastal, those kinds of things.
17
18
        CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                       Okay.
19
20
       MR. ULVI: And I'll -- if anyone wants to call when you
2hink of people or nominate, please just give me a call and
2211 try to help you out or clarify anything you need.
23
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
                               Thank you, Steve.
appointment can be handled this fall, correct? Okay.
27
        I want to just take -- an esteemed guest has walked in
Mêre, and many of you are looking around, where is he.
29qht there. Tom Lohman. We're going to give him a few
Minutes. He came over just to address us, give us an update on the status of the Haul Road as it relates to the North Slope
B@rough and the residents here. If you could, Tom? Thanks for
adming over.
34
        MR. LOHMAN:
                     I'm glad to be here, Mr. Chairman,
            It's Lohman, L-o-h-m-a-n. I'm with the North Slope
B@rough, Department of Wildlife Management.
38
39
        I just got back from a meeting on Tuesday in Fairbanks
46 the Dalton Highway Coordination Group, which is a sort of
4bose association of all of the different agencies, State and
#2deral agencies, and interest groups involved or concerned
ABout the opening of the Dalton Highway. It was a particularly
$4 range meeting. We spent most of the morning -- there were
$5 ven other Borough representatives there from the different
B6rough departments. We spent the morning identifying all the
#Toblems that are going, we think, to occur as a result of the
♠Bening of the road. We didn't spend any time developing
$0lutions to those problems. There was a lot of people
50
```

pointing at other people saying, "Gee, it would be nice if gomebody solved some of these problems, but we don't have the funds to do that."

4

The road has essentially been open for about three fears. The check point that used to be the only way to stop people from going up the road really has not been operated by the State Department of Transportation for about three years. But now you actually have in print in different places, including in such publications as the Mile Post, which is the that of main tourist document that a lot of travelers to Alaska the defore they come to the State, they say specifically that the road is open.

14

There are no rest facilities on the road other than at C6ld Foot. There are no toilet facilities. There is nowhere to dump human wastes out of RVs. There's no communication \$9stem. There is, I think we all agree, woefully insufficient pablic safety on the road. Search and rescue is, of course, a 20ncern. We had Borough search and rescue staff, public safety \$taff, Planning Department, I was there for Wildlife. All of the different agencies have different responsibilities, but 20ne have specific responsibility for providing some of those 20rvices along the road.

25

We got into a very brief discussion of the fish and Wildlife impacts of the opening of the road. Our concern about the increase in both legal and illegal harvest of animals in the area of the road, and the use of the road to expand other Bunting opportunities beyond the immediate area of the road.

Sort of over some of our objections, those concerns were put aside in favor of discussing some of the more mediate concerns like sanitation, public safety, and communication concerns that are in the view of the group, which so about 60 or 70 people, are going to be have to -- have to be acted on right now, because the road is open right now. If you don't do something about the sanitation facilities, obviously, you're going to have, in deference to the recorder, I won't say that I was going to say, but you'll have stuff along the side of the road. You probably already do have some stuff along the side of the road. So those concerns need to be dealt with the sight now.

44

You're going to have to develop some kind of procedure \$6\text{r} dealing with both law enforcement concerns and vehicular \$4\text{c} cident concerns from a public safety standpoint, because the \$8\text{ad} is open right now. You're going to have to develop some \$2\text{nd} of communications system and information system to 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982

communicate to the travelers, both Alaskans and non-Alaskans about what facilities are available, and what facilities are Bot available along the road. We certainly don't want travelers going up there thinking this is a pleasant drive in the country for a day or two.

It looks like BLM, and I give them credit for that, has 8aken the lead in providing that initial information to gravelers. They're producing a fairly rudimentary brochure. 10's not going to be one of these glossy multi-colored things that we've seen that BLM produces on a lot of its other a2tivities which are so great. It's going to be a first shot \$\frac{1}{2}\$ getting some information out there quickly which they are \$\delta\text{eing to distribute as much as they can within budgetary} &5nstraints. This is coming out of existing funds, not out of and new budget. It will be distributed at the visitors center at the Mile 8, Milepost 8. It will be distributed hopefully at Cold Foot. Hopefully somewhat through the Alaska Lands 10formation Center. It will tell people there are no gas **20**ations. You're not going to find spare tires, you're not ĝoing to find fuel past Cold Foot. You're going to need to Rhow the road conditions. 23

You're going to need to know that you can't get to the Afctic Ocean. We think that a lot of people will think they 26n drive to the Arctic Ocean and dip their little toesies in 27, and take a picture and go back home. Alyeska has made it 28ry clear that only tour buses will have access to the ocean.

The other problem that they see is with the vehicles after motorists are stranded. We all know what the road looks Bake, or a lot of us do, and it's very difficult to pull off on aoshoulder. If a vehicle's left there for any length of time, at will be a hazard to other people using the road, including the industrial truckers who are on a clock. They -- these can't afford to stop and help stranded motorists.

What to do with abandoned vehicles is a concern, because you want it out of there as quickly as you can, but the abandoned vehicle -- abandoned property statutes in Alaska beovide for a couple of days of waiting. People got to do what they got to do. They've got to get those vehicles out of the 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982

way, and the place that DOT most likely would move them is into the little cross roads off to the pump stations. Then they become Alyeska's problem. Those are service roads that they fleed to access the pipeline.

5

So we've got all of those concerns and no solutions. What we concluded the meeting doing was breaking up into three work groups. Actually identifying three work groups that haven't formed yet. One dealing with the public safety concerns, under which the wildlife enforcement concerns fall, the search and rescue concerns fall, and the communications systems concerns fall. Another is the sanitation concerns work group. You can all imagine what those are: solid wastes, haman wastes, those kind of things. And the third was this information dissemination work group, which is going to decide how to get the appropriate information to the travelers to make sure they understand what they're getting into when they turn the Dalton Highway.

19

Those groups, all three of them have representatives from the Borough on it. At least we've given them names. Those groups are going to try to meet here within the next couple of weeks. The next meeting of the over-all group will have be until probably early April. Hopefully by that time these subgroups will have some recommendations to make to the corrall group. If the over-all group can then reach consensus, we hope to take those to the Legislature or the corrangle or anybody else that it's appropriate who can act on those recommendations.

30

So we stand now in sort of a difficult position with properties already able to drive up that road, and a lot of problems that are likely to come out of it. I do not expect that we are going to have additional Troopers added to the road. I don't expect we're going to have additional fish and beldlife enforcement added to the road.

37

A great difficulty is going to be in identifying the \$\mathbb{N}\text{pacts} of the opening of the road, because as a lot of us \$\mathbb{K}\text{flow}\$, there's not a lot of good baseline information on a lot \$\mathbb{G}\text{ what goes on around the road now. There haven't even been \$\mathbb{Q}\text{od counts of the vehicles going up the road now since the \$\mathbb{E}\text{Beckpoint closed.} And not a lot of good information in fact \$\mathbb{E}\text{fore that, because the poor fellow manning the checkpoint \$\mathbb{E}\text{ouldn't watch all the cars going through, and didn't make an \$\mathbb{E}\text{thow how many cars were going through.} So if you don't \$\mathbb{E}\text{now how many cars were going through, and you're not going to \$\mathbb{E}\text{ know }-- sure how many cars are going through once it's \$\mathbb{P}\text{ened}\$, it's going to be hard to go to the Legislature and say, 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982

Twith the increase in traffic, but we can't tell you what it 2s, we're seeing these increased impacts, but we can't tell you what those are." So it's going to be important to try to tweak our legislators and talk to the people at the agencies, and try 50 get some studies funded, try to get a checkpoint perhaps, fory to get increased fish and wildlife and State Trooper and along the road.

- But as you know with everybody's budgets being cut, it dôesn't look terribly optimistic for any of those things happening in the near term, so we're going to have to live with the road open in the near term.
- The Borough as you know also had a lawsuit, still does have a lawsuit against the State for the opening of the road. We went in and tried to get a preliminary injunction, which is an emergency action to get the action that would have repealed to repeal the regulations that kept the road closed, that action halted, which would have kept the road closed. We lost on that preliminary injunction motion. The underlying lawsuit is still there. I'm not going to stand here or sit have and speak for the Law Department, but I would not be larribly optimistic about our likelihood of success on that lawsuit.

2.5 So I think we're stuck with the road open. How it is appen is going to be something that's going to be debated I 2Bink for a couple of years. I think the best approach for the Borough, and again I don't speak for the Mayor's Office or the Baw Department that's handling the suit, but the few of us who Bave been involved a little bit, have talked about perhaps approaching the Governor and saying, "Maybe it's politically d3fficult for you to turn around and close the road again after 34's been opened, but when you threw out the regulations that abosed it, you also threw out the permit system that allowed 36me people to go up it. Would it be so difficult to re-impose a7permit system that allows everybody to travel the road, but 38 least would provide a last sort of check on anybody going 39?" You would maybe be able to provide a little information about what they intended to do when they were on the road; keep #fack of people in case they didn't come back when you expected #Mem to come back; get some additional information about the Admber of people traveling in the vehicles. Those kind of #Hings that would allow you to build an information base that ₩5uld allow you then to address some of the impacts a couple of **∜6**ars down the line. We don't think that would be terribly # xpensive to do. A checkpoint manned by a couple of people. \$8 I think that might be a solution that we're going to go to #De State with. 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982

There was no one from the Governor's Office at this meeting. We made a point about that, and hopefully there will Be somebody from the Governor's Office at future meetings. Governor is going to be up here, as you know, later this week for his inaugural ball, but I'm sure he'll be able to break away for a few minutes of meetings, and Mayor Ahmoagak has made 8he Haul Road an issue since very early on in the Governor's @ampaign, so it's something that we're hopefully going to talk At fairly high levels to the Governor's Office about, but it's doing to be a problem.

12

I would ask any of you that either travel the road or 13 teside in villages that are nearby the road -- hello, Frank, Mow are you doing? -- that you help us document any problems. That's been a big problem. We've talked about some other 13sues like hazardous waste on the Slope. It helps us greatly. 18ou guys are our eyes and ears. You guys are your own eyes and ears, too, out there. If you get us as much information as 20u can about impacts from the opening of the road, it will Melp us make a case to try to address those concerns later on 20wn the road.

2.3

So that's all I have to report. It's not terribly 2heery news, but we're doing the best we can along with a bunch 06 other agencies to see what we can do to keep the impacts 20wn and to sort of document what goes on with the opening of fle road.

29

30 Any questions, folks?

31 32

CHAIRMAN ITTA: Thank you. Questions for Tom? Frank?

33

I don't have a question, but I do have a MR. LONG: 85mment to make in regard to the Haul Road. When we decide to 36 on that Haul Road, I myself inform the guys that are going 80 be utilizing the road to at least go as far Prudhoe Bay and BBeir vehicle checked before they went on, because it's a long d9stance from Prudhoe Bay to Cold Foot, and that's the next \$0op for availability of -- if you need a mechanic or the electrical guy that works on the wiring. It's a long ways out 42 you have to -- if you run that Haul Road the best that you #an, but keep out -- keep out of the way of the truckers.

44

And I appreciate what you guys are doing toward the Haul Road. If we have opposed -- against the opening of the Haul Road for many years, because we wanted to see first if #Bere would be an extension from the Kuparuk area to our 49llage before the Haul Road was opened. But I'm glad that we 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982

have the access to utilize the Haul Road as individual, but 2ike Tom said, there's no facilities, and a break down of any gype, even if you blow a tire, you have to do it yourself, and we know from Nuiqsut that we are on that Haul Road at our own bisk, without considering anybody. Thank you.

7 CHAIRMAN ITTA: I've got a question. Was there any discussions about private or commercial operations on providing Pest stops, hotels, that sort of thing?

10

MR. LOHMAN: Sure. Again I'll credit BLM. A lot of \$\psi_0 u\$ may have seen a very thick book with a brown plastic \$\psi_0 nding that was out a couple of years ago. I'm sure there are \$\psi_0 pies in a lot of our offices. That was the BLM's Haul Road \$\psi_0 pies no upon the corridor Plan, recreation plan. There \$\psi_0 pies no upon the corridor plan, the corridor plan, the corridor plan.

BLM did a lot of work, and then they transferred a lot of the land. BLM really doesn't have the responsibility to do some of the informational work its doing, but it took it upon result to do that. So in addition to the brochure I talked bout, they're also going to have a little AM radio station remains a going to be broadcast somewhere just north of Fox, and the solution be broadcast to the solution be broadcast to the solution to the broadcast to be broadcast somewhere just north of Fox, and the solution be broadcast to be broadcast somewhere just north of Fox, and the broadcast to be broadcast to

26radio station that will give updated weather and road 27formation, that kind of thing.

But it's a difficult situation with land along the 30ad. And there's -- the State has some constraints on what Band it can make available for those kind of facilities.

Representative James, who I believe is out of North Pole,

30annette James, is in the process of working with DNR, the

State Department of Natural Resources, to draft legislation

Bhat will correct that problem, if you can call it that, that

Well allow for the transfer of land to provide some of those

Bacilities.

38

18

The difficulty right now even in terms of some things Alke sanitation facilities is that there isn't land available, Alk nobody wants those kind of facilities on their land, even All the land was available. So it's difficult.

43

Princess Tours I understand, I may be wrong on this, but I thought at one point, you can probably help me, M6. Chairman, but ASRC had an interest in Cold Foot Services, I believe. And it -- and that may have been transferred I think to Princess, or at least they seemed to have some strong the terest in the road. There were four or five representatives 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982

```
from Princess present. They didn't want to talk about their
plans for the road. Right now they run tour buses up the road.
3But if they're planning on expanding, in addition to what they
Adight do as a tour company running tours out of that area,
\$\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\pi}\tilde{\
drive up there, maybe stay over night and then do day trips up
and back the road in private vehicles, and that becomes an
additional burden on the road.
10
                 But land ownership and ability to transfer land for
facility purposes is a difficulty right now.
12
1.3
                 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Thank you, Tom. Any more
draestions for Tom?
                                          (In Inupiat)
16
                 MR. LOHMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Gentlemen.
17
18
                 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Thank you for coming over and giving us
19 update.
20
21
                MR. LOHMAN: Carry on.
22
                CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Do you want to go ahead and
24ntinue down our agenda to -- well, we have the musk ox draft
agreement and the musk ox update that we needed to get into.
26
27
                 Let me just ask at this time before we get into that
After new business, Bill, on the first two, and you're also
@@ing to be presenting the NARC petition, is that right?
30
31
                 MR. KNAUER: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
32
                 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Can you give me an idea about how long
№4u think you might require for those subjects?
35
36
                 MR. KNAUER:
                                              I don't think any of these three items
Will take very long.
38
39
                 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
40
41
                MR. KNAUER: They're more of an informational nature.
There is no action that the Council needs to take .....
43
44
               CHAIRMAN ITTA: All right.
45
                 MR. KNAUER: .... on any of the items now. They are
Advisory in nature, and for the Council to consider in their
48f time.
49
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Then on the proposals, Steve,
Now much time do you suppose we're looking at? How many
proposals do we have? We have a number of them I know.
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           Eleven.
       MR. KOVACH: We have 11 proposals to go through, and,
$eah, for the Council to deliberate and make decisions on. And
We anticipate that's going to take a fair bit of time.
10
11
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. So what I'm trying to get is an
12ea of how much time we should dedicate to making sure we
f3nish up our agenda by this afternoon. I think we have a
14ttle over an hour here to go before lunch time, and I would
\phi5opose that we go -- head into the musk ox area, to 6-A-2 and
å∆so A-4, and yesterday Geoff was talking, but maybe we'll go
allead and start with that, and try to finish up, and I'm trying
18 save the proposals for -- so that we can do them all
$@quentially and not have to break in between here.
2an go ahead and do that, if there's no objection from Council?
2We'll go ahead and continue on reports regarding the musk ox
22aft agreement.
23
       And, Fenton, like I say, we kind of saved this one for
25u, but you need to .....
2.6
27
       MR. REXFORD: Uh-huh.
2.8
29
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: .... make sure we get your input on
BBis. So I'm going to ask at this time for Geoff Carroll to go
Back up and just give a very brief over-view of your portion of
Ble draft -- Fenton, do you have a copy of that management plan
BBat Geoff's going to be talking about?
35
       MR. REXFORD: Yes, I do.
36
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Good. Then we'll go ahead and
38fer to that briefly, and then after Mike gives us his quick
B@view, over-view, then I'm going to go ahead and ask Steve to
40 ahead and update us on the portion of the Fish & Wildlife
Service on the musk ox. Geoff?
42
43
       MR. CARROLL: Okay. Geoff Carroll, the Fish & Game
Afea wildlife biologist. Well, Fenton, .....
45
46
       MR. REXFORD: Yes, good morning.
47
       MR. CARROLL: Okay. What I spoke about last evening is
★Bat we've talked earlier about developing a musk ox management
```

plan for the North Slope, and this isn't really -- it's not a 2- it's not an all-inclusive management plan. What we're Brying to talk about now is it's just a -- it's a cooperative Musk ox management agreement, and there's an opportunity to make some -- just some administrative changes in the Tier II Gubsistence hunt in Game Management Unit 26(B). And there's apportunity to make a change in the number of musk oxen that Bre harvested in that area. At this point in the Tier II hunt, people are allowed to take two musk oxen per year in there. We tan increase that by three musk oxen, so a total of -- we can take a total of five per year. And also under the regulations, the musk oxen up to now, it's been a requirement that they have to be harvested to the east of the Haul Road, and we can make a thange, too, so that at least two of those are harvested to the west of the Haul Road.

16

- And all that's really required for us to do this is -18have written up this -- it's a draft management agreement.
 This thing will be rewritten and put in a lot better form.
 This is just something I wrote up quickly to give us a place to
 21 at anyway we are going to need to come to an agreement
 22 tween -- almost all of 26(B) is State lands, so really this
 23 just kind of an agreement that we have written up so far
 24 tween the Alaska Department of Fish & Game, the North Slope
 25 rough, the Arctic Slope Native Association, and we'd like to
 26 clude at least the Village of Nuiqsut in that, because
 27 the ones that will be affected by it the most, because
 28 they're the ones that will be affected by it the most, because
 29 llage. And so that's where it stands now.
- The Borough and ASNA have been -- have helped in \$2iting the agreement, and they're -- and they're somewhat in agreement with this. We haven't, you know, formally signed it of anything like that. I've been trying to have a public \$5eting in the Village of Nuiqsut and so far the meetings have \$6en -- have gotten postponed for various reasons, so that's \$1nd of where that stands right now.

38

This is -- by the way, this is a Tier II subsistence Mont, and, you know, the way that's set up, anybody in the state can apply for it. You're given points according to, you know, where you -- how close to the musk oxen you live, how many years you've hunted the musk oxen, what other options for subsistence food are available, how much time is spent hunting, and how much meat you purchase at the store. Now, people get fill out questionnaires and they're scored on these duestionnaires. It -- so like I say, anybody in the State can apply for it, but you're given a lot of points for living close to the resource, so actually people from Nuiqsut and Kaktovik

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982

Would be the most likely to get these permits. And -- but, you know, it's also quite possible people from Barrow or other communities could get the permits.

4

MR. LONG: I have a question, Geoff. On item two of Gour proposal, where it says two or three of five musk ox Marvest in 26(B) may be taken west of the Dalton Highway Sorridor Management area. How far west are you talking about? 9Are you going all the way to

10 11

MR. CARROLL: All the way to

12

MR. LONG: To the River?

13 14 15

MR. CARROLL: Colville River, yeah. Right up to

16. 17

18 MR. LONG: You're taking off.

19 20

MR. CARROLL: Yeah. Yeah, we're trying to do better.

21

MR. LONG: Okay.

23

MR. CARROLL: I talked to Pat Reynolds, you know, the P\$sh & Wildlife Service biologist extensively on this. She's 26 and her -- her feeling is -- is that, you know, there isn't 27 large population of musk ox to the west of the Haul Road, you R8ow, there's that group of 25 to 30 that stay around, you R9ow, at Nuiqsut and mouth of the Akillik area, and then B1ere's just kind of a few scattered bunches, but if we are -- B1nd of what's going on with that population now is the most 32 pidly growing group of musk oxen on the North Slope now is in B1 at 26(B) east area. They're growing both from musk oxen 36 wing in from ANWR, plus a lot of calves are being produced in B1 bere.

36

What happened originally is musk oxen were transplanted \$8to the ANWR area. The population grew to about 380 animals, and then it kind of peaked out there, but animals have been dosbursing to the east, south and west since that time. So that's starting to happen now with this group in 26(B) east. They're -- they've been growing rapidly, and animals are disbursing from there into 26(B) west.

44

And so she feels that if we limit the hunt just to balls in there, just so we don't are harvesting bulls, that's adt going to affect that population in the western part too detrimentally, and so she -- we've kind of needed her okay on 40, and -- but anyway she's gone along with our request that we 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
tould go ahead and take a few more musk oxen in there as long
as they are bulls.
        MR. LONG: I have one more comment I think would be
beferred to Steve there. Do we have any similar or adjacent
proposal on NPRA musk ox?
        MR. KOVACH: Yes, we have two proposals that the
Gouncil needs to deliberate on today that affect musk ox.
They're for 26(A) and 26(B).
12
        MR. LONG: All right.
                                Thank you.
1.3
14
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Thank you.
15
16
       MR. CARROLL: Thank you.
17
18
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: And with that, we'll go ahead and give
the floor to Steve here. Before I do, I know -- he just came
20, the superintendent of the Gates -- ANWR, right? I forget
2he name, but .....
23
        MR. KURTH: Right. I'm Jim Kurth. I'm the refuge
manager of the Arctic Refuge.
                               It's a pleasure to be here.
atess Fenton's hooked up on the conference. He must not have
nade it?
2.7
2.8
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yes, Fenton is on line.
29
30
        MR. KURTH: Good morning, Fenton.
31
32
       MR. REXFORD: Yeah, good morning, Jim.
33
34
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead and .....
35
36
        MR. KOVACH:
                     The only thing we really have for the
Gāuncil is just that to mention that the Arctic Refuge
88ntinues to do their monthly monitoring of musk oxen on the
Morth Slope, and primarily within the refuge and to some degree
40mediately west of the refuge on the Coastal Plain there.
41
        The only thing that we had was if the Council was
Afterested, we could provide an update on the status of the
A4sk ox population on the Seward Peninsula and the recent
€hanges that the Board has done for establishing a musk ox hunt
#Mere. But in the interest of time, I would recommend that we
4ust defer that, and if anybody's personally interested, to
68rner me during a break or lunch time or something, and I
@Ould update them on that.
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: All right.
        MR. KOVACH: And just to remind the Council, we do have
5hese two proposals where we will be giving you some more
Specific information at that time.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Okay.
                                      Thank you, Steve. And
\ensuremath{\mathfrak{D}} ith that I want to go ahead and move along here and go ahead
and get into under new business the discussion on the
definition of resident by Bill? Or do we have a comment over
here I quess?
13
14
        MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, you may wish to have the
manager from Arctic give his report while you're still under
₫₫d business.
17
18
        CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                        Thank you. Did I miss that somewhere?
19
20
       MR. BROWER:
                    We were supposed to .....
21
22
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. If you could come up, .....
23
2.4
       MR. KURTH: I didn't know I was going to give a report.
25
26
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: ..... identify yourself? We need you
27 the ....
28
29
       MR. KURTH: What am I going to give a report on? Good
morning, Mr. Chair, and I actually didn't know I was supposed
80 give you a report. Usually the Service sends staff that
addresses the issue as, you know, Geoff is always here. And
BBey really have the expertise I think to answer your question.
34 like to be here so that if any of you have issues about the
Abortic Refuge that you want to talk about, then I'm available.
3And I also think this is a critically important forum, and I
Bhink it's a matter of respect to be here and listen to what
38u have to say to give me guidance.
39
40
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Can't hear you.
41
       MR. KURTH: He was trying to tell me what I was
supposed to say. And actually, you know, I don't really have
adything particularly new to bring to your attention, so I'm
⅓5sically here at your disposal, and would be happy to answer
any questions you have.
47
48
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. All right.
49
50
```

```
MR. LONG: I have a comment.
3
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Frank.
       MR. LONG: When you're talking about the Arctic Refuge,
are you referring to the ANWR, or are you referring to this
Vellow/orange area over here?
       MR. KURTH: Well, ANWR is really just an abbreviation
for Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, and that's what ANWR is,
and ANWR is basically the pink area on the right side. The
A2ctic Refuge is about 19 and a half million acres that's the
hortheast corner of the State.
14
1.5
       MR. LONG: Okay.
16
17
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Thank you.
18
19
       MR. KURTH:
                   Thank you.
20
21
       CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                       I just got handed another note here,
Which I neglected while we're under reports. Another one that
20 had waited on for Fenton here, and that was to go ahead and
24scuss the moose proposal that was presented to us briefly.
25 we can go ahead and get into that now at this time, Geoff?
2.6
27
       MR. CARROLL: Sure.
                             Yeah. Do you want me to run
Parough what we discussed on the .....
30
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yes.
31
32
       MR. CARROLL: ..... State moose proposal? Okay.
33
34
       Actually, does anybody happen to have a copy of that?
$5handed every one of them out that I had last night.
36
37
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: I can't give you mine.
38
       MR. CARROLL: Okay. I've got one. Okay. Well,
Boiefly, moose populations actually all across the North Slope,
$\text{1t} particularly the one in 26(A) on the Colville River has
$2en declining during the last three years fairly dramatically.
43 do counts every spring and fall, and from the spring
$4rveys, since 1991 in the areas that I survey, the number
45 moose I've counted have declined from 717 moose to 470 -- or
467 moose. It's a 43% decline. And -- but -- and on top of
#Mat, and it's even worse, is that the percentage of calves
48ve been counting during fall surveys has declined from 22 and
29% in 1991 and 1992 to only 5% and 3% of the population in
50
```

1993 and '94. I've gotten other reports. Oh, Bill Patkutuk for instance has -- who travels in the Upper Colville quite a Bit has called me a couple of times reporting seeing many fewer manages in his travels during the winter up there, and I think that most people that spend time on the river agree that there are -- there is a decline in the number of moose there.

- We've -- I've proposed some changes in the moose pegulations to the State Board of Game. The changes -- I've toied to, you know, impact the local subsistence hunters as little as possible, and what we've had before in that area has been really kind of a wide-open season from August through the end of March, and -- except that during August you can't use adrplanes to hunt in the area, so that really leaves it pretty much to the people with boats that live on the river, and mostly Nuiquot residents that go up the Colville River to hunt.
- What we've done is we've shortened the nonresident \$\text{\t
- So the reason that we took -- that I've proposed not Baving that spring season is because that's after the moose 20se their antlers, so it makes it real difficult to, you know, dustinguish bulls from cows, and we have to be very careful to Baep as many cows in that population as possible, and with the 32ry poor calf production we've had the last couple of years, 34
- 35 So -- and a similar proposal has been made also for $26\,(B)$ and $26\,(C)$, and so the regulations will be fairly similar $3\bar{c}$ ross the North Slope.
- Anyway, I'm just saying that the moose population #0 ally does seem to be in -- you know, it needs to have the pressure taken off of it for a while, to try to -- try to #2 cover. I really don't think that the main problem has been hanting pressure in the past. There are other things that are dausing this, probably a combination of heavy predation, possibly some bad weather, and it could be that some of these afeas have become over-grazed. But what I'm -- the attempt is to take the pressure off the moose for a couple of years in happened as the pressure of the moose for a couple of years in happened as an additional about that. And I just came before your 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982

38

1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 272-7515

```
Board to urge you to consider this problem and to possibly
2hanging Federal regulations to also take some pressure off the
moose for a few years.
       One question I was asked the other night, I hadn't
feally -- that was how you comment to the State Board of Game
If you guys discuss this and want to make any comments to the
Board of Game. I'll leave one of these. This is a proposal
Backet, and inside it it has listed written comments are due to
the Juneau address by March 8th, 1995, and it has the address
and everything written out inside the book. Or people can go
t@stify at the meetings, which will be held in Fairbanks from
March 18th to 31st. If people want to testify, they have to be
there by March 18th to sign up to testify on the proposals.
16
       MR. BROWER: Mr. Chairman?
17
18
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Harry?
19
20
       MR. BROWER: Geoff, I still have a concern regarding
Phis nonresident hunt season.
23
       MR. CARROLL: Uh-huh.
2.4
       MR. BROWER: It says it will be reduced from September
26through -- what does it say here? September 5 to September
23, and at that time when that hunt occurs, there will be a lot
28 -- the hunters will be allowed to take the cows at that
29me?
30
31
       MR. CARROLL: No, they can't -- after September 1st, no
80ws can be taken, and only bulls that have antlers of greater
BBan 50 inches.
34
35
       MR. BROWER: So that the .....
36
37
       MR. CARROLL: Fifty inches or greater.
38
                     So at that time, they'll only be allowed
       MR. BROWER:
#0 hunt for bulls?
41
42
       MR. CARROLL: Yeah, only bulls.
43
44
       MR. BROWER:
                     Thank you.
45
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Geoff, the -- kind of related to this,
But I was just curious, the data that's provided or generated
#8garding moose populations and locations and calving rates and
491 that, how accessible is that information to say like guides
50
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

Fax 274-8982

```
or anybody that might be interested to see where the moose
maight be at a certain time of the year?
       MR. CARROLL: Well, it's all sitting in my office.
And, you know, the only way people really have access to it is
6f they call me and request it. You know, I don't give
Information, for instance, to guides, you know, where the big
Moose are or where the moose concentrations are. But I might
give general -- you know, general guidance, like, for instance,
fight now the worst -- you know, the biggest problem is right
bh the Colville River itself. I mean, for instance, there
Wasn't a single surviving calf on the whole Colville River last
$pring. So I -- you know, say if people are going to come in
and hunt, you know, I'd rather they went to the Anaktuvuk and
Chandler tributaries than on the main Colville, so I kind of
$6ve people general directions like that. And .....
17
18
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. I think Frank, then Gordon and
Harry.
20
21
       MR. LONG:
                  I have a comment and a question.
                                                     I'm in
Zavor of this proposal that you have before us, but I have a
23mment that I would like to make and be noted. As you know,
₩4 natives that do our subsistence hunt will not hunt, try and
Mārvest moose during rutting season, and I think that is during
O6tober and November. And the question I have is on this
21mber five, resident and nonresident will be restricted to
208 ose with 50-inch antler or greater after September 1st. What
Would happen if I have no alternative to get a moose, harvest a
moose that has 50 and a half inches antler? What kind of
penalty would be imposed on me?
32
33
       MR. CARROLL: Well, .....
34
       MR. LONG: If I catch a moose with only a half-inch
ma6re than 50 inches, will I be penalized?
37
       MR. CARROLL: No, that's what we want is for people to
8ake moose with antlers that are 50 inches or greater. It's --
```

Harvested,

44 MR. LONG: Yeah.

45

MR. CARROLL: And so we -- I mean, we could have just 43 well said you can only take ones that are less than 40-inch 48tler. You know, we're just trying to set a category so that 48ere are others that are not taken.

4 On know, it's kind of an arbitrary number, you know. What 4 trying to do is decrease the number of bulls that are

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
MR. LONG: You know, I'd hate to be like that guy that
got killed last fall, walking up to a moose with a tape
Aleasure.
6
        (Laughter)
        MR. CARROLL: Yeah. Well, yeah, and that -- but
Where's also the -- like we say, greater than 50 inches or four
boow tines. I mean, that's something that's easier to look
     It's pretty easy to look at a moose with your binoculars
$\frac{1}{2}$ whatever and count brow times, and generally, you know, any
f3fth -- any moose that has 50-inch antlers or greater is going
t♠ have four brow tines, but if -- I mean, if it does have four
bbow times and it's less than 50 inches, you're still legal.
And, you know, these things are .....
17
18
        Your first question is what is the penalty, and I can't
tell you exactly what the penalty is. It kind of depends a lot
00 the circumstances. If a person makes an honest mistake,
2hen that's a lot different than somebody that goes out and
2Doots three cows, you know, it's .....
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Gordon?
2.4
25
       MR. UPICKSON: Yes. Did you notice a decline in 26(B)
2nd (C) like you noticed in 26(A)?
28
29
        MR. CARROLL: Yeah, there's been a dramatic decline
30er there as well.
31
32
        MR. UPICKSON: All the way across?
33
       MR. CARROLL: Yeah, all the way across the Slope, and
35tually all through northern Alaska, even in the Kotzebue
asea, the number of moose are down even, and around Nome. You
Rinow, it's just -- it's one of those things. It's kind of hard
88 explain. I mean, .....
39
        MR. UPICKSON: But off hand you don't have any figures
41ke you gave some figures here for 26(A), but you don't have
and figures for .....
43
44
        MR. CARROLL: I can give .....
45
46
        MR. UPICKSON: .... across the Slope as a whole or (B)
\mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{A}
48
49
       MR. CARROLL: Let's see. Well, I can just -- I can
50
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

tell you what they say in -- they explain the problem, why they want to change the regulations in 26(B) and (C), too. Okay. Scalf survival has been extremely low during the past two years, combined with substantial mortality of adult moose from wolf and bear predation, and at times severe winter conditions. 6Low recruitment has resulted in a decline in total numbers of moose in most drainages. Between 1986 and 1993 the annual Barvest ranged from 25 to 52. Harvest is often influenced by weather. Although moose numbers are about one-third lower than the mid-80s, the harvest has remained --" Okay. There's been aldecline in the bull to cow ratio from 62 per 100 to 42 per 120 in 1994. I don't know. I guess they don't give numbers of moose they've counted out there.

Their problem there it looks like is -- I know the \$6mber -- just from talking to them, the number of moose has \$6elined, and I have a report over in the office if you want \$6elined edetails, but they've also had very low calf survival, and, \$6elined know, decrease in numbers of moose, and decreasing bull to \$6elined ratios. So -- a decrease in the bull to ratio a lot of \$1mes is an indication of over-hunting, because most hunters \$2elined and in there do shoot bulls, so that's one reason they want \$6elined reduce the hunting level in \$2elined and \$6elined and \$6

2425

MR. BROWER: Mr. Chairman?

2627

CHAIRMAN ITTA: Harry, you had a question?

28

MR. BROWER: Yes. Geoff, I'm -- I still have this deal 8Dat I can't, you know, just get over right off -- just like 30ing over a step. Since the population and the calf ratios are down and the hunting pressure is still in there, it's --30u know, I think to reduce that even more, I think I'd just 14ke to see that nonresident season taken out just for a couple 35 years, you know, if that might even help for the population 86 come back up. So, you know, that's just a concern I just dan't step over for what's -- what you've mentioned on the 38atistics there. Cow/calf ratio, then the -- how the female and male percentage in the population there. I think the -- if ♦ôu -- if it's possible, I'd like to see your proposal amended and taken out -- to have this language regarding the A@nresident season taken out just for a couple years to see if #Bat might help, you know, get the population to increase I'm not sure if it will or not, but, you know, I think #bat's one of the first steps. When the population is going 46wn, that's the first thing that usually is attacked, you Añow, for decreasing the pressure of hunt.

48
49 MR. CARROLL: Yeah, you're saying that you think that
50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
the out-of-state hunters should be -- I mean, the out-of-state
Bunt should be eliminated first, and .....
4
        MR. BROWER: Yeah.
        MR. CARROLL: Yeah.
                             Well, I'd suggest you're right.
If that -- I mean, my personal feeling is that would be fine
With me if that happens, you know. I -- and I'd suggest that
9f, you know, the majority of the Council feels that way, that
$\psi 0$u write a comment to the Board of Game stating that, and --
$\daggeq$ u know, it was -- you know, it's kind of something I wrestled
$1/2$th mentally, as to whether that should be done or not.
k3nd of -- my advice was that if we did do that, it would --
there would be a very strong reaction from the guides who hunt
15 that area, and rather than getting a ten-day season, they
fight come in and raise cain, and, you know, push for a 15 or
20-day season or something. I -- you know, you kind of play
$\text{$\partial}$ 8 litics with this stuff a little bit. I'm thinking that if we
propose a ten-day limit, they might accept that; if we propose
20 limit, that they might go and fight it like crazy and want a
25 or 20-day season. So -- but I would urge you if, you know,
$20u feel that way to go ahead and write a comment to the Board
23 Game and state that.
2.4
25
        MR. BROWER: Uh-huh.
2.6
27
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Frank?
28
       MR. LONG: Yeah, last comment on this proposal. Using
8ff aircraft during the open season of the moose, which begins
31 August 1st. We do have a super guide out there that does
80t really care what the law says, and he's out there hovering
and flying the river the night before the moose season opens.
AAd I would like it to be noted, and maybe a letter of some
35rt to be sent to each guide that hunts in the Colville area.
36
37
        MR. CARROLL: Are you saying that he's flying the .....
38
        MR. LONG: I'm not going to name the guy, because you
Manda who he is.
41
42
        MR. CARROLL: Well, I don't know. But if .....
43
       MR. LONG: Well, you should know it, because he's your
₫5lative.
46
        MR. CARROLL: Well, maybe we better talk about this.
Bat I really don't know, Frank.
49
50
```

```
MR. LONG: Okay. Let me name the quy: Hember (ph).
       MR. CARROLL: Okay. Well, he's not really a relative.
4But are you saying he's flying the night -- I mean, .....
6
       MR. LONG: Yeah, he .....
7
       MR. CARROLL: ..... he's flying before the August
9eason or before the September season?
10
11
       MR. LONG:
                  Just before the August season. He'll be out
there on the last day of July.
14
       MR. CARROLL: Uh-huh. Well, I'll sure talk to him
about it.
16
17
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: I have a question, you know, we're
&Oncerned about that decline. Do you have -- with the State
Department of Fish & Game, this thing about the special action
2\theta similar to the special actions area of emergency closure,
24mporary closure or a declaration that there is a drastic
@@cline which sets off a whole sequence where nonresidents are
@Biminated automatically, or what -- I guess my question is
What does it take to declare an emergency and protect the
25ock? Because I know subsistence users, if any of the
₩61dlife populations are threatened, that under our rules, they
2an declare the season closed, except to subsistence users.
Phere something similar there, or .....
30
       MR. CARROLL: Yeah, it's -- I can -- you know, I can
Write up an emergency closure if it looks like there, you know,
32 a very serious problem. And it's done .....
33
34
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh. Where's that number I quess is
nds question, too. What determines where you're at that stage
When you say -- I notice you say "decline," you don't say
dramatically declined or have crashed. Are those words that
$8u use to trigger that emergency closure, or what does it take
80 trigger that?
40
41
       MR. CARROLL: Well, there's no magic number, you know.
42t's a judgment call. And, I mean, I -- yeah, I actually
&3nsidered an emergency closure last fall, and .....
44
45
       CHAIRMAN ITTA:
46
                     ..... But I wanted to -- I wanted to wait
       MR. CARROLL:
48til the fall counts, which always occur after the hunting
$@ason, and see what the status of the population was. And,
50
```

```
Well, I don't know, they just -- the results of the fall count
were enough to convince me that there should be some
Begulations changes, and, well, I just made the choice not to
Mave an emergency closure, which, you know, it would have cut
5ff everybody from Nuigsut hunting, and it would have just cut
everybody off.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: I said with the exception of
Subsistence users.
10
11
       MR. CARROLL: Yeah. Well, yeah, I mean, that is an
⊅ption. We decided not to do that last fall.
14
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: All right. Any more -- Gordon? Gordon
and then Ray.
16
17
       MR. UPICKSON: A question for -- did you notice any
18crease in the population just south of say like Unit 26(A)
there there's a big decline there? Do you have any stats on
      Is there like maybe a big increase in moose population
26uth of 26(A)? Could they have migrated? Maybe there was no
22 you know, that's quite a change in hunting seasons and bag
23mits. Is that maybe just migrated south?
25
       MR. CARROLL: Well, they're declining south .....
2.6
27
       MR. UPICKSON: They are? Okay.
28
29
       MR. CARROLL: .... of 26(A), too, in Unit 23. There's
30decline there, too, but we -- they did -- they were doing --
$1mean, the fact that population -- they're having problems
$2th that population, and so they put some radio collars on
30me of the moose that are south of the mountains there, and to
34 well, just to track what the cause of mortality was in some
85 these moose, and it's pretty interesting. Some of those
£60se on the south side of the mountains did end up up north of
Bhe mountains. So some of the -- so they do travel back and
$8rth over the mountains.
39
40
       MR. R. KOONUK: Mr. Chair?
41
42
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yes, go ahead.
43
       MR. R. KOONUK: I -- Gordon took the words out of what
45was going to ask, but I'd go along with Harry's proposal of
46nresident hunt for a two-year span.
47
48
       MR. CARROLL: Yeah. What's that?
49
50
```

```
MR. R. KOONUK: I'll follow Harry's recommendations of
a two-year nonresident take of any moose. Is that what you
Were recommending?
       CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                       Yeah, that's what he -- Uh-huh. Maybe
6t -- okay. We have a question or a comment up here? Would
Vou get up to the mike, please?
       MR. YOKEL: Dave Yokel with BLM. Mr. Chairman, last
Adapht I had suggested that if this proposal to the State Board
of Game is passed, that a similar proposal might go in front of
the Federal Subsistence Board next June as a special action,
and I thought the Council might want to be on record one way or
the other for the -- for that change to the Federal
fagulations, and you decided last night to defer that until
this morning when Fenton would be with us. And this may be
$∂ur opportunity to do that.
18
19
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. In fact that's what we're going
£6 be doing here.
21
       Any more questions for Geoff regarding the moose
proposal? Jake?
2.4
25
       MR. J. KOONUK: Yeah, I'm in favor of what Harry said
about the nonresidents should be pulled out of this proposal.
2 Me moose are like -- pretty close to half of moose harvested
28 by nonresidents.
29
       MR. CARROLL: Yeah, they do take a significant number.
3You know, with this proposal the only ones that will be taken,
$0u know, the old bulls, you know, they're not taking the cows,
Which are the most critical ones. But, yeah, that's fine.
₹⊕u guys want to propose that to the Board of Game, or make
Bhat recommendation to the Board of Game on the proposal, I
₩6uld urge you .....
37
38
       MR. J. KOONUK: Would a motion .....
39
40
       MR. CARROLL: .... to do so.
41
42
       MR. J. KOONUK: ..... would even work here now?
43
44
       MR. CARROLL: What's that?
45
46
       MR. J. KOONUK: Would a motion work in this -- on this
♦ ne right now?
48
49
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead.
50
```

```
MR. KURTH: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, I'd just maybe add a
Bittle bit to this discussion on some of the points raised
Because of Harry's concern. At least in the areas of 26(C)
that we're talking about here, a lot of these areas are way up
anto the mountains, the Sag River, the Lupine, the Bribdig and
Those areas, and I think it would be interesting to hear from
Benton and maybe Frank whether the villages go way up there,
Because what my staff tells me is that mostly the competition
10 a lot of these areas is between guides and then air taxi
other ators with other people from outside the area, so I think
before you make your decision, you'll want to decide whether or
môt eliminating nonresidents helps subsistence, or whether it's
t♠mpetition in some of these areas basically between two
d5fferent kinds of sport hunters. Because I think in many of
these areas, it's mostly people that are coming from outside of
the area, but I don't know that. That's one of the things that
#8ank and Fenton will know more about these high mountain
#alleys and whether the people from the village go that far up.
2.0
2.1
       MR. UPICKSON: Mr. Chairman?
2.2
2.3
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Gordon?
2.4
2.5
       MR. UPICKSON: One more question. Geoff, do you have
26y figures on how many moose those nonresidents get as
20mpared to the residents? Do you have any figures?
28
29
       MR. CARROLL: Well, let's see.
30
31
       MR. UPICKSON: You say the nonresidents took a
Sübstantial amount, number, but do you have any figures that
3ay they take three-quarters of the .....
35
       MR. CARROLL: Yes.
36
       MR. UPICKSON: .... moose that are harvested? Or do
$8u have a figure?
39
       MR. CARROLL: Nonresident hunters have -- on the
Afterage have harvested 49% of the moose out of the Colville
River drainage.
43
44
       MR. UPICKSON: So if we in fact support Harry's
$5qqestion that the nonresident season be eliminated then in
#6ct we would be eliminating that 49% of the moose that is
Marvested in 26(A)?
48
49
       MR. CARROLL: Yeah, that's -- yeah, that's probably --
50
```

maybe it wouldn't be quite that much, because they've already Mad their season limited quite a bit, so they probably wouldn't Be taking 49% of them anyway, but, yeah, it would reduce it substantially. That's true. 6 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead, make the motion 7 8 MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman? 9 10 MR. BROWER: Mr. Chair 11 12 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead, Fenton. 13 14 MR. REXFORD: Yes, thank you. Just to answer Jim Karth's question on whether we get -- our residents do get up 16 the higher reaches of the Brooks Range on the south side of the Continental Divide there, on the Hulahula and other all also further west into Canning and maybe into \$ag River as well. As a mater of record, I just wanted to $\emptyset 6 \text{int that out.}$ But to also -- I'm in favor of the proposal as presented, and I have one quick question. How long was the @riginal nonresident season, Geoff? 23 MR. CARROLL: The nonresident season was from September 25t to the end of December. 2.6 27 MR. REXFORD: Okay. 28 MR. CARROLL: But in fact, most nonresident hunters do Bheir hunting during the first two weeks of September. You Rhow, that's when they -- that's when the guides have all their Bûnts scheduled and everything, so although they were -- you Rhow, it looked like a three-month season, really it was more $\delta \mathbf{f}$ a three-week season that -- when people really hunted. 35 36 MR. R. KOONUK: Mr. Chair? 37 MR. REXFORD: Perhaps then the -- I'll do what the --39m in favor of the proposal, the other -- I share that the Adjority of the members of the Council (indiscernible, jet #werhead) nonresidents. You know, we can that up. But see, #2st to make things earlier though, I was going to maybe have #Bis council write a different proposal to yours, or if you MAve the proposal there in front of us, to adopt that with Asnor amendments. 46 47 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah, I believe so. I think Ray? 48 49 MR. R. KOONUK: Yeah, Mr. Chair. In fact, I'll make a 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
Motion to approve the proposal .....
3
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: 5 AAC is the number .....
       MR. R. KOONUK: ..... your proposal 5 AAC 85.045(2),
deleting number three of the summary, proposed changes, and
deleting nonresidents on item five. And -- but if I could add
8nto number three to the nonresident hunters quit hunting moose
for the next two years on item three.
10
11
       MR. REXFORD: I'll second that for purpose of
d2scussion. Geoff, I think that proposal that you're referring
t3, Ray, is the State numbering system, and I don't know what
$\proposal number would be. I think that would be like
bbought up in June.
                    Is that what Bill was saying? During the
meeting of the .....
17
18
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah.
19
20
       MR. REXFORD: Not this April 1, but some -- for
20nsideration in June, is that what you were saying, Bill?
23
       MR. KNAUER: It was actually Dave Yokel that was saying
24, but there is a potential that there might be a special
25tion request submitted to the Federal Subsistence Board
26milar to this in the spring.
27
28
       MR. REXFORD: Is that going to be taken in the summer
after ....
30
31
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Right. Yeah.
32
       MR. KNAUER: That would be correct, after the April
meeting.
35
36
       MR. REXFORD: Right.
                             Okay.
37
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Let me make sure we've got the motion
adderstood here. The motion is to approve this proposal as
#Desented in its entirety, with the exception of deleting item
#Mree, and inserting the new language for item three, which
#2sentially says that no -- nonresident hunting will not be
#Blowed in 26(A) for two years?
44
45
       MR. R. KOONUK: Yes.
46
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: And the -- under five in the summary
#Bat the words "and nonresidents" should be deleted.
                                                      Is that
$0ur motion, Ray?
50
```

```
MR. R. KOONUK: Yes, Mr. Chair.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We have a motion and a second
before you. We're open for discussion on this proposal.
7
       MR. UPICKSON: I'll second this motion.
8
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah, Fenton seconded.
10
11
       MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman, I think in the
10stification I want to further clarify that the reason or the
13stification of this Council's recommendation is there's -- I
think there's three questions that are asked, and if someone
$\dagger^{\dagger}$ n help me with this. I think there's State and the Federal
16stification questions are similar, you know, like who's to
b∉nefit, who's to suffer and what will happen if nothing is
done. I think those are the same question, am I correct.
19
20
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: That's correct, and those will be
addressed .....
23
        MR. REXFORD: Okay.
2.4
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: .... during our development of our
poposal for the Federal side, that's the way I'm understanding
27. Correct. What we're doing right now .....
2.8
29
        MR. REXFORD: Okay. So .....
30
31
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Go ahead, Fenton.
32
       MR. REXFORD: The benefit I think is an important
destion to answer, and that would be all hunters for future
$5ars will benefit from this closure for a couple of years.
36 . . . . .
37
38
        CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                      Okay.
39
40
       MR. LONG: Mr. Chairman?
41
42
       MR. REXFORD: That's all I have to add, Mr. Chairman,
43 far as comments.
44
45
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Thank you, Fenton.
46
        MR. LONG: Yeah, I'd like to make a comment on this
∯&oposal.
          In the deletion of number three and number five,
₩Men we do this, we are faced with another organization that
```

```
does their hunting in a different manner, which is sport
Munting. They're a big, strong organization, and they have the
dollars and signs to back them up. If this would go on and be
#assed in the State, I think we should be prepared for such
action from the sport hunter.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: I'd like to just comment to that, too.
8I think we made a real strong distinction between nonresident
And resident game hunters. I think we'd get a big objection if
₩0 took both resident and nonresident out, because those game
Munters have a lot that's going on here right in Alaska itself.
12 don't think they'll have too much of a problem myself with
mon-residents. And I agree, they -- you know, it might be
$4mething to get worried about here, but I think we need to
protect our stocks, and nonresidents should -- whether they
taise cain or not, should .....
17
18
       MR. R. KOONUK: Mr. Chairman?
19
2.0
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead.
21
       MR. R. KOONUK: I think we have to stand by our people,
230, because, you know, they've been out here, and they existed
Mere for a long time, and they live off these foods, and they
25n't do any sport hunting. They just try to feed their
26milies, and I think we have to look at -- not just their
£āmilies, but other families, too. Thanks.
28
29
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Any more discussion? Geoff?
30
       MR. CARROLL: I'd like to make one comment here. You
RAOW, this proposal that I gave you is kind of -- it's written
38 language that's easier to understand than what's actually in
8 he ....
35
36
       CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                       Okay.
37
38
       MR. CARROLL: .... proposal packet. The real proposal
39 Proposal #132.
40
41
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
42
43
                     And the proposal that addresses 26(B) and
       MR. CARROLL:
4€) is Proposal 133.
45
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: That's Proposal 132 by ADF&G?
46
47
48
       MR. CARROLL: Yeah.
49
50
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. And so note for the record that
Phis proposal is tied into .....
3
4
        MR. REXFORD: Yeah.
5
6
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: ..... Proposal 132.
7
        MR. REXFORD: The second concurs with that
Olarification on the proposal number. Thank you, Geoff.
10
11
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah.
12
13
       MR. CARROLL: Okay.
14
1.5
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Any more discussion on the motion?
16
17
       MR. LONG: Question called.
18
19
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: The question called on the motion. All
20 favor signify by saying aye?
21
22
        IN UNISON: Aye.
23
2.4
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed, same sign?
25
2.6
        (No opposing responses)
27
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing none, (In Inupiat). You have
20 blessing with the exceptions noted on this Proposal 133 by
ADF&G.
31
32
        MR. CARROLL: Okay. Thanks. Yeah, my major .....
33
34
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Great.
35
36
        MR. CARROLL: ..... concern is just getting the
$7essure taken off the population for a while, so .....
38
39
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: And it's noted that we will be
#Deparing our counter-proposal to match in case this whole
#hing -- I think it's going to go through this Board all right,
₩ût -- it will probably go through?
43
44
       MR. CARROLL: I think so.
45
46
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Then we'll go ahead and start working
4 the proposal to be in standardization with those folks.
48
49
        MR. LONG: Mr. Chairman?
50
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Frank.
3
       MR. LONG: Call for a lunch break.
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Good. I'm going to call a lunch break.
7We'll meet you all back here at 1:00 o'clock. We'll be ready
80 work on proposals. Or we'd better get Bill's reports in
first I guess, but that will be at 1:00 o'clock. Call for a
10nch break.
11
12
        (Off record)
1.3
14
        (On record)
15
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Good afternoon, we'll call our meeting
back to order. Fenton, are you on line?
19
       MR. UPICKSON: Not yet.
20
21
       MR. BROWER: She's still calling him.
22
23
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We'll give Nancy a minute here
20 get Fenton on line.
25
26
       (Off record)
27
28
       (On record)
29
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: I'm just now calling the meeting back
80 order, Fenton. We're all back.
32
33
       MR. REXFORD: Okay. Good afternoon everybody.
34
35
       MR. AVEOGANNA: Good afternoon, (In Inupiat).
36
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. With that we want to go ahead
and finish the rest of our agenda, given the time that we have
BMe rest of this afternoon. I want to go ahead and start with
4\theta A, the definition for resident. We'll have discussion on
this by Bill. Bill?
42
43
       MR. KNAUER: Yes, Mr. Chairman. A little bit of
A4story. The regulations refer to the fact that subsistence
45ers must possess, and I'll quote, "pertinent valid State
A6nting, fishing and trapping licenses, unless Federal licenses
AFe required." The point in question is the word "pertinent".
48he current State regulations call for a hunting license if
♦0u're going to be hunting, a trapping license if you're going
50
```

to be trapping, but the State does not require a fishing license for subsistence fishing.

The Board -- the Joint Board of Game and -- Fisheries and Game has sent a letter to the Federal Subsistence Board fequesting that subsistence users be required to have a resident hunting license, a resident trapping license and a resident fishing license. They feel that ANILCA was not designed to provide the subsistence priority for individuals to immediately coming from Outside, from New York or Chicago of wherever.

12

The Bureau of Land Management also sent a letter, and beth -- copies of both of these are in your book, to the Board addressing problems especially in roaded areas where 16dividuals may move in and stay in a camp ground or a trailer, affecially on a seasonal basis, and because of that, claim tesidency there.

19

So the Federal Subsistence Board has requested that <code>24ch</code> Regional Council take a look at the issue as to whether or <code>20t</code> residency should require a resident license, or whether it <code>2Bould</code> be just the way it is now where an individual moving in, <code>24ce</code> they're in a rural area, have demonstrated their intent to <code>25main</code>, and they for the first year could actually be a <code>26bsistence</code> user using a nonresident license, or whether there <code>2Bould</code> be some other option.

28

There are three options described. The current -- the 3fe option says "do nothing, leave the regulations the way they 3fe," which do not specify whether it takes a nonresident or a \$2sident license. A second option would be to actually specify \$Bat to be a qualified subsistence user, you would have to have 34State resident hunting license, a resident fishing license, 35 no license for fishing, since that's how the State does it, 35though the State would like to require a resident fishing \$7cense. Or -- another option is to whether maybe there is no 38ed for any license at all. There is some concern over that, 39pecially as it results -- much of the income for wildlife \$A8nagement in the State is derived either directly from license \$6es or as a result of Federal monies that come to the State \$2sed on the amount of fees collected.

43

So it's an issue paper in your book, something for you to read, think about, and at a later time submit your feelings, possibly in the annual report to the Board on this issue.

47

48 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. And which sections are you #8 ferring to? Are they in the back or where?
50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 272-7515

```
MR. KNAUER: It's I believe the second one from the
Back, and it says -- the blue page says, "briefing document,
#esidency and license requirements for participating in Federal
Subsistence hunts." It's a fairly short section.
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Uh-huh. Any comments or
Questions for Bill? If not, thank you, Bill. We'll be getting
Back on this I'm sure at a later date.
10
11
       MR. KNAUER:
                    Okay.
12
13
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. And I want to go ahead and move
of down to the next agenda item, the nomination process here,
and, Barbara, want to do that along with Bill?
16
17
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Yeah, it's pretty much the same
process you have used before. And you have three Councils
1@aving as of the end of this year, and that's Gordon Upickson,
20m Allen -- Aveaganna, and Jakie Koonuk, who took George
Ahmoagak's position on this Council.
23
       And now the -- you are concerned about two other
¼4llages that are not represented on this Board and that's
25 gasuk and Anaktuvuk Pass. And to date I have not received
and I would probably
20ded your help in giving them calls. I have sent applications
28 their mayors at Atgasuk and Anaktuvuk Pass to encourage
20 ople to apply for these positions. And to date -- and the
deadline is the 28th of February.
31
32
       And then after this we go through the same process
again of going through the applications, and then we submit the
84mes after looking through the applications and go through the
35me process again, and then we'll hear by August, before the
$611 meetings. And then that's when you'll have your new
Gāuncil members again.
38
39
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Say that last again?
40
41
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: The new Council members will be
appointed by like in August, before your fall meetings, and
#Bat's when the new Council members will be in place for your
Adetings in October.
45
46
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: When is the application deadline again,
∳lease?
48
49
      MS. B. ARMSTRONG: February 28th.
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: The last day of this month?
3
4
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Uh-huh.
5
6
       MR. LONG: I have a question, Mr. Chairman?
7
8
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Frank?
9
10
       MR. LONG: Have these two villages been notified? Or
# applained to the .....
12
1.3
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: The main .....
14
       MR. LONG: ..... community the importance of the
£6deral Advisory Council, also including the importance of the
t∉sidents themselves, why they should be involved?
18
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Yes. There's a packet that went
20t, and I sent about five or six of those packets. What you
Mave probably received yourselves, too. The same packet went,
#2ve or six applications to Atqasuk and also to Anaktuvuk Pass.
2Now, I have one application from Anaktuvuk Pass and one from
Paint Hope and one from Wainwright. And other than that, I
25ed more applicants to be sending in, so I need for you -- for
$6ur help to -- because I don't know people in Atgasuk.
28
       MR. LONG: Yeah. The reason that I'm saying this is
20e packet or mail, in a letter form, .....
31
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Uh-huh.
32
                  ..... can be set aside. But what I'm --
       MR. LONG:
What I'm thinking is that if somebody in person would be in the
35mmunities explaining to these people the importance of this
B6deral Advisory Council, which not only talks about our
Sübsistence, but it also includes the State fisheries .....
38
39
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Uh-huh.
40
41
       MR. LONG: .... on our subsistence lifestyle.
42
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: I would be willing to travel to
#Mese two villages, but then right now I have three -- I'm
♦5rking with three councils, and I have a meeting next week,
46d then it would just be no time for me to do that. And it
♥āuld be up to Fenton or Edward, and I know they have prior
68mmitments to doing other things, too, and then -- but you're
A@xt door to Atqasuk.
50
```

```
MR. LONG: Yeah, he's got a better chance of being
explained.
       MR. REXFORD: Yeah, Barbara, if I may add to that?
6
7
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Go ahead, Fenton.
       MR. REXFORD: Yeah, Frank, I think there's been a lot
☆ ff radio announcements through K-Bear and lately I've been
Mearing these kind of things. And I'm glad that they're still
doing that. Also through our local paper.
                                           I think I've seen
the announcement as well. I may be mistaken, but I heard
Alaskan K-Bear W and I've talked to some residents in Atgasuk.
15 know some folks from Anaktuvuk Pass. And they're very well
Aware of our Council, too, so -- but, yeah, the word needs to
b∉ spread around and .....
18
19
       MR. BROWER: Mr. Chairman.
2.0
21
       CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                        Thank you up in the air. We look up to
$20u, Fenton. We're all looking up when you talk. Anyway,
Pênton, let me ask you a quick question.
25
       MR. REXFORD: Yeah, I was just thinking, you know, I
Rhow Herman's visited here. I think he's still the mayor,
Alless there's been recent changes, but the village
28ordinators are very helpful, you know.
30
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Maybe if I could ask a question. I'll
ask you, Harry. Who's the representative on the North Slope
B@rough's Wildlife Management Committee from Atqasuk?
33
34
       MR. BROWER:
                    It's Jake Pinyuk (ph).
35
36
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Jake Pinyuk?
37
38
       MR. BROWER: Yes.
39
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: A while ago we mentioned Anaktuvuk
Pass, and who's on the Subsistence Council up there?
42
43
                  Raymond Paneak.
       MR. ULVI:
44
45
        CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                       Raymond Paneak. And Ben Hobson from
A6aktuvuk is on the Management Committee, too, correct?
47
       MR. BROWER: Yes, he's the alternate member.
48
49
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Alternate member.
       MR. BROWER: Yeah. Bob Hauk (ph) was the member for
the Fish and Game Management Committee.
6
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: I think that's the other thing that
they're trying to get in place is alternates for the Council
members, are they not, Bill?
11
12
       MR. KNAUER: There is some discussion for that. We're
Môt sure how that will turn out regarding alternates, because
we don't know whether there -- you know, there's a number of
$\disp\tions regarding alternates, whether it would be an alternate
f6r each member or alternates for the Council.
17
18
        One of the things that the Councils -- we've tried to
d0 with the Councils is make sure there is good geographic
20presentation. All areas of a region are represented. And
21ternates might alter that situation.
23
        One thing since I am speaking that I would remind
people, if any of the three Council members whose terms are
&xpiring desire to be reconsidered for an additional three-year
26rm, they also do need to submit applications. It's not
aditomatic. They need to submit applications just like
&∀erybody else.
29
30
       MR. LONG: I'd like to say something in that regards on
31ternate. I think that would be a pretty good idea, because
32 I know that I'm not going to get a per diem, I'm going to
38nd my alternate.
34
35
       (Laughter)
36
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: What I was just thinking here was that
₩8uldn't it make sense if the representative that's on the
Bark's -- what's the name of that committee again? The
sûbsistence resource ....
41
42
       MR. ULVI: Subsistence resource commission.
4.3
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Commission. And that is Raymond.
₩5uld seem to make sense that he would be the same guy that
₩6'd want to have on Region Ten to represent their interest in
#Mere. Does that make sense?
48
49
       MR. REXFORD: Yeah, that makes very good -- yeah. I'll
50
```

```
back that nomination, so .....
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Tell you what, Fenton, I'll work
₩ith you, and we'll focus especially on Anaktuvuk and Atqasuk
and get some names submitted here before the deadline, okay?
7
       MR. REXFORD: Yeah.
8
9
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: All right.
10
11
       MR. REXFORD: You almost I think hit the nail, too, as
far as Jake, the president (ph) from Atgasuk being on the North
$Bope Regional Advisory Council.
14
15
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh. Right. I kind of like that,
160, myself.
17
18
       MR. REXFORD: Just as a -- so anyway .....
19
20
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
21
22
       MR. BROWER: Edward, I have a .....
23
2.4
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Harry?
2.5
       MR. BROWER: Barbara, for your information, I also
24nt, you know, copies of the name -- the nomination papers to
28ch of the village coordinators and the city mayor and the
29ty clerks in each of the communities for their information.
Bhat's all. I wanted to pass that on to you.
31
32
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Okay.
                                  Thanks. When I get back to
ABchorage, or back to my office, I'll get ahold of Gloria to
34e if there are any more applicants. Those were the only
Bhree I received was through my office. (In Inupiat) Koyana.
36
37
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: (In Inupiat) Okay. (In Inupiat)
38ght. We'll go ahead and do the Northwest Arctic, NARC, what
№9're calling the NARC petition, which will be this orange
sheet here, and Bill is going to do the presentation there.
41
       MR. KNAUER:
                     Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is also in
∜∂ur book.
           It's the -- right immediately preceding the map in
#He book.
4.5
46
       Now, this was a petition that was submitted by the
Morthwest Arctic Regional Council, the Stevens Village Council,
Kawerak, Inc., Copper River Native Association, Alaska
#@deration of Natives, the Alaska Inter-tribal Council, RurAL
50
```

CAP, and Dinyee Corporation. This was submitted to the Secretaries of Interior and Agriculture.

3

This petition asked that the Secretaries issue a rule that stated that the Federal Government does have the authority to regulate hunting and fishing on non-public lands.

7

The second thing that this petition asked was for the Secretaries to issue a rule that includes selected lands, in Other words State-selected and corporation -- native-selected lands within the jurisdiction of the Federal Subsistence Program. That has far-reaching significance. That issue is also before the courts. Part of the Katy John case involves that.

15

And so what the Secretaries have done is they've issued a7Federal Register Notice and said -- and have asked the public to comment, to review these two requests and asked for the a6derstanding of what the issues and concerns are, and how a6derstanding of what the issues and concerns are, and how a6derstanding of they were to implement what is requested, how that a6derstanding of the subsistence users and the nonsubsistence a6derstanding.

24

The Federal Register Notice was published on February 26d, and the comment period on this ends April 30. And anyone, D# it Council, be it corporation, be it individual, should 86bmit comments to the Chair of the Federal Subsistence Board D# this. They have been requested by the Secretaries to 36semble the comments and provide the information to the S#cretaries.

32

33 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Can you briefly summarize what the β #oblem was, why this proposal was submitted, and very briefly, 850, the main impacts,

36

37 MR. KNAUER: Certainly.

38

39 CHAIRMAN ITTA: both pro and con to the submittee ## 40 to others?

41

MR. KNAUER: The Federal Subsistence Program right now applies only to Federal lands and waters that the Federal Gevernment has interest in. And by definition Federal lands do A5t include selected lands. In other words, ones that are entirely entitled to the Federal Government, but that have been selected by native corporations or by the State. Both of those entities have over-selected, as was their legal right to do so, and as a result, many of those lands will come back into 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

Federal title after the conveyance procedure is done.

- Many of the resources that the subsistence user depends upon move on and off of Federal land. And the selected lands slso encompass probably about 40 million acres right now. Where may be more than that. I'm not exactly sure on the Tigure. So there is a sizeable amount of Federal land that --Or Federal lands that have been selected that are currently not open to the subsistence user under the Federal program. They are still open for hunting under the State regulations, but not the Federal subsistence management regulations. So there was concern there that here is a geographic area that's not area that for this priority.
- 15 The other concern is on non-Federal lands. \$6bsistence user depends on resources that move. Certainly taribou migrate over hundreds and sometimes thousands of miles 18 their annual migration. Other species may do a north/south \$9 a vertical migration up and down mountains. And they don't 20 cognize boundaries, at least the geographic -- the map Doundaries, the ownership boundaries. And that the Federal 20vernment should assert authority over management of those 28 sources to protect the subsistence user. In other words, a 24ribou herd that is moving on and off Federal lands, if it is Ascimated when it's on private lands, then by the time it gets 26 the Federal lands, the subsistence user might be hurt. 20 they have requested the Secretary say that he in fact does Mave the authority to make regulations to protect the 29bsistence interest. 30
- The State, of course, is opposed to both of these \$2oposals, because they believe that management of the 36sources is a State prerogative.
- Barbara mentioned she wanted me to announce that the 36rrent acting chair of the Federal Subsistence Board is MT. David Allen. As you know, Mr. Hensley was appointed by the G8vernor to be one of his commissioners. He has resigned, and 30til another chair is appointed by the Secretary, or a formal 40terim chair is appointed, Mr. Allen, who is the Alaska Regional Director of the Fish & Wildlife Service is the acting 40air. That's just a piece of information that does not 40cifically relate to this, but is something that would be of 40cifically relate to this, but is something that would be of 40cifically relate to this, but is something that would be of 40cifically relate to this, but is something that would be of
- CHAIRMAN ITTA: Do you have any specific information on showing us in Region Ten how -- what lands that this is applicable to? I know that the corporation has made selections all over the place, but I'm not real sure where they are, and 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
that sort of thing.
                    If the Secretary were to accede to this
        MR. KNAUER:
∉equest, it would mean any of the corporation-selected lands or
State-selected lands would fall under the purview of your
program, and where you provide advice to the Federal
Government. If the Secretary were to promulgate a rule that
8aid that he had authority to regulate hunting on non-public
Mands, essentially any of the lands on this map in white could
be impacted.
11
12
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
13
14
                     The Secretary at that point could make
       MR. KNAUER:
fagulations based on your recommendation that would set seasons
bb those lands, or harvest limits different from what the State
      And could also possibly preclude nonresident or
18nsubsistence users also.
19
20
        As you would imagine, if the Secretary did promulgate
£equlations in either case, I think we could almost be certain
2Dat it would be challenged in court either by the State or by
$8 sibly some other organizations or entities.
2.4
25
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Any questions for Bill?
2.6
27
       MR. UPICKSON: The primary author of this notice, as
28ng as he's talking, we've learned Bill is the primary author
29 this notice.
30
31
        MR. KNAUER: What that means is I just prepared the
B@tice that appeared in the Federal Register telling about it
and asking for the comments. I didn't write the petition or
amything.
35
36
        MR. UPICKSON: Just the notice. The notice.
37
        MR. KNAUER: I prepare most of the Federal Register
documents that relate to this program.
40
41
       MR. CARROLL: Would you tell me again what the petition
42 called?
4.3
        MR. KNAUER: Just for simplification, we normally call
44
45 the NARC, N-A-R-C, petition, an abbreviation of Northwest
A6ctic Regional Council.
47
48
       MR. CARROLL: Okay.
49
50
```

```
MR. KNAUER: And we do have copies with us if there's
anybody in the audience that needs a copy.
4
        MR. LONG: I have a question, Mr. Chairman.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Frank?
        MR. LONG: You said that this proposal would include
Dhe State -- part of the State land, which would include the
Point Hope area and 26(B), isn't that right?
11
        MR. KNAUER: Under the two requests that the
patitioners made, it would include essentially any of the lands
14 white on the map.
16
       MR. LONG: Uh-huh. Would there -- would there be any
kind of affect for to put in changes in what is already in the
b8ok on 26(A)?
19
20
        MR. KNAUER: There are some lands up around the
20mmunity of Kaktovik that are non-Federal, so it would include
22 if there were regulations promulgated, it would include a
$mall area up there, and, you know, we don't know what impact the would actually have on the regulations. The regulations
25at are currently in place might be adequate. There might be
26anges. You know, we don't know. We've not done a thorough
20 view of all of the regulations in all areas of the State.
2.8
29
        MR. LONG: Will these regulations concur with the State
Begulations or would there be any difference?
32
                     It would depend upon the -- what the
        MR. KNAUER:
Bagulations were, and whether the priorities for the local
34bsistence users were being provided for.
36
        MR. LONG:
                   And also would be affected by the
ndonresident who mainly is a sport hunter under these
Bequlations?
39
        MR. KNAUER: If there are -- in general, what the
##ederal regulation says right now for Federal land, is if there
Are adequate resources to go around, the nonresident and the
43 neral sport hunter or people from outside of the area are
44lowed to harvest resources also. If there's a shortage of
₫5sources, then they are the first ones who are either
#6stricted or eliminated to provide for the subsistence user
¶1rst.
48
49
        MR. LONG: There is a question in a meeting at
50
```

Fairbanks that took place. In State land under their regulation there's -- if we get into regulating under the Bederal system, that why is the subsistence user able to hunt the caribou, and not them. There is a question on that. 5've listened to it. I don't know if anybody else has heard 6t, but there is a question of why we natives are allowed to Subsist and the, how could I say it, nonresident or the 8aucasian or any nationality that resides in the State of Alaska can't. 10 11 MR. KNAUER: Under the State reg- -- under the current \$2ate regulations, all of Alaska residents are subsistence 113ers. 14 15 MR. LONG: Yeah, but there is a question on the hanting 17 18 MR. KNAUER: Under the Federal 19 2.0 MR. LONG: Yeah. 21 MR. KNAUER: program, ANILCA, Title VIII says 2Bat the priority shall be afforded to rural Alaska residents ØAly. 25 MR. LONG: Yeah, that's what's in my mind. When this dilestion was asked, there is one person that demolished the 28ral preference of subsisting. And it makes me wonder if 2Dere will be another super dude that will do that to us again dider the Federal law. 31 32 MR. KNAUER: Currently, it is a Federal law, and Bêcause it is, it takes an act of Congress to change it. Béderal employees have no authority to make that change. Bhat's only your Congressmen and Senators in conjunction with 8% rest of Congress. So as long as that law is on the books, ₩ have to provide regulations that implement it, or if the 38ate somehow is able to come back into compliance, they must Byovide means to implement it. 40 41 MR. LONG: Okay. 42 43 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay? 44 45 MR. LONG: Yeah, thank you. Gordon? 46 MR. UPICKSON: I've got to read this petition over and 48er again, and it would affect my community, the ruling that #De petitions request. And I'd have to read this whole thing

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515 Fax 274-8982

50

over and over again. That would affect my community. That Would affect Point Hope. MR. BROWER: Edward? 5 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Harry? MR. BROWER: Bill, have you heard any comments from your solicitors regarding this, and what kind of position have they taken on it, or have they even reviewed it? They have reviewed it, and in fact they MR. KNAUER: ware the ones that recommended that the Secretaries put it out for public review to ask the people of Alaska their opinions. 16 MR. BROWER: Uh-huh. 17 18 MR. KNAUER: Part of the reason for putting it out for teview is because some of these issues are also ones that are Deing litigated in the Katy John case which asks for an 2xtension of jurisdiction, especially into the navigable water 22ea and some of the other areas. 2.3 This was a -- there are currently two efforts to get 2he regulations to be extended onto either selected lands or Ofher lands. One is through the courts and the other is administratively, directly to the Secretary. This is the administrative way. The idea is essentially like going out 29rd hunting with a shot gun. You throw as many things at the Bord as you can, as many pellets, to try and down it. This is What they have done here. They've tried to proceed through the They're also trying to proceed administratively. 80urts. B3ther one may be effective. One may be effective. Or neither 3f them may be effective. We don't know. 36 MR. REXFORD: Yeah, Mr. Chairman? 37 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh. I'm looking around and can't #9nd who's speaking. I've got to look up. Go ahead. 40 MR. REXFORD: Yes, I'm happy to see that this petition 41 **♥as** sent to the Secretary and others for comment, and various 48her agencies by Northwest Arctic Regional Council and others, 4Ad I'm glad that this -- where local initiative or self-45 termination can -- and we know that we can manage this, we're A6ading to it, and we're heading towards cooperative management Any day, but also known as co-management and I think the \$8mment period started not too long ago, and I think we have to \$9ay after the publication and should be submitted to

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515 Fax 274-8982

50

Mr. Pospahala in Anchorage. I assume that we're talking about the same petition. That we're able to go this route. Thank the soul.

4

5 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. I've got a question. It says on 6- in the title that it's selected lands, but not conveyed, and 7 can understand that. And they're not -- they're still &ctually under -- if it's Federal lands that selections have Been made, they're still holding the title, and therefore they're claiming that they still have the authority. Is that the dispute? And that's my first question.

12

The other one was, once conveyance happens, then it -- then what happens? Do you know?

15

16 MR. KNAUER: Okay. To answer your questions, the Fæderal Government -- under selected but not yet conveyed lænds, the Federal Government still does hold the title. But 10 Title I of ANILCA where it starts talking about definitions, 20 excludes selected lands, and therefore the Secretary has determined that for purposes of the Federal Subsistence Management Program, those selected lands will not be part of the program.

2425

CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh.

26

27 MR. KNAUER: That's -- and the petitioners say, well, %%nce the Federal Government still holds title, they really %%nould be part of the program.

30

As far as the second part, once title has been 30 nveyed, they are then private lands. And what the petitioners are saying in that case, in the case of private 34 nds, in other words, non-Federal lands is that these 35 sources that you utilize move on and off, and therefore to petitioners are saying in that case, in the case of private 35 sources that you utilize move on and off, and therefore to petitioners that you utilize move on and off, and therefore to petitioners of the harvesting goes on, we need to also be sure 35 that nothing is being done that would harm that opportunity off 35 Federal lands.

40

MR. LONG: Mr. Chairman?

41 42 43

CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh.

44

MR. LONG: Let me ask another question. When you're talking about private lands and land selection, there's quite a fumber of private lands in our area that was issued under that it allotment. Does that include under the permitting system or do we have to hunt in these certain areas, do we need 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
a permit from the owner of these lands?
       MR. KNAUER: Under this -- if this petition were
Accepted, the Federal Government would be able to make
Segulation on those. But there is nothing that the Federal
Government would do that would say that the allottee or the
owner would have to allow access. Access is always the
Brerogative of the land owner. They are able to say who can
and cannot go on their land.
10
11
       MR. LONG: Uh-huh. Right.
12
13
       MR. KNAUER: And that is still the case today, even for
those private lands. Even though there is a State regulation
maybe that says you can harvest we'll say one moose, if I own a
pace of land out there, I can say, "you cannot trespass on my
land to harvest that one moose." Or I may say, "Sure, I will
18t you, because you're my friend, and -- or my brother-in-
1@w." Or I may say, "If you would like to, I will charge you
$000.00 for the right to trespass on my land."
21
                 Yeah. The reason I say this is because we
       MR. LONG:
28n into the situation that you're indicating in -- at the
204 uth of the Killik River and the Colville. There is ownership
And this one lady goes wild, I'll tell
26u.
27
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Bill, are we expected to take action at
£Bis time on this at all?
30
       MR. KNAUER: If the Council feel comfortable in
30mmenting now because they've read it, that would be fine.
BB, because you've not had a chance to read it and study it,
Bhe comment period is open until April 3rd.
                                           You can take it
Bome and study it, and either have teleconference, or
36mmunication among yourselves by some other means, and provide
ôđmment at a later time. That's your choice as a Council.
39
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: There are not going to be meetings set
40 to go with this, it was just asking for written comments?
41
42
       MR. KNAUER:
                    That's correct. Written or oral comments.
40ral comments at these Council meetings. This particular
#4tition is on the agenda of all of the Regional Councils
daring this period.
46
47
       MR. R. KOONUK: Mr. Chairman?
48
49
      CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh. Ray?
50
```

```
MR. R. KOONUK: Yeah, I'd like to take this back and
Introduce this to my -- to the council, and we'll draft our
₩ritten comments as soon as I get some comments from my people.
6
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
       MR. KNAUER: It's very appropriate both for this
Gouncil, as well as individual community or IRA councils to
₺0mment, or individuals also.
11
12
       MR. J. KOONUK: Mr. Chairman?
1.3
14
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Jakie?
15
       MR. J. KOONUK: Yeah. How old is this map here that
$∂u're showing us?
18
19
      MR. KNAUER: This is a draft map that was produced last
20ring.
21
22
       MR. J. KOONUK: It's never been approved?
2.3
       MR. KNAUER: And you'll notice it is draft. It is
Abaft, because land selections are changing, and they're trying
26 get it as accurate as possible. The coordinator has a
2arger map, a larger version of this, and hopefully by next
28ar we'll have a map that is I'll call it final, but there are
29 as you know, there are changes that are still being made in
30nveyances and so on.
31
32
       MR. J. KOONUK: What are the regulatory procedures
88ncerning coloring this map? How often do you do it?
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Maybe I can add something. We are
36tually -- this was done under a contract, and it's -- we're
Biring somebody to work on the map as a temporary person, and
88e of the things they're going to work on is the colors on the
map, because it's so difficult to read.
40
41
       MR. J. KOONUK: It's up to date then?
42
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: The map itself? I don't -- it's
&#nsidered a draft, and in fact if you have any -- if you see
Afry errors in there, you should let us know so we can correct
#hem.
47
       MR. J. KOONUK: Because at the last meeting I mentioned
#Mat we have Federal land in Point Hope, and it's not there.
```

```
It's not colored there. The have 100,000 acres of land that is
Meld in trust by the United States Government.
4
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Do you know if it's .....
       MR. J. KOONUK: It's the same map you showed me last
Meeting.
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Is it selected but not conveyed, do
$\psi$0u know, or ....?
11
        MR. J. KOONUK: We bought it from our village
to rporation in order to save our waterways.
14
15
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Maybe during a break we could talk,
16d I could pass that on to the people who do this mapping.
17
18
        MR. J. KOONUK: Yeah, I have the map at home. It's all
1aid out.
2.0
21
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Maybe he can send you a copy of that
map?
23
2.4
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Yeah.
25
26
        MR. J. KOONUK: I can fax you one, yeah.
27
28
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah.
29
30
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Okay.
31
32
        MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman?
33
34
       MR. J. KOONUK: Give me your fax number? Just give me
35ur fax number.
36
37
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: What's our fax number?
38
39
       MR. KOVACH: 786-3898.
40
41
        MR. J. KOONUK: 786?
42
43
        MR. AVEOGANNA: Fenton's on the .....
44
45
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah, but we'll let Jake finish. Jake
#6 finish here.
47
48
       MR. J. KOONUK: 3998?
49
50
```

```
MR. KOVACH: 3898.
3
       MR. J. KOONUK: 3898.
5
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
6
7
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: You can send it to Helen Armstrong,
and I'll forward it to the people doing the maps.
10
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead, Fenton.
11
12
                    Thank you. Am I loud enough so all the
       MR. REXFORD:
members can hear me?
14
1.5
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yes.
16
17
       MR. REXFORD: Okay. Thank you. The Northwest Arctic
Regional Council and others native groups that are -- which are
pointed out in the draft copy I have, Stevens Village, Kawerak,
20pper River Native Association, AFN and RurAL CAP and also
2hter- -- Alaska Inter-tribal Council sending this petition to
2De Secretary of Interior to change reversion (ph) Federal
23nd, the public lands, and the other one which is very, very
2mportant I think, to establish an authority -- that we have
2he authority to regulate hunting and fishing on those lands as
     So to protect the subsistence or the animals that are --
2Nat we need to look after, so I'm in favor of summary draft
petition, and submitted by the group, especially headed up by
Morthwest on the Seward area to ask this determination, so we
8an individually comment, but I think as a Council,
M1. Chairman, it's appropriate for, what should I say, support
Bais petition as a Council. I think I will move that into a
m3tion, Mr. Chairman.
34
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. I understand you have made that
36to a motion form, correct?
37
       MR. REXFORD: Yes, that petition submitted by Northwest
Regional -- Northwest Arctic Regional Council and other native
40oups requesting the Secretary initiate rule making to, number
4me, establish that they have the authority to regulate hunting
40d fishing on non-public as a subsistence priority afforded on
#Ablic lands by Title VIII of ANILCA; two, determine that land
selected by, but not yet conveyed to native corporations and
∜De State of Alaska be treated as public lands under the ANILCA
$6bsistence priority. And in summary. So that is .....
47
48
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
49
50
```

```
MR. REXFORD: ..... for discussion.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We have a motion to approve this
petition as submitted. Do we hear a second?
        MR. UPICKSON: Mr. Chairman, I second the chair's
motion to approve this petition.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Seconded by Gordon. We're open
for discussion. Frank?
        MR. LONG: Under this deal, is that we're -- petition
that we're working over, including the area of Region Ten.
Could we somehow include for more protection towards the
$fibsistence user the aboriginal subsistence right?
16
17
                     Mr. Chairman, the way the -- and Council
        MR. KNAUER:
members, the way the law is currently written, it addresses
t@ral Alaskans, and also states that in the event of .....
2.1
       MR. LONG: Rural Alaskan.
2.2
      MR. KNAUER: .... resource shortages, the priorities
₩duld be for those with customary and direct dependence on the
25source, local residency, and those having less alternative
26 sources upon which to depend. So I would think that the
27dividuals would qualify as being -- having a customary and
Afrect dependence, and certainly local residency, and they
20uld be less likely to have alternative resources upon which
80 depend.
31
32
        MR. LONG: You know, that we have been rural Alaskan
33nce time immemorial, other than Juneau, Anchorage, Fairbanks,
and our larger community. I think I would feel comfortable,
85t only being protected by the Federal Government if I have on
8he side an additional protection as an aboriginal.
37
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Thank you, Frank. Any more comments on
BMe petition? I just have one myself. These petitioners, AFN
Was part of the petitioners, correct? The Alaska Federation of
Matives?
42
43
       MR. KNAUER: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
44
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: In support -- I mean, they are
4bviously in support of this, but the State is adamantly
♠posed to this, correct?
48
49
       UNIDENTIFIED: That is correct.
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
       MR. KNAUER: The State has not officially submitted
bomments on this petition, but I think that it would be fair to
say that they're probably adamantly opposed.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Well, I kind of assumed as much,
Decause our colleagues over there in the Northwest did say
about this that they feel kind of like you said, Frank, they
feel a heck of a lot more comfortable being under the Feds for
$ûbsistence than under the State. And I would speak in support
$\textit{5}$ this, but there's still a lawsuit on-going, too, along with
this thing, right?
15
16
        MR. KNAUER: That is correct.
17
18
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: So this would kind of be even like an
Advisory type of deal. We let them know what we want and what
₩ê're in support of. So .....
21
22
        Okay. Any more discussion on the motion?
23
2.4
       MR. LONG: Question.
2.5
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: The question's called on the motion.
All in favor signify by saying aye?
28
29
        IN UNISON: Aye.
30
31
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed? Oops. Sorry, sir. Opposed,
3ame sign?
34
        (No opposing responses)
35
36
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing none, the motion carries.
we will draft communications to that effect to the proper --
d&aft another one for the Federal Register, Bill.
39
        Okay. Now, we get to our workday here under the
#1 oposals where Steve will be doing the biology analysis and
#2len will do the socio-cultural aspects of the proposals, and
#Bis will be open for discussion to the public. And you just
Aded to let me know when you want to speak up. So with that,
Which one of you is going to take the lead on this?
46
47
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I'm starting.
48
49
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Helen.
50
```

```
MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I'll explain a little bit how we're
doing this, and maybe explain that these proposal analyses are
An your book, and I don't have a book, so I'm not sure where in
5he book they are, but .....
7
                     I've got it right here in front of us.
        MR. KOVACH:
8
9
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           In their book?
10
11
        MR. KOVACH: It's in their book.
12
13
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: It's in their book, okay.
                                                      And ....
14
15
        MR. KOVACH: It's kind of in the middle of your book
there. It's got a blue cover on it with a caribou.
17
18
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           It says draft proposal .....
19
20
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: How many proposals, Helen?
21
22
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           There are 11.
23
2.4
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Eleven proposals you said?
25
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Well, there are some that aren't in
Phis region that we have to talk about that are included.
abaft proposal analyses reviews.
                                  Okay.
        We're starting with Proposal 62. Before we begin, I
30
wanted to explain a little bit how we do this. We have a team.
3Each Region has a team, and the team is made up of a
B3ologist, an anthropologist, and a regional coordinator. And
36 the team, your team, and I like to think of it as that we're
35rt of working together on this, is Steve does the biology, I
d6 the anthropology, and Barb is the regional coordinator, and
She's -- she helps -- she's helped write some of these, and
38ads, reviews them and gives us comments. So we -- the three
89 us kind of work together, and her role is to try to make
$0re we've got some local input in there.
41
        These are absolutely draft analyses, and we want to
#ake sure you know that, that we will be making corrections and
&Hanges to them, so if you have anything that you would like to
40d, and as I go through mine, I have a couple of places where
46would like to ask some questions of where we need some more
47 formation.
48
49
        And then the preliminary conclusions are just some of
50
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

Dur thoughts on this, but we need to develop what your ideas on the conclusions are, and your recommendations.

3

Bill's -- do you want to go ahead and turn that on? All right.

6

The first proposal is Proposal #62, and this proposal was submitted by the City of Anaktuvuk Pass, and it proposes to @lose Federal public lands in the dark portion there that you \$00, the shaded portion of Unit 26(A), south of the Colville River and west of the Anaktuvuk River. They would will to \$100 it specifically to caribou hunting except by Federally-\$100 dalified subsistence users, so all nonsubsistence users. They want to close that area.

15

Now, unfortunately, we don't have it overlaid with F@deral public lands. I need you to refer back to your colored map. But this -- a very significant portion of this is not F@deral public lands. The Federal public lands are the purple after down here, and then over here a little corner of BLM 2ands, the purple being Gates of the Arctic. So we've roughly egtimated about half of it's Federal public lands there.

23

24 As you know, the Western Arctic Caribou Herd is the 25rgest of the three herds in that area. It has -- it -- all 26ree of the herds are quite healthy and are quite large.

27

The reason this proposal came to pass as I understand from Sphere Pederson and I talked at length about it at the ADF&G Subsistence Division, was that this year the caribou didn't pass through the pass. Didn't pass through the pass. They didn't come through the pass. Didn't migrate through the pass, and they had a real poor harvest, and I understood from that they -- people in Anaktuvuk actually asked for some faribou to be sent into Anak- -- they made a plea to the North Stope Borough and they got 35 or so. Is that right, Harry, do du know about that?

38

39 MR. BROWER: I'm not sure of the number, but there were ± 0 me.

41

42 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah, I remember it happened.

43

MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Some number of caribou that were \$5nt in to Anaktuvuk, so it was a fairly -- I think quite a \$6isis and severe situation. And this isn't a new issue Apparently. There's -- beginning in 1991, ADF&G has been \$8ying to document the effects of nonsubsistence hunting on the \$40nual fall migration in there, because there's been this 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 272-7515 question of whether there's -- these nonsubsistence hunters are 2ausing the migration to be affected, and so far ADF&G hasn't \$ound any impacts on that, and I think they're still looking.

Most of the nonsubsistence harvest of caribou in that fegion occurs within the Colville River drainage off of Federal public lands. There are about 100 to 150 caribou that are taken by nonsubsistence hunters, and we don't know how many funters there are. We know how many caribou that are taken, but you could probably assume that, you know, people aren't taking more than one or two caribou, so there could be anywhere from, I don't know, 75 to 100 hunters in that area.

The past three years they've been doing subsistence \$\frac{1}{2}\$ fudies, and that's been done in conjunction with the Borough \$\frac{1}{2}\$ fudies, and about 600 caribou per year are taken.

Bave been -- An average of 600 caribou per year have been taken 18 Anaktuvuk Pass, which is pretty significant. And as you know, those people there really depend a lot on caribou, and if they don't get their caribou, then they're really hurting.

I think from what the ADF&G Subsistence Division <code>88</code>udies have shown, and this also was done in conjunction with <code>2%</code>e Borough, people in Anaktuvuk primarily hunt within 25 to 30 <code>26</code>s outside of the village. I mean, that varies year to <code>26</code>ear, and obviously in a year when they haven't had the caribou <code>26</code>me close, they're going to go much further, but in essence <code>28</code>ey're not going way over where the nonsubsistence hunters are <code>36</code>nerally located.

31 So I think, just to sum it up, the -- our preliminary @2nclusion is that most of the area affected by this proposal \$3 not on Federal public lands, and that less than 10% of the &4nsubsistence caribou hunting occurs on Federal public lands, and as a result, it's believed that probably this proposal \$6 uld have very little effect on the subsistence hunting, and &5 there wouldn't be much benefit to subsistence users to -- \$8 this to go through.

We had one public comment, and that was from the State Department of Fish & Game, and they opposed this proposal, \$2ating that the proposal refers to a vast area, some of which 43 over 200 miles west of Anaktuvuk Pass. There is relatively 44ttle Federal land north of Anaktuvuk Pass and west of the -- \$5 the Colville River. Therefore the proposal as written would A6ve little effect on events occurring near Anaktuvuk Pass.

Some residents of Anaktuvuk Pass have expressed concern \$0r several years that hunting, aircraft overflights, and other 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 272-7515 human activity north of the village may deflect caribou migration away from Anaktuvuk Pass. The Department staff and Bocal residents are working to evaluate caribou harvest terms and caribou movements north of the village during the fall. Regulatory change should be delayed until an effective proposal can be developed based on factual data, and which responds to possible user conflicts and the impact of ancreasing human activity on caribou movements north of maktuvuk Pass.

10

That concludes our summary.

11 12 13

MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman?

14 15

CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead, Fenton.

16

MR. REXFORD: Maybe while we're on the subject or on the Proposal 62 by the City of Anaktuvuk Pass, I think in toncept we should support their reasons why they want a regulation changing even though it's quite a ways from them. I think they're talking on the entrance of Anaktuvuk, or towards the land area to the migration through that route. And maybe something needs to be sent into the State, is that what you're and ying, Barbara, would be more effective in the State? Also?

MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I think that's probably -- I think 2π at's probably true, Fenton. I have a feeling that the people 280 proposed this didn't realize that there was so much 29n-Federal public lands in that region.

30

MR. REXFORD: Okay Well, even though that's the case, 32would support this proposal, and if there are any Federal Bands within that area, however small it may be, should be defended as they so -- those that live out there actually, they Rhow for a fact that this is (indiscernible). And as the 36search went on, it might be several years down the road that Bhis stuff is happening. And they know it. So I would be in 38pport of this proposal, Mr. Chairman. Maybe also put it \$0 or the State, State regulations avenue.

40

CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Thank you, Fenton.

41 42

Can you define for me again, I get a little confused Mére on, on non-Federally qualified subsistence users?

45

46 MS. H. ARMSTRONG: That's kind of a fancy way of saying \hat{s} port hunters.

48

49 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Sports hunters.

50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
MS. H. ARMSTRONG: And because the State doesn't refer
8o themselves as -- they don't -- they're all .....
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. And that's Anaktuvuk.
6
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: ..... they consider that all State
Bunters are subsistence hunters, so we call them non -- I know
9t's very cumbersome, but we're not allowed to use -- say sport
Mûnters in our analyses, so we have to call them non-Federally
qualified, so someone who does not live in -- well, someone who
d@esn't live in that region basically is what it's -- who it's
doing to be.
14
1.5
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: How about if we give you the okay to
16sert .....
17
18
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: This board?
19
20
       (Laughter)
21
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Well, I know Anaktuvuk has
DBeaded their case about this to all various agencies,
2Acluding the North Slope Borough Assembly, about their
25ncerns on the migration paths being altered up there, and I
26ink that's largely their intent on getting this restriction
20 apply.
28
29
        On this map, on the colored map versus -- about how
many percent of this dark area that we're talking about is
already the park lands and whatnot? Does anybody know?
32
33
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           We figured about 50%.
34
35
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: About 50?
36
37
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Uh-huh.
38
39
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
40
41
       MR. KOVACH: Generally the location of Federal public
#ands -- let me see, is this thing firing? Yeah, it is, except
#3can't see it on white.
44
45
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: You can't see it up there.
46
       MR. KOVACH: Our fancy pointer's not going to work.
48nerally the Federal public lands are kind of in the western
#Bird of the shaded area. Bill's .....
50
```

```
MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           No.
3
       MR. KOVACH: .... pointed out -- the western third,
Bill.
6
7
       MR. KNAUER:
                     Here?
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Yeah. Right. That part.
10
11
       MR. KOVACH: Yeah, from about that point over, and then
10st to the north of the bottom boundary there is the strip of
the park lands in there. So you can see the primary area where
the caribou are coming through towards the Continental Divide
Abe off of Federal public lands, and that's what we believe is
kand of the crux concern area by the people up there. And this
proposal, because it's off of Federal public lands really
₩8uldn't -- we don't think would afford them the relief that
they're really looking for.
21
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Last question for me. You say this is
₽@ur draft analysis here?
23
2.4
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           Uh-huh.
                                    Uh-huh.
2.5
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: In your -- is it your final conclusion
27 preliminary conclusions, you state in here that, in the last
38ntence, that based upon these factors, it is believed that
28plementation of this proposal would not have any significant
3Mpact on the current level of nonsubsistence hunting
activities in the Colville River drainage, and would not
$2° ovide a benefit to subsistence hunters in the region. So
Based on your analysis here, what you're saying is that you
den't think that even if this was implemented that it's going
85 make any difference to the migration of the caribou or
meeting the concerns of Anaktuvuk?
37
38
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           Uh-huh.
39
40
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Geoff Carroll, come on up.
41
       MR. CARROLL: I'd just like to say a quick word on kind
48 the history of the Anaktuvuk Pass situation, and I did fly
$4rveys up there each fall, '91 through '93, and the idea was
45 try to document any effect on the migration. And, you know,
66ch one of those years there was a substantial migration
#Mrough Anaktuvuk Pass, you know, so it's been -- so if they go
#Brough, obviously I can't document the reason that they didn't
40 through.
50
```

```
And we didn't fly this last fall. It's been a
dooperative effort between Alaska Department of Fish and the
Park Service, and the Park Service generally provided a pilot
and a plane, and they had some personnel turn over this year,
so that there wasn't a plane up there available to do the
Burveys.
        I did do a bit of flying around in conjunction to other
$Orveys. My impression was that the tremendous flooding of the
Colville River affected the migration more, you know, changed
the migration routes more this year than anything else, but I
13 you know, I can't say for sure.
                                    It's possible that hunting
&Amps north of the village can affect that migration, too, but
15ke I say, three years in a row I flew up there, and migration
₩6nt through Anaktuvuk Pass just fine. And that's why there
aren't any State regulations to that effect at this point,
because I haven't been able to document any real effect that
the camps to the north of the village are having on the
Algrations, so .....
21
22
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Any more comments on .....
23
       MR. R. KOONUK: Yeah, Mr. Chair, did Fenton make a
20.5 tion to approve this or .....
26
27
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yes, we did.
                                      Yeah, he made it in the
$8rm of a motion and Gordon seconded, right?
30
        MR. UPICKSON: Yes, and we're in .....
31
32
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: So we are under discussion on the
modtion.
34
35
       MR. R. KOONUK: Okay. Question called for.
36
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Question has been called on the motion
88 go ahead and support Proposal 62 as submitted here to
$9oposed regulation for Unit 26(C), Federal land south of the
€ôlville River, upstream from and including the Anaktuvuk River
41 ainage are closed to non-Federally qualified subsistence
#12ers from August 1 to September 30th. The question has been
&alled. All in favor signify by saying aye?
44
45
        IN UNISON:
                    Aye.
46
47
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed, same sign?
48
49
       (No opposing responses)
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing none, the Region Ten Federal
Advisory Council supports Proposal 62.
5
       Helen?
6
7
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           Steve's next.
9
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Is it Steve's turn?
10
11
       MR. KOVACH: We're going to trade off here a little
Ъ2t.
13
14
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: He looks like a brown bear more than
¼5u do anyway.
16
17
        (Laughter)
18
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Proposal 63.
19
                                      Steve?
20
       MR. KOVACH:
                    Yes. Proposal 63 was submitted by
Muiqsut, and this proposal is fairly complicated. It has
20 veral parts to it. The proposal specifically would change
the current season of September 1 through May 30 -- yeah, it
₩5uld change the current season of September 1st through May
26st to May 1st through October 31st. So basically instead of
27fall/winter/spring season, it would be a spring/summer/fall
₽&pe of a season.
29
30
        The proposal also requests changes in the harvest
B1mits. For 26(A) instead of one bear per year, it would be no
B2mit. In 26(B) instead of one bear every four years, it would
Bê two bears per year. In Unit 26(C) instead of one bear every
∄⊕ur years, it would be one bear per year.
35
36
       Now, Federal public lands in Unit 26 are outlined on
Bhe map in your book there. It covers approximately 60 to 70
Bercent of the total area.
39
        In 1992 the Federal Subsistence Board in a parallel
Action with the Board of Game created the Northwest Alaska
Brown Bear Management Area, which includes Unit 26(A).
Adanagement area eliminated the need to purchase a $25.00
##sident brown bear tag, and replaced it with a no-cost
#5qistration permit available from the Department of Fish and
⊈ame.
       It also eliminated the sealing requirements, and
4 Dicreased the harvest limit from one bear every four years to
48e bear per year. And it established the standard season of
$@ptember 1st through May 31st.
50
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

In 1992, the Federal Subsistence Board also reviewed a proposal, Number 77, that requested a no-harvest limit and a year round season for both Units 26(B) and (C). The Board beasoned that such a regulation could result in harvest levels that would be in excess of what the population could support, and denied that specific proposal.

8

9 Overall, brown bear densities on the Coastal Plain are

Overall, brown bear densities on the Coastal Plain are the lowest in the State. They are approximately one bear for the Every 200 square miles. This is typical of the Coastal Plain to the Barrow here. Densities in the foothills and dountainous portions of the Unit are similar to other Interior populations of brown bears at approximately one bear for every to square miles. This varies from area to area, but that's kend of an over-all average.

17

- Federal public lands within Units 26(A) and (C) contain a large proportion of the mountainous terrain within Unit 26, and therefore contain the majority of the brown bear population. Federal public lands in 26(B), however, are fairly 22 mited in extent, and Anaktuvuk Pass is really the only 23 mmunity that's close enough to reasonably access those lands.
- Females are particularly susceptible to harvest during the June through August time period. Shifting the season to the summer months could result in more females being the rested. The Federal Subsistence Board when they acted to the summer area that included 26(A) specifically doined the June through August period so as to provide the the semales with greater protection.
- In general it is believed that the over-all harvest 34te of brown bears on the North Slope cannot exceed four to 5% 35 the over-all population, and more specifically the female Bárvest cannot exceed one and a half to 2% of female \$\vec{p}\vec{o}\text{pulation}. We feel harvest rates in excess of these could Bâve a negative effect on the populations and actually result 30 population declines.

Reported harvest rates appear to be well within the #apability of the estimated populations for Units 26(A) and 26(C), but have generally met or exceeded the maximum estimated #Arvest rate for Unit 26(B) annually since 1987.

You'll notice on -- it will be page seven of the proposal book, there's three graphs there. The horizontal almost indicate the estimated maximum sustained take.

50

40

R & R COURT REPORTERS

For Unit 26(A) Fish & Game believes that the reported Marvest may in fact only be 30 to 50% of the actual take by Bunters that are local within the unit. Despite the under-feporting, the low number of brown bears harvested is probably attributed -- we believe is probably attributable to the lack 6f interest in taking brown bears, and the low reporting rate may actually be in fact partly be a problem by the fact there as a lack of certified sealers in each of the communities. The flequirement to salvage the hide and skull of bears taken under defense of life and property provisions may also discourage local hunters from reporting such takes. I went through the sealing records since 1960 for Unit 26, and there is only ten of the total of those harvests were reported under DLP

15

It should be noted that residents -- all residents of Whit 26, Anaktuvuk Pass and Point Hope, have been determined to have a positive customary and traditional use for brown bears 10 26. Hunters from communities with a positive c&t altermination have reported taking only 10-1/2% of the 711 bears sealed from Unit 26. These same hunters reported harvesting activities occurring in the spring, about 34% of the marvest, 13% in the summer and 59% in the fall.

2.4

Bill, if you could show the next graph, please? No, 2% next. Thank you.

27

This graph just shows a distribution of the number of Bears harvested by month, as these are the bears reported to Bhe State through the sealing program. As you can see, there was two harvest -- this is the time period of 1960 through 3293. So it's a 34-year time span.

3.3

Since the inception of the Western Brown Bear Management Area, less than ten registration permits have been assued annually for the taking of brown bears in 26(A). In 1992 and 1993 there was two bears and four bears reported from the 26(A) by residents of 26(A); however, none of these seports were taken under the special regulations under the management area.

41

If you could show the table, Bill?

43

Basically this table summarizes what we have on file as #5r as information. The right-most column is the annual M6rvest of each of the North Slope communities as reported #17rough the sealing program. The central column there is 48formation that we have obtained through the various \$9bsistence use studies that have been conducted over the 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 272-7515 Mumber of years. As is aptly shown here, the subsistence use 2 tudies are showing a significant number of more bears being 8 aken than what is actually reported. Where there are blanks 4 n the subsistence use studies column is where subsistence use 5 tudies have not been conducted in those specific communities 6 here, so it's not that those communities do not take bears, 7 t's just that no studies have been conducted there. It's 8 nformation like this that also just confirms our belief that 4 ot all the bears harvested are being reported.

The fact that the proposal does not address the sealing and tagging requirements for Units 26(B) and (C), we assumed that that is not a significant problem; however, this is one peint that we're looking to Council members, and specifically Frank to help us in determining if that is in fact a problem that needs to be addressed here.

17
18 As Harry reported this morning, the North Slope Borough W9ldlife Department is establishing a harvest monitoring program, and we're hoping that this program provides us with w1ch needed information on the harvest and where it's occurring and when.

Basically our preliminary conclusions are that 25creasing the harvest limit from one bear per year to no -- to 26 limit for 26(A) while it may not result in a significant 25crease in the number of bears what would actually be 28creased, it does not follow the established principles of 29ldlife management for brown bears. In fact, for other -- or 50cr any species, particularly those with very low reproductive 3bilities, and those that are subject to over-hunting.

Increasing the bag limit from one bear every four years \$6 one bear per year for Unit 26(C) is within the biological \$5pability of the estimated population. We do not -- and we do \$6t anticipate that it would adversely affect the health of the \$7pulation in that subunit.

38
39 However, the current reported harvest rates from 26(B)
40e at or exceed the maximum estimated annual take. The
41mited extent and remoteness of Federal public lands for most
42 the communities leaves us to believe that changing the
43rvest limit and season on that area would not be of much
44enefit to subsistence users, but again we need input from the
45uncil to help us on this.

Irregardless, increasing the harvest limit to two bears per year again does not follow the recognized principles of weldlife management for brown bears. As Council members may 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515 Fax 274-8982

2.3

tecall, the Federal Subsistence Board is limited to passing Regulations that are beneficial to subsistence users, and Bromote healthy -- the conservation of healthy populations and #ollow recognized principles of wildlife management. The matter of the season is a little more complicated. 7We do know that the female harvest increase in the summertime, Af there is a summer season, the impact of a female take is Greater than that of a male take. The Board has traditionally tesisted any season proposal change that includes the summer time period. As you remember from the chronology graph, the proposed season of September -- or of May 1st through October BBst would incorporate virtually all of the reported harvest, bat not all of them. 16 Somewhere I've got notes. I believe we got some public tomments on this proposal. Yes, we did. We have two comments **F**9sh & Game, they felt -- they opposed the proposal. 20 asons for their opposition are pretty much along the same 21nes as what I just presented in our staff report. The second 20mment we got was from the Alaska Wildlife Alliance. They also opposed the proposal, largely they were focusing in on the 26 limit proposal for brown bears in 26(A). 25

Oh, okay. And the Subsistence Resource Commission for Gates of the Arctic National Park and Preserve met recently, and they voted to support this proposal as written. And that's all that we have for our staff report.

30

31 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We have Proposal 62 before you.

32

33 MR. KOVACH: Sixty-three.

34

35 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh.

36

37 MR. BROWER: Sixty-three.

38

39 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Sixty-three. Okay. If you insist, #011 go to the right number. Proposal 63 before you, and I'd #intertain a motion for -- to approve this proposal. Or to take #2tion on this proposal. This was proposed by Kuukpikmiut, Migiqsutmiut.

44

MR. UPICKSON: Mr. Chairman, I move that we approve #6oposal #63 as submitted by Kuukpikmiut Village.

47

48 MR. TAGAROOK: Mr. Chairman, I second.

49

50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Moved and seconded to accept
Proposal 63. Any more discussion? Or any discussion? Fenton?
       MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman, yes. Thank you, Mr.
          The proposed regulation, we've heard the word from
Steve on biological reasons for management or good management
of brown bear in Unit 26. I might have consider maybe either
Amending it or letting it go through this way for Unit 26(A),
this one bear all the way across 26. And rather than call it
temainder of 26, just call it Unit 26(C) according to the unit
the way it is divided up.
12
13
       However, I do have reservations for the remainder of
26(C) -- the remainder of 26 proposed regulation for one bear
and its season from May 1 through October 31. I think I would
16ther keep that on reserve, and keep it the way it is, where
1₹ says remainder of 26, but (A) and (B) I would have no
$8 oblems with. So rather than vote the whole thing through, I
₩0uld offer a kind amendment to -- from the group that
20presented to see what he things, to let the remainder of
26(C) proposed regulation and to see .....
23
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. I caught parts of your
24nversation. I think we need to turn up Fenton's phone line
25 just a little bit. I'm having a little hard time.
26
27
       But, Fenton, you're saying you want to go ahead and
amend the proposal, and I wasn't real clear on what you said,
a9though I did hear you say one bear in 26(A) and what was it
about the remainder of Unit 26?
31
32
       MR. REXFORD: Yeah. Because the way it is written, or
BBe way I had it, would be after remainder of 26 with one bear
34ndiscernible) and the season, I'm going to have hold
B5servations, to set a new regulation for that area which I
Bbink is 26(C).
37
38
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Are you hearing .....
39
40
       MR. KOVACH: Mr. Chair, that's correct, the .....
41
42
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
43
                    .... the reference to remainder of Unit
       MR. KOVACH:
25 means 26(C). That's what that means.
46
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Like I said, I'm having a difficult
#8me hearing him up there and understanding what he's trying to
     Can somebody help me on what he said here?
50
```

```
MR. REXFORD: Well, just omit in the proposal, or amend
dur recommendation with the rest of the Councilmen are
thinking, but after hearing the biological reasons, maybe the
Desidents live in 26(C) may submit a proposal, too, instead of
having one bear every four years, it will be just one bear
\overline{y}early, in 26(C).
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
10
11
       MR. KOVACH: Mr. Chair? Fenton, that's what the
$20posal is asking for is to change the current harvest limit
$\footnote{\text{T}}$ one bear every four years to one bear per year.
14
1.5
        MR. REXFORD: Yeah, but the -- you know, the season is
the one I'm -- I should have just pointed to the season,
bacause we have difficulty travelling around the interior
$8 rtion of our Coastal Plain here during the summer months, and
when we -- when we're out I think the September 1 through May
20 more fits into our mode of transportation anyway, or when --
Where the bears are.
23
        MR. UPICKSON: Mr. Chairman?
2.4
2.5
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Gordon?
2.6
27
       MR. UPICKSON: I withdraw my motion. I understand what
Penton is saying. It's impossible because of the way they live
29 the island, the particular season that -- for the remainder
8ff Unit 26, which is 26(C). I can see why they would have a
Bard time trying to hunt brown bears in that area.
8ther two, 26(A) and 26(B), they're okay. But I understand
What Fenton is saying about the seasons. They have -- it's
Bard for them to get to where the brown bears are probably from
36
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. And you stated that you're
W8thdrawing?
39
        MR. REXFORD: Rather than -- Mr. Chairman, rather than
Gordon withdrawing your motion, I would just offer a friendly
and ment to omit that proposed regulation with the concurrence
45, you know, after hearing what Frank maybe have something
≜4se that may change my mind.
45
46
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Go ahead. We'll go ahead let
#De motion stay, and if there's to be amendment .....
49
       MR. UPICKSON: I like his friendly amendment, yes.
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah. Okay. Frank?
        MR. LONG:
                   Thank you, Mr. Chairman. What I'd like to
5ay on this brown bear proposal is when we put this proposal
fogether, I was assuming that 26(B) and (C) would some up with
a similar or different proposal, and then -- and then -- in
Begard to two proposal, and amendment or some changes would be
Made.
10
11
        But I will add this, regardless of the bag limit or
hot, when a brown bear is available or is endangering the life
$\textit{3}$ a human, it won't take no season, no bag limit or it won't
14 at the most times in our area our hunters are being chased
by bear. So whether this passes or not, my people will get the
bear anyway.
17
18
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh.
19
20
       MR. LONG: I haven't gotten one, but there are a whole
Dunch like he said unreported catches of brown bear.
20 the fact that these people that are out there hunting
A3fferent species of animal during the spring and summer and
£all are being chased by these bears, and they're not -- and at
2hat time they're not out to hunt the bear.
                                             Thank you.
26
27
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Thank you, Frank. And there are
28rrent regulations now protecting life and limb, isn't there,
20 regard to bears?
30
31
        MR. TAGAROOK: Yes, there is. Yes, there is.
32
33
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           In defense of life and property.
34
35
       MR. TAGAROOK: In defense of life and property.
36
       MR. UPICKSON: There's nothing wrong with shooting an
asimal like a brown bear that's bothering your camp or --
30ause that's where you have your -- like your camp. And when
4\theta if there's a brown bear, we'll get that brown bear, because
4t will destroy the camp is what it will do.
42
43
        MR. KOVACH:
                    Mr. Chair, if I might suggest, I think it
₩⊕uld be appropriate to have Geoff address the defense of life
45d property provisions, because the Federal Subsistence
## fogram defaults to the State DLP laws, and I think it might be
Appropriate for Geoff just to quickly review those for the
€8uncil here.
49
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515 Fax 274-8982

50

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
        MR. CARROLL: Well, just briefly put, yeah, it's
perfectly legal if a bear is endangering a person or a person's
property, you're -- it's perfectly legal to kill that bear any
fime, any place, and -- so it's -- you know, the one hang-up
With it I guess is that you're required to turn in the skull
and the hide if you do that. So it makes it so, you know, a
person can't really utilize that bear. Of course, the reason
for that is to discourage people from, you know, using that
tasually. I mean, it's got -- it's supposed to be, you know, a
$\frac{1}{2}\text{etty serious event before you shoot a bear, and, you know,
43n't want .....
14
15
        MR. LONG: What do you mean by a person casually
16ilizing bear. We eat that stuff.
17
18
        MR. CARROLL: Oh, I know. I'm saying legally you're
$0pposed to turn in the hide and the skull.
                                            You know, that's
the reason .....
2.1
22
        MR. LONG:
                   We're not -- we're not in the business of
23king out the hide and stuff, or the skull.
25
        MR. CARROLL: Yes.
2.6
27
        MR. LONG: This skull as far as I'm concerned, and the
28de can be elsewhere, as long as the meat is intact.
       MR. CARROLL: Uh-huh.
30
31
        MR. CARROLL: Yeah, I mean, you know, one way around
all this, if people simply get one of these free subsistence
B∉own bear hunting permits, I mean, they're covered. They can
35 any time they shoot a bear then, you know, they can take the
Bade and the skull and the meat and everything else if they
&ant, you know, whether it's under a defense of life and
property situation or they just want to shoot one. But, of
∂Ourse, that's only in 26(A) that that applies. So .....
40
                  Like I said, most bears that are caught out
41
        MR. LONG:
#Dere are not caught intentionally.
43
44
        MR. CARROLL: Yeah. Well, I'm telling you it's --
∜5u're perfectly legal to shoot a bear at any time that's
threatening your life or your property, so .....
47
48
        MR. LONG: I know. They do a lot of damage.
49
50
```

```
MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman? Frank, .....
3
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead, Fenton. Thank you, Geoff.
       MR. REXFORD: Yes. I -- you know, I am not against
Kuukpikmiut's proposal. That's why there's no limit or two
Bears. I was just pointing out the fact of making -- rather
8han omitting it, I haven't made a formal amendment motion yet,
9ee what he thinks -- or what you think, Frank. This Council
tan support or not support or whatever a proposal, or can make
am amendment, and I think that's -- after hearing our staff,
that's why we have the anthropologist and the biology pointing
bût some of the things that as we're doing this, reviewing
these proposals before they go to the Federal Subsistence Board
15 April. So they'll either turn this down or, you know, try
16 again next fall. I would think, so .....
17
18
       MR. LONG: Fenton?
19
20
       MR. REXFORD: Yes?
21
       MR. LONG: Let me add on to my comment that the reason
2Bat I put the date limit or the month limit is because during
2 Mese times we're out doing subsistence. (In Inupiat)
25
26
       MR. REXFORD:
                      (In Inupiat)
                                    Yeah.
27
28
       MR. LONG: (In Inupiat) Thank you.
29
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. I'd like to make a comment here.
3On the proposals that are acted upon by the Advisory Council,
32ke Fenton noted a little earlier, and I had a note from
Bârbara, that we have some criteria on justification, and one
∂f them was sound principles of management and whatever. Can
₹5u add onto that, Helen?
36
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Well, actually I just wanted to make
allowment on that, on the justification issue.
40
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Right.
41
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Is that in the AVCP region, they had
#3similar proposal two years back, and the Board approved it on
the condition that bear harvest information was collected,
$5 cause they were concerned about letting them harvest more
#Man one bear every four years. And I think with this new
Marvest monitoring program that the North Slope Borough is
$8arting, that you could say, although it's not according to
♦9Idlife management -- conservation -- principles of .....
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515 Fax 274-8982

50

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Sound, yeah.
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: .... sound conservation, whatever,
5hat with this harvest monitoring program, that you'll be able
60 assess whether or not too many bears are being harvested,
and then if they are, then you could go back and look at it
again next year or the year after, whatever, you know, in the
∯uture. So -- but that could be a way to justify your
aβproving it, even though it's perhaps not according to
principles of sound wildlife management.
12
13
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. I think we'll come back to the
14 Bill?
15
16
       MR. KNAUER: Yes, Mr. Chairman, you were asking about
10stifications, and any proposal that you -- or any vote that
$$8u take, whether it's to modify, to support in its entirety,
$9 to oppose, one of the things that ANILCA states is that the
20cretary, in this case the Federal Subsistence Board, may
2hoose not to follow any recommendation, in other words, any of
$∂ur recommendations, which he determines is not supported by
28bstantial evidence, violates recognized principles of fish
2Ad wildlife conservation, or would be detrimental to the
25tisfaction of subsistence needs. And what that's saying is
26at for any vote that you take, you should provide some
Austification on the record that in fact the vote you've taken
28 adequately supported with justification, does support
29 inciples of wildlife conservation, which includes conserving
B@althy populations of wildlife, and does in fact support
3atisfaction of subsistence needs. Without that, the Board is
Bess likely to support a recommendation that the Council might
Bave.
34
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: One more question for Frank.
                                                      You
Mentioned that you thought that 26(B) and (C) you were thinking
₩ære going to submit a proposal on you, so you were just
38bmitting on behalf of 26(A)?
39
40
       MR. LONG: 26(A) and 26(B).
41
42
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: 26(A) and (B), okay.
43
                 Because we know when we go out during the
       MR. LONG:
$5mmer months, we only hunt in our area, which is the Colville.
400 don't go on the other side of Prudhoe Bay or we don't go on
the west side of Pruhoe Bay.
48
49
       MR. BROWER: Mr. Chair?
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Harry?
        MR. BROWER: Frank, I have a question, just if we --
Begarding this no limit, how would you feel if we just inserted
6hat one bear every year regarding hunts instead of having no
Timit on it here?
        MR. LONG: Well, that doesn't mean -- like I said, it
feally don't matter to me what -- how you guys do it, because
After person don't go out on a weekend. The whole community goes
bût, and if there's half a dozen or more people that goes out
bâ a weekend, that's the only opportunity they get away from
      They're not going -- they're not going to open the books
and say, "Oh, yeah, I'm supposed to get one bear." When I see
16. These bears won't wait for you to come -- go and look at
them. They're there. And nearly everywhere we hunt, there's a
18t of tall brush. You can't see -- most times you can't see
59 feet, which are in the willows. And that's where the --
Phat's where the bears, other than being high up on top of the
21iff on the west side of the Colville where you have to climb
#2om 50 to 200 feet. And additional to that, while you're
ading proposals, I'd like to be excused.
25
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: You can be excused. I'd just like to
26y here what we're trying to do is .....
2.8
        MR. LONG: And the reason I want to be excused is that
after we're done with these proposals, they're going to go to
30mebody's table that don't even understand what was here and
Bow we live. To be put in books that we have to abide by.
32
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: We're trying to help you. Let me make
Bhat absolutely clear first and foremost.
36
        MR. LONG:
                  I understand that, Mr. Chairman.
37
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: I do not see in here on the -- in the
Baformation proposed that you're worried about life and safety.
40
41
        MR. LONG: I'm a subsistence hunter. I lived a
$2bsistence lifestyle .....
43
44
      CHAIRMAN ITTA: Right.
45
       MR. LONG:
                  ..... all my life. I don't abide by
Anybody's regulations, rules or purposes. Thank you.
49
      CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
50
```

```
MR. AVEOGANNA: Mr. Chairman?
3
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh. Jim?
       MR. AVEOGANNA: You know, about the areas where each
₹illage stays on hunt for -- we're talking about the boundary.
8In the open country in our area when you've got prowling
Around your area, on your hunting area, sometimes they come in
10 three -- three brown bear together. And I've seen down -- a
few down in Anchorage and where else. You can't see very far,
bût the people when they had to follow the rules here, the laws
$ay so, they can't truly much have -- to help their family.
There's a lot of times it comes in around our -- three, four
bears comes around at the same time, the same hour. So this is
$6mething we protect our families and our hunting equipment and
♠Verything as we're supposed to use it. That's how we do, we
48 about in our -- what we have learned from the elders, for
denerations way back years ago. On land every year. To keep
20 alert. Because you'd see long ways in the country up here,
21 the land, a long ways you can see them. But once you go on
2De Manulu (ph) or something like that down in Anchorage, you
2an't see very far. You don't know exactly how many brown
Dears around that area, because they -- once they got some
25ribou in them for feeding, they bunch them together a lot of
26mes. That's true. Thank you.
27
28
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. I never get an answer on how did
2Bis last line on remainder of 26(A), Steve, get to be?
Bhis proposal submitted like that by Kuukpik (ph) .....
31
32
       MR. KOVACH:
                     I'd have to .....
33
34
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: .... or where did the one bear in the
B5mainder of Unit 26 come to be? 'Cause he was talking just
3A) and (B).
37
38
                     I'm going to defer to Bill 'cause he's the
       MR. KOVACH:
30e who typed these up.
40
41
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
42
                    Mr. Chairman, it may not have been the
       MR. KNAUER:
4Atent when they submitted it but it was the way it came in in
₩5iting. And so we -- it's very hard for us to read the mind
46 an individual or an organization.
47
48
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh.
49
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515 Fax 274-8982

50

1 MR. KNAUER: And we have to put in the proposal what they submitted unless they have, in looking at them, come back and told us no, that's not what we intended.

4

CHAIRMAN ITTA: Um-hum.

6

MR. KNAUER: And so this is -- now is an opportunity to make the changes, you know, based upon what he stated that it was not their intent, then it would be appropriate for the COuncil, with the amendment, to revise it to the way they had ACtually intended it to be. But that's the case with any PPoposal.

13

- 14 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We still have a motion before 15. And for your information, Fenton, Frank Brown -- I mean F6ank Brown -- Frank Long has asked to be excused and is 2xcused. But I think it's pretty clear to me what they were 1xying to do was protect life and limb and property here, but I d9d not see in here, that that's why they didn't want to be 20mited to one bear. And also, that, you know, they're 2hreatened, they're not going to wait and say we got my one 22ar. And I think maybe that's unfortunate, there's been some 23sunderstanding. But this proposal is still before us and 24en to any amendments at this time.
- I might just note for the record, too, that the Sübsistence Resource Commission for the Gates of Arctic went allead and unanimously supported this proposal. And again, this 2911 go -- this -- we're advisory, we can forward this on and the Federal Advisory Board will take their final action on this 31-oposal.

32

25

- MR. REXFORD: Yeah. I wish Frank didn't leave. dadn't mean to offend him but I had to (ph). But anyway, I 851d him before that I'm in support of their proposed **Be**gulation. That I did have reservations for Unit 26(C) rather Bhan the -- in order to have a prudent management policy, I BBink we need to listen to that. And rather than have no \$9oposal for Kuukpikmiut, I think we ought to listen to what #Dey say as far as they hunt during the spring, summer and #all. And I understood them that these are the times that they 42 they are out when the bears are out and they are out of #Beir dens, springtime. And that's when they're out \$4bsisting. And they need to understand that there is for \$5fety, life and limb or whatever, you have that protection. think that needs to be brought out. 47
- And -- but to be prudent, I think we ought to have -- I have no problems with Unit 26(A), one limit or one bear with 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

```
their proposed May 1 through October 31. I didn't quite hear
Whether two bears was prudent but I'll go with that. And I'd
Be in favor of one bear with a season of September 1 through
May 31st in the remainder of 26. My (indiscernible - telephone
5utout) ....
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: So is that coming in the form of an
amending motion, Fenton?
       MR. REXFORD: Yes.
10
11
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. An amendment to amend this
proposal to place instead of no limit under 26(A) one, one
b∉ar.
15
16
        MR. REXFORD: Um-hum.
17
18
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Same seasons, May 1 through
O@tober 31st. 26(B), the same; one bear, same time periods.
18 that correct?
2.1
22
        UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: He said two bears.
23
2.4
       UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Two bears.
25
2.6
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Two bears.
27
2.8
       MR. REXFORD: Two bears.
29
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Two bears, May 31st through
October 1. And what was that last one? You said something
about one bear between .....
34
        MR. REXFORD: Yeah. One bear, September through
May 31st, (indiscernible) season.
36
       MR. BROWER: I'll second that amendment.
37
38
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We have a second on the amending
A0tion by Harry Brower, Jr. Any discussions on your amending
Adtion? Geoff.
42
4.3
       MR. CARROLL: I just heard you say October 1st, I think
Me said October 31st.
45
46
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: October 31st, okay.
47
48
       MR. CARROLL: Okay.
49
50
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: October 31st. I'm sorry.
        MR. BROWER: Call for the question.
3
4
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: The question's called on the amending
Motion. All those in favor signify by saying aye.
7
8
        IN UNISON: Aye.
9
10
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed, same sign?
11
12
        (No opposing responses)
13
14
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing none, the amending motion is
approved. We're back to the main motion.
16
17
        UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: The question's called for.
18
19
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: The question's called on the main
200 tion on Proposal 63, all those in favor signify by saying
â∳e.
22
23
        IN UNISON: Aye.
2.4
25
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed, same sign?
26
27
        (No opposing responses)
28
29
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing none, motion carried.
30
31
        MR. UPICKSON: Mr. Chairman.
32
33
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Gordon.
34
35
        MR. UPICKSON: Take a 10 minute break.
36
37
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We're going to call for a 10
manute break at this time.
39
40
        MR. REXFORD: Okay.
41
42
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. See you, Fenton.
43
44
        (Off record)
45
46
        (On record)
47
48
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: So we'll go ahead and call our meeting
₩ack to order. And we'll go on to the next proposal. Helen.
50
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

Fax 274-8982

```
MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Okay. This is Proposal 64. We've
also joined this one with Proposal 65 because they are both
dealing with increasing the harvest limit for caribou in
Unit 26.
        Proposal 64 which was submitted by this Regional
8ouncil, as you probably remember, would increase the harvest
Pimits from car- -- for caribou from five to ten per day in
Unit 26(A), from five total to ten per day in Unit 26(B), and
from ten total to ten per day in Unit 26(C). We also had
Proposal 65 submitted to us by the Kuukpikmiut Village
Corporation, and they asked for the same, for an increase of
14mits in Unit 26(A) from five to ten per day which is the same
a5 the North Slope proposal. But the harvest limit for 26(B)
$\psi 6$uld be increased from five total to ten total. They did not
fæquest a change for 26(C), so it was only (A) and (B).
18
        These proposals were made because it's more efficient
20 be able to take 10 caribou per day than to have to do so in
2Wo days. And the Inupiat custom and tradition has been to
22ke the number of caribou needed and the maximum number that
23uld be carried in one day.
25
        As Steve mentioned earlier, Federal public lands in
Viit 26 are about 60 to 70 percent of the lands in the unit.
2Mere are four caribou herds in 20- -- Unit 26; the Western
A8ctic Caribou Herd, the Central Arctic Caribou Herd, the
20shekpuk Caribou Herd and Porcupine Caribou Herd. They are
all considered to have very high or high populations, with the
Batest estimates of the Western Arctic Caribou Herd are
$20,000, the Central Arctic is 23,400, the Porcupine is 27,686
and the Teshekpuk is 160,000.00.
35
        UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: You reversed them.
36
37
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Did I reverse those numbers?
38
39
       MR. CARROLL: The last two.
40
41
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: The last two were reversed, sorry.
They're big, how's that?
43
44
        UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:
                             Twenty-seven thousand for
T5shekpuk.
46
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I think in the interest of time, I'm
48ing to try to just summarize this, because I think you all
#190w ....
50
```

CHAIRMAN ITTA: Right.

MS. H. ARMSTRONG: a lot about caribou hunting, and that I don't need to go into that. I think that the main soint -- I mean the only additional points maybe to be made were -- are that the amount of the information we have on subsistence from subsistence use studies on caribou harvests are that in 1985, Nuiqsut took 513, that's only one year but that's all we have. Kaktovik, in 1987, took 178. Anaktuvuk Pass has averaged about 600 over the past three years. And Barrow averaged 1,595 from '87 to '90, and Wainwright 608 from 188 to '89.

14

In the non-subsistence harvests, with the exception of the Porcupine Caribou Herd, at least 80 percent of the harvest &curs off of Federal public lands. And the non-subsistence harvest of the Porcupine Caribou Herd occurs entirely on Federal public lands. Non-subsistence harvest in 26(A) average 200 to 150 per year and 200 to 400 per year and less than 50 per year for Units 26(B) and (C).

I wanted to mention that in 1992, the Federal Sabsistence Board looked at a similar proposal which had a no Dārvest limit for caribou in Unit 26. At that time, the Board dad oppose that proposal based on the lack of evidence to Būpport that the change was needed and a concern that such a 28mit would result in waste and the requirements of ANILCA to Maintain healthy wildlife populations.

The existing harvest limits were adopted by the Board 32 July of 1990. The five caribou per day harvest limit was 33plemented by the State of Alaska, Board of Game in 1984, 3fter the Western Arctic Caribou Herd recovered from its crash 35 the late 1970s, early 1980s at the request of local hunters. 36

There -- there's another proposal similar to this that \$8 wanted to mention, and it's also in your book at the very \$8 d; it's Proposal 51. And it's -- was -- it's for the Western Affectic Caribou Herd but in Unit 23. And the people in the Morthwest Arctic Regional Council had requested an increase \$2 cm five to fifteen caribou a day instead of five to ten. And \$4 had that meeting a couple of weeks ago, and they also \$4 pported that proposal. So that will be going before the \$5 ard at the same time as your two proposals.

47 CHAIRMAN ITTA: For 10?

48

49 MS. H. ARMSTRONG: For 15.

50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: For 15.
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Now, one question I had. We had a
5ot of discussion at that meeting about how many caribou you
6ould take on a boat. And apparently, the boat -- some of the
Boats in that region, because they're going out in the Norton
Sound, are pretty large. And they were talking about is
9ometimes being able to carry as many as 20 caribou in a boat.
1And it's because of the putting them in a boat that they
feally were more interested in the higher limits, not so much
putting them -- at least that was my impression -- not so much
13 carrying them in the winter on a sled.
14
15
       And so I guess that was where I didn't -- I don't have
$6od information is how much people -- how much they could
tarry or they would want to carry in a day, you know, with --
and actually, it would be useful to know is could you actually
tarry 15, would people carry that? Not that that's -- I mean I
£Bink it's important to put in there what people -- what
peoples do do or what they would do if the regulations allowed
22. And I was interested to know that they could. I didn't
20alize they could carry that many caribou in a boat.
2.4
25
        Anyway, our conclusion was that there's no biological
26 as on to not adopt this proposal as long as we continue the
2drrent restriction on the Dalton Highway Corridor, which --
Where firearms are not expanded beyond the currently -- those
20rrently living in and adjacent to the Corridor. We want to
make sure that people aren't going to come up the highway and
3ust start taking, you know, 10 caribou a day from there.
want to make sure that restriction stays in place. And then
BBe way the restriction currently reads is you're not allowed
34 in 26(C) you cannot export more than five caribou per year.
3And so we want to make sure that that stays in place, that we
d6n't start getting all kinds of people driving up the highway
and taking lots of caribou.
38
39
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: All right. We have Proposal 64 .....
40
41
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           I'm sorry.
42
43
       CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                       .... before us.
44
45
                           I need to -- public comments.
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
46
```

MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I need to tell you, I'm sorry, ADF&G

Okay.

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515 Fax 274-8982

CHAIRMAN ITTA:

47

48 49

50

```
1s opposed to this proposal. They feel that it's -- that
although it's biologically acceptable, they -- in Unit 26(A),
26(C) to increase it to 10 per day, that increasing the limit
#rom five to ten per day and five annually to ten per day in
finit 26(B) is unacceptable because of the more localized
distribution of the Central Arctic Herd, nd the limited amount
of Federal public land, and the uncertainty about to whom --
Where and to whom the regulation applies. And then on
Proposal 65, they said -- opposed it even though it was more
tonservative than 64 but for the same reasons.
11
       And then the Gates of the Arctic Subsistence Resource
Cômmission, they supported Proposal 64 and made no co- -- I
Assume by supporting 64, they didn't support 65 was their
thoice.
16
17
       MR. KOVACH: Correct. That's what this is.
18
19
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: That's it.
20
21
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: They didn't support 65 .....
22
23
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           65, they .....
2.4
25
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: .... because they felt their action on
26 took care of that problem already,
27
28
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Right. Right.
29
30
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: .... correct?
31
32
       MR. KOVACH: Correct.
33
34
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. All right. We have Proposal 64
Bēfore you then. Entertain a motion for approval.
36
37
       MR. R. KOONUK: So moved.
38
39
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Moved by .....
40
41
       MR. TAGAROOK: Second.
42
43
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: ..... Ray Koonuk, seconded by Harry
Bleower, Jr.
45
46
       UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No, Terry, Mr. Chairman.
47
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Approve Proposal 64 and the existing
#@gulation for Unit 26 regarding caribou, and the changes were
```

```
moted by Helen, largely from five to ten caribou per day for
(A) and (B), and for 26(C), also, the change is 10 caribou per
day with a stipulation staying intact that not more than five
&aribou may be transported from 26(C) per regulatory year. Any
discussion?
7
       Fenton.
       MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman, yeah. I'm trying to look
for the -- we made something to that effect in our meeting to
put it in last fall. And I'm trying to find out exactly what
page or what area that might be. And I was going to ask Harry
15 he recalls about what part of the meeting or the transcript
this action was taken. I -- so I can see that (ph) somebody
₩5th the main motion. It may -- let's see.
16
17
       MR. BROWER: Are you on the second day's transcript,
Fanton?
19
20
       MR. REXFORD: A copy of the whole transcript.
21 ying to see the main motion or the -- where this proposal is
20ming about. Can't recall. So that everything will be okay
03 just -- you know, just in case there may have been a typo is
What I'm saying.
25
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: I, myself -- this is Edward.
                                                      You're
£alking about when we had our joint meeting, huh?
28
29
       UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:
30
31
       MR. REXFORD: Yes, that's correct.
32
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: And I recall specifically we went ahead
and did 10 caribou per day based on the actions of the North
35ope Bureau Wildlife Management Committee. If you remember,
₩6 had lengthy discussions about no limit, maybe even 20, 15,
and we all settled at 10 per unit. And I think it
Beflects .....
39
40
       MR. REXFORD: Okay.
41
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: ..... that in our conversation.
There's Geoff, will you get up?
44
       MR. CARROLL: I'd just like to make one suggestion.
46n't have any biological problem with any of this, except that
$\ddot \text{du might want to add in 26(B) also that not more than five
&aribou may be transported from 26(B) so that we don't end up
₩9th a lot of subsistence hunters from other areas coming up
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

the Haul Road and taking a lot of caribou out. I mean I think
2t'd be better to keep it so that it's the local hunters
Bunting in there rather than people coming in and hauling
daribou out. So
5
6 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Ray. Ray raised his hand first and
Then Harry.

MR. R. KOONUK: Yes. Well, in the past years back when we haven't caught, you know, more than five caribou. And this 1s the first year that we ever, you know, got more than five caribou. And the caribou finally reached our place, and I don't think it will hurt, you know, 10 caribou. And I hope (ph).

15

16 CHAIRMAN ITTA: I think, Jakie, you were there at that findeting, huh, with the one we had at ASRC, right?

18

19 MR. J. KOONUK: Yeah. We started out by talking about the Western Arctic Caribou Herd.

21

22 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Um-hum.

2.3

MR. J. KOONUK: It was believed to be over-populated and we kind of slid over to 26, and that's where all these -- what we're looking at now it came from. We still don't know if 200,000 is over-population for some area here.

28

29 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Harry.

30

MR. BROWER: Mr. Chairman, I just wanted to answer Bênton's question. It's regarding the discussions in the Bânscript, it starts on Page 251 on the second day's Béanscript. Did you hear that, Fenton?

35

MR. REXFORD: Yes, I see that. I was just reading all at that, and -- okay. It's 10 per day. And the reason why I say that 'cause Unit 26(B) had five caribou, and we started out that amending 26(A) to 10 per day and it carried on where the attention one, 26(B), the gentleman referred to five caribou per that. I think that's pretty -- that's not enough for a family are five per year. So I'm in favor of this proposal, too.

CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. You heard and understood Geoff &5rroll's comment here under Unit 26(B) that we might want to &6nsider similar language that's in 26(C), that stipulation &Tat not more than five caribou may be transported from 26(B) &Per regulatory year, with the intent being that it's on one &9de of the Corridor where (A) is on the side. That's your 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

```
point, right? Is that what it is? Geoff, go ahead.
        MR. CARROLL: I -- well, actually what I was referring
to is that you probably want to set up a situation where carib-
5- or you don't want to set up a situation where subsistence
Munters from other parts of the State can drive up the Haul
Road and take caribou and haul them back down to wherever they
Bive, I mean at a rate of 10 a day. You probably want to keep
It so that they can't take more than five out of there.
10
11
        Dave Yokel just made a good point. That there isn't
really anybody that lives in 26(B). You better write that so
tBat you can't transport more than five caribou out of Unit 26
tather than 26(B), 'cause that would keep Nuigsut people, for
15stance, from crossing the line or Kaktovik people from
&fossing the line and going back. A good point.
17
18
        CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                        So -- okay. Does somebody care to make
an amending motion to that effect?
                                   If not ....
       MR. REXFORD: Now, we're cutting off and on now. I so
mave for the amendment.
2.3
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We have a motion to amend the
25 nguage so that not more than five caribou may be transported
26om Unit 26 per regulatory year. That's the correct language
$\overline{\pi}\text{or Unit 26.} And do we hear a second?
2.8
29
        MR. R. KOONUK: Second.
30
31
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: We have a second by Ray. Any
d2scussions on the amending motion?
34
       MR. BROWER: Call for the question.
35
36
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: The question's been called by Harry
Birower, Jr. All those in favor of the amending motion signify
By saying aye.
39
40
        IN UNISON:
                    Aye.
41
42
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed, same sign?
43
44
       (N opposing responses)
45
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing none, the amending motion
€arries. We're back on the main motion.
49
       MR. R. KOONUK: The question called for.
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Question called for on the main motion.
3All in favor signify by saying aye.
        IN UNISON:
                   Aye.
6
7
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed, same sign?
        (No opposing responses)
10
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing none, Proposal 64 is approved
11
₩2th noted justification. You got that, right? Okay. We're
$\phi$ Proposal 65, which is essentially the same proposal ....
14
1.5
        UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Less caribou.
16
17
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: .... regarding Unit 26 caribou.
18
19
       MR. BROWER: KOONUK: Mr. Chairman.
20
21
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh.
22
23
        MR. BROWER: I would make a motion that we don't take
2Ay action on Proposal 65 similar to what the Subsistence
Regional Council .....
26
27
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
2.8
29
                    ..... Commission did, since it already
        MR. BROWER:
addresses on Proposal 64 the same concern.
31
32
        MR. R. KOONUK: Second that.
33
34
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We have a motion to take no
35tion on Proposal 65 and a second, any discussion on the
notion?
37
38
        MR. TAGAROOK: Question.
39
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: The question's called on the motion.
All in favor signify by saying aye.
42
43
        IN UNISON: Aye.
44
45
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed, same sign?
46
47
        (No opposing responses)
48
49
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing none, motion approved to do
50
```

```
Mothing for 65.
3
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Mr. Chairman.
5
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Unit 26, sheep.
6
7
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Mr. Chairman.
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Unit 66. Aren't I terrible with
10mbers today here.
12
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Now, I'm really going to confuse
     I thought while we're on the subject of caribou with bag
14mits, we might -- we're supposed -- you're supposed to take
$5me sort of action. And the action could be either to take no
16tion or to vote on Proposal 51 on the Unit 23's proposal to
have a bag limit of 15 caribou per day.
18
       And you know, while we're on that topic, I don't know
2ff you wanted to -- what you wanted to do with that. We do
Nave to go -- since it address- -- since it has to do with the
Mêstern Arctic Caribou Herd and because as the C&T
28terminations are right now, you have C&T for that herd, what
Mappens in 23 affects you. And so the Board has -- any council
2hat's affected by a proposal, even if it's not their area,
2hey would like to know how at that council who's affected by
Now they feel about it. So you -- now .....
28
29
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: You said that's in the back of our
Backage here?
31
32
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Yeah. And it's essentially the
3ame -- ....
34
35
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
36
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: ..... the same analysis that we just
gave you for 64 and 65. And it's the same proposal except it's
ŵ9th 15 caribou per day. And when just as when we took -- we
40d the same thing with Northwest Arctic Regional Council, we
#bok 64 and 65 before them and they voted to leave it up to you
$2ys. They said they didn't want to take any action at all.
And you know, it's the same sort of thing, you can either
44cide not to take any action or you can decide to support the
₱5oposal or to not support it.
46
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: So we're going to -- while we're on the
$8me subject which deals with caribou, you're saying we take
€are of 51 and .....
```

```
MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Yeah.
3
4
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: ..... take action or no action?
5
6
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Right.
7
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: We have Proposal 51 before us submitted
By the Northwest Arctic Federal Subsistence Regional Advisory
Council. What is the wish of the Board?
11
12
        MR. UPICKSON: Move that we take no action.
1.3
14
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: There's a motion to take no action on
Proposal 51.
16
17
        (Off record comments)
18
19
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Do we hear a second before I
alspense (ph) .....
21
22
        MR. REXFORD: Seconded.
2.3
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Seconded by Fenton. I have a question.
20n additional information, it says on there that this is
26nsistent with the North Slope proposal to increase harvest
27mits. I mean we're 10, they're 15, but -- okay.
28
29
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                          It's not the same harvest limit,
30's -- but it is an increase, yeah.
31
32
        CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                        That we -- in essence by taking no
adtion, we'd just be leaving it on their table?
35
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Right.
36
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. You have a motion before you to
88ke no action on Proposal 51. Any discussion?
39
40
        MR. J. KOONUK: What's the reason for no proposal?
41
42
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Pardon?
43
44
        MR. J. KOONUK: What's the reason for no proposal?
45
46
        UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No action.
47
48
        UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: No action.
49
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: No action. So that this deals with
Ønit 23 which is the Northwest District over there. And they
were looking either for support, no support or no action; one
If three that we can take, correct? And what we're saying is
5hat we'll leave it up to them over there by your motion to
6ake no action, correct?
       MR. UPICKSON: We have no idea how many people live in
Dhat area, and they can decide .....
10
11
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
12
1.3
       MR. UPICKSON: .... how to act on this proposal.
14
15
       MR. R. KOONUK: Second.
16
17
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We have a second here. Any more
dascussion?
19
2.0
       MR. TAGAROOK: Ouestion.
21
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: The question's called on the motion.
All in favor of no action signify by saying aye.
2.4
25
       IN UNISON: Aye.
26
27
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed, same sign?
28
29
       (No opposing responses)
30
31
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing none, the motion to take no
a2tion is approved. Okay. Proposal 66.
33
34
       MR. ULVI: Mr. Chairman?
35
36
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh. Go ahead.
37
       MR. ULVI: Yeah. Mr. Chairman, Steve Ulvi. Sorry, I
was following along there. On Proposal 64, the action you took
#0 amend the language to say that no more than five caribou
€buld be taken out of GMU 26 per regulatory year, is that
∉@rrect?
4.3
44
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yes.
45
       MR. ULVI: And that kind of puts Anaktuvuk Pass in a
Bad way, they're in GMU 24.
48
49
      UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Okay. Whoops.
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Geoff, you got us into it.
       MR. CARROLL: You better have one of your Federal
wizards figure out the wording on that.
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Bill, if you'd get up where we -- or
Somewhere where you can be .....
       MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, it would be very easy to
Ammend your statement to say out of Unit 26 or Anaktuvuk Pass.
10 other words, just include Anaktuvuk Pass within that area.
$8 that way, they are protected.
14
15
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: With the exception of Anaktuvuk
Páss.
17
18
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Well, say it in a sentence. Not
more than five caribou may be transported from Unit 26 and
Aflaktuvuk Pass? Okay.
2.1
22
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We have a clarification, and I
Want it on record that if there is no objection, we'll go ahead
24d put in the appropriate language to protect subsistence
25ers from Anaktuvuk Pass to not be adversely -- to not be
26 cluded in the five bag per regulatory year limit.
                                                    And that's
the amendment. And if we have consensus, if there's no
@Bjection, we so note it and it will be written into our final
proposal.
30
31
       No objection, so noted. Okay. Thank you.
32
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Okay. Proposal 66, this is a
₱#oposal to change a customary and traditional use
determination. This is one of our first. And you'll notice in
Bhe proposal booklet, it has -- the discussion varies a little
Bit. And I'm actually going to change it in another way by
38ding the eight factors when I do the final. I decided it
Beeded to be done a little differently.
40
41
       But anyway, this proposal was submitted by Kuukpikmiut
¥21lage again. They were busy this year. It requests that
Migigsut be added to the customary and traditional use
44terminations for hunting sheep in Unit 26(C). I think
Φbere's -- well, I'm going to back up a little bit here.
46
        There are eight factors that we use to determine
Whether or not a community has a customary and traditional use
40 termination. And the first factor, which is not listed in
```

here, but I'll read to you is a long-term, consistent pattern of use excluding interruptions beyond the control of the community or area. If you go back in the literature, there's a dot of evidence that people in Barrow and, assumably, people on the Colville River hunted sheep to the east, and there were deferences as far back as 1892. And you know -- and there's devidence that people lived in that region, as you know, for a song time. I think there's no doubt that people in the Nuiqsut area had a long-term, consistent pattern of use.

They currently have a C&T determination for Unit 26(A) \$2d (B). So what they're asking for is to have (C) added to 18. The other villages that have a customary and traditional 18 determination for Unit 26(C) are Kaktovik, Venetie, Fort Y5kon, Chalkyitsik and Arctic Village. In 26(A) and (B), the 16 sidents who have a customary and traditional use determination are Anaktuvuk Pass, Kaktovik, Nuiqsut and W8 seman.

19

I think it's worth noting that some of those 20mmunities are as far away as 200 miles. So -- and you know, while we did not make those determinations, that these were 20es that were adopted from the State. And I think that they were adopted from the State because -- I mean that they were 20e originally by the State because people have in the past 30ene that far, whether they go that far today is a little bit 20e how. But I just wanted to point out that they do -- we do 20e existing determinations where people are traveling long 20estances.

30

We -- this is where I wish -- I kind of wish Frank Badn't left. The -- we don't know what the harvest level of Ball sheep in Unit 26(B) is. There have been some subsistence ase studies done in Nuiqsut, and there were -- there have never Been any reported harvests of Dall sheep. That doesn't mean shat they don't harvest them, we just don't have any record of There's nothing that's been in the literature that they Barvest Dall sheep. And there -- when mapping was done, there as no mapping of traditional use areas for Dall sheep. So then I look at the literature, I can't really see where it's showing up that Nuiqsut uses sheep. But I think they probably do, but it's probably very small. And I mean -- and it could be very recent. I don't know.

44

I also know that in talking to Shere Pederson, he feels that the use patterns of the people in Nuiqsut have been thifting since they did some studies in 1985, partly because of the Bay being there. He said he wants to go back in and do to the more studies because he says the people are definitely

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 272-7515 traveling differently than they did because they've had to kind
@f go around Prudhoe Bay. And you know, it's -- they -- he
@aid they definitely make a big, you know, curve around that
whole area. So it could be that their uses are changing.

We have some -- this isn't cited in the literature, but we found out from someone through the Refuge that apparently the reason this was proposed is that there are two Nuiqsut nunters who have in the past two years been traveling very long destances, into the Brooks Range, primarily for hunting wolf and wolverine. And the guess is, and this is where if he were here, maybe he could verify this, that those two hunters have been saying gee, you know, wish we could get some of those there.

15

So the question I think that's before you is did they ha- -- do they have customary and traditional use of it. And because there are two hunters who are going in that area now and perhaps more hunters, we don't know; I mean there are lot off unknowns in this whole issue; does that mean that they should be able to hunt -- consider 26(C) as their customary and calcinoal use area.

2.3

And because the -- we don't have any record of Nuiqsut Afinting sheep, I can't answer the additional questions that you Afve to ask in order to have a customary and traditional use Aftermination. But I can look at Kaktovik who does hunt sheep Afd say well, if this is the way Kaktovik does it, it could be Afsumed that if Nuiqsut got sheep, that they would do it the Afme way.

31

The next factor that has to be covered is a pattern of the recurring in specific seasons for many years. In Kaktovik, they are of course driven somewhat by regulations, but their sheep harvests occur in late October through late November and the March and early spring in conjunction with fur bearing and the same and they are they have had they many, many, many generations that they -- and they continue the have a pattern of use recurring in specific seasons.

The third factor is a pattern of use consisting of M2thods and means of harvest which are characterized by 43ficiency and economy of effort and cost conditioned by local 4Maracteristics. And in Kaktovik, people travel in family 45oups. They -- extended family groups, they travel and hunt 46gether up into the mountains to go sheep hunting. They primarily use snow machines and much less often ATVs. They 48avel into the mountains together to establish a camp near the primary sheep hunting areas.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 272-7515 1

The fourth factor is a consistent harvest and use of fish or wildlife as related to past methods and means of taking hear or reasonably accessible from the community or area. This is sue of whether it's reasonably accessible is kind of a factor to look at, because 26(C) is a long distance from Nuiqsut, and they'd have to travel a couple hundred miles by snow machine to get up into the mountains there. And I guess it's a question to me as, you know -- as to whether or not people are actually doing that.

11

But the fifth factor is a means of handling, preparing, preserving and storing fish and wildlife which have been traditionally used by past generations, including consideration of alteration of past practices due to the recent technological advances where appropriate. And in Kaktovik, which I'm using as our example, as with all take of large terrestrial mammals, the harvest is quartered in the field and brought back to the tommunity for final processing and distribution. And Dall abeep meat is preferred fresh but is also frozen and otcasionally processed into dried meat. I think it's considered a highly desired meat by North Slope Inupiat as well.

24

The sixth factor, a pattern of use which includes the Banding down of knowledge of fishing and hunting skills, values and lore from generation to generation. I think there's no abut that the people in Kaktovik and -- certainly have particular hunting skills and knowledge regarding hunting and processing Dall sheep, and the appropriate ways of handling the material and the principles of resource conservation such as over 32 avoiding over-harvest and waste.

33

The seventh factor is a pattern of use in which the Bārvest is shared or distributed within the definable community of persons. And in Kaktovik, the Dall sheep meat is shared Bhroughout the community and as well as throughout the North Saope Region. And it's an essential part of community feasts such as Thanksgiving, Christmas and Nalukatak. And I think that all of these, we're using Kaktovik as an example, but -- Bêcause of the close similarities of the Nuiqsut people. If they do, in fact, harvest Dall sheep, we can see that they they do things in a very similar way. And I don't have any feasons to not believe that, I think they would.

45

The eighth factor, and the last factor, is a pattern of 43e which relates to reliance upon a wide diversity of fish and 48ldlife resources of the area and which provides substantial 49ltural, economic, social and nutritional elements to the 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 272-7515

```
tommunity or area. And Nuigsut residents do, in fact, depend
ûpon a wide diversity of resources; caribou, moose, brown bear,
Bowhead whale, seals, walrus, polar bear, birds, fur bearers,
small game and fishes. And about -- we know from subsistence
tise studies that about 97 percent of all the households harvest
Some sort of subsistence resources, and 90 percent harvest some
Type of big game. All of the households use the subsistence
Besources in Nuigsut.
       And I think as in all North Slope communities, when --
While resources may not provide a large percentage of the total
pounds of meat consumed, they do provide some variety to the
d3et. So while there may be a very low number of Dall sheep
Attually harvested, I think it does provide some variety to
their diet. We also know in Kaktovik, in years when the
b6whead whale harvest has not been -- has been poor that then
₩@ can expect that those years, there's a higher level of sheep
Marvest since the bowhead whale is harvested in the fall.
19
20
        I think that while there's no documentation in the
21terature of Nuigsut residents customary and traditionally
Munting Dall sheep, since it settled -- was settled in 1973
2Bat people in the region were known to have hunted Dall sheep
24rlier in the century. And that's -- I think it's up to this
25uncil to make a recommendation as to whether they think that
they should have C&T in 26(C) or not.
2.8
       MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman.
29
30
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead, Fenton.
31
       MR. REXFORD:
                      I move to approve Proposal 66 as
$Besented by the Kuukpikmiut Village or per this discussion.
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. You have a motion before you.
B6 we hear a second?
37
38
       MR. UPICKSON: I second it.
39
40
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Seconded by Gordon. We're open for
41scussion.
42
43
       MR. REXFORD:
                     Thank you.
44
45
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Fenton.
46
47
       MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman.
48
49
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead.
50
```

```
MR. REXFORD: I thank you. Yes, there have been recent
ases from the residents, for instance, an elderly couple named
Mar. and Mrs. Neal Allen is a very good example. And his family
are using our area for, like you said, diverse usage or
diversity of the resources used. And I think there would be
Other examples. There have been folks coming to -- in the fall
8ime by boat or marine, so there would be either trading or --
Of that nature. So but I do know there are -- there have been
$@veral trips by Mr. Allen and Mrs. Allen through Canada.
11know, like you said, centuries ago -- early part of the
₺₴ntury, their -- I think their children and their children
would like to pick that up again as far as getting the resource
f#om this part.
15
16
        So I'm in favor of the motion.
17
18
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Thank you, Fenton. More
d9scussion?
2.0
21
       MR. R. KOONUK: Question called.
22
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Question called for on the motion. All
2A favor signify by saying aye.
25
26
        IN UNISON: Aye.
27
28
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed?
29
30
       (No opposing responses)
31
32
                        Hearing none, motion carried. Thank
       CHAIRMAN ITTA:
₿du.
     Here's our favorite one coming up.
34
35
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I get a break, it's Steve's turn.
36
37
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: You're going to let Steve handle this
38e, huh? Muskox.
39
40
       MR. KOVACH:
                     Unh-unh.
41
42
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Wait, sorry. Wait, wait.
                                                      Sorry.
43
44
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Proposal 67.
45
46
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I take that back.
47
48
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: You didn't get away from that one,
Melen.
50
```

```
MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I didn't get away from it, no.
3
4
        MR. KOVACH: This one's C&T.
5
6
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           Yes.
7
8
                     That's why you took it.
        MR. KOVACH:
9
10
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Yeah, sorry, I was hopeful, you
khow.
12
13
                    Another proposal from the Kuukpikmiut
        All right.
\Psi4llage, this is Proposal 67. And it was asked for -- or this
poposal would provide Nuigsut, Barrow, Atgasuk, Wainwright,
P6int Lay and Point Hope with a customary and traditional use
dætermination for muskox in Unit 26(A) and would provide
Naigsut and Kaktovik with a customary and traditional use
determination for muskox in 26(B). Kaktovik already has one
∄0r 26(C).
21
22
        The proposal also asks for the hunt to be by Federal
28gistration only with up to 10 permits issued to eligible
24sidents in each village. And it would close Federal public
25nds to the taking of muskox by non-subsistence hunters.
Additionally, this proposal would provide for a season for
Munting muskox in 26(A) from July 1st through June 30th and in
28(B) from October 1st through November 15th and from March 1st
20rough March 31st.
30
        Now, we have a map. I'll just start out from the very
Beginning with the map. It's not in your booklet, we just got
BBis the day before we came up here from the -- .....
34
35
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Before we do it, .....
36
37
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: ..... from Pat Reynolds.
38
39
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: ..... Harry has a question, Helen.
40
41
        MR. BROWER: Helen, I didn't clearly understand what
∳@u said by the 10 permits for each of the villages or just 10
permits for all the villages, for .....
44
45
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: It's .....
46
47
                     .... the villages named above.
        MR. BROWER:
48
49
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: It says with up to 10 permits issued
50
```

```
to eligible residents in each village.
3
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: So you're saying 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 --
40?
5
6
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           That was the request.
7
8
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Sixty would be harvest limit?
9
10
       MR. KOVACH:
                     That was the proposal.
11
12
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: That was the request.
13
14
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
15
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Okay. You've heard over and over
today that there's not much Federal public land in 26(B), and
this is 20- -- this is all 26(B) here. And this map shows you
Where the groups -- each one of those little circles is a group
26 muskox. And then the sort of dots, which is hard to tell
2thause they kind of blend together, are bulls. And I don't --
B211, can you point on there, there's only one circle as I
28call where -- in the 20- -- where the Federal public lands of
MASKOX.
25
2.6
       MR. KNAUER: Right down here.
27
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: That's the only sighting that has
@@curred on Federal public lands of muskox. And this -- I'm
3howing you this right up front to show you the problem we have
31 26(B) is that while there are muskox in 26(B), and you've
B@ard today about the 20 to 30 muskox that are kind of resident
abound Nuigsut, there are very few in -- on Federal public
Band.
35
36
       Now, that doesn't mean you can't give them a positive
G&T determination. I mean I'm just letting you know what your
ôptions are. You could say people in Nuiqsut have -- has
80stomary and traditional use of muskox; however, there are
Aône on Federal public lands so, therefore, they don't have a
      I mean, you could do that. But as -- or you can just --
∲∂u can do whatever you want. But you cannot give them a
&dstomary and traditional use determination. But in any case,
#Hat's the situation we have in 26(B).
45
46
        There's -- in 26(A), there's a large perc- -- well,
#dost of 26- -- and I don't know if most is the right word, but
48very large percentage of 26(A) is Federal public land. But
49 of right now, there aren't enough numbers of muskox to hunt.
50
```

1And I know we've heard Geoff talk before about flying to look for muskox and not having seen any. We do know from reports from people who live in the region that they see muskox, we know they're there, but the population is still too small to allow a hunt at this time.

Because we -- there hasn't been a hunt of mus- -- or there hasn't been muskox hunting in -- except with the exception of Kaktovik in the North Slope for probably way over 100 years or more. Anything that -- everything that I'm going to say about muskox hunting is based, again, on what they've done in Kaktovik and not what's been done currently.

We do know that muskox were on the North Slope. They where -- occupied much of the western and all of the northern Abaska during the Pleistocene era. We don't know exactly why they've declined, but by -- we do know that by the 19th the tury, muskox were really only occurring in Northern Alaska. And there is some archaeological evidence that they occurred the tof Wainwright. There were reports in the 1850s of muskox but they and they that peop- -- while no muskox were taken, plople knew about muskox but they had never actually seen one alive.

In mus- -- in Kaktovik, muskox has been integrated into their annual pattern of subsistence activities. And I think to an excellent example of where there's a resource that was throduced and people have made it a subsistence resource. They participate directly in the harvest. They use it, the bodes, the furs, their horns. They trade, bart- -- they trade and barter it. They utilize all parts of the muskox as they do the resources. And they share it, it's distributed abongst people at special gath- -- celebrations like Thanksgiving and Nalukatak and Christmas. In fact, when I was as Nalukatak last June, I got to have -- help make the muskox shoup which was great.

Anyway, in Kaktovik, ever since muskox has been -BMey've had a hunt, it has been regulated heavily. And so,
therefore, there's not what we call a customary and traditional
theason, but they do hunt it in -- from October -- the end of
tober to the middle of November and then in March. Hunting
the muskox occurs by snow machine into the area and then often
the foot once the herd is seen. And there's at least one bow
then the Kaktovik, and I don't know if there are any more.
The total contents the foot of the hunting is done by small groups of hunters
the value of the area by snow machine.

As with all large terrestrial mammals, the harvest is 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

quartered in the field and brought back to the community for final processing and distribution. Muskox meat also is preferred fresh but it is also frozen and occasionally processed into dried meat. It is a highly desired meat by some fesidents, although, there were people I know who said they didn't care for -- who I talked to there who didn't care for the meat I think because it's relatively new, and sometimes new things take a little longer to -- for people to accept.

It is recently accessible to the people in Kaktovik. And the -- while it's a new thing, a new resource that they're harvesting, they do have particular hunting skills and knowledge regarding hunting and processing muskox similar to the -- to other hunting skills that they have for other to other hunting skills that they have for other to other north shope residents, harvest a wide diversity of resources; taribou, moose, brown bears, bowhead whales, beluga whales, shals, walruses, polar bears, birds, fur bearers, small game and fishes. And in all of the North Slope, a very high percentage of people, with the exception of Barrow which is somewhat lower, over 90 percent of the households harvest and also consume them.

I think in conclusion, I think it's up to the Council 25 decide whether or not because there's not a significant 26mber of -- a significant enough number of the muskox to hunt 27 26(A), if that precludes you from having a positive C&T 28termination. And then because in 26(B) while there are 29skox, there are virtually -- there are very, very few on 39deral public lands, that that also would prohibit you from 32

33 This is something new for us to deal with and it's \$4mething new for the Councils to deal with and the Board as \$6ll. And we haven't had this situation come up, you know, of \$6hat you do when there's not enough to hunt but somebody wants \$7 on it.

38
39 CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Thank you, Helen.
40

MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Do you want public comments?

CHAIRMAN ITTA: I suppose we

44 45 MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I guess.

41

42 43

46 CHAIRMAN ITTA: It might be a little important.

48
49 MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Well, Proposal 67, ADF&G opposes
50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

because there aren't enough muskox to hunt in 26(A). And that 2n 26(B), the issue of Federal land is a long distance for Muigsut people, and that there aren't enough muskox in 26(B) to Munt.

I mean to say, actually, not being a biologist, I didn't know these things and I thought it was sort of Anteresting. The muskox that are in 26(B) on Federal public Mands tend to be bachelor males. These muskox, the bachelors, \$6 out and seek new grounds, you know, and then they go back and tell the other peop- -- other muskox come on with me, you know, there's good land over here. And they go back and get basher mixed age groups and mixed sexes and they migrate into that area. And so the theory is that if you hunted the few mtskox that are available on Federal public lands in 26(B) that then you would probably be curtailing expansion into that area.

17 18

So

19 2.0

MR. UPICKSON: That's a scientific theory or

21

MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I don't know. Those bachelor males, 28u know, going out seeking new territory.

25 MR. UPICKSON: I tried to understand how it applies to 26skox, we're not sure.

28 CHAIRMAN ITTA: I was going to say that this muskox 29 sue up here, it gets people pretty worked up here because 10body wants to take credit on managing them and, yet, nobody wants them killed off up here. And obviously, the large bulk 8% them are on State lands, and I think it wasn't the State BBat introduced them anyway, I think it was some Federal agency Bhat introduced them, was that correct?

36 MR. CARROLL: It was both, both State and Federal β∉ople involved.

38

39 CHAIRMAN ITTA: But there's one overriding concern that 40hear that these muskox are chasing away our caribou from #Meir traditional grounds, and that's what we keep hearing from #De people that are actually out there and watching and 4Bserving. And I know even on this customary and traditional 4se, if nothing is documented, then they say it's not worth abything, and I say that's a bunch of baloney.

46

But nonetheless, we have this proposal before us, and I A8tice the Gates of the Arctic Resource Commission went ahead 40d approved it with another addition, and that is to include

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982

1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 272-7515

```
Anaktuvuk Pass in there as a part of the villages here.
       But -- and on your preliminary -- your conclusion, you
Assentially say that there is no basis for this -- .....
6
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                          Well, there's no basis for .....
7
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: .... this proposal.
10
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                          ..... a hunt, but I don't know if
that means -- I mean, I don't know what you do, whether that
fine ans you don't give C&T at this time and do you wait and defer
18 until the time when there is a basis for a hunt.
What the State did.
                    The State only did C&T determinations in
Kāktovik because there were enough muskox to hunt there but
aboided the issue of would other communities qualify.
₩@'ve never done this. This is new to everybody.
18
        So -- and you know, I don't know what this Board is
§0ing to say to the question of well, they haven't hunted them
It a long time so, therefore, you know, they could say,
2Derefore, they don't have C&T or they -- there is the little
2Bause in there, a long-term, consistent pattern of use
24cluding interruptions beyond the control of the community or
asea. And in fact, if muskox had not disappeared, people would
26ve continued to hunt them, I'm certain of that. So I think
Phe Board could use that as the reason why they could allow it,
28t they may not. I don't know. This Board is completely --
almost completely new, they're even new to this program. So
30 ....
31
32
       MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman.
33
34
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead, Fenton.
35
36
       MR. REXFORD: Yes. I know there is a concern or wishes
37ve heard that they want to open it up around Point Hope and
B8int Lay area. And I think, like they did in 26(C), they made
20nes in Unit 26(C) for the residents of Kaktovik so they won't
40plete those that travel in zones, you know. So they might be
#Me hot spot and they might all be there, and we want to do
away with that, but keep away from zones. But I think maybe
#Be whole 26(A) could handle 20 permits rather than say 10 for
4Ach village. I'm just thinking out loud. Five for Point Lay
45d five for Point Hope, and Barrow, Atqasuk and Wainwright
A6ybe could get five and Nuigsut could take five and/or put
20(B) for Nuigsut getting five. That kind of thing.
48
49
       MR. BROWER: There's the -- Mr. Chairman.
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Harry.
       MR. BROWER: I don't think there isn't much Federal
Sand around Nuigsut just for a muskox hunt, isn't that right,
Bill?
       MR. REXFORD: 20- -- yeah, what was that one? Oh,
Bhat's Tier II, I'm sorry. Okay. Yeah, I understand now.
10
11
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Harry.
12
       MR. BROWER: I don't have a problem with this permit
$4stem up to 60 permits being issued where there are that many
Māskox herd within our boundaries here. I don't think 60 of
them would be suffi- -- or that's too much from the way I see
1₹.
18
19
       MR. REXFORD: Yeah.
2.0
2.1
       MR. BROWER: It's .....
2.2
       MR. REXFORD: I was thinking 20 for the whole 26(A).
2₩enty permits for whole 26(A) and divide it between Point Lay
25d Point Hope getting five each. Barrow, Atgasuk, Wainwright,
26ve and Nuigsut five.
27
28
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Are you looking to amend or do
№ even have a motion here? We don't even have a motion for
approval yet, so we're just still on discussion. We're just
Balking here.
32
       MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman, I think especially
3Aportant is the C&T issue, if we could get that determined.
R5ally, that needs to be set forth. And I think Kaktovik and
361 the other folks along the Slope are the same people and
addistomary and traditionally, we've hunted the muskox. And
BBeir precedence has already been set by the ADF&G as well as
Ble Subsistence Board.
40
41
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Edward?
42
4.3
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Helen.
44
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I thought maybe I should just
A6ntion, in the Seward Peninsula we just had this discussion
ABout allowing a muskox hunt. And if I'm -- Steve can correct
A8 I'm remembering my biological facts wrong. But there are
990 something muskox in that region, and they estimate they can
50
```

```
harvest about three percent of the population, and they're
allowing 24 permits. So that gives you some idea of -- you
know, of what you might be able to allow. And since they
Maven't even -- Geoff can maybe speak to this. But I don't
5hink they thought -- they know that there just aren't that
Many muskox in 26(A), that that gives you some idea of if
₹ou're only looking at three percent of the numbers that are
8here, .....
10
        CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                        Thank ....
11
12
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: ..... it doesn't leave very many.
13
14
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. What was the number that three
⊅5rcent would be .....
16
17
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           Three percent .....
18
19
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: ..... the sustainable yield?
20
21
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                          Yeah.
22
23
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: And that's 27, right?
2.4
25
        UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:
                             Twenty-eight.
26
27
        CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                       Twenty-eight.
28
29
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           Twenty-four .....
30
31
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Twenty-four.
32
33
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: .... in the Seward Peninsula, yeah.
34
35
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: I can't even multiply right here.
       Bill.
Okay.
37
38
        MR. KNAUER: Mr. Chairman, procedurally to make it
@asier on yourselves, you may wish to split this into two
48sues. The first issue being C&T and then once you've made a
decision there, the second issue a season.
42
43
        CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                       Um-hum.
44
45
                     That might make it a little easier on the
       MR. KNAUER:
€6uncil.
47
48
        MR. REXFORD: Yes. I agree with that.
49
50
```

```
MR. BROWER: Yeah.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We'll see if we can split this
4p in two parts here and see if we all agree on customary and
Fraditional use .....
7
       MR. R. KOONUK: Mr. Chair, so move.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: .... issue here. And the motion to
formally accept there has been customary and traditional use
patterns by Nuigsut, Barrow, Atgasuk, Wainwright, Point Lay and
₱@int Hope as well as Kaktovik -- I said Nuiqsut and Kaktovik's
addiven (ph).
14
1.5
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Anaktuvuk Pass.
16
17
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: And Anaktuvuk Pass, I'm sorry, right.
A8d there is a motion to that effect, do we hear a second?
19
2.0
       MR. TAGAROOK: Second.
2.1
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Seconded by Terry. We're open for
23scussion on this customary and traditional use issue. Any
A4scussion?
2.5
       MR. REXFORD: Yeah. Yeah, just real quickly. There's
Dæen a precedent already set, like I said, .....
28
29
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
30
        MR. REXFORD:
                     ..... customary and traditional
determinations for Arctic Village and our neighbors on the
30uth side of the Brooks Range, Fort Yukon and Venetie as far
3$ we export Yukon (ph) too. So I don't think we'll have any
85 oblem with that.
36
37
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
38
        MR. REXFORD: That's on the sheep. But as far as
40 termining for that, it'll be -- it's already documented long
Before they were wiped out in the early century.
42
43
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Right. Okay. Any other discussions on
the C&T? No? Somebody call for the question, please, on the
A5tion.
46
47
        MR. BROWER: Call for the question.
48
49
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: The question's been called on the
50
```

```
motion. All in favor signify by saying aye.
3
       IN UNISON:
                    Aye.
5
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed, same sign?
6
7
       (No opposing responses)
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing none, it is our opinion that
there has been customary and traditional use established for
Muskox for those named regions. Now, we get to the harvesting
portions here, and we have a number submitted in here.
$3mebody help me out on putting together a motion to come up
₩4th a number here based on sound wildlife management
Dractices? Go ahead.
16
17
        MR. REXFORD: My question would be to Mr. Carroll.
am trying to find in my library that I have here a number.
think there was quite a substantial number around the Point
20pe and Point Lay area, that includes -- which is 26(A)
21ndiscernible - telephone cutout) .....
23
        MR. CARROLL: Fenton, this is Geoff Carroll. In our
24rveys, we found 196 musk oxen in the area between Point Hope
25d down towards the Kotzebue area. We didn't find -- we
a6dn't ever find any -- very many north of that. I mean, they
₩@re from -- they were all between Cape Lisburne and then that
28retch of coast down towards Kotzebue. We -- you know, we did
a9flight last summer with high hopes; you know, we wanted to go
80t and document sightings in 26(A). And those others are all
31 Game Management Unit 23, you know, we found the largest
Bûmbers of caribou -- or musk oxen down there.
34
        When we flew in 26(A), you know, there was some North
35ope Borough employees, myself and Amos Agaanasaga (ph) from
B6int Lay. And we covered a lot of ground in 26(A) and, you
Rinow -- and like I say our intention was to go out and document
30me muskox sightings, and we just didn't find any.
80 say that there are no musk oxen in 26(A) by any means
$\text{0} cause they do show up at villages now and then and
♦$verything. And there are some dispersing musk oxen wandering
Alround out there.
43
        But to this point, we have not been able to document
#hat there are any breeding populations in 26(A), and we just
## find of occasionally run across single animals, with the
♠Xception of there was a family group near Point Lay fall
$8 fore last but those were all illegally harvested. So .....
49
50
```

```
MR. REXFORD: Okay. Let's see the distribution that
You gave us as far as the draft plan for muskox.
Brying to say (ph). But in 26(B) there was about -- east and
₩est about 237 counted as far as the Fish & Wildlife counted,
From 122 to 237 increase in three years from 1990 to '93.
with three percent take, I think that 26(B) could handle seven
and 26(A) could handle six, rounding it out to 200, so a total
8f 13 or close to 15 is what I was .....
10
       MR. CARROLL: I guess you kind of lost me there. Where
dld you come up with the six for 26(A)? As far as I know,
there is noth- -- ....
13
14
       MR. REXFORD: You said there was 26(A) -- or right
Abound Point Hope, Point Lay area had about 200 animals.
17
       MR. CARROLL: Well, that's -- they're all under
Game ....
19
20
      MR. REXFORD: (Indiscernible - interrupted, telephone
21tout) ....
23
       MR. CARROLL: ..... Management Unit of 23, that's
Where all of those have been seen. We really have not found
25y concentrations of muskox in 26(A) anywhere. We find single
26spersing animals or pairs, you know, we just
Maven't -- ....
2.8
29
       MR. REXFORD: Okay.
30
       MR. CARROLL: .... haven't found any breeding
populations or anything in 26(A).
33
34
      MR. R. KOONUK: Mr. Chair.
35
36
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Um-hum.
37
38
       MR. R. KOONUK: When did you go up that way?
When was this?
40
41
      MR. CARROLL: That was last spring is when we did the
#@unt and came up with 196. Most of them near Cape Thompson
and those -- that next set of mountains on down the coast.
44never -- I always pronounce it incorrectly, Tahinichok
M5untains or -- anyway, down near the mine road, you know, and
#Mat site, down where the mine comes out to the coast there.
47
48
       MR. R. KOONUK: Red Dog Mine?
49
50
```

```
MR. CARROLL: Yeah. So unfortunately, we're just not
quite overrun with muskox in 26(A) yet, there really isn't.
There aren't many around. You know, I'd love to find a whole
Bunch somewhere, so if anybody knows where some are, I'd like
to know about it so we can have a season. But so far we just
haven't found them, if they're out there.
        MR. REXFORD: Okay. Okay. Well, in that case,
Mr. Chairman, as far as number of takes or permitting, I have
$\text{$\text{0}$}$ thing else to say on 26(A). But we do know that Point Lay
and Point Hope, we've heard, you know, and also from Nuigsut,
that they would like to -- what should I say -- control of the
18flux or the invasion in place of the other animals.
Would be some sort of control I would say.
16
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Well, I'd like to go ahead and keep
moving here. It seems like we're not going to move too much on
this issue, other than just to say that we have verified and
M0ted that there has been customary and traditional use of
200skox. And on these numbers here for 26(A), it seems like
$\daggeq$\text{du're saying there's no muskox to harvest over there, right,
@@off? And then Ray after you.
23
        MR. CARROLL: Yeah. We just haven't found any. You
Rhow, I think it would be appropriate to have a hunting season
26wn in the Point Hope area, and there are -- there is, you
Rinow, a substantial population down there. Unfortunately,
2Bat's in Game Management Unit 23 and that's something that
20cd work on in the future. I don't know. Kind of what I
₩ôuld suggest on this is that all of us kind of work the next
🗫 ar on developing a muskox management plan for the North
32ope, you know, just taking into account .....
34
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Which includes?
35
36
       MR. CARROLL: Well, yeah. And a management plan for
28(A) and Northern Game Management Unit 23 and, you know, come
36 with a reasonable plan for that that we can present to the
Bederal Board and to the State Board. And you know, I just
#Bink we need to kind of do our homework on all that and come
40 with a -- ....
42
43
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Right.
44
        MR. CARROLL:
                     ..... with a well-formulated plan that
these guys are likely to accept.
47
48
        MR. R. KOONUK: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, I have one
destion.
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Ray.
3
       MR. R. KOONUK: When you fly down, was it cloudy, windy
5r was this a nice day or .....
7
       MR. CARROLL: When we flew in 26(A) or .....
8
9
       MR. R. KOONUK: Yeah.
10
       MR. CARROLL: It was a perfectly clear day. We kind of
Waited. It was a bad year to do surveys really. And I'm not
$aying we did the ultimate muskox survey. We -- at first, we
₩ant- -- you know, you want to survey muskox either when
there's total snow cover or else there's no snow cover. And we
₩6nt through a long -- we had weather problems when there was
$Now cover, we weren't able to get everybody together from the
B8rough and everything to do the survey then. And then there
was a long period where it was just patchy, you know, there was
20real slow spring to develop this year for some reason, that
2here were patches of snow. And under those conditions, it's
A@arly impossible to spot musk oxen 'cause it just messes your
29es up, all that white and brown and everything.
2.4
2.5
        We waited till the snow was gone, and it was August by
26at time. And you know, we didn't do the best survey there
ê√er was, but it was a clear day and good visibility. And we
88otted bears and almost everything else down there but we just
and musk oxen. So .....
30
31
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
32
33
       MR. BROWER: Mr. Chairman.
34
35
       MR. R. KOONUK: 'Cause I know there's a lot of hunters
Bhat spot a lot of muskox up there, you know. And at times
Bhey were thought, from a distance, they're caribou but they're
38st a bunch of muskox running around and disturb .....
39
40
       MR. CARROLL: In what area is that?
41
42
       MR. R. KOONUK: Right around Cape Thompson going up to
Kidpuk.
44
45
       MR. CARROLL: Oh, yeah. And that's where we saw -- we
$6w a lot of musk oxen in there. That's where we did the
#arlier survey, and that's where we, you know, counted 196
48 imals up in there. We did find a lot in that area.
Agree, I think that there's enough musk oxen in there to, you
50
```

```
know, work up a plan and start a hunting season in there.
2 think as far as 26(A) at this point, I just have no evidence
Bhat there are any -- there's any real viable population of
Ausk oxen anywhere.
6
       MR. BROWER: Mr. Chairman.
7
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Harry.
10
                    I'd like to make a motion that we do not
       MR. BROWER:
take any further action until the respective agencies regarding
to do -- to make a management plan to be presented to us, until
1Bat time.
14
1.5
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
16
17
       MR. R. KOONUK: Second.
18
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Moved and seconded to essentially table
P@oposal 67 at this time. Any comments and discussions? Ask
for the question, please.
23
        MR. J. KOONUK: Mr. Chairman.
2.4
25
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead. Jake.
26
27
       MR. J. KOONUK: Before your question, I'd like to make
28comment on if you're going to do that, I'd like to see some
29 someone, maybe State Fish & Game or U.S. Fish & Wildlife, to
30 least test five animals, muskox, from our area down there
Bécause of (indiscernible) teria and contamination .....
32
33
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
34
       MR. J. KOONUK: ..... for analysis before you put any
B6mitations on how many you can catch.
37
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Would you make a note of that,
Barbara, that we can inform both our staff and also ADF&G to
46dress Jakie's concern here regarding getting -- doing a
sampling project on the muskox down there before any harvest
₱2ojects are done or implemented. Okay.
43
44
        MR. CARROLL: Okay. 'Cause you want to have them
45sted for contaminants?
46
47
        MR. J. KOONUK: Um-hum.
48
49
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Right.
50
```

```
MR. J. KOONUK: Plus there's a lot of muskox that
Winter in that creek, Snowbank Creek, a lot of times, and
that's where they did the testing.
6
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Ray.
        MR. R. KOONUK: Mr. Chair. The reason why earlier I,
You know, requested to defer a sampling program to our next
meeting, I could have made a request to -- you know, all the
amimals that are over there.
12
1.3
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh.
14
MR. R. KOONUK: And I thought, you know, it'd be -- you know, I want to find out who can do all this, to analyze all
the animals and get samples. And you know, we have a problem
♦ der there. And it's -- and the musk- -- if they can do that
to all the muskox, I don't see why they can't do it to all the
adimals that are living over there, 'cause you've got the fox,
Ŷou got squirrels that dig around that area, and the caribou
and the moose and whatever, you know.
23
2.4
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We can .....
25
        MR. CARROLL: Well, the North Slope Borough has a
27ntaminant's expert.
2.8
29
        MR. R. KOONUK: Right.
30
31
        MR. CARROLL: He just went to work for them a couple
days ago.
33
34
        MR. R. KOONUK: What I understand was the Borough, you
Rhow, they can do it. But you know, what's going to bring some
36uff up in regards to a sampling program that we're looking at
Bight now. And we have some support from people from down
States who has no affiliation with the State or Federal
agencies. And that's -- you know, they're an independent firm
that will give us the result.
41
42
        MR. CARROLL: Uh-huh.
4.3
        MR. R. KOONUK: But as you know, we're, you know,
45 aling with the State and the Federal agencies. We're not,
♦6u know, getting anything, you know.
47
48
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
49
50
```

```
MR. CARROLL: Yeah. I'm just saying if you're
Irustrated with that, you know, we got to take action on it as
She Borough. You know, you've got the capabilities to do that.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Any other discussion on the
6abling motion? If not .....
8
        MR. REXFORD: The question.
9
10
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: The question's called on the motion.
All if favor signify by saying aye.
12
13
        IN UNISON: Aye.
14
15
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed, same sign?
16
17
        (No opposing responses)
18
19
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing now, Proposal 67 is tabled.
20
21
        MR. KOVACH: Mr. Chair, point of clarification.
22
2.3
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead.
2.4
25
        MR. KOVACH: On your tabling motion, are you also
26bling the positive vote that you just made for -- .....
27
28
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: No.
29
       MR. KOVACH: ..... for C&T or just -- .....
30
31
32
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: No.
33
34
        MR. KOVACH: ..... or just for the hunt?
35
36
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Right, just for .....
37
38
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: So for seasons and bags, you're
8abling that, but you're still carrying on .....
40
41
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Right. It's been determined that yes,
₩2 have customary and traditional use. We're tabling the rest
4% the proposal.
44
45
        MR. KOVACH: Correct. We're just -- ....
46
47
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead. Very -- ....
48
49
        MR. KOVACH: .... we're just trying to get clear on
50
```

```
that.
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: ..... very briefly. We're getting on
4n time. We're starting to make my 5:00 o'clock deadline, I
don't know if we're going to do it here, guys.
       MR. ULVI: Mr. Chairman, Steve Ulvi with the Park
Service. I feel like maybe I should be an honorary Nunamiut
%ph) here or something. But on the C&T for muskox, I didn't
Mear you address whether Anaktuvuk Pass should be included in
12
13
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yes, it was.
14
15
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Yes, it is included. It is.
16
17
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: It was.
18
19
       MR. ULVI: Thank you.
20
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Okay. Boy, that was quick.
Môw, if they'd only all be like that. We have 68, Proposal 68,
again put by Kuukpikmiut.
2.4
25
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Okay.
                                 I'll hustle.
26
27
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: They were busy all right.
28
29
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                          Okay.
                                  This -- ....
30
31
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Good for them.
32
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: .... this proposal submitted by
K4ukpikmiut would increase the wolf hunting harvest limit from
30 to 15 in Unit 26. This current harvest limit was adopted by
8%e Federal Subsistence Board at their meeting last year in
April of 1994. Before that, they didn't have a harvest limit
$8r hunting wolves in Unit 26. The State hunting regulations
also had no harvest limit from 1976 to 1991. And then after
#Bat, it was reduced to 10 wolves. The reduction in the State
Marvest limit was not in response to any biological problems,
ADF&G submitted a proposal to the Board in 1993 to have the
$$ate and Federal harvest limits aligned per Unit 26.
44
        Last year when the North Slope Regional Council voted
46d discussed the proposal that we had last year, they noted
#Mat they would like to review -- reduce the confusion and
48ted to support the proposal. I'm just trying to give a
49ttle history here of where we've been coming from. So we
50
```

didn't have a limit, we went to 10 last year because we wanted to align it with the State. And now Kuukpikmiut is asking for 30 to 15.

4

I think we know about the Federal public lands, we've falked about that in Unit 26. There are wolves all throughout 26. They tend to be in the mountainous portions of the unit due to the greater diversity and abundance of prey in that Degion; although, there have been increasing observations of Wolves on the coastal plain in 26(A). Generally, wolf densities are fairly low. They're -- in the Colville Drainage 12 26(A), the es--- the density is estimated at one wolf per 130 square miles. And in Units 26(B) and (C), it's estimated to be one wolf per 120 to 170 square miles. The populations abe believed to be increasing in 26(A) and stable to slightly 16creasing in 26(B) and (C).

17

I don't -- I think we can -- you all know how wolves age used in the North Slope, so we can go -- skip that. We aon't have very good sealing records for wolves similar to the problems we have with bears. And in a minute, we'll hear about all verines, it's the same problem. In a 10-year period from 2984 to 1993, 36.5 wolves were sealed in Unit 26. In contrast 24 so that's how many were sealed. We know that in Anaktuvuk pass there were 75 wolves sealed one year. And so that I mean account of the problem of the pr

28

MR. KOVACH: That's 36.5 per year.

29 30

MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Per year, sorry. Per year. And BDat's for the whole Slope. The other rural residents sealed and average of 2.3 wolves per year, so other people outside of BDAe Slope. Non-rural residents and non-residents reported Barvesting wolves primarily from 26(B), whereas 26 -- Unit 26 Basidents reported wolf harvest primarily from Unit 26(A).

37

Now, here's the real crux of the whole proposal. Bere's no harvest limit for wolves under trapping regulations. 480 if you have a trapping license, you can harvest wol- -- as Alany wolves as you want, unless you're on Park Service lands. Park Service lands have their own -- we heard about that a little bit earlier today, have their own regulations. But if You're not on Park Service lands, you can harvest, if you're trapping, as many wolves as you want. Shooting accounts for 72 Porcent of the wolves sealed by North Slope residents. Trapping accounts for the other 28 percent. Most of the people 48 it's really a more customary and traditional practice to Alboot wolves rather than to trap them.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 272-7515

```
And there's really -- there's no real biological reason
Why we can't allow people to take as many -- well, let me start
this over. Sorry. In the North Slope, most of the wolves that
are taken are pursued and specifically taken rather than being
6rapped. And since there are no harvest limits under trapping
Tegulations, and with the exception of on Park Service lands,
Andividuals possessing a firearm can take an unlimited number
Of wolves with a firearm, there is not a biological reason for
10t adopting the proposal.
        The only problem with adopting the proposal is then we
#311, once again, be out of sync with the State regulations,
which last year we tried to align. So it's up to the Council
15 decide what to do on this.
16
17
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: So if you have a trapping license,
$9u're virtually, in essence, unlimited, .....
19
20
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                          Right.
21
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: ..... right? Okay. What's the wish of
2Re Board on Proposal 68?
25
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: By the way, ADF&G was neutral on
2his proposal, and the Alaska Wildlife Alliance opposed it
D@cause they didn't think there was enough information about
₩8lves. And the Subsistence Resource Commission from Gates
29pported it.
30
31
       MR. BROWER: Mr. Chairman.
32
33
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Harry.
34
       MR. BROWER: Move to make a motion to support the
$60posal, Proposal 68, to increase the bag limit from 10 to 15.
37
38
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. There's a motion to approve
Byoposal 68, do I hear a second?
40
41
       MR. UPICKSON: Call for the ques- -- .....
42
43
       MR. AVEOGANNA: Second.
44
45
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Second by Jim Allen (ph). Any more
d6scussion?
47
48
       MR. UPICKSON: Question.
49
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: If not.
3
        UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: The question's called for.
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Question called for. All in favor of
Broposal 68 signify by saying aye.
        IN UNISON: Aye.
9
10
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Oppose, same sign?
11
12
       (No opposing responses)
13
      CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing none, Proposal 68 is approved.
1Do you want to go ahead to Proposal 69 (In Inupiat) wolverine,
Unit 26. Steve.
17
18
        MR. KOVACH: The last proposal of our good friends.
They are requesting an increase in the hunting harvest limit of
\&0lverine. The existing limit is one, they're requesting that
De increased to 10 per year.
        As a point of background, the Federal Subsistence Board
deliberated on the proposal from Kaktovik in 1992.
25quest -- their proposal requested an increase in the
26 liverine harvest limit from one to ten and also to extend the
2% ason through the end of April for Unit 26(C). The Board
28 nied the proposal primarily due to the request for the season
29 tension. But the reasons for denying the increase in the
B@mit was not documented, and we couldn't find the rationale
Bor it.
32
        The harvest limit of one has been in Federal regs since
3490 and has been in State regulations since 1969. Typically,
$51verine populations are found in low to very low densities,
86 matter where they're found, and the population on the North
Slope is no exception to this. They have a fairly low
\Re \Theta productive capability, especially when compared to other
manuals of their size. The combination of a naturally low
#Ocurring density and a low reproductive rate makes wolverine
4ery sensitive to over-harvest. And as such, biologists in
#2neral tend to be very cautious when managing these kinds of
#3pulations.
44
        Currently, the only kinds of infor- -- only kind of
A6nitoring that is done for wolverine by both State and Federal
$7ologists is basically incidental observations they collect
★Bile doing something else. So we basically really don't know
#9ch about the status and trend of the wolverine population on
50
```

the North Slope. Currently, it is believed by Fish & Game, the Refuge and the Park that the populations are stable and healthy at this time; however, that's questionable because we really Aren't collecting any kinds of information on that.

5

As we heard this morning, you cannot use a firearm on Park Service lands under a trapping license to harvest fur Bearer, otherwise, if you have a trapping license on the North 9lope, you can take an unlimited amount of wolverines. As we've heard before, compliance with sealing records is -- or tompliance with sealing is very low, so the sealing records that we do have can only give us an indication of activities that are occurring.

14

- Over the 10-year period of 1984 through 1993, North \$\frac{1}{2}\$ ope residents have sealed a total of 39 wolverine, whereas \$\frac{1}{2}\$ on-rural residents of Alaska have sealed 67. The sealing \$\frac{1}{2}\$ cords show us that for the wolverine sealed by residents of \$\frac{1}{2}\$ enough North Slope 92 percent of those were harvested out of \$26(A)\$, and the other eight percent or three animals were \$\frac{1}{2}\$ errors from 26(C).
- Shooting, as with like wolves, is the predominant means of take for wolverine. The exception to this is the people in Abaktuvuk Pass area, they lie in the heart of prime wolf and Oflverine country, and their trapping is the predominant means of harvesting. In fact, of the 15 wolverine reported by Abaktuvuk Pass, 12 of the 15 were taken through trapping means. 290 they're kind of the exception to this rule up there.

30

- Again, I want to caution the Council that we know very 43ttle about wolverine. They are sensitive to over-harvest, 4Ad such a significant increase from one a year to ten a year 45y be viewed with some resistance by some managers because of 4Ke lack of information we have.
- 48 As far as public comments was concerned, we -- Fish & 48me was neutral on this. The Alaska Wildlife Alliance was 50

R & R COURT REPORTERS

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 272-7515

```
$trenuously opposed to what they call the thousand percent
2ncrease in the harvest limit. And the Gates of the Arctic SRC
Becommended an increase to only five. I'm trying to go as
duick as I can.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Uh-huh.
                                 Okay.
                                        We have
Proposal 51 (sic) before you, what is the wish of the Board?
9
       MR. BROWER: Mr. Chairman.
10
11
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: 69.
12
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead, Harry.
13
14
1.5
       MR. BROWER: I'd like to make a motion to take the
16commendation of the Subsistence Resource Commission to
17 crease the bag limit from one to five .....
18
19
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
20
2.1
       MR. BROWER: .... for wolverine.
22
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Did I see 51 again? We're
£alking 69. Okay. I'm sorry. Okay. And there's a motion
Defore you to approval Proposal 69 with the change being that
26stead of ten, we have five .....
27
2.8
       MR. R. KOONUK: Second.
29
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: ..... and follow the same -- we have a
3&cond by Ray Koonuk. Any further discussion?
32
33
       MR. TAGAROOK: Question.
34
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: The question's called on the motion.
Abl if favor, signify by saying aye.
37
38
       IN UNISON: Aye.
39
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed, same sign?
40
41
42
       (No opposing responses)
43
44
       MR. REXFORD: (In Inupiat).
45
46
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: (In Inupiat). Hearing none, motion
&arried with the number five, it's not ten. We have no more
₱8oposals before us or in the back do we .....
49
50
```

```
MR. KOVACH: Mr. Chairman, we have actually two more
proposals.
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
5
6
       UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: They're not on the agenda.
7
                    They're not in your book but they should
       MR. KOVACH:
De stuffed in the back of your book, Number 54 and Number 60.
10
11
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
                           They're loose.
12
13
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
14
1.5
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: They're loose.
16
17
       MR. KOVACH:
                     There's loose. These proposals are --
these proposals primarily affect the Eastern Interior area but
b@cause of a positive C&T finding that includes Kaktovik for
2Deep in Unit -- in 25(A). The Federal Subsistence Board would
21ke this Council to deliberate on these proposals and either
22pport, oppose or take no action.
23
2.4
       Bill, if you could give me the locator map, please.
25
2.6
       MR. KNAUER:
                    I will.
27
       MR. KOVACH:
                    Just to give you just a real quick,
@eneral location of where these places are that we're
duscussing. That's backwards or upside down or something.
31
32
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Which proposal are you referring to
ndow?
34
       MR. KOVACH: Okay. This'll -- the first one we'll take
36 is 54. It's -- I realize this view graph is difficult to
     But the dashed box -- the other one, Bill, the one with
Bhe dash line.
39
40
                     The dashed box.
       MR. KNAUER:
41
       MR. KOVACH: There you go. Is in the vicinity of
ABctic Village. Within that area is what's called the Arctic
¥4llage Sheep Management Area, it was created by the Federal
Stabsistence Board in '91. And basically, it encompasses an
46ea that the Board determined that the sheep population was of
stich a low density that it could only support a harvest by
$8bsistence users. So they closed it to the taking of sheep by
♠⊕ople other than qualified subsistence users. Those users
50
```

```
being residents of Arctic Village, Chalkyitsik, Fort Yukon,
Yenetie and Kaktovik.
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
        MR. KOVACH:
                    Basically what the proposal is asking for
1s for an extension of the area to the North to include two
More drainages. The density of sheep in those two additional
@rainages is approximately 10 times that found inside the
management area. The density inside the management area is
Approximately 0.2 sheep per square mile, where the density up
10 the Cane Creek, Red Sheep Creek area is approximately two
$Beep per square mile. The reason that area was excluded

♠#iginally is because it was determined that there was

$5fficient numbers of sheep in that area to support harvest by
b6th subsistence and non-subsistence users. And we believe
that is still to be the case today. And I've got a lot more
18 formation if you would like.
19
20
        MR. REXFORD: Mr. Chairman.
2.1
2.2
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead.
23
        MR. REXFORD: Yes. Just for a point of clarification,
№6're talking about Proposal 54 and 55?
26
27
       MR. KOVACH:
                    Just ....
2.8
29
       MR. BROWER:
                     54.
30
31
       MR. KOVACH:
                    .... 54.
32
       MR. REXFORD: Just 54, only? Okay. For expedience, I
am in favor of the proposal, so I would recommend that we vote
35 favor of 54.
36
37
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We have a motion before you, do
₩8 hear a second?
39
40
        MR. R. KOONUK: Second.
41
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: We have -- the motion's been seconded.
4Any further discussion?
44
45
        MR. R. KOONUK: Yes, Mr. Chairman.
46
47
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Ray.
48
49
       MR. R. KOONUK: Next time, I recommend that the Staff
50
```

```
here -- they've been here over this last couple of days, and
When we work on our agenda to make sure you include these on
your proposals next time, 'cause this came out of the blue.
And you know, we have an agenda to follow, and I'd like to keep
5t like that.
       MR. KOVACH:
                    The reason these were not included in your
Book is that the -- they are on a schedule behind that for the
North Slope and these proposals actually did not get completed
infill two days ago, and that's why we had to bring these things
úф.
12
1.3
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Any further discussion on
₽#oposal 54?
15
16
       MR. BROWER: Call for the question.
17
18
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: The question has been called by Harry.
1All those in favor of the motion signify by saying aye.
21
       IN UNISON:
                    Aye.
22
23
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed, same sign?
2.4
25
       (No opposing responses)
26
27
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing none, Proposal 54 is approved.
2And lastly?
29
       MR. KOVACH: Lastly, Number 60. Bill, if I could have
Bhe locator map again, please.
33
       MR. KNAUER:
                    Um-hum.
34
       MR. KOVACH: Proposal Number 60 is from the Bureau of
Bánd Management. It affects a very small area, approximately
300 square miles; it's in the upper northwest corner of
Bait 25(A), and it is that portion of Unit 25(A) within the
Balton Highway Corridor Management Area. It's the solid box up
#A the screen up there. And Bill, if you could point to the
∜ery little corner there of 25(A).
42
43
                     It's the southeast corner.
       MR. KNAUER:
44
       MR. KOVACH: Yeah. Basically, the existing regulation
46 that those people with a positive C&T can harvest sheep but
#Mey must do so under a Federal registration permit. And the
#Bree years that the permit requirement has been in effect
#0body has requested a permit. And the request is to -- or the
50
```

810 N STREET 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 277-0572 272-7515

Fax 274-8982

```
proposal is basically to eliminate this hunt due to the fact
2 hat there is no interest in going to this area to harvest
Sheep. It's a small area, it's not real good for sheep; it
doesn't have a lot of sheep in it.
        As far as public comments are concerned, Fish & Game
Supported the proposal as it reduced confusion and unnecessary
administrative paperwork. If there is more questions about this, I can address that, but in the interest of expediency I'm
to be brief.
11
12
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. You have Proposal 60 before you,
What is the wish of the Board? Due to no motion .....
14
        MR. REXFORD: I move for no -- I move for no action.
15
16
17
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Motion for no action, do we hear
18second?
19
20
       MR. AVEOGANNA:
                        (Indiscernible).
2.1
2.2
        CHAIRMAN ITTA:
                         There's a second on the motion. Any
₹3rther discussions?
2.4
25
        MR. R. KOONUK: Question.
2.6
27
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: The question's called on the motion.
All in favor signify by saying aye.
29
30
        IN UNISON: Aye.
31
32
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Opposed, same sign?
33
34
        (No opposing responses)
35
36
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Hearing none, Proposal 60, no action.
37
38
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: That's all.
39
                     That's it for proposals.
40
        MR. KOVACH:
41
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Thank you. We'll go down our
Agenda here, and we have Barbara's turn.
44
        MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Can you table mine until after you
46t your -- we'll switch -- your next meeting date and place
#Ith me and then we can excuse everybody else so I can talk
₩8th you?
49
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. We need to set time and date.
                          They're in your calendar.
3
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Barbara had given us some calendars
Gesterday to set a date for our next meeting that had calendar
Windows. I don't have mine handy.
9
       UNIDENTIFIED VOICE:
10
11
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. And this is -- our meeting
$@metime needs to be scheduled between October 1 and
Nôvember 4th. October, November. Does anybody have a
$4ggestion on the next .....
1.5
16
       MR. UPICKSON: Mr. Chairman.
17
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Gordon.
18
19
20
       MR. UPICKSON: Maybe we can find out when the AFN is
Maving their meeting, and then we can coordinate our next
202 eting with AFN Staff so we can meet with a bunch of our
£8llow Alaskans during AFN.
2.4
25
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Any objections to that?
26
27
       MR. R. KOONUK: No objections.
28
29
       MR. UPICKSON: Maybe our Staff can find out when .....
30
31
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
32
       MR. UPICKSON: ..... AFN's next meeting will be, and we
34n work with AFN and .....
35
36
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Very good.
                                           If there is no
8Djections, we'll go ahead and follow Gordon's recommendation
Bere that we work on coordinating the times and schedule our
80xt meeting to coincide with the AFN convention this coming
#@ll. Okay.
41
42
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Where will your meeting be, in
Anchorage?
44
45
                          Meeting in Anchorage.
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
46
47
       MS. B. ARMSTRONG: In Anchorage?
48
49
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Right, it's in Anchorage. Okay. We
50
```

```
have a place in here for public comments. Do we have any
Dublic comments at this time?
       MR. UPICKSON: I have a question.
5
6
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Gordon. Go ahead.
       MR. UPICKSON: Mr. Chairman, I have a question. What
Mappened when you guys had a meeting with the other Chairs and
Cô-Chairs in Kotzebue? I would like to know what happened
there.
12
13
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: We ....
14
      MR. UPICKSON: I understand you guys give -- gave
M6. Pospahala a real rough time. I'd like to know what came
about. I .....
18
19
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Fenton, do you want me to handle
fhis?
21
                            If you please. I think I'm
       MR. REXFORD: Yeah.
23 oking for Pospahala's .....
25
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Just to be very brief. We had a
206 eting with Region 6, that's the Seward Peninsula folks, the
Morthwest folks and also the North Slope Region, and all the
Yace Chairs and the Chairs were there. And we met with our --
₩9th Mr. Pospahala. And I'm not going to mince any words, it
Was pretty straightforward. There was a lot of discussion
about attitude problems within the Agency, and that we did not
appreciate the way that we felt we were getting treated. And I
dan say that Mr. Pospahala was on the hot seat for a good two
      And for sure, when he got done, he had the message that
B5 understood what our concerns were, and that he would be more
36nsitive to our problems, our concerns and direct his Staff
and his time and effort to dealing with our problems in a
38nsitive and respectful manner. And also, to see what he can
d0 about getting us involved in the front end of policy
40 velopment versus having developed draft policies already and
submitting them; that was an example, that we have some say on
42veloping those policies.
43
44
        We talked a lot about taking even management away and
45ing co-management with the Agency, either through tribal
46ganizations or existing organizations, so that the control
&∂mes down a little more locally. And I -- myself, I -- after
48 got done, I felt real good about the meeting and how it
#Added up. And we were all assured by Mr. Pospahala that he
50
```

```
will certainly be working a lot closer with us in a lot of
areas than in the past.
4
        We've got Helen first and then Bill.
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I think you probably got a copy of
₹he letter that .....
9
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yes.
10
11
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG: But to inform the other people on
the Council. As a result -- I don't know if it's totally as a
tesult of that meeting, but I think that that meeting .....
14
1.5
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Right.
16
17
                          ..... certainly pushed it a little
        MS. H. ARMSTRONG:
     One of the complaints had been that Mr. Pospahala was --
had been appointed as the Native liaison for Fish & Wildlife
20rvice and people were really upset about that; although it
Wasn't his fault. I mean, he was appointed that position.
2Dink he then very strongly took your viewpoint to management
28 Fish & Wildlife Service, and now they are going to --
Placy're in the process of creating that position.
                                                   They have to
White a job description. So they will be hiring and assumably
26 will be a Native.
2.7
2.8
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: A Native liaison.
29
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: A Native for the Native liaison
Bosition.
32
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
33
34
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: And I think that's -- that was a
Beal good .....
37
38
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah.
39
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: ..... move forward. And I think he
41d take to heart quite a few of the comments that were made,
40st in talking to him about the meeting, and I worked with him
68 that letter. I think he listened.
44
45
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Good.
                               Bill.
46
47
        MR. KNAUER: I was just going to relay that .....
48
49
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
50
```

```
MR. KNAUER:
                    ..... they were establishing the liaison
as a separate position.
5
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Fenton, anything you want to add?
6
7
       MR. REXFORD: Hello?
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yeah. Anything you want to add?
10
11
       MR. REXFORD: Yeah.
                            I was just going to say you said
What I was going to say, Edward, except in a more
Mâderstandable way. I think it turned out to be a real good
meeting. We had Sheldon Katchatag, Bill Barr (ph) from the
$5ward area and also Walter Sampson and Pete Schaeffer (ph)
f6om Northwest Arctic. So some -- we had the meeting and it's
17 black and white now that he's saying that he wants to follow
through with our concerns.
19
20
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay.
                              Ray.
21
       MR. R. KOONUK: Who is Mr. Pospahala and what's his
position?
2.4
25
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: He's -- ....
2.6
27
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Go ahead.
2.8
29
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: .... he's the director of the
30bsistence -- of the Office of Subsistence Management at
B1sh & Wildlife Service, and he also serves on the Staff
60mmittee as our representative.
33
34
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: We -- under the subsistence issue, he
$5 the top dog that was supposed to be working with us, and we
$61t we were getting the runaround from him in a lot of areas
37 ....
38
39
       MR. R. KOONUK: So you fired him?
40
41
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Yes. That was discussed, too. So like
#2said -- go ahead, Bill.
43
       MR. KNAUER: Yes. He will remain the Assistant
Regional Director for Subsistence Management.
46
47
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Um-hum.
48
49
       MR. KNAUER: The Native liaison is a separate position,
50
```

```
totally different from the Subsistence Management Program.
3
        CHAIRMAN ITTA: Right.
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: And that Native liaison will deal
with all issues that deal with Natives at Fish & Wildlife
Service not just subsistence.
9
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. All right.
10
11
       MR. UPICKSON: Just -- thank you.
12
13
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Thank you, Gordon. At this
##me, I want to excuse the rest of the Staff with the exception
$5 our Coordinator. We need to just discuss a couple items and
₩6'll be on our way. Helen, before we close.
17
18
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I just wanted to thank you all for
having us here. And I wanted to reiterate that we are your
Staffs, we're here to serve you and to provide you with
2mformation. And also, if you ever have questions or we can be
Of any help in any way, Steve and I are always available. And
23u know, I really enjoy coming up here and working with this
2@uncil, you're a great group of people. And it -- I always --
₹5u know, people will say oh, you're going to Barrow, and I say
26love going to Barrow. I like working with that Council.
27
2.8
       MR. KOVACH:
                    It's fun up here.
29
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: So I just wanted to thank you all.
It's been a good meeting.
32
33
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Okay. Okay.
                                     Thank you, Helen.
34
35
       MR. R. KOONUK: Mr. Chairman.
36
37
       CHAIRMAN ITTA: Ray?
38
39
       MR. R. KOONUK: You guys have cards, business cards?
40
41
       MR. KOVACH: Um-hum.
42
43
       MR. R. KOONUK: Phone numbers, stuff like that?
44
       MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Mine are being made. We just moved
#6 our office and I need to get new ones made. But I can write
47 down for you.
48
49
       MR. R. KOONUK: Could I collect your cards? Everybody.
50
```

```
CHAIRMAN ITTA: All right. Okay. I'm going to go
3head and -- we've set our meeting date tentatively. At this
#ime, I'm going to go ahead and adjourn our meeting with the
Exception of a very few minutes that the Board sits here with
6ur Coordinator, and ask everybody else to step outside for a
Minute. We'll go off record. Thank you for attending
everybody.
10
        (Off record)
11
12
                       (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
13
                           * * * * *
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

810 N STREET 277-0572 Fax 274-8982 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE

272-7515

```
CERTIFICATE
GNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                     )ss.
STATE OF ALASKA
        I, Rebecca Nelms, Notary Public in and for the State of
Alaska and Reporter for R&R Court Reporters, Inc., do hereby
@ertify:
10
11
        THAT the foregoing pages numbered 72 through 210
tontain a full, true and correct Transcript of the North Slope
Subsistence Regional Advisory Council, Volume II, meeting taken
€4ectronically by Meredith Downing on the 17th day of February,
1995, beginning at the hour of ____ o'clock a.m. at the North $6ope Borough Assembly Room, Barrow, Alaska;
17
18
        THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript
tequested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by
Mêredith Downing and Karen E. Squiers to the best of their
Rhowledge and ability;
22
23
        THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party
2Aterested in any way in this action.
26
        DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 24th day of February,
2995.
2.8
29
30
31
32
                         Notary Public in and for Alaska
33
                         My Commission Expires: 10/10/98
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```