NORTH SLOPE FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL PUBLIC MEETING

September 9, 1998

9:00 a.m.

Arctic Slope Regional Corporation Building Barrow, Alaska

VOLUME I

COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:

Fenton Rexford, Chair
Benjamin Hopson, Vice Chair
Harry Brower, Jr., Secretary
Terry L. Tagarook
Gordon Upicksoun
Paul Bodfish
Mike Patkotak
Gordon R. Brower, Sr.
Charles F. Hopson

Barbara Armstrong, Coordinator

```
0002
1
                        PROCEEDINGS
2
3
           (On record - 9:12 a.m.)
4
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Good morning, everyone. I'll call
   the North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Council Meeting
7
   to order at 9:12. I want to welcome everyone here.
           Before we get started, we just want to review who's
10 here, have a roll call and establish a quorum to commence
11 business. Harry, do you want to call the roll, please?
12
           MR. H. BROWER: Fenton Rexford?
13
14
15
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Here.
16
17
           MR. H. BROWER: Terry Tagarook?
18
19
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Terry will be in later this morning
20 on the morning flight, so he'll be here.
21
22
           MR. H. BROWER: Gordon Upicksoun?
23
24
           MR. UPICKSOUN:
                           Here.
25
26
           MR. H. BROWER:
                           Ben Hopson?
27
28
           MR. B. HOPSON:
                           Here.
29
30
           MR. H. BROWER: Paul Bodfish?
31
32
           MR. BODFISH: Here.
33
34
           MR. H. BROWER: Ray Koonuk?
35
36
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Ray called, and will not be
37 attending.
38
39
           MR. H. BROWER:
                           Okay.
40
41
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Excused absence.
42
43
           MR. H. BROWER: Leonard Tukle?
44
45
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Leonard was -- he left a message
46 that he was weathered in at Cross Island, so his attempt to get
47 here did not go, so he has an excused absence.
48
49
           MR. H. BROWER: Mike Patkotak?
```

MR. PATKOTAK: Here. MR. H. BROWER: Charlie Hopson's here as an alternate, Mr. Chairman. We have a quorum. I'm here. Harry Brower is CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, is Ray excused? Did he 10 call? CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, Ray is excused, there was a 13 medical.... MR. UPICKSOUN: He's not absent, he's excused? CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah. Medical reason. MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. CHAIRMAN REXFORD: For his immediate family, so he has 22 an excused absence. MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. And that's no problem with the rest CHAIRMAN REXFORD: 27 of the Council? We'll excuse Leonard and Ray. Is there any 28 objection to excusing them? MR. UPICKSOUN: No objection, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN REXFORD: No objection, okay. Thank you. There is a quorum as Harry stated. We have seven 35 members out of nine at this time. We have alternates. This 36 year we're -- we want to take advantage of having alternates, 37 and Gordon Brower, Sr., was selected to be first our first 38 alternate from Brower, as well as Charlie Hopson. So they'll 39 have the voting. They'll be able to vote along with us, so you

41 42

Before I get started, my name is Fenton Rexford for 43 those that don't know me. I'm from Kaktovik. And we'll maybe 44 start introducing ourselves. We have a new member that's with 45 us, seat number 6, Paul Bodfish. He's from Atqasuk. We have 46 Mike Patkotak, our new member. And maybe we'll just go around 47 the table here. We have Gordon Upicksoun.

40 have the voting capability as a member as an alternate.

48

49 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, I was glad to see the 50 alternate, Charles and Mike and Gordon -- Gordon and Charles as

0004 alternates. I was glad to see their names on the -- as alternates, and I welcome you, Mike, as a member, and Paul. I'm from Point Lay. Good morning. 4 5 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Thank you, Gordon. Harry Brower? 6 7 MR. H. BROWER: Morning. My name is Harry Brower, I'm 8 representing Barrow. And I also welcome all the new members 9 and alternate members to the Regional Advisory Council. Thank 10 you. 11 12 MR. B. HOPSON: And my name's Ben Hopson, Jr., from 13 Anaktuvuk Pass. And I'd like to welcome the new members and 14 new alternate members also. 15 16 MR. BODFISH: My name is Paul Bodfish. I'm a new 17 member from Atgasuk to this council. 18 19 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: The gentleman in the back there, 20 Ken? 21 22 Ken Adkison with the National Park MR. ADKISON: 23 Service in Nome and Kotzebue. 24 25 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Good morning, Ken. 26 27 MR. ADKISON: Good morning. 28 29 MS. DOWNING: Meredith Downing with R & R Court 30 Reporters in Anchorage. 31 32 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: She'll let us know if we're not 33 speaking load enough or something. So she's our court 34 reporter. 35 MS. H. ARMSTRONG: 36 Helen Armstrong, anthropologist for 37 Subsistence at Fish and Wildlife. 38 39 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: She's in the Anchorage office, 40 Subsistence Management Office down there. Again, she's the 41 anthropologist. Rosa? 42 43 MS. MEEHAN: Rosa Meehan. I'm in the Subsistence 44 Office, and I work with the anthropologists and biologists. 45 And this empty seat here is Donna Dewhurst, and she had a 46 family issue she had to deal with last night, but she'll be in 47 on the plane this morning. And she apologizes in abstencia for 48 not being here at the start of the meeting.

Okay.

49 50

CHAIRMAN REXFORD:

0005 1 MS. MEEHAN: But she'll make it here. 2 3 4 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All right. Thank you, Rosa. Go in the back there? Oh, I'm sorry, Mike. MR. GOODWIN: Willie Goodwin, Chair for Northwest 7 Arctic. 8 9 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Nice to meet you. Nice to see you. 10 11 MR. BEVINGTON: Dan Bevington with the North Slope 12 Borough GIS, the mapping office. 13 14 MR. YOKEL: Good morning. I'm Dave Yokel with the 15 Bureau of Land Management in Fairbanks. 16 17 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: And, Mike? 18 19 MR. PATKOTAK: Yeah, I'm Mike Patkotak, a new appointed 20 member. I took Edward Itta's seat. I'm here to be with you. 21 Willing to go. I'm excited at the opportunity to serve the 22 Arctic Slope Community, and willing to learn the ropes. I'm 23 excited to be part of this subsistence board that's so 24 important to our livelihood. 25 26 MR. C. HOPSON: I'm Charles Hopson from Barrow. 27 alternate. I'm an alternate for Barrow or to..... 28 29 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: No, for the whole region. 30 31 MR. C. HOPSON: For the whole region, okay. 32 33 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: For the region. One of the missing, 34 either Ray or Leonard, so -- thank you, Charlie. Barbara? 35 36 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: I'm Barbara Armstrong, coordinator 37 for North Slope Regional Advisory Council, and also Northwest 38 Arctic. 39 40 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Thank you. And I'll just have 41 Willie explain again what -- we'll be keeping our ears open. 42 We usually have a chance for people to say things about issues 43 that affect North Slope neighbors, and feel free to jump in 44 there when we get to certain topics. We ask for anyone to make 45 comments. This council provides an opportunity for any issue 46 along the agenda they want to make comments on those certain 47 issues, whatever they may be. So I want to welcome Willie 48 Goodwin. Again, he's the Northwest Arctic Subsistence Regional

49 Advisory Council chairman. Region 9 is that, I guess that's --

50 what region is that, Barbara? We're Region 10.

MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Region 8.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Region 8. So, Willie, do you want to say something?

5 6 7

3

4

MR. GOODWIN: No, I just came up to see how yours operates. I know how we operate.

8

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. All right. Thank you. Did I miss anyone or -- again, there will be some more 10 right. 11 folks coming in on the morning flight that will join us.

12 13

Okay. Mr. Hopson was asking who he was substituting 14 for. Leonard will probably be trying to make it in from 15 Nuigsut, so Ray cannot make it. Ray Koonuk from Point Hope, so 16 you'll be filling his seat, or voting in his place, so we'll 17 keep that Leonard Tukle option open in case he gets here. So 18 you'll be sitting in for Ray, okay?

19 20

MR. C. HOPSON: Okay.

21 22

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All right. If nothing else, we'll 23 move along to Number 4, review and adopt the agenda. Do we 24 have any new items we need to delete, or make any changes to 25 the yellow sheet? There's two -- either two pages or one page 26 that might be in your packet there. Do you want to take a 27 minute to review the agenda, and the Chair will entertain a 28 motion to adopt.

29

MR. H. BROWER: So move, Mr. Chairman.

30 31 32

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Harry moved to adopt the 33 minutes....

34

MR. UPICKSOUN: Second.

35 36

37 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: mean the agenda. And 38 seconded by Gordon. Discussion on the agenda? Any of the 39 staff or....

40 41

MS. MEEHAN: Mr. Chairman, one minor change, and under 42 new business, Sue Detwiler is listed as giving reports, and I 43 will be giving those.

44

45 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. So in her place, agency 1.B, 46 C, and D?

47

MS. MEEHAN: Yes.

48 49 50

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Will be Rosa Meehan.

```
0007
           MS. MEEHAN: And F. F for fisheries.
1
2
3
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay.
4
5
           MR. UPICKSOUN: B, C, and D and F?
7
           MR. H. BROWER: Uh-hum.
                                   (Affirmative)
8
9
           MS. MEEHAN: Yes, sir.
10
11
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Rosa Meehan will be in place of
12 that, so cross Sue Detwiler's name off and put in Rosa. Okay.
13 Anything else? Any staff?
14
15
           MR. B. HOPSON: Mr. Chairman?
16
17
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Hopson?
18
19
           MR. B. HOPSON: Yes. On number, let's see, number 8,
20 I'm going to be submitting a proposal, and can -- let's see,
21 what's -- under item 10, new business, 5.C., Craig Gardner.
22 Can he speak after me on my proposal?
23
24
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: On wolf relocation program?
25
26
           MR. B. HOPSON:
                          Uh-hum. (Affirmative)
27
28
           MR. UPICKSOUN:
                           Don't those new proposal have to come
29 under new business?
30
31
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: I think they coincide, so that will
32 be okay.
33
34
           MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum.
35
36
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: If that's all right with the Council
37 members?
38
39
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?
40
41
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              It would be an issue -- is that the
42 wolf relocation proposal?
43
44
           MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum.
                                    (Affirmative)
45
46
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                             Yeah.
47
48
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Okay.
49
50
           MR. B. HOPSON: And he can provide some biological
```

```
8000
   information.
3
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, we could -- we have room for
4
5
  agencies there under 8.
6
           MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. Okay.
7
8
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: 8.2.
9
10
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, what was the first -- the
11 question made, Ben?
12
13
           MR. B. HOPSON:
                           To have Craig Gardner speak after me,
14 after my proposal.
15
16
           MR. UPICKSOUN:
                           And that would be number four under
17 eight?
18
19
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                              Uh-hum.
                                        (Affirmative)
20
21
           MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. (Affirmative)
22
23
           MR. UPICKSOUN:
                           Okay.
24
25
           MR. B. HOPSON: Or number 3.
26
27
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: He's with the State?
28
29
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Yeah.
30
31
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: State of Alaska?
32
33
           MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. (Affirmative)
34
35
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay.
36
37
           MR. UPICKSOUN: And under 10.5.E, what was that,
38 Mr. Chairman, that Ben requested?
39
40
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Oh, to probably just move 5.C,
41 10.5.C to 8.4. Or 8.3. Number 8.3 or four.
42
43
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay.
44
45
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: That makes them 8.3.
46
47
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Make them both 8.3.
48
49
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay.
50
```

41

42 43

44

45 46

48

47

MS. B. ARMSTRONG: 8.3.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All right. Anything else? Everybody understand we've made some -- a couple of minor changes with name, for Sue Detwiler's place will be Rosa. Hopson wants to move under new business, there's 10.5.C, Craig Gardner's name up to the proposal area. Anything else?

> Call for the question, Mr. Chairman. MR. H. BROWER:

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Question called. All in favor of 12 the adoption of hoe agenda as amended, say aye.

> IN UNISON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Those opposed, same sign?

(No opposing votes.)

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Koyanuk. We have -- to item number 21 5, we have before us the review and the adoption of hoe minutes 22 of March 3 and 4, and they're under tab C. I've had an 23 opportunity to review them on hoe way over, and nights before. 24 What is the wish of the Council on reviewing the minutes at 25 this time before we adopt? Do you want to have several moments 26 to review before the adoption, or have you had the opportunity 27 to review them?

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, our coordinator sent us 30 all this information several weeks ago, and I move to adopt the 31 minutes of hoe March 3 and 4 meeting.

> CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Gordon.

MR. C. HOPSON: Second.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Seconded by Charlie Hopson. 38 Discussion, changes or corrections that you've noted or seen? 39 I didn't see any. Any comment or question from the Council on 40 the minutes? If you have any questions on any of those issues?

MR. B. HOPSON: None.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: None? Hearing none?

MR. H. BROWER: Call for the question.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: The question's called. All in favor 49 of adopting the March 3 and 4, 1998 meeting minutes do so by 50 saying aye?

```
0010
1
           IN UNISON:
                      Aye.
2
3
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Those opposed, same sign?
4
5
           (No opposing votes.)
6
7
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. The minutes of March 3 and 4
8
   are adopted. Thank you.
9
10
           Brings us to the item number 6, election of officers.
11 It's under tab D, and I'll turn the floor over to Barbara, our
12 coordinator.
13
14
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Okay. You know that every year you
15 have elections, and you understand the procedure pretty much,
16 so I'll get right into it. The floor is open now for
17 nominations for your Chair.
18
19
           MR. B. HOPSON:
                           Barbara, I have a question.
20
21
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                              Uh-hum.
22
23
           MR. B. HOPSON: What's the difference between A and B?
24 Chair Coordinator. Is that you?
25
26
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                               That's me.
27
28
           MR. B. HOPSON:
                          Okay.
29
30
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                               She'll be....
31
32
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                              For the Chair, .....
33
34
           MR. B. HOPSON: Okay.
35
36
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: .....just for the.....
37
38
           MR. B. HOPSON: Election rules.
39
40
                              Just for the election.
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
41
42
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Presiding.
43
44
           MR. B. HOPSON: Okay.
45
46
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: And then as soon as you guys elect
47 the Chair, then you -- then the Chair will take over and get
48 the vice chair and secretary.
49
50
           MR. B. HOPSON: Okay. All right.
```

0011 1 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Harry? 2 3 MR. H. BROWER: Barbara, I'd like to elect Fenton for 4 Chair seat. MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Okay. Fenton has been nominated. 7 Any other nominations? MR. UPICKSOUN: Barbara, I ask for unanimous consent 10 for Harry's nomination for Fenton to be our chairman. I ask 11 for unanimous consent. 12 13 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Unanimous consent has been made by 14 Gordon Upicksoun for Fenton. Is there any objection? 15 Fenton, you may take over. 16 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Thank you, Gordon. And 17 18 I'll try my best. I've got one more year on the Council, but 19 I'll be happy to preside as chairman, and I appreciate the 20 confidence of the Council. Quyanak. And I will look forward 21 to working with the rest of you folks. 22 23 Gordon Brower just walked in. Good morning, Gordon. 24 You'll be voting this morning as our council member. Leonard, 25 he left a message, Leonard Tukle was the person that called in 26 saying that he was weathered. Gordon Brower, maybe you can 27 introduce yourself, and do you have anything to say to us this 28 morning before we proceed? 29 30 MR. G. BROWER: Yeah. Well, thank you very much. 31 honored to be here, and want to participate in things like 32 this. I've been interested in wildlife and things that go 33 around in our newness around here. And I'm very happy just to 34 be here and to be a part of this, and hope I can make use of 35 myself. And I just got excused from jury duty. That's how 36 come I'm late. 37 38 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All right. Okay. Thank you, 39 Gordon, welcome. 40 41 MR. UPICKSOUN: Welcome aboard. 42 43 MR. H. BROWER: Welcome, Gordon. 44 45 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All right. I'll have to watch 46 myself this morning calling which Gordon, so..... 47 48 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Just call this one Gookie (ph).

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. U or Mr. B. All right. We'll

```
0012
  proceed then on electing the vice chairman. Nominations are
  open for vice chair.
          MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, for the information of
  new members, Edward Itta was our vice chair. He resigned, so
  we've gone without a vice chair since his resignation. That's
7
  for new members information. Edward Itta was our vice chair
8
  right from the start.
9
10
          CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah. Ray filled in. Or we
11 nominated Ray to be the vice chair at the spring meeting until
12 we....
13
14
          MR. UPICKSOUN: Until this election.
15
16
          CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Until this day, yeah. So the
17 floor's open.
18
19
          MR. H. BROWER: Mr. Chairman?
20
21
          CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Brower?
22
23
          MR. H. BROWER: To elect Gordon Upicksoun for the vice
24 chair's seat.
25
26
          CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Gordon Upicksoun nominated. Any
27 other nomination?
28
29
          MR. PATKOTAK: Nominate Ben Hopson.
30
31
          CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Ben Hopson is nominated. Okay. Ask
32 for one more nomin- -- or any more nominations?
33
34
          MR. B. HOPSON: Mr. Chair, I make a motion that we
35 close the nominations.
36
37
          CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Nomination is closed. Hearing no
38 other nominations for the office of vice chair, I declare them
39 closed. So we have two options: We can either vote by voice
40 or by ballot. What is the wish of hoe Council?
41
42
          MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, by ballot. Clinton (ph)
43 is my....
44
45
          CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                            Okay.
46
47
          MR. UPICKSOUN: ....relative. It would be
48 embarrassing.
49
```

(Laughter)

```
0013
          CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. We'll go by ballot. Secret
2
  ballot at this time.
3
4
          MS. B. ARMSTRONG: You guys have the green tags.
5
6
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: We have the green stick-ems. Vote
7
  for either Ben Hopson or Gordon.
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Everyone here, Mr. Chairman, is voting.
10 He's voting for Leonard.....
11
12
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, he's voting.
13
14
           MR. UPICKSOUN: .....and you're voting for Ray?
15
16
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Leonard -- yeah. If Leonard comes
17 in, I just want to advise you, Gordon, Mr. Brower, that when
18 Leonard comes in.....
19
20
          MR. G. BROWER: I'm back to alternate.
21
22
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah.
23
24
           (Off record conversation)
25
26
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay.
                                     The votes have been
27 submitted. We have the results.
28
29
          MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                             That's how you see this, right?
30
31
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah.
32
33
           (Pause - ballots being counted)
34
35
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Four to three. We have
36 Gordon Upicksoun -- oh, no, we have a tie, I'm sorry. We have
37 a tie.
38
39
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: So what do you do?
40
41
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Maybe.....
42
43
          MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Did you vote?
44
45
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah.
46
          MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Okay.
47
48
49
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Terry Tagarook will be -- did -- I
50 just noticed there's some new passengers or new folks -- new
```

```
0014
   strangers that just walked in. Did you see Terry Tagarook?
  you know Mr. Tagarook?
3
4
           UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: I didn't see him, but there were
5
  -- some people were coming by cab.
                                      So....
6
7
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Oh, okay.
8
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: So we can just hold this off until
10 he gets here then.
11
12
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Yeah.
13
14
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: We can table it until he gets here.
15 We can wait.
16
17
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah. I don't know how we'd -- we
18 can now provide an opportunity for these two gentlemen here to
19 make a speech until we can vote again, or wait for Terry
20 Tagarook to come in.
21
22
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?
23
24
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Gordon? Or, Mr. Upicksoun?
25
26
           MR. UPICKSOUN: There's a lot of issues that have been
27 addressed by this subsistence board, and the fact that
28 Anaktuvuk is surrounded by preserves, parks, there's many
29 issues that -- Anaktuvuk is surrounded by -- they've got no
30 land. They have a lot of issues that this board address.
31 withdraw my name as vice chair and -- withdraw my name from the
32 vice chair. Like I say, we have many issues that this Board
33 addresses, and Ben Hopson has been very much involved with many
34 of the issues that we address, and so I withdraw my name
35 and....
36
37
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Okay.
38
39
           MR. UPICKSOUN: ....have been....
40
41
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Nominator concur?
42
43
          MR. H. BROWER: Yes.
44
45
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All right. Is there any objection
46 to that? Do I hear any objection to Gordon Upicksoun
47 withdrawing his name for the office of -- or the seat of vice
48 chairman? Hearing none, I think we'll go with your.....
49
```

MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. Anaktuvuk is surrounding by

0015 everything. They can't hunt, they can't travel, and Ben Hopson has been at the center of many issues, and he would serve very well as our vice chair. 4 5 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Gordon. 6 7 MR. B. HOPSON: I'd like to thank Gordon's generosity, 8 and I look forward to working with the Council as vice chair. 9 Thanks. 10 11 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you. 12 13 MR. H. BROWER: Congratulations, Ben. 14 15 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All right. We'll go ahead and 16 proceed to the office of secretary. Serves one-year term. 17 18 MR. C. HOPSON: Nominate Harry Brower. 19 20 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Nominate Harry Brower by Charlie 21 Hopson. Harry Brower is nominated. 22 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, I ask for unanimous 23 24 consent. Harry Brower has been our secretary since the start 25 of the Regional Subsistence Council. He's been very diligent 26 in his position, and I ask for unanimous consent for Harry 27 Brower as our secretary. 28 29 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Any objection to unanimous 30 consent for Harry Brower, Jr. for secretary? 31 32 MR. PATKOTAK: No. 33 34 MR. B. HOPSON: No. 35 36 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Hearing none, so ordered. 37 Congratulations, Harry, you've been voted unanimously as our 38 secretary. 39 40 MR. H. BROWER: Thank you all. 41 42 MR. UPICKSOUN: Congratulations to all of our new 43 officers. Or same officers except for Edward Itta -- we've got 44 a new vice chair, Ben. Congratulations to our new officers. 45 46 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Upicksoun. 47 That concludes our election of officers. We'll move on down to 48 item number 7. 49

(Whispered conversation)

0016 1 MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chairman? 2 3 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Patkotak? 4

7

21 22

35 36

39 40

42 43

44

MR. PATKOTAK: I noticed that Mr. Rossman Peetook from Wainwright is not here today. I know this is probably not an issue addressed by this board, being that polar bears are 8 usually handled by the Polar Bear Commission, but I would like 9 to bring -- I would like to propose that this board send a 10 letter to whoever is a commissioner from this area on the polar 11 bears to make a formal letter from this board to address 12 Mr. Rossman Peetook's concerns about not being able to sell 13 polar bear hides and/or artifact to non-natives into a formal 14 form of making whoever is the Polar Bear Commission 15 representative to bring it forth that we'd like a proposal made 16 by them to bring this before the Federal Subsistence Board or 17 whoever that -- whoever has this concern, to bring it forth to 18 the Board. Because I for one, I know Mr. Rossman Peetook is 19 not the only person to address that issue. There's a lot other 20 people with that same concern. And....

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Patkotak, as you recall at our 23 March 3 and 4 meeting, and Rossman is a member of the North 24 Slope Borough Fish and Game Committee, and he did pose a 25 question on proposing discussions at a later date about selling 26 polar bear hide skins in the future as an alternative source of 27 income. There were a couple of answers, or a couple of 28 questions. The other one being from Ray Koonuk, who is the 29 Subsistence Regional Advisory Council member from Point Hope, 30 asked if polar bear hides can be sold to non-locals or whites 31 who are -- non-native who are married to natives. Charlie 32 Brower, D.N. Brower, the Director of Fish and Wildlife Service 33 said that we cannot sell to non-natives even if they are 34 married to a native.

Mr. Patkotak, your wish to send in a letter to those 37 that are in charge of polar bear hides and sales of polar bear 38 hide, perhaps we can either discuss this now or.....

MR. UPICKSOUN: We're under -- Mr. Chairman, are we 41 under 7.A., village.....

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: 7.A., yeah.

45 MR. UPICKSOUN:village concerns from all members? 46 I think he's got a valid concern. And, Mr. Chairman, this 47 issue was addressed at the Circumpolar Conference in Greenland. 48 You and Harry may recall, and Barbara, our coordinator, when 49 this issue was brought up regarding the Marine Mammal 50 Protection Act in regard to polar bear. This is an

international issue, and they had concerns in Canada and 2 Greenland in regards to that also. The over-all concern at the 3 meeting in Greenland was the fact that nobody like hoe way our limitations of how we -- what we do with polar bear skins was 5 addressed. And it's all because of the Marine Mammal 6 Protection Act. I don't recall the particulars. Maybe you can recall more than I can what they said about it, especially 8 Canada.

9 10

7

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yes, there were proposals anyway, 11 and what Gordon was talking about was the Inuit Circumpolar 12 Conference body from Canada and Greenland were wanting to pass 13 a resolution to sell not only polar bear hides but also seal 14 skin on a commercial basis. I think the Alaska delegation from 15 what I heard from them was that we would open up a can of worms 16 if we tried to amend the Marine Mammal Protection Act. 17 where we got stuck, where we -- where Alaskans I think killed 18 the resolution, or the resolution didn't go before the body. 19 Is that -- it goes up to as far as amending this certain act of 20 Congress. So....

21 22

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, it's a tough issue. 23 may recall what happened in Canada, how they used to kill off a 24 whole bunch of seals, especially baby seals because of their 25 fur on Labrador, you know, and it became an international So that is kind of a touchy issue. 26 issue.

27 28

The bottom line in regards to that issue at the Inuit 29 Circumpolar conference was let's not hurt our ability to 30 subsistence hunt. The commercial value of -- we don't go into 31 commercial stuff as subsistence hunters. We would like to 32 address the issue of being able to sell polar bear skins and 33 seal skin products from subsistence hunts, but not -- you know, 34 it's hard to separate commercial and products made from 35 subsistence hunting. It's hard to separate those two issues.

36 37

MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chair?

38 39

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Patkotak?

40 41

MR. PATKOTAK: I still think it's an issue that should 42 be discussed at an open forum. I know, I've kept up with the 43 polar bear issue for a number of years, and it is a can of 44 worms, but I still think it should be an on-going issue 45 addressed by this body.

46 47

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, we appreciated it when 48 Rossman brought it out, and I'm glad you brought it out again. 49 it is an issue that should somehow be clarified, you know.

0018 1 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, it..... 2 3 MR. UPICKSOUN: How do we do it though. Any 4 suggestions that..... 5 MR. PATKOTAK: Well, the -- my only concern here is the 7 fact that it should be an open discussion, because if you don't discuss it, it won't go anywhere. 9 10 MR. UPICKSOUN: Yeah, that's why I appreciate you

11 bringing.... 12

MR. PATKOTAK: No alternative to be addressed without 14 discussion.

15 16

13

MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. I was glad when Rossman brought 17 it up last time, because, you know, nobody ever brought that up 18 before Rossman brought it out in the open -- openly last time. 19 And it's very interesting the way he presented his views. You 20 were probably here last time. It was interesting the way, his 21 views.

22 23

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah. Before I get to Mr. Brower, 24 Gordon Brower, I just want to remind the Council members that 25 this program -- I just want to repeat that for the program that 26 the Federal Subsistence Program makes regulations for the land 27 mammals, land, terrestrial and fur animals, and to -- we're 28 limited to that effect to make a proposal, and that is what our 29 body, our Council's responsibilities are, but we can possibly 30 write -- or ask a question in a letter like you stated, to see 31 whether there is a proper forum, and to work with that Council 32 or Commission, whatever it may be that deals with polar bear 33 hide and the revenue opportunities. I know the MMPA probably 34 have a polar bear commission like they have a Walrus Commission 35 or those kind of bodies. I think that we can ask -- see what 36 -- I'm just leading on the discussion here. As with our 37 authority as Council to deal with terrestrial and other fur 38 animals, land animals. And we can pose a question as a Council 39 to see where residents or those concerned can address a certain 40 forum I think.

41 42

Mr. -- I'm sorry, Mr. Gordon and then Harry.

43

44 MR. G. BROWER: Yeah. If a letter is being proposed to 45 be sent from this body, I would really make sure that everybody 46 is fully behind it, because I wouldn't -- to me, I wouldn't 47 back something like that from my own point of view, because I'm 48 a subsistence hunter, and I know there's revenue to be made, 49 you know, if you want to survive of subsistence, but 50 traditional ways, you make something out of that stuff, you

0019 know. You just don't tunaki (ph) pelts of that kind, especially if it's a protected animal. And I believe if a letter's going to be formed from here as a recommen- -- not a 4 recommendation, but as some kind of a thought to another commission, that it better be fully supported by everybody 6 around here. 7 8 MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chairman? 9 10 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, Mr. Patkotak, 11 12 MR. PATKOTAK: A comment..... 13 14 CHAIRMAN REXFORD:yeah, Mr. Brower, and then 15 Mr. Patkotak. 16 17 MR. H. BROWER: Mr. Chairman, thank you. I'd just like 18 to state that it's -- it was brought up during our joint 19 meeting with our other council -- or North Slope Borough Fish 20 and Game Management Committee, this dealing with the North 21 Slope Fish and Wildlife -- I mean Fish and Game Management 22 Committee, they're able to deal with all the resources, and 23 we're limited to land terrestrial animals as the Chairman 24 stated, so I think it would be a good thing just to get a copy 25 of our minutes and send -- and have the staff send this -- a 26 copy of the minutes to the Nanook Commission to have them 27 address the concern and respond to Mr. Patkotak's concern, 28 valid concern on this issue of polar bear sales. Rawhide sales 29 of polar bear hides. 30 31 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Patkotak, and then Mr. 32 Upicksoun. 33 34 MR. PATKOTAK: Yeah. Yeah, just for the record, before 35 1972 when the Marine Mammal Protection Act came into being, 36 currently you've been hearing this customary and traditional 37 aspect of polar bears. I think dialogue in this direction by 38 this body right here is a valid consideration to define what is 39 subsistence handicrafts. It's well known that we sew these 40 products into artifacts, clothing, hunting equipment, and to 41 define what is traditional native handicrafts as 42 commercialization is ludicrous to me. And I would support 43 clarification on what is commercial sales of polar bear 44 products versus commercialization. I think those are two 45 different completely topics. I'm not talking 46 commercialization. I'm talking clarification of what are valid 47 native handicrafts, polar bear products.

49 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. 50

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?

3 4

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Upicksoun?

7

MR. UPICKSOUN: There's several commissions regarding polar bears. What's that agreement we have with Canada, and what's the function of hoe Nanook Commission? There's a difference. We have an agreement with Canada in regards to 9 polar bear, and also we have the Nanook Commission. That's two 10 -- the agreement that we have with Canada, and there's also the 11 Nanook Commission. Maybe Harry Brower can clarify that issue, 12 our agreement with Canada, and also the function of hoe Nanook 13 Commission.

14 15

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Thank you, Mr. Upicksoun. Okay. 16 have two -- well, we have some folks in here that may be able 17 to answer some of our concern or valid questions.
18 Mr. Upicksoun, then I have Mr. Charlie Brower who's the 19 director of the North Slope Borough Fish and Game -- or Fish 20 and....

21 22

MR. H. BROWER: Wildlife.

23 24

CHAIRMAN REXFORD:Wildlife Department, and then 25 we can move on. We have a question from the back there, 26 Mr. Willie Goodwin. Charlie, can you enlighten us on.....

27 28

MR. UPICKSOUN: I didn't see him, yeah, otherwise I 29 would have addressed the question to him.

30 31

MR. C. BROWER: Meeting adjourned.

32 33

(Laughter)

35 36

34

MR. UPICKSOUN: I doubt. I didn't see him.

37

MR. C. BROWER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. For the 38 record, Charles Brower. I'm the Director of Wildlife, also the 39 Chairman of the Alaska Nanook Commission, and also the leading 40 party for the Polar Bear Agreement with Canada, and also with 41 the Alaska Nanook Commission.

42 43

The function of hoe polar bear agreement with Canada is 44 the management of the Beaufort Sea stock which overlaps Alaska 45 and Canada on the Beaufort Sea. We share the same population, 46 so in order to maintain a healthy population, we went out and 47 tallied (ph) ourselves to manage this shared population of the 48 two countries as uses both sides.

49 50

The only problem we have on the United States is that

we cannot sell, we cannot guide or any selling of the hide to non-natives. That's federal law. It has to come through the legislation. In Canada, they're able to sell and take and also 4 quide people only traditional way, dog team. And that's the 5 only way they can do that. But just recently legislation passed where people that have been hunting, taking trophies out of Canada can bring in their hides with about \$1,000 fee for 8 tax purposes, and now that's legal. That's through the 9 Congress.

10 11

7

The Alaska Nanook Commission was formulated about five 12 years ago with the introduction of polar bear hunting in 13 Russia, and that created a problem. They themselves have not 14 hunted polar bears within 50-some years, and their economy is 15 down, and they're out trying to survive subsistently, and we 16 don't have management of these resources. And not knowing the 17 population status of these animals, you can deplete a stock 18 without even blinking your eye, so that's why we formed the 19 Alaska Nanook Commission, to maintain a management regime. And 20 we have worked with the State Department on these agreement, 21 and it's a government-to-government, native-to-native agreement 22 which is still in the process, so.....

23 24

And with this, you guys were talking about selling some 25 stuff, or handicraft maybe or regular hides, you can sell or 26 give to native-to-native, but you cannot do anything from 27 native to non-native. That's the only problem. Handicrafts 28 are kumiks (ph) and sauta (ph), undugak (ph), kulukshaks (ph), 29 esetivik (ph), but you have to be real precise, because in some 30 areas when you sell a hide like a ruff, it's taken for granted 31 that they'll make a -- what's those fly tackles?

32 33

34

MR. UPICKSOUN: Fly ties.

35

MR. C. BROWER: Fly ties, so it's pretty risky 36 business. You just can't come up and say we're going to do 37 this, or whatever. And the Federal Government has an eye on 38 you, on us, if anything happens. Thank you.

39 40

MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chairman?

41 42

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah. Thank you. Mr. Patkotak's 43 question on defining the sale of polar bear hides was I think 44 the main question. In follow up of Mr. Patkotak's question, 45 Harry Brower also brought up that, and Mr. Gordon Brower also I 46 think, we need to come up with a vote or further discussion on 47 some action that Mr. Patkotak is leading to.

48 49

MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mike?

MR. PATKOTAK: I'd like to expand on that. It's an ongoing discussion, and like you said, it's very touchy. As you
know, this recent publicity about this situation has some ongoing black market trade, and some people have been charged
with criminal crimes for this. And, you know, to make
criminals out of subsistence hunters is not my cookie. If
there -- if it's an on-going thing, it ought to be expanded to
sell to not even non-natives, to open an already existing
market, and make it legal instead of making criminals out of
our own people. Because if they're charged with a federal
crime, they can no longer vote, and some liberal activist
seeing that as a scheme by the Federal Government to make
nonvoters out of natives. I just want to see on-going dialogue
and expansion of native handicraft products as an open market.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?

MR. GOODWIN: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mister -- just a minute. We had 25 Mr. Goodwin in the back that raised his hand.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay.

MR. GOODWIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Brower, you 30 very eloquently talked about the topic, the thing that I have 31 or knowledge, my limited knowledge of it. He's good at it. 32 Thank you.

34 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Willie. 35 Mr. Upicksoun and then Mr. Hopson.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, the ability to make 38 handicraft products out of our subsistence hunt is not limited. 39 You can -- when you subsistence hunt, when you get a polar 40 bear, there's no limitation really on making handicraft 41 products from the hides.

I have seen the commercialization of polar bear, and 44 Willie has been -- you have seen what happened in Kotzebue in 45 the spring time when there is polar bear hunt. Every Piper Cub 46 in the State of Alaska comes to Kotzebue to go hunt polar bear. 47 That's not the way to hunt. They're hunting for sport. We 48 should -- they should -- there shouldn't be any sport hunting 49 in my book. Everybody should be able to hunt subsistence, not 50 for sport. Willie, you have seen -- Mr. Goodwin, you have seen

every Piper Cub in hoe State of Alaska land in front of Kotzebue. You know how bad it used to be, that's commercialization. And I sure don't want to see commercialization of polar bear again like that.

5

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Upicksoun. Mr. Hopson?

7 8

MR. C. HOPSON: Yeah. I would be kind of concerned on 10 the polar bear issue, if you open it up to, you know, selling 11 the pelt of the polar bear. You're going to have people 12 running down the coast every time they sight a polar bear. 13 They're going to see -- they're not going to see a polar bear 14 out there, they're going to see a dollar sign, you know. 15 think the way it is right now, I have an Eskimo shop, and I 16 make mukluk, you know, whatever from people that sell, from 17 natives that I guy polar bear skins from. And it's working 18 good. But once you get that -- open it up to selling the hide 19 to non-natives, you will never have -- some of that stuff is 20 going to disappear, you know, 'cause -- you know, you're going 21 to sell the hide to a non-native, and, you know, some craft 22 that the women make are going to disappear, you know. Besides 23 that, you know, we have a lot of young kids here that, hey, 24 there's a polar bear over there, everybody will take off with 25 snow machines just looking at a dollar sign there, not a polar 26 bear, you know. Thank you.

27 28

28 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Mr. Upicksoun? Thank you, 29 Mr. Hopson.

30 31

MR. UPICKSOUN: Good to see our Wainwright representative, Mr. Chairman.

33 34

34 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Yeah, I want to 35 recognize....

36 37

37 MR. UPICKSOUN: Maybe you can update him on our 38 election?

39

40 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Upicksoun. We 41 just want to break our discussion up this minute to welcome 42 Terry Tagarook. Good morning, Terry.

43 44

MR. TAGAROOK: Good to be here.

45

46 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Just for your information, we have 47 new -- two alternates sitting in for Ray and Leonard Tukle, Ray 48 Koonuk. We have Charlie Hopson, has a two-year seat, expires 49 in 2001.

1

3

4 5

7

8

MR. C. HOPSON: 2

MS. B. ARMSTRONG: 2000.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: 2000?

MR. C. HOPSON: Yeah, 2000.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: And Mr. Gordon Brower, the other 10 alternate. He has a three-year seat. And Mr. Paul Bodfish is 11 our new member.

2000.

12 13

MR. TAGAROOK: Welcome.

14 15

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: As well as Mike Patkotak is the 16 other new member. And we were in the middle of an election, 17 but Mr. Ben Hopson with the graces of Mr. Upicksoun broke a 18 tie. We were four and four. Ben Hopson and Gordon Upicksoun 19 for the vice chair. Mr. Upicksoun gave the seat to Mr. Hopson 20 then. Harry's our secretary and I'm also the Chairman for a 21 year. So for your information.

22 23

MR. TAGAROOK: Congratulations.

24 25

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Thank you.

26 27

MR. TAGAROOK: You picked a good one.

28 29

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: What I'm hearing from the Council is 30 that this is a touchy issue that will open up a can of worms, 31 and the sale of hide would present problems, but we have an on-32 going discussion that is not answered. I think we can work 33 with our staff to find answers to your question of what avenues 34 and what the possibilities are of sale.

35 36

And I just want to clarify, Mr. Patkotak, the question 37 that we need to have our staff work on in finding the proper 38 answers and resolving the concerns. So before we get to that 39 point, you followed up on Rossman Peetook's question, that he 40 would like to see some discussion at a later date about selling 41 polar bear skins in the future, and we can work with 42 Mr. Peetook with the North Slope Borough Fish and Game 43 Committee council member or representative. And their next 44 meeting I believe will be in December. Maybe the North Slope 45 Borough Fish and Wildlife Department can follow up on his side. 46 As for our Council here, I just want to get clarification as to 47 what question or concern we can try and answer. Mr. Upicksoun?

48

49 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, is our agenda open for 50 amendment?

0025 1 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, we can..... 2 3 MR. UPICKSOUN: If so, I'd like to later on towards 4 this meeting, maybe tomorrow, we'll discuss further Mr. 5 Patkotak's concern under 10.B.1. Maybe tomorrow we'll have -we'll be able to address Mr. Patkotak's concern further, under 7 10.B.1. 8 9 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. 10 11 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: 10.B.1., polar bear. 12 13 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Is that all right? 14 15 MR. PATKOTAK: That's just fine. 16 17 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. 18 19 MR. PATKOTAK: On-going dialogue, and that type of 20 thing. 21 MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes. Yes. 22 23 24 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. 25 26 MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes, we will be able to think about it 27 another day before we discuss it further, Mr. Patkotak. 28 29 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Upicksoun. 30 Again I'll just summarize. Rossman wanted to hear from the 31 North Slope Borough Fish and Game Committee, and he would like 32 to see on-going dialogue or in the next meeting further 33 discussion. And that will be in the December meeting of the 34 Fish and -- North Slope Borough Fish and Game Committee. And 35 for this body, keep your concern, and maybe we can put that in 36 writing or try to get a clarifi- -- answers to your concern or 37 question, Mr. Patkotak. Okay. Is that fine with you? 38 39 MR. PATKOTAK: Yes, thank you. 40 41 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All right. Thank you. I failed to 42 describe what we're on, number we're on. Seven. We're -- on 43 the agenda as you can see is the opportunity for public 44 comments on the Federal Subsistence Management Program. 45 46 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman? 47 48 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Upicksoun? 49 50 MR. UPICKSOUN: Terry brought some other members of the

0026 staff in with him. 2 3 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Oh, okay. Yeah, maybe before we 4 proceed,.... 5 6 MR. UPICKSOUN: I see Donna over there. 7 8 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah. Donna, can you -- would you 9 please introduce yourself or any other staff? 10 11 MS. DEWHURST: I'm Donna Dewhurst, I'm a wildlife 12 biologist on staff. I work with Helen and Barb for the team 13 that supports your council. And then I believe there's.... 14 15 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, folks are late. 16 17 MS. MORKILL: My name's Anne Morkill. I've had the 18 privilege of working with some of you when I was with BLM. But 19 as of last week I've transferred to the Arctic Refuge as 20 assistant manager for North Slope issues, so I look forward to 21 working with you. 22 23 MR. UPICKSOUN: Where is that at? 24 25 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Fairbanks.... 26 27 MS. MORKILL: Yes. Uh-hum. 28 29 CHAIRMAN REXFORD:office with Mr. Kurth? Where 30 is Mr. Kurth, is he going to be able to join us, or you'll be 31 in his.... 32 33 MS. MORKILL: No, he won't be up here today. 34 35 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: So you'll be in his place? We have 36 something in our agenda, maybe you can just note that for the 37 Council and for the public. Can you say your name again? 38 MS. MORKILL: Anne and the last name is Morkill, M-o-r-39 40 k-i-l-l. 41 42 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. 43 44 MS. MORKILL: And Patricia Reynolds is here. She's got 45 a staff report for you. 46 47 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. All right. Patricia, can you 48 introduce yourself, please? 49

DR. REYNOLDS: I'm Patricia Reynolds. I'm an ecologist

0027 for the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge staff, and because Jim Kurth isn't here, I'll present a brief report about the refuge. 4 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. So under 10.A.2, we'll have 5 Patricia Reynolds from the Arctic Refuge. So please note that on your agenda. The gentleman in the corner back there? 8 MR. HUNTER: Mr. Chairman, my name is Paul Hunter, I'm 9 with the National Park Service, and I'll be filling in for 10 Steve Ulvi. 11 12 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Say your name again? 13 14 MR. HUNTER: Paul Hunter. 15 16 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Paul Hunter? A very appropriate 17 name for this Council, Mr. Hunter. 18 19 (Laughter) 20 21 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Thank you. 22 23 MR. HUNTER: And I'll be filling in on hoe agenda item 24 10.A.3. 25 26 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All right. 10.A.3. Okay. Mr. 27 Hunter. A good name. 28 29 MR. UPICKSOUN: Anne Morkill was filling in -- are you 30 filling in for someone, Anne? 31 32 MS. MORKILL: No, Patricia is filling in for Jim Kurth. 33 34 MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. Okay. 35 36 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: I swear it's Sverre. 37 38 MR. PEDERSON: I'm Sverre Pederson, I'm with the State 39 of Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Subsistence. 40 I'm here to address you later on when you get to the agenda 41 item concerning the State of Alaska. 42 43 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Sverre. A good 44 friend of mine. The last two, introduce yourself just formally 45 for the record, please? 46 MR. C. BROWER: Charlie Brower, I'm the Director of 47 48 Wildlife for the North Slope. Also the Chairman of the Alaska

49 Nanook Commission, Chairman of Alaska Native Migratory Bird 50 Council, Vice Chair of the Walrus Commission. What else?

0028 Commission for Gates of the Arctic. I can go on and on and on and on, but we'd be here the whole day. 3 4 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Do you hunt? 5 6 (Laughter) 7 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Doesn't sound like you have time 9 to hunt. 10 11 MR. OLEMAUN: Tommy Olemaun, Wildlife Department. 12 13 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Tommy Olemaun, Wildlife -- North 14 Slope Borough Wildlife Department. Thank you, Tommy. Did I 15 miss anyone? I think that's it. 16 17 MR. GOODWIN: Mr. Chairman? 18 19 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Goodwin? 20 21 MR. GOODWIN: We've had a couple of proposals that 22 would affect Point Hope on one and Point Lay in another, and 23 I'm not sure when you would want to hear from our region on the 24 two proposals, one with muskox and one with sheep. And I'm not 25 sure exactly when you want to -- when I can present our 26 concerns. 27 28 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Oh, yeah. We'll be happy to do that 29 under eight. 30 31 MR. GOODWIN: Okay. 32 33 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: It should be 8.A, from the public. 34 We provide an opportunity to..... 35 36 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: And the regional councils. 37 CHAIRMAN REXFORD:continue -- I mean, this 38 39 opportunity for the public comments, again, I wanted to state 40 the floor is open to public comments on this Federal 41 Subsistence Management Program. It continues throughout the 42 meeting. If you need to testify, we have some forms, but we 43 have it noted, I have it noted again, the folks in the room 44 here, the opportunity is open to continue with any issue 45 throughout the meeting. But for the record, you want to mark 46 down in the blue -- that's more like in the Anchorage office I 47 think, but we'll -- there's a testifier's form so we can 48 properly name, recorded -- for the recorder's sake.

COURT REPORTER: And I have a microphone right here for

```
0029
  them.
2
3
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Okay.
4
5
           MR. H. BROWER: You said what?
7
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Pardon?
8
9
           MR. H. BROWER: What was the other resource, sheep and?
10
11
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Sheep and muskox.
12
13
           MR. H. BROWER:
                          Muskox.
14
15
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                                     Thank you.
                              Okay.
16
17
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Under what will that be?
18
19
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              8.1, or 8.A.
20
21
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. From the public. Okay.
22
23
           (Whispered conversation)
24
25
           MR. UPICKSOUN: We're under 7.A, Mr. Chairman?
26
27
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yes. The Chair would entertain a
28 motion for five-minute or ten-minute break before we proceed?
29
30
           MR. UPICKSOUN:
                           So move.
31
32
           (Off record)
33
34
           (On record)
35
36
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Good morning. Make your way to your
37 seats, please. I'll call the meeting back to order after a
38 brief recess. We were under item number 7, hearing from
39 village concerns, so I'll start from our left to hear our
40 village concerns from our members. This is also open a time
41 for those in hoe public that want to make some comments on the
42 Federal Subsistence Management Program, and this again, just as
43 a reminder, if any issues that we talk about in any part of our
44 agenda, I'll have -- I'll always provide opportunity for anyone
45 to speak upon on certain issues. So at this time, Mr. Gordon
46 Brower? Or maybe for the sake of hoe new members, maybe I'll
47 ask the existing members to start the procedure so you -- by
48 the time we get to you, you'll know what we do hearing from the
49 village at this time, so Mr. Tagarook, and then we'll go with
50 Gordon, Harry and Mr. Hopson. Terry, we'd like to hear any
```

0030 concerns? 3 MR. TAGAROOK: I really don't have any, but the people 4 are out hunting caribou. And recently in the paper there was 5 something about caribou had -- were dying off some place, some 6 other place. 7 8 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Some what? 9 10 MR. TAGAROOK: Caribou. 11 12 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Caribou? 13 14 MR. TAGAROOK: Yeah. Foot disease it was called in 15 the.... 16 17 MS. DEWHURST: Mulchatna. 18 19 MR. TAGAROOK: I read that article, and they were 20 saying if you shoot a sick animal, or just an animal that is 21 limping, a caribou is limping, don't shoot it. Just ignore it, 22 and sometimes it's -- they're swollen at the joints there. 23 That's what the article was saying. And I'm concerned that --24 I hope that it doesn't come to our herds up here. 25 26 MS. DEWHURST: That was Mulchatna herd. 27 28 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Pardon? 29 30 MS. DEWHURST: The Mulchatna herd down around 31 Dillingham area is where that's occurring. 32 33 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Yeah, occasionally we do see 34 some other types of caribou on the Slope. Anything else, 35 Mr. Tagarook? 36 37 MR. TAGAROOK: No. 38 39 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Quyanak. Mr. Upicksoun? 40 41 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, fellow members, I would 42 like to address our village concerns under 10.5.D, along with 43 Geoff Carroll from the State.... 44 45 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: ADF&G? 46 47 MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes, along with his written report, I 48 would like to make comments in regards to muskox and the 49 permitting process, the time it takes to put the permitting 50 process in place, and the interaction between caribou and

muskox as it relates to our hunting at Point Lay. I would like to discuss further interaction between the muskox and the caribou at Point Lay, and defer further comment to 10.5.B, comment further on that when Geoff presents his report, or presents his report.

6 7

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay.

8

9 MR. UPICKSOUN: That's all we have from Point Lay. We 10 had a good beluga hunt this year, and other than the muskox and 11 caribou, I'll defer any further comments until Geoff presents 12 his report under 10.5.B.

13 14

14 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Upicksoun. I 15 received a phone call late last week that Mr. Carroll may not 16 be here to make a report verbally. He's doing some research 17 along the Kobuk area, caribou.

18 19

MR. UPICKSOUN: Uh-hum.

20 21

21 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Collaring and tagging or something 22 down there. So, Sverre, did he pass the baton to any other 23 state folks or.....

2425

MR. PEDERSON: It depends on what it is he called 26 about. I'm not sure what his.....

2728

28 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, he had a written report. 29 Maybe we can discuss those, if you know any of those matters 30 that are in his written report, or if you can further enlighten 31 us on....

32 33

MR. PEDERSON: I can address some of it, because.....

34 35

35 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Were you within -- well, there were 36 issue, further background of the Point Lay issue on muskox, of 37 taking permit over there, the State Board of Game this spring 38 passed regulations for an incidental take of muskox in 26(A), 39 and we supported that proposal. We had a proposal, 108, which 40 I'll discuss later, which dealt with muskox in Unit 26(A) and 41 Anaktuvuk Pass. We'll have an opportunity to discuss that 42 proposal that's still hanging out there after we passed a 43 motion to submit that proposal, and I'll provide some 44 background on that.

45

So your issue, what should we do there, Mr. Pederson, 47 any -- can you enlighten us, help us with that muskox issue of 48 getting the per-....

49 50

MR. PEDERSON: Yes, that discussion's going to come up

```
0032
  later on....
3
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Okay.
4
           MR. PEDERSON: ....if that's -- I will help the best
6
  as I can.
7
8
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Okay. Anything else, Mr. Upicksoun?
9
10
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, if Mr. Pederson will
11 discuss the issue later, then I'll have -- I was going to
12 discuss the muskox and caribou issue now, but if he's going to
13 address that later, then I'll bring up some points or concerns
14 our village has in regard to that, the permitting process.
15
16
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Okay.
17
18
           MR. UPICKSOUN:
                           Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19
20
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Thank you. Harry? Or, Mr. Brower?
21
22
           MR. H. BROWER: I don't really have any concerns,
23 Mr. Chairman. I just want to say that there's been a lot of
24 good hunting happening here around the Barrow area.
25 pretty fortunate to have all these resources around us.
26 Migratory birds, sea animals, caribou. Otherwise we've had
27 good hunting all the way around here in Barrow. And I just
28 came back from a boat trip up the Ikpikpuk River, and seen a
29 little herd of five muskox up there. I was trying to get my
30 companions to shoot one down, but, no. Anyway it was a pretty
31 good trip, and we got to harvest some caribou, see some muskox,
32 see some birds, a variety of birds, got a few fish, and it was
33 a pretty good trip. Otherwise, I don't have any real lot of
34 concerns in the Barrow area. I'd like to hear a little bit
35 more on the -- later on in this issue on the wolves,....
36
37
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Is Craiq here?
38
39
           MR. H. BROWER: .....wolf relocation program.
40
41
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                             Craig will not be here?
42
43
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                              He's not here yet.
44
45
           MR. B. HOPSON:
                           He's supposed to be here I think.
46
                              Maybe tonight?
47
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
48
49
           MR. B. HOPSON: I can -- maybe I can do.....
50
```

```
0033
1
          MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Maybe be here tomorrow.
2
3
          MR. B. HOPSON: .....a presentation and wait for
4
  discussion.
6
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay.
7
           MR. B. HOPSON: If you want to hear the report from
9
  Craig.
10
11
          CHAIRMAN REXFORD: We can keep it over if he doesn't
12 show up, on your proposal, we can move it around.
13
14
           MR. H. BROWER: Otherwise, I don't have any real valid
15 concerns, Mr. Chairman. I'd just like to say again that we've
16 had a good hunting season here in Barrow. Thank you.
17
18
          MR. TAGAROOK: Mr. Chairman?
19
20
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, Mr. Tagarook?
21
22
          MR. TAGAROOK: Just one question for Harry. Are you
23 seeing more muskox in your area now?
24
25
          MR. H. BROWER: Yeah, they're coming down from the
26 foothills, they're coming down lower to the lower plains around
27 here, up -- they're just up in the 50 mile.....
28
29
           MR. TAGAROOK: Did you see any reaction between the
30 caribou and the muskox?
31
32
          MR. H. BROWER: No, you don't see -- I didn't see any
33 caribou, maybe about a mile and a half away from the muskox.
34 Them guys that were -- that folks that they were near the
35 muskox with Chipsix (ph) and they had to go out on the four-
36 wheeler about a mile, a mile and a half to get their caribou,
37 and they were getting a little concerned about the muskox
38 hanging around near their cabin. But I told them just to leave
39 them alone. I couldn't shoot them, so if I can't, they can't.
40
41
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, the proposal that was just
42 passed by the Board of Game will allow, if there's sufficient
43 evidence that it's near your subsistence camp, and destructing
44 the subsistence, there's a way to get that, and Gordon will
45 help us out on the process, how long it took, what it requires,
46 what it takes to get a permit for incidental take under the new
47 State regulation. It's all in Unit 26(A), including National
48 Park Service lands in Anaktuvuk area.
```

MR. H. BROWER: Another thing, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yes.

MR. H. BROWER: Berry picking was real good around the villages, and up even closer to Barrow. Good salmon berries, they were pretty ear- -- a little bit earlier than last year, picking, but otherwise it was pretty good, too, even though with the plants, berry picking and picking greens was really good this year.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All right. Is that it, Mr. Brower?

MR. H. BROWER: Yes.

14 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Hopson, any 15 concerns?

MR. B. HOPSON: In Anaktuvuk we're having a pretty good 18 hunting season. We've got caribou migrating from the south to 19 the north, and everybody's getting their share of the winter 20 supply, but we're still kind of waiting around for the 21 migration to happen from north to south next.

MR. TAGAROOK: We'll keep them up here for a while.

(Laughter)

MR. B. HOPSON: Yeah, this is like four or five years 28 in a row now we've had migration coming from the timberline, 29 and they're headed north. It's just something new.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, a question for Ben. Is there any consensus on which herd is the one that's coming? I 33 know that it's unusual for them to come from the south. You 34 usually get the migration in the fall time when they're heading 35 south. You say they're coming from the south. Is there any 36 consensus on which herd is coming through from the south?

MR. B. HOPSON: We had heard earlier reports that there 39 was caribou like in the Kilik area earlier this summer, quite 40 numerous. And they had been slowly moving east. And were 41 possibly -- they're possibly swinging back up north now. But 42 reports say there's quite a bid of caribou in the mountains 43 coming from the north.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Are they adult?

MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. (Affirmative) Yeah.

MR. UPICKSOUN: (Indiscernible) adults.

MR. B. HOPSON: Yeah. And we had quite a bit of cows come through now, we're getting a pretty good run of bulls now.

MR. G. BROWER: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Brower?

7 8

MR. B. HOPSON: There is one more issue. It's more of a statewide issue that affects all villages and towns. There's an initiative that's going to be coming up in hoe November general election, and it's an initiative sponsored by Alaskans against snaring wolves, and the ballot title will be prohibiting trapping wolves with snares, and the ballot language reads this bill would prohibit a person from using a snare with the intent of trapping a wolf. It would also prohibit a person from possessing, buying, selling or offering to sell the skin of a wolf known by the person to have been a caught with a snare. Breaking the law would be a Class A misdemeanor. Then the question asked to the voter is should this initiative become law.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. I would urge you to make 23 copies of that issue, then we can talk about it more. And 24 remind the Council members under 10.A.8, any other reports or 25 -- we'd like to catch up on that initiative if we could.

27 MR. B. HOPSON: And can -- should I talk a little more 28 on it?

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Uh-hum.

MR. B. HOPSON: Okay. The organizations that are the opponents of Alaskans against Snaring Wolves are North Slope Borough, the Alaska Outdoor Council, Alaska Trappers Association, Tanana Chiefs Conference, Alaska Village Council Presidents, and then we've had quite a few mailings from outlying villages throughout the State sending in resolutions in support of our efforts to keep, you know, the use of the snare for harvesting wolves. Okay. We -- as you probably know, natives and Alaska Outdoor Council don't see eye-to-eye on hoe subsistence issue, but we made an agreement at the start of our formation of the coalition that we'd set our differences aside to work on this issue.

And just to give you an example, if I have a wolf parka 46 that I made from snared wolves, and I had caught the wolves 47 like a year ago, I would -- my parka would be confiscated by 48 the State, or if you had ruffs from snared wolves.

And then it's quite a issue that's going to really hit

Alaskans if this initiative were ever to pass, and Alaskans against Snaring Wolves is funded by the notorious animal rights group, Friends of Animals, is where they're getting their funding.

7

And we're actively fund raising right now, and we have Ballot Issues Coalition based out of Washington, D.C. supporting us with the initial funding to put our campaign through. They've allotted 130 to \$180,000 to our campaign, and 10 we're needing to come up with another \$100,000 plus to run TV 11 ads. Being an election year, we're going to have compete for 12 prime time slots, and I guess they're spendy. We did get two 13 donations, one from ASRC in the amount of \$5,000. Also ASNAC 14 donated another \$5,000. And then Tanana Chiefs has thrown in 15 some money as well. And there's numerous groups like Alaska 16 Outdoor Council, Alaska Trappers Association, the Caribou Cow 17 (ph) Protection Plan that are ready to throw in all their money 18 on our efforts.

19 20

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?

21 22

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Just a minute, Mr. Upicksoun. 23 have Mr. Brower, and then we'll go to you.

24 25

MR. G. BROWER: I had a question for Ben concerning 26 that caribou. In the past there was some concern about their 27 migration going through different passes or.....

28 29

MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum.

30 31

MR. G. BROWER: And if that's changing again where 32 everybody's much more happy, or if it's a result from lower 33 range for the sport hunters to hunt? I think I remember a few 34 years back we had proposed a buffer zone with some other -- I 35 think Fish and -- North Slope Borough Fish and Game -- Wildlife 36 Committee for those sport hunters to be a certain distance?

37 38

MR. B. HOPSON: (Witness nods affirmative)

39

40 MR. G. BROWER: And if that was maybe helping in that 41 manner?

42

43 MR. B. HOPSON: You know, the North Slope Borough 44 Wildlife Management had been working with Anaktuvuk to come up 45 with a controlled use area. Maybe -- I wonder if Charlie could 46 give us an update on that issue when he returns.

47

48 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, okay. Let me write that down 49 here on the....

0037 MR. B. HOPSON: But we've noticed the central core of the migration has shifted way to the west of us, and we're getting whatever stragglers that are rooming through the central portion of the Brooks. 6 MR. G. BROWER: Right. That was just my concern on it. 7 8 MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. Yeah. 9 10 MR. G. BROWER: And I looked on that part. 11 12 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: We can make that addressed under new 13 business, Mr. Hopson. 14 15 MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. 16 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: On the controlled use area and 17 18 hearing from the North Slope Borough's Department of Wildlife 19 Management. 20 21 MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. 22 23 Taquliq Hepa. CHAIRMAN REXFORD: 24 25 MR. H. BROWER: She's not in, Mr. Chairman. You're 26 going to have to direct it to Charlie. 27 28 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: 29 30 MR. B. HOPSON: And one more.... 31 32 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All right. Anything else, Mr. 33 Hopson? 34 35 MR. B. HOPSON: One more thing, 36 37 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Oh, I'm sorry. Gordon, go ahead. 38 ${\tt MR.}$ UPICKSOUN: In regards to the snare issue, Ben 39 40 Hopson has been keeping us updated, both the -- this Council, 41 he's kept us updated on the snare issue. I think the bottom 42 line is that they're eroding every which way our subsistence 43 lifestyle. That's another way they're trying to do it. If 44 they succeed in doing this, they'll take up another issue and 45 make it harder for our subsistence way of living. They're 46 hacking away at it a little at a time, and if we don't defeat 47 this snare ban that they've got on the ballot, that's one way 48 of -- there will be one more way that they're eroding our 49 subsistence way of living. It's an issue that I hope the 50 voters vote our way, because we're having trouble with the

Legislature already regarding subsistence, and that's the -another way of, in my way of thinking, the urban community imposing their lifestyle on us. They've got cheap Carr's and Safeway, and we've got to -- you know, we don't have their prices. it's just their way of eroding our lifestyle, subsistence lifestyle. Ben Hopson has kept us updated on this issue for the past two years. And that's -- it's a good issue 8 you brought up, Ben, regarding who's supporting us in regard 9 for funding and who's opposing. Very informative, Ben. 10 Quyanak.

11 12

MR. B. HOPSON: And then our coalition filed a lawsuit 13 in the Superior Court this summer, and Judge Ralph Beistline of 14 Fairbanks ruled in our favor to stop this initiative to appear 15 on the ballot. We won on the reasoning by challenging the 16 constitutionality of managing fish and game resources through 17 the initiative process. And then we were the clear winners of 18 that, and the issue would have been dead, but Governor Knowles 19 on the same day Beistline ruled in our favor, he immediately 20 filed an appeal, and then in mid August the Supreme Court ruled 21 in Governor Knowles' favor that this ballot should appear on 22 the -- or this initiative should appear on the ballot. We've 23 yet to hear the reasoning why the Supreme Court changed that. 24 We did ask for -- we did get transcripts of the Supreme Court. 25 All they stated was this initiative will be on the ballot and 26 arguments and reasoning behind this will come at a later date, 27 which we've yet to receive yet.

28 29

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Anything else, Mr. Hopson?

30 31

MR. B. HOPSON: I think that's it.

32 33

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Before we move on,

34 35

MR. B. HOPSON: Or I could expand a little bit on 36 Gordon's talk on the animal rights chipping away on our 37 subsistence way of life. There's another front coming from the 38 European Union where we're in jeopardy of losing the use of the 39 leg hold trap as well for trapping North American fur bearers. 40 So there's several fronts where we can have a real devastating 41 loss to trapping from both Alaskan side for this snare issue 42 and then nationwide we're kind of in jeopardy of losing hoe leg 43 hold trap right now. The -- Europe has leverage on U.S. where 44 three-quarters of all -- three-fourths of all furs harvested in 45 North America end up in Europe, and they're saying don't use 46 leg holds to harvest your fur bearers. We want something more 47 humane. And we've talked and argued with them what's a humane 48 trap, and we've even went as far as coming up with standards as 49 to how a trap should perform, and then we found out it was 50 acceptable according to the standards we agreed on by trappers

and animals rights group, and then they came up with another argument, totally just left us dumbfounded.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Hopson, this definitely is an impact to the subsistence user and it is a subsistence issue.

MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum.

9 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: However, this is also a political 10 issue and an initiative, and I'll have to ask or find out later 11 about the requirements or our standards that we follow in terms 12 of initiative and referendums.

MR. B. HOPSON: One thing.....

16 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Let me just ask that we're -- our 17 responsibility is to work on proposals, submitting proposals to 18 provide for the take of fur bearers. The election perhaps we 19 as the Council can be a link. I don't know as a Council that 20 we can make formal vote or anything like that, but we can be a 21 liaison to our communities about initiatives, but as a Council, 22 I'm not sure whether we can take any action as a body, as....

MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum.

26 CHAIRMAN REXFORD:required under the -- probably 27 limited by the FACA, or the Federal Advisory Council Act. But, 28 again, just as a reminder, we are liaisons to all the 29 residents, and if there's certain issues like initiatives or 30 referendums that we can be an avenue to our communities, 31 providing this type of information is why I wanted....

MR. B. HOPSON: Yeah.

35 CHAIRMAN REXFORD:a copy of the -- your packet or 36 the information that you have on this initiative before the 37 November vote. I want to thank you for the information. 38 Formally I want to thank you, that this is -- and it affects 39 the subsistence lifestyle, and that's what we're charged with 40 as far as proposal as to providing regulation, and a vote or 41 referendum, I do not know what power that is or in providing 42 hunting and trapping regulations, whether the vote will 43 override the proposal format, or the avenue to continue....

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Upicksoun?

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, you're trying to say how 50 can we make a c&t determination regarding snare and override

0040 the initiative if it's passed. 3 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Exactly. 4 5 MR. UPICKSOUN: That's something we have -- we must think about. We've got to make a c&t determination in regards 7 to snaring and..... 8 9 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Methods and means. 10 11 MR. UPICKSOUN: see Patricia smiling. I think 12 in that regard, Federal management of fish and game is not all 13 that bad, because of the way the State is handling the 14 subsistence issue. 15 16 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: It's a methods and means issue, 17 subsistence issue, and we can probably take up on that kind of 18 thing, but this is an initiative, a referendum. Perhaps I'd 19 like to know what the legalities is as far as an election, or a 20 statewide election to ban hunting and trapping, whether -- how 21 this impacts the ways and means and methods of providing fur, 22 or using that subsistence. Rosa? 23 24 MS. MEEHAN: Mr. Chairman, I'd make the observation 25 that I believe on federal lands, the federal regulations will 26 take precedence over state regulations, and since under the 27 methods and means sections trapping is defined to specifically 28 include snaring, that the federal regulations do accommodate 29 this practice. Now, I will go back to the office and check on 30 that, and make sure that that's the case, but it's my 31 understanding that we do have it in our regulations. It does 32 take precedence over state regulations, and therefore an 33 initiative, if it's passed as written, would not affect our 34 federal regulations. 35 36 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: That's what I wanted to hear. Go 37 find out. 38 39 MS. MEEHAN: Okay. But I will check on that,.... 40 41 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Please. 42 43 MS. MEEHAN:and make sure you get a written 44 response back. Okay. 45 46 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yes, please. Yeah. Thank you, 47 Ms. Meehan. 48 49 MS. MEEHAN: And again, it would only be on federal

50 lands, but we will check on that and get a written response

0041 1 back.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Before I proceed again, we 4 are liaisons or we represent everybody as residents in our We can provide or send this information back that there is an initiative, that for your information is happening, and I 7 don't think as a body here, as a Council we can deal with that 8 right now, but that's what I wanted to find out, whether the 9 state initiative, or the election will affect our program is 10 what we would like to find out. Mr. Yokel?

11 12

7

MR. YOKEL: Yeah, I think what Rosa needs to find 13 out also though is the way I understand the state initiative, 14 it also affects the sale of those furs, and that may not be 15 protected under ANILCA Title VIII, even if they're taken 16 legally on federal lands with a snare, that the sale of them 17 may be restricted under this state initiative if it passes.

18 19

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. The more information.....

20 21

MS. MEEHAN: That's why we need to check.

22 23

CHAIRMAN REXFORD:before we leave, the better. 24 Mr. Hopson?

25 26

MR. B. HOPSON: Yeah, there's another touchy site with 27 -- take, for example, somebody from -- is NPRA federal public 28 lands?

29 30

MS. MEEHAN: Yes.

31 32

MR. B. HOPSON: If someone, let's say a hunter snared a 33 wolf, and at some point he decided to sell his wolf to a buyer 34 in Canada or maybe in hoe State of Washington, then he would 35 violate the federal Lacy Act, which is a federal crime with 36 something like ten years imprisonment and \$50,000 fine. 37 is according to our legal analysis we had done by our 38 coalition.

39

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?

40 41

42 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, Mr. Upicksoun, and then 43 Ms. Dewhurst.

44

45 MR. UPICKSOUN: For our alternates and new members, we 46 have several federal agencies here. They're staff. They're 47 here to assist us in our deliberations. The different federal 48 agencies that are here, they're called staff. They're here to 49 assist us. And can someone give us more information on the 50 last statement that Ben Hopson made in regards to the fines, a

0042 \$50,000 fine? This issue is very interesting. Ben's report is very interesting. Can someone expand on that from the staff? 4 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yes, Mr. Upicksoun. 5 MR. UPICKSOUN: Donna? 7 I've got the quotation of this. If you MR. B. HOPSON: 9 want, I can read it. 10 11 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, just a minute, Ben. 12 13 MR. B. HOPSON: Okay. 14 15 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Ms. Dewhurst? 16 17 MS. DEWHURST: The interstate commerce, or the Lacy 18 Act, and just for background, I was federal law enforcement 19 once for eight years, so I was pretty heavily trained in it. 20 I'm not any more, but I was. That applies to federal law that 21 is in existence. So if that initiative occurs, that would be a 22 state law. It wouldn't necessarily be a federal law. it's a 23 state initiative. The Lacy Act only applies to federal laws. 24 Now, if game was taken breaking a state law, it doesn't 25 necessarily apply -- it would only be illegal to sell that game 26 instate, because then it would be -- if it's passed, it would a 27 state law to sell a snared animal. But that doesn't 28 necessarily mean it's illegal to sell it in Washington, if 29 Washington didn't pass a similar law. If Washington State 30 didn't pass a similar law, it might be perfectly legal to sell 31 it, so then your federal law always supersedes state law, so 32 it's interstate then. It's interstate commerce. 33 34 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, in regards to your 35 statement, Ben brought up the issue about a wolf that was 36 caught in NPRA on federal lands. 37 38 MS. DEWHURST: Right. It wouldn't matter. It wouldn't 39 matter. That aspect doesn't matter. It's just the idea that 40 as soon as you trade across state boundaries. It depends on if 41 it's legal in that state. So if Washington -- if it's illegal 42 to buy them in Washington, then you're still -- you're breaking 43 federal law, because it's interstate commerce. But if it's 44 legal in Washington, then just because it's illegal to sell it 45 in Alaska, you wouldn't necessarily be violating a federal law, 46 because it goes by the pertinent state laws. So it depends on 47 what the other states do. If this becomes a national 48 initiative and it gets passed broadly across the whole Lower 49 48, then you're kind of stuck, but if -- but basically the

50 Alaska state law only applies in Alaska. So the Lacy Act is

0043 when you're -- like you say, you're trading across state boundaries, so it would have to be against the law in whatever state you were trading in also. It doesn't necessarily apply. 4 So that wouldn't necessarily apply as far as -- even if this 5 Alaska initiative kicks in, I think you'd still be able to 6 trade or sell it to Washington. The federal law would actually 7 protect that right. It wouldn't go against you on that. It's 8 only if you try to sell it in the state, then you're breaking 9 local state law. 10 11 MR. B. HOPSON: Our attorneys are saying the exact 12 opposite of you. 13 14 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman? 15 16 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Upicksoun. 17 18 MS. DEWHURST: Yeah. That's my understanding of the 19 way I was trained on what the Lacy Act says. 20 21 MR. UPICKSOUN: Ben, you said you had an opinion, a 22 written opinion regarding that. Could you read that written 23 opinion regarding that issue that you guys are discussing? 24 25 MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. 26 27 MR. UPICKSOUN: You said you had a written opinion on 28 it? 29 30 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, I want to have copies 31 provided, if..... 32 33 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Okay. 34 35 CHAIRMAN REXFORD:you can be gracious to allow 36 that. 37 38 MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. 39 40 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: And I want to discuss that further 41 under 10, under new business, under any other reports. 42 can issue a likely discussion, and we can provide an 43 opportunity to make copies, and also bring that up again..... 44 45 MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. 46 47 MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. 48 49 CHAIRMAN REXFORD:further down the road. before 50 the end of the meeting, we can have it fresh to bring this

0044 information to our representative villages or to our region, throughout our region. I know this is a very touchy issue, and it does affect our subsistence way of lifestyle, to hear this kind of, and we need to be updated on what decision to make or bring back to the villages. I think we -- will that be okay, to further discuss that under new business? 7 8 MR. B. HOPSON: Further -- yes. Uh-hum. 9 10 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Anything else from..... 11 12 MR. B. HOPSON: No. 13 14 CHAIRMAN REXFORD:your area? Okay. With that I 15 will proceed, and..... 16 17 MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chairman? 18 19 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Patkotak? 20 21 MR. PATKOTAK: One brief point on comments on federal 22 subsistence, I'd like to bring out the point that on moose, the 23 development of vaccines for brucellosis. I'd like to recommend 24 that this body here, this Council, recommend to North Slope 25 Borough that it be prioritized as a number one issue. 26 realize that hoe recruitment in terms of calf survival rate 27 have been improving, but that this be further addressed, that 28 this be an on-going issue. 29 30 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Yeah, that will be good under 31 -- we do have staff available from Wildlife Department that you 32 can.... 33 34 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, you said that Mike's 35 issue should be addressed under 10.A.6? 36 37 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Six, yes. 38 39 MR. UPICKSOUN: 10.A.6, North Slope Borough Department 40 of Wildlife Management report? 41 42 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yes. 43 44 MR. UPICKSOUN: Have that issue addressed. 45 46 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yes. 47 48 MR. UPICKSOUN: 10.A.6. 49 50 MR. PATKOTAK: Yeah, that's good. Great.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Mike. For our area, we've been fortunate, too, this summer as Harry stated.

Many, many summers, we finally have some caribou that hung around our area west of us. We believe that may be part of the Central Arctic herd, because the Porcupine herd did not stay there very long this summer. They were in and out pretty early. Do not know the reason for that. And we're concerned. The refuge used days, or man used days from recreationists, the hikers and the floaters or the folks that go down Aichilik and Kongakut River and having base camps right near the pass where they come from the east may have any effect to the migration, or those that stick around our area, whether the sightseers or the recreationists have an impact when they're right close to the migration path. We further -- need to further check on that.

16 17

But we were fortunate to have caribou this summer.

18 Early breakup this year, way before June. June 19 was our

19 first day of boating. We usually don't boat until after July

20 4. So we've been fortunate. A lot of fish, sea run fish.

21 Caribou. The ice went out early. They got a few ugluks (ph).

22 They were going after belugas. So a very good summer for

23 Kaktovik.

2425

And the 15 muskoxen that this body approved last spring 26 to allow the take of 12 bull muskox and three cows, we're very 27 happy to have that continue at that number. We had to make 28 amendments. It was recommended by staff committee to go seven, 29 three. Seven bulls and three cows, and I want to thank the 30 Council for supporting 12 and three rather than the seven/three 31 take of muskoxen.

32

33 So on the issue of muskoxen, Nuigsut also got a 34 proposal in, it's in the report, our annual report, a state 35 hunt. We'll discuss Proposal 108 on the -- that didn't go 36 before the Federal Board, they tabled that. They want to see 37 how the state regulation proceeds on on the take of muskox in 38 26(A), in the areas of Anaktuvuk Pass. It continues to be an 39 issue, the take of muskox, and I'm looking forward to hearing 40 how the Point Lay hunt, or the take of muskox will be with the 41 process. We want to learn more about that, see whether we can 42 expedite it or make it easier, simpler to incidentally take 43 muskox within our region. So we'll try -- we're going to try 44 it out for a couple of years. If that doesn't seem to work we 45 have that option under the federal program for incidental take 46 or some type of permit hunt through our program, federal 47 program. So we're trying out the state regulation now, even 48 though we can do Proposal 108 to a federal program or a federal 49 hunt. So we're under state regulations in federal lands. 50 That's private land -- no, state lands and private lands is

what we're dealing with in that. So we'll see how it works in federal NPRA. We'd like to try and exercise that state regulation, whether that will work.

4

So anyway that's pretty much it from my end. So I'll start off with our new member from Atqasuk, see if he's heard any concerns or -- from there. Mr. Bodfish?

7 8

9 MR. BODFISH: Yeah. Well, I really don't have any 10 concerns, but the Western herd has been coming through our 11 village, part of it, so they've been hanging around. And from 12 the stories I've heard from the old people, you know, the 13 Western herd comes through the mountains, you know, they get 14 into them -- they have these cysts that grow on them. We see 15 that yearly, but other than that, that herd is pretty healthy. 16 And everybody's been harvesting caribou, fish, and bears have 17 been coming around quite a bit. Other than that, I don't 18 really have much.

19 20

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Paul.

21 22 M

MR. BODFISH: Uh-hum.

23 24

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: We're glad to have you from Atqasuk.

25 26

MR. BODFISH: Yeah, and I'll be looking forward to 27 working with this board and our region, yes.

28 29

29 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Start from Mr. Hopson, Patkotak, and 30 then Mr. Brower.

31

32 MR. G. BROWER: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, I don't have any 33 report. I want to thank Harry for our report.

34 35

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Mike?

36

MR. PATKOTAK: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chairman. Peard 38 Bay, I usually do -- our family does a lot of subsistence 39 hunting in Peard Bay area. This year was considerably 40 different. We had thick ice close to the shore. Barrow -- we 41 usually open up at Peard Bay ahead of time, and two or three 42 weeks of wolk (ph) and walrus hunting (indiscernible, coughing) 43 even before Barrow, but it opened up in Barrow first. And 44 another noticeable difference was that it was thinner ice 45 further out from Franklin Point, and that way, and it opened up 46 out and didn't open up until way late, and on the inside -- 47 inside of the bay. We had to pull up all our gear and move -- 48 go back here and do our subsistence hunt here. Walrus hunting 49 at Peard Bay was nonexistence, and wolk (ph) hunting very 50 minimal until late. Although there was an increase in the run,

early runs of salmon, there were some dogs, silvers, kings, humpies. There's been an increase in fish, rainbow trout in I don't know whether it's because of the warmer that area. 4 temperatures, but like that's basically what it was, was early 5 erosion further out of ice, and even some of hoe pekoniuk (ph) 6 that usually remain pretty thick eroded quite a bit this year to where they were -- you couldn't get on them. You know, just 8 where a lot of times in Peard Bay we go out and get out water 9 from that area, from ice, but this year we couldn't do that 10 because of early erosion of that fresh water ice. But other 11 than that, it was noticeably different, and way, way warmer 12 real early. And then as summer drew on, it -- the temperatures 13 went down, increase of fog, more rain, and quite a bit -- quite 14 a bit of thunderstorm activity, more than in previous years. 15 So we were forced to move back up north to do our hunting.

16 17

Other than that, like Harry said earlier, although the 18 hunting was late for walrus and stuff, we were pretty 19 successful. Although some of the other hunters that did a lot 20 of hunting that teach (ph) them, well, it's going to improve, 21 it's going to improve, then it turns out that it didn't.

22 23

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Mike.

24 25

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?

26 27

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Upicksoun?

28 29

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, Mike brought out an 30 interesting point. In May we usually go inland to go geese 31 hunting, but this year we made the same observation as you made 32 about the ice conditions. We noticed that the shore fast ice 33 was less than a quarter mile out. We had no ice. This was 34 early. It was only about that thick, and that's the shore fast 35 ice. That's unusual. The shore fast ice is only about that 36 thick. That's very unusual. And the ice went out early. 37 There was no topok (ph) -- I mean, no shore fast ice, no ice 38 bergs, you know, that make the ice shore fast. There was none. 39 It was real thin ice when -- where I did -- where there was no 40 ice bergs, you know, it was thin ice. And that was true all 41 the way to the beach. That's the only time ever to my 42 knowledge that we've ever seen that in Point Lay. That was 43 good points that Michael brought out in regards to the ice.

44

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you.

45 46 47

47 MR. UPICKSOUN: The hunting was good, but hoe ice 48 conditions were very different.

49 50

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Anything else.

MR. PATKOTAK: That's basically it.

2 3

1

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Mike. Gordon?

4

7

MR. G. BROWER: Well, I had some real good king salmon this fall from Piernek (ph). That was pretty neat. Usually get some this time of hoe year when they're here, getting like numerous. People even been casting and getting a few salmon 9 here and there.

10 11

A little bit about muskox, we've seen them up there, 12 Tripnine (ph). Well, I guess we could talk about that between 13 interaction of caribou and muskox, because they hang around too 14 long, and my old man had to scare them off with a Honda. 15 couldn't go, so he made a rattler out of his trailer, put cans 16 and stuff, and go back and forth and scare them aware, because 17 he couldn't get any tutuk (ph) for a while. That was one thing 18 that he brought out while I was up there boating.

19 20

I noticed like everybody noticed, too, that freeze up 21 is different. Last year we went out fall fishing, and we 22 didn't get our run of sulik (ph) fish. We just got very little 23 fish. The run already took place, most of it through open 24 water, but you couldn't put your nets, because there was slush 25 ice flowing all around and tearing up your net so much. 26 temperature is something else. Usually getting around 27 September 25 you're able to put nets under the ice. You were 28 still able to matok (ph) in that river. October 6, it was 29 still flowing. So we miss all our sulik fish just about. 30 Probably made only 15 sacks. There's usually 50 sacks or more.

31 32 33

But -- other things that I thought about that I wanted 34 to have concerns is that if the State can't get it together 35 with their federal -- with their state management, and federal 36 management becomes precedent around here, that we look into the 37 health of populations of each animal like caribou and moose, 38 and those are the things that I look at for subsistence 39 purposes only, that revitalizing six species that are -- the 40 ones that are sick. I know moose has been really devastated 41 around here, and other means, instead of just trying to prepare 42 some kind of a vaccine to fix them up. I think importing from 43 other regions to try and get a subsistence herd back into its 44 proper numbers would be beneficial, the same as relocating like 45 moose -- wolf issues and stuff like that. I think you can do 46 that with moose.

47

48 Those are the only concerns I had. Not very much. 49 lots of boating and had fun this fall, and a lot of good 50 caribou hunting. That's all I've got.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Gordon, you did
very well. Did I miss any of hoe Council members? I think we
got pretty much everybody. Again, there will be an opportunity
for the public to make comments on any of the proposals or
issues. So this number seven was to hear from the village -or hear concerns from the other areas or all over our region,
and I'm glad to have new representatives for each of hoe
villages represented within our Council, although we not only
are representatives from our village, we are liaison throughout
the whole region. We do not want to say that I only represent
Kaktovik. I represent pretty much every -- all the residents
in our region. But we're fortunate to have Council members
from each of the vill- -- outlying villages.

14

So at this time perhaps we can break an hour and a half 16 and come back at one. I think this would be a good time to 17 break for lunch early, and then get back at 1:00 o'clock. 18 Would that be all right with the Council members?

19 20

MR. UPICKSOUN: So move.

21 22

22 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Moved for a lunch break. Be back at 23 1:00 o'clock.

2425

(Off record - 11:30 a.m.)

26 27

(On record - 1:10 p.m.)

28 29

29 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Good afternoon everyone. Hope 30 everyone had a good lunch. I did have a little bit more than 31 what I should have, so if I fall asleep, let me know. I'll try 32 not to fall asleep this afternoon.

33 34

MR. UPICKSOUN: (Indiscernible)

35 36

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Anyway, we got done with item number seven, hearing from hoe various representatives or Council members, which is pretty much area wide. We'll move on down to item number eight in our agenda for proposals from anyone. If anyone has completed proposals to change the subsistence regulations, this is the time to work on them or submit them to any one of our Council members here, and pass it through Barbara, Helen or Donna. We'll work us on -- will help us with our proposals. So at this time the floor is open for proposals from the public. First we'll hear from hoe public if there's anyone in the audience, and then we'll follow through with our agenda there, any agencies, whether they're federal or state. And then we'll hear from our Regional Council, if any one of the Council members have any proposals that we need to look at as far as changing the current federal regulations. The time

line for the regulations I believe -- I think October 23 or 26 or somewhere.

4

MS. MEEHAN: 23.

7

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: October 23 is the deadline to submit We can address proposals at this time. Again, the staff can work on the details as far as properly filling out the form, and then they will go before the Federal Subsistence 10 Board in either the March through May time frame. Before that, 11 the Staff Committee will review or analyze all of hoe proposals 12 through the Staff Committee from the various federal agencies 13 and state agencies, will review the proposals. We'll have an 14 opportunity again in our winter meeting, which will be February 15 or March before they go to the Federal Subsistence Board, so we 16 have one last opportunity for us to review any proposals that 17 affect our region or our neighbors, like NANA may have, or the 18 Northwest Regional Advisory Council may have some proposals 19 will affect us, and Mr. Goodwin has stated earlier that he has 20 a couple of proposals for us to talk with him about that.

21 22

So at this time, the floor is open or any questions on 23 the proposals or the process from the Council? We had a very 24 good training session last night for the new members. I think 25 we got done about 9:00 o'clock or so, so it was a good turn out 26 and good review for me and Harry to talk to the new council 27 members on how proposals are processed.

28 29

So at this time the floor is open for proposals. 30 Again, if anyone has a proposal to present, the floor is open 31 from the public. Anyone from the floor? Want to talk about 32 any proposals? All right. Hearing....

33 34

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Upicksoun?

35 36 37

MR. UPICKSOUN: Were you going to discuss 108? Or is 39 this....

40

38

41 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, we'll probably that on the 42 bottom there, number three. Or we'll probably go with the 43 agencies on that part, too. Or one of our staff members can 44 bring that up. Our staff can bring it up on number two,....

45

MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay.

46 47

48 CHAIRMAN REXFORD:the next -- hearing none from 49 the public, this is the last chance for the public to talk 50 about any proposals for our area. At this time, Gordon, I'll

ask the federal, state, or federal agencies that have any proposals that pertain to our area?

3

I know one in particular, Gordon, was 108. There is in our minutes for your review, for the new members and for reminder for the veterans, I think it's on page 13 in the minutes. I'll give you....

7 8 9

MR. UPICKSOUN: Under what tab?

10 11

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Tab C.

12 13

MR. UPICKSOUN: Tab C.

14

15 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Page 13 sort of gives a summary of 16 where we left off last spring. Donna helped prepare or talked 17 about this proposal. This proposal, 108, was to create an 18 incidental hunt of muskoxen in Unit 26(A). It talks about 19 they're stable in Unit 26(C) at about 320 animals. 20 been ex- -- they've been expanding east into Canada, and also 21 moving west. And we've heard testimony that they're seeing 22 some along the Chipp River and west of -- or east of Point Lay. 23 About 280 muskox are now in Unit 26(B), that's the Canning 24 River to Colville River, that corridor for the pipeline 25 corridor unit. Again, they're moving into this area in Unit 26 26(A). However, in Unit 26(A) they don't have an accurate 27 count of how many there are. There's also a small herd there 28 at the very tip of hoe Alaska Maritime Refuge in Unit 26(A). 29 NPRA which comprise mostly of 26(A) is managed by BLM. 30 proposal was to allow incidental harvest of muskoxen as stated 31 earlier in Unit 26(A). There's no harvest limit or season was 32 part of this proposal.

33

I mentioned earlier that the State Board of Game had 35 their spring meeting and did pass a similar proposal; however, 36 that sort of covers mainly the state and federal -- or state 37 and private lands. From what I've heard from the Parks people, 38 that there are going to be hunts allowed in the parks, Gates of 39 hoe Arctic, it would probably be on that corridor of private 40 lands.

41 42

Geoff reviewed the state proposal which is now in 43 effect. Point Lay did go through the process of trying out 44 that new state regulation dealing with incidental take in Unit 45 26(A). This is not a subsistence hunt that's going on around 46 Point Lay at this time. It's a hunt controlling the 47 population. Although it states that if the proposal went 48 through, there would be no need for a federal hunt, since the 49 state hunt would be all inclusive. The feds should have a 50 back-up proposal in case the state does not support the

proposal, so we'll be discussing with Donna that we want to talk about this back-up proposal. We wanted to try two years on the state proposal which is similar to this 108, to give that a try before we go forth on this proposal.

7

Real briefly, Ray Koonuk was disturbed that Point Hope would not be included in this proposal. I think they're in Unit 23, if I'm....

9 10

MS. DEWHURST: We'll be addressing that here, Fenton.

11 12

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: And I think Willie will enlighten us 13 on that part there, so -- or Donna will.

14 15

Ben Hopson asked if the residents around Anaktuvuk 16 could be included in the proposal to take the muskox in the 17 Gates of the Arctic. And we've researched that. And at that 18 time the -- the proposal right now as it was written could not 19 allow Anaktuvuk Pass, but we did make amendments to that at the 20 March meeting. Geoff briefly talked about the state proposal 21 did not include AKP, but we've again amended the proposal to 22 allow a limited hunt around Anaktuvuk Pass.

23 24

Mr. Ulvi, Steve Ulvi, who is the coordinator for the 25 National Park Service, they could not allow a permit harvest to 26 control muskox on park lands. At this time it is against the 27 National Park Service policy, and we've yet to see in black and 28 white their policy, which we've requested. It's sort of like 29 the conservation plan or some management plan for subsistence 30 take of all animals in hoe parks.

31 32

Taquliq Hepa, who's the deputy director of North Slope 33 Borough Wildlife Department, briefly talked about Unit 23 near 34 Point Hope. That's in the mill right now. They are working on 35 some sort of plan for that narrow area in the northwest part of 36 our state.

37 38

We talked about deferring the Proposal 108. We'd wait 39 for the March 21st meeting of hoe Alaska Board of Game. We 40 talked back and forth to -- I think I telephoned a few folks of 41 our Council members whether we should hold off on Proposal and 42 wait to see how the state proposal went. It's on page 15 talks 43 about that, on hoe bottom of page 14 and 15.

44

45 Attached with the Proposal 108 was the Elders Youth 46 Conference Resolution, which is on the back of the -- back of 47 these minutes here. The last page there provides a copy of hoe 48 resolution, 97-05 from the Inupiat History, Language and 49 Culture Commission meeting of the elders and youth conference.

And this is a very -- an issue that we talk about at every meeting, so I'll turn the floor over to Donna. Again, the main motion was amended to allow Gates of the Arctic National Park to allow some hunt in Unit 26(A) and Gates of the Arctic area. Donna?

6

MS. DEWHURST: Well, as Mr. Chairman has just said, the whole issue has been deferred until this year. Now, it can be deferred basically however long. As Fenton said, if you wanted to defer it for two years, to wait and give the State a chance 11 -- the system a chance to work, that's not a problem. We can hold it in books for as long as you want. Or if you desire to take it up in this coming cycle, we can do that.

14 15

What you would be basically looking at, or what we could provide, just to review that, potentially would be -- We'd probably be looking at, if you look on your maps, would be a hunt on BLM lands, orange. NPRA. We don't have any authority to go off of federal lands, so we don't have any authority to go into the white. And the pink on the far west over by Point Hope we'll be discussing here later. That would be part of a different hunt. So this hunt would only apply primarily for NPRA.

2425

At this time the Park Service is opposing including 26 Gates of the Arctic. And that was basically the stalemate that 27 the Federal Board ran up against last spring. Everybody was 28 pretty much going forward with this proposal, and then the 29 stalemate was Anaktuvuk Pass wanted to hunt in Gates, and the 30 Park Service gave an emphatic no. And that was kind of where 31 we left off and why the proposal got deferred was the stalemate 32 right there. That's -- we're still in that position. The Park 33 -- that's still my understanding the Park Service's position. 34 So that hasn't changed. Of course, additional discussions 35 could occur between the Council and the National Park Service, 36 but that's still at least what just last week when I talked 37 with some folks, that was basically what I was told.

38

So what you could get potentially would be a hunt in 40 NPRA, the orange lands. It would be -- they -- we would issue 41 permits in advance, but it would only be for -- I think the way 42 we had it was one permit per hunter, and a hunter could get two 43 muskox I believe was how we'd initially set it up. The hunter 44 would have to have a current state hunting license to even be 45 able to get a permit, so they would have to have proof of a 46 hunting license to get one of the federal permits. The permit 47 would be good probably for one year, and would be good for two 48 muskox. So they would have it in hand. So the problem I know 49 Gordon and Point Lay folks were running into with the state 50 hunt was the advanced -- trying to get the advanced permission

8

13 14

24 25

26

29

32 33

34

43

47

48

kind of thing. This would -- you would already have permission, because you would have that permit in hand if this federal hunt went through. But the catch is, it would only be 4 good on federal lands, and so if you have muskox right by Point 5 Lay, the federal hunt wouldn't do you any good. You know, this federal hunt would only be good on NPRA basically.

So that's the trade offs, and that's where we're at. 9 We're -- it's up to the Council whether or not they want to 10 consider it this year, or if you want to just defer it again 11 until next year like Fenton mentioned and let the state system 12 have another year to see if they can work it out.

Geoff did have a long discussion with Gordon at the 15 North Slope Fish and Game Management Committee, and the point 16 of the length of time it was taking to get an emergency order 17 through was brought up, and he said that, well, that was a 18 first case scenario, and that he was going to work on that. 19 assume he's worked with you, Gordon to try to solve some of 20 those problems. So as far as I know, Geoff is working hard to 21 try to speed the process up and make it run smoother. I wish 22 he were here to talk for himself, but that was my 23 understanding.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. You've got the floor?

27 MS. DEWHURST: Well, you can -- I'll turn it over to 28 you at that point.

30 MR. UPICKSOUN: We did have a problem, Mr. Chairman, 31 with the permitting.....

> CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yes, Mr. Upicksoun.

35 MR. UPICKSOUN:process. Over a week -- well, 36 when we first came before Geoff to say we need the permits, 37 well, he had to take the Boy Scouts out, and then when he came 38 back, some more time expired. I believe two more weeks. 39 he flew down there with permits. It states there, Mr. 40 Chairman, that Donna, you stated that at our meeting that we 41 deferred this proposal until the Board of Game votes on this 42 proposal, and -- on March 21st.

44 MS. DEWHURST: Well, it was also deferred after that. 45 When the Federal Board met in May? 46

> MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Yes.

49 MS. DEWHURST: They decided to defer it until this 50 cycle on the federal side.

2 3 MR. UPICKSOUN: Uh-hum.

4 5 7

8 9 does the State stand on this -- on muskox? It's not in the 10 reas. 11

12

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

25

26

27 28

33

34

41 42

43 44

45 46

MS. DEWHURST: So the federal proposal was basically in an inactive status right now. You could activate it this year, or you could hold off and wait another year. It's up to the Council's wishes at that point. You have the two options. MR. UPICKSOUN: Well, I have another question.

MS. DEWHURST: Yeah, we were wondering that. I think 13 because -- and somebody from the state can -- yeah, let.....

> MS. MEEHAN: Let someone....

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Sverre Pederson.

MR. PEDERSON: Thank you very much.

MR. UPICKSOUN: They gave us -- the state regulations, 22 Donna, they issued us one permit per person. That's through 23 the state regs, but it's not -- there's nothing in the state 24 regs, the new ones.

MS. DEWHURST: We wonder the same thing.

MR. PEDERSON: Mr. Chairman, this is Sverre. 29 reason there is nothing about that particular opportunity in 30 the state regs is that it is not a hunt as such. Those hunts 31 are arranged by emergency order only. And so there's no reason 32 to have them in a reg book.

MR. UPICKSOUN: In that case, then why wasn't the 35 public notified, and in Gordon Brower's case where his dad 36 attached some noise makers to his four-wheeler and tried to get 37 the caribou -- I mean, the muskox away when in fact he could 38 have hunted muskox instead of trying to scare them. You could 39 have got an incidental take. But it's not written in the state 40 regs.

> MR. PEDERSON: Yeah. Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yes, Mr. Pederson?

MR PEDERSON: Geoff and other staff have worked to try 47 to streamline additional requests that may come in for the take 48 of muskox on unteray (ph). It was anticipated potentially 49 someone on the Tip River might ask for an opportunity to take a 50 muskox that's in a location where they may be disturbing

movements of caribou. And those permits are probably ready to go at just about any time that you apply for them.

So in your particular case, Mr. Upicksoun, it took a little while to get the permits or the emergency order issued, and it was an unfortunate circumstance. The request came in at a time when the person on staff who had been assigned to do 8 this work was basically on his way out the door to do something 9 else. And so, you know, we recognize that that's a weakness 10 here, but, you know, that's probably not going to happen very 11 often to again have that kind of coincidence. But in the 12 future we hope to be able to have those permits pretty much 13 maybe available when people apply for them. And so there's not 14 going to be that delay.

15 16

But let me also ask this though: The -- we haven't 17 heard back from Point Lay whether or not those permits that 18 went to Point Lay in fact were used that were sent down?

19 20

MR. UPICKSOUN: One was used last week, Mr. Pederson. 21 And the scenario that Gordon Brower presented when his dad was 22 attaching noise makers to his four wheeler, trying to chase 23 them away from their normal caribou hunting area, did he in 24 fact had the right to hunt the muskox instead of trying to 25 chase them?

26 27

MR. PEDERSON: If he had requested a permit from.....

28 29

MR. UPICKSOUN: See, that part was not made clear to 30 the public, this permitting process in the area where they were 31 trying to chase the muskox away. There's no -- the State is 32 clear on that. There's no -- they should -- if they -- and if 33 it's not a req, then there should be a public notice for people 34 affected by the muskox. There should be.....

35 36 37

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Public notice.

38 MR. UPICKSOUN:a public notice where there's no 39 question, where they don't go wasting time trying to chase them 40 away when in fact they could have hunted them.

41 42

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Public notices, we'll mark that 43 down. They just need to.....

44 45

MR. UPICKSOUN: They did use one permit in Point Lay 46 last week.

47 48

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Or public relations or public 49 information.

0057 MR. UPICKSOUN: We've been kicking around this muskox issue, just like Clinton and Monica. It never goes away. 4 MR. B. HOPSON: Mr. Chairman? 5 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Hopson? 7 MR. B. HOPSON: Yeah. Anaktuvuk residents are still of the -- like concern or opinion that they'd still like to 10 harvest muskox on the incidental catch basis. And then, you 11 know, muskox have been around a long time on the Slope. It may 12 not be long, but it's taken a lot of time for -- it actually 13 hasn't taken that long time to populate to a fairly good sized 14 numbers and we're just not seeing muskox moving into our area 15 at all. You know, it's a park for everyone to enjoy. I don't 16 know if it's possible to have everything in that park, although 17 there is a quite wide array of animals to see for hikers, U.S. 18 residents. Other federal agencies that own land on the North 19 Slope, they're enjoying high muskox numbers in ANWR, NPRA. 20 21 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, Donna, could you give us 22 the history behind the flat no for AKP for incidental take of 23 muskox? Why was there a flat no? 24 25 MS. DEWHURST: You mean for Gates? I'm sorry. I'm 26 trying to remember the statement. It was against -- you might 27 be able to help me with -- the park policies were -- well, we 28 have a Park Service person, let him answer. 29 30 MS. MEEHAN: Paul. 31 32 MS. DEWHURST: I forgot Paul was here. 33 34 MR. HUNTER: Mr. Chairman, Council members, I'm Paul 35 Hunter. 36 37 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Hunter. 38 39 MR. HUNTER: And I'm speaking for Gates of the Arctic

40 Park today, and also for the Park Service generally in the 41 state. The policy that we're talking about, Park Service 42 policy, is I could analogize it or compare it to the wolf 43 policy when we've been requested to do predator control for 44 wolves. And the Park Service policy is not to do predator 45 control, but we're not — but we don't oppose regular hunting 46 seasons and trapping seasons for wolves. It's just the idea of 47 controlling nuisance animals so to speak. And the same applies 48 for other species, such as muskox. And that's what the flat no 49 refers to is the idea of controlling a nuisance animal, just 50 for that reason. And that applies in the area that is the

0058 park.

7

The Park Service supports the incidental take program 4 that has been established with the State, and that would apply around Anaktuvuk Pass on the corporation lands and other private lands around Anaktuvuk Pass. And it would also apply in the preserve, that small portion of preserve that is east of 8 Anaktuvuk Pass. Where it wouldn't apply is in the Park, and 9 that is because the only taking of wildlife that is allowed in 10 the Park is under the Federal Subsistence Program. So taking 11 under state law doesn't apply in the Park. And the Park 12 Service would support a season for muskox in the park when 13 there are enough animals, enough to support a regular 14 subsistence harvest, that the flat no that is being referred to 15 now simply means that the position of hoe Park Service at this 16 point is that there aren't enough in the Park to support a 17 subsistence take, and the -- and the Park Service doesn't 18 support predator control or nuisance control of species, of any 19 kind of species in the Park. If it was an exotic species, that 20 is, a species that wasn't native to the Park, there are 21 provisions for controlling that kind of a situation, but when 22 it's a species that's native to the area, the Park Service 23 policy nationwide is to not removal of that species. So that's 24 pretty much in a summary the position of the Park Service.

25 26

MR. UPICKSOUN: So that -- you don't in fact address 27 the fact that these were introduced species, and I still can't 28 understand why you can support the incidental take of muskox 29 dispersed from other herds into your area. How can you support 30 half of it, and some -- do I detect apples and oranges some 31 place?

32 33

MR. HUNTER: It is sort of apples and oranges in the 34 sense that the Park Service is more restrictive in what we can 35 allow in the terms of taking wildlife in the Park than BLM is 36 or....

37 38

MR. UPICKSOUN: I mean, how do you address introduced 39 species that were introduced in the area?

40 41

If it was a species that just wasn't MR. HUNTER: 42 natural in the Park.....

43

44 MR. UPICKSOUN: They're not natural. We've been 45 saying....

46 47

MR. HUNTER: That's....

48 49

MR. UPICKSOUN:that for years.

MR. HUNTER: Yeah. The -- I guess the position of the 2 National Park Service right now is that they are a species that used to be there, and were eliminated for whatever reason, 4 hunting, commercial meat hunting, whatever, and now they're being re-introduced, but they were historically in that -- in 6 the area. And that's why it's not considered an exotic, unnatural animal to be in the Park. I mean, if it was a lion 8 or a tiger that were coming into the Park, that would be an 9 unnatural species if it somehow got in there, and the Park 10 Service would support eliminating that kind of an animal from 11 the Park.

12 13

7

The Park Service would -- I'm speaking for myself I 14 guess now, but my understanding of what the Park Service would 15 like is that a natural population of muskoxen would be 16 established in the Park, and that a regular subsistence harvest 17 would occur by the subsistence users in the Park, and at the 18 point at which there are enough animals to do that, the Park 19 Service will be the first to support a regular harvest.

20 21

Any other question, Mr. Hopson? CHAIRMAN REXFORD:

22 23

MR. B. HOPSON: No.

24 25

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Let me -- any questions for 26 Mr. Hunter before we move on?

27 28

MR. G. BROWER: I have a question, I just.....

29 30

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Brower?

31 32

MR. G. BROWER: I just didn't get it clear. Is it that 33 Park Service is supporting an incidental take around Anaktuvuk 34 Pass, including this purple area? If an incident took place, 35 an encounter between like a hunting camp and it just became 36 necessary to kill that animal?

37 38

MR. HUNTER: The Park Service supports the incidental 39 take program that has just been established by the State, but 40 it only applies on the corporation land, and other private land 41 around Anaktuvuk Pass. None of the state hunting regulations 42 apply in the Park. That's because the Park is closed to 43 hunting under state law. Congress, United States Congress did 44 that. So the only kind of hunting that can occur in the park 45 is hunting under the Federal Subsistence Program. 46 it's.....

47 48

MR. G. BROWER: Okay. I just got mixed up for a little 49 bit if you were supporting their program in their own lands.

0060 1 MR. HUNTER: Yes, yes. 2 3 MR. G. BROWER: That's what you're saying then? 4 5 MR. HUNTER: That's correct. 7 MR. G. BROWER: Okay. 8 9 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman? 10 11 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Upicksoun? 12 13 MR. UPICKSOUN: You asked for further comments so we 14 can go on. Are you going to be discussing 108 some more 15 or.... 16 17 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: I think we're discussing 108 right 18 now. 19 20 MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. Mr. Chairman, I want the State 21 to clarify what they'll be doing to make the hunters aware of 22 what they're able to do as far as muskox is concerned. Like in 23 Gordon Brower's dad's case where they didn't know that they 24 have in fact taken that moose -- muskox instead of trying to 25 chase -- wasting time trying to chase it away. 26 27 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Pederson? 28 29 MR. PEDERSON: I will identify myself, I didn't do it 30 last time. I'm Sverre Pederson. I'm with the State of Alaska, 31 Department of Fish and Game, 32 33 COURT REPORTER: Could you come over to the microphone, 34 please? 35 36 MR. PEDERSON:Division of Subsistence. First --37 okay. I'm Sverre Pederson, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, 38 Division of Subsistence.

Mr. Chairman, Mr. Upicksoun, the -- we've already gone through the first part of your question which has to do with this -- you know, the State not being -- not providing enough information to hunters, and we've taken note of that, and we'll consider that comment and see what we can do to insure that more information is broadcast, and so that people understand this new regulation, or this -- yeah, this regulation more fully.

The second part of your question has to do with when can people harvest under the emergency order these -- you know,

these muskox. And the intent was that we would harvest muskox that were in -- and this was based on recommendations from the Fish and Game Management Committee, and the communities most intimately involved in this, which were basically Point Lay and Nuigsut and Kaktovik, that the incidental take would occur by request when muskox were estab- -- beginning to become established, small groups of muskox were beginning to become 8 established in important migration corridors for caribou. 9 intent wasn't, you know, whenever you see a muskox, and it --10 you know, at the individual level annoys you, that you then 11 immediately be given a permit to go out and harvest the muskox. 12 The Board of Game said that, you know, specifically that when 13 muskox were in important migration corridors for caribou, that 14 the public then should be given an opportunity to reduce the 15 number of muskox so that caribou could pass through these 16 areas, and so that's sort of the -- that was the intent.

17 18

Now, how that is -- how it's put into effect is a 19 different, you know, matter. There's some discretion allowed 20 there, and the dialogue between people who request a permit, 21 and in this case Geoff who issues the permit, how -- that the 22 threshold there is, you know, how many people have to agree 23 that this is a problem. There's some latitude there.

2425

So, you know, this instance that you mention up on the 26 Chipp River that one person felt that, you know, within a mile 27 of his cabin he needed to chase muskox away because they were 28 impeding, you know, caribou movement through there, I'm not 29 sure how that would be evaluated. You know, Geoff would have 30 to make that value judgment.

31 32

But the intent was that, you know, let's take Anaktuvuk 33 as an example, that north of Anaktuvuk is an area where caribou 34 stream in and out of the valley, and if muskox became 35 established in the migration corridor there, that those would 36 be then removed so that caribou could travel freely in and out. 37 That was the -- that's the main intent for this.

38

I've got to also add this, that, you know, we were -40 the State right now does not have a very good tool kit when it
41 comes to addressing concerns such as the ones that are being
42 raised here. We're pretty limited in what we can do, because
43 of the, you know, legal constraints. So in this incidental
44 take regulation, we've gone, you know, I think about as far as
45 we can go to accommodate a concern that people have expressed
46 with regards to muskox being in sensitive areas. And I'm
47 hoping -- we're hoping that this regulation will work, you
48 know, that this will in fact be a good mechanism for resolving
49 conflicts between muskox and caribou for subsistence hunters.
50 And, you know, we just started into this now, and I'm not sure

that we have very much information to go on quite yet.

3

Point Lay is going to be an important place for us to see how well, you know, does the removal of muskox work.

5

6 MR. UPICKSOUN: And not only removal, but the time it 7 takes to get a permit. Mr. Chairman?

8

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Upicksoun.

10 11

MR. UPICKSOUN: In June during our beluga hunt, we set 12 up camp south of Point Lay, between Point Lay and Point Hope. 13 Observation camp so we can notify the village when the belugas 14 come by. During the time that they were setting up the two 15 camps, about 20 miles south of Point Lay, they noticed that 16 there were some muskox in that area. By the time we got ahold 17 of Geoff, they were at a river, mouth of a river five miles 18 south of Point Lay. Last week I was at Unak (ph) -- Utukok, 19 almost 30 miles this side of Point Lay. Now, that's 50 miles 20 that they have gone toward Wainwright.

21 22

And when you binocular from Utukok looking towards 23 Point Lay, you see a bunch of muskox out there. And the first 24 five miles into Utukok you don't see no caribou. That's 25 unusual. Nobody got caribou south of Point Lay, our prime 26 caribou hunting area. Nobody got a single caribou this summer 27 in that area. That's a muskox problem. Now we have to go five 28 miles into our major river before we started seeing caribou, 29 because when you come up and start binocularing around, all you 30 see is muskox out in the flats. We have to go away from that, 31 and that is affecting the migratory pattern. Or not 32 necessarily migratory pattern, but the area where the caribou 33 normally feed, where we usually harvest our caribou meat this 34 part of the year while they're fat. The muskox are in fact 35 affecting the way we hunt in Point Lay, more so -- it's more so 36 -- it's more noticeable this year than any other year, because 37 of the area that they're affecting, all the way to Utukok. 38 That's 30 miles this way, this side of Point Lay. That's --39 our problem has been right from the village south. Now it's 40 affecting us 30 miles this side, and that -- as the muskox 41 population disperses more into our area, it will affect a lot 42 more.

43 44

MR. PEDERSON: Mr. Chairman, Mr. Upicksoun,

45

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Pederson.

46 47

MR. PEDERSON:between the time -- you know, Geoff 49 isn't here, so I don't have the chronol- -- you know, the time 50 line here. It seemed to me that it took maybe two and a half

0063 weeks between when you first applied for that -- for permits..... 3 4 MR. UPICKSOUN: Uh-hum. (Affirmative) 5 6 MR. HUNTER:to harvest muskox until you..... 7 8 MR. UPICKSOUN: Maybe a little longer. We had our regular Wildlife Committee meeting, North Slope Borough, and it 10 was right after that meeting. 11 12 MR. PEDERSON: And had the muskox moved quite a 13 distance then between when you first saw them? 14 15 MR. UPICKSOUN: Oh, yeah. 16 17 MR. HUNTER: Yeah. 18 19 MR. UPICKSOUN: That's -- we're talking about 50 miles 20 plus. 21 22 MR. PEDERSON: And how many muskox were there? 23 24 MR. UPICKSOUN: Well, initially they saw four, first 25 observation, south of Point Lay was four along the Arctic 26 Coast, along the beach. Now they've moved 50 miles north along 27 the coast. 28 29 MR. PEDERSON: There's still four? 30 31 MR. UPICKSOUN: They're out in the tundra, you can't 32 count them. Somebody's got to document this by counting or 33 something, because the next area they'll affect is your hunting 34 area, Terry, and they're..... 35 36 MR. TAGAROOK: We depend on the..... 37 38 MR. UPICKSOUN:no good when you can't hunt 39 caribou, and the doggone muskox are there, and it's..... 40 41 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah. Thank you, Mister..... 42 43 MR. UPICKSOUN: All the elders have the same opinion: 44 get rid of those son of a guns. 45 46 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah. Mr. Upicksoun and Mr. 47 Pederson, I'd like to include Mr. Hunter in on this 48 conversation. We have a mandate requested by the Elders and 49 Youth Conference. I think that's a pretty strong message to 50 this council where any other state or federal agencies are

urged -- let me just review that with you at this time to resolve or continue the dialogue with National Park Service, the Department of Fish and Game in this particular -- in particular Proposal 108.

7

Resolution 97-05, and you can find this in tab D at the very end of -- next to the minutes there. The Elders and Youth 8 Conference requested clarification for the public of the 9 changes in any regulations governing the harvest of muskox and 10 urging public involvement in decisions regarding the management 11 of the species. That's the title of the resolution, and I urge 12 the federal and state agencies to review this resolution, and 13 we want to follow through, and perhaps continue the controversy 14 we have with muskox.

15

16 Whereas the muskox population on the North Slope are 17 increasing; and whereas North Slope muskox population are the 18 product of successful reintroduction programs implemented 19 decades after the elimination of the species from this region; 20 and whereas many North Slope residents believe that muskoxen 21 compete with caribou, which are a critical subsistence 22 resource, for limited preferred ranges and food; and whereas 23 given a traditional low permitted harvest of muskox, these 24 residents see little value in the continued expansion of muskox 25 numbers and their ranges; and whereas many North Slope 26 residents are unaware that the Alaska Board of Game -- this 27 talks about the increased harvest around Nuigsut -- for a quota 28 of muskox in North Slope Game Management 26(B) near Nuigsut, 29 and five -- from five to up to 40 animals; now therefore be it 30 be resolved that the agencies -- where they -- I'm reading this 31 as federal or state, and any institutions concerned with muskox 32 management and harvest on the North Slope, including the 33 Department of Wildlife Management under the Borough, the State 34 Department of Fish and Game, North Slope Borough Fish and Game 35 Management Committee, are urged to take steps to clarify for 36 the public existing regulations governing the harvest of 37 muskox; be it further resolved that such regulations be 38 discussed at a workshop with each of the communities; that 39 information on muskox population in the vicinity of each 40 community be provided; the possibility of expanding harvest 41 areas to include all of Game Management Unit 26 be discussed; 42 and that the Department of Wildlife Management be requested to 43 explore a system eliminating requirements for subsistence 44 permits when muskoxens are harvested for nutritional purposes; 45 be it further resolved that the responsible agencies are urged 46 to continue to involve the North Slope public in research and 47 management decisions concerning the muskox.

48 49

And I know that we've heard from the Park Service that 50 they are against having a controlled -- controlling of any

animals, whether they be wolf or muskoxen. We need to work 2 with the Park Service to eliminate or make it easier or to 3 evaporate or get rid of that limitation that we're under and 4 where legal constraints that prohibit the impact of the 5 muskoxen. So if we're going to do that, I urge that the 6 Council here look at Proposal 108, to continue that dialogue with all of the federal and state agencies. I think that's a 8 serious matter that we need to look at Proposal 108, keep it 9 alive for purposes of discussion and further dialogue with the 10 state and federal agencies and bring it up with the Federal 11 Board again.

12 13

7

Because we're coming up with obstacles and time delays, 14 impacts. What are the numbers? What is the National Park 15 Service comfortable with? When is enough to take a hunt? 16 it going to be too late by the time there's enough numbers for 17 the residents of Anaktuvuk Pass? When there's too many 18 muskoxen now. What is that magic number? What is enough for 19 the National Park Service to allow some sort of hunt? 20 the only way we're going to make -- get answers for those is to 21 continue with Proposal 108 and find out just exactly what is 22 the numbers that state or federal agencies are comfortable with 23 to have a take. They must have a magic number that allows them 24 to state what is the number? X times Y?

25 26

I know you, you have these graphs that you could work 27 on. The economy in Montana or something, timber resource 28 harvest, allows the number of elk to be taken. You know, they 29 put an X and a Y formula in there for the number of linear feet 30 taken of timber, that leaves you so many elk to take. 31 can put some sort of economy or some base impact to put into 32 that formula. I think the numbers that they want to see 33 increases for the -- maybe a mandate from Lower 48 of the 230 34 million residents that are very interested in limiting.

35 36

And we're living up here. I stated that before. 37 we've heard residents not only from this area through the 38 Elders Youth Conference, and also the residence of AKP have 39 testified that they do not want to see the population grow and 40 interfere with the migration of the caribou that they mostly 41 depend on. So we have a conflict again. I'm going to repeat 42 these. We have a conflict with the policy of the Park Service. 43 We have a conflict in other areas or other federal agencies. 44 We know that there is some sort of master plan or some kind of 45 conservation plan that allow or we're butting heads or National 46 Park Service is saying, no, we don't allow control. 47 won't allow any hunt.

48 49

50

So we need to work on these kind of issues.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?

2 3

1

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Upicksoun?

4

7

MR. UPICKSOUN: There have been many different agencies involved in the discussion regarding muskox for several years, and you put it quite clearly, Mr. Chairman, when you said there's conflict. There still are. This issue has been 9 addressed by this Council, been discussed by the North Slope 10 Borough Fish and Game Management Committee extensively. Our 11 elders have been involved and you've heard the resolution 12 passed by the elders and the youth, and they capsulize 13 everything we've talked about for years. And like our 14 chairman, Fenton Rexford, stated there are still conflicts 15 within your agencies. How do we resolve this problem? 16 does it take to resolve the concerns of the residents of this 17 area? What do we -- who do we hang? What do we do? What does 18 it take to resolve all these issues? Is it an issue that can't 19 be resolved? There's no way it can be resolved? We've 20 addressed this issue for years, Mr. Chairman.

21 22

MR. G. BROWER: Mr. Chairman?

23 24

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Gordon Brower.

25

26 MR. G. BROWER: I remember years ago when there was a 27 problem with tutuk (ph), with caribou, when the hunts were 28 stopped and I don't know who was doing it, but I was a 29 youngster at the time. But they were -- whoever was doing the 30 control at that time was giving out families beef, say this is 31 -- you can't hunt any more tutuk, but we'll give you this. 32 that's what happened in the past that I remember. I think the 33 same kind of principle is going on when you're preventing the 34 hunt of caribou by introducing muskox. You're directly 35 interfering. And if they can't hunt the caribou, with the 36 muskox are in the way, and you're protecting the muskox, then 37 you're just taking away from that family's nutritional value 38 for that year. I think ways to find out problems to -- for 39 these issues are urgent, maybe including substituting the 40 muskox, because it's right there, and it's nutritional value in 41 itself. I think those kind of trade-offs have to be looked at 42 in order for people to get their meat. I think muskox is a 43 good source of meat. It's a pretty good meat. And I think 44 those kind of issues have to be looked at. I think it's come 45 -- directly taking away from families for their needs when it's 46 affecting that way.

47

48 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Thank you very much, Mr. Brower, you 49 have stated it very well, the impact of the muskoxen versus the 50 management principles. The residents are part of the

ecosystem, and it's upset with the management principles and policies of the federal agencies. It's not right. We need to correct that wrong.

4 5

MR. TAGAROOK: Mr. Chairman?

6 7

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Pederson first and then Mr. Tagarook.

8 9 10

MR. PEDERSON: Yeah. Mr. Chairman, this is Sverre 11 Pederson again. You're expressing an interest in finding --12 you know, a way to maintain a dialogue about, you know, this 13 problem with the muskoxen on the North Slope. And I just want 14 -- would like to call to your attention that there is a 15 technical committee that composed of all of the federal 16 agencies, the North Slope Borough, and the -- you know, we've 17 had representatives from the communities most directly 18 involved.

19 20

We've met, and through the work of that committee, we 21 came up with the proposals on how to deal constructively with 22 the problem. Well, first we created a management plan, and 23 then a harvest plan for the North Slope. And through the 24 harvest plan, we then derived proposals. We came up with 25 proposals that then were put before the Board of Game. And, 26 you know, that process has worked really well, and I would just 27 like to encourage this group to consider that, you know, 28 procedure, of that way of resolving this, and using that as 29 maybe the tool to look at how to further improve opportunities 30 for North Slope residence to harvest muskoxen.

31 32

Another -- there are other ways to do this, but, you 33 know, that mechanism has worked well. We've gotten together, 34 we've all sat down and talked about how to resolve the problem. 35 We've discussed our differences, and to me it looks like that 36 has worked fairly well.

37 38

I'm very sensitive to Mr. Upicksoun's problem. And I'm 39 -- and I know that the tool that we have right now is not 40 perfect, but it's -- we're making progress, you know. 41 moving in the right direction here, and there may be more that 42 we can do. And, you know, using the technical committee might 43 e a good mechanism to consider here. Thank you.

44 45

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman? Is that....

46 47

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Tagarook,

48 49

MR. UPICKSOUN: Oh, okay.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD:and then Mr. Upicksoun, then Harry.

1

MR. TAGAROOK: We have been talking about the muskox for quite a number of years as Gordon as been saying, and I know there are some villages that are, you know, like in Nuiqsut now, Point Hope, Point Lay, whenever there's muskoxen 8 there, the caribous are -- nobody's hardly see the caribous any 9 more near where they used to be before the muskoxes came. 10 seems that the simple solution would be to remove all the 11 muskox, and there will be no conflict.

12 13

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Thank you, Mr. Tagarook. Gordon, 14 and then Harry.

15 16

MR. UPICKSOUN: Yeah. Mr. Pederson, you heard comments 17 during our meeting in Nuiqsut regarding muskox. Now, that's 18 just one village. If you were to go to Point Lay, you could 19 really get an earful. My question to you, Fenton, is that 20 working group or technical group still in existence, the one 21 that Mr. Pederson referred to, and if it's comprised of all 22 these different agencies, why wasn't -- why do, Mr. Hunter, 23 your agency still have a problem in resolving the situation 24 around Anaktuvuk Pass, if in fact like Mr. Pederson said, the 25 working group, the technical committee, technical working group 26 tried to resolve these problems. And he can tell you exactly 27 -- just about exactly who is in that working group, and your 28 agencies are involved also. What more -- how many more 29 committees do you want so we can resolve those issues that make 30 you say a flat no? Do we need more committees?

31 32

MR. HUNTER: Mr. Chairman, this is Paul Hunter again.

33 34

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Hunter.

35 36

MR. HUNTER: I don't think we need more committees, 37 that's for sure. We've got plenty of committees. Steve Ulvi 38 is working with that group I believe, and he's -- he did tell 39 me before I came up that he's committed to continuing with the 40 group and in trying to go as far as he can with, you know, our 41 agency's policies.

42 43

As I mentioned before, we support the incidental take 44 regulation where it is established now. We're limited in what 45 we can do for the national park because of the specific 46 Congressional legislation that established the park, and limits 47 the taking of wildlife there to only subsistence uses. And 48 we're fully committed to a subsistence harvest of muskox if 49 they move into the park and there's a sufficient resident 50 population of muskox for a regular subsistence hunt. We're

1 fully committee to that.

It's only on the technical issue of removing nuisance animals in the park when there aren't enough animals in the park for a regular subsistence harvest. And there isn't really a magic number. The way we refer to it is when there's a natural and healthy population in the park, then we would fully support a subsistence harvest of those animals.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?

12 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Just a minute, Gordon. I think -- 13 were you following up on.....

MR. HUNTER: Yes.

17 CHAIRMAN REXFORD:I mean, Mr. Pederson, you're 18 following up with Gordon's inquiry?

MR. PEDERSON: Yes, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay.

MR. PEDERSON: This is Sverre Pederson again. In direct answer to Mr. Upicksoun, in the committee meetings that I have participated in regarding North Slope muskoxen, this specific issue that you're referring to has not come up, because the discussion was focused on the area north of Anaktuvuk. When we talked to people from Anaktuvuk, they --30 you know, they focused on the area to the north of them, because that is the critical area for movement of caribou. And so we've only discussed that area. There are lots of other issues concerning muskox management on the North Slope that still need to talked about, and so we've never -- we never explored this -- you know, what you call the magic number in a discussion with the Park Service. That topic hasn't really been up for discussion yet.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. Mr. Pederson, now this is an 40 issue we thought we resolved at the Board of Game meeting in 41 Nome. We thought we had all the problems solves. That's how 42 complex this issue is. When we got done with that meeting in 43 Nome, we thought, wow, we've got it. We don't. It's too 44 complex, the muskox issue is too complex. There's -- we've -- 45 we just keep digging ourself deeper and deeper.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: It's a management principle.

MR. UPICKSOUN: I thought we had all the issues 50 resolved when we got done with the Board of -- we attended the

State Board of Game meeting in Nome last fall. I really thought we had everything, muskox taken care of. That wasn't the case. It just got worse since then. And we referred to our meetings, referring to what our Council members said, at -- quoting our minutes, where it says that if we wait until the scientists can prove that muskox are affecting the migration of caribou, if we wait until the scientists can prove that muskox are affecting the migration of caribou, it will be too late. How much more testimony you want from the public? Then you have to sit down and prove that it is affecting the migratory habits of the caribou. By the time that you prove that it is affecting especially our hunting area, it will be too late. I'm talking to Mr. Hunter, you're with the state. Obviously you're with the State. I'm talking....

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Very well said.

MR. UPICKSOUN:to Mr. Hunter.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: In fact that's what I was trying to 21 allude to earlier, that two, three years ago maybe I wanted the 22 federal agencies to prove it, prove it that we're wrong. And 23 we haven't heard from the scientists or the biologists, and we 24 haven't been proven wrong yet, so what are we waiting or? I 25 think by our action from this committee or this Council to urge 26 the scientific proof before it's too late, and to start....

MR. UPICKSOUN: And, Mr. Chairman, when you referred to 29 the resolution passed by the elders and youth in March of this 30 year, they capsulized everything that we're discussing here. 31 And for the elders to have foresight and capsulize what we've 32 been discussing in different groups for years, for them to be 33 able to do it in one meeting and state very clearly what the 34 problem is, and put it in the form of a resolution, that piece 35 of document is -- the more you read it, the more sense it 36 makes, that resolution, what is it, 97-05.

MR. H. BROWER: Yeah.

40 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Upicksoun. I 41 think we have two matters before us to continue the dialogue: 42 revitalize Proposal 108, to have the working group continue to 43 address our concerns here. If we could -- if I can try and 44 summarize the discussions, we can move on to other proposals. 45 I think keeping 108 is my -- I'm going to just summarize it for 46 your consideration.

Proposal 108 is still alive. We can present that back 49 to the Federal Subsistence Board with amendments or new 50 verbiage or more proof or more testimonies. We should pursue

and keep Proposal 108 alive. That what Gordon just stated as far as it proves -- that what the residents are saying verbally to be proven by scientists and biologists and anthropologists. By the time their proof is written, it's already getting too late for Point Lay, west -- or east of them 50 miles.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Both sides.

9 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: There's some muskox that are
10 populating there and preventing sort of like a fence, and
11 diverting the caribou. Is it too late already? Probably. And
12 at Park Service, for them to feel comfortable at enough
13 numbers, whatever that may -- there's no magic number, but to
14 be proven, that caribou will be diverted or stopped, maybe
15 that's the reason why they're not coming from the south -16 north, which Gordon -- I mean, Mr. Hopson has stated that it's
17 unusual for a migration to come from the south. They're still
18 waiting for the migration from the north. Maybe there is some
19 muskox implantation north of the pass there that's preventing
20 caribou to come from the north.

So we can urge this -- the technical committee to urge 23 or delegate, or how would you say that as far as administrative 24 order or something sort of that -- for the biologists, 25 anthropologists, and whatever scientists need to prove to us 26 that caribou -- all along the residents have been stating that, 27 yep, they're right. By the time we come up with that written 28 statement, it's going to be too late.

30 So we have Proposal 108 before us. We can open that up 31 to insert some more testimony and get on, get on with this. I 32 think that's the only way we'll continue with this. We'll just 33 keep talking all afternoon....

MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes, and....

CHAIRMAN REXFORD:on the problems.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, maybe that technical 40 committee or working group can hold their next meeting in our 41 affected communities, like, for instance, Point Lay, where 42 you'll get testimony that will sink any ship. If you want -- 43 in order to help you get testimony from the affected community, 44 if it would help you make decisions, I ask that a next meeting 45 be held for the working group at Point Lay, if they have the 46 funding available to do so.

48 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Request by Councilman Upicksoun for 49 the technical or working group to meet in the affected 50 communities, and especially Point Lay. And we also have

Anaktuvuk Pass. Maybe by teleconference we can hear more testimony when we do make meetings here.

4

MR. H. BROWER: Mr. Chairman?

5 6

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Brower?

7

MR. H. BROWER: As was stated earlier, Sverre, you brought up the issue on the technical committee, and how far 10 they've gone in doing -- trying to get this harvest of muskox. 11 It was a management plan for the harvest of muskox, and it's --12 I think it's come to a stalemate to where we're just sitting 13 and waiting, trying to hear back from the different agencies to 14 sign off on that harvest of muskox plan. And as Mr. Hunter 15 stated, that they've got a mandate that they have to go by, and 16 that's one of our biggest drawbacks in -- on this stalemate of 17 getting signatures for this -- from the technical committee. 18 We've got all, like you said, the agencies that are involved in 19 the management of the resources in their different areas on the 20 North Slope, but it's not going anywhere without -- I mean, 21 there's still -- it's just become a stalemate and sitting We're trying to get signatures from each of the 22 there. 23 directors of -- like the director of U.S. Fish and Wildlife 24 Service, BLM, and Gates of the Arctic, and we haven't had any 25 response to my knowledge, and that's created a stalemate for 26 us, and I don't think we've had any other meetings besides the 27 last one we had in Anchorage. And I don't think we have gotten 28 one scheduled in the near future either, so I'm not sure what 29 -- that's the only thing that I know of that's creating the 30 stalemate, there's no sign-off on that -- on the management 31 plan. And we were urged to go forward by the Chairman of the 32 Federal Subsistence Board to go and make more progress on it, 33 and we've tried and we've come to a stalemate and we haven't 34 gone any further from that.

35 36

MR. PEDERSON: Mr. Chairman?

37 38 39

40

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Pederson?

MR. PEDERSON: I'm not going to make -- you know, I'm 41 not going to make this discussion continue on for very much 42 longer hopefully with my comments, but I'd like to just respond 43 to that. I think, Mr. Brower, if you look at what happened at 44 the last Board of Game meeting, they basically accepted all of 45 the recommendations that the technical committee had made. 46 -- you know, through the proposals that were presented to them. 47 And, in fact, the issue concerning, you know, traditional 48 knowledge and regarding the effect of muskox on caribou was 49 acknowledged in this regulation that was passed allowing for

50 the emergency order harvest or the incidental harvest

0073 opportunity. 3 MR. H. BROWER: Uh-hum.

4

7

20 21

27 28

37 38

43 44

45 46

47

MR. PEDERSON: So that was acknowledged. You're right that not all of the agencies have signed off on the agreement, but that's -- it's a matter of timing I think. My 8 understanding is that, you know, the Park Service may -- that's 9 about the only agency I know of that isn't going to sign off on 10 the agreement that I know of. The others have expressed a 11 willingness to sign off on the agreement as it's put together. 12 And I think the -- you know, I'm not going to second guess the 13 Park Service, but I think what the problem with the Park 14 Service was that the reason they didn't want to sign off on it 15 was that the area was too large that the technical committee 16 recommended as being open to harvest. And we've never had this 17 discussion as I mentioned a little while ago regarding the park 18 lands, and the number of muskox that would be necessary there 19 before a subsistence hunt would be allowed in the park.

So, you know, I think we've made -- I think we've made 22 a lot of progress. I think that's been a real good, you know, 23 medium, because everybody's had an opportunity to speak freely 24 in that medium, and we've good compromises back and forth, and, 25 you know, I -- so I think from an agency point of view, I think 26 we've made considerable progress.

I understand, you know, the frustration here, because I 29 know what it is you would like to have, and that is basically 30 no, you know, restrictions on you in terms of harvesting And, you know, if conditions -- legal conditions were 31 muskox. 32 different for the State, you know, it might be possible, but 33 that's not the legal environment we are in right now, so we're 34 using the few tools that we have available to try to 35 accommodate residents here. And I understand that you're 36 frustrated. I can understand that.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. So, fellow Councilmen, we 39 have Proposal 108 before us again. Summarize to us in a couple 40 of sentences, Donna, if you can, in reviewing Proposal 108 the 41 main -- do we have a copy of that proposal that we submitted to 42 the....

> MS. DEWHURST: No.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD:Federal Board?

48 MS. DEWHURST: It was to institute an incidental muskox 49 take in Unit 26(A) federal lands. I think we settled on 50 potentially unlimited number of permits, no season -- well, the

season will be one year, but that's just for annual permits.

But there's no season basically. And it was good for one

permit per hunter. Each permit will be good for two muskoxen.

And then at that point it deviated, because there was the

recommendation from the Council was different than the Staff

recommendation, but the actual proposal was just for incidental

take in Unit 26(A). That was the original proposal.

9 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: What is -- we've heard the 10 testimonies here, I will not try and summarize them. I think 11 I'd leave that up to the staff, hearing all the testimonies 12 here this afternoon, to revitalize Proposal 108 for 13 consideration.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Upicksoun?

MR. UPICKSOUN: How can staff recommendations and the 20 Council wishes be a hold-up in this case, Donna?

MS. DEWHURST: Well, it's just that we have to do our recommendations based on the land management agency, and --24 which is the National Park Service, so our recommendations are guided by the current land manager of the agency that we are --26 lands we're dealing with, and the Park Service said they didn't want a hunt in the Park, so that had to be the staff recommendation. And the Council said to open the Park, so that's where the main difference is.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. I understand your point.

33 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: I think, yeah, the working group and 34 the technical group should take this on at the direction of the 35 Council is what I'm -- I think which way the residents want to 36 go, or the Council wants to go is what I -- unless I'm stating 37 it wrong.

MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Patkotak?

MR. PATKOTAK: My understanding is, just in simple 44 words, National Park Service doesn't agree with the Council, 45 and the Council doesn't agree with the Park Service, but only 46 on one common ground, and that's incidental catch. Now, you -- 47 for that simple reason, we capitalize on that incidental catch. 48 So now if National Park Service doesn't want to comply with the 49 Council, I say we have workshops and put it in writing in 50 English and Eskimo, and make it clear to hunters that it is

8

23 24

28 29

32 33

40

43 44

45 46

47

good for incidental catch. I, for one, if the caribou which come through Peard Bay start getting displaced by muskox, I'm definitely going to get rid of those animals as incidental, because that's my livelihood. So my suggestion would be is that, National Park Service, you don't agree with the Council, so this is what we're going to do.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, what we're faced up against, 9 real quickly, is the care or the responsibility of the federal 10 agencies that are not subsistence, who are recreation users or 11 seasonal, that are up here for three months out of the year 12 that would like to see wildlife, would like the opportunity to 13 see muskoxen, and here we're -- I've stated this, that we're 14 living up here 365 days a year, and they're here for 90 days, 15 however many -- 2,000 man days at Arctic National Wildlife 16 Refuge, I don't know what the use, or the outside 17 recreationists are at the parks. That's what we're heading 18 butts against right now. Is that their policy want to allow 19 outside residents who are nonresidents to have an opportunity 20 to see a muskox. Am I -- can I state that -- is that -- am I 21 wrong in that, or your management policies and principles want 22 to allow outsiders to see muskoxen?

MR. HUNTER: Mr. Chairman, Paul Hunter again. 25 certainly is one of the ways that we manage the park is for 26 wildlife viewing by visitors. That certainly is a concern, 27 yeah.

But I do want to repeat that at the point at which 30 there's a natural and healthy population of muskox in the Park, 31 the Park Service supports a harvest of muskox.

And in terms of the proposal 108, it may be more 34 theoretical than real, the importance of the Park Service lands 35 to that entire proposal, because it may be that no muskox 36 hardly ever get to the Park if they're generally taken before 37 they get there. So it may be that we're getting hung up on a 38 theoretical issue more than really a practical issue. 39 that....

41 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, scientific proof, rather than 42 make it theoretical, prove it.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?

Mr. Upicksoun, let's..... CHAIRMAN REXFORD:

48 MR. UPICKSOUN: Paul,.... 49

50 CHAIRMAN REXFORD:get going on this.

20

25 26

29 30

31 32

34 35

36 37

38 39

50

1 MR. UPICKSOUN:you say there's a healthy muskox population, so healthy enough so it can be harvested. By the time you get that many muskox in -- north of Anaktuvuk Pass, they're -- the migratory pattern of the caribou would have been destroyed. Their livelihood, their source of meat. By that time, by the time the way you think that the muskox population 7 has grown to a point, now we've got 1,000 muskox, let's hunt 8 muskox. By the time that happens, the caribou will not be 9 migrating through Anaktuvuk Pass. It will be too late. 10 sustainable harvest based on 1,000 after the muskox herd 11 increases to a point where you can hunt it, you will have in 12 fact destroyed the livelihood of the community, Anaktuvuk Pass. 13 They will no longer migrate through that area if you only 14 provide a hunt when the population of the muskox has gone up to 15 a point where after you shoot a few there's still 1,000 left. 16 You will have -- by that time you use that theory of thinking, 17 by the time that you -- it will be too late. You will have --18 something is backwards some place. 19

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Thank you, Mr. Upicksoun. I think 21 we've pretty well exhausted Proposal 108. We know that it's 22 been tabled. The Chair will entertain a motion from the 23 Counsel to bring it back on the table. A motion and second 24 or....

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, I move that Proposal 108, 27 subject of muskox, be kept alive. Put that in the form of a 28 motion for the Council to keep this proposal alive.

MR. TAGAROOK: Mr. Chairman, I'll second it.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Seconded by Terry Tagarook. 33 Discussion?

> MR. G. BROWER: Yeah, discussion.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Brower?

MR. G. BROWER: I wanted to add that maybe it include 40 that maybe a c&t determination be put on this, because it was 41 an animal that was here maybe some hundreds of years ago, and 42 it was a traditional hunted animal in the past. And if that's 43 going to help in determining for our subsistence use, that a 44 customary and -- determination be put on this animal, because 45 it's been reintroduced, and it's been controlled as a 46 introduced animal, not -- you know, some view it as it's not a 47 normal species here, but I think it's something that was a 48 normally hunted animal in the past, and a c&t determination be 49 done on that species.

```
0077
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Brower, this has been done I
  think in '89 and '90, '91, that time frame. That's one of the
  first things we did for the North Slope. All of North Slope
4
  has a c&t determin- -- all the villages.....
5
6
           MR. G. BROWER: Uh-hum.
7
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: ....in Region 10 already have that
9 c&t determination for muskox, and now we're working on
10 proposals for seasons and bag limits. It's already been....
11
12
           MR. G. BROWER: All right.
13
14
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: .....already been done.
15
16
           MR. G. BROWER:
                           (In Inupiat)
17
18
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                             Yeah. Good.
19
20
          MR. G. BROWER: I just want to make sure.
21
22
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              That's all we....
23
24
           MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chairman?
25
26
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Patkotak?
27
28
           MR. PATKOTAK: I propose that the wording include the
29 National Park Service concedes a customary and -- well,
30 incidental catch, and that be included in there that if this --
31 that they concede that if the muskox start displacing caribou,
32 that's considered incidental, and therefore legal. That's my
33 understanding. That opens the door for -- to disperse the
34 displacement.
35
36
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: I think we'll come up with staff
37 recommendations regarding that, but I don't know whether you
38 guys want to discuss that?
39
40
          MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman?
41
42
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Upicksoun?
43
44
          MR. UPICKSOUN: I know Mike was specific in having
45 different issues addressed by Paul Hunter's agency, being
46 specific. The purpose of incidental take was to get the muskox
47 before it affects the migratory pattern of caribou in our area.
48 That was the intent, not to make a healthy muskox herds, so
49 when we get the muskox it will -- they will still be 1,000
50 muskox left. That wasn't the intent of the incidental take.
```

0078 The intent of incidental take was to keep the muskox away. That was the talk behind it, the unwritten law behind it. 3 4 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Thank you, Gordon. 5 MS. MEEHAN: Mr. Chairman, I just want to make sure I'm 7 clear on the motion that you have on the table, and as I 8 understand it, the motion is it was as it was submitted last 9 year was to request incidental take of muskoxen on federal 10 public lands in Unit 26(A), and so that that's the proposal, 11 that's the request. And so as stated, it would include Park 12 Service lands. And so last year when we went through the 13 analysis, we did discuss Park Service lands, so by 14 reinstituting the proposal this year, it means we'll have to 15 look at the whole picture again this year, and address all the 16 concerns that have been brought around the table. And so I 17 just want to clarify with you and the rest of the Council that 18 that's the intention that you're talking about. 19 20 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Including the amendments, right. 21 22 MS. MEEHAN: Including the amendments. 23 24 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: As amended last spring. 25 26 Yes. That's -- that kind of clarification MS. MEEHAN: 27 is in the report. 28 29 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman? 30 31 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Plus the additional comments or 32 testimonies here today. Those will be very important. 33 34 MS. MEEHAN: Okay. 35 36 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Those will be very important 37 comments for me to pass on to the Federal Board next spring. 38 Mr. Hopson? 39 40 MR. B. HOPSON: Yes 41 42 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Ben. 43 44 MR. B. HOPSON: Following Rosa's comments regarding the 45 Gates of the Arctic National Park, would then we include Unit 46 24 then? Because that's where we hunt also, which makes up the 47 Park. 48 49 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: I don't know. I don't know what 50 that will do. We can pass on the concerns about that, and I

don't think there will be any objection from the rest of the Council members here if that's where the residents of Anaktuvuk Pass qo.

4

MR. B. HOPSON: And I liked Mike's comments earlier 6 regarding the Park Service conceding to the Council that we do an incidental catch.

7 8 9

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Two in favor of that.

10 11

MR. PATKOTAK: Yes, that's exactly the way I understood 12 it from Ben and Gordon, and from other folks that are 13 discussing the muskox, and the original intent and summary of 14 what Gordon said is to not displace the caribou. I for one 15 tried muskox and I thoroughly enjoyed it, but definitely higher 16 in content of cholesterol-inducing marble fat. I prefer the 17 much leaner caribou and would not want to have my herd 18 displaced by muskox.

19 20

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Thank you. Again as a reminder, 21 that when we submit proposals that we'll revisit this in March 22 and we can have further discussion, hours and hours of 23 discussion on this problem. And I'm happy to again revisit 24 Proposal 108 and hear additional and new concerns from the 25 Council. I think we need to move on away from this. We'll 26 revisit it again in March after another staff committee 27 recommendation and review.

28 29

Helen Armstrong and then Gordon?

30 31

MS. H. ARMSTRONG: I just wanted to clarify for my 32 notes. So you are amending the motion to include that portion 33 of Unit 24 where Anaktuvuk Pass hunts in Gates? Is that the --34 or did you....

35 36

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: That....

37 38

MR. H. BROWER: I would stay north.

39 40 41

MS. H. ARMSTRONG:or did you not want to do that? MR. H. BROWER: We want to stay north. If we get down

42

43 to Unit 24, it's going to get even worse I think. They --44 everybody wants to keep the muskox from going up north where 45 the migration of caribou come in heading south. 46 (Indiscernible) get carried away from that migratory path in

47 the south through Anaktuvuk. 48

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, we....

```
0800
1
          MR. B. HOPSON: So we could keep it just 26.
2
3
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, then again we'll have an
  opportunity in February or March meeting for amendments. We
4
  can amend it then. It's just to bring this back on the table
  with further testimony. We learn new information, then we can
7
  make amendments in the February meeting. Mr. Upicksoun?
           MR. UPICKSOUN: For our new members' information, this
10 issue has been before this committee going on ten years.
11
12
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Exactly. You're right.
13
14
           MR. UPICKSOUN: And the more we talk about it, the
15 madder we get. We've been mad for almost ten years. That was
16 for your information.
17
18
           MR. TAGAROOK: Mr. Chairman?
19
20
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. I want to thank the Council
21 members to....
22
23
           MR. TAGAROOK: We're still on....
24
25
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Tagarook?
26
27
           MR. TAGAROOK: .....discussion?
28
29
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Pardon?
30
31
           MR. TAGAROOK: Are we still on discussion?
32
33
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                             Yes.
34
35
           MR. TAGAROOK: There was a motion made.
36
37
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yes. Do you have something more to
38 discuss on muskox, Terry?
39
40
          MR. TAGAROOK: No.
41
42
          MR. UPICKSOUN: Call the question.
43
44
          MR. H. BROWER: Call for the question.
45
46
          CHAIRMAN REXFORD: The question is called on bringing
47 back on the table Proposal 108. Signify by saying ee-ee?
48
49
           IN UNISON: Ee-ee.
50
```

0081 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All those opposed, same sign? 1 2 3 (No opposing votes.) 4 5 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Motion passed. Okay. 7 MS. DEWHURST: Mr. Chairman? 8 9 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Ms. Dewhurst? 10 11 MS. DEWHURST: We still have Point Hope issue to 12 discuss, but I think it's fairly short and fairly positive, but 13 I do move that we have a short break before we tackle it? 14 15 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Well, I'll have to deny your 16 request, that motions are allowed, to be one of the Council 17 members, Donna. 18 19 MS. DEWHURST: Oh, sorry. 20 21 MR. UPICKSOUN: You know, the.... 22 23 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Is there a motion for a ten-minute 24 break? 25 26 MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman? I think the Point Hope 27 issue was addressed at the Board of Game meeting in Nome last 28 year whereby on the downhill slope of Noatak drainage going 29 towards Point Hope, that's Unit what, 24 or 23? 30 31 MS. DEWHURST: Twenty-three. 32 33 Yeah, and Willie will.... CHAIRMAN REXFORD: 34 35 MR. UPICKSOUN: They will be permitted..... 36 37 CHAIRMAN REXFORD:be talking about that here in a 38 minute. 39 40 MR. UPICKSOUN: They will be permitted to hunt muskox 41 in that area. 42 43 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Willie Goodwin talk on that subject 44 after our break. 45 MR. UPICKSOUN: I'd so move. 46 47 48 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Upicksoun, thank you. A ten-49 minute break. 50

0082 1 (Off record) 2 3

(On record)

4

7

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Good afternoon, we'll call the --I'll call the meeting back to order after a brief recess. want to thank the Council members for their input in talking We'll -- probably before the end of the day about muskoxen. tomorrow, we'll have a look at that proposal that was worked on 10 last spring with our -- that we will submit as a proposal from 11 this Council, and we brought it back on the table.

12 13

So any other state or federal agencies on proposal that 14 affect the North Slope, Region 10? Ms. Dewhurst?

15 16

MS. DEWHURST: We still have two issues, yeah.

17 18

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay.

19 20

MS. DEWHURST: The other one -- the next one is still 21 muskox. I passed out a trip report. You should all have a 22 copy of it. We've been trying to follow up there. As Fenton 23 mentioned, Proposal 108 also, if you look on your map, and it 24 gets a little tricky because the RAC boundary and the unit 25 boundaries aren't the same, and we're right in that grey area 26 where they aren't the same. If you look way over by Point 27 Hope, you see there's a couple little pink areas. Part of the 28 pink area up at Cape Lisburne, it's all Alaska Maritime 29 National Wildlife Refuge. Part of that pink area is in Unit 30 26(A). What was decided as part of the amendments to Proposal 31 108 was that area be excluded under the idea that there would 32 be a hunt in 23 that would also include that little portion of 33 26(A) being talked about down the road, and that that portion 34 of 26(A), that little pink portion should really be included in 35 that 23, because that's all one muskox population that extends 36 from Cape Krusenstern up to Cape Lisburne. So that's what was 37 decided as part of the amendments of 108.

38

39 Now, to follow up on that, Barb and I -- Barbara 40 Armstrong and I got with the National Park Service and Fish and 41 Game, and we went out to Point Hope and Kivalina and Kotzebue 42 in August. Just this past August. That's what this trip 43 report's about. And we wanted to get out and actually talk to 44 the affected communities and find out what the feeling was out 45 there. Do they want a hunt? Do they not want a hunt? What 46 type of a hunt? You know, do they want a state hunt, do they 47 want a federal hunt? And we wanted to get out there and hear 48 it in person and actually talk to the folks. We had a great 49 turn out at Point Hope. We had 27 people. We had a roomful. 50 It was pretty impressive. In Kivalina, it was smaller. Of

course, Kivalina's a smaller community.

2

What I tried to do in the trip report was summarize the 4 main comments we were hearing at the different meetings in the different communities. Probably the biggest comment from both 6 communities is there's still a lot of concern, at least 7 Kivalina and Point Hope, about radioactive contamination of 8 muskox in the Cape Thompson area. That is an underlying thing, 9 that even though there have already been a lot of testing, the 10 local people still are very concerned about that. They don't 11 know if the meat is safe to eat. And until we can get past 12 that, the bottom line is they really don't want to institute a 13 hunt until we can get past that. That's one of the first 14 stumbling blocks that we're going to have to deal. And we do 15 have the capability. I've talked to the Fish and Game, and 16 we're going to start working on trying to come up with, 17 probably getting the North Slope Borough, too, and come up with 18 some testing so we can hopefully resolve that in the next year. 19 But that was the first stumbling block.

20 21

Some of the other comments you can see are similar. 22 Gordon, you might note they said muskox scaring caribou away 23 from the local area. Well, gee, where have we heard that 24 before? You know, it's nothing new. We were getting a lot of 25 the same comments. Conflicts between muskox and berry pickers. 26 No experience hunting muskox. Well, that isn't surprising, 27 because there hasn't been a hunt in the area.

28 29

The bottom line between those two communities though is 30 at this time they didn't -- they weren't eager to jump right 31 into a harvest. They didn't want to go right in and say we 32 want to hunt next year. What everybody was talking about was 33 let's get some of this stuff figured out, like this 34 contamination issue, and in the meantime, and this was also 35 with the meetings at Kotzebue, and Willie can chime in as soon 36 as I finish, that to get together and have a cooperative group, 37 and not necessarily work on a management plan or a harvest 38 plan, because we learned from the North Slope how that can bog 39 us down. That we really -- you guys are really trying, but, 40 you know, you found out the hard way how long that process can 41 take. So we're talking about our goal for Unit 23 is to submit 42 proposals to both the State Game Board and the Federal 43 Subsistence Board in fall of '99. So they're going to --44 they're talking about having a meeting I think now in early 45 December, is that the latest, Barb?

46 47

MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Yeah, December 8 and 9.

48

MS. DEWHURST: Yeah. The dates bounced around a lot. 50 But that's going to be the first meeting of the local agencies,

and the village folks to try to have a cooperative group similar to -- following the model of Seward Peninsula and the North Slope, and develop a cooperative group to start developing proposals for hunts, but that our goal will be to not submit these until the fall of '99 which is when the Game Board next takes up this area, and submit it to both the State and the feds at the same time with the same proposal, like we did in Seward Pen, and it worked real well in Seward Peninsula. That was the final thing.

Now, there's one thing hanging out though. I draw your 12 attention under Point Hope.....

(Whispered conversation)

MS. DEWHURST: Oh, okay. Never mind. Okay. I guess 17 we just realized there was a mistake there, and we don't need 18 -- there was a concern about c&t, but I guess we don't need a 19 proposal. We do -- Point Hope does have c&t for 23. Do they 20 have it for 26(A) though? There was....

MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Yes.

MS. DEWHURST: Okay. So they do have it for both. 25 Okay. Then we're okay for Point Hope with c&t. We were -- 26 there was a concern about c&t, but it sounds like it was our 27 mistake. They do have it.

So that's -- anyway, that's where we're at is that we 30 did go to the communities, that was -- and then we had also a 31 meeting in Kotzebue afterwards. The Kotzebue folks are more 32 interested in a hunt, or at least expressed more active 33 interest in hunting than we heard in Point Hope or Kivalina. 34 But the bottom line was everybody was willing to wait to try to 35 do it right, to try to get the State and the feds together, try 36 to get a cooperative hunt.

If you look at that area between Krusenstern and Cape 39 Lisburne, you can see there's an awful lot of white there. The 40 white would be state land. So we're dealing with a real 41 patchwork of state and federal lands, so the bottom line would 42 be a sole federal hunt wouldn't work very well, and a sole 43 state hunt wouldn't. So we've got to get together on this one 44 and try to do it right. And that's what we're going to try to 45 do. And we're hoping that we'll solve the needs of the Point 46 Hope, Kivalina, Noatak, that whole region for that muskox 47 population. There is a harvestable surplus. We're looking at 48 a three percent harvest rate, which is very conservative, but 49 that's what they're looking at right now at least as a starting 50 ground for discussion, which would be about ten animals for the

whole area. So that -- and that should sound familiar, that's about where Kaktovik started, you know, and that's what we're probably looking at for that area. And that's what we're -that's where we're at right now.

7

There's no action needed from this Council. This was kind of more information. If this Council wanted to make action, you could, but I -- and Willie can jump in behind me 9 and let him talk about it, too. There he is. But that's where 10 we're at right now with this one. And Mr. Goodwin's here 11 representing the Northwest Arctic Council and -- on that.

12 13

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Before we get with Gordon and 14 Harry, I want to have the opportunity to hear from Willie on 15 this issue. Mr. Goodwin?

16 17

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. What I intend MR. GOODWIN: 18 to do in our meeting next month is -- I mean, later on this 19 month, is to ask the Council for concurrence on having a 20 working group put together like she said, and to develop 21 management guidelines. I'd rather we stayed away from a 22 management plan, because I can see that we're going to have the 23 problem of having all the agencies in the state agreeing to a 24 plan, because it takes into a lot of other considerations which 25 we're not concerned about when we say shoot them, you know. 26 mean, what we're mainly concerned about are seasons and bag 27 limits.

28 29

I did ask them about what they thought was a good 30 population, and like the guy said, he doesn't even know, you 31 know. So I said, is there a problem with 300, and they said, 32 no. I said, okay. Fine, we'll just shoot at 300 then. But --33 and I hope that's the case. That way we can keep the numbers 34 down.

35 36

But I see the problem that you're having with the 37 management plan here, so we'd rather not go that route, but to 38 develop guidelines for submitting the proposals both to the 39 State and Federal Government. Thank you.

40 41

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Thank you. We'll keep a 42 close eye on your work with muskox in your area, because we 43 also look at Seward Peninsula on how they work with the harvest 44 and seasons of muskox, and then we'll look at it very closely 45 on how you do with yours, and maybe we'll revisit that again as 46 council members. As a council body here.

47 48

Any questions for Donna and Willie? I'll start with 49 Gordon and then Harry.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes, I have a question, Mr. Chairman, for Donna. Donna, you have scoping meetings in Northwest Arctic. Do you have any plans for scoping meetings on muskox in the North Slope?

4 6

7

1

3

MS. DEWHURST: Not at this time. That would probably be more under the purview of this planning technical group. 8 We're trying to do this to answer the part of where your 9 Council took that one little chunk out of 108, and we're trying 10 to deal with that and address it. And that's how we dealt with 11 it was to have some meetings.

12 13

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, earlier I asked that the 14 working group be -- have their next meeting, if they have 15 funding, the muskox working group be -- have their meeting in 16 affected community. If I could put it in the form of a motion, 17 I would move that the working group have their next meeting at 18 Point Lay so they can get input from an affected community 19 regarding muskox. That's in the form of a motion.

20 21

MR. TAGAROOK: Second.

22 23

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: A motion is on the floor to request 24 that the working group go to Point Lay for their working group 25 meeting, seconded by Terry. Discussion?

26 27

MR. H. BROWER: I have a question.

28 29

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Harry?

30 31

MR. H. BROWER: That the muskox working group --32 muskox....

33 34

MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes. Yes, the technical.....

35 36

MR. H. BROWER:working group (Indiscernible --37 simultaneous speech).

38 39

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Right.

40 41

MR. UPICKSOUN:committee, the working group, 42 whatever. What's the legal term for that group for the North 43 Slope Borough, the different federal agencies and state are 44 involved in the -- that group that came up with the muskox 45 harvest plan, that's a work- -- technical group, the working 46 group. What's the proper nomenclature for that group?

47

48 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Call it anything. It's a working 49 group. A committee comprised of various federal agencies....

0087 1 MR. UPICKSOUN: What's the legal name for that group? 2 3 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: I don't think there is a legal name 4 here. 5 6 UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: The hairy group. 7 8 MR. UPICKSOUN: The hairy group. 9 10 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: You better keep it open so you can 11 name them anything. But again just -- a motion's on the floor 12 to request that the working group go to the affected 13 communities and meet and hear from the residents. 14 15 MR. H. BROWER: Call for the question. 16 17 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: The question is called. All in 18 favor of the motion say aye? 19 20 IN UNISON: Aye. 21 22 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Those opposed, same sign? 23 24 (No opposing votes.) 25 26 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Motion passed. Thank you. 27 28 MR. H. BROWER: All right. I don't know exact- -- I've 29 just got a couple of questions, and I'm not sure if I should 30 direct it to you or Mr. Hunter. It's on this National -- Gates 31 of Arctic National Park, and then you have a National Preserve. 32 do they go by the same mandate? 33 34 MS. DEWHURST: No. 35 36 (Whispered conversation) 37 38 MR. H. BROWER: The differences between the park and 39 the preserve? 40 41 MR. HUNTER: Mr. Chairman, Paul Hunter. The primary 42 difference is that the preserve is open to hunting under state 43 law. The park is open to hunting only under federal 44 subsistence law. 45 46 MR. H. BROWER: And the other question I had is how 47 many agencies are involved in your discussions for this muskox 48 working group you have formulated down there? 49 50 MS. DEWHURST: Oh, for the new one?

```
0088
1
           MR. H. BROWER: Yes.
2
3
           MS. DEWHURST: The agencies would be ADF&G, the U.S.
4
  Fish and Wildlife Service, because they're dealing with Alaska
  Maritime lands, and the National Park Service. Those are the
  three land management agencies. And then, of course, we're
7
  going to pull in all of the villages that are affected.
8
9
                          So basically there's three.....
           MR. H. BROWER:
10
11
           MS. DEWHURST: Three agencies, yes.
12
13
          MR. H. BROWER: Three agencies.
14
15
          MS. DEWHURST: So it's a little bit simpler.
16
17
          MR. H. BROWER: Yeah.
18
19
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Any other Council members -- or,
20 Harry, are you....
21
22
           MR. H. BROWER: Yes, I'm through. Thank you.
23
24
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Your question's answered?
25
26
           MR. H. BROWER: Yes.
27
28
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Any questions for Donna or Willie
29 while they're up on the.....
30
31
          MS. DEWHURST: Mr. Chairman, we do have one other short
32 issue to....
33
34
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay.
35
36
          MS. DEWHURST: .....bring to your information after
37 this.
38
39
          CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Anything else on the topic, Donna or
40 Willie?
41
42
           MR. GOODWIN: No, except that if we can get somebody
43 appointed (ph) to our meetings, it would really help.
44
45
           MS. DEWHURST: Yeah, that was the attention. I think
46 we're planning on trying to get some of the North -- a couple
47 of the North Slope, this RAC members going to that meeting,
48 too.
49
```

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Is that administrative, or can that

0089 be taken care of by the administration or the staff? 2 3 MS. DEWHURST: Yeah, that's no problem. 4 5 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. You've heard that, Gordon? 6 7 MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes, I heard the request. 8 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. So be ready to find somebody, 10 or a willing person. I don't think the Council will object to 11 you or any one of your residents that may be interested. Okay? 12 13 MR. UPICKSOUN: Whatever they can accommodate in 14 regards to people from Point Lay, they'd..... 15 16 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. 17 18 MR. UPICKSOUN:they'll be willing to travel for 19 that, for various meetings. 20 21 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. So noted. Anything else? 22 Not -- Donna, anything? 23 24 MS. DEWHURST: That's it on muskox, thank goodness. 25 26 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Pardon? 27 28 MS. DEWHURST: That's.... 29 30 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, that's it on the muskox. 31 Thank goodness. 32 33 MS. DEWHURST: We have a sheep issue. There was a 34 special action this summer, and those of you that were at the 35 North Slope Fish and Game Management Committee have already 36 heard this, but for those of you that weren't, we'll go over it 37 real quick. This was a special action. Special actions are 38 taken up by the Federal Subsistence Board when they're out of 39 cycle. There's a normal cycle to submit proposals, which is 40 what we're in right now. If something comes up and we -- and 41 the Board needs to deal it, and it's out of cycle, not at the 42 normal time, then it's called a special action. 43 44 This was a special action called on a sheep hunt in 45 Northwest Arctic, but it also includes part of 26, and that's 46 why I'm bringing it to your attention. If you look on your 47 maps again where it says the De Long Mountains. It's right --48 if you look to the -- to this side, it's about the same area we 49 were just looking at, north -- or south of NPRA. The De Long 50 Mountains also cover -- there's no boundary around it, but

basically it also goes up into 26, up north of the border. This special action dealt with the De Long Mountains and the 3 Baird Mountains. Now, the Baird Mountains aren't on here, but the Baird Mountains are about where it says the Noatak 5 Controlled Use area. That's about the area where the Bairds These two mountain ranges. And there was a special action request to initiate a federal hunt, a federal sheep 8 hunt. This was kind of in response to a hunt the State did, 9 and Mr. Goodwin will give you a little more detail there, but 10 the bottom line is the special action was passed, we do have a 11 hunt. It is in place.

12 13

7

I just had -- you all have had the information passed 14 on, so make sure if your villages don't know this yet, make 15 sure they do. They should have received some flyers already, 16 but just in case, we want to make sure they do know this hunt 17 is open right now. It goes from August 10th to April 1st.

18 19

It will be closed when ten sheep have been taken out of 20 the Bairds, and ten sheep have been taken out of the De Longs. 21 It is a joint quota. And that there is a state hunt also open 22 in the Willek (ph) Peaks area, and those animals count against 23 the 20 animals.

24 25

If anybody from your villages wants to get permits, 26 number one, I have permits with me, so if anybody wants a sheep 27 permit, I do have permits with me. But if anybody from your 28 village wants to get one, there's this number, and it was kind 29 of comical when we went over it at the last meeting. It's the 30 1-877-RAMHUNT. It's a toll-free number. It's on the sheet, 31 and they can -- anybody from the village can call that number 32 and give the information, and they can get a permit to hunt 33 sheet if they want to go clear over in the De Longs.

34 35

So Point Lay and Point Hope people have c&t to hunt 36 both the Bairds and the De Longs. The rest of Unit 26 and 37 Anaktuvuk Pass can hunt in the De Longs' portion of Unit 26, so 38 that northern portion of the De Longs that's in 26 is open to 39 basically all residents of Unit 26. So it -- plus Anaktuvuk 40 Pass. So Anaktuvuk Pass does have c&t also for sheep there. 41 So any resident of Unit 26 can hunt in 26, the 26 portion of De 42 Longs, on federal land, which is basically NPRA. And then 43 Point Lay and Point Hope also can hunt in the Bairds in Unit 44 23, because they the different c&t.

45 46

So that's the hunt that got instituted, and I'll let 47 Mr. Goodwin give you a little bit more detailed on how it 48 happened and what's going on. But that's the nuts and bolts 49 and here's your information sheet to take back to the villages.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Thank you, Donna. Mr. Goodwin?

2 3

7

MR. GOODWIN: Mr. Chairman, a brief history on the In 1991 there was a crash on the population, and basically all hunting was closed for sheep, and especially in the Baird. It was in the Bairds first, and then a little -- a couple years later and the De Long Mountains were closed. 8 we haven't hunted sheep in a while over there. And we've 9 always had -- the local folks always insisted with the Fish and 10 Game, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, that until that 11 population reaches about 450 to 500 animals, we should not 12 hunt. And we've kept that.

13 14

But this past year, since the population was right 15 around 420-something there, and it was getting pretty close to 16 450, the State went ahead and published a hunt, which would 17 include the federal lands for 11 sport hunters, and the rest, 18 of course, subsistence. A total of 40, 20 in the De Longs and 19 20 in the Bairds. The Baird Mountains are basically south of 20 the Noatak River, and the De Longs are north of the Noatak 21 River.

22 23

So we had a series of meetings. We had a couple of 24 meetings in Kotzebue this summer regarding this issue, and 25 that's when I came up with the idea of having a federal hunt 26 for subsistence only. And, of course, we submitted a proposal, 27 and the Board did pass it. But they also -- see, the State had 28 published its hunt. They had drawn 11 permits of people, and 29 they were drawn to go up and hunt the sheep. When we did pass 30 -- have the action passed, of course, they were not qualified 31 to hunt on the federal lands, but they can do it on the state 32 lands yet. So whatever 20 -- whatever sheep that they got on 33 state land is going to be deducted from what the quota is set 34 for. And, of course, I was very upset over that. I felt that 35 the State backdoored into what rightfully should be the 36 subsistence user when the stocks are low. And the numbers are 37 still low yet. But these 40 rams were explained to us by the 38 biologists that they're from nine to 12 years old, and they're 39 probably going to die anyway, so we might as well shoot them 40 for our food.

41 42

There's a missing population age group that's between 43 four and eight years old, based on the surveys that were done 44 the last ten years. So it looks like the population won't 45 start climbing until the year 2005. So we're still going to be 46 short on sheep numbers, but as long as there is a harvestable 47 number, we want to keep hunting, so what we intend to do is 48 submit a proposal to keep it at a federal hunt for only 49 subsistence users.

At the same time, our Regional Advisory Council asked for a management plan to be developed for the sheep. One plan would -- what they're leaning towards right now is to do a cooperative effort with the State of Alaska, but I've also --I'm also going to ask for concurrence from our Regional Council to do a contingency plan solely on federal land, because I don't want to -- we don't want to get into the same hassle that 8 we had with the State over who has priority on animals. Do we 9 let the sport hunters go and hunt while the numbers are still 10 low? I don't think so, me personally. I think it should go to 11 subsistence users. But, of course, we're going to have the 12 problem with the State, because state lands are involved. And 13 it's -- they say a resident population goes back and forth to 14 state lands, but the surveys are done in July, and we can open 15 the hunt in August, and we know how many are going to be on the 16 federal lands.

17 18

And the other thing that we are allowed to do, and this 19 is what I guess really steams the State is that we can hunt 20 with airplanes, so we can go there with airplanes and hunt 21 right now for subsistence, you know. It's not allowed under 22 state law, but under the federal and the preserve, you can. In 23 the parks and monuments, you can't, but in a preserve, you --24 airplane use is allowed. And that's really burning the State 25 up. I mean, they went bonkers over that. We saw articles and 26 everything else from various people in our newspaper the last 27 couple of months. But so far we've had pretty bad weather, up 28 until the last week here, and there weren't that many being 29 harvested. But now I think there....

30 31

MS. DEWHURST: Four. Four. There....

32 33

MR. GOODWIN: Four was -- yeah.

34 35

MS. DEWHURST:have been three from the

36 Bairds..... 37

MR. GOODWIN: Yeah.

38 39 40

40 $\,$ MS. DEWHURST:and one from the De Longs that we 41 know of.

42

MR. GOODWIN: But they're out right now, because the 44 weather's pretty good at home now the last few days, so I'm 45 sure they're going to be getting the quota. Hopefully we'll 46 get them all. And that's where we're at right now.

47 48

It was quite an interesting process to make the system 49 work for us on federal lands.

MS. DEWHURST: This is a special action though, and special actions are only good for one year, one season, so if either this Council or Northwest Arctic wanted to put this in the permanent regulations, it would have to be a full proposal. At this time it is just -- like I say, this is an emergency action kind of thing, and it's good for one year.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay.

MR. GOODWIN: We are going to -- I intend to take it up 11 in our Regional Advisory Council meeting, so any support that 12 we can get from your group here to support the federal hunt, 13 we'd appreciate it, because it does involves residents on the 14 North Slope.

16 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. This proposal will be worked 17 on, we'll revisit it in our March meeting.

MR. GOODWIN: Okay.

21 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Be assured that we'll take a look at 22 that. Ms. Armstrong.

MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Willie, do we know yet how many the 25 State -- how many the State's taken?

MS. DEWHURST: None.

MS. H. ARMSTRONG: None?

MS. DEWHURST: None on the State. We've had I think it 32 was three or four drawing permit hunters go out, and none of 33 them were successful, and nobody's gone under their 34 registration hunt yet.

36 MR. GOODWIN: It rained like hell and snowed up in the 37 mountains for them, so they got out of there.

MS. DEWHURST: There was a cute story there, just real 40 quick. The permit hunters, the drawing hunters went up and the 41 weekend they went up, it got really cold. It froze. And their 42 sleeping bags froze to the ground, extended out. And then when 43 the charter guys, the plane came in to pick them up, they 44 couldn't get their sleeping bags in the plane, because they 45 were frozen to the ground and solid, so they had to leave them 46 out there.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Patkotak?

MR. PATKOTAK: A question for Willie. You said the

```
0094
  total quota was 40?
2
3
           MR. GOODWIN: Yeah.
4
5
           MR. PATKOTAK: And half of that was given to sport
6
  hunters?
7
8
           MR. GOODWIN:
                         Eleven.
9
10
           MR. PATKOTAK: Eleven given....
11
12
           MR. GOODWIN:
                         Yeah.
13
14
           MR. PATKOTAK: .....to sport hunters?
15
16
           MR. GOODWIN: Up to, no, eight. I take it back.
17 Eight.
18
19
           MR. PATKOTAK: Eight to.....
20
21
           MR. GOODWIN: Yeah.
22
23
           MR. PATKOTAK: .....sport hunters. By the State?
24
25
           MR. GOODWIN: Yeah.
26
27
          MR. PATKOTAK: And the rest are all open permit?
28
29
           MR. GOODWIN: It's -- on the federal lands, yeah, we
30 can. You -- I guess the people in Kivalina can go and get a
31 state permit to hunt this winter, or even right now, but they
32 can't use airplanes on state lands.
33
34
           MR. PATKOTAK: Twenty on De Long and 20 in the Bairds?
35
36
          MR. GOODWIN:
                         In the Bairds, yeah.
37
38
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Any other questions.....
39
40
           MR. PATKOTAK: Anybody get sheep, Willie, tell them I'm
41 willing to trade muktuk for it.
42
43
           MR. GOODWIN: I'm going to finish mine already.
44
45
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Any other questions for Willie or
46 Donna from the Council? If not, anything else, Ms. Dewhurst?
47
48
          MS. DEWHURST: No.
49
50
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Goodwin?
```

1 MR. GOODWIN: Mr. Chairman, there's one other issue
2 that I would like to bring to your attention, and that's the
3 user conflicts that we've been having within the park, and
4 maybe the refuges, too, but I understand there was an incident
5 last weekend in the Gates of the Arctic involving some
6 residents from Shungnak. A user conflict issue that's probably
7 going to escalate into some serious consequences unless we help
8 figure something out here. I'm sure you have more information
9 on it than I do, but five young guys were picked up and thrown
10 in jail from Shungnak.

MR. UPICKSOUN: That's in the Gates of the Arctic.....

MR. GOODWIN: Yeah.

MR. UPICKSOUN:National Park issue?

MR. GOODWIN: Yes.

MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay.

MR. GOODWIN: However, I bring that up, because with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and involvement from the Park Service, we are going to visit the issue of user conflicts. Basically when our people are out there hunting right now like caribou and moose, there's also people that are dropped off by air taxis. Just drop them off and letting them hunt.

One issue I'd like to talk to the Park Service about, and hopefully it can go into the Gates of the Arctic, because it's part of Unit 23, is the permitting process that they should develop to make sure that times and seasons and numbers of people going in from outside the region doesn't have much of an impact on the subsistence user, especially right now, which is a critical time for our people when the caribou are migrating through, and the moose are in prime condition. So the user conflict issue I think is going to be discussed in our meeting, and hopefully it will -- we can get something from the sub group that -- the advisory council for Gates of the Arctic 41 Park.

43 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, exactly. Okay. Ben's writing 44 that concern or that issue down. So, Ben Hopson is our 45 representative from this Council on the park's SRC.

MR. GOODWIN: Uh-hum.

49 MR. PATKOTAK: Mr. Chairman, another question for 50 Willie.

0096 1 MR. GOODWIN: Who? 2 3 MR. PATKOTAK: There's a lot of interest for these five 4 young men from Shungnak. Why were they arrested? MR. GOODWIN: As I understand, there was some alcohol 7 involved. They were up there, of course -- the area they were in is a very popular place that's been going on for centuries to get sheefish as they spawn, you know, or the suvuka (ph). 10 Holy gosh, there's -- they count 300,000 eggs in one sheefish. 11 And they're great big suvuks. 12 13 MR. PATKOTAK: Uh-hum. 14 15 MR. GOODWIN: So there was some ten out-of-state 16 hunters that were there at the same time, so I'm not sure of 17 the charges, but I understand they're pretty serious. 18 19 MR. PATKOTAK: Oh, criminal charges..... 20 21 MR. GOODWIN: Right. Yes. Yes. 22 23 MR. PATKOTAK:instead of harvested. 24 25 MR. GOODWIN: Yeah, criminal charges yeah. 26 27 MR. PATKOTAK: My wife's from Shungnak. I thought 28 maybe it was something else. 29 30 MR. GOODWIN: It's criminal charges. Yeah, they're 31 in.... 32 33 MR. PATKOTAK: Criminal charges instead of game related 34 charges, yeah. Okay. 35 36 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All right. Thank you. Any other 37 questions from the Council for Donna or Willie? I want to 38 thank you, Mr. Goodwin, for.... 39 40 MR. GOODWIN: Thank you for the opportunity, Mr. Chair. 41 42 CHAIRMAN REXFORD:sharing your issues that are 43 related to ours. 44 45 We'll move on, give an opportunity again for any state 46 or federal agencies on proposal that affect Region 10? Hearing 47 none or seeing none, we'll move on to hear from the Regional 48 Council members, any proposal that they wish to present to the 49 Council at this time? Any Council members with a proposal? I 50 know, Ben, you brought.....

```
0097
1
           MR. B. HOPSON: I have one.
2
3
          CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Mr. Hopson, I'll turn the floor over
4
  to you.
5
6
           MR. B. HOPSON: Okay. The only thing is Craig Gardner
7
  from the ADF&G office of Tok isn't here to provide.....
8
9
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Is he going to be here?
10
11
          MS. B. ARMSTRONG: He'll be here tomorrow morning
12 (indiscernible).
13
14
           MR. B. HOPSON: I think possibly tomorrow. But I can
15 maybe wait and present this for the Council's information.
16
17
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Is it vital to have Craig here,
18 or....
19
20
          MR. B. HOPSON: It.....
21
22
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: .....you can possibly just hold off
23 on your proposal until Craig gets here and revisit that if you
24 want?
25
26
           MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. Okay.
27
28
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Do you want to do that?
29
30
           MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. (Affirmative)
31
32
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: I think having them together would
33 -- rather than go back and forth on it would probably.....
34
35
           MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum.
36
37
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: .....expedite talking about the
38 proposal, and then we can hit two birds with one stone or
39 whatever that saying is.
40
41
          MR. B. HOPSON: Okay.
42
43
          MR. UPICKSOUN: So, Mr. Chairman, you want to
44 defer....
45
46
          CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah. Yeah, just revisit or
47 get....
48
49
          MR. UPICKSOUN: .....Ben's (Indiscernible --
50 simultaneous speech).....
```

```
0098
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: .....back to his proposal when his
2
  partner....
3
4
           MR. UPICKSOUN:
                          Defer it until Craig.....
5
6
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, for his colleague.
7
8
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay.
9
10
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Comes back on that. Would that be
11 okay with the Council members.....
12
13
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Yes, we're willing to accommodate him.
14
15
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: .....to wait for his.....
16
17
           MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. Yes.
18
19
           MR. UPICKSOUN: And, Mr. Chairman?
20
21
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, Gordon?
22
23
           MR. UPICKSOUN: I have one question in regards to
24 proposal. Donna, this special action, you say is good for one
25 year, and before the year's over, it would be helpful to put
26 this in the form of a proposal?
27
28
           MS. DEWHURST: Yeah, I suspect the Northwest Arctic
29 Council will be doing that, or it will be discussed at their
30 Council since they're the primary council for that area, .....
31
32
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah.
33
34
           MR. UPICKSOUN: And regarding.....
35
           MS. DEWHURST:
36
                         .....and you guys would have a chance to
37 answer to it at that time.
38
39
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Donna, I'm referring to the.....
40
41
                          The sheep, yeah.
           MS. DEWHURST:
42
43
           MR. H. BROWER:
                           Sheep.
44
45
           MR. UPICKSOUN:
                          .....to Point Lay.
46
47
           MS. DEWHURST: Well, that's all part of that hunt.
48
49
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Oh, okay.
50
```

```
0099
          MS. DEWHURST: They're part of that hunt.
1
2
3
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, they're going -- Willie
4
  mentioned that he'll have a proposal, and we'll either back it
5
  up or support it.....
7
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. All right.
8
9
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: ....in our February or March
10 meeting.
11
12
           MR. UPICKSOUN: Okay. That will be the same area.
13 right.
14
15
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Any other proposals from the
16 Regional Council to come before us?
17
18
           MR. H. BROWER: Mr. Chairman, I just have a question.
19
20
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Paul (ph)?
21
22
           MR. H. BROWER: I just want to like -- I'd like to find
23 out if we have any proposals that were tabled or put aside for
24 like RFRs. Do we have any from the North Slope that are.....
25
26
          MS. MEEHAN:
                        There weren't any RFRs this year.
27
28
           MR. H. BROWER: Nothing?
29
30
          MS. MEEHAN: Mr. Chairman? Yeah, we did not receive
31 any RFRs this year.
                        The first year.
32
33
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Good.
34
35
           MR. H. BROWER: Thank you.
36
37
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: I'll ask one more time from the
38 Regional Council, whether anyone have any proposals to come
39 before this Council? Hearing none, I'd like to move on, and be
40 assured, Ben, we'll.....
41
42
           MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum.
43
44
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: .....come back to you and.....
45
46
          MR. B. HOPSON: Okay.
47
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: .....Craig on the proposal, so we'll
48
49 leave that open. Would that be okay with the rest of the
50 Council members? Any objection to that?
```

```
0100
1
           MR. UPICKSOUN: We'll wait for Craig Gardner.
2
3
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. We'll revisit proposal with
4
  Ben and Craiq.
5
6
           That takes us to old business, number 9. I think we're
7
   doing pretty good. Barbara, I'll turn the floor over to you on
   annual report that was submitted to the Federal Board, and we
  have a response. The issues will be discussed. B, I think we
10 kind of went through that, Barbara, unless.....
11
12
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                              Uh-hum.
                                      (Affirmative)
13
14
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                             .....you want to.....
15
16
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                              I'm right here.
17
18
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                             Oh, okay. We've already done.....
19
20
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                             Go ahead.
                                         Yeah.
21
22
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              .....number 9.B yesterday,....
23
24
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                              Uh-hum.
                                       (Affirmative)
25
26
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              ....am I correct or....
27
28
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Uh-hum.
                                      (Affirmative)
29
30
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                             We can cross that out.
31
32
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                             Uh-hum. (Affirmative)
33
34
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Travel voucher, I think.....
35
36
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                              Uh-hum.
                                        (Affirmative)
37
38
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              .....that's pretty much taken care
        I think we went ahead and talked about number 9.F also.
39 of.
40
41
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Uh-hum.
                                      (Affirmative)
42
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: So that can be crossed off. So what
43
44 we have left are Board action, charter update, and the annual
45 report. And that might take us to the end of the day, and if
46 we have to get off early, we can do.....
47
48
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Even short.
49
50
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: .....that and then we can start off
```

with the agency reports tomorrow morning. Would that work with everybody?

MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Uh-hum. (Affirmative)

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: No one's rushing off? Everyone will stay here? All right. Good to hear. Barbara, the floor is yours.

10 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Okay. My report will be short. 11 Everything.....

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Yeah.

MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Everything that I have here is in 16 your books. Unless the charter was enclosed in those envelopes 17 you have, I didn't carry one. It wasn't given to me when -- 18 before I left, so I don't have it with me, but I'll mail it to 19 you. It's your new charter.

And the next one on the Board actions of May 4 through 22 6 is under Tab I. It's a report that is given to your Chair 23 every year. And this will -- I was mentioning earlier, you 24 know, this -- all of these will be starting to be mailed 25 directly to you, and as spoken at the orientation meeting last 26 night, which went real well, and the Council members have just 27 jumped right in and are acting like they've been here before, 28 so I'm really impressed. And so all this information that I 29 will be giving out on the agendas will no longer probably come 30 up in the agenda, so I'll just directly deal with the Council 31 in mailing it to them and giving them the information from 32 there, from the office directly.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: And by the way, just to discuss that, these also provide very good reports to the Council or the cities or IRA council meetings. They summarize the activities where the annual report is written to the Federal Subsistence Board, so take advantage of this letter and present it to your communities. Barbara? Sorry to....

MS. B. ARMSTRONG: No, that's okay.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD:break in there.

MS. B. ARMSTRONG: That's about all I have since 46 everything else was discussed at our meeting yesterday.

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Let me, Barbara, if I may just 49 summarize for the new Council members the activities that 50 occurred last year. I think maybe.....

```
0102
1
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                               Okay.
2
3
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              .....we can just walk.....
4
5
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                              On the....
7
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                             .....through that real quickly.
8
9
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                              Okay.
10
                              We hold two meetings yearly, and
11
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
12 that happened in '97. We met in January 28 and 29, dealing
13 with proposals, and in particular proposal 55 dealing with
14 black bear c&t in Unit 24. You can read that on that part.
15
16
           Proposal 58(B) dealt with brown bear c&t determination,
17 and the Council adopted the proposal as modified by state -- I
18 mean the agency staff.
19
20
           Proposal 60, again sheep, customary and traditional use
21 determination in Unit 24. We decided to defer this proposal.
22 We needed more information from the other villages before they
23 could make that determination. I wonder what the status is on
24 that.
         Maybe we can get an update on that when we deal with c&t
25 determinations. Could we find out what happened on that part,
26 or did we....
27
28
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                              On which one?
29
30
                               .....discuss this in.....
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
31
32
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                             Excuse me?
33
34
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Proposal 60. Did we deal with that
35 in our March meeting?
36
37
                              What is that about?
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
38
39
                              Sheep c&t in Unit 24.
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
40
41
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                              Oh, okay.
42
43
           MR. B. HOPSON:
                           Tab four, Anaktuvuk?
44
45
           MR. H. BROWER:
                           Look under Tab I, Mr. Chairman, is the
46 continuing RFR 97-60.
47
48
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: It's under Tab I. I think that's
49 it.
50
```

```
0103
           UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Pardon?
1
2
3
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Sheep. RFR 97-16.
4
5
           MR. H. BROWER: Sheep submitted by Alaska Department of
6
  Fish and Game.
7
8
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Oh, yeah. Okay.
9
10
           MR. H. BROWER: Presenting the....
11
12
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                             Yeah. It....
13
14
           MR. H. BROWER: .... (indiscernible) decision.
15
16
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: It became an RFR. I think 97-16.
17 Yeah, I wonder if that's the same proposal that they -- that
18 the State wanted the Board to reconsider?
19
20
           MS. MEEHAN: Right.
21
22
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Is that the same proposal?
23
24
           MS. MEEHAN: Yes.
25
26
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: So you can find that under Tab I,
27 the response by Mitch on Proposal 60.
28
29
           Proposal 74, another sheep customary and traditional
30 use determination, Unit 26. I'm not sure whether that covers,
31 but we'll find out. I think we need to find out whether that
32 -- the answer to his -- 26 covers that response from Mitch.
33
34
          MR. B. HOPSON: I don't see anything under this, is
35 that....
36
37
           MR. H. BROWER: This is on the proposal 60. The Board
38 adopted the Regional Council recommendation and rejected the
39 RFR.
40
41
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                              Where's that?
42
43
           MR. H. BROWER: Tab I, it's the last sentence on the
44 next page.
45
46
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Anyway, there's been -- there is c&t
47 in Unit 26, all of Unit 26 in summary.
48
49
           MS. MEEHAN: For sheep, yes.
50
```

0104 1 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Yeah, for sheep. 2 3 MS. B. ARMSTRONG: Uh-hum. (Affirmative) 4 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All of the communities have c&t determination 7 8 MS. MEEHAN: Yeah, the Board rejected the RFR. 9 10 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: So that takes us to Proposal 75, 11 again in particular Unit 26(A) and (B). That was passed. And 12 I think Ben was down there working on -- with us at the Federal 13 Subsistence Board. 14 15 MR. B. HOPSON: Uh-hum. (Affirmative) 16 17 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Proposal 76. This particular 18 proposal was rejected by the Council. 19 20 We met on September 16 and 17. There were some issues. 21 There was an election as stated in there. The issues, there 22 were three issues that we brought up in the annual report: 23 National Park Service subsistence management program review. 24 That was pretty well clearly -- or understandable. 25 working with -- in NPRA, the draft -- or the environmental 26 impact statement. Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. Again 27 muskox continued to be an issue. They're also going on further 28 west. It continues to be a concern as the herd moves this way. 29 30 A response dated August 14th from the Chairman on those 31 issues and proposals. 32 33 MR. B. HOPSON: Mr. Chairman? 34 35 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Ben? 36 37 MR. B. HOPSON: I have a question going back to the 38 Proposal 60 which you talked about. 39 40 CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Uh-hum. 41 42 MR. B. HOPSON: Can the staff provide us information to 43 enlighten us on the subject again? Does Anaktuvuk have c&t 44 determination for sheep in Unit 24? Or I'm..... 45 46 MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Unit 2-.... 47 48 MR. B. HOPSON:kind of getting the message like 49 Anaktuvuk doesn't have c&t for sheep in 24? 50

```
0105
1
           UNIDENTIFIED VOICE: Yes, there is.
2
3
           MS. H. ARMSTRONG: They're -- yeah, they're already in
4
        It's rural residents of Unit 24 residing north of the
  Arctic Circle, so that includes Anaktuvuk Pass, because they
  are in Unit 24.
7
8
           MR. B. HOPSON: Okay. All right.
9
10
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD:
                             Could we just get a status on
11 Proposal 60, see where that was? Because we wanted to wait.
12
13
           MS. H. ARMSTRONG: Proposal 16?
14
15
           MS. MEEHAN:
                        60, this one.
16
17
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
                             60, that would be sheep c&t.
18
19
           MS. MEEHAN:
                        Yeah.
20
21
           CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Any other questions on the
22 annual report? What we try to do in the annual report is to
23 bring up the motions or proposals or any vital information or
24 vital issues that we deal with in our region, so a copy of the
25 minutes we usually try and attach to the annual report for the
26 Chairman to look at what's been acted on.
27
28
           Tab I, Barbara? Or did you already talk about this?
29
30
                             This is -- this letter is written to
           MS. B. ARMSTRONG:
31 Fenton every year after the Federal Board meets. The Federal
32 Board meets like in May. This year they met from May 4 through
33 6, and this is when all the proposals are being discussed, and
34 all the Board recommen- -- like I mentioned last night at the
35 meeting, the Council recommendations are brought forth by
36 Fenton, your Chair, and this is where Fenton defends your
37 recommendations, because the proposals here are discussed in
38 your winter meeting, and then after that they go to the Staff
39 Committee. And the Staff Committee makes recommendations where
40 they mention how they think it should go before the Federal
41 Board. And then these are sent back to Fenton for his review
42 and all the other Council members. And then still Fenton goes
43 forward before the Federal Board to present your
44 recommendations and defend the proposals that you put forth
45 before the Federal Board, and this is -- this letter goes out
46 after the meeting is done with to give the report back out to
47 you to see how your proposals came out after the Federal Board
48 meeting. Any other additions?
```

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Thank you, Barbara. Again, she's

right, it covers the issues that affected our region, the results of the various proposal numbers on request for reconsideration. RFR sheep by -- request for reconsideration by Alaska Department of Fish and Game was denied.

7

Proposal 108, again 12 and three, muskoxen. No, that's proposal 109, I'm sorry. Proposal 108 was deferred, so now 8 it's back on the table. 109 was 12 and three.

9 10

Proposal 94, sheep c&t. Point Lay residents, Unit 23.

11 12

Proposal 78, moose season was submitted by Western 13 Interior. That changed the existing season. We supported this 14 proposal, and also the Board passed the proposal.

15 16

So in essence that's what happened last year. 17 Including up to the Federal Subsistence Board meeting in March 18 -- I mean, in May. Any questions on these two reports?

19 20

Charter update, I just want to point that out real 21 quickly, I think had one on the alt- -- maybe it's not in the 22 alternates, but Barbara mentioned that she'll mail the newly 23 updated charter for us.

24 25

That's pretty much it for old business. Any other old 26 business to come before the board -- before the Council? 27 chance. Any old business to come before the Council? Hearing 28 none, we'll conclude with item nine under old business. We'll 29 start the day in the morning, unless the Council wants to hear 30 a couple of agency reports this afternoon? What's the wish of 31 the Council?

32 33

MR. UPICKSOUN: Mr. Chairman, we can finish the rest of 34 our agenda tomorrow. Actually we can break and reconvene at 35 9:00 o'clock in the morning.

36 37

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Okay. Motion by Gordon to recess 38 until 9:00 tomorrow.

39 40

MR. B. HOPSON: Second.

41 42

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: Seconded by Ben. All in favor say 43 ee-ee?

44

IN UNISON: Ee-ee.

45 46 47

All those opposed? CHAIRMAN REXFORD:

48 49

(No opposing votes.)

```
O107

CHAIRMAN REXFORD: All right. Recess. Thank you very much.

(Off record - 3:50 p.m.)

(TO BE CONTINUED)

* * * * * * * *
```