

WP14-01 Executive Summary	
General Description	<p>Proposal WP14-01 requests the establishment of new statewide provisions for Federal trapping regulations that require trapper identification tags on all traps and snares, establish a maximum allowable time limit for checking traps, and establish a harvest/trapping report form to collect data on non-target species captured in traps and snares. <i>Submitted by Kevin Bopp.</i></p>
Proposed Regulation	<p>§___.26 <i>Subsistence taking of wildlife</i></p> <p><i>(d) The following methods and means of trapping furbearers for subsistence uses pursuant to the requirements of a trapping license are prohibited or required, in addition to the prohibitions listed at paragraph (b) of this section.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">* * * *</p> <p><i>(7) Traps and snares must be individually marked with a permanent metal tag upon which is stamped or permanently etched the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's Alaska driver's license number or State identification card number, or is set within 50 yards of a sign that lists the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's Alaska driver's license number or State identification card number. If a trapper chooses to place a sign at a trap/snaring site rather than tagging individual trap/snares, the sign must be at least 3 inches by 5 inches in size, be clearly visible, and have numbers and letters that are at least one-half inch high and one-eighth inch wide in a color that contrasts with the color of the sign.</i></p> <p><i>(8) All traps and snares must be checked within 6 days of setting them and within each 6 days thereafter.</i></p> <p><i>(9) Trappers must record and report all non-targeted species taken and their condition when found. Non-targeted species harvest reports must be turned in within 30 days of the end of the trapping season.</i></p>

continued on next page

WP14-01 Executive Summary (continued)	
	<p>Units 1–5—Special Provisions</p> <p><i>Trappers are prohibited from using a trap or snare unless the trap or snare has been individually marked with a permanent metal tag upon which is stamped or permanently etched the trapper’s name and address, or the trapper’s permanent identification number, or is set within 50 yards of a sign that lists the trapper’s name and address, or the trapper’s permanent identification number. The trapper must use the trapper’s Alaska driver’s license number or State identification card number as the required permanent identification number. If a trapper chooses to place a sign at a snaring site rather than tagging individual snares, the sign must be at least 3 inches by 5 inches in size, be clearly visible, and have numbers and letters that are at least one-half inch high and one-eighth inch wide in a color that contrasts with the color of the sign.</i></p>
OSM Preliminary Conclusion	Oppose
Southeast Regional Council Recommendation	
Southcentral Regional Council Recommendation	
Kodiak/Aleutians Regional Council Recommendation	
Bristol Bay Regional Council Recommendation	
Yukon/Kuskokwim Delta Regional Council Recommendation	
Western Interior Regional Council Recommendation	
Seward Peninsula Regional Council Recommendation	
Northwest Arctic Regional Council Recommendation	
Eastern Interior Regional Council Recommendation	
North Slope Regional Council Recommendation	
Interagency Staff Committee Comments	
ADF&G Comments	
Written Public Comments	2 Oppose

DRAFT STAFF ANALYSIS WP14-01

ISSUES

Proposal WP14-01, submitted by Kevin Bopp, requests the establishment of new statewide provisions for Federal trapping regulations that require trapper identification tags on all traps and snares, establish a maximum allowable time limit for checking traps, and establish a harvest/trapping report form to collect data on non-target species captured in traps and snares.

DISCUSSION

The proponent states the regulatory changes would result in more responsible trappers and trapping. Requiring identification tags with the trapper's name and license number may increase accountability of trappers. Some trappers may be less likely to set traps and snares close to people's homes and high public-use areas, which could ease tension between user groups. The trap checking interval requirement will ensure that animals do not remain in traps or snares too long, which could help ensure furs are found in good condition and increase the likelihood of releasing any captured non-target species. The proponent also recommends that all non-target species caught in traps and snares be recorded on a new harvest report form. Information included on the form would include the species captured, whether the animal was found dead or alive, and whether it was released in good or bad condition. If animals are found dead, the report would also include information on whether the animal was consumed by other animals.

Existing Federal Regulation

No Statewide regulations currently exist that require the marking of traps and snares with identification tags, trap-check intervals, and reporting of non-target species captured in traps and snares.

Units 1–5—Special Provisions

Trappers are prohibited from using a trap or snare unless the trap or snare has been individually marked with a permanent metal tag upon which is stamped or permanently etched the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's permanent identification number, or is set within 50 yards of a sign that lists the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's permanent identification number. The trapper must use the trapper's Alaska driver's license number or State identification card number as the required permanent identification number. If a trapper chooses to place a sign at a snaring site rather than tagging individual snares, the sign must be at least 3 inches by 5 inches in size, be clearly visible, and have numbers and letters that are at least one-half inch high and one-eighth inch wide in a color that contrasts with the color of the sign.

Proposed Federal Regulation

§____.26 *Subsistence taking of wildlife*

*(d) The following methods and means of trapping furbearers for subsistence uses pursuant to the requirements of a trapping license are prohibited **or required**, in addition to the prohibitions listed at paragraph (b) of this section:*

* * * *

(7) Traps and snares must be individually marked with a permanent metal tag upon which is stamped or permanently etched the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's Alaska driver's license number or State identification card number, or is set within 50 yards of a sign that lists the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's Alaska driver's license number or State identification card number. If a trapper chooses to place a sign at a trap/snaring site rather than tagging individual trap/snares, the sign must be at least 3 inches by 5 inches in size, be clearly visible, and have numbers and letters that are at least one-half inch high and one-eighth inch wide in a color that contrasts with the color of the sign.

(8) All traps and snares must be checked within 6 days of setting them and within each 6 days thereafter.

(9) Trappers must record and report all non-targeted species taken and their condition when found. Non-targeted species harvest reports must be turned in within 30 days of the end of the trapping season.

Units 1–5—Special Provisions

Trappers are prohibited from using a trap or snare unless the trap or snare has been individually marked with a permanent metal tag upon which is stamped or permanently etched the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's permanent identification number, or is set within 50 yards of a sign that lists the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's permanent identification number. The trapper must use the trapper's Alaska driver's license number or State identification card number as the required permanent identification number. If a trapper chooses to place a sign at a snaring site rather than tagging individual snares, the sign must be at least 3 inches by 5 inches in size, be clearly visible, and have numbers and letters that are at least one-half inch high and one-eighth inch wide in a color that contrasts with the color of the sign.

Existing State Regulation

Units 1–5—Trappers are prohibited from using a trap or snare unless the trap or snare has been individually marked with a permanent metal tag upon which is stamped or permanently etched the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's permanent identification number, or is set within 50 yards of a sign that lists the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's permanent identification number; the trapper must use the trapper's Alaska driver's license number or state identification card number as the required permanent identification number; if a trapper chooses to place a sign at a snaring site rather than tagging individual snares, the sign must be at least 3 inches by 5 inches in size, be clearly visible, and have numbers and letters that are at least one-half inch high and one-eighth inch wide in a color that contrasts with the color of the sign.

Unit 1C, Gustavus, that portion west of Excursion Inlet, north of Icy Passage—All traps/snares must be checked within 3 days of setting them and within each 3 days thereafter.

Units 12 and 20E—You may not trap within one-quarter mile of any publicly maintained road, by using a snare with a cable diameter of 3/32 inch or larger that is set out of water, unless the snare has been individually marked with a permanent metal tag upon which is stamped or permanently etched the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's permanent identification number, or is set within 50 yards of a sign that lists the trapper's name and address, or the trapper's permanent identification number; the trapper must use the trapper's Alaska driver's license number or state

identification card number as the required permanent identification number; if a trapper chooses to place a sign at a snaring site rather than tagging individual snares, the sign must be at least 3 inches by 5 inches in size, be clearly visible, and have numbers and letters that are at least one-half inch high and one-eighth inch wide in a color that contrasts with the color of the sign.

Incidental Catch—Continuing to take, or attempting to take, furbearers at a site where a moose, caribou, or deer has been taken incidentally is a violation. Any moose, caribou, or deer that dies as a result of being caught in a trap or snare, whether found dead or euthanized, is the property of the state. The trapper who set the trap or snare must salvage the edible meat and surrender it to the state. No trapper may use any part of a moose, caribou or deer caught incidentally in a trap or snare. If such an incidental take occurs, the trapper must move all active traps and snare at least 300 feet from the site for the remainder of the regulatory year.

Extent of Federal Public Lands

The proposal would apply to all Federal public lands in Alaska. Federal public lands comprise approximately 65% of Alaska and consist of 23% BLM, 21% FWS, 15% NPS, and 6% USFS managed lands.

Customary and Traditional Use Determinations

Customary and traditional use determinations for specific areas and species are found in subpart C of 50 CFR part 100, §__.24(a)(1) and 36 CFR 242 §__.24(a)(1).

Regulatory History

The Alaska Board of Game adopted a marking requirement for traps and snares in Units 1–5 in 2006. Federal regulations were aligned with the State requirements in Units 1–5 when the Federal Subsistence Board adopted Proposal WP12-14 in 2012. The Southeast Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council (Council) supported the proposal due to the benefit of aligning State and Federal regulations and reducing the uncertainty of whether current regulations required traps to be marked. However, the Council expressed concern that there was a lack of evidence as to why traps should be marked under either State or Federal regulations (FWS 2012)

Trapping Background

In an overview of trapping controversies, Andelt et al. (1999; *references therein*) listed recommended trap-check intervals of daily or almost daily for live-capture traps set on land in response to animal welfare concerns; however, daily trap checks would not be practicable in much of Alaska due to the remoteness of areas, length of trap lines, and harsh weather conditions. Some considerations for how often traps should be checked include the intent of the trap (live capture or kill trap), ambient temperatures, and placement of traps, which could allow rodents or scavengers to destroy the pelt (Stanek 1987). Other considerations for trap check schedules includes work schedules, distance to traplines, river ice conditions, price of fuel (Scotton 2013, pers. comm.). The average trapline was 23.1 miles long in 2006/2007, and the longest reported trapline was 250 miles (ADF&G 2010). Trap-checking intervals of two to three days were generally used by trappers near Kaiyuh Flats, Alaska to prevent pelt damage from scavengers, and beaver sets were also checked frequently to prevent any captured beavers from being frozen in the ice (Robert 1984). Trappers from Skwentna, Stevens Village, and Fort Yukon reportedly checked trap lines “once a week or every few days”, but some trappers “waited ten days to two weeks”

(Wolfe 1991:27). During 2010/2011, 79% of trappers from across the state reportedly conducted trapping activities 1–3 days per week (ADF&G 2012a).

Effects of the Proposal

If the proposal is adopted, Federally qualified subsistence users trapping under Federal regulations throughout the State will be required to mark traps and snares with identification tags, check snares and traps every 6 days or less, and record any non-target species caught in traps or snares on a newly established trapping report form. The proposed requirements have the potential to benefit all users by promoting responsible and ethical trapping techniques and practices. However, dramatic differences in land ownership, population concentrations, terrain, and habitats would limit the effectiveness of the proposed statewide regulations. Individual traplines can span across Federal and State managed lands and, therefore, could have different regulatory requirements. Alternatively, Federally qualified subsistence users could simply choose to trap under State regulations and avoid the proposed requirements, as both Federal and State trapping regulations are applicable on Federal public lands, as long as the State regulations are not inconsistent with or superseded by Federal regulations.

In most situations, the requirement to individually mark traps and snares with identification tags would result in inconsistent State and Federal regulations on Federal public lands that would necessitate an outreach effort to avoid confusion among users. Under Federal regulations, traps and snares are required to be marked with identification tags only in Units 1–5, but these marking requirements were adopted to align with State regulations to reduce regulatory complexity (see Regulatory History). Within portions of Unit 15, over 60 percent which lies within Kenai National Wildlife Refuge, and those portions of Unit 7 that are contained within Kenai NWR, a trapping permit is required and a stipulation of Kenai NWR's permit includes the marking of traps and snares. Also, under State regulations, all snares within a ¼ mile of a public road in Units 12 and 20E are required to be marked. Federally qualified subsistence users trapping on Federal public lands outside of these specific areas would be required to mark traps and snares with identification tags that include the trapper's name and license number. However, Federally qualified subsistence users or non-Federally qualified users trapping on Federal public lands would not be required to mark traps and snares under State regulations.

The requirement to mark traps and snares would also result in additional burden and cost for Federally qualified subsistence users trapping under Federal regulations. Copper tags stamped with a trapper's identification information, including fasteners, cost approximately \$26 per 100 tags (including shipping) or less (approximately \$15–\$20) for “write-your own” tags (FWS 2012). In addition, trappers often trade or borrow equipment from family members or friends, and changes of identification tags on large numbers of traps or snares would require significant effort (Scotton 2013, pers. comm.).

Frequent trap checks are beneficial for animal welfare and can decrease the likelihood of pelt damage of trapped furbearers. The trap check time requirement would also result in inconsistent State and Federal regulations, and would require significant law enforcement and public educational efforts. The requirement could result in human health and safety issues by requiring trappers to check traps during periods of inclement weather, especially in remote units where traplines are long. The back cover of the State trapping regulations includes a Code of Ethics, reprinted from the Alaska Trappers Manual, which includes checking traps regularly and trapping in the most humane way possible. While the items listed in the Code of Ethics are not regulatory in nature, they provide general guidelines for responsible trapping.

Few requirements for trap check intervals are currently in State or Federal regulations, and those regulations have been put in place in response to specific incidents or in areas with high potential for user conflict. Under State regulation in Alaska, the only trap check time requirement in regulation is a 72-hour

trap check in a small area near Gustavus in Unit 1C under State regulations, which was adopted due to multiple moose being incidentally caught in snares (ADF&G 2012b). A 4-day trap check requirement is required on the more accessible and heavily trapped portions of the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge (Kenai NWR) as a stipulation of the Refuge Special Use Permit in order to increase the potential for safe release of incidentally caught, non-target animals, including bald eagles, moose and domestic dogs.

If the proposal is adopted, a new trapping report form would be established to report any non-target species caught under Federal trapping regulations. Trapping reports may provide useful information regarding which non-target species are captured and how often they can be released in good condition. However, some of the information requested for the report form may be difficult to interpret, especially subjective observations such as the condition of trapped animals. In addition, it is unknown what the data from the proposed form would be used for, as there is no indication of any management agency that is requesting information on the incidental capture of non-target species across the state. To limit the capture of non-target species, trappers can review informational sources such as the Best Management Practices for Trapping in the United States, which evaluate traps and trapping systems based on animal welfare, efficiency, selectivity, practicality, and safety (AFWA 2006). Overall, it is in the best interest of trappers to minimize the capture of non-target animals, as those traps or snares become unavailable for capturing target animals.

The new trapping report form for non-target species would require additional time commitments for Federally qualified subsistence users and staff of Federal land management agencies. The time commitment for Federally qualified subsistence users would be minimal, but may be an incentive to simply trap under State regulations where a report is not required. The time commitment for Federal staff could be substantial, as trapping reports from Federal lands across the state may have to be collected and analyzed.

The establishment of a new trapping report form would have to meet the information collection requirements subject to approval by the Office of Management and Budget, 50 CFR § 100.9 [2009], and in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, OMB Control Number 1018-0075.

OSM PRELIMINARY CONCLUSION

Oppose Proposal WP14-01.

Justification

The proposed requirements for individually marking traps and snares, setting maximum trap check intervals, and reporting the incidental harvest of non-target species could lead to more humane trapping methods under Federal regulations; however, these regulatory provisions would not likely be manageable on a statewide basis due to vast differences in land ownership, population concentrations and habitats. Regulations of this nature would be better suited in response to issues on an area-specific basis (e.g., Kenai NWR Refuge Special Use Permit requirements), like similar restrictions currently in State and Federal trapping regulations. Alignment issues would require a substantial increase in law enforcement and public educational efforts, and requiring trappers to check traps during inclement weather could lead to health and safety issues. In many instances, Federally qualified subsistence users may simply trap under State regulations to avoid the additional proposed Federal restrictions.

While the information gathered from a harvest report form of non-target species caught in traps and snares could provide useful information, it would be an unnecessary requirement for Federally qualified subsistence users. In addition, the report would require additional time commitments for Federally

qualified subsistence users and Federal staff that are currently unwarranted. Similar reports would be more useful in areas with specific issues with the capture of non-target species, such as areas with threatened or endangered species or significant user-conflict issues.

LITERATURE CITED

ADF&G. 2010. Trapper Questionnaire Statewide Annual Report, 1 July 2006–30 June 2007. Wildlife Management Report. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Juneau, AK.

ADF&G. 2012a. Trapper Questionnaire Statewide Annual Report, 1 July 2010–30 June 2011. Wildlife Management Report ADF&G/DWC/WMR-2012-2. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Juneau, AK.

ADF&G. 2012b. Department recommendation on Proposal 125. Page 34 *in* Preliminary Recommendations: Board of Game Proposals, January 2012—Statewide. Division of Wildlife Conservation and Division of Subsistence, ADF&G. 36 pages.

Andelt, W. F., R. L. Phillips, R. H. Schmidt, and R. B. Gill. 1999. Trapping furbearers: an overview of the biological and social issues surrounding a public policy controversy. *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 27:53–64.

Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. 2006. Best management practices for trapping in the United States. <http://jjcdev.com/~fishwild/?section=best_management_practices>. Accessed 2 May 2013.

FWS. 2012. Staff Analysis WP12-14. Pages 969–976 *in* Federal Subsistence Board Meeting Materials January 17–2012. Office of Subsistence Management, FWS. Anchorage, AK. 1,020 pages.

Robert, M. 1984. Trapping patterns in the vicinity of the Kaiyuh Flats, west central Alaska. ADF&G, Division of Subsistence, Technical Paper No. 84. Fairbanks, AK.

Scotton, B. 2013. Wildlife biologist. Personal communication: email. Koyukuk/Nowitna NWR, FWS. Galena, AK.

Stanek, R. T. 1987. Historical and contemporary trapping in the western Susitna Basin. ADF&G, Division of Subsistence, Technical Paper No. 134. Anchorage, AK.

Wolfe, R. J. 1991. Trapping in Alaska communities with mixed, subsistence-cash economies. ADF&G, Division of Subsistence, Technical Paper No. 217. Juneau, AK.

WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENTS

Oppose Proposal WP14-01. We oppose Statewide Proposal WP14-01 to create new regulations for requiring that identification tags be put on traps and snares and that traps and snares be checked every 6 days.

It will be cumbersome, unnecessary and burdensome for federally qualified trappers to have constraints placed upon them to have to put identification tags on snares and traps and to check traps and snares every 6 days. Incidental catch of non-target species and reporting it is good, and should be done voluntarily by trappers. Traps and snares should only be checked if weather conditions are safe to check snares and traps. In rural areas, temperature conditions can be minus forty to fifty for 3 consecutive weeks. It would be unsafe to have regulations in place stating that snares and traps must be checked every six days.

Ahtna Inc. Customary and Traditional Use Committee

Oppose Proposal WP14-01. With kind personal regards to Kevin Bopp, who gave us one of the best lead dogs we ever had, I strongly disagree with this. Trap tags might work for short traplines, but when you run 80 miles of traps, tags for every trap would be very onerous and also subject to loss when an animal is caught. The time limit proposal is utterly unworkable for many people. It usually takes us 10-12 days by dog team to make the round trip of up to 130 miles to check our traps. If we had to check every trap every 6 days, we would have to cut the length of our line in half, which would eliminate the most profitable distant areas, cutting profit more than in half; AND we'd be forced to travel even when it was not safe, eg -60° or blowing in excess of 50 mph. Additionally there are times travel is physically impossible due to flooding, bad ice or other hazards. That's why previously proposed time limits have never been established. This becomes even more unworkable for fly-in pilots for whom travel in weather extremes can quickly prove fatal. Neither of these even actually directly address the mentioned problem of trapping near settlements/highways.

Miki and Julie Collins, Lake Minchumina