

Draft State/Tribal Opt-In Subcommittee Meeting Summary
Thursday, June 5, 2014
Teleconference: 1-877-953-6149
Passcode: 5315074# (Leader Code 2795597#)

1. Tribal Resources:
 - a. DOI & DOE have lots of sites for energy grants and other various resources.
 - b. After looking at the majority of the tribal websites, there is a limited amount of royalty and production information currently available. The Osage tribe is the exception to this, they have data down to the company level.
 - c. There are several federal databases where proprietary data is stored however the existence of these databases is not proprietary.
 - d. We will need additional separate discussion on how to best identify Tribes to focus on.

2. The subcommittee decided last week to present 17 states to the MSG. We also need to have a discussion about how many of these 17 states need to participate in order for USEITI to meet adapted implementation by the second report.
 - a. Just because we drew the line at 17 doesn't preclude more states from opting-in when they see other states benefiting from EITI.
 - b. 17 states will likely not all opt-in by the 2015 report. What is a reasonable minimum?
 - i. We don't have a number but we feel like if we go the process of outreach, and gathering publicly available data, etc., then whatever number of states opt-in will be the "coalition of the willing" and we should consider that a success.
 - ii. We can't have a number until we start outreach
 - iii. What about a "higher tier" of outreach?
 1. The workplan should include the cost of MSG members to travel to states, especially key states.
 - c. Montana is on the list because of tribal lands. Should we still target the state too?
 - i. Yes, by experience if there is tribal development then the resources are there and likely other development is taking place.

3. Plan presentations for MSG meeting. Specifically, we need to finalize what data we'll present on Wyoming and what an Opt-in would look like.
 - a. It's difficult to know what to consider material until we have that discussion with a state. The discussions with the states should be a very tailored discussions.
 - i. What is currently publicly available
 - ii. Develop State POC
 - iii. Work with state for a useful materiality threshold
 - b. Is it necessary for a state to set up a MSG?
 - i. No, the state could work with our MSG and this would help reduce state burden.
 - c. Opt-in Process could be like a menu they could select from:
 - i. Opt-into our process

- ii. Create a sub group that reports into our process
- iii. Create a separate MSG that just submits to the IA.

Attendees

Danielle Brian- POGO

Mia Steinle- POGO

Chris Mentasti- DOI

Ryan Ellis-IMCC

Jerry Gidner- DOI

Veronica Slajer- North Star Group

Michael Jasutis- Peabody Energy

Greg Gould- DOI

Rebecca Adamson- First People Worldwide