

Draft State/Tribal Opt-In Subcommittee Meeting Summary

Thursday, May 22, 2014

Teleconference: 1-877-953-6149

Passcode: 5315074# (Leader Code 2795597#)

Discuss and determine criteria for choosing priority states:

Progress has been made based on government data sets that have been analyzed and compiled into usable data for the subcommittee. When we overlay the tribal data over the provided map from this will make it possible for the subcommittee to more easily make a recommendation.

Methodology for targeting states:

- If we limited by top five states by category this would total 15 states to target.
- Include only states that have more than one commodity, this would lose a lot of the coal states

EIA production is total production this could be used to pick states however it doesn't separate public and private production. If we use ONRR data this would be just production (sales volumes) on federal land that can be broken out by State and offshore regions. Some states have low federal production but high severance tax collections, and example of this would be Texas. Pulling both these data sets will make the subcommittee better informed and help us support our final decision.

There are several states that might not be as desirable even though their production would warrant them being included, an example of this would be Phosphate in Florida. It was noted that if we exclude commodities because we feel that there isn't interest in the general public, it would be hard to justify in our methodology.

Question: Should we prioritize states by severance tax collections relating to total state tax collections or should it be prioritized by total severance tax collection? It was suggested that we should look at the data both ways and Mia offered to sort the data both ways.

Question: Is there other information that we should consider or need to prioritize states?

- API data for royalties by state that Ryan will provide to Mia.

By next week we should have a methodology. By the MSG meeting we will have a list of states that we can recommend and should be prioritized.

Discuss criteria for choosing priority tribes

ONRR will provide to the subcommittee and list of tribes and map of tribal locations and what state each tribe falls within. Several members of the subcommittee also have lists that they will provide to the subcommittee. Once we get the tribal data then we can overlay it on the previously created map we are using for our state selection.

Update on STRAC member outreach.

- STRAC members are initially skeptical about EITI and don't see the added benefit because they already audit.
- There is a level of pride in US participation in EITI and has increased EITI participation in other countries around the world.
- EITI is an opportunity to showcase the good work that the states and put there information in the public
- Several states expressed interest in better understanding the state opt-in process
- Some states may feel that they report to the levels of EITI already
- Greg was approached by several states and tribes to get more information on EITI and getting involved in the process.

Other Business

- We should have a conversation about messaging/communications especially to tribes.

Tasks:

Chris Mentasti- ONRR Sales Volume and Royalty data by State for FY2013

ONRR and Other Members- Tribes by State list

Ryan Ellis- Provide API data to Mia

Attendees:

Danielle Brian- POGO

Mia Steinle- POGO

Chris Mentasti- DOI

Ryan Ellis-IMCC

Jerry Gidner- DOI

Veronica Slajer- North Star Group

Michael Jasutis- Peabody Energy

Greg Gould- DOI

Judy Wilson- DOI

Mike Mathews- State of WY

Jennifer Goldblatt- DOI
Rebecca Morse- Revenue Watch Institute