



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NATIONAL MARINE FISHERIES SERVICE

Southeast Regional Office

263 13th Avenue South

St. Petersburg, Florida 33701-5505

<http://sero.nmfs.noaa.gov>

March 17, 2014

MEMORANDUM TO: Leslie Craig  
Southeast Region Supervisor, NOAA Restoration Center

FROM: *Virginia M. Fay*  
Virginia M. Fay  
Assistant Regional Administrator, Habitat Conservation Division

SUBJECT: Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) assessment review for improvements to the existing Mexico Beach Canal Park boat ramp in the City of Mexico Beach, Bay County, Florida.

In response to the Deepwater Horizon oil spill, this Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission Strategic Boat Access project would improve the existing Mexico Beach Canal Park boat ramp and docks in the City of Mexico Beach. The improvements include replacing the boardwalk dock with a concrete surface and increasing the width, removing and replacing eighteen existing finger piers, and repairing portions the existing retaining wall. Estuarine mud, sand, and shell substrates and water column would be impacted and are identified and described as EFH under provisions of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act).

As specified in the Magnuson-Stevens Act, EFH consultation is required for federal actions which may adversely affect EFH. As the federal action agency for this matter, NOAA's Restoration Center prepared an EFH assessment and provided that document for our review by electronic mail dated February 26, 2014. The Southeast Region's Habitat Conservation Division (SER HCD) has reviewed the EFH assessment and finds the Restoration Center adequately evaluated potential project impacts to the federally managed species occurring within the influence of the project. We concur with the EFH assessment that impacts to EFH will be brief and minor. The SER HCD has no EFH conservation recommendations to provide pursuant to Section 305(b)(2) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act at this time. Further consultation on this matter is not necessary unless future modifications are proposed and such actions may result in adverse impacts to EFH.

cc:  
F/SER-Giordano  
F/OHC-Schubert  
F/SER4-Dale  
F/SER46-Thompson

