FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE BOARD

REGULATORY MEETING

VOLUME III

TELECONFERENCE - ALASKA JANUARY 28, 2021

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Anthony Christianson, Chairman Charles Brower, Public Member Rhonda Pitka, Public Member Chad Padgett, Bureau of Land Management Greg Siekaniec, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Don Striker, National Park Service Gene Peltola, Bureau of Indian Affairs David Schmid, U.S. Forest Service

Ken Lord, Solicitor's Office

Recorded and transcribed by: Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC 135 Christensen Drive, Second Floor Anchorage, AK 99501 907-243-0668; sahile@gci.net

Page 247 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 3 (Teleconference -1/28/2021) 4 5 6 (On record) 7 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Good morning 8 everybody. I will call on Sue to go ahead and, again, 9 check to see how many Board members we do have on officially so we can start the order of the business 10 for the morning. 11 12 Thank you. 13 14 15 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Sue Detwiler. Starting with the roll 16 call of the Board, Don Striker, Park Service. 17 18 19 MR. STRIKER: Good morning everybody. 20 21 MS. DETWILER: Good morning, Don. 22 23 Fish and Wildlife Service, Greg 24 Siekaniec. 25 26 MR. SIEKANIEC: Good morning, Sue, and 27 everyone. I am present. 28 29 MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Greq. 30 31 Gene Peltola, BIA. 32 33 MR. PELTOLA: Roger, Roger 10-4, good 34 morning everyone. 35 36 MS. DETWILER: Chad Padgett, BLM. 37 38 MR. PADGETT: I am here, thanks, Sue. 39 40 MS. DETWILER: Dave Schmid, Forest 41 Service. 42 4.3 MR. SCHMID: Good morning from sunny 44 Juneau. Dave's here. 45 46 MS. DETWILER: Thanks, Dave. 47 48 Public Member Rhonda Pitka. 49 50

Page 248 MS. PITKA: Hi, I'm here. 1 2 3 MS. DETWILER: Good morning, Rhonda. 4 5 Public Member Charlie Brower. 6 7 (No comments) 8 9 MS. DETWILER: And, Chair, Tony 10 Christianson. 11 12 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, I'm on. 13 14 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you, Chair. 15 Mr. Chair, we have seven of eight Board members or -seven of eight Board members online now, we're still 16 waiting for Charlie Brower. Would you like me to run 17 18 through the list of Regional Advisory Councils to see 19 which Chairs are online. 20 21 (Pause) 22 23 MS. DETWILER: Mr. Chair, did we 24 lose.... 25 26 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, no, no, I'm still here, Sue. 27 28 29 MS. DETWILER: Sorry. Would you like 30 me to go through the list of Regional Advisory Councils 31 to see which Chairs are online. 32 33 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, especially since we have a few that want to be recognized this 34 morning to report out and share their concerns so we 35 36 will reach out to make sure. And, also we did get a 37 couple, you know, reports that, you know, some people had issues with their lines yesterday and if those 38 39 people still had something, you know, we'll provide an 40 opportunity this morning as well. 41 42 So, thank you, Sue. 43 MS. DETWILER: Okay. I will -- I'll 44 45 quickly go through the roll call and see who's on then. 46 47 Southeast Chair Cathy Needham. 48 49 MS. NEEDHAM: I'm present, thank you. 50

1 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 2 3 Southcentral Chair. I understand Greq 4 Encelewski was not able to join us today but is there somebody else speaking on behalf of Southcentral 5 Council. 6 7 8 MS. PERRY: Yes. This is DeAnna Perry, Council Coordinator. I'll be present to submit the 9 Southcentral recommendations. 10 11 12 Thank you. 13 14 MS. DETWILER: Thank you, DeAnna. 15 16 Kodiak/Aleutians, Della Trumble. 17 18 MS. TRUMBLE: Good morning, I'm here. 19 20 MS. DETWILER: Good morning, Della. 21 22 Bristol Bay, Nanci Morris Lyon. 23 24 MS. MORRIS LYON: I'm present. 25 26 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 27 28 Yukon Kuskokwim Delta, RayOney. 29 30 MS. PATTON: Good morning, Sue. This 31 is Eva. Ray Oney will be joining us a little bit later 32 this morning. 33 34 Thank you. 35 36 MS. DETWILER: Okay. Thank you, Eva. 37 38 Western Interior, Jenny Pelkola. 39 40 MS. PELKOLA: Yes, I'm here. 41 42 MS. DETWILER: Seward Peninsula, Louis 4.3 Green. 44 45 (No comments) 46 MS. DETWILER: Seward Peninsula. 47 48 49 (No comments) 50

Page 250 MS. DETWILER: Okay, we don't have 1 2 anyone -- it looks like no one's on yet. 3 4 Northwest Arctic. 5 6 MS. WESSELS: Good morning, Sue. This 7 is Katya. Northwest Arctic Chair, Enoch Shiedt, hopefully will be joining us later today. 8 9 10 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 11 12 Eastern Interior. 13 14 MR. KRON: Good morning, Sue. Yeah, 15 Sue Entsminger should be joining us later today. I will give her a call if I don't hear from her soon. 16 17 18 Thanks. 19 20 MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Tom. 21 22 And, finally, North Slope. 23 24 MS. PATTON: Yes, good morning, Sue. 25 This is Eva. We won't have North Slope representatives 26 but I will be on to read their recommendations for the 27 few crossover proposals. 28 29 Thank you. 30 31 MS. DETWILER: Okay. Thank you, Eva. And I also just want to check, Alaska Department of 32 33 Fish and Game, Ben Mulligan, are you on. 34 35 MR. MULLIGAN: Yes, Sue, we are here. 36 37 MS. DETWILER: Okay, great, thanks, 38 Ben. 39 40 And, Ken Lord, are you on as well. 41 42 MR. LORD: Ma'am. Yes, ma'am, I'm 43 here. 44 45 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. So we have someone from our DOI Solicitor's Office. And, finally, 46 Jim Ustashesfki, is there anybody from USDA Office of 47 General Council. 48 49 50

1 2	(No comments)
3 4 5 6	MS. DETWILER: Okay. So that concludes the roll call of the other some of the other key people, Mr. Chair, so back to you.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, thank you, Sue. And like we begin every meetingthis week, before we do public comment period on non-agenda items I would like to call on my brother, Orville Lind, if he could do invocation for the day and bless the proceedings in hopes that we do the best we can do for the people we serve.
15	(No comments)
16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Mr. Orville, are you available?
20	(No comments)
21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Oh, it sounds like Orville might have gotten dropped, Sue.
25 26 27 28	All right, I'll do it. All right, if everyone just wants to take a moment, bow their heads and close your eyes or find a relationship with God.
29 30	(Invocation)
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, with that, we'll go ahead and start the public comment period this morning on non-agenda items. This is an opportunity available at the beginning of each day and this is also when we would ask the Operator if anybody is online to be recognized and then make sure that this is the comment period for non-agenda items and so with that we'll go ahead and open the floor.
40 41 42 43 44	After we provide the public comment period, we will open up the floor for the Regional Advisory Council Chairs to a report out if they weren't able to yesterday or to bring concerns to the Board.
45 46	So that will be the first two orders of business this morning.
47 48 49 50	Thank you.

3

Page 252

OPERATOR: Thank you. We will now 1 2 begin the public comment session. If you have a public 3 comment, please press star one. You will be prompted 4 to record your name. Again, press star one. 5 6 One moment please. 7 8 (Pause) 9 10 OPERATOR: We do have a public comment from Jessica Black, your line is open. 11 12 13 MS. BLACK: Thank you. Good morning 14 everyone. I'd like to start by thanking the Chair, the 15 Board and the rural members. 16 17 For introductions, (In Native). 18 19 Good morning, everyone, my name is Jessica Black. My family is from Fort Yukon. And I 20 21 was also raised in Nenana, Alaska. I'd like to talk a 22 little bit about my experience as a fisherwoman, and 23 also I am a Professor at UAF and a lot of my work concentrates around fisheries. 24 25 26 I wanted to start by sharing that this 27 past 2020 season was really rough for us in Fort Yukon. 28 Our food securities needs were not met, nor was there 29 adequate subsistence opportunity. We did have a few calls to discuss this but not enough meaningful 30 31 participation with the subsistence users in management 32 decisions. And I'll just tell you it was really, hard, 33 the fishing season. Days and nights and days and 34 nights out at camp, preparing for the fishing season 35 and then fishing, and I will be honest with you, we 36 caught a few fish in the weeks that we were at fish 37 camp. And that was just really disheartening because, again, our food security needs were not met, but also 38 39 we, as you might all know, enter into these 40 relationships with salmon in a very spiritual way and 41 it's very disheartening when you spend all of this time out at camp and preparing and you just basically get a 42 few fish. And let me tell you we were very grateful 43 44 for those few fish and we do not take that for granted. 45 Our tribes and our tribal members are 46 best situated to help provide management decisions and 47 accurate real-time run and harvest information. And 48 49 there's a real opportunity that exists here for us to 50

enter into agreements, .809 agreements with the Yukon 1 River InterTribal Fish Commission to help collect data 2 3 and real-time harvest data and also just speak with the people one to one, understand what's going on out 4 there. We really look forward to working 5 collaboratively with Holly Carroll to develop such 6 7 opportunities and really enjoy working with her. Ι think have a good relationship with her, able to 8 9 discuss things, but we are hurting, you know, this is so much a part of our life, we are salmon people. 10 11 12 And so I just wanted to share that 13 experience with you. 14 15 I also wanted to say that we have, at 16 UAF, myself and Dr. Courtney Carothers, are launching a program called Tamanta, which means all of us in the 17 18 Sugp'ik (ph) language and we'll be working to train three to five indigenous graduate students in fisheries 19 for the next five years, and we're really excited about 20 it. Because not only will they be trained in fisheries 21 22 but they'll be bringing their indigenous knowledge to 23 the table to help inform management decisions and also 24 educate others. 25 26 So I just want to thank you for your 27 time. I wanted to let you know about my experience and 28 hopefully we can help to share in the governing decisions and have better seasons ahead. 29 30 31 So, thank you. 32 33 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for taking the time to call in this morning and share the 34 35 concerns of your area. And hopefully the season gets better for all of us. Any questions from the Board. 36 37 38 (No comments) 39 40 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right. 41 Thank you, and appreciate that. Operator, will you please recognize the next person online. 42 43 44 OPERATOR: Certainly. Our next 45 question or comment comes from Christine Brummer, your line is open. 46 47 48 MS. BRUMMER: Okay, thank you. Hi, Mr. 49 Chair. I have a comment that I'd actually like to make 50

Page 254

on an agenda item but I'm going to ask for permission 1 to go ahead and do it now because I have to work this 2 3 afternoon and so I'll be missing, unfortunately, the 4 Moose Pass proposal when it's done, probably this 5 afternoon. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, you have 8 the floor. I appreciate making time for people who are 9 busy. 10 11 MS. BRUMMER: Oh, thank you so very 12 much. So good morning, Board members. My name is Christine Brummer. And I'm a UAA graduate student 13 who's been working on my Master's thesis titled, Who is 14 15 Rural Alaska, a Case Study of Rural Community Practice. 16 So Moose Pass has been my case study 17 18 community and I just wanted to share some preliminary 19 findings with you guys in hopes that the information 20 will assist the Board in making a more informed 21 decision regarding the community of Moose Pass. 22 23 I conducted my field work from November 2019 through January 2020, a total of 24 Moose Pass 24 area residents participated in my study. And I define 25 26 the Moose Pass area as that area from Mile Post 37 27 where the Sterling Highway and Seward Highway meet to Mile Post 15.5 of the Seward Highway, which is the 28 29 location of the Snow River Hostel breaking down these numbers into CDPs the participants areas follows: 1 30 from Primrose CDP; 8 from the Crown Point CDP and 15 31 32 from the Moose Pass CDP. I'd like to remind the Board that the CDPs are census designated places, not places 33 in which the residents distinguished for themselves, 34 35 but that of the U.S. Census Bureau. 36 37 My field work consisted of three 38 components including a mapping exercise, a survey and an interview. Each participant was given the same copy 39 40 of a Moose Pass area map and asked to draw what they 41 considered their community boundary to be. 23 of the 24 participants completed the map. The participants 42 43 boundaries varied, however, but a majority of the 44 participants extended the "Moose Pass" boundary from 45 Mile Post 37 with, again is where the Sterling Highway and the Seward Highway intersect, to Mile Post 16.9, 46 which is the area of the Snow River Bridge. 47 48 49 All 24 participants were surveyed and 50

interviewed. Participants were between the ages of 36 1 and 79 years of age. Many were from outside of Alaska 2 3 with five of them being born in Alaska. The 4 participants lived in Alaska for a total of between 5 nine and 70 years. I asked all participants what 6 community would you say you're from, and all 24 7 participants stated they're from Moose Pass. I asked what community means to the participants and if they 8 9 could define their community. They stated that Moose Pass is a tight-knit community where people choose to 10 live a rural lifestyle. People cooperate for the 11 12 common goals with defined interests and they're always 13 there for one another even everyone actually values 14 their privacy. And some people may not know each other 15 very well but everyone comes together to discuss and 16 make decisions to celebrate. 17 18 There are a lot of personalities in the 19 community but the residents bond together to enhance 20 the community. 21 2.2 Participants explain the following as 23 unique characteristics of the community. 24 25 A melting pot of different people from 26 various places that are very outdoor oriented. It is a 27 shut-in mainly year-round community that is spread out 28 over 20 miles where people are self-reliant, creative 29 and share with one another. And sharing includes meat and fish that are caught, berries picked and vegetables 30 that are grown in their gardens. Residents have driven 31 32 -- they have to drive a lot to get to services, 33 amenities and activities. The community is created out of choice and necessity. And response times for 34 35 utility and medical services is long. It is a place 36 that is largely unregulated and quiet and the community 37 interests are accomplished through people giving their 38 time. 39 40 One participant commented about how 41 their geographic extent is unique and there's nowhere 42 to build except along the highway. 43 44 I asked participants to please define 45 rural, nonrural and urban. But due to time restrictions I'm just going to go ahead discuss the 46 residents and how they defined rural as nonrural and 47 urban were often suggested as being the opposite of 48 49 rural by participants. 50

Rural was explained as that which is 1 2 not urban, a place that is away from services like gas 3 stations, stores, restaurants, bars, medical 4 facilities, pharmacies, child care and daycare 5 opportunities. A place with no stop lights. Where you have to wait for DOT to plow the road for long periods 6 7 of time. A place that is far from urban centers in it makes getting amenities and services is inconvenient. 8 9 A place that there is a lot of undeveloped land and sparse population. Rural means a lot of sacrifices, 10 missed opportunities and you have to create your own 11 12 entertainment. One lives in a geographic location that 13 is less human created and more natural. Like if your house catches on fire it's going to burn down before 14 15 anyone comes to help you. You have to work with what's 16 around you and the residing location. And you have 17 limited factors in a rural place. 18 19 The last question I askedparticipants 20 was to define their community. All 24 participants 21 stated that Moose Pass was rural. Reasons they gave 2.2 included that Moose Pass is in the woods but the 23 closest grocery store 35 miles away in one direction 24 and 90 miles in the other direction. Moose Pass does 2.5 not have a lot of modern conveniences. With no law enforcement, the community actually depends on the 26 27 State Troopers. No trash service. No local 28 government. Residents rely on one another. And you 29 have to travel to get food, gas and medical services. A participant stated that Moose Pass is rural because 30 when your truck battery dies, you end up tinkering with 31 32 it instead of driving to Anchorage to get a new one. 33 It is easier to deal with the hassle than to deal with the service. Some of the participants stated that 34 35 Moose Pass can easily be cut off from other places, 36 including urban centers due to road closures and they 37 have to be self reliant when these things happen. It is 38 common for people in Moose Pass to drive around with 39 sleeping bags and safety gear in case of an emergency or road closure. One participant stated that they felt 40 41 Moose Pass was rural during the winter months but more 42 nonrural in the summer months when the tourism 43 increases the population in the area. After Labor Day 44 the weather starts to change and the residents living in Moose Pass area have less of a desire to go into 45 town for things so many just do without. No one feels 46 sorry for themselves, it's just that they don't want to 47 spend the time or the fuel on bad roads to go get 48 49 things that are nonessential. 50

3

So this wraps up my primary findings of 1 the Moose Pass case study. If you have any follow up 2 3 questions I'm happy to answer them, and thank you so 4 much for your time. 5 6 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 7 Appreciate that for you calling in and sharing with us. Any questions from the Board. 8 9 10 (No comments) 11 12 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: And we will 13 make sure that we recognize those comments during the 14 time of the proposal that you called in for. So thank 15 you. 16 17 MS. BRUMMER: Thank you, I appreciate 18 it. 19 20 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Alrighty. 21 Operator, can you please recognize the next person 2.2 online. 23 24 OPERATOR: Yes, thank you. And that is 2.5 Jim Slater, your line is open. 26 27 MR. SLATER: Thank you. And thank you 28 for letting me testify today. My name is Jim Slater. I live in Lisianski Inlet with my wife and three school-29 aged children. I've lived here since 2000. 30 31 32 We live a subsistence lifestyle 33 focusing on fishing, hunting and foraging. 34 35 I'm calling in to testify about the 36 increased pressure from outside parties on the 37 resources we depend on for daily life. There are groups, several groups that live outside the area and 38 39 state, who come seasonally and target some of our key 40 resources here in Lisianski Inlet. These include the 41 coho run in Lisianski River and Sitka black-tail deer 42 hunting in the habitat surrounding Lisianski Inlet and 43 Straits. In addition to this, this year there is 44 predicted to be well over 500 to 750 charter visitors 45 to Lisianski Inlet. We are extremely concerned about the expansion of these charter numbers, especially bare 46 boat charters which will target our local rockfish and 47 groundfish populations. 48 49 50

1	This outside pressure has affectedour
2	ability and especially the ability of our older
3	community members who depend on easy access to
4	resources to harvest food sources on which we all
5	depend.
6	
7	This year several community members
8	were not able to harvest a deer at all or saw very
9	limited success. Other community members avoid fishing
10	in Lisianski River for fear of further depleting the
11	coho run which we feel is threatened by the several
12	groups that fly in every year to focus on the coho run.
13	This is exasperated exacerbated by the fact that our
14	ferry service has been intermittent and food supply has
15	been undependable.
16	
17	I encourage the Federal Subsistence
18	Board to consider some means in which to protect these
19	limited resources and ensure access by our subsistence
20	community, here in Pelican and the surrounding areas.
21 22	
23	Thank you very much.
24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Jim,
25	for calling. Appreciate that and hopefully we could
26	find some way to help you resolve that. Any questions
27	from the Board.
28	How one board.
29	(No comments)
30	
31	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. And
32	we do strongly encourage you to write proposals to the
33	Board to address the issue and we do have Staff that
34	can help with crafting that type of stuff and so
35	hopefully we can help find a remedy to the situation
36	you have on the ground there in Pelican, so thank you
37	for taking the time to call in.
38	
39	MR. SLATER: Thank you very much.
40	
41	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Operator, can
42	you please recognize the nextonline.
43	
44	OPERATOR: Yes, and the next one comes
45	from Heather Bauscher, your line is open.
46 47	MS. BAUSCHER: Good morning, Mr. Chair
	and good morning Federal Subsistence Board members. My
48 49	name is Heather Bauscher, I'm the Tongass Community
	name is neather bauscher, i in the tongass community
50	

Page 259

Organizer for the Sitka Conservation Society. Some of 1 you may know me because usually when the meetings are 2 in person I show up with a group of youth students so I 3 wanted to give everybody an update on the youth program 4 5 that we've been running out of Sitka and through the University of Southeast Alaska. 6 7 8 Usually in other years we've had 9 trouble finding funding to make these programs happen but I want to say a big thank you, in the last year 10 11 with a lot of help from the Forest Service and the Office of Subsistence Management we did manage to get 12 13 some good funding for the next couple of years but then Covid happened so I just want to let folks know that 14 15 this hasn't gone away. Part of the key component of 16 that course is always the experiential learning component of having the students there in person and 17 18 being able to witness how these things work and move 19 through the process. So we are working with the 20 schools and as soon as things are safer and we can do these things in person we are hoping to bring that back 21 22 and hoping that the funding should be good through 23 that. So thank you to everybody who has helped to 24 build that program up and I look forward to, when we 25 have meetings again in person, to bring the youth back. 26 27 Thank you very much. 28 29 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Heather. We always feel good when the youth shows up 30 and hopefully we can continue to support that and we 31 32 all are looking forward to seeing each other again so 33 keep up the good work and we need to train a lot more of these members to get applying for these seats and it 34 35 has been a hot topic here is filling seats. So thank 36 you for your work and look forward to the future RAC 37 members. 38 39 Any questions from the Board. 40 41 MR. SCHMID: Dave Schmid. 42 43 MS. PITKA: Rhonda here. 44 45 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Dave you have 46 the floor. 47 MR. SCHMID: Yeah, hey, thanks, 48 49 Chairman. And thanks so much, Heather, I just want to 50

Page 260

add on my thanks here as well and your commitment and 1 your organization here to these students. It's just a 2 3 delightful program. I have benefitted and I learn as 4 much from the students as they may learn from us. And so please just keep up the good work and we continue, 5 at least our agency here, to the extent we can support 6 7 this we will in the future. It's a great program. 8 9 Thank you. 10 11 MS. BAUSCHER: Thank you so much for 12 your kind words. 13 14 MS. PITKA: Hi, this is Rhonda. I 15 definitely miss seeing the high school students and having our annual lunch with them. So when we get back 16 to in-person, I look forward to seeing them all again. 17 18 19 Thank you. 20 21 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 22 other Board comments, discussion. 23 24 (No comments) 25 26 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 27 Heather, thank you for calling in. Appreciate, again, 28 your work and taking the time to call in today. 29 30 MS. BAUSCHER: Thanks for your kind 31 words. Good luck with your work today. 32 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 33 34 Operator, can we recognize the next person online, 35 please. 36 OPERATOR: Yes, and that's Jack 37 38 Reakoff, your line is open. 39 40 MR. REAKOFF: Hi, good morning, Mr. 41 Chairman Christianson and Federal Subsistence Board members. Jack Reakoff in Wiseman, Alaska. I had my 42 application in for appointment to the Western Interior 43 44 Council and the Western Interior was passed over, and I 45 have comments on that. 46 47 I feel limited by your deliberations for the Park Service regulations. I would like to have 48 49 commented and if I were able to I would have. But I 50

Page 261

feel that the Federal Subsistence Board can submit a 1 2 letter to the Secretary of Interior, Secretary of 3 Agriculture. I do feel that the Board is an arm of the Secretary of Interior and Agriculture. The past 4 5 Administration's Secretary violated the appointment process by not appointing these three Councils. 6 This 7 is a violation of agreements with the public on 8 appointing these very knowledgeable people. 9 10 It is incumbent upon the Boardduring 11 this meeting to point out this violation issue to the new Secretaries. The Board should request a review of 12 13 the applications that are in right now and complete the 14 appointments for the three outstanding Councils. As a 15 resident of the Western Interior region, I feel the 16 current representation of the Council is a direct violation of ANILCA, Title VIII, Section .801(5), which 17 18 states: that an administrative structure be established for the purpose of enabling rural residents who have 19 20 personal knowledge of local conditions and requirement to have a meaningful role in the management of fish and 21 22 wildlife and of subsistence uses on the public lands in 23 Alaska. Western Interior Regional Council has been 24 severely hindered by the Secretary's office not making 25 timely appointments of qualified applicants for at least the last two cycles, and not even an appointment 26 27 this cycle. Without any appointments, this year, 2021, 28 the current Council, with only four members will only 29 have 40 percent of the membership. They cannot 30 represent the region in a meanginful role. 31 32 And so respectfully I would request 33 that the Federal Subsistence Board would send a letter to the Secretaries of Interior and Agriculture and the 34 35 new Secretaries will have to be confirmed, all that, 36 but I feel that it's a very important issue, that we do 37 not skip a cycle of representation of the Western 38 Interior region and any other regions of Alaska. 39 40 Thank you, Board and Mr. Chair. 41 42 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 43 Jack. Thank you for calling in. And I echo your 44 concerns as well and hopefully we can find, during this 45 process this week, a way to formulate some language 46 like you described that will ensure that this gets elevated to the highest level as soon as possible and 47 is a priority so that we can continue knowing that the 48 49 issues we face in rural Alaska through the testimony 50

Page 262

we've heard yesterday, today and have continued to hear 1 as an office through special action requests, and that 2 3 it's being played out already, we hope that we can fill 4 the RACs and get the people and the voice back in the appropriate administrative seat to bring that to -- so 5 6 we can do our job to help you do yours. So thank you, 7 Jack, or bringing that. 8 9 Any questions from the Board. 10 11 MS. PITKA: Jack, how many years of 12 service did you have on the RAC? 13 14 MR. REAKOFF: I was appointed in 1993 15 and I was serving until I was unappointed this cycle. I was a founding member of the Western Interior 16 Council. The first meeting was in McGrath. 17 18 19 REPORTER: Correct. 20 21 MR. REAKOFF: I was current Chair. i 22 was Vice Chair from about 2004 or '03 and became Chair 23 in 2007. 24 MS. PITKA: Thank you. 25 26 27 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Well, I know, 28 Jack, that.... 29 30 MS. PITKA: Thank you for your public 31 service. 32 33 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yep, 34 definitely. 35 36 MR. REAKOFF: Thank you. 37 38 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: And, Jack, like 39 I say, I've benefited a great deal from your expertise 40 and information that you provide at the meetings and 41 the detailed form that relates directly to Title VIII and I've learned a lot in the process from that and I 42 just want to say thank you, and thank you for your 43 44 service, and hopefully we can rectify this situation 45 before too much time elapses. 46 47 So thank you, Jack, for callingin, appreciate it. 48 49 50

3

Page 263 MR. REAKOFF: I really appreciate the 1 2 Board's work and the diligence so thank you very much. 3 4 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any other Board 5 comments or discussion. 6 7 (No comments) 8 9 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, 10 thank you, Jack, for calling in. Operator, will you please recognize the next person online. 11 12 13 OPERATOR: Thank you. The next one is 14 from Darrell Vent, your line is open. 15 16 MR. VENT: Good morning. 17 18 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Good morning, 19 Darrell. 20 21 MR. VENT: Yes, I'm here speaking on 22 behalf of the Huslia Tribal Council. I just had some 23 issues here with -- the same commentas Jack. I was Vice Chair on the Federal Subsistence Board along with 24 Jack there and all of a sudden I wasn't elected back 25 26 in. Whether it was political or had to do with some 27 other issues that I was dealing with, such as the 28 Ambler Road, I don't know. But I think that because we 29 live in rural Alaska that we should be able to represent not because of ourpolitical affiliation. 30 Ι 31 think that some issues that might be affecting our 32 areas, we -- we are supposed to represent, you know, 33 the hunting and the fishing and the gathering of our areas and we are not able to do so because of what's 34 35 going up higher on the ladder. I think what Jack was 36 talking about there kind of leads towards that is that, 37 you know, we have to represent these areas. If something is going on above us and we can't represent 38 39 our areas maybe there's an issue there that needs to be 40 addressed. 41 42 I'm not naming names or anything, I 43 just want to let you know that I don't think that's 44 right for our people. I mean we have to live out there and we have to live on the land with what we got to eat 45 46 and if we can't represent our areas, that means that somewhere along the line that we are being under-47 represented. I think that if there's something going 48 49 on somewhere and they could deal with their issues but 50

leave us out of the loop as we represent our food needs 1 then that would be easier to do if we don't have to 2 3 deal with these issues. 4 5 I just want to bring that out because I 6 was a representative. I was doing good on the Western 7 Interior. I was the Co-Chair and all of a sudden I 8 wasn't the Co-Chair no more. So I just like you guys 9 to recognize that maybe there's some other issues that's involved with what Jack is talking about and we 10 need to make sure and try to address these issues 11 whereas it doesn't limit our ability to represent what 12 13 we eat. 14 15 Thank you. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, I 18 appreciate you calling in today, Darrell, and expressing your concern and we really are hopeful to 19 20 support those of you who have supported the Program and hope you have patience and continuing to want to play a 21 22 role because your knowledge is critical to doing our 23 job and, you know, without you guys out there that have 24 all of that local knowledge and expertise it's almost 25 impossible for us to make the right decisions so thank 26 you guys for your service to-date, and look forward to 27 hopefully seeing you in the future. 28 29 Any questions from the Board. 30 31 (No comments) 32 33 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, thank you for calling in today. Operator, would you 34 35 please recognize the next caller, please. 36 37 OPERATOR: Yes, it comes from Bruce 38 Irvine, your line is open. 39 40 MR. IRVINE: Hi, can you hear me okay. 41 42 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Gotcha loud and 43 clear, Bruce, you've got the floor. 44 45 MR. IRVINE: Hi, my name is Bruce 46 Irvine. I'm Upper Tanana Den'a from Northway. Thank 47 you, Chairman and members of the Board. I appreciate 48 all your hard work and I realize that you're often 49 faced with difficult choices. 50

Page 264

I would also like to thank you for the 1 2 decision on Organized Village of Kake special action request being approved. The Organized Village of 3 Kake's success is a great example of how tribes only 4 5 take what it is needed and sharing what was harvested 6 with the community. 7 8 I'd like to see Koyukuk and Stevens 9 Village show how they take care of their community because they would definitely show a great respect 10 throughout the whole process. 11 12 13 During the last few days we heard that 14 the process was paused so I hope with this new 15 Administration someone hits the play button because 16 better action and care needs to happen instead of 17 pausing a great need. I further stress and think we 18 need to listen more to tribes on what they need. 19 Respectfully, tribes know best what they need, not 20 someone in an urban area who has probably never been to any rural Alaska communities off the road system. I 21 22 would also like to see more tribes involved in 23 selecting the Regional Advisory Council members. The 24 Regional Advisory Council's impact tribes greatly all over Alaska in 10 regional ways. I would also like to 25 26 know why the State is on the InterAgency Staff 27 Committee and can pull proposals off the consensus 28 agenda early in the process, whereas tribes have to wait until consultation and the scheduled meeting to do 29 30 so, this is problematic given that the State does not 31 follow the mandate of ANILCA, Title VIII and has made 32 no forward movement in an attempt to do so. 33 34 In closing, I would like to mention the 35 memorandum on tribal consultation and strengthening 36 nation to nation relationships with the State "history 37 demonstrates that we best serve Native American people 38 when tribal governments are empowered to lead their community, and when Federal officials speak with and 39 listen to tribal leaders in formulating Federal policy 40 that affects tribal nations." 41 42 43 Thank you, Chairman and members of the 44 Board for giving me a chance to speak today. I look 45 forward to future positive progress, equality, 46 empowerment, and collaboration between tribes and the 47 Federal Subsistence Board. 48 49 Thank you. Tenee' 50

Page 266 MS. PITKA: Thank you, Mr. Irvine for 1 2 your testimony. Is there anybody else online. 3 4 OPERATOR: At this time I'm showing no 5 further public comments. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay, thank 8 you, and I appreciate you taking the time to call in. 9 I'm sorry, my phone was blanking in and out for a second there. So, again, thank you for calling in. 10 11 You know, we hope to strive to find that opportunity as a Board to get all of the rural residents engaged in 12 13 providing for their communities and their families like 14 time immemorial. And hopefully we find a way toget 15 past the hurdles that we find placed before this Board and obstacles that we should be able to dissolve 16 through communication and continuing to strive to 17 18 provide a meaningful role for our rural residents in providing a sustained livelihood on the land. 19 20 21 And so I really appreciate all the 2.2 comment that I've heard here this morning in the public 23 testimony. I want you to know that it doesn't fall on 24 deaf ear and truly appreciate the years of service that 25 some of you gentlemen have in serving your community and providing food for your families. 26 27 28 Thank you. 29 30 With that I would say we conclude the public comment period this morning. If any other Board 31 32 members have any comments at this time I will entertain 33 them. 34 35 MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair, BIA. 36 37 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Gene, go ahead. 38 39 MR. PELTOLA: Yeah, thank you, Mr. 40 Chair. I just wanted to inform you and the Board, I have to step out here for a bit this morning and Glenn 41 Chen, our Subsistence Program Leader will be sitting in 42 for me until I return later on in the day. 43 44 45 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 46 Gene. And good luck to you in your order of business 47 today and we'll look forward to hearing you this 48 afternoon. 49 50

1	MR. PELTOLA: Thank you.
2 3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay. That
4	brings us, I think, to the order of business for the
5	day and I think we start with FP21-12.
6 7	MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair.
8	
9 10 11 12 13	MS. DETWILER: Mr. Chair, did you want to check with the Regional Advisory Council Chairs who may not have been able to give a report earlier in this meeting.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Oh, yeah, I just got thrown off with Jack giving his report, and I did provide that opportunity so thank you for reminding me of my own words, essentially, thank you, appreciate it.
20 21 22 23	So any Council Chairs who wouldlike to, again, report out or speak to issues, now is your time, you have the floor.
24 25	MS. NEEDHAM: Mr. Chair.
26 27	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes.
28 29 30 31 32 33	MS. NEEDHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Federal Subsistence Board. For the record my name is Cathy Needham and I am currently the acting Chair for the Southeast Regional Advisory Council.
34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	I appreciate the opportunity to talk to you guys today about some non-agenda items. I do want to express that the comments that I am making today are my own comments, not necessarily reflective of the Regional Advisory Council, however, these comments directly stem from discussions that the Regional Advisory has had at the table.
41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	In my Southeast Regional Advisory Council to the Board as you have also heard from pretty much all of the Regional Advisory Councils across the state and in public comments, there is an expressed frustration regarding late Council appointments. And I want to also make sure that the members of the Board understand that this is a long-term problem, this is not something that has just happened in this cycle.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Southeast Council, as I am sure other Councils have been suffering from having late appointments in years past as well as having Council positions cut off of their Councils for a number of cycles now. I would like to make a recommendation to the Federal Subsistence Board that you direct the Program to uniformly change the Regional Council Advisory charters. I think that this is a potential solution in heading the right direction and in terms of the charter change, the recommendation would be that all incumbents be able to retain their seats on the Council until appointments are made. This would help tremendously in bridging the gap.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Currently Council members that are up for renewal leave their seat at the beginning of December, however, Council appointments aren't coming in until the next year and it makes it really difficult for continuity of doing business when we don't have those appointments when they're made at such a late time in the game. So just by changing our charters and allowing those incumbents to stay seated would resolve an issue such as right now where I'm a seated Council member on the Council and I was elected as Vice Chair, however, our Chair, has not been reappointed yet and so he can't attend this meeting because his seat his term ended at the beginning of December. So for just continuity of business if he would have been able to serve out as an incumbent until the Council appointments are made then he would have been able to carry on the Southeast Council's business effectively with that continuity. So that is one recommendation as a potential solution to thatproblem.
34 35 36 37 38 39 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	Another issue, or another problem that I'd like to reiterate is for the Southeast Regional Advisory Council, our Council size went from 13 members to eight, not this cycle, but the previous cycle. In that cut we lost a wealth of experience. We lost our 25 year member, Patty Phillips, who probably knows ANILCA in and out more than anybody I have ever met in my entire life. She has long-term institutional knowledge and history. She has served in this Program longer than any current seated Board member even. And we also lost Harvey Kitka, another long-term member who has been with the Southeast Council for a number of issues that we're seeing rise again in terms of management issues, he has that knowledge of things, especially when it comes to things like Sitka Sound

Page 269

herring. It's a current and emergent issue in our 1 2 region. It's going to result in an extra-territorial 3 jurisdiction petition and our one representative who was there through the last time Sitka Tribe of Alaska 4 tried to seek extra-territorial jurisdiction, and we no 5 longer have his voice and expertise seated at the table 6 7 with us to do our business. 8 9 We aren't the only Council that has 10 lost our institutional knowledgebase. 11 12 It's devastating to hear someone like Jack Reakoff who's reapplied and wanted to volunteer 13 his time has not been reappointed to the Council 14 15 either. And whether that's a lag in a late appointment or whether his seat has been cut, both scenarios are 16 17 devastating in my opinion. 18 19 These issues with fish and wildlife 20 management are cyclic. We need to have that long-term knowledge. Those knowledgeable folks with ANILCA and 21 22 also an understanding of how ANILCA has been applied to 23 these same issues in the past, we need that as our 24 business. These long-term volunteers of the Program, 25 they're mentors and they're teachers to me and younger 26 Council members. I've served on the Council for 12 years now, I still learn from them every day, every 27 28 meeting. So it's important that we keep -- if they're 29 willing to still give their time to this Program, it's important that we keep that institutional knowledge on 30 31 the Regional Advisory Councils. 32 33 Unfortunately I don't have an offerto 34 a solution on how to make it, however, I think Mr. 35 Reakoff, himself, just testified earlier this morning 36 that the Federal Board actually taking an active role 37 and going and working with the Secretaries and getting 38 these appointments done and making sure that all of the 39 applications are reviewed is imperative, and I hope that you really heard, not only his testimony, but plan 40 41 to take action on it. 42 43 My final comment today is regarding 44 another testimony that we heard this morning. I would 45 be remiss if on behalf of the Southeast Regional 46 Advisory Council that we didn't acknowledge Heather Bauscher and her great work that she has done. We have 47 48 been very fortunate in Southeast Alaska to have her 49 program seated within our region and have students that 50

Page 270

she has been able to bring to our meetings for the past 1 couple of years now. She brings some very bright, 2 3 young leaders to our meetings and when we do our work at the table they come and testify to us, it's amazing 4 the thought and time that they are able to put into 5 that and the value that that young perspective can 6 7 bring to the table and we always -- all of our Council members continue to praise the program and we are able 8 9 -- when we're seated together in the same room, are able to interact with these youth in very constructive 10 ways. And that knowledge and that help that they give 11 12 us is only something that we've been experiencing since 13 her program has been put in place and I appreciate that 14 the Board recognize Heather and her hard work, this morning, when she gave her testimony as well. 15 16 17 And with that I will close and thank 18 you again for the time to make these comments. 19 20 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 21 Cathy, and I appreciate your comments. I think some of 22 them are very pointed and on point and I think there 23 are some pretty solid suggestions there, as always, 24 Southeast comes out with an approach to resolve issues 25 and so truly appreciate all the work that you've stated 26 that comes forth through institutional knowledge and gaining from that experience of interacting with 27 28 people, both young and old, who have a lot to provide 29 to the Program. And so thank you for that insight. 30 31 Any questions or comments from the 32 Board for Cathy. 33 34 (No comments) 35 36 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, 37 again, thank you for taking the time to call in Cathy 38 and share Southeast concerns and filling in for the Chairman. We know how valuable his time was as well 39 40 and commitment to the Program, so truly appreciate all 41 the service and hope to resolve these issues for the Program as well and hope to restore Southeast Alaska 42 43 back to 13 seats so have a good day. 44 45 Operator -- I mean, is there another 46 Regional Advisory Council person who would like to be 47 recognized at this time. 48 49 MS. PELKOLA: This is Jenny Pelkola, 50

Page 271

1 2	can you hear me?
3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, you have
4	the floor.
5	
6	MS. PELKOLA: Okay. I don't know if
7	you're going in order of how the Councils appear on the
8	agenda but I'll just jump in fornow.
9	
10	I also am very concerned about all the
11	Councils with just a few trying to work with a few
12	members, seated members. I just got my packet
13	yesterday in the mail for our upcoming meeting that
14	will be held next month and as I look at the first page
15	of the members sitting on there, I see six vacant seats
16	and four seated members on there. And so our next
17	meeting I believe will be with only four members, and
18	like Darrell said this morning, and also Jack, we're
19	not representing our whole area. And the Western
20	Interior has you know, it's all the way from Holy
21	Cross to Aniak to Huslia to Rubyand elsewhere. But we
22	have a large area. And with only four seated members,
23	it's pretty hard to represent the rest of the area when
24	we don't have people seated on our Council from those
25	areas.
26	
27	You know I am verydiscouraged.
28	
29	I've been bringing this up foreverat
30	our meetings and as I look at the appointments I see
31	it's declining. And next year, two more seats fromour
32	Council is going to be open and is that going to leave
33	us with only two members if they don't do the
34	appointments. I'm very concerned. And I agree with
35	the rest of the lady, Cathy, that just spoke, you
36	know, I think we need to change the charter maybe to
37	closer to us, instead of Washington, D.C. It seems
38	like, I don't know, we get lost in the mail somewhere
39	and it's very discouraging.
40	
41	So that's my comment this morning.
42	
43	Thank you.
44	-
45	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for
46	taking the time to call in and, you know, this is the
47	time for us to get these on the record so that we can
48	elevate it to the appropriate people so that our voices
49	can be heard. Thank you for calling in and
50	

representing your region. 1 2 3 Is there another Regional Advisory 4 Council Chair that would like to be recognized at this 5 time. 6 7 (No comments) 8 9 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Operator. 10 11 (No comments) 12 13 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hello? 14 15 OPERATOR: This is the Operator. 16 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Oh, I was just 17 18 making sure, it got quiet. I was just making sure 19 there was nobody else, another Regional Advisory 20 Council Chair who would like to be recognized. 21 22 OPERATOR: Their lines are open. 23 24 (No comments) 25 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, 26 27 and I'm only giving you a minute because we did have 28 issues with lines yesterday. If you would like to be 29 recognized and I'm missing you I will provide an 30 opportunity throughout the day to do that so that we don't miss any of the valuable input that Regional 31 Advisory Council Chairs do bring. So at this time 32 hearing no other Regional Advisory Council Chair, I 33 will move on to the order of business. 34 35 36 Sue. 37 38 MS. DETWILER: Yes, that would be.... 39 40 MR. BROWER: Mr. Chair, before we 41 continue. 42 4.3 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: What's that 44 Charlie. 45 46 MR. BROWER: Before we -- just a question on the non-agenda item when the Regional 47 Chairmans were -- did I hear right that Jack didn't get 48 49 back on the Eastern [sic] Advisory? 50

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, that was 1 2 what he stated, he hasn't been reappointed and they're 3 one of the three that I think they were still working 4 on vetting that didn't getaccomplished. 5 6 MR. BROWER: Oh, okay. I just wanted 7 to thank Jack for all his years of service because I 8 worked with him in the Gates of the Arctic Commission 9 in the early years and I know he has a lot of background information of the region and the people 10 11 that he represents so I just want to thank him for all his hard work and stuff that he's done for that area, 12 13 and Anaktuvuk Pass and Wiseman and so on. But I just 14 wanted to thank him. 15 Thank you, Mr. Chair. Sorry, for going 16 17 off the agenda. 18 19 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: No, that's exactly what we're here for Charlie, so thank you for 20 21 recognizing him and your words. 22 23 Thank you. 24 25 Any other comments from the Board. 26 27 (No comments) 28 29 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, hearing none. Hearing no other Regional Advisory 30 Council Chairs wishing to be recognized at this time, 31 32 Sue, I'll turn it over to you to start on the order of 33 the business FP21-12. 34 35 MS. DETWILER: Okay. So that brings us back to Item 7 on the Board's agenda and we left off 36 37 with Prince William Sound proposals and, yes, confirming with you, Mr. Chair, that we're on Fisheries 38 39 Proposal 21-12 and that is presented by Hannah 40 Voorhees. 41 42 MS. VOORHEES: Good morning, Mr. Chair 43 and members of the Board. This is Hannah Voorhees, 44 anthropologist at Office of Subsistence Management. 45 46 Fisheries Proposal 21-12 begins on Page 47 216 of your Board book. This proposal was submitted by Kirk Wilson of Glennallen and requests that the Board 48 49 prohibits the use of monofilament and multifilament 50

mesh dipnet before August 15th in the Upper Copper 1 River district. Before the State, dipnet rigging would 2 3 be limited to braided inelastic mesh. 4 5 The proponent raises concerns about the 6 use of dipnets with monofilament or multifilament mesh 7 in terms of its effect on survival rates of chinook salmon that are entangled and then released. 8 9 10 Yesterday I noted that I would be 11 presenting multiple Upper Copper River proposals and so would not repeat background information each time. 12 However, since we've had a break overnight I will give 13 14 this background information again for this first 15 proposal and then we'll skip it for the following. 16 17 FP21-12 would apply to the waters in 18 the Upper Copper River district within and adjacent to the exterior boundaries of Wrangell-St.Elias National 19 20 Park and Preserve. This area is in the traditional territory of the Ahtna Athabascans. Contemporary 21 22 communities with a customary and traditional use 23 determination for salmon in the Copper River drainage 24 sockeye and chinook continue to make up the majority of 2.5 their harvest of wild foods. 26 27 In subsistence interviews conducted in 2013, residents of rural Copper River Basin communities 28 29 shared their observations that chinook salmon runs have declined in comparison to long-term baseline. 30 Some residents have a practice of avoiding catching chinook 31 32 when possible and voluntarily remove chinook from 33 fishwheel boxes if those fish still have a chance of 34 survival. In recent years, both sockeye and chinook 35 salmon returns have experienced decreasing trends. In 36 2020 the sustainable escapement goal was met for 37 sockeye, but not chinook. Low salmon passage at Miles 38 Lake sonar resulted in closure of the commercial 39 gillnet fishery at the mouth of the river as well as reductions in the Chitina personal use fishery and the 40 41 chinook sportfishery. All Copper River Federal and 42 State subsistence fisheries remained unrestricted 43 through the 2020 season. 44 45 Traditionally Ahtna used riggeddipnets 46 made from spruce roots to catch salmon but today fishwheels, rather than dipnets are the predominate 47 48 gear in use by Federally-qualified subsistence users in 49 the Upper Copper River district, those who would have 50

Page 275

to adhere to this change in regulation. For example, 1 in 2013, Gulkana residents took 91 percent of their 2 3 salmon harvest in edible weight by fishweel. 4 5 In 2017 the Board of Fisheries rejected 6 a proposal submitted by the Wrangell-St.Elias National 7 Park Subsistence Resource Commission that would have 8 prohibited the use of monofilament or gillnet mesh in 9 dipnets in State subsistence and personal use fisheries for the Upper Copper Riverdistrict. 10 11 12 The OSM conclusion is to oppose this 13 proposal with the following justification. 14 15 This proposal would place an additional burden on Federally-qualified subsistence users who 16 would likely have to replace their dipnet meshes or 17 18 nets. This new gear specification would make Federal subsistence regulations pertaining to dipnet use more 19 20 restrictive than State subsistence and personal use regulations. This proposal would likely not have the 21 22 intended conservation effects, primarily because of the 23 small percentage of the dipnet fishery being conducted 24 under Federal subsistence regulations. This proposal 25 would bring Federal regulations for the area into step 26 with conservation practices and perspectives of some Federally-qualified subsistence users but the burden on 27 all Federally-qualified subsistence users would be high 28 29 and the conservation benefit would likely be limited. 30 31 New proposals similar to the one being 32 considered here will be taken up at the next Board of 33 Fisheries meeting where Prince William Sound proposals 34 are discussed. These proposals would prohibit use of 35 monofilament and multifilament gill mesh in dipnets on 36 the Copper River before August 15th or year-round 37 respectfully. 38 39 Thank you. 40 41 This concludes mypresentation. 42 43 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 44 Thank you for that presentation this morning. Any 45 questions from the Board for Staff. 46 47 (No comments) 48 49 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 50

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

2.5

26

27 28

29 30

31 32

33

34

35 36

37

38

39 40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

50

hearing none we will move on to summary of public comments, Council Coordinator. MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is DeAnna Perry, Council Coordinator for the Southcentral Regional Advisory Council. Members of the Board, we received five written public comments in support on this proposal. They can be found in your meeting book starting on Page 236. A summary of those comments. The Ahtna InterTribal Resource Commission supports this proposal and states that it would promote greater survival rates among chinook salmon caught in nets and then released back into the river and dipnets constructed with inelastic seine style mesh and a traditional Ahtna style made with inelastic mesh are effective at catching salmon. The Commission further suggested a modification to this proposal, language such as "you may not use a dipnet that is rigged with monofilament, or multifilament mesh before August 15th when the majority of the chinook run has passed into the Upper Copper River." Before this time -- I'm sorry, continuing with the quote, rather -before this time your dipnet must be rigged with, braided is struck out, inelastic mesh, deleting the word braided before inelastic mesh. Michael Mahoney supports this proposal stating that these changes would be helpful in protecting our king salmon. All of these practices are not customary and traditional and have resulted in much higher efficiency levels of harvest. Thea Thomas strongly supports this proposal and as stated before, she commented, that it was important to limit overharvest in the Chitina dipnet fishery and to acquire timely data on the harvest. Ahtna TeneNene' supports the proposal to disallow a dipnet that is rigged with monofilament or multifilament mesh before August 15th because after chinook are released with this type of dipnet it causes undue harm or death and they may not reach spawning grounds if they are harmed or weakened. As management objectives weren't met in fiscal year 2020 we need to do what we can to protect them.

1 2	Bonnie Yazzie supports this proposal.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Kirk Wilson, the proponent, states that use of a dipnet that is rigged with monofilament or multifilament mesh before August 15th should not be allowed because chinook released from this type of dipnet causes undue harm or death and may prevent them from reaching the spawning grounds.
10 11 12 13	Again, for those comments in their entirety, they can be read starting on Page 236 of the meeting book.
14 15 16	I'd also like to share someAdvisory Committee comments.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Last week, prior to this Boardmeeting, I received a comment from the Copper Basin Advisory Committee opposing this proposal. In summary stating that specifying a date is hard on the user who would have to switch in-season and that the depth of bag and size of mesh are more important than the type.
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 37 38 39 40	The Wrangell-St.Elias National Park Subsistence Resource Commission also provided a comment in opposition. Their vote of one in support and seven opposed to this proposal, stating in the experience of SRC members who have commercial fished, net material doesn't make a difference in whether fish become entangled in a net. When one member commercial fished one summer, for example, salmon were often gilled in an inelastic braided net, net or mesh size in their experience was a bigger factor than the material. Another concern is the lack of availability of dipnets made from alternate materials. The person who supported the proposal cited testimony on both sides with some saying the monofilament nets cause damage and expressed concern about avoiding any potential negative impacts to the fisheries.
41 42 43	That concludes the public written comments on this proposal.
44 45	Thank you.
46 47 48	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions by the Board.
49 50	(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, 1 2 at this time, Operator, we will go to open the floor 3 for public testimony if anybody is online who would like to speak to this specific proposal, now is your 4 5 time. 6 7 OPERATOR: If you would like to make a 8 public comment, please press star one. Again, to make 9 a public comment, please press starone. 10 MR. KRON: Mr. Chair. 11 12 13 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, you have 14 the.... 15 16 OPERATOR: Our first comm..... 17 18 MR. KRON: Yeah, Mr. Chair, this is Tom 19 Kron. I believe that Eastern Interior has a 20 recommendation on Proposal 12 as well. And I think --I'm pretty sure Sue Entsminger is on to be able to 21 22 provide that and if not I can. 23 24 Thank you. 25 26 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yep, Sue, 27 either you.... 28 29 MS. ENTSMINGER: Am I online? 30 31 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:or Tom has the floor. 32 33 34 MS. ENTSMINGER: Yes, Tom and Tony I am 35 online, this is Sue. 36 37 MS. DETWILER: So, Mr. Chair, I believe 38 the next step after public testimony is Regional 39 Council recommendation. 40 41 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: So, right at this time is if we have anybody wants to publicly 42 43 testify, I'm still waiting to see, and I have heard 44 none so far Operator. 45 46 OPERATOR: We do have public comments. 47 The first comment comes from Hope Roberts, your line is 48 open. 49 50

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: She has the 1 floor. We'll get the Regional AdvisoryCouncil 2 recommendations and comments after we have public 3 4 testimony. Thank you. So you have the floor public. 5 6 MS. ROBERTS: Good morning Federal 7 Subsistence Board. This is Hope Roberts from Chugach Regional Resources Commission. Hold on a second. 8 9 10 (Pause) 11 12 MS. ROBERTS: From our Alliance, as we 13 introduced yesterday, we are a regional resource 14 commission that represents the Chugach region, seven 15 villages Chenega, Eyak, Port Graham, Nanwalek, 16 Kudachik(ph), Tatitlek and Valdez. 17 18 The Alliance supports Proposal FP21-12. 19 This recommendation came from a joint Copper River 20 Salmon Symposium in 2019 where research and conservation of the resource were two major points of 21 22 agreement, that Upper and Lower river user groups 23 agreed were necessary, we acknowledged this proposal 24 would create a situation where Federal subsistence 25 regulations are stricter than State regulations. But 26 we believe the importance of these salmon runs require 27 a cautionary approach to conservation. Identical 28 proposals have been submitted to the Board of Fish for 29 consideration. The Federal Subsistence Board has an 30 opportunity to set a conservation example requested by 31 subsistence users. 32 33 So that is the public comment that I 34 have for the organization Chugach Regional Resources 35 Commission. 36 37 May I make a comment, personally, for 38 myself? 39 40 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, this is 41 your opportunity as a public member to testify. 42 43 MS. ROBERTS: Great. Okay. Yeah, I 44 just wanted to separate it from the organization. 45 46 So my name is -- well, I'm from Circle. 47 I'm Tlingit, Athabascan and from the public comments 48 this morning, from Dr. Jessica Black and Darrell Vent 49 and Bruce, I really agree that the Board and just 50

anybody in general who uses these resources should 1 listen to the people who live in the area. That their 2 3 comments and their suggestions are really, really important. Because I've read time and time again that 4 5 some, you know, people -- people would go to these villages, scientists, and just constantly say that they 6 7 were right, they were right and people who have lived there for generations would be like, no, this is not 8 9 right, this is what will happen and the village -- the people who live in the villages, their theories are 10 usually the ones that would be correct, so I just -- I 11 12 would listen to the people of the communities out in 13 these areas first. But, yeah, that's -- those are my 14 comments. 15 16 Thank you for hearing me out. 17 18 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for 19 taking the time today. Next comment, please. 20 21 OPERATOR: The next comment comes from 22 Karen Linnell, your line is open. 23 24 MS. LINNELL: Thank you. Thank you, 25 Mr. Chair and members of the Board. I had asked for 26 this proposal to be pulled from the consensus agenda so 27 that we might discuss this indetail. 28 29 As Hope Roberts had mentioned this had 30 come from our Copper River Salmon Synthesis meeting 31 held last February before the pandemic. The Synthesis 32 followed a couple -- two days, or three days of a 33 Copper River science symposium where we heard from --34 everything from geology to Lake Ahtna and place names 35 and then also with Ahtna place names and -- but also presentations on the Copper River salmon. And 36 37 following the work -- following that symposium we had 38 this work session with, like I said Native Village of 39 Eyak, Cordova Fishermens United, sports guides, ADF&G 40 Staff, including our local manager and commercial --41 CommFish Division and I don't know what division Klause Wittig is from but he's out of Fairbanks and he's 42 43 actually supervisor of -- I believe he's the supervisor 44 of our local biologist and National Park Service, BLM, 45 subsistence users, AITRC, many folks there and we 46 talked about what, you know, the different things and when we had -- we had these idea boards and put things 47 48 out there and what we were trying to do to create where 49 -- an opportunity to have a sustainable salmon stock. 50

Page 281

These are some of the ideas that came forward. And Mr. 1 Wilson was in attendance there and he took this idea 2 3 and submitted the proposals, not just here to the Federal Subsistence Board but also to the Alaska Board 4 5 of Fish for both the subsistence use, personal use and -- so that -- and for personal use so that it can be --6 7 we can make changes. 8 9 I've heard this many times before and 10 Hope just brought it up again that, you know, this will 11 be stricter than the State. This is not a political 12 game. We're trying to do what is best for the 13 resource, you know, and I think it's time that we look 14 at it in that way. I was thinking of the prayer this morning and we were talking about, you know, doing 15 16 what's right for the people, but we need to do what's right for the resources as well and I thank you for the 17 18 prayer. But it's not just about individuals, or one user group over the other, it's about what's best for 19 20 the resource. You know we need to look at those types 21 of things. 22 23 Just because somebody else is doingit 24 doesn't make it right. That saying, two wrongs don't 25 make a right, that's what we're trying to do, we're 26 trying to implement change. And at that Board of Game [sic] -- or different meetings that I've gone to it's 27 been brought up, well, the Federal has it this way so 28 we need to -- you know, we need to at least do that, so 29 let's lead by an example. You know, let's lead by an 30 31 example and say, okay, we're going to do what's right for the resource here and we would expect that the 32 33 State would follow. 34 35 I've heard some good suggestions about 36 net depths and widths, those might be good things. 37 Right now people are trolling on the river, this is not 38 dipnetting, they're trolling. When the boat is moving that's trolling, correct, and -- and so that's what's 39 happening. And we've put proposals in to stop that as 40 41 well and they're still calling it dipnetting, but you 42 look up the definition of trolling and this is 43 trolling. 44 45 The folks that are on the banks and things like that and when they're walking out chest 46 deep in the river, a fast moving river, and they're 47 48 trying to release that king because there's a limit on 49 kings with this type of net it's difficult and unsafe 50

1 2	and could lead to a fatality.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Anyway I just urge you, as a Board, to look at what's best for the resource, not what the State is doing, not, you know, it's a hardship for users, you folks change mesh sizes all the time on the Yukon and the Kuskokwim and those folks have to adhere to it so subsistence users there have to adhere to it and you defer to the State on those instances sometimes and their thing taking the biggest fish isn't always the best idea either. Our fish are getting smaller and smaller and I don't know what that is, but there's an opportunity for study on that as well.
15 16 17	But I just urge you to do what isbest for the resource here.
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	I want to thank you for your time. You guys are phenomenal people and it's not easy. I've been on that side of the table for the State and it's not easy but you have to look at, you know, what your authorities are and what is right for the resource, and I thank you for that.
25 26	Good morning.
$\begin{array}{c} 27\\ 28\\ 29\\ 30\\ 31\\ 32\\ 34\\ 35\\ 36\\ 37\\ 38\\ 39\\ 40\\ 41\\ 42\\ 43\\ 44\\ 45\end{array}$	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Good morning. And thank you for that, Karen, and I always have enjoyed your passion for the resource and your people land definitely have to keep that paramount in our discussions, is the survival of our brothers and sisters out there in the environment we call our homes with the animals that provide themselves to us through a whole other process of prayer and reverence, respect, generational deep. So thank you for that reminder. And it's always the forefront in my mind, here we struggled in Southeast with a fish shortage and pandemic as well and I'm a super-subsister they call it and I got eight sockeye last year, the same time the State cut is off with bottom fish and so we were between literally a rock fish and a hard place, and so (indiscernible - muffled) making sure that we keep the priorities what they are, so appreciate your comment this morning.
46 47	MS. LINNELL: Thank you.
48 49 50	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any other questions from the Board.

1 (No comments) 2 3 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, 4 thank you, Karen, for calling in and we'll -- Operator, 5 if there's somebody else on the line that would like to be recognized at this time. 6 7 OPERATOR: At this time I'm showing no 8 9 further comments. 10 11 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 12 Then that concludes the open floor to public testimony. 13 Next is.... 14 15 OPERATOR: We just had a comment come in if you'd like to take it. 16 17 18 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, we'll take 19 it, that's what we're here for so thank you Operator 20 for recognizing that. You have the floor. 21 22 OPERATOR: Thank you. And, Gloria 23 Stickwan, your line is open. 24 25 MS. STICKWAN: Good morning. 26 27 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Good morning. 28 29 MS. STICKWAN: Thank you for the 30 opportunity to speak. I just want to say that Kirk 31 Wilson used to work as a local quide on the Klutina 32 River and he told us he saw a lot of -- he -- as a 33 quide he saw and helped people on charter -- on his charter boat to land king salmon, sockeyes and he was a 34 witness to these -- he saw what happens when they took 35 kings out of these dipnets with mono -- monofilament 36 and he told us about it and he said they got tangled up 37 and a lot of destruction to the king salmon and they 38 39 were destroyed by -- they got tangled up in these 40 dipnets and -- and then there were some of them, 41 because they were under sized, were released, into the 42 water and they were damaged because they were long enough for regulations so they had to be put back into 43 44 the water but they were damaged. And that's the 45 concern Ahtna has, is that, you know, king salmon are 46 not the right size, they get destroyed, but -- and they 47 just -- and I know, the Federal people, they get to 48 keep their king salmon no matter what size it is, but 49 it's -- you know, if it's too small do they return it 50

3

1/28/2021

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 15 16 17 18 20	to the river. I would think if a king salmon was too small like a jack king then they'd return it and if there's a lot of damage to that king salmon or sockeye and then it's released back into the river, you know, because we've been catching a lot of small, small especially in the early run season, I've seen some like maybe 10,12 inch sockeyes this summer, they weren't very big at all and so and the kings are smaller too, we don't get any large kings the way we used to. I could remember when we used to get 60 pound, 50 pound kings, we don't see that anymore, they're smaller and small king salmon and small sockeyes and then to me, it makes me wonder if they're returning them because they think they're too small and that they're being damaged and then they're released into the water again, you know, they're damaged, from what I understand, from these monofilament dipnets.
	Thank you.
21 22 23 24 25	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Gloria. Appreciate you taking the time to call in and sharing your experience and conversations with other people that have experience on the river.
26 27	Any questions for Gloria.
28	(No comments)
29 30 31 32 33 35 36 37 39 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 950	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, thank you again Gloria for calling in and have a good day today. That moves us on in the agenda, if there is no other on the public testimony that would like to be recognized at this time, we'll be moving on to the Regional Council recommendations, RAC Chair or designee.
	MS. PERRY: Good morning, Mr. Chair. This is DeAnna Perry, Council Coordinator for the Southcentral Regional Advisory Council and I am sitting in for our Chair, Greg Encelewski, who could not be with us today.
	The Southcentral Regional Advisory Council does not want to recommend the regulation that would be convoluted and create an additional requirement on Federally-subsistence users only so they opposed this proposal, 3 to 6. The Council stated that the damage and mortality of fish was due more to the

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	mesh size and the amount of time the fish is out of the water than the material of the net. They opined that this is the easiest and most efficient way to catch a fish is dipnetting though there should be a move to conservation of the species, this proposal would not result in the desired outcome. If fish are not available then other user groups should be shut down. The Council also voiced concern that this regulation would be challenging for law enforcement to enforce.
11 12 13	That was the Southcentral Regional Advisory Council recommendation.
14 15	Thank you.
16 17 18	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions for the Regional Advisory position.
19 20	(No comments)
20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, are there any other Regional Council comments at this time.
25 26 27	MS. ENTSMINGER: Yes, this is Sue Entsminger, Mr. Chair.
28 29 30	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Sue, you have the floor.
31 32 33 34 35	MS. ENTSMINGER: Okay. Yes, good morning, and thank you to the Federal Subsistence Board. This is Sue Entsminger, EasternInterior Regional Advisory Council Chair.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	The Council voted on this at our last meeting to oppose. If adopted this regulation would cause hardship for subsistence users and make the use of dipnets more restrictive than for the other users. It's onerous to change all your gear and it might be difficult to get other materials. The Council shared that mesh changes on the Yukon River cause a lot of hardship for people trying to change all their nets out and they don't want it to be repeated on the Copper River. The Council believes that a better long-term solution is to educate people on how to remove king salmon quickly and safely from dipnets.
50	

lot of discussion about monofilament versus other 1 braided net materials, but most of the research was 2 3 done in setnets and driftnet fisheries where net 4 materials make a difference. However, given the 5 relatively short amount of time that fish are in 6 dipnets and the conservation that dipnets provide, 7 dipnet materials don't make that much of a difference 8 to fish injury. 9 10 And, Mr. Chair, I had some otherthings 11 going on when you had called on me for non-agenda 12 items. I'd be willing to bring in a couple non-agenda 13 items when maybe you're finished with this proposal. 14 15 But I did want to say that I, too, served on the Board of Game in the '90s -- '93 to '96, 16 and the Federal and the State system has changed a bit. 17 18 The Federal system if every other year for game and every other year for fish, and the Board of Game and 19 20 Board of Fish were on two year cycles and they're now on three year cycles and some of these types of 21 22 proposals, people are putting them in to try to put a 23 concurrent proposal in to either the Fish Board or the 24 Game Board, and I believe after watching the system 2.5 over time, that it's time for both the Federal and the 26 State systems to think about how they can work together 27 on some proposals that people are -- they're coming out 28 before the Federal Subsistence Board first when it 29 should be last and people used to try to make that happen in the past but it just doesn't happen so I can 30 see that this is an example, where something like that 31 32 -- where there's a way for these two systems to work 33 together on joint proposals to each Board. 34 35 So I wanted to bring that up and if 36 there was -- if you wanted -- I can bring up my non-37 agenda items after you're finished with this proposal, 38 whatever works for your guys. 39 40 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Either way is 41 good for us Sue, so glad you brought it up now, but if you want to wait to do it at a specific time, too, 42 43 that'd be okay as well. 44 45 MS. ENTSMINGER: Well, it's just two 46 short ones, maybe I can doit. 47 48 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, go ahead, 49 you have the floor. 50

MS. ENTSMINGER: I'm on the Wrangell-1 St. Elias Subsistence Resource Commission. And I know 2 3 on both of these -- the RACs and the SRCs are governed through FACA and it is a little confusing to understand 4 5 that the SRCs are on until someone replaces them so if their appointments up they're still on there. So it is 6 7 confusing why the two systems are different so that 8 brings up a question in mymind. 9 10 And then I wanted to state that the 11 Vice Chair, Andrew Firmin, has been talking to me and he's concerned about having our in-person meetings and 12 13 several of us agree with him, that maybe the Government will get used to that and then we won't have in-person 14 15 meetings anymore and it concerns him and he and I had 16 talked and we don't understand why, if -- maybe some Council members decided they wanted to meet in-person, 17 18 why that couldn't happen and other persons call in. 19 It's just an issue I thought the Board should know, 20 that this is -- it's being discussed among our members. 21 2.2 And that's all. 23 24 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Sue, 25 appreciate the willingness of the Board to want to 26 continue to work diligently as they do and, again, never -- never is getting together face-to-face 27 28 replaceable. So thank you. 29 30 MS. ENTSMINGER: Thank you. 31 32 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any other 33 Regional Advisory Council recommendations or Chairs wish to be heard at this time. 34 35 36 (No comments) 37 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none 38 39 we'll move on to the tribal, Alaska Native Corp 40 comments, Orville Lind. 41 42 MR. LIND: Mr. Chair, can you hear me? 43 44 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Loud and clear, 45 brother. 46 47 MR. LIND: Hey, good morning. This is 48 Orville Lind, Native Liaison for the Office of 49 Subsistence Management. Good morning everyone. Board 50

REGULATORY MEETING

1 2	members.
3 4 5 6 7 8	Of the folks online, tribes that called in, we had one person on June 11th, consultation, Chief Gary Harrison, from Chickaloon, and on FP21-12, he just mentioned that he wasn't really sure if that was a good proposal and that was all hementioned.
9 10 11	And that's all I have for you, Mr. Chair.
12 13	Thank you.
13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions for Orville.
17 18	(No comments)
19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: No, hearing none, we'll move on to Alaska Department of Fish and Game comments, our esteemed colleague, Ben Mulligan.
23 24 25 26	MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, Ben Mulligan, Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	The Department opposes this proposal. It is unlikely that the prohibition on monofilament or multifilament web material would increase the survival of released kings. Tangling is more a function of net depth and mesh size rather than net material. This proposal would also create an inconsistency between State and Federal regulations which may lead to user confusion.
35 36 37	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
37 38 39 40	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions from the Board for the State.
41 42	(No comments)
43 44 45 46	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Ben. We'll move on to InterAgency Staff Committee comments. Katya.
47 48 49 50	MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Members of the Board. For the record, my name is Katya Wessels, Acting Policy Coordinator with OSM. And for

the purpose of this meeting, the InterAgency Staff 1 Committee has a standard comment, it reads as follows: 2 3 4 The InterAgency Staff Committee found 5 the Staff analysis to be a thorough and accurate evaluation of the proposal and that it provides 6 7 sufficient basis for the Regional Advisory Council recommendation and Federal Subsistence Board action on 8 9 the proposal. 10 11 The InterAgency Staff Committee provided the standard comment on Fisheries Proposal 12 13 FP21-12. 14 15 And as we move forward through the non-16 consensus proposals, if only the standard comment applies to the proposal this is what I will state on 17 18 the record. 19 20 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 21 22 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for 23 that Katya. Any questions for Katya. 24 2.5 (No comments) 26 27 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, 28 we'll move to Board discussion. 29 30 (No comments) 31 32 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, I 33 open the floor for Board action. 34 MR. STRIKER: Mr. Chair, Park Service. 35 36 37 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Don, you have 38 the floor. 39 40 MR. STRIKER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I 41 move that we adopt FP21-12. If I get a second I'll 42 explain why I plan to vote against my motion. 43 44 MR. BROWER: Second. 45 46 MR. STRIKER: Thank you for that second. I intend to vote in opposition to my motion in 47 deference to the Eastern Interior RAC, the Southcentral 48 49 RAC and the Wrangell-St. Elias Subsistence Resource 50

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Commission, and, importantly this is also consistent with the recommendation of the ISC and the State. My opposition is for the reasons outlined in the Staff analysis primarily that this would be an unnecessary burden on Federally-qualified subsistence users, that it would be unlikely to significantly benefit the resource given that such restrictions have been rejected by the Alaska Board of Fisheries and that Federal harvest by dipnet in this area is low.
11	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
12 13 14	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any other Board discussion, questions, comments.
15 16	(No comments)
17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for the question.
20 21 22	MR. SIEKANIEC: Question.
23	MR. BROWER: Question.
24 25	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
26 27	Roll call, Sue, please.
28 29 30	MS. DETWILER: The motion on the floor is to adopt Fisheries Proposal 21-12.
31 32 33	Start with the maker of the motion, Don Striker.
33 34 35 36	MR. STRIKER: Opposed for the reasons given. Thank you.
37	MS. DETWILER: Okay.
38 39 40 41	Fish and Wildlife Service, Greg Siekaniec.
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Sue. For the reasons highlighted by the National Park Service and in support of the Southcentral RAC, Eastern Interior RAC and the Wrangell-St. Elias Subsistence Resource Commission, I support the National Park Service motion to oppose this proposal. Ultimately this proposal will not contribute to conservation of salmon stocks and would be more restrictive and an

Page 291 additional burden to Federally-qualified subsistence 1 2 users. 3 4 Thank you. 5 6 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 7 8 Glenn Chen for BIA. 9 MR. CHEN: Yes, Sue, the BIA votes to 10 11 oppose this proposal as recommended by the Southcentral and Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Councils as well 12 13 as the Wrangell-St. Elias Subsistence Resource 14 Commission. 15 16 Thank you. 17 18 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 19 20 Chad Padgett, BLM. 21 22 MR. PADGETT: Thank you, Sue. I oppose 23 as stated by the previous comments. Thank you. 24 25 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 26 27 Dave Schmid, Forest Service. 28 29 MR. SCHMID: Hi, Sue. The Forest 30 Service opposes in deference to the RACs and with the 31 justification provided by the National Park Service. 32 33 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 34 35 Public Member Rhonda Pitka. 36 37 MS. PITKA: I oppose in deference to 38 the Regional Advisory Councils, Southcentral and Eastern Interior. And also that this would create 39 40 undue stress on the rural public members. 41 42 Thank you. 43 44 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 45 46 Public Member Charlie Brower. 47 48 MR. BROWER: Yeah, I oppose it from the 49 recommendations of Southcentral Advisory Council, the 50

REGULATORY MEETING

Page 292 1 Eastern Interior and Wrangell-St. Elias. 2 3 Thank you. 4 5 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 6 7 Mr. Chair. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I oppose as 10 stated. 11 12 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. So motion to adopt fails so this Fisheries Proposal 21-12 13 14 does not pass. 15 16 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Sue, 17 appreciate that. We'll move on to the next, FP21-13. 18 19 MR. BROWER: Mr. Chair, can we take a 20 nature call. 21 22 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yep, we will 23 take a five minute break for Charlie, I always forget I'm the youngest one on the Board, I think next to 24 25 Rhonda, so five minute break. 26 27 MS. PITKA: I am younger than you. 28 29 (Laughter) 30 31 MR. STRIKER: I don't know what that 32 had to do with anything. 33 34 MR. SCHMID: Much appreciated, Mr. 35 Chair. 36 37 MR. SIEKANIEC: I resemble that 38 comment, Mr. Chair, thank you. 39 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hey, hey, hey, 40 41 I'm sorry, guys, we're all young bucks at heart. 42 43 (Laughter) 44 45 (Off record) 46 47 (On record) 48 49 MS. DETWILER: It looks like we have 50

Page 293

all Board members on. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Sue, 4 appreciate that, quorum. As we go through here and 5 anybody needs to reach us, just reach out through the Operator and we'll recognize you. Sue, I'll turn it 6 7 back over to you to call the order of business and call 8 on the Staff to present. 9 10 MS. DETWILER: So we are continuing on now with Fisheries Proposal 21-13. 11 12 13 MS. VOORHEES: Hello, again, Mr. Chair 14 and members of the Board. This is Hannah Voorhees, 15 anthropologist at Office of Subsistence Management. 16 17 Fisheries Proposal 21-13 begins on Page 18 246 of your Board book. This proposal is also submitted by Kirk Wilson of Glennallen and requests 19 20 that the Board prohibit fishing with dipnets from boats 21 or crafts floating in the river in the Upper Copper 22 River district. 23 24 The proponent states that salmontend 25 to rest in deep areas on their way up river and can be 26 targeted by those fishing from boats, which may be 27 contributing to depletion of salmon stocks. 28 29 Much of the information for this 30 proposal pertaining to public waters, cultural 31 knowledge and traditional practices and biological 32 background is identical to that presented for the 33 previous Upper Copper Riverproposals. 34 35 If this proposal carries, this mayhave 36 bering on consideration of the next proposal which 37 requests that the Board prohibit use of fishfinders while fishing from boats in the Upper Copper River. 38 Currently there are no restrictions on dipnetting from 39 40 boats in either the Federal subsistence or the State 41 subsistence and personal use fisheries in this area. Ahtna dipnetting for salmon traditionally took place 42 from shore or platform built from shore. Access to 43 44 fishing sites was limited by territorial jurisdiction, 45 part of a traditional management system. Today 46 fishwheels are the predominant gear in use by Federally-qualified subsistence users in this area. 47 48 Dipnetting, including from boats takes place primarily under State permit. 49 50

In 2017 the Board of Fisheries rejected 1 2 a proposal submitted by the Ahtna Customary and 3 Traditional Use Committee that would have prohibited 4 dipnetting from boats in the Glennallen subdistricts. 5 6 The OSM conclusion on this current 7 proposal is to oppose with the following justification. 8 9 This proposal would make Federal subsistence regulations pertaining to dipnetting more 10 restrictive than State subsistence and personal use 11 12 regulations. The majority of salmon taken by 13 Federally-qualified subsistence users in the Upper 14 Copper River district are taken by fishwheel rather 15 than from boats. Because both are not widely used to dipnet for salmon under Federal subsistence permits in 16 the Upper Copper River district, adopting this proposal 17 18 would have little effect on the practice of concern and little conservation effect. Two proposals similar to 19 the one being considered here will be taken up at the 20 next Board of Fisheries meeting where Prince William 21 22 Sound proposals are considered. These proposals would 23 prohibit dipnetting from boats in the Glennallen 24 subdistrict or the entire Upper Copper River district, 25 respectively. 26 27 Thank you. 28 29 This concludes mypresentation. 30 31 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 32 appreciate that. Any questions from the board. 33 34 MR. CHEN: Mr. Chair, BIA, please. 35 36 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Glenn, you have 37 the floor. 38 39 MR. CHEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Our 40 question is directed to any of the Federal or State 41 managers who have knowledge about this fishery in the Copper and our question is, is there any information 42 anecdotal or otherwise that could inform us about how 43 44 many fish are caught via boat dipnetting, in either the 45 State or Federal fisheries, and the second part of the 46 question also is we'd like to know if there's an idea of how many fishers are via boat fishermen, if this 47 48 amount is increasing, or decreasing or staying the 49 same. 50

Thank you. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. I 4 think that was a question. 5 6 (No comments) 7 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 8 9 Glenn. Did you ask a question of the Staff, Glenn? 10 11 MR. CHEN: Yes, Tony, that was a question to Staff, Federal or Staff. 12 13 14 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Does somebody 15 want to answer that question Glenn asked. 16 17 MS. VOORHEES: Mr. Chair, this is 18 Hannah. 19 20 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the 21 floor. 22 23 MS. VOORHEES: Thank you. I would 24 refer that question to either the State, Mark 25 Somerville, or National Park Service, the in-season 26 manager, if they'd like to comment on that. 27 28 (Pause) 29 30 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Is the in-31 season manager available on the call today or is that a 32 question that we need to wait for somebody to get on 33 the line for. 34 35 MR. STRIKER: Mr. Chair, this is Don. I don't think our in-season manager is typically on the 36 call. We might have Barbara Cellarius on the call or I 37 don't know if Josh has access to that data, if their 38 39 lines are activated. 40 41 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Don. 42 And if any of those people are on, we await a response. 43 44 (Pause) 45 46 OPERATOR: This is the Operator and I 47 did open Barbara's line. 48 49 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 50

Page 296

Welcome, Barbara, you have the floor. 1 2 3 MS. CELLARIUS: Thank you. Mr. Chair. I 4 am actually trying to contact our -- Dave Sarafin, is the one who handles those reports. In terms of whether 5 we have actual quantitative data, I don't believe that 6 7 the harvest report that the fishermen submit asks that 8 question. So I don't believe that we have quantitative 9 data on that particular question for the Federal fish 10 permits. 11 12 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 13 14 MS. VOORHEES: Mr. Chair, this is 15 Hannah. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the 18 floor, Hannah. 19 20 MS. VOORHEES: That comports with my understanding as well and I would also add that under 21 22 Federal permit, we don't have ready access to 23 information about how gear use breaks down because three kinds of gears can be -- including a dipnet, can 24 25 be used on the Federal permit, and it's actually more 26 complicated to generate gear reports for how fishing was conducted than one might think, at least through 27 28 the Federal system. 29 30 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. I 31 hope that helps you Glenn. Do you have any other 32 questions for them. 33 34 MR. CHEN: Tony, thanks to Barbara and Hannah for your answers, appreciateit. 35 36 37 MS. DETWILER: Mr. Chair, this is Sue. I see that David Sarafin is trying toget on. 38 He said 39 he tried to hit star one but has no means of speaking, 40 maybe the Operator can just doublecheck on that. 41 42 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 43 Yeah, we'll check on that. 44 45 OPERATOR: I did open Dave's line, this 46 is the Operator. 47 48 MR. SARAFIN: Thank you. Hello, Mr. 49 Chair, can you hear me okay. 50

1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Loud and clear,
2	you have the floor Dave.
3	
4	MR. SARAFIN: Okay. Yeah, we don't
5	have any data specific to dipnetting from boats. We do
6	have some information on the harvest by dipnet and, you
7	know, through 2019 anyways or the five year average
8	through 2019 was about 13 percent of the harvest of
9	salmon being by dipnet. We have seen a slight rise in
10	the past three years that is likely due it's mostly
11	coming from the Chitina subdistrict where we have seen
12	additional participation by Federal users now that we
13	are no longer matching the State schedule. So that has
14	in '19 it went up to about 21 percent, and I believe
15	2020 might be tracking at around 30 percent. And so
16	that's you know, there are in Chitina,
17	especially, there's a fair number that might be
18	dipnetting from boats that this would apply to.
19	
20	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
21	Thank you for answering the question there, Dave. And,
22	Glenn, did you have any questions in reverse or do you
23	have direction?
24	
25	MR. CHEN: Mr. Chair. No followup
26	questions, thank you very much Dave for the
27	information. Appreciate it.
28	
29	MR. SARAFIN: You're welcome.
30	
31	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yep, thank you
32	guys for that. I believe we were still in the
33	discussion points from the Staff analysis and so we'll
34	go ahead and move on to the next part which is a
35	summary of public comment, Council Coordinator.
36	
37	MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This
38	is DeAnna Perry, Council Coordinator for the
39	Southcentral Regional Advisory Council. And this
40	Council received five written public comments in
41	support of this proposal. They can be found in your
42	meeting book starting on Page 266.
43	
44	A summary of those comments are:
45	_
46	The Ahtna InterTribal Resource
47	Commission strongly supports this proposal and states
48	there is significant opposition to the dipnetting from
49	boats practice among Copper Basin locals. Dipnetting
50	

```
from boats is not a customary or traditional use of the
 1
     resource and these harvesters would be able to target
 2
 3
     salmon resting in deep parts of the river giving them a
     competitive advantage over both fishwheel users and
 4
 5
     dipnetters who fish from the shore.
 6
 7
                     Michael Mahoney supports this proposal.
 8
     Again stating that these changes would be helpful in
 9
    protecting our king salmon. He addressed Fish
    Proposals 21-12, 13 and 14 at once. He stated that all
10
11
    of these practices are not customary and traditional
    and have resulted in much higher efficiency levels of
12
13
     harvest.
14
15
                     Thea Thomas strongly supports this
     proposal and as stated before when she commented on
16
     Fish Proposals 21-11, 12, 13 and 14, it was important
17
18
     -- it was important to limit overharvest in the Chitina
19
     dipnet fishery and to acquire timely data on harvest.
20
21
                     Ahtna TeneNene' supports the proposal
2.2
    because fishing from a boat or other craft floating in
23
     the Copper River has an advantage over dipnetting from
24
     shoreline and fishing from a boat down river is
25
     essentially trolling for fish. Fishing in areas where
26
     salmon rest also enables fishermen to harvest an
27
     abundance of salmon at one time. Enforcement would be
28
     a challenge, but may result in more salmon harvested
29
     and not reported.
30
31
                     Bonnie Yazzie supports the proposal
32
    because dipnetting from boat is not customary or
33
     traditional way of getting salmon and it creates an
34
     unfair advantage of the resource as it isn't
35
     dipnetting, it's trolling. She heard that dipnetters
     with boats were limiting out this year, while many
36
37
     fishwheelers were struggling.
38
39
                     Kirk Wilson, proponent, supports his
40
     proposal stating that fishing from a boat or other
41
    craft floating in the Copper River has an advantage
     over dipnetting from shore. Fishing from a boat moving
42
     down river is essentially trolling. Fishing in salmon
43
44
    hold up areas enables an abundance of salmon to be
45
     harvested at one time. He also mentioned concerns
46
     about enforcement. And that the traditional dipnetting
47
     from a platform will work for harvesting salmon.
48
49
                     Again, for the comments in their
50
```

Page 299

entirety, those can be read starting on Page 266 of the 1 2 meeting book. 3 4 We have also received comments from the 5 Wrangell-St. Elias National Park Subsistence Resource 6 Commission and the Copper Basin Advisory Committee. 7 8 The Wrangell-St.Elias National Park 9 Subsistence Resource Commission opposed this proposal with a vote of 1 in support and 7 opposed. Commission 10 11 members were generally not concerned about the practice of dipnetting from boats by Federally-gualified 12 subsistence users, although concern was expressed about 13 14 increasing occurrence of dipnetting from boats by 15 participants in State managed fisheries in the Upper Copper River. The numbers of Federally-qualified 16 subsistence users fishing from boats is limited, some 17 18 SRC members noted, however, that people dipnetting from boats should be restricted before fishwheel users in 19 20 times of low salmon numbers. The Commission also noted a need for information about harvest by gear type. 21 22 That would have been an important part of information 23 to include in the proposal analysis. 24 25 Last week, prior to this Boardmeeting 26 I received a comment from Copper Basin Advisory 27 Committee who chose not to vote on this proposal but they did share some of their discussion on the topic, 28 29 which included acknowledging the shortage of fish but there seems to be a shift from fishwheels to dipnetting 30 and so it's getting more popular. You can catch fewer 31 32 fish dipnetting versus using a fishwheel but there was 33 less than a one percent take of fish in the Gulkana/Klutina area, and they also mentioned, we 34 35 didn't have boats and equipment like we do today and 36 presumably that addresses historical methods used for 37 take. 38 39 That's a summary of the public written 40 comments received on this proposal. 41 42 Thank you. 43 44 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 45 questions for the public comments. 46 47 (No comments) 48 49 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none 50

Page 300

we'll move on, we'll open the floor to public 1 testimony. Operator, if there's anyone who would like 2 to speak to this proposal, please make their line 3 4 available. 5 6 OPERATOR: Again, just press star one 7 if you'd like your line open. Again, star one. And 8 Karen Linnell, your line is open. 9 10 MS. LINNELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 Members of the Board. I would like to talk about some of the resources and the things that we've seen 12 13 happening and have been reported to me by folks up and 14 down the river. From Chitina north of the bridgethere 15 by the airport and up in Copper Center, all the way to 16 Gulkana and Gakona, there are folks that are coming near fishwheels. There's a lot more boat traffic. 17 The 18 folks are able to target salmon when they're held up 19 during high water. I personally have witnessed this, 20 when we went down to visit the Native Village of Eyak camp at Wood Canyon and when we were coming back it was 21 22 high water, we weren't catching -- folks weren't 23 catching very many salmon in their fishwheels but I 24 seen -- I saw two boats going back and forth in this --25 where some salmon were held up and just catching them one after the other. There was about four people in 26 the boat and filling their, you know, getting their 27 28 limit. And that was south of the Chitina River bridge, 29 but it's also happening up north. You know we all know 30 that the fish hold up during high water and as soon as 31 the water starts to drop the salmon will run and move, 32 we're not seeing that anymore. 33 34 Again, it's -- the effort has changed. 35 We have elders, Faye Ewan, who reported to us that, you 36 know, they went to check their wheel at 1:00 o'clock in 37 the morning and caught a boat leaving their fishwheel, 38 you know, there. So she lives one mile from her fishwheel. And it used to be customarily that we would 39 actually camp at our camps and I do do that at my camp 40 41 because it's 50 miles away, I stay there, but nowadays 42 folks are able to work on their fish and then -- right 43 there and go home because it's easier to get -- stay at 44 home and have the running water, et cetera, now, with 45 these modern conveniences. 46 But they are targeting those schools of 47 fish that are held up during high water. 48 49 50

And it's not just a handful of boats, 1 now there are hundreds. Folks coming from Fairbanks. 2 3 Our office is located right across from the local 4 grocery store here in Glennallen and I was walking back 5 from the store to our office one day and there was an elderly couple and I asked them if I could help them 6 7 with anything and he told me, no, he said, we're good, we just came down to go fishing. One of my friends 8 9 told me where to go so we got our boat and we're going to head out there. This isn't just a few folks, this 10 is a lot of folks that are doing this and targeting 11 12 fish while they're held up. 13 14 Again, I'm urging you to look at the 15 resource, guit managing for people. This has been 16 happening quite a bit, both on the State side and the Federal side. As we just saw, you're looking at what's 17 18 restricting for the subsistence user, we are subsistence users and we're asking for this restriction 19 20 because we're concerned for the resource. 21 2.2 Like I said, in one of myprevious 23 testimonies I got 66 sockeye this year, this last year, 24 and it was in a one month period. When I first built 25 my own camp at my dad's homeland, I would get 60 in a 26 day, you know, and then we'd shut off the wheel and 27 we'd work and whatever, and get 120 in a day, but 66 in 28 one month is pretty poor. One day I was tasked with 29 watching all the fishwheels and distributing the fish, 40 fish between four fishwheels, that's it, 40 between 30 four, and I was distributing that to the owners because 31 32 all the young folks were off and working and whatever 33 so I got it to the elders. That's not good. That is the worst I've ever seen it in all the years that I've 34 35 lived off of this land. I'm 57 years old and there's 36 not a year in my life that I haven't eaten food from 37 this home, my father's homelands. I watch and see and 38 hear my elders talking about the changes that we're 39 seeing, yes, modernization can be a good thing, we're vacuum-packing, we're freezing now, you know, that's a 40 41 good thing, but dipnetting from boats right now is not 42 a good thing. 43 It used to be that those folks would 44 45 take them and drop them off on the banks of the river, 46 the transporters would drop them off on the banks of the river and then they would leave. The other 47 proposal that we have in is to stop them from using 48 fishfinders. I'm sorry but if you're on our river and 49 50

you're using a fishfinder, you're going to hit a rock 1 anyway because you're looking down and not ahead of you 2 3 and reading the river. Anybody that knows this river knows you can't do that. It's a fast moving river, 4 it's braided and you can't use those modern tools on 5 6 this river to navigate the river. 7 8 So I believe that this is where you 9 folks, as the Federal Subsistence Board, can look at and take action to guarantee, to ensure that we have a 10 11 sustainable fishery. And that's what I've been asking all along, don't do what is more restrictive than the 12 13 State, don't look at that, we're looking at the 14 resource. I do not want to turn into the Yukon and the 15 Kuskokwim where anybody's restricted, I'm looking at my -- I'm looking for generations down the road, not 16 17 today, not tomorrow. You know what we have happening 18 now is when there's "record number" getting by the 19 Miles Lake sonar they increase the personal use permit and more people come. It used to be that there were 20 only 3,000 dipnet permits sold a year and now we're at 21 2.2 9,000. So this is an issue. And we need to look at 23 it. You know, our local -- one of our local managers 24 talks about, well, they're getting their limit and it 2.5 kind of shows a flat line on his graph but he never shows you that there's been a significant increase in 26 27 permits. And I want to thank Dave Sarafin for 28 mentioning the increase in permits and I strongly 29 believe that it's because now they're using boats. 30 31 Anyway I urge you to what is right for 32 the resource and not worry about whether the State is 33 doing it or not, I urge you to look at what can be done. You know, there might not be data but I can tell 34 35 you what we see on the daily and I sure hope Faye Ewan 36 has called in and is going to speak because she can 37 tell you her personal experiences on that river. We 38 were at Gulkana Village not long ago and they were 39 talking about their concern for that and Odin Miller, who's an anthropologist for Ahtna InterTribal Resource 40 41 Commission was the one that had direct contact to that and that concern raised with him and he said that he 42 43 would bring it forward so I hope he also comes on to 44 speak to this. You know, some of our local folks 45 aren't out there on the daily and don't see it and then when you have folks that tell that they don't care if 46 they have more data they're not going to use it, and 47 then our local manager with the State, you know, that 48 49 concerns me. And we're here, we're on the landevery 50

1 2 3 4 5 6	day. We're on that river every day and we know what's going on and we can see it every day and we want to make these changes so that we can ensure that we're not going to be restricted in the future and that this salmon will be here for centuries to come.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	You know the whole reason we have salmon now is because Doc Billum wrote a letter to the Department of Education because the BIA and this is in the 1900s when they had a fish weir across the entire Copper River and the people in Chitina and up river were starving, that's why we have salmon, we intervened then and we're trying to intervene now, to prevent this from happening and I truly hope that you guys will do what is best for the resource.
10 17 18	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
19 20 21 22 23 24	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Karen. And truly appreciate your testimony for this proposal and adding that level of information for us to consider. Is there any questions from the Board for Karen.
24 25 26	(No comments)
20 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: And I, too, echo your concerns, you know, when we look at these proposals, I thought it was alarming to see, you know, 9,000 permit versus 168 permit difference and pointing at each other across the line, like there was a clearly an issue there and so I hope we could resolve that and find a way to continue to meet the priority needs of the users of the area. So thank you for calling in and testifying.
37 38 39	Operator, is there another person online who would like to be recognized at this time.
40 41 42 43	OPERATOR: We do. We have Faith [sic] Ewan, your line is I'm sorry, Faye Ewan your line is open.
44 45 46 47 48 49 50	MS. EWAN: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair, or Good morning, Mr. Chair. I want to speak on behalf of my tribe and my people here in the Copper River. We face a lot of deficit in many different ways, especially if we live off the river to that is one of our biggest diet for the Copper River Ahtna people.

This year, the National Park Service 1 and the State of Alaska issued, maybe, I don't know how 2 3 many people that put a camp across the river on the 4 Wrangell-St.Elias National ParkLand and gave them the 5 permission to dipnet off the river when us, as a 6 sovereign nation, a tribe here in Alaska can't even go 7 over there and fish on that land and hunt unless we make negotiations on behalf of our people and they go 8 9 ahead and do that and guess what, the river is only about a half a mile, three-quarter miles wide, they can 10 come over to my wheel and they unload my wheel and they 11 take it back over and have big barbecue over there, 12 13 there's cameras, there's people, I reported to Ahtna Land Department, I reported -- we had the Fish and Game 14 15 in there and you know what, they don't do nothing about 16 it. I depend on that fishwheel. So does a lot of 17 people in Alaska, they depend on our fishwheel to feed 18 their families, especially the ones that work in the 19 cities that cannot come out here to do that. When you go down to Chitina and you got 10,000 people there 20 21 camped all over in that place, encroached on Ahtna 22 lands and fishing out there, by the time the salmon 23 come up to Copper River, in three months I got 500 24 salmon and five kings to feed my family of 27 of us. 25 That, to me, isn't justice to my people. When we watch -- I grew up on Copper River, my mom and dad started 26 27 ANILCA, ANILCA for -- when they did the Copper River 28 for fighting for their rights to keep their wheel open 29 in time of shortage. Where ANILCA has not upheld our rights, they have not had government to government 30 31 consultation on our behalf on fishing stuff like that, 32 we should have a -- a tribal quota should have been 33 given to our tribes way before these dipnetters and these people came in -- in 1962 as dipnetters. As a 34 35 sovereign nation we have issues, we have rights to this 36 river, we have a right to fish and we have a right to 37 know what's going on and a right to know when there's 38 regulations going down. We should be at the table as a 39 traditional knowledge and educated people to go there and negotiate. We know Copper River and we knowwhat's 40 41 coming there, we know how it's going to be there. 42 43 And every time there was an increase in 44 fish, like Karen said, they brought in more quota when 45 we told Somerville to put a stop to the river, let the fish come through. Okay the biologist said. No fish 46 came to Gulkana River, even up at Batzulnetas, nothing 47 came. That is five years from now. This is depletion. 48 49 50

3

Another thing too, and why are these 1 people all having these rights to go down the river and 2 dipnet down the middle of the river, especially around 3 where we're fishing. They know where all the eddies 4 are. To me, this is unfair, especially when, you know, 5 as subsistence users are only one percent, two percent 6 7 users. And everything we have, we have to share. I ended up with 30 salmon this year and a half a king to 8 9 feed my family of 27 because I have to share with my sister and brothers and my other family that didn't 10 have a fishwheel. 11 12 13 So to me, I would ask the indigenous 14 people in the Copper River region, what do you think 15 about managing this right here. Don't go by the 16 biologists and scientists and them people, we're the 17 people that know that river. 18 19 And thank you for listening tome. 20 21 (Pause) 22 23 MS. EWAN: Anybody there? 24 25 (Pause) 26 27 MS. EWAN: They hung up on me -- hello? 28 29 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, we're 30 still online. 31 32 MS. PITKA: Thank you for your 33 testimony. 34 35 MR. STRIKER: We heard you. We think 36 we might have lost our Chairman again, sometimes he's 37 losing his signal. 38 39 MS. PITKA: Thank you, Faye, for your 40 testimony, and thank you Ms. Linnell for your testimony 41 also. Is there anybody else in the que. 42 43 OPERATOR: We do have Hope Rogers --44 Roberts, I'm sorry, your line is open. 45 MS. ROBERTS: Good morning again, Staff 46 and Federal Subsistence Board. Thank you for the 47 floor. Also (In Native) to elder Faye Ewan. 48 49 50

Page 306 I am the -- again, I am the intertribal 1 2 liaison for Federal subsistence outreach at Chugach 3 Regional Resources Commission. 4 5 I'm sorry, hold on a second. 6 7 (Pause) 8 9 MS. ROBERTS: Chugach Regional Resources Commission is an intertribal fish and 10 11 wildlife commission that address environmental and natural resource issues of concern. 12 13 14 Last year we developed the InterTribal 15 Federal Subsistence Cooperative Management Alliance and I am speaking on behalf of them this morning for FP21-16 13. We ask you to support this proposal. These 17 18 comments are similar to the other ones that I've given. These recommendations came from the Joint Copper River 19 Salmon Symposium in 2019 where research and 20 conservation of the resource were two major points of 21 22 agreement that Upper and Lower River user groups agreed 23 were necessary while these proposals would create a situation where Federal subsistence rights were 24 25 stricter than State regulations. We believe the 26 importance of these salmon runs require precautionary 27 approach to conservation. 28 29 That is what I have for that particular 30 proposal, Staff and Board. 31 32 Thank you. 33 34 MS. PITKA: Thank you, Ms. Roberts, for 35 the testimony. Does anybody have any questions for Ms. 36 Roberts. 37 38 (No comments) 39 40 MS. PITKA: If not, is there anybody 41 else in the que for oral public testimony on 21-13. 42 43 OPERATOR: We do have, we have Odin 44 Miller, your line is open. 45 MR. MILLER: Hi, thank you. 46 Thank you for the opportunity Federal Subsistence Board members 47 to comment on this proposal, FP21-13. I don't have any 48 remarks prepared, I apologize. 49 50

-	But I can just speak to some of what
1 2	I've seen and heard working at Ahtna InterTribal
3	Resource Commission as an anthropologist. From what
4	I've seen, dipnetting out of boats has been a major
5	conservation concern among Ahtna subsistence fishers as
6	Karen and Faye were both able to express from years of
7	direct experience on the river. As Karen mentioned,
8	there's traditional knowledge that fish during times of
o 9	high water tend to hole up in deep parts of the river
9 10	and that when the water level begins to drop, they go
11	through in pulses and so I think that, you know, until
12	the 2020 low salmon return it seemed like there was
13	some question or dispute among managers on the Copper
14^{13}	River about whether sockeye runs were even actually
$14 \\ 15$	doing that badly, I think some of that's changed. But
16	what I've seen is that there's been a lot of
17	qualitative observations from people who have years of
18	experience fishing on the river and are raising a lot
19	of concern about various indicators about the health of
20	the species and I think that the pulses of fish that
21	Karen mentioned, the fact that those aren't going
22	through with nearly the same intensity they used to be;
23	that's something that I've heard several people mention
24	that is potentially an indicator of a change in run
25	quality that is quite concerning.
26	
27	I think, more generally, justa
28	difference between fishwheels and dipnetting from the
	arretence between rishimmeers and arphetering riom the
29	bank, one way in which those methods differ from
30	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat,
30 31	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people
30 31 32	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the riverthat's navigable. Now,
30 31 32 33	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the river that's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can
30 31 32 33 34	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the river that's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this
30 31 32 33 34 35	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the riverthat's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out
30 31 32 33 34 35 36	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the river that's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the river that's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to target schools of fish with a lot more of a surgical
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the river that's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the riverthat's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to target schools of fish with a lot more of a surgical precision.
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the river that's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to target schools of fish with a lot more of a surgical precision. And then finally the other thing with
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the river that's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to target schools of fish with a lot more of a surgical precision. And then finally the other thing with regard to Federally-qualified subsistence users, as the
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the river that's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to target schools of fish with a lot more of a surgical precision. And then finally the other thing with regard to Federally-qualified subsistence users, as the Staff mentioned in their analysis, this would only
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the river that's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to target schools of fish with a lot more of a surgical precision. And then finally the other thing with regard to Federally-qualified subsistence users, as the Staff mentioned in their analysis, this would only affect a small number of Federally-qualified
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the river that's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to target schools of fish with a lot more of a surgical precision. And then finally the other thing with regard to Federally-qualified subsistence users, as the Staff mentioned in their analysis, this would only affect a small number of Federally-qualified subsistence users because most use fishwheels. And I
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 5	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the riverthat's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to target schools of fish with a lot more of a surgical precision. And then finally the other thing with regard to Federally-qualified subsistence users, as the Staff mentioned in their analysis, this would only affect a small number of Federally-qualified subsistence users because most use fishwheels. And I think one reason why most Federally-qualified
30 31 32 33 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the riverthat's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to target schools of fish with a lot more of a surgical precision.
30 31 32 33 35 36 37 38 30 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	<pre>bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the river that's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to target schools of fish with a lot more of a surgical precision. And then finally the other thing with regard to Federally-qualified subsistence users, as the Staff mentioned in their analysis, this would only affect a small number of Federally-qualified subsistence users because most use fishwheels. And I think one reason why most Federally-qualified subsistence users use fishwheels is because owning a boat costs a lot of money, it's very expensive, so I</pre>
30 31 32 33 35 36 37 38 30 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	<pre>bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the river that's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to target schools of fish with a lot more of a surgical precision. And then finally the other thing with regard to Federally-qualified subsistence users, as the Staff mentioned in their analysis, this would only affect a small number of Federally-qualified subsistence users because most use fishwheels. And I think one reason why most Federally-qualified subsistence users use fishwheels is because owning a boat costs a lot of money, it's very expensive, so I think it does if this increasingly becomes a</pre>
30 31 32 33 35 36 37 38 30 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	<pre>bank, one way in which those methods differ from dipnetting from a boat is that dipnetting from a boat, just to state the obvious is a lot more mobile, people can go anywhere in the river that's navigable. Now, granted there's certain things that limit where you can practically dipnet but it gives people who use this practice a lot more mobility and if they can figure out where the fish are, it gives people the ability to target schools of fish with a lot more of a surgical precision. And then finally the other thing with regard to Federally-qualified subsistence users, as the Staff mentioned in their analysis, this would only affect a small number of Federally-qualified subsistence users because most use fishwheels. And I think one reason why most Federally-qualified subsistence users use fishwheels is because owning a boat costs a lot of money, it's very expensive, so I</pre>

1 2 3 4 5	think, you know, makes it could have the potential to make subsistence fishing on the Copper River into an increasingly expensive activity that requires a lot more funds to successfully participate in.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And, you know, to be perfectly honestI don't have a whole lot of hope that the Federal Subsistence Board is going to pass this proposal today, especially because the Southcentral RAC has already voted against it, but I think as with, you know, some of the previous proposals, I would just encourage the OSM and, you know, other agencies, the State as well, to support doing a lot more concerted and directed research into fishing equipment and other factors that might be affecting the health of stocks on the Copper River because it seems to me like a lot of these things, from what I've been able to find, there really isn't a lot of really good studies and high quality data about the kind of effects these are having. And so given the conservation concerns that a lot of people have it seems like this is really something that warrants a lot further research and that we should be using the precautionary principle and putting pause on practices that may be having negative impact on salmon
25 26	populations.
27 28	Thank you.
29 30	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for that. Any questions from the Board.
31 32 33	(No comments)
33 34 35 36 37 38	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, hearing none, Operator thank you for calling in today, appreciate that. Is there anyone else that would like to be recognized at this time.
39 40 41 42	OPERATOR: And you can just press star one. Our next one is Gloria Stickwan, your line is open.
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	MS. STICKWAN: I wanted to speak to FP21-13 fishing from a boat. Earlier I heard that there's no studies done on fishing from a boat or keeping data on that. It wouldn't be that hard to add that to the permit, how you have access to the fish, if you use a boat or not. I think a baseline study should be started because as the years go by there's going to

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	be an increase in this kind of fishery to fish from a boat and possibly dipnetting, and it's going to be adopted in the Lower Copper River too if there's ever a fishery down there, you know, it's going to be it will be adopted, I'm sure. If there ever is a fishery in the Lower Copper River, and what impact that's going to have on the total fisheries in the Copper River, there is going to be an impact. So I think the National Park Service should have that on the permit. I would ask them to put that on there and keep track of that.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Hovercrafts were used on the National River, what other boats are being used on the Copper River. What types of boat. We don't have any data on that and what impact does it have on the Copper River. That sort of thing needs to be kepttrack of. Because there is an impact on the fisheries because, you know, they're able to go where their ideal spots are for where where the sockeye are held up and who knows, due to lack of enforcement, could be harvesting a lot of fish. We don't have any enforcement on the fisheries other than the State, the National Park Service can't go out there anymore, I mean, you know, who's doing enforcement on the river other than the State. I don't think anybody.
27 28 29 30 31 32	One of the things I heard about is the people said they were on their fishwheels and they had wakes from boats being close to their fishwheel, made their fishwheels rock while they were on it because the boats are too close to their fishwheel.
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	And this fish proposal I meanthis kind of fishing, I think was adopted from the State, like most of the regulations were. It's not customary and traditional for the Ahtna people to use boats to fish, we've used dipnetting from a platform on the river. The biggest concern I have about this is a conservation concern, about low returns of sockeye and kings and enforcement, who's watching people getting their bag limit, who's watching them to make sure they do that make sure they get their bag limit. And also I've heard that people are fishing close to the tributaries and they're doing well there fishing close to the tributaries like Kluting
47 48 49 50	there, fishing close to the tributaries like Klutina, getting close to that area, being able to catch a lot of fish that are going up there to spawn at Klutina

Page 310 Lake. I mean it's affecting the spawning fish by 1 2 fishing too close to the tributaries with boats. 3 4 Thank you. 5 6 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 7 Gloria. Appreciate your taking the time to call in 8 today to testify to this. Any questions from the 9 Board. 10 (No comments) 11 12 13 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 14 Gloria. Operator, will you please recognize the next 15 one online. 16 17 OPERATOR: Yes, and that comes from Jim 18 Simon, your line is open. 19 20 MR. SIMON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 21 Members of the Board and Regional Advisory Council 22 members. My name's Jim Simon. I am a consultant with 23 the Ahtna InterTribal Resource Commission, but today I 24 just want to share my personal comments on this 25 proposal as a former Federally-qualified user who grew 26 up living on Copper River salmon and have also spent 30 years working throughout Alaska as an anthropologist 27 28 and spent 14 of those years as the Regional Program 29 Manager for the Arctic/Yukon and Kuskokwim region. 30 31 And through those experiences I've 32 learned from elders from different rivers that are 33 quite different from the Copper River, you know, geologically, et cetera, but, you know, the fish --34 it's highly effective fishing from boat and with 35 36 fishfinders in the middle channel during high water 37 periods or any period, it's concerning to me from a conservation standpoint because of the lack of 38 tributary monitoring and to really protect the 39 40 diversity of those various stocks of salmon, both 41 chinook salmon and sockeye salmon and just the gauntlet of the canyon, and this new emergent fishery, 42 43 dipnetting from boats, is likely having a 44 disproportionate effect on the passage of fish up river. And in many other areas traditional knowledge 45 has long understood that fish swimming in the middle of 46 the river are likely often times bound further up 47 stream and that, you know, when before fish turn into 48 49 the tributaries to reach their spawning ground they get 50

bank oriented and I think that this is part of why 1 2 fishwheels and dipnetting from shore, as is the 3 customary and traditional practice on the Copper River is an important legacy of tribal stewardship because 4 5 the conditions of the river, for one, as well as being able to monitor how the various fisheries, the long 6 7 traditional fisheries along the river impacted the 8 spawning and health of those populations. 9 10 And so I'm very concerned at the lack 11 of fish getting past the bridge in Chitina for -- and the testimony that you have heard about how it takes a 12 13 month of active fishing to get what used to show up in 14 your fishwheel in one day, and that this is likely a 15 hypothesis that should be investigated as to how this 16 new fishery, from dipnetting from boats, is impacting those up river stocks. Even the hatchery program isn't 17 18 being able to reach their recovery goal for new eggs, 19 et cetera, there are not enough fish getting past the 20 bridge. 21 And I urge you to vote to support this 22 23 proposal in opposition of the Regional Advisory Council 24 position because of the conservation concern of chinook 25 salmon in particular, but also sockeye salmon, which is increasingly becoming a problem and an area of concern. 26 27 28 Thank you. 29 30 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Ι 31 appreciate you taking the time to comment today. Any 32 questions from the Board. 33 34 (No comments) 35 36 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, you 37 have a nice day today. Appreciate again you taking the time. Operator is there somebody else who would like 38 39 to testify to this proposal. 40 41 OPERATOR: We do have Karen Linnell, 42 your line is open. 43 44 MS. LINNELL: Thank you. I want to 45 thank you for the second opportunity to talk. One of the things that I failed to mention earlier was the 46 erosion. You know, we hear a lot about the climate 47 change and the effects on the coastal waters but we're 48 49 also seeing it here in our rivers and losing, you know, 50

1 2 3 4 5 6	20 or 30 feet of bank for change and having to move our fish camps and things like that. That's an additional concern. The fishwheels and the proximity of those boats going by when they cause a wake, it can cause your fishwheel to get off kilter and stop.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And then the other thing I want to talk about and Gloria and folks were talking about, simple change to the permit. I'm not sure, but maybe Barb Cellarius can speak to it but I know when we were talking about having being able to compare apples to apples when I was on the Board of Game, we asked if they could change the Federal permit to include the antler spread and how many brow tines was on it and that they had to go through an OMB process and that it was a cumbersome thing and it wasn't as simple as reprinting new permits, and so there's that process that needs to happen as well. And so I don't know if Barb can speak to it but at the time it seemed like it was a difficult thing and not a simple change as that. And so I think that those are considerations that you folks will have to address in your deliberation as well.
24 25 26	I just thought I'd raise that as a concern.
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	Again, I just would like you to, again, urge you to do what's best for the resource and not worry about so much the people and it seems that we've shifted to managing for people, and this is what's going on at the State level. When I was on the Board of Game it was one of my bigger concerns and I raised it quite often is that we're managing people versus managing the resource.
37 38 39	And I just want to thank you foryour time and your consideration in this matter.
40 41	Thank you.
42 43 44	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Karen. And, again, always appreciate your input on the proposals. Any questions from the Board.
45 46	(No comments)
47 48 49 50	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: And, again, thank you. Operator, is there anyone else whowould

1 2	like to be recognized.
3	OPERATOR: At this time I'm showing no
4	further comments.
5	
6 7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right,
	thank you very much and appreciate everyone who took
8	the time to call in this morning to publicly testify.
9	At this time we'll move on to Regional Advisory Council
10	recommendation, RAC Chair.
11	
12	MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This
13	is DeAnna Perry, Council Coordinator for the
14	Southcentral Regional Advisory Council and for Greg
15	Encelewski, the Chair.
16	
17	The Southcentral Regional Advisory
18	Council opposed this proposal 1 to 8. The Council
19	majority agreed with the rationale provided by OSM in
20	its analysis and felt that this proposal would be an
21	unnecessary restriction on Federally-qualified
22	subsistence users. This would limit how those users
23	could harvest their fish and drifting could make
24	harvesting more efficient and less time consuming.
25	Further this proposal would affect only a small number
26	of Federal subsistence users as this is not a method
27	used by a lot of people. The restrictions would be
28	contrary to the intent of ANILCA. It is concerning
29	that many people you see boat dipnetting are State
30	
	-
31 32	burden would be too big of a change on the small group of Federal subsistence users. And this Council also
33	discussed, possibly, sending a letter to address this
34	issue to the Board of Fish. They will further discuss
35	that at their upcoming winter meeting in February.
36	
37	That's the recommendation of the
38	Southcentral Regional AdvisoryCouncil.
39	
40	Thank you.
41	
42	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
43	Appreciate that. Is there any other Regional Advisory
44	Council Chairs.
45	
46	(No comments)
47	
48	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: And, again,
49	I'll just ask one more time is therea Regional Council
50	

Page 314 recommendation, I know we've been hearing from two of 1 2 you. 3 4 MS. ENTSMINGER: Yes, Mr. Chair, this 5 is Sue Entsminger for the Eastern Interior Regional 6 Advisory Council. 7 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the 8 9 floor, Sue. 10 11 MS. ENTSMINGER: Okay, thank you. And 12 good morning, folks. This proposal was six support, two opposed by the Eastern Interior. The Council noted 13 14 that this proposed regulatory change is getting a lot 15 of support from Federally-qualified subsistence users because fishing from boats has long-term effects on the 16 way of fishing in the Copper. The Council said that 17 18 the fishing from boats is becoming increasingly 19 competitive and provides an advantage over traditional 20 methods and means, such as dipnetting from shore and 21 using fishwheels. This is a typical situation in a 2.2 fishery where people have discovered a new method of 23 fishing, once it becomes popular because of its success 24 and advantage it will overturn long-term established 2.5 fishery practices. Next we'll be dealing with too many 26 boats on the river. It will become dangerous to 27 people, the conflicts will start with too many boats trying to fish at the same time. The Council believes 28 29 that the Federal Subsistence Board should take the lead 30 on this issue by recognizing the negative effects of dipnetting from boats on Federally-gualified 31 32 subsistence users and use its leverage to convince the 33 State to also pass this regulation. 34 35 Additionally, the Council said that 36 this type of fishing does not give fish a place to hide 37 and rest without being interrupted and captured, which 38 is an issue due to the longmigrations. 39 40 And I wanted to say this, again, is a 41 situation where if the State Board of Fish met first then they -- and they supported something like this for 42 43 State users then the Federal side met later, it's possible that things might change on how the support of 44 this goes, because at the SRC meeting I attended -- I'm 45 a representative from the Eastern Interior RAC to the 46 SRC, the vote was one to seven and several subsistence 47 users actually had talked to me and they feel that a 48 49 lot of the abuse is not subsistence users, they felt it 50

Page 315

was from the State users. So I mean I think that's why 1 the vote was one to seven at the SRC and then the 6/22 3 at the Eastern Interior RAC. And I know that the people on the Eastern Interior RAC, the majority of 4 them are from the Yukon and they do have concerns of 5 other fisheries because of what has occurred on the 6 7 Yukon. 8 9 So that's all I have. 10 Thank you. 11 12 13 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 14 appreciate that, Sue. Any questions. 15 16 (No comments) 17 18 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any other 19 Regional Advisory Council recommendations or RAC Chairs who wish to speak at this time. 20 21 2.2 (No comments) 23 24 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 25 thank vou. Hearing none there we will move on to 26 tribal Alaska Native Corp comments, Orville Lind. 27 28 MR. LIND: Yeah, can you hearme? 29 30 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, you have 31 the floor. 32 33 MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Board members. Orville Lind, Native Liaison for the Office 34 35 of Subsistence Management. During the consultation 36 held in June we had one member, Chief Gary state that 37 he was not in support of this proposal, FP21-13. 38 39 That's all I have, Mr. Chair. 40 41 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 42 Orville. Appreciate that. Any questions. 43 44 (No comments) 45 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay, hearing 46 none there, we'll move on to Alaska Department of Fish 47 and Game comments, Ben Mulligan. 48 49 50

MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 1 For the record, Ben Mulligan, Alaska Department of Fish 2 3 and Game. The Department opposes this proposal prohibiting dipnetting from boat. It would be 4 unnecessarily restrictive on subsistence users with no 5 management or biological benefit. It would create 6 7 inconsistencies between State and Federal regulations. 8 9 Given the guestion that I think was 10 posed earlier by BIA, I reached out to our area 11 biologist, Mark Somerville, and if we can let him in on the call he is prepared to provide some data. 12 13 14 OPERATOR: And this is the Operator, I 15 am looking for his line. One moment please. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Who are we 18 talking about, Operator. 19 20 OPERATOR: Mark Somerville, I'll open 21 the line. 22 23 MR. SOMERVILLE: Yes, hello, this is 24 Mark Somerville with the Department of Fish and Game, 25 area management biologist for the Upper Copper, Upper 26 Susitna Management Area. I know you had several questions earlier and I apologize I couldn't -- I was 27 trying to get online to answer those questions at the 28 29 time and wasn't able to. So, Board Members, please, by all means please repeat your questions or come up with 30 31 any other questions. We have lots of data on harvest 32 from boats versus shore, in boat fisheries, and harvest 33 success over the last 20 years, so feel free to ask any questions you'd like, sir. 34 35 36 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hello, could 37 you guys still hear me on this line? 38 39 REPORTER: Yes. 40 41 MR. SIEKANIEC: Yes. 42 43 MR. SOMERVILLE: I can hear you. 44 45 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay, I was just making sure. I appreciate you taking the time to 46 47 call in too as well. Any questions for the State. 48 49 MR. CHEN: Mr. Chair, BIA. 50

3

1 2	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: BIA, you have the floor.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. CHEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Yes, Mr. Somerville, so we had asked the question earlier about information pertaining to dipnet harvest from boats, if there's any quantitative or anecdotal information from the managers, and also if you have a sense as to whether or not boat harvest, dipnet harvests have been increasing, staying the same or decreasing.
13 14	Thank you very much.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. SOMERVILLE: Yes, sir. Through the Chair. BIA. So the Department of Fish and Gamehas been monitoring harvest from boats versus shore since 2001 in the Chitina subdistrict below the bridge in the personal use fishery and we just started requiring in 2019 was the first year we required that same information from subsistence above the bridge, whether they fish from boats or from shore when they're fishing with a dipnet.
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	So it looks like, basically over the last five years average harvest in the personal use fishery below the bridge, about 25 percent of the harvest is taken by dipnets from boats verses dipnets from shore. Success rates, you're looking at about slightly better success rates for boat versus shore in that fishery.
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	And then in the subsistence fishery in 2019 about 30 percent of the sockeye salmon that were harvested by dipnets, were harvested from boats, and about 36 percent of the king salmon were harvested from boats. Looking at the numbers here, success rates, dipnetting from a boat the average harvest for king salmon is two per permit and 27 sockeye; dipnetting from shore average harvest of kings was one per permit and 45 sockeye per permit.
43 44 45 46	And then when you compare that to fishwheels, the average harvest for fishwheel is five king salmon and 70 sockeye per permit on a fishwheel.
47 48 49 50	So dipnetting from boats compared to dipnetting from shore, below the bridge is a slight advantage, and dipnetting in the subsistence fishery

```
it's -- for some people, like I say, find it more
 1
 2
     successful, but overall harvest per permit is a little
 3
    bit lower than any other methods used in those
 4
     fisheries.
 5
 6
                     In all cases, the harvest in both
 7
     districts is -- we feel it's plenty sustainable
 8
     regardless of the method. We have been seeing an
 9
     increase in dipnet permits in the subsistence fishery
     along with a decrease in the number of people getting
10
     on fishwheels. The overall effect of this is not an
11
12
    increase in harvest but an overall decrease in harvest,
13
    since dipnetting from boats is less effective than
14
     fishing from a fishwheel.
15
16
                     And then as far as dipnetting and
     success rates, at high water all fisheries do poorly,
17
18
     whether you're dipnetting from a boat, from shore, or a
     fishwheel. High water affects all the geartypes
19
     pretty much the same. People do still find stocks to
20
    fish from boats because they can move around and so on,
21
22
    the eddies shift, depending on the water level, but
23
     overall success goes down during the high water.
24
25
                     I agree with the testimony there as far
26
     as the pulsing of fish afterhigh waters. In 2020 we
27
     did not see those pulses. And that's not because of
28
     anything to do with the fisheries, it has to do with
29
     the fact that we had so few fish in the river that
30
     those pulses were pretty much hard to see.
31
32
                     The other observations from 2020 here
33
     is that -- to let you know, it was the lowest sockeye
     salmon run for the Copper River since the early 1960s.
34
35
     So obviously we're going to see a lot lower harvest.
36
     Harvest in the Glennallen subdistrict was about 35 --
37
     it looks like it's going to be about 35 percent below
38
     average. Harvest in the personal use fishery below the
     bridge is running about 55 percent below average. And
39
    the commercial fishery is somewhere about 90 percent
40
41
    below average for 2020. So an extremely bad year and
42
     everybody saw the same results in their harvest and
43
     stuff, it just wasn't a good year.
44
45
                     So that's the differences between the
     different fisheries there.
46
47
                     If you have any other specific
48
49
     questions I'll be happy to answerthose.
50
```

1	MR. CHEN: Mr. Somerville, thank you
2	very much for that detailed information it was very
3	helpful.
4	
5	MR. SOMERVILLE: Thank you.
6	
7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you as
8	well. Any other questions from the Board.
9	
10 11	MR. STRIKER: Mr. Chair, Park Service.
12	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the
13	floor.
14	11001.
15	MR. STRIKER: Thank you. Ben, I
16	appreciate your input and the I certainly appreciate
17	opposition to proposals that create more inconsistency
18	between the State and the Feds. I'm curious on this
19	notion of a Federal action setting a precedent and in
20	doing so perhaps moving the State fisheries folks to
21	consider a proposal. Is there precedent for Federal
22	action taking, you know, sort of that additional
23	incentive for impacting the State deliberations?
24	
25	MR. MULLIGAN: Through the Chair.
26	Member Striker. You know I'd have to that'dbe
27	something I'd have to research. In the time I've been
28	here I'm trying to, you know, dig through my memory
29	just from my time from 2010 to 2015, and the two years
30	now but then also my time going to Board of Fish
31	meetings when I was a legislative aide and I'm trying
32	to remember if I ever saw a case where justification
33	for passing a Board proposal hinged on aligning Federal
34	and State regs on our side, it seems like, just knowing
35	it seems like the situations where the Board of Fish
36	or Board of Game has made the action and then we see a
37	subsequent proposal come into your Board's process, but
38	that's something I mean I can definitely talk to
39	folks who've got, you know, decades of experience with
40	the Board. I'll talk to our Executive Directors, of
41	both the Board of Fish and the Board of Game and see if
42	I can't provide some examples for you guys.
43	No our directed so we downlared own
44 45	As our directed as we developed our
45	protocols on, I can provide that to Mr. Pappas to
46 47	provide to all the Board members and their Staff.
4748	MR. STRIKER: Thank you. Thank you so
40 49	much.
49 50	
50	

1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
2 3	other questions.
4 5 6 7 8	MS. PITKA: Not necessarily a question. But there is precedence for State Board action following Federal action and reverse. We kind of do it all the time.
9 10 11	And also how many State permits were issued to boat users?
12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I think that was a question back to the State, how many permits, if you guys do know, that were issued to boat dipnetters?
16 17 18	MR. MULLIGAN: Mr. Chair. I'd have to ask Mark Somerville to answer that question.
19 20 21	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay, thank you.
22 23 24	MR. SOMERVILLE: Yes, this is Mark Somerville, so what was the question again, please.
25 26 27	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Go ahead, Rhonda, you have the floor.
28 29 30	MS. PITKA: How many State permits were issued to boat users?
31 32 33 35 37 39 41 42 44 45 47 49 50	MR. SOMERVILLE: To boat I don't have that information directly in front of me right now, but as far as, though, in the Chitina dipnet permit we issue the permits and people can either fish from boat or shore, their choice, and they list their harvest. So on average, over the last five years in the Chitina subdistrict below the bridge, 1,039 permitholders show that they fished from a boat, and 4,855 showed that they fished from shore. And the let me look here for a second, the number of permits dipnetted from boats in the Chitina in the Glennallen subdistrict in 2019 we had 548 permits that dipnetted from a boat and in 2019 we issued roughly about 2,000 or, actually I take that back, about 1,700 permits were issued that year from the State. 173 showed that they dipnetted from shore, 150 did not did not show what they did not designate boat or shore.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for 1 answering that. I hope that helped you Rhonda. 2 3 4 Any other Board discussion or 5 questions. 6 7 (No comments) 8 9 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, we'll move on to InterAgency Staff Committee comments, 10 Katya, you have the floor. 11 12 13 MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 14 Members of the Board. For the record, this is Katya 15 Wessels. 16 17 The InterAgency Staff Committee 18 provided the standard comment on the Fisheries Proposal 19 FP21-13. 20 21 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 22 23 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 24 thank you, Katya, for that. We'll move on to the Board 25 discussion. 26 27 (No comments) 28 29 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I think we've 30 had a lot of good discussion here on this one. We'll 31 move on, I will open the floor at this time for Board 32 action. 33 34 MR. STRIKER: Mr. Chair, Park Service. 35 36 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Don, you 37 have the floor. 38 39 MR. STRIKER: I move that we adopt 40 FP21-13, and if I get a second I'll explain why, with 41 heavy heart, I plan to vote against my motion. 42 43 MR. BROWER: Second. 44 MR. STRIKER: Thank you. You know I'm 45 46 really very moved by the public testimony and 47 particularly that of those who have such a depth of traditional knowledge in this area. Ultimately, 48 49 though, it just seems to me that adopting this proposal 50

Page 322

would take subsistence harvest opportunity away from 1 those Federal users that rely on dipnetting from boats. 2 3 Most of the salmon harvest by Federal subsistence users in the Glennallen subdistrict is by fishwheel, but 4 5 there are subsistence users that don't have access to fishwheels and public land available to install a 6 7 fishwheel is pretty limited, so dipnetting from boats, you know, is necessary for some subsistence users and 8 9 it's particularly efficient for harvest in the Chitina subdistrict. 10 11 12 I guess my concern is that imposing 13 this restriction on subsistence users who don't have fishwheels won't necessarily have a significant impact 14 15 on the problem that we heard testimony about. Compared to State fisheries, Federal subsistence users harvests 16 are relatively low number of Copper River salmon, and a 17 18 stated by the State there's not a conservation need 19 right now to restrict dipnetting from boats. 20 21 So I guess my intent is to oppose the 2.2 proposal consistent with the recommendation of the 23 Southcentral Regional Advisory Council and the 24 Wrangell-St.Elias Subsistence Resource Commission. 25 26 Thank you. 27 28 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 29 other discussion or comments from the Board. 30 31 (No comments) 32 33 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for the 34 question. 35 36 MR. SIEKANIEC: Question. 37 38 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Question's been 39 called. Roll call, Sue, please. 40 41 MS. DETWILER: Okay. The motion is to adopt Fisheries Proposal 21-13. 42 43 44 Let's see, Park Service, Don Striker. 45 46 MR. STRIKER: I oppose for the reasons 47 stipulated but I will commit to people that I will work with Superintendent Bobowski to coordinate more science 48 49 and not -- not let this issue go. 50

Page 323 1 Thank you. 2 3 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 4 5 Greg Siekaniec, Fish and Wildlife 6 7 Service. 8 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Sue. For 9 reasons articulated by the National Park Service and in support of the Southcentral Regional Advisory Council, 10 I agree with the National Park Service to oppose this 11 proposal. I think ultimately this proposal will not 12 eliminate the practice of concern we are speaking of or 13 contribute to conservation of salmon stocks without 14 15 concurrent State support and action and I do advocate for the idea of the precautionary principle at least 16 being given some level of review and discussion as to 17 18 whether or not we are hitting some level of need for addressing this issue concurrently with the State and 19 20 Federal. 21 2.2 Thank you. 23 24 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 25 26 Glenn Chen, BIA. 27 28 MR. CHEN: Yes, the BIA votes to oppose 29 the proposal as per the reasons articulated by Mr. Striker and also in support of the Southcentral 30 Regional Advisory Council as well. 31 32 33 Thank you. 34 MS. DETWILER: Just to make sure, 35 that's -- you oppose the proposal, correct? 36 37 38 MR. CHEN: That's affirmative, Sue. 39 40 MS. DETWILER: Yeah, okay, thank you. 41 My phone was cutting out a little bit there. 42 43 Chad Padgett, BLM. 44 45 MR. PADGETT: I oppose for the reasons 46 already stated by my counterparts. Thank you. 47 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 48 49 50

1 2	Dave Schmid, Forest Service.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. SCHMID: Yeah, I'm also going to oppose in deference to the RAC but share the concerns expressed by the National Park Service, Fish and Wildlife Service and others then that there is a need here for some concurrent work with the State and I pledge as well to do anything that I may be able to to promote that and look for a workable solution here. I rely heavily on the traditional ecological knowledge in the many of the folks that testified today expressed, and would like to incorporate that moving forward as this looks like this is an expanding issue and certainly affects those users locally. But we'll oppose at this point.
10 17 18	MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Dave.
19 20	Public Member Rhonda Pitka.
21 22	MS. PITKA: Hello, can you hear me?
23 24	MS. DETWILER: Yes, Rhonda.
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32	MS. PITKA: I vote to support FCR21-13 [sic] in deference to the Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Council per the recommendation on Page 263, the Council believes that the Federal Subsistence Board should take the lead on this issue by recognizing the negative effects of dipnetting from boats on Federally- qualified subsistence users.
33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	I believe there is a public safety concern with people who dipnet from boats. I believe it was Ms. Ewan who spoke to that particular danger. And there is also a conservation issue on this particular river. The fact that people that were getting 60 fish in one day are now getting 60 fish in one month is very concerning and we should look more deeply into this issue.
42	Thank you, very much.
43 44 45	MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Rhonda.
43 46 47	Public Member Charlie Brower.
4748	MR. BROWER: Public Member Charles
49 50	Brower also supports the recommendation provided by my

Page 325 colleague Rhonda Pitka. 1 2 3 Thank you. 4 5 6 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 7 And, finally, Chair, TonyChristianson. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, I agree with everybody and oppose [sic] in deference to the 10 RAC. Thank you. 11 12 13 MS. DETWILER: So you are opposed to 14 the motion -- are you in agreement with Rhonda and 15 Charlie or with the other members of the Board? 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: My vote should be reflected as the same as everybody else's, I agree 18 with Rhonda and Charlie. 19 20 21 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. Okay, 22 so we have three votes in favor of the motion to adopt 23 FP21-13 and five opposed to adopt the motion, so the motion to adopt fails so FP21-13 is not adopted. 24 25 26 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 27 thank you, and I think that concludes the order of 28 business for this morning. I want to thank everybody 29 for their hard work. We will be making a couple of announcements right after the lunch break here so 30 standby. I want to say, again, thank you to all the 31 32 Staff and everybody for patiently waiting as we get 33 through the process on the teleconference in this tough epidemic time we're in. So hope to see you guys all 34 back here at 1:30. 1:30. Please call in early and be 35 36 ready to go to business. 37 38 MR. SCHMID: Thank you. 39 40 (Off record) 41 42 (On record) 43 44 OPERATOR: Thank you for rejoining. I 45 would now like to turn the conference over to Sue 46 Detwiler. 47 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Chair Tony 48 49 Christianson, are you on? 50

Page 326 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, I'm on the 1 2 phone, thank you, Sue. 3 4 MS. DETWILER: Okay. Would you like me 5 to continue with the roll call for the Board members and then also go through and see which Regional Council 6 7 Chairs have joined us? 8 9 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, thank you, 10 Sue. 11 12 MS. DETWILER: Okay. Dave Schmid is 13 out for an hour and a half or so. Wayne Owen, I 14 understand you're on. 15 16 MR. OWEN: Yes, Sue, I am. 17 18 MS. DETWILER: Okay. Wayne is on for 19 Forest Service. 20 21 Chad Padgett from BLM. 22 23 MR. PADGETT: I'm here, thanks, Sue. 24 25 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 26 27 Glenn Chen, BIA. 28 29 MR. CHEN: Hi, Sue, I will be on for a 30 bit longer and then Gene plans to join us in a few 31 minutes. 32 33 MS. DETWILER: Oh, okay, thank you. 34 35 Greg Siekaniec, Fish and Wildlife 36 Service. 37 38 MR. SIEKANIEC: Yes, good afternoon, 39 Sue, I am here. 40 41 MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Greg. 42 43 Don Striker, Park Service. 44 45 MR. STRIKER: Good afternoon, thank 46 you. 47 MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Don. 48 49

50

Page 327 Public Member Rhonda Pitka. 1 2 3 4 (No comments) 5 MS. DETWILER: Public Member Charlie 6 Brower. 7 8 (No comments) 9 10 MS. DETWILER: They may be still trying to get into the speaker's room. I will go ahead and go 11 through the RAC Chairs and maybe give Rhonda and 12 Charlie a couple minutes to get back online if they're 13 14 not already online. 15 Southeast Chair, Southeast Regional 16 17 Council, DeAnna, you or Cathy on the phone. 18 19 MS. PERRY: DeAnna is on. I believe Cathy was going to as well, but in case she isn't, I'm 20 21 on. 22 23 MS. DETWILER: Okay -- okay, thank you. 24 25 Do we have anyone from Southcentral. 26 27 MS. PERRY: Again, this is DeAnna, I'll 28 be sitting in for Chair Encelewski. 29 30 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thanks, DeAnna. 31 32 Kodiak/Aleutians, Della, are you on. 33 34 MS. TRUMBLE: Great. 35 36 Bristol Bay, Nanci Morris Lyon. 37 38 MS. MORRIS LYON: Yes, I'm here. 39 40 MS. DETWILER: Okay, great. 41 42 Yukon Kuskokwim Delta, Ray Oney, did 43 you join us. 44 45 MR. ONEY: Ray Oney here, thank you. 46 47 MS. DETWILER: Oh, great, thank you. 48 49 Let's see, Western Interior, Jenny 50

REGULATORY MEETING

Page 328 1 Pelkola. 2 3 MS. PELKOLA: Yes, I'm here. 4 5 MS. DETWILER: Okay. 6 7 Louis Green, Seward Peninsula. 8 9 (No comments) 10 11 MS. DETWILER: Anyone from Seward Peninsula join -- Regional Advisory Council join. 12 13 14 (No comments) 15 16 MS. DETWILER: Okay. 17 18 Northwest Arctic. Did anybody join us 19 from Northwest Arctic. 20 21 MR. PAPPAS: Sue, this is George 22 Pappas. Yes, I was contacted that the Chairis 23 currently ill today and the Vice Chair is at work, 24 they're trying to get the Vice Chair off to attend. 25 26 Thank you -- or thank you, Sue. 27 28 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you, George. 29 30 Eastern Interior, Sue Entsminger. 31 32 (No comments) 33 34 MS. DETWILER: And I understand we don't have anybody from the North Slope Regional 35 Advisory Council but, Eva, are you on the line? 36 37 38 MS. PATTON: Yes, Sue, this is Eva. 39 I'll be online to speak to their one crossover 40 proposal. 41 42 Thank you. 43 44 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 45 46 And Rhonda Pitka, I believe you are 47 back online, can you confirm that? 48 49 (No comments) 50

Page 329 MS. DETWILER: Operator, can you check 1 2 to see if Rhonda Pitka's line is open or if she's on. 3 4 MR. LORD: Sue, she was on the chat 5 thing, she was online about a minute ago. 6 7 MS. DETWILER: Okay. I believe the 8 Operator is checking to see if Rhonda Pitka is online 9 and if she can be moved to the speaker's room if she's not, and in the meantime, Charlie Brower, have you 10 joined us? 11 12 13 (No comments) 14 15 MS. DETWILER: Okay. So Operator we are having a little bit of trouble getting Rhonda 16 online, I'm seeing her on a chat line but I'm not able 17 18 to hear her on the phone and I just want to make sure 19 she's recorded as being presenthere. 20 21 OPERATOR: Okay. I just looked in the 22 main conference, I did not see herdialed in. We did 23 just get a signal so one moment. 24 25 (Pause) 26 27 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: It seems, Sue, 28 there's a few people having trouble being heard online 29 (indiscernible - cuts out) recognized. 30 31 MS. DETWILER: Yes. And I'm also 32 seeing that -- ask the Operator if she could move Cathy Needham to the speaker's room as well. And also Rhonda 33 Pitka. So we need both Rhonda Pitka and Cathy Needham 34 35 moved to the speaker's room. And Charlie Brower if he 36 is there as well. 37 38 OPERATOR: I do see Cathy. I'm not 39 seeing Rhonda. 40 41 MS. DETWILER: Hum. So is she in the 42 other room, the public room? 43 44 OPERATOR: I'm not seeing her -- I see 45 Cathy and I see Joshua but I'm not -- I'm not seeing 46 Rhonda. One moment. 47 48 (Pause) 49 50

Page 330 MS. DETWILER: Okay. So it looks like 1 2 Rhonda has hung up and she is going to try calling in 3 again so. 4 5 (Pause) 6 7 OPERATOR: Okay, Cathy has been opened. 8 9 (Pause) 10 11 MS. PITKA: Hello, this is Rhonda, I am 12 online. 13 14 MS. DETWILER: Oh, great, Rhonda, 15 you're in the speaker's room, finally. So let me just check to see if Charlie Brower -- Public Member Charlie 16 Brower did you -- are you in any -- either of the 17 18 rooms? 19 20 (No comments) 21 22 MS. DETWILER: Okay. Well, Mr. Chair, 23 we do have seven of eight people -- seven of eight 24 Board Members online. 25 26 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right. 27 Hopefully they can continue to have Rhonda and try to 28 get her patched in, and hopefully she'll get on -- so on the agenda here, I know we had discussed earlier 29 30 when you said -- I said there'd be a couple of 31 announcements. 32 33 I'd like to say that we'd like to congratulate Lisa Maas, the OSM policy coordinator had 34 a baby and is (indiscernible - breaking up) and we'd 35 like to say congratulations to Lisa and God Bless them. 36 37 And we'll wait and (indiscernible - breaking up) Rhonda 38 birthday. 39 40 REPORTER: So, Tony, this is Tina. 41 42 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes. 43 44 REPORTER: Hi, this is Tina. So you're 45 breaking up quite a bit, I'm not sure how you're talking in your phone but I just wanted to let you know 46 that, for recording-wise. 47 48 49 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Is that a 50

Page 331 little bit better, I'm hollering at my phone. 1 2 3 REPORTER: Okay. 4 5 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay. Yeah, it 6 just might be the connection, it sounds a little grainy 7 on my end. 8 9 REPORTER: Yeah, it seems like it's 10 changed this afternoon, for everybody. 11 12 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah. Yeah, I 13 had a little older line that wasn't working as well. 14 15 REPORTER: Thank you. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: So we'll try to 18 get back to Board business here and we'll go ahead and have the next analysis. 19 20 21 MR. SIEKANIEC: Mr. Chair, this is 22 Greg. 23 24 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Greq. 25 26 MR. SIEKANIEC: Yeah, Tony, I'm not 27 hearing you really at all. I didn't hear any of your 28 announcement and you're just starting now and you're 29 really breaking up. 30 31 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, you guys 32 are really breaking up too, I don't know what the --33 the connection is not very good on this end either but 34 I can hear you guys. 35 36 REPORTER: Okay, so where are we then? 37 38 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: My first 39 announcement was just about Lisa Maas having a baby and 40 I wanted to congratulate her and wish her the best at 41 home. And then Rhonda's birthday is coming up this 42 week. 43 44 REPORTER: Happy Birthday Rhonda. 45 46 MR. SIEKANIEC: All right, well, thank 47 you, Tony, I did hear it come through that time. And, yeah, congratulations to Lisa and Happy Birthday 48 49 Rhonda. 50

Page 332 MS. PITKA: Thank you, all. 1 2 3 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Help me Rhonda. 4 I'd almost sing you a song. 5 6 (Laughter) 7 8 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 9 well, it sounds like we're all back on now and connected and I'll do my best to talk loud, it's a bad 10 connection, so everybody can hear. And with that I'll 11 12 turn it over to Sue, we can get started on the next 13 proposal and call on the Staff to provide the analysis. 14 15 Thank you. 16 17 MS. DETWILER: Okay. The next proposal 18 is Fisheries Proposal 21-14 and I believe that, again, is Hannah Voorhees doing -- starting that presentation. 19 20 21 MS. VOORHEES: Good afternoon, Mr. 22 Chair and members of the Board. This is Hannah 23 Voorhees again. I'm an anthropologist at OSM. And this is the last you'll be hearing from me today. I 24 25 have a fairly brief presentation for you for this final 26 proposal. 27 28 Fisheries Proposal 21-14 begins on Page 29 276 of your Board book. This proposal was also submitted by Kirk Wilson of Glennallen and requests 30 that the Board prohibit use of onboard devices that 31 32 indicate bathymetry and/or fish location also known as 33 fishfinders while fishing from boats or other 34 watercrafts in the Upper Copper River. 35 36 The proponent states that the use of 37 electronic devices enables fishers to locate and target specific holding areas for sensitive salmon stock. 38 39 Most of the information for this proposal pertaining to 40 public waters, cultural knowledge and traditional 41 practices and biological background is identical to 42 that presented for the previous Upper Copper River 43 proposals. 44 45 The waters of the Upper Copper River 46 are fast and murky. Fish cannot be seen as they travel 47 up the river and traditional Ahtna practices of fishing with basket dipnets from platforms was adapted to these 48 49 conditions. Today, fishwheels are the predominate gear 50

in use by Federally-qualified subsistence users in this 1 area. As a reminder and for example, in 2013 Gulkana 2 3 residents took 91 percent of their salmon harvest in 4 edible weight by fishwheels and Tazlina residents took 5 88 percent of their salmon harvest by fishwheel. 6 7 Dipnetting, including from boats and, 8 therefore, the use of fishfinders in combination with 9 fishing takes place primarily under State permit. 10 11 The OSM conclusion is to oppose this 12 proposal with the following justification. 13 14 If this proposal is adopted, Federal 15 subsistence regulations pertaining to use of gear would be more restrictive than State subsistence or personal 16 use regulation. In addition, prohibiting fishfinders 17 18 may create a safety issue for those navigating the river. Adopting this proposal would probably need to 19 20 the proponent's desired conservation outcome. Federally-qualified subsistence users are the ones who 21 2.2 would have to adhere to this regulation if they do not 23 dipnet from boats and (indiscernible) with fishfinders 24 in significance enough numbers to make this an 2.5 effective conservation measure. Furthermore, 26 Federally-qualified subsistence users could continue to use fishfinders on boats under State regulations in 27 both the Glennallen subsistence and the Chitina 28 personal use fisheries. 29 30 31 A proposal similar to the one being 32 considered here will be taken up at the next Board of 33 Fisheries meeting where Prince William Sound proposals are discussed. That proposal would prohibit 34 35 fishfinders in the Upper Copper River district from 36 June 1st to September 30th under State regulations. 37 38 Thank you. 39 40 That's all I have. 41 42 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 43 questions for Staff. 44 45 MR. BROWER: Mr. Chair, I'm online 46 finally. 47 48 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 49 Charlie, for joining us, appreciate you getting on. 50

1	No questions for Staff, we'll moveon
2	to summary of public comments, Council Chair
3	coordinator.
4	
5	MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
6	Members of the Board. This is DeAnna Perry,
7	Southcentral Regional Advisory Council Coordinator.
8	Five written public comments in support were received
9	on this proposal and those can be found in your meeting
10	book starting on Page 292.
11	
12	A summary of those comments.
13	
14	The Ahtna InterTribal Resource
15	Commission supports this proposal and states that
16	fishfinders enable boat-based fishers to target schools
17	of fish and this targeting contributes to the likely
18	overfishing of salmon. This proposal would be unlikely
19	to have negative impacts on many Federally-qualified
20	subsistence users and restricting fishfinders would
21	merely encourage inexperienced fishers to develop the
22	knowledge and experience requisite for fishing on a
23	swift, dangerous river such as the Copper.
24	
25	Michael Mahoney supports this proposal.
26	Again, stating that these changes would be helpful in
27	protecting our king salmon. Addressing Fish Proposals
28	21-12, 13, and 14 at once, he states that all of these
29	practices are not customary and traditional and have
30	resulted in much higher efficiency levels of harvest.
31	
32	Thea Thomas strongly supports this
33	proposal and as stated before commenting on Fish
34	Proposals 21-11, 12, 13 and 14, that it was important
35	to limit overharvest in the Chitina dipnet fishery and
36	to acquire timely data on the harvest.
37	
38	Ahtna TeneNene' supports the proposal
39	stating that allowing use of fishfinders may encourage
40	fishermen to find ideal spots on the Copper River to
41	overharvest salmon. Everyone should be concerned about
42	allowing fishfinders due to the condition of the
43	fishery this past summer. There was low sockeye and
44	king salmon runs.
45	
46	Bonnie Yazzie supported the proposal
47	stating that boaters with fishfinders have used the
48	excuse that they need them to navigate the river
49	channel, these fishers need to learn the river channel.
50	,

Page 335

1 Using fishfinders to find salmon is taking unfair 2 advantage. 3 4 Kirk Wilson, the proponent, commented 5 that allowing fishfinders may encourage fishermen to 6 find ideal spots to overharvest salmon. 7 Again, for the comments in their 8 9 entirety, those can be read starting on Page 292 of 10 your meeting book. 11 12 The Wrangell-St. Elias National Park 13 Subsistence Resource Commission also provided a comment 14 in support of this proposal and stated their vote was 5 15 in support and 3 opposed. Justification for supporting the proposal included an increase in fishing from 16 boats, which some characterized as a new fishery and, 17 18 with it, an increase in the number of people using fishfinders. Using fishfinders allows people to target 19 20 areas such as eddies where fish are resting and catch more fish, although one of the members who opposed the 21 22 proposal questioned the effectiveness of fishfinders in 23 locating many fish in the silty waters of the Copper 24 River. 25 26 Last week, just prior to this Board 27 meeting I received a comment from the Copper Basin 28 Advisory Committee opposing this proposal. In summary, 29 saying that fishfinders do not seem to work well in silty water, depth finders can help with safety of 30 operating a boat. They stated that the quality of the 31 32 units makes a big difference. They mentioned concerns 33 about how to enforce this and that people would have to remove them from boats and the Advisory Committee did 34 35 not want to take away a safetydevice. 36 37 That's the summary of the public 38 written comments received on this proposal. 39 40 Thank you. 41 42 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 43 DeAnna, appreciate that. At this time, Operator, we'll 44 open the floor to the public to be recognized to this 45 specific agenda item. 46 47 Thank you. 48 49 (No comments) 50

1	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Operator, were
2	you able to hear me on that one?
3	
4	OPERATOR: Yes. If you would like to
5	make a public comment, please press star one. We do
6	have a public comment from Karen Linnell, your line is
7	open.
8	-
9	MS. LINNELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair and
10	members of the Board. Again, we're seeing a decrease
11	in salmon getting to the north end of the tributaries
12	and the headwaters where my fish camp is. And looking
13	at this, and I want to remind you folks, in looking at
14	the comments from both the Eastern Interior RAC and the
15	Southcentral RAC, both have jurisdiction here a Eastern
16	Interior represents Mentasta, Dot Lake and Dry Creek,
17	who all have a C&T in Copper River.
18	who all have a call in copper kiver.
19	I just want to say that this is new
20	technology that gives benefit to a small percentage of
21	users that can and will exploit that. On our river, if
22	you're looking down and not looking ahead and reading
23	the sand bars and the river, you're going to end up on
24	a sand bar high centered. You have to pay attention to
25	what's going on around you. Our river is not extremely
26	deep and not slow flowing like the Yukon or, you know,
27	it's a pretty fairly fast moving river. And I hear
28	this all the time from my husband who works on the Oil
29	Spill Response Crew, and they go up to Tanana
30	occasionally for training. They participate in those
31	kinds of things, and the other pump stations up North
32	come down here for fast water training on the Copper
33	
34	River, so that's where my knowledge is coming from on this. I've seen the Yukon, it is a very slow moving
35	
	river. Very wide, very deep. Their fishwheels are
36	quite a bit larger than ours. Our average is 8 to 12
37	feet 8 to 10 feet, usually, the average is like
38	either a 4x10, 4x8, or 4x12 foot basket. Very
39	different. Not like the 20 foot fishwheels I've seen
40	on the Yukon or bigger. And I just wanted to talk
41	about that and make sure that you folks understand what
42	we're talking about. You have to be looking ahead when
43	you're driving on the river. And because of the depth,
44	I don't think that the fishfinders look down deep
45	enough or far enough ahead for them to use it as a
46	safety device.
47	
48	Again, they've been targeting the
49 50	schools of fish at high water when nobody else is

3

successful. Mr. Somerville didn't give any percentage 1 when he gave his report and I find it odd that you were 2 3 asking him for anecdotal information when we were 4 giving anecdotal information from what we've seen. 5 Because he has a degree behind his name or because he's an official from the Department, their anecdotal 6 7 information is weighed differently than people and users on the river? That, to me, is concerning as 8 9 well. 10 11 And I just want to say, again, that we need to watch for the resource here and ensure that all 12 13 subsistence users up -- all the way up to the north end 14 of the river are getting their subsistence needs met. 15 I know I'm a little emotional about 16 this but that directly affects me, my family, the Katie 17 18 John camp and their family, it really does, and there would be no Federal management if it was not for that 19 Katie John case and all of this and it just blows me 20 away that you guys don't take that into consideration. 21 2.2 We're looking at the north end of the river and 23 providing subsistence for the subsistence needs of 24 those people, myself included. Anybody above the 2.5 Gulkana River, where there is no enhanced stock from 26 the -- from the Gulkana Hatchery. So please take this 27 under serious consideration and ban the use of fishfinders on the Copper River, even if it is prior to 28 the State doing the same, because we put in the 29 30 proposals to do the same. 31 32 And I would like to say that this has 33 been done before, the Mulchatna Caribou Herd is an example of where the State action took prior to the --34 35 I mean where the Feds have taken action prior to the 36 State taking action. 37 38 Again, thank you for your time and your 39 consideration. 40 41 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you..... 42 OPERATOR: The next comment..... 43 44 45 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: A comment, you 46 have the floor. 47 48 OPERATOR: Our next comment comes from 49 Hope Roberts, your line is open. 50

3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. ROBERTS: Good afternoon, Federal Subsistence Board and Staff. This is Hope Roberts. I'm in Valdez and I am representing Chugach Regional Resources Commission Federal Alliance Team. The Alliance Team is made up of one person from each of the seven Chugach tribes which are Chenega, Eyak, Port Graham, Nanwalek, Kudachik(ph), Tatitlek and Valdez. As a whole we are in support of FP21-14 prohibiting the use of fishfinders or bathymetry devices on the Upper Copper River for the same reasons as we were in support of the past proposals that were spoken on this morning.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And just to reiterate these recommendations have come from the Joint Copper River Salmon Symposium in 2019 where research and conservation of the resource were two major points of agreement that Upper and Lower river user groups agreed were necessary. While these proposals would create a situation where Federal subsistence regulations are stricter than State regs, we believe the importance of these salmon runs are required for a cautionary approach to conservation.
24 25 26 27 28	I thank you, again, for hearing meout and allowing me to speak on behalf of Chugach Regional Resources Commission and their Federal Subsistence Alliance.
29	Thank you.
30 31 32 33 34 35	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Thank you for taking the time to call in today and share the concerns and comments. Any questions from the Board.
36	(No comments)
37 38 39 40 41	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, thank you. Operator, are there any other peoplewho would like to be recognized at this time.
42	OPERATOR: I'm showing no further
43 44	comments, sir.
45 46 47	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, appreciate that. At this time we'll move on to Regional Council recommendation, RAC Chair.
48 49 50	MS. PERRY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This

is DeAnna Perry, Council Coordinator for the 1 Southcentral Regional Advisory Council. This might be 2 3 the last time you hear my very practiced DJ radio voice 4 today. 5 6 The Southcentral Regional Advisory 7 Council opposed this proposal 3 to 6. The Council 8 majority felt this restriction on the Federally-9 qualified subsistence user could prohibit the users ability to quickly and successfully harvest fish. The 10 11 Council felt that if a conservation concern is determined for this area, then per ANILCA, any 12 13 restrictions should be placed on other user groups before the restriction is placed on the Federally-14 15 qualified subsistence user. The Council also addressed 16 the importance for all users on the river to learn to navigate the waters by reading the river and not 17 18 relying on bathymetry devices. 19 20 That was the recommendation from the 21 Southcentral Regional AdvisoryCouncil. 22 23 Thank you. 24 25 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 26 other comments or questions for RAC Chair. 27 28 (No comments) 29 30 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Are there any 31 other Regional Councils that would like to be 32 recognized at this time. 33 34 MS. ENTSMINGER: Yes, hi, this is Sue 35 Entsminger, Eastern Interior RAC. 36 37 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Sue, you 38 have the floor. 39 40 MS. ENTSMINGER: Thank you. The 41 Eastern Interior RAC supports Proposal 21-14 and it was unanimous. Fish returns over the last several years 42 have been very poor, therefore, the Council supports 43 44 this conservation minded proposal for the sake of 45 future generations. The Council noted that 46 traditionally subsistence users do not use fishfinders 47 while looking for fish. Is it likely that fishfinders 48 will not do much good finding fish in silty water like 49 the Copper River. 50

3

Page 340

In addressing the public commentabout 1 river navigability, the Council suggested to use depth 2 3 finders rather than fishfinders because you need them 4 when your boat goes at a speed of 35 to 40 miles an 5 hour and you are in unfamiliarwaters. 6 7 And I did want to add just a little bit 8 here, when, on Page 66 of the Federal Board fisheries 9 book for C&Ts for salmon. In Eastern Interior it also includes Healy Lake, Nabesna, Northway, Tanacross, 10 Tetlin, Tok, the Tok Cutoff all the way to Mentasta 11 12 Pass where it reaches Unit 13, and also the Nabesna 13 Road in that part of Unit 12. 14 15 Thank you. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 18 Thank you, very much. Any questions for Sue. 19 20 (No comments) 21 22 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, 23 thank you. Any other Regional Advisory Council Chairs 24 who would like to be recognized at this time. 25 26 (No comments) 27 28 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 29 hearing none. Any questions from the Board. 30 31 (No comments) 32 33 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, we'll move on to tribal, Alaska Native corp comments. 34 35 Orville. 36 37 MR. LIND: Yeah, Mr. Chair, can you 38 hear me? 39 40 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Loud and clear, 41 you got it. 42 4.3 MR. LIND: Thank you. Good afternoon, 44 Mr. Chair. Board members. Orville Lind, Native 45 Liaison for the Office of Subsistence Management. 46 47 During the consultation held on June 11th, Mr. -- Chief Gary from Chickaloon replied after 48 49 the Fisheries Proposal 21-13 was read, he said that 50

Page 341 that's probably a good thing and he supports it. 1 2 3 That's all I have for that proposal. 4 5 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 8 Orville, appreciate that. Any questions for Orville. 9 10 (No comments) 11 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We'll move on 12 13 to the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Ben 14 Mulligan. 15 16 MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 17 Again, for the record, Ben Mulligan, Alaska Department 18 of Fish and Game. The Department opposes the removal 19 of navigational devices such as these electronic fishfinders from the boats for the Copper River. You 20 know, in anticipation when we knew the -- our Board of 21 22 Fish cycle was coming up and there was a proposal of 23 this nature on that side, we did conduct some interviews with boaters about these devices and we 24 25 found that for the most part those guys were telling us 26 that they use it more for finding the bottom than finding the fish. The silty nature of the Copper 27 really affects their signal strength and using it to 28 29 find fish really isn't that effective. And also, as usual, if this is done it will create some 30 31 inconsistencies between the State and Federal 32 regulations. 33 34 Those are our comments. 35 36 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 37 38 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Ben. 39 Any questions for Ben from the Board. 40 41 (No comments) 42 43 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 44 hearing none, thank you, appreciate that. InterAgency 45 Staff Committee comments, Katya. 46 47 MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 48 Members of the Board. For the record, this is Katya 49 Wessels. The InterAgency Staff Committee provided the 50

Page 342 standard comment on Fisheries Proposal FP21-14. 1 2 3 Thank you. 4 5 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 6 questions for ISC. 7 8 (No comments) 9 10 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 11 hearing none, open the floor for Board discussion, and 12 if no discussion, Boardaction. 13 14 MR. STRIKER: Mr. Chair, National Park 15 Service. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Don, you 18 have the floor. 19 20 MR. STRIKER: I move that we adopt 21 FP21-14 consistent with the Eastern Interior RAC and 22 the Wrangell-St. Elias SRC. In my opinion, unlike the 23 last proposal this would have a very limited negative 24 impact on subsistence opportunity. And it serves as a better test of this notion of sort of paving the way 25 26 for something that we believe should be a Federal and 27 State change in this area where there's been so much 28 compelling testimony from our users. 29 30 I understand well the ISC's safety 31 concern, but I believe as outlined by Ms. Linnell's 32 testimony that the safety concerns sort of cuts both 33 ways, I'm not sure that I can make a clear case in my mind that 50 is better one way or the other and so for 34 35 that -- for that reason I move that we support this 36 motion -- support this proposal. 37 38 Thank you. 39 40 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Don. 41 Any other questions or comments. 42 43 OPERATOR: So, Tony, could we get a 44 second on that. 45 46 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Oh, I thought I 47 heard a second, sorry. 48 49 MR. SIEKANIEC: Second. 50

1 2	MR. BROWER: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for
4 5	the second. Thank you, Tina, for the reminder.
6 7	REPORTER: Yep.
8 9 10	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any further discussion from the Board, questions, comments.
10 11 12	(No comments)
13 14	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, we'll go
15 16 17	MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair, BIA.
18 19	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: BIA, go ahead.
20 21 22 23	MR. PELTOLA: Thank you. If I may I'd like to ask a question of the Department in-season manager.
24 25 26	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We'll have to ask, is he available.
27	MR. MULLIGAN: Mr. Chair, this is Ben.
28	Mark Somerville should be listening in so we just have
29 30	to let him in.
31	MR. PELTOLA: Okay. I'll lead into my
32 33	question and ask a question.
34 35 36 37 39 40 42 43 45 46 47 49 50	So from thank you, Ben, for that information. So my question my lead in would be that the Federal Subsistence Board is now looking at another proposal to potentially restrict a potential pool of Federally-qualified users in the upper river. Throughout the analysis of these various proposals there's a commonality about providing opportunity and meeting opportunity needs of the upper river residents and here's another example where we have one Regional Advisory Council which is in opposition and another one who supports. As I mentioned yesterday, the overall harvest of the Copper salmon population, whether it be red, chinook or what may have you is an insignificant amount, so via in-season action, in recent history, has the Department taken any administration action to try to minimize or actually try to maximize the amount

Page 344 of fish to make it to the up river section of the river 1 to make them available for harvest, whether it be a 2 3 Federally-qualified user or a non-Federally-qualified 4 user, and, if so, what are those specific actions. 5 6 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 7 8 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 9 Gene. And we'll just give it a minute, Operator, were you able to open that line freely and make it available 10 for him? 11 12 13 OPERATOR: I'm sorry, I caught the 14 first name was Mark, what was the last name? 15 16 MR. MULLIGAN: Somerville. 17 18 OPERATOR: Somerville, thank you. 19 20 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Are you 21 available now, Mark? 22 23 (No comments) 24 25 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: And we'll just 26 wait a moment until Mr. Somerville is available. Thank 27 you. 28 29 (Pause) 30 31 OPERATOR: If Mark could hit star zero 32 that would make it easier. Mark, if you could hit star 33 zero -- oh, I see him, okay. 34 35 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 36 we'll give him another minute to get back on here. 37 Thank you. 38 OPERATOR: Mark is -- Mark Somerville's 39 40 line is open. 41 42 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 43 Mark, you have the floor. 44 45 MR. SOMERVILLE: You can hear me now. 46 47 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, can hear you loud and clear, Mark, you have the floor. Thank 48 49 you for getting on. 50

1 2 3 4	MR. SOMERVILLE: All right, thank you very much. Again, Mark Somerville, Upper Copper, Upper Susitna Management Area, area management biologist for the State of Department of Fish and Game.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24	I believe the question that was put forth is do we actively manage to get fish to the upper portions of the Copper River, the question there how that works, we manage the runs from the beginning to the end as it comes through the sonar and we have to manage the same throughout the whole period so the whole idea is to get fish to the upper parts of the river as well as the lower parts. Two things have been have been done over the last several years, one was to for the commercial fishery to have fewer openings in the inside areas to allow early king salmon to move to get into the river, presumably those fish going to the upper portions of the river, and the upper parts of the river in the Chitina Chitina subdistrict, that fishery used to open on June 1st, sometime between June 1st and 7th, it now cannot open until June 7th, so that opening was held off a week, again, to allow more fish to get into the upper portions of the Upper Copper River.
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	In the subsistence fishery, that fishery opens on June 1st and pretty much stays open 23/7. Fish passing through that area, there's a fishery down a majority of the fish are caught down in the lower portions of that subdistrict from the Chitina/McCarthy bridge to the Tonsina River and then and then as we go up river we get fewer and fewer fish further up river just because they go off into the side channels and so on.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42	That's basically how our managementis at this point. We don't deliberately close down the lower portion of the subsistence fishery in order to allow more fish up river. We have no counting, assessment away of looking at that to tell exactly where we're at.
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	We also do aerial surveys at the end of the season to look at distribution and monitoring distribution into various streams. That's a real coarse estimate to give us an idea if we're seeing fish in normal numbers into the upper portions of the river. And from the last several years the aerial surveys have shown that we're getting the same consistent

3

Page 346

distribution across the area. In fact, the upper river 1 has actually had a fair -- fair number of fish making 2 3 it up and higher numbers, above average numbers, actually, into the upper -- some of the upper streams. 4 But if that changes, over time, it -- it ebbs and flows 5 and I know in 2020, the counts across the area were 6 7 extremely low in all streams and distribution showed low numbers in the upper river. 8 9 10 But, again, no directed management 11 other than those -- the week clo -- the week delay in 12 the personal use fishery and then the moratorium on 13 more than one opener in the first two weeks of the 14 commercial opener on the inside islands. 15 16 MR. PELTOLA: A general followup question if I may, Mr. Chair. 17 18 19 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Gene, you 20 have the floor. 21 22 MR. PELTOLA: Thank you. I appreciate 23 the overview of the management strategy for the Copper. 24 And you said you, generally speaking, are seeing more 25 make it up to some of the upper tributaries, do you 26 have anything more quantitative that you could present to us about, whether it be a swag or anything more 27 firm, about increased percentile or anything more than 28 29 just it looks like we're getting generally more fish to 30 the tribs? 31 32 MR. SOMERVILLE: Good question. Good 33 question. And I apologize for not having direct 34 numbers here, those numbers are usually collected 35 through the commercial fishery manager and I don't have 36 them offhand here to provide but I would say that 37 probably we're looking -- depending on -- I'd say 38 looking at as far as going back to about 2012 when we 39 started seeing these large runs up into the Copper 40 River, some of the -- some of the highest runs where 41 we've got over a million fish into the river, we still have probably -- I'd have to guess the counts in the 42 43 upper portions like Mentasta -- Mentasta, up in Tanada they saw some -- some increases but I would say we're 44 45 probably looking -- boy, I'd hate to throw a number at 46 it -- maybe 20 percent on average, but, yeah, I'm a little uncomfortable throwing out a -- throwing out a 47 48 number. All I can say off the top of my head is that 49 we did see strong -- strong returns to the upper river 50

1	during those years.
2	
3	MR. PELTOLA: Okay, Mr. Chair, I don't
4	mean to test everybody's patience but I'd like to ask
5	one more followup question of our State in-season
6	manager, if I may, and with that being said, when you
7	gave your overview, which I, you know, really greatly
8	appreciated, you talked about the personal use fishery
9	being delayed a week. So can you tell me whether our
10	catch with that delayed week has remained constant, it
11	has decreased or have we potentially seeing an increase
12	by just compressing the harvest over a lesser period of
13	time than we would have without that one week delay?
14	
15	MR. SOMERVILLE: That's a yes, sir,
16	that's a great question. Overall harvest numbers did
17	not decrease with that with that delay of a week.
18	The point of that delay was to see was to allow more
19	fish further up river, but when we look at the harvest
20	levels from the week of 1st 1st of June to the 7th
21	of June, and we look at it in the Chitina subdistrict and then we also look at it in the Glennallen
22	
23	subdistrict during that week, over the course of time
24 25	it's been very, very little basically no real change in the number of fish harvested in that in that week
26	in the lower portions of the Cop in the lower
20	portion up through, I'd say below the Tonsina River.
28	portron up through, i a say berow the folistila kiver.
29	MR. PELTOLA: Okay, thank you,
30	appreciate that. And, Mr. Chair, I could probably go
31	on for longer but I'll stophere.
32	
33	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
34	
35	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,
36	Gene. Appreciate that. Anybody else, any comments or
37	questions.
38	
39	(No comments)
40	
41	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right,
42	thank you. We still are with a motion on the floor and
43	seconded, under discussion, any other discussion or
44	questions from any other Board, if none I'll have
45	somebody call for the question.
46	
47	MR. SIEKANIEC: Question.
48	
49	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Question's been
50	

Page 348 called. Roll call, Sue, please. 1 2 3 MS. DETWILER: Okay. The motion is to 4 adopt Fisheries Proposal 21-14. Start out with Don 5 Striker, Park Service. 6 7 MR. STRIKER: Thank you. I support for 8 the reasons previously articulated. 9 10 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 11 Greg Siekaniec, Fish and Wildlife 12 13 Service. 14 15 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Sue. I 16 oppose this proposal in support of both the Southcentral Regional Advisory Council. And I do not 17 18 believe we should be requiring a removal of, you know, 19 navigation and bathymetry devices from Federallyqualified users as one, they're often combined into the 20 same unit and I do believe they provide an important 21 22 tool for river users. 23 24 Thank you. 25 26 MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Greg. 27 28 BIA, Gene Peltola. 29 30 MR. PELTOLA: BIA would request that 31 you come back to us, we still have not solidified our 32 position. 33 34 MS. DETWILER: Okay. 35 36 Chad Padgett, BLM. 37 38 MR. PADGETT: Thank you, Sue. I also oppose for the same reasons articulated by the U.S. 39 40 Fish and Wildlife Service. Thank you. 41 42 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 43 44 Dave Schmid -- oh, actually Wayne Owen, 45 Forest Service. 46 47 MR. OWEN: Thank you, Sue. The Forest 48 Service opposes -- opposes the motion in deference to 49 the wisdom of the RAC. 50

Page 349 1 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 2 3 Public Member Rhonda Pitka. 4 5 MS. PITKA: I support this in deference 6 to the Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Council. 7 It's very difficult to use a fishfinder on a silty 8 river. 9 10 Thank you. 11 12 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 13 14 Public Member Charlie Brower. 15 16 MR. BROWER: I support it as stated by 17 my colleague Rhonda. 18 19 MS. DETWILER: Okay. 20 21 BIA, are you ready to vote yet. 22 23 MR. PELTOLA: Yes. The Bureau of Indian Affairs votes to support the proposal, although 24 25 we strongly feel that the burden of conservation should 26 not be placed on the Federally-qualified user in the up river segment of the river but being sensitive to some 27 of the testimony -- from public testimony received and 28 29 in addition to the Eastern Interior RAC. I think we 30 need to take a closer look at the distribution of 31 harvest along the whole system and adjust accordingly. 32 33 Thank you. 34 35 MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Gene. 36 37 And, finally, Chair Christianson. 38 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support, as 39 40 stated. 41 42 MS. DETWILER: Okay. I'm just counting 43 up the votes here. So it looks like we have five votes 44 in support and three opposed, so motion passes. 45 46 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Sue, 47 for the count. And thank you to the Board and everybody calling in and participating in that one, 48 49 it's deliberative and very important discussion and I 50

3

1/28/2021

Page 350

hope we did a good job of laying out the record for 1 2 that. And we'll go ahead and move on to the next 3 agenda item, Sue. 4 5 MS. DETWILER: Yes. So that brings us 6 to several fishery closure reviews in the Aleutians, 7 Alaska Peninsula and Kodiak areas. And the presenter is, initially on that will be Robbin LaVine. 8 9 10 MS. LAVINE: Yes, hello, thank you, Mr. 11 Chair and members of the Board. For the record my name is Robbin LaVine and I'm an anthropologist with the 12 13 Office of Subsistence Management. And I'm prepared to present Fisheries Closure Reviews 21-08, 09, 11 and 13, 14 15 and my colleague Jared Stone is ready to present 16 Fisheries Closure Reviews 21-16, 18, and 19. 17 18 But before we begin, it's important for 19 you to know that the Kodiak/Aleutians Regional Advisory 20 Council recommended deferral on all seven closure reviews in order to solicit additional input from the 21 22 communities most impacted by Board action on the 23 reviews. You can find the Council recommendation and justification for deferral on Page 392 of your Board 24 25 book. The justification for deferral is the same for 26 all seven closure reviews. 27 28 So if you're interested inconsidering 29 deferral, you have the option to take all seven up as a package or you can address each closure review 30 individually. To help with your decisionmaking process 31 32 you should know that all these closures share the 33 following in common. 34 35 They were incorporated into Federal 36 regulations from State regulations approximately 20 37 years ago. This is the first time they'vebeen 38 reviewed. All closures involve waters closed to 39 subsistence fishing, while remaining open to sportfishing. In all of these areas the majority of 40 41 subsistence fishing happens elsewhere and all have been recommended for deferral by both the Council and the 42 43 ISC. 44 45 So at the direction of the Kodiak/Aleutians Regional Advisory Council, OSM Staff 46 and Council members are already reaching out to 47 48 community, tribal and State Advisory Council representatives for input. A meeting was held with 49 50

tribal representatives from Kodiak Island on January 1 6th, seven representatives were in attendance, all 2 3 tribal representatives expressed gratitude for the 4 notice and withheld any comments or recommendations 5 until they had more time to read the closure reviews. 6 7 So Jared and I are prepared to do any 8 of, let's say, three things. 9 10 1. We're prepared to present the full closure reviews. 11 12 13 2. We can give you a brief description 14 of each; or 15 16 3. You can take action on all of these 17 reviews as a package based on the information I've 18 shared thus far. 19 20 And I do know that Kodiak/Aleutians Regional Advisory Council Chair Della Trumble is on 21 22 hand to respond to any questions regarding the Council 23 recommendation. 24 25 So we look forward to direction from 26 you, the Board, and thank you. 27 28 MR. BROWER: Mr. Chair. 29 30 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Charlie, 31 you got the floor. 32 33 MR. BROWER: Yeah, there's a 34 recommendation to defer the fishery closure review on 35 all of them so we should offer to either make a motion or hear them out or is -- could it go both ways, or 36 37 what, just a question. 38 39 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Based upon what 40 I would -- and then if anyone wants to chime in 41 otherwise, I would offer that -- I would entertain a 42 motion now based on the information presented by the Staff to go ahead and defer until we can get a clear 43 44 review of these by additional people and get the 45 involvement that we're usually accustomed to when we do 46 a review such as this, and as she stated, you know, the 47 urgency is in the package, and so I would, at this 48 time, entertain a motion to defer. 49 50

Page 352 MR. SIEKANIEC: Mr. Chair, Greg, 1 2 with.... 3 4 MR. BROWER: I would so..... 5 6 MR. SIEKANIEC:Fish and Wildlife 7 Service. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Go ahead, Greq. 10 11 MR. SIEKANIEC: Yeah, Mr. Chair. Ι would like to make a motion to defer action on the 12 Fishery Closure Reviews 21-08, 21-09, 21-11, 21-13, 21-13 14 16, 21-18, and 21-19 until the next wildlife [sic] 15 regulatory cycle. The language for these closure reviews are shown on Page 380 to 463 of the Board book. 16 Following a second, if it should happen, I will explain 17 18 why I intend to support mymotion. 19 20 MR. BROWER: Second. 21 2.2 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you. 23 24 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Greg, you have 25 the floor. 26 27 MR. SIEKANIEC: I recommend deferring 28 these closures in deference to the Kodiak/Aleutian 29 Regional Advisory Council. I think deferring these 30 proposals to the next fishery regulatory proposal cycle 31 will allow the Kodiak/Aleutian Regional Advisory 32 Council to gather the local community input that they 33 have voiced is so important to the formulation of Council recommendations for these closures. I think 34 it's important to delay Board action on these closures 35 36 to the next fishery regulatory cycle to coincide with 37 publication of the Federal Register notice announcing new fish regulations. Board actions on these closures 38 39 prior to the next fishery regulatory cycle would not 40 expedite their implementation as any new regulations 41 need to be published in the Federal Register before they can be implemented. These closures have been in 42 place, as we heard, for 20 years, and deferring Board 43 44 action to the 2023 fish regulatory cycle will likely 45 have minimal impact to subsistence communities, as we 46 heard. 47 48 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 49 50

```
CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,
1
 2
    Greq. Any questions, comments or further discussion on
 3
     it.
 4
                     MS. PITKA: This is Rhonda. I have a
5
 6
     question. And I have difficulty hearing who seconded
7
    the motion and I couldn't hear who seconded that
 8
    motion.
9
                     REPORTER: Charlie did, Rhonda.
10
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I believe
13
     Charlie seconded that motion.
14
15
                     MR. SIEKANIEC: That was by Charlie,
16
    correct.
17
18
                     MR. BROWER: That's correct.
19
20
                     MR. STRIKER: Mr. Chair, Park Service.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Don, you
23
    have the floor.
24
25
                     MR. STRIKER: Just a point of order.
26
     I'm sorry to be the confused new guy and are we going
    to do summary of public comments and public testimony
27
     and RAC recommendations and tribal comments on these
28
29
    before we take action?
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: No. Right now
    I'm entertaining a motion to defer it which would just
32
    make that a null and void portion of this so that we
33
    could get further review and then once we get that
34
    we'll pull that back to the floor for that level of
35
     scrutiny by the Board to make a decision and so what
36
    we're doing is just deferring this so that there could
37
    be a more comprehensive, an in-depth look at the -- for
38
39
    the closure.
40
41
                     MR. STRIKER: 10-4, thank you.
42
43
                     MR. BROWER: In that case, Mr. Chair, I
44
    call for question.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Question's been
47
    called. Roll call, Sue, please.
48
49
                     MS. DETWILER: Okay. So the motion for
50
```

REGULATORY MEETING

Page 354 -- there's a motion to defer Fisheries Closure Reviews 1 2 21-08, 09, 11, 13, 16, 18, and 19. 3 4 And I will turn to the maker of the 5 motion for the first vote, Greg Siekaniec. 6 7 MR. SIEKANIEC: I support as stated. 8 Thank you, Sue. 9 10 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 11 12 Gene Peltola. 13 14 MR. PELTOLA: Support. 15 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 16 17 18 Chad Padgett, BLM. 19 20 MR. PADGETT: Thank you, Sue. Could I 21 just clarify one point real quick, I just want to make 22 sure I heard something correctly before I issue my 23 vote. 24 25 Greq, did you intend to say the 26 wildlife cycle or the fish cycle, I just want to make 27 sure I'm clear on that point. 28 29 MR. SIEKANIEC: Yeah, I probably 30 misspoke on one, the fish cycle, thank you. 31 32 MR. PADGETT: Okay, thank you. I 33 support. 34 35 MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Chad. 36 37 Wayne Owen, Forest Service. 38 39 MR. OWEN: Forest Service supports. 40 41 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 42 43 Don Striker. 44 45 MR. STRIKER: Park Service supports for the reasons articulated by Mr. Siekaniec. 46 47 48 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 49 50

Public Member Pitka. 1 2 3 MS. PITKA: I support the motion to 4 defer, thank you. 5 6 MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Rhonda. 7 8 Public Member Charlie Brower. 9 10 MR. BROWER: I support the motion to 11 defer. 12 13 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 14 15 Chairman Christianson. 16 17 (No comments) 18 19 MS. DETWILER: Tony. 20 21 (No comments) 22 23 MS. DETWILER: Tony may have dropped off. Nevertheless, we do have seven votes to 24 25 support.... 26 27 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I'm on, Sue. 28 29 MS. DETWILER:the motion. 30 31 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 32 33 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you, Tony. 34 We had just gotten to you. We had, so far, seven votes 35 to support the motion and we're waiting on your vote. 36 37 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support, 38 thank you. 39 40 MS. DETWILER: Okay. Motion passes 41 unanimously, so the proposals are deferred. 42 43 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, I 44 support taking a five minute break. 45 46 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 47 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yep. 48 49 50

Page 356 1 MR. STRIKER: Thank you. 2 3 (Off record) 4 5 (On record) 6 7 MS. DETWILER: And, actually, Rhonda, I 8 -- it could possibly be that Charlie -- that Tony is 9 having problems again with his phone system. I know I talked with him last night and there was a problem with 10 his personal phone and also with his phone service down 11 there, so I'm not sure if he's trying to get back on 12 13 and can't, but I'd just toss it out to you as the next 14 person in line of being Chairthere. 15 MS. PITKA: Yes, so before break we 16 voted to defer the fishery closure reviews, so I 17 believe we're on FP21-02; is that correct? 18 19 20 MS. DETWILER: Yes, that is correct. 21 And actually if you wanted to start on that, I can 22 actually text Charlie [sic] to see if he's had -- see 23 what his -- see if he's having a problem getting on. 24 But, yes, we would be starting with Fisheries Proposal 25 21-02, would you like Pippa to start that presentation? 26 27 MS. PITKA: Yes, please. 28 29 MR. PELTOLA: Madame Chair, BIA. 30 31 MR. PELTOLA: That would be great if 32 she could start that presentation. 33 34 MR. PELTOLA: Rhonda, BIA. 35 36 MS. PITKA: Yes. Yes, sorry, I 37 apologize. 38 39 MR. PELTOLA: No problem. 40 41 MR. PELTOLA: Go ahead, Mr. Peltola. 42 43 MR. PELTOLA: Yes. Procedurally, under 44 the Roberts Rules of Orders I'd like to request 45 reconsideration of the vote on Fisheries Proposal 21-13. Strict adherence to the Roberts Rules of Orders 46 47 dictates it doesn't require a second but if it makes everybody feel comfortable I would proceed if I did get 48 49 a second on reconsideration of the vote. 50

MR. BROWER: I'll second. 1 2 3 MR. PELTOLA: Thank you, Charlie. The 4 reason I ask for a reconsideration of the vote on FP21-5 13 is after discussion with Staff, the Bureau of Indian of Affairs wanted to show support to the Eastern 6 7 Interior's concern. As I expressed on BIA's vote in 8 earlier, our most recent Copper River proposal, that it 9 would be placing additional restriction on Federallyqualified users. Data has shown that that is not the 10 11 significant aspect of the harvest where it's a fraction of a -- of a couple percentile over the whole drainage. 12 13 Actions have been taken but we're still resulting in 14 minimal passage and less than ideal fish available for opportunity on the upper river. For those reasons 15 16 Bureau of Indian Affairs has asked for a reconsideration of the vote on 21-13. 17 18 19 Thank you, Madame Chair. 20 21 MS. PITKA: Thank you. So I'm not as 22 familiar with the reconsideration, Ken Lord, can you 23 walk us through the procedure. 24 25 MR. LORD: Well, Gene's got it right as 26 long as the meeting has not been formally closed, any Board member can move for reconsideration of a 27 28 decision. 29 MS. DETWILER: So the motion has been 30 31 made and seconded, so I believe there would be -- next 32 there would be Board discussion and then Board action. 33 34 MS. PITKA: Thank you. So I'm going to 35 open the floor for Board discussion on the 36 reconsideration motion put forward by Member Peltola. 37 MR. PELTOLA: Madame Chair, BIA. If we 38 39 don't have any additional comments or items for 40 discussion other than those we presented when we made 41 the motion for reconsideration, although if we do have a call for question and do another recall vote, might I 42 43 request that we hold off until Chairman Christianson's 44 available and back on so all members are available for 45 a consideration on the vote. 46 MS. PITKA: Yes, okay. 47 48 49 MR. PELTOLA: Thank you. 50

MR. SIEKANIEC: Madame Chair, this is 1 2 Greq with the Fish and Wildlife Service. 3 4 MS. PITKA: Yes, I'm sorry, go ahead. 5 6 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Madame 7 Chair. Sue, could you refresh us on how that vote 8 went. 9 10 MS. DETWILER: Yes. Let's see, 21-13, 11 the motion was to adopt the proposal. The motion failed by 5-3, with basically the agency folks voting 12 against the motion and the public members voting for 13 14 it, to adopt it. 15 16 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you. Thank you, 17 Madame Chair. 18 19 MS. DETWILER: So I believe if there 20 were no discussion then the next question would be to 21 call for the question and do avote. 22 23 MR. STRIKER: I call the question. 24 25 REPORTER: Who was that, please. 26 27 MS. PITKA: I believe that was Mr. 28 Striker. 29 30 REPORTER: Thank you. 31 32 MR. STRIKER: Yes, I'm sorry, Park 33 Service called for question. 34 35 REPORTER: Thank you. Thank you. 36 37 MS. DETWILER: So would you like me to 38 do a roll call vote, Madame Chair. 39 40 MS. PITKA: Yes, thank you. 41 42 MS. DETWILER: Okay. Starting with the 43 maker of the motion, Gene Peltola, BIA. 44 45 MR. PELTOLA: BIA votes to support. 46 MS. DETWILER: Chad Padgett, BLM. 47 48 49 MR. PADGETT: I oppose, thank you. 50

3

Page 359 1 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 2 3 Wayne Owen, Forest Service. 4 5 MR. OWEN: I would oppose. 6 7 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 8 9 Greg Siekaniec, Fish and Wildlife 10 Service. 11 12 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Sue. Ι 13 oppose for the same reasons articulated yesterday. 14 15 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 16 17 Don Striker. 18 19 MR. STRIKER: I'm opposed, thank you. 20 21 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 22 23 Public Member Rhonda Pitka. 24 25 MS. PITKA: I support the motion to 26 reconsider, thank you. 27 28 MS. DETWILER: Okay. 29 30 And Public Member Charlie Brower, on 31 the motion to reconsider. 32 33 MR. BROWER: I would support, thank 34 you. 35 36 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: And, Sue, I'm 37 back on. 38 39 MS. DETWILER: Okay. Chair Tony 40 Christianson, there was a -- BIA made a motion to 41 reconsider Fisheries Proposal 21-13, it was seconded by 42 Charlie Brower. We're finishing up the vote on that 43 and I'm just now counting up the support versus opposes, so we have 4 opposed and 3 -- 3 support, so we 44 45 have three members that support -- support 46 reconsideration. 47 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support 48 49 reconsideration. 50

MS. DETWILER: Well, it's actually, it 1 looks like a tie, which I believe that means the motion 2 3 fails. Let me just -- let me -- give me a second here 4 just to make sure I recorded all these, quickly. 5 6 Yes, the vote is four to four, so it 7 appears that the motion failed, so the previous vote 8 stands. Is that correct, Ken Lord? 9 10 MR. LORD: That is correct. 11 12 MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair, BIA. Thank 13 you to all my fellow Federal Subsistence Board members 14 for obliging me on that reconsideration vote. 15 16 Thank you. 17 18 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 19 Gene, appreciate that. And, okay, Sue, so the next order of business, I would appreciate that. 20 21 2.2 Thank you. 23 24 MS. DETWILER: Yes, we were just 25 getting started on Fisheries Proposal 21-14 -- oh, I'm 26 sorry -- sorry, wrong page, 21 -- Fisheries Proposal 27 21-02. 28 29 MS. KENNER: Hello, this is Pippa. Mr. 30 Chair, can you -- how do I sound? 31 32 (No comments) 33 34 MS. KENNER: Could anyone hear me? 35 36 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, I can hear 37 you loud and clear. 38 39 MS. PITKA: I can hear you, Pippa. 40 41 MS. KENNER: Okeydoke. Hello, Mr. Chair, members of the Board and Council Chairs. My 42 43 name is Pippa Kenner and I'm an anthropologist at the 44 Office of Subsistence Management. The analysis of 45 Proposal FP21-02 begins on Page 52 of your Board materials. 46 47 The Yukon Delta National Wildlife 48 49 Refuge.... 50

Page 361 1 MR. BROWER: Excuse me, what page? 2 3 MS. KENNER:submitted this 4 proposal. Page 52. 5 6 The Yukon Delta National Wildlife 7 Refuge submitted this proposal. It requests the Board 8 reduce the required distance between setnets from 150 9 feet to 75 feet in KuskokwimRiver tributaries. The Refuge stated, Federal regulations are more restrictive 10 11 than State regulations. This requested regulation change would align Federal and State regulations and 12 13 reduce user confusion and enforcement concerns. 14 15 I'm going to give you some regulatory 16 history. 17 18 Last year, the Alaska Board of 19 Fisheries took action on a proposal submitted by the Organized Village of Kwethluk and modified the required 20 distance between setnets to 75 feet in tributaries of 21 22 the Kuskokwim River drainage. The Organized Village of 23 Kwethluk requested allowing the operation of a setnet within 50 feet of another setnet. They said throughout 24 25 the Kuskokwim River drainage there are few eddies to 26 set gillnets during spring to fall and under ice during 27 winter months where we see setnets less than the current regulation. People along the Kuskokwim River 28 29 drainages have set gillnets in eddies in spring to fall and under the ice, which are usually less than 150 feet 30 apart. In order to correct this, the Department must 31 32 adopt a revised regulation that meets the needs of 33 setnetters throughout the year. The Board of Fisheries 34 amended the proposal to allow the operation of the set 35 gillnet within 75 feet of another set gillnet instead. 36 Board of Fisheries members were concerned that nets 37 might get tangled with one another and user conflict 38 might ensue. 39 40 The OSM conclusion is to support the 41 proposal. 42 43 Thank you, Mr. Chair, that's the end of 44 my presentation. 45 46 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 47 appreciate that. Any questions for Staff on that 48 presentation. 49 50

1	MR. BROWER: Mr. Chair, just a						
2 3	question.						
4	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the						
5	floor, Charlie.						
6 7							
8	MR. BROWER: Just a question, if this is not adopted the Federally-qualified subsistence						
9	users will not be able to fish under the State						
10	regulations, you mean they won't be able to fish even						
11	though they ask for a permit or something under the						
12	State regulations?						
13 14	MC KENNED, These to the						
$14 \\ 15$	MS. KENNER: Thank you for the question, Member Brower. I think I was having a						
16	little bit of a hard time hearing you. If I heard you						
17	correctly about the ability of Federally-qualified						
18	subsistence users to fish under State regulations, my						
19	my response is two-pronged. One is that if the						
20 21	even though the Federal regulation may stay at 150 apart 150 feet apart, a Federally-qualified user						
22	could opt to fish under State regulations where the						
23	required distance between setnets in tributaries is 75						
24	feet. The exception to that is when Federal public						
25	waters are closed to the harvest of fish and in that						
26 27	situation the State regulations wouldn't apply and you and one would have to fish under Federal						
28	regulations.						
29							
30	As you know, a lot of these salmon						
31 32	bering tributaries in the Kuskokwim have been closed						
32 33	the last five or six years to the harvest of chinook and sometimes other fish because of the conservation						
34	issues and to allow for subsistence uses and in those						
35	cases, for instance in the Kwethluk River, a person						
36	would have to separate their nets by 150 feet.						
37 38	MR. BROWER: Thank you.						
39	MR. BROWER. IMank you.						
40	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any other						
41	questions for the Staff.						
42							
43 44	(No comments)						
45	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right,						
46	hearing none, we'll move on to summary of public						
47 48	comments.						
40 49	MS. PATTON: Thank you, Mr. Chair and						
50							

Page 363

members of the Board. For the record, Eva Patton, 1 2 Council Coordinator for the Yukon Kuskokwim Delta 3 Subsistence Regional Advisory Council and there were no written public comments submitted on Proposal FP21-02. 4 5 6 Thank you. 7 8 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 9 We'll now move on to anyone online, Operator, who wanted to testify, now is the time to be recognized. 10 11 12 OPERATOR: If you would like..... 13 14 MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair. 15 OPERATOR:to make a..... 16 17 18 MS. PATTON: Okay. 19 20 OPERATOR:public testimony..... 21 22 MS. PATTON: Mr. Chair. Members of the 23 Board. We do have John Andrew online. He was not able to get through directly on the teleconference call but 24 he is on through my cell phone at this moment and he 25 26 wished to speak to public comments. 27 28 Thank you. 29 30 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the 31 floor, John. 32 33 MR. ANDREW: Mr. Chairman. Members of 34 the Board. This is John W. Andrew, Kwethluk, Alaska. I'm a lifetime fisherman over here, full subsistence. 35 36 I used to be -- I used to fish commercially too. 37 38 Someone originally, from myvillage, made that proposal some time ago, I think came from the 39 40 corporation board, the tribal office, so when they 41 heard about it, then they objected to it, they don't 42 want setnets set too close to each other. Because in springtime, the mainstem on the Kuskokwim, we're not 43 44 allowed to fish out there for a couple reasons. Try to 45 avoid catching chinook out there if the early ones are coming up. The first part of the -- first part of the 46 spring and summer the water's always high, murky and 47 poor (indiscernible - cuts out) we can't fish on the 48 49 mainstem, even on the tributaries so we end up fishing 50

back in those lakes, mostly back in the lakes so much, 1 mainly go whitefish and pike and small sheefish. And 2 3 when they go into the lakes, even if it's -- if it's a lake they have ways to set -- they have small channels 4 in the (indiscernible - cuts out) if you set your --5 traditionally (indiscernible - cuts out) the lakes and 6 7 ponds back there used to be -- have the -- people used to have spring camp and have their whitefish nets out 8 9 and if a guy comes up and corks him within 50 to 75 feet, they'll take their fish away from the quy a 10 little up river -- a little above them (indiscernible -11 12 cuts out) have trouble catching any fish. It's one of 13 the reasons why they don't like to go out to the 14 mainstem on the Kuskokwim in the early part of the 15 spring and summer, too high, too murky and too many 16 debris, (indiscernible - cuts out) we're talking about a (indiscernible - cuts out), they tear up the nets if 17 18 they hit one of them. When the water's high and --19 when the water's high and then there's lots of 20 (indiscernible) they ruin their small delicate nets. 21 2.2 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 23 24 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 25 Thank you for taking the time to call in, any 26 questions. 27 28 (No comments) 29 30 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, hearing none, thank you for calling in and sharing that 31 32 today. Was there anyone else online who would like to 33 be recognized. 34 35 MR. ANDREW: Mr. Chairman. I forgot to mention that I'm one of the -- I'm one of the RAC 36 37 members at the YK Subsistence RAC and also with the 38 State Advisory Committee. 39 40 Thank you. 41 42 OPERATOR: If you would like to make a 43 public comment, please press star one. We do have a 44 public comment from John -- Jim Simon, your line is 45 open. 46 47 MR. SIMON: Thank you, Mr. Chair, 48 members of the Board for the opportunity to provide 49 some personal comment on this proposal. 50

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 10 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 12 11 11	It seems to me that this is probably a good idea to pass this proposal to align the Federal subsistence fishing regulation spacing requirement in tributaries with the State given that there are a number of years now that the Fish and Wildlife Service has stopped enforcing Federal subsistence regulation and has, instead, relied on the State of Alaska's enforcement officers to enforce subsistence fishing regulations and the State of Alaska, as I understand it, is only capable of enforcing State regulations. So I think while decreasing the distance between setnets in the tributaries may contribute to more combat fishing, which is a common concern expressed on the Kuskokwim River during pulse fishing opportunities for many years, it's probably a good idea to align it if the Federal government is going to continue to not enforce its own Federal subsistence regulations.			
19 20	Thank you.			
21 22 23	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions from the Board.			
24 25	(No comments)			
26 27 28 29 30	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, I appreciate you taking the time to call in today. Operator, is there anybody else online who would like to			
31 32 33	OPERATOR: I have no one online right now.			
34 35 36 37	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, thank you. All right, we'll move on toRegional Advisory Council recommendations.			
38 39 40 41 42	MR. ONEY: Yes, thank you, Mr.Chairman. For the record my name is Ray Oney. I come from the village of Alakanuk. The Yukon Kuskokwim Delta Subsistence Regional Advisory Council opposed FP21-02.			
43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	Reducing the distance between setnets in Kuskokwim tributary rivers from 150 feet to 75 feet will not increase actual opportunity, it will only increase crowding and less efficiency of fishing for all. When setnets are only 75 feet apart, nets set up stream are blocked or corked and everyone has a difficult time catching fish. Setnet sites are managed			

Page 366

traditionally by families and they use the same eddies 1 year after year. Nets set so close together will 2 3 reduce the number of fish caught by everyone up stream. Good setnet sites are limited and people often try to 4 set nets as close to the mouth of tributary rivers as 5 they can. Reducing the distance between nets causes 6 7 crowding and reduces safety. Reduced distance will increase density of nets at the mouth of tributary 8 9 rivers and increase the likelihood that nets will be run over by boat traffic from villages and subsistence 10 activity especially at night. This poses a safety 11 issue and also increases likelihood of nets and boat 12 13 motors getting damaged. 14 15 Thank you. 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 18 questions from the Board. 19 20 MR. BROWER: Mr. Chair. Just to 21 clarify my -- did he say he opposed it or supported. 22 23 REPORTER: Ray. 24 25 MR. ONEY: Yeah, Mr. Chairman. For the 26 record, we did oppose FP21-02. 27 28 Thank you. 29 30 MR. BROWER: Thank you. 31 32 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for 33 that question and answer. Any other Regional Advisory Council Chairs like to speak to this proposal. 34 35 36 MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 37 This is Karen Deatherage, the Council Coordinator for the Western Interior Alaska Subsistence Regional 38 39 Advisory Council. I'm speaking on behalf of Jenny 40 Pelkola, who is the Acting Chair, with the Council's 41 recommendation for this proposal FP21-02. 42 43 The Western Interior Alaska Regional 44 Advisory Council unanimously opposes FP21-02. The Council is very concerned with the high potential of 45 nets being very close together under this regulation. 46 47 This would not only create crowding in traditional 48 fishing areas but also have the potential to impact 49 harvest. Salmon could be sucked into eddies on the 50

Page 367

river and be easily caught. This is especially 1 challenging right now due to recent low chum salmon 2 3 runs. The Council agrees with the Yukon Kuskokwim Delta Subsistence Regional Advisory Council that this 4 regulation would not protect the resource, would create 5 crowding and reduce in-season management capacity. 6 7 Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the 8 9 Board. 10 11 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, appreciate that. Any questions from the Board. 12 13 14 (No comments) 15 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 16 17 hearing none, any other Regional Advisory Council 18 Chairs who would like to speak tothis. 19 20 (No comments) 21 22 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay, hearing 23 none, I'll go ahead and move on to Alaska Native 24 Liaison. 25 26 MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Can 27 you hear me? 28 29 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, loud and 30 clear, Orville, you have the floor. 31 32 MR. LIND: Quyana. Orville Lind, 33 Native Liaison for the Office of Subsistence Management. Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and Board 34 members. During the consultation we -- consultation on 35 36 Fisheries Proposal 21-02, we did not have any questions or comments on that proposal. 37 38 39 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 40 41 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay, thank 42 you, Orville, appreciate that. We'll move on to Alaska 43 Department of Fish and Game, Ben Mulligan. 44 45 MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, Ben Mulligan, Alaska Department of Fish 46 47 and Game. ADF&G supports this proposal as it aligns 48 State and Federal regulations. 49 50

Just to give you a thumbnail 1 2 background, this proposal on the State side was put in at the last AYK Board meeting in 2019, and the original 3 proposal was from a citizen who asked to reduce that 4 distance to only 50 feet, which originally failed the 5 Board, but it was brought back up under reconsideration 6 7 on a compromised deal to 75 feet where it passed. 8 9 So, thank you, Mr. Chair, for letting 10 us provide our comments. 11 12 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Ben, appreciate that. Any questions for Ben. 13 14 15 (No comments) 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 18 thank you. No questions for the State, we'll go ahead 19 and ask the ISC to provide their comments. 20 21 MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Mr. Chair, 22 members of the Board. This is Katya Wessels. The 23 InterAgency Staff Committee provided the standard 24 comment on the Fisheries Proposal FP21-02. 25 26 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 27 28 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 29 Katya. I'll open the floor for Board discussion. 30 31 (No comments) 32 33 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, I 34 open the floor for Boardaction. 35 36 MR. SIEKANIEC: Mr. Chair, Greg with 37 the Fish and Wildlife Service. 38 39 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the 40 floor, Greg. 41 42 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 43 Yeah, I would like to move to adopt Fishery Proposal 44 21-02. This proposal is shown on Page 52 of the Board 45 book. Following a second, should I receive it, I will 46 explain why I intend to oppose my motion. 47 48 MR. PELTOLA: BIA, second. 49 50

MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you. I oppose 1 adopting Fishery Proposal 21-02 in deference to the 2 3 Yukon Delta and the Western Interior Regional Advisory Councils. Both Councils have clearly indicated that 4 they are concerned that reducing the spacing of setnets 5 to 75 feet in tributaries will increase potential 6 7 conflicts due to crowding of nets. During times of State management of the Kuskokwim drainage, subsistence 8 9 users will still have the option to setnet in tributaries under less restrictive State regulation. 10 When Federal public waters in the Kuskokwim drainage 11 12 are closed to non-subsistence users, the more 13 restrictive Federal regulation may help to reduce conflict and crowding of nets in tributaries especially 14 15 when good setnet sites are limited, as we have heard 16 from the two Regional AdvisoryCouncils. 17 18 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 19 20 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 21 2.2 MR. BROWER: Question. 23 24 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Question's been 25 called. Roll call, Sue, please. 26 27 MS. DETWILER: Okay. The motion is to 28 adopt Fisheries Proposal 21-02. 29 30 Starting with the maker of the motion, Greg Siekaniec, Fish and Wildlife Service. 31 32 33 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Sue. I 34 oppose as stated. 35 36 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 37 38 Moving on to Gene Peltola. 39 40 MR. PELTOLA: BIA opposes in deference 41 to the Regional Advisory Councils as the three criteria 42 in order to vote otherwise are not present in this 43 situation. 44 45 Thank you. 46 47 MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Gene. 48 49 Don Striker, Park Service. 50

REGULATORY MEETING

Page 370

MR. STRIKER: Park Service opposes for 1 2 the reasons given by mycolleagues. 3 4 Thank you. 5 6 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 7 8 Chad Padgett, BLM. 9 10 MR. PADGETT: I oppose for the reasons 11 as already articulated. Thank you. 12 1.3 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 14 15 Dave Schmid, Forest Service, or Wayne 16 Owen. 17 18 MR. OWEN: Forest Service opposes with 19 our colleagues. 20 21 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 22 23 Public Member Rhonda Pitka. 24 25 MS. PITKA: I oppose in deference to 26 the Western Interior Alaska Regional Advisory Council 27 and the Yukon Kuskokwim Delta Regional Advisory Council 28 as listed on Page 59 of the Board book. 29 30 Thank you. 31 32 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 33 34 Public Member Charlie Brower. 35 36 MR. BROWER: Oppose. Same as stated. 37 38 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 39 40 Chair Christianson. 41 42 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I oppose. 43 44 MS. DETWILER: Okay. So the vote is 45 unanimously no so the motion fails and Fishery Proposal 46 21-02 is not adopted. 47 48 So, Mr. Chair, I believe that brings us 49 to Fisheries Closure Review 21-07. 50

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay, Sue, 1 2 we'll call on Staff to provide the analysis. 3 4 (No comments) 5 6 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Did you hear 7 me, Sue, I was just -- said we could call on our Staff to provide the analysis on that, thank you. 8 9 10 MS. DETWILER: Yes, that would be Cory 11 Graham, and I see -- yeah, I see some folks are getting 12 disconnected. I don't know if Cory is on ornot. 13 14 MR. GRAHAM: No, I was struggling with 15 my mute button, I'm sorry. How am I coming through. 16 17 REPORTER: Great. 18 19 MS. DETWILER: We could hear you. 20 MR. GRAHAM: Okay. Hello, Mr. Chair, 21 22 members of the Board. My name is Cory Graham and I'ma 23 fisheries biologist with the Office of Subsistence 24 Management. I'll be presenting Fisheries Closure 25 Review 21-07 which can be found on Page 366 of your 26 Board book. 27 28 Fisheries Closure Review 21-07 reviews 29 the closure to the harvest of Arctic grayling in Nome 30 Creek of the Yukon River drainage by Federally-31 qualified subsistence users. The Federal Subsistence 32 Board adopted this closure from State regulations at 33 the beginning of the Federal Program in 1992 and it has not been reviewed or modified since. Under State 34 35 regulations, Nome Creek is closed to subsistence fishing. Sportfishing is allowed, however, all 36 37 grayling must be released. Sportfishing is limited to unbaited artificial lures or flies with a single hook 38 39 from April 1st through May 31st. Nome Creek is in the 40 White Mountain Special Recreation Management Area which 41 is administered by the Bureau of Land Management and is 42 approximately 50 air miles north of Fairbanks. 43 44 A mark/recapture study performed in 45 2000 estimated there were 419 grayling greater than 250 46 millimeters fork length in the upper section of Nome 47 Creek, abundance in the lower section was estimated to 48 be between 878 and 4,522 grayling greater than 180 49 millimeters fork length. 50

As noted before, sportfishing is 1 2 allowed but all grayling must be immediately released. 3 Mortality can occur during catch and release fishing 4 with previous estimates ranging from one to 10 percent 5 for Arctic grayling. 6 7 The OSM conclusion is to maintain 8 status quo. If this closure is maintained, Nome Creek 9 will continue to be closed to subsistence fishing for grayling and catch and release sportfishing will still 10 be allowed under State regulations. 11 12 13 The justification for maintaining the 14 closure is that grayling are susceptible to over-15 exploitation and Nome Creek is road accessible which allows for easy access and harvest of fish, therefore, 16 17 allowing a subsistence harvest has the potential for 18 local depletion or overharvest of these stocks. 19 20 And that concludes my presentation for 21 Fisheries Closure Review 21-07. 22 23 And we are prepared to take any 24 questions. 25 26 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 27 questions from the Board for Staff. 28 29 MR. SIEKANIEC: Mr. Chair, this is 30 Greg. 31 32 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Greg, you 33 have the floor. 34 35 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you. Yeah, 36 thanks for the introduction and presentation. So 37 grayling seem to be known to move around quite a bit, a lot of these fish, I apologize I don't recall from the 38 39 Board book, are they moving around quite a bit, have 40 there been any studies to see, are they moving into 41 areas where they are then subject to subsistence harvest and then, you know, moving back seasonally; do 42 you have any of that information? 43 44 45 MR. GRAHAM: Through the Chair. Thank 46 you for your question. My understanding is that 47 grayling in the area are using Nome Creek largely for spawning -- spawning areas in the spring and as feeding 48 49 grounds in the summer, and I believe there is exchange 50

Page 373 between Nome Creek and Beaver Creek and grayling are 1 suspectible to -- are allowed to be harvested under 2 3 subsistence regulations in BeaverCreek. 4 5 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 6 Thank you. 7 8 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 9 other questions from the Board. 10 MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair, BIA. 11 12 13 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Gene, go ahead, 14 you have the floor. 15 16 MR. PELTOLA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Ιf I recall, the way we currently stand it's closed to 17 18 subsistence take but open for sport. Do you have any population specific catch and release mortality 19 20 information for this population. 21 2.2 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 23 24 MR. GRAHAM: Through the Chair. Thank 25 you for your question. My understanding is that catch 26 and release mortality estimates that were included in the analysis are species specific but not necessarily 27 28 location specific to Nome Creek. 29 30 MR. PELTOLA: And one more followup, 31 Mr. Chair, if I could. For my memory, could you 32 express the catch and release mortality rates which may 33 be utilized and expressed towards this population that 34 were in the analysis? 35 36 MR. GRAHAM: Through the Chair. The 37 estimates that we gave, I believe, we were ranged between one and 10 percent mortality from catch and 38 39 release. 40 41 MR. PELTOLA: Thank you, much, 42 appreciate it. Thank you, Mr. Chair. 43 44 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, one 45 second, I'm having a personal issue right now, Rhonda, could you please take over. I'll be back on in five 46 47 minutes, Rhonda, thank you. 48 49 MS. PITKA: Absolutely. Does anybody 50

have any further questions for Mr. Graham. 1 2 3 MR. SCHMID: No, Rhonda, but this is 4 Dave Schmid, just to let you know I'm back on as well, 5 and thanks, Wayne, for covering. 6 7 MS. PITKA: Hi, Dave, thank you. So I 8 believe that we are at the summary of public comments, 9 is that true? 10 11 MS. DETWILER: Yes. Yes, Rhonda, 12 that's true. 13 14 MS. PITKA: Thank you. Could we get 15 the summary please. 16 17 MR. KRON: Madame Chair. This is Tom 18 Kron from OSM. There were no written public comments 19 on FCR21-7. 20 21 Thank you. 22 23 MS. PITKA: Thank you, Mr. Kron. T'd 24 like to open the floor right now to public testimony. 25 26 (No comments) 27 28 MS. PITKA: Operator, is there anyone 29 in the que. 30 31 OPERATOR: Apologies. If you would 32 like to make a public comment, please press star one. 33 One moment please. 34 35 (Pause) 36 37 OPERATOR: I am showing no public 38 comments at this time. 39 40 MS. PITKA: Thank you. I'd like to 41 hear the Regional Council recommendation. I believe 42 this is Eastern Interior. 43 44 MS. ENTSMINGER: Yes. This is Sue from the Eastern Interior, Sue Entsminger. And I've been 45 chatting with Andrew Firmin, the Vice Chair, and I'm 46 not sure if he's online and he was going to take this 47 48 up. Andrew, are you on? 49 50

Page 375

MR. FIRMIN: Hello, Sue, this is Andrew 1 2 Firmin, I'm on but I was just -- I just got on and I wasn't sure as to what -- which proposal we were 3 4 discussing right now. 5 6 MS. ENTSMINGER: Okay. 7 8 MS. PITKA: Andrew, this is Rhonda. 9 We're at Fisheries Closure Review 21-07 and we are now at the Regional Advisory Council recommendation. It's 10 in your Board book on Page 375. 11 12 13 MR. FIRMIN: I'm trying to get there on 14 my computer right now if you'll bear with me a moment. 15 16 (Pause) 17 18 MR. FIRMIN: Perhaps for sake of time 19 Sue can read what's there and I can elaborate some when 20 I get the meeting materials up in front of me. 21 2.2 MS. ENTSMINGER: Yes. 23 24 MS. PITKA: Go ahead, Sue. 25 26 MS. ENTSMINGER: All right, thank you. 27 On Page 375 the Eastern Interior, we voted to modify the closure by closing the Nome Creek drainage to the 28 29 harvest of grayling by all uses and users. And then in 30 your book you'll see the modified regulation, how it 31 should read. Do you want me to read all of that, or 32 just read our justification? 33 34 MS. PITKA: Your justification, it's 35 just your presentation, ma'am. 36 37 MS. ENTSMINGER: Okay. Because it's just the language for the book and I think all it did 38 39 is strike out subsistence fishing on the last page 40 there before our comment. 41 42 The Council said catch and release 43 fishing should not be allowed when conservation 44 concerns exist that preclude subsistence uses. The 45 Council thinks current regulations give priority and more liberal opportunities to sportfisheries over 46 47 Federally-qualified subsistence users. If the intent 48 of this closure is to protect the grayling population 49 from over exploitation, then the closure should be for 50

3

```
Page 376
```

all users and uses, including catch and release 1 sportfishing. It is well documented that the catch and 2 3 release fishing causes mortality which could affect a 4 small population of grayling. The Council's reason for 5 this modification is a conservation concern for grayling and any and all cases of grayling mortality 6 7 should be eliminated. The Council also noted that Nome Creek is not easily accessible or widely used and that 8 9 there are many other creeks in the area loaded with grayling such as the Deadwood Creek and Crooked Creek. 10 11 12 And if I may, I just -- I gota 13 message, I don't know -- this is how we voted at the 14 meeting but it seems like BLM noted to me that there 15 was some information from Fish and Game but it wasn't 16 at our meeting so I don't know how that will work out so I'm not going to get involved because it wasn't at 17 18 our meeting. 19 20 MS. PITKA: Thank you. Andrew, do you 21 have anything to add? Mr. Firmin. 22 23 MR. FIRMIN: I think Sue pretty well 24 covered it. I'm sorry, this is Andrew Firmin, the Vice 25 Chair of the Eastern Interior RAC. I just -- I think that that was a lot, just trying to remember our 26 27 discussion on it, that, as Sue stated, there are plenty 28 of other fishing opportunities in the area and if 29 conservation is a concern then the handling of the fish and the catch and release and the things like that was 30 31 what kind of took over our conversation at our meeting. 32 33 MS. PITKA: Thank you. Does anybody 34 have any questions for the Regional Council. 35 36 MR. PADGETT: Madame Chair, this is 37 Chad Padgett with BLM. 38 39 MS. PITKA: Yes, Mr. Padgett. 40 41 MR. PADGETT: Thank you. If it's 42 helpful for the RAC, we do have our subject matter experts with BLM who are in the public que that we 43 44 could have join. I think there might be some updated 45 information that maybe not have been available during 46 your meeting. Would that be helpful to present now or 47 we could wait until the motion as well? 48 49 MS. PITKA: I think it's fine to 50

Page 377 present it now, this is just discussion with the 1 Regional Advisory Chair, correct, it'll be fine. 2 3 4 MR. PADGETT: Okay, thanks. I'm not 5 sure who's on, John Haddix and Jim Herriges are on the line and so if they could be let in, they can probably 6 7 speak to the updated information. 8 9 MS. DETWILER: So Operator did you get those two names to let them -- open up their lines? 10 11 12 (No comments) 13 14 REPORTER: Or they could prompt with a 15 star one and that'll prompt the Operator. 16 17 OPERATOR: Jim has disconnected -- oh, 18 Jim is open now. 19 20 MS. PITKA: Okay, please proceed then. 21 22 MR. HERRIGES: Good afternoon, Madame 23 Chair and Board members. I'm Jim Herriges, I'm with the Eastern Interior Field Office of BLM. And I help 24 25 service the Subsistence RAC. The new information, I 26 guess, that Sue would have been referring to would --27 that the Board -- or that the Eastern Interior RAC didn't have when they were considering this is that --28 29 that BLM and Department of Fish and Game have made plans to do some -- some studies of grayling in Beaver 30 31 Creek and Nome Creek and -- and so that could be 32 utilized essentially to assess the -- the potential 33 effects of -- of a subsistence harvest, which was in the original analysis thought to be not sustainable so 34 -- and I don't have a lot of information on that but I 35 believe that someone from Fish and Game is probably on 36 37 line that could go into that a little bit more and -and about the -- the potential effects of allowing a 38 subsistence harvest as an alternative. 39 40 41 So that would have been the -- the 42 other alternative that was analyzed in the -- in the 43 Staff report. 44 45 MS. PITKA: Thank you. So I have a 46 question, so it was noted in the proposal earlier --47 the presentation earlier that this was a subsistence -spawning grounds, the spawning grounds for some of the 48 49 grayling, would that have an effect on the harvestable 50

Page 378

1	surplus?					
2						
3	Thank you.					
4 5	MD UEDDICEC, Voch I'm not o					
6	MR. HERRIGES: Yeah, I'm not a					
	fisheries biologist. It is this is Jim again. I					
7	that is the reason that one of the reasons that					
8	there's a catch and release fishery here now and it's					
9	the reason for the the specific single hook,					
10	unbaited regulation that's for a portion of the season					
11	that's in place. So so there would be some concern					
12	that if there was a a heavy harvest, there's					
13	significant recreational use of Nome Creek Valley, BLM					
14 15	has developed 18 miles of road and two campgrounds in					
16	the Valley and most of Nome Creek in that section is					
17	accessible. But as was alluded to in the in the comments in the Eastern Interior RAC discussion,					
18	there's a lot of creeks that have grayling fishing					
19	available that are closer to home for subsistence users					
20	so it's not anticipated that there would be a really					
21	a much interest amongst subsistence users of					
22	traveling into Nome Creek to fish for grayling if there					
23	was a limited harvest limit.					
24						
25	MS. PITKA: Thank you very much for					
26	that. Does anybody have any further questions for the					
27	Regional Council Chair.					
28						
29	(No comments)					
30						
31	MS. PITKA: So I see on my screen that					
32	the other Regional Advisory Councils voted to defer to					
33	the Eastern Interior. So I think at this moment we're					
34	at tribal and Alaska Native Corporation comments with					
35	Orville Lind.					
36						
37	MR. LIND: Yeah, can you hear me Madame					
38 39	Chair?					
40	MS. PITKA: Yes, thank you, Orville.					
40 41	MS. PIIKA. IES, Chank you, OIVIIIE.					
42	MR. LIND: Yes, good afternoon. Members					
43	of the Board. During the consultation session we did					
44	not have any questions or comments on 21-07.					
45	not have any queberone of commence on hi of.					
46	Thank you, Madame Chair.					
47						
48	MS. PITKA: Thank you, Mr. Lind.					
49	Alaska Department of Fish and Game comments, Ben					
50						

Page 379

1 Mulligan. 2 3 MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Madame Chair. For the record, Ben Mulligan, Alaska Department of Fish 4 5 and Game. The Department supports OSM's preliminary conclusion and opposes the proposed amendment by the 6 7 Eastern Interior RAC. 8 9 Nome Creek is located within the 10 State's Fairbanks non-subsistence area and elimination 11 of recreational opportunities within a popular Federally-designated recreational area would be 12 13 unnecessarily restrictive. And most conservative fishing regulations have already been implemented out 14 15 of abundance of caution, and that was done in 1995. But the level of fishing effort and associated hooking 16 mortality on this population is negligible and 17 18 sustainable and there is a level of exchange between 19 the grayling population between Nome and Beaver Creek, 20 as has already been said, which has the large 21 population. 22 23 I will say given the conversation that 24 we've heard and the conversations that have been had, 2.5 we know that there is the possibility for a small 26 subsistence fishery on that. In order to better 27 understand that population and neighboring population 28 there is going to be some joint work planned and I have 29 one of our area biologists, Lisa Stube, online and ready to take any questions but then also kind of 30 present what that study would do and any other 31 32 pertinent background on that grayling population she 33 feels the Board should know. 34 35 Thank you. 36 37 MS. PITKA: Thank you, Mr. Mulligan. 38 Does anybody have any questions for Mr. Mulligan or Ms. 39 Stube. 40 41 MR. MULLIGAN: It's up to them, I 42 offered it. 43 44 MS. PITKA: I would like to hear her 45 presentation on this particular study, though, if she's 46 online and available. 47 48 MR. MULLIGAN: I think she is. I think 49 you hit star one. 50

Page 380

MS. PITKA: Hi, is Ms. Stube online, 1 2 can she please present on the study. 3 4 MS. STUBE: Hello, can -- can you hear 5 me? 6 7 MS. PITKA: Yes, I can hear you now. 8 9 MS. STUBE: Okay. For the record I'm 10 Lisa Stube with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, 11 Division of SportFish and I am currently the Yukon area 12 management biologist. It excludes the Tanana River 13 though. 14 15 And I and Chris Clark, the new Bureau of Land Management fisheries biologist, we're working 16 on getting a radiotelemetry study going on Arctic 17 18 grayling in Nome and Beaver Creeks. Right -- right now we don't -- what we're hoping with the study is to be 19 20 able to deploy radio transmitters this summer and then track them for a good year and a half and be able to 21 22 actually document spawning areas because there is no 23 hard documentation of the spawning areas. Document 24 spawning areas within Beaver and the Nome Creek 25 tributary as well as oversummering areas, overwintering 26 areas. There was a study done back in 1993 using 27 radiotelemetry for overwintering but there hasn't been any work done since then. And also to note, timing and 28 29 movements of the fish, you know, between their 30 overwintering areas because they'll overwinter, you know, per the 1993 study much further down river, like 31 32 towards Wickersham Creek, and those areas, lower, you 33 know, a little bit lower in the river but still within 34 the White Mountains National Recreation Area. Get 35 timing of when they come in to Nome Creek. Also look 36 at exchange, too, between Arctic grayling within Beaver 37 Creek and Nome Creek and we're hoping, too, with this 38 telemetry study and answering these questions to be 39 able to design a future mark/recapture study to actually get a better handle on the population within 40 41 Nome Creek. 42 43 The study that was performed back in 44 2000 was kind of -- it was a -- kind of a separate 45 study on Beaver Creek from Little Champ -- from 46 Champion Creek on down to below -- well, the Big Ben, and the Nome Creek was separate but there were some 47 48 issues actually with it not being a closed system and, 49 you know, it -- and to satisfy the -- you know satisfy 50

the consistent, you know, Peterson, and having it be a, 1 you know, closed system and not have movement in and 2 3 out of the system wasn't satisfied, so we're hoping to 4 look and better understand the movements of the Arctic grayling and then hoping in 2023 to be able to use this 5 information to design an upcoming mark/recapture study 6 7 so -- so we better understand what the actual 8 population is. 9 10 MS. PITKA: Thank you, Ms. Stube, I 11 look forward to the results of that study. Does 12 anybody have any questions right now for Ms. Stube. 13 14 MR. SIEKANIEC: Madame Chair, this is 15 Greq. 16 17 MS. PITKA: Yes, Greq. 18 19 MR. SIEKANIEC: Yes, thank you. So it might be more for Ben. Ben, you had noted that there's 20 possibly room for some limited subsistence harvest, I 21 22 think I heard you say that and if that's correct, could 23 you give me -- do you have a little more information 24 around that, what you're thinking? 25 26 MR. MULLIGAN: Well, there's been some conversations with the local managers and BLM's local 27 folks and I got word that a compromise may be 28 29 presented, and that's why I prefaced that, you know, that I think it was five grayling but you'll have to 30 get the details from BLM. 31 32 33 MR. SIEKANIEC: Okay. Thank you, Ben. And then if you were designing a study and under ideal 34 35 conditions, what status would you like to see the river Is the way that it's being administered right now 36 in. 37 or if a subsistence fishery was added into that river, 38 does that complicate your study in some way where you 39 would have less confidence in potential results? 40 41 MR. MULLIGAN: I -- through the Chair. 42 Greq -- I will ask Lisa definitively for that, I would say I don't think that it would disturb the study too 43 44 much but she could give you a definitive answer on it. 45 46 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thanks Ben. 47 48 MS. STUBE: My apologies. Could you 49 please repeat the question. 50

1	MR. SIEKANIEC: Yeah, I was just						
2	asking, so you're designing a study and if you had an						
3	ideal study scenario, would it be under the conditions						
4	that the river is administered or managed right now, or						
5	would it change your confidence in the study if we had						
6	a limited subsistence harvest at the same time as we						
7	have a sportfish catch and release?						
8	have a sportrish catch and rerease:						
9	MS. STUBE: That's a very good						
10							
	question. I guess the only concern would be if the						
11	subsistence user were to catch a radiotagged Arctic						
12	grayling but we we will implant the transmitters via						
13	surgeries and usually there's always going to be a						
14	recovery time, and and we could put up informational						
15	signs too, to let folks know if you do catch an Arctic						
16	grayling, you know, that that is part of the study and						
17	you'll see an antenna, you know, coming out of it.						
18							
19	MR. SIEKANIEC: Right.						
20							
21	MS. STUBE: You know, to to, please,						
22	you know, let it go and/or, you know, to give me a						
23	call. I don't think this would be a problemat all. I						
24	mean we're planning on we're still working on the						
25	nuts and bolts of it and still getting a proposal						
26	together for when the call of proposals is issued, here						
27	probably in the next couple of weeks, but I believe						
28	we'll have enough radio transmitters deployed that if						
29	there was an occasional Arctic grayling that succumbs						
30	to subsistence mortality, that it should be fine.						
31							
32	MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you very much. I						
33	think that's what I was waiting to hear, was, that you						
34	can design that into your study and feel good about it.						
35							
36	MS. STUBE: Yes. Yes.						
37							
38	MR. SIEKANIEC: Yeah, thank you. Thank						
39	you, Madame Chair.						
40	you, Madame Chall.						
41	MS. PITKA: Thank you, very much. Does						
42							
42 43	anybody else have any furtherquestions.						
44 45	(No comments)						
46	MS. PITKA: If not I would like to go						
47	to the InterAgency Staff Committee comments.						
48							
49	MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Madame Chair.						
50							

```
Members of the Board. This is Katya Wessels.
 1
 2
 3
                     The InterAgency Committee has concerns
 4
     about a potential precedence being set if the Board
 5
     moved to maintain the closure to grayling fishing in
     Nome Creek by Federally-qualified subsistence users as
 6
 7
     recommended by the Office of Subsistence Management in
 8
     the FCR21-07 analysis. Doing so, would allow for a
 9
     catch and release sportfishery while not allowing for
     consumptive use of grayling by Federally-qualified
10
     subsistence users. This would appear to violate the
11
    priority consumptive use clause found in ANILCA,
12
13
     .802(2).
14
15
                     As stated in the analysis for FCR21-07,
16
     grayling are very suspectible to over-exploitation.
     And even though the sportfishery currently in place in
17
18
     the area is catch and release only, there is associated
     mortality. In one study as high as 10 percentas
19
20
     indicated in the analysis. And any mortality should be
21
     considered as take.
22
23
                     The InterAgency Staff Committee
24
    believes that the modification proposed by the Eastern
     Interior Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council,
25
     which seeks to close the fishery to all users is more
26
     appropriate if the intent of the current closure to
27
     Federally-qualified subsistence users is to conserve
28
29
     the grayling resource from over-exploitation.
30
31
                     Thank you, Madame Chair.
32
33
                     MS. PITKA: Thank you, very much,
     Katya. I'd like to open it for Board discussion.
34
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     MS. PITKA: Hearing none, I'd like to
     open the floor for Board action.
39
40
41
                     MR. PADGETT: Madame Chair, Chad
42
     Padgett, BLM.
43
44
                     MS. PITKA: Go ahead, Mr. Padgett.
45
46
                     MR. PADGETT: Thank you. Madame Chair.
47
     I move to modify the closure for FCR21-07, to replace
48
     the closure to subsistence harvest of Arctic grayling
49
     in Nome Creek with an allowable subsistence harvest of
50
```

3

Page 384 five fish per day, which is the limit currently in 1 2 place down stream of the mouth of Nome Creek. 3 4 The analysis for FCR21-07 can be found 5 on Page 366 of your meeting materials booklet. 6 7 If I get a second, I will explain why I 8 intend to support my motion. 9 10 MR. PELTOLA: BIA second. 11 12 MR. BROWER: Second. 13 14 MR. PADGETT: Thank you. The current 15 State catch and release fishery does not represent a conservation concern and such concern is not supported 16 by substantial evidence. This proposal would provide 17 18 an opportunity for subsistence harvest and a 19 subsistence priority not currently in regulation. The 20 level of interest among subsistence users of traveling into Nome Creek, given the abundance of grayling 21 22 fisheries much closer to home, is likely to be quite 23 low. The harvest pressure and grayling population size 24 and characteristics can be monitored and if a 25 conservation concern arises, further restrictions could 26 be considered. 27 28 Although subsistence harvest does have 29 the potential to result in depletion or overharvest of the fishery in Nome Creek, the level of subsistence 30 31 harvest is likely to be low and can be monitored. 32 33 BLM is planning to begin studies in 2021, including a radiotag movement study followed by a 34 population study, which will allow estimation of 35 36 effects of limited harvest and/or sportfishing related 37 mortality. 38 39 The proposed regulation would make the 40 subsistence regulations in Nome Creek the same as those 41 currently in place from the mouth of Nome Creek down stream to the mouth of O'BrienCreek. 42 43 44 The amended regulatory language should 45 read as follows: 46 47 In Beaver Creek down stream from the 48 confluence of Moose Creek a gillnet with mesh size not 49 to exceed three inches stretch measure may be used from 50

June 15th through September 15th. You may subsistence 1 fish for all non-salmon species but may not target 2 3 salmon during this time period. Retention of salmon take and incidentally to non-salmon directed fisheries 4 is allowed. The Beaver Creek drainage down stream to 5 the confluence of Moose Creek, only rod and reel may be 6 7 used. Nome Creek and Beaver Creek down stream to the confluence of O'Brien Creek, the daily harvest and 8 9 possession limit is five grayling. From the mouth of O'Brien Creek down stream to the confluence of Moose 10 Creek the daily harvest and possession limit is 10 11 12 grayling. 13 With that I'll conclude mymotion. 14 15 16 MS. PITKA: Thank you, Mr. Padgett. 17 18 MR. BROWER: Question. 19 20 MS. PITKA: Ouestion's been called. 21 2.2 MR. PELTOLA: Madame Chair, BIA. 23 24 MS. PITKA: Yes, sir. 25 26 MR. PELTOLA: Thank you. I'd like to 27 ask a question of our Solicitor, Ken Lord. The Board 28 is currently in the process of a closure review, 29 according to policy, regulation and procedure, is that -- and this is not a harvest proposal, would the Board 30 be acting within our authority by amending a closure 31 32 review? 33 34 Thank you, Madame Chair. 35 36 MS. PITKA: Mr. Lord. 37 38 MR. LORD: Yeah, that question was just raised by Staff as well. I'll tell you what, can I 39 40 just take a minute to review what's going on here 41 exactly and think about that? 42 43 MS. PITKA: Yeah, so we can take a 44 short five minutes. 45 46 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, I concur, this is -- I'm back on now Rhonda and I thank you for 47 48 doing a good job. 49 50

3

		Pac	ge 386
1 2		MS. PITKA: Thank you.	
2 3 4		MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair.	
5		CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yep.	
6 7 8 9 10 11	that up, that FC	MS. DEATHERAGE: This is Karen ust want to let Ken know as he's looking CR21-04 also had a modification and this er the consensusagenda.	
12		Thank you.	
13 14 15 16	We'll take five	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. minutes to clarify.	
17		(Off record)	
18 19		(On record)	
20 21 22 23 24	quickly go throu for people to ga	MS. DETWILER: This is Sue, I'll just ugh the roll call while we're waiting ather up.	
25 26		Don Striker, are you back.	
27 28		(No comments)	
29 30		MS. DETWILER: Greg Siekaniec.	
31 32 33	you.	MR. SIEKANIEC: I'm here, Sue, thank	
34 35		MS. DETWILER: Gene Peltola.	
36 37		MR. PELTOLA: Roger, Roger 10-4.	
38 39		MS. DETWILER: Chad Padgett.	
40		MR. PADGETT: I'm on.	
41 42 42		MS. DETWILER: Dave Schmid.	
43 44		MR. SCHMID: I'm here.	
45 46 47 48	you.	MS. DETWILER: Rhonda, I just heard	
40 49 50		Charlie Brower, are you on.	

Page 387 1 (No comments) 2 3 MS. DETWILER: Tony Christianson, did 4 you come on. 5 6 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, I'm on 7 now, too, Sue, thank you. 8 9 MS. DETWILER: Okay. So it looks like we're only missing Don Striker and Charlie Brower. 10 11 12 MS. PITKA: Tony, I heard Ken Lord say 13 he was back on. 14 15 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay, thank 16 you. 17 18 MR. STRIKER: (Indiscernible) 19 20 MS. DETWILER: Don Striker, was that 21 you? 22 23 MR. STRIKER: (Indiscernible) 24 25 MS. DETWILER: I'm sorry, could --26 whoever just joined and was trying to say something 27 could you repeat that please. 28 29 MR. STRIKER: Yeah, I (indiscernible). 30 31 MS. DETWILER: I'm sorry, Don, I'm 32 thinking that's you but can you confirm. 33 34 MR. STRIKER: (Indiscernible). 35 36 MS. WESSELS: So this is Katya, 37 Operator is telling me that it's him. 38 39 MS. DETWILER: That Don Striker is on? 40 41 MS. WESSELS: Yeah, that's what she's 42 saying. 43 44 MS. DETWILER: Could she tell if 45 Charlie Brower's on yet? 46 47 MS. WESSELS: Okay, I'll ask her. 48 49 MR. BROWER: Yeah, I've been on. 50

Page 388

```
MS. WESSELS: Okay, I guess, yeah, he
1
 2
    said himself.
 3
 4
                     MS. DETWILER: So Don Striker is trying
5
    to dial back in but, Mr. Chair, we do have Ken Lord and
 6
    the other seven Board members on while Don is trying to
7
     call in.
8
9
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, if they're
10
    ready to proceed we'll start now.
11
12
                     MR. LORD: Okay, Mr. Chair, this is
    Ken. Sorry about that, I had to do a bit of thinking,
13
14
    we're in unchartered waters here and conferred with
15
    Theo. My recommendation is that the best way to ensure
    appropriate opportunity for public comment as well as
16
     actually for the RACs to weigh in, would be to treat
17
    this as either -- at the moment for either, as a
18
    binary decision, either opened or closed, and if the
19
20
    Board decides to open then, then invite a special
    action request in the short-term, and a proposal in the
21
22
    long-term that would allow for the appropriate input
23
    and transparency in terms of setting seasons and bag
24
     limits.
25
26
                     While it's probably not just to this
27
    proposal but also 21-04, which is on the consensus
28
    agenda.
29
30
                     MR. SIEKANIEC: Mr. Chair, this is
31
    Greg.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the
34
    floor, Greq.
35
36
                     MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you. Ken, thanks
37
     for that, I'm just trying to make sure I'm following
    this in the simplest way, perhaps, is we should either
38
    maintain the closure or get rid of it, that's sort of
39
40
    the base of our decisionmaking today, and then.....
41
42
                     MR. LORD: Yes.
43
44
                     MR. SIEKANIEC: .....if we get rid of
45
    the closure, we should encourage a proposal to be put
46
    in front of us?
47
48
                     MR. LORD: Yeah, that would -- for the
49
    short-term a special action request.
50
```

Page 389 MR. SIEKANIEC: Okay, yep, yep. 1 2 3 MR. LORD: And for the longer term a 4 proposal, yeah, exactly. 5 6 MR. SIEKANIEC: Okay, thank you. 7 MR. PADGETT: Mr. Chair, this is Chad 8 9 Padgett with BLM. 10 11 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Chad, you have the floor. 12 13 14 MR. PADGETT: Thank you. Based on the 15 new information procedurally here it sounds like the best course of action, and, Ken, I'll try and get this 16 right but pay attention so I do. But procedurally it 17 18 sounds like it would be best for me to withdraw my 19 motion; would that be the best course going forward and 20 then reintroduce a different motion on the thumbs up or 21 down on the closure? 22 23 MR. LORD: With the approval of the 24 second, that would be a good way to do it. 25 26 MR. PADGETT: Okay. In that case I'd 27 like to -- Mr. Chair, I'd like to withdraw my motion, 28 if I could get a second. 29 30 MR. SIEKANIEC: Second, Fish and 31 Wildlife Service. 32 33 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes and yes. 34 35 MR. PADGETT: Thank you. Okay, so I 36 think at this point I can make a new motion. 37 38 So the new motion I'd like to make, I'm 39 sorry I'm doing this on the fly so my -- I apologize 40 for the wording here. But my new motion, I'd like to 41 keep status quo as far as the closure goes. So the new 42 motion is just to keep the status quo. 43 44 MR. SIEKANIEC: Second, Fish and 45 Wildlife Service. 46 47 MR. PADGETT: Thank you. 48 49 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. A 50

1/28/2021

Page 390 motion's been made and seconded, any further discussion 1 2 on the floor. 3 4 MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair, BIA. 5 6 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, BIA, you 7 have the floor. 8 9 MR. PELTOLA: I have a question for BLM. Chad, are you prepared to take additional action, 10 with potentially a special action in place at this 11 12 meeting or -- or not. I'm trying to see where we're 13 going to go from this. 14 MR. PADGETT: You bet. I think we'll 15 have to discuss and see if we can't get a new proposal 16 17 in front of the Board. 18 19 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay. So 20 that's the understanding that we're just going to develop a new proposal on this for the next cycle or 21 22 next meeting? 23 24 MR. PADGETT: It sounds like it will be 2.5 the next cycle. 26 27 MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair, if I may, BIA. 28 29 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Gene, 30 that's what I was just trying to make sure we had 31 clarification. You have the floor. 32 33 MR. PELTOLA: So one thing for consideration, Chad, is an emergency special action 34 which could take place later on in this season and 35 could be implemented before, you know, the ice melts, 36 37 if you wanted to consider that route, or a temporary special action which would just entail having a meeting 38 39 -- a public meeting within the affected area, and if 40 that's the route you want to consider, you might want 41 to consider a deferral until a later date on this if 42 you want to implement your -- your negotiated -- or your alternative option to close, maintain the status 43 44 quo, open or closing. 45 46 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 47 48 MR. PADGETT: Thanks, Gene. I think at 49 this point -- through the Chair. I think at this point 50

we'll discuss it as a special action and make sure that 1 we do it right and get it in front of the appropriate 2 3 folks. 4 5 Thanks. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 8 other further Board discussion, questions or 9 deliberation. 10 (No comments) 11 12 13 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for the 14 question. 15 MR. SIEKANIEC: Question. 16 17 18 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Roll call, Sue, 19 please. 20 21 MS. DETWILER: Okay, the motion is to 22 maintain the status quo on FCR21-07. 23 24 And starting with the motion maker, 25 Chad Padgett. 26 27 MR. PADGETT: Thanks, Sue, I support my 28 motion. 29 30 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 31 32 Gene Peltola. 33 34 MR. PELTOLA: Could you come back to me 35 shortly, I'm having discussion with my Staff. 36 37 MS. DETWILER: Yes. 38 39 Greg Siekaniec. 40 41 MR. SIEKANIEC: I support the motion to 42 maintain status quo with the expectation that we would 43 see a special action request as Chad indicated. 44 45 Thank you. 46 47 MR. PELTOLA: BIA's ready. 48 49 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 50

Page 392 Don -- I'm sorry, Gene. 1 2 3 MR. PELTOLA: BIA's ready to cast their 4 vote. 5 6 MS. DETWILER: Okay, go -- go ahead, 7 Gene. 8 9 MR. PELTOLA: BIA supports the vote and the justification provided by the Fish and Wildlife 10 Service. 11 12 13 MS. DETWILER: I'm -- I'm sorry, Gene, 14 could you repeat that. 15 MR. PELTOLA: Support for the same 16 17 justification as provided by..... 18 19 MS. DETWILER: Okay. 20 21 MR. PELTOLA:the Fish and 22 Wildlife Service. 23 24 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 25 26 Don Striker. 27 28 MR. REAM: Hi, Sue, this is Joshua 29 Ream. Don Striker's having trouble getting back on the 30 call and said that I should go ahead and vote for the 31 Park Service if..... 32 33 MS. DETWILER: Okay. 34 35 MR. REAM:that's okay with the 36 Board. The Park Service supports the motion for the 37 reasons articulated by the other agencies. 38 39 Thank you. 40 41 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 42 43 Moving to Dave Schmid, Forest Service. 44 45 MR. SCHMID: Yeah, the Forest Service also supports the motion that maintains the status quo 46 and like the Fish and Wildlife Service, with the 47 expectation this would come back as a special action. 48 49 50

1	Thank you.
$\begin{array}{c}2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\1\\2\\3\\1\\4\\5\\1\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\2\\2\\3\\4\\2\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\0\\1\\2\\2\\3\\3\\4\end{array}$	MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you.
	Public Member Rhonda Pitka.
	MS. PITKA: I vote to maintain the status quo on FCR21-07. I would also like to ask that we have the specific information around the effects of opening a fishery in a spawningground.
	Thank you.
	MS. DETWILER: Thank you.
	Public member Charlie Brower.
	MR. BROWER: Support as stated.
	MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you.
	Chair Tony Christianson.
	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support.
	MS. DETWILER: Okay. The motion passes unanimously to maintain the statusquo.
	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right.
	MS. DETWILER: That leads yeah.
	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Sue, you have the floor
35 36	MR. STRIKER: Mr. Chair.
37 38 39	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:call the next order of business please.
40 41	MR. STRIKER: Mr. Chair, Park Service.
42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Don, you have the floor.
	MR. STRIKER: So if it pleases the Board, first of all I'm back. And second of all I noticed a problem with FP21-14 and if it's okay I'd like to just take a minute to talk about that one

1 2	before we move on.
3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, you have
4	the floor, Don.
5	
6	MR. STRIKER: All right, thanks. So
7	Staff brought it to my attention that the general
8	description that I was using to formulate my motion
9	doesn't appear to match the proposed regulation. I was
10	thinking that the intent was not to be able to have
11	fishfinders in operation while fishing from the boats,
12	but a closer read of the proposed regulation says that
13	you can't even have the device on board.
14	
15	I don't think that's within thepurview
16	of the Board to make a statementlike that. And I'm
17	just thinking that maybe, and, maybe Ken can dig me out
18	of this mess, too, but could we clarify that the
19	intention of the Board was to was not to have that
20	language that was sort of, you're not even allowed to
21	have it on board, interpretation of this.
22	
23	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Don.
24	Any questions for Don.
25	
26	(No comments)
27	
28	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hello,
29	Operator, can you hear me?
30	
31	MR. PELTOLA: Yeah, we can hear you.
32	inter redrointer round, we can near you?
33	MS. DETWILER: Yeah, so this is Sue,
34	and I guess as far as making motions, I guess I would
35	ask if we actually need to make any motions on that or
36	if that's something that we could just deal with based
37	on the record here, just make sure that that is what
38	eventually goes into the regulation.
39	
40	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I would just
41	ask that the maker and the second have concurrence with
42	the new information and that we would direct Staff to
43	go ahead and inject that into the regulation and make
44	sure we just clearly have that stated on the record.
45	
46	Thank you, Sue.
47	
48	MR. SIEKANIEC: Mr. Chair, this is
49	Greg.
50	

1 2	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Greg.
3 4	MR. SIEKANIEC: I was having trouble hearing you, what is your recommendation?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I was just saying as long as we have first and second maker of the motion concurring, that, Sue's recommendation of just making sure that it's included in the regulation to reflect that change, that we just move ahead.
12 13 14 15	MR. SIEKANIEC: And the change being that we're not requiring the removal of equipment, just that
16 17	MR. STRIKER: That's right.
18 19 20	MR. SIEKANIEC:just using the equipment
20 21 22	MR. STRIKER: Mr. Chair.
23	MR. SIEKANIEC:is that
24 25	REPORTER: Okay, just one at a time,
26 27	please.
28	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: So, Don, can
29 30 31	you restate that for Greg so he can clearly understand it.
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	MR. STRIKER: Yeah, so I I was relying on the general description and the intent of that is that you don't have a fishfinder in operation while fishing from the boat, and that's how the regulation should read, not it should not read that you can't even have the device on board.
39 40	MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair, BIA.
41 42 43	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, BIA, go ahead.
44 45 46 47	MR. PELTOLA: Yes, Don, the Bureau of Indian Affairs voted to support that with the interpretation of prohibit theuse.
47 48 49 50	Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for 1 that. That sums it up and just make sure that the 2 3 regulation.... 4 5 MR. PADGETT: Mr. Chair, Chad Padgett, 6 BLM. 7 8 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Chad, you 9 have the floor. 10 11 MR. PADGETT: Thank you. Procedurally, 12 wouldn't this require an amendment to the motion? Ken 13 would have to answer that question, I think. 14 15 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I think, myself, as the Chair, it seems Gene just did a good job 16 stating that: prohibit the use of, clearly, you don't 17 18 have to take it off the boat. 19 20 MR. LORD: Yeah, agreed, Mr. Chair, 21 this is Ken. Everything in that analysis talks about 22 limiting the use and it's only the language of the 23 proposed regulation at the beginning of text about not having one on board and so that was where the lack of 24 25 clarity came in. And I think as long as the Boardis 26 clear on its intent, any mechanism by which we accomplish that would be sufficient for Theo to be able 27 28 to draft the appropriate regulation. 29 30 MR. PADGETT: Thank you. 31 32 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any further 33 discussion on this. 34 35 (No comments) 36 37 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, thank you, it's through, I think we gave the Staff 38 39 enough to go ahead and develop that. And, Sue, I'll 40 call on you for the next order of business. 41 42 MS. DETWILER: Okay. So the next order 43 of business is adoption of the consensus agenda and I 44 would note that on the consensus agenda is Fisheries 45 Closure Review 21-04, which does the same thing as what 46 we just discovered we cannot do with Fishery Closure 47 Rev -- 21-07, which is to establish a -- establish a 48 harvest limit, so we'll have to figure out what to do 49 with that consensus agenda item, FCR21-04. 50

1/28/2021

Page 397 1 MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair, BIA. 2 3 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, go ahead, 4 Gene. 5 6 MR. PELTOLA: Yeah, thank you, Mr. Chair. Might I recommend that we remove -- remove 21-7 8 04 from the consensus, take it up individually, then we 9 could act upon the consensus agenda for the remaining actions. 10 11 12 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I think if 13 there's no opposition from any other Board member for that I think that should be something we could do. 14 15 MR. SIEKANIEC: No opposition from Fish 16 17 and Wildlife Service. 18 19 MR. BROWER: None from Public Member 20 here. 21 2.2 MR. SCHMID: None from Forest Service. 23 MR. STRIKER: None from Park Service. 24 25 26 MR. PELTOLA: And none from BIA. 27 28 MS. PITKA: I'm good. 29 30 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. So 31 we'll go ahead and pull that one from there with the 32 information that was provided to us earlier and move 33 forward with the remaining consensus agenda items. And entertain a motion at this time. 34 35 36 (No comments) 37 38 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hello, 39 Operator, can you hear me? 40 41 OPERATOR: Yes, I hear you, are you 42 wanting to open for public comment, sir? 43 44 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah, we're 45 going to listen to the Staff analysis and then move on 46 with the consensus agenda. 47 48 MS. DETWILER: So, Mr. Chair, did you 49 -- let's see -- just to clarify, we're moving on with 50

1/28/2021

Page 398

the consensus agenda, did you want Staff member George 1 Pappas to read the consensus agenda and then move to 2 Board deliberation and Board action on the consensus 3 4 agenda? 5 6 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes. Yes, that 7 was the order of business, I was calling for the Staff to provide the summary of the consensus agenda. 8 9 10 Thank you. 11 12 MS. DETWILER: Okay, thank you. 13 14 MR. PAPPAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 15 George Pappas.... 16 17 MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair. I'm sorry, 18 George -- Mr. Chair, this is Katya Wessels. If we move 19 the FCR21-04 off the consensus agenda, don't we need to 20 first listen to the presentation on that before we vote 21 on the consensus agenda is introduced? 22 23 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I think either way works. I mean we can get this off and then go 24 25 back, or we can do it either way. Is there a preference by anybody on the Board, we do it one way or 26 27 the other? 28 29 MR. SIEKANIEC: Mr. Chair, this is 30 Greq. I see we T-up the consensus agenda as George is 31 ready to go. 32 33 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I agree. Let's go with George and we'll back up to the one we just 34 35 pulled and we'll run through the whole process for that 36 non-consensus agenda item. 37 38 Thank you. 39 40 MR. PAPPAS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 41 George Pappas. I will go through the consensus agenda 42 noting that the two proposals have been pulled off. 43 44 So this is Agenda Item 7, Page II of 45 your book. The following are brief summaries of 46 fisheries proposals on the consensus agenda as well as 47 the recommendations for each proposal and what page or on, fishery proposal, occur in your book. 48 49 50

I'm going to start with FP21-01. 1 The request repeals -- repealing the closure to subsistence 2 3 fishing prior to, during and after State commercial 4 fishing periods in the Kuskokwim District 1 and 2 for salmon. The recommendation is to support the proposal 5 and it can be found on Page 39 of your meeting 6 7 materials book. 8 9 The second proposal here on the 10 consensus agenda is FP21-03 that requests modification 11 language to clarify set gillnet orientation in the tributaries of the Kuskokwim River forall fish. The 12 13 recommendation is to support the proposal and it can be 14 found on Page 67 of your book. 15 The next one, FP21-05 seeks to repeal 16 subsistence regulatory language referring to State 17 18 commercial fishing districts in Bristol Bay area for 19 salmon. The recommendation is to support this proposal 20 and it can be found on Page 68 of your book. 21 22 Next one, FP21-06 seeks to modify 23 subsistence regulations for the Bristol Bay area to 24 include dipnet, beach seine and gillnets for salmon. 25 The recommendation is to oppose the proposal and it can be found on Page 79 of your book. 26 27 The next one is FP21-07 seeking to 28 29 repeal time restrictions for harvesting salmon in the Egegik River. The recommendation is to support the 30 proposal and it can be found on Page 93 of your meeting 31 32 materials book. 33 34 Next one is FP21-08 seeks to repeal 35 prohibition of harvesting fish 300 feet from the stream 36 mouth used by salmon for the Bristol Bay area. The 37 recommendation is to oppose the proposal and it can be 38 found on Page 99 of your meeting materials book. 39 40 And next one, FP21-09 which seeks to 41 modify naming conventions of early or late run Kenai River chinook salmon with specific date ranges. The 42 43 recommendation is to support the proposal and it can be found on Page 109 of your meetingbook. 44 45 46 The next one is Fisheries Closure 47 Review 21-01 which requests to rescind the closure to 48 Federally-qualified subsistence users in the Yukon [sic] drainage for chinook salmon. And the 49 50

3

recommendation is to retain status quo and it can be 1 2 found on Page 330 of your meeting materials book. 3 4 The next Fisheries Closure Reviewis 5 FCR21-06 seeks to rescind the closure to Federallyqualified subsistence users in the Toklat River for all 6 7 fish. The recommendation is to support eliminating the 8 closure and that can be found on Page 356 of your 9 meeting materials book. 10 11 Next one is FCR21-22 seeks to rescind 12 the closure to all except Federally-qualified users in Makhnati Island Federal public waters for herring and 13 their spawn. The recommendation is to maintain the 14 15 status quo. The proposal can be found on Page 464 of 16 your materials -- meeting materials book. 17 18 And, again, the Board retains the final 19 authority for removal of proposals from the consensus agenda. The Board will take final action on these 20 fisheries proposals on the consensus agenda at this 21 22 time. 23 24 Thank you very much for your time, sir. 25 26 Standing by. 27 28 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 29 George. Appreciate you taking the time to present us with the consensus agenda. Any questions from the 30 Board for George. 31 32 33 (No comments) 34 35 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 36 hearing none.... 37 38 MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair, BIA. 39 40 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, BIA, you 41 have the floor. 42 43 MR. PELTOLA: BIA moves to accept the 44 consensus agenda a presented to the Board. 45 46 MR. SIEKANIEC: Fish and Wildlife, 47 second. 48 49 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Motion's been 50

Page 401 1 made and a second, any discussion. 2 3 4 (No comments) 5 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, 6 call for the question. 7 8 MR. BROWER: Question. 9 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Question's been 10 11 called by Charlie. Sue, roll call, please. 12 MS. DETWILER: Okay. Motion to accept 13 14 the consensus agenda. 15 Gene Peltola, BIA. 16 17 18 MR. PELTOLA: Accept. 19 MS. DETWILER: Greg Siekaniec, Fish and 20 21 Wildlife Service. 22 23 MR. SIEKANIEC: I accept the consensus 24 agenda, thank you, Sue. 25 26 MS. DETWILER: Don Striker, Park 27 Service. 28 29 MR. STRIKER: We accept the consensus 30 agenda, thanks. Sue. 31 32 MS. DETWILER: Chad Padgett, BLM. 33 34 MR. MCKEE: Sue, this is Chris, Chad had to step out briefly so I'll act in his stead. BLM 35 36 accepts. 37 38 MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Chris. 39 40 Dave Schmid, Forest Service. 41 42 MR. SCHMID: Yeah, Forest Service 43 accepts the consensus agenda. 44 45 MS. DETWILER: Public Member Rhonda 46 Pitka. 47 48 MS. PITKA: Yes, I accept, thank you. 49 50

3

Page 402 1 MS. DETWILER: 2 3 Public Member Charlie Brower. 4 5 MR. BROWER: Yes. 6 7 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 8 9 Chair Tony Christianson. 10 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes. 11 12 13 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Motion 14 passes unanimously, the consensus agenda is accepted. 15 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Now, Sue, I 16 17 quess we will step back to the ones that we pulled off 18 so we could address the non-consensus item we have remaining on our table today. 19 20 21 MS. DETWILER: So, I believe we have 22 just the one that was just pulled off the consensus 23 agenda, 21 -- Fisheries Closure Review 21-04. 24 25 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes. And if we 26 could have the Staff come up and give us a brief summary of that so we could run through the process of 27 28 consideration. 29 30 Thank you. 31 32 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. And I -- I'm 33 sorry. 34 MR. BROWER: Was that 21-04? 35 36 37 MS. DETWILER: Fisheries Closure Review 21-04, yes. 38 39 40 MR. BROWER: Okay, thank you. 41 MR. GRAHAM: Hello, Mr. Chair, Members 42 43 of the Board. This is Cory Graham again, and I'ma 44 fisheries biologist with the Office of Subsistence 45 Management. I'll be presenting Fisheries Closure 46 Review 21-04 which can be found on Page 339 of your 47 Board book. This closure review reviews the closure to 48 the harvest of all fish in the Jim River drainage by 49 Federally-qualified subsistence users. 50

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	The Federal Subsistence Board adopted this closure from State regulations at the beginning of the Federal program in 1992 and it has not been reviewed or modified since. Under State regulations, Jim River is closed to subsistence fishing but sportfishing is allowed. Three miles of Jim River exists within the Kanuti Refuge boundary, the remainder of the Jim River drainage is on general domain lands managed by the Bureau of Land Management.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Coho, chum and chinook salmon spawn in the Jim River. Aerial surveys that were conducted from 2009 to 2012 and in 2015 indicated there were, on average, 183 chinook salmon and 462 chum salmon counted each year. There is no escapement goal for any salmon species in this drainage. The predominant non-salmon fish found in the Jim River drainage include Arctic grayling, burbot, round whitefish and slimy sculpin. Population estimates conducted for grayling in the Jim River indicated there were between 5,100 and 5,400 Arctic grayling in a 10 kilometer stretch near the Dalton Highway in 1995; and approximately 12,000 Arctic grayling over a 21.3 kilometer section of the river in 1997.
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Communities in the vicinity of the Jim River include Wiseman, Coldfoot, Evansville and Bettles. Wiseman has a documented use area for non- salmon fish that includes the Jim River and areas adjacent to the Dalton Highway. Important non-salmon species include grayling, sucker and burbot.
33 34 35 36 37 38 39	If this closure is eliminated Federal subsistence regulations for the Yukon area would apply. Federal subsistence fishing schedules, openings, closings and fishing methods would be the same as those issued by State emergency order unless superseded by a Federal special action.
40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	The OSM conclusion is to eliminate the closure and modify regulations to allow subsistence fishing by rod and reel only. Currently this drainage is closed to fishing by Federally-qualified subsistence users but remains open to other uses, which does not allow a subsistence priority. OSM recommends that subsistence harvest be restricted to rod and reel to protect healthy populations in the system. Under OSM's recommendation, subsistence rod and reel harvest limits would mirror State sportregulations.

Page 404 And that concludes my presentation and 1 2 we're prepared to take anyquestions. 3 4 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 5 Appreciate you being ready to do that on the fly. Any 6 questions from the Board. 7 8 (No comments) 9 10 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right, 11 hearing none, we'll move on to the next part of the 12 process. We'll listen to the summary of public comment. 13 14 15 OPERATOR: If you would like to make a 16 public comment, please press starone. 17 18 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Sorry, 19 Operator, at this time I'm asking the Staff if there's 20 any public comments that were received. 21 2.2 Thank you. 23 24 OPERATOR: Thank you. 25 26 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: And then we'll 27 go to the.... 28 29 MS. WESSELS: Mr. Chair, this is Katya 30 Wessels. 31 32 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Katya, you 33 have the floor. 34 35 MS. WESSELS: Yeah, Mr. Chair. Thank 36 you, Mr. Chair and Members of the Board. We did not 37 receive any written public comments on FCR21-04. 38 39 Thank you. 40 41 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Katya. We'll, at this time, Operator, open up the line 42 43 to any public who may want to testify at this time, 44 this is their opportunity. 45 46 OPERATOR: Thank you. Once, again, if 47 you would like to make a public comment, please press 48 star one. One moment please. 49 50

1 2	(Pause)
3 4	OPERATOR: I'm showing no public comments at this time.
5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Operator. And with that we'll go ahead and move on to Regional Advisory Council recommendation, RAC Chair or designee.
10 11 12	(No comments)
13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: No RACs wish to speak to this, calling on any RAC who wishes to speak to this proposal at this time, now would be your opportunity.
18 19	OPERATOR: Please hit star one.
20 21 22 23	MS. DEATHERAGE: Mr. Chair, this is Karen Deatherage. So are you asking for the Regional Advisory Council's recommendation for FCR21-04?
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, I'm just seeing if any of the RAC Chairs want to chime in on it, we did pull it off the consensus and made it non- consensus. Just trying to give everybody an opportunity to speak to the proposal before we deliberate and vote on it.
31 32	Thank you.
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	MS. DEATHERAGE: With the Chair's permission I'd be happy to speak on behalf of Jenny Pelkola, the Acting Chair for the Western Interior Alaska Council, and this recommendation for this fisheries closure review.
39 40	Thank you.
41 42 43	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the floor, thank you.
44 45 46 47 48 49 50	MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The Western Interior Alaska Regional Advisory Council supported elimination of the closure per the OSM recommendation with the following modification. Allow a bag possession limit of 10 grayling per day by rod and reel in the Jim River, including Douglas and

Prospects Creek. This closure was authorized to 1 minimize the disruption of the State's continuing fish 2 3 and game management of subsistence, while at the same time fulfilling the requirements of ANILCA. The Jim 4 5 River is currently excluded from the list of rivers where subsistence use is permitted along the Dalton 6 7 Highway Corridor. Under this regulation, use has been closed to Federally-qualified subsistence users, but 8 9 open to sportfishing under State regulation. The Council believes there is verifiable traditional use of 10 salmon in this river and a limited harvest of rod and 11 reel should be allowed to occur. 12 13 The Council also believes that if 14 15 subsistence users are going to travel down the river 16 for grayling, the bag limit should be increased to 17 justify time and expense. Allowing for a small 18 traditional harvest of salmon along with a reasonable 19 harvest of grayling would reestablish a subsistence 20 priority use of this resource. 21 2.2 I do want to note, and Cory or Hannah 23 can correct me if I'm wrong, that opening this closure 24 would allow for a bag limit of five grayling per day, based on the additional portions of the regulations. 25 26 So what the Council is asking for is to increase that 27 bag or possession limit to 10. 28 29 Thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the 30 Board. 31 32 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 33 questions from the Board. 34 MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair, BIA. I have a 35 36 question for legal Counsel. 37 38 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: There you go. 39 40 MR. PELTOLA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So 41 my understanding based on the previous direction received on the grayling in the White Mountains, we 42 have the Western Interior, which has been deferred to 43 44 by YKD, Seward Penn, all the others, we would vote to 45 rescind the closure, which would put in place an 46 existing bag limit of five as Ms. Deatherage identified, and if the bag limit and possession wanted 47 48 to be modified, then that could be addressed in the 49 same manner, via special action request, emergency, or 50

3

1/28/2021

Page 407 temporary followed up by a regulatory change during the 1 2 cycle. Is that correct, Mr. Lord? 3 4 MR. LORD: That is correct. Thank you, 5 Mr. Peltola. 6 7 MR. PELTOLA: Thank you, sir. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 10 appreciate the comment. And any other questions -thank you for that position -- any other Regional 11 Advisory Council Chairs wish to be recognized at this 12 13 time. 14 15 (No comments) 16 17 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none, 18 we'll call on the tribal, Alaska Native Corp comments, Orville Lind. 19 20 21 MR. LIND: Thank you, Chair. Can you 22 hear me? 23 24 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I can hear you 25 loud and clear, brother. 26 27 MR. LIND: Yeah, during the 28 consultation session there were no questions or 29 concerns on FCR21-04. That's all I have, Mr. Chair. 30 31 Thank you. 32 33 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Next, we'll move on to Alaska Department of Fish and 34 35 Game, Ben Mulligan. 36 37 MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, Ben Mulligan, Alaska Department of Fish 38 39 and Game. The Department has no comment on this 40 closure review. 41 42 Thank you. 43 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Mr. 44 45 Mulligan. We'll move on to the InterAgencyStaff 46 comments, Katya. 47 48 MS. WESSELS: Thank you, Mr. Chair and 49 members of the Board. Katya Wessels. The InterAgency 50

Page 408 Staff Committee had the standard comment on Fisheries 1 2 Closure Review FCR21-04. 3 4 Thank you. 5 6 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 7 Katya. Board discussion, Board action, the floor is 8 open. 9 10 MR. SIEKANIEC: Mr. Chairman, Greg. 11 12 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the 13 floor, Greg. 14 15 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. At this time I would like to move that we 16 maintain the closure of FCR21-04, and if I get a second 17 18 I will add some additional justification. 19 20 MR. BROWER: Second. 21 22 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Charlie. In 23 moving to maintain the closure, I believe that the status quo is appropriate until such time as we receive 24 25 a special action request from the Western Interior 26 Regional Advisory Council. I think this is similar to 27 the last issue that we dealt with. There is obviously 28 some interest and it looks like justification that can 29 be put together around this and to make sure that we get it right and take the appropriate Board action, I, 30 again, would say that we should maintain this closure 31 32 until that time. 33 34 Thank you. 35 36 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 37 38 MR. SCHMID: Question. 39 40 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Question's been 41 called, thank you, Dave. Sue, roll call. 42 4.3 MS. DETWILER: Okay, the motion on 44 Fisheries Closure Review 21-04 is to maintain the 45 status quo. 46 47 Greg Siekaniec, your vote. 48 49 MR. SIEKANIEC: I support maintaining 50

Page 409 the status quo. 1 2 3 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 4 5 Don Striker. 6 7 MR. STRIKER: I support, thank you. 8 9 MS. DETWILER: Gene Peltola. 10 11 MR. PELTOLA: BIA supports with the same expectations as the Fish and Wildlife Service. 12 13 14 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 15 16 Chad Padgett, BLM. 17 18 MR. PADGETT: I support. 19 20 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 21 22 Dave Schmid, Forest Service. 23 24 MR. SCHMID: I also support with the 25 same expectations as stated by the Fish and Wildlife 26 Service. 27 28 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 29 30 Public Member Rhonda Pitka. 31 32 MS. PITKA: I support maintaining the 33 status quo. Thank you. 34 35 MS. DETWILER: Public Member Charlie 36 Brower. 37 38 (No comments) 39 40 MS. DETWILER: Charlie Brower, did we 41 lose you on this vote. 42 43 MR. BROWER: I support, thank you, as 44 stated. 45 46 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 47 48 And, finally, Chair Christianson. 49 50

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support as 1 2 stated, thank you. 3 4 MS. DETWILER: Okay, motion passes 5 unanimously to maintain the closure. 6 7 MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, this is 8 Karen Deatherage. With the Chair's permission I'dlike 9 to speak to this. 10 11 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the 12 floor, Karen. 13 14 MS. DEATHERAGE: Thank you, very much. 15 I just wanted to remind the Board that the Council voted unanimously to support the elimination of this 16 closure, not to maintain status quo, and that they 17 18 supported the elimination of the closure with a bag 19 possession of 10 grayling perday. 20 21 So I just heard, and it's late in the 22 day so I could have heard wrong, that the Board just 23 voted to maintain the status quo, which to me would maintain the closure, which would go against the 24 recommendations of the Western Interior Council. 2.5 26 27 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 28 29 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for that. Does that clearly reflect what just happened, 30 31 and I think there was some legal reasons why; can 32 somebody explain? 33 34 MR. LORD: Mr. Chair, this is Ken. 35 36 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Ken, you have 37 the floor. 38 39 MR. LORD: That's exactly right. The 40 deference issue is not a concern when we are acting in 41 a manner that trying to properly implement the law, in 42 this case, the Administrative Procedural Act, so 43 there's no need for the Board to go down that list of 44 three possible reasons for not deferring to a Council 45 in this particular case because there's an unwritten, 46 fourth one, which is compliance with the law. 47 48 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 49 appreciate that. I hope that helps you understand the 50

Page 411 position of the Board at this time. 1 2 3 4 Thank you. 5 MR. STRIKER: Mr. Chair, Park Service. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Don, you 8 have the floor. 9 10 MR. STRIKER: Thanks for your 11 indulgence and I apologize for seeming to have Park Service domination. I would like to request 12 reconsideration of FP21-14, and if I get a second I'll 13 14 explain my rationale. 15 16 MR. PELTOLA: Second, BIA. 17 18 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Motion's been 19 made and seconded for reconsideration, you have the 20 floor, Don. 21 22 MR. STRIKER: Thank you, Gene. I just 23 want to make abundantly clear on the record what my intent was and provide a clear opportunity for the 24 Board to be able to vote on this with that intention 25 26 being crystal clear. I want to make sure that we're not running afoul of any possible interpretation of 27 taking an action that could be viewed as arbitrary and 28 29 capricious. 30 31 Thank you. 32 33 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for 34 that Don. I think we're here to do businesswhile 35 we're at the table so I appreciate that. Any other 36 Board discussion, questions or comments. 37 38 (No comments) 39 40 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: There is a 41 motion on the floor to reconsider the proposal we just voted on, at this time I'll call for the question on 42 that reconsideration seeings as it has a second. 43 44 45 MS. DETWILER: So just -- so I'm making 46 sure, this is a motion to reconsider Fisheries Proposal 21-14? 47 48 49 MR. STRIKER: Yes. 50

Page 412 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes. 1 2 3 4 MS. DETWILER: Okay. 5 MR. PELTOLA: BIA calls for a question 6 on Fisheries Proposal 21-14 as clarified by the 7 National Park Service. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Roll call. 10 MS. DETWILER: Okay. 11 12 Don Striker. 13 14 15 MR. STRIKER: Support. 16 17 MS. DETWILER: Greg Siekaniec. 18 19 MR. SIEKANIEC: Support. 20 21 MS. DETWILER: Gene Peltola. 22 23 MR. PELTOLA: Support. 24 25 MS. DETWILER: Chad Padgett. 26 27 MR. PADGETT: Support. 28 29 MS. DETWILER: Dave Schmid. 30 31 MR. SCHMID: Support. 32 33 MS. DETWILER: Rhonda Pitka. 34 35 MS. PITKA: Support. 36 37 MS. DETWILER: Charlie Brower. 38 39 MR. BROWER: Support. 40 41 MS. DETWILER: Tony Christianson. 42 43 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support. 44 MS. DETWILER: Motion passes to 45 46 reconsider Fisheries Proposal 21-14. 47 48 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: That brings 49 that up back up to the table and at this time we could 50

1/28/2021

Page 413 either have further discussion or we could get a motion 1 on the floor to clearly articulate our intent for the 2 3 record. 4 5 Thank you. 6 7 MR. STRIKER: Mr. Chair, Park Service. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Don, you 10 have the floor. 11 MR. STRIKER: I move that we adopt 12 Fisheries Proposal 21-14 in deference to the Eastern 13 Interior RAC and because of the overwhelming public 14 15 testimony that we heard in the region. It's not clear to me that this equipment provides substantial benefits 16 on the river. Additionally, I don't think that this 17 18 action will unduly affect subsistence opportunity. I believe it's a starting point for us to explore how 19 some restrictions of this nature can help facilitate 20 future conservation efforts driven by the local users. 21 22 23 I'm a little concerned that the 24 restrictions are on Federal users, and I do hope that 25 we can find ways to work with the RACs and the State to 26 jointly work towards conservation and to fully understand the issues. 27 28 29 I also want to be clear that the regulation is to prohibit the use of bathymetry 30 31 equipment and fishfinders while fishing from a boat or 32 watercraft, not to prohibit them from being on board 33 entirely. That is beyond the jurisdiction of this 34 Board. 35 36 I would also welcome exploring future 37 proposals regarding this equipment and to hear more broadly from people in the region regarding their use, 38 39 both for finding fish and for safety and where there 40 might be room for compromise more broadly. And I also 41 want to reiterate that I take great, great hesitancy in restricting Federally-qualified subsistence users when 42 State users don't have the same restrictions. 43 44 45 Thank you. 46 47 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Don, 48 appreciate that. Further Board questions or 49 discussion. 50

3

	1	Page	414
	REPORTER: A second?		
	MR. PELTOLA: BIA seconds.		
that reminder, 5	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for Tina.	2	
Gene, now the findeliberation.	Motion's been made by Don, seconded h loor's open for further discussion,	ру	
watercraft you m	MR. BROWER: So, Don, your motion is ile you're fishing from a boat or a may use one of these devices for fish finders, for the subsistence users?	to	
	MR. STRIKER: No, so it would prohibs bit the subsistence users from having turned on basically while fishing.	Lt	
	MR. BROWER: Thank you.		
Board questions	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any further , discussion.		
	(No comments)		
	MR. PELTOLA: Question.		
called. Roll c	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Question's be all, Sue, please.	een	
Fisheries Propo	MS. DETWILER: The motion is to adopt sal 21-14.	2	
	Don Striker.		
supports for the	MR. STRIKER: National Park Service e reasons previously articulated.		
Service, Greg Si	MS. DETWILER: Fish and Wildlife iekaniec.		
users in this recomplicated becaused and fishfinder of	MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Sue. I , again, restricting Federally-qualifi egard is going to be extremely ause quite often navigation bathymetry devices are all in a combined single ou know, limiting them from a safety		

Page 415 standpoint of having their navigation utilized, I 1 think, is going to be something that a law enforcement 2 3 officer will find great difficulty in enforcing. 4 5 Thank you. 6 7 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 8 9 Gene Peltola, BIA. 10 11 MR. PELTOLA: Bureau of Indian Affairs votes to support for the reasons articulated by my 12 colleague at the National Park Service. And I'd also 13 14 like the record to reflect my comments carried over 15 from the previous vote on this proposal. 16 17 Thank you. 18 19 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 20 21 Chad Padgett, BLM. 22 23 MR. PADGETT: I oppose for the same reasons as previously stated. And I'd like to add, 24 too, that this may be out of our jurisdiction due to 25 26 Coast Guard regulations. 27 28 Thank you. 29 30 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 31 32 Dave Schmid, Forest Service. 33 34 MR. SCHMID: Yeah, I'm going to oppose 35 for the reasons stated by both BLM and Fish and 36 Wildlife Service. 37 38 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 39 40 Public Member Rhonda Pitka. 41 42 MS. PITKA: I vote to support as stated 43 by my previous comments and also in support of the 44 National Park Service. 45 46 Thank you. 47 48 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 49 50

Public Member Charlie Brower. 1 2 3 MR. BROWER: Support as stated. 4 5 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. 6 7 Finally, Chair Tony Christianson. 8 9 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Support. 10 11 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Motion passes five to three. So the proposal -- Fisheries 12 13 Proposal 21-14 is adopted. 14 15 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: And that's why we like to entertain people on the call and make sure 16 they pay attention because you can change the business 17 18 of the Board by giving us information so I truly 19 appreciate everyone's diligence, paying attention and 20 trying to make sure we get it as correct as we can for the people who are on the land using the resource, so, 21 22 thank you. 23 24 MR. PELTOLA: Mr. Chair. BIA 25 recommends since we have the rural/nonrural coming up 26 next on the agenda, that we recess for the evening and convene in the morning. 27 28 29 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I concur, as 30 the Chair, if there's no opposition to it starting 31 again in the morning at 9:00 a.m. 32 33 MR. SCHMID: That works for me, thank 34 you. 35 36 MS. PITKA: I look forward to the 37 morning. Goodnight. 38 MR. SIEKANIEC: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 39 40 MR. STRIKER: Thank you. 41 42 (Off record) 43 44 (PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED) 45 46 47 48 49 50

CERTIFICATE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA))ss. STATE OF ALASKA) I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the state of Alaska and reporter of Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify: THAT the foregoing, contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE BOARD MEETING, VOLUME III taken electronically by our firm on the 28th day of January 2021 via teleconference; THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and ability; THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party interested in any way in this action. DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 4th day of February 2021. Salena A. Hile Notary Public, State of Alaska My Commission Expires: 09/16/22