```
0066
 1
                    FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE BOARD
 2
 3
                        REGULATORY MEETING
 4
 5
 6
 7
                            VOLUME II
 8
 9
10
                      EGAN CONVENTION CENTER
11
                        Anchorage, Alaska
12
                         February 1, 2023
13
14
15
16
17
18
    MEMBERS PRESENT:
19
20
    Anthony Christianson, Chairman
21
    Charles Brower, Public Member
    Rhonda Pitka, Public Member
22
23
     Sara Boario, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
24
     Sarah Creachbaum, National Park Service
25
     Steve Cohn, Bureau of Land Management
26
    Glenn Chen, Bureau of Indian Affairs
27
     David Schmid, U.S. Forest Service
28
29
30
31
    Ken Lord, Solicitor's Office
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
    Recorded and transcribed by:
42
    Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC
43
    329 F Street, Suite 222
44
    Anchorage, AK 99501
45
     907-227-5312; sahile@gci.net
46
47
48
49
50
```

0067	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3 4	(Anchorage, Alaska - 2/1/2023)
5 6	(On record - 9:00 a.m.)
7	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Good morning,
8 9 10 11 12	everybody, and welcome to the second day of the Federal Subsistence Board. I'm Anthony Christianson. I'm the Federal Board Chair. We'd like to welcome you here today.
13	This morning on the agenda we'll open
14 15 16 17 18 19	up with public comment period, non-agenda items. To be recognized we have these blue cards. You can get them at the front desk out there and make their way up here so you can be spoken. Again, this is an opportunity for the public to testify on non-agenda items so we get an opportunity to hear about issues that might be outside of what's listed on the agenda.
21	111
22 23 24	We'll go ahead and do roll call and make sure we establish quorum and then we'll move on to accepting testimony.
25 26	Thank you.
27	
28 29 30 31	MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Good morning. Roll call of Federal Subsistence Board members.
32 33	Bureau of Indian Affairs, Glenn Chen.
34 35	MR. CHEN: Present.
36	MS. DETWILER: Bureau of Land
37	Management, Steve Cohn.
38	
39	MR. COHN: Good morning. Present.
40	
41	MS. DETWILER: Fish and Wildlife
42 43	Service, Sara Boario.
44	MS. BOARIO: Good morning, Sue.
45	Present.
46	
47	MS. DETWILER: National Park Service,
48	Sarah Creachbaum.
49	
50	

```
0068
 1
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: Good morning, Sue.
 2
    I'm here.
 3
 4
                     MS. DETWILER: U.S. Forest Service,
 5
    Dave Schmid.
 6
 7
                     MR. SCHMID: Good morning, Sue.
 8
    Present.
 9
10
                     MS. DETWILER: Public Member Rhonda
11
    Pitka.
12
13
                     MS. PITKA: Here.
14
15
                     MS. DETWILER: Public Member Charlie
16
    Brower.
17
18
                     MR. BROWER: Uvlaalluataq. (In
19
     Inupiaq).
20
21
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Chair
22
    Anthony Christianson.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Present.
25
26
                     MS. DETWILER: You have a quorum, Mr.
27
    Chair.
28
29
                     MR. BROWER: Mr. Chair.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the
32
    floor, Charlie.
33
34
                     MR. BROWER: Good morning. Good
35
    morning, Board Members. Mr. Chair, I'm going to give
    my proxy to Rhonda this morning for a couple hours
36
37
     starting about 9:30 till 11:00. I have an engagement
38
     to go to, but I'll be back just to let you know.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for
41
     stating it on the record, Charlie. We'll see you when
42
    you come back.
43
44
                     MR. BROWER: Thank you.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay. Again
47
    this morning it's public comment period and non-agenda
     items. First up we have Chris Price. You can come up
48
49
     and sit and turn the mic on.
50
```

Thank you.

MR. PRICE: Good morning. Thank you for your time this morning. Hi, my name is Chris Price. I'm the CEO for the Qawalangin Tribe of Unalaska and I'm here representing myself and the community of Unalaska. I would like to thank you guys for what you do and the importance of the subsistence program that we have in the state of Alaska and under OSM and the work that you guys do here.

I would like to comment just briefly today, probably less than three minutes, to talk about subsistence issues in Unalaska. Halibut is a really important resource for our community. We have a small fleet of subsistence users, probably less than 10 skiffs mostly, that fish halibut and cod in our local bay. We also occasionally will get a king crab. We used to be able to get six king crab per person per day back in the '90s and we're lucky to see one king crab now. We rarely see king crab anymore, but we are allowed one per day now if we can find one. We do get some tanner crab. Tanner crab are starting to come back in our bay a little bit.

For our community, if you can get subsistence, you're considered the wealthy person. To be able to afford to put a boat together and all the equipment, gear and bait that it requires to go out and provide for your family is really an expensive ordeal. But those people that do it for our community they focus on the elders and take care of them first, which is really one of the great things about our community that we do take care of our elders and their food needs.

I'd like to thank the BIA Resilience Program that's provided funding opportunity for our community, our tribe to build food security components into our community and it's also made a big difference for us to support the tribe and what we're trying to do here as far as rebuilding some of the stocks that we have in our local bay.

Also I'd like to thank the Partners Program and explain to you guys -- I'm sure you understand, but how important that is for our local management. In Unalaska, you know, we have a State commercial fishing office, but we don't have any

subsistence management, so the Partners Program provided an opportunity for the tribe, the State and Fish and Wildlife in a sense to provide the resources to provide a management structure for McLees Lake and also other subsistence fisheries that we have in our community.

So I want to thank whoever came up with the idea for that Partners Program. It's really brilliant because it provides out-of-the-box approach to solving some of those program needs that our communities need.

 $$\operatorname{So}$ that's about all that I have today. I want to thank you guys for your time. Appreciate what you do.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Chris. Any comments from the Board for Chris.

(No comments)

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Chris, for that presentation. Next we have Jimmy Hurley, Sr.$

MR. HURLEY, SR.: Good morning. My name is Jimmy Hurley, Sr. I live in Ekwok on the Nushagak River. I've lived there pretty much most of my life. I've been in every political arena there is. I sat on our corporation that stood up against — the very first person here who stood up against Pebble Mine. I'm the person who — because the risks are — kind of stand away from all that. So I worked on IGAP. I've done pretty much everything I have been able to do, so I kind of got out of the political picture.

But when I see the bycatch and Area M -- I sat on Nushagak Advisory and came in and we pretty much shut down quite a bit of Area M. This was 15, 20 years ago. I don't remember exactly when. You know, it's come to a point to where it looks like northern Bristol Bay is going to be going against southern. There's no limits to what we eat. Subsistence is the most important thing. If you don't have nothing on the table, where are you? What are you going to eat? I hear it from the Yukon people. I hear it from the Kuskokwim.

I've testified quite a few times on bycatch even before because I saw the Yukon River was going down. I preach to anybody to say that, you know, we need to -- it's affecting us now. Just this last summer I had to -- I put away quite a few reds, but we didn't get any chum. A creek right below us called Klutuk maybe had 10, 15 chums in it and that's it. So we're getting impacted by Area M, that part of the Nushagak River. The red salmon are really -- you know, are really doing good. So what's happening? I think it's happening in Area M.

I worked on the CDQ program to help get that kick-started with Ted Stevens and Harvey Samuelson and the bycatch parts. I worked out there 13 contracts out there in the Bering Sea, 16-hour days. The thing to me is that throwing away kings and it's recorded that out there in the Bering Sea in 2001 or something like that they threw away 120,000 kings heading for Yukon River, Kuskokwim River.

With all this being thrown overboard what's going to come back? There's no logical person who will say what's on the table here. It's people's lives here that we're looking at. You know, I don't want to see that happen on the Nushagak river. That's why I'm talking here. I don't want anything like what these people are going through.

It's pretty bad if you -- I work on fish every summer now and our kings are really the best fish in the world, but it didn't come away with -- I'm sorry, I'm getting a little long-winded. You know, we shut down the Nushagak. I was on the Nushagak Advisory Committee. We shut down the commercial fisheries on the Nushagak Advisory. I helped craft that with working with the Board of Game. That's why we have kings on the Nushagak River.

All I want to say is that, you know, anybody who can stand up for these people on the Yukon-Kuskokwim, stand up and fight for this, I appreciate their time. I'm not too sure I -- I don't have any -- I'm just talking for myself. I'm glad to be here. Thanks for listening.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Jimmy. I appreciate that. That's a big concern for the Board here. Again, we're letting people know the

0072 1 North Pacific Salmon Council meets this week on Thursday at 3:00 o'clock taking testimony. We're encouraging that as well. 4 5 Thank you. Hope we can help. 6 7 Any more public comment, this is your 8 opportunity. 9 10 (No comments) 11 12 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay. We'll go 13 ahead and move on to the next part of the agenda. 14 Operator, is there anyone online who would like to be 15 recognized at this time for public comment now would be 16 their opportunity. 17 18 OPERATOR: At this time I'm showing 19 nobody on the line for public comment, but if you would 20 like to speak, just press star, one. I'm showing no 21 callers at this time. 22 23 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 24 Operator. We'll move on to 2021-2023 Subparts C&D 25 Proposals and Closure Reviews. We'll call on the Staff 26 to provide the Tribal Government-to-Government and 27 ANCSA Corporation Consultation Summary. 28 29 MR. CHEN: Mr. Chair, BIA. 30 31 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the 32 floor. 33 34 Thank you, Mr. Chair. It's MR. CHEN: 35 come to our attention with regards to the deferred 36 walleye proposal 22-40 that there are some people from 37 tribes and other users out in Bristol Bay that really 38 want to testify on that proposal when we take it up at 39 3:00. Unfortunately some of them are not arriving in 40 Anchorage at the airport until 3:00 p.m. and they're 41 concerned that they're going to miss their opportunity 42 to talk to the Board. 43 44 I realize it's kind of a fluid 45 situation in terms of how long the discussion will take 46 and whether or not -- how long it will take to get to 47 the point where we make a Board motion. I just want to 48 make the Board aware of that and I want to ask if it's

possible to consider our scheduling this afternoon for

49

that discussion to allow some of these people to testify again. Some of them won't actually show up at the airport until 3:00 o'clock. They're flying into Anchorage today. So I just wanted to mention that. Thank you, Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Glenn. We want to make sure we provide all that

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Glenn. We want to make sure we provide all that opportunity, so we'll make sure that we can provide an opportunity for them to testify when they get here. Thank you.

you.

Orville, you have the floor. Thank

MR. LIND: Quyana, Mr. Chair. Good morning, Council Members, Board Members. This is a summary of the tribal consultation held yesterday morning. I'll start off with the Kuskokwim area resident. They have been looking at nets. She knows that if they will be allowed -- she's wondering if she -- if they will be allowed to fish. Nets are expensive. Deciding to purchase gear, nets, motors, et cetera, costs money. The chair asked when we get information about the Kuskokwim salmon run. Our fisheries department said that the in-season manager for the Kuskokwim would have that information later in the winter or possibly early spring.

We had another resident from the Lower Yukon asked if the 4-inch nets were allowed. Our fisheries department noted that the information will be released in the near future. They also noted that you can expect that the season this year will be similar to last year. There were plenty of chinook salmon in the parents days, but resources are getting fewer. People are catching lots of chinook now by the coast, by the mouth of the Kotlik.

Fish and Wildlife Service noted that the Fish and Wildlife Service is concerned about the hardship people are seeing and having along the Yukon River. They are doing all they can to assist.

 $$\operatorname{A}$$ resident from NANA said they're watching what is happening in other parts of Alaska and

they're encouraged to see that the money is available to address some fish issues. They also asked if the Board looked at what is happening in those changes.

The Western Arctic Caribou Herd Working Group is tracking the caribou issue in the region also. There have been questions about wolves. The Chair noted emergency special actions and communications with the Board and forms to address the immediate issues that these can happen relatively quickly.

National Park Service noted that they're working on the issue for caribou and is very concerned about subsistence users having the time and capacity to help the tribes provide to the indigenous knowledge. The Park Service also acknowledged that the burden that is placed on rural residents and OSM ARD noted that the Board's authority relates to the taking of fish and game for subsistence and is not to predator control.

We had a person ask Bristol Bay salmon runs are really strong and how is it that the Kuskokwim and the Yukon are down. Chinook have been diminishing slowly and the chums are way down also. The chair said that they don't have those answers right now.

Ahtna resident. The Copper River cannot feed the entire state. Sustainability of the salmon, moose and caribou is critical. This year the State issued caribou permits despite a very small Nelchina herd. Salmon C&T was issued to local residents who historically used the Copper River salmon. Some went back to their home to fish. Long-term use of the salmon isn't recognized now. There has to be some longevity in this determination process.

Commercial fisheries takes 60 to 90 percent of the salmon headed into the Copper River. Smaller fish produce less eggs. We need to look at the conservation of the resource, but are not seeing this under the State. Elders know the difference between the fish in the run and where they're going.

The Board cannot continue to look at the State for management to look at what happened on the Yukon. They don't want to fight over the last salmon. Fishermen on the Yukon didn't get hardly any

fish. Treaty obligations are not being met on the Yukon.

There were problems with the process on the new dipnet fishery for Cordova. The Copper River can't feed the entire state of Alaska. Her personally got 200 salmon this year and shared with the other folks. At every time in her life she ate salmon from the Copper River. It is in her DNA to eat resources from her home. Fish from other places don't taste the same. Hardship in rural Alaska is a huge challenge.

A resident from the Ketchikan Indian Community stated that the history of subsistence management in Alaska this isn't a settled issue for Ketchikan and some other areas in Alaska. In 2015 the Secretaries adjusted the regulations for more flexibility for making rural determinations. This Board needs to fulfill responsibilities to the tribes.

European green crab are invasive to Southeast Alaska and have been found in Metlakatla and all concerns about this invasive species. The concerns are growing. The problems need to be addressed quickly. Ketchikan is looking on how they can address it. Management of this invasive species is possible and we need to get on it.

Park Service and the Chair noted that issue and the Fish and Wildlife Service will work with the tribes on this issue. Tribes have been working with the paralytic shellfish poisoning also, PSP, and there needs to be an awareness that it could be an issue with green crab also.

A resident also from Kuskokwim: Is this Board going to help to open subsistence fishing? What are we doing? How can you help subsistence users? The Chair responded that the Board will work to help and assist subsistence fisheries.

A resident from Kasaan: Bycatch outside our bay is a concern. This affects the Karta River salmon. They want to get commercial crabbers out of the bay. Some hunt and fish. Others fish. The Chair also replied they talked about the positivity about the relationship with the Ketchikan tribes.

A resident again of Ketchikan Indian

0076 1 Community: How much can we take into the consideration of local subsistence community areas. These thoughts are that there are a lot more fish that can be harvested by subsistence users. How do we gather this 5 information from subsistence users. The Chair recommended that they look into the FRMP process. 6 7 resident asked how local tribes can help with that. He noted that this -- that he wants to get the Board this 9 information. The other agencies noted that this is 10 important to get this information, this data. 11 12 That concludes the summary from the 13 consultation yesterday, Mr. Chair, Council Members and 14 Board Members. 15 16 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 17 Orville. Any questions from the Board for Orville.

18 19

(No comments)

20 21

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for

22 that. 23

MR. LIND: Quyana.

24 25 26

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Next we have the announcement of the consensus agenda. Scott, you have the floor.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

27

MR. AYERS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. is Scott Ayers, Fisheries Division Supervisor at OSM. The consensus agenda contains all proposals and closure reviews for which there is agreement among the affected Advisory Councils, the InterAgency Staff Committee and the Alaska Department of Fish and Game concerning Board action. The consensus agenda can be found in your books following the meeting agenda on page iii. analyses for these are contained in Volume I of the meeting materials. I'm just going to read a list through of those items real quick.

44

45

Support Fisheries Proposal FP23-02. Revision to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Yukon River Management Area by adding residents of Chevak, Hooper Bay and Scammon Bay.

46 47 48

Retain status quo for deferred Fisheries Closure Review FCR21-08 Unalaska Lake salmon.

0077	
1	
2	
3	Retain status quo for deferred
4	Fisheries Closure Review FCR-21-08 Unalaska Lake
5	salmon.
6	
7	Retain status quo for deferred
8	Fisheries Closure Review FCR21-09 Summers and Morris
9	Lakes salmon.
10	
11	Retain status quo for deferred
12	Fisheries Closure Review FCR21-11 McLees Lake salmon.
13	
14	Retain status quo for Fisheries Closure
15	Review FCR23-11 Unalaska Bay Area Freshwater salmon.
16	-
17	Oppose Fisheries Proposal FP23-05a
18	revisions to the customary and traditional use
19	determination for salmon in the Kodiak area.
20	
21	Oppose Fisheries Proposal FP23-05b
22	revisions to the descriptions of the Kodiak area.
23	<u>.</u>
24	Rescind Fisheries Closure Review
25	FCR23-19 Selief Bay salmon.
26	-
27	Support Fisheries Proposal FP23-08 and
28	take no action on Fisheries Proposals 23-09 and FP23-12
29	based on the action on FP23-08.
30	based on the action on Fizs oo.
	based on the action on 1125 oo.
31	
31 32	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai
	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai
32	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including
32 33	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including the Kenai River drainage within the Kenai National
32 33 34	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including
32 33 34 35	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including the Kenai River drainage within the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge and Chugach National Forest by adding
32 33 34 35 36	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including the Kenai River drainage within the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge and Chugach National Forest by adding residents of Moose Pass.
32 33 34 35 36 37	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including the Kenai River drainage within the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge and Chugach National Forest by adding
32 33 34 35 36 37 38	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including the Kenai River drainage within the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge and Chugach National Forest by adding residents of Moose Pass. Support Fisheries Proposal FP23-20.
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including the Kenai River drainage within the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge and Chugach National Forest by adding residents of Moose Pass. Support Fisheries Proposal FP23-20. Revisions to the customary and traditional use
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including the Kenai River drainage within the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge and Chugach National Forest by adding residents of Moose Pass. Support Fisheries Proposal FP23-20. Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for shellfish for the Southeastern Alaska
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including the Kenai River drainage within the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge and Chugach National Forest by adding residents of Moose Pass. Support Fisheries Proposal FP23-20. Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for shellfish for the Southeastern Alaska Yakutat area.
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including the Kenai River drainage within the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge and Chugach National Forest by adding residents of Moose Pass. Support Fisheries Proposal FP23-20. Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for shellfish for the Southeastern Alaska
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including the Kenai River drainage within the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge and Chugach National Forest by adding residents of Moose Pass. Support Fisheries Proposal FP23-20. Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for shellfish for the Southeastern Alaska Yakutat area. Anyone may request that a proposal or
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including the Kenai River drainage within the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge and Chugach National Forest by adding residents of Moose Pass. Support Fisheries Proposal FP23-20. Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for shellfish for the Southeastern Alaska Yakutat area. Anyone may request that a proposal or closure review be removed from the consensus agenda and considered individually by the Board. However, only a
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Kenai River Peninsula District waters north of and including the Kenai River drainage within the Kenai National Wildlife Refuge and Chugach National Forest by adding residents of Moose Pass. Support Fisheries Proposal FP23-20. Revisions to the customary and traditional use determination for shellfish for the Southeastern Alaska Yakutat area. Anyone may request that a proposal or closure review be removed from the consensus agenda and

After the Board acts individually on

all the non-consensus proposals and closure reviews, the Board will take up the consensus agenda and vote on it collectively.

Thank you, Mr. Chair. That concludes my announcement of this consensus agenda.

CHAIRMAN CH

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions for Scott from the Board.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Scott. This is an opportunity for the public on consensus agenda items. This will be your opportunity to comment on anything OSM has put on the consensus agenda. Operator, anybody online?

OPERATOR: I'm showing no comments at this time. As a reminder, if you would like to make a comment at this time, please press star, one. Please record your first and last name clearly when prompted.

(No comments)

 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right. At this time hearing none online, hearing none here in the room, we'll go ahead and move on to the non-consensus agenda. We'll call on the staff to start to provide the information.

MR. GRAHAM: Hello, Mr. Chair. Members of the Board. For the record, my name is Cory Graham and I'm a fisheries biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. I'll start by presenting Fisheries Proposal 23-01, which can be found on page 223 of your Board book.

Proposal FP23-01 was submitted by the Western Interior Regional Advisory Council and requests the Board rescind the closure to the harvest of non-salmon fish in the Jim River drainage and modify regulations to allow rod and reel gear only and an arctic grayling harvest and possession limit of 10 per day.

The proponent states this proposal would continue subsistence uses by allowing harvest of non-salmon fish by federally qualified subsistence

users in an area that is currently closed. The Council believes there is verifiable traditional use of non-salmon fish in this drainage and a limited harvest by rod and reel should be allowed.

The proponent adds if subsistence users are going to travel for arctic grayling, the harvest limit should be increased to justify time and expense. Allowing for a reasonable harvest of arctic grayling would re-establish a subsistence priority use of fish. Limiting harvest to rod and reel gear only would ensure continued viability of fish in the area.

While the Council also believes there is a verifiable traditional use of salmon in this drainage, it does not believe the salmon runs can support any harvest at this time and the closure should be rescinded only for non-salmon fish.

The Jim River drainage is closed to subsistence fishing under both Federal and State regulations; however, sport fishing is allowed. The Federal closure was reviewed during the last fisheries regulatory cycle. Western Interior and Seward Peninsula Regional Advisory Councils recommended eliminating the closure and modifying regulations as requested in the current proposal. The Eastern Interior, Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta and North Slope Regional Advisory Councils deferred to Western Interior.

The Board determined the proposed modifications were not possible through the closure review process because they would not allow appropriate notice and opportunity for public comment. Therefore, the Board voted to maintain the closure with the expectation that a proposal could be submitted to eliminate the closure. That's the proposal that is currently before you.

 The Jim River drainage crosses the Dalton Highway. Approximately three miles of Jim River exists within the Kanuti Refuge boundary managed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The remainder of the drainage is general domain land managed by the Bureau of Land Management.

 $\label{eq:chinook, chum and coho salmon spawn and rear in the Jim River but aerial surveys indicate$

relatively low numbers in the drainage. Arctic grayling, burbot, humpback and round whitefish, longnose sucker and northern pike have been documented in the drainage. Stock assessments indicate the Jim River supports one of the largest regional stocks of arctic grayling.

If this proposal is adopted, subsistence fishing for salmon would remain closed under Federal regulations. Non-salmon fish could be taken by rod and reel only. Subsistence rod and reel harvest limits would match State sport fishing harvest limits except for arctic grayling, which would have a harvest limit of 10 per day. The current sport fish harvest limit is five per day.

If this proposal is not adopted, subsistence fishing will remain closed. Federally qualified subsistence users could continue to harvest fish under State sport fish regulations.

The OSM conclusion is to support Proposal FP23-01. The drainage is currently closed to subsistence fishing but open to other uses, which OSM has concluded contradicts ANILCA. Allowing a limited subsistence harvest using rod and reel only would provide subsistence opportunity and protect populations from overharvest. Increasing harvest and possession limits of arctic grayling would provide a subsistence priority for federally qualified subsistence users and maintaining the closure to salmon will protect small populations within the drainage.

That concludes my presentation. I'll stand by for any questions the Board may have regarding this analysis.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions from the Board.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. We'll move on to the summary of written public comments.

MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chair. No written

```
0081
    public comments were received or submitted for this
 2
    proposal. Thank you.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: This is an
 5
    opportunity to open the floor to the public. Anybody
 6
     online or here in attendance? This is your opportunity
 7
     to speak to the proposal.
 8
 9
                     (No comments)
10
11
                     OPERATOR: As a reminder, please press
12
     star, one on your phone and record your name if you
13
    have a question. One moment, please.
14
15
                     (No comments)
16
17
                     OPERATOR: I show no questions at this
18
    time.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,
21
    Operator. Hearing none and seeing none. We'll go
22
    ahead and move on to the tribal/Alaska Native
23
    corporation comments. We'll call on the Native
24
    Liaison, Orville.
25
26
                     MR. LIND: For the record, Orville
27
    Lind, Native Liaison for the Office of Subsistence
    Management. During the consultations conducted, Chair
28
29
    and Board Members, we didn't have any questions or
30
    comments on this.
31
32
                     Thank you.
33
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
34
35
    Regional Advisory Council recommendation. Chair or
36
    designee.
37
38
                     MR. REAKOFF: Mr. Chair, this is Jack
39
    Reakoff.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, you have
42
    the floor, Jack.
43
44
                     MR. REAKOFF: Thank you. Western
45
     Interior Regional Advisory Council supported the
46
    proposal, our proposal, with consideration of the OSM
47
    analysis and felt it was a thorough analysis and agreed
48
    that freshwater stocks can support the additional level
49
     of increased harvest and bag limit under this proposal.
50
```

0082 1 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 2 3 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 4 Jack. Any other Council comments. 5 6 MS. MCDAVID: Mr. Chair. Brooke 7 McDavid, Council Coordinator for the YK Delta RAC. The YK Delta RAC supported FP23-01. The Council stated 8 9 that this proposal would support subsistence uses in 10 the area and sport fishing should not be allowed when 11 subsistence uses are prohibited. There's no 12 conservation concern with the proposal. 13 14 Thank you. 15 16 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Do 17 we have additional RAC Chair comments. 18 19 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The 20 Eastern Interior RAC supported FP23-01. The Council 21 noted there's no conservation concern related to the 22 proposal and adopting it into regulation will benefit 23 subsistence needs of people in the area by creating 24 additional harvest opportunity for non-salmon species. 25 26 Thank you. 27 28 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 29 Additional comments. 30 31 MR. FRANTZ: Mr. Chair. Brower Frantz, 32 North Slope Regional Advisory Council. So the North 33 Slope RAC was in support unanimously for FP23-01 to 34 rescind Jim River non-salmon closure. So the North 35 Slope communities have a long history of customary and 36 traditional use of these fisheries, particularly 37 residents of Nuigsut. Rescinding this closure would 38 benefit subsistence users, especially those of Nuigsut, 39 who are close to the fisheries and traverse through 40 there. 41 42 Also, as stated earlier, there is no --43 it's kind of backwards to where you have subsistence 44 blocked off, but you have sport fishermen allowed there that it should be a subsistence priority. 45 46 47 Thank you. 48 49 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. At

this time we'll call on Alaska Department of Fish and Game, State Liaison.

MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, Ben Mulligan, Alaska Department of Fish and Game. At this time the Department opposes rescinding the closure. We feel that Alaskans, both Federally qualified and non-Federally qualified, are able to harvest non-salmon species in the Jim River under State regulations at a level that is sustainable.

However, if the Federal Subsistence Board is so inclined to pass the proposal, ADF&G recommends they amend the proposal to align it with existing State regulations to avoid user and enforcement challenges on that river.

One additional note. I would pose this question. With the increase in grayling harvest, who is going to be the responsible party to assess that increased harvest and the sustainability of that stock?

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Ben. InterAgency Staff Committee recommendation.

 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Members of the Board. For the record my name is Robbin LaVine, Subsistence Policy Coordinator for OSM and ISC Chair. For this fisheries proposal the ISC provided their standard comment. I'm going to read it once on the record at this point in time. So in the future I'll just announce standard comment if that's what the ISC has provided.

The standard comment. The InterAgency Staff Committee found the Staff analysis to be a thorough and accurate evaluation of the proposal and that it provides a sufficient basis for the Regional Advisory Council recommendation and Federal Subsistence Board action on the proposal.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. The floor is open for Board discussion with Council Chairs and State Liaison, the opportunity to ask questions.

MR. REAKOFF: Mr. Chair. I'd like to speak on the proposal additionally.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Jack, you have the floor.

MR. REAKOFF: Okay. Grayling is the primary objective of this proposal. Grayling are present from mid May through September. They vacate the drainage. They go downstream and down in the deeper holes later on. The primary harvest period for local residents is in summer when the water is lower, the grayling are feeding in the upper drainage. In other portions of the area here grayling move out into upper creeks, so the middle fork of the Koyukuk the grayling are not as readily accessible.

 So this is basically a summer fishery for a very few local residents would have this priority and then maybe people would pass by and catch grayling there, but the majority of the harvest and incidental harvest mortalities from catch and release fishing by the general public is much higher than the subsistence harvest or the rural subsistence users.

The Board of Fish -- Koyukuk River Advisory Committee just submitted a proposal to the Board of Fish. The Board of Fish just passed Proposal 79 to allow rod with line fishing in the Koyukuk drainage outside of the closed area or outside of -- downstream of this affected proposal zone.

So the reality is the stocks can support unlimited harvest for subsistence under the State Board of Fish's ruling. So the in-season manager can control -- if there was a catastrophic event, the Federal in-season manager could control that. But the reality is a questionnaire could be asked of the subsistence users, but there's a lot of grayling there and the harvest is going to be nominal. It's not going to be a big problem and I remind the Federal Board that there is a Federal subsistence priority for fisheries also.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Jack. Any other Council Chair or State Liaison.

0085	
1	(No comments)
2	
3	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: That opens it
4 5	up for Board motion, discussion and action.
6	MR. COHN: Mr. Chair. I move to adopt
7	Proposal FP23-01. If I get a second, I'll explain why
8	I intend to vote in support of my motion.
9	
10	MS. PITKA: This is Rhonda Pitka. I'll
11 12	second.
13	MR. COHN: Mr. Chair. Allowing for a
14	limited Federal subsistence harvest would provide
15	opportunity for rural users where none currently exists
16	under Federal regulations. If this system is opened,
17	State fishing regulations would apply except for arctic
18	grayling where a Federal harvest and possession limit
19	of 10 fish per day would apply providing a subsistence
20 21	priority for federally qualified subsistence users.
22	No conservation concerns exist for this
23	proposal and salmon fishing would remain closed and
24	non-salmon fishing would be restricted to rod and reel
25	only. This is also consistent with the recommendations
26	of the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Western Interior, Eastern
27 28	Interior and North Slope Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils.
29	Councils.
30	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
31	2 ,
32	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
33	That opens up the floor for Board discussion,
34	deliberation, comments.
35 36	(No comments)
37	(NO COMMETICS)
38	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing and
39	seeing none. Call for the question.
40	
41	MR. SCHMID: Question.
42	QUATRIAN QURTONTANGON — III
43 44	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: The question
44	has been called. Roll call, Sue, please. Thank you.
46	MS. DETWILER: Bureau of Land
47	Management, Steve Cohn.
48	
49	MR. COHN: For the Bureau of Land
50	

```
0086
 1
    Management, I support this proposal.
 2
 3
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. BIA, Glenn
 4
    Chen.
 5
 6
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA supports this
 7
    proposal and motion with yes.
 8
 9
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Fish and
10
    Wildlife Service, Sara Boario.
11
12
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife Service
13
     supports in deference to the WIRAC.
14
15
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. National
16
     Park Service, Sarah Creachbaum.
17
18
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: National Park Service
19
     supports in deference to the RAC.
20
21
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
22
     Forest Service.
23
24
                     MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service
25
     supports the proposal in deference to all four RACs who
26
     also supported this proposal as did OSM.
27
28
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
29
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
30
31
                     MS. PITKA: I support in deference to
32
     the Regional Advisory Councils and also as laid out in
     the justification provided. Thanks.
33
34
35
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
36
    Member Charlie Brower.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: He stepped out.
39
     His vote is his proxy.
40
41
                     MS. PITKA: Charlie Brower also
42
     supports FP23-01. I'm voting as his proxy. Thank you.
43
44
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Rhonda. I
45
     forgot to note that. Finally Chair Anthony
46
     Christianson.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support.
49
```

MS. DETWILER: Motion passes

2 unanimously.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. For the record, one of the situations you'll see here with Charlie who had to step out for a little bit, some of the public concern was about how to continue to keep the rural vote on the record, so we found the process to put a proxy vote down. So if you hear the proxy here, it's because we're making sure that every vote counts here today to fix a system failure we seen. So Charlie voted.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{We'll}}$$ move on to the next item. Scott, you have the floor.

MR. AYERS: Mr. Chair. Members of the Board. Again, this is Scott Ayers, OSM Fisheries Division. Before Mr. Graham starts with the next presentation I'd like to take a few moments of your time to introduce the Fisheries Closure Reviews that you'll be contemplating this morning.

The Federal Subsistence Board's policy on closures is that Federal public lands and waters should be reopened as soon as practicable when the closure is no longer necessary. OSM is directed to review half of all closures during each applicable regulatory cycle and to provide a recommendation to retain, modify or rescind. Closure reviews follow a similar pathway to the regulatory proposals and receive input from the same groups.

Nineteen closures to Federal subsistence fishing reviewed this regulatory cycle, a number of which were deferred from the last cycle. There's a common theme to all but two or three of these closures. They were incorporated from State subsistence regulations at the start of the Federal program and they've not been previously reviewed. They remain closed to Federal and State subsistence, but are open to sport fishing.

That last common thread where these areas are closed to subsistence but open to other uses is inconsistent with ANILCA Title VIII Section 804, which says that the taking on public lands of fish and

wildlife for non-wasteful subsistence uses shall be accorded priority over the taking on such lands of fish and wildlife for other purposes. As such we believe these closures should be rescinded and have made that the OSM conclusion for all of them.

You'll recall that I spoke about the closure reviews earlier in the meeting when I was discussing the delegation of authority letters. Specifically how the closure areas will generally default to liberal area wide regulations if the closures are rescinded. Our position is that Federal fisheries managers can issue special actions to protect populations in these areas if needed until proposals are submitted to set harvest limits, gear types or seasons.

We do not take lightly that this could present additional work for the managers, but we feel that bringing these regulations into more compliance with ANILCA needs to be the priority.

Unless you have any questions I'll now let my colleague, Mr. Graham, present the first closure review.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.

(No comments)

MR. GRAHAM: Hello, Mr. Chair. Members of the Board. Again for the record my name is Cory Graham. I'm a fisheries biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. I'll now present Fisheries Closure Review 23-02, which can be found starting on page 246 of your Board book.

 $$\operatorname{FCR23-02}$ reviews the Federal subsistence closure to the harvest of all fish in a portion of the Kanuti River drainage. This is a standard review to determine if the closure is still necessary.

The Federal closure was carried over from State regulations at the beginning of the Federal program and it has not been reviewed or modified. Under State regulations the Kanuti River is also closed

to subsistence fishing but sport fishing is allowed.

The closure area crosses the Dalton Highway and is located on general domain land managed by the Bureau of Land Management. Chinook and summer chum salmon have been documented downstream of the closure area. Aerial surveys indicate relatively few salmon inhabit the Kanuti River. Arctic grayling, burbot, round whitefish, northern pike and longnose sucker have been observed in the Kanuti River, but information in the closure area is limited.

If the closure is rescinded, general area wide regulations for the Yukon northern area would apply. Harvest of salmon would be allowed if authorized by State emergency order or Federal Special Action. Non-salmon fish could be taken with any legal gear for the Yukon northern area. Subsistence rod and reel harvest limits would match State sport fish limits. Harvest would be unrestricted for all other legal gear types.

The OSM conclusion is to rescind the closure. Currently a portion of the Kanuti River drainage is closed to subsistence fishing, but open to other uses, which OSM has concluded contradicts ANILCA. Rescinding the closure would establish a Federal subsistence priority in the area. However, allowing unrestricted harvest for gear types other than rod and reel in an easily accessible system may lead to overharvest.

Populations may be protected by modifying allowable gear types or harvest limits. However, these modifications are not possible through the closure review process and would require a fisheries proposal be submitted during a future fisheries regulatory cycle. Until then the Federal in-season manager may protect populations in the closure area by using their delegated authority to restrict gear types and harvest limits.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{That}}$ concludes my presentation. I'll stand by for any questions you may have regarding the analysis.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions from the Board for staff.

0090	
1 2	(No comments)
3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing and seeing none. Thank you. We'll move on to the summary of public comment.
7 8 9	MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chair. No written public comments were submitted for this closure review.
10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. We'll at this time open up the floor for public testimony. Operator, if anybody online would like to be recognized, this would be the opportunity.
15 16 17 18	OPERATOR: Again as a reminder please press star, one on your phone and record your name if you have a question.
19 20	(No comments)
21 22 23	$\mbox{\scriptsize OPERATOR:}$ No questions on the phone line at this time.
24 25 26	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. We'll move on to Tribal/Alaska Native corporation comments.
27 28 29 30 31 32	MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Board Members. Orville Lind, Native Liaison. During the consultations conducted there were no questions or comments on Fisheries Closure Review 23-02.
33 34	Thank you, Mr. Chair.
35 36 37 38	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. We'll move on to the Regional Advisory Council recommendations.
39 40 41	MR. REAKOFF: Mr. Chair, this is Jack Reakoff.
42 43 44	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the floor, Jack.
45 46 47 48 49 50	MR. REAKOFF: Western Interior reviewed Proposal FCR23-02. The Council supported with modification the closure to non-salmon species only. The Council noted there was little concern for overharvest of non-salmon fish given arctic grayling is

the predominant species in the drainage and are targeted primarily with rod and reel.

2 3 4

In addition, the system is distant from Wiseman and Coldfoot, which are the communities most likely to subsistence fish in the area. That would be in an association to picking blueberries down in that area. Either clear water streams and fishing with any other gear type are impossible to catch grayling and very unlikely because the fish see the net. So rod and reel is the most effective. That's what subsistence users use. And there's little concern for these stocks given that these stocks go downstream into areas that are open to subsistence with unlimited ability.

So the Council supported the proposal.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

MS. MCDAVID: Mr. Chair. Brooke McDavid, Council Coordinator for the YK Delta RAC. The YK Delta RAC voted to Modify the closure for FCR23-02 by rescinding to non-salmon species only. The Council believes rescinding the closure to non-salmon fish would support subsistence users in the area but felt the closure to salmon should be maintained due to conservation concerns. They noted that a special action can be used to temporarily set harvest limits until a proposal can be submitted by the Western Interior Council during the next fisheries regulatory cycle.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.

38 Seward Pen.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Charlie Wright, co-Chair Eastern Interior RAC. The EIRAC voted to modify the closure for FCR23-02 by rescinding to non-salmon species only. The Council was concerned about Yukon salmon stocks and additional harvest on those stocks but wanted to support non-salmon subsistence harvest opportunity for local residents since there are no conservation concerns for non-salmon species in the Kanuti River.

```
0092
 1
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
 4
    Next Chair.
 5
 6
                     MR. FRANTZ: Mr. Chair. Brower Frantz.
 7
    North Slope Regional Advisory Council reviewed the
     closure to subsistence harvest in the Kanuti River,
 8
     FCR23-02, and we voted to rescind and that was
 9
10
    unanimous for the North Slope RAC. The Council took up
11
    and voted on the review. The Council believes
12
    rescinding the closure would benefit subsistence uses
13
     and provide a Title VIII of ANILCA mandated Federal
14
    subsistence priority as there is already sport fishing
15
    in this area.
16
17
                     Similar to the last one. It doesn't
18
    make sense that subsistence priority isn't met. It
19
     just doesn't make sense. So rescind from the North
20
     Slope.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you. Is
23
     there a representative for the Seward Peninsula?
24
25
                     MS. DETWILER: No.
26
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: No? Okay.
27
28
     Thank you. We have it for the record. Alaska
29
     Department of Fish and Game comments. You have the
30
     floor.
31
32
                     MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
33
     For the record, ADF&G supports this closure remaining
34
     in place. However, with that said, we would be
35
     agreeable to the RAC's suggestion of amending it to
36
     just non-salmon. Also, with that said, knowing that we
37
    have some conservation concerns over non-salmon
38
     species, if we heard from the agency that holds the
39
     delegation letter that they would take action to
40
     restrict the gear types that would currently be allowed
     under just a simple rescission of the closure, that
41
42
     would work for us.
43
44
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
47
     InterAgency Staff Committee comments.
48
49
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
```

Members of the Board. The ISC acknowledges that this closure is out of compliance with Title VIII by being closed to fishing by Federally qualified subsistence users while allowing for sport fishing under State regulations.

The Board would need to take action to bring this situation back into compliance with ANILCA. The Board could modify the closure by closing to all uses. The Board could also rescind the closure and provide a priority consumptive use to federally qualified subsistence users.

Give me one moment, please. Three Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils have recommended the closure be rescinded to non-salmon species only, bringing this fishery back into compliance with ANILCA, and one has recommended to rescind the closure completely. In the absence of this closure standard, area Federal subsistence regulations would apply which could present conservation concerns.

Permanent regulations would be the preferable solution to address possible conservation concerns while still providing a meaningful priority to federally qualified subsistence users. Until the Board receives and takes action on regulatory proposals, Federal managers can use their delegated authority if conservation concerns arise.

The ISC recognizes that unless modified by the Board, current fisheries delegation of authority letters limit Federal managers to emergency special actions, actions lasting no longer than 60 days. As you are aware, the Board did take action on that.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Board discussion with Council Chairs and State Liaison. Sara, you have the floor.

MS. BOARIO: Thank you, Mr. Chair. In response to Ben, to the State of Alaska's comments on this and the previous proposal, the Fish and Wildlife Service would be the manager and we will coordinate with the State and the other agencies and the RAC says we go forward on those.

```
0094
 1
                     Thank you.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any other Board
 4
    comments.
 5
 6
                     (No comments)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: The floor is
 9
     open for Board action.
10
11
                     MR. COHN: Mr. Chair.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the
14
     floor, Steve.
15
16
                     MR. COHN: Mr. Chair. I move to modify
17
     the closure to open to the harvest of non-salmon
18
     species by Federally qualified subsistence users in the
19
     Kanuti River drainage. If I get a second, I'll explain
20
     why I intend to vote in support of my motion.
21
22
                     MR. SCHMID: Forest Service seconds.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you. The
25
     floor is open for discussion. Steve.
26
27
                     MR. COHN: Mr. Chair. Opening the area
28
     to fishing for non-salmon species would provide an
29
     opportunity for Federally qualified subsistence users
30
     where none currently exists under Federal regulations.
31
    Retaining the closure to salmon is a prudent measure
32
    due to conservation concerns for the species in the
33
    Yukon River drainage.
34
35
                     The Federal in-season manager may use
36
     their delegated authority to restrict gear types and/or
37
    harvest limits to protect fish populations in the
     closure area. This is also consistent with the
38
39
    recommendations of the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Western
40
     Interior and Eastern Interior Subsistence Regional
41
    Advisory Councils.
42
43
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
46
    other Board comment.
47
48
                     (No comments)
49
```

```
0095
 1
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none,
 2
    we'll call for the question.
                     MR. CHEN: Question.
 4
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
 7
    Roll call, Sue, please.
 8
 9
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. BLM, Steve
10
    Cohn.
11
12
                     MR. COHN: BLM supports the motion to
13
    modify the closure.
14
15
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. BIA, Glenn
16
    Chen.
17
18
                     MR. CHEN: Thank you, Sue. The BIA
19
     supports the motion which is consistent with the
20
     recommendations of the Yukon Kuskokwim, Western
21
     Interior, Eastern Interior Subsistence Regional
22
     Advisory Councils. We cite their justifications as
23
     support for our vote.
24
25
                     Thank you.
26
27
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Fish and
28
    Wildlife Service, Sara Boario.
29
30
                     MS. BOARIO: Thank you, Sue. Fish and
31
    Wildlife Service supports the motion.
32
33
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. National
34
     Park Service, Sarah Creachbaum.
35
36
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: National Park Service
37
     supports the modification and the motion as recommended
38
     by the RACs and in deference to the RACs and for the
39
     reasons stated by the BLM.
40
41
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
42
     Forest Service.
43
44
                     MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service also
45
     supports the proposal as modified and in deference to
46
     the Western Interior, Eastern Interior and Yukon
47
     Kuskokwim Regional Advisory Councils and also with the
48
     justification provided by the BLM.
```

```
0096
 1
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
 2
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
 3
 4
                     MS. PITKA: I support modifying the
 5
    closure as recommended by YK Delta RAC and EIRAC and
 6
     also as articulated by the BLM.
 7
 8
                     Thank you.
 9
10
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. And voting
11
     in proxy for Public Member Charlie Brower, Rhonda
12
     Pitka.
13
14
                     MS. PITKA: Yes. Rhonda Pitka voting
15
    for Charlie Brower. Charlie Brower also supports
16
    modifying the closure as articulated.
17
18
                     Thank you.
19
20
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Chair
21
    Anthony Christianson.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support as
24
     stated.
25
26
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Motion
27
    passes unanimously.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
30
    We'll call on staff for the next proposal. You have
31
    the floor.
32
33
                     MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chair. Members of the
34
    Board. Again for the record my name is Cory Graham and
    I'm a fisheries biologist with the Office of
36
    Subsistence Management. I'll now present Fisheries
37
    Closure Review 23-03, which can be found on page 271 of
38
    your Board book.
39
40
                     This is also a standard review of a
41
    Federal subsistence fishery closure to the harvest of
42
    all fish in the Bonanza Creek drainage. The purpose of
43
    this closure review is to determine if the closure is
44
     still necessary.
45
46
                     The Federal closure was carried over
47
    from State regulations at the beginning of the Federal
48
     program and it has not been reviewed or modified.
49
    Under State regulations Bonanza Creek is closed to
```

subsistence fishing, but sport fishing is allowed. The closure area crosses the Dalton Highway and is located on general domain land managed by the Borough of Land Management.

Chum salmon may spawn in Bonanza Creek and have been documented downriver of the Dalton Highway, but information regarding salmon is limited in this drainage.

Arctic grayling, burbot, round whitefish, longnose sucker and northern pike have been observed in Bonanza Creek. Arctic grayling abundance was assessed in 1996 in a 3.3 mile section of Bonanza Creek that crosses the Dalton Highway. Results indicated a density of 349 grayling per mile in the study area.

If the closure is rescinded, general area wide regulations for the Yukon-Northern Area would apply. Harvest of salmon would be allowed if authorized by State emergency order or a Federal special action. Non-salmon fish could be taken with any legal gear for the Yukon northern area. Subsistence rod and reel harvest limits would match State sport fish limits. Harvest would be unrestricted for all other legal gear types.

The OSM conclusion is to rescind the closure. Currently, the Bonanza Creek drainage is closed to subsistence fishing, but open to other uses, which OSM has concluded contradicts ANILCA. Rescinding the closure would establish a Federal subsistence priority in the area. The Federal in-season manager may protect populations in the closure area using their delegated authority until a proposal can be submitted.

That concludes my presentation. I'll stand by for any questions you have regarding the analysis.

 $$\operatorname{CHAIRMAN}$$ CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions from the Board for Staff on the presentation.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Hearing and seeing none. We'll go ahead and call the summary of written public comment.

```
0098
 1
                     MR. GRAHAM: Mr. Chair. No written
 2
    public comments were submitted for this closure review.
 4
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
 5
    floor is open to any public who wants to comment on
 6
    this here in the room or on line.
 7
 8
                     OPERATOR: A reminder. Please press
 9
     star, one on your phone and record your name if you
    have a question or comment. One moment, please. No
10
11
     questions on the phone line at this time.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
14
    We'll move on to tribal/Alaska Native corporation
15
     comments.
16
17
                     MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Board
18
    Members. During the consultation process there were no
19
    questions or comments on Fisheries Closure Review
20
     23-03.
21
22
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
25
    We'll move on to Regional Advisory Council
26
    recommendations.
27
28
                     MR. REAKOFF: Mr. Chair. Jack Reakoff,
29
    WIRAC.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the
32
     floor.
33
                     MR. REAKOFF: Basically the Council
34
35
     supported the proposal with modification for non-salmon
36
     species for the same reasons as the previous proposal.
37
     There's healthy populations. There's not a problem for
38
     subsistence harvest.
39
40
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,
43
     Jack. Next RAC Chair.
44
45
                     MS. MCDAVID: Mr. Chair. Brooke
46
    McDavid, Coordinator for the YK Delta RAC. Similar to
47
    the last closure review the YK Delta RAC voted to
48
    modify the closure for FCR23-03 by rescinding to
49
    non-salmon species only. They did this because they
50
```

```
0099
    wanted to support subsistence users, but felt that
    salmon fishing should remain closed because of
    conservation concerns. They noted again that temporary
    harvest limits could be set using a temporary special
 5
    action until the next regulatory cycle.
 6
 7
                     Thank you.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
10
    Next RAC.
11
12
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
13
    Co-Chair of Eastern Interior RAC Charlie Wright.
14
    FCR23-03 Bonanza Creek closure review.
                                            The EIRAC voted
15
    to modify the closure for the proposal by rescinding to
    non-salmon species only. The Council was concerned
16
17
    about Yukon salmon stock and additional harvest on
18
    those stocks, but wanted to support non-salmon
19
    subsistence harvest opportunity for local residents
20
    since there are no conservation concerns for
21
    non-salmon species in Bonanza Creek.
22
23
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair and Board.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
26
    Next RAC.
27
28
                     MR. FRANTZ: Brower Frantz for the
29
    North Slope Regional Advisory Council. For FCR23-03
30
    the North Slope Regional Advisory Council voted to
31
    rescind the closure. The Council believes that
32
    rescinding the closure would benefit subsistence uses
33
    and provide a Title VIII of ANILCA mandated Federal
    subsistence priority, as there is already sport fishing
34
35
    in this area.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you to
38
     the RACs. We'll move on to the Alaska Department of
39
    Fish and Game comment.
40
                     MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I
41
42
    will refer to our comments on the previous closure
43
    review pertaining to our agreeableness to amending the
44
     closure and thank you to Board Member Boario for
45
     stating on the record to address the gear type issue.
46
47
                     Thank you very much, sir.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
```

0100 1 InterAgency Staff Committee. 2 3 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 Members of the Board. The InterAgency Staff Committee 5 acknowledges that this closure is out of compliance with Title VIII of ANILCA by being closed to fishing by 6 7 Federally qualified subsistence users while allowing for sport fishing under State regulations. The Board 9 would need to take action to bring this situation back 10 into compliance with ANILCA. The Board could modify the 11 closure by closing to all uses. The Board could also rescind the closure and provide a priority consumptive 12 13 use to federally qualified subsistence users. 14 15 Three Subsistence Regional Advisory 16 Councils have recommended the closure be modified by 17 rescinding to non-salmon species only and one Council 18 has recommended to rescind. In the absence of this 19 closure, standard area Federal subsistence regulations 20 would apply which could present conservation concerns. 21 22 Permanent regulations would be the 23 preferable solution to address possible conservation 24 concerns while still providing a meaningful priority to 25 federally qualified subsistence users. 26 Until the Board receives and takes action on regulatory 27 proposals, Federal managers can use their delegated authority if conservation concerns arise. 28 29 30 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 31 32 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 33 Board discussion with Council Chairs or State Liaison. 34 35 (No comments) 36 37 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing or seeing none. We'll move on to Board motion. 38 39 40 MR. COHN: Mr. Chair. 41 42 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Steve, you have 43 the floor. 44 45 MR. COHN: Mr. Chair I move to modify 46 the closure to open to the harvest of non-salmon

species by federally qualified subsistence users in the

explain why I intend to vote in support of my motion.

Bonanza Creek drainage. If I get a second, I'll

49 50

47

```
0101
 1
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: National Park Service
 2
    seconds.
 3
 4
                     MR. COHN: Mr. Chair.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, you have
 7
    the floor.
 8
 9
                     MR. COHN: Opening the area to fishing
10
    for non-salmon species would provide an opportunity for
11
    Federally qualified subsistence users where none
12
     currently exists under Federal regulations. Retaining
13
    the closure to salmon is a prudent measure due to
14
    conservation concerns for the species in the Yukon
15
    River drainage.
16
17
                     The Federal in-season manager may use
18
    their delegated authority to restrict gear types and/or
19
    harvest limits to protect fish populations in the
20
    closure area. This is also consistent with the
21
    recommendations of the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, Western
    Interior and Eastern Interior Subsistence Regional
22
23
    Advisory Councils.
24
25
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you. Any
    other Board comments, deliberation.
28
29
30
                     (No comments)
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for the
33
    question.
34
35
                     MR. SCHMID: Ouestion.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,
38
    Dave. Roll call, Sue.
39
40
                     MS. DETWILER: BLM, Steve Cohn.
41
42
                     MR. COHN: Thank you, Sue. BLM votes
43
     in support of modifying the closure.
44
45
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. BIA, Glenn
46
    Chen.
47
48
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA supports the motion,
49
    which would rescind the closure only to non-salmon
50
```

```
0102
 1
    species. We recognize that this is consistent with the
    recommendations of the Western Interior, YK Delta and
    Easter Interior Councils and we cite their
    justifications as the reasons for our support.
 5
 6
                     Thank you.
 7
 8
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Sara Boario,
 9
    Fish and Wildlife Service.
10
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife Service
11
12
    votes to support the motion to rescind the closure to
13
     non-salmon species as modified and consistent with the
14
     RACs.
15
16
                     MS. DETWILER: Sarah Creachbaum,
17
    National Park Service.
18
19
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: Sue, the National Park
20
     Service also supports the proposal as modified and
21
     recommended by the RACs.
22
23
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
24
     Forest Service.
25
26
                     MR. SCHMID: Forest Service supports
27
     the proposal as modified in deference to the three RACs
28
     that supported rescinding the closure and opening it
29
     only to non-salmon species.
30
31
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
32
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
33
34
                     MS. PITKA: I support modifying the
35
    closure as recommended by YK Delta, WIRAC and EIRAC.
36
    Thank you.
37
38
                     MS. DETWILER:
                                   Thank you. And Public
39
    Member Rhonda Pitka as proxy for Public Member Charlie
40
    Brower.
41
42
                     MS. PITKA: Charlie Brower's vote is
43
     also to support modifying the closure in deference to
44
     the Regional Advisory Councils.
45
46
                     Thank you.
47
48
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. And Chair
49
    Anthony Christianson.
```

0103		
1	C	HAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support as
2	stated on the rec	ord.
3		
4	M	S. DETWILER: Motion passes
5	unanimously.	
6	anamimousiy.	
7		HAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for a
8	rive-minute break	. I drank too much coffee.
9		
10	(Off record)
11		
12	(On record)
13		
14	C	HAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We'll get back
15		Thank you guys for being expedient in
16		lso like to take this moment before we
17		happy birthday to Rhonda.
18	beare to externa a	nappy birenaay to infoliaa.
19	T	hank you for somebody letting me know.
20	1	mank you for somebody feecing me know.
	,	7 7
21	(Applause)
22		
23		HAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I'd like to
24	sing, so if you g	ruys all want to join in with me.
25		
26	(Singing)
27		
28	M	IS. PITKA: Thank you all very much. I
29	appreciate that.	There's nothing better than being
30		our birthday. I love that so much.
31	1	
32		HAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We'll roll out
33		lly a caribou will jump out of it.
34	a cake and noperu	illy a callbod will jump out of it.
	,	T 1-1 1
35	(Laughter)
36		
37		HAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right.
38	We'll get back.	Staff, you have the floor.
39		
40	Т	hank you.
41		
42	M	IR. GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
43		cord, my name is Cory Graham. I'm a
44		st with the Office of Subsistence
45	Management. I'll now present Fisheries Closure Review	
46		be found on Page 296 of your Board
		be round on rage 250 or your board
47	book.	
48	_	IGDOO OF THE PART
49	F	CR23-05 reviews the Federal
50		

subsistence closure to the harvest of all fish in the Delta River. It is a standard review to determine if the closure is still necessary. The Federal closure was carried over from State regulations and it has not been reviewed or modified. Under State regulations the Delta River is closed to subsistence fishing but sport fishing is allowed.

The Delta River begins at the outlet of Lower Tango Lake, about 60 miles south southwest of Delta Junction. The upper portion of the Delta River is a component of the National Wild and Scenic River system, which is administered by the Bureau of Land Management. The lower section of the closure area is on general domain land, which is also managed by the Bureau of Land Management.

Chum and coho salmon spawn in the lower section of the Delta River, but salmon do not inhabit the closure area. Arctic grayling, burbot, round whitefish, longnose sucker, lack trout and dolly varden have been observed in the Delta River. The closure area contains an abundant population of arctic grayling with one of the highest recorded densities for the species in Alaska.

If the closure is rescinded, general area wide regulations for the Yukon-Northern Area would apply. Non-salmon fish could be taken by with any legal gear for the Yukon northern area. Subsistence rod and reel harvest limits would match State sport fish limits. Harvest would be unrestricted for all other legal gear types.

The OSM conclusion is to rescind the closure. Currently Federal public waters of the Delta River are closed to subsistence fishing but open to other uses, which OSM has concluded contradicts ANILCA. Rescinding the closure would establish a Federal subsistence priority in the area. Similar to the previous closure reviews, populations may be protected by the Federal in-season manager until a proposal that modifies allowable gear types or harvest limits can be submitted.

That concludes my presentation. I'll stand by for any questions you may have regarding the analysis.

```
0105
 1
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
 2
     questions from the board.
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
 7
     Summary of written public comment.
 8
 9
                     MR. GRAHAM:
                                  Mr. Chair. No written
10
     public comments were submitted for this closure review.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. At
13
     this time we'll open the floor to public testimony. We
14
     do have a blue card here from Karen Linnell. You have
15
     the floor.
16
17
                     MS. LINNELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
18
    For the record my name is Karen Linnell. I'm the
19
    executive director for the Ahtna Intertribal Resource
20
    Commission, which is made up of eight
21
    Federally-recognized tribes, Ahtna Incorporated and
22
    Chitina Native Corporation.
23
24
                     Tangle Lakes area falls well within the
25
    Ahtna Traditional Use territory, but it did not fall
26
    under the Southcentral RAC jurisdiction. In this case
27
    we have family ties to the area. Buster Gene was born
28
    up in that area. Doris Charles from Dot Lake is my
29
    father's aunt, so my grandmother, which also used that
30
     area.
31
32
                     We continue to hunt and fish in the
33
     Tangle Lakes area for burbot, whitefish, lingcod. So I
34
    would encourage you to adopt this proposal and not
35
     defer it as recommended by Eastern Interior RAC. As
36
    mentioned by Staff, there's no salmon there. We
37
     appreciate that the FSB is looking at Title VIII and
38
    providing for Federal subsistence priority that Katie
39
     John fought for and having this look at this. When
40
     there's a sport fishery happening, there should be
41
     subsistence opportunity as well.
42
43
                     So, again, thank you for your time and
44
     your work in all this. I appreciate the staff looking
45
     into Title VIII and those Federal closures where there
46
     are sport fishing opportunities.
```

Thank you so much.

49 50

```
0106
 1
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,
     Karen. Any other public like to testify at this time
 2
     either here or online, Operator?
 4
 5
                     OPERATOR: Again as a reminder please
     press star, one on your phone and record your name if
 6
 7
     you have a question. One moment, please. I show no
     questions on the phone lines at this time.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
11
     We'll move on to tribal/Alaska Native corporation
12
     comments.
13
14
                     MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Board
15
    Members. During the consultation sessions August 23rd
     for Regions 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 we did not have any
16
17
     questions or comments on that Closure Review 23-05.
18
19
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
20
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
21
22
     We'll move on to the Regional Advisory Council
23
     recommendations.
24
25
                     MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
26
    This is Charlie Wright, co-Chair of the Eastern
27
     Interior RAC. On FCR25-05 Delta River closure review,
28
    the EIRAC voted to defer to the next fisheries
29
     regulatory cycle. The Council stated they need to hear
30
    from more local residents that will be affected by
31
     rescinding this closure before making a decision,
32
     including from the Delta AC who had not yet discussed
33
     the closure review at the time of the Council meeting.
34
35
                     Although the Council supported the
36
     notion of increasing subsistence opportunity, they
37
     expressed conservation concerns due to a lack of
38
    harvest monitoring and potential for overharvest if the
39
     closure were lifted with no regulations in place to
     limit harvest. Because of these conservation concerns,
40
41
     the Council suggested deferring this closure review
42
    until such a time that it would align with the
43
    fisheries regulatory cycle and a concurrent proposal
44
     could be submitted to put harvest restrictions in
45
    place. This would also give time for more local
46
     residents to weigh in on the issue.
```

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

49 50

0107 1 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 2 Next RAC. 3 4 MS. MCDAVID: Mr. Chair. Brooke 5 McDavid, YK Delta RAC Coordinator. The YK Delta RAC 6 also voted to defer this closure review. The Council 7 noted the home region wanted to defer to hear from affected users. The Council believes the home region 9 should make the decision. 10 11 Thank you. 12 13 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 14 Next RAC. 15 16 MR. FRANTZ: Mr. Chair. Brower Frantz, 17 North Slope Regional Advisory Council. For FCR23-05, 18 review closure to subsistence harvest of all fish in 19 the Delta River, at our last meeting we took no action 20 on that and the North Slope RAC voted to defer to the 21 Eastern Interior Regional Advisory Council who is most 22 affected by this closure. 23 24 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 25 additional RAC. 26 27 MS. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For 28 the record my name is Jessica Gill and the Southcentral 29 Regional Advisory Council Coordinator. I'd like to 30 provide a comment from the Southcentral Regional 31 Advisory Council. 32 33 The Southcentral Alaska Subsistence 34 Regional Advisory Council recommends the Office of 35 Subsistence Management remove the Federal subsistence 36 fishing closure on the Delta River but delay the 37 opening of the fishery until such a time that OSM has 38 conducted an analysis to determine which Federally 39 qualified subsistence users should receive a customary 40 and traditional use determination of the Delta River 41 drainage and to recommend appropriate methods and means 42 of harvest to ensure the fishery is sustainable. 43 44 It is the Council's understanding 45 that, as written, OSM's recommendation would make the 46 Federal public waters of the Delta River drainage

available to all federally qualified subsistence users

of the Yukon-Northern Area whereas federally qualified

subsistence users in the Ahtna Traditional Use

49 50

47

```
0108
 1
    Territory would not be eligible to subsistence fish
    under Federal regulations in an area that the Ahtna
 2
    people have fished and stewarded for countless
 4
    generations.
 5
 6
                     Thank you.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
 9
     additional RAC.
10
11
                     MR. REAKOFF: Mr. Chair. WIRAC voted
12
    to defer this proposal to the Eastern Interior Region.
13
14
                     Thank you.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,
17
     Jack. Move on to the Alaska Department of Fish and
18
     Game comment.
19
20
                     MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
21
    Alaska Department of Fish and Game supports the closure
22
    remaining in place at this time. The Delta River is
23
    very accessible and downstream of Eureka Creek there is
24
    little information on non-salmon species in these cold,
25
    low productivity waters. In the absence of data we
26
    utilize conservative regulations to avoid
27
    overexploitation. Allowing multiple gear types and no
28
    harvest limits could result in localized depletions and
29
    overharvest of populations.
30
31
                     Similar to what the Eastern Interior
32
    RAC representative said for deferral and knowing that a
33
     concurrent proposal would be proposed to address I
34
     think similar concerns we had with the RAC as well as
35
     others, we would actually support that deferral as
36
    well.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
39
     InterAgency Staff.
40
41
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
42
    Members of the Board. The InterAgency Staff Committee
43
    provided their standard comment.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
46
    Board discussion with Council Chairs, State Liaison.
47
48
                     (No comments)
49
```

```
0109
 1
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: The floor is
 2
    open for Board action.
 3
 4
                     MR. COHN: Mr. Chair.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, you have
 7
     the floor, Steve. Again, we were asked by the court
     reporter just for clarification if we could state our
 8
 9
     name for the record as we make the motions and second
10
     them.
11
12
                     Thank you.
13
14
                     MR. COHN:
                               Thank you, Mr. Chair.
15
    Cohn, Bureau of Land Management. I move to defer
     action on Fisheries Closure Review FCR23-05 until the
16
17
     next fisheries regulatory cycle. If I get a second,
18
    I'll explain why I intend to vote in support of my
19
    motion.
20
21
                     MR. SCHMID: Forest Service seconds.
22
23
                     MR. COHN: Mr. Chair. The Eastern
24
    Interior Alaska Subsistence Regional Advisory Council
25
    has expressed the need for more public input to
26
    determine the impact that rescinding the closure might
27
    have on Federally qualified subsistence users. The
    Council also has conservation concerns and these
28
29
    concerns should be vetted through the public process
30
    prior to the Board making a decision on whether to
31
    rescind this closure.
32
33
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
36
    further Board discussion, deliberation.
37
38
                     (No comments)
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for the
41
    question.
42
43
                     MR. SCHMID: Question.
44
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,
45
46
     Dave. Roll call, Sue, please.
47
48
                     MS. DETWILER: Starting with the maker
49
    of the motion Steve Cohn, BLM.
```

```
0110
 1
                     MR. COHN: BLM votes in support of the
    motion to defer the action on the Fisheries Closure
 2
    Review FCR23-05.
 4
 5
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. BIA, Glenn
 6
    Chen.
 7
 8
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA supports the BLM's
 9
    motion to defer action on this closure review. This is
10
    consistent with the four Regional Advisory Councils who
11
    took action on this proposal and we support their
12
    justification for this as well.
13
14
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Sara Boario,
15
    Fish and Wildlife Service.
16
17
                     MS. BOARIO: The Fish and Wildlife
18
     Service supports the motion to defer and with the RACs.
19
20
                    MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Sarah
21
    Creachbaum, National Park Service.
22
23
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: National Park Service
24
    also votes to defer.
25
26
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
27
    Forest Service.
28
29
                     MR. SCHMID: Forest Service also
30
    supports deferring FCR23-05 in deference to the Eastern
31
    Interior RAC.
32
33
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
34
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
35
36
                    MS. PITKA: I vote to defer FCR23-05.
37
    On principle I hate deferral motions because it puts
     off the decision to another day, but I think that we
38
39
    need some more information. Thank you.
40
41
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. And Rhonda
42
    Pitka as proxy for Public Member Charlie Brower.
43
44
                     MS. PITKA: As proxy for Public Member
45
    Brower he also votes to defer.
46
47
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Finally
48
    Chair Anthony Christianson.
```

0111 1 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support 2 deferral. 3 4 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Motion 5 passes unanimously. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: At this time 8 I'll call on Staff to present the next proposal. Thank 9 vou. 10 11 MR. KOLLER: Good morning, Mr. Chair. 12 Members of the Board. My name is Justin Koller. 13 fish biologist with the Office of Subsistence 14 Management. We're moving on to the Aleutian Islands 15 area with Fisheries Closure Review 23-12. The analysis 16 for FCR23-12 begins on Page 314 of the meeting book. 17 18 FCR23-12 is a routine review of a 19 Federal closure to salmon fishing in all freshwaters on 20 Adak and Kagalaska Island. Federal public waters on 21 Adak and Kagalaska Island comprise all freshwaters 22 within and adjacent to the exterior boundaries of the 23 Alaska Maritime Wildlife Refuge. This is illustrated 24 on Figure 1, Page 320. 25 26 Residents of the Aleutian Islands area 27 and the Pribilof Islands have a customary and 28 traditional use determination for salmon in the 29 Aleutian Islands area. Notable Federal public 30 freshwaters of interest on Adak and Kagalaska Islands 31 include drainages in Hidden Bay and Lake Andrew on Adak 32 Island and Quail Bay and Galas Point on Kagalaska 33 Island. None of the fish populations in these 34 freshwaters are monitored, so there's little abundance 35 information available. 36 37 Subsistence harvest is reported on 38 State issued subsistence fishing permits. There are 39 few subsistence permits issued for the Adak District recently since the U.S. Navy presence has declined. 40 41 Annual subsistence salmon harvest in Adak has been less 42 than 500 fish for the past 20 years. The number of 43 permits issues has also decreased to five or less since 44 2009. So reported subsistence use here is minimal. 45 46 If the closures are rescinded, Federal 47 subsistence regulations for the Adak District would

apply. Salmon could be taken by seine or gillnet or

with gear specified on a permit with a harvest limit of

48

49

25 salmon plus an additional 25 salmon for each member of a household listed on a permit.

2 3 4

Conservation concerns are unlikely because subsistence harvest in recent years has been very minimal and occurs primarily in marine waters. If necessary to conserve fish populations, the Federal in-season manager could exercise their delegated authority to manage the fisheries.

For reference, the delegation follows the analysis and begins on Page 331 of the meeting book. The OSM conclusion is to rescind the closure. These drainages are currently closed to the harvest of salmon by federally qualified subsistence users and remain open to other uses.

OSM has concluded that Federal subsistence opportunity should also be provided to comply with the rural preference mandated by ANILCA. The closure broadly covers all Federal public freshwaters on Adak and Kagalaska Islands. There is no evidence to suggest it is necessary to close all these Federal public freshwaters to Federal subsistence salmon fishing. Providing opportunity for federally qualified subsistence users is not likely to lead to a conservation concern because subsistence harvest in recent years has been very minimal and occurs primarily in marine waters.

The Federal in-season manager has been delegated authority to issue emergency special actions for up to 60 days to open or close Federal subsistence fishing periods or areas provided under codified regulations and to specify methods and means; to specify permit requirements; and to set harvest and possession limits for Federal subsistence fisheries. The Federal in-season manager can use this authority to manage Federal subsistence fisheries in the short term if necessary. A proposal outlining desired parameters for these fisheries may be submitted during the next fisheries cycle so they can be put into regulation.

That concludes my presentation.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions from the Board.}$

0113 1 (No comments) 2 3 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Summary of 4 written public comments. 5 6 MR. KOLLER: Mr. Chair, there were no 7 written public comments submitted. Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 9 10 Open the floor to the public to testify at this time if 11 you're in the room or online. 12 13 OPERATOR: Again, as a reminder, please 14 press star, one on your phone and record your name if 15 you have a question. One moment, please. 16 17 (No comments) 18 19 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Must be none 20 online. Tribal/Alaska Native corporation comments. 21 Native Liaison. 22 23 MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 24 Members. During the consultation held on August 23rd 25 we did not have any questions or comments on the 26 Closure Review 23-12. 27 28 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 29 30 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 31 Regional Advisory Council recommendations. 32 33 MS. SKINNER: Rebecca Skinner for the 34 Kodiak/Aleutians RAC. I do want to note that our RAC 35 has a number of closure reviews at this meeting and we 36 do have a number that were deferred from previous 37 years. We did work closely with OSM to develop an 38 outreach strategy, outreach materials and recommend 39 channels of outreach and where that outreach should be delivered so that we could collect some of the 40 41 information that we didn't have for the first round of 42 deferred proposals and that also applied for the new 43 ones for 2023. That comment applies to all the 44 proposals that we're going to do for our region today. 45 46 So for FCR23-12 the KARAC supports rescinding the closure. The Council acknowledges the 47 48 current lack of priority for Federally qualified

subsistence users at this location. The Council

49

prefers to provide opportunity for federally qualified subsistence users and to be able to provide a rural preference in times of conservation concern.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Alaska Department of Fish and Game comment.

MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game supports the closure remaining in place at this time. These areas are currently open to sport fishing; however, historically there has been little to no harvest in them and all federally qualified users have been eligible to participate in these fisheries. As a result, there has never been a need to pass regulatory changes at the State level pertaining to them.

Additionally, the subsistence gear type that would be allowed is much more liberal and efficient at harvesting salmon as is in the salt water of the area. ADF&G suggests that the Board of Fish process be used to bring subsistence and sport fishery regulations into alignment for Adak and Kagalaska Island salmon systems if salmon needs are not being met by current regulations. Doing so would prohibit conflicting regulations from being put into effect, which would reduce the burden on subsistence users and alleviate enforcement concerns that occur.

Similar to the previous region, if the Board is so inclined to rescind the closure, we'd like to know that the agency in charge of the delegated authority would address that gear type issue. I know that in particular in this one the Fish and Wildlife Service is still the entity that holds that and I would assume that Member Boario's comment would still pertain to these as well.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Ben. InterAgency Staff Committee.

MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Robbin. The InterAgency Staff Committee has provided the same comment for this proposal and the following two. I'm going to read it once into the

record and then I'll refer to it as we address the following closure reviews.

So for FCR23-12 the ISC acknowledges that this closure is out of compliance with Title VIII of ANILCA by being closed to fishing by federally qualified subsistence users while allowing for sport fishing under State regulations. The Board would need to take action to bring this situation back into compliance with ANILCA. The Board could modify the closure by closing to all uses. The Board could also rescind the closure and provide a priority consumptive use to federally qualified subsistence users.

The Council has recommended the closure be rescinded, bringing this fishery back into compliance with ANILCA. In the absence of this closure standard, area Federal subsistence regulations would apply which could present conservation concerns.

Permanent regulations would be the preferable solution to address possible conservation concerns while still providing a meaningful priority to federally qualified subsistence users. Until the Board receives and takes action on regulatory proposals, Federal managers can use their delegated authority if conservation concerns arise.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. That opens up the floor for Board discussion. Council Chairs or the State Liaison.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ BOARIO: Mr. Chair. Fish and Wildlife Service.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Sara, you have the floor.

MS. BOARIO: Thank you. I just wanted to note that our fisheries manager with delegated authority, John Gerken, is present with us today. I'd invite John up if he wanted to contribute to the discussion on this and the next two that follow.

MR. GERKEN: Good morning. For the record my name is John Gerken. I work for the Fish and Wildlife Service here in Anchorage. I'm the Federal

```
0116
 1
    in-season manager for the Aleutians, Bristol Bay and
    Alaska Peninsula. I'm here to take any questions if
 2
    there are any. I guess I would echo as the in-season
    manager that any decisions in this area if this
 5
    proposal was rescinded would be in consultation with
 6
    not only the stakeholders, the Regional Advisory
 7
    Council and the Department.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
10
    questions from the Board.
11
12
                     (No comments)
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for
15
     coming up. Appreciate it. That opens up the floor for
16
     Board motion.
17
18
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. Sara Boario,
19
     Fish and Wildlife Service. I move to adopt Fisheries
20
     Closure Review FCR23-12. If I get a second, I'll
21
     explain why I intend to vote in support of my motion.
22
23
                     MS. PITKA: I'll second. Rhonda Pitka.
24
25
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. The Kodiak
26
    Aleutians Regional Advisory Council has voted to
27
    rescind the closure to salmon fishing in all fresh
28
     waters at Adak and Kagalaska Island. The Council
29
     acknowledges the current lack of priority for Federally
30
     qualified subsistence users at this location. The
31
     Council prefers to provide opportunity for Federally
32
     qualified subsistence users and to be able to provide a
33
     rural preference in times of conservation concern.
34
35
                     The Service fisheries manager, as we
36
     just heard, with delegated authority will coordinate
37
     with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, the
38
     Council Chair and OSM prior to issuing emergency or
39
     temporary special actions determining gear type,
40
     setting harvest limits and addressing conservation
41
     concerns if they arise.
42
43
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
46
     other Board discussion, deliberation, comments.
47
48
                     (No comments)
```

```
0117
 1
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for
 2
    question.
 3
 4
                     MR. SCHMID: Question.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Roll call,
 7
    please.
 8
 9
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
10
    The motion is to rescind the closure. Starting with
11
    the maker of the motion Sara Boario, Fish and Wildlife
12
     Service.
13
14
                     MS. BOARIO: The Fish and Wildlife
15
     Service supports.
16
17
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Glenn Chen,
18
    BIA.
19
20
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA supports the motion
21
    which is consistent with the recommendation of the
22
    Kodiak Aleutian Regional Advisory Council and we concur
23
    with the justification provided by the Council for
24
    their action.
25
26
                     Thank you.
27
28
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. BLM, Steve
29
    Cohn.
30
31
                     MR. COHN: BLM supports the motion to
32
    rescind the closure in deference to the Kodiak Aleutian
33
     Subsistence Regional Advisory Council.
34
35
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. National
36
    Park Service, Sarah Creachbaum.
37
38
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: The National Park
39
     Service also supports rescinding the closure in
40
     deference to the Bristol Bay area RAC.
41
42
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Sarah.
43
     Schmid, Forest Service.
44
45
                     MR. SCHMID: Yeah, the Forest Service
46
     supports rescinding Closure FCR23-12 in deference to
47
     the Kodiak Aleutian Islands RAC and for the reasons
48
     outlined by the Council and OSM.
49
```

```
0118
 1
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
 2
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
 4
                     MS. PITKA: I support rescinding the
 5
    closure in deference to the Regional Advisory Council
 6
     and also as articulated on Page 325, the OSM
 7
     justification. Thank you.
 8
 9
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. And Rhonda
10
    Pitka on behalf of Charlie Brower.
11
12
                     MS. PITKA: On behalf of Charlie
13
    Brower, Mr. Brower also supports rescinding the closure
14
     as articulated.
15
16
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Finally
17
    Chair Anthony Christianson.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support as
20
     stated.
21
22
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Motion
23
    passes unanimously.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I'll call on
26
     Staff to present the next proposal. Thank you.
27
28
                     MR. KOLLER: Good morning again.
29
     is Justin Koller, fish biologist for Office of
30
     Subsistence Management. FCR21-13 is a routine review
31
    of a Federal closure to salmon fishing at Russell Creek
32
    and Nurse Lagoon near Cold Bay in the Alaska Peninsula
33
     area. The analysis begins on Page 335 of the meeting
34
    book.
35
36
                     Russell Creek consists of Federal
37
    public waters within and adjacent to the external
38
    boundaries of the Alaska Peninsula National Wildlife
39
    Refuge. Nurse Lagoon was at one time considered
40
    Federal public waters. The Secretaries of the Interior
41
    and Agriculture later determined that some marine
42
    waters, including Nurse Lagoon, were incorrectly added
    to Federal subsistence regulation and should be
44
    removed. Mention of Nurse Lagoon persists in this
    Federal subsistence regulation and should be removed if
45
46
    this closure is retained.
47
48
                     Residents of the Alaska Peninsula area
```

have a customary and traditional use determination for

49

all fish in the Alaska Peninsula area. Russell Creek has been surveyed and found to contain chum, coho, pink and sockeye salmon and steelhead. Annual indexing of chum and pink salmon escapement is conducted through aerial surveys and recent data shows variable returns since 2013; 15,000 to 125,000 chum salmon and up to 140,000 pink salmon.

There was also a count of 600 coho salmon during the 2014 season. 100 sockeye salmon observed during the 2020 season. Estimated coho escapement in Russell Creek during the 2000's range from two to eight thousand.

Russell Creek is closed to subsistence fishing under both Federal and State regulations, but is open to sport fishing. The general Alaska Peninsula/Aleutian Island Remote Zone sport fishing salmon regulations allow five salmon per day excluding chinook and 10 in possession. There's no harvest estimate reported for Russell Creek, but the estimated sport harvest for the combined Cold Bay area freshwater between 2009 and 2020 range from 1,249 to 5,048 salmon.

There are currently non-subsistence uses permitted in this area and OSM's conclusion is that this current situation is out of compliance with Title VIII of ANILCA. Rescinding the closure would provide Federal opportunity to harvest salmon in the Russell Creek drainage, thereby providing priority consumptive use to federally qualified subsistence users.

If this closure is rescinded, Federal subsistence regulations for the Alaska Peninsula Area would apply which could lead to overharvest and conservation concerns. Salmon taken under the permit could be harvested by seine, gillnet, rod and reel, or gear specified on the permit. Salmon could also be taken under Federal regulation without a permit by snagging, using a spear, bow and arrow or capture by hand.

The salmon harvest limit per household for the Alaska Peninsula Area is 250 fish. To conserve fish populations the Federal in-season manager could use authority delegated by the Board to set provisions for the fishery such as limiting gear types and setting conservative harvest limits. For reference, the

delegation of authority follows the analysis and begins on Page 358 of the meeting book.

The OSM conclusion is to rescind the closure. The Russell Creek drainage is currently closed to the harvest of salmon by Federally qualified subsistence users, but remains open to other uses. OSM has concluded that Federal subsistence opportunity should be provided to comply with the rural preference mandated by ANILCA. The Federal in-season manager can use delegated authority to manage the fishery in the short term.

A proposal outlining desired parameters for this fishery may be submitted during the next fisheries cycle so they can be put into regulation. If this closure is retained, reference to Nurse Lagoon should be removed because it's not Federal public water and the word drainage should be added after Russell Creek to clarify that the closure applies to the entire drainage. If this closure is rescinded, there would be no need to make these changes.

That's the end of my presentation and also the in-season manager Jonathon Gerken is also available for questions.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions from the Board.

(No comments)

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Summary of written public comment.} \\$

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ KOLLER: Mr. Chair. There were no written public comments submitted for this closure review.

 $\label{lem:chairman christianson:} Chairman \ \mbox{Christianson:} \ \ \mbox{Thank you.}$ We'll open the floor to the public here in the room or online.

OPERATOR: Again as a reminder please press star, one on your phone and record your name if you have a question. One moment, please.

0121 1 (No comments) 2 3 OPERATOR: I show no questions on the 4 phone lines at this time. 5 6 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 7 We'll move on to Tribal/Alaska Native corporation 8 comments. 9 10 MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 Orville Lind, Native Liaison for the Office of 12 Subsistence Management. During the consultation 13 session August 23rd we did not have any questions or 14 comments. 15 16 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 17 18 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Move on to 19 Regional Advisory Council recommendations. 20 21 MS. SKINNER: Rebecca Skinner for the 22 Kodiak Aleutians RAC. The RAC supports rescinding the 23 closure of SCR21-13. The Council acknowledges the 24 current lack of priority for federally qualified 25 subsistence users at this location. The Council prefers 26 to provide opportunity for federally qualified 27 subsistence users and to be able to provide a rural 28 preference in times of conservation concern. 29 30 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Alaska 31 Department of Fish and Game comments. 32 33 MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 34 For the record, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game supports the closure remaining in place at this time 36 and I will reference our comments on FCR21-12 as 37 pertaining to this one as well. The only additional 38 comment we have is pertaining to the jurisdiction issue 39 OSM is recommending correcting. 40 41 After the initial RAC meetings in 2021 42 over these we had folks come to us and ask some 43 questions over jurisdiction and we had our ANILCA shop 44 look into it. We found that in the record that Federal Subsistence Board and Federal Subsistence has no 45 46 jurisdiction over Nurse Lagoon and within 500 yards 47 outside the mouth of Nurse Lagoon per 50 CFR 48 100.27(e)(7)(v)(A). The final rule at 70 FR 76407, 49 December 27, 2005 specifically excluded these waters

```
0122
     and others from FSB regulations.
 2
 3
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
 6
     Thank you for the notice. I missed Bristol Bay
 7
     recommendation.
 8
 9
                     MS. MORRIS LYON: That's okay. Thank
10
    you. We did take this motion up at our recent meeting
11
     and the Council agreed with the recommendations of the
    Kodiak Aleutians Regional Advisory Council and supports
12
13
     following Title VIII of ANILCA when resources are
14
     limited.
15
16
                     Thank you.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
19
     InterAgency Staff Committee.
20
21
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
22
    Members of the Board. The ISC provided the same
23
     comment consistent with FCR23-12.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Board
26
     discussion with Council Chairs. State Liaison.
27
28
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. Sara Boario,
29
     Fish and Wildlife Service. I just want to note that
     the OSM Staff have addressed the State's comments on
30
31
    Nurse Lagoon. I appreciate the question the Staff
32
     proactive answer on that. Again invite John if you
33
     have anything additional to add.
34
35
                     MR. GERKEN: For the record my name is
36
     John Gerken, Federal in-season manager for the Bristol
37
     Bay, Kodiak Aleutians and Alaska Peninsula. Nothing
38
     else to add. I'd just echo my comments on the last
39
     proposal. If the closure was rescinded, anything, we'd
40
    be doing it in consultation with stakeholders, Regional
41
     Advisory Council and the Department.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you. Any
44
     other Board discussion, questions.
45
46
                     (No comments)
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Hearing none.
49
     The floor is open for Board motion.
```

```
0123
 1
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. Sara Boario,
 2
     Fish and Wildlife Service. I move to adopt Fisheries
    Closure Review FCR21-13 and if I get a second I'll
 4
     explain why I intend to vote in support of my motion.
 5
 6
                     MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service
 7
     seconds.
 8
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. The Kodiak
 9
10
    Aleutians Regional Advisory Council has voted to
11
    rescind the closure and has the support of the Bristol
12
     Bay Regional Advisory Council as well. The Council
13
     acknowledges the current lack of priority for Federally
14
     qualified subsistence users at this location.
15
16
                     The Council supports providing
17
     opportunity for Federally qualified subsistence users
18
    and to be able to provide a rural preference in times
19
    of conservation concern. The Service fisheries manager
20
    with delegated authority will coordinate with the State
21
     of Alaska, the Council Chair and OSM prior to issuing
22
     an emergency or temporary special actions determining
23
    gear types, setting harvest limits and addressing
24
     conservation concerns if they arise.
25
26
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
29
    further Board deliberation, discussion.
30
31
                     (No comments)
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for the
34
    question.
35
36
                     MR. SCHMID: Question.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Roll call,
39
    please.
40
41
                     MS. DETWILER: The motion is to rescind
42
    the closure. Starting with the maker of the motion
43
     Sara Boario, Fish and Wildlife Service.
44
45
                     MS. BOARIO: The Fish and Wildlife
46
     Service supports the motion.
47
48
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. BIA, Glenn
49
     Chen.
```

```
0124
 1
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA supports the motion
    to rescind the closure, which is consistent with the
   recommendation of the Kodiak Aleutian Regional Advisory
    Council as well as the Bristol Bay Regional Advisory
 5
    Council and we site their justifications as the basis
 6
    for our vote.
 7
 8
                     Thank you.
 9
10
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Steve Cohn,
11
    BLM.
12
13
                     MR. COHN: BLM supports the motion to
14
    rescind the closure. This motion is consistent with
15
    the recommendations of the Kodiak Aleutians and Bristol
16
     Bay Subsistence Regional Advisory Councils.
17
18
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. National
19
    Park Service, Sarah Creachbaum.
20
21
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: The National Park
22
     Service also supports rescinding the closure in
23
    deference to the Bristol Bay and Kodiak Aleutians
24
     Regional Advisory Councils.
25
26
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
27
    Forest Service.
28
29
                     MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service also
30
     supports the proposal to rescind the closure in
31
     deference to the Kodiak Aleutian Islands and Bristol
32
     Bay Regional Advisory Councils.
33
34
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
35
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
36
37
                     MS. PITKA: I vote to rescind the
38
     closure.
39
40
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. And Public
41
    Member Rhonda Pitka as proxy for Charlie Brower.
42
43
                     MS. PITKA: Member Brower also votes to
44
    rescind the closure. Thank you.
45
46
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you.
47
    Anthony Christianson.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support as
```

0125 1 stated. 2 3 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Motion 4 passes unanimously. 5 6 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I'll call on 7 Staff to present the next proposal. Thank you. 8 9 MR. KOLLER: Good morning again. 10 Justin Koller with the Office of Subsistence 11 Management. The analysis for FCR23-13 begins on Page 12 362 of your meeting book. 13 14 FCR23-13 is a routine review of a 15 16 17

Federal closure to salmon fishing in Trout Creek and within 500 yards outside its mouth in the Alaska Peninsula near Cold Bay. Federal public waters comprise the Trout Creek watershed which is within and adjacent to the exterior boundaries of Izembek and Alaska Peninsula National Wildlife Refuges.

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

18

19

20

The marine waters of Cold Bay were at one time considered Federal public waters. The Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture later determined that some marine waters, including Cold Bay, were added to Federal subsistence regulation mistakenly and should be removed. Mention of Cold Bay persists in this Federal subsistence regulation and should be removed if this closure is retained.

29 30 31

32

33

34

Aerial surveys of Trout Creek have been conducted opportunistically since 1979. The maximum number of salmon observed during these surveys was 1,000 sockeye, 1,736 coho, 2,300 pink salmon and 1,200 chum salmon.

35 36 37

38

39

40

41

Trout Creek drainage including within 500 yards of its mouth is closed to State and Federal subsistence harvest. Trout Creek is open to harvest under State sport regulations, but harvest is combined and reported with all Alaska Peninsula area harvest so exact numbers are unavailable.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

If this fishery is rescinded, Federal subsistence regulations for the Alaska Peninsula Area would apply which could lead to overharvest and conservation concerns. To conserve fish populations, the Federal in-season manager could use authority delegated board to set provisions for the fishery.

```
0126
 1
                     The OSM conclusion is to rescind the
    closure for reasons stated for the last closure review
    FCR21-13. If this closure is retained, regulatory
     language referring to marine waters should be removed
    because Cold Bay is not considered Federal public
    waters and the word drainage should be included after
 6
 7
    Trout Creek to clarify that this closure applies to the
     entire Trout Creek drainage. If this closure is
 9
     rescinded, there would be no need to make these
10
     changes.
11
12
                     That concludes the presentation.
13
14
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
17
     questions from the Board.
18
19
                     (No comments)
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none.
22
     Summary of written public comment.
23
24
                     MR. KOLLER: Mr. Chair. There were no
25
     written public comments submitted for this closure
26
     review.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
29
     We'll open up the floor to the public here in the room
30
     or online.
31
32
                     OPERATOR: Again as a reminder please
33
     press star, one on your phone and record your name if
34
    you have a question. One moment, please.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     OPERATOR: I show no questions on the
     phone lines at this time.
39
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
42
     Tribal/Alaska Native corporation comments.
43
44
                     MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
45
     Members. Again August 23rd we conducted consultations.
46
     We did not have any questions or comments on the
47
     Federal Closure 23-13.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
```

0127 I'll call on our Regional Advisory Council Chairs. 2 3 MS. SKINNER: Rebecca Skinner for the 4 Kodiak Aleutians RAC. The Council supports rescinding the closure FCR23-13. The Council acknowledges the 5 current lack of priority for Federally qualified users 6 7 at this location. The Council prefers to provide opportunity for Federally qualified users and to be 9 able to provide a rural preference in times of 10 conservation concern. 11 12 MS. MORRIS LYON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 13 Nanci Morris Lyon, Bristol Bay RAC. The RAC also 14 unanimously agreed to rescind this closure. 15 Council agreed with recommendations of the Kodiak 16 Aleutians Regional Advisory Council and supports 17 following Title VIII of ANILCA when resources are 18 limited. 19 20 Thank you. 21 22 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 23 Alaska Department of Fish and Game comments. 24 25 MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 26 For the record the Alaska Department of Fish and Game 27 supports the closure remaining in place at this time 28 and references our comments on FCR23-12 as the same 29 reasons given under that closure review as this one. 30 31 In addition, we support the 32 modification given the jurisdictional issues and we 33 will site 50 CFR 100.27(e)(7)(d)(B) and the final rule 34 at 70 FR 76407 as the reasons for that change. 35 36 Thank you. 37 38 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 39 InterAgency Staff Committee. 40 41 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The 42 ISC provided the same comment consistent with FCR23-12. 43 44 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any Board 45 discussion with Council Chair, State Liaison. 46 47 MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. Fish and 48 Wildlife Service. Just again to invite John up if he 49 has anything to add. 50

```
0128
 1
                     MR. GERKEN: Thank you. For the record
    my name is John Gerken, Federal in-season manager for
 2
    the area. If the closure is rescinded, any actions
    would be consulted with the stakeholders, Regional
 5
    Advisory Council and the Department.
 6
 7
                     Thank you.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
10
11
                     MR. CHEN: Mr. Chair, BIA.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the
14
     floor, Glenn.
15
16
                     MR. CHEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Mr.
17
     Gerken. I'm quite familiar with Trout Creek system.
     It is very small, quite accessible and, has been
18
19
    pointed out by OSM the potential for overharvest should
20
     liberalization of bag limits and harvest equipment be
21
     allowed. So I think your role in saying some
22
    restrictions to ensure conservation will be very
23
    important.
24
25
                     Thank you.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any other
28
    Board.
29
30
                     (No comments)
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
33
     That opens up the Board for a motion.
34
35
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. Fish and
36
     Wildlife Service, Sara Boario. Mr. Chair, I make a
37
    motion to rescind the closure FCR23-13 and if I get a
38
     second, I'll explain why I intend to vote in support of
39
    my motion.
40
41
                     MS. PITKA: I'll second. Rhonda Pitka.
42
43
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. The Kodiak
44
     Aleutians Regional Advisory Council and the Bristol Bay
     RAC have voted to rescind the closure and the Council
45
46
     has acknowledged the current lack of priority for
47
     Federally qualified subsistence users at this location.
48
     The Council supports providing opportunity for
49
     Federally qualified subsistence users and to be able to
50
```

```
0129
 1
    provide a rural preference in times of conservation
 2
     concern.
 3
 4
                     The Service fisheries manager with
 5
     delegated authority will coordinate with the State of
 6
    Alaska, the Council Chair and OSM prior to issuing
 7
     emergency or temporary special actions determining gear
     type, setting harvest limits and addressing
 9
     conservation concerns if they arise.
10
11
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you. Any
14
    other Board discussion, deliberation, comments.
15
16
                     (No comments)
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing and
19
    seeing none. Call for the question.
20
21
                     MR. SCHMID: Question.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Roll call, Sue,
24
     please.
25
26
                     MS. DETWILER: The motion is to rescind
27
     the closure. Sara Boario, Fish and Wildlife Service.
28
29
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife Service
30
    votes to rescind.
31
32
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Glenn Chen,
33
    BIA.
34
35
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA supports the motion
36
     to rescind. This is consistent with the
37
     recommendations of both the Kodiak Aleutians and
38
     Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Councils and we support
39
     the justifications that they provided for this action.
40
41
                     Thank you.
42
43
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Steve Cohn,
44
    BLM.
45
46
                     MR. COHN: BLM supports the motion to
47
    rescind the closure in deference to the Kodiak
48
    Aleutians and Bristol Bay Subsistence Regional Advisory
49
    Councils.
```

```
0130
 1
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Sarah
 2
    Creachbaum, Park Service.
 4
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: The National Park
 5
     Service also supports the rescinding of the closure in
 6
     deference to the Bristol Bay and the Kodiak Aleutians
 7
     Regional Advisory Councils.
 8
 9
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
10
    Forest Service.
11
12
                     MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service also
13
     supports rescinding the closure in deference to the
14
     Kodiak Aleutians and Bristol Bay Regional Advisory
15
    Councils and for the reasons outlined by the Councils
16
     and OSM.
17
18
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Dave. Public
19
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
20
21
                     MS. PITKA: I vote to support
22
     rescinding the closure as articulated by the Kodiak
23
    Aleutians Subsistence Regional Advisory Council and the
24
     Bristol Bay Subsistence Regional Advisory Council.
25
26
                     Thank you.
27
28
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Rhonda. And
29
    Rhonda Pitka as proxy for Charlie Brower.
30
31
                     MS. PITKA: Member Brower also votes to
32
    rescind the closure. Thank you.
33
34
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you.
                                               Finally
35
    Chair Anthony Christianson.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support as
38
    mentioned.
39
40
                     MS. DETWILER: The motion passes
41
    unanimously.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Staff, you have
44
    the floor to present the next proposal.
45
46
                                Thank you, Mr. Chair, and
                     MR. STONE:
47
    members of the Board. My name is Jarred Stone and I'm
48
     a fisheries biologist with the Office of Subsistence
49
    Management. The analyses of Fisheries Proposal
```

FP23-06a for the marine waters of Womens Bay begins on Page 387 of your meeting board materials.

2 3 4

As a reminder, FP23-06a is a parallel fisheries proposal to the Fisheries Closure FCR21-15 in the marine waters of Womens Bay. This parallel fisheries proposal was submitted by Rebecca Skinner of Kodiak which requests to rescind the closure on the Federal marine waters of Womens Bay and implement a new rod and reel fishery that would match the State sport fishing regulations and harvest limits.

The analyses for both the fisheries proposal and the associated closure review are both the same. So I plan to present the analyses and then the Board can take action on the proposal first and then take action on the associated closure review last. So this analyses presentation will cover both FP23-06a as well as FCR21-15.

The proponent states that currently Federally qualified subsistence users are not allowed to harvest salmon under Federal regulations in an area that already allows sport harvest under State sport fish regulations.

I'll go over some relevant current events for in-season management of Womens Bay and Buskin River. Fisheries emergency special actions were implemented for Womens Bay and Buskin River in recent history due to poor returns of salmon to the Buskin River drainage. This includes an emergency special action in 2022 that closed the take of sockeye salmon by all users in the open marine area, which was later rescinded by an emergency special action to allow harvest once the escapement goals were achieved.

In 2021 an emergency special action was implemented to close the take of sockeye salmon to all users in the Federal public waters of Womens Bay and then again in 2019, which closed all Federal public waters at the mouth of the Buskin River in the Kodiak district to the take of coho salmon.

I'll now give some brief history of the biological background and escapement from previous years. There are currently no abundance estimates for salmon returning to Womens Bay. The Buskin River is a nearby river that monitors adult salmon. Annual

sockeye salmon escapement returning to the Buskin River is estimated through a weir from May through July. The majority of the fish that return to the system pass through the weir located at the outlet of the Buskin Lake.

Buskin Lake has a sockeye salmon escapement goal of 5,000 to 8,000 fish. The Buskin River has seen both very strong and relatively weak sockeye and coho salmon runs over the past 10 years. The 2021 escapement of 2,330 sockeye salmon was the lowest return of sockeye salmon in the past 10-year period. During 2013 one of the highest passages was recorded with 16,178 fish for that same 10-year period. In the most recent five-year period two years did not meet escapement goals for sockeye salmon.

The Buskin River supports one of the historically most productive coho salmon stocks in the Kodiak Management Area. Coho salmon typically start returning in early to mid-August and continue into the fall. The escapement is monitored by a weir about a mile above the river mouth. The Buskin River has a coho salmon escapement goal of 4,700 to 9,600 fish. From 2012 to 2021, weir counts of coho salmon ranged from a high of 8,413 fish in 2014 to a low of 630 fish in 2020.

During the 2020 season, the low count of 630 fish was considered a partial count due to weir being inoperable during mid-season. Other years such as 2015 were considered only partial weir counts due to a similar situation. These weir counts are not the total escapements and some years do not include the harvest data. The average annual weir count was 4,776 fish during that 10-year period.

Now I'll cover some of the harvest history of subsistence harvest that occur outside of the closed area as well as cover some of the sport harvest that occurs in the closure area.

 Federal and State subsistence users primarily harvest Sockeye and Coho salmon in marine waters near the mouth of the Buskin River. However, the marine waters adjacent to the mouth of the Buskin River are closed under both Federal and State subsistence regulation to protect salmon as they migrate through the estuary.

The Buskin River supports the main runs of Sockeye and Coho salmon in Womens Bay. Large subsistence and sport fish harvests occur on these returning stocks. Historically, the marine waters beyond the closure are the single largest source of subsistence salmon harvested in Federal waters within the Kodiak Aleutians Region.

The Buskin River is also one of the most popular sport fishing locations because of road access and proximity to the City of Kodiak. Both sockeye and coho salmon are targeted in the sport fishery. In addition, commercial fisheries in the marine waters surrounding Kodiak Island also harvest a small number of Buskin River salmon.

Fish harvests from the Buskin River generally fluctuate with the escapement, but due to its proximity to the City of Kodiak, the Buskin River continues to support both subsistence and sport fishing even in years with small returns. The Sockeye Salmon fisheries occurs primarily in June due to the early run timing.

I will now switch gears and cover some of the State subsistence harvest of coho and sockeye adjacent to the closed waters. Between 2016 and 2020, the annual State subsistence harvests of sockeye salmon have ranged from a low in 2018 of 473 fish to a high of 4,916 fish in 2017 with an average annual of 3,891 fish.

Coho salmon harvest varies drastically from year to year with a low harvest of 300 fish and a high of 1,107 fish. A total number of permits issued for subsistence harvest has declined from 2016 to 2020.

I will now cover some of the sport harvests of coho salmon for the Buskin River. Between 2010 and 2018, the annual sport harvest of coho salmon in the Buskin River has ranged from 1,793 to 5,388 with an average annual harvest of 3,293 fish.

I'll now cover some of the effects of the proposal. If Fisheries Proposal FP23-06a is adopted, the closure would be rescinded and federally qualified subsistence users would have the additional opportunity to harvest fish in the nearshore marine

```
0134
 1
    waters of Womens Bay. It is unlikely that harvest
    rates would increase if this closure were rescinded.
    Rural residents of Kodiak are already harvesting salmon
    under State sport fish regulations and this change
 5
    would allow Kodiak residents to choose which fishery
 6
    they would like to participate in.
 7
 8
                     If Fisheries Proposal FP23-06a is not
 9
     adopted, the closure would remain and there would be a
10
     continued inconsistency between State sport, State
11
     subsistence and Federal subsistence regulations.
12
     Federally qualified subsistence users would not be
13
     eligible for the priority consumptive use in times of
14
     resource restrictions.
15
16
                     OSM's conclusion is to support Proposal
17
     FP23-06a. That concludes my presentation. I'll take
18
     any questions if you have any.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,
21
     Jarred. Any questions from the Board for Jarred.
22
23
                     (No comments)
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                            Thank you.
26
    We'll move on to summary of public comment.
27
28
                     MR. STONE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
29
     There were no written public comments for this
30
    proposal.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
33
    We'll move on to Tribal/Alaska Native Corporation
34
    comments.
35
36
                     MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Board
37
              There were no comments or questions on this
    Members.
38
    proposal 23-06a.
39
40
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. At
43
     this time the floor is open to the public here in the
44
    building or online.
45
46
                     OPERATOR:
                                Again as a reminder please
47
    press star, one on your phone and record your name if
48
     you have a question. One moment, please.
```

0135			
1	(No comments)		
2			
3	OPERATOR: I show no questions at this		
4	time.		
5			
6	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Move on to the		
7	Regional Advisory Council recommendations.		
8			
9	MS. SKINNER: Rebecca Skinner for the		
10	Kodiak Aleutians RAC. The RAC supports proposal		
11	FP23-06a. In support of the Federal subsistence		
12	priority this fishery would allow for subsistence		
13	harvest while also ensuring that conservation concerns		
14	are taken into consideration through the limited gear		
15	type. The Council intended to allow a rod and reel		
16	salmon fishery in the Womens Bay closed waters and		
17	match the current State seasons and bag limits.		
18			
19	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.		
20	Bristol Bay.		
21	MG MODDIG IVON III 1'1 h		
22	MS. MORRIS LYON: We did not have any		
23	comments on this proposal.		
24 25	CHAIDMAN CHDICHIANCON. Ob okon		
26	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Oh, okay. Thank you. Move on to the State.		
27	mank you. Move on to the State.		
28	MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.		
29	For the record the Alaska Department of Fish and Game		
30	is opposed to this proposal. Referencing from the		
31	previous regions for the similar concerns, I would just		
32	in brevity I would cite our reasons under FCR23-12.		
33	4		
34	Thank you.		
35	•		
36	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.		
37	Board discussion with Council Chairs or State Liaison.		
38	The floor is open.		
39			
40	MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair.		
41			
42	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes.		
43			
44	MS. LAVINE: This is Robbin.		
45			
46	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Robbin,		
47	you have the floor.		
48			
49	MS. LAVINE: The InterAgency Staff		
50			

```
0136
     Committee has provided their standard comment.
 2
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 3
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,
 6
              That's why I've got to keep my glasses on.
     Robbin.
 7
 8
                     (Laughter)
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Now the floor
11
     is open for a Board motion. Thank you.
12
13
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. Sara Boario,
14
     Fish and Wildlife Service. Mr. Chair, I move to adopt
15
     Fisheries Proposal FP23-06a. If I get a second, I'll
16
     explain why I intend to vote in support of my motion.
17
18
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: National Park Service
19
     seconds.
20
21
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. The Kodiak
     Aleutians Regional Advisory Council is in support of
22
23
     this proposal. If this proposal is adopted and the
24
     closure rescinded, it will provide additional
25
     opportunity with rod and reel to harvest fish closer to
26
     town in the near shore marine waters. It is unlikely
27
     that harvest rates would rise because rural residents
28
     of Kodiak are already harvesting salmon under State
29
     sport fish regulations and this change would allow
30
     Kodiak residents to choose which fishery they would
31
     like to participate in.
32
33
                     The proposed regulatory language in the
34
     analysis was supported by the Council and further
35
     explained by Ms. Skinner on the record. By using rod
36
     and reel and matching the harvest limits of the State
37
     sport fish regulations it will recognize uncertainty in
38
     conservation concerns while also ensure a Federal
39
     subsistence priority in place for the area.
40
41
                     Adopting this proposal would lead to
42
     the Board taking no action on FCR23-15.
43
44
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you. Any
47
     other Board discussion, deliberation.
48
49
                     (No comments)
```

```
0137
 1
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for the
 2
    question.
 3
 4
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: Question.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Roll call, Sue,
 7
    please.
 8
 9
                     MS. DETWILER: The motion is to adopt
10
    the proposal. Starting with Sara Boario, Fish and
11
    Wildlife Service.
12
13
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife Service
14
    supports.
15
16
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. BIA, Glenn
17
    Chen.
18
19
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA supports the motion.
20
    There's two parts to the motion as was pointed out.
21
    There's rescinding the closure as well as creating a
22
    fishery that matches current State sport fishing
23
    regulations. We support both those aspects and we
24
     concur with the justification provided by the Kodiak
25
    Aleutians Council.
26
27
                     Thank you.
28
29
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Steve Cohn,
30
    BLM.
31
32
                     MR. COHN: BLM supports the proposal in
33
    deference to the Kodiak Aleutians Subsistence Regional
34
    Advisory Council.
35
36
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Sarah
37
    Creachbaum, Park Service.
38
39
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: NPS also supports for
40
    the reasons stated in the Fish and Wildlife Service
41
    motion and in deference to the Kodiak Aleutians
42
    Regional Advisory Council.
43
44
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
45
    Forest Service.
46
47
                     MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service
48
     supports the proposal in deference to the Kodiak
49
    Aleutians RAC and for the reasons again outlined by OSM
50
```

```
0138
 1
    and the Council.
 2
 3
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
 4
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
 5
 6
                     MS. PITKA: I vote to support the
 7
    proposal based on the justification on Page 397 of the
     Board book and also in deference to the Regional
 8
 9
     Advisory Council. Thank you.
10
11
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. And Rhonda
12
    Pitka as proxy on behalf of Charlie Brower.
13
14
                     MS. PITKA: I'd like to see if Member
15
     Brower is online. He did say he'd be back about 11:30.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Charlie, are
18
    you online?
19
20
                     MS. PITKA: If not, I'm prepared to
21
    vote for Member Brower as his proxy. Member Brower
22
    votes to support FP23-06a.
23
24
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Chair
25
    Anthony Christianson.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support as
28
    stated.
29
30
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you.
31
    passes unanimously.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We'll call on
34
    Staff to do the next proposal. Following this one we
35
    have a dance group showing up at noon. You have the
36
    floor.
37
38
                     MR. STONE:
                                Thank you, Mr. Chair.
39
    Members of the Board. Again for the record my name is
     Jarred Stone and I am a fish biologist with the Office
40
41
     of Subsistence Management. The analyses for Fisheries
42
     Proposal FP23-06b for the Buskin Marine Waters begins
43
     on Page 416 of your meeting materials.
44
45
                     Again, similar as to our last proposal,
46
    FP23-06b is a parallel fisheries proposal for Fisheries
47
    Closure Review FCR21-16 which was submitted by Rebecca
48
    Skinner of Kodiak. This proposal requests to rescind
49
     the closure and modify the regulations to match the
```

State sportfishing regulation and harvest limits. Similar to the previous proposal, the analyses is the same for both the fisheries proposal and closure review, so I will present the analyses and the Board can take action on both Fisheries Proposal FP23-06b first and the Closure Review FCR21-16.

For brevity, the analyses will cover the same material that I had previously presented primarily for the Buskin River so I will try to summarize a lot of information I've given before. I will not go over the current events because they are the same for both Buskin River and Womens Bay as previously stated in the FP23-06a.

Again, for the biological information that is also the same for this analysis. As so with the harvest history is all the same. I'll cover some of the effects of the proposal.

If fisheries proposal FP23-06b is adopted, federally qualified subsistence users would have additional opportunity to harvest fish closer to town in the nearshore marine waters. It is unlikely that harvest rates would increase if this closure were rescinded. Rural residents of Kodiak are already harvesting salmon under State sport fish regulations and this change would allow Kodiak residents to choose which fishery they would like to participate in.

If FP23-06b is not adopted, there would be continued inconsistency between State sport, State subsistence and Federal subsistence regulations. Federally qualified subsistence users would not be eligible for priority consumptive use in times of resource restrictions.

OSM's conclusion is to support Proposal FP23-06b. Section 804 of ANILCA requires that subsistence uses by rural residents of Alaska shall be the priority consumption uses of all such resources on the public lands of Alaska. Currently, federally qualified subsistence users are not allowed to harvest salmon under Federal subsistence regulations in the same Federal public waters where the State sport fish harvest is allowed.

Aligning State and Federal regulations would prevent Federal subsistence regulations from

```
0140
 1
    being more restrictive than State sport fishing
     regulations, and overall harvests levels would likely
 2
     remain unaffected as federally qualified users are
 4
     already harvesting under the State sport fishery.
 5
 6
                     That concludes my presentation.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
 9
     Robbin.
10
11
                     MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair. I believe we
     do need to take formal action or the Board needs to
12
13
     assert on the record that the Board will take no action
14
     on the fisheries closure review that was addressed by
15
     the previous proposal that you took action on. So in
16
     taking action on the Fisheries Proposal 23-06a you have
17
     confirmed that you will take no action on Fisheries
18
    Closure Review 23-15 as it was addressed in 06a.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah. So do we
21
    need to make a motion to that effect or just say it for
     the record that we'll take no action?
22
23
24
                     MS. LAVINE: I defer to our legal
25
     counsel.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I mean is there
28
     concurrence here by the Board that we take no action?
29
30
                     (Board concurs by nods of the head
31
     unanimously)
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                            Okay.
34
     Concurrence is no action taken. We'll move on.
35
     can have summary of public comment.
36
37
                     MR. STONE:
                                 Thank you, Mr. Chair.
38
     There were no written public comments submitted.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
41
    Open the floor to the public testimony here in the room
42
     or online.
43
44
                               Again as a reminder please
                     OPERATOR:
45
    press star, one on your phone and record your name if
46
     you have a question. One moment, please.
47
48
                     (No comments)
49
```

```
0141
 1
                     OPERATOR: I show no questions on the
 2
    phone lines at this time.
 4
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
 5
    Tribal and Alaska Native corporation comments.
 6
 7
                     MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 8
    Orville Lind, Native Liaison for OSM. During the
 9
    consultation there were no questions or comments on
10
    this proposal.
11
12
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Regional
15
    Advisory Council.
16
17
                     MS. SKINNER: Rebecca Skinner for the
18
    Kodiak Aleutians RAC. The RAC supports Fishery Proposal
19
     23-06b with the modification to remove "season dates
20
     and harvest limits shall be the same as the State of
21
    Alaska sportfishing regulations."
22
23
                     In support of the Federal subsistence
24
     priority this fishery would allow for subsistence
25
    harvest while also ensuring that conservation concerns
26
    are taken into consideration through the limited gear
27
    type and limits.
28
29
                     Thank you.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
32
    InterAgency Staff. Oh, excuse me. Alaska Department
33
     of Fish and Game comments.
34
35
                     MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
36
     For the record, since we're pairing these up, the
37
    Alaska Department of Fish and Game opposed the
38
     rescinding of the closure under FCR23-15, but given
39
     that there was also the corresponding proposal, which,
40
     as you know from previous proposals as we talked about,
41
     at the very least getting the gear types restricted to
42
     something similar to what the State allows that would
43
    be agreeable with us.
44
45
                     Thank you very much.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
```

49 50 InterAgency Staff Committee.

```
0142
 1
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
 2
     InterAgency Staff Committee provided their standard
     comment.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
 6
     That opens up Board discussion with Council Chairs or
 7
     State Liaison. The floor is open for a motion.
 8
 9
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. Sara Boario,
10
    Fish and Wildlife Service. Mr. Chair, I move to adopt
11
    Fisheries Proposal FP23-06b. If I get a second, I'll
12
     explain why I intend to vote in support of my motion.
13
14
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: National Park Service
15
    seconds.
16
17
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. The Kodiak
18
    Aleutians Regional Advisory Council is in support with
19
    modification of FP23-06b to rescind the closure and
20
    implement a new rod and reel fishery. This motion
21
     allows the area wide harvest limit to apply to the
22
    fishery. In support of the Federal subsistence
23
    priority, this fishery would allow for subsistence
24
    harvest while also enduring that conservation concerns
25
     are taken into consideration through the limited gear
26
    type.
27
28
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you,
31
     Sara. I didn't hear who seconded that.
32
33
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: The other Sarah.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Oh, okay.
36
     Thank you. Any other Board discussion, deliberation.
37
38
                     MR. CHEN: Mr. Chair. BIA.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, you have
41
     the floor.
42
43
                     MR. CHEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
44
     Boario, I just want to confirm that your motion
45
     includes the modification as recommended by the Kodiak
46
    Aleutians Council.
47
48
                     MS. BOARIO: Yes, it does.
49
```

```
0143
 1
                     MR. CHEN: Thank you for that
 2
    clarification.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
 5
    other Board comments.
 6
 7
                     (No comments)
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for the
10
    question.
11
12
                     MR. SCHMID: Question.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Roll call, Sue,
15
    please.
16
17
                     MS. DETWILER: Sara Boario, Fish and
18
    Wildlife Service.
19
20
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife Service
21
    supports.
22
23
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Glenn Chen,
24
    BIA.
25
26
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA supports the motion
27
    which includes rescinding the closure for the Buskin
28
     area as well as the modification proposed by the Kodiak
29
    Aleutians Council to limit the gear type to sport gear.
30
    Thank you. And we'd also add that we support the
31
     justification provided by the Council.
32
33
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Steve Cohn,
34
    BLM.
35
36
                     MR. COHN: BLM supports the proposal as
37
    modified by the Kodiak Aleutians Subsistence Regional
38
     Advisory Council.
39
40
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Sarah
41
    Creachbaum, National Park Service.
42
43
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: National Park Service
44
     supports and in deference to the Kodiak Aleutians
45
     Regional Advisory Council and the stated justification
     for Fish and Wildlife Service.
46
47
48
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
49
    Forest Service.
```

```
0144
 1
                     MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service
    supports the proposal with the Council modification
 2
    that allows rod and reel fishing and to match harvest
    limits under the State of Alaska sport fishing regs.
 5
    Also in deference to the Council for the reasons
 6
    outlined.
 7
 8
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
 9
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
10
11
                     MS. PITKA: I vote to support 23-06b as
12
    modified by the Kodiak Aleutians Subsistence Regional
13
    Advisory Council. Thank you.
14
15
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. I'll check
16
    to see if Public Member Charlie Brower is online.
17
18
                     (No comment)
19
20
                     MS. DETWILER: I don't hear Mr. Brower.
21
    Rhonda Pitka on behalf of Charlie Brower.
22
23
                     MS. PITKA: Member Brower also votes to
24
     adopt as modified. Thank you.
25
26
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Chair
27
    Christianson.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support as
30
    mentioned.
31
32
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Motion
33
    passes unanimously.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Robbin, yes.
36
37
                     MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair. I just want to
     confirm with the Board that in taking action on
38
39
    Fisheries Proposal 23-06b you take no action on the
40
     associated closure review.
41
42
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. By
     concurrence, no action. Thank you. We'll do one more.
45
46
    The dance group is running a little late. So we'll
47
     call on the Staff to present another proposal.
48
49
                     Thank you, Jarred.
```

MR. STONE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Members of the Board. Again for the record my name is
Jarred Stone. I am a fish biologist with the Office of
Subsistence Management. The analyses of Deferred
Fisheries Closure FCR21-18 is for the marine waters of
Afognak Bay which begins on Page 445 of your Board
materials. Fisheries Closure FCR21-18 states that you
may not subsistence fish for salmon in Afognak Bay
north and west of a line from the tip of Last Point to
the tip of Rivermouth Point.

I'll give a brief summary of the area and provide some escapement history. The Afognak Lake watershed, known locally as Litnik, is located at the southeast side of Afognak Island and supports sockeye salmon, coho salmon and pink salmon runs. Afognak Bay is part of the Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge and is where most localized subsistence sockeye salmon fishing occurs. The marine waters adjacent to the mouth of the Afognak River are closed under both Federal and State subsistence regulation.

The Afognak River Weir operates from mid-May to mid-August. Currently, the Afognak River has an escapement goal of 20,000 to 50,000 sockeye salmon. The Afognak River has seen relatively weak sockeye salmon returns in recent history. Between 2010 and 2021, the annual sockeye salmon escapement has ranged from 17,601 to 51,821 fish, with a 12-year average escapement of 34,124 fish.

Sockeye salmon returns have been below average since 2016, with the lowest return of 17,601 fish occurring in 2018. Monitoring of adult Coho Salmon through the weir into Afognak Lake has been secondary to monitoring sockeye salmon escapement. Largely due to budgetary constraints the weir is often removed before the coho run is complete. With that the coho salmon escapement estimates are often incomplete and dependent on run timing. There is no escapement goal for coho or pink salmon returning to Afognak Lake.

Subsistence harvest for salmon is open throughout the year in most Federal public waters of the Kodiak management area with a subsistence fishing permit. Historically, the most utilized subsistence fishery areas under Federal regulations are the marine waters in proximity to the Buskin River on the north end of Kodiak Island and the marine waters of Afognak

1 Bay.

Sockeye salmon are the primary species harvested by federally qualified subsistence users with a 3-year average harvest of 216 fish coho salmon are the second most frequently harvested fish with a 3-year average harvest of 48 fish.

According to Title VIII, Section 804 of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, "...the taking on public lands of fish and wildlife for nonwasteful subsistence uses shall be accorded priority over taking on such lands of fish and wildlife for other purposes." There are currently non-subsistence uses permitted in this area making the current situation out of compliance with Title VIII of ANILCA. Rescinding the closure would provide Federal opportunity to harvest salmon in the Afognak Bay thereby providing priority consumptive use to Federally qualified subsistence users.

If the closure is rescinded, Federally qualified subsistence users would be allowed to harvest salmon using the methods described on the Federal permit which allows the use of nets and a more liberal harvest than sport harvest. To conserve fish populations, the Federal in-season manager could issue special actions to set provisions for the fishery, such as stipulating gear types, like rod and reel only, and setting conservative harvest limits.

If the in-season manager chose to mirror State sport fish regulations, harvest would likely remain near the same level because rural residents are already harvesting fish under State sport fishing regulations. This would provide a rural priority for subsistence use during times of low abundance by limiting the fishery to Federally qualified subsistence users only.

OSM's conclusion is to rescind FCR21-18. This portion of Afognak Bay is currently closed to the harvest of salmon by Federally qualified subsistence users but remains open to other uses. Again Section 804 of ANILCA requires that subsistence uses by rural residents of Alaska shall be "the priority consumption uses of all such resources on the public lands of Alaska".

```
0147
 1
                     Federal subsistence opportunity should
    also be provided to comply with the rural preference
 2
    mandated by ANILCA. To conserve fish populations,
    again the Federal in-season manager could issue special
 5
    actions to set provisions for the fishery. The
    in-season manager can use this authority to manage the
 6
 7
    fishery in the short term. Harvest levels again would
    likely remain unaffected as Federally qualified
 9
     subsistence users already participate in the State
10
    sport fishery. A proposal outlining specific
11
    parameters can be submitted during a future fisheries
12
     regulatory cycle if desired.
13
14
                     This concludes my presentation.
15
    be happy to take any questions.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,
18
     Jarred. Any questions.
19
20
                     (No comments)
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none.
23
     Summary of written public comment.
24
25
                     MR. STONE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
26
     There were no written public comments submitted for
27
     this closure review.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: At this time
30
     we'll open up the floor to the public here or online.
31
32
                     OPERATOR: Again as a reminder please
33
    press star, one on your phone and record your name if
34
    you have a question. One moment, please.
35
36
                     (No comments)
37
38
                     OPERATOR:
                               I show no questions on the
39
     phone lines at this time.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
42
    We'll move on to Tribal/Alaska Native Corporation
43
     comments.
44
45
                     MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
46
    Orville Lind, Native Liaison for OSM. There were no
47
     comments or questions.
48
49
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
```

```
0148
 1
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
 2
    Regional Advisory Council.
 3
 4
                     MS. SKINNER: Rebecca Skinner for the
 5
    Kodiak Aleutians RAC. The RAC supports rescinding the
    closure FCR21-18. The Council recommends rescinding
 6
    the closure because it would bring the Federal
 7
    subsistence regulations in compliance with the rural
 9
    subsistence consumptive priority set forth in Title
10
    VIII of ANILCA.
11
12
                     Thank you.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
15
    Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
16
17
                     MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
18
    For the record the Alaska Department of Fish and Game
19
     supports the closure remaining in place at this time.
20
     The reasons that we give as far as regulatory
     complexity and enforcement challenges are all the same
21
22
     reasons I expanded upon in my comments for FCR23-12.
23
     So I'll leave it at that.
24
25
                     Thank you.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
28
    ISC.
29
30
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
31
    ISC provided the same comment consistent with FCR23-12.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
34
    Board discussion. Council Chairs or State Liaison.
35
    The floor is open for a motion.
36
37
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. Sara Boario,
     Fish and Wildlife Service. Mr. Chair, I move to adopt
38
39
    Fisheries Closure Review FCR21-18. If I get a second,
    I'll explain why I intend to vote in support of my
40
41
    motion.
42
43
                     MR SCHMID: Forest Service seconds.
44
45
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. The Kodiak
46
    Aleutians Regional Advisory Council recommends
47
    rescinding the closure because it would bring the
48
    Federal subsistence regulations in compliance with the
49
    rural subsistence priority set forth in Title VIII of
50
```

```
0149
    ANILCA. The Service fisheries manager with delegated
 1
    authority will coordinate with the Alaska Department of
    Fish and Game, the Council Chair and OSM prior to
     issuing emergency or temporary special actions
 5
    determining gear types, setting harvest limits and
 6
     addressing conservation concerns if they arise.
 7
 8
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
11
    other Board discussion, deliberation.
12
13
                     (No comments)
14
15
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for the
16
    question.
17
18
                     MR. SCHMID: Question.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Roll call, Sue,
21
    please.
22
23
                     MS. DETWILER: The motion would rescind
24
     the closure. Sara Boario, Fish and Wildlife Service.
25
26
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife Service
27
     supports.
28
29
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Glenn Chen,
30
    BIA.
31
32
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA supports the motion
33
    to rescind this closure. This is consistent with the
    recommendation of the Kodiak Aleutians Regional
34
35
    Advisory Council and we support the justification they
36
    provided.
37
38
                     Thank you.
39
40
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Steve Cohn,
41
    BLM.
42
43
                     MR. COHN: BLM supports rescinding the
44
     closure in deference to the Kodiak Aleutians
     Subsistence Regional Advisory Council.
45
46
47
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Sarah
48
    Creachbaum, National Park Service.
49
```

```
0150
 1
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: The NPS also supports
 2
    rescinding the closure for the reasons stated in the
    Fish and Wildlife Service motion and in deference to
    the Kodiak Aleutians Regional Advisory Council.
 5
 6
                    MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
 7
    Forest Service.
 8
                     MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service
 9
10
    supports rescinding the FCR21-18 in deference to the
11
    Kodiak Aleutian Islands RAC and for the reasons also
12
     outlined by OSM and the Council.
13
14
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
15
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
16
17
                     MS. PITKA: I support rescinding the
18
    closure for FCR21-18 in deference to the Kodiak
19
    Aleutians RAC and I support their justification.
20
                     Thank you.
21
22
23
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Check to see
24
    if Public Member Charlie Brower is online.
25
26
                     (No comment)
27
28
                     MS. DETWILER: Sounds like not. Member
29
    Rhonda Pitka as proxy for Charlie Brower.
30
31
                     MS. PITKA: Yes, as proxy for Member
32
    Brower, Member Brower also votes to rescind the closure
33
     as articulated. Thank you.
34
35
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Chair
36
    Anthony Christianson.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support as
39
     stated.
40
41
                     MS. DETWILER: Motion passes
42
    unanimously.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We have a dance
45
     group scheduled for noon, but they're running a little
46
    bit late. Orville, you have the floor.
47
48
                     MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Board
49
    Members. Yeah, the dancers will be here momentarily.
50
```

```
0151
 1
    I would suggest you all relax and visit for a couple
    more minutes until they get set up and we'll get
     started with the dance group.
 4
 5
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right.
 8
    We'll take a five minute recess until we have the dance
 9
    group.
10
11
                     (Off record)
12
13
                     (On record)
14
15
                     MS. DETWILER: With your permission,
    Mr. Chair, I'll start the roll call to establish a
16
17
    quorum. BIA, Glenn Chen.
18
19
                     MR. CHEN: Present.
20
21
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. BLM, Steve
22
    Cohn.
23
24
                     MR. COHN: Present.
25
26
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Fish and
27
    Wildlife Service, Sara Boario.
28
29
                     MS. BOARIO: Present.
30
31
                     MS. DETWILER: Park Service, Sarah
32
    Creachbaum.
33
34
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: Present, Sue.
35
36
                     MS. DETWILER: Forest Service, Dave
37
     Schmid.
38
39
                     MR. SCHMID: Present.
40
41
                     MS. DETWILER: Public Member Rhonda
42
    Pitka.
43
44
                     MS. PITKA: Here.
45
                     MS. DETWILER: Public Member Charlie
46
47
    Brower on the phone.
48
49
                     MR. BROWER: (In Inupiaq)
50
```

0152 1 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. And Chair 2 Anthony Christianson. 3 4 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Present. 5 6 MS. DETWILER: You have a quorum. 7 8 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 9 We'll turn it over to Staff to start presenting the 10 proposals where we left off. 11 12 Thank you. 13 14 MR. STONE: All right. Thank you. Good 15 afternoon, Mr. Chair and Members of the Board. For the 16 record my name is Jarred Stone and I am a fisheries $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$ 17 biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management. 18 19 The analyses of Deferred Fisheries 20 Closure FCR21-19 for the Federal public freshwaters of 21 Afognak Island begins on Page 459 of your Board materials. Fisheries Closure FCR21-19 states you may 22 23 not subsistence fish for salmon in all freshwater 24 systems of Afognak Island. 25 26 I'll provide a brief biological 27 28

29

30

31

32

33

background and provide what is known of the harvest history. Subsistence harvest for salmon is open throughout the year in most Federal public waters of the Kodiak management area with a subsistence fishing permit. However, the freshwater systems of Afognak Island within the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge are closed under both Federal and State regulation to subsistence harvest.

34 35 36

37

38 39

40

41

Historically, the most utilized subsistence fisheries under Federal regulations are the marine waters in proximity to the Buskin River on the north end of Kodiak Island and the marine waters of Afognak Bay on the southeast side of Afognak Island. Both areas are part of the Alaska Maritime National Wildlife Refuge.

42 43 44

45

46

47

48

Fish harvested under State sport fish regulation in the Kodiak management area are estimated through the Alaska Sport Fishing Harvest Survey. Because of low response rates, there are no estimates of sockeye or coho salmon harvests in the fresh water streams of Afognak Island.

I'll now go over some of the effects of the closure review. Currently, harvest of sockeye and coho salmon is allowed under State sport fish regulations in this area while harvest is prohibited but for Federally qualified subsistence users. If the closure is rescinded, Federally qualified subsistence users would be allowed to harvest salmon using the methods described on the Federal permit which allows the use of nets and a more liberal harvest than sport harvest.

To conserve fish populations, the Federal in-season manager could issue a special action to set provisions for the fishery, such as stipulating gear types like rod and reel only and setting conservative harvest limits. If the closure were retained, sport harvest of salmon would continue while Federally qualified subsistence users would be prohibited from harvesting salmon under Federal subsistence regulations in this location.

OSM's preliminary conclusion is to rescind FCR21-19. These Afognak Island freshwaters are currently closed to the harvest of salmon by federally qualified subsistence users but remain open to other uses. Section 804 of ANILCA requires that subsistence uses by rural residents of Alaska shall by the priority consumption uses of all such resources on the public lands of Alaska.

Federal subsistence opportunity should also be provided to comply with the rural preference mandated by ANILCA. The in-season manager can use this authority to manage the fishery in the short term. Harvests levels would likely remain unaffected as federally qualified users already participate in the State sport fishery. A proposal outlining specific parameters can be submitted during a future fisheries regulatory cycle if it is desired.

That concludes my presentation. I'll take any questions if you have any.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any questions from the Board.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,

```
0154
 1
     Jarred. Summary of written public comments.
 2
 3
                     MR. STONE: Mr. Chair. There were no
 4
     written public comments submitted for this closure
 5
     review.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
 8
    We'll open up the floor for public testimony or online.
 9
10
                     OPERATOR: Again as a reminder please
11
    press star, one on your phone and record your name if
     you have a question. One moment, please.
12
13
14
                     (No comments)
15
16
                     OPERATOR: I show no questions on the
17
    phone lines at this time.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Tribal/Alaska
20
    Native Corporation comments.
21
22
                     MR. LIND: Good afternoon, Chairman and
23
                     During the consultation on August 23rd
    Board Members.
24
     there were no comments or questions on this proposal.
25
26
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
29
    Regional Advisory Council recommendations.
30
31
                     MS. SKINNER: Rebecca Skinner with the
32
    Kodiak Aleutians RAC. The RAC recommends rescinding the
    closure FCR21-19. The Council recommends rescinding
33
34
    the closure because it would bring the Federal
35
    subsistence regulations in compliance with the rural
     subsistence consumptive priority set forth in Title
36
37
    VIII of ANILCA. In addition, the Federal manager can
    step in as needed in times of conservation concern to
38
39
     limit fisheries as necessary.
40
41
                     Thank you.
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
44
    Alaska Department of Fish and Game comments.
45
46
                     MR. MULLIGAN:
                                   Thank you, Mr. Chair.
47
    For the record the Alaska Department of Fish and Game
48
     supports this closure staying in place for the same
49
     reasons as far as at the time of what the closure
```

```
0155
    review was looking at as liberal gear types and
    conservation concerns given that. But as we previously
    stated in much similar closure reviews here earlier
    today with acknowledgment of looking at those being
 5
    restricted, we're okay.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             InterAgency
 8
    Staff Committee.
 9
10
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The
11
     InterAgency Staff Committee provided the same comment
12
     as the one provided for FCR23-12.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Board
15
    discussion with Council Chairs and State Liaison.
16
17
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Sara.
20
21
                     MS. BOARIO: I just want to acknowledge
22
     and note that our Federal manager, the manager at the
23
    Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge, is on the line and
24
     available. Just acknowledge that he's with us here
25
     today.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. The
28
    floor is open for Board motion.
29
30
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. Sara Boario,
31
    Fish and Wildlife Service. Mr. Chair, I move to
32
    rescind Fisheries Closure Review FCR21-19. If I get a
33
     second, I'll explain why I intend to vote in support of
    my motion.
34
35
36
                     MR. BROWER: Second.
37
38
                                             The Kodiak
                     MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair.
39
    Aleutians Regional Advisory Council recommends
40
    rescinding the closure because it would bring the
41
    Federal subsistence regulations in compliance with the
42
    rural subsistence consumption priority set forth in
    Title VIII of ANILCA. In addition, the Federal manager
44
    can step in as needed in times of conservation concern
45
    to limit fisheries if needed.
46
47
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any further
```

```
0156
 1
    discussion or deliberation.
 2
 3
                     (No comments)
 4
 5
                     MR. SCHMID: Question.
 6
 7
                     MR. BROWER: Question, Mr. Chair.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: The question
10
    has been called. Roll call, Sue, please.
11
12
                     MS. DETWILER: Yes, Mr. Chair.
13
     Starting with the maker of the motion, Sara Boario,
14
     Fish and Wildlife Service.
15
16
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife Service
17
    votes to rescind.
18
19
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Glenn Chen,
20
    BIA.
21
22
                     MR. CHEN:
                               The BIA supports the motion
23
     as offered by Fish and Wildlife Service. We note that
24
     this is in deference to the Kodiak Aleutians Regional
25
     Advisory Council recommendation and we concur with
26
    their justification and so forth put forward for this
27
    recommendation.
28
29
                     Thank you.
30
31
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Steve Cohn,
32
    BLM.
33
34
                     MR. COHN: BLM supports rescinding the
35
     closure in deference to the Kodiak Aleutians
36
     Subsistence Regional Advisory Council.
37
38
                     Thank you.
39
40
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Sarah
41
    Creachbaum, National Park Service.
42
43
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: The National Park
     Service also supports rescinding the closure for the
44
45
     reasons stated in the Fish and Wildlife Service motion
46
     and in deference to the Kodiak Aleutians Regional
47
    Advisory Council.
48
49
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
50
```

```
0157
 1
    Forest Service.
 2
                     MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service
 3
 4
     supports rescinding FCR21-19 in deference to the Kodiak
 5
     Aleutian Islands Regional Advisory Council and for the
 6
     reasons outlined by OSM and the Council.
 7
 8
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
 9
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
10
11
                     MS. PITKA: I vote to support
12
     rescinding the closure for FCR21-19 in deference to the
13
     Regional Advisory Council and as outlined by the
14
     justification on Page 466. Thank you.
15
16
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
17
    Member Charlie Brower.
18
19
                     MR. BROWER: I would support the
20
     recommendation and the advice from the Regional
21
     Subsistence Advisory Council to rescind the closure of
    FCR21-19.
22
23
24
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Chair
25
    Anthony Christianson.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                            I support.
28
29
                     MS. DETWILER: Motion passes
30
    unanimously.
31
32
                     MR. SCHMID: Mr. Chair. I just wanted
33
     to make you and the rest of the Board aware that all of
34
    the students in the program have made it in today. At
35
     some point in the agenda this afternoon it would be
36
    wonderful if we gave them the opportunity to introduce
37
    themselves. Wherever we find a good spot would be
38
    helpful.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: We have a time
41
    certain here. We could probably put them at 3:00
42
    because we're trying to stretch that time out a little
43
    bit to let some testifiers come in. So how about we
44
    have the students do it right at 3:00 o'clock. They
    can introduce themselves and give us that little bit of
45
46
    window of opportunity to stretch down and hopefully get
47
     the testifiers here. How does that sound?
48
49
                     MR. SCHMID: (Nods affirmatively)
```

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. You have the floor, Staff.

MR. STONE: Thank you. Good afternoon, Mr. Chair and Members of the Board. Again, for the record, my name is Jarred Stone. I'm a fisheries biologist with the Office of Subsistence Management.

The analyses of Fisheries Closure FCR23-21 begins on Page 472 of your Board materials. This closure is unique in that it pertains to the harvest of king crab in the Federal marine waters by non-Federally qualified in four locations in the Kodiak and Afognak area.

Fisheries Closure 23-21 states that the waters of the Pacific Ocean enclosed by the boundaries of Womens Bay, Gibson Cove, and an area defined by a line 1/2 mile on either side of the mouth of the Karluk River, and extending seaward 3,000 feet, and all waters within 1,500 feet seaward of the shoreline of Afognak Island are closed to the harvest of king crab except by federally qualified subsistence users. Again, just to reiterate, this is a closure to non-Federally qualified.

 The 2021 large mesh bottom The 2021 large-mesh bottom trawl survey was conducted in known crab habitat using a fixed-grid station design developed in 1988. Total survey station area surveyed was approximately 15,500 km2 at depths greater than 20 fathoms. In 2021, 337 successful bottom trawl hauls were conducted in 333 stations. Red King Crab were caught in 13 percent of Kodiak Area hauls totaling 179 males and 220 females. Of the 179 males, 67 were of legal size.

The 2021 Kodiak Red King Crab abundance was estimated at 255,185 crabs, which was more than the estimated 121,284 crabs caught in 2020. The largest increases were observed among females and pre-recruit class IV males, while legal male abundance declined. The total estimated abundance of legal males decreased from 94,164 crabs in 2020 to 45,930 crabs in 2021 and represented 37.7 percent of the total male abundance.

No specific management objective has been set for either the Federal or State red king crab subsistence fisheries in the Kodiak Area. However the

State does set a total threshold abundance of fertilized females for determining when to reopen the commercial fishery. State trawl survey estimates have continued to remain well below the threshold level. Womens Bay has been recognized as an important nursery area for red king crab, and studies of juveniles have shown that Womens Bay has much higher numbers than two nearby bays.

Since relatively few red king crabs are captured in the State trawl survey each year, it is not possible to accurately determine trends since small differences in catches result in large differences in population estimates. However, these surveys show that the red king crab stock in the Kodiak area has remained at a very low abundance with no indication of rebuilding.

Historically, there were large numbers of red king crab in the Kodiak Management Area, and this species supported a large commercial fishery along with other uses. In the 1970s the commercial harvest of red king crab in the Kodiak Area ranged between 12 and 24 million pounds. A near peak commercial harvest of red king crab occurred in the 1980-81 season, but three years later the harvest precipitously declined. The four top historical producing areas were closed to commercial red king crab fishing for the first time, but the stocks have still not recovered.

Subsistence crab harvests in the Kodiak Area dropped dramatically with the decline of the red king crab stock. The estimated subsistence harvest for the Kodiak vicinity was almost 18,000 red king crabs in 1982 but declined to less than 6,000 red king crabs by the 1990s. About one quarter of this harvest may have been taken within the Chiniak Bay area, which includes the Federal public waters of Womens Bay and Gibson Cove.

Subsistence red king crab harvests in the Kodiak Area have been very small since the collapse of the stock. An ADF&G subsistence permit is required to participate in the Federal subsistence crab fishery, and annual harvests documented through these permits have often been well under 100 red king crabs per year.

If the closure is retained, federally

qualified subsistence users would continue to be allowed to harvest king crab under Federal regulations, while non-federally qualified would be prohibited from harvesting king crab. This would keep in place a rural priority for subsistence while restricting non-subsistence use during a time when crab stocks to continue trying to rebound.

If the closure is rescinded, non-federally qualified users would still not be allowed to harvest king crab because the harvest remains closed under State regulations. This change would not align Federal subsistence regulations with current State sport and State subsistence regulations.

OSM's conclusion is to retain status quo for the Fisheries Closure Review FCR23-21.

The conservation concern for king crab continues in the Kodiak Area, including in the Federal public waters of Womens Bay, Gibson Cove, and the near shore waters around the Karluk River mouth and Afognak Island. The Kodiak area king crab stock remains at very low abundance with no indication of improvement over the near term.

Marine waters under Federal jurisdiction in Womens Bay is a known nursery area for the larger Chiniak Bay and are easy to access from the nearby Kodiak road system. Restricting the taking of king crab in Federal public waters for non-subsistence uses is necessary for the conservation of the king crab resource and to help ensure continuation of Federal subsistence uses.

 $$\operatorname{\mathtt{That}}$$ concludes my presentation. I'll take any questions.

(No comments)

 $\label{lem:chairman christianson: Hearing none.} \\ \text{We'll do summary of written public comments.}$

MR. STONE: Mr. Chair. There were no written public comments submitted for this closure review.

0161 1 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. At 2 this time we'll open up the floor to the public or anybody online. 4 5 OPERATOR: Again as a reminder please 6 press star, one on your phone and record your name if 7 you have a question. One moment, please. 9 (No comments) 10 11 OPERATOR: I show no questions on the 12 phone lines at this time. 13 14 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Tribal/Alaska 15 Native Corporation comments. 16 17 MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 18 Orville Lind, Native Liaison for OSM. During the 19 consultation session there were no comments or 20 questions on the proposal. 21 22 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 23 24 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Regional 25 Advisory Council recommendations. 26 27 MS. SKINNER: Rebecca Skinner for the 28 Kodiak Aleutians RAC. The RAC recommends maintaining 29 status quo of FCR23-21. In the Council's opinion 30 retaining this closure protects the depleted crab stock 31 while maintaining a rural priority for Federally 32 qualified users. 33 34 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 35 Alaska Department of Fish and Game. 36 37 MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 38 For the record the Alaska Department of Fish and Game 39 supports the repeal of this closure. While the 40 population of red king crab has declined and remains at 41 low levels, the Department has taken the appropriate 42 management actions to conserve the stock by closing 43 both the commercial and sport red king crab fisheries. 44 45 46 Currently the amount reasonably 47 necessary for subsistence is being provided for and 48 this is confirmed because of the historical average 49 harvest for the last 10 years is within that range.

0162 1 The State subsistence fishery is overwhelmingly conducted by residents of the Kodiak Archipelago and 2 the potential number of other Alaska residents who 4 might come in and fish in this fishery is very low. 5 6 Thank you. 7 8 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: InterAgency 9 Staff Committee. 10 11 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For 12 FCR23-21 the InterAgency Staff Committee provided their 13 standard comment. 14 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Board 15 16 discussion with Council Chairs and State Liaison. 17 18 (No comments) 19 20 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: The floor is 21 open for Board motion. 22 23 MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. Sara Boario, 24 Fish and Wildlife Service. Mr. Chair, I move to retain 25 the status quo in Fisheries Closure Review FCR23-21. 26 If I get a second, I'll explain why I intend to vote in 27 support of my motion. 28 29 MR. BROWER: Second. 30 31 MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. The Kodiak 32 Aleutians Regional Advisory Council voted to retain the 33 status quo of a closure to non-Federally qualified 34 users to the harvest of red king crab in the Federal 35 waters near Womens Bay, Gibson Cove, Karluk River and 36 Afognak areas. 37 38 In the Council's opinion, retaining 39 this closure protects the depleted stocks while maintaining a rural priority for Federally qualified 40 41 subsistence users. The Kodiak Area king crab stock 42 remains at very low abundance with no indication of 43 improvement over the near term. 44 45 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 46 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any other 47 48 deliberation, comments.

```
0163
 1
                     (No comments)
 2
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for the
 3
 4
    question.
 5
 6
                     MR. SCHMID: Question.
 7
 8
                     MR. BROWER: Question.
 9
10
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Roll call, Sue,
11
    please.
12
13
                     MS. DETWILER: Starting with Sara
14
    Boario, Fish and Wildlife Service.
15
16
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife Service
17
    votes to retain the status quo.
18
19
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Glenn Chen,
20
    BIA.
21
22
                     MR. CHEN:
                               The BIA supports the motion.
23
    We know that this motion is in deference to the
24
     recommendation of the Kodiak Aleutians Regional
25
     Advisory Council and we support the Council's
26
     justification for their actions.
27
28
                     Thank you.
29
30
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Steve Cohn,
31
    BLM.
32
33
                     MR. COHN: BLM supports retaining the
34
    closure in deference to the Kodiak Aleutians
35
     Subsistence Regional Advisory Council and for the
36
    conservation reasons outlined by OSM.
37
38
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you.
39
    Creachbaum, National Park Service.
40
41
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: The NPS also votes to
    maintain the closure for the reasons stated in the Fish
42
43
    and Wildlife Service motion and in deference to the
44
    Kodiak Aleutians Regional Advisory Council's
45
    recommendation to address conservation concerns through
46
    the fisheries proposal process.
47
48
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
49
    Forest Service.
```

1 MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service 2 supports maintaining the status quo for FCR23-21 in deference to the Kodiak Aleutian Islands RAC and for reasons outlined by OSM and the Council. 5 6 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public 7 Member Rhonda Pitka. 8 MS. PITKA: I vote to retain the status 9 10 quo for FCR23-21 in deference to the Kodiak Regional 11 Advisory Council and as outlined on page 479 and 480 in 12 the Board book. Thank you. 13 14 MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public 15 Member Charlie Brower. 16 17 MR. BROWER: Support as stated by 18 Kodiak Aleutians Subsistence Advisory Council to retain 19 status quo on FCR23-21. 20 21 MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Charlie. 22 Finally Chair Anthony Christianson. 23 24 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support as 25 stated. 26 27 MS. DETWILER: Motion passes 28 unanimously. 29 30 MR. STONE: All right. Thank you, Mr. 31 Chair and Members of the Board. For the record again 32 this is Jarred Stone, fisheries biologist with the 33 Office of Subsistence Management. 34 The analyses of Fisheries Closure 35 36 Review FCR23-22 for the terminus of Little Kitoi Creek 37 begins on Page 485 of your Board materials. Fisheries 38 closure 23-22 states that you may not subsistence fish 39 for salmon in all waters 500 yards seaward of the terminus of Little Kitoi Creek from August 15th through 40 41 September 30th. 42 43 Little Kitoi Lake and Little Kitoi 44 Creek are located approximately a half a mile north of 45 Kitoi Bay Hatchery, where coho, sockeye, pink and chum 46 salmon adult egg take occurs at the weir. Little Kitoi 47 Creek has a weir that monitors returning adult 48 escapement and smolt outmigration. The hatchery has a

capacity to incubate 254 million salmon eggs and rear

0164

49

up to 180 million juveniles of all life stages.

The chum salmon run begins in early June, peaks in late June to early July, and ends in late July. The pink salmon return begins in mid-July, peaks in early to mid-August, and ends in late August to early September. The coho salmon run is expected to start in early August, peak in late August, and continue through early September. For the 2021 season, an estimated 128,000 chum salmon, 11.3 million pink salmon, 124,000 coho salmon, 15,000 sockeye salmon were expected to return to Kitoi Bay.

The vast majority of fish returning to Kitoi Bay are hatchery produced and most of the harvest is by commercial seiners and set gillnet fishers. Some harvest occurs from subsistence and recreational fishers but is thought to be very little. Subsistence and commercial fishermen harvest reporting aids in the management of the fishery and is conducted by the ADF&G Division of Commercial Fisheries through permits.

Hatchery produced salmon are considered common property fisheries and the general public are allowed to harvest fish subject to State and Federal law. A proportion of the hatchery produced salmon returning to spawn are needed for brood stock, with an estimated 86,000 chum salmon, 118,000 coho salmon, 8,000 sockeye salmon are available for common property harvests. Traditionally, portions of the Inner and Outer Kitoi, Izhut, and Duck Bay sections could close to commercial common property fishing for cost-recovery operations around August 1.

 According to Title VIII, Section 804 of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, "...the taking on public lands of fish and wildlife for nonwasteful subsistence uses shall be accorded priority over taking on such lands of fish and wildlife for other purposes." There are currently non-subsistence uses permitted in this area making the current situation out of compliance with Title VIII of ANILCA.

Rescinding the closure would provide Federal opportunity to harvest salmon at the terminus of Little Kitoi Creek, thereby providing priority consumptive use to federally qualified subsistence users. If the closure is rescinded, Federal subsistence regulations for the Kodiak Area would

apply, which allow the use of rod and reel, gillnets, and seines to harvest salmon. The Federal in-season manager could set provisions for the fishery that deviate from the general area regulations, such as stipulating gear types or areas and setting a harvest limit if the conditions are warranted.

During August 15th through September 30th, all waters 500 yards seaward of the terminus of Little Kitoi Creek are currently closed to the harvest of salmon by federally qualified subsistence users but remain open to commercial fishing and hatchery cost recovery operations. Federal subsistence opportunity in this location would afford a rural preference as set forth in Title VIII of ANILCA.

To conserve fish populations, the Federal in-season manager could issue special actions, set provisions for the fishery such as stipulating gear types like rod and reel and setting conservative harvest limits. The in-season manager could use this authority to manage the fishery in the near term and a proposal outlining the specific parameters for this fishery may be submitted during the next fishery cycle if desired.

That concludes my presentation and I'll take any questions if you have any.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions from the Board.}$

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing and seeing none. Thank you, Jarred. We'll do summary of written public comments.

MR. STONE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. There were no written public comments for this closure review.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. We'll open up the floor to the public or anybody online to testify at this time. This is your opportunity.

OPERATOR: Again as a reminder please press star, one on your phone and record your name if you have a question. One moment, please.

```
0167
 1
                     (No comments)
 2
 3
                     OPERATOR:
                               I show no questions on the
 4
    phone lines at this time.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Tribal/Alaska
 7
    Native Corporation comments.
 8
 9
                     MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
10
    Orville Lind, Native Liaison for OSM. During the
11
     consultation session there were no comments or
12
     questions on the proposal.
13
14
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Regional
17
    Advisory Council recommendations.
18
19
                     MS. SKINNER: Rebecca Skinner for the
20
     Kodiak Aleutians RAC. The RAC recommends rescinding the
21
     closure of FCR23-22. The Council noted that these
22
     changes would bring the Federal subsistence regulations
23
     in compliance with rural subsistence consumption
     priority set forth in Title VIII of ANILCA. In
24
25
     addition, the Federal manager can step in as needed in
26
    times of conservation concern to limit fisheries as
27
    necessary.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
30
    Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
31
32
                     MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
33
    For the record the Alaska Department of Fish and Game
34
     supports the closure remaining in place at this time.
35
     Existing state sport and subsistence regulations and
36
     federal subsistence regulations have the area closed
37
    within 500 yards of Little Kitoi Creek to fishing from
38
    August 15 through September 30. As has been stated,
39
     this is an area for brood stock storage for Kitoi Bay
40
     Hatchery.
41
42
                     There are similar regulations near Big
43
     Kitoi Creek and Kitoi Bay Hatchery itself for the same
44
     purposes. While there is a sport fishery in the broader
    Kitoi Bay area, sport and subsistence seasons and areas
45
46
    are identical. In addition, though sport and
47
    subsistence harvests occur concurrently, sport harvests
48
    are limited to a 5 fish bag limit and one line with no
49
    more than two hooks, while both state and federal
```

0168 subsistence fisheries can use gillnets of up to 50 fathoms with no limits for salmon harvest in the state fishery. 4 5 Allowing a subsistence fishery as is 6 currently on the books could jeopardize future returns 7 of salmon to the Kitoi Bay area if brood stock collection goals are not met. There are highly developed commercial, sport and subsistence fisheries 10 in the area that are dependent on returns to Kitoi Bay 11 Hatchery and these returns could be reduced by reduced 12 brood stock collection. 13 14 Thank you. 15 16 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 17 InterAgency Staff. 18 19 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The 20 ISC provided the same comment as the one provided for 21 FCR23-12. Thanks. 22 23 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Board 24 discussion with Council Chairs and State Liaison. 25 26 (No comments) 27 28 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: The floor is 29 open for Board motion. 30 31 MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. Sara Boario, 32 Fish and Wildlife Service. Mr. Chair, I move to 33 rescind Fisheries Closure Review FCR23-22. If I get a 34 second, I'll explain why I intend to vote in support of 35 my motion. 36 37 MR. BROWER: Second. 38 39 MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. The Kodiak Aleutians Regional Advisory Council voted to rescind 40 41 the closure and noted that these changes would bring 42 the Federal subsistence regulations in compliance with rural subsistence consumption priority set forth in 44 Title VIII of ANILCA. 45 46 The delegated fishery manager has the

authority to specify methods and means and open or

close subsistence fishing periods to address

conservation concerns as needed.

49 50

47

```
0169
 1
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
 4
    other Board discussion or deliberation.
 5
 6
                     (No comments)
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for the
 9
    question.
10
11
                     MR. BROWER: Question.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: The question
14
    has been called. Roll call, please.
15
16
                     MS. DETWILER: The motion is to rescind
17
    the closure. Sara Boario, Fish and Wildlife Service.
18
19
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife Service
20
    votes to rescind.
21
22
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Glenn Chen,
23
    BIA.
24
25
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA also supports the
26
    motion to rescind. Our vote is consistent and gives
27
    deference to the Kodiak Aleutians Regional Advisory
28
    Council and we support their justifications for their
29
    actions that they set forth.
30
31
                     Thanks.
32
33
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Steve Cohn,
34
    BLM.
35
36
                     MR. COHN: BLM supports rescinding the
37
    closure in deference to the Kodiak Aleutians
38
     Subsistence Regional Advisory Council.
39
40
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Sarah
41
    Creachbaum, National Park Service.
42
43
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: The NPS supports
44
     rescinding the closure for the reasons stated in the
     Fish and Wildlife Service motion and in deference to
45
46
    the Kodiak Aleutians Regional Advisory Council.
47
48
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
49
    Forest Service.
```

```
0170
 1
                     MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service also
    opposes FP23-07 in deference to the Southcentral
 2
    Regional Advisory Council for reasons outlined by that
    Council and OSM. I also appreciate the State bringing
    forward their concerns and the way they're addressing
    management in the Kenai on chinook and I trust that the
 6
 7
    in-season manager will also take appropriate actions as
 8
    needed here to conserve the fishery.
 9
10
                     Thank you.
11
12
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
13
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
14
15
                     MS. PITKA: I vote to oppose FP23-07 in
16
     deference to the Regional Advisory Council and also as
17
     outlined by OSM on page 516 and 517. Thank you.
18
19
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you.
20
    Member Charlie Brower.
21
22
                     MR. BROWER: I vote to oppose FP23-07
23
     as recommended by Southcentral Alaska Subsistence
24
     Regional Advisory Council.
25
26
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Charlie.
27
     Chair Christianson.
28
29
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I oppose for
30
    the reason stated.
31
32
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. The vote
33
     fails unanimously. Motion fails unanimously.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Staff, you have
36
     the floor for the next one.
37
38
                     MR. FOLEY: Good afternoon, Mr. Chair.
39
    Members of the Board. For the record my name is Kevin
40
    Foley and I'm a fish biologist with Office of
41
     Subsistence Management. I'm here to present for you
42
     Proposal FP23-07, matching of State sportfishing size
43
     limits and gear restrictions for Kenai River chinook
44
     salmon. This analysis may be found beginning on Page
45
     500 of your meeting book.
46
47
                     Proposal FP23-07, submitted by the
48
     Alaska Department of Fish and Game, requests revisions
```

to the Federal subsistence regulations for Kenai River

49

chinook salmon. Specifically to restrict harvest of chinook salmon to only fish less than 34 inches and to decrease the overall season length.

Additionally, the proponent requests to prohibit the use of bait in the Federal rod and reel fishery during the Kenai River chinook fishery. Two Federal rod and reel fisheries are affected by this proposal; one that runs from January 1 to July 15 and one that runs from July 16 to August 31. The proposed regulation change covers all of the first date range, that of January 1 to July 15 and two weeks of the second beginning July 16th, but truncates the second fishery by removing harvest dates in August.

In their request, the proponent states that this administrative change will align Federal subsistence regulations for Kenai River chinook salmon gillnet, dipnet or rod and reel, and the general rod and reel only Federal subsistence fisheries with the established size limits for State sport fishing regulations.

The current Federal subsistence fishing regulation allows for harvest of chinook salmon in a slot limit of less than 46 inches or 55 inches and longer, whereas the current State sport fish regulation is a maximum size of 34 inches. State regulations prohibit the use of bait in waters upstream of Slikok Creek during the chinook salmon fishery January 1 to July 31.

The proponent asserts that the alignment of federal subsistence and state sport fish regulations is meant to update the federal regulations to reflect the most up to date changes to the management of Kenai River chinook salmon for a large fish goal and for the overall quality of escapement as justification for this proposal change.

OSM opposes FP23-07. Restricting harvest of chinook salmon to only fish less than 34 inches, prohibiting bait as a means for harvest, and truncating harvest dates restricts harvest opportunity for federally qualified subsistence users.

The historic Federal subsistence harvest of Kenai River chinook salmon in the rod and reel fishery has been relatively minimal and would have

```
0172
 1
     no significant impact on Kenai River large chinook
     salmon escapement. Two fish were harvested during the
     12-year period from 2007 to 2019.
 4
 5
                     Under existing authority delegated by
 6
     the Board, the Field Supervisor of the Kenai Fish and
 7
    Wildlife Conservation Office has the ability to open or
     close Federal subsistence fishing periods as well as
 8
 9
     specifying methods and means for the continued
10
     viability of Kenai River chinook salmon.
11
12
                     Mr. Chair, Members of the Board, that
13
     concludes my presentation on FP23-07. I'm standing by
14
     for any questions you may have.
15
16
                     MR. CHEN: Mr. Chair. BIA.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the
19
     floor.
20
21
                     MR. CHEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
22
     Question to OSM Staff on this one. If we were to align
23
     the Federal subsistence regulations with the State
24
     sportfishing regulations, that would then eliminate the
25
     Federal priority.
26
27
                     MR. FOLEY: Through the Chair, Mr.
28
    Chen. I believe that is correct.
29
30
                     MR. CHEN: Thank you for the answer.
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any other Board
33
    questions.
34
35
                     (No comments)
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Was there any
38
     written summary of public comments.
39
40
                     MR. FOLEY: Mr. Chair. Members of the
41
    Board. There were no public comments received for
     FP23-07.
42
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: At this time
45
     we'll open up the floor to the public to testify or
46
     anybody online.
47
48
                     OPERATOR: Thank you. Again as a
49
     reminder please press star, one on your phone and
50
```

```
0173
    record your name if you have a question. One moment,
 2
    please.
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     OPERATOR: I show no questions or
 7
     comments on the phone lines at this time.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Tribal/Alaska
10
    Native Corporation comments.
11
12
                     MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Board
13
              During the August 23rd consultation period
14
    there were no comments or questions on fisheries
15
    proposal 23-07.
16
17
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Regional
20
     Advisory Council.
21
22
                     MS. GILL:
                               Thank you, Mr. Chair.
23
     is Jessica Gill, Southcentral Regional Advisory Council
24
     Coordinator. The Southcentral Regional Advisory
25
    Council voted to oppose FP23-07. The Council found no
26
    biological concern given the low harvest levels of
27
    chinook salmon in the Kenai River Federal subsistence
28
    fishery. The Council felt that this regulation would
29
    remove a meaningful subsistence priority for federally
30
    qualified subsistence harvesters. The Council noted
31
    that the Federal fisheries regulations do not need to
32
     align with State regulations.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you.
35
     Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
36
37
                     MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
38
     For the record the Department supports its own
39
    proposal. The State has taken extraordinary measures
40
     for conservation purposes on the Kenai River. I mean
41
    we've shut down whole sectors, both commercial, sport
42
    and PU as this is a non-subsistence area, so PU is the
43
    only available way Alaskans can harvest Kenai River
     salmon in that method.
44
45
46
                     Originally you have the slot limit in
47
     your regs. The slot limit is an old, outdated
48
    management strategy that this Board adopted to kind of
49
    be in line with the management strategy for the
```

0174 1 conservation of Kenai kings. So during this time period things have changed obviously. The status of Kenai kings has not improved. We looked at fecundity. We talked to the public at Board meetings and decided 5 to go with the large king goal to address those 6 concerns. 7 8 So we introduced this proposal in line 9 knowing that all the things that we're doing on our 10 side we figured we would propose to at lease align it. 11 Now if there's issues similar to last year -- so we 12 closed things and we looked to the Refuge also and they 13 kept that open, which is fine. So there's still 14 ability for you guys to provide that priority when 15 we've shut down everything else. That hook and line fishery is still there and fishing. We're just asking 16 17 for the sake of Kenai River kings to adopt the most 18 current management strategy we have that we feel is for 19 the health of that population. 20 Thank you. 21 22 23 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 24 InterAgency Staff. 25 26 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 27 InterAgency Staff Committee provided the standard 28 comment. 29 30 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 31 Board discussion with Council Chairs and State Liaison. 32 33 (No comments) 34 35 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none. 36 Open up the floor for a Board motion. 37 38 MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. Sara Boario, 39 Fish and Wildlife Service. Mr. Chair, I move to adopt Fisheries Proposal FP23-07. If I get a second, I'll 40 41 explain why I intend to oppose my motion. 42 43 MR. BROWER: Second. 44 45 MS. BOARIO: Mr. Chair. 46 Southcentral Regional Advisory Council opposes FP23-07. 47 The Council found no biological concern given the low 48 historical harvest of chinook salmon in the Kenai River 49 Federal subsistence fishery. The Council felt that

```
0175
     this regulation would remove a meaningful subsistence
 2
    priority for Federally qualified subsistence users.
 3
 4
                     We do commend the State for addressing
 5
    conservation concerns with the Kenai River late run in
 6
    the sport fishery, but to offer a meaningful preference
 7
     for subsistence opportunity for the Federally qualified
     subsistence users we do not need to align subsistence
 9
     fishery regulations with State sport fish regulations.
10
11
                     The delegated fishery manager has the
12
     authority to specify methods and means and open or
13
     close subsistence fishing periods to address continued
14
     viability of Kenai River chinook salmon as needed.
15
16
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
19
    other Board discussion or deliberation.
20
21
                     (No comments)
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Call for the
24
     question.
25
26
                     MR. BROWER: Question.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: The question
29
    has been called. Roll call, Sue, please.
30
31
                     MS. DETWILER: The motion is to adopt
32
     the proposal. Sara Boario, Fish and Wildlife Service.
33
34
                     MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife Service
35
     supports.
36
37
                     (Laughter)
38
39
                     MS. BOARIO: Thanks, Sue. I took my
     glasses off. Fish and Wildlife Service opposes.
40
41
42
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Glasses are
45
    meaningful.
46
47
                     (Laughter)
48
49
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you for that.
50
```

```
0176
 1
    Moving to Glenn Chen, BIA.
 2
 3
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA votes no. Our
 4
     opposition is consistent in deference to the
 5
     Southcentral Regional Advisory Council position on this
 6
     and we support their justifications that they provided
 7
     for their actions.
 8
 9
                     Thank you.
10
11
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Steve Cohn,
12
    BLM.
13
14
                     MR. COHN: BLM opposes FP23-07 in
15
    deference to the Southcentral Subsistence Regional
    Advisory Council. I would like to acknowledge though
16
17
    the State's concerns and acknowledge that the State is
18
    really taking the matter of Kenai River chinook very
19
     seriously. So I want to thank them for bringing those
20
    concerns to the Board.
21
22
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Sarah
23
    Creachbaum, National Park Service.
24
25
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: The National Park
26
     Service opposes 23-07 for the reasons that are stated
27
     in the Fish and Wildlife Service motion and in
28
    deference to the Southcentral Regional Advisory
29
    Council.
30
31
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
32
    Forest Service.
33
34
                     MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service also
35
     opposes FP23-07 in deference to the Southcentral
36
     Regional Advisory Council for reasons outlined by the
37
    Council and OSM. I also appreciate the State bringing
     forward their concerns and the way they're addressing
38
39
    management in the Kenai on chinook. I trust that the
40
     in-season manager will also take appropriate actions as
41
    needed here to conserve the fishery.
42
43
                     Thank you.
44
45
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
46
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
47
48
                     MS. PITKA: I vote to oppose FP23-07 in
49
     deference to the Regional Advisory Council and also as
50
```

```
0177
     outlined by OSM on page 516 and 517. Thank you.
 2
 3
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
 4
    Member Charlie Brower.
 5
 6
                     MR. BROWER: I vote to oppose FP23-07
 7
     as recommended by Southcentral Alaska Subsistence
 8
     Regional Advisory Council.
 9
10
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you, Charlie.
11
     Chair Christianson.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I oppose for
14
    the reasons stated.
15
16
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you.
                                                The vote
17
     fails unanimously.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Staff, you have
20
    the floor for the next one.
21
22
                     MR. ROBERTS: Hello, Mr. Chair.
23
    Members of the Board. My name is Jason Roberts. I'm
24
     an anthropologist at the Office of Subsistence
25
    Management. I'll be presenting a summary of the
26
     analysis for Fisheries Proposal 23-14. This analysis
27
    begins on Page 527 of your meeting book.
28
29
                     FP23-14 was submitted by the residents
30
     of Serendipity subdivision. Serendipity is a subdivided
31
     150-acre homestead located along the Richardson
32
    Highway. The proponents are requesting that
33
     Serendipity be added to the customary and traditional
34
    use determination for salmon in the Chitina Subdistrict
35
     of the Upper Copper River District.
36
37
                     The proponents report having harvested
38
     salmon in the Chitina Subdistrict for between 10-20
39
     years through the State of Alaska's personal use
40
     fishery since moving to Serendipity. The proponents
41
     also note that many residents of Serendipity had a
42
    history of harvesting salmon in the Chitina subdistrict
43
    personal use fishery before moving to the community.
44
45
                     Serendipity currently falls within the
    {\tt C\&T} determination for salmon in the Glennallen
46
47
     Subdistrict of the Upper Copper River District, but
48
     Serendipity residents report having difficulty
49
     accessing the salmon fishery in the Glennallen
```

Subdistrict because of limited public access points and a lack of fishwheels and appropriate boats within the community. They note that the Chitina Subdistrict is the most efficient and accessible for members of this community.

Just briefly looking at the regulatory history. Federal subsistence fishery management adopted the State subsistence fishery regulations in 1999. At that time, the State recognized the Glennallen Subdistrict as a subsistence fishery and classified the Chitina Subdistrict as a personal use fishery. In the new Federal regulations adopted from the State, all residents of the Prince William Sound Area were listed as having customary and traditional use of salmon in the Glennallen Subdistrict only.

In 2000 the Federal Subsistence Board adopted a proposal which established a customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Chitina Subdistrict for residents of Chitina, Cantwell, Chistochina, Copper Center, Gakona, Gulkana, Mentasta, and Tazlina. The Board initially adopted these customary and traditional use determinations for the Chitina Subdistrict based on the traditional territories of three Athabaskan groups. The Ahtna, the Upper Tanana and the Tanacross. Dispersed within these traditional territories are communities initially settled by non-Natives.

In 2002 the Board adopted proposal FP02-16 which added the remaining communities in the resident zone of the Wrangell-St. Elias National Park to the C&T determination for salmon in the Chitina Subdistrict. In 2002 the Board rejected proposals to add residents of Lake Louise and Delta Junction to the C&T determination for salmon in the Glennallen and Chitina Subdistrict through the consent agenda. The stated justification was a lack of substantial evidence.

In 2005 the Board approved proposals adding Chickaloon to the C&T for salmon in Chitina and Glennallen. In 2005 the Board also adopted a proposal that added the residents of Paxson, Sourdough to the C&T for salmon in the Chitina Subdistrict.

deliberations on this proposal. In 2017 the Board approved a proposal by consensus agenda adding Dry Creek to the C&T determination for salmon in the Glennallen Subdistrict. The residents of Dry Creek had been harvesting salmon via State regulations in the Glennallen Subdistrict since Dry Creek was established in 1973 as a homesteading subsistence oriented community.

Dry Creek residents displayed a consistent pattern of subsistence practices initially learned from their neighbors in the area and subsequently shared with other residents as the community grew. Dry Creek residents also displayed a distinctive pattern of resource sharing that formed a key component of social life in the community.

Looking at the community characteristics and eight factors for determining customary and traditional use for Serendipity. When conducting a customary and traditional use determination analysis, eight factors are holistically considered. You can find these eight factors listed on Page 536 of your meeting book. It should be noted in June 2016 the Board clarified that the eight factor analysis applied when considering customary and traditional use determinations. It is intended to protect subsistence use rather than limit it.

Serendipity Subdivision is currently composed of 15 to 20 permanent year-round residents who live in 12 households on a subdivided 150-acre homestead along the Richardson Highway. Serendipity sits between Milepost 45 and 47 near the confluence of the Tiekel and Tsina Rivers and it's surrounded by public lands.

The first parcels of Serendipity were issued in 2000 and residents here have harvested salmon in the Chitina Subdistrict personal use fishery since this time. Serendipity parcels were not connected to the electrical grid until 2020 and homes in the community do not have running water. Many residents moved to Serendipity because the land was affordable, they knew other residents of the community and living in the area offered them the opportunity to lead a rural lifestyle.

According to key respondent interviews,

residents of Serendipity engage in numerous subsistence practices such as gardening, collecting wild plants and berries, hunting moose and caribou, fishing for salmon and non-salmon fish and preserving meats and vegetable crops. These activities take place regularly every year according to the standard seasons of planting and harvest. Residents here work communally on larger gardens while also tending their own smaller gardens.

Sharing of resources is common and these practices form an important part of social life in the community. Community meals where subsistence resources are shared generally take place several times per week. The sharing of moose meat is particularly common as residents typically get together to assist with meat preparation after a successful hunt. Salmon and other fish are also regularly shared.

Subsistence knowledge about hunting, fishing, gardening, and gathering wild plants has been passed from older community residents to younger residents as well. Newer residents of Serendipity often move to the community in part because of social connections with more established residents. Many younger residents now help older residents in their subsistence activities.

Unfortunately, there's been no comprehensive subsistence study conducted specifically on Serendipity. The best source of documented information on subsistence practices in this community comes from a 2013 study conducted by ADF&G on subsistence practices in the Copper River Basin. In this study in 2013 four permanent year-round Serendipity households were surveyed as part of Tonsina CDP.

During this study year the average Tonsina household harvested about 459 pounds of wild resources with salmon constituting roughly half of that harvest weight. A more detailed summary of that study can be found starting on Page 535 in your meeting book. Unfortunately it's not possible to directly compare the 2013 subsistence practices of Serendipity residents to those of Tonsina residents as the information for these two communities was aggregated in the study report.

 $$\operatorname{\textsc{So}}$ looking at the effects of this proposal. If the Board adopts this proposal,

residents of Serendipity would be added to the C&T determination for salmon in the Chitina Subdistrict. This would provide Serendipity residents with greater and probably more efficient access to subsistence salmon fisheries in the Upper Copper River District.

If the proposal is rejected, residents of Serendipity would not qualify as Federal subsistence harvesters in the Chitina Subdistrict. However, they could continue to harvest salmon in the Chitina Subdistrict under State personal use fisheries regulations. They would also maintain a customary and traditional use determination for salmon harvest in the Glennallen Subdistrict fishery.

The OSM conclusion is to support Proposal FP23-14. The justification is that the permanent residents of the Serendipity subdivision meet the general eligibility requirements for Federal subsistence priority and have harvested salmon in the Chitina Subdistrict for between 10 to 20 years, through the State of Alaska's personal use fishery. Many residents also stated that they harvested salmon in the Chitina Subdistrict before moving to Serendipity.

Serendipity residents have a history of engaging in related subsistence practices like hunting, gardening, and gathering wild plants. These practices and the sharing of subsistence resources and knowledge form an important part of social life at Serendipity and display similarities to the information documented for Tonsina.

Supporting this proposal is also in keeping with the Board's stated goal from 2016 to recognize customary and traditional uses in the most inclusive manner possible. If necessary, a Section 804 Subsistence User Prioritization Analysis could be conducted in the future in the event of continued salmon declines.

This ends my presentation of FP23-14.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any questions

45 from the Board.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any summary of

0182 public comment. 2 3 MR. ROBERTS: Yes. Through the Chair. 4 OSM received three written public comments on this 5 proposal. These full comments can be found starting on 6 Page 542 of your Meeting Book. Two of the comments 7 oppose the proposal while one comment supported it. 8 9 The Ahtna, Incorporated Customary and 10 Traditional Committee and the Ahtna Intertribal 11 Resource Commission submitted comments in opposition to 12 FP23-14. Both comments stated that residents of 13 Serendipity did not display an appropriate long-term 14 pattern of use of the Chitina Subdistrict fishery. 15 16 The comments further explained that the 17 residents of Serendipity had not provided the proper 18 documentation to prove their historical, cultural and 19 economic ties to the Upper Copper River fisheries. 20 These comments also noted concern about recognizing 21 customary and traditional use in newer communities 22 while older communities were already facing increased 23 competition for decreasing populations of salmon. 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34

35 36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46 47

48

The third comment was submitted by the Wrangell-St. Elias National Park Subsistence Resource Commission who unanimously supported FP23-14. They noted that Serendipity residents are subsistence users with patterns of subsistence use that are similar to other communities that already have a customary and traditional use determination for salmon in the Chitina Subdistrict and specific to their request they've harvested salmon in the Chitina Subdistrict for up to 20 years under State of Alaska regulations.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. At this time the floor is open to the public to testify. Karen, we have a blue card for you. You have the floor. Karen Linnell.

OPERATOR: If you'd like to ask a question, please press star, one, unmute your phone and clearly state your name for question introduction. Our next question comes from Allison Sayer. Your line is open.

MS. SAYER: Hello. Can you hear me?

49 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yeah. Can you 50

hold for a second, please. We were recognizing a testimony before yours and then we'll call on you.

MS. SAYER: Oh, I apologize.

6 OPERATOR: My apologies.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.

Karen, you have the floor.

MS. LINNELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record my name is Karen Linnell, executive director for AHTNA Intertribal Resource Commission, which is comprised of eight Federally recognized tribes. Ahtna, Incorporated and Chitina Native Corporation as the landholders.

We oppose this proposal simply based on the fact that how they even got customary and traditional use when a community has only been in existence for about 10 years. There may have been individuals that have used that and they should have gotten individual C&T rather than getting a whole new community customary and traditional use. I don't know when they expanded this fishery to include all of Prince William Sound and those communities that they did any C&T analysis that would allow for these communities to have customary and traditional use.

Again, like I said, I have loaned my fishwheel to people from all over the state, but they are not claiming C&T that used my wheel at my camp under a State permit and they leave and are not expecting this. The personal use fishery is not a Federal fishery and that long-term use does not constitute Federal C&T.

So, for me and my tribes that I represent we're definitely opposed to this and the process that it happened. There needs to be more analysis on how these communities can get C&T. The Staff had just talked about the families that come from Ahtna communities and Tanacross and Dot Lake have ties to Copper River that go on for generations well over 100 years, 200 years. Actually 10,000 years, 13,000 years. That's where those ties come from.

 $$\operatorname{Being}$$ able to do these blanket C&T things that happen with Prince William Sound and

allowing that Federal fishery much like the Cordova dipnetting process I don't think that OSM and the Staff and the Board are taking into consideration the detail in that. Yes, Tonsina folks do have C&T. The folks at Serendipity -- we didn't draw the CDMP boundaries. You didn't draw the CDMP boundaries.

To use that as -- because we've been lumped with Valdez, Cordova census forever and we finally got separated, but we still can't find our numbers anywhere. But those CDMP lines they don't mean anything and they're not tied to each other. Even Mentasta. The village is almost left out of their own CDMP. So they're arbitrary lines drawn by the U.S. Department -- Census Bureau. It should have no bearing on this.

This also happened when we were trying to get our community harvest permit system set up when the CDMP Silver Springs tried to get added into the Copper Center CDMP, but they chose to separate and they proved that they were a separate community. So we need to look at what's going on here.

The Copper River cannot feed the entire state. We've got over 8,000 -- anywhere between six and nine thousand permits for personal use fishery that comes in. The amount of traffic that happens there. When they close the personal use fishery, do you know what the Department said? Hey, go get a State subsistence permit. You can bypass this closure.

Again, not looking out for sustainability. Not looking at the concerns here. We doggone had to show our bloodline so that we could have C&T. The families at Tetlin, Northway. Doris Charles in Dot Lake. Gene Henry in Dot Lake. They had to prove that they were born at Batzulnetas so that they could fish there.

Now this is so liberalized that you can move into an area and five years, 10 years, you've got C&T. Park Service staff can move in and get C&T and then they turn around and they move to another rural area and then they file for personal C&T so that they can go back to the area that they were working at before for hunting.

Not right and not right for following

0185 1 that Title VIII and those criteria. Not right. There's an imbalance here and it's never more blatant than what's happening right now with this Serendipity proposal and the following proposal that's coming up 5 regarding the Canadian border to Dry Creek. 6 7 So I ask you to not support this. Ask 8 the Staff to explain to you how they got C&T and how 9 all of Prince William Sound, without even looking at 10 their customary and traditional use, get C&T to the 11 Copper River because they have other rivers that they 12 go to and they've got the ocean that they go to. We 13 only have one river. One place to go. We can't go 14 everywhere and get that salmon. 15 16 So, to me, I'd just ask that this Board 17 listen to Southcentral RAC and Eastern Interior RAC, 18 who also voted on this, and voted it down. 19 20 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 21 22 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 23 Karen. Appreciate it. Any questions for Karen. 24 25 (No comments) 26 27 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 28 Operator, we had somebody online who needed to be 29 recognized. Now is their opportunity. 30 31 (No response) 32 33 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Operator, is 34 there still somebody who would like to testify online? 35 36 (No response) 37 38 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Knock-knock. 39 Operator? Can you hear me now? 40 41 (No response) 42 43 MS. LAVINE: Hold on, Mr. Chair. This 44 is Robbin, OSM Subsistence Policy Coordinator. I am 45 monitoring our meeting view and I do see someone 46 waiting in the cue. Our meeting view operator has 47 dropped off actually. So there's no one there. Hold 48 on. Let me see if I can open the line for Allison 49 Thayer. Allison Thayer, are you on the line? Is your

```
0186
     line open? Oh, Allison Sayer.
 2
 3
                     (No response)
 4
 5
                     MS. LAVINE: Allison, can you try to
 6
     unmute yourself. We may have lost our connection.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Take five.
 9
10
                     (Off record)
11
12
                     (On record)
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Operator, at
15
     this time we'll recognize the testifier. Thank you.
16
17
                     OPERATOR: Allison Sayer your line is
18
     open.
19
20
                     MS. SAYER: Hello. Can everybody hear
21
    me?
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes. You have
24
     the floor. Thank you.
25
26
                     MS. SAYER: Oh, thank you so much.
27
     Thank you for the opportunity to speak and the work you
         I'd like to start by stating my understanding
28
29
     there's no equivalence whatsoever between my mostly
30
     white community and what Native communities are
31
     experiencing throughout the watershed and throughout
32
     the state. My hope is that all people along the Copper
33
    River can work together and support environmental and
34
    management practices and policies that will strengthen
35
     the salmon run for everyone along our watershed and
36
     throughout Alaska.
37
38
                     That being said, there is no dispute
39
     regarding the number of fish or the watershed they come
40
     from for personal use by rural residents of our section
41
     of the Richardson Highway Corridor. Just whether we
42
     can fish above or below the bridge and, as has been
43
     noted, the access is quite different on either side.
44
45
                     If we do receive a Federal permit for
46
     the fishery above the bridge, we forfeit our
     opportunity to participate in a more accessible State
47
48
     fishery. As far as opportunity to fish from the ocean
49
     -- first of all, I don't necessarily think it's
```

0187 productive to get into contests like that, but people in the Glennallen area are not necessarily -- I'm so close to that area I don't believe they have a significantly more of a barrier to driving down to Valdez and fishing from the shore if that's what they would like to do, but that doesn't necessarily mean you 6 7 have the equipment to go offshore just because you live 8 in the area. 9 10 I'd also like to say that I apologize 11 for not being available in October. It can be kind of a busy time in rural life, but I'm really appreciative 12 13 of being able to be here today. I am a journalist in 14 the Copper Basin, but I'm representing myself and not 15 anybody -- I'm not covering any of this for the paper 16 or anything. This is just for myself and for my own 17 community. 18 19 If anybody has any questions, I would 20 love to have the opportunity to answer them. I thank 21 you again for the work that you do. 22 23 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 24 questions from the Board. 25 26 (No comments) 27 28 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none. 29 Thank you for taking the time to call in and speak to 30 your proposal. 31 32 OPERATOR: I have no additional public 33 comments at this time. 34 35 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 36 Operator. That concludes our public testimony. 37 move on to Tribal and Alaska Native Corporation 38 comments. 39 40 MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Board 41 Members. Orville Lind, Native Liaison for OSM. There 42 were no comments or questions on that. 43 44 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 45 46 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.

MS. GILL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

47

48 49

50

Regional Advisory Council.

is Jessica Gill, Southcentral Regional Advisory Council Coordinator. The Southcentral Regional Advisory Council voted to oppose FP23-14. The Council felt that the residents of Serendipity did not fully demonstrate the criteria necessary to be granted customary and traditional use status for salmon in the Chitina Subdistrict, particularly with regard to long-term patterns of use.

The Council wanted to see additional research conducted into this community before granting customary and traditional status for this resource. They also noted that none of the community members spoke in support of their proposal at the Council meeting.

Separately, the Council voiced support for the Federal Subsistence Board to review and revise the customary and traditional use request process.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.

Additional RAC.

MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Charlie Wright, co-Chair of the Eastern Interior RAC. The Eastern Interior RAC took no action on FP23-14. The Council felt that the Southcentral Council was more familiar with the area and community under consideration and therefore better equipped to make a customary and traditional use recommendation on this proposal.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.} \\ \mbox{Alaska Department of Fish and Game.}$

 MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record the Alaska Department of Fish and Game is neutral on eligibility requirements for participation in the Federal subsistence program provided under ANILCA. ADF&G does recommend that the Board thoroughly and carefully review the data relevant to the criteria for those communities that do lack a customary and traditional use finding.

Thank you.

0189 1 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 2 InterAgency Staff Committee. 3 4 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 5 InterAgency Staff Committee provided the standard 6 comment. Thank you. 7 8 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 9 Open up the floor for Board discussion with Council 10 Chairs and State Liaison. 11 (No comments) 12 13 14 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none. 15 The floor is open for a Board motion. 16 17 MS. CREACHBAUM: Mr. Chair. Sarah 18 Creachbaum, National Park Service. Mr. Chair, I move 19 to adopt Proposal FP23-14. If I get a second, I'll 20 explain why I intend to vote in opposition of my 21 motion. 22 23 MR. BROWER: Second. 24 25 MS. CREACHBAUM: Thank you. The 26 National Park Service opposes FP23-14 in deference to 27 the Southcentral Subsistence Regional Advisory 28 Council's recommendation. The eight factors used to 29 make customary and traditional use determinations do 30 not appear to be met. Because the community of 31 Serendipity is new with residents fishing in the area 32 for 10 to 20 years there is a lack of substantial 33 evidence for a long-term pattern of use of the 34 resource. 35 36 While the community does exhibit some 37 of the eight factors, long-term, consistent pattern of use as defined in the criteria as a pattern of use 38 39 which includes the handing down of knowledge and 40 hunting from generation to generation. Serendipity has 41 only existed as a community for less than a single 42 generation. 43 44 It would potentially be 45 precedent-setting for the Board to recognize such a 46 limited duration pattern of uses as customary and 47 traditional. I recognize the Wrangell-St. Elias 48 Subsistence Resource Commission's support for this

proposal and I appreciate the desire to be as inclusive

49

```
0190
 1
     as possible. However, applying all the eight factors
     so generally as to not define what constitutes
     long-term would effectively imply that all rural
     residents would qualify, which would negate the intent
 5
     for which the C&T guidance was adopted by the Board.
 6
 7
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
10
    further discussion.
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
14
                     MR. SCHMID: Question.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Roll call,
17
    please.
18
19
                     MS. DETWILER: The motion is to adopt
20
     the proposal. NPS, Sarah Creachbaum.
21
22
                     MS. CREACHBAUM: The NPS opposes.
23
24
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Glenn Chen,
25
     BIA.
26
27
                     MR. CHEN: The BIA will vote no.
28
    will oppose this proposal. We concur with the
29
     justification brought forth by the Southcentral
30
    Regional Advisory Council as well as those expressed by
31
    the National Park Service when they made their motion.
32
33
                     Thank you.
34
35
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Steve Cohn,
36
    BLM.
37
38
                     MR. COHN:
                               BLM opposes FP23-14
39
    consistent with the recommendations of the Southcentral
    RAC. Subsistence use of fisheries resources by
40
41
    residents of the Serendipity Subdivision is relatively
42
    new with residents fishing in the area for 10 to 20
43
    years. Therefore there is a lack of substantial
44
    evidence for a long-term pattern of use by the rural
45
    residents of the area.
46
47
                     The residents of Serendipity exhibit
48
     some of the eight factors used to make C&T
49
     determinations. BLM is concerned that applying the
```

```
0191
     eight factors so generally would be inconsistent with
     the intent of the C&T guidance adopted by the Board.
 3
 4
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Sara Boario,
 5
    Fish and Wildlife Service.
 6
 7
                     MS. BOARIO: The Fish and Wildlife
 8
     Service opposes for the reasons articulated by the RAC
 9
     and by the National Park Service.
10
11
                    MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid,
12
    Forest Service.
13
14
                     MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service also
15
     opposes the proposal in deference to the Southcentral
    RAC and with the justification provided by the National
16
17
    Park Service.
18
19
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
20
    Member Rhonda Pitka.
21
22
                     MS. PITKA:
                                I vote to oppose FP23-14 in
23
    deference to the Southcentral Regional Advisory Council
24
     as outlined by their justification on page 540 of the
25
    book.
26
27
                     Thank you.
28
29
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
30
    Member Charlie Brower.
31
32
                     MR. BROWER: Oppose FP23-14 as stated
33
    by Southcentral Subsistence Advisory Council and other
34
    regions. Thank you.
35
36
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Chair
37
    Christianson.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I oppose this
40
     for the reasons stated on the record.
41
42
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you.
                                                The motion
43
     to adopt this proposal fails unanimously.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: At this time
46
     those kids have been waiting for two days to come on up
47
    here and entertain us. We want to hear all about the
     good things they've got going on. Welcome up here,
48
49
    Heather and team.
50
```

MS. BAUSCHER: Hello, everyone.
Through the Chair. Thank you. My name is Heather
Bauscher. I'm an adjunct professor with the University
of Alaska Southeast in the Biology and Fish Tech
Program. I'm also the Fisheries Community Engagement
Specialist for the Sitka Conservation Society.

I just wanted to say thank you so much for the opportunity to present to you all today. I want to say a big thank you to the Forest Service for continuing to support this program and this class. A little bit of background on this. We've been teaching this opportunity for youth and bringing them for a number of years.

Fish Tech Program at UAS was doing that for a while. That's actually how I started coming to these meetings because I wanted biology credits to start. Over the last seven years we've built up this program and started bringing student every year at least to the Federal Subsistence Board meeting.

Big thanks to the Forest Service for continuing to fund and support that. A thank you and shout-out to Rob Cross back there. Now we have Ashley helping to teach. I'll give Ashley more in a minute to talk too. Go ahead, Ashley, if you want to introduce yourself now. Sorry.

MS. WILD: Good afternoon to the Chair, Members of the Board. My name is Lauren Wilde. I was born and raised in Sitka in Southeast Alaska on the unceded lands of the Tlingit people. So I just want to take a moment to say gunalcheesh to the Tlingit people for sharing their lands with us.

I am an assistant professor in the Applied Fisheries Program at the University of Alaska Southeast on the Sitka Campus. I'm a fairly recent new faculty member. I joined in 2020, so I took over the Fisheries Policy class. We cover different policy groups each year. So we'll do the Council process, the Board of Fish process, the IPHC process and then this year we're following the Subsistence Board process.

So we kind of teamed up with Heather and the class that she's already been running to kind of combine. So we have dual-enrollment high school students and undergraduate students that we bring to

the meeting. I'll keep passing it along.

Thank you.

MS. BOLWERK: Hi. Through the Chair. My name is Ashley Bolwerk. I work for the Forest Service and just wanted to introduce myself and help support the kids by showing them that it's not so scary to sit up here. So that's my main role here.

MS. BAUSCHER: So the big change this year is there's a lot more folks involved in this than ever been before and that's been incredibly exciting to have so much support from the Forest Service and the University. These credits are not counting towards policy credit component, to the Fish Tech Program, so it helps the students towards that certification. Like they said, it's dual enrollment for the high school ones, so they're getting college credit and high school credit for this.

I just wanted to say thanks to everybody. Also the folks -- we have a couple classes before we come to the meeting to like try and give everybody background. So a big thank you to Tony for speaking with the students earlier in the week and Cathy too and some of the fisheries -- oh, and Jack Reakoff, Cathy from the Southeast RAC. Andrew back there, Prince of Wales biologist, has helped before and Jake Musslewhite has presented too, so thanks to everybody.

I will say that this program is completely based in experiential learning and all of the assignments are very intentional and purposeful to build the skills that are necessary to navigate these forums, whether it's the Federal Subsistence Board or any of the State processes. So as I'm mentioning assignments, we're trying to model this testimony thing because it is really scary.

So the students have an assignment that they have to introduce themselves and we're going to give them a second to do that here shortly, but additionally they have other assignments where they have to build networking skills and they have to do interviews. So that means they have to talk to people. So you can help them out by approaching them because it is a little scary to be in this room with folks.

So unless Ashley or Lauren have anything else? Okay. We're going to hand it over to the students and we're going to start with the Sitka folks and then we have a student from Anchorage and then we're going to pass it off -- oh, Hoonah. The other thing that's really amazing this year is we have this remote Hoonah cohort and big thanks to Hoonah Indian Association and Julian and Brynn or we would not be able to do that. So we're only able to do this because everybody is working together. So thanks to everyone.

We'll turn it over to the kids.

MR. KOCHAK: My name is Oliver Kochak (ph). I'm full-blooded Inupiaq. I was originally born in Nome, but I currently live in Sitka. I moved there in 2018 and I'm part of the Fishery Policy Practicum class at UAS. This is my first Federal Subsistence Board meeting.

I'm here to learn more about how the process of regulations are passed on the Federal side and, moreover, I'm wanting to widen my view of subsistence uses and more knowledge of how to provide for myself and my family with traditional methods and cultural practices as well as having more of an perspective of other people's concerns of subsistence uses.

Additionally, I'm also wanting to get more involved with the biological process of research projects and data collection of animals in hopes of pursuing a career and a profession. Finally, I would like to see a youth program be put in place. I believe the program can give youth across the state an opportunity to learn about this process and how they can participate within a system through attending the Federal Subsistence Board meeting or through Regional Advisory Council meetings and to show them that they have a voice whether it's through public testimonies, in person or online or even through written comments.

The youth program would allow them to bring up concerns they have of subsistence uses and users. Furthermore, it can present an opportunity to show them other careers that they have that they can get involved whether it is in the Federal Subsistence Board, Bureau of Land Management, Fish and Wildlife

Service, Forest Service, National Park Service, Office of Subsistence Management, Bureau of Indian Affairs or the judiciary system, law enforcement or anthropogenic.

These can give the ability to participate in the biological processes where they can assist in population collection of organisms or data collection, especially in regards with the concerns of climate change and its affect on subsistence uses and users or even in judiciary system, law enforcement, as well as other career or job opportunities these can present to them.

I'm thankful for the ability to be here and participate and to gain knowledge as well as the opportunity to speak and I appreciate the amount of work you guys put into this.

(Applause)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: That's going to be a tough act to follow there, kids. I knew one of you smelled like a future chairman out there. I sensed it this morning.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good afternoon. I'd like to thank all of you for allowing me to speak and the other students as well. I'm from Naukati Bay on Prince of Wales Island. It's in Southeast Region. Originally I'm from Florida. I've had family up here and we've all heavily relied off of the subsistence. So I find this is very important for me and it allows me to inform my family about what we are able to do and how that can later be affected.

Thank you for your time.

(Applause)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.

Another POW.

REBECCA: My name is Rebecca and I am a student at UAS currently living here in Anchorage.

Today is actually my five-year anniversary of moving to Alaska. I moved to Alaska from Dallas, Texas when I was 25. Kind of had no direction beyond the hope that anywhere else was better than where I was living.

In my years here I've had the opportunity to fish commercially out of Southeast as a trawl and a longline deckhand. I've worked as a hiking guide here in the Chugach State Park. I fell in love with the land and the water and the people of Alaska and I'm eternally grateful.

Even in just my short time here I feel the negative effects of climate change and increased tourism especially are clear and the need to not only conserve resources but the way of life of Alaska's people is apparent. I'm here to give myself in ways I'm able to give back to the land that has shared so much with me.

(Applause)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I'm sorry. I missed where you said you were from.

REBECCA: I live in Anchorage right

22 now.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Oh, okay. From Anchorage. Thank you. I was just curious. Thank you.

MR. JOHNSON: My name is Owen Johnson from Sitka. I'm a subsistence user.

(Applause)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: That's probably the guy that gets it done.

(Laughter)

 MR. NARVAEZ: Hello, everyone. My name is Julian Narvaez and I work for the environmental team of the Hoonah Indian Association. It's an honor to be here and just through this process. It's been very apparent, you know, how important voices from rural communities are and how important it is for our youth to understand this process because it can be very convoluted and complicated in just trying to figure out the difference between what's State and what's Federal and what that means. The multitude of Federal agencies that are here. So it's very valuable for our youth to figure this out and not feel like it's so inaccessible to them so they feel like they can engage in this

0197 process in the future. 2 3 I appreciate all the work you've done 4 or that you've been doing here. I'm very appreciative 5 especially to the BIA and the Forest Service because 6 without them our programs at the Hoonah Indian 7 Association wouldn't be what they are. I'll turn it 8 over to the students. 9 10 MS. HURTADO: Hello. My name is Emily 11 Hurtado and I am from Hoonah, Alaska. Originally I'm actually from California. My dad and I came up here 12 13 because he got a job as the police chief in Hoonah. 14 took this class so that I could learn more about Alaska 15 and the subsistence harvesting and resources. 16 17 Thank you. 18 19 (Applause) 20 21 MS. LACKEY: I'm Rosanna Lackey and I 22 was born in Texas, but I've lived in Hoonah for a few 23 years now. I just want to say thank you for having me 24 here and thank you to Julian and everyone for giving me 25 the opportunity to be here. 26 27 Thank you. 28 29 (Applause) 30 31 MS. STEVENSON: I am Abigail Stevenson. 32 I am born and raised in Hoonah. I have always lived 33 off subsistence and I am very happy to learn a little 34 bit more behind how all of it is regulated. 35 36 Thank you. 37 38 (Applause) 39 40 MR. NARVAEZ: If I could just say 41 really quickly. I also want to point out that Emily, 42 Abby and Rosanna here are all in high school. I know 43 when I was in high school I would not have been able to 44 do this. So good job. 45 46 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I just want to 47 say personally thank you guys for continuing to do 48 that. I'm glad that our agency partners are educating

people out there and it's cool to see the broad base of

49

people in there and you can see that our state is very diverse even in who is educating themselves to become aware of it.

So it's nice to see that they come here and try to get engaged in that being new to the state and then also these young well-informed. I almost see a law officer over here too. I was just joking. No, it looks like a good, well-rounded group of kids. Keep educating yourself.

I hope to see this in other regions. I mean that's what we're asking. There's some other things on the agenda here, like hunter education and stuff, I'm hoping we can support that would help in this type of arena as well.

Thank you.

Thank you to the Forest Service.

(Applause)

MS. PITKA: Hi, this is Rhonda. I wanted to thank all the students for coming up and speaking. I know it can be pretty intimidating facing this whole body and we're all over here making faces at you. I spend my day making faces at Mark Burch, so it's a good day. I really appreciate all the learning that you've been doing and if you have any questions make sure that you ask any of the staff and really engage with them and learn this process.

I actually started out as a Regional Advisory Council member because I did take a UAF class because I did need a credit to get my associate's degree. So that's why when you said that I started laughing because I was like, yeah, that's the whole reason I'm here.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, guys. Let's get ready to rumble. WP22-40. Staff, you have the floor, Pippa.

MS. KENNER: The analysis of deferred Wildlife Proposal WP22-40 begins on Page 693, Volume 2 of the Board meeting materials. An addendum beginning

```
0199
    on Page 712....
 2
 3
                     MR. BROWER: Mr. Chair.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, Charlie?
 6
     One second, sorry.
 7
 8
                     MR. BROWER: What page? She's kind of
 9
     soft-spoken there.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I think it's on
12
     Page 693, Charlie. WP22-40.
13
14
                     MR. BROWER:
                                 Thank you.
15
                     MS. KENNER: I'll speak louder.
16
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: There you go,
19
     Pippa. Thank you.
20
21
                     MS. KENNER: An addendum beginning on
22
     Page 712 contains new information and describes why the
23
     Board deferred the proposal and what has happened
24
     since. The analysis remains unchanged.
25
26
                     Hello, Mr. Chair, Members of the Board
27
     and Regional Advisory Council Chairs. My name is Pippa
28
     Kenner and I'm an anthropologist at the Office of
29
     Subsistence Management in Anchorage. With me today are
30
     Brent Vickers and Lisa Grediagin who will also be
31
     available to answer your questions. They're the
32
     leadership of our anthropology and wildlife divisions.
33
34
                     This proposal has been deferred twice
35
    by the Board. Once in 2020 and another time in 2022.
36
     In 2020 the proposal requested that Federally qualified
37
     subsistence users be allowed to use a snowmachine to
38
     position wolves and wolverines for harvest on Bureau of
39
    Land Management lands in the proposed area. It was
40
     submitted by the Bristol Bay Subsistence Regional
41
     Advisory Council.
42
43
                     In 2020 the Board deferred the proposal
44
     and suggested further consideration by a working group
     of the Bristol Bay Council to do three things: One was
45
46
     to expand the analysis to include all Federal public
47
     lands in the proposed areas; Two was to identify
48
     specific language that may reduce complexity between
49
     State and Federal regulations; and three was to
```

anticipate and address regulatory conflicts between the proposed regulatory language and agency specific regulations.

So the next cycle for the 2022 regulatory cycle the Bristol Bay Council recommended the Board adopt a modified regulation using the regulatory language adopted by the State and Federal Boards regarding caribou.

Subsequently, at its next meeting, the Bristol Bay Council reconsidered its recommendation and instead the Council recommended the Board defer the proposal again stating there were parts of its recommended modification that did not capture the Council's intent when it first submitted the proposal and that Council members had heard from local trappers describing the discrepancy between traditional harvest methods and those described in its recommended modification.

In the meantime, the Alaska Board of Game adopted a regulation regarding the use of snowmachines to harvest wolves and wolverines. That regulation read in part a snowmachine may be used to approach and pursue wolves and wolverine, replacing the word position with approach and pursue.

When the Bristol Bay Council Working Group met at the request of the Board, their suggestion to the Council was to mirror this new State regulation. The Bristol Bay Council supported the working group's recommendation. So now OSM's recommendation is to support the proposal as modified by the Bristol Bay Council and with further OSM modification to clarify the regulatory language.

So when you're making your motions, be careful what you're making your motion about. So the regulation would read: In Units 9B and 9C and in Unit 17 a snowmachine may be used to approach and pursue a wolf or wolverine provided the snowmachine does not contact a live animal.

If this proposal is adopted, the Office of Subsistence Management will make it clear in the regulation booklet produced for the public that a snowmachine may be used to approach and pursue a wolf or wolverine provided an animal is not shot from a

moving snowmachine, which is already in regulation.

So hunters using snowmachines to position wolves and wolverines for harvest is a traditional practice in the Bristol Bay area. Method and means for taking wildlife in ethnographic literature describes hunters employing traditional strategies that might affect an animal's behavior.

Although, until the 1960s, this was done usually on dog sleds or people were on foot. As means for travel access and harvest continued to change over time, hunters persist in using traditional methods purposefully meant to alter the behavior of wildlife and position them for harvest because these methods are efficient.

Documented evidence reveals that the purpose of taking wolf and wolverine pelts is to use them locally in the manufacture of parkas and handicrafts or to exchange pelts for cash in customary trade that is then used to purchase gas and equipment to continue subsistence activities.

So the OSM conclusion and the Bristol Bay Council recommendation clarify that running an animal down with a snowmachine is illegal. There are no harvest limits for wolves and wolverine in both State and Federal trapping regulations and an increase in harvest is not anticipated because of the method and means under which many people harvest will not change. Positioning a wolf or wolverine for harvest is a traditional and efficient method used by trappers as an alternative to taking an animal in a leg trap.

Thank you, Mr. Chair, members of the Board and Council Chairs. I'm ready to take your questions.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions for Staff.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing and seeing none. Thank you. Summary of public comment.

MS. KENNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. There was no public comment on this proposal. Thank

0202 1 you. 2 3 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 4 5 MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair. One more 6 comment. We did accept public comments and they have 7 been -- I think there was one that was received and you 8 have it, correct? 9 10 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 11 12 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. At 13 this time the floor is open for public testimony and I 14 have a stack of blue cards. 15 I tried to put them in the order I received them. We'll call on Renee Rogue -- Rocky, you have the floor. 16 17 Could you turn on the mic, please. 18 19 MS. ROCKY: Can you hear me now? 20 afternoon. My name is Renee Rocky. I was raised in the Bristol Bay region in a small town, Koliganek. 21 22 It's about 90 miles from Dillingham. Growing up up 23 there we hunted wolf, wolverine along with other big 24 game such as moose and caribou. 25 26 So for this proposal rural Alaska 27 Natives have been practicing positioning for years for 28 both wolf and wolverine. The State approved this 29 proposal at its last Board of Game meeting with support 30 from the Department and law enforcement. 31 32 If the Federal Subsistence Board 33 approves it, it will align both the State and Federal 34 regulations, which will make it easier for the 35 subsistence user. The Bristol Bay Regional Advisory 36 Council amended to remove the 300 yard and speed limit 37 restrictions and added all of Unit 17 to the proposed 38 regulation in which they supported. 39 40 The Western Interior RAC also supported 41 this new language and they currently use this method 42 legally. Other regions within the state of Alaska have 43 adopted similar regulations making it lawful to use 44 traditionally employed harvest techniques. 45 The current proposal before the Board 46 47 captures what hunters have been doing traditionally 48 since before Statehood. If the proposal passes, 49 hunters can continue to harvest wolves and wolverine as

0203 they have without the fear of being cited. 2 3 ANILCA needs to be followed as it is 4 prioritized, ensuring the protection of subsistence on 5 Federal lands. Using snowmachines to position for wolf or wolverine subsistence harvest has been used 6 7 extensively. This traditional practice needs to be 8 protected. 9 10 If the proposal is passed, it will not 11 only help subsistence hunters harvest important 12 species, it will also benefit local prey populations. Wolves are efficient hunters and can decimate the 13 14 caribou and moose calf population. The moose and 15 caribou populations are an important subsistence resource for us. Those resources can be threatened by 16 17 their natural predators, the wolf and wolverine. 18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35 36

37 38

39

40 41

42 43

44

45 46

47

48

49

50

That's it. Questions, comments.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any questions from the Board.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. That was a good presentation. Thank you for coming in today. Next we'll call on Kenneth Nukwak, Sr. or Sr. and Jr. Your dad said one of us will go up there. Hey, it's the caribou hunter. Remember when you shot the commissioner? I still tell that story. Maybe we could try a re-enactment.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Welcome back.

MR. NUKWAK: Quyana. Thank you for bringing me up here. My name is Kenneth Nukwak, Sr. I'm from Manokotak, about 20 miles west of Dillingham. I'll just read off what was made.

Manokotak public testimony for WP22-40. Local observations indicate a rise in the local population of wolves which is a concern for local moose and caribou populations. Togiak National Wildlife Refuge biologist has reported that more wolves were seen than before. The population has been reported that it has tripled in population size. The ability to

have the opportunity to harvest wolves will allow subsistence harvest but will also assist in population management, which will help the moose and caribou populations.

There are concerns about moose and caribou populations. The caribou season hasn't closed. Local observations have seen wolves close to town have been targeting moose and caribou. Six wolves were seen chasing a herd of caribou and the wolves took some of the caribou down. Hunters are caretakers of the land and have no intention of harvesting all the wolves, but will hunt and manage the species to keep the population in harmony.

With a record-breaking sockeye salmon return concerns over the increase in predator populations. The ability to allow subsistence users to take wolves will help manage the population, which in turn will help the moose and caribou populations. Caribou hunting has been closed for two winter seasons due to low populations. Weather conditions have changed over the past few years, which makes traveling by snowmachine difficult in hunting. Time limited.

When conditions are good, the ability to hunt -- excuse me -- the ability to use snowmachines to harvest wolf and wolverines is an important tool to efficiently harvest predators. Fuel in the area is very expensive. Over \$7 a gallon. The ability to use a snowmachine to position wolves and wolverine for harvest is much more efficient and effective. Hunters and trappers will sell wolf and wolverine hides to purchase fuel to use for subsistence activities.

 Under ANILCA we are allowed to use these transportation methods such as snowmachines to harvest for subsistence uses. We want to be able to hunt wolf and wolverine without the fear of being cited for what we have traditionally done for generations. We have become criminals for what we have done for generations. What we used to do is going to turn into legend if we are not able to hunt traditionally. Laws that are made for other areas are implemented into their community and is not fair and does not consider how they have traditionally hunted and provided for the community.

Thank you.

```
0205
 1
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Ken.
 2
    Any questions from the Board.
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Appreciate
 7
     that.
 8
 9
                     MR. NUKWAK: Thank you.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you.
12
    Moses Toyukak, Sr.
13
14
                     MR. TOYUKAK, SR.: My name is (in
15
     Yup'ik) in our language. My nephew just read the same
     thing. I'm from Manokotak. I've lived there all my
16
     life and I'm now grandpa for quite a few grandkids,
17
18
     20-something. Twenty-six to be exact. Over the years,
19
     just like my nephew said, communities we hunt for our
20
     living. Food for the table, food for the family and
21
     food for celebrations. Even deaths too.
22
23
                     Over the years it's been really
24
     productive in some places -- or some years and I've
25
     seen it good and bad like everywhere else.
26
    Fishing-wise, our community is a fishing community and
27
     for the last five years there have been a few less --
28
     well, in our area there's still lots, but some of our
29
    buyers have disappeared. Our moose are plenty, but the
30
     terrain right now we have really mild winters.
31
     Dangerous to go with a sno-go if you don't know the
32
     area.
33
34
                     Our village we pay like $7.60 a gallon
35
     for gas and 10 gallons is $76. It's pretty spendy.
36
     With those kind of prices and sno-go prices put
37
     together it gets spendy. Our subsistence way of life
38
     has been challenged in many ways.
39
40
                     Wolverines and wolves are starting to
41
    multiply more and more. It really hurts to see six
42
    wolves chasing a herd of caribou and when they catch up
43
     to them they bite them one time and they fall down.
44
     I've seen 10 of them being killed like that and it's
45
     not a good sight. The caribous, when we transplanted
     them, we had 146 animals and they grew to be 2,500 in
46
47
     our peninsula. As a community leader it saddened me to
48
     see our food for the families and community and other
49
     communities as well being taken by animals that are not
```

```
0206
    what we usually eat.
 2
 3
                     I hope this can open up eyes to pass
 4
    WP22-40.
 5
 6
                     Thank you.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any
 9
     questions from the Board.
10
11
                     (No comments)
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Appreciate you
14
    taking the time to testify today.
                                        Thank you.
15
16
                     MR. TOYUKAK, SR.: Thank you.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Next we call up
19
     Donald Mike. Now he's on the other side of the table.
20
    This is what it looks like after you're done with the
21
    program, kids. You come back very educated. Hi,
22
     Donald. I haven't got to say hi to you.
23
24
                     MR. MIKE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
25
    Members of the Federal Subsistence Board and especially
26
    members of the Regional Advisory Council. Your work
27
    has been recognized by our public, especially from
28
    those representing the villages. They take their time
29
    to come out and testify in front of the Federal
30
     Subsistence Board and ultimately that will make the
31
    final regulations.
32
33
                     I want to say quyana to Moses Toyukak
34
     and Kenneth Nukwak for being present to testify at the
35
     Federal Subsistence Board. Moses is an esteemed elder.
36
     I hope to be his age to be amauq. For those of you
37
     that don't know what amang is, it's a great
38
     grandparent. Anyway, quyana, Moses.
39
40
                     I'm here as a public. I support
41
     subsistence in all its forms and those that continue to
42
    practice it today, either it's elders or youth or
43
     toddlers that are picking berries for the first time.
44
     They are the ones that depend on our Regional Advisory
45
    Councils to help develop these regulations.
46
47
                     The Board and the public and the Staff
48
     already know what is WP22-40. It's just a positioning
49
     of animals. As far as the regulations the conclusion
```

at the end as presented by OSM Staff is a snowmachine may not be used to contact an animal or pursue a fleeing animal. I just want to point out to the Board and Staff that 50 CFR Part 36 in the regulations that it pertains to refuges the definition of take or taking as used with respect to fish and wildlife means to pursue, hunt, shoot, trap, not net, capture, collect, kill, harm or attempt to engage in such conduct.

So, to me, Mr. Chair, the OSM recommendation as presented and the existing regulations that I have just read sort of contradicts each other. So my suggestion would be strike out the last language in the OSM recommendation.

Finally, Mr. Chair, I just want to remind all our public and I want to acknowledge those students that came in to testify in front of the Federal Subsistence Board, we need more young folks to participate in our public process, especially subsistence regulations in Alaska.

I just want to say this as a final parting word that Congress finds and declares -- I stress and declares -- the continuation of the opportunity for subsistence uses by rural residents of Alaska. That Native, non-Native, physical, economic and traditional social existence continue.

Mr. Chair, that concludes my public testimony and I want to thank again the Councils that really make a difference in these regulations that are before you. I want to thank the public especially that come here, take the time to travel from their villages and testify on behalf of the interests of their community and their children and their children to come.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, 42 Donald. Any questions for Donald.

(No comments)

46 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Good to see 47 you, Donald. Thank you for being here as well. Next 48 we have Gayla Hoseth. Welcome, Gayla. Your plane made 49 it.

MS. HOSETH: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, and members of the Board. My name is Gayla Hoseth and I'm the second chief of Curyung Tribal Council located in Dillingham, Alaska. I'm also the Director of Natural Resources for Bristol Bay Native Association. I know most of you on the Board. There's some new faces that I haven't seen here sitting across from me here today.

That's just something that is also really difficult for us rural residents living out in Alaska is it is a revolving door. We come here and we tell you guys about our customary and traditional ways of life trying to get our customary and traditional ways of life into regulation. It makes it somewhat difficult. We've been here I think, what, four or five years now on this proposal.

So as we go through this revolving door our ways of living haven't changed. So I'm here to say please support the RAC's recommended changes. It got postponed at our last meeting. We went through the working groups. We were able to go through the working groups and have long discussions about what needed to happen.

I want to remind everybody to follow ANILCA. We were here. We were here with 50 CFR 36 with U.S. Fish and Wildlife in the past that held us up and then we had the failed vote. Then we came back. I think you guys all know the history. It's all written. That's where it gets frustrating on our end.

I was looking through the book on the airplane when I was coming here online and even though you guys have your own regulations with the agencies that you guys have to follow within your agencies, ANILCA needs to prevail. But I also wanted to put on the record with Alaska National Wildlife Refuge that we have 50 CFR 36.12. We have Alaska National Park Service with 36 CFR 13.460. BLM allows for the use of snowmachine in Unit 23. A snowmachine may be used to position caribou, wolf and wolverine for harvest provided that the animals are not shot from a moving snowmachine.

So as we go through these different regulations across different Game Management Units around the State of Alaska. We have certain rules that

as Board members come through and vote yes or no on proposals that is allowed in one Game Management Unit area and then we come -- because when this proposal was originally written it was written following the language that was written for Unit 23.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

So that's where it's challenging to us as subsistence users as it's like why is it then if we're not following ANILCA and people are voting and not knowing that we're not supposed to vote outside our own Federal agency regulations makes it very challenging for us.

12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

I guess that's all I wanted to say. So when you guys do come to the vote today please really keep that in mind. We don't want to have to come back again next year and talk about this again because we're not going to give up until this is actually recognized and passed because we've been doing this now for so long.

20 21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I want to thank everybody who has supported us across the state of Alaska. We were here with elders from around the state of Alaska I think. That was before Covid in support of this. That's what we do. We position the animal. Animals are positioned when we hunt them. That's where it gets really confusing is when we talk about positioning the hunter or positioning the animal.

29 30 31

32

33

34

35

Please support the RAC's decision that we had. We've had extensive conversations on this. A lot of confusion. A lot of clarity has been made. So that's all I have for you guys today. Thank you for allowing me to come here today. I got here as quickly as I can.

36 37 38

Thank you.

39 40

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions for Gayla.

41 42 43

MR. CHEN: Mr. Chair. BIA.

44

45 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Go ahead, 46

Glenn.

47

48 MR. CHEN: Ms. Hoseth, thank you very 49 much for taking extraordinary efforts to be here. I

know you were in D.C. and literally had to scramble to come here to testify before the Board. BIA really wants to express their appreciation for your and BBNA's longstanding, extensive efforts to help us understand the uses of snowmachines out in Bristol Bay and your support of these proposals.

Thank you very much for that.

I was wondering if it's possible for you to provide some information or more information to the Board about the use of snowmachines for hunting at Bristol Bay and how that's a tradition for people out there. If you could do that, please.

MS. HOSETH: Through the Chair. Mr. Chen. Thank you for that and thank you for recognizing. It's hard work what we do and it's hard work to be advocates for the people that we represent, especially when we're trying to feed our families. I think that Mr. Toyukak in the beginning talked about how we traditionally hunted on foot and positioned the animal. Then it went to snowshoes, then we had dog teams and then as technology has changed everybody uses snowmachines.

I hope that's helpful in answering your question. Pretty much everybody still uses snowmachines for hunting at least in the Bristol Bay Region. People still trap. People will go out trapping. I have an employee who actually goes out on his snowshoes to trap for wolverine. We have a lot of people who are hunting with the use of a snowmachine.

So thank you, Mr. Chen.

MR. CHEN: Mr. Chair, if I could do a follow up. Thank you, Mr. Chair. There's been some discussion about practices about snowmachine hunting for wolves in particular. There's been mention about subsistence hunters pursuing or running their machines at high speed until the animal is exhausted and are then subsequently taken. Could you speak about this. Does this match what you know about the users out there do for subsistence hunting for wolf with snowmachines?

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ HOSETH: Through the Chair and Mr. Chen. If I can call up Kenneth Nukwak. We talked about this because then we were talking about -- he's a

hunter. I'm like the voice that helps with getting the point across. We did talk about this. Is it okay if I could call him up? Kenneth, could you come up here, please.

Because one thing that we talked about is when it was tied to originally to the 300 yards going at 15 miles per hour. That when you're hunting a wolf and wolverine, that's just not possible. So if you can, Kenneth, explain when you are hunting for a wolf and how that is on a snowmachine at -- you know, you're going to have to go faster than 15 miles an hour because the wolf is already going to hear you coming.

MR. NUKWAK: Don't mention my name. They might hear the name out there. So the wolves once they hear my name they're off. I hardly hunt wolves, but my cousins do. I hardly hunt wolverine ever since my older brother passed away. That was close to 25, 20-some years ago.

Anyway, if I did see a wolverine or a wolf, I would try and follow that 300 yard 25 miles an hour regulation. But the wild animal once they hear a snowmachine or if they see bright clothing or bright snowmachine color they're off at a mile, mile and a half away. So that will be pretty hard to catch up to that animal we are hunting.

I hope this explanation would translate what you're asking for. Also, if I may put in. Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act of 1980. If you read into that Title VIII, Section 811, every person in this room should be reading that. That we as hunters are allowed to use a snowmachine, a boat, et cetera, et cetera, whatever can be used. If I try to run on foot compared to an animal that has four legs, I only have two alien legs to them. I hope that explains.

MS. HOSETH: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Kenneth. I just wanted to say that because that was a part of the -- when we went back to the working group discussions and we were tied to what happened with the 300 yards and the 15 miles an hour and we've had extensive discussions on that. And I just wanted to say that I mean you're going to have to go a little bit faster than the 15 miles an hour when you're hunting the wolves.

I know that that's not on the table today for the regulation, so that's out of it now, but through that working group and we're really grateful for that.

MR. NUKWAK: Through the Chair. One more. It also depends on the geography and the topography of the land. We're from Dillingham area. We live mostly around trees and mountains and hills. A lot of escape for the wolf and the wolverine. That would be their route of escape. Once they get into those trees and once they reach those mountains, once they reach the crevices and the creeks, then that is impossible to catch up to them, these animals that we're talking about and the caribou. Yummy food. My belly is calling for it.

Thank you.

MR. CHEN: Quyana to both Mr. Nukwak and Ms. Hoseth for their information. It's very helpful. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you guys

for that.

25 for 26

MR. COHN: Mr. Chair.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, one more question, Steve.} \\$

MR. COHN: This may be something that we address as we continue with the conversation, but first of all thank you very much for coming today and great to see you again and appreciate you traveling all this way to provide this testimony. I am new to the Board, so this is the first time that I have been -- I know this has come up multiple times, so I'm getting up to speed on all of the record and kind of where we are today.

One thing that will be helpful for me is -- there's a lot of information in this section. As we get towards -- start moving towards the motion and towards a vote it would just help me to be very clear on the language that is coming from the RAC as well as the language that is being proposed -- modified by OSM. It's a little hard to figure all out from the book. So I want to be very clear what the recommendations are

from the RAC and then how that relates to what's in the book and the OSM guidance.

Again, I'm new so others might have a better sense of that, but I'm still trying to figure it out.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Steve. That was more of an observation, I think. Yeah, I would also say to speak to that. I tried to shoot a wolf this year with my truck and he was doing 40 miles an hour. It looked like a fake animal. It looked like a ghost. I was going around corners and almost lost my truck off the side of the road trying to catch up to it. They are so fast. Like 35, 40 miles an hour. I was so -- I had never seen a wolf run that fast before, but he knew I wanted him. So, yeah, I don't see where 15 or even 20 miles an hour would amount to anything in my mind. So I'm just speaking to your experience. I chased one this year trying to get it and it was really impressive their speed.

MR. NUKWAK: Through the Chair. I also have a grave concern for the moose and caribou calf population. It was very low back in 2018 when Neil Barton was the biologist in Dillingham and he gave me that information right before he retired. I don't know what the number is right now on the calf population. With the calf population being rally low, when Neil Barton gave it to me in 2018 it was at 9 percent low. That's way too low.

And then for the past two or three winters in December we usually do a winter hunt in 17C, 17B and C. We have not had a December hunt. Right now we have an opportunity to hunt on 17A Togiak side, which is adjacent to Manokotak, but a little further from Dillingham, Aleknagik and further on up through the Nushagak River. They're the ones that are farthest from the 17A. I'm crying out for my neighbors, my cousins from Dillingham on up the Nushagak River. Please help them out also.

If this was passed, I would assume -- I hope it helps out, if it does pass, bring up the moose and caribou calf population back up and running. Right now for myself since I did not get my moose this past

fall I was eating -- me and my family, my kids and my grandchildren have been eating from the 2021 moose that me and my son got and it's just about out and I have to go back out on the field on 17A to put food back on the table. That would not just be me. My neighbors also. Anywhere between from Manokotak to all the way up to Koliganek.

Thank you.

Thanks for letting us testify.

MS. HOSETH: Thank you, Kenneth. That brings up a good point. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Right now currently the abundance that we do have is in 17A for our moose population. Kenneth lives in Manokotak where we have Nushagak Caribou Herd on the Nushagak Peninsula and we haven't been able to hunt that for the last couple of years.

Right now we're doing -- I'd have to ask Kenton Moos how many, but I think we're only doing very few where it's just mainly going to elders, but I believe it's less than 10. I don't have the number right off the top of my head. Of course the Mulchatna Caribou Herd we haven't been able to hunt that. That's closed and the moose population along the Nushagak River is also going down.

Therefore, when it does come time for our winter subsistence hunt in 17B and C for moose, that's usually -- sometimes it's opened and then a lot of times it's open for a few days and just very few are harvested and then we are not able to have our subsistence moose hunt in those game management unit areas.

I just wanted to bring that out as to how important it is of how our people are depending on moose and caribou. The price of meat and food right now is outrageous not only in Dillingham and the rural villages, but all over Alaska. So I just wanted to state that as well.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Thank you guys for your perspective. Appreciate it. I wish I owned the AC. Next we have Karen Linnell.

MS. LINNELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record my name is Karen Linnell and I'm speaking on my own behalf. In 2018 I was serving on the Board of Game when the positioning proposal came before the Board of Game regarding moose and caribou or regarding the caribou. Then the wolves and wolverine were also discussed at that time.

At that point that meeting was held in Dillingham and had I not been in Dillingham I wouldn't have seen the terrain and been able to see the lengths and the difficulty that they have. Where I come from we have rolling hills and things where we can get behind the hill and get around behind the caribou to position ourselves. You can't do that there. It's flat.

I went for a drive with Gayla and with Hazel Nelson at the time who was the subsistence coordinator for the Board of Game or the Department and we went and she was saying Kenneth lives over there and she was pointing and it was so flat. So flat. And Hazel said, yeah. If my dog ran away today, you could still see him running tomorrow.

(Laughter)

 MS. LINNELL: You know. So when Kenneth talks about being able to position themselves or the animal, it's those kinds of things that you're seeing. Yeah, there might be a little bit of dip and things in the snow and drift and that kind of thing, but I really would encourage that this Board start to have some of your meetings in the communities that you're discussing, you know. It's important that you get to see the countryside. Had I not been in Dillingham I'm certain that my vote would have been different on that proposal.

 And it's allowed in other BLM lands but we're not going to do it in this area? What's the difference, you know? The positioning of animals is something that has been done. There's a lot of new Board members here who haven't been to some of the rural communities in Alaska because of Covid and other things.

 $$\operatorname{If}$ you look at this and think about the proposal and the RAC recommendations and those

recommendations provided by Mr. Nukwak and Gayla Hoseth take those into consideration and start looking at the resources out there. There's no concern, biological concern at this time. It's not the traditional practice of Native people to run something down so much that it's not in any shape. It's disrespectful to the animal. If they are disrespectful to that animal, it won't come back to them.

So it's important that we take into consideration the changes in methods and means. We don't still use atlatls (spear throwing device). We survive here because we adapt and snowmachines is part of our adaptation. When there's low fish runs, which they're experiencing in the Kuskokwim and the Yukon, the wolves will turn to the caribou and the moose as well. So that has an impact.

You know, there's this balance and they're trying to be able to practice their subsistence. I want to thank Mr. Donald Mike for sharing the definition of taking. I think that's an important part to this as well because it includes all of that. So I encourage you to pass this and not defer it but take action in support of subsistence activities.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

 $\label{eq:CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Karen. Any questions from the Board, comments.}$

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Appreciate it. Next we'll call Charlie Wright.

 MR. WRIGHT: Thank you, Mr. Chair and Board. I'll take off my co-Chair hat and put on my personal one. I also grew up hunting and fishing and trapping along the Yukon River between Rampart and Tanana. My whole life I lived out on the river and we practiced the same things as our brothers and sisters in other regions. So I am in support of the Proposal WP22-40 to use a snowmachine to position for wolves and wolverine for harvest with the removal of the 15 miles per hour and the 300 yard language.

This practice is a traditional practice

now for generations. The harvest of wolves and wolverine bring money in and garments such as ruffs and mitts for locals. Continue their traditional practices, are warm and also help with the predator control with the low numbers of caribou. Not to mention the high price of gas and food out in the villages. This is important to carry on this tradition.

It's getting harder and harder to live our way of life. In a lot of our areas the meat animals are in low numbers. We really must do local predator control and hunting the wolves is a big part of that in order for the caribou numbers to sustain into the future, including moose.

Like was stated, a wolf can go over 40 miles an hour for miles. For a long time. Like an example would be the dogs can do an Iditarod, 1,000 miles. A wolf can do more than double that. He can go a long ways without stopping. They're very powerful animals.

Every hunt is different. Every situation is different completely. Sometimes you run into a wolf in a slough, a tight slough with a cut bank and you have no choice but to shoot really fast or lose that animal. Sometimes you run into one on a lake and you have to go fast to get positioned or position that animal. So every one is different. Every hunt I've ever been on that I've come to a wolf has been different. They're not all the same. You can't put them into one category or anything like that.

All traditional hunters don't believe in disrespecting any animal, birds, fish, anything like that. Anything that we eat or use for our way of life. We don't like any animals to suffer in no way at all. It's bad luck. That is handed down from generation to generation, to respect everything and all part of our life. So we're all really good stewards of the land and try to respect everything. So I just wanted to state that.

That will be the end of my testimony. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Charlie. Any questions from the Board, comments.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none. Thank you for that testimony. Operator, we have somebody online we'd like to recognize at this time. Jim Simon. You have the floor.

MR. SIMON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, Jim Simon. I'm providing personal testimony. Just short of five years ago I taught an affiliated faculty with University of Alaska Fairbanks Tribal Governance Program and almost five years ago I taught an introduction to Board of Game class in 2018 at the Dillingham Board of Game meeting that Karen Linnell mentioned previously. That's when I met Kenneth, I believe, and Moses and learned a lot from Moses in particular about the changes in the technology used for hunting.

Previously I have testified before this Board, different people same Board, about how my own doctoral dissertation research on reindeer herding and the use of snowshoes versus — and then dog teams and then snowmachines for herding and the topography of the landscape in order to position reindeer and how that use was just relying on this traditional knowledge of how the landscape and the animals move along that landscape and how you can use technology and the landscape itself in order to position animals for take.

I think it's important to -- you know, there's lots of testimony about this. I mean again, as I said almost five years ago, I have one daughter who's graduated from high school, graduated from college and has given birth to my first grandchild all during the period of this regulatory issue before you. So I certainly do not urge you to defer this again.

I encourage you to take action and recognize that of the eight customary and traditional use criteria, one dealing with methods and means, typically involves also not discounting -- and your staff might be able to help you here because it's been a long time for me. Notwithstanding technological innovations and improvements in methods and means take. I think that's what we're all talking about here and how snowmachines are recognized by ANILCA as a legal method of take.

I have not looked specifically at the Staff analysis as to how much of the testimonies that have been presented to this Board in previous occasions are summarized there, but for those of you who may have additional questions about that those may best be directed to OSM staff who can rely on those transcripts and provide that information instead of again burdening members of the public to again repeat the information we've already presented to this Board multiple times in the past.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any questions from the Board, comments.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you for your testimony today. Appreciate it. Operator, is there anybody online who would like to be recognized at this time. Now is their opportunity to be heard.

OPERATOR: Thank you. If you'd like to make a public comment, please press star, one, unmute your phone and clearly state your name for public comment introduction. Our next public comment comes from Dan Dunaway, Bristol Bay Federal Reg -- excuse me. Bristol Bay Federal Regional Advisory Committee. Dan, your line is open.

MR. DUNAWAY: Thank you. Can you hear

me?

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the

floor, Dan.

MR. DUNAWAY: Thank you very much. Wow, following a bunch of eloquent speakers here. I'm on the Bristol Bay RAC. I was wanting to speak for myself however. I support the RAC's position and the final position in the meeting minutes. A lot of the points that were brought out here by other people I support.

It's been a long time that snowmachines have been used to position wolves out here in Western Alaska and has been legal under State regulations in Game Unit 18 and I forget the other one for quite some

time. I think this proposal grew out of looking at what they're doing next door and why can't we. Also some hunters were cited and wondering why they couldn't hunt in the same manner in Unit 17 and 9.

This regulation was only put into practice or legalized what has been going on for a very long time and hunters want to be legal in what they're doing and be up front about it. It's hard to determine exact boundaries of the various lands and especially like BLM lands. Kind of a small patchwork of very small units out there. Overall it would be much better for everybody here if all Federal lands adopted this proposal.

As has been stated, the Board of Game finally adopted this essentially same regulation after several years of work as Karen and Jim and Gayla have mentioned. We have an abundant wolf population and some years we've seen as many as 50 wolves taken. It has not harmed the population. They seem to have a stable number of wolverines. They're not a super abundant animal anywhere, but there seems to be no apparent decline in them so I don't think these practices would hurt.

As others have mentioned, the Mulchatna Caribou Herd is down around 13,000 animals after seeing a peak at 250,000 a few years ago. But we haven't hunted on it for quite some time and harvesting some wolves would probably help the herds. The same for moose along the Nushagak 17B and C. The populations aren't strong and giving some relief to the calves in the spring would be very helpful.

A key point in the State regulation, and I believe we sought to include it in our final recommendation for the RAC, is that we do not want to allow people to run over an animal or contact it in any way just to be able to get within range of the animal to humanely shoot it. Trying to shoot a wolverine or a wolf at 300 yards is precision target shooting and those animals never stop moving, so they have to be allowed to get a lot closer.

I don't hunt that way. I'm too old. But I understand oftentimes it's a matter of crossing the trail of these animals and first just locating the wolverine or the pack of wolves. That may take a

while. Sometimes they keep running or they'll jump up and run. Sometimes a friend of mine managed to catch a pack sleeping and shot two wolves. He was somehow very stealthy and then managed to catch up to a third animal later.

So like Charlie was saying, each hunt is a little different. But sometimes it's just a matter of trying to keep the animal from getting into escape cover. Not necessarily running it down, but getting out ahead of it and around it.

Like Karen says, a lot of our country is wide open. We do have mountains nearby, but a lot of this country is wide open. We have some really good wolf hunters in Koliganek and New Stuyahok that get out there, but if we could pass this regulation it would be very helpful to allow a traditional practice and I hope you support it.

Thank you.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none. Appreciate your testimony. Operator, is there anybody online who would like to be recognized at this time?

OPERATOR: Our next public comment comes from Frank Woods. Your line is open.

MR. WOODS: Mr. Chair, can you hear me?

MR. WOODS: For the record my name is Frank Woods. I live in Dillingham. Supporter of the State proposal that allowed positioning for caribou and they separated it, like the previous testimony, for pursuing of wolf and wolverine. I believe it's -- if I was listening correct, Office of Subsistence Management came up with three criteria as a line modifying and separate from the caribou proposal that the Bristol Bay RAC obviously did their due diligence.

 I believe this is a common sense proposal that we align State and Federal regulations not only for convenience, but it also would mirror existing practices. If anybody has any worry about them animals getting run over, if you've ever seen a wolf, then you're really lucky. If you're ever able to have to track down and hunt the wolf or wolverine, it takes, like the previous testimony before me, a lot of work.

So it's been a long time coming. I think this is also a mental health proposal as in we don't want to continue putting the burden of dual management and kind of I would say bipolar regulation, especially in an area where we have Togiak Refuge, BLM lands, Lake Clark and Becharof and all the Federal lands adjacent to State lands.

Also I'd like to thank all the work that's gone into this and I definitely hear the support as not only a previous subsistence user but a short-term RAC and a Nushagak Advisory Chair. So, Mr. Chair, I'm supportive of Proposal 22-40 regarding positioning of wolves presented by the RAC as amended.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Frank. Anybody have questions from the Board, comments.

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none. Appreciate you taking the time to call in today. Anybody else would like to be recognized online, Operator?

 $\mbox{\sc OPERATOR:}\ \mbox{\sc I}$ have no additional public comments at this time.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: All right. Thank you. We have one more blue card here to finish up the public testimony here. We'll call on Brower. You have the floor.

MR. FRANTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'll be speaking on behalf of myself. Brower Frantz for the record. I am not from this area that is being discussed, but I am from an area that is exercising these current similar regulations and our terrain is

roughly pretty close to where the area is being discussed. I do hunt wolves and wolverines. We try to do this in the most effective way possible while following the regulations.

The way I look at it from where we are versus the language that is being discussed here, it seems like it's over complex. It's really getting into the weeds I think personally. It's really general for where we are actively already using this. I mean I wouldn't reinvent the wheel on this because it's there. It's being utilized and it's working.

By not reinventing the wheel I mean the miles per hour and the yards I would completely not even put that in there. That can be dealt with by other means. I see you have hunter ethics and outreach initiatives here, which that can be brought up in each individual location as needed if things arise. But the way we have it, if this is current what I'm looking at here, from where I am, Unit 26A, a caribou, wolf or wolverine for harvest may be shot from a stationary snowmachine and it's really general.

So getting into more regulatory stuff requiring miles per hour or distances, you're not going to have a State Trooper sitting out in the middle of nowhere with a radar gun. A lot of it would be to avoid -- we're trying to avoid criminalizing things too because this discussion, if you're over a certain miles per hour, you could be asking criminal offenses for somebody that's just trying to do their subsistence harvest.

 Also the chasing aspect of it. I think you have to differentiate the two because one of them you're going to eat. Nobody wants to eat a caribou that has adrenaline in it. So that I think differs from the predators, which are furbearing animals, so it's different. So I think, yes, you don't want to be harassing the animals, but you also want to be effective.

Also with anything else that we do you ask people to use the best available technology, whether it's oil industry or anybody else. I would reflect that and utilize it here because asking them to step away from using snowmachines would be kind of a disadvantage to what they're trying to do.

2

5

6

If you think about it, if there is a high predator number -- you know, we've seen helicopters go out and exterminate wolves before. So by letting them do this with snowmachines in an effective manner and safe manner, it might eliminate an overpopulation. So it has its pros and cons, but we are currently utilizing this and it is there.

7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

There was another unit I saw, so that was different from 26 and also what is presented here. So it looks like there are three similar instances for snowmachines, but they all have different language. If you could generalize it and then take the over-complicating stuff and use that through the outreach program and ethics, I think the areas would be able to do that.

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Consistency. Consistent with other units that are already utilizing it. It's been said a couple times already. And also safety issues. person is asked to step away from a snowmachine and hunt a wolf off of a snowmachine, away from their machine, what if their gun jams up, ices up, you know. These are animals that are there to eat other animals and they're effective at that. So asking them to do that could also bring up search and rescue issues. Keep that in mind. So the safety of the hunters and the well-being of the hunters.

28 29 30

31

32

33

34

35

I don't think I have too much more, but there are places like where I'm at in Utgiagvik that are utilizing this and it's effective. We haven't seen issues and if there are issues with running down caribou, we are very quick to let them know that that's not right and we send out memorandums for issues that come up like that.

36 37 38

39

40

So use your best judgment on this. Don't reinvent the wheel. I would info is there. support WP22-40 as a local citizen within kind of the same realm that is being discussed.

41 42 43

Thank you.

44

45 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 46

questions.

47 48

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Appreciate that testimony. I think that concludes the public testimony portion of the proposal. Tribal and Alaska Native Corporation comments.

MR. LIND: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Board Members. Orville Lind, Native Liaison for OSM. We did have one comment from the Bristol Bay Region. The representative asked about WP22-40 positioning of wolf and wolverine with the use of a snowmachine. The OSM Staff replied that it would be taken up at the fall RAC meetings and also the OSM Staff shared that the Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council will be holding a working group meeting that would be open to the public and that was to be scheduled in September.

That's all I have, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Regional Advisory Council recommendation.

MS. MORRIS LYON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Nanci Morris Lyon, Bristol Bay RAC Chair. This is deferred Proposal 22-40 and we amended it to allow the use of snowmachines to approach and pursue wolves and wolverine, but may not come in contact with a live animal to include all of Unit 17.

To specify, these regulations only apply to Federal public lands open to subsistence hunting and approach and pursuit under this paragraph is not driving, herding or molesting under legalese. Somebody had asked to see that and I hope that maybe we can get that up on the screen at some point so people can actually see what our amended language was and what our intent was.

The Board, at the request of the Council, deferred the proposal from its April 2022 meeting to allow the Council time to formulate language that would encompass the traditional harvest methods the Council was seeking. A working group met in September of 2022 to discuss the proposal further. At the Council meeting public testimony from subsistence users in the region supported the language the working group had crafted as it incorporated traditional harvest practices.

That's what I've been given to say. Ir

0226 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	addition to that I hope that you realize the hours and hours of work that have been put into this proposal by myself and many, many others behind the scenes and otherwise. This is not a thoughtlessly prepared or thrown down just to see where we could get the proposal. This is a proposal we put years in. Not just myself, many, many people. Many people in the
8 9	region.
10	We already have CFRs in place that
11	allow the use of snowmachines for subsistence use. We
12	already have historical proof that this is something
13	that we have used in our region as a subsistence method
14	for a long time. We don't want to be illegal anymore.
15	We want this to be on the books so that we can practice
16	what we have practiced and do so legally.
17	
18	Moreover we have other Federal lands
19	using these methods and means already. Why is our
20	Federal land any different? I would ask that those
21	things be considered as you go into deliberation.
22	
23	Thank you.
24	
25	CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you,
26	Nanci. Any questions, comments.
27	
28	MR CHEN. Mr Chair BIA

MR. CHEN: Mr. Chair, BIA.

29 30

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes, you have

31 the floor.

32 33

34

35

36

37

MR. CHEN: Ms. Morris Lyon, thank you very much for that. BIA would also like to extend our gratitude for all the countless hours you and your Council and the users have spent to try to craft a carefully designed regulation that meets the users needs and avoids enforcement issues and complexities.

38 39 40

Thank you.

41 42

MS. MORRIS LYON: Thank you for recognizing that and thank you for the support in the room as well. I also appreciate that very much.

44 45 46

43

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any additional questions.

47 48 49

(No comments)

```
0227
 1
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Alaska
 2
     Department of Fish and Game.
 3
 4
                     Mr. MULLIGAN: Mr. Chair, you have
 5
    Robbin waiving at you.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Is there
 8
    another RAC that has to talk to this or what?
 9
10
                     MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, this is Robbin.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Oh, there's two
13
    RACs there. I didn't see the other one.
14
15
                     MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Jack, Western
18
     Interior, are you online?
19
20
                     (No response)
21
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: It doesn't
22
23
     sound like Jack is on the line. Council Coordinator to
24
     represent a position from the Western Interior.
25
     Operator, is Jack on?
26
27
                     OPERATOR: One moment. I'm checking
28
    now. One moment. I currently don't see him connected.
29
    Hold on.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: That's fine.
32
    We're good. We have somebody here to present a
33
    position.
34
35
                     Thank you very much, Operator.
36
37
                     OPERATOR: Okay. You're welcome.
38
39
                     MS. LAVINE: Mr. Chair, this is Robbin.
     If you have one moment we'll clarify that the Council
40
41
     did not forward an official recommendation this last go
42
     round.
43
44
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
45
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Okay, thank you
46
47
    for that for the record. Thank you.
48
49
                     MS. PILCHER: My apologies. This is
50
```

```
0228
    Nissa Pilcher, Council Coordinator for the Western
     Interior Regional Advisory Council. In 2021.....
 2
 3
 4
                     MR. REAKOFF: Mr. Chairman, this is
 5
     Jack Reakoff.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Hey, Jack.
 8
     Welcome to the meeting. You saved your coordinator.
 9
10
                     MR. REAKOFF: I was blocked by the
11
               Western Interior Regional Advisory Council
     didn't get to re-deliberate this proposal in our fall
12
13
     of 2022 meeting. We reviewed the proposal in the fall
14
     of 2021. The Western Interior intention is to allow
15
     customary and traditional methods of harvesting wolf
16
     and wolverine with snowmobiles.
17
18
                     I was in Galena in 1968 when
19
     snowmobiles just got fast enough to catch up to a wolf,
20
    barely, and my dad's friend, Franklin Simon, was
21
     trapping wolves and about half of the wolves he caught
22
     in traps and snares and the other half he would catch
23
     them with a snowmobile. This has been a customary
24
     practice throughout the entire Western Interior Region
25
     for decades. Long before ANILCA.
26
27
                     Because we didn't deliberate and
28
    because Bristol Bay has spent so much time on the
29
     proposal I will share -- agree with the point where
30
    Bristol Bay is at and we'll defer to the Bristol Bay
31
    Regional Council.
32
33
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                            Thank you for
36
     taking the time, Jack, to call in. Appreciate your
37
     support. Now Alaska Department of Fish and Game.
38
39
                     MR. MULLIGAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
40
     For the record, as you've probably seen from the past
41
    when it was deferred, the Department supported
42
     alignment with State regs and that has been thoroughly
43
    vetted through public comment and through the RACs
44
     comments. That has changed. We adopted that new set
     of regs last spring. So given what everybody said and
45
46
    what we know of what the Bristol Bay RAC has
```

Thank you.

recommended, we support the proposal.

47

0229 1 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. 2 InterAgency Staff Committee. 3 4 MS. LAVINE: Thank you, Mr. Chair. The 5 InterAgency Staff Committee acknowledges and 6 appreciates the extensive efforts by the Bristol Bay 7 Subsistence Regional Advisory Council and the interagency working group to clearly define their 8 9 request as part of this proposal. The proponent and 10 residents of the region have worked hard to identify 11 and protect traditional methods of wolf and wolverine 12 harvest, based on the most economic and efficient means 13 available. 14 15 The proposed change in regulatory 16 language is an attempt to ensure that traditional 17 practices continue without putting subsistence users in 18 conflict with the law. The ISC recognizes this proposal 19 represents a long-standing issue that deserves 20 resolution. The ISC further recognizes that regulations 21 specific to each Federal agency may or may not conflict 22 with the proposed regulatory language. 23 24 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 25 26 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you. Any 27 questions from the Board for ISC. 28 29 (No comments) 30 31 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing none. 32 Board discussion with Council Chairs and State Liaison. 33 34 35 (No comments) 36 37 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I think we got a lot of conversation into this one. I'll open up the 38 39 floor for a Board motion. 40 MS. GREDIAGIN: Mr. Chair. 41 42 43 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Go ahead. 44 45 MS. GREDIAGIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 46 Lisa Grediagin, Wildlife Division Supervisor with OSM 47 for the record. I just wanted to respond to Steve's 48 earlier question about clarifying the difference 49 between the OSM recommendation and the Bristol Bay 50

Council recommendation.

One thing to keep in mind with this proposal is it was deferred, so it's a little confusing because there's the 2021 recommendation and now there's the 2022 recommendation. So I'm only going to speak to the 2022 recommendations between the Bristol Bay Council and OSM.

At the Bristol Bay Council meeting, as you guys were already briefed, they supported aligning as closely as possible with the State -- or recently adopted regulations because that's what the working group had agreed upon, but they didn't have the exact regulatory language. So the regulatory language in the Bristol Bay Council's recommendation was what was presented to them by OSM Staff during the meeting. Again, OSM Staff was just striving to meet the working group's intention of mirroring the recently adopted State recommendations.

So I'll just read the Bristol Bay Council's regulatory language into the record and then I'll speak to the OSM modification. So in Units 9B and 9C and in Unit 17 on open Federal public lands a snowmachine may be used to approach and pursue wolves and wolverines but may not come in contact with a live animal; an approach and pursuit under this paragraph is not driving, herding, or molesting under Section 26(b) (5) Subsistence taking of wildlife.

That again was the Bristol Bay Council's fall 2022 recommendation that they adopted as presented by OSM Staff. After the Council made that recommendation OSM had additional time to kind of refine and clarify that regulatory language. So there's no substantive difference in the intent or functionality of the recommendations. It's mostly just clarifying the regulatory language.

So the OSM recommendation is in Units 9B and 9C and in Unit 17 a snowmachine may be used to approach and pursue a wolf or wolverine provided the snowmachine does not contact a live animal.

Again, if there's more specific questions on some of the finer points or differences between these two recommendations, I'm happy to address those as well. I just wanted to answer Member Cohn's

0231 question on the record. 2 3 Thank you. 4 5 MR. COHN: Mr. Chair. Thank you very 6 much for clarifying that. I also want to acknowledge 7 all the work that the Bristol Bay RAC has put into this. I hope it didn't come across that I was 9 questioning all that work. I just want to be 100 10 percent clear in my own mind that the changes that have 11 been proposed from the Staff Committee that the Bristol 12 Bay RAC is comfortable with those that the same intent 13 is carrying forward and that it's basically 14 accomplishing the work that you all set out to do even 15 with modification of the language that the intent is 16 the same and you feel it meets the same intent that you 17 -- with the language you brought to the Board. That 18 was sort of where I was going with all that. I was 19 just trying to get some clarity and make sure that 20 there was consistency. 21 22 MS. MORRIS LYON: Through the Chair. 23 Yes, thank you for that, Mr. Cohn. It does. We are 24 trying to keep things simple and to keep people from 25 being illegal, so we're trying to mirror the State's 26 wording as closely as possible and it does fulfill our 27 needs. 28 29 MR. COHN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 30 31 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any further 32 Board discussion with Council Chairs, State Liaison. 33 34 (No comments) 35 36 CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: The floor is 37 open for a motion. 38 39 MS. CREACHBAUM: Mr. Chair. 40 Creachbaum, National Park Service. Mr. Chair, I move 41 to adopt Wildlife Proposal WP22-40 as modified by the 42 Office of Subsistence Management. If I get a second, 43 I'll explain why I intend to vote in support of my 44 motion. 45 46 MS. BOARIO: Fish and Wildlife Service 47 seconds. 48 49 MS. CREACHBAUM: Thank you. The 50

```
0232
    National Park Service moves to adopt WP22-40 as
    modified by the Office of Subsistence Management and in
    deference to the Bristol Bay Subsistence Regional
    Advisory Council. The NPS hears and cares about
 5
     supporting rural subsistence users to allow for and
    uphold traditional practices. The NPS trusts that the
 6
 7
    intent of the rural subsistence users and has faith in
    their commitment to the conservation of these focused
 8
 9
    practices.
10
11
                     The RAC's recommendation is certainly
12
     and truly to create opportunities for efficient hunting
13
     and to obtain a clean shot. I want to add to all the
14
    voices thanking you for your time and effort and not
15
     only time and effort but your extraordinary patience as
16
     we worked through this process.
17
18
                     Thank you, Mr. Chair.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON:
                                             Thank you. Any
21
    other Board discussion, deliberation.
22
23
                     MR. BROWER: Mr. Chair.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: You have the
26
     floor, Charlie.
27
28
                     MR. BROWER: So this is from 9B to 9C
29
     through Unit 17, is that what I'm getting at are all in
30
     one motion?
31
32
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Yes.
33
34
                     MR. BROWER: Thank you.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any other Board
37
    discussion.
38
39
                     (No comments)
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Hearing or
42
    seeing none, call for the question.
43
44
                     MR. BROWER: Ouestion.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Roll call, Sue,
47
    please.
48
49
                     MS. DETWILER: The motion on the floor
```

is to adopt as modified by OSM to support the Bristol Bay Council fall 2022 modification. Start with the maker of the motion, Sarah Creachbaum, NPS.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ CREACHBAUM: The National Park Service supports.

MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Sara Boario, Fish and Wildlife Service.

MS. BOARIO: The Fish and Wildlife Service supports WP22-40 in support of the Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council and the OSM modification. We recognize that the use of snowmachines to hunt wolves and wolverines is a traditional practice that is currently taking place.

I also, like many up here, want to acknowledge the extensive work that people in the region have done to find regulatory language that works for the hunters living a subsistence way of life. In 2020, nearly three years ago, the Board deferred a similar proposal and suggested to the Council that they work to expand the analysis to include more Federal public lands in discussion and to identify language that reduces complexity.

I wasn't a member of the Board at that time but it seems to suggest to me that the Board had confidence you and all of us could work together to find common ground and bring forward a solution.

The modified language by OSM, which is built on the interest of the Bristol Bay Council, is to pursue and approach wolf and wolverine. As we heard during testimony, pursue is part of the definition of taking.

The Service has been building trust with the people in the Bristol Bay Region and we have confidence in hunters' testimonies concerning the importance of allowing this practice. Families have been utilizing wolf and wolverine for generations. While humans change methods to adapt to modern technology, the value of and honor for these species remains the same.

There is a human/animal relationship that is part of the customary and traditional harvest

and care for each individual animal before, during and after the hunt. For subsistence hunters it remains important to be efficient, respectful of fish and wildlife resources and to not toy with that individual animal.

5 6 7

8

9

10

4

We are also confident that managers have the tools and discretion to address any future potential conservation concerns. If there are negative population trends with wolves or wolverines, there are mechanisms in place to address this.

11 12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

The Service is continually evolving as a Federal land manager in Alaska as we learn how to best harmonize laws like ANILCA and the National Wildlife Refuge Improvement Act. While we seek to balance the laws we are also looking to come into harmony on these issues as people. We learned this from our experience with finding a way to allow for subsistence fishing on the Kenai River by residents of Ninilchik where we came to harmonize these laws and to harmonize as humans. Our experience gives us trust in these relationships.

23 24 25

26

27

28

29

I believe we can find space for this and have a shared view about how our traditional and Western ways of hunting can come together. We have seen similar regulations go into effect in other units. Some including and not including Refuge lands in Alaska.

30 31 32

33

34

35

36 37

As was said in 2016 when the Board took up a similar proposal to use snowmachines in Northwest Alaska for hunting wildlife, we can do this in a better way. Currently the better way to do this is to defer to the Council and support of the traditional practice of hunters using snowmachines to pursue and approach wolf and wolverine in the Bristol Bay Region.

38 39 40

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

41 42

CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Thank you, Sara, for that.

43 44

45 MS. DETWILER: Next vote Steve Cohn, 46 BLM.

47

48 MR. COHN: Mr. Chair. BLM supports 49 WP22-40 as modified by OSM. We appreciate the careful

and deliberative process taken by the Bristol Bay Subsistence Regional Advisory Council and public working group to come up with regulatory language that would more accurately reflect this customary and traditional practice using snowmachines in their region.

We also acknowledge the extensive testimony by members of the public regarding WP22-40. BLM recognizes that the efforts by the Council and working group were designed to codify a practice that is already occurring on the landscape and that subsistence harvest of fish and wildlife is one that is based on efficiency.

BLM believes that adoption of this proposal as modified by OSM is consistent with the intent of the recommendation of the Bristol Bay Subsistence Regional Advisory Council. Again, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to the Bristol Bay RAC and the residents in the region who have dedicated many years to this effort and who have traveled here today to testify in person.

Thank you.

MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Glenn Chen,

BIA.

MR. CHEN: Well, with much enthusiasm the BIA votes yes to support the motion which includes the Bristol Bay RAC's recommendation along with OSM modifications. Kudos to all the folks that have been extensively involved for the number of years and months to put this together and come up with a solution to meet the needs of wolf hunters out in Bristol Bay.

Thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ DETWILER: Thank you. Dave Schmid, Forest Service.

MR. SCHMID: The Forest Service also supports Proposal WP22-40 as recommended by Bristol Bay Regional Advisory Council and modified by OSM.

I'd also like to extend on behalf of the Forest Service our appreciation for what was an extensive effort here by the RAC and the public working

```
0236
    group to come together. I know it's been a tremendous
    effort and you can see the results here today with the
 3
    Board.
 4
 5
                     Thank you.
 6
 7
                     MS. DETWILER: Public Member Rhonda
 8
    Pitka.
 9
10
                     MS. PITKA: Yes, I vote to oppose
11
    WP22-40 to adopt as modified by OSM to support the
12
    Bristol Bay Council's fall 2020 modification. For all
13
    of those reasons articulated today by the Bristol Bay
14
    Council, I appreciate that very much. That was a lot
15
    of work to come to a compromise and I appreciate all
16
     the testimony and support today.
17
18
                     Thank you all very much.
19
20
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. Public
21
    Member Charlie Brower.
22
23
                     MR. BROWER: I support WP22-40 as
24
    modified by OSM and by Bristol Bay Subsistence Advisory
25
    Council. Also thank everyone for all their hard work.
26
     I was almost out there dreaming to go wolf hunting.
27
28
                     Thank you.
29
30
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you.
                                               Finally
31
    Chair Christianson.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: I support for
34
    all the reasons stated here. I think you guys did a
    good job articulating. Thanking everybody and all the
    effort that went into it. Just for me as a Federal
36
37
    Board Member I'm thankful to get this behind us and
38
    look forward to the next big challenge on the Board.
39
    Amen.
40
41
                     (Laughter)
42
43
                     MS. DETWILER: Thank you. The motion
44
    passes unanimously.
45
46
                     (Applause)
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Well, that was
49
    perfectly timed. It was a wonderful day. It's good to
50
```

```
0237
 1 end with a clap, a smile. Have a good evening. We'll
    see you tomorrow at 9:00. Recessed.
 3
 4
                     (Off record)
 5
6
                 (PROCEEDINGS TO BE CONTINUED)
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0238	
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
4)ss.
5	STATE OF ALASKA)
6	
7	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the
8	state of Alaska and reporter of Computer Matrix Court
9	Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
10	
11	THAT the foregoing, contain a full, true and
12	correct Transcript of the FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE BOARD
13	MEETING taken electronically by our firm on the 1st day
14	of February 2023;
15	
16 17	THAT the transcript is a true and correct
18	transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced to print
19	to the best of our knowledge and ability;
20	to the best of our knowledge and ability,
21	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party
22	interested in any way in this action.
23	
24	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 10th day of
25	February 2023.
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	Salena A. Hile
31	Notary Public, State of Alaska
32	My Commission Expires: 09/16/26
33 34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49 50	
50	