

POLICY ON NONRURAL DETERMINATIONS

FEDERAL SUBSISTENCE BOARD

Adopted January 11, 2017

Revised August 15, 2019

Revised August 4, 2020

PURPOSE

This policy clarifies the internal management of the Federal Subsistence Board (Board) and provides transparency to the public regarding the process of making or rescinding nonrural determinations of communities or areas for the purpose of identifying rural residents who may harvest fish and wildlife for subsistence uses on Federal public lands in Alaska. This policy is intended to clarify existing practices under the current statute and regulations. It does not create any right or benefit enforceable at law or in equity, against the United States, its agencies, officers, or employees, or any other person.

INTRODUCTION

Title VIII of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (ANILCA) declares that,

the continuation of the opportunity for subsistence uses by rural residents of Alaska, including both Natives and non-Natives, on the public lands and by Alaska Natives on Native lands is essential to Native physical, economic, traditional, and cultural existence and to non-Native physical, economic, traditional, and social existence; the situation in Alaska is unique in that, in most cases, no practical alternative means are available to replace the food supplies and other items gathered from fish and wildlife which supply rural residents dependent on subsistence uses” (ANILCA Section 801).

Rural status provides the foundation for the subsistence priority on Federal public lands to help ensure the continuation of the subsistence way of life in Alaska. Prior to 2015, the Board determined rural status based on specific criteria set forth in Subpart B of the Federal subsistence regulations.

This approach was revised after a lengthy process that commenced in October 2009, when the Secretary of the Interior, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Agriculture, directed the Board to review the process for rural determinations. On December 31, 2012, the Board initiated a public review of the rural determination process. That public process lasted nearly a year, producing 278 comments from individuals, 137 comments from members of Regional Advisory Councils (Councils), 37 comments from Alaska Native entities, and 25 comments from other entities (e.g., city and borough governments). Additionally, the Board engaged in government-to-government consultation with tribes and consultation with Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (ANCSA) corporations. In general, the comments received indicated a broad dissatisfaction with the rural determination process. Among other comments, respondents indicated the aggregation criteria were perceived as arbitrary, the population thresholds were seen as inadequate to capture the reality of rural Alaska, and the decennial review was widely viewed to be unnecessary.

Based on this information, the Board held a public meeting on April 17, 2014 and decided to recommend a simplification of the process to the Secretaries of the Interior and Agriculture (Secretaries) to address rural status in the Federal Subsistence Management Program. The Board's recommended simplified process would eliminate the rural determination criteria from regulation and allows the Board to determine which areas or communities are nonrural in Alaska. All other communities or areas would, therefore, be considered "rural" in relation to the Federal subsistence priority in Alaska.

The Secretaries accepted the Board recommendation and published a Final Rule on November 4, 2015, revising the regulations governing the rural determination process for the Federal Subsistence Management Program in Alaska. The Secretaries removed specific rural determination guidelines and criteria, including requirements regarding population data, the aggregation of communities, and a decennial review. The final rule allowed the Board to make nonrural determinations using a comprehensive approach that may consider such factors as population size and density, economic indicators, military presence, industrial facilities, use of fish and wildlife, degree of remoteness and isolation, and any other relevant material, including information provided by the public.

By using a comprehensive approach and not relying on set guidelines and criteria, this new process will enable the Board to be more flexible in making decisions that take into account regional differences found throughout the State. This will also allow for greater input from the Councils, Federally recognized tribes of Alaska, Alaska Native Corporations, and the public in making nonrural determinations by incorporating the nonrural determination process into the subsistence regulatory schedule which has established comment periods and will allow for multiple opportunities for input. Simultaneously with the Final Rule, the Board published a Direct Final Rule (80 FR 68245; Nov. 4, 2015) (**Appendix B**) establishing the list of nonrural communities, i.e. those communities not subject to the Federal subsistence priority on Federal public lands, based on the list that predated the 2007 Final Rule (72 FR 25688; May 7, 2007).

As of November 4, 2015, the Board determined in accordance with 36 CFR 242.15 and 43 CFR 51.15 that the following communities or Census-designated Places (CDPs)¹ are nonrural: Fairbanks North Star Borough; Homer area – including Homer, Anchor Point, Kachemak City, and Fritz Creek; Juneau area – including Juneau, West Juneau, and Douglas; Kenai area – including Kenai, Soldotna, Sterling, Nikiski, Salamatof, Kalifornsky, Kasilof, and Clam Gulch; Ketchikan area – including Ketchikan City, Clover Pass, North Tongass Highway, Ketchikan East, Mountain Point, Herring Cove, Saxman East, Pennock Island, and parts of Gravina Island; Municipality of Anchorage; Seward area – including Seward and Moose Pass; Valdez; and Wasilla/Palmer area – including Wasilla, Palmer, Sutton, Big Lake, Houston, and Bodenbergs Butte (36 CFR 242.23 and 43 CFR 51.23). All other communities and areas in Alaska are, therefore, rural.

¹ Census Designated Place (CDP) is defined by the Federal Census Bureau as the statistical counterpart of incorporated places, delineated to provide data for settled concentrations of populations identifiable by name but not legally incorporated under the laws of the state in which they are located. CDPs are delineated cooperatively by state and local officials and the Census Bureau, following Census Bureau guidelines.

BOARD AUTHORITIES

- ANILCA 16 U.S.C. 3101, 3126.
- Administrative Procedures Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. 551-559
- 36 CFR 242.15; 43 CFR 51.15
- 36 CFR 242.18(a); 43 CFR 51.18(a)
- 36 CFR 242.23; 43 CFR 51.23

POLICY

In accordance with the Administrative Procedures Act (APA), Federal rulemaking undertaken by the Federal Subsistence Management Program requires that any individual, organization, or community be given the opportunity to submit proposals to change Federal regulations. The Board will only address changes to the rural or nonrural status of communities or areas when requested in a proposal. This policy describes the Board's administrative process for addressing proposals to change the rural or nonrural status of a community or area by outlining proposal requirements and submission, identifying a process schedule and general process timeline, and outlining Board decision making when acting on such proposals.

SECTION A: Submitting a Proposal

Proponents must submit a written proposal in accordance with the guidance provided in the same Federal Register notice that includes a call for proposals to revise subsistence taking of fish and shellfish regulations and nonrural determinations. This notice is published in even-numbered years. Proposals to revise nonrural determinations will be accepted every other fish and shellfish regulatory cycle, starting in 2018.

SECTION B: Requirements for Proposals

Making a Nonrural Determination

Proposals can be submitted to the Board to make a nonrural determination for a community or area. It is the proponent's responsibility to provide the Board with substantive narrative evidence to support their rationale of why the proposed nonrural determination should be considered. Proposals seeking a nonrural determination must also include the basic requirements and meet the threshold requirements outlined below.

Basic Requirements

All proposals must contain the following information:

- Full name and mailing address of the proponent;
- A statement describing the proposed nonrural determination action requested;
- A detailed description of the community or area under consideration, including any current boundaries, borders, or distinguishing landmarks, so as to identify which Alaska residents would be affected by the change in nonrural status;
- Rationale and supporting evidence (population size and density, economic indicators, military presence, industrial facilities, use of fish and wildlife, degree of remoteness and isolation, and any other relevant material) for the Board to consider in determining the nonrural status of a community or area;

- A detailed statement of the facts that illustrate that the community or area is nonrural using the rationale and supporting evidence stated above; and
- Any additional information supporting the proposed change.

Threshold Requirements

In addition to the basic requirements outlined above, the following threshold requirements apply. The Board shall only accept a proposal to designate a community or area as nonrural, if the Board determines the proposal meets the following threshold requirements:

- The proposal provides new or different relevant information than was used by the Board in its most recent decision about the nonrural status of the individual community or area;
- The proposal provides substantive rationale for the nonrural character of a community or area that takes into consideration the unique qualities of the region; and
- The proposal provides evidence supporting the proponent’s rationale that a community or area is nonrural.

The Board shall determine whether or not the proposal satisfies the threshold requirements outlined above after considering the recommendation(s) from the affected Regional Advisory Council(s). If the Board determines the proposal does not satisfy the threshold requirements, the proponent will be notified in writing. If it is determined the proposal does meet the threshold, it shall be considered in accordance with the process schedule and timeline set forth below.

Limitation on Submission of Proposals Seeking Nonrural Determinations

The Board is aware of the burden placed on rural communities and areas in defending their rural status. If the rural status of a community or area is maintained after a proposal to change its status to nonrural is rejected, then no proposals to change the rural status of that community or area shall be accepted until the next proposal cycle. If a new proposal is submitted during a subsequent proposal cycle, then the proposal must set forth a demonstrated change that was not previously considered by the Board. Additionally, the following considerations apply to resubmitting proposals to change a community’s status from rural to nonrural:

- Whether or not there has been a “demonstrated change” to the rural identity of a community or area is the burden of the proponent to illustrate by a preponderance of the evidence;
- Many characteristics, individually or in combination, may constitute a “demonstrated change” including, but not limited to, changes in population size and density, economic indicators, military presence, industrial facilities, use of fish and wildlife, or degree of remoteness and isolation; and
- The Board’s most recent decision on the nonrural status of a community or area will be the baseline for any future proposals for that community or area, thus, a “demonstrated change”, as referred to in this portion of the process, must have taken place after the Board’s most recent decision.

Rescinding a Nonrural Determination

For proposals seeking to have the Board rescind a nonrural determination, it is the proponent's responsibility to provide the Board with substantive narrative evidence to support their rationale of why the nonrural determination should be rescinded. Proposals seeking to have the Board rescind a nonrural determination must also include the basic requirements and meet the threshold requirements outlined below.

Basic Requirements

All proposals must contain the following information:

- Full name and mailing address of the proponent;
- A statement describing the proposed nonrural determination action requested;
- A description of the community or area considered as nonrural, including any current boundaries, borders, or distinguishing landmarks, so as to identify what Alaska residents would be affected by the change in rural status;
- Rationale and supporting evidence (law, policy, factors, or guidance) for the Board to consider in determining the nonrural status of a community or area;
- A detailed statement of the facts that illustrate that the community or area is rural using the rationale stated above; and
- Any additional information supporting the proposed change.

Threshold Requirements

In addition to the baseline information outlined above, the following threshold requirements apply. The Board shall only accept a proposal to rescind a nonrural determination, if the Board determines the proposal meets the following threshold requirements:

- The proposal provides new or different relevant information than was used by the Board in its most recent decision about the nonrural status of the individual community or area;
- The proposal provides substantive rationale for the rural character of a community or area that takes into consideration the unique qualities of the region; and
- The proposal provides evidence supporting the proponent's rationale that a community or area is rural instead of nonrural.

The Board shall determine whether or not the proposal satisfies the threshold requirements outlined above after considering the recommendation(s) from the affected Regional Advisory Council(s). If the Board determines the proposal does not satisfy the threshold requirements, the proponent will be notified in writing. If it is determined the proposal does meet the threshold, it shall be considered in accordance with the process schedule and timeline set forth below.

SECTION C: Decision Making

The Board will make or rescind nonrural determinations using a comprehensive approach that may consider such factors as population size and density, economic indicators, military presence, industrial facilities, use of fish and wildlife, degree of remoteness and isolation, and any other relevant material including information provided by the public. As part of its decision-making process, the Board may

compare information from other, similarly-situated communities or areas if limited information exists for a certain community or area.

When acting on proposals to change the nonrural status of a community or area, the Board shall:

- Proceed on a case-by-case basis to address each proposal regarding nonrural determinations;
- Base its decision on nonrural status for a community or area on information of a reasonable and defensible nature contained within the administrative record;
- Make or rescind nonrural determinations based on a comprehensive application of evidence and considerations presented in the proposal that have been verified by the Board as accurate;
- Rely heavily on the recommendations from the affected Regional Advisory Council(s);
- Consider comments from government-to-government consultation with affected tribes;
- Consider comments from the public;
- Consider comments from the State of Alaska;
- Consider comments from consultation with affected ANCSA corporations;
- Have the discretion to modify the geographical extent of the area relevant to the nonrural determination; and
- Implement a final decision on a nonrural determination in compliance with the APA.

Regional Advisory Council Recommendations

The Board intends to rely heavily on the recommendations of the Councils and recognizes that Council input will be critical in addressing regional differences in the nonrural determination process. The Board will look to the Regional Advisory Councils for confirmation that any relevant information brought forth during the nonrural determination process accurately describes the unique characteristics of the affected community or region.

SECTION D: Process Schedule

As authorized in 36 CFR 242.18(a) and 43 CFR 51.18(a), “The Board may establish a rotating schedule for accepting proposals on various sections of subpart C or D regulations over a period of years.” To ensure meaningful input from the Councils and allow opportunities for tribal and ANCSA corporation consultation and public comment, the Board will only accept nonrural determination proposals every other fish and shellfish regulatory cycle. If accepted, the proposal will be deliberated during the regulatory Board meeting in the next fisheries regulatory cycle. This schedule creates a three-year period for proposal submission, review, analysis, Regional Advisory Council input, tribal and ANCSA corporation consultation, public comment, and Board deliberation and decision.

SECTION E: General Process Timeline

Outlined in Table 1 and Table 2

Adopted by the Federal Subsistence Board January 11, 2017.

Revised by the Federal Subsistence Board August 15, 2019.

Revised by the Federal Subsistence Board August 4, 2020.

Table 1. General Process Timeline

<p>1. January to March (Even Year) – A proposed rule is published in the Federal Register with the call for proposals to revise subsistence taking of fish and shellfish regulations and nonrural determinations.</p>
<p>2. April to July (Even Year) – Staff will verify that proposals include the basic requirements and can be legally addressed by the Federal Subsistence Program. If the proposal is incomplete or cannot be addressed by the Federal Subsistence Program, the proponent will be notified in writing. Additionally for verified proposals, tribal consultation and ANCSA corporation consultation opportunities will be provided during this time.</p>
<p>3. August to November (Even Year) – Staff will conduct a threshold assessment for verified proposals. Affected Regional Advisory Council(s) reviews the verified proposals and provides comments for the Board. The Council comments may include: relevant regional characteristics; and if, in the Council’s opinion, the proposal meets the threshold requirements with justification. This action shall occur at the affected Council’s fall meeting on the record.</p>
<p>4. November to December (Even Year) – The Interagency Staff Committee (ISC) shall provide comments on each verified proposal. Staff shall organize nonrural determination proposal presentations that include the original proposal, the Council preliminary recommendation, tribal and ANCSA consultation comments, and the ISC comments.</p>
<p>5. January (Odd Year) – At the Board’s public meeting, Staff will present the proposals, and the Board will determine if the threshold requirements have been met. If the Board determines the proposal does not satisfy the threshold requirements, the proponent will be notified in writing. If it is determined the proposal does meet the threshold requirements, the Board will direct staff to prepare a full analysis according to established guidelines and address the proposal in accordance with the process schedule and timeline set forth below.</p>
<p>6. February (Odd Year) to July (Even Year) (18 months) – For proposals determined to satisfy the threshold requirements, the Board will conduct public hearings in the communities that may be affected should the proposal be adopted by the Board. OSM staff will also confer with affected Regional Advisory Council(s) about unique regional characteristics that should be considered in the analysis of the proposal and the suggested public hearing schedule. During this time period, independent of the fall Council meetings, interested tribes may request formal government-to-government consultation and ANCSA corporations may also request consultation on the nonrural determination proposals.</p>
<p>7. August to November (Even Year) –The Council(s) shall provide recommendations at their fall meetings and the ISC shall provide comments on the draft nonrural determination analyses.</p>
<p>8. November to December (Even Year) – Staff incorporates Council recommendations and ISC comments into the draft nonrural determination analyses for the Board.</p>
<p>9. January (Odd Year) – At the Board’s Fisheries Regulatory meeting, staff present the nonrural determination analyses to the Board. The Board adopts, adopts with modification, or rejects the proposals regarding nonrural determinations.</p>

Table 2. General Process Timeline Comparison with other Cycles

<i>Wildlife & FRMP Cycle</i>	<i>Fishery Cycle</i>	Dates	Board or Activity	<i>Proposed Nonrural Determination Cycle</i>		
		<i>Council Cycle</i>			<i>Even Years</i>	
	<i>Fishery Review Cycle</i>	<i>January</i>	<i>Board FRMP Work Session</i>	1		
		<i>February March</i>	<i>Fishery Proposed Rule Jan- Mar</i>			
		<i>April July</i>	<i>Board Meeting</i>	2		
		<i>August September October November</i>	<i>Fishery Proposal Review</i>	3		
		<i>December</i>		4		
		<i>January</i>	<i>Board Meeting</i>	5		
	<i>Wildlife & FRMP Review Cycle</i>		<i>February March</i>	<i>Wildlife Proposed Rule Jan - Mar</i>	6	Odd to Even Years (18 months) - Public Hearings, government-government consultation with the tribes, ANCSA Corporation Consultation, and writing of Nonrural Determination Analyses for proposals that meet the threshold requirements as determined by the Board
			<i>April July</i>			
			<i>August September October November</i>	<i>Wildlife Proposal & FRMP Project Review</i>		
		<i>Fishery Review Cycle</i>	<i>December January</i>	<i>Board FRMP Work Session</i>		
			<i>February March</i>	<i>Fishery Proposed Rule Jan- Mar</i>		
			<i>April July</i>	<i>Board Meeting</i>		
	<i>Fishery Review Cycle</i>	<i>August September October November</i>	<i>Fishery Proposal Review</i>	7		
		<i>December</i>		8		
		<i>January</i>	<i>Board Meeting</i>	9		