

## United States Department of the Interior

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY 1849 C Street N.W. Washington, DC 20240

September 25, 2025

## Memorandum

To:

**Acting Solicitor** 

Assistant Secretaries

Bureau and Office Heads

Acting Chair, National Indian Gaming Commission

From:

Deputy Secretary

Subject:

Withdrawal of Solicitor Opinion M-37079, "Partial Withdrawal of Solicitor's

Opinion M-36975, 'Governmental Jurisdiction of Alaska Native Villages Over Land and Nonmembers,' and Clarification of Jurisdiction Over Alaska Native

Allotments"

On February 1, 2024, former Solicitor Robert Anderson partially withdrew Solicitor Opinion M-36975, "Governmental Jurisdiction of Alaska Native Villages Over Land and Nonmembers" ("M-Opinion 36975"), and issued M-37079 in place of the withdrawn portions addressing the existence and extent of tribal jurisdiction over Native allotments in Alaska. M-Opinion 36975 was published in 1993. It was the result of a years-long effort to develop the legal position of the United States on the nature and scope of federally recognized tribes' governmental powers over lands in Alaska, following passage of the *Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act* in 1971. M-Opinion 36975 controlled the position of the Department for over thirty years, and its conclusions have been confirmed by multiple courts, including the Supreme Court of the United States. In contrast, Solicitor Opinion M-37079 takes a very different approach and is contrary to law and judicial precedent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pub. L. No. 92-203, 85 Stat. 688 (1971).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Alaska v. Native Village of Venetie Tribal Government, 522 U.S. 520 (1998); Confederated Tribes of the Chehalis Rsrv. v. Mnuchin, 976 F.3d 15, 18, 26 (D.C. Cir. 2020), rev'd on other grounds, 594 U.S. 338 (2021); Alaska ex rel. Yukon Flats Sch. Dist. v. Native Village of Venetie Tribal Gov't, 101 F.3d 1286, 1303 (Fernandez, J., concurring), rev'd on other grounds, 522 U.S. 520 (1998); Native Village of Eklutna v. U.S. Dep't of the Interior, 2021 WL 4306110, at \*4 (D.D.C. September 22, 2021); Native Village of Venetie I.R.A. Council v. Alaska, 1994 WL 730893, at \*12 (D. Alaska Dec. 23, 1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In a district court order issued a few months after publication of M-37079, the district judge unfavorably commented on M-37079, stating that she was "trouble[ed]" by the Department's "abrupt change of position" and its "conclusion that a still-valid district court opinion holding that Alaska Native allotments lack tribal territorial jurisdiction is wrong..." *Alaska v. Newland*, 2024 WL 3178000, at \*9 n.95 (D. Alaska June 26, 2024); *see also Native Village of Eklutna*, 2021 WL 430611, at \*4 ("[M-Opinion 36975] was valid in the first instance and remains so today.").

On February 28, 2025, Gregory Zerzan, Senior Advisor exercising the delegated authority of the Solicitor, suspended M-37079, along with other M-Opinions by the prior administration, until such time as M-37079 could be reviewed and a determination made as to whether it reflected the best interpretation of applicable law and should be reinstated, modified, or revoked. In concert with the Office of the Solicitor, I have reviewed M-37079 and it has been determined that it does not reflect the best interpretation of applicable law.

Accordingly, Solicitor Opinion M-37079 is hereby overruled, and M-Opinion 36975 is hereby reinstated in its entirety, based on my authority in the Departmental Manual to overrule or modify M-Opinions. 209 DM 3.2 A. (11) ("[M]-Opinions [...] may be overruled or modified only by the Solicitor, the Deputy Secretary, or the Secretary."). All relevant Department bureaus and offices are instructed to treat M-Opinion 36975 as binding and authoritative. In addition, any Department action, including any action by the National Indian Gaming Commission, taken in reliance on Solicitor Opinion M-37079, should be reevaluated in accordance with this revocation.

cc: Secretary