0001	
1	SOUTHCENTRAL SUBSISTENCE
2	
3	REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL
4	
5	PUBLIC MEETING
6	
7	
8	DENA'INA CIVIC AND CONVENTION CENTER
9	Anchorage, Alaska
10	March 8, 2024
11	
12	
13	
14	COLINGEL MEMBERS PRESENT
15	COUNCIL MEMBERS PRESENT:
16 17	Cross Engalouski Chairman
18	Greg Encelewski, Chairman Judy Caminer
19	Ed Holsten
20	Heath Kocan
21	Andrew McLaughlin
22	Michael Opheim
23	Hope Roberts
24	Diane Selanoff
25	Dennis Zadra
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	Regional Council Coordinator, Nissa Pilcher
32	
33	
34	
35	December 1 and 1 and 1
	Recorded and transcribed by:
37	Computer Matrix Court Departure IIC
38 39	Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC 329 F Street, Suite 222
40	Anchorage, AK 99501
41	907-227-5312/sahile@gci.net
42	Jor ZZr JJ1Z/ Sammeegen. Het
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	

PROCEEDINGS (Anchorage, Alaska - 3/8/2024) (On record) CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Good morning, everyone. Is everyone ready to go? We're missing one Council member, but he'll stray in here somewhere. We've got an all-points bulletin out for him, so we'll see if we can find him. Before we come to order invocation. We don't have Gloria anymore. Is there anyone that would like to lead us in an invocation this morning? Okay, I'll do it. (Invocation) CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. I've officially called the meeting to order. I think it's 11 after. Housekeeping announcement. MS. PILCHER: Good morning, everyone. This is the Southcentral Subsistence Regional Advisory Council meeting. My name is Nissa Pilcher. I am the Council Coordinator for this Council and the designated Federal officer for this meeting. I have a few housekeeping announcements to make before we get started. I'm going to skip a whole bunch of it because we went over it on the very first day. I want to update current membership. There are three vacancies between resignations and the retirement of Gloria that went unfilled. So if anyone knows anybody that is interested in the Council. I have been attempting to get the word out as much as I can while arranging this meeting. Any help would be very appreciated. Let's put it that way. For those wanting to address the Council on non-agenda topics there will be an

Council on non-agenda topics there will be an opportunity for this later today after the Council gets to their action items. At that time the Chair will announce this opportunity and call on individuals who wish to address the Council. In order to do that the people in the room please fill out a blue card in the back of the room. If you are on the phone, you can press *5 to raise your hand and then we can call on you from there.

```
1
                     That is it for my shortened version of
 2
     housekeeping.
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Very good.
 5
     Thank you, Nissa. Welcome, everyone. We're going to
 6
     do introductions. We have the Council up here. We
 7
     could maybe quickly go through the group here. It
     doesn't matter where we start. Just go ahead and
 8
     announced yourself. Tell us about you a little bit and
 9
10
     then we've got some online, I think.
11
12
                     MR. PICHE: Good morning. Matt Piche,
13
     fish biologist, Native Village of Eyak in Cordova.
14
15
                     MR. RISDAHL: Good morning, Mr.
16
     Chairman. Members of the Council.
                                        I'm Greg Risdahl,
17
     the subsistence program leader for the Forest Service
18
     and Interagency Staff Committee member. Happy to be
19
     there. Thank you.
20
21
                     MS. PILCHER: If everybody could one at
22
     a time come up to the mic. We've got to get you on the
23
     record and unfortunately that means you've got to come
24
     up to the mic.
25
26
                                Good morning, everyone. My
                     MS. COHEN:
27
     name is Amber Cohen from Wrangell-St. Elias and I'm the
28
     cultural anthropologist. Nice to see you all.
29
30
                     MR. GATES: Good morning. Ken Gates.
31
     I'm the in-season manager for Cook Inlet and I'm based
32
     out of Soldotna.
33
34
                     MS. KETRON: Good morning.
35
    Caroline Ketron.
                      I'm the anthropologist and
     subsistence coordinator for the Glennallen Field Office
36
37
     and I'm a Federally qualified subsistence user in
38
     Gakona for about 10 years now.
39
40
                     MR. SARAFIN: Good morning. I'm Dave
41
     Sarafin, fisheries management biologist at Wrangell-St.
42
     Elias National Park and Preserve. I've been a resident
43
     of Tazlina since '99.
44
45
                     MS. CRAVER: Good morning. Amy Craver
46
     with Denali National Park and Preserve.
                                             I'm the
47
     subsistence coordinator and cultural anthropologist and
48
     grew up in Talkeetna.
```

```
0004
 1
                     MS. FLORES: Good morning. I'm
 2
    Victoria Flores. I'm from Ninilchik and I'm a
    subsistence program analyst/cultural anthropologist at
 4
    the National Park Service.
 5
 6
                     MR. AYERS: Good morning, Mr. Chair and
 7
    members of the Council. My name is Scott Ayers. I'm
    the Acting Deputy Assistant Regional Director for the
 9
     Office of Subsistence Management and I'm here to help
10
     out today. So I hope you have a great meeting.
11
     Thanks.
12
13
                     MR. SANDERS: Good morning, members of
14
                  Andrew Sanders. I'm the subsistence
    the Council.
15
    program manager for the Chugach National Forest. Thank
16
    you.
17
18
                     MS. KEATING: Good morning, Council
19
    members. It looks like I snuck in just in time. I'm
20
    Jackie Keating with the Division of Subsistence at Fish
21
    and Game. Great to see everybody. I'm going to kind
22
    of be bouncing back and forth between this and Kodiak,
23
    but really good to see all of you.
24
25
                     MR. CASIPIT: Good morning, Mr.
26
    Chairman and Council. My name is Calvin Casipit. I'm
27
     a member of the Southeast Regional Advisory Council
28
    representing Gustavus.
29
30
                     MS. NEEDHAM: Good morning, everyone.
31
    My name is Cathy Needham. I'm Vice-Chair for the
32
     Southeast Alaska Regional Advisory Council.
33
34
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Did we
35
    miss anyone?
36
37
                     (No response)
38
39
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. For the
     record, this is Nissa Pilcher. Could we have those
40
41
     that have joined us on the phone. Let us know who you
42
          There's only a handful, so I'm not going to go
43
     down the list like I normally do. It is *6 to unmute.
44
45
                     (Pause)
46
47
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. We don't seem
48
     to be hearing anybody trying to introduce themselves,
49
     so we're going to move on to number three, establish
```

```
0005
 1
     quorum. All right. Edward Holsten.
 2
 3
                     MR. HOLSTEN: Yeah, I'm Ed Holsten
 4
     representing the Cooper Landing area.
 5
 6
                     MS. PILCHER: I had trouble with your
 7
     guys's last names at the last meeting and now I've
 8
     hyped myself into a sense of nervousness about it, so
 9
     I'm probably going to mess them all up again. So my
10
     apologies.
11
12
                     MS. PILCHER: Michael Opheim.
13
14
                     MR. OPHEIM: Opheim.
                                           Here.
15
16
                     MS. PILCHER: Greg Encelewski.
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I am here.
19
20
                     MS. PILCHER: Diane Selanoff.
21
22
                     MS. SELANOFF: Here.
23
24
                     MS. PILCHER: Dennis Zadra.
25
26
                     MR. ZADRA: I'm here representing
27
     Cordova.
28
29
                     MS. PILCHER: Angela Totemoff. She has
30
     an excused absence. She's got some family stuff going
31
     on.
32
33
                     MS. PILCHER: Andrew McLaughlin.
34
35
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Here.
36
37
                     MS. PILCHER: Hope Roberts.
38
39
                     MS. ROBERTS: Here.
40
41
                     MS. PILCHER: Heath Kocan.
42
43
                     MR. KOCAN: Here.
44
45
                     MS. PILCHER: Judith Caminer.
46
47
                     MS. CAMINER: Caminer. Here.
48
49
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. With 9 of 10
50
```

```
0006
    members present we have a quorum.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Nissa.
 4
    Alrighty. We're ready to roll. We established a
 5
     quorum. We did welcomes, introductions.
 6
     someone walked in the room here late, but they could
 7
    maybe introduce themselves. I'll open it up for review
     and adoption of our agenda.
 9
10
                     MR. OPHEIM: I'll make that motion to
11
     adopt the agenda.
12
13
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                           Thank you,
14
    Michael.
15
16
                     MS. SELANOFF: I'll second -- this is
17
     Diane. I'll second that motion....
18
19
                     MR. HOLSTEN: Second.
20
                     MS. SELANOFF: ....to adopt the
21
22
     agenda.
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: We've got a
25
     second from Diane and Ed, so whatever the record shows.
26
     That's good. Any objections to the agenda? Any
27
     additions?
28
29
                     (No comments)
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none.
32
33
                     MS. PILCHER: Just to clarify we do
34
    have a catchall under Item 11(a) Follow up on Joint
    Council action items. There was a couple letters that
     I will bring up when we get to there that were either
36
37
     drafted or half-drafted over the course of the last
     week. You guys can choose to sign on or not sign on
38
39
     accordingly. Some of them are in better shape than
40
     others. So we can go over that at that time as well as
41
     the proposed rule if you wanted to talk about that one
42
     as well.
43
44
                     Just for the record, that was Chris
45
    McKee from BLM that walked in, although I don't know
46
    the gentleman that just walked in.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay.
49
     a motion. We've got a second. She pointed out some
```

```
0007
     follow-up on Council items that doesn't change the
     agenda. Is there anything else?
 2
 3
 4
                     (No comments)
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none.
 7
    All in favor of the agenda as presented aye.
 8
 9
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN CHRISTIANSON: Any opposed?
12
13
                     (No opposing votes)
14
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. We will
15
    follow the agenda. Just a comment, just so we know, we
16
     are on a very tight time schedule here. We've got a
17
18
    lot of stuff going on, but we do have to vacate the
19
    room at noon. They're going to set up for the next
20
    group that's coming in here. So we don't have a whole
21
    lot of time, but we'll highlight and we'll do the major
22
    things we need to take care of.
23
24
                     Do you want to introduce yourself so we
25
     could get you on the record, please.
26
27
                     MR. SPILINEK: Hello, everybody.
28
     name is Sterling Spilinek. I'm the wildlife biologist
29
     at Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you,
32
     Sterling. Now we're going to elect some officers for
     this year. Nissa, you're the delegated Federal
33
34
     officer, I'll yield the floor to you.
35
36
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. Hello.
37
     Pilcher again for the record. We will now hold
     elections for Chairman of the Council. I would like to
38
39
     open the floor for any nominations for this. There is
     no second needed for this part of the program.
40
41
42
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I'll nominate Greg.
43
44
                     MS. PILCHER: Are there any other
45
    nominations?
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
49
                     MS. PILCHER: So if there's no further
50
```

```
0008
 1
     nominations I'll declare the nominations are closed.
     We have a nomination of Greg for the Chair position of
     the Southcentral Subsistence Regional Advisory Council.
     Do we have a motion to appoint Greg as the Chair.
 5
 6
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I so move.
 7
 8
                     MS. SELANOFF: Second.
 9
10
                     MS. PILCHER: All right. The motion
11
     was made by Andy, seconded by Diane. All in favor
12
     signify by saying yeah.
13
14
                     IN UNISON: Yeah.
15
16
                     MS. PILCHER: Assuming no nays. So,
17
     Greg, I will pass the gavel back to you.
18
19
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's one of those
20
     things you can't get out of, huh?
21
22
                     (Laughter)
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. I'm
25
     honored and I'll continue to do the best I can as long
26
     as I can. Thank you very much and we'll proceed with
27
     great vigor and we'll hopefully have a great meeting
28
     here.
29
30
                     Next item on our agenda is we've got to
31
    have a vice-chair. So I'm opening the floor to
32
     nominations.
33
34
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I nominate Judy
35
     Caminer. I've seen her do that position in the past
36
     and it was fantastic.
37
38
                     MS. SELANOFF: I'll second that.
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's been moved
41
     and second for Judy. Is there any other nomination?
42
43
                     MS. SELANOFF: Move to close
44
     nominations.
45
46
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Motion to close
47
    nominations. Is there a second?
48
49
                     MR. OPHEIM: I'll second.
```

```
0009
 1
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: All in favor aye.
 2
 3
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
 4
 5
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Nominations
 6
     closed. Judy, you're Vice Chair. Thank you and
 7
     welcome back. Secretary/Treasurer nomination now.
 8
 9
                     MS. SELANOFF: I'll nominate Andy
10
    McLaughlin for Secretary.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Andy's been
13
    nominated.
14
15
                     MR. OPHEIM: I'll second that.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by
18
    Michael. Any discussion. Any other nominations.
19
20
                     MS. SELANOFF: Move to close
21
    nominations.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Nominations have
24
    been moved to close. Is there a second?
25
26
                     MR. OPHEIM: Second.
27
28
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by
29
    Michael for nominations. All in favor of closing, aye.
30
31
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
32
33
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Andy, that's you.
34
35
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Aye.
36
37
                     (Laughter)
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: No, I mean you're
     Secretary/Treasurer. You can't get out of that one.
40
41
     Alrighty. Number 7 on page 5 is next, review and
42
     approval of the previous minutes.
43
44
                     MS. PILCHER: Those are found on page 5
45
     in your meeting book. It's the really thin one with
46
     the pinks on the cover.
47
48
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Does anyone want
49
    to make a motion to approve them or correct them or
50
```

```
0010
 1
    make additions?
 2
 3
                     MR. OPHEIM: I'll make a motion to
 4
     approve the minutes of the last meeting.
 5
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you,
 8
    Michael. Is there a second?
 9
10
                     MR. HOLSTEN: I'll second.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by Ed.
13
    Very good. Any discussion?
14
15
                     (No comments)
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none, all
18
     in favor aye.
19
20
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposition to
23
    the record.
24
25
                     (No opposing votes)
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none, the
28
    minutes are approved. All right. We've got Council
29
     reports. I guess we'll go ahead and we'll try to just
30
     start around the table. Judy, if we could just go from
31
     you and we'll come around. We'll give a brief report
32
     and that would be appreciated. Thank you.
33
34
                     MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
35
     Thank you, Council, for this unexpected honor. Mostly
36
     I've been following what the Federal Board has been
37
     doing as well as I was listening in on the Ketchikan
     rural/nonrural determination public meeting. So just
38
39
     trying to stay informed and involved with what the
40
     overall Board is doing and how it might apply to our
41
     region.
42
43
                     Thank you.
44
45
                     MR. HOLSTEN: Unlike what Judy was
46
     doing, I spent most of the winter shoveling snow, like
47
    most of you. It was a tough winter. A lot of snow, a
48
     lot of ice. Seeing more moose down low. I'm sure
49
     that's due to deep snow. Still a lot of hares. Still
```

0011 a lot of lynx. I'm surprised. It's been a number of years that we've had lynx there. 3 4 This has been my first All-RAC meeting 5 and I really appreciated the opportunity. I only wish there was at least one more day to kind of spread 6 7 things out a little bit more. It was kind of an ordeal to stuff everything into four days. I really 8 9 appreciate all the work OSM put in for this meeting. 10 11 Thank you. 12 13 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Ed. 14 Michael. 15 16 MR. OPHEIM: It was an interesting year 17 last year. Lots of wind. Lots of rain in Seldovia. 18 Berries weren't that good. It didn't seem like the 19 salmon return was great, but it wasn't bad. I think 20 people were able to get some fish. We had some folks 21 think that shooting bears in town was fun, so that was 22 kind of an issue for us this last summer. Some big 23 bears taken. It's been a while since we had big bears 24 taken. It was kind of nice to see. 25 26 I think, in talking to Jason Herman,

27

28

29

30

31

32

there was I think four goats taken this year through the hunt there. I was hoping he was going to be here to maybe update us on that. Had some moose on the road again this winter. Not that much snow it didn't seem like, but maybe up high there's enough or it's crusty enough they're wanting to be on a road to make the traveling easier.

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

We've got these swans that have been coming back into the area. It started out with just a couple. Before I left I counted 20 there in Seldovia. So it's interesting to see that they're making the winter habitat in Seldovia there. That's always fun to see them out there.

40 41 42

I think that's about all I've got right

43 44

45 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, 46

Michael.

now.

47

48 MR. KOCAN: Yeah, over in Cordova it's 49 been kind of wet, snowy. We've had these horrible

cycles of snow that turns to rain, that turns to ice and not a lot of fun. I've been fortunate enough I haven't had to work this winter, so I've been out on the water a lot. King fishing has been really -- a lot of kings in the sound until about mid-February and then it really died off.

It was interesting this year because my neighbor was able to get all the tags. We get a lot of hatchery fish. All my big fish have been hatchery fish. Two of my biggest fish have all come from the same hatchery. Vancouver Island -- I'm a big proponent of hatcheries in Vancouver Island now. Hopefully it will keep them going so I can keep catching big fish.

I've been putting my tanner pots out and it's get a couple here and there, nothing great, but there are some crab around. A lot of little dungies. When I pull my pots up, they're just full of tiny, small little dungies. So I don't know if it's because of the otters. I know that's what people around town say. The otters came and all the big dungies went away. I know we can't get rid of otters, but it would be nice to maybe find a balance where we could get some dungies back in town.

Yeah, that's about it.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: All right. Thank

30 you. Andy. 31

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Prince William Sound area report. Winter came on early. Currently three or four feet. Even at sea level type thing.

So the deer population has definitely got its challenges this year. Partially due to less people in the community that I directly live in. The harvest has only been one deer this entire winter, which typically is six to ten for the year. So something going on there in the deer population.

 I can point out that people in Cordova will be like, oh, there's nothing wrong with the deer. Well, there's a very distinct -- during the deer-pocalypse snow-pocalypse western Prince William Sound has very different weather conditions than Cordova area where there's a higher density of people.

More rain over there, less deep snow. I think we're closer to the Sargent Icefield on the western side, so the deer get a little harsher, deeper snow on that side.

Clam digging. A lot of people have been going for clams saying there's less than usual. I went myself and found a lot of little pock marks everywhere where sea otters had already -- they dive down and dig on the bottom. That's a function of a few less people in the village. Specifically like three different hunting families that used to get a lot of sea otters are gone now, so the sea otter numbers are up. There's just lots of them all over.

Have a lot of wintering cow and calf humpback whales going on right now. I think they're eating some schools of juvenile herring, but our herring size does seem to be small. Not big, you know, breeding type herring.

There's less halibut in people's freezers than an average year. That's a function of -- last summer was the worst halibut fishing I've ever seen in my three decades out there.

Berry picking was -- kind of came on okay, but then the continual crop was like poor pollination or something. There's been concern literally for decades out there in Prince William Sound about hatcheries increasing their production of specifically humpies. Contrary to some comments at a previous break-out session here, the hatcheries have increased their production.

When I was involved with hatcheries, so 25 years ago, there was like 100 million fish. By 2015 — that was before the year 2000. In 2015 it's like 200 million fish. So who puts a cap on these amounts that the hatcheries are allowed to release if the State is running that, which it is, because they run the marine waters of Prince William Sound.

Something needs to give there. It was nice going to a meeting here to find out that scientists supporting -- is proving this larger -- or the smaller size. They used to be larger fish. There's competition for the same foods when these hatcheries -- it's just a big aquarium out there in the

Gulf of Alaska and the Pacific Ocean. When we dump those fish in there, it takes effect on the wild stocks that are out there. It may not be a function of what people are seeing going to the Copper River, but it certainly could be likely related to the Yukon River and the remainder of Alaska.

We do know that our king salmon that we trawl in the wintertime have been British Columbia stocks of kings that kind of come up. That's been -- people have found that from scale and otolith analysis a long time ago, I believe.

Anyhow, that increased competition for marine resources is definitely -- I mean it's been suspected, but it was great hearing scientists actually talk about facts and numbers and proving. One thing about the smaller size that people don't realize is -- or they're trying to put their finger on why. Why are they becoming mature earlier.

To me it's very similar like if you put a certain type of plant under a understory of a forest, that plant grows smaller. And, if you then stress that plant, it forces it into a reproductive cycle because it's stressed and it's like I better reproduce or it's got issues. So it forces it to bloom and make seeds. I believe there's a correlation between that type of relationship in the plant world when it's stressed and malnourished compared to what's going on with the salmon out in the ocean.

The marine waters of Prince William Sound still only have State jurisdiction and it's been interesting to find out that other RACs have been networking and listening to comments and other RACs are having the same thing. One of the examples is Kotzebue — or not Kotzebue, Kuskokwim Bay. They are having issues where they wish Federal had jurisdiction over, but State has jurisdiction over their marine waters and their subsistence needs are not getting met.

 $\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{Anyhow, that's a report from Prince William Sound.}$

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's a good report, Andy. I really enjoyed that Gulf of Alaska report because that was great data that they put on. It made you think a lot. Okay, we're going to go to

0015
1 Dennis.
2
3
4 I'll re
5 snow ea
6 early F
7 a bunch
8 again.

MR. ZADRA: Good morning, everybody. I'll reiterate what Heath said about Cordova. A lot of snow early and then we had a false spring, I guess, in early February where it warmed up and rained and melted a bunch of the ice and then it turned around and snowed again, it rained again. So ice was kind of the theme for the winter.

I guess I should have brought it up agenda item came to my attention that NOAA is opening up the national standards or whatever definitions that apply to the Magnuson-Stevenson Act. Heather Bauscher, who was at the all-council meeting might be showing up here later and she can speak a lot more. She knows a lot more about this than I do. I just found out about it and started researching it.

This is a real big deal from what's going on because the four guidelines they're going to look at redefining are communities, so the possibility of changing the language in Magnuson-Stevenson to -- change the definition of the communities could be in our favor.

One of the other things they're doing is bycatch and hopefully redefining that. So I'm just touching the tip of this. If anybody has the opportunity maybe just do a search on MSA and see what this is about. Hopefully Heather has the opportunity to show up here later and maybe enlighten us a little more on that.

Other than that it's just been spending a lot of time in meetings. Our Board of Fish meeting for Copper River/Prince William Sound will be in Cordova in December. So we're really trying to get prepared for that.

Anyway, thank you.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you,

Dennis. Diane.

46 MS. SELANOFF: Am I loud enough? Okay. 47 He said I'm not loud. I asked him to tell my husband 48 but he won't.

1 2 3

(Laughter)

MS. SELANOFF: Yesterday -- well, first of all let me start with the All-Council meetings. This is the first time I've been to one and that was phenomenal. I loved hearing the different perspectives of the different RACs and what they're doing and how they're going about it. A lot of it was put together very eloquently by the members of the different RACs.

I needed more time to listen. I think there was so much going on so fast. It was about late yesterday afternoon I went home -- went to the hotel and I slept till like 6:30. There was just so much to absorb, so much to think about, that I just had to shut down for a minute and regurgitate all that. But that's been phenomenal, so thank you for having that set up.

I also wanted to say too that I've heard about the trawlers and stuff in the Gulf and everywhere and how much devastation that they're causing. To me it was always kind of, you know, far away. So I realize it was happening. It was probably further away from my home than not.

This winter when I went out to the dock to -- I go down there and just breathe the fresh salt air in just to reground myself. When I was doing that I looked down and moored next to me was a trawler. One that has been trawling in the Prince William Sound. I can't tell you how devastating that was and heartbreaking to see. You know, it's like it's not out there. It's in my front yard. I don't want them in my front yard. I don't want them at all.

I know it's big business. I know there's a lot going on. They're hard to just say stop, you can't do this anymore, but somehow we need to make it stop so they're not, you know, cleaning out my front yard, my refrigerator where I get my food from. My fear is that there won't be much left there anymore for some time. So anyway that was just what I wanted to --what's bothered me the most this winter.

Like many of you, you want to talk about snow, come on over to Valdez. Our road has been shut down out of town at least four times this year for at least two or three days at a time. Which is kind of nice because you know nothing is coming over the

mountain that you're not aware of because nothing is coming over.

2 3 4

As a result of the amount of snow we've had a lot of moose getting on the road, so people are very active through social media announcing that they came through, there's an abundance of moose, please be careful. So people are just making everybody else aware via social media when the moose are out because of the amount of snow we've had. So that's always appreciated.

Then yesterday afternoon there was a session on co-management in the room next door. As I listened to that it was more towards Bristol Bay area and the problems that are going on with their rivers and the amount of fish that are coming up or not.

I was thinking about that in relationship to the Copper River and our meetings here and how it always seems to be a battle between upriver and downriver, you know, and all that fishing. I thought we need to get on that page. We need to get communicating with each other better so we can together, upriver and downriver, better manage the Copper River so that we all have an understanding that both areas utilize the subsistence and the fish that comes through there. It just has been tough this past couple years, so I'm hoping that at some point in time we can get to that.

The other thing, you know, with these meetings we've talked a lot about fish, which has been primary to me. I know there's been a lot of concern about the caribou and I'm grateful that we can support them with their subsistence, but when it comes to fish there's a lot of information and a lot of good presentations. You could look at the different graphs and charts that they provide about who the users are and how much they're using or not using and stuff. It was all good information.

Thinking about the fish itself, which has nourished us for thousands of years and has supported us more than we have supported it so far, I think it would be kind of interesting to look at a chart or something that has the different impacts of fish in our area, whether it be from commercial fishing, whether it be from weather, whether it be from

subsistence users, sports users, predators, hatcheries or as a result of bycatch.

So it would be kind of interesting to see how the fish is impacted because we look at it from our perspective. I want to look at it from the fish's perspective and what it's all encountering trying to get back home to its spawning areas.

Our fish has been extremely low and I'm embarrassed to say -- actually very embarrassed to say the past two years in order for me to continue putting fish up for my family I've actually bought it from the cannery. That's where I've been getting my fish for the past couple years. That's embarrassing for me to say. We have an opening, you know, once a week when we can go out and get our subsistence. I think that needs to change too.

Anyway, you can let me get on my pedestal. I could be here all day. So with that thank you. It's been an excellent meeting.

 MS. ROBERTS: Good morning. Hope Roberts. I've had to spend a lot of time in Anchorage this last winter, but I've heard a lot about the avalanches shutting down the roads and stuff. With natural disasters, I'm guessing it's a disaster, people do need their subsistence food. Especially things like marine mammals. I know that people like to focus on one issue with the Marine Mammal Protection Act, but without enforcing it -- like, you know, I've seen pictures recently of killer whales in nets and a slaughter of numerous sea lions in certain villages and stuff and nobody being accountable for it.

On the first day I believe Chair Christianson said something about not having enough aunties and uncles to teach hunters, so there's no hunters. Well, there's a lot of people out there that are federally qualified to hunt marine mammals and help you with your clam issue, which other things eat clams too like octopus and starfish and things like that. They're just a little slicker and they don't leave footprints.

So, you know, if we can get out there and teach people who are actually federally qualified and still full-blooded Native to hunt these animals, we

could come together to where people aren't slaughtering sea lions but calling on the people of the village to help them because they know each other and they work together rather than trying to change a law when you should be enforcing it because people should be held accountable for all this marine mammal bycatch. Which could feed people who are stuck from avalanches.

I mean, you know, but I just went on with what you guys are all saying, but it has been a wild winter. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Hope. Well, I have a few comments here to make too. I think they're probably relevant to some of the stuff that we've talked about here. So I'm just going to hit on them. I didn't really prepare a report much because I had to give the report at the other one. That was a whole separate one that Nissa did a great job in helping draft it. I worked on that report so you heard it already on that report from us.

I do want to report a couple things from the Ninilchik area. We have a Federal moose hunt down there and we did take about seven moose, a few cows and a few bulls. That's been a real blessing. We got C&T for moose. People don't think about that, but it's a little help for the community to share and opportunity.

The State hunt the moose were pretty good down there this year, but not as good as they have been in the past. We had to do a little Yup'ik hunting and so on and so forth if you understand that.

 Anyway, the fishing on the Kenai for the red salmon was quite phenomenal. We have a Federal net and we're one of the only recognized tribes in the Cook Inlet area that has a net. We have a net on the Kenai and we provide for the rural residents of Ninilchik. We provided well over 4,000 fish. That's Native/non-Native. The tribe operates the net. When they bring them in then they call a person that's got the permit and distribute them. So that's been a real blessing. It's been good for quite a few years.

On the same note we have zero kings. They don't let us fish kings anymore. The rivers are shut down. They destroyed our streams years ago with

hatchery fish. The Ninilchik River has been introduced with hatcheries. There's nothing but small hatchery kings. No adipose fins. My mom's not alive anymore, but she would tell you they taste different and I agree with that.

Anyway, we've also had to buy fish. Like Diane, I had to -- you know, I smoke fish, but the unbelievable thing is that I've always put up a lot of kings for smoked fish and share them with the community. I share them at all our Council meetings and et cetera. But we can't get kings anymore, so we buy them now and we've been buying them from Southeast trawlers and stuff. It's unreal. To bring them into the community. Silvers. Couldn't smoke silvers. I had to buy them off a drift guy that drifts on the west side of Cook Inlet.

There's reds, but the competition in the ocean is real. The competition of hatcheries taking a big portion of food. You know, I heard it yesterday, 40 percent. You can only put so much in a jar and the jar is getting full and it's all over in the user groups. We've got trouble out there and we need to look at it.

Anyway, I don't want to get off course here, but we've got no clams either, but they decided they were going to to open it up and give it a try. So they opened it I think three days and they said they could have 15 clams. Well, no one got much clams. I was certainly opposed to the opening because they're trying to rebuild the stock. A lot of them got nice wet and dirty and they had fun and brought a lot of people to the Peninsula, but they didn't get no clams. A few got some. One local got his 15 clams, but he knew all the good beds. Anyway, the smaller size is real.

I want to just mention one thing about NOAA here that basically Dennis touched on. I've been heavily involved in some of that stuff because I sit on the local AC and the local AC is kind of funny. It's an advisory group that advises to the State on all these proposals. Well, the State don't listen to you. Most of those proposals that we support in Ninilchik area we kind of get a laugh at. I don't think they've ever supported us or very, very seldom do. They come up with the most cock and bull type stuff I've ever

1 seen.

They've taken commercial setnetters now and shut us down for two years. Now they opened this so we're going to get to use a dipnet. Well, I'll tell you I don't know how you're going to dipnet in the Cook Inlet, but that's going to be something else. It's going to be quite amazing. Things are really kind of bad in the fisheries there.

But on the NOAA side there's -- they call it the EEZ zone out of Ninilchik. It's in the middle of Cook Inlet. It's beyond the three mile marker. It's regulated by NOAA. They are supposed to write the regulations. They're supposed to be in compliance with the Magnuson-Stevens Act. They haven't been. The drift fleet had sued them. They won in the Ninth Circuit and they were forced to rewrite it. There's an Amendment 16. They've been rewriting the rules. They can't figure it out, so they turn it back to the State. The State continues to manage it.

Anyway, if you think about it, there's big old Cook Inlet there and in the middle there's this little zone at 12 miles out and the drifters do a lot of drifting in there. So there's a group of Cook Inlet tribes. There's eight tribes. I'm a member of. We all got together. We've had a fishery group for many years. We really kind of activated it and got really active in it. We participated and we made testimony at the NOAA meeting. We'll do it again at the one coming up in April.

Dennis is right. They are changing some rules. But we're putting in for a fishery for subsistence fishery in the EEZ zone. Ken is looking at me here. Anyway. That's a new project. It would be an opportunity for the other villages to get some fish and maybe distribute it. You know, they'd have to drift out there. So that's one opportunity coming up. So I know a lot about that and the rule there and I'd be glad to share it with you later. Anyway, it's the smaller size.

The rest of the stuff I think I kind of touched on, but there's a few more. The All-Council meeting. I know Judy was at the first one and I was at one before. I'm very appreciative of the All-Council meeting and the wisdom of all the people and all the

stuff that was talked about. You heard me talking and I asked for more time for the Councils to interact with each other and I did that on the recommendation of a lot of our members up from Ahtna and all over the country here.

One of the things that I felt the All-Council lacked and missed this year was more time to discuss amongst themselves. That discussion is just like our Council meeting here and we need it. We really need that to understand that we're a lot more connected than we think. We're all fighting for the same resources basically in one way, shape or another that affect us.

So I know some of these letters, and letters don't always support things, but we're going to review some of those later on in the meeting and we'll see the outcome of that. Hopefully it will be good. No, I really enjoyed the All-Council meeting. Especially the presentation they did on the Gulf of Alaska session.

Andy alluded to that. But that showed without doubt -- I have no doubt that there's way too many pinks being hatchery produced in the whole system and being dumped in there and the competition. It's been great for commercial fishing. It's great for fish, but they're eating too. I'm like an orca and orcas eat lots, but I'm like an orca. I like those big, fat kings that are nice and juicy. Well, we don't have them anymore, so I can't be an orca. I guess I don't know what I've got to be, but anyway.

 But that's what happens. So anyway I'll get off my high horse here. I told you about the Cook Inlet tribes and I told you about NOAA, I told you about the local ACs, setnets, competition. Well, I told you just about everything I want to tell you, so thank you very much.

Judy.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just a quick question for you. Does the tribe still have to do such an in-depth report to the Fish and Wildlife Service about the net fishery in the Kenai?

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Well, you know,

Ken has been real good to work with and we produce our plan. We review it. They meet with us twice a year. We still got to report as soon as you catch them. We've got to call it in that day. So there is pretty stringent reporting on it.

Just so you know we haven't taken any kings in the Kenai. That was the big fear that we were going to take the kings, destroy the kings. We've been fishing that net for four, five years, but we've only taken one king and reported it. So that's pretty interesting. But the kings are real low.

 $$\operatorname{Next}$ item we have review and approval of our 2023 annual report. Nissa is going to give it. It's on 19 there.

MS. PILCHER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So on Page 19 of your meeting book you'll find the draft of your annual report. There are five topics that your Council raised at the last meeting: To request an ANILCA Section 804 analysis be started on the Nelchina Caribou Herd; ongoing climate change impacts on ocean resources including PSP and ocean acidification impacts on shellfish and food webs; request the initiation of a review and evaluation of the current customary and traditional use determination process; jurisdiction on subsistence shellfish resources in Prince William Sound; and concern over lack of public involvement with removal of delegated authority.

So as was explained at your fall meeting, no additional topics can be added to the report at this time, but if you do think of another I'll gladly take it down and remind you of it in the fall when we're doing this all over again. But if you want to edit or update any of the language found within this Annual Report, please let me know so we can get those edits made and then we can get it finalized for you guys.

 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. If there's any edits, I think you heard Nissa, we're not going to change it, but if you've got any edits to the report, let's do them now or suggestions. Otherwise we could make a motion to approve it and we'll send that on and then we'll prepare for the next report.

Actually we had some pretty good stuff

```
0024
     in this report. I was going to bring it up and refer
     to it, but we kind of covered it. One of the ones we
     had about the evaluation of the current customary and
     traditional use determination. That was talked about
 5
     quite a bit and we actually had it in our report for
 6
     the Federal Board.
 7
 8
                     I'm just waiting to see if there's any
 9
     comments or changes, Diane. If not, we'll approve it.
10
11
                     MS. SELANOFF: I'd just like to make a
12
     motion to approve the report.
13
14
                     MR. OPHEIM: I'll second.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's been moved
17
     by Diane to approve the report as presented and
18
     seconded by Michael. Any other discussion?
19
20
                     (No comments)
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none.
23
    All in favor aye.
24
25
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
28
29
                     (No opposing votes)
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: All right. That
32
     carries. Thank you, Nissa. Next we have call for
     Federal fish and shellfish regulations. Pippa, you're
33
34
     going to present that, right?
35
36
                     MS. KENNER: I am.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI:
                                          Thank you.
39
40
                     MS. KENNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
41
     Members of the Council. My name is Pippa Kenner and
42
     I'm an anthropologist with the Office of Subsistence
43
     Management. Cory Graham couldn't be here today, so I'm
44
     going to present this for you. It begins on Page 37 of
45
     your Council books.
46
47
                     First off I'd like to tell you that the
48
     fish regulations from the 2023-2025 cycle are in effect
49
     as of last Friday. Some of you may not know that it
50
```

was taking a long time to get those regulations published. The regulation books are being printed now and an electronic copy is available on the Federal Subsistence Management Program website. I also noticed there's a couple of copies of the new book in the back.

So this is an announcement for the call for Federal fishery proposals for the 2025-2027 regulatory years. The Federal Subsistence Board will accept proposals to change Federal regulations for the subsistence take of fish and shellfish in Federal public waters including changes to seasons, harvest limits, methods and means and customary and traditional use determinations.

The window of opportunity to submit proposals will be open for probably 60 days once it opens, likely March into May. The Council may develop proposals now and they will be submitted by your coordinator during the comment period. The public may also submit proposals to change Federal subsistence fishery regulations by submitting them to the regulations.gov website or by sending a hard copy to the Office of Subsistence Management. A news release describing this process will soon be available on our website.

The proposals will be analyzed by OSM staff and presented to the Councils during their fall meeting, at which time the Council can make recommendations to the Board on each proposal. Proposal analyses and Council recommendations will be presented to the Board for their action during the January 2025 fisheries regulatory cycle, so in about a year.

So that is the update on the call for Federal fisheries proposals. Does the Council have any questions or regulatory proposals to put forward?

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Questions for Pippa.

(No questions)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. If we come up with proposals, we've got some time. Judy, go ahead.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I guess for me personally it's a little hard to come up with proposals. Many of you probably have ideas. One thing that was done several years ago was OSM reviewed the Cook Inlet regulations because a lot of them mirror the State regulations.

I think, if the Council agrees, it would be worthwhile to have OSM take a look at these regulations and see if they provide a meaningful preference and if it's possible to provide a meaningful preference to bring those recommendations to us.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, that would be very good. I know they've done it on some. The Federal lands are pretty slim that we get to use. The regulations, how they interfere with us, is very impacting. State regulations and other regulations. Those need to be taken over.

Pippa.

MS. KENNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Member Caminer. So that would kind of push us into the next fisheries regulatory cycle because the next time the Council meets the call for proposals will have closed. But it's a worthwhile endeavor and I'm taking notes on it, but it would kind of push us into the next regulatory cycle to be able to do that.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you. Through the Chair. I understand the timing, but I just wanted to bring up now would be a good time to start and I guess if we felt strongly about a proposal we could be ready to submit that.

MS. KENNER: Thank you, Member Caminer.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Scott, we want

40 more fish.

MR. AYERS: Hi. Thank you. Again, this is Scott Ayers. I just wanted to come up in support of Pippa with any questions that you may have related to the fisheries cycle that's going on right now or any other ideas that you have related to developing a team to look over the Cook Inlet and any other fisheries regulations for your Council with the ideas that Ms. Caminer had in mind. So we're here to

```
0027
    help.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good.
 4
 5
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 6
     I, for one, definitely would support any type of review
 7
     process bringing to our attention any type of how
     Federal aligns with the State regulations. I'm not a
 9
    big proponent of the State defending much with
10
     subsistence.
11
12
                     So I believe the Federal system works
13
     and I would like to see some information shared with us
14
     about which ones are aligned. It seems like they're
15
     always like we need to align the Federal with the State
16
     and there's always these proposals from the State for
17
     that, but they don't have to align and in many ways
18
    they shouldn't align. So I would like to have that
19
    information.
20
21
                     Thank you.
22
23
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Absolutely.
24
     Scott.
25
26
                     MR. AYERS: Yeah, thank you so much.
27
     That's great information for us to have. I believe we
    have two sets of -- two areas that are under the
28
29
     jurisdiction -- two fisheries areas under the
30
    jurisdiction of this Council, both the Cook Inlet area
    and, if I recall correctly, the Prince William Sound
31
32
    area. Would you be interested in the Council -- sorry.
33
    Would the Council be interested in OSM reviewing both
34
    of those sets of regulations?
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I believe so,
37
            I see some of them nodding their heads here.
     Scott.
38
     The Cordova men say yes. Thank you.
39
40
                     Okay, Judy, did that clear up
41
     everything we wanted to do on that today? I know we
42
     were going to try and squeeze it in. It worked out
43
     just perfect.
44
45
                     MS. CAMINER: I think so. I guess if
46
     you need any help from the Council, I guess let us know
47
     too and we'll do what we can.
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: All right.
50
```

0028 1 you. 2 3 MS. KENNER: Okay I move on? 4 5 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Move on. 6 7 MS. KENNER: Okay. This is Pippa 8 Kenner with OSM. Before we move too far forward I 9 would like to speak about a closure review that will be 10 considered this regulatory cycle. So fisheries closure 11 review 2305, that's on Page 23 in your meeting book. 12 This was deferred from last cycle by the Eastern 13 Interior Council. It concerns a closure to the harvest 14 of all fish in the Delta River, which is just south of 15 Fairbanks. 16 17 It is the Board's policy to review 18 existing closures every four years so that Federal 19 public lands and waters can be reopened when the 20 closures are no longer necessary. 21 22 So last cycle this Council submitted a 23 comment in support of rescinding the closure, but was 24 concerned that only residents of the Yukon northern 25 area have a customary and traditional use determination 26 for fish in the Delta River. 27 28 So if the Council wishes, now is the 29 time to submit a proposal requesting to add other 30 residents to the customary and traditional use 31 determination for fish in the Delta River. Is this 32 something that Council members remember or do you need 33 more explanation? 34 35 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: The Council is 36 looking pretty blank here. I don't know. We might 37 need some more explaining. Thank you. 38 39 MS. KENNER: So residents of the Yukon 40 northern area, which is not Southcentral, have a 41 customary and traditional use determination to fish 42 under Federal regulations in parts of the Delta River 43 that are Federal public waters. Residents of 44 Southcentral currently cannot fish under Federal 45 regulations in the Delta River because they are not 46 included in the customary and traditional use 47 determination. 48

So we're offering you an opportunity

49

0029 now because we heard your concern to submit a proposal for all or some residents of Southcentral Alaska to have a customary and traditional use determination in the Delta River for fishing under Federal regulations. 5 6 Evidently this was something that was 7 brought up at your last Council meeting. It might not have been a big point, but we're bringing it forward to 9 you now. 10 11 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Andy, you go 12 ahead. 13 14 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, just curious. 15 hear conservation concerns. If there is no conservation concerns, I definitely would be in support 16 17 of adding user groups that aren't C&T. 18 19 MS. KENNER: Great. Thank you, Andy. 20 Through the Chair. So you're talking about rescinding 21 the closure. 22 23 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Correct. 24 25 MS. KENNER: Got it. Thank you. 26

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I have a question in the audience here. I'm going to recognize Karen. She wanted to speak to that a little bit. Maybe she knows more of that area. My question is if we add these to the user groups that don't have C&T, how does that all work? I thought they had to have C&T.

MS. KENNER: Just to cover that question. There's a little bit of confusion. One of the things that Andy -- it's not confusion. Andy is speaking to the closure. I'm not asking you to take

speaking to the closure. I'm not asking you to take action on the closure itself. I'm just asking if Council members have considered requesting to have C&T on the Delta River based on comments evidently that were taken at your last meeting. I just want to say

anyone can put a proposal in at any time.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Sure.

MS. KENNER: We're bringing it up to 47 you. Thank you.

48
49 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Sure. Okay.

50

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

1 Karen.

4 m 5 t 6 t 7 t

8 out 9 spe 10 tha 11 Glo

MS. LINNELL: I think that was former member Gloria Stickwan's point in being able to hunt in the Tangle Lakes drainage area, which is Ahtna's traditional territory, and the Ahtna people have fished that area for millenia and have been mistakenly left out of customary and traditional use of non-salmon species. Well, actually salmon go into there too in that area. I guess this is the process, but that's why Gloria brought it up last time. So thank you.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you for clarifying that. That makes it clearer to me what we talked about there. Okay. You want to continue there, Pippa, and tell us what we've got to do.

MS. KENNER: I was just talking with my colleague, Scott Ayers, and he was reminding me that I think out of respect for Gloria and the Council this is being brought up to you, but any individual can submit a proposal when the call opens. The Council doesn't have to do it. We're just giving you this information.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. I see some head shaking. Does people want to support it, want to work on a proposal or do we want to just hold off for now? Go ahead, Judy.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ CAMINER: Mr. Chair, thank you. Do we need a formal motion requesting you to do this, I guess is the question, or we can certainly vote on it?

MS. KENNER: Thank you, Member Caminer. No, this is part of the development of the proposals to change fisheries regulations and that call for proposals is going to start probably within the next week. So if the Council would like to submit a proposal requesting to have customary and traditional use determination in that area, it can. It can submit any proposal, but this is one that was mentioned at the last meeting.

MR. AYERS: If I may. What we need from the Council is just the nuts and bolts on the record and then your coordinator will work with you to get the more fine detailed information at this point in time. Partly because our call isn't open yet, so we're just trying to make sure that we establish a record if

0031 the Council is interested in doing this. 2 3 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. The nuts 4 and bolts are we want to include those that were 5 adversely left out as referred by our past Council 6 meeting. How's that? I got good. So we're all in 7 agreement. Thank you. 8 9 MS. KENNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 10 Members of the Council. I'll move on now. Okay. 11 would like to give you the Fisheries Resource 12 Monitoring Program update. This is not an action item. 13 It's just informational. There isn't any material in 14 your book on this topic. 15

16

17

18

19

20

The mission of the Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program is to identify and provide information needed to sustain Federal subsistence fisheries. This is old information for people who have been on the Council for a while, but we'll continue with the description anyway.

So I

21 22 23

24

25

26

The Monitoring Program also supports meaningful involvement in fisheries management by Alaska Native and rural organizations and promotes collaboration among all the different agencies, tribes and local organizations.

27 28 29

30

31

32

33 34

OSM is currently in the final phase of the 2024 Fisheries Resource Monitoring Program funding cycle and the board met in early February and approved the final monitoring program funding plan. With this cycle coming to an end, it's time for the Councils to begin developing priority information needs for the 2026 call for proposals.

35 36 37

38

39

40 41

42

43

Priority information needs -- you might also have heard them called PINs -- these are an important component of the Monitoring Program and they outline knowledge gaps and local concerns related to subsistence fisheries and guide what projects are submitted for consideration for funding. These projects gather that information for the Council so the Council can make decisions on proposals.

44 45 46

47

48

We're asking for volunteers from this Council to meet by telephone to identify these knowledge gaps and information needed for management of subsistence fisheries. The Draft Priority Information

0032 1 Needs that is developed by the volunteers will be presented to your Council for final approval at your 2 next meeting in the fall. 4 5 Again, this is not an action item. You 6 don't have to make a motion, but we would like you to 7 identify a handful of volunteers, hopefully two or three, to help us put together these priority 9 information needs for you to approve at your next 10 meeting. 11 12 Thank you. I can take any questions 13 and I can accept volunteers at this time. It's a lot 14 of fun. You know, these meetings they don't take a lot 15 of your time. They're an hour or two. If you want another meeting after that to kind of settle on a few 16 17 things, we can do that too, but it's not a big time 18 commitment, but it's important. 19 20 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Andy is 21 first. Go ahead. 22 23 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 24 Call me about anything with Prince William Sound. 25 26 MS. KENNER: Got it. Thank you, Member 27 McLaughlin. 28 29 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I would like to 30 volunteer some of my fellow members, but I better 31 volunteer myself first for the Kenai, okay. Hope. 32 33 MS. ROBERTS: I'm willing to help out 34 if it's as fun as you say it is. 35 36 MS. KENNER: Well, you know I love this 37 stuff. So, yeah, I'll bring you into it. 38 MS. CAMINER: Mr. Chair. 39 40 41 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Judy, go ahead. 42 43 MS. CAMINER: It's kind of unfortunate 44 with Gloria not being on the RAC. We don't really have 45 a representative from the Ahtna Region, so I hope 46 members of this committee then maybe could reach out to 47 Karen or others just to try to find out a little bit 48

more what the information needs are perceived in the

49

50

Upper Copper River.

```
0033
 1
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That could be a
 2
    good position for you. Do you want to do it?
 4
                     MS. CAMINER: Sure.
                                         I'll help out.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: There you go.
 7
    Okay. You've got four people. Very good. We've got
 8
     some people to work on that. Thank you.
 9
10
                     MS. KENNER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. So
11
     this is Pippa for the record and that's the end of my
12
    presentations. Thank you very much for your patience.
13
14
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It was very good,
15
    Pippa. Thank you. Glad to get a heads up on what's
16
     coming.
17
18
                     MS. PILCHER: Okay. So you guys
19
    noticed the agenda is a little bit different than it
    normally is. We're trying to get all the action items
20
21
    done first. So right now we're going to talk about
22
    future meeting dates. You guys do have your fall
23
    selected. Starting on Page 63 is your guys's meeting
24
    calendar. You have selected October 10th and 11th in
25
    Anchorage currently. Does that still work for you?
26
27
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. I see
28
    everyone checking the calendar. I think it's going to
29
    be my 54th wedding anniversary if I make it that long,
30
    huh? Anyway, that's a good day for me to meet. How
31
     about the rest of you?
32
33
                     MR. OPHEIM: That's still good for me.
34
35
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Anyone got
36
     a conflict? Good, good, good. Okay, it looks like
37
     that's going to work for us those dates.
38
39
                    MS. PILCHER: Okay. Now it's going to
40
    get a little trickier because nobody picked anything
41
    for the next two meetings. So now we're looking at
42
    winter 2025. The window opens on February 17th and it
43
    closes April 4th. It does look like there's already
44
    two that first week of February. There's only one
    February 24th, so you guys could try that week.
45
46
    There's none signed up currently for the week of March
47
     3rd, 10th, 17th.
48
```

There's one at the end of the week on 50

```
0034
    March 24th and nothing signed up the last week either.
    So pretty much everything is wide open other than that
    first week. Although, come to think of it, the second
    week is Western Interior, which is mine, so if you
    don't have one that week, that would be great because I
 5
    probably can't get to both. So I don't think you can
 6
 7
    have it that week.
 8
 9
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Alrighty. I'm
10
    okay March 10th or March 17th week. What's the
11
    pleasure of the Council?
12
13
                     MR. HOLSTEN: Yeah, that will work for
14
    me.
15
16
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: The middle of the week
17
     is better for me.
18
19
                     MS. PILCHER: So you could get in on
20
    Monday and home on Friday.
21
22
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: So 11th and 12th or
23
     12th, 13th.
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, that looks
26
    good.
27
28
                     MS. PILCHER: So you guys went with
29
    12th and 13th?
30
31
                     (Council nodding)
32
33
                     MS. PILCHER: Okay. Then where do you
34
    want it?
35
36
                     MR. OPHEIM: It's been a while since
37
    we've done Cordova.
38
39
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: We wouldn't mind
40
     coming and tasting those kinds and see how they are.
41
42
                     MS. CAMINER: Mr. Chair. Nissa, isn't
43
     there a list of communities that we're allowed in?
44
    Maybe you could review that for us just to make sure
45
    we're okay.
46
47
                     MS. PILCHER: Let me find it real
48
     quick. I think Cordova is on that list, but I'll
49
     double check.
50
```

0035 1 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, you've 2 still got accommodations there, right? MR. ZADRA: We do, but we're getting a 4 5 harbor rebuild project and then we're getting a road 6 project going out there, so there is talk of housing 7 problems, but by this time I think that will all be figured out. We will need to coordinate that. How 8 9 many -- with staff and all that, Nissa, how many people 10 would we be looking at? 11 12 MS. PILCHER: I think we normally say 13 20 including Council members. That might be high. 14 15 MR. ZADRA: Okay. 16 17 MS. PILCHER: I did just find the list. 18 Your hub communities does not include Cordova so we 19 would need to ask. It is Anchorage, Kenai, Soldotna, 20 Homer, Seward, and Wasilla. What I would recommend is 21 if you guys did want Cordova, you could request Cordova 22 and come up with an alternate. I just need to ask 23 basically. 24 25 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I don't think we 26 did Palmer/Wasilla area either. 27 28 MS. PILCHER: I think it used to say 29 anywhere on the road system you guys were allowed to 30 meet. I imagine that's still the case. If you wanted 31 to meet in Glennallen I would just have to ask. 32 33 MR. OPHEIM: Let's ask for Glennallen. 34 35 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Karen. 36 You've got a suggestion? 37 38 MS. LINNELL: Mr. Chair. Just because 39 there is no Copper River representation it would be good to allow the members of the public to talk to you 40 41 folks. If it was hosted in Glennallen, then they'd be 42 able to have a voice and input into this. So I 43 appreciate that. Thank you so much. 44 45 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you for the 46 comment. 47 48 MS. PILCHER: Up to you guys. We are 49 planning another meeting. I'm asking you to plan out 50

```
0036
    three meetings, so there's still another chance to pick
     a location.
 4
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Sometimes March
 5
    is still winter as you know. That makes it kind of
 6
    dicey.
 7
 8
                     MS. PILCHER: So it's up to you guys if
 9
     you want Cordova with alternate in Glennallen or
10
    Glennallen alternate Cordova or whatever you want.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Cordova
13
    with alternate Glennallen. Does that sound good?
14
15
                     MR. OPHEIM: Works for me.
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay.
18
19
                    MS. PILCHER: I'm just going to ask you
20
     to do one more for fall 2025. Let me pull up the
     calendar. Okay. So it looks like the window is going
21
    to open up September 15th and nobody is scheduled until
22
23
    the week of October 6th. There's already two scheduled
24
     and one of those is Western Interior, so that week
25
    would not work. Then the following two weeks, October
26
    13th and October 20th are wide open. The last week
27
    already has two scheduled, so that one would be out.
    So the week of October 20th, week of October 13th and
28
29
    any time in September.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. How about
32
    October 14th, 15th, somewhere in there? When is AFN
33
     2025?
34
35
                     MS. LINNELL: It's usually the third
36
    week.
37
38
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah. So that's
39
     why I was trying to do it the week before, the 14th.
40
41
                     MS. PILCHER: In Anchorage?
42
43
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah. Okay.
44
45
                    MS. PILCHER: All right. That is an
46
     action item, so if you guys would like to take action
47
    on that. So that's leaving Fall 2024 as is, March 12th
48
     and 13th in Cordova, alternate of Glennallen, and then
```

October 2025 the 14th and 15th in Anchorage.

49

```
0037
 1
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. You just
 2
    heard the dates. Is there a motion to adopt those
 3
     dates?
 4
 5
                     MR. OPHEIM: I'll make that motion.
 6
 7
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you,
 8
    Michael. Is there a second?
 9
10
                     MR. HOLSTEN: Second.
11
12
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by Ed.
13
    Any other discussion.
14
15
                     (No comments)
16
17
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none.
18
    All in favor aye.
19
20
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
21
22
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed. I
23
    know that's going to make it tough for Andy, so we're
24
     going to have to figure out how to get him in here.
25
    Okay. It carries.
26
27
                     Go ahead, Judy.
28
29
                     MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
30
     Just a thought for future. Since winter travel by car
31
     to some of these areas is tenuous, perhaps at some
32
     point we could think about switching it and having
33
    winter meetings in Anchorage and then the fall meetings
    in the communities.
34
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, I agree. I
37
    think we did some of that before. Well, we're going to
     stick with this and we're going to be in global warming
38
39
     anyway. We'll be warped up in '25.
40
     Does that do your scheduling?
41
42
                     MS. PILCHER: That's what I needed for
43
     that, yes.
44
45
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. We are now
46
     going to take a break for 10 minutes and then we'll get
47
    back here, get some coffee, get hydrated, water. Thank
48
     you.
49
```

0038 1 (Off record) 2 3 (On record) 4 5 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: All right. 6 we ready to go? All right. We're ready to get back 7 into it. The next item on our agenda we're going to entertain public and tribal comments on non-agenda items. So that includes anyone on the phone who would 10 like to speak to a non-agenda item. Public comment. 11 This is your time to speak or forever hold your peace 12 until the next meeting. 13 14 MS. PILCHER: I do see someone with a 15 phone that has called in ending with 4170. It doesn't 16 look like you are on mute anymore but we cannot hear 17 you in the room if you are trying to speak. 18 19 (Pause) 20 21 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. We're not 22 hearing anyone. Karen, did you want to speak a little? 23 Come on up. 24 25 MS. LINNELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 26 For the record I'm Karen Linnell, executive director 27 for Ahtna Intertribal Resource Commission that 28 represents eight Federally recognized tribes and two 29 ANCSA corporations that serve on my board as the 30 landowners. 31 32 I want to talk about harvest this year. 33 As you all know, the Nelchina Caribou Herd is down to 34 around 7,000 animals. At least the last report was 35 8,000 and we've had another deep snow year. We expect 36 that number to be dropping significantly again. 37 38 One of the things that we're -- and 39 then it was also reported at the Copper Basin Advisory Committee meeting that only 528 moose were harvested in 40 41 all of GMU 13. It's been averaging around between 800 42 and 1,000 animals taken. So the harvest of game meat is really low, so the dependance and importance of the 44 salmon run and the ability to fill our freezers with 45 salmon is even more important in the coming year. 46 47 I've never seen it so low, that

harvest, and it's not for lack of trying. We talked to

even our biologist in the area about salmon and stuff

48

49

and they tell us, oh, you're just not trying enough. When you're only getting one or two salmon in your fishwheel it's not enough. You have to let some go by for spawning. That's the way I was taught.

I also was taught when you get too much, you have to stop your wheel because you need to let some go through. So it's been a lean, lean year, especially at the headwaters. My fish camp is on the north end of Copper River at Chistochina. There are only a couple fishwheels north of me at Slana and at Batzulnetas, so we're seeing low and late returns. Again we're unable to harvest our meet, the moose and the caribou, as we would normally do.

So that dependence on that resource is so important. It's all intertwined. It's all interconnected. When there's no fish, the wolves go after the moose and the caribou. When you have high snow, the wolves can run on top of that snow and the moose and the caribou are just up to their bellies in snow trying to get away from them.

We're just seeing the impacts of the three years in a row now deep snow. We know that we're going to have additional impacts to the moose and the caribou. When we're seeing that we're more dependant on other things. The fish feed the rivers. The fish feed the land, you know, with the nutrients and things to continue to -- the habitat, you know, where the ducks go. It impacts the ducks. It impacts the muskrat, the beaver, the willow that grows there. It impacts everything when we don't have the fish that gets up into those streams to provide the nutrients we need.

There's such an interlaced interdependent ecosystem that we work hard to try and balance as the Ahtna people. You often hear don't take more than you can use, don't take more than -- you know, to take care of the land. It's ingrained in us and it's in our DNA and they actually found that the moose, the caribou and the salmon are in our DNA. It's been studied. It's been done. We've been talking about it. Somebody studied it, thank goodness, to validate what we've been talking about.

So we're just asking when you look and talk through these proposals -- and there will be

proposals coming -- you know, there's calls coming out, that interdependency on the resource and the land to have healthy populations. It is one of the reasons that AITRC was formed. Not just to fight for our hunting and fishing rights, but to look at what can we do to help maintain healthy populations of salmon, moose, caribou. Those big four-legged animals.

There's got to be a balance and when you take too much of one it creates an issue. It can't be just on the Native people's backs to take care of the land and the resources so that they're healthy. We need to do this all together and do what's best for the resource, not people.

I really encourage you when you're looking and talking over proposals that come before you that you do what's right for the resource so that it will be here for generations and generations to come. It's sad in this state that a lot of the management has turned to people management and not resource management. I just want to urge you folks to stick to resource management. That's your charge, you know.

I just appreciate your time. I appreciate the work and the thoughts that you folks put into all of this. It's not easy and you get pulled every which way. I know I can be one of those people that speak strongly to resources and things. I appreciate what you do and just want to thank you for your time. I will work to get somebody on here from our region. Copper River needs to be represented on here.

Chin'an. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Chin'an. Thank you, Karen. Always appreciate all your wisdom and your input. You've been very helpful over the years and we've learned a lot about other regions. Agree 100 percent it is for the resource. So thank you very much.

Sure. Ouestion here.

MR. ZADRA: Hey, Karen. Thanks for that. So, you know, I'm a commercial fisherman down on the Copper and we get a bad rap, but we put over -- close to a million fish in that river this year,

750,000 upper-bound of the sustainable escapement goal. So, you know, there's 250,000 extra fish and to me it's just so disappointing that where did they go. They didn't make it to you. We felt good that there was a lot of fish going up the river, but they didn't get to you. Sorry about that.

MS. LINNELL: I got to say that Seattle gets Copper River salmon before I do. Just putting it out there. It's that early run that goes the farthest and that early run needs to be able to get into the river so that Chistochina and Slana can get the fish and that's important.

We know we have to work together, but if we're not getting wild stock in the river, you know, it makes it difficult. We work with ADF&G in collecting otolith samples and things like that. We're looking at age, sex and length. We're collecting scales. We're trying to look at what's getting into the river.

As you know, at the last Board of Fish meeting in Cordova they changed the range for the chinook escapement to created a range, but that lower bound is what kept them within their management goal and kept it from becoming a stock of concern. That kind of management so that they don't go into a stock of concern is a way to deplete the -- you know, they keep changing the goal so that they can say they're the best in managing the resource. Changing that goal all the time doesn't work.

We've seen it with the Nelchina Caribou Herd. It used to be, you know, 75-80,000 animals and they lowered the goal, they lowered goal and they lowered the management objectives through intensive management. Not through a caribou management plan but through intensive management plans they lowered that goal to the 35-40,000 range.

We saw one bad snow year and it went from 54,000 down to 21,000 animals. Then, with that, they turn around and we see -- you know, they still had a hunt when they weren't within their management range because people applied for permits. So they issued permits. Then the next year we're down to less than 14,000 animals in the fall count. Now we're under 8,000 animals. That's not the type of management I want

to see. We need to watch that kind of sliding scale.

The one thing that I haven't seen in the Department is right sizing the numbers on the sonar counts. The very first sonar that was out there was 300,000 fish, right? Technology changed and we changed the sonar. It jumped up to around 600,000 or whatever. Now we have the Aries sonar, which is more accurate and can see more and it's up to the million mark, but they haven't right-sized those counts from years ago.

 So maybe it was nearly 1,500 -- or, you know, one and a half million fish getting up that river before. We don't know because they haven't right-sized those numbers to the technology. We don't know because they didn't correct those numbers. So I don't believe that it was only 300,000 salmon coming up that river. I believe it was closer to 2 million.

So, you know, we need to look at that and try to right-size things, those numbers. Correct those numbers to the new technology so that we can compare apples to apples, right. Those are things that run through my mind in these changes because they haven't changed them. And the only time that they look at averages over the long term is when they want to reduce it. They'll take in those 300,000 and compare it to the million and say the average is -- and that's why you end up with that lower bound.

And then when you look at -- you know, we end up with a sliding scale, right? The shifting baseline. The shifting baseline happens the last five years, the last ten years. You need to look at a longer term set, but those numbers from the longer term set need to be corrected with the technology.

Thank you.

MR. ZADRA: Thank you. I'll have a conversation with you afterwards. Thanks.

MS. LINNELL: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Andy.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Your advocacy with the State might be about run timing. Say if there's a hatchery that's got one month worth of

salmon that it's going to grab. They grab a little chunk of eggs from the brood at the beginning of the run. It's run timings. There's different family, I believe, genetic structure even within a run of fish. It's not always just one big lump of escapement that gets through. You need to time it.

With the State it would be who I would advise you to advocate because they're the managers of this fishery, according to the commercial thing, and try to make more of these. Your knowledge about the early stock is kind of like Kenai River with two runs of kings. You advocate for those early fish to have a larger number of those to come through the commercial fishery to get up the river in my opinion.

MS. LINNELL: Thank you for that, Mr. McLaughlin. It's taking now -- Gulkana use the Gulkana hatchery. It's an incubation unit. It's not a hatchery. They don't treat the water. They don't chemical. It's not temperature controlled. It's run by a spring. So it's an incubation unit. It's not a hatchery. They don't treat the water. They don't chemical. It's not temperature controlled. It's run by a spring. So it's an incubation unit, not commercial. You know, a hatchery like you have here at Campbell Creek.

It's taking them longer to get their roe. It used to be able to get it in July and be kind of done. Now they're going into September trying to collect enough roe to fill the hatchery. Even they've seen changes there and that's something you can find through PWSAC in their reports. It is taking a lot longer to collect that.

We did have a flood in 2016 that affected some of the spawning grounds in Gulkana River and that's a project that we'd like to see fixed to create a braid there again so that there's more spawning grounds, but it is taking quite a bit longer to collect that roe.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you. Judy go ahead.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Karen, I really want to commend you particularly and all of the people involved in the Ahtna Intertribal

Resource Commission. Looking at your report, you've really brought the Council and others a tremendous amount of information over the years. Really expanded into being a very relevant and important piece of all the resource management that's going on. So thank you for all the research and hard effort and work that's gone into this.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Judy. I'll make a comment on that. We're getting a lot of data from tribes and we're getting a lot of stuff nowadays that we never had before. We had a gal in Ninilchik, Amanda Lee, she was actually published for her study and her thesis on her grad school and she got it published actually. Some of you haven't seen it, but it was about basically the need of the fish for the indigenous people. It was food to their health and it was really tied in. It was a wonderful piece of work.

One comment I want to make and I know there's others that want to talk here, but, you know, to me the science -- and we could argue back and forth. On the Kenai they have an early run, they have a late run. They've never met that early run of kings in years. The late run they're adjusting it and playing with it. Those kings are all small. They're getting smaller and smaller. The big fish have been taken out of the gene pool.

The natural -- people that could go, look, we don't fish kings any more because there is none. We used to have an educational camp. We can't have it. There's no silvers anymore. So I don't need a scientist to tell me the fish are gone in that area.

The reds are thriving. The reds are thriving and they're over-escaping them. They shut down the commercial and they let over a million fish over their escapement goal in the Kenai. Over a million up in the Kasilof. So it's all out of whack. I mean the reds are surviving. You've heard it over and over they're surviving. In other streams they're surviving up north, but we're losing our fish that we lived off of.

Our people, we've said it over and over, we lived off of kings and silvers. That's a priority fish. We don't turn down fish if we need it. We're opportunists like Ralph Lohse used to say. When

we're there, you know, we're going to take what we need to survive and we're not going to overuse it. It's very important.

I know one time in the Gulf he made a comment, you know. I had to kind of laugh at him, but he said that -- they were talking about all the science, and it was good science. I thought it was a good report, you know, and you could always question it, but they had some data to back it up, but he told them they could throw it all overboard, just like the bycatch, because it was a bunch of B.S. because of what he saw on the ground.

So now we recognize technical ecological knowledge and that's a big part and we need to focus more on that in the regions in my opinion. Thank you.

MS. LINNELL: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and thank you, Ms. Caminer. You know, I do have a really good team and the work and the research. We worked hard to bring that down to a few pages. There's so much more information and work going on. They're doing analysis now on the carnivore project and the results that we're getting with that.

We're working with the Department of Fish and Game. We have a data sharing agreement with them. The reports will be co-produced following analysis. I think they're meeting this week or next week to finalize the variants that will go into the analysis. So you'll have a good, peer-reviewed -- probably three or four reports come out of that carnivore project that we did.

Then the sonar working with the Native Village of Eyak on the Klutina River based on that radio telemetry project that was done by the Native Village of Eyak we found 33 to 54 percent of the sockeye return goes into the Klutina River. So knowing what's happening there is important.

We kept the sonar in an extra week and a half, two weeks, and caught the biggest run ever on one day of 6,000 fish on the nearshore. That was based on that later run timing that we're seeing. So they were able to capture that. Our hope is to be able to expand that and get sonar on the other side of the

river so we can capture the entire run. That work and that science and that data collection that we're doing is always to fill data gaps and better inform management so that we know what's happening on the land. So thank you for that and thank you. I'll look for that report too. CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's quite interesting. Okay. Thank you, Karen. We do have another one. MS. PILCHER: Is that Melanie Brown? CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Melanie Brown, do you want to come up?

MS. BROWN: Good morning. My name is Melanie Brown. I work for SalmonState and I'm a member of Naknek Native Village in Bristol Bay. I'm here because I'm hoping that you'll elevate something to the Federal Subsistence Board.

The communication would need to be directed to a different department than the Department of the Interior, but it's something that would marry the land and the ocean space and hopefully help return salmon to rivers that have been suffering from low returns, especially king and chum in the Yukon and Kuskokwim Rivers.

There's an opportunity in lieu of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, which is very cumbersome to reauthorize because of the composition of the House and Senate in D.C., but in lieu of that the National Standards Guidelines, of which there are 10 -- basically they're lawfully-binding guidelines that would have oversight over the Amendment 80 Fleet in the Bering Sea and Gulf of Alaska.

There are three national standard guidelines that are under review right now. Guidelines four, eight and nine. Four pertains to allocations, eight pertains to bycatch and then nine to communities. The hope is that new language will be drafted into these guidelines that will create triggers, that will reduce the rate of fishing and bycatch and hopefully return fish to upriver communities on the Yukon and Kuskokwim Rivers and improve people ability to have a

relationship with salmon in coastal communities in Alaska where they're experiencing diminishing returns to the point where they can't even catch fish at all.

So the hope is that there will be language triggers that will perhaps cause the Amendment 80 Fleet to stand down in times of low returns to these river systems and other places that are experiencing declines or the inability to fish and participate in their salmon culture.

So I do have a sheet here that provides a little more background on the North Pacific Fisheries Management Council process and the guidelines that are under review and some language that would hopefully make the changes that are necessary to return fish to the Yukon and Kuskokwim Rivers and other river systems that are suffering from bycatch and ocean conditions.

If I may, I would love to give this to your coordinator so that your Council can review it and consider elevating it in your report to the Federal Subsistence Board.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yes, certainly give it to her. A question for you. On NOAA, when are they going to review these standards? Is this an April meeting coming up?

MS. BROWN: Yeah. What we've heard is that at the MRAT meeting last week Jon Kurland mentioned that it's expected that all three of the guidelines, national standard guidelines, will be under review simultaneously. Which will be cumbersome and a lot to track, but at the same time this is a good thing timing-wise because the hope is that the review will happen under this Administration, which would potentially be more favorable than whoever is in power in the next presidential administration.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you very much. Good information. Yeah, if you'd get that, we could get a copy for the Council members.

I'll make one more call. Is there anyone else out there for public comment on non-agenda items?

(No comments)

1 2 3

 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Hearing none, we're going to move on to old business and we're going to do some follow up on the Joint Council action items. I'm going to turn this Chair over to Nissa. She's going to talk about some correspondence and some letters and delegation of letters and follow up on the joint meeting, et cetera. So I'll let her dish it out.

MS. PILCHER: So first there was a couple letters that were discussed at the Joint Council meeting that your Council could choose to sign your names to currently or could also not. One of those was the bycatch letter. We just don't have the manpower to make the adjustments in real time to them get copies in front of you for the changes that were requested at that meeting.

Notes have been taken. The coordinator that's drafting that letter is very good at making sure what is wanted is conveyed. Because I don't have anything to put in front of you I also totally understand if you're leery of doing that. So that is one.

Another is the caribou letter, which is even less put together at this time. There was just a lot of information and there was not time to put a four or five-page letter together for everyone to review. So that's another potential letter.

 There are two additional letters that you could also choose to look at. I do have them in copies in front of me. One is about concerns with correspondence in that the concerns are the timeliness of Board replies. Two, transmittal of Council correspondence. So when Councils submit correspondence and request it be elevated, the concern is that takes too long. Also the lack of replies to Council correspondence, both from the Offices of the Secretaries of Agriculture and with the Board.

So that is one letter that is in hard copy. I have it in front of me and I will pass it out along with another letter that is concerning compensation. It sounds like this is not a new topic, but YKDelta RAC has been requesting compensation for the last couple cycles and is probably going to

continue chasing it and they have asked that other Councils sign on to this request for compensation.

You guys get travel, but you don't get honorarium. So this is saying that because of your knowledge and there's a selection process for you guys to even get on the Council, because of that, because you are knowledge holders that should also make it so that you guys could receive honorarium when you're at Council meetings because we -- I mean I recognize that currently you guys are volunteers. Like you're volunteering to be here. Yes, your travel is paid but your time is not. You're missing wages, you're missing time with family.

I will go ahead and pass those out real

 quick.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead, Ed.

MR. HOLSTEN: Just a quick question to Nissa. I might have missed something, but what's going to become of the caribou in the bycatch letters? Is there a timeline that those have to be submitted?

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I think what Nissa was suggesting is that we review them. We don't have time to do edits on them here, but come back and get them. I'll double check with her, but I'm pretty sure that's what she's saying. Maybe we could pass these two.

MR. HOLSTEN: Okay. Thanks.

MS. PILCHER: Yeah, that's for the caribou and bycatch letter. There isn't a timeframe. We're not burning up against like a deadline to get those submitted to something for some reason. So it is even something you could, to use the phrase, kick the can because at some point they will be drafted and you will be able to actually look at them. There are copies in the back of the room of this or there were last night. I didn't check this morning.

The top one is the one requesting honorarium and the second page is the one with the correspondence issues. Your Council, surprisingly enough, does not generate a lot of correspondence or I haven't found much in the last several years. So that

might be less of an issue for you.

 Just so you know that last page, the very first day, I believe it was the tariff. The All Council meeting referenced this House Joint Resolution No. 22. So it was included in there if anybody was interested, but I know nothing other than what is written on that piece of paper.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: The 22 we'll need to talk about that when we get to it because I can't see that -- anyway, we'll talk about it.

MS. PILCHER: So I guess the ask right now is when you guys are done digesting the letters if your Council wants to sign on to either/or, that would be an action item.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. You take some time, take a look at it and let me know when you're ready if you want to support these two letters. A letter on the compensation and they write on behalf of the Board here for public meeting response time for correspondence.

Then House Bill 22 I think that one will take some debate. I'm not sure. That's a joint resolution to change the legislature vote to change the constitution of the state of Alaska to read that subsistence users of renewable resources sustained yield. I think that's one for the State to take back control.

Go ahead, Hope.

MS. ROBERTS: I just noticed on page 2 for the compensation that Council members who live within 50 miles of where meetings must take place who attend by teleconference don't receive per diem. But 50 miles is a long ways today from places like Palmer because you know how traffic is, there's accidents or things like that especially because meetings held in the spring and the fall are adverse weather. That should also be changed.

That they should have the opportunity to have a hotel room as well so that they're not going back and forth from like Wasilla or Girdwood or something. They're actually risking their lives at

that point, you know. So that's something that doesn't seem to be in there, but it's in there.

MS. PILCHER: I believe that's a Federal travel policy unfortunately. I'm not sure if we would be able to change that. We can address it because you are very true. We have some ways of working with that and around that just to make sure people stay safe. We could request that that does get added in there because I mean the concern of people having to do this because it is a very real thing especially when you're traveling at dusk and in morning. That is a long way.

MS. ROBERTS: Thank you. I think it's worth addressing even if it is a Federal thing.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. If you're going to say it's a Federal reg and then you're going to look into it, right?

MS. PILCHER: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Yeah, I mean it's a good point. I live 50-60 miles when we go to Kenai and you've got to make round trips too, you know, but they've been kind enough to offer me a hotel room too. So that's worked out okay. But I know if you get under 50 if I go to Homer, they don't give you nothing.

Okay. Dennis did you have a question there? Go ahead.

MR. ZADRA: Yeah, I'm just trying to be clear on this resolution. I believe what they're trying to do I think they're trying to take -- right now the Constitution says every resident of the state is allowed subsistence opportunities in State subsistence areas.

 This is now giving that based on customary and traditional use, direct dependants, availability of alternative resources, place of residence. So I think this is a pretty big deal. This is going to keep all the Anchorage consortium of coming down and using our fishwheels in the Glennallen subdistrict, I guess.

```
0052
 1
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I kind of took it
 2
    that way too, but I don't know. I know the State --
     that's why we have a Federal program because they
 4
     didn't comply.
 5
 6
                     MR. ZADRA: Correct.
 7
 8
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: So what makes you
     think that they're going to change their squats. The
 9
10
     definition of insanity is doing the same thing and
11
     asking for a different result. Anyway, that's my
12
     comment. Thank you, Dennis.
13
14
                     Okay. Have you had a chance to read
15
     the first two letters? You guys want to make a motion
16
     to approve them or to send them on?
17
18
                     MR. OPHEIM: I'll make that motion that
19
    we accept these letters.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: There's two
22
     there? Yeah.
                    Thank you. Second.
23
24
                     MR. HOLSTEN: I'll second.
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Seconded by Ed.
27
    Any discussion.
28
29
                     (No comments)
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I hear no
32
    discussion. All in favor aye.
33
34
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
37
38
                     (No opposing votes)
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you very
41
    much. You see if you can get better compensation and
    better communications. Thank you.
42
43
44
                     MS. PILCHER: I'm going to -- when the
45
     bycatch and the caribou letters are drafted I will
46
    bring them back before your Council. I'm not sure I'm
47
     comfortable asking you to vote on something that I
48
     can't physically show you.
49
```

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah.

MS. ROBERTS: Just that last part before the bycatch thing. We addressed the 50 mile thing. Okay.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Nissa is going to give you another proposal. We're going to give you a little update on it and see what we want to do on adding seats or whatever to the Federal Board.

MS. PILCHER: Yeah. So on Tuesday you guys heard from Raina about the potential to add three seats to the Federal Subsistence Board. I expect Scott to jump up if I'm going to get this wrong or just in general.

Us coming to this information is not --we're not soliciting comments from you necessarily. This is not something that's coming from OSM. It is coming from the Department of Interior. But we will gladly help you formulate your comments, draft them up and get them submitted, of course. So you heard Raina's presentation.

In the Federal Register they did ask four questions specifically about this. They are lengthy, so if you wanted I could read them and you could see if you wanted to answer them. That's one option. Another option is to just offer comments. Another option is to not do anything with it. So it is really up to you guys and I will support whatever you guys would like to do.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. I just want to add a little bit for clarification there. They did have a meeting with all 10 RAC Chairs, then they talked about the Department of Interior taking the lead to potentially seating three more public members on the Federal Board and those public members would be nominated by a tribe. So my response to some of them, and you heard it in the meetings before, 223 Federal tribes in the state of Alaska. That's going to get a little cumbersome. I'm sure they're all going to nominate someone. I know in our local area of our eight tribes we're going to nominate. We're trying to come together to nominate one person if this does happen.

 You heard at the All-RAC meeting that some of the Chairs and some of the people were talking that some of those members be off of the existing RACs because of their knowledge and so on and so forth. I don't know if it's going to come to fruition, but my comment when I met with the 10 Chairs was that I sure as hell didn't want to be on no Federal Board, but I would gladly love to be there just so I could make the damn decisions for actions.

Anyway, I'm sure there's a lot of people that feel the way I do, but I just threw that out there. Anyway, whatever we want to do on this. If there's other comments you want to give and then we can submit them I think would probably be the right way. I don't think it's all fair to not know how it's going to work yet. That's my comment.

MS. ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I'm not sure if I'm ready to make this comment yet or not, but when people are elected from groups of villages sometimes I noticed in areas that natural resource departments are highly like led by maybe corporations and stuff by money and things. I think that if these seats were to happen they should be by people who actually live in these rural villages and do exactly what they do as rural people, not those who are employed, you know, to say that they do it. You see what I'm saying? I don't know if that's....

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I get what you're saying exactly, but I think they covered that because these are tribal nominations. They are not corporations. They're not working groups. They have to come from a tribe and they have to be a rural resident, a user of the resource, et cetera. I think it's coming from the right people. Now how those tribes go about selecting their leader or who they want to represent them that's another story.

 MS. PILCHER: That is one of the questions that they did ask in the proposed rule is where should these nominations come from. Should they just come from tribes. Should corporations and other entities also be included to nominate. So, yeah, you could make a comment that you do not believe that corporations should be involved. It should just be the tribes.

0055 1 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: We added a comment that we think it should just stick to the 2 tribes what they intended to do. I think there's a lot more to that story, but I think you all know it -- and, Andy, I will get right to you -- is that when they did their statewide groups and talking to them, a lot of 6 7 people felt that they wanted more representation on the public side of that Federal Board. So that's why they 8 went to the Department of Interior and come up with a 9 10 recommendation, I believe. 11 12 Okay, Andy. 13 14 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 15 I'd just say it would be great if it was a Federally 16 qualified rural subsistence user requirement. 17 18 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. We got 19 that comment. Is there any other comments we want to 20 add to that? I'm assuming we're going to probably hear 21 this back again. We've got Ed. Go ahead. 22 23 MR. HOLSTEN: Just a couple comments. 24 One, I'm all in favor of having more members on the 25 Board coming from the tribes. My only concern is the 26 expansion of the number of people on these committees. 27 In my opinion it just gets very, very cumbersome. 28 Decisions are harder to make. My only recommendation, 29 and it wouldn't happen, is to get rid of agencies and 30 Board members. 31 32 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: He said it. Not 33 me. 34 35 (Laughter) 36 37 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. You know, 38 I've heard that be debated. I thank you for that 39 comment. I don't know if you want to put get rid of 40 the agencies, but put the other part. 41 42 MR. AYERS: Thank you again. This is 43 Scott Ayers. I just wanted to remind the Council that 44 this is a proposed rule that's been put out there. It has a 60-day comment window, which is open right now, 45 46 so there will not be another opportunity for this 47 Council to come back and speak to this again.

And to Member Holsten's comment, one of

49 50

the things that this would do if we added three more public members that were tribally nominated or otherwise would be that there would be more public members than Agency members at that point in time, so it would shift that dynamic.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I wasn't going to say that, but I was smiling about it. I knew the numbers. Okay. That's a good point though. Go ahead, Judy.

MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I think this has been a good discussion. I certainly support what's been said about adding tribal members who are Federally qualified. I think it's also important and it's not maybe really what we're being asked, that Staff be provided to these new members, to all the public members, because the agencies have their own staff and can get briefed on a variety of proposals, but other people on the Board need to be supportive.

MS. ROBERTS: That was a great comment, Judy. Thank you. To the concern of adding more people. We heard Kenneth Nukwak from Manokotak the other day about how his proposal almost passed, but if I remember correctly I was there and it was a split decision and there was a tie-breaker there that really shouldn't -- there should be more people there so that way, you know, if they're split that way it would be an easier decision. It might have passed and he might have gotten what he needed for his family and tribe.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Good point.

38 Diane.

 MS. SELANOFF: I just wanted to make the comment that they were discussing a name come forward from the tribes or a member come forward from the RACs. I don't mind it coming forward from the RACs because when you have a tribe who is in an area, they'll focus primarily on theirs, but if it comes from the RAC and you're aware of all the concerns of the region, then I think it would be better representation if that makes sense.

```
0057
 1
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I think it makes
    sense. Okay. Thank you. Any other comments. Andy.
 2
 4
                    MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
 5
     I would support what Ms. Selanoff said there. Coming
 6
     from a RAC they already kind of know the system.
 7
     There's been kind of a history and a knowledge of
    ANILCA and there's other things that would be important
 9
    to bring to the table at that type of decision-making.
10
11
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, we got
12
    that. Any others. Michael.
13
14
                     MR. OPHEIM: I was just kind of echo
15
    what Diane and Andy said. They've gone through the
    vetting process if they've been on the RAC.
16
17
18
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Good point.
19
    Thank you. Did we get everyone's comment in there? My
20
     comment would be I encourage them to appoint Federally
21
     recognized tribal members and consider RAC members
22
    both.
23
24
                     Okay. I think we got that one. Very
25
     good. What else would you like to comment on, Nissa.
26
27
                    MS. PILCHER: Let me look at Scott real
     quick. Do they need a motion in order to get that
28
29
    draft submitted?
30
31
                     MR. AYERS: They certainly can.
32
33
                     MS. PILCHER: Can you guys make a
34
    motion just so it's perfectly clear.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Only if you tell
37
    us what you wrote down. Thank you.
38
39
                    MS. PILCHER: Okay. Let's sum it up.
40
     Tribal only, no corporations involved. Federally
41
    qualified subsistence user. Staff be provided to the
42
    public members. Then Federally recognized tribal
43
    members or RAC members would be the ones that would be
44
    supported. You'll be reviewing the comment before I
45
     submit it.
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Am I done
48
    with everyone?
49
50
```

```
0058
 1
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I so move as read.
 2
 3
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Andy makes a
 4
    motion to accept.....
 5
 6
                     MR. OPHEIM: Second.
 7
 8
                    CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It's been moved
    and seconded. We'll have discussion. Judy, we're open
 9
    for discussion. Go ahead.
10
11
12
                     MS. CAMINER: Thank you. I was just
13
    going to mention we could possibly also say former RAC
14
    members.
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That covers it.
17
    Okay. We've got a motion on the floor. We've got a
18
    second. We had a comment.
19
20
                     MS. PILCHER: Could I double check who
21
     -- Andy, you made the motion or the second? You were
22
    the second. Who was the motion made by?
23
24
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Andy.
25
26
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I made the motion.
27
28
                     MS. PILCHER: Okay. Who seconded it?
29
    Okay, thanks.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Hearing
32
    that, all in favor aye.
33
34
                     IN UNISON: Aye.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed.
37
38
                     (No opposing votes)
39
40
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: So carries.
41
    Thank you. Okay, we've got that done. Scott would
42
    have went just with the letter, but we got him covered
43
    now.
44
45
                     All right. We're going to move on to
46
    Council correspondence update. There's a few of them
47
    here, so I'll let Nissa provide the comments to the
48
    Board of Fish that we put before and then we're going
49
     to get into -- after those comments we'll go to
50
```

MS. SELANOFF: Excuse me. Before we move on the two letters that were sent out were talked about vesterday the caribou and the -- are those going

about yesterday, the caribou and the -- are those going to be written up and then provided to us by email and when is time for action on those?

MS. PILCHER: It would probably be the fall just because we wouldn't be able to take action over email.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ SELANOFF: But we could get them by email in advance so they could be.....

MS. PILCHER: Yes.

MS. SELANOFF: Okay. Thank you.

MS. PILCHER: Okay. And then the correspondence update. At your last meeting you requested comments be sent to the Board of Fish on specifically Proposal 8. That was done. It is not in your packet as it should have been. We had a little bit of a SNAFU with our processing system, so I don't actually have -- I couldn't find the final version, but it was submitted. Michael was at that meeting and can attest that it got where it was supposed to go.

The other piece of correspondence that you guys requested that I do it was a letter about the delegation of authority in Unit 6. That letter is in draft form. It was at your table this morning on top of a much larger pamphlet. That pamphlet is all of the delegation of authority letters for wildlife and fish in this region that was requested as well for you guys to have.

If you wanted to take a look at that delegation of authority letter and offer any edits, I can incorporate that and then send it through OSM's internal review system so it can get finalized. Greg can sign off and it can get where it's going.

Another potential option is if people are comfortable with what it looks like now. You can also assign it to a Council member, say like Andy, to work with me on any potential additional edits or adjustments as well.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, you guys. I'll give you some time to review that delegation letter and see if we've got edits to it. It's a letter to Anthony Christianson. It's expressing concerns over a delegation of authority letter within the region. It refers to our meeting on October 3 and 4 in Kenai. Please consider this our formal request to rescind the delegation of authority letter in addition to the written request the Council intends to verbally request rescinding delegation of authority April 2024 Board meeting. Is that correct?

It talks about specifically rescinding Unit 6 delegation of authority. We would also like to share with the Board our concern over the delegation in general and the process in which they are created and conducted and rescinded. Then we go into further -- we go into quite a bit, so I'll let you guys read for yourself. That was a refresh for me, so we definitely wanted to rescind some stuff.

MS. PILCHER: Yeah, it was a deep dive into your guys's minutes. So do take a look. Nothing I put in here wasn't anything that I didn't find in your minutes, but ideas or concerns could have changed. I had to go many years in the past to look at multiple conversations you had over the years.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, we've had probably half a rack meeting on this delegation of authority a few times. So we did take a deep dive into it and hopefully this letter captured it all.

(Pause)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. What's your guys' thoughts on the letter here? Andy, I'm going to ask you since you had a lot to do with this specifically Unit 6. Thank you.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: The main crux to me was the sunset clause provision was never followed. Was never even brought forth to the Board from here and that was something that we deliberated about for hours and hours on end about the delegation of authority originally in the first place.

In my mind, in this booklet of the delegation of authorities that currently exist, was

```
0061
 1
     front page on page 1. This one should not even be in
     effect because that sunset clause should have already
     ended by now and not be in effect for the in-season
 4
    management of deer and moose in Unit 6.
 5
 6
                     MS. PILCHER: In part that's one of the
 7
    things the letter is conveying is this Council wants
    that to be removed. I believe at your last meeting it
    was explained that you could either do it in writing or
10
    in person at a Board meeting and I think the Chairman
11
     said he was going to go to that meeting and verbally do
12
     it. So it would be in writing and verbally requested
13
    to be removed.
14
15
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Thank you. That would
    be my main thing is the sunset clause wasn't followed,
16
17
    wasn't even recommended and this should not even exist
18
    right now.
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Is
21
     everyone good on sending it? After the review I think
22
    we're okay. Any objections to it?
23
24
          (No objections)
25
26
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Do we have to
27
    have a motion?
28
29
                     MS. PILCHER: Cleanest that way, yes.
30
31
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Would we
32
    make a motion to say we reviewed the letter here and we
33
     approved sending it to the Federal Board?
34
35
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I so move.
36
37
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Moved by Andy.
38
    Is there a second?
39
40
                     MS. SELANOFF: Second.
41
42
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any other
43
    discussion?
44
45
                     (No comments)
46
47
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hearing none.
48
    All in favor aye.
49
```

0062 1 IN UNISON: Aye. 2 3 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any opposed. 4 5 (No opposing votes) 6 7 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: When smoke flies 8 I'm going to probably hear about it. Okay. Thank you. 9 10 11 MS. PILCHER: The next bit ties into 12 that letter. You guys, at your last meeting we 13 requested you get copies of all of the delegation of 14 authority letters, which you have in that big packet. 15 You can take it with you. Do whatever you want with 16 it. 17 18 What I would like is direction from the 19 Council on further action because over the course while 20 I was looking through all your transcripts you guys 21 have requested at various times over the history of the 22 Council to have some sort of review process for these 23 on the Council. 24 25 I know the fisheries closures are 26 reviewed periodically. So if you wanted to take a look 27 and say -- first off, I don't know if you guys are concerned over just the wildlife delegation of 28 29 authorities or both wildlife and fisheries and there 30 are both. Both are in the packet. 31 32 Then also do you want to start 33 instigating a process at one of your meetings in a 34 cycle you review a specific number of them to ensure 35 that they're still meeting the needs of why they were 36 put on in the first place? 37 38 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I'm going to try 39 and answer that. I think we did set that up as a review. We did want a review of them and we've asked 40 41 for that many of times. We got them in bits and 42 pieces. So I'm not sure what we all reviewed and what we haven't reviewed to be honest with you. So if you

want to get it on the schedule it would be my

them in an orderly manner of some shape.

suggestion that we do have a review process. We now

certainly can come back in a future meeting and review

have a booklet to read. We don't have enough time

today to read through that and approve it, but we

49 50

44

45

46

47

1

2

3 4

5

6

7 8

13 14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

28 29 30

31

43

44

45 46

37

38

Go ahead.

MS. SELANOFF: I think we should add them to our schedule to review I think initially. will seem like a lot because we're going through everything, but as we continue on in the years I think with the meetings they'll get smaller so they won't require as much time. We need to review them.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Yeah, we need to review, but I think we've reviewed a lot of them. You might want to check on that. Okay. She's going to set us up with a schedule of review and we will review them. So if that's good enough, that's where we're landing. Thank you.

MS. PILCHER: We will make that happen.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Nissa is going to give us a current charter change review.

MS. PILCHER: This will be super fast. You guys did hear a bit about it on the first day of the meeting. The updated charter is in your meeting book. The change was that all councils were added a youth seat. It's on Page 77. On Page 79 under 12 membership and designation, that's where it has the language about the non-voting young leader member.

So it's still the representative member must be residents of the region. Must be knowledgeable and experienced in matters relating to the subsistence use of fish and wildlife. In addition to being a resident of the region represented by the Council, the one non-voting young leader member must be between the age of 18 and 25 and must participate in subsistence activities, be otherwise knowledgeable in matters related to subsistence uses of fish and wildlife, or be engaged in a resource management-related field of study.

That language is in there because we didn't want somebody from say Copper Center to be going to school at Fairbanks and then ineligible to sit on the Council because they were residing in Fairbanks and not going to school in their home community. Because if they lived in Fairbanks, they wouldn't be eligible to sit on this Council. So that's the reason for that language in there.

So it's in there. We were hoping to get the Charters in time to start building a framework of how the non-voting seats would be filled so we could come to you guys to seek input and comments on that, but we just got the Charters. So we didn't have time to do that. In the fall we'll be coming to you with more information on this. We're the government and we're very slow.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: It looks like you covered it pretty well in your document here. Any comments on that? I mean it's something we all wanted and it's something that the Council is trying to get, so it sounds like we're making progress moving forward. Okay. It sounds like there's no action we could do that.

Go ahead, Diane.

MS. SELANOFF: Where it says to be engaged in a resource management-related field of study, it could be a subsistence user who is in a field of study, not necessarily resource management. So if they were going to school for something and not residing in the area, so can it be just a field of study instead of specifying resource management?

MS. PILCHER: So the language is the same across all Councils. I'm not super positive why it is resource management-related field of study. I imagine that can be a pretty broad statement. I can take down that comment. You guys will be reviewing your Charter again shortly. I can make a note to bring that back up and maybe that could be adjusted.

 MS. SELANOFF: I just want to make sure that if somebody from like the Copper River was going to school in Fairbanks but they were going to be an accountant or something that they would be eliminated from the process because of their field of study and that they had planned to go back and work for their tribe or whatever.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Good comment. Thanks. Any other. Andy, go ahead.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ MCLAUGHLIN: I think just the word or in there means it could be one of those three things that are separated by the commas.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Anything else?

(No comments)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. We'll move on to our next item on the agenda. That's consider developing State regulatory proposals. Go ahead, Nissa.

MS. PILCHER: This is just an agenda item that's on there as a catchall. So the Board of Game will be sending out a call for proposals for this next cycle which will include the Central and Southwest Regions, which is 9, 10, 11, 13, 14a, 14b, 16 and 17 and statewide regulations. The Board of Fish will be sending out a call for proposals for their next meeting cycle for shellfish. So Prince William Sound finfish and shellfish, Southeast Yakutat finfish and shellfish, and statewide shellfish.

This is mostly an FYI. There's a handful of you that are on your local ACs and can work through that process, but if the Council wanted to submit a proposal to either of these boards on any of those subjects this would be a time to do that and I can assist with that.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Anyone got a proposal that they've thought about or want assistance with or want to submit it. I guess you can at any time once the call comes out, so it's not like it's the end of the rail. Hopefully they'll listen to your proposal, but anyone that's been to the Board of Fish knows how that goes. We shouldn't laugh about that, should I? Okay. It is a laughing matter though. Okay, thank you.

Okay, we got that. We considered it and we're going to continue to consider it. We now are going to go to agency reports. We had a thing on our agency reports that they're written unless a special report is requested by the Council. We did that because we knew we had a very short time this Council meeting and we've had a long week.

I know that one request -- maybe, Caroline, you could come up and give us a report on your caribou if you would. We'd appreciate that. I will take time for that. And any other agency that

want to have a report we do have some time so we could go ahead and take them.

Thank you.

MS. KETRON: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record I'm Caroline Ketron. I'm the anthropologist and subsistence coordinator for the Bureau of Land Management in Glennallen. Since you have copies of our normal report I'll keep it pretty short.

As you know, the Unit 13 Federal subsistence hunt for caribou was closed this year entirely. There were several different Wildlife Special Actions kind of in sequence as we're considering options. The end result was that there was no Federal subsistence hunt in Unit 13 and all the State hunts were closed as well.

 The Federal moose harvest was lower than normal. Let me look at my numbers here. We ended up with 37 bulls harvested. If you look at our chart, normally it's kind of between 50 and 80. So that's low for us. We'll see what happens.

I have a quick update also from our area biologist on the spring caribou and sort of outlook for moose if that's something the Council would like to hear.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Sure.

MS. KETRON: All right. So from our area biologist in October they ended up with only three calves per 100 cows going into winter. I believe the objective is more like 40 to 100. There were 25 bulls per 100 cows. Fish and Game deployed 16 collars on cow calves, one collar on a cow yearling and 15 GPS collars on adult cows.

 Calf weights were below the long-term average and in their sample size of 16 calves they documented more pulled animals and more broken antlers than they're used to seeing in addition to one curly coat that may have been indicative of -- she's got gestational dysmaturity, which I'm guessing is an issue with calf development.

The Nelchina Herd chose to winter

closer to home this year in the Mentasta Mountains and the Tetlin Flats. Snow conditions out that way were less than what we've been experiencing in the Glennallen area, so that's a good thing. Maybe they had a slightly easier winter.

Fish and Game has seen quite a bit of early winter mortality from predation this season. Wolf control is not an option on the Federal lands and it's difficult for trappers to target wolves in areas where caribou are wintering. So again from Fish and Game they're hoping right now — or the only hope they have for an increase in herd size this spring is to perhaps have a bumper crop of calves born and also live through the spring rather than die on the calving grounds or have caribou show up on the calving grounds from somewhere else, which is not unheard of with caribou.

So the short version is that we're not sure yet. The numbers are still dire and we can expect to have to continue to make some hard decisions this fall. I guess any questions about that and I have another short update on moose.

MR. OPHEIM: You mentioned the low numbers for the moose harvested. Is that due to lower numbers of people applying for those permits or something else? Is that also maybe the backside of the pandemic where we saw a bunch of people now wanting to harvest and now maybe that's dropping off because they're not having to rely on going out and doing things?

MS. KETRON: Through the Chair. Yeah, that's a great question. Our permit numbers from the Glennallen Field Office for moose were about the same this year. Slightly lower by maybe a hundred permits, but in general we saw the same participation in the moose hunt as far as folks getting permits. The weather and people success rate may have affected moose harvest. There were reports of leaves staying on the trees until towards the end of moose season and reports of people just not seeing animals moving around.

I have a little bit of information from Fish and Game on the trends they're seeing in the moose population, but as to the question of participation people are getting their Federal subsistence moose

0068 permits for 13 and we just didn't see the harvest. 2 3 MR. OPHEIM: Thank you. 4 5 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Andy, go ahead. 6 7 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Is there still this projected -- considering the biotic 8 9 potential of the herd that's projected two to three 10 decades before the herd could recover back to what had 11 just existed previous years, very recently? 12 13 MS. KETRON: For Nelchina Caribou? 14 Yeah, a more precise answer is going to need to come 15 from Fish and Game, but, yeah, that's what's our conversation has been, sort of 10 to 15 to 20. The 16 17 numbers are really low right now, so that's the 18 conversation we've kind of all been having in our 19 community. That sounds about right. 20 Just a little bit more about moose. 21 22 23 24 25 26

Again, this is from our area biologist in Unit 13. The Unit 13 subunits most relevant to Federal subsistence hunting for moose are 13B and 13D. Both of those units saw a declining trend in moose numbers this year and are below abundance objectives. Subunit 13A and 13C are doing pretty well in terms of Fish and Game's moose survey results, so they're within the abundance objectives for moose. 13E has shown a recent decline based on those surveys.

30 31 32

33

34

27

28

29

So in summary based on their surveys the moose population is declining some, so that in combination with the weather conditions in this year's moose season may have affected harvest. So we'll see.

35 36 37

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: The decline is weather and anything else?

38 39 40

41 42

43

44

45

MS. KETRON: I've got 13D for moose -again, this is from the Fish and Game -- has been on the decline since approximately 2010. Fish and Game asked the Board of Game to add it to the wolf control area. Last winter regulatory year 2022 was the first year that Alaska Department of Fish and Game was able to activate wolf control in 13D.

46 47 48

During 2023 moose surveys there was a small sample size, but they did have a high calf/cow

```
0069
    ratio and it's the highest that's ever been observed in
    that count area. So they're hoping that that's a good
    sign that the wolf control is maybe being an effective
 4
    tool for them.
 5
 6
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very interesting.
 7
    Good. We've done some in-house wolf/predator control
    in Ninilchik and we've definitely seen some increases
 9
     over the years in the moose. We've lowered those pack
10
    sizes.
11
12
                     Any other questions for Caroline?
13
14
                     (No comments)
15
16
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you for
17
     coming up. Good deal.
18
19
                     MS. KETRON: Thank you.
20
21
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Is there anyone
22
     out there besides Ken that might want to give us a
23
     little update on their report? Matt.
24
25
                     MR. PICHE: Good morning. Matt Piche,
26
    fish biologist for Native Village of Eyak down in
27
    Cordova. I'm going to be real quick. I just wanted to
28
     state that the 2023 Copper River chinook salmon inriver
29
     abundance estimate -- this is the amount of chinook
30
    salmon passing through Baird Canyon -- was 49,308
31
     chinook salmon. That's with a standard error of 5,540.
32
33
34
                     The way that that stacks up in terms of
35
     total returning run size before any harvest takes place
36
     is the 11th highest run size that we've seen over the
37
    past 22 years, so it kind of puts us right in the
    middle there. It is 14,006 chinook salmon higher than
38
39
     the most recent 10-year average returning run size. So
40
     just wanted to share that data.
41
42
                     Thank you.
43
44
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Interesting data
45
    on the kings. Questions for Matt anyone?
46
47
                     (No comments)
48
49
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Thank you,
```

Matt. Ken, I wasn't trying to put you on the spot, but since you're from the Kenai I figured maybe I'd get you to talk a little bit. We work with Ken pretty closely and we've done good things on the Kenai. We still don't have any kings, but that's the way it goes. Go ahead, Ken. I'll let you give a little report there.

MR. GATES: Thanks, Greg. Yeah, I anticipated that. I already had it pulled up. It's not in your booklet, but OSM has this report to the Federal Subsistence Board, so they should be able to get you the hard copy after I get done.

I'm the in-season manager for Upper Cook Inlet Federal fisheries. The fisheries largely comprise set gillnet and dipnet fisheries on the Kasilof and then gillnet and dipnet fisheries on the Kenai River. The dipnet fishery largely takes place in the Russian River at the falls and then there's some ancillary rod and reel activity that takes place mostly under State regulation.

The big thing I want to point out to the Council here is that our permits have grown every year. The number of permits we issue. We're up to about 481 right now. We'll probably break 500 next year. We had a new community come on board, Moose Pass. This last year was the first year they participated in the fishery, so we'd anticipate the number of residents from Moose Pass increasing in the participation rate.

Last year we harvested roughly around 6,000 salmon. We're still receiving some permits from individuals. Those are due by January 15th. This year we actually have a large number of outstanding permits that were not turned in, but generally speaking most people are pretty good.

There's an in-season reporting requirement as well to help us understand where we're at, you know. I'll acknowledge firsthand that the numbers we're harvesting are minute compared to the other fisheries that take place in Cook Inlet and the Kasilof/Kenai Rivers.

The one benefit to the permit system is it allows me as a Federal manager to work with the State and illustrate that we aren't targeting certain

species or there's no need for us to take action at certain times. Having the information at hand really quiets them down a little bit when I get phone calls and emails from their managers requesting that we follow suit with their actions. So I just want that on the record that the permitting system does benefit the Federal user in that way.

So just to recap, as you all probably know, the NTC with Ninilchik received permits to fish gillnets both on the Kasilof, Kenai and, as Greg mentioned, the bulk of the Ninilchik residents utilize that fishery to receive their fish. Then the Russian River is by the next largest fishery that takes place and most of those are Hope, Cooper Landing and now Moose Pass residents.

I'll just leave it at that or take any questions.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good. I appreciate Ken. It's an example of management that works closely with the village. He's able to say, you know, we don't need to close the kings because you guys aren't taking any. Common sense approach. I like it. You know, but still allowing us that opportunity if someone does go up there and get one without shutting us down.

Go ahead, Andy.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. What methodology is used to try to collect these late reports, harvest reports?

MR. GATES: So we do send out courtesy emails in advance of the January 15th timeline to request people to turn their reports in, if that's what you're asking. But the methodology for them to report they have several options now. They can mail it in free of charge, they can take a photo of it and send it via email, which is identified on the permit, or they can drop it off at our office in person.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: I might suggest not just sending an email but also following up with some type of mail or is that taking place?

MR. GATES: We used to do Priority Mail

```
0072
 1
    or Certified Mail and the results aren't any different.
    We can certainly pursue that again, but it seems like
     -- we have emails for every participant anyway, so it's
     just an easier communication record because then they
     can just easily respond to the email by taking a photo
 5
     of the permit and sending it back, which a lot of them
 6
 7
     do prefer that method because they have a record of it
 8
     and then it doesn't cost them any money.
 9
10
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: So how many
11
     outstanding reports have not been turned in?
12
13
                     MR. GATES: I'd have to dive into the
14
    database right now to look at that. I can tell you
15
     what we had last year. There was 51 outstanding and 22
     -- or 21 and then 35 last year, I believe.
16
17
18
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: A follow-up phone call
19
    might, you know.....
20
21
                     MR. GATES: We do call as well.
22
23
                     MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Very good.
24
25
                     MR. GATES: Usually we send an email
26
     and then we obviously get a flurry of calls coming in
27
     and then I do call the individuals that haven't
28
     responded.
29
30
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I know they've
31
     sent letters in the past because I've got one.
32
33
                     MR. GATES: Greg and I had to have a
34
     hard conversation one year.
35
36
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: I got threatened
37
     that they were going to cut off my rights.
38
39
                     MR. GATES: Put me in an awkward spot.
40
41
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any questions
42
    here for Ken? Yeah, Dennis.
43
44
                     MR. ZADRA: Yeah, real quick. Do you
45
     have like any punitive things for not turning in your
46
    permit like the State does where you're not eligible
47
     for that permit the following year?
48
49
                     MR. GATES: Yes, we do, and it states
```

it in the regulation and on the back of the permit. We send a letter out with the permit as well to just kind of -- we always re-emphasize like please turn your permits in. We talk to the individuals. But, yes, there's a stipulation in there that if we don't receive the permit, that they would be ineligible for the upcoming season.

MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. It seems like one email only seems like not a due process. Maybe a few other -- I mean if there's like a little check-off, oh, made a phone call and an email and sent a letter, then not eligible.

MR. GATES: Yeah, duly noted. I'll just for the record also. The fishery largely takes place in June, July and a little bit in August at the Russian River Falls. There's several months that generally pass between when you really have to turn a permit in. You know, there's ample opportunity and time to turn it in. But, yeah, duly noted.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Ken, we do have a daily reporting when we catch them, right?

MR. GATES: Yes. That's part of the in-season management reporting requirement, yeah. So we both receive it. As far as the gillnet harvest, part of our agreement with NTC is the individuals operating gillnets send me a daily report out on the gillnet. I don't have the dipnet numbers, but I talk to individual permit holders that call the dipnet in.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Go ahead,

Michael.

MR. OPHEIM: I was just curious. For the reporting do you find that it's the new people that are getting the permits or does it matter across, you know, the timelines of how long somebody has been doing it?

 MR. GATES: Great question. To be honest with you, a lot of times they're just repeat offenders. I'm dead serious about it. So you go into the record and look and it's not their first time. So some individuals just have organization problems maybe.

MS. SELANOFF: How do you account for

that with your numbers? So if somebody is not turning in their permits, do you presume that they have taken fish or not taken fish? How do you account for that with your reporting?

MR. GATES: That's a great question too. So Greg mentioned in-season harvest reporting requirement and then we have the mail the permits in and I'll just state that the official record of any harvest that occurs comes from the permit being turned in, the in-season reporting requirement we don't have a mechanism to enter that into the database to track harvest.

So if we were to just pull up a permit in the database and say this is how many fish from this community are being harvested, the only way we get that is through the permit being turned in. So the in-season reporting doesn't get entered into the actual database. So I guess they go unaccounted for. We have to assume it's zero. We can't guess at the harvest if we don't get the permit card put in. If that answers your question. So the only record of harvest is what gets entered into the database through the permit.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Alrighty. Well, thank you, Ken. I think that was a good update. Dave, you want to -- Dave, before you start I think we'll take a short break just to pause and then we'll have enough stamina to make it through the last half hour. So let's take five minutes and we'll come back and finish up. Thank you, Dave.

(Off record)

(On record)

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay, Dave, if you want to come up that would be good. Go ahead.

MR. SARAFIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Dave Sarafin, the fisheries management biologist at Wrangell-St. Elias National Park and Preserve. Thanks for the opportunity to talk after sitting through the whole week of meetings. It's good to at least get on record with some contributions.

I've prepared a fishery report that's in your meeting book. I think it's on page 52. Just

covering the key points on that briefly. Fish and Game comes out with their pre-season forecast each season for the Copper River. For chinook salmon they're forecasting 47,000, which is 2 percent below the 10-year average. For wild stock sockeye 1,965,000 and that's 13 percent above the 10-year average for wild stock. The total combining with the Gulkana Hatchery just over 2 million is their forecast.

During the 2023 season once again the Copper River salmon run began weak but then increased in strength as the season progressed. Miles Lake sonar reported estimated season total passage of just under a million salmon. That was 71 percent above their management objective of 581,000. So the management objectives, you know, it's designed to have enough for the sustainable escapement and then harvest in the other various in-river fisheries.

Historical Federal subsistence harvest number of permits issued for last year -- these are on Tables 1 to 4. I'd like to bring attention mainly to Table 1, which is a good indicator for how the Federal subsistence harvests have been. So for 2023 total harvest, if we look at all fish combined, which is mostly the sockeye, 21,468 salmon -- mostly salmon harvested there. So that's between the five-year and ten-year average.

The other tables get into details by subdistrict and numbers of permits for each subdistrict. One interesting pattern we're seeing in usage goes back to -- I think it was maybe 2018-19 when we changed our management strategy and no longer followed the openings and closings of the State personal use fishery. So that purveyed a lot of opportunities to users that preferred harvesting by dipnet.

We also have limited fishwheels where many users have to know someone with a wheel and then they have to deal with having a spot on the schedule of using the wheel. So having this new opportunity in Chitina there has been an increase in harvest by dipnet.

Over to the right I've provided some percentages where you can see last year it just went to 31 percent that actually were harvested by dipnet.

Most of that will be out of the Chitina subdistrict for the Federal users.

2 3 4

So, yeah, numbers of permits in the Lower Copper River. That was 71 permits total in-season reported harvest was 176 sockeye and four chinook salmon. We are still receiving some post-season or season end reports. I think we maybe picked up another four sockeye that had not been reported in season.

 So I think there is still some confusion that in-season reporting created. They're used to the other Delta fishery where it's a season end report and people feel like if they report in-season they don't have to provide the post-season report, but then we have no way of knowing if they actually fished in addition to what was done in season.

Tanada Creek Weir, Batzulnetas. Last year we documented 9,254 sockeye salmon. So that's roughly one-half of our historical average at the weir of 18,000. Since I've been coming here for so many years talking about Tanada Creek Weir I'd like everyone to know that I put a poster together that you could view. I think they're downstairs in the main conference room and you could learn more about the project from that with some photos if you are curious.

I believe Matt Piche as well put a poster together for his king salmon work in the river. We also have a collaborative project going on with Fish and Game Copper River salmon research on genetics. So it's the second year of that, trying to get some in-season genetic information to see if that could be a useful tool in future management practices.

 Last year it was kind of interesting that from the earlier commercial harvest it did have a higher composition of the upper river stocks. So if they just happened to get disproportionately caught early on in some of those openers maybe, but I don't know if that somehow relates to the lower run we observed at the Tanada Weir. So it's too early to really want to speculate much on what's going on with that, but we're trying to get a better understanding of what could drive those changes and what it means for how to apply it to management.

```
0077
 1
                     So that's everything I have for you.
     I'm open for any questions.
 2
 3
 4
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good.
 5
     Questions for Dave.
 6
 7
                     MR. HOLSTEN: Just quick, Dave.
 8
     Where's most of the increased dipnetting occurring?
 9
10
                     MR. SARAFIN: Most of it's in the
11
    Chitina subdistrict and it would typically -- most of
     it would be happening when the personal use is closed
12
13
    because the crowd is not there, so it gives a -- you
14
    know, you're not fishing with a big crowd, which I
15
     always come to despise fishing when I can see someone
16
     else.
17
18
                     (Laughter)
19
20
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any other
21
    questions for Dave.
22
23
                     (No questions)
24
25
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you.
26
    Appreciate it. Okay, next.
27
28
                     MS. COHEN: Good morning to the
29
     Council. My name is Amber Cohen also from Wrangell-St.
30
    Elias National Park and Preserve where I work as a
31
     cultural anthropologist alongside Barbara Cellarius.
32
    We have a report for you that starts on Page 48 in your
33
     meeting book. I'll keep it short and sweet.
34
35
                     We issued 209 federal subsistence
36
     hunting permits for moose, goat, and sheep for Wrangell
37
     St. Elias lands in Units 11 and 12 along with the
38
     Tetlin National Wildlife Refuge. The most frequently
39
     issued permit was our fall moose hunt in Unit 11. We
40
     issued 140 permits for that hunt, of which 59 people
41
    hunted and 10 moose were harvested. For all the hunts
42
     that you see on Page 49 and Page 50 we have about 95 to
43
     97 percent of harvest reports in.
44
45
                     The only ones we're really still
46
     waiting for are our winter moose hunt, which the
47
     harvest reports were due on January 20th. I have been
48
     emailing, sending letters and calling our permittees
49
     and hopefully we'll get that number back up to 95 or
```

so. When I say 95 to 97 percent, that usually means there's like one person that didn't respond. So we're just waiting for them.

Along with our Federal permits we also have a joint Federal/State permit, which is the RM291, along the Nabesna Road for Units 11 and 12. We issued 297 permits along with Fish and Game, 72 people hunted on that permit and 10 moose were harvested. All 10 moose were harvested by Federally qualified subsistence users.

Another update I want to provide is on our subsistence harvest surveys that we're doing in the Upper Copper River. I presented that at the last meeting in Kenai and the big update is that we finished up our field work in Slana only about two weeks ago. And we did our data review meeting in Chistochina, so things are moving forward with our projects. A technical paper will be probably done by 2025.

That last thing I want to point out is the final paragraph on Page 48 about the climate change resilient need project funding from the Inflation Reduction Act. We actually have our Subsistence Resource Commission meeting on March 14th and 15th next week of which we're going to present this funding and look for ideas that the SRC might be interested in.

We've also begun scheduling tribal consultation meetings and we're going to be scheduling community consultation meetings to figure out projects with food security that our local communities might be interested in. So Wrangell-St. Elias has about \$1.3 million allotted for us for those projects, of which you can see in that paragraph.

That is what I have for you and I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's a nice chunk of change for you. That's good.

MS. COHEN: Very nice.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: That's good to

47 see. Questions?

(No comments)

You must have done a good report. Okay. Thank you much.

MS. COHEN: Thank you for the

MS. COH opportunity to comment.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Amber. Okay. Is there anyone else that's got a report? Come on up.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: No questions.

MR. SANDERS: Through the Chair. Thank you for giving me the time to give my presentation or my report in person. I'm glad to be able to get to sit in front of you folks in person this time. I was on the phone last time.

For the record, my name is Andrew Sanders. I'm the subsistence program manager for the Chugach National Forest and my report for you all is on Page 44. I have a few small updates to the report, but not a lot has changed since I submitted that to Nissa.

On big update is in our staff group. So it was myself as a biologist and two technicians and I'm happy to say that our Kenai Peninsula Zone technician Heather Thamm has been promoted to a subsistence biologist trainee position. So a little bit more biological capacity over on the Kenai side now.

We did carry out one temporary special action in the past year closing the Federal subsistence draw hunts for Dall sheep in the Round Mountain and Crescent Lake hunt areas. We held a public meeting on June 28th and no members of the public attended and provided testimony for that temporary special action.

We're working on a new cost share agreement with the Alaska Department of Fish and Game to continue performing aerial population surveys of wildlife in the Chugach National Forest area. So that's been a long running relationship between Alaska Department of Fish and Game and the Forest Service to monitor those populations. They really bring a lot of capacity that's difficult for us right now especially in regards to aerial surveys. So we share the cost with them and that's been very fruitful.

We also have a new cost share agreement with the Chugach Regional Resources Commission and we're beginning that relationship by carrying on aerial surveys of moose in Kings Bay. We're anxiously awaiting the weather window that's going to allow that flight to happen. It should be happening any day now. I think we're all really excited to find out what they see from the air.

For an update on the permits and the harvests our management is somewhat split between the Prince William Sound part of the forest and the Kenai, so that's how my report is structured here. I'll start on the Prince William Sound side. For the 6C moose draw we had 878 applications for a total of 44 moose permits and 22 of the 24 bull tags were harvested and 19 of the 20 antlerless moose were harvested.

Having spoken with the folks who had those permits, the folks who were not able to harvest it was really situations of very new hunters that did not have experience hunting moose before and kind of struggled to get their feet underneath them. Folks who had a family member who was also able to harvest a moose somewhere in the state this year, so they were less concerned with spending a lot of time out in the delta going after moose.

For the folks who had some experience and were motivated to get out there and spend a lot of time hunting, it was a very successful hunt I would say. Just for reference I've got last year's numbers in there as well.

The deer season, we don't get harvest reports for those and I don't have numbers from the State at this time. So I don't really have a lot of hard numbers to share with folks for the deer except to say that when we did pellet surveys in the spring it seemed that there was a moderate density of deer in the Sound and I haven't heard from any folks in the community that they've been especially frustrated with the deer hunting this year.

We're continuing to work with ADF&G to study black bears in the Sound. We've recently begun working with ADF&G in a laboratory at University of Alaska to analyze tissue that was taken from the bears to look at what those bears have been feeding on in the

Sound. So one of the questions of that is if the beach bears that people harvest are distinctly different bears from other bears in the Sound or if they're just a random subset of the bears that happen to be on the beach when a hunter is nearby.

So we'll be looking at the amount of fish versus other resources that those bears have been consuming and comparing that to the collared information from the GPS collars to see if some of these bears are really more sticking to berries and plant material and some bears are truly beach bears, fish eating bears. I think that will be really interesting when we get that data back.

For the 6D mountain goats we issued seven goat permits for Unit 6D and one goat was harvested from RG242, which is in the Rude River area close to Cordova. In 2022 four permits were issued and two goats were harvested. So goat harvest is a little down this year. I'm not really sure why that is.

The biggest change from what's in your book to the current numbers that I have right now would be in relation to the FFPW01 permit for the Delta fishing permit. So I have in the book that 101 permits were issued. I did find one more permit that was a handwritten permit and it had not been entered into the database, so we got caught up on that. Currently there's 40 outstanding permits. I'm in the process of reach out to folks. The reporting rate for that permit has been fairly low in the past and I'm working on getting those numbers up.

 One thing I started this year as far as getting harvest reports back for that permit is when people come in to get a new permit, if we don't have numbers from the previous year just asking them, hey, did you fish last year and getting that in the database before we issue this year's permit. I've sent out emails. We don't have email addresses for a lot of the users.

So I'm working on this coming year when folks come in to get permits we'll be asking them if we can get an email address, a current one, in the database. Then, when I get back after this meeting I'll be sending out letters because of the budget issues with Congress I was not able to buy stamps until

recently. Now I have stamps, so we can get the letters out to everyone else and hopefully get those numbers up.

The number of coho that were harvested is the biggest change there. In the book it says 324. Now that number is up to 439. But, as I mentioned, there's still 40 more permits out there, so that number may go up.

The number of sockeye harvested under that permit hasn't changed with the more permits that have come in since I've started reaching out to folks. Also two Dolly Varden were reported on that permit this year.

That's a few more people, 102, compared to the last few years has been more in the 70s and 80s for the number of permits issued. I think that's related to the new Copper River permit. So we've got folks that are coming in and they're interested in dipnetting the Copper River, so they get their Delta permit while they're there.

I think that also might be related to our harvest reporting issues because I've received a lot of permits where people had put both harvests on the same permit or, as Dave mentioned, they do their in-season reporting and then we don't hear from them. So there is a fair amount of confusion with some users over how to report the harvests from the two different permits and get those in. So trying to clean that up and make sure people are educated when they come in and get their permits.

Over on the Kenai side, let's see. As you guys are aware, Moose Pass is a rural community these days and they also now have C&T for the Kenai River fishery. So we held a number of community meetings over on the Kenai in Hope, Moose Pass, Cooper Landing and Moose Pass and Hope again to issue fishing permits and hunting permits and provide information and education on how the Federal subsistence program works, how to get involved.

We did also have a public meeting in Cordova going into the moose hunt to talk to folks about the moose hunt and how those permits work, where they can and can't use them, how to be involved in

changing the process. Now that we're coming out of the pandemic it is my great hope to be out in Chenega and Tatitlek as I know Milo was in the past. So I've got some big plans to get out there and make sure that the folks in those communities are getting access to Federal staff as well.

For the Dall sheep hunt, as I mentioned earlier, there was a Temporary Special Action. We closed that hunt because there was no harvestable surplus of full curl rams. That hunt is mostly entirely managed with State aerial survey data. Those surveys happen somewhat infrequently. As always, there can be challenges in sharing data and what is allowed to be shared between the State and the Federal government.

So we're working on improving the data that we have about that hunt so that we can make better informed decisions in the future and try to ensure that the most possible opportunity with that hunt. So we are collaborating with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to begin ground-based surveys. They had a pilot study last year. We'll be joining them this year.

That will involve getting out in the field, glassing sheep, doing vegetation surveys, collecting fecal pellets and sending those pellets in to have genetic data collected from them so we can use genetic data to also get another estimate of the population and also to look at what those sheep are feeding on. We're also working on getting more frequent and recent aerial data of that population.

For Unit 7 moose it was a record year for moose harvest in Unit 7. The previous record was three moose harvested on Federal permits in 2019 and in 2023 seven moose were harvested. Eighty-one permits were issued.

Fifty-four caribou permits were issued in Unit 7 and there was no harvest reported. In 2022, 74 permits were issued and one caribou was harvested.

For Unit 7 mountain goat that's a draw hunt. There's three areas. They change around a little bit each year in negotiation with the State. This past year it was DG341, which is the Cecil Rhodes Mountain. DG334 Mills Creek and DG338 Crescent

0084 Mountain two of the three goats were harvested. 2 3 In 2024, in cooperation with the State, 4 we'll be replacing 341 Cecil Rhodes with 339 Grant 5 Lake. Part of that is because Cecil Rhodes has a very low population of mountain goats right now, so there's 6 7 not a lot of opportunity there even though the access for the users is great. So it was our hope that Grant Lake would be a nice substitute and still be very 10 accessible to the rural users of the Kenai while also 11 having a good population of huntable goats there. 12 13 You guys have already heard about the 14 salmon fishing over there that we cooperatively manage 15 those fisheries with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. It's right there on the border of Chugach National 16 17 Forest and Kenai National Wildlife Refuge. So just of 18 the communities that are on the Forest we issued 222 19 permits and 58 of those were to residents of Moose 20 Pass. So that pretty much adds up with last year, 21 which was 185 permits without Moose Pass. 22 23 That concludes my report. I'm happy to 24 take any questions you guys may have. 25 26 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: All right. 27 Questions for Andrew. Anyone? Right over here. 28 Dennis has one. 29 30 MR. ZADRA: Hi, Andrew. When will we 31 find out about the Federal drawing hunt on the Copper 32 River for the moose? 33 34 MR. SANDERS: For the moose? Oh, we're 35 expecting to hold that drawing next week. Everything 36 is ready to go in the system. I would have done it this 37 week except I'm here. 38 39 MR. ZADRA: Okay, very good. 40 you. 41 42 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Michael. 43 44 MR. OPHEIM: I have just a comment. 45 I'm happy to see Moose Pass taking advantage of these 46 hunts. It's good to see. 47 48 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Any other

49

50

comments?

0085 1 (No comments) 2 3 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Well, Andrew, I'm 4 glad you had time to do that. Good report. Thank you. 5 Look forward to working with you there. 6 7 MR. SANDERS: Thank you very much. 8 9 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Okay. Council 10 members, we're in the eleventh hour. We have one more 11 item. We are at noon. We do have closing comments. So we could briefly make our closing comment and we'll 12 13 let the room go to the next users. Judy, do you want 14 to start? You're the Vice Chair. 15 16 MS. CAMINER: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 17 Once again you run an excellent meeting. We're pretty 18 much finishing on time and we did accomplish quite a 19 bit. The All-RAC meeting I think was very good and I'm 20 echoing what others said. We appreciate all the Staff 21 time and probably RAC Chair time and others that went 22 into this. 23 24 I'd just suggest -- since now we've had 25 an exposure to issues beyond our region, if you look at 26 Page 39, if you want to get on an email list that tells 27 you about what's going on with the Federal program, 28 it's worth doing it just to keep informed as to what is 29 going on elsewhere in the state because sooner or later 30 that might affect us as well. 31 32 Nice to see everybody and looking 33 forward to what we can accomplish. Thank you. 34 35 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Judy. 36 Great comments and good info. And congratulations. 37 Ed. 38 39 MR. HOLSTEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair. As usual it was a good meeting. It looks like we might 40 41 finish a minute early. This was my first All-RAC 42 meeting. As I previously mentioned, I wish it lasted 43 another day. It wasn't enough time to get one-on-one 44 more with people throughout this meeting. 45 46 As I've always said in all our last RAC 47 meetings, I especially appreciate agency reports. I 48 think of some of all the information I get on these 49 meetings is through agency and tribal reports. I thank

0086 you all for showing up. 2 3 Thank you, Mr. Chair. 4 5 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you, Ed. 6 Have a good trip back. Michael. 7 8 MR. OPHEIM: Just kind of echoing what 9 was said already. Great meeting overall. I loved a 10 lot of the educational things that we were given during 11 this All-RAC. I do wish we had more time with the RACs 12 together. You know, bringing those ideas and issues to 13 each other like we did in the previous one at the Egan. 14 15 It's always great to have all the 16 information by the folks that come and present to us. 17 I really enjoy the educational. It makes sitting up here making decisions a little easier when you have all 18 19 that good information. Yeah, thanks to the staff for 20 putting all this together and helping us get here. 21 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Very good. 22 Thank 23 you, Michael. Heath. 24 25 MR. KOCAN: Yeah, I just really 26 appreciate the -- for me the training was really great 27 even though I apparently am up for reappointment. 28 With Covid we just didn't really get to meet. I think 29 this is only my third in-person Board meeting. So the 30 training was really good because it was just a lot of 31 stuff that I didn't know about and wasn't able to 32 really talk to anyone about. Hopefully we can do more 33 in the future. 34 35 CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Great. Thank 36 you. Go ahead, Andy. 37 38 MR. MCLAUGHLIN: Yeah, thank you. 39 Thanks to the OSM Staff and all the agencies for their 40 reports. The All-Councils meeting was so valuable. I 41 think they should just be regular at least every five 42 years for five days. We needed another day to just 43 network with other Council members from other RACs. 44 45 Quite a lot of things to say about the 46 bycatch trawl stuff, but there's a lot of disservice 47 happening with the North Pacific Fishery Management 48 Council. Things like black cod harvest exceeded -- in 49 2020 the black cod harvest exceeded by greater than 500

percent of what was being allowed. The way the NPFMC responded was then they just quintupled the limit in 2024. They just bump up the limit.

A lot of things like this -- it's kind of like -- you know, they -- not to say advocate, but they regulate deficiently to support any type of subsistence of our salmon statewide. They also cut halibut use by -- for all the other user groups. You know, they rob Peter to pay Paul in order to get the trawling more benefit all the time.

The harvest caps of 15 vessels in pounds, pollock was like three million and some in 2010 and then now in 2024 it's capped at nine million six. Rock fish 18,000-plus pounds was 2010. Now it's 48,000-plus pounds. The king salmon 1,455 pounds was allowed in 2010. Now 3,845 in 2024. Squid the same.

All these are 2.6 fold increases across the board exactly. They're not tailoring their management to the population of each species. They're tailoring their management as a percentage. That is not accurate and sound management of our resources.

As a matter of fact in 2024, right now -- I'm just looking this stuff up inspired by what's going on at this meeting -- the Gulf of Alaska's in-season so far, already in the first two months, there's reported 4,677 kings wasted in the bycatch. When people upriver aren't even allowed to fishwheel one king. Why is this? It's atrocious what's going on.

Anyhow, great meeting otherwise. Learning a lot. Being able to network with people. It inspires me to look up things. Wow, I'm going to learn that. Little nuances about all these details that we find out amongst these agency reports and everything. It's just fantastic.

Thank you.

MR. ZADRA: Yeah, my first All-RAC meeting. Just like everyone else said, very informative and all, but like drinking out of a fire

hose. Too much information too fast. So I would appreciate an extra day. Thanks everybody for showing up and OSM for putting this on. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Thank you,

Dennis. Diane.

MS. SELANOFF: Just wanted to congratulate three of you quickly with your positions and also to say that these meetings are great. I would like an extra day. Shorter days. Great meeting. Thank you for having me.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Hope.

MS. ROBERTS: Thank you. I wasn't trying to be rude by coming back late during the last break, but I walked into the talking circle next door and there was an elder talking about how awful the fishnet sizes were for where he was at and it was so important to listen to because as old as he is maybe he won't be able to come back next meeting.

It was that or this and I apologize for being late. I didn't want to. But having to choose between that and this I was going to choose what he had to say until he had to pause because he's an elder. He's an Alaska Native person and it was very important to me to hear what he had to say because it was really powerful.

But congratulations to your seats. I really, really enjoyed this All-RAC meeting and how secretary of TCC, Charlie Wright, was able to just take hold of it and make it comfortable for everybody to be able to speak directly to a RAC member rather than whoever thinks they're running the meeting, but we needed more time. I am absolutely sorry that I wasn't over there listening to that elder talk about his issues in his home village whether it has anything to do with me or not.

Thank you, guys and ladies.

CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Alrighty. I'll make a few comments. It's been echoed here at least one, two, three, four, five members about more time needed with the All-RAC. So I hope the staff takes note of that.

I was around at the time of the first one and the one thing was that I really miss was the networking and individual talking and time spent with the RACs. Out of the formal presentation, it would be really good for us to sit down and learn what they're doing, they learn what we're doing, learn where we have unity, learn where we have differences.

 The one thing that was very obvious to me is there's a lot of trouble in paradise. We know that. We have opportunities and we're blessed, but we do have really rough roads ahead. The resource is getting in peril. It's getting a lot of strain on it. People are lacking their foods. We have to come up with new ways and new things to deal with, you know, this percentage of hatchery fish versus wild is something that really bothers me. Pretty soon you've got 40 percent hatchery fish.

Anyway, I want to thank Nissa for putting together my talk and all the work she does here because sometimes that goes unrecognized. And the Staff for taking time for reports. It's been a great Council meeting. I'm glad to serve another year as the Chairman. We've got a lot of things to work on ahead of us. We're not there yet, but we're getting there. It was great.

One thing you mentioned 8:00 a.m. and long days. We should never start before 9:00 a.m. We've got to have breakfast and that just should be a policy, but anyway. Otherwise we need everyone to bring smoked fish and moose meat and some other stuff. Barter. We need some goodies. We were lucky to get coffee, but we need a little more.

Okay. But anyway, yeah, there's so much to learn from each other. I'll tell you what. That gulf presentation and the debate, when you really hear from the hearts of the people. That's what is so important here because they know. They're the people on the ground.

I'm not kidding you when I tell you the kings are gone in the Kenai, they're gone on the Peninsula. We've got small kings now. We get a few. No silvers. It's the same all over. We need to start paying attention to that and see what we can do.

```
0090
                     Anyway, thank you. Don't give me any
 1
 2
    more because we're going to adjourn. Got a motion to
 3
     adjourn?
 4
 5
                     MS. SELANOFF: I'll make a motion to
 6
    adjourn.
 7
8
                     MR. HOLSTEN: I'll second.
9
10
                     CHAIRMAN ENCELEWSKI: Motion and
11
     seconded. We stand adjourned at the Council meeting.
12
     Thank you very much.
13
14
                     (Off record)
15
16
                       (END OF PROCEEDINGS)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
```

0091	
1	CERTIFICATE
2 3 4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA))ss.
5	STATE OF ALASKA)
7 8 9 10	I, Salena A. Hile, Notary Public in and for the state of Alaska and reporter for Computer Matrix Court Reporters, LLC, do hereby certify:
11 12 13 14 15	THAT the foregoing pages numbered through contain a full, true and correct Transcript of the SOUTHCENTRAL SUBSISTENCE REGIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL MEETING taken electronically on the 8th day of March 2024;
17 18 19 20 21 22	THAT the transcript is a true and correct transcript requested to be transcribed and thereafter transcribed by under my direction and reduced to print to the best of our knowledge and ability;
23 24 25	THAT I am not an employee, attorney, or party interested in any way in this action.
26 27 28 29 30	DATED at Anchorage, Alaska, this 20th day of March 2024.
31 32 33	Salena A. Hile Notary Public, State of Alaska My Commission Expires: 09/16/26
34 35 36 37	
38 39 40	
41 42 43	
44 45 46	
47 48	
49 50	